WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:03:06" NOTE recognizability:0.854

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365033

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:03.291 Good morning. Thank you very

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365033

 $00{:}00{:}03.291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}05.051$ much for that introduction, Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365033

 $00:00:05.051 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.557$ Teves, and thank you so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365033

00:00:06.557 --> 00:00:08.000 for inviting me to join you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365033

 $00:00:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.328$ This is really an honor to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365033

 $00{:}00{:}11.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}13.216$ to share the system's work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365033

 $00:00:13.216 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.800$ the science that our teams and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365033

00:00:14.854 --> 00:00:16.480 partners are doing here in Ohio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365033

 $00:00:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.475$ So thank you again for the invitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365033

 $00{:}00{:}18.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.995$ And I'm really looking forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365033

 $00{:}00{:}19.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.965$ to getting to know many more of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365033

 $00:00:21.965 \dashrightarrow 00:00:23.669$ you at the end of the talk for AQ

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365033

 $00:00:23.669 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.478$ and A and the session afterwards.

 $00{:}00{:}25.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.262$ I'm getting to know more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365033

 $00{:}00{:}27.262 \to 00{:}00{:}29.871$ the work that you do and exploring

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365033

 $00:00:29.871 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.675$ potential for collaboration there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93365033

 $00:00:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.875$ And so I'm going to share my screen and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81183877

 $00:00:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.880$ get started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:00:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.680$ You can just swap displays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:00:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.556$ We can see the next slide there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:00:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.916$ So that's the presenter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00{:}00{:}51.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.799$ So just do the display settings and say swap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

00:00:54.800 --> 00:00:56.676 Thank you. And we just did this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:00:56.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.536$ didn't we? It's OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:00:59.536 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.678$ Perfect right there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

00:01:01.680 --> 00:01:03.636 Thank you and sorry about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

00:01:03.640 --> 00:01:06.916 You know, who knows what technology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:01:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.480$ But all right, So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00{:}01{:}08.480 --> 00{:}01{:}09.968$ let's get started.

 $00{:}01{:}09.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.658$ Good morning. All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00{:}01{:}11.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.101$ So I just wanted to disclose some

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

00:01:14.101 --> 00:01:16.197 funding sources in the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00{:}01{:}16.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17.355$ Another thing that I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

00:01:17.355 --> 00:01:18.800 to point out was that I've,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:01:18.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.062$ I've also been part of some

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

00:01:21.062 --> 00:01:22.472 research at Nationwide Children's

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:01:22.472 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.560$ Hospital here in Columbus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:01:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.960$ OH that was funded by Organon and Mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:01:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.440$ All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:01:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.640$ And before we get started,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:01:29.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.705$ I really also want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

00:01:31.705 --> 00:01:34.160 thank my my collaborators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:01:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.750$ my partners in both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

00:01:36.750 --> 00:01:38.800 research and in the community,

 $00:01:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.056$ because without them this work is

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00{:}01{:}41.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43.449$ not possible and it just makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

00:01:43.449 --> 00:01:45.479 for a really rich scientific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:01:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.451$ scientific environment to

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:01:47.451 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.079$ be asking these questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

00:01:50.080 --> 00:01:52.424 I thought I'd kick off and talk a

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:01:52.424 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.400$ little bit about how implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:01:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.600$ of evidence based practices is

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00{:}01{:}56.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58.879$ really all about relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:01:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.933$ And then let's transition into

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00{:}02{:}01.933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}04.288$ some discussion about how there

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:02:04.288 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.012$ are potentially some strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:02:06.012 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.497$ that we can use to build systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:02:08.497 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.338$ for implementation and then end

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:02:11.338 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.768$ with some discussion about engaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.863195534

 $00:02:13.768 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.133$ community partners in work in this area.

 $00:02:16.133 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.760$ So then we'll get into the good discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:02:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.494$ So the first thing that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:02:22.494 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.723$ wanted to to kick us off with is

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:02:24.723 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.293$ really about the importance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:02:26.293 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.679$ relationships for implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:02:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.480$ And these are relationships between

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:02:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.160$ individuals and organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00{:}02{:}32.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}35.448$ And this work has really led to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:02:35.448 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.256$ couple important lessons learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00{:}02{:}37.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}39.988$ for me that have sparked additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:02:39.988 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.273$ research questions along the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00{:}02{:}42.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.674$ So the first less on that I learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00{:}02{:}44.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.957$ unfortunately the hard way is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00{:}02{:}46.957 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}48.541$ breakdowns in relationships have

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:02:48.541 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.399$ consequences for lots of things,

 $00:02:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.080$ including implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00{:}02{:}53.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}54.816$ And I want to tell you a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

00:02:54.816 --> 00:02:56.305 bit about our gateway call study

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:02:56.305 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.223$ and this was a five year system

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:02:58.223 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.687$ demonstration that was funded

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

00:02:59.687 --> 00:03:01.904 through the US Children's Bureau and

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:03:01.904 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.824$ was conducted in partnership with

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

00:03:03.824 --> 00:03:05.360 Franklin County Children's Services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00{:}03{:}05.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08.251$ And I believe Connecticut also was one

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:03:08.251 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.832$ of the demonstration sites for these grants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:03:10.832 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.960$ And the purpose of this of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:03:13.024 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.039$ demonstration was to improve access

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00{:}03{:}15.039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}17.458$ to mental health services for kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:03:17.458 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.480$ who were involved in foster care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:03:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.205$ And we worked with Franklin

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00{:}03{:}21.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.240$ County Children's Services,

 $00:03:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.826$ which is a county based child

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:03:24.826 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.362$ welfare agency here in Central Ohio

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

00:03:27.362 --> 00:03:29.846 to design and implement a service

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:03:29.846 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.817$ cascade that began with mental health

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:03:32.817 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.292$ screening and mental health assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:03:35.365 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.840$ within the child's welfare system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:03:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.456$ And the assessments were then done by by

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00{:}03{:}40.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.038$ Co located behavioral health clinicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:03:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.357$ Based on the screening and the assessment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

00:03:45.360 --> 00:03:47.436 children were then supposed to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:03:47.436 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.604$ referred to treatment in the mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:03:49.604 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.394$ health system in the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00{:}03{:}51.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53.740$ Service was for kids up to the age of

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:03:53.740 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.405$ 18 and this was just for kids who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:03:56.405 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.559$ going into out of home placements.

00:03:58.560 --> 00:04:00.666 So sounds like it would make a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00{:}04{:}00.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}02.614$ good sense considering the prevalence

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:02.614 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.679$ of mental health disorders among

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

00:04:04.679 --> 00:04:06.687 children in foster care so high and

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:06.687 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.797$ much higher than in the general population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

00:04:08.797 --> 00:04:10.951 And so our main question in

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:10.951 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.878$ the outcome evaluation was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.288$ well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:13.288 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.328$ did this care cascade improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00{:}04{:}15.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17.415$ access to mental health services

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:17.415 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.315$ and did kids get better?

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:19.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.672$ Did we see a reduction in symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

00:04:21.672 --> 00:04:23.759 and an improvement and functioning?

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:23.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.783$ And one of the things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:25.783 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.918$ learned is that kids who received

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:27.918 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.913$ gateway call received three times

00:04:29.913 --> 00:04:32.321 the number of mental health visits

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:32.321 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.637$ compared to those in our comparison.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:34.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.190$ We used a propensity score

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:37.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.720$ matched comparison condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:38.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.807$ But when we took a look at whether or

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:41.807 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.620$ not children or the rate of mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

00:04:44.620 --> 00:04:46.920 health service access among kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.584$ we saw that 46% in gateway call got

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:49.584 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.040$ access to mental health services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.705$ Of kids who screened positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

00:04:54.705 --> 00:04:56.837 in our screening process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:04:56.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.319$ only 46% got access to mental health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00{:}05{:}00.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.092$ That means at least one specialty service

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:05:03.092 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.158$ visit compared to 33 in the comparison group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:05:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.820$ So even though there is a 13% difference

00:05:09.820 --> 00:05:12.880 in those in that percentage rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:05:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.520$ it was not a statistically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:05:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.920$ difference and 46% is pretty darn low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:05:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.560$ which raises questions about where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:05:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.680$ where did this intervention breakdown?

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:05:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.168$ On a surface,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:05:27.168 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.648$ three times more services sounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:05:29.648 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.720$ wonderful and it is great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:05:31.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.688$ Why weren't we making the connection

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

00:05:33.688 --> 00:05:34.672 for more kids?

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:05:34.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.520$ So it's a \$3,000,000 demonstration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876865614545455

 $00:05:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.840$ So this was really troubling

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:05:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.200$ and despite the fact that 67% of the kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:05:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.300$ who were coming into our experimental

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

00:05:45.366 --> 00:05:46.878 condition were screening positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:05:46.878 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.714$ so we were missing a lot

 $00:05:48.714 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.440$ of children along the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:05:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.472$ So drawing on background

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

00:05:52.472 --> 00:05:53.996 and implementation science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:05:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.720$ we went back to take a closer look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

00:05:56.720 --> 00:05:57.776 component by component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:05:57.776 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.888$ And so this bar graph shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:05:59.888 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.824$ the distribution of children

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:06:01.824 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.840$ in our experimental condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:06:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.276$ These were kids who came in through

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:06:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.872$ eight designated intake units in at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

00:06:10.872 --> 00:06:13.524 child welfare agency and the first bar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:06:13.524 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.979$ you'll want to follow the

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

00:06:14.979 --> 00:06:16.640 blue proportion of the bar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:06:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.734$ These are the percentage of kids that

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:06:19.734 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.319$ received the component of gateway call.

 $00:06:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.530$ So we screened almost 95% of kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00{:}06{:}26.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28.720$ who came into this intake unit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:06:28.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.880$ Of those kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:06:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.950$ 67 screened positive unless these

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:06:33.950 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.770$ were also with developmentally

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

00:06:35.770 --> 00:06:38.300 appropriate screeners or then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:06:38.300 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.266$ were able to complete assessments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

00:06:41.266 --> 00:06:43.285 full diagnostic assessments

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:06:43.285 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.452$ for 63% of these children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:06:45.452 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.317$ which is still really high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:06:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.940$ But then when we connected

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

00:06:48.940 --> 00:06:50.236 their child welfare records,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00{:}06{:}50.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.280$ screening and assessment records

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00{:}06{:}52.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54.320$ with Medicaid billing records,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:06:54.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.632$ where we would be able to see did

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00{:}06{:}56.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58.616$ these kids get licensed mental

00:06:58.616 --> 00:07:00.836 health treatment in the community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:07:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.792$ We start to see exactly where

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:07:03.792 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.320$ this care cascade broke down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:07:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.980$ Which begs the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:07:07.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.470$ what the heck happened in between

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:07:10.546 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.366$ assessment and getting served in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

00:07:13.366 --> 00:07:15.880 community in that period of time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:07:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.394$ One of we experienced over those

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00{:}07{:}18.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21.437$ five years a lot of disruptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

00:07:21.437 --> 00:07:24.118 in partnerships when we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00{:}07{:}24.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.516$ major collaboration breakdowns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00{:}07{:}25.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.554$ There was a disruption in contracts

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00{:}07{:}27.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.910$ with the Behavioral Health

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:07:28.973 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.668$ Assessment Team and other providers

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

00:07:30.668 --> 00:07:32.772 in the community who would have

00:07:32.772 --> 00:07:34.152 been providing evidence based

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00{:}07{:}34.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36.290$ mental health care for these kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

00:07:36.290 --> 00:07:37.930 There was extraordinary worker

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:07:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.494$ turnover in both the child welfare

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:07:40.494 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.719$ and the behavioral health system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

00:07:42.720 --> 00:07:44.384 which disrupted those frontline

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:07:44.384 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.464$ partnerships that we rely on

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:07:46.464 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.803$ in practice to connect kids and

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

00:07:48.803 --> 00:07:50.925 families to care and to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00{:}07{:}50.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.600$ sure that it's well coordinated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:07:52.600 \dashrightarrow 00:07:55.570$ We saw a lot of variations in the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:07:55.570 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.987$ that frontline child welfare workers

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:07:57.987 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.480$ were referred and followed up on care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:08:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.636$ and we realized at the end that

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:08:03.636 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.993$ we did not engage a critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:08:05.993 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.797$ partner in the community.

 $00:08:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.376$ We were not engaging with some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:08:10.376 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.138$ our foster care providers in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:08:13.138 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.383$ community who were also responsible

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:08:15.383 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.112$ for making sure that kids were

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00{:}08{:}18.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.560$ referred and followed up on service

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:08:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.360$ referrals to mental health care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:08:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.152$ So all around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:08:23.152 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.000$ this was a story of we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00{:}08{:}25.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26.834$ able to implement successfully

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:08:26.834 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.039$ within the child welfare system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

00:08:29.040 --> 00:08:31.399 but we didn't get to our ultimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:08:31.399 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.099$ desired outcome because our partnerships

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00{:}08{:}33.099 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}08{:}35.603$ were not as strong as they needed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00{:}08{:}35.669 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37.835$ be between the child welfare system

NOTE Confidence: 0.955676182

 $00:08:37.835 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.840$ and the behavioral health system.

 $00:08:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.862$ So this goes back to what we know from

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:08:44.862 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.040$ the literature and what we know in theory

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:08:48.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.320$ about relationships and implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:08:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.844$ These social relationships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:08:51.844 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.384$ whether they are between individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991894333333

 $00:08:54.384 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.639$ clinicians working at the front lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:08:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.181$ or organizations that are working in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

00:08:59.181 --> 00:09:01.943 community on behalf of a common population or

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:09:01.943 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.519$ among team members within a clinic setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:09:04.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.345$ These relationships are critical because

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:09:07.345 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.813$ they defuse information that could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:09:10.813 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.638$ technical information about an innovation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:09:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.215$ They defuse expertise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:09:15.215 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.840$ and so information about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:09:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.520$ to use a new innovation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00{:}09{:}20.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22.652$ it diffuses social influence.

 $00{:}09{:}22.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.064$ We are, we are subject to

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:09:25.064 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.080$ influence by what our peers think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:09:27.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.439$ And when we hear about a successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:09:29.439 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.813$ example of a supervisor or a peer

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:09:31.813 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.212$ or someone else in our in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:09:34.212 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.558$ clinic about a successful use case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:09:36.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.720$ we might be more likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:09:38.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.160$ adopt that later on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

00:09:40.160 --> 00:09:41.804 And then of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991894333333

00:09:41.804 --> 00:09:43.037 especially for organizations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:09:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.480$ those relationships that are developed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:09:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.160$ they defuse resources,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

00:09:47.160 --> 00:09:49.400 they're conduits for money,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00{:}09{:}49.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.375$ they're conduits for other types

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

00:09:51.375 --> 00:09:53.262 of of resources, influence,

 $00:09:53.262 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.666$ space, colocation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939918943333333

 $00:09:54.666 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.878$ So these relationships are super important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00:10:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.571$ So the next lesson that we learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00:10:03.571 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.412$ is that our relationships not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00{:}10{:}06.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09.616$ does do breakdowns in collaborative

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

00:10:09.616 --> 00:10:12.203 relationships side sideline implementation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

00:10:12.203 --> 00:10:14.767 our relationships shape us

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

00:10:14.767 --> 00:10:17.200 in really important ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00:10:17.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.480$ And so this I'm going to share a

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00:10:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.038$ little bit of information about

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

00:10:21.038 --> 00:10:23.709 a study that I that I did when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00:10:23.709 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.560$ was a post doc back in the day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00:10:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.751$ And this was with a group of 32

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00:10:29.751 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.475$ children's mental health organizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

00:10:31.475 --> 00:10:34.160 that are in Saint Louis County,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

00:10:34.160 --> 00:10:36.869 which is where I did my PhD before going

 $00:10:36.869 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.517$ over to North Carolina for my post doc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00:10:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.016$ And these 32 organizations came together

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

00:10:42.016 --> 00:10:44.104 to implement trauma focused cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00:10:44.104 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.909$ behavioral therapy in response to

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

00:10:45.909 --> 00:10:48.735 the rise of the number of kids that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00:10:48.735 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.715$ were coming into the mental health

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00:10:50.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.485$ system with Post Traumatic Stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00{:}10{:}53.485 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.455$ Disorder and really wanting to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00{:}10{:}55.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57.577$ a difference in terms of the quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00{:}10{:}57.577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}59.831$ and the amount of services that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00:10:59.831 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.440$ available for kids and families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00:11:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.680$ And so there the group of 32 organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

 $00{:}11{:}04.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}08.186$ This was led by the Missouri St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924663643571429

00:11:08.186 --> 00:11:08.958 Louis County

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:11:11.000 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.720$ Children's Advocacy Center at the

00:11:12.720 --> 00:11:14.440 Missouri at University of Missouri.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:11:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.510$ They, they organized a learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:11:16.510 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.166$ collaborative and they brought

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:11:18.166 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.234$ teams from these 32 organizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:11:20.234 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.818$ together because they thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

00:11:21.818 --> 00:11:24.078 that by bringing them together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:11:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.240$ they they'd be able to share

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:11:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.680$ learning and implement better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:11:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.647$ And so the first thing that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:11:29.647 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.405$ did together was just assess

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00{:}11{:}31.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}33.117$ relationships at the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

00:11:33.120 --> 00:11:35.160 So before you started implementing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:11:35.160 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.757$ before you started training on trauma Focus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

00:11:37.760 --> 00:11:41.873 CBT, exactly who do you go to for advice?

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

00:11:41.880 --> 00:11:43.672 And that was the first thing that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:11:43.672 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.199$ asked folks when they got started,

 $00:11:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.440$ because we know social influence matters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00{:}11{:}48.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50.519$ So this is an ego network analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:11:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.705$ And we asked each clinician from these

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

00:11:53.705 --> 00:11:56.751 32 organizations to nominate 5 people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:11:56.751 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.782$ they turn to for advice about serving

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:11:59.782 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.076$ kids with post traumatic stress disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:12:03.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.856$ And on average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:12:04.856 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.328$ clinicians nominated 3.9,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:12:06.328 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.480$ so almost four people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:12:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.153$ So they had people to turn to for advice

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:12:10.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.720$ and 72% of the peers that they nominated

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:12:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.117$ for colleagues in their own own organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:12:17.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.040$ which is not uncommon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:12:19.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.096$ But we also saw that 15%

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:12:22.096 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.720$ referred to other folks,

 $00:12:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.296$ 6% referred to colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

00:12:26.296 --> 00:12:27.478 in private practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

00:12:27.480 --> 00:12:29.850 So outside of those those

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:12:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.220$ organizations that were part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:12:32.309 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.529$ this learning collaborative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00{:}12{:}34.529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36.579$ about 5% referred to experts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:12:36.579 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.518$ So these were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:12:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.480$ these were kids in the child advocacy center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00{:}12{:}41.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.729$ Now when you take a look at so a

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

00:12:44.729 --> 00:12:47.329 clinician might have this ego network

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00{:}12{:}47.329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.655$ of 3.9 or four other colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:12:49.655 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.160$ that they turn to for advice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

00:12:52.160 --> 00:12:53.940 But when you aggregate those

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:12:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.720$ findings at the network level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:12:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.850$ we can start to make some

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:12:57.850 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.270$ inferences about the structure

 $00:12:59.343 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.438$ of relationships in that network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:13:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.680$ And so using that data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:13:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.440$ we created a network diagram,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:13:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.352$ which is the first step in a network

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:13:08.352 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.635$ analysis to visualize how this advice

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00{:}13{:}10.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13.502$ network looked in Saint Louis at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

00:13:13.502 --> 00:13:15.717 beginning of the Learning Collaborative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:13:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.160$ So through that nomination process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:13:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.380$ we identified 422 people who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:13:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.040$ providing advice to clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:13:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.811$ in Saint Louis about kids with

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:13:26.811 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.675$ post traumatic stress disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00{:}13{:}28.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31.032$ And this was after we cleaned up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:13:31.032 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.517$ data and removed references to Freud.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:13:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.880$ So we we did a good job cleaning that data.

 $00:13:36.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.504$ And so each dot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

00:13:38.504 --> 00:13:40.534 each circle on these graphs

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

00:13:40.534 --> 00:13:42.872 represents a person, a clinician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:13:42.872 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.852$ and each line represents an

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:13:45.852 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.640$ advice seeking relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:13:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.044$ The triangle nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:13:49.044 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.916$ these are our experts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:13:50.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.558$ these are our child advocacy folks who

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00{:}13{:}52.558 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}$ $00{:}13{:}54.440$ are doing the training on trauma focus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486 00:13:54.440 --> 00:13:54.755 CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798981486

 $00:13:54.755 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.275$ And again this is before they began training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:13:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.196$ So what we can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95553433333333

 $00{:}14{:}01.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}05.520$ we can use some network metrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9555343333333333

 $00:14:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.455$ Here we go. So one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:14:07.455 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.427$ we can start to observe just by taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00{:}14{:}09.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}12.124$ a look at these graphs is that there is

 $00:14:12.124 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.642$ some disconnection within the network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00{:}14{:}13.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15.578$ We have a core group of folks that

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

00:14:15.578 --> 00:14:17.495 are sort of kind of connected with

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:14:17.495 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.180$ one another in very indirect ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:14:19.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.609$ But then we have a lot of pockets

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:14:21.609 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.626$ out here on the periphery and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:14:23.626 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.170$ have a lot of folks who are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:14:25.225 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.600$ connected to anyone at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:14:26.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.940$ And network analysis allows us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.95553433333333

 $00:14:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.875$ generate some whole network metrics

 $00:14:30.875 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.331$ that tell us just about the level

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:14:33.331 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.880$ of connectivity within these systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00{:}14{:}35.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38.845$ So density reflects the proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:14:38.845 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.400$ of of actual ties to potential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95553433333333

 $00:14:41.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.879$ So that we might read this as

 $00:14:44.879 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.158$ this is so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

00:14:47.158 --> 00:14:48.849 higher is better centralization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:14:48.849 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.712$ the degree to which the network is

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

00:14:51.712 --> 00:14:53.959 centralized around a few core individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

00:14:53.960 --> 00:14:56.879 the degree to which that it's clustered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:14:56.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.472$ the degree to which those advice

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:14:59.472 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.200$ seeking relationships are reciprocal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

00:15:01.200 --> 00:15:03.426 Because if I ask Doctor Teebs for

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:15:03.426 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.640$ advice and then Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:15:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.400$ Teebs asks me for advice,

 $00{:}15{:}06.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08.320$ that's a much stronger relationship and

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00{:}15{:}08.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.639$ that's a much stronger level of influence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95553433333333

00:15:10.640 --> 00:15:12.560 And if it's, you know,

00:15:12.560 --> 00:15:14.359 I just ask Doctor Teebs for advice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

00:15:14.360 --> 00:15:17.200 which I totally would.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:15:17.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.810$ And so agency homophily also reflects

 $00:15:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.078$ the degree to which that advice seeking

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:15:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.264$ those advice seeking patterns are governed

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:15:25.264 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.919$ by being in the same organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

00:15:27.920 --> 00:15:30.917 So just at baseline just at the outset we

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

00:15:30.917 --> 00:15:33.998 got a sense for how this advice seeking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:15:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.640$ how this advice seeking network looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:15:36.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.784$ and and that we were already able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:15:38.784 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.855$ identify some patterns in terms of who

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:15:40.855 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.707$ is influential in this network which

NOTE Confidence: 0.95553433333333

 $00:15:42.707 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.609$ would be these faculty experts but

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:15:44.609 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.759$ that not everybody else was connected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:15:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.146$ The other lesson learned along the

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00{:}15{:}49.146 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}51.169$ way is that just because folks are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00{:}15{:}51.169 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52.747$ connected at one minute doesn't mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.95553433333333

00:15:52.792 --> 00:15:54.500 that they won't be connected in another

 $00:15:54.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.912$ and that these relationships change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:15:55.912 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.600$ And so we followed up in with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:15:58.663 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.955$ same type of data collection with

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:16:00.955 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.960$ these clinicians at two other time

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:16:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.431$ points and we were able to take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:16:06.431 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.840$ look at how that network changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:16:08.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.340$ So for the background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

00:16:10.340 --> 00:16:12.215 the Learning Collaborative Model that

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:16:12.215 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.643$ was used to help support implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:16:14.643 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.633$ across these 32 organizations is

 $00{:}16{:}16.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.304$ based on the Institute for Health care

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

00:16:19.304 --> 00:16:21.040 Improvements Breakthrough Series and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95553433333333

 $00:16:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.770$ Learning Collaborative Model and it is

 $00:16:23.770 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.320$ steeped in quality improvement practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:16:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.168$ It brings together teams

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:16:28.168 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.554$ from multiple agencies.

 $00:16:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.118$ It emphasizes shared learning and influence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:16:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.856$ So theoretically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

00:16:33.856 --> 00:16:36.432 we would be not only building networks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:16:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.640$ but also activating them in

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:16:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.960$ support of implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:16:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.336$ And it was intended,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:16:41.336 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.926$ this model was intended to not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:16:43.926 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.216$ build relationships within teams among

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:16:46.216 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.000$ clinicians from the same organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95553433333333

 $00{:}16{:}49.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.916$ but also build relationships

00:16:50.916 --> 00:16:52.832 among clinicians across teams

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00{:}16{:}52.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54.840$ and across organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00{:}16{:}54.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57.305$ And so there's some preparatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00{:}16{:}57.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00.065$ work that agencies do before they

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:17:00.065 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.877$ begin the learning collaborative.

 $00:17:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.872$ Then they come together for three

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00{:}17{:}03.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.502$ in person learning sessions over

NOTE Confidence: 0.955534333333333

 $00:17:05.502 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.438$ the duration of about a year or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:17:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.660$ And in between those in person

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:17:09.660 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.492$ learning sessions, they take what

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:17:11.492 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.312$ they learn and begin implementing

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:17:13.312 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.636$ it using plan to study at cycles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:17:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.436$ which is classic quality improvement work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00{:}17{:}18.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21.954$ And in between those teams are supported

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:17:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.600$ using coaching calls and team calls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:17:24.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.955$ There's web support and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:17:25.955 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.900$ types of support to use quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

00:17:27.900 --> 00:17:28.960 improvement techniques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

00:17:28.960 --> 00:17:31.684 So it's a very intensive model

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:17:31.684 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.500$ for supporting implementation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00{:}17{:}33.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.916$ really scaling across the region.

 $00:17:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.500$ There's some questions about

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:17:37.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.080$ how they work though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:17:39.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.664$ And so our theory was that they work

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:17:41.664 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.520$ potentially by building networks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:17:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.816$ So this bar on the left reflects

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:17:45.816 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.636$ that breakdown of those ego

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:17:47.636 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.556$ network partnerships at Time 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:17:49.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.114$ So 72% of folks that were being

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

00:17:54.114 --> 00:17:56.084 asked for advice about treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00{:}17{:}56.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57.660$ post traumatic stress disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00{:}17{:}57.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.713$ among children at time at the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

00:17:59.713 --> 00:18:01.440 of the Learning Collaborative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.810$ that was still the most dominant

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.995$ source of advice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.443$ But we've got to see that there

00:18:07.443 --> 00:18:09.743 were some significant changes in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:09.743 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.718$ proportion of these ego networks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.606$ So we saw a significant reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:14.606 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.432$ of outside individuals of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:17.432 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.760$ folks that were being asked for advice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:19.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.842$ We saw a significant reduction in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:21.842 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.722$ the number of private practitioners

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:23.722 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.260$ who were being asked for advice

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

00:18:26.260 --> 00:18:28.480 about treating Trauma focus or about

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.400$ implementing trauma focus CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00{:}18{:}31.400 \longrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33.500$ And we saw a significant increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:33.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.200$ in the number of times that folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:36.200 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.840$ were asking the experts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.895$ the learning collaborative leaders for

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:39.895 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.520$ advice about post traumatic stress disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.680$ So we did see a shift in those ego networks.

00:18:45.680 --> 00:18:47.160 Now this doesn't look like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

00:18:47.160 --> 00:18:48.168 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:48.168 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.680$ 72% to 66%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.515$ This does not look like a drastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

00:18:52.515 --> 00:18:55.160 difference at the individual level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

00:18:55.160 --> 00:18:57.014 but when we extrapolate and bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:18:57.014 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.239$ it out to the systems level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00{:}18{:}59.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02.228$ these minor changes resulted in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:19:02.228 \dashrightarrow 00:19:05.799$ pretty major changes at the network level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:19:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.840$ So this compares the network

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

00:19:07.840 --> 00:19:09.472 metrics between learning session

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:19:09.472 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.637$ one and learning session three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:19:11.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.766$ We're still dealing with the same 422

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:19:14.766 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.796$ individuals who had been nominated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:19:16.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.440$ Over time we saw an increase in the

 $00:19:19.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.595$ isolates where the people who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00{:}19{:}21.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23.375$ not connected and these happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:19:23.375 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.104$ to be people who were not part

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

00:19:26.104 --> 00:19:27.636 of the learning collaborative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:19:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.320$ We did see in we cite we saw a slight

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

00:19:30.394 --> 00:19:32.929 decrease in density which means

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:19:32.929 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.957$ that the overall connectedness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:19:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.920$ but we saw an increase in the centralization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:19:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.403$ We saw that there was increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:19:40.403 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.418$ clustering among folks in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

00:19:42.418 --> 00:19:44.030 learning collaborative who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00{:}19{:}44.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.799$ asking one another for advice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:19:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.050$ who are leaning on one another

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:19:48.050 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.000$ for support to treat kids with

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:19:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.200$ post traumatic stress disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00{:}19{:}51.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53.360$ We saw an increase in reciprocity.

00:19:53.360 --> 00:19:55.316 So a strengthening of these advice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188212125

 $00:19:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.680$ sharing relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.386$ So the key takeaways here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:02.386 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.040$ that there was more isolates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.296$ it was more centralized and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:06.296 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.800$ saw these stronger relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.488$ So these relationships can

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:09.488 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.020$ change over time and they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:20:12.095 --> 00:20:14.279 change during implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:14.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.602$ We did a deeper dive into trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:20:16.602 --> 00:20:18.989 to explain why we would see some

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:18.989 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.229$ of these changes and this is using

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00{:}20{:}22.229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24.700$ exponential random graph modeling to

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.240$ understand predictors of tie formation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.652$ So what would predict someone forming

 $00:20:29.652 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.538$ a new advice seeking tie over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:32.538 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.548$ course of the learning collaborative

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:34.548 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.880$ and some of our key takeaways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:36.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.800$ And this is framed in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:20:38.800 --> 00:20:40.080 of transactive memory systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.705$ which is an information seeking

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:42.705 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.736$ or communication theory that

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:20:44.736 --> 00:20:46.560 expertise quality is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.664$ We saw that being a faculty expert or

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:49.664 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.044$ supervisor was associated with forming

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:20:52.044 --> 00:20:55.276 new ties or being a source of information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.696$ a source of advice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:56.696 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.466$ So we know that expertise

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:20:58.466 --> 00:20:59.759 quality is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:20:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.320$ We saw that being in the same organization

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:21:03.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.714$ and also being from the same discipline,

 $00:21:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.000$ social workers asking advice

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:21:07.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.280$ of other social workers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:21:08.280 --> 00:21:10.140 psychologists asking advice of

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:21:10.140 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.465$ other psychologists that that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:21:12.465 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.042$ associated with forming a new tie or

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:21:15.042 --> 00:21:16.720 maintaining an advice seeking tie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:21:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.028$ which reinforces how important

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:21:19.028 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.336$ accessibility both physical proximity

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:21:21.336 --> 00:21:24.456 but also social similarity might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:21:24.456 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.288$ for shaping the development of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:21:27.366 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.838$ relationships for implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:21:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.150$ We also saw that having a prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00{:}21{:}32.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}34.586$ tie or being connected in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:21:34.586 --> 00:21:36.871 way either directly or indirectly

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:21:36.871 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.605$ to others is also important for

 $00:21:39.605 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.480$ shaping and ties that we build.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:21:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.960$ We build on prior relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:21:43.960 --> 00:21:47.072 It's much easier to build a tie with

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:21:47.072 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.730$ someone that's already there to either

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:21:49.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.430$ to either increase the frequency or

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:21:52.510 --> 00:21:55.115 to reciprocate advice seeking and

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:21:55.115 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.880$ sharing or to connect through triads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:21:57.880 --> 00:22:01.180 So that's the concept that if I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00{:}22{:}01.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03.000$ to pick on Doctor Elizabeth Connors here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:22:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.048$ So if Elizabeth asks Jack for

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00{:}22{:}06.048 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}22{:}09.959$ advice and I ask Jack for advice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:22:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.565$ that theory would suggest that

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:22:11.565 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.675$ over time by us both virtually

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:22:13.675 --> 00:22:15.875 being connected to Doctor Teves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:22:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.157$ that we would then ask each other for advice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:22:18.160 --> 00:22:20.477 And that's exactly what we saw happening.

 $00:22:20.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.539$ So we that's how that explains this

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00{:}22{:}23.539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.118$ clustering phenomenon that we saw over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:22:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.432$ So we really do build on these existing

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:22:29.432 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.646$ relationships when we are looking to

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:22:31.646 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.575$ build new ones over time and this has

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:22:34.575 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.785$ important implications for what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:22:36.785 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.200$ might expect later and when we are trying to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:22:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.626$ Very deliberately build these networks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00{:}22{:}42.626 \to 00{:}22{:}46.200$ build these partnerships for implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:22:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.794$ So this led to a series of questions about

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:22:49.794 --> 00:22:53.118 how exactly could we build networks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:22:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.120$ Can we be more thoughtful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364 00:22:55.120 --> 00:22:55.708 planful, NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:22:55.708 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.236$ strategic in the field of implementation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:22:59.240 --> 00:23:02.132 We're all about building and advancing

00:23:02.132 --> 00:23:04.920 the science of implementation strategies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00{:}23{:}04.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07.780$ these deliberate efforts to integrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:23:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.640$ and sustain evidence based practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:23:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.336$ into routine care systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:23:12.336 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.880$ And if we know relationships are

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:23:14.955 --> 00:23:17.040 important for implementation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00{:}23{:}17.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.588$ are there strategies that we can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00{:}23{:}19.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22.183$ to deliberately build the system in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00{:}23{:}22.183 \to 00{:}23{:}23.973$ way that will support implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:23:23.973 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.278$ over the long home?

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

 $00:23:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.520$ And so with a with my colleague, Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:23:27.520 --> 00:23:28.720 Reza Yousafi Murai,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808977963636364

00:23:28.720 --> 00:23:31.120 who's at the University of Rochester,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:23:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.200$ we started thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:23:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.760$ network building interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

00:23:34.760 --> 00:23:36.176 And Tom Valenti has done a

 $00:23:36.176 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.759$ lot of work in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}23{:}37.760 --> 00{:}23{:}40.120$ He has a new book, I believe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:23:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.602$ about network interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

00:23:41.602 --> 00:23:44.566 These are purposeful efforts to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}23{:}44.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47.357$ social networks or social network data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:23:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.760$ And he characterized 4 different

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

00:23:49.760 --> 00:23:51.680 types of network interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

00:23:51.680 --> 00:23:53.645 These are individuals where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

00:23:53.645 --> 00:23:56.100 might identify a champion to lead

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}23{:}56.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58.200$ our implementation efforts or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:23:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.480$ types of system change efforts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}24{:}00.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02.844$ Maybe we use a segmentation approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}24{:}02.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.132$ where we would I use network data to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:24:06.132 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.107$ identify groups where we might drop in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:24:09.107 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.916$ an intervention or prioritize for an

 $00:24:11.916 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.360$ experiment or a change of some type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}24{:}15.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}18.993$ Induction that refers to using networks to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:24:18.993 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.000$ simulate interactions and promote diffusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:24:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.285$ so relying on those existing

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

00:24:24.285 --> 00:24:26.113 networks to spread messages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:24:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.024$ But the one that we thought was most

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:24:30.024 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.684$ related to implementation strategies were

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:24:32.684 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.600$ these alteration types of interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}24{:}35.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37.855$ These are deliberate attempts to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

00:24:37.855 --> 00:24:40.674 change the networks either by adding

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

00:24:40.674 --> 00:24:43.099 or deleting people or organizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:24:43.099 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.554$ from the network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:24:44.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.135$ adding or deleting links that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:24:46.135 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.395$ are in the network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:24:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.772$ those pair wise relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:24:48.772 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.722$ We know that a few tweaks in those

 $00:24:51.722 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.366$ relationships from the learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}24{:}53.366 \to 00{:}24{:}55.997$ collaborative study can go a long way

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

00:24:55.997 --> 00:24:57.839 in terms of shifting the structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:24:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.015$ or potentially rewiring the links

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}25{:}00.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02.698$ in terms of increasing the strength

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:25:02.698 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.838$ or decreasing the strength or

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:25:04.838 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.601$ changing the type of resource or

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

00:25:07.601 --> 00:25:10.036 the content of those relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:25:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.280$ So one of our in some of our early meetings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}25{:}14.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18.040$ so Rosa and I met at the dissemination

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:25:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.560$ and implementation Research

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}25{:}19.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23.025$ conference back in 2016 as like blown

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}25{:}23.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25.776$ network social network analysts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:25:25.776 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.032$ implementation scientists and both

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:25:28.032 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.242$ really excited about this area.

 $00:25:30.242 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.810$ And so we started by looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}25{:}32.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.880$ Eric taxonomy of implementation strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:25:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.800$ The expert recommended implementation change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:25:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.664$ I I just what's your bad acronym and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

00:25:41.664 --> 00:25:43.776 Eric is an acronym not a person but

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:25:43.776 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.824$ the the taxonomy of implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:25:45.824 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.974$ strategies we were thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:25:47.974 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.039$ think about all the implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}25{:}50.039 \to 00{:}25{:}52.920$ strategies that are in the taxonomy that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:25:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.560$ reference relationships in some way we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:25:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.840$ We advocate that folks use

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}25{:}58.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}01.120$ strategies like build a coalition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:26:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.120$ Well that's building relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}26{:}03.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06.120$ among entities for the purposes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:26:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.080$ of implementation. Create a new team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:26:10.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.157$ Promote network weaving.

00:26:11.157 --> 00:26:13.920 Can you explain what that means to me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:26:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.040$ I would appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:26:15.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.440$ I'm still a little confused.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

00:26:16.440 --> 00:26:17.832 Create a learning collaborative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:26:17.832 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.920$ which we knew from the Saint

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:26:19.981 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.661$ Louis study was an implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

00:26:21.661 --> 00:26:23.829 strategy and we knew that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:26:23.829 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.517$ partnerships changed over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}26{:}25.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27.940$ Develop academic partnerships between

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:26:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.360$ community partners and researchers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:26:30.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.660$ Develop resource sharing agreements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:26:32.660 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.960$ So these relationship building

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}26{:}34.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37.785$ approaches were inherent in our Eric

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:26:37.785 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.191$ taxonomy and they connected with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:26:40.269 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.936$ idea of a of a network alteration

 $00:26:42.936 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.198$ intervention where we were deliberately

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}26{:}45.198 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}26{:}48.552$ trying to build new relationships or

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:26:48.552 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.957$ alter those relationships in some way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}26{:}51.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}54.150$ So we embarked on a systematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:26:54.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.651$ scoping review and I will not share

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:26:56.651 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.410$ how many years that it took us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

00:26:59.410 --> 00:27:01.555 do the systematic scoping review,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

00:27:01.560 --> 00:27:04.339 but we come the literature not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}27{:}04.339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.996$ in healthcare but across a variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:27:06.996 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.475$ of disciplines to identify studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00{:}27{:}09.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.650$ that tested or examined network

NOTE Confidence: 0.92526749

 $00:27:12.650 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.920$ alteration interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00{:}27{:}13.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}16.398$ Now not all of those studies characterize

NOTE Confidence: 0.7845727566666667

 $00:27:16.398 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.080$ them as network alteration interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:27:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.432$ So we wanted to be very thoughtful

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:27:21.432 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.599$ about including only those studies that

 $00:27:23.599 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.795$ looked at network change over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00{:}27{:}25.800 \to 00{:}27{:}28.336$ So at least two data points and where

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:27:28.336 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.318$ there was a sufficient discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:27:30.318 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.293$ about the type of intervention that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:27:33.368 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.798$ being used to change those networks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

00:27:35.800 --> 00:27:38.356 And through an iterative synthesis process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:27:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.904$ we developed a typology of eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

00:27:40.904 --> 00:27:43.670 strategies or eight types of network

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:27:43.670 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.658$ building interventions that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:27:45.658 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.309$ think could be potentially useful

NOTE Confidence: 0.7845727566666667

 $00{:}27{:}48.309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.458$ in implementation and elsewhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:27:50.458 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.881$ So we found three types of context

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

00:27:53.881 --> 00:27:55.440 based strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:27:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.883$ So these are interventions that try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:27:57.883 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.680$ change the larger environment of the network,

00:28:00.680 --> 00:28:03.630 including creating a group like

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:28:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.400$ creating a coalition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:28:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.711$ changing the environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:28:06.711 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.333$ So this might be a natural

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

00:28:09.333 --> 00:28:11.279 shift in community needs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:28:11.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.542$ maybe this is a shift in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:28:14.542 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.770$ regulatory environment or change the

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:28:16.770 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.920$ composition like introduce a mentor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

00:28:18.920 --> 00:28:20.315 These environmental changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:28:20.315 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.105$ prompt shifts in the way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00{:}28{:}23.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25.560$ we connect with one another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:28:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.332$ And so it is an indirect intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00{:}28{:}28.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}30.580$ that's intended to leverage sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.7845727566666667

 $00:28:30.580 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.560$ of those naturally occurring

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:28:32.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.684$ partnerships that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00{:}28{:}33.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35.665$ And our natural tendency is to shift

 $00:28:35.665 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.352$ the way that we partner with one

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00{:}28{:}37.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}38.879$ another in those environmental.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:28:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.600$ In those environmental changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:28:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.438$ we identified 4 actor based strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:28:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.152$ So these are strategies that target

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:28:47.152 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.960$ individuals within the network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

00:28:48.960 --> 00:28:51.280 These might involve changing skills,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00{:}28{:}51.280 \to 00{:}28{:}53.730$ so training folks on social skills or

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:28:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.880$ or how to how to be a better partner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:28:56.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.360$ or how to be or how to ask for advice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7845727566666667

 $00:29:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.080$ or how to ask or or how not to be lonely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00{:}29{:}04.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06.684$ We found a lot of literature in

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:29:06.684 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.800$ the loneliness field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

00:29:07.800 --> 00:29:09.636 changing knowledge so helping

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:29:09.636 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.931$ folks understand what their larger

00:29:11.931 --> 00:29:13.160 network looks like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00{:}29{:}13.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}15.182$ where there are gaps and where

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:29:15.182 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.193$ there are strengths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:29:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.592$ under the premise that when we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

00:29:17.592 --> 00:29:19.120 more aware of our environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

00:29:19.120 --> 00:29:22.080 we might work within it a little differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:29:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.918$ Changing prominence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:29:22.918 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.851$ So elevating an individual as a champion

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:29:25.851 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.766$ or some other type of leader within

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:29:28.766 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.832$ the network can automatically shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.7845727566666667

 $00:29:30.832 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.800$ the way that others partner with that person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

00:29:33.800 --> 00:29:34.288 Alternatively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:29:34.288 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.240$ in the bullying literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7845727566666667

 $00:29:36.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.472$ we also see the same type of strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:29:38.472 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.518$ work in the opposite direction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:29:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.880$ where we try to deemphasize an individual

 $00:29:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.694$ that might be diffusing negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:29:46.694 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.164$ negative behavior or negative types

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:29:49.164 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.760$ of resources throughout the network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:29:51.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.329$ And then the 4th actor base strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

00:29:54.329 --> 00:29:56.479 was around changing the motivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:29:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.130$ so dropping an incentive in

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:29:59.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.720$ there for partnerships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:30:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.080$ Whether it was very deliberate or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:30:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.428$ sometimes the you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:30:05.428 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.915$ you might have a motivation to write

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

00:30:07.915 --> 00:30:10.279 a paper together that's a motivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:30:10.279 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.766$ that might stimulate a new partnership

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00{:}30{:}12.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}14.836$ between individuals in the network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:30:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.598$ And then the 8th strategy that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:30:17.598 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.358$ identified were these tie based strategies.

 $00:30:20.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.202$ These are the ones where it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.784572756666667

 $00:30:22.202 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.840$ a it's almost a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:30:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.040$ I'm going in with a scalpel almost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

00:30:28.040 --> 00:30:30.265 I am not a surgeon, I'm not a I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:30:30.265 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.104$ even a a physician even though I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

00:30:32.104 --> 00:30:33.799 in general attorney for medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:30:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.222$ You know this is going in and

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

00:30:36.222 --> 00:30:38.520 operating on a particular tie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:30:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.634$ one tie or a specific type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:30:40.634 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.466$ tie in the network and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00{:}30{:}43.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}45.314$ by changing the relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:30:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.805$ So maybe it is dissolving

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

00:30:47.805 --> 00:30:49.793 that pair wise relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:30:49.800 \dashrightarrow 00:30:52.368$ may be it is specifically brokering that

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:30:52.368 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.533$ relationship or maybe it's building

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:30:54.533 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.831$ on that relationship or changing the

 $00:30:56.831 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.280$ way that that relationship works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00{:}30{:}59.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01.248$ So we can think about this in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:31:01.248 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.198$ context of service delivery systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:31:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.170$ There are two organizations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:31:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.680$ maybe refer clients to one another

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:31:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.032$ and we go in and we say can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:31:10.032 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.719$ refer clients to one another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:31:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.420$ What if you developed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:31:13.420 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.440$ joint program together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:31:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.924$ So that would that's an example of a tie

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:31:16.924 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.480$ based strategy or a tie based intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:31:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.720$ And so we just published that last

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00{:}31{:}21.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.090$ year and we're very proud of it

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

00:31:24.090 --> 00:31:26.094 and we're looking for examples and

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:31:26.159 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.026$ opportunities to begin bringing

 $00:31:28.026 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.156$ this into the implementation field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00{:}31{:}30.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32.421$ And the reason that we did this

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

00:31:32.421 --> 00:31:35.321 was to help further specify some

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:31:35.321 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.406$ of those more relationally focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

00:31:38.406 --> 00:31:39.640 implementation strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00{:}31{:}39.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}40.880$ I want to share a little bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:31:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.996$ So the third,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00{:}31{:}41.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44.600$ third set of studies that I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

00:31:44.685 --> 00:31:47.525 to share about were building on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:31:47.525 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.800$ theme about tie based relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:31:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.530$ We knew from our learning collaborative

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00{:}31{:}52.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}55.441$ study that you know small changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00{:}31{:}55.441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}58.453$ and a few relationships can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00{:}31{:}58.453 \to 00{:}32{:}01.157$ resounding effects on the larger system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:32:01.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.596$ And so at the organizational level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00{:}32{:}03.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}06.043$ we want to be able to understand

 $00:32:06.043 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.224$ exactly what kinds of tie based

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00{:}32{:}08.224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10.354$ relationships might we want to build

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:32:10.354 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.254$ or might be want to target and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:32:13.254 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.383$ particular what can we do to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:32:16.383 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.680$ strengthen those relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:32:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.280$ There's a history of large

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

00:32:21.280 --> 00:32:23.360 demonstration projects and network

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00{:}32{:}23.360 \longrightarrow 00{:}32{:}25.655$ building studies that have been done

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

00:32:25.655 --> 00:32:27.430 in mental health service delivery

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:32:27.494 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.360$ over the years by Keith Proband,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

00:32:29.360 --> 00:32:31.840 Brent Millward and Public Administration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

00:32:31.840 --> 00:32:32.862 Joe Morrissey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00{:}32{:}32.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}34.906$ Bob Rosenheck and Mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

00:32:34.906 --> 00:32:36.439 Health Services Research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:32:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.770$ And these series of demonstration

00:32:38.770 --> 00:32:40.634 projects throughout the 80s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:32:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.468$ the 90s and the early 2000s demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:32:43.468 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.200$ to us that just building relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:32:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.080$ is not necessarily going to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:32:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.600$ a difference for client outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:32:51.600 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.718$ And that more relationships by trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

00:32:53.718 --> 00:32:55.966 to improve the density of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:32:55.966 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.906$ relationships by hoping that everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:32:57.906 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.873$ comes together and everybody works

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:32:59.873 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.093$ with one another and everybody refers

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:33:02.093 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.620$ that more is not necessarily better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:33:04.620 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.826$ That really what has been tied to

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:33:07.826 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.532$ improvements in client outcomes is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:33:10.532 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.244$ idea that a very strong partnerships

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

00:33:13.244 --> 00:33:15.499 among small groups of organizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00{:}33{:}15.499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18.070$ that networks that are organized

 $00:33:18.070 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.118$ around very intensely collaborating

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:33:20.118 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.443$ small groups of organizations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:33:22.443 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.909$ in a sense are really integrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:33:24.909 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.270$ their work together both at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00{:}33{:}27.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}29.220$ front line and also operationally

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:33:29.290 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.275$ administratively that these are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

00:33:31.275 --> 00:33:34.583 types of groups that are likely to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:33:34.583 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.372$ produce better outcomes rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

00:33:36.372 --> 00:33:38.234 trying to make everybody work with everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:33:38.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.720$ which is really hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:33:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.360$ We only have 24 hours a day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686236614285714

 $00:33:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.160$ So this motivated a next series of studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}33{:}46.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}47.480$ and we're developing a tool kit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:33:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.944$ Well, we did develop a tool kit

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}33{:}49.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51.558$ called Collaborating across Systems

 $00:33:51.558 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.518$ for Program Implementation CASPI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

00:33:53.520 --> 00:33:55.480 And this work was funded by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}33{:}55.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57.012$ National Institute on Drug Abuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

00:33:57.012 --> 00:33:58.597 and the Robert Wood Johnson

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:33:58.597 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.400$ Foundation System for Action program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:34:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.927$ And our goals were to examine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

00:34:02.927 --> 00:34:04.899 specify the specific ways that

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:34:04.899 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.353$ agencies were working with one another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}34{:}07.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}09.455$ the specific time based relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:34:09.455 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.550$ that were being developed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}34{:}11.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13.717$ implement an intervention at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:34:13.717 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.812$ intersection of child welfare and

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:34:15.884 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.920$ substance use treatment systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:34:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.840$ And it was intended to inform a toolkit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:34:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.455$ We leveraged a naturally occurring

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:34:22.455 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.717$ roll out of an evidence based practice

00:34:24.717 --> 00:34:27.077 here in Ohio and we use mixed methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}34{:}27.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}30.327$ multiple case study and so this

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:34:30.327 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.421$ is this project is being carried

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:34:32.421 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.960$ out in the context of Ohio START.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:34:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.310$ Start is Sobriety Treatment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:34:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.291$ Recovery teams which is an evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:34:40.291 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.555$ based model for child welfare agencies

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:34:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.756$ to help identify parents who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:34:45.756 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.247$ coming into the system because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}34{:}48.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}50.155$ parental substance use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

00:34:50.160 --> 00:34:50.768 In Ohio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:34:50.768 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.200$ especially at the rise of the opiate crisis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}34{:}53.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55.454$ we saw a dramatic increase in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

00:34:55.454 --> 00:34:57.658 number of kids going into foster

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:34:57.658 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.992$ care because their parents had some

 $00:34:59.992 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.198$ type of substance use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:35:02.200 \dashrightarrow 00:35:04.384$ And we also know from the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}35{:}04.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.348$ and from our experience experiences

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:35:06.348 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.168$ that because of the difficulty in

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

00:35:09.168 --> 00:35:10.800 treating substance use disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:35:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.034$ these families were less likely to reunify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:35:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.476$ These were families that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:35:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.380$ ended up staying separated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}35{:}18.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}20.280$ their children ended up going,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

00:35:20.280 --> 00:35:21.918 you know, persisting in foster care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

00:35:21.920 --> 00:35:23.648 Termination of parental rights,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:35:23.648 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.240$ that is incredibly traumatic for families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:35:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.942$ And so START was developed by Tina

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:35:28.942 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.438$ Willauer at Children and Family Futures

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

00:35:31.440 --> 00:35:33.865 originally in Cuyahoga County which

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:35:33.865 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.000$ is the Cleveland area here in Ohio.

 $00:35:37.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.618$ It was originally developed for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}35{:}40.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43.890$ families and we we know there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

00:35:43.984 --> 00:35:47.439 three county demonstration in Kentucky

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:35:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.680$ before it was adopted here in Ohio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:35:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.067$ And if they were able to demonstrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}35{:}52.067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}54.071$ that this particular model expedites

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

00:35:54.071 --> 00:35:56.371 parents access to treatment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:35:56.371 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.751$ improved treatment retention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:35:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.580$ parents were able to demonstrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:35:59.580 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.036$ higher levels of sobriety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}36{:}01.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}03.077$ And most it's important to me it

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}36{:}03.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}04.805$ kept parents and kids together

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}36{:}04.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06.795$ before and after the intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.038$ start is a multi component model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.880$ And so the way that it works here in Ohio

00:36:11.949 --> 00:36:14.717 is that when a child welfare case opens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:14.720 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.413$ initially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:15.413 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.878$ there's a screening that's done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

00:36:18.880 --> 00:36:21.714 And I did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:21.714 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.930$ We are, we're wrestling a little bit right

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.475$ now about whether to do universal screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.524$ whether there's capacity

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:27.524 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.916$ to do universal screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}36{:}28.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}30.120$ which as a researcher I'm like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.320$ yes, we should do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.464$ But as a practitioner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:32.464 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.620$ I also understand some of the resource

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.871$ constraints that we've got in the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:36.871 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.530$ But the idea is that when a

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:38.592 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.040$ child welfare case opens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:40.040 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.025$ so when there is sufficient

00:36:42.025 --> 00:36:44.480 information to warrant opening a child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.295$ significant information about a risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:46.295 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.878$ to the safety of children in the home,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.436$ that it warrants opening the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.880$ Ideally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:51.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.080$ A Screener for substance use

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.400$ disorder is completed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}36{:}55.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}57.116$ And if the Screener is positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00:36:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.656$ ideally those parents are

NOTE Confidence: 0.58494982

 $00{:}36{:}58.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00.960$ referred to the START program in

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:37:01.026 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.876$ their county within 14 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:37:02.880 \dashrightarrow 00:37:05.561$ Within four days they have a shared

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}37{:}05.561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}07.841$ decision making meeting which involves

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:37:07.841 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.195$ child welfare caseworkers, supervisors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:37:10.195 \dashrightarrow 00:37:13.215$ behavioral Health Partners from the

00:37:13.215 --> 00:37:16.235 organization and most importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:37:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.754$ parents and parent advocates get together

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:37:18.754 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.705$ around the table to talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:37:21.705 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.099$ case plan and make decisions together

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:37:24.099 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.360$ about the next course of action.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:37:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.200$ Within seven days of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

00:37:28.200 --> 00:37:29.672 shared decision making meeting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:37:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.080$ parents are connected with

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}37{:}31.080 --> 00{:}37{:}32.480$ a family peer mentor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:37:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.952$ This is someone with lived experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}37{:}34.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37.524$ of both recovery and child welfare

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:37:37.524 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.654$ experience and I personally think

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:37:39.654 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.998$ is the magic of this model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}37{:}42.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}43.575$ And so they have intensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

00:37:43.575 --> 00:37:45.226 contact with this family, peer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:37:45.226 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.156$ mentor, At the same time,

 $00:37:47.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.925$ they're getting referred for a mental health

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}37{:}49.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}52.480$ or substance use disorder assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

00:37:52.480 --> 00:37:54.802 They're getting into treatment and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:37:54.802 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.199$ are expected to complete 4 treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

00:37:57.200 --> 00:38:01.008 All of this is supposed to happen in 38 days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

00:38:01.008 --> 00:38:02.640 Doesn't always happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:38:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.097$ It very rarely happens in 38 days

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}38{:}05.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07.586$ because it's very difficult to implement

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}38{:}07.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10.756$ all of these components to align them

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}38{:}10.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}13.016$ across child welfare and substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}38{:}13.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15.807$ use treatment systems and make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

00:38:15.807 --> 00:38:18.072 that they're happening so quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}38{:}18.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20.426$ Like the gateway call study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}38{:}20.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22.536$ I began with START reminds me

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:38:22.536 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.720$ so much of that because it is,

00:38:24.720 --> 00:38:26.360 it is a service cascade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:38:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.520$ it's a clinical pathway that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:38:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.680$ requires really tight alignment not

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:38:30.755 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.311$ only within these systems and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:38:33.311 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.522$ components that are delivered within

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

00:38:35.522 --> 00:38:37.717 child welfare and substance use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}38{:}37.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}41.030$ but such close alignment across

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:38:41.030 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.354$ those systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

00:38:42.360 --> 00:38:43.040 In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:38:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.740$ sometimes the family peer mentor

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}38{:}44.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}46.823$ is employed by the substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}38{:}46.823 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}48.317$ use treatment organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

00:38:48.320 --> 00:38:48.846 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:38:48.846 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.898$ the substance use treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:38:49.898 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.837$ clinicians need to be part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:38:51.837 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.957$ shared decision making meetings.

 $00:38:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.840$ So there's a lot of points of integration

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:38:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.240$ across these systems with this model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:38:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.580$ And thinking about that first hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:39:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.886$ lesson learned about how relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:39:02.886 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.920$ can disrupt implementation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:39:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.840$ we had lots of very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:39:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.876$ very early conversations with our partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}39{:}09.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}11.812$ And our partner for this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:39:11.812 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.646$ work is the Public Children's

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:39:13.646 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.065$ Services Association of Ohio, TCSAO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:39:16.065 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.590$ And they're a nonprofit organization

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}39{:}18.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}21.605$ that represents all 85 county child

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}39{:}21.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}24.359$ welfare agencies here in the state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:39:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.976$ And they have been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:39:25.976 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.592$ they've taken the leadership

00:39:27.592 --> 00:39:29.159 of implementing Ohio Start,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}39{:}29.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}32.720$ so they provide implementation support

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:39:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.318$ training for all of these counties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:39:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.525$ And we had some very early conversations

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:39:37.525 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.980$ at first the model first pulled out

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

00:39:39.980 --> 00:39:42.152 with 17 counties in Southeastern Ohio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:39:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.955$ which is predominantly the Appalachian

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:39:43.955 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.255$ region of the state where we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}39{:}46.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}48.520$ those the highest rates of foster

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

00:39:48.520 --> 00:39:50.920 care entry because of parental Sud.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

00:39:50.920 --> 00:39:52.396 We had some very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:39:52.396 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.241$ very early conversations about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:39:54.241 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.124$ lessons that we learned in gateway

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:39:56.124 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.437$ call and how we need to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

00:39:58.437 --> 00:40:00.037 focus on these relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:40:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.680$ And so on their end,

00:40:01.680 --> 00:40:03.264 their implementation support,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

00:40:03.264 --> 00:40:04.848 their technical assistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:40:04.848 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.960$ providers do help agencies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:40:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.152$ They have been coaching agencies to develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:40:10.152 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.798$ those partnerships early on across systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:40:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.080$ But at the same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:40:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.360$ we developed this research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

00:40:16.360 --> 00:40:18.640 these research studies together

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

00:40:18.640 --> 00:40:19.495 thinking like, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:40:19.495 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.920$ this is a good opportunity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:40:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.150$ We begin to understand these

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00{:}40{:}23.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}24.872$ phenomenon a little bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841363818

 $00:40:24.872 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.780$ And so our studies rely or

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00{:}40{:}26.859 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}29.475$ we draw on a variety of data sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:40:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.610$ We drew. We collected contracts

 $00:40:31.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.314$ and memorandums of understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:40:33.314 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.695$ between child welfare and substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:40:35.695 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.114$ use treatment organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:40:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.874$ We learned a lot about contracting

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:40:39.874 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.119$ capacity in public child welfare agencies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

00:40:43.120 --> 00:40:45.184 And as a side note, when I went to

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:40:45.184 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.000$ social work school as a master student,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

00:40:47.000 --> 00:40:49.634 I never thought, you know, 20 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00{:}40{:}49.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}51.993$ later I'd be so fascinated by contracts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445 00:40:52.000 --> 00:40:53.386 So go figure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00{:}40{:}53.386 \to 00{:}40{:}55.234$ But they're really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

00:40:55.240 --> 00:40:58.570 We also did small group interviews

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

00:40:58.570 --> 00:41:00.235 across 17 counties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167836444444445

00:41:00.240 --> 00:41:02.319 ended up being 48 small group interviews.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:41:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.820$ We interviewed folks on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:41:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.720$ child welfare side,

 $00:41:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.756$ folks on the behavioral health side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:41:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.136$ We this also happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

00:41:10.136 --> 00:41:12.958 This also happened in between COVID,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81678364444445

00:41:12.958 --> 00:41:15.034 we started and then COVID happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:41:15.034 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.115$ and then we had to take a break

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:41:17.120 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.820$ because of COVID mitigation

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:41:19.820 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.520$ measures and and shutdowns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:41:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.800$ And so keep that in mind as you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:41:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.998$ as you're listening to our results

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:41:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.480$ then we brought everyone together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:41:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.256$ So after we did the interviews

NOTE Confidence: 0.81678364444445

 $00:41:30.256 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.440$ and the contract analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00{:}41{:}31.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}34.040$ we brought our partners together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00{:}41{:}34.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}36.920$ This involved our PCSAO partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:41:36.920 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.520$ It involved the model purveyors.

 $00:41:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.075$ We also had partners from

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

00:41:40.075 --> 00:41:41.319 the Behavioral health system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

00:41:41.320 --> 00:41:43.690 from the Ohio Association of County

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

00:41:43.690 --> 00:41:46.114 Behavioral Health Authorities and the Ohio

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

00:41:46.114 --> 00:41:48.074 Council of Behavioral Health Providers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:41:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.607$ We brought them all together to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

00:41:50.607 --> 00:41:53.638 to make sense of our results and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:41:53.638 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.106$ identify and begin to specify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:41:56.106 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.036$ specific ways agencies were collaborating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81678364444445

 $00:41:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.976$ In the meantime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00{:}41{:}59.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}02.160$ we were also relying on case records,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.639$ worker surveys and we integrated all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:05.639 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.852$ these data using coincidence analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167836444444445

 $00:42:07.852 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.872$ And there's some preliminary findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

00:42:09.872 --> 00:42:11.998 that are under review right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.668$ but that's the findings that

00:42:13.668 --> 00:42:15.708 I wanted to share with you because

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

00:42:15.708 --> 00:42:17.731 they relate back to this idea about

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:17.792 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.796$ exactly how do we build these

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:19.796 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.798$ tie based relationships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.850$ our qualitative work and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.080$ expert panel together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.444$ we identified 8 or 7 strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:26.444 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.061$ that these agencies were using to

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00{:}42{:}29.061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}31.001$ develop partnerships between child

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:31.001 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.720$ welfare and substance use treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445 00:42:33.720 --> 00:42:35.040 Oops, NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.427$ sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:35.427 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.136$ And so we had three strategies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:38.136 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.999$ they were using to staff the program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.968$ So these were occurring at the

00:42:42.968 --> 00:42:44.280 executive or administrative level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.915$ These were agency leaders talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

00:42:46.915 --> 00:42:49.550 with one another about developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:49.634 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.758$ contracts with one another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:51.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.276$ Family peer mentors with lived experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

00:42:55.280 --> 00:42:57.440 Many child welfare agencies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:42:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.140$ which are governmental entities governed

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:43:00.140 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.020$ by public agency workforce regulations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167836444444445

 $00:43:03.020 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.945$ have a lot of difficulty

NOTE Confidence: 0.81678364444445

 $00:43:05.945 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.798$ establishing a new position,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00{:}43{:}07.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}09.512$ a new specialized position,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:43:09.512 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.320$ and often involved negotiations

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:43:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.400$ with their labor unions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167836444444445

 $00:43:13.400 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.535$ If a position was able to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:43:15.535 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.852$ established that those individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:43:16.852 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.239$ needed to pass a background check in

 $00:43:19.239 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.570$ order to be employed and people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

00:43:21.570 --> 00:43:23.430 lived experience might have had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:43:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.313$ more recent felony experience that

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:43:25.313 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.487$ will preclude them from being the

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:43:27.487 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.496$ type of experience that we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:43:29.496 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.720$ bring to this to this intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

00:43:31.720 --> 00:43:33.526 But because of regulations they couldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:43:33.526 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.838$ be hired within the child welfare system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:43:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.444$ So there were a lot of contracting

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:43:38.444 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.985$ arrangements with behavioral health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8167836444444445

00:43:39.985 --> 00:43:41.785 organizations to bring the family

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

00:43:41.785 --> 00:43:43.646 peer mentor into the behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00{:}43{:}43.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}45.416$ Health Organization and work then

NOTE Confidence: 0.816783644444445

 $00:43:45.416 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.832$ in a Co located

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:43:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.078$ way which was the 2nd strategy

 $00:43:49.078 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.120$ within the child welfare system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00{:}43{:}51.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53.070$ So we've got administrators hammering

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:43:53.070 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.020$ out contracts and memorandums of

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:43:55.085 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.037$ understanding around this position.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:43:57.040 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.665$ We had them working together

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:43:58.665 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.680$ to figure out how they were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:44:00.680 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.445$ We're going to Co locate the family

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:44:03.445 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.761$ peer mentor of behavioral health

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

00:44:05.761 --> 00:44:07.789 service provider employee within

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:44:07.789 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.492$ the child welfare system which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00{:}44{:}10.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}12.824$ a public agency to make sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

00:44:12.824 --> 00:44:14.354 they've got access to records,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:44:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.128$ supervision resources and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

00:44:16.128 --> 00:44:19.344 of course how they were going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:44:19.344 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.799$ supervise these teams across systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:44:21.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.100$ So these were very much administrative

 $00:44:25.100 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.096$ level strategies that that we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:44:27.096 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.737$ seeing and they were not as common

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:44:28.737 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.473$ as we would have expected to see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:44:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.600$ That's what it is a bit of thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:44:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.840$ Then we also saw agencies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:44:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.095$ their administrators and then their

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

00:44:37.095 --> 00:44:38.899 supervisors or clinical program

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:44:38.899 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.332$ directors working together to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

00:44:40.332 --> 00:44:42.467 try to figure out about how will

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:44:42.527 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.321$ we help bridge the systems for

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:44:44.321 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.246$ the parents that are coming in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:44:46.246 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.150$ And so we saw two strategies that agencies

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00{:}44{:}49.223 \to 00{:}44{:}51.755$ were using to promote service access.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00{:}44{:}51.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}54.238$ So again there might have been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:44:54.238 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.310$ contract or memorandum of understanding

 $00:44:56.310 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.198$ to expedite service access.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

00:44:58.200 --> 00:44:59.604 Behavioral health services

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:44:59.604 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.476$ notoriously have wait lists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.680$ Especially in our rural and

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.880$ Appalachian communities where we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

00:45:05.880 --> 00:45:07.280 you know, service deserts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.598$ there could be 6 month or a year wait list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.676$ And we know from substance abuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:12.676 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.720$ if for substance use disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:14.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.550$ if someone's ready to go to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

00:45:16.550 --> 00:45:18.000 treatment that that could be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.946$ you know that that's a real that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:19.946 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.696$ a huge barrier if if they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:21.696 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.116$ not able to get treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:23.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.360$ when they're ready to go to treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.870$ Also in the context of

 $00:45:26.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.078$ the child welfare system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:28.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.754$ parents have 12 months to work a

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:30.754 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.045$ case plan and be able to demonstrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:34.045 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.130$ progress in in their substance use

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:36.130 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.700$ disorder treatment before going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:37.757 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.955$ a judge where the judge makes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:39.955 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.267$ determination about what's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00{:}45{:}41.267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}42.837$ to happen with their children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

00:45:42.840 --> 00:45:44.765 And so if especially considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

00:45:44.765 --> 00:45:47.791 that return to use is common in

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

00:45:47.791 --> 00:45:49.835 substance use disorder treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.816$ you know those wait lists have

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00{:}45{:}52.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54.800$ resounding consequences for families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.900$ And so in order to implement this

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:45:56.900 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.052$ model and make sure that parents

 $00:45:59.052 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.240$ could get treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

00:46:00.240 --> 00:46:02.024 to get treatment quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:02.024 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.916$ demonstrate progress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.455$ and ultimately reunite with their

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

00:46:05.455 --> 00:46:08.959 children before that 12 month time is up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:08.960 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.568$ they really needed to work together

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00{:}46{:}10.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.424$ to see if they could circumvent

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:12.424 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.516$ those wait lists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.275$ which introduces a whole other

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:15.275 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.679$ set of ethical issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:16.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.924$ But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:18.924 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.898$ they really work together to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00{:}46{:}20.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}22.660$ make these work arounds within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:22.660 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.080$ system and the resources that they had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.655$ And we also saw instances

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:26.655 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.600$ of referral protocol.

 $00:46:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.312$ So really being thoughtful

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:29.312 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.596$ and streamlined about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182 00:46:30.600 --> 00:46:31.260 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.240$ how exactly what information do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.494$ need to send to this provider in

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:35.494 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.720$ order to get our parent connected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.917$ Who do I need to talk to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00{:}46{:}38.920 --> 00{:}46{:}41.240$ I need to get this parent at

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:41.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.040$ at the front door,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:42.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.944$ which was much more of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:44.944 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.396$ frontline collaboration strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00{:}46{:}46.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}48.698$ Then we also saw two examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00{:}46{:}48.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}50.805$ of how frontline workers were

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00{:}46{:}50.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}52.840$ working together to collaborate

NOTE Confidence: 0.884858981818182

 $00:46:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.920$ across systems for implementation.

 $00:46:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.158$ So those shared decision making meetings

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00{:}46{:}57.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}00.137$ was a platform for so much case plan

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:47:00.137 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.261$ work and collaboration around the case

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:47:02.332 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.810$ plan and making sure that those services

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:47:04.810 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.260$ that were being offered through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:47:07.260 --> 00:47:09.560 child welfare system like parenting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:47:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.534$ parenting classes and other types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:47:11.534 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.161$ concrete resources that were being

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:47:13.161 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.225$ provided were able to be aligned with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:47:15.225 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.207$ use disorder treatment that they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.87722389733333

 $00:47:17.207 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.268$ getting in the behavioral health system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:47:19.268 --> 00:47:21.596 And then of course data sharing

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:47:21.596 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.200$ which is never easy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:47:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.480$ So there was a lot of time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87722389733333

 $00:47:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.366$ attention focused to how we share

NOTE Confidence: 0.87722389733333

 $00:47:27.366 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.936$ information across these systems in

 $00:47:28.936 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.526$ a way that still respects the dignity

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:47:31.526 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.352$ and confidentiality of the parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:47:33.352 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.520$ But we're able to continue to do our work

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:47:36.596 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.856$ together and make informed decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:47:38.856 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.400$ about child safety and parent progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:47:41.400 --> 00:47:44.718 So we recently, just two weeks ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:47:44.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.500$ that paper got published

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:47:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.835$ in Implementation Science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333 00:47:47.840 --> 00:47:48.424 We also, NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:47:48.424 --> 00:47:50.760 if you're interested in this kind of work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:47:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.658$ we also specified the causal mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00{:}47{:}53.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57.294$ that at least we believe predict or

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00{:}47{:}57.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}00.516$ explain how these types of strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:48:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.440$ align these organizations across systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87722389733333

 $00:48:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.743$ why we think it leads to better

 $00:48:05.743 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.067$ implementation outcomes and why

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00{:}48{:}07.067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}09.020$ we think it leads to better client

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:48:09.020 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.358$ outcomes in the long run.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:48:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.358$ And so if you're interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:48:12.358 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.040$ testing hypotheses in this area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:48:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.068$ come talk to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:48:15.068 --> 00:48:15.839 I would love,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:48:15.840 --> 00:48:18.388 I would love for folks to to join me

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00{:}48{:}18.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}21.030$ and and begin looking at this in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:48:21.030 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.473$ little bit more of a granular level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:48:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.160$ So that's where we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00{:}48{:}25.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}28.519$ Our next steps in this in this program

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:48:28.519 --> 00:48:31.312 of work is to begin trialling some

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:48:31.312 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.449$ of these strategies or trialling and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87722389733333

 $00:48:34.449 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.143$ begin testing programs where we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.87722389733333

 $00{:}48{:}37.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}39.805$ support agency leaders to use these

 $00:48:39.805 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.870$ strategies to use them consistently

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:48:41.870 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.182$ and well to build partnerships

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:48:44.182 --> 00:48:46.537 across systems and understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:48:46.537 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.025$ impact on implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00{:}48{:}48.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}49.660$ And so we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:48:49.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.800$ we've been working together with our

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:48:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.680$ community partners and our research teams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:48:55.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.162$ We've got a proposal that's was

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:48:58.162 --> 00:49:00.094 under review that we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:49:00.094 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.920$ have to resubmit if that's OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:49:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.200$ always more work to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00{:}49{:}04.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}06.720$ So the last thing that I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00{:}49{:}06.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}09.296$ to chat about is your partners and

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:49:09.296 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.782$ you so are the relationships that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87722389733333

 $00:49:11.782 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.678$ are developed in implementation.

00:49:13.680 --> 00:49:14.528 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:49:14.528 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.648$ we've been talking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:49:16.648 --> 00:49:17.920 relationships among clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:49:17.984 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.072$ or relationships among providers

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:49:20.072 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.116$ and organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:49:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.660$ There is another critical relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:49:22.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.065$ here that we need to talk about and

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:49:25.065 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.691$ that is the relationship that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:49:26.691 --> 00:49:28.478 have with our community partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:49:28.480 --> 00:49:30.080 Implementation is is something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333 00:49:30.080 --> 00:49:30.880 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:49:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.840$ the purpose of implementation science

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:49:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.113$ is to understand strategies that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:49:35.113 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.877$ going to work in the real world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87722389733333

 $00:49:36.880 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.761$ And so that means that for a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87722389733333

 $00:49:38.761 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.639$ the work that we do in this field,

 $00:49:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.362$ we need to actually work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:49:42.362 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.880$ organizations in the real world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00:49:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.346$ We have to develop those community

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:49:46.346 --> 00:49:49.076 partnerships and you know, that's not easy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333 00:49:49.080 --> 00:49:50.038 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:49:50.038 --> 00:49:52.912 not every community partner wants to

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:49:52.912 --> 00:49:55.953 partner on research. They take time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

 $00{:}49{:}55.953 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}57.597$ They're very resource intensive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:49:57.600 --> 00:49:59.675 Sometimes it doesn't work out

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:49:59.675 --> 00:50:01.655 according to plan and that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.877223897333333

00:50:01.655 --> 00:50:02.880 be problematic if you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:50:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.497$ if your early career on the 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00{:}50{:}04.497 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>} 00{:}50{:}05.837$ year clock you're thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:50:05.837 --> 00:50:07.317 like can I get publications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:50:07.320 --> 00:50:08.676 can I get a grant proposal,

00:50:08.680 --> 00:50:10.702 can I get grant funding within,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:50:10.702 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.478$ you know the five or six years that

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:50:12.478 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.437$ I have in order to demonstrate this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:50:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.420$ And you know partnership development

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:50:16.420 --> 00:50:18.720 does not happen overnight and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:50:18.720 --> 00:50:20.484 could take five or six years just

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:50:20.484 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.363$ to be at a point when you are ready

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:50:23.363 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.080$ to collaboratively design a study

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00{:}50{:}25.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}27.240$ that you submit for federal funding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:50:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.660$ So these are complicated relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00{:}50{:}29.660 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}50{:}32.780$ and it bears discussion because we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:50:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.760$ to have them in order to advance this field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:50:35.760 --> 00:50:37.000 And so this part is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:50:37.000 --> 00:50:39.674 is more about my experience and my,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:50:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.236$ my thoughts which I welcome to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:50:43.236 --> 00:50:45.248 and disagreement about you know,

 $00:50:45.248 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.956$ but the way that we work together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:50:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.808$ some of the things that I've observed

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:50:48.808 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.163$ over time is that the way that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:50:51.163 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.367$ work together changes depending

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:50:52.367 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.281$ upon how long we work together and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:50:54.281 --> 00:50:56.210 and where where our projects are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:50:56.210 --> 00:50:57.100 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:50:57.100 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.325$ you might have those initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:50:59.325 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.063$ conversations and I was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00{:}51{:}01.063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}03.250$ trying to reflect on what it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:51:03.250 --> 00:51:05.760 like when I first moved to Ohio and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:51:05.760 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.960$ and wanted to develop partnerships

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00{:}51{:}07.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09.280$ with local organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00{:}51{:}09.280 --> 00{:}51{:}11.745$ It's very consistent with Ohio

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:51:11.745 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.717$ State's land grant mission.

00:51:13.720 --> 00:51:15.911 And so thinking about what that looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00{:}51{:}15.911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}17.720$ like and how those conversations

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:51:17.720 --> 00:51:20.192 played out and how, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:51:20.192 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.808$ a nervous 30 something who's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:51:22.808 --> 00:51:24.400 introvert approached, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:51:24.400 --> 00:51:26.200 cold calling organizations about like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:51:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.968$ hey, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:51:26.968 --> 00:51:28.760 do you want to do something together?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:51:28.760 --> 00:51:30.878 Do you want to partner together?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:51:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.932$ And so you might have those initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00{:}51{:}33.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}35.788$ conversations with folks to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:51:35.788 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.158$ to test out the water.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00{:}51{:}37.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}39.134$ And that looks different than when

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00{:}51{:}39.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}41.488$ you have a partner and you're ready

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:51:41.488 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.610$ to begin planning a study and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:51:43.610 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.080$ when you've got to study concept,

 $00:51:45.080 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.760$ when you're really like, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:51:46.760 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.996$ we're going to do this now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:51:48.000 --> 00:51:49.944 How do we move our partnership

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:51:49.944 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.017$ from just these plans to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:51:52.017 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.797$ getting this off the ground?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:51:53.800 --> 00:51:55.078 And then when you get that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:51:55.080 --> 00:51:57.078 those projects off the ground together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:51:57.080 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.994$ there's a whole other set of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:51:58.994 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.007$ challenges that some you could have

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:52:01.007 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.035$ predicted but many that you can't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:52:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.288$ And it doesn't matter if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:52:04.288 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.120$ predicted them or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00{:}52{:}05.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06.644$ They're challenges that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00{:}52{:}06.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}09.320$ going to have to address over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:52:09.320 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.960$ And so I just wanted to.

00:52:11.960 --> 00:52:14.000 One of my partners from PCSAO,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:52:14.000 --> 00:52:14.840 Fawn Goodell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:52:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.200$ she and I went out to Saint Louis

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:52:18.292 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.291$ for the Implementation Research

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:52:20.291 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.200$ Institute back in 2019, I believe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:52:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.300$ And we did a session about working

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:52:25.300 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.040$ with your community partner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:52:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.998$ And so in preparation for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:52:30.000 --> 00:52:30.912 I was like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:52:30.912 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.520$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00{:}52{:}31.520 \longrightarrow 00{:}52{:}33.284$ I think we should talk about like

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:52:33.284 --> 00:52:34.571 what our partnership looked like

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:52:34.571 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.023$ and like all the silly questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:52:36.023 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.680$ I asked you along the way and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:52:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.512$ all the questions you wish I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

00:52:39.512 --> 00:52:42.004 asked you along the way or that

 $00:52:42.004 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.359$ you wish you had asked me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294 00:52:44.360 --> 00:52:45.122 And so we, NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:52:45.122 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.646$ we put together a list of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:52:46.646 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.132$ questions that's on our project

NOTE Confidence: 0.845900188235294

 $00:52:48.132 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.890$ website and I'll share the link

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:52:49.947 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.155$ with you at the end of the presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:52:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.266$ But I think that each step

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

00:52:54.266 --> 00:52:55.319 of that relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:52:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.128$ each stage of that partnership, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:52:58.128 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.152$ there's some really intensive questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:53:00.152 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.160$ that I think we need to ask ourselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00{:}53{:}03.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}05.168$ as scholars and that our partners

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00{:}53{:}05.168 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}53{:}07.719$ also need to be asking themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00{:}53{:}07.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}10.198$ You know, who cares about this work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

00:53:10.200 --> 00:53:11.120 You know when you're first,

 $00:53:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.863$ when you first have an idea or

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00{:}53{:}12.863 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}14.212$ you're first interested in working

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

00:53:14.212 --> 00:53:16.136 with a community partner, like who?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

00:53:16.136 --> 00:53:17.654 Who cares? Who cares?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:53:17.654 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.124$ And not everybody's going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:53:19.124 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.600$ care about your research idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:53:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.292$ And not everything that your partner

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:53:22.292 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.439$ cares about is going to be something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00{:}53{:}24.439 \to 00{:}53{:}26.439$ you want to invest your time and study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:53:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.258$ So I think being really honest

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00{:}53{:}28.258 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}53{:}30.146$ about who cares about your work

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

00:53:30.146 --> 00:53:31.994 is important for directing you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:53:31.994 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.959$ the types of community partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00{:}53{:}33.960 \to 00{:}53{:}36.809$ When you're going to have this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

00:53:36.809 --> 00:53:38.880 supportive and productive partnership,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:53:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.088$ will you partner and when might

 $00:53:41.088 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.192$ you not partner?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:53:42.200 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.000$ Not every question needs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:53:44.000 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.440$ have a community partner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571 00:53:45.440 --> 00:53:46.360 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:53:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.660$ sometimes our theory building questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:53:48.660 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.346$ or if we need to develop evidence around

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

00:53:52.346 --> 00:53:54.398 a relationship between constructs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:53:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.080$ if we can do that using secondary data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:53:57.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.530$ that can be a much more efficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:53:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.020$ approach to establishing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

00:54:01.020 --> 00:54:03.264 evidence base than putting the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:54:03.264 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.240$ into developing those partnerships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00{:}54{:}05.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}07.676$ Likewise, you know, working with a partner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:54:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.228$ you also have to recognize that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:54:10.228 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.683$ are under political pressures that we

00:54:12.683 --> 00:54:14.838 don't necessarily have as scholars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

00:54:14.840 --> 00:54:16.968 And so even though you want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:54:16.968 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.520$ be the subjective observer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:54:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.436$ sometimes that creeps into the conversations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:54:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.208$ And so if there needs to be this

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

00:54:24.208 --> 00:54:26.307 very objective outside view where

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

00:54:26.307 --> 00:54:28.075 that is not accounting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:54:28.080 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.614$ I'm not advocating at all for adjusting

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00{:}54{:}30.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32.521$ your relationships or adjusting your

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:54:32.521 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.153$ findings based on what your partner wants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571 00:54:35.160 --> 00:54:35.560 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:54:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.360$ the science is science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:54:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.560$ The data or the data you got to report them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:54:38.560 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.320$ But there are ways You know you are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:54:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.790$ You become more sensitive to

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

00:54:42.790 --> 00:54:44.669 what your partner needs and the

00:54:44.669 --> 00:54:46.781 pressures that your partner is under

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

00:54:46.781 --> 00:54:47.837 through your partnerships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:54:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.720$ And so if there is a situation where

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:54:50.720 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.396$ you need uncontrovertible evidence or

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:54:53.396 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.184$ you need a decision about something

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:54:55.184 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.439$ that's objective and external,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

00:54:56.440 --> 00:54:57.960 a partnership is not necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:54:57.960 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.480$ going to get you there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00{:}54{:}59.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}02.680$ So I think you need to be thoughtful

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00{:}55{:}02.680 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}55{:}04.912$ about purpose of your partnership

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:55:04.912 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.660$ and whether you can ask your

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00{:}55{:}07.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}10.280$ research question without them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:55:10.280 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.317$ So I'm a big advocate for partnerships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:55:12.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.930$ but I think you need to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:55:13.930 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.410$ thoughtful and make sure that it

 $00:55:15.410 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.880$ is that it really is necessary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00{:}55{:}16.880 \to 00{:}55{:}18.896$ What are you looking for in a partner

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:55:18.896 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.919$ and how can you be a good partner?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:55:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.615$ They're the field is rife with stories

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:55:23.615 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.199$ about academics who come in and do

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:55:26.199 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.233$ research on community partners or on

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00{:}55{:}28.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}30.760$ communities and not with communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85431117392857100:55:30.760 --> 00:55:31.710 And yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00{:}55{:}31.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}34.560$ we have publisher parish and funding

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

00:55:34.560 --> 00:55:36.643 pressures and we sort of have to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00{:}55{:}36.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}38.847$ them on the side for a second and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00{:}55{:}38.847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}41.099$ make sure that we're we're being good

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:55:41.099 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.319$ humans and respectful of our partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854311173928571

 $00:55:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.318$ How do you want to partner?

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

00:55:45.320 --> 00:55:47.880 You know you might not want to Do you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:55:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.022$ If you are in a point in your career

 $00:55:50.022 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.196$ where you need to get publications out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00{:}55{:}52.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}54.208$ you might not be able to be full

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:55:54.208 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.226$ on a community based participatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:55:56.226 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.118$ research approach and see control of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:55:59.118 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.838$ your research agenda to the partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00{:}56{:}01.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}04.560$ You might not be able to be in that place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:04.560 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.184$ but if you are, that might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:06.184 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.039$ the way that you want to partner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

00:56:08.040 --> 00:56:09.958 So just giving some thought to how

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:09.958 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.402$ do you want to partner with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:12.402 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.352$ community agencies is very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.040$ And then what challenges do you expect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00{:}56{:}16.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}17.600$ You can't anticipate them all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:17.600 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.528$ and there will be some doozies that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

00:56:19.528 --> 00:56:21.156 encounter along the way that you're like,

 $00:56:21.160 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.272$ oh, I couldn't have predicted that one that

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:23.272 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.457$ should go in a book somewhere authored

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:25.457 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.399$ by student eventually let long term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.178$ But the more that you can anticipate

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:31.178 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.560$ some of those challenges,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:32.560 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.424$ the more that you can put some protections

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:35.424 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.038$ in place and address them up front.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.920$ Some lessons along the way do no harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00{:}56{:}40.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}42.785$ We are not independent observers

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:42.785 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.280$ when we work with community agencies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.199$ We are actively part of their system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.580$ Even if you have a contract to

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.440$ be an external evaluator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00{:}56{:}52.440 \to 00{:}56{:}54.684$ you are still producing information that

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:54.684 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.588$ your partners are going to use to shape

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:56:57.588 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.273$ their services and program delivery.

 $00:56:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.680$ You are not an independent observer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00{:}57{:}01.680 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}57{:}03.689$ You are in the system in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:57:03.689 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.960$ way shape or form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00{:}57{:}04.960 --> 00{:}57{:}07.196$ And so I think it's we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

00:57:07.196 --> 00:57:08.680 make sure that we are not doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:57:08.737 \dashrightarrow 00:57:10.555$ anything that's going to cause harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:57:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.948$ And so thinking long term and

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:57:12.948 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.540$ strategically and having upfront

NOTE Confidence: 0.942353084

 $00:57:14.605 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.120$ conversations to be to be aware of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:57:19.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.240$ prioritize research questions that matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:57:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.480$ You know one of the best examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:57:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.944$ that I have of this is early on

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00{:}57{:}27.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}30.328$ in the Start in the Start project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:57:30.328 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.280$ we had a partner from the county,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

00:57:33.280 --> 00:57:35.195 the Ohio Association of County

00:57:35.195 --> 00:57:36.727 Behavioral Health Authorities and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:57:36.727 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.840$ they are a membership organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00{:}57{:}38.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}41.220$ We have these regional behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:57:41.220 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.600$ health coalitions that get together

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

00:57:43.673 --> 00:57:45.989 and help do service planning and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:57:45.989 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.160$ priority setting in the regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:57:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.996$ When you were start on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00.57:49.996 \longrightarrow 00.57:50.914$ child welfare side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:57:50.920 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.885$ they were having some difficulty

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

00:57:52.885 --> 00:57:54.064 identifying behavioral HealthPartners

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00{:}57{:}54.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}56.219$ in each of the stark counties to

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:57:56.219 \longrightarrow 00:57:58.040$ work with the child welfare agencies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

00:57:58.040 --> 00:57:58.913 And Sherry Walter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

00:57:58.913 --> 00:58:00.659 who's the executive director of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:00.659 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.370$ Ohio Association of County Behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.416$ Health have already said they should

 $00:58:04.467 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.997$ be talking to their coalitions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.436$ And I bet you that the ones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00{:}58{:}08.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}10.995$ the counties that talk to their coalitions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:11.000 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.200$ they're able to help broker

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.080$ those relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

00:58:14.080 --> 00:58:14.968 And sure enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:14.968 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.744$ those are the preliminary results that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:16.744 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.782$ we have under review right now that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:18.782 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.758$ in those counties that worked with

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:20.758 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.358$ their behavioral health coalitions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00{:}58{:}22.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}24.460$ they were able to implement start with

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:24.460 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.222$ higher fidelity because they were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00{:}58{:}26.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}27.836$ to put those partnerships in place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.096$ So that might not have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:30.096 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.600 \text{ my } #1 \text{ research question},$

 $00:58:31.600 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.400$ but our partners felt very strongly that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00{:}58{:}34.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}37.720$ this is what was going on in the system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.140$ And so that's the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:39.140 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.560$ that we decided to pursue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:40.560 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.642$ That was the hypothesis we decided

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:42.642 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.419$ to test together because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

00:58:44.419 --> 00:58:46.039 was important to our partners,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.946$ Check your ego.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00{:}58{:}46.946 \to 00{:}58{:}48.758$ You don't know all the things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:48.760 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.924$ You have a PhD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

00:58:49.924 --> 00:58:50.797 you're very smart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:50.800 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.760$ but you don't know all the things and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.594$ you don't know what it's like day in

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:54.594 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.472$ and day out to be these clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:56.472 \longrightarrow 00:58:58.560$ and these leaders in the setting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:58:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.240$ the types of pressures that they

 $00{:}59{:}00.297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}01.997$ that they that they experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.290$ And so I think listen more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

00:59:05.290 --> 00:59:08.076 talk and and you know, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

00:59:08.080 --> 00:59:09.860 pay attention and respect what

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:09.860 \dashrightarrow 00:59:11.640$ you're hearing from your partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:11.640 \longrightarrow 00:59:14.250$ This one is controversial and definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

00:59:14.250 --> 00:59:17.080 perhaps not endorsed by my institution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:17.080 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.808$ but sometimes you got to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:18.808 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.960$ some stuff for free.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:19.960 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.828$ You know our college,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:20.828 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.452$ I was at the College of Social

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00{:}59{:}22.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}24.072$ Work before coming to the College

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00{:}59{:}24.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}25.674$ of Medicine and that's our team

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:25.674 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.396$ there at the College of Social Work

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.160$ got involved in start initially.

 $00:59:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.678$ And we did that by saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.956$ hey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

00:59:30.956 --> 00:59:32.888 I know you don't have any money

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:32.888 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.400$ set aside for evaluation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.728$ but we want to be able to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:35.728 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.520$ you in some way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:36.520 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.080$ So maybe there are some surveys

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.429$ that we could do or maybe do some

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:40.429 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.677$ literature reviewing for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

00:59:41.680 --> 00:59:44.120 So some things that are part and parcel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.488$ things that we can do very easily fully

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:46.488 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.797$ within the context of our institutions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:48.800 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.068$ Those are things that can help

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:51.068 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.120$ your partners get started in ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.692$ that are low cost but potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00:59:54.692 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.244$ impactful and helps you get your

 $00:59:56.244 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.618$ foot in the door and demonstrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $00{:}59{:}57.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}59.279$ that you care about your partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

00:59:59.280 --> 01:00:02.280 So you want to put some skin in the game?

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

 $01:00:02.280 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.912$ Compensate time and effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849744

01:00:03.912 --> 01:00:04.320 Likewise, NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01{:}00{:}04.320 \longrightarrow 01{:}00{:}06.920$ you might not have any money at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:00:06.920 --> 01:00:08.959 beginning to offer to your partner

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:08.959 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.600$ to work with you, but when you do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:11.600 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.920$ that is an opportunity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:12.920 \longrightarrow 01:00:14.920$ We can build partners into

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:14.920 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.720$ grant applications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:15.720 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.304$ Yes, it's going to take a chunk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01{:}00{:}17.304 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}18.524$ your budget and you're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:18.524 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.491$ have to work with them to set up a

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:20.491 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.756$ subcontract with your big institution.

 $01:00:21.760 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.420$ But it goes further in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:00:24.420 --> 01:00:26.394 building your partnership and create

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:26.394 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.479$ a safe environment for disagreement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:28.480 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.186$ The last thing is about challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:31.186 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.228$ and Deepa Gopalan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:32.228 \dashrightarrow 01:00:34.286$ Byron Powell and I wrote a paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:34.286 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.671$ a couple years ago about sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:36.671 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.319$ the challenges of working in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:38.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.740$ with community based organizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:40.740 \longrightarrow 01:00:42.555$ in implementation research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:00:42.560 --> 01:00:43.968 And at the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:43.968 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.080$ we were very early career scholars

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:46.080 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.680$ and thinking about the pressures

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:00:47.680 --> 01:00:49.562 and how to balance, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:49.562 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.970$ the needs of your partner and also the

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:52.037 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.795$ needs of assistant professors who were like,

01:00:54.800 --> 01:00:55.751 Oh my gosh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:00:55.751 --> 01:00:57.970 I I need to build my dossier

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:00:58.056 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.114$ so that I can keep my job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667 01:01:00.120 --> 01:01:00.866 And so, NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:01:00.866 --> 01:01:01.612 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:01:01.612 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.070$ we outlined several different

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:01:03.070 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.845$ challenges and some strategies for

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01{:}01{:}04.845 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}06.519$ addressing them in this paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:01:06.520 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.540$ This was an administration policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01{:}01{:}09.540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}12.236$ and mental health services and

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:01:12.236 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.720$ so you know this is it's a it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:01:14.802 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.982$ big lift and it it takes a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01{:}01{:}16.982 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}18.919$ work and it's kind of unsung work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01{:}01{:}18.920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}22.077$ but really important to to push those

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:01:22.077 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.760$ partnerships and the science further.

01:01:24.760 --> 01:01:27.075 And the last thing I know that we're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:01:27.075 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.280$ we're getting a little close on time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:01:29.280 --> 01:01:30.480 So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:01:30.480 --> 01:01:31.680 you're probably wondering

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:01:31.680 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.280$ why is there rainbow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:01:33.280 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.160$ you know in a scholarly presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:01:36.160 --> 01:01:38.000 But I think that partnerships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:01:38.000 --> 01:01:39.344 community based partnerships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:01:39.344 --> 01:01:42.480 you know the good ones where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:01:42.559 \longrightarrow 01:01:45.142$ feel like you can be creative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01{:}01{:}45.142 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}47.161$ you're asking and answering new

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:01:47.161 \longrightarrow 01:01:49.256$ questions that are so important

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:01:49.256 \longrightarrow 01:01:51.369$ to the field and your partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:01:51.369 --> 01:01:53.718 Feel free to come with to you with

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:01:53.718 --> 01:01:55.524 questions and where you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:01:55.524 --> 01:01:57.517 the results of your work informing

 $01:01:57.517 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.569$ practice and you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:01:59.569 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.916$ practice informing the research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:00.916 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.240$ Where your partners feel free to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:02:03.302 --> 01:02:05.302 to you and say I think you're wrong

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:02:05.302 --> 01:02:07.720 and you're on the wrong track and here's why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:07.720 \longrightarrow 01:02:09.800$ Or your interpretation is wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:09.800 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.080$ Those partnerships are rainbow unicorns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:13.080 \longrightarrow 01:02:15.078$ They are rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:15.078 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.076$ They are gift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:02:17.080 --> 01:02:18.188 And so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:18.188 \longrightarrow 01:02:20.572$ those are the ones that I think are

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:20.572 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.840$ going to take our field really into

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01{:}02{:}22.840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}25.215$ the next chapter of implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:02:25.215 --> 01:02:27.199 science and service delivery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:27.200 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.096$ And so I will also leave you with

 $01:02:29.096 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.464$ here a link to some of our work we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

01:02:31.464 --> 01:02:33.560 been using to develop this toolkit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:33.560 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.060$ collaborating across systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:35.060 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.560$ for program implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:36.560 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.164$ We have APDF version.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:38.164 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.011$ We also have an online module with

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:41.011 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.538$ the same content that sort of laid

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:43.538 \longrightarrow 01:02:46.440$ out in a more user friendly platform

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:46.440 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.040$ that's available on our website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:49.040 \longrightarrow 01:02:50.640$ It's me managing the website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:50.640 \longrightarrow 01:02:51.952$ So it's not beautiful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:51.952 \longrightarrow 01:02:54.349$ but there's content there in case you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:54.349 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.557$ interested in learning a little more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667

 $01:02:56.560 \longrightarrow 01:02:59.800$ So I think I went over and I apologize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717301666667 01:02:59.800 --> 01:03:00.600 So maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.69054978

 $01:03:02.880 \longrightarrow 01:03:06.000$ discussion. I think you're fine, Alicia.