WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:02:05.3600000"

NOTE recognizability:0.872

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.578943288

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.040$ So you don't have to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.578943288

00:00:02.040 --> 00:00:04.440 I it's I'm fine introducing myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.578943288

00:00:04.440 --> 00:00:06.120 It's like if you'd like to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.578943288

 $00:00:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.752$ but otherwise I certainly will do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.578943288

 $00:00:08.752 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.000$ little bit of a more formal intro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879790880909091

 $00:00:14.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.770$ I've got a lot to get through in a brief

NOTE Confidence: 0.879790880909091

 $00:00:15.818 \dashrightarrow 00:00:17.496$ time. People can go to my web page and

NOTE Confidence: 0.879790880909091

 $00:00:17.496 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.000$ probably get anything they need to know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9573705675

00:00:34.200 --> 00:00:35.560 I like your questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:00:44.750 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.250$ well as everybody's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00{:}00{:}46.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.750$ Anybody who's just joining us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

00:00:48.750 --> 00:00:51.702 please feel free to start the

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:00:51.702 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.200$ survey that Marni with the QR code.

 $00:00:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.676$ I'm just going to welcome everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:00:56.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.828$ It's our last educational

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:00:58.828 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.513$ learning community for the year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:01:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.680$ We'll be starting fresh

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:01:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.840$ and strong in January.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

00:01:05.840 --> 00:01:07.840 It is really my pleasure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:01:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.786$ And Marni, I'll only take 30 seconds

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

00:01:09.786 --> 00:01:12.048 since I know you have a lot to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00{:}01{:}12.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.254$ through and I could not possibly get

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:01:14.254 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.559$ through your whole CV in 30 seconds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00{:}01{:}16.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.415$ So I'm actually really thrilled

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:01:19.415 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.204$ to have Marni with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:01:22.204 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.096$ When I asked the let's see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:01:25.096 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.333$ I guess it was the MHSMED,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

00:01:27.333 --> 00:01:30.784 some of our faculty about who they

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00{:}01{:}30.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33.202$ would recommend as somebody to

00:01:33.202 --> 00:01:35.748 talk about surveys and the process

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:01:35.748 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.244$ of obtaining validity evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:01:37.244 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.759$ and who might be a good teacher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

00:01:39.760 --> 00:01:44.079 And resoundingly, Marty Marty's name came up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125 00:01:44.080 --> 00:01:44.664 And so, NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

00:01:44.664 --> 00:01:47.880 just to give you a little bit of background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

00:01:47.880 --> 00:01:51.120 Marty got her PhD in psychology at LSU,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:01:51.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.464$ and then her Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

00:01:52.464 --> 00:01:54.144 and chronic disease epidemiology at

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00{:}01{:}54.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}56.280$ the Yale School of Public Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00{:}01{:}56.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58.919$ And then she was in the department

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

00:01:58.919 --> 00:02:01.389 of Psychiatry as a post doc

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00{:}02{:}01.389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03.077$ on eating disorders research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:02:03.080 \dashrightarrow 00:02:05.440$ where she did quite a bit of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:02:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.200$ And then she gradually,

00:02:07.200 --> 00:02:09.266 well, not gradually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:02:09.266 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.500$ but became professor of psychiatry as

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:02:13.500 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.920$ well as social behavioural sciences

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:02:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.314$ at the Yale School of Public Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:02:19.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.660$ She's director of online education

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

00:02:21.660 --> 00:02:24.000 and social and behavioral sciences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00{:}02{:}24.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.775$ core faculty of the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

00:02:26.775 --> 00:02:28.995 Clinical Clinician Scholars Program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00{:}02{:}29.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.975$ Track Director of Critical Topics

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:02:30.975 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.160$ and Public Health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:02:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.037$ which is the online executive MPH program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:02:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.272$ She's been teacher of the year at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

00:02:37.272 --> 00:02:39.197 Yale School of Public Health twice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00{:}02{:}39.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40.840$ multiple grants and, let's see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:02:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.124$ over 170 publications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:02:42.124 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.836$ But as I said,

 $00:02:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.766$ the most salient reason for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00{:}02{:}45.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.669$ invitation was I asked who was

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:02:47.669 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.064$ the best teacher you've ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:02:49.064 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.559$ had add in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

 $00:02:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.056$ And as I said, Barney, your name came up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69292155125

00:02:53.056 --> 00:02:55.239 So thanks so much for joining us today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:02:55.640 --> 00:02:57.278 Thank you so much for having me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}02{:}57.280 \to 00{:}02{:}58.918$ It was a really nice introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:02:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.159$ Thank you anyway. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:03:02.159 --> 00:03:03.438 I appreciate that. Very nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:03:03.438 --> 00:03:05.881 So I do teach a course or recently retired

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}03{:}05.881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08.058$ a course actually at the Yale School

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:03:08.058 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.407$ of Public Health called Questionnaire

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}03{:}10.407 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}12.075$ Development and Psychometrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:03:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.640$ And I'll be giving you all today a

00:03:14.640 --> 00:03:16.728 very crash course overview in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:03:16.728 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.210$ that I hope will be very relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:03:19.210 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.312$ to your own research endeavours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:03:22.312 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.603$ I find that, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:03:25.603 --> 00:03:27.304 So I I am by original training

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:03:27.304 --> 00:03:28.520 a clinical psychologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:03:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.904$ secondary training and epidemiologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:03:29.904 --> 00:03:32.400 and the Yale School of Public Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:03:32.400 --> 00:03:33.279 Several years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:03:33.279 --> 00:03:35.330 when I was still a junior faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}03{:}35.396 \to 00{:}03{:}37.598$ member in the Department of Psychiatry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:03:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.576$ I had been cross trained in public health

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:03:40.576 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.000$ and epidemiology as part of my key award.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:03:43.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.160$ And after I finished that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:03:46.160 --> 00:03:47.938 YSPH asked me if I would develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:03:47.938 --> 00:03:49.387 a course on constructing valid

 $00:03:49.387 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.571$ surveys because it was a gap in

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:03:51.571 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.677$ the curriculum in that department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:03:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.514$ I did do so and then gradually

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:03:55.514 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.538$ started to teach more and then about

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:03:57.538 --> 00:03:59.308 five years ago shifted my primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:03:59.371 --> 00:04:01.207 appointment over to the School of

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:04:01.207 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.436$ Public Health where I now I'm on

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:04:03.436 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.428$ the educator track and I'm really

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}04{:}05.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07.280$ enjoying the opportunity to teach

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}04{:}07.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09.458$ scholars at YSPH and Yale College

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:04:09.529 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.896$ and the School of Medicine keeps

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:04:11.896 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.476$ me very entertained and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}04{:}15.476 \longrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17.384$ engaged in a bunch of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}04{:}17.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}18.943$ topics and research endeavours

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:04:18.943 --> 00:04:21.517 with with academics from all over.

 $00:04:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.492$ It's pretty cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:04:22.492 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.760$ But none of it was by design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:04:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.616$ It was just sort of the way this

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:04:26.616 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.959$ kind of path happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:04:27.960 --> 00:04:29.840 But going back to the roots in psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}04{:}29.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32.178$ what a lot of people don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:04:32.178 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.171$ about psychologists is that before we

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:04:34.171 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.400$ got into this business of treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}04{:}36.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39.120$ people and becoming clinicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:04:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.060$ we were really about evaluation

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:04:41.060 --> 00:04:41.836 and assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:04:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.436$ And so a great deal of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:04:43.436 --> 00:04:44.120 training in psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:04:44.120 --> 00:04:45.836 even going back to my first

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:04:45.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.656$ master's degree in psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:04:47.656 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.926$ was around assessment and learning

 $00:04:49.926 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.459$ how to ask questions and try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:04:52.459 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.399$ and identify sources of of bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:04:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.011$ And I think I've taken somewhere on

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:04:57.011 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.669$ the order of 10 or so graduate courses

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:05:00.669 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.637$ in assessment or psychometrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:05:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.155$ That's really what we as the field do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:05:06.155 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.530$ do as our primary foundational

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:05:09.530 --> 00:05:10.880 knowledge base.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:05:10.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.880$ So to be able to extend that into

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}05{:}13.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.113$ medical research and public health

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

00:05:16.113 --> 00:05:18.915 research is a pretty neat opportunity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}05{:}18.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21.848$ But I I so here's what we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}05{:}21.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.231$ to try to do today is to teach you

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:05:25.231 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.748$ what it means to evaluate self

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:05:27.748 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.444$ report questionnaires,

 $00:05:28.444 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.880$ primarily what their their main purposes are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:05:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.056$ identify them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:05:32.056 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.996$ Identify their strengths and weaknesses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:05:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.288$ Know what is meant by psychometric

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:05:38.288 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.898$ criteria to so that it's a very kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}05{:}40.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43.692$ of cut and dry process and select

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}05{:}43.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.434$ the best measures for your research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:05:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.880$ Because we are pressed on time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}05{:}47.880 --> 00{:}05{:}50.344$ I am not going to get much

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:05:50.344 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.400$ into questionnaire development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}05{:}51.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.825$ but rather best practices for

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}05{:}53.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.280$ using existing surveys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00{:}05{:}55.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58.101$ And the reason for this is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:05:58.101 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.745$ that is an entire other research area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:06:01.745 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.140$ It costs money,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:06:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.000$ It is time consuming.

 $00{:}06{:}05.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06.290$ You'll need a grant just

NOTE Confidence: 0.963820901428572

 $00:06:06.290 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.580$ or you know you'll need

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:07.643 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.558$ funding for sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.330$ but you'll probably need about

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:10.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.652$ two years to start from scratch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:12.652 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.070$ So if there's something out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:15.149 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.599$ that you can use that is relevant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:17.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.798$ that is what I would highly recommend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00{:}06{:}19.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}21.184$ Unless you're wanting psychometrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:21.184 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.914$ to be your research area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:06:22.920 --> 00:06:25.998 as it has been for me throughout my career,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.160$ kind of by accident,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.440$ and but it's it's what it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00{:}06{:}31.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33.429$ So I want to teach you how to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:33.429 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.962$ these well established question naires

 $00:06:34.962 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.542$ that I mentioned here and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:37.542 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.797$ and the worst case scenario.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.085$ If there's something out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:41.085 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.839$ that looks close to what you need,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.544$ but it hasn't been done in your particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:06:45.544 --> 00:06:47.280 patient population or research focus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:47.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.905$ you might how to go about adapting

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:49.905 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.680$ that survey for use in your own work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.655$ So when we're talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:06:54.655 --> 00:06:56.235 selecting A measurement instrument,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00{:}06{:}56.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57.995$ and for the most part I'm going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:06:57.995 --> 00:06:59.679 talking about self report questionnaires,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:06:59.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.206$ the kinds of things that you might

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:07:01.206 --> 00:07:02.810 hand over to patients or colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:02.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.315$ or community members and say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.360$ what is your take on this?

00:07:06.360 --> 00:07:08.208 You know you're wanting a group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:08.208 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.640$ individuals to complete this measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.720$ It could be a screening measure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.304$ it could be an assessment or

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:12.304 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.360$ a knowledge based survey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:07:13.360 --> 00:07:15.960 Many times we're actually talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.363$ about something that is unobservable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538 00:07:18.363 --> 00:07:19.009 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:19.009 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.947$ we don't have a lab value

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:20.947 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.640$ to correspond with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.320$ There might be some cases where

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.880$ that that might happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00{:}07{:}25.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.800$ There might be cases where we'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:27.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.740$ have a lab value for a blood test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:29.740 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.332$ but it's very, very expensive and

 $00:07:31.332 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.880$ our screening tool which is self report,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00{:}07{:}33.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34.894$ might be adequate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:34.894 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.121$ You know we might have a a high correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:07:38.121 --> 00:07:41.249 at .8 or .9 between our self report

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:41.325 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.968$ measure whether it be pain impairment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:07:43.968 --> 00:07:46.720 subjective interpretation of symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538 00:07:46.720 --> 00:07:47.024 whatever. NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:47.024 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.456$ And it's going to be cheaper to ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:07:49.456 --> 00:07:51.533 people that than it will be to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:07:51.533 --> 00:07:53.560 do a full physical examination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00{:}07{:}53.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.294$ So we find ourselves kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:55.294 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.163$ weaving the OR finding relevance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:57.163 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.131$ self report measures not only in

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:07:59.131 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.038$ research but in clinical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:08:01.040 --> 00:08:02.462 First thing we need to do when we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:08:02.462 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.080$ to figure this out is what is our objective,

 $00:08:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.200$ what is our research question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:08:05.200 --> 00:08:09.120 Trying to define that in what we call,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:08:09.120 --> 00:08:10.900 you know, the, the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:08:10.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.680$ the strongest operational definition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:08:12.680 --> 00:08:14.416 And we're also going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:08:14.416 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.720$ these things called constructs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:08:15.720 --> 00:08:17.860 Welcome back to psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00{:}08{:}17.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.070$ these fuzzy things that we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:08:21.165 --> 00:08:23.315 have a very clear cut observation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:08:23.315 --> 00:08:25.515 You know, it's not a cut and dry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:08:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.831 \text{ Yes.}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:08:25.831 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.142$ No.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00{:}08{:}26.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.076$ But we aim to define it that way so

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:08:29.076 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.624$ that we can get consensus as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:08:31.624 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.656$ as possible when we come figure

 $00:08:33.656 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.600$ out what it is we're measuring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00{:}08{:}35.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36.902$ We're now trying to figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:08:36.902 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.100$ if there's something out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:08:38.100 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.236$ that measures something close,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:08:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.017$ what's been published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:08:40.017 --> 00:08:41.571 And I'll show you some tools

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:08:41.571 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.957$ for how to figure that out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:08:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.560$ Then if they're out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00{:}08{:}44.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.130$ Are the second metric properties

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:08:46.130 --> 00:08:47.386 of these established measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:08:47.386 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.055$ or these previously developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:08:49.055 --> 00:08:50.360 measures ideally published?

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

 $00:08:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.032$ Are the second metric

NOTE Confidence: 0.834275468461538

00:08:53.032 --> 00:08:56.840 properties good enough and

NOTE Confidence: 0.962610478571429

 $00:08:56.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.862$ are they established in your particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.962610478571429

00:08:58.862 --> 00:09:00.160 population, whether it be a patient

00:09:00.160 --> 00:09:01.738 group or it could be, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962610478571429

 $00:09:01.738 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.901$ a group of physicians really depends on

NOTE Confidence: 0.962610478571429

 $00:09:03.901 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.238$ where your research is going to take you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962610478571429

 $00:09:06.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.760$ So I talk about construct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962610478571429

 $00:09:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.680$ We just mean a hypothetical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962610478571429

 $00:09:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.740$ A hypothetical variable that

NOTE Confidence: 0.962610478571429

 $00:09:12.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.800$ is not directly observable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962610478571429

00:09:14.800 --> 00:09:17.268 We're talking about pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962610478571429

00:09:17.268 --> 00:09:21.680 We're talking about anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962610478571429

 $00{:}09{:}21.680 \to 00{:}09{:}23.492$ What are some things outside of

NOTE Confidence: 0.962610478571429

00:09:23.492 --> 00:09:25.102 psychology that you all might

NOTE Confidence: 0.962610478571429

00:09:25.102 --> 00:09:26.797 be interested in as physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81507826

 $00:09:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.997$ you guys can yell out or put in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923199698333333

 $00:09:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.358$ Can this be like a bias?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923199698333333

 $00:09:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.280$ Yeah, it could. Absolutely.

 $00:09:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.116$ Do you want to say anything more about that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893783328888889

 $00{:}09{:}42.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}45.906$ Like what you mean about bias? Sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893783328888889

 $00:09:45.906 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.952$ Like if you have a bias against or if

NOTE Confidence: 0.893783328888889

 $00:09:48.952 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.679$ you have a bias against like disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.893783328888889

00:09:51.680 --> 00:09:55.718 or or or I should say ability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893783328888889

 $00{:}09{:}55.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}58.544$ you may provide, you may look

NOTE Confidence: 0.893783328888889

 $00:09:58.544 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.260$ at people and provide different

NOTE Confidence: 0.893783328888889

 $00:10:01.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.120$ counseling based on that. Implicit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.679085698

 $00:10:06.720 \dashrightarrow 00:10:09.560$ Yeah. Construct, right? Absolutely. That's

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:10:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.592$ exactly it. Perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:10:10.592 --> 00:10:12.384 OK, y'all, Y'all are on the same page

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00{:}10{:}12.384 \to 00{:}10{:}14.164$ with me in terms of what I'm talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:10:14.164 --> 00:10:15.840 about with Construct. And by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00{:}10{:}15.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16.760$ there's Louisiana creeping in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:10:16.760 --> 00:10:20.078 Y'all happens from time to time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:10:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.877$ OK, so as I mentioned,

 $00:10:22.877 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.518$ I've I had to take so many courses in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00{:}10{:}25.520 \to 00{:}10{:}27.956$ And the kind of standard thing when

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:10:27.956 --> 00:10:29.965 you're teaching about assessment or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:10:29.965 --> 00:10:31.769 questionnaire development or psychometrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:10:31.769 --> 00:10:34.938 is to give people the task to get into

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:10:34.938 --> 00:10:36.912 groups and to define a construct and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:10:36.912 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.479$ come up with a means to measure it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:10:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.520$ It's just an experiential learning exercise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:10:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.887$ and it's the exercise that I do in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:10:43.887 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.440$ course that Doctor Goldman has taken with me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:10:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.310$ Have students come up with something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:10:49.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.408$ we feel like we know what it is but probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00{:}10{:}52.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.360$ don't all agree on the same definition?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:10:55.360 --> 00:10:58.736 How are we going to define this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:10:58.736 --> 00:11:01.238 how importantly will we measure it?

00:11:01.240 --> 00:11:02.140 I've always been fascinated

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:11:02.140 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.040$ by senses of humor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:11:03.040 --> 00:11:03.808 I you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:11:03.808 --> 00:11:06.363 I I was paired with a couple of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:11:06.363 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.785$ students and we did not share research

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:11:08.785 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.851$ interests or career interests And so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:11:10.851 --> 00:11:12.988 had to come up with something that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:11:12.988 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.936$ would all agree would be a worthwhile

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00{:}11{:}14.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}17.042$ endeavour at least entertaining enough to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:11:17.042 --> 00:11:19.519 to get through the semester long project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:11:19.520 --> 00:11:20.080 And I thought, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:11:20.080 --> 00:11:21.718 how do we define sense of humor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:11:21.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.760$ Let's see a chat thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00{:}11{:}22.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.620$ Here we go. Oh, there we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:11:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.240$ Thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:11:27.240 --> 00:11:30.382 And, you know, humor,

00:11:30.382 --> 00:11:31.158 as it turns out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00{:}11{:}31.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.964$ is quite psychologically relevant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:11:32.964 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.317$ Also medically relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:11:34.320 --> 00:11:37.164 I've I've learned in subsequent years

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:11:37.164 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.756$ and thought how how let's come up with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:11:40.756 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.360$ way to measure people's senses of humor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:11:44.360 --> 00:11:45.000 Simply OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:11:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.023$ If we look at it at at what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:11:48.023 --> 00:11:49.742 call face value past people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:11:49.742 --> 00:11:51.197 do you think something's funny?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:11:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.222$ Was basically the task we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:11:53.222 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.570$ to administer something quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:11:54.627 --> 00:11:56.115 to a large group of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:11:56.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.059$ This is before the days of online

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:11:58.059 --> 00:11:59.839 surveys or if they did exist,

 $00:11:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.600$ I didn't know how to use them yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:12:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.672$ It was also the days and it's pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00{:}12{:}04.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.716$ social media and but people did

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:12:06.716 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.107$ have e-mail and I don't know if

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:12:09.107 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.112$ anyone remembers when e-mail first

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:12:11.112 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.556$ became popular in the early 1990s

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:12:13.556 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.646$ but people would send ridiculous

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:12:15.646 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.952$ chain emails all the time of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00{:}12{:}17.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19.914$ like lists of jokes and things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:12:19.920 --> 00:12:22.116 So I decided I would go ahead and get

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:12:22.116 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.566$ in on that mix and so I sent emails

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:12:24.566 --> 00:12:26.474 to everyone I knew and simply said

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:12:26.474 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.640$ give me a on a on a scale of 1 to 9.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:12:29.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.680$ How funny do you think this joke is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:12:32.680 --> 00:12:33.919 And this is from my class project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:12:33.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.500$ please help me out.

 $00:12:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.475$ It was brief 1 liners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:12:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.049$ I could score them on what's called

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:12:40.049 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.884$ a Likert scale from 1 to 9 and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00{:}12{:}42.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.994$ priori determined based on face

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:12:44.994 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.923$ value only and the convergence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:12:47.923 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.031$ researchers who determined whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:12:50.031 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.610$ or not each one liner fit into

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:12:53.610 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.000$ particular type of humor category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00:12:56.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.080$ And the types of of humor categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00{:}12{:}59.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01.100$ we we we operationally defined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

00:13:01.100 --> 00:13:01.480 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80879652

 $00{:}13{:}01.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}03.760$ it's like 3 sub constructs under

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00{:}13{:}03.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}05.300$ the large construct, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:13:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.100$ So we've got the large construct

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:13:07.100 --> 00:13:08.799 being being humor type of humor,

 $00:13:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.613$ appreciation of humor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:13:09.613 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.680$ But we saw that as being, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:13:11.680 --> 00:13:12.560 some people like slapstick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:13:12.560 --> 00:13:14.320 some people only like highbrow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:13:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.120$ You know what are these humor types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:13:17.120 --> 00:13:18.840 And we sort of did this in a way by,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:13:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.880$ you know, finding a whole bunch

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:13:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.808$ of jokes and then classifying them

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:13:22.808 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.700$ according to kind of the types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:13:24.700 --> 00:13:27.460 comedians or what we thought would

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:13:27.460 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.100$ be corresponding with each type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:13:29.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.280$ So we've got witticisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:13:30.280 --> 00:13:33.358 the Jerry Seinfeld type of humor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:13:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.060$ you know, these sort of clever

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:13:36.060 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.804$ little observations about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:13:37.804 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.914$ actually exposed into the microscope.

 $00:13:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.040$ This is kind of a little funny,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077 00:13:41.040 --> 00:13:42.448 isn't it? NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:13:42.448 --> 00:13:46.168 Then the dry Stephen Wright sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:13:46.168 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.940$ of humor may be a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:13:48.940 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.204$ dark and then the dark and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:13:53.204 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.916$ potentially in poor taste.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:13:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.467$ And then the I I think of it as

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00{:}13{:}57.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00.239$ like the Lewis Black type of true

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00{:}14{:}00.239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02.479$ but more mocking other people's

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:14:02.479 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.683$ shortcomings or seemingly subpar

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:14:04.683 --> 00:14:08.053 intelligence or kind of like everyday

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00{:}14{:}08.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.118$ gaffes or something like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077 00:14:11.120 --> 00:14:12.068 Lewis Black.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077 00:14:12.068 --> 00:14:13.016 Yeah, OK, NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:14:13.016 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.200$ What we found highly,

 $00:14:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.540$ highly reliable subscales and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00{:}14{:}17.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}19.515$ reliability refers to the extent

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:14:19.515 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.390$ to which items cling together that

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:14:22.390 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.318$ theoretically should cling together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:14:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.418$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:14:25.418 --> 00:14:27.248 if somebody rated one witticism

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:14:27.248 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.199$ very high as being humorous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:14:29.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.664$ they would be more likely to evaluate

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:14:31.664 --> 00:14:34.000 another witticism as being very humorous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:14:34.000 --> 00:14:36.360 And conversely, if not humorous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:14:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.410$ also not humorous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:14:37.410 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.210$ So it really is just it's almost like

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:14:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.344$ a large correlation coefficient where

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:14:42.344 --> 00:14:44.984 you're actually controlling for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:14:44.984 --> 00:14:48.066 number of items comprising scale so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:14:48.066 --> 00:14:50.957 But reliability is one of the first

00:14:50.957 --> 00:14:54.458 tenets that we look for in determining

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:14:54.458 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.360$ psychometric appropriateness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:14:55.360 --> 00:14:56.960 So you need reliability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:14:56.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.496$ and I'm going to get into

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:14:58.496 --> 00:14:59.520 reliability and validating what

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:14:59.573 --> 00:15:00.875 these mean a little bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:15:00.880 --> 00:15:03.715 But that was pretty cool that we found that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077 00:15:03.720 --> 00:15:04.542 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:04.542 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.638$ that these did seem to the items

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00{:}15{:}07.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.060$ that we determined at the face value

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:10.131 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.085$ should relate to each other did in

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:13.085 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.599$ fact highly intercorrelate with one another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:15.600 \dashrightarrow 00:15:17.520$ did not do what's called a factor analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:17.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.235$ That was just way too sophisticated for

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:15:19.235 --> 00:15:21.277 where I was at that level of training.

 $00:15:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.160$ And I didn't really have

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.040$ the table size for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077 00:15:23.040 --> 00:15:23.440 I'm sorry. NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:23.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.640$ I'm I'm saying I I I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.176$ Because honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:25.176 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.320$ I did all the work on this thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.596$ and then did get a great grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077 00:15:30.600 --> 00:15:30.857 Actually, NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00{:}15{:}30.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32.399$ that professor still remembers me and

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:32.399 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.181$ wrote to me some decades later because

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:34.181 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.923$ he very much thought that we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:35.923 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.715$ have tried to submit it for publication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.988$ But I was aiming to get into a doctoral

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00{:}15{:}39.988 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}15{:}42.116$ program to specialize in eating disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:15:42.120 --> 00:15:43.527 And it just seemed I was worried

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:43.527 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.957$ at the time that it would be,

00:15:44.960 --> 00:15:45.750 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:45.750 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.725$ not that it wouldn't necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00{:}15{:}47.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.097$ register me as the serious scholar

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:50.097 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.317$ that I was trying to become.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.528$ Ironically, 30 years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:53.528 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.038$ I'm still not so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:15:55.040 --> 00:15:56.736 But you know, whatever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

00:15:56.736 --> 00:15:58.600 OK, why are we doing this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:15:58.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.920$ We,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:16:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.264$ the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:16:01.264 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.984$ the are are going through

NOTE Confidence: 0.848170146923077

 $00:16:02.984 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.360$ this entire area of

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00{:}16{:}04.431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07.328$ education, the process of defining

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:16:07.328 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.116$ constructs, coming upon a consensus

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:16:09.116 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.320$ of what of how we are all,

 $00:16:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.518$ as the researchers and our colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:16:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.490$ going to agree on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:16:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.678$ operational definition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

00:16:18.680 --> 00:16:21.328 Developing questions and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:16:21.328 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.638$ questionnaires is actually pretty hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:16:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.638$ Doctor Goldman can speak to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:16:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.880$ It's a pretty complicated process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

00:16:28.880 --> 00:16:31.316 The numbers end up surprising you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:16:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.740$ The method of data collection

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:16:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.876$ ends up surprising you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00{:}16{:}33.880 \to 00{:}16{:}37.508$ And then unfortunately it just it

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:16:37.508 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.480$ takes a lot of time and energy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:16:40.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.940$ Subtle little details about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:16:42.940 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.908$ administration of your questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

00:16:44.908 --> 00:16:48.344 can have a significant impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:16:48.344 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.364$ on on the results of of what

00:16:50.364 --> 00:16:51.440 you're actually going to see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

00:16:51.440 --> 00:16:53.925 And I'm going to show you more

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:16:53.925 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.199$ on that on these subtleties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:16:57.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.246$ what I did in this questionnaire

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

00:16:59.246 --> 00:17:01.615 that you all just completed, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:17:01.615 \dashrightarrow 00:17:03.400$ Everybody had the QR code and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:17:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.959$ answered a couple of questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00{:}17{:}04.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07.095$ What you didn't know is that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:17:07.095 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.382$ had embedded a randomizer at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:17:09.382 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.248$ beginning of the question naire so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

00:17:11.248 --> 00:17:13.126 people received slightly different

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:17:13.126 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.784$ questions in your quick little 6

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00{:}17{:}15.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.079$ item survey or whatever it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

00:17:18.080 --> 00:17:20.000 They were subtly different

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:17:20.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.740$ by just a few little pieces.

 $00:17:22.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.120$ So everyone should have seen this meme.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00{:}17{:}25.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.350$ Did everybody see this meme right and

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:17:28.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.000$ you were simply asked to evaluate?

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

00:17:30.000 --> 00:17:32.716 How funny do you think this is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:17:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.880$ Does anybody happen to know which

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

00:17:34.880 --> 00:17:36.752 one they received? Which version?

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:17:36.752 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.920$ Do you see the difference in the versions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.775094401818182

 $00:17:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.160$ OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897552783333333

00:17:45.450 --> 00:17:48.770 can anyone guess what might happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.897552783333333

 $00:17:48.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.050$ here if we were to, let's say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8975527833333333

 $00{:}17{:}51.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54.749$ let's say let's score this at 123 and four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897552783333333

00:17:54.749 --> 00:17:56.450 with four being, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897552783333333

00:17:56.450 --> 00:17:58.050 people thought it was funnier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897552783333333

 $00:17:58.050 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.366$ This let's not score at all

NOTE Confidence: 0.897552783333333

 $00:18:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.325$ because it's not applicable and

NOTE Confidence: 0.897552783333333

 $00:18:02.325 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.729$ we've still got one 2-3 and four.

00:18:05.729 --> 00:18:08.794 Does anybody have any hypotheses?

NOTE Confidence: 0.897552783333333

 $00:18:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.047$ So let's say for because these people

NOTE Confidence: 0.897552783333333

 $00{:}18{:}11.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13.438$ didn't get the not applicable option,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897552783333333

 $00:18:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.742$ are people going to skip that question

NOTE Confidence: 0.897552783333333

00:18:14.742 --> 00:18:16.480 or what do you think they're going to do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94750035

00:18:25.220 --> 00:18:27.420 Well, I could tell you what I did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915504028888889

 $00:18:27.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.814$ which is I got the first one or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.915504028888889

 $00{:}18{:}29.814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32.164$ one that's on the left and I didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.915504028888889

 $00{:}18{:}32.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34.935$ get it and so I rated it as not funny.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915504028888889

00:18:34.940 --> 00:18:38.630 So my hypothesis would be that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915504028888889

 $00:18:38.630 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.940$ that the on the second question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915504028888889

00:18:40.940 --> 00:18:43.064 you know, you would probably have

NOTE Confidence: 0.915504028888889

 $00{:}18{:}43.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45.302$ whoever said not funny would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.915504028888889

 $00{:}18{:}45.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47.197$ further speciated into those two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873355

00:18:48.760 --> 00:18:51.688 Yes, I agree. So the the thought is

00:18:51.688 --> 00:18:54.166 OK didn't get it. I'll explain it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873355

00:18:54.166 --> 00:18:56.338 It's a song called Africa by

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873355

 $00:18:56.338 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.679$ the band Toto And. Yeah, OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860873355

 $00:18:58.679 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.600$ So that was like a hit and early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805989

00:19:01.600 --> 00:19:04.144 Oh, now I get it. Oh, thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805989

00:19:04.144 --> 00:19:07.760 Marnie. All right. So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00:19:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.120$ OK, so fun song lyrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

00:19:11.120 --> 00:19:12.496 a whole lot of pop, cultural reference

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00{:}19{:}12.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}14.536$ all mushed together, fun, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00:19:14.536 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.720$ I'm amused by these kinds of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00:19:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.665$ So, so the hypothesis then being

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

00:19:21.665 --> 00:19:23.888 that's probably the means over here

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

00:19:23.888 --> 00:19:26.016 might be a little bit higher, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

00:19:26.016 --> 00:19:27.896 Because anybody who didn't get

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00:19:27.896 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.518$ it is just going to be exempted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

00:19:30.520 --> 00:19:32.096 And anybody who thinks it's funny or at

 $00:19:32.096 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.638$ least that that's that's my hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00{:}19{:}33.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}35.160$ I thought, I think in terms of what we're at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00:19:35.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.960$ we're at the way we're actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00:19:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.680$ expecting the data to pan out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00:19:38.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.752$ So we've got plenty of people thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00:19:40.752 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.320$ that not applicable, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00:19:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.360$ they're like, I don't get it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00{:}19{:}44.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}46.492$ And then some people like know, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

00:19:46.492 --> 00:19:47.689 very, very few people, whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

00:19:47.689 --> 00:19:49.423 Not very many people were as

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00:19:49.423 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.838$ amused by this as I was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00:19:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.480$ Let's put it that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00:19:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.510$ Now for the not applicable, when there's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

00:19:56.510 --> 00:19:58.435 When we don't have the not applicable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00:19:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.477$ we've just got. This is not funny.

 $00:20:00.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.160$ Two, it is funny.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00:20:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.000$ We've got.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00:20:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.954$ Now this is consistent with your hypothesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942 00:20:05.960 --> 00:20:06.322 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

00:20:06.322 --> 00:20:06.684 Like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00:20:06.684 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.856$ because if we were to add

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00:20:08.856 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.920$ the not applicable here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

00:20:10.920 --> 00:20:12.712 they'd show up here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

 $00{:}20{:}12.712 --> 00{:}20{:}13.160 \ right?$

NOTE Confidence: 0.949916942

00:20:13.160 --> 00:20:14.000 Except

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:20:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.080$ what we've got here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:20:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.440$ and the way I'm interpreting this is when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:20:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.957$ we have the not applicable option here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:20:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.600$ We should theoretically just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:20:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.696$ see these two being equivalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:20:29.696 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.808$ Instead, we've got a couple of

 $00:20:31.808 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.741$ different factors that might have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:20:33.741 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.636$ influenced the pattern of responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:20:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.274$ One is having that not applicable option

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

00:20:40.280 --> 00:20:42.499 might have told those people who thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:20:42.499 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.360$ it was a little bit amusing that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

00:20:45.360 --> 00:20:47.970 actually a funnier than it is because oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:20:47.970 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.560$ I'm in on this inside joke a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00{:}20{:}50.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52.360$ Other people might not get it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:20:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.080$ That actually makes it a little bit funnier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:20:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.384$ Or it could be something as simple as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:20:59.384 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.316$ the graphic of the five point scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:21:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.679$ People do look at the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:21:04.679 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.716$ response options, 4 versus 5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

00:21:06.716 --> 00:21:09.880 and we draw all kinds of inferences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

00:21:09.880 --> 00:21:11.672 especially when it comes to Likert scaling

 $00:21:11.672 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.040$ and a five point scale versus a four point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:21:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.880$ We look for middle a middle response option.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00{:}21{:}17.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19.372$ Obviously the middle response

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:21:19.372 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.237$ option is the most popular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701650675

 $00:21:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.794$ When we've got a 5 point scale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96413547

 $00:21:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.518$ we see a little bit less of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96413547

00:21:30.518 --> 00:21:33.240 when we've only got the four points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70128859375

 $00{:}21{:}35.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37.760$ It's there are a lot of different poses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70128859375

00:21:37.760 --> 00:21:39.237 I don't know which one is correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70128859375

00:21:39.240 --> 00:21:41.438 All I know is that including that

NOTE Confidence: 0.70128859375

 $00{:}21{:}41.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}43.133$ non applicable option changes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.70128859375

 $00{:}21{:}43.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45.221$ pattern of results and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.70128859375

00:21:45.221 --> 00:21:46.598 highly significant if we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.70128859375

 $00:21:46.598 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.180$ put these into means to treat them

NOTE Confidence: 0.70128859375

 $00:21:48.226 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.840$ not applicables as a missing value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70128859375

 $00:21:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.479$ it's highly significant like point OO1 and

 $00:21:52.479 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.239$ it happens over and over again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70128859375

00:21:55.240 --> 00:21:56.880 All right, shifting gears now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9663842725

 $00:21:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.192$ let's say we've got these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9663842725

 $00:22:03.192 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.936$ Now I kind of want to review

NOTE Confidence: 0.9663842725

 $00:22:05.936 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.912$ some thoughts around real

NOTE Confidence: 0.9663842725

 $00:22:07.912 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.440$ life research questions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

00:22:12.920 --> 00:22:15.312 how we're going to need to determine our

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

 $00{:}22{:}15.312 \to 00{:}22{:}17.440$ measurement on both sides of the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

 $00{:}22{:}17.440 --> 00{:}22{:}19.841$ OK. So let's just say we've got

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

 $00:22:19.841 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.276$ a hypothetical research question

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

 $00{:}22{:}21.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.677$ about whether or not our policy or

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

 $00:22:23.677 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.671$ practice or procedure influences

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

 $00{:}22{:}25.671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27.496$ patient experience, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

 $00{:}22{:}27.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.772$ We've got to now think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

00:22:30.772 --> 00:22:32.716 what about patient experience?

 $00:22:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.640$ Do we really care about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

 $00{:}22{:}33.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}34.920$ Are we looking at satisfaction?

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

 $00:22:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.780$ Are we looking at the competence

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

00:22:36.780 --> 00:22:39.040 of our of our medical staff?

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

 $00:22:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.726$ Are we looking at perceptions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

 $00{:}22{:}40.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42.455$ care and nurturance that might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

 $00{:}22{:}42.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}44.117$ different from competence and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

 $00:22:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.954$ So there are a lot of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

 $00{:}22{:}45.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47.998$ ways that we might aim to measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

 $00:22:48.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.252$ patient experience and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

 $00{:}22{:}52.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.093$ might be many existing measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.930884406666667

 $00:22:55.093 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.158$ to evaluate each of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81300388

00:22:59.480 --> 00:23:00.705 I don't this three shouldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.81300388

 $00:23:00.705 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.358$ be there. That's an error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00:23:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.536$ Once we figure out what it is we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00:23:06.536 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.957$ exactly trying to focus on our measure,

 $00:23:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.696$ we next have to figure out whether or

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00:23:09.696 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.519$ not there are questionnaires out there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00{:}23{:}11.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}13.116$ measures out there that will do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00:23:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.142$ There are many different types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00:23:15.142 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.153$ patient experience questionnaires.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00:23:16.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.785$ It developed in many different

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00:23:18.785 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.360$ subfields or specializations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00:23:20.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.042$ and it is up to you now to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00{:}23{:}23.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}24.719$ searching and finding them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00:23:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.040$ Here's how to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00:23:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.288$ There's actually something called the Health

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00{:}23{:}31.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}34.120$ and Psychosocial Instruments Database.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00{:}23{:}34.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35.256$ GAIL has it. It's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00{:}23{:}35.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37.200$ I don't know how to pronounce it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00:23:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.691$ Happy, I guess.

 $00{:}23{:}38.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40.679$ Health and psychosocial instruments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00{:}23{:}40.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}43.560$ Ask your medical librarian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

00:23:43.560 --> 00:23:45.720 They're remarkably helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

00:23:45.720 --> 00:23:47.841 You can also try cited reference searching

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00:23:47.841 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.919$ and scope as your web of science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

00:23:49.920 --> 00:23:52.174 I prefer Google Scholar, even though our

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

 $00:23:52.174 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.998$ public health librarian doesn't like it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333 00:23:54.000 --> 00:23:54.594 I'm just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333 00:23:54.594 --> 00:23:55.188 But anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865875629333333

00:23:55.188 --> 00:23:57.200 I feel bad kind of recommending it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854962248888889

 $00{:}23{:}59.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}01.576$ given that librarians have

NOTE Confidence: 0.854962248888889

 $00:24:01.576 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.196$ identified many flaws with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854962248888889

 $00:24:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.360$ This is an incredibly helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.854962248888889

 $00:24:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.365$ slide and resource. Go to Ovid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85496224888889

 $00:24:10.365 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.240$ Psych Test is another option.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854962248888889

00:24:12.240 --> 00:24:13.720 Psych Info is another option,

00:24:13.720 --> 00:24:15.760 but Happy is really going

NOTE Confidence: 0.854962248888889

 $00{:}24{:}15.760 --> 00{:}24{:}18.240$ to pull your info for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854962248888889

 $00:24:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.796$ The next question are the psychometric

NOTE Confidence: 0.854962248888889

00:24:20.796 --> 00:24:23.160 properties of these scales adequate?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854962248888889

 $00:24:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.211$ All right, now a little crash course

NOTE Confidence: 0.854962248888889

 $00:24:25.211 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.919$ in what psychometric properties are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854962248888889

00:24:26.920 --> 00:24:29.704 Again, you first want to establish

NOTE Confidence: 0.854962248888889

 $00:24:29.704 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.560$ that your properties are

NOTE Confidence: 0.774401733333333

 $00:24:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.679$ reliable, then valid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774401733333333

 $00:24:38.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.955$ and if you are looking at subscales,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774401733333333

 $00:24:40.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.068$ you also need to gauge the

NOTE Confidence: 0.774401733333333

 $00:24:44.068 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.840$ liability and validity of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792440075

 $00{:}24{:}50.720 --> 00{:}24{:}51.670$ I'm sorry, I'm a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792440075

00:24:51.670 --> 00:24:52.240 distracted because I'm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792440075

 $00{:}24{:}52.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54.328$ I'm concerned that I'm showing you

00:24:54.328 --> 00:24:56.310 the wrong slide show because I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792440075

 $00:24:56.310 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.080$ got three slides open right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792440075

 $00:24:58.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.824$ Now This is correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792440075

 $00:24:58.824 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.680$ All right, this is fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685591043076923

00:25:03.760 --> 00:25:05.686 I I described inter item reliability

NOTE Confidence: 0.685591043076923

00:25:05.686 --> 00:25:08.038 a little bit a few slides back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685591043076923

 $00:25:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.640$ Inter item liability is almost like a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00:25:14.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.622$ correlation coefficient of all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00{:}25{:}17.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}20.440$ items comprising a particular scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00:25:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.440$ The by and large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00{:}25{:}22.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25.960$ the more items you have on a scale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00{:}25{:}25.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28.636$ the higher your reliability will be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00:25:28.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.000$ But that doesn't mean that's a good thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00:25:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.640$ because it does control somewhat for the N,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

00:25:35.640 --> 00:25:37.355 the N being the number of items.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00{:}25{:}37.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}39.802$ You can artificially drive up an

 $00:25:39.802 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.430$ inter item reliability coefficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00:25:41.500 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.552$ which is called Chromebox Alpha in

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

00:25:43.552 --> 00:25:46.240 most cases just by having extra and

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00:25:46.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.840$ and potentially unnecessary items.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00:25:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.208$ What you always want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00:25:49.208 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.234$ And so sometimes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

00:25:50.240 --> 00:25:52.880 like pseudo scientific jargon will say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00:25:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.792$ oh, our scale is so much better because

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00:25:54.792 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.761$ we have a coefficient alpha of .93,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

00:25:56.761 --> 00:25:58.366 and the gold standard that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00:25:58.366 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.312$ been used before this only has

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00:26:00.312 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.144$ a coefficient alpha of .89.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00{:}26{:}02.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}04.640$ No, no, no, no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00:26:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.240$ that's not really that impressive of a leap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

00:26:08.240 --> 00:26:10.340 especially if somebody's asking

 $00:26:10.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.965$ or measuring something with 35

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00{:}26{:}12.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}14.859$ questions and somebody else can

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00:26:14.859 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.142$ get it done in six and still

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00:26:17.142 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.797$ have a good coefficient alpha.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375267272727

 $00:26:18.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.480$ That's the one you want to choose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:26:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.352$ So internal consistency is the extent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:26:25.352 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.800$ to which those items interrelate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00{:}26{:}27.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31.124$ You. Also that once you've established

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00{:}26{:}31.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}33.200$ reliability, you can then talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

00:26:33.200 --> 00:26:34.720 various types of validity content,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:26:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.760$ validity and criteria and validity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:26:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.280$ And when you have all of these together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:26:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.880$ now you've got evidence of construct

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:26:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.244$ validity, reliability measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:26:44.244 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.154$ There are particular different kinds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:26:46.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.238$ There's inter rater reliability which is

00:26:52.240 --> 00:26:55.905 if you have you know multiple individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:26:55.905 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.030$ for example evaluating a particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:26:59.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.480$ stimulus or interview or diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00{:}27{:}02.480 \to 00{:}27{:}04.862$ That's a little bit less relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00{:}27{:}04.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.891$ to scale development but you'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:27:06.891 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.716$ see it in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:27:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.720$ Test, retest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

00:27:09.720 --> 00:27:11.720 reliability which you generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:27:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.480$ want to establish if possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:27:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.805$ That's where when you're when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

00:27:16.805 --> 00:27:19.130 you're looking at the properties

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:27:19.213 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.789$ of your measure you then want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00{:}27{:}21.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}24.764$ re administer it to at least a sub

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:27:24.764 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.020$ sample of your population and look

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:27:27.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.890$ at the correlation looked at at how

 $00:27:29.890 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.613$ well these measures align but with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:27:32.613 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.317$ each other from time 1 to time 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:27:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.120$ Now again this is a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:27:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.916$ this is really in reference to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:27:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.812$ questionnaire development and construction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00{:}27{:}39.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.650$ But when you are choosing your

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

00:27:42.716 --> 00:27:45.320 measures you want those that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:27:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.320$ appropriate inter item reliability and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00{:}27{:}47.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49.120$ test retest reliability that should

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:27:49.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.597$ be established if it's going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:27:51.597 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.142$ a solid self report question naire

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:27:53.142 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.199$ that's used out there in the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:27:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.784$ And these you know screen share

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

00:27:56.784 --> 00:27:58.919 this or not screen share just screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:27:58.919 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.279$ grab this particular slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:28:00.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.265$ Because these are just the the

 $00:28:03.265 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.990$ reliability coefficients that you'll be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

00:28:04.990 --> 00:28:07.039 using either alpha split half or ICC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:28:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.532$ which is a interclass correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

00:28:09.532 --> 00:28:11.076 coefficient if you're using,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00{:}28{:}11.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.720$ you know, non continuous data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

00:28:14.720 --> 00:28:16.805 If you're looking at categorical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:28:16.805 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.473$ outcomes or categorical decisions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

00:28:18.480 --> 00:28:19.940 then you might be looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:28:19.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.640$ at what's called the KR 20.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:28:21.640 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.320$ These are just different statistics

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:28:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.000$ that ultimately are going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:28:25.058 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.318$ tell you the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:28:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.378$ And then I also just want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00{:}28{:}28.378 \longrightarrow 00{:}28{:}30.649$ give you some of the guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:28:30.649 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.839$ for what is considered adequate.

 $00:28:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.262$ Anything above .7 is going to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00{:}28{:}35.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36.710$ pretty good, especially again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:28:36.710 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.710$ sometimes you'll see like a three or four

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:28:39.777 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.640$ item scale with a .7 reliability coefficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:28:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.920$ That's excellent that I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:28:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.200$ get very excited about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

00:28:47.200 --> 00:28:47.720 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:28:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.540$ you know you always want to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:28:49.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.798$ something in the point nines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:28:50.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.140$ but you're always wanting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00{:}28{:}54.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55.800$ balance against participant burden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

00:28:55.800 --> 00:28:58.495 You'd much rather have full and complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:28:58.495 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.891$ data than highly reliable data where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

00:29:00.891 --> 00:29:02.250 20\% of your respondents have dropped

NOTE Confidence: 0.9214322

 $00:29:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.920$ off by the end of the of the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885562011428571

00:29:06.680 --> 00:29:08.465 Validity. OK, I've spoken about

 $00:29:08.465 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.250$ reliability and and you almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.885562011428571

 $00:29:10.313 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.317$ think of reliability as being like

NOTE Confidence: 0.885562011428571

00:29:12.317 --> 00:29:14.306 the the likelihood that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.885562011428571

 $00:29:14.306 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.076$ get the same response every time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885562011428571

 $00:29:16.080 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.304$ That's the precision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885562011428571

 $00:29:17.304 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.160$ Validity is whether or not it's true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885562011428571

 $00:29:20.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.960$ So in order for something to be valid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885562011428571

 $00:29:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.880$ it must first be established to be reliable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885562011428571

 $00:29:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.320$ You can have something be very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885562011428571

00:29:27.320 --> 00:29:32.168 very reliable, but wrong, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885562011428571

 $00:29:32.168 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.260$ So the bathroom scale can give

NOTE Confidence: 0.885562011428571

 $00:29:35.260 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.240$ you the same answer every time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885562011428571

 $00:29:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.960$ but it might be 10 lbs off, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424054

 $00:29:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.950$ it's going to be 10 lbs off for every

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424054

00:29:43.950 --> 00:29:45.480 single participant that gets on it.

 $00:29:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.371$ So it's reliable. That reliably gives you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424054

 $00{:}29{:}48.371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}50.680$ consistent measures, but it's not valid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876496048461538

 $00:29:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.579$ And valid validity is established by a

NOTE Confidence: 0.876496048461538

00:29:56.579 --> 00:29:58.838 bunch of different types of criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876496048461538

 $00:29:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.360$ so there are different kinds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876496048461538

00:30:00.360 --> 00:30:01.708 Face validity can generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.876496048461538

00:30:01.708 --> 00:30:03.393 get from the research group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876496048461538

 $00:30:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.944$ or from focus groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876496048461538

 $00:30:04.944 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.874$ or from the Delphi panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876496048461538

 $00:30:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.211$ This is whether or not your measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.876496048461538

 $00{:}30{:}09.211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}11.279$ is intending what or is measuring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876496048461538

00:30:11.280 --> 00:30:15.044 The it's it's how obvious

NOTE Confidence: 0.876496048461538

 $00:30:15.044 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.890$ it is really does it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.876496048461538

 $00:30:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.815$ Is it measuring what it

NOTE Confidence: 0.876496048461538

00:30:17.815 --> 00:30:18.800 seems like it's measuring?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171125

 $00:30:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.835$ Does anybody have any ideas of what

00:30:22.835 --> 00:30:24.240 this questionnaire is measuring?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781939624285714

 $00:30:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.598$ It's question one of a of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.781939624285714

 $00:30:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.040$ widely used validated measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781939624285714

00:30:31.040 --> 00:30:33.200 What do you think it's measuring?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86487187

 $00:30:45.080 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.760$ Any ideas?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9628087475

 $00:30:50.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.000$ Don't be shy, guys. Chat or open. Or unmute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82089284888889

00:30:56.520 --> 00:30:57.764 Yeah, seriously, Jordan, Yell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820892848888889

00:30:57.764 --> 00:30:59.400 I'd like to be interrupted. I'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.909390871

 $00:31:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.444$ like to converse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909390871

 $00:31:02.444 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.040$ I don't like to talk at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888960512

 $00:31:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.240$ Right. What do we have?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888960512

 $00:31:08.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.120$ We've got. This is depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888960512

 $00{:}31{:}10.120 --> 00{:}31{:}10.768$ Yes. Excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888960512

00:31:10.768 --> 00:31:12.712 This is the first question of

NOTE Confidence: 0.888960512

 $00:31:12.712 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.680$ the Beck Depression Inventory.

 $00:31:14.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.339$ Widely used. Excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888960512

 $00{:}31{:}16.339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}19.710$ So face valid? Yes, pretty obvious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888960512

00:31:19.710 --> 00:31:22.560 Perhaps even to non specialists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888960512

 $00:31:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.760$ even to patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888960512

00:31:23.760 --> 00:31:25.760 What this is probably measuring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888960512

00:31:25.760 --> 00:31:28.184 right don't need a whole lot of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.888960512

 $00:31:28.184 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.119$ education and depression to guess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888960512

 $00:31:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.320$ This is probably what it's about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888960512

 $00{:}31{:}31.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32.680$ It's at least measuring sadness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9184310575

00:31:35.680 --> 00:31:36.780 Does anybody know what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9184310575

00:31:36.780 --> 00:31:37.880 this might be measuring?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9184310575

 $00:31:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.480$ These are three items on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9184310575

 $00:31:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.198$ same subscale of another widely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9184310575

 $00:31:44.198 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.716$ used psychiatric instrument.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93156795

 $00:32:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.160$ Any ideas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.25626293

00:32:07.400 --> 00:32:10.320 Ruchika, You unmuted. There we go.

00:32:10.320 --> 00:32:11.720 That's great. Oh, I'm sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.487658206

00:32:11.720 --> 00:32:13.622 I didn't. I didn't realize. Unmuted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.487658206

00:32:13.622 --> 00:32:16.394 But I was thinking about anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.487658206

 $00:32:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.360$ Good, good, good. Good idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:32:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.040$ So anxiety and depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:32:19.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.360$ Or anxiety. Or depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:32:20.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.560$ Maybe a mix of anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:32:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.291$ depression or anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:32:23.291 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.599$ So that right there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00{:}32{:}25.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29.716$ given that we have different ideas

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:32:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.834$ as to what this could be measuring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:32:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.320$ suggests that it's maybe not as face valid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

00:32:35.320 --> 00:32:37.098 And in fact I would not consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:32:37.098 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.159$ this to be a face valid measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:32:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.000$ This is the depression scale of the MNPI,

00:32:42.000 --> 00:32:43.760 the Minnesota Multi Basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

00:32:43.760 --> 00:32:44.640 Personality Inventory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:32:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.180$ This measure was what's called

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

00:32:47.180 --> 00:32:48.505 empirically keyed, meaning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:32:48.505 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.945$ it is not that these items are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:32:50.945 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.030$ put together based on their value or

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:32:54.030 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.220$ their obvious correspondence with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

00:32:56.294 --> 00:32:59.240 construct that they're trying to measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667 00:32:59.240 --> 00:32:59.642 Rather, NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

00:32:59.642 --> 00:33:01.250 they individuals were classified

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00{:}33{:}01.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03.668$ according to the construct and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

00:33:03.668 --> 00:33:06.083 based on how they responded to these

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:33:06.083 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.199$ individual measures or the individual items,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:33:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.021$ those items then mapped on to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

00:33:11.021 --> 00:33:13.958 creation of the scale as it happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

00:33:13.960 --> 00:33:14.786 Appetite disturbance,

 $00:33:14.786 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.264$ sleep disturbance and mood of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00{:}33{:}17.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}20.133$ are all prongs that relate to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:33:20.133 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.717$ clinical diagnosis of depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

00:33:21.720 --> 00:33:26.200 However, not obviously so to everybody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:33:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.440$ right? Not face valid one more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:33:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.400$ Does anybody have any ideas

NOTE Confidence: 0.892473141666667

 $00:33:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.360$ what this might be measuring?

NOTE Confidence: 0.847129102857143

 $00:33:41.730 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.767$ Again, 4 items on the same subscale?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96316078

 $00:34:01.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.444$ Great idea. The question mark already

NOTE Confidence: 0.96316078

 $00:34:04.444 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.980$ tells me it's not face valid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8320574

 $00:34:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.600$ This is the MMPIK scale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8320574

 $00:34:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.205$ which is actually a correction scale and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8320574

 $00{:}34{:}18.205 \to 00{:}34{:}21.835$ what it measures is social desirability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8320574

 $00:34:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.784$ And when people score very highly on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8320574

 $00:34:25.784 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.926$ scale, it invalidates their responses on the

 $00:34:28.926 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.239$ rest of the of the Large Assessment Battery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8320574

 $00:34:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.396$ What this? At times I feel like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8320574

 $00:34:35.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.080$ and this is all true. False, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8320574

 $00:34:37.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.160$ At times I feel like swearing false.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8320574

 $00:34:40.160 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.812$ Come on, criticism or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8320574

 $00:34:42.812 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.436$ scolding hurts me terribly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8320574

 $00:34:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.080$ False. No, I'm good with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8320574

00:34:46.080 --> 00:34:48.000 It really helps me become a better person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8320574

00:34:48.000 --> 00:34:49.358 you know, Come on, this is off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8320574

00:34:49.360 --> 00:34:52.420 You know, if if people are saying false,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8320574

 $00:34:52.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.200$ false, false, they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.93968522625

 $00{:}34{:}55.520 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}34{:}56.640$ trying to present themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.93968522625

 $00:34:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.760$ in a better light.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93968522625

 $00:34:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.164$ What that means is that their

NOTE Confidence: 0.93968522625

 $00:34:59.164 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.400$ response is on our side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93968522625

 $00:35:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.438$ something that's very not face valid,

 $00:35:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.477$ but was able to be determined again

NOTE Confidence: 0.93968522625

 $00{:}35{:}06.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07.760$ through that empirical keying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93968522625

 $00:35:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.518$ which I think is just fascinating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:35:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.600$ Other types of validity criterion, validity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:35:15.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.696$ This is really the meat of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:35:17.696 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.432$ lot of what we want to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

00:35:19.440 --> 00:35:21.414 We're not usually just going out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:35:21.414 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.448$ trying to find a measure to measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00{:}35{:}23.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}25.431$ something because of the sake of we

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:35:25.431 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.279$ want to make sure that we can truly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:35:27.280 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.198$ you know, know the status of truth

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00{:}35{:}29.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}30.600$ of this particular construct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00{:}35{:}30.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32.925$ We're actually trying to relate

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:35:32.925 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.320$ to something meaningful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:35:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.204$ which means we want our scores

 $00:35:36.204 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.239$ on our measures to actually tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:35:38.239 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.357$ us something on down the line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:35:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.390$ whether it maps onto a particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

00:35:43.390 --> 00:35:45.280 diagnosis or, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:35:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.600$ recovery button, whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:35:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.946$ That would be concurrent validity if we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00{:}35{:}49.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}53.531$ looking for our measure to relate to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:35:53.531 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.164$ diagnosis or some other gold standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00{:}35{:}56.164 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}35{:}59.256$ If we're looking for our screening tool

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

00:35:59.256 --> 00:36:06.000 to map onto an actual lengthier battery

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

00:36:06.000 --> 00:36:07.720 or something called predictive living,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00{:}36{:}07.720 \longrightarrow 00{:}36{:}10.365$ which is simply whether or not accurate

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:36:10.365 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.590$ knowledge of our question naire predicts

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00{:}36{:}12.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.840$ something meaningful in the future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:36:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.018$ I love the old MCAT score and

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00{:}36{:}18.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20.080$ performance in medical school.

 $00:36:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.106$ Predictive validity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:36:22.106 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.839$ No, not a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:36:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.880$ We certainly know that's the case

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

00:36:26.880 --> 00:36:29.275 in the PhD sciences that GRE scores

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

00:36:29.275 --> 00:36:31.104 have like I believe it's about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:36:31.104 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.660$ I think it's at point O2 correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:36:36.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.456$ coefficient with dissertation

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00{:}36{:}38.456 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}36{:}40.122$ quality of resource, productivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:36:40.122 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.376$ So of course we've got other issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:36:42.376 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.997$ like restriction of range and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

00:36:44.000 --> 00:36:44.548 But ultimately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:36:44.548 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.740$ if we're going to go through all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00{:}36{:}46.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}48.640$ process of administering a measure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

00:36:48.640 --> 00:36:51.394 we want it to relate to something of value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906653648

 $00:36:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.480$ something meaningful.

 $00:36:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.790$ These are the measures appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.871831098888889

 $00{:}36{:}56.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}57.998$ for your target population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871831098888889

 $00:36:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.079$ And this is what I had mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.871831098888889

00:37:00.079 --> 00:37:01.480 previously about, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871831098888889

 $00:37:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.880$ you might have something that's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.871831098888889

 $00{:}37{:}03.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}06.575$ validated for use in a dults and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871831098888889

 $00:37:06.575 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.870$ it's not necessarily as translated

NOTE Confidence: 0.871831098888889

 $00{:}37{:}08.957 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}11.110$ to use in a dolescence or children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871831098888889

 $00:37:11.110 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.143$ And so you just want to make sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.871831098888889

00:37:13.143 --> 00:37:14.691 if there's an existing construct out

NOTE Confidence: 0.871831098888889

 $00{:}37{:}14.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}16.892$ there that it has been validated for use

NOTE Confidence: 0.871831098888889

00:37:16.892 --> 00:37:18.866 in your particular population or that

NOTE Confidence: 0.871831098888889

 $00:37:18.866 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.796$ the population that you're studying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871831098888889

 $00:37:21.800 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.000$ Hopefully that is the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871831098888889

00:37:23.000 --> 00:37:24.572 If not, you might have yourself

NOTE Confidence: 0.871831098888889

 $00:37:24.572 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.358$ a revalidation study,

00:37:27.840 --> 00:37:29.200 advice, advice, advice, advice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

00:37:29.200 --> 00:37:31.680 Once you've picked all of these things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

 $00{:}37{:}31.680 \to 00{:}37{:}35.092$ I want to take you through how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

 $00:37:35.092 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.436$ avoid shortcomings in administration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

00:37:37.440 --> 00:37:42.885 And I've done most of these blunders

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

 $00:37:42.885 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.380$ myself over the past decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

00:37:45.380 --> 00:37:47.664 I've done something like, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

 $00:37:47.664 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.008$ it's it's the best way to learn

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

 $00{:}37{:}49.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}50.279$ and it makes you meticulous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

 $00{:}37{:}50.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}54.198$ But I I, I collected thousands

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

 $00{:}37{:}54.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}56.468$ of participants of data only to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

 $00{:}37{:}56.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}59.185$ discover after the fact that I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

 $00{:}37{:}59.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}01.340$ somehow left education out of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

 $00{:}38{:}01.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}03.040$ out of my demographic battery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

 $00:38:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.325$ So I had a really flimsy measure of socio

 $00:38:07.325 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.600$ economic status and educational attainment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

 $00:38:09.600 \dashrightarrow 00:38:12.638$ And I mean it's just it's sickening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

 $00:38:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.420$ but it happens anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

 $00:38:14.420 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.725$ I want to to draw your attention to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

00:38:17.725 --> 00:38:19.969 kind of finely tuned things and and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

00:38:19.969 --> 00:38:22.080 help you kind of map out your research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

00:38:22.080 --> 00:38:23.130 Think of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

 $00:38:23.130 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.230$ while you're setting up your questionnaires

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

00:38:25.230 --> 00:38:27.718 in Qualtrics or in Redcap or whatever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

00:38:27.720 --> 00:38:29.460 think forward about how you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.912597319090909

 $00{:}38{:}29.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}31.200$ going to analyse your data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00{:}38{:}33.600 \to 00{:}38{:}36.365$ I would caution you against using many

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:38:36.365 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.639$ open-ended questions if at all possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:38:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.604$ If you do get a qualitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:38:41.604 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.960$ researcher on board early on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:38:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.796$ think about the scale of measurement.

00:38:45.800 --> 00:38:46.920 Are you going to be using True,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

00:38:46.920 --> 00:38:50.000 false, Yes No, Yes, No,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:38:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.156$ Maybe Yes No does not apply.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

00:38:53.160 --> 00:38:55.000 Think about whether you're using

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

00:38:55.000 --> 00:38:57.692 multiple choice, rank ordering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

00:38:57.692 --> 00:39:00.860 ordinal data, or the good old fashioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:00.860 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.316$ Likert scale continuous data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.680$ I love the Likert scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

00:39:04.680 --> 00:39:06.436 I don't know why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:06.436 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.070$ I find that it allows me

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:09.177 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.998$ to do a lot more data wise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.642$ That's just my preference and it's almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

00:39:15.642 --> 00:39:17.680 like why do I like cats as much as I do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:17.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.119$ I can't really explain it, I just know

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:20.119 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.562$ it's the case open-ended questions.

00:39:22.562 --> 00:39:25.808 I would just caution you against

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:25.808 \dashrightarrow 00:39:29.038$ these or use them very sparingly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:29.040 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.797$ They might be appropriate for some things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.680$ especially some knowledge based things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

00:39:32.680 --> 00:39:33.640 Some things like you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:33.640 \dashrightarrow 00:39:37.656$ birth year, whatever else you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:37.656 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.198$ idea of exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

00:39:39.200 --> 00:39:41.152 You know you might be forced to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00{:}39{:}41.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}43.120$ them in particular circumstances,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.174$ but the way you're going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:47.174 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.730$ analyzing the information will

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:48.802 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.320$ dictate how you'll use them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.074$ If you are using multiple open-ended

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:55.074 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.040$ questions to ask opinion or experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:39:58.040 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.365$ you're definitely going to need

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00{:}39{:}59.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}00.160$ that qualitative researcher,

 $00:40:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.284$ because you're going to need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:40:02.284 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.768$ understand how to code open text data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

00:40:04.768 --> 00:40:08.032 I don't know how to do it personally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846 00:40:08.040 --> 00:40:08.680 Some people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00{:}40{:}08.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}10.280$ when they're wanting to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:40:10.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.240$ mixed methods research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:40:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.878$ they need to get that qualitative person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00{:}40{:}12.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}14.584$ I can help on the quantitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:40:14.584 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.720$ side with questionnaire stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846 00:40:15.720 --> 00:40:16.316 I can't. NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

00:40:16.316 --> 00:40:17.806 Once we start getting into

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

00:40:17.806 --> 00:40:19.080 analyzing open text stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00{:}40{:}19.080 --> 00{:}40{:}21.960$ I don't know how to do it that

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:40:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.152$ that's an entirely other parallel

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

00:40:25.152 --> 00:40:28.320 type of research approach.

00:40:28.320 --> 00:40:29.464 One option,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00{:}40{:}29.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}33.468$ if you feel that you must include

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:40:33.468 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.892$ open-ended variables is to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:40:34.892 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.957$ kind of a hybrid approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:40:35.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.168$ So these are actually questions from

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

00:40:38.168 --> 00:40:39.640 the Food Addictions questionnaire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:40:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.640$ the Yale Food Addiction Questionnaire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00{:}40{:}41.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}44.070$ where they ask people to indicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

 $00:40:44.070 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.832$ any specific foods that they in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.911131286153846

00:40:46.832 --> 00:40:48.720 they experience an addictive like

NOTE Confidence: 0.78843607

 $00{:}40{:}53.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54.344$ addictive like qualities when

NOTE Confidence: 0.78843607

 $00{:}40{:}54.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}56.000$ eating the foods and people feel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78843607

 $00:40:56.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.624$ you know out of control or that

NOTE Confidence: 0.78843607

 $00:40:57.624 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.319$ they they can't get enough of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78843607

 $00:40:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.910$ And so they they ask these very

NOTE Confidence: 0.78843607

 $00{:}41{:}01.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}04.312$ precise food items and then say, oh,

 $00:41:04.312 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.760$ and are there any others that that we missed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78843607

 $00:41:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.148$ So that's an option as well seeing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.78843607

00:41:09.148 --> 00:41:10.640 there a question coming through. OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00:41:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.400$ a problem with open-ended,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00:41:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.272$ you run the risk of getting answers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00:41:21.272 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.757$ are different from what you had intended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00:41:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.439$ So you need to always make sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

00:41:29.439 --> 00:41:31.704 you're providing really good instruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00:41:31.704 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.660$ sets and direction for participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00:41:33.660 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.535$ Fixed response options when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00{:}41{:}35.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}37.440$ talking about fixed response options,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00:41:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.372$ which I always prefer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

00:41:38.372 --> 00:41:40.898 But again, that's just because they they so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00:41:40.898 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.160$ nicely lend themselves to data analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00:41:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.408$ to empirical analysis.

00:41:44.408 --> 00:41:47.320 But you do have options within that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00:41:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.960$ so the Likert scale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

00:41:48.960 --> 00:41:50.600 which I do love,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00:41:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.300$ can be a really nice one in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00:41:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.639$ using what's called a visual analogue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

00:41:56.640 --> 00:41:58.398 Just providing people with two anchors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00{:}41{:}58.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}00.620$ Now Qualtrics and Redcap will allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00{:}42{:}00.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}03.326$ people to use a draggable scale and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00{:}42{:}03.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}06.910$ actually get it a range from zero to 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00:42:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.358$ without having numbers involved at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

 $00:42:09.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.448$ Something you need to consider when

NOTE Confidence: 0.7226787125

00:42:11.448 --> 00:42:13.280 you are administering Likert scales.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971491484444445

 $00:42:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.880$ You want to make sure that you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.971491484444445

 $00:42:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.220$ following the response format of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.971491484444445

 $00:42:22.220 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.920$ scale as it was originally validated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971491484444445

 $00:42:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.840$ If you're creating your own,

 $00:42:25.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.400$ you have to consider whether or

NOTE Confidence: 0.971491484444445

00:42:27.400 --> 00:42:28.958 not you're using four points or

NOTE Confidence: 0.971491484444445

00:42:28.958 --> 00:42:30.596 five points or seven points or 9,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971491484444445

 $00:42:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.040$ whether you're going to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.971491484444445

 $00{:}42{:}32.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}33.480$ them a middle response option,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971491484444445

 $00:42:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.880$ and whether or not you're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.971491484444445

 $00:42:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.200$ label all those response options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904831916

 $00:42:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.880$ When you're formatting these things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904831916

 $00:42:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.388$ you want everything to

NOTE Confidence: 0.904831916

 $00:42:42.388 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.273$ be as clear as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904831916

 $00:42:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.400$ Use white space A lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904831916

00:42:46.400 --> 00:42:50.481 Use page breaks a lot. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904831916

 $00{:}42{:}50.481 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}51.605$ It's probably pretty unlikely

NOTE Confidence: 0.904831916

 $00:42:51.605 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.723$ that you're going to be doing many

NOTE Confidence: 0.904831916

 $00:42:53.723 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.710$ paper questionnaire administrations

00:42:54.710 --> 00:42:56.862 at this point in time. All my,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904831916

 $00{:}42{:}56.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}59.160$ I can't remember the last time I saw one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904831916

00:42:59.160 --> 00:43:02.344 If you're using paper basics are, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904831916

 $00:43:02.344 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.040$ only use the front side of the paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904831916

00:43:04.040 --> 00:43:04.985 It's wasteful it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904831916

00:43:04.985 --> 00:43:07.759 it kind of kills us in this day of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904831916

 $00:43:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.680$ you know, trying to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.962435741111111

00:43:12.240 --> 00:43:13.386 responsible environmentally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962435741111111

 $00:43:13.386 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.251$ But people will skip that

NOTE Confidence: 0.962435741111111

 $00:43:16.251 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.973$ second page. They just will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962435741111111

 $00{:}43{:}17.973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}19.119$ They don't flip over the pages

NOTE Confidence: 0.87488194

 $00:43:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.720$ when I say code responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

 $00:43:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.310$ This has actually been done and I see it a

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

00:43:32.446 --> 00:43:35.305 lot, this whole thing of making people hold

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

 $00:43:35.305 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.119$ in their head what is the strongly agree,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

 $00:43:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.319$ what is strongly disagree and then undecided.

00:43:41.320 --> 00:43:43.336 Now we've got a neon people are having

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

 $00:43:43.336 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.481$ to like kind of keep on scrolling back

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

00:43:45.481 --> 00:43:47.317 to make sure that they're understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

00:43:47.317 --> 00:43:49.837 you know what each column heading is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

 $00:43:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.684$ This is also problematic because that

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

 $00:43:52.684 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.680$ you now looks like a fifth point here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

 $00:43:55.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.804$ and so people are assuming that

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

 $00{:}43{:}57.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}00.211$ disagree is a middle point when in

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

 $00:44:00.211 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.720$ fact this entire column is graphically

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

 $00:44:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.760$ telling too much information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

 $00:44:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.835$ Another bad idea is making

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

 $00:44:09.835 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.679$ people code and put in the box.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

 $00:44:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.675$ You want to reduce as much burden

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

00:44:14.675 --> 00:44:16.560 as possible from your participant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

 $00:44:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.460$ from your participants.

00:44:17.460 --> 00:44:19.560 That's both in terms of questionnaire length,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959378996363636

 $00{:}44{:}19.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21.720$ but in also what they need to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874461602

 $00:44:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.720$ This is from the BDI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874461602

 $00:44:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.700$ This is the way it's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.874461602

00:44:28.700 --> 00:44:30.479 formally administered in the if you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874461602

 $00:44:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.784$ you know go on to to the psych measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.874461602

 $00:44:32.784 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.880$ thing and order a packet of 100 BD is

NOTE Confidence: 0.874461602

00:44:34.880 --> 00:44:37.036 this is what it's going to look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.874461602

 $00:44:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.390$ But all the time you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.874461602

 $00:44:41.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.080$ people put fours in the box.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874461602

 $00:44:44.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.366$ I think that we can safely

NOTE Confidence: 0.874461602

 $00{:}44{:}46.366 \to 00{:}44{:}48.599$ interpret that to mean a three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874461602

 $00:44:48.600 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.240$ But now what do you do for the next question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.874461602

 $00:44:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.600$ When they put a two in the box?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89116004777778

 $00:44:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.758$ I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89116004777778

 $00:44:55.758 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.354$ You have to consider that invalid.

00:44:57.360 --> 00:44:59.376 So instead, there's nothing wrong with

NOTE Confidence: 0.89116004777778

 $00:44:59.376 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.559$ just asking people to check the box,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89116004777778

 $00:45:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.886$ to check which one's beside there

NOTE Confidence: 0.89116004777778

 $00:45:02.886 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.080$ to click the particular button,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89116004777778

 $00:45:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.159$ as opposed to making them do all that work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895952062727273

00:45:10.600 --> 00:45:12.316 Include instructions, but assume

NOTE Confidence: 0.895952062727273

 $00:45:12.316 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.320$ people are not going to read them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895952062727273

 $00:45:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.440$ When people do read them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895952062727273

00:45:16.440 --> 00:45:17.968 make sure they're appropriate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895952062727273

00:45:17.968 --> 00:45:19.878 People get very annoyed when

NOTE Confidence: 0.895952062727273

 $00:45:19.878 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.285$ you say check the box and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.895952062727273

 $00:45:22.285 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.593$ actually circle the number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895952062727273

 $00{:}45{:}23.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26.680$ Just keep instructions consistent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895952062727273

 $00{:}45{:}26.680 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}45{:}29.760$ Also consider your formatting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.964510223333333

 $00:45:31.760 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.919$ This is so strange. I had a whole animation

00:45:34.920 --> 00:45:36.999 for this page. I don't know what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97684155

 $00:45:37.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.660$ Anyway, this, I just this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97684155

 $00:45:39.660 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.595$ this is a real life example from last week

NOTE Confidence: 0.97684155

 $00:45:42.595 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.331$ this everything looked great on the desktop

NOTE Confidence: 0.97684155

 $00:45:45.331 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.399$ and then I go to check it with my phone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97684155

 $00:45:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.520$ No one's going to be able this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97684155

 $00:45:49.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.152$ this is so much work for

NOTE Confidence: 0.97684155

 $00:45:51.152 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.480$ the participant to try to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97684155

 $00:45:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.840$ What does that even say?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97684155

 $00:45:54.840 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.458$ Constant, constant concerns and no concerns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97684155

00:46:01.458 --> 00:46:04.760 This is terrible, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97684155

00:46:04.760 --> 00:46:06.268 It almost flew again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97684155

 $00:46:06.268 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.153$ that comment about the paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97684155

 $00:46:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.476$ People aren't going to flip over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:46:13.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.220$ page. Oh, there's my animation. All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:46:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.200$ Check your format every single time.

00:46:17.200 --> 00:46:19.594 Pilot it. Make your children take it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00{:}46{:}19.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}22.440$ Make your colleagues take it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:46:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.187$ When you're or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:46:23.187 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.480$ think about the ordering of the of the items.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:46:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.959$ This is a real example as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:46:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.048$ I say use page breaks because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:46:31.048 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.559$ these two were on the same page.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:46:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.544$ Someone's following along.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:46:34.544 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.512$ What I look like is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

00:46:36.520 --> 00:46:39.316 Yes, I agree. Yes, I agree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

00:46:39.320 --> 00:46:40.840 You know, I don't know if I agree that much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:46:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.078$ I prefer not to send it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:46:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.444$ All of a sudden the response

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:46:45.444 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.480$ options have flipped on them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:46:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.886$ OK, you can avoid this the the

 $00:46:50.886 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.316$ errors that this will impose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00{:}46{:}52.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}54.924$ and it will impose errors because people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:46:54.924 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.239$ are they've gotten into their rhythm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:46:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.415$ They're using what's called a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

00:46:59.415 --> 00:47:03.312 response set now, and to avoid these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

00:47:03.312 --> 00:47:05.600 so I'm saying, group themes together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

00:47:05.600 --> 00:47:06.060 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:47:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.440$ if you're asking about particular constructs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00{:}47{:}07.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}09.240$ you're administering multiple question naires.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:47:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.040$ Keep them that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00{:}47{:}11.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.558$ If you're using a validated question naire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:47:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.440$ do not shuffle the order of items.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

00:47:17.440 --> 00:47:19.112 Keep the items administered

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:47:19.112 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.330$ in the way in which they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

00:47:22.330 --> 00:47:24.838 originally presented and validated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:47:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.560$ and then be sure to use page breaks.

00:47:26.560 --> 00:47:29.736 Page breaks will allow people to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00{:}47{:}29.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33.003$ reset for these flipping around changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479238

 $00:47:33.003 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.560$ in instructions or themes as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831431218

 $00:47:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.106$ When you're looking at at at

NOTE Confidence: 0.831431218

00:47:42.106 --> 00:47:45.220 question quality, always balance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831431218

 $00:47:45.220 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.400$ You know your reliability and your

NOTE Confidence: 0.831431218

 $00:47:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.444$ validity against the burden on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.831431218

 $00:47:51.444 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.200$ participant, people will drop off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924369416666667

 $00:47:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.560$ This is all alluding to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86147190777778

 $00:48:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.576$ the types of reliability

NOTE Confidence: 0.861471907777778

 $00:48:02.576 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.996$ and the types of validity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861471907777778

 $00:48:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.482$ But when you're looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861471907777778

 $00{:}48{:}05.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07.008$ at which measures you're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.861471907777778

00:48:07.008 --> 00:48:08.466 choose and you're likely to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.861471907777778

 $00:48:08.466 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.079$ several that would that would relate.

 $00:48:10.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.968$ It's always just kind of a a balance

NOTE Confidence: 0.86147190777778

 $00{:}48{:}12.968 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}48{:}15.277$ against participants and how well

NOTE Confidence: 0.86147190777778

 $00:48:15.277 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.280$ those psychometrics qualities look.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86147190777778

 $00:48:18.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.730$ Also, things to kind of ask yourself

NOTE Confidence: 0.86147190777778

 $00:48:20.730 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.440$ or have going in the background more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86147190777778

 $00:48:23.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.440$ The lengthier, the more tedious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86147190777778

 $00:48:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.379$ the more cumbersome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861471907777778

 $00:48:26.379 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.318$ the more confusing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861471907777778

 $00{:}48{:}27.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}29.240$ People are just going to disengage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860354091428571

 $00:48:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.758$ I try to remove as many numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.860354091428571

 $00{:}48{:}35.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}39.520$ and instructions as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860354091428571

 $00:48:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.408$ People don't like numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860354091428571

00:48:41.408 --> 00:48:42.840 It's heartbreaking, I know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860354091428571

 $00:48:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.492$ All right, tell me some questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860354091428571

00:48:44.492 --> 00:48:45.757 Some problems with this question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9706832

 $00:48:55.050 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.208$ I feel like some people might

 $00:48:56.210 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.225$ be overly optimistic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854477585

00:48:59.225 --> 00:49:02.240 Yes, Assumes consistency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854477585

 $00:49:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.296$ Assumes exercise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854477585

 $00:49:03.296 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.408$ Assumes people understand what

NOTE Confidence: 0.854477585

00:49:05.408 --> 00:49:07.835 is meant by exercise. Excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854477585

00:49:07.835 --> 00:49:10.355 You've got all the main the main things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854477585

00:49:10.360 --> 00:49:11.713 Good, good, good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854477585

00:49:11.713 --> 00:49:14.290 What does it mean? Guess what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854477585

00:49:14.290 --> 00:49:16.600 I asked you all this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854477585

 $00:49:16.600 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.918$ except I had my little randomizer again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854477585

00:49:19.920 --> 00:49:22.116 And what did I ask you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854477585

 $00{:}49{:}22.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.070$ I asked about typical and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.854477585

 $00:49:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.040$ asked about just this past week

NOTE Confidence: 0.95925915625

 $00:49:31.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.960$ time and time again. This is our pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:49:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.040$ I never understand what this is,

 $00:49:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.874$ but that also happens all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:49:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.408$ But people's the idea of their typical

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:49:45.408 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.199$ exercise is often a lot better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:49:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.022$ It's not often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:49:49.022 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.530$ It's again an AP value of like less than

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

00:49:51.530 --> 00:49:55.040 point OO one is better than their actual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

00:49:55.040 --> 00:49:57.840 Well, you know, I mean it was cold and rainy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:49:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.960$ There was that major storm that came through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:49:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.773$ It's the holidays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:50:00.773 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.670$ I've got to do so much shopping

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00{:}50{:}02.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04.639$ doesn't matter if I'm asking this

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

00:50:04.639 --> 00:50:06.520 in perfect spring weather in August,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:50:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.474$ it doesn't matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:50:07.474 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.382$ The same phenomenon occurs and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:50:09.382 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.579$ know this everybody wants to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:50:11.579 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.394$ social desirability thing of course,

 $00:50:13.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.428$ but we generally believe that our

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00{:}50{:}15.428 \rightarrow 00{:}50{:}17.803$ that our typical is a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:50:17.803 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.210$ better than our actual that causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:50:20.210 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.600$ difference in what we actually observe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:50:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.840$ Same thing with the frequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:50:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.432$ of our response options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:50:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.772$ OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:50:29.772 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.764$ So asking on what's called a

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00{:}50{:}31.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}34.012$ high frequency scale versus a low

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00{:}50{:}34.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}35.987$ frequency scale contributes to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

00:50:35.987 --> 00:50:37.720 different pattern of responding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:50:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.545$ People are drawing inferences about

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00{:}50{:}40.545 \to 00{:}50{:}42.920$ these anchors and what they actually mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:50:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.111$ This was done in a pain study

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

00:50:45.111 --> 00:50:47.160 or in a pain clinic,

 $00:50:47.160 \longrightarrow 00:50:50:360$ and it's likely that those who had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00{:}50{:}50{:}50{:}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}52{:}535$ high frequency scale interpreted that

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:50:52.535 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.839$ to mean like a lower level of pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:50:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.380$ like the meaning of what, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:50:58.380 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.240$ the daily headache or aches and

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00{:}51{:}00.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}02.358$ pains or joint pain or whatever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:51:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.868$ versus the debilitating migraine

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:51:05.868 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.196$ in bed for the entire day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333 00:51:08.200 --> 00:51:08.546 right. NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:51:08.546 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.276$ So people are drawing things

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00{:}51{:}10.276 \longrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12.472$ based on the options you give

NOTE Confidence: 0.818847583333333

 $00:51:12.472 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.956$ them or drawing inferences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96305559

 $00:51:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.000$ Same thing with something a little bit less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96305559

 $00:51:20.000 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.476$ a little less objective, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96305559

 $00:51:21.480 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.412$ So we think about physical pain as

NOTE Confidence: 0.96305559

00:51:23.412 --> 00:51:25.102 being probably about a, you know,

00:51:25.102 --> 00:51:27.208 you've got something that you feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.96305559

 $00:51:27.208 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.140$ acutely versus something a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.96305559

00:51:29.140 --> 00:51:31.075 bit more fuzzy and psychological.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96305559

00:51:31.080 --> 00:51:35.984 Yet we see here that providing people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.96305559

 $00:51:35.984 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.960$ numbers changed the pattern of responding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96305559

 $00:51:38.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.493$ This is why I've gotten to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96305559

 $00:51:40.493 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.225$ point that I try to remove all

NOTE Confidence: 0.96305559

 $00{:}51{:}42.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}43.644$ numbers are my question naires.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96305559

 $00:51:43.644 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.972$ Unless it's something that's been validly

NOTE Confidence: 0.96305559

 $00:51:45.972 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.638$ established as requiring the number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96305559

 $00:51:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.600$ I asked you all the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96305559

00:51:49.600 --> 00:51:51.000 Do you like New Haven

NOTE Confidence: 0.936776239230769

 $00{:}51{:}54.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}56.795$ 9 point scale ranging from

NOTE Confidence: 0.936776239230769

 $00:51:56.795 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.080$ either 1:00 to 9:00 or -4 to 4?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936776239230769

 $00:52:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.840$ And here's what we see.

00:52:01.840 --> 00:52:03.840 It's just wild to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936776239230769

 $00:52:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.104$ that something that subtle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936776239230769

 $00:52:06.104 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.420$ And again, I do the means analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.936776239230769

 $00:52:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.954$ and I translate all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.936776239230769

 $00:52:09.954 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.068$ to 1:00 to 9:00 with an easy

NOTE Confidence: 0.936776239230769

00:52:12.068 --> 00:52:14.120 additive transformation, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936776239230769

 $00:52:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.560$ We see a very different pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936776239230769

 $00:52:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.656$ For some reason people don't want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.936776239230769

 $00{:}52{:}20.656 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{-}}} 00{:}52{:}23.280$ select negative numbers in this context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9572887625

 $00:52:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.000$ which is so interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

 $00{:}52{:}26.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}28.790$ Anything less in the middle value

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

 $00:52:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.768$ should be an insult or whatever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

00:52:30.768 --> 00:52:32.078 not just like New Haven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

 $00:52:32.080 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.916$ but you know what I mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

00:52:33.920 --> 00:52:37.638 Wild to me, labeling effects people

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

 $00:52:37.638 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.194$ also don't want to label themselves.

 $00:52:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.503$ This is a real story from real

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

 $00{:}52{:}42.503 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}43.880$ research conducted at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

 $00:52:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.808$ They had done a whole lot of pilot

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

00:52:45.808 --> 00:52:47.482 research and determined that they had

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

 $00:52:47.482 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.627$ more than enough people in the community

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

 $00{:}52{:}49.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}51.597$ who drink sugar sweetened beverages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

00:52:51.600 --> 00:52:53.140 Then they were bringing them into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

 $00{:}52{:}53.140 \to 00{:}52{:}54.962$ lab study and they just couldn't get

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

00:52:54.962 --> 00:52:56.352 enough people through the screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

00:52:56.360 --> 00:52:58.064 And they had found that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

 $00:52:58.064 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.590$ the the plenty of people have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

 $00:52:59.590 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.880$ you know, energy drinks or whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

 $00{:}53{:}02.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}04.040$ And then people would call

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

 $00:53:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.200$ the screening and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

 $00:53:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.718$ the person's like in my office

00:53:06.718 --> 00:53:07.477 racking their brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

 $00:53:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.848$ Why can't I get enough subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

 $00:53:08.848 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.760$ for this lab study?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

00:53:09.760 --> 00:53:10.846 I'm like how are you asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

 $00:53:10.846 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.880$ the question on the screen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88748314

00:53:11.880 --> 00:53:12.160 Like we're NOTE Confidence: 0.8423130566666667

 $00:53:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.608$ asking if they have two or two or

NOTE Confidence: 0.842313056666667

 $00:53:13.608 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.839$ more sugar sweetened beverages?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73808527

00:53:15.200 --> 00:53:17.360 I'm like ask it open-ended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73808527

 $00:53:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.840$ Ask them how many they have per week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73808527

 $00:53:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.121$ Boom. Enrollment's covered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73808527

 $00:53:20.121 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.256$ People don't want to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.73808527

 $00:53:22.256 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.078$ themselves in a box of like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73808527

 $00:53:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.316$ oh, why are you asking that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73808527

 $00:53:25.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.839$ I don't want to be in the pathological group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73808527

00:53:28.840 --> 00:53:32.674 Now, asking this, do you have at least two?

00:53:32.680 --> 00:53:35.119 No, I do not. How many do you have?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73808527

 $00:53:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.520$ open-ended? 35% versus 22%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73808527

 $00:53:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.360$ Isn't that wild? I

NOTE Confidence: 0.948918229

 $00{:}53{:}42.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}43.896$ know we're fascinating creatures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948918229

00:53:43.896 --> 00:53:46.200 You see why I study psychology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8236558

 $00:53:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.038$ Love it. All right, similar thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8236558

00:53:49.040 --> 00:53:52.640 First Force choice versus an open.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8236558

00:53:52.640 --> 00:53:55.520 Again, we've got these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8236558

 $00:53:55.520 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.344$ We've got these irregularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8236558

 $00:53:58.344 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.560$ spaced categories that sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8236558

00:54:00.560 --> 00:54:02.560 of impose A Likert continuum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8236558

 $00:54:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.592$ but they're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8236558

00:54:03.592 --> 00:54:04.280 They're uneven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8236558

 $00{:}54{:}04.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}05.520$ I did this on purpose

NOTE Confidence: 0.76127820375

 $00:54:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.570$ and we get very different pattern

 $00:54:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.895$ of responding from the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.76127820375

 $00:54:10.895 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.120$ forced choice to the open-ended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76127820375

00:54:12.120 --> 00:54:13.736 Now, I said before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76127820375

 $00:54:13.736 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.160$ I I cautioned against using open-ended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76127820375

 $00{:}54{:}16.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}18.148$ You can do this with Qualtrics or

NOTE Confidence: 0.76127820375

00:54:18.148 --> 00:54:20.412 Redcap by just having it of 0 to 30

NOTE Confidence: 0.76127820375

 $00:54:20.412 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.320$ drop down or zero to 31 drop down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76127820375

 $00:54:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.163$ That'll cover that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76127820375

00:54:23.163 --> 00:54:25.130 Or even using a text box and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76127820375

 $00:54:25.193 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.598$ letting them type it in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76127820375

 $00:54:26.600 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.371$ but validate it that the range of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76127820375

 $00{:}54{:}28.371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}30.318$ scores can only range from zero to 31.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:54:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.720$ Food cravings again administered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:54:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.456$ This should be very, very straightforward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

00:54:36.456 --> 00:54:38.600 I gave some of you check the box

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:54:38.655 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.320$ of how many I gave. Some of you,

 $00:54:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.359$ you know go through and answer yes or no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:54:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.040$ How many of these have you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:54:44.040 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.612$ Have you people take information from

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00{:}54{:}47.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}50.520$ the number of options you give them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

00:54:50.520 --> 00:54:52.158 If you give them just eight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

00:54:52.160 --> 00:54:53.400 they'll select two or three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00.54.53.400 \longrightarrow 00.54.54.440$ If you give them twenty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00{:}54{:}54.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56.120$ they might select five or six.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:54:56.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.116$ If you give them a hundred,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:54:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.280$ they might select 30.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00{:}54{:}59.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}02.208$ Forcing people to say yes or no again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

00:55:02.208 --> 00:55:04.648 you get a very different

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:55:04.648 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.112$ pattern of responding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:55:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.479$ These are heuristics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:55:07.479 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.346$ They're little graphic things that

 $00:55:10.346 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.358$ people unconsciously consider when

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:55:13.358 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.880$ generating their answers for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:55:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.400$ What is my point of all of this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

00:55:18.400 --> 00:55:22.408 Oh, I'm actually doing it on time this time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:55:22.408 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.655$ Amazing bias can be introduced accidentally

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:55:25.655 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.880$ by any number of subtle things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:55:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.360$ Be very careful. Pilot everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:55:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.796$ Look at your raw data too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00{:}55{:}34.800 \longrightarrow 00{:}55{:}37.624$ So give it to five friends or five

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:55:37.624 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.906$ colleagues to complete on their own,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00{:}55{:}39.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}41.718$ and then pull the spreadsheet and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

00:55:41.718 --> 00:55:43.480 make sure the coding matches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:55:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.880$ Answer it yourself a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00.55.44.880 \longrightarrow 00.55.46.000$ of times on paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

00:55:46.000 --> 00:55:46.798 You know, like print it out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:55:46.800 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.528$ do it on paper,

00:55:47.528 --> 00:55:48.438 go through and do it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:55:48.440 \dashrightarrow 00:55:49.880$ And then make sure the scores are right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

00:55:49.880 --> 00:55:51.847 Because you can have all kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:55:51.847 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.400$ little glitches inside of Qualtrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:55:53.400 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.894$ You know you can overcome them

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:55:54.894 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.400$ by recoding at the tail end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

00:55:56.400 --> 00:55:58.472 but it's just so much you can save

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:55:58.472 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.600$ yourself the headaches by getting it taken

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:56:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.759$ care of before you collect your data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:56:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.092$ Absolutely administer your questionnaires

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

00:56:04.092 --> 00:56:06.600 in the way they were originally validated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:56:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.040$ because these small little modifications

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00{:}56{:}09.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}11.400$ can really mess things up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:56:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.794$ Even things like adding a Not applicable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:56:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.480$ I know you think that you're like

00:56:15.480 --> 00:56:17.010 cleaning up data and you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00{:}56{:}17.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}18.534$ that you're coming to the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:56:18.534 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.560$ where where they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

00:56:19.560 --> 00:56:21.585 Allow people to skip questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

 $00:56:21.585 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.800$ that'll cover that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

00:56:22.800 --> 00:56:23.796 Unless, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

00:56:23.796 --> 00:56:24.792 the original questionnaire

NOTE Confidence: 0.92219092

00:56:24.792 --> 00:56:26.120 included or not applicable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90465698

 $00:56:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.560$ and then power of the questionnaire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90465698

 $00:56:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.420$ It's glorious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90465698

 $00{:}56{:}32.420 \to 00{:}56{:}35.000$ You can answer your research questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90465698

00:56:35.000 --> 00:56:36.800 You can satisfy your curiosity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90465698

 $00{:}56{:}36.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}37.694$ You can screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90465698

 $00:56:37.694 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.570$ And of course you can win the debate on

NOTE Confidence: 0.90465698

 $00:56:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.434$ how you're going to spell your child's name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90465698

 $00:56:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.254$ My sweet, sweet spouse knows that I

00:56:46.254 --> 00:56:48.039 specialize in psychometrics and yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90465698

 $00:56:48.040 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.393$ for whatever reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90465698

 $00:56:49.393 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.034$ has allowed his fate at the fate

NOTE Confidence: 0.90465698

 $00:56:52.034 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.519$ of our home to be subject to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90465698

00:56:54.519 --> 00:56:56.839 demands of my online questionnaires,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90465698

 $00:56:56.840 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.947$ and still hasn't realized that I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.90465698

00:56:58.947 --> 00:57:01.211 figured out how to introduce bias to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90465698

 $00:57:01.211 \dashrightarrow 00:57:03.155$ make myself right every single time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90465698

 $00:57:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.120$ And that is it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90465698

 $00:57:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.360$ That's

NOTE Confidence: 0.939260645

 $00:57:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.456$ all I wanted to it on time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939260645

 $00:57:08.456 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.480$ Hooray, amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939260645

 $00:57:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.880$ And with a sick child at home by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939260645

 $00{:}57{:}11.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}14.400$ I might add, so super impressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939260645

00:57:14.400 --> 00:57:16.528 And you know, I feel like I need

 $00:57:16.528 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.923$ to take your course because I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.939260645

 $00{:}57{:}18.923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}21.520$ introduced so much error in retrospect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939260645

00:57:21.520 --> 00:57:23.131 But questions from,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939260645

00:57:23.131 --> 00:57:26.353 you know our audience on here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969461055

 $00:57:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.679$ I have a question about

NOTE Confidence: 0.959675386666667

00:57:32.680 --> 00:57:36.480 the reliability of your respondent

NOTE Confidence: 0.965513924

 $00:57:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.600$ like when you said that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9525026175

 $00{:}57{:}38.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}40.400$ there was that question naire

NOTE Confidence: 0.955414978

 $00:57:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.620$ that if if they scored highly it became

NOTE Confidence: 0.955414978

 $00:57:43.620 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.700$ basically invalidated their responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955414978

 $00:57:46.700 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.200$ So you know I think that how do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7980321125

00:57:54.680 --> 00:57:57.800 control for that? Yeah, I mean basically how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7980321125

 $00:57:57.800 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.375$ how could you you really assess and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7980321125

 $00:57:59.375 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.351$ say you know I'm I've gather all this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7980321125

00:58:01.351 --> 00:58:04.386 data but like how accurately does it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7980321125

00:58:04.386 --> 00:58:06.740 Absolutely. I think the problem is the,

00:58:06.740 --> 00:58:09.158 the power of the, I mean the, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7980321125

00:58:09.160 --> 00:58:11.600 with, I feel like with surveys you only

NOTE Confidence: 0.7980321125

 $00:58:11.600 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.120$ get like a 10 to 20% response rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7980321125

 $00:58:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.880$ Yeah, absolutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838426766

00:58:17.960 --> 00:58:20.200 Very complicated. An excellent question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838426766

 $00{:}58{:}20.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}22.185$ very important. Michael and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.838426766

 $00:58:22.185 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.760$ have fallen victim to an invalid

NOTE Confidence: 0.951727516

 $00:58:26.960 \longrightarrow 00:58:28.320$ responses. We were collaborating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951727516

 $00:58:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.360$ trying to work on a project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951727516

 $00:58:30.360 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.380$ which I think should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.951727516

 $00{:}58{:}31.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}32.425$ resurrected by the way, Michael,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951727516

 $00:58:32.425 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.000$ but let's give it like 6 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85909460666666

00:58:36.640 --> 00:58:38.530 He was working on a measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.85909460666666

 $00:58:38.530 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.475$ of physician burnout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85909460666666

 $00:58:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.239$ Right? Was it burnout?

 $00:58:41.240 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.320$ What were we working on?

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:58:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.904$ Physician trust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:58:44.904 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.920$ It was, it was a really important construct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

00:58:46.920 --> 00:58:49.013 But now I've fed bedside manner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

00:58:49.013 --> 00:58:53.104 Bedside manner. Oh my gosh. Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:58:53.104 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.720$ And it was really, really good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

00:58:54.720 --> 00:58:57.016 But we were, and we were trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:58:57.016 \longrightarrow 00:58:58.932$ to measure just by, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00{:}58{:}58.932 \to 00{:}59{:}00.915$ we weren't even compensating people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:59:00.915 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.315$ but we had too many invalid response patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00{:}59{:}06.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}08.917$ and realize that the data were correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:59:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.521$ We can build in flags like if you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

00:59:11.521 --> 00:59:12.836 paying it, it's kind of like, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

00:59:12.840 --> 00:59:16.435 the whole captcha thing does, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:59:16.435 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.635$ So you can build in things in between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:59:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.242$ You can actually build in Captchas

 $00:59:20.242 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.000$ in the middle of your survey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:59:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.000$ But I'll say things like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:59:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.160$ you know, to make sure our

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:59:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.600$ survey is functioning properly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:59:26.600 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.240$ Please select option C for this question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

00:59:30.240 --> 00:59:31.788 And that's actually a really good

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:59:31.788 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.440$ one to to reset if you've got

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:59:33.500 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.972$ different questionnaires from that

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00{:}59{:}34.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}37.180$ strongly agree to agree and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:59:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.998$ the next bank might be reversed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

00:59:39.000 --> 00:59:41.275 I'll usually build in a page break.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:59:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:59:43.480$ Ask something like that you know to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:59:43.480 \dashrightarrow 00:59:45.917$ make sure that we're doing this correctly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00{:}59{:}45.920 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}59{:}48.200$ Please select question three or

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:59:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.280$ to make sure our survey is is,

 $00:59:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.280$ you know, coding things correctly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:59:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.276$ Please select the the question you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:59:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.770$ Please select the correct response

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $00:59:57.770 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.294$ for 2 + 4 or whatever and you'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $01:00:00.294 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.555$ just build in a couple of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $01:00:02.624 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.400$ little checks for attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $01:00:04.400 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.556$ But they're actually ways to screen out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

01:00:06.560 --> 01:00:08.628 If you've been hit by, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $01{:}00{:}08.628 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}10.124$ people randomly responding and

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

01:00:10.124 --> 01:00:12.719 trying to get the completion code,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

01:00:12.720 --> 01:00:13.380 very frequently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

 $01:00:13.380 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.615$ you know people will go on M Turk or one

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

01:00:16.615 --> 01:00:19.320 of the other kind of data collection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919537211

01:00:19.320 --> 01:00:20.440 Services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831770464444444

01:00:22.680 --> 01:00:24.600 And then, you know, people are out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.831770464444444

 $01:00:24.600 \longrightarrow 01:00:26.032$ just answering questions for money.

 $01:00:26.032 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.027$ So you need to make sure that you can build

NOTE Confidence: 0.831770464444444

 $01:00:29.027 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.080$ in little checks of attention like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44599813

 $01:00:33.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:35.440$ Probably have time for one more question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912538152857143

01:00:35.440 --> 01:00:36.917 Julia, did you want to ask something?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912538152857143

 $01:00:36.920 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.278$ I saw you put yourself on on

NOTE Confidence: 0.90149826

 $01:00:40.800 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.800$ video. I need to see it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90149826

01:00:43.800 --> 01:00:45.640 I was mostly just playing myself on video.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90149826

 $01:00:45.640 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.040$ I have I I I guess there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.90149826

 $01:00:49.040 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.640$ a lot on this topic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90149826

01:00:50.640 --> 01:00:52.970 so I'm just curious just like if there

NOTE Confidence: 0.90149826

 $01:00:52.970 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.424$ is something that comes to mind for

NOTE Confidence: 0.90149826

 $01:00:54.424 \longrightarrow 01:00:57.040$ you to talk about other languages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90149826

 $01{:}00{:}57.040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}58.746$ whether that's like already validated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90149826

 $01:00:58.746 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.656$ already gone through that process

NOTE Confidence: 0.90149826

01:01:00.656 --> 01:01:02.344 and translated and using other

 $01:01:02.344 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.078$ languages that we might not know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90149826

 $01:01:04.080 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.064$ I'm in the process of doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.90149826

 $01:01:05.064 \longrightarrow 01:01:06.400$ a lot of like transition fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.90149826

 $01:01:06.400 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.688$ translation with cultural work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90149826

 $01:01:07.688 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.824$ there's so many idioms and like

NOTE Confidence: 0.90149826

 $01:01:09.824 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.954$ the most commonly used things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90149826

 $01:01:11.960 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.760$ So just curious overarching

NOTE Confidence: 0.654814706

01:01:13.800 --> 01:01:15.192 thoughts about multilingual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.654814706

01:01:15.192 --> 01:01:17.200 I mean it sounds like you nailed it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.654814706

 $01:01:17.200 \longrightarrow 01:01:19.088$ translation and back translation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.654814706

 $01{:}01{:}19.088 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}21.448$ Also consideration of the scaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.654814706

 $01:01:21.448 \longrightarrow 01:01:23.771$ itself because there are some cultures

NOTE Confidence: 0.654814706

 $01:01:23.771 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.370$ that the Likert scale or the four

NOTE Confidence: 0.654814706

 $01{:}01{:}26.370 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}28.235$ point scale really doesn't work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.654814706

01:01:28.240 --> 01:01:31.252 I'm not sure if the visual

NOTE Confidence: 0.654814706

 $01:01:31.252 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.840$ Analogue would work as well.

01:01:33.840 --> 01:01:36.808 So we've had kind of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.654814706

 $01{:}01{:}36.808 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}38.216$ just collaborating with experts

NOTE Confidence: 0.654814706

01:01:38.216 --> 01:01:40.439 who are fluent in that language,

NOTE Confidence: 0.654814706

 $01:01:40.440 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.940$ ideally as their primary language

NOTE Confidence: 0.654814706

 $01:01:41.940 \longrightarrow 01:01:43.990$ and culture to weigh in on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.654814706

 $01:01:43.990 \longrightarrow 01:01:45.719$ and simply defer to them has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.654814706

01:01:45.719 --> 01:01:47.854 their approach for us when I've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.654814706

 $01{:}01{:}47.854 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}51.560$ involved in this particular process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.654814706

 $01:01:51.560 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.598$ Thank you. Great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86420569

 $01:01:52.920 \longrightarrow 01:01:53.838$ Thank you, Marnie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86420569

 $01:01:53.838 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.756$ Thank you again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86420569

 $01:01:54.760 \longrightarrow 01:01:55.924$ Really appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86420569

 $01{:}01{:}55.924 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}57.476$ Really appreciate your expertise

NOTE Confidence: 0.86420569

 $01:01:57.476 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.479$ sharing with us and as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86420569

 $01:01:59.480 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.314$ doing it with somebody's to get home.

 $01:02:01.320 \longrightarrow 01:02:02.400$ So thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837076415714286

01:02:03.040 --> 01:02:03.980 Thank you. Have a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.837076415714286

01:02:03.980 --> 01:02:05.360 day everyone. Thanks right.