WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:05:04" NOTE recognizability:0.801

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.382$ It's my great pleasure to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

 $00:00:02.382 \dashrightarrow 00:00:06.340$ Doctor Naresh, Carrie to Yale Yale grants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

 $00{:}00{:}06.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}08.435$ Naresh completed his Bachelor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

00:00:08.435 --> 00:00:10.530 Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

00:00:10.594 --> 00:00:12.426 at Government Government Medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

 $00{:}00{:}12.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.716$ College where he also received.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

00:00:14.720 --> 00:00:17.210 Also received my in clinical pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

00:00:17.210 --> 00:00:20.562 he he then did his training in pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

 $00:00:20.562 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.137$ theology at the prestigious Jipmer Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

 $00{:}00{:}24.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.877$ Nourish began his his anger at Tata

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

 $00{:}00{:}26.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.817$ Memorial Hospital where he quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

 $00:00:28.817 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.567$ rose and banged to become.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

00:00:30.570 --> 00:00:33.100 Full professor in in pathology,

00:00:33.100 --> 00:00:35.410 he moved in 2004 to London,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

 $00{:}00{:}35.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.181$ where he was a professor and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

 $00:00:37.181 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.858$ professor in the cellular and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

 $00:00:38.858 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.540$ molecular pathology Imperial Condon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

 $00:00:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.818$ In 2020 actually move the middle

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

 $00:00:46.818 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.949$ to pandemic pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

 $00:00:47.950 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.020$ He professor and section head of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

00:00:50.020 --> 00:00:51.897 pathology in the Clinical Research

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

 $00:00:51.897 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.067$ Division Vision Hutchinson Cancer Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

 $00:00:54.067 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.316$ with appointment at the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.6984148475

 $00{:}00{:}56.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58.546$ of Washington Department of Labor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

 $00:01:00.590 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.782$ Now Russia has authored over 250

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

 $00:01:02.782 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.692$ original manuscripts and use scripts

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

 $00:01:04.692 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.050$ with on the biology of lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

 $00:01:07.050 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.246$ Developing new items to improve lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

 $00{:}01{:}09.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12.208$ lymphoma and the study of the

 $00:01:12.208 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.687$ lymphoma lymphoma microenvironment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

 $00{:}01{:}13.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}15.205$ His other research in research

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

 $00:01:15.205 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.120$ interest in a focus in lymphoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

 $00:01:17.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.835$ causing infectious agents which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

 $00:01:18.835 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.348$ the topic of his talk to talk today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

00:01:21.350 --> 00:01:23.588 In addition to his extensive scholarship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

00:01:23.590 --> 00:01:25.396 nourish has taken on leadership roles

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

00:01:25.396 --> 00:01:27.382 in several roles in several large

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

 $00:01:27.382 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.202$ internationals including our next edition

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

 $00:01:29.202 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.026$ of The Who in Hematopathology mythology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

 $00:01:32.026 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.122$ Atlas of Blood Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

 $00:01:34.122 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.148$ Genomics which has met him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

 $00{:}01{:}36.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.380$ Please join please join me in a rush to yell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.668894304

 $00:01:38.380 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.970$ Thank you, NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00:01:39.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.933$ thank you Mina.

 $00:01:40.933 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.250$ It's been a pleasure and thanks for

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00:01:43.324 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.690$ inviting me and it gives me great

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00:01:45.690 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.340$ pleasure to give this presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00:01:47.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.596$ Obviously I would have loved to

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00:01:49.596 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.254$ be there in person but under the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

00:01:52.254 --> 00:01:53.942 current prevailing circumstances I

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00:01:53.942 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.727$ think this is the best we can do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00:01:56.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.872$ And I chose this topic of lymphoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00{:}02{:}00.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03.456$ proliferations in infectious agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

00:02:03.460 --> 00:02:05.056 Because over a period of time though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00{:}02{:}05.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07.628$ my lymphoma research across many different

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00{:}02{:}07.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10.140$ types of lymphomas has gone ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00:02:10.140 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.736$ This is something which I started with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00:02:11.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.188$ My research started with a particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

00:02:14.188 --> 00:02:16.747 aspect of Epstein Barr virus in

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00:02:16.747 \dashrightarrow 00:02:19.032$ Hodgkin lymphoma and later on I've

 $00{:}02{:}19.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.180$ had opportunity to work on post

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00:02:21.263 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.934$ transplant lymphoproliferative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00:02:23.934 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.304$ then the HIV associated lymphomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00:02:26.304 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.790$ and my interest in infectious agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00:02:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.451$ and informers has been kept alive

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00:02:31.451 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.759$ through these research opportunities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856045399166667

 $00:02:33.760 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.410$ So. NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:02:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.840$ So this was the first work of mine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:02:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.964$ which really caught a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

00:02:45.964 --> 00:02:48.130 attention but also gave me a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:02:48.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.170$ very good platform to continue my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00{:}02{:}50.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52.487$ research in the field of lymphomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00{:}02{:}52.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55.802$ And this was when we were looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:02:55.802 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.412$ at Hodgkin lymphoma and that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

00:02:57.412 --> 00:02:59.274 the early time when Eber in situ

 $00:02:59.274 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.778$ hybridization had come into place and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00{:}03{:}00.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.326$ then we were looking at proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

00:03:02.330 --> 00:03:04.146 At that time we didn't use Key 67,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:03:04.150 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.465$ we were using something called

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:03:06.465 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.317$ proliferation cell nuclear antigen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:03:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.300$ and thing that struck me was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:03:10.300 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.100$ That Hodgkin's which worry baby

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

00:03:14.100 --> 00:03:16.880 positive those had a higher P CNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00{:}03{:}16.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}19.779$ expression in the Reed Sternberg cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

00:03:19.780 --> 00:03:22.556 And if you can see that those cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00{:}03{:}22.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.004$ those which were PC and a low had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:03:25.004 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.548$ nearly 50% cases for EBV negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

00:03:27.548 --> 00:03:30.500 but those which were PC and a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:03:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.900$ most of them worry be positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:03:32.900 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.908$ So then we let on to the hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00{:}03{:}35.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}38.556$ thinking that expression of PC keeps

 $00:03:38.556 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.926$ maintains the Reed Sternberg cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:03:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.932$ In cell cycle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:03:41.932 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.270$ although it goes through a cell cycle

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

00:03:44.341 --> 00:03:46.976 called endocytosis or endo reduplication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:03:46.980 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.536$ but that makes the cells more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:03:49.536 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.814$ susceptible to chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:03:50.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.186$ And then we looked at the outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:03:53.186 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.109$ in these patients and patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

00:03:55.110 --> 00:03:56.142 With EBV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00{:}03{:}56.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}58.206$ positive Hodgkin lymphoma did

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:03:58.206 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.826$ exclude mean much better than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:00.826 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.176$ those which worry be negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858433507500:04:03.180 --> 00:04:03.582 Again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:03.582 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.798$ If you look at subsequent to our publication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.600$ there have been many other studies

 $00:04:08.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.145$ which have come from different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:10.145 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.993$ parts of the world and different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

00:04:11.993 --> 00:04:13.958 people have had different reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.616$ Some people have in many parts the Western.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:16.620 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.804$ You know Western Europe and in the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:18.804 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.198$ people have shown that EBV positive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.236$ How about Porter survival?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

00:04:22.236 --> 00:04:24.161 But in the setting of the developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00{:}04{:}24.161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26.057$ country with these patients are all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:26.057 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.178$ from India eBay be positive disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00{:}04{:}28.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.410$ had a superior survival to EBV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.174$ negative disease and also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:32.174 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.820$ And this was independent of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.150$ age and stage of Hodgkin lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:38.150 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.299$ We also showed at the same time

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:40.299 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.860$ that almost 98% I think only there

 $00:04:43.860 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.150$ was only one case out of the 50

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00{:}04{:}46.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47.740$ cases of pediatric Hodgkin lymphoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.436$ which was even with negative the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:49.436 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.350$ rest of the 49 or 50 cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:51.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.030$ Bloody may be positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

00:04:53.030 --> 00:04:55.174 so you may be positive ITI was is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

00:04:55.174 --> 00:04:57.338 very high in the pediatric setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:04:57.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.084$ especially in a developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:05:00.084 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.460$ country like India.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:05:01.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.220$ So this was the first one which really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:05:04.295 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.957$ led on to this part of my research and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:05:06.957 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.166$ then I will take you through other areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00{:}05{:}10.170 --> 00{:}05{:}12.726$ partly from translational research and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:05:12.726 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.238$ most and quite a lot of clinical aspects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:05:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.208$ So if you look at infectious

 $00:05:18.208 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.520$ agents in lymphoid proliferations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:05:19.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.278$ we have a list of viruses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:05:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.240$ EBV was the first to be recognized then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:05:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.536$ Of course we have the Kaposi sarcoma virus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:05:25.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.796$ or the human herpes type age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00{:}05{:}27.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29.945$ Then he patitis C and he patitis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00{:}05{:}29.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32.940$ B virus human T cell leukemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

00:05:32.940 --> 00:05:35.664 Wireless one HTLV one and human

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00{:}05{:}35.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37.480$ immunodeficiency virus or HIV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:05:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.526$ but we can't forget the bacteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00{:}05{:}39.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41.923$ which can associated with them for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:05:41.923 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.855$ proliferations like the Helicobacter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:05:43.855 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.787$ campylobacter gorilla and chlamydia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584335075

 $00:05:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.750$ I'm not going to be discussing these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.604118497777778

00:05:47.750 --> 00:05:49.694 I'll primarily restrict my

NOTE Confidence: 0.604118497777778

 $00:05:49.694 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.124$ discussion to ebb and HP,

 $00{:}05{:}52.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.740$ and partly I will touch on STL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}05{:}55.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57.490$ So if you look at infectious

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:05:57.490 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.570$ agents in these disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:05:58.570 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.162$ we have a set of diseases where

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}06{:}01.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.292$ the infectious agent is present

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:06:03.292 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.010$ within the neoplastic cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

00:06:05.010 --> 00:06:07.250 Classic examples that are EBV

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}06{:}07.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10.783$ HHV 8 and HTLV one and there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:06:10.783 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.938$ others where infectious agents are

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:06:12.938 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.942$ present outside of the neoplastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

00:06:14.942 --> 00:06:16.846 cells like HIV like Helicobacter

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}06{:}16.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}20.178$ hepatitis virus C so on and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}06{:}20.178 \longrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.878$ forth where the the tumor cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:06:22.878 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.980$ itself is not infected by the virus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

00:06:25.980 --> 00:06:27.707 And if you look at again,

 $00:06:27.707 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.996$ this is a mix of different types

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:06:29.996 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.680$ of lymphoid proliferations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:06:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.255$ You have some acute and

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:06:33.255 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.200$ non malignant conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:06:34.200 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.564$ like the infectious mononucleosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:06:35.564 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.610$ we have heard about this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

00:06:37.668 --> 00:06:39.540 learned about this for many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

00:06:39.540 --> 00:06:41.620 I'm not going to develop much time on

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

00:06:41.620 --> 00:06:43.838 this and then we have other chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:06:43.838 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.478$ and non malignant or polyclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:06:45.534 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.739$ diseases like chronic active infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:06:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.870$ multicentric Castleman disease in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

00:06:49.870 --> 00:06:53.900 HIV studying and with HV-8 HTLV 1 carriers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}06{:}53.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56.220$ States and associated gastritis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:06:56.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.268$ These are all polyclonal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:06:58.268 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.804$ non malignant proliferations.

00:06:59.810 --> 00:07:00.366 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}07{:}00.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03.589$ the question about malignancy and being

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:07:03.589 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.101$ non malignant it it gets into a grey

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:07:07.101 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.618$ area with with chronic active infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}07{:}09.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.335$ We will discuss that and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:07:12.335 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.220$ have what we currently call as

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:07:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.280$ polymorphic lymphoproliferative

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:07:16.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.887$ disorders and these these came to

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:07:18.887 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.260$ light and was best exemplified in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:07:21.333 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.649$ post transplant setting but now more

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:07:23.649 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.458$ and more we recognize this across other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

00:07:26.460 --> 00:07:27.984 Immune deficiency areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}07{:}27.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.036$ Then we also have lymphomas allow quite a

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:07:32.036 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.813$ few are full blown lymphomas which many

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

00:07:34.813 --> 00:07:37.074 of these lymphomas look like what one

 $00:07:37.074 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.709$ would see in an immune competent setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}07{:}39.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42.813$ So if you put them as those

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}07{:}42.813 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.928$ where the informatics agent is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}07{:}46.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49.085$ Is always associated with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:07:49.085 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.240$ disease is likely be positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

00:07:51.240 --> 00:07:53.890 Diffuse large B cell lymphoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}07{:}53.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.492$ lymphomatoid granulomatosis fibron

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:07:55.492 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.660$ associated DLBCL primary effusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}07{:}57.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59.838$ Lymphoma so on and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:07:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.025$ All these diseases are always

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}08{:}03.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.210$ associated with the infectious agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:08:06.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.306$ Then we have a set of diseases where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:08:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.207$ Some of them are proportion of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}08{:}11.207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.910$ are associated with infectious agent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:08:12.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.250$ and the rest are not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:08:14.250 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.020$ and you have the marginal zone

00:08:16.020 --> 00:08:18.010 lymphoma diffuse large B cell lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

00:08:18.010 --> 00:08:20.090 plasmablastic lymphoma, Burkitt lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

00:08:20.090 --> 00:08:21.650 plastic Hodgkin lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:08:21.650 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.710$ so on and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:08:22.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.027$ There only a proportion of cases are

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:08:25.027 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.160$ in positive for the infectious agent

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:08:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.659$ then you have some cases where very

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

00:08:29.723 --> 00:08:31.631 rarely an association has been found

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:08:31.631 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.920$ like you as a follicular lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}08{:}33.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35.940$ Rare follicular lymphoma sorry

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:08:35.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.478$ be associated in SL where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:08:38.478 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.770$ can have a hot skin like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}08{:}40.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41.604$ Richter transformation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:08:41.604 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.689$ which can be be positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:08:43.690 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.190$ Then you also have very rarely.

 $00:08:45.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.064$ You can have another dominant optical

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}08{:}48.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50.960$ lymphoma which is EBV associated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

00:08:50.960 --> 00:08:53.308 So I'm going to discuss these

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:08:53.308 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.660$ entities and these situations

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

00:08:55.660 --> 00:08:57.354 from different perspectives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:08:57.354 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.114$ partly and videology and pathogenesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:09:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.066$ Then a few of the aspects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:09:02.066 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.900$ genomics and and immune aspects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}09{:}03.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.220$ Then we will spend a bit of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:09:06.220 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.731$ about apology and immunophenotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00{:}09{:}07.731 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}09.901$ diagnosis or the criteria for

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

00:09:09.901 --> 00:09:12.043 diagnosis how these impact on

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:09:12.043 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.963$ classification and how these impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:09:13.963 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.930$ on outcomes and follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

00:09:16.930 --> 00:09:17.742 So first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857521963

 $00:09:17.742 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.990$ if we take Epstein Barr virus and Burkitt

00:09:21.073 --> 00:09:23.950 lymphoma. This was Burkitt when he,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:09:23.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.020$ in his initial descriptions and subsequent

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:09:26.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.568$ to that we appreciated the Burkitt lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:09:28.570 --> 00:09:31.192 the endemic form of Burkitt lymphoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:09:31.192 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.191$ is seen in a specific Equatorial band

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:09:35.191 --> 00:09:38.418 across the world which includes parts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:09:38.418 --> 00:09:41.047 South America and parts of Equatorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00{:}09{:}41.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.350$ Africa and most of these are difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:09:44.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.849$ Look at the incidents even within Africa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00{:}09{:}46.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}49.671$ You will see like places like Nigeria

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:09:49.671 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.460$ and Uganda which are within the band.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:09:52.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.508$ Just be across the equator between the Tropic

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00{:}09{:}55.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}58.280$ of Cancer and the Tropic of Capricorn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:09:58.280 --> 00:10:00.158 Those have a very high incidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:10:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.290$ whereas outside of those areas,

00:10:02.290 --> 00:10:04.000 like if you look at Namibia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00{:}10{:}04.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07.258$ if you look at Zimbabwe you have a lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:10:07.258 --> 00:10:10.067 incidence and again this is data from 1988.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:10:10.067 --> 00:10:13.746 If you look at the current data it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:10:13.746 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.811$ slightly diluted and partly diluted

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:10:15.811 --> 00:10:18.429 and partly changed because of the HIV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:10:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.789$ Epidemic now in South Africa and Bob

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:10:20.789 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.962$ with the incidence might have gone

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00{:}10{:}22.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.164$ higher because of the HIV association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:10:25.170 --> 00:10:29.020 but before the HIV epidemic one could

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:10:29.020 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.574$ see that Uganda and Nigeria had a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:10:32.574 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.800$ higher incidence compared to the rest

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:10:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.920$ of African countries and rest of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:10:36.920 --> 00:10:40.940 world and in endemic Burkitt lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:10:40.940 --> 00:10:42.878 Almost all cases are EBV positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:10:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.065$ whereas outside the endemic areas

00:10:45.065 --> 00:10:47.924 only about 25 to 30% REB positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:10:47.924 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.500$ So if you look at it association

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:10:50.574 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.119$ within Berkman Firma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:10:52.120 --> 00:10:54.690 it's gotta a differential geographic

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:10:54.690 --> 00:10:56.746 distribution like an African,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:10:56.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.352$ nearly hundred.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:10:57.352 --> 00:10:59.760 When I say Africa here in Equatorial Africa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:10:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.140$ nearly 100% of them are EBV positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00{:}11{:}02.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04.316$ but as when you come outside of Africa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:11:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.819$ it varies from 25% to some areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:11:06.820 --> 00:11:08.164 like in South America,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:11:08.164 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.794$ where it can be nearly 50% in like

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:11:10.794 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.329$ in Mexico is around 50%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:11:12.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.274$ but in the he could in the belt

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:11:14.274 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.559$ that I showed you it can be higher

00:11:16.559 --> 00:11:18.340 than 50% and the same thing is true

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00{:}11{:}18.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20.029$ if you look at Hodgkin lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:11:20.030 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.472$ And not all Hodgkin's are associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:11:22.472 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.302$ with EBV, and if in Africa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:11:24.302 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.567$ again in the same regions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:11:25.570 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.082$ you will see most of them on

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:11:27.082 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.730$ eBay be positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00{:}11{:}27.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30.796$ but outside of Africa and some parts

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:11:30.796 --> 00:11:33.704 of South America you have variable

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:11:33.704 --> 00:11:36.728 incidence of EB within the Hodgkin

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:11:36.728 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.956$ lymphoma in the classic Hodgkin lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:11:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.240$ So what all these things do tell us is easy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:11:43.240 --> 00:11:46.460 Be really relevant for neoplastic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:11:46.460 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.564$ for lymphoma Genesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:11:47.564 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.772$ In any of these slim firms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:11:49.780 --> 00:11:51.436 so that has always been questioned,

00:11:51.440 --> 00:11:54.356 because not every single case of

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00{:}11{:}54.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56.922$ an EBV associated lymphoma is

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:11:56.922 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.527$ associated with Debbie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:11:58.530 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.896$ And again this has got an impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:12:00.896 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.804$ on the distribution of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:12:02.804 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.064$ Like if you look at EBV positive diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:12:06.064 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.424$ from from England and here you can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:12:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.930$ But even the positive disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:12:10.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.446$ you get a very early peak in the in the very

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00{:}12{:}14.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}16.900$ early on in the in the 1st and 2nd decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:12:16.900 --> 00:12:18.598 You can have EBV positive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:12:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.152$ Then you have a a second peak around

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:12:21.152 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.744$ 40 or 50 years and then a third

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:12:23.744 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.208$ peak in the 6th and 7th decade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

00:12:26.210 --> 00:12:28.082 Whereas when you look at EB

00:12:28.082 --> 00:12:28.706 negative diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00{:}12{:}28.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31.242$ you have a single pick somewhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.687214403636364

 $00:12:31.242 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.930$ in the early adulthood

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:12:33.013 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.235$ between the 20s and 30s and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:12:36.235 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.630$ incidence decreases and if you put them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:12:39.630 --> 00:12:41.670 Across the world, if you see you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:12:41.670 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.926$ so you got even the positive disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:12:43.926 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.062$ comes with three peaks and even with

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00{:}12{:}46.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.646$ negative disease is a single peak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:12:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.606$ So many people have actually questioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00{:}12{:}49.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}51.734$ Purely based on epidemiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:12:51.734 --> 00:12:54.389 Whether you have EBV positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:12:54.390 --> 00:12:56.181 Hodgkin lymphoma ribbon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00{:}12{:}56.181 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58.569$ negative Hodgkin lymphoma similarly

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:12:58.570 --> 00:12:59.998 positive Burkitt lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:12:59.998 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.854$ EBV negative Burkitt lymphoma would be

 $00:13:02.854 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.955$ the right way to classify these diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:13:05.960 --> 00:13:08.438 And again, now this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:13:08.438 --> 00:13:10.090 classification is getting some

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:13:10.166 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.586$ more traction because of genomics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:13:12.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.942$ And the same thing is true if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:13:14.942 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.534$ look at the HTLV 1 HTLV 1 positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:13:17.534 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.736$ aitl is again seen in specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:13:19.736 --> 00:13:21.408 areas across the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00{:}13{:}21.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.672$ but in today's migration and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00{:}13{:}24.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27.364$ the immigration that happens one should

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:13:27.364 --> 00:13:30.728 not be surprised if one sees an HTLV

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:13:30.728 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.058$ positive ATL outside these endemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00{:}13{:}33.058 \to 00{:}13{:}36.670$ are as like where I used to work in the UK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:13:36.670 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.365$ It was we used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:13:38.365 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.060$ frequently see cases of ATL.

 $00:13:40.060 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.935$ Most of the patients were

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00{:}13{:}41.935 --> 00{:}13{:}43.060$ of Caribbean origin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:13:43.060 --> 00:13:45.256 And somewhere off of African origin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:13:45.260 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.438$ but most were of Caribbean knowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:13:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.227$ So similarly in the US there is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:13:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.750$ There are many cases of ATL

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:13:53.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.646$ which go underdiagnosed because

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:13:55.646 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.342$ it shall be one is not tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:13:59.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.843$ If you look at when we come to pathogenesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:14:01.843 --> 00:14:04.290 of how does EBV contribute to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00{:}14{:}04.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.990$ classic example is Burkitt lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:14:06.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.670$ Often we think that EBV doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:14:08.670 --> 00:14:10.984 act on its own, along with EBV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:14:10.984 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.372$ You have cofactors like malaria and

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:14:13.372 --> 00:14:16.333 HIV which results in enhanced B

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:14:16.333 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.325$ cell activation and proliferation,

 $00:14:18.330 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.870$ and when these activities going

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:14:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.410$ on in the general center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:14:21.410 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.845$ you have these translate translocation

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:14:23.845 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.181$ of immuno globulin and C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:14:26.181 --> 00:14:26.943 MYC occurs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:14:26.943 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.610$ Usually most of these cells are expected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333 00:14:29.610 --> 00:14:30.486 Don't die, NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:14:30.486 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.552$ but some of these cells because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00{:}14{:}33.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36.318$ Debbie Gene products can can survive

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:14:36.318 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.742$ and these gene products will suppress

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:14:38.742 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.000$ the appetite ossis program and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:14:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.730$ cells go on to become Burkitt lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333 00:14:43.730 --> 00:14:44.374 Of course, NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:14:44.374 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.306$ this is a very simplistic view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:14:46.310 --> 00:14:49.030 but if you again look at the within,

 $00:14:49.030 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.206$ if you look at the the breakpoints in

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:14:51.206 --> 00:14:53.561 the C MYC and in the immuno global

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:14:53.561 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.714$ engine you do see differences between

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00{:}14{:}55.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58.486$ African Burkitt lymphoma and the non

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:14:58.486 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.354$ endemic sporadic Burkitt lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:15:00.354 --> 00:15:02.714 In the African Burkitt lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:15:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.852$ the endemic Burkitt lymphoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:15:04.852 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.517$ within the immunoglobulin gene the

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00{:}15{:}07.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.117$ the break is in the J region,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:15:10.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.692$ whereas in the non endemic Burkitt

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00{:}15{:}11.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}13.400$ lymphoma most of them have a break.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:15:13.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.528$ In this which region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:15:14.528 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.604$ So when you put this on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:15:16.604 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.860$ the what could happen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:15:17.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.870$ where does the J region more

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00{:}15{:}20.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.310$ susceptible for a translocation

 $00:15:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.518$ it would appear that most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:15:24.518 --> 00:15:25.934 the African Burkitt lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:15:25.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.625$ the translocation is likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:15:27.625 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.310$ happen in the bone marrow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:15:29.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.470$ whereas in most of the non endemic Burkitt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333 00:15:31.470 --> 00:15:31.779 Comma, NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:15:31.779 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.633$ it should be happening in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00{:}15{:}33.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.450$ germinal center when the class

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:15:35.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.689$ switch recombination occurs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00{:}15{:}36.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39.126$ So there are these kind of differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:15:39.126 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.630$ even at A at a genetic level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

 $00:15:41.630 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.409$ This was a recognized way back in

NOTE Confidence: 0.738222117333333

00:15:44.409 --> 00:15:48.550 the early late 90s and early 2000s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:15:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.174$ So with all these things in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00{:}15{:}50.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}53.029$ so does how does EBV really contribute

 $00:15:53.029 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.240$ to the pathogenesis of lymphomas?

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:15:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.354$ So I'm going to present to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:15:57.354 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.254$ three pieces of information of

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:15:59.254 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.554$ evidence which would support that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:16:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.640$ EB is crucial for lymphoma Genesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:16:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.752$ We and others have shown that

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:16:07.752 --> 00:16:09.600 the association reduces the need

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:16:09.600 --> 00:16:11.084 for additional somatic mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:16:11.084 --> 00:16:13.560 in the host genome for lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:16:13.560 --> 00:16:14.652 GENESIS 2 AKA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00{:}16{:}14.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17.200$ and we have also shown that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:16:17.277 --> 00:16:20.217 altered the genome is far more potent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00{:}16{:}20.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23.584$ and Informa Genesis than EB0

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:16:23.584 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.360$ which is not altered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:16:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.971$ Then we have also shown that type

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:16:27.971 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.738$ EB is far more oncogenic than

00:16:30.738 --> 00:16:32.616 type BEPB and I will take you

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:16:32.616 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.000$ through these pieces of evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:16:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.352$ So currently what we?

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:16:35.352 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.380$ I believe is that when EBV

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:16:37.454 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.710$ infection occurs on a beat cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:16:39.710 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.062$ most of these cells are under the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:16:42.062 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.965$ control of teasel both CD four

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00{:}16{:}43.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.260$ and CD 8 positive T cells and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00{:}16{:}46.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48.000$ goes into a steady state where

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:16:48.000 --> 00:16:49.722 you have a latent infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:16:49.722 --> 00:16:52.278 very low level infection of memory

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:16:52.280 --> 00:16:54.570 B cells are persistent infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00{:}16{:}54.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56.946$ but some change within the IBD

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:16:56.950 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.534$ is likely to happen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:16:58.534 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.132$ and this is important for making the cell

 $00:17:02.132 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.369$ not susceptible to the T cell control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:17:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.730$ And giving rise to EBV positive lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429 00:17:11.730 --> 00:17:12.678 So this is 1. NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:17:12.678 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.506$ This is a work which we did on

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:17:15.506 --> 00:17:17.510 posttransplant lymphoproliferative disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429 00:17:17.510 --> 00:17:17.917 primarily. NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:17:17.917 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.173$ Here you can see these are EBP negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:17:21.180 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.830$ This is a mutational landscape

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:17:22.830 --> 00:17:24.126 of EBV negative, diffuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:17:24.126 --> 00:17:26.268 large B cell lymphoma and here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00{:}17{:}26.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}27.849$ the mutational landscape of EBV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:17:27.850 --> 00:17:28.634 Positive, diffuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00{:}17{:}28.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31.378$ large B cell lymphoma and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00{:}17{:}31.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33.406$ easily recognize that the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:17:33.406 --> 00:17:35.758 of mutations seen in EBV positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:17:35.827 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.214$ DLBCL is far fewer than EB negative.

00:17:38.220 --> 00:17:39.918 If you flash piece or lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:17:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.548$ thereby suggesting that if we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:17:42.550 --> 00:17:46.246 Substitute the role of many driver gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:17:46.246 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.188$ mutations in the development of lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:17:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.694$ The same thing is true what we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:17:52.694 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.396$ what we saw in post transplant

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:17:54.396 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.761$ studying is also true outside

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:17:55.761 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.450$ of the post transplant study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:17:57.450 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.788$ When you look at me positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:17:58.790 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.310$ diffuse large B cell lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:18:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.402$ there's a lower mutational

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:18:01.402 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.767$ burden as compared to EBV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:18:02.770 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.542$ Negative issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00{:}18{:}03.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06.425$ large piece of lymphoma and again EBV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:18:06.425 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.650$ Positivity is almost mutually exclusive

00:18:08.650 --> 00:18:11.490 with mediate mutations or CD 79 eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00{:}18{:}11.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13.930$ mutations and often it involves the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:18:13.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.370$ alterations in Africa be wind and

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:18:16.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.989$ L6 taxed at both way and there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:18:18.989 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.854$ some specific mutations that occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:18:20.860 --> 00:18:23.305 Positive ITI fueled large pizza

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:18:23.305 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.400$ lymphoma which makes it quite unique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:18:26.400 --> 00:18:29.808 When you look at EBV positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00{:}18{:}29.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.512$ Burkitt lymphoma similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:18:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.864$ A similar trend occurs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00{:}18{:}32.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34.208$ The driver gene mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:18:34.208 --> 00:18:35.899 may be positive bucketing for

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:18:35.899 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.125$ mice far fewer than he may be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:18:38.130 --> 00:18:39.570 Negative Burkitt lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429 00:18:39.570 --> 00:18:40.530 Of course, NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:18:40.530 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.870$ other mutations within the which

 $00:18:42.870 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.210$ are non driver mutations occurring

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00{:}18{:}45.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47.486$ as a result of activation induced

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:18:47.486 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.200$ cited in that is far more frequent

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:18:50.200 --> 00:18:51.784 in positive Burkitt lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:18:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.445$ again bringing to question that

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:18:53.445 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.959$ this may be because EBV gives a

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:18:55.959 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.150$ proliferative advantage within

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00{:}18{:}57.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59.550$ the germinal center and are those

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:18:59.550 --> 00:19:00.588 cells to survive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

 $00:19:00.590 \dashrightarrow 00:19:03.254$ but the driver gene mutations are far fewer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907924388571429

00:19:03.260 --> 00:19:06.340 Any positive bucket info?

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:19:06.340 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.937$ Another piece of evidence which we looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00{:}19{:}08.937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}12.468$ at was to look at the EBD subtypes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:19:12.468 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.202$ post transplant EBV positive PTLD Sandy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:19:15.202 --> 00:19:17.154 Be positive HIV influence

 $00:19:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.844$ within the PTLD setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00{:}19{:}19.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23.956$ Most of the positive Pete Liz harbored

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:19:23.956 \dashrightarrow 00:19:29.210$ Taipei EBV virus whereas in HIV, HIV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:19:29.210 --> 00:19:30.324 Already infamous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:19:30.324 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.109$ there was an even distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:19:33.109 --> 00:19:34.795 between Taipei and Taipei,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:19:34.795 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.420$ but more importantly what we saw was

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:19:37.492 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.538$ those cases which are associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:19:39.538 --> 00:19:42.947 type B had a very long period of HIV

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:19:42.947 --> 00:19:45.375 positive ITI before they developed lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7996873822222222

 $00{:}19{:}45.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}48.935$ so we tend to we from this we

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:19:48.935 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.076$ hypothesize that Taipei is far

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:19:51.076 --> 00:19:53.482 more on Pjanic than type P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:19:53.490 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.618$ Then we let down to look at mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00{:}19{:}56.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}59.786$ within the IBD and we identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:19:59.790 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.289$ And we showed that in a in

 $00:20:02.289 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.440$ a mouse model having EBV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00{:}20{:}06.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}09.880$ 3B Knockout would make the

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:20:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.632$ mouse susceptible for lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:20:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.260$ For the development of a lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:20:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.175$ here are mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:20:15.175 --> 00:20:17.310 The three types of my swan which

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:20:17.377 --> 00:20:19.603 are infected with the wild type web

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:20:19.603 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.107$ and then when it was infected with

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:20:22.107 --> 00:20:24.507 Aetna Trib Knockout virus and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00{:}20{:}24.507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27.081$ in another step we reintroduced Abner

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:20:27.081 --> 00:20:29.993 3B from into the stock out and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:20:29.993 --> 00:20:33.260 can see that 50% of the mice were

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:20:33.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.180$ infected with ethnicity knockout

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00{:}20{:}35.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}37.947$ developed lymphomas whereas those which were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:20:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.323$ Infected with the wild type virus or

00:20:40.323 --> 00:20:42.334 the revertant virus did not develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:20:42.334 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.188$ any lymphomas in spleen and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00{:}20{:}44.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.555$ we also went on to look at human

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:20:46.555 --> 00:20:48.583 lymphomas to look at Abernathy B

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:20:48.583 --> 00:20:50.929 mutations and a large variety of

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:20:50.929 --> 00:20:53.459 AB positive human infamous showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:20:53.459 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.024$ mutations in their blood 3B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:20:56.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.530$ And here are the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00{:}20{:}57.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59.405$ Photomicrographs that we saw in

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:20:59.405 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.797$ in this in the spleens of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7996873822222222

 $00:21:01.797 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.178$ mice and here is the the white,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:21:04.180 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.050$ those which are infected wild

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:21:06.050 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.440$ type virus and those which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:21:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.670$ infected with the revertant viruses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:21:10.670 --> 00:21:12.670 And here is the one with the knockout

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:21:12.670 --> 00:21:14.828 in the knockout mouse we found that

00:21:14.828 --> 00:21:17.118 there is a proliferation of what would

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:21:17.118 --> 00:21:19.351 be very similar to an EB positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:21:19.351 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.800$ monomorphic diffuse large B cell lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:21:21.800 --> 00:21:24.176 And which were richly be positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00{:}21{:}24.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27.753$ large B cells and very low in T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:21:27.760 --> 00:21:30.273 Then we did some experiments to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:21:30.273 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.310$ why are they so few T cells in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00{:}21{:}33.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35.766$ knock out mice and we could show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00{:}21{:}35.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37.780$ they they had very little chemokine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:21:37.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.130$ CXCL 10 and CXCL 10, which was

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:21:41.130 --> 00:21:43.620 required for attracting the T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00{:}21{:}43.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45.996$ was absent in the knockout mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7996873822222222

 $00{:}21{:}46.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.690$ And if we supplemented with

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:21:48.690 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.780$ lten this could be reverted so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:21:51.780 --> 00:21:53.930 Not only was EBV responsible,

00:21:53.930 --> 00:21:55.490 but the microenvironment which it

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:21:55.490 --> 00:21:57.832 induced or which we which it suppressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:21:57.832 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.632$ while responsible for the development

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:21:59.632 --> 00:22:02.430 of diffuse large B cell lymphoma's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:22:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.417$ Then we went on to look at differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:22:06.417 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.769$ microenvironment in HIV positive classic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:22:08.770 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.236$ Of course here it is difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:22:11.236 --> 00:22:13.432 because almost all HIV positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:22:13.432 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.318$ classic Hodgkin lymphoma or EBV positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:22:16.320 --> 00:22:19.245 so we had a set of HIV positive EBV

NOTE Confidence: 0.7996873822222222

 $00:22:19.245 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.429$ positive classic article Informa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:22:21.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.680$ We tried to compare that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:22:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.355$ With HIV negative EBV negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:22:25.355 --> 00:22:26.960 classic Hodgkin lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

 $00:22:26.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.136$ not only did we find differences in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.799687382222222

00:22:30.140 --> 00:22:32.620 numbers of the microenvironment cells,

 $00:22:32.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.805$ but there was a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00{:}22{:}33.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35.519$ in the in the type of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:22:35.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.620$ We found a unique population of CD

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:22:37.620 --> 00:22:40.517 8 foxp 3 positive cells in the HIV

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:22:40.517 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.130$ positive setting which we did not see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:22:43.130 --> 00:22:45.450 in the HIV negative set and similarly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:22:45.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.506$ we found that there were more CD 57.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:22:48.506 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.117$ There were fewer CD 57 positive cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:22:51.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.910$ There was fewer plasmacytoid dendritic cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:22:53.910 --> 00:22:55.818 Facility 123 positive cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:22:55.818 --> 00:22:58.203 in the HIV positive setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:22:58.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.140$ so the microenvironment of EBV

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00{:}23{:}01.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03.484$ positive disease is different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:23:03.490 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.380$ We also then went on to look in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:23:05.380 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.817$ post transplant setting comparing

 $00{:}23{:}06.817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08.762$ post transplant diffuse large B

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00{:}23{:}08.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10.532$ cell lymphoma with the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:23:10.532 --> 00:23:12.082 competent diffuse large B cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:23:12.082 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.560$ lymphoma and there were more T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00{:}23{:}14.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}16.708$ particularly CD 8 positive T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:23:16.708 --> 00:23:18.949 in the post transplant EBV positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:23:18.949 --> 00:23:20.794 diffuse large B cell lymphoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:23:20.794 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.948$ this and if you look at these we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:23:22.948 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.955$ wanted to make sure that these were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00{:}23{:}24.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27.097$ not easy be infected T cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:23:27.097 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.607$ these T cells look larger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:23:28.610 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.094$ They look more stimulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:23:30.094 --> 00:23:32.320 but and they are clearly EBV

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:23:32.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.800$ negative and when we looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:23:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.620$ Whether there was clonal expansion

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:23:36.620 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.250$ of these reactive T cell populations,

 $00{:}23{:}39.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}41.116$ we could demonstrate that in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:23:41.116 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.015$ good proportion of post transplant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:23:43.015 --> 00:23:44.788 liver proliferative disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:23:44.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.126$ there was a monoclonal expansion of T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:23:48.130 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.360$ which possibly those which were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:23:50.360 --> 00:23:53.425 reactive to the to the EBV present

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:23:53.425 --> 00:23:57.378 in the monoclonal B cell population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:23:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.105$ Similar stories are similar kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00{:}24{:}00.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}03.346$ of of pathogenic involvement of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00{:}24{:}03.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.716$ hedge viatour or Kaposi sarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:24:06.716 --> 00:24:09.449 herpesvirus also been identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:24:09.450 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.570$ Much of this work has come from other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00{:}24{:}12.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}14.649$ investigators for the major investigator

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:24:14.649 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.103$ in this area is Ethel Merman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075 00:24:17.110 --> 00:24:17.740 Tough.

00:24:17.740 --> 00:24:20.260 Along with Amy Chapman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:24:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.514$ who have shown that the key uncle

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:24:22.514 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.044$ proteins of the HP 8 drives the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:24:25.044 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.909$ lymphoma Genesis which includes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:24:26.910 --> 00:24:29.532 Lana Lana protein than the recycling

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:24:29.532 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.791$ and we flip and various other key

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00{:}24{:}32.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34.115$ uncle proteins are responsible

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:24:34.115 --> 00:24:36.270 for this kind of lymphoma genesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:24:36.270 --> 00:24:39.620 which happens in the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:24:39.620 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.692$ But when we look at the lymphoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:24:41.692 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.495$ lesions within the which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:24:43.495 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.199$ associated with infectious agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:24:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.128$ we see a variety and and a whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:24:48.128 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.359$ range of aggressiveness and disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:24:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.722$ Biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:24:51.722 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.256$ At one end of the spectrum you

 $00:24:54.256 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.780$ have self limiting diseases like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:24:56.780 --> 00:24:59.480 infectious mono nucleosis are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:24:59.480 --> 00:25:02.014 benign conditions like the EBV

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:25:02.014 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.404$ positive cutaneous ulcer of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00{:}25{:}04.410 \to 00{:}25{:}07.380$ positive German or Tropic LPD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:25:07.380 --> 00:25:08.895 Then you have something which

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:25:08.895 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.410$ falls in the Gray zone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:25:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.965$ Like the immediate positive polymorphous

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:25:12.965 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.987$ lymphoproliferative disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:25:13.990 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.122$ the Castleman disease inflammatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:25:16.122 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.721$ granulomatosis fibron associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:25:17.721 --> 00:25:20.290 diffuse large B cell lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:25:20.290 --> 00:25:21.794 chronic active EBV infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:25:21.794 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.050$ Then you have the classic lymphomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:25:24.116 --> 00:25:26.546 without associated with infectious agents,

 $00:25:26.550 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.139$ and then you have some very aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:25:28.139 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.569$ disease like the Burkitt lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:25:29.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.406$ plasmablastic lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:25:30.406 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.496$ so on and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:25:32.500 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.716$ I also what is important with these diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:25:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.688$ There is a risk of overdiagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:25:36.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.839$ we know for a long time that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00{:}25{:}38.839 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}39.760$ infectious mononucleosis can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00{:}25{:}39.814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}41.429$ be misdiagnosed as a lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:25:41.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.656$ And so also is true about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00{:}25{:}43.660 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}25{:}46.790$ positive cricket aneus ulcer or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:25:46.790 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.882$ associate Germany Tropic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00{:}25{:}48.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.497$ So understanding these diseases is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

00:25:51.497 --> 00:25:53.936 important from the point of view

NOTE Confidence: 0.9141250075

 $00:25:53.936 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.781$ of recognizing them properly and

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:25:55.849 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.129$ not overdosing them as them first.

00:25:58.130 --> 00:26:00.658 I, I think most of you know very

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:00.658 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.209$ well about infectious mononucleosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:03.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.858$ and I will skip this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:06.860 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.883$ Even the positive continuous also is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

00:26:08.883 --> 00:26:11.658 a is an important entity to recognize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:11.660 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.460$ because this have a very indolent behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:14.460 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.810$ These are circumscribed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:15.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.160$ painful but shadow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

00:26:17.160 --> 00:26:19.165 Shallow ulcer ative lesions which

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:19.165 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.707$ frequently occur in the orphan genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:21.707 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.360$ causes skin or gastrointestinal tract most.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.460$ It's not only mean it can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00{:}26{:}26.460 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}26{:}28.944$ associated with the variety of immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:28.944 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.768$ suppressive conditions like medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00{:}26{:}30.768 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!\!>}\ 00{:}26{:}32.820$ associated like with methotrexate.

00:26:32.820 --> 00:26:34.654 Sometimes it can be just age related,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:34.660 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.196$ immune senescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:35.196 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.072$ It can occur in the background of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:37.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.466$ Community post transplantation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:38.466 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.700$ Also, it can occur with various malignancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

00:26:41.700 --> 00:26:43.569 You can see a patient with lymphoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

00:26:43.569 --> 00:26:44.840 developing, ambiguities also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:44.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.840$ and many of these regress spontaneously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.504$ and some of them respond to withdrawal of

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:51.504 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.408$ immune suppression or immune reconstitution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

00:26:54.410 --> 00:26:55.352 And classically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

00:26:55.352 --> 00:26:57.707 this has a polymorphous infiltrate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:26:57.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.230$ and it got a typical large B cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:27:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.306$ and some of them can resemble

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:27:02.306 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.690$ Hodgkin Reed Sternberg cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00{:}27{:}03.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.650$ It shows strong CD 30 Andy Barr expression.

 $00:27:06.650 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.225$ Many of the things in the past

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00{:}27{:}08.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09.838$ which might have been called as

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:27:09.838 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.308$ extranodal are because of ******.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

00:27:11.310 --> 00:27:13.300 Informa need to be revisited

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:27:13.300 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.729$ whether there's many of them could

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

00:27:15.729 --> 00:27:17.898 be EBV positive Catania's ulcers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:27:17.898 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.568$ They can also explicitly 15,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:27:20.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.870$ and they often express opto,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:27:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.436$ but most of them lack Bob.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

00:27:24.440 --> 00:27:26.220 One city Trinity expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:27:26.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.555$ is usually reduced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00{:}27{:}27.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29.624$ and typically there's a band of CD 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00{:}27{:}29.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.520$ positive T cells and the periphery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:27:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.440$ and if one does clonality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:27:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.075$ there can be plotted both

 $00:27:36.075 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.087$ immunoglobulin or diesel gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00{:}27{:}38.087 {\: -->\:} 00{:}27{:}40.222$ rearrangements and nearly one half

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:27:40.222 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.930$ of them progress spontaneously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:27:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.373$ These are some of the pictures from

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

00:27:44.373 --> 00:27:46.098 the publication by Stephen Dodge

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:27:46.098 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.530$ Channel and you can see here is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

00:27:48.597 --> 00:27:50.537 shallow ulcer and the periphery

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

00:27:50.537 --> 00:27:52.840 you have a diesel infiltrate but

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:27:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.000$ towards the ulcer you see large.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

00:27:55.000 --> 00:27:56.464 EBV positive B cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:27:56.464 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.660$ which can show an article clone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:27:58.660 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.290$ another monoclonal expansion of TRB cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:28:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.162$ And here's another case which shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

00:28:04.162 --> 00:28:06.335 not only CD 30 positivity but

NOTE Confidence: 0.790849840923077

 $00:28:06.335 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.475$ also profound city 15 expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00{:}28{:}11.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.650$ This is an entity which we for a

 $00:28:14.650 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.700$ long time we appreciated polymorphic

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00{:}28{:}16.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18.712$ type of post, transplant liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:28:18.712 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.494$ proliferative disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:28:19.494 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.449$ but now more and more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:28:21.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.964$ We are seeing this in outside

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:28:23.964 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.370$ of the post transplant setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:28:26.370 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.930$ We can see that in in the setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:28:28.930 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.209$ of autoimmune diseases or therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

00:28:31.209 --> 00:28:32.775 related immune deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:28:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.418$ but rarely we can also see it

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:28:34.418 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.091$ in the setting of a child here

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:28:36.091 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.377$ architecture of the node of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00{:}28{:}38.377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}40.947$ extra node log lesion is a faced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:28:40.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.860$ There's a heterogeneous of polymorphous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

00:28:42.860 --> 00:28:45.040 Infiltrate of immune cells,

 $00:28:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.642$ which includes B cells which has got a

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00{:}28{:}47.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49.979$ full spectrum of B cell differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

00:28:49.980 --> 00:28:51.996 You will see large immuno blasts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:28:52.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.888$ You will see plasma cells so the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:28:54.888 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.784$ range of visual differentiation is seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

00:28:56.784 --> 00:28:58.719 within this polymorphous infiltrate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:28:58.720 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.952$ And many of these large beetles can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:29:00.952 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.120$ features of Hodgkin Reed Sternberg cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:29:03.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.630$ And these are EBV positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:29:04.630 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.955$ Most often some people debate whether there

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:29:07.955 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.420$ could be any be negative polymorphous LPD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:29:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.030$ but defining that can be difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:29:13.030 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.690$ And distinguishing them from other

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:29:16.690 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.675$ reactive conditions can be difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:29:18.675 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.660$ even with positive disease is

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:29:20.727 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.902$ more easily identified,

 $00:29:21.902 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.438$ and they can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

00:29:23.438 --> 00:29:25.870 Also, it can have monoclonal article,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00{:}29{:}25.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}29.022$ clonal proliferation of B cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:29:29.022 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.582$ both nodal and external deliberations

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

00:29:31.582 --> 00:29:34.066 are presentations are known and

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:29:34.066 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.593$ some of them may also present with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:29:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.279$ For history cytosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00{:}29{:}39.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}42.453$ I'll take you through a few examples and

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00{:}29{:}42.453 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}44.957$ I think it brings out the issues well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:29:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.921$ Here is a woman in 60s who had been

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

00:29:47.921 --> 00:29:50.423 treated with pancreatic cancer two

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:29:50.423 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.438$ years prior to this presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00{:}29{:}52.440 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}29{:}54.480$ and patient presented with fever and

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00{:}29{:}54.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}56.860$ weight loss of three months duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:29:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.182$ and there was a large periodic

 $00:29:59.182 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.670$ mass sub in centimeter dimension

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00{:}30{:}01.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}04.820$ and patient also had right axilla

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:30:04.908 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.208$ re lymph adenopathy and needle

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

00:30:07.208 --> 00:30:09.336 core biopsy was undertake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:30:09.340 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.563$ And here you can see on on the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

00:30:11.563 --> 00:30:12.946 you can see predominantly small

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:30:12.946 \dashrightarrow 00:30:15.137$ to medium sized cells and on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00{:}30{:}15.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}16.915$ right you see a midst these small

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:30:16.915 \dashrightarrow 00:30:19.039$ to medium sized cells you see some

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:30:19.039 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.699$ cells which look like mononuclear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00{:}30{:}20.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}23.150$ Hodgkin cells are lacunar cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:30:23.150 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.556$ You also know fields were completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00{:}30{:}25.556 \rightarrow 00{:}30{:}27.542$ lacking in this infiltrate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

00:30:27.542 --> 00:30:29.768 plasma cells were also not too many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:30:29.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.905$ And you can see these cells are

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:30:31.905 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.350$ rich in T cells.

 $00:30:33.350 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.435$ And they are predominantly city

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:30:35.435 \dashrightarrow 00:30:38.310$ 8 positive T cells and there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:30:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.910$ many evil positive cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:30:39.910 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.528$ You have some larger Lieber positive cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

00:30:42.530 --> 00:30:45.446 And many smaller evil positive cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:30:45.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.722$ And then we did further and you also

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

00:30:47.722 --> 00:30:50.072 had large CD 20 positive cells which

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

00:30:50.072 --> 00:30:52.218 were also both positive and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

00:30:52.218 --> 00:30:55.290 cells for CD30 and CD15 and Oct 2000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:30:55.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.890$ So there were some areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:30:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.450$ those things which looked like

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:30:58.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.502$ Hodgkin's cells had a phenotype not

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00{:}31{:}00.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02.962$ too different from Hodgkin apart

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

00:31:02.962 --> 00:31:05.489 from strong expression of CD 20.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:31:05.490 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.250$ Oneba as you see here,

 $00:31:07.250 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.644$ many cells are even positive and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:31:09.644 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.870$ includes both large cells like Hodgkin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:31:11.870 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.952$ Look like large cells and many

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:31:13.952 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.159$ smaller cells and medium sized cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

00:31:16.160 --> 00:31:19.037 And when we did double state you

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333 00:31:19.037 --> 00:31:20.270 can see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:31:20.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.406$ These large cells libre positive CD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:31:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.534$ three negative but many of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.899476258333333

 $00:31:24.534 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.950$ small and medium sized

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:31:26.021 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.829$ cells were CD three and Eva dual positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:31:28.830 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.294$ And here you can see that many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:31:31.294 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.486$ the smaller and medium sized Eber

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:31:33.486 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.742$ positive cells are CD 8 positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00{:}31{:}35.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}37.772$ So here's a situation where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:31:37.772 --> 00:31:40.184 have both CD 8 positive either

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:31:40.184 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.644$ positive cells and other facts.

00:31:42.650 --> 00:31:45.092 Fire CD 20 positive either positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00{:}31{:}45.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}47.720$ cells and this is a classic exam

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00{:}31{:}47.720 \longrightarrow 00{:}31{:}50.204$ and we try to we also undertook.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:31:50.204 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.294$ Immunoglobulin T cell receptor gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:31:52.294 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.160$ rearrangement studies and we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:31:54.160 --> 00:31:55.775 not identify any particular clone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:31:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.756$ and the patients EBV levels waxed and vein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:31:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.118$ Then it fell off on its own,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:32:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.312$ so this is a classic case of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:32:02.312 --> 00:32:03.800 polymorphism for polar disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00{:}32{:}03.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}06.230$ with some features overlapping with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:32:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.959$ chronic EBV infection of the T cell type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00{:}32{:}08.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}11.096$ And then there are some features

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:32:11.096 --> 00:32:12.935 which raises the possibility of

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:32:12.935 --> 00:32:14.685 an evolving fortune like process.

00:32:14.690 --> 00:32:15.109 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:32:15.109 --> 00:32:17.204 this patient was not treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:32:17.204 --> 00:32:18.880 for any Hodgkin lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:32:18.880 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.956$ but eventually within two months time

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:32:20.956 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.810$ patient developed a treatment related.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:32:22.810 --> 00:32:24.970 I could buy leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:32:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.737$ but so this gives you an impression in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:32:27.737 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.956$ patient with a post Trump a patient who

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00{:}32{:}29.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}31.828$ had been treated for pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:32:31.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.238$ had a reduced immune.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:32:36.240 \dashrightarrow 00:32:40.608$ Capacity and then develop an EBV positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:32:40.608 --> 00:32:42.480 polymorphous lymphoproliferative disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:32:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.624$ In contrast to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:32:43.624 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.340$ here is another case where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:32:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.371$ fact is a is a man in 60s who

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:32:47.371 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.754$ presented with pancy topenia with

 $00:32:48.754 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.571$ a clinical diagnosis of HLH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:32:50.571 \dashrightarrow 00:32:53.299$ I had lower hemoglobin at a low white

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:32:53.299 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.376$ cell count and a low platelets and

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:32:56.376 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.788$ bone marrow investigations were done

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:32:58.788 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.613$ which showed a hypercellular marrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:33:01.613 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.898$ with trilineage hematopoiesis flow on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:33:03.898 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.368$ The peripheral blood showed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:33:05.368 --> 00:33:07.332 there was an increase in the cur

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:33:07.332 --> 00:33:09.197 gamma delta T cells and the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:33:09.197 --> 00:33:11.101 thing was also there in bone marrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:33:11.101 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.010$ and these cells were a bit larger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:33:13.010 --> 00:33:14.768 Here are the flow plots focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:33:14.768 --> 00:33:16.330 on the red cells here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:33:16.330 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.808$ These were larger cells with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:33:18.808 --> 00:33:20.880 slightly higher side scatter and

 $00:33:20.880 \dashrightarrow 00:33:22.980$ these were gamma delta T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:33:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.273$ Which were CD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:33:24.273 --> 00:33:26.859 four negative and CD eight was

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:33:26.859 --> 00:33:29.467 mostly negative of weak CD 8 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:33:29.467 --> 00:33:32.534 these were CD 56 positive cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:33:32.534 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.179$ CD five was completely negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00{:}33{:}35.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37.091$ And here's the border from the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:33:37.091 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.440$ and you can see there were an atypical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:33:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.328$ slightly larger lymphoid infiltrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:33:41.328 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.540$ which you can see here with CD3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:33:44.540 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.574$ These are the lymphoid cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:33:46.574 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.951$ then this was CD 3 positive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:33:48.951 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.111$ this here is evil and here you

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00{:}33{:}51.111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}52.893$ can see with a double strain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:33:52.900 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.226$ These were not only CD 8.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667 00:33:54.230 --> 00:33:54.584 Positive,

 $00:33:54.584 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.062$ but these were also CD 56 positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:33:57.062 --> 00:34:00.061 CD 3 positive and CD 56 positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:34:00.061 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.138$ either positive cells and this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:34:03.138 --> 00:34:05.754 classic example of a chronic active

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:34:05.754 \dashrightarrow 00:34:08.658$ EBV infection of CD8 Gamma Delta type

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:34:08.658 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.834$ and we can see the viral levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:34:11.834 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.550$ waxed and waned in this patient and

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

 $00:34:14.636 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.606$ patient did with conservative measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793170691666667

00:34:17.610 --> 00:34:18.650 Patient did quite well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:34:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.340$ So. We move on to a few other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00{:}34{:}24.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}25.367$ TB positive lymphomas, like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00{:}34{:}25.367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27.343$ Here is I want to discuss about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00{:}34{:}27.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}31.060$ Be positive diffuse large B cell lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

00:34:31.060 --> 00:34:32.653 For the definition of EBV, positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:34:32.653 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.318$ diffuse, large B cell lymphoma,

 $00:34:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.931$ there should be no history of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:34:36.931 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.369$ previous and informal or of any

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:34:39.369 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.439$ inborn or acquired immune deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:34:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.918$ But age is not a criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:34:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.685$ And the disease should not fulfill

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

00:34:46.685 --> 00:34:49.838 any criteria for other EBV positive news

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00{:}34{:}49.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}52.208$ for proliferative disorders like you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00{:}34{:}52.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55.252$ can't have limited granulomatosis or it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00{:}34{:}55.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}57.304$ should not be a plasmablastic lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:34:57.310 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.585$ So when you exclude all those things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:34:59.590 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.710$ what remains would be easy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

00:35:01.710 --> 00:35:03.887 Be positive. That would be be positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00{:}35{:}03.890 \to 00{:}35{:}05.570$ diffuse large B cell lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:35:05.570 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.370$ Most of these patients are over the age

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:35:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.526$ of 50 years, but age is not a criterion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:35:09.530 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.350$ It can happen even in patients less

 $00:35:11.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.147$ than 50 years of age and a peak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:35:13.150 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.686$ Incidences in the 7th and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:35:14.690 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.370$ 8 decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:35:17.370 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.743$ More than 50% of the patients present

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:35:19.743 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.700$ with a high IPI and a High E Cox scope,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:35:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.210$ and as I said earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:35:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.974$ they show a lower mutational burden

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:35:25.974 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.086$ as compared to maybe negative diffuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:35:28.086 \dashrightarrow 00:35:30.868$ large B cell lymphoma's and frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00{:}35{:}30.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}34.221$ show over expression of PD L1 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00{:}35{:}34.221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}36.571$ they may also harbor structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00{:}35{:}36.571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}39.518$ variations in PDL one and PDL 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

00:35:39.520 --> 00:35:42.622 Chainz 2 subsets are identified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

00:35:42.622 --> 00:35:44.620 one is a polymorphous variant and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:35:44.682 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.656$ other one is a monomorphic variant.

 $00:35:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.450$ The polymorphic variant is important

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00{:}35{:}48.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.640$ to recognize because this can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00{:}35{:}50.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52.355$ misdiagnosed as a Hodgkin lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:35:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.880$ Other type of lymphomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:35:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.160$ Here you have large transform cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:35:56.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.580$ immuno blast including cells resembling Reed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:35:59.580 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.470$ Sternberg cells all inside predominant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:01.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.608$ cells and these are seen in a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:04.608 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.810$ polymorphous background of small lymphocytes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:06.810 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.220$ plasma cells histiocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.252$ So you can see that there is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:10.252 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.747$ overlapping in overlap in the differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

00:36:12.747 --> 00:36:14.671 diagnosis with a polymorphous

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:14.671 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.633$ lymphoproliferative disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.678$ And other lymphomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

00:36:16.678 --> 00:36:19.462 It can be also be mistaken for a

00:36:19.462 --> 00:36:21.506 T cell rich piece of lymphoma if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:21.506 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.386$ the baby is not tested often,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:23.386 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.808$ you see I'm just centric and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:25.808 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.999$ destructive areas and you have extensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:27.999 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.579$ coagulative necrosis and they can be CD 30.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:30.580 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.995$ Positive City 15 can also be positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

00:36:33.000 --> 00:36:35.292 Often you have a latency of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:35.292 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.759$ type 2 and rarely of type 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:37.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.200$ By type two I would mean that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00{:}36{:}40.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}42.431$ should also be expressing LMP one and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

00:36:42.431 --> 00:36:44.919 Type 3 in addition to Eber and LMP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.400$ One they would express.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

00:36:47.400 --> 00:36:48.020 Who?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00{:}36{:}48.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}50.024$ Again, the impact on outcomes is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:50.024 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.638$ not very clear in the Asian setting,

00:36:52.640 --> 00:36:53.292 EBV positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

00:36:53.292 --> 00:36:54.922 diffuse large B cell lymphoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:54.922 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.900$ as adverse prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

00:36:55.900 --> 00:36:58.160 The Neb negative diffuse large

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

00:36:58.160 --> 00:36:59.516 B cell lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:36:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.604$ Here is an example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:37:00.604 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.122$ He can see there are areas of neck

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:37:03.122 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.948$ regulative necrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:37:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.050$ Then here's the viability areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:37:06.050 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.330$ and these cells can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00{:}37{:}08.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}09.696$ quite polymorphic in nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:37:09.696 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.206$ There are many other cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

00:37:11.210 --> 00:37:12.626 including granulocytes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:37:12.626 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.042$ plasma cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:37:14.042 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.290$ lymphocytes along with large atypical cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00{:}37{:}18.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21.146$ Sorry and these are CD 20 positive,

 $00:37:21.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.886$ either positive and there are many

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:37:23.886 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.062$ histiocytes which are brought out

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

 $00:37:26.062 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.734$ by City 68 are here and then if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657866

00:37:28.734 --> 00:37:30.364 look light chain restriction study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:37:30.370 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.526$ there's a lot of background but these

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:37:32.526 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.139$ cells clearly show capital light

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:37:34.139 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.023$ chain restriction and they often have

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00{:}37{:}36.023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}38.138$ a non germinal center phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00{:}37{:}38.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}40.730$ Mum 1 positive there were negative for

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:37:40.730 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.511$ city 10 and possibly for BCL 6 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:37:43.511 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.220$ they have a high key 67 expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:37:46.220 --> 00:37:47.616 In contrast to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00{:}37{:}47.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}49.710$ this is another EBV positive lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:37:49.710 --> 00:37:51.535 but in a completely different

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:37:51.535 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.265$ clinical context.

 $00:37:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.700$ This is a patient at 7070 year old lady

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00{:}37{:}55.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}57.800$ who presented with an adrenal mass

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:37:57.870 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.808$ so that hemorrhagic mass and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:37:59.808 --> 00:38:02.628 was a pseudo cyst with brown fluid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:02.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.584$ and when we accept when we examine

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:38:05.584 --> 00:38:07.690 multiple blocks from the pseudo cyst,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:07.690 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.734$ there were some areas where we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:09.734 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.859$ these kind of large atypical cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:11.859 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.834$ and these large atypical cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.145$ As you can see here, these were all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:16.145 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.472$ Keep a positive and they are they

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00{:}38{:}18.472 \longrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20.500$ had a non germinal center phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:38:20.500 --> 00:38:22.508 They were seen in 20 probably Mum 1

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00{:}38{:}22.508 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}38{:}24.217$ positive but negative for CD 10 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.270$ six and a very high K 67 expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:27.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.580$ It's important not to call these AB

 $00:38:29.644 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.116$ positive diffuse large B cell lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.704$ This is a fibron associated EBV positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:35.704 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.518$ large B cell lymphoma that these have

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:38.518 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.466$ a very different disease cause most

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:41.466 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.238$ of these don't require any chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.480$ They do exceedingly well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.465$ Rarely be negative cases have

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:49.465 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.053$ also been described here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:51.060 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.625$ These two muscles are sit

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:53.625 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.190$ within the mesh of fibrin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:38:56.190 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.926$ And you can also get these in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00{:}38{:}58.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}01.438$ catheters and whenever you have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00{:}39{:}01.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}03.536$ thrombus within within that thrombus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:39:03.536 \dashrightarrow 00:39:06.650$ we can get these EBV positive large B cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:39:06.650 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.026$ So it occurs in specific clinical context and

 $00:39:10.026 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.828$ one has to be careful not to over diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:39:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.706$ Inflammation is very little as you can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:39:15.710 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.370$ as you saw in this particular case

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:39:18.370 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.342$ they have immuno plastic features

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:39:20.342 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.170$ and they have a non GC phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:39:23.170 --> 00:39:26.026 The CD ten negative mum 1 positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:39:26.030 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.228$ six can be positive in some cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:39:28.230 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.275$ And they can rarely express City 138,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:39:31.275 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.250$ and they have a very favorable outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:39:34.250 --> 00:39:36.180 And accession alone is sufficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:39:36.180 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.110$ in most of these cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:39:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.590$ In contrast to this season,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:39:39.590 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.415$ here is a very aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:39:41.415 --> 00:39:42.510 EBV positive lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:39:42.510 --> 00:39:43.542 The Plasmablastic lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:39{:}43.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}45.950$ which is got diffused in a plastic

00:39:46.010 --> 00:39:48.110 which shows diffused in a plastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:39:48.110 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.510$ proliferation of tumor cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:39:49.510 --> 00:39:51.520 which I've got plasmacytic features,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:39:51.520 --> 00:39:53.355 typically occurs in an extranodal

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:39:53.355 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.608$ setting occurring in the oral cavity

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:39:55.608 --> 00:39:57.367 and other mucosal sites rarely

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:39:57.367 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.866$ can also occur in in lymph nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:39:59.870 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.798$ it classically occurs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:40:02.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.215$ It typically occurs in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:40:04.215 --> 00:40:05.064 HIV positive patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:40:05.070 --> 00:40:08.166 but can also occur outside the HIV study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:40:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.005$ And can also occur in

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00{:}40{:}10.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.106$ post transplant patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:40:11.110 --> 00:40:14.470 It can also occur in in elderly people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:40:14.470 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.627$ Some may occur as a transformational event.

00:40:17.630 --> 00:40:19.832 In a previous case of follicular

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:40:19.832 --> 00:40:22.131 lymphoma or CLL and rarely following

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:40:22.131 --> 00:40:24.770 CD 19 CAR T cell therapy again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:40:24.770 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.345$ Patients present with advanced stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:40:27.345 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.340$ with advanced with a high IPI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:40:30.340 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.590$ Nearly 60% of Kesari be associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:40:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.977$ and those which are EBR associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:40:34.977 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.022$ typically have latency type one

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00{:}40{:}37.022 --> 00{:}40{:}39.985$ or type 280% of the cases of

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:40:39.985 --> 00:40:42.130 associated case or HIV positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

00:40:42.130 --> 00:40:44.566 And rarely we can get in

NOTE Confidence: 0.767819538956522

 $00:40:44.566 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.784$ between negative cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

00:40:45.790 --> 00:40:47.510 You running HIV studying rarely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:40:47.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.492$ It can be even be negative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:40:49.492 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.202$ milk translocation is present in

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:40:51.202 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.330$ nearly 80% of these patients.

 $00:40:53.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.250$ In of these cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00{:}40{:}55.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}57.460$ they typically suppress the Bissell

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

00:40:57.460 --> 00:40:59.228 program that negative facility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

00:40:59.230 --> 00:41:01.614 20 packs file and city 45 and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00{:}41{:}01.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}03.570$ expressed a plasma cell program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:41:03.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.520$ The positive facility 138 city

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:41:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.966$ 38 blimp one mom one XBP one

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:41:09.970 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.480$ and they express light chains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:41:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.104$ They express imina globulin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:41:13.104 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.540$ They you can demonstrate your globulin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:41:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.860$ Restriction like chain restriction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:41:18.860 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.149$ they can rarely also express CD 56,

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:41:21.150 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.518$ so often one would think the CD 56

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:41:23.518 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.943$ expression would be a good way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

00:41:25.943 --> 00:41:27.713 distinguish this from plasmablastic myeloma,

00:41:27.720 --> 00:41:30.078 but they can rarely be positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00{:}41{:}30.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}32.341$ or city 56 cyclin D1 expression would

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:41:32.341 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.568$ be negative in these cases and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:41:34.568 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.920$ could be a useful marker if present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:41:36.920 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.422$ They are more indicative of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00{:}41{:}39.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}41.385$ plasmablastic myeloma mic is often

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:41:41.385 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.260$ positive because these carry MC

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:41:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.630$ transportation. PDL one is often positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00{:}41{:}45.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}48.678$ They show loss of MHC Class 2 expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:41:48.678 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.426$ and K 67 expression is usually very high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:41:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.572$ This is a classic example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00{:}41{:}54.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}55.643$ Blossom plasmablastic lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:41:55.650 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.709$ You can see these are blast immuno

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

00:41:58.709 --> 00:42:00.578 blaster plasmablasts and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:42:00.578 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.696$ are typically as I said CD 138

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:42:03.696 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.634$ positive and it can very easily

 $00:42:05.634 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.280$ demonstrate like to instructions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.549947888

 $00:42:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.840$ Yet scapolite chin restricted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783067412

 $00:42:10.940 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.460$ As against the Plasmablastic lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783067412

 $00:42:13.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.596$ you have the. Just create associated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783067412

 $00:42:16.596 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.200$ Sorry before I go into situate associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.783067412

00:42:19.262 --> 00:42:21.522 lymphoma is worthwhile to discuss

NOTE Confidence: 0.783067412

 $00:42:21.522 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.330$ situate associated Castleman disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}42{:}26.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}28.450$ This occurs usually in HIV positive patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:42:28.450 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.110$ Nearly 80% of them are HIV positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}42{:}31.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}34.176$ Rarely it can occur in HIV negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:42:34.176 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.933$ setting in in specific areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}42{:}35.933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}37.970$ but most of them are HIV positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}42{:}37.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}40.850$ Typically these patients are in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}42{:}40.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}43.250$ That early 40s in middle aged

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:42:43.250 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.658$ patients and have relatively low

 $00:42:45.658 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.168$ or undetectable HIV viral loads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}42{:}48.170 --> 00{:}42{:}50.228$ So CD 4 counts are reasonably OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:42:50.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.967$ These patients milk there's a huge male

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

00:42:52.967 --> 00:42:54.970 predominance and different morphologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:42:54.970 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.570$ Those of Castleman disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

00:42:56.570 --> 00:42:57.770 of plasmacytic type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

00:42:57.770 --> 00:43:01.074 other mixed mixed pattern and they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:43:01.074 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.623$ you classically see plasmablasts which

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:43:03.623 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.270$ are medium to large sized lymphoid cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

00:43:07.270 --> 00:43:09.651 with nuclei and amphiphilic cytoplasm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:43:09.651 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.359$ and these cells are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

00:43:11.360 --> 00:43:13.436 Being typically in the mantle zones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:43:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.048$ but rarely they can be seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:43:15.048 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.120$ in into follicular interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:43:16.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.328$ They can be intrafollicular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}43{:}18.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20.300$ perifollicular, and these lymph nodes.

00:43:20.300 --> 00:43:22.075 One it's important to recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:43:22.075 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.960$ that many of these lymph nodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}43{:}23.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}25.980$ can have foci of Kaposi sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:43:25.980 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.502$ When these patients are treated with anti CD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}43{:}29.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}32.344$ 20 Kaposi sarcoma tends to explode so

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

00:43:32.344 --> 00:43:35.227 it's important to recognize that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:43:35.227 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.340$ the patients also have Kaposi sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:43:38.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.020$ Alchemize also concomitantly treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:43:42.020 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.911$ This the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:43:42.911 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.693$ the number and the density of

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:43:44.693 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.659$ copper brass can be very variable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:43:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.549$ It can be few or it can form large

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}43{:}49.549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51.590$ sheets like what people used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

00:43:51.590 --> 00:43:53.450 describe as micro infamous in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}43{:}53.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55.176$ past and these plasmablasts our

00:43:55.176 --> 00:43:57.300 mum one and blimp and positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:43:57.300 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.680$ But they often are negative for CD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:43:59.680 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.668$ 20 have very low expression of CD 20 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:44:02.668 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.660$ they typically laxity 1:30 at expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}44{:}05.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}07.868$ The express IG M and they are Lambda

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

00:44:07.868 --> 00:44:09.732 light chain restricted though there

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}44{:}09.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}11.817$ are Lambda light chain restricted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:44:11.820 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.788$ They are not born.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:44:12.788 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.894$ Well, there polyclonal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:44:13.894 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.190$ so they're monotypic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}44{:}15.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17.178$ but polyclonal and patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

00:44:17.178 --> 00:44:19.663 usually have a detectable plasma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:44:19.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.728$ which case which we are judged

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:44:21.728 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.891$ by DNA and that's a useful

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

00:44:23.891 --> 00:44:25.482 marker outside of the Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}44{:}25.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27.747$ Here is a typical case where you

 $00:44:27.747 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.507$ can see castlemans like features.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:44:29.510 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.615$ You got follicles which somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:44:31.615 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.795$ resemble the they had in vascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:44:33.795 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.199$ type of Castleman disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:44:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.290$ But more importantly you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:44:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.920$ plasma cells in the inter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:44:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.788$ follicular and medullary areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:44:40.788 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.650$ These plasma cells are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

00:44:42.650 --> 00:44:44.030 Are not plasmablasts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:44:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.870$ they're reactive plasma cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

00:44:45.870 --> 00:44:47.405 which are both Kappa and

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

00:44:47.405 --> 00:44:48.633 Lambda light chain positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}44{:}48.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}50.460$ but the typical plasmablasts are

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:44:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.680$ seen here in the mantle zone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:44:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.220$ As you can see here,

 $00:44:54.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.620$ and these are huge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

00:44:55.620 --> 00:44:58.345 8 positive and CD 21 will highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:44:58.345 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.400$ this FTC meshworks and here with you

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:45:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.530$ can identify plasmablasts in the mantle

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}45{:}03.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}06.042$ cell and these are IG M positive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

00:45:06.042 --> 00:45:08.158 their Lambda light chain restricted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:45:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.860$ whereas the plasma cells in

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:45:09.860 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.880$ the interfollicular area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:45:10.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.786$ Those are normal reactive plasma cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

00:45:14.790 --> 00:45:17.544 Siri 20 this patients respond extremely

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00{:}45{:}17.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}20.530$ well for anti CD 20 treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:45:20.530 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.501$ So one of the questions is always been if

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

00:45:22.501 --> 00:45:24.346 these plasma Blaster CD twenty negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:45:24.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.254$ How do they respond?

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:45:25.254 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.246$ So we went on to do some double

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:45:27.246 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.262$ stains and here you can show that

 $00:45:29.262 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.342$ while most of the plasma blasts are

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:45:31.342 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.342$ negative like here is positive plasma

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:45:33.342 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.868$ blast which is negative for CD20.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:45:35.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.835$ A good proportion of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.700351148571429

 $00:45:37.835 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.800$ show expression of CD 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:45:39.879 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.623$ and some of them can be quite weak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:45:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.094$ Then we also went on to look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:45:46.100 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.408$ In these lymph nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:45:47.408 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.370$ many of these lymph nodes show

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00{:}45{:}49.433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51.758$ follicular dendritic cell mesh works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8040325711111111

00:45:51.760 --> 00:45:54.372 Here is Brown is in the HV

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:45:54.372 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.634$ Atlanta one and red is CD 20,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8040325711111111

 $00{:}45{:}57.640 --> 00{:}46{:}00.504$ city 21 and you can see many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:46:00.504 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.317$ the follicular dendritic cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:46:02.317 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.353$ processes also show the Lana protein.

 $00:46:05.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.628$ So obviously the nuclei of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:46:07.630 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.500$ are negative for Lana one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

00:46:09.500 --> 00:46:11.230 it's only the cytoplasmic processes

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

00:46:11.230 --> 00:46:13.760 that are showing Lana one positive ITI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:46:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.049$ So there by suggesting that you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

00:46:16.050 --> 00:46:18.388 In a subset of metric Castleman disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:46:18.390 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.230$ that is presentation of Lana,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:46:20.230 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.510$ one on the FTC's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:46:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.169$ So then we went on to look at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:46:24.170 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.427$ make some associations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8040325711111111

 $00{:}46{:}25.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}28.360$ We showed that those cases will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:46:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.107$ a lower load of huge positive plasma

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:46:32.107 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.726$ blasts are more likely to have HSV

NOTE Confidence: 0.8040325711111111

00:46:35.726 --> 00:46:38.549 positivity in the FDC processes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00{:}46{:}38.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}40.870$ and these cases also had fewer CD 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00{:}46{:}40.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}43.350$ positive T cells within these follicles.

 $00:46:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.570$ So there was an inverse correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00{:}46{:}45.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}47.050$ between the number eventuate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:46:47.050 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.054$ All the new cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

00:46:49.054 --> 00:46:51.838 And presence of antigen on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:46:51.838 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.968$ left and this this positively

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

00:46:53.968 --> 00:46:56.345 correlated with the number of T

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:46:56.345 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.160$ cells within the folly truths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111 00:46:58.160 --> 00:46:59.024 As I said, NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:46:59.024 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.752$ there can be microscopic Kaposi sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00{:}47{:}00.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}03.064$ Here is the lymph node capsule of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

00:47:03.064 --> 00:47:05.402 patient with multicentric Castleman disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.804032571111111

 $00:47:05.402 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.666$ showing microscopic Kaposi sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:47:10.570 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.852$ A good a good proportion of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:47:12.852 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.672$ of multicentric Castleman disease also

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:47:14.672 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.627$ present with primary effusion lymphoma,

 $00:47:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.450$ and typically these are serious effusions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:47:19.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.350$ but some cases and more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

00:47:21.350 --> 00:47:23.897 and many of them will not have any solid

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:47:23.897 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.234$ tumor analysis but a subset of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:47:26.234 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.282$ present with solid tumor masses and

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:47:28.282 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.578$ and these may not have any effusions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:47:30.580 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.428$ and these are called extra cavitary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

00:47:32.430 --> 00:47:33.672 primary fusion infamous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:47:33.672 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.742$ and these patients usually have

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:47:35.742 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.308$ a low CD 4 count in contrast to

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00{:}47{:}38.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}39.603$ multicentric Castleman disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

00:47:39.603 --> 00:47:43.159 And though most of these are seen in HIV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:47:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.850$ positive patients rarely just can

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00{:}47{:}44.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.059$ be seen in other immune suppression

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:47:47.059 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.765$ settings like the post transplant setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:47:49.765 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.780$ operations with immune sentences,

 $00:47:51.780 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.356$ and again typical ages 40 to 50.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:47:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.474$ Rarely you can see this outside of

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:47:56.474 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.673$ the HIV setting in the in endemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

00:47:58.673 --> 00:48:00.521 areas like the Sub Saharan Africa

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:48:00.583 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.669$ and Mediterranean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:48:01.670 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.596$ and they're accompanied by at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

00:48:03.596 --> 00:48:06.372 same time by Kaposi sarcoma and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00{:}48{:}06.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07.797$ Multicentric Castleman disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728047215294118

 $00:48:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.546$ In nearly one half of cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:48:11.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.303$ Here the self the important thing about

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

00:48:14.303 --> 00:48:16.978 this entity is they are dually infected

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:48:16.978 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.020$ both with HHV 8 and Epstein Barr virus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00{:}48{:}20.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}22.344$ but largely the malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:48:22.344 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.668$ process is driven by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00{:}48{:}24.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}27.532$ So by Minister chemistry you can

 $00:48:27.532 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.774$ demonstrate edges and by in situ

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

00:48:29.774 --> 00:48:31.379 hybridization you can identify Eva.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

00:48:31.380 --> 00:48:34.004 You usually the EBV latency is type one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:48:34.010 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.538$ so you'll be LMP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:48:35.538 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.390$ One is usually absent in these cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

00:48:38.390 --> 00:48:40.574 This again a large amount of plastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:48:40.574 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.599$ cells are plastic plastic cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

00:48:42.599 --> 00:48:44.645 they show prominent and aplasia cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:48:44.645 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.788$ can resemble Reed Sternberg cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65601905863636400:48:46.790 --> 00:48:47.478 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:48:47.478 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.198$ like in the plasmablastic lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:48:49.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.156$ there is suppression of the bezel

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:48:51.156 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.435$ program and what you see is often

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:48:53.435 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.945$ a plasma cell program with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

00:48:54.950 --> 00:48:57.659 It's only 138 mum one and blimp,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:48:57.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.480$ but unlike the plasmablastic lymphomas,

 $00:49:00.480 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.252$ these do not express immunoglobulin so

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:49:03.252 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.289$ the immuno globulin negative and some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:49:06.289 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.893$ them can express aberrant T cell antigens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:49:08.900 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.098$ Nearly a third of them do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:49:11.100 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.356$ And here is a typical case of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

00:49:13.356 --> 00:49:15.000 extra cavitary primary effusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

00:49:15.000 --> 00:49:16.986 Lymphoma showing on a plastic or

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00{:}49{:}16.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}18.985$ even a plastic type cells which

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:49:18.985 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.120$ are positive for in this case it

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

00:49:21.120 --> 00:49:23.211 was CD 45 positive but most often

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:49:23.211 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.787$ city 45 is also negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

00:49:24.787 --> 00:49:28.490 CD 38 and mum one were positive and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00{:}49{:}28.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}30.620$ can see there is minimal expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:49:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.297$ of 79 and a proportion of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:49:33.300 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.992$ Express CD 30.

00:49:34.992 --> 00:49:36.120 Most importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

00:49:36.120 --> 00:49:38.885 these cells are dually infected with EBV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

 $00:49:38.890 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.975$ demonstrated by Eva and Batch

NOTE Confidence: 0.656019058636364

00:49:40.975 --> 00:49:42.643 were demonstrated by LENOVA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00:49:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.800$ And more, many of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00:49:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.104$ also overexpressed PDL 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00:49:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.936$ So we do not know whether all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00:49:52.936 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.886$ carry structural abnormalities in PDL one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00{:}49{:}55.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00.658$ but most of them have overexpression of PDL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00:50:00.660 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.820$ There is also another entity

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00{:}50{:}01.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02.748$ known as the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00:50:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.310$ Such great positive diffuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

00:50:04.310 --> 00:50:05.870 large B cell lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00:50:05.870 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.935$ Most of these men can

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00:50:07.935 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.587$ develop in patients who.

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00{:}50{:}09.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}12.326$ Have been of who had medical

 $00{:}50{:}12.326 \to 00{:}50{:}13.694$ centric Castleman disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00:50:13.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.005$ These patients are

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

00:50:15.005 --> 00:50:16.310 profound immune deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

00:50:16.310 --> 00:50:18.466 The most of them are HIV positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

00:50:18.470 --> 00:50:21.412 Rarely it occurs in other other immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00:50:21.412 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.167$ compromised settings are very rarely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00:50:23.170 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.334$ It can occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00:50:24.334 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.208$ Non immune compromised setting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00{:}50{:}26.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}28.116$ this is singley infected with HPV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00{:}50{:}28.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}30.352$ There is no infection in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00:50:30.352 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.468$ patients and characteristically

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00:50:31.468 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.110$ involves lymph nodes and spleen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00{:}50{:}33.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}35.834$ Same areas where Multicentric

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00{:}50{:}35.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}37.877$ Castleman disease presents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

00:50:37.880 --> 00:50:40.704 They are variably positive of 45 and 20.

00:50:40.710 --> 00:50:42.870 Unlike the Multicentric Castleman disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.690439316666667

 $00:50:42.870 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.138$ they express AGM and Lambda light chains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:50:47.670 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.987$ So this is quite a rare disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:50:49.990 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.916$ You can and look if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:50:51.916 --> 00:50:54.330 move on to the next entity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:50:54.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.890$ which is extremely exceedingly uncommon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:50:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.235$ but it's important to know about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:50:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.286$ because this is a perfectly benign disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:51:03.290 --> 00:51:07.518 Here we got cake and EBV positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:51:07.518 --> 00:51:11.408 This can be again Dooley infected by EBV

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00{:}51{:}11.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}14.898$ and what's known as the Associated German

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:51:14.898 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.010$ or Tropic lymphoproliferative disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:51:17.010 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.446$ Most of these patients are HIV negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:51:19.450 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.746$ They present with neck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:51:20.746 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.690$ lymph adenopathy and they are asymptomatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:51:22.690 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.534$ Here the germinal centers are partially

 $00:51:25.534 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.151$ or completely replaced by large blasts

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:51:28.151 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.828$ which are as I said ebb and positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:51:30.828 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.868$ They are mum 1 positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:51:32.870 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.900$ They've got many features which

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:51:34.900 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.652$ could be similar to the similar to

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:51:38.652 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.483$ the primary effusion lymphoma but

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:51:41.483 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.525$ these cells are right within the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:51:44.530 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.567$ In the germinal centers and the important

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:51:46.567 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.309$ difference from the primary effusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:51:48.310 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.560$ enforma is that they express

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:51:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.910$ monotypic immunoglobulin expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:51:51.910 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.478$ is seen in nearly 2/3 of cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00{:}51{:}54.478 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}\:} > 00{:}51{:}56.554$ whereas in primary effusion lymphoma you

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:51:56.554 --> 00:51:58.810 can't demonstrate him in a globe date,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:51:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.770$ and if you do PCR study for immuno

00:52:01.770 --> 00:52:03.670 globulin gene rearrangement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:52:03.670 --> 00:52:05.378 they're calling clonal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:52:05.378 --> 00:52:07.086 expressing itself is sufficient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:52:07.090 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.199$ and prognosis is excellent in these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:52:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.240$ There's another entity which

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:52:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.800$ people need to be aware of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:52:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.876$ Many of the patients are nearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:52:15.880 --> 00:52:17.788 one in 10 patients of MULTICENTRIC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00{:}52{:}17.790 \longrightarrow 00{:}52{:}20.102$ Castleman disease can develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:52:20.102 --> 00:52:21.836 hemophagocytic invoice cytosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:52:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.196$ and if you get a spleen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:52:23.200 --> 00:52:25.279 or if you get one metal in these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:52:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.102$ you can explain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:52:26.102 --> 00:52:28.981 You can see this in the red bulk you

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:52:28.981 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.769$ have active phagocytosis occurring

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00{:}52{:}30.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}33.149$ and you also see this positive

00:52:33.149 --> 00:52:35.294 plasma blast in the surrounding

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:52:35.294 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.817$ areas and the same thing happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00{:}52{:}37.817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}40.729$ also in the bone marrow you can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:52:40.730 --> 00:52:43.220 Active phagocytosis along with presence

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:52:43.220 --> 00:52:45.710 of these kind eventuate positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:52:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.182$ plasmablasts and this these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:52:48.182 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.689$ can have if they are not treated well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00{:}52{:}51.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}53.760$ They can have pork survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:52:53.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.072$ I just want to touch for the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:52:56.072 --> 00:52:58.240 few couple of minutes on HTLV one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00{:}52{:}58.240 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}53{:}00.280$ which is quite a rare entity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00{:}53{:}00.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}02.220$ but people have to recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00{:}53{:}02.220 \to 00{:}53{:}04.053$ that this is underdiagnosed in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:53:04.053 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.237$ In 2018 there was a a study came

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:53:06.237 --> 00:53:08.463 out from the National Cancer

00:53:08.463 --> 00:53:10.818 Database which showed that mycosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00{:}53{:}10.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}12.858$ fungoides occurring in patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286 00:53:12.860 --> 00:53:13.654 I'm sorry, NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:53:13.654 --> 00:53:15.242 330 positive proteinous infamous

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:53:15.242 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.200$ occurring in African American

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:53:17.200 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.520$ patients have portal survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:53:19.520 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.484$ This could be true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:53:20.484 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.284$ but in all none of these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00{:}53{:}22.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}24.066$ they still be one was tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:53:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.570$ Around the same time you had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00{:}53{:}25.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}27.424$ there was another study which came

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:53:27.424 --> 00:53:29.795 out from the Miami group which showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00{:}53{:}29.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}31.575$ that ATL is indistinguishable from

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:53:31.575 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.989$ many of the common teasel informers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:53:33.990 --> 00:53:36.614 So any T cell lymphoma one has to

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:53:36.614 --> 00:53:39.387 keep in mind that the likelihood of

00:53:39.387 --> 00:53:42.021 HTLV one and exclude the possibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:53:42.021 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.008$ of HTLV 1 by serology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:53:44.010 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.570$ Otherwise one could easily miss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:53:46.570 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.600$ diagnose and aitl as some other

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:53:49.600 --> 00:53:51.115 T cell lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:53:51.120 --> 00:53:53.520 ATL is divided into four groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:53:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.816$ When he was a cute group

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:53:54.816 --> 00:53:55.680 and their infamous group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:53:55.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.552$ then you have the chronic group

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:53:57.552 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.310$ and the smoldering type of aitl,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286 00:53:59.310 --> 00:54:00.246 the genomic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

 $00:54:00.246 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.118$ They're all genomic differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.868253375714286

00:54:02.118 --> 00:54:03.990 between these four groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:54:04.062 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.630$ and the genomic differences also have

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:54:06.630 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.333$ an implication on outcomes like the IRF

00:54:09.333 --> 00:54:11.723 4 mutations has a very patient of ATL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00{:}54{:}11.723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}13.121$ which I had a four mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:54:13.121 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.600$ have a very poor survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:54:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.880$ Those will start 3 mutations are

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:54:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.489$ typically seen in the indolent type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00{:}54{:}19.489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}22.490$ ATL and they have a much better survive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

00:54:22.490 --> 00:54:24.206 So again, now people have come

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:54:24.206 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.789$ up with genomic skills in ATL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

00:54:25.790 --> 00:54:28.645 Much of this work has come from Japan

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:54:28.645 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.035$ and the genomic subsets also correlate

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00{:}54{:}32.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}35.870$ with the clinical subsets and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:54:35.870 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.990$ there are clear indicators of survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:54:38.990 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.817$ I'll just, I think this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:54:40.817 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.672$ last case I'm going to show and

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:54:42.672 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.216$ I will end with this here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:54:44.216 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.932$ The HIV positive patient who was

 $00:54:45.932 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.010$ referred for an allogeneic transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

00:54:48.010 --> 00:54:50.264 This was in London and patient had

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

00:54:50.264 --> 00:54:52.170 already received two courses of ice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:54:52.170 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.322$ and when bullmer investigations

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:54:53.322 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.186$ were being done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:54:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.829$ we saw these kind of abnormal cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:54:56.830 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.168$ and these cells were CD 3 positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

00:54:59.170 --> 00:55:01.144 There were CD 4 positive in 25

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00.55:01.144 \longrightarrow 00.55:02.990$ positive and most of them were CD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:55:02.990 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.414$ eight negative and on flow you

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:55:05.414 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.700$ can see that these were having

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00{:}55{:}07.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}10.080$ lower expression of CD3 or CD to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00{:}55{:}10.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}12.306$ Positive and there were no CD 4

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:55:12.306 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.366$ positive but CD eight negative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:55:14.366 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.200$ you can see City 25 was positive in

 $00:55:17.200 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.629$ these cells and CD seven was negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00{:}55{:}19.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}21.506$ So these for ATL cells and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

00:55:21.506 --> 00:55:23.001 sometimes can be difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:55:23.001 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.903$ diagnose in peripheral red where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

00:55:24.903 --> 00:55:26.669 have the question of whether it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

00:55:26.669 --> 00:55:28.924 an ATL or a chronic HTLV 1 carrier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:55:28.924 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.534$ In contrast to that case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:55:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.472$ here is a peripheral blood from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00{:}55{:}32.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}34.140$ patient with cousin STLV 1 carrier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:55:34.140 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.114$ Here are the blue cells you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

00:55:36.114 --> 00:55:37.861 see with lower expression of CD

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:55:37.861 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.972$ three and these for CD 4 positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00{:}55{:}39.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}42.564$ And you can see reduced expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00{:}55{:}42.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}45.264$ of CD5 and importantly these four

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

00:55:45.264 --> 00:55:48.344 CD 25 positive and CD 26 negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00{:}55{:}48.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}50.547$ and also CD seven negative.

 $00:55:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.209$ So this is for the patient with

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:55:52.209 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.010$ chronic HSV 1 carrier and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:55:54.010 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.725$ distinction can be quite difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:55:55.730 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.629$ One may have to do southern blot for STL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:55:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.078$ We want to show that there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

00:56:00.078 --> 00:56:02.782 monoclonal HTLV one or they're in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

00:56:02.782 --> 00:56:05.970 process from a chronic infection to ATL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00{:}56{:}05.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}08.028$ that is integration of the virus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:56:08.030 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.368$ It can be a single integration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:56:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.750$ sometimes there can be more

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:56:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.578$ than one integration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:56:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.101$ And that's important to recognize the ATL

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00{:}56{:}15.101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}19.080$ and distinguish that from chronic carrier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00{:}56{:}19.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}20.872$ So in the last couple of minutes I

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:56:20.872 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.591$ just want to give you an update on

00:56:22.591 --> 00:56:24.900 The Who classification of tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

00:56:24.900 --> 00:56:27.500 So this is in general about

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:56:27.500 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.860$ the classification of tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:56:28.860 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.447$ This is managed by the classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667 00:56:31.447 --> 00:56:32.161 of tumours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:56:32.161 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.303$ editorial board and this has got

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:56:34.303 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.931$ a chair or the head of the WHL

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

00:56:36.931 --> 00:56:38.245 classification of tumors. Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

00:56:38.245 --> 00:56:40.800 Ian Cree, who is a pathologist himself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:56:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.360$ Then it has got.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:56:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.140$ Standing members,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:56:43.140 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.840$ these are standing members are common

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

00:56:45.840 --> 00:56:48.109 across different globe books and

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:56:48.109 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.461$ these are nominated by major societies

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

00:56:50.461 --> 00:56:51.874 and involving cancer diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:56:51.874 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.630$ and they serve on a 3 year term.

00:56:54.630 --> 00:56:57.066 Then you have the expert editorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.813424632666667

 $00:56:57.066 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.690$ board members for each

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

00:56:58.768 --> 00:57:01.616 of these, each of the blue books and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00:57:01.616 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.052$ current guideline for these for being an

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00{:}57{:}04.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}06.292$ expert member is that people can't be

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00:57:06.292 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.837$ on this board for more than two books.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

00:57:08.840 --> 00:57:11.180 That's the maximum if you serve on one book,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00:57:11.180 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.370$ you can. So when a second book, but we can't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

00:57:14.370 --> 00:57:17.149 So beyond two books and all members

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00{:}57{:}17.149 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}19.858$ have equal status and this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00:57:19.858 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.150$ decisions are made more by consensus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00:57:22.150 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.484$ There is it's not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00{:}57{:}24.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}26.506$ there is no hierarchy within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

00:57:26.506 --> 00:57:28.216 tutorial board and members are

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

00:57:28.216 --> 00:57:30.166 chosen based on their expertise and

 $00:57:30.166 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.149$ and also geography if possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00:57:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.968$ Like there is a positive attempt

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00:57:33.968 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.487$ is made to be inclusive to include

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00:57:36.487 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.547$ people from across the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00:57:38.550 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.035$ And the current WHO classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

00:57:41.035 --> 00:57:44.297 has 25 expert editors and one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00:57:44.297 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.646$ them and has about 380 authors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00:57:46.646 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.544$ and these authors include not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00:57:49.544 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.966$ hematopathologist, but they have clinicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

00:57:51.966 --> 00:57:53.130 hematologists, oncologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00:57:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.850$ We got, geneticists, epidemiologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00{:}57{:}55.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}00.240$ All of them are authors. Most of the major.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836637875

 $00:58:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.880$ Major chapters have got.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00{:}58{:}05.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}08.665$ Otters are beyond just being

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

00:58:08.665 --> 00:58:10.680 hematopathologist and across these I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00:58:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.948$ these 380 authors come from 31 different

00:58:13.948 --> 00:58:16.732 countries, so there's a much wider

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

00:58:16.732 --> 00:58:18.440 representation of across the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00.58:18.440 \longrightarrow 00.58:20.728$ so the the timelines and the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00:58:20.728 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.840$ we are progressing. This was fast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

00:58:22.840 --> 00:58:24.925 We, you know, the editorial board,

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00:58:24.925 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.055$ at least the editorial board members

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00.58:27.055 \longrightarrow 00.58:28.808$ were contacted. In February,

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00:58:28.808 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.048$ we had a very pre meet in April 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00{:}58{:}32.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}33.504$ That was the first time we got

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00:58:33.504 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.870$ to know who were the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

00:58:34.870 --> 00:58:37.714 In total board members and then we had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

00:58:37.714 --> 00:58:40.407 content meeting which happened in November,

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00{:}58{:}40.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}42.410$ so part of it we could not complete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00{:}58{:}42.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}44.545$ So the T cell lymphomas could not

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

00:58:44.545 --> 00:58:46.332 be discussed because we had three

00:58:46.332 --> 00:58:48.229 full days 12 hour meetings each day

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00{:}58{:}48.289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}50.369$ for three days and we are still left

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00:58:50.369 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.750$ with some chapters which were left

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00:58:52.750 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.540$ and that's being discussed next week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00:58:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:58:58.060$ And I mean that was the November

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00:58:58.060 \longrightarrow 00:58:58.794$ 2224 meeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00:58:58.794 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.996$ So we have a third editorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00:59:00.996 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.780$ meeting in in January,

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00:59:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.377$ but we are likely to have another

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

00:59:05.377 --> 00:59:07.572 meeting sometime in in spring and

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00{:}59{:}07.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}09.924$ we are hoping that the towards the

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00:59:09.997 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.181$ end of the of the year we will

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00:59:12.181 \longrightarrow 00:59:14.119$ have the publication coming out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

00:59:14.119 --> 00:59:16.897 So possibly by October November we

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

00:59:16.897 --> 00:59:19.068 should be having the 5th edition

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

00:59:19.068 --> 00:59:21.730 of The Who classification.

 $00:59:21.730 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.922$ I'll stop that and I'm happy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.673802238333333

 $00:59:24.922 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.834$ take questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930480176363637

00:59:28.200 --> 00:59:29.502 Sorry, I think I took a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.930480176363637

 $00:59:29.502 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.260$ longer than I expected. I would thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.769444279

00:59:32.270 --> 00:59:35.056 you. So thank you so much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.769444279

 $00:59:35.056 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.890$ that overview and really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769444279

 $00:59:36.890 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.080$ really fascinating case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769444279

 $00:59:39.080 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.496$ Needed cases. Allow all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.769444279

 $00{:}59{:}42.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}45.756$ people currently onto to essence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769444279

00:59:45.760 --> 00:59:46.686 especially trainees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769444279

 $00.59:46.686 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.132$ Please feel free to pop up

NOTE Confidence: 0.769444279

 $00:59:49.132 \longrightarrow 00:59:50.316$ with question with question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786050025

 $00:59:55.670 \longrightarrow 00:59:58.256$ See, there's something on the chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786050025

 $00:59:58.260 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.600$ see if there's any question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786050025

 $00:59:59.600 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.658$ Those oh those are CME credits. OK so I have

 $01:00:04.690 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.876$ a question why that was a great talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.670838205

 $01{:}00{:}07.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}09.500$ I really appreciated that

NOTE Confidence: 0.897798416

 $01:00:09.510 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.020$ my my question is about

NOTE Confidence: 0.745629577222222

 $01:00:11.650 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.650$ you know the latency versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.745629577222222

01:00:13.650 --> 01:00:15.250 lytic cycles of EBV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745629577222222

01:00:15.250 --> 01:00:17.469 and that how that plays into lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745629577222222

01:00:17.470 --> 01:00:19.950 Genesis, and I know historically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745629577222222

 $01:00:19.950 \longrightarrow 01:00:22.110$ we've always talking about these latency

NOTE Confidence: 0.7456295772222222

 $01{:}00{:}22.110 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}25.052$ programs and eborn Debnath course or latency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745629577222222

 $01:00:25.052 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.000$ Genes, but recently there's been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.745629577222222

 $01{:}00{:}27.000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}29.020$ lot more suggestion that the lytic

NOTE Confidence: 0.745629577222222

 $01:00:29.020 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.192$ replication of the virus in the near

NOTE Confidence: 0.745629577222222

01:00:31.192 --> 01:00:34.340 term before you know diagnosis is actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745629577222222

01:00:34.340 --> 01:00:37.300 you know, very relevant for

NOTE Confidence: 0.745629577222222

 $01:00:37.300 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.361$ for lymphoma Genesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745629577222222

01:00:38.361 --> 01:00:40.769 So just your thoughts on sort of how

 $01:00:40.769 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.436$ that sort of might integrate into

NOTE Confidence: 0.745629577222222

 $01{:}00{:}43.436 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}45.520$ these classifications or pathogenesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72471833

01:00:45.980 --> 01:00:48.885 Yeah, good that you asked the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72471833

 $01:00:48.890 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.378$ if you use the one you see proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.72471833

 $01:00:52.378 \longrightarrow 01:00:55.750$ of cells which are in the lytic phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72471833

 $01:00:55.750 \longrightarrow 01:00:58.228$ And the question that comes up is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72471833

 $01:00:58.230 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.286$ Those cells which are in the lytic phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72471833

 $01:01:00.290 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.430$ Can they infect other cells?

NOTE Confidence: 0.72471833

 $01:01:03.430 \longrightarrow 01:01:06.772$ And can you have multiple EBV

NOTE Confidence: 0.72471833

 $01:01:06.772 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.643$ positive lymphoma clones occurring

NOTE Confidence: 0.72471833

01:01:09.643 --> 01:01:12.727 within a particular tumor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.72471833

01:01:12.730 --> 01:01:15.810 In most of the. B cell lymphoma's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72471833

 $01{:}01{:}15.810 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}17.770$ At least people have not much work

NOTE Confidence: 0.72471833

 $01:01:17.826 \longrightarrow 01:01:19.286$ has actually happened, though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72471833

 $01:01:19.286 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.654$ People have shown that there is a subset

 $01:01:21.654 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.038$ of cells which are in the lytic phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72471833

 $01:01:24.040 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.556$ But how that would impinge on

NOTE Confidence: 0.72471833

 $01:01:26.556 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.886$ the evolution of the tuba.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72471833

 $01:01:28.890 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.829$ I think it is far from clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

 $01:01:33.970 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.634$ I'm probably the lytic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

01:01:35.634 --> 01:01:37.714 Phase is important also because

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

 $01:01:37.714 \longrightarrow 01:01:40.079$ if you follow these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

01:01:40.080 --> 01:01:42.782 It is only latent TB you wouldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

 $01{:}01{:}42.782 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}45.531$ see arising EBV viral level in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

 $01:01:45.531 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.662$ serum and the very fact that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

 $01{:}01{:}47.662 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}49.584$ plasma levels keep increasing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

 $01:01:49.584 \longrightarrow 01:01:51.614$ correlates with the tumor load.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

 $01:01:51.620 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.446$ It means that there is a subset of cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

 $01:01:54.446 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.018$ where they are lytic infected exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

01:01:57.020 --> 01:01:59.228 Yeah and also the fascinating

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

 $01:01:59.228 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.174$ question also comes up is if

 $01:02:01.174 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.092$ there was a way to convert the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

 $01:02:03.092 \longrightarrow 01:02:04.838$ latent virus into a lytic virus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

01:02:04.840 --> 01:02:07.171 Can you steal the kill the lymphoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

01:02:07.171 --> 01:02:09.260 cell instead of treating patient with

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

 $01:02:09.260 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.660$ all the chemotherapeutic agents?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

 $01:02:10.660 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.300$ If you can just trigger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

01:02:12.300 --> 01:02:14.826 And change the latency into lytic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

 $01:02:14.830 \longrightarrow 01:02:16.295$ or whether the baby itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

 $01:02:16.295 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.760$ can kill the tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

 $01:02:17.760 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.335$ But that has been just

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

01:02:19.335 --> 01:02:20.280 a fascinating question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846749167857143

 $01:02:20.280 \longrightarrow 01:02:21.486$ but nobody has ever done it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8756418625

01:02:24.470 --> 01:02:27.240 Thank you, thank you. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.738617702428571

 $01:02:27.250 \dashrightarrow 01:02:29.336$ have a question. This is Jeff Squire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863906725

 $01:02:31.250 \longrightarrow 01:02:33.938$ You described the mutations

01:02:33.940 --> 01:02:36.099 in EBV in EBV genome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717284165428571

 $01:02:36.340 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.015$ in Burkitt's. And wondering whether there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7224809144

 $01:02:40.030 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.370$ mutations? Found another

NOTE Confidence: 0.7224809144

01:02:42.370 --> 01:02:44.820 EBV associated lymphomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.87892495

 $01:02:45.490 \longrightarrow 01:02:48.920$ and also you described cytokine

NOTE Confidence: 0.87892495

01:02:48.920 --> 01:02:50.412 suppression in Burkitt's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87892495

01:02:50.412 --> 01:02:52.818 and I wonder how widespread that

NOTE Confidence: 0.960165116666667

 $01:02:52.830 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.830$ is among other. Yeah, that's us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960165116666667

01:02:56.830 --> 01:02:59.050 Regarding the Abner 3B mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960165116666667

 $01:02:59.050 \longrightarrow 01:03:00.037$ which we showed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960165116666667

 $01{:}03{:}00.037 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}02.340$ we showed that across not just Burkitt

NOTE Confidence: 0.960165116666667

 $01:03:02.399 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.660$ lymphoma but also in diffuse large B

NOTE Confidence: 0.960165116666667

 $01:03:04.660 \longrightarrow 01:03:07.411$ cell lymphoma and in post transplant

NOTE Confidence: 0.960165116666667

01:03:07.411 --> 01:03:09.400 lymphoproliferative disorders so that actors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960165116666667

 $01:03:09.400 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.568$ even outside of the bucket, look firmer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960165116666667

01:03:11.568 --> 01:03:13.488 Whereas this cytokine thing that

 $01:03:13.488 \longrightarrow 01:03:16.436$ I showed you was purely in in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.960165116666667

 $01:03:16.436 \longrightarrow 01:03:19.900$ mouse model we have not been able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.960165116666667

 $01{:}03{:}20.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}23.180$ show SL 10 differences in patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858680108571429

01:03:27.170 --> 01:03:29.240 OK, so that's a rather dramatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.858680108571429

 $01:03:29.240 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.846$ finding, so it seems to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.858680108571429

 $01{:}03{:}31.846 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}33.340$ consistent mutation and ended

NOTE Confidence: 0.460836098

 $01:03:35.520 \longrightarrow 01:03:36.670$ 3833 B mutations we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.460836098

 $01:03:36.670 \longrightarrow 01:03:38.170$ We have been able to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.460836098

 $01:03:38.170 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.620$ outside of the work as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.708532458571429

 $01:03:39.690 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.690$ and and how. How prevalent

NOTE Confidence: 0.708532458571429

 $01:03:41.690 \longrightarrow 01:03:43.190$ is that? Is it a friend?

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

01:03:43.680 --> 01:03:46.102 Most cases? Yeah, we evaluated in about

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

 $01{:}03{:}46.102 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}48.807$ 40 cases and if I remember correctly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

 $01:03:48.810 \longrightarrow 01:03:51.974$ More than half of them had mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

 $01:03:51.974 \longrightarrow 01:03:54.239$ and these patients were from.

 $01:03:54.240 \longrightarrow 01:03:55.520$ All over the world now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

 $01:03:55.520 \longrightarrow 01:03:57.968$ I mean, we had patients from

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

01:03:57.968 --> 01:04:00.232 Australia to UK to Africa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

 $01:04:00.232 \longrightarrow 01:04:01.621$ collected from different

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

 $01:04:01.621 \longrightarrow 01:04:04.172$ parts of the world and also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

01:04:04.172 --> 01:04:06.098 One of The thing is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

01:04:06.100 --> 01:04:09.370 Recently my my friend Lorenzo Lucchini.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

 $01:04:09.370 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.950$ He showed a variety of lymphomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

01:04:11.950 --> 01:04:14.538 where using RNA scope they could

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

 $01:04:14.538 \longrightarrow 01:04:17.268$ show remnants of EB virus even even

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

 $01{:}04{:}17.268 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}20.217$ in those which were ever negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

 $01:04:20.220 \longrightarrow 01:04:21.875$ So there is a possibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

01:04:21.875 --> 01:04:23.199 not only mutations occur,

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

 $01:04:23.200 \longrightarrow 01:04:24.752$ but eventually many tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

 $01:04:24.752 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.692$ may lose the baby virus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

 $01:04:26.700 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.765$ So what we currently consider

 $01:04:28.765 \longrightarrow 01:04:30.417$ as EBV negative disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

 $01:04:30.420 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.572$ a subset of them may not be be

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

 $01:04:32.572 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.250$ negative when from inception, yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733068255714286

 $01:04:36.250 \longrightarrow 01:04:37.539$ Thank you, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851152315

 $01:04:45.740 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.564$ So I think we're over 6 minutes over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851152315

01:04:48.570 --> 01:04:52.188 I just wanted to thank you so much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.613557415714286

 $01:04:52.520 \longrightarrow 01:04:55.208$ took a little long I could have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.613557415714286

 $01{:}04{:}55.210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}58.080$ Cut down on my great thank you. Thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.769622185

 $01:04:58.810 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.838$ to the next meeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7166406825

01:05:00.290 --> 01:05:03.410 Thank you, thank you. Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763318403333333

 $01:05:03.440 \longrightarrow 01:05:03.998$ Thank you, bye.