



Rate of Reported Sexual Harassment of Students by Faculty and Staff, University of Texas System.

SEM denotes science, engineering, and medicine. Data are from the NASEM report.¹

engineering, and particularly medicine? On the basis of more than 30 years of research in the workplace and in educational settings, the report shows that sexual harassment is more likely to occur in environments that are male-dominated, where organizational tolerance for sexually harassing behaviors is exhibited, where hierarchical or dependent relationships between faculty and trainees are enforced, and where training environments may be isolating.

Although 2017 marked the first time more women than men enrolled in U.S. medical schools (after the proportion had hovered near 50% for more than a decade), women are still vastly outnumbered in academic leadership positions. According to the Association of American Medical Colleges, only 38% of faculty members, 15% of department chairs, and 16% of deans are women. Within certain specialties, the numbers are even worse — in 2014, women

chaired only 11% of neurology departments, 10% of emergency departments, and less than 1% of surgery departments. Women are also paid less,² are less likely to be promoted,³ and receive less recognition from academic societies.

Adding to the power differential is a culture that accepts some degree of suffering as a matter of course. Medical education and training is notoriously grueling and competitive, with long hours, extensive workloads, and unrelenting pressure to perform. Often, human lives are on the line. It's hard to find the time to sleep or eat, let alone file a harassment complaint. "I had to keep going. There was no choice," said one physician interviewed by researchers at RTI International for the NASEM report. "Kind of like getting therapy in the middle of a war zone. . . . I can't be feeling these feelings right now."¹

Certain structural elements and

the complexity of the medical education and training environment can also facilitate sexual harassment. Students, residents, and interns often train in hospital environments that lack direct oversight by their program leaders, so channels of supervision and accountability are fractured. The necessity of sleeping on the job during 24-hour call periods can blur boundaries. And unlike their peers in sciences and engineering, medical students and trainees are exposed to potential sexual harassment from a whole other population — patients and their families.

In a profession that often eschews any perception of weakness or vulnerability, women don't want the negative attention a complaint will bring. The RTI interviews suggest that women in academic medicine see objecting to sexual harassment as a personal and professional liability. In describing their concerns, they cite

labels like “difficult person,” “outsider,” and “troublemaker.” One physician was told by her colleagues, “Can’t you just suck it up? This is not going to go well for you if you report. You don’t want to make a fuss.”¹

Unfortunately, these concerns are not without basis. Women often experience direct retaliation for reporting sexual harassment. But even in the absence of reporting and retaliation, sexual harassment has serious and lasting consequences.

Research shows that women who experience sexual harassment are more likely to report symptoms of depression, stress, and anxiety — including lowered self-esteem, disordered eating, increased use of prescription drugs, and overconsumption of alcohol. There are also significant educational and professional ramifications. Students who experience sexual harassment are more likely to skip class, get lower grades, switch programs, or drop out altogether. There is also a correlation between women’s experience of harassment in the workplace and declines in job satisfaction and decreased productivity and performance. Women who experience sexual harassment also frequently forgo opportunities, depart their institutions, or leave their fields altogether, in part to avoid the harasser and stop the harassment. These consequences may be compounded for women of color⁴ and lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or questioning women,⁵ who experience sexual harassment more often than their peers.

We can’t ignore the possibility that there are overlaps between the professional and health effects of sexual harassment in academic medicine and the epidemic of

burnout among U.S. physicians. According to the definition adopted by the National Academy of Medicine’s Action Collaborative on Clinician Well-Being and Resilience, burnout is a “syndrome characterized by a high degree of emotional exhaustion and depersonalization and a low sense of personal accomplishment at work.” Its consequences range from reduced job performance to medical errors and suicide.

Burnout is one of the most significant threats to the functioning of our health system, and many of the factors that drive it are also those that allow sexual harassment to thrive. Chief among these are the cultural emphasis on productivity rather than on clinicians’ well-being and a failure to address the system shortcomings — versus individual behaviors — that contribute to unhealthy workplaces. Therefore, addressing the systemic and cultural issues that contribute to burnout may also mitigate the damage caused by sexual harassment or even prevent it from occurring.

Culture change begins with leadership. We are calling on our fellow leaders in academic medicine to commit to a systemwide change in culture and climate aimed at stopping sexual harassment before it occurs. The NASEM report recommends that leaders communicate clearly to faculty, administration, students, and trainees that sexual harassment will not be tolerated. Leaders can take measures to align and embed the values of diversity, inclusion, and respect into institutional policies; reduce hierarchical power structures; provide alternative reporting options; protect victims from retaliation; and ensure transparency and accountability in institutional investigations. Research

shows that making these changes, among others, can create a social climate capable of reducing and preventing sexual harassment.¹

Protecting the health and well-being of female students, trainees, and faculty members — and ensuring fair and equitable outcomes in educational and professional development — is a priority by any measure. But there is also a broader argument to be made. Sexual harassment in academic medicine is a symptom of systematic failures that prevent the medical workforce from operating at its fullest potential. As leaders, we ignore this problem at our peril.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available at NEJM.org.

From the National Academy of Medicine, Washington, DC (V.J.D.); and Wellesley College, Wellesley, MA (P.A.J.). Dr. Johnson was cochair of the NASEM committee that issued the recent consensus report on sexual harassment of women.

This article was published on September 12, 2018, at NEJM.org.

1. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Sexual harassment of women: climate, culture, and consequences in academic sciences, engineering, and medicine. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, August 2018 (<https://www.nap.edu/catalog/24994/sexual-harassment-of-women-climate-culture-and-consequences-in-academic>).
2. Jena AB, Olenski AR, Blumenthal DM. Sex differences in physician salary in US public medical schools. *JAMA Intern Med* 2016;176:1294-304.
3. Chisholm-Burns MA, Spivey CA, Hagemann T, Josephson MA. Women in leadership and the bewildering glass ceiling. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 2017;74:312-24.
4. Cortina LM, Kabat-Farr D, Leskinen EA, Huerta M, Magley VJ. Selective incivility as modern discrimination in organizations: evidence and impact. *J Manage* 2011;36:1579-605.
5. Konik J, Cortina LM. Policing gender at work: intersections of harassment based on sex and sexuality. *Soc Justice Res* 2008;21:313-37.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp1809846

Copyright © 2018 Massachusetts Medical Society.