WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:57:27.6900000"

NOTE recognizability:0.932

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

00:00:05.120 --> 00:00:06.464 Thank you everyone for being

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:00:06.464 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.120$ here on our first meeting for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:00:08.175 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.275$ this academic year of our monthly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00{:}00{:}10.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11.675$ seminar and Psychedelic Science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:00:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.186$ It's great to see such a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:00:13.186 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.600$ a varied and large group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

00:00:14.600 --> 00:00:16.950 I'm sure we'll have more joining and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:00:16.950 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.560$ we'll also as always be recording this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

00:00:18.560 --> 00:00:20.331 so as as almost always be recording

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:00:20.331 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.804$ this so we can get to an even broader

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:00:22.804 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.520$ audience within the old community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:00:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.226$ We are really privileged today to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:00:27.226 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.831$ have Harriet do it speaking with us,

00:00:29.831 --> 00:00:31.166 someone who who really needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00{:}00{:}31.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.349$ no introduction in this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:00:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.468$ And so I'll be very brief.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

00:00:34.470 --> 00:00:36.018 She's Professor of Psychiatry

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00{:}00{:}36.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.566$ and Behavioral Neuroscience at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

00:00:37.566 --> 00:00:39.207 the University of Chicago and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:00:39.207 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.989$ she's been active in this space

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:00:40.989 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.870$ for longer than than most of us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

00:00:42.870 --> 00:00:44.230 certainly longer than me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

00:00:44.230 --> 00:00:47.010 And a lot of lot of interesting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00{:}00{:}47.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.860$ provocative work over the years

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:00:48.860 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.735$ including work in microdoses recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:00:50.735 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.206$ which is something that where that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:00:53.206 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.270$ think that the ratio of use to data

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:00:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.470$ is vastly broader than than in even in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:01:00.470 \dashrightarrow 00:01:03.950$ the other the the macro dosing field.

 $00:01:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.260$ So really excited to have you here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00{:}01{:}06.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09.176$ Harriet thank you for joining us and very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338238

 $00:01:09.176 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.020$ very excited we have to share with us today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92649025

 $00:01:12.180 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.984$ All right, great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92649025

 $00:01:12.984 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.860$ It's a real pleasure to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92649025

 $00:01:14.860 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.058$ And let me just make sure that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256157

00:01:29.850 --> 00:01:31.728 How's that? Is that all right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256157

00:01:31.730 --> 00:01:33.338 Can you see it? Yep. All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256157

 $00{:}01{:}33.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34.970$ Well, it's a real pleasure to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256157

 $00:01:34.970 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.322$ And, you know, I'm a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256157

00:01:37.322 --> 00:01:38.890 intimidated by this audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256157

 $00:01:38.890 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.284$ so I'm happy to turn it into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256157

 $00:01:41.284 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.328$ a discussion as we go along.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256157

00:01:43.330 --> 00:01:45.304 I think there's lots of just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256157

 $00:01:45.304 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.977$ interesting issues that come along

 $00:01:46.977 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.049$ all along the way that interest us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256157

 $00{:}01{:}49.050 --> 00{:}01{:}51.875$ Also, please feel free to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256157

 $00:01:51.875 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.830$ interrupt and contribute your

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256157

 $00{:}01{:}53.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.880$ thoughts and knowledge and ideas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256157

 $00{:}01{:}55.880 \rightarrow 00{:}01{:}57.995$ So I am gonna talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256157

 $00:01:57.995 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.335$ our work with micro dosing and I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256157

 $00:02:00.335 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.493$ a few disclosures here that are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256157

 $00:02:02.493 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.317$ really relevant to the LSD studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

 $00{:}02{:}06.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.920$ I just gotta get rid of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

 $00:02:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.960$ There we go. So what is micro dosing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

 $00:02:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.150$ I'm sure you're all familiar with

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

 $00:02:13.150 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.673$ the idea that it's the use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

 $00{:}02{:}15.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.653$ low doses of LSD and sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

00:02:17.653 --> 00:02:19.878 other psychedelic drugs as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

00:02:19.880 --> 00:02:22.598 People take it once every three or four days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

 $00:02:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.320$ and they claim that it improves their mood,

00:02:25.320 --> 00:02:28.240 their cognition, their created creativity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

 $00{:}02{:}28.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32.372$ and lots of other response measures as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

 $00:02:32.372 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.186$ The doses that people take are about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

00:02:36.186 --> 00:02:39.048 tenth of the tripping psychedelic dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

 $00{:}02{:}39.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41.396$ and the practice is extremely wide spread

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

 $00:02:41.396 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.248$ and it's had a lot of publicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

 $00:02:44.250 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.516$ positive publicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

 $00:02:45.516 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.048$ On the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

 $00:02:48.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.418$ as was just mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

 $00{:}02{:}49.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51.470$ there have been very few controlled

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

 $00:02:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.074$ studies to really validate

NOTE Confidence: 0.92597395

 $00:02:53.074 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.004$ whether these claims have merit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744205

 $00{:}03{:}00.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}03.346$ Here are some of the popular So again

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744205

 $00:03:03.346 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.714$ it's been really popular in the media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744205

 $00:03:05.714 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.607$ And here are a few of the books that

 $00:03:08.607 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.243$ have been published specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744205

 $00:03:10.243 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.468$ about micro dosing and the claims

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744205

 $00:03:12.468 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.328$ that they make are very broad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744205

 $00:03:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.291$ So here's one, the first one there is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744205

 $00:03:17.291 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.888$ improve your physical and mental health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744205

 $00:03:19.890 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.806$ Another one is safe, sacred journeys,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744205

 $00{:}03{:}22.810 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}24.808$ another to upgrade your life and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744205

 $00:03:24.808 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.810$ another one to improve your mood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744205

 $00{:}03{:}26.810 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28.290$ your marriage and your life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744205

 $00:03:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.690$ So these are pretty general claims

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744205

 $00{:}03{:}30.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33.928$ to kind of put to scientific test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744205

 $00:03:33.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.169$ So our question when we got into this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94744205

00:03:38.170 --> 00:03:39.490 I'm sorry something

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:03:41.770 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.440$ light touch. So the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:03:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.370$ that we put to ourselves is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

00:03:45.370 --> 00:03:47.302 is really does it work and it's

 $00{:}03{:}47.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.320$ something that we can look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:03:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.448$ under laboratory conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:03:50.450 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.026$ And there are reasons both to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:03:53.026 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.976$ optimistic about whether it actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:03:54.976 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.304$ works at a pharmacological levels and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:03:57.304 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.889$ also there is reasons to be skeptical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:03:59.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.025$ From the point of view of does

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:04:02.025 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.890$ up to be optimistic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:04:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.378$ The fact that so many people are using

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00{:}04{:}06.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}08.810$ it and claiming benefits from it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:04:08.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.010$ suggests that there's something there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

00:04:11.010 --> 00:04:13.290 It seems like if it's completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00{:}04{:}13.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.264$ a snake oil then people would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00{:}04{:}15.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17.410$ drop off and their enthusiasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:04:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.822$ So the the widespread use is one reason to

 $00:04:19.822 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.170$ think that there might be something there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00{:}04{:}22.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}24.420$ There are also plausible neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:04:24.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.670$ mechanisms that you are probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:04:26.748 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.788$ more familiar with than I am.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

00:04:28.790 --> 00:04:29.578 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

00:04:29.578 --> 00:04:31.548 LSD acts on serotonin receptors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00{:}04{:}31.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.424$ which is the same as receptor system

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

00:04:34.424 --> 00:04:36.709 that antidepressant drugs work on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:04:36.710 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.014$ and there's evidence that it might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

00:04:39.014 --> 00:04:40.550 have antiinflammatory effects and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00{:}04{:}40.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}42.466$ it might have neurogenic effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:04:42.470 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.535$ So there's some plausible neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:04:44.535 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.121$ mechanisms by which it might have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:04:47.121 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.376$ some sort of antidepressant effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:04:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.580$ There are also very promising

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00{:}04{:}51.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53.780$ clinical reports with high doses

 $00:04:53.855 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.235$ of LSD that high doses of LSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:04:56.235 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.585$ reduce symptoms of depression in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

00:04:58.585 --> 00:05:00.460 treatment resentment patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:05:00.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.920$ and there's also some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324826

 $00:05:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.380$ evidence from animal models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:05:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.700$ There are also reasons to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:05:07.700 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.140$ skeptical about the claims.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:05:09.140 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.060$ First of all, and most importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

00:05:11.060 --> 00:05:13.600 the users claims are deeply

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:05:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.632$ confounded by their expectancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00{:}05{:}15.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17.952$ Nobody uses a drug like this on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:05:17.952 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.454$ regular basis without expecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:05:19.454 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.604$ some sort of beneficial effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00{:}05{:}21.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23.638$ and they'll continue to take it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:05:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.279$ And any effects that they do experience

 $00:05:26.279 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.976$ are then interpreted often as as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00{:}05{:}28.976 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}05{:}31.396$ being beneficial or or supportive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00{:}05{:}31.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.470$ And so it's it's really difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:05:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.357$ to determine whether it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:05:35.357 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.695$ the pharmacological effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:05:36.695 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.479$ or the expectancy effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:05:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.846$ Secondly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:05:38.846 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.676$ the pharmacology of these very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00{:}05{:}40.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42.880$ low doses is poorly understood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:05:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.596$ We don't know what the optimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00{:}05{:}44.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.200$ doses are for micro dosing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:05:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.216$ We don't know what the receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:05:48.216 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.519$ actions of these very low doses are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

00:05:50.520 --> 00:05:53.358 So without knowing the pharmacology well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:05:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.440$ it's hard to really go into a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:05:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.781$ clinical investigation of and

 $00:05:58.781 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.278$ possible antidepressant effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:00.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.422$ And one of the things that concerns

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:02.422 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.164$ me is the heterogeneity of the of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:05.164 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.354$ the claimed and apparent benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.229$ And here's just a brief listing of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:09.229 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.348$ some of the claims that people have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:11.348 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.368$ made along the way. They improve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

00:06:13.368 --> 00:06:15.672 They claim that the drug improves

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

00:06:15.672 --> 00:06:16.440 their creativity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.000$ which actually turns out to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.560$ be very difficult to measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.440$ That improves energy, productivity, focus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.240$ I won't read them all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.487$ but you can see that there's quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:25.487 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.110$ a variety spiritual awareness,

00:06:27.110 --> 00:06:28.292 leadership, develop wisdom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:28.292 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.868$ so all these claims,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:29.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.270$ so it's our job as pharmacologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.326$ psychopharmacologist to figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:34.326 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.502$ which of these have an empirical basis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:37.502 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.950$ and then of course decreased depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:39.950 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.230$ anxiety and even menopausal symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:42.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.168$ So it seems unlikely that one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00{:}06{:}44.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}46.628$ drug could do all of these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

00:06:46.630 --> 00:06:49.326 And so it's our job to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:49.326 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.407$ figure out what does it do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:51.410 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.566$ So there's a need for controlled studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:53.570 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.510$ What are its effects on either mood

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

00:06:56.510 --> 00:06:58.490 or objective behavioral measures?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:06:58.490 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.450$ Who benefits? So does it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:00.450 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.138$ The are the effects of the drug

 $00:07:03.138 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.170$ dependent on the either psychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00{:}07{:}05.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}06.930$ symptoms or personality or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:06.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.450$ preexisting state of the individual?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

00:07:09.450 --> 00:07:10.545 Who's taking it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:10.545 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.100$ At what doses is the drug effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:13.175 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.367$ and for how long?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.946$ And what exactly is the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:16.946 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.682$ the expectancies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:17.690 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.536$ So the expectancies you could get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:21.540 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.400$ apparently beneficial effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00{:}07{:}23.400 --> 00{:}07{:}25.260 \ \mathrm{purely \ from \ expectancy},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.095$ or it could be that the expectancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00{:}07{:}28.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}30.518$ could interact with the pharmacological

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:30.518 \dashrightarrow 00:07:33.896$ effects to produce some desired outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

00:07:33.900 --> 00:07:35.365 Some of the preclinical evidence

 $00:07:35.365 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.588$ that you as a group are probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:37.588 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.980$ very familiar with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:38.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.040$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00{:}07{:}40.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42.160$ repeated LSD normalized active

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

00:07:42.160 --> 00:07:43.220 avoidance learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.782$ which is a model of depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:45.782 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.146$ antidepressant effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:47.146 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.874$ and reverse signaling abnormalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00{:}07{:}49.880 \to 00{:}07{:}52.620$ In the olfactory balbectomy model

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:52.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.533$ of depression neurogenesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:54.533 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.598$ LSD reportedly increases indicators of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:07:58.598 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.948$ neural neuronal growth social behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00{:}08{:}01.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.218$ Repeated LSD increased social behavior

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00{:}08{:}04.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07.522$ in my since a series of very elegant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:08:07.522 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.976$ studies coming from McGill and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:08:09.976 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.040$ similarly stress induced anxiety.

 $00:08:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.770$ Repeated LSD reversed stress into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00{:}08{:}14.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17.911$ induced anxiety like behavior in mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:08:17.911 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.377$ So these are all very promising

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00{:}08{:}20.377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.340$ bits of preclinical evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:08:22.340 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.743$ And I I I'd like to just say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:08:24.743 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.960$ one of my particular interests is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:08:26.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.951$ to try and bridge what gets found in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330807

 $00:08:29.951 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.373$ the animal studies and what we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00{:}08{:}32.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35.656$ study in humans. So I I I see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00:08:35.660 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.736$ there's a need for really parallel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00{:}08{:}37.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.570$ closely parallel studies in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00{:}08{:}39.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41.985$ animals and in the humans to as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00{:}08{:}41.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.568$ sort of a proof of concept to go on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

00:08:44.568 --> 00:08:46.644 to figure out what the mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00:08:46.644 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.330$ are underlying these drug effects.

 $00:08:49.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.410$ So a number of studies have been published

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00{:}08{:}52.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55.124$ with humans and there is one whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00:08:55.124 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.450$ category of survey, self report study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00{:}08{:}57.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59.850$ So these are usually Internet studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00:08:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.218$ online studies where they ask you are you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

00:09:02.218 --> 00:09:04.925 a micro doser and do you like the effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00:09:04.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.690$ Do you get beneficial effects?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00:09:06.690 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.034$ And you can see that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00{:}09{:}08.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}09{:}730$ might be a real bias in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00:09:09.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.226$ Those studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00:09:11.226 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.520$ those survey questionnaires rarely reveal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

00:09:14.520 --> 00:09:17.670 lack of effect or negative effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

00:09:17.670 --> 00:09:19.178 are almost always positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00:09:19.178 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.309$ And so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00:09:20.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.360$ they're very much influenced by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00:09:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.790$ expectancies and then by selection bias.

 $00:09:24.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.396$ That is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00:09:25.396 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.820$ the people who report on them are generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00:09:27.887 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.987$ enthusiastic about the drug effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00:09:29.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.918$ There was a very interesting citizen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

00:09:32.918 --> 00:09:35.846 science study by Zaghetti in 2021

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00:09:35.846 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.312$ where they allowed micro dosers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

00:09:39.312 --> 00:09:41.802 blind their own Drug Administration

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00:09:41.802 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.560$ in their own home environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00:09:44.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.612$ So they used their own drug and they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9262907

 $00{:}09{:}47.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}49.880$ at the the researchers allowed them to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:09:53.150 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.026$ sort of formulate put their drugs into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:09:55.026 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.028$ envelope so that they didn't know what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:09:57.028 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.750$ they were getting but the researchers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:09:58.804 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.508$ didn't know what they were getting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.902$ So that then they over a period of

 $00{:}10{:}02.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04.618$ several weeks they got either place bo

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00{:}10{:}04.618 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}10{:}07.353$ or a low dose or a higher dose of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00{:}10{:}07.353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09.183$ their preferred micro dose drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:09.190 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.185$ And it was. It's an elegant study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:11.190 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.702$ And basically though their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:13.702 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.625$ conclusion was that they saw some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:16.625 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.350$ beneficial effects of micro dosing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:18.350 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.672$ But when they took into account

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00{:}10{:}20.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22.657$ the people who recognized that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

00:10:22.657 --> 00:10:24.865 they had received an active drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:24.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.948$ the if the beneficial effects disappeared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:27.950 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.070$ And so they concluded from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:31.070 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.922$ that they were unable to exclude the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:34.922 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.106$ possibility that people's expectancies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:37.110 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.294$ that is their idea that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:39.294 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.750$ might have beneficial effects,

 $00:10:40.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.278$ could have accounted for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:42.278 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.424$ the beneficial effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:43.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.390$ Now researchers have come up with this idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:46.390 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.629$ that for something to be a true micro dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:49.630 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.726$ it has to be below the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:52.726 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.790$ detectable threshold of effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:54.790 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.958$ So and so that this is a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:10:57.958 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.750$ stringent criterion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00{:}10{:}58.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00.766$ So you're giving a drug and you see no

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:11:00.766 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.722$ self reported effects and they're hoping

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:11:02.722 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.870$ that it will have beneficial effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

00:11:04.870 --> 00:11:06.970 From my point of view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00{:}11{:}06.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09.364$ I think this is too stringent criterion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:11:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.785$ I think it's possible that people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

00:11:11.785 --> 00:11:14.393 are micro dosing out in the world

 $00:11:14.393 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.278$ are actually experiencing some subtle

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00{:}11{:}16.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18.910$ drug effects and so it might be unfair

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00{:}11{:}18.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20.738$ to exclude them completely and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

00:11:20.738 --> 00:11:22.514 might be excluding the very people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:11:22.514 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.367$ who might get beneficial effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

00:11:24.370 --> 00:11:27.314 It's a very difficult,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:11:27.314 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.958$ troublesome methodological issue to overcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:11:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.836$ In any case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:11:31.836 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.880$ the citizen science study was was good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:11:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.600$ It showed some beneficial effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00{:}11{:}35.600 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}11{:}38.800$ but not if you excluded the people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:11:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.237$ correctly identified the drug that they got.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00:11:41.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.705$ So then we've done a series of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

00:11:44.705 --> 00:11:46.313 laboratory based studies under

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

 $00{:}11{:}46.313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47.797$ double-blind conditions and I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298195

00:11:47.797 --> 00:11:49.439 describe those to you here.

 $00:11:51.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.000$ These are done with healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00{:}11{:}54.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56.240$ volunteers for the most part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:11:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.000$ They're also done under

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:11:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.880$ double-blind conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:11:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.028$ And in our laboratory we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

00:12:01.028 --> 00:12:03.136 able to administer drugs under

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:12:03.136 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.960$ truly double-blind conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00{:}12{:}04.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.658$ So we tell the participants that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:12:06.658 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.243$ they might receive any of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:12:08.243 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.643$ wide range of drugs so that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00{:}12{:}09.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11.237$ say you might get a stimulant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:12:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.400$ you might get a tranquilizer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00{:}12{:}12.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}13.800$ you might get a hallucinogen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:12:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.840$ A placebo and some studies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:12:15.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.920$ antihistamine or a or a alcohol.

 $00:12:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.512$ So we give them a whole range of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:12:20.512 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.136$ different possible categories of drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:12:22.136 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.647$ So they really don't know what kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:12:24.647 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.679$ drug they're going to get in the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

00:12:26.680 --> 00:12:28.738 And we believe and I think there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00{:}12{:}28.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31.180$ good reason to think that if you just

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:12:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.340$ told them either alcohol or place bo or

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

00:12:33.340 --> 00:12:36.630 only MDMA or placebo then or LSD or placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:12:36.630 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.736$ then they're going to be very much biased

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

00:12:38.736 --> 00:12:40.878 that any drug effect that they experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00{:}12{:}40.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42.357$ they're going to attribute to the drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:12:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.709$ So we go to a lot of trouble to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:12:44.709 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.286$ really do this extra blinding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:12:46.286 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.967$ Most of our studies have been with

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

00:12:48.967 --> 00:12:51.476 single doses of the drugs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:12:51.476 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.120$ we measure behavioral responses,

 $00:12:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.720$ so measure different tasks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:12:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.902$ different mood measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:12:57.902 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.266$ We also have done some studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:13:00.266 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.718$ with EE G and FM RI and then we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

00:13:02.718 --> 00:13:04.599 done one study where we give,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:13:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.520$ we gave repeated doses in the same people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:13:07.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.110$ So I'll describe those studies to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00{:}13{:}09.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11.037$ you and I'll also very briefly at

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00{:}13{:}11.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12.657$ the end describe a recent study

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00:13:12.657 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.310$ that was published by Murphy at

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00{:}13{:}14.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16.270$ all where they used both laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.94028884

 $00{:}13{:}16.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.160$ and naturalistic settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9380628

 $00{:}13{:}22.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.206$ So just to kind of go over the what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9380628

00:13:24.206 --> 00:13:26.186 we've done in our laboratory studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9380628

00:13:26.190 --> 00:13:29.389 single doses of LSD, their doses are

 $00:13:29.390 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.744$ odd doses of 6.513 and 26 micrograms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9380628

 $00:13:33.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.988$ We measure subjective and behavioral effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9380628

00:13:35.990 --> 00:13:38.160 I just said that already healthy volunteers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9380628

00:13:38.160 --> 00:13:40.386 and most recently we've also looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9380628

 $00:13:40.386 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.936$ depressed participants and I'll show

NOTE Confidence: 0.9380628

 $00:13:41.936 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.305$ you the results of that and then that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9380628

 $00:13:44.305 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.536$ So most of them are single doses and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9380628

 $00:13:47.536 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.105$ one study was done with repeated doses

NOTE Confidence: 0.9380628

 $00:13:50.105 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.347$ of either placebo or 13 or 16 micrograms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:13:57.550 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.230$ I've already said they were double.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

00:13:59.230 --> 00:14:00.734 The studies are double-blind

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:00.734 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.478$ and placebo-controlled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

00:14:01.478 --> 00:14:04.458 So all the in, in with one exception,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:04.458 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.589$ all the studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:05.590 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.310$ in all the studies it's within the subject.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.046$ So the same subjects get drug and placebo

 $00:14:10.046 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.830$ in or or actually in two of the studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:11.830 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.430$ Now that's between subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:13.430 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.238$ So, But they're all placebo-controlled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:15.238 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.700$ The participants are healthy men and women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

00:14:17.700 --> 00:14:20.980 We exclude anyone with psychiatric,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:20.980 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.100$ serious psychiatric background and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

00:14:25.100 --> 00:14:26.820 anyone with substance use history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:26.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.934$ anyone who's taking any kind of medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.816$ They had to be 18 to 35.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:30.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.305$ They had to report using at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

00:14:33.305 --> 00:14:35.720 one one lifetime use of psychedelic

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

00:14:35.720 --> 00:14:37.898 and that was really to reassure

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

00:14:37.898 --> 00:14:40.009 ourselves we didn't want to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:40.009 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.779$ the first ones to administer a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:41.779 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.320$ drug like this to healthy people.

 $00:14:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.893$ That'd be normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:44.893 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.230$ Weight that to have a high school

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

00:14:46.270 --> 00:14:47.318 education fluent in English.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.752$ So they're they're very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:49.752 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.632$ very thoroughly screened to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:52.632 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.824$ healthy participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:53.824 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.944$ Our sessions are conducted in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:14:56.944 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.845$ the laboratory for between 4:00

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

00:14:59.845 --> 00:15:02.680 and at the longest 8 hours in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

00:15:02.680 --> 00:15:04.718 comfortable living room like room,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:15:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.583$ so with couches and and televisions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00{:}15{:}07.583 \to 00{:}15{:}10.598$ movies to watch and that kind of thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00{:}15{:}10.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.576$ So the four studies I'll talk about here

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:15:12.576 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.678$ are one where we the first study where

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

00:15:14.678 --> 00:15:16.638 we looked at single low doses of LSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:15:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.140$ we gave the same subjects

 $00:15:19.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.208$ got 06.513 or 26 micrograms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00{:}15{:}21.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}23.560$ The second study I'll describe here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:15:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.038$ we looked at brain measures of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

00:15:26.040 --> 00:15:28.146 reactivity to rewards and in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:15:28.146 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.838$ study we used zero and 26 micrograms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:15:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.076$ In the third study I'll describe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:15:32.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.360$ we gave repeated doses of zero,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:15:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.965$ 13 and 36 and that is between

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:15:37.965 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.995$ subjects design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

00:15:39.000 --> 00:15:39.831 And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

00:15:39.831 --> 00:15:41.770 I'll describe the results of our recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114233

 $00:15:41.828 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.676$ study with people with depressed mood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00{:}15{:}47.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.704$ I've already said all this healthy men

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

00:15:49.704 --> 00:15:52.310 and women within subject design this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00:15:52.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.390$ this was our first study.

 $00:15:53.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.476$ So we actually had eight hour sessions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00{:}15{:}55.476 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}15{:}57.348$ in our laboratory environment because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00:15:57.348 \dashrightarrow 00:15:59.830$ didn't know how long the drug would last.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

00:15:59.830 --> 00:16:00.870 As I'm sure you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00:16:00.870 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.802$ LSD has a long duration of effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00:16:02.802 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.147$ and in the end at these low doses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00:16:05.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.910$ we don't have to keep them in quite so long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

00:16:06.910 --> 00:16:07.990 But in this first study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00{:}16{:}07.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10.357$ they stayed in for 8 hours at a time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00:16:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.880$ So this kind of gives you an outline

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00{:}16{:}11.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13.556$ of what happens in a typical session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

00:16:13.560 --> 00:16:15.000 They do all kinds of screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

00:16:15.000 --> 00:16:16.254 pregnancy and drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00{:}16{:}16.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18.344$ urine tests before they participate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00:16:18.344 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.522$ and they get the drug and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00{:}16{:}21.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23.038$ get cardiovascular and subjective

00:16:23.104 --> 00:16:25.414 subjective ratings every half hour or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00:16:25.414 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.608$ hour for the duration of the session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00:16:27.608 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.759$ And then at the time of peak drug effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00:16:29.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.259$ we get behavioral tasks or scan as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00{:}16{:}32.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34.903$ the case might be or EE G measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319653

 $00:16:34.903 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.398$ as the case might be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

 $00:16:39.260 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.636$ So just to give you an idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

 $00:16:42.636 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.058$ the is, do you see this thing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

00:16:47.100 --> 00:16:47.980 We can see your cursor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

 $00:16:47.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.820$ Yeah, we can see the Finder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

00:16:49.420 --> 00:16:50.556 but OK. So anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

 $00:16:50.556 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.976$ I'll take that into account.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

 $00{:}16{:}51.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53.500$ There's, it's hiding something there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

 $00:16:53.500 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.420$ OK. Just to give you an idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

 $00:16:56.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.767$ the doses that we're giving over

 $00:16:57.767 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.984$ on the left are ratings of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

 $00{:}16{:}59.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02.700$ much people feel a drug effect when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

 $00{:}17{:}02.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}04.550$ they get full psychedelic doses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

00:17:04.550 --> 00:17:07.350 So 100 and 200 micrograms of LSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

 $00:17:07.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.348$ this is the group in Switzerland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

 $00:17:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.350$ These are the ratings of how much drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

 $00:17:11.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.188$ effect the the scale goes up to 100.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

00:17:13.190 --> 00:17:15.534 And so you can see that it's close

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

 $00:17:15.534 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.747$ to maximal at both 100 and and 200.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

00:17:17.750 --> 00:17:18.971 Actually interestingly there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

 $00{:}17{:}18.971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21.006$ aren't very clear dose dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

 $00:17:21.006 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.867$ effects when you get this high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

 $00{:}17{:}22.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}25.030$ So both 100 and 200 produce

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275157

 $00:17:25.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.110$ fairly high effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

00:17:29.160 --> 00:17:31.095 Then over on the right you can see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:17:31.095 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.999$ ratings on this same sort of questionnaire.

00:17:33.000 --> 00:17:35.511 How much do you feel a drug effect at

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00{:}17{:}35.511 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38.079$ the doses that we gave in that single

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:17:38.079 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.872$ low dose administration and the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:17:39.872 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.560$ the lightest line is the placebo session,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:17:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.975$ this is the ratings of field drug over

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

00:17:45.975 --> 00:17:47.830 time during the session you can say

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:17:47.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.826$ that the lightest one at the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00{:}17{:}49.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.824$ there is place bo and then you get

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:17:51.824 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.679$ the lower dose and then the higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:17:53.679 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.070$ middle dose and the highest dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00{:}17{:}55.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.409$ And so at the highest dose here we're getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:17:58.409 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.990$ ratings that are less than 50% of maximal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:18:00.990 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.490$ So and that's not happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:18:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.990$ in all the subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:18:03.990 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.915$ And so this this sort of formed the basis

 $00:18:06.915 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.626$ for trying to find a threshold dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:18:09.630 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.885$ Again, finding a dose that's either threshold

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:18:12.885 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.028$ or below threshold is extremely difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

00:18:16.030 --> 00:18:18.422 One of the problems is that people vary

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:18:18.422 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.147$ a lot in their responses to the drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:18:21.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.670$ So what's a detectable dose to one person

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:18:23.670 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.506$ might be below threshold to another person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:18:26.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.718$ And the other problem as I sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00{:}18{:}28.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.076$ referred to before is that if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

00:18:31.076 --> 00:18:32.816 if you're repeatedly giving doses

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00{:}18{:}32.883 \rightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.007$ that are not even detectable then

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

00:18:35.007 --> 00:18:36.954 you're you're investing a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

00:18:36.954 --> 00:18:38.264 time and energy into something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:18:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.268$ into a study where you might

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:18:40.268 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.267$ not see anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:18:41.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.467$ So it's nice to be able to get

 $00:18:43.467 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.609$ at least some kind of reportable

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:18:45.609 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.708$ subjective effect from the drug anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:18:47.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.330$ So this is where we are in the in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366

 $00:18:50.410 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.830$ the range of doses that we're answering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132366 00:18:52.830 --> 00:18:53.190 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9255704

 $00:18:53.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.146$ can I, can I ask you a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.9255704

 $00:18:55.146 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.249$ going back to that slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9255704

00:18:56.250 --> 00:18:58.370 So just for perspective

NOTE Confidence: 0.9255704

 $00:19:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.010$ on the right hand side, the low dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9255704

00:19:03.010 --> 00:19:05.937 very low doses of LST, what would you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9255704

 $00:19:05.937 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.791$ what kind of drug effects are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9255704

 $00:19:07.791 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.808$ you are people reporting with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9255704

 $00{:}19{:}09.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11.970$ say some of your other studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9255704

 $00:19:11.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.826$ with drugs like, I don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9255704

00:19:14.826 --> 00:19:17.009 antihistaminics or just for comparison,

00:19:19.380 --> 00:19:21.396 you mean how much do people report feeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.9007908

 $00{:}19{:}21.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23.700$ a drug if we gave them, say, amphetamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9007908

 $00:19:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.380$ or an antihistaminic or?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9007908

 $00:19:27.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.872$ Well, we actually haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9007908

 $00:19:29.872 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.978$ given antihistamines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9007908

 $00:19:30.980 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.564$ That happens to be one that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9007908

 $00:19:32.564 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.420$ we used as a distractor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9007908

 $00:19:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.400$ Yeah. OK. So it would depend

NOTE Confidence: 0.9007908

 $00{:}19{:}36.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38.620$ on the drug and the dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9007908

00:19:39.380 --> 00:19:41.020 OK, All right. Thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9355316

 $00:19:41.400 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.640$ But typically in our studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9355316

00:19:43.640 --> 00:19:46.280 where we use amphetamine or THC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9355316

00:19:46.280 --> 00:19:49.066 we would see like ratings of field

NOTE Confidence: 0.9355316

 $00:19:49.066 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.441$ drug of 70 or 80 something like that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9355316

00:19:52.441 --> 00:19:54.636 or MDMA again it depends on the dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:19:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.576$ OK. So then we come up with a

 $00:20:00.576 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.840$ challenge of what to measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:20:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.288$ So we're we've got these threshold

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00{:}20{:}04.288 \to 00{:}20{:}06.896$ doses and and many of our usual

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

00:20:06.896 --> 00:20:08.272 questionnaires for measuring drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

00:20:08.272 --> 00:20:09.959 effects except for do you feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:20:09.959 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.369$ a drug effect overall are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

00:20:11.421 --> 00:20:13.037 designed for psychedelic drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00{:}20{:}13.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}15.105$ And so we're struggling with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

00:20:15.105 --> 00:20:16.757 what exactly to measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:20:16.760 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.535$ And so someone has to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

00:20:18.535 --> 00:20:19.600 design this question naire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00{:}20{:}19.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21.875$ the five dimensional altered states

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00{:}20{:}21.875 \to 00{:}20{:}23.240$ of consciousness question naire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:20:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.070$ which has these at least.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:20:25.070 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.030$ For scales and and there are other

 $00:20:27.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.069$ versions of it as well which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00{:}20{:}29.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30.779$ a series of questions that appear

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00{:}20{:}30.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33.043$ to be sensitive to the effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:20:33.043 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.914$ psychedelic drugs and so and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:20:34.914 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.070$ more sensitive to any of our other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:20:37.138 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.668$ measures of acute subjective effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:20:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.566$ So we in our other studies we use

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:20:41.566 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.668$ something called the addiction

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00{:}20{:}42.668 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}20{:}44.113$ Research Center inventory or we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

00:20:44.113 --> 00:20:45.940 use the profile of mood States and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00{:}20{:}45.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.650$ some of those things are just don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:20:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.350$ detect these really unique effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:20:49.350 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.910$ of the of the psychedelic drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:20:51.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.870$ So this is kind of new territory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

00:20:53.870 --> 00:20:56.180 for us as psychopharmacologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:20:56.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.340$ So measures like reports like I

00:20:58.340 --> 00:21:01.269 felt one with my surroundings or I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:21:01.269 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.614$ experienced a touch of eternity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:21:03.620 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.722$ It's getting into territory that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:21:06.722 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.494$ not used to measuring or studying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:21:09.500 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.620$ It's it's just such a subtle and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:21:12.620 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.880$ and abstract kind of conceptual experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:21:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.872$ But as it turns out this people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00{:}21{:}17.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19.999$ able to answer these questions and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00{:}21{:}19.999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22.439$ are able to quantify the the responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00{:}21{:}22.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25.144$ So I I still struggle with the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00{:}21{:}25.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.207$ that how do you even for yourself how

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:21:28.207 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.673$ do you rate something like experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00{:}21{:}30.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33.640$ of awe or experience of inner peace.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:21:33.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.460$ It's just it's just a real challenge

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:21:35.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.690$ to come up with and I and I think we

 $00:21:37.690 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.839$ as a field still need to work on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00{:}21{:}39.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42.752$ What are we measuring and what are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:21:42.752 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.050$ the core dimensions of these experiences?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

00:21:46.050 --> 00:21:47.872 But as it turns out, so this scale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:21:47.872 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.130$ this is scale can go up to 100.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354748

 $00:21:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.530$ Oops.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:21:53.690 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.970$ These are the ratings in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:21:55.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.426$ study of the zero, the low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00{:}21{:}58.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}01.868$ medium and higher dose of LSD on these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

00:22:01.868 --> 00:22:04.074 on 4 scales, experience of unity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:04.074 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.810$ spiritual experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:04.810 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.342$ blissful state and insightfulness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:06.342 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.397$ The black bar on the left of each

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:09.397 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.812$ four some is the placebo and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:11.812 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.686$ rightmost one is the higher dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:13.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.038$ And you can see that there is a dose

00:22:17.038 --> 00:22:19.316 dependent increase on ratings of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:19.316 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.194$ these question naires on these scales.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:22.194 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.970$ The the I've we've expanded the scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.557$ here so this only goes up to 20 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

00:22:27.557 --> 00:22:29.699 the scale itself goes up to 100 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:29.699 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.925$ when you give high doses of psychedelic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:31.925 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.970$ drugs then they do approach 100.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:33.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.570$ So these are very subtle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:35.570 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.554$ but at least we're seeing qualitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:38.554 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.822$ effects that are kind of resemble the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00{:}22{:}40.822 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42.845$ doses that you see that the effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:42.845 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.550$ that you see with higher doses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00{:}22{:}44.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47.508$ So we did see detectable effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:47.510 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.430$ You know again this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.948$ I'm sorry this is very sensitive.

 $00:22:50.950 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.889$ The very lowest dose I'd have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00{:}22{:}52.889 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}54.559$ say didn't maybe on insightfulness

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

00:22:54.559 --> 00:22:56.107 it increased in effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:56.110 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.435$ but so it's but we're just a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:22:59.435 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.190$ marginal dose effect there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:23:02.190 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.484$ OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:23:02.484 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.836$ So that was sort of a proof of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00{:}23{:}04.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07.920$ concept and and it was also a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00{:}23{:}07.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10.114$ way to determine what doses we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:23:10.114 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.890$ should use in our other studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:23:11.890 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.202$ So we kind of went on from there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:23:14.202 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.444$ and the studies that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:23:16.444 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.826$ done from there have been either

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:23:18.906 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.566$ at 13 or 26 micrograms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:23:20.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.999$ So the second study that I'll describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:23:22.999 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.851$ to you is 1 on the effects of LS,

 $00:23:25.851 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.017$ these low doses of LSD on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:23:28.017 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.720$ brain response to reward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:23:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.856$ So one possibility that in is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

00:23:31.856 --> 00:23:33.709 intriguing is whether low doses

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00{:}23{:}33.709 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35.845$ of LSD or other psychedelic drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:23:35.845 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.249$ can alter brain function even at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:23:38.249 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.354$ doses that produce minimal effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:23:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.616$ So it could be that the reason we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:23:42.616 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.512$ not seeing anything on the subjective

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

00:23:44.512 --> 00:23:47.200 effects is or that we that it might be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00{:}23{:}47.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}50.080$ It's possible that you could see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00{:}23{:}50.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52.000$ some longterm beneficial effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00{:}23{:}52.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54.418$ on psychological function or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:23:54.418 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.226$ or feelings of well being even at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:23:57.226 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.566$ doses that that don't produce

 $00:23:59.566 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.970$ acute subjective effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:24:00.970 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.424$ But then you're you're it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:24:03.424 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.610$ you're you're really taking a risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

00:24:05.610 --> 00:24:07.850 And as a scientist in running that study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:24:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.746$ if there's no if,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:24:08.746 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.090$ you see no effects at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:24:10.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.050$ no physiological effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:24:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.010$ no subjective effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:24:12.010 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.842$ So we want to know whether that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:24:13.842 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.593$ could detect an effect on brain function

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00{:}24{:}15.593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17.330$ even at doses that were produced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

00:24:17.330 --> 00:24:18.986 hardly any subjective effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:24:18.986 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.010$ So we selected the brain reward signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:24:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.677$ That's called rue P That's measured with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:24:24.677 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.967$ EEG during the monetary incentive delay task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

00:24:27.970 --> 00:24:28.742 So in the Monitor,

 $00:24:28.742 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.900$ I'm sure you're familiar with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00{:}24{:}29.937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}31.049$ monetary incentive delay task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

00:24:31.050 --> 00:24:32.718 It's a it's a simple behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:24:32.718 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.434$ task where people get cues that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:24:34.434 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.799$ either signal that they're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:24:35.799 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.410$ to have a win or a loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:24:37.410 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.290$ and then there's some delay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

00:24:38.290 --> 00:24:40.290 and then they actually get a win or a loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

00:24:40.290 --> 00:24:41.448 And there's a lot of interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

00:24:41.450 --> 00:24:42.308 especially anticipatory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:24:42.308 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.882$ in their brain responses to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00:24:44.882 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.018$ to the anticipation cue and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306214

 $00{:}24{:}47.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49.424$ then also to the feedback queue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:24:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.958$ It turns out that people who have depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:24:51.958 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.828$ have a smaller rupee by this EE G measure.

 $00:24:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.478$ And so we might predict that if this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00{:}24{:}58.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00.740$ drug increases, makes people more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.890$ sensitive to reward that we might see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

00:25:03.978 --> 00:25:07.008 a larger rupee response even acutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:07.010 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.846$ And so that's what we saw.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.688$ So this is the brains signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:11.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.170$ This is time in milliseconds after a a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.570$ a queue actually this feed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:16.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.370$ this queue happens to be the feedback queue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:19.370 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.810$ So it's the report that the signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.548$ that people actually won something

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:23.548 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.026$ or lost something or that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:26.091 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.274$ something neutral and the solid line

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

00:25:29.274 --> 00:25:33.330 here corresponds to the people's brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.899$ the signal after the under the placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:35.899 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.168$ condition and then the broken lines.

 $00:25:38.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.330$ First of all that the middle one there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:40.330 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.490$ is the lower dose of LSD and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:42.490 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.490$ this one is the higher dose of LSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.434$ And you can see that both the lower dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:46.434 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.898$ and the higher dose increase the brain's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:48.898 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.368$ response to this receipt of reward signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

00:25:52.368 --> 00:25:55.896 So that's consistent at least with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:55.896 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.968$ idea that the drug is affecting reactivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:25:58.968 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.872$ to reward basically at at a neural level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:26:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.980$ And so this is definitely something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:26:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.039$ needs to be replicated and extended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00{:}26{:}09.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}12.881$ So we found here that the doses of LSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:26:12.881 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.129$ that are too low to be detected by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:26:15.129 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.895$ selfreport measures could produce subtle

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:26:16.895 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.720$ and alterations as it happens in this study,

 $00:26:19.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.996$ the 13, both the 13 and the 26 micrograms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:26:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.626$ they did produce a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:26:24.626 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.439$ of subjective effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:26:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.848$ So we still haven't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:26:26.848 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.261$ we still haven't shown that that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:26:29.261 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.669$ a brain response at a dose where there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:26:31.669 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.198$ absolutely no subjective response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

 $00:26:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.560$ So that's still to be to be determined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433

00:26:36.560 --> 00:26:37.160 Harriet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251433 00:26:37.160 --> 00:26:37.760 yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938183

 $00:26:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.380$ you mentioned at the beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.938183

 $00:26:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.975$ that you use active controls in

NOTE Confidence: 0.938183

 $00:26:40.975 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.375$ some of the studies and that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.938183

00:26:42.375 --> 00:26:43.916 it was that done in this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938183

 $00:26:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.677$ was there an amphetamine control or anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318324

 $00:26:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.038$ not in this study. But we have,

 $00:26:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.880$ we have looked at amphetamine on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318324

 $00{:}26{:}54.880 \to 00{:}26{:}57.512$ mid task with EEG in a separate group

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318324

00:26:57.512 --> 00:27:00.406 of subjects and I don't think I have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318324

00:27:00.406 --> 00:27:02.600 results fully put together to tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00:27:07.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.070$ so. Then the other question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00:27:08.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.130$ important question is whether these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00:27:10.130 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.778$ alterations in neural function

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00{:}27{:}11.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13.910$ are change with repeated dosing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00{:}27{:}13.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}15.331$ So even if we don't see anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00:27:15.331 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.870$ at this thing after a single dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00{:}27{:}16.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.530$ it could be that somehow gradually over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00:27:19.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.430$ time with repeated doses this changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00{:}27{:}23.430 --> 00{:}27{:}24.858$ So the next study that I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00:27:24.858 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.389$ describe is a repeated dose study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00:27:26.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.710$ This was a between subjects.

00:27:27.710 --> 00:27:29.270 So most of our studies are within subjects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00:27:29.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.658$ this one's between subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00:27:30.658 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.393$ So people were randomly assigned

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00:27:32.393 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.171$ to either be in the placebo group

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00:27:34.171 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.010$ or 13 or 26 microgram group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00{:}27{:}36.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38.628$ They got their dose on 4 separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00:27:38.628 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.088$ occasions separated by three or four days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00:27:41.090 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.540$ So session 123 and four are dosing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00{:}27{:}43.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}46.235$ days where they came into the lab and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00:27:46.235 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.783$ they sat there for four hours for I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

00:27:48.783 --> 00:27:51.165 think it was four or five hours and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

00:27:51.165 --> 00:27:53.090 got this either placebo or the drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

00:27:53.090 --> 00:27:55.490 And then some number of days after that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

00:27:55.490 --> 00:27:57.234 I think it was a week afterwards or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00:27:57.234 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.030$ or four days after that they had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00:27:59.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.882$ post test to see whether anything had

 $00:28:00.882 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.736$ changed as a function of receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388897

 $00:28:02.736 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.970$ these four doses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92100143

 $00:28:05.290 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.610$ Yes, I have a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92100143

00:28:06.610 --> 00:28:09.028 Yes, why did you choose just three

NOTE Confidence: 0.92100143

 $00:28:09.028 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.850$ to four days between sessions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92100143

00:28:11.770 --> 00:28:13.230 Oh, because that's what people

NOTE Confidence: 0.92100143

 $00:28:13.230 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.690$ do when they micro dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92100143

00:28:14.690 --> 00:28:17.030 this is oh I see this is kind of modeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.92100143

 $00{:}28{:}17.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18.500$ what people do when they're micro

NOTE Confidence: 0.92100143

 $00:28:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.170$ dosing that's exactly what they do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92100143

 $00{:}28{:}20.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.146$ OK. But because you might expect that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.92100143

00:28:24.146 --> 00:28:28.410 effects of LST might last much longer on EEG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92100143

 $00{:}28{:}28.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31.010$ might last longer than three to four days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94207275

 $00:28:32.060 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.580$ that's an interesting question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94207275

 $00:28:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.772$ There's no evidence from our studies or from

00:28:38.772 --> 00:28:41.539 other people's studies that at these doses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94207275

00:28:41.540 --> 00:28:44.125 the pharmacological, that there's any

NOTE Confidence: 0.94207275

 $00:28:44.125 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.193$ measurable effect or pharmacological

NOTE Confidence: 0.94207275

 $00:28:46.193 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.498$ effect left at three or four days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94207275

 $00:28:48.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.167$ But you're right, there could be some

NOTE Confidence: 0.94207275

 $00:28:51.167 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.334$ lasting neural changes and that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.94207275

 $00:28:53.334 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.025$ be interesting to know that and how that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94207275

 $00:28:56.025 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.279$ changes across the four sessions, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94207275

 $00{:}28{:}58.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00.191$ So the drug is certainly cleared by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94207275

 $00:29:00.191 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.378$ three or four days that we were a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.94207275

 $00{:}29{:}02.378 \to 00{:}29{:}04.157$ worried that there might be accumulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94207275

 $00:29:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.910$ but there's no evidence at all that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94207275

 $00:29:05.910 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.040$ there's any accumulation of drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:29:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.370$ So we looked at both acute responses to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

00:29:17.370 --> 00:29:20.319 the drug at each of the doses using mood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:29:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.992$ emotional reactivity.

 $00:29:20.992 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.344$ So having them look at emotional faces

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00{:}29{:}23.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}25.310$ and looking at emotional pictures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:29:25.310 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.725$ we had lots of measures of cognition

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

00:29:27.725 --> 00:29:29.722 and go no go tasks, all kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:29:29.722 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.509$ things that are cognitive measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:29:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.351$ And then we also looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:29:33.351 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.950$ lasting effects after the four doses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:29:34.950 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.043$ And this was without the drug present

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

00:29:37.043 --> 00:29:39.292 to see whether there were any lasting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00{:}29{:}39.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}41.224$ effects on any of these measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:29:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.589$ This side summarizes 2 of the measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:29:43.590 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.655$ This is depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:29:44.655 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.785$ And then what's this other one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:29:46.790 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.630$ Vigor. This one is vigor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:29:48.630 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.838$ So sense of energy on the

 $00:29:50.838 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.686$ profile of mood states this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00{:}29{:}52.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}54.566$ It shows their responses during

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:29:54.566 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.770$ each of the four sessions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00{:}29{:}56.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58.880$ session 1234 and I'm showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:29:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.366$ you here the placebo session is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:30:01.366 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.392$ the open bar and then I'm sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:30:03.392 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.289$ the placebo group is the open bar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:30:05.290 \dashrightarrow 00:30:07.970$ the the 13 microgram group is the middle

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365784

 $00:30:07.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.525$ one and then the darker one is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:30:12.730 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.877$ the darker one is the 26 microgram group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:30:15.877 \dashrightarrow 00:30:18.460$ And this is across the four sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:30:18.460 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.400$ So you can see on session one we see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:30:21.485 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.260$ nice apparent antidepressant effect that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:30:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.178$ is the highest dose compared to placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:30:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.462$ People show a decrease in depression and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:30:29.462 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.534$ it's a little bit there on session 2:00 and

 $00:30:32.534 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.740$ basically it disappears by session four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00{:}30{:}34.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}37.364$ And I should say also that their their

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:30:37.364 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.460$ ratings on these scales before getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:30:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.700$ the drug on the four days don't change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00{:}30{:}41.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}43.975$ So there's no shift in the baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:30:43.975 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.950$ of depression scores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

00:30:44.950 --> 00:30:46.894 But so we see a quite a pronounced

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:30:46.894 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.001$ effect on the first day that they get

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00{:}30{:}49.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.827$ their dose and that that basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:30:50.827 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.382$ there's apparently tolerance to it or it

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00{:}30{:}53.382 \to 00{:}30{:}55.790$ dissipates across the other four sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

00:30:55.790 --> 00:30:57.680 That was also true for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

00:30:57.680 --> 00:30:58.625 reports of vigor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

00:30:58.630 --> 00:30:59.180 So people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:30:59.180 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.380$ this is a change score from pre from

 $00:31:01.438 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.310$ at the beginning of the session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:31:03.310 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.585$ Pre capsule or it's not a capsule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

00:31:05.590 --> 00:31:06.890 it's actually a liquid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

00:31:06.890 --> 00:31:09.909 but so pre drug to the highest point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:31:09.910 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.790$ it's a peak change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

00:31:10.790 --> 00:31:12.670 So it's the highest point during the session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

00:31:12.670 --> 00:31:14.678 So you can see there's a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:31:14.678 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.066$ increase in vigor and that's there

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:31:17.066 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.552$ on sessions 1-2 and three and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:31:19.552 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.597$ basically disappears by session 4:00.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:31:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.592$ So we found that the first dose decreased

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

00:31:24.592 --> 00:31:26.480 depression and increased vigor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00{:}31{:}26.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}28.305$ but that these effects declined

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:31:28.305 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.400$ with repeated doses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:31:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.808$ There were no effects on measures of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

00:31:31.808 --> 00:31:33.400 creativity or psychomotor function,

 $00:31:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.032$ and there were no lasting changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:31:35.032 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.959$ on on any of our measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

00:31:36.960 --> 00:31:39.476 So mood measures measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

00:31:39.476 --> 00:31:41.992 responses to emotional stimuli

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

00:31:41.992 --> 00:31:43.482 or psychomotor effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

 $00:31:43.482 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.570$ And we had a I think the measure of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93268955

00:31:45.627 --> 00:31:47.367 creativity was something like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924145

00:31:49.410 --> 00:31:51.110 some kind of associations task

NOTE Confidence: 0.924145

 $00:31:51.110 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.810$ where they're supposed to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.924145

 $00{:}31{:}52.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}54.614$ up with words that are related

NOTE Confidence: 0.924145

 $00:31:54.614 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.170$ or unrelated to other words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924145

 $00:31:56.170 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.246$ Anyway, it's a standardized kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924145

 $00{:}31{:}58.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}00.690$ word based measure of creativity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924145

 $00:32:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.450$ but we saw no drug effects on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347962

00:32:05.670 --> 00:32:08.988 So this was a little bit discouraging

 $00:32:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.950$ that that we saw these effects at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347962

 $00:32:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.677$ beginning and the effects that we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347962

 $00{:}32{:}12.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}14.427$ on that first session are similar to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347962

 $00:32:14.427 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.247$ effects that we saw in the previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347962

 $00:32:16.247 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.830$ studies across all of our studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347962

 $00:32:17.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.495$ But that it really disappears

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347962

 $00:32:19.495 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.827$ across the four days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347962

 $00:32:20.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.692$ We, I mean we might we could equally as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347962

 $00:32:23.692 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.786$ well have seen the opposite that effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347962

00:32:26.786 --> 00:32:29.310 might emerge over repeated sessions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347962

 $00:32:29.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.110$ but they didn't, they declined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347962

 $00:32:32.110 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.630$ Yes. Sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347962

00:32:33.030 --> 00:32:35.480 quick question. Did you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347962

 $00{:}32{:}35.480 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}32{:}37.096$ any physiological measure like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347962

 $00:32:37.096 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.116$ heart rate or blood pressure?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347962

 $00:32:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.514$ And did you see a similar profile?

 $00:32:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.968$ And do you think this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347962

00:32:43.968 --> 00:32:45.600 some kind of tachyphylaxis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:32:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.120$ I should know the answer to that for sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:32:49.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.984$ We had heart rate and blood pressure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:32:50.984 \dashrightarrow 00:32:52.886$ I can't remember exactly how whether or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:32:52.886 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.000$ how it changed across the four sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:32:55.000 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.954$ I'll follow up on it with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

00:32:57.960 --> 00:33:02.344 But yes, it does seem to be tolerance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:33:02.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.247$ but you know tolerance can develop at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00{:}33{:}04.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06.030$ different rates to different measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:33:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.110$ So it depending on them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

00:33:07.110 --> 00:33:09.098 I'm sure you know, depending on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00{:}33{:}09.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10.749$ mechanism by which the drug acts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:33:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.600$ So it could be that there's tolerance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:33:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.675$ to this, these CNS effects and not

00:33:16.675 --> 00:33:18.510 not tolerance to the heart rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

00:33:18.510 --> 00:33:19.308 blood pressure effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

00:33:19.308 --> 00:33:20.904 Anyway, I'll get back to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:33:20.904 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.430$ on that whether it was true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:33:22.430 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.214$ But there's another thing I want to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

00:33:24.214 --> 00:33:25.709 about these and and that's the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00{:}33{:}25.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}27.324$ the quality of the effects here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:33:27.324 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.179$ and that that's that the drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00{:}33{:}29.179 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.627$ effects are mainly stimulant like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:33:30.630 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.742$ So the drug is increasing people's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00{:}33{:}32.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}34.938$ feeling of energy and vigor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00{:}33{:}34.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37.104$ we're seeing this across the studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

00:33:37.110 --> 00:33:38.862 and it could very well be that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:33:38.862 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.002$ kind of feeling and and the and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:33:41.002 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.633$ reports are not dissimilar from what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

00:33:42.633 --> 00:33:44.067 we see when we give amphetamine

 $00:33:44.067 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.699$ a low dose of amphetamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:33:45.699 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.380$ So it could be that that experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:33:48.451 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.915$ of kind of energy and vigor and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:33:50.915 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.360$ that that and sort of confidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:33:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.526$ that amphetamine gives could give

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:33:56.526 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.356$ the impression that people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:33:58.356 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.240$ performing better in their lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:34:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.590$ It's kind of like a mild

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00{:}34{:}01.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02.800$ antidepressant effect in a sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

00:34:02.800 --> 00:34:03.290 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00{:}34{:}03.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}04.760$ I mean amphetamines were used as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:34:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.078$ antidepressants for some period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:34:06.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.078$ So it could be that the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00:34:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.492$ the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

00:34:08.492 --> 00:34:10.552 the reports of microdosing could

 $00:34:10.552 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.370$ be related to this a self report

NOTE Confidence: 0.9308408

 $00{:}34{:}13.370 \longrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15.320$ measure of feeling increased bigger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940767

 $00:34:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.740$ Going back to serials

NOTE Confidence: 0.940767

00:34:18.740 --> 00:34:20.060 question about tachyphylaxis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940767

 $00:34:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.356$ there's a preclinical literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.940767

 $00:34:21.356 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.946$ which I don't know as well as I

NOTE Confidence: 0.940767

 $00:34:23.946 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.401$ should about the down regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.940767

 $00:34:25.401 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.071$ of 2A receptors after exposure

NOTE Confidence: 0.940767

 $00{:}34{:}27.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}28.539$ to a classic psychedelic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940767

 $00:34:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.238$ And I'm wondering if there's any

NOTE Confidence: 0.940767

 $00{:}34{:}30.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}31.970$ literature on the dose dependence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940767

 $00:34:31.970 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.154$ that and if there's any like if there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.940767

 $00:34:34.205 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.417$ a potential mechanism there at these doses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940767

 $00:34:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.052$ I wonder if anyone knows that

NOTE Confidence: 0.940767

 $00:34:38.052 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.540$ preclinical but like if that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.940767

 $00:34:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.896$ been looked at preclinical

 $00:34:42.300 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.792$ there's it definitely there's LSD is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329231

 $00:34:45.792 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.684$ reportedly there's there's clear components

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329231

 $00:34:47.684 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.492$ to many of the effects of LSD and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329231

 $00:34:50.492 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.340$ wasn't aware that that was related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329231

 $00:34:52.402 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.537$ down regulation of the two a receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329231

 $00:34:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.766$ You know we don't know for sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329231

 $00:34:56.766 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.890$ that these low doses exactly act

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329231

 $00:34:58.890 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.452$ on that and not on other receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329231

 $00:35:01.460 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.434$ So there's nobody's really had any

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329231

 $00{:}35{:}03.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05.620$ particular interest in the very low doses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329231

 $00:35:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.660$ So, but that would that would be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329231

 $00:35:09.660 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.156$ reasonable to explanation and I guess

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329231

 $00:35:13.156 \dashrightarrow 00:35:14.934$ you'd have to do some kind of pet study

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329231

 $00:35:14.934 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.506$ to really determine that in people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00:35:17.070 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.470$ Some somewhat related to that,

00:35:19.470 --> 00:35:21.670 Rick Strassman did a study

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00{:}35{:}21.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}24.041$ where he repeated DMT doses

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00:35:24.041 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.023$ four times within a single day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00:35:27.030 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.118$ Oh, and he failed to find any evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00:35:30.118 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.148$ of tolerance and tachyphylaxis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

00:35:32.710 --> 00:35:35.748 really. Yeah, but it's specific to DMT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00:35:35.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.224$ Sure, there is a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00:35:37.224 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.600$ there is no tolerance to DMT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00{:}35{:}38.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}39.830$ But with other psychedelics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00:35:39.830 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.720$ there is some tolerance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00{:}35{:}41.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}46.600$ Okay clinically reported by users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

00:35:46.600 --> 00:35:48.460 Okay. That's interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00:35:48.460 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.536$ Yeah, So suggest different mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00:35:52.536 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.693$ Yeah. But it hasn't been like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00:35:54.693 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.158$ super studied like it's more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00:35:56.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.080$ like about what people say.

00:35:58.080 --> 00:36:00.540 Yeah, right, right, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00:36:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.015$ Honestly, I don't know about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00:36:02.015 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.900$ the cardiovascular effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

00:36:02.900 --> 00:36:03.980 the heart rate and blood pressure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00:36:03.980 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.498$ whether that's mediated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00{:}36{:}05.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}07.980$ peripherally or or or through a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

00:36:07.980 --> 00:36:10.220 twoway receptor or you know I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00:36:10.294 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.338$ or or where or how that works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00:36:12.340 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.391$ And so I don't know how I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00{:}36{:}14.391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}15.380$ would interpret dissociation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

00:36:15.380 --> 00:36:16.980 between the subjective effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.9250379

 $00{:}36{:}16.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}18.580$ and the cardiovascular effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92823356

 $00:36:21.100 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.908$ Harriet. Just to weigh in on my Harriet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92823356

00:36:24.908 --> 00:36:26.900 it's Emmanuel Schindler.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92823356

 $00:36:26.900 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.412$ Back in the grad school I used rabbits

00:36:29.412 --> 00:36:32.436 as my subjects and with chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.92823356

 $00{:}36{:}32.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34.179$ administration of psychedelics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92823356

 $00:36:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.260$ there were decreases of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92823356

 $00{:}36{:}36{:}260 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}36{:}38{:}340$ serotonin 2A receptor but not

NOTE Confidence: 0.92823356

 $00:36:38.413 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.309$ the serotonin 2C receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92823356

 $00:36:40.310 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.070$ We looked at some dopamine receptors as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92823356

 $00{:}36{:}42.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}43.558$ I can't recall right offhand what

NOTE Confidence: 0.92823356

 $00:36:43.558 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.030$ happened to the dopamine receptors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92823356

 $00:36:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.630$ but there were differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92823356

 $00{:}36{:}46.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49.030$ And at least those two seroton in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92823356

 $00{:}36{:}49.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51.170$ receptor subtypes in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92823356

 $00{:}36{:}51.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.710$ their other down regulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9369082

 $00:36:53.830 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.182$ well that suggests some ways to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9369082

 $00:36:56.182 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.457$ look at this tolerance with different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9369082

 $00:36:58.457 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.893$ you in animal models with different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9369082

 $00:37:00.962 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.783$ drugs and looking at the at the

 $00:37:03.783 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.976$ neurotransmitter receptors regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9369082

 $00:37:04.976 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.134$ That sounds great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9369082

 $00:37:06.134 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.660$ That's interesting. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9369082

 $00:37:08.660 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.612$ Somebody has to look do more with dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9369082

00:37:10.612 --> 00:37:12.418 and the and the psychedelic drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9369082

 $00:37:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.220$ I think because this really looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.9369082

 $00:37:14.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.420$ like a dopaminergic effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

00:37:18.180 --> 00:37:19.604 All right. Study four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00:37:19.604 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.740$ most recently we've looked at people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00:37:21.740 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.890$ So we thought, well, after all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

00:37:24.890 --> 00:37:27.036 relatively negative kind of outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00:37:27.036 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.580$ maybe it's because our volunteers are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00:37:29.653 \dashrightarrow 00:37:32.229$ healthy and maybe we would see something

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00:37:32.229 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.226$ in people who report depressed mood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00:37:34.226 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.452$ So we compared healthy adults to people

 $00:37:36.452 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.144$ who had higher than average scores

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00{:}37{:}38.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}40.170$ on the back depression inventory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00:37:40.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.690$ But they're still not, they're not far,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00:37:42.690 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.690$ they're far from clinically depressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00:37:44.690 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.854$ We just gave the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00:37:45.854 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.018$ we have difficulty finding

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

00:37:47.018 --> 00:37:48.770 people who are really depressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00:37:48.770 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.933$ So we gave them the back depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00:37:50.933 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.849$ inventory and we included people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00:37:52.849 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.360$ scores of greater than 17 in our high

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

00:37:55.360 --> 00:37:57.355 depressed group and less than 17 in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00{:}37{:}57.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}00.145$ our low depression group and we gave

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00:38:00.145 \dashrightarrow 00:38:01.870$ them 26 micrograms versus place bo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00:38:01.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.790$ So we wanted to know whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00{:}38{:}03.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05.796$ single dose of LSD would produce

NOTE Confidence: 0.94087976

 $00{:}38{:}05.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07.516$ different effects in these people

 $00:38:07.516 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.306$ with and without depressed mood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:38:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.870$ And this is what we found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:38:13.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.893$ Interestingly, we did find that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:38:15.893 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.990$ a difference in the subjective effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00{:}38{:}17.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20.582$ So this is the low BDI group here on

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00{:}38{:}20.582 \rightarrow 00{:}38{:}23.154$ the the green is the low group and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:38:23.154 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.550$ the purple is the high BDE group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

00:38:25.550 --> 00:38:27.542 This is their ratings of positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:38:27.542 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.874 \mod \text{ on the profile of mood states}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:38:29.874 \dashrightarrow 00:38:31.752$ before they took the capsule and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:38:31.752 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.945$ or the drug and then post so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:38:33.950 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.294$ When they got place bo of course no change

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:38:36.294 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.625$ and when the healthy the when the low

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:38:38.625 \dashrightarrow 00:38:40.950$ BDI people got LSD there was no change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:38:40.950 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.197$ But you can see over on the

00:38:43.197 --> 00:38:45.158 right that the high BDA group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00{:}38{:}45.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47.286$ BDI group first of all had lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:38:47.286 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.139$ positive moods towards to begin with

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:38:49.139 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.309$ which is what you might expect if

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

00:38:51.309 --> 00:38:53.163 they have high high depression and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:38:53.163 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.266$ that the LSD preferentially increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:38:55.266 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.726$ improve their positive mood scores

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:38:57.726 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.309$ only in the high BD die group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00{:}39{:}00.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}03.446$ So this is kind of interesting it

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:03.446 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.430$ suggests that the the mood effects of LSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:08.430 \dashrightarrow 00:39:11.510$ might depend on the baseline mood states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:11.510 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.600$ There's there's no question of here

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.580$ of ceiling effects of course that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:16.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.351$ the positive mood in the healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

00:39:18.351 --> 00:39:20.115 people it's it's can go up to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:20.120 \dashrightarrow 00:39:21.848$ I think I have got the scale from

 $00:39:21.848 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.307$ -10 to plus 10 here but it can go

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00{:}39{:}24.307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25.480$ substantially higher than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.532$ And in fact we a lot of other are

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:27.532 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.279$ other drugs that we administer

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:29.279 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.094$ amphetamine or alcohol or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:31.094 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.701$ drugs that that it clearly the drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

00:39:33.701 --> 00:39:35.153 clearly increase positive mood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:35.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.235$ So here it was preferential

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:37.235 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.966$ to the high BDI people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:38.966 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.424$ So it's kind of interesting this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:40.424 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.280$ to me this is the first evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.160$ it's kind of a just one small step

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.080$ forward that we are seeing some

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00{:}39{:}47.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}49.102$ difference in the in the depressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:49.102 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.484$ people and this should be extended

 $00:39:51.484 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.635$ by someone to look in really more

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00:39:53.635 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.612$ depressed people and to look at different

NOTE Confidence: 0.93485165

 $00{:}39{:}55.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}57.593$ doses and to look at repeated doses

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:39:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.722$ and this is their scores on the five DASC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:40:02.722 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.414$ Again, this is this experience of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

00:40:04.414 --> 00:40:05.840 unity and spiritual experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:40:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.462$ and again, we're seeing on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:40:08.462 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.210$ measure of psychedelic effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:40:10.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.250$ That the high BDI group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:40:12.250 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.130$ So here the low BDI group is green

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00{:}40{:}15.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17.930$ and the high BDI group is purple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:40:17.930 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.512$ And you can the solid lines are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

00:40:19.512 --> 00:40:21.040 the drug sessions and the hatched

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:40:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.370$ lines of the placebo sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

00:40:22.370 --> 00:40:23.970 And if you go start with the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:40:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.350$ you can see that there's a small

00:40:26.350 --> 00:40:28.229 increase in experience of unity after

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:40:28.229 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.937$ LSD in the low BDI group and a larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:40:30.937 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.634$ difference in the high BDI group and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:40:33.634 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.539$ then similarly in each of the measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:40:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.672$ spiritual experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

00:40:37.672 --> 00:40:38.804 blissful state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:40:38.804 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.350$ insightfulness and total FIDLSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:40:42.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.970$ Then on on all of these the BDI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

00:40:44.970 --> 00:40:45.590 I'm sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:40:45.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.246$ the high BDI group seems to be more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00{:}40{:}48.246 \to 00{:}40{:}51.137$ sensitive to the psychedelic effects of LSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00{:}40{:}51.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53.835$ Very interesting and it still it's also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00{:}40{:}53.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}57.008$ remains to be seen whether there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:40:57.008 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.438$ it's something about this population

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:40:59.438 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.418$ and their tendency to report internal states.

00:41:02.418 --> 00:41:05.537 So, so it could be that they're more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00{:}41{:}05.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}08.183$ to report or more sensitive to reporting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:41:08.190 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.654$ So we've never looked at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:41:09.654 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.269$ group of people with other drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:41:11.270 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.670$ So that would be an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:41:13.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.270$ comparison condition as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

00:41:15.270 --> 00:41:17.489 Anyway was it's encouraging to us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:41:17.489 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.352$ see something like this where there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

 $00:41:19.352 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.333$ is a different where there is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352026

00:41:21.390 --> 00:41:23.308 effect of preexisting mood states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00{:}41{:}26.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}27.846$ So LSD may have more pronounced

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:41:27.846 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.440$ pronounced effect on certain measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:41:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.534$ I should say interestingly that these this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:41:32.534 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.706$ increased higher sensitivity in the high

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:41:34.706 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.160$ BDI group was not there on all measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:41:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.846$ If you looked at their ratings

 $00{:}41{:}38.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}40.767$ of field drug effect that overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:41:40.767 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.239$ global they were identical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

00:41:42.240 --> 00:41:44.879 So they're reporting the same magnitude of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00{:}41{:}44.879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}47.443$ drug effect but they have qualitatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:41:47.443 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.718$ different responses on the drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:41:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.838$ So they they have uncertain measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

00:41:51.840 --> 00:41:54.220 They reported greater drug effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

00:41:54.220 --> 00:41:56.806 needs to be replicated with patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

00:41:56.806 --> 00:41:59.271 with more severe symptoms and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00{:}41{:}59.271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}01.609$ we need to know whether it's related

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00{:}42{:}01.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}04.057$ to other life events like early

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

00:42:04.057 --> 00:42:05.693 life trauma for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:05.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.327$ And I finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:06.327 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.790$ I just want to just go over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:07.846 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.370$ this one nice study that Murphy

 $00:42:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.940$ at all has recently published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00{:}42{:}10.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}13.550$ They actually gave LSD or place bo

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:13.550 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.012$ for six weeks, which is much longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:16.012 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.452$ than anyone else has done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

00:42:17.460 --> 00:42:19.644 They gave the first dose in the laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:19.644 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.796$ and then the subsequent doses at home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:21.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.198$ So, and that's useful because then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:23.198 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.808$ you can look at the concordance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00{:}42{:}24.808 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}42{:}26.373$ between their responses in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:26.373 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.199$ laboratory and their responses at home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.473$ Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:28.473 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.111$ it turned out that the responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

00:42:30.111 --> 00:42:31.894 at home were greater than their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:31.894 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.114$ responses in the laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

00:42:33.120 --> 00:42:34.968 So it's something about the laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

00:42:34.968 --> 00:42:36.200 environment might have actually

 $00{:}42{:}36.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}37.836$ dampened the responses a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.404$ They looked at safety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:39.404 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.359$ effectiveness of blinding and expectancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.488$ They gave questionnaires and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:43.488 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.616$ psychometrics and cognitive tasks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:45.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.972$ They found that acutely it increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

00:42:47.972 --> 00:42:49.540 self ratings of creativity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:49.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.554$ happiness and energy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:50.554 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.920$ Now this is again the the measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:52.989 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.446$ of creativity is as is just their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:55.446 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.499$ own subjective reports.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:56.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.380$ And so we don't know what that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:42:58.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.200$ And it's been difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.656$ capture in objective measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:01.660 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.480$ The effects were most evident

 $00:43:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.678$ on the first five sessions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00{:}43{:}05.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}07.514$ they saw no adverse effects and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:07.514 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.540$ they saw no lasting effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:09.540 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.122$ And this is just one of their figures that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

00:43:13.122 --> 00:43:15.392 the if you just look up at the top one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:15.400 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.004$ the top left one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

00:43:17.004 --> 00:43:19.410 this is daily visual analog scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

00:43:19.491 --> 00:43:22.394 measures and you can see how how

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:22.394 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.765$ much they felt connected or creative

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

00:43:24.765 --> 00:43:27.474 or energetic and that the red line

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00{:}43{:}27.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}30.360$ is the control and then you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:30.360 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.520$ and then the the X axis is days

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.560$ and doses across days basically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.636$ So you can see that whatever

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:37.636 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.674$ effects there are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:38.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.450$ they are really primarily in

 $00{:}43{:}40.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}43.200$ the first say 3 or so sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.544$ So there's if you look over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:44.544 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.999$ at energy in the top right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:46.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.770$ you can see there's an apparent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:47.770 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.828$ increase in ratings of how energetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:49.828 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.030$ they felt and then that dissipated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:52.100 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.720$ across sessions over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.760$ how happy they felt in the bottom left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.464$ how irritable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00{:}43{:}56.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58.576$ That was a decrease in irritable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:58.580 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.665$ So you can see first of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:43:59.665 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.740$ there's a lot of variability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:44:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.180$ You can see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:44:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.340$ And then also that the effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411063

 $00:44:04.340 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.212$ they were subtle and they were

 $00:44:06.212 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.020$ not really very long lasting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:44:11.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.140$ And this is what they concluded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

00:44:12.140 --> 00:44:13.511 While microdosing elicited

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

00:44:13.511 --> 00:44:15.339 transient mood elevating effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:44:15.340 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.818$ it was not sufficient to produce

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

00:44:17.818 --> 00:44:19.979 produce adhering changes to overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:44:19.979 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.577 \mod \text{or cognition}$ in healthy adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:44:22.580 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.800$ So what can we conclude

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:44:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.020$ from all of these things?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

00:44:25.020 --> 00:44:28.112 That LSD appears to produce mild

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00{:}44{:}28.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}29.618$ stimulantlike subjective effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

00:44:29.618 --> 00:44:32.128 that decline with repeated dosing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:44:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.426$ There are no effects on objective measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

00:44:34.426 --> 00:44:36.809 of creativity or psychomotor performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:44:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.875$ There is appears to be an increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:44:38.875 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.210$ brain response to reward,

 $00:44:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.898$ and there are more pronounced effects on

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:44:42.898 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.530$ certain measures in depressed individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:44:45.530 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.360$ Lots of questions remain to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:44:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.189$ answered to keep us all busy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:44:49.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.666$ Have we measured the right things?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:44:50.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.630$ We don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:44:51.630 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.270$ We don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:44:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.664$ One of the challenges is people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:44:54.664 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.163$ making all these claims and and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:44:57.163 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.790$ could easily be missing exactly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00{:}44{:}59.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}02.070$ dimension that that the drug acts on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:02.070 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.118$ So we could be missing whatever it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00{:}45{:}04.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}06.627$ that it does that improves people's lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:06.630 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.310$ And are there other ways to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.430$ measure the beneficial effect.

 $00:45:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.689$ So I think here we have to be creative

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:11.689 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.505$ ourselves to come up with new measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.428$ Who benefits most from the micro dosing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:15.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.596$ So is it more people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:17.596 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.340$ psychiatric conditions or older

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

00:45:19.340 --> 00:45:21.640 people or men versus women?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:21.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.280$ And we don't know the answers to those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:23.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.498$ How long do you have to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00{:}45{:}24.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.959$ it to get beneficial effects?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:25.960 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.008$ And it from the the limited data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:28.008 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.115$ I've shown you here and that we've seen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.334$ it isn't necessarily that more longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:32.334 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.998$ period of time has a better outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00{:}45{:}35.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37.060$ If if anything shorter period

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:37.060 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.296$ has better outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:38.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.100$ And then what is the role of expectations?

00:45:40.100 --> 00:45:41.976 We kind of bend over backwards trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00{:}45{:}41.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}43.924$ to rule out expectancies to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:43.924 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.734$ are contributing to the effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

00:45:45.740 --> 00:45:47.774 But of course they could interact

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00{:}45{:}47.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}49.430$ with the pharmacological effects in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.138$ the real world in a beneficial way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

00:45:51.140 --> 00:45:54.040 Really other questions what are

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.520$ the neural mechanisms underlying

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:45:55.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.849$ the effects and are there what are

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00{:}45{:}57.849 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59.379$ the relationships to the responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00{:}45{:}59.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01.330$ that people see with high doses

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:46:01.330 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.278$ of psychedelic drugs?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00{:}46{:}02.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}04.224$ So the high doses you just get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:46:04.224 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.349$ single big dose and then some over

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:46:06.349 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.929$ some weeks and months afterwards

 $00:46:07.994 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.434$ you have improved symptomatology

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:46:09.434 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.912$ and this does that work by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:46:11.912 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.632$ same or different mechanisms than

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:46:13.632 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.200$ these low dose effects?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:46:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.276$ And is there any promise for

NOTE Confidence: 0.93844485

 $00:46:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.200$ low dose LSD as a medication?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92108834

 $00:46:21.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.133$ These are some of the people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92108834

 $00:46:23.133 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.063$ contributed and most of them are

NOTE Confidence: 0.92108834

 $00{:}46{:}25.063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}26.487$ postdoctoral fellows or collaborators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92108834

 $00:46:26.490 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.898$ And the work was funded by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92108834

 $00{:}46{:}28.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31.224$ University of Chicago Institute for

NOTE Confidence: 0.92108834

 $00:46:31.224 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.050$ Translational Medicine. And that's all

NOTE Confidence: 0.92108834

 $00:46:37.330 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.248$ wonderful. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92108834

00:46:41.130 --> 00:46:41.770 Any questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92108834

00:46:42.890 --> 00:46:45.282 Yeah, I do. I have many, Harriet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92108834

 $00:46:45.282 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.850$ I'll keep it brief.

 $00:46:46.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.482$ The first one is did you attempt

NOTE Confidence: 0.92108834

 $00{:}46{:}49.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}51.562$ to measure expectancy in any of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92108834

 $00:46:51.562 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.606$ your studies and do you have any

NOTE Confidence: 0.92108834

 $00:46:53.684 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.046$ suggestions about how we might

NOTE Confidence: 0.92108834

 $00:46:56.046 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.950$ do that you know moving forward?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93989545

 $00:46:58.510 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.390$ I we didn't measure expectancies before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93989545

 $00:47:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.548$ I'm glad you asked the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93989545

 $00:47:02.550 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.665$ We did ask them at the end of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93989545

 $00:47:04.665 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.468$ sessions what they thought they got.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93989545

 $00:47:06.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.227$ This is from the repeated dosing study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93989545

00:47:08.230 --> 00:47:09.430 This is the place bo group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93989545

 $00:47:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.467$ the 13 and the 26 microgram group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93989545

 $00:47:11.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.910$ This is sessions 1234 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

00:47:16.400 --> 00:47:17.630 we're not seeing your slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

00:47:17.630 --> 00:47:18.438 anymore. If you're trying

 $00:47:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.064$ doing lolly's talking for

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

 $00{:}47{:}22.064 --> 00{:}47{:}23.238$ nothing, just a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

 $00:47:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.200$ This is at least 1000 words in the slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

 $00:47:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.200$ Just a second. Sorry about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

00:47:32.200 --> 00:47:35.680 OK, now share. OK, now back up one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

 $00:47:35.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.115$ back, back up one. There we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

00:47:37.120 --> 00:47:39.199 OK, what do you think you got

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

 $00:47:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.608$ placebo group 13 microgram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

 $00:47:40.608 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.003$ This is the repeated dosing sessions 1234.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

 $00:47:43.003 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.984$ The red is people who said they

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

 $00{:}47{:}44.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}46.689$ thought they got a hallucinogen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

 $00{:}47{:}46.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}48.330$ So on the place bo group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

 $00:47:48.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.192$ these this number of people said they

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

 $00{:}47{:}50.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}51.360$ thought they got a hallucinogen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

 $00:47:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.710$ And then the Gray here

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

 $00:47:52.710 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.250$ at the bottom is placebo,

 $00:47:54.250 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.961$ the 13 micrograms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

 $00{:}47{:}54.961 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}47{:}57.263$ you can see that a few more people said

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

 $00:47:57.263 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.321$ they thought they got a hallucinogen and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

 $00:47:59.321 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.368$ then the rest were all over the place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

00:48:01.370 --> 00:48:02.810 And then the 26 microgram,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625

 $00:48:02.810 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.924$ a few more said they got hallucinogen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92753625 00:48:04.930 --> 00:48:05.210 So NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.004$ what they thought they were going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:09.004 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.630$ to get before, they had no idea that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:10.630 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.706$ we told them any one of these all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00{:}48{:}12.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}14.054$ these different possible categories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:14.060 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.120$ And after the sessions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00{:}48{:}15.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}16.980$ this is what they said they got.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.460$ And then the depression group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.548$ we did something similar.

 $00:48:20.548 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.180$ This is the high depression group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00{:}48{:}22.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}23.860$ This is the low depression group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.020$ This is their. Oh, we've cut off the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:26.020 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.280$ Oh, here they are. Yeah. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:27.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.780$ This is their LSD session,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

00:48:28.780 --> 00:48:30.160 their placebo session,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.965$ and the black corresponds to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

00:48:31.965 --> 00:48:33.440 they thought they got placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.638$ So not surprisingly on the placebo sessions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.758$ most people thought they got placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00{:}48{:}37.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}40.875$ And here the hallucinogen is this blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.553$ And so you can see this is a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00{:}48{:}43.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}45.758$ BDI group and the low BDI group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.237$ So that's the number of people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:47.237 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.651$ thought they got a hallucinogen rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:48.651 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.115$ than a stimulant or a sedative,

 $00:48:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.910$ for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

00:48:50.910 --> 00:48:53.675 So that doesn't exactly answer your question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.598$ but they didn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:54.598 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.128$ they really didn't have expectancies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:56.128 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.434$ beforehand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307646

 $00:48:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.800$ They had no clue what they were going to get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291967

 $00:48:58.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.220$ But did you look at the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291967

00:49:01.220 --> 00:49:02.964 between what they thought they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291967

 $00:49:02.964 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.028$ got and what their response was?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291967

 $00:49:05.030 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.144$ So in people who thought they got

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291967

 $00:49:07.144 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.109$ a hallucinogen, did they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291967

 $00:49:09.109 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.750$ a bigger response? And yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291967

 $00:49:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.990$ good question. And we haven't looked at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291967

 $00:49:15.110 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.050$ We didn't. We haven't looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291967

 $00:49:16.050 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.110$ at that. We could. It's yeah,

 $00:49:20.830 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.089$ a related question. I you said that all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291967

 $00:49:23.089 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.425$ your participants had at least one previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

00:49:27.480 --> 00:49:30.879 experience, but do you have any

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

00:49:30.879 --> 00:49:32.637 data on what their attitudes were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

 $00:49:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.920$ whether that previous experience was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

00:49:35.920 --> 00:49:38.645 life changing versus pleasant versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

00:49:38.645 --> 00:49:41.020 neutral versus lousy, whether that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

 $00:49:41.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.700$ whether that influenced their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

 $00{:}49{:}42.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}44.280$ expected their expectancies? Here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

 $00:49:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.040$ we don't have that information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

00:49:47.040 --> 00:49:48.440 I think if it was lousy, they would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

 $00:49:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.640$ not have volunteered for our study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

 $00:49:49.640 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.098$ So there's obviously the big selection

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

00:49:51.098 --> 00:49:52.774 kind of BIOS here of who participates

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

 $00:49:52.774 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.581$ in this kind of study where we say

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

00:49:54.581 --> 00:49:55.997 we're going to give you something,

 $00:49:56.000 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.548$ we're not going to tell you what it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

00:49:57.550 --> 00:50:00.190 So but it during the screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

 $00:50:00.190 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.190$ the psychiatric screening interview,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

 $00:50:01.190 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.107$ if they had said they had a bad

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

 $00:50:03.107 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.223$ reaction to any of these drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366989

 $00:50:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.150$ we wouldn't accept them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9323046

00:50:08.670 --> 00:50:11.109 Hi, Harriet, I also have a lot of questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9323046

 $00{:}50{:}11.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}13.830$ but I will pick just one or two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9323046

 $00:50:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.912$ I can't recall in the beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.9323046

 $00:50:15.912 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.336$ when you had talked about the some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9323046

 $00:50:19.336 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.932$ of the evidence that people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9323046

 $00:50:20.932 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.550$ out there micro dosing already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9323046

 $00{:}50{:}22.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}26.620$ And I I I wonder, I can't recall are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9323046

00:50:26.620 --> 00:50:29.345 are these studies from from like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9323046

00:50:29.345 --> 00:50:31.355 recent times or have people been

 $00:50:31.355 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.779$ doing this since like the 50s and 60s?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9323046

 $00:50:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.180$ Have people been doing it for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9323046

 $00:50:36.180 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.101$ ceremonial purposes but for millennia?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9323046

00:50:38.101 --> 00:50:40.990 I just have a concern that that is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9323046

 $00:50:41.062 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.519$ sort of a a novel new new thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9323046

 $00:50:43.519 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.881$ I'm afraid that the Netflix documentaries

NOTE Confidence: 0.9323046

00:50:45.881 --> 00:50:48.265 and such have sort of prompted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9323046

 $00:50:48.265 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.455$ What are your thoughts on that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928331

 $00:50:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.964$ I think it's new,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928331

 $00:50:51.964 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.380$ although as the years go by,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928331

 $00:50:53.380 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.780$ it's not so new anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928331

 $00:50:54.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.820$ The Fadiman article was one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928331

 $00{:}50{:}56.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58.212$ James Fadiman was one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928331

00:50:58.212 --> 00:50:59.140 first people promoting it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928331

00:50:59.140 --> 00:51:01.390 And it must be now 20 years or something

NOTE Confidence: 0.928331

 $00:51:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.007$ since he's been promoting that and

 $00:51:03.007 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.300$ and that it sort of caught on online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928331

 $00:51:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.780$ But it hasn't in the scale of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928331

00:51:06.780 --> 00:51:09.620 It hasn't been very long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928331

 $00:51:09.620 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.480$ It sort of came from people

NOTE Confidence: 0.928331

00:51:11.480 --> 00:51:12.724 in California, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928331

 $00:51:12.724 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.220$ and and they talk to each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928331

00:51:15.220 --> 00:51:18.540 I think that there's a real risk of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928331

 $00{:}51{:}18.540 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}51{:}21.060$ you know, placebo drug use from

NOTE Confidence: 0.928331

 $00:51:21.060 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.740$ this kind of communication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928331

00:51:22.740 --> 00:51:23.180 But anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370067

 $00:51:24.450 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.460$ and is there any suggestion or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370067

 $00:51:26.460 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.484$ any talk either online or in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370067

 $00:51:28.484 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.029$ the literature that you're aware

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370067

 $00:51:30.029 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.978$ of of with with chronic use?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370067

 $00:51:32.978 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.990$ In addition to to potential tolerance,

 $00:51:35.990 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.090$ are people having withdrawal symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370067

 $00:51:38.090 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.784$ if they stop or if they don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370067

 $00:51:40.784 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.530$ access to their source anymore?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370067

 $00:51:42.530 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.910$ Because this is very different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370067

 $00:51:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.290$ from the typical infrequent use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370067

 $00{:}51{:}47.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49.245$ I wonder whether tolerance and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370067

 $00:51:49.245 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.200$ you know perhaps dependence and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370067

00:51:51.265 --> 00:51:53.407 addiction and come about over the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218115

 $00:51:54.500 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.752$ That's a good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218115

 $00:51:55.752 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.630$ I have not seen any reports

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218115

 $00{:}51{:}57.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}59.420$ of any adverse effects when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218115

 $00:51:59.420 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.815$ people stop using again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218115

00:52:00.815 --> 00:52:02.235 they're used they're stopping

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218115

 $00:52:02.235 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.655$ of their own volition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218115

 $00:52:03.660 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.529$ So it's it's hard to know then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218115

00:52:05.529 --> 00:52:07.194 how much their expectancies of

 $00:52:07.194 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.786$ experiencing something bad when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218115

 $00{:}52{:}08.786 \longrightarrow 00{:}52{:}11.100$ they stop using the influences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218115

00:52:15.540 --> 00:52:17.740 Any question. Yeah, go ahead. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218115

00:52:20.420 --> 00:52:22.220 Thank you for this talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218115

 $00{:}52{:}22.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}24.852$ My question is about why finally do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218115

 $00:52:24.852 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.419$ we really want people to be blind

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218115

 $00:52:27.420 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.660$ about micro dosing why for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218115

 $00:52:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.820$ macro dose we never like criticize

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218115

 $00:52:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.620$ so hard that people will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.92393154

 $00:52:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.860$ a strong alicenogenic effect and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92393154

 $00{:}52{:}37.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41.508$ that can also that it can drive part

NOTE Confidence: 0.92393154

 $00:52:41.508 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.110$ of the of the beneficial effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92393154

00:52:44.110 --> 00:52:46.382 Yeah what are your thoughts about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92393154

 $00:52:46.382 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.847$ Well it was part of the attraction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92393154

00:52:48.847 --> 00:52:50.901 studying micro dosing that you could

 $00:52:50.901 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.013$ you could test its the pharmacological

NOTE Confidence: 0.92393154

 $00{:}52{:}53.013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}54.936$ effects without having to worry

NOTE Confidence: 0.92393154

 $00:52:54.936 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.826$ about the confound of expectancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92393154

 $00:52:56.830 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.979$ So in in some ways that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92393154

 $00:52:58.979 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.109$ appeal as a as a researcher

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315515

00:53:04.270 --> 00:53:07.094 but why why did people come up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315515

 $00:53:07.094 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.821$ that idea that I I think it it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315515

00:53:09.821 --> 00:53:11.702 really came from sort of experimental

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315515

 $00{:}53{:}11.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}13.830$ rigor that they really want to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315515

00:53:13.886 --> 00:53:15.290 if there's a a pharmacological

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315515

 $00{:}53{:}15.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}17.510$ effect independently of the of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315515

 $00{:}53{:}17.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}19.658$ identification of the drug and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315515

 $00:53:19.658 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.348$ possibility that people that their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315515

 $00{:}53{:}21.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}23.070$ expectancies are influencing it. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400144

00:53:25.630 --> 00:53:28.366 somebody just introduced it as a as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400144

 $00:53:28.366 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.587$ necessary criterion and I agree with

 $00:53:30.587 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.441$ you that that the beneficial effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400144

 $00{:}53{:}32.441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34.667$ might be there in this exactly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400144

 $00:53:34.667 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.510$ people who do experience something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92667234

 $00:53:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.355$ That's right. There's this sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.92667234

 $00{:}53{:}41.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}43.730$ of vexing problem in the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92667234

 $00:53:43.730 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.450$ One is the technical one of how do we blind?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92667234

 $00:53:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.448$ But then there's the conceptual one

NOTE Confidence: 0.92667234

 $00:53:48.448 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.446$ of whether the concept of blinding

NOTE Confidence: 0.92667234

 $00{:}53{:}50.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}53.888$ is simply impossible to apply.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92667234

 $00:53:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.649$ If the dissociating dissociation of the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92667234

 $00:53:57.649 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.290$ subjective effects from the effects is fun,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92667234

 $00:54:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.684$ is impossible and therefore that's just a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92667234

 $00{:}54{:}03.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}05.848$ miss a misapplication of an older concept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92667234

00:54:05.850 --> 00:54:07.116 But I don't. I don't. I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92667234

00:54:07.116 --> 00:54:09.430 I think it's a big big. So I'm

 $00:54:12.350 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.086$ I'm kind of drawn to the model of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9342517

 $00:54:15.086 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.560$ Ssri's where the Ssri's, they don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9342517

 $00:54:17.560 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.785$ produce any immediate acute effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9342517

 $00:54:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.950$ But somehow magically over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9342517

 $00:54:21.950 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.336$ you take them for several weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.9342517

 $00:54:23.336 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.565$ and somehow the world looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.9342517

 $00:54:24.565 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.990$ better and people, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9342517

00:54:25.990 --> 00:54:27.910 the social anxiety disappears And so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9342517

 $00{:}54{:}27.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}29.779$ you know, ideally the low dose LSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.9342517

 $00:54:29.779 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.670$ would have worked something like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93212765

 $00:54:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.150$ Are you type of question

NOTE Confidence: 0.93212765

 $00:54:36.150 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.410$ at these very low doses?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93212765

00:54:37.410 --> 00:54:40.350 Can you speculate on whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.93212765

 $00:54:40.350 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.290$ the receptor binding profile and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93212765

 $00:54:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.570$ all the effects rather on these

NOTE Confidence: 0.93212765

 $00:54:45.570 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.090$ different seroton in receptors is

00:54:47.151 --> 00:54:48.981 different from what you might get

NOTE Confidence: 0.93212765

 $00:54:48.981 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.170$ at the typically higher doses?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93212765

00:54:51.810 --> 00:54:53.686 No idea. And I would love somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.93212765

 $00:54:53.686 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.360$ to look at that. OK. So any of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.93212765

 $00{:}54{:}56.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}59.730$ who are binding experts do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349472

 $00{:}55{:}02.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}04.876$ And one last comment you in your summary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349472

 $00:55:04.876 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.523$ slide you had you know you raised a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349472

 $00:55:07.523 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.978$ number of questions that we should pursue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349472

 $00.55.09.980 \longrightarrow 00.55.12.956$ And one of them that I, I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349472

 $00:55:12.956 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.900$ I thought you would have on your in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349472

 $00:55:15.900 \dashrightarrow 00:55:19.568$ summary slide is that we don't know much

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349472

 $00:55:19.568 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.230$ about the safety of chronic administration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349472

00:55:22.230 --> 00:55:24.380 chronic use of you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349472

 $00:55:24.380 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.220$ these drugs at low doses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349472

 $00:55:26.220 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.596$ We know about very sporadic use

 $00:55:28.596 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.180$ and they're generally safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349472

 $00:55:30.180 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.060$ But as Emmanuel keeps reminding me, we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349472

 $00:55:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.700$ you know methy sagide was withdrawn from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349472

 $00{:}55{:}35.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}38.294$ the market because with with repeated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349472

 $00:55:38.294 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.122$ administration it was associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349472

 $00:55:40.122 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.540$ with fairly serious side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338277

 $00:55:46.340 \dashrightarrow 00:55:50.725$ Good question. We haven't seen any sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338277

 $00:55:50.725 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.300$ of anecdotal reports of adverse effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338277

 $00:55:53.300 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.660$ but then we might not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338277

 $00:55:54.660 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.298$ they might not come to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338277

 $00{:}55{:}56.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}57.980$ attention of the medical community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338277

00:55:57.980 --> 00:56:02.342 So I don't know whether anybody's looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338277

 $00:56:02.342 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.779$ at something like that in animal models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338277

 $00:56:04.780 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.865$ different systems where there would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338277

00:56:06.865 --> 00:56:09.035 be adverse, you know, toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338277

 $00:56:09.035 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.815$ So I it's possible.

 $00:56:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.740$ I don't know the answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933804299999999

 $00{:}56{:}14.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}17.100$ I know that there are groups who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.933804299999999

00:56:17.100 --> 00:56:19.526 trying to find congeners that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.933804299999999

 $00:56:19.526 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.788$ the least to be activity or trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.933804299999999

00:56:22.788 --> 00:56:25.891 take out the serotonin to be affinity

NOTE Confidence: 0.933804299999999

00:56:25.891 --> 00:56:30.246 to make them theoretically safer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933804299999999

 $00:56:30.250 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.780$ Even with methysurgide not everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.933804299999999

 $00:56:31.780 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.365$ who was on it develop the cardiac valve

NOTE Confidence: 0.933804299999999

 $00:56:34.365 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.369$ fibrosis and and other tissue fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933804299999999

00:56:36.370 --> 00:56:38.603 But there were enough that when it

NOTE Confidence: 0.933804299999999

 $00:56:38.603 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.676$ was widely used and this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.933804299999999

00:56:40.676 --> 00:56:42.554 not found in the you know in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.933804299999999

 $00{:}56{:}42.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}44.062$ in the initial studies this was not

NOTE Confidence: 0.933804299999999

 $00:56:44.062 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.400$ something that was found was found

NOTE Confidence: 0.933804299999999

 $00:56:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.808$ later once it was used widely.

00:56:46.810 --> 00:56:49.718 So it may come it might come about

NOTE Confidence: 0.933804299999999

 $00:56:49.718 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.973$ later if and especially if more and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933804299999999

00:56:51.973 --> 00:56:53.809 more people start start doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9334588

 $00:56:54.250 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.850$ Yeah right. Yeah good point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9334588

 $00:56:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.930$ Something to watch out for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91763234

00:57:05.090 --> 00:57:07.730 All right. Anything else? Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91763234

 $00:57:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.170$ thank you so much, Harriet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91763234

 $00.57.09.170 \longrightarrow 00.57.10.470$ This has been really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91763234

 $00:57:10.470 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.049$ really wonderful to get this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91763234

 $00:57:12.049 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.723$ this overview of your of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.91763234

 $00{:}57{:}13.723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}15.089$ ground breaking work in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91763234

 $00:57:15.090 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.410$ And well, it was a pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.91763234

 $00:57:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.832$ you all had. You had good

NOTE Confidence: 0.91763234

 $00:57:18.832 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.410$ questions and keep me thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91763234

 $00:57:20.410 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.825$ And I look forward to hearing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91763234

 $00{:}57{:}22.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}25.129$ results of what you're all doing.

 $00{:}57{:}25.130 --> 00{:}57{:}27.102$ Great. Thanks. By e. All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91763234

00:57:27.102 --> 00:57:27.686 Thank you very much.