WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:11:19"

NOTE recognizability:0.849

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:00:04.070 --> 00:00:05.942 Well, good afternoon everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00:00:05.942 \rightarrow 00:00:08.750$ and thank you for joining us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00:00:08.835 \rightarrow 00:00:11.420$ today in pathology grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00{:}00{:}11.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.031$ It is my great honor to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00{:}00{:}14.031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}16.300$ our grand round speaker today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:00:16.300 --> 00:00:18.682 Doctor Dhanpat Jain and I'd like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00{:}00{:}18.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.985$ to go into some detail about Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:00:21.985 \rightarrow 00:00:25.160$ Jane and his many accomplishments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00{:}00{:}25.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.904$ So Doctor Jane went to medical school at

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:00:27.904 --> 00:00:31.140 Mysore Medical College in Mysore, Karnataka.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:00:31.140 --> 00:00:33.530 Sorry if I murder in the name India

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00{:}00{:}33.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}35.872$ and did his pathology training at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00{:}00{:}35.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.872$ the Institute of Medical Education

00:00:37.872 --> 00:00:40.400 and Research at Chandigarh, India.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00{:}00{:}40{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44{.}274$ Before coming to Yale in 1995,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:00:44.274 --> 00:00:47.718 first as a GI pathology fellow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00:00:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.452$ Recruited by Brian West,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:00:49.452 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.617$ who many of you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00:00:51.620 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.412$ And this was only a year after

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00:00:53.412 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.451$ I had joined the faculty in 1994

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:00:55.451 \rightarrow 00:00:57.677$ as a GI and liver pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00{:}00{:}57.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59.549$ When Dan Pat hit the scene as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00:00:59.549 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.840$ a GI pathology fellow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00:01:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.700$ he was already a highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00{:}01{:}03.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04.844$ accomplished diagnostician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:01:04.850 \dashrightarrow 00:01:08.810$ And we it was immediately recognized as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:01:08.810 \rightarrow 00:01:11.977$ highly valuable asset to the department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00:01:11.980 \dashrightarrow 00:01:15.980$ He he joined the AP CP Anatomic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00:01:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.882$ clinical pathology residency after his

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- $00:01:18.882 \rightarrow 00:01:21.674$ one year fellowship and that is was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- $00:01:21.674 \rightarrow 00:01:23.490$ a four year residency at the time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- 00:01:23.490 00:01:26.668 However, when we had an opening on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- 00:01:26.668 --> 00:01:30.046 the GI faculty arrived sort of almost,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- 00:01:30.046 --> 00:01:30.890 you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- 00:01:30.890 --> 00:01:33.256 One year before he was to finish
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- $00:01:33.256 \dashrightarrow 00:01:35.449$ the CP component of his training.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- $00:01:35.450 \dashrightarrow 00:01:37.794$ I and I think it was me convinced
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- 00:01:37.794 --> 00:01:40.327 him to cut short his CP training
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- $00:01:40.327 \rightarrow 00:01:41.823$ to join the faculty.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- 00:01:41.830 --> 00:01:44.042 I basically begged him to do this and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- 00:01:44.042 --> 00:01:45.754 told John Morrow that chair at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- $00{:}01{:}45.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.676$ time that this is what we needed to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- $00:01:47.676 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.240$ do and Dan Pat joined the faculty in 1999.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- $00:01:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.652$ The rest is history,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

- $00:01:52.652 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.770$ so over the past 22 years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- $00{:}01{:}54.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.354$ Dr.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- $00:01:55.354 \rightarrow 00:02:00.026$ Jane has been and is a dynamic diagnostician.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- 00:02:00.030 --> 00:02:01.082 Outstanding educator,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- $00:02:01.082 \rightarrow 00:02:03.186$ clinical researcher and thought
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- $00:02:03.186 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.695$ leader in the field of GI and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- $00:02:06.695 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.027$ especially liver pathology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- $00:02:08.030 \rightarrow 00:02:10.942$ He has been a professor of pathology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- $00:02:10.942 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.060$ and internal medicine since 2013,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- $00:02:13.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.074$ and graciously accepted the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- $00{:}02{:}16.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18.702$ directorship of both the program in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- 00:02:18.702 --> 00:02:21.400 GI Pathology and the GI pathology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- $00:02:21.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.010$ Fellowship from me in 2000.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- 00:02:25.010 --> 00:02:25.758 In 2011,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- $00:02:25.758 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.002$ when I stepped back from those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- $00:02:28.002 \rightarrow 00:02:30.127$ rules for a period of time.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- $00:02:30.130 \rightarrow 00:02:33.469$ And he brought a vision and energy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- $00:02:33.469 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.137$ to the goals of our unit that was.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- $00:02:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.038$ Successful and exciting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- $00:02:40.040 \rightarrow 00:02:42.156$ With seemingly inexhaustible energy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- 00:02:42.156 --> 00:02:44.272 Doctor Jane pursues excellence
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- $00:02:44.272 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.339$ in all that he does,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- $00:02:46.340 \rightarrow 00:02:49.460$ both in academic and administrative roles,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- $00:02:49.460 \rightarrow 00:02:51.788$ to highlight some of his accomplishments.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- $00:02:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.674$ They include winning three
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- $00:02:53.674 \rightarrow 00:02:55.558$ teaching awards from Yale,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- $00:02:55.560 \rightarrow 00:02:57.300$ 2 from pathology residents,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- $00{:}02{:}57{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}00{.}620$ and one from the clinical GI Fellows.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- $00{:}03{:}00{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02{.}425$ He has been twice nominated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667
- $00{:}03{:}02{.}425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04{.}802$ for the David Leffell Award for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667
- $00:03:04.802 \rightarrow 00:03:06.796$ Clinical Excellence very recently.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:03:06.796 \rightarrow 00:03:10.247$ He is well known for his diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

00:03:10.247 --> 00:03:12.719 expertise being a go to person

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:03:12.719 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.190$ to whom to show difficult cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:03:15.190 \rightarrow 00:03:18.100$ And with respect to academic focus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:03:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.158$ has a love really for all things

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00{:}03{:}20{.}158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22{.}309$ liver that is reflected in a body

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:03:22.309 \rightarrow 00:03:24.609$ of work on the pathology of chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:03:24.609 \rightarrow 00:03:26.749$ liver diseases and tumors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:03:26.749 \rightarrow 00:03:28.461$ especially on liver fibrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936654842666667

 $00:03:28.470 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.470$ about which we will hear today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00{:}03{:}31{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34{.}014$ But in addition to this focus on liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00:03:34.020 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.830$ for which he's widely known,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9366548426666667

 $00:03:35.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.900$ Dan Pat is that rare breed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00{:}03{:}37{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40{.}480$ Almost a throwback throwback to an

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00{:}03{:}40{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43{.}632$ earlier era in that he maintains his

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:03:43.632 \rightarrow 00:03:46.338$ curiosity about all aspects of GI,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369
- $00:03:46.340 \rightarrow 00:03:49.292$ medicine and pathology such that his
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369
- $00{:}03{:}49{.}292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52{.}290$ publications and lectures span the entire
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369
- $00:03:52.290 \rightarrow 00:03:54.675$ breadth of inflammatory and neoplastic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369
- $00:03:54.675 \dashrightarrow 00:03:57.358$ diseases of the entire GI tract.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369
- $00:03:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.010$ He is also widely successful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369
- $00:04:00.010 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.600$ collaborator with preeminent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369
- $00:04:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.938$ scientists at Yale and beyond.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369
- $00{:}04{:}03{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07{.}027$ And he has taken on the organization
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369
- $00{:}04{:}07{.}027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}08{.}859$ and senior editorial duties
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369
- $00:04:08.859 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.989$ of two major GI textbooks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369
- 00:04:10.990 --> 00:04:13.000 As a recognized key opinion
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369
- 00:04:13.000 --> 00:04:14.608 leader in liver pathology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369
- 00:04:14.610 --> 00:04:16.997 Dr Jane has been an invited speaker
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369
- 00:04:16.997 --> 00:04:19.276 in most continents and is soon to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369
- $00{:}04{:}19{.}276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}21{.}058$ become the President of the Hans
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

00:04:21.123 --> 00:04:23.207 Proper Hepatic Pathology Society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.744$ as well as serving on the national

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

00:04:25.744 --> 00:04:27.306 newly formed National Accreditation

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00{:}04{:}27.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.748$ Program for Rectal Cancer and serving

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

00:04:29.748 --> 00:04:32.618 as a member of the Cancer Committee NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

00:04:32.618 --> 00:04:34.982 of the College of American Pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00{:}04{:}34{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}37{.}496$ He's also on the editorial Board of

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:37.496 \dashrightarrow 00:04:39.795$ Human Pathology and numerous other

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:39.795 \rightarrow 00:04:42.099$ prestigious pathology cancer journals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00{:}04{:}42.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}44.278$ But just so you don't think he is all

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:44.278 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.379$ work and no play on a personal note,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

00:04:46.380 --> 00:04:49.670 Dan Pat is also known for his

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00{:}04{:}49{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54{.}290$ sometimes sharp sense of humor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:04:54.290 \rightarrow 00:04:58.270$ His many fun parties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00{:}04{:}58{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01{.}440$ And he's an excellent cook,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:05:01.440 \rightarrow 00:05:03.744$ and one of his hidden talents is painting,

 $00:05:03.750 \rightarrow 00:05:05.367$ and I've had the privilege of seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.892852338947369

 $00:05:05.367 \dashrightarrow 00:05:07.117$ some of his works over the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00:05:09.420 \rightarrow 00:05:11.079$ In addition to all of this though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00:05:11.080 \rightarrow 00:05:13.636$ Dan Pat has been a reliable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00:05:13.640 \rightarrow 00:05:15.844$ available and extremely hardworking

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

00:05:15.844 --> 00:05:19.910 colleague of mine for almost 3 decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00{:}05{:}19{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23{.}198$ And in many ways we have grown up

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00:05:23.198 \rightarrow 00:05:25.344$ together establishing our careers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00:05:25.344 \dashrightarrow 00:05:27.834$ raising children at the same time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00:05:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.354$ And for that I am very grateful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00:05:31.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.944$ So without further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

00:05:32.944 --> 00:05:36.148 I now give you doctor Dhanpat Jain who

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00{:}05{:}36{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39{.}140$ will speak to us today on liver fibrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927773357142857

 $00{:}05{:}39{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40{.}948$ past, present and future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00{:}05{:}42.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45.538$ Thank you Maria for that really

 $00:05:45.538 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.970$ nice and elaborate introduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

00:05:47.970 -> 00:05:51.010 and the very kind remarks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:05:51.010 \dashrightarrow 00:05:53.224$ It's been really a great honor

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:05:53.224 \rightarrow 00:05:55.489$ and privilege to work with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00{:}05{:}55{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}073$ GI team here worked with you and

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00{:}05{:}58{.}073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00{.}845$ and you know be a leader for the NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:00.845 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.912$ team of four past many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:04.912 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.164$ And I also knew when I took up

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00{:}06{:}08{.}164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09{.}864$ the leadership from you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:09.864 \dashrightarrow 00:06:12.108$ you know this is something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:12.108 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.478$ is going to be challenging and

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:14.478 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.650$ and it was going to be hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:16.650 \rightarrow 00:06:20.862$ But having great colleagues and very

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:20.862 \rightarrow 00:06:25.188$ talented team members made it all easy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

00:06:25.190 --> 00:06:27.818 And today when I look back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:27.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.571$ I feel this was one of the nicest

 $00:06:30.571 \rightarrow 00:06:33.459$ things or fun thing that I was able

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:33.459 \dashrightarrow 00:06:36.624$ to accomplish in my career so far so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

00:06:36.630 --> 00:06:39.800 With that I will start going

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

00:06:39.800 --> 00:06:41.300 into today's talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816753604

 $00:06:41.300 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.370$ which is about liver fibrosis and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}06{:}48{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}456$ OK, so today I'm going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}06{:}52{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55{.}156$ why if I process is so important in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}06{:}55{.}156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57{.}649$ liver diseases and what are the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}06{:}57{.}649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00{.}011$ methods to assess the fibrosis with

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:07:00.011 --> 00:07:02.546 some historical perspective impact of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}07{:}02.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.836$ various different etiologies on how

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:07:04.836 --> 00:07:07.660 we assess fibrosis in liver and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}07{:}07{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09{.}660$ various methods of fibrous evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}07{:}09.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.700$ Uh, we talked briefly about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:07:11.700 --> 00:07:13.565 end stage fibrosis or cirrhosis

00:07:13.565 --> 00:07:15.755 and assessment of its severity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:07:15.760 --> 00:07:18.959 and then also briefly talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:18.959 \dashrightarrow 00:07:21.980$ progression of fibrosis and how do we NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:21.980 \dashrightarrow 00:07:24.246$ assess regression in liver and towards NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:07:24.246 --> 00:07:28.138 the end I will touch upon some of the NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:07:28.138 --> 00:07:31.024 evolving technologies that are going to NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}07{:}31{.}024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34{.}739$ shape how the liver biopsy is might be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}07{:}34.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36.480$ Perceived in future and what kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}07{:}36{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39{.}550$ of role they will play in the future

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}07{:}39{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43{.}070$ of hepatology and liver pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:43.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.940$ So the first thing is so why

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:45.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.170$ fibrosis so important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:47.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.052$ Liver right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:07:48.052 --> 00:07:49.816 Fibrosis occurs in virtually

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:07:49.816 --> 00:07:52.070 every tissue in every organ,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:52.070 \rightarrow 00:07:55.046$ but it is very very critical in terms

 $00:07:55.046 \rightarrow 00:07:57.729$ of various chronic disorders in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:07:57.730 \dashrightarrow 00:08:02.058$ liver and that is for a few reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}08{:}02{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04{.}531$ I know that in the audience there

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}08{:}04{.}531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06{.}976$ are many people who are not aware

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:06.976 \rightarrow 00:08:08.942$ of the nuances of liver Histology

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:08.942 \rightarrow 00:08:09.908$ and liver diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:09.908 \rightarrow 00:08:12.739$ so I will go over some of the very

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:12.739 \rightarrow 00:08:15.120$ basics just to make sure that everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}08{:}15{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18{.}448$ understands some of the issues that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:08:18.448 --> 00:08:20.440 I'm going to discuss about and some of NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}08{:}20{.}494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22{.}336$ the nuances of the liberal pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:08:22.340 --> 00:08:27.034 So here there's a Histology of normal NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00:08:27.034 \rightarrow 00:08:29.938$ liver which highlights couple few of NOTTE C = 6.1 = 0.002210C9

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

 $00{:}08{:}29{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32{.}508$ the normal structures that are very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868

00:08:32.510 --> 00:08:34.510 Critical in terms of understanding

 $00:08:36.110 \dashrightarrow 00:08:38.160$ says here you can see the central NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868 00:08:38.160 --> 00:08:40.600 vein and the portal tracks at the NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868 $00:08:40.600 \rightarrow 00:08:42.925$ corner of this imaginary hexagon, NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868 $00:08:42.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.882$ which is the functional unit of NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868 $00{:}08{:}45{.}882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48{.}985$ the liver and one of the key NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868 $00:08:48.985 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.100$ things to understand is that. NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868 00:08:51.100 -> 00:08:53.782 Does blood supply of the liver NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868 $00:08:53.782 \dashrightarrow 00:08:55.954$ comes through two major channels? NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868 $00:08:55.954 \rightarrow 00:08:58.258$ The portal venous system and the NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868 $00:08:58.258 \rightarrow 00:09:00.524$ hepatic artery which have the terminal NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868 $00:09:00.524 \rightarrow 00:09:02.635$ branches in the portal track and NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868 $00:09:02.635 \rightarrow 00:09:04.410$ these empty they're plugged into NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868 00:09:04.410 -> 00:09:06.936 the hepatic sinus is where it goes NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868 $00:09:06.936 \rightarrow 00:09:08.676$ through the entire liver lobule NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868 $00:09:08.676 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.834$ to finally reach the hepatic vein 14

 $00:08:34.510 \rightarrow 00:08:36.110$ the pathophysiology of liberty

- NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868
- $00:09:10.834 \rightarrow 00:09:12.922$ mills videos or the central vein,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868
- $00{:}09{:}12{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14{.}988$ and then is drained through their padded
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868
- $00:09:14.988 \dashrightarrow 00:09:16.938$ veins into the inferior vena cava,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868
- $00:09:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.418$ and it is very critical that this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868
- $00:09:19.418 \dashrightarrow 00:09:21.670$ blood flow occurs in this fashion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868
- $00{:}09{:}21.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.240$ Any change in the hepatic blood
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868
- $00:09:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.601$ flow can have severe.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868
- $00:09:27.601 \dashrightarrow 00:09:29.949$ A functional derangements which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868
- $00:09:29.949 \rightarrow 00:09:33.250$ are difficult to see just through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90321868
- $00:09:33.250 \rightarrow 00:09:35.820$ normal routine Histology and and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:09:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.460$ This is illustrated here that has
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:09:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.540$ chronic liver disease progress.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:09:44.540 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.270$ Liver that is injured is gradually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00{:}09{:}47{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}50{.}169$ replaced by fibrosis and this fibrosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00{:}09{:}50{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}52{.}486$ takes place in a certain fashion
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:09:52.486 \rightarrow 00:09:55.220$ and this depends on the etiologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:09:55.220 \rightarrow 00:09:58.111$ Here is depicted what happens typically in

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:09:58.111 \rightarrow 00:10:00.570$ chronic hepatic disorders where the fibrosis NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:00.570 \rightarrow 00:10:02.880$ typically starts from the pole tracks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:02.880 \rightarrow 00:10:04.921$ These are the full tracks, the central veins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00{:}10{:}04{.}921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07{.}363$ the fibrosis from the portal tracks

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:07.363 \rightarrow 00:10:09.736$ extends into the lobular parent Kima,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:09.740 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.828$ forming this kind of thin scepter

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:11.828 \rightarrow 00:10:13.220$ which eventually formed bridges

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:13.278 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.938$ between portal tracks and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00{:}10{:}14.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17.135$ From these bridges extend from

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00{:}10{:}17.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19.330$ pole tracks to Poltrack score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

00:10:19.330 --> 00:10:20.413 Tractor central vein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00{:}10{:}20{.}413 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23{.}502$ What we refer to as the bridging fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:23.502 \rightarrow 00:10:26.430$ and eventually this progresses to forming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:10:26.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.090$ This kind of hepatic nodules.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:10:29.090 \rightarrow 00:10:32.048$ Just surrounded this by 5 perceptor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:10:32.050 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.658$ Now. In this regard,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- 00:10:33.658 --> 00:10:36.070 it is very important to understand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:10:36.153 \rightarrow 00:10:38.788$ that while five processes occurring,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00{:}10{:}38.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40.310$ there's certain loss of hepatic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:10:40.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.526$ current time as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:10:41.530 \rightarrow 00:10:43.498$ But the functional derangement
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:10:43.498 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.958$ that occurs in this setting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00{:}10{:}45{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}48{.}948$ Is far more than the quantitative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:10:48.948 \rightarrow 00:10:50.940$ loss of hepatic parenchyma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:10:50.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.240$ And why is that so?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- 00:10:53.240 --> 00:10:55.767 If one looks at a cirrhotic liver,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00{:}10{:}55{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56{.}870$ the cross is shown here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00{:}10{:}56.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}59.600$ It's a diffuse process, but.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:10:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.480$ If you look at the amount of hepatic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

- $00:11:01.480 \rightarrow 00:11:03.526$ parent comma, there is still present.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:11:03.526 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.708$ It is substantial.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:11:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.228$ In fact,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:11:05.228 \rightarrow 00:11:07.300 \text{ most of us know that you need only}$
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00{:}11{:}07{.}367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}11{.}006$ about 20% of the liver to sustain life.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- 00:11:11.010 --> 00:11:12.950 But once you develop cirrhosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:11:12.950 \rightarrow 00:11:15.114$ actually the functional derangements
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00{:}11{:}15{.}114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}19{.}580$ are much more severe and far
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:11:19.580 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.256$ more than what just the loss
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:11:22.256 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.086$ of parent comma can explain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:11:24.090 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.710$ And what is happening is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00{:}11{:}25.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27.181$ once this fibrosis is developing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- 00:11:27.181 --> 00:11:28.856 and one can see installing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:11:28.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.984$ these are the regenerative nodules of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:11:30.984 \rightarrow 00:11:33.146$ hepatocytes in a static current level
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333
- $00:11:33.146 \rightarrow 00:11:36.760$ which are separated by this receptor.

 $00:11:36.760 \rightarrow 00:11:39.805$ So blood supply and blood flow changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:39.810 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.400$ and as you can see here in this area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:42.400 \rightarrow 00:11:44.880$ the blood flow which was taking place from

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:44.880 \rightarrow 00:11:47.406$ the pole tracks towards the central way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:47.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.455$ Actually because of this fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00{:}11{:}49{.}455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51{.}500$ and formation 5 receptor is

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:51.569 \rightarrow 00:11:53.379$ all diverted in the septum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:53.380 \rightarrow 00:11:56.278$ Where most of the blood bypasses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:56.280 \rightarrow 00:11:59.431$ the hepatic burn came out the lobby and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:11:59.431 \rightarrow 00:12:02.868$ now goes directly into the venous system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867075903333333

 $00:12:02.870 \rightarrow 00:12:07.000$ and this change in hemodynamics has severe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00{:}12{:}09{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12{.}597$ Impact on the function of the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00{:}12{:}12{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14{.}567$ This is shown here in three dimensions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00{:}12{:}14.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}18.147$ where each of the cirrhotic nodules now

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00{:}12{:}18{.}147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21{.}525$ has this vascular shunting of blood which

00:12:21.525 --> 00:12:25.280 is by passing most of the nodule and going

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:12:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.248$ to the periphery and through this fibrous

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00{:}12{:}28.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.684$ SEPTA into the hepatic venous system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:12:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.418$ So this functional aspect of the liver is

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

00:12:33.418 --> 00:12:36.187 difficult to assess on our routine Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00{:}12{:}36{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38{.}956$ What we assess is the fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

00:12:38.960 --> 00:12:41.780 And fibrosis in some sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:12:41.780 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.240$ is the surrogate mass surrogate measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:12:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.227$ of this functional derangement that

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00{:}12{:}46{.}227 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48{.}236$ is occurring in the liver because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00{:}12{:}48.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.510$ all the chronic disease progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00{:}12{:}50{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53{.}430$ And this has been shown where back that

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:12:53.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.469$ as the fibrosis in liver increases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00{:}12{:}56{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01{.}237$ the portal pressures increase and the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:13:01.240 \rightarrow 00:13:03.830$ Goes progressively from nofi process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:13:03.830 \dashrightarrow 00:13:07.890$ Too early fibrosis and sources.

00:13:07.890 --> 00:13:12.126 Uhm, this was also shown that as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00{:}13{:}12{.}126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}14.670$ the fibrosis increase in the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:13:14.670 \rightarrow 00:13:16.920$ There is increased in wedged hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:13:16.920 \rightarrow 00:13:19.245$ venous pressure which is indirect measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.880003628571429

 $00:13:19.245 \rightarrow 00:13:21.958$ of the portal. Pressure and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00{:}13{:}24.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26.770$ This has been shown by many other workers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00:13:26.770 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.310$ That portal pressures are critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00:13:29.310 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.342$ in predicting the prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

00:13:31.350 - 00:13:34.536 Oliver, so that's why the fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00:13:34.536 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.429$ in liver is very critical and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00:13:38.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.430$ virtually all chronic liver diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00:13:40.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.510$ This is probably the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

00:13:42.510 --> 00:13:43.758 important prognostic parameter

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00:13:43.758 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.170$ is irrespective etiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875

 $00{:}13{:}45{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46{.}905$ Certainly it is very important

- 00:13:46.905 --> 00:13:49.060 for viral not only hepatitis B
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875
- $00:13:49.060 \dashrightarrow 00:13:50.825$ repeat DSS and metabolic diseases,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875
- 00:13:50.830 --> 00:13:53.206 including Nash or alcoholic liver disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875
- $00:13:53.210 \rightarrow 00:13:55.310$ but even for vascular disorders.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875
- $00:13:55.310 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.162$ We're made,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875
- $00:13:56.162 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.866$ I'm sure portal hypertension
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875
- $00{:}13{:}57.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59.981$ even without five process once
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875
- $00:13:59.981 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.670$ fibrosis sets in the disease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9057800875
- $00{:}14{:}01{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03{.}010$ progresses even more rapidly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375
- $00:14:05.300 \rightarrow 00:14:07.128$ And as I explained.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375
- $00{:}14{:}07{.}128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09{.}870$ Development of fibrosis or this car
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375
- $00{:}14{:}09{.}959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13{.}619$ certainly represents loss of hepatic friend,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375
- $00{:}14{:}13.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17.246$ comma or functional reserve of the liver.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375
- $00{:}14{:}17.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20.232$ But impact is far more because of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375
- $00:14:20.232 \rightarrow 00:14:22.693$ the vascular shunting and hemodynamic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375
- $00:14:22.693 \rightarrow 00:14:25.458$ alterations that are taking place.

 $00:14:25.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.924$ And this is sort of depicted in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:14:27.924 \rightarrow 00:14:30.168$ various staging system that were developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}14{:}30{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32{.}880$ for assessing fibrosis in liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:14:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.220$ This is for chronic hepatitis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}14{:}36{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38{.}439$ where as I mentioned earlier the fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}14{:}38{.}439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}40{.}400$ starts from pole tracks extending

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}14{:}40{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42{.}296$ into periportal hepatic parenchyma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:14:42.300 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.772$ And then forming bridges and finally

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}14{:}44.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47.270$ cirrhosis as this fibrosis progressing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}14{:}47{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}50{.}186$ the portal pressures are also increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}14{:}50{.}186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53{.}153$ and at certain stage of the stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}14{:}53{.}153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}56{.}530$ three late stage three or stage four

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}14{:}56{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58{.}650$ you develop portal hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:14:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.000$ Now this pattern of fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}15{:}00{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}808$ This is depicted here for chronic

 $00:15:02.808 \rightarrow 00:15:05.010$ hepatitis varies from disease to disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

00:15:05.010 - 00:15:05.650 For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:15:05.650 \rightarrow 00:15:07.890$ if we talk about Billy disorders like

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

00:15:07.890 --> 00:15:11.520 primary skills in college, ID's or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

00:15:11.520 --> 00:15:15.548 Primary period cholangitis So if I

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:15:15.548 \rightarrow 00:15:17.228$ process starts predominantly from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

00:15:17.228 --> 00:15:19.620 portal tracks and then forms portal portal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}15{:}19.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.005$ bridging scepter and progresses to

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

00:15:22.005 --> 00:15:24.962 cirrhosis whereas in Nash or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}15{:}24.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27.280$ alcoholic liver disease the fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00{:}15{:}27{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29{.}800$ tends to prefer the precentral regions

NOTE Confidence: 0.829981044375

 $00:15:29.800 \rightarrow 00:15:32.789$ in the early stages and that's why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}15{:}35{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37{.}482$ You know many different staging system

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:15:37.482 \rightarrow 00:15:39.729$ for this fibrosis have been developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}15{:}39.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.669$ which are more disease specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:15:41.670 \rightarrow 00:15:44.364$ There are many staging systems available

 $00:15:44.364 \rightarrow 00:15:47.310$ for chronic hepatitis that are listed here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:15:47.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.282$ Similarly, they are staging

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:15:49.282 \rightarrow 00:15:51.254$ systems for beauty diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:15:51.260 \rightarrow 00:15:53.100$ For non alcoholic steatohepatitis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

00:15:53.100 - 00:15:55.400 And even for alcoholic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}15{:}55{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57{.}542$ which many people are not familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}15{:}57{.}542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59{.}676$ with because the staging system was

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}15{:}59.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01.232$ never used in clinical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}16{:}01{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03{.}468$ But there is a staging system or

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:16:03.468 \rightarrow 00:16:05.118$ even for alcoholic liver disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}16{:}05{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06{.}630$ and there are staging systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}16{:}06{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08{.}140$ that have been recently proposed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}16{:}08{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09{.}571$ for given congestive hepatopathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

00:16:09.571 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:16:12.433 and all these staging systems take

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}16{:}12.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15.320$ various nuances of the specific disease

 $00:16:15.320 \rightarrow 00:16:18.482$ entity and how the fibrosis progresses

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}16{:}18{.}482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21{.}788$ in this disease entity to account

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}16{:}21.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.258$ for the differences among themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:16:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.860$ So. Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:16:27.860 \rightarrow 00:16:30.596$ What this does is that now we have multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:16:30.596 \rightarrow 00:16:32.740$ different systems for assessing fibrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:16:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.310$ even from the same disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

00:16:35.310 --> 00:16:37.536 And if one looks at many

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00{:}16{:}37{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}39{.}020$ of these staging systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876431258823529

 $00:16:39.020 \rightarrow 00:16:41.140$ it is very clear that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00{:}16{:}43.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.212$ While the advanced stages of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:16:46.212 \rightarrow 00:16:48.480$ the systems are fairly similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:16:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.480$ there are more nuances and differences in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:16:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.918$ earlier stages of the particular disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00{:}16{:}53{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55{.}876$ But the practical problem remains that

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:16:55.876 \rightarrow 00:16:57.862$ when you have multiple different systems

00:16:57.862 --> 00:17:00.342 to use in a clinical practice or trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:17:00.350 \rightarrow 00:17:03.638$ it becomes very difficult to compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00{:}17{:}03.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07.423$ reports from different places or compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:17:07.423 \rightarrow 00:17:09.688$ different studies or compare clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:17:09.688 \rightarrow 00:17:12.530$ trials where people might have different

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00{:}17{:}12.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}17.250$ staging systems in that particular study so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:17:17.250 \rightarrow 00:17:18.850$ And while we are talking about this one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:17:18.850 \rightarrow 00:17:21.748$ it was very clear that actually having

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00{:}17{:}21.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.183$ a universal system for fibrosis in

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00:17:24.183 \rightarrow 00:17:27.650$ liver would be very, very useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00{:}17{:}27.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31.378$ And we started the this work in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.881971012727273

 $00{:}17{:}31{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34{.}520$ of our residents. Gabriel Lerner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}17{:}36{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39{.}246$ Took on this project and I like his

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}17{:}39{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}42{.}321$ smile on the photograph here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}17{:}42{.}321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47{.}340$ this study was aimed at designing a.

 $00:17:47.340 \rightarrow 00:17:50.034$ Fibrosing staging system taking key features

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}17{:}50{.}034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53{.}220$ from all the systems that are available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:17:53.220 \rightarrow 00:17:56.475$ To make it applicable to any disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:17:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.740$ irrespective etiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:17:58.740 --> 00:18:01.236 And this is an ongoing project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.176$ and in this study actually we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}18{:}05{.}176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07{.}804$ one universal system which was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:07.804 \rightarrow 00:18:10.374$ earlier draft of this staging system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:10.374 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.536$ and we applied this to many

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:13.536 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.590$ different disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}18{:}14{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}18{.}384$ We had the 10 cases of each.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}18{:}18{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20{.}970$ That is a B&C auto mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:18:20.970 --> 00:18:22.638 Hepatitis primary schools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:18:22.638 --> 00:18:23.750 colleges, PVC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:23.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.246$ congestive hepatopathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}18{:}25{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}29{.}724$ Nash and some cases with two two

 $00{:}18{:}29{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32{.}128$ disorders at the same time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}18{:}32.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.536$ then stage these using the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:35.536 \rightarrow 00:18:37.982$ specific staging system and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:37.982 \rightarrow 00:18:40.322$ using our proposed universal fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}18{:}40{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}43{.}018$ scoring system and found that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:18:43.018 --> 00:18:46.004 function or or work almost in

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:46.004 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.338$ identical fashion in the initial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:48.338 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.436$ Analysis we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:49.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.729$ We found only two cases where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:51.729 \rightarrow 00:18:53.630$ staging systems gave a slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:53.630 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.480$ different result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}18{:}54{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56{.}088$ but when we looked at those

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:18:56.088 \rightarrow 00:18:56.892$ cases more carefully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}18{:}56{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59{.}000$ we realize that actually there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}18{:}59{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01{.}259$ problem in our initial analysis and

 $00:19:01.259 \rightarrow 00:19:03.229$ eventually there was no difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}19{:}03.229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}05.848$ between how they were staged by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:05.848 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.912$ disease specific system and using our

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.352$ universal fibrosis scoring system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:19:10.352 --> 00:19:10.960 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.969$ this is still a work in progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:12.969 \rightarrow 00:19:15.968$ and we are still working with many

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:15.968 \rightarrow 00:19:18.408$ different things on this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}19{:}18{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}20{.}886$ And and the hopefully this will result

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:20.886 \rightarrow 00:19:23.568$ in a manuscript soon and this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}19{:}23.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25.710$ sort of current draft that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:19:25.710 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:19:28.580 We're hoping that this system will not

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:28.580 \rightarrow 00:19:30.970$ only allow to develop an universal system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00:19:30.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.608$ which is which can be used

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

00:19:32.608 --> 00:19:34.410 for a variety of disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182

 $00{:}19{:}34{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37{.}500$ but we'll also add certain additional

- NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182
- $00:19:37.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.614$ features which will further enhance the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182
- 00:19:40.614 --> 00:19:43.524 staging of fibrosis in certain areas,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182
- $00{:}19{:}43.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.570$ particularly stage three and four,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182
- $00{:}19{:}45{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}48{.}870$ where subclassification of these stages can.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182
- 00:19:48.870 --> 00:19:49.181 Uhm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182
- 00:19:49.181 --> 00:19:50.736 you know be clinically helpful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182
- $00:19:50.736 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.213$ and also get rid of some of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182
- $00:19:53.213 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.160$ practical issues that we have in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875937451818182
- $00:19:55.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.080$ our routine clinical practice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00{:}19{:}59{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03{.}764$ However. When we look at this whole
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- 00:20:03.764 --> 00:20:06.309 progression of fibrosis in liver,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- 00:20:06.310 --> 00:20:08.414 as I mentioned earlier,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00{:}20{:}08{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11{.}044$ that increasing fibrosis in chronic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00:20:11.044 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.002$ liver disorders of various etiologies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00:20:14.002 \rightarrow 00:20:17.578$ eventually leads to cirrhosis and cirrhosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

- 00:20:17.578 --> 00:20:19.648 As all of you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00{:}20{:}19.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22{.}961$ has been considered as the end stage
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- 00:20:22.961 --> 00:20:25.609 liver disease for many decades,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00:20:25.610 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.598$ and it was thought that once you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00:20:27.598 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.876$ develop cirrhosis, that's it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00{:}20{:}28.876$ --> $00{:}20{:}32.150$ and there is nothing else that can be done.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00{:}20{:}32{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33{.}644$ And and the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00{:}20{:}33.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}37.976$ There was no further sort of a disease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- 00:20:37.976 --> 00:20:41.360 severity classification understanding of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00{:}20{:}41.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44.560$ Process within this whole process.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- 00:20:44.560 --> 00:20:45.877 However, with time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- 00:20:45.877 00:20:48.072 the concept that cirrhosis may
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- 00:20:48.072 --> 00:20:51.027 not be just one end stage disease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00{:}20{:}51.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55.940$ started evolving and in this field.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- 00:20:55.940 --> 00:20:58.370 Doctor Lupe Garcia saw who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00:20:58.370 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.800$ is a very eminent liver,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- 00:21:00.800 --> 00:21:02.843 hepatologist at Yale,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00:21:02.843 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.458$ has been a pioneer who has really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00{:}21{:}06{.}458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08{.}939$ worked in this area and came up
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00:21:08.939 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.757$ with this idea many years ago
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- 00:21:10.757 --> 00:21:13.305 to me when I was a very junior
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00{:}21{:}13.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17.588$ and young faculty that you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00{:}21{:}17.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19.640$ We understand that the clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00:21:19.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.936$ outcome of patients who have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- 00:21:21.936 --> 00:21:24.068 cirrhosis are very variable,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- 00:21:24.070 --> 00:21:25.730 not only between different technologies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00:21:25.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.250$ but within the same ideology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00{:}21{:}27{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}29{.}890$ so there must be different features
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00:21:29.890 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.619$ within the CD cirrhosis which define
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- 00:21:32.620 --> 00:21:35.848 something called mild and severe cirrhosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925
- $00{:}21{:}35{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}39{.}821$ and her idea was if there are clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:21:39.821 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.506$ parameters which help us differentiate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00{:}21{:}41.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}43.149$ between mild and severe process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00{:}21{:}43{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45{.}614$ there must be logic features that can also NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:21:45.614 \rightarrow 00:21:47.678$ help us differentiate between this smile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00{:}21{:}47.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}50.566$ And see your form of cirrhosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00{:}21{:}50{.}566$ --> $00{:}21{:}54{.}332$ at that time this whole concept that NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

00:21:54.332 --> 00:21:57.839 cirrhosis is reversible was controversial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:21:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.311$ But with time this has become very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00{:}22{:}00{.}311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02{.}439$ clear that fibrosis can trigger

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00{:}22{:}02{.}439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04{.}804$ reverse and cirrhosis can reverse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00{:}22{:}04{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}08{.}230$ So this whole concept of understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00{:}22{:}08{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12{.}310$ mild and severe variants of cirrhosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00:22:12.310 \rightarrow 00:22:14.640$ was critical and very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695156925

 $00{:}22{:}14.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16.166$ And I have to mention that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

00:22:18.300 --> 00:22:22.746 loopy Garcia has been a great mentor

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

 $00:22:22.746 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.252$ for me and and the great collaborator

- NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086
- $00{:}22{:}25{.}252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27{.}220$ and friend, who has been very,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086
- $00:22:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.840$ very instrumental in many of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086
- $00{:}22{:}28{.}901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30{.}756$ studies that I'm going to show you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086
- 00:22:30.760 -> 00:22:33.266 And and her insight and her
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086
- $00{:}22{:}33{.}266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35{.}220$ guidance has been invaluable.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086
- $00{:}22{:}35{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}37{.}152$ This work would not have been done
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086
- $00:22:37.152 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.740$ without her help and guidance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086
- $00{:}22{:}38.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41.692$ So when we were talking about how to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086
- 00:22:41.692 --> 00:22:44.670 kind of divide cirrhosis into this mild
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086
- $00{:}22{:}44.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47.330$ and severe form and we came up with NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086
- $00:22:47.330 \rightarrow 00:22:49.295$ a variety of this logic features that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086
- $00:22:49.295 \rightarrow 00:22:51.639$ we could look into and and then we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086
- $00{:}22{:}51.703$ --> $00{:}22{:}55.099$ designed this study with one of the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086
- $00{:}22{:}55{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56{.}440$ Medical students at that time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086
- 00:22:56.440 --> 00:22:57.194 Satish Gola,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

 $00{:}22{:}57{.}194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00{.}793$ who then went on to become a GI fellow

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

 $00{:}23{:}00{.}793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04{.}272$ and and now is a gastroenterologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

00:23:04.272 --> 00:23:07.812 practicing in Mount Sinai, NY, and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696928086

 $00:23:07.812 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.580$ In that study we looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:13.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.030$ Liver biopsy is that had the hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:17.030 \rightarrow 00:23:19.340$ venous pressure gradient measurements

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.670$ available and looked at the variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}23{:}22.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25.575$ of histologic features that included

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:25.575 \rightarrow 00:23:28.152$ everything the the presence of inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:28.152 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.472$ the presence of degree of

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:29.472 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.957$ status is the presence of iron,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

00:23:30.960 - 00:23:32.020 the presence of portal tracks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

00:23:32.020 --> 00:23:33.720 the loss of central veins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}23{:}33.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35.556$ and characteristic of nodules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}23{:}35{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}39{.}447$ The fiber scepter and try to see which of

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:23:39.447 \rightarrow 00:23:43.270$ these correlated with the HPG measurements.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- 00:23:43.270 -> 00:23:45.736 Which clinically has been shown to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:23:45.736 \rightarrow 00:23:48.170$ correlate very well with the severity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:23:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.939$ of a liver disease and and then.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:23:50.939 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.268$ In this regard,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:23:52.270 \rightarrow 00:23:55.830$ we'll talk a little more later on, but.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- 00:23:55.830 --> 00:23:59.530 Off 6 denotes portal hypertension,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00{:}23{:}59{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}03{.}100$ and it's been shown in studies that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- 00:24:03.100 --> 00:24:06.664 He HPG of 10 is clinically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:24:06.664 \rightarrow 00:24:08.446$ significant portal hypertension,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:24:08.450 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.070$ wherein if patients have HPV of 10
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:24:13.070 \rightarrow 00:24:15.575$ they have significant worse outcomes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00{:}24{:}15{.}575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}18{.}697$ and and chances to become so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:24:18.697 \rightarrow 00:24:23.016$ In this particular study we started various.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- 00:24:23.020 --> 00:24:25.365 Use logic features and correlated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00{:}24{:}25{.}365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}28{.}054$ them with the HPV measurements and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:24:28.054 \rightarrow 00:24:30.118$ after many things that we started,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:24:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.270$ it turned out that. Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}24{:}34{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37{.}084$ So size of the nodules and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:24:37.084 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.573$ width of the scepter had the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}24{:}40{.}573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}43{.}088$ correlation with the HPV measurements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

00:24:43.088 --> 00:24:43.956 In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}24{:}43{.}956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47{.}094$ most of the patients who have small

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

00:24:47.094 --> 00:24:49.794 nodules in liver biopsies or thick

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}24{:}49{.}794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52{.}891$ fibrous SEPTA had clinically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:24:52.891 \rightarrow 00:24:56.459$ portal hypertension, as shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}24{:}56{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59{.}376$ And this is also shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}24{:}59{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}01{.}276$ And when we did the multivariate

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:01.276 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.020$ analysis of the many things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}25{:}03.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.988$ the nodule size and the septal thickness

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:05.988 \rightarrow 00:25:08.312$ remain the only independent features

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:08.312 \rightarrow 00:25:10.942$ that correlated with the clinically

- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:25:10.942 \rightarrow 00:25:12.520$ significant portal hypertension.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00{:}25{:}12{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13{.}786$ So what does this mean and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:25:13.786 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.920$ how does this look like?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:25:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.972$ Well, on liver biopsy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00{:}25{:}15{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17{.}902$ this is what it is when you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:25:17.902 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.377$ look at the liver biopsies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:25:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.568$ everybody will recognize.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:25:20.568 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.548$ These are small nodules and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00{:}25{:}22{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24{.}670$ these are large large nodules.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:25:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.840$ So while this was obvious to us.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:25:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.996$ While doing the study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00{:}25{:}27{.}996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}30{.}656$ and this is how we did it when we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:25:30.656 \rightarrow 00:25:32.834$ were trying to publish this paper,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00{:}25{:}32{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34{.}704$ the reviewers asked us,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:25:34.704 \rightarrow 00:25:35.636$ you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}25{:}35{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37{.}845$ What does this mean in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:37.845 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.690$ actual size of the objects?

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.170$ So this is something we actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:44.170 \rightarrow 00:25:46.072$ Made rough estimates from and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}25{:}46.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.338$ is in fine print here that small

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}25{:}48{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50{.}372$ nodules for us meant something which

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}25{:}50{.}372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52{.}400$ were less than about 1 millimeter

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:25:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.326$ in size and large nodules that

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}25{:}54{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56{.}476$ were more than two millimeter size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}25{:}56{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}58{.}776$ And we took the width of needle

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}25{:}58.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}01.110$ biopsies which is about 1 millimeter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.930$ As a rough guide. And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:04.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.760$ Same thing for fibrous SEPTA here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.260$ Uh, this is a liver biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}26{:}08.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08.966$ Very big,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:08.966 \rightarrow 00:26:11.437$ very thin 5 receptor is a liver

 $00:26:11.437 \rightarrow 00:26:14.080$ biopsy with very thick pipe receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

00:26:14.080 --> 00:26:17.326 Again based on our rough estimates

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}26{:}17.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}19.878$ set that there were less than .1

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:19.878 \rightarrow 00:26:21.914$ millimeter where in the range of

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}26{:}21{.}914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24{.}224$ being thin scepter and those that

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}26{:}24{.}224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26{.}734$ were greater than .2 millimeters

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:26.734 \rightarrow 00:26:28.645$ where considered acceptable and

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:28.645 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.670$ for the study required presence

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:30.670 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.820$ of more than 2/3 of the liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00:26:32.820 \rightarrow 00:26:34.990$ biopsy to have that feature either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

00:26:34.990 --> 00:26:35.415 Nodules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}26{:}35{.}415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37{.}965$ or the scepter to be considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}26{:}37.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}39.240$ predominantly acceptor or

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}26{:}39{.}309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}41{.}640$ predominantly small notes if these

NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727

 $00{:}26{:}41.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}44.136$ were not fulfilled then these were

- $00{:}26{:}44.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45.962$ considered mixed or intermediate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00{:}26{:}45{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46{.}403$ So.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.698506957272727
- $00:26:46.403 \rightarrow 00:26:49.490$ Why does this thing work out that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882856076
- $00:26:49.580 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.280$ the when you have small nodules
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882856076
- 00:26:52.280 --> 00:26:54.080 or thick fibrous SEPTA,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882856076
- $00:26:54.080 \rightarrow 00:26:55.532$ you have clinically significant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882856076
- $00:26:55.532 \rightarrow 00:26:56.984$ portal hypertension and hence?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111
- $00:26:59.390 \rightarrow 00:27:02.144$ So this is depicted here in a cartoon form.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111
- $00{:}27{:}02{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06{.}330$ So if you can imagine a liver where you have.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111
- $00{:}27{:}06{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09{.}354$ Progressive scarring shown by blue here and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111
- $00{:}27{:}09{.}354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.816$ this brown represents the riscal hepatic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111
- 00:27:11.816 --> 00:27:14.371 comma which is broken up in nodules,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111
- $00{:}27{:}14.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}16.588$ which is what happens in cirrhosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111
- $00:27:16.590 \rightarrow 00:27:18.592$ One can imagine that if this is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111
- $00:27:18.592 \rightarrow 00:27:20.569$ happening in a very uniform way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111
- $00:27:20.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.315$ all the modules will look like this.

 $00{:}27{:}24.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27.779$ Shown here in this photograph as small

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:27.779 \rightarrow 00:27:30.351$ nodules and here as large nodules and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

00:27:30.351 -> 00:27:33.088 one can easily imagine that if all

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:27:33.088 \rightarrow 00:27:35.800$ nodules were small or became smaller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00{:}27{:}35{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37{.}980$ The amount of hepatic turn table

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00{:}27{:}37{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39{.}528$ decrease and the distance between

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:27:39.528 --> 00:27:40.913 these nodules will also increase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:27:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.170$ which indirectly means the fibrous

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:43.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.212$ SEPTA which will be on the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:27:46.212 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.156$ token if the nodules are large.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:27:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.510$ There's more hepatic parenchyma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:27:50.510 \rightarrow 00:27:52.860$ less distance between adjacent nodules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:27:52.860 \rightarrow 00:27:55.490$ However, as we all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00{:}27{:}55{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57{.}877$ these are cartoons and this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00{:}27{:}57.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58.900$ very schematic representation.

00:27:58.900 --> 00:28:00.334 In real life it doesn't happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:28:00.334 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.590$ like this in real life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:28:01.590 \rightarrow 00:28:03.612$ What happens is something like this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:28:03.612 \rightarrow 00:28:06.029$ where the nodules are of variable size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:28:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.174$ One can have predominance

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:28:07.174 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.318$ of one particular type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.844$ but it's never very uniform and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:28:09.844 \rightarrow 00:28:12.140$ there's a lot of variability in how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00{:}28{:}12{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}15{.}420$ scarring occurs in the liver, which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00{:}28{:}15{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17{.}755$ Makes assessment of these things

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:28:17.755 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.623$ in liver biopsies challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

00:28:19.630 --> 00:28:21.410 So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:28:21.410 --> 00:28:22.930 Based on our study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:28:22.930 \rightarrow 00:28:25.210$ we had proposed that liver cirrhosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:28:25.283 --> 00:28:27.773 can be classified based on historic

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

00:28:27.773 --> 00:28:29.866 features into mild, moderate,

 $00:28:29.866 \rightarrow 00:28:34.470$ severe or so called 484B and C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:28:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.060$ Based on the four four tiered staging system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:40.060 \rightarrow 00:28:42.643$ Our study was very soon validated by

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:28:42.643 \rightarrow 00:28:45.374$ another group from New Delhi or working

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:45.374 \rightarrow 00:28:47.708$ on patients with chronic hepatitis B,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00{:}28{:}47{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49{.}294$ and their results were

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:28:49.294 --> 00:28:50.878 virtually identical to ours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:28:50.880 \rightarrow 00:28:54.030$ which was very satisfying to see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:54.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.526$ Irrespective of whether to

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:28:55.526 --> 00:28:58.460 separate his B or C or alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:28:58.460 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.204$ the nodule size and the septal width

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:29:01.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.408$ with predictive of severity of cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00{:}29{:}04{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06{.}294$ Based on this study and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:29:06.294 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.329$ other work that I'll show later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00{:}29{:}08{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}10{.}987$ we came up with a classification

00:29:10.987 --> 00:29:13.468 system of cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

00:29:13.470 --> 00:29:16.217 Which is shown here where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00{:}29{:}16{.}217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18{.}659$ could take any of these parameters

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00{:}29{:}18.659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.557$ and based on the presence of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00{:}29{:}21.557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}24.350$ feature you could give a score and

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00{:}29{:}24.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.275$ then classify this as 484B or 4C

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00{:}29{:}28{.}275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}30{.}450$ and this had clinical correlates

NOTE Confidence: 0.875379591111111

 $00:29:30.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.769$ with the presence of either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

00:29:32.769 --> 00:29:34.701 Compensated cirrhosis or decompensate

NOTE Confidence: 0.87537959111111

 $00:29:34.701 \rightarrow 00:29:37.550$ sources as well as portal pressures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}29{:}40{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}42{.}900$ Over a month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}29{:}42{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}45{.}978$ We realize that the while we're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:29:45.980 \rightarrow 00:29:49.184$ We published this and this was a good study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:29:49.190 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.750$ Some of this work actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:29:50.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.998$ have been done earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}29{:}52.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}56.098$ and this sort of classification

00:29:56.098 --> 00:29:59.233 of cirrhosis into pile moderate

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:29:59.233 \rightarrow 00:30:02.429$ severe was done by Lynette Group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:30:02.430 --> 00:30:05.710 In the year 2000 and and this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:05.710 \dashrightarrow 00:30:08.328$ published only as an abstract form,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:08.330 \dashrightarrow 00:30:12.446$ so this was not widely recognized and NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:12.446 \rightarrow 00:30:16.248$ we were certainly not aware of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:16.250 \rightarrow 00:30:18.200$ And the the principles were virtually

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:18.200 \rightarrow 00:30:20.802$ similar to what we had come up with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}30{:}20.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}22.926$ The presence of nodules are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}30{:}22{.}926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}25{.}600$ size of the nodules and with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:25.600 \rightarrow 00:30:27.654$ scepter where critical in sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}30{:}27.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}29.578$ of classifying the cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:29.580 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.760$ We eventually ended up publishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}30{:}31{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}33{.}963$ this a paper in 2006,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:33.963 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.775$ and we're finding the

 $00:30:35.775 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.624$ classification somewhat in 2012.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}30{:}37{.}624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}41{.}012$ And now at least we have two

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:41.012 \rightarrow 00:30:43.616$ systems of classifying cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:30:43.616 --> 00:30:46.304 The one is the Linux system and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:30:46.304 --> 00:30:49.043 now I'm part of that group as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}30{:}49{.}043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}51{.}234$ well as the system that we developed NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:30:51.234 \rightarrow 00:30:53.840$ here with collaboration with the Doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:30:53.840 --> 00:30:54.572 Lupe Garcia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}30{:}54{.}572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}57{.}134$ and these two systems are shown here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}30{:}57{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}59{.}317$ and they're fairly similar in in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}30{:}59{.}317 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01{.}308$ how they approach this whole issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:31:01.310 --> 00:31:04.936 The only thing being that in Linux

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}31{:}04{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06{.}780$ system the septal thickness was

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}31{:}06{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}08{.}760$ defined as broad when the size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}31{:}08{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10{.}664$ Of the scepter was equivalent to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}31{:}10.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}12.788$ size of the nodules and very broad when

 $00:31:12.788 \rightarrow 00:31:14.600$ it was greater than the size of nodules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}31{:}14.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}17.720$ which is a very subjective evaluation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}31{:}17.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}19.872$ and we know that the size of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:31:19.872 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.943$ nodules can vary within the liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:31:21.943 --> 00:31:23.778 biopsy and between liver biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}31{:}23.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}27.668$ So to us it looked like there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:31:27.668 \rightarrow 00:31:29.188$ more subjectivity involved in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:31:29.188 --> 00:31:30.700 Linux system then our system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:31:30.700 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.202$ which was somewhat more semi quantitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}31{:}33{.}202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36{.}428$ and we wanted to see how do these

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}31{:}36{.}428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38{.}971$ two systems compare and this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}31{:}38{.}971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}42{.}310$ Was undertaken by one of our residents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:31:42.310 --> 00:31:44.106 Maria Olavi or Carolina,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}31{:}44.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}47.950$ who now is a fellow at Mayo Clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00:31:47.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.450$ and in this study we looked at.

 $00:31:51.450 \rightarrow 00:31:54.640$ Fifty liver biopsy with thrusters

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}31{:}54{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}57{.}394$ which were randomly selected and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:31:57.394 --> 00:31:59.642 were evaluated independently by three NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}31{:}59{.}642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}01{.}702$ pathologists that included a resident

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}32{:}01.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}03.990$ and associate professor and professor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:32:03.990 --> 00:32:07.206 just to see how experienced may

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}32{:}07{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}09{.}562$ affect this evaluation and the what

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

 $00{:}32{:}09{.}562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}12{.}072$ it shows was that both systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.61359728

00:32:12.072 --> 00:32:14.676 were fairly compatible in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

00:32:17.150 --> 00:32:19.832 assigning the stage based on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:32:19.832 \rightarrow 00:32:22.200$ logic features as stated. Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00{:}32{:}22{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}24{.}520$ Our system performs slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:32:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.420$ better than the Linux system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

00:32:27.420 --> 00:32:29.932 largely because I think again some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00{:}32{:}29{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}32{.}277$ features were better defined in our system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00{:}32{:}32{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35{.}031$ But overall I think both systems perform

 $00:32:35.031 \rightarrow 00:32:37.979$ fairly similar in sub classifying services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:32:37.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.709$ So in conclusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:32:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.552$ We recognize that the the nodule size and

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:32:45.552 \rightarrow 00:32:49.530$ the fiber swift are are predictive of HPV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00{:}32{:}49{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53{.}970$ gene liver and small nodularity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00{:}32{:}53{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}57{.}182$ increasing fiber scepter with can

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:32:57.182 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.585$ predict development of clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:32:59.585 \rightarrow 00:33:01.370$ significance portal hypertension

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00{:}33{:}01{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03{.}884$ and based on these parameters can

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:33:03.884 \rightarrow 00:33:06.440$ certainly be classified into a mild,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:33:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.200$ moderate and severe stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

00:33:08.200 -> 00:33:10.180 So when you're working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:33:10.180 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.316$ these nodules except.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

00:33:11.316 --> 00:33:13.122 Wherever you look, you find those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415

 $00:33:13.122 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.760$ So if you look at the sky,

- $00{:}33{:}14.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16.350$ I could find nodules in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415
- $00{:}33{:}16{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}17{.}790$ clouds and the scepter there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415
- 00:33:17.790 --> 00:33:20.250 Or if you look from the sky into the ground,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415
- $00:33:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.420$ you could find nodules and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842622415
- $00{:}33{:}21{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}22{.}890$ the SEPTA even in the sand.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- 00:33:25.190 --> 00:33:27.864 However. Moving forward,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- 00:33:27.864 --> 00:33:30.120 I think the question that we were asking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00:33:30.173 \rightarrow 00:33:32.237$ was in this particular study that we did.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- 00:33:32.240 --> 00:33:34.724 We correlated the stylistic features with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00{:}33{:}34{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37{.}619$ the the hepatic venous pressure gradient,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00:33:37.620 \dashrightarrow 00:33:40.220$ which correlate with prognosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00:33:40.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.170$ of cirrhosis but.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- 00:33:42.170 > 00:33:44.180 The next question or scandal is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00{:}33{:}44{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45{.}185$ a Histology predictor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- 00:33:45.190 --> 00:33:47.934 Prognosis of cirrhosis directly?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00:33:47.934 \dashrightarrow 00:33:50.652$ And this was more or less the kind of

 $00{:}33{:}50{.}652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}52{.}345$ question that we wanted to validate

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}33{:}52{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}54{.}412$ based on our initial study and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:33:54.412 \rightarrow 00:33:56.326$ people who are familiar with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:33:56.326 \rightarrow 00:33:58.674$ progression of chronic liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:33:58.674 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.750$ Recognize that clinically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

00:34:00.750 --> 00:34:04.488 cirrhosis is divided into two stages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:04.490 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.879$ the compensated cirrhosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:05.879 \rightarrow 00:34:07.268$ or decompensated process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.306$ and the reason being that once.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:10.310 \dashrightarrow 00:34:11.702$ You develop decompensation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:11.702 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.486$ The prognosis tends to be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

00:34:14.490 --> 00:34:16.674 bad and the progression of the liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:34:16.674 \rightarrow 00:34:18.668$ disease or liver failure is rapid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}34{:}18.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}21.125$ so this was shown in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}34{:}21.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22.950$ studies at the median survival

- $00:34:22.950 \rightarrow 00:34:24.826$ of patients who have compensated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- 00:34:24.826 --> 00:34:27.290 cirrhosis is in excess of 12 years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00:34:27.290 \rightarrow 00:34:30.330$ whereas those who develop compensation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00:34:30.330 \rightarrow 00:34:32.206$ the median survival becomes around two years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00:34:32.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.840$ which is a big difference.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00{:}34{:}34{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}35{.}260$ Uh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00{:}34{:}35{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37{.}958$ this was also shown by studies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00{:}34{:}37{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}41{.}546$ from here we're doctor Garcia was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00:34:41.546 \rightarrow 00:34:45.348$ involved and the the presence of HPVG,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00:34:45.348 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.391$ which was less than ten,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00:34:47.391 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.646$ was also the significant negative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00:34:50.646 \rightarrow 00:34:54.099$ predictive value that patients who had.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- 00:34:54.100 --> 00:34:55.306 No clinically significant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00:34:55.306 \rightarrow 00:34:56.110$ portal hypertension,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00{:}34{:}56{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58{.}954$ which is pressure measurement less than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- 00:34:58.954 --> 00:35:02.272 10 had in 90% chance of not developing

00:35:02.272 --> 00:35:04.670 clinical decompensation in a Fourier theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}04.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.885$ So again signifying the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}06{.}885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}09{.}100$ of the pressure measurements and

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}09{.}173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11.492$ how this collects with the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}11.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}13.216$ spirit of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}13.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16.996$ So the question that we would ask was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}17.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}19.380$ Do the sample thickness and or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:35:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.400$ nodule size predict clinical development

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}21{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23{.}730$ of decompensation in patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:35:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.270$ have this logically proven cirrhosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}26{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}28{.}664$ And this was a study that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}28.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}31.268$ undertaken with one of the GI Fellows

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}31{.}268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}33{.}530$ on the clinical side Prithvi Cinema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

00:35:33.530 --> 00:35:33.992 Son,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}33{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}36{.}302$ who is now a practicing

 $00:35:36.302 \rightarrow 00:35:38.150$ gastroenterologist in the Boston

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:35:38.226 \dashrightarrow 00:35:42.700$ area and in this study we looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

00:35:42.700 --> 00:35:46.115 Patients with the biopsy proven

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}46.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}49.805$ cirrhosis and followed them for

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}49{.}805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}51{.}665$ development of the competition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:35:51.670 \dashrightarrow 00:35:56.158$ There were 168 patients included of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}56{.}158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}58{.}239$ which 3043 developed decompensation

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}35{:}58{.}239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00{.}454$ and these biopsies were reviewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}36{:}00{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}02{.}161$ blindly without the knowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}36{:}02.161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}04.441$ of the clinical findings by two

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}36{:}04{.}441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06{.}246$ independent observers and many

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}36{:}06{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08{.}318$ different things were assessed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}36{:}08{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09{.}364$ But specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:36:09.364 \rightarrow 00:36:13.018$ the sample thickness and the nodule size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}36{:}13.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}13.611$ And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}36{:}13.611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}17.024$ The results showed that the septal

- NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046
- $00:36:17.024 \rightarrow 00:36:19.294$ thickness did predict a progression

 $00{:}36{:}19{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}21{.}770$ to decompensation in these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}36{:}21.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24.098$ as shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:36:24.100 \rightarrow 00:36:27.929$ The acceptor, as opposed to either the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00{:}36{:}27{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31{.}044$ Intermediate or thin SEPTA had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.75622046

 $00:36:31.044 \rightarrow 00:36:33.480$ significant association with progression too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:36:35.500 --> 00:36:36.498 Decompensated, cirrhosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}36{:}36{.}498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39{.}492$ and eventually when we did the

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:36:39.492 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.927$ analysis using thick persistent except

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:36:41.927 \dashrightarrow 00:36:44.645$ and this became even more obvious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:36:44.650 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.681$ When he looked at the nodule size

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:36:47.681 -> 00:36:49.971 while the trends were similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:36:49.971 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.277$ but the findings were not statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}36{:}53.277 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.450$ significant and even when we studied

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}36{:}56{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00{.}680$ the the small versus not small nodules.

 $00:37:00.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.440$ It was obvious that only after

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}37{:}03.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}05.779$ 48 months the process started

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:05.779 \rightarrow 00:37:08.144$ separating between these two groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:08.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.246$ and while the reasons are not obvious to

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:10.246 \rightarrow 00:37:12.707$ us or not very immediately clear to us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.957$ it is possible that in early stages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:14.960 \rightarrow 00:37:17.440$ when the nodules is small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.520$ but the 5% are also very thin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:20.520 \rightarrow 00:37:22.310$ probably there's no difference based

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:22.310 \rightarrow 00:37:25.160$ on the nodule size as they sufficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}37{:}25.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}29.850$ You know, parent, camel, reserved and and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}37{:}29.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31.788$ Does not impact the overall process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:37:31.790 --> 00:37:35.155 However, it certainly validated our

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:37:35.155 --> 00:37:38.030 previous findings that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}37{:}38{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}40{.}580$ except on liver biopsies are associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:37:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.701$ with significantly higher rate of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00:37:42.701 \rightarrow 00:37:44.301$ decompensation when compared with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00{:}37{:}44{.}301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}46{.}948$ patients who do not have the acceptor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00{:}37{:}46{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47{.}938$ And as I mentioned,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00{:}37{:}47{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}49{.}420$ while this trend was seen with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00:37:49.478 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.369$ the small nodules,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00:37:50.370 \rightarrow 00:37:52.690$ this was certainly not statistically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00:37:52.690 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.150$ significant in this regard, so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00:37:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.150$ What does this all mean?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00{:}37{:}58{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58{.}651$ Well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- 00:37:58.651 --> 00:37:59.152 Well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- 00:37:59.152 --> 00:37:59.653 uh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00{:}37{:}59{.}653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}02{.}659$ this certainly has some implications for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00{:}38{:}02.659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05.400$ patients who are being considered for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00:38:05.400 \dashrightarrow 00:38:07.056$ treatment for a variety of disorders,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00:38:07.060 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.563$ or even transplantation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:08.563 \rightarrow 00:38:11.068$ Some of the important considerations

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:38:11.068 --> 00:38:14.168 are that once these patients do

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:38:14.168 --> 00:38:18.116 show thick scepter on liver biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:18.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.355$ this could allow initiation of non selective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}38{:}22{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}25{.}135$ Now even further investigation to

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:25.135 \dashrightarrow 00:38:27.910$ prevent or delay the decompensation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:38:27.910 --> 00:38:28.291 Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:28.291 \rightarrow 00:38:31.339$ we know that these days you know some

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:38:31.339 --> 00:38:34.392 of the patients with cirrhosis can

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:34.392 \rightarrow 00:38:37.908$ undergo resection of the liver cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}38{:}37{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}39{.}905$ and this is a very select group

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:39.905 \rightarrow 00:38:41.394$ because we recognize that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:41.394 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.089$ who are cirrhotic and develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:38:43.089 --> 00:38:44.208 particular carcinoma are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:44.210 \rightarrow 00:38:47.024$ in general not good candidates for surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:38:47.024 \rightarrow 00:38:48.826$ because they tend to decompensate.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00{:}38{:}48.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51.315$ But a subset of these patients who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00:38:51.315 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.794$ are probably having poorly or mild
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00:38:53.794 \rightarrow 00:38:56.074$ sources can undergo this reception,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00:38:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.500$ and in this regard.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- 00:38:58.500 --> 00:39:00.985 You find fix up down liver biopsy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00:39:00.985 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.999$ is probably that would be in control
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- 00:39:02.999 > 00:39:04.709 application for a search filter
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00:39:04.709 \rightarrow 00:39:06.120$ section of hypercellular carcinoma
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00:39:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.780$ in the background of success.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00{:}39{:}07{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}10.696$ So those are the immediate kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- 00:39:10.696 --> 00:39:12.664 clinical implications that I can think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00:39:12.664 \dashrightarrow 00:39:16.800$ of and I think more will probably come so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- 00:39:16.800 00:39:18.893 This is another view from Sky to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- $00:39{:}18.893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}21.363$ show you how we can start seeing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263
- 00:39:21.363 > 00:39:23.243 nodules and the five perceptor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00:39:23.250 \dashrightarrow 00:39:25.637$ and now the nodules are even colored.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}39{:}25.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28.346$ This is a photograph taken from

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

 $00{:}39{:}28{.}346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30{.}036$ the aircraft somewhere in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.863015662105263

00:39:30.036 --> 00:39:31.608 region of I think San Francisco.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00{:}39{:}34.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}36.410$ So as I mentioned earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00{:}39{:}36{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}40{.}652$ this fibrosis which was considered earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:39:40.652 --> 00:39:43.342 irreversible phenomena now is recognized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:39:43.342 \rightarrow 00:39:45.462$ is actually dynamic process even

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00{:}39{:}45{.}462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}48{.}683$ within the liver and with effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:39:48.683 \dashrightarrow 00:39:51.938$ therapy the fibrosis can progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:39:51.940 \rightarrow 00:39:55.503$ And now there are very effective therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:39:55.503 \rightarrow 00:39:58.204$ available for viral hepatitis B&C,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:39:58.204 \rightarrow 00:40:00.956$ which were not available or two decades ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.466$ and this has changed the whole field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00{:}40{:}03{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05{.}006$ In trying to assess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:05.006 \rightarrow 00:40:08.590$ How do we say this fibrosis is progressing?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- 00:40:08.590 --> 00:40:10.570 Or this is, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- 00:40:10.570 --> 00:40:13.258 regressing and all the systems that I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00{:}40{:}13.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}16.024$ showed you earlier in terms of assessing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:40:16.024 \rightarrow 00:40:18.328$ fibrosis stage do not address this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:40:18.406 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.876$ system of progression or regression.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:40:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.084$ So, uh, this uh issue we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:40:24.084 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.169$ had discussed in one of the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:40:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.704$ Reviews that we wrote for one of the seminars
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00{:}40{:}30{.}704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34{.}688$ in particular topology and the Doctor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- 00:40:34.690 --> 00:40:36.066 Garcia and Doctor Peter,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00{:}40{:}36.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}38.646$ producer who's from Europe who have done a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:40:38.646 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.169$ lot of work in this area where my Co authors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:40:41.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.342$ So this is something which I'll
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00{:}40{:}43{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}45{.}210$ illustrate by example here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:40:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.776$ So this is a process which is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:40:48.776 --> 00:40:50.864 Very obvious on Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:40:50.870 - 00:40:52.795 These are cirrhotic not justify

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:52.795 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.335$ perceptor are very broad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:40:54.340 --> 00:40:57.007 So from my prior studies one could

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:57.007 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.210$ easily predict that this in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:40:59.210 \rightarrow 00:41:00.850$ patient the the portal hypertension

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:00.850 \rightarrow 00:41:02.150$ will be clinically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:02.150 \rightarrow 00:41:03.805$ Portal hypertension or the measurement

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:41:03.805 --> 00:41:06.099 of the HPV will be greater than

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:06.099 \rightarrow 00:41:08.462$ 10 and here you can see a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:08.462 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.170$ inflammation while the cloud coloration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:41:10.170 --> 00:41:11.922 this fibrous SEPTA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:41:11.922 --> 00:41:12.506 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:12.506 \rightarrow 00:41:15.392$ once you treat this process and let's

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:15.392 \rightarrow 00:41:17.448$ say this was something which was chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:41:17.448 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.254$ hepatitis C which can be treated.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- 00:41:19.260 --> 00:41:23.208 A lot of things can happen and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00{:}41{:}23.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}26.196$ this can start looking like this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- 00:41:26.200 --> 00:41:28.580 Where is fiber sector which is broad,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00{:}41{:}28{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}30{.}125$ can become thinner.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:41:30.125 \rightarrow 00:41:32.700$ The degree of inflammation decreases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00{:}41{:}32.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}35.514$ The celebrity of this fiber sector decreases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- 00:41:35.520 --> 00:41:36.604 And uh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:41:36.604 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.314$ the vascularity of this separate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00{:}41{:}39{.}314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}41{.}240$ descriptions there's some restoration
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:41:41.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.080$ of the lobular architecture.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:41:43.080 \rightarrow 00:41:46.038$ There's some restoration of the zonal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:41:46.040 \rightarrow 00:41:48.510$ distribution hepatocytes in this thing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:41:48.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.654$ So from this cirrhosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00{:}41{:}50{.}654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}53{.}754$ which is the severe untreated cirrhosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:41:53.754 \rightarrow 00:41:56.616$ one can progress to disconnect services,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

- $00:41:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.276$ which is still cirrhosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- 00:41:58.276 --> 00:41:59.518 Still stage four.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- 00:41:59.520 --> 00:42:02.628 But it must work improved clinically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:42:02.628 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.664$ and functionally.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:42:03.670 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.700$ And.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- 00:42:05.700 --> 00:42:07.308 These features on progression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00{:}42{:}07{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}08{.}916$ which were kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:42:08.916 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.619$ recognized by many experts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:42:10.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.076$ They feel we're eventually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- 00:42:12.076 --> 00:42:13.896 put into a staging system,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:42:13.900 \rightarrow 00:42:16.300$ which I'm going to show.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:42:16.300 \rightarrow 00:42:19.136$ This is same thing shown on any sections.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00{:}42{:}19{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}21{.}995$ Again to illustrate that the cirrhosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:42:21.995 \rightarrow 00:42:24.515$ which is severe and very active has this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:42:24.515 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.795$ broad sector with a lot of inflammation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:42:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.865$ lot of buckler proliferation and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:42:28.865 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.842$ vascular which is not obvious on either
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00{:}42{:}31{.}842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}33{.}937$ HIV sections or try constructions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- 00:42:33.940 --> 00:42:35.200 and our routine Histology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:42:35.200 \rightarrow 00:42:37.576$ but can be seen by other techniques
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00{:}42{:}37.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}40.066$ that these things progress and receptor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00{:}42{:}40.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42.857$ become like this where they're very thin,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:42:42.860 \rightarrow 00:42:44.090$ relatively acellular,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:42:44.090 \rightarrow 00:42:47.165$ relatively avascular, without any doctor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00{:}42{:}47.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49.338$ Information with some restoration
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00{:}42{:}49{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52{.}048$ of the lower popular architecture.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- 00:42:52.050 --> 00:42:57.150 So a group from Beijing, China.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:42:57.150 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.210$ Studied the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- 00:42:58.210 --> 00:42:59.270 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00{:}42{:}59{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02{.}460$ the features of regression in patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358
- $00:43:02.460 \rightarrow 00:43:06.740$ with chronic hepatitis B and in this group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00:43:06.740 \rightarrow 00:43:10.324$ Doctor Neal Tease who is a very eminent

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

00:43:10.324 --> 00:43:12.916 liver pathologist and have some yield

NOTE Confidence: 0.906767358

 $00{:}43{:}12{.}916$ --> $00{:}43{:}15{.}460$ connection because he worked here at NOTE Confidence: 0.80085616625

00:43:15.537 --> 00:43:17.868 Yale with Diane Kraus on stem cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.80085616625

 $00{:}43{:}17.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20.457$ and is a faculty in New York.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80085616625

 $00{:}43{:}20{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}22{.}155$ Applied this concept of progression NOTE Confidence: 0.80085616625

 $00{:}43{:}22.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24.487$ of high process to develop a scoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.80085616625

 $00{:}43{:}24{.}487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}28{.}326$ system and in this study they could

NOTE Confidence: 0.80085616625

 $00{:}43{:}28{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}30{.}630$ using many different parameters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80085616625

 $00:43:30.630 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.100$ They showed that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00{:}43{:}34.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37.240$ Treatment of chronic hepatitis results

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:43:37.240 \rightarrow 00:43:40.310$ in regression of this fibrous SEPTA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00{:}43{:}40{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}42{.}080$ So just looking at this system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00{:}43{:}42.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}45.140$ you know broadly what it is when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00{:}43{:}45.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47.000$ have this progressive fibrosis and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:43:47.000 \rightarrow 00:43:49.109$ showed with all the features that had

- NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364
- 00:43:49.109 --> 00:43:50.641 mentioned of sale lurdy, vascularity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364
- $00:43:50.641 \rightarrow 00:43:53.308$ the inflammation when you have more than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364
- $00:43:53.308 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.755 50\%$ of the fiber SEPTA in the biopsy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364
- $00:43:55.760 \rightarrow 00:43:58.970$ that is considered a predominantly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364
- 00:43:58.970 --> 00:44:00.896 progressive fibrosis or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364
- 00:44:00.896 --> 00:44:05.030 assigned escorpi when. You have.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364
- $00:44:05.030 \rightarrow 00:44:07.918$ More than 50% sector showing regression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364
- $00:44:07.918 \rightarrow 00:44:11.614$ features as I showed you earlier is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364
- 00:44:11.614 --> 00:44:13.997 you're considered a score of our.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364
- 00:44:14.000 --> 00:44:15.820 And if this was mix of this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364
- 00:44:15.820 --> 00:44:18.080 it was considered indeterminate,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364
- $00:44:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.103$ and this was a highlighted or.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364
- $00{:}44{:}21.103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}24.190$ This has been sort of referred to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364
- $00{:}44{:}24{.}281 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27{.}980$ as the PIR score in various studies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364
- $00{:}44{:}27{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}30{.}326$ and this is again illustrated herein
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:44:30.326 \rightarrow 00:44:33.827$ from this paper that this is a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:44:33.827 \rightarrow 00:44:36.152$ with progressive fibrosis before treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:44:36.160 \rightarrow 00:44:39.700$ which became progressive fibrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:44:39.700 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.486$ still cirrhosis but within the stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:44:42.486 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.010$ it has changed his character from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873263723636364

 $00:44:44.010 \rightarrow 00:44:47.440$ Progressive, too, regressive as shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00:44:49.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.050$ And in this study they had paired

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00:44:54.050 \rightarrow 00:44:56.375$ biopsies in 71 patients where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00{:}44{:}56.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}58.100$ looked at the pretreatment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00{:}44{:}58.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01.158$ posttreatment Histology and showed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00:45:01.158 \rightarrow 00:45:04.330$ many of these patients converted from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00:45:04.330 \rightarrow 00:45:06.780$ Progressive 5 Percepta to regressive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00:45:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.530$ high perceptive and of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00:45:09.530 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.588$ more than half of the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00{:}45{:}11.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}15.428$ at least had improvement in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225

 $00:45:15.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.098$ stage following treatment and.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225
- 00:45:20.100 --> 00:45:22.644 Almost half of the patients had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225
- $00{:}45{:}22.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}24.340$ significant improvement in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8565454225
- $00{:}45{:}24{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26{.}928$ substage of cirrhosis fibrosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00:45:29.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.490$ So based on this study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- 00:45:31.490 --> 00:45:34.997 the group proposed this PR scoring system,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00{:}45{:}35{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37{.}632$ which has now been referred to as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00:45:37.632 \rightarrow 00:45:39.924$ the Beijing System for classification
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00:45:39.924 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.096$ of progressive or regressive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00:45:42.096 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.268$ fibrosis in liver diseases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- 00:45:44.270 --> 00:45:46.045 And it is certainly conceptually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00:45:46.045 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.820$ very good and recognizes the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00{:}45{:}47.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}49.458$ dynamic nature of fibrosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00{:}45{:}49{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51{.}056$ And, at least in this study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00{:}45{:}51{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54{.}808$ had shown good reproducibility with good
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00{:}45{:}54{.}808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}57{.}348$ inter and intra observer variation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:45:57.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.602$ primarily done on biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:45:58.602 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.905$ And it was felt that since this

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:46:00.905 --> 00:46:02.740 didn't require any special stain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:46:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.680$ it was easy to apply and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:46:05.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.200$ was subsequently sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:46:08.200 --> 00:46:12.344 Bradley did on his very small study

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:46:12.344 --> 00:46:16.948 of A5 cases of chronic hepatitis C

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:46:16.948 \rightarrow 00:46:19.880$ with autoimmune hepatitis where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}46{:}19.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}22.705$ patients were treated with directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:46:22.705 --> 00:46:26.268 acting antiviral agents and on paired biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:46:26.268 \rightarrow 00:46:27.180$ is they?

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:46:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.500$ Confirm that the progressive sectors

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:46:29.500 --> 00:46:31.356 can become regressive sectors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:46:31.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.506$ so very small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}46{:}32{.}506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}34{.}034$ Study validating the findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:46:34.034 \rightarrow 00:46:36.420$ from this page in classification.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00:46:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.148$ We also undertook this kind of a project
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00{:}46{:}40{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}43{.}515$ of assessing the same findings in a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- 00:46:43.515 --> 00:46:46.890 subset of chronic hepatitis C patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00{:}46{:}46{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}49{.}790$ and this project was.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00:46:49.790 \rightarrow 00:46:53.220$ Done with collaboration with a ROM Shelly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00{:}46{:}53.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56.260$ who was our fellow and then became a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00:46:56.347 \rightarrow 00:46:59.399$ faculty here and now has disappeared with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00:46:59.399 \rightarrow 00:47:02.726$ the dark side in in private practice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00{:}47{:}02.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04.805$ However, you know he was a very nice
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00{:}47{:}04.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}08.758$ person to work with, a great guy and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00:47:08.760 \rightarrow 00:47:13.733$ This was a great study that we published and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00{:}47{:}13.733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}18.956$ In this study we had addressed many issues,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00{:}47{:}18.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22.610$ but one of them was assessment of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- 00:47:22.610 --> 00:47:24.944 So called regression of fibrosis in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866
- $00{:}47{:}24{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}26{.}680$ patients with chronic hepatitis C.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.428$ Once we once they were treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:29.428 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.260$ with directly acting antivirals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}47{:}31{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}34{.}970$ which leads to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}47{:}34{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}37{.}994$ Cure of this viral infection with what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:37.994 \rightarrow 00:47:40.460$ called sustained while response or SVR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:40.460 \rightarrow 00:47:43.491$ where the viral load in purple blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:43.491 \rightarrow 00:47:45.416$ becomes undetectable after the therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:47:45.416 --> 00:47:47.176 And this is shown histologically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}47{:}47{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}49{.}460$ why should what I showed you earlier from

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:49.460 \rightarrow 00:47:52.122$ our study that the patients who had fibrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}47{:}52{.}122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}54{.}018$ which was considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:54.018 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.914$ progressive on treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:47:55.920 \rightarrow 00:48:00.150$ could become aggressive with the?

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}48{:}00{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}01{.}950$ Features that I mentioned earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}48{:}01{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04{.}175$ pending of the fibrous septal lack

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:48:04.175 --> 00:48:06.712 of cellularity lack of pallor,

 $00:48:06.712 \rightarrow 00:48:09.128$ proliferation and decreasing inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}48{:}09{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}12{.}784$ Same things shown in HD sections here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.260$ One of the things which we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.992$ realize in our study is that while

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:15.992 \rightarrow 00:48:17.564$ these things sometimes are easier to

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}48{:}17.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19.156$ evaluate on pipes because the amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:19.156 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.525$ of tissue that you see is blessed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}48{:}21.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}23.750$ On dissection specimens with you

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}48{:}23.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}26.655$ more to see you always find areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:26.655 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.558$ that put these things in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:28.558 \rightarrow 00:48:31.798$ Little bit of question as to whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}48{:}31.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}34.960$ this really belongs to regressive or

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:35.049 \rightarrow 00:48:40.050$ progressive or indeterminate category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}48{:}40{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41{.}666$ And in this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:41.666 \rightarrow 00:48:45.279$ we had many other things that that overall,

 $00:48:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.870$ while we could see there were more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:48:47.870 --> 00:48:50.095 it's sort of progressive subtype

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:50.095 \rightarrow 00:48:53.286$ patients who had achieved SVR in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:53.286 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.606$ who are treated with antivirals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:48:55.610 \rightarrow 00:48:56.076$ Overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}48{:}56{.}076$ --> $00{:}48{:}58{.}872$ the difference between the PR score

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}48{:}58{.}872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}01{.}425$ between untreated patients was not

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:49:01.425 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.354$ statistically significant and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}49{:}03{.}354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}06{.}308$ still remains the same when we combine

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}49{:}06{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}08{.}090$ progressive with indeterminate

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00:49:08.090 \rightarrow 00:49:09.242$ category and compared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}49{:}09{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}11{.}302$ With the, uh, those with regression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}49{:}11{.}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}14{.}370$ and there are quite a few reasons for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

00:49:14.370 --> 00:49:17.196 Once one of which I highlighted

NOTE Confidence: 0.962729866

 $00{:}49{:}17{.}196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}18{.}609$ that the assessing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7735554366666667

 $00:49:18.610 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.450$ the PR score on resection

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7735554366666667
- $00:49:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.922$ specimens were not easy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7735554366666667
- $00{:}49{:}21{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}25{.}330$ and also that the group that we have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7735554366666667
- $00:49:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.450$ included both the explants and liver
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7735554366666667
- $00:49:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.620$ biopsies and many of the patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7735554366666667
- $00:49:29.683 \rightarrow 00:49:31.568$ have very severe advanced disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7735554366666667
- $00{:}49{:}31{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}33{.}196$ So there was a selection bias
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7735554366666667
- $00:49:33.196 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.009$ in their patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7735554366666667
- $00:49:34.010 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.799$ However, when we.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:49:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.752$ Looked at a subset of patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:49:38.752 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.426$ where we had paired biopsies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:49:40.426 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.680$ and we are only seven of those.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00{:}49{:}42.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}45.720$ It was clear that four of those seven
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:49:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.120$ patients did change their characters
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:49:48.120 \rightarrow 00:49:50.140$ from progressive or indeterminate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:49:50.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.308$ 2 Progressive on treatment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:49:52.308 \rightarrow 00:49:55.560$ so this certainly validated what has

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:49:55.653 \rightarrow 00:49:58.677$ been shown earlier in the Beijing study

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}49{:}58.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02.356$ and and the other from Mount Sinai in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:02.356 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.634$ In this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}50{:}03.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}06.690$ we also sort of provided evidence that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:06.690 \rightarrow 00:50:08.712$ Uh. Not only these patients clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:08.712 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.480$ the virus from parental blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:10.480 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.642$ but if you look at the tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:14.642 \rightarrow 00:50:18.166$ by highly sensitive PCR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.492$ There is no evidence of residual

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:20.492 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.699$ virus in the tissues and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.856$ is an important finding because

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}50{:}26.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}29.348$ earlier it was controversial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}50{:}29{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}32{.}528$ If there was some degree of risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:32.528 \rightarrow 00:50:35.425$ tool Oracle hepatitis C virus in

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:50:35.425 \rightarrow 00:50:37.349$ tissues even after achieving.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- 00:50:37.350 > 00:50:40.817 Clinical cure or so called SVR in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00{:}50{:}40.817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}42.966$ this study the the viral PCR was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:50:42.966 \rightarrow 00:50:45.020$ done by using a highly sensitive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:50:45.020 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.849$ essay at the CDC and at least we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:50:47.849 \rightarrow 00:50:50.292$ showed that in all the patients that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:50:50.292 \rightarrow 00:50:52.386$ had the clinically achieved SVR,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:50:52.386 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.556$ there were no residual virus
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00{:}50{:}54{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56{.}879$ at the tissue level as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- 00:50:56.880 --> 00:50:57.886 Our uh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:50:57.886 \rightarrow 00:51:00.904$ the other things that we also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:51:00.904 \rightarrow 00:51:03.632$ recognize from our study is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00{:}51{:}03.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}05.824$ the progression of fibrosis may
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:51:05.824 \rightarrow 00:51:08.500$ not occur uniformly in all patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:51:08.500 \dashrightarrow 00:51:11.260$ and patients who may have very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:51:11.260 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.620$ severe disease to start with.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:13.620 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.192$ Either you may not see any

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:51:15.192 --> 00:51:16.819 regression or it may take long

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:16.819 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.140$ time and if patients who have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:51:20.140 --> 00:51:22.121 But I just infection for long period

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:22.121 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.339$ time or the ten years of duration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:24.340 \rightarrow 00:51:27.217$ The regression again may not be obvious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.294$ or may or may not be seen and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

00:51:30.294 --> 00:51:32.479 this subgroup of seven patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.424$ those who did not show any

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:34.424 \rightarrow 00:51:35.720$ change to regressive fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}51{:}35{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}38{.}576$ All of them had hepatitis C infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:38.576 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.188$ for more than 1010 years of duration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}51{:}42{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}42{.}516$ Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:42.516 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.472$ I think the process is slow

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}51{:}44{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}46{.}249$ and takes a long time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:51:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.441$ and in this study the median

- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- 00:51:48.441 --> 00:51:50.389 follow-up was around about two years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00{:}51{:}50{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}53{.}686$ so I think you need long term studies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00{:}51{:}53.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}56.545$ to assess what time or duration it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:51:56.545 \rightarrow 00:51:59.548$ takes for this regression to occur.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00{:}51{:}59{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}02{.}052$ So the other issues with hold
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:52:02.052 \rightarrow 00:52:04.137$ this hypothesis is that what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:52:04.137 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.793$ I've shown you is is all done on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:52:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.510$ Qualitative assessment of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- 00:52:08.510 --> 00:52:10.790 various features on Histology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:52:10.790 \rightarrow 00:52:13.052$ and this is highly subjective and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:52:13.052 \rightarrow 00:52:14.780$ there is significant interobserver
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:52:14.780 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.644$ intraobserver variability.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:52:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.571$ The question is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00{:}52{:}16{.}571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}18{.}720$ can we make it more objective and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00:52:18.788 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.182$ this was shown way back that if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:21.182 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.480$ you assess just the fibrosis area

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}52{:}23.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.597$ using digital image analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:25.597 \rightarrow 00:52:28.342$ there is a significant correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:28.342 \rightarrow 00:52:30.778$ with increasing fibrosis with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.282$ portal pressures and process and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}52{:}33{.}282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}36{.}959$ the IT was also obvious that in in each.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:36.960 \rightarrow 00:52:40.173$ Fibrosis group there was still a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:40.173 \rightarrow 00:52:43.238$ variability in the clinical subgroups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.508$ which again goes back to some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:45.508 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.739$ the issues of fibrosis in liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}52{:}48.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}51.588$ So we also try to address this issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00{:}52{:}51{.}588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}54{.}194$ using some quantitative parameters with

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:54.194 \rightarrow 00:52:56.744$ the one of the gastroenterologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:56.744 \rightarrow 00:52:59.660$ from Thailand who was working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:52:59.738 \rightarrow 00:53:02.170$ Doctor Garcia and now he's a guest role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889

 $00:53:02.170 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.442$ Is that Alan practicing

- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00{:}53{:}04{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}05{.}578$ clinical gas troenterology?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853391768888889
- $00{:}53{:}05{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}10{.}036$ And in this study we looked at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- 00:53:10.040 --> 00:53:12.048 fibrosis area by quantitative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- $00:53:12.048 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.554$ digital image analysis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- $00{:}53{:}13{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}16{.}020$ The nodule size we counted the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- $00:53:16.020 \rightarrow 00:53:18.026$ number of modules per millimeter
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- 00:53:18.026 00:53:19.490 of liberal biopsy again.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- $00:53:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.660$ Incorrectly looking at the size of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- $00{:}53{:}21.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}23.830$ nodules and be at up the fibrous septum
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- $00{:}53{:}23{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}26{.}482$ and the while assessing the fibrosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- 00:53:26.482 --> 00:53:28.910 area using digital Amana Umagine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- $00{:}53{:}28{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}30{.}715$ Alesis where you use the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- $00{:}53{:}30{.}715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33{.}060$ color for thresholding which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- $00{:}53{:}33{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34{.}901$ was fairly straightforward.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- $00:53:34.901 \rightarrow 00:53:38.027$ Assessing the nodule size and septal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:53:38.027 \rightarrow 00:53:41.060$ with was problematic and we took

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00{:}53{:}41.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44.699$ multiple measurements in each nodule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

00:53:44.700 - > 00:53:46.931 And and at the you know, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:53:46.931 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.186$ in the various 5 receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

00:53:49.186 --> 00:53:50.963 across a liver biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:53:50.963 \rightarrow 00:53:53.609$ again calculating the mean and median.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:53:53.610 \rightarrow 00:53:55.160$ But as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:53:55.160 \rightarrow 00:53:57.540$ there are many areas where you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00{:}53{:}57{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}59{.}782$ have drawn these measurements and

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:53:59.782 \rightarrow 00:54:02.837$ that makes it somewhat challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

00:54:02.840 --> 00:54:03.298 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00{:}54{:}03{.}298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}06{.}504$ as we expected the fibrosis area and

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00{:}54{:}06{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09{.}362$ the nodule site did have correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00:54:09.362 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.090$ with the HP VG and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

 $00{:}54{:}14.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}16.045$ This correlation was even retained

NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286

00:54:16.045 --> 00:54:18.795 once you even reached a clinically

- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- $00:54:18.795 \rightarrow 00:54:20.970$ significant portal hypertension.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- $00:54:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.900$ That is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- $00:54:21.900 \rightarrow 00:54:24.225$ even patients who have clinically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- $00:54:24.225 \rightarrow 00:54:25.620$ significant portal hypertension.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- $00:54:25.620 \rightarrow 00:54:28.836$ If you increase the fibrosis area,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- $00:54:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.460$ the pressures continuously
- NOTE Confidence: 0.754689985714286
- $00:54:30.460 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.620$ and keep on increasing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00:54:34.670 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.798$ So this study kind of validated what we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00:54:37.798 \rightarrow 00:54:41.140$ had shown earlier on subjective analysis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00:54:41.140 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.885$ but we realized in this study that they were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00:54:43.885 \rightarrow 00:54:45.943$ practical issues of measuring the nodule
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00:54:45.943 \rightarrow 00:54:49.778$ size and fibrous SEPTA, which was not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00{:}54{:}49{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}51{.}588$ Ready for clinical use,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- 00:54:51.588 --> 00:54:53.396 but certainly validated our,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00{:}54{:}53{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56{.}148$ you know earlier findings.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:54:56.150 \rightarrow 00:54:58.878$ This is around year 2009 and 10 I

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}54{:}58{.}878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}02{.}194$ think at that time this technology was

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:02.194 \rightarrow 00:55:05.052$ still evolving and UPMC had acquired NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:05.052 \rightarrow 00:55:08.491$ the whole slide scanners and had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}55{:}08{.}491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}12{.}109$ people working on various algorithm to

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:12.109 \rightarrow 00:55:14.320$ assess histologically by automation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}55{:}14.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}17.320$ and we collaborated with UPMC to

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.417$ look at the same things where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:55:20.420 --> 00:55:22.935 Doctor Ahmed otherwise was our

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:22.935 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.947$ fellow at that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:55:24.950 \rightarrow 00:55:27.080$ Pursuing the project and we looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}55{:}27.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}29.832$ at the many things we looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}55{:}29.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}32.161$ fibrosis area we tried to sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}55{:}32{.}161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}34{.}430$ automate the nodule size and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:55:34.430 --> 00:55:37.130 diameter of nodule using computer algorithms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:55:37.130 --> 00:55:41.148 While it you know proved our prior

- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00{:}55{:}41{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}43{.}160$ kind of concepts, again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00:55:43.160 \dashrightarrow 00:55:45.310$ we recognize that the computer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00:55:45.310 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.843$ algorithms are not very good in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00:55:47.843 \rightarrow 00:55:49.407$ identifying all the fibrosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00:55:49.410 \rightarrow 00:55:52.908$ and we're missing nodules at times,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00{:}55{:}52{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}55{.}598$ and the estimation of the nodule size
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00{:}55{:}55{.}598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}57{.}959$ and the diameter was not perfect.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- 00:55:57.960 00:55:59.236 And besides those examples,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00:55:59.236 \rightarrow 00:56:01.580$ we know that there are many other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00:56:01.580 \rightarrow 00:56:03.415$ areas where this is challenging,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00:56:03.420 \rightarrow 00:56:05.436$ so this is another liver biopsy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00{:}56{:}05{.}436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}07{.}359$ which shows many different sizes of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00:56:07.360 \rightarrow 00:56:10.280$ Modules and very different kind of SEPTA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- 00:56:10.280 --> 00:56:12.080 And many times these things can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286
- $00{:}56{:}12.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}14.304$ be even more challenging where you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:56:14.304 --> 00:56:15.984 have delicate fibrous SEPTA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:56:15.990 --> 00:56:18.881 and not just which are composed for

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:18.881 \rightarrow 00:56:21.420$ virtually all only few hepatocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:21.420 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.372$ In addition to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}56{:}23.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}26.300$ we recognize that the the significance

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}56{:}26{.}385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}28{.}750$ of very central or sinusoidal file

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:28.750 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.437$ process is far more functionally than

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:31.437 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.502$ what it appears quantitatively and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}56{:}33{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}36{.}323$ this is not captured by many of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:36.323 \rightarrow 00:56:40.808$ other quantitative image analysis so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}56{:}40{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}43{.}013$ There are many challenges that prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}56{:}43.013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}45.764$ us from applying some of these things

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}56{:}45{.}764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}49{.}097$ that we have learned from our liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:56:49.097 --> 00:56:51.073 biopsy analysis into automation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.665$ Another feature which is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:56:52.665 - 00:56:54.575 difficult is that the liver biopsy

00:56:54.575 --> 00:56:56.444 has many normal 4 tracks that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:56:56.444 --> 00:56:58.260 lot of collagen or branching,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:56:58.260 \dashrightarrow 00:57:01.170$ or tracks that show fibrosis tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:01.170 \rightarrow 00:57:04.025$ That again is easily recognized

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:04.025 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.738$ by Vista pathologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:05.740 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.722$ but the image analysis it has

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:08.722 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.710$ to be done manually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.188$ So the issue is that the fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}57{:}13.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}14.668$ assessment remains subjective and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}57{:}14.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}16.822$ there's a lot of interobserver and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:16.822 \rightarrow 00:57:18.424$ interrupts or variability on top

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}57{:}18{.}424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}20{.}264$ of that there is a lot of sampling

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}57{:}20{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}22{.}120$ issues with liver biopsy because

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}57{:}22.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}24.323$ liver box represents a very tiny

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:24.323 \rightarrow 00:57:26.794$ sample of this huge liver and there

 $00:57:26.794 \rightarrow 00:57:29.639$ are issues of both qualitative versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}57{:}29{.}639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}32{.}219$ quantitative assessment of high process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:32.220 \rightarrow 00:57:35.204$ So during this time while this is happening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:35.210 \rightarrow 00:57:36.906$ many different noninvasive tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:36.906 \rightarrow 00:57:39.026$ that assess the liberal globally

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:39.026 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.788$ have been developed which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:57:40.790 --> 00:57:43.430 Are gradually replacing and has replaced

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:43.430 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.320$ a blower biopsy in many settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}57{:}46{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}49{.}932$ These tests are based on some

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:49.932 \rightarrow 00:57:53.124$ psychological markers as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:57:53.124 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.882$ imaging studies that evaluate liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}57{:}55{.}882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}59{.}212$ stiffness and are are kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}57{:}59{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}02{.}179$ becoming so popular and so useful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}58{:}02{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}04{.}091$ and I'm sure that at some time

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00:58:04.091 \dashrightarrow 00:58:05.609$ they will completely replace you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

00:58:05.610 --> 00:58:06.148 Know,

 $00:58:06.148 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.726$ fibrosis and liver biopsies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.903190110714286

 $00{:}58{:}08.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}10.856$ people suspect that liver biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

00:58:10.860 - 00:58:14.118 May become extent and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:58:14.118 \rightarrow 00:58:16.870$ Well, this may not happen exactly like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}58{:}16.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}20.128$ but the people have seen a decline in liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}58{:}20{.}128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}22{.}873$ biopsy is in in many different settings

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:58:22.873 \rightarrow 00:58:25.789$ and in certain parts of the world where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:58:25.790 \rightarrow 00:58:29.339$ there is certainly a decline in the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

00:58:29.340 --> 00:58:31.470 you know liver biopsy now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}58{:}31{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}36{.}064$ So what one can do to liver biopsy to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}58{:}36{.}064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}40{.}125$ it more informative so that you know we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:58:40.125 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.752$ Still do liver biopsy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:58:42.752 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.676$ get more information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}58{:}43.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}45.290$ That is not easily available

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:58:45.290 \rightarrow 00:58:46.256$ from other weeds,

00:58:46.260 --> 00:58:48.100 so I know that I'm close to time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}58{:}48{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}51{.}898$ so I'll just quickly go over this thing and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:58:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.004$ Talk briefly about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:58:54.004 \rightarrow 00:58:55.902$ the uh advanced Histology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}58{:}55{.}902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}58{.}007$ which is something that Rick

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}58{:}58{.}007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}00{.}222$ Torres has developed and he has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

00:59:00.222 --> 00:59:01.707 already given a grand round,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:59:01.710 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.234$ so I'm not going to kind of go over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}59{:}04{.}234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06{.}336$ all the things that he has done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:59:06.336 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.424$ but what he has done is he has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:59:09.424 \rightarrow 00:59:13.518$ applied clearing of tissues and using

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:59:13.518 \rightarrow 00:59:16.440$ multiphoton microscopy generated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:59:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.405$ Computer algorithms that can convert

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

00:59:19.405 --> 00:59:22.370 images acquired by multiphoton microscopy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:59:22.455 \rightarrow 00:59:24.060$ into equivalence mathematically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:59:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.660$ and this produces beautiful

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $00:59:26.660 \rightarrow 00:59:30.358$ images that can be put on servers

 $00:59:30.358 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.298$ that can be accessed remotely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}59{:}32{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}35{.}114$ You can see these images have high

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:59:35.114 \rightarrow 00:59:37.285$ quality of tissue Histology with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}59{:}37{.}285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}41{.}061$ great details and you can look at many

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}59{:}41.061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}44.109$ multiple different levels you can use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:59:44.110 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.382$ Second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:59:44.382 \rightarrow 00:59:46.286$ harmonic generation to look at the collagen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}59{:}46{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}48{.}036$ which is very important to assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00:59:48.036 \dashrightarrow 00:59:50.009$ of fibrosis in liver as they showed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}59{:}50{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}52{.}159$ And you can look at these tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}59{:}52{.}159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}53{.}080$ in three dimension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}59{:}53.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}56.698$ which adds a certain level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}59{:}56{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}59{.}130$ advanced Histology which is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $00{:}59{:}59{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}01{.}560$ available with our routine methods.

- $01:00:01.560 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.960$ You can color this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01:00:03.960 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.680$ Uhm?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- 01:00:05.680 --> 01:00:07.808 Find links in any color that you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01{:}00{:}07.808 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}10.217$ would like and to resemble any stain,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01{:}00{:}10.220 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}13.188$ and we also have started working on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01{:}00{:}13.190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}15.488$ this using liberty and this work
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01:00:15.488 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.764$ was done with all of you portal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01:00:17.764 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.813$ who was our fellow and in this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- 01:00:19.813 --> 01:00:22.117 study we just tried to look at you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01:00:22.117 \rightarrow 01:00:24.110$ know how does the Histology done NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01{:}00{:}24.110 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}26.674$ by this advanced Histology look or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01{:}00{:}26.674$ --> $01{:}00{:}28.578$ compared to traditional astrology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01:00:28.580 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.770$ and our findings were that they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01:00:30.770 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.110$ look very good without any artifacts
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01:00:33.110 \longrightarrow 01:00:35.758$ and the diagnosis can be made of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- 01:00:35.758 --> 01:00:37.984 Significance of in one of the cases

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01:00:37.984 \rightarrow 01:00:40.189$ where you could study the levels,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01{:}00{:}40.190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}42.245$ the fibrosis stage changed from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01{:}00{:}42.245 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}43.478$ 2 to cirrhosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01{:}00{:}43.480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}45.785$ Based on this advanced three
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01{:}00{:}45.785 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}47.629$ dimensional or multiple levels.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01:00:47.630 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.735$ Histology compared to the two
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01:00:49.735 \rightarrow 01:00:51.419$ dimensional strategy with two.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01:00:51.420 \longrightarrow 01:00:53.620$ So on top of this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01{:}00{:}53.620 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}56.311$ if we don't add what is going on with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01:00:56.311 \longrightarrow 01:00:59.246$ the analysis of tissues by either
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01:00:59.246 \rightarrow 01:01:01.801$ mass spectrometry or ramen spectrometry,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- 01:01:01.810 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:01:04.706 I think one can look at the chemical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- 01:01:04.706 --> 01:01:06.283 composition of various tissues
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- 01:01:06.283 --> 01:01:09.013 that are either a batter sites or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667
- $01:01:09.013 \rightarrow 01:01:11.636$ other components that goes beyond
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

01:01:11.636 --> 01:01:14.217 just DNA and RNA evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

01:01:14.217 --> 01:01:16.805 This allows for quantitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

01:01:16.805 --> 01:01:19.393 analysis of various things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

01:01:19.400 --> 01:01:21.364 including lipids, proteins, metals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

01:01:21.364 --> 01:01:24.820 Nucleic acids at the very tissue level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $01:01:24.820 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.510$ which can be identified using

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $01{:}01{:}26{.}510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}28{.}670$ probes or on two dimensional slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $01:01:28.670 \longrightarrow 01:01:31.442$ will allows for insight to localization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $01{:}01{:}31{.}442 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}33{.}860$ of those chemicals within tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $01{:}01{:}33.860 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}36.394$ to the extent that is now almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $01:01:36.394 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.010$ at the cellular level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

01:01:38.010 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:01:40.968 and I see the application of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8144415666666667

 $01:01:40.968 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.954$ this technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:01:41.960 --> 01:01:43.694 in routine Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:01:43.694 --> 01:01:45.680 Advancing our knowledge in

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:01:45.680 \longrightarrow 01:01:48.170$ each area we are working with

- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- 01:01:48.170 --> 01:01:50.290 this company site to various.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01{:}01{:}50{.}290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}52{.}315$ Sort of apply this technology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:01:52.315 \longrightarrow 01:01:53.530$ to liver biopsies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:01:53.530 \rightarrow 01:01:56.188$ They're already working on breast cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- 01:01:56.190 --> 01:01:57.933 In our department,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- 01:01:57.933 --> 01:02:01.115 our work has been slightly delayed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- 01:02:01.115 --> 01:02:02.490 due to the COVID situation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:02:02.490 \longrightarrow 01:02:04.490$ but I'm very excited by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01{:}02{:}04{.}490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}06{.}022$ collaboration with this company.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- 01:02:06.022 --> 01:02:09.006 Looking at, you know these new
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- 01:02:09.006 --> 01:02:10.247 technologies in liver biopsies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:02:10.247 \longrightarrow 01:02:11.850$ so if you missed some of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:02:11.899 \rightarrow 01:02:13.731$ things that I say that if you need
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01{:}02{:}13.731 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}14.967$ more details we have addressed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:02:14.967 \longrightarrow 01:02:16.780$ this in one of the reviews that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:16.833 \rightarrow 01:02:18.687$ we just recently published, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:02:18.687 --> 01:02:19.968 Justin, in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:02:19.968 --> 01:02:22.103 I think Universal fibrosis system

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01{:}02{:}22{.}103 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}24{.}424$ for assessing fibrosis and liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01{:}02{:}24{.}424 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}26{.}244$ disease is desperately needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:26.244 \longrightarrow 01:02:28.977$ and it will be very useful when

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:28.977 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.798$ we can validate this system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:30.798 \longrightarrow 01:02:32.888$ I've shown you the subclassification

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

01:02:32.888 --> 01:02:33.306 cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:33.310 \rightarrow 01:02:36.320$ How it can be done and hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01{:}02{:}36{.}320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}38{.}710$ with advances in related fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:38.710 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.989$ This will find more place in

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:41.989 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.618$ routine clinical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:43.620 \longrightarrow 01:02:45.664$ The evaluation of regression

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:45.664 \rightarrow 01:02:47.708$ and progression of fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

 $01:02:47.710 \longrightarrow 01:02:51.038$ It is in a novel concept and it

- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:02:51.038 \rightarrow 01:02:52.372$ certainly requires some refinement
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:02:52.372 \rightarrow 01:02:54.500$ before it can be used in practice,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01{:}02{:}54{.}500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}58{.}190$ but this is almost there and I've
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:02:58.190 \rightarrow 01:03:00.365$ shown you some quantitative image
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01{:}03{:}00{.}365 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}02{.}961$ analysis that can be applied and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:03:02.961 \longrightarrow 01:03:05.238$ with the availability of artificial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:03:05.238 \rightarrow 01:03:07.870$ intelligence and machine learning,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01{:}03{:}07{.}870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}10{.}266$ this conservative advance our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:03:10.266 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.063$ understanding and clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- 01:03:12.063 --> 01:03:14.560 use of liver biopsy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01{:}03{:}14.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}16.792$ And the most exciting part of this is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:03:16.792 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.382$ application of molecular pathology or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:03:18.382 \rightarrow 01:03:21.020$ chemistry at the tissue level or cell level,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01{:}03{:}21{.}020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}22{.}192$ which is tremendous potential
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:03:22.192 \longrightarrow 01:03:23.950$ not only in the cancer field,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924

- 01:03:23.950 --> 01:03:24.906 but inflammatory,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:03:24.906 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.340$ metabolic and infectious
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:03:26.340 \longrightarrow 01:03:28.252$ disorders of the liver.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:03:28.260 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.046$ So with that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:03:29.046 \rightarrow 01:03:30.618$ thank you very much for attention.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- 01:03:30.620 --> 01:03:32.796 I know I went a few minutes overtime,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:03:32.800 \longrightarrow 01:03:36.106$ but I'll be happy to take any
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- $01:03:36.106 \longrightarrow 01:03:37.780$ questions if there are any.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763834924
- 01:03:37.780 --> 01:03:38.260 Thank you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385
- $01:03:38.960 \rightarrow 01:03:42.983$ Dan Pat, thank you for that amazing tour and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385
- $01:03:42.983 \rightarrow 01:03:46.312$ thank you for sharing this body of work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385
- $01:03:46.312 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.490$ That's very deep in fighting in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385
- 01:03:48.564 --> 01:03:51.080 liver fibrosis and especially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385
- $01:03:51.080 \longrightarrow 01:03:52.338$ exciting technologies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385
- 01:03:52.340 --> 01:03:54.962 At the end I I'll start.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385
- $01{:}03{:}54{.}962 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}57{.}144$ I think we can, you know take 5

- NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385
- 01:03:57.144 --> 01:03:58.530 minutes for questions and I bet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385
- $01:03:58.530 \longrightarrow 01:04:00.366$ There's a lot more than that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385
- $01:04:00.370 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.185$ Well, I'll just start while
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385
- $01:04:02.185 \longrightarrow 01:04:04.000$ people are collecting their their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385
- $01:04:04.063 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.424$ thoughts back to the size of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385
- $01:04:06.424 \longrightarrow 01:04:08.330$ nodules in the fiber septien,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881750644615385
- 01:04:08.330 --> 01:04:09.878 a diagnostic liver biopsy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.930118608
- $01:04:10.690 \longrightarrow 01:04:12.460$ What are your thoughts as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.818848412
- $01:04:12.470 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.829$ to when, if, or should this kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.818848412
- $01:04:16.829 \rightarrow 01:04:19.860$ information be provided in a biopsy report?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- $01:04:21.640 \longrightarrow 01:04:24.195$ I think this is a great question,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- $01{:}04{:}24{.}200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}26{.}775$ and while the systems that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- 01:04:26.775 --> 01:04:29.498 I mentioned have been now,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- $01{:}04{:}29{.}498 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}33{.}446$ you know literature for many years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- $01{:}04{:}33{.}450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}35{.}496$ As you know, in clinical practice
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

01:04:35.496 --> 01:04:37.690 we really don't sign out for ABC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01{:}04{:}37.690 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}40.668$ and I think this is largely due to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:04:40.668 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.864$ fact that in clinical practice the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:04:42.864 \rightarrow 01:04:44.937$ implications of that are still limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01{:}04{:}44{.}940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}48{.}522$ But the areas where this is going to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01{:}04{:}48.522 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}51.786$ important and the areas where it will find a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:04:51.790 \longrightarrow 01:04:54.040$ You know application is when

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01{:}04{:}54{.}040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}56{.}290$ treatment decisions are based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01{:}04{:}56{.}370 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}59{.}030$ presence of early versus capacitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

01:04:59.030 --> 01:05:00.675 For example hepatitis C right

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01{:}05{:}00.675 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}01.991$ now every body with cirrhosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:01.991 \rightarrow 01:05:03.997$ gets treated with antiviral drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:03.997 \rightarrow 01:05:05.617$ because they're highly effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:05.620 \longrightarrow 01:05:07.858$ but if one realizes that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:07.858 \longrightarrow 01:05:09.790$ not beneficial in people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

01:05:09.790 --> 01:05:11.428 already have very advanced stage,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- $01:05:11.428 \rightarrow 01:05:13.346$ whether you should treat them or you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- 01:05:13.346 --> 01:05:15.045 should take them straight to transplant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- $01{:}05{:}15{.}045 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}16{.}610$ all those issues will come up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- $01:05:16.610 \rightarrow 01:05:18.444$ Because as we saw in our study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- $01{:}05{:}18{.}450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}20{.}286$ when their patients with hepatitis C
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- $01:05:20.286 \rightarrow 01:05:22.266$ are very advanced, the regression.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- $01:05:22.266 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.334$ Is very little or minimal as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- $01:05:25.334 \rightarrow 01:05:26.606$ I mentioned earlier,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- $01{:}05{:}26.606 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}28.302$ even patients who have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- 01:05:28.302 --> 01:05:29.150 hepatocellular carcinoma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- $01{:}05{:}29{.}150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}31{.}694$ for example the selection of patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- 01:05:31.694 --> 01:05:34.840 based on early versus advanced cirrhosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- $01{:}05{:}34{.}840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}35{.}578$ could be critical.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- $01{:}05{:}35{.}578 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}37{.}300$ And we don't do it right now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688
- $01{:}05{:}37{.}300 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}39{.}518$ But I have tried this indirectly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:39.518 \longrightarrow 01:05:42.206$ on some patients and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01{:}05{:}42.206 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}44.040$ that system does work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

01:05:44.040 --> 01:05:44.640 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85353688

 $01:05:44.640 \longrightarrow 01:05:46.280$ well we'll wait for your cue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

 $01{:}05{:}48.040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}49.416$ There's there's a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

 $01:05:49.416 \longrightarrow 01:05:51.136$ of comments in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

 $01:05:51.140 \longrightarrow 01:05:53.190$ Doctor Boyer is saying the

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

 $01:05:53.190 \rightarrow 01:05:54.830$ reversibility of fibrosis depends

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

 $01{:}05{:}54.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}57.617$ to a certain extent on the degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

 $01:05:57.617 \longrightarrow 01:05:59.153$ of crosslinking of collagen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

 $01:05:59.160 \longrightarrow 01:06:01.290$ Is there any way to assess

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

 $01{:}06{:}01{.}290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}03{.}089$ this histologically and how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.915415508888889

01:06:03.089 --> 01:06:04.949 all get to your question next?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01{:}06{:}05{.}660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}07{.}116$ Sure, I think that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:07.120 \rightarrow 01:06:10.504$ I think one of the things that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01{:}06{:}10.504 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}13.928$ talk about the irreversible fibrosis is

 $01:06:13.928 \longrightarrow 01:06:16.426$ based on the fact that the the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:16.426 \rightarrow 01:06:18.904$ fibrosis have less crosslinking and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:18.904 \rightarrow 01:06:20.970$ advanced fibrosis more cross linking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:20.970 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.674$ which is probably indirectly

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:22.674 \longrightarrow 01:06:24.378$ reflected in our studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01{:}06{:}24.380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}27.997$ As you know, more thick SEPTA, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:27.997 \rightarrow 01:06:30.799$ Truly, the functional assessment or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:30.799 \rightarrow 01:06:33.022$ chemical assessment of this fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:33.022 \rightarrow 01:06:35.626$ has to be done by other techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

01:06:35.630 --> 01:06:37.430 you know about histologically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:37.430 \rightarrow 01:06:40.130$ people have used darker blue staining

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:40.203 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.298$ on trichrome stains as indirect

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

01:06:42.298 --> 01:06:44.477 measure of cross crosslet collagen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:44.477 \longrightarrow 01:06:47.956$ but that is a crude method only,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01{:}06{:}47{.}960 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}51{.}257$ so when I was showing about the

 $01:06:51.257 \rightarrow 01:06:52.410$ chemical molecular pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01{:}06{:}52{.}410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}54{.}790$ I think these are some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:54.790 \longrightarrow 01:06:56.555$ things that one can certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:56.555 \rightarrow 01:06:58.595$ assess to understand the you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888902995714286

 $01:06:58.600 \longrightarrow 01:06:59.850$ The whole nature of process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:02.570 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.580$ In the interest of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:03.580 \longrightarrow 01:07:07.500$ I'll read how we use question patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:07.500 \longrightarrow 01:07:10.250$ with a paddle portal sclerosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01{:}07{:}10.250 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}13.286$ Can have bad clinical portal hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01{:}07{:}13.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}15.800$ And Pathologic exam often shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01{:}07{:}15.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}17.306$ nodular regenerative hyperplasia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01{:}07{:}17{.}310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}20{.}747$ but not that many thick fiber spans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:20.750 \longrightarrow 01:07:23.210$ If any, how does your model

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:23.210 \longrightarrow 01:07:24.850$ tease out this population?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01{:}07{:}24.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}27.244$ How does fibrosis regression and then this

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:27.244 \rightarrow 01:07:30.020$ might be a separate or same question?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538
- 01:07:30.020 --> 01:07:34.154 How does fibrosis regression correlate with

01:07:34.154 --> 01:07:38.290 HVPG slash clinical portal hypertension?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:38.290 \longrightarrow 01:07:39.450$ And then a third. Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:39.450 \rightarrow 01:07:41.418$ how does it correlate with elastography,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01{:}07{:}41{.}420 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}43{.}748$ which is a global assessment so

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

01:07:43.750 --> 01:07:47.380 NRH in Portola Peppers, chlorosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:47.380 \longrightarrow 01:07:49.552$ And then on aisle can repeat

NOTE Confidence: 0.912226538

 $01:07:49.552 \rightarrow 01:07:51.690$ those questions so you can see it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}07{:}52.040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}54.456$ Yeah, so I think that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:07:54.460 \longrightarrow 01:07:55.824$ So first thing conceptually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:07:55.824 \rightarrow 01:07:58.629$ when you're talking about a part of portal

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}07{:}58.629 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}00.723$ sclerosis or non static profile process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:00.730 \longrightarrow 01:08:02.920$ In that setting you have portal

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:02.920 \longrightarrow 01:08:04.920$ hypertension even without five process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:04.920 \longrightarrow 01:08:06.428$ So it's all hemodynamics.

 $01{:}08{:}06{.}428 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}08{.}964$ So in that setting you know certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

01:08:08.964 --> 01:08:11.156 we cannot apply what I was saying in

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}08{:}11{.}156 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}13{.}359$ terms of the five receptor or the nodule NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

01:08:13.359 --> 01:08:15.281 size because you don't see them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}08{:}15{.}281 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}17{.}528$ there's the whole thing about liver that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}08{:}17{.}530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}20{.}338$ Deliver the hemodynamics is sticky feature NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}08{:}20{.}338 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}22{.}660$ and inhibited portal closes because

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:22.660 \longrightarrow 01:08:25.387$ of variety of things you have already

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}08{:}25{.}387 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}27{.}432$ deranged hemodynamics that leads to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}08{:}27{.}432 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}29{.}429$ clinical significant portal hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}08{:}29{.}430 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}31{.}278$ and the complications, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:31.278 \longrightarrow 01:08:33.126$ was mentioning is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:33.130 \longrightarrow 01:08:34.630$ In advanced Digital helper to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:34.630 \rightarrow 01:08:35.230$ portal sclerosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:08:35.230 \longrightarrow 01:08:37.180$ you develop some partial modularity

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}08{:}37{.}180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}39{.}566$ and what people call complete

- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01{:}08{:}39{.}566 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}42{.}216$ septic services in that setting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01:08:42.216 \longrightarrow 01:08:44.208$ How one can apply this system?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- 01:08:44.210 --> 01:08:45.212 I don't know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01:08:45.212 \rightarrow 01:08:47.550$ It'll be an interesting thing to study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01{:}08{:}47{.}550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}50{.}690$ but it's also clear that once
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01:08:50.690 \longrightarrow 01:08:51.770$ you develop fibrosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01:08:51.770 \rightarrow 01:08:53.870$ even in that subgroup of patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01:08:53.870 \longrightarrow 01:08:56.398$ your progression is faster.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01{:}08{:}56{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}58{.}768$ So that's about the the question of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01:08:58.770 \longrightarrow 01:09:02.198$ You know a application of fibrosis and non
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01{:}09{:}02.198 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}04.046$ static portal fibrosis or high particles.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- 01:09:04.050 --> 01:09:04.511 Closest.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01{:}09{:}04{.}511 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}07{.}738$ The other issue is how do these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- 01:09:07.738 --> 01:09:09.880 things you know?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01:09:09.880 \longrightarrow 01:09:12.496$ Oh behave or or assist on a clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:12.496 \longrightarrow 01:09:14.345$ non invasive methods of fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}09{:}14.345 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}16.963$ and these are the limitations of non

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:17.034 \rightarrow 01:09:19.239$ invasive methods of high process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:19.240 \longrightarrow 01:09:23.320$ However again this is a whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:23.320 \longrightarrow 01:09:24.220$ separate discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}09{:}24{.}220 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}26{.}920$ In these patients there is evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

01:09:26.920 --> 01:09:27.356 support,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:27.356 \rightarrow 01:09:29.533$ hypertension which you can access

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

01:09:29.533 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:09:32.997 by many means by other tests and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}09{:}32{.}997 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}35{.}978$ key thing is that both by clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:35.978 \rightarrow 01:09:37.973$ assessment as well as imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:37.973 \rightarrow 01:09:40.250$ assessment they don't have high costs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:40.250 \longrightarrow 01:09:43.072$ I really don't have fibrosis so this

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:43.072 \rightarrow 01:09:45.520$ is one area where certainly there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01{:}09{:}45{.}520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}48{.}068$ a discordance between the fibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975

 $01:09:48.068 \rightarrow 01:09:50.044$ stage and clinical presentation

- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01:09:50.044 \rightarrow 01:09:51.526$ of portal hypertension,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01:09:51.530 \rightarrow 01:09:53.650$ but there's also a key towards the diagnosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01{:}09{:}53.650 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}57.258$ so I don't know if there are other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01:09:57.258 \rightarrow 01:09:59.378$ limitations of elastography in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- 01:09:59.378 --> 01:10:01.408 setting of idiopathic portal hypertension,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01:10:01.410 \longrightarrow 01:10:03.024$ but maybe Doctor boy or somebody
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01{:}10{:}03.024 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}04.728$ else who knows more about this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892877975
- $01{:}10{:}04.728 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}06.438$ than me can answer this question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928414394285714
- 01:10:07.960 --> 01:10:11.019 How I don't know if if we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928414394285714
- 01:10:11.020 --> 01:10:12.620 answered all your questions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928414394285714
- 01:10:12.620 --> 01:10:14.760 but any further, how are any others?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928414394285714
- $01:10:14.760 \longrightarrow 01:10:15.935$ How you want to comment?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928414394285714
- $01:10:15.940 \rightarrow 01:10:18.990$ Or are there any other further questions?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969
- $01:10:20.620 \longrightarrow 01:10:23.644$ Yes. So I I do see a comment by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969
- $01:10:23.644 \rightarrow 01:10:27.075$ Joe Metric that the MALDI TOF or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01:10:27.075 \rightarrow 01:10:29.381$ the multi technique can identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

01:10:29.381 --> 01:10:30.284 the crosslinking collagen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01{:}10{:}30{.}284 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}32{.}090$ and that's what exactly I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01:10:32.144 \rightarrow 01:10:33.874$ mentioning that these techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

01:10:33.874 --> 01:10:36.976 of mass spectrometry or or Rahman

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01{:}10{:}36{.}976 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}39{.}694$ spectrometry will be able to answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01:10:39.694 \longrightarrow 01:10:42.106$ the question of the actual chemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01:10:42.191 \rightarrow 01:10:45.460$ alteration that taking place at that level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

01:10:45.460 --> 01:10:46.584 So thank you Joe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01:10:46.584 \longrightarrow 01:10:47.926$ I think you know that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.745453969

 $01:10:47.926 \longrightarrow 01:10:49.006$ absolutely right what you say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84012485

 $01:10:52.180 \longrightarrow 01:10:55.060$ Well Dan pat. I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.956630183333333

 $01:10:55.060 \rightarrow 01:10:58.558$ thank you again for this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.956630183333333

 $01{:}10{:}58.558 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}01.020$ informative and engaging grand rounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.956630183333333

 $01{:}11{:}01{.}020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}03.860$ and I know there was a very large

NOTE Confidence: 0.956630183333333

 $01:11:03.940 \rightarrow 01:11:06.761$ audience and the vast majority of whom

- NOTE Confidence: 0.956630183333333
- $01:11:06.761 \rightarrow 01:11:09.694$ are hung out to the very end here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956630183333333
- 01:11:09.694 --> 01:11:12.122 Thank you again, thank you every
body for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956630183333333
- $01{:}11{:}12{.}122 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}14.000$ attending and have a nice afternoon.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830546846
- $01:11:14.910 \longrightarrow 01:11:16.260$ Thank you all. By e by.