WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:04:18" NOTE recognizability:0.822

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.700 I'm gonna give Steve plenty of

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:00:02.700 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.650$ time to share with us his talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:00:05.650 \dashrightarrow 00:00:08.242$ but let me say at the outset that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:00:08.242 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.698$ a little daunting to introduce Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:00:10.698 --> 00:00:13.730 Meredith to you and do him justice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:00:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.858$ but I'll give it a shot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:00:14.860 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.877$ So Steve was educated at Brandeis University

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:00:17.877 \dashrightarrow 00:00:20.899$ in both biology and English literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:00:20.900 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.834$ and then he next went to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:00:23.834 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.542$ Washington University in in medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:00:26.542 --> 00:00:28.166 school after medical school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:00:28.166 --> 00:00:30.098 he came up to University of

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:00:30.098 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.329$ Chicago in the mid 70s.

00:00:31.330 --> 00:00:34.288 In a joint residency in Pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:00:34.288 --> 00:00:37.590 and PhD program in Biochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:00:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.782$ where he studied emoji with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:00:40.782 --> 00:00:42.910 noted biochemist Francois Kezdy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:00:42.910 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.180$ who was sort of a central European

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:00:47.180 --> 00:00:50.060 figure who came to Chicago and and did

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:00:50.060 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.590$ some Seminole work in carboxypeptidase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:00:52.590 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.248$ I think and was on faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:00:55.250 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.010$ So Steve after following his education

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:00:58.010 --> 00:01:00.930 in both pathology and biochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00{:}01{:}00.930 \longrightarrow 00{:}01{:}03.016$ he was hired on to the Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:01:03.016 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.612$ of Pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:01:03.620 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.252$ As a junior faculty member in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:01:06.252 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.592$ early 80s and he has developed an

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:01:09.592 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.740$ international career in studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00{:}01{:}11.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.440$ disease from both a philosophical

00:01:14.440 --> 00:01:16.060 and scientific perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:01:16.060 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.020$ and so let me flesh that out for you a bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:01:19.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.120$ Doctor Meredith holds appointments

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:01:21.120 --> 00:01:24.122 in the Divinity school, the College,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00{:}01{:}24.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26.828$ the Graduate School in Biochemistry and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:01:26.828 --> 00:01:28.436 Biophysics, and the medical school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:01:28.436 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.755$ and he teaches in all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00{:}01{:}30.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}32.522$ departments actively in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:01:32.522 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.966$ Divinity School and college.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:01:33.970 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.036$ He has focused his career on

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:01:37.036 --> 00:01:39.166 looking at disease philosophically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:01:39.166 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.338$ Specifically the problem of pain and evil,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:01:43.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.696$ and he has taught for 20 years or more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:01:47.700 --> 00:01:49.350 Courses in the Division school

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:01:49.350 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.000$ and college on James Joyce,

00:01:51.000 --> 00:01:56.178 Ulysses Thomas Mons, Magic Mountain, St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:01:56.178 --> 00:01:57.374 Thomas Aquinas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:01:57.374 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.962$ problem of evil and other brothers

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:02:00.962 \dashrightarrow 00:02:04.158$ K Dusty Zewski and he teaches these

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:02:04.158 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.653$ courses regularly and they are a

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:02:06.653 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.175$ well attended major courses in in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00{:}02{:}09.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12.524$ these schools and he has a sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:02:12.524 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.432$ an international reputation looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00{:}02{:}14.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.269$ at disease from this perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:02:17.270 --> 00:02:19.734 And now today we're going to hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:02:19.734 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.728$ about his other half of him,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:02:21.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.130$ which is his scientific pursuits

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00{:}02{:}25.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27.167$ in in the in the medical school

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:02:27.167 --> 00:02:29.609 and and the biophysics department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:02:29.610 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.948$ He has taught for 20 years the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:02:31.948 --> 00:02:33.889 foundational curriculum in medical school,

00:02:33.890 --> 00:02:36.995 including the courses on biochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:02:36.995 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.100$ and the courses on cellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:02:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.588$ pathology and immunology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:02:42.590 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.702$ He runs an active lab that has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:02:45.702 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.810$ independently funded for 40 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:02:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.895$ and he investigates the pathogenesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:02:49.895 --> 00:02:52.059 of disease using biophysical

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00{:}02{:}52.059 \rightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.937$ and biochemical techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:02:53.940 --> 00:02:57.084 specifically focused on structure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:02:57.084 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.769$ determination, and disease pathogenesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:02:59.769 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.668$ He's used arcane,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:03:01.670 --> 00:03:02.454 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00{:}03{:}02.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04.414$ difficult techniques such as solid

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00{:}03{:}04.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}07.060$ state NMR and has made Seminole

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:03:07.060 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.924$ contributions in our understanding

 $00:03:08.924 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.478$ of the structure of beta amyloid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00{:}03{:}11.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.810$ Which was really undetermined until

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:03:13.810 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.683$ Steve and his group of collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:03:16.683 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.437$ did it in the early 2000s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:03:19.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.880$ He has also actively studied tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00{:}03{:}22.880 \rightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.180$ immunology with Hans Schreiber and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00{:}03{:}25.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27.556$ specifically the development of

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:03:27.556 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.526$ purification of tumor antigens for

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00{:}03{:}30.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33.686$ cancer vaccines and his work in

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:03:33.686 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.166$ peptide design and peptide structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00{:}03{:}36.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}38.775$ has been Seminole to my career.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:03:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.706$ In in in my journey as a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:03:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.478$ Translational.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:03:42.478 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.782$ Biologists developing enzyme

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

 $00:03:44.782 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.086$ therapies for diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:03:47.090 --> 00:03:49.736 Steve has had a remarkable career

 $00:03:49.736 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.248$ and it's he's a kind and gentle

NOTE Confidence: 0.77382682125

00:03:53.248 --> 00:03:56.003 mentor and an insightful scholar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916554948181818

00:03:56.010 --> 00:03:58.600 And it's my pleasure to introduce you

NOTE Confidence: 0.916554948181818

 $00:03:58.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.854$ to him and to hear his talk today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916554948181818

 $00:04:01.854 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.710$ which is on NMR and disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916554948181818

 $00:04:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.200$ So Steve take it away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

00:04:06.970 --> 00:04:09.525 Thank you Demetrius, that was a kind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

 $00:04:09.530 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.765$ even embarrassing introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

 $00{:}04{:}11.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}16.235$ Thank you also for the invitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

 $00:04:16.235 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.468$ and it's great to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

00:04:19.470 --> 00:04:24.644 Catch up with some old friends and share

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

 $00:04:24.644 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.988$ this this scientific data with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

 $00{:}04{:}28.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.747$ Too bad it couldn't be in person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

 $00:04:30.750 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.042$ but as they say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

 $00:04:32.042 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.440$ half a loaf is better than none.

 $00:04:34.440 \dashrightarrow 00:04:37.960$ And it's great to be able to talk to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

 $00{:}04{:}37.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41.190$ I'm gonna share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

00:04:41.190 --> 00:04:42.828 And hopefully this will work again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

 $00:04:42.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.130$ Yes, there it is OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

00:04:46.130 --> 00:04:49.780 So I started out my professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

 $00:04:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.820$ life as an anatomic pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

00:04:51.820 --> 00:04:54.762 I still consider myself one even

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

 $00:04:54.762 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.276$ though the clinical work as you age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

 $00{:}04{:}58.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01.024$ you have to give up some things

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

 $00:05:01.024 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.079$ and that has gone by the wayside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

00:05:04.080 --> 00:05:06.656 But when I was doing clinical work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

 $00:05:06.660 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.998$ a lot of it was autopsies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

 $00:05:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.862$ and I really enjoyed it and thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.80618336

 $00:05:09.862 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.109$ it added a lot to medical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

00:05:14.340 --> 00:05:19.428 In fact, I lament that for the most,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

00:05:19.428 --> 00:05:22.320 mostly for some very bad reasons,

 $00:05:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.200$ medical autopsies are now rather uncommon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:05:25.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.732$ I still think that even with

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:05:27.732 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.420$ all the incredible radiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:05:29.497 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.468$ technology that's available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:05:31.470 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.865$ all the rest of the biological world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:05:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.478$ autopsies still have a lot to teach us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:05:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.904$ Now, this statement should not be surprising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

00:05:42.910 --> 00:05:47.068 Considering how complex the human body is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:05:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.650$ clinicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:05:49.650 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.445$ Still, amazingly, do get some things wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}05{:}54.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}56.826$ What's remarkable is how often they

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:05:56.826 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.014$ now get it right, but even that said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

00:06:01.014 --> 00:06:02.967 autopsies are illuminating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}06{:}02.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07.578$ and I will quote here the great and

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

00:06:07.578 --> 00:06:10.918 pioneering pathologist and anatomist Bashat,

 $00:06:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.126$ who wrote open up a few corpses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}06{:}14.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}17.217$ You will dissipate it once the darkness

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:06:17.217 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.038$ that observation alone could not dissipate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:06:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.819$ In other words, he was talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:06:22.819 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.660$ observation at the bedside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:06:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.940$ After death, open up the bodies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:06:26.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.300$ see what is inside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

00:06:29.300 --> 00:06:32.779 Now a friend and colleague of mine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:06:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.480$ Tobin Sosnik.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

00:06:35.480 --> 00:06:36.960 In the biochemistry department

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:06:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.440$ likes to say this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:06:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.000$ biology works on all length scales.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:06:43.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.100$ When I started my residency

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

00:06:45.100 --> 00:06:46.360 in anatomic pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:06:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.924$ this was sometime before the Polynesian War.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:06:50.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.996$ I had this opportunity as Demetrius was

00:06:53.996 --> 00:06:56.901 saying to study biochemistry and I did

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}06{:}56.901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59.323$ my work on collagen's collagen structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

00:06:59.323 --> 00:07:02.814 And at the same time I was doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:07:02.814 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.565$ bone biopsies and I mean the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

00:07:05.565 --> 00:07:08.249 thing going into the operating room,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}07{:}08.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.020$ getting the core, embedding it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:07:11.020 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.394$ cutting the slices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}07{:}12.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.142$ staining and then reading it and

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

00:07:15.142 --> 00:07:18.059 doing quantitative histomorphometry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}07{:}18.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21.030$ Now I mentioned that because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:07:21.030 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.044$ I had on the one hand pathology and on

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:07:25.044 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.898$ the other hand protein science and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}07{:}28.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.060$ When I was working on college and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}07{:}31.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33.020$ was again before the dawn of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:07:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.834$ it was at that time big news that there

 $00:07:36.834 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.598$ was more than one type of collagen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:07:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.180$ At last count there were 29.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:07:41.180 \dashrightarrow 00:07:45.824$ Now I mentioned this because I think it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}07{:}45.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.840$ I will lament about one more thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:07:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.892$ and that is that the tension that

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:07:51.892 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.711$ sometimes exists within pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:07:53.711 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.707$ departments and I'm happy to say this

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:07:56.707 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.869$ has been minimal in my own department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:07:59.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.730$ But that tension is describable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:08:04.730 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.559$ On this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}08{:}07.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.980$ Channeling the song from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:08:09.980 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.948$ musical Oklahoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}08{:}10.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.876$ the the farmer and the cowman shouldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

00:08:13.876 --> 00:08:17.392 be friends and I would say that of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:08:17.392 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.068$ the anatomic pathologists and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:08:20.068 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.488$ biochemist as someone who throughout

 $00:08:23.488 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.349$ my career has on occasion in both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}08{:}28.349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30.201$ pathology and biochemistry departments

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}08{:}30.201 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32.554$ sometimes been a pathologist to

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:08:32.554 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.769$ the biochemist and sometimes been

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:08:34.769 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.196$ a biochemist to the pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}08{:}37.196 \to 00{:}08{:}40.484$ But in the spirit of interdisciplinarity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:08:40.490 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.597$ What I'm going to talk about for

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:08:42.597 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.391$ the range of the talk is 2 areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

00:08:45.391 --> 00:08:47.526 of structural biology research in

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

00:08:47.526 --> 00:08:50.279 which I am currently involved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:08:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.145$ and I believe that structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:08:52.145 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.480$ biology does at the atomic level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:08:54.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.340$ what an atomic pathology typically does

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:08:57.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.780$ at the cellular and macroscopic level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:09:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.522$ but it's all looking at structure

 $00:09:03.522 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.219$ to help help us understand disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}09{:}07.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.278$ So the topics of the of the of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}09{:}10.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}12.280$ this talk will be first a little.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

00:09:12.280 --> 00:09:14.830 A few introductory remarks about

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:09:14.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.380$ structural biology and the use

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00:09:17.464 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.760$ of NMR for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}09{:}18.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}21.910$ Then I'll talk mostly about bein

NOTE Confidence: 0.909179375

 $00{:}09{:}21.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}24.010$ amyloid and Alzheimer's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:09:24.010 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.890$ I will finish with a if there's time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:09:26.890 --> 00:09:30.068 With a few remarks about a project

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:09:30.068 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.450$ I'm involved in with Jerry Turner at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:09:33.450 --> 00:09:37.490 the Brigham on the pollutant and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:09:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.520$ infant and inflammatory bowel disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:09:40.520 --> 00:09:44.264 OK, so. As I think you all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:09:44.270 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.522$ crystallography is still the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:09:46.522 --> 00:09:48.774 mainstay of structural biology.

 $00:09:48.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.636$ It was determined it was developed 1st and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00{:}09{:}51.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}55.544$ it is still an incredibly useful tool for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:09:55.544 --> 00:09:58.362 studying structural biology of proteins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:09:58.362 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.194$ but somewhere around the 1980s and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:10:02.194 \dashrightarrow 00:10:06.166$ 1990s techniques were developed by NMR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:10:06.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.650$ Come to determine protein structures

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:10:09.650 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.505$ and for a while in the early 1990s

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:10:12.505 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.270$ it looked as if these would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:10:15.270 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.154$ two more or less coequal methods

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00{:}10{:}18.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20.890$ for determining protein structure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:10:20.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.801$ but that is not in fact the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:10:22.801 --> 00:10:25.420 case because if you have your.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:10:25.420 --> 00:10:27.890 As I like to say, garden variety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00{:}10{:}27.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.860$ small globular protein that you want

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:10:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.240$ some structural information about,

 $00:10:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.901$ you will almost certainly get there faster

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:10:36.901 --> 00:10:41.180 and easier by doing X ray crystallography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00{:}10{:}41.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.268$ And the reason for that is that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:10:45.268 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.319$ are limitations in NMR spectroscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:10:48.320 --> 00:10:49.295 Now, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:10:49.295 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.920$ if there were only limitations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:10:50.920 --> 00:10:52.720 one wouldn't do it at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:10:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.484$ but the limitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00{:}10{:}54.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56.836$ There are two problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:10:56.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.680$ The first problem is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:10:58.680 --> 00:11:00.152 NMR is structural data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:11:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.050$ These are some of the techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:11:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.662$ on the top of the slide for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00{:}11{:}04.662 \to 00{:}11{:}07.030$ determining protein structure by NMR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:11:07.030 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.205$ but the two problems are first that NMR

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:11:11.205 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.715$ structural data are usually under determined.

 $00:11:14.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.608$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00{:}11{:}15.608 --> 00{:}11{:}17.384$ what you get from NMR is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:11:17.384 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.160$ two kinds of information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:11:19.160 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.520$ You get interatomic distances

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:11:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.060$ and you get bond torsional angles

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:11:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.930$ and you put them together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:11:26.930 --> 00:11:29.230 Using molecular dynamics simulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:11:29.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.402$ constrained by the NMR data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00{:}11{:}32.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34.731$ you get a structure, but it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:11:34.731 --> 00:11:36.279 It's not fully determined

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:11:36.279 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.990$ or not determined enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:11:37.990 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.498$ In many cases there simply aren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00{:}11{:}40.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42.543$ enough interatomic distances and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:11:42.543 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.789$ torsional angles as you would like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:11:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.665$ The second big problem is

00:11:48.665 --> 00:11:50.965 the size limitation problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:11:50.970 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.637$ The sharpness of the peaks depends on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:11:53.637 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.587$ rapid tumbling of the molecule in solution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325 00:11:56.590 --> 00:11:56.895 Now, NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00{:}11{:}56.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59.335$ as proteins get bigger and bigger and bigger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:11:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.155$ they tumble more slowly and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:12:02.155 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.844$ through faster relaxation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00{:}12{:}03.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.082$ What that translates into in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:12:06.082 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.590$ readout is broader and broader peaks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:12:08.590 --> 00:12:10.234 and eventually you can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00{:}12{:}10.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12.289$ distinguish one peak from another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:12:12.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.950$ so there's a size limitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00{:}12{:}14.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17.410$ The world record for the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00{:}12{:}17.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19.624$ weight of the biggest protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:12:19.624 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.118$ determined structure determined

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:12:21.118 --> 00:12:24.106 by NMR is 900 kilodaltons by

00:12:24.181 --> 00:12:26.435 Kurt View Trick for Agro Yell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:12:26.435 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.570$ but that's a kind of a special case

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:12:29.570 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.378$ because that is a hep tumor with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:12:32.378 --> 00:12:35.640 7 fold axis of rotational symmetry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:12:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.160$ so you only have one seventh of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:12:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.405$ peaks that you'd expect for a protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:12:40.405 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.350$ of that size if it were a monomer, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:12:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.830$ So as I said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:12:47.830 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.610$ If there were only limitations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00{:}12{:}49.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52.354$ you'd consign NMR to the dust heap

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:12:52.354 --> 00:12:54.888 of history and forget about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:12:54.890 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.578$ but obviously that is not the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:12:57.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.048$ So the question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:12:59.048 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.883$ what can NMR do that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:13:00.890 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.150$ Other structural techniques cannot do,

 $00:13:03.150 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.797$ and I say this even with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

00:13:06.797 --> 00:13:09.300 amazing revolution in cryo EM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:13:09.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.945$ The first thing is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7785771325

 $00:13:10.945 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.590$ not every protein can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.912295605454545

 $00:13:12.666 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.685$ crystallized now. Even some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912295605454545

 $00:13:15.685 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.880$ what I called before globular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912295605454545

 $00:13:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.940$ A garden variety, small globular proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912295605454545

00:13:20.940 --> 00:13:23.270 Even some of those cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.912295605454545

00:13:23.270 --> 00:13:25.134 be crystallized even today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912295605454545

 $00:13:25.140 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.772$ but in addition to that I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.912295605454545

00:13:26.772 --> 00:13:27.860 be talking about amyloids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912295605454545

 $00:13:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.347$ which are not crystalline and not soluble.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912295605454545

 $00:13:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.294$ And the second major use of NMR is

NOTE Confidence: 0.912295605454545

00:13:35.294 --> 00:13:37.532 to study protein dynamics because

NOTE Confidence: 0.912295605454545

 $00:13:37.532 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.829$ with NMR you can see things in

NOTE Confidence: 0.912295605454545

00:13:40.915 --> 00:13:43.567 the protein that you can't see,

 $00:13:43.570 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.840$ oftentimes in crystallography or cryo em.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00{:}13{:}49.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.810$ Now I like to put a human face on disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00:13:53.810 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.715$ There is real suffering in disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

00:13:56.715 --> 00:14:00.048 on the left you have the patient or

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

00:14:00.048 --> 00:14:03.558 gusta D 51 year old patient that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00:14:03.558 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.103$ examined by Doctor Ellis Alzheimer

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00:14:06.103 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.570$ in Frankfurt in 1901 and on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

00:14:09.570 --> 00:14:12.240 right is Doctor Alzheimer with his

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00:14:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.201$ wife and three children and I always

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00:14:15.201 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.858$ think that when I look at this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

00:14:17.858 --> 00:14:21.626 Woman she has this confused and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

00:14:21.626 --> 00:14:25.366 for lorn expression on her face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00{:}14{:}25.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27.806$ Now as we know what Alzheimer's did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00:14:27.810 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.872$ what Alzheimer did was not only studied

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

00:14:31.872 --> 00:14:34.464 the patient while she was alive,

00:14:34.470 --> 00:14:37.816 but studied her brain after she died

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00{:}14{:}37.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42.442$ of Alzheimer's disease and he used very

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00:14:42.442 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.634$ innovative techniques of histopathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00:14:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.462$ And this is from his drawings

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00:14:49.462 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.373$ of neurofibrillary tangles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

00:14:51.380 --> 00:14:54.053 This is I'm sure familiar to most of you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00:14:54.060 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.930$ but on the top is a normal elderly brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

00:14:57.930 --> 00:14:58.812 not quite normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00{:}14{:}58.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00.576$ because if it were really normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00:15:00.576 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.379$ it would be inside the skull,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00{:}15{:}02.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04.652$ not out of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00:15:04.652 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.724$ but on the bottom Alzheimer's

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00:15:06.724 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.459$ disease and you can see the severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00{:}15{:}09.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11.479$ cerebral atrophy when you slice

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00:15:11.479 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.600$ it open along that plane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00:15:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.600$ Here you see the atrophy better,

 $00:15:16.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.190$ which gives large ventral ventricles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00:15:21.190 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.258$ And here are the two lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924186489

 $00:15:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.820$ That Alzheimer described.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724543025

 $00:15:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.371$ Which are. Trichoplax with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.724543025

00:15:32.371 --> 00:15:34.806 dense core of beta amyloid and

NOTE Confidence: 0.724543025

 $00:15:34.806 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.228$ on the left and on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724543025

00:15:37.230 --> 00:15:38.522 Neurofibrillary tangles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724543025

 $00:15:38.522 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.106$ made mostly of Tau.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724543025

 $00{:}15{:}41.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42.760$ Hyperphosphorylated Tau.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:15:44.820 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.070$ Now beta amyloid is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:15:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.320$ series of set of proteins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:15:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.253$ most of them having 40 or 42 amino acids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00{:}15{:}53.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54.935$ Most of the proteins having

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:15:54.935 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.610$ 40 or 42 amino acids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

00:15:56.610 --> 00:15:59.415 It is derived from sequential

00:15:59.415 --> 00:16:01.659 proteolytic processing of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00{:}16{:}01.659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.600$ single pass transmembrane protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:16:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.648$ called amyloid precursor protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:16:07.648 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.696$ Non especially imaginative name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:16:10.700 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.890$ But I want to point out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:16:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.680$ Ben amyloid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:16:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.976$ with the failure of the some

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00{:}16{:}17.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.408$ of the monoclonal antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00{:}16{:}19.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21.224$ and other anti amyloid drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:16:21.224 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.528$ People are a little bit sour

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:16:23.528 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.100$ about amyloid these days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00{:}16{:}25.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}27.164$ but in case you go too far with

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

00:16:27.164 --> 00:16:29.226 that and think that amyloid has

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00{:}16{:}29.226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}31.066$ nothing bit amyloid has nothing

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00{:}16{:}31.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33.320$ to do with Alzheimer's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:16:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.216$ I include in this slide some

 $00:16:36.216 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.478$ and only some of the many point

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:16:39.478 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.323$ mutations within the sequence

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:16:41.323 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.193$ of a beta that gives rise to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

00:16:44.200 --> 00:16:47.384 Early onset familial Alzheimer's

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:16:47.384 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.568$ disease and related diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

00:16:50.570 --> 00:16:52.388 Now, once secreted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:16:52.388 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.812$ beta amyloid undergoes a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00{:}16{:}54.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57.710$ process of fibril formation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00{:}16{:}57.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00.120$ and it's a process called

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

00:17:00.120 --> 00:17:01.084 nucleation polymerization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:17:01.090 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.736$ where it first forms a set of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00{:}17{:}03.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.789$ soluble oligomers and most people

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00{:}17{:}05.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.321$ believe that these are the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:17:08.321 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.715$ toxic species and eventually you

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:17:10.715 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.805$ get a critical point of nucleation

 $00:17:13.805 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.718$ after which polymerization into

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00{:}17{:}15.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.322$ the fibril occurs rapidly and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00{:}17{:}18.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}20.756$ can follow this through a set of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:17:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.880$ A number of different simple assays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:17:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.645$ This one is thioflavin T

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:17:26.645 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.693$ fluorescence thioflavin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:17:27.693 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.158$ T binds to the fibril,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:17:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.273$ but not much to earlier forms of the peptide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00{:}17{:}34.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.261$ So you can see here that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:17:36.261 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.946$ a lag period during which

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00{:}17{:}37.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39.876$ nothing seems to be happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

00:17:39.880 --> 00:17:42.346 But in fact, nucleation is happening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:17:42.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.474$ and once that happens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:17:44.474 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.598$ thioflavin T fluorescence takes

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

00:17:46.598 --> 00:17:49.979 off and then the process finishes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424 00:17:49.980 --> 00:17:50.485 OK,

00:17:50.485 --> 00:17:53.010 why use solid state NMR

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:17:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.525$ as Demetrios mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:17:54.530 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.366$ this is not a simple technique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:17:56.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.100$ This is not high throughput.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:17:58.100 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.440$ So why bother with it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

00:18:00.440 --> 00:18:02.176 Well first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

00:18:02.176 --> 00:18:04.346 amyloid fibrils are not crystalline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:18:04.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.230$ so you can't do crystallography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00:18:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.754$ They are not soluble.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80596424

 $00{:}18{:}07.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10.040$ You can't use solution anymore and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685993334285714

00:18:12.280 --> 00:18:14.415 I will simply say that cryo EM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685993334285714

 $00:18:14.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.001$ while very useful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685993334285714

 $00:18:16.001 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.109$ has limitations as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685993334285714

 $00:18:18.110 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.128$ Other methods for studying amyloid have

NOTE Confidence: 0.685993334285714

 $00:18:21.128 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.432$ low resolution and even alpha folders.

 $00:18:24.432 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.297$ Fantastic technique as it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685993334285714

 $00:18:27.300 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.204$ It's absolutely brilliant but but the

NOTE Confidence: 0.685993334285714

00:18:30.204 --> 00:18:33.478 problem here is that it gives fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.685993334285714

 $00:18:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.100$ moderate resolute levels of resolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685993334285714

 $00:18:37.100 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.436$ And where it falls short is on unstructured

NOTE Confidence: 0.685993334285714

00:18:42.436 --> 00:18:46.810 domains or poorly structured domains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685993334285714

 $00:18:46.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.186$ So there is an important role that solid

NOTE Confidence: 0.685993334285714

 $00:18:50.186 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.522$ state NMR can fulfill because it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.685993334285714

00:18:53.522 --> 00:18:56.690 both high resolution and highly precise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685993334285714

 $00:18:56.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.910$ and they're in contrast to solution NMR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685993334285714

 $00:18:59.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.070$ There is no size limit of the sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685993334285714

 $00:19:03.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.338$ infinite size macroscopic size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

 $00{:}19{:}08.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10.928$ Now the main kind of information

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

00:19:10.928 --> 00:19:13.838 that you get out of solid standard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

 $00:19:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.428$ or is interatomic distances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

 $00:19:15.428 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.110$ I'm not going to go into it,

 $00:19:18.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.890$ but the techniques are generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

00:19:20.890 --> 00:19:22.558 called dipolar recoupling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

 $00:19:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.706$ and the strength of the dipolar

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

00:19:26.706 --> 00:19:29.730 coupling between two spin systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

 $00:19:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.055$ and we're talking about spin 1/2 nuclei.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

 $00:19:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.220$ C13 and N15,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

00:19:35.220 --> 00:19:38.100 either homonuclear or heteronuclear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

 $00:19:38.100 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.870$ the strength of the coupling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

 $00:19:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.210$ Is a 1 / R 3 relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

 $00:19:44.210 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.070$ therefore exquisitely sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

00:19:46.186 --> 00:19:49.660 to interatomic distances?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

 $00:19:49.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.998$ So to summarize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

 $00{:}19{:}50.998 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}19{:}53.228$ some early solid state NMR

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

 $00:19:53.228 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.637$ results that I was involved in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

 $00:19:55.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.562$ We found that a beta 10 to 35A

 $00:20:00.562 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.076$ beta 1 to 40 form fibrils composed

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

00:20:04.076 --> 00:20:06.339 of imperatore in register.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

 $00:20:06.340 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.074$ Parallel beta strands,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

 $00:20:08.074 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.134$ which was not expected and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.886621963846154

 $00:20:11.134 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.170$ awhile not believed, but now it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

00:20:15.530 --> 00:20:18.128 There were two beta strands segments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

 $00:20:18.130 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.870$ roughly residues 16 to 22,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

 $00:20:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.230$ and 30 to 40 residues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

 $00:20:23.230 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.611$ 1 to 10 are disorganized residues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

 $00:20:26.611 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.166$ 25 to 28 are structured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

 $00:20:29.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.578$ but not beta strands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

 $00{:}20{:}31.580 \to 00{:}20{:}34.695$ Now continuing work in the field and

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

 $00:20:34.695 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.324$ I highlight this famous picture from

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

 $00{:}20{:}37.324 --> 00{:}20{:}40.650$ a paper by any pet covin Rob T Co.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

 $00:20:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.702$ Showing the structural model of a beta

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

 $00:20:43.702 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.998$ fibrils in which there are there are

00:20:46.998 --> 00:20:49.378 parallel in register beta strands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667 00:20:49.380 --> 00:20:50.264 2 segments. NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

 $00{:}20{:}50.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52.474$ Connected by a structured but

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

00:20:52.474 --> 00:20:55.309 not non beta strand segment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

00:20:55.309 --> 00:20:58.159 then you don't see residues one

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

 $00:20:58.159 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.188$ through 10 in the Spectra.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

00:21:00.190 --> 00:21:03.830 So everyone said who RA the structure of

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

 $00:21:03.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.070$ beta amyloid fibrils is now understood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667 00:21:07.070 --> 00:21:08.450 End of story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667 00:21:08.450 --> 00:21:09.370 But no, NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667

 $00:21:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.290$ it is not the end of the story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795221416666667 00:21:11.290 --> 00:21:12.200 Why not? NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:21:15.000 --> 00:21:18.528 Well, that's because of fibril polymorphism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00{:}21{:}18.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.596$ OK, and I'm going to talk now

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:21:21.596 --> 00:21:23.463 about fibril polymorphism and

 $00:21:23.463 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.788$ the structure of brain amyloid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:21:25.790 --> 00:21:28.044 Now when you think of protein folding

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:21:28.044 --> 00:21:30.848 in terms of a rugged folding landscape,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:21:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.405$ a polypeptide starts out its

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:21:33.405 --> 00:21:36.740 life as an unstructured and

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:21:36.740 --> 00:21:38.646 completely polymorphic peptide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:21:38.646 --> 00:21:41.622 meaning that all Phi and PSI

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:21:41.622 --> 00:21:43.970 torsional angles are possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:21:43.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.940$ and what folding consists of is

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:21:46.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.943$ decreasing free energy to that own

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:21:49.943 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.751$ lowest level by searching for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:21:52.751 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.519$ perfect set of fayence I angles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:21:55.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.560$ Now, the reason this is a rough landscape

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216708533333333

 $00:21:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.800$ is that it that it there are certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:22:01.800 --> 00:22:04.860 local minima kinetic traps if you will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:22:04.860 --> 00:22:08.059 The polypeptide can get trapped in it.

00:22:08.060 --> 00:22:10.636 Now I like to talk about amyloids

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:22:10.636 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.479$ as incompetent proteins 'cause they

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:22:12.479 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.838$ can never get to the promised land.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:22:14.840 --> 00:22:18.515 Amyloids have a Pisgah view of Palestine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:22:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.641$ that is I had to bring bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:22:20.641 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.820$ James Joyce into it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:22:21.820 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.948$ They can get close to like Moses

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00{:}22{:}23.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.139$ but never reach the promised lands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:22:26.140 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.780$ And for that reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00{:}22{:}28.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.260$ amyloids retain the sum of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216708533333333

 $00:22:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.420$ polymorphism of the ensemble.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:22:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.048$ And this is again from any

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00{:}22{:}37.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39.120$ Petkova and Rob Teeko,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:22:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.214$ and this is the Seminole insight

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:22:41.214 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.482$ that I'm going to talk about

 $00:22:43.482 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.472$ for thinking about getting at

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:22:45.472 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.719$ the structure of brain amyloid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:22:47.720 --> 00:22:49.608 This polymorphism manifests itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:22:49.608 --> 00:22:53.178 by the fact that there are structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:22:53.178 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.810$ differences depending on subtle

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00{:}22{:}55.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59.100$ differences and fibril isation conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:22:59.100 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.274$ The experiment here was to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00{:}23{:}02.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05.150$ two identical samples of a beta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216708533333333

00:23:05.150 --> 00:23:06.998 Let one sit on lab bench,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:23:07.000 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.485$ let the other one swirl slowly on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216708533333333

 $00{:}23{:}09.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}12.240$ a Circulator 1 cycle per second and

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00{:}23{:}12.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}14.760$ you can see in these transmission

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:23:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.904$ pictures that the ones that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216708533333333

 $00:23:17.904 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.000$ quiescent have these striations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:23:20.000 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.052$ These fibrils,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:23:21.052 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.867$ whereas the agitated ones look

 $00:23:23.867 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.988$ like twisted ribbons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:23:25.990 --> 00:23:29.340 Furthermore if you Sonic 8,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:23:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.108$ each of these fibrils.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333 00:23:32.108 --> 00:23:32.800 And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:23:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.472$ Then use those to seed fresh

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:23:36.472 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.920$ solutions of a beta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:23:38.920 --> 00:23:41.045 What you find if you'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:23:41.045 --> 00:23:42.320 pardon this expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:23:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.262$ is that the seed Trump's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:23:46.262 --> 00:23:48.233 fiber alization condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216708533333333

 $00:23:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.122$ You get progeny fibrils that resemble

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:23:52.122 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.425$ the seeds, not the conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216708533333333

00:23:54.425 --> 00:23:56.435 Now, what does that tell you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00{:}23{:}56.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59.513$ That tells you that most of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:23:59.513 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.823$ heterogeneity occurs during the process

00:24:01.823 --> 00:24:04.940 of nucleation because if you provide seeds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:24:04.940 --> 00:24:07.718 you by pass nucleation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:24:07.720 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.340$ Now you can also see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:24:09.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.785$ Differences in NMR and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:24:10.785 --> 00:24:12.600 not going to go into this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:24:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.438$ but these have very different structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:24:15.440 --> 00:24:18.290 These quiescent and agitated fibrils,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00{:}24{:}18.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22.406$ as determined by solid state NMR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216708533333333

 $00:24:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.407$ So this was the clue that we used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:24:25.407 --> 00:24:28.859 try to get at the structure of brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216708533333333

 $00{:}24{:}28.859 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}31.830$ amyloid because you can't grow a mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:24:31.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.418$ let alone a human.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:24:33.418 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.390$ By feeding C. 13 and N 15.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8216708533333333

00:24:36.390 --> 00:24:38.615 So what do you do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333 00:24:38.620 --> 00:24:39.018 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:24:39.018 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.804$ what we did is we got brain.

 $00:24:41.810 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.401$ Started with brain and then from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

00:24:45.401 --> 00:24:48.320 patient with Alzheimer's disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:24:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.550$ then isolated the amyloid biochemically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:24:51.550 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.622$ And then we use that as the seed

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:24:54.622 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.808$ which we put into synthetic C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:24:57.808 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.948$ 13 and 15 beta amyloid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:25:00.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.270$ And then we can do solid state on

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:25:03.270 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.889$ the ex vivo or as I prefer to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821670853333333

 $00:25:05.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.568$ exmore 2O fibrils of a beta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

 $00{:}25{:}09.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11.929$ And so we can ask the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

00:25:11.930 --> 00:25:15.150 Whether the fibrils of Alzheimer's

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

 $00{:}25{:}15.150 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}25{:}18.699$ disease brains are quiescent or

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

 $00{:}25{:}18.699 \to 00{:}25{:}22.464$ agitated or maybe neither one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

00:25:22.470 --> 00:25:25.462 Let me give you a couple of quick

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

 $00:25:25.462 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.580$ clinical vignettes here. Patient one.

00:25:27.580 --> 00:25:31.771 It's a 72 year old woman with a tentative

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

 $00:25:31.771 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.573$ clinical diagnosis of Lewy body dementia

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

00:25:34.573 --> 00:25:37.268 and primary progressive aphasia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

 $00:25:37.270 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.766$ but at autopsy, that isn't what she had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

 $00:25:39.770 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.434$ She had mild atrophy of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

 $00:25:42.434 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.210$ frontal and parietal lobes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

00:25:44.210 --> 00:25:46.630 and neuritic abeyta plaques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

 $00:25:46.630 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.655$ She had diffuse amyloid and

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

 $00:25:49.655 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.940$ neurofibrillary tangles indicative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

 $00:25:51.940 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.848$ Of Alzheimer's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

 $00{:}25{:}53.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57.028$ After a very extensive search,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

 $00:25:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.018$ we were able to identify a single Lewy body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

 $00:26:00.020 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.880$ It says is here rare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945445838571429

 $00:26:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.108$ but it's actually one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94697094

00:26:05.740 --> 00:26:10.300 Now now I have immunostains, belkowski,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94697094

 $00:26:10.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.498$ stains and so forth showed very

00:26:13.498 --> 00:26:16.719 typical neuritic plaques in the cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94697094

 $00{:}26{:}16.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}18.676$ and even more in the hippocampus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94697094

00:26:18.680 --> 00:26:21.500 There were also neurofibrillary tangles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94697094

 $00:26:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.274$ so this was diagnosed as Alzheimer's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94697094

00:26:26.280 --> 00:26:28.998 Now, the first hint that something

NOTE Confidence: 0.94697094

 $00:26:28.998 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.310$ strange was going on here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94697094

 $00:26:31.310 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.810$ Aside from the clinical history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94697094

 $00{:}26{:}33.810 --> 00{:}26{:}37.416$ Is that? When you use her

NOTE Confidence: 0.94697094

00:26:37.416 --> 00:26:40.550 brain derived amyloid to seed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94697094

 $00:26:40.550 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.166$ you get neither of the previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.94697094

 $00{:}26{:}43.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45.106$ morphologic patterns in transmission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94697094

 $00:26:45.106 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.010$ and these are sort of straight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94697094

 $00{:}26{:}48.010 --> 00{:}26{:}49.226 \ \mathrm{not \ striated},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.94697094

 $00:26:49.226 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.658$ not twisted looking fibrils.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

 $00:26:54.370 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.068$ Now these are the solid state,

 $00:26:56.070 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.576$ a couple of the solid state INNOMAR

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

00:26:58.576 --> 00:27:01.055 Spectra that you get and I'll point

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

 $00:27:01.055 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.680$ out a couple of things about them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

 $00:27:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.970$ First, these are incredibly sharp

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

 $00:27:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.670$ lines for a solid state NMR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

 $00:27:08.670 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.380$ This makes a spectroscopist want

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

 $00:27:11.380 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.549$ to sell of eight or other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

00:27:15.550 --> 00:27:18.583 But now let me go on to patient two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

 $00:27:18.590 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.358$ This is an 85 year old woman with

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

00:27:21.358 --> 00:27:24.124 a clinical diagnosis of probable

 $00{:}27{:}24.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27.058$ Alzheimer's disease, and at autopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

00:27:27.058 --> 00:27:28.666 Severe Alzheimer's disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

00:27:28.670 --> 00:27:31.550 classic Alzheimer's disease, brain atrophy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9501648333333333

 $00:27:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.950$ loss of neurons, gliosis granular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

00:27:34.950 --> 00:27:38.050 vacuolar degeneration or annual bodies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

 $00:27:38.050 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.918$ juridic plaques, neurofibrillary tangles,

 $00:27:40.918 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.786$ the whole 9 yards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

00:27:43.790 --> 00:27:47.790 But now when you see you get yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

 $00:27:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.569$ another pattern in transmission.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

 $00:27:50.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.418$ These are kind of irregular fibrils.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

00:27:52.420 --> 00:27:54.880 They're not striated. They're not twisted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

 $00:27:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.191$ They're not straight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

 $00:27:56.191 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.939$ They're kind of irregular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950164833333333

 $00:27:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.370$ Now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:28:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.869$ When you do solid state in a bar of

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:28:02.869 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.058$ her brain, seated a beta fibrils,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:28:05.058 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.988$ that's the blue and the red is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00{:}28{:}07.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.487$ I showed you before from patient one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00{:}28{:}10.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.100$ What you get is again a single set of sharp

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00{:}28{:}14.193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.196$ chemical shift and that says that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

00:28:17.196 --> 00:28:21.008 is a single predominant fibril structure.

 $00:28:21.010 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.791$ You get the same thing whether you see it

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00{:}28{:}23.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.495$ with samples from the occipital lobe or

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

00:28:26.495 --> 00:28:29.010 the frontal lobe, and it's not on here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:28:29.010 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.820$ but also the temporal lobe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:28:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.418$ But the faces in both this

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:28:35.418 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.120$ is a carbon carbon spectrum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:28:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.257$ Same thing in a carbon nitrogen spectrum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:28:42.260 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.090$ Patient one and patient two

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:28:45.090 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.354$ have different chemical shifts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

00:28:47.360 --> 00:28:48.776 Different fibril structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:28:48.776 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.766$ This is prima facie a very solid excuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:28:52.766 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.625$ The pun evidence for a very different

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:28:56.625 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.912$ fibril structure and the the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:28:59.912 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.320$ This paper also included a structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

00:29:03.320 --> 00:29:06.670 model of the fibrils from patient one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00{:}29{:}06.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}08.158$ and I'm not going to go into it.

 $00:29:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.880$ But bottom line here is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:29:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.340$ This is very different from either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273 00:29:12.340 --> 00:29:13.118 Kind of. NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

00:29:13.118 --> 00:29:15.841 All synthetic fibril and I can tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:29:15.841 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.627$ you now that it's also different

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:29:18.627 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.350$ from the fibrils and patient too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

00:29:21.350 --> 00:29:25.436 So polymorphism and inherent property of

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

00:29:25.436 --> 00:29:29.180 all amyloid fibrils, not just a beta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

00:29:29.180 --> 00:29:31.730 it results from the coexistence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:29:31.813 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.977$ structurally diverse molecular nuclei.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

00:29:34.980 --> 00:29:38.179 And it leads to different different patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:29:38.180 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.332$ different fibrils,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:29:39.332 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.636$ and not my work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:29:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.350$ but other people's work have

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:29:44.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.976$ identified a structure.

00:29:45.980 --> 00:29:48.515 Dysfunction relationship among

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00{:}29{:}48.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}51.895$ patients with Alzheimer's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:29:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.540$ The surprise that I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:29:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.364$ spend a moment pondering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:29:57.364 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.174$ Is that despite this tendency

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

00:30:01.174 --> 00:30:02.726 towards polymorphism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:30:02.730 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.970$ the a beta fibrils seated by

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:30:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.162$ brains from different regions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00{:}30{:}08.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}10.227$ the brain are not polymorphic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:30:10.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.070$ You get a single structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:30:12.070 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.618$ So why is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:30:14.618 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.803$ Here are three possible explanation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:30:17.810 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.554$ The first one is that you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:30:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.110$ that the brain tissue environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

00:30:24.110 --> 00:30:27.540 permits only one nucleation process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:30:27.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.132$ but this seems kind of unlikely

 $00{:}30{:}30{:}30{:}32{:}394$ because you have different fibril

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:30:32.394 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.530$ structures in different patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:30:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.930$ Why would that be different?

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273 00:30:35.930 --> 00:30:36.464 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00{:}30{:}36.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}39.360$ may be there are different brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:30:39.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.400$ environments in different patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:30:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.544$ Probably there are second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:30:45.544 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.754$ maybe fibril structures or nucleated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:30:48.754 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.192$ but then many of them or most of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

00:30:52.192 --> 00:30:55.303 are cleared by unknown or partially

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:30:55.303 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.623$ known amyloid clearance mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:30:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.386$ And the third possibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:30:59.386 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.400$ is one that I am fond of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:31:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.075$ though that's not this quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:31:04.075 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.415$ the same as evidence,

 $00:31:05.420 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.284$ and that is that the majority of fibrils

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00{:}31{:}08.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}11.319$ present in the brains at the time of death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:31:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.456$ Rise from nucleation of a structure at

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:31:14.456 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.958$ a single site and then that structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:31:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.345$ Spreads by fragmentation and transport

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:31:20.345 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.551$ of the fibrils from one site to

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:31:23.551 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.765$ another site in the brain where

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00{:}31{:}25.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}29.153$ they can serve as seeds for growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00{:}31{:}29.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}31.205$ of structurally identical fibrils.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273 00:31:31.210 --> 00:31:31.794 OK Sir, NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00{:}31{:}31.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}34.570$ I want to talk a little more now about

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:31:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.254$ the relationship between disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.810797542727273

 $00:31:37.254 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.938$ phenotype and peptide confirmation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00:31:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.561$ About 20 years ago we did some

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00:31:46.561 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.930$ work on some of the point mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00:31:49.930 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.528$ forms of beta amyloid and this

 $00:31:52.528 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.887$ one is the D23 and mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00{:}31{:}54.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}57.182$ It's also called the Iowa

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00:31:57.182 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.250$ mutation and beta amyloid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00:31:59.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.435$ With this mutation forms fibrils

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00:32:01.435 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.402$ about 1000 fold faster than wild type

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00:32:04.402 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.852$ a data so it's a market difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00:32:06.852 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.482$ but the other interesting difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00{:}32{:}09.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}12.237$ or the main interesting difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00{:}32{:}12.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}16.200$ Is that people with this mutation in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00:32:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.670$ beta have a different clinical phenotype?

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00:32:20.670 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.640$ People with wild type a day

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00:32:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.110$ to get neuritic plaques and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00{:}32{:}26.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28.990$ Cerebral amyloid angiopathy is

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00{:}32{:}28.990 \to 00{:}32{:}31.870$ a partially overlapping set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00:32:31.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.575$ Most people with Alzheimer's disease

 $00:32:34.575 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.610$ and neuritic plaques have some level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00:32:38.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.090$ cerebral cerebral amyloid angiopathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00:32:41.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.470$ but now, in the case of D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950489163333333

 $00:32:43.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.998$ 23 and a beta, it's the other way around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

00:32:49.260 --> 00:32:53.420 The vacante cerebral amyloid angiopathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:32:53.420 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.674$ and they mostly die of hemorrhagic stroke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

00:32:55.680 --> 00:32:57.855 And yes, they have parenchymal

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:32:57.855 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.595$ deposition of a beta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:32:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.576$ but a lot of it is in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:33:01.576 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.579$ form of diffuse deposition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00{:}33{:}03.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}05.820$ There are compact plaques, too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:33:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.088$ but a lot of it is diffuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:33:08.090 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.986$ Now we did some solid state in tomorrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

00:33:09.986 --> 00:33:11.716 work that I'm not going to go into,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

00:33:11.720 --> 00:33:13.840 but again to give you the bottom line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:33:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.883$ whereas wild type a beta gives you parallel.

00:33:18.883 --> 00:33:21.046 Enregistrer beta sheets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

00:33:21.050 --> 00:33:24.170 Hey Beta D 23 N forms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

00:33:24.170 --> 00:33:26.828 antiparallel beta sheets Now this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:33:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.008$ it turns out is a metastable intermediate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:33:30.010 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.440$ If you do repeated citing the there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:33:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.440$ polymorphism and eventually the more stable

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:33:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.558$ parallel and register beta sheets win out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

00:33:41.560 --> 00:33:44.290 But for a long time you get

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:33:44.290 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.240$ antiparallel beta sheets so there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00{:}33{:}47.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49.365$ structural difference there for sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:33:49.370 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.090$ So to investigate this further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:33:52.090 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.602$ we have done some studies in which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

00:33:55.602 --> 00:33:58.900 start again with brain of people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:33:58.900 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.415$ have died of of Alzheimer's disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

00:34:02.415 --> 00:34:05.703 or see a cerebral amyloid angiopathy.

 $00:34:05.710 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.998$ And we now we isolate either

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:34:08.998 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.190$ parenchyma with neuritic plaques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:34:11.190 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.670$ Or blood vessels from leptomeninges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:34:14.670 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.147$ Now it is true that of course brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

00:34:18.147 --> 00:34:20.229 parenchyma has a small amount of

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:34:20.229 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.780$ blood vessels, but as it turns out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:34:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.380$ you don't really see the the patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:34:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.075$ in NMR and other techniques that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:34:29.075 \dashrightarrow 00:34:31.638$ get from the blood vessel source.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

00:34:31.640 --> 00:34:32.682 But anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00{:}34{:}32.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}36.329$ you do the same kind of procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:34:36.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.815$ We harvest the amyloid biochemically

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:34:38.815 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.930$ we added to synthetic peptides with

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00{:}34{:}41.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44.126$ the appropriate isotopic labels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:34:44.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.670$ We get replicate fibrils of of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:34:49.670 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.590$ We can now study by solid state NMR.

 $00:34:53.590 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.830$ Now we did a series of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00{:}34{:}55.830 \to 00{:}34{:}57.438$ I'm not going to go through each one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:34:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.442$ but I'm going to show you for

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:34:59.442 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.210$ the point of illustration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00{:}35{:}01.210 --> 00{:}35{:}04.514$ Patient one who is a woman who

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:35:04.514 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.766$ had both Alzheimer's disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

00:35:06.766 --> 00:35:09.726 and cerebral amyloid angiopathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:35:09.730 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.820$ and these are the carbon carbon

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:35:12.820 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.470$ Spectra on the left in blue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00{:}35{:}15.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17.582$ and I'll use this color scheme

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:35:17.582 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.550$ throughout is the vascular amyloid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:35:19.550 \dashrightarrow 00:35:21.968$ On the right is parenchymal amyloid

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00{:}35{:}21.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23.758$ and what the next slide shows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:35:23.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.547$ Is some of the chemical shift differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:35:27.550 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.242$ There are significant chemical

 $00:35:30.242 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.934$ shift statistically and otherwise

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:35:32.934 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.402$ significant differences at many sites

NOTE Confidence: 0.729143146

 $00:35:35.402 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.646$ between these two kinds of amyloid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895495762857143

 $00:35:40.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.446$ And if you look at the particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.895495762857143

 $00:35:42.446 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.698$ sites these are in the beta sheets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:35:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.392$ And we also did X ray diffraction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

00:35:51.392 --> 00:35:54.194 just to summarize this this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:35:54.194 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.120$ you can see that in some patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:35:57.206 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.435$ the blue line, the blue line and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:35:59.435 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.220$ red line are more or less the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:36:01.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.052$ but in some the blue is much higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:36:04.052 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.685$ and that would indicate a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00{:}36{:}06.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09.439$ degree of structural order in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:36:09.526 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.586$ vascular than the parenchymal fibrils.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:36:12.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.665$ OK, so that's another story we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00{:}36{:}17.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20.966$ vascular versus neuritic plaque,

 $00:36:20.966 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.546$ amyloid and different fibril structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00{:}36{:}23.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}27.220$ Now I want to show another little vignette

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

00:36:27.220 --> 00:36:30.118 about polymorphism and amyloid and start

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:36:30.118 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.316$ with the with the question why R&PDAPP mice?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:36:35.316 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.528$ Demented or very demented,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:36:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.726$ why don't they have a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

00:36:39.726 --> 00:36:40.824 of neurological deficit?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:36:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.660$ Now there's a lot of reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:36:42.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.150$ why that might be so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:36:44.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.526$ And of course there are many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

00:36:46.530 --> 00:36:49.650 many differences between mice and humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

00:36:49.650 --> 00:36:51.930 and sometimes people forget that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:36:51.930 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.163$ but they shouldn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

00:36:53.163 --> 00:36:55.629 But I'm going to give you

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:36:55.629 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.550$ one more possibility.

 $00:36:57.550 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.466$ This mouse is one of the earliest models of

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:37:00.470 \dashrightarrow 00:37:02.306$ Paul's mouse models of Alzheimer's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:37:02.310 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.446$ They overexpress one particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:37:04.446 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.650$ movement form of the eight PP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:37:07.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.076$ which is the Indiana mutant form of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:37:11.076 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.524$ By about four months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

00:37:12.530 --> 00:37:14.696 they start to get cognitive defects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:37:14.700 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.696$ but they're really not all that severe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:37:17.700 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.262$ In spite of that, they have basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:37:20.262 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.340$ amyloid coming out of their ears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:37:22.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.700$ Enormous extracellular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:37:23.700 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.460$ a beta deposition and some other lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:37:28.460 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.136$ They also have tell the effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844140216363636

 $00:37:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.640$ Oh Hyperfest 4 later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:37:36.460 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.936$ Tell defects. So the question we're asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00{:}37{:}41.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.872$ them is what kind of fibrils is there a

 $00:37:45.872 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.296$ difference in the fibril structure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:37:48.296 \dashrightarrow 00:37:51.020$ leads to differences in pathogenesis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:37:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.576$ This is from the paper of Riley ET al,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:37:53.580 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.554$ just to show you just how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:37:55.554 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.852$ Emma Lloyd there is in their mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:37:57.852 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.300$ hippocampus and other areas as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:38:01.300 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.980$ OK, so if you compare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:38:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.010$ Human and mouse a beta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:38:08.010 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.056$ There are three structural amino acid

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:38:13.056 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.780$ point differences in the sequence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00{:}38{:}17.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20.308$ And this is a third species I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

00:38:20.308 --> 00:38:22.797 attracted to it for the obvious reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00{:}38{:}22.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}25.537$ but it stands for naked mole rat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:38:25.540 \dashrightarrow 00:38:31.090$ not nuclear magnetic resonance and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:38:31.090 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.520$ There's a picture of it. Uhm?

 $00:38:35.520 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.592$ You can see that when you fiber

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

00:38:37.592 --> 00:38:39.080 allies these three peptides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:38:39.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.460$ we made it, we made fibers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:38:40.460 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.784$ We did transmission again and right off

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:38:42.784 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.533$ the bat you can see that there are under

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00{:}38{:}45.533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}48.419$ a given set of fibril isation conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

00:38:48.420 --> 00:38:50.655 You get fibrils with rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:38:50.655 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.549$ different morphology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00{:}38{:}51.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54.682$ Here you see these fluoride striations

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:38:54.682 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.906$ in human a beta 40 twists mostly

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00{:}38{:}57.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}00.867$ in the nick and Mallrat a beta

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:39:00.867 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.963$ 40 and this kind of mixed with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:39:03.963 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.330$ lot of very thin fibrils.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:39:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.026$ In a beta mouse, a beta 40.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:39:10.030 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.886$ The reason for including the naked mole

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:39:12.886 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.498$ rat is that it is the longest lived rodent.

 $00:39:18.500 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.815$ It is insensitive to pain and it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00{:}39{:}22.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25.040$ supposedly resistant to the effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:39:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.609$ of a beta and Alzheimer's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:39:28.610 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.746$ I say this is a story that

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

00:39:31.746 --> 00:39:33.090 is still developing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455 00:39:33.090 --> 00:39:33.521 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:39:33.521 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.814$ in any case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:39:34.814 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.458$ if you now take the mouse and human

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:39:38.458 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.242$ a beta and you compare them and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:39:42.344 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.968$ is data that's hot off the presses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

00:39:44.970 --> 00:39:47.578 so I don't have a structure for this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:39:47.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.480$ it is coming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

00:39:48.480 --> 00:39:50.280 but I think it's pretty clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:39:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.976$ that there are going to be major

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

00:39:52.976 --> 00:39:54.140 structural differences between

 $00:39:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.470$ the Hughes human and mouse a beta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00{:}39{:}56.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}00.960$ despite having been fibril eisd

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:40:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.654$ under identical conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00{:}40{:}03.660 \longrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.924$ And this slide to show up a close up

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:40:05.924 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.200$ of 1 region of the spectrum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

00:40:08.200 --> 00:40:11.063 If you look at the upper right

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

00:40:11.063 --> 00:40:12.290 of this spectrum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

00:40:12.290 --> 00:40:15.398 This is an alanine peak for what it for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00{:}40{:}15.398 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}40{:}17.322$ what it matters, but there's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:40:17.322 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.010$ There's a big difference in this region

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

00:40:20.083 --> 00:40:22.995 as well with leucine and glutamine peaks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:40:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.208$ so a big difference as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:40:25.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.022$ So we're in the process of

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:40:27.022 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.928$ doing the assignment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:40:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.022$ I'm not absolutely sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:40:29.022 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.114$ about the assignments yet,

 $00:40:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.808$ but this is very exciting research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:40:32.810 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.630$ That is work in progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455 00:40:35.630 --> 00:40:35.899 OK, NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:40:35.899 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.051$ in the last few minutes of the talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00{:}40{:}38.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}40.185$ I'm going to switch and talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

00:40:40.185 --> 00:40:42.579 the other story I mentioned earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:40:42.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.550$ which is how NMR can be used to study that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:40:45.550 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.712$ And I'm the dynamics of proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:40:48.712 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.446$ in particular of occluding and

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

00:40:51.446 --> 00:40:53.590 it's alpha helical bundle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:40:53.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.966$ The reason for interest in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:40:55.966 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.253$ is that this is a tight junction

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00{:}40{:}59.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}01.948$ protein in which one particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:41:01.948 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.035$ residue residue sering 408,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:41:04.035 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.110$ seems to be very important.

 $00:41:06.110 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.000$ In regulating tight junction function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:41:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.835$ Now this is from a paper by

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

00:41:12.835 --> 00:41:15.220 David Raleigh and Jerry Turner

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:41:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.932$ collaborator in this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:41:17.932 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.690$ and I'm not going to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.65359455

 $00:41:19.690 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.554$ through their whole model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.981117268571429

 $00:41:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.199$ They have tons and tons of data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.981117268571429

 $00:41:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.700$ Beautiful data on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.981117268571429

00:41:24.700 --> 00:41:27.439 except I'll point out one part of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.981117268571429

 $00:41:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.528$ And that is that sehring 508 of occluding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.981117268571429

 $00{:}41{:}32.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}35.280$ Can be phosphorylated or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.981117268571429

 $00:41:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.920$ It gets phosphorylated by CK2 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.981117268571429

 $00:41:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.245$ if it is not phosphorylated it

NOTE Confidence: 0.981117268571429

 $00:41:41.245 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.777$ binds zielone and and that makes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.981117268571429

00:41:48.777 --> 00:41:51.012 the tight junction more permeable

NOTE Confidence: 0.981117268571429

 $00{:}41{:}51.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}54.259$ to water and ions so you get

 $00:41:54.259 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.544$ leakage across the tight junction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

 $00:41:58.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.896$ Now we wanted to investigate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

 $00:42:00.896 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.000$ structural basis for this difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

 $00:42:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.810$ and as I'll show you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

 $00:42:04.810 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.800$ there's a big problem here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

00:42:06.800 --> 00:42:10.296 This slide won't go into it in detail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

 $00:42:10.300 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.666$ but let me go back here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

 $00{:}42{:}13.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}17.513$ This slide shows that occludin has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

 $00{:}42{:}17.513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}20.190$ membrane spanning region for membrane

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

 $00{:}42{:}20.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}23.466$ spanning helices and then it has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

00:42:23.466 --> 00:42:26.998 tail that is cytosolic or cytoplasmic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

 $00:42:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.158$ Now this slide shows the evolutionary

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

 $00:42:31.158 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.825$ conservation of occludin in its

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

 $00{:}42{:}34.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}38.662$ cytoplasmic domain and it is 100%

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

 $00:42:38.662 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.934$ identical throughout mammalian evolution,

 $00:42:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.617$ and he and greater than 90%

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

 $00{:}42{:}43.617 --> 00{:}42{:}45.228 \ conserved \ in \ birds,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

 $00:42:45.228 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.839$ amphibians and fish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

 $00:42:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.948$ And that's absolutely true at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.942382691818182

 $00:42:49.948 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.502$ critical sehring 408.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:42:53.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.938$ Now here's the problem. Here is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:42:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.826$ Here is a crystal structure done

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:42:59.826 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.750$ by Arnon levy of the helical of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00{:}43{:}04.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}07.534$ cytoplasmic portion of occludin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:43:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.420$ And as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:43:08.420 --> 00:43:12.140 it consistently 3A helical bundle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:43:12.140 --> 00:43:15.080 But what I will point out is the in terminus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:43:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.392$ in which many of the residues are not seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:43:18.400 --> 00:43:21.039 In fact, there's about 30 residues that

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:43:21.039 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.058$ are not seen in the crystal structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:43:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.288$ So here's the problem.

00:43:26.288 --> 00:43:29.073 You have sehring 408 phosphorylation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:43:29.080 --> 00:43:31.580 It's crucial for physiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:43:31.580 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.705$ function of the tight junction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:43:34.710 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.185$ This region is extremely well

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:43:38.185 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.575$ conserved evolutionarily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:43:39.580 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.286$ but especially the unstructured domain with

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:43:42.286 --> 00:43:45.700 serine 408 and the surrounding residues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:43:45.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.325$ and yet sehring 408 is not seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:43:48.325 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.752$ in the crystal structure. Why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00{:}43{:}50.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53.566$ Because it is in an unstructured domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:43:53.570 --> 00:43:57.467 So how do we make sense of this conundrum?

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:43:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.696$ Well, we made a group of peptides

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00{:}43{:}59.696 \to 00{:}44{:}02.053$ and I'm only going to focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:44:02.053 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.708$ the first three of those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:44:03.710 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.111$ and I'm going to use a little

 $00:44:06.111 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.590$ bit of shorthand here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:44:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.082$ Occluding A has a searing 408

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:44:11.082 --> 00:44:13.410 substituted by an alanine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:44:13.410 --> 00:44:17.832 so it's like the D phospho amino acid

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:44:17.832 --> 00:44:23.208 serine and occludin with a D at position 408.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:44:23.210 --> 00:44:26.999 I'll call it occlusion D or occlusion 408 D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:44:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.112$ And so this is now the same length

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:44:29.112 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.251$ as the protein that was used

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:44:31.251 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.795$ for the crystal structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:44:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.180$ But with this point mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00{:}44{:}35.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}37.828$ now this third construct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:44:37.828 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.958$ Lops off the part that isn't seen in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:44:42.958 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.470$ crystal structure and is unstructured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:44:46.470 --> 00:44:47.031 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:44:47.031 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.397$ if you express this in acoli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00{:}44{:}50.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}53.670$ you get alpha helical structure and

00:44:53.670 --> 00:44:58.930 it's the same in both A&D and if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00{:}44{:}58.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01.130$ look at the one with just the helical

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:45:01.195 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.570$ bundle the unstructured domain lopped

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:45:03.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.945$ off slightly higher helical percentage

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:45:06.011 --> 00:45:07.946 because you've lopped off this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:45:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.162$ the unstructured domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:45:09.162 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.778$ But other than that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:45:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.972$ these proteins look to have at this low

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:45:14.972 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.358$ resolution level very similar structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:45:18.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.008$ Now this is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:45:21.010 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.260$ This is like in HSQC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:45:23.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.054$ except because the protein is very elongated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:45:27.060 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.680$ We used a technique called.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00{:}45{:}29.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}32.386$ And trozzi, but it's basically gives

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:45:32.386 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.530$ you the same kind of information.

 $00:45:35.530 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.698$ But what this show is first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00{:}45{:}37.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}40.130$ on the left you see red and blue and

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:45:40.130 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.317$ most of the peaks are overlapped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:45:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.520$ And this is a clue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:45:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.024$ Danae and occludin D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:45:45.024 --> 00:45:48.147 And with this tells you that is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:45:48.147 --> 00:45:50.898 even on the very fine structural level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:45:50.900 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.576$ these proteins have very similar structures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:45:53.580 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.140$ though not quite identical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:45:56.140 --> 00:45:58.754 Now, if you compare, on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88430418285714300:45:58.760 --> 00:45:59.280 occludin. NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:45:59.280 --> 00:45:59.800 D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00{:}45{:}59.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}03.440$ You can also do occluding a same

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:46:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.066$ answer if you compare occlusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:46:06.066 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.752$ D with the Helix only occluding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00{:}46{:}08.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.395$ Now you see a lot of places where red

00:46:12.395 --> 00:46:15.209 and green do not overlap very well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:46:15.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.040$ so this bespeaks large differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:46:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.030$ of some kind in the structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:46:22.030 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.465$ And you can put some numbers on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:46:23.470 --> 00:46:26.206 It won't go through the details of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:46:26.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.928$ but what I want to point out is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:46:28.928 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.607$ difference in the Y axis scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:46:30.610 --> 00:46:32.710 If you compare A&D on top,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:46:32.710 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.570$ these are tiny numbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

 $00:46:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.610$ whereas if you compare in this case

NOTE Confidence: 0.884304182857143

00:46:38.610 --> 00:46:42.570 D with the Helix only version,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905753026

 $00:46:42.570 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.418$ you can see that these numbers are

NOTE Confidence: 0.905753026

 $00{:}46{:}44.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46.740$ sometimes quite large, almost one PPM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910917138333333

 $00{:}46{:}48.900 \longrightarrow 00{:}46{:}52.326$ Now to get at this further, we used a

NOTE Confidence: 0.910917138333333

 $00:46:52.326 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.092$ technique that was developed by Marius

 $00:46:56.092 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.544$ Floor and Nicholas Fousey which is called.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910917138333333

 $00:47:00.550 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.296$ Oh, which is called paramagnetic relaxation

NOTE Confidence: 0.910917138333333

 $00:47:05.296 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.805$ enhancement and basically what you do

NOTE Confidence: 0.910917138333333

00:47:07.805 --> 00:47:10.916 here is you put an electron spin label

NOTE Confidence: 0.910917138333333

 $00:47:10.916 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.975$ on a cysteine by a disulfide bridge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910917138333333

00:47:13.980 --> 00:47:16.549 So you're making mutation at a particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.910917138333333

00:47:16.549 --> 00:47:18.990 site in amino acid for assisting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910917138333333

 $00:47:18.990 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.026$ and then that electron spin causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9109171383333333

 $00:47:22.026 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.320$ rapid relaxation of a nuclear spin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910917138333333

 $00:47:25.320 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.192$ Now the readout for that is that if the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9109171383333333

 $00{:}47{:}30.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33.524$ Electron Spin label is close to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.910917138333333

00:47:33.524 --> 00:47:36.710 nuclear spin that you're looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910917138333333

00:47:36.710 --> 00:47:38.330 You see, broadening of the peak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9109171383333333

 $00:47:38.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.192$ it gets less intense due to

NOTE Confidence: 0.910917138333333

 $00:47:41.192 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.623$ the paramagnetic label,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910917138333333

00:47:42.630 --> 00:47:44.845 so you see decreased peak

 $00:47:44.845 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.617$ volume and peak height.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:47:48.890 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.900$ OK, now I was going to tell you two types

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:47:51.983 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.688$ of PRE experiments we did on occludin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:47:55.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.657$ The first kind and the first kind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:47:57.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.890$ We took the the two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:48:01.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.052$ the two versions of the protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:48:05.052 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.652$ with the unstructured domain and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:48:07.652 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.417$ now we put a label on either

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00{:}48{:}10.417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}13.148$ cysteine 409 which occurs naturally

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:48:13.148 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.223$ in the peptide very conveniently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:48:16.230 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.534$ or we mutated the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:48:18.534 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.414$ End terminal alanine to assisting

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00{:}48{:}21.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}23.956$ now this is I'm going to call this

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:48:23.956 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.452$ insist because the unstructured

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:48:25.452 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.822$ domain is attached to the helical

 $00:48:27.822 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.041$ bundle and this arrow indicates

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:48:30.041 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.759$ roughly where the little way the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:48:32.759 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.340$ crystallographic structure ends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

00:48:35.340 --> 00:48:37.698 Now the second time that experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:48:37.700 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.433$ we take a free peptide and we lay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

00:48:42.433 --> 00:48:46.219 and it's either the peptide containing

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:48:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.044$ S 4088 or S408D and then we attach the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

00:48:51.044 --> 00:48:54.373 paramagnetic spin label to cysteine

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

00:48:54.373 --> 00:48:57.420 409 and naturally occurring, assisting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:48:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.505$ and now we add it to the occluding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727 00:49:00.505 --> 00:49:01.635 in which. NOTE Confidence: 0.858288247272727

 $00:49:01.635 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.590 ****$ be Helix only version of occlusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87096644875

 $00:49:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.614$ No, I'm just wanna give you the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87096644875

00:49:11.614 --> 00:49:15.078 gross outlines of what we see and if

NOTE Confidence: 0.87096644875

00:49:15.078 --> 00:49:18.046 you look at the overall pattern on

NOTE Confidence: 0.87096644875

00:49:18.046 --> 00:49:21.006 the left of the Paramedic Clinic,

 $00:49:21.006 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.206$ label is at 16509 on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87096644875

00:49:25.206 --> 00:49:28.698 At 8383C you can see that the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.87096644875

 $00:49:28.698 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.342$ valleys whoops that the two valleys

NOTE Confidence: 0.87096644875

 $00:49:31.342 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.036$ here are at very different places.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87096644875

 $00:49:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.280$ Then then in on the right side picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87096644875

00:49:37.280 --> 00:49:40.440 So through mapping like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87096644875

 $00:49:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.588$ we think that C 409 is roughly

NOTE Confidence: 0.87096644875

 $00:49:43.588 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.430$ where it's shown in this cartoon

NOTE Confidence: 0.87096644875

 $00:49:45.501 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.120$ on the bottom, whereas

NOTE Confidence: 0.86488431428571

 $00:49:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.946$ A383C is roughly at where you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.864888431428571

 $00:49:51.946 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.240$ it in this picture on the bottom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86488431428571

 $00:49:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.826$ So basically we're mapping the location

NOTE Confidence: 0.86488431428571

 $00:49:56.826 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.110$ of residues in the unstructured domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904584300833333

 $00{:}50{:}02.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04.608$ And this is the experiment in trans

NOTE Confidence: 0.904584300833333

 $00{:}50{:}04.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07.888$ where you get a small difference D

 $00:50:07.888 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.750$ slightly more than a four for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904584300833333

00:50:12.750 --> 00:50:17.860 binding of the peptide to the Helix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904584300833333

 $00:50:17.860 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.524$ Only version of the peptide of the protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904584300833333

 $00:50:20.530 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.850$ Now I have to say that it really

NOTE Confidence: 0.904584300833333

 $00:50:23.850 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.701$ wasn't known before this that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904584300833333

00:50:26.701 --> 00:50:30.140 peptide would bind to the Helix only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904584300833333

 $00:50:30.140 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.175$ Protein, but we confirmed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.904584300833333

 $00:50:33.175 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.142$ by doing affinity measurements

NOTE Confidence: 0.904584300833333

 $00:50:36.142 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.770$ by microscale thermophoresis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904584300833333

 $00:50:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.906$ there is in fact about a six fold

NOTE Confidence: 0.904584300833333

 $00:50:41.906 \dashrightarrow 00:50:45.553$ difference of affinity phospho S 408

NOTE Confidence: 0.904584300833333

00:50:45.553 --> 00:50:49.044 higher than D phospho 408, and this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904584300833333

 $00:50:49.044 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.686$ by the way, is the phosphorylated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904584300833333

 $00:50:51.686 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.294$ not the phospho mimetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904584300833333

 $00:50:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.946$ Now the other thing that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.904584300833333

 $00:50:56.946 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.269$ physiologically very important.

 $00:51:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.061$ Is that this does lead to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:51:04.061 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.402$ difference of affinity for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

00:51:06.402 --> 00:51:08.498 molecular partner of occluding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:51:08.500 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.154$ which is 01, and as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:51:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.736$ as predicted by the physiological data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:51:15.740 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.620$ the S 4088 binds with about a two

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:51:19.620 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.610$ fold higher affinity than AS408D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:51:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.550$ No, the conclusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00{:}51{:}26.550 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}51{:}29.749$ First, NMR can help us understand disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:51:29.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.330$ and I've given you some diverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

00:51:32.330 --> 00:51:34.880 vignettes to demonstrate that first

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00{:}51{:}34.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}37.430$ there is a structure dysfunction

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:51:37.510 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.078$ relationship between amyloid structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:51:40.078 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.288$ and the Alzheimer's disease phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:51:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.594$ This is shown by patient one versus patient.

 $00:51:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.835$ Two Riddick plaques versus vascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:51:49.835 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.862$ amyloid mouse versus human amyloid second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:51:53.862 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.726$ This is important because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:51:56.730 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.992$ Amyloid a genic peptides can Co fiber

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00{:}51{:}59.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}03.600$ allies as well as Co precipitate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:52:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.075$ Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

00:52:04.075 --> 00:52:07.875 a beta and a synuclein I didn't mention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:52:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.121$ but we also did stains for Alpha's

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00{:}52{:}11.121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13.648$ nucleus and this was present in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:52:13.648 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.320$ places in patient one and there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:52:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.600$ probably many more examples of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:52:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.016$ so we all know that there are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:52:21.016 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.128$ things in heaven and earth than

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00{:}52{:}23.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.156$ are dreamt of in our philosophy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

00:52:25.160 --> 00:52:27.700 Alzheimer's disease is not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900090375

 $00:52:27.700 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.881$ amyloid period yet there is

 $00:52:29.881 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.590$ amyloid involved in it in a way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94288492777778

 $00:52:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.260$ The next lesson from this is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:52:37.260 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.665$ when you say something is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:52:39.665 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.840$ seen in the crystal structure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:52:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.535$ that does not mean it is unimportant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94288492777778

 $00:52:44.540 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.552$ It may still yet influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

00:52:47.552 --> 00:52:48.968 Physiology and biochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00{:}52{:}48.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}53.334$ so the reason for that is that we're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:52:53.334 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.238$ talking about protein structure along alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94288492777778

 $00:52:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.308$ We're also talking about protein dynamics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:53:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.558$ OK, now as I like to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

00:53:03.560 --> 00:53:07.410 When I say I, I mean we and when I say we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00{:}53{:}07.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}11.630$ I mean they and I wanna give a shout out to

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:53:11.630 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.760$ people who really did the work and these are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

00:53:16.760 --> 00:53:19.399 Past and present members of the lab,

 $00:53:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.152$ from left to right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

00:53:21.152 --> 00:53:23.780 J Pittman had tools Rivas Diva,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

00:53:23.780 --> 00:53:25.680 Jonathan Servic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

00:53:25.680 --> 00:53:29.480 Bharat Venkata and Patmore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94288492777778

 $00:53:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.049$ And I want to particularly give a

NOTE Confidence: 0.94288492777778

 $00{:}53{:}32.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}35.229$ shout out to the tools for Srivastava.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00{:}53{:}35.230 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}53{:}41.392$ Very talented postdoc who is a superb

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

00:53:41.392 --> 00:53:44.776 biochemist and and spectroscopist

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:53:44.780 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.808$ and this is Joe Sock Laban.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

00:53:46.810 --> 00:53:50.668 Who as the saying goes, knows more about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00{:}53{:}50.668 \mathrel{\text{--}}{>} 00{:}53{:}53.298$ has forgotten more about NMR

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:53:53.298 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.950$ than I will ever know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00{:}53{:}55.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}58.220$ And now other collaborators I

NOTE Confidence: 0.94288492777778

00:53:58.220 --> 00:54:00.950 particularly want to thank Rob Tiko,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:54:00.950 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.242$ with whom the brain seated amyloid

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:54:03.242 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.709$ work has been done and as well,

 $00:54:05.710 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.450$ a lot of some of the work on the D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94288492777778

00:54:09.450 --> 00:54:12.036 23 N Jerry Turner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

00:54:12.036 --> 00:54:15.357 who has been working on has been working

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:54:15.357 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.170$ on tight junctions for a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

00:54:17.170 --> 00:54:18.950 Though we're doing this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.94288492777778

 $00:54:18.950 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.730$ on occluding and he has,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00.54:20.730 \longrightarrow 00.54:23.467$ as I say, a whole system going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:54:23.470 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.726$ It's wonderful data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

00:54:25.726 --> 00:54:30.238 Joseph Orgell doing the fiber diffraction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94288492777778

 $00{:}54{:}30.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}33.395$ the the vascular versus parenchymal

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00{:}54{:}33.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}37.367$ amyloid work was done with Yoshitaka

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

00:54:37.367 --> 00:54:39.832 ISHI and Katherine Chappell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00{:}54{:}39.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}43.920$ And I want to also mention gingelly

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00{:}54{:}44.023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}47.230$ you at NIH formerly working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:54:47.230 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.900$ the brain seating and Peter Patel.

 $00:54:50.900 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.595$ Great neuro pathologist in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00{:}54{:}53.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56.290$ department who has who has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:54:56.290 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.060$ Helped me enormously with his

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:55:00.060 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.568$ histopathology diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94288492777778

 $00:55:01.570 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.946$ I like to say that science has learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.94288492777778

 $00.55:03.946 \longrightarrow 00.55:06.344$ more from the steam engine than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:55:06.344 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.640$ steam engine ever learned from science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00{:}55{:}08.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}10.890$ Science has also learned more

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:55:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.690$ from disease than disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942884927777778

 $00:55:12.690 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.098$ Has learned from science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.396505815

 $00:55:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.798$ The aardvark my my my prediction

NOTE Confidence: 0.396505815

 $00:55:21.798 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.624$ for 2002 and I realized

NOTE Confidence: 0.396505815

 $00:55:23.624 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.430$ speaking to people in New Haven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.396505815

 $00:55:25.430 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.968$ I have to specify that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.396505815

 $00:55:27.968 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.720$ White Sox are the good socks

NOTE Confidence: 0.396505815

 $00:55:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.156$ and at that point I will stop.

 $00:55:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.922$ Right? It's good that

NOTE Confidence: 0.5458121

 $00:55:36.922 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.938$ they welcomed your lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5458121

 $00:55:37.940 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.660$ There must've been a nice lab outing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5458121

 $00:55:42.660 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.398$ Any questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5458121

 $00{:}55{:}43.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}45.612$ I'm sure there are some questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.5458121

 $00:55:45.612 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.980$ for Doctor Meredith.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5458121

 $00:55:46.980 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.949$ Road open here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730832533333333

 $00:55:48.180 \dashrightarrow 00:55:50.660$ Sure, I'll start. And then we'll go forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.730832533333333

 $00:55:50.660 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.290$ Stephen, thank you for a wonderful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800429

 $00{:}55{:}52.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}56.389$ very clear presentation. You alluded to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922137016

 $00{:}55{:}56.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}58.240$ it at the end, although it wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.922137016

 $00:55:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.088$ clear when you were doing your data. If

NOTE Confidence: 0.661232094

 $00{:}56{:}01.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}03.290$ when you harvest these

NOTE Confidence: 0.661232094

 $00{:}56{:}03.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}05.490$ nucleating fibrils from real

NOTE Confidence: 0.85125144

 $00:56:05.500 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.750$ brains, whether it's mouse, human, or humans

00:56:07.760 --> 00:56:10.286 with different patients, they're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.898409476666667

 $00{:}56{:}10.300 \to 00{:}56{:}11.920$ be contaminated at a trace level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898409476666667

 $00:56:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.218$ you won't see any NMR with other stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898409476666667

 $00:56:14.220 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.861$ Almost inevitably. Do you think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.898409476666667

 $00:56:16.861 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.078$ is Co nucleating with an accounting

NOTE Confidence: 0.898409476666667

 $00:56:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.670$ for the different structures?

NOTE Confidence: 0.65925996725

 $00:56:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.188$ The different nucleation universe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7787846075

 $00.56:24.200 \longrightarrow 00.56:25.668$ which minimum the nucleus

NOTE Confidence: 0.760856025

 $00:56:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.048$ filament finds in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64040661

 $00:56:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.755$ In their words, just the

NOTE Confidence: 0.64040661

 $00{:}56{:}28.755 \to 00{:}56{:}29.810$ proteins associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:56:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.274$ the A beta. That's really we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:56:32.274 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.482$ be looking at absolutely absolutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:56:34.482 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.968$ I mean, we know what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:56:37.970 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.250$ when you have pure peptide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:56:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.504$ Right now it's not the same conditions

 $00:56:42.504 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.188$ you can say, and that's true, but it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00{:}56{:}46.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}48.710$ I think it is all about what else is

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

00:56:48.710 --> 00:56:51.339 mixed up in that junk in the lab we refer

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:56:51.339 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.866$ to this affectionately as brain goo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00{:}56{:}53.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}55.956$ Now what I will say is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:56:55.956 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.259$ as we do the biochemical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:56:58.260 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.640$ Purification of the amyloid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:57:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.966$ We follow the the NUCLEATING activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00{:}57{:}03.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}07.388$ where the seeding activity So what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:57:07.388 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.580$ throw away does not have seeding activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00{:}57{:}10.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}13.184$ That said, what does have seating

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:57:13.184 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.660$ activity is not pure, not even close.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00{:}57{:}16.660 --> 00{:}57{:}18.980$ No, not even close.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:57:18.980 \dashrightarrow 00:57:23.346$ So you know if I live another hundred

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:57:23.346 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.794$ years or maybe someone else will do this,

 $00{:}57{:}25.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}28.059$ I think it would be very important to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:57:28.059 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.637$ a proteomic analysis of what else is in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:57:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.372$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:57:31.372 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.202$ a fairly systematic proteomic analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:57:33.202 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.320$ of what else is in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

00:57:35.320 --> 00:57:37.944 Do we know for sure in patient one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:57:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.704$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:57:38.704 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.589$ that she had this diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:57:40.589 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.360$ of Lewy body disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:57:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.184$ We know that there was at

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

00:57:44.184 --> 00:57:45.400 least one Lewy body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:57:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.890$ and there's alpha synuclein by immunostain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:57:47.890 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.784$ Not a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72829385

 $00:57:48.784 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.380$ but some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86042656

 $00:57:50.410 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.422$ Yeah, so can I just follow up?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86042656

 $00:57:52.422 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.600$ So why is this any different? Maybe because

00.57.55.610 --> 00.57.57.145 you can't eat it any different

NOTE Confidence: 0.926973834

 $00{:}57{:}57.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}00.610$ than a prion in nucleates formation

NOTE Confidence: 0.926973834

 $00:58:00.610 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.060$ of a pathologic. Confirmation

NOTE Confidence: 0.781973852

 $00:58:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.435$ as another friend and colleague

NOTE Confidence: 0.781973852

00:58:06.435 --> 00:58:08.560 Jim Mastriani likes to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00:58:12.260 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.565$ Point out that Alzheimer's disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00:58:14.565 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.410$ has been transmitted as a prion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00{:}58{:}17.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}20.330$ Now it probably takes a set of special

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00:58:20.330 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.500$ circumstances, so don't worry too much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00{:}58{:}23.500 \longrightarrow 00{:}58{:}26.812$ but but I think I think it can be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00:58:26.820 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.666$ and the difference between an amyloid

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00:58:30.666 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.620$ and prion is basically infectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00{:}58{:}34.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}38.100$ Data fibrils have very low infectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00:58:38.100 \dashrightarrow 00:58:41.790$ They don't go through the GI tract very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

00:58:41.790 --> 00:58:43.770 Attempts to transmit it that way.

00:58:43.770 --> 00:58:46.134 I think I've not been particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00:58:46.134 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.928$ successful, and the other thing that happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00:58:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.192$ You know that how we got

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00:58:50.192 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.323$ these monoclonal antibodies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00:58:51.330 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.538$ Is someone tried to transmit this

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00:58:53.538 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.490$ as prion and got an immune response?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00:58:56.490 \longrightarrow 00:58:59.838$ So I think in the case of a beta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00:58:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.705$ maybe the immune response partially

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00{:}59{:}02.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}05.570$ opposes transmission as a prion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00:59:05.570 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.605$ but that's speculation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00:59:06.605 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.985$ I don't really know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837913623636364

 $00:59:07.990 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.496$ I think it can be transmitted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

00:59:11.020 --> 00:59:13.008 Not to say on this right, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $00{:}59{:}13.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}15.200$ and I I think the question John was

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $00:59:15.262 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.614$ really great because I think that most

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

00:59:17.614 --> 00:59:19.918 people don't know that what's injected

00:59:19.918 --> 00:59:22.479 as prion fibrils are actually not pure

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $00{:}59{:}22.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}23.880$ and they have many things in them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $00:59:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.040$ So the second thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $00:59:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.399$ just I'll make my comment about my strone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $00:59{:}27.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}29.976$ I actually do those kinds of experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $00:59:29.976 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.723$ and I'm allowed data is not infection that

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

00:59:32.723 --> 00:59:35.790 says that any kind of what you would call

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $00:59:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.317$ apprion or infectious agent of TSS is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $00:59:38.317 \dashrightarrow 00:59:40.699$ That is, it doesn't seriously transmit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $00:59:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.260$ If you put a lot of it into a brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

00:59:42.260 --> 00:59:43.930 The animal. That's very sick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $00:59:43.930 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.043$ It may seed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

00:59:45.043 --> 00:59:48.300 but it doesn't transmit to a new animal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

00:59:48.300 --> 00:59:51.378 OK, so that is that is an important point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $00:59:51.380 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.225$ but what I would like to ask you because

 $00:59:53.225 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.849$ I thought your your presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $00:59:54.849 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.559$ was great and very illuminating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $00:59:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:59:58.130$ Very instructive for me about

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $00:59:58.130 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.543$ fibroids and how you look at them is

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

01:00:00.543 --> 01:00:03.298 if you wanted to think about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

01:00:03.298 --> 01:00:06.231 makes a a beta amyloid of let's

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

01:00:06.231 --> 01:00:09.197 say a prion protein infectious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $01:00:09.200 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.209$ What would the structure be and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $01:00:11.209 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.451$ would happen if you found that something

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $01:00:13.451 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.437$ that had a very different structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

01:00:15.497 --> 01:00:18.006 from let's say an infected lymph node?

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

01:00:18.006 --> 01:00:21.956 Actually did gave the exact same types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

01:00:21.956 --> 01:00:24.488 transmission and strain characteristics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

01:00:24.490 --> 01:00:26.674 which are different which are only

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $01:00:26.674 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.932$ for that particular agent and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $01:00:28.932 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.782$ for something that makes something

 $01:00:30.782 \dashrightarrow 01:00:32.614$ very similar with a different strain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

01:00:32.614 --> 01:00:35.027 So I really want to know your inside

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

 $01:00:35.027 \longrightarrow 01:00:37.217$ is what would make an amyloid

NOTE Confidence: 0.54086554

01:00:37.217 --> 01:00:37.947 infectious structurally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

01:00:40.470 --> 01:00:42.430 Well, I can give you a short

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01:00:42.430 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.180$ answer in a long answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01:00:44.180 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.966$ The short answer is. No idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01:00:47.970 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.064$ The longest somewhat longer answer is it it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01:00:52.064 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.434$ First of all, it needs to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01{:}00{:}54.434 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}56.730$ I mean, I think you're talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01:00:56.730 \longrightarrow 01:00:58.870$ the route of entry into the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01:00:58.870 \longrightarrow 01:01:01.338$ That's the first thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01:01:01.340 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.175$ Why is it that a prion can?

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

01:01:04.180 --> 01:01:05.580 I mean a real prion?

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01:01:05.580 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.996$ And I agree with you that being amyloid

 $01:01:07.996 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.170$ is it's under some circumstances it can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

01:01:11.170 --> 01:01:13.710 transmitted from one animal to another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

01:01:13.710 --> 01:01:15.348 but you have to sort of squirt

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

01:01:15.348 --> 01:01:16.600 it directly into the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01:01:16.600 \longrightarrow 01:01:19.714$ which is not the case for a real prion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

01:01:19.720 --> 01:01:22.478 But I think you know somehow the

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01:01:22.478 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.972$ prion has to be picked up by the by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

01:01:26.972 --> 01:01:28.420 For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01:01:28.420 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.780$ the dendritic cells in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01:01:30.780 \longrightarrow 01:01:32.668$ nose or the oropharynx.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01{:}01{:}32.670 --> 01{:}01{:}34.735$ And then hitch a ride on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01:01:34.735 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.270$ trigeminal nerve into the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01:01:36.270 \longrightarrow 01:01:37.950$ Or maybe be able to go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01:01:37.950 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.408$ other routes in the GI track.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

01:01:39.410 --> 01:01:41.608 I don't really know how it occurs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812500338333333

 $01:01:41.610 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.940$ but

 $01:01:42.450 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.354$ goes by blood. It goes by white

NOTE Confidence: 0.869369025714286

 $01:01:44.354 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.358$ blood cells as shown in the 1970s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

01:01:47.670 --> 01:01:50.050 I think you know this is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

01:01:50.050 --> 01:01:51.520 necessarily a structural thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:01:51.520 \longrightarrow 01:01:55.657$ This could be a receptor ligand interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01{:}01{:}55.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}58.978$ I suspect that the different prion strains

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:01:58.978 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.164$ and of course there are strains of prions

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01{:}02{:}03.164 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}06.556$ that depends on the the differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:02:06.556 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.630$ among them have a lot to do with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:02:10.630 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.666$ How the beta sheets are arranged?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

01:02:13.670 --> 01:02:18.089 In other words, if you do limit digests of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:02:18.090 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.820$ The, let's say the GSS, prion versus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01{:}02{:}22.820 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}24.640$ Yakub kreutzfeldt prions you

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:02:24.640 \longrightarrow 01:02:26.460$ get different limit digest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:02:26.460 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.766$ It suggests that different that they're

 $01:02:30.766 \longrightarrow 01:02:32.922$ at different locations of the beta sheets

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:02:32.922 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.099$ and therefore different relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01{:}02{:}35.100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}36.570$ 3 dimensional relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:02:36.570 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.530$ among the beta sheets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:02:38.530 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.714$ and that would be really nice to know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

01:02:40.720 --> 01:02:41.632 I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:02:41.632 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.656$ if you get the different prion strains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:02:44.656 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.140$ and I know vittle sure of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

01:02:47.219 --> 01:02:49.289 it is doing work like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:02:49.290 \longrightarrow 01:02:51.410$ For example in cervid prions

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:02:51.410 \longrightarrow 01:02:53.530$ and other kinds of prions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:02:53.530 \longrightarrow 01:02:55.217$ So I think that would be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:02:55.217 \dashrightarrow 01:02:56.907$ important to know and I'll bet

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:02:56.907 \longrightarrow 01:02:58.422$ that the different strains have

NOTE Confidence: 0.89769656

 $01:02:58.422 \longrightarrow 01:03:00.059$ different beta sheet arrangements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770668563333333

 $01:03:00.690 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.462$ Actually, the digests are the same

01:03:03.462 --> 01:03:06.125 in the organ, but they're very

NOTE Confidence: 0.770668563333333

01:03:06.125 --> 01:03:08.250 different in the peripheral tissues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770668563333333

01:03:08.250 --> 01:03:10.258 so it depends on the organ that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.770668563333333

 $01:03:10.258 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.629$ in and that does not reflect the strain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359780725

 $01:03:14.120 \longrightarrow 01:03:15.704$ Well, in that case I really don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923980479375

 $01:03:16.350 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.430$ I just I. I sometimes think that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.923980479375

01:03:18.430 --> 01:03:20.877 a lot of popular notions that are said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923980479375

01:03:20.880 --> 01:03:23.080 and I really think your stuff is interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923980479375

 $01:03:23.080 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.851$ and I think the structural stuff is

NOTE Confidence: 0.923980479375

 $01:03:24.851 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.350$ really interesting and I'm very happy

NOTE Confidence: 0.923980479375

 $01:03:26.350 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.967$ that you said that there's other stuff

NOTE Confidence: 0.923980479375

01:03:28.015 --> 01:03:29.497 when you isolate stuff from brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923980479375

 $01{:}03{:}29.500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}31.666$ because most people don't know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919285575

01:03:33.110 --> 01:03:34.772 I don't know why they don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.919285575

 $01:03:34.772 \longrightarrow 01:03:36.635$ know that all you have to do

 $01:03:36.635 \longrightarrow 01:03:38.809$ is look at it and it's brown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919285575

 $01:03:38.810 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.902$ It's not white and fluffy

NOTE Confidence: 0.919285575

 $01:03:40.902 \longrightarrow 01:03:42.278$ like a synthetic peptide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925392654166667

01:03:44.030 --> 01:03:45.572 Great, well listen, I think we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.925392654166667

01:03:45.572 --> 01:03:47.159 gone a little bit over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925392654166667

01:03:47.160 --> 01:03:50.190 but I want to thank Steve and for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.925392654166667

 $01:03:50.190 \longrightarrow 01:03:52.230$ sharing his work with us and I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.925392654166667

01:03:52.292 --> 01:03:54.532 to thank you for all your questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.925392654166667

 $01{:}03{:}54.532 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}56.269$ and your attention to his talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925392654166667

 $01:03:56.270 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.730$ Very grateful to you all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925392654166667

 $01{:}03{:}59.730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}01.416$ Take care everyone and we'll see

NOTE Confidence: 0.925392654166667

 $01{:}04{:}01.416 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}03.329$ you next week for grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925392654166667

 $01:04:03.330 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.879$ Steve stay warm out there in Chicago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925392654166667

 $01:04:06.880 \longrightarrow 01:04:07.788$ I'll see you soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7098075975

01:04:08.540 --> 01:04:11.320 See you by Many thanks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7098075975

01:04:11.320 --> 01:04:14.540 thank you, thank you. Thank you.