WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:12:03"

NOTE recognizability:0.828

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.733418249090909

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.574 Part so today I'm so happy

NOTE Confidence: 0.733418249090909

 $00:00:02.574 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.010$  to introduce our term policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733418249090909

 $00:00:05.010 \rightarrow 00:00:08.190$  He's from university Iowa notice grant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733418249090909

00:00:08.190 --> 00:00:11.198 Grant Grant Speaker invited by GI pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.733418249090909

 $00:00:11.198 \rightarrow 00:00:13.284$  and the middle of the Country Life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733418249090909

 $00:00:13.284 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.753$  So hard copies here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733418249090909

 $00:00:14.753 \dashrightarrow 00:00:17.630$  We in collaboration invite him to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083981925

 $00:00:17.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.700$  here for talk so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:00:21.120 --> 00:00:23.212 Directly from University Northwestern

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:00:23.212 --> 00:00:28.388 University of Chicago in 2004. I'm Diana.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:00:28.390 --> 00:00:31.460 University of Virginia from 2004,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00{:}00{:}31{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33{.}917$ 2008 and then after that he did

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00{:}00{:}33{.}917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36{.}568$  one-year GFL in Ohio University.

 $00:00:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.795$  After that in 2019,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:00:38.795 --> 00:00:41.654 2009 right he moved to Harvard Medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:00:41.654 --> 00:00:43.910 School for instructor for two years

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:00:43.910 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.185$  and then he moved to University Iowa

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00{:}00{:}46.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.422$  and then from a senior professor as

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00{:}00{:}48.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51.478$  a professor and I'm full professor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:00:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.742$  And that the policy he published

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:00:53.742 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.145$  more than 150 papers and then also

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:00:56.145 --> 00:00:58.589 book book chapters and most of the NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:00:58.589 \dashrightarrow 00:01:00.424$  papers published and which chapters NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:01:00.424 --> 00:01:02.635 in GI pathology even though chemistry NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:01:02.635 \rightarrow 00:01:06.264$  and the new tumor and that's why his NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

00:01:06.264 --> 00:01:09.202 research focuses on immuno markers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00{:}01{:}09{.}202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11{.}939$  you know for for all the diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00{:}01{:}11{.}939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.664$  and also he has his research

NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

 $00:01:14.664 \rightarrow 00:01:16.020$  in neuroendocrine tumor.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00:01:16.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.456$  He has been joining a lot,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00{:}01{:}18.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}20.060$  a lot of collaborative studies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- 00:01:20.060 --> 00:01:21.721 you know for H.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- 00:01:21.721 --> 00:01:23.429 OK, for Edge grants,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00:01:23.430 \dashrightarrow 00:01:25.840$  you know his collaborator for the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00:01:25.840 \rightarrow 00:01:27.680$  studies therefore you are consumer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00:01:27.680 \rightarrow 00:01:30.152$  That's why you know he involves so many
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- 00:01:30.152 --> 00:01:33.374 professional societies especially for cap,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00{:}01{:}33{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}36{.}286$  US cap and ASAP for cab.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00:01:36.286 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.072$  He has been the even though his
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- 00:01:39.072 --> 00:01:41.066 country committee from member,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- 00:01:41.066 --> 00:01:44.778 vice chair and chair and also he's a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00:01:44.778 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.988$  international even though his commentary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00:01:46.988 \dashrightarrow 00:01:49.374$  Society Board of directors and also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00:01:49.374 \dashrightarrow 00:01:51.490$  involve other lot of committees.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625

- 00:01:51.490 --> 00:01:52.850 US CAP and cap.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00:01:52.850 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.390$  I will not mention all of them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00:01:55.390 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.318$  Or he has.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00:01:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.880$  I have so many publications I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00:01:59.880 \dashrightarrow 00:02:01.698$  mentioned and I gave so many talks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00{:}02{:}01{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03{.}332$  Also, you know you watch the talks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- 00:02:03.332 --> 00:02:05.860 everywhere you ask cap cap SP.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- 00:02:05.860 --> 00:02:08.380 So I cannot mention how many hits,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00{:}02{:}08{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}09{.}920$  more than 100 pages.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00{:}02{:}09{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.388$  So it's better to ask him to talk so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00:02:14.390 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.022$  So Andrew, test your.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00:02:16.022 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.838$  Thank you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- 00:02:16.840 --> 00:02:17.260 Yes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67658304625
- $00:02:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.720$  yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:02:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.302$  This is this is literally my
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:02:35.302 \rightarrow 00:02:39.159$  favorite thing to do in the world.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:02:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.065$  Is to is to interact with folks,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:02:42.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.950$  with folks like you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- 00:02:43.950 --> 00:02:47.850 And I'm so privileged to to have this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:02:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.062$  to have this position.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00{:}02{:}50{.}062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53{.}594$  You know, the single most important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:02:53.594 \rightarrow 00:02:57.783$  take home point from any talk is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:02:57.783 \dashrightarrow 00:03:02.207$  that the purpose of this talk is to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:03:02.207 \rightarrow 00:03:06.749$  make a connection with each of you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:03:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.244$  I'm here for you and I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:03:11.244 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.240$  became whatever you want.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- 00:03:14.240 --> 00:03:16.100 If you know me from Twitter,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00{:}03{:}16{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18{.}168$  I became IHC guy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- 00:03:18.168 --> 00:03:20.236 From from you here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00{:}03{:}20{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22{.}627$  you know I and this talk is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:03:22.627 \rightarrow 00:03:24.880$  gonna be about how to become,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.770$  how to become an act,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00{:}03{:}25{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26{.}950$  how to become an expert.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00{:}03{:}26{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28{.}462$  And the way to become an expert

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:28.462 \rightarrow 00:03:30.328$  is to say that you're an expert.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:30.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.026$  That's one of the, that's one of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:32.030 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.088$  that's one of the cheat codes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

00:03:34.090 --> 00:03:36.688 Uh, I'm a pretty informal guy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:36.690 \dashrightarrow 00:03:39.792$  so I invite every body to relax

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00{:}03{:}39{.}792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43{.}270$  and to try to be present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:43.270 \rightarrow 00:03:45.490$  And actually the hardest thing for

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

00:03:45.490 -> 00:03:49.062 me as I get going is to be present

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

00:03:49.062 --> 00:03:50.938 because it's it's it's anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:50.938 \rightarrow 00:03:53.610$  provoking to be to be in front of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:53.610 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.386$  in front of all you guys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:55.390 \rightarrow 00:03:58.064$  One thing that I'll say is it

NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461

 $00:03:58.064 \rightarrow 00:04:00.364$  always astounds me that I have

- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:04:00.364 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.512$  this platform and that we all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:04:02.512 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.016$  and that we all come together.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- 00:04:05.020 --> 00:04:07.450 Because I had a problematic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:04:07.450 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.394$  relationship with my father,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:04:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.500$  and if it wasn't for that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00{:}04{:}11.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.161$  I would probably be in fourth period
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- 00:04:15.161 -> 00:04:17.160 teaching chemistry right now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- 00:04:17.160 --> 00:04:19.216 And you know, I need to do it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00{:}04{:}19{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22{.}514$  I need to do it better because of that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:04:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.826$  Ohh boy. We lost. Somebody's.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:04:27.826 \rightarrow 00:04:31.256$  Somebody's moving the screen around.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- $00:04:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.874$  And the the other thing I'll
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933795031538461
- 00:04:32.874 --> 00:04:34.230 the other thing I'll say.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188
- $00{:}04{:}37{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40{.}953$  I've given this talk multiple times. And.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188
- $00{:}04{:}40{.}953 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}44{.}460$  And the the last time I gave it was at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

00:04:44.460 --> 00:04:47.900 Mayo and I wanted to and I wanted to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

00:04:47.900 --> 00:04:50.468 but I was so dumbstruck by

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

00:04:50.468 --> 00:04:52.720 what I encountered at Mayo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:04:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.976$  which I'll briefly relate to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00{:}04{:}54{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59{.}546$  that this talk is, you know, if I gave

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

00:04:59.546 --> 00:05:02.180 the talk that I actually came to give,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.434$  which I'm not sure that I will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.387$  this talk is my love letter to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00{:}05{:}07{.}387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09{.}782$  immunohistochemistry and I give lots

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:09.782 \rightarrow 00:05:11.898$  of kinds of immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00{:}05{:}11{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}13{.}019$  Box I give.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00{:}05{:}13.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}15.630$  I give ones that are love letters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

00:05:15.630 --> 00:05:17.450 I give ones that are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:17.450 \dashrightarrow 00:05:20.075$  I call myself an immuno optimist and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:20.075 \dashrightarrow 00:05:22.448$  immuno pessimist and an immune realist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:22.450 \dashrightarrow 00:05:25.018$  I give an immuno pessimist talk

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188
- $00:05:25.018 \dashrightarrow 00:05:27.810$  this past weekend to the CAP CSA.

 $00:05:27.810 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.222$  The title of the talk was

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:30.222 \rightarrow 00:05:31.830$  immunohistochemistry is in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:31.906 \rightarrow 00:05:34.349$  midst of a quality crisis and low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00{:}05{:}34.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.852$  Her two is a symptom and most of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00{:}05{:}36{.}852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38{.}942$  talks that I give are immuno realists

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:38.942 \dashrightarrow 00:05:41.629$  and I give a lot of nuts and bolts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:41.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.468$  Didactic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

 $00:05:42.468 \dashrightarrow 00:05:44.982$  About diagnostic applications

NOTE Confidence: 0.89931188

00:05:44.982 --> 00:05:46.658 of immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:05:50.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.342$  So this is this is the title of

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00{:}05{:}52{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55{.}063$  the talk that I submitted next

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00{:}05{:}55{.}063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}56{.}620$  generation immunohistochemistry how

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00{:}05{:}56{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}59{.}415$  to build highly effective diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00{:}05{:}59{.}415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01{.}739$  tests in an atomic pathology.

 $00:06:01.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.140$  But I think maybe this is this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00{:}06{:}04{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06{.}816$  the talk that I'd like to to that I'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

00:06:06.816 --> 00:06:09.390 like to try to give simultaneously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:09.390 \rightarrow 00:06:11.826$  On the invitation and advice of Zuchen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:11.830 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.086$  who actually invited me to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:14.086 \rightarrow 00:06:15.950$  this talk three years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:15.950 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.100$  and he said that my target audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:19.100 \rightarrow 00:06:21.750$  was trainees and young faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00{:}06{:}21.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}24.578$  And so how about to the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:24.578 \rightarrow 00:06:25.790$  generation of pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00{:}06{:}25.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28.898$  how to cultivate a highly successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

00:06:28.898 --> 00:06:30.944 career in anatomic pathology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:30.944 \rightarrow 00:06:33.484$  You, you have the tools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:33.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.594$  the most important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00{:}06{:}34{.}594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36{.}434$  the most important insight that

NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154

 $00:06:36.434 \rightarrow 00:06:38.730$  I can give you is to do this.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154
- $00:06:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.874$  You have to know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.891022443846154
- 00:06:39.874 --> 00:06:40.160 Yourself.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857
- $00:06:42.980 \rightarrow 00:06:45.339$  So if I give the straight talk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857
- $00:06:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.040$  this is the elevator pitch.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857
- $00{:}06{:}48.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.370$  I can build an immunohistochemical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857
- $00:06:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.700$  test to virtually any target,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857
- $00:06:52.700 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.400$  and these 150 publications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857
- $00:06:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.540$  are predicated in that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857
- $00{:}06{:}56{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59{.}942$  and the value proposition is for all of you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857
- $00:06:59.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.217$  What infer you is at the end of this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857
- $00:07:03.217 \rightarrow 00:07:05.758$  lecture is probably too ambitious,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857
- $00{:}07{:}05{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}07{.}748$  but my goal is to begin to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857
- $00:07:07.748 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.337$  provide you the tools to be able
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857
- $00{:}07{:}10.337 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.997$  to build an immunohistochemical.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857
- 00:07:12.000 --> 00:07:14.416 Test to any target every time I go
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:14.416 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.543$  to a meeting and I've introduced

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00{:}07{:}17.543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.983$  the new immunohistochemical marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00{:}07{:}19{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22{.}654$  The most common question that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00{:}07{:}22.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.658$  get from from you know world expert

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00{:}07{:}25.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.326$  end user diagnostic pathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:27.326 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.348$  is how did you do this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.934$  Is this commercially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:30.934 \rightarrow 00:07:33.046$  is this commercially available?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

00:07:33.050 --> 00:07:35.586 Where do I get this and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00{:}07{:}35{.}586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38{.}258$  answer is that there are dozens

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:38.258 \rightarrow 00:07:40.698$  if not hundreds of commercially

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:40.698 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.120$  available antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:42.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.200$  To virtually every target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

00:07:46.200 --> 00:07:51.303 because science is a \$160 billion industry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:07:51.303 \rightarrow 00:07:55.440$  I can't remember David where I resourced it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

00:07:55.440 --> 00:07:58.086 but Google, Google, I know the NIH.

 $00:07:58.090 \rightarrow 00:08:01.510$  The NIH, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

00:08:01.510 --> 00:08:07.860 grants \$40 billion of of award every year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:08:07.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.398$  And so there's a good jillion

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:08:10.398 \rightarrow 00:08:12.540$  antibody companies and they make.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

00:08:12.540 --> 00:08:13.050 Immunohistology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:08:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.090$  they make primary antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

00:08:15.090 - 00:08:17.130 to everything because some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:08:17.130 \rightarrow 00:08:19.874$  somewhere in some corner of the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:08:19.880 \dashrightarrow 00:08:22.640$  somebody is studying, studying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00{:}08{:}22.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.674$  And so you can make an

NOTE Confidence: 0.870742597142857

 $00:08:24.674 \dashrightarrow 00:08:26.540$  immunohistochemical test at any target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00{:}08{:}29{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31{.}586$  The biography, you know this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00{:}08{:}31{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33{.}876$  This is important because this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

00:08:33.880 --> 00:08:35.938 This is to answer the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00{:}08{:}35{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37{.}946$  how to cultivate a successful

00:08:37.946 --> 00:08:40.186 career in anatomic pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00{:}08{:}40{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43{.}628$  and I'll say more specifically how

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00{:}08{:}43.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}47.147$  to cultivate a successful career in

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:08:47.147 \rightarrow 00:08:50.513$  anatomic pathology in the context of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:08:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.334$  Constrained resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00{:}08{:}51{.}334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54{.}590$  You know, I've worked at a bunch of

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:08:54.667 \rightarrow 00:08:57.761$  different places and there are very few

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:08:57.761 \rightarrow 00:09:00.198$  exceptional places with the best people

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:00.198 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.171$  and the best cohorts and the best resources,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:03.171 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.854$  the vast majority.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:04.854 \rightarrow 00:09:09.630$  The central mass of places are lovely places.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:09.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.150$  Iowa is a lovely place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:12.150 \dashrightarrow 00:09:14.340$  but even within the constraints,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:14.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.268$  the constraints of a lovely place

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:17.268 \dashrightarrow 00:09:20.790$  if you are smart and passionate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:20.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.788$  And have a point of view.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333
- 00:09:22.790 --> 00:09:25.730 You can have a wildly successful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333
- 00:09:25.730 --> 00:09:27.690 career in anatomic pathology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333
- $00:09:27.690 \dashrightarrow 00:09:30.588$  And So what did I decide to lean into?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333
- $00:09:30.590 \dashrightarrow 00:09:33.968$  I decided to lean into immunohistochemistry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333
- 00:09:33.970 --> 00:09:35.950 I started out in GI pathology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333
- 00:09:35.950 --> 00:09:38.010 I am a GI pathologist,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333
- 00:09:38.010 --> 00:09:40.338 but I decided to lean into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333
- $00:09:40.338 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.490$  immunohistochemistry for so many reasons.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333
- $00{:}09{:}42.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.989$  And then another thing Zuchen mentioned is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333
- $00:09:44.989 \dashrightarrow 00:09:48.350$  I'm also a neuroendocrine tumor pathologist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333
- $00:09:48.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.562$  Every lovely place is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333
- $00:09:50.562 \rightarrow 00:09:54.670$  Exceptional at something or a few things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333
- $00{:}09{:}54.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}56.848$  And the reason that I'm a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333
- $00{:}09{:}56{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57{.}937$  neuroendocrine tumor pathologist
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333
- $00:09:57.937 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.907$  is at the University of Iowa,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:09:59.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.182$  the most special group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

00:10:02.182 --> 00:10:05.022 the most successful multidisciplinary group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:05.030 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.190$  the most highly funded group is

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:07.190 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.630$  the neuroendocrine tumor group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:08.630 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.583$  And so if you go to a place and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00{:}10{:}10{.}583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}12{.}412$  you want to study pancreas cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:12.412 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.830$  or you want to study colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00{:}10{:}14.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16.655$  I've studied all these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

00:10:16.655 --> 00:10:18.805 things in different phases of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:18.805 \rightarrow 00:10:20.798$  career and they're the they're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

00:10:20.798 --> 00:10:25.238 See or a B minus group in that tumor type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00{:}10{:}25{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27{.}220$  it's a it's a losing proposition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00{:}10{:}27{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29{.}872$  So one of the recommendations is

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:29.872 \rightarrow 00:10:32.715$  to survey the scene and identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:32.715 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.695$  the the most talented,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333

 $00:10:34.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.500$  most passionate individuals and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.866492543333333
- $00:10:36.500 \rightarrow 00:10:38.750$  allow yourself with that group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:10:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.540$  So I think you've already
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:10:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.250$  gotten a sense of this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:10:44.250 \rightarrow 00:10:48.252$  I'm anybody is anybody familiar with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:10:48.252 \rightarrow 00:10:52.050$  the Myers Briggs personality inventory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}10{:}52.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57.466$  I'm a union psychologist on the side and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}10{:}57{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00{.}830$  I'm an ENFP and ENFP's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- 00:11:00.830 --> 00:11:03.518 are like functional ADHD.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:11:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.138$  And so this is how a normal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:11:06.138 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.850$  person tells this story.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}11{:}07{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09{.}670$  And this is how I this is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}11{:}09.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}11.249$  how I tell the story.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}11{:}11{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}13{.}128$  It's I tell lots of stories.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- 00:11:13.130 --> 00:11:14.402 It's very iterative.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}11{:}14{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16{.}946$  I say that my my communication
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:16.946 --> 00:11:19.340 is at times circumlocutory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:11:19.340 \rightarrow 00:11:22.188$  But it always comes around to a point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}11{:}22.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24.080$  So the value proposition and I and

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:24.080 --> 00:11:26.390 I speak to lots of audiences today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}11{:}26{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28{.}358$  I'm mostly talking to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}11{:}28{.}358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29{.}834$  diagnostic pathology trainees,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:29.840 --> 00:11:32.416 but I also talked to base to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00{:}11{:}32{.}416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35{.}299$  basic scientists quite a bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:35.299 --> 00:11:36.997 challenges in immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:37.000 --> 00:11:38.790 And I'll say that everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:38.790 --> 00:11:39.864 benefits from smart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:39.870 --> 00:11:41.754 technically sound immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:11:41.754 \rightarrow 00:11:45.522$  and I'm offering to be your

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:45.522 --> 00:11:46.950 immunohistochemistry concierge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

00:11:46.950 --> 00:11:50.430 I I I warmly invite you to reach out to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

 $00:11:50.430 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.130$  I'm deeply interested in what.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- 00:11:52.130 --> 00:11:53.564 You're interested in,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}11{:}53{.}564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56{.}432$  I'm deeply interested in helping to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:11:56.432 \rightarrow 00:11:58.814$  advance your academic careers because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:11:58.814 \rightarrow 00:12:00.458$  I want to interact with bright,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- 00:12:00.460 --> 00:12:01.705 talented, passionate individuals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- 00:12:01.705 --> 00:12:04.195 And so this talk is really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:12:04.200 \rightarrow 00:12:05.656$  it's directed at everybody.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- 00:12:05.656 --> 00:12:07.476 It's mainly directed at trainees.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}12{:}07{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10{.}077$  I love to interact with local IHC
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- 00:12:10.077 --> 00:12:12.061 lab directors because I benefited
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:12:12.061 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.051$  so much from interaction with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- 00:12:14.051 --> 00:12:16.496 IHC lab directors when I was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:12:16.496 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.848$  a junior member on the CAP
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- 00:12:18.848 --> 00:12:19.643 Immunohistochemistry committee.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}12{:}19.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22.464$  I I love interacting with clinical fact.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

- 00:12:22.470 --> 00:12:22.906 Faculty,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- 00:12:22.906 --> 00:12:24.650 especially junior faculty who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:12:24.650 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.344$  want to do scholarship but have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:12:27.344 \rightarrow 00:12:29.579$  limited time and limited resources.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}12{:}29{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31{.}925$  And I have a tried and true
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}12{:}31{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}34{.}716$  method to have a positive study
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:12:34.716 \rightarrow 00:12:38.118$  using what I call next generation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:12:38.118 \rightarrow 00:12:40.234$  immunohistochemistry as a function of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}12{:}40{.}234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}43{.}640$  what my chair at Brigham told me to be,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:12:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.240$  which was a translational
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:12:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.040$  molecular pathologist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}12{:}46.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.800$  And I also love to I love to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:12:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.917$  talk to scientists and I love
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}12{:}49{.}917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}51{.}533$  to collaborate with scientists.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:12:51.540 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.165$  For the scientists in the in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:12:54.165 \rightarrow 00:12:56.493$  room if you were going to read two

- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}12{:}56{.}493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59{.}047$  papers about if you were going to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}12{:}59{.}047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01{.}112$  read one paper about immunohistochemistry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- 00:13:01.120 --> 00:13:03.066 So this is and thank you David
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:13:03.066 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.479$  for your work on this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:13:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.182$  This is a this is a paper
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:13:07.182 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.912$  that discusses validation of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}13{:}08{.}912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10{.}880$  immunohistochemical markers in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}13{:}10.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13.852$  research space and it's all about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- 00:13:13.852 --> 00:13:16.438 diet it's all about specificity of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:13:16.438 \rightarrow 00:13:18.622$  the antibody now for clinicians
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:13:18.622 \rightarrow 00:13:21.709$  like myself that don't have access to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:13:21.710 \rightarrow 00:13:24.070$  Gene editing technologies, for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}13{:}24.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.958$  to validate their immunostains.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}13{:}25{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28{.}790$  How do I validate my immunostains?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- 00:13:28.790 --> 00:13:29.336 Clinically,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394

- $00:13:29.336 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.974$  diagnostically with with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}13{:}30{.}974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33{.}704$  large cohorts of of tumors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- 00:13:33.710 --> 00:13:35.942 gold standard diagnosis, H&E.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:13:35.942 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.174$  But for basic scientists
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:13:38.174 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.640$  this is the way to go.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00{:}13{:}40.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42.380$  And then this paper is wonderful.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- 00:13:42.380 --> 00:13:45.062 It's from my friend Angelo Dimarzo
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:13:45.062 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.658$  that that really applies many of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- 00:13:47.658 --> 00:13:50.206 the principles in the in the Yulin
- NOTE Confidence: 0.887869394
- $00:13:50.206 \dashrightarrow 00:13:52.767$  paper and does it in a case based.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00:13:56.820 \rightarrow 00:13:59.136$  This is an incredibly important concept
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00{:}13{:}59{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02{.}205$  and I talked about this in the in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00{:}14{:}02.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.020$  the teaching session this morning.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00:14:04.020 \rightarrow 00:14:05.754$  This concept of fit for purpose
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- 00:14:05.754 --> 00:14:07.779 I and I stated it slightly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00{:}14{:}07.779 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09.794$  different in the unknown session.

 $00{:}14{:}09{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14{.}180$  I said that immunohistochemical assays are

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:14.180 \rightarrow 00:14:17.100$  not interchangeable between laboratories

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:17.196 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.330$  and the quantity of the analyte,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:19.330 \rightarrow 00:14:21.178$  which is something that we rarely measure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}14{:}21{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22{.}520$  and David's working on it

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.550$  and my goal is to take that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:24.550 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.266$  Of the clinical laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:26.266 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.584$  But the quantity of the analyte that

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}14{:}29{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32{.}252$  we're measuring by immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:14:32.252 --> 00:14:34.380 indirectly is very variable among

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:34.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.977$  tumor types that you might use the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:14:36.977 --> 00:14:39.294 same immuno for the example that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}14{:}39{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}41{.}818$  gave this morning was got a got a 3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}14{:}41{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}44{.}204$  there's a tiny amount of got a 3IN

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}14{:}44{.}204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}46{.}388$  para Feo relative to the amount

 $00:14:46.388 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.626$  of peripheral got it 3IN breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:14:48.626 --> 00:14:50.411 cancer in urothelial cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}14{:}50{.}411 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52{.}694$  so for immunohistochemistry to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:14:52.694 \rightarrow 00:14:55.184$  successful and the reason that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:14:55.190 --> 00:14:57.980 Published studies vary so much is

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}14{:}57{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}59{.}375$  that immunohistochemical assays

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:14:59.375 --> 00:15:00.938 should be conceived of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:00.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.088$  optimized and validated to

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}15{:}03.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05.236$  meet a specific purpose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.275$  A single immunohistochemical assay is

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}15{:}08{.}275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11{.}310$  generally poorly positioned to meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:11.395 \rightarrow 00:15:14.185$  multiple purposes and the the current

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}15{:}14.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.548$  her two problem is an is an example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}15{:}17{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19{.}800$  And here's another wonderful example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}15{:}19{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25{.}446$  ER clinical IHC as says are optimized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:15:25.450 \rightarrow 00:15:28.348$  To select patients for endocrine therapy,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00:15:28.350 \rightarrow 00:15:30.150$  that's how they're optimized and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00:15:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.833$  if you wanted to build an ER
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00:15:32.833 \rightarrow 00:15:34.863$  assay to distinguish luminal from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- 00:15:34.863 00:15:36.610 triple negative breast cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00:15:36.610 \rightarrow 00:15:38.980$  it would be a fundamentally
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00:15:38.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.350$  different assay and that's why.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- 00:15:41.350 --> 00:15:43.278 Triple negative breast cancers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00:15:43.278 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.206$  by gene expression profiling
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00:15:45.206 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.462$  sometimes maybe 5% of the time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00:15:47.462 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.524$  7% of the time they have ER 1 + 1, two 310%.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00{:}15{:}51{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54{.}583$  This low ER problem that was addressed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00{:}15{:}54{.}583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57{.}509$  in the last ASCO CAP guideline.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00{:}15{:}57{.}510$  -->  $00{:}15{:}59{.}678$  The original immunohistochemical assays
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00{:}15{:}59.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.690$  for ER were optimized to predict survival,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474
- $00:16:03.690 \dashrightarrow 00:16:05.466$  so they were they were prognostic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}16{:}05{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08{.}380$  But the the current immunohistochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:16:08.380 \rightarrow 00:16:11.290$  assays optimized for this purpose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

00:16:11.290 --> 00:16:14.040 Predict response to endocrine therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:16:14.040 \rightarrow 00:16:16.938$  do pretty good but imperfectly for

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00{:}16{:}16{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19{.}594$  this application and then this

NOTE Confidence: 0.905802405789474

 $00:16:19.594 \rightarrow 00:16:22.170$  application is disappears entirely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:16:25.790 --> 00:16:27.478 Skip this. Everybody loves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:16:27.478 --> 00:16:28.744 Everybody loves immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:28.744 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.010$  because it's cheap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:30.010 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.946$  It's widely available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00{:}16{:}30{.}946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33{.}478$  This is an important point that I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:33.478 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.501$  to emphasize to people that want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:35.501 \rightarrow 00:16:36.812$  do research in immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:36.812 \rightarrow 00:16:39.484$  is there is a near endless supply of

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:16:39.490 --> 00:16:40.866 commercially available primary antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:16:40.866 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.930$  You just have to be patient.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- 00:16:42.930 --> 00:16:44.490 The first one might not work,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00{:}16{:}44{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46{.}146$  the second one might not work,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00:16:46.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.350$  the fifth one might not work,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00:16:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.616$  and then you have to decide
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00:16:48.616 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.850$  when to cut your losses.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00{:}16{:}49{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51{.}565$  These are the these are the advantages.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00{:}16{:}51{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54{.}209$  These are a lot of the challenges.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- 00:16:54.210 --> 00:16:56.400 Yeah, it's not typically quantitative.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- 00:16:56.400 --> 00:16:58.857 And David, it is work is working on this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- 00:16:58.860 --> 00:16:59.940 This is from my,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00{:}16{:}59{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02{.}669$  as the Chair of the College of American
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- 00:17:02.669 --> 00:17:03.713 Pathologists Immunohistochemistry
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- 00:17:03.713 --> 00:17:06.323 Committee responsible for putting out
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00{:}17{:}06{.}323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09{.}086$  a national and really international
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00{:}17{:}09.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}10.718$  proficiency testing program.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

- 00:17:10.720 --> 00:17:11.821 There's lack of,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00:17:11.821 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.023$  there's lack of high quality material
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00:17:14.023 \rightarrow 00:17:16.230$  for external quality assessment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00:17:16.230 \rightarrow 00:17:18.814$  And then as a local IHC lab director,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00:17:18.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.112$  this impacts my ability to have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00:17:21.112 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.640$  high quality control material
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00:17:22.704 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.516$  and then this is the promise.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00:17:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.512$  We want homogeneous,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00{:}17{:}26.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29.168$  controlled material of known
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00:17:29.170 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.128$  analyte concentration.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00:17:30.128 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.523$  And there's a couple different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00:17:32.523 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.690$  ways to skin this cat.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- 00:17:34.690 --> 00:17:38.060 David working with my friend
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- 00:17:38.060 --> 00:17:41.288 Regan Fulton in Sausalito, CA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00{:}17{:}41.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44.223$  You can combine is agenix cell lines
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00:17:44.223 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.978$  and you get the quantification

- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00:17:45.978 \rightarrow 00:17:47.969$  from however you do quantification.

 $00{:}17{:}47.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.602$  Mass spec, for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00{:}17{:}49.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.642$  And then there's another paradigm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:17:51.650 --> 00:17:54.068 There's a guy called Steve Bogan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00{:}17{:}54.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55.674$  and he has a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00{:}17{:}55{.}674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57{.}679$  Company called Boston Cell Standards

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00{:}17{:}57.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00.609$  and he coats glass microbeads with

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:18:00.609 - 00:18:02.569 known concentrations of analyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00{:}18{:}02{.}569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05{.}370$  and that's traceable to to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:18:05.370 \rightarrow 00:18:07.600$  reference standard and I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:18:07.600 --> 00:18:09.530 I said the immuno pessimist

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:18:09.530 --> 00:18:10.688 talks immunohistochemistry is

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00:18:10.688 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.037$  in the midst of equality crisis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

00:18:13.040 --> 00:18:15.824 It's mainly because of lack of

NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865

 $00{:}18{:}15{.}824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17{.}216$  quantifiable reference material

- $00:18:17.216 \rightarrow 00:18:19.332$  and we are actively working on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00{:}18{:}19{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21{.}936$  this at the at the national
- NOTE Confidence: 0.799350865
- $00:18:21.936 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.516$  level and this is 1.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62878126
- 00:18:31.070 --> 00:18:33.980 As next generation Immuno Histochemistry,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62878126
- $00:18:33.980 \rightarrow 00:18:37.240$  we'll talk about selection, optimization
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62878126
- $00:18:37.240 \rightarrow 00:18:39.810$  and validation depending on time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00:18:43.600 \rightarrow 00:18:45.332$  Presented a dry challenge.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- 00:18:45.332 --> 00:18:48.520 One of my friends on your Roden,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- 00:18:48.520 --> 00:18:50.860 who's a wonderful long, she's also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- 00:18:50.860 00:18:53.658 the director of the IHC lab at Mayo.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- 00:18:53.660 --> 00:18:56.060 She said, Andrew, there's this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00{:}18{:}56{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58{.}540$  she's a she loves thy moma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00:18:58.540 \rightarrow 00:19:00.220$  There's this wonderful immunohistochemical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00:19:00.220 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.064$  marker that was published in a paper
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- 00:19:03.064 --> 00:19:04.877 in MJ Surge Path 10 years ago,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00:19:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.248$  and it's not commercially

- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00:19:06.248 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.550$  available and I want to study it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00{:}19{:}08.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11.662$  But we're stuck, and I and I can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- 00:19:11.662 00:19:14.770 solve her problem by finding many,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00:19:14.770 \rightarrow 00:19:16.654$  many commercially available
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00{:}19{:}16.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}19.794$  antibodies that target my babies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00{:}19{:}19{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}22{.}528$  So I do lots and lots of research
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- 00:19:22.528 --> 00:19:23.210 and immunohistochemistry,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00:19:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.522$  and my favorite fun thing to do is make
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00{:}19{:}26{.}522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30{.}009$  novel diagnostic markers from from scratch.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- 00:19:30.010 --> 00:19:33.174 And so OTC is in my lab,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- 00:19:33.180 --> 00:19:35.264 the most sensitive Pato
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00{:}19{:}35{.}264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36{.}827$  cellular differentiation marker.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00{:}19{:}36.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}39.296$  The other thing I like to do is repurpose.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- 00:19:39.300 --> 00:19:39.731 Antibodies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00{:}19{:}39{.}731 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}43.610$  So IGF two which had emerged as a diagnostic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}19{:}43.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}46.617$  marker for a drenal cortical carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:19:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.084$  I found a novel diagnostic application

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:19:51.084 --> 00:19:53.757 in paraganglioma pheochromocytoma packs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:19:53.757 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.942$  One, we talked about cross

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:19:55.942 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.253$  reactivity this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:19:57.260 --> 00:19:59.759 I said polyclonal packs 8 cross reacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}19{:}59{.}759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}01{.}744$  with other packs family transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:20:01.744 --> 00:20:04.276 factors including pack 6 and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}20{:}04{.}276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}07{.}334$  why it's an adequate diagnostic marker

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:20:07.334 \rightarrow 00:20:09.478$  in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:20:09.480 --> 00:20:11.526 And I was actually having dinner

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}20{:}11.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.276$  with Anya at a Kappa HC committee

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:20:14.276 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.020$  meeting four years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:20:16.020 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:20:18.054 And she said I want to do a course

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}20{:}18.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{.}157$  about pitfalls and thoracic pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:20:20.160 --> 00:20:21.465 Like, you know,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- 00:20:21.465 --> 00:20:24.075 polyclonal Paxata is positive in thymomas,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00:20:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.340$  but monoclonal paxata is negative.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00{:}20{:}26{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27{.}204$  And she said that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00{:}20{:}27{.}204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27{.}636$  And I,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00{:}20{:}27.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29.896$  from the example that I knew
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- 00:20:29.896 --> 00:20:32.280 from PAX 5 and pack six,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00{:}20{:}32{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35{.}160$  I knew that that there was some other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- 00:20:35.160 --> 00:20:36.976 PAX family transcription factor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00{:}20{:}36{.}976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40{.}018$  that was expressed in the thymus.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- 00:20:40.020 --> 00:20:42.520 And I all you have to do is have pub,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- 00:20:42.520 --> 00:20:42.919 pub,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00{:}20{:}42.919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.313$  Med and a few bioinformatics tools
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00:20:45.313 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.900$  that I will share with you to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00{:}20{:}47{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50{.}500$  be able to solve this in silico.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00:20:50.500 \rightarrow 00:20:52.684$  And then doing the clinical study
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:20:52.684 \rightarrow 00:20:55.422$  is a formality angler one is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}20{:}55{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57{.}038$  diagnostic marker for chondromyxoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}20{:}57{.}038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59{.}854$  fibroma and it's based on the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:20:59.854 \rightarrow 00:21:01.390$  defining molecular genetic event

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}21{:}01{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04{.}990$  and that lesion hey one and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:21:04.990 \rightarrow 00:21:07.254$  specifically hay one immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:21:07.254 \rightarrow 00:21:10.573$  directed to the end terminus of the hay one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:21:10.580 --> 00:21:13.836 Gene or I should say protein as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:21:13.836 --> 00:21:15.822 diagnostic marker for mesenchymal

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}21{:}15.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17.994$  chondrosarcoma where a diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:21:17.994 --> 00:21:21.609 marker did not cry previously exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00:21:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.210$  And then the last one got a four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}21{:}24{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27{.}535$  which I've brought up as a pan

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}21{:}27{.}535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}29{.}666$  GI marker expressed in the upper

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

 $00{:}21{:}29.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}31.430$  tract and not in the colon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637

00:21:31.430 --> 00:21:34.166 So very complementary to SAP B2,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00{:}21{:}34{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36{.}322$  which is more of a lower GI colon
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- 00:21:36.322 --> 00:21:37.882 specific marker and I don't know
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00:21:37.882 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.328$  that we'll get to all these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00:21:39.391 \rightarrow 00:21:41.256$  specific examples and that's fine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00:21:41.260 \rightarrow 00:21:42.895$  The concepts more important than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.771372466363637
- $00{:}21{:}42.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}44.203$  the than the detail.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286
- 00:21:46.440 --> 00:21:48.376 So next generation immunohistochemistry,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286
- $00:21:48.376 \rightarrow 00:21:51.562$  this is my, this is my stick.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286
- 00:21:51.562 --> 00:21:55.830 And this term I, I sort of Co developed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286
- $00:21:55.830 \rightarrow 00:21:59.070$  with with my very close friend that I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286
- $00:21:59.070 \rightarrow 00:22:01.659$  worked with incredibly closely at Brigham.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286
- 00:22:01.660 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:22:04.999 Jason hornick. Jason and I do next
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286
- $00:22:04.999 \rightarrow 00:22:05.953$  generation immunohistochemistry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286
- 00:22:05.960 --> 00:22:07.838 He does it almost exclusively in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286
- $00{:}22{:}07{.}838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}10.691$  sarcoma and I do it in pan cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:10.691 \rightarrow 00:22:12.223$  diagnostic applications because I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:22:12.223 --> 00:22:14.656 at Iowa right because he's at Brigham

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}22{:}14.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}17.250$  and he could do next generation of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:22:17.250 --> 00:22:20.220 Sarcoma and Iowa has a lovely

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:22:20.220 --> 00:22:21.210 sarcoma program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}22{:}21{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23{.}330$  but it does not have an exceptional one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}22{:}23{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.672$  And so I do next generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:26.672 \rightarrow 00:22:28.370$  immunohistochemistry and I'm not going

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:28.370 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.430$  to restrict myself to any tumor type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:22:30.430 --> 00:22:32.662 I'm going to pick off anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}22{:}32.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}34.520$  that anything that I can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.656$  And how do I find markers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}22{:}36{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41{.}091$  And I had lovely discussions this morning

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:22:41.091 --> 00:22:44.232 about my passions for developmental biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:22:44.232 --> 00:22:47.074 I spend many Saturdays in the library

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:47.074 \rightarrow 00:22:49.280$  reading developmental biology papers,

 $00:22:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.285$  trying to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:50.285 \rightarrow 00:22:53.079$  First thing I told you guys this morning

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}22{:}53.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.383$  is tumors recapitulate cells and tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:22:55.383 \rightarrow 00:22:58.216$  native to the organ in which they arise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}22{:}58{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00{.}943$  And so it behaves me to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:00.943 \rightarrow 00:23:02.835$  the developmental biology of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}23{:}02{.}835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04{.}797$  cells and tissues and from them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:04.800 \rightarrow 00:23:06.930$  I derive my diagnostic markers those

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}23{:}06{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10{.}001$  are my favorite are are querying the

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:10.001 \rightarrow 00:23:11.585$  developmental biology literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:23:11.590 --> 00:23:13.972 We also do protein correlates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:13.972 \rightarrow 00:23:15.918$  molecular genetic events and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}23{:}15{.}918$  -->  $00{:}23{:}18{.}178$  know we did we talked about TP53

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:23:18.178 --> 00:23:20.818 and P53 immunohistochemistry RV one

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}23{:}20.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22.930$  and RV immunohistochemistry this

 $00:23:23.009 \rightarrow 00:23:24.530$  morning is exemplars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}23{:}24{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}26{.}876$  And then you can also identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:26.876 \rightarrow 00:23:28.931$  markers identified by gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:28.931 \rightarrow 00:23:31.439$  profiling and I say that's cheating

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:31.439 \rightarrow 00:23:34.346$  and the reason I say it's cheating is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}23{:}34{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35{.}220$  Well, I can't do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}23{:}35{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}36{.}120$  I don't have the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}23{:}36{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37{.}470$  I don't have the resources to

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:23:37.526 --> 00:23:38.918 do gene expression profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}23{:}38{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}41{.}881$  I would rather use my brain to identify a

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00{:}23{:}41.881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}44.674$  target than have something come up as the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:44.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.206$  you know the the most differentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:23:47.206 --> 00:23:50.059 expressed marker in some in some experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:23:50.059 --> 00:23:52.436 though I have used markers identify,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:52.436 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.726$  I have used that strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:54.726 \rightarrow 00:23:56.100$  to identify markers.

00:23:56.100 --> 00:23:56.628 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:23:56.628 \rightarrow 00:23:58.476$  this is me being an immuno optimist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

00:23:58.480 --> 00:24:01.264 Our diagnostic markers keep getting better

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:24:01.264 \rightarrow 00:24:04.498$  and better and better and you know this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:24:04.500 \rightarrow 00:24:07.460$  I'm a sort of a goodwill hunting pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899225165714286

 $00:24:07.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.240$  You wasted

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

00:24:10.250 --> 00:24:14.275 \$150,000 on an education or some some

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00:24:14.275 \rightarrow 00:24:16.480$  fancy experiments for an education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00:24:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.012$  You could have got for \$1.50 in

NOTE Confidence: 0.877195167777778

00:24:19.012 --> 00:24:21.358 late fees at the Public Library.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

00:24:21.360 --> 00:24:23.823 So this is how I find diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00{:}24{:}23.823 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.401$  markers and this is and I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00:24:25.401 \rightarrow 00:24:27.207$  say you know this is an example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877195167777778

 $00{:}24{:}27{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30{.}612$  This is How I Met cute got a 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00{:}24{:}30{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}33{.}036$  So this was the 1st paper that was

 $00:24:33.036 \rightarrow 00:24:34.812$  published in the diagnostic pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00{:}24{:}34{.}812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37{.}877$  space that identified got a 3 as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.877195167777778

 $00{:}24{:}37.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40.032$  urothelial cancer diagnostic marker and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00:24:40.032 \rightarrow 00:24:42.170$  this used gene expression profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00:24:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.220$  This came out of Stanford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00{:}24{:}44{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46{.}338$  John Higgins was the first author.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00{:}24{:}46{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48{.}710$  This is Rob West and Matt

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00{:}24{:}48.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51.120$  Vander Reines work and they did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00:24:51.120 \rightarrow 00:24:53.166$  Gene expression profiling of Gu tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877195167777778

 $00:24:53.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.710$  so they did kidney,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00{:}24{:}55{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57{.}396$  black bladder and and prostate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.877195167777778

 $00{:}24{:}57{.}396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59{.}707$  got a three was identified as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.877195167777778

 $00:24:59.707 \rightarrow 00:25:01.211$  highly differentially expressed gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00{:}25{:}01{.}211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04{.}004$  in those tumor types and then you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87719516777778

 $00:25:04.004 \rightarrow 00:25:05.604$  validate it with immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667

 $00{:}25{:}07.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10.109$  Here's another one.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00:25:10.110 \rightarrow 00:25:12.100$  And the defining molecular genetic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00{:}25{:}12.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}14.687$  event of a tumor is identified
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00{:}25{:}14.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17.267$  through and through whole genome
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00:25:17.267 \rightarrow 00:25:19.675$  or whole exome sequencing, Jason.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00{:}25{:}19.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22.690$  And I say the tumor type has been solved
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00{:}25{:}22.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25.613$  and when the tumor type has been solved,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00:25:25.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.200$  this is such fertile ground to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- 00:25:28.200 --> 00:25:29.920 identify a diagnostic marker.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00:25:29.920 \rightarrow 00:25:32.908$  So, so, so this defining molecular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00{:}25{:}32{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35{.}455$  genetic event of solitary fibrous
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- 00:25:35.455 -> 00:25:37.805 tumor was described and within
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00{:}25{:}37.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}40.510$  a few months Jason and Chris.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- 00:25:40.510 --> 00:25:42.660 Chris. Butcher and Leona Doyle,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- 00:25:42.660 --> 00:25:45.810 wonderful junior now middle faculty,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- 00:25:45.810 --> 00:25:47.868 used to be my resident and fellow
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667

00:25:47.868 --> 00:25:49.830 can published a diagnostic study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667

 $00:25:49.830 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.910$  and when the immunohistochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667

 $00:25:51.910 \rightarrow 00:25:55.030$  markers are identified in this fashion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667

 $00:25:55.030 \rightarrow 00:25:57.690$  they are so damn specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667

 $00:25:57.690 \rightarrow 00:25:59.970$  They're so damn sensitive and specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667

 $00{:}25{:}59{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}04{.}119$  So this is how stat six came to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667

 $00:26:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.172$  And then I can take it to my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667

 $00:26:06.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.600$  So this was a case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667

 $00:26:07.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.805$  a spinal lesion that had a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667

 $00{:}26{:}09{.}805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11.786$  bit of carrot and positivity that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667

 $00:26:11.786 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.040$  sat in the file for 10 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667

00:26:14.107 --> 00:26:16.119 diagnosed as synovial sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667

 $00{:}26{:}16.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}17.300$  I had identified this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667

00:26:17.300 --> 00:26:19.768 I was doing a TLE one validation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667

00:26:19.768 --> 00:26:21.616 several years ago and it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667

 $00{:}26{:}21.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23.659$  TLE one negative and I looked at

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- 00:26:23.659 --> 00:26:25.243 this tumor and I actually didn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00:26:25.306 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.956$  think it was synovial sarcoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00{:}26{:}26{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29{.}795$  I thought it was a poorly differentiated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00{:}26{:}29{.}795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32{.}137$  solitary fibrous tumor and in fact it is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00:26:32.137 \rightarrow 00:26:34.678$  and I and I say using next generation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- 00:26:34.680 --> 00:26:35.397 Immunohistochemistry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- 00:26:35.397 --> 00:26:39.699 You can elevate the ability of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- 00:26:39.699 --> 00:26:41.850 already strong morphologist,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- 00:26:41.850 --> 00:26:43.434 already strong diagnostic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00:26:43.434 \rightarrow 00:26:44.490$  surgical pathologists.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00{:}26{:}44{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46{.}225$  You give them incredibly sharp
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00{:}26{:}46{.}225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47{.}960$  tools and they can become
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8738889866666667
- $00:26:48.025 \rightarrow 00:26:49.699$  exceptional diagnostically.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00:26:52.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.392$  And then hit my love letter to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00{:}26{:}56{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58{.}180$  transcription factors history.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:26:58.180 --> 00:27:00.236 Historically, our diagnostic armamentarium

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}27{:}00{.}236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}02{.}806$  was geared toward cytoplasmic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:02.806 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.511$  membranous differentiation markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:04.511 \rightarrow 00:27:06.759$  that were empirically discovered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}27{:}06{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09{.}147$  We would like mince up some tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}27{:}09{.}147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12{.}107$  and put it in A and put it in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}27{:}12{.}107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14{.}578$  rabbit and see and see what's stuck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:27:14.580 --> 00:27:16.060 And so, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}27{:}16.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18.280$  here's a breast cancer with mammaglobin

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}27{:}18.347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.879$  and gross cystic disease fluid protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:27:20.880 --> 00:27:22.266 And remember this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:27:22.266 --> 00:27:24.576 inside of plasmic differentiation markers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:27:24.580 --> 00:27:26.995 their expression tends to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:27:26.995 --> 00:27:28.927 markedly reduced in poorly

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}27{:}28{.}927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31{.}442$  differentiated tumors as opposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:27:31.442 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.912$  lineage restricted transcription

- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00:27:32.912 \rightarrow 00:27:35.419$  factors that tend to not always,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00{:}27{:}35{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37{.}742$  but tend to be diffusely strongly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00:27:37.742 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.290$  expressed independent of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00:27:39.355 \rightarrow 00:27:42.037$  differentiation state status of the marker.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00{:}27{:}42.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}44.353$  And I like to coin a lot of terms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00{:}27{:}44.353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}46.915$  and this is what I call the primacy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- 00:27:46.920 --> 00:27:48.936 Primacy either means first or best.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00:27:48.940 \rightarrow 00:27:51.406$  So this is for me the primacy because best.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- 00:27:51.410 --> 00:27:53.730 Primacy of lineage restricted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00:27:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.890$  transcription factors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- 00:27:54.890 --> 00:27:56.615 And immuno optimists,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- 00:27:56.615 --> 00:27:59.490 immuno pessimists and immuno realists,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00:27:59.490 \rightarrow 00:28:02.666$  and really we should all be immuno realist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- 00:28:02.670 --> 00:28:04.368 Every new immunohistochemical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00:28:04.368 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.764$  test is like a new technology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:07.770 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.108$  This you may be familiar with is

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:28:10.108 --> 00:28:12.556 the Gartner Hype cycle that's used

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}28{:}12.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.388$  to describe new technologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:14.390 \rightarrow 00:28:16.690$  When new technologies are described,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:16.690 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.910$  we're incredibly enthusiastic about them

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:18.910 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.130$  and overly enthusiastic about them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.080$  And then they start failing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:28:23.080 - > 00:28:24.990 bit and then we keep studying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:28:24.990 --> 00:28:27.146 Studying and studying and we've learned more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:27.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.990$  and we learn advantages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:28:28.990 --> 00:28:29.843 disadvantages, limitations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:28:29.843 --> 00:28:30.236 pitfalls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:30.236 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.987$  and we end up with this plateau

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:28:32.987 --> 00:28:34.350 of productivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}28{:}34{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}38{.}934$  And I will say the reason that many

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:28:38.934 --> 00:28:40.791 immunohistochemical tests historically

- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00:28:40.791 \rightarrow 00:28:44.457$  or new analytes have suffered from

 $00{:}28{:}44{.}457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47{.}810$  this is from poor experimental design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:47.810 \rightarrow 00:28:49.822$  Immunohistochemical when you're introducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:49.822 \rightarrow 00:28:53.310$  a new diagnostic marker into the space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}28{:}53{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56{.}080$  it behaves one to examine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}28{:}56{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57{.}880$  All the lesions in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:28:57.880 --> 00:28:58.600 differential diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:28:58.600 \rightarrow 00:29:02.552$  if it behaves one to look for potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}29{:}02{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04{.}420$  additional diagnostic utilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}29{:}04{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06{.}107$  and you can do that by staining

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:06.107 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.240$  a bunch of cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}29{:}07{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}09{.}802$  But you can also use publicly

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}29{:}09{.}802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}11{.}510$  available gene expression data

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:11.590 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.798$  to do the experiment in silico,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}29{:}13.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}16.400$  and then and then to confirm it with

 $00:29:16.400 \rightarrow 00:29:18.359$  parents paraffin and this is these

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}29{:}18.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}20.231$  are all papers published by Marku

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:29:20.299 --> 00:29:23.408 Marku Miettinen, who's a personal hero,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}29{:}23.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26.068$  and he bombs every new.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:29:26.070 --> 00:29:26.916 Immunohistochemical marker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:26.916 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.031$  he studies them in thousands

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}29{:}29{.}031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}30{.}770$  and thousands of tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:29:30.770 --> 00:29:31.862 So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}29{:}31.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}36.520$  got a 3 and 2500 tumors 4 for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:29:36.520 --> 00:29:39.289 And again, exceptional,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

00:29:39.289 --> 00:29:42.058 lovely, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:42.060 \rightarrow 00:29:44.769$  doing what you can within the constraints

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}29{:}44.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47.138$  of the resources at your disposal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}29{:}47.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}50.119$  And So what I've done at Iowa over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00{:}29{:}50{.}119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}52{.}839$ last 11 years is do what Marku did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558

 $00:29:52.840 \rightarrow 00:29:55.240$  And so I've developed tissue microarrays.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- 00:29:55.240 --> 00:29:55.824 I, I,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00:29:55.824 \rightarrow 00:29:56.408$  you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00:29:56.408 \rightarrow 00:29:58.607$  boasted this morning that I have hundreds
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00:29:58.607 \rightarrow 00:30:00.522$  of tissue microarrays of thousands
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00:30:00.522 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.392$  of tumors of every, of every type.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00{:}30{:}03{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}06{.}056$  And I'm now able to do,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00:30:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.504$  able to do this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- 00:30:08.504 --> 00:30:11.526 And so instead of doing this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00:30:11.526 \rightarrow 00:30:15.510$  we start at the plateau of productivity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- $00:30:15.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.650$  We start with an informed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866198558
- 00:30:17.650 --> 00:30:18.934 new diagnostic marker.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00{:}30{:}24.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}27.351$  So stains I love most are oligo
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00{:}30{:}27.351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28.770$  specific transcription factors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00{:}30{:}28{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}30{.}970$  That, and I think a lot of people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00{:}30{:}30{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}33{.}054$  expect to transcription factor to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:30:33.054 \rightarrow 00:30:35.494$  have a single diagnostic application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00{:}30{:}35{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}38{.}260$  And I think that's entirely unrealistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:30:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.568$  that the body is too efficient to

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:30:41.568 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.868$  use a single transcription factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00{:}30{:}43.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46.684$  for a single application it it

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:30:46.684 \rightarrow 00:30:49.755$  reuses things you know and and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:30:49.755 --> 00:30:52.240 It just behooves us to know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:30:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.497$  different diagnostic applications

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00{:}30{:}53{.}497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55{.}877$  for all these different markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:30:55.880 --> 00:30:57.796 And so I say stains, I lovers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:30:57.796 --> 00:31:00.838 Swiss army knives and sappy 2 is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:00.838 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.176$  an example that I'll share with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:31:03.180 --> 00:31:04.180 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:04.180 \rightarrow 00:31:07.180$  my approach to every diagnostic marker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:07.180 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.512$  mainly transcription factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:08.512 \rightarrow 00:31:12.270$  is I dig very deeply into the basic

- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- 00:31:12.270 --> 00:31:14.558 science underpinning the marker,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- 00:31:14.560 --> 00:31:17.070 especially the developmental biology because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00:31:17.070 \rightarrow 00:31:20.619$  that's my hop hobby and so sappy too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- 00:31:20.620 --> 00:31:21.296 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00{:}31{:}21{.}296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}22{.}986$  pathologists learned about it about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- 00:31:22.986 --> 00:31:25.441 10 years ago because it was actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00:31:25.441 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.203$  identified as a colon cancer marker in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00:31:28.203 \dashrightarrow 00:31:30.422$  context of the human protein Atlas effort.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- 00:31:30.430 --> 00:31:31.934 But every new diagnostic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- 00:31:31.934 --> 00:31:34.590 marker if you go to Pub Med,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00:31:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.997$  has a rich,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00{:}31{:}35{.}997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38{.}811$  generally decades long history in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00:31:38.811 \rightarrow 00:31:40.769$  developmental biology literature.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00:31:40.770 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.690$  And so even though we met SAT B2 in 2011,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00:31:44.690 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.550$  this was this was studied by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:46.625 \dashrightarrow 00:31:48.590$  developmental biologists that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:48.590 \rightarrow 00:31:51.210$  involved in neuronal development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:51.210 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.506$  Craniofacial development

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:52.506 \rightarrow 00:31:54.450$  and skeletal development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.298$  And from the developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:56.298 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.146$  biology of the marker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.850$  you can infer diagnostic applications

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:31:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.900$  and then you can test them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00{:}32{:}01{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}05{.}164$  You can design the study to test them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00:32:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.229$  And so here's sat B2 doing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00{:}32{:}08{.}229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10{.}162$  most familiar SAT B2 thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00{:}32{:}10.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}12.027$  This is a mucinous adenocarcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

 $00{:}32{:}12.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}14.221$  that before I had sat B2 I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:32:14.221 --> 00:32:16.319 have said had a lower GI phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:32:16.320 --> 00:32:17.110 It's homogeneous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003

00:32:17.110 --> 00:32:19.085 I say homogeneous for diffuse,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00:32:19.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.260$  strong for CK20 and CDX 2.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00:32:23.260 \rightarrow 00:32:25.948$  And I would have said adenocarcinoma with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- 00:32:25.948 --> 00:32:28.320 mucinous features and lower GI phenotype.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- 00:32:28.320 --> 00:32:29.600 And this one's actually sappy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00:32:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.564$  too negative,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00:32:30.564 \rightarrow 00:32:33.456$  and this is actually an ampullary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- $00:32:33.456 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.938$  adenocarcinoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- 00:32:33.940 --> 00:32:36.730 So this is SATB 2 conferring
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760002003
- 00:32:36.730 --> 00:32:38.125 lower GI specificity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- $00:32:40.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.315$  Here's another diagnostic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- 00:32:41.315 --> 00:32:42.855 application of SAT V2.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- $00{:}32{:}42.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}45.632$  This is an undifferentiated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- $00{:}32{:}45.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47.018$  undifferentiated carcinoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- $00{:}32{:}47.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}49.402$  Here's a carrot and in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- $00{:}32{:}49{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}51{.}320$  colon it's MLH 1 deficient.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:32:51.320 \rightarrow 00:32:55.866$  So this is a this is a not quite a medullary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}32{:}55{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}57{.}850$  It's an undifferentiated MSI high

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:32:57.850 \dashrightarrow 00:33:00.460$  cancer and it's CDX two negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:00.460 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.812$  and MSI high colon cancers are

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}33{:}02.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}05.293$  often CDX two negative because CDX

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:05.293 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.783$  2 actually has micro satellites in

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:07.783 \dashrightarrow 00:33:10.317$  its exons and is susceptible to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}33{:}10{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12{.}404$  Frameshift mutation and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:12.404 \rightarrow 00:33:15.530$  nonsense mediated decay so that it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

00:33:15.530 - 00:33:18.806 It also explains why the tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}33{:}18.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.898$  are undifferentiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:19.900 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.776$  And here's sappy 2 in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

00:33:21.780 --> 00:33:25.098 So SAP two has an additional diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:25.098 \rightarrow 00:33:28.504$  application as an increased it adds

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:28.504 \rightarrow 00:33:31.664$  increased sensitivity to the detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:33:31.664 \rightarrow 00:33:34.100$  of undifferentiated colon cancer.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- $00:33:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.102$  Here's another one.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- 00:33:35.102 --> 00:33:36.772 This Jason did because he
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- $00{:}33{:}36{.}772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38{.}000$  was positioned to do it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- 00:33:38.000 00:33:39.768 He's a sarcoma pathologist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- $00:33:39.768 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.860$  He did it with my resident Jay
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- $00:33:42.860 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.990$  Connor who's now the chief of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- 00:33:44.990 --> 00:33:48.400 GI at at at Sinai in Toronto.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- $00:33:48.400 \rightarrow 00:33:50.934$  Is this so this was age-old question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- $00{:}33{:}50{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}54{.}041$  Is this osteoid or is it just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- $00:33:54.041 \rightarrow 00:33:57.848$  hyalinized stroma and sappy 2 is an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- $00:33:57.848 \rightarrow 00:33:59.636$  osteoblast differentiation marker.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- $00:33:59.640 \rightarrow 00:34:01.999$  We knew that from the developmental biology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- $00{:}34{:}01{.}999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}04{.}269$  literature and now this age-old question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- 00:34:04.270 --> 00:34:06.290 I can say emphatically yes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429
- $00:34:06.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.974$  this is an Austin.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:07.974 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.237$  This is osteoid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.600$  Here's another diagnostic application

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}10{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13{.}423$  and this is where I had the opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}13{.}423 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15{.}233$  to contribute to literature because

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}15{.}233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}17{.}716$  this is where I was the best position.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}17.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19.834$  I was best positioned to study this

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}19{.}834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22{.}099$  marker in the neuroendocrine space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:22.100 \rightarrow 00:34:24.515$  And I had presented this morning that

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}24{.}515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27{.}758$  SAT B2 is a wonderful marker of rectal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.872$  also expressed by appendiceal

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}29{.}872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}31{.}456$  well differentiated neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}31{.}456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34{.}765$  tumors and it's not expressed by

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}34{.}765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}36{.}415$  pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}36{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38{.}520$  And then I had also shared that

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.420$  this is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:39.420 \rightarrow 00:34:42.150$  Because a lot of the transcriptional

 $00:34:42.150 \rightarrow 00:34:44.480$  machinery that drives pancreas net

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

00:34:44.480 --> 00:34:47.090 development namely Pack 6 and islet

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:47.090 \rightarrow 00:34:49.451$  one that transcriptional machinery is

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:49.451 \rightarrow 00:34:52.409$  also operant in rectal neuroendocrine tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}52{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}53{.}268$  And so this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}53{.}268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55{.}961$  this gave me a tool to clearly distinguish

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}34{:}55{.}961 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59{.}066$  pancreatic and rectal neuroendocrine tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:34:59.070 \dashrightarrow 00:35:00.729$  And then I showed you the morphology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}35{:}00{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}01{.}281$  right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:35:01.281 \rightarrow 00:35:04.036$  Everybody can everybody after this

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:35:04.036 \rightarrow 00:35:07.099$  morning's discussion could tell me that

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}35{:}07.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}10.235$  this is type B trabecular paper clip rectal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}35{:}10{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12{.}532$  And then here's here's another diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}35{:}12{.}532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}14{.}859$  application I shared this this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:35:14.860 \rightarrow 00:35:16.288$  How about PD neck?

00:35:16.288 --> 00:35:17.716 And in PD neck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00{:}35{:}17.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}20.780$  sat B2 is a reasonably sensitive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

00:35:20.780 --> 00:35:23.990 highly specific marker of cutaneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

 $00:35:23.990 \rightarrow 00:35:25.274$  neuroendocrine carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772248778571429

00:35:25.280 --> 00:35:27.458 Merkel cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:35:29.590 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.530$  And, and there's more, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:35:31.530 --> 00:35:33.942 So here I'm being like a, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:35:33.942 \rightarrow 00:35:35.606$  immunohistochemistry used car salesman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}35{:}35{.}610$  -->  $00{:}35{:}38{.}080$  There's one more diagnostic application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}35{:}38.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}41.045$  This is, this is a, this is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}35{:}41.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43.086$  undifferentiated round cell sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:35:43.086 --> 00:35:46.270 That's Ewing sarcoma. Ish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}35{:}46{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}49{.}454$  It's a little too pleomorphic to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:35:49.454 \rightarrow 00:35:52.520$  Ewing sarcoma and it's CD 99 negative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:35:52.520 \rightarrow 00:35:56.335$  before I had the opportunity to validate

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:35:56.335 \rightarrow 00:35:59.839$  becor immunohistochemistry in my lab.

 $00:35:59.840 \rightarrow 00:36:01.947$  Because it was hard to come across

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:36:01.950 --> 00:36:03.434 10B core rearranged sarcomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}36{:}03{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}07{.}342$  I use SAP B2 as a surrogate for B

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}36{:}07{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}10{.}007$  core rearranged sarcom as because about

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}36{:}10.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}12.140$ 70% of B core rearranged s<br/>arcomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}36{:}12.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.084$  are strongly sappy, too positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:36:14.084 \rightarrow 00:36:16.269$  This was demonstrated by Christina

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:36:16.269 --> 00:36:18.563 Antonescu in gene expression profiling

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}36{:}18.563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}21.293$  experiments and sort of tucked in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}36{:}21{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}23{.}834$  you know, as a supplementary fig figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:36:23.834 \rightarrow 00:36:27.145$  in one of her papers from 10 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}36{:}27.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}27.696$  All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:36:27.696 --> 00:36:29.880 So I always ask will it Swiss army

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}36{:}29{.}945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31{.}884$  knife and and the answer is yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:36:31.890 \rightarrow 00:36:35.364$  Yes I want more more value with fewer mark,

- $00:36:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.590$  fewer markers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- 00:36:36.590 --> 00:36:37.262 All right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:36:37.262 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.558$  So that's next generation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- 00:36:40.558 --> 00:36:43.516 immunohistochemistry onto optimization.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:36:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.808$  It's maybe we could say selection
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:36:46.808 \dashrightarrow 00:36:49.340$  optimization and validation and so,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:36:49.340 \dashrightarrow 00:36:52.724$  so there's Twitter, so am I HC guy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:36:52.730 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.762$  And the reason that I'm IHC guy is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:36:55.762 \dashrightarrow 00:36:58.063$  I'm passionate about being connected
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:36:58.063 \rightarrow 00:37:00.985$  with people and having the opportunity NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- 00:37:00.985 --> 00:37:04.008 to share things that I'm passionate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:37:04.008 \dashrightarrow 00:37:05.560$  about mainly immunohistochemistry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- 00:37:05.560 --> 00:37:10.731 I'm kind of a nerd and so I use NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:37:10.731 \dashrightarrow 00:37:13.643$  this platform to to mentor IHC lab.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00{:}37{:}13.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}15.290$  Directorship and different directors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:37:15.290 \rightarrow 00:37:18.340$  are at different levels and I give

- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:37:18.340 \dashrightarrow 00:37:20.428$  different directors different advice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00{:}37{:}20{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}23{.}314$  So this is my friend Frank Ingram,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- 00:37:23.320 --> 00:37:25.384 who's Chuck Town MG,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00{:}37{:}25{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}29{.}027$  very popular on Twitter and he took
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:37:29.027 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.587$  over as a local icy lab director in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00{:}37{:}32.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35.743$  his community practice in Charlotte,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:37:35.743 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.607$  NC and he had no training in in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- 00:37:39.607 --> 00:37:41.479 Immunohistochemistry Lab directorship.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:37:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.846$  Just like me, I had no formal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- 00:37:43.850 --> 00:37:45.236 Training in Immunohistochemistry
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00{:}37{:}45{.}236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}48{.}008$  lab directorship and he said I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- 00:37:48.008 --> 00:37:50.450 want to bring up God up, 3 packs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- 00:37:50.450 --> 00:37:51.060 8 socks,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00{:}37{:}51.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}54.219$  10 and erg to which I said Hallelujah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00{:}37{:}54{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}56{.}380$  like you must have those markers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:37:56.380 --> 00:37:58.180 And I provide you know I I talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}37{:}58.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}00.155$  a lot right and I write a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:38:00.160 --> 00:38:03.292 So I provided him very detailed

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:03.292 \rightarrow 00:38:06.780$  instructions about how I would go about

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.865$  value optimizing and then validating

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:08.865 \rightarrow 00:38:12.100$  what I consider to be pretty routine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}38{:}12.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15.844$  Tried and true robust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}38{:}15{.}844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}17{.}716$  Immunohistochemical markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.281$  And then here's another one of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}38{:}19{.}281 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20{.}954$  close friends. This is Phil Reese.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:20.954 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.484$  Phil Reese is operating at

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}38{:}22.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}24.319$  A at a much higher level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}38{:}24{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}25{.}852$  He's an IC lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:25.852 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.767$  He's the IHC lab director

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00{:}38{:}27.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}29.718$  at OHSU in Portland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:38:29.720 \dashrightarrow 00:38:31.960$  He took the lab over from Megan Troxell,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- 00:38:31.960 --> 00:38:34.860 who's a Jedi Master of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- 00:38:34.860 --> 00:38:36.600 Immunohistochemistry lab directorship.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:38:36.600 \dashrightarrow 00:38:39.088$  And she and I have taught each other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:38:39.088 \rightarrow 00:38:41.177$  a tremendous amount over the years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:38:41.180 \rightarrow 00:38:43.875$  And Phil was looking for something very,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00{:}38{:}43{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45{.}544$  very specific.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- 00:38:45.544 --> 00:38:48.040 He wanted immunohistochemistry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:38:48.040 \rightarrow 00:38:51.148$  For Toxoplasma, which is a really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:38:51.150 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.408$  really niche application and I said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:38:54.410 \rightarrow 00:38:54.942$  well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- 00:38:54.942 --> 00:38:57.070 I certainly don't do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00{:}38{:}57{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}58{.}666$ immunohistochemistry for Toxoplasma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00:38:58.670 \dashrightarrow 00:39:01.183$  But the one person in the world
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- $00{:}39{:}01{.}183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}04{.}819$  that's the best person to talk to you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414
- 00:39:04.819 --> 00:39:06.307 about Toxoplasma immunohistochemistry
- NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

 $00:39:06.307 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.788$  is an unfortunately he passed

NOTE Confidence: 0.828534414

00:39:08.788 --> 00:39:11.128 away about 18 months ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:39:11.130 --> 00:39:14.898 But Sharif Zaki, who was the chief of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:39:14.898 \rightarrow 00:39:18.438$  IBD pathology at the NIH and I connected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:39:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.300$  Fill with Sharif and Phil got what he needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:39:23.300 --> 00:39:25.869 So antibody selection you know I I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:39:25.869 \rightarrow 00:39:28.344$  I'll say like all these examples that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:39:28.344 --> 00:39:31.357 I might get to one or two of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}39{:}31{.}357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}33{.}674$  got a three and your end terminus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:39:33.680 --> 00:39:36.290 Hey one you know I'm selecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:39:36.290 \dashrightarrow 00:39:38.991$  antibodies I'm I'm trying to invent

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:39:38.991 --> 00:39:41.613 new antibodies but but Chuck Frank,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}39{:}41.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}43.972$  Chuck Town, Frank knows from from you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}39{:}43{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}46{.}636$  know going to be going to meetings

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:39:46.636 \rightarrow 00:39:48.616$  listening to listening to lectures

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:39:48.616 \rightarrow 00:39:51.136$  what the you know cutting edge what

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- $00:39:51.136 \rightarrow 00:39:52.940$  the advancing edge of diagnostic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- $00:39:52.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.090$  Pathology is and so that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- $00:39:55.090 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.950$  antibody selection.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- $00{:}39{:}55{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}57{.}333$  What what immunohistochemical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- 00:39:57.333 --> 00:40:01.500 marker do I want to bring up in my
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- $00{:}40{:}01{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}03{.}645$  lab for a diagnostic application
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- $00:40:03.645 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.970$  or for research application?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- $00{:}40{:}05{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08{.}650$  And then this is sort of the sequence
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- $00{:}40{:}08.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.037$  of events from maybe from easy to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- 00:40:11.037 --> 00:40:13.680 hard that I go through and actually.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- $00{:}40{:}13.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}15.792$  There's a few tricks of the trade that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- 00:40:15.792 --> 00:40:17.920 I learned from David Rim on this list.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- $00{:}40{:}17{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}20{.}032$  So so the easiest thing is to phone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- 00:40:20.032 --> 00:40:22.060 a friend and you can always phone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- 00:40:22.060 --> 00:40:24.328 you can always phone me phone a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:24.328 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.248$  friend works great for esoteric

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}40{:}26.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.040$  antibodies so connecting fill the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.280$  Sharif was was really that was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.178$  one step solution to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:32.180 \rightarrow 00:40:35.260$  The published literature is great is great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:35.260 \rightarrow 00:40:39.040$  I often go to the public I just go to publed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.833$  Has there been a been a paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:41.833 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.690$  published with this marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:43.690 \rightarrow 00:40:45.166$  What are the figures look like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:40:45.170 --> 00:40:45.454 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:45.454 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.880$  what are the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:45.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.008$  what are the pictures look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:47.010 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.322$  Does this look good?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}40{:}48{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{.}49{.}962$  Does this look like an

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}40{:}49{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}51{.}047$ immunohistochemical stain of high

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:51.047 \rightarrow 00:40:52.950$  quality that I would want in my lab?

 $00{:}40{:}52.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}56.198$  And then Nordic QC is the Scandinavian

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:40:56.198 --> 00:40:59.001 equivalent of the CAP Immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:40:59.001 \rightarrow 00:41:02.979$  committee and they published their very

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:41:02.979 \rightarrow 00:41:06.129$  transparent and they published very

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}41{:}06{.}129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}08{.}015$  detailed platform specific protocols

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:41:08.015 --> 00:41:11.060 for what I refer to as diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:41:11.140 \rightarrow 00:41:14.068$  workhorses so that they've got published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:41:14.070 \rightarrow 00:41:16.572$  Protocols and usually 10 different published

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}41{:}16.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}19.400$  protocols that are again platform specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:41:19.400 --> 00:41:21.206 So you're running a doco auto

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:41:21.206 --> 00:41:23.340 Stainer link 48 or you're running

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:41:23.340 --> 00:41:25.056 a VENTANA benchmark ultra,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:41:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.814$  or you have a or you have a doco

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:41:27.814 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.459$  omnis or you have a like a Bond 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}41{:}30{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33{.}844$  For for about 150 to 200

 $00:41:33.844 \rightarrow 00:41:35.536$  different diagnostic antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}41{:}35{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}38{.}137$  So that's where I pointed frank for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:41:38.137 \rightarrow 00:41:41.558$  packs 8 and socks 10 and erg and then and NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:41:41.558 --> 00:41:43.542 then these are more shots in the dark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}41{:}43.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}45.622$  So these are these are databases that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:41:45.622 --> 00:41:48.057 you can I usually end up when I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}41{:}48.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}49.981$  bringing up a brand new diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:41:49.981 \rightarrow 00:41:52.627$  marker I end up with these databases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:41:52.630 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.210$  Biocompare is pretty dumb.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}41{:}54{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}57{.}613$  It just spits out it just spits out a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00{:}41{:}57{.}613 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}00{.}909$  list a list of vendors and you can click on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:42:00.910 --> 00:42:01.284 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:42:01.284 \rightarrow 00:42:02.780$  you can click on the link and go

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:42:02.830 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.499$  to the website,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

 $00:42:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.370$  but these are two that I learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625

00:42:06.370 --> 00:42:07.600 from David actually,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- $00:42:07.600 \rightarrow 00:42:10.995$  antibody pedia and bench and bench side.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- 00:42:11.000 --> 00:42:14.032 And I I think I like benci the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- 00:42:14.032 --> 00:42:16.000 most because bentsi does for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83119552625
- $00:42:16.000 \rightarrow 00:42:18.597$  me it uses AI and does for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461
- $00{:}42{:}18.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}20.539$  me what I used to do,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461
- $00{:}42{:}20.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}22.592$  which is go through every paper
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461
- $00:42:22.592 \rightarrow 00:42:25.167$  published and say look at the method
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461
- $00{:}42{:}25.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}27.067$  and is there an immunohistochemical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461
- $00:42:27.067 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.542$  method and what's the clone and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461
- $00:42:29.542 \rightarrow 00:42:31.190$  aggregate all this information.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461
- $00:42:31.190 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.829$  And bench side does it for you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461
- $00:42:33.830 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.014$  So this is what Nordic QC looks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461
- $00{:}42{:}36{.}014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}37{.}900$  like and these are examples,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461
- $00{:}42{:}37{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}41{.}185$  so these are examples of these are all the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461
- $00:42:41.185 \rightarrow 00:42:45.870$  different like recommendable her to assays.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:42:45.870 --> 00:42:47.846 And you know it's not just the clone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}42{:}47.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}50.554$  it's all the conditions of the test and

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}42{:}50{.}554$  -->  $00{:}42{:}53{.}568$  you click the link to the PDF and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:42:53.568 \rightarrow 00:42:56.130$  a starting point for your optimization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:42:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.270$  And then here's biocompare

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}42{:}59{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}01{.}625$  antibody pedia ads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:43:01.630 --> 00:43:03.156 Biocompare just gives you a list of

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:43:03.156 --> 00:43:05.003 a list of vendors and you can click

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}43{:}05{.}003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}06{.}630$  links and go to their websites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:06.630 \rightarrow 00:43:09.138$  Antibody Pedia will vouch on and

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}43{:}09{.}138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}11.749$  you're looking for you're looking for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:43:11.750 --> 00:43:15.089 Green Circles will vouch for the quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}43{:}15{.}089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}18{.}508$  of the experiments that were done to

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}43{:}18.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}21.370$  validate the specificity of the antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:21.370 \rightarrow 00:43:23.434$  So all the way back to the beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}43{:}23.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}25.487$  when I said there's these two

- NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461
- $00:43:25.487 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.322$  papers for the basic scientists,

00:43:27.330 --> 00:43:29.808 the one that Angelo de Marzo published,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.840$  this case based, and the Eulen 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:31.840 \rightarrow 00:43:35.456$  That that David collaborated on and so many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:35.460 \rightarrow 00:43:37.116$  And then if you do it for a while,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}43{:}37{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}39{.}787$  you know some vendors are more scrupulous

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}43{:}39.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41.759$  with their science than others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:41.760 \rightarrow 00:43:43.416$  but this gives you a check.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}43{:}43{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}46{.}210$  And so we're looking for a green circle that

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:43:46.210 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.155$  is referring to an enhanced by validation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}43{:}49{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49{.}610$  So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}43{:}49.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}52.310$  So they're using some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:43:52.310 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:43:55.110 techniques like like <br/> like know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}43{:}55{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57{.}366$  either crisper cast and not to knock it

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}43{:}57{.}366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}59{.}341$  out or they're using small interfering

00:43:59.341 --> 00:44:01.980 RNA to knock it down to validate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.580$  The specificity of the antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:44:04.580 --> 00:44:06.716 And then here is bench side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:06.720 \rightarrow 00:44:10.016$  which gives me which links to the papers

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}44{:}10.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}12.420$  themselves and not just the papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.844$  but links to the figures of the papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:44:14.850 --> 00:44:17.062 So this is I used to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:44:17.062 -> 00:44:18.790 biocompare and thank you David.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:18.790 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.170$  Now I go to Bankside 1st and

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:21.170 \rightarrow 00:44:22.850$  antibody pedia and benzite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.700$  there's they're free for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}44{:}24.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25.920$  I think you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.140$  They're free to academicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:27.140 \rightarrow 00:44:29.800$  You have to like create a login, but totally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:29.800 \rightarrow 00:44:32.975$  totally free, incredibly powerful tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:32.980 \rightarrow 00:44:34.784$  We're doing on time.

00:44:34.784 --> 00:44:36.137 We're doing OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:44:36.140 --> 00:44:38.130 Ethical sourcing of primary antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:38.130 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.960$  I mentioned this briefly in

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:39.960 \rightarrow 00:44:41.058$  a few conversations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:44:41.060 --> 00:44:44.204 I will not source an antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:44:44.204 --> 00:44:48.326 from Santa Cruz in my lab because

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:48.326 \rightarrow 00:44:51.880$  they committed gross animal abuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:51.880 \rightarrow 00:44:56.455$  and they actually they they they

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:56.455 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.980$  lost their license to sell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:44:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.385$  Animal products derived from animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}45{:}02{.}385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05{.}677$  and and the loophole is that mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}45{:}05.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}08.484$  don't qualify as animals so they so

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}45{:}08{.}484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}10{.}336$  they can't sell polyclonal's anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:45:10.336 --> 00:45:11.468 They can't sell rabbit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}45{:}11.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}13.420$  rabbit polyclonal or goat polyclonal

 $00:45:13.420 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.370$  because those those are considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:45:15.433 \rightarrow 00:45:17.245$  animals by the by the government.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}45{:}17.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19.035$  But mice aren't considered animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00{:}45{:}19.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}21.580$  and so Santa Cruz is still in

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:45:21.580 --> 00:45:23.644 business but I won't source an

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:45:23.644 \rightarrow 00:45:25.119$  antibody from Santa Cruz.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

00:45:25.120 --> 00:45:27.454 Optimization you know I'll I'll sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:45:27.454 \rightarrow 00:45:30.343$  of move along because I want to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:45:30.343 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.233$  to at least one specific example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:45:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.796$  Optimization is the series

NOTE Confidence: 0.813428826538461

 $00:45:33.796 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.130$  of experiments that we do to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:45:36.203 \rightarrow 00:45:38.675$  optimize the signal to noise ratio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:45:38.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.848$  And the way that I do optimization is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:45:41.848 --> 00:45:44.708 is is very guest check revised and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:45:44.708 \rightarrow 00:45:47.689$  visually oriented and David has a awesome

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:45:47.689 \rightarrow 00:45:50.159$  scientific way to do optimization.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:45:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.308$  He optimizes the signal to noise
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:45:52.308 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.010$  ratio but he he takes the human.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:45:55.010 \rightarrow 00:45:57.380$  Right out of the equation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:45:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.010$  And this here's an example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00{:}45{:}59{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01{.}674$  you know these are the the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:46:01.674 \rightarrow 00:46:03.450$  iterative specific experiments that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- 00:46:03.526 --> 00:46:05.818 I perform to optimize a primary,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:46:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.260$  new primary antibody.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:46:07.260 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.660$  We mainly attend to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- 00:46:09.660 --> 00:46:11.400 primary antibody dilution,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00{:}46{:}11{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}15{.}376$  the type of antigen retrieval and then the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- 00:46:15.376 --> 00:46:18.398 primary antibody duration of incubation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00{:}46{:}18{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}21{.}628$  the choice of adding amplification to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:46:21.628 \rightarrow 00:46:24.413$  detection or just straight detection
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00{:}46{:}24{.}413 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27{.}659$  and then the duration of detection.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:27.660 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.756$  And then there's validation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:46:28.756 --> 00:46:30.126 I talked about validation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}46{:}30{.}126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31{.}120$  the research space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.318$  This is validation in the clinical space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}46{:}33{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35{.}856$  So an IHC lab director is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:35.856 \rightarrow 00:46:37.878$  pay attention to this White Paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.144$  principles of analytic validation

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:40.144 \rightarrow 00:46:42.408$  of immunohistochemical assays that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:42.408 \rightarrow 00:46:44.757$  specifies the quantity and quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}46{:}44.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}47.417$  of the cohorts that one should put

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}46{:}47{.}487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}50{.}476$  together to to validate an antibody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}46{:}50{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}53{.}888$  which is necessary to put it

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:46:53.888 --> 00:46:55.592 into clinical use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:46:55.600 --> 00:46:57.898 Here's validation in the research setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:46:57.900 \rightarrow 00:47:01.407$  These are the different types of experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:01.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.674$  And then let's, let's not do mine, let's do,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- 00:47:04.674 --> 00:47:06.714 let's do annyas, let's do the dry,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:47:06.714 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.244$  let's do the dry challenge.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:47:08.250 \rightarrow 00:47:11.825$  So Anya wanted proteasome subunit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00{:}47{:}11.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}14.542$  beta 5T and I said I'm she said
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:47:14.542 \rightarrow 00:47:17.210$  this is not commercially available.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00{:}47{:}17{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}19{.}359$  I am so interested in this analyte
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:47:19.359 \rightarrow 00:47:21.442$  and it's not commercially available
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00{:}47{:}21{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}23{.}086$  and I'm devastated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00{:}47{:}23.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}25.727$  And I said I'm sure that I'm sure that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- 00:47:25.727 --> 00:47:28.519 I can make an immunohistochemical test
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:47:28.519 \rightarrow 00:47:31.310$  to proteasome subunit beta 5T and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00{:}47{:}31{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}32{.}300$  And we talked about it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- 00:47:32.300 --> 00:47:34.276 I was giving I,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- 00:47:34.276 --> 00:47:37.212 I Co direct a week long immunohistochemistry
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00{:}47{:}37{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}39{.}716$  course and these are three of my
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:39.716 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.557$  lovely faculty and this is this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}47{:}41.557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.944$  what we talked about at the at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}47{:}43{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}45{.}810$  dinner table in Montreal this summer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}47{:}45.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}48.490$  And so here's and then you just have

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}47{:}48.567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}51.855$  to trace it and I call this like

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:51.855 \rightarrow 00:47:53.160$  immunohistochemistry Sudoku right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}47{:}53.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.190$  And I want to I want to get to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:47:55.250 \rightarrow 00:47:57.518$  solution and as few steps as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}47{:}57{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}00{.}271$  So all she told me was proteosome

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:00.271 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.450$  subunit beta 5T.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:01.450 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.312$  And I found this paper that she

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:03.312 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.110$  was referring to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:48:04.110 --> 00:48:06.640 So it's a Japanese group

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:06.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.170$  and they created their own,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:09.170 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.836$  I believe polyclonal antibody to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:12.836 \rightarrow 00:48:15.670$  this proteosome subunit beta 5T.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- 00:48:15.670 --> 00:48:18.234 Fascinatingly, you know proteasomes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:48:18.234 \rightarrow 00:48:20.988$  they're they're cool, they have,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:48:20.988 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.230$  they have lids,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:48:22.230 \rightarrow 00:48:24.554$  they have a cylinder body and they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:48:24.554 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.378$  have bottoms, they have subunits.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00{:}48{:}26{.}378$  -->  $00{:}48{:}29{.}990$  And the thymus has its a unique proteosome,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:48:29.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.345$  totally different than the proteosome
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:48:31.345 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.169$  and the whole rest of the body.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- 00:48:33.170 --> 00:48:34.698 Because in the thymus,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00{:}48{:}34{.}698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}36{.}990$  you need to use the proteosome
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:48:37.067 \rightarrow 00:48:39.074$  to process antigens, to present,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00:48:39.074 \rightarrow 00:48:41.140$  to grow up, to grow up T cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00{:}48{:}41{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42{.}184$  So that's the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00{:}48{:}42.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}44.272$  that's the science behind this thing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875
- $00{:}48{:}44{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}45{.}918$  And then the first thing that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:45.918 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.575$  I had to solve is, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:47.575 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.372$  I needed to know what the gene was and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00:48:50.372 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.276$  it wasn't clear what the gene was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

00:48:52.280 --> 00:48:54.584 but just Googling around I found

NOTE Confidence: 0.89158452875

 $00{:}48{:}54{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}55{.}736$  a couple different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00{:}48{:}55{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}57{.}716$  So there's PSMB 5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00{:}48{:}57{.}716$  -->  $00{:}49{:}01{.}732$  And then I started and then it turned

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:49:01.732 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.508$  out to actually be PSMB 11 was was

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:49:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.646$  the gene that encodes this proteasome

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00{:}49{:}09{.}646$  -->  $00{:}49{:}14{.}588$  subunit beta beta 5T and the PSMB 5 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00{:}49{:}14.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}18.700$  actually the the T stands for thymus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

00:49:18.700 --> 00:49:22.244 thymus specific. So I started with PSMB 5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00{:}49{:}22.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.749$  but that's not the thymus specific one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00{:}49{:}24.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}28.246$  So I found this paper and I found just

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:49:28.246 \rightarrow 00:49:29.950$  that there were only four papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

00:49:29.950 --> 00:49:33.090 That were published with immunohistochemistry

- NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429
- $00:49:33.090 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.602$  with this diagnostic analyte.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429
- 00:49:35.610 --> 00:49:38.109 And I would just dig into the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429
- $00:49:38.110 \rightarrow 00:49:41.510$  dig into the methods and and you have to dig
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429
- $00:49:41.595 \rightarrow 00:49:44.763$  and then dig and dig it's detective work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429
- $00{:}49{:}44.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}46.746$  So the paper that Anya was referring to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429
- $00{:}49{:}46.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}48.736$  that was published in AMJ Surge path,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429
- $00:49:48.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.080$  they said C prior,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429
- 00:49:50.080 --> 00:49:53.438 so I kept going back C prior, C prior,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429
- $00{:}49{:}53.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54.974$  here's some supplementary material
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429
- $00:49:54.974 \rightarrow 00:49:57.771$  and it was a polyclonal that they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429
- $00{:}49{:}57{.}771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00{.}550$  had produced in their lab that wasn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429
- $00{:}50{:}00{.}626$  -->  $00{:}50{:}03{.}368$  commercialized and so was not available.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429
- $00{:}50{:}03{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}06{.}238$  But that's OK because I said.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429
- 00:50:06.240 --> 00:50:09.960 Basic science is \$160 billion
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429
- $00:50:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.858$  annual industry,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:10.858 \rightarrow 00:50:14.001$  and I said there are usually hundreds

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:14.001 \rightarrow 00:50:16.834$  of commercially available antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:16.834 \rightarrow 00:50:19.898$  to any immunohistochemical target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:19.900 \rightarrow 00:50:22.564$  And so I just started this is bio compared,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:22.570 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.038$  this is the dumb,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00{:}50{:}24.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}26.240$  this is the dumb database and

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:26.323 \rightarrow 00:50:28.588$  this this antibody that Anya,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:28.590 \rightarrow 00:50:30.070$  who is an expert,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

00:50:30.070 - 00:50:33.039 she is the IHC lab director at Mayo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.476$  said this is an antibody that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00{:}50{:}35{.}476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}36{.}520$  not commercially available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00{:}50{:}36{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}40{.}220$  There are 63 different commercially

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00{:}50{:}40{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}43{.}920$  available antibodies to PSMB 11.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.054$  Now that's a problem because I can't test 63,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833046176071429

 $00:50:47.054 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.458$  I can only test a few.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:50:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.876$  So then I applied it to let's use a,

 $00:50:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.840$  let's use a finer screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}50{:}54{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58{.}179$  And then so here's the antibody pedia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

00:50:58.180 --> 00:50:59.636 And. And so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:50:59.636 \rightarrow 00:51:02.266$  we're looking for the green circles and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:51:02.266 \rightarrow 00:51:04.919$  so we've got some more promising targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:51:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.798$  And then here's the bench side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:51:06.800 \rightarrow 00:51:09.833$  And I ended up going with the bench side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:51:09.840 \rightarrow 00:51:13.024$  And I found from looking at these figures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:51:13.030 \rightarrow 00:51:14.910$  actually this figure here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}51{:}14{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}17{.}730$  this is a figure that demonstrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:51:17.813 \dashrightarrow 00:51:20.589$  that I want to see which is nuclear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:51:20.590 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.874$  The nuclear staining in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}51{:}22.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24.587$  thymic epithelial cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}51{:}24{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}26{.}270$  And so this is the antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}51{:}26{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}28{.}195$  This is the antibody that I that

00:51:28.195 - 00:51:30.038 I proposed to Anya that she get

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}51{:}30{.}038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32{.}396$  and and work up in her lab for

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:51:32.396 \rightarrow 00:51:33.686$  this diagnostic application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:51:33.690 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.580$  Now the icing on the cake is if in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:51:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.749$  the last couple of months we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:51:37.749 \rightarrow 00:51:39.569$  had had the opportunity to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00{:}51{:}39{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}41{.}922$  I pushed and pushed to do it

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:51:41.922 \rightarrow 00:51:44.369$  for the uscap deadline and she,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

00:51:44.370 --> 00:51:45.693 because she's European,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:51:45.693 \rightarrow 00:51:47.898$  was thoughtfully like on vacation

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:51:47.898 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.150$  for six weeks in Germany. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:51:52.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.630$  And then actually this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}51{:}53{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}54{.}968$  you know, this is a really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

00:51:54.970 --> 00:51:57.880 really good place to stop because

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}51{:}57{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}01{.}470$  here's the next step I said you can you

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}52{:}01{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}04{.}490$  can do all of the validation in silico,

00:52:04.490 --> 00:52:05.496 in silico.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:52:05.496 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.221$  And so this is I guess who in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:52:10.221 \rightarrow 00:52:14.183$  room knows what C bio portal is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}52{:}14{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}14{.}747$  Great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

00:52:14.747 -> 00:52:18.089 So everybody in the room should

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:52:18.089 \rightarrow 00:52:21.320$  know what C bioportal is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:52:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.770$  It is this for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:52:22.770 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.934$  it is the single most useful and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:52:26.934 \rightarrow 00:52:29.550$  user-friendly bioinformatics tool

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:52:29.550 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.048$  that you can use to analyze quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:52:35.048 \rightarrow 00:52:38.462$  and so easily both molecular genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}52{:}38{.}462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41{.}286$  data and gene expression profiling

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}52{:}41{.}286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}45{.}570$  data and the main source of the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}52{:}45{.}570$  -->  $00{:}52{:}49{.}017$  Is the TCG a date data sets and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00{:}52{:}49{.}017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}51{.}615$  there are pan cancer datasets and

00:52:51.615 - 00:52:55.790 so you you go to the you go to C

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}52{:}55{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}58{.}298$  bioportal and you you can click like

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}52{:}58{.}298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00{.}794$  click a button and it says I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:53:00.794 \rightarrow 00:53:02.719$  analyze all the TCG a tumor types

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:53:02.719 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.750$  and it's like 30 tumor types and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}53{:}04{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}07{.}720$  then at the bottom you just type in the gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

00:53:07.720 --> 00:53:10.071 So I typed in PS:,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:53:10.071 \rightarrow 00:53:13.075$  MB 11 and then you hit a couple buttons like

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:53:13.075 \dashrightarrow 00:53:15.874$  it changes a little bit from time to time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}53{:}15{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}17{.}287$  You have to know where to click.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}53{:}17{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}20{.}349$  You click plots. And then I sorted

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:53:20.349 \rightarrow 00:53:23.808$  these plots by median gene expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00{:}53{:}23{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}27{.}570$  And so in the TCG a data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

 $00:53:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.188$  This is expression of PSMB 11.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:53:31.190 \rightarrow 00:53:32.598$  And it's nothing. Nothing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}53{:}32{.}598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33{.}810$  Nothing. Nothing. Nothing.

00:53:33.810 --> 00:53:36.715 Nothing. Oh my God. And guess what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

00:53:36.715 --> 00:53:39.085 In the TCG a data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:53:39.090 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.846$  What does THYM mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:53:41.846 \rightarrow 00:53:43.310$  It means thymoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:53:43.310 \rightarrow 00:53:46.768$  So before Anya even does this experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

00:53:46.770 --> 00:53:47.014 I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}53{:}47.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49.210$  I know that this is a a winner and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:53:49.276 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.488$  so a lot of the diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

00:53:51.488 --> 00:53:53.691 markers that that I you know that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00:53:53.691 \rightarrow 00:53:56.008$  ran out of time to share with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842395131111111

00:53:56.008 --> 00:53:56.760 I do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}53{:}56{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}58{.}848$  I do this and I and I do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}53{:}58{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}01{.}126$  not only to sort of confirm

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}54{:}01{.}126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}03{.}096$  specificity but also to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}54{:}03{.}173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}05{.}639$  additional diagnostic applications.

 $00{:}54{:}05{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}07{.}936$  And so the the best example of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

00:54:07.936 --> 00:54:10.598 is IGF 2 where it was published

NOTE Confidence: 0.84239513111111

 $00{:}54{:}10.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}12.613$  in this adrenal cortical carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:12.689 \rightarrow 00:54:14.702$  space, adrenal cortical carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:14.702 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.838$  versus adenoma and Jason?

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

00:54:16.840 --> 00:54:18.784 My good friend said and I'll sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

00:54:18.784 --> 00:54:20.818 stop with this story you said what do

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00{:}54{:}20.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}22.780$  you know about this is this is how

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.668$  he interacts with me what do you know NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

00:54:24.668 --> 00:54:26.740 about IGF two and I said Ohh Sylvia

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:26.804 \rightarrow 00:54:29.233$  and Oscar published it there and you NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00{:}54{:}29{.}233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}31{.}050$  know they're endocrine pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00{:}54{:}31{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32{.}766$  It works great for this diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:32.766 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.910$  application that I shared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.752$  He said I brought this antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762

 $00:54:35.752 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.260$  up and I'd love it and I want to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762
- $00:54:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.364$  do a project with it and we'll
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762
- $00:54:40.364 \rightarrow 00:54:43.046$  we'll what project can we do?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762
- $00{:}54{:}43.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}45.138$  And and I and I I said well we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762
- $00{:}54{:}45{.}138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}47{.}120$  can't do the same project again.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762
- $00:54:47.120 \rightarrow 00:54:49.446$  And so I said, well, let's take a look.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863734391904762
- $00{:}54{:}49{.}446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}51{.}380$  Let's take a look at this in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825355993529412
- $00{:}54{:}54{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56{.}195$  I'll just pull up the because I want to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825355993529412
- $00:54:56.195 \rightarrow 00:54:58.165$  show off see bio portal one more time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00:55:01.200 \rightarrow 00:55:02.598$  And these are all the different,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00{:}55{:}02.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}05.561$  you know, I I I use other tools to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00:55:05.561 \rightarrow 00:55:07.266$  visualize gene expression profiling
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00{:}55{:}07{.}266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}10{.}680$  as well this GTX alumina and bio GPS.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- 00:55:10.680 --> 00:55:13.520 I often just use Wikipedia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00{:}55{:}13.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}16.040$  But here's the C bio portal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- 00:55:16.040 --> 00:55:19.028 I clicked t<br/>cga pan Cancer Atlas
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:19.028 \rightarrow 00:55:24.989$  studies query by Gene, entered IGF 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00{:}55{:}24{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}27{.}110$  And here's the plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:27.110 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.510$  And actually, so this is high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:31.510 \rightarrow 00:55:34.318$  And this is high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00{:}55{:}34{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}37{.}848$  And this is a drenal cortical carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:37.850 \rightarrow 00:55:40.800$  And this is paraganglioma pheochromocytoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:55:40.800 - 00:55:43.780 And so by visualizing this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:43.780 \dashrightarrow 00:55:46.692$  I knew that IGF two would be highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00{:}55{:}46.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}49.850$  expressed in paraganglioma pheochromocytoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00{:}55{:}49{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}51{.}762$  And then I just have to go do

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:51.762 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.948$  the experiment to prove it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00{:}55{:}52{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}55{.}902$  What's not in the TCG a data set

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:55:55.902 \dashrightarrow 00:55:57.569$  next neuroendocrine tumor isn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00{:}55{:}57{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}59{.}970$  So I didn't know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:55:59.970 --> 00:56:01.806 I didn't know if this meant

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:56:01.806 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.693$  that IGF two was going to be a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00{:}56{:}04.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}06.281$  general neuroendocrine marker or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- 00:56:06.281 --> 00:56:08.400 perhaps it would be specific.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00:56:08.400 \rightarrow 00:56:10.944$  In the peripheral versus net differential
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00{:}56{:}10{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}14{.}149$  and it was the IT was the latter
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00:56:14.149 \dashrightarrow 00:56:16.670$  that that I confirmed and IGF two.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- 00:56:16.670 --> 00:56:18.700 We talked about analytic robustness
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00:56:18.700 \dashrightarrow 00:56:21.724$  this morning with God at three and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00:56:21.724 \rightarrow 00:56:23.104$  paraganglioma pheochromocytoma and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- 00:56:23.104 --> 00:56:25.971 I said TH is incredibly analytically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00:56:25.971 \rightarrow 00:56:28.426$  robust but it's only expressed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00:56:28.426 \rightarrow 00:56:31.790$  by sympathetic paragangliomas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00:56:31.790 \rightarrow 00:56:34.240$  IGF two is incredibly analytically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00{:}56{:}34{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}36{.}690$  robust that means it's expressed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00{:}56{:}36{.}772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}39{.}404$  even if the sample set on the bench.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00:56:39.410 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.238$  For a month and it's nearly 100%
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:56:42.240 --> 00:56:45.152 sensitive in Paraganglioma pheochromocytoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:56:45.152 --> 00:56:50.078 So again, like simple, simple tools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:56:50.080 --> 00:56:51.916 C bio portal, Oh my God,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:56:51.920 \rightarrow 00:56:54.400$  you know these databases. Me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:56:54.400 --> 00:56:56.628 You know, talk, talk to me, workshop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:56:56.628 --> 00:56:58.660 your workshop, your project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:56:58.660 \rightarrow 00:57:00.770$  There are hundreds of commercially

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:57:00.770 \rightarrow 00:57:02.880$  available antibodies to every analyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00{:}57{:}02{.}943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}04{.}815$  that you might be interested in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:57:04.820 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.760$  You can be a wildly successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:57:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.882$  anatomic pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

00:57:08.882 --> 00:57:11.687 Even if your research budget

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:57:11.687 \rightarrow 00:57:13.126$  is \$300.00 a year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00:57:13.126 \rightarrow 00:57:16.064$  and I'll stop and take your and take your

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00{:}57{:}16.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}17.690$  questions, thank thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333

 $00{:}57{:}17.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}18.845$  I had a I had a blast.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00:57:18.850 \rightarrow 00:57:20.010$  I always have a blast.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901939468333333
- $00{:}57{:}20.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}21.140$  I always have a blast.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8517446
- $00:57:28.770 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.390$  Questions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.627831665
- 00:57:32.180 --> 00:57:33.288 Maybe I start first.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.54945062
- $00{:}57{:}34{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}36{.}048$  Vision of publications.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70763123
- $00{:}57{:}38{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}38{.}790$  One
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70054972
- $00:57:40.000 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.912$  is that this gene so good
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70054972
- $00:57:41.912 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.020$  for identified this protein.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70054972
- $00:57:45.020 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.760$  I got all the states, yeah, never,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70054972
- $00:57:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.740$  almost never to the original study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70054972
- $00:57:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.300$  All my sins are there. Yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70054972
- $00:57:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.370$  So in this situation, OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $00{:}57{:}57{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}59{.}180$  so the basic search research
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $00{:}57{:}59{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}01{.}348$  on my thing doesn't work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- 00:58:01.348 --> 00:58:03.535 So, you know, I I I will say
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:58:03.535 - 00:58:04.990 because I'm very skeptical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:04.990 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.640$  Very skeptical. There are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}58{:}08{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}12{.}075$  I have had multiple instances where

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:58:12.075 --> 00:58:14.865 a new biomarker is published in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}58{:}14.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}17.508$  the diagnostic pathology space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.166$  usually in modern pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:58:19.166 --> 00:58:22.110 And the one that comes to mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}58{:}22{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}24{.}130$  there was a one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}58{:}24{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}26{.}150$  Like a wonderful appearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}58{:}26.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}27.702$  immunohistochemical marker that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}58{:}27{.}702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}30{.}047$  was published as the Diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:30.047 \rightarrow 00:58:32.500$  marker of anaplastic thyroid cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:32.500 \rightarrow 00:58:34.792$  And they discovered it by gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:34.792 \rightarrow 00:58:37.281$  expression profiling and and I got the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:37.281 \rightarrow 00:58:40.114$  antibody and it didn't and it didn't work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:40.114 \rightarrow 00:58:42.169$  So so many antibodies don't

 $00:58:42.169 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.488$  work and that's the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.098$  And that's the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:58:46.098 --> 00:58:48.108 The problem many antibodies don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}58{:}48.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}50.820$  work because for eign antibody to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:50.820 \rightarrow 00:58:53.060$  in immunohistochemistry is a mirror.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}58{:}53{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}55{.}682$  Is a miracle because those antigens

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}58{:}55{.}682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}59{.}137$  are so so deranged because of formal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:58:59.137 \rightarrow 00:59:02.389$  and fixation and because of antigenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}59{:}02{.}389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}05{.}279$  degradation with cold is chemia time and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:59:05.280 --> 00:59:07.070 And so I was disappointed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}59{:}07{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}07{.}446$  like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}59{:}07{.}446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}08{.}198$  I'm skeptical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}59{:}08.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}10.830$  I think that some science is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}59{:}10{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}13{.}146$  one of the reasons that it doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:13.146 \rightarrow 00:59:15.865$  work is the science is fate, right?

 $00{:}59{:}15.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}17.560$  The the immunohistochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}59{:}17{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}19{.}820$  results are are fate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:19.820 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.740$  Another reason is you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:59:22.740 --> 00:59:23.937 That you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:23.937 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.932$  it's not necessarily that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:25.932 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.240$  analyte is wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}59{:}27{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}30{.}040$  It's may be that's not the best antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:30.040 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.416$  And so, like in that setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}59{:}32{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}35{.}660$  I would encourage you to not give up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:59:35.660 --> 00:59:37.851 I would encourage you to at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}59{:}37{.}851 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}40{.}018$  try a second and a third time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

00:59:40.020 --> 00:59:42.114 And I would encourage you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:42.114 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.224$  try the antibody pedia and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:44.224 \rightarrow 00:59:45.834$  bench and the bench side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00{:}59{:}45{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}49{.}522$  I trust that more than a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $00:59:49.522 \rightarrow 00:59:52.788$  published paper where the authors are.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $00:59:52.790 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.714$  Uh, conflicted.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $00:59:53.714 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.100$  They're incentivized to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $00{:}59{:}55{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}56{.}948$  have a positive result.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $00{:}59{:}56{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}57{.}533$  So.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $00{:}59{:}57{.}533 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}00{.}448$  So I trust those databases
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $01:00:00.448 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.590$  more than any single paper.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $01:00:03.590 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.534$  And then there are,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- 01:00:04.534 --> 01:00:05.006 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $01:00:05.010 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.458$  there are vendors that I intrinsically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- 01:00:07.458 --> 01:00:09.871 trust more because they do better
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $01:00:09.871 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.001$  science and like 2 great vendors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- 01:00:12.001 --> 01:00:14.376 for primary antibodies, abcam,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $01{:}00{:}14.376 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}18.706$  wonderful cell signaling, another standout.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- 01:00:18.710 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:00:20.570 There are many other, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $01{:}00{:}20.570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}23.130$  again like exceptional, lovely, right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:23.130 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.142$  And these are exceptional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:25.142 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.160$  And then I'll mention one other

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:28.256 \rightarrow 01:00:30.538$  thing that that you can try that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:30.538 \rightarrow 01:00:32.710$  you can try that I can't try,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

01:00:32.710 --> 01:00:34.298 but I mentioned it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

01:00:34.298 --> 01:00:37.232 Is Don Pot asked about albumin ish

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:37.232 \rightarrow 01:00:40.515$  this morning and albumin ish is only

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01{:}00{:}40.515 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}43.356$  automate automated on a like a bond three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01{:}00{:}43.360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}45.696$  I don't have a like a bond 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:45.700 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.516$  If you have a like of bond three

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

01:00:49.516 --> 01:00:53.695 you can make an RNA scope probe

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01{:}00{:}53.695 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}57.282$  to any to literally anything and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01{:}00{:}57{.}282 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}59{.}236$  so and I've been workshopping

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01:00:59.236 \longrightarrow 01:01:01.630$  this idea with a guy called

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

01:01:01.706 --> 01:01:03.846 Greg Sharvil who's a sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775

 $01{:}01{:}03.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}06.725$  Pathologists at Stanford and and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $01{:}01{:}06.725 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}09.479$  there are some, there are some,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $01{:}01{:}09{.}479 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}11{.}880$  even though the genetics are on point
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $01{:}01{:}11{.}954 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}14{.}285$  and the gene expression is on point,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $01:01:14.290 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.855$  that will never succeed as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- 01:01:16.855 --> 01:01:18.907 immunohistochemical assays because M,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- 01:01:18.910 --> 01:01:21.430 RNA and protein are correlated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $01:01:21.430 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.420$  But the R-squared is like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $01:01:24.420 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.750$  not .9 and so there are some.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- 01:01:28.750 --> 01:01:29.674 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $01:01:29.674 \rightarrow 01:01:32.446$  some targets that are incredibly specific,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $01:01:32.450 \longrightarrow 01:01:34.650$  but there's such low abundance
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $01{:}01{:}34.650 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}36.410$  that you can never,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $01{:}01{:}36{.}410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}38{.}942$  even though we do signal amplification
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7210180775
- $01:01:38.942 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.786$  and immunohistochemistry,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875
- $01:01:39.790 \rightarrow 01:01:42.290$  you can never get the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

01:01:42.290 --> 01:01:43.790 immunohistochemistry to work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01{:}01{:}43.790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}45.606$  And for those analytes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01:01:45.606 \rightarrow 01:01:48.590$  if you really are committed to a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01:01:48.590 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.070$  to a, to a specific target for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01:01:52.070 \rightarrow 01:01:54.030$  specific diagnostic application,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

01:01:54.030 --> 01:01:56.564 I would encourage you to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01{:}01{:}56.564 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}59.180$  first try a couple more immunos and try.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

01:01:59.180 --> 01:02:01.040 Try bench sign antibody pedia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

01:02:01.040 --> 01:02:03.371 But but the next thing I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01:02:03.371 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.201$  encourage you to consider is

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01{:}02{:}05{.}201 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}07{.}847$  building an RNA scope as say to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01:02:07.850 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.076$  to that, to that, to that target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

01:02:10.080 --> 01:02:13.490 Because if the you know the M RNA don't lie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01{:}02{:}13.490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}15.394$  the M RNA might not correlate with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92976154875

 $01{:}02{:}15{.}394 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}17{.}894$  protein, but the M RNA don't lie so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6990219766666667

01:02:18.810 --> 01:02:19.449 What's your quick?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.39329159
- $01:02:21.640 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.220$  The communist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8703504
- $01:02:24.890 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.300$  Sure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70647705
- $01:02:27.690 \longrightarrow 01:02:28.220$  Sure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.74447984
- 01:02:31.280 --> 01:02:31.830 Yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6070417
- $01:02:35.790 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.130$  1.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:02:38.520 --> 01:02:41.983 Sure. Yeah, I mean I, you, you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:02:41.983 --> 01:02:44.401 you, you probably would not be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:02:44.401 \longrightarrow 01:02:46.470$  surprised that I give like.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:02:46.470 --> 01:02:47.934 1st 1st it's really really hard
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:02:47.934 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.867$  for me to give a 45 minute talk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:02:49.870 --> 01:02:52.866 I usually I usually speak for two
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}02{:}52{.}866 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}56{.}321$  or three hours at a time and and of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}02{:}56{.}321 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}59{.}301$  course I have hour and two hour and NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:02:59.301 --> 01:03:02.585 3 hour PDL 1 lectures and and I I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}03{:}02{.}585 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}04{.}310$  mentioned you know immuno optimist,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

- 01:03:04.310 --> 01:03:05.378 immuno pessimist,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}03{:}05{.}378 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}09{.}650$ immuno realist and you know I give a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:03:09.757 --> 01:03:14.509 PDL 1 lecture that is called PDL 1
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:03:14.509 --> 01:03:16.689 immunohistochemistry like you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:03:16.690 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:03:20.827 The Emperor has no clothes so uh
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:03:20.827 --> 01:03:24.196 Pete and I I gave I gave a biomarker NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:03:24.196 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.051$  lecture in a global in the global
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:03:27.051 --> 01:03:29.436 health space this summer and there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:03:29.436 --> 01:03:31.770 were lots of questions about PDL
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:03:31.847 --> 01:03:34.066 one and and there's a YouTube
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}03{:}34.066 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}36.791$  clip of me saying something like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:03:36.791 --> 01:03:39.055 PDL 1 immunohistochemistry sucks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:03:39.060 --> 01:03:42.138 I hate it and it just loops and loops
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}03{:}42{.}138 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}46{.}913$  and loops and so my my main take on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:03:46.920 \rightarrow 01:03:50.128$  PDL One immunohistochemistry is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:03:50.128 --> 01:03:54.940 It's PDL one is super important.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:03:54.940 --> 01:03:58.774 It was a great, it was a great target,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:03:58.780 --> 01:04:02.416 like Nobel Prize, like totally warranted.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}04{:}02{.}420 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}06{.}722$  But it is an entirely unrealistic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:04:06.722 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.756$  expectation for, in that space,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}04{:}09{.}756 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}12{.}948$  a single analyte to reflect the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}04{:}12.948$  -->  $01{:}04{:}16.598$  entire tumor immune microenvironment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:04:16.600 -> 01:04:19.744 So PD1 immunohistochemistry is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}04{:}19.744 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}22.888$  an inaccurate diagnostic test.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:04:22.890 --> 01:04:26.690 It's about 60% sensitive, 60% specific.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:04:26.690 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.930$  It's a coin flip.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:04:28.930 --> 01:04:35.253 And they're ohh I I really, really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:04:35.253 --> 01:04:39.168 I really, really hate capitalism.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}04{:}39{.}170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}42{.}130$  And uh, Pharma really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}04{:}42{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}44{.}350$  really loves capitalism.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:04:44.350 --> 01:04:46.634 And so, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:04:46.634 \rightarrow 01:04:48.918$  the the drug companies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:04:48.920 --> 01:04:50.715 we're going to partner with

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01{:}04{:}50{.}715 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}52{.}968$  the device companies and jam a

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:04:52.968 --> 01:04:54.532 companion diagnostic marker down

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01{:}04{:}54{.}532 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}57{.}004$  our throats and they don't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01{:}04{:}57{.}004 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}59{.}249$  care about the diagnostic accuracy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:04:59.250 --> 01:05:01.194 So PD1 immunohistochemistry sucks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01{:}05{:}01{.}194 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}03{.}130$  I hate, I hate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01{:}05{:}03{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}04{.}814$  That being said, I spend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:05:04.814 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.538$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01{:}05{:}05{.}538 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}09{.}170$  hours and hours of my life talking about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:05:09.170 --> 01:05:12.082 And I read every PDL 1 immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01{:}05{:}12.082 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}14.729$  at the University of Iowa and

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:05:14.729 --> 01:05:16.058 PDL 1 immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

01:05:16.060 -> 01:05:17.789 You know this is a good example

NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

 $01:05:17.789 \longrightarrow 01:05:19.580$  of a bad of a bad marker.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:05:19.580 --> 01:05:21.512 There's biochemistry problems
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}05{:}21{.}512 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}24{.}088$  and there's readout problems.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:05:24.090 \rightarrow 01:05:26.206$  So like pathologist interpretation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:05:26.206 \rightarrow 01:05:29.380$  problems and they're and they're unsolved.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}05{:}29{.}380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}31{.}875$  And my like skepticism around
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:05:31.875 --> 01:05:34.370 capitalism is pharma did not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:05:34.460 \rightarrow 01:05:37.280$  consider the biochemistry or the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:05:37.280 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.536$  readout in developing the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}05{:}39{.}540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}42{.}075$  The this diagnostic marker because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:05:42.075 01:05:44.610 they actually have no technical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}05{:}44{.}694{\:}-{\:}>01{:}05{:}47{.}310$  expertise in immunohistochemistry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}05{:}47{.}310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}50{.}726$  So what's better than PDL one not TMB.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}05{:}50{.}730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}52{.}950$  TMB is just as bad.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:05:52.950 --> 01:05:55.510 About 6060 MMR is wonderful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:05:55.510 \rightarrow 01:05:58.070$  if you have an MRI,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644

- 01:05:58.070 --> 01:05:58.778 MRI,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:05:58.778 --> 01:06:03.026 immunohistochemistry or MSI is very accurate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:06:03.030 \longrightarrow 01:06:04.054$  Not 100%,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:06:04.054 --> 01:06:07.638 but very very very you know high
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}06{:}07{.}638 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}09{.}443$  ninety 9899% accurate and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:06:09.443 --> 01:06:10.736 Is very predictive,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- 01:06:10.740 --> 01:06:12.044 not 100% percent predictive,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:06:12.044 \rightarrow 01:06:13.674$  but very predictive of response
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:06:13.674 \longrightarrow 01:06:15.199$  to checkpoint inhibition.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}06{:}15{.}200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}18{.}154$  And then I've learned so much from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}06{:}18{.}154 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}19{.}957$  my collaboration and interaction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:06:19.957 \rightarrow 01:06:23.177$  with David over the last five years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}06{:}23.180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}27.576$  The answer to the answer to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01{:}06{:}27.576 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}30.160$  checkpoint inhibitor predictive marker
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:06:30.160 \longrightarrow 01:06:32.800$  is Multiplex immunofluorescence act.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:06:32.800 \rightarrow 01:06:35.074$  Actually I said it's unrealistic for

- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:06:35.074 \rightarrow 01:06:37.815$  a single analyte to integrate the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:06:37.815 \longrightarrow 01:06:40.119$  entire tumor immune microenvironment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:06:40.120 \longrightarrow 01:06:44.356$  And there's a lovely meta analysis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.68153644
- $01:06:44.360 \rightarrow 01:06:46.940$  that was published by Janis Tobb,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474
- $01{:}06{:}46{.}940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}48{.}480$  who's one of David's collaborators.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474
- 01:06:48.480 --> 01:06:51.384 She's a Melanoma doctorate at Hopkins
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474
- $01{:}06{:}51{.}384 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}55{.}234$  that showed that the area under the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474
- $01{:}06{:}55{.}234 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}57{.}670$  curve for Multiplex immunofluorescence
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474
- 01:06:57.670 --> 01:07:01.117 for this diagnostic application is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474
- 01:07:01.117 --> 01:07:04.177 vastly superior to immunohistochemistry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474
- $01{:}07{:}04.180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}07{.}268$  And then the problem is that's a huge
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474
- 01:07:07.268 --> 01:07:10.620 paradigm shift, like there is no training.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474
- 01:07:10.620 --> 01:07:13.104 In Immunohistochemistry lab directorship,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474
- $01{:}07{:}13.104 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}15.846$  I taught myself. I taught myself.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474
- 01:07:15.846 --> 01:07:18.070 I try, you know, I really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:18.070 \longrightarrow 01:07:20.970$  really care to try to teach you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01{:}07{:}20.970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}23.074$  And then to try to teach people to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

01:07:23.074 --> 01:07:24.915 bring up Multiplex immunofluorescence

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:24.915 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.407$  for this diagnostic application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

01:07:27.410 --> 01:07:30.086 It's a really, really heavy lift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:30.090 \longrightarrow 01:07:33.630$  But possibly, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:33.630 \rightarrow 01:07:37.032$  And this is perhaps controversial, possibly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:37.032 \longrightarrow 01:07:39.084$  And my thoughts on this have

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01{:}07{:}39.084 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}41.069$  changed quite a bit over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01{:}07{:}41.070 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}44.130$  Possibly every lab test should not

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:44.130 \longrightarrow 01:07:47.308$  be offered by every lab and so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:47.310 \rightarrow 01:07:50.562$  so maybe the solution is Multiplex

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01{:}07{:}50.562 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}52.730$ immunofluorescence in 10 or

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01{:}07{:}52.817 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}55.085$ 20 labs that have the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

01:07:55.090 --> 01:07:55.698 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474

 $01:07:55.698 \rightarrow 01:07:58.130$  the resources and the expertise to deploy it.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474
- $01{:}07{:}58{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}00{.}517$  So thank you for asking me about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82789474
- 01:08:00.517 --> 01:08:02.020 my least favorite stain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857
- 01:08:17.310 --> 01:08:19.700 Yeah, I can and so I can, I can,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857
- $01:08:19.700 \dashrightarrow 01:08:22.410$  I can talk for half an hour about TRPS,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857
- 01:08:22.410 --> 01:08:26.146 1 TRPS. One is classic Gartner hype cycle.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857
- $01{:}08{:}26.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}28.292$  The study that was the study that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857
- 01:08:28.292 --> 01:08:30.129 was designed was highly flawed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857
- 01:08:30.130 --> 01:08:31.438 Highly flawed, yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857
- $01{:}08{:}31{.}438 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}34{.}054$  And that and that's on the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857
- 01:08:34.060 01:08:36.914 Uh, that's on the authors. You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857
- $01:08:36.914 \rightarrow 01:08:38.713$  they should have designed the right study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857
- $01{:}08{:}38{.}720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}40{.}370$  And it's also on the reviewers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857
- $01:08:40.370 \longrightarrow 01:08:41.780$  for not identifying the flaw.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857
- 01:08:41.780 --> 01:08:43.028 Like, briefly, TRP's like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857
- $01:08:43.028 \dashrightarrow 01:08:45.919$  do you want me to talk about TRPS one?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843009837142857

- $01:08:45.920 \longrightarrow 01:08:46.490$  Yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9616937
- $01:08:51.150 \longrightarrow 01:08:51.610$  Yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.513256115
- $01:08:56.390 \longrightarrow 01:08:57.050$  That's it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8959034
- $01:09:01.240 \longrightarrow 01:09:01.810$  Yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95039
- 01:09:04.510 --> 01:09:06.940 Yeah, sure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.457451515
- $01:09:10.940 \longrightarrow 01:09:14.090$  This model and yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01:09:17.210 \longrightarrow 01:09:21.246$  Ohh yeah, yeah. So. So this is the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- 01:09:21.250 --> 01:09:24.562 I mean I said this is the in silico
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01{:}09{:}24.562 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}26.850$  validation and then the validation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01:09:26.850 \longrightarrow 01:09:30.802$  is I always do it's this way is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01{:}09{:}30{.}802 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}33{.}607$  actual validation and then I'll say
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- 01:09:33.610 --> 01:09:36.382 I say floor and then I do much more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01:09:36.382 \longrightarrow 01:09:38.939$  and then I'll briefly just I'll
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01:09:38.939 \rightarrow 01:09:41.656$  because it's a good example I'll
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01:09:41.656 \rightarrow 01:09:44.446$  briefly describe how I validated TRPS
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01:09:44.446 \rightarrow 01:09:47.138$  one and actually if you want to.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01{:}09{:}47{.}138 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}50{.}188$  Know how I validated TRPS 1I tweeted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- 01:09:50.188 --> 01:09:53.234 how I validated TRP PS1 because I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01:09:53.234 \rightarrow 01:09:55.645$  because I I thought the my findings
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01{:}09{:}55{.}645 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}58{.}459$  were sure were significant and so the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01{:}09{:}58{.}459 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}01{.}096$  floor to to validate a diagnostic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01:10:01.096 \rightarrow 01:10:03.291$  marker is 10 expected positives
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01:10:03.291 \rightarrow 01:10:04.945$  and 10 expected negatives.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01:10:04.945 \longrightarrow 01:10:07.780$  And I almost never do the floor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01:10:07.858 \longrightarrow 01:10:10.483$  because if you do the floor then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01:10:10.483 \rightarrow 01:10:12.661$  there's all kinds of diagnostic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- 01:10:12.661 --> 01:10:15.583 pitfalls that you will never identify.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- 01:10:15.590 --> 01:10:17.310 I mainly identify these.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- 01:10:17.310 --> 01:10:19.460 Potential diagnostic pitfalls by looking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- $01{:}10{:}19{.}460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}22{.}240$  at this gene expression profiling data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425
- 01:10:22.240 --> 01:10:24.192 mainly using C bioportal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01{:}10{:}24.192 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}27.997$  So for my validations I use tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:10:27.997 --> 01:10:30.300 microarray almost you know a combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:10:30.300 \rightarrow 01:10:32.460$  of whole section and tissue microarray.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01{:}10{:}32.460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}36.296$  And for TRPS 1I validated TRPS

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:10:36.296 \longrightarrow 01:10:40.556$  one in at least 100 breast cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:10:40.556 \longrightarrow 01:10:44.980$  and at least 100 non breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:10:44.980 \longrightarrow 01:10:47.320$  non breast cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:10:47.320 --> 01:10:48.192 Mainly carcinomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01{:}10{:}48.192 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}50.808$  but other tumor types as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:10:50.810 --> 01:10:53.775 Like it's imperative to validate

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:10:53.775 --> 01:10:57.852 a new diagnostic marker in a fit

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01{:}10{:}57.852 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}00.870$  for purpose fashion and that means

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:11:00.870 \longrightarrow 01:11:03.934$  in consideration of differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01{:}11{:}03.934 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}05.466$  diagnostic considerations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01{:}11{:}05{.}470 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}07{.}054$  And I'll tell you what happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:11:07.054 \rightarrow 01:11:08.110$  with the with the,

 $01{:}11{:}08{.}110 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}12{.}009$  a couple things with the TRPS one

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:11:12.010 --> 01:11:13.823 and then another thing that I talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:11:13.823 --> 01:11:16.087 about when I'm doing my straight

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:11:16.087 --> 01:11:17.515 didactic about immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:11:17.520 --> 01:11:21.024 Talk about added value of semi

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01{:}11{:}21.024 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}23.360$  quantitative IHC stain assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:11:23.360 --> 01:11:25.430 Positive and negative is not enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:11:25.430 --> 01:11:29.301 you need to at least semi quantitate

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:11:29.301 \longrightarrow 01:11:31.202$  the signal. In the tier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01{:}11{:}31{.}202 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}33{.}050$  So when I went to see Bioportal

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:11:33.116 --> 01:11:35.220 actually I don't know can we go to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:11:35.220 --> 01:11:36.176 can we go to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

 $01:11:36.176 \longrightarrow 01:11:37.891$  let's go to see bio portal can

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:11:37.891 --> 01:11:39.410 I can I back out of here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832651425

01:11:39.410 --> 01:11:39.580 So

 $01:11:39.590 \longrightarrow 01:11:41.249$  maybe you can discuss it later by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827970325

01:11:41.249 --> 01:11:42.660 yourself. Yeah, you're going to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.828906456

 $01:11:42.930 \longrightarrow 01:11:46.160$  do we have more questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.828906456

 $01:11:46.160 \longrightarrow 01:11:47.428$  Let's hit another question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770715745

01:11:49.440 --> 01:11:53.280 Ohh no, I'm screwing everything up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822935723333333

01:11:51.610 --> 01:11:52.876 I think you know the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822935723333333

01:11:52.880 --> 01:11:56.220 Later. Not sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.743419105

 $01:11:56.220 \longrightarrow 01:11:58.518$  PRPS one, we'll talk about all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743419105

01:11:58.520 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:11:59.660 We'll talk about all afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74253033

 $01:12:00.230 \longrightarrow 01:12:01.650$  Thank you so much Andrew.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824968185

 $01{:}12{:}02{.}240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}02{.}996$  I think I'm going to eat.