WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:14:15" NOTE recognizability:0.887

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

00:00:00.000--> 00:00:02.915 I I don't know if you can see me I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:00:02.915 \dashrightarrow 00:00:04.955$  guess a little little box on this side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00{:}00{:}04.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}09.152$  so this is John Crystal and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:00:09.152 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.492$  want to welcome you to the 2021 E

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

00:00:12.492 --> 00:00:14.556 Morton Jellinek Memorial Lecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

00:00:14.560 --> 00:00:17.140 Before I introduce Doctor Angela Haney,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

00:00:17.140 --> 00:00:19.126 who will introduce our speaker today?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:00:19.130 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.898$  Doctor burlew.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

00:00:19.898 --> 00:00:22.970 I I thought it would be good after

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00{:}00{:}23.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.290$  several decades of having the Morton

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00{:}00{:}26.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.664$  Jellinek Memorial Lecture to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:00:28.664 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.680$  tell you a little bit about the Morton

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:00:31.763 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.390$  Jellinek so that you can learn more

 $00:00:34.390 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.550$  about the history of our department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00{:}00{:}36.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39.385$  Because it's a remarkable history

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:00:39.385 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.383$  with extraordinary people who've made

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:00:42.383 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.488$  important contributions to our field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:00:45.490 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.106$  So, uh. Add. Eat E.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

00:00:50.106 --> 00:00:50.922 Morton Jellinek,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:00:50.922 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.370$  known to his friends as Bunky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:00:53.370 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.746$  was born in 1890 in New York City,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

00:00:55.750 --> 00:00:58.614 but he spent his early life in Hungary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:00:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.421$  He studied at the University of Berlin

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00{:}01{:}02.421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04.732$  Biostatistics in Physiology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:01:04.732 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.128$  simultaneously at the universities

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00{:}01{:}07.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10.760$  of Grenoble, Grenoble and Light.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00{:}01{:}10.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.000$  Sick multiple topics concurrently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:01:14.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.056$  He was said to be fluent in nine

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00{:}01{:}17.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.576$  language and converse is conversant

 $00:01:19.576 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.804$  in four more languages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:01:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.318$  919 twenty under a period of time of of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

00:01:27.320 --> 00:01:29.650 I, I believe, financial difficulty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:01:29.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.915$  He engaged in illegal currency

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

00:01:31.915 --> 00:01:33.274 speculation in Hungary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:01:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.349$  and there was a warrant out for his arrest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:01:36.350 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.134$  And so he fled,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359 00:01:38.134 --> 00:01:38.580 uh, NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:01:38.580 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.786$  to Sierra Leone first and then Haiti aware.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:01:42.786 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.028$  He studied bananas and actually wrote papers

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

00:01:47.028 --> 00:01:50.300 on bananas for the United Fruit Company,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00:01:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.320$  which as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939727359

 $00{:}01{:}51.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}53.849$  has a very infamous and and troubled history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:01:56.270 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.850$  Nonetheless, at the end of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:01:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.278$  at the end of the period of

 $00:02:01.278 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.740$  time of his arrest warrant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00{:}02{:}02{:}02{:}740 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05{.}134$ he got a job as a psychiatrist

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

00:02:05.134 --> 00:02:06.860 at Worcester State Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:02:06.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.109$  which at the time was a trailblazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

00:02:11.110 --> 00:02:17.320 Program of in psychiatry. Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:02:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.685$  He came to Yale in 1939 and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:02:20.685 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.595$  going to talk about those years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:02:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.575$  In just a little bit and stayed

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:02:26.575 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.430$  at Yale until 1946 when he took a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:02:29.430 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.578$  job in Geneva as part of the World

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:02:32.578 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.846$  Health Organization where he led the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

00:02:34.846 --> 00:02:37.310 Subcommittee on the Committee of Sub Alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00{:}02{:}37.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38.770$  Subcommittee of the Committee

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:02:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.865$  of Mental Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:02:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.405$  After his time with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

00:02:41.405 --> 00:02:42.326 World Health Organization,

 $00:02:42.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.170$  where he had also had a significant impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:02:45.170 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.599$  on the field of alcohol and addictions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:02:47.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.924$  he went to Canada,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:02:48.924 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.329$  where he was Simon simultaneously the head

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:02:51.329 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.459$  of the Alcohol foundation of Roberta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:02:53.460 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.220$  And the Alcohol Research Foundation Ontario.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

00:02:56.220 --> 00:02:57.900 And if you know Canada,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:02:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.462$  you know that Alberta is far

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

00:03:00.462 --> 00:03:03.059 West and Ontario is Far East,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:03:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.637$  so he was covering and playing an

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

00:03:06.637 --> 00:03:08.851 enormous national role related

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:03:08.851 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.187$  to addictions in Canada.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

00:03:11.190 --> 00:03:14.915 He concluded his career at

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

00:03:14.915 --> 00:03:16.405 Stanford University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:03:16.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.680$  Where he died in 1960,

 $00:03:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.800$  completed his career and died in 1963.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00{:}03{:}22.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}24.832$  So I wanted to say in particular a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

00:03:24.832 --> 00:03:26.759 little bit about the Yale Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:03:26.759 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.776$  for Alcohol Studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:03:27.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.650$  because in some ways it's the foundation

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:03:30.650 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.277$  for what has developed in our communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

00:03:35.280 --> 00:03:36.723 And been sustained,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:03:36.723 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.647$  particularly with the revitalization

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00{:}03{:}38.647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}41.079$  of the substance abuse program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00:03:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.725$  by her cleaver and then 1960s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97053695

 $00{:}03{:}43.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45.450$  and continues to this day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:03:47.540 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.898$  The Yale Center for Alcohol Studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:03:49.900 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.610$  was driven in in part by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00{:}03{:}53.610 --> 00{:}03{:}57.680$  repeal of Prohibition in 1933.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

00:03:57.680 --> 00:03:59.548 The Carnegie Corporation created

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00{:}03{:}59.548 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}\:} > 00{:}04{:}01.416$  something called the Research

 $00:04:01.416 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.890$  Council on the problems of alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:04:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.735$  with Jellinek as its leader,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:04:05.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.766$  and this was the first major

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

00:04:09.766 --> 00:04:11.108 multidisciplinary alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:04:11.108 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.798$  related program in the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:04:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.023$  Yale recruited Jelinek

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:04:16.023 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.603$  and this program in 1935,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:04:19.603 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.668$  establishing the Laboratory of Applied

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:04:22.668 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.358$  Physiology which I was surprised to

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:04:26.358 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.790$  learn was located in Pierson College,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:04:29.790 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.389$  one of the undergraduate colleges on campus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00{:}04{:}34.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}37.018$  The center funded also and created

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00{:}04{:}37.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39.680$  the National Council of Alcoholism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00{:}04{:}39.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41.864$  which persists to this day under

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:04:41.864 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.338$  the name the National Council on

00:04:44.338 --> 00:04:46.226 Alcoholism and Drug Dependence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00{:}04{:}46.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49.810$  a nonprofit advocacy organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

00:04:49.810 --> 00:04:53.266 As I mentioned, Jelinek left Yale in 1946,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:04:53.266 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.362$  although he moved to Texas Christian

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:04:56.362 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.929$  University from Yale and established

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:04:58.929 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.731$  the Yale Center for Alcohol Studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:05:01.731 \dashrightarrow 00:05:04.958$  at at Texas Christian University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00{:}05{:}04.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08.719$  The fine after Jelinek left the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:05:08.719 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.977$  Center for Alcohol Studies continued at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

00:05:12.980 --> 00:05:16.004 Although under very difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

00:05:16.004 --> 00:05:17.516 financial situations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761419713333333

 $00:05:17.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.948$  conditions and in 1960.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758204392857143

00:05:22.710 --> 00:05:24.054 The Yale President,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758204392857143

 $00:05:24.054 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.140$  then a Whitney Griswold began.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87208101

 $00:05:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.520$  Pushing for the alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.87208101

 $00:05:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.560$  center to move elsewhere,

 $00:05:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.560$  and in fact in 1962,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87208101

 $00{:}05{:}36.560 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}> 00{:}05{:}38.640$  that center moved to Rutgers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87208101

 $00:05:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.065$  where it continues in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87208101

 $00:05:41.065 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.450$  in a version to this day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924212035

 $00:05:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.200$  So let me say

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:05:46.210 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.114$  a little bit about the five

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:05:48.114 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.828$  pillars of the Yale Alcoholes

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:05:49.828 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.488$  Center for Alcohol Studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00{:}05{:}51.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.066$  and this is on the right hand side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00{:}05{:}53.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.776$  You can see an actual page from

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:05:55.776 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.478$  one of the papers on the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00{:}05{:}58.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.709$  Center for Alcohol Studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00{:}06{:}00.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.986$  The first pillar was research physiological,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00{:}06{:}03.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06{:}390$ psychological, cultural, legal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:06:06.390 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.590$  educational, economic and medical.

 $00:06:09.590 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.840$  Really multidisciplinary initiative

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:06:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.340$  which would have been unheard of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:06:16.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.360$  Uhm, elsewhere at that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

00:06:21.360 --> 00:06:25.042 Uhm, Jelinek became the editor of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

00:06:25.042 --> 00:06:28.440 Quarterly Journal of Studies on alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:06:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.508$  the First journal devoted

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:06:30.508 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.059$  to alcohol research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:06:32.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.042$  and that journal continues to this day

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

00:06:35.042 --> 00:06:38.773 as the Journal on Studies of Alcohol and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:06:38.773 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.630$  substance abuse or something like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:06:41.630 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.402$  The third pillar was education and as part

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

00:06:45.402 --> 00:06:47.320 of the Yale Center for Alcohol Studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:06:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.828$  they established the summer

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

00:06:48.828 --> 00:06:50.336 School of Alcohol Studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:06:50.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.130$  which was a major national

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:06:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.470$  educational initiative for which

 $00:06:55.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.419$  the center was very highly regarded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:07:00.420 \dashrightarrow 00:07:04.140$  And then the the Research Center had

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:07:04.140 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.193$  within it a a clinical research program

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

00:07:08.193 --> 00:07:11.218 which was also a multidisciplinary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

00:07:11.220 --> 00:07:13.068 very sophisticated approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

00:07:13.068 --> 00:07:16.148 quite groundbreaking for its time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

00:07:16.150 --> 00:07:19.730 who was called the Yale plant for Diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:07:19.730 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.910$  treatment, research and training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:07:21.910 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.501$  So a really cutting edge clinic embedded

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00{:}07{:}25.501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.259$  in an educational and research initiative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:07:29.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.500$  And they provided other services

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:07:31.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.292$  to this field so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875 00:07:33.300 --> 00:07:33.874 Uhm, NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:07:33.874 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.641$  this kind of initiative exists

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:07:36.641 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.996$  only in a few places.

00:07:39.000 --> 00:07:42.176 Yale continues to be one of those places,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:07:42.180 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.616$  but only in a very few places

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:07:44.616 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.660$  around the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:07:45.660 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.612$  Now you can imagine how transformative

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

00:07:48.612 --> 00:07:51.571 was as the first major initiative

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:07:51.571 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.253$  of its kind in its day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

00:07:54.260 --> 00:07:56.666 One of the things that Jelinek

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:07:56.666 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.690$  is best known for, UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:07:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.134$  was a book that he published in 1960,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:08:02.134 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.654$  which was called the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00{:}08{:}04.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.166$  concept of alcoholism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:08:06.170 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.582$  And and what Jellinek was trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:08:08.582 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.719$  to do was to combat the idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

00:08:11.720 --> 00:08:12.944 Uh, that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875 00:08:12.944 --> 00:08:13.556 Uh, NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:08:13.556 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.004$  addiction and particularly alcohol

 $00:08:16.004 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.218$  use disorders were a moral lapse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:08:19.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.516$  In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

 $00:08:20.516 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.676$  that drunkenness was a problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.81470403875

00:08:22.676 --> 00:08:24.390 simply of the moral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

00:08:27.900 --> 00:08:29.944 Limitations of people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:08:29.944 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.477$  developed alcohol problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:08:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.472$  And he did in many ways contribute to

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:08:35.472 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.169$  the medicalization of addiction which

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00{:}08{:}38.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41.824$  has in some ways progressed with the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:08:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.110$  Bro, science studies of addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

00:08:44.110 --> 00:08:46.915 But Jellinek himself was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:08:46.915 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.720$  quite a nuanced and clever

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

00:08:49.815 --> 00:08:52.620 clinician sensitive clinician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

00:08:52.620 --> 00:08:55.095 He tried to subtype alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:08:55.095 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.580$  into different versions,

 $00:08:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.348$  only some of which were that he were

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00{:}08{:}59.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}02.404$  forms that he considered to be diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:09:02.404 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.689$  characterized by loss of control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:09:04.690 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.554$  Uhm, and he also believed very much

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:09:08.554 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.740$  in in social and cultural aspects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

00:09:12.740 --> 00:09:14.045 Influencing alcohol consumption

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:09:14.045 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.657$  and he did not draw a clear line

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:09:17.657 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.127$  between healthy levels of drinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00{:}09{:}20.127 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22.840$  and pathological levels and drinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143 00:09:22.840 --> 00:09:23.214 Instead, NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:09:23.214 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.832$  he tended to view levels of drinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00{:}09{:}25.832 \to 00{:}09{:}28.704$  as being important to norm with respect

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:09:28.704 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.380$  to cultural and other social factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:09:31.380 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.050$  So he was quite a sophisticated

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:09:34.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.385$  and thoughtful researcher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:09:35.390 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.240$  although he did of course

 $00:09:38.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.520$  create the disease concept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:09:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.891$  Uhm, it is said of Jelinek.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

00:09:43.891 --> 00:09:47.317 With the help of EM Jellinek,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:09:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.145$  the modern era of addiction

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:09:49.145 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.970$  science was launched with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

00:09:51.041 --> 00:09:52.837 physical infrastructure and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:09:52.837 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.531$  intellectual capital needed to form an

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00{:}09{:}55.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}58.286$  inter disciplinary field of basic research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00{:}09{:}58.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.970$  applied science and clinical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:10:00.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.860$  His impact is so significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:10:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.396$  that foundation or not nonprofit

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:10:08.396 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.788$  was created to recognize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00{:}10{:}10.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13.198$  His impact on the field of addiction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:10:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.528$  which is called the EM at

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

00:10:15.530 --> 00:10:17.678 EM Jellinek Memorial Fund.

00:10:17.678 --> 00:10:20.900 And every year the EM Jellinek

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00{:}10{:}20.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}24.185$  Memorial Fund presents one of the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:10:24.185 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.150$  coveted awards in addiction research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

00:10:26.150 --> 00:10:28.970 which is the EM Jellinek Memorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:10:28.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.380$  War which comes with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

00:10:31.380 --> 00:10:35.169 Extremely heavy statue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:10:35.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.008$  And but it's very nice one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:10:38.010 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.770$  So this is Yale addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:10:41.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.426$  This is the history of of one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:10:44.426 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.086$  the ways that our department has

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:10:47.086 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.954$  contributed to the emergence of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:10:50.037 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.629$  modern field of of addiction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:10:52.630 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.038$  and a legacy that all of you share

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00{:}10{:}55.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57.600$  as being part of the Yale community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

00:10:57.600 --> 00:11:00.272 So I thought it would be was important

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:11:00.272 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.203$  for all of you to know about this and

 $00{:}11{:}03.203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06.107$  to be proud of the ways in which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

00:11:06.110 --> 00:11:07.810 Yale Department of Psychiatry

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:11:07.810 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.879$  has helped to kind of create the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:11:10.879 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.639$  modern field of addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:11:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.174$  So with that I'm going to stop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

00:11:15.180 --> 00:11:19.596 I'm going to stop sharing my screen and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

00:11:19.600 --> 00:11:22.870 And maybe Doctor Haney can begin

NOTE Confidence: 0.839852402857143

 $00:11:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.505$  her recorded presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8054575375

 $00:11:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.790$  I will start that for her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073147

 $00:11:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.931$  Good morning everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94073147

00:11:44.931 --> 00:11:47.086 It is with great pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00{:}11{:}47.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.920$  that I introduce doctor Kathy Berliew to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:11:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.770$  give the Jellinek Memorial lectures back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00{:}11{:}53.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}55.630$  Lose professor emeritus at

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:11:55.630 --> 00:11:56.900 the University of Cincinnati,

00:11:56.900 --> 00:11:59.385 and her research focuses on substance use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:11:59.390 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.980$  disorder, treatment and HIV prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:12:00.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.200$  with a focus on people of color.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:12:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.815$  She first received her doctorate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:12:05.815 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.430$  in social psychology from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:12:07.488 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.522$  University of Michigan and later a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:12:09.522 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.204$  trained in clinical psychology at

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:12:11.204 --> 00:12:14.220 the University of Miami in Ohio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:12:14.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.642$  Doctor Burlew was selected to be our

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:12:16.642 --> 00:12:18.010 distinguished lecture this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:12:18.010 --> 00:12:20.014 Given her extensive work on culturally

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:12:20.014 --> 00:12:21.780 appropriate research methods in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:12:21.780 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.060$  and specifically within the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:12:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.428$  of addiction research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:12:25.430 --> 00:12:26.554 If you haven't already,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00{:}12{:}26.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.687$  please check out one of our recent

 $00{:}12{:}28.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.231$  articles entitled Best Practices

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00{:}12{:}30.231 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}33.580$  for research in diverse groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:12:33.580 --> 00:12:34.438 Doctor Blue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:12:34.438 --> 00:12:37.012 the champion of ensuring people of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:12:37.012 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.438$  color equitably benefit from research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:12:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.090$  She has chaired the Minority interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:12:41.090 --> 00:12:42.870 group of the Night in Clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00{:}12{:}42.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.445$  Trials Network for several years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:12:44.450 --> 00:12:45.742 And is currently Cokie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00{:}12{:}45.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.680$  Honesty and grant to assess the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:12:47.744 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.094$  comparative efficacy of multiple substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00{:}12{:}50.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52.444$  use treatment interventions for black

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00{:}12{:}52.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.449$  people in substance use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:12:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.445$  She has published numerous articles

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:12:56.445 --> 00:12:58.440 on cultural adaptation of evidence

 $00:12:58.506 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.872$  based treatments among her recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:13:00.872 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.292$  publications include her model community

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:13:03.292 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.343$  collaborative cultural adaptation for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:13:05.343 --> 00:13:07.375 implementing academic and community

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:13:07.375 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.407$  partnerships to address community

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00{:}13{:}09.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12.079$  problems which we'll hear more about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:13:12.080 --> 00:13:14.120 I first met Doctor Birlew when

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:13:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.830$  I was a graduate student.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00{:}13{:}15.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.315$  She was assigned as my mentor through

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:13:18.315 --> 00:13:20.050 the Psychology Summer Institute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:13:20.050 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.787$  and in addition to her many accolades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:13:22.790 --> 00:13:23.820 Dr Blues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:13:23.820 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.830$  very passionate about supporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:13:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.252$  early career researchers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:13:27.252 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.608$  including researchers of color and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:13:29.608 --> 00:13:31.440 she's intentional about sharing

00:13:31.440 --> 00:13:32.855 opportunities that support career

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00{:}13{:}32.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34.805$  advancement and using her position of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:13:34.805 --> 00:13:36.714 power within the night of Clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:13:36.714 --> 00:13:38.765 Trials Network to ensure the needs of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:13:38.821 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.854$  early career researchers are heard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:13:40.854 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.493$  And so I continue to benefit tremendously

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:13:43.493 --> 00:13:45.730 from Doctor Burlew's mentorship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:13:45.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.180$  And so it truly is an honor for me to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00{:}13{:}48.251 \rightarrow 00{:}13{:}50.939$  introduce her today and to acknowledge the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:13:50.939 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.887$  impact that her work has had on the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:13:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.238$  So, without further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:13:55.238 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.434$  I will turn it over to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:13:57.434 --> 00:13:59.365 Doctor Who to present one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

00:13:59.365 --> 00:14:02.100 Size does not fit all transitioning

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:14:02.100 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.370$  to a community engaged approach

 $00:14:04.445 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.128$  to cultural adaptation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85936655

 $00:14:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.670$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355768

 $00:14:09.190 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.170$  Good morning, let me just share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82353778

 $00:14:29.630 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.048$  Hold on just a second here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930855417777778

 $00:14:40.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.778$  Might be able to just say cancel for now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.37841803

 $00:14:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.320$  Be with you in a minute here. Having trouble.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880987435

00:14:56.940 --> 00:14:59.844 Kathy, if you just say cancel on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.880987435

00:14:59.844 --> 00:15:02.780 little pop-up, it might be OK. Cancel

NOTE Confidence: 0.601752306666667

00:15:02.790 --> 00:15:07.820 on the little popup where oh OK. OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.716849576666667

 $00:15:07.820 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.882$  be able to start from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.716849576666667

 $00{:}15{:}09.882 --> 00{:}15{:}11.979$  beginning. Oh, there we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628516105

00:15:15.360 --> 00:15:19.141 Uhm? Thank you everyone for

NOTE Confidence: 0.628516105

00:15:19.141 --> 00:15:23.083 joining and thanks first to uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00:15:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.365$  to doctor Angela Haney for

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00:15:26.365 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.330$  that Nice introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00:15:28.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.818$  We do go way back to when she

 $00:15:30.818 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.260$  was a doctoral student at

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

00:15:33.260 --> 00:15:36.145 University of Missouri and then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00:15:36.150 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.209$  as she said, we we were paired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

00:15:39.210 --> 00:15:44.356 I was her AP, a mentor at the Psychology

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00:15:44.356 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.064$  Summer Institute and over the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00:15:48.070 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.600$  We have become colleagues and

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

00:15:50.600 --> 00:15:53.130 she's actually LED some projects

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00:15:53.218 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.668$  that that I've been a part of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00{:}15{:}55.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}58.536$  Thank you to the group for inviting

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00{:}15{:}58.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.163$  me to speak at this memorial lecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00:16:03.163 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.576$  I too did a little research on

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00{:}16{:}08.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13.244$  Doctor Jelenik and I came away

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00:16:13.244 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.120$  both impressed and intimidated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00:16:17.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.700$  That along with his work in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00:16:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.846$  Addiction sciences.

00:16:20.846 --> 00:16:23.711 He was also a biostatistician

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

00:16:23.711 --> 00:16:25.430 as Doctor Crystal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

00:16:25.430 --> 00:16:28.590 said Anna physiologist and anyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

00:16:28.590 --> 00:16:31.750 who could speak nine languages

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00:16:31.856 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.916$  and communicate and for others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00:16:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.510$  That's just a very impressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00:16:37.510 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.026$  How does one person do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

00:16:41.026 --> 00:16:43.370 much in one lifetime?

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

00:16:43.370 --> 00:16:46.914 So, uhm, I'm showing on the screen now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

00:16:46.920 --> 00:16:49.758 My research team and you'll see

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00:16:49.758 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.296$  that my research team includes both

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

00:16:53.296 --> 00:16:57.476 academic members and Community members,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00:16:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.189$  and I'm going to have an opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00{:}17{:}00.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.212$  to share quite a bit on that today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

00:17:03.212 --> 00:17:08.838 And I have no no conflicts to disclose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00{:}17{:}08.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11.876$  Let me just ask across the screen

 $00:17:11.876 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.301$  as I see it, there's a banner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

00:17:14.301 --> 00:17:16.503 That says I'm screen sharing just

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

00:17:16.503 --> 00:17:19.047 as long as you're not seeing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955 00:17:19.050 --> 00:17:19.386 Sure, NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

00:17:19.386 --> 00:17:21.402 sure you're not seeing that right

NOTE Confidence: 0.775543955

 $00:17:21.402 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.670$  that banner that says screen sharing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727895675

 $00:17:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.548$  I'll assume that your

NOTE Confidence: 0.87639599

 $00{:}17{:}28.560 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}> 00{:}17{:}30.890$  slides. Your slides look perfect. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:17:31.170 --> 00:17:34.998 Alright, thank you so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:17:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.024$  What planted the seeds for the work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:17:39.024 --> 00:17:43.370 I'm doing today were planted years ago?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00{:}17{:}43.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47.009$  As Angela mentioned, I started out

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00{:}17{:}47.009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.607$  my career as a social psychologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:17:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.668$  There was always this part of me

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:17:51.668 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.112$  when I was in the PhD program

00:17:54.112 --> 00:17:55.972 at the University of Michigan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:17:55.980 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.884$  there was always this part of me that

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:17:57.884 --> 00:18:00.266 had an interest in clinical work as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:18:00.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.490$  and so for my first sabbatical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:18:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.752$  that was my opportunity to take 2 1/2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:18:05.752 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.338$  Years and retrain in clinical psychology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:18:08.340 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.091$  And I mentioned that because the seeds

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:18:11.091 --> 00:18:14.175 for the work that I'm talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:18:14.175 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.905$  today were planted during that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:18:16.910 --> 00:18:20.291 One of my classmates when I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:18:20.291 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.382$  retraining in clinical psychology

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00{:}18{:}22.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.112$  mentioned that he treats every body

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:18:25.112 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.179$  the same and he took a lot of pride.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:18:29.180 --> 00:18:31.292 Justin saying that I treat everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:18:31.292 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.440$  the same and and even back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00{:}18{:}33.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}36.373$  Then before I was doing the cultural

00:18:36.373 --> 00:18:38.854 adaptation work that felt a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:18:38.854 --> 00:18:40.764 strange to me that everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:18:40.764 --> 00:18:43.848 might not respond the same way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:18:43.848 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.920$  to treatment so later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:18:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.055$  Come in the early years of and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:18:49.055 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.774$  I'm assuming people know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:18:50.774 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.609$  night of clinical trials network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:18:52.610 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.514$  I'll say a bit about it later on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:18:56.520 --> 00:18:59.508 Later, Bill Miller was a member,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00{:}18{:}59.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02.566$  active member of CTN for a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:19:02.566 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.561$  years and in one of his publications he

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:19:05.561 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.166$  said suppose your physician says to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:19:08.170 --> 00:19:10.102 I really don't pay much attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:19:10.102 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.068$  to medical research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:19:11.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.984$  I've been treating people like you

 $00:19:12.984 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.459$  for 30 years and I know what works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:19:15.460 --> 00:19:18.463 Would you go back or would you

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:19:18.463 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.420$  find yourself another doctor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:19:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.829$  We quoted that that statement by Bill

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:19:23.829 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.300$  Miller in one of our publications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00{:}19{:}27.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.687$  And really kind of added to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:19:29.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.281$  Now imagine that you also learn that

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:19:33.281 --> 00:19:36.817 your physician uses the same dose of

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00{:}19{:}36.817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}39.673$  the same medication for all clients

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:19:39.769 --> 00:19:42.609 with the same medical disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575 00:19:42.610 --> 00:19:43.232 Ah, NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00{:}19{:}43.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.720$  without even considering whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00{:}19{:}45.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47.586$  available scientific evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:19:47.586 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.072$  suggests certain types of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:19:50.072 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.795$  are more or less likely to respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:19:52.876 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.600$  to that medication now,

 $00:19:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.144$  what's the chance that you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00{:}19{:}57.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00.607$  go back for for a second visit?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575 00:20:00.610 --> 00:20:02.510 Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:20:02.510 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.354$  We have evidence that that statement

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:20:05.354 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.794$  is consistent with evidence within

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:20:07.794 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.590$  the night of clinical trials network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:20:10.590 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.538$  We have evidence in multiple studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:20:14.538 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.037$  that behavioral interventions don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00{:}20{:}17.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{:}139$  always work the same across groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00{:}20{:}20.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}23.278$  and across in particular across

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:20:23.278 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.516$  racial ethnic groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00{:}20{:}25.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.166$  So right now I have some work

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:20:28.166 --> 00:20:30.229 going on in my house.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:20:30.230 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.926$  My bank, my basement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:20:31.926 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.046$  had a a flooding problem.

 $00:20:34.050 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.474$  Ah, and the contractor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:20:35.474 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.610$  I told the contractor not to

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:20:37.686 --> 00:20:39.382 come today because, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:20:39.382 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.166$  I didn't want that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:20:40.170 --> 00:20:42.240 Banging was presenting and so he

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:20:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.520$  asked me about my presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00{:}20{:}44.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.610$  and I was sharing with him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:20:47.610 --> 00:20:49.758 I was talking about how different

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:20:49.758 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.914$  treatments don't work the same across

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:20:51.914 --> 00:20:54.210 different groups and he had a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:20:54.210 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.785$  puzzled look on his face on wide treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:20:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.218$  Would work differently across

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:20:59.218 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.646$  different racial ethnic groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00{:}21{:}01.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04.098$  My answer to him is a little different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

00:21:04.100 --> 00:21:07.468 Then the answer that I'll give to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933447575

 $00:21:07.470 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.798$  but my answer to you is

 $00:21:09.798 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.350$  that we have evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00{:}21{:}11.439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.165$  that different groups are affected by

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:21:15.165 --> 00:21:17.649 contextual factors bring different

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:21:17.738 --> 00:21:20.222 contextual factors to treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00{:}21{:}20.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}23.327$  and that those contextual factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:21:23.327 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.194$  affect their response to treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:21:26.194 --> 00:21:29.560 Things like their pathway to treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:21:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.024$  patterns of treatment, engagement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:21:32.024 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.982$  cultural attitudes, all those maybe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:21:34.982 --> 00:21:37.831 Yeah, uh, issues that affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:21:37.831 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.099$  their response to treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:21:40.100 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.809$  and for that reason some of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:21:42.809 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.692$  have concluded that behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:21:44.692 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.896$  interventions developed for one

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:21:46.896 --> 00:21:49.173 target group cannot be assumed.

 $00:21:49.173 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.128$  They have to be tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:21:51.130 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.972$  They cannot be assumed to be as

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:21:53.972 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.270$  effective for a different target group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:21:57.270 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.962$  And when it turns out that they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:22:00.962 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.489$  not working as well for a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00{:}22{:}03.489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05.684$  target group cultural adaptation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:22:05.684 --> 00:22:09.194 Is one strategy for improving

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:22:09.194 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.790$  effectiveness and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00{:}22{:}11.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.178$  My definition that I got from

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:22:14.178 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.770$  one of my readings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:22:15.770 --> 00:22:17.740 I didn't develop it myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:22:17.740 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.105$  is that cultural adaptation is

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:22:21.105 --> 00:22:23.797 modifying an evidence based

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:22:23.797 --> 00:22:26.719 intervention to be more appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:22:26.719 --> 00:22:29.404 for a specific target group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00{:}22{:}29.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.596$  and we're making it more appropriate

 $00:22:32.596 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.266$  by incorporating aspects of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00{:}22{:}35.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}37.576$  group's culture that are related

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:22:37.576 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.372$  to their substance use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:22:39.372 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.036$  And so we say well why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:22:42.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.362$  Why would we pick that strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:22:44.362 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.440$  of culturally adapting when an

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:22:46.440 --> 00:22:48.276 intervention isn't working well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:22:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.230$  Well, we have some evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:22:50.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.446$  Some of the most some of the strongest

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:22:54.446 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.984$  evidence comes from a meta analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00{:}22{:}57.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00.964$  that Doctor Nagayama Hall published

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:23:00.964 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.347$  and in his meta analysis he he.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:23:04.350 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.990$  He reviewed a number of studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:23:06.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.103$  and found that in many cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:23:10.103 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.619$  the culturally adapted version.

 $00:23:12.620 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.749$  Was more effective for a specific target

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:23:15.749 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.208$  group than the generic version had been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:23:19.210 --> 00:23:20.420 And so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:23:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.025$  uhm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:23:21.025 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.050$  When we say cultural adaptation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:23:24.050 --> 00:23:26.540 we're we're talking about us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:23:26.540 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.700$  a broad group of activities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:23:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.024$  and so I wanted to say something

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00{:}23{:}34.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}36.826$  just a just a little something about

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:23:36.826 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.412$  some of the types of adaptations

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:23:39.488 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.130$  that that might be considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:23:42.130 --> 00:23:45.640 Resnik al at at Michigan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00{:}23{:}45.640 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}23{:}48.428$  differentiated between what he

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:23:48.428 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.698$  called surface adaptations and deep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:23:51.698 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.465$  Structural adaptations surface

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:23:53.465 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.410$  adaptations are pretty much what

 $00:23:56.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.044$  the way they sound that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:23:59.044 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.800$  core curriculum is unchanged,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:24:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.800$  but you alter the presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:24:02.800 --> 00:24:04.750 You might bring in different names

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:24:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.221$  for the role plays or share the role

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:24:07.221 --> 00:24:09.530 plays or or modify the role plays a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:24:09.530 --> 00:24:11.882 bit so that they fit the cultural group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:24:11.890 --> 00:24:14.250 but deep structural adaptations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00{:}24{:}14.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17.200$  You're also keeping the core

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:24:17.200 --> 00:24:19.520 components of the intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00{:}24{:}19.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22.390$  but you're incorporating the culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00{:}24{:}22.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.858$  Social experiences and values of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00{:}24{:}25.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}29.828$  target group that might be related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:24:29.828 --> 00:24:32.550 substance use within the night of CT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:24:32.550 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.500$  and we have examples of both.

 $00:24:34.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.815$  We have examples of surface

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:24:36.815 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.130$  interventions and of deep structural.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:24:39.130 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.095$  The one surface intervention that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:24:41.095 --> 00:24:44.374 I'll talk about is CTN 21 and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:24:44.374 --> 00:24:46.930 was a Spanish language version of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:24:47.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.920$  motivational enhancement therapy where

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:24:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.966$  a sensually they translated the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:24:52.966 --> 00:24:55.626 Generic intervention into Spanish and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:24:55.626 --> 00:24:58.438 then recruited Spanish speaking staff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:24:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.398$  but now CTM 33 which was a Met

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:25:03.400 --> 00:25:08.440 study among American Indians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:25:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.285$  They took a different approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00{:}25{:}10.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13.905$  and I see and in that case in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

00:25:13.905 --> 00:25:16.025 particular study they looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:25:16.025 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.379$  what role does the communities

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00{:}25{:}18.379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.157$  culture play in the prevention of

 $00:25:21.160 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.688$  substance use and in response to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92902684

 $00:25:24.688 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.452$  substance use treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:25:26.460 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.335$  and then the second question

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:25:28.335 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.775$  was how best to address those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00{:}25{:}30.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}33.235$  cultural issues in an intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:25:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.448$  So it's their preliminary research that was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:25:38.450 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.251$  Uh, I see as an example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:25:42.251 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.130$  deep structural modifications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:25:46.130 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.210$  So when we are culturally

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:25:49.210 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.058$  adapting an intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:25:51.060 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.735$  there's evidence to suggest that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:25:54.735 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.653$  community engagement might be helpful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:25:57.653 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.392$  just involving the group, the target group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:26:01.392 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.412$  That's the focus of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:26:04.412 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.752$  cultural adaptation our team.

 $00:26:07.752 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.950$  Ah, it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

00:26:10.950 --> 00:26:13.758 Likes to think of this on a continuum

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:26:13.758 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.816$  on a continuum that ranges from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:26:16.816 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.656$  very little community engagement to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:26:19.656 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.817$  quite a bit of community engagement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:26:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.050$  You'll see it at the top

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:26:27.050 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.460$  investigator research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

00:26:28.460 --> 00:26:31.370 In this continuum has little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

00:26:31.370 --> 00:26:32.534 community involvement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:26:32.540 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.746$  but as we move through the continuum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00{:}26{:}35.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38.322$  community based participatory research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

00:26:38.322 --> 00:26:42.180 the Community ends up having oftentimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

00:26:42.265 --> 00:26:44.790 has some decision making power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:26:44.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.638$  and in community driven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:26:47.638 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.774$  the research implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:26:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.185$  is actually at least partly

00:26:53.185 --> 00:26:55.664 controlled by community members,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:26:55.664 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.449$  so we're going to see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

00:26:58.450 --> 00:27:00.121 This continuum throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:27:00.121 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.349$  my presentation and then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:27:02.350 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.549$  and as it applies to my research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:27:05.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.581$  and this is just a different way

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:27:08.581 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.392$  of displaying it from investigator

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483729325

 $00:27:11.392 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.020$  driven to community driven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:27:16.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.767$  Research. So we do as as Angela said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}27{:}22.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.950$  we do sexual health research and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:27:25.950 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.890$  we we ask ourselves the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:27:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.010$  how do researchers actually involve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:27:32.010 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.763$  the Community when they label their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:27:35.763 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.350$  projects as CBPR and so we did?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:27:39.350 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.654$  A review paper.

 $00:27:40.654 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.664$  A review of literature search

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:27:42.664 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.380$  and a review paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:27:44.380 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.566$  Just trying to address that that question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:27:48.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.998$  We did a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}27{:}49.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52.378$  Aprisma search and started out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:27:52.378 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.148$  with many more articles than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

00:27:55.148 --> 00:27:58.008 been our category criteria and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:27:58.008 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.072$  finally in the end we were down

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:28:01.072 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.086$  to 24 that met our criteria of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

00:28:07.090 --> 00:28:11.100 Labeling their projects as CBPR

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}28{:}11.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.010$  and they were looking at sexual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

00:28:14.010 --> 00:28:16.522 The development and adaptation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:28:16.522 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.034$  of sexual health interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

00:28:19.040 --> 00:28:21.248 Let me tell you a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

00:28:21.250 --> 00:28:24.771 those findings and and what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}28{:}24.771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.778$  different researchers were doing

 $00:28:26.778 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.683$  when they said they were doing CPR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}28{:}29.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33.890$  Eight of those 24 involved representatives

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:28:33.890 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.180$  of agencies serving the target group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:28:38.180 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.664$  So their community partners

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:28:39.664 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.519$  were not target group members,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:28:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.810$  but they were representatives of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

00:28:43.810 --> 00:28:46.100 agencies once studied by Romero,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:28:46.100 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.920$  for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:28:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.370$  Worked with Planned Parenthood staff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:28:53.370 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.338$  Now there was another group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}28{:}55.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57.401$  four that said they were using

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}28{:}57.401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59.447$  CBPR and they didn't really tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}28{:}59.447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01.548$  in their articles exactly how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:29:01.548 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.348$  the community was involved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:29:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.013$  so I'm I'm not going to list any of

00:29:07.013 --> 00:29:09.976 those studies, but there were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}29{:}09.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12.460$  Twelve were members of the target

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:29:12.543 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.727$  group were directly involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:29:14.730 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.705$  One of those was by Rios Ellis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:29:17.710 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.262$  and in that case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:29:19.262 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.650$  Uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:29:19.650 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.002$  they actually added peer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:29:22.002 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.942$  educators to the research team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}29{:}24.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.614$  and like the other eleven in that category,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:29:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.730$  the commute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}29{:}29.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.060$  the target group members were involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

00:29:33.060 --> 00:29:36.482 in preliminary research design they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}29{:}36.482 \to 00{:}29{:}39.812$  involved in in choosing the instruments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:29:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.284$  Partnering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

00:29:40.284 --> 00:29:43.068 Of course, with the academic team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:29:43.070 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.370$  they're involved in recruitment,

 $00:29:45.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.095$  facilitating the intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

00:29:47.095 --> 00:29:49.250 data collection and data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

00:29:49.250 --> 00:29:50.150 Analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:29:50.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.748$  and so we concluded that some people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

00:29:55.748 --> 00:29:59.874 are some teams that say they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}29{:}59.874 \to 00{:}30{:}01.998$  doing community engaged research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:30:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.776$  like the level one on the previous slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}30{:}05.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07.370$  While we're doing something that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:30:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.905$  at least on this continuum would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}30{:}10.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}12.968$  called community placed research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:30:12.968 \dashrightarrow 00:30:16.188$  and Romero was an example of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:30:16.190 \dashrightarrow 00:30:19.946$  But others, and and real zealous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}30{:}19.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}22.650$  I was doing something where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:30:22.650 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.954$  community had real decision making

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

00:30:24.954 --> 00:30:27.054 was involved in decision making

 $00:30:27.054 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.712$  and some role in the implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:30:29.712 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.412$  of the research and so others

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:30:32.412 \dashrightarrow 00:30:35.576$  were doing something called CBPR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:30:35.576 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.088$  So we could we concluded that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

00:30:40.090 --> 00:30:44.717 At people implement CBPR in multiple ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

00:30:44.720 --> 00:30:47.474 and so when you hear it's a CBPR study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:30:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.742$  you have to look more closely just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:30:50.742 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.868$  to see how the how the community

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}30{:}53.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}56.484$  was involved and how the target

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:30:56.484 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.029$  group was involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:30:58.030 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.928$  So I'm going to move now to our journey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

00:31:00.930 --> 00:31:04.656 Our journey is a trend we we had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:31:04.656 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.823$  transition towards a community engaged

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

00:31:07.823 --> 00:31:10.266 approach to cultural adaptation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:31:10.266 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.410$  And there's several stops in our journey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:31:14.410 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.270$  And it's it's an evolution.

 $00:31:16.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.455$  The evolution of RRRR of our work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00{:}31{:}22.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25.740$  It starts with rimas. Real men are safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

00:31:25.740 --> 00:31:28.429 And then we're going to go briefly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:31:28.429 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.799$  to safer sex skill building,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996119

 $00:31:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.785$  SSSB and then a teen version of of SSSB.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:31:36.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.052$  So our journey started with a Naida

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

00:31:40.052 --> 00:31:42.390 national drug abuse treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00{:}31{:}42.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44.562$  clinical trials network intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:31:44.562 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.820$  I've mentioned the CTN several times

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:31:47.902 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.674$  and I think probably most people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

00:31:50.674 --> 00:31:53.182 familiar with it, but just in case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

00:31:53.182 --> 00:31:54.494 there's someone who's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:31:54.500 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.028$  It's a collaboration of researchers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:31:58.028 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.467$  providers to test substance use treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:32:01.467 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.772$  in large multi site clinical trials.

 $00:32:04.772 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.708$  One of those trials was rimas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

00:32:08.710 --> 00:32:11.814 Real men are safe and it was led

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

00:32:11.814 --> 00:32:15.090 by the late Doctor Don Callsen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:32:15.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.586$  and I'm I'm really addicted to him for

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

00:32:18.586 --> 00:32:21.696 getting me started on on this path.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:32:21.700 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.200$  Doctor Coulson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:32:25.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.245$  Implemented and evaluated real men

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00{:}32{:}28.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}32.017$  are safe within the clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:32:32.017 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.157$  network across several sites and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

00:32:35.160 --> 00:32:38.620 his findings were quite positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:32:38.620 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.560$  compared to the comparison group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:32:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.535$  The men who participated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:32:44.535 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.915$  real men are safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:32:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.745$  Reported more condom use in

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:32:49.745 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.440$  their sexual encounters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:32:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.136$  They reported less sex with drugs.

 $00:32:55.140 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.200$  And the intervention was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:32:58.200 \dashrightarrow 00:33:02.440$  Was strong enough to be added to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

00:33:02.440 --> 00:33:05.480 CDC Compendium of HIV prevention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:33:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.445$  evidence based interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

00:33:07.445 --> 00:33:11.375 but when Doctor Coulson looked more

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

00:33:11.375 --> 00:33:15.270 closely at the Black men in his his sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:33:15.270 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.497$  he he found that the outcomes were

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00{:}33{:}18.497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}21.517$  working better for white than black men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

00:33:21.517 --> 00:33:23.731 And that's when and I'm always

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:33:23.731 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.378$  be thankful to him,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:33:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.500$  that's when he invited me into to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:33:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.700$  Think some about the patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:33:30.700 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.460$  that we were seeing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00{:}33{:}32.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35.256$  This was from the generic version.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:33:35.260 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.396$  This was what he was finding that the

 $00:33:39.396 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.328$  Y axis is the percentage of men who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:33:44.328 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.397$  reporting condom use 80% of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00{:}33{:}47.397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50.295$  The bars on the left or baseline and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:33:50.295 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.022$  the bars on the right are at the I

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:33:53.106 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.058$  think it was a three month follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:33:56.060 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.440$  And the blue bars are white men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:33:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.037$  and the orange bars are black men

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:34:01.037 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.419$  and you could see a baseline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:34:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.050$  There was not much difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:34:05.050 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.730$  Neither group was reporting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:34:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.874$  they were using condoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:34:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.910$  80% of their sexual encounters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

00:34:12.910 --> 00:34:14.798 But now if we look at follow up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:34:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.496$  you see that the white men seem to

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:34:18.496 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.699$  be benefiting much more from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:34:21.699 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.424$  intervention than the black men.

 $00:34:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.886$  And so doctor Carlson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:34:26.886 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.956$  Was concerned about that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:34:29.956 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.070$  invited me to work with him on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

00:34:33.070 --> 00:34:35.914 Trying to develop a better version

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:34:35.914 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.330$  of the intervention that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:34:38.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.946$  be more effective for black men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

00:34:40.950 --> 00:34:45.843 And again we knew about Doctor Nagayama

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00{:}34{:}45.843 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48.934$  Hall's work that cultural adaptation

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00{:}34{:}48.934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}52.413$  might be a strategy that we would

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00{:}34{:}52.413 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55.078$  consider for improving the outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:34:55.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.740$  Improving the intervention for black men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:34:58.740 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.200$  So this was new to us and so we look even.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636 00:35:03.200 --> 00:35:03.620 Ourselves, NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00{:}35{:}03.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.980$  we published and we did some review and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:35:06.980 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.734$  and published an article on cultural

 $00:35:09.734 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.042$  adaptation and in at that time we

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:35:13.042 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.958$  were calling it substance abuse treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:35:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.816$  And what did we learn for?

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

00:35:18.820 --> 00:35:20.443 From our review,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:35:20.443 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.689$  we learned that there were maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00{:}35{:}23.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.917$  three methods of cultural adaptation

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:35:26.917 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.142$  that groups were were using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00{:}35{:}30.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32.650$  One method was to incorporate

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:35:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.150$  the literature review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:35:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.550$  A second was to involve experts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00{:}35{:}36.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}39.318$  and a third was to involve the target

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:35:39.318 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.645$  or the Community group and many times

NOTE Confidence: 0.810223996363636

 $00:35:42.645 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.200$  people were using some combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:35:45.282 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.276$  of these. So our aim was to ensure

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:35:48.276 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.584$  that we were including deep

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:35:50.584 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.325$  structural strategies in our approach.

 $00:35:53.325 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.440$  You remember the deep in the deep

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:35:56.530 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.217$  structural approach where actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00{:}35{:}59.217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}02.212$  incorporating some of the cultural

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:36:02.212 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.940$  context into the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:36:04.940 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.460$  So we wanted to ensure

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:36:06.460 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.980$  that we were doing that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:36:07.980 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.596$  but the question is how best to incorporate

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

00:36:12.596 --> 00:36:17.797 a deep structural approach into our work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00{:}36{:}17.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}21.142$  We weren't sure exactly how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:36:21.142 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.884$  go about doing that and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

00:36:24.884 --> 00:36:28.838 finally agreed on were the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00{:}36{:}28.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}32.138$  four steps that are described here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00{:}36{:}32.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}33.434$  We had activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:36:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.445$  We had preliminary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:36:34.445 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.455$  Work that we did review of

 $00:36:36.455 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.210$  the existing literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:36:38.210 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.458$  then we did some work on the generic

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:36:41.458 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.649$  intervention itself and what we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:36:43.649 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.034$  was a Delphi process and I'll say

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:36:46.034 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.505$  a little bit more about that later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:36:48.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.840$  What we did with it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00{:}36{:}49.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}54.070$  and we developed then first draft

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:36:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.460$  of a culturally adapted version.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:36:57.460 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.812$  Then we did a second round of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:37:00.812 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.681$  Delphi process and develop a second

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

00:37:03.681 --> 00:37:06.106 draft of the adapted version.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:37:06.110 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.477$  And then we pilot tested the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:37:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.315$  You'll see that you remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

00:37:11.315 --> 00:37:12.783 from our literature review,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:37:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.935$  red is whether it incorporated

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00{:}37{:}14.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}17.080$  existing research and blue is

00:37:17.152 --> 00:37:19.297 whether it involved experts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:37:19.297 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.442$  green is whether it involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00{:}37{:}21.513 \longrightarrow 00{:}37{:}23.728$  the target or community groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

00:37:23.730 --> 00:37:26.355 And you're probably noticing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:37:26.355 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.558$  there's no green on our procedures

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:37:29.558 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.406$  in the first stop of our journey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

00:37:33.410 --> 00:37:36.693 Doctor Kolson knew that we needed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00{:}37{:}36.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}39.026$  involve experts other than ourselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00{:}37{:}39.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}41.966$  and I'm I should be putting expert

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00{:}37{:}41.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}44.562$  in quotation marks because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00{:}37{:}44.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47.614$  experts that we reached out to were

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00{:}37{:}47.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}49.524$  acada missions and practitioners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:37:49.524 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.888$  That's who we thought of as

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:37:51.888 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.550$  experts at that point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

00:37:53.550 --> 00:37:54.774 And you know,

 $00:37:54.774 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.814$  probably from your undergrad days

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:37:56.814 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.088$  about the Delphi that it's a controlled

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00{:}38{:}00.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}01.996$  method for obtaining feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:38:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.428$  So what we did was to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:38:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.209$  Ask our experts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:38:05.209 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.767$  We we provided them with our

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:38:08.767 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.718$  intervention and we found some mod.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:38:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.580$  Some other interventions that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:38:14.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.954$  developed for black men that had

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:38:17.954 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.748$  some of the same content as our

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:38:21.748 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.488$  interventions and we asked them to

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:38:24.488 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.594$  come to evaluate our intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00{:}38{:}27.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30.949$  and the other interventions on

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:38:30.949 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.699$  appropriate language expressions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:38:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.204$  Whether the activities enhanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:38:36.204 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.456$  ethnic identity,

 $00:38:37.460 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.650$  whether it was consistent with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00{:}38{:}39.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}42.269$  norms and knowledge of the target group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

00:38:42.270 --> 00:38:44.522 and whether it communicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:38:44.522 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.337$  an understanding of the social

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:38:47.337 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.120$  context surrounding the behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:38:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.556$  So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:38:50.556 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.044$  let's say one of our one of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00{:}38{:}54.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}58.960$  modules was negotiating safer sex and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00{:}38{:}58.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}03.104$  Support and and assume that that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:39:03.104 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.728$  rimas is the purple bar in the middle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:39:06.728 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.690$  In each of these and on either side

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:39:09.690 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.348$  are the are two other interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

00:39:12.348 --> 00:39:14.835 that had similar content for

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

00:39:14.835 --> 00:39:17.479 working with with black men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:39:17.479 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.060$  If the experts told us that none of

 $00:39:22.060 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.390$  those were working very effectively

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

00:39:25.390 --> 00:39:29.240 for black men, we didn't get much help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:39:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.930$  From the other interventions on

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:39:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.652$  how to modify that intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:39:34.652 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.856$  'cause they were doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:39:36.860 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.775$  they weren't doing any better

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:39:38.775 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.307$  than than Remus was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:39:40.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.058$  On the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:39:42.058 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.250$  there might have been another module where

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

00:39:45.250 --> 00:39:48.178 all three were rated very positively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909166766363636

 $00:39{:}48.180 \dashrightarrow 00:39{:}50.547$  and in that case it was nice to see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:39:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.990$  But it told us that maybe we didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:39:52.990 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.321$  even need to modify that particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

00:39:55.321 --> 00:39:57.386 activity because Remus was doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:39:57.386 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.836$  OK on the criteria that we were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:39:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.300$  Uhm, using for the evaluation,

 $00:40:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.254$  but let's look at the category 3 where Remus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:40:06.254 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.950$  which is in the center and the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

00:40:09.027 --> 00:40:11.439 blue were not rated very favorably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:40:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.529$  but the green,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:40:12.529 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.344$  which was another intervention on

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:40:14.344 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.537$  the same with the same content,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:40:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.615$  was rated very favorably that

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:40:18.615 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.180$  to dat that gave us an idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:40:21.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.281$  both that we needed to modify our

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00{:}40{:}24.281 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}26.455$  intervention and some ideas about

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

00:40:26.455 --> 00:40:29.108 how we might modify it was not

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:40:29.108 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.329$  that we copied that intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00{:}40{:}31.329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}33.994$  That was rated more favorably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00{:}40{:}34.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}36.961$  but it gave us some ideas about

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:40:36.961 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.000$  how we might modify.

 $00:40:39.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.088$  We did this in two rounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:40:41.088 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.865$  in the generic version, we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462 00:40:42.865 --> 00:40:44.020 as I said, NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:40:44.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.330$  we were comparing rimas to of

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

00:40:46.421 --> 00:40:48.959 similar intervention modules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:40:48.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.760$  but in the second round they were just

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00{:}40{:}52.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}55.407$  rating rimas on the same criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:40:55.407 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.840$  that we had used in in round one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:40:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.126$  and this was useful for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:41:01.126 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.650$  because the relative ratings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00{:}41{:}02.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}05.806$  Or telling us which activities that

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

00:41:05.806 --> 00:41:07.910 needed would benefit modification

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:41:07.993 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.375$  and giving us some ideas of

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

00:41:10.375 --> 00:41:12.453 sometimes giving us some ideas

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:41:12.453 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.937$  of what might be more effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:41:14.940 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.530$  And I know I've gone

00:41:16.530 --> 00:41:17.802 through that pretty quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:41:17.810 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.528$  but we actually have an article

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00{:}41{:}20.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}23.596$  where we talked in detail about

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:41:23.596 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.506$  the process for modifying Remus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:41:26.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.589$  If anybody's interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:41:28.589 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.054$  We ended up with both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:41:32.060 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.600$  Surface and deep structural UM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:41:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.182$  Modifications and see that we added

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:41:40.182 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.818$  some cultural sessions that seem to

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:41:44.820 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.425$  speak to Neil female relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:41:47.425 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.580$  in the communities in which the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:41:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.352$  men were living and made some

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00{:}41{:}53.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}55.500$  other deep structural changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:41:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.497$  Next, we actually conducted a small trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:41:59.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.884$  We went back to four sites in the

00:42:01.884 --> 00:42:04.259 night of Clinical Trials Network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:42:04.260 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.882$  conducted a small trial where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00{:}42{:}06.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}11.189$  compared Remus, culturally adapted to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:42:11.190 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.600$  The generic greimas on UM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

00:42:15.600 --> 00:42:18.480 On risky sexual behaviors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

00:42:18.480 --> 00:42:21.360 our findings were positive quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:42:21.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.580$  positive at the three month follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

00:42:24.580 --> 00:42:27.431 follow up relative to participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:42:27.431 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.107$  in the generic version.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

00:42:30.110 --> 00:42:32.566 Participants in Rimas culturally

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00{:}42{:}32.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}35.022$  adapted were behaving differently

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:42:35.022 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.040$  with casual partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:42:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.078$  They were engaging and less sex reporting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:42:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.824$  Fewer unprotected sexual occasions

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:42:41.824 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.440$  when they did engage in sex

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:42:44.517 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.557$  and they were more likely to.

 $00:42:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.198$  Report using condoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

00:42:48.198 --> 00:42:51.223 At least 80% of their sexual

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:42:51.223 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.989$  occasions and they also had better

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:42:53.989 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.594$  attendance and once again you

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:42:55.594 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.310$  know gone through that had to

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:42:57.372 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.217$  go through that fairly quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:42:59.220 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.148$  But we actually wrote that study up

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

00:43:02.148 --> 00:43:05.326 in the American Journal of Public Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462 00:43:05.330 --> 00:43:06.364 So uhm, NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:43:06.364 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.466$  Dr Callsen presented our work at

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:43:09.466 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.337$  CIPD to an academic audience and

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00{:}43{:}13.337 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}16.747$  the feedback was quite positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00{:}43{:}16.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20.856$  He sent me to a community oriented

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:43:20.856 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.894$  conference and in a very diplomatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

00:43:24.894 --> 00:43:26.580 but straightforward way.

 $00:43:26.580 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.610$  They shared with us that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00{:}43{:}29.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}32.617$  thought it was a big mistake that

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:43:32.617 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.440$  we had not included the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

00:43:35.440 --> 00:43:36.952 In our cultural adaptation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:43:36.952 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.152$  and in fact I think that they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:43:40.152 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.714$  telling they they were very accurate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00:43:42.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.115$  There was little community involvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

00:43:45.115 --> 00:43:47.510 and little decision making from

NOTE Confidence: 0.918980711538462

 $00{:}43{:}47.582 \to 00{:}43{:}49.906$  the community in what we had done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:43:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.518$  and so we would argue that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}43{:}54.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57.946$  Remus then on our continuum falls

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:43:57.946 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.230$  under investigator driven research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:44:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.642$  We said to ourselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}44{:}01.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}03.760$  if we're ever culturally adapting again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:44:03.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.616$  we're going to involve the community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}44{:}06.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}08.868$  and we had the sex that takes me

00:44:08.868 --> 00:44:11.460 to the second stop of our journey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:44:11.460 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.228$  and we thought, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

00:44:13.228 --> 00:44:16.919 how do we go about engaging the community?

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:44:16.919 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.877$  So we had the opportunity with

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

00:44:19.877 --> 00:44:22.838 the female counterpart of Rimas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:44:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.745$  which is called safer Sex Skill building.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:44:25.750 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.816$  And so that was our first attempt

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}44{:}28.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}31.459$  really at community engagement and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}44{:}31.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}34.212$  And one thing we did know was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}44{:}34.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}36.542$  the Delphi process that we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:44:36.542 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.390$  used for Remus was probably not the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

00:44:39.390 --> 00:44:41.946 best way to approach the community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}44{:}41.950 --> 00{:}44{:}44.841$  at least not the way we use

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:44:44.841 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.080$  the Delphi process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:44:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.030$  So then it was well,

00:44:48.030 --> 00:44:50.208 if we're not going to use a Delphi process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:44:50.210 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.482$  what should we use?

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667 00:44:52.482 --> 00:44:54.186 How do we? NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:44:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.775$  Involve the community and about

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:44:56.775 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.375$  that time we were introduced to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

00:44:59.375 --> 00:45:01.570 work by Gina Wingood at Columbia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:45:01.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.794$  Now she's at Columbia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667 00:45:03.794 --> 00:45:04.906 She did. NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:45:04.910 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.256$  She developed a model called adaptive

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}45{:}07.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09.980$  and in her model called adapted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:45:09.980 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.435$  There's several steps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:45:11.435 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.860$  but one step is theater,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:45:13.860 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.045$  something that she calls theater

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:45:16.045 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.900$  testing and and in theater testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:45:18.900 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.416$  Your essentially asking the target group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:45:22.420 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.508$  These are group interventions.

00:45:24.508 --> 00:45:28.226 Now you're asking the target group to

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:45:28.226 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.214$  participate in a mock demonstration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

00:45:31.214 --> 00:45:33.920 the intervention and give feedback,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:45:33.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.680$  and so we decided that we would add

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:45:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.033$  this theater testing to our second

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:45:39.033 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.409$  round and in the theater testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:45:41.410 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.056$  Then we recruited members of Target

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}45{:}44.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.494$  Group to volunteer to participate

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:45:46.494 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.850$  in the mock demonstration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:45:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.610$  We had the experts again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:45:50.610 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.586$  but now they were observers of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

00:45:53.586 --> 00:45:55.074 the mock demonstration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}45{:}55.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}57.870$  And we did it in and in the second

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:45:57.870 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.580$  step up theater testing everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:46:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.786$  The target group members and the

00:46:04.790 --> 00:46:08.072 the experts would sit together and

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:46:08.072 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.304$  critique the modules of the intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:46:11.304 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.080$  as a way of helping us to modify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:46:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.483$  And we did this in two rounds as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:46:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.535$  First on the generic version and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

00:46:20.540 --> 00:46:25.520 second on the first adapted version,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:46:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.874$  and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:46:25.874 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.352$  And based on the first and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}46{:}28.352 \to 00{:}46{:}31.018$  response to the first adapted version,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:46:31.020 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.757$  we came up with a version that

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

00:46:33.757 --> 00:46:35.979 we felt pretty good about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

00:46:35.980 --> 00:46:41.158 and that version added structural changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

00:46:41.160 --> 00:46:43.240 but also our surface changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:46:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.850$  but also added some deep

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:46:45.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.938$  structural modifications as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:46:47.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.271$  The women told us that we should

 $00:46:50.271 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.079$  include something on domestic violence

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}46{:}52.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}54.676$  because that was an issue with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}46{:}54.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56.806$  target group that we were serving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:46:56.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.939$  They told us that we should talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}46{:}59.939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}02.813$  more about gender roles and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}47{:}02.813 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.772$  empowerment was an issue for black

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:47:05.772 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.084$  substance using women and that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:47:09.084 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.338$  should include some activities

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:47:11.338 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.486$  on empowerment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}47{:}12.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}16.923$  So we had pilot data on that group

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}47{:}16.923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}19.528$  where we compared SSSB culturally

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:47:19.528 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.701$  adapted to the generic and once

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00{:}47{:}22.701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}25.246$  again the findings we haven't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:47:25.250 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.320$  We have an article not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:47:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.778$  Quite ready to go out yet,

 $00:47:28.780 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.888$  but the finding suggests that SSSB

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:47:31.888 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.960$  culturally adapted though the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:47:34.049 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.940$  women in that were more likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:47:36.940 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.443$  report condom use the last time

NOTE Confidence: 0.944060191666667

 $00:47:39.443 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.015$  they had vaginal sex

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:47:41.020 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.435$  than the women in the generic version.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:47:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.134$  And then we pulled out just

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:47:46.134 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.950$  the women who at baseline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:47:48.950 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.947$  denied that they had used condom use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:47:52.950 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.198$  In their last vaginal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:47:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.142$  A sexual experience and for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

00:48:00.142 --> 00:48:03.716 group to DSSSB culturally adapted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:48:03.716 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.090$  were more likely to report condom

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00{:}48{:}08.207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}10.260$  use than the generic version.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:48:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.140$  So where are we now on the continuum?

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:48:14.140 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.948$  We think it occurs in a

00:48:16.948 --> 00:48:18.820 community setting the need,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:48:18.820 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.828$  but the need didn't come from the community

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

00:48:22.830 --> 00:48:26.530 the community was participating, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:48:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.434$  A minimally and limp.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

00:48:28.434 --> 00:48:31.904 Then the community had limited role in

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

00:48:31.904 --> 00:48:34.549 the implementation of the research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:48:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.732$  and so here for for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:48:38.732 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.070$  SSSB culturally adapted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:48:41.070 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.654$  we believe that that one falls

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:48:45.654 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.486$  under community based research

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00{:}48{:}48.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50.118$  that we've achieved community

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:48:50.118 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.746$  based research with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00{:}48{:}51.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}53.689$  So we're moving in the right direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:48:53.690 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.786$  But we're we're not satisfied with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:48:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.314$  With where we were.

 $00:48:58.314 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.600$  We felt like something was still

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

00:49:00.678 --> 00:49:03.086 missing and what was missing for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:49:03.086 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.651$  was that we wanted more community

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:49:05.651 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.587$  involvement in the implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:49:07.587 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.040$  and in the decision making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

00:49:10.040 --> 00:49:13.540 And that brings us to our the

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:49:13.540 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.589$  third stop of our journey where

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:49:16.589 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.654$  we had the opportunity to modify

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:49:19.654 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.219$  SSSB into a team version.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:49:22.220 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.560$  And when the teen version,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:49:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.865$  we weren't so much interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:49:25.865 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.968$  anymore about substance use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:49:27.968 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.913$  but risky sexual behaviors among

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:49:30.913 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.130$  black teen girls are the aim of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:49:35.130 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.161$  intervention was to reduce risky sexual

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

00:49:38.161 --> 00:49:41.695 behaviors among girls living in low

00:49:41.695 --> 00:49:45.410 income public housing communities

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:49:45.410 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.416$  where they are often exposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:49:48.416 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.309$  risky sex at two often exposed to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:49:52.310 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.488$  Risky sex at at an early age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:49:55.490 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.192$  so here we recruited community partners

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:49:59.192 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.238$  to our research team and so we created

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:50:04.238 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.323$  an academic community research team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:50:07.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.435$  The academics were faculty and

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00{:}50{:}09.435 \longrightarrow 00{:}50{:}11.540$  students like you might expect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:50:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.170$  but for the community partners

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:50:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.588$  we had some residents we had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:50:15.588 \dashrightarrow 00:50:17.408$  President of the Residents Council.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:50:17.410 \dashrightarrow 00:50:20.029$  We had teen girls who were a little older

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:50:20.029 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.664$  than the girls who were our target group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:50:22.670 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.350$  They were already mothers,

 $00:50:24.350 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.690$  but they were residents in the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:50:27.690 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.042$  Then we had a social worker

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:50:30.042 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.722$  and the director of a social

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:50:32.722 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.778$  service agency and together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:50:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.405$  Then we created this academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:50:38.405 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.580$  community research team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:50:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.723$  A picture of our research team in

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

00:50:43.723 --> 00:50:48.716 our in our community and if if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:50:48.716 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.132$  remember that green suggests that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:50:52.132 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.520$  involving the target or the Community group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00{:}50{:}55.520 \to 00{:}50{:}58.694$  and here we were involving the

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

00:50:58.694 --> 00:51:01.650 target group and the Community

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

00:51:01.650 --> 00:51:04.558 Group in the recruitment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

00:51:04.560 --> 00:51:07.175 Well, first we recruited community

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:51:07.175 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.267$  representatives to the team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:51:09.270 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.360$  but then as a preliminary

 $00:51:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.450$  step we trained each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

00:51:13.450 --> 00:51:15.487 They trained us on how to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00{:}51{:}15.487 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}51{:}17.240$  more effectively in the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286 00:51:17.240 --> 00:51:17.858 They said, NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

00:51:17.858 --> 00:51:18.476 for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

00:51:18.476 --> 00:51:20.724 don't come in here using that term

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:51:20.724 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.052$  intervention because people have

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:51:22.052 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.478$  seen that on TV and they'll think

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:51:24.478 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.578$  that you're trying to change them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:51:26.580 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.355$  Use program instead of intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:51:29.355 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.764$  and other things like that that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:51:32.764 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.666$  were training us on their community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:51:34.670 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.804$  And of course we had to provide some

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:51:37.804 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.703$  training on on the research design itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88360673571428600:51:41.710 --> 00:51:44.340 Then we. NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286  $00:51:44.340 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.296$  In in for the generic version,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883606735714286

 $00:51:46.300 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.527$  once again we did the theater testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:51:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.960$  and they were quite involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:51:51.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.654$  conducting the theater. Testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:51:53.654 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.972$  This time they were the community members

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00.51.56.972 \longrightarrow 00.52.00.180$  were the experts who were observing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:52:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.421$  We recruited teen girls to participate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

00:52:03.421 --> 00:52:06.205 a mock demonstration and the community

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:52:06.205 \dashrightarrow 00:52:08.845$  members of the research team were

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:52:08.845 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.694$  experts at that point and then together

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00{:}52{:}11.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}15.134$  we developed a first draft of the team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

00:52:15.134 --> 00:52:19.147 Version and then once we had a a first

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:52:19.147 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.379$  draft of the of the adapted version.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:52:23.380 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.888$  The community members said

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:52:24.888 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.773$  they thought that they had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:52:26.780 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.456$  They knew the intervention well enough

 $00:52:29.456 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.590$  that they actually carried out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:52:31.590 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.234$  They actually contributed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:52:34.234 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.217$  facilitating the intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:52:36.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.958$  and when we did the adapted version,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:52:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.000$  they of course helped would recruitment

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:52:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.443$  and they were they were they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:52:44.443 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.477$  expert observers for the second round.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:52:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.105$  When we were doing a mock demonstration

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:52:49.105 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.606$  of the adapted version and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:52:51.606 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.776$  afterwards they gave us feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333 00:52:53.780 --> 00:52:56.000 To help us. NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:52:56.000 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.256$  Prepare the the next version of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333 00:53:00.260 --> 00:53:01.008 We could. NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:01.008 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.878$  They participated in the pilot

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:02.878 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.300$  test at the pilot test stage.

 $00:53:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.265$  They meaning the community members

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

00:53:07.265 --> 00:53:09.975 of the research team and we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:09.975 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.009$  ended up with a publication that

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:12.009 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.925$  was Co authored by the academic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:14.925 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.090$  the Community research team members.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:17.090 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.538$  And once again we had both surface and

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:21.538 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.462$  deep structural changes to the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:24.462 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.806$  There were things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

00:53:25.806 --> 00:53:26.814 just weren't appropriate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:26.820 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.100$  14 girls that we took out for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:30.100 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.424$  team for the teen version that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:32.424 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.894$  part of our deep structural but there

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:34.894 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.033$  were things that we added to like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:38.033 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.253$  Community and the literature said

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:40.253 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.308$  that sometimes young girls are need

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:43.308 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.503$  more information on what behaviors

 $00:53:46.503 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.050$  actually constitute physical abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00{:}53{:}49.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}51.955$  So include something in there

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

00:53:51.955 --> 00:53:55.658 about physical physical abuse and

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:55.658 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.350$  domestic violence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:57.350 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.335$  We only have some preliminary

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:53:59.335 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.526$  findings on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

00:54:00.530 --> 00:54:03.446 Our third stop of our journey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:54:03.450 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.130$  but our preliminary findings are

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00{:}54{:}07.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}10.516$  promising that our girls from and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

00:54:10.516 --> 00:54:14.249 only pre post at this at this stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:54:14.250 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.605$  but they're reporting improvement on

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00{:}54{:}16.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}19.790$  their condom use skills on a condom

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00{:}54{:}19.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}24.500$  use skills, checklist and more HIV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00{:}54{:}24.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}29.028$  A better scores on an HIV knowledge scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:54:29.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.821$  So where are we now? On our continuum?

 $00:54:32.821 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.095$  We believe if you look at the green

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:54:36.095 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.909$  and and the role that says CBPR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:54:38.910 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.160$  the community had some decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

00:54:41.160 --> 00:54:43.921 making power as being members of

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:54:43.921 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.525$  the research team and they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

00:54:46.525 --> 00:54:47.393 somewhat involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:54:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.910$  They were involved in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:54:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.420$  implementation of the research process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:54:52.420 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.599$  so we believe that we are approaching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:54:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.105$  Can't say that we're actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:54:58.105 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.309$  there that we meet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:54:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.735$  The full criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:55:00.735 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.585$  but that we have approached community

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:55:03.585 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.394$  based participatory research in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:55:06.394 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.368$  team project and just want to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

00:55:08.368 --> 00:55:10.845 that if you're doing this kind of work,

00:55:10.850 --> 00:55:12.467 you can't just show up and say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:55:12.470 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.782$  join our research team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:55:13.782 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.750$  We had to have an ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:55:15.819 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.027$  relationship with this community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333 00:55:18.030 --> 00:55:18.564 You see, NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:55:18.564 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.588$  we had a coat drive or women's

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:55:20.588 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.842$  retreat voter registration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:55:21.842 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.451$  so we had to have a presence in

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

00:55:25.451 --> 00:55:27.917 the Community and that's one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

00:55:27.917 --> 00:55:30.495 the issues that need to be clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

 $00:55:30.495 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.899$  about if you're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936379173333333

00:55:31.900 --> 00:55:33.760 Community engaged

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00{:}55{:}33.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}36.352$  research. I'll talk maybe during the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:55:36.352 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.198$  question and answer about the next steps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:55:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.520$  but I just want to move to that.

 $00:55:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.366$  We see that there were lots of

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:55:45.366 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.798$  advantages to community collaboration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:55:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.644$  We believe that, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:55:51.644 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.488$  we were strengthening capacity

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00:55:53.488 --> 00:55:56.239 building in the Community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00.55.56.240 \longrightarrow 00.56.01.796$  empowerment that the Community would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:56:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.528$  more empowered to do studies and they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00.56:05.528 --> 00.56:09.790 done a some work on their own without us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00:56:09.790 --> 00:56:12.328 It would contribute to the sustainability

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:56:12.328 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.286$  of the intervention if we had community

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:56:15.286 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.668$  collaboration and so that you remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:56:17.668 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.790$  that all the way on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00:56:19.790 --> 00:56:23.108 On our continuum is community driven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:56:23.110 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.323$  and that sustainability allows them to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00:56:26.323 --> 00:56:29.668 the work after we're no longer around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:56:29.670 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.308$  So we think that community collaboration

 $00:56:34.308 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.627$  increases sustainable sustainability

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00:56:36.627 --> 00:56:39.161 and also perhaps most importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00:56:39.161 --> 00:56:41.296 the intervention itself might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:56:41.296 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.403$  more effective if we involve the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00:56:43.403 --> 00:56:45.430 target group in the Community and it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:56:45.430 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.069$  but that's not to say that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:56:48.069 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.190$  didn't face some challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:56:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.710$  We faced a number of challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:56:52.710 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.670$  One is that in CBPR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:56:55.670 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.057$  one of the things is that you'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:56:58.057 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.637$  like to have a balance of power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00{:}57{:}00.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}02.120$  But there was an imbalance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00{:}57{:}02.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}04.520$  Inherent imbalance of power in

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:57:04.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.920$  our relationships and the money

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:57:06.997 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.006$  had a lot to do with that.

00:57:09.010 --> 00:57:11.160 The community members of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00:57:11.160 --> 00:57:12.880 research team were paid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:57:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.992$  but we we had the university

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:57:14.992 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.608$  required us to be in charge of

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:57:17.608 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.233$  payroll and and access to the funds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00:57:20.240 --> 00:57:20.611 Uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:57:20.611 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.579$  and so that created somewhat of an imbalance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:57:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.966$  and we thought that if we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:57:24.966 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.920$  to do it again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00:57:25.920 --> 00:57:28.349 maybe we'd house some of the funding

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00{:}57{:}28.349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}30.669$  in a Community organization to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00:57:30.669 --> 00:57:33.075 away that part of the imbalance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:57:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.480$  But the educational differences created

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:57:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.015$  was an inherent power differential as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00:57:40.020 --> 00:57:43.620 A second challenge was different

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:57:43.620 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.336$  levels of responsibility that

 $00:57:46.336 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.116$  the university looked to us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:57:49.120 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.530$  the research, the ACADA missions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:57:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.795$  To make sure that certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:57:54.795 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.754$  requirements were met,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:57:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.030$  the sorry I missed that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:57:59.030 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.278$  So so the so the buck the buck

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:58:02.278 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.020$  stopped with us in in many cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00{:}58{:}06.020 \to 00{:}58{:}09.996$  and so that was a challenge for us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:58:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.292$  whereas the community members

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:58:12.292 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.055$  often times had other priorities that

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00:58:15.055 --> 00:58:18.310 they were that they were facing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:58:18.310 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.550$  not always able to give to prioritize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00:58:21.550 --> 00:58:23.790 Their work with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00{:}58{:}23.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}26.205$  The IRB procedures we had to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:58:26.205 \longrightarrow 00:58:28.489$  with some the ethics training.

00:58:28.490 --> 00:58:31.148 Sometimes doing the city training is

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:58:31.148 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.540$  is intimidating to community members.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91916223153846200:58:33.540 --> 00:58:34.094 Thankfully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:58:34.094 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.641$  our IRB let us modify that some in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:58:38.641 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.350$  group was not that easily intimidated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:58:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.050$  but that can be intimidating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:58:44.050 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.120$  Once we had IRB approval,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:58:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.260$  as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:58:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.160$  there's inflexibility in the procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:58:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.430$  So if if the community members

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:58:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.065$  wanted to suggest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:58:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.000$  Made some really good suggestions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00{:}58{:}56.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}58.340$  We couldn't always do it because

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:58:58.340 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.009$  it would delay our procedures to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00:59:01.009 --> 00:59:04.389 back our study to go back to the IRB

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00{:}59{:}04.389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06.624$  and also privacy concerns because

 $00:59:06.630 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.844$  the IRB expects that what's said

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00{:}59{:}08.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}11.340$  in the group stays in the group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:59:11.340 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.783$  but we had less control over that

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00:59:13.783 --> 00:59:15.440 with our community members.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:59:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.026$  A fourth challenge was increasing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

00:59:18.026 --> 00:59:20.707 utility of the feedback from Target

NOTE Confidence: 0.919162231538462

 $00:59:20.707 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.787$  Group participants even though we asked for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $00:59:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.142$  Oral and written feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $00:59:26.142 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.670$  Sometimes the feedback wasn't that relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $00:59:29.670 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.370$  Wasn't that useful to us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $00:59:32.370 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.128$  For example, the teen girl said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $00:59:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.588$  oh, this is good for us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $00:59:35.590 \dashrightarrow 00:59:38.020$  but you need to do something for the boys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $00:59:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.610$  But that was outside the

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $00:59:39.610 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.200$  scope of of our study.

00:59:41.200 --> 00:59:43.225 So we thought about if we did it again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $00{:}59{:}43.230 \to 00{:}59{:}45.743$  maybe we'd have a focus group where

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $00{:}59{:}45.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}48.089$  we could structure the feedback more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $00:59:48.090 \longrightarrow 00:59:50.370$  And as I suggested before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

00:59:50.370 --> 00:59:52.350 the unique life circumstances

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $00:59:52.350 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.330$  of the Community members.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $00:59:54.330 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.969$  Was a sometimes a challenge that further

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

00:59:56.969 --> 00:59:59.059 women in substance use treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $00:59:59.060 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.922$  Sometimes they were being discharged from

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:00:01.922 --> 01:00:04.789 treatment and from treatment and trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:00:04.789 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.447$  to establish their new living situations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:00:07.450 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.154$  reunite with their families and so those were

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:00:12.154 \longrightarrow 01:00:14.888$  competing responsibilities and further girls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01{:}00{:}14.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}17.725$  We had young mothers as I said

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:00:17.725 --> 01:00:20.870 on our on our research team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01{:}00{:}20.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}22.920$  If they couldn't find day care

 $01:00:22.920 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.260$  than then they couldn't come so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01{:}00{:}25.260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}27.950$  Uh, we had to work through a lot of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:00:27.950 --> 01:00:30.598 So just as I start to wind down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:00:30.600 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.686$  I just want to show the evolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01{:}00{:}32.686 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}34.940$  of our model that we started out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:00:34.940 --> 01:00:35.572 Remember,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:00:35.572 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.364$  we started out with just incorporating

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:00:39.364 \longrightarrow 01:00:42.704$  existing research and using academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:00:42.704 --> 01:00:46.314 experts in the Delphi process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:00:46.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.666$  Then we moved to involving the community

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:00:49.666 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.959$  some by using the theater testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:00:52.960 \longrightarrow 01:00:55.790$  But the but even then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01{:}00{:}55.790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}01{.}960$  The target group had limited involvement in

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:01:01.960 \longrightarrow 01:01:06.150$  decision making and finally we moved to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:01:06.150 \longrightarrow 01:01:08.404$  Our third model with the teen girls,

 $01:01:08.410 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.581$  which we developed as a model that

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:01:11.581 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.520$  we're calling community collaborative

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:01:13.520 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.020$  cultural adaptation and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:01:16.020 \longrightarrow 01:01:19.028$  see from the green which represents

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:01:19.028 --> 01:01:20.540 Target group involvement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:01:20.540 \longrightarrow 01:01:23.140$  that the we moved to where the target

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:01:23.140 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.629$  group and the Community was involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:01:25.629 --> 01:01:28.281 throughout the course of the project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:01:28.290 \longrightarrow 01:01:31.013$  so that that's kind of the evolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:01:31.013 \longrightarrow 01:01:34.212$  of of our work from limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:01:34.212 --> 01:01:36.156 to much more community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:01:36.160 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.580$  Involvement and we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:01:38.580 --> 01:01:41.000 then with the Community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01{:}01{:}41.000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}45.242$  published an article on our model called

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:01:45.242 --> 01:01:48.060 Community Collaborative Cultural adaptation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:01:48.060 --> 01:01:48.916 and again,

01:01:48.916 --> 01:01:51.484 I've gone through this real quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:01:51.490 \longrightarrow 01:01:53.850$  But we've actually published an

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:01:53.850 \longrightarrow 01:01:56.751$  article that kind of describes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:01:56.751 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.493$  J set that describes the evolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:01:59.493 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.860$  from limited community involvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:02:01.860 --> 01:02:05.820 Too much more Community involvement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:02:05.820 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.878$  so I'll close just by saying and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:02:07.880 --> 01:02:11.466 And Doctor Hany mentioned this that

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:02:11.466 --> 01:02:14.378 we're doing some work in the CTN

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:02:14.380 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.758$  that is related to this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:02:17.760 --> 01:02:21.141 We're doing a secondary data analysis on

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:02:21.141 \longrightarrow 01:02:24.839$  nine studies that were conducted in the CTN.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:02:24.840 \longrightarrow 01:02:28.145$  Looking at the comparative efficacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:02:28.145 --> 01:02:31.035 for for black substance users and

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:02:31.035 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.424$  we hope to learn which entered which

 $01:02:34.424 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.197$  interventions work best and for whom and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:02:38.197 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.425$  Which interventions would benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:02:40.425 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.096$  from cultural adaptation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:02:42.100 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.375$  And I wanted to mention this because

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:02:44.375 \longrightarrow 01:02:46.966$  three of our three members of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:02:46.966 --> 01:02:49.276 research team are actually members of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:02:49.350 \longrightarrow 01:02:53.184$  the Yale can community, Dr Haney, Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:02:53.184 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.752$  Ashley Jackson, and Doctor Ayana, Jordan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:02:56.752 \longrightarrow 01:03:00.086$  So we look forward to doing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:03:00.086 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.444$  We're just at the initial stages

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:03:02.444 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.760$  of that study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01:03:03.760 \longrightarrow 01:03:08.506$  and so my summary is that generic versions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01{:}03{:}08.506 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}10.858$  Of evidence based interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:03:10.858 --> 01:03:14.240 may not be as effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

01:03:14.240 --> 01:03:17.384 Across all target groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821269164

 $01{:}03{:}17.384 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}20.528$  cultural adaptation may improve

01:03:20.528 --> 01:03:22.100 intervention effectiveness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944035478333333

 $01:03:22.100 \longrightarrow 01:03:25.120$  including the community may increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.944035478333333

 $01:03:25.120 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.932$  intervention effectiveness and

NOTE Confidence: 0.944035478333333

 $01:03:26.932 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.420$  sustainability of the intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944035478333333

01:03:29.420 --> 01:03:33.620 and finally, that multiple models of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944035478333333

 $01:03:33.620 \longrightarrow 01:03:36.420$  Community involvement are available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944035478333333

 $01:03:36.420 \longrightarrow 01:03:37.880$  So I have my references,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944035478333333

 $01:03:37.880 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.088$  but I'll stop there and thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.944035478333333

 $01{:}03{:}40.088 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}42.227$  you for your attention and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.944035478333333

 $01:03:42.227 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.313$  hope we have a few minutes left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944035478333333

 $01:03:44.320 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.009$  Or some discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965168664285714

 $01:03:47.800 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.347$  Yes, we do. Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965168664285714

 $01:03:49.350 \longrightarrow 01:03:50.154$  Doctor burlew.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965168664285714

 $01:03:50.154 \longrightarrow 01:03:52.164$  That was an amazing presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965168664285714

 $01:03:52.170 \longrightarrow 01:03:55.802$  It really outlined in ways that I think

 $01:03:55.802 \longrightarrow 01:03:58.945$  we can understand and value the process

NOTE Confidence: 0.965168664285714

 $01:03:58.945 \longrightarrow 01:04:01.670$  of involving the Community and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.965168664285714

 $01:04:01.670 \longrightarrow 01:04:04.589$  different levels at which that could occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965168664285714

 $01:04:04.590 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.144$  And I think what you've done is

NOTE Confidence: 0.965168664285714

 $01:04:06.144 \longrightarrow 01:04:07.545$  something we can all aspire to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965168664285714

01:04:07.545 --> 01:04:10.230 And it seems like it's been a heroic effort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965168664285714

 $01:04:10.230 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.966$  but one that's got a big payoff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965168664285714

01:04:11.970 --> 01:04:16.010 So thank you so much, you're welcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965168664285714

 $01:04:16.010 \longrightarrow 01:04:17.570$  So are there others that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.965168664285714

 $01:04:17.570 \longrightarrow 01:04:18.610$  have questions or comments

NOTE Confidence: 0.965168664285714

 $01:04:18.658 \longrightarrow 01:04:19.798$  that they'd like to make?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

01:04:24.720 --> 01:04:27.569 I have a question, I am I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

01:04:27.570 --> 01:04:28.437 I'm a statistician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

01:04:28.437 --> 01:04:30.996 but in in the the other piece of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

 $01:04:30.996 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.884$  life I'm an activist and we have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

 $01:04:32.946 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.708$  saying that it's an old African proverb.

 $01:04:36.710 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.652$  If you want to go fast, go alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

01:04:38.652 --> 01:04:39.858 If you want to go far,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

 $01:04:39.860 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.338$  go together and I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

 $01:04:42.338 \longrightarrow 01:04:44.330$  really fits with community based

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

 $01:04:44.330 \longrightarrow 01:04:46.205$  participatory research as well that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

01:04:46.205 --> 01:04:48.583 it is really important to include

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

 $01:04:48.583 \longrightarrow 01:04:51.017$  people and even in the best of worlds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

 $01:04:51.020 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.676$  I think you know an academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

 $01:04:52.676 \longrightarrow 01:04:53.780$  setting is a very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

01:04:53.780 --> 01:04:55.880 Difficult place to include that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

 $01{:}04{:}55.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}57.728$  especially because it's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

 $01:04:57.728 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.114$  to impede productivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

 $01:04:59.120 \longrightarrow 01:05:01.902$  It does take a lot longer come and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

 $01:05:01.902 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.814$  and time is money and so how does how

NOTE Confidence: 0.76618929

01:05:05.814 --> 01:05:09.866 does that fit into your academic path?

01:05:09.866 --> 01:05:10.628 Yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

 $01:05:10.640 \longrightarrow 01:05:15.032$  and that is an excellent point that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

 $01:05:15.032 \longrightarrow 01:05:18.929$  early career professionals, I'm not sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

 $01:05:18.929 \longrightarrow 01:05:24.070$  that they have the time to do these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

 $01:05:24.070 \longrightarrow 01:05:27.126$  Interventions that take a while to gain trust

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

01:05:27.126 --> 01:05:30.808 in the community and then to carry out the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

 $01:05:30.808 \longrightarrow 01:05:33.788$  intervention and to collect follow up data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

 $01:05:33.790 \longrightarrow 01:05:37.326$  My advice to them is to join research

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

 $01:05:37.326 \longrightarrow 01:05:41.084$  teams as you were suggesting, but that

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

 $01:05:41.084 \longrightarrow 01:05:45.008$  we have learned that we could do we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

 $01:05:45.008 \longrightarrow 01:05:50.016$  We can write up quite a bit on the baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

 $01:05:50.016 \longrightarrow 01:05:55.440$  data and so they may need to design ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

 $01:05:55.440 \longrightarrow 01:05:58.722$  Of developing the baseline data so

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

 $01:05:58.722 \longrightarrow 01:06:02.918$  they can get some publications out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

 $01:06:02.918 \longrightarrow 01:06:06.534$  that and not have to wait three or four

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

 $01:06:06.534 \longrightarrow 01:06:09.328$  years for the project to be finished.

01:06:09.330 --> 01:06:11.274 But I welcome any other comments on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

 $01:06:11.280 \longrightarrow 01:06:14.727$  but that's a very good point of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

 $01:06:14.727 \longrightarrow 01:06:17.866$  hard it is to do this kind of work in

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

01:06:17.866 --> 01:06:21.132 an academic setting where you're facing

NOTE Confidence: 0.868384947272727

 $01:06:21.132 \longrightarrow 01:06:23.387$  something like tenure and promotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918947826

01:06:34.100 --> 01:06:37.200 I think your comment about being involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.918947826

 $01:06:37.200 \longrightarrow 01:06:40.070$  in a team can go a long way because

NOTE Confidence: 0.918947826

01:06:40.070 --> 01:06:43.087 people sometimes need to be writing data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918947826

01:06:43.090 --> 01:06:46.780 and I think the CTN CTN is a good example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918947826

 $01:06:46.780 \longrightarrow 01:06:49.284$  Dr. Burlew about the work you've got guys

NOTE Confidence: 0.918947826

01:06:49.284 --> 01:06:51.939 have done doing secondary data analysis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.918947826

 $01:06:51.940 \longrightarrow 01:06:54.260$  existing clinical trials for productivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918947826

 $01{:}06{:}54.260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}57.300$  while people gain, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918947826

 $01:06:57.300 \longrightarrow 01:06:59.673$  the trust of the community and start

NOTE Confidence: 0.918947826

 $01:06:59.673 \longrightarrow 01:07:01.900$  established their own research agenda.

 $01:07:05.130 \longrightarrow 01:07:06.718$  Stephanie, there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.91496498

 $01:07:06.718 \longrightarrow 01:07:08.440$  question in chat, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95012183

 $01:07:11.370 \longrightarrow 01:07:14.509$  I can't see the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95012183

01:07:14.510 --> 01:07:15.310 You wanna read it out?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95012183

 $01:07:15.310 \longrightarrow 01:07:17.644$  I don't stand sure it's from

NOTE Confidence: 0.95012183

01:07:17.644 --> 01:07:19.703 Dan Shutler and when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.95012183

 $01{:}07{:}19.703 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}21.830$  have opposed suggestions from

NOTE Confidence: 0.95012183

01:07:21.830 --> 01:07:23.222 the community and research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95012183

 $01{:}07{:}23.222 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}26.506$  how did you go about coming to a consensus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95012183

 $01:07:26.510 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.818$  especially considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.95012183

01:07:27.818 --> 01:07:30.460 the power dynamics, yeah?

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:07:32.420 \longrightarrow 01:07:36.130$  Well, and it's not just the academic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:07:36.130 \longrightarrow 01:07:38.602$  Members of the team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:07:38.602 \longrightarrow 01:07:42.310$  but there's the IRB and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:07:42.442 \longrightarrow 01:07:44.670$  is and and the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01{:}07{:}44.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}48.070$  I wish I could say on a case by case basis.

01:07:48.070 --> 01:07:50.030 We tried to to work it out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:07:50.030 \longrightarrow 01:07:53.278$  but I remember one project that different

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:07:53.278 \longrightarrow 01:07:56.656$  project that we were doing and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:07:56.656 \longrightarrow 01:07:59.518$  were randomly assigning teams to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:07:59.518 \longrightarrow 01:08:02.374$  treatment group or to the comparison

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

01:08:02.374 --> 01:08:05.449 group and the Community members had

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

01:08:05.449 --> 01:08:08.740 certain people that they had invited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:08:08.740 \longrightarrow 01:08:14.088$  To the meeting and they really invited

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:08:14.088 \longrightarrow 01:08:17.435$  them by telling them how good the

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:08:17.435 \longrightarrow 01:08:20.021$  intervention was going to be for their

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:08:20.021 \longrightarrow 01:08:22.478$  kids and then their kids got excited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:08:22.480 \longrightarrow 01:08:27.088$  Got a sign to the comparison group and

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01{:}08{:}27.088 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}30.710$  they certainly wanted to just, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

01:08:30.710 --> 01:08:33.630 move those kids over to the treatment group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:08:33.630 \longrightarrow 01:08:35.750$  but we couldn't do that.

 $01:08:35.750 \longrightarrow 01:08:39.224$  And so in the end, that's where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:08:39.224 \longrightarrow 01:08:43.196$  The buck had to stop with

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

01:08:43.196 --> 01:08:47.060 the academic requirements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:08:47.060 \longrightarrow 01:08:50.030$  but I on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:08:50.030 \longrightarrow 01:08:53.510$  I think if we wait and present the

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

01:08:53.510 --> 01:08:57.047 project to the IRB after the Community

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

01:08:57.047 --> 01:09:00.870 members have had a chance to weigh in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:09:00.870 \dashrightarrow 01:09:04.958$  then we might be able to include more

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:09:04.958 \longrightarrow 01:09:08.650$  of their suggestions than if we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143 01:09:08.650 --> 01:09:09.562 That then, NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01\text{:}09\text{:}09\text{.}562 \longrightarrow 01\text{:}09\text{:}12.754$  if it already has IRB approval when

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:09:12.754 \longrightarrow 01:09:16.467$  we recruit them to the research team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:09:16.470 \longrightarrow 01:09:18.550$  so I welcome other suggestions

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:09:18.550 \longrightarrow 01:09:21.112$  there 'cause we could use that

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:09:21.112 \longrightarrow 01:09:22.716$  those answers as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891817612857143

 $01:09:22.720 \longrightarrow 01:09:25.470$  but that's the best I can do on that.

 $01:09:28.070 \longrightarrow 01:09:29.386$  We have another question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940961692631579

 $01{:}09{:}29.386 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}31.360$  Can you speak to how community

NOTE Confidence: 0.940961692631579

01:09:31.425 --> 01:09:32.913 members are compensated for

NOTE Confidence: 0.940961692631579

 $01:09:32.913 \longrightarrow 01:09:34.773$  their work in these programs?

NOTE Confidence: 0.940961692631579

01:09:34.780 --> 01:09:36.370 Are they paid for their time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.940961692631579

 $01:09:36.370 \longrightarrow 01:09:38.344$  Is there a specific process for

NOTE Confidence: 0.940961692631579

01:09:38.344 --> 01:09:40.320 securing funding for this? Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:09:41.650 \longrightarrow 01:09:44.006$  We, as academic members,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:09:44.006 \longrightarrow 01:09:46.362$  were not compensated for

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:09:46.362 \longrightarrow 01:09:49.469$  our time on these projects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

01:09:49.470 --> 01:09:52.145 but both groups of community

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

01:09:52.145 --> 01:09:53.750 members were compensated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:09:53.750 \longrightarrow 01:09:55.478$  And when I say both groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:09:55.480 \longrightarrow 01:09:58.876$  certainly the target group members each

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:09:58.876 \longrightarrow 01:10:02.850$  time they came to group they received.

 $01:10:02.850 \longrightarrow 01:10:08.778$  I think it was \$2025 gift certificates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:10:08.780 \longrightarrow 01:10:11.558$  For the members of the research

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

01:10:11.558 --> 01:10:14.170 team who were community members,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:10:14.170 \longrightarrow 01:10:17.313$  they were compensated as well for their

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:10:17.313 \longrightarrow 01:10:19.796$  participation on the project and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

01:10:19.796 --> 01:10:22.560 didn't get a chance to talk about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:10:22.560 \longrightarrow 01:10:24.660$  But that was an issue as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:10:24.660 \longrightarrow 01:10:29.110$  because the university payroll system

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01{:}10{:}29.110 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}32.440$  may not meet their expectations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:10:32.440 \longrightarrow 01:10:34.516$  The worst example I have is

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01{:}10{:}34.516 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}37.298$  that there was one member of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:10:37.298 \longrightarrow 01:10:39.503$  research team from the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

01:10:39.510 --> 01:10:44.872 Who was depending on her funding to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

01:10:44.872 --> 01:10:48.954 have her daughter's birthday party and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

01:10:48.954 --> 01:10:52.134 the university didn't come through

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:10:52.134 \longrightarrow 01:10:56.189$  with the funding as she expected the

 $01:10:56.189 \longrightarrow 01:10:59.423$  the university was operating on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01{:}10{:}59.423 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}01.816$  different schedule and so she ended

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

01:11:01.816 --> 01:11:03.181 up canceling the birthday party

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:11:03.181 \longrightarrow 01:11:05.028$  even though we offered the launder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

01:11:05.030 --> 01:11:06.990 the money or pay for it or whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

01:11:06.990 --> 01:11:09.678 She ended up canceling so that that wasn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:11:09.680 \longrightarrow 01:11:14.550$  Issue for us and that was one reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

01:11:14.550 --> 01:11:18.445 I said that maybe placing some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01{:}11{:}18.445 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 01{:}11{:}22.113$  the funding in a Community group in

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

01:11:22.113 --> 01:11:25.004 the the funding in the Community and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

01:11:25.004 --> 01:11:27.055 a Community organization so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:11:27.055 \longrightarrow 01:11:29.497$  they're not as dependent on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

01:11:29.497 --> 01:11:31.509 university payroll system which you

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:11:31.509 \longrightarrow 01:11:33.314$  know sometimes people are getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

01:11:33.314 --> 01:11:35.652 paid once a month and that doesn't

 $01:11:35.652 \longrightarrow 01:11:38.124$  always work if you have low income

NOTE Confidence: 0.871698193846154

 $01:11:38.124 \longrightarrow 01:11:39.170$  community members.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904629615

01:11:41.490 --> 01:11:42.870 Thank you we have another question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904629615

 $01:11:42.870 \longrightarrow 01:11:45.530$  First I want to just mention there

NOTE Confidence: 0.904629615

 $01:11:45.530 \longrightarrow 01:11:47.949$  several comments in the chat making

NOTE Confidence: 0.904629615

 $01:11:47.949 \longrightarrow 01:11:49.878$  you for an inspirational talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904629615

 $01:11:49.878 \longrightarrow 01:11:54.200$  My dog also appreciates it, I apologize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904629615

 $01:11:54.200 \longrightarrow 01:11:55.888$  But one of the questions in the chat

NOTE Confidence: 0.904629615

01:11:55.888 --> 01:11:57.920 was can you please say more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.904629615

 $01:11:57.920 \longrightarrow 01:11:59.168$  how the educational differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.904629615

 $01:11:59.168 \longrightarrow 01:12:00.852$  between the academics and community

NOTE Confidence: 0.904629615

 $01{:}12{:}00.852 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}02.507$  members created the power imbalance

NOTE Confidence: 0.904629615

01:12:02.507 --> 01:12:04.058 given the specific expertise

NOTE Confidence: 0.904629615

 $01{:}12{:}04.058 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}06.088$  required for these different roles?

NOTE Confidence: 0.904629615

01:12:06.400 --> 01:12:10.820 Yeah. Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846497142631579

 $01:12:10.820 \longrightarrow 01:12:15.260$  What I would say is that they tended to see

 $01:12:15.367 \longrightarrow 01:12:19.498$  us as the experts in what we were doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846497142631579

 $01{:}12{:}19.500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}23.576$  You come in and at least at first

NOTE Confidence: 0.846497142631579

 $01:12:23.576 \longrightarrow 01:12:25.896$  they're calling me Doctor Burlew.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846497142631579

01:12:25.900 --> 01:12:28.918 And so I make a suggestion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846497142631579

 $01:12:28.920 \longrightarrow 01:12:31.240$  and they make a suggestion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846497142631579

01:12:31.240 --> 01:12:33.646 But other people think, well, she's she.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846497142631579

01:12:33.646 --> 01:12:36.510 Doctor Blue has been doing this for years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846497142631579

 $01:12:36.510 \longrightarrow 01:12:38.940$  She must know more about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.846497142631579

 $01:12:38.940 \longrightarrow 01:12:43.190$  to do this than we do. Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846497142631579

 $01{:}12{:}43.190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}46.886$  Overtime that got a little better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846497142631579

 $01:12:46.890 \longrightarrow 01:12:50.782$  I would welcome suggestions from this group

NOTE Confidence: 0.846497142631579

 $01:12:50.782 \longrightarrow 01:12:55.548$  on ways of of addressing that imbalance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846497142631579

 $01{:}12{:}55.550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}59.926$  but it was clearly there that since

NOTE Confidence: 0.846497142631579

 $01{:}12{:}59.926 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>01{:}13{:}04.222$  we were college professors and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.846497142631579

01:13:04.222 --> 01:13:07.920 were residents in low income housing,

 $01:13:07.920 \longrightarrow 01:13:10.734$  they tended to think that we knew

NOTE Confidence: 0.846497142631579

01:13:10.734 --> 01:13:13.178 more about what we were doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846497142631579

 $01:13:13.180 \longrightarrow 01:13:14.230$  Then they did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768123078333333

 $01:13:23.020 \longrightarrow 01:13:26.836$  I do not see any additional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768123078333333

 $01:13:26.840 \longrightarrow 01:13:30.070$  Questions and chat, or in a hands up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928959939230769

 $01:13:32.240 \longrightarrow 01:13:33.944$  Is it possible for me to get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.928959939230769

 $01:13:33.944 \longrightarrow 01:13:35.560$  copy of the chat afterwards?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928959939230769

01:13:35.560 --> 01:13:38.125 I didn't get a chance to look at it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928959939230769

 $01:13:38.130 \longrightarrow 01:13:39.999$  Will believe the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78498608

01:13:40.060 --> 01:13:43.427 grand rounds up so when the everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.78498608

01:13:43.430 --> 01:13:44.954 starts to leave you are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.78498608

 $01:13:44.954 \longrightarrow 01:13:47.130$  than welcome to look at the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78498608

 $01:13:47.130 \longrightarrow 01:13:48.780$  OK, alright thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880490528571429

 $01:13:51.580 \longrightarrow 01:13:53.435$  Well, if there are no more questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880490528571429

 $01:13:53.440 \longrightarrow 01:13:55.824$  we really want to thank you for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880490528571429

 $01:13:55.830 \longrightarrow 01:13:57.765$  Your excellent presentation.

 $01{:}13{:}57.765 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}01.104$  It's inspiring and I hope it will

NOTE Confidence: 0.880490528571429

 $01{:}14{:}01.104 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 01{:}14{:}03.340$  help us as a department incorporate

NOTE Confidence: 0.880490528571429

 $01:14:03.340 \longrightarrow 01:14:06.220$  these practices into our own work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880490528571429

 $01:14:06.220 \longrightarrow 01:14:08.285$  so thank you again for

NOTE Confidence: 0.880490528571429

 $01{:}14{:}08.285 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}10.550$  presenting to us today. Welcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926501496

 $01:14:10.560 \longrightarrow 01:14:15.000$  Thank you for having me.