## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:01:39.2000000"

NOTE recognizability:0.809

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.812380848181818

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:04.380 Afternoon if my distinct pleasure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.812380848181818

 $00:00:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.180$  honour to introduce Professor Joseph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812380848181818

00:00:08.180 --> 00:00:11.800 Mary Swatski, am I right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.812380848181818

00:00:11.800 --> 00:00:15.520 From Mayo Clinic, Gill is professor who

NOTE Confidence: 0.812380848181818

 $00:00:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.538$  professor of pathology and senior shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.812380848181818

 $00:00:18.538 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.070$  a Dean of academic affair of male Alex

NOTE Confidence: 0.77712689

 $00:00:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.200$  School of Medicine. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00:00:25.250 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.498$  look at he is coming president of Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00:00:29.498 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.058$  for Cardiovascular pathology and role model

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00{:}00{:}33.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36.068$  for cardiovascular pathologist and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00{:}00{:}36.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40.458$  that matter maybe for all pathologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

00:00:40.460 --> 00:00:43.316 Looking at his CV is a very impressive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00:00:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.907$  it's educational activity.

00:00:44.907 --> 00:00:48.370 You can see that he teach all part

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00:00:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.588$  of cardiovascular pathology and lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00:00:51.588 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.214$  pathology rate create curriculum and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

00:00:55.214 --> 00:00:58.042 talking about cardiovascular pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00:00:58.042 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.125$  from congenital heart disease for neoplasm

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00{:}01{:}02.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.635$  from cardiac imaging to amyloid diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00:01:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.410$  And because of that he is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00:01:07.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.730$  a very frequent speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00{:}01{:}09.730 \longrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12.470$  At national and international meetings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

00:01:12.470 --> 00:01:14.418 including Scotland, England,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

00:01:14.418 --> 00:01:18.506 you're separated by yourself and then France,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00:01:18.510 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.022$  Chile and everywhere and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00:01:20.022 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.290$  make me a little bit jealous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

00:01:22.290 --> 00:01:27.483 Almost every year you gave a talk at Hawaii.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

00:01:27.490 --> 00:01:31.200 And you know that January or February,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

00:01:31.200 --> 00:01:32.268 think about it,

 $00:01:32.268 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.233$  you know it's very cold time at Rochester

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00{:}01{:}35.233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.393$  and very nice because how are you so?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00:01:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.886$  Other than they had about more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00:01:41.886 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.425$  200 original articles and about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00:01:44.425 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.800$  100 book chapters and books.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

00:01:46.800 --> 00:01:47.952 So very impressive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

00:01:47.952 --> 00:01:51.339 you wonder how many hours you sleep at night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00{:}01{:}51.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}53.364$  Without further ado, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

00:01:53.364 --> 00:01:55.894 He's talking about matters of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

00:01:55.894 --> 00:01:58.606 the heart or matters of heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83067322

 $00:01:58.610 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.390$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00:02:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.344$  Well, that was a a ridiculously kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00{:}02{:}04.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06.702$  introduction. I, I have to say I I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

00:02:06.702 --> 00:02:09.307 been blown away by the the warm welcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00:02:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.838$  this amazing August institution.

00:02:11.838 --> 00:02:14.494 Obviously, Doctor Wong's introduction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00{:}02{:}14.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.530$  his hosting have been absolutely exceptional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00{:}02{:}17.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}19.656$  I do have to say it was it was kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00{:}02{:}19.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.610$  adorable. It extended even last night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00:02:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.746$  He refused to let this Midwestern boy walk

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00{:}02{:}23.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.126$  alone two blocks from the restaurant to here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00{:}02{:}26.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27.948$  It was it was like a scene right out

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00:02:27.948 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.810$  of bodyguard. It was very impressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00{:}02{:}30.810 --> 00{:}02{:}32.538$  So. Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00:02:32.538 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.350$  Glad to be here among friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00:02:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.834$  Doctor Dosik, of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00:02:35.834 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.844$  And I thought this was a a pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00:02:38.844 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.315$  special week for me to come here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

00:02:41.320 --> 00:02:42.788 mostly because of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

00:02:42.788 --> 00:02:45.580 as you all know, or I hope you know it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00:02:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.380$  it's the week in which we we

 $00:02:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.340$  celebrate a certain holiday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00:02:50.340 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.999$  And for all of you out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00:02:51.999 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.100$  who have significant others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

00:02:53.100 --> 00:02:54.930 I hope you didn't forget it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00:02:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.832$  It's the week that we celebrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00:02:56.832 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.800$  the Feast of Saint Valentine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

00:02:58.800 --> 00:03:00.496 And I don't know if any of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85509720875

 $00:03:00.496 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.338$  know the story of how Valentine's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:03:24.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.498$  Particularly well with

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

00:03:25.498 --> 00:03:27.228 Claudius Gothicus at the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:03:27.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.614$  or Claudius the 2nd, and thus he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:03:30.614 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.129$  imprisoned and sentenced to death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00{:}03{:}33.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36.538$  And he befriended his jailer while he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:03:36.538 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.710$  an inmate, and he somehow got permission

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:03:39.710 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.910$  to write to his jailers daughter.

 $00:03:42.910 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.716$  And he would write her letters and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

00:03:44.716 --> 00:03:46.060 he would always sign them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:03:46.060 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.275$  From your Valentine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

00:03:47.275 --> 00:03:50.110 And the jailer wasn't wild about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

00:03:50.110 --> 00:03:51.798 but he allowed it to go on because

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:03:51.798 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.450$  his daughter was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:03:52.450 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.286$  She was blind, she was handicapped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

00:03:54.290 --> 00:03:55.530 And this gave her something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00{:}03{:}55.530 \to 00{:}03{:}57.210$  and she really liked it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:03:57.210 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.802$  And Valentine had told her in his letters

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:03:59.802 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.510$  that he was going to cure her blindness

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

00:04:02.510 --> 00:04:05.228 and that God would see her way through,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:04:05.230 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.386$  so to speak, to a cure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

00:04:08.390 --> 00:04:11.190 And eventually her blindness did

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:04:11.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.810$  seem to improve or get better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:04:14.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.598$  And because of that,

 $00:04:16.598 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.833$  the the jailers family basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00{:}04{:}18.833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}21.670$  saw Valentine's as this miraculous

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:04:21.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.550$  individual who could work miracles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:04:24.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.132$  And so they saved all those letters

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:04:26.132 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.801$  as kind of these relic things

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:04:27.801 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.366$  and started passing them around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:04:29.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.569$  And they then started the tradition of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:04:32.569 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.948$  sending loved ones these letters and notes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:04:35.950 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.470$  some of which would be adorned with symbols.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

00:04:38.470 --> 00:04:42.446 And geometric symbols of which, roughly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:04:42.446 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.550$  Elevation of the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:04:44.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.663$  Everybody's familiar with

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

00:04:45.663 --> 00:04:47.147 the Valentine heart there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:04:47.150 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.268$  and it does bear some resemblance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:04:49.270 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.350$  obviously, to an anatomic heart as well.

00:04:52.350 --> 00:04:55.070 And so thus we continue that tradition today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:04:55.070 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.165$  and we exchange letters and poems and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:04:59.170 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.599$  all kinds of little trinkets to express

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:05:01.599 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.420$  our love for one another in honor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

00:05:04.420 --> 00:05:07.130 Saint Valentine and what he did for Julia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:05:07.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.064$  the jailer's daughter when he was imprisoned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:05:11.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.800$  So I think it's it's appropriate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:05:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.644$  in this vein unintended of which I

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

00:05:16.644 --> 00:05:19.813 speak here that I I outline what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

00:05:19.813 --> 00:05:22.605 plan to talk about today in honor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:05:22.610 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.850$  Saint Valentine's by way of a poem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:05:24.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.376$  So here is my outline a heart themed

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:05:28.376 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.548$  lecture for you in three acts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:05:30.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.169$  We'll start with a tale of a VAX and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:05:33.169 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.761$  then something new updates from The Who

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:05:35.761 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.809$  and will end with some amyloid plaques.

 $00:05:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.874$  So that's as good as it gets guys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00{:}05{:}40.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42.950$  So. But that doesn't entertain you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:05:42.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.712$  Nothing will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

00:05:43.712 --> 00:05:44.474 So alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:05:44.474 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.355$  for those of you who are perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:05:47.355 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.451$  less sentimental and prefer a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:05:50.451 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.309$  classical outline, here it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:05:52.309 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.220$  So we're gonna start off by talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:05:54.282 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.907$  a little bit about COVID-19,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:05:55.910 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.003$  kind of getting our thinking back into

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00{:}05{:}58.003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.226$  the pandemic as if it ever really left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00{:}06{:}00.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.134$  Then we'll talk about some of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00{:}06{:}02.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.049$  updates from The Who that I alluded

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:06:04.049 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.020$  to moments ago and then discuss some

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:06:06.020 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.745$  new trends in cardiac amyloidosis

 $00:06:07.745 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.652$  and some newer technologies on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:06:09.652 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.479$  horizon that I think are exciting and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

00:06:11.480 --> 00:06:13.865 Certainly these topics extend beyond

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:06:13.865 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.250$  the realm of cardiovascular pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:06:16.319 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.469$  into other areas of pathologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:06:18.469 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.189$  diagnostic pathology as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:06:20.190 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.557$  So first we're going to start each

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00{:}06{:}23.557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}26.710$  of these acts with a vignette.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:06:26.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.886$  In our first vignette is a 53 year

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:06:28.886 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.111$  old woman who presented with elevated

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00{:}06{:}31.111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33.529$  troponins and New heart block without

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:06:33.591 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.067$  discrete lesions noted angiographically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:06:36.070 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.650$  SARS Kobe 2 testing was negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.79050334625

 $00:06:38.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.370$  and the patient had

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:06:40.457 --> 00:06:43.449 received notably an MRA 12211273 vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00{:}06{:}43.449 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}46.287$ 6 days prior to her presentation.

 $00:06:46.290 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.625$  And the myocardial biopsy was

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00{:}06{:}47.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48.693$  performed in a photomic rograph

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:06:48.693 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.145$  of such as shown on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:06:50.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.238$  Hand side of the slide here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:06:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.632$  What I hope you'll realize here from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:06:54.632 --> 00:06:56.839 relatively low power is indeed heart muscle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:06:56.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.792$  but it does appear to be a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00{:}06{:}58.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00.617$  bit more cellular than one might

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:07:00.617 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.531$  expect to see for heart muscle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00{:}07{:}02.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.640$  Closer in, you get a sense forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:07:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.420$  The character of that cellularity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00{:}07{:}06.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}07{:}098$  There's a leukocytic infiltrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00{:}07{:}07.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.768$  that's filling up the interstitium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:07:09.770 --> 00:07:11.794 and indeed it it's not just sitting there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:07:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.341$  but there does seem to be some

 $00:07:14.341 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.811$  behavior associated with it

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:07:15.811 --> 00:07:17.677 in the form of myocyte injury.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:07:17.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.856$  You'll note at the top here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:07:18.860 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.278$  some of the myocytes have lost

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:07:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.300$  their cross striations a bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:07:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.308$  Some of the sarcoplasmic

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:07:25.308 --> 00:07:26.814 membrane is scalloping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:07:26.820 --> 00:07:28.554 It's becoming a little bit Ruddy

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:07:28.554 --> 00:07:30.210 or rugged along its surface.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:07:30.210 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.614$  So those leukocytes are

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:07:31.614 --> 00:07:33.018 not just simply transiting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:07:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.720$  but they're actually causing

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:07:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.570$  myocardial injury.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:07:35.570 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.590$  This is definitionally active

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:07:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.115$  myocarditis at that at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00{:}07{:}40.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42.708$  point and the question becomes.

 $00:07:42.710 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.778$  What is its ideology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:07:45.780 --> 00:07:49.232 So let's talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:07:49.232 --> 00:07:50.360 myocarditis and its etiologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308 00:07:50.360 --> 00:07:51.065 I want to, NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:07:51.065 --> 00:07:53.480 I want to rewind to a time that again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:07:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.490$  I think we would probably rather all

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:07:56.490 --> 00:07:59.398 forget about nearly three years ago now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:07:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.938$  which was the summer of 2020.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:08:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.369$  That was of course our first summer

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:08:04.369 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.547$  where COVID was in full swing

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:08:06.547 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.671$  and a number of studies started

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:08:08.671 --> 00:08:10.868 coming out between June and July,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:08:10.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.755$  primarily out of the radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:08:13.755 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.063$  literature detailing and discussing

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:08:16.063 --> 00:08:18.330 patients who have had COVID-19 are

 $00:08:18.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.600$  either active or in the subacute

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00{:}08{:}20.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23.120$  phase that we're presenting with

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:08:23.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.136$  cardiac issues and asymptomatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:08:25.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.532$  cardiac patients as well that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:08:27.532 --> 00:08:30.020 being screened by way of cardiac

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:08:30.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.840$  MRI and CT for cardiac sequella.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:08:32.840 --> 00:08:34.284 Of COVID-19,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:08:34.284 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.616$  the results were admittedly quite alarming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:08:38.620 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.698$  The first study showed 100 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:08:41.700 --> 00:08:45.140 and or exhibited 100 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00{:}08{:}45.140 --> 00{:}08{:}48.128$  nearly 80% of which showed some

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:08:48.128 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.120$  cardiac involvement by Mr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:08:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.456$  Now this involvement didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:08:51.456 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.126$  necessarily mean that there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:08:53.126 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.869$  clinical symptoms associated with it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:08:54.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.840$  but rather there was delayed

 $00:08:56.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.416$  gadolinium enhancement meaning that

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:08:58.416 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.362$  there was evidence of inflammation

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:00.362 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.850$  in the myocardium irrespective

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:01.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.949$  of the patient symptomatology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.658$  Following those patients along

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:05.658 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.366$  after their acute phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.230$  almost 2/3 of those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.090$  showed some evidence of ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.210$  inflammation in the heart muscle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:13.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.338$  This is alarming and this is very concerning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:15.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.225$  especially at this time where

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:16.225 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.470$  we're all kind of in the dark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00{:}09{:}17.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}19.570$  trying to feel our way through in

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:19.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.393$  the darkness of what this virus

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:21.393 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.402$  is going to do to the populace.

 $00:09:23.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.385$  A second study of relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00{:}09{:}25.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}26.570$  young competitive athletes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:26.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.042$  26 of them showed similarly an

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:09:29.042 --> 00:09:31.148 alarming numbers, with almost half,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:09:31.148 --> 00:09:34.130 12 having some evidence by way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00{:}09{:}34.217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37.057$  imaging of myocardial involvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:37.060 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.516$  By inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:09:38.516 --> 00:09:40.700 Again, terribly alarming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00{:}09{:}40.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42.870$  but important to note that all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

00:09:42.870 --> 00:09:44.985 this is contingent on imaging studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00{:}09{:}44.985 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}09{:}46.860$  and their ability to accurately

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:46.860 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.591$  reflect what is histopathologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:48.591 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.419$  happening in the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:50.420 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.640$  And that's a big if.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.070$  That's a big jump there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828767777692308

 $00:09:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.155$  So of course the local

00:09:56.155 --> 00:09:58.240 media stations picked up on

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00{:}09{:}58.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01.825$  this and national media stations were far

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00{:}10{:}01.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04.965$  behind and they ran with these stories

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:10:04.965 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.968$  and they were alarmist to say the least.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:10:07.970 --> 00:10:10.490 It wasn't just a left-leaning outsources

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:10:10.490 --> 00:10:14.054 as well, but right wing sources or right

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:10:14.054 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.606$  leaning sources as well discussed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:10:16.606 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.446$  potential concern for these studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:10:18.446 --> 00:10:20.680 or that these studies were telling

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:10:20.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.816$  us that COVID-19 really is targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:10:22.816 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.049$  the heart muscle and in some cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:10:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.130$  In many cases, and is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00{:}10{:}27.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.177$  causing this outbreak or this epidemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:10:29.180 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.730$  the secondary epidemic of heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:10:31.730 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.706$  disease henceforth.

 $00:10:32.706 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.570$  Well, at this time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:10:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.622$  those of us who were somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:10:36.622 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.523$  familiar with the imaging literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:10:38.523 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.289$  and its correlation or lack thereof

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:10:41.289 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.386$  with histopathology decided that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:10:43.386 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.654$  was probably prudent to put out an

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:10:45.654 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.145$  editorial trying to slow this down

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:10:47.145 --> 00:10:48.867 a little bit and trying to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00{:}10{:}48.867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.117$  people to hold their horses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:10:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.577$  And really we need to better understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00{:}10{:}52.577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.477$  what's going on from a pathology standpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:10:55.480 --> 00:10:57.020 Again, kind of dragging the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:10:57.020 --> 00:10:58.560 narrative from the radiology side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877098615555556

00:10:58.560 --> 00:10:59.720 putting it back on pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:10:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.346$  saying there's still a role for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:11:01.346 --> 00:11:02.915 pathology here to tell us what

 $00:11:02.915 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.193$  is going on at the tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:11:04.200 --> 00:11:05.971 Level and how the virus is interacting

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00{:}11{:}05.971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}08.104$  with the tissue and may or may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:11:08.104 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.164$  be associated with inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:11:09.170 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.802$  So we basically put out this editorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:11:11.802 --> 00:11:14.303 in the hopes of stimulating much

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:11:14.303 --> 00:11:17.674 more research and much more focus and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00{:}11{:}17.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20.150$  attention on what COVID-19 effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:11:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.825$  were from the pathologic basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:11:21.830 --> 00:11:22.268 Fortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:11:22.268 --> 00:11:24.020 the Society for Cardiovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:11:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.210$  Pathology served as an excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00{:}11{:}26.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.140$  conduit to Marshall an international

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:11:28.140 --> 00:11:30.432 team together that could put the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:11:30.432 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.217$  initial cases of COVID-19 autopsies

 $00:11:32.217 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.996$  that they had received together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00{:}11{:}33.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}36.072$  And start looking at them in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:11:36.072 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.929$  a systematic and careful way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:11:37.930 --> 00:11:41.594 And so 21 of the initial COVID-19 autopsies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:11:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.376$  which include some of the initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:11:43.376 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.234$  autopsies that were done in Italy

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:11:45.234 --> 00:11:46.769 through the University of Patawa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00{:}11{:}46.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48.870$  characterized and evaluated these

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:11:48.870 --> 00:11:49.920 myocardial samplings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:11:49.920 --> 00:11:52.084 extensively sampled heart tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00{:}11{:}52.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54.450$  for myocarditis, non myocarditis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:11:54.450 --> 00:11:56.370 myositis systemic injury,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:11:56.370 --> 00:11:57.875 which is of course part and parcel

NOTE Confidence: 0.877098615555556

 $00:11:57.875 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.468$  to many cases of COVID-19 disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:11:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.810$  Patients enter into respiratory failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00{:}12{:}01.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.694$  They obviously have all kinds of

 $00:12:03.694 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.289$  supply demand issues that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:12:05.289 --> 00:12:06.689 incite that type of injury,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:12:06.690 --> 00:12:08.133 pericarditis, pericardial injury.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:12:08.133 --> 00:12:11.500 As well as vasculitis and vascular injury.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:12:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.390$  What was found was that about 17% of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:12:15.390 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.840$  did indeed have myocardial inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:12:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.320$  If you carefully and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:12:19.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.800$  extensively sampled for such.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:12:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.578$  And when I say carefully and extensively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:12:22.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.820$  we're talking upwards of 25 to 30

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:12:24.820 --> 00:12:26.536 samples of myocardium that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:12:26.536 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.630$  pouring over looking for these foci.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00{:}12{:}28.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.838$  And in the vast majority of these cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:12:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.020$  about 80% of those 717%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556 00:12:35.020 --> 00:12:35.856 So black, NOTE Confidence: 0.877098615555556  $00:12:35.856 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.364$  actually 2/3 of that number had

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:12:38.364 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.172$  very focal myocardial inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:12:40.172 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.116$  so very, very spotty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:12:42.120 --> 00:12:43.755 Inflammation nowhere near the type

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:12:43.755 --> 00:12:46.512 that you would expect to see by way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:12:46.512 --> 00:12:48.437 imaging and then that was reported in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:12:48.437 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.327$  those initial reports by way of CMR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:12:50.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.215$  So this certainly dragged those

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:12:52.215 --> 00:12:54.100 numbers down quite a bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

00:12:54.100 --> 00:12:55.492 You had to look at a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87709861555556

 $00:12:55.492 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.870$  myocardium to even find a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770986155555600:12:56.870 --> 00:12:57.800 And very, NOTE Confidence: 0.877098615555556

00:12:57.800 --> 00:13:00.125 very few cases had striking

NOTE Confidence: 0.877098615555556

 $00{:}13{:}00.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01.520$  and florid types

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

00:13:01.616 --> 00:13:04.558 of myocarditis. A number of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00{:}13{:}04.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06.206$  pathologies were also identified.

 $00:13:06.210 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.770$  Macrophage infiltration into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00{:}13{:}07.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10.110$  interstitium was kind of a curious

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:13:10.177 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.157$  finding that really was not known.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:13:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.416$  To exist in other viral types of infections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:13:14.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.842$  So that seemed to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

00:13:15.842 --> 00:13:16.553 somewhat unique finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:13:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.933$  And then this other finding of small

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:13:18.933 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.806$  vessel thrombosis seemed to be coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00{:}13{:}20.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22.668$  up more frequently than we saw with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00{:}13{:}22.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.647$  other types of virally mediated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

00:13:24.647 --> 00:13:26.179 myocarditis such as influenza,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00{:}13{:}26.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28.680$  Coxsackie and a denovirus of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00{:}13{:}28.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30.888$  So we decided to take a closer look

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:13:30.888 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.952$  at that small vessel thrombi issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:13:32.952 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.570$  and lo and behold, there were many,

 $00:13:35.570 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.910$  many cases of this small vessel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

00:13:37.910 --> 00:13:39.680 micro occlusive disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:13:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.944$  these small shallow thrombi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:13:40.944 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.208$  some of which were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:13:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.932$  Use of some of which were not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:13:43.932 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.653$  in these cases of COVID-19 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

00:13:45.653 --> 00:13:47.501 that was elevated above all other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:13:47.501 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.290$  types of viral myocarditis that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00{:}13{:}49.290 \longrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51.224$  had in our registry at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:13:51.224 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.240$  So this did seem to be a unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00{:}13{:}53.309 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}13{:}54.909$  feature and comported with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:13:54.909 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.890$  fact that some of the binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:13:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.088$  proteins we know to be expressed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00{:}13{:}59.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01.552$  at high levels in in endothelium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:14:01.552 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.806$  And so there was long held this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:14:04.806 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.670$  idea that COVID-19 was going to be

 $00:14:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.710$  targeting the endothelium and certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:14:09.777 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.010$  this bears that out to some extent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:14:12.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.990$  Now of course over the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:14:13.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.750$  the next few months many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

00:14:15.750 --> 00:14:17.375 many more studies would start

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:14:17.375 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.870$  to look at cases of myocarditis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:14:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.103$  And so here are three examples of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

00:14:22.103 --> 00:14:24.139 cases that had pretty rigorous

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00{:}14{:}24.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26.159$  pathologic evaluation looking for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:14:26.159 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.377$  myocarditis in the setting of COVID as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:14:29.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.160$  And the the long and short of it is the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725 00:14:32.160 --> 00:14:32.442 the, NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:14:32.442 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.416$  the take home message here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:14:34.416 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.691$  when you look at all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:14:36.691 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.336$  pathology studies in composite only

 $00:14:38.404 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.427$  about 1 to 5% show myocarditis to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00{:}14{:}40.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42.941$  any appreciable degree in the vast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

00:14:42.941 --> 00:14:45.160 majority of these being quite mild.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:14:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.452$  As you can see 80% of these cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:14:47.452 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.122$  the myocarditis was only micro

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:14:49.122 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.780$  focal in the myocardium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:14:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.630$  So it's really unlikely that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

00:14:53.630 --> 00:14:56.480 COVID-19 is causing this outbreak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00{:}14{:}56.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}59.870$  this rash outbreak of myocarditis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

00:14:59.870 --> 00:15:01.605 As was being described originally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:15:01.605 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.013$  in the media, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:15:03.013 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.828$  with the ubiquity of COVID-19,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:15:04.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.356$  certainly even this one to 5%

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:15:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.304$  number can pretty place a pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:15:09.304 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.028$  significant burden on the healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00{:}15{:}11.028 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}15{:}12.888$  system by way of myocarditis,

 $00:15:12.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.612$  even if 80% are mild because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:15:14.612 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.169$  the denominator is so high

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:15:16.169 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.549$  of people being affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

00:15:17.550 --> 00:15:19.590 So it's not an insignificant issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:15:19.590 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.910$  it's still one we absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8156753725

 $00:15:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.230$  have to be mindful of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878707668666667

 $00:15:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.368$  When you know, we wanted to be careful

NOTE Confidence: 0.878707668666667

 $00:15:26.368 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.298$  when this data was initially coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.878707668666667

 $00:15:28.298 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.344$  out kind of tempering those relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.878707668666667

 $00{:}15{:}30.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32.488$  low numbers with the fact that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878707668666667

00:15:32.488 --> 00:15:33.958 the denominators were still high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878707668666667

 $00:15:33.958 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.810$  So this mattered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878707668666667

 $00{:}15{:}34.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.322$  And of course there were a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.878707668666667

 $00:15:36.322 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.826$  of folks at the time saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.878707668666667

 $00:15:37.826 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.434$  that we needed to be worrisome,

00:15:39.440 --> 00:15:42.164 worried as well because the COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.878707668666667

00:15:42.164 --> 00:15:45.314 vaccine of course was also has had

NOTE Confidence: 0.878707668666667

 $00:15:45.314 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.116$  also shown to have some association

NOTE Confidence: 0.878707668666667

 $00:15:48.116 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.299$  with myocarditis in some series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878707668666667

 $00:15:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.099$  And so here are three of which that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.878707668666667

 $00:15:53.099 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.868$  all describing myocarditis following.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878707668666667

 $00:15:55.870 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.060$  COVID-19 vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:15:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.910$  Fortunately the the literature has

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}16{:}00.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.379$  really filled out over the last few

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:03.379 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.359$  months on this vaccine related issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:05.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.232$  And when you look at it in its totality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.522$  it shows somewhere on the order of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:09.522 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.826$  five to 12/5 to 13 or so cases per

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:12.826 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.960$  1,000,000 vaccinated individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.290$  a rate far lower than that that you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.438$  with COVID-19 associated myocarditis.

00:16:20.440 --> 00:16:22.715 Again, especially because of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}16{:}22.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.535$  ubiquity of COVID-19 infections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

00:16:24.540 --> 00:16:26.556 men were certainly at a higher rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:26.556 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.990$  in most of these series and nearly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:28.990 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.838$  All of these cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

00:16:29.838 --> 00:16:31.590 this is the really important take home point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:31.590 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.649$  nearly all of them had complete resolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:34.650 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.720$  This stands in stark contradiction to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.189$  what we see with COVID-19 associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:39.189 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.744$  myocarditis where the Florida examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:41.744 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.666$  are almost invariably associated with some

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:44.666 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.306$  compromise in long term cardiac function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:47.310 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.050$  So these cases that have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:49.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.210$  reported related to vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:50.210 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.166$  almost all of them are mild,

 $00:16:52.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.420$  almost all of them resolved and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:54.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.329$  almost and virtually none of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:56.329 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.029$  them had long term sequella.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:58.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.530$  So the bottom line here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:16:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.057$  Is that it's 100 times more common

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}17{:}02.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.787$  to get myocarditis associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

00:17:03.787 --> 00:17:06.079 with active COVID-19 infection?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}17{:}06.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.131$  And it tends to be associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}17{:}08.131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.743$  some degree of myocardial change

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:17:09.743 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.088$  either by way of imaging or by

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:17:12.088 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.219$  cardiac function about half the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}17{:}14.220 \to 00{:}17{:}17.013$  So there's really no question here when

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:17:17.013 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.556$  you stack up the the risk benefits of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:17:20.556 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.590$  vaccine over active infection with COVID-19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}17{:}23.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.398$  So we come back to our index case

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

00:17:26.398 --> 00:17:29.564 despite the relatively low risk of

 $00:17:29.564 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.944$  having vaccine associated myocarditis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}17{:}31.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34.085$  This did appear to be an excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}17{:}34.085 {\: -->\:} 00{:}17{:}36.053$  example of vaccine associated myocarditis

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

00:17:36.053 --> 00:17:38.528 because of the strong temporal

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

00:17:38.528 --> 00:17:40.770 relationship between development of symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

00:17:40.770 --> 00:17:44.406 the clear histopathology in this case

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:17:44.410 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.960$  and the temporal relationship obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:17:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.244$  to the vaccine active myocarditis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}17{:}49.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}52.260$  But the good news is that the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

00:17:52.327 --> 00:17:54.336 is quite clear on the fact that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}17{:}54.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56.986$  These people do not appear to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:17:56.986 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.830$  long-term sequella associated with these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}17{:}58.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02.484$  so prognostically this is a very good

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}18{:}02.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}04.190$  indication. So that's diagnosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:18:04.190 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.909$  This case was signed out as active mixed

 $00:18:07.909 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.093$  lymphocytic escena philic myocarditis

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}18{:}10.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13.200$  associated with the recent vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:18:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.708$  All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:18:13.708 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.486$  We're going to change gears a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

00:18:15.486 --> 00:18:17.438 bit and talk about a different topic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

00:18:17.440 --> 00:18:19.120 one of cardiac tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}18{:}19.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21.220$  heart tumors and specifically we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:18:21.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.634$  going to talk about some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}18{:}23.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.855$  changes that we've seen in the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:18:25.855 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.871$  couple of years come from the W,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}18{:}27.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}30.280$  the New W Joe classification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

00:18:30.280 --> 00:18:31.104 To kick this off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:18:31.104 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.979$  we'll again do so by way of vignette.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}18{:}32.980 \to 00{:}18{:}35.200$  The case is that of a 43 year old woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:18:35.259 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.759$  who presented with recurrent ventricular

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:18:37.759 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.759$  tachycardia causing syncopal episodes.

 $00:18:39.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.832$  She was found to have a 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}18{:}41.832 \rightarrow 00{:}18{:}43.010$  centimeter mass involving her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

00:18:43.010 --> 00:18:44.468 Left ventricular free wall and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:18:44.468 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.369$  can see it down there at the apex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:18:46.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.938$  She was subsequently referred to surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}18{:}48.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51.010$  resection for this symptomatic mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:18:51.010 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.946$  Obviously a mass down there at the apex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

00:18:52.950 --> 00:18:54.633 Pretty well circumscribed

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

00:18:54.633 --> 00:18:56.877 tan white in appearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00{:}18{:}56.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59.250$  Here it is the surgical resection

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

00:18:59.250 --> 00:19:01.280 cut along its short axis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

00:19:01.280 --> 00:19:03.140 somewhat homogeneous, tan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

00:19:03.140 --> 00:19:05.000 yellow in appearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:19:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.872$  Not a lot of variability as

NOTE Confidence: 0.92259515

 $00:19:06.872 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.660$  we look through the tissue.

00:19:08.660 --> 00:19:10.536 Under the microscope, what do we see?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:19:10.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.926$  Well, we see kind of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:19:11.926 --> 00:19:13.031 amalgamation of things, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:19:13.031 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.264$  There's probably some

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:19:14.264 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.908$  cardiac muscle down there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:19:15.910 --> 00:19:16.990 There's certainly big blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:19:16.990 --> 00:19:18.070 vessels running through it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:19:18.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.240$  lots of collagen and fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:19:20.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.536$  So we take a closer look.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:19:21.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.025$  What we see are these peculiar bundles

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:19:24.025 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.460$  of smooth muscle that inner are

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:19:26.460 --> 00:19:28.635 interposed between these very enlarged,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:19:28.640 --> 00:19:30.720 very atypical cardiac myocytes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:19:30.720 --> 00:19:31.350 blood vessels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:19:31.350 --> 00:19:33.576 the occasional nerve running through it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:19:33.576 --> 00:19:36.566 just kind of a a random or

 $00:19:36.566 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.590$  motley assortment of tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00{:}19{:}38.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40.690$  Presenting as a mass in the free

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:19:40.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.689$  wall of the left ventricle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:19:42.690 --> 00:19:44.909 So anytime we see a cardiac mask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:19:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.583$  it probably behooves us to at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:19:46.583 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.695$  have in our mind what the general

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:19:48.695 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.365$  epidemiology of these cardiac masses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:19:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.086$  It helps us narrow our differential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00{:}19{:}52.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53.650$  helps us triage the tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:19:53.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.889$  how we're going to be handle it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:19:54.890 --> 00:19:57.104 And the important point here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:19:57.104 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.990$  to understand that the vast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:19:58.990 --> 00:20:00.232 vast, vast majority,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:20:00.232 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.640$  majority of masses that arise in the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:20:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.244$  Are the result of progression of

 $00:20:06.244 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.980$  metastatic cancer metastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00{:}20{:}07.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}09.912$  So the first question on your mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:20:09.912 --> 00:20:11.289 whenever presented with a heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:20:11.289 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.025$  tumor should really be what is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:20:13.025 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.248$  patient's oncologic history because

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:20:14.248 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.102$  that conclude you into the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:20:16.102 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.360$  of vast majority of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:20:18.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.892$  So more on the order of four to 8% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00{:}20{:}20{:}892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22.524$  stage 4 malignancies involve the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:20:22.530 --> 00:20:24.190 That's an incredibly high percentage

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00{:}20{:}24.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.291$  given the burden of high stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:20:26.291 --> 00:20:28.196 oncologic disease in our population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:20:28.200 --> 00:20:29.880 So this is something you're going to see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:20:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.746$  you're going to see metastatic disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:20:31.746 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.748$  with some regularity in all of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00{:}20{:}33.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35.262$  Are definitionally malignant.

00:20:35.262 --> 00:20:38.286 Primary heart tumors obviously can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:20:38.286 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.876$  dichotomized into either benign or malignant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:20:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.120$  And if we were to just take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:20:42.120 --> 00:20:43.729 look at that sliver and ask what

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:20:43.729 --> 00:20:44.984 percentage are benign or malignant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:20:44.990 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.974$  well turns out that the benign outnumber the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:20:47.974 --> 00:20:50.266 malignant primary cardiac tumors about 12:50.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:20:50.266 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.474$  So if you have a benign cardiac tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00{:}20{:}52.480 \to 00{:}20{:}55.650$  you're weight primary cardiac tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:20:55.650 --> 00:20:57.490 If you have a primary heart cardiac tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:20:57.490 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.540$  you are more than likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:20:59.540 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.590$  to have a benign neoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:21:01.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.499$  With that said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:21:02.499 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.317$  just because it is histologically benign

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:21:04.317 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.469$  does not mean that it will be clinically.

00:21:06.470 --> 00:21:07.205 Tonight the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:21:07.205 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.920$  as we'll see in a few slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:21:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.224$  is an incredibly precarious

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:21:10.224 --> 00:21:11.854 location for tumors to arise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:21:11.860 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.404$  and it's an incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:21:13.404 --> 00:21:15.334 sensitive place to occupy space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00{:}21{:}15.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17.664$  It has access to the systemic blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:21:17.664 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.532$  supply so dangerous sequella can

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00{:}21{:}19.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.557$  happen even from benign processes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286 00:21:21.560 --> 00:21:22.706 as we'll see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00{:}21{:}22.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24.616$  The malignant tumors are obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:21:24.616 --> 00:21:26.335 all problematic for their propensity

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:21:26.335 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.421$  to not only do all the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:21:28.486 --> 00:21:29.738 benign tumors can do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:21:29.740 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.304$  but they also invade and progress as they go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00{:}21{:}33.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35.466$  So with respect to the metastatic tumors,

 $00:21:35.470 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.702$  why do we see such a high burden of

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:21:37.702 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.150$  metastatic disease to the heart?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286 00:21:39.150 --> 00:21:39.471 Well, NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:21:39.471 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.076$  there's lots of different ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:21:41.076 --> 00:21:42.706 that metastatic tumors can travel

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:21:42.706 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.850$  to involve the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:21:43.850 --> 00:21:45.901 And the tumors that we see getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:21:45.901 --> 00:21:47.710 there are things that obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00{:}21{:}47.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}49.820$  sensibly common sense actually are

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00{:}21{:}49.820 \longrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52.425$  from epithelial tumors that arise in

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

 $00:21:52.425 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.545$  the neighboring structures and organs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:21:54.550 --> 00:21:56.734 Breast cancer, lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893708615714286

00:21:56.734 --> 00:21:57.826 esophageal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:21:57.830 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.470$  all the neighboring organs to the heart can

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:22:00.470 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.165$  potentially infiltrate in and invade the

 $00:22:02.165 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.240$  heart via a number of different mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}22{:}04.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.400$  Melanoma is a kind of a curious example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}22{:}06.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}08.712$  though. It takes the lion's share of tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

00:22:08.712 --> 00:22:10.739 when we're looking at specific types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

00:22:10.740 --> 00:22:14.478 obviously, and it, for peculiar reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:22:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.712$  is intensely cardio atropic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

00:22:15.712 --> 00:22:17.560 About half of the metastatic lesions

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

00:22:17.616 --> 00:22:19.360 we see that the heart are from Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:22:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.180$  and in some cases it can be a cult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:22:21.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.870$  It's one of the few places we can see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}22{:}23.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.700$  cult presentation of malignant Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

00:22:26.700 --> 00:22:29.660 So uveal Melanoma, subungual melanomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}22{:}29.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.215$  even rectal melanomas have all been reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}22{:}32.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}34.159$  metastasizing to the heart and 1st.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

00:22:34.160 --> 00:22:36.060 Showing symptoms because of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:22:36.060 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.960$  cardiac involvement because of the

00:22:38.021 --> 00:22:39.140 occult primary site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

00:22:39.140 --> 00:22:41.835 They get their via four primary ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

00:22:41.840 --> 00:22:43.495 Those four primary routes include

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:22:43.495 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.150$  direct extension like this example

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:22:45.206 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.078$  of a lung cancer eating its way not

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:22:47.078 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.620$  only through the lung prank comma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:22:48.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.988$  but into the mediastinum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}22{:}49.988 \rightarrow 00{:}22{:}51.698$  through the pericardium and into

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:22:51.698 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.319$  the anterior heart muscle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:22:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.848$  As you see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}22{:}54.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.376$  hematogenous seeding can occur,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:22:56.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.605$  obviously the hearts receiving the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}22{:}58.605 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}23{:}00.830$  entire body's systemics return and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:00.901 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.911$  then putting blood all the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

00:23:02.911 --> 00:23:04.840 out into the systemic circuit.

 $00:23:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.248$  So it's going to be seeing all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

00:23:06.248 --> 00:23:07.499 blood that runs through our body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:07.500 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.916$  any tumor cells that are traveling

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:08.916 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.388$  through that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.791$  But have the potential of seeding in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}23{:}11.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}14.369$  the heart and growing there and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

00:23:14.369 --> 00:23:16.589 you can get these cannonball type

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:16.663 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.015$  lesions from hematogenous spread

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}23{:}19.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}20.779$  of epithelial malignancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:20.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.258$  Here's another example of this case

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}23{:}23.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}24.910$  of metastatic malignant Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:24.910 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.950$  obvious because of the dark brown

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:26.950 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.764$  pigmentation of the numerous tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}23{:}28.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.749$  deposits depositing not only within

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:30.749 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.543$  the endocardium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.702$  myocardium and epicardium kind

 $00:23:32.702 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.142$  of all three layers there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.980$  but also existing as tumor thrombus

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:35.980 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.183$  that you can visualize up here in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:38.183 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.728$  the right ventricular outflow tract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.745$  obstructing outflow of the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

00:23:41.745 --> 00:23:43.760 ventricle into the pulmonary artery

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.636$  and mechanistically explaining this

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:45.636 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.906$  patient's death in this instance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}23{:}47.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49.410$  Lymphatics are also a mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}23{:}49.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}51.228$  or a mechanistic route that tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:51.228 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.800$  can use to involve the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.050$  The heart has a relatively extensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}23{:}55.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}56.550$  lymphatic lymphatic plexus that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:56.609 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.209$  runs through the pericardium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:23:58.210 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.610$  Plugging of those lymphatics can cause

 $00:24:00.610 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.190$  profound and pronounced pericardial

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:24:02.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.165$  effusions like in this example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:24:04.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.270$  and you can see the lymphatic drainage

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:24:06.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.301$  on the epicardial surface or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:24:08.301 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.096$  visceral pericardial surface of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

00:24:10.096 --> 00:24:12.259 heart presenting this kind of fuzzy

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:24:12.259 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.303$  bordered pericardial appearance

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}24{:}13.303 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.412$  because of all the infiltration

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:24:15.412 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.067$  by tumor of those lymphatics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}24{:}18.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}20.062$  Intraluminal extension is kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:24:20.062 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.833$  unique way of metastatic malignancies

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:24:21.833 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.768$  can involve the heart muscle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}24{:}23.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.480$  Take for instance this example

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:24:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.848$  of renal cell carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:24:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.542$  clear cell renal cell carcinoma arising

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

00:24:28.542 --> 00:24:30.609 in the inferior pole of the left kidney,

 $00:24:30.610 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.590$  there coming up into the renal vein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:24:33.590 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.930$  across into the IVC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:24:34.930 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.750$  then up to involve the heart and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

00:24:36.812 --> 00:24:38.744 sure enough there it exists like

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

00:24:38.744 --> 00:24:40.664 a serpent coming through the IVC

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:24:40.664 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.362$  into the right ventricle where it

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:24:42.362 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.306$  can then obstruct again the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:24:44.306 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.026$  ventricular outflow tract and cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:24:46.026 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.808$  what would clinically manifest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00{:}24{:}47.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.156$  Has a large saddle and saddle

NOTE Confidence: 0.83480655

 $00:24:50.156 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.938$  pulmonary embolus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:24:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.256$  So with respect to cardiac tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00{:}24{:}55.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57.078$  we've seen a number of changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:24:57.078 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.709$  over the last decade or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:24:58.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.278$  The 2015 classification was a relatively

 $00:25:01.278 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.969$  truncated list of tumors that you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00{:}25{:}03.969 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06.090$  here that over the next six years

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00{:}25{:}06.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.174$  would expand in the literature and

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

00:25:08.174 --> 00:25:10.879 cause The Who to update and revise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:25:10.879 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.597$  adding to a number of lesions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:25:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.679$  adding the number of lesions to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

00:25:15.679 --> 00:25:17.722 list rather as well as updating

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:25:17.722 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.894$  some of our understanding of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00{:}25{:}19.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.319$  pathobiology and the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:25:21.319 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.994$  of the other cardiac tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667 00:25:23.000 --> 00:25:23.284 Existed. NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00{:}25{:}23.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25.556$  The tumors that are shown here in green

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:25:25.556 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.709$  are ones that have seen such updates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

00:25:27.710 --> 00:25:29.250 There's been an important updates

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:25:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.790$  in terms of our diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:25:30.790 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.200$  how we make our diagnosis,

00:25:32.200 --> 00:25:33.968 some important new stains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:25:33.968 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.620$  some new nomenclature in some instances,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:25:36.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.300$  as well as the treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

00:25:38.300 --> 00:25:41.084 And then the red Allegion shown in red

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:25:41.084 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.654$  here represent new lesions that are newly

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:25:43.654 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.110$  described in this 2021 classification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

00:25:47.110 --> 00:25:48.901 I'm going to take you through just a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:25:48.901 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.867$  of the updates that have been seen here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:25:50.870 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.564$  We'll start with some of the green

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00{:}25{:}52.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54.313$  ones and then we'll get into some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8184377766666667

 $00:25:54.313 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.765$  of the new entities as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:25:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.933$  One of the the lesions that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00{:}25{:}57.933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00.310$  seen some important updates in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:26:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.158$  understanding is the so-called

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

00:26:02.158 --> 00:26:03.082 papillary fibroelastoma.

00:26:03.090 --> 00:26:05.146 This is a tumor that's long been recognized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00{:}26{:}05.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06.950$  We've first recognized these in

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:26:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.030$  the early 1900s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

00:26:08.030 --> 00:26:10.406 So it's no news that we're seeing them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

00:26:10.410 --> 00:26:12.282 but our understanding of them has

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:26:12.282 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.847$  seen some really remarkable advances

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:26:13.847 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.674$  over the last five and six years

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:26:15.674 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.070$  that have been introduced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8184377766666667

 $00{:}26{:}17.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}19.450$  Largely by the increasing use

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:26:19.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.354$  of digital droplet PCR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00{:}26{:}21.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24.517$  enabling us to look at very posse

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:26:24.517 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.598$  cellular specimens in a very detailed way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:26:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.035$  The posse cellularity of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8184377766666667

00:26:29.035 --> 00:26:30.900 specimens has long precluded us from

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:26:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.420$  understanding the molecular biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:26:32.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.256$  But because of these newer technologies,

 $00:26:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.762$  we now understand that they do

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

00:26:36.762 --> 00:26:38.430 actually harbor oncogenic drivers

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:26:38.501 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.811$  and so simply aren't only explained

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

00:26:40.811 --> 00:26:42.796 by reactive and athelia processes

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:26:42.796 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.696$  that happen in the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:26:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.030$  We also understand because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:26:46.030 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.666$  advances in imaging that these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00{:}26{:}47.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.190$  way more common than cardiac myxoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:26:49.190 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.241$  So the dictum long held the cardiac

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:26:51.241 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.293$  myxomas where the most common primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

00:26:53.293 --> 00:26:55.148 cardiac tumor not so papillary

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00{:}26{:}55.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.792$  fibroelastoma is are now recognized

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00{:}26{:}56.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59.268$  to be the most common as they're seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:26:59.268 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.256$  twice as commonly as cardiac myxomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:27:02.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.856$  And again that that advance was

 $00:27:03.856 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.627$  made possible because of our better

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00{:}27{:}05.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}07.267$  imaging technology and our better

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:27:07.267 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.879$  understanding that these two should

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:27:08.879 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.619$  be considered in the same neoplastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:27:10.619 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.498$  paradigm that cardiac myxomas are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

00:27:13.500 --> 00:27:15.418 They tend to arise on cardiac valves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:27:15.420 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.030$  most commonly the closing surfaces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:27:17.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.758$  but they're not restricted to such.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8184377766666667

 $00{:}27{:}18.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.782$  They can happen on any endocardium

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667 00:27:20.782 --> 00:27:21.456 line surface.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00{:}27{:}21.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.513$  They're typically incidental,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:27:22.513 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.268$  but they can occasionally be

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:27:24.268 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.664$  associated with symptoms because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8184377766666667

 $00:27:25.664 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.259$  the tumor itself can embolize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

00:27:27.260 --> 00:27:28.860 You can envision those little

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:27:28.860 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.140$  papillary fronds breaking off

 $00:27:30.140 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.640$  and embolizing downstream.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00{:}27{:}31.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33.170$  Or there can be adherent surface

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:27:33.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.720$  thrombus like you see down here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:27:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.378$  this red tissue in its core,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:27:37.380 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.138$  a little mitoses of thrombi that

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:27:39.138 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.306$  can come off and then embolize

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:27:41.306 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.178$  downstream and potentially cause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:27:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.344$  Rather devastating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667 00:27:44.344 --> 00:27:44.926 Sequela, NOTE Confidence: 0.818437776666667

 $00:27:44.926 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.090$  limit schemonia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

00:27:46.090 --> 00:27:48.370 CNS events, Tia or strokes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:27:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.498$  even heart attacks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00{:}27{:}49.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52.254$  In some instances they're said to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

00:27:52.254 --> 00:27:54.690 C anemone or ponpon like appearance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:27:54.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.060$  and a couple of examples

 $00:27:56.060 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.430$  of those are shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:27:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.530$  I happen to really like photographing

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:27:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.930$  of these particular tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:00.930 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.510$  I think they're they're incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:02.510 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.496$  photogenic, rather beautiful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:03.496 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.827$  They look like little flowers or little

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:05.827 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.810$  daisies in a field to me and again you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

00:28:07.810 --> 00:28:08.962 I think you can understand why

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00{:}28{:}08.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.361$  they have this sea an emone type

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

00:28:10.361 --> 00:28:11.537 appearance or ponpon appearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.298$  They look like little buzzy shrubs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:13.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.357$  Almost growing off of valves now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

00:28:15.357 --> 00:28:16.946 sometimes when you get these at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00{:}28{:}16.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18.239$  grossing bench or when a surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:18.239 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.704$  encounters one of these at the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00{:}28{:}19.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.859$  of surgery that was unbeknownst

 $00:28:20.859 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.688$  to them before they went in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:22.688 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.933$  they'll sometimes mistake them for

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:24.933 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.417$  myxomas and the reason being is shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

00:28:28.420 --> 00:28:30.156 When they're taken out of an aqueous medium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.959$  they tend to collapse all on themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:31.959 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.608$  and they look like little myxoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

00:28:33.608 --> 00:28:35.264 masses or little solid masses that

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:35.264 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.046$  are sitting there in the heart or

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00{:}28{:}37.046 \to 00{:}28{:}38.512$  sitting there on the grossing bench.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00{:}28{:}38.512 \longrightarrow 00{:}28{:}40.480$  The trick is to take them and put

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:40.532 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.338$  them in formal and water or alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:42.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.440$  And when you do so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00{:}28{:}43.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}44.745$  all those papillary fronds come

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:44.745 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.626$  out and you see the nice little

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:46.626 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.198$  pom pom like nature of these.

00:28:48.200 --> 00:28:49.440 And so it's really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00{:}28{:}49.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51.660$  that can be an incredibly helpful clue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

00:28:51.660 --> 00:28:53.286 If you get a little myxoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

00:28:53.286 --> 00:28:54.099 bulgary looking thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

00:28:54.100 --> 00:28:56.773 you just drop it in a cup of formalin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:56.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.788$  shake it up a little bit and

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:28:57.788 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.480$  if you see these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

00:28:58.480 --> 00:29:00.260 Well, papillary fronds unfurl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00{:}29{:}00.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02.930$  You have the diagnosis even before

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:29:03.004 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.536$  you put it underneath the microscope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00{:}29{:}05.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}07.521$  Now I mentioned that the heart is

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:29:07.521 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.274$  a precarious place for tumors to

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:29:09.274 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.972$  arise and nowhere is that more

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:29:10.972 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.714$  evident than in this slide on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:29:12.714 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.326$  left here we have an echocardiogram

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00{:}29{:}14.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}15.678$  showing a papillary fibroelastoma

00:29:15.678 --> 00:29:17.599 arising on an aortic valve cusp.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00{:}29{:}17.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}19.592$  And then you can see on the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00{:}29{:}19.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.819$  side the gross correlate to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:29:21.819 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.899$  echocardiogram that nicely shows the

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:29:23.899 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.983$  proximity of that papillary fibroelastoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:29:25.983 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.993$  to the right coronary ostium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:29:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.918$  It doesn't take a lot of imagination

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00{:}29{:}29.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32.189$  to picture piece of that tumor or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:29:32.189 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.904$  piece of adherent thrombus breaking off

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00:29:33.904 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.016$  and then having ready access to the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

00:29:36.020 --> 00:29:36.634 Coronary ostium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

00:29:36.634 --> 00:29:38.476 where it could embolize down and

NOTE Confidence: 0.573788988

 $00{:}29{:}38.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40.097$  potentially cause a fatal heart attack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:29:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.150$  They do have a propensity or

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:29:45.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.832$  are commonly seen arising from

 $00:29:47.832 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.028$  damaged endocardial surfaces.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00{:}29{:}50.030 \to 00{:}29{:}52.112$  This really led to the original

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:29:52.112 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.923$  hypothesis that these were the

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

00:29:53.923 --> 00:29:55.718 result of a reactive phenomenon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:29:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.388$  The two situations where we commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:29:57.388 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.034$  will see them is in the setting of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

00:30:00.034 --> 00:30:01.138 post inflammatory valvulopathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:01.140 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.784$  like this example of post traumatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:02.784 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.349$  valve disease as shown over here

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:04.349 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.819$  on the left side of the slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00{:}30{:}05.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07.557$  The other time we see them is when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:07.557 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.378$  heart has been surgically intervened upon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:09.380 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.976$  This example over here on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00{:}30{:}10.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}12.430$  right is a younger woman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.860$  Who had undergone a subaortic septal

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:14.860 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.480$  myectomy procedure to palliate

00:30:16.544 --> 00:30:18.479 her hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:18.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.990$  About three years after she

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.896$  had that procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:20.900 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.220$  she presented with aphasia

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:23.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.960$  and difficulty walking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.470$  An echocardiogram and scan of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:26.470 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.980$  her brain revealed that indeed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:27.980 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.318$  she not only had a stroke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00{:}30{:}29.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32.113$  but also appeared to have one or

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:32.113 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.755$  more papillary fibroelastoma is

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:33.755 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.993$  growing in the region of resection

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:35.993 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.230$  along the subaortic septal myectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00{:}30{:}38.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}40.702$  The surgeon went in to remove these out

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:40.702 \dashrightarrow 00:30:43.361$  they she kept having strokes despite

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:43.361 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.329$  and TI's despite anticoagulation.

00:30:45.330 --> 00:30:47.112 When the surgeon engaged the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

00:30:47.112 --> 00:30:48.300 ventricular outflow tract and

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:48.355 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.005$  looked at the prior resection site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:50.010 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.690$  he said that it looked like a \*\*\*\*

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

00:30:51.690 --> 00:30:53.082 carpet in there and again you can kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:53.082 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.750$  of see why it looks like a flyfisherman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:54.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.230$  I spilled this tackle box onto the table.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00{:}30{:}57.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}59.214$ 52 discrete papillary fibroelastoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:30:59.214 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.190$  were resected from the left ventricular

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:02.264 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.208$  outflow tract that day and again

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00{:}31{:}04.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.546$  it was all along that previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:06.546 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.486$  region of damaged endocardium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857 00:31:08.490 --> 00:31:09.352 All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:09.352 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.369$  The next tumor is the cardiac myxoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

00:31:12.370 --> 00:31:14.484 The prefix mixer coming from the Greek,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

00:31:14.490 --> 00:31:15.550 meaning mucousy or slimy.

00:31:15.550 --> 00:31:18.159 And I can think of no better image to

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:18.159 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.406$  illustrate that than this one shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

00:31:20.410 --> 00:31:22.538 My daughter when she was four years old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:22.540 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.620$  whenever she would see this

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

00:31:23.620 --> 00:31:24.484 picture on my laptop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:24.490 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.680$  she would say daddy's looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.870$  at his heart booger again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00{:}31{:}26.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}28.748$  And I think that's an incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00{:}31{:}28.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30.000$  appropriate and APT description

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:30.058 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.528$  of this tumor because it does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:31.530 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.670$  It looks mucousy or slimy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.490$  and that's why they have this name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

00:31:34.490 --> 00:31:35.196 They're benign.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:35.196 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.608$  They are not malignant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.338$  nor do they undergo malignant degeneration.

 $00:31:38.340 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.386$  They have no malignant potential prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00{:}31{:}40.386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}42.629$  reports of myxomas that have undergone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

00:31:42.630 --> 00:31:43.562 Malignant degeneration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:43.562 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.494$  in hindsight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

00:31:44.494 --> 00:31:46.358 probably just represent denovo

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00{:}31{:}46.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}48.049$  malignancies and myxoid sarcomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:48.049 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.143$  that were unrecognized at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:50.150 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.665$  They most commonly arise in

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

00:31:51.665 --> 00:31:52.877 the hearts left atrium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.020$  but they've been described

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:54.020 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.730$  in any Chamber of the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:55.730 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.596$  They're said to be a primitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

00:31:57.596 --> 00:31:58.529 multipotential mesenchymal origin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:31:58.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.290$  which is of course an incredibly fancy way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:32:00.290 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.712$  as you all know of saying we have no

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:32:01.712 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.265$  idea where these things are coming from.

 $00{:}32{:}03.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}04.985$  Probably cardiac stem cells are

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:32:04.985 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.409$  somewhere in in the in the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:32:07.410 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.354$  That's not heart muscle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:32:09.354 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.642$  About 5% of these are associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:32:11.642 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.282$  with so-called syndrome myxoma or

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:32:13.282 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.368$  the Carney complex and we'll talk a

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

00:32:15.368 --> 00:32:17.747 little bit more about that in just a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:32:17.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.549$  But they can also obstruct an embolize

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:32:19.549 \dashrightarrow 00:32:21.786$  just like we saw with other heart tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

 $00:32:21.790 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.806$  Obstruction obviously is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.818502987142857

00:32:22.806 --> 00:32:24.330 pretty easy thing to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:32:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.730$  We'll talk about that in a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00{:}32{:}25.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}27.402$  Embolization can occur particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:32:27.402 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.910$  when the myxomas have a phenotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

00:32:29.972 --> 00:32:31.847 that's more friable or crumbly.

 $00:32:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.659$  You can see this image on the bottom where

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00{:}32{:}33.659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35.646$  it has a more villiform type architecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

00:32:35.650 --> 00:32:37.729 You can again in envision pretty easily

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:32:37.729 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.939$  how that tumor is it's beating around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:32:39.940 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.112$  In the cardiac cycle could potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00{:}32{:}42.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}44.061$  break off an embolize downstream

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:32:44.061 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.261$  obstruction obviously comes from large

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

00:32:46.261 --> 00:32:48.870 myxomas or myxomas that occupy space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:32:48.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.142$  Here's an example of such and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

00:32:50.142 --> 00:32:51.479 if you have your phone available

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

00:32:51.479 --> 00:32:53.247 you can point it at the QR code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:32:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.164$  This is a technology we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:32:55.164 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.172$  working on perfecting at Mayo over

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:32:57.172 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.188$  the last couple of years called

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

00:32:59.188 --> 00:33:00.895 photogrammetry of specimens and we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

00:33:00.895 --> 00:33:02.845 taken our several 1000 cases that

 $00:33:02.845 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.826$  are in our museum collection and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:33:04.826 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.531$  we're working on scanning those into

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00{:}33{:}06.531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.187$ a digital database that allows you

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

00:33:08.187 --> 00:33:09.948 to interact with the gross specimen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:33:09.950 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.910$  In the old days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

00:33:10.910 --> 00:33:12.110 obviously you could carry buckets

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:33:12.110 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.404$  to conferences and everybody could

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00{:}33{:}13.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.367$  Don gloves or or not in some cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

00:33:15.367 --> 00:33:17.039 and fish things out of a bucket and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:33:17.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.416$  look at them and get a good feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:33:18.416 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.499$  for the gross pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:33:19.500 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.825$  That's obviously frowned on today

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00{:}33{:}20.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}22.580$  for a whole variety of reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00{:}33{:}22.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23.868$  This is the next best thing though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:33:23.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.905$  This allows you to interact

 $00:33:24.905 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.240$  with the organ on your phone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

00:33:26.240 --> 00:33:27.370 you can spin it around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:33:27.370 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.386$  you can zoom into things and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:33:29.386 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.787$  see in this case a large left atrial

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:33:31.787 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.130$  tumor and quite easily how it can fall

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:33:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.067$  down and ball valve over that mitral

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00:33:36.067 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.149$  valve orifice and obstruct flow from

NOTE Confidence: 0.867405822857143

 $00{:}33{:}38.149 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}40.657$  the left atrium into the left ventricle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

00:33:43.210 --> 00:33:44.188 Underneath the microscope,

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

00:33:44.188 --> 00:33:46.144 the lesional cell that we're looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:33:46.144 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.164$  for to seal the diagnosis is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:33:48.164 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.550$  so-called myxoma or lipidic cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

00:33:49.550 --> 00:33:51.938 These cells occur singly or in

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00{:}33{:}51.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53.470$  small clusters, small cords,

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:33:53.470 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.660$  a little groups or little little

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:33:55.660 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.130$  tumor giant cells as can be seen here.

00:33:58.130 --> 00:34:00.176 They're bland, they're often spindle shaped,

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00{:}34{:}00.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02.790$  they can be multi nucleated as you see there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:02.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.876$  The curious thing about these and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:04.876 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.132$  of the differentiating properties

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:06.132 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.253$  that you can use to separate them

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:08.253 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.794$  from fibroblasts is the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:09.794 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.482$  they tend to ring up around small

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00{:}34{:}11.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13.714$ intratumoral vessels like you see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.920$  On the right sided photomicrograph,

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.616$  the tumor cells are all kind of holding

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00{:}34{:}17.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19.027$  hands around a small capillary and

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:19.027 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.443$  that's exactly what these things do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00{:}34{:}20.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22.664$  These little nascent vessels kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:22.664 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.247$  form and it's unclear what role the

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:25.247 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.323$  maxima cells play in forming those,

 $00:34:27.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.890$  those, those blood vessels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.330$  Some people have hypothesized that

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:30.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.135$  they're part in part responsible for

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:32.135 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.407$  those intratumoral blood vessels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:33.410 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.573$  but they can break and hemorrhage into

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

00:34:35.573 --> 00:34:38.166 the tumor as the tumor is beating about

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

00:34:38.166 --> 00:34:40.214 in cosmics almost to start rapidly

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:40.214 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.494$  increasing in size in some instance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00{:}34{:}42.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44.978$  We mentioned that about 5% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:44.978 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.410$  cardiac maximas were associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:46.410 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.200$  with the so-called Carney complex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.140$  so named for Doctor Aiden Carney who

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:51.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.462$  while well into his 90s at this point

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:53.462 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.052$  is still an active pathologist who

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

00:34:55.052 --> 00:34:57.372 comes to work every day at Mayo Clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:34:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.760$  He lives in a building attached,

 $00:34:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.720$  so he's able to come no matter

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.821$  what the weather is and he's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:02.821 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.013$  absolute force of nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.750$  He is a an inspiration to all of us I

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:06.829 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.197$  think his ability to put things together to

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:10.197 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.688$  problem solve and to kind of sort through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667 00:35:12.688 --> 00:35:12.970 Puzzles, NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

00:35:12.970 --> 00:35:13.596 diagnostic puzzles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:13.596 \dashrightarrow 00:35:15.787$  is unlike any body else I've ever met.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00{:}35{:}15.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17.982$  And so I always try to give him

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:17.982 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.964$  credit for the amazing work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:19.964 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.508$  he's done over the decades and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00{:}35{:}22.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}24.688$  amazing patterns that he recognized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00{:}35{:}24.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.232$  And one of those patterns was

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

00:35:26.232 --> 00:35:27.003 the Carney complex,

00:35:27.010 --> 00:35:29.585 this peculiar association of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:29.585 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.156$  heart tumors. Endocrinopathies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

00:35:31.156 --> 00:35:33.300 Things like Cushing syndromes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:33.300 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.080$  thyroid problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.420$  things of that nature and skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.500$  Lentigo noses on the skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.702$  Lentigo noses are unusual in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:40.702 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.575$  the freckling occurs about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:42.575 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.260$  Vermilion border of the lips,

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:44.260 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.155$  where the lips interface with

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:46.155 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.520$  the rest of the the face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

00:35:48.520 --> 00:35:50.634 That's an unusual place to have freckling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.635$  even if you're a a freckled person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.604$  But be freckled person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:53.604 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.363$  And so if you see freckling of

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:55.363 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.947$  the mucus membranes are about the

 $00:35:56.947 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.220$  Vermilion border of the lips,

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:35:58.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.155$  a syndromic context should should

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:36:00.155 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.316$  come to mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

00:36:01.320 --> 00:36:03.030 or should prompt you to at

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:36:03.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.885$  least consider that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:36:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.092$  Carney complex is has now been

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:36:06.092 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.072$  established to be the result

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:36:08.072 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.262$  of underlying mutations in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

00:36:10.262 --> 00:36:12.410 1A regulatory subunit of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:36:12.410 \dashrightarrow 00:36:14.573$  protein kinase A or PRK R1A gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00{:}36{:}14.573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}16.751$  And that has played an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:36:16.751 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.919$  role diagnostically in us being able

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00{:}36{:}18.919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20.953$  to separate out myxomas that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00{:}36{:}20.953 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.945$  associated with Carney complex from

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:36:22.945 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.930$  those that are indeed nonsyndromic.

 $00:36:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.673$  It's important to do so because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

 $00:36:26.673 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.060$  recurrence rate is obviously higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.765932376666667

00:36:28.060 --> 00:36:29.824 for people who carry the syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:36:29.830 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.860$  but there's also familial implications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:36:31.860 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.394$  So first degree relatives need to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

00:36:34.394 --> 00:36:36.272 screened for underlying Carney complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:36:36.272 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.444$  when an index case is identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:36:38.450 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.193$  Another important clue to the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00{:}36{:}40.193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41.988$  you might be dealing with the Carney

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:36:41.988 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.870$  complex is a non left atrial myxoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:36:43.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.760$  While let Carney complex myxomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:36:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.650$  are most commonly left atrial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:36:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.330$  if you have a non left atrial myxoma it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:36:50.396 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.267$  far more likely to be in a syndromic context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

00:36:53.270 --> 00:36:55.078 So if you see a myxoma coming from

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:36:55.078 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.548$  anywhere other than the left atrium,

 $00:36:56.550 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.360$  you should definitely look into

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00{:}36{:}58.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00.170$  the patient's history and consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

00:37:00.225 --> 00:37:01.870 a diagnosis of Carney complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:37:01.870 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.102$  Now how can we as pathologists render a

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:37:04.102 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.072$  diagnosis of Carney complex or suggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

00:37:06.072 --> 00:37:08.430 that tactfully other than saying look more?

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

00:37:08.430 --> 00:37:09.182 Carefully at your patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00{:}37{:}09.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}10.310$  look at the freckling and those

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:37:10.351 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.840$  type of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:37:10.840 \dashrightarrow 00:37:12.982$  Well an immunohistochemical stain has come

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:37:12.982 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.860$  to the fore over the last several years

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:37:15.860 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.692$  that really helps carry the way there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00{:}37{:}18.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}22.280$  So looking for protein expression of PR KR1A,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:37:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.980$  no patient with Carney complex will

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:37:24.980 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.550$  exhibit retained expression of PR KR1A.

 $00:37:27.550 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.755$  They all have a lesional cell loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

00:37:29.760 --> 00:37:31.700 Fortunately because these things

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:37:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.125$  are clonal obviously and result

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

00:37:34.125 --> 00:37:36.373 from biallelic PR KR1A loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:37:36.373 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.479$  you get nice background inflammation that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00{:}37{:}38.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}40.616$  Stains with the PRK R1A protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00{:}37{:}40.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}43.260$  but the neoplastic cells themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:37:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.372$  will be absent expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00{:}37{:}45.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47.308$  PR KR1A loss can be seen by allelic

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

00:37:47.308 --> 00:37:49.329 loss can be seen in sporadic myxoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00{:}37{:}49.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}52.039$  So it's not definitive for a diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00{:}37{:}52.039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}54.414$  of Carney complex but you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

00:37:54.414 --> 00:37:56.694 essentially exclude it if you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00{:}37{:}56.694 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}37{:}58.192$  positive PR KR1A standard staining,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

00:37:58.192 --> 00:37:59.860 you don't have to worry about

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:37:59.912 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.697$  Carney complex in those individuals.

 $00:38:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.316$  So that was another update to these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:38:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.421$  Another tumor that was updated was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:38:08.421 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.280$  cardiac undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:38:10.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.905$  This is the most common cardiac sarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:38:12.905 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.660$  that we see arising in the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

00:38:15.660 --> 00:38:18.012 They tend to arise in the left atrium

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:38:18.012 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.527$  and are referred to in some literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00{:}38{:}20.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22.850$  as in timal sarcomas of the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:38:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.635$  The term intimal sarcoma itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00{:}38{:}25.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28.430$  was really basically put out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:38:28.430 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.530$  because of the relationship these

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:38:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.950$  have with pulmonary artery in table

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:38:32.950 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.745$  sarcomas in that they both are shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00{:}38{:}35.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}37.730$  to exhibit MDM 2 amplification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:38:37.730 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.614$  Now of course that is not

 $00:38:39.614 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.870$  a lineage specific marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:38:40.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.670 \text{ MDM 2}$  amplification is seen in a host

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00{:}38{:}43.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45.769$  of neoplasms aside from INTIMAL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:38:45.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.986$  Sarcomas and these undifferentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:38:46.986 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.061$  tumors can be seen in liposarcomas and

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:38:49.061 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.897$  in a whole variety of other things as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

00:38:50.900 --> 00:38:53.154 So being that it's not lineage specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:38:53.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.338$  The Who recognized that and basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00{:}38{:}55.338 \to 00{:}38{:}57.878$  lumped all of these into undifferentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:38:57.878 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.428$  pleomorphic tumors rather than calling

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00{:}39{:}00.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}02.660$  in timal sarcomas of the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:39:02.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.893$  Also important to note that the heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:39:04.893 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.419$  technically doesn't have an intima.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:39:06.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.850$  It has an endocardium which while

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:39:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.068$  contiguous with the vascular intima

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

00:39:11.068 --> 00:39:12.637 is biologically distinct.

 $00:39:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.100$  The survival of this tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:39:14.100 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.207$  regardless of what you call it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878 00:39:16.210 --> 00:39:17.680 4. NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

00:39:17.680 --> 00:39:18.540 Cardiac angiosarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:39:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.980$  as these are also persist in The Who,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

00:39:21.980 --> 00:39:24.028 they haven't seen much in the way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:39:24.028 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.546$  updates other than basically there

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:39:25.546 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.454$  hasn't been many updates with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

00:39:27.460 --> 00:39:28.700 Recurrent molecular genetic findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.724371878

 $00:39:28.700 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.940$  had not been seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:39:29.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.695$  They remained the most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:39:31.695 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.450$  cardiac sarcoma that exhibits some

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00{:}39{:}33.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}35.488$  specific lineage of differentiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:39:35.490 \dashrightarrow 00:39:37.566$  specifically that of endothelium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:39:37.566 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.680$  It consists of malignant endothelial cells.

 $00:39:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.282$  Obviously it takes on all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:39:42.282 \dashrightarrow 00:39:43.999$  morphologies that we can see arising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:39:44.000 --> 00:39:45.760 In extra cardiovascular places,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:39:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.960$  we can see epithelioid angiosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:39:47.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.044$  Spindle cell angiosarcoma as

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:39:50.044 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.128$  the whole diagnostic range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:39:52.130 --> 00:39:53.690 Bright contrast is seen on imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:39:53.690 --> 00:39:55.580 which often helps our imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:39:55.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.470$  colleagues to identify and diagnose

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:39:57.531 --> 00:39:59.505 these prior to tissue being taken.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:39:59.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.766$  They tend to occur in many young men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:40:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.330$  Dramatic presentations can occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00{:}40{:}03.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.670$  One of the more unfortunate cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00{:}40{:}05.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}07.916$  I've seen over the years was on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:40:07.916 --> 00:40:09.882 Christmas Eve 26 year old expected

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00{:}40{:}09.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.647$  father presented with a single

00:40:11.647 --> 00:40:14.115 bad syncopal episode and was found

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:40:14.115 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.165$  to have a hemorrhagic pericardial

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:40:16.239 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.547$  effusion that harbored angiosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286 00:40:18.550 --> 00:40:19.264 Cells in it. NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:40:19.264 --> 00:40:20.930 It was just a awful and devastating

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:40:20.981 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.193$  story because these affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:40:22.193 --> 00:40:23.708 young people in their prime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:40:23.710 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.532$  They're incredibly sad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:40:24.532 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.628$  There's very little that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:40:25.628 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.909$  can be done for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00{:}40{:}26.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.582$  Cardiac transplant is obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00{:}40{:}28.582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.254$  difficult because immunosuppression in

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00{:}40{:}30.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32.484$  the face of an aggressive malignancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:40:32.484 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.159$  is never an optimal solution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:40:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.428$  And radiotherapy is virtually off the

 $00:40:36.428 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.830$  table because of how sensitive the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:40:38.830 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.278$  heart is to external beam radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:40:41.280 --> 00:40:43.674 A number of molecular genetic findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:40:43.674 --> 00:40:45.792 have been described in Angiosarcoma's today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:40:45.792 --> 00:40:47.619 but important as I mentioned up top,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:40:47.620 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.574$  none are recurrent and none are diagnostic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:40:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.912$  None have really been shown to

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:40:51.912 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.704$  have much in the way of prognostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:40:53.704 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.124$  significance other than the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:40:55.124 --> 00:40:56.805 that some trisomies have shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00{:}40{:}56.805 --> 00{:}40{:}57.870 \ \mathrm{some \ increased \ survival},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:40:57.870 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.830$  but we're talking on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:40:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.598$  order of weeks there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:40:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.285$  which aren't clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:41:02.285 --> 00:41:04.970 a significant finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:04.970 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.462$  Conduction system hamartoma represents

 $00:41:06.462 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.240$  another update that The Who has provided.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.668$  This is an update to the condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:10.668 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.045$  that has been formally referred

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:12.045 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.489$  to as histiocytic cardiomyopathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:13.490 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.550$  These are hamartomatous proliferations of

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:41:15.550 --> 00:41:18.136 Purkinje cells or the cells conduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:18.136 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.426$  or the hearts conduction apparatus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00{:}41{:}20.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22.050$  The proliferation of those Purkinje

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:22.050 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.035$  cells which are located in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00{:}41{:}24.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}25.600$  endocardium can make the heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:25.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.535$  have a hyper trabeculated or non

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:27.535 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.787$  compacted type of appearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.482$  They can grow all through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:41:30.482 --> 00:41:32.453 heart muscle and even up and onto

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:32.453 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.763$  the surface of the valves.

 $00:41:33.770 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.765$  These are recognized to be associated with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286 00:41:35.770 --> 00:41:36.338 NU FB. NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:36.338 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.326$  11 mutations and the name was changed

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:38.326 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.026$  from CARDIOMYOPATHIES because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:41:40.026 --> 00:41:42.276 aren't in fact a cardiomyopathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.665$  but rather a clonal proliferation

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:44.665 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.573$  of these Purkinje cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.680$  Most individuals with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.780$  are present in childhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:41:50.780 --> 00:41:51.068 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00{:}41{:}51.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}53.084$  about a fifth of them present with

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:41:53.084 --> 00:41:54.399 sudden infant Death syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.540$  which again highlights the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:56.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.040$  for investigative work and autopsy work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:41:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.176$  In looking at these cases of

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:42:01.176 --> 00:42:02.600 sudden infant death syndrome,

00:42:02.600 --> 00:42:06.426 identifying germline FDU FB11 mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:42:06.426 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.036$  is obviously a critical thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:42:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.272$  Another new and new entity that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:42:11.272 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.198$  was described and entered into

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:42:13.198 --> 00:42:15.430 The Who as the so-called hamartoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:42:15.430 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.200$  of mature cardiac myocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:42:17.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.786$  These are somewhat curious lesions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:42:19.786 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.059$  present as somewhat poorly circumscribed

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00{:}42{:}22.059 \to 00{:}42{:}24.915$  yellow tan lesions in the myocardium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:42:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.400$  They can make the myocardium

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:42:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.880$  look asymmetrically thick and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00:42:27.935 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.801$  they're often the lesion is often

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

 $00{:}42{:}29.801 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}31.045$  confused with other conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:42:31.095 --> 00:42:32.699 that cause asymmetric thickening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836747295714286

00:42:32.700 --> 00:42:35.285 like genetic heart muscle disease

00:42:35.285 --> 00:42:36.836 or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}42{:}36.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}39.184$  The lesions themselves consist of a kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:42:39.190 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.994$  Unusual collection of cardiac

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:42:40.994 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.249$  myocytes that are absolutely huge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:42:43.250 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.360$  How huge you might ask?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:42:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.890$  Well, these were taken at

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:42:45.890 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.808$  the same magnification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:42:46.810 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.476$  One on the bottom is the normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:42:48.476 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.443$  myocardium that's adjacent to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:42:49.443 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.709$  this lesion and the heart muscle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:42:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.420$  Myocytes that are taken within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:42:52.420 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.184$  lesion are shown above and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:42:54.184 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.105$  see that they can be 10 to 15 times

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:42:56.105 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.719$  the size of normal cardiac myosin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

00:42:57.720 --> 00:42:59.128 It's like elephant myocardium

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

00:42:59.128 --> 00:43:00.888 occurring in the heart muscle.

 $00:43:00.890 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.235$  It is not electrically contiguous

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:43:02.235 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.930$  with the rest of the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}43{:}03.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}06.016$  So these do appear to be somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

00:43:06.016 --> 00:43:08.371 tumoral in nature and can obstruct and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:43:08.371 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.471$  cause all kinds of nasty complications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:43:10.480 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.560$  There are a few other hammer

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

00:43:11.560 --> 00:43:12.680 Tomas that we're also mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:43:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.868$  the so-called lipomatous hamartoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:43:13.868 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.056$  at the AV valves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}43{:}15.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}16.962$  fat fatty deposits or like Puma

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:43:16.962 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.919$  like lesions in the AV valves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:43:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.738$  And then the so-called mesenchymal hammer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:43:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.470$  cardiac hammer Toma which consists

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}43{:}22.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24.200$  of an amalgamation of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:43:24.254 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.879$  tissues occurring in the heart,

00:43:25.880 --> 00:43:28.540 namely fat, collagen, blood vessels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}43{:}28.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}32.440$  nerves and bundles of smooth muscle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:43:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.464$  Does that sound familiar?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875 00:43:33.464 --> 00:43:33.720 Well, NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:43:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.264$  those are the things we saw at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:43:35.264 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.157$  top in our index case or our vignette.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:43:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.750$  So this case, act two was indeed a case of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}43{:}40.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}44.058$ Amazonka, Amal. Cardiac hamartoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}43{:}44.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47.007$  All right, we've reached the third act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

00:43:47.010 --> 00:43:47.658 Cardiac amyloidosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

00:43:47.658 --> 00:43:49.926 Our vignette to lead us into this

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:43:49.926 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.265$  final topic is a 73 year old woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:43:52.265 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.561$  with symptomatic a ortic valve

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:43:53.561 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.531$  stenosis and chronic A-fib was

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:43:55.531 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.107$  undergoing a ortic valve replacement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}43{:}57.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58.898$  At the same time she underwent

 $00:43:58.898 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.593$  amputation of her left atrial

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}44{:}00.593 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}44{:}02.788$  appendage to prevent thrombosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:02.788 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.544$  for appropriate bypass cannulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:04.550 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.506$  Underneath the microscope we see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:06.506 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.810$  pretty normal appearing myocardium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:07.810 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.740$  but the interstitium does seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.050$  to be a little bit expanded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

00:44:10.050 --> 00:44:11.390 There's some acellular substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.730$  there in the interstitium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

00:44:12.730 --> 00:44:14.728 It's a little bit pale looking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:14.730 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.807$  could be collagen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:15.807 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.961$  could be something else special stain

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}44{:}17.961 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}19.926$  sulfated lesion Blues tends to stain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:19.930 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.990$  The Mucopolysaccharide matrix of amyloid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.855$  a seafoam green color certainly

 $00:44:23.855 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.720$  indicates that we might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}44{:}25.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27.917$  dealing with cardiac amyloidosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.472$  What is cardiac amyloidosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875 00:44:29.472 --> 00:44:29.860 Well, NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:29.860 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.266$  the term amylum amyloid itself comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

00:44:32.266 --> 00:44:34.900 from the Latin meaning starch amylum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:34.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.350$  It consists of a misfolded

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:37.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.330$  extracellular protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:38.330 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.476$  When I say that it's misfolded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.115$  it's depositing as anti parallel

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}44{:}41.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}43.196$  beta pleated sheets in the cardiac

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:43.196 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.724$  interstitium in the extracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

00:44:44.724 --> 00:44:46.252 space of any tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:46.260 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.870$  as it were ultra structurally

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:47.870 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.766$  those fibrils are somewhere on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:49.766 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.376$  order of 7 1/2 to 10 nanometers

00:44:51.376 --> 00:44:53.079 in size and are non branching,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}44{:}53.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}54.484$  helping to separate them

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:54.484 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.537$  from collagen fibers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

00:44:55.540 --> 00:44:55.856 Importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}44{:}55.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}57.436$  because of that secondary structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:57.436 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.080$  of the beta pleated sheets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:44:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.255$  they can bind the intercalating

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:45:01.255 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.560$  dye Congo red,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:45:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.963$  and when they do so they can buy refrige.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:45:04.970 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.728$  With an apple green birefringence platter

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00:45:06.728 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.807$  and as we'll see in a few moments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}45{:}08.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}10.390$  Likewise cross beta diffraction

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}45{:}10.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}12.760$  can be seen on X-ray diffraction

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}45{:}12.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}14.790$  spectroscopy and this is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

00:45:14.790 --> 00:45:16.010 a protein specific disease.

00:45:16.010 --> 00:45:19.290 In fact us humans make 31 proteins that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

 $00{:}45{:}19.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.387$  are recognized to have a myogenic potential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82103677875

00:45:22.390 --> 00:45:24.970 All you need for a protein to have a myogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:45:25.031 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.355$  potential is have that protein have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:45:27.355 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.909$  propensity to form these beta pleated sheets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:45:29.910 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.758$  So that can happen because of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

00:45:31.758 --> 00:45:33.189 intrinsic property of the protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:45:33.190 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.180$  the proteins amino acid constituency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:45:35.180 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.028$  Naturally makes it want to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:45:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.974$  And in which case we can see the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:45:38.974 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.743$  manifest with aging or when the proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:45:40.743 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.539$  in high concentrations it can be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:45:42.539 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.021$  result of an underlying genetic mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:45:44.021 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.200$  that changes the amino acid structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:45:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.130$  and makes it more amyloidogenic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:45:48.130 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.314$  And then of course we can have proteolytic

 $00:45:50.314 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.989$  remodeling of the precursor protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:45:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.994$  So a post transcriptional process that

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:45:53.994 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.508$  makes the protein more likely to deposit

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:45:56.508 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.740$  in these beta pleated sheet configurations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

00:45:58.740 --> 00:46:01.108 Of the 31 types we recognized in humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:46:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.976$  13 of them have been described

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:46:02.976 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.660$  as occurring in the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00{:}46{:}04.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07.936$  Despite that these top 2A TR&L

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00{:}46{:}07.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09.751$  type transthyretin and light chain

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:46:09.751 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.579$  amyloid take the lion share.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:46:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.602$  98% of cardiac amyloid is accounted

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:46:13.602 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.929$  for by these two protein types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00{:}46{:}15.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}17.562$  It was long thought that light

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:46:17.562 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.196$  chain amyloid was the most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:46:19.196 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.904$  type that we see in the heart.

 $00:46:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.290$  But we now recognize because of better

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:46:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.161$  imaging modalities that assess for

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:46:25.161 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.136$  transthyretin amyloidosis more awareness of

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

00:46:27.136 --> 00:46:29.590 the condition and some detailed studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:46:29.590 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.613$  some of which we've been working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

00:46:31.613 --> 00:46:33.976 at Mayo of older non selected autopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:46:33.976 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.542$  material that a TTR amyloid is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:46:36.542 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.654$  actually far more common than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00{:}46{:}38.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}41.030$  light chain variety and it increases as

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

00:46:41.030 --> 00:46:43.544 you get older in fact an individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:46:43.544 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.250$  over 90 nearly or little more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:46:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.466$  And 1/3 of individuals will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:46:49.466 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.538$  cardiac amyloidosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:46:50.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.544$  They'll have TTR amyloid within their heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:46:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.722$  This obviously the the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00{:}46{:}56.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}59.090$  dictum that is been held over the

 $00:46:59.090 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.010$  years is that this was exclusively

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:01.079 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.287$  a disease among men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

00:47:02.290 --> 00:47:03.815 largely because our our screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

00:47:03.815 --> 00:47:05.694 bias has been toward the symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:05.694 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.759$  men exhibit with this type of heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:07.759 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.707$  disease and has ignored the symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

00:47:09.707 --> 00:47:11.663 women present with with this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:11.670 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.064$  When you carefully look for at

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:14.064 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.660$  the myocardium 4 amyloidosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:15.660 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.378$  what you find is that there actually is not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

00:47:18.380 --> 00:47:20.964 This is not exclusively a disease of men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.970$  but women too are affected by this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.320$  Certainly there is a male.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:25.320 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.078$  That election for the disease usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

00:47:27.078 --> 00:47:29.171 2 to one when you look between

 $00:47:29.171 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.606$  70 and 90 for instance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:30.610 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.710$  But women do still get this

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

00:47:32.710 --> 00:47:34.110 disease and not insignificantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:34.171 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.067$  given how common the disease is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:36.070 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.018$  like we mentioned before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:38.018 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.966$  The properties of amyloid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:39.970 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.657$  as I discussed with the residents at

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

00:47:41.657 --> 00:47:42.950 our teaching conference this morning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:42.950 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.110$  do allow you to to at least get

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

00:47:45.110 --> 00:47:47.341 hints at it by light microscopy

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

00:47:47.341 --> 00:47:49.366 before you do special staining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:49.370 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.614$  Differentiating it from collagen

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:50.614 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.547$  can be difficult,

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:51.550 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.434$  but some of the differentiating features

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:47:53.434 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.534$  are that collagen tends to be more

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00{:}47{:}55.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57.106$  fibrillar and amyloid tends to crack.

 $00:47:57.110 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.973$  It creates linear cracks all through that

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

00:47:59.973 --> 00:48:03.960 homogeneous or glassy extracellular protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:48:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.710$  Course special staining with Congo

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:48:05.710 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.460$  red intercalates that beta pleated

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:48:07.515 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.910$  sheet structure stains the tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00:48:08.910 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.151$  red and then when you put it between

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

00:48:11.151 --> 00:48:12.735 cross polarized light it will tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

00:48:12.735 --> 00:48:14.452 to manifest with a birefringent

NOTE Confidence: 0.764466759583334

 $00{:}48{:}14.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}16.630$  pattern that is often apple green

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

00:48:16.695 --> 00:48:18.220 or yellow green in colour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00{:}48{:}18.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}20.356$  Other stains can be employed here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:48:20.360 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.758$  Congo red can be a technically

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00{:}48{:}21.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}23.257$  challenging stand to do things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00{:}48{:}23.257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}24.811$ methyl Violet and sulfated El Shablu

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:48:24.811 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.294$  which we've already talked about can

 $00:48:26.294 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.026$  be very helpful in the heart because

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:48:28.026 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.518$  the amyloid protein stands out in such

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:48:30.518 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.365$  stark differential to the surrounding

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:48:32.365 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.150$  myocardium these stains are less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

00:48:34.150 --> 00:48:36.542 Hopeful in the kidney and the lung where

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:48:36.542 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.410$  there are the mucopolysaccharide that

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:48:38.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.217$  hangs around with amyloid is present as

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

00:48:41.283 --> 00:48:43.435 well normally and so you can get some

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:48:43.435 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.070$  loss of your specificity in those organs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:48:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.744$  None of the histologic patterns that

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:48:48.744 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.732$  we see with amyloid are sufficient to

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:48:50.732 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.650$  type them by way of light microscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:48:52.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.522$  Lots of different typing strategies have

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:48:54.522 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.583$  been employed over the years to figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:48:56.583 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.850$  out what protein is causal in these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:48:58.850 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.482$  Things like looking at the patient

 $00{:}49{:}00.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}02.267$  serum to get an understanding of

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00{:}49{:}02.267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}04.514$  whether or not they have free light

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:04.514 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.436$  chains has been employed, for instance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:06.436 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.851$  These are indirect measures of

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:07.851 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.429$  figuring out what the amyloid is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.209$  But because of the commonality of monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

00:49:12.209 --> 00:49:14.190 gammopathy of undetermined significance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:14.190 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.490$  these do not always correlate

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:15.490 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.170$  with the amyloid type that we see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00{:}49{:}17.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19.306$  Lots of people out there who are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:19.306 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.559$  their 90s who have a gammopathy that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.492$  seemingly completely idiosyncratic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00{:}49{:}24.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}28.385$  unrelated to the fact that they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:28.385 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.325$  potentially TTR amyloid underneath.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:30.330 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.850$  Immunostains have long been used.

00:49:32.850 --> 00:49:34.746 Anybody who's tried to do immunostains

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:34.746 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.646$  to type amyloid can attest to

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:36.646 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.086$  the difficulty in doing so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

00:49:38.090 --> 00:49:40.390 Obviously on the pro side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:40.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.025$  we're all familiar with Immunostains

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

00:49:42.025 --> 00:49:43.333 from a diagnostic standpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:43.333 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.747$  and as a rapid turnaround,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:44.750 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.458$  we all have the equipment to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00{:}49{:}46.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}48.209$  immunostains and most of our clinical labs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

00:49:48.210 --> 00:49:49.284 The problem is that if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00{:}49{:}49.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}50.550$  going to get really type specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.278$  you kind of need large antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:52.278 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.810$  panels for those rare cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646 00:49:53.810 --> 00:49:54.028 high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:54.028 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.118$  high background staining which causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

00:49:55.118 --> 00:49:56.769 you to look at a bunch of different

 $00:49:56.769 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.910$  stains and kind of say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00{:}49{:}57.910 \to 00{:}49{:}59.670$  I think this one might be staining more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:49:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.720$  not really sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

00:50:00.720 --> 00:50:01.770 It's it's tough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00{:}50{:}01.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}03.430$  and often times there's kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:50:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.578$  a wigi board involved in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:50:05.578 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.270$  interpretation of these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:50:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.230$  So lots of papers out there that

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:50:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.228$  attest to how difficult this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:50:11.230 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.196$  Enter mass spectrometry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

00:50:12.196 --> 00:50:14.450 This was a technology that was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:50:14.508 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.848$  pioneered by Mayo about a decade and 1/2 ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00{:}50{:}16.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19.050$  Now it has the strength of being reliable

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:50:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.428$  and it's a direct measure of the protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:50:21.430 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.410$  And so we can micro dissect out the tissue,

 $00:50:23.410 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.042$  send it through the mass spectrometer

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:50:25.042 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.958$  and get a very detailed report

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

00:50:26.958 --> 00:50:28.873 on the protein constituency of

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:50:28.873 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.390$  those laser microdissected areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:50:30.390 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.622$  The problems, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:50:31.622 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.470$  is that it has limited availability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:50:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.289$  Really we're the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00:50:34.289 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.927$  there's only two or three labs

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00{:}50{:}35.927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}37.599$  in the country that do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

00:50:37.600 --> 00:50:39.634 And it has a higher cost obviously than any

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00{:}50{:}39.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}41.566$  most chemistry or those types of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

00:50:41.570 --> 00:50:43.746 The cost has been bending down now it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

00:50:43.746 --> 00:50:46.070 now well under \$1000 in the turn around

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

 $00{:}50{:}46.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}48.208$  time is usually around a week or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792152646

00:50:48.210 --> 00:50:50.400 The way it's done is you look for areas of

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:50:50.458 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.810$  Congo red tissue on a plastic embedded slide,

00:50:52.810 --> 00:50:54.628 you micro dissector color in where

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:50:54.628 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.490$  all the Congo red tissue is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:50:56.490 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.720$  You tell the computer to fire a laser at it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:50:58.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.920$  the laser gets fired,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:50:59.920 --> 00:51:02.090 the tissue that's Congo red positive melts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:02.090 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.706$  gets dropped into a dye that dies then

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:04.706 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.045$  proteolytically digested putting an

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00{:}51{:}06.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07.917$  spectrometer and you get a proteomic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.152$  Spectrum, that's compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:09.152 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.384$  the Swiss Pro database,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:10.390 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.358$  which tells you the proteins in

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:12.358 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.130$  highest abundance in that sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00{:}51{:}14.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}15.715$  one that's amyloidogenic that's in

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:15.715 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.740$  highest abundance is the causal protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:51:17.740 --> 00:51:19.396 It's pretty simple in that regard.

 $00:51:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.774$  There's there is an art of

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:20.774 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.690$  course to the interpretation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:51:21.690 --> 00:51:24.620 but it's a pretty straightforward

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:24.620 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.550$  from a conceptual standpoint assay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:27.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.714$  On the horizon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:51:28.714 --> 00:51:30.654 digital pathology offers some new

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:30.654 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.708$  avenues for us to explore here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:32.710 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.913$  Take for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:51:33.913 --> 00:51:35.918 this technology of discrete frequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:35.918 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.254$  infrared spectroscopy that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00{:}51{:}37.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}39.150$  been playing with a lot as of late.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.422$  This is a digital image analysis of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:51:42.422 --> 00:51:44.918 unstained section of tissue that we had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.385$  that we then assess using this discrete

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:51:48.385 --> 00:51:49.870 frequency infrared spectroscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00{:}51{:}49.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}51.202$  The spectral shifts that are seen

 $00:51:51.202 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.620$  in different areas of the tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:52.620 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.072$  allow the computer to very accurately

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:54.072 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.492$  predict the type of tissue that

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:51:55.492 --> 00:51:56.824 it's looking at in different areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80442804944444400:51:56.830 --> 00:51:57.289 And in fact,

 $00{:}51{:}57.289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}58.360$  we can tell the computer to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:58.397 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.109$  all kinds of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:51:59.110 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.742$  That information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:51:59.742 --> 00:52:01.954 take for instance this deep faked HD

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:01.954 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.099$  that the computer has generated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:04.099 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.869$  relation to the spectral fingerprint

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:05.924 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.066$  that it got from that unstained section.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00{:}52{:}08.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}09.890$  We could do the same thing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:09.890 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.932$  immunohistochemical markers and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:10.932 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.660$  trying to do the same thing with amyloid,

 $00:52:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.282$  for instance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00{:}52{:}13.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}15.148$  Take for instance those areas in

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:15.148 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.216$  in the boxes there that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:17.216 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.596$  have amyloid in them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.427$  We've shown now that those areas do

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:20.427 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.487$  have a different spectral property than

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:22.487 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.527$  collagen and other extracellular proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:52:24.530 --> 00:52:26.672 And so with a very high degree of accuracy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:52:26.680 --> 00:52:28.244 we can distinguish amyloid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:28.244 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.590$  From other types of tissue that

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00{:}52{:}30.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}33.080$  are in the heart and make a

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.572$  diagnosis of amyloidosis based

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:52:34.572 --> 00:52:36.507 off of those spectral shifts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00.52:36.510 \longrightarrow 00.52:37.586$  More to the point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:37.586 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.540$  we think that the technology has the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.250$  potential to be so specific that

 $00:52:41.250 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.076$  can even speciate the protein that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:52:43.076 --> 00:52:44.912 present in the tissue there between

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:44.912 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.604$  the different types of amyloidogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:46.604 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.190$  proteins and may afford us a new Ave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:49.190 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.350$  beyond mass spectrometry by which

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00.52.51.350 \longrightarrow 00.52.53.078$  we can type amyloid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:52:53.080 --> 00:52:54.766 Amyloid deposits in the tissue and

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00{:}52{:}54.766 \longrightarrow 00{:}52{:}56.503$  lots of different ways can deposit

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:52:56.503 --> 00:52:58.189 in big clumps and nodular aggregates

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:52:58.189 --> 00:52:59.957 like you see there in between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:52:59.957 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.396$  cells where it kind of strangles

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:53:01.396 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.948$  the myocytes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00{:}53{:}01.948 \to 00{:}53{:}03.880$  you can understand why it has the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:53:03.932 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.556$  effect that it does on hard on

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:53:05.556 --> 00:53:07.159 heart filling and then in a vascular

 $00:53:07.159 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.002$  sense as well it can fill up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00{:}53{:}09.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}10.688$  blood vessels and cause a schematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:53:10.688 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.650$  microvascular disease as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:53:11.650 --> 00:53:13.234 The problem is all different types

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:53:13.234 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.189$  of amyloid can have all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:53:15.189 --> 00:53:16.887 patterns and so again that histologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:53:16.887 --> 00:53:18.697 pattern is just not enough for us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

00:53:18.697 --> 00:53:21.134 usually get to a type specific diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:53:21.134 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.938$  There is however one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00:53:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.845$  Notable exception and that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804428049444444

 $00{:}53{:}24.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}26.750$  type of amyloid that results

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:53:26.813 --> 00:53:28.749 from atrial natriuretic factor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:53:28.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.710$  the protein that the atrial

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:53:30.710 --> 00:53:31.886 myocytes naturally produce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:53:31.890 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.316$  It produces an amyloid that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:53:35.316 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.029$  morphologically distinct from

00:53:37.030 --> 00:53:38.287 run-of-the-mill TRL Amala.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:53:38.287 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.382$  Take for instance this example

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:53:40.382 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.008$  where we had two different amyloids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:53:43.010 --> 00:53:44.970 He's an A& amp; F type amyloid on the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:53:44.970 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.435$  in the same part and you can start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:53:47.435 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.320$  appreciate some of the morphologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:53:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.826$  dissimilarities between them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00{:}53{:}50.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}52.588$  The NF type amyloid is tends

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:53:52.588 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.760$  to be darker staining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:53:53.760 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.410$  Is to be more force,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:53:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.360$  a little bit more cordlike and

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00{:}53{:}57.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}59.489$  fibrillar than the A TTR amyloid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00{:}53{:}59.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}01.110$  So NF type amyloid fortunately

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.059$  is something that we think we

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:54:03.059 --> 00:54:05.195 can pick up on just by its light

 $00:54:05.195 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.150$  microscopic appearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00{:}54{:}06.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}08.033$  And that's good because it turns out

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:54:08.033 --> 00:54:09.976 that the finding of ANF type amyloid

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:09.976 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.590$  does not come with the prognostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:11.649 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.889$  implications that other types of amyloid do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:54:13.890 --> 00:54:15.366 While it's more common in women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:15.370 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.270$  more common with older age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.854$  and more common in the setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:18.854 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.646$  of atrial fibrillation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:19.650 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.960$  it does not portend any difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572 00:54:21.960 --> 00:54:22.730 in survival, NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:22.730 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.846$  any degree of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:54:23.846 --> 00:54:26.160 Recurrence in the form of Afib recurrence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.666$  any form of heart failure or

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:27.666 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.419$  anything like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:28.420 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.270$  So if we see a NF type amyloid in the heart,

 $00:54:31.270 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.590$  we can basically write that

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.120$  off and not worry about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:54:34.120 --> 00:54:36.090 And so not having the mass spec all of those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:36.090 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.637$  given how common this is seen in

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:54:37.637 --> 00:54:39.219 about half of atrial appendages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:39.220 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.702$  it's good that there's a feature

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:40.702 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.412$  that allows us to to pick that

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:42.412 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.966$  up and basically skirt it off to

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00{:}54{:}44.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}45.360$  the side without incurring great

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:54:45.360 --> 00:54:47.132 costs on all of these cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:54:47.132 --> 00:54:49.724 So back to our index case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:49.730 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.900$  this was the case again

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00{:}54{:}50.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}51.836$  from the atrial appendage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:51.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.184$  I hope you'll note now that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:54:53.184 --> 00:54:54.939 has a bit of that course darkly

 $00:54:54.939 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.067$  staining character to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:54:56.070 --> 00:54:57.390 And this is very indicative

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:54:57.390 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.710$  of a NF type amyloid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:54:58.710 --> 00:55:00.530 So given that morphologic appearance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:55:00.530 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.932$  we can make the diagnosis of isolated

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:55:03.932 --> 00:55:07.038 atrial amyloidosis of the A and appetite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:55:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.720$  So we've covered a lot of ground,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:55:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.220$  a lot of disparate ground,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:55:10.220 --> 00:55:11.816 lots of different topics this morning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:55:11.820 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.656$  all kind of with our common

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:55:13.656 --> 00:55:14.880 thread around heart disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:55:14.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.118$  I guess more generically in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:55:17.118 --> 00:55:19.491 Valentine's Day theme, as it were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:55:19.491 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.576$  We talked about the cardiovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

00:55:21.576 --> 00:55:23.090 implications of COVID-19,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:55:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.662$  specifically from infection and

 $00:55:24.662 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.020$  vaccination and why the case for

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00{:}55{:}27.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}28.961$  vaccination can clearly be made

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:55:28.961 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.836$  by the pathologic and imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:55:30.898 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.250$  data that's out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:55:32.250 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.362$  The new updates to the classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:55:34.362 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.385$  system for cardiac tumors as described

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:55:36.385 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.617$  by The Who and then some newer trends

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00{:}55{:}38.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}40.688$  in the diagnosis of cardiac amyloid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:55:40.690 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.049$  I thank you all for this wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:55:43.049 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.924$  invitation and your very kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00{:}55{:}44.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}46.508$  attention during this topic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:55:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.280$  which I recognize maybe foreign to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83216834142857200:55:48.280 --> 00:55:49.165 many of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832168341428572

 $00:55:49.170 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.280$  So thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890494409375

00:55:54.480 --> 00:55:56.920 I would be remiss if I didn't also

 $00:55:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.418$  mention back at home my team of wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.890494409375

 $00:55:59.420 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.924$  cardiovascular pathologists that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.890494409375

 $00:56:00.924 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.555$  work with kind of a subdivision of

NOTE Confidence: 0.890494409375

 $00:56:03.555 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.457$  a thoracic pathology at Mayo Clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890494409375

 $00:56:05.460 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.764$  These five individuals all

NOTE Confidence: 0.890494409375

 $00:56:06.764 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.394$  do cardiac pathology with me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890494409375

00:56:08.400 --> 00:56:10.100 doctor Christine Aubrey, Andrew Lehman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890494409375

 $00:56:10.100 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.680$  Melanie Boys and in Chino.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890494409375

 $00:56:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.544$  And without them none of what I talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.890494409375

 $00:56:13.544 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.137$  about today would even be possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890494409375

 $00{:}56{:}15.140 \to 00{:}56{:}18.038$  So they are an amazing team of not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.890494409375

00:56:18.038 --> 00:56:19.920 colleagues, but very, very close friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890494409375

 $00:56:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.648$  And I love each and every one of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890494409375

 $00:56:21.650 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.970$  They all have my hearts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890494409375

 $00:56:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.828$  As it were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890494409375

 $00:56:23.828 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.686$  So thank you.

 $00:56:32.410 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.430$  I have a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746804111666667

 $00:56:33.430 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.937$  Can you just stand for the BRK to

NOTE Confidence: 0.746804111666667

00:56:35.937 --> 00:56:38.079 engage the violent loss in intra?

NOTE Confidence: 0.746804111666667

 $00:56:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.941$  You show us a fruitful picture, correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746804111666667

 $00:56:39.941 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.398$  I have tried twice in the press

NOTE Confidence: 0.746804111666667

 $00:56:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.080$  and it's very hard to intervene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746804111666667

 $00:56:44.080 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.753$  So how can you tell us more

NOTE Confidence: 0.746804111666667

 $00:56:45.753 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.770$  about your experience with

NOTE Confidence: 0.746804111666667

 $00{:}56{:}46.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}48.030$  intracardiac so mad that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.763364018

 $00:56:48.040 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.332$  see. Yeah. The the titration for

NOTE Confidence: 0.763364018

 $00:56:50.332 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.860$  that antibody was challenging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763364018

 $00:56:51.860 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.468$  very challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763364018

 $00{:}56{:}52.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}55.324$  We spent a lot of time on the titration

NOTE Confidence: 0.763364018

 $00:56:55.324 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.196$  and we do recognize that in some organs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763364018

 $00:56:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.238$  the breast is one of them.

 $00:56:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.620$  The skin has been another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763364018

00:57:00.620 --> 00:57:01.448 Challenging place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763364018

 $00{:}57{:}01.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}03.932$  We sometimes have to modify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.763364018

 $00:57:03.932 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.163$  titration characteristics until

NOTE Confidence: 0.763364018

00:57:05.163 --> 00:57:06.888 we get good background standing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763364018

00:57:06.890 --> 00:57:07.952 solid background staining

NOTE Confidence: 0.763364018

 $00:57:07.952 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.368$  that we're comfortable with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763364018

 $00:57:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.232$  So yeah, it it really is about

NOTE Confidence: 0.763364018

 $00{:}57{:}11.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}12.030$  antibody titration there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671979911666667

 $00:57:16.160 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.200$  Joe, I asked questions with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.671979911666667

 $00{:}57{:}18.200 --> 00{:}57{:}20.831$  new schema. Yeah, So what do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.671979911666667

 $00:57:20.831 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.290$  know about how we use don't use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

00:57:25.640 --> 00:57:26.985 Very little, very little has

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00:57:26.985 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.620$  been known or studied about them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00:57:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.110$  It's definitely a topic that

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00:57:30.110 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.600$  needs to be looked into.

00:57:31.600 --> 00:57:32.617 Yeah. It's interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

00:57:32.617 --> 00:57:34.651 A lot of cardiac tumors tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00{:}57{:}34.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}36.719$  to take on a myxoid character.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00:57:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.066$  And the hypothesis behind that has long

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00:57:40.066 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.800$  been that basically cardiac tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00:57:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.280$  more so than most tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

00:57:44.280 --> 00:57:46.790 are subject to constant mechanical

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00:57:46.790 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.596$  and hemodynamic injury, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

00:57:48.596 --> 00:57:49.780 They're basically in washing

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00:57:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.964$  machines all day long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00:57:50.970 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.138$  They're getting battered about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00:57:52.138 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.598$  They're in an aqueous medium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00{:}57{:}53.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}55.095$  They're serum elements that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00:57:55.095 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.236$  transiting in and out of the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00:57:57.236 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.000$  as these things are beaten around.

 $00:57:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:58:00.760$  And so people have attributed

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00{:}58{:}00.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}02.520$  the myxoid nature of cardiac.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00:58:02.520 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.712$  Numbers to that effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00:58:03.712 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.202$  Whether that plays a role,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

00:58:05.210 --> 00:58:06.266 I don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00:58:06.266 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.378$  or whether it's the myxoma cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00:58:08.378 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.248$  elaborating that music on their own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

 $00.58:10.250 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.800$  All good questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

00:58:12.800 --> 00:58:14.092 It's very hard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870632807272727

00:58:14.092 --> 00:58:14.488 very

NOTE Confidence: 0.531615550833333

 $00:58:14.500 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.138$  difficult identify that

NOTE Confidence: 0.531615550833333

00:58:16.138 --> 00:58:17.776 subnormal counter problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7166653325

00:58:18.540 --> 00:58:19.756 Indeed. Yeah. There's nothing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7166653325

 $00:58:19.756 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.972$  nothing like that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7166653325

 $00:58:20.972 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.080$  normally in the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7166653325

 $00:58:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.240$  You're exactly right.

 $00:58:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.850$  It's real challenge. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708245004615385

 $00:58:27.280 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.702$  When you spoke about the changes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.708245004615385

00:58:29.702 --> 00:58:31.958 they saw imaging on probably right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708245004615385

 $00:58:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.714$  So the prevalence that of positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.708245004615385

00:58:34.714 --> 00:58:37.236 cases by imaging and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.708245004615385

00:58:37.236 --> 00:58:39.640 cases of positive myocarditis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.708245004615385

 $00:58:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.660$  they are not the same amount, correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708245004615385

00:58:42.660 --> 00:58:45.487 So any idea on what were they looking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00.58:45.500 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.668$  what are they seeing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:58:46.668 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.836$  That's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00{:}58{:}47.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}49.875$  So imaging diagnosis relating to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:58:49.875 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.910$  myocarditis are largely contingent upon

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00{:}58{:}51.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}54.336$  something called the Lake Louise criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00{:}58{:}54.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}56.770$  which in part related to delayed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:58:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:58:58.633$  We'll get a line enhanced and host of things.

00:58:58.640 --> 00:59:00.715 What they're saying on imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

00:59:00.715 --> 00:59:03.253 is that there's something in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:03.253 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.188$  heart beyond just the normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.686$  Heart muscle that can be edema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:07.690 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.778$  that can be inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:08.778 \longrightarrow 00:59:10.768$  that can be all kinds of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

00:59:10.768 --> 00:59:13.120 things that are in that we don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:59:14.946$  biopsy correlates to all of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

00:59:14.950 --> 00:59:16.880 Those are studies that shockingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.424$  have never been done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.110$  There's a few correlative studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

00:59:20.110 --> 00:59:21.910 They represent really small series,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:21.910 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.416$  usually less than 10 cases where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:24.416 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.169$  correlate imaging findings and biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.486$  But the the take home

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:28.486 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.030$  point there is there.

 $00:59:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:59:31.478$  Could potentially be something

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

00:59:31.478 --> 00:59:32.926 in that interstitium that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:32.926 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.599$  explains the imaging findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.028$  That simply isn't myocarditis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

00:59:36.028 --> 00:59:37.813 It's something else that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:37.813 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.048$  detecting and attributing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

00:59:39.048 --> 00:59:40.692 myocarditis just because of a lack

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

00:59:40.692 --> 00:59:42.386 of knowing what on Earth it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.110$  We really lack those radiologic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:45.110 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.278$  pathologic correlative studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:46.278 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.030$  would allow us to answer that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7106326345

 $00:59:48.030 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.860$  It's something that's desperately needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89726859

 $00:59:52.000 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.788$  Get to work on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842897118888889

 $00{:}59{:}55.100 --> 00{:}59{:}57.200$  I guess the hard part is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.842897118888889

00:59:57.200 --> 00:59:59.476 actually get somebody to be willing

 $00:59:59.476 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.990$  to undergo a biopsy after they,

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

01:00:02.180 --> 01:00:03.158 correct? Correct. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

 $01:00:03.158 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.784$  I mean, the other way that you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

01:00:05.784 --> 01:00:07.771 potentially approach that is by much

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

 $01:00:07.771 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.916$  more rigorous autopsy recruitment

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

 $01:00:08.916 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.524$  of individuals at the end stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

01:00:10.524 --> 01:00:12.114 of life who exhibit most energy

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

 $01:00:12.114 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.614$  findings that you then look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

01:00:13.620 --> 01:00:14.635 That would be another way

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

 $01:00:14.635 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.960$  of doing it because I agree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

01:00:15.960 --> 01:00:17.608 I think it's a hard sell to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

01:00:17.608 --> 01:00:19.019 people that you're going to engage

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

 $01:00:19.019 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.815$  the jugular vein and go into their

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

01:00:20.815 --> 01:00:22.380 heart potentially caused by customer

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

 $01:00:22.380 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.330$  regurgitation and a host of other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

01:00:24.330 --> 01:00:25.940 Just because you want to study this,

01:00:25.940 --> 01:00:27.460 yeah, it's a hard sell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

 $01:00:27.460 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.300$  So we gotta find other ways of doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

 $01:00:29.300 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.600$  Certainly as imaging gets better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

 $01:00:30.600 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.100$  there's, there's animal models that

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

 $01:00:32.100 \dashrightarrow 01:00:33.600$  we could potentially engage there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

 $01:00:33.600 \longrightarrow 01:00:35.376$  There there's other ways at this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.673421074

 $01:00:35.380 \longrightarrow 01:00:35.950$  but it's hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5930484

 $01:00:39.980 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.370$  You

NOTE Confidence: 0.59599298

01:00:42.830 --> 01:00:48.600 could have a international, yeah, in 2026.

NOTE Confidence: 0.59599298

 $01{:}00{:}48.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}52.530$  Call up that is the was cardiac

NOTE Confidence: 0.812308736666667

 $01:00:53.140 \longrightarrow 01:00:55.776$  it was only three or four. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812308736666667

01:00:55.776 --> 01:00:59.480 And that and again, none of them were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812308736666667

 $01{:}00{:}59.480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}01{:}01{.}956$  none of them were what I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.812308736666667

 $01{:}01{:}01{:}01{:}056 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}04{.}520$  call cardiac cause of death per se.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812308736666667

01:01:04.520 --> 01:01:05.618 You know, mechanistically,

 $01:01:05.618 \longrightarrow 01:01:08.180$  the heart may have been the final

NOTE Confidence: 0.812308736666667

01:01:08.241 --> 01:01:10.486 nail in the coffin, so to speak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812308736666667

 $01:01:10.486 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.296$  but from a causal standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812308736666667

 $01:01:12.300 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.670$  they're all COVID-19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812308736666667

 $01:01:14.670 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.890$  Right. All of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934293913333333

01:01:18.820 --> 01:01:22.750 Very good question. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8773363

 $01:01:24.010 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.740$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6148523

 $01:01:31.620 \longrightarrow 01:01:32.610$  Yes.