WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:52:38" NOTE recognizability:0.831

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00{:}00{:}00{:}00{:}00 {\:\raisebox{0.5ex}{\text{--}}} > 00{:}00{:}02.403$  I want to thank I want to 1st thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:00:02.403 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.950$  my colleagues and fellow committee

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:00:04.950 --> 00:00:07.084 members doctors, Derek Gordon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:00:07.084 --> 00:00:10.552 knee Addie, Amber Childs, James Adu,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:00:10.552 --> 00:00:13.119 Hun Millard, Michael O'Malley and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:00:13.119 \dashrightarrow 00:00:15.837$  Rajita Sinha for helping with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:00:15.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.610$  We had the exciting task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:00:18.610 --> 00:00:19.990 Of inviting this year's Care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00{:}00{:}19.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.518$  Sue leadership lecture speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:00:21.518 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.686$  we came together as a group of colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:00:24.686 \dashrightarrow 00:00:27.507$  to combine our knowledge and our networks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:00:27.510 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.978$  To locate a speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:00:28.978 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.677$  we believe will inspire you through his

 $00:00:31.677 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.807$  lifetime of leadership and service.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:00:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.680$  I would like to introduce you to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00{:}00{:}36.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39.990$  Reverend Dr Walter Earl Fluker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:00:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.982$  He is professor emeritus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:00:41.982 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.970$  Of ethical leadership at Boston University

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:00:45.048 --> 00:00:47.758 and Dean's professor of Spirituality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:00:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.860$  Ethics and leadership at Candler

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:00:49.860 --> 00:00:52.800 School of Theology at Emory University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:00:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.180$  He was born in Vaiden,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:00:54.180 --> 00:00:56.480 Mississippi and raised in Chicago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:00:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.378$  IL, where he attended public schools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:00:59.380 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.395$  He served in the United States Army as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:01:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.478$  chaplain's assistant from 1971 to 1973.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:01:06.478 --> 00:01:09.684 He received his BA degree in Philosophy

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:01:09.684 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.968$  and Biblical Studies from Trinity College.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:01:12.970 --> 00:01:17.678 In 1977 and a Masters in Divinity

00:01:17.678 --> 00:01:21.500 in 1980 from Garrett Evangelical

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:01:21.500 --> 00:01:23.120 Theological Seminary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:01:23.120 --> 00:01:26.396 he completed his PhD degree in social

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:01:26.396 --> 00:01:29.569 ethics at Boston University in 1988,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:01:29.569 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.863$  he retired from the Boston University

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:01:32.863 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.759$  School of Theology in June 2020.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:01:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.559$  He has served as pastor of the historic St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:01:38.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.480$  John's Congregational Church in Springfield,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:01:41.480 --> 00:01:46.420 MA from 1981 to 1986.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:01:46.420 --> 00:01:49.222 In 1986 he was university chaplain

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:01:49.222 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.179$  and assistant professor of religion at

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:01:52.179 --> 00:01:55.124 Dillard University in New Orleans, LA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00{:}01{:}55.124 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}01{:}57.668$  He became an assistant professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:01:57.668 --> 00:01:59.924 Christian ethics at Vanderbilt Divinity

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:01:59.924 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.642$  School and assistant to the pastor

00:02:02.642 --> 00:02:05.637 at First Baptist Church in Nashville,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:02:05.640 --> 00:02:06.154 TN.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:02:06.154 --> 00:02:09.238 He taught at Harvard College from

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:02:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.492$  1990 through 1991 and was named

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:02:12.492 --> 00:02:15.936 Dean of Black Church Studies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:02:15.936 --> 00:02:18.116 Martin Luther King Junior Memorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:02:18.116 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.857$  professor of theology and Black Church

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:02:20.857 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.172$  studies at the Colgate Rochester

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:02:23.172 --> 00:02:26.000 Crozer Divinity School in 1991.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:02:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.858$  In 1992 he became the editor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00{:}02{:}29.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32.350$  the Howard Thurman Papers project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:02:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.098$  He served as Director National Resource

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:02:35.098 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.937$  Center for the Development of Ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00{:}02{:}37.937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40.745$  Leadership from the Black Church tradition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:02:40.750 --> 00:02:43.671 In 1993 through 1998.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:02:43.671 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.193$  He joined Morehouse College as executive

00:02:47.193 --> 00:02:50.030 director of the Leadership Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:02:50.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.965$  Renamed the Andrew Young Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:02:51.965 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.126$  for Global Leadership.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:02:53.130 --> 00:02:55.074 The Coca-Cola Professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00{:}02{:}55.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57.018$  Leadership Studies and Professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:02:57.018 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.929$  of philosophy and religion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667 00:02:58.930 --> 00:02:59.802 In 2004, NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:02:59.802 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.418$  he served as distinguished lecturer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00{:}03{:}02.418 \to 00{:}03{:}04.797$  the International Human Rights Exchange

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:03:04.797 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.182$  program and visiting professor for

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:03:07.182 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.717$  the University of Cape Town Graduate

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:03:09.717 --> 00:03:12.330 School of Business from 2008 through

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00{:}03{:}12.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15.630$ 2011 as faculty at the Salzburg

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:03:15.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.560$  Global Seminar Seminar, Salzburg, Austria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:03:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.540$  He was a distinguished.

00:03:20.540 --> 00:03:23.345 Lecturer for the US Embassy

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:03:23.345 --> 00:03:25.589 in Abuja and Lagos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:03:25.590 --> 00:03:27.528 Nigeria Cape Town,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:03:27.528 --> 00:03:29.466 Pretoria and Durban,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:03:29.470 --> 00:03:30.582 South Africa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:03:30.582 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.250$  China and India.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:03:32.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.590$  He has served visiting professorships

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:03:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.850$  at the Harvard Divinity School and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:03:37.850 --> 00:03:40.822 Candler School of Theology and Visiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:03:40.822 --> 00:03:43.652 Scholar at Princeton Theological Seminary

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:03:43.652 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.070$  and Columbia Theological Seminary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:03:46.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.506$  He joined the Boston University of Theology

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:03:48.506 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.750$  faculty as the Martin Luther King.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:03:50.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.815$  Professor of Ethical Leadership and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

00:03:52.815 --> 00:03:55.379 director of the Martin Luther King

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:03:55.379 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.509$  Junior Initiative for the development

 $00:03:57.509 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.670$  of ethical leadership in 2010.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:03:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.920$  He's consulted and provided ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.858930062266667

 $00:04:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.720$  leadership training and diversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00:04:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.419$  and inclusion for an array of organizations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00:04:06.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.420$  including the Democratic Leadership Council,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

00:04:09.420 --> 00:04:11.620 national Conservation, Goldman Sachs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00:04:11.620 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.565$  Global Leaders Program and the Tepper School

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00{:}04{:}15.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}18.380$  business at Carnegie Mellon University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00{:}04{:}18.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.464$  The Association of American

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00{:}04{:}20.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22.027$  Colleges and Universities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00:04:22.030 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.858$  The Department of Education

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00{:}04{:}23.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26.143$  and the Department of State.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00{:}04{:}26.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28.684$  The boys and girls Clubs of America,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00:04:28.690 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.406$  the Georgia State

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

00:04:30.406 --> 00:04:31.550 Superintendents Association,

 $00{:}04{:}31.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.265$  and the Congressional Black Caucus

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00{:}04{:}34.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36.088$  Foundation among his publications

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00:04:36.088 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.644$  are the ground has shifted the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

00:04:38.644 --> 00:04:41.308 Black Church in Post Racial America.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00:04:41.310 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.350$  Ethical leadership and the quest for

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

00:04:43.350 --> 00:04:46.510 character, civility and community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00:04:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.830$  They looked for a city,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00:04:47.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.236$  a comparative analysis of the ideal

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00:04:50.236 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.786$  of community and the thought of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

00:04:52.786 --> 00:04:54.971 Howard Thurman and Martin Luther

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00{:}04{:}54.971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58.184$  King Junior and as editor of the Five

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

00:04:58.184 --> 00:05:00.289 Volume documentary edition of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00:05:00.289 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.649$  papers of Howard Washington Thurman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

00:05:02.650 --> 00:05:04.810 I'd like to introduce you to Reverend Dr,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861248104705882

 $00:05:04.810 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.160$  Walter Earl Fluker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832947125

 $00:05:09.630 \dashrightarrow 00:05:13.772$  Thank you very much. Professor lapaglia.

00:05:13.772 --> 00:05:18.117 I'm trying to get my screen up while I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832947125

 $00:05:18.117 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.505$  talking and I hope I've accomplished that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832947125

 $00:05:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.400$  Can you all see me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832947125

 $00:05:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.340$  Yes, good good. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832947125

 $00:05:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.380$  I had no idea they sent that bio to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832947125

 $00:05:30.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.780$  I would have shortened that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832947125

 $00:05:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.180$  by at least several years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832947125

 $00:05:35.180 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.500$  But thank you nonetheless for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832947125

 $00:05:37.500 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.820$  reading that and for giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832947125

 $00:05:39.903 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.019$  me this great opportunity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832947125

 $00:05:42.020 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.752$  you should know that I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832947125

 $00:05:45.752 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.240$  the most technical and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832947125

 $00{:}05{:}48.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}51.929$  Dexterous person when it comes to computers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832947125

 $00{:}05{:}51.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.628$  I'm trying to get my screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832947125

 $00:05:53.628 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.309$  down as I talk to you,

 $00:05:55.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.370$  so if you bear with me just for a moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832947125

 $00:05:58.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.484$  I think we can make that happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832947125

 $00:06:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.058$  Hold on just for one more minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950881915714286

 $00:06:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.484$  But let me begin by just thanking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950881915714286

 $00:06:10.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.896$  Certainly. All of you for this wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.950881915714286

 $00:06:15.896 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.450$  opportunity and for this chance to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946020253636364

00:06:23.130 --> 00:06:24.790 I'm having some challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946020253636364

 $00:06:24.790 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.790$  Let's see if I can do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946020253636364

 $00:06:27.790 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.818$  Oh boy, why is that happen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80924389375

 $00:06:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.104$  Doctor Fluker it looks OK on our end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872057233888889

 $00{:}06{:}38.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40.732$  I know it, but I wish I could see my notes

NOTE Confidence: 0.872057233888889

 $00:06:40.732 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.636$  that would that would come in handy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872057233888889

 $00:06:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.280$  That would be really wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872057233888889

 $00:06:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.898$  OK, so let's try it this way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

00:06:50.050 --> 00:06:54.719 I'd like to thank Professor John Crystal

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

00:06:54.719 --> 00:06:58.850 and especially Doctor Rajita Sinha,

 $00:06:58.850 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.445$  for this recommendation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:07:01.450 \dashrightarrow 00:07:06.256$  For Donna lapaglia. Miss Tricia doll,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00{:}07{:}06.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.840$  Falculty residence fellows and friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:07:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.849$  Of course, I'm delighted to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00{:}07{:}11.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.321$  Who wouldn't be delighted to be in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

00:07:14.321 --> 00:07:16.608 the company of people like you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:07:16.610 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.372$  I extend however very special thanks

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00{:}07{:}20.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.485$  to Doctor Lapaglia who has provided

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

00:07:24.485 --> 00:07:27.405 snippets of ongoing diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00{:}07{:}27.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}30.305$  equity and inclusion conversations

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:07:30.305 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.905$  across the medical schools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:07:32.905 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.030$  departments of psychiatry and psychology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:07:36.030 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.246$  And various work sites that have given me

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:07:39.246 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.450$  a snapshot of your institutional context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

00:07:42.450 --> 00:07:44.758 Your commitment to diversity,

00:07:44.758 --> 00:07:47.643 equity and inclusion has resulted

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:07:47.643 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.530$  in a number of ongoing discussions

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:07:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.050$  and binding commitments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:07:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.906$  Across your respective professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:07:56.906 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.048$  and academic locations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:07:59.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.530$  I applaud these efforts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:08:01.530 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.410$  To create and sustain spaces where difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00{:}08{:}06.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10.050$  is both recognized and appreciated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00{:}08{:}10.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14.730$  This requires hard work that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:08:14.730 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.326$  More importantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:08:16.326 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.518$  It involves vigilance and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:08:19.518 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.114$  disciplined practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

00:08:21.120 --> 00:08:23.368 Within your institutional context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:08:23.368 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.740$  but also in your personal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:08:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.290$  social interactions as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00{:}08{:}29.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32.805$  Especially as Professor Crystal has

 $00:08:32.805 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.118$  indicated at this precarious moment

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

00:08:35.118 --> 00:08:37.967 in our nation and around the globe

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:08:37.967 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.425$  as we witness the recent January,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:08:40.425 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.750$  the 6th hearings ravages of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:08:42.750 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.209$  war and ethnic cleansing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

00:08:45.210 --> 00:08:47.950 The brutality of state violence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

00:08:47.950 --> 00:08:50.810 the horrendous efforts to restrict

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00{:}08{:}50.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54.520$  and confine spaces of dissent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:08:54.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.926$  We're challenged with what Martin Luther

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:08:56.926 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.299$  King Junior called the urgency of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00{:}08{:}59.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}02.720$  Now with the rise in campaigns

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:09:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.000$  of anti abortion legislation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00{:}09{:}05.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07.228$  restraints on voting rights,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:09:07.228 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.570$  the contested confirmation of the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:09:10.653 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.518$  black female Supreme Court Justice,

00:09:13.520 --> 00:09:15.550 the policing of black, brown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:09:15.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.840$  trans and queer bodies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:09:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.672$  the erection of legal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:09:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.884$  cultural and material barriers

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:09:22.884 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.486$  against immigrants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00{:}09{:}24.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}28.234$  Especially from countries that the former

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:09:28.234 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.850$  president called holds of defecation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:09:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.019$  The need then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:09:34.019 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.634$  for reimagined vision of democracy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:09:37.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.784$  Is urgent and necessary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00{:}09{:}39.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}43.000$  This lecture is a constructive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00{:}09{:}43.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.624$  practical reflection on diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:09:46.624 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.736$  equity and inclusion hereafter de I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:09:51.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.750$  Initiatives that create and sustain

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

00:09:55.750 --> 00:09:59.450 democratic space and what I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.76790407875

 $00:09:59.450 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.520$  call the intersection where worlds collide.

00:10:07.560 --> 00:10:10.504 Something is happening here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00{:}10{:}10.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16.192$  OK so DI, I want to first emphasize is

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:10:16.192 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.712$  an ethical enterprise that dares to speak

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:10:21.712 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.900$  and create and sustain democratic space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:10:25.900 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.200$  This perspective is akin to

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

00:10:29.200 --> 00:10:32.166 what John Dewey said when,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:10:32.166 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.110$  and I quote democracy is a form of

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:10:36.223 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.276$  government only because it is a form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00{:}10{:}40.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.040$  Of moral and spiritual association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

00:10:45.040 --> 00:10:47.215 My concern however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:10:47.215 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.840$  is more with democratic space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00{:}10{:}50.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.705$  Democratic space refers to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00{:}10{:}54.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57.797$  ongoing struggle against Miscounting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00{:}10{:}57.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01.040$  The reconfiguration of space and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:11:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.940$  reordering of time for subjugated bodies.

 $00:11:04.940 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.675$  This idea is represented in

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

00:11:07.675 --> 00:11:09.316 the political philosophers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:11:09.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.910$  Jacques Ranciere's notion of policing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:11:13.910 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.630$  Policing as the rule that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:11:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.806$  governs the bodies appearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

00:11:18.810 --> 00:11:21.622 A configuration of occupations

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

00:11:21.622 --> 00:11:25.230 and properties of the spaces where

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:11:25.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.430$  these occupations are distributed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00{:}11{:}27.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30.762$  thus creating and sustaining

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:11:30.762 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.428$  democratic space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:11:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.530$  Is, on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:11:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.066$  an extremely determined activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:11:37.066 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.718$  antagonistic to policing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00{:}11{:}39.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42.966$  Because it is human activity that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

00:11:42.966 --> 00:11:45.920 turns on equality and equity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:11:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.300$  as is basic principles.

 $00:11:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.252$  And basic presuppositions for

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:11:53.252 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.006$  diversity and inclusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:11:55.006 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.530$  In this sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:11:56.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.788$  the work of DEI concerns the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:11:59.788 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.625$  struggle for the redefinition of

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:12:02.625 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.480$  the distribution of certain shares

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:12:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.327$  or spaces among certain groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00{:}12{:}08.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11.135$  whom ronciere calls dead of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:12:11.135 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.284$  part of those who have no part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:12:14.284 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.900$  Here for us the reference is

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:12:17.001 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.620$  to ability religion, class,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

00:12:19.620 --> 00:12:21.780 race, gender, ethnicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:12:21.780 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.380$  sexual orientation and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:12:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.128$  The concern is with the people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:12:28.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.918$  that is the Dmas,

 $00:12:29.918 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.153$  those that are not accounted

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:12:32.153 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.199$  for in a configuration of power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:12:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.065$  Those relegated to animal life with sound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:12:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.457$  Think of George Floyd and maybe Eric

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:12:42.457 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.889$  Gardner and so many other examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:12:44.890 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.274$  Those with with with with sound

NOTE Confidence: 0.841621992

 $00:12:48.274 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.966$  but cannot speak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.747008282125

00:12:53.880 --> 00:12:55.341 Daughtry Spivak raised

NOTE Confidence: 0.747008282125

 $00:12:55.341 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.776$  this question in this way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.747008282125

 $00:12:57.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.780$  She asked the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.747008282125

 $00:13:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.620$  can the sub Ultron speak?

NOTE Confidence: 0.747008282125

 $00:13:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.260$  We know from recent movements like

NOTE Confidence: 0.747008282125

 $00{:}13{:}08.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11.532$  Black Lives Matter and say her name

NOTE Confidence: 0.747008282125

 $00{:}13{:}11.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15.220$  that these bodies do dare to speak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.747008282125

00:13:15.220 --> 00:13:18.874 break, shift and redefine the spaces they

NOTE Confidence: 0.747008282125

 $00:13:18.874 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.424$  have been assigned in the abled racial,

 $00:13:22.424 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.050$  gendered, religious and class

NOTE Confidence: 0.747008282125

 $00:13:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.600$  sexual architecture of this nation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.747008282125

 $00:13:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.632$  And it is precisely

NOTE Confidence: 0.747008282125

 $00:13:30.632 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.172$  because they dare to speak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.747008282125

 $00:13:33.180 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.162$  That they reveal the process of equality

NOTE Confidence: 0.747008282125

 $00:13:36.162 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.320$  and equity because only a free human.

NOTE Confidence: 0.747008282125

 $00:13:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.240$  Can speak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897914328461538

 $00{:}13{:}48.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51.500$  Equity is society's commitment to meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.897914328461538

00:13:51.500 --> 00:13:55.164 people where they are and provide for

NOTE Confidence: 0.897914328461538

 $00{:}13{:}55.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58.200$  each the resources necessary to enable

NOTE Confidence: 0.897914328461538

 $00:13:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.796$  them to achieve at their highest levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897914328461538

 $00:14:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.446$  In another sense, equity is about

NOTE Confidence: 0.897914328461538

 $00{:}14{:}04.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.314$  respecting each person's inherent

NOTE Confidence: 0.897914328461538

 $00:14:06.314 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.774$  worth and acknowledging in Word,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897914328461538

 $00:14:08.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.295$  and indeed that the primary

00:14:12.295 --> 00:14:15.107 infrastructures of our society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897914328461538

 $00:14:15.110 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.560$  Have failed to do so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

00:14:24.210 --> 00:14:27.846 Equity is the presence of justice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:14:27.850 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.210$  Just as fairness describes a Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:14:31.210 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.774$  of free citizens holding equal basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00{:}14{:}34.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}37.849$  rights and cooperating within an

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:14:37.849 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.349$  equitable economic and political system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:14:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.382$  In this sense, equity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00{:}14{:}44{.}382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47{.}414$  Is synonymous with fairness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:14:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.644$  And with justice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

00:14:48.644 --> 00:14:52.990 Now I gave you all of that to impress you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:14:52.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.886$  but I want to tell you a story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:14:55.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.613$  And I also wanted to define the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

00:14:58.613 --> 00:15:01.479 context out of which I'm speaking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:15:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.640$  Allow me to share a story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00{:}15{:}03.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.880$  That illustrates the complex challenges.

 $00:15:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.619$  Of. The quest for justice and equity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00{:}15{:}12.620 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}17.345$  And speaking as a form of resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00{:}15{:}17.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21.500$  at intersections where worlds collide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:15:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.068$  A few years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:15:23.068 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.045$  in a crowded parking lot at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:15:26.045 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.288$  intersection of West Paces Ferry and

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

00:15:29.288 --> 00:15:32.528 Northside Parkway in Atlanta, GA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:15:32.528 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.400$  A rather affluent area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:15:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.349$  I was searching for a parking space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:15:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.342$  I was in a hurry to meet my

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:15:44.342 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.540$  sons future father-in-law.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:15:46.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.756$  And I wanted to be on time as

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:15:49.756 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.363$  a sign to him of the kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

00:15:53.363 --> 00:15:56.500 family that he was inheriting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:15:56.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.668$  In my concentration on trying to

 $00:15:59.668 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.440$  find the space. I suddenly realized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:16:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.320$  That I was driving in the wrong direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:16:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.520$  In a one way lane,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:16:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.806$  you should know that's not the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

00:16:12.806 --> 00:16:14.799 first time that's happened to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

00:16:14.800 --> 00:16:19.984 When I saw a woman moving towards her car.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00{:}16{:}19.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.990$  I paused and I looked through

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

00:16:22.990 --> 00:16:24.990 my rearview window mirror,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:16:24.990 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.780$  at least to make sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105

 $00:16:27.780 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.070$  no one was behind me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944887105 00:16:30.070 --> 00:16:30.910 And. NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00{:}16{:}33.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37.726$  I decided to wait in hopes of making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:16:37.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.410$  An expeditious entry wants she departed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

00:16:42.410 --> 00:16:46.710 As I waited for her, I noticed that a fine

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:16:46.710 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.382$  looking middle aged gentleman driving

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00{:}16{:}49.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54.118$  a top down red convertible with a huge

 $00:16:54.118 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.287$  shaggy dog in the past passenger seat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:16:58.290 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.537$  That is, he was bearing all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:17:00.537 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.909$  accruements of a self sufficient man.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:17:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.036$  He had entered the lane driving

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:17:06.036 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.120$  in the proper direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

00:17:08.120 --> 00:17:11.250 Well, I thought to myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:17:11.250 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.586$  That he had stopped to accommodate

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:17:14.586 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.810$  the other drivers exit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

00:17:16.810 --> 00:17:19.090 And I reasoned to myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00{:}17{:}19.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21.795$  since I was not obstructing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:17:21.795 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.690$  traffic and no one was behind me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:17:25.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.255$  That the gentleman in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00{:}17{:}28.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29.880$  convertible was extending.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:17:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.210$  A courtesy to the other driver

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:17:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.988$  as she backed out of the space.

 $00:17:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.912$  And that of course he would

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:17:39.912 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.860$  allow me to enter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:17:41.860 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.346$  But once the woman had exited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:17:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.277$  This fine looking gentleman in the really

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:17:49.277 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.049$  cool red convertible rushed into the space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

00:17:53.050 --> 00:17:58.108 signaling his conquest of disputed territory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

00:17:58.110 --> 00:17:59.878 Perhaps I've been living

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:17:59.878 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.088$  in New England too long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00{:}18{:}02.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.548$  And had forgotten my place in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:18:05.548 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.060$  genteel Southern culture of Atlanta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375 00:18:08.060 --> 00:18:09.663 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375 00:18:09.663 --> 00:18:12.869 I spoke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:18:12.870 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.660$  And nonchalantly question his aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

00:18:15.660 --> 00:18:19.869 conquest of the space with the exclamation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:18:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.919$  how dare you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:18:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.704$  And he responded with a racial

 $00:18:24.704 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.702$  insult filled with an old venomous

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:18:27.702 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.317$  tone that left me unsettled,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:18:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.540$  disarmed, and in disbelief.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

00:18:32.540 --> 00:18:36.467 How black of you he shouted back

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

00:18:36.467 --> 00:18:40.419 at me with a vitriol that I found

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:18:40.419 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.140$  disturbing and disproportionate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:18:43.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.024$  To the context. After all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

00:18:47.024 --> 00:18:51.986 It was just a parking space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

00:18:51.990 --> 00:18:55.161 He continued to insult me by shouting

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:18:55.161 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.281$  that the problem in this country is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00{:}18{:}58.281 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01.569$  that Obama and the rest of you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:19:01.569 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.248$  that you can just take over everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:19:05.250 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.441$  By the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

00:19:06.441 --> 00:19:08.578 this was on August 31,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375 00:19:08.578 --> 00:19:09.126 2012, NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375  $00:19:09.126 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.414$  during the height of President Barack

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

00:19:12.414 --> 00:19:15.046 Hussein Obama's second campaign for

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:19:15.046 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.160$  the presidency of the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

00:19:18.160 --> 00:19:21.128 I was unaware that I nor Obama

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:19:21.128 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.818$  nor the millions of people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:19:23.818 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.470$  voted for him really wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:19:26.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.348$  take this country from anybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:19:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.690$  On that very special day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:19:31.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.173$  I just wanted to find a parking space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:19:36.173 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.660$  And meet with my sons future father-in-law.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00{:}19{:}40.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42.844$  I admit it was an awkward situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:19:42.844 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.840$  and that I was guilty of a time

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00{:}19{:}45.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47.547$  honored American tradition of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:19:47.547 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.575$  grabbing the first available

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:19:49.575 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.550$  space in a crowded parking lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:19:52.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.750$  I was guilty of improvisational

 $00:19:55.750 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.565$  driving and for that ioffer a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00{:}19{:}59.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03.010$  public apology to you and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

00:20:03.010 --> 00:20:04.810 good standing American citizens

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:20:04.810 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.166$  who follow the rule of law and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

00:20:08.166 --> 00:20:10.130 traditional parking lot etiquette.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

00:20:10.130 --> 00:20:13.322 I should add that I was momentarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:20:13.322 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.540$  enraged and pondered the option

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:20:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.221$  of getting out of my car and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

00:20:18.221 --> 00:20:21.006 walking over to him and demanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:20:21.006 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.326$  that he explained his response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00{:}20{:}23.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.851$  I also considered the option of parking

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:20:26.851 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.028$  behind him in protest and making a scene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:20:31.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.985$  But neither of these was

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:20:34.985 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.358$  a legitimate response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:20:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.930$  Since I had entered the wrong lane.

 $00:20:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.540$  Instead,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00{:}20{:}41.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.102$  I decided to move along and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:20:45.102 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.150$  find another parking space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84083232375

 $00:20:47.150 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.710$  which I eventually did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930254071428571

 $00:20:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.086$  But on my way to the restaurant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930254071428571

00:20:54.090 --> 00:20:57.814 I saw him again and could not

NOTE Confidence: 0.930254071428571

 $00:20:57.814 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.750$  resist wishing him a good day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930254071428571

00:21:00.750 --> 00:21:02.286 I admit it was a petty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930254071428571

 $00:21:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.430$  sarcastic and the fetus response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930254071428571

00:21:05.430 --> 00:21:09.255 but what was I to do short of starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.930254071428571

00:21:09.255 --> 00:21:13.640 a raucous in which no one would emerge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930254071428571

00:21:13.640 --> 00:21:16.460 feeling good about themselves?

NOTE Confidence: 0.930254071428571

 $00:21:16.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.934$  Especially not I. Since there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.930254071428571

 $00:21:19.934 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.084$  two white policemen in the other lane

NOTE Confidence: 0.930254071428571

 $00:21:24.084 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.540$  who had observed our early exchange.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930254071428571

 $00{:}21{:}27.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30.339$  History, personal experience.

 $00:21:30.339 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.071$  And contemporary events involving

NOTE Confidence: 0.930254071428571

 $00:21:34.071 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.008$  white policemen and black male bodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930254071428571

00:21:39.010 --> 00:21:42.275 Suggested that I had limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.930254071428571

 $00:21:42.275 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.525$  if any civil options. Except.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930254071428571

 $00:21:45.525 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.430$  To move on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909973762

 $00:21:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.830$  Here we are, my friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909973762

 $00:21:52.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.485$  Nearly a decade later after

NOTE Confidence: 0.909973762

00:21:55.485 --> 00:21:57.572 President Obama's two term presidency

NOTE Confidence: 0.909973762

 $00:21:57.572 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.900$  and the election of Donald J.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909973762

 $00:21:59.900 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.384$  Trump as the 45th president of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909973762

 $00:22:02.384 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.763$  the United States and now Joe

NOTE Confidence: 0.909973762

 $00:22:04.763 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.990$  Biden as our 46th president.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

 $00:22:09.090 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.194$  I think there is far more then at

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

 $00{:}22{:}12.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.360$  stake than my passing encounter over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

00:22:15.360 --> 00:22:18.951 Parking space rather there is a deeper

 $00:22:18.951 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.126$  and more profound issue that threatens

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

 $00{:}22{:}22.126 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}25.717$  the very future of our Republic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

 $00:22:25.815 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.435$  democracy and cherished institutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

 $00:22:28.435 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.825$  such as yours in the midst of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

 $00:22:33.825 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.565$  ideological divide of politics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

00:22:36.570 --> 00:22:40.133 During this new season of making America

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

00:22:40.133 --> 00:22:43.389 great again and replacement mania,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

00:22:43.390 --> 00:22:46.981 we have been revisited by an older and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

 $00:22:46.981 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.648$  insidious problem than a parking space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

 $00:22:49.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.322$  It is a campaign to reconfigure time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

 $00{:}22{:}53.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}57.126$  space back to an era where certain people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

 $00:22:57.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.926$  certain bodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

 $00:22:58.926 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.159$  Knew their place in the house

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

 $00:23:03.159 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.660$  that race built this challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

 $00:23:05.660 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.540$  Has a long and difficult history

NOTE Confidence: 0.844903128571429

 $00:23:08.540 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.299$  that we cannot explore here.

 $00:23:14.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.490$  And forgive me for making

NOTE Confidence: 0.858507875

 $00{:}23{:}17.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.990$  parallels in this personal mundane,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858507875

 $00:23:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.078$  and maybe for some of you trivial event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858507875

 $00:23:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.467$  But I believe there are lessons that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858507875

00:23:26.467 --> 00:23:28.698 we can reflect upon in our work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858507875

 $00:23:28.700 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.369$  Of dei. So how do we respond?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858507875

 $00:23:33.370 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.538$  How do we negotiate the traffic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858507875

 $00:23:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.200$  At Anders sections where worlds collide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858507875

 $00:23:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.410$  And work for democratic space

NOTE Confidence: 0.858507875

 $00:23:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.120$  that cradles the work of deyi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858507875

 $00:23:45.120 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.384$  What are the critical tools and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858507875

 $00:23:48.384 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.805$  methodologies that allow us to engage

NOTE Confidence: 0.858507875

 $00{:}23{:}51.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54.665$  the complex ethical questions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858507875

 $00:23:54.665 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.049$  public life without losing our minds?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858507875

 $00:23:58.050 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.260$  Perhaps our souls?

 $00:24:08.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.478$  My work in this area seeks to hold

NOTE Confidence: 0.897681321666667

 $00:24:11.478 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.310$  together 2 important dimensions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897681321666667

 $00:24:14.310 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.658$  Of institutional practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897681321666667

00:24:17.660 --> 00:24:21.328 And what jergen, harbour Moss and others

NOTE Confidence: 0.897681321666667

00:24:21.328 --> 00:24:24.739 have called life worlds and systems?

NOTE Confidence: 0.897681321666667

00:24:24.740 --> 00:24:27.890 Life worlds refer to the commonplace

NOTE Confidence: 0.897681321666667

 $00:24:27.890 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.100$  everyday traffic of life where people

NOTE Confidence: 0.897681321666667

 $00:24:31.100 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.148$  meet and greet one another where

NOTE Confidence: 0.897681321666667

 $00:24:34.148 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.347$  common values and presuppositions

NOTE Confidence: 0.897681321666667

 $00:24:36.347 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.162$  about order and the world are hailed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897681321666667

00:24:40.170 --> 00:24:45.022 Life whirls. Life worlds, for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897681321666667

00:24:45.022 --> 00:24:47.856 if you've ever seen Barack Obama when

NOTE Confidence: 0.897681321666667

 $00{:}24{:}47.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.250$  he would step off the helicopter or

NOTE Confidence: 0.897681321666667

00:24:50.250 --> 00:24:53.769 would take a little step up to a podium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897681321666667

 $00:24:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.990$  there's always a little swag.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897681321666667

 $00:24:55.990 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.430$  If you've noticed it.

 $00:24:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.124$  Do you think he learned that

NOTE Confidence: 0.897681321666667

00:25:01.124 --> 00:25:02.920 in Hawaii or Indonesia?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:25:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.584$  Or California.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

00:25:06.584 --> 00:25:09.716 No, their South side of Chicago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:25:09.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.468$  That's that's life world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:25:12.468 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.590$  It's it's your style is your.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:25:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.572$  Is your way of being in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

00:25:19.572 --> 00:25:22.269 world life worlds are important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:25:22.270 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.128$  Because they also refer to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00{:}25{:}26.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}29.376$  space or spaces where citizens

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00{:}25{:}29.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32.666$  meet and engage in meaningful

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:25:32.666 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.002$  discussion and action about values,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00{:}25{:}36.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}40.769$  the values and where they hold one

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:25:40.769 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.347$  another accountable for what they know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:25:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.200$  And value.

 $00:25:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.988$  Life worlds are important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:25:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.130$  Systems, on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:25:54.130 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.158$  refer to the vast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:25:57.158 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.081$  often impersonal bureaucratic systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:26:00.081 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.987$  dominated by money and power economics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00{:}26{:}03.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06.530$  politics, and the various structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:26:06.530 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.546$  As we well know of education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:26:08.550 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.950$  communication and technology and more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00{:}26{:}12.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}17.017$  Which are frequently at odds with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:26:17.017 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.040$  pedestrian traffic of life worlds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:26:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.128$  Life worlds are built upon social practices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:26:24.130 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.820$  traditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:26:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.960$  and institutions that are often at

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:26:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.132$  odds with systems where technical

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:26:32.132 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.887$  reason and the relentless quest

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00{:}26{:}34.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37.936$  for power and money as sault

 $00:26:37.936 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.528$  their very fragile existence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:26:40.530 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.354$  Leaders who encounter and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:26:44.354 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.178$  serve in diverse environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:26:48.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.942$  Are at these intersections often without

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:26:51.942 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.800$  the requisite skills and competencies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:26:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.476$  not only to tolerate the other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:26:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.406$  but to appreciate spaces of diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:27:03.410 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.108$  And pluralistic cultures in their own lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

00:27:09.110 --> 00:27:12.110 And with others I'm suggesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

00:27:12.110 --> 00:27:12.600 therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:27:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.862$  that the work of deedi is at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00{:}27{:}16.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.226$  intersection or intersections of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00{:}27{:}20.226 \to 00{:}27{:}23.694$  life worlds and systems that define

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:27:23.694 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.009$  and perpetuate habits and practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:27:27.010 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.386$  In institutions and traditions

 $00:27:29.386 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.356$  that conspire against our noblest

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00{:}27{:}32.356 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}27{:}34.677$  as pirations for personal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:27:34.677 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.865$  social transformation of spaces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:27:36.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.480$  where different bodies meet and speak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:27:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.655$  This is particularly true in

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00{:}27{:}45.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}48.195$  settings where the complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

00:27:48.195 --> 00:27:49.880 intersectionality of ability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:27:49.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.752$  age, ethnicity, gender,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:27:51.752 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.000$  race, class,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:27:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.687$  religion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:27:53.687 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.122$  sexual orientation is approached without

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:27:57.122 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.607$  attention to the social historical

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:28:00.607 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.429$  context from which they have emerged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:28:04.430 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.022$  We call it habitus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:28:07.022 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.262$  You might call it neuro

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00{:}28{:}10.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.050$  psychological pathways.

 $00:28:12.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.525$  But for those on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

00:28:14.525 --> 00:28:16.010 cultural sociological side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

00:28:16.010 --> 00:28:19.256 habitus is the composite of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

00:28:19.260 --> 00:28:21.465 individual's lifestyle values,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:28:21.465 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.200$  dispositions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:28:22.200 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.875$  and expects expectations associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:28:25.875 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.746$  particular social groups that acquired

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00{:}28{:}28.746 \rightarrow 00{:}28{:}30.858$  through activities and experiences

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:28:30.858 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.449$  of everyday life in their life worlds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:28:34.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.884$  In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:28:35.884 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.752$  the habitus can be understood as

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:28:38.752 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.297$  a structure of the mind emotions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:28:42.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.482$  And behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:28:43.482 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.846$  therefore the results characterize

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:28:45.846 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.089$  by a set of acquired schemata.

 $00:28:50.090 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.725$  Sensibilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:28:50.725 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.360$  dispositions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:28:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.630$  and taste.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:28:52.630 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.440$  Hence it is all even more

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:28:56.547 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.187$  important that those who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00{:}28{:}59.187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02.710$  engaged in these areas of concern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:29:02.710 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.812$  I'm going to suggest that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00{:}29{:}05.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}08.629$  remember retail and relive our

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:29:08.629 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.523$  stories within the context of larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:29:12.523 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.102$  social historical narratives that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00{:}29{:}15.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}17.782$  shape and form our understandings

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:29:17.782 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.992$  of the spaces that certain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:29:20.992 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.178$  Bodies should occupy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00{:}29{:}24.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26.364$  My approach that this problem is

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:29:26.364 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.961$  related to the ways in which the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:29:28.961 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.880$  question of ethics is raised in public life.

 $00:29:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.692$  That is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:29:32.692 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.316$  how do we discern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:29:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.540$  deliberate and decide on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:29:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.760$  most appropriate responses to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

00:29:38.832 --> 00:29:40.980 intersections where diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:29:40.980 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.712$  equity and inclusion meet and often

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:29:44.712 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.012$  collide these intersections of life

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00{:}29{:}48.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}52.740$  worlds and systems are very dangerous noisy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:29:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.610$  It is hard to hear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:29:54.610 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.970$  What the other is saying?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

00:29:57.970 --> 00:30:01.848 And their locations of crises that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:30:01.848 \dashrightarrow 00:30:05.488$  erupt into senseless now listic violence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:30:05.490 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.963$  We cannot assume,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:30:06.963 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.454$  therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77702322

 $00:30:07.454 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.909$  that our encounters are always

 $00:30:09.909 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.709$  with rational actors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

00:30:11.710 --> 00:30:13.470 That's more your department

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:30:13.470 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.622$  than mine for whom reason and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

00:30:16.622 --> 00:30:19.462 conscience are points of departure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:30:19.470 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.694$  and if so, we must ask who's reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

00:30:24.700 --> 00:30:29.558 And who's justice are we talking about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:30:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.302$  This intersection. For me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:30:33.302 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.568$  represents both private and public spaces.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

00:30:37.570 --> 00:30:44.060 Where those of us working. Indeed, I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

00:30:44.060 --> 00:30:47.940 Must stand, negotiate and transform.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:30:47.940 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.475$  The intersection of our personal core values.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:30:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.355$  And the challenges that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:30:53.355 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.720$  posed to us in our work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:30:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.760$  So the parking lot incident.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:30:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.274$  Leaves me at least with some hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:31:02.274 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.268$  but practical lessons which are

 $00:31:05.268 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.988$  morals lessons from good stories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:31:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.858$  Speak to certain morals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

00:31:12.860 --> 00:31:16.544 About sharing space with others that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

00:31:16.544 --> 00:31:19.000 involves discerning deliberating and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:31:19.093 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.627$  deciding on the most appropriate ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

00:31:22.627 --> 00:31:24.998 response in the brief time we have left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:31:25.000 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.135$  I would like to share

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:31:27.135 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.270$  five ways of doing ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:31:29.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.380$  At the intersections where worlds collide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:31:33.380 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.810$  But first just a peak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191 00:31:36.810 --> 00:31:37.322 At. NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:31:37.322 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.418$  The difference that I want to accentuate for

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00{:}31{:}41.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}45.572$  our purposes between morality and ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:31:45.572 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.700$  morality refers to commonly accepted rules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

00:31:49.700 --> 00:31:53.390 conducts conduct patterns of behavior

 $00:31:53.390 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.342$  proved by social groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00{:}31{:}56.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}57.510$  And as you can read,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:31:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.878$  more reliable has his primary location.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:32:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.848$  In Life world worlds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:32:04.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.820$  And although the words morality

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:32:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.790$  and ethics are often used

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:32:08.865 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.149$  interchangeably for our purposes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:32:11.150 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.475$  it's important to make a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81221191

 $00:32:13.475 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.335$  distinction between the two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886930754545455

 $00:32:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.102$  Ethics and its normative sense is

NOTE Confidence: 0.886930754545455

 $00:32:21.102 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.240$  the critical analysis of morality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886930754545455

 $00:32:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.360$  Morality is the object.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886930754545455

 $00:32:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.558$  The subject for analysis for ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886930754545455

 $00:32:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.101$  It is a reflection on morality with

NOTE Confidence: 0.886930754545455

00:32:33.101 --> 00:32:35.637 the purpose of analysis, criticism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886930754545455

 $00{:}32{:}35.637 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}38.505$  interpretation and justification of

 $00:32:38.505 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.090$  roles and relations in societies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836552163333333

 $00:32:44.420 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.320$  We need ethics. And our

NOTE Confidence: 0.836552163333333

 $00:32:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.230$  deliberations. And DEI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899370845714286

 $00:32:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.640$  This understanding of

NOTE Confidence: 0.899370845714286

 $00:32:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.360$  ethics is very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899370845714286

 $00:33:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.508$  Because it asked questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899370845714286

 $00:33:05.510 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.006$  Like how does one go about

NOTE Confidence: 0.899370845714286

 $00{:}33{:}08.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09.670$  making an ethical decision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899370845714286

 $00:33:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.255$  whether person or public at the intersection?

NOTE Confidence: 0.899370845714286

 $00{:}33{:}14.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}17.746$  Of these life worlds and systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899370845714286

 $00{:}33{:}17.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.886$  And most of our moral perspectives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899370845714286

 $00:33:19.890 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.290$  of course, arise from these life

NOTE Confidence: 0.899370845714286

 $00{:}33{:}22.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}25.110$  worlds which have their own histories

NOTE Confidence: 0.899370845714286

 $00{:}33{:}25.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}27.985$  and communities of memory that

NOTE Confidence: 0.899370845714286

 $00:33:27.985 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.460$  determine what is right and good.

00:33:31.460 --> 00:33:33.245 I'm going to suggest in this very

NOTE Confidence: 0.899370845714286

 $00:33:33.245 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.738$  short time that we have left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899370845714286

 $00:33:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.316$  I have 17 minutes according to my watch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899370845714286

 $00:33:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.848$  Five ways of thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796670122

 $00:33:44.170 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.010$  Five ways. Of ethical deliberation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796670122

00:33:49.010 --> 00:33:54.424 For DEA I'm referring to them

NOTE Confidence: 0.796670122

 $00:33:54.424 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.760$  respectively. As the rationalist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796670122

00:33:56.760 --> 00:33:59.060 the realists, the relativists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796670122

 $00{:}33{:}59.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02.010$  the raconteur, and the retailer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509309

 $00:34:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.410$  First and foremost. The rationalist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509309

 $00{:}34{:}09.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}12.590$  at the heart of this approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509309

 $00:34:12.590 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.210$  Especially as it relates to our common

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509309

 $00{:}34{:}17.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}21.010$  work of DEI is the belief that reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509309

 $00{:}34{:}21.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}23.339$  is the supreme source for ordering

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509309

 $00:34:23.339 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.913$  and giving coherence to the moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509309

 $00{:}34{:}25.913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}28.490$  life and ensuring democratic space.

 $00:34:28.490 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.232$  It focuses on questions like what is my duty?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509309

 $00:34:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.089$  To whom and to what or better?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8509309

 $00:34:37.090 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.458$  What are my rights?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86535458

 $00:34:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.788$  What are my rights? What is my duty?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86535458

 $00{:}34{:}52.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}54.600$  We normally refer to this as

NOTE Confidence: 0.86535458

00:34:54.670 --> 00:34:56.956 deontological ethics, but I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.86535458

 $00:34:56.956 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.000$  want to bore you with those words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86535458

 $00:34:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.000$  This is the study of duty and obligation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86535458

 $00:35:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.688$  Thus, for the rationalists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86535458

 $00:35:05.688 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.048$  the abstract individual is primary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86535458

 $00:35:09.050 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.554$  And all said individuals must seek

NOTE Confidence: 0.86535458

 $00:35:12.554 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.743$  conformity and compliance to policies

NOTE Confidence: 0.86535458

 $00{:}35{:}15.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}18.771$  and procedures by subordinating

NOTE Confidence: 0.86535458

 $00{:}35{:}18.771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21.432$  personal idiosyncrasies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86535458

00:35:21.432 --> 00:35:25.236 cultural and social differences.

 $00:35:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.555$  This view presupposes a normative

NOTE Confidence: 0.86535458

 $00{:}35{:}28.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}31.870$  or rational basis for equality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86535458

 $00:35:31.870 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.352$  But deeper concerns for equity often go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86535458

 $00:35:36.352 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.990$  On a dress. NOTE Confidence: 0.857147733333333

 $00:35:40.410 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.619$  In other words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863773675

 $00:35:46.110 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.608$  Those who adhere to this perspective

NOTE Confidence: 0.863773675

 $00{:}35{:}49.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52.710$  often place higher value on

NOTE Confidence: 0.863773675

00:35:52.710 --> 00:35:55.318 contracting DGI through rules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863773675

 $00{:}35{:}55.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}57.212$  laws, policies, procedures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863773675

 $00:35:57.212 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.222$  and principles that are understood

NOTE Confidence: 0.863773675

 $00:36:00.222 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.630$  as universal and binding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863773675

00:36:02.630 --> 00:36:05.768 while often ignoring very important concrete,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863773675

 $00:36:05.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.066$  historical, and cultural matters

NOTE Confidence: 0.863773675

 $00:36:08.066 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.936$  that pertain to moral decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.863773675

 $00:36:10.936 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.729$  making and processes surrounding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863773675

 $00:36:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.950$  Equity and fairness.

00:36:15.950 --> 00:36:18.170 Especially regarding spaces

NOTE Confidence: 0.863773675

 $00:36:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.500$  for certain bodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863773675

 $00:36:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.460$  There are some obvious weaknesses and

NOTE Confidence: 0.863773675

 $00:36:26.460 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.280$  strengths that are involved in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77440125625

 $00:36:33.090 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.158$  And especially in creating

NOTE Confidence: 0.77440125625

 $00:36:35.158 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.226$  democratic space for DIY.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:36:40.000 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.346$  We should say at first that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:36:42.350 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.422$  At least at best,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:36:44.422 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.012$  it seeks rule based egalitarianism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:36:47.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.613$  Everybody is equal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:36:48.613 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.330$  And is concerned with order and procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:36:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.528$  For example, hiring or an admission

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00{:}36{:}55.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}58.490$  processes that demand certain criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:36:58.490 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.694$  Credentialing, research, and scholarship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:37:00.694 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.591$  How do we control for equity and

 $00:37:04.591 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.146$  equality and difference in respect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:37:07.150 \dashrightarrow 00:37:11.217$  To needs and resources of the collective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

00:37:11.220 --> 00:37:14.105 This is what the rationalist

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:37:14.105 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.836$  is concerned about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

00:37:15.840 --> 00:37:18.646 And much of the recent conversations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:37:18.646 \dashrightarrow 00:37:21.004$  controversies surrounding compliance

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

00:37:21.004 --> 00:37:24.934 falls into this scenario of

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:37:24.934 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.309$  rules based ethical perspectives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00{:}37{:}28.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32.070$  Some questions that are raised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:37:32.070 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.450$  Or what are the rules?

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:37:33.450 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.962$  Who makes the rules?

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

00:37:34.962 --> 00:37:37.230 Who determines what is just and

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:37:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.640$  equitable when rules are broken?

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00{:}37{:}39.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}42.700$  Are penalties enough to sustain

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:37:42.700 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.420$  a just and equitable process?

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:37:45.420 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.488$  In other words,

 $00:37:46.488 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.173$  in what frame of mind are those who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00{:}37{:}50.173 \to 00{:}37{:}52.943$  charged with framing and implementing

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:37:52.943 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.519$  dei institutional practices to proceed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

00:37:55.520 --> 00:37:57.650 Though necessary principles

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:37:57.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.200$  and rules derive from contract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:38:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.986$  Or contractual obligation by which all

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:38:04.986 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.215$  parties at least theoretically consent

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:38:08.215 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.235$  to abide are ultimately inadequate

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

00:38:11.235 --> 00:38:14.480 because the rules often changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:38:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.322$  Based on context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:38:16.322 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.778$  stakeholders needs and resources

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:38:18.778 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.730$  presented to dei population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:38:21.730 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.054$  Who makes the rules?

NOTE Confidence: 0.728720325

 $00:38:23.054 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.378$  What are the rules?

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00:38:26.810 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.309$  The second approach.

 $00:38:29.310 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.727$  Has to do with the real list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

00:38:33.730 --> 00:38:38.106 And I know that many of you listening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8758925233333333

00:38:38.110 --> 00:38:40.390 Can appreciate the rationalist approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00:38:40.390 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.030$  as do I even with its inherent weaknesses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00:38:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.418$  The realists. Is a little different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00:38:48.418 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.120$  The realist has its bases in empiricism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00:38:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.718$  Scientists will light up for this one

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00:38:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.294$  unlike the rationalist who gives priority

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00{:}38{:}58.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}01.125$  to reason as a universalizable principle

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00:39:01.125 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.758$  or rule to which we should conform.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00{:}39{:}04.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}07.820$  Empiricism basically says that all

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

00:39:07.820 --> 00:39:12.000 knowledge is derived from sense experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00:39:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.204$  that our rules and moral practices

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

00:39:15.204 --> 00:39:17.340 arise from our experiences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00:39:17.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.728$  and thus they must always be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333 00:39:19.730 --> 00:39:20.836 Test it.

 $00:39:20.836 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.154$  In respect to their practicality and

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00:39:24.154 \dashrightarrow 00:39:27.478$  probability to yield the maximum good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00:39:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.548$  As an ethical theory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00:39:29.548 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.133$  it's normally referred to as

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00:39:32.133 \dashrightarrow 00:39:34.319$  utilitarianism or consequentialism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00:39:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.504$  There are different names,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00:39:36.504 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.688$  but this perspective begins

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00:39:38.688 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.477$  with goals or with the end in

NOTE Confidence: 0.875892523333333

 $00:39:41.477 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.848$  mind or with the outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:39:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.912$  Of decision making and selection it has,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:39:49.912 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.824$  as is focused the idea of human

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:39:52.824 \dashrightarrow 00:39:56.240$  beings as practical realists who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00{:}39{:}56.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}59.140$  concerned with product or outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:39:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.356$  How do we give an account?

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:40:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.048$  For the qualitative quantitative

 $00:40:04.048 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.580$  measures that we use in admissions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:40:06.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.058$  for instance, the hiring of faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:40:09.060 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.228$  curriculum development, et cetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

00:40:11.228 --> 00:40:14.051 In all cases, the obvious strength

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00{:}40{:}14.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}16.830$  of the realists lies in its direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:40:16.903 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.096$  empirical moral commitment to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00{:}40{:}20.096 \to 00{:}40{:}23.386$  diminution or reduction of inequity

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:40:23.386 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.499$  wherever and whomever it occurs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:40:26.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.044$  institutions that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:40:28.044 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.676$  Use realist based ethics as guides

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00{:}40{:}32.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}35.475$  for improving the quality of

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:40:35.475 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.270$  diverse environments tend to ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

00:40:38.363 --> 00:40:41.478 questions like have we considered?

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

00:40:41.480 --> 00:40:45.206 Sorry about that. Have we considered?

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:40:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.870$  All all of the consequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:40:50.870 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.489$  All of the ways in which we

 $00:40:54.489 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.930$  need to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:40:56.930 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.202$  How this will land?

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:40:59.202 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.804$  In our community of stakeholders in context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:41:02.804 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.443$  and how can we best serve the

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

00:41:05.443 --> 00:41:08.115 ends of the collective rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:41:08.115 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.327$  than the abstract individual?

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:41:10.330 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.066$  I like to think of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00:41:13.066 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.434$  as managing diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785916734

 $00{:}41{:}14.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}17.780$  Managing diversity very quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94060652

 $00:41:22.950 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.998$  Please work for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:41:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.875$  The basic thesis of the relation is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:41:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.930$  which is our next. Set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00{:}41{:}44.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}48.234$  Our approach is that human beings are

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:41:48.234 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.370$  related in mutuality and interdependence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

00:41:51.370 --> 00:41:52.895 I dare say that nearly

 $00:41:52.895 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.870$  all of us are here here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

00:41:54.870 --> 00:41:57.378 The questions of knowing and valuing

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

00:41:57.378 --> 00:41:59.494 our interrelated what one knows

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:41:59.494 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.744$  cannot be the voice from beliefs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

00:42:01.750 --> 00:42:04.156 values, and visions of the good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:42:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.784$  that the that define the verse

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:42:07.784 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.596$  and equitable environments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:42:09.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.916$  The goal here is to create

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

00:42:11.916 --> 00:42:13.074 and sustain community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:42:13.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.866$  and the emphasis is on relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

00:42:16.870 --> 00:42:18.132 Of mutuality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00{:}42{:}18.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}21.287$ a democratic space where persons

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:42:21.287 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.149$  contribute simply because they care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:42:24.150 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.588$  Because they care about the dei

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:42:27.588 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.540$  mission of the institution and

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:42:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.340$  the questions that are raised.

00:42:33.340 --> 00:42:34.405 Along this line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:42:34.405 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.584$  how do we cover it and appeal to

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00{:}42{:}37.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}39.924$  conscience to create and sustain

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:42:39.924 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.663$  inclusive and diverse communities that

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

00:42:42.663 --> 00:42:45.538 honor equity and democratic space?

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:42:45.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.349$  And how might leaders who seek diverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:42:50.349 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.640$  communities survive and transform?

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:42:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.320$  The life worlds and systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:42:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.635$  While adhering to traditional understandings

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:43:00.635 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.610$  of community and the values that uphold them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

00:43:05.610 --> 00:43:10.650 How do I get the guy in the parking lot?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77072800142857100:43:10.650 --> 00:43:12.180 To listen. NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00{:}43{:}12.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.218$  To his conscience and I to mine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:43:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.120$  How do we define community?

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

00:43:17.120 --> 00:43:20.516 After all, whose community is it?

00:43:20.520 --> 00:43:23.640 Which community are we talking about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:43:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.584$  Is it the barrio?

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:43:25.584 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.528$  Is it beta Israel?

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:43:27.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.190$  Is a white evangelicals is

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

00:43:31.190 --> 00:43:33.386 a pro-life activists?

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00{:}43{:}33.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}35.250$  There are many communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:43:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.645$  The obvious weakness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:43:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.560$  The liability here of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:43:40.560 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.906$  this communitarian view.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:43:42.910 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.372$  Is that sometimes it misses what

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00{:}43{:}46.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49.208$  Reinhold Niebuhr used to call

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:43:49.208 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.830$  the moral man in immoral society?

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:43:51.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.130$  I would say moral persons

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:43:54.130 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.510$  and immoral society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:43:55.510 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.850$  We can't assume that everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00{:}43{:}57.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01.840$  operates from conscience.

 $00:44:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.939$  The 4th approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:44:04.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.766$  Is is the raconteur because his

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

00:44:07.766 --> 00:44:10.280 based on narrative or story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

00:44:10.280 --> 00:44:13.460 narrative based ethics or virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:44:13.460 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.640$  ethics takes seriously the experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:44:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.180$  And traditions from which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:44:20.180 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.012$  come as primary resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:44:23.020 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.220$  This is a huge challenge for DEI

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:44:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.778$  because I cannot imagine an individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:44:30.778 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.799$  who does not come from certain traditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:44:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.154$  And how these traditions shape vision

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:44:39.154 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.370$  and our understandings of the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00{:}44{:}42.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45.464$  The key questions here are how has

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:44:45.464 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.648$  my story shaped in significant ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:44:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.122$  The way I see,

 $00:44:50.122 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.490$  understand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:44:50.490 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.746$  and engage the question of diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:44:52.750 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.136$  ethics and inclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:44:54.136 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.908$  and what are the dangers of

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

00:44:56.908 --> 00:44:58.937 becoming trapped in traditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:44:58.937 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.901$  that are outdated and no longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:45:01.901 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.072$  relevant to the pressing issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:45:04.072 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.474$  of the I think for a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:45:07.474 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.972$  About replacement theory or

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

00:45:09.972 --> 00:45:12.588 making America great again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:45:12.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.033$  and the ways in which they show

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:45:15.033 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.159$  up in very subtle ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

00:45:17.160 --> 00:45:19.780 In our own deliberations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:45:19.780 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.400$  Even in the very exalted towers

NOTE Confidence: 0.770728001428571

 $00:45:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.900$  of the Academy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103914525

 $00:45:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.690$  The 5th and final perspective

 $00:45:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.406$  that I'm suggesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103914525

 $00{:}45{:}32.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}34.918$  and then I'll bring some closure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103914525

 $00:45:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.105$  Is what I'm calling the read tooler?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103914525

00:45:38.110 --> 00:45:43.210 The Reed Tooler is reimagining dei

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103914525

 $00:45:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.405$  by recognizing the ambiguities and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103914525

 $00{:}45{:}46.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}50.374$  contingencies that we all bring to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103914525

 $00:45:50.374 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.720$  our conversations, deliberations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103914525

 $00:45:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.984$  The retooled a tool of deals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103914525

00:45:56.984 --> 00:45:59.995 upon a super additive power of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103914525

 $00{:}45{:}59.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01.967$  difference where we appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103914525

 $00{:}46{:}01.967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05.266$  diverse groups and we find ways to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103914525

 $00:46:05.266 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.082$  enhance achievement of strategic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103914525

 $00{:}46{:}07.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09.788$  goals and problem solved together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828023032777778

 $00:46:12.110 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.592$  I think that the reteller in many ways is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828023032777778

 $00:46:16.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.308$  Is a preferred? Approach.

00:46:21.310 --> 00:46:24.448 For most of our work together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828023032777778

 $00{:}46{:}24.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27.098$  because it does not ask the question of

NOTE Confidence: 0.828023032777778

00:46:27.098 --> 00:46:30.168 what is absolute truth or right or wrong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828023032777778

 $00:46:30.170 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.201$  it asked the question in a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.828023032777778

00:46:33.201 --> 00:46:35.654 pragmatic way. What works best?

NOTE Confidence: 0.828023032777778

 $00:46:35.654 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.766$  What are our priorities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.828023032777778

 $00:46:37.770 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.742$  How do we appropriate existing resources

NOTE Confidence: 0.828023032777778

00:46:41.742 --> 00:46:44.390 for solution driven strategies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.828023032777778

00:46:44.390 --> 00:46:47.008 How do we move beyond contracting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.828023032777778

00:46:47.008 --> 00:46:49.869 managing as in the rationalist and

NOTE Confidence: 0.828023032777778

00:46:49.869 --> 00:46:52.089 realist perspectives to embracing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.828023032777778

00:46:52.090 --> 00:46:53.908 And appreciating difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.828023032777778

 $00:46:53.908 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.544$  as the tool in leveraging new

NOTE Confidence: 0.828023032777778

 $00:46:57.544 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.028$  possibilities in democratic spaces.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00:47:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.965$  The Ritola also gives us a chance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00:47:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.009$  To remember retail and relive our stories,

00:47:10.010 --> 00:47:14.156 it's a process of remembering our

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

00:47:14.156 --> 00:47:16.890 stories in light of larger social,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00:47:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.500$  historic narratives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

00:47:19.500 --> 00:47:23.379 The story that I brought to the parking lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00:47:23.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.760$  I was born in Mississippi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

00:47:24.760 --> 00:47:29.516 As you heard, raised in Chicago IL and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00:47:29.516 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.029$  bring certain presuppositions to the table,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

00:47:33.029 --> 00:47:35.044 and thus so did myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00:47:35.050 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.738$  Sufficient gentleman in the parking lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00{:}47{:}37.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40.134$  How do we remember the scripts

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00:47:40.134 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.826$  that have been handed to us when

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00:47:42.826 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.192$  we arrive on the stage of history

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

00:47:45.269 --> 00:47:47.418 or in parking lots or in DI?

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00:47:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.334$  The meetings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00:47:48.334 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.990$  how do we then reframe our stories or

 $00:47:52.088 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.315$  retell the stories that we are given

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00:47:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.436$  mainly by tradition by these larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00:47:59.436 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.590$  social historical scripts, the habitus?

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

00:48:03.590 --> 00:48:07.865 That we receive and not only are born into,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572 00:48:07.870 --> 00:48:09.010 but inherit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

00:48:09.010 --> 00:48:12.430 Finally, how do we relive it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00:48:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.470$  This for me is a process of memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00:48:15.470 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.050$  Work in memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00{:}48{:}18.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}18.694 \ {\rm Envisioning},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00:48:18.694 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.270$  reimagining with the reteller

NOTE Confidence: 0.783820261428572

 $00:48:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.846$  possibilities for the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:48:25.870 --> 00:48:28.460 And it helps inform Mission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:48:28.460 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.390$  but this is an ongoing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:48:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.166$  Dynamic process of inclusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:48:35.166 --> 00:48:37.998 exclusion and reappropriation

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00{:}48{:}38.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}40.220$  because it recognizes the past.

 $00:48:40.220 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.652$  We are creatures with a past with history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:48:43.652 --> 00:48:46.284 but we also are in many ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:48:46.284 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.498$  self transcendent or aware.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00{:}48{:}48.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}51.720$  We can envision new futures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:48:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.840$  So that we might live

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:48:53.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.536$  together in the present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:48:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.542$  Here's another way I think about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00{:}48{:}57.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}59.750$  and don't worry about reading all of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:48:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.382$  But if you were to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:49:02.382 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.320$  this chart very quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:49:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.576$  In my last minute or two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:49:06.580 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.680$  where would you locate yourself?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:49:08.680 --> 00:49:10.798 Your primary location?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:49:10.798 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.320$  Maybe you straddle all of these, but see

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:49:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.520$  how strategic options orienting images.

 $00{:}49{:}19.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}22.940$  And these orienting questions play a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:49:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.684$  part in these ways of ethical deliberation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:49:26.684 \dashrightarrow 00:49:32.450$  and I can put this back up when we finish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:49:32.450 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.198$  Also keep in mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:49:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.232$  That in many ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:49:38.232 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.506$  I am privileging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:49:40.510 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.476$  Not the rationalists or the realists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:49:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.666$  Nor the relation is, nor the raconteur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:49:48.670 --> 00:49:51.388 At this point in our history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:49:51.390 --> 00:49:54.846 not just as a nation but world history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00{:}49{:}54.850 \to 00{:}49{:}58.385$  where many people with many visions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:49:58.385 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.669$  values are colliding at the intersection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00{:}50{:}01.670 --> 00{:}50{:}03.640$  I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00{:}50{:}03.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}09.040$  We should give prominence to tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:50:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.630$  What are the innovative strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:50:11.630 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.050$  that have performance centered that

00:50:14.050 --> 00:50:16.470 deal with ambiguity and contingency?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00{:}50{:}16.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}18.738$  I closed with the story and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:50:18.738 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.264$  may have heard it because I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:50:21.264 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.236$  concerned about these dangerous

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:50:23.236 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.191$  and noisy intersections and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:50:25.191 --> 00:50:27.186 where it's leading our public.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:50:27.190 --> 00:50:30.262 And I'm concerned about those of us who

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:50:30.262 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.787$  do the hard work of creating democratic

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:50:33.787 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.289$  space for diverse and equitable exchanges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:50:39.290 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.134$  There's a story by the South

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:50:42.134 --> 00:50:44.030 African writer Oliver Schreiner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:50:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.668$  Which is a story about an old

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:50:45.668 --> 00:50:47.169 mother dog who had for years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:50:47.170 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.110$  led her ducklings to the same pond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:50:50.110 --> 00:50:52.763 Finally, one day she LED a new

00:50:52.763 --> 00:50:55.139 batch of ducklings to an old pond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:50:55.140 --> 00:50:57.555 And it was all dried up and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00{:}50{:}57.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}59.769$  nothing was left but baked mud.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00{:}50{:}59.770 --> 00{:}51{:}00.218 \text{ Still},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:51:00.218 --> 00:51:02.010 she persisted in bringing

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:51:02.010 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.250$  her younglings down to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:51:04.250 --> 00:51:06.380 And walked about flapping her

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:51:06.380 --> 00:51:08.510 wings with an anxious quag,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00{:}51{:}08.510 \longrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12.278$  trying to induce them to enter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:51:12.280 --> 00:51:15.184 But the young ducklings with fresh

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:51:15.184 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.502$  young instincts could hear far off the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:51:18.502 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.094$  delicious drippings from the new dam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:51:21.100 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.582$  which was built up higher to catch the water.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:51:25.590 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.299$  When they smelled the chickweed and long

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:51:28.299 --> 00:51:31.367 grass that was growing up beside the dam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:51:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.390$  they absolutely refused to disport themselves

 $00:51:35.390 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.350$  on the baked mud and pretend to swim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00{:}51{:}40.350 \to 00{:}51{:}43.446$  And so they set out for new pastures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:51:43.450 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.027$  Perhaps to lose themselves on the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:51:47.030 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.710$  Or perhaps to find themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00{:}51{:}50.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}55.362$  To the old Mother Ducks Weiner writes one is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:51:55.362 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.390$  inclined to say, ah, good old mother duck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

00:52:00.390 --> 00:52:04.935 Can you not see that the world has changed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:52:04.940 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.276$  You cannot bring water back into

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:52:08.276 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.500$  the dried up pond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:52:10.500 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.110$  Perhaps it was better and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:52:13.110 \dashrightarrow 00:52:15.720$  pleasanter when it was there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:52:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.550$  But it has gone forever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:52:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.345$  And would you and yours swim again?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:52:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.918$  He had must be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82567906

 $00:52:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.108$  In other waters.

00:52:29.500 --> 00:52:32.530 My friends thank you. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85782534

 $00:52:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.000$  Thank.