WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:57:52" NOTE recognizability:0.924

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:00.760$  Here to be here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00{:}00{:}02.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}04.838$  and I'm just letting everyone know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.018$  I'm pipelining in from my private

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:07.018 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.599$  practice office in New York City where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:09.599 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.717$  I maintain a very small practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.000$  And despite the the various other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:14.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.600$  things that I spend my time doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

00:00:16.600 --> 00:00:18.640 Because I, you know, and I say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.400$  because I'm a clinician obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:20.400 \dashrightarrow 00:00:25.340$  as well as a scientist and very pleased to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.275$  be able to talk to you today about the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:29.275 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.755$  you know, sort of the state of science

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:32.755 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.672$  in our field and you know where

00:00:35.672 --> 00:00:38.360 where I think the field is going,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.000$  hopefully the signal will hold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.800$  We're in the midst of a giant rainstorm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00{:}00{:}43.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}45.915$  which I think is affecting you guys as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

00:00:45.920 --> 00:00:49.018 But we just had a flash flood warning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

00:00:49.018 --> 00:00:51.962 So I'm going to share my screen now

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00{:}00{:}51.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.066$  and spend some time talking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:00:55.066 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.862$  landscape and then hopefully we'll have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00{:}00{:}57.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.005$  some time for some questions and discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327808

 $00:01:01.010 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.450$  So let's see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:01:04.490 --> 00:01:07.087 Are you guys seeing my presentation now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:01:07.090 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.250$  Yes, yes. OK, fantastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:01:09.250 --> 00:01:11.836 I'm just going to get you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:01:11.836 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.327$  out of my way a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:01:13.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.298$  And then will take it away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:01:16.298 --> 00:01:17.908 So I've spent the bulk

 $00{:}01{:}17.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.850$  of my career as is mini,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:01:19.850 --> 00:01:22.736 you know introduced it doing clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:01:22.736 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.209$  multi single site and multi site trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:01:26.210 --> 00:01:28.548 The single site trials I spent most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:01:28.548 --> 00:01:30.809 of my career in New York City,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:01:30.810 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.014$  working with agencies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:01:33.014 --> 00:01:35.769 communities in Harlem and Fort

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:01:35.769 --> 00:01:38.049 Washington and Upper Manhattan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}01{:}38.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}40.482$  mostly minoritized populations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:01:40.482 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.522$  testing different types of interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:01:43.530 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.162$  both behavioral and also combined

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:01:48.162 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.283$  pharmacotherapy interventions to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}01{:}50.283 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52.548$ address trauma, post traumatic stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:01:52.550 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.906$  and substance use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:01:53.906 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.786$  So the bulk of what I'm talking about

00:01:56.786 --> 00:01:59.540 kind of comes from my experiences here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}01{:}59.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}02{:}410$  although I have moved into the sphere

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.486$  of data science and using big data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:05.490 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.221$  which in our field you know constitutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:09.221 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.202$  pooling together clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:02:11.202 --> 00:02:13.482 that are usually somewhere between

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:13.482 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.648$  50 and 100 participants per trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:16.650 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.810$  So the big data allows us to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.600$  at sample sizes of like 4500 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.730$  and going up into the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}02{:}24.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.530$  So I'll be talking about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.810$  as I think a promising Ave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:28.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.046$  for the future in before I get fully started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:02:34.050 --> 00:02:35.094 First of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:35.094 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.530$  want to do a small land acknowledgement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.116$  just that where I am in New Jersey

00:02:41.116 --> 00:02:43.140 and also in New York City where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:02:43.205 --> 00:02:45.010 do our scholarship and research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:02:45.010 --> 00:02:47.962 it stands on the land that is ancestral

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:47.962 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.129$  territory of the Lenape peoples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.010$  And we pay respect to indigenous

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:02:53.010 --> 00:02:54.930 peoples throughout this diaspora,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:02:54.930 --> 00:02:57.022 past, present and future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:02:57.022 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.160$  honoring all those who've been historically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:03:00.238 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.398$  and systematically disenfranchised

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:03:02.398 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.452$  And acknowledge that New York,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:03:04.452 --> 00:03:06.450 New Jersey and the United States

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}03{:}06.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08.495$  was founded upon exclusions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}03{:}08.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.079$  erasures of indigenous peoples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:03:10.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.340$  And that's the disclosure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:03:11.340 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.600$  I would like to make.

 $00:03:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.785$  I have no other disclosures other than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:03:15.785 --> 00:03:19.542 my my career long funding with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}03{:}19.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.597$  the National Institutes of Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:03:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.120$  So just to bring us right to the our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:03:25.120 --> 00:03:28.720 our who we work with and what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:03:28.720 --> 00:03:31.030 know what it feels like for patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:03:31.030 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.230$  for individuals with lived experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:03:34.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.374$  who have both traumatic stress related

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}03{:}37.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40.270$  problems and also substance use problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:03:40.270 --> 00:03:42.910 I know it ravages my life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:03:42.910 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.110$  But when I'm high I don't have to feel it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:03:45.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.568$  The trauma in my body, in my soul.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:03:48.568 --> 00:03:50.888 I can disappear a few hours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:03:50.888 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.022$  a few days, a few weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:03:53.022 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.566$  a break from those relentless memories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:03:55.570 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.104$  Well, at least I'll know exactly how

 $00{:}03{:}58.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00.929$  I will feel when I use substances,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:04:00.930 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.010$  good, bad or ugly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:04:03.010 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.530$  Otherwise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:04:03.530 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.130$  I'm all over the place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}04{:}05.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}08.328$  These are some of the sentiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:04:08.328 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.014$  of individuals who we strive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:04:11.014 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.724$  to help with their conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}04{:}13.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}18.149$  And I'm very happy to say as as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:04:18.149 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.082$  starting point that we have a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}04{:}21.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22.810$  of answers that we didn't have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

00:04:22.810 --> 00:04:23.374 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}04{:}23.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26.082$  in over the decades of all of us who've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00{:}04{:}26.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.130$  been and doing research in this these areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:04:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.769$  it's really led to a groundswell of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148234

 $00:04:31.769 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.648$  knowledge that I'm going to share with you.

00:04:34.650 --> 00:04:36.940 You know sort of the big picture of what do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}04{:}36.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39.279$  we know in terms of comorbidity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:04:39.279 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.584$  consequences, what do we know in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:04:41.584 --> 00:04:44.670 of the science of integrated PTSD&SUD

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:04:44.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.971$  care and then how do we apply it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:04:47.971 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.810$  in integrated treatment frameworks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:04:49.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.099$  And really the challenge for all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:04:52.099 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.696$  us who are are continuing to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:04:54.696 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.716$  in this field is dissemination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:04:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.268$  dissemination, adoption, dissemination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}04{:}58.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01.880$  Because even though we know a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.576$  there is still a gap and a barrier

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:04.576 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.184$  between what we do know as from our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:07.184 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.905$  science and from our clinical trials and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:09.905 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.250$  what is being practiced in the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:12.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.130$  Even though we do know a lot more.

 $00:05:15.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.578$  And you know from your agencies and working

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:17.578 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.488$  with agencies the concepts of trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.458$  informed care and the importance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:21.458 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.990$  doing work in these spaces and bringing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.915$  together people with substance use

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:25.915 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.424$  and mental health issues broadly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:28.424 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.020$  But we still have a lot of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:32.020 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.604$  a lot of room to still do work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:36.604 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.268$  understand more about dissemination

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:38.268 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.100$  and implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:05:39.100 --> 00:05:39.664 So first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:39.664 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.638$  what do we know about the scope

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:41.638 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.189$  of the problem And this is a slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:44.189 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.340$  that everyone has seen that speaks

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:46.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.100$  to opioid overdose deaths,

 $00:05:48.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.270$  which is one way that we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.028$  you know, come to understand this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}05{:}52.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.010$  the significance of the problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:55.010 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.990$  of addiction in our country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:57.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.950$  And these numbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:05:58.950 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.650$  you know are now upwards of 100,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:01.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.642$  deaths per year through due to opioids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:05.642 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.472$  prescription drugs, synthetics, fentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:07.472 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.478$  It's really shifted over to fentanyl

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}06{:}10.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.308$  and now other other substances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:06:13.310 --> 00:06:15.592 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:15.592 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.648$  we and and as a nation we've come to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:06:18.648 --> 00:06:21.354 some understanding of the importance of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.314$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:22.314 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.176$  D stigmatizing addiction as as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:25.176 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.675$  rates of deaths of white young men

 $00:06:28.675 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.400$  actually were rising most dramatically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}06{:}31.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}34.880$  And I think really tapped the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.010$  nation's understanding in a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:06:37.010 --> 00:06:39.666 that the substance use, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:39.666 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.031$  criminalization of substance use and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:06:42.031 --> 00:06:44.907 problems that have faced our black

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:06:44.907 --> 00:06:46.780 and brown communities, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:46.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.250$  have been going on for decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:06:48.250 --> 00:06:50.170 You know as since the Rockefeller

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:06:50.170 --> 00:06:52.042 laws were in place, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}06{:}52.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.930$  prior to Nixon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:52.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.398$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:53.398 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.610$  so it's been going on for a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:06:55.610 --> 00:06:57.444 But it I still think it's good

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:06:57.444 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.482$  that we have more recognition about

 $00:06:59.482 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.462$  the importance of addiction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00{:}07{:}01.462 --> 00{:}07{:}02.930$  and facing addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.798$  And just to show that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:05.798 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.886$  in the curves that we see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:07.890 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.340$  there's sort of it's there's a coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:10.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.247$  down in terms of opioid overdose deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:13.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.650$  It's still a steep increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.570$  for for white populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:17.570 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.650$  But what we see is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:20.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.650$  rise for individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:23.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.080$  you know from Latinx and African American

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:27.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.889$  backgrounds continues to steeply increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:29.890 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.432$  And with the fentanyl and also cocaine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:33.432 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.609$  combinations that have really been lethal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:07:36.610 --> 00:07:39.410 this is not a problem that we've cracked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:39.410 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.192$  the code on and we still need to do

 $00{:}07{:}42.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.614$  much more and really be focusing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:44.614 \dashrightarrow 00:07:47.568$  on our our black and brown communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:47.570 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.250$  So more broadly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:49.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.810$  however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

00:07:49.810 --> 00:07:50.930 than mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:50.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.818$  we also need to understand more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:54.818 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.850$  about the epidemiology of substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:07:57.850 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.490$  use in its relationship to other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:08:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.810$  mental health conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:08:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.113$  M5 allows us to have a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198386

 $00:08:04.113 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.100$  of a continuum

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:05.186 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.098$  model when we think about how substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}08{:}08.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.310$  use what role it plays in the lives of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:08:11.310 --> 00:08:13.548 individuals in our country, for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:13.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.798$  And so we have a more continuous model

 $00:08:15.798 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.250$  that has helped us look at categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}08{:}18.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.660$  of impaired control, social problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:20.660 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.935$  risky use and physical dependence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.020$  And we also can think about levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:27.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.036$  severity of use from at risk all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:30.036 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.900$  way up through severe severe addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:32.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.756$  And this continuum model has helped us

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:35.756 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.298$  to re to understand how many people in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}08{:}39.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41.728$  our country are affected by substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:08:41.728 --> 00:08:44.220 use of all kinds and we include

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}08{:}44.293 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}08{:}46.579$  alcohol here and illicit drug use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.898$  cannabis use disorders down the line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:08:49.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.220$  But you see that we're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:08:51.220 --> 00:08:56.764 we're dealing with 40 million people who,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:08:56.770 --> 00:08:58.765 you know are part of our our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}08{:}58.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}02.430$  our pool of individuals who are

 $00:09:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.870$  struggling with substance use

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:04.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.168$  at some level of of harmfulness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.742$  And I show this even though

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:09.742 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.090$  it's a little bit old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:11.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.680$  older with a different definition

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.234$  of substance use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:09:15.240 --> 00:09:17.840 So it's a much lower number from 2016,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}09{:}17.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.108$  but basically to show the disparity

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:20.108 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.633$  between those who are struggling with

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:09:22.633 --> 00:09:25.459 substance use problems and those are

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:09:25.459 --> 00:09:27.599 actually receiving any kind of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.600$  which is a small fraction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.600$  And that continues to this day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:33.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.760$  including medications for opioid use

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.716$  treatment and other kinds of treatment.

 $00:09:38.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.520$  And and it still remains true

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}09{:}40.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42.360$  that people don't seek treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}09{:}42.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.936$  A lot of people don't seek treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:44.936 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.046$  because they're not ready to stop

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:47.046 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.400$  using a lot of barriers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.600$  have to do with access to care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:52.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.720$  And then stigma is another huge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:09:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.988$  huge area that we need to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}09{:}57.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.728$  better to sort of help, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:00.728 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.996$  encourage people to seek treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:02.996 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.222$  Because we do have a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}10{:}05.222 \to 00{:}10{:}06.720$  treatments that actually work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:06.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.617$  whether they're from a medication point of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:10:08.617 --> 00:10:10.760 view or from behavioral or combination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:10.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.776$  which I'm going to tell you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.788$  So people,

00:10:13.788 --> 00:10:16.308 another reason that people don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}10{:}16.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19.698$  seek or receive adequate care is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:10:19.700 --> 00:10:21.620 people who have comorbidities often

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:21.620 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.259$  don't get the care that they need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:10:24.260 --> 00:10:26.220 So those who live with a mental illness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:10:26.220 --> 00:10:27.036 for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:10:27.036 --> 00:10:30.300 are at a much higher likelihood of using

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:30.377 \dashrightarrow 00:10:33.380$  drugs and alcohol than those who don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:33.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.740$  So here this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:10:35.740 --> 00:10:39.366 you know 50% of those with SMI and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:39.366 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.134$  40% of those with acute mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}10{:}43.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.219$ illness disorders have used illicit

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}10{:}45.219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}47.979$  drugs in the past year compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:47.980 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.684$  only 17% of adults 18 years of age

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:50.684 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.259$  or older with no mental illness.

 $00:10:53.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.312$  So this is recent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:55.312 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.662$  And so the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:10:56.662 --> 00:10:59.238 the main point to get across the big

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:10:59.238 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.400$  elephant in the room that unfortunately

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:11:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.339$  continues to be you know plague ourselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:11:04.340 --> 00:11:05.016 Our systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00{:}11{:}05.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07.382$  our systems of care are not set

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:11:07.382 --> 00:11:09.460 up to address comorbidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:11:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.410$  but comorbidity is the norm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:11:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.856$  not the exception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:11:13.856 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.230$  And so we need to really get

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:11:17.338 --> 00:11:19.554 that through to you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

00:11:19.554 --> 00:11:21.850 in terms of our thinking in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:11:21.929 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.927$  to really be able to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:11:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.070$  our nation's problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:11:25.070 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.116$  So for example, even in,

00:11:27.116 --> 00:11:28.022 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:11:28.022 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.287$  the big rollout of medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:11:30.287 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.629$  for opioid use disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92250425

 $00:11:32.630 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.186$  most of the people that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:11:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.590$  opioid use disorders also have severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:11:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.701$  trauma and trauma related conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:11:39.701 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.365$  and depression and other mental health

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}11{:}42.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}46.164$  conditions and as well as physical problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}11{:}46.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48.298$  But our our care systems are really not

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:11:48.298 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.810$  set up to deliver these kinds of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:11:50.810 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.568$  So if we can deliver medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:11:52.570 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.582$  which is really lifesaving and very

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}11{:}55.582 \rightarrow 00{:}11{:}57.582$  important, don't get me wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:11:57.582 --> 00:12:00.645 But we still have to figure out how

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:00.645 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.595$  to get mental health interventions

 $00:12:02.595 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.385$  that work into these populations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}12{:}05.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.580$  also how to work with those 50% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:08.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.610$  people who aren't ready to get help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:10.610 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.507$  And so I just was heard a talk from one

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:12:13.507 --> 00:12:15.529 of our postdocs at Columbia University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:12:15.530 --> 00:12:16.925 Manesh Gopal Dus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:12:16.925 --> 00:12:20.530 who does work on adherence and looks at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:12:20.530 --> 00:12:22.866 looked at one of the CTN Xbox

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:12:22.866 --> 00:12:26.495 studies and looked at adherence to

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:26.495 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.605$  opioid use treatment in the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:12:30.610 --> 00:12:32.066 And basically, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:32.066 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.886$  people were adherent early on

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:33.886 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.168$  and then over the course of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}12{:}36.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38.030$  became less and less adherent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:38.030 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.058$  And those only those who actually got

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}12{:}42.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}45.586$  stayed with some types of medications.

 $00:12:45.590 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.590$  The the rates of other treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.394$  increased dramatically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:49.394 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.208$  And I suspect that those other treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:12:52.208 --> 00:12:54.712 were some of the behavioral other

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:54.712 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.752$  interventions for mental health conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:12:56.811 --> 00:12:59.107 that may have helped them along the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:12:59.110 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.014$  But we we we don't have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:13:01.014 --> 00:13:02.629 magic bullet at this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:02.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.933$  And so we need to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:04.933 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.670$  have all hands on deck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:13:06.670 --> 00:13:08.868 The other thing I will just mention

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:08.868 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.028$  that we learn from the pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}13{:}11.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13.354$  all of us learned in real time

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}13{:}13.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15.498$  is that there was this collision

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:15.498 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.983$  And so we all know about the

 $00:13:18.063 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.709$  inequalities and access to care for

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}13{:}20.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.680$  for COVID related health conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.956$  We also were dealing with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:13:28.956 --> 00:13:30.594 epidemic of opioid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.722$  of the opioid epidemic and we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:13:33.722 --> 00:13:36.817 all aware of the epidemic of racism

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:36.817 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.571$  with George Floyd's killing and others

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:39.571 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.400$  that you know brought our attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.736$  So we've talked about the idea that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:45.736 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.560$  were really a condition of syndemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.927$  which is the collision of multiple epidemics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118 00:13:51.930 --> 00:13:52.840 And I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:13:52.840 --> 00:13:53.750 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:53.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.025$  we've argued that traumatic stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:13:56.025 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.507$  really forms one of the core elements

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:13:58.507 --> 00:14:00.883 that we hear a lot of people now

 $00:14:00.883 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.945$  talking about social determinants of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}14{:}02.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.342$  health influencing the likelihood

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:14:04.342 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.286$  that people are going to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:14:06.286 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.206$  the kind of care that they need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:14:08.210 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.866$  And certainly when we think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:14:09.866 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.970$  social determinants of stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:14:10.970 --> 00:14:13.959 we also have to recognize that trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}14{:}13.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17.138$  goes part and parcel with with those

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:14:17.138 --> 00:14:19.358 social determinants like lack of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:14:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.280$  you know, access to healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118 00:14:21.280 --> 00:14:21.851 housing, NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:14:21.851 --> 00:14:22.422 poverty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}14{:}22.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.629$  nutrition and all those things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:14:25.629 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.421$  people who are of color and also come

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:14:28.421 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.680$  from lower socioeconomic circumstances are,

 $00:14:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.920$  are dealing with and facing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00{:}14{:}33.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36.370$  So turning our attention to one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:14:36.370 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.178$  the bigger trauma related conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:14:38.178 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.400$  that many of you here I know are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:14:41.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.420$  interested in and it contributed

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:14:43.420 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.210$  greatly to the science of this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:14:46.210 --> 00:14:48.550 one of the problem Post traumatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:14:48.550 --> 00:14:50.785 stress affects 8 million people

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:14:50.785 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.545$  in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:14:52.550 --> 00:14:54.188 I'm not going to go into all

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

00:14:54.188 --> 00:14:55.629 of the criterion risk factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:14:55.630 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.024$  but we know that you know social

NOTE Confidence: 0.940939035294118

 $00:14:59.024 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.090$  determinants are are some of them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:15:02.179 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.105$  also you know the predisposition and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}15{:}05.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.602$  I'll talk a little bit about some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:15:07.602 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.432$  the pathways to addiction that overlap

 $00:15:09.432 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.926$  for those with PTSD and substance use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:15:11.926 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.676$  But we also know that more women than men

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:15:15.676 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.309$  develop PTSD when exposed to a trauma Puma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:15:19.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.050$  But still it cost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}15{:}21.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}26.630$  It exacts a huge toll on our economy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}15{:}26.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30.067$  the kinds of symptoms we're talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:15:30.070 --> 00:15:32.366 You know, when we think about, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}15{:}32.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34.306$  certainly the hallmark symptoms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:15:34.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.775$  PTSD are being haunted by memories of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:15:37.775 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.229$  and triggers of the traumatic event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}15{:}41.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}44.668$  We also see intrusion symptoms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:15:44.670 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.960$  particularly avoidance symptoms that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}15{:}47.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52.285$  include and often do include the use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:15:52.285 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.280$  substances as well as the HPA access,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:15:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.455$  arousal and guilt feelings and

 $00:15:58.455 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.128$  cognitive and dissociative symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}16{:}00.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}02.204$  So it's a it's a pick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}16{:}02.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.732$  It's a real mix of of diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:16:05.732 --> 00:16:08.399 and what we are are symptoms that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:16:08.399 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.299$  people are struggling with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:16:10.300 --> 00:16:12.631 But often I think it's important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:16:12.631 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.071$  mention people who have PTSD or trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:16:15.071 --> 00:16:17.333 don't necessarily think that they do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}16{:}17.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.620$  So part of our interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:16:19.620 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.845$  many of our interventions focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}16{:}21.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.180$  on providing identification and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:16:24.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.060$  psychoeducation around the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}16{:}27.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.358$  And the fact that these disparate

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:16:29.358 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.405$  symptoms that are very dysregulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:16:31.405 --> 00:16:33.816 for a person actually constitutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:16:33.816 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.645$  something that is a a common

 $00:16:36.645 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.670$  reaction to an uncommon event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:16:38.670 --> 00:16:41.925 So even though many people

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:16:41.925 --> 00:16:43.750 could develop PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:16:43.750 --> 00:16:47.390 most people are quite resilient and don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:16:47.390 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.926$  But for those who do, we we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:16:49.926 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.866$  we know that there are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:16:51.870 --> 00:16:52.736 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:16:52.736 --> 00:16:55.334 the the likelihood of them developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}16{:}55.334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58.068$  more than one condition is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:16:58.068 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.303$  again more common than not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.208$  And so some of the numbers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}17{:}03.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.534$  you know well are and it doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}17{:}05.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07.688$  matter what kind of study you do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}17{:}07.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.915$  whether it's an epidemiologic study

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:09.915 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.331$  like the epidemiologic catchment area

00:17:12.331 --> 00:17:16.320 or knees arc or that you guys you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.388$  know obviously know very well or it

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:17:19.388 --> 00:17:21.558 is clinical trials in communities

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:21.558 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.100$  or its community assessments in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:24.100 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.424$  addiction related facilities or

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:26.424 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.680$  assessments in mental health facilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.640$  No matter which way you scratch it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:17:31.640 --> 00:17:32.560 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}17{:}32.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.360$  basically we know that the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.240$  conditions Co occur and are ubiquitous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:38.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.688$  And so here are some of the numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:40.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.021$  And we know that six people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:17:43.021 --> 00:17:45.797 have PTSD are 6 times more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:45.797 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.927$  to develop alcohol use disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.030 \ 2 \ 1/2 \ times more likely to develop$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:50.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.530$  a substance use disorder.

 $00:17:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.810$  And here you see for men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:53.810 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.240$  60% are likely to have at least more

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:17:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.440$  than three cooccurring diagnoses

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:18:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.470$  and similarly not not quite as

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:18:03.470 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.910$  a high rates for women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306 00:18:04.910 --> 00:18:05.270 But.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:18:05.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.070$  So when we're working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:18:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.762$  someone with either trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:18:08.762 --> 00:18:10.706 PTSD or substance use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:18:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.746$  we can know that they're likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:18:13.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.445$  more likely than not may have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

00:18:16.445 --> 00:18:18.081 cooccurring condition and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00{:}18{:}18.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20.547$  they may also struck be struggling

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:18:20.547 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.255$  with another depression mood

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:18:22.255 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.270$  disorder or an anxiety disorder.

00:18:24.270 --> 00:18:27.576 So how we understand the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:18:27.576 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.210$  between PTSD and substance use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:18:30.210 \dashrightarrow 00:18:33.162$  We've made a lot of gains in that regard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:18:33.170 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.634$  And the two most common ways we

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:18:35.634 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.351$  think about the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:18:37.351 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.687$  are self medication model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:18:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.586$  which is the idea that substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909306

 $00:18:41.586 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.850$  use is used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:18:42.925 --> 00:18:44.609 manage the PTSD symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:18:44.610 --> 00:18:46.250 So like the avoidance symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}18{:}46.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}48.930$  the hyper arousal, the night mares,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}18{:}48.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51.150$  people may use particular substances

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:18:51.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.110$  to help tamp down those symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:18:54.110 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.942$  The high risk model is the idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:18:56.942 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.622$  susceptibility that you know if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}18{:}58.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}00.729$ you're a substance user you may be

 $00:19:00.802 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.832$  for whatever various of reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:19:02.832 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.862$  more likely to be traumatized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:19:04.870 --> 00:19:07.110 Let's say you you're are doing high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:19:07.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.050$  things and you're in in the company

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:19:09.050 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.030$  of others who are doing harvesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:19:11.030 --> 00:19:14.778 So you then may be victimized either

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:19:14.778 --> 00:19:18.722 related to drug related crime or you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}19{:}18.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21.545$  know as saults and things like that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:19:21.545 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.361$  that would then lead you to develop PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:19:24.361 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.767$  The studies that have really attempted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:19:26.767 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.352$  to look at comparisons between the self

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:19:30.352 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.656$  medication model and susceptibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}19{:}32.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34.938$  models largely continue to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:19:34.938 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.660$  back to self medication as the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:19:38.660 --> 00:19:41.680 likely the most common pathway.

 $00:19:41.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.528$  But what we do know is that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:19:44.528 --> 00:19:46.980 is a convergent, rich,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:19:46.980 --> 00:19:49.590 multidisciplinary literature that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:19:49.590 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.996$  describes cross sensitization of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:19:51.996 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.556$  stress and reward neural pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:19:54.556 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.508$  that promote and then maintain the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}19{:}57.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}59.818$  relationship between PTSD and Sud.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:19:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.826$  The role that early life adversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}20{:}02.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06.296$  and the dysregulation of HBA access

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:06.296 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.048$  and dopaminergic systems that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}20{:}09.048 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}20{:}11.800$  predisposes individuals to internalizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}20{:}11.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.722$  and externalizing disorders and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:14.722 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.138$  behavioral styles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:20:16.140 --> 00:20:18.220 And personalities that are shared

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:18.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:20:990$  between the two disorders and not to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:20.990 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.870$  mention G wash studies that indicate

00:20:24.870 --> 00:20:28.118 that polymorphisms are kindling for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:20:28.118 --> 00:20:30.267 the relationship between PTSD&SUD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:30.267 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.556$  And there have been a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:20:32.556 --> 00:20:35.024 great review papers that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:20:35.024 --> 00:20:38.818 kind of cover the sort of pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:38.820 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.260$  pathways that we can understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:40.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.654$  But the bottom line is this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:42.654 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.340$  these are complicated problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}20{:}44.340 \to 00{:}20{:}46.853$  they require all hands on deck and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:46.853 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.059$  there's room for everyone doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:20:49.060 --> 00:20:49.580 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:20:49.580 --> 00:20:51.660 you can find some piece of yourself in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}20{:}51.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.060$  in many of these explanatory pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:20:54.060 --> 00:20:56.260 And this is true for other you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:56.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.620$  conditions,

 $00:20:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.420$  mental health conditions that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:20:58.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.099$  trying to study and understand more about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:21:01.100 --> 00:21:04.010 But it's certainly true for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:04.010 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.980$  this comorbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:21:04.980 --> 00:21:07.744 And so regardless of the, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:07.744 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.254$  you know, the explanatory pathways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:21:10.260 --> 00:21:12.535 what we do know is that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:12.535 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.240$  that have both have more severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:21:15.240 --> 00:21:16.340 psychiatric problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:16.340 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.373$  They are of course less likely to seek help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}21{:}19.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.700$  They have higher dropout rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:21:21.700 --> 00:21:24.700 When they do seek help,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.460$  they probably have less access to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:27.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.461$  care because of the barriers between

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:30.461 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.192$  our separated funding structures

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:32.192 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.120$  and and the way that our our

 $00{:}21{:}35.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}38.135$  programs are set up and thus are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}21{:}38.135 \longrightarrow 00{:}21{:}40.760$  at increased rates of relapse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.232$  And finally and to close off the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:44.232 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.790$  epidemiology kind of section of this talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.163$  you know it's often the case that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}21{:}50.163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52.002$  people with substance use present

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:52.002 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.270$  with the most complex trauma profile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:21:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.942$  So the I CD10 and 11 have have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:21:58.942 --> 00:22:00.350 complex trauma in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:22:00.350 --> 00:22:03.500 Our system DSM55 do does not but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:22:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.053$  regardless because of all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:22:06.053 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.833$  dysregulation and other symptoms that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:22:08.833 --> 00:22:13.442 I've described our our clients also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:22:13.442 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.594$  have complicated lives with ongoing trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00:22:17.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.656$  There's interpersonal trauma IPVI.

 $00:22:19.656 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.908$  Know that that at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:22:21.908 --> 00:22:23.678 you have many folks here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:22:23.680 --> 00:22:24.666 Kate Walsh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:22:24.666 --> 00:22:27.131 Tammy Sullivan and their their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

00:22:27.131 --> 00:22:30.129 teams that have been working for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278757

 $00{:}22{:}30.129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.519$  decades on trying to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:22:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.360$  IPV, specifically in relation

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:22:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.041$  to substance use disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}22{:}38.041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}40.012$  difficulties in relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:22:40.012 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.340$  and trust impairments and emotion

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}22{:}43.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.768$  regulation and dissociative disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:22:45.768 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.321$  that kind of complicate the picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:22:49.321 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.303$  and make it a challenge to treat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:22:52.310 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.385$  Nonetheless, I'm here to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:22:54.385 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.593$  you the good news that all of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:22:57.593 --> 00:23:00.050 efforts have not been in vain and

 $00{:}23{:}00.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03.330$  over decades we actually have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}23{:}03.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07.054$  robust science in of of integrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:23:07.054 --> 00:23:11.385 PTSD Sud care that I'm going to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:23:11.385 --> 00:23:14.250 my best to summarize you know for

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:23:14.250 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.290$  us in the next section of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:23:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.490$  I will say that we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:23:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.878$  we are all familiar with the concept

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}23{:}22.878 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25.656$  of trauma informed care which sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}23{:}25.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}28.600$  of speaks to making agencies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:23:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.880$  providers and institutions recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:23:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.031$  that many of the clients who come to

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}23{:}35.031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37.907$  us for whatever different reasons in

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}23{:}37.907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40.097$  in through through many different

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:23:40.097 --> 00:23:42.696 doors come to us with trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:23:42.696 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.657$  And that the way that we organize

00:23:45.657 --> 00:23:47.740 our program, our programming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:23:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.410$  the way that we present ourselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:23:50.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.330$  to our clients as as caregivers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:23:53.330 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.410$  you know should have recognition of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:23:56.410 --> 00:23:58.966 Whether it's how your waiting room

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:23:58.966 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.450$  looks or how the the consulting

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:24:01.450 --> 00:24:03.850 room looks or how you approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:24:03.850 --> 00:24:06.209 you know how you train your staff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}24{:}06.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08.215$  Because also you know particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:08.215 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.961$  now with a peer driven system that

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}24{:}10.961 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}13.278$  we have for delivering a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:13.278 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.872$  the first line care for people

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}24{:}15.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17.624$  with substance use disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:24:17.630 --> 00:24:18.770 We're talking about people

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:24:18.770 --> 00:24:19.910 who have lived experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:19.910 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.742$  who also have their own trauma

 $00:24:22.742 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.630$  histories and backgrounds and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}24{:}24.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27.637$  so being mindful of how they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:24:27.637 --> 00:24:30.024 potentially being re traumatized and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:30.024 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.070$  also need support is very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}24{:}33.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}35.352$  What I'm going to be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:35.352 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.341$  is not that but actually the evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:38.341 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.273$  based and treatment development

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:40.273 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.616$  that we've done over over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:24:42.616 --> 00:24:43.948 So when, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.282$  I first started in the field in

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:47.282 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.591$  the late 90s and early 2000s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:24:50.591 --> 00:24:53.726 the concept of phase based

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}24{:}53.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}56.280$  approaches for PTSD was key.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:24:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.878$  For those of you who may not have read,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:25:00.880 --> 00:25:03.160 you know, Judith Herman's book,

00:25:03.160 --> 00:25:06.864 I I still recommend it as a kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:06.864 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.048$  of encyclopedia of of understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:09.048 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.731$  you know trauma and it and and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:12.731 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.477$  way that we can think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.851$  conceptualizing the care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:15.851 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.593$  And so she emphasized a stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:25:18.593 --> 00:25:21.380 model that first you would work

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}25{:}21.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23.102$  on stabilization and these kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:25:23.102 --> 00:25:24.198 of skills would do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.320$  would be involved in psychoeducation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:25:26.320 --> 00:25:29.638 As I spoke about skills training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:29.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.120$  affect regulation, distress tolerance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.685$  the processoriented second phase is

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}25{:}35.685 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}25{:}39.490$  really the delving into the trauma more

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:39.490 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.380$  specifically and that involves the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.688$  trauma focused treatment approaches

 $00:25:44.688 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.104$  such as prolonged exposure in which you

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}25{:}48.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.655$  are working in a manualized fashion

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:51.655 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.099$  very systematically to desensitize

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:25:54.100 --> 00:25:57.076 the individual through you know sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:25:57.076 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.138$  of exposure to the traumatic memory

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}26{:}00.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}03.575$  and then working on a bottom up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:26:03.580 --> 00:26:06.247 you know allowing the person to experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:26:06.247 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.779$  the fear and to live through it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}26{:}08.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}12.945$  And I didn't realize in a systematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:26:12.945 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.766$  fashion that their their symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00{:}26{:}15.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}18.476$  can reduce through fear habituation

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:26:18.476 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.634$  and that exposure based approach or

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:26:21.634 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.669$  cognitive treatments that are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

00:26:23.669 --> 00:26:26.526 top down that focus on addressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.91689914

 $00:26:26.526 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.618$  like cognitive processing therapy.

00:26:28.620 --> 00:26:30.535 That this meanie Petrakis has

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:26:30.535 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.581$  employed a great deal and to great

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:26:33.581 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.226$  success in her interventions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:26:36.226 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.813$  are pharmacotherapy combinations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:26:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.385$  But where you're addressing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:26:41.385 --> 00:26:44.020 cognitive distortions that come

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}26{:}44.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47.676$  from PTSD and helping the person to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:26:47.676 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.846$  reexamine their assumptions and work

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}26{:}49.846 \to 00{:}26{:}52.256$  towards managing the trauma that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:26:52.260 --> 00:26:54.654 But it's a very active and trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}26{:}54.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}57.642$  you know in both cases people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}26{:}57.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59.421$  identifying their specific traumas

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:26:59.421 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.977$  and delving into them very deeply.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:27:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.860$  And then we also have somatosensory

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:27:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.605$  approaches where people are doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:27:07.605 --> 00:27:11.744 EMDR and other kinds of, you know,

 $00:27:11.744 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.654$  somatosensory approaches that help to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:27:14.660 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.336$  address trauma on a physical level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:27:17.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.923$  And so these these process the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:27:19.923 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.323$  had been early on that we would do

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}27{:}23.323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.538$  stabilization first and processing next,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:27:25.540 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.660$  but that the assumption was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:27:28.660 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.580$  with PTSD and substance use that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:27:31.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.980$  sequential care was necessary and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}27{:}34.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.342$  that you couldn't start working

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}27{:}36.342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.220$  on trauma until the person was

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:27:39.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.775$  abstinent from their substance use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:27:41.780 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.646$  And so that's how our systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:27:43.646 --> 00:27:44.890 have been set up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:27:44.890 --> 00:27:47.650 And even though there have been some changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:27:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.162$  we're still sort of tackling the fact that

 $00:27:51.162 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.490$  there are structural barriers to doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:27:54.490 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.128$  what I'm going to talk about in a moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:27:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.566$  which is the idea of integrated care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:27:58.570 --> 00:28:00.054 And more and more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:00.054 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.755$  our science has really showed us that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:02.755 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.125$  the siloed care is quite harmful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.010$  So sending a patient over,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:28:07.010 --> 00:28:08.002 you know, like OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:08.002 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.250$  sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:28:08.250 --> 00:28:10.847 you can't get any treatment for your

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:28:10.850 --> 00:28:13.174 PTSD until you go to this substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:13.174 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.774$  use treatment facility that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:14.774 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.388$  an absence based model and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}16.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18.536$  have to get clean first before you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:18.536 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.642$  can start addressing any of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}20.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}22.850$  other mental health conditions.

 $00:28:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.325$  Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:28:23.325 --> 00:28:26.650 it doesn't make sense if the mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:26.650 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.261$  health condition is a driver of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:29.261 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.619$  the substance used to expect that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:28:31.708 --> 00:28:34.248 the patient can heal themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:34.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.044$  before you've actually helped to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:36.044 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.690$  deal with the underlying problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:37.690 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.032$  So we do know that the siloed

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}42.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.915$  approaches do not have not worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}43.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.983$  as well and patients tend to drop

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:45.983 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.860$  out of treatment and don't, don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:47.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.860$  you know, complete their care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}28{:}49.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52.628$  And what we don't now know is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:52.628 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.058$  concurrent PTSD care is safe and effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:28:56.060 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.042$  So patients can't are not as fragile

 $00:28:59.042 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.965$  as we thought them to be And in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:01.965 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.724$  fact the first treatment model

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:03.724 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.214$  that many of us started working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:06.214 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.238$  early on and and you know and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:29:08.238 --> 00:29:10.357 made perfect sense that we would

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}29{:}10.357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12.880$  employ a skill based model first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.464$  The Seeking Safety model is a 24 session

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:16.464 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.136$  skills based model that integrates and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:20.136 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.612$  talks about PTSD and substance use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:22.612 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.238$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.442$  in sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:24.442 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.846$  At the same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}29{:}26.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.999$  there's a lot of sessions on relapse

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:28.999 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.690$  prevention that are incorporated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.738$  There's sessions on psychoeducation

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:32.738 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.010$  about PTSD and the symptoms.

00:29:35.010 --> 00:29:36.770 There's sessions on safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}29{:}36.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}38.498$  It's organized around helping

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:38.498 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.090$  the patient to focus on safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:29:41.090 --> 00:29:44.002 It it's turned out to be a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:44.002 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.756$  adoptable intervention that's feasible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:29:45.756 --> 00:29:48.990 You can train people so that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00:29:49.063 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.426$  even now there's an app peer peer

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:29:51.426 --> 00:29:53.116 individuals have been trained to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

00:29:53.116 --> 00:29:55.436 be able to deliver seeking safety

NOTE Confidence: 0.92079216

 $00{:}29{:}55.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}57.506$  and it's been well tolerated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:29:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.510$  So patients like it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:00.510 \dashrightarrow 00:30:03.500$  So that was very good for us to get started

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:03.574 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.150$  in the field to really show that yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:30:06.150 --> 00:30:08.096 you don't have to wait to treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:08.096 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.988$  the trauma and here are some

 $00:30:09.988 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.980$  approaches that can be used and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}30{:}11.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}13.827$  delivered safely and without harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.208$  What we have found over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}30{:}17.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.732$  last really decade is very clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:20.732 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.192$  evidence that treating trauma with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:24.192 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.960$  a trauma focused intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:26.960 \dashrightarrow 00:30:29.936$  So that's stage two model that I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:29.936 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.730$  talking about is also safe and tolerable

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}30{:}32.730 \longrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35.879$  and effective for people with substance use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.676$  So that's a new sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:38.676 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.074$  relatively newer finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.888$  And so those those are what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:42.888 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.914$  trauma focused interventions and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:44.914 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.819$  most commonly tested one has been the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:30:47.891 --> 00:30:50.633 COPE treatment which is an integrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}30{:}50.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53.730$  treatment that focuses on some relapse

 $00:30:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.470$  prevention as well as as well as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:30:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.810$  know a prolonged exposure cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:00.810 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.935$  processing therapy is another trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:02.935 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.069$  focused treatment that has been used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}31{:}05.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09.686$  EMDR has been used effectively and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:09.686 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.262$  basically we have one you know more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:12.262 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.009$  recent clinical trial that was done by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:15.009 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.800$  Sonia Norman and her colleagues with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.020$  veterans that was published in JAMA

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:21.020 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.650$  Psychiatry right before the pandemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:31:23.734 --> 00:31:26.524 really showing superiority of the of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:26.524 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.440$  of in a headtohead comparison between

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.355$  cope and seeking safety treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:34.355 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.580$  So suggesting that that although

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}31{:}38.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41.634$  you know the the more stabilization

 $00:31:41.634 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.081$  focused treatments seem to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:44.081 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.377$  no harm and may do some good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}31{:}46.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}48.840$  We're seeing stronger outcomes and I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.590$  be showing you some more data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:51.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.050$  helps helps us to pretty confidently

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:31:54.050 --> 00:31:56.614 say that it's important to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:31:56.614 --> 00:31:58.488 about the the COPE interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:31:58.488 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.564$  and then one of our trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:32:00.570 --> 00:32:01.485 So, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:01.485 \dashrightarrow 00:32:04.594$  should we wait to treat PTSD for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}32{:}04.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}08.970$  who have PTSD said absolutely not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:08.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.924$  And we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:09.924 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.150$  We we know that that these treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}32{:}12.220 --> 00{:}32{:}13.130 \ {\rm are \ tolerable},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.213$  safe and effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:32:14.213 --> 00:32:18.210 And what we see is that if we treat PTSD,

 $00:32:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.770$  we see greater substance use improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:22.770 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.938$  And that is coming from now there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:26.938 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.442$  are four or five systematic reviews

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:30.442 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.250$  that pretty rigorously and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:34.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.294$  definitively are showing that greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:38.294 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.438$  PTSD reduction is also associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:41.438 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.090$  greater substance use improvements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:32:44.090 --> 00:32:46.886 And the opposite is less true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.704$  And I'll show you one of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:49.704 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.289$  trials where we compared COPE,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:52.290 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.650$  which is a prolonged exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:53.650 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.635$  trauma focused treatment for people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:55.635 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.223$  with substance use disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:32:57.223 --> 00:32:58.809 to relapse prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:32:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.530$  This was done in New York City with

 $00:33:01.530 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.728$  individuals who basically entered our trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}33{:}04.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07.010$  We're not receiving any other care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}33{:}07.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10.230$  So pretty severe PTSD histories

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:33:10.230 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.806$  and substance use problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:33:12.810 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.786$  And So what you see here just to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:33:14.786 --> 00:33:16.637 Orient you to the slide is horizontal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:33:16.637 --> 00:33:19.199 axis is the weeks of treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:33:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.518$  vertical is the PTSD symptoms severity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:33:22.520 --> 00:33:27.160 And we broke out the groups by how much use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:33:27.160 --> 00:33:28.864 how much they were using during

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:33:28.864 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.000$  the course of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:33:30.000 --> 00:33:32.338 And this is just one example and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}33{:}32.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}34.873$  I know it's media has also worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}33{:}34.873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37.035$  on using these clinical trials to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

00:33:37.035 --> 00:33:39.424 do cross lagged analyses to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00{:}33{:}39.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}41.286$  look in real time as what's going

 $00:33:41.286 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.851$  on with the person's substance use

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326319

 $00:33:43.851 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.639$  while they're receiving treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:33:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.060$  in relation to their PTSD symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:33:50.060 --> 00:33:51.800 as they are going through treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:33:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.637$  and then over the course of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:33:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.144$  And so here we see the end of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:33:57.144 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.680$  So after 12 weeks of treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:33:58.680 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.840$  we see one month follow up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.157$  two months and three months in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:34:03.157 --> 00:34:06.670 in this trial And the Gray box are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:06.670 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.086$  all those who end up through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:10.086 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.245$  course of treatment entering into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}34{:}13.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15.665$  clinically significant change group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}34{:}15.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}18.040$  So like significant reductions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.338$  their PTSD to a level that we would,

00:34:21.338 --> 00:34:22.934 it's not just a change in severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}34{:}22.934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.588$  but it's a clinically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.720$  So we see everybody's getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.710$  better over the course of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:28.710 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.536$  but those that make it into the Gray box

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:31.536 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.753$  of what we want to see by the end of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:34.753 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.094$  treatment and then over the course of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:38.100 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.536$  the two curves to look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.556$  So the blue are the people that received

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:43.556 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.334$  relapse prevention as the comparator

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:45.334 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.075$  and the orange and red are those that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:48.075 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.260$  received the trauma focus treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:50.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.987$  And what we see is that for those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:52.987 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.185$  received relapse prevention who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:55.185 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.460$  the very frequent substance users,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:34:57.460 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.212$  so they were using four times a week

 $00{:}35{:}00.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02.937$  or more during the course of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}35{:}02.937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}04.930$  trial their symptoms if they received

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:04.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.128$  the not you know the comparison

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:35:07.128 --> 00:35:09.248 treatment of relapse prevention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.758$  they don't get into the clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:35:11.758 --> 00:35:13.430 significant change realm in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:13.505 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.930$  terms of their PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.330$  Those that were actively using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.312$  So the yellow bar that were actively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:20.312 \dashrightarrow 00:35:23.610$  using to a level of four times a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.478$  week or more when they received the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:26.478 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.613$  the the prolonged exposure trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}35{:}28.613 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}30.940$  focused treatment you see they make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:30.940 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.840$  it into the clinically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.545$  change box over the course of the

 $00:35:35.545 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.400$  study and their followup period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.038$  So what does that tell us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.631$  That tells us that that our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:42.631 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.130$  are not as fragile as we would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:45.211 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.443$  think that the people and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}35{:}47.443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.351$  the people that are using the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:50.351 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.033$  actually benefit more from the trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:53.033 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.648$  focused intervention than from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}35{:}55.648 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}35{:}58.358$  psychoeducational or or control treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:35:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.950$  So then moving along to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}36{:}03.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05.218$  pharmacotherapy story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.418$  which we know a lot about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}36{:}08.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}12.053$  thanks to Doctor Petrakis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:12.053 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.239$  others who have really been working

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:15.239 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.836$  in this space in a way, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}36{:}18.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20.540$  consistently over decades.

 $00:36:20.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.058$  There are a number of treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}36{:}22.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24.856$  medications that we have to target

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:24.860 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.485$  both alcohol or substance use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:36:28.485 --> 00:36:31.820 disorders alone with PTSD agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.400$  that target both And then agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.149$  that focus on craving aversive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:37.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.082$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:38.082 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.763$  agents like disulforam and agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:36:40.763 --> 00:36:42.788 that target with drawal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}36{:}42.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}46.370$  And So what we know thus far and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:46.461 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.809$  think I I did one trial that was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:49.809 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.348$  combined medication we use sertraline

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00{:}36{:}52.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}55.130$  and and seeking safety for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:36:55.130 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.305$  individuals with alcohol use disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:36:57.310 --> 00:37:00.544 And I swore I'll never do another

00:37:00.550 --> 00:37:02.290 pharmacotherapy trial because of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:37:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.198$  hard it is to do, how long it takes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

00:37:05.198 --> 00:37:07.544 how how hard it is to engage patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:37:07.544 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.029$  to keep them in treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:37:09.030 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.972$  all these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:37:09.972 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.856$  And so I think these numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:37:11.856 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.488$  are probably higher now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:37:13.490 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.330$  but some of the systematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997285

 $00:37:15.330 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.170$  reviews that have been done

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:17.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.530$  really show us not so much which

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}37{:}20.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21.970$  interventions are superior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:21.970 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.414$  but rather the fact that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:25.414 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.570$  see significant reductions in both

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.610$  PTSD and alcohol use disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:29.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.025$  There's no evidence against using

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:33.025 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.440$  medications in non comorbid populations

 $00:37:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.170$  and but yet we don't fully know

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:39.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.660$  what are the best practices yet for

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:42.660 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.600$  these kinds of medications that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.430$  been applied for both PTSD and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:49.430 \dashrightarrow 00:37:52.880$  UD individually and then together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.360$  However, I do have more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:37:55.360 --> 00:37:56.828 So stay, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:37:56.828 \dashrightarrow 00:37:59.379$  hold off the judgment because we we

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:37:59.379 --> 00:38:01.836 have more to say on that when I get

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}38{:}01.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}04.219$  to our our data science project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:38:04.220 --> 00:38:05.472 But largely, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}38{:}05.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}08.042$  we do know things that I can tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}38{:}08.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10.219$  you happily that we can say okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:10.220 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.097$  Now we we do have ideas about

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:13.100 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.298$  signals for how to treat PTST and

 $00:38:16.298 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.052$  Sud both with medications and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:19.052 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.140$  with behavioral interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:38:21.140 --> 00:38:23.804 But in fact doing this work for anyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:23.804 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.898$  who spent their time doing it's really hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:26.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.406$  It takes forever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:28.406 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.916$  Our effect sizes are small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:30.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.120$  We see most impacts directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.200$  in the PTSD domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}38{:}36.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}39.158$  We have high rates of attrition

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.411$  in our studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:40.411 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.079$  Like I said before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:42.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.282$  we have spotty uptake of evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:44.282 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.080$  based treatments and we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:38:46.080 --> 00:38:47.718 It's very hard to examine to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:38:47.718 --> 00:38:49.644 go beyond just like compare the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:49.644 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.196$  treatment and the comparator.

 $00:38:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.080$  We don't get to say who does these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.934$  who do these treatments work best

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:54.934 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.040$  for and also how do they work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:57.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.320$  Like what are the mechanisms?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:38:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.917$  Because our ends are just too small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:39:00.920 --> 00:39:03.394 So, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:03.394 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.776$  our latest solution to this problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:05.776 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.163$  has been to to use data science

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:08.163 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.884$  as a means to help us move the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:10.884 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.400$  drive the field forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.220$  And so I'm going to tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:14.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.274$  spend a little bit of time talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:39:16.274 --> 00:39:17.486 about Project Harmony,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:39:17.486 --> 00:39:19.298 which was mentioned earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:19.300 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.108$  which is an integrative data analysis

 $00:39:22.108 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.219$  where we managed to pool together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:25.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.720$  We acquired 42 studies agnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:28.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.820$  to treatment type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:30.820 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.675$  And you'll see what I mean when

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:32.675 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.890$  I show you the the variety of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.575$  treatments that we pooled in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}39{:}36.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}39.758$  But we we identified using a set

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:39:39.758 --> 00:39:42.324 of inclusion criteria any study in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}39{:}42.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}44.914$  the world that we could get our

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:45.005 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.136$  hands on that attempt to a treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}39{:}48.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}50.681$  PTSD and substance use disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:50.681 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.794$  in adults that had measures of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:53.794 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.728$  both PTSD&SUD outcomes and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:55.728 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.513$  had evidence that the treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:39:57.513 --> 00:39:59.498 were being delivered in fidelity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:39:59.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.756$  with fidelity.

 $00:40:00.756 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.896$  And this was regardless of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}40{:}03.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08.828$  treatment type and also you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:40:08.828 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.460$  other other characteristics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:40:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.590$  And we we acquired a pool

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:40:12.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.676$  of over 4500 participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:40:14.676 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.740$  This was a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:40:16.740 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.910$  And all hands on deck and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}40{:}18.910 \longrightarrow 00{:}40{:}21.219$  going to show you our team from,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:40:21.220 --> 00:40:23.004 you know, City College,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:40:23.004 --> 00:40:23.896 Columbia University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:40:23.900 --> 00:40:24.928 Rutgers University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:40:24.928 --> 00:40:27.498 Medical University of South Carolina,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:40:27.500 --> 00:40:28.900 Yale University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:40:28.900 --> 00:40:31.700 University of South Wales,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:40:31.700 --> 00:40:34.580 University of Washington,

 $00:40:34.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.909$  Stanford University and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:40:36.909 --> 00:40:38.136 University of Toronto.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

00:40:38.136 --> 00:40:41.129 These are our team members and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}40{:}41.129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42.969$  Scientific Advisory Board members

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:40:42.969 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.580$  who shared their data with us

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:40:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.552$  as well as and Antonio Morgan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:40:47.552 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.500$  Lopez was the MPI on this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00{:}40{:}50.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53.210$  He's at RTI International with

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:40:53.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.620$  me and did all the elegant quant

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750426

 $00:40:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.476$  work on the project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:40:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.640$  This is just, I can't spend time on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.220$  but these are our international

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:03.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.240$  consortium that we call our cast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:06.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.200$  and all of these individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.440$  shared their data sets with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}41{:}10.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}11.875$  And when I say shared their data,

00:41:11.880 --> 00:41:14.480 I mean they gave us their data sets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}41{:}14.480 \to 00{:}41{:}17.477$  So we were able to do things with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:41:17.477 --> 00:41:20.204 data and I'll just show you about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:20.204 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.130$  But first we brought together the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:22.193 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.119$  international group and one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:24.119 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.393$  things we needed to do given that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:41:26.393 --> 00:41:28.247 we were agnostic to treatment type

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:28.247 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.080$  was we had to categorize treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.636$  So we had the international group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:33.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.840$  they were involved with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.728$  We had several meetings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:36.728 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.560$  consensus meetings and we did surveys

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}41{:}39.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}43.970$  but we we identified and categorized

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}41{:}43.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}46.560$  treatments into trauma focused like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.633$  ones you know the stage two treatments

 $00:41:48.633 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.943$  that we were talking about and non

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}41{:}50.943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}52.732$  trauma focused which were treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:52.732 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.874$  like a seeking safety treatment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:41:54.874 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.420$  didn't target the trauma but may have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:00.420 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.780$  been an integrated treatment for traumatics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.947$  PTSD and Sud.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:04.947 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.281$  And we also so we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:42:07.281 --> 00:42:08.940 behavioral interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}42{:}08.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}11.100$  we had integrated behavioral where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:42:11.100 --> 00:42:13.884 they tried to address both PTSD and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}42{:}13.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.068$  substance use in the same treatment we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}42{:}16.068 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}42{:}18.456$  had combined so integrated plus meds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:18.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.232$  we had meds alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}42{:}20.232 \longrightarrow 00{:}42{:}22.447$  So targeting either the alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:22.447 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.818$  or substance use outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.660$  the PTSD outcome or both.

 $00:42:28.660 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.557$  And then we also had a variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:42:30.557 --> 00:42:32.386 of controls because when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:32.386 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.820$  doing comparative effectiveness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.109$  you need to be able to classify

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:36.109 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.812$  the treatment so that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:42:37.812 --> 00:42:40.004 kind of reducing the number of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:42:40.004 --> 00:42:40.776 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:40.780 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.992$  kind of degrees of freedom or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:44.992 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.257$  increasing the degrees of freedom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.704$  but you are also comparing it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:50.704 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.180$  the same comparator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:52.180 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.830$  So we classified the control

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}42{:}55.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}58.480$  groups as psychotherapy that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:42:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.568$  targeting a alcohol or drug use only,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:01.570 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.825$  psychotherapy controls that were really

00:43:04.825 --> 00:43:08.930 treatment as usual and then placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.655$  controls which was medication placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:43:12.660 --> 00:43:15.450 And so by doing that we end up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:15.450 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.110$  about with eight classes that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:18.110 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.893$  comparing to 1 comparator which would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:20.893 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.938$  either be treatment as usual or placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:43:23.940 --> 00:43:27.490 And so you know one of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:43:27.490 --> 00:43:29.240 that we did with Project Harmony

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:43:29.240 --> 00:43:31.010 was a traditional systematic review

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:31.010 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.498$  and we did a network meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}43{:}33.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}35.180$  And so that's taking, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}43{:}35.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37.448$ a typical traditional meta analysis is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:37.448 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.410$  when you go in to the published data

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}43{:}40.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}43.539$  and you look at what's out there and you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:43:43.540 --> 00:43:46.594 you know extract effect sizes from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:46.594 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.834$  that data and then you examine

 $00:43:49.834 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.648$  the effects of the treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}43{:}51.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53.460$  and you and you come up with a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:43:53.460 --> 00:43:53.992 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:53.992 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.854$  some kind of an idea about what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:55.854 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.500$  what's going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:56.500 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.979$  And so we did the a traditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:43:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.780$  systematic review from the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:44:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.055$  This is not the pooled analysis yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}44{:}05.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}07.104$  which I'll show you in a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}44{:}07.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}10.182$  But we then did a a network meta

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}44{:}10.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}12.581$  analysis to kind of characterize

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:44:12.581 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.246$  the state of science and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00{:}44{:}15.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17.590$  look at PTSD and a UOD outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:44:17.590 --> 00:44:21.430 And out of you know 1000,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

00:44:21.430 --> 00:44:22.828 you know hits that we got,

 $00:44:22.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.414$  we we sifted through 700 trials that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9361672

 $00:44:27.414 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.870$  met our criteria and in the end we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:44:30.970 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.218$  identified 39 trials with two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:44:34.218 --> 00:44:37.580 subnetworks of the this network I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:44:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.400$  showing you above is the behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:44:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.254$  interventions compared to a psychotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:44:43.254 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.830$  control like a treatment as usual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:44:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.404$  And then there was another step

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:44:49.404 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.011$  network of the medication trials that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:44:52.011 --> 00:44:54.853 were compared to placebo and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}44{:}54.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}57.094$  found in this network meta analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:44:57.094 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.950$  which is about to be published in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:00.026 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.550$  psychological bulletin like today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:45:02.550 --> 00:45:05.490 tomorrow who knows it's it's coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:05.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.588$  out very shortly is that the for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}45{:}09.588 \rightarrow 00{:}45{:}12.873$  PTSD the integrated trauma focus.

 $00{:}45{:}12.880 \to 00{:}45{:}17.200$  So again those trauma focused interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}45{:}17.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19.480$  did significantly better compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}45{:}19.480 \to 00{:}45{:}22.112$  the treatment as usual controls than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:22.112 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.746$  any of the other other classifications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:24.746 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.758$  And for the medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.050$  we saw that alcohol targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:32.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.374$  medications with or without trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}45{:}35.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}38.562$  focused treatment appeared to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:38.562 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.134$  superior to place be interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:41.134 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.669$  in this network meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:44.670 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.838$  So it's giving us an indication

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:47.838 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.880$  of yes trauma focused and yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}45{:}50.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}53.205$  our alcohol targeting medications and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:53.205 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.627$  that's a direct effect on alcohol outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:45:56.630 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.266$  So in this network meta and then to go

00:46:01.266 --> 00:46:03.744 into the Project Harmony piece where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:03.744 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.882$  we did an integrative data analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}46{:}06.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}10.218$  with the individual patient data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:10.310 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.414$  we got from all of these trials over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:13.414 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.558$  the world that was recently published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:46:15.558 --> 00:46:18.363 And you can get more of the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:18.363 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.602$  fine finer details from the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:46:20.602 --> 00:46:23.026 Journal of Psychiatry and in February.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:23.030 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.490$  But basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:46:23.490 --> 00:46:25.869 and I don't have time to go into all of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}46{:}25.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}28.926$  but we did a bunch of fancy analyses

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:28.926 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.456$  that kind of what I like to say is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:32.456 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.416$  this virtual clinical trial basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:34.416 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.558$  does like on your iPhone where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:46:36.558 --> 00:46:38.707 have a photograph and then you go

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}46{:}38.707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}41.126$  into edit on the photo and there's a

 $00:46:41.126 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.184$  little magic wand and you press the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}46{:}43.190 \to 00{:}46{:}45.465$  wand and the photo just looks better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:45.470 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.750$  It like makes it pop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:46:47.750 --> 00:46:49.864 That's what I think of as this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:49.870 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.019$  this type of analysis where we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:52.019 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.470$  a variety of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:53.470 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.866$  We did propensity score weighting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:46:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.971$  sort of the equivalent of covariates in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}46{:}59.971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}03.080$  a single trial to address measurement

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}47{:}03.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06.140$  inequalities and other issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:06.140 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.430$  with across all of these trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:08.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.378$  Because remember,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}47{:}09.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11.748$  we're pooling all these trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:11.750 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.935$  we have to harmonize the measures and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:14.935 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.675$  do we did modified nonlinear factor

 $00:47:17.675 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.960$  analysis to create harmonization so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}47{:}20.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22.386$  we could look at the same outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:22.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.806$  The outcomes were PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:24.806 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.430$  alcohol use and substance drug use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:28.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.470$  So those were our outcome measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.588$  We harmonize all across all studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.515$  So in one study it might have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:34.515 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.322$  used timeline follow back to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:36.322 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.550$  at substance use outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:47:37.550 --> 00:47:39.452 In another study they might have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}47{:}39.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41.665$  used the ASI or some other metric

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}47{:}41.665 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}47{:}44.138$  and we you have to kind of harmonize

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:44.138 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.346$  it so you can compare across.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:46.350 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.570$  We categorize like I told you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:48.570 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.470$  before and we structured time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:50.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.857$  So we were looking at post treatment

 $00:47:52.857 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.192$  which is 3 months post treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:47:55.192 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.309$  and 12 month which was estimated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:47:57.309 --> 00:47:59.067 because of course some trials might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

00:47:59.067 --> 00:48:00.827 have looked at six month outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:48:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.390$  others might have looked at one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:48:02.390 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.910$  week outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:48:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.460$  others might have looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00:48:04.460 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.390$  one year outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234659

 $00{:}48{:}05.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}09.143$  And so we did analysis to structure the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:48:09.150 --> 00:48:12.396 and what we ended up finding was very

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:12.396 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.140$  good news and the good news overall was

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.866$  that all of the intervention classes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:48:17.870 --> 00:48:18.890 patients got better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:18.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.408$  So we like to say there are no wrong doors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.122$  You can apply these variety of

00:48:25.122 --> 00:48:27.530 interventions and everyone got better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}48{:}27.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}30.246$  but some doors are better than others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}48{:}30.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}33.346$  So people got better with some

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:33.346 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.610$  of the trauma focused integrated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:48:36.610 --> 00:48:39.510 I'm sorry non trauma focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.250$  integrated models whoops,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:41.250 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.070$  but but as you can see here it

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:48:47.070 --> 00:48:48.480 wasn't statistically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.276$  So, so the seeking safety model was a non

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:48:54.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.437$  was an integrated but non trauma focused.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}48{:}57.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}59.360$  We don't see significant impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}48{:}59.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}01.280$  compared to treatment as usual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:01.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.936$  whereas the big winner was drum roll

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:05.936 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.968$  trauma focused interventions with

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:07.968 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.000$  alcohol targeting medications and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:10.072 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.656$  what you can see here are very large

 $00:49:12.656 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.959$  effect sizes for those interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:14.960 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.192$  So we might not have seen that in any

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:17.192 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.249$  of the individual clinical trials like

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:19.249 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.292$  all of the ones that this mini has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:22.292 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.576$  working on and working on where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:49:24.576 --> 00:49:27.488 might not have seen the impacts also

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:49:27.488 --> 00:49:30.882 because she was comparing to placebo Med,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:49:30.882 --> 00:49:33.887 placebo Med also does pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:33.887 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.448$  well on PTSD for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.250$  And but what we're seeing is direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.072$  effects on the alcohol outcomes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:43.072 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.430$  then you know you know the it's so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:45.502 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.052$  winners are the trauma focused whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:49:48.052 --> 00:49:50.259 they're integrated or they're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:50.259 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.449$  integrated and alcohol targeting meds.

 $00:49:52.450 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.204$  So it's sort of expands on the lit review

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:55.204 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.570$  network meta analysis that I showed you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.370$  So we're but we're taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:49:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.746$  this data and we're we're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:01.746 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.326$  we're sort of able to examine it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:04.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.975$  And so this just gives you a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:05.975 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.530$  taste of what we're finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.932$  And then we are also doing moderator

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}50{:}10.932 \to 00{:}50{:}13.250$  mediator analysis as we speak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:13.250 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.770$  The one thing that you see you might take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:50:15.829 --> 00:50:18.286 note of is that in terms of drug outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:18.290 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.650$  we're not seeing a lot of direct impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:50:20.650 --> 00:50:23.384 on drug from either any of the kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:23.384 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.130$  of interventions on drug directly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.064$  And what we do expect to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:28.064 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.892$  to talk about more is that that

 $00:50:30.892 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.649$  indirect effect of if you target the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}50{:}33.649 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>} 00{:}50{:}36.272$ trauma you will see impacts like

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:50:36.272 --> 00:50:39.563 downstream on the drug effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:39.563 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.110$  And why it is that we are able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:50:42.183 --> 00:50:44.325 see the impact on alcohol more

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:44.325 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.585$  directly for the first time here

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:46.585 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.611$  with this analysis at Versus Drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:48.611 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.799$  I'll leave it to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}50{:}49.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}51.676$ you know maybe we can talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:50:51.676 --> 00:50:52.842 that during the Q&A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:50:52.842 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.100$  But so that that sort of gets us to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}50{:}56.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58.431$  I'm going to spend the last few

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}50{:}58.431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}00.536$  moments before we have time for

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:51:00.536 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.612$  chat to talk about as clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:51:02.612 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.457$  okay what's the take away.

00:51:04.460 --> 00:51:06.434 So I mean the take away from

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:51:06.434 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.900$  the treat my science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:51:07.900 --> 00:51:09.652 you know the science of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:51:09.652 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.820$  interventions is good news.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:51:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.664$  We have a lot of things that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:51:13.664 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.020$  do and now we have to try to start,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:51:17.020 --> 00:51:17.972 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

00:51:17.972 --> 00:51:20.352 doing them more more systematically

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}51{:}20.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}23.144$  and enabling places that may not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:51:23.144 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.180$  access to care to do these interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00{:}51{:}26.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}28.140$  So I was in Australia giving a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93851376

 $00:51:28.140 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.980$  talk to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:51:28.980 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.108$  bunch of, you know, addiction medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:51:33.108 --> 00:51:35.596 professionals recently and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:51:35.596 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.881$  they were like our system is so

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:51:38.881 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.035$  separated that how do we bring these

 $00:51:42.035 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.732$  interventions to to our, our population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}51{:}45.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49.360$  And so I encourage them to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:51:49.360 --> 00:51:51.204 creative because not, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:51:51.204 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.038$  and and because we know the answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:51:53.040 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.228$  we know that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:51:54.228 --> 00:51:55.119 interventions actually work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:51:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.232$  So now we have to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:51:57.232 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.640$  really pushing them out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:51:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.496$  So how do we apply an integrated framework?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:52:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.940$  Well, these are some of the questions from

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}52{:}03.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06.285$  a clinician perspective that we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:52:06.285 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.580$  start thinking about and trying to answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:52:08.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.300$  What does success look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:52:10.300 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.520$  Like, Is it really abstinence or is

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:52:13.520 --> 00:52:15.939 it really diminishment of symptoms?

 $00:52:15.940 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.650$  Probably not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}52{:}16.650 \to 00{:}52{:}18.780$  If you're thinking about your client,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:52:18.780 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.340$  you want quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:52:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.500$  You want to think about other

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:52:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.580$  types of outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:52:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.974$  What if the person still using do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}52{:}25.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}29.112$  Can we call it a win if someone goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:52:29.112 --> 00:52:32.228 shifts from being a daily opioid

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}52{:}32.228 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}52{:}35.984$  user to a nightly cannabis smoker?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:52:35.990 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.550$  Is that a good thing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:52:38.550 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.830$  You know, how do we make those judgments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:52:40.830 --> 00:52:43.791 How do you match for your client

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:52:43.791 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.950$  the right kind of therapy for them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:52:46.950 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.008$  And what do you do if your

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:52:49.008 --> 00:52:50.310 patient starts getting worse?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:52:50.310 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.350$  How do you handle that and how

 $00:52:53.350 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.350$  do we understand differences by,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:52:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.540$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:52:57.540 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.920$  social determinants and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:52:59.920 --> 00:53:01.110 individual characteristics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.738$  How do we incorporate cultural variations

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:03.738 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.669$  and how we deliver our treatments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:06.670 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.530$  So what we basically advocate for

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}53{:}08.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}10.646$  is what I call an integrative

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}53{:}10.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}12.651$  treatment framework where we you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}53{:}12.651 \rightarrow 00{:}53{:}15.218$  know it's it's it's good treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}53{:}15.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}17.124$  So this is nothing new to anyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:53:17.124 --> 00:53:19.177 who's a provider is like we want

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}53{:}19.177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}20.353$  a comprehensive assessment so

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:20.353 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.337$  we know what we're dealing with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:22.340 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.650$  Obviously we want to use

00:53:25.650 --> 00:53:26.974 those destigmatizing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}53{:}26.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}28.880$  motivational interviewing and harm

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.780$  reduction techniques to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.444$  that not you know what might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:33.444 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.089$  abstinence model might be great

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}53{:}35.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}37.155$  for one patient and really and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:37.155 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.484$  a good model for another patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:53:39.484 --> 00:53:42.086 So really matching and having

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:53:42.086 --> 00:53:45.494 kindness and care and a sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:45.494 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.430$  of positivity for our clients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}53{:}48.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}50.050$  Harm reduction the rapy is one

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:50.050 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.670$  example that we can use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:51.670 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.286$  but there are many other approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}53{:}54.286 \to 00{:}53{:}55.594$  motivational interviewing we

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:53:55.594 --> 00:53:58.234 want to think about engaging our

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:53:58.234 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.946$  clients and the rapeutic alliance.

00:53:59.950 --> 00:54:01.858 So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}01.858 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}54{:}03.988$  understanding that patients may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}03.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}05.704$  seek treatment or stay in treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:05.704 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.904$  or use treatment in the same way and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:07.904 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.650$  that doesn't mean that they should

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:09.650 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.432$  be denied treatments of these ideas

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:54:11.432 --> 00:54:14.250 that like 3 strikes and you're out

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:54:14.250 --> 00:54:16.430 because our clients don't attend

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:16.430 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.610$  sessions for lots of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}18.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21.110$  reasons due to those emotional

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}21.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}23.050$  dys regulation and other factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:54:23.050 --> 00:54:23.827 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:54:23.827 --> 00:54:25.381 there's a lot of things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:25.381 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.426$  we need to do and that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:27.426 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.690$  help support our clients.

 $00:54:28.690 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.545$  So a lot of these treatments if

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00{:}54{:}30.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32.562$ even if you don't have trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:54:32.562 --> 00:54:36.310 focused training at your agency yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:36.310 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.890$  there's a lot of treatments for

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:38.890 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.392$  dysregulation that can be used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:41.392 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.804$  help address traumatic stress in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:43.804 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.949$  clients and help with treatment processing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:46.950 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.300$  So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

00:54:48.300 --> 00:54:49.650 treatment planning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:49.650 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.000$  coordinated care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.628$  working together across disciplines

NOTE Confidence: 0.92397785

 $00:54:53.628 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.599$  and promoting stability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:54:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.630$  these are all just like really good

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:54:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.404$  principles of treatment planning that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:54:59.404 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.399$  should apply in working with our clients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.580$  So to sum it up, people with PTSD stutter,

 $00:55:06.580 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.440$  highly comorbid and undertreated

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

00:55:11.680 --> 00:55:15.280 integrated care is safe and efficacious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.208$  I feel confident in saying that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.012$  and optical optimal care should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}55{:}21.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}23.744$  individualized and you know as I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:23.744 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.745$  said involves having a client centered

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:26.745 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.111$  approach and incorporating A harm

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:30.111 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.463$  reduction model doing comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:32.463 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.395$  assessment that's collaborative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:35.395 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.060$  then using evidence based particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:39.147 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.596$  combined treatments will lead our

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:42.596 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.328$  clients down a very positive path.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}55{:}46.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}49.074$  Before I end, I just want to express

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:49.074 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.149$  appreciation to all of my colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:55:51.149 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.106$  that over 101,000 participants in

 $00:55:53.106 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.698$  the clinical trials that have helped

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

00:55:55.698 --> 00:55:59.046 us gain the knowledge that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

00:55:59.050 --> 00:56:00.714 You know my institutions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

00:56:00.714 --> 00:56:02.238 Rutgers, Columbia University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

00:56:02.238 --> 00:56:05.008 City College of New York,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:56:05.010 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.154$  and you for being such good listeners and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:56:09.154 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.357$  hopefully engaging with me in conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:56:12.360 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.474$  And then I'm going to end with some words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:56:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.040$  I like to end with the words of one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

00:56:19.143 --> 00:56:22.975 our clients who was is a woman from

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

00:56:22.975 --> 00:56:25.999 a disadvantaged background who was a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:56:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.800$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:56:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.200$  receiving treatment in one of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00{:}56{:}29.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}31.195$  treatment programs here in New York City,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

00:56:31.200 --> 00:56:33.000 The Women's Health Project at

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175

 $00:56:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.080$  Saint Luke's Roosevelt.

 $00:56:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.104$  Now it's Mount Sinai.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93418175 00:56:35.104 --> 00:56:35.360 I NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

00:56:37.440 --> 00:56:41.328 forget what the full name is but hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

00:56:41.328 --> 00:56:45.992 and she was someone who had a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00{:}56{:}45.992 \to 00{:}56{:}48.907$ challenging background multiple you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00:56:48.907 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.260$  cocaine and opioid use disorder disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

00:56:54.260 --> 00:56:58.430 You know profile sexual abuse ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00{:}56{:}58.430 \to 00{:}57{:}02.300$  victimization and this was her poem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00{:}57{:}02.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}04.360$  Multi trauma survivor heals

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00:57:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.420$  to find wedded bliss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00{:}57{:}06.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}08.538$  Healing universe applauds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

00:57:08.538 --> 00:57:12.044 You are next. I'm a new house.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00:57:12.044 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.774$  I've come out of thick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00:57:13.780 \dashrightarrow 00:57:17.245$  tough hide swearing by the rock I

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00:57:17.245 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.378$  rubbed against to be tender again.

 $00:57:20.380 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.020$  To able to receive and give love for

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00:57:23.020 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.780$  my most vulnerable, healed self.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00:57:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.580$  Appreciating freshly discovered

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00:57:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.580$  beauty from its fearless depths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00:57:30.580 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.540$  Of honesty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00:57:31.540 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.420$  Feeling peace out of the gratitude

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00:57:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.718$  of being accepted as is by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00:57:37.718 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.263$  universe myself and the reflection

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00:57:40.263 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.329$  in the eyes that behold me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00:57:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.010$  I believed it for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00:57:46.010 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.610$  Believe it for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92806405

 $00:57:48.610 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.050$  Thank you.