

WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:26:01.600"

NOTE Confidence: 0.9412657

00:00:00.080 --> 00:00:02.020 Twenty twenty six CME series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95431584

00:00:03.679 --> 00:00:05.920 Today is March nineteenth. We

NOTE Confidence: 0.95431584

00:00:05.920 --> 00:00:07.700 are in the middle of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9789928

00:00:08.639 --> 00:00:09.139 colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.94983846

00:00:09.519 --> 00:00:12.080 cancer awareness month. And so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99909675

00:00:13.405 --> 00:00:15.245 this event tonight is gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.99909675

00:00:15.245 --> 00:00:16.145 focus on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9749711

00:00:16.925 --> 00:00:18.864 colorectal cancer, specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956338

00:00:20.045 --> 00:00:22.044 the management options for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956338

00:00:22.044 --> 00:00:24.224 with, colorectal liver metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9190419

00:00:25.460 --> 00:00:26.439 I'm Jeremy Kortmanský.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98212296

00:00:26.820 --> 00:00:27.300 I'm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99160624

00:00:27.859 --> 00:00:29.320 a associate professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9381068

00:00:29.699 --> 00:00:31.619 of medicine and GI medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9381068

00:00:31.619 --> 00:00:32.120 oncology
NOTE Confidence: 0.925267
00:00:33.059 --> 00:00:33.800 at Yale.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9980119
00:00:34.260 --> 00:00:36.120 I am joined by doctor
NOTE Confidence: 0.98703915
00:00:36.979 --> 00:00:38.979 Khan, a surgical oncologist and
NOTE Confidence: 0.98703915
00:00:38.979 --> 00:00:40.120 chief of the hepatobiliary
NOTE Confidence: 0.96342963
00:00:40.845 --> 00:00:41.585 surgery service,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9953224
00:00:42.524 --> 00:00:43.024 doctor
NOTE Confidence: 0.9975407
00:00:43.325 --> 00:00:45.885 Madoff, an interventional radiologist and
NOTE Confidence: 0.9975407
00:00:45.885 --> 00:00:47.585 chief of the interventional radiology
NOTE Confidence: 0.9975407
00:00:47.725 --> 00:00:48.225 service,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98910636
00:00:48.925 --> 00:00:51.405 and, doctor Kevin Du, a
NOTE Confidence: 0.98910636
00:00:51.405 --> 00:00:52.704 radiation oncologist.
NOTE Confidence: 0.96864575
00:00:55.140 --> 00:00:56.760 And so I will,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9903011
00:00:57.860 --> 00:00:59.080 get us going,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9887886
00:01:00.420 --> 00:01:00.920 hopefully.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9879632
00:01:01.860 --> 00:01:03.880 Colo as a background, colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879632
00:01:04.100 --> 00:01:05.940 cancer is the third leading
NOTE Confidence: 0.9879632
00:01:05.940 --> 00:01:07.300 cause of cancer in the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9879632
00:01:07.300 --> 00:01:08.500 United States and the second
NOTE Confidence: 0.9879632
00:01:08.500 --> 00:01:10.600 leading cause of cancer death.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9433409
00:01:11.225 --> 00:01:13.225 It's estimated there'll be about
NOTE Confidence: 0.9433409
00:01:13.225 --> 00:01:14.505 a hundred and fifty nine
NOTE Confidence: 0.9433409
00:01:14.505 --> 00:01:15.005 thousand,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9997279
00:01:15.625 --> 00:01:17.145 new cases in the US
NOTE Confidence: 0.9997279
00:01:17.145 --> 00:01:18.685 in twenty twenty six
NOTE Confidence: 0.9735927
00:01:19.145 --> 00:01:20.505 with more than fifty five
NOTE Confidence: 0.9735927
00:01:20.505 --> 00:01:21.565 thousand deaths.
NOTE Confidence: 0.997676
00:01:22.185 --> 00:01:23.385 Nearly a third of these
NOTE Confidence: 0.997676
00:01:23.385 --> 00:01:24.925 cases are rectal cancer.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99946916
00:01:26.130 --> 00:01:27.670 In men under fifty,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9993326
00:01:28.770 --> 00:01:30.210 colorectal cancer is now the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9993326

00:01:30.210 --> 00:01:31.730 number one cause of cancer
NOTE Confidence: 0.9993326

00:01:31.730 --> 00:01:32.710 related mortality.
NOTE Confidence: 0.999081

00:01:34.210 --> 00:01:35.890 Recent trends that we're seeing
NOTE Confidence: 0.999081

00:01:35.890 --> 00:01:36.630 is that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9327027

00:01:37.250 --> 00:01:39.635 the number of incidence in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9327027

00:01:39.635 --> 00:01:41.555 patients over sixty five years
NOTE Confidence: 0.9327027

00:01:41.555 --> 00:01:42.775 of age is decreasing,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9883324

00:01:43.955 --> 00:01:45.715 but is rapidly increasing in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9883324

00:01:45.715 --> 00:01:47.395 patients who are under fifty
NOTE Confidence: 0.9883324

00:01:47.395 --> 00:01:48.435 years old at a rate
NOTE Confidence: 0.9883324

00:01:48.435 --> 00:01:50.055 of three percent per year.
NOTE Confidence: 0.996292

00:01:50.690 --> 00:01:52.450 And patients under sixty five
NOTE Confidence: 0.996292

00:01:52.450 --> 00:01:53.350 now represent
NOTE Confidence: 0.9969982

00:01:53.810 --> 00:01:55.330 forty five percent of all
NOTE Confidence: 0.9969982

00:01:55.330 --> 00:01:55.990 new cases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99299675

00:01:57.170 --> 00:01:58.870 Seventy five percent of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.99299675

00:01:59.170 --> 00:02:01.190 under fifty present with advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.99299675

00:02:01.250 --> 00:02:01.750 disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99975187

00:02:04.104 --> 00:02:05.564 In this group of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866056

00:02:06.424 --> 00:02:08.264 forty to fifty percent will

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866056

00:02:08.264 --> 00:02:09.565 develop liver metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984866

00:02:11.065 --> 00:02:12.845 Conventional systemic chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994134

00:02:13.145 --> 00:02:14.845 alone has limited efficacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951293

00:02:15.720 --> 00:02:17.419 with five year overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951293

00:02:17.560 --> 00:02:19.180 less than fourteen percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743809

00:02:19.639 --> 00:02:20.860 with modern regimens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97493666

00:02:22.280 --> 00:02:23.660 and only a two percent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732925

00:02:24.280 --> 00:02:26.200 having durable response at five

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732925

00:02:26.200 --> 00:02:26.700 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9764637

00:02:27.755 --> 00:02:29.595 Sightedness matters. It's something we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9764637

00:02:29.595 --> 00:02:31.194 talk about often with left

NOTE Confidence: 0.9764637

00:02:31.194 --> 00:02:33.114 sided tumors having a higher
NOTE Confidence: 0.9764637

00:02:33.114 --> 00:02:33.614 incidence
NOTE Confidence: 0.9869892

00:02:33.915 --> 00:02:35.055 of liver metastases
NOTE Confidence: 0.91746205

00:02:35.435 --> 00:02:36.575 versus right sided,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9841631

00:02:37.114 --> 00:02:38.635 but right sided tumors and
NOTE Confidence: 0.9841631

00:02:38.635 --> 00:02:39.135 rectal,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9126523

00:02:39.514 --> 00:02:41.375 cancers having a worse survival.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9994437

00:02:42.730 --> 00:02:44.170 This is likely related to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9994437

00:02:44.170 --> 00:02:44.670 biology.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99917203

00:02:46.010 --> 00:02:47.770 Right sided tumors have more
NOTE Confidence: 0.99917203

00:02:47.770 --> 00:02:48.750 KRAS mutations,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99546504

00:02:49.050 --> 00:02:50.030 BRAF mutations,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9985502

00:02:51.050 --> 00:02:51.790 and microsatellite
NOTE Confidence: 0.9987259

00:02:52.170 --> 00:02:52.670 instability.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9927275

00:02:53.610 --> 00:02:55.370 Left sided tumors are more
NOTE Confidence: 0.9927275

00:02:55.370 --> 00:02:57.745 often KRAS wild type and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9927275

00:02:57.745 --> 00:03:00.245 driven by EGFR mediated pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998128

00:03:02.544 --> 00:03:03.845 Looking at prognosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9901047

00:03:04.145 --> 00:03:05.445 based on these factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9766247

00:03:06.465 --> 00:03:08.465 patients with left sided KRAS

NOTE Confidence: 0.9766247

00:03:08.465 --> 00:03:09.825 wild type tumors have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9766247

00:03:09.825 --> 00:03:10.565 best prognosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863869

00:03:11.799 --> 00:03:13.239 whereas those with right sided

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863869

00:03:13.239 --> 00:03:15.159 and KRAS mutated tumors have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863869

00:03:15.159 --> 00:03:16.139 the worst prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99588084

00:03:19.239 --> 00:03:21.079 Aggressive local management of liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.99588084

00:03:21.079 --> 00:03:21.579 metastases

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985054

00:03:21.879 --> 00:03:23.400 can improve five year overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985054

00:03:23.400 --> 00:03:25.340 survival and cure some patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.995382

00:03:26.264 --> 00:03:27.945 The risk of relapse remains

NOTE Confidence: 0.995382

00:03:27.945 --> 00:03:29.805 high, and there are multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.99873465

00:03:30.505 --> 00:03:31.945 risk factors that can be
NOTE Confidence: 0.99873465

00:03:31.945 --> 00:03:33.165 attributed to that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9722457

00:03:33.705 --> 00:03:34.685 risk of relapse,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98208046

00:03:34.985 --> 00:03:36.845 including elevated CEA,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9979269

00:03:37.545 --> 00:03:39.085 the number of liver metastases,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9993299

00:03:40.320 --> 00:03:42.900 large liver lesions, bilateral involvement,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9968777

00:03:43.360 --> 00:03:44.820 the stage of the primary
NOTE Confidence: 0.9968777

00:03:44.880 --> 00:03:45.380 tumor,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99762946

00:03:46.240 --> 00:03:47.780 regional nodal involvement,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9868594

00:03:48.400 --> 00:03:50.080 a short disease free interval
NOTE Confidence: 0.9868594

00:03:50.080 --> 00:03:51.460 or synchronous metastases,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99926394

00:03:52.480 --> 00:03:53.620 and tumor biology.
NOTE Confidence: 0.988613

00:03:56.974 --> 00:03:58.415 As I said, I am
NOTE Confidence: 0.988613

00:03:58.415 --> 00:03:59.635 fortunate to be,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99971277

00:04:00.095 --> 00:04:02.334 joined by experts in all
NOTE Confidence: 0.99971277

00:04:02.334 --> 00:04:02.834 aspects

NOTE Confidence: 0.9729947

00:04:03.775 --> 00:04:05.535 of management of patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9729947

00:04:05.535 --> 00:04:06.355 liver metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97962683

00:04:07.535 --> 00:04:08.415 and I will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95562965

00:04:08.815 --> 00:04:09.455 start by,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99929255

00:04:10.709 --> 00:04:12.650 turning it over to doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919581

00:04:13.190 --> 00:04:14.390 Khan to talk to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919581

00:04:14.390 --> 00:04:15.290 about surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9228202

00:04:21.270 --> 00:04:22.970 Excellent. Thanks, doctor Kordomanski,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982345

00:04:23.589 --> 00:04:24.710 for getting us started. I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.982345

00:04:24.710 --> 00:04:26.325 really excited to to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.982345

00:04:26.325 --> 00:04:28.085 this session with yourself and

NOTE Confidence: 0.982345

00:04:28.085 --> 00:04:29.785 doctor Madoff and doctor Du,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999418

00:04:30.325 --> 00:04:31.445 and it's great to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.999418

00:04:31.445 --> 00:04:32.585 at Yale with such

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436219

00:04:32.885 --> 00:04:34.025 wonderful experts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9578407

00:04:35.685 --> 00:04:36.964 So, you know, so I'm
NOTE Confidence: 0.9578407

00:04:36.964 --> 00:04:38.404 the surgical oncologist in the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9578407

00:04:38.404 --> 00:04:39.225 group. So,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9840204

00:04:39.990 --> 00:04:41.509 I will be focusing on,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9840204

00:04:41.830 --> 00:04:42.949 this this part of the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9840204

00:04:42.949 --> 00:04:43.349 talk,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9993649

00:04:43.830 --> 00:04:46.250 discussing the very basic surgical
NOTE Confidence: 0.9993649

00:04:46.310 --> 00:04:47.750 approaches to the management of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9993649

00:04:47.750 --> 00:04:48.889 colorectal cancer
NOTE Confidence: 0.9107837

00:04:49.270 --> 00:04:50.089 liver metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97030777

00:04:51.975 --> 00:04:53.415 This is a slide, similar
NOTE Confidence: 0.97030777

00:04:53.415 --> 00:04:54.935 to doctor Hartmansky's. It's probably
NOTE Confidence: 0.97030777

00:04:54.935 --> 00:04:56.375 a year outdated compared to
NOTE Confidence: 0.97030777

00:04:56.375 --> 00:04:58.135 his slides, but colorectal cancer
NOTE Confidence: 0.97030777

00:04:58.135 --> 00:04:58.635 is
NOTE Confidence: 0.8567929

00:04:59.014 --> 00:05:00.635 a common cause of diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9068337

00:05:01.495 --> 00:05:02.535 of cancer in the United

NOTE Confidence: 0.9068337

00:05:02.535 --> 00:05:04.695 States affecting female and male

NOTE Confidence: 0.9068337

00:05:04.695 --> 00:05:05.195 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7805519

00:05:06.455 --> 00:05:06.955 regularly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98300284

00:05:07.710 --> 00:05:08.670 It's one of the leading

NOTE Confidence: 0.98300284

00:05:08.670 --> 00:05:10.029 cause of cancer deaths as

NOTE Confidence: 0.98300284

00:05:10.029 --> 00:05:11.250 well in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9461389

00:05:12.029 --> 00:05:13.470 But specifically, when we talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9461389

00:05:13.470 --> 00:05:15.150 about colorectal cancer, it's important

NOTE Confidence: 0.9461389

00:05:15.150 --> 00:05:16.850 to understand the that metastasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984061

00:05:17.470 --> 00:05:18.510 is the leading cause of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984061

00:05:18.510 --> 00:05:20.050 cancer specific deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98659533

00:05:22.275 --> 00:05:23.714 Over fifty percent of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.98659533

00:05:23.714 --> 00:05:25.315 with colorectal cancer will develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.98659533

00:05:25.315 --> 00:05:26.995 liver metastases at some point

NOTE Confidence: 0.98659533

00:05:26.995 --> 00:05:28.355 in their diagnosis either at
NOTE Confidence: 0.98659533

00:05:28.355 --> 00:05:29.495 the time of the diagnosis
NOTE Confidence: 0.9848911

00:05:30.115 --> 00:05:31.815 or, at a later time.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9256019

00:05:32.515 --> 00:05:34.115 When someone has developed diagnosed
NOTE Confidence: 0.9256019

00:05:34.115 --> 00:05:35.900 with liver metastases at the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9256019

00:05:35.900 --> 00:05:37.180 time of the diagnosis of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9256019

00:05:37.180 --> 00:05:38.540 the colon cancer, this is
NOTE Confidence: 0.9256019

00:05:38.540 --> 00:05:40.800 referred to as synchronous metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9539438

00:05:41.180 --> 00:05:42.540 And this effect, this is
NOTE Confidence: 0.9539438

00:05:42.540 --> 00:05:44.060 a diagnosed in twenty to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9539438

00:05:44.060 --> 00:05:46.220 thirty four percent of, of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9539438

00:05:46.220 --> 00:05:47.740 individuals with the new colon
NOTE Confidence: 0.9539438

00:05:47.740 --> 00:05:48.640 cancer diagnosis.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9729767

00:05:49.605 --> 00:05:51.285 However, the majority do present
NOTE Confidence: 0.9729767

00:05:51.285 --> 00:05:52.725 in a metachronous fashion, which
NOTE Confidence: 0.9729767

00:05:52.725 --> 00:05:53.785 means they're diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.99059147
00:05:54.245 --> 00:05:55.605 at least six months after
NOTE Confidence: 0.99059147
00:05:55.605 --> 00:05:56.265 the index,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9972332
00:05:56.885 --> 00:05:57.385 diagnosis.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9895278
00:05:58.645 --> 00:06:00.885 Conventional systemic chemotherapy has seen
NOTE Confidence: 0.9895278
00:06:00.885 --> 00:06:01.625 great advances,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9985156
00:06:02.404 --> 00:06:03.605 over the last twenty five
NOTE Confidence: 0.9985156
00:06:03.605 --> 00:06:04.505 to thirty years.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9696651
00:06:05.010 --> 00:06:07.089 However, it, it has some
NOTE Confidence: 0.9696651
00:06:07.089 --> 00:06:07.910 limited efficacies,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99295413
00:06:08.610 --> 00:06:09.410 and I think we're gonna
NOTE Confidence: 0.99295413
00:06:09.410 --> 00:06:10.529 spend some time talking a
NOTE Confidence: 0.99295413
00:06:10.529 --> 00:06:11.970 bit more about what some,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99295413
00:06:12.450 --> 00:06:13.750 liver specific treatments,
NOTE Confidence: 0.90527207
00:06:14.610 --> 00:06:14.930 can,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9482139
00:06:15.490 --> 00:06:16.930 be an advantage for patients
NOTE Confidence: 0.9482139

00:06:16.930 --> 00:06:18.529 with the colon cancer, liver
NOTE Confidence: 0.9482139

00:06:18.529 --> 00:06:19.669 metastasis diagnosis.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97086483

00:06:21.065 --> 00:06:22.985 Five year survival, with just
NOTE Confidence: 0.97086483

00:06:22.985 --> 00:06:23.485 chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9855159

00:06:23.865 --> 00:06:24.765 can be low,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8553509

00:06:25.705 --> 00:06:27.545 and oftentimes patients don't have
NOTE Confidence: 0.8553509

00:06:27.545 --> 00:06:28.904 a durable response at five
NOTE Confidence: 0.8553509

00:06:28.904 --> 00:06:30.825 years. So hence the thought
NOTE Confidence: 0.8553509

00:06:30.825 --> 00:06:31.325 about,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99936104

00:06:31.785 --> 00:06:32.605 other options.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9885596

00:06:33.310 --> 00:06:35.310 Before jumping ahead to what
NOTE Confidence: 0.9885596

00:06:35.310 --> 00:06:36.750 the surgical treatments may be
NOTE Confidence: 0.9885596

00:06:36.750 --> 00:06:38.270 for patients with colorectal cancer,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9885596

00:06:38.270 --> 00:06:39.330 I do wanna share,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99012625

00:06:39.790 --> 00:06:40.529 a patient,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9904715

00:06:41.389 --> 00:06:42.669 with you that we our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904715
00:06:42.669 --> 00:06:43.570 Yale multidisciplinary
NOTE Confidence: 0.891939
00:06:43.949 --> 00:06:45.389 team has taken care of
NOTE Confidence: 0.891939
00:06:45.389 --> 00:06:46.750 here at, the Yale Cancer
NOTE Confidence: 0.891939
00:06:46.750 --> 00:06:48.029 Center and Smile of Cancer
NOTE Confidence: 0.891939
00:06:48.029 --> 00:06:48.529 Hospital,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98589385
00:06:49.235 --> 00:06:50.354 and this is a patient
NOTE Confidence: 0.98589385
00:06:50.354 --> 00:06:51.974 that's fifty seven years old,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9834026
00:06:52.514 --> 00:06:54.354 had a partial colectomy, meaning
NOTE Confidence: 0.9834026
00:06:54.354 --> 00:06:55.315 part of the colon was
NOTE Confidence: 0.9834026
00:06:55.315 --> 00:06:55.815 resected,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9762414
00:06:57.555 --> 00:06:59.235 and, for what's called a
NOTE Confidence: 0.9762414
00:06:59.235 --> 00:07:00.595 node one positive for a
NOTE Confidence: 0.9762414
00:07:00.595 --> 00:07:02.055 node positive colon cancer.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9524221
00:07:02.514 --> 00:07:03.654 That patient received,
NOTE Confidence: 0.91057897
00:07:04.190 --> 00:07:05.889 six cycles of chemotherapy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9847717

00:07:07.710 --> 00:07:08.110 and,
NOTE Confidence: 0.93529797
00:07:08.750 --> 00:07:10.130 and then he has some
NOTE Confidence: 0.93529797
00:07:10.190 --> 00:07:11.570 relatively healthy individual.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9135125
00:07:13.710 --> 00:07:15.310 He was followed by our,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9135125
00:07:15.630 --> 00:07:16.590 one of our one of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9135125
00:07:16.590 --> 00:07:18.505 our SmileCare Centers by one
NOTE Confidence: 0.9135125
00:07:18.505 --> 00:07:19.545 of our very good medical
NOTE Confidence: 0.9135125
00:07:19.545 --> 00:07:21.145 oncologists and what was noted
NOTE Confidence: 0.9135125
00:07:21.145 --> 00:07:22.605 with the tumor marker surveillance
NOTE Confidence: 0.9135125
00:07:22.665 --> 00:07:24.185 and CEAs, the tumor marker
NOTE Confidence: 0.9135125
00:07:24.185 --> 00:07:24.685 surveillance
NOTE Confidence: 0.97364557
00:07:25.065 --> 00:07:26.365 was shown to be rising.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9741138
00:07:28.185 --> 00:07:30.025 And, hence, eventually, the patient
NOTE Confidence: 0.9741138
00:07:30.025 --> 00:07:31.485 was found to have a
NOTE Confidence: 0.9842385
00:07:31.980 --> 00:07:32.800 liver metastasis
NOTE Confidence: 0.99425095
00:07:33.100 --> 00:07:34.080 in the right liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9309012
00:07:34.540 --> 00:07:35.840 in the right medial liver.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9816773
00:07:36.300 --> 00:07:36.780 And,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97347206
00:07:37.340 --> 00:07:38.780 and then he was referred
NOTE Confidence: 0.97347206
00:07:38.780 --> 00:07:40.460 to our surgical oncology team
NOTE Confidence: 0.97347206
00:07:40.460 --> 00:07:41.340 in our as part of
NOTE Confidence: 0.97347206
00:07:41.340 --> 00:07:41.920 our multidisciplinary
NOTE Confidence: 0.95516586
00:07:42.380 --> 00:07:42.880 program,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99721706
00:07:43.260 --> 00:07:44.320 for what to do.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9381254
00:07:44.655 --> 00:07:45.615 And we went ahead and
NOTE Confidence: 0.9381254
00:07:45.615 --> 00:07:47.615 performed a liver resection, performed
NOTE Confidence: 0.9381254
00:07:47.615 --> 00:07:48.915 what's called the right hepatectomy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9772982
00:07:49.855 --> 00:07:51.055 for this patient, and this
NOTE Confidence: 0.9772982
00:07:51.055 --> 00:07:52.095 is an example of what
NOTE Confidence: 0.9772982
00:07:52.095 --> 00:07:52.595 the,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9919043
00:07:53.055 --> 00:07:54.815 what the specimen looks like
NOTE Confidence: 0.9919043

00:07:54.815 --> 00:07:55.935 after it's removed from the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9919043

00:07:55.935 --> 00:07:57.075 body of the patient,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8856671

00:07:58.175 --> 00:07:59.295 on what's called a gross
NOTE Confidence: 0.8856671

00:07:59.295 --> 00:07:59.795 image.
NOTE Confidence: 0.999141

00:08:01.670 --> 00:08:02.170 So
NOTE Confidence: 0.9854388

00:08:02.550 --> 00:08:03.270 with that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99411297

00:08:03.670 --> 00:08:04.570 case presentation,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9983406

00:08:05.190 --> 00:08:06.010 in the background,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96370655

00:08:06.310 --> 00:08:07.030 here are some of the
NOTE Confidence: 0.96370655

00:08:07.190 --> 00:08:08.150 I wanted to talk use
NOTE Confidence: 0.96370655

00:08:08.150 --> 00:08:08.950 that as an opportunity to
NOTE Confidence: 0.96370655

00:08:08.950 --> 00:08:09.750 talk about some of the
NOTE Confidence: 0.96370655

00:08:09.750 --> 00:08:11.750 surgical options for colorectal cancer
NOTE Confidence: 0.96370655

00:08:11.750 --> 00:08:12.570 liver metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9838585

00:08:13.335 --> 00:08:14.635 One option is a hepatectomy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9838585

00:08:14.935 --> 00:08:16.535 which means, the portion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9838585
00:08:16.535 --> 00:08:17.835 the cancer is removed,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98752373
00:08:18.535 --> 00:08:19.415 along with the portion of
NOTE Confidence: 0.98752373
00:08:19.415 --> 00:08:20.075 the liver.
NOTE Confidence: 0.94385105
00:08:20.615 --> 00:08:21.975 Sorry. The cancer is removed
NOTE Confidence: 0.94385105
00:08:21.975 --> 00:08:22.935 along with the portion of
NOTE Confidence: 0.94385105
00:08:22.935 --> 00:08:24.935 the liver. Microwave ablation, we
NOTE Confidence: 0.94385105
00:08:24.935 --> 00:08:26.055 have doctor Madoff here who's
NOTE Confidence: 0.94385105
00:08:26.055 --> 00:08:27.415 an a world expert, and
NOTE Confidence: 0.94385105
00:08:27.415 --> 00:08:28.120 I suspect expect he'll be
NOTE Confidence: 0.94385105
00:08:28.120 --> 00:08:29.000 talking about some of this
NOTE Confidence: 0.94385105
00:08:29.000 --> 00:08:30.040 as well too. It's something
NOTE Confidence: 0.94385105
00:08:30.040 --> 00:08:31.160 that some of the surgical
NOTE Confidence: 0.94385105
00:08:31.160 --> 00:08:32.760 oncologists we consider in our,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94385105
00:08:33.000 --> 00:08:33.980 surgical armamentarium.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9636824
00:08:34.840 --> 00:08:37.000 Hepatic Arterial Infusion Pump Placement,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9636824

00:08:37.000 --> 00:08:37.880 which we'll spend a little
NOTE Confidence: 0.9636824

00:08:37.880 --> 00:08:39.240 bit of time talking about,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9636824

00:08:39.400 --> 00:08:40.200 and tell you a little
NOTE Confidence: 0.9636824

00:08:40.200 --> 00:08:41.645 bit more about our hepaticartrial
NOTE Confidence: 0.9636824

00:08:41.645 --> 00:08:42.145 infusion,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98352146

00:08:43.245 --> 00:08:43.985 pump program.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9898026

00:08:44.525 --> 00:08:45.965 And liver transplant, we're not
NOTE Confidence: 0.9898026

00:08:45.965 --> 00:08:47.005 gonna talk too much about,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9898026

00:08:47.005 --> 00:08:48.385 but it is something that's
NOTE Confidence: 0.9898026

00:08:48.525 --> 00:08:49.645 starting to become as an
NOTE Confidence: 0.9898026

00:08:49.645 --> 00:08:50.625 emerging option,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98235106

00:08:51.325 --> 00:08:52.845 more so in Europe, than
NOTE Confidence: 0.98235106

00:08:52.845 --> 00:08:54.065 in the United States.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9892025

00:08:56.090 --> 00:08:57.470 In regards to a hepatectomy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9892025

00:08:57.690 --> 00:08:58.650 so why would we do
NOTE Confidence: 0.9892025

00:08:58.650 --> 00:09:00.030 it? So, you know, anytime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97587085
00:09:00.490 --> 00:09:02.170 patients and their family members
NOTE Confidence: 0.97587085
00:09:02.170 --> 00:09:02.990 see us in,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9706419
00:09:03.290 --> 00:09:05.130 our surgical oncology clinic, you
NOTE Confidence: 0.9706419
00:09:05.130 --> 00:09:06.570 know, one thing that, we
NOTE Confidence: 0.9706419
00:09:06.570 --> 00:09:07.929 have a discussion about is
NOTE Confidence: 0.9706419
00:09:07.929 --> 00:09:08.809 what is the best way
NOTE Confidence: 0.9706419
00:09:08.809 --> 00:09:10.350 we can help, the patient
NOTE Confidence: 0.9706419
00:09:10.565 --> 00:09:11.925 and their family member in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9706419
00:09:11.925 --> 00:09:13.125 this not just the short
NOTE Confidence: 0.9706419
00:09:13.125 --> 00:09:14.084 term, but in the long
NOTE Confidence: 0.9706419
00:09:14.084 --> 00:09:14.584 term.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98208123
00:09:14.885 --> 00:09:16.565 And this is, some data
NOTE Confidence: 0.98208123
00:09:16.565 --> 00:09:18.084 to support the benefit of
NOTE Confidence: 0.98208123
00:09:18.084 --> 00:09:19.385 doing a liver resection,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9672055
00:09:20.165 --> 00:09:21.925 for patients that develop a
NOTE Confidence: 0.9672055

00:09:21.925 --> 00:09:23.144 colorectal liver metastases
NOTE Confidence: 0.7492753

00:09:23.445 --> 00:09:23.765 because,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9921555

00:09:25.290 --> 00:09:26.490 a surgical approach for the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9921555

00:09:26.490 --> 00:09:28.170 resection of these tumors can
NOTE Confidence: 0.9921555

00:09:28.170 --> 00:09:28.670 provide,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9995415

00:09:29.529 --> 00:09:30.029 survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.90836406

00:09:30.970 --> 00:09:32.809 improvement and sometimes cure in
NOTE Confidence: 0.90836406

00:09:32.809 --> 00:09:33.309 patients.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8800764

00:09:33.929 --> 00:09:34.970 So and these are some
NOTE Confidence: 0.8800764

00:09:34.970 --> 00:09:35.470 series,
NOTE Confidence: 0.943583

00:09:35.929 --> 00:09:36.649 that have been,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99965864

00:09:37.290 --> 00:09:38.570 very well published over the
NOTE Confidence: 0.99965864

00:09:38.570 --> 00:09:39.070 years.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9995013

00:09:40.645 --> 00:09:41.865 This picture shows
NOTE Confidence: 0.973871

00:09:42.325 --> 00:09:43.525 some of the common types
NOTE Confidence: 0.973871

00:09:43.525 --> 00:09:44.885 of liver sections that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.973871
00:09:44.885 --> 00:09:45.385 perform.
NOTE Confidence: 0.95032704
00:09:46.245 --> 00:09:47.365 So the liver the way
NOTE Confidence: 0.95032704
00:09:47.365 --> 00:09:48.165 we look at it, the
NOTE Confidence: 0.95032704
00:09:48.165 --> 00:09:49.684 liver has eight different segments,
NOTE Confidence: 0.95032704
00:09:49.684 --> 00:09:50.725 and there's a right portion
NOTE Confidence: 0.95032704
00:09:50.725 --> 00:09:51.765 of the left liver and
NOTE Confidence: 0.95032704
00:09:51.765 --> 00:09:52.725 a left portion of the
NOTE Confidence: 0.95032704
00:09:52.725 --> 00:09:53.925 liver. And there's also a
NOTE Confidence: 0.95032704
00:09:53.925 --> 00:09:55.065 low called the caudate.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9448282
00:09:56.380 --> 00:09:57.100 These are some of the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9448282
00:09:57.100 --> 00:09:58.460 major liver sections that we
NOTE Confidence: 0.9448282
00:09:58.460 --> 00:09:58.960 performed,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99734753
00:09:59.420 --> 00:10:01.440 on figure in figure a.
NOTE Confidence: 0.94708496
00:10:01.820 --> 00:10:02.780 It shows what's called a
NOTE Confidence: 0.94708496
00:10:02.780 --> 00:10:04.480 right hepatectomy, which means,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94901454

00:10:04.860 --> 00:10:06.220 segments five, six, seven, and
NOTE Confidence: 0.94901454
00:10:06.220 --> 00:10:07.260 eight or the right portion
NOTE Confidence: 0.94901454
00:10:07.260 --> 00:10:07.920 of the liver,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9995732
00:10:08.220 --> 00:10:08.960 is resected.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98600376
00:10:09.925 --> 00:10:11.605 Picture b shows a left
NOTE Confidence: 0.98600376
00:10:11.605 --> 00:10:13.125 hepatectomy, which means,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94813186
00:10:13.525 --> 00:10:15.525 segments two, three, and four
NOTE Confidence: 0.94813186
00:10:15.525 --> 00:10:16.965 are resected. So the left
NOTE Confidence: 0.94813186
00:10:16.965 --> 00:10:18.405 portion of liver is, resected.
NOTE Confidence: 0.94813186
00:10:18.405 --> 00:10:19.705 The right portion remains.
NOTE Confidence: 0.95684
00:10:21.445 --> 00:10:22.885 Picture c shows an extended
NOTE Confidence: 0.95684
00:10:22.885 --> 00:10:24.325 right hepatectomy, which means we
NOTE Confidence: 0.95684
00:10:24.325 --> 00:10:25.380 take out the entire right
NOTE Confidence: 0.95684
00:10:25.380 --> 00:10:26.660 liver and part of the,
NOTE Confidence: 0.95684
00:10:26.900 --> 00:10:28.200 left liver as well.
NOTE Confidence: 0.95039076
00:10:28.820 --> 00:10:29.800 And then segment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8096428
00:10:30.260 --> 00:10:32.120 picture D shows
NOTE Confidence: 0.89596015
00:10:32.740 --> 00:10:33.720 a left lateral
NOTE Confidence: 0.7950375
00:10:35.140 --> 00:10:35.640 sectionectomy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9447919
00:10:36.100 --> 00:10:36.980 which means we take out
NOTE Confidence: 0.9447919
00:10:36.980 --> 00:10:38.100 part of the left lateral
NOTE Confidence: 0.9447919
00:10:38.100 --> 00:10:39.800 liver, segments two and three.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9447919
00:10:39.915 --> 00:10:41.615 And then picture e
NOTE Confidence: 0.9179636
00:10:42.074 --> 00:10:44.095 shows, an extended left hepatectomy.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9857141
00:10:45.035 --> 00:10:46.074 One thing I do wanna
NOTE Confidence: 0.9857141
00:10:46.074 --> 00:10:47.115 comment on is, you know,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9857141
00:10:47.115 --> 00:10:47.834 this is a lot of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9857141
00:10:47.834 --> 00:10:48.954 liver that we resect, and
NOTE Confidence: 0.9857141
00:10:48.954 --> 00:10:49.915 this is, you know, the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9857141
00:10:49.915 --> 00:10:51.434 resilience of the human body
NOTE Confidence: 0.9857141
00:10:51.434 --> 00:10:51.934 because,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97472435

00:10:52.475 --> 00:10:53.195 you know, when we were
NOTE Confidence: 0.97472435

00:10:53.355 --> 00:10:54.175 we can resect
NOTE Confidence: 0.9981929

00:10:54.490 --> 00:10:55.690 up to eighty percent of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9981929

00:10:55.690 --> 00:10:56.590 one's liver,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9947003

00:10:57.050 --> 00:10:58.830 with some anatomical considerations,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9707952

00:11:00.490 --> 00:11:01.230 in play,
NOTE Confidence: 0.95415586

00:11:01.690 --> 00:11:03.230 and the liver will regenerate
NOTE Confidence: 0.95415586

00:11:03.370 --> 00:11:05.130 for individuals and people patients
NOTE Confidence: 0.95415586

00:11:05.130 --> 00:11:06.010 can go on to live
NOTE Confidence: 0.95415586

00:11:06.010 --> 00:11:06.670 a very,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99024373

00:11:07.050 --> 00:11:08.330 solid quality of life in
NOTE Confidence: 0.99024373

00:11:08.330 --> 00:11:09.135 the long term
NOTE Confidence: 0.93076336

00:11:09.695 --> 00:11:10.415 even with,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9352571

00:11:11.054 --> 00:11:12.815 major operations such as, such
NOTE Confidence: 0.9352571

00:11:12.815 --> 00:11:13.695 as the ones that are
NOTE Confidence: 0.9352571

00:11:13.695 --> 00:11:14.755 listed over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9236898

00:11:17.695 --> 00:11:19.855 Synchronous hepatic metastases, I alluded

NOTE Confidence: 0.9236898

00:11:19.855 --> 00:11:20.735 to a bit earlier, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9236898

00:11:20.735 --> 00:11:22.415 these are metastases that are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9236898

00:11:22.735 --> 00:11:24.507 essentially diagnosed at at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9236898

00:11:24.507 --> 00:11:26.225 time that the colon cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9236898

00:11:26.225 --> 00:11:27.944 is diagnosed, and it's found

NOTE Confidence: 0.9236898

00:11:27.944 --> 00:11:29.662 in about twenty to thirty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9236898

00:11:29.662 --> 00:11:31.380 four percent of individuals with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9236898

00:11:31.380 --> 00:11:33.099 the new colorectal cancer diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9236898

00:11:33.099 --> 00:11:34.817 And I had mentioned before

NOTE Confidence: 0.9236898

00:11:34.817 --> 00:11:36.535 that metastasis development of metastasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9236898

00:11:36.535 --> 00:11:38.253 more than six months after

NOTE Confidence: 0.9236898

00:11:38.253 --> 00:11:39.285 the primary tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9487757

00:11:40.404 --> 00:11:42.165 For patients with synchronous hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9487757

00:11:42.165 --> 00:11:43.605 metastases, there are different ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.9487757

00:11:43.605 --> 00:11:45.204 to approach it. And, at
NOTE Confidence: 0.9487757

00:11:45.204 --> 00:11:46.084 Yale, we have a great
NOTE Confidence: 0.9487757

00:11:46.084 --> 00:11:47.925 multidisciplinary team that does that
NOTE Confidence: 0.9487757

00:11:47.925 --> 00:11:50.245 includes our intervention radiologists, our
NOTE Confidence: 0.9487757

00:11:50.245 --> 00:11:52.264 radiation oncologist, our medical oncologist,
NOTE Confidence: 0.93041384

00:11:52.760 --> 00:11:54.200 and other surgeons other in
NOTE Confidence: 0.93041384

00:11:54.200 --> 00:11:56.040 addition to HPV surgical oncologist
NOTE Confidence: 0.93041384

00:11:56.040 --> 00:11:57.960 such as colorectal surgeons. One
NOTE Confidence: 0.93041384

00:11:57.960 --> 00:11:59.420 thing that comes up frequently
NOTE Confidence: 0.93041384

00:11:59.480 --> 00:12:00.760 is in patients that present
NOTE Confidence: 0.93041384

00:12:00.760 --> 00:12:02.140 with a synchronous pattern.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9656059

00:12:02.760 --> 00:12:04.120 Sometimes we can remove the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9656059

00:12:04.120 --> 00:12:05.385 colon tumor first,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97196656

00:12:05.785 --> 00:12:06.505 and there's some,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98512447

00:12:06.905 --> 00:12:08.505 advantages and disadvantages to doing
NOTE Confidence: 0.98512447

00:12:08.505 --> 00:12:09.465 in that in that sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.98512447
00:12:09.465 --> 00:12:10.585 of a manner. So that's
NOTE Confidence: 0.98512447
00:12:10.585 --> 00:12:12.025 what's called the primary first
NOTE Confidence: 0.98512447
00:12:12.025 --> 00:12:12.525 approach.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9474941
00:12:13.065 --> 00:12:14.585 Another is a combined approach,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9474941
00:12:14.585 --> 00:12:15.465 and this is where the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9474941
00:12:15.465 --> 00:12:16.985 primary tumor where the colon
NOTE Confidence: 0.9474941
00:12:16.985 --> 00:12:18.740 tumor arose from and the,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9474941
00:12:19.059 --> 00:12:21.059 liver metastases are resected in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9474941
00:12:21.059 --> 00:12:22.899 one, separate in one single
NOTE Confidence: 0.9474941
00:12:22.899 --> 00:12:23.399 setting.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97887367
00:12:24.420 --> 00:12:25.940 This, it can be applied
NOTE Confidence: 0.97887367
00:12:25.940 --> 00:12:27.240 to many patients,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9840915
00:12:27.940 --> 00:12:28.899 but it tends to work
NOTE Confidence: 0.9840915
00:12:28.899 --> 00:12:29.860 well if you're we're not
NOTE Confidence: 0.9840915
00:12:29.860 --> 00:12:31.320 doing a major liver resection
NOTE Confidence: 0.9840915

00:12:31.460 --> 00:12:32.899 and, we're not doing a
NOTE Confidence: 0.9840915

00:12:32.899 --> 00:12:34.875 major, colorectal resection at the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9840915

00:12:34.875 --> 00:12:35.695 same time.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9743687

00:12:36.475 --> 00:12:37.995 So but sometimes we can
NOTE Confidence: 0.9743687

00:12:37.995 --> 00:12:39.275 remove both tumors at the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9743687

00:12:39.275 --> 00:12:40.795 same time. And then there's
NOTE Confidence: 0.9743687

00:12:40.795 --> 00:12:41.375 a metastasis
NOTE Confidence: 0.9905529

00:12:41.675 --> 00:12:42.795 first approach, and this is
NOTE Confidence: 0.9905529

00:12:42.795 --> 00:12:44.395 something that I tend to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9905529

00:12:44.395 --> 00:12:44.895 favor,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9992827

00:12:45.515 --> 00:12:46.635 if a combined approach is
NOTE Confidence: 0.9992827

00:12:46.635 --> 00:12:47.455 not possible
NOTE Confidence: 0.94348764

00:12:47.820 --> 00:12:49.200 because, a hepatectomy
NOTE Confidence: 0.82409334

00:12:49.820 --> 00:12:51.600 that meaning the hepatic metastasis
NOTE Confidence: 0.87483996

00:12:52.140 --> 00:12:53.200 is removed first,
NOTE Confidence: 0.95874524

00:12:53.740 --> 00:12:55.020 and there's some advantages to

NOTE Confidence: 0.95874524

00:12:55.020 --> 00:12:56.059 this sort of approach as

NOTE Confidence: 0.95874524

00:12:56.059 --> 00:12:56.800 well too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97584695

00:12:57.100 --> 00:12:58.300 But all of these approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.97584695

00:12:58.300 --> 00:12:58.940 are are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99543256

00:12:59.420 --> 00:13:00.559 are well accepted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9526461

00:13:02.745 --> 00:13:04.505 Sometimes if patients present with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9526461

00:13:04.505 --> 00:13:06.425 bilateral metastases, which means there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9526461

00:13:06.425 --> 00:13:07.945 are metastases present in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9526461

00:13:07.945 --> 00:13:09.304 right liver and the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.9526461

00:13:09.304 --> 00:13:09.804 liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94565564

00:13:10.904 --> 00:13:12.765 it could create some challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9520211

00:13:13.304 --> 00:13:14.825 However, I do want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9520211

00:13:14.825 --> 00:13:16.059 say that we, even in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9520211

00:13:16.059 --> 00:13:17.740 the presence of bilateral hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9520211

00:13:17.740 --> 00:13:18.240 metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781303

00:13:19.179 --> 00:13:20.379 is not what's called a
NOTE Confidence: 0.9781303

00:13:20.379 --> 00:13:22.379 contraindication of surgery. There are
NOTE Confidence: 0.9781303

00:13:22.379 --> 00:13:23.980 patients that present with bilateral
NOTE Confidence: 0.9781303

00:13:23.980 --> 00:13:25.420 hepatic metastases where we can
NOTE Confidence: 0.9781303

00:13:25.420 --> 00:13:26.720 resect both,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94424134

00:13:27.899 --> 00:13:28.879 sides of metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98989546

00:13:29.654 --> 00:13:31.334 And, and this is something
NOTE Confidence: 0.98989546

00:13:31.334 --> 00:13:33.014 that we consider, and we
NOTE Confidence: 0.98989546

00:13:33.014 --> 00:13:34.554 do treat patients like this
NOTE Confidence: 0.9621268

00:13:37.095 --> 00:13:38.774 relatively extensively here at our
NOTE Confidence: 0.9621268

00:13:38.774 --> 00:13:40.454 Yale Cancer Center. So it's
NOTE Confidence: 0.9621268

00:13:40.454 --> 00:13:41.334 a it's a very good
NOTE Confidence: 0.9621268

00:13:41.334 --> 00:13:43.095 armamentarium to have. So bilateral
NOTE Confidence: 0.9621268

00:13:43.095 --> 00:13:43.595 metastases,
NOTE Confidence: 0.89437187

00:13:44.620 --> 00:13:46.380 patient patient with bilateral hepatic
NOTE Confidence: 0.89437187

00:13:46.380 --> 00:13:47.920 metastases can still undergo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84802663

00:13:48.459 --> 00:13:49.679 surgical approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819446

00:13:50.300 --> 00:13:51.339 And this is just these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819446

00:13:51.339 --> 00:13:52.779 are some examples of how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819446

00:13:53.100 --> 00:13:55.100 we sometimes consider patients, that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819446

00:13:55.100 --> 00:13:56.480 present bilateral metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9391736

00:13:57.179 --> 00:13:59.019 Some patients will consider giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.9391736

00:13:59.019 --> 00:14:00.774 them systemic therapy upfront with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9391736

00:14:00.774 --> 00:14:02.214 doctor Kortmansky and his medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9391736

00:14:02.214 --> 00:14:04.134 oncology colleagues and then consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.9391736

00:14:04.134 --> 00:14:04.634 doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8855669

00:14:05.014 --> 00:14:06.054 removing a part part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8855669

00:14:06.054 --> 00:14:07.175 the liver or recall what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8855669

00:14:07.175 --> 00:14:08.235 called a minor hepatectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9565935

00:14:08.934 --> 00:14:09.815 And in that kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9565935

00:14:09.815 --> 00:14:11.195 a circumstance, sometimes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97219574

00:14:11.975 --> 00:14:13.334 the you know, I think
NOTE Confidence: 0.97219574

00:14:13.334 --> 00:14:14.615 doctor Madoff may talk about
NOTE Confidence: 0.97219574

00:14:14.615 --> 00:14:16.480 it, but, sometimes the liver
NOTE Confidence: 0.97219574

00:14:16.480 --> 00:14:18.000 needs to have what's called
NOTE Confidence: 0.97219574

00:14:18.000 --> 00:14:18.500 hypertrophy
NOTE Confidence: 0.98027086

00:14:18.880 --> 00:14:20.240 in order to recover from
NOTE Confidence: 0.98027086

00:14:20.240 --> 00:14:21.300 two liver operation.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9566619

00:14:22.000 --> 00:14:23.360 That's where we'll involve doctor
NOTE Confidence: 0.9566619

00:14:23.360 --> 00:14:24.400 Madoff's team to do what's
NOTE Confidence: 0.9566619

00:14:24.400 --> 00:14:25.700 called a portal vein embolization
NOTE Confidence: 0.96011424

00:14:26.160 --> 00:14:27.760 and then take remove do
NOTE Confidence: 0.96011424

00:14:27.760 --> 00:14:29.120 the major hepatectomy in a
NOTE Confidence: 0.96011424

00:14:29.120 --> 00:14:30.640 second setting and then finish
NOTE Confidence: 0.96011424

00:14:30.640 --> 00:14:32.025 the systemic therapy. So that
NOTE Confidence: 0.96011424

00:14:32.025 --> 00:14:33.065 is one approach that we
NOTE Confidence: 0.96011424

00:14:33.065 --> 00:14:35.625 sometimes use. Another approach, can

NOTE Confidence: 0.96011424

00:14:35.625 --> 00:14:36.925 be doing a minor hepatectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.96011424

00:14:37.145 --> 00:14:37.645 first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95812416

00:14:38.105 --> 00:14:39.465 plus or minus the PBE,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95812416

00:14:39.465 --> 00:14:40.685 then doing a major hepatectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8995996

00:14:41.545 --> 00:14:43.565 later and then, saving systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8995996

00:14:43.625 --> 00:14:44.685 therapy for later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975356

00:14:45.000 --> 00:14:46.300 So that's another approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94982153

00:14:46.600 --> 00:14:47.960 And then sometimes a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.94982153

00:14:47.960 --> 00:14:49.640 liver surgery is possible even

NOTE Confidence: 0.94982153

00:14:49.640 --> 00:14:50.680 in the case of bilateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.94982153

00:14:50.680 --> 00:14:52.360 hepatic metastases, and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94982153

00:14:52.360 --> 00:14:54.140 where parenchymal sparing resections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94943565

00:14:54.760 --> 00:14:55.800 can become a bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.94943565

00:14:55.800 --> 00:14:56.300 useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9764567

00:14:56.985 --> 00:14:58.584 There's a concept known as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9764567

00:14:58.584 --> 00:15:00.265 disappearing liver metastases. And the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9764567

00:15:00.265 --> 00:15:01.464 reason I wanna mention this
NOTE Confidence: 0.9764567

00:15:01.464 --> 00:15:02.125 is because,
NOTE Confidence: 0.996377

00:15:02.584 --> 00:15:04.265 I think it's important that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9860385

00:15:04.665 --> 00:15:05.865 when a patient is diagnosed
NOTE Confidence: 0.9860385

00:15:05.865 --> 00:15:07.625 with colon cancer and liver
NOTE Confidence: 0.9860385

00:15:07.625 --> 00:15:09.404 metastases, they see the hepatobiliary
NOTE Confidence: 0.9860385

00:15:09.625 --> 00:15:11.144 surgeon early on in the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9860385

00:15:11.144 --> 00:15:11.644 diagnosis
NOTE Confidence: 0.8939503

00:15:11.945 --> 00:15:12.845 because sometimes
NOTE Confidence: 0.95174253

00:15:13.200 --> 00:15:15.120 patients can be given systemic
NOTE Confidence: 0.95174253

00:15:15.120 --> 00:15:16.800 therapy and the lesions will
NOTE Confidence: 0.95174253

00:15:16.800 --> 00:15:17.300 disappear.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9568908

00:15:17.920 --> 00:15:19.680 And, and that can create
NOTE Confidence: 0.9568908

00:15:19.680 --> 00:15:21.280 some challenges down the road.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9568908

00:15:21.280 --> 00:15:22.480 So that's why we love

NOTE Confidence: 0.9568908
00:15:22.480 --> 00:15:24.240 for our patients to, see
NOTE Confidence: 0.9568908
00:15:24.240 --> 00:15:24.900 our multidisciplinary
NOTE Confidence: 0.84816027
00:15:25.280 --> 00:15:25.725 team,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9486004
00:15:26.285 --> 00:15:27.185 early on,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9350484
00:15:27.964 --> 00:15:29.404 all the disciplines in order
NOTE Confidence: 0.9350484
00:15:29.404 --> 00:15:30.764 to help provide the best
NOTE Confidence: 0.9350484
00:15:30.764 --> 00:15:32.285 not just short outcome, best
NOTE Confidence: 0.9350484
00:15:32.285 --> 00:15:33.264 long term outcome.
NOTE Confidence: 0.96751624
00:15:35.884 --> 00:15:37.805 Ablation, I'll go through this
NOTE Confidence: 0.96751624
00:15:37.805 --> 00:15:39.324 relatively quickly because I believe
NOTE Confidence: 0.96751624
00:15:39.324 --> 00:15:40.480 Doctor. Madoff will talk about
NOTE Confidence: 0.96751624
00:15:40.480 --> 00:15:41.120 it, but this is a
NOTE Confidence: 0.96751624
00:15:41.120 --> 00:15:42.240 very good option that we
NOTE Confidence: 0.96751624
00:15:42.240 --> 00:15:44.000 sometimes use as a surgical
NOTE Confidence: 0.96751624
00:15:44.000 --> 00:15:46.000 oncologist where we burn the
NOTE Confidence: 0.96751624

00:15:46.000 --> 00:15:47.680 tumor with microwave ablation and
NOTE Confidence: 0.96751624

00:15:47.680 --> 00:15:49.840 less frequently radio frequency ablation
NOTE Confidence: 0.96751624

00:15:49.840 --> 00:15:50.580 these days.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9812962

00:15:51.520 --> 00:15:53.295 And there is data to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9812962

00:15:53.295 --> 00:15:55.214 support the use of, ablation
NOTE Confidence: 0.9812962

00:15:55.214 --> 00:15:56.754 for the treatment for patients.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9677525

00:15:57.774 --> 00:15:59.134 And there is a more
NOTE Confidence: 0.9677525

00:15:59.134 --> 00:16:00.654 recent trial called the collision
NOTE Confidence: 0.9677525

00:16:00.654 --> 00:16:02.495 trial where microwave treatment,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99856746

00:16:02.894 --> 00:16:04.254 can be effective in tumors
NOTE Confidence: 0.99856746

00:16:04.254 --> 00:16:05.394 less than three centimeters.
NOTE Confidence: 0.6851009

00:16:06.290 --> 00:16:06.790 However,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9752505

00:16:07.250 --> 00:16:08.529 and so that's that's a
NOTE Confidence: 0.9752505

00:16:08.529 --> 00:16:09.889 very important study that was
NOTE Confidence: 0.9752505

00:16:09.889 --> 00:16:11.510 published relatively recently.
NOTE Confidence: 0.96549606

00:16:11.889 --> 00:16:12.610 And the way I look

NOTE Confidence: 0.96549606

00:16:12.610 --> 00:16:13.490 at that study is it

NOTE Confidence: 0.96549606

00:16:13.490 --> 00:16:15.010 can it shows that microwave

NOTE Confidence: 0.96549606

00:16:15.010 --> 00:16:16.370 ablation can be very good

NOTE Confidence: 0.96549606

00:16:16.370 --> 00:16:16.870 adjunct,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89609885

00:16:17.410 --> 00:16:18.870 to treating patients with colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.89609885

00:16:19.010 --> 00:16:20.449 liver metastases, and sometimes it

NOTE Confidence: 0.89609885

00:16:20.449 --> 00:16:21.445 can be used patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.89609885

00:16:21.445 --> 00:16:22.904 bilobar hepatic metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9738074

00:16:23.845 --> 00:16:24.644 But I do want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9738074

00:16:24.644 --> 00:16:25.845 say that still, if a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9738074

00:16:25.845 --> 00:16:27.225 resection is possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9651456

00:16:28.005 --> 00:16:29.125 it tends to be better

NOTE Confidence: 0.9651456

00:16:29.125 --> 00:16:30.885 oncologically, and I think in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9651456

00:16:30.885 --> 00:16:32.165 future years, we may hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.9651456

00:16:32.165 --> 00:16:33.204 a little bit more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9651456

00:16:33.204 --> 00:16:33.704 that.
NOTE Confidence: 0.96433157
00:16:35.980 --> 00:16:37.100 And the the last bit
NOTE Confidence: 0.96433157
00:16:37.100 --> 00:16:37.900 of the talk is to
NOTE Confidence: 0.96433157
00:16:37.900 --> 00:16:39.660 talk about, I'll talk about
NOTE Confidence: 0.96433157
00:16:39.660 --> 00:16:41.900 HAI pumps, which, will be
NOTE Confidence: 0.96433157
00:16:41.900 --> 00:16:43.120 talked a bit more later.
NOTE Confidence: 0.96433157
00:16:43.180 --> 00:16:44.780 And the concept behind this
NOTE Confidence: 0.96433157
00:16:44.780 --> 00:16:47.035 is many patients that do
NOTE Confidence: 0.96433157
00:16:47.035 --> 00:16:48.335 undergo liver resection,
NOTE Confidence: 0.93686306
00:16:49.835 --> 00:16:51.195 can develop a liver,
NOTE Confidence: 0.77729493
00:16:51.915 --> 00:16:52.415 recurrence.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9720329
00:16:52.955 --> 00:16:55.035 And, that's a relatively this
NOTE Confidence: 0.9720329
00:16:55.035 --> 00:16:56.235 is that's not an uncommon
NOTE Confidence: 0.9720329
00:16:56.235 --> 00:16:57.775 scenario that we're faced with.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9728796
00:16:58.959 --> 00:17:00.480 And the reason for that
NOTE Confidence: 0.9728796
00:17:00.480 --> 00:17:02.339 is, the biology of colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728796
00:17:02.399 --> 00:17:02.899 cancer,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9628664
00:17:03.440 --> 00:17:04.720 is unique and compared to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9628664
00:17:04.720 --> 00:17:06.480 many other cancers where patients
NOTE Confidence: 0.9628664
00:17:06.480 --> 00:17:08.260 can develop liver only metastases,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98778874
00:17:09.440 --> 00:17:11.440 and HAI therapy is a
NOTE Confidence: 0.98778874
00:17:11.440 --> 00:17:13.054 form of chemotherapy to provide
NOTE Confidence: 0.98778874
00:17:13.054 --> 00:17:14.434 liver specific chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.95959556
00:17:14.975 --> 00:17:16.815 where our surgical oncology team,
NOTE Confidence: 0.95959556
00:17:16.815 --> 00:17:18.195 we have an HAI program,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9196114
00:17:18.655 --> 00:17:20.494 inserts a catheter into gas
NOTE Confidence: 0.9196114
00:17:20.734 --> 00:17:22.895 an artery that eventually supplies
NOTE Confidence: 0.9196114
00:17:22.895 --> 00:17:24.415 blood to the liver, and
NOTE Confidence: 0.9196114
00:17:24.415 --> 00:17:26.035 chemotherapy can be administered.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9049964
00:17:26.450 --> 00:17:27.809 I'll go through this relatively
NOTE Confidence: 0.9049964
00:17:27.809 --> 00:17:28.850 quickly as I know doctor
NOTE Confidence: 0.9049964

00:17:28.850 --> 00:17:30.550 Corvansky talked about it.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98970455

00:17:31.730 --> 00:17:33.170 And but the principle is
NOTE Confidence: 0.98970455

00:17:33.170 --> 00:17:34.930 that the liver metastases are
NOTE Confidence: 0.98970455

00:17:34.930 --> 00:17:35.430 perfused
NOTE Confidence: 0.9817387

00:17:35.890 --> 00:17:37.330 by the hepatic artery. So
NOTE Confidence: 0.9817387

00:17:37.330 --> 00:17:38.710 if one can give chemotherapy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99917024

00:17:39.490 --> 00:17:40.869 through that hepatic artery,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9555292

00:17:41.215 --> 00:17:42.255 you can provide a much
NOTE Confidence: 0.9555292

00:17:42.255 --> 00:17:43.395 higher dose of chemotherapy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96838653

00:17:44.415 --> 00:17:45.775 than can be administered just
NOTE Confidence: 0.96838653

00:17:45.775 --> 00:17:46.515 through intravenous
NOTE Confidence: 0.9095371

00:17:46.815 --> 00:17:47.315 fashion,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98789465

00:17:47.855 --> 00:17:48.815 and it can have a
NOTE Confidence: 0.98789465

00:17:48.815 --> 00:17:49.775 very good effect,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8082074

00:17:50.975 --> 00:17:51.795 of cytotoxicity
NOTE Confidence: 0.97455674

00:17:52.335 --> 00:17:54.195 to the liver metastasis tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972253
00:17:55.649 --> 00:17:56.850 I will skip this slide,
NOTE Confidence: 0.972253
00:17:56.850 --> 00:17:58.070 but I think doctor Korvansky
NOTE Confidence: 0.972253
00:17:58.129 --> 00:17:59.169 may talk about this, but
NOTE Confidence: 0.972253
00:17:59.169 --> 00:18:00.549 there is good evidence,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98967224
00:18:01.409 --> 00:18:04.070 that HAI therapy provides oncologic
NOTE Confidence: 0.98967224
00:18:04.210 --> 00:18:04.710 benefit.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9987297
00:18:05.809 --> 00:18:07.409 Generally speaking, these are patients
NOTE Confidence: 0.9987297
00:18:07.409 --> 00:18:09.029 we will consider for HAI
NOTE Confidence: 0.9987297
00:18:09.090 --> 00:18:09.590 therapy.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9967154
00:18:10.355 --> 00:18:11.655 One has to be histological
NOTE Confidence: 0.9763651
00:18:12.115 --> 00:18:14.275 confirmation of colorectal liver metastasis.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9763651
00:18:14.275 --> 00:18:15.175 We also do,
NOTE Confidence: 0.928274
00:18:15.795 --> 00:18:17.555 consider HAI therapy for patients
NOTE Confidence: 0.928274
00:18:17.555 --> 00:18:18.695 with intrepatic cholangiocarcinoma,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9796251
00:18:19.315 --> 00:18:20.275 which we're not going to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9796251

00:18:20.275 --> 00:18:22.115 talk about today. Patients must

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796251

00:18:22.115 --> 00:18:23.140 be fit to undergo a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796251

00:18:23.140 --> 00:18:24.580 major abdominal operation, and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796251

00:18:24.580 --> 00:18:25.880 is not a minor operation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9895539

00:18:26.980 --> 00:18:28.020 but it's not a major

NOTE Confidence: 0.9895539

00:18:28.020 --> 00:18:29.000 operation either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9792646

00:18:29.700 --> 00:18:31.059 They cannot have evidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9792646

00:18:31.059 --> 00:18:32.820 portal hypertension or portal vein

NOTE Confidence: 0.9792646

00:18:32.820 --> 00:18:33.320 thrombosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981645

00:18:33.700 --> 00:18:34.500 They have to have good

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981645

00:18:34.500 --> 00:18:35.320 liver parameters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94586366

00:18:36.725 --> 00:18:38.244 The favorable hepatic anatomy, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.94586366

00:18:38.244 --> 00:18:39.205 know, that's a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.94586366

00:18:39.205 --> 00:18:40.665 subjective because there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.94586366

00:18:40.965 --> 00:18:42.244 of innovative ways where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94586366

00:18:42.244 --> 00:18:44.265 anatomy can be worked around

NOTE Confidence: 0.93378985

00:18:44.565 --> 00:18:46.645 and limited to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93378985

00:18:46.645 --> 00:18:48.505 no extrahepatic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79032034

00:18:48.805 --> 00:18:49.785 generally speaking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9453784

00:18:51.560 --> 00:18:53.000 This is one algorithm that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9453784

00:18:53.000 --> 00:18:54.220 we sometimes use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171046

00:18:54.600 --> 00:18:56.760 where patients present with resectable

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171046

00:18:56.760 --> 00:18:58.520 colorectal liver metastases. They had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171046

00:18:58.520 --> 00:18:59.900 no extra hepatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835877

00:19:00.359 --> 00:19:01.320 If they are at high

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835877

00:19:01.320 --> 00:19:03.480 risk for liver only recurrence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835877

00:19:03.480 --> 00:19:04.921 we can consider placing one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835877

00:19:04.921 --> 00:19:06.335 of these liver pumps at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835877

00:19:06.335 --> 00:19:07.294 the time that the liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835877

00:19:07.294 --> 00:19:08.274 resection is performed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96954477

00:19:08.654 --> 00:19:10.034 But the more common scenario

NOTE Confidence: 0.96954477

00:19:10.095 --> 00:19:11.794 is patients present with unresectable

NOTE Confidence: 0.96954477

00:19:12.014 --> 00:19:13.475 colon cancer liver metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99640423

00:19:13.855 --> 00:19:15.394 They'll get systemic chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9557576

00:19:16.255 --> 00:19:18.034 by our medical oncology team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96782494

00:19:18.400 --> 00:19:20.080 and there's no development or

NOTE Confidence: 0.96782494

00:19:20.080 --> 00:19:21.200 progression of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93154454

00:19:22.080 --> 00:19:22.580 And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165879

00:19:23.359 --> 00:19:25.040 and sometimes with the or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165879

00:19:25.040 --> 00:19:26.559 there is development progression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165879

00:19:26.559 --> 00:19:28.800 the extra of, extrahepatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165879

00:19:28.800 --> 00:19:29.920 In that case, we'll we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165879

00:19:29.920 --> 00:19:31.460 less likely considered HAI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97702813

00:19:32.405 --> 00:19:33.765 But sometimes there's a limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.97702813

00:19:33.765 --> 00:19:35.365 or no response, and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.97702813

00:19:35.365 --> 00:19:36.725 can consider HAI in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.97702813

00:19:36.725 --> 00:19:38.325 kinds of circumstances where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97702813
00:19:38.325 --> 00:19:39.304 pump is placed.
NOTE Confidence: 0.89735514
00:19:42.164 --> 00:19:44.424 This is a cartoon depicting
NOTE Confidence: 0.89735514
00:19:44.484 --> 00:19:46.005 what exactly we do. So
NOTE Confidence: 0.89735514
00:19:46.005 --> 00:19:46.885 the pump is,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9771923
00:19:47.419 --> 00:19:48.299 is about the size of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9771923
00:19:48.299 --> 00:19:49.500 a hockey puck that's placed
NOTE Confidence: 0.9771923
00:19:49.500 --> 00:19:52.080 in the abdominal wall, underneath
NOTE Confidence: 0.9771923
00:19:52.140 --> 00:19:52.720 the skin,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9633215
00:19:53.580 --> 00:19:54.940 and oftentimes, we'll put on
NOTE Confidence: 0.9633215
00:19:54.940 --> 00:19:55.740 the left side of the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9633215
00:19:55.740 --> 00:19:57.020 patient, but there's other areas
NOTE Confidence: 0.9633215
00:19:57.020 --> 00:19:58.400 we can place these pumps,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9954488
00:19:58.779 --> 00:20:00.080 pumps as well too.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99770963
00:20:00.460 --> 00:20:01.580 The pump is connected to
NOTE Confidence: 0.99770963
00:20:01.580 --> 00:20:02.159 a catheter,
NOTE Confidence: 0.89533234

00:20:02.655 --> 00:20:03.775 which is placed in this
NOTE Confidence: 0.89533234

00:20:03.775 --> 00:20:04.255 hepatic,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9631025

00:20:04.895 --> 00:20:05.395 gastroduodenal
NOTE Confidence: 0.9517846

00:20:05.695 --> 00:20:06.575 artery, which supplies
NOTE Confidence: 0.97993785

00:20:07.055 --> 00:20:08.575 which, connects to the hepatic
NOTE Confidence: 0.97993785

00:20:08.575 --> 00:20:10.175 artery, which is where this,
NOTE Confidence: 0.986533

00:20:10.655 --> 00:20:13.155 chemotherapy is is, is delivered.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99354714

00:20:14.095 --> 00:20:15.375 Actually, after the implant, it's
NOTE Confidence: 0.99354714

00:20:15.375 --> 00:20:16.619 interesting that the body heat
NOTE Confidence: 0.99354714

00:20:16.700 --> 00:20:18.220 serves as an ongoing power
NOTE Confidence: 0.99354714

00:20:18.220 --> 00:20:19.600 supply for the drug delivery,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9572395

00:20:20.700 --> 00:20:22.400 and, our medical oncology,
NOTE Confidence: 0.90355134

00:20:23.100 --> 00:20:24.059 HAI team,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9961844

00:20:24.780 --> 00:20:26.220 helps manage this very carefully
NOTE Confidence: 0.9961844

00:20:26.220 --> 00:20:27.119 and very well.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9708124

00:20:28.300 --> 00:20:30.460 Everyone that, we consider for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708124
00:20:30.460 --> 00:20:31.985 an HAI pump at EL
NOTE Confidence: 0.9708124
00:20:31.985 --> 00:20:33.105 is part of our HAI
NOTE Confidence: 0.9708124
00:20:33.105 --> 00:20:33.845 pump program,
NOTE Confidence: 0.95040894
00:20:34.225 --> 00:20:34.945 which is part of a
NOTE Confidence: 0.95040894
00:20:34.945 --> 00:20:35.445 multidisciplinary
NOTE Confidence: 0.99465215
00:20:35.825 --> 00:20:36.325 team.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8234091
00:20:37.185 --> 00:20:38.625 Patients are presented at our
NOTE Confidence: 0.8234091
00:20:38.625 --> 00:20:39.125 multidisciplinary
NOTE Confidence: 0.9955301
00:20:39.505 --> 00:20:40.325 tumor board.
NOTE Confidence: 0.91070515
00:20:40.865 --> 00:20:42.465 They are consulted. They seek
NOTE Confidence: 0.91070515
00:20:42.465 --> 00:20:44.145 different consultants such as surgical
NOTE Confidence: 0.91070515
00:20:44.145 --> 00:20:45.605 oncologists, medical oncologists,
NOTE Confidence: 0.88564813
00:20:46.410 --> 00:20:47.850 a social worker. They work
NOTE Confidence: 0.88564813
00:20:47.850 --> 00:20:49.230 with their radiologists,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94759554
00:20:49.770 --> 00:20:50.730 to get a good mapping
NOTE Confidence: 0.94759554

00:20:50.730 --> 00:20:52.830 study. The catheter is placed.
NOTE Confidence: 0.94759554

00:20:52.890 --> 00:20:54.030 Our nuclear medicine,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9558532

00:20:54.410 --> 00:20:54.910 doctors,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98756206

00:20:55.530 --> 00:20:56.810 help confirm that the catheter
NOTE Confidence: 0.98756206

00:20:56.810 --> 00:20:57.850 is in the right spot,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98756206

00:20:57.850 --> 00:20:59.230 and then the medical oncology
NOTE Confidence: 0.98756206

00:20:59.369 --> 00:21:00.350 team takes over.
NOTE Confidence: 0.957547

00:21:01.265 --> 00:21:02.404 So this is,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97280324

00:21:02.784 --> 00:21:04.005 one of the last slides,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97280324

00:21:04.144 --> 00:21:05.284 but this is a proposed
NOTE Confidence: 0.97280324

00:21:05.345 --> 00:21:06.544 algorithm for the management of
NOTE Confidence: 0.97280324

00:21:06.544 --> 00:21:07.524 hepatic metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9311255

00:21:08.784 --> 00:21:10.385 Again, it's important to have
NOTE Confidence: 0.9311255

00:21:10.385 --> 00:21:11.744 the the patient seen by
NOTE Confidence: 0.9311255

00:21:11.744 --> 00:21:14.085 HPB surgical oncologist early on.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9128162

00:21:14.419 --> 00:21:15.640 If one has a resectable

NOTE Confidence: 0.9128162

00:21:15.780 --> 00:21:16.280 disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95085776

00:21:16.820 --> 00:21:18.440 sometimes they can get systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.95085776

00:21:18.580 --> 00:21:19.700 therapy for four to six

NOTE Confidence: 0.95085776

00:21:19.700 --> 00:21:21.059 cycles early on and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.95085776

00:21:21.059 --> 00:21:22.359 undergo a liver resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675197

00:21:22.820 --> 00:21:24.419 Or sometimes they can undergo

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675197

00:21:24.419 --> 00:21:25.700 liver surgery upfront, and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675197

00:21:25.700 --> 00:21:26.580 talked a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675197

00:21:26.580 --> 00:21:27.539 about we talked a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675197

00:21:27.539 --> 00:21:28.500 bit about the different kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675197

00:21:28.500 --> 00:21:29.559 of surgical approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.8791267

00:21:29.914 --> 00:21:31.674 and sometimes post op post,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8791267

00:21:32.075 --> 00:21:32.895 liver surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8850317

00:21:33.434 --> 00:21:35.215 the post hepatectomy therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96798235

00:21:35.515 --> 00:21:37.515 systemic therapies needed. There are

NOTE Confidence: 0.96798235

00:21:37.515 --> 00:21:38.794 some patients that may present
NOTE Confidence: 0.96798235

00:21:38.794 --> 00:21:40.174 with unresectable disease,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9687474

00:21:40.955 --> 00:21:42.475 where we, start treatment with
NOTE Confidence: 0.9687474

00:21:42.475 --> 00:21:44.640 systemic therapy. We they'll be
NOTE Confidence: 0.9687474

00:21:44.640 --> 00:21:45.840 reimaged, and then at that
NOTE Confidence: 0.9687474

00:21:45.840 --> 00:21:47.680 point, we can consider liver
NOTE Confidence: 0.9687474

00:21:47.680 --> 00:21:48.880 surgery for those that have
NOTE Confidence: 0.9687474

00:21:48.880 --> 00:21:51.119 treatment response or consider additional
NOTE Confidence: 0.9687474

00:21:51.119 --> 00:21:52.560 systemic therapy or even liver
NOTE Confidence: 0.9687474

00:21:52.560 --> 00:21:54.320 directed therapy as was mentioned
NOTE Confidence: 0.9687474

00:21:54.320 --> 00:21:54.980 as well.
NOTE Confidence: 0.89751697

00:21:55.600 --> 00:21:56.684 So So in summary for
NOTE Confidence: 0.89751697

00:21:56.684 --> 00:21:58.225 colorectal cancer liver metastases,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9843825

00:21:58.845 --> 00:22:00.285 about fifty percent of patients
NOTE Confidence: 0.9843825

00:22:00.285 --> 00:22:01.725 with colon cancer liver with
NOTE Confidence: 0.9843825

00:22:01.725 --> 00:22:03.345 colorectal cancer will develop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985444
00:22:04.605 --> 00:22:06.145 or present with liver metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98009115
00:22:06.765 --> 00:22:08.205 Liver surgery is an important
NOTE Confidence: 0.98009115
00:22:08.205 --> 00:22:08.705 armamentarium
NOTE Confidence: 0.95859176
00:22:09.005 --> 00:22:10.605 for patients with colorectal cancer
NOTE Confidence: 0.95859176
00:22:10.605 --> 00:22:11.345 liver metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97787905
00:22:11.700 --> 00:22:13.000 We went over hepatectomies,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9960685
00:22:13.619 --> 00:22:14.679 microwave ablations,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8599988
00:22:15.139 --> 00:22:17.159 hepatic articular infusion pump placement.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9176702
00:22:17.779 --> 00:22:18.279 Multidisciplinary
NOTE Confidence: 0.95898736
00:22:18.820 --> 00:22:20.899 care incorporating liver surgery can
NOTE Confidence: 0.95898736
00:22:20.899 --> 00:22:22.200 improve patient survival,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97483677
00:22:23.779 --> 00:22:24.659 and that is in the
NOTE Confidence: 0.97483677
00:22:24.659 --> 00:22:26.279 case of synchronous and metacritic
NOTE Confidence: 0.97483677
00:22:26.419 --> 00:22:26.919 metastasis,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9784617
00:22:27.915 --> 00:22:30.475 disappearing lesions, and bilateral hepatic
NOTE Confidence: 0.9784617

00:22:30.475 --> 00:22:30.975 metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99688995

00:22:32.155 --> 00:22:33.455 Thank you for your time.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98810875

00:22:33.994 --> 00:22:34.875 I'm gonna hand it off
NOTE Confidence: 0.98810875

00:22:34.875 --> 00:22:36.155 to my colleagues, for the
NOTE Confidence: 0.98810875

00:22:36.155 --> 00:22:37.295 next part of the talk.
NOTE Confidence: 0.990559

00:22:38.635 --> 00:22:40.395 Alright. Thank you, doctor Khan.
NOTE Confidence: 0.990559

00:22:40.395 --> 00:22:42.015 That was a fantastic talk.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9789955

00:22:43.090 --> 00:22:44.210 Just to help us stay
NOTE Confidence: 0.9789955

00:22:44.210 --> 00:22:45.830 on time, I'm gonna request,
NOTE Confidence: 0.83590734

00:22:47.090 --> 00:22:47.590 that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9523369

00:22:48.050 --> 00:22:49.910 question. We'll address the questions,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98082566

00:22:51.090 --> 00:22:51.970 at the end of all
NOTE Confidence: 0.98082566

00:22:51.970 --> 00:22:52.790 of the talks,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9206099

00:22:53.330 --> 00:22:55.090 but certainly along the way,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9206099

00:22:55.090 --> 00:22:56.369 feel free to enter them
NOTE Confidence: 0.9206099

00:22:56.369 --> 00:22:57.010 into the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972878
00:22:58.065 --> 00:22:58.804 the chat.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99183583
00:22:59.184 --> 00:23:01.125 The panelists do have the
NOTE Confidence: 0.99183583
00:23:01.265 --> 00:23:02.885 option to respond,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9969801
00:23:03.505 --> 00:23:05.044 in the chat as well,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9938188
00:23:05.904 --> 00:23:07.585 if they feel so so
NOTE Confidence: 0.9938188
00:23:07.585 --> 00:23:08.085 compelled.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8880636
00:23:10.359 --> 00:23:11.640 On switching gears, I'm gonna
NOTE Confidence: 0.8880636
00:23:11.640 --> 00:23:12.140 talk,
NOTE Confidence: 0.83345175
00:23:12.600 --> 00:23:13.100 about,
NOTE Confidence: 0.90530956
00:23:15.320 --> 00:23:16.059 the chemotherapy.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9971094
00:23:17.880 --> 00:23:19.980 And I wanted to focus
NOTE Confidence: 0.89150393
00:23:21.960 --> 00:23:23.500 my part of this talk,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9985852
00:23:24.674 --> 00:23:26.375 not on all of chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9543316
00:23:26.914 --> 00:23:27.414 for,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9845389
00:23:27.794 --> 00:23:29.015 metastatic colorectal,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98489815

00:23:29.955 --> 00:23:31.315 cancer, which could take a
NOTE Confidence: 0.98489815

00:23:31.315 --> 00:23:32.054 few hours,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9764543

00:23:32.835 --> 00:23:34.294 but really focusing
NOTE Confidence: 0.9968914

00:23:34.595 --> 00:23:36.900 on a follow-up to doctor
NOTE Confidence: 0.9968914

00:23:36.900 --> 00:23:38.500 Khan's talk in terms of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9968914

00:23:38.500 --> 00:23:39.880 the role of perioperative
NOTE Confidence: 0.9959484

00:23:40.180 --> 00:23:40.680 chemotherapy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99620384

00:23:42.100 --> 00:23:43.560 in patients who are undergoing
NOTE Confidence: 0.99620384

00:23:43.780 --> 00:23:44.280 resection,
NOTE Confidence: 0.993965

00:23:45.540 --> 00:23:46.260 and then,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9997227

00:23:46.580 --> 00:23:47.240 the role
NOTE Confidence: 0.9880821

00:23:47.619 --> 00:23:49.240 of hepatic arterial infusion
NOTE Confidence: 0.9760447

00:23:49.635 --> 00:23:50.994 therapy, both for patients who
NOTE Confidence: 0.9760447

00:23:50.994 --> 00:23:51.895 have had resection
NOTE Confidence: 0.97743464

00:23:52.755 --> 00:23:53.955 as well as patients who
NOTE Confidence: 0.97743464

00:23:53.955 --> 00:23:54.855 are unresectable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829271
00:23:56.195 --> 00:23:57.955 I will emphasize that for
NOTE Confidence: 0.9829271
00:23:57.955 --> 00:23:59.575 patients who are upfront
NOTE Confidence: 0.93197113
00:24:00.035 --> 00:24:00.535 unresectable,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9710162
00:24:02.309 --> 00:24:04.010 or who have extra hepatic
NOTE Confidence: 0.9710162
00:24:04.070 --> 00:24:04.570 disease,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9991388
00:24:06.070 --> 00:24:07.510 it's always important for us
NOTE Confidence: 0.9991388
00:24:07.510 --> 00:24:08.630 to put our best foot
NOTE Confidence: 0.9991388
00:24:08.630 --> 00:24:09.909 forward in terms of our
NOTE Confidence: 0.9991388
00:24:09.909 --> 00:24:12.090 chemotherapy choices based on,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9720893
00:24:13.109 --> 00:24:15.270 the tumor sightedness and and
NOTE Confidence: 0.9720893
00:24:15.270 --> 00:24:16.330 tumor biology
NOTE Confidence: 0.78089684
00:24:16.630 --> 00:24:17.085 and,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98944104
00:24:17.484 --> 00:24:18.544 molecular profiling.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9975344
00:24:19.645 --> 00:24:20.684 I I think there are
NOTE Confidence: 0.9975344
00:24:20.684 --> 00:24:22.385 three important studies,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99067056

00:24:23.085 --> 00:24:23.904 to discuss,
NOTE Confidence: 0.965358
00:24:24.605 --> 00:24:26.385 in the world of perioperative
NOTE Confidence: 0.965358
00:24:26.684 --> 00:24:27.184 chemotherapy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9901069
00:24:29.085 --> 00:24:29.585 EORTC
NOTE Confidence: 0.9962247
00:24:30.125 --> 00:24:31.825 four zero nine eight three
NOTE Confidence: 0.94662094
00:24:32.359 --> 00:24:33.720 or the EPOC study,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9607833
00:24:36.119 --> 00:24:37.900 which was a European study,
NOTE Confidence: 0.93774205
00:24:38.440 --> 00:24:40.700 JCOG zero six zero three,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9826272
00:24:41.080 --> 00:24:42.600 which was a Japanese study
NOTE Confidence: 0.9826272
00:24:42.600 --> 00:24:44.600 that just, reported out its
NOTE Confidence: 0.9826272
00:24:44.600 --> 00:24:45.340 long term,
NOTE Confidence: 0.80906546
00:24:46.520 --> 00:24:47.020 data,
NOTE Confidence: 0.76379466
00:24:48.425 --> 00:24:49.804 in January, and
NOTE Confidence: 0.9638984
00:24:50.345 --> 00:24:52.045 then new epoch,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9993247
00:24:52.505 --> 00:24:54.425 which was a follow-up to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9993247
00:24:54.425 --> 00:24:56.045 the original EORTC

NOTE Confidence: 0.99915075
00:24:56.505 --> 00:24:57.005 study.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9788629
00:25:03.080 --> 00:25:04.440 The reason why I chose
NOTE Confidence: 0.9788629
00:25:04.440 --> 00:25:06.600 these, three studies was that
NOTE Confidence: 0.9788629
00:25:06.600 --> 00:25:07.560 there has been a lot
NOTE Confidence: 0.9788629
00:25:07.560 --> 00:25:08.220 of work
NOTE Confidence: 0.9632003
00:25:08.760 --> 00:25:09.820 that was done,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9486595
00:25:10.840 --> 00:25:12.300 prior to those studies.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8922348
00:25:14.385 --> 00:25:14.965 Looking at,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99981374
00:25:15.744 --> 00:25:16.244 chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9940703
00:25:16.705 --> 00:25:17.605 and surgery,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99036455
00:25:18.145 --> 00:25:19.345 some studies used,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97774965
00:25:19.744 --> 00:25:21.205 hepatic arterial infusion.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98845667
00:25:23.105 --> 00:25:24.145 The flaw in all of
NOTE Confidence: 0.98845667
00:25:24.145 --> 00:25:25.424 these studies is that they
NOTE Confidence: 0.98845667
00:25:25.424 --> 00:25:27.525 tended to be poorly powered,
NOTE Confidence: 0.985626

00:25:28.225 --> 00:25:29.580 to answer the question
NOTE Confidence: 0.98181176

00:25:30.119 --> 00:25:31.259 or had incomplete
NOTE Confidence: 0.9839854

00:25:32.119 --> 00:25:32.619 accrual.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98146296

00:25:33.559 --> 00:25:34.059 But
NOTE Confidence: 0.991615

00:25:34.359 --> 00:25:35.240 if you look at the
NOTE Confidence: 0.991615

00:25:35.240 --> 00:25:36.940 general trend of that data,
NOTE Confidence: 0.991615

00:25:37.080 --> 00:25:38.279 we do see that there
NOTE Confidence: 0.991615

00:25:38.279 --> 00:25:40.279 is improvements in progression free
NOTE Confidence: 0.991615

00:25:40.279 --> 00:25:40.779 survival,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94576734

00:25:42.035 --> 00:25:43.955 but not improvements in median
NOTE Confidence: 0.94576734

00:25:43.955 --> 00:25:44.934 overall survival.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99583906

00:25:46.595 --> 00:25:47.975 And so EORTC
NOTE Confidence: 0.99776614

00:25:48.595 --> 00:25:50.054 four zero nine eight three
NOTE Confidence: 0.996172

00:25:50.434 --> 00:25:51.414 looked at perioperative
NOTE Confidence: 0.99966955

00:25:51.715 --> 00:25:52.215 chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.97403896

00:25:52.595 --> 00:25:53.335 with FOLFOX

NOTE Confidence: 0.9957013
00:25:54.035 --> 00:25:54.695 four, a
NOTE Confidence: 0.9576763
00:25:55.170 --> 00:25:57.270 modern regimen incorporating oxaliplatin,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8994909
00:25:57.810 --> 00:25:59.570 whereas prior studies used five
NOTE Confidence: 0.8994909
00:25:59.570 --> 00:26:00.470 f u alone,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99506557
00:26:01.490 --> 00:26:03.810 and surgery versus surgery alone
NOTE Confidence: 0.99506557
00:26:03.810 --> 00:26:05.270 in patients who had
NOTE Confidence: 0.96860504
00:26:05.970 --> 00:26:07.510 resectable liver metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.7412618
00:26:08.369 --> 00:26:09.030 This study
NOTE Confidence: 0.9977757
00:26:09.675 --> 00:26:11.275 enrolled three hundred and sixty
NOTE Confidence: 0.9977757
00:26:11.275 --> 00:26:12.715 four patients with up to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9977757
00:26:12.715 --> 00:26:13.855 four liver metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99372715
00:26:15.115 --> 00:26:16.795 All patients had previously had
NOTE Confidence: 0.99372715
00:26:16.795 --> 00:26:18.575 their primary tumor resected.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9841298
00:26:19.675 --> 00:26:22.015 Patients received either surgery alone
NOTE Confidence: 0.9841298
00:26:22.235 --> 00:26:24.415 or six cycles of FOLFOX,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9762545

00:26:25.630 --> 00:26:26.130 before,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9627137
00:26:26.510 --> 00:26:28.290 surgery and then an additional
NOTE Confidence: 0.9627137
00:26:28.350 --> 00:26:29.250 six after.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9780708
00:26:29.869 --> 00:26:31.869 The primary endpoint was progression
NOTE Confidence: 0.9780708
00:26:31.869 --> 00:26:32.609 free survival.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9901914
00:26:34.109 --> 00:26:35.309 A hundred and eighty two
NOTE Confidence: 0.9901914
00:26:35.309 --> 00:26:37.470 patients were enrolled to each
NOTE Confidence: 0.9901914
00:26:37.470 --> 00:26:37.869 arm.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9980375
00:26:38.270 --> 00:26:39.549 A hundred and seventy one
NOTE Confidence: 0.9980375
00:26:39.549 --> 00:26:40.750 in each arm turned out
NOTE Confidence: 0.9980375
00:26:40.750 --> 00:26:41.175 to be
NOTE Confidence: 0.986895
00:26:42.135 --> 00:26:44.775 eligible. Patients were evenly matched
NOTE Confidence: 0.986895
00:26:44.775 --> 00:26:46.615 for stage, number of liver
NOTE Confidence: 0.986895
00:26:46.615 --> 00:26:47.115 metastases,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99691284
00:26:47.655 --> 00:26:49.575 the disease free interval between
NOTE Confidence: 0.99691284
00:26:49.575 --> 00:26:50.795 their primary tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835517
00:26:51.735 --> 00:26:53.675 and, metastatic disease,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9786426
00:26:54.600 --> 00:26:55.340 prior treatments,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98054874
00:26:55.799 --> 00:26:56.619 and CEA.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99878526
00:26:58.119 --> 00:26:59.160 In the group that got
NOTE Confidence: 0.99878526
00:26:59.160 --> 00:26:59.660 perioperative
NOTE Confidence: 0.99739814
00:27:00.040 --> 00:27:00.540 chemotherapy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9703552
00:27:01.640 --> 00:27:03.720 eighty or eighty percent completed
NOTE Confidence: 0.9703552
00:27:03.720 --> 00:27:05.260 their preoperative treatment,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99930733
00:27:05.720 --> 00:27:07.400 with ninety two percent dose
NOTE Confidence: 0.99930733
00:27:07.400 --> 00:27:07.900 intensity
NOTE Confidence: 0.99830264
00:27:08.885 --> 00:27:10.244 and a response rate of
NOTE Confidence: 0.99830264
00:27:10.244 --> 00:27:11.304 forty three percent,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9691062
00:27:11.924 --> 00:27:13.465 with three percent CR,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9790024
00:27:14.484 --> 00:27:16.325 a number that corresponds with
NOTE Confidence: 0.9790024
00:27:16.325 --> 00:27:17.845 what we usually see with
NOTE Confidence: 0.9790024

00:27:17.845 --> 00:27:18.904 FOLFOX chemotherapy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99244225

00:27:19.924 --> 00:27:21.544 and seven percent progressed
NOTE Confidence: 0.93111897

00:27:21.845 --> 00:27:22.505 on their,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9922076

00:27:23.020 --> 00:27:24.240 preoperative treatment.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97913814

00:27:25.100 --> 00:27:26.700 Ultimately, of the hundred and
NOTE Confidence: 0.97913814

00:27:26.700 --> 00:27:28.160 seventy one who are eligible,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97913814

00:27:28.220 --> 00:27:29.580 a hundred and fifty nine
NOTE Confidence: 0.97913814

00:27:29.580 --> 00:27:30.800 went on to surgery,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99830323

00:27:31.580 --> 00:27:33.340 but only forty six percent
NOTE Confidence: 0.99830323

00:27:33.340 --> 00:27:34.700 were able to complete their
NOTE Confidence: 0.99830323

00:27:34.700 --> 00:27:35.200 postoperative
NOTE Confidence: 0.9995431

00:27:35.500 --> 00:27:36.000 chemotherapy.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99814785

00:27:37.365 --> 00:27:38.725 For the surgery alone group,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99814785

00:27:38.725 --> 00:27:40.085 a hundred and seventy out
NOTE Confidence: 0.99814785

00:27:40.085 --> 00:27:41.785 of the one seventy one,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9964569

00:27:42.484 --> 00:27:43.705 went on to surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970304

00:27:45.605 --> 00:27:47.785 And so the the progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970304

00:27:47.925 --> 00:27:49.685 free survival was the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970304

00:27:49.685 --> 00:27:51.065 data that was presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941236

00:27:52.170 --> 00:27:53.630 They broke it up into,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988776

00:27:54.650 --> 00:27:55.150 multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.93882954

00:27:55.850 --> 00:27:57.930 subsets looking at all those

NOTE Confidence: 0.93882954

00:27:57.930 --> 00:27:58.590 who were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9725584

00:27:59.450 --> 00:28:01.610 who were randomly assigned, patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9725584

00:28:01.610 --> 00:28:02.810 who were eligible, and then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9725584

00:28:02.810 --> 00:28:04.430 ultimately, the patients who underwent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9725584

00:28:04.650 --> 00:28:05.150 resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97074777

00:28:06.494 --> 00:28:08.755 But it's a consistent theme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97074777

00:28:08.975 --> 00:28:10.975 which is that, the three

NOTE Confidence: 0.97074777

00:28:10.975 --> 00:28:12.595 year progression free survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984464

00:28:13.775 --> 00:28:15.455 increased by about seven and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984464

00:28:15.455 --> 00:28:16.435 a half percent
NOTE Confidence: 0.9812586

00:28:17.135 --> 00:28:18.415 from twenty eight point one
NOTE Confidence: 0.9812586

00:28:18.415 --> 00:28:20.255 percent to thirty five point
NOTE Confidence: 0.9812586

00:28:20.255 --> 00:28:21.075 four percent,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99893

00:28:21.640 --> 00:28:23.660 and median progression free survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.99893

00:28:23.799 --> 00:28:25.980 improved from eleven point seven
NOTE Confidence: 0.9993496

00:28:26.440 --> 00:28:28.299 to eighteen point seven months.
NOTE Confidence: 0.991195

00:28:29.160 --> 00:28:30.040 And so this,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9200166

00:28:31.000 --> 00:28:32.540 PFS data was,
NOTE Confidence: 0.965464

00:28:33.695 --> 00:28:36.434 was presented initially, and perioperative
NOTE Confidence: 0.99982697

00:28:36.815 --> 00:28:37.315 chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9721997

00:28:37.695 --> 00:28:38.915 based on this study,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99934596

00:28:39.855 --> 00:28:41.795 really became a standard approach.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99837893

00:28:43.855 --> 00:28:45.215 What they saw in this
NOTE Confidence: 0.99837893

00:28:45.215 --> 00:28:46.950 study is that the predictors
NOTE Confidence: 0.99837893

00:28:47.010 --> 00:28:49.350 of benefit from perioperative chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.99857557
00:28:49.730 --> 00:28:50.230 included
NOTE Confidence: 0.98769605
00:28:50.610 --> 00:28:51.830 patients who had a CEA
NOTE Confidence: 0.99571204
00:28:52.210 --> 00:28:53.750 level greater than five,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9980549
00:28:54.850 --> 00:28:56.390 a good performance status,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97266567
00:28:56.930 --> 00:28:58.550 BMI less than thirty.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9659542
00:28:59.105 --> 00:29:00.545 But, interestingly, the number of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9659542
00:29:00.545 --> 00:29:01.445 liver metastases,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9984172
00:29:01.905 --> 00:29:03.265 whether it was one or
NOTE Confidence: 0.9984172
00:29:03.265 --> 00:29:03.765 four,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9955037
00:29:04.305 --> 00:29:06.085 did not predict the chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9955037
00:29:06.385 --> 00:29:06.885 benefit.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9854791
00:29:09.185 --> 00:29:10.965 But, alas, when they published
NOTE Confidence: 0.9854791
00:29:11.025 --> 00:29:12.785 the long term results in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9854791
00:29:12.785 --> 00:29:13.685 twenty thirteen,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9526377
00:29:14.779 --> 00:29:16.539 that disease that improvement in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9526377

00:29:16.539 --> 00:29:17.600 disease free survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.9949171

00:29:18.460 --> 00:29:20.140 did not translate into an
NOTE Confidence: 0.9949171

00:29:20.140 --> 00:29:21.760 overall survival advantage,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99921507

00:29:23.419 --> 00:29:24.940 presumed to be related to
NOTE Confidence: 0.99921507

00:29:24.940 --> 00:29:25.919 the secondary
NOTE Confidence: 0.99226373

00:29:26.220 --> 00:29:27.760 therapies that these patients,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9996307

00:29:28.380 --> 00:29:28.880 received.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99773705

00:29:31.615 --> 00:29:32.815 One of the questions that
NOTE Confidence: 0.99773705

00:29:32.815 --> 00:29:33.555 comes up,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9986234

00:29:34.095 --> 00:29:35.395 when we think about,
NOTE Confidence: 0.995792

00:29:36.015 --> 00:29:37.635 giving preoperative chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9940184

00:29:38.015 --> 00:29:39.955 is whether that enhances surgical
NOTE Confidence: 0.9940184

00:29:40.015 --> 00:29:40.515 morbidity.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99930584

00:29:41.935 --> 00:29:43.715 The risk of surgical complications
NOTE Confidence: 0.9996756

00:29:44.175 --> 00:29:45.155 does increase
NOTE Confidence: 0.9906672

00:29:45.520 --> 00:29:46.640 with the number of cycles

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906672

00:29:46.640 --> 00:29:48.980 of chemotherapy that patients receive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99658406

00:29:49.920 --> 00:29:51.140 But if it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99979705

00:29:51.600 --> 00:29:52.100 below

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964866

00:29:52.400 --> 00:29:54.160 six or below, it tends

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964866

00:29:54.160 --> 00:29:54.820 to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9753833

00:29:55.840 --> 00:29:57.120 safe, which is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986912

00:29:57.920 --> 00:30:00.400 why our surgical colleagues often

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986912

00:30:00.400 --> 00:30:01.059 ask us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969054

00:30:01.435 --> 00:30:02.575 to limit any,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9844195

00:30:03.355 --> 00:30:04.495 preoperative chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.99697936

00:30:04.955 --> 00:30:06.495 to that three month range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979925

00:30:10.235 --> 00:30:11.935 Just this past January,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78363603

00:30:13.195 --> 00:30:15.275 j cog zero six zero

NOTE Confidence: 0.78363603

00:30:15.275 --> 00:30:15.775 three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99015975

00:30:16.780 --> 00:30:18.800 presented their long term follow-up

NOTE Confidence: 0.99015975

00:30:18.860 --> 00:30:19.760 of this randomized,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96660835
00:30:20.700 --> 00:30:22.480 trial comparing hepatectomy
NOTE Confidence: 0.960171
00:30:23.820 --> 00:30:26.220 followed by FOLFOX six with
NOTE Confidence: 0.960171
00:30:26.220 --> 00:30:26.720 hepatectomy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9996586
00:30:27.100 --> 00:30:27.600 alone.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9521357
00:30:28.395 --> 00:30:29.835 This study was designed a
NOTE Confidence: 0.9521357
00:30:29.835 --> 00:30:31.435 little bit differently in that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96424896
00:30:31.835 --> 00:30:34.095 all patients had upfront hepatectomy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99910426
00:30:35.275 --> 00:30:36.575 and then the investigational
NOTE Confidence: 0.9960326
00:30:36.955 --> 00:30:38.495 group received their chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.99986416
00:30:39.355 --> 00:30:40.255 after surgery.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99567896
00:30:42.020 --> 00:30:43.860 The primary endpoint was disease
NOTE Confidence: 0.99567896
00:30:43.860 --> 00:30:44.760 free survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.9864734
00:30:45.539 --> 00:30:47.780 with a planned secondary endpoint
NOTE Confidence: 0.9864734
00:30:47.780 --> 00:30:49.000 of overall survival.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9804619
00:30:49.860 --> 00:30:51.620 It enrolled three hundred patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9804619
00:30:51.620 --> 00:30:52.659 in a one to one
NOTE Confidence: 0.9804619
00:30:52.659 --> 00:30:53.159 fashion.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9941182
00:30:54.445 --> 00:30:56.045 To be eligible, the patients
NOTE Confidence: 0.9941182
00:30:56.045 --> 00:30:57.165 had to have their primary
NOTE Confidence: 0.9941182
00:30:57.165 --> 00:30:58.925 tumor removed and could not
NOTE Confidence: 0.9941182
00:30:58.925 --> 00:31:00.785 have received prior oxaliplatin.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9992477
00:31:03.325 --> 00:31:04.625 But it is a similar
NOTE Confidence: 0.9992477
00:31:04.685 --> 00:31:06.365 theme to what we saw
NOTE Confidence: 0.9992477
00:31:06.365 --> 00:31:07.425 in EORTC
NOTE Confidence: 0.98975545
00:31:07.805 --> 00:31:08.305 study
NOTE Confidence: 0.97470504
00:31:08.870 --> 00:31:09.770 In the upper,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9923067
00:31:12.470 --> 00:31:14.310 tables, we see that, the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9923067
00:31:14.310 --> 00:31:16.410 overall survival was no different
NOTE Confidence: 0.9923067
00:31:16.470 --> 00:31:18.490 in those patients who received
NOTE Confidence: 0.9923067
00:31:18.550 --> 00:31:19.050 postoperative
NOTE Confidence: 0.9999279

00:31:19.350 --> 00:31:19.850 chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.8865838

00:31:21.110 --> 00:31:21.930 even though,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9996515

00:31:22.390 --> 00:31:23.690 disease free survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.9564702

00:31:24.565 --> 00:31:26.085 was in fact improved with
NOTE Confidence: 0.9564702

00:31:26.085 --> 00:31:27.225 the use of perioperative
NOTE Confidence: 0.8984871

00:31:27.525 --> 00:31:28.025 chemotherapy.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99885285

00:31:28.325 --> 00:31:29.785 So results that really
NOTE Confidence: 0.9986825

00:31:30.405 --> 00:31:30.905 corroborate,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99906856

00:31:31.605 --> 00:31:32.505 what we saw,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9997115

00:31:33.365 --> 00:31:34.985 from the European cohort.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9989481

00:31:39.120 --> 00:31:39.620 Lastly,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99501306

00:31:40.080 --> 00:31:41.620 I wanted to talk about,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8952207

00:31:42.320 --> 00:31:43.299 new epoch,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99962807

00:31:44.159 --> 00:31:44.980 which was
NOTE Confidence: 0.9440977

00:31:45.760 --> 00:31:47.940 because the original epoch study
NOTE Confidence: 0.95671314

00:31:48.240 --> 00:31:49.919 showed those benefits in disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.95671314
00:31:49.919 --> 00:31:51.679 free survival. This study was
NOTE Confidence: 0.95671314
00:31:51.679 --> 00:31:52.500 then designed,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98435473
00:31:53.895 --> 00:31:56.155 where all patients were received
NOTE Confidence: 0.98435473
00:31:56.215 --> 00:31:56.715 perioperative
NOTE Confidence: 0.8337319
00:31:57.095 --> 00:31:57.595 Fofox.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9938953
00:31:59.015 --> 00:32:00.295 Two hundred and fifty seven
NOTE Confidence: 0.9938953
00:32:00.295 --> 00:32:02.135 KRAS wild type patients were
NOTE Confidence: 0.9938953
00:32:02.135 --> 00:32:02.635 then
NOTE Confidence: 0.9993232
00:32:03.175 --> 00:32:05.115 randomized to receive Fofox
NOTE Confidence: 0.9861533
00:32:05.575 --> 00:32:06.635 with or without,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98221564
00:32:07.735 --> 00:32:08.235 cetuximab.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99969846
00:32:11.630 --> 00:32:13.010 And this study showed
NOTE Confidence: 0.99826103
00:32:13.310 --> 00:32:15.150 surprising results. It showed that
NOTE Confidence: 0.99826103
00:32:15.150 --> 00:32:17.010 the median overall survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.99439627
00:32:17.950 --> 00:32:19.710 was actually better in the
NOTE Confidence: 0.99439627

00:32:19.710 --> 00:32:20.990 group that did not get
NOTE Confidence: 0.99439627

00:32:20.990 --> 00:32:21.490 cetuximab,
NOTE Confidence: 0.998938

00:32:22.435 --> 00:32:24.275 eighty one months versus fifty
NOTE Confidence: 0.998938

00:32:24.275 --> 00:32:25.735 five point four months.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9728324

00:32:26.835 --> 00:32:27.795 As we know, in the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9728324

00:32:27.795 --> 00:32:30.535 metastatic setting, the addition of,
NOTE Confidence: 0.985492

00:32:31.555 --> 00:32:34.695 cetuximab or, anti EGFR therapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.985492

00:32:34.835 --> 00:32:36.695 in patients with metastatic disease
NOTE Confidence: 0.985492

00:32:36.835 --> 00:32:38.215 has been shown to improve
NOTE Confidence: 0.90342045

00:32:39.130 --> 00:32:39.610 survival,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9699583

00:32:40.090 --> 00:32:41.210 but we do not see
NOTE Confidence: 0.9699583

00:32:41.210 --> 00:32:42.030 that in,
NOTE Confidence: 0.935995

00:32:42.890 --> 00:32:44.970 in this resected population. We
NOTE Confidence: 0.935995

00:32:44.970 --> 00:32:45.950 did not see,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99815136

00:32:47.210 --> 00:32:49.210 a benefit in progression free
NOTE Confidence: 0.99815136

00:32:49.210 --> 00:32:50.490 survival as we had in

NOTE Confidence: 0.99815136
00:32:50.490 --> 00:32:51.390 the other studies.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99951667
00:32:52.355 --> 00:32:53.715 So this certainly gives us
NOTE Confidence: 0.99951667
00:32:53.715 --> 00:32:54.455 some pause
NOTE Confidence: 0.9841476
00:32:55.235 --> 00:32:56.055 about using,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9909457
00:32:56.675 --> 00:32:57.175 cetuximab
NOTE Confidence: 0.9481896
00:32:57.555 --> 00:32:58.595 in patients that we,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99660695
00:33:00.435 --> 00:33:02.375 think going into their treatment
NOTE Confidence: 0.99660695
00:33:02.515 --> 00:33:04.535 already have resectable disease.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98557407
00:33:08.580 --> 00:33:10.360 Trying to explain this unexpected,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9973205
00:33:11.220 --> 00:33:13.399 result is has been challenging.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9973205
00:33:13.620 --> 00:33:15.080 There are small differences
NOTE Confidence: 0.9886512
00:33:15.860 --> 00:33:17.320 in the resection rates,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99964356
00:33:17.860 --> 00:33:19.159 between the two groups.
NOTE Confidence: 0.998179
00:33:19.804 --> 00:33:21.345 There was similar toxicity.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9695267
00:33:23.085 --> 00:33:24.445 In the group that received
NOTE Confidence: 0.9695267

00:33:24.445 --> 00:33:24.945 cetuximab,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9705386

00:33:25.325 --> 00:33:26.605 there was a higher number
NOTE Confidence: 0.9705386

00:33:26.605 --> 00:33:28.225 who had presented with synchronous
NOTE Confidence: 0.9705386

00:33:28.285 --> 00:33:29.645 METs and a higher number
NOTE Confidence: 0.9705386

00:33:29.645 --> 00:33:31.085 that had presented with large
NOTE Confidence: 0.9705386

00:33:31.085 --> 00:33:31.585 metastases,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9986445

00:33:32.850 --> 00:33:34.050 both of which are risk
NOTE Confidence: 0.9986445

00:33:34.050 --> 00:33:35.510 factors for relapse
NOTE Confidence: 0.9764863

00:33:35.970 --> 00:33:37.110 of, metastatic
NOTE Confidence: 0.7645141

00:33:37.410 --> 00:33:37.910 disease.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99799216

00:33:38.850 --> 00:33:39.350 And
NOTE Confidence: 0.9857899

00:33:39.730 --> 00:33:41.430 a higher number, although relatively
NOTE Confidence: 0.9857899

00:33:41.730 --> 00:33:42.710 small, had,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8740389

00:33:43.250 --> 00:33:44.630 extra hepatic disease.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9860097

00:33:45.645 --> 00:33:46.305 But the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9955654

00:33:46.925 --> 00:33:48.225 when they did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99182665
00:33:49.485 --> 00:33:49.985 analysis,
NOTE Confidence: 0.998864
00:33:50.285 --> 00:33:51.645 they saw that the detriment
NOTE Confidence: 0.998864
00:33:51.645 --> 00:33:53.425 was actually in the favorable
NOTE Confidence: 0.998864
00:33:53.565 --> 00:33:55.245 risk groups and not in
NOTE Confidence: 0.998864
00:33:55.245 --> 00:33:56.865 these higher risk groups.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9980472
00:34:00.250 --> 00:34:01.550 And so if
NOTE Confidence: 0.7821252
00:34:02.250 --> 00:34:02.750 chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9530387
00:34:03.050 --> 00:34:03.550 alone,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9944058
00:34:05.050 --> 00:34:06.830 has not shown to improve
NOTE Confidence: 0.9944058
00:34:06.970 --> 00:34:07.950 overall survival,
NOTE Confidence: 0.95647895
00:34:09.130 --> 00:34:10.330 although I would argue that
NOTE Confidence: 0.95647895
00:34:10.330 --> 00:34:12.170 improvements in disease free survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.95647895
00:34:12.170 --> 00:34:13.390 is in fact a meaningful
NOTE Confidence: 0.95647895
00:34:13.450 --> 00:34:13.950 benefit.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99880373
00:34:14.594 --> 00:34:16.375 But if the chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9597434

00:34:16.675 --> 00:34:18.455 hasn't improved overall survival,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99332017

00:34:19.715 --> 00:34:20.994 it raises the question of
NOTE Confidence: 0.99332017

00:34:20.994 --> 00:34:22.355 what else can we do
NOTE Confidence: 0.99332017

00:34:22.355 --> 00:34:24.535 that might improve those opportunities.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9967118

00:34:25.795 --> 00:34:27.094 And so that's where,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9675391

00:34:27.795 --> 00:34:29.474 the role of hepatic arterial
NOTE Confidence: 0.9675391

00:34:29.474 --> 00:34:29.974 infusion,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9814956

00:34:31.050 --> 00:34:33.070 really has its applications in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9814956

00:34:33.290 --> 00:34:34.570 in these this group of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9814956

00:34:34.570 --> 00:34:35.070 patients.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99899864

00:34:35.930 --> 00:34:37.870 For patients that have unresectable
NOTE Confidence: 0.9992529

00:34:38.250 --> 00:34:38.750 disease,
NOTE Confidence: 0.983892

00:34:39.450 --> 00:34:40.190 it can,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9987998

00:34:40.730 --> 00:34:42.030 reduce tumor burden
NOTE Confidence: 0.8945341

00:34:42.489 --> 00:34:42.989 and
NOTE Confidence: 0.8837127

00:34:43.475 --> 00:34:45.475 and potentially convert patients to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837127
00:34:45.475 --> 00:34:46.695 a receptacle disease,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9647039
00:34:48.114 --> 00:34:49.555 and it could actually improve
NOTE Confidence: 0.9647039
00:34:49.555 --> 00:34:51.975 survival even independent of that
NOTE Confidence: 0.9647039
00:34:52.035 --> 00:34:52.535 receptibility.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99585265
00:34:53.875 --> 00:34:55.475 In the adjuvant setting, it
NOTE Confidence: 0.99585265
00:34:55.475 --> 00:34:55.975 can,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9789946
00:34:56.750 --> 00:34:58.270 it may reduce the likelihood
NOTE Confidence: 0.9789946
00:34:58.270 --> 00:34:59.890 of recurrence post resection,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9901259
00:35:01.550 --> 00:35:02.930 and it has shown,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97075427
00:35:03.469 --> 00:35:05.410 improvements in two year survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.9985204
00:35:05.710 --> 00:35:07.170 in a randomized trial.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99183863
00:35:08.905 --> 00:35:10.665 Admittedly, that study is an
NOTE Confidence: 0.99183863
00:35:10.665 --> 00:35:12.585 old study, and additional work
NOTE Confidence: 0.99183863
00:35:12.585 --> 00:35:13.645 needs to be done.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9664037
00:35:16.185 --> 00:35:17.805 As doctor Khan already,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9966182

00:35:18.905 --> 00:35:19.405 reviewed,
NOTE Confidence: 0.973877

00:35:20.505 --> 00:35:22.580 the the general premise of
NOTE Confidence: 0.973877

00:35:22.580 --> 00:35:24.900 hepatic arterial infusion therapy is
NOTE Confidence: 0.973877

00:35:24.900 --> 00:35:25.400 that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97692174

00:35:26.420 --> 00:35:28.280 very high doses of floxiridine,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9847321

00:35:29.380 --> 00:35:30.920 which is the active metabolite
NOTE Confidence: 0.9847321

00:35:31.060 --> 00:35:32.120 of five f u,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9995406

00:35:32.820 --> 00:35:34.180 can be infused into the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9995406

00:35:34.180 --> 00:35:34.680 liver
NOTE Confidence: 0.9969623

00:35:36.575 --> 00:35:37.315 at concentrations
NOTE Confidence: 0.9997792

00:35:37.614 --> 00:35:39.134 that are four hundred times
NOTE Confidence: 0.9997792

00:35:39.134 --> 00:35:39.634 that
NOTE Confidence: 0.9201141

00:35:40.255 --> 00:35:41.714 of intravenous infusion,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9980696

00:35:42.654 --> 00:35:44.355 but because it gets metabolized
NOTE Confidence: 0.9980696

00:35:44.575 --> 00:35:45.315 so quickly,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9888409

00:35:46.174 --> 00:35:47.234 we don't see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990458
00:35:47.934 --> 00:35:49.315 the systemic toxicity.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9984226
00:35:53.039 --> 00:35:54.660 In the adjuvant setting,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9991399
00:35:55.279 --> 00:35:56.980 this was a study from
NOTE Confidence: 0.9991399
00:35:57.200 --> 00:35:58.500 nineteen ninety nine.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9971237
00:36:00.000 --> 00:36:01.279 It is now twenty seven
NOTE Confidence: 0.9971237
00:36:01.279 --> 00:36:02.400 years later, and this is
NOTE Confidence: 0.9971237
00:36:02.400 --> 00:36:04.559 still the best study that
NOTE Confidence: 0.9971237
00:36:04.559 --> 00:36:05.299 we have
NOTE Confidence: 0.9818572
00:36:05.945 --> 00:36:07.065 that showed in a hundred
NOTE Confidence: 0.9818572
00:36:07.065 --> 00:36:08.445 and fifty six patients,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9490572
00:36:10.344 --> 00:36:12.925 chemo overall survival was improved
NOTE Confidence: 0.9490572
00:36:13.145 --> 00:36:15.005 in patients who had surgery
NOTE Confidence: 0.99168426
00:36:15.305 --> 00:36:16.285 followed by
NOTE Confidence: 0.9733642
00:36:17.065 --> 00:36:19.305 HAI with systemic five f
NOTE Confidence: 0.9733642
00:36:19.305 --> 00:36:19.965 u alone
NOTE Confidence: 0.98029166

00:36:20.650 --> 00:36:22.350 versus five f u alone,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9890197

00:36:23.370 --> 00:36:25.390 and that this study improved,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9808997

00:36:28.170 --> 00:36:29.390 specifically liver,
NOTE Confidence: 0.90504384

00:36:30.489 --> 00:36:30.989 recurrence.
NOTE Confidence: 0.95778054

00:36:33.645 --> 00:36:34.545 Patients who,
NOTE Confidence: 0.987358

00:36:36.045 --> 00:36:38.125 could might still develop extra
NOTE Confidence: 0.987358

00:36:38.125 --> 00:36:39.965 hepatic disease, which was often
NOTE Confidence: 0.987358

00:36:39.965 --> 00:36:41.405 a cause of mortality in
NOTE Confidence: 0.987358

00:36:41.405 --> 00:36:42.145 this group.
NOTE Confidence: 0.90729713

00:36:46.750 --> 00:36:49.310 And looking at that to
NOTE Confidence: 0.90729713

00:36:49.310 --> 00:36:50.530 how this translated
NOTE Confidence: 0.99971944

00:36:50.830 --> 00:36:51.330 to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9720548

00:36:51.950 --> 00:36:53.170 potential cure,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9782777

00:36:54.750 --> 00:36:55.570 there was,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9973763

00:36:56.190 --> 00:36:57.650 the group from the Netherlands
NOTE Confidence: 0.9974971

00:36:59.445 --> 00:37:01.285 that reported out their single

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974971
00:37:01.285 --> 00:37:02.505 center experience,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96300226
00:37:04.245 --> 00:37:04.745 of,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9188901
00:37:05.285 --> 00:37:06.185 twenty three,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9989389
00:37:06.565 --> 00:37:08.185 over twenty three hundred patients,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99803424
00:37:09.525 --> 00:37:11.605 who got systemic chemo plus
NOTE Confidence: 0.99803424
00:37:11.605 --> 00:37:12.105 HAI
NOTE Confidence: 0.9995508
00:37:13.050 --> 00:37:14.510 versus systemic chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.99304795
00:37:14.890 --> 00:37:15.390 alone,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9970817
00:37:16.489 --> 00:37:18.430 and showed a marked improvement
NOTE Confidence: 0.9970817
00:37:18.650 --> 00:37:20.170 in the ten year survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.9970817
00:37:20.170 --> 00:37:21.790 rate from twenty three,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96625847
00:37:22.570 --> 00:37:23.870 to thirty eight percent.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9037266
00:37:25.895 --> 00:37:27.494 And this was both with
NOTE Confidence: 0.9037266
00:37:27.494 --> 00:37:29.094 five f u alone as
NOTE Confidence: 0.9037266
00:37:29.094 --> 00:37:30.375 their dataset went all the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9037266

00:37:30.375 --> 00:37:31.494 way back to nineteen ninety
NOTE Confidence: 0.9037266

00:37:31.494 --> 00:37:32.614 two as well as with
NOTE Confidence: 0.9037266

00:37:32.614 --> 00:37:34.055 the addition of arino t
NOTE Confidence: 0.9037266

00:37:34.055 --> 00:37:34.715 can and,
NOTE Confidence: 0.89889926

00:37:36.135 --> 00:37:36.635 oxaliplatin.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9767922

00:37:37.255 --> 00:37:37.994 And so,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99364114

00:37:38.730 --> 00:37:40.650 there remains interest in this
NOTE Confidence: 0.99364114

00:37:40.650 --> 00:37:41.150 approach,
NOTE Confidence: 0.95226955

00:37:41.610 --> 00:37:42.170 to help,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9714891

00:37:42.489 --> 00:37:44.270 reduce the risk of,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98108464

00:37:44.890 --> 00:37:46.650 recurrence and improve survival in
NOTE Confidence: 0.98108464

00:37:46.650 --> 00:37:47.390 this population.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9951418

00:37:50.650 --> 00:37:53.105 Many patients are not resectable
NOTE Confidence: 0.96480274

00:37:53.405 --> 00:37:54.705 at the time of,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9980967

00:37:56.925 --> 00:37:58.785 of their presentation with metastatic
NOTE Confidence: 0.9980967

00:37:59.005 --> 00:37:59.505 disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845201
00:38:00.364 --> 00:38:02.205 We certainly have a number
NOTE Confidence: 0.9845201
00:38:02.205 --> 00:38:03.025 of chemotherapy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97086024
00:38:04.285 --> 00:38:06.205 choices in the in those
NOTE Confidence: 0.97086024
00:38:06.205 --> 00:38:06.705 patients,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98436826
00:38:07.910 --> 00:38:09.050 especially if,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99927247
00:38:11.750 --> 00:38:13.290 we understand their molecular
NOTE Confidence: 0.91448563
00:38:13.590 --> 00:38:14.090 profile.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99220157
00:38:15.270 --> 00:38:16.710 But we have seen with
NOTE Confidence: 0.99220157
00:38:16.710 --> 00:38:18.250 the addition of,
NOTE Confidence: 0.93174595
00:38:18.710 --> 00:38:20.170 hepatic arterial infusion
NOTE Confidence: 0.77696764
00:38:20.630 --> 00:38:21.130 to,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99194586
00:38:22.235 --> 00:38:22.735 chemotherapy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9921009
00:38:24.555 --> 00:38:25.614 that we can,
NOTE Confidence: 0.996962
00:38:26.155 --> 00:38:27.675 induce a response rate of
NOTE Confidence: 0.996962
00:38:27.675 --> 00:38:28.895 seventy three percent,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9653114

00:38:29.915 --> 00:38:31.594 up to eighty six percent
NOTE Confidence: 0.9653114

00:38:31.594 --> 00:38:33.114 if this is patient's first
NOTE Confidence: 0.9653114

00:38:33.114 --> 00:38:34.094 line of treatment,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9432408

00:38:34.795 --> 00:38:36.175 less if they've been previously
NOTE Confidence: 0.9432408

00:38:36.395 --> 00:38:36.895 treated.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99828166

00:38:37.610 --> 00:38:39.130 And about half of those
NOTE Confidence: 0.99828166

00:38:39.130 --> 00:38:39.630 patients,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9390544

00:38:40.170 --> 00:38:41.070 in this dataset,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9988589

00:38:42.090 --> 00:38:42.910 were converted
NOTE Confidence: 0.9995674

00:38:43.290 --> 00:38:44.350 to resection.
NOTE Confidence: 0.997163

00:38:45.930 --> 00:38:47.050 Looking at the curve on
NOTE Confidence: 0.997163

00:38:47.050 --> 00:38:48.010 the right, you can see
NOTE Confidence: 0.997163

00:38:48.010 --> 00:38:49.710 that those who underwent resection,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9914417

00:38:50.925 --> 00:38:52.445 have a better survival than
NOTE Confidence: 0.9914417

00:38:52.445 --> 00:38:52.844 those,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99922574

00:38:53.245 --> 00:38:53.985 who don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9579935
00:38:57.245 --> 00:38:57.745 These,
NOTE Confidence: 0.761338
00:38:58.445 --> 00:38:58.945 improvements,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99949586
00:39:01.005 --> 00:39:01.745 in survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.9600156
00:39:02.045 --> 00:39:03.105 have been seen,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9894531
00:39:03.690 --> 00:39:05.210 even in patients that don't
NOTE Confidence: 0.9894531
00:39:05.210 --> 00:39:06.349 go on to have,
NOTE Confidence: 0.930665
00:39:07.210 --> 00:39:08.270 surgical resection.
NOTE Confidence: 0.95031613
00:39:09.930 --> 00:39:11.150 Looking at both,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98947775
00:39:12.650 --> 00:39:14.750 the pump plus modern chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9857603
00:39:15.130 --> 00:39:15.630 regimens,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9772962
00:39:16.055 --> 00:39:17.355 which includes oxaliplatin
NOTE Confidence: 0.9275478
00:39:17.815 --> 00:39:18.635 or arinotecan,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9571226
00:39:20.535 --> 00:39:22.535 with or without biologics. Although
NOTE Confidence: 0.9571226
00:39:22.535 --> 00:39:23.895 I will say for safety
NOTE Confidence: 0.9571226
00:39:23.895 --> 00:39:25.435 reasons, we don't combine
NOTE Confidence: 0.9910161

00:39:26.295 --> 00:39:26.795 bevacizumab,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94740695

00:39:28.055 --> 00:39:30.375 with hepatic arterial infusion therapy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99300593

00:39:31.560 --> 00:39:33.260 because of the marked increase
NOTE Confidence: 0.99300593

00:39:33.480 --> 00:39:33.980 in,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9956194

00:39:34.600 --> 00:39:36.940 biliary sclerosis in these patients.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8808329

00:39:42.360 --> 00:39:43.100 And then,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99637264

00:39:43.775 --> 00:39:45.295 lastly, I just wanna present
NOTE Confidence: 0.99637264

00:39:45.295 --> 00:39:46.815 this group. So this is
NOTE Confidence: 0.99637264

00:39:46.815 --> 00:39:47.315 a,
NOTE Confidence: 0.93841994

00:39:48.655 --> 00:39:50.975 experience from Memorial of patients
NOTE Confidence: 0.93841994

00:39:50.975 --> 00:39:52.355 who were where
NOTE Confidence: 0.9959458

00:39:52.975 --> 00:39:54.114 systemic therapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9866175

00:39:54.655 --> 00:39:56.915 and hepatic arterial infusion therapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9866175

00:39:57.055 --> 00:39:58.460 was their first line of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9866175

00:39:58.460 --> 00:40:00.480 treatment. They presented with unresectable
NOTE Confidence: 0.9281303

00:40:00.859 --> 00:40:01.359 disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98658985
00:40:02.219 --> 00:40:03.760 And in this group, the
NOTE Confidence: 0.98658985
00:40:03.819 --> 00:40:05.920 conversion to resection rate,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9870199
00:40:06.619 --> 00:40:08.619 was sixty percent. And so
NOTE Confidence: 0.9870199
00:40:08.619 --> 00:40:10.140 this is, again, a small
NOTE Confidence: 0.9870199
00:40:10.140 --> 00:40:10.640 cohort,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9842288
00:40:11.864 --> 00:40:12.925 but does show,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96989864
00:40:13.945 --> 00:40:15.484 the potential to,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9772463
00:40:16.105 --> 00:40:16.605 convert,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9888265
00:40:17.065 --> 00:40:17.545 these,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96999604
00:40:17.945 --> 00:40:19.485 these patients to resection.
NOTE Confidence: 0.94679177
00:40:22.585 --> 00:40:23.945 And so it is a
NOTE Confidence: 0.94679177
00:40:23.945 --> 00:40:25.245 a reasonable consideration.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99695635
00:40:27.890 --> 00:40:29.670 For patients that have
NOTE Confidence: 0.99953216
00:40:30.050 --> 00:40:30.550 KRAS
NOTE Confidence: 0.98411626
00:40:31.330 --> 00:40:32.469 wild type disease,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9993482

00:40:34.370 --> 00:40:36.070 we have seen high conversion
NOTE Confidence: 0.9993482

00:40:36.130 --> 00:40:37.989 rates with modern chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.76892334

00:40:38.370 --> 00:40:39.590 regimens like FOLFOXURY
NOTE Confidence: 0.98249876

00:40:41.864 --> 00:40:43.645 as well. And so,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9995963

00:40:44.745 --> 00:40:45.645 one of the
NOTE Confidence: 0.99984336

00:40:45.945 --> 00:40:46.445 important
NOTE Confidence: 0.9894197

00:40:46.825 --> 00:40:48.585 points when I talk about
NOTE Confidence: 0.9894197

00:40:48.585 --> 00:40:49.725 this type of treatment,
NOTE Confidence: 0.89475024

00:40:51.625 --> 00:40:52.364 is that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9361036

00:40:53.610 --> 00:40:55.450 there is still more knowledge
NOTE Confidence: 0.9361036

00:40:55.450 --> 00:40:56.430 that is needed.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97402036

00:40:58.330 --> 00:40:59.830 At Yale, we are part
NOTE Confidence: 0.97402036

00:40:59.830 --> 00:41:02.990 of the, a HAI consortium,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96993196

00:41:03.530 --> 00:41:04.750 which, represents
NOTE Confidence: 0.9202604

00:41:07.585 --> 00:41:08.645 multiple institutions.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98539376

00:41:09.105 --> 00:41:09.844 I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9277387
00:41:10.945 --> 00:41:11.445 over,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9989582
00:41:12.225 --> 00:41:13.285 sixty institutions
NOTE Confidence: 0.9808132
00:41:13.665 --> 00:41:14.645 around the country,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99965715
00:41:15.665 --> 00:41:17.525 that are really trying to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9843557
00:41:17.905 --> 00:41:19.905 take a more collaborative and
NOTE Confidence: 0.9843557
00:41:19.905 --> 00:41:21.765 prospective approach to this
NOTE Confidence: 0.9865243
00:41:22.469 --> 00:41:22.969 data,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9973604
00:41:23.670 --> 00:41:24.790 so that we are not
NOTE Confidence: 0.9973604
00:41:24.790 --> 00:41:25.670 left with,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99835175
00:41:26.070 --> 00:41:27.690 only single center experiences
NOTE Confidence: 0.99625814
00:41:27.989 --> 00:41:29.130 as we move forward.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9474361
00:41:32.790 --> 00:41:34.650 And so in summary, for
NOTE Confidence: 0.9474361
00:41:34.790 --> 00:41:35.290 HAI,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9996944
00:41:36.174 --> 00:41:36.994 for unresectable
NOTE Confidence: 0.95865595
00:41:37.454 --> 00:41:39.635 disease, it can contribute to,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8516054

00:41:41.295 --> 00:41:42.355 conversion there,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9841555

00:41:42.974 --> 00:41:44.994 of patients to resection,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9385289

00:41:46.815 --> 00:41:48.494 and improve overall survival in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9385289

00:41:48.494 --> 00:41:50.130 patient or median overall survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.9385289

00:41:50.130 --> 00:41:51.089 in patients who are not
NOTE Confidence: 0.9385289

00:41:51.089 --> 00:41:51.589 resectable,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9942355

00:41:52.769 --> 00:41:54.309 in the adjuvant setting,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9727189

00:41:55.009 --> 00:41:55.670 it can,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9759846

00:41:56.450 --> 00:41:57.589 potentially improve,
NOTE Confidence: 0.997473

00:41:58.369 --> 00:41:59.410 both two year and ten
NOTE Confidence: 0.997473

00:41:59.410 --> 00:42:00.869 year survival as well.
NOTE Confidence: 0.93216735

00:42:04.275 --> 00:42:06.035 And so in summary for
NOTE Confidence: 0.93216735

00:42:06.035 --> 00:42:06.775 this part,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99839956

00:42:07.474 --> 00:42:07.974 perioperative
NOTE Confidence: 0.9996057

00:42:08.515 --> 00:42:09.015 chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.99924576

00:42:09.555 --> 00:42:11.335 the role of perioperative chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9752242

00:42:11.635 --> 00:42:13.815 remains unclear. Disease free survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.9752242

00:42:13.875 --> 00:42:14.614 is improved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986755

00:42:15.234 --> 00:42:16.755 but there's no impact on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986755

00:42:16.755 --> 00:42:17.815 overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986469

00:42:19.130 --> 00:42:21.770 HAI can potentially improve overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.986469

00:42:21.770 --> 00:42:23.230 survival in some patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99922174

00:42:24.250 --> 00:42:25.690 but there remains more work

NOTE Confidence: 0.99922174

00:42:25.690 --> 00:42:26.430 to be done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801577

00:42:28.730 --> 00:42:29.690 And with that, I am

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801577

00:42:29.690 --> 00:42:31.469 going to pass the baton,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94727534

00:42:32.655 --> 00:42:34.495 to doctor Madoff who will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988699

00:42:34.895 --> 00:42:36.035 talk to us about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97360307

00:42:37.055 --> 00:42:38.915 the role of interventional radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.97360307

00:42:39.055 --> 00:42:40.495 in treating these this group

NOTE Confidence: 0.97360307

00:42:40.495 --> 00:42:41.155 of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589952

00:42:50.009 --> 00:42:51.230 Can you hear me?
NOTE Confidence: 0.8801608

00:42:55.690 --> 00:42:57.049 Thanks, Jeremy. You you you
NOTE Confidence: 0.8801608

00:42:57.049 --> 00:42:57.869 can hear me?
NOTE Confidence: 0.99664855

00:42:59.609 --> 00:43:00.589 Yes. We can.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97283965

00:43:04.155 --> 00:43:05.515 Okay. So I guess, we'll
NOTE Confidence: 0.97283965

00:43:05.515 --> 00:43:06.175 get started.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9781473

00:43:07.275 --> 00:43:08.795 I'd like to thank, Jeremy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9781473

00:43:08.795 --> 00:43:10.315 and, my colleagues here for
NOTE Confidence: 0.9781473

00:43:10.315 --> 00:43:11.675 inviting me to discuss the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9781473

00:43:11.675 --> 00:43:13.135 role of interventional oncology,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98572224

00:43:14.075 --> 00:43:15.375 in the setting of colorectal
NOTE Confidence: 0.98572224

00:43:15.435 --> 00:43:16.175 liver metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.89063394

00:43:17.310 --> 00:43:18.850 These are just, my disclosures.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9998154

00:43:20.430 --> 00:43:21.390 So we've already heard a
NOTE Confidence: 0.9998154

00:43:21.390 --> 00:43:21.890 lot
NOTE Confidence: 0.96056485

00:43:22.270 --> 00:43:22.750 about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90964305

00:43:23.150 --> 00:43:24.770 the global burden of colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.90964305

00:43:24.910 --> 00:43:27.170 cancer and, and liver metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9740065

00:43:28.190 --> 00:43:29.495 Not to get it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9740065

00:43:29.495 --> 00:43:31.335 keep repeating ourselves, but, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9740065

00:43:31.335 --> 00:43:32.295 all know that about fifty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9740065

00:43:32.295 --> 00:43:34.055 percent of colorectal cancer patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9740065

00:43:34.055 --> 00:43:35.815 develop metastases during the course

NOTE Confidence: 0.9740065

00:43:35.815 --> 00:43:36.635 of their disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857967

00:43:37.094 --> 00:43:37.594 and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992783

00:43:38.455 --> 00:43:39.275 liver metastases

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906335

00:43:40.055 --> 00:43:42.215 represents the major cause of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906335

00:43:42.535 --> 00:43:43.035 colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998907

00:43:43.900 --> 00:43:44.400 cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.99952954

00:43:44.700 --> 00:43:45.200 mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948169

00:43:45.739 --> 00:43:47.500 We also heard that hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948169

00:43:47.500 --> 00:43:48.860 resection offers the best chance
NOTE Confidence: 0.9948169

00:43:48.860 --> 00:43:50.800 of cure, but most patients,
NOTE Confidence: 0.933355

00:43:51.260 --> 00:43:52.780 when they present are not
NOTE Confidence: 0.933355

00:43:52.780 --> 00:43:54.060 receptive at the time of
NOTE Confidence: 0.933355

00:43:54.060 --> 00:43:54.560 diagnosis.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97433853

00:43:55.260 --> 00:43:56.140 One thing I did wanna
NOTE Confidence: 0.97433853

00:43:56.140 --> 00:43:57.020 point out is that I
NOTE Confidence: 0.97433853

00:43:57.020 --> 00:43:58.300 did attend the colorectal liver
NOTE Confidence: 0.97433853

00:43:58.300 --> 00:44:00.114 metastases consensus conference down at
NOTE Confidence: 0.97433853

00:44:00.114 --> 00:44:01.155 MD Anderson a few weeks
NOTE Confidence: 0.97433853

00:44:01.155 --> 00:44:02.435 ago, and I can tell
NOTE Confidence: 0.97433853

00:44:02.435 --> 00:44:03.635 you that there is a
NOTE Confidence: 0.97433853

00:44:03.635 --> 00:44:04.535 lot of controversy.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9369912

00:44:05.155 --> 00:44:07.095 And whatever we discuss, tonight,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9782934

00:44:07.875 --> 00:44:09.635 there is, data to support,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9782934

00:44:09.635 --> 00:44:10.835 but there's also data to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9782934

00:44:10.835 --> 00:44:12.215 support other strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992887

00:44:12.755 --> 00:44:13.495 as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95307773

00:44:14.340 --> 00:44:16.020 So what is interventional oncology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95307773

00:44:16.020 --> 00:44:17.300 I mean, it's clearly different

NOTE Confidence: 0.95307773

00:44:17.300 --> 00:44:19.460 than radiation oncology, which, doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.95307773

00:44:19.460 --> 00:44:20.580 Du will talk about. But,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95307773

00:44:20.900 --> 00:44:23.080 radiation oncology is a subspecialty

NOTE Confidence: 0.95307773

00:44:23.300 --> 00:44:23.540 of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99989307

00:44:24.260 --> 00:44:25.400 interventional radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962138

00:44:26.035 --> 00:44:28.035 that utilizes minimally invasive image

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962138

00:44:28.035 --> 00:44:29.955 guided procedures to diagnose and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962138

00:44:29.955 --> 00:44:31.635 treat patients with various forms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962138

00:44:31.635 --> 00:44:33.075 of cancer. I would say

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962138

00:44:33.075 --> 00:44:34.114 that most of you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962138

00:44:34.114 --> 00:44:36.355 know us from, doing your

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962138

00:44:36.355 --> 00:44:36.855 ports
NOTE Confidence: 0.97237295

00:44:37.155 --> 00:44:38.375 and doing your biopsies,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99836785

00:44:38.850 --> 00:44:40.290 but, there's definitely a lot
NOTE Confidence: 0.99836785

00:44:40.290 --> 00:44:42.450 more to interventional oncology than
NOTE Confidence: 0.99836785

00:44:42.450 --> 00:44:42.950 that.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9948985

00:44:43.730 --> 00:44:44.710 As we'll discuss,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98780197

00:44:45.090 --> 00:44:47.590 the benefits of, primary interventional
NOTE Confidence: 0.98780197

00:44:47.650 --> 00:44:48.710 oncology treatment
NOTE Confidence: 0.91803426

00:44:49.170 --> 00:44:51.750 include immediate tumor recital, effects.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97034854

00:44:52.645 --> 00:44:54.025 They are minimally invasive,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9067142

00:44:54.645 --> 00:44:56.905 and, they're also, have minimal
NOTE Confidence: 0.9067142

00:44:57.045 --> 00:44:58.825 systemic side effects, so ultimately
NOTE Confidence: 0.9067142

00:44:59.045 --> 00:44:59.785 can improve
NOTE Confidence: 0.9321436

00:45:00.165 --> 00:45:02.005 the quality of life. We're
NOTE Confidence: 0.9321436

00:45:02.005 --> 00:45:02.885 at this time making the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9321436

00:45:02.885 --> 00:45:04.085 case of becoming the fourth

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321436
00:45:04.085 --> 00:45:06.105 pillar of cancer care. Interventional
NOTE Confidence: 0.9321436
00:45:06.325 --> 00:45:06.825 oncologists,
NOTE Confidence: 0.89838356
00:45:07.790 --> 00:45:09.650 are, you know, very participate
NOTE Confidence: 0.89838356
00:45:09.790 --> 00:45:11.790 heavily in, tumor boards. We're
NOTE Confidence: 0.89838356
00:45:11.790 --> 00:45:13.790 part of multiple NCCN guidelines.
NOTE Confidence: 0.89838356
00:45:13.790 --> 00:45:14.989 I'm actually on the kidney
NOTE Confidence: 0.89838356
00:45:14.989 --> 00:45:16.350 cancer one for, the Yale
NOTE Confidence: 0.89838356
00:45:16.430 --> 00:45:17.489 as their Yale representative.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99779034
00:45:17.869 --> 00:45:18.670 And there are a number
NOTE Confidence: 0.99779034
00:45:18.670 --> 00:45:20.109 of clinical trials assessing the
NOTE Confidence: 0.99779034
00:45:20.109 --> 00:45:21.010 role of percutaneous
NOTE Confidence: 0.76851976
00:45:21.390 --> 00:45:21.890 management,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9672441
00:45:22.295 --> 00:45:23.015 and there are a number
NOTE Confidence: 0.9672441
00:45:23.015 --> 00:45:24.215 of trials here. And some
NOTE Confidence: 0.9672441
00:45:24.215 --> 00:45:25.675 of them, we will discuss,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9996893

00:45:26.215 --> 00:45:27.195 during this talk.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9695359

00:45:28.695 --> 00:45:29.735 What I wanted to focus
NOTE Confidence: 0.9695359

00:45:29.735 --> 00:45:31.435 on first is the core
NOTE Confidence: 0.9695359

00:45:31.495 --> 00:45:32.555 IO strategies.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99901056

00:45:33.015 --> 00:45:34.455 The goal is to enable
NOTE Confidence: 0.99901056

00:45:34.455 --> 00:45:35.355 curative therapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9664116

00:45:35.980 --> 00:45:38.000 or improve local disease control.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9664116

00:45:38.060 --> 00:45:39.440 And as you've already heard,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9664116

00:45:39.739 --> 00:45:40.940 we have options such as
NOTE Confidence: 0.9664116

00:45:40.940 --> 00:45:41.840 tumor ablation,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99444366

00:45:42.380 --> 00:45:43.280 we have transarterial
NOTE Confidence: 0.99514323

00:45:43.580 --> 00:45:44.080 therapy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9903448

00:45:44.540 --> 00:45:46.080 and we also have preoperative
NOTE Confidence: 0.9903448

00:45:46.219 --> 00:45:47.040 liver augmentation,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9665751

00:45:47.705 --> 00:45:49.785 which, doctor Khan has, briefly
NOTE Confidence: 0.9665751

00:45:49.785 --> 00:45:51.385 alluded to. Now a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.9665751
00:45:51.385 --> 00:45:51.965 of these,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9984481
00:45:53.385 --> 00:45:55.705 treatments will depend on tumor
NOTE Confidence: 0.9984481
00:45:55.705 --> 00:45:56.205 histology,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99606735
00:45:56.905 --> 00:45:58.344 the number of location the
NOTE Confidence: 0.99606735
00:45:58.344 --> 00:45:59.705 number and location of tumors
NOTE Confidence: 0.99606735
00:45:59.705 --> 00:46:00.660 within the liver,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99369776
00:46:01.059 --> 00:46:02.599 the extent of the patient's
NOTE Confidence: 0.99369776
00:46:02.660 --> 00:46:04.200 underlying liver disease,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97037923
00:46:04.660 --> 00:46:06.200 and the presence or absence
NOTE Confidence: 0.9949258
00:46:06.660 --> 00:46:07.319 of extrahepatic
NOTE Confidence: 0.99802136
00:46:07.619 --> 00:46:08.119 disease.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9945669
00:46:08.819 --> 00:46:09.940 Now the first strategy we'll
NOTE Confidence: 0.9945669
00:46:09.940 --> 00:46:11.640 discuss is tumor ablation.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9978653
00:46:12.020 --> 00:46:13.160 This is used to percutaneously
NOTE Confidence: 0.9989909
00:46:13.539 --> 00:46:16.039 eradicate all viable malignant cells
NOTE Confidence: 0.98763275

00:46:16.364 --> 00:46:18.545 and spare normal surrounding tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346683

00:46:19.085 --> 00:46:20.525 And we also treat tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346683

00:46:20.525 --> 00:46:22.125 that have, that are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979706

00:46:22.445 --> 00:46:24.844 have unfavorable locations or patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979706

00:46:24.844 --> 00:46:25.585 of distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.9616041

00:46:26.364 --> 00:46:28.205 for resection and or whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.9616041

00:46:28.205 --> 00:46:29.665 patients have multiple comorbidities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815617

00:46:31.650 --> 00:46:32.770 Most often, these are used

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815617

00:46:32.770 --> 00:46:33.890 in patients what we would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815617

00:46:33.890 --> 00:46:36.069 have considered low volume disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.9542351

00:46:36.450 --> 00:46:37.430 and debulking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800746

00:46:37.890 --> 00:46:39.750 and, typically, these are outpatient

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800746

00:46:39.810 --> 00:46:41.349 procedures and repeatable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970992

00:46:41.730 --> 00:46:42.609 Now there's a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970992

00:46:42.609 --> 00:46:43.349 different options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9067589

00:46:43.935 --> 00:46:44.895 I would say that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9067589
00:46:44.895 --> 00:46:46.275 lot of them have similar
NOTE Confidence: 0.9067589
00:46:46.415 --> 00:46:48.114 outcomes, whether it be radiofrequency
NOTE Confidence: 0.9755373
00:46:48.415 --> 00:46:50.035 ablation, microwave ablation,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98952264
00:46:50.735 --> 00:46:51.235 cryoablation.
NOTE Confidence: 0.96456826
00:46:52.255 --> 00:46:54.515 There's another, entity called irreversible
NOTE Confidence: 0.96456826
00:46:54.735 --> 00:46:56.515 electroporation, which kills cells,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8981249
00:46:57.210 --> 00:46:59.469 by, changing the electron maybe,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9861794
00:47:00.650 --> 00:47:02.670 ionic potentials across cell membranes.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9861794
00:47:02.810 --> 00:47:03.770 And there's actually a new
NOTE Confidence: 0.9861794
00:47:03.770 --> 00:47:05.230 one called radiation segmentectomy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9321427
00:47:05.530 --> 00:47:06.570 where you can deliver high
NOTE Confidence: 0.9321427
00:47:06.570 --> 00:47:07.390 dose of radiation
NOTE Confidence: 0.9665043
00:47:07.690 --> 00:47:08.190 transcatheter
NOTE Confidence: 0.9968459
00:47:08.570 --> 00:47:10.330 into a segment and kill
NOTE Confidence: 0.9968459
00:47:10.330 --> 00:47:11.950 tumors in that regard.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9538937

00:47:12.965 --> 00:47:14.005 You've already heard a little
NOTE Confidence: 0.9538937

00:47:14.005 --> 00:47:15.925 bit about transarterial therapy. This
NOTE Confidence: 0.9538937

00:47:15.925 --> 00:47:17.445 was initiated about fifty years
NOTE Confidence: 0.9538937

00:47:17.445 --> 00:47:18.885 ago, mostly in the studying
NOTE Confidence: 0.9538937

00:47:19.045 --> 00:47:20.165 early in the studying of,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9538937

00:47:20.485 --> 00:47:20.985 HCC.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97507143

00:47:21.925 --> 00:47:22.805 We do know that most
NOTE Confidence: 0.97507143

00:47:22.805 --> 00:47:24.085 liver tumors receive their blood
NOTE Confidence: 0.97507143

00:47:24.085 --> 00:47:25.765 supply largely from the hepatic
NOTE Confidence: 0.97507143

00:47:25.765 --> 00:47:27.545 artery, and most liver tumors,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97372586

00:47:27.980 --> 00:47:29.819 including those of colorectal liver
NOTE Confidence: 0.97372586

00:47:29.819 --> 00:47:30.319 metastases,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9998454

00:47:30.940 --> 00:47:32.400 are often highly vascular.
NOTE Confidence: 0.965375

00:47:32.780 --> 00:47:34.319 The goal is to selectively
NOTE Confidence: 0.965375

00:47:34.380 --> 00:47:36.239 and locally deliver intra arterial
NOTE Confidence: 0.965375

00:47:36.299 --> 00:47:38.000 therapeutics to the tumor bed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965375

00:47:38.059 --> 00:47:39.099 And by doing so, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.965375

00:47:39.099 --> 00:47:40.960 can effectively target the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757441

00:47:41.275 --> 00:47:42.795 We can spare surrounding hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757441

00:47:42.795 --> 00:47:44.494 parenchyma, and we can minimize

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757441

00:47:44.555 --> 00:47:46.335 systemic complications and toxicities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982357

00:47:46.875 --> 00:47:48.235 Now there's multiple different types

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982357

00:47:48.235 --> 00:47:49.675 of procedures that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982357

00:47:49.675 --> 00:47:50.175 offer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94870156

00:47:50.475 --> 00:47:52.235 Typically, they are done, through

NOTE Confidence: 0.94870156

00:47:52.235 --> 00:47:52.735 a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763035

00:47:53.810 --> 00:47:54.869 a right sided,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9937985

00:47:55.489 --> 00:47:56.710 common femoral approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956895

00:47:57.489 --> 00:47:58.930 It's just what we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956895

00:47:58.930 --> 00:48:00.050 deliver. I don't have time

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956895

00:48:00.050 --> 00:48:01.010 to really go into all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956895

00:48:01.010 --> 00:48:02.390 the details of the various,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99216545

00:48:02.850 --> 00:48:04.230 procedures that we can do,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99216545

00:48:04.290 --> 00:48:05.330 but we can say that
NOTE Confidence: 0.99216545

00:48:05.330 --> 00:48:06.550 we can do bland embolization,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99216545

00:48:06.690 --> 00:48:07.885 which is just a way
NOTE Confidence: 0.99216545

00:48:07.885 --> 00:48:08.864 of causing ischemia,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98456246

00:48:09.725 --> 00:48:11.085 and that just uses bland
NOTE Confidence: 0.98456246

00:48:11.085 --> 00:48:12.864 particles. We can do conventional
NOTE Confidence: 0.98456246

00:48:13.005 --> 00:48:14.465 taste where you mix chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.98118716

00:48:14.925 --> 00:48:17.085 with an oily substance called
NOTE Confidence: 0.98118716

00:48:17.085 --> 00:48:17.585 lapidol,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9758037

00:48:18.045 --> 00:48:19.645 which, can be infused into
NOTE Confidence: 0.9758037

00:48:19.645 --> 00:48:21.085 the tumor. We can do
NOTE Confidence: 0.9758037

00:48:21.085 --> 00:48:22.545 drug eluting b taste,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96744466

00:48:22.969 --> 00:48:23.469 which,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9994967

00:48:23.930 --> 00:48:24.829 is similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832649
00:48:25.130 --> 00:48:26.410 in in that, it's
NOTE Confidence: 0.67055064
00:48:26.969 --> 00:48:28.029 it's used to chemotherapy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9957258
00:48:28.410 --> 00:48:29.690 but it's where,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9992773
00:48:30.170 --> 00:48:30.670 chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.98583037
00:48:30.969 --> 00:48:32.670 is infused into these beads,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98583037
00:48:32.730 --> 00:48:34.250 and then these beads will
NOTE Confidence: 0.98583037
00:48:34.250 --> 00:48:35.369 then be delivered to the
NOTE Confidence: 0.98583037
00:48:35.369 --> 00:48:36.645 tumor. And then, of course,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98583037
00:48:36.645 --> 00:48:38.105 we have, radioembolization
NOTE Confidence: 0.9541459
00:48:39.045 --> 00:48:40.344 where we can then deliver,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9922788
00:48:41.285 --> 00:48:43.045 specific amounts of radiation to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9922788
00:48:43.045 --> 00:48:44.825 either the tumor, locally
NOTE Confidence: 0.99314463
00:48:45.205 --> 00:48:46.585 or to a region.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99632996
00:48:47.844 --> 00:48:49.145 We also can do preoperative
NOTE Confidence: 0.99632996
00:48:49.205 --> 00:48:50.025 liver augmentation.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9730253

00:48:50.510 --> 00:48:51.309 You kind of heard about
NOTE Confidence: 0.9730253

00:48:51.309 --> 00:48:52.349 this a little bit. We
NOTE Confidence: 0.9730253

00:48:52.349 --> 00:48:54.050 can do portal and,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9807245

00:48:54.510 --> 00:48:56.450 hepatic vein embolization as well.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9807245

00:48:56.670 --> 00:48:57.869 The goal here is to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9807245

00:48:57.869 --> 00:48:59.390 redirect portal blood flow to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9807245

00:48:59.390 --> 00:49:00.989 the future liver remnant, and
NOTE Confidence: 0.9807245

00:49:00.989 --> 00:49:02.770 by doing so, initiate hypertrophy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9807245

00:49:02.829 --> 00:49:03.569 of the nonembolized
NOTE Confidence: 0.8791286

00:49:03.869 --> 00:49:04.369 segment.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99866706

00:49:04.695 --> 00:49:05.975 And by doing this, we
NOTE Confidence: 0.99866706

00:49:05.975 --> 00:49:07.335 can reduce the overall number
NOTE Confidence: 0.99866706

00:49:07.335 --> 00:49:09.195 of, perioperative complications.
NOTE Confidence: 0.96611357

00:49:10.855 --> 00:49:12.215 This would then lead to
NOTE Confidence: 0.96611357

00:49:12.215 --> 00:49:13.735 increasing the number of potential
NOTE Confidence: 0.96611357

00:49:13.735 --> 00:49:15.255 surgical candidates who have what

NOTE Confidence: 0.96611357

00:49:15.255 --> 00:49:17.735 we call marginal anticipated future

NOTE Confidence: 0.96611357

00:49:17.735 --> 00:49:18.875 liver remnant volumes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993057

00:49:19.299 --> 00:49:21.079 And previous studies have suggested

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757976

00:49:21.380 --> 00:49:22.660 that in order to reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757976

00:49:22.660 --> 00:49:24.260 the morbidity of hepatic resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757976

00:49:24.260 --> 00:49:25.619 about twenty percent of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757976

00:49:25.619 --> 00:49:27.140 liver must remain in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757976

00:49:27.140 --> 00:49:28.500 with normal liver. That's patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757976

00:49:28.500 --> 00:49:29.960 that have never been pretreated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757976

00:49:30.019 --> 00:49:31.400 with any kind of chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757976

00:49:31.460 --> 00:49:32.599 or radiation or anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9737179

00:49:33.045 --> 00:49:35.204 Thirty percent in injured liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9737179

00:49:35.204 --> 00:49:36.165 and we would say maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.9737179

00:49:36.165 --> 00:49:37.605 patients that have high, high

NOTE Confidence: 0.9737179

00:49:37.605 --> 00:49:39.385 dose chemotherapy or steatohepatitis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981297

00:49:40.325 --> 00:49:41.684 and then forty percent in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9981297

00:49:41.684 --> 00:49:43.704 patients with, maybe cirrhosis
NOTE Confidence: 0.93200785

00:49:44.165 --> 00:49:45.385 with the goal of achieving
NOTE Confidence: 0.93200785

00:49:45.525 --> 00:49:46.645 what we would say is
NOTE Confidence: 0.93200785

00:49:46.645 --> 00:49:47.145 similar
NOTE Confidence: 0.9600346

00:49:47.525 --> 00:49:49.320 survival rates to cert to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9600346

00:49:49.320 --> 00:49:50.600 patients that did not actually
NOTE Confidence: 0.9600346

00:49:50.600 --> 00:49:51.100 require
NOTE Confidence: 0.83972406

00:49:51.480 --> 00:49:51.980 PVE
NOTE Confidence: 0.9977722

00:49:52.280 --> 00:49:53.580 prior to surgery.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9826193

00:49:54.520 --> 00:49:55.320 And just to give you
NOTE Confidence: 0.9826193

00:49:55.320 --> 00:49:56.460 some basic examples,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9280483

00:49:57.320 --> 00:49:58.600 this is a patient that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9280483

00:49:58.840 --> 00:50:00.700 has a isolated liver metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98098576

00:50:01.205 --> 00:50:02.425 We placed a needle,
NOTE Confidence: 0.90643996

00:50:03.125 --> 00:50:04.485 for ablation. I think in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90643996
00:50:04.485 --> 00:50:05.844 this case, we used a
NOTE Confidence: 0.90643996
00:50:05.844 --> 00:50:06.344 microwave,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9747118
00:50:06.645 --> 00:50:07.605 and you can see at
NOTE Confidence: 0.9747118
00:50:07.605 --> 00:50:08.585 one year follow-up,
NOTE Confidence: 0.988789
00:50:09.045 --> 00:50:10.165 there is no tumor. So
NOTE Confidence: 0.988789
00:50:10.165 --> 00:50:11.605 we can use high levels
NOTE Confidence: 0.988789
00:50:11.605 --> 00:50:11.844 of,
NOTE Confidence: 0.95753956
00:50:12.725 --> 00:50:14.330 of, of of heat. In
NOTE Confidence: 0.95753956
00:50:14.330 --> 00:50:15.530 this case, usually, we use
NOTE Confidence: 0.95753956
00:50:15.530 --> 00:50:17.310 about a hundred degrees, Celsius.
NOTE Confidence: 0.96815425
00:50:18.170 --> 00:50:19.530 Here we see an example
NOTE Confidence: 0.96815425
00:50:19.530 --> 00:50:20.969 of, what's called the radiation
NOTE Confidence: 0.96815425
00:50:20.969 --> 00:50:22.170 segmentectomy, and we can see
NOTE Confidence: 0.96815425
00:50:22.170 --> 00:50:23.530 a small tumor in segment
NOTE Confidence: 0.96815425
00:50:23.530 --> 00:50:24.030 two
NOTE Confidence: 0.9539431

00:50:24.330 --> 00:50:26.110 just abutting near the heart.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97418165

00:50:27.045 --> 00:50:28.165 In this case, we felt
NOTE Confidence: 0.97418165

00:50:28.165 --> 00:50:28.484 that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9453207

00:50:29.204 --> 00:50:31.364 that that, tumor ablation with
NOTE Confidence: 0.9453207

00:50:31.364 --> 00:50:32.505 a mic with a needle
NOTE Confidence: 0.9453207

00:50:32.565 --> 00:50:34.005 would be very risky. So
NOTE Confidence: 0.9453207

00:50:34.005 --> 00:50:36.165 we gave, radiation directly to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9453207

00:50:36.165 --> 00:50:37.125 that area, and you can
NOTE Confidence: 0.9453207

00:50:37.125 --> 00:50:38.405 see on the six month
NOTE Confidence: 0.9453207

00:50:38.405 --> 00:50:38.905 post,
NOTE Confidence: 0.7720086

00:50:39.444 --> 00:50:39.944 treatment,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9652364

00:50:40.469 --> 00:50:41.989 excellent, results. And now you
NOTE Confidence: 0.9652364

00:50:41.989 --> 00:50:42.950 see a one point four
NOTE Confidence: 0.9652364

00:50:42.950 --> 00:50:44.489 centimeter necrotic tumor
NOTE Confidence: 0.9776551

00:50:44.790 --> 00:50:46.310 that, previously might not have
NOTE Confidence: 0.9776551

00:50:46.310 --> 00:50:47.450 been easily treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9384414
00:50:48.310 --> 00:50:50.070 This is, an example of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9384414
00:50:50.070 --> 00:50:52.150 drug eluting bead TACE in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9384414
00:50:52.150 --> 00:50:53.430 a patient with you see
NOTE Confidence: 0.9384414
00:50:53.430 --> 00:50:54.890 this large right,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96944237
00:50:55.305 --> 00:50:56.285 hepatic lesion.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9983665
00:50:56.665 --> 00:50:57.964 And after two sessions,
NOTE Confidence: 0.946076
00:50:58.265 --> 00:50:59.224 you can see after two
NOTE Confidence: 0.946076
00:50:59.224 --> 00:51:01.065 month after four months that
NOTE Confidence: 0.946076
00:51:01.065 --> 00:51:02.025 most of the tumor,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9995773
00:51:02.344 --> 00:51:03.165 is necrotic.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9948097
00:51:04.505 --> 00:51:05.385 And then this is a
NOTE Confidence: 0.9948097
00:51:05.385 --> 00:51:07.625 standard case of transarterial therapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9948097
00:51:07.625 --> 00:51:08.765 using y ninety
NOTE Confidence: 0.9016942
00:51:09.065 --> 00:51:10.780 where we have innumerable,
NOTE Confidence: 0.93571305
00:51:11.080 --> 00:51:11.900 liver metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.92357063

00:51:12.440 --> 00:51:13.880 And then after radiation, we
NOTE Confidence: 0.92357063

00:51:13.880 --> 00:51:15.160 can see, that that are
NOTE Confidence: 0.92357063

00:51:15.160 --> 00:51:15.660 hypermetabolic
NOTE Confidence: 0.9880864

00:51:16.040 --> 00:51:17.719 on PET. And after six
NOTE Confidence: 0.9880864

00:51:17.719 --> 00:51:18.859 months, we can see
NOTE Confidence: 0.79626435

00:51:19.160 --> 00:51:20.219 a major reduction
NOTE Confidence: 0.97396207

00:51:20.839 --> 00:51:22.540 of, of these hypermetabolic
NOTE Confidence: 0.99273163

00:51:22.920 --> 00:51:23.420 metastases
NOTE Confidence: 0.99171424

00:51:24.125 --> 00:51:25.984 relative to, the pretreatment,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9262526

00:51:26.525 --> 00:51:27.905 you know, SPECT CT.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9420888

00:51:29.565 --> 00:51:31.005 This is a case, similar
NOTE Confidence: 0.9420888

00:51:31.005 --> 00:51:32.224 to what Saj
NOTE Confidence: 0.97313744

00:51:32.525 --> 00:51:34.525 Khan had shown, however, probably
NOTE Confidence: 0.97313744

00:51:34.525 --> 00:51:36.285 much worse. We would probably
NOTE Confidence: 0.97313744

00:51:36.285 --> 00:51:37.724 all say that the image
NOTE Confidence: 0.97313744

00:51:37.805 --> 00:51:39.090 the images on the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.97313744
00:51:39.170 --> 00:51:39.989 upper quadrant,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9718159
00:51:40.690 --> 00:51:41.810 would show that this patient
NOTE Confidence: 0.9718159
00:51:41.810 --> 00:51:42.950 is completely unresectable.
NOTE Confidence: 0.942498
00:51:43.410 --> 00:51:45.110 K? And this patient ultimately
NOTE Confidence: 0.942498
00:51:45.170 --> 00:51:45.650 underwent,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9840217
00:51:46.050 --> 00:51:47.110 preoperative chemotherapy.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9820929
00:51:47.890 --> 00:51:49.010 We found that his liver
NOTE Confidence: 0.9820929
00:51:49.010 --> 00:51:50.370 remnant, if had if they
NOTE Confidence: 0.9820929
00:51:50.370 --> 00:51:51.969 had surgery, is only sixteen
NOTE Confidence: 0.9820929
00:51:51.969 --> 00:51:53.170 percent. And as we said,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9820929
00:51:53.170 --> 00:51:54.370 this patient would need at
NOTE Confidence: 0.9820929
00:51:54.370 --> 00:51:56.415 least twenty percent. So we
NOTE Confidence: 0.9820929
00:51:56.415 --> 00:51:57.795 did a portal vein embolization
NOTE Confidence: 0.8591907
00:51:58.415 --> 00:51:59.395 through the liver,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9630854
00:51:59.855 --> 00:52:01.695 and then ultimately, the patient
NOTE Confidence: 0.9630854

00:52:01.695 --> 00:52:03.075 underwent their second stage
NOTE Confidence: 0.9633504

00:52:03.455 --> 00:52:05.135 and then ultimately had, I
NOTE Confidence: 0.9633504

00:52:05.135 --> 00:52:06.255 guess, what you would consider,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9633504

00:52:06.495 --> 00:52:07.935 a cure, in this in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9633504

00:52:07.935 --> 00:52:09.039 this case. So we were
NOTE Confidence: 0.9633504

00:52:09.039 --> 00:52:10.079 able to take a patient
NOTE Confidence: 0.9633504

00:52:10.079 --> 00:52:10.559 using,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9992749

00:52:11.039 --> 00:52:11.539 chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.97552526

00:52:12.239 --> 00:52:13.140 and neoadjuvant
NOTE Confidence: 0.91270554

00:52:13.440 --> 00:52:15.039 portal vein embolization and get
NOTE Confidence: 0.91270554

00:52:15.039 --> 00:52:16.339 a patient that was completely
NOTE Confidence: 0.9977343

00:52:16.640 --> 00:52:17.140 unresectable
NOTE Confidence: 0.9809953

00:52:17.839 --> 00:52:19.920 to successful resection. So this
NOTE Confidence: 0.9809953

00:52:19.920 --> 00:52:21.039 is something that I think,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9964142

00:52:21.760 --> 00:52:22.960 has really changed as a
NOTE Confidence: 0.9964142

00:52:22.960 --> 00:52:23.460 paradigm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97027904
00:52:24.695 --> 00:52:26.055 Now just to show you
NOTE Confidence: 0.97027904
00:52:26.055 --> 00:52:27.335 all the data, I have
NOTE Confidence: 0.97027904
00:52:27.335 --> 00:52:28.455 a lot of data coming
NOTE Confidence: 0.97027904
00:52:28.455 --> 00:52:29.895 up. This is the results
NOTE Confidence: 0.97027904
00:52:29.895 --> 00:52:31.255 of portal vein embolization and
NOTE Confidence: 0.97027904
00:52:31.255 --> 00:52:32.614 its impact on major liver
NOTE Confidence: 0.97027904
00:52:32.614 --> 00:52:33.114 resection.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9960392
00:52:33.575 --> 00:52:34.955 This was a meta analysis,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9640647
00:52:35.494 --> 00:52:37.275 which included thirty seven publications,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9456444
00:52:37.655 --> 00:52:39.355 over one thousand patients,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9710786
00:52:39.739 --> 00:52:40.780 And you can see in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9710786
00:52:40.780 --> 00:52:42.619 terms of key data that
NOTE Confidence: 0.9710786
00:52:42.619 --> 00:52:43.980 PVE has been found to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9710786
00:52:43.980 --> 00:52:45.200 be safe and effective,
NOTE Confidence: 0.95902795
00:52:45.739 --> 00:52:48.079 k, with very low morbidity,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9262986

00:52:48.700 --> 00:52:49.980 and, there was actually no
NOTE Confidence: 0.9262986

00:52:49.980 --> 00:52:50.480 mortality
NOTE Confidence: 0.9752747

00:52:50.780 --> 00:52:51.980 in looking at those patients
NOTE Confidence: 0.9752747

00:52:51.980 --> 00:52:53.520 that underwent portal vein embolization.
NOTE Confidence: 0.94386435

00:52:54.335 --> 00:52:55.535 The patients did have an
NOTE Confidence: 0.94386435

00:52:55.535 --> 00:52:57.454 eighty five percent conversion rate
NOTE Confidence: 0.94386435

00:52:57.454 --> 00:52:58.895 surgery and there was no
NOTE Confidence: 0.94386435

00:52:58.974 --> 00:53:00.275 and there's very small,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9479042

00:53:01.375 --> 00:53:03.795 evidence of, post hepatectomy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99978787

00:53:04.255 --> 00:53:05.075 liver failure.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9964217

00:53:05.694 --> 00:53:06.734 These were just some key
NOTE Confidence: 0.9964217

00:53:06.734 --> 00:53:08.194 studies I wanted to highlight.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9926489

00:53:08.910 --> 00:53:10.130 The first ones,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9816567

00:53:10.589 --> 00:53:12.210 from the early two thousands
NOTE Confidence: 0.9816567

00:53:12.349 --> 00:53:13.650 were just the early experience,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9816567

00:53:13.710 --> 00:53:14.510 and we were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9816567
00:53:14.510 --> 00:53:16.450 get five year overall survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.9823477
00:53:16.829 --> 00:53:18.109 of thirty seven to forty
NOTE Confidence: 0.9823477
00:53:18.109 --> 00:53:19.390 percent after resection. Now you
NOTE Confidence: 0.9823477
00:53:19.390 --> 00:53:20.349 have to remember that these
NOTE Confidence: 0.9823477
00:53:20.349 --> 00:53:21.549 patients would not have gotten
NOTE Confidence: 0.9823477
00:53:21.549 --> 00:53:23.010 resection without the PVE,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9844226
00:53:23.475 --> 00:53:24.915 so their five year overall
NOTE Confidence: 0.9844226
00:53:24.915 --> 00:53:25.955 survival would have been much
NOTE Confidence: 0.9844226
00:53:25.955 --> 00:53:26.455 worse.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9864292
00:53:26.995 --> 00:53:28.035 The second study that was
NOTE Confidence: 0.9864292
00:53:28.035 --> 00:53:29.575 published in, JCO,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98086846
00:53:30.275 --> 00:53:31.795 that showed that, in the
NOTE Confidence: 0.98086846
00:53:31.795 --> 00:53:33.635 use of, a two stage
NOTE Confidence: 0.98086846
00:53:33.635 --> 00:53:35.395 hepatectomy, which included portal vein
NOTE Confidence: 0.98086846
00:53:35.395 --> 00:53:35.895 embolization,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9985068

00:53:36.469 --> 00:53:37.750 it was very important to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9985068

00:53:37.750 --> 00:53:39.029 complete the second stage. And
NOTE Confidence: 0.9985068

00:53:39.029 --> 00:53:40.150 when the second stage was
NOTE Confidence: 0.9985068

00:53:40.150 --> 00:53:40.650 completed,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98485935

00:53:41.109 --> 00:53:42.230 we were able to achieve
NOTE Confidence: 0.98485935

00:53:42.230 --> 00:53:43.430 a fifty percent five year
NOTE Confidence: 0.98485935

00:53:43.430 --> 00:53:45.269 overall survival, which at that
NOTE Confidence: 0.98485935

00:53:45.269 --> 00:53:47.289 time was considered pretty amazing.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9847715

00:53:48.630 --> 00:53:50.390 We also looked at, those
NOTE Confidence: 0.9847715

00:53:50.390 --> 00:53:51.750 patients that did have high
NOTE Confidence: 0.9847715

00:53:51.750 --> 00:53:53.405 dose chemotherapy and the number
NOTE Confidence: 0.9847715

00:53:53.405 --> 00:53:54.925 of cycles. It was found
NOTE Confidence: 0.9847715

00:53:54.925 --> 00:53:56.125 that in those patients that
NOTE Confidence: 0.9847715

00:53:56.125 --> 00:53:57.325 had at least twelve weeks
NOTE Confidence: 0.9847715

00:53:57.325 --> 00:53:58.785 of chemotherapy preoperatively,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9326147

00:53:59.485 --> 00:54:01.085 that thirty percent that thirty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326147
00:54:01.085 --> 00:54:02.285 percent of the liver remnant
NOTE Confidence: 0.9326147
00:54:02.285 --> 00:54:03.805 would be required to get
NOTE Confidence: 0.9326147
00:54:03.805 --> 00:54:05.425 patients through their resection.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97885764
00:54:05.885 --> 00:54:07.560 And then we're looking now
NOTE Confidence: 0.97885764
00:54:07.560 --> 00:54:09.660 at portal vein embolization versus,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9892995
00:54:10.840 --> 00:54:12.520 liver venous deprivation, which is
NOTE Confidence: 0.9892995
00:54:12.520 --> 00:54:13.980 adding hepatic vein embolization
NOTE Confidence: 0.98934644
00:54:14.280 --> 00:54:15.480 to it. And in this
NOTE Confidence: 0.98934644
00:54:15.480 --> 00:54:16.940 case, we can see that
NOTE Confidence: 0.98934644
00:54:17.080 --> 00:54:18.760 we were able to, increase
NOTE Confidence: 0.98934644
00:54:18.760 --> 00:54:19.420 the hypertrophy
NOTE Confidence: 0.98320293
00:54:19.800 --> 00:54:21.820 and get patients to surgery
NOTE Confidence: 0.98320293
00:54:21.880 --> 00:54:22.380 faster
NOTE Confidence: 0.99759233
00:54:22.734 --> 00:54:24.195 using this combined technique.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9774085
00:54:24.575 --> 00:54:25.614 Now we don't know if
NOTE Confidence: 0.9774085

00:54:25.614 --> 00:54:27.454 this is clinically relevant, but
NOTE Confidence: 0.9774085

00:54:27.454 --> 00:54:29.055 at this time, we're considering
NOTE Confidence: 0.9774085

00:54:29.055 --> 00:54:30.575 that it is. And most
NOTE Confidence: 0.9774085

00:54:30.575 --> 00:54:31.075 patients,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9457267

00:54:32.415 --> 00:54:33.474 get both procedures.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9617066

00:54:33.935 --> 00:54:34.815 They're all done at the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9617066

00:54:34.815 --> 00:54:36.690 same time. And, we're now
NOTE Confidence: 0.9617066

00:54:36.690 --> 00:54:37.890 gonna be doing a Dragon
NOTE Confidence: 0.9617066

00:54:37.890 --> 00:54:39.650 study that, we're part of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9617066

00:54:39.650 --> 00:54:41.430 the Dragon Consortium as well.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9617066

00:54:41.570 --> 00:54:42.770 And that will show that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9617066

00:54:43.090 --> 00:54:44.850 hopefully, that this does work
NOTE Confidence: 0.9617066

00:54:44.850 --> 00:54:46.290 and does have benefit for,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9617066

00:54:46.530 --> 00:54:48.530 patients with bilateral colorectal living
NOTE Confidence: 0.9617066

00:54:48.530 --> 00:54:49.030 metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.96811867

00:54:50.165 --> 00:54:51.925 Now looking at local therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96811867
00:54:51.925 --> 00:54:53.385 which in this case includes,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9406682
00:54:54.965 --> 00:54:55.465 ablation
NOTE Confidence: 0.9115394
00:54:56.165 --> 00:54:57.625 and, limited resection,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9358662
00:54:58.005 --> 00:54:59.364 we see that in this
NOTE Confidence: 0.9358662
00:54:59.364 --> 00:55:01.305 study that was the CLOCK,
NOTE Confidence: 0.82742715
00:55:01.685 --> 00:55:02.185 trial,
NOTE Confidence: 0.997536
00:55:02.724 --> 00:55:04.505 it was systemic therapy alone
NOTE Confidence: 0.93574226
00:55:04.950 --> 00:55:07.450 versus systemic therapy plus aggressive
NOTE Confidence: 0.93574226
00:55:07.510 --> 00:55:09.270 local treatment. You can see
NOTE Confidence: 0.93574226
00:55:09.270 --> 00:55:10.390 that, this was,
NOTE Confidence: 0.89234614
00:55:10.950 --> 00:55:12.630 there was actually overall survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.89234614
00:55:12.630 --> 00:55:13.130 benefit,
NOTE Confidence: 0.95730233
00:55:13.830 --> 00:55:15.430 thirty six percent to nine
NOTE Confidence: 0.95730233
00:55:15.430 --> 00:55:16.550 percent in eight years. And
NOTE Confidence: 0.95730233
00:55:16.550 --> 00:55:17.830 I think, doctor Khan had
NOTE Confidence: 0.95730233

00:55:17.830 --> 00:55:19.094 shown that to us a
NOTE Confidence: 0.95730233

00:55:19.094 --> 00:55:20.215 little earlier. And that was,
NOTE Confidence: 0.95730233

00:55:20.215 --> 00:55:21.655 like, the first randomized study
NOTE Confidence: 0.95730233

00:55:21.655 --> 00:55:22.555 to show that.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9650668

00:55:23.415 --> 00:55:25.494 More recently, the collision trial
NOTE Confidence: 0.9650668

00:55:25.494 --> 00:55:26.235 was published.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97515035

00:55:27.175 --> 00:55:28.775 Doctor Khan briefly alluded to
NOTE Confidence: 0.97515035

00:55:28.775 --> 00:55:29.655 that too. This was a
NOTE Confidence: 0.97515035

00:55:29.655 --> 00:55:30.155 multicenter
NOTE Confidence: 0.9037225

00:55:30.614 --> 00:55:32.155 randomized clinical trial,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94583714

00:55:32.455 --> 00:55:33.255 which was,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9995023

00:55:33.575 --> 00:55:34.075 done
NOTE Confidence: 0.9666109

00:55:34.630 --> 00:55:36.790 in three hundred patients, looking
NOTE Confidence: 0.9666109

00:55:36.790 --> 00:55:38.250 at those patients that had,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9715008

00:55:38.630 --> 00:55:40.150 less than ten colorectal liver
NOTE Confidence: 0.9715008

00:55:40.150 --> 00:55:40.650 metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9612231

00:55:41.270 --> 00:55:42.410 less than three centimeters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759624

00:55:42.869 --> 00:55:43.190 And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599867

00:55:43.830 --> 00:55:44.950 they were randomized one to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599867

00:55:44.950 --> 00:55:46.310 one to ablation or resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599867

00:55:46.310 --> 00:55:47.109 and you can see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599867

00:55:47.109 --> 00:55:47.609 data

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823691

00:55:48.025 --> 00:55:49.945 is, pretty impressive. Now this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823691

00:55:49.945 --> 00:55:51.405 was done as a non

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823691

00:55:51.465 --> 00:55:53.485 inferior trial, not a superiority

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953438

00:55:53.864 --> 00:55:54.364 trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9762776

00:55:54.665 --> 00:55:55.945 So when we look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9762776

00:55:55.945 --> 00:55:56.744 the data, you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9762776

00:55:56.744 --> 00:55:57.545 that the in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9762776

00:55:57.545 --> 00:56:00.105 adverse events, serious adverse events,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9762776

00:56:00.105 --> 00:56:00.844 and mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.9484114

00:56:01.610 --> 00:56:03.370 that there is a role
NOTE Confidence: 0.9484114

00:56:03.370 --> 00:56:04.730 for thermal ablation. And in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9484114

00:56:04.730 --> 00:56:05.230 fact,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9589516

00:56:05.690 --> 00:56:06.890 the trial was stopped at
NOTE Confidence: 0.9589516

00:56:06.890 --> 00:56:08.730 the halfway point for meeting
NOTE Confidence: 0.9589516

00:56:08.730 --> 00:56:09.930 all the stopping rules. And
NOTE Confidence: 0.9589516

00:56:09.930 --> 00:56:10.430 therefore,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9925225

00:56:11.210 --> 00:56:11.690 while,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9911742

00:56:12.010 --> 00:56:13.290 doctor Khan did say that
NOTE Confidence: 0.9911742

00:56:13.290 --> 00:56:13.790 surgery
NOTE Confidence: 0.884603

00:56:14.170 --> 00:56:16.270 may be the preferred approach,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9976924

00:56:17.265 --> 00:56:18.484 We really need to individualize
NOTE Confidence: 0.9976924

00:56:18.704 --> 00:56:20.065 these patients to see if,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9804358

00:56:21.025 --> 00:56:22.944 colorectal cancer liver metastases may
NOTE Confidence: 0.9804358

00:56:22.944 --> 00:56:24.224 be better in certain patients
NOTE Confidence: 0.9804358

00:56:24.224 --> 00:56:25.545 being treated with ablation. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9804358
00:56:25.545 --> 00:56:26.785 I can tell you that
NOTE Confidence: 0.9804358
00:56:26.785 --> 00:56:28.005 based on this trial,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99670917
00:56:28.305 --> 00:56:29.444 I have seen an uptick
NOTE Confidence: 0.99670917
00:56:29.700 --> 00:56:30.980 in our number of patients
NOTE Confidence: 0.99670917
00:56:30.980 --> 00:56:32.020 that are being treated with
NOTE Confidence: 0.99670917
00:56:32.020 --> 00:56:32.520 ablation
NOTE Confidence: 0.99527824
00:56:32.980 --> 00:56:33.480 for,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99327487
00:56:34.020 --> 00:56:35.400 colorectal liver metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9760632
00:56:37.300 --> 00:56:38.820 Now getting on to conventional
NOTE Confidence: 0.9760632
00:56:38.820 --> 00:56:39.859 taste, there's only a couple
NOTE Confidence: 0.9760632
00:56:39.859 --> 00:56:41.400 of studies that show this.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9760632
00:56:41.460 --> 00:56:42.900 The idea here is that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9760632
00:56:43.219 --> 00:56:44.599 these patients were refractory
NOTE Confidence: 0.9156864
00:56:45.155 --> 00:56:46.135 to systemic therapy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9961649
00:56:46.435 --> 00:56:47.714 and they really had no
NOTE Confidence: 0.9961649

00:56:47.714 --> 00:56:48.535 other option.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9981891

00:56:48.994 --> 00:56:50.035 I would say that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9250936

00:56:50.355 --> 00:56:52.375 in this, particular prospective,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97067523

00:56:52.835 --> 00:56:54.855 single center, single arm trial,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97067523

00:56:54.994 --> 00:56:56.994 the conventional taste did achieve
NOTE Confidence: 0.97067523

00:56:56.994 --> 00:56:59.090 meaningful disease control. And what
NOTE Confidence: 0.97067523

00:56:59.090 --> 00:56:59.890 I mean by that is
NOTE Confidence: 0.97067523

00:56:59.890 --> 00:57:01.810 in sixty three percent, patients
NOTE Confidence: 0.97067523

00:57:01.810 --> 00:57:03.750 did have a response.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99114037

00:57:04.050 --> 00:57:05.250 So this is a palliative
NOTE Confidence: 0.99114037

00:57:05.250 --> 00:57:05.750 strategy
NOTE Confidence: 0.94557977

00:57:06.450 --> 00:57:08.050 and, has, as you can
NOTE Confidence: 0.94557977

00:57:08.050 --> 00:57:09.890 see, has, been involved in
NOTE Confidence: 0.94557977

00:57:09.890 --> 00:57:10.950 prolonging life.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98887676

00:57:11.489 --> 00:57:12.770 The one year survival in
NOTE Confidence: 0.98887676

00:57:12.770 --> 00:57:13.670 these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.99554276
00:57:14.555 --> 00:57:15.055 after,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99726003
00:57:15.435 --> 00:57:15.935 embolization
NOTE Confidence: 0.94019794
00:57:16.315 --> 00:57:17.915 was sixty two percent and
NOTE Confidence: 0.94019794
00:57:17.915 --> 00:57:18.895 two year survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.97745985
00:57:19.195 --> 00:57:20.875 was twenty eight percent. And
NOTE Confidence: 0.97745985
00:57:20.875 --> 00:57:22.095 this was just a retrospective
NOTE Confidence: 0.97745985
00:57:22.315 --> 00:57:23.835 study looking at a similar
NOTE Confidence: 0.97745985
00:57:23.835 --> 00:57:24.735 patient cohort
NOTE Confidence: 0.9813456
00:57:25.275 --> 00:57:26.315 in a hundred and twenty
NOTE Confidence: 0.9813456
00:57:26.315 --> 00:57:27.675 one patients with two hundred
NOTE Confidence: 0.9813456
00:57:27.675 --> 00:57:28.655 and forty five,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8931001
00:57:29.140 --> 00:57:30.900 treatments, and they also found
NOTE Confidence: 0.8931001
00:57:30.900 --> 00:57:32.180 that they got in conventional
NOTE Confidence: 0.8931001
00:57:32.180 --> 00:57:34.100 taste, moderate disease control. And
NOTE Confidence: 0.8931001
00:57:34.100 --> 00:57:35.140 they're this is done at
NOTE Confidence: 0.8931001

00:57:35.140 --> 00:57:36.200 University of Pennsylvania.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95216125

00:57:36.900 --> 00:57:38.340 And, in about forty percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.95216125

00:57:38.340 --> 00:57:39.940 of patients, they did have

NOTE Confidence: 0.95216125

00:57:39.940 --> 00:57:40.920 the this disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99954605

00:57:41.380 --> 00:57:41.880 control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9706908

00:57:43.185 --> 00:57:44.705 Now in terms of, drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.9706908

00:57:44.705 --> 00:57:45.605 eluting beads,

NOTE Confidence: 0.997177

00:57:45.905 --> 00:57:46.705 these are just some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.997177

00:57:46.705 --> 00:57:47.605 the key studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.964577

00:57:49.025 --> 00:57:50.565 We, it does have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.964577

00:57:50.625 --> 00:57:52.165 very different toxicity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9752572

00:57:52.465 --> 00:57:52.965 profile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9947839

00:57:53.745 --> 00:57:55.045 because you're not using,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81768745

00:57:55.425 --> 00:57:56.865 systemic you're not getting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81768745

00:57:56.865 --> 00:57:57.845 systemic toxicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93430775

00:57:58.560 --> 00:58:00.240 and there will be, shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.93430775

00:58:00.240 --> 00:58:01.540 quality of life advantages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739188

00:58:02.400 --> 00:58:03.920 favoring Dabirri. Now the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739188

00:58:03.920 --> 00:58:05.120 that I bolded, we're gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739188

00:58:05.120 --> 00:58:06.160 discuss in a little more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739188

00:58:06.160 --> 00:58:07.760 detail because those are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739188

00:58:07.760 --> 00:58:09.780 only two phase three prospective

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739188

00:58:09.840 --> 00:58:10.740 clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998188

00:58:11.120 --> 00:58:12.100 that are available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877623

00:58:12.885 --> 00:58:14.645 Now this was the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877623

00:58:14.645 --> 00:58:15.125 one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9624109

00:58:15.445 --> 00:58:16.985 published from, Italy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88447946

00:58:17.605 --> 00:58:19.145 which compared Dabiri

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962574

00:58:19.525 --> 00:58:20.025 versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.999408

00:58:20.325 --> 00:58:21.385 systemic therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9482854

00:58:22.005 --> 00:58:23.365 in patients who failed at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9482854

00:58:23.365 --> 00:58:25.145 least two lines of systemic
NOTE Confidence: 0.9482854

00:58:25.205 --> 00:58:26.585 therapy, including FOLFIRI.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9964525

00:58:26.970 --> 00:58:28.010 And we can see that
NOTE Confidence: 0.9964525

00:58:28.010 --> 00:58:29.310 in terms of the outcomes
NOTE Confidence: 0.9418845

00:58:30.010 --> 00:58:30.330 that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97598976

00:58:30.810 --> 00:58:32.650 patients had overall survival of
NOTE Confidence: 0.97598976

00:58:32.650 --> 00:58:33.950 twenty two months versus,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99158895

00:58:34.810 --> 00:58:36.270 fifteen months for FOLFIRI.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97880834

00:58:36.650 --> 00:58:38.330 And in terms of, progression
NOTE Confidence: 0.97880834

00:58:38.330 --> 00:58:38.990 free survival,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94982475

00:58:39.450 --> 00:58:40.985 seven months to four months,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94982475

00:58:40.985 --> 00:58:42.425 which, as you can see,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94982475

00:58:42.425 --> 00:58:43.485 was, statistically,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9969816

00:58:44.105 --> 00:58:44.605 significant.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9957696

00:58:45.385 --> 00:58:46.345 And then the second,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9897035

00:58:46.665 --> 00:58:47.865 clinical trial I wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897035
00:58:47.865 --> 00:58:49.805 highlight is this one here,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9889779
00:58:50.345 --> 00:58:50.825 which was,
NOTE Confidence: 0.93234813
00:58:51.465 --> 00:58:52.825 the main, site was, I
NOTE Confidence: 0.93234813
00:58:52.825 --> 00:58:54.605 guess, University of of Louisville.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9668522
00:58:55.070 --> 00:58:55.950 And they found that the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9668522
00:58:55.950 --> 00:58:56.930 addition of Dehuri
NOTE Confidence: 0.99945253
00:58:57.230 --> 00:58:57.730 significantly
NOTE Confidence: 0.9743611
00:58:58.110 --> 00:58:58.610 improved,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9956877
00:58:59.070 --> 00:59:00.910 response rate and progression free
NOTE Confidence: 0.9956877
00:59:00.910 --> 00:59:01.410 survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.9796947
00:59:01.790 --> 00:59:02.990 and did have a higher
NOTE Confidence: 0.9796947
00:59:02.990 --> 00:59:03.890 rate of conversion,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9783607
00:59:04.590 --> 00:59:05.250 to resection.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9987762
00:59:05.630 --> 00:59:07.150 So these patients had,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99981064
00:59:07.550 --> 00:59:08.050 despite
NOTE Confidence: 0.9294968

00:59:08.525 --> 00:59:10.605 worse baseline characteristics in the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9294968

00:59:10.605 --> 00:59:12.285 deveri arm, actually,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97934246

00:59:12.765 --> 00:59:14.525 had really good outcomes relative
NOTE Confidence: 0.97934246

00:59:14.525 --> 00:59:16.065 to, systemic therapy.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9788654

00:59:16.925 --> 00:59:17.905 And then lastly,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9970256

00:59:18.285 --> 00:59:19.805 these are the two main
NOTE Confidence: 0.9970256

00:59:19.805 --> 00:59:20.785 clinical trials,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98361474

00:59:21.645 --> 00:59:22.705 for y ninety.
NOTE Confidence: 0.88079786

00:59:23.085 --> 00:59:23.985 We have Servlox
NOTE Confidence: 0.95082605

00:59:24.500 --> 00:59:26.359 and EPoC. Now Servlox,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8944513

00:59:27.059 --> 00:59:28.980 also its sister, our companion
NOTE Confidence: 0.8944513

00:59:28.980 --> 00:59:29.480 study,
NOTE Confidence: 0.93558955

00:59:30.020 --> 00:59:30.520 FOXFIRE,
NOTE Confidence: 0.876521

00:59:32.020 --> 00:59:33.800 were both, phase three,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99830604

00:59:34.180 --> 00:59:35.160 clinical trials.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9891048

00:59:36.420 --> 00:59:36.920 Servlox

NOTE Confidence: 0.9918148
00:59:37.380 --> 00:59:37.880 was
NOTE Confidence: 0.7691414
00:59:38.415 --> 00:59:38.915 with
NOTE Confidence: 0.9398947
00:59:39.535 --> 00:59:40.675 Siroflox and Foxfire
NOTE Confidence: 0.9471812
00:59:41.295 --> 00:59:41.615 are,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9282852
00:59:42.255 --> 00:59:44.415 first line treatments. We're we're,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9282852
00:59:44.655 --> 00:59:45.935 looking at first line treatments,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9282852
00:59:45.935 --> 00:59:47.535 and they used the product
NOTE Confidence: 0.9282852
00:59:47.535 --> 00:59:48.035 SIRSPHERE,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9040388
00:59:48.495 --> 00:59:49.395 whereas EPOC
NOTE Confidence: 0.9479028
00:59:49.935 --> 00:59:50.435 was,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99922
00:59:51.055 --> 00:59:51.555 done
NOTE Confidence: 0.9260692
00:59:51.855 --> 00:59:52.255 as,
NOTE Confidence: 0.92118764
00:59:52.975 --> 00:59:54.035 second line therapy.
NOTE Confidence: 0.96515673
00:59:54.570 --> 00:59:55.930 And they use a completely
NOTE Confidence: 0.96515673
00:59:55.930 --> 00:59:57.870 different product which is TheraSPHERE.
NOTE Confidence: 0.96515673

00:59:58.090 --> 00:59:59.210 So although a lot of
NOTE Confidence: 0.96515673

00:59:59.210 --> 01:00:00.650 people will will just think
NOTE Confidence: 0.96515673

01:00:00.650 --> 01:00:02.030 that these two are interchangeable,
NOTE Confidence: 0.957922

01:00:02.570 --> 01:00:04.570 they're actually really not. But,
NOTE Confidence: 0.957922

01:00:04.810 --> 01:00:06.250 this is actually the, the
NOTE Confidence: 0.957922

01:00:06.250 --> 01:00:06.750 baseline,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94171774

01:00:07.210 --> 01:00:08.330 I say we say clinical
NOTE Confidence: 0.94171774

01:00:08.330 --> 01:00:09.870 trials that show the benefits
NOTE Confidence: 0.9954465

01:00:10.275 --> 01:00:11.255 of y ninety.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8378461

01:00:12.115 --> 01:00:12.994 So here, I just want
NOTE Confidence: 0.8378461

01:00:12.994 --> 01:00:15.095 to isolate surfolox and FOXFRIO
NOTE Confidence: 0.7260345

01:00:15.395 --> 01:00:15.895 global.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9832082

01:00:16.275 --> 01:00:17.635 We can see that, the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9832082

01:00:17.635 --> 01:00:19.315 median overall survival and the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9832082

01:00:19.315 --> 01:00:21.075 progression free survival really didn't
NOTE Confidence: 0.9832082

01:00:21.075 --> 01:00:22.440 change, but we did see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9764154
01:00:22.920 --> 01:00:24.359 in terms of liver specific
NOTE Confidence: 0.9764154
01:00:24.359 --> 01:00:25.500 progression free survival,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98841065
01:00:25.960 --> 01:00:27.339 improvement in both.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9912891
01:00:27.960 --> 01:00:29.079 It is important that a
NOTE Confidence: 0.9912891
01:00:29.079 --> 01:00:29.579 subgroup,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98690486
01:00:30.359 --> 01:00:32.359 analysis was done, which found
NOTE Confidence: 0.98690486
01:00:32.359 --> 01:00:34.780 that, there was improved survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.98690486
01:00:34.839 --> 01:00:36.755 benefit with y ninety in
NOTE Confidence: 0.98690486
01:00:36.755 --> 01:00:37.875 the setting of those patients
NOTE Confidence: 0.98690486
01:00:37.875 --> 01:00:39.315 that had right sided primary
NOTE Confidence: 0.98690486
01:00:39.315 --> 01:00:39.815 tumors.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9029824
01:00:40.115 --> 01:00:42.035 And, doctor Kormansky earlier had
NOTE Confidence: 0.9029824
01:00:42.035 --> 01:00:44.355 mentioned, why those patients actually
NOTE Confidence: 0.9029824
01:00:44.355 --> 01:00:45.575 have poor prognosis,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9227757
01:00:46.835 --> 01:00:48.215 with systemic therapy.
NOTE Confidence: 0.90749806

01:00:49.635 --> 01:00:51.415 This is the EPOC trial,
NOTE Confidence: 0.988603

01:00:52.130 --> 01:00:54.050 again, which was, four hundred
NOTE Confidence: 0.988603

01:00:54.050 --> 01:00:55.410 and twenty eight patients who
NOTE Confidence: 0.988603

01:00:55.410 --> 01:00:57.190 had already progressed on oxaliplatin
NOTE Confidence: 0.95887077

01:00:57.730 --> 01:00:59.410 or arinoTPM based first line
NOTE Confidence: 0.95887077

01:00:59.410 --> 01:01:01.590 therapy. They were randomly assigned
NOTE Confidence: 0.99116975

01:01:01.890 --> 01:01:03.830 to either, second line chemotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.98882943

01:01:04.815 --> 01:01:06.515 with or without, radioembolization.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9758591

01:01:07.375 --> 01:01:08.895 And, again, we can see
NOTE Confidence: 0.9758591

01:01:08.895 --> 01:01:10.415 that in terms of, median
NOTE Confidence: 0.9758591

01:01:10.415 --> 01:01:12.095 progression free survival as well
NOTE Confidence: 0.9758591

01:01:12.095 --> 01:01:14.035 as hepatic progression free survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.9758591

01:01:14.095 --> 01:01:15.315 and response rate
NOTE Confidence: 0.94246787

01:01:15.615 --> 01:01:17.615 that, it was actually better
NOTE Confidence: 0.94246787

01:01:17.615 --> 01:01:19.234 with the the y ninety
NOTE Confidence: 0.763623

01:01:19.535 --> 01:01:20.035 edition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99594855

01:01:21.110 --> 01:01:22.070 And then they did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99594855

01:01:22.070 --> 01:01:23.210 post hoc analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694106

01:01:23.510 --> 01:01:24.390 where they looked at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694106

01:01:24.390 --> 01:01:26.250 subgroup of patients that excluded

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694106

01:01:26.310 --> 01:01:27.750 those patients that were ECOG

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694106

01:01:27.750 --> 01:01:29.370 one or who had worse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99961126

01:01:29.750 --> 01:01:30.650 tumor burden

NOTE Confidence: 0.95444316

01:01:31.190 --> 01:01:32.950 and subgroup two, which they

NOTE Confidence: 0.95444316

01:01:32.950 --> 01:01:34.005 then looked at those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.95444316

01:01:34.085 --> 01:01:35.845 that had KRAS mutations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95444316

01:01:35.845 --> 01:01:37.384 addition to those in subgroup,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95074713

01:01:37.684 --> 01:01:38.984 in in the first subgroup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95074713

01:01:39.204 --> 01:01:41.384 And they found that, ultimately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712244

01:01:41.765 --> 01:01:43.204 the benefit of y ninety

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712244

01:01:43.204 --> 01:01:44.805 is not uniform and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712244

01:01:44.805 --> 01:01:46.244 the greatest benefit is in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9712244

01:01:46.244 --> 01:01:47.845 patients with who already have
NOTE Confidence: 0.9712244

01:01:47.845 --> 01:01:50.549 good performance status of, primarily
NOTE Confidence: 0.9712244

01:01:50.690 --> 01:01:52.950 ECOG zero, lower tumor burden,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9712244

01:01:53.010 --> 01:01:54.289 and those that are KRAS
NOTE Confidence: 0.9712244

01:01:54.289 --> 01:01:55.109 wild type.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9891818

01:01:55.490 --> 01:01:56.390 And then lastly,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9761025

01:01:56.930 --> 01:01:58.369 when should these various envelope
NOTE Confidence: 0.9761025

01:01:58.369 --> 01:01:59.730 therapies be used? Now I
NOTE Confidence: 0.9761025

01:01:59.730 --> 01:02:01.089 put, based on a lot
NOTE Confidence: 0.9761025

01:02:01.089 --> 01:02:02.775 of the literature, a methodology
NOTE Confidence: 0.9533625

01:02:03.235 --> 01:02:04.755 of or rationale of how
NOTE Confidence: 0.9533625

01:02:04.755 --> 01:02:06.035 you would do this. The
NOTE Confidence: 0.9533625

01:02:06.035 --> 01:02:07.875 treatment selection is driven by,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9745514

01:02:08.755 --> 01:02:09.255 intent,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97153795

01:02:09.555 --> 01:02:11.715 tumor burden, and biology, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97153795
01:02:11.715 --> 01:02:12.915 I you know, what I
NOTE Confidence: 0.97153795
01:02:12.915 --> 01:02:13.955 would say is that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99623966
01:02:14.515 --> 01:02:15.395 a lot of this is
NOTE Confidence: 0.99623966
01:02:15.395 --> 01:02:16.775 also due to,
NOTE Confidence: 0.92525446
01:02:18.090 --> 01:02:19.770 I guess, ex expertise at
NOTE Confidence: 0.92525446
01:02:19.770 --> 01:02:20.750 various locations.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97400975
01:02:21.370 --> 01:02:22.810 So, I don't think there's
NOTE Confidence: 0.97400975
01:02:22.810 --> 01:02:24.170 really a great way to
NOTE Confidence: 0.97400975
01:02:24.170 --> 01:02:25.290 say this patient should get
NOTE Confidence: 0.97400975
01:02:25.290 --> 01:02:26.650 Deburi, this patient should get
NOTE Confidence: 0.97400975
01:02:26.650 --> 01:02:27.690 y ninety, or this patient
NOTE Confidence: 0.97400975
01:02:27.690 --> 01:02:29.210 should get conventional taste, but
NOTE Confidence: 0.97400975
01:02:29.210 --> 01:02:30.090 I can tell you that
NOTE Confidence: 0.97400975
01:02:30.090 --> 01:02:31.710 y ninety at this time
NOTE Confidence: 0.97400975
01:02:31.895 --> 01:02:33.655 is, is, is the treatment
NOTE Confidence: 0.97400975

01:02:33.655 --> 01:02:34.474 that's favored.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9942886

01:02:35.175 --> 01:02:36.795 So with that, I'll conclude,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99771774

01:02:37.575 --> 01:02:39.015 with stating that we all
NOTE Confidence: 0.99771774

01:02:39.015 --> 01:02:39.575 know that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98978394

01:02:40.055 --> 01:02:41.734 colorectal liver metastases is a
NOTE Confidence: 0.98978394

01:02:41.734 --> 01:02:42.555 major problem.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9204853

01:02:43.494 --> 01:02:43.994 Multiple,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98245084

01:02:44.375 --> 01:02:46.430 patients ultimately are not resectable
NOTE Confidence: 0.98245084

01:02:46.430 --> 01:02:47.490 at the time of presentation.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9424408

01:02:48.109 --> 01:02:49.569 We know that liver metastases
NOTE Confidence: 0.9424408

01:02:49.710 --> 01:02:51.150 does represent the major cause
NOTE Confidence: 0.9424408

01:02:51.150 --> 01:02:51.890 of colorectal,
NOTE Confidence: 0.7201111

01:02:52.349 --> 01:02:52.849 mortality,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98582166

01:02:54.030 --> 01:02:55.069 and that using some of
NOTE Confidence: 0.98582166

01:02:55.069 --> 01:02:57.329 these inter interventional oncology strategies
NOTE Confidence: 0.98582166

01:02:57.470 --> 01:02:59.595 does expand the treatment options

NOTE Confidence: 0.98582166
01:02:59.595 --> 01:03:00.955 across the disease spectrum. And
NOTE Confidence: 0.98582166
01:03:00.955 --> 01:03:02.075 we can use, you know,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98582166
01:03:02.075 --> 01:03:03.135 preoperative augmentation
NOTE Confidence: 0.9568679
01:03:03.435 --> 01:03:04.875 for surgery. We can use
NOTE Confidence: 0.9568679
01:03:04.875 --> 01:03:05.375 ablation,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9634651
01:03:05.675 --> 01:03:06.875 and we can also use
NOTE Confidence: 0.9634651
01:03:06.875 --> 01:03:08.815 a transarterial therapy to control,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9634651
01:03:09.035 --> 01:03:10.415 liver dominant disease.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9360891
01:03:10.850 --> 01:03:12.370 And, hopefully, I've kind of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9360891
01:03:12.370 --> 01:03:13.010 relayed that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9880832
01:03:13.570 --> 01:03:14.850 there is strong evidence to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9880832
01:03:14.850 --> 01:03:15.990 support these techniques,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96822363
01:03:16.370 --> 01:03:17.890 but we, again, have to
NOTE Confidence: 0.96822363
01:03:17.890 --> 01:03:19.110 use these, multidisciplinary,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99655205
01:03:20.690 --> 01:03:22.930 systems to best, handle our
NOTE Confidence: 0.99655205

01:03:22.930 --> 01:03:23.430 patients.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9748918

01:03:23.744 --> 01:03:24.625 So with that, I think
NOTE Confidence: 0.9748918

01:03:24.625 --> 01:03:25.904 I'll stop there and, thank
NOTE Confidence: 0.9748918

01:03:25.904 --> 01:03:26.884 you for your attention.
NOTE Confidence: 0.95771426

01:03:30.384 --> 01:03:32.644 Alright. Thank you, doctor Adolf.
NOTE Confidence: 0.95771426

01:03:32.704 --> 01:03:34.805 I think anything that's named
NOTE Confidence: 0.96808046

01:03:35.184 --> 01:03:35.684 Firefox
NOTE Confidence: 0.97755444

01:03:36.065 --> 01:03:38.060 sounds like an exciting therapy.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97755444

01:03:38.060 --> 01:03:39.280 Yeah. Sounds pretty cool.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9793354

01:03:41.100 --> 01:03:43.120 Alright. So, again, if anybody,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9398542

01:03:43.740 --> 01:03:44.240 has,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99841523

01:03:44.700 --> 01:03:45.920 questions for,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9877425

01:03:46.380 --> 01:03:48.220 any of the panelists, feel
NOTE Confidence: 0.9877425

01:03:48.220 --> 01:03:49.740 free to either enter them
NOTE Confidence: 0.9877425

01:03:49.740 --> 01:03:50.560 in the chat,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9126723

01:03:51.365 --> 01:03:52.724 or in the q and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9126723
01:03:52.724 --> 01:03:53.204 a,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9798097
01:03:53.525 --> 01:03:54.425 and we will,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9885729
01:03:55.125 --> 01:03:56.325 try to answer them as
NOTE Confidence: 0.9885729
01:03:56.325 --> 01:03:57.385 we go or,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9635747
01:03:58.724 --> 01:03:59.684 you know, at the end
NOTE Confidence: 0.9635747
01:03:59.684 --> 01:04:00.905 of the the session.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9859272
01:04:01.765 --> 01:04:03.464 We have one more,
NOTE Confidence: 0.87962353
01:04:04.005 --> 01:04:04.470 talk,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9571587
01:04:05.190 --> 01:04:06.810 on the role of radiation
NOTE Confidence: 0.9571587
01:04:06.950 --> 01:04:08.650 oncology in the treatment of,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98663074
01:04:09.270 --> 01:04:10.250 liver metastases,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98556757
01:04:11.270 --> 01:04:12.890 presented by doctor Du.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9628866
01:04:33.170 --> 01:04:34.390 Doctor Du, you're muted.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9713009
01:04:39.570 --> 01:04:41.250 Thank you. I coulda you
NOTE Confidence: 0.9713009
01:04:41.250 --> 01:04:42.130 coulda just let me go
NOTE Confidence: 0.9713009

01:04:42.130 --> 01:04:43.010 on for the rest of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9713009
01:04:43.010 --> 01:04:43.670 the talk.
NOTE Confidence: 0.7842965
01:04:44.290 --> 01:04:45.410 That would have been probably
NOTE Confidence: 0.7842965
01:04:45.410 --> 01:04:45.910 better.
NOTE Confidence: 0.89785004
01:04:46.610 --> 01:04:47.730 So I was saying, I
NOTE Confidence: 0.89785004
01:04:47.730 --> 01:04:49.164 think that this Thank you,
NOTE Confidence: 0.89785004
01:04:49.164 --> 01:04:50.845 Doctor. Karmansky. Thank you, everyone.
NOTE Confidence: 0.89785004
01:04:50.845 --> 01:04:52.145 This is really
NOTE Confidence: 0.96096355
01:04:52.845 --> 01:04:53.964 what I take home from
NOTE Confidence: 0.96096355
01:04:53.964 --> 01:04:55.964 this session is really this
NOTE Confidence: 0.96096355
01:04:55.964 --> 01:04:57.085 idea that we have a
NOTE Confidence: 0.96096355
01:04:57.085 --> 01:04:59.585 wealth of treatment options for
NOTE Confidence: 0.96353126
01:04:59.885 --> 01:05:00.385 colorectal
NOTE Confidence: 0.8815328
01:05:00.765 --> 01:05:01.585 liver metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9855714
01:05:01.885 --> 01:05:02.385 And
NOTE Confidence: 0.9932808
01:05:02.829 --> 01:05:03.950 this is really a whirlwind

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932808
01:05:03.950 --> 01:05:05.230 tour tonight. So I'll talk
NOTE Confidence: 0.9932808
01:05:05.230 --> 01:05:06.849 to you about, the radiation
NOTE Confidence: 0.9932808
01:05:06.910 --> 01:05:07.730 part of it.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9608869
01:05:08.190 --> 01:05:09.150 Again, my name is Kevin
NOTE Confidence: 0.9608869
01:05:09.150 --> 01:05:10.430 Du. I'm associate professor in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9608869
01:05:10.430 --> 01:05:11.410 radiation oncology,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96034646
01:05:11.950 --> 01:05:12.829 at the Yale School of
NOTE Confidence: 0.96034646
01:05:12.829 --> 01:05:13.329 Medicine.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8744476
01:05:13.869 --> 01:05:14.190 And,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9982861
01:05:14.835 --> 01:05:16.115 I'll talk a little bit
NOTE Confidence: 0.9982861
01:05:16.115 --> 01:05:17.494 about radiation oncology,
NOTE Confidence: 0.965078
01:05:18.595 --> 01:05:20.835 just because, radiation does tend
NOTE Confidence: 0.965078
01:05:20.835 --> 01:05:21.714 to be a black box
NOTE Confidence: 0.965078
01:05:21.714 --> 01:05:23.395 for many people, and then,
NOTE Confidence: 0.965078
01:05:23.714 --> 01:05:24.214 specifically,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9695256

01:05:24.755 --> 01:05:25.955 what we can do with
NOTE Confidence: 0.9695256

01:05:25.955 --> 01:05:27.494 SBRT and liver metastases
NOTE Confidence: 0.9516771

01:05:27.875 --> 01:05:29.255 and then future opportunities
NOTE Confidence: 0.99984246

01:05:29.555 --> 01:05:30.435 that we have here at
NOTE Confidence: 0.99984246

01:05:30.435 --> 01:05:30.810 Yale.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98197174

01:05:31.530 --> 01:05:33.530 So, again, I'll just echo
NOTE Confidence: 0.98197174

01:05:33.530 --> 01:05:34.570 what everyone's been saying, which
NOTE Confidence: 0.98197174

01:05:34.570 --> 01:05:35.610 is this is an amazing
NOTE Confidence: 0.98197174

01:05:35.610 --> 01:05:37.790 group at Yale. GI oncology
NOTE Confidence: 0.98197174

01:05:38.010 --> 01:05:39.770 is inherently collaborative. It's a
NOTE Confidence: 0.98197174

01:05:39.770 --> 01:05:41.850 team effort, and, we have
NOTE Confidence: 0.98197174

01:05:41.850 --> 01:05:43.450 really a really amazing team
NOTE Confidence: 0.98197174

01:05:43.450 --> 01:05:45.070 here as tonight has demonstrated.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99204373

01:05:46.185 --> 01:05:47.785 Modern radiation therapy, I would
NOTE Confidence: 0.99204373

01:05:47.785 --> 01:05:49.385 say, is all about, the
NOTE Confidence: 0.99204373

01:05:49.385 --> 01:05:49.885 combining

NOTE Confidence: 0.97761416
01:05:50.265 --> 01:05:51.785 of all the advances in
NOTE Confidence: 0.97761416
01:05:51.785 --> 01:05:53.465 physics and biology that we've
NOTE Confidence: 0.97761416
01:05:53.465 --> 01:05:55.165 accumulated in the past century
NOTE Confidence: 0.8867781
01:05:55.545 --> 01:05:57.405 and really, using technology
NOTE Confidence: 0.99554443
01:05:57.705 --> 01:05:59.625 and advanced computing power to
NOTE Confidence: 0.99554443
01:05:59.625 --> 01:06:01.740 separate out the therapeutic index
NOTE Confidence: 0.99554443
01:06:01.740 --> 01:06:03.660 of, trying to control tumors
NOTE Confidence: 0.99554443
01:06:03.660 --> 01:06:04.940 while trying to spare normal
NOTE Confidence: 0.99554443
01:06:04.940 --> 01:06:05.440 tissues.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9542908
01:06:05.740 --> 01:06:07.340 And really, the overarching theme
NOTE Confidence: 0.9542908
01:06:07.340 --> 01:06:08.860 of radiation oncology is really
NOTE Confidence: 0.9542908
01:06:08.860 --> 01:06:10.960 this idea of, functional preservation,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9482186
01:06:11.260 --> 01:06:12.160 organ preservation,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9702873
01:06:13.580 --> 01:06:15.260 instead of the paradigm to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9702873
01:06:15.260 --> 01:06:16.320 cut is to cure.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9422294

01:06:16.775 --> 01:06:18.155 No offense, doctor Khan.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9720688

01:06:18.775 --> 01:06:20.315 Really, using radiation,
NOTE Confidence: 0.95779043

01:06:20.935 --> 01:06:21.835 to try to,
NOTE Confidence: 0.824133

01:06:23.815 --> 01:06:24.315 save,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9410911

01:06:25.815 --> 01:06:27.735 organs. And, and then the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9410911

01:06:27.735 --> 01:06:29.335 idea of, how do we,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9410911

01:06:29.735 --> 01:06:31.640 maximize tumor response, get,
NOTE Confidence: 0.93148524

01:06:32.280 --> 01:06:33.960 real, meaningful, and deep,
NOTE Confidence: 0.93106675

01:06:35.160 --> 01:06:36.380 control of cancers.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9532342

01:06:37.640 --> 01:06:39.020 So this is our workhorse
NOTE Confidence: 0.9532342

01:06:39.240 --> 01:06:39.740 machine.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9568728

01:06:40.040 --> 01:06:41.820 We call them linear accelerators
NOTE Confidence: 0.9568728

01:06:42.040 --> 01:06:42.700 or LINACs.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9642721

01:06:43.135 --> 01:06:44.575 They're all we do is
NOTE Confidence: 0.9642721

01:06:44.575 --> 01:06:46.015 we aim and focus and
NOTE Confidence: 0.9642721

01:06:46.015 --> 01:06:46.515 shape

NOTE Confidence: 0.8793226

01:06:47.055 --> 01:06:48.515 radiation, using x rays

NOTE Confidence: 0.95547

01:06:48.895 --> 01:06:50.255 and, aiming the x rays

NOTE Confidence: 0.95547

01:06:50.255 --> 01:06:51.535 at the tumor. This is

NOTE Confidence: 0.95547

01:06:51.535 --> 01:06:52.355 a noninvasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.695649

01:06:52.735 --> 01:06:53.235 treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9294561

01:06:54.175 --> 01:06:55.155 No anesthesia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8384228

01:06:55.775 --> 01:06:56.255 no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8934951

01:06:56.575 --> 01:06:57.075 sedation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9283477

01:06:58.480 --> 01:07:00.320 This entirely outpatient. You come

NOTE Confidence: 0.9283477

01:07:00.320 --> 01:07:01.520 in, you get the treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9283477

01:07:01.520 --> 01:07:02.640 you go home, you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9283477

01:07:02.640 --> 01:07:03.380 drive yourself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9920988

01:07:03.680 --> 01:07:05.120 and it's a painless treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9812896

01:07:05.440 --> 01:07:06.880 laying there on the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9812896

01:07:06.880 --> 01:07:08.480 machine just like any other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9812896

01:07:08.480 --> 01:07:08.980 x-ray.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99709636
01:07:09.920 --> 01:07:10.660 We have
NOTE Confidence: 0.86052084
01:07:11.815 --> 01:07:12.855 striven to,
NOTE Confidence: 0.83673704
01:07:13.975 --> 01:07:14.475 to,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98542756
01:07:14.855 --> 01:07:16.055 to try to make radiation
NOTE Confidence: 0.98542756
01:07:16.055 --> 01:07:17.995 more convenient and effective. And,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9819706
01:07:18.375 --> 01:07:20.055 this is really where, for
NOTE Confidence: 0.9819706
01:07:20.055 --> 01:07:22.295 liver, we've gone from very
NOTE Confidence: 0.9819706
01:07:22.295 --> 01:07:24.315 long extended multi week courses,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9819706
01:07:24.375 --> 01:07:26.190 many times five, six, seven,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9819706
01:07:26.190 --> 01:07:27.330 eight weeks of radiation
NOTE Confidence: 0.8422634
01:07:27.790 --> 01:07:29.330 daily to really,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9236538
01:07:30.109 --> 01:07:31.790 high doses of radiation to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9236538
01:07:31.790 --> 01:07:32.290 small,
NOTE Confidence: 0.89828664
01:07:33.630 --> 01:07:35.010 to small areas,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9925713
01:07:35.950 --> 01:07:37.410 a technique called stereotactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.96770835
01:07:37.790 --> 01:07:39.490 radiation therapy or SBRT
NOTE Confidence: 0.97677153
01:07:39.790 --> 01:07:40.530 for short.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9925093
01:07:41.355 --> 01:07:42.875 And, this is the idea
NOTE Confidence: 0.9925093
01:07:42.875 --> 01:07:44.315 that instead of treating a
NOTE Confidence: 0.9925093
01:07:44.315 --> 01:07:45.055 whole organ,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96753436
01:07:45.435 --> 01:07:46.955 here we see, like, for
NOTE Confidence: 0.96753436
01:07:46.955 --> 01:07:48.075 example, an example of whole
NOTE Confidence: 0.96753436
01:07:48.075 --> 01:07:48.895 brain radiation,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97607386
01:07:49.755 --> 01:07:50.235 really,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9930135
01:07:51.355 --> 01:07:53.115 treating very focused areas. You
NOTE Confidence: 0.9930135
01:07:53.115 --> 01:07:54.420 can see these little colored
NOTE Confidence: 0.9930135
01:07:54.500 --> 01:07:56.119 spots where we're really focusing
NOTE Confidence: 0.9930135
01:07:56.180 --> 01:07:56.839 the radiations,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9347943
01:07:57.700 --> 01:07:58.020 and,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9902685
01:07:58.660 --> 01:08:00.020 and really, trying to get
NOTE Confidence: 0.9902685

01:08:00.020 --> 01:08:01.940 very high ablative doses to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9902685

01:08:01.940 --> 01:08:03.319 provide long term control.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9604141

01:08:03.859 --> 01:08:05.460 So, this is start this
NOTE Confidence: 0.9604141

01:08:05.460 --> 01:08:06.900 paradigm started off in the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9604141

01:08:06.900 --> 01:08:08.440 brain as I'm showing you
NOTE Confidence: 0.9604141

01:08:08.645 --> 01:08:10.484 and has expanded across multiple
NOTE Confidence: 0.9604141

01:08:10.484 --> 01:08:12.585 body sites, including the spine,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9690272

01:08:13.444 --> 01:08:13.925 lung
NOTE Confidence: 0.96407664

01:08:14.405 --> 01:08:15.785 early stage lung cancers,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97914773

01:08:16.245 --> 01:08:18.245 and, even getting into GI,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9633277

01:08:18.805 --> 01:08:19.305 oncology,
NOTE Confidence: 0.90101486

01:08:20.245 --> 01:08:21.925 the GI oncology space such
NOTE Confidence: 0.90101486

01:08:21.925 --> 01:08:23.225 as in pancreas cancers.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9898963

01:08:23.780 --> 01:08:25.160 And, in all of these,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9898963

01:08:25.460 --> 01:08:26.739 the idea is really to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9898963

01:08:26.739 --> 01:08:27.560 try to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88110065

01:08:29.300 --> 01:08:31.080 provide a treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97913885

01:08:31.700 --> 01:08:32.600 that's effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9458699

01:08:33.700 --> 01:08:36.040 for and, that's well tolerated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9458699

01:08:36.100 --> 01:08:37.515 and and that in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9458699

01:08:37.515 --> 01:08:39.275 many cases may be better

NOTE Confidence: 0.9458699

01:08:39.275 --> 01:08:41.775 tolerated than, surgical interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99817246

01:08:43.035 --> 01:08:43.535 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99962604

01:08:43.915 --> 01:08:44.655 for liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9477195

01:08:45.275 --> 01:08:46.835 we this is a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9477195

01:08:46.835 --> 01:08:48.235 a newer approach. And by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9477195

01:08:48.235 --> 01:08:49.500 new, I mean that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9477195

01:08:49.580 --> 01:08:50.460 really been doing it for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9477195

01:08:50.460 --> 01:08:51.820 twenty years, but in medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9477195

01:08:51.820 --> 01:08:53.040 that's considered new.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759472

01:08:54.140 --> 01:08:54.880 This is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9945839

01:08:55.420 --> 01:08:57.260 where we focus radiation at
NOTE Confidence: 0.9945839

01:08:57.260 --> 01:08:58.780 liver tumors. So this is,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9643351

01:08:59.500 --> 01:09:01.100 where we're defining our target
NOTE Confidence: 0.9643351

01:09:01.100 --> 01:09:02.700 area in the red, and,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9386144

01:09:03.100 --> 01:09:04.000 the red,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98278123

01:09:04.804 --> 01:09:07.525 blue, green color wash is
NOTE Confidence: 0.98278123

01:09:07.525 --> 01:09:08.165 really this,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9937101

01:09:08.804 --> 01:09:10.405 is this, this kind of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9937101

01:09:10.405 --> 01:09:12.425 dose distribution of a highly
NOTE Confidence: 0.9937101

01:09:12.485 --> 01:09:13.465 focused radiation
NOTE Confidence: 0.9534834

01:09:13.925 --> 01:09:15.525 dose at the tumor, but
NOTE Confidence: 0.9534834

01:09:15.525 --> 01:09:16.800 then lower dose,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96127814

01:09:17.760 --> 01:09:19.520 around where we're focusing the
NOTE Confidence: 0.96127814

01:09:19.520 --> 01:09:21.280 radiation. And the analogy is
NOTE Confidence: 0.96127814

01:09:21.280 --> 01:09:22.559 like spotlights on a stage
NOTE Confidence: 0.96127814

01:09:22.559 --> 01:09:23.760 where there's a focused hot

NOTE Confidence: 0.96127814
01:09:23.760 --> 01:09:24.800 spot, but there's kind of
NOTE Confidence: 0.96127814
01:09:24.800 --> 01:09:26.639 this low dose bath of
NOTE Confidence: 0.96127814
01:09:26.639 --> 01:09:27.540 lights across,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9980172
01:09:28.000 --> 01:09:28.659 the stage.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9683263
01:09:29.375 --> 01:09:30.975 And, we can really treat
NOTE Confidence: 0.9683263
01:09:30.975 --> 01:09:32.735 rate, very effectively with this
NOTE Confidence: 0.9683263
01:09:32.735 --> 01:09:34.655 high dose radiation. And, you
NOTE Confidence: 0.9683263
01:09:34.655 --> 01:09:35.775 can see here the treatment
NOTE Confidence: 0.9683263
01:09:35.775 --> 01:09:37.635 effect where you have, hemorrhage,
NOTE Confidence: 0.40233955
01:09:41.737 --> 01:09:42.189 vascular
NOTE Confidence: 0.7538292
01:09:42.750 --> 01:09:43.250 fibrosis,
NOTE Confidence: 0.95985645
01:09:43.790 --> 01:09:45.090 and, tumor death.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98696107
01:09:45.790 --> 01:09:48.130 And, it's nice because, with
NOTE Confidence: 0.98696107
01:09:48.189 --> 01:09:48.689 three-dimensional
NOTE Confidence: 0.9986816
01:09:49.470 --> 01:09:50.670 imaging, we can see the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9986816

01:09:50.670 --> 01:09:51.170 anatomy
NOTE Confidence: 0.98721284

01:09:51.630 --> 01:09:52.830 in real time, and we
NOTE Confidence: 0.98721284

01:09:52.830 --> 01:09:54.510 can actually modulate the dose
NOTE Confidence: 0.98721284

01:09:54.510 --> 01:09:55.064 to affect
NOTE Confidence: 0.953535

01:09:56.185 --> 01:09:57.785 critical organs at risk like
NOTE Confidence: 0.953535

01:09:57.785 --> 01:09:59.064 the bowel, which in this
NOTE Confidence: 0.953535

01:09:59.064 --> 01:10:00.585 case is right next to
NOTE Confidence: 0.953535

01:10:00.585 --> 01:10:02.505 our target, and modulate it
NOTE Confidence: 0.953535

01:10:02.505 --> 01:10:03.705 and and really aim very
NOTE Confidence: 0.953535

01:10:03.705 --> 01:10:05.145 carefully so that we're safe
NOTE Confidence: 0.953535

01:10:05.145 --> 01:10:06.125 about this treatment.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9504963

01:10:07.064 --> 01:10:07.564 And,
NOTE Confidence: 0.738984

01:10:08.025 --> 01:10:08.505 and,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98996

01:10:09.250 --> 01:10:11.110 and and this has been,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98903656

01:10:12.210 --> 01:10:13.989 you know, over the years,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9977454

01:10:14.610 --> 01:10:15.969 becoming more and more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8600563
01:10:17.170 --> 01:10:18.530 I I would say safe
NOTE Confidence: 0.8600563
01:10:18.530 --> 01:10:19.409 as we learn,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9966356
01:10:20.050 --> 01:10:21.510 more and get more experience
NOTE Confidence: 0.9966356
01:10:21.570 --> 01:10:22.389 in our field.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9821676
01:10:23.205 --> 01:10:24.885 And so, these days, we're
NOTE Confidence: 0.9821676
01:10:24.885 --> 01:10:26.085 very careful about it in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9821676
01:10:26.085 --> 01:10:27.945 terms of trying to, maximize
NOTE Confidence: 0.9821676
01:10:28.085 --> 01:10:29.364 the tumor coverage, but then
NOTE Confidence: 0.9821676
01:10:29.364 --> 01:10:30.965 avoiding the normal tissue risks.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9821676
01:10:30.965 --> 01:10:32.645 And, this is an example
NOTE Confidence: 0.9821676
01:10:32.645 --> 01:10:34.505 of, some of the schematics
NOTE Confidence: 0.9821676
01:10:34.645 --> 01:10:36.185 that show our dose distribution
NOTE Confidence: 0.9622498
01:10:36.645 --> 01:10:37.625 to the tumor,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9642366
01:10:38.300 --> 01:10:40.060 compared to normal tissue. And,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9642366
01:10:40.380 --> 01:10:42.300 you know, basically, farther over
NOTE Confidence: 0.9642366

01:10:42.300 --> 01:10:44.460 to the right is, better
NOTE Confidence: 0.9642366

01:10:44.460 --> 01:10:46.140 coverage, and farther over to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9642366

01:10:46.140 --> 01:10:47.500 left is better normal tissue
NOTE Confidence: 0.9642366

01:10:47.500 --> 01:10:48.540 sparing. And you can see
NOTE Confidence: 0.9642366

01:10:48.540 --> 01:10:50.220 the really wide separation in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9642366

01:10:50.220 --> 01:10:51.280 the curves there.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9369778

01:10:52.175 --> 01:10:53.055 So this is an example.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9369778

01:10:53.055 --> 01:10:54.495 One of my patients situated
NOTE Confidence: 0.9369778

01:10:54.495 --> 01:10:55.555 a few years ago,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9074554

01:10:56.895 --> 01:10:58.354 did a dose of SBRT
NOTE Confidence: 0.9074554

01:10:58.655 --> 01:11:00.655 here, relatively low dose, forty
NOTE Confidence: 0.9074554

01:11:00.655 --> 01:11:01.795 gram and five fractions.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8752163

01:11:02.655 --> 01:11:04.255 Maybe not low dose, modest
NOTE Confidence: 0.8752163

01:11:04.255 --> 01:11:04.755 dose.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9823235

01:11:05.375 --> 01:11:06.600 This is a tumor that's
NOTE Confidence: 0.9823235

01:11:06.840 --> 01:11:08.439 really at the inferior liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823235
01:11:08.439 --> 01:11:10.300 surrounded by bowel, the kidney.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9823235
01:11:10.600 --> 01:11:11.560 And you can see right
NOTE Confidence: 0.9823235
01:11:11.560 --> 01:11:13.000 after treatment of this liver
NOTE Confidence: 0.9823235
01:11:13.000 --> 01:11:13.500 lesion,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9713257
01:11:14.600 --> 01:11:16.360 shrinkage over the course of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9713257
01:11:16.360 --> 01:11:17.880 the following ten months, and
NOTE Confidence: 0.9713257
01:11:17.880 --> 01:11:19.320 this has since been very
NOTE Confidence: 0.9713257
01:11:19.320 --> 01:11:20.060 well controlled.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9749339
01:11:21.125 --> 01:11:22.645 This is a fifty five
NOTE Confidence: 0.9749339
01:11:22.645 --> 01:11:23.604 year old woman with a
NOTE Confidence: 0.9749339
01:11:23.604 --> 01:11:25.945 single, solitary liver metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9674121
01:11:26.885 --> 01:11:28.885 Very small favorable, not anywhere
NOTE Confidence: 0.9674121
01:11:28.885 --> 01:11:29.925 near bowel. We're able to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9674121
01:11:29.925 --> 01:11:31.205 go to a full ablative
NOTE Confidence: 0.9674121
01:11:31.205 --> 01:11:32.564 dose of fifty gram five
NOTE Confidence: 0.9674121

01:11:32.564 --> 01:11:33.064 fractions
NOTE Confidence: 0.9170764
01:11:33.800 --> 01:11:35.560 and really, very well controlled
NOTE Confidence: 0.9170764
01:11:35.560 --> 01:11:36.520 here as seen in this
NOTE Confidence: 0.9170764
01:11:36.520 --> 01:11:37.580 PET scan response.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9696644
01:11:39.000 --> 01:11:39.500 And,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97076946
01:11:39.960 --> 01:11:41.640 going past, sort of case
NOTE Confidence: 0.97076946
01:11:41.640 --> 01:11:42.140 reports,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94092363
01:11:42.680 --> 01:11:43.500 we have,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9756214
01:11:45.479 --> 01:11:47.580 some, I would say, emerging
NOTE Confidence: 0.9756214
01:11:47.640 --> 01:11:49.515 data, to support the use
NOTE Confidence: 0.9756214
01:11:49.515 --> 01:11:51.775 of SBRT for liver metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.99558085
01:11:52.155 --> 01:11:53.375 This is out of,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9506359
01:11:54.554 --> 01:11:56.175 the, the Netherlands
NOTE Confidence: 0.86836684
01:11:56.635 --> 01:11:57.114 and,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9564101
01:11:57.675 --> 01:11:58.554 you know, kind of a
NOTE Confidence: 0.9564101
01:11:58.554 --> 01:11:59.995 little bit outdated at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9564101
01:11:59.995 --> 01:12:01.995 point, twenty thirteen to twenty
NOTE Confidence: 0.9564101
01:12:01.995 --> 01:12:02.975 nineteen era,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94079953
01:12:03.590 --> 01:12:05.350 about five hundred patients over
NOTE Confidence: 0.94079953
01:12:05.350 --> 01:12:07.270 thirteen centers, eighty percent of
NOTE Confidence: 0.94079953
01:12:07.270 --> 01:12:09.130 these were actually colorectal metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9421878
01:12:09.750 --> 01:12:11.530 Overall, local control about
NOTE Confidence: 0.9248611
01:12:11.910 --> 01:12:13.270 one year about eighty seven
NOTE Confidence: 0.9248611
01:12:13.270 --> 01:12:14.550 percent, and then this went
NOTE Confidence: 0.9248611
01:12:14.550 --> 01:12:15.830 down to seventy five percent
NOTE Confidence: 0.9248611
01:12:15.830 --> 01:12:16.810 over two years.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8990851
01:12:17.175 --> 01:12:18.715 Very encouragingly, phase,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9346505
01:12:19.015 --> 01:12:21.095 grade three four toxicities, only
NOTE Confidence: 0.9346505
01:12:21.095 --> 01:12:22.535 about four percent. So very
NOTE Confidence: 0.9346505
01:12:22.535 --> 01:12:23.035 low,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9895993
01:12:23.655 --> 01:12:25.195 grade three four toxicities.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97722155

01:12:26.455 --> 01:12:28.055 Phase two trial out of,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97722155

01:12:28.455 --> 01:12:28.955 Milan,
NOTE Confidence: 0.93694687

01:12:29.735 --> 01:12:31.755 sixty one patients, small small
NOTE Confidence: 0.9561703

01:12:32.140 --> 01:12:33.420 small trial, but,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9696258

01:12:35.100 --> 01:12:36.540 over one, three, and five
NOTE Confidence: 0.9696258

01:12:36.540 --> 01:12:38.060 years local control rates of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9696258

01:12:38.060 --> 01:12:40.080 ninety five percent and then
NOTE Confidence: 0.9696258

01:12:40.300 --> 01:12:42.700 really stabilizing and plateauing around
NOTE Confidence: 0.9696258

01:12:42.700 --> 01:12:44.460 eighty percent local control up
NOTE Confidence: 0.9696258

01:12:44.460 --> 01:12:45.435 to five years.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9640039

01:12:46.235 --> 01:12:47.354 Only one patient with a
NOTE Confidence: 0.9640039

01:12:47.354 --> 01:12:49.595 grade three adverse events, which
NOTE Confidence: 0.9640039

01:12:49.595 --> 01:12:49.995 was,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9705475

01:12:50.795 --> 01:12:52.314 chest wall pain, which can
NOTE Confidence: 0.9705475

01:12:52.314 --> 01:12:53.595 happen when the lesion is
NOTE Confidence: 0.9705475

01:12:53.595 --> 01:12:54.715 too close to the chest

NOTE Confidence: 0.9705475
01:12:54.715 --> 01:12:55.995 wall and causes some,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99904436
01:12:56.955 --> 01:12:57.455 inflammation
NOTE Confidence: 0.9494115
01:12:57.835 --> 01:12:58.895 or fibrosis.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9641326
01:12:59.880 --> 01:13:01.479 However, this chest wall pain
NOTE Confidence: 0.9641326
01:13:01.479 --> 01:13:03.320 resolved within a year, which
NOTE Confidence: 0.9641326
01:13:03.320 --> 01:13:05.080 we might expect actually to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9641326
01:13:05.080 --> 01:13:06.760 see with with patients after
NOTE Confidence: 0.9641326
01:13:06.760 --> 01:13:07.260 radiation,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98207283
01:13:08.600 --> 01:13:08.920 and,
NOTE Confidence: 0.91715145
01:13:09.560 --> 01:13:09.960 no,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9880686
01:13:10.600 --> 01:13:12.040 inflammation of the liver, which
NOTE Confidence: 0.9880686
01:13:12.040 --> 01:13:13.485 is, nice to see.
NOTE Confidence: 0.997519
01:13:14.045 --> 01:13:14.604 And then,
NOTE Confidence: 0.85945916
01:13:15.324 --> 01:13:15.824 SBRT
NOTE Confidence: 0.9435729
01:13:16.205 --> 01:13:17.965 s g zero one rolls
NOTE Confidence: 0.9435729

01:13:17.965 --> 01:13:19.165 off the tongue. This is
NOTE Confidence: 0.9435729

01:13:19.165 --> 01:13:21.085 a prospective study. Again, kind
NOTE Confidence: 0.9435729

01:13:21.085 --> 01:13:22.604 of a little outdated, just
NOTE Confidence: 0.9435729

01:13:22.604 --> 01:13:23.885 starting over a decade ago
NOTE Confidence: 0.9435729

01:13:23.885 --> 01:13:25.344 now, but fifty patients,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94245183

01:13:26.420 --> 01:13:27.939 medium follow-up about two years.
NOTE Confidence: 0.94245183

01:13:27.939 --> 01:13:28.740 And the two to four
NOTE Confidence: 0.94245183

01:13:28.740 --> 01:13:30.100 year local control is about
NOTE Confidence: 0.94245183

01:13:30.100 --> 01:13:31.540 eighty five percent, and then,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94245183

01:13:31.540 --> 01:13:33.380 again, kind of plateauing around
NOTE Confidence: 0.94245183

01:13:33.380 --> 01:13:34.120 eighty percent.
NOTE Confidence: 0.82513356

01:13:35.380 --> 01:13:35.700 And,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99689907

01:13:36.340 --> 01:13:36.840 interestingly,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9792408

01:13:37.300 --> 01:13:38.439 as you can see here,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9433364

01:13:39.015 --> 01:13:40.535 on the left sorry, on
NOTE Confidence: 0.9433364

01:13:40.535 --> 01:13:42.055 the right, is that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9433364

01:13:42.055 --> 01:13:43.415 with smaller tumors, less than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9433364

01:13:43.415 --> 01:13:45.255 five centimeter tumors, had much

NOTE Confidence: 0.9433364

01:13:45.255 --> 01:13:46.715 better progression free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96963096

01:13:47.255 --> 01:13:48.775 And so this does go

NOTE Confidence: 0.96963096

01:13:48.775 --> 01:13:50.295 to sort of the point

NOTE Confidence: 0.96963096

01:13:50.295 --> 01:13:51.835 that, many times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936056

01:13:53.979 --> 01:13:55.180 you know, when patients have

NOTE Confidence: 0.936056

01:13:55.180 --> 01:13:56.800 favorable small tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.91437805

01:13:57.340 --> 01:13:57.840 that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99526554

01:13:58.300 --> 01:13:59.979 really, those are the ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.99526554

01:13:59.979 --> 01:14:01.100 we have the most success

NOTE Confidence: 0.99526554

01:14:01.100 --> 01:14:01.600 with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98483354

01:14:02.140 --> 01:14:04.620 So over overall, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98483354

01:14:04.620 --> 01:14:05.120 combining

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953556

01:14:05.740 --> 01:14:07.680 many studies, thirty three studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9272048

01:14:08.155 --> 01:14:09.995 three thousand patients from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9272048

01:14:09.995 --> 01:14:11.615 nineties to twenty twenties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944032

01:14:12.715 --> 01:14:14.715 most of these retrospective series,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944032

01:14:14.715 --> 01:14:16.314 five perspective series in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.944032

01:14:16.314 --> 01:14:17.135 meta analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6665058

01:14:18.235 --> 01:14:18.635 that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966022

01:14:19.354 --> 01:14:21.115 with about fifty percent of

NOTE Confidence: 0.966022

01:14:21.115 --> 01:14:21.615 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828479

01:14:23.000 --> 01:14:23.500 who've

NOTE Confidence: 0.86803585

01:14:23.800 --> 01:14:25.000 in this series who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.86803585

01:14:25.000 --> 01:14:26.220 colorectal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9266816

01:14:28.360 --> 01:14:29.880 maybe sounding starting to sound

NOTE Confidence: 0.9266816

01:14:29.880 --> 01:14:30.840 like a broken record, but,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9266816

01:14:31.080 --> 01:14:32.360 local control at one year

NOTE Confidence: 0.9266816

01:14:32.360 --> 01:14:33.720 about eighty five percent and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9266816

01:14:33.720 --> 01:14:34.620 then kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9538022
01:14:35.479 --> 01:14:36.840 two, three year going down
NOTE Confidence: 0.9538022
01:14:36.840 --> 01:14:37.575 a little bit.
NOTE Confidence: 0.7691593
01:14:38.535 --> 01:14:39.035 Encouraging,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9116395
01:14:39.575 --> 01:14:40.955 again, very safe treatment,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99317634
01:14:41.815 --> 01:14:43.735 greater than grade three side
NOTE Confidence: 0.99317634
01:14:43.735 --> 01:14:45.975 effects, only three percent in
NOTE Confidence: 0.99317634
01:14:45.975 --> 01:14:47.835 over thirty thousand patients.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97719765
01:14:49.575 --> 01:14:50.775 And, you know, just a
NOTE Confidence: 0.97719765
01:14:50.775 --> 01:14:51.990 nod to doctor Madoff,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9846074
01:14:52.470 --> 01:14:54.070 that, you know, we are,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9011089
01:14:54.950 --> 01:14:55.430 we are,
NOTE Confidence: 0.95345646
01:14:56.870 --> 01:14:58.150 just like I'm following doctor
NOTE Confidence: 0.95345646
01:14:58.150 --> 01:15:00.230 Madoff, maybe SBRT is following
NOTE Confidence: 0.95345646
01:15:00.230 --> 01:15:00.730 ablation.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8877783
01:15:01.590 --> 01:15:03.290 The Amsterdam core registry,
NOTE Confidence: 0.999493

01:15:04.470 --> 01:15:04.970 compared
NOTE Confidence: 0.98791206

01:15:05.885 --> 01:15:07.564 patients that had ablation to
NOTE Confidence: 0.98791206

01:15:07.564 --> 01:15:09.405 patients that had SBRT, small
NOTE Confidence: 0.98791206

01:15:09.405 --> 01:15:10.365 series, only one hundred and
NOTE Confidence: 0.98791206

01:15:10.365 --> 01:15:11.725 forty four patients who had
NOTE Confidence: 0.98791206

01:15:11.725 --> 01:15:12.225 ablation,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96371436

01:15:12.845 --> 01:15:14.604 and versus fifty five patients,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96371436

01:15:14.604 --> 01:15:16.285 very small series, who had
NOTE Confidence: 0.96371436

01:15:16.285 --> 01:15:17.725 SBRT. And again, this is
NOTE Confidence: 0.96371436

01:15:17.725 --> 01:15:18.845 not a clinical trial, this
NOTE Confidence: 0.96371436

01:15:18.845 --> 01:15:19.755 is a registry.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9766427

01:15:20.560 --> 01:15:22.320 Patients who had SBRT were
NOTE Confidence: 0.9766427

01:15:22.320 --> 01:15:24.080 older, had more extra hepatic
NOTE Confidence: 0.9766427

01:15:24.080 --> 01:15:25.840 disease, larger tumors compared to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9766427

01:15:25.840 --> 01:15:26.900 the ablation patients.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9745629

01:15:27.679 --> 01:15:28.080 But,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9039531
01:15:28.640 --> 01:15:30.800 overall, the local procratitor free
NOTE Confidence: 0.9039531
01:15:30.800 --> 01:15:31.300 survival
NOTE Confidence: 0.98083854
01:15:31.920 --> 01:15:32.420 favored
NOTE Confidence: 0.90800583
01:15:32.800 --> 01:15:34.160 it was in favor of
NOTE Confidence: 0.90800583
01:15:34.160 --> 01:15:36.375 a better control with, ablation
NOTE Confidence: 0.90800583
01:15:36.435 --> 01:15:37.875 hazard ratio one point two
NOTE Confidence: 0.90800583
01:15:37.875 --> 01:15:38.375 four.
NOTE Confidence: 0.996511
01:15:39.715 --> 01:15:40.215 Interestingly,
NOTE Confidence: 0.924352
01:15:40.835 --> 01:15:41.955 in these patients, again, a
NOTE Confidence: 0.924352
01:15:41.955 --> 01:15:42.775 small cohort,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9144344
01:15:43.395 --> 01:15:45.475 no SAEs with SBRT and
NOTE Confidence: 0.9144344
01:15:45.475 --> 01:15:47.395 about six percent to greater
NOTE Confidence: 0.9144344
01:15:47.395 --> 01:15:48.835 than, grade three,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9992588
01:15:49.475 --> 01:15:50.854 SAEs with ablation.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8665976
01:15:52.750 --> 01:15:53.250 So,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99904585

01:15:53.710 --> 01:15:54.210 overall,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98677427
01:15:54.510 --> 01:15:56.270 you know, the data is
NOTE Confidence: 0.98677427
01:15:56.270 --> 01:15:56.770 intriguing,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94874823
01:15:57.150 --> 01:15:58.770 but, at the same time,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94874823
01:15:58.990 --> 01:16:00.590 I, you know, I I
NOTE Confidence: 0.94874823
01:16:00.590 --> 01:16:01.650 I I would,
NOTE Confidence: 0.83632326
01:16:03.070 --> 01:16:03.570 always,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98928833
01:16:04.555 --> 01:16:05.275 say that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9870976
01:16:05.915 --> 01:16:07.435 SBRT is an option, but
NOTE Confidence: 0.9870976
01:16:07.435 --> 01:16:08.495 it's, unclear,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96772677
01:16:09.035 --> 01:16:10.875 you know, especially for patients
NOTE Confidence: 0.96772677
01:16:10.875 --> 01:16:11.935 with small favorable,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9207831
01:16:13.435 --> 01:16:14.975 tumors. You know, surgery,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97105175
01:16:15.595 --> 01:16:16.955 is is still the gold
NOTE Confidence: 0.97105175
01:16:16.955 --> 01:16:18.735 standard. Ablation is still,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9249649
01:16:19.239 --> 01:16:19.739 more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9957977

01:16:20.199 --> 01:16:22.360 commonly done. And so, this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9957977

01:16:22.360 --> 01:16:23.020 is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94926107

01:16:23.639 --> 01:16:26.460 American Radium Society consensus guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.94926107

01:16:26.520 --> 01:16:28.139 for selection of local therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9348966

01:16:29.080 --> 01:16:29.560 for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958003

01:16:30.199 --> 01:16:32.280 for liver metastases published a

NOTE Confidence: 0.958003

01:16:32.280 --> 01:16:33.719 few years ago. And this

NOTE Confidence: 0.958003

01:16:33.719 --> 01:16:35.455 is actually the the author

NOTE Confidence: 0.958003

01:16:35.455 --> 01:16:36.975 line was was mostly all

NOTE Confidence: 0.958003

01:16:36.975 --> 01:16:38.975 radoncs. And even then, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.958003

01:16:38.975 --> 01:16:40.415 know, I think, even with

NOTE Confidence: 0.958003

01:16:40.415 --> 01:16:41.775 that bias that these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.958003

01:16:41.775 --> 01:16:43.695 actually radiation oncologists putting this

NOTE Confidence: 0.958003

01:16:43.695 --> 01:16:44.195 together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9426948

01:16:45.055 --> 01:16:46.114 they deferred,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96052736

01:16:47.200 --> 01:16:47.700 SBRT,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9155152

01:16:48.880 --> 01:16:49.280 for,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9568705

01:16:49.680 --> 01:16:51.200 and and kind of subset
NOTE Confidence: 0.9568705

01:16:51.200 --> 01:16:52.640 it out most patients, I
NOTE Confidence: 0.9568705

01:16:52.640 --> 01:16:54.000 think, that would be favorable
NOTE Confidence: 0.9568705

01:16:54.000 --> 01:16:54.660 for ablation.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9960455

01:16:55.200 --> 01:16:56.000 However, if,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98689824

01:16:56.720 --> 01:16:58.240 if the tumor was larger,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98689824

01:16:58.240 --> 01:16:58.740 probably,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9597505

01:16:59.200 --> 01:17:00.979 maybe leaning more toward SBRT.
NOTE Confidence: 0.81620795

01:17:02.695 --> 01:17:03.195 And,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97618026

01:17:03.895 --> 01:17:05.675 you know, but but, certainly,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9369707

01:17:06.655 --> 01:17:07.435 a multidisciplinary
NOTE Confidence: 0.9401005

01:17:07.895 --> 01:17:08.935 discussion in this,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9888596

01:17:09.415 --> 01:17:11.335 this this workflow kinda gives
NOTE Confidence: 0.9888596

01:17:11.335 --> 01:17:12.635 me a headache here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99310046
01:17:13.895 --> 01:17:15.655 I think we, of course,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99310046
01:17:15.655 --> 01:17:16.555 then need
NOTE Confidence: 0.99338275
01:17:17.580 --> 01:17:19.740 stronger data to really try
NOTE Confidence: 0.99338275
01:17:19.740 --> 01:17:21.180 to figure out, the role
NOTE Confidence: 0.99338275
01:17:21.180 --> 01:17:22.620 of SBRT with all the
NOTE Confidence: 0.99338275
01:17:22.620 --> 01:17:24.380 other local regional therapies we
NOTE Confidence: 0.99338275
01:17:24.380 --> 01:17:24.880 use.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8273506
01:17:26.140 --> 01:17:27.660 The collision trial was,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9490788
01:17:28.460 --> 01:17:29.360 was discussed,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98276955
01:17:30.140 --> 01:17:30.860 looking at,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9911106
01:17:31.260 --> 01:17:32.800 ablation versus surgery.
NOTE Confidence: 0.95389074
01:17:33.315 --> 01:17:34.535 The collision group,
NOTE Confidence: 0.89208245
01:17:35.075 --> 01:17:37.395 started this collision XL trial
NOTE Confidence: 0.89208245
01:17:37.395 --> 01:17:39.235 phase two, three randomized controlled
NOTE Confidence: 0.89208245
01:17:39.235 --> 01:17:39.735 trial,
NOTE Confidence: 0.91531724

01:17:40.115 --> 01:17:42.275 patients with colorectal cancer liver
NOTE Confidence: 0.91531724

01:17:42.275 --> 01:17:42.775 metastases,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96113026

01:17:43.395 --> 01:17:45.655 looking at, microwave ablation versus
NOTE Confidence: 0.96113026

01:17:45.820 --> 01:17:48.220 SBRT, still enrolling, and I'm
NOTE Confidence: 0.96113026

01:17:48.220 --> 01:17:49.660 not entirely sure if it
NOTE Confidence: 0.96113026

01:17:49.660 --> 01:17:51.420 will complete, but,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9908064

01:17:52.380 --> 01:17:53.580 difficult trial to,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9713459

01:17:54.060 --> 01:17:56.220 to randomize to, but certainly
NOTE Confidence: 0.9713459

01:17:56.220 --> 01:17:58.080 something which, we need.
NOTE Confidence: 0.95942056

01:17:59.314 --> 01:17:59.554 So,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9081876

01:18:00.195 --> 01:18:00.695 so,
NOTE Confidence: 0.90898037

01:18:01.554 --> 01:18:03.335 so I think, you know,
NOTE Confidence: 0.90898037

01:18:03.475 --> 01:18:04.935 here at Yale then,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97346807

01:18:05.314 --> 01:18:06.915 just to kind of, say
NOTE Confidence: 0.97346807

01:18:06.915 --> 01:18:07.735 what we have,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97862965

01:18:08.195 --> 01:18:09.155 you know, we are moving

NOTE Confidence: 0.97862965
01:18:09.155 --> 01:18:10.835 toward MR guided radiation for
NOTE Confidence: 0.97862965
01:18:10.835 --> 01:18:11.655 liver SBRT.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9903471
01:18:12.275 --> 01:18:14.750 MRI imaging is just, so
NOTE Confidence: 0.9903471
01:18:14.750 --> 01:18:16.670 much better than CT based
NOTE Confidence: 0.9903471
01:18:16.670 --> 01:18:17.810 imaging for,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9228264
01:18:18.189 --> 01:18:20.350 targeting. And in this, trial
NOTE Confidence: 0.9228264
01:18:20.350 --> 01:18:22.130 looking at MR guided radiation,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9490252
01:18:23.070 --> 01:18:24.270 one year local control with
NOTE Confidence: 0.9490252
01:18:24.270 --> 01:18:25.950 ninety five percent and then
NOTE Confidence: 0.9490252
01:18:25.950 --> 01:18:27.390 two year kind of eighty
NOTE Confidence: 0.9490252
01:18:27.390 --> 01:18:28.370 percent range,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99830246
01:18:28.750 --> 01:18:29.570 very little
NOTE Confidence: 0.99504495
01:18:30.645 --> 01:18:31.125 toxicity.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9719211
01:18:31.604 --> 01:18:32.885 And here at Yale, we
NOTE Confidence: 0.9719211
01:18:32.885 --> 01:18:34.885 have two programs which are,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9719211

01:18:35.205 --> 01:18:36.025 up and coming.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98715544

01:18:36.405 --> 01:18:38.165 One is, this idea of
NOTE Confidence: 0.98715544

01:18:38.165 --> 01:18:38.985 pet guided
NOTE Confidence: 0.69589883

01:18:39.365 --> 01:18:39.865 biologic,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8679786

01:18:40.564 --> 01:18:41.705 radiation therapy.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9959055

01:18:42.510 --> 01:18:43.010 And,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9445215

01:18:43.470 --> 01:18:44.750 really, this idea of using
NOTE Confidence: 0.9445215

01:18:44.750 --> 01:18:46.850 PET guidance to target multiple
NOTE Confidence: 0.9445215

01:18:46.990 --> 01:18:47.490 spots,
NOTE Confidence: 0.999843

01:18:48.110 --> 01:18:48.610 simultaneously
NOTE Confidence: 0.8441534

01:18:49.150 --> 01:18:51.090 with s an SBRT approach.
NOTE Confidence: 0.96687645

01:18:52.590 --> 01:18:54.910 This is, FDA approved for
NOTE Confidence: 0.96687645

01:18:54.910 --> 01:18:55.970 lung and bone,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8956734

01:18:56.590 --> 01:18:57.090 lesions,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9754506

01:18:58.455 --> 01:19:00.055 and, not yet for liver,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9754506

01:19:00.055 --> 01:19:01.255 but, I think would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9754506
01:19:01.255 --> 01:19:03.435 an attractive approach and certainly,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97974414
01:19:03.975 --> 01:19:05.755 something we're interested in developing.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9789261
01:19:06.375 --> 01:19:08.135 And then, very importantly for
NOTE Confidence: 0.9789261
01:19:08.135 --> 01:19:09.415 the state of Connecticut, we
NOTE Confidence: 0.9789261
01:19:09.415 --> 01:19:09.895 have,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9370557
01:19:10.215 --> 01:19:12.750 the Connecticut Proton Sent Therapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.9370557
01:19:12.750 --> 01:19:14.610 Center opening up in Wallingford
NOTE Confidence: 0.9882496
01:19:14.990 --> 01:19:16.370 this coming October,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9958021
01:19:17.630 --> 01:19:19.330 ahead of schedule for opening,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99959904
01:19:20.270 --> 01:19:20.770 where
NOTE Confidence: 0.88144773
01:19:21.790 --> 01:19:23.710 proton therapy is a very
NOTE Confidence: 0.88144773
01:19:23.710 --> 01:19:24.210 valuable,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99273807
01:19:25.550 --> 01:19:26.050 and
NOTE Confidence: 0.9879082
01:19:27.364 --> 01:19:28.425 form of radiation
NOTE Confidence: 0.9534294
01:19:28.804 --> 01:19:29.304 where,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9507273

01:19:30.245 --> 01:19:31.604 that can potentially lead to,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9507273

01:19:31.925 --> 01:19:33.225 better organ sparing,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97425467

01:19:33.604 --> 01:19:35.045 normal tissue sparing, I should
NOTE Confidence: 0.97425467

01:19:35.045 --> 01:19:37.045 say, for radiation, reducing the
NOTE Confidence: 0.97425467

01:19:37.045 --> 01:19:37.545 toxicities,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9646526

01:19:38.405 --> 01:19:40.344 making radiation safer, and potentially
NOTE Confidence: 0.9646526

01:19:40.405 --> 01:19:41.385 allowing the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9565021

01:19:42.550 --> 01:19:43.350 ability to,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9950569

01:19:44.070 --> 01:19:45.830 to increase radiation dose and
NOTE Confidence: 0.9950569

01:19:45.830 --> 01:19:47.530 get a better therapeutic effect.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9947507

01:19:48.070 --> 01:19:50.330 So, this is something where,
NOTE Confidence: 0.93451226

01:19:50.630 --> 01:19:51.670 this is will be the
NOTE Confidence: 0.93451226

01:19:51.670 --> 01:19:53.270 first and and only,
NOTE Confidence: 0.90447825

01:19:53.670 --> 01:19:56.070 proton center in Connecticut and,
NOTE Confidence: 0.987154

01:19:57.055 --> 01:19:59.135 something where, for liver directed
NOTE Confidence: 0.987154

01:19:59.135 --> 01:20:00.495 therapy, this has been looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.987154
01:20:00.495 --> 01:20:00.975 at,
NOTE Confidence: 0.91648185
01:20:01.535 --> 01:20:03.375 really in terms of, very
NOTE Confidence: 0.91648185
01:20:03.375 --> 01:20:05.695 great, very, very, much,
NOTE Confidence: 0.95507103
01:20:06.175 --> 01:20:08.255 much more effectively sparing normal
NOTE Confidence: 0.95507103
01:20:08.255 --> 01:20:10.575 liver from radiation exposure. And
NOTE Confidence: 0.95507103
01:20:10.575 --> 01:20:11.695 you can see that here
NOTE Confidence: 0.95507103
01:20:11.695 --> 01:20:13.020 with this kind of even
NOTE Confidence: 0.95507103
01:20:13.020 --> 01:20:15.260 though the the the red
NOTE Confidence: 0.95507103
01:20:15.260 --> 01:20:17.179 hot spot is is, very
NOTE Confidence: 0.95507103
01:20:17.179 --> 01:20:18.619 well shaped in both plans
NOTE Confidence: 0.95507103
01:20:18.619 --> 01:20:19.739 here on the left and
NOTE Confidence: 0.95507103
01:20:19.739 --> 01:20:21.020 a proton plan and here
NOTE Confidence: 0.95507103
01:20:21.020 --> 01:20:21.579 on the right,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8685416
01:20:22.219 --> 01:20:23.280 x-ray plan,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9774908
01:20:23.739 --> 01:20:24.860 that the low dose bath
NOTE Confidence: 0.9774908

01:20:24.860 --> 01:20:26.000 is greatly reduced,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9899332

01:20:26.564 --> 01:20:28.025 with, with protons,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9751005

01:20:28.485 --> 01:20:30.485 which can, really, help to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9751005

01:20:30.485 --> 01:20:31.525 reduce some of those,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9995022

01:20:32.645 --> 01:20:34.425 potential side effects of radiation.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9949445

01:20:35.445 --> 01:20:35.945 So
NOTE Confidence: 0.8890059

01:20:37.205 --> 01:20:38.725 ultimately, this is a non
NOTE Confidence: 0.8890059

01:20:38.725 --> 01:20:39.225 invasive
NOTE Confidence: 0.82074845

01:20:39.660 --> 01:20:41.280 technique. No an anesthesia,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9796343

01:20:41.900 --> 01:20:42.800 you know, probably,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9877672

01:20:43.580 --> 01:20:45.120 in the current paradigm,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98686206

01:20:46.140 --> 01:20:47.739 best for patients who,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98141867

01:20:48.140 --> 01:20:50.460 are not, really up for
NOTE Confidence: 0.98141867

01:20:50.460 --> 01:20:50.960 surgery
NOTE Confidence: 0.99691

01:20:51.340 --> 01:20:52.699 or and may want to
NOTE Confidence: 0.99691

01:20:52.699 --> 01:20:53.679 avoid procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66776854
01:20:55.335 --> 01:20:55.575 The,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9716105
01:20:56.375 --> 01:20:57.814 one year local control rate
NOTE Confidence: 0.9716105
01:20:57.814 --> 01:20:59.015 is somewhere from eighty five
NOTE Confidence: 0.9716105
01:20:59.015 --> 01:21:00.375 to ninety five percent and
NOTE Confidence: 0.9716105
01:21:00.375 --> 01:21:01.575 then kind of levels off
NOTE Confidence: 0.9716105
01:21:01.575 --> 01:21:02.935 around eighty percent after that.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9716105
01:21:02.935 --> 01:21:04.215 So, you know, not as
NOTE Confidence: 0.9716105
01:21:04.215 --> 01:21:05.575 good as surgery, but,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99125105
01:21:05.975 --> 01:21:07.115 also less invasive.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98619986
01:21:07.750 --> 01:21:09.510 So, you know, patients may,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98619986
01:21:09.750 --> 01:21:10.950 think that maybe they wanna
NOTE Confidence: 0.98619986
01:21:10.950 --> 01:21:11.910 give it a try since
NOTE Confidence: 0.98619986
01:21:11.910 --> 01:21:13.030 there is a good control
NOTE Confidence: 0.98619986
01:21:13.030 --> 01:21:14.570 rate, if it avoids,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9294958
01:21:15.590 --> 01:21:16.650 a stay in the hospital.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98606175

01:21:17.990 --> 01:21:19.110 Low rates of,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9774235

01:21:19.670 --> 01:21:21.770 of, grade three adverse events.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9353571

01:21:22.445 --> 01:21:22.945 However,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9824308

01:21:23.644 --> 01:21:24.844 all the data is really
NOTE Confidence: 0.9824308

01:21:24.844 --> 01:21:26.764 kind of early and, or
NOTE Confidence: 0.9824308

01:21:26.764 --> 01:21:27.264 retrospective
NOTE Confidence: 0.9989895

01:21:27.564 --> 01:21:28.064 series
NOTE Confidence: 0.96061444

01:21:28.445 --> 01:21:30.204 and randomized clinical trials are
NOTE Confidence: 0.96061444

01:21:30.204 --> 01:21:31.885 really needed to compare what,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96061444

01:21:32.125 --> 01:21:33.644 what we're doing with radiation.
NOTE Confidence: 0.96061444

01:21:33.644 --> 01:21:35.085 And is it, better or
NOTE Confidence: 0.96061444

01:21:35.085 --> 01:21:36.710 worse than and and to
NOTE Confidence: 0.96061444

01:21:36.710 --> 01:21:38.010 what degree to other,
NOTE Confidence: 0.90299976

01:21:39.590 --> 01:21:41.830 available liver directed therapies of
NOTE Confidence: 0.90299976

01:21:41.830 --> 01:21:43.030 which there are many in
NOTE Confidence: 0.90299976

01:21:43.030 --> 01:21:44.410 which they are effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98491347
01:21:45.590 --> 01:21:46.950 And then there's the opportunity
NOTE Confidence: 0.98491347
01:21:46.950 --> 01:21:49.110 for programmatic developments and novel
NOTE Confidence: 0.98491347
01:21:49.110 --> 01:21:50.490 technologies at Yale,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9699618
01:21:50.824 --> 01:21:51.965 MR guided SBRT,
NOTE Confidence: 0.92285347
01:21:52.665 --> 01:21:54.425 PET guided SBRT, and very
NOTE Confidence: 0.92285347
01:21:54.425 --> 01:21:54.925 importantly,
NOTE Confidence: 0.98297846
01:21:55.304 --> 01:21:56.284 proton therapies.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98921096
01:21:57.064 --> 01:21:58.344 So, thank you very much
NOTE Confidence: 0.98921096
01:21:58.344 --> 01:21:59.245 for all your attention,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9803629
01:21:59.784 --> 01:22:01.784 and for the platform to,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9803629
01:22:02.185 --> 01:22:02.685 discuss
NOTE Confidence: 0.9288775
01:22:03.000 --> 01:22:04.039 radiation and the role of
NOTE Confidence: 0.9288775
01:22:04.039 --> 01:22:06.780 SBRT and liver colorectal metastases.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9835255
01:22:07.639 --> 01:22:09.400 I'll, turn it back over
NOTE Confidence: 0.9835255
01:22:09.400 --> 01:22:10.539 to doctor Kormansky.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9879142

01:22:13.000 --> 01:22:15.260 Alright. Well, we have reached
NOTE Confidence: 0.9879142

01:22:15.479 --> 01:22:16.920 the end of our talks.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9879142

01:22:16.920 --> 01:22:17.979 I wanna thank,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99291015

01:22:19.395 --> 01:22:20.915 my colleagues for their,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99854267

01:22:21.555 --> 01:22:22.055 excellent
NOTE Confidence: 0.999646

01:22:22.515 --> 01:22:23.795 overviews. I know each of
NOTE Confidence: 0.999646

01:22:23.795 --> 01:22:25.495 them could probably go on
NOTE Confidence: 0.9893174

01:22:26.195 --> 01:22:27.895 for hours on their individual
NOTE Confidence: 0.9893174

01:22:28.115 --> 01:22:28.615 topics.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9929622

01:22:29.395 --> 01:22:30.215 And so
NOTE Confidence: 0.99891424

01:22:30.710 --> 01:22:31.610 I also wanna
NOTE Confidence: 0.9988996

01:22:31.989 --> 01:22:33.350 point out that, you know,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9988996

01:22:33.350 --> 01:22:34.330 what is clear
NOTE Confidence: 0.9940054

01:22:34.790 --> 01:22:37.449 is that management of colorectal
NOTE Confidence: 0.9940054

01:22:37.510 --> 01:22:39.370 liver metastases in an aggressive
NOTE Confidence: 0.9940054

01:22:39.590 --> 01:22:40.090 fashion

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781464
01:22:40.710 --> 01:22:42.969 matters and can improve survival,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9638479
01:22:43.995 --> 01:22:45.275 and that doing that in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9638479
01:22:45.275 --> 01:22:46.235 a way that is,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99976164
01:22:46.635 --> 01:22:47.455 through a collaborative
NOTE Confidence: 0.9522641
01:22:47.755 --> 01:22:48.255 team,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9997638
01:22:49.195 --> 01:22:50.255 is really important.
NOTE Confidence: 0.97119653
01:22:51.035 --> 01:22:51.854 We do,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99706393
01:22:52.955 --> 01:22:54.715 have a couple of minutes
NOTE Confidence: 0.99706393
01:22:54.715 --> 01:22:56.235 for some questions. I think
NOTE Confidence: 0.99706393
01:22:56.235 --> 01:22:57.570 most of them have been
NOTE Confidence: 0.99706393
01:22:57.570 --> 01:22:59.250 answered as they came through
NOTE Confidence: 0.99706393
01:22:59.250 --> 01:23:00.290 in the chat, and I
NOTE Confidence: 0.99706393
01:23:00.290 --> 01:23:01.270 don't see any
NOTE Confidence: 0.99980986
01:23:01.969 --> 01:23:03.110 additional there.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9723075
01:23:04.130 --> 01:23:05.409 I did wanna point out
NOTE Confidence: 0.9723075

01:23:05.409 --> 01:23:07.330 one of the questions did,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9944742

01:23:07.810 --> 01:23:08.710 ask about,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94490004

01:23:09.170 --> 01:23:10.150 the role of,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9204874

01:23:10.985 --> 01:23:11.485 ctDNA
NOTE Confidence: 0.9629028

01:23:12.025 --> 01:23:13.005 in in monitoring,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9713966

01:23:13.865 --> 01:23:15.545 minimal residual disease, and I
NOTE Confidence: 0.9713966

01:23:15.545 --> 01:23:16.445 would say
NOTE Confidence: 0.9958478

01:23:17.064 --> 01:23:18.604 that that is a topic
NOTE Confidence: 0.9958478

01:23:18.665 --> 01:23:20.365 that can be its own,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9904855

01:23:21.705 --> 01:23:23.625 CME webinar at a future
NOTE Confidence: 0.9904855

01:23:23.625 --> 01:23:24.825 date. I think there's, you
NOTE Confidence: 0.9904855

01:23:24.825 --> 01:23:26.439 know, lots a lot that
NOTE Confidence: 0.9904855

01:23:26.439 --> 01:23:27.100 we know
NOTE Confidence: 0.98655826

01:23:27.479 --> 01:23:28.840 and probably even more that
NOTE Confidence: 0.98655826

01:23:28.840 --> 01:23:29.960 we don't know about how
NOTE Confidence: 0.98655826

01:23:29.960 --> 01:23:31.340 best to use that technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86899537
01:23:36.200 --> 01:23:37.170 Right. There is,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8280935
01:23:39.354 --> 01:23:39.755 one,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9945513
01:23:40.395 --> 01:23:40.895 question,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9511471
01:23:41.755 --> 01:23:43.455 for doctor Du,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99974084
01:23:44.795 --> 01:23:46.635 asking about the role of
NOTE Confidence: 0.99974084
01:23:46.635 --> 01:23:47.135 chemosensitizing
NOTE Confidence: 0.99839705
01:23:47.915 --> 01:23:49.035 drugs in the in the
NOTE Confidence: 0.99839705
01:23:49.035 --> 01:23:50.015 use of SBRT.
NOTE Confidence: 0.96265006
01:23:50.920 --> 01:23:52.039 Yeah. You caught me right
NOTE Confidence: 0.96265006
01:23:52.039 --> 01:23:53.239 as I was, trying to
NOTE Confidence: 0.96265006
01:23:53.239 --> 01:23:55.000 type an answer there, doctor
NOTE Confidence: 0.96265006
01:23:55.000 --> 01:23:56.139 Kromansky. So,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97999746
01:23:56.760 --> 01:23:57.559 I'll say that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9882719
01:23:58.119 --> 01:23:59.639 this has been looked at
NOTE Confidence: 0.9882719
01:23:59.639 --> 01:24:00.039 with,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9781391

01:24:00.679 --> 01:24:01.179 SRS.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9714621
01:24:01.479 --> 01:24:02.679 I would say that's more
NOTE Confidence: 0.9714621
01:24:02.679 --> 01:24:03.579 brain directed,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9003172
01:24:06.435 --> 01:24:08.534 stereotactic treatment. But then also
NOTE Confidence: 0.9003172
01:24:08.755 --> 01:24:10.375 in some early phase,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9486227
01:24:10.994 --> 01:24:13.314 clinical trials for SBRT and
NOTE Confidence: 0.9486227
01:24:13.314 --> 01:24:14.514 other sites, you know, I
NOTE Confidence: 0.9486227
01:24:14.514 --> 01:24:14.994 ran a,
NOTE Confidence: 0.960813
01:24:16.354 --> 01:24:17.474 a phase one trial a
NOTE Confidence: 0.960813
01:24:17.474 --> 01:24:18.675 few years ago looking at
NOTE Confidence: 0.960813
01:24:18.675 --> 01:24:21.074 immunotherapy combined with SBRT for
NOTE Confidence: 0.960813
01:24:21.074 --> 01:24:22.054 pancreas cancers.
NOTE Confidence: 0.98940355
01:24:22.729 --> 01:24:24.330 And, you know, I've I've
NOTE Confidence: 0.98940355
01:24:24.330 --> 01:24:25.370 been seeing a lot of
NOTE Confidence: 0.98940355
01:24:25.370 --> 01:24:25.870 proposals
NOTE Confidence: 0.9472546
01:24:26.250 --> 01:24:28.170 for looking at, the combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472546
01:24:28.170 --> 01:24:29.790 of biologics like immunotherapy
NOTE Confidence: 0.99761176
01:24:30.170 --> 01:24:30.989 with SBRT
NOTE Confidence: 0.9258776
01:24:31.370 --> 01:24:33.130 for liver cancers. And in
NOTE Confidence: 0.9258776
01:24:33.130 --> 01:24:34.670 fact, we have not for,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9253845
01:24:35.210 --> 01:24:36.969 HCC, but for sorry. Not
NOTE Confidence: 0.9253845
01:24:36.969 --> 01:24:39.045 for colorectal metastases, but for
NOTE Confidence: 0.89548135
01:24:39.345 --> 01:24:40.785 HCC at this point, a,
NOTE Confidence: 0.89548135
01:24:41.185 --> 01:24:43.025 clinical trial that's a national
NOTE Confidence: 0.89548135
01:24:43.025 --> 01:24:44.865 cooperative group trial looking for
NOTE Confidence: 0.89548135
01:24:44.865 --> 01:24:46.625 HCC at the combination of
NOTE Confidence: 0.89548135
01:24:46.625 --> 01:24:48.085 immunotherapy with SBRT
NOTE Confidence: 0.9724693
01:24:48.705 --> 01:24:49.665 and trying to figure out
NOTE Confidence: 0.9724693
01:24:49.665 --> 01:24:51.104 if that's, more effective than
NOTE Confidence: 0.9724693
01:24:51.104 --> 01:24:52.325 immunotherapy alone.
NOTE Confidence: 0.95029944
01:24:53.620 --> 01:24:54.660 So, there's a lot of,
NOTE Confidence: 0.91703176

01:24:56.180 --> 01:24:57.300 I think work to be
NOTE Confidence: 0.91703176

01:24:57.300 --> 01:24:58.980 done there. At least we
NOTE Confidence: 0.91703176

01:24:58.980 --> 01:24:59.800 think that,
NOTE Confidence: 0.96387166

01:25:00.500 --> 01:25:02.020 because SBRT is such a
NOTE Confidence: 0.96387166

01:25:02.020 --> 01:25:02.520 focused,
NOTE Confidence: 0.97896534

01:25:02.900 --> 01:25:04.500 treatment that it's likely to
NOTE Confidence: 0.97896534

01:25:04.500 --> 01:25:05.960 be safe with many,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9102893

01:25:06.340 --> 01:25:07.400 systemic therapies,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9787633

01:25:08.365 --> 01:25:09.245 And there needs to be
NOTE Confidence: 0.9787633

01:25:09.245 --> 01:25:10.525 a lot more work to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9787633

01:25:10.525 --> 01:25:12.445 define the biology and the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9787633

01:25:12.604 --> 01:25:14.865 but the biologic interaction of,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9963983

01:25:15.485 --> 01:25:15.985 chemotherapies
NOTE Confidence: 0.9899801

01:25:16.365 --> 01:25:19.104 and other targeted biologic therapies,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9920922

01:25:19.725 --> 01:25:21.564 in combination with SBRT to
NOTE Confidence: 0.9920922

01:25:21.564 --> 01:25:23.140 try to improve effectiveness. But

NOTE Confidence: 0.9920922
01:25:23.140 --> 01:25:24.200 that's a great question
NOTE Confidence: 0.996356
01:25:24.580 --> 01:25:25.780 and very important for clinical
NOTE Confidence: 0.996356
01:25:25.780 --> 01:25:26.600 trial work.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9772522
01:25:30.420 --> 01:25:31.460 Right. Well, it looks like
NOTE Confidence: 0.9772522
01:25:31.460 --> 01:25:32.820 we're coming up on the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9772522
01:25:32.820 --> 01:25:33.320 hour.
NOTE Confidence: 0.978095
01:25:34.020 --> 01:25:35.460 I wanna, again, thank our
NOTE Confidence: 0.978095
01:25:35.460 --> 01:25:36.820 panelists. I also wanna thank
NOTE Confidence: 0.978095
01:25:36.820 --> 01:25:37.560 our audience,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99568254
01:25:38.145 --> 01:25:40.485 for their attention and engagement,
NOTE Confidence: 0.94621414
01:25:41.825 --> 01:25:43.185 during this session. I hope
NOTE Confidence: 0.94621414
01:25:43.185 --> 01:25:43.845 it was,
NOTE Confidence: 0.7240414
01:25:44.785 --> 01:25:45.285 informative,
NOTE Confidence: 0.9751612
01:25:45.825 --> 01:25:46.325 and,
NOTE Confidence: 0.99909556
01:25:47.025 --> 01:25:48.725 know that all of us
NOTE Confidence: 0.998649

01:25:49.935 --> 01:25:52.195 would be available for sidebars

NOTE Confidence: 0.998649

01:25:52.255 --> 01:25:53.375 at a future time as

NOTE Confidence: 0.998649

01:25:53.375 --> 01:25:53.875 needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99968004

01:25:55.055 --> 01:25:56.575 So I hope everybody has

NOTE Confidence: 0.99968004

01:25:56.575 --> 01:25:57.715 a great evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964584

01:25:59.135 --> 01:26:01.075 Thanks so much. Thank you.