



INTRODUCTION

The incidence of appendiceal cancer in the U.S. has increased over the past two decades despite stable rates of appendectomies. Although the appendix and cecum share the same anatomic origin, appendiceal and colorectal adenocarcinomas have distinct molecular profiles. Differences in survival outcomes between these malignancies have not been compared extensively.

OBJECTIVE

To conduct a comparative survival analysis of appendiceal and cecal adenocarcinomas and identify demographic and clinical factors associated with cancer-specific mortality in both malignancies.

METHODS

We used the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database to identify individuals aged 30 years or older diagnosed with appendiceal or cecal adenocarcinomas from 1975 to 2016. Demographic and clinical were extracted using SEER*Stat software. Demographic covariates included sex, age at diagnosis, year of diagnosis, race, ethnicity, and marital status. Clinical data consisted of primary cancer site, tumor histology, tumor stage, tumor grade, surgery, chemotherapy, and survival.

Cancer-specific survival was compared by the Mantel-Haenszel logrank test, and survival curves were generated using the Kaplan-Meier method. Relative hazard ratios for death in the five-year period following diagnosis were calculated using multivariate Cox regression analyses, adjusted for other covariates. The p-value level of significance was set at <0.05 for a two-tailed test. Data was analyzed using SAS 9.4 and R software.

Appendiceal Adenocarcinomas Are Associated With Better Prognosis Than Cecal Adenocarcinomas: A Population-based Comparative Survival Study

George Chen, MD¹; Kay Chen, MD¹; Laura Sahyoun, MD¹; Saif Zaman, MD¹; Petr Protiva, MD, MPH^{1,2}

¹Yale School of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Section of Digestive Diseases; ²Department of Medicine, VA Connecticut Health Care System

RESULTS



SexMale1SexMale1Age>600.890.87-0.91Age>6011Sex11Male111Age>6011Sex1991-2005<0.00010.780.76-0.80Year of Diagnosis2005-20161111991-2005<0.00011.251.27-1.591.27-1.59Marital StatusMarried111.10-1.19Divorced<0.00011.141.10-1.191.18-1.24Widdowed<0.00011.151.12-1.191.12-1.19RaceWhite111.10-1.17Black<0.00011.131.10-1.171.12-1.19Hispanic0.0030.940.90-0.981Hispanic0.0030.940.90-0.981Hispanic0.00011.261.19-1.33StageLocal11Widdifferentiated<0.00013.603.43-3.77Distant<0.00011.321.26-1.37Hi: Moderately differentiated<0.00011.321.26-1.37Hi: Moderately differentiated<0.00011.321.26-1.37Hi: Moderately differentiated<0.00011.321.26-1.37Hi: Moderately differentiated<0.00011.321.26-1.37Hi: Moderately differentiated<0.00011.321.26-1.37Hi: Moderately differentiated<0.00011.353.38-3	diagnosis of appendiceal or cecal adenocarcinoma					
Sex Male 1 Female <0.0001 0.89 0.87-0.91 Age >60 1 1 <60 <0.0001 0.78 0.76-0.80 Year of Diagnosis 2005-2016 1 1 1991-2005 <0.0001 1.25 1.27-1.59 1975-1990 <0.0001 1.56 1.49-1.63 Marital Status Married 1 1 Widowed <0.0001 1.14 1.10-1.19 Widowed <0.0001 1.15 1.12-1.19 Widowed <0.0001 1.15 1.12-1.19 Race White 1 1 Histopathology Non-Hispanic 0.003 0.91 0.87-0.96 Black <0.0001 1.13 1.10-1.17 Ethnicity Non-Hispanic 1 1 Mucinous <0.0001 0.48 0.90-0.98 Black <0.0001 0.89 0.86-0.91 Signet ring cell <0.0001 1.26<		Parameter	P-value	Hazard Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	
Age Female <0.0001 0.89 0.87-0.91 Age >60 <0.0001 0.78 0.76-0.80 Year of Diagnosis 2005-2016 1 1991-2005 <0.0001 1.25 1.27-1.59 19975-1990 <0.0001 1.56 1.49-1.63 Marital Status Married 1 Divorced <0.0001 1.11 1.10-1.19 Widowed <0.0001 1.121 1.18-1.24 Never matried <0.0001 1.15 1.12-1.19 Race White 1 Black <0.0003 0.91 0.87-0.96 Black <0.0001 1.13 1.10-1.17 Kethnicity Non-Hispanic 1 Mucinous <0.0003 0.94 0.99-0.98 Histopathology Non-mucinous 1 Mucinous <0.0001 0.89 0.86-0.91 Stage Local <0.0001 1.26 1.19-1.33	Sex	Male		1		
Age >60 1 ≤60 <0.0001		Female	< 0.0001	0.89	0.87-0.91	
<<0 <0.0001 0.78 0.76-0.80 Year of Diagnosis 2005-2016 1 1991-2005 <0.0001 1.25 1.27-1.59 Marital Status Married 1 1 Marital Status Married 1 1 Marital Status Married 1 1 Divorced <0.0001 1.14 1.10-1.19 Widowed <0.0001 1.15 1.12-1.19 Race White 1 1 Asian/Pacific Islander 0.0003 0.91 0.87-0.96 Black <0.0001 1.13 1.10-1.17 Ethnicity Non-Hispanic 0.0001 1.13 1.10-1.17 Mucinous <0.0001 0.88 0.86-0.91 3.3 Stage Local 1 1 Mucinous <0.0001 0.86 3.43-3.77 Bispart ing cell <0.0001 1.36 3.43-3.77 Mcearetly differentiated <0.0001 1.32 1.26-1.37	Age	>60		1		
Year of Diagnosis 2005-2016 1 1991-2005 <0.0001		≤60	< 0.0001	0.78	0.76-0.80	
Image: Head in the image: He	Year of Diagnosis	2005-2016		1		
Marital Status 1975-1990 <0.0001 1.56 1.49-1.63 Marital Status Married 1 Divorced <0.0001 1.14 1.10-1.19 Widowed <0.0001 1.21 1.18-1.24 Never married <0.0001 1.21 1.18-1.24 Never married <0.0001 1.15 1.12-1.19 Race White 1 1 Asian/Pacific Islander 0.0003 0.91 0.87-0.96 Black <0.0001 1.13 1.10-1.17 Ethnicity Non-Hispanic 1 1 Mucinous <0.003 0.94 0.90-0.98 Histopathology Non-mucinous 1 1 Mucinous <0.0001 0.89 0.86-0.91 Signet ring cell <0.0001 1.26 1.19-1.33 Meridia Local 1 1 Mucinous <0.0001 3.60 3.43-3.77 Regional <0.0001 1.32 1.26-1.37 I		1991-2005	< 0.0001	1.25	1.27-1.59	
Marital Status Married 1 Divorced <0.0001		1975-1990	< 0.0001	1.56	1.49-1.63	
Divorced <0.0001 1.14 1.10-1.19 Widowed <0.0001	Marital Status	Married		1		
Widowed <0.0001 1.21 1.18-1.24 Never married <0.0001		Divorced	< 0.0001	1.14	1.10-1.19	
Never married <0.0001 1.15 1.12-1.19 Race White 1 1 Asian/Pacific Islander 0.0003 0.91 0.87-0.96 Black <0.0001 1.13 1.10-1.17 Ethnicity Non-Hispanic 1 1 Mon-Hispanic 0.003 0.94 0.90-0.98 Histopathology Non-mucinous 1 1 Mucinous <0.0001 0.89 0.86-0.91 Signet ring cell <0.0001 0.89 0.86-0.91 Stage Local 1 1 Mucinous <0.0001 3.60 3.43-3.77 Bistant <0.0001 3.60 3.43-3.77 Distant <0.0001 1.32 1.26-1.37 II: Wold afferentiated 1 1 1.90-2.08 IV: Undifferentiated, anaplastic <0.0001 1.32 1.26-1.37 III: Poorly differentiated <0.0001 1.99 1.90-2.28 Surgeal Surgical excision 1 1		Widowed	< 0.0001	1.21	1.18-1.24	
Race White 1 Asian/Pacific Islander 0.0003 0.91 0.87-0.96 Black <0.0001		Never married	< 0.0001	1.15	1.12-1.19	
Asian/Pacific Islander 0.0003 0.91 0.87-0.96 Black <0.0001	Race	White		1		
Black <0.0001 1.13 1.10-1.17 Ethnicity Non-Hispanic 1 Histopathology Non-mucinous 1 Histopathology Non-mucinous 1 Mucinous <0.0001 0.89 0.86-0.91 Signet ring cell <0.0001 1.26 1.19-1.33 Stage Local 1 1 Metionus <0.0001 3.60 3.43-3.77 Distant <0.0001 17.61 16.79-18.47 Grade I: Well differentiated 1 1.90-2.08 IV: Undifferentiated <0.0001 1.32 1.26-1.37 III: Poorly differentiated <0.0001 1.32 1.26-1.37 III: Poorly differentiated <0.0001 1.32 1.26-1.37 Will Poorly differentiated <0.0001 3.55 3.38-3.74 No surgery or biopsy/Unknown <0.0001 5.39 4.87-5.97 Chemotherapy Chemotherapy 1 1.03-1.08		Asian/Pacific Islander	0.0003	0.91	0.87-0.96	
Ethnicity Non-Hispanic 1 Histopathology Non-mucinous 0.003 0.94 0.90-0.98 Histopathology Non-mucinous 1 1 Mucinous <0.0001		Black	< 0.0001	1.13	1.10-1.17	
Histopathology Hispanic 0.003 0.94 0.90-0.98 Histopathology Non-mucinous 1 1 Mucinous <0.0001	Ethnicity	Non-Hispanic		1		
Histopathology Non-mucinous 1 Mucinous <0.0001		Hispanic	0.003	0.94	0.90-0.98	
Mucinous <0.0001 0.89 0.86-0.91 Signet ring cell <0.0001	Histopathology	Non-mucinous		1		
Signet ring cell <0.0001 1.26 1.19-1.33 Stage Local 1 1 Regional <0.0001 3.60 3.43-3.77 Operation <0.0001 17.61 16.79-18.47 Grade I: Well differentiated 1 1 II: Moderately differentiated 1 1 1 III: Poorly differentiated <0.0001 1.32 1.26-1.37 III: Poorly differentiated <0.0001 1.99 1.90-2.08 IV: Undifferentiated; anaplastic <0.0001 2.11 1.96-2.28 Surgery Surgical excision 1 Biopsy only <0.0001 3.55 3.38-3.74 No surgery or biopsy/Unknown <0.0001 5.39 4.87-5.97 Chemotherapy Chemotherapy 1 No/Unknown <0.0001 1.06 1.03-1.08		Mucinous	< 0.0001	0.89	0.86-0.91	
Stage Local 1 Regional <0.0001		Signet ring cell	< 0.0001	1.26	1.19-1.33	
Regional <0.0001 3.60 3.43-3.77 Obistant <0.0001	Stage	Local		1		
I: Well differentiated 1 16.79-18.47 Grade I: Well differentiated 1 II: Moderately differentiated <0.0001 1.32 1.26-1.37 III: Poorly differentiated <0.0001 1.99 1.90-2.08 IV: Undifferentiated; anaplastic <0.0001 2.11 1.96-2.28 Surgery Surgical excision 1 1 No surgery or biopsy/Unknown <0.0001 3.55 3.38-3.74 No surgery or biopsy/Unknown <0.0001 5.39 4.87-5.97 Chemotherapy I I I I No/Unknown <0.0001 1.06 1.03-1.08		Regional	< 0.0001	3.60	3.43-3.77	
Grade I: Well differentiated 1 II: Moderately differentiated <0.0001		Distant	< 0.0001	17.61	16.79-18.47	
II: Moderately differentiated <0.0001	Grade	I: Well differentiated		1		
III: Poorly differentiated <0.0001		II: Moderately differentiated	< 0.0001	1.32	1.26-1.37	
IV: Undifferentiated; anaplastic <0.0001 2.11 1.96-2.28 Surgery Surgical excision 1 Biopsy only <0.0001 3.55 3.38-3.74 No surgery or biopsy/Unknown <0.0001 5.39 4.87-5.97 Chemotherapy 1 1 103-1.08		III: Poorly differentiated	< 0.0001	1.99	1.90-2.08	
Surgery Surgical excision 1 Biopsy only <0.0001		IV: Undifferentiated; anaplastic	< 0.0001	2.11	1.96-2.28	
Biopsy only <0.0001 3.55 3.38-3.74 No surgery or biopsy/Unknown <0.0001	Surgery	Surgical excision		1		
No surgery or biopsy/Unknown <0.0001 5.39 4.87-5.97 Chemotherapy 1 1 1 1 No/Unknown <0.0001		Biopsy only	< 0.0001	3.55	3.38-3.74	
Chemotherapy1No/Unknown<0.0001		No surgery or biopsy/Unknown	< 0.0001	5.39	4.87-5.97	
No/Unknown <0.0001 1.06 1.03-1.08	Chemotherapy	Chemotherapy		1		
		No/Unknown	< 0.0001	1.06	1.03-1.08	

Strata - Appendix - Cecum

- cecal adenocarcinomas.

- with survival benefits (Table 1).

- complications.





RESULTS

• Our study consisted of 16,491 appendiceal adenocarcinomas and 99,387

• The five-year cancer-specific and overall survival curves for appendiceal and cecal cancer are shown in Figure 1. Compared to cecal cancers,

appendiceal adenocarcinomas had higher cancer-specific (HR 0.64;

p<0.0001) and overall survival (HR 0.63; p<0.0001).

Male sex, age >60, earlier year of diagnosis, unmarried marital status, black race, and non-Hispanic ethnicity were significantly associated with higher cancer-specific mortality (Table 1).

• Non-mucinous adenocarcinoma was significantly associated with worse survival than mucinous adenocarcinoma but improved survival compared to signet-ring cell carcinoma (Table 1).

• Advanced stage and grade were significantly associated with higher mortality, while surgery and chemotherapy significantly were associated

LIMITATIONS

• The SEER database lacks additional pertinent clinical data, including comorbidities, specific chemotherapy regimens, and postoperative

• Our study included a larger sample size of cecal adenocarcinoma compared to appendiceal adenocarcinoma, although this is reflective of the substantially rarer incidence of appendiceal cancer.

CONCLUSIONS

In this largest comparative survival study of appendiceal and colorectal cancers, appendiceal adenocarcinomas were associated with improved survival compared to that of cecal adenocarcinomas. Further investigation of prognostic factors and molecular mechanisms of appendiceal cancers is needed to establish standardized treatment guidelines.