

## Spontaneous Social Orienting in School-Age Children with ASD: Results from the ABC-CT Feasibility Study

Katarzyna Chawarska<sup>\*1</sup>, Adam Naples<sup>1</sup>, Sara J. Webb<sup>2,3</sup>, Michael Murias<sup>4</sup>, Catherine Sugar<sup>5</sup>, Raphael Bernier<sup>3</sup>, Geraldine Dawson<sup>4</sup>, Shafali Jeste<sup>5</sup>, James McPartland<sup>1</sup>, Charles A. Nelson<sup>6</sup>, Frederick Shic<sup>2,3</sup>

Yale University, <sup>2</sup> Seattle Children's Hospital, <sup>3</sup> University of Washington, <sup>4</sup> Duke University of California Los Angeles, <sup>6</sup> Boston Children's Hospital

Results

## Introduction

Children with ASD show limited attention to faces during the prodromal [1-3] and early syndromal stages of the disorder in comparison to typically developing (TD) and developmentally delayed children (DD) [4-7]. These deficits are context dependent and most pronounced when children observe a person trying to engage their attention using eye contact and speech [4,8,9], but not when a person engages in a solitary activity where neither eye contact nor speech are present, [4] or when perceptually salient dynamic distractors are included [4,10]. The Selective Social Orienting (SSO) [4] task has been found to discriminate infants and toddlers with ASD from controls [2,4], to be associated with clinical features, and to subtype the toddlers into clinically-meaningful subgroups [11]. It is not clear, however, if the task also constitutes a promising biomarker for school-age children.

## **Objectives**

To examine (1) feasibility of SSO task, (2) between group differences, and (3) associations with clinical phenotypes in school-age children with ASD and typically developing (TD) controls.

## Methods

The SSO, a free-viewing eye-tracking task, consists of four conditions in which an actress engages viewer's attention using direct eye contact and speech (Dyadic Bid), initiates acts of joint attention using gaze and speech cues (JA), performs an activity (Sandwich), or looks at moving animal toys (Animal). Dependent measure was a proportion of time looing at the face standardized by the overall looking time at the scene (%Face). Data were analyzed using linear mixed models (unstructured covariance matrix; LMM) with diagnosis and condition as factors and full scale IQ (FSIQ) as a covariate. Associations between %Face and severity of autism symptoms, verbal and nonverbal IQ and SRS-2 T scores in the ASD group were examined with age as a covariate.

	ASD	TD
Participants with Analyzable Data	25	26
Participants with Valid Data	23	26
Age at Enrollment (years)	7.77 (2.30)	6.60 (
FSIQ	91.08 (19.51)	114.08
VIQ	89.40 (21.38)	115.23
NVIQ	92.84 (19.03)	111.04
ADOS-2 Calibrated Severity Score	7.84 (1.57)	1.19 ((
ADOS-2 SA Severity Score	7.76 (2.05)	1.38 ((
ADOS-2 RRB Severity Score	7.36 (2.43)	1.69 (*

 Table 1: Participant Characterization

## Hypotheses

<u>Feasibility</u>: SSO task will be well tolerated and successfully completed by a vast majority of ASD and TD participants.

Discrimination biomarker: ASD and TD groups will differ in %Face in conditions that include gaze cues and speech, i.e., the Dyadic Bid and JA but not in Sandwich and Animal conditions.

Correlation with clinical features: In an exploratory manner, we evaluated associations between %Face and severity of autism symptoms, VIQ and NVIQ, and SRS-2 T scores.

1.98) 8 (9.34) 3 (13.79) 4 (8.03) 0.40) 0.70)1.69)

**Feasibility.** Valid eye tracking data were collected from 23/25 (92%) children with ASD and 25/26 (96.3%) TD controls (p = .342).

**Discrimination Biomarker**. A LMM on %Face indicated a significant effect of group, F(1, 42.04) = 7.46, p = .009 as well as condition, F(3, 36.68) = 56.47, p < .001, and a significant condition x group interaction, F(3, 36.68) = 5.66, p = .003. The effect of FSIQ was also significant, F(1, 39.09) = 12.73, p < .001.

Across all conditions, children with ASD had lower %Face than TD controls (Cohen's d = .61). The groups did not differ in the Sandwich (p = .860) and Animal (p = .099) conditions, but children with ASD had lower %Face in the Dyadic Bid (p < .001, Cohen's d = .61) and JA (p = .018, Cohen's d = .42) conditions (see Figure 1).



%Face (Dyadic Bid)	Whole Sample	TD	ASD
Valid data	48 / 51 (94,1%)	25 / 26 (96.2%)	23 / 25 (92.0%)
Mean	56.3%	63.5%	48.5%
SD	25.3%	23.2%	25.7%
Skew	-1.1	-1.7	-0.7
Kurtosis	0.4	3.0	-0.3



Vali Mea SD Ske Kur



%Face (Joint Attention)	Whole sample	TD	ASD
Valid data	48 / 51 (94.1%)	25 / 26 (96.2%)	23 / 25 (92.0%)
Mean	53.0%	58.2%	47.3%
SD	25.3%	23.7%	26.3%
Skew	-0.93	-1.22	-0.74
Kurtosis	-0.03	1.09	-0.52

**Figure 1**: Frequency distribution for %Face in ASD and TD groups

# Diagnosis Group d = 0.4620% 10%

ace ndwich)	Whole Sample	TD	ASD
d data	46 / 51 (90.2%)	24 / 26 (92.3%)	22 / 25 (88%)
In	7.8%	9.0%	6.6%
	5.3%	4.9%	5.6%
W	0.6	0.0	1.4
tosis	0.2	-0.2	2.2



%Face (Animal)	Whole sample	TD	ASD
	48 / 51	25 / 26	23 / 25
Valid data	(94.1%)	(96.2%)	(92.0%)
Mean	23.7%	27.4%	19.6%
SD	14.1%	15.0%	12.1%
Skew	0.10	-0.03	-0.18
Kurtosis	-0.25	-0.07	-1.20

**Correlations with clinical phenotypes:** In the ASD group, %Face in all conditions combined was associated with SRS-2 Social Awareness (r(19) = -.47, p = .034), Social Cognition (r(19) = -.57, p = .007), and Social Communication (r(19) = -.38, p = .007) (.091) T scores, as well as with VIQ (r(19) = .45, p = .030), and NVIQ (r(19) = .45, p = .030) .039) scores. The association with severity of autism symptoms was not significant (r(19) = .088, p = .708) (see Figure 2).



and SRS-2 measures

The SSO task is highly feasible in school age children with ASD with IQ ranging from 53 to 123. Similar to infants and toddlers with ASD, school-age children with ASD showed poor attention to faces of interactive partners when gaze cues and speech cues were present, with medium effect sizes. Lower attention to faces was associated with poor social awareness and social cognition levels, as well as verbal and nonverbal IQ. This study, combined with prior work [2,4], suggests social orienting to faces emulating dyadic exchanges, generally, and the SSO task, specifically, may represent promising candidate biomarkers for ASD from infancy through school-age.

- disorder. Biological psychiatry. 2014;75(3):231-237.
- Spectrum Disorders. 2009;3(2):556-569.
- Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2012;53(8):903-913.
- Sciences. 2010;277(1696):2935-2943.
- and developmental disorders. 2017;47(6):1866-1877.

Acknowledgements: We thank the clinicians, staff, and families without whom this work would not be possible. Support for this project was provided by the Autism Biomarkers Consortium for Clinical Trials (U19) MH108206, McPartland). Additional contributions include the ABC-CT statistical core of the DAAC, staff at the Data Collection Sites (Yale University, UCLA, Boston Children's Hospital/Harvard University, University of Washington, and Duke University), ABC-CT Project Management Staff, and the Data Coordinating Core.







## **Results Continued**

	ASD: %Fa	ce		
	Soc Motivation			
	Soc Communication			
_	Soc Cognition			
	Soc Awareness			
	NVIQ			
	VIQ			
	ADOS-2 Total CSS			
-0.6	-0.4 -0.2 Pearson's	0 0. r	2 0.4	0.6

Figure 2. Pearson's r correlation coefficient between %Face and autism severity (ADOS-

## Conclusions

## References

1. Shic F, Macari S, Chawarska K. Speech disturbs face scanning in 6-month-old infants who develop autism spectrum

2. Chawarska K, Macari S, Shic F. Decreased spontaneous attention to social scenes in 6-month-old infants later diagnosed with autism spectrum disorders. Biological psychiatry. 2013;74(3):195-203.

. von Hofsten C, Uhlig H, Adell M, Kochukhova O. How children with autism look at events. Research in Autism 4. Chawarska K, Macari S, Shic F. Context modulates attention to social scenes in toddlers with autism. Journal of

5. Hosozawa M, Tanaka K, Shimizu T, Nakano T, Kitazawa S. How Children With Specific Language Impairment View Social Situations: An Eye Tracking Study. Pediatrics. 2012;129(6):e1453-e1460.

6. Nakano T, Tanaka K, Endo Y, et al. Atypical gaze patterns in children and adults with autism spectrum disorders dissociated from developmental changes in gaze behaviour. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological

7. Vivanti G, Fanning PA, Hocking DR, Sievers S, Dissanayake C. Social Attention, Joint Attention and Sustained Attention in Autism Spectrum Disorder and Williams Syndrome: Convergences and Divergences. Journal of autism

8. Jones W, Carr K, Klin A. Absence of preferential looking to the eyes of approaching adults predicts level of social disability in 2-year-old toddlers with autism spectrum disorder. Archives of General Psychiatry. 2008;65(8):946-954. 9. Chevallier C, Parish-Morris J, McVey A, et al. Measuring social attention and motivation in autism spectrum disorder using eye-tracking: Stimulus type matters. Autism Research. 2015;8(5):620-628.

10. Tenenbaum E, Amso D, Abar BW, Sheinkopf SJ. Attention and word learning in autistic, language delayed and typically developing children. Frontiers in psychology. 2014;5:490.

11. Campbell, D. J., et al. (2014). "Gaze response to dyadic bids at 2 years related to outcomes at 3 years in autism spectrum disorders: a subtyping analysis." Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders 44(2): 431-442.

> Questions & comments: katarzyna.chawarska@yale.edu

https://medicine.yale.edu/lab/chawarska/