WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:53:32" NOTE recognizability:0.767

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.080$ Good afternoon. Thank you for joining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:00:03.080 --> 00:00:06.600 We're going to get started right on time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:00:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.456$ I'm so excited to have Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:00:09.456 --> 00:00:11.360 Diana Esther Rossi here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:00:11.360 --> 00:00:14.240 It is my great pleasure to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:00:14.240 --> 00:00:16.880 her Doctor Esther Diana Rossi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:00:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.600$ whose fields of expertise

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:00:18.600 --> 00:00:20.040 and research are cytology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:00:20.040 --> 00:00:21.560 endocrine pathology and head

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:00:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.692$ and neck pathology. Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:00:22.692 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.128$ Rossi obtained her medical degree in

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:00:25.128 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.984$ anatomic pathology training at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:00:26.984 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.952$ University of Sacred Heart in Rome.

00:00:28.960 --> 00:00:30.960 Additionally, there she pursued APHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:00:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.128$ In endocrine science and

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:00:33.128 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.754$ experimental metabolic endocrinology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:00:34.760 --> 00:00:36.920 Her thesis discussed molecular applications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00{:}00{:}36.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.825$ specifically the B RAF mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:00:38.825 --> 00:00:40.730 and papillary carcinoma and liquid

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:00:40.794 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.758$ based thyroid aspiration biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:00:42.760 --> 00:00:44.080 During her residency training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:00:44.080 --> 00:00:46.403 Doctor Rossi was a visiting fellow at

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:00:46.403 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.137$ Upenn and Hahnemann in Philadelphia over

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:00:48.137 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.279$ the course of three consecutive years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:00:50.280 --> 00:00:51.624 Since that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:00:51.624 --> 00:00:53.926 she has worked closely under the

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00{:}00{:}53.926 \to 00{:}00{:}55.341$ mentorship in an active collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:00:55.341 --> 00:00:57.360 with the late Doctor Virginia Volvosi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:00:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.406$ prior faculty member here in our

 $00:00:59.406 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.754$ department and well known as an icon

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:01:01.754 --> 00:01:03.596 in the field of endocrine pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:01:03.600 --> 00:01:05.358 specifically thyroid pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:01:05.358 --> 00:01:07.116 After residency training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:01:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.226$ Doctor Rossi stayed at Catholic University

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:01:09.226 --> 00:01:11.280 for her first academic appointment

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:01:11.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.044$ and continues to practice in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:01:13.044 --> 00:01:14.919 Division of Anatomic Pathology and Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:01:14.920 --> 00:01:16.279 As full Professor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:01:16.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.780$ Doctor Rossi is an active

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:01:17.780 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.680$ in International Society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:01:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.186$ She serves in many roles in Use

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:01:21.186 --> 00:01:23.079 Cap Companion Society since 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00{:}01{:}23.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.342$ Some include the Use Cap Foundation

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:01:25.342 --> 00:01:26.473 Abstract Review Committee,

 $00:01:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.720$ Faculty for the Continuing Education Program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:01:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.120$ She's head of membership for the PAP Society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:01:32.120 --> 00:01:33.560 sits on the Executive Board,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:01:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.330$ and just recently became president-elect

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:01:35.330 --> 00:01:37.960 of the PAP Society of Cytopathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:01:37.960 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.025$ most notably as our first

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:01:40.025 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.677$ international candidates to serve

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:01:41.677 --> 00:01:44.160 in the Use Cap Companion Society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:01:44.160 --> 00:01:45.784 She's delivered short courses

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:01:45.784 --> 00:01:47.814 on salivary gland cytology since

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:01:47.814 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.829$ 2018 and will continue next week

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:01:49.829 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.680$ in Baltimore at the Use Cap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:01:51.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.425$ She's an invited speaker around

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:01:53.425 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.170$ the world for major international

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:01:55.226 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.918$ societies including the International

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:01:56.918 --> 00:01:59.033 Academy of Cytopathology Use CAP,

00:01:59.040 --> 00:02:00.980 the American Society of Cytopathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:02:00.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.293$ CAP and has Co directed courses at joint

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:02:04.293 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.430$ international meetings with the ASC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:02:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.380$ She's published over 150 manuscripts

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:02:08.380 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.506$ and high impact peer reviewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:02:10.506 \dashrightarrow 00:02:12.518$ journals and the cytopathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:02:12.520 --> 00:02:14.200 endocrine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:02:14.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.240$ head and neck surgical and molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:02:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.558$ literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:02:16.558 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.784$ Over half of those were first author

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:02:18.784 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.251$ and has published over a dozen book

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:02:21.251 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.505$ chapters more recently focusing on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00{:}02{:}23.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.233$ classification systems of reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:02:25.233 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.393$ thyroid and salivary gland cytology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:02:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.088$ Since 2017,

 $00:02:28.088 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.152$ she has served Associate editor for

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:02:30.152 --> 00:02:32.442 the Cancer Cytopathology and editor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

00:02:32.442 --> 00:02:34.752 the Atlas of Salivary Gland Cytology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:02:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.685$ She's lead author of the 5th edition

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00{:}02{:}36.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38.280$ of WHO for Pediatric Endocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.970962414

 $00:02:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.040$ Head and Neck Heme and

NOTE Confidence: 0.843508546666667

 $00:02:40.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.640$ Lymphoid Blue Books. Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.846935621764706

 $00:02:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.859$ Rossi is a leading expert in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846935621764706

 $00{:}02{:}44.859 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.223$ cytopathology classification and management

NOTE Confidence: 0.846935621764706

 $00:02:46.223 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.359$ of salivary gland lesions and neoplasms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846935621764706

 $00{:}02{:}48.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51.020$ She's Co editor with Doctor Fackin of

NOTE Confidence: 0.846935621764706

 $00:02:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.240$ the New Milan System for classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.846935621764706

 $00:02:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.932$ of salivary lesions and editor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.846935621764706

 $00:02:54.932 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.029$ chief of the 2nd edition of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846935621764706

 $00:02:57.029 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.779$ Milan System published last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846935621764706

00:02:58.779 --> 00:03:00.592 She joins us today to share her

 $00:03:00.592 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.278$ experience in salivary gland lesions

NOTE Confidence: 0.846935621764706

 $00{:}03{:}02.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}03.885$ and the Milan classification System.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846935621764706

 $00:03:03.885 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.165$ It is truly our honor to host Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.846935621764706

00:03:06.165 --> 00:03:08.157 Rossi for Yale ground rounds today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846935621764706

00:03:08.160 --> 00:03:09.508 an exemplary academic pathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.846935621764706 00:03:09.508 --> 00:03:10.519 of our times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858531133333333

00:03:10.720 --> 00:03:12.316 Thank you so much. Thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.51316369

 $00:03:13.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.720$ Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7031313

00:03:15.800 --> 00:03:18.680 Thank you so much for being here

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00{:}03{:}18.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21.830$ and to listen that to this talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

00:03:21.830 --> 00:03:24.928 about the Milan system and updates

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:03:24.928 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.185$ from the 2nd edition, no conflict of

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00{:}03{:}28.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}30.315$ interest as you seen this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:03:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.280$ I'm really keen on movies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:03:31.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.360$ So when I think about the Milan system,

 $00:03:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.040$ but in general classification system

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:03:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.720$ comparing with the standard psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:03:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.076$ I think of this movie Mrs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:03:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.240$ Love Fire because she represented

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:03:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.400$ the other same side hub,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

00:03:47.400 --> 00:03:48.368 Robin Williams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:03:48.368 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.272$ But why the development of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

00:03:51.272 --> 00:03:52.240 international system?

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:03:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.200$ If you think when we started there with

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:03:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.353$ this classification which was in 2015,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00{:}03{:}57.353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00.318$ there were classification for thyroid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:04:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.116$ for urine, for breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:04:02.116 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.810$ but nothing for salivary and maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:04:04.893 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.209$ this was you because the salivary

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:04:07.209 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.842$ is a little bit raver comparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00{:}04{:}09.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12.866$ with this other psychology and also

 $00:04:12.866 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.132$ because it's a little bit difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:04:15.132 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.704$ In fact, we have significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

00:04:17.704 --> 00:04:19.000 morphological overlapping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:04:19.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.400$ but we really were aware that we needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00{:}04{:}22.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.466$ to put the different entities into

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

00:04:25.466 --> 00:04:28.796 categories linked with the risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

00:04:28.796 --> 00:04:31.196 malignancy and specific strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:04:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.200$ And if you go back to the past,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:04:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.664$ even at the end of the 18th century,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

00:04:36.664 --> 00:04:38.537 La Wasier, a French chemist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

00:04:38.537 --> 00:04:41.190 underline that in order to improve the

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:04:41.258 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.996$ science, we need to improve the language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:04:44.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.472$ But also more recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

00:04:45.472 --> 00:04:46.304 Leopold cast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:04:46.304 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.800$ one of the fathers of psychology,

 $00:04:48.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.446$ reported in his book that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:04:51.446 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.114$ reports should be expressed in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:04:54.114 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.574$ simple language that can be easily

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:04:56.574 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.640$ understood by the clinicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:04:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.824$ Also in one of the 1st edition

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:05:00.824 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.080$ of The Who is the logical typing

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:05:03.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.400$ of salivary gland tumors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:05:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.650$ In 1972 in the general preface

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00{:}05{:}07.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10.970$ there was a note reporting that an

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:05:10.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.689$ internationally agreed classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00{:}05{:}12.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.630$ of tumor acceptable and like all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:05:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.614$ different physicians would enable

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:05:18.614 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.842$ cancer workers are all around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:05:21.842 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.326$ When we started with this classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:05:24.326 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.310$ now there were some editorials

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

00:05:26.310 --> 00:05:28.554 such as this by Mandeep Mawa,

 $00:05:28.560 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.760$ a British surgeon emphasizing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:05:31.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.196$ Is it time we adopted the

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:05:34.196 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.361$ classification for priority but in

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:05:36.361 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.676$ general for salivary gland setology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:05:38.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.384$ And the answer is yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:05:40.384 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.755$ because when we started in 2015,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

00:05:42.755 --> 00:05:45.800 there was a really a reporting confusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:05:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.656$ confusion for salivary setology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:05:48.656 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.226$ diversity of diagnostic categories or

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:05:52.226 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.677$ discrete reports without categories or

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:05:55.677 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.637$ even surgical pathology terminology use on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00{:}05{:}59.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01.795$ We started with this classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:06:01.795 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.173$ and this idea during a meeting in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

00:06:05.173 --> 00:06:07.498 Bologna in two time February 2015.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

00:06:07.498 --> 00:06:10.424 And then I shared this idea during

 $00:06:10.424 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.473$ the Youth Cup 2015 in Boston.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00{:}06{:}12.473 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.885$ We were in the lobby of the Hilton

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118 00:06:14.885 --> 00:06:15.797 in the bar. NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:06:15.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.752$ So just think what might happen in a bar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:06:18.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.280$ And we decided all around we

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:06:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.395$ were with Doctor Falguin, Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118 00:06:23.395 --> 00:06:23.830 Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:06:23.830 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.265$ Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:06:24.265 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.875$ Wychick that there was a general

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:06:26.875 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.902$ agreement on the need for a defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00{:}06{:}29.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.231$ set of diagnostic categories for

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:06:32.231 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.076$ salivary cytology for different reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:06:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.095$ including a clarity of communication

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:06:37.095 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.573$ which means that the and improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:06:39.573 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.913$ of cancer risk and also exchange

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

00:06:41.913 --> 00:06:43.966 of data across the different

 $00:06:43.966 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.996$ institution in a uniform way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:06:46.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.760$ This was the beginning of the Milan system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

00:06:47.760 --> 00:06:49.245 But why Milan?

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:06:49.245 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.720$ For thyroid we had Bethesda,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:06:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.876$ For urine we have for urine we

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

00:06:53.876 --> 00:06:56.134 had Paris from the place in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.812456545294118

 $00:06:56.134 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.024$ they met for the first time

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:06:58.097 --> 00:06:59.887 for study very I really

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:06:59.887 --> 00:07:01.319 wanted an Italian name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:07:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.854$ But the occasion was also likely due

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:07:03.854 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.785$ to the fact that the first meeting of

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:07:06.785 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.141$ the core group of the Milan system was

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00{:}07{:}10.141 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}13.153$ during the European Congress of Psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00{:}07{:}13.160 --> 00{:}07{:}15.351$ which likely, I have to say in

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:07:15.351 --> 00:07:18.077 2000 and 15 September was in Milan,

 $00:07:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.370$ so very easy to name this classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00{:}07{:}21.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.800$ after the place Milan and Italian name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:07:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.384$ The Milan system is so sponsored by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:07:27.384 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.169$ American Society and the International

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:07:29.169 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.675$ Academy of Setology for the 1st edition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:07:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.396$ The 2nd is also supported by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:07:34.396 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.840$ European Federation of Setology Society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:07:36.840 --> 00:07:39.297 I really need to to thank especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00{:}07{:}39.297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}41.511$ the American Society and the European

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:07:41.511 --> 00:07:43.331 Federation of Setology for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00{:}07{:}43.331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}45.477$ amazing job that they did with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:07:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.080$ In our my intention, Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00{:}07{:}47.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49.648$ Faquin and I wanted a practical

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:07:49.648 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.394$ classification system that would be user

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:07:52.394 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.160$ friendly and internationally accepted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:07:55.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.960$ And also this is an evidence based system.

 $00:07:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.600$ We analyse all the cases from

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:08:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.208$ the 1980 to 2017 when we finished

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00{:}08{:}05.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07.920$ it with the prohibition some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724 00:08:07.920 --> 00:08:08.444 Of course, NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:08:08.444 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.278$ there are several benefits when we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:08:10.278 --> 00:08:11.919 about the uniform reporting system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:08:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.244$ in this case stallberry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724 00:08:13.244 --> 00:08:14.237 First of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:08:14.240 --> 00:08:16.500 to improve communication between

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:08:16.500 --> 00:08:18.195 pathologists and clinicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:08:18.200 --> 00:08:21.480 which means improve patient care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00{:}08{:}21.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.780$ but also to facilitate scientological

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724 00:08:24.780 --> 00:08:25.440 correlation. NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00{:}08{:}25.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28.065$ And to promote of course a research

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:08:28.065 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.112$ into the different fields among

00:08:30.112 --> 00:08:31.808 the different institutions try

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:08:31.808 --> 00:08:34.200 to speak a common language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:08:34.200 --> 00:08:38.040 Also for research and purposes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:08:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.905$ let's introduce the past life

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:08:39.905 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.397$ of the Milan system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:08:41.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.200$ the 1st edition and the new zone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:08:44.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.364$ I'll be interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:08:45.364 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.304$ This is the international recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:08:47.304 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.636$ awarded movie with the 2nd edition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:08:49.636 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.900$ The past is represented by the 1st edition

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00{:}08{:}52.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55.366$ which was published in March 2018.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:08:55.366 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.996$ As you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:08:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.340$ 10 chapters with definitions

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:08:59.340 --> 00:09:01.680 criteria as Planetary notes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:09:01.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.655$ over 40 contributing authors from

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:09:03.655 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.192$ all over the world 182 and 82 pages.

 $00{:}09{:}07.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}09.632$ 109 Colour features several downloads

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00{:}09{:}09.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}11.694$ and translations in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:09:11.694 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.166$ languages and on the right you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:09:15.256 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.836$ see the diagnostic categories 6 that

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:09:17.836 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.003$ we are going to describe soon with

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:09:21.003 --> 00:09:24.370 the risk of malignancy for each of

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:09:24.468 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.792$ them and the specific management for

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:09:27.792 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.920$ each of these diagnostic category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:09:30.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.265$ And really we want to global because

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:09:33.265 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.645$ we have gained it worldwide the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:09:35.645 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.381$ acceptance amount the cytopathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:09:37.381 --> 00:09:40.226 and you can see the Chinese and

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00{:}09{:}40.226 \to 00{:}09{:}41.698$ the Japanese Japanese version

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:09:41.698 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.314$ of the Milan system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:09:43.314 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.936$ but there is also a Turkish

 $00:09:45.936 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.600$ and the French translation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00{:}09{:}48.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}50.874$ These are the major topics introduced

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:09:50.874 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.274$ by the Milan system first edition

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:09:53.274 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.898$ diagnostic category 6 combined

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:09:54.898 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.136$ with the risk of malignancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:09:57.136 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.320$ and the management strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:09:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.288$ The definition of a TPA of

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:10:01.288 --> 00:10:02.600 under term is significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:10:02.600 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.847$ And the concept of a TPA in

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:10:04.847 --> 00:10:06.646 general also for salivary cytology

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00{:}10{:}06.646 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}10{:}09.299$ as it was present in all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:10:09.299 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.440$ other classification systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:10:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.035$ And emphasizing that the important

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:10:14.035 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.630$ role of ancillary techniques as

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:10:16.712 --> 00:10:18.472 an additional diagnostic tool

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:10:18.472 --> 00:10:21.931 school due also to the new upcoming

 $00:10:21.931 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.382$ genetic alterations since 2018

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00{:}10{:}25.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26.910$ / 200 psychological publications

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:10:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.205$ are related to the Milan system

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00:10:29.205 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.795$ from all over the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

 $00{:}10{:}30.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.368$ Most have confirmed the risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.804380996551724

00:10:33.368 --> 00:10:35.080 malignancy for each category,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:10:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.705$ but mostly there has been a growing

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:10:37.705 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.678$ acceptance by the other network clinicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:10:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.738$ which is the most important thing when

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:10:42.738 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.880$ you introduce a classification system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:10:44.880 --> 00:10:48.597 So in this golden age of the Milan system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:10:48.600 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.248$ why a second edition?

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:10:50.248 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.720$ Why should the postman reign twice?

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:10:52.720 --> 00:10:55.590 Because we realize from DSO 200 the

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:10:55.590 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.640$ publication that there was something

 $00:10:57.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.632$ that we needed to revise and to refine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00{:}11{:}00.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}03.495$ The 2nd edition was published

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:11:03.495 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.972$ in July 2023 with new chapters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:11:06.972 --> 00:11:09.304 including a chapter specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:11:09.304 --> 00:11:11.787 for ultrasound more and new

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:11:11.787 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.100$ hotters more pictures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:11:13.100 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.800$ We replace a half of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:11:15.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.280$ the ambitions of the chapter with The Who

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:11:18.280 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.317$ had the knack because of Doctor Faquin and I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:11:21.320 --> 00:11:24.350 But also other holders were involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00{:}11{:}24.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27.700$ in this WHO 2022 changes in the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:11:27.700 --> 00:11:30.666 of malignancy for each of the chapter

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:11:30.666 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.318$ and updates in the ancillary chapter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:11:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.552$ So we can say that we started from scratches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:11:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.654$ So these are some drawing done by

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:11:39.654 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.162$ Michelangelo in the secret room under

 $00:11:42.162 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.006$ the old Chapel in San Lorenzo, Florence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:11:45.006 --> 00:11:47.794 And you can see fingers, arms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:11:47.794 --> 00:11:51.118 hands that prepared himself to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:11:51.118 --> 00:11:53.977 final masterpiece which is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:11:53.977 --> 00:11:55.639 amazing assistant Chapel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:11:55.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.195$ And the same here's a for Rehauser.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:11:58.200 --> 00:12:00.180 Because if you think about what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:12:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.559$ new in this last weekend months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00{:}12{:}02.560 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}05.560$ of course I start with my passion movies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:12:05.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.200$ So Oppenheimer in the same period

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:12:08.200 --> 00:12:09.520 something like Barbie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:12:09.520 --> 00:12:11.416 something more social rusty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00{:}12{:}11.416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12.838$ something more historical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00{:}12{:}12.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.840$ Napoleon and also the 2nd edition

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:12:15.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.146$ of the Milan system, July 2023.

 $00:12:20.146 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.076$ The same orders and editors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:12:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.583$ Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:12:22.583 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.601$ Faquin and I, the same associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:12:25.601 --> 00:12:28.279 editors from Europe and from US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:12:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.920$ Yeah, you can compare the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:12:30.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.840$ different editions 2018,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:12:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.440$ two 1023 in the second edition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692 00:12:35.440 --> 00:12:36.704 11 chapters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:12:36.704 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.600$ The same definitions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692 00:12:38.600 --> 00:12:39.036 criteria, NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:12:39.036 --> 00:12:41.216 explanatory notes is pretty similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:12:41.216 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.159$ to the of course the 1st edition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:12:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.128$ but both of them are similar to

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00{:}12{:}47.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48.400$ the Bethesda thyroid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:12:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.560$ We were involved of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:12:49.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.852$ also in the Bethesda,

 $00:12:50.852 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.631$ so we wanted that there was a uniform

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:12:53.631 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.312$ evaluation by the readers of all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:12:56.312 --> 00:12:59.440 different heartless more than 60 now

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:12:59.440 --> 00:13:02.736 contributing others more than 250 pages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:13:02.736 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.556$ more than 200 colour pictures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:13:05.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.451$ We replace half of them exhibitions

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:13:08.451 --> 00:13:10.437 according of course to The Who,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:13:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.982$ especially with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:13:11.982 --> 00:13:14.038 differences in the entities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:13:14.040 --> 00:13:15.918 changes in the risk of malignancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:13:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.560$ and updates in the ancillary chapter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:13:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.120$ This is the general scheme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00{:}13{:}20.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}21.736$ including UC 11 Chapter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00{:}13{:}21.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.559$ Chapter 9 is the Imaging of Salisbury Grants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:13:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.680$ which was written by radiologists,

00:13:27.680 --> 00:13:29.520 specifically radiologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00{:}13{:}29.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33.200$ from Upenn from Pennsylvania.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:13:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.400$ And this is the new core of the Milan system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:13:35.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.044$ So the diagnostic categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:13:37.044 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.510$ combined with the new risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:13:39.590 --> 00:13:41.718 malignancy and the management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:13:41.720 --> 00:13:44.120 you can see also the sensitivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692 00:13:44.120 --> 00:13:44.653 specificity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00{:}13{:}44.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46.785$ positive and negative predictive

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:13:46.785 --> 00:13:48.917 value and the diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:13:48.917 --> 00:13:51.078 accuracy which were pretty high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:13:51.080 --> 00:13:53.413 The first chapter here is overview

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:13:53.413 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.180$ is an overview of the evaluation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00{:}13{:}56.180 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}13{:}58.630$ salivary cytology as it is present in

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:13:58.630 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.397$ all the different classification systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:14:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.266$ From chapter two we started with

 $00:14:03.266 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.321$ the day of course the definition

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00{:}14{:}05.321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07.559$ of the different categories and of

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

00:14:07.559 --> 00:14:09.677 course the 1st is non diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692

 $00:14:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.739$ risk of malignancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800666792307692 00:14:10.739 --> 00:14:11.798 Now is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:14:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.000 15\%$. In the 1st edition

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:14:14.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.200$ was between 0 and 20%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:14:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.762$ We had an introduction and then a

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

00:14:18.762 --> 00:14:20.825 detailed discussion of all the criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:14:20.825 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.307$ that you can here on the left on

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:14:23.307 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.477$ the right rear of absence of cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:14:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.880$ poorly prepared samples we artifact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}14{:}27.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31.336$ So for instance when you have a drying

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}14{:}31.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33.940$ poor fixation crash artifact which

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:14:33.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.038$ hamper which for which you are a hamper

 $00:14:37.038 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.639$ it to make a diagnosis or the evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}14{:}40.639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.915$ of non neoplastic normal acinar cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

00:14:43.920 --> 00:14:46.345 So basically you didn't sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:14:46.345 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.650$ the lesion and of course in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

00:14:48.650 --> 00:14:49.980 inhabitants of a clinically and

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:14:50.039 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.679$ radiologically they define mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

00:14:51.680 --> 00:14:54.454 So you didn't sample yet the

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:14:54.454 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.524$ presence of a fibrosis stromal

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:14:56.524 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.680$ component alone with our cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:14:58.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.148$ but also non mucinosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}15{:}00.148 \to 00{:}15{:}02.930$ The fluid with our cells saw only

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

00:15:02.930 --> 00:15:05.954 macrophages for instance and necrotic debris,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:15:05.960 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.480$ but we will discuss about necrotic debris.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:15:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.080$ As for the betesa thyroid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

00:15:10.080 --> 00:15:12.000 we included also some exceptions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}15{:}12.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14.436$ for instance any salivary has parade in

 $00:15:14.436 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.052$ which you have even a few focal typical

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}15{:}17.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}20.034$ cells cannot be defined as non diagnostic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:15:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.680$ This should be defined as AUS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:15:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.410$ as atypia or mucinosis the

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:15:25.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.594$ fluid only without cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}15{:}27.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30.526$ This cannot be defined as non diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:15:30.526 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.264$ but AUS because could be a mucosal

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:15:33.264 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.149$ or a retention cyst but also a low

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}15{:}36.149 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}38.606$ grade Mac and you sample only the

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

00:15:38.606 --> 00:15:40.920 mucinos component or the presence

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}15{:}40.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42.880$ of abundant inflammatory cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:15:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.200$ Without an epitelial component cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}15{:}45.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.996$ be defined as non diagnostic because

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}15{:}47.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.402$ this should be interpreted and has

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:15:50.402 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.421$ had weight and also the evidence of

 $00:15:53.421 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.601$ stroma component which is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}15{:}55.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57.135$ with your plastic condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}15{:}57.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59.325$ Again even with our cells cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:15:59.325 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.360$ be defined as non diagnostic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:16:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.120$ Just an example this is a cellulose mirror.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}16{:}04.120 --> 00{:}16{:}06.136$ About that you know a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:16:06.136 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.000$ the crush artifact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:16:07.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.520$ a lot of blood hampering

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:16:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.334$ diagnosis or here on the left you

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:16:12.334 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.159$ can see the absence of cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:16:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.280$ so this is non diagnostic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:16:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.016$ Or in the left bottom you can see just

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}16{:}20.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.919$ a cluster of normal as inner cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:16:22.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.380$ So this means that you didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:16:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.200$ sample deletion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:16:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.040$ In the upper right you can see necrotic

 $00:16:29.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.239$ component associated with inflammatory cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}16{:}31.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34.120$ You have to diagnose this as non diagnostic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

00:16:34.120 --> 00:16:36.640 But it's important to put a

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

00:16:36.640 --> 00:16:38.320 note emphasizing that necrotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:16:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.075$ component is associated also with

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:16:41.075 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.680$ the neoplastic condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:16:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.576$ So a sort of hub warrant about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

00:16:45.576 --> 00:16:47.879 habitats of necrotic component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}16{:}47.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51.008$ And on the bottom you have this dance

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}16{:}51.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53.061$ mucinos component which cannot be

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

00:16:53.061 --> 00:16:55.051 defined as non diagnostic because

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:16:55.051 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.214$ it can be neck or can be a benign

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}16{:}58.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00.061$ condition a mucosal or retention system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:17:00.061 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.467$ Let's go to the the go to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

00:17:02.467 --> 00:17:04.417 bed and the ugly plaintiffs water

 $00:17:04.417 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.959$ hand cell drop by cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}17{:}05.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.720$ Juliana did not ne
oplastic the

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

00:17:08.720 --> 00:17:11.880 risk of malignancy is now 11%

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:17:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.316$ in the 1st edition was 10%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:17:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.155$ We included all the non neoplastic condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}17{:}17.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19.500$ so metaplastic inflammatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

00:17:19.500 --> 00:17:21.840 acute chronic Cellulitis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:17:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.080$ lymphopitelial cell adenitis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}17{:}24.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.320$ the hyperplastic reactive lymph

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:17:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.560$ node especially in the parotid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00{:}17{:}28.560 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}17{:}30.156$ You can see here some example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:17:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.750$ on the left we have a chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:17:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.480$ cell Adenitis with the DS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

 $00:17:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.215$ small atrophic ductal cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.959927096

00:17:37.215 --> 00:17:39.950 inflammatory component or on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00{:}17{:}40.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43.038$ on the right upper part Cellulitis,

00:17:43.040 --> 00:17:45.880 Cellulitis with the meta plastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:17:45.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.720$ ductal cells and chronic inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:17:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.392$ Or in the bottom you can see some stones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:17:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.451$ And also this is an example of an acute

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:17:55.451 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.924$ cell Adenitis in which you have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:17:58.924 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.326$ clear acute inflammatory component in

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:18:01.326 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.522$ which it's important to come combine

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:18:03.522 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.532$ with the radiological and clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:18:05.532 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.662$ evidence or for instance crystalloids

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:18:07.662 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.816$ which are frequently associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

00:18:09.816 --> 00:18:11.856 inflammatory component and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:18:11.856 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.655$ see the different shapes of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00{:}18{:}14.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17.280$ Another possibility is a lymphobidila

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00{:}18{:}17.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19.619$ celladenitis in which usually you

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:18:19.619 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.440$ have a datum with a plastic squamid

 $00:18:22.522 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.187$ cells intermingled with a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00{:}18{:}25.187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28.150$ lymphocyte and it's a typical habitance

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:18:28.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.600$ on cytology and not very difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

00:18:31.600 --> 00:18:34.444 But another possibility here is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:18:34.444 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.006$ reactive but lymph nodiperplasia in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

00:18:37.006 --> 00:18:39.496 you have the habitants have lymphocytes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:18:39.496 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.918$ a small lymphocytes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:18:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.555$ follicular dendritic cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

00:18:42.555 --> 00:18:45.694 but also the habitants in C&D

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:18:45.694 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.936$ tingible body macrophages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00{:}18{:}46.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49.935$ So just to remember that of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:18:49.935 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.145$ we might have this possibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:18:52.145 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.913$ also has non neoplastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00{:}18{:}53.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55.756$ When you have some doubts that

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

00:18:55.756 --> 00:18:57.732 you can perform for instance a

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

00:18:57.732 --> 00:18:59.796 flow cytometry to solve the issue,

 $00:18:59.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.768$ we discussed it a lot about

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

00:19:01.768 --> 00:19:02.752 actipia and neoplasms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:19:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.158$ if they are different or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:19:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.114$ like Jack Nicholson and the final Joker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

00:19:07.120 --> 00:19:07.964 And yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:19:07.964 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.496$ based on the literature we decided

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

00:19:10.496 --> 00:19:12.810 to stay with the same classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:19:12.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.120$ that we had in the first edition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:19:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.960$ So AUS and neoplasm super

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:19:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.800$ classified as B9 and sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:19:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.580$ This is a table from the 2nd edition in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:19:23.642 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.354$ you can see the sober classification of AUS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00{:}19{:}26.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.555$ The first he is a cystic and non cystic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:19:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.438$ For the cystic it's important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:19:31.438 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.038$ recognize if it is a mucinous component,

 $00:19:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.002$ so can be non neoplastic or

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00{:}19{:}36.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38.105$ can be neoplastic and the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00{:}19{:}38.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}39.915$ important the plastic is Mac.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

00:19:39.920 --> 00:19:42.936 If it is non Mucinosa you might have

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:19:42.936 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.833$ lymphocyte prevalent for instance and

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:19:44.833 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.332$ we have to consider the neoplastic or

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:19:47.405 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.820$ non neoplastic Consider and conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:19:49.820 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.573$ but also epithelial cell prevalent and

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00{:}19{:}52.573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}54.571$ you have to recognize the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:19:54.571 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.937$ type if one possible squamoid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

00:19:56.937 --> 00:19:58.491 oncocytic, basaloid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:19:58.491 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.599$ acinic and spindle cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:20:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.938$ And for each of them you might

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:20:03.938 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.926$ have non neoplastic and neoplastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00{:}20{:}05.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08.201$ conditions which are all described

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:20:08.201 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.240$ with bacteria with examples.

00:20:10.240 --> 00:20:12.718 And details in the in the system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:20:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.160$ in the in the Atlas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

00:20:14.160 --> 00:20:15.372 For non cystic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

00:20:15.372 --> 00:20:18.200 again we might have a typical lymphoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:20:18.284 \longrightarrow 00:20:20:380$ proliferation but also epithelial

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:20:20.380 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.524$ cell predominant with the same sober

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:20:23.607 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.889$ classification that we reported in non

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:20:26.889 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.077$ musinos epithelial cell prevalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:20:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.964$ The risk of malignancy for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:20:30.964 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.759$ category is at around now 30%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:20:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.005$ In the 1st edition was 20%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

00:20:35.005 --> 00:20:37.430 Of course we are discussing

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00{:}20{:}37.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}39.720$ about a surgical follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:20:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.528$ I would like to remember that for AUS

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:20:42.528 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.400$ the the best standard management is a

00:20:45.400 --> 00:20:48.480 follow up or repetition mostly repetition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00{:}20{:}48.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52.980$ So the data in this 30% is based on those

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:20:52.980 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.880$ cases that add a surgical follow up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:20:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.034$ we introduced it also the concept

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:20:58.034 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.562$ of risk of neoplasm in the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:21:00.562 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.592$ additional was not and 63% you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:21:03.592 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.560$ is lower than sample which was 90%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

 $00:21:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.864$ We added the new tables describing

NOTE Confidence: 0.664720495

00:21:08.864 --> 00:21:10.400 all the different possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:21:10.467 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.239$ morphological scenarios as you can see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:21:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.345$ Why? Because from the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:21:15.345 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.450$ we realized that there's different

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00{:}21{:}17.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19.896$ scenarios have different risk of neoplasm

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:21:19.896 --> 00:21:22.240 and different risk of malignancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:21:22.240 --> 00:21:23.952 And specifically the highest

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:21:23.952 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.236$ were for lymphocytes,

 $00:21:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.976$ Rich and the mucinos and the new table

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:21:27.976 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.878$ of course and additional sample records,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:21:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.948$ just a few examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:21:31.948 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.550$ On the left you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:21:33.620 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.960$ a few a typical cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:21:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.515$ This cannot be defined as non diagnostic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:21:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.674$ This should be considered as AUS

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:21:39.674 --> 00:21:42.440 or in the bottom a single cluster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:21:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.710$ Not enough for any conclusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:21:44.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.549$ because of the most important word

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:21:47.549 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.974$ associated with AUS is limitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:21:49.974 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.914$ in quality and quantity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00{:}21{:}51.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54.719$ So we are not sure that this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:21:54.719 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.648$ reactive or any plastic condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:21:56.648 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.958$ and for this reason we need

 $00:21:59.038 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.036$ a repetition of the of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:22:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.440$ of the of the category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:22:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.380$ Of course we want to maintain this

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:22:05.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.040$ category at around 10%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:22:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.320$ We don't want that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:22:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.240$ This is a wastebasket in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:22:10.304 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.704$ you put all the cases and all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:22:12.704 --> 00:22:14.258 diagnosis for which you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:22:14.258 --> 00:22:16.316 you you don't know what to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:22:16.320 --> 00:22:19.284 The new plastic category is super

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00{:}22{:}19.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}21.840$ classified has new plastic benign

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:22:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.318$ which is used for the classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:22:24.318 --> 00:22:25.557 example Harplyomorphic adenoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:22:25.560 --> 00:22:27.515 You can see here myopitelial

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:22:27.515 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.079$ component in this condomix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:22:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.864$ So it does traumal material warting

 $00:22:31.864 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.720$ tumor lymphoma and Schwannoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:22:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.988$ This is a warting in which you

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:22:35.988 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.386$ have the Oncocity cells in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:22:38.386 --> 00:22:40.646 dirty background and of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:22:40.646 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.576$ inflammatory component Risk of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:22:42.576 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.795$ risk of malignancy is lower than 3%

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:22:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.720$ in the 1st edition was lower than 5%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00{:}22{:}48.720 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51.840$ We add also these other category

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00{:}22{:}51.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53.776$ sample and certain malignant potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00{:}22{:}53.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.370$ when we use this and the definition

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:22:56.370 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.758$ here is diagnostic of a neoplast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:22:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.741$ So we are sure that the sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00{:}23{:}00.741 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.320$ is diagnostic of a neoplast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:23:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.337$ By the way a diagnosis of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:23:05.337 --> 00:23:07.347 specific entity cannot be made

 $00:23:07.347 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.336$ due to the nature of the lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:23:09.336 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.846$ So we are not able to define capsule

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:23:11.846 --> 00:23:13.996 and vascular invasion on cytology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:23:14.000 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.248$ but also sometimes due to the affine so

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:23:17.248 --> 00:23:20.720 that a malignant cannot be excluded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:23:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.386$ the risk of neoplasm is a malignancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:23:23.386 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.173$ Sorry, is at around 35%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:23:25.173 --> 00:23:28.694 In the 1st edition was 26 and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00{:}23{:}28.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.784$ included the many benign anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:23:30.784 --> 00:23:32.685 dysclomorphic adenoma with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:23:32.685 --> 00:23:33.679 squamous metaplasia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:23:33.680 --> 00:23:35.624 cellular pleomorphic adenoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:23:35.624 --> 00:23:36.920 myopia, lioma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:23:36.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.556$ basal cell adenoma and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:23:40.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.926$ You can see here three different characters

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00{:}23{:}42.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}45.065$ performed by Peter Salos the amazing

 $00:23:45.065 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.840$ Peter Salos in Doctor Strangelove.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:23:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.074$ As we had different types of hub,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:23:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.971$ the same sample in the second edition

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:23:52.971 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.295$ we have a sub classification of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00{:}23{:}56.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58.955$ sample into Basaloid or chocytic

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:23:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.620$ clear cell features which were

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:24:00.620 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.280$ identical to the 1st edition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:24:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.416$ But we added mix it the features

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00{:}24{:}05.416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07.777$ because it's not it's not always

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:24:07.777 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.568$ the white or black and we have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:24:10.568 --> 00:24:12.602 different shades of Gray and can

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:24:12.602 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.721$ be and a mixture of the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

00:24:15.721 --> 00:24:17.239 mentioning the pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:24:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.376$ Just an example in the upper left you

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:24:19.376 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.119$ have a typical basaloid appearance

00:24:21.119 --> 00:24:23.793 in which you have these are Fazard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00{:}24{:}23.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26.380$ the organization of cells basaloid

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:24:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.960$ with the scan to cytoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:24:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.872$ In the upper right you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.849879677058824

 $00:24:31.872 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.120$ an oncocytic appearance

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:24:33.203 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.796$ of this sample. This was a myopithelioma

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:24:35.796 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.713$ on estology and in the bottom you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00{:}24{:}38.713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40.789$ see this cluster with this delicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:24:40.789 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.477$ and very granular and clear cytoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00{:}24{:}43.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46.570$ This was an acinic cell carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

00:24:46.570 --> 00:24:48.804 on estology, but it's not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:24:48.804 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.610$ important for the sample to sub

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:24:50.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.960$ classify the different type of cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:24:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.312$ but for each of them is also important

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:24:55.312 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.152$ to sub classify the differences in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:24:58.152 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.738$ background because it is associated with

 $00:25:00.738 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.020$ different entities and we did the for

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00{:}25{:}03.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.840$ each of them there's a sub classification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00{:}25{:}05.840 --> 00{:}25{:}08.192$ So Oncitic and you can see we

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

00:25:08.192 --> 00:25:10.720 might have a cystic background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

00:25:10.720 --> 00:25:12.130 a mucinous background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:25:12.130 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.480$ blood on a specific background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:25:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.711$ And for each of them we define all two

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:25:17.711 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.291$ possible entities which are defined with

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:25:20.291 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.542$ the within details in of course the

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

00:25:23.542 --> 00:25:26.638 Atlas with criteria with explanatory nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00{:}25{:}26.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}29.268$ the granular and baccalais cytoplasts which

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:25:29.268 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.643$ is mostly associated with malignantitis

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00{:}25{:}31.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34.398$ and approachable focal nuclear atibia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00{:}25{:}34.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.816$ again malignant antitis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:25:35.816 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.898$ We did the same for Basaloid and you might

 $00:25:39.898 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.608$ say that in the in the Basaloid pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:25:42.608 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.240$ we might have a fibrillary stromal component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:25:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.680$ eyeline mix it and scan

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:25:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.632$ to no stromal component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:25:49.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.077$ And for each of them we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:25:53.077 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.520$ different type of Antidis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:25:55.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.402$ By the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:25:56.402 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.460$ Generally speaking for an F& A to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00{:}25{:}58.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00.600$ competitive as a diagnostic test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:26:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.238$ This is not only for salivary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00{:}26{:}02.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}05.159$ this is in general we strive to

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

00:26:05.159 --> 00:26:07.737 shift cases from the indeterminate

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:26:07.737 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.837$ category to benign or malignant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:26:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.376$ For instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:26:11.376 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.520$ this was what I mentioned in this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:26:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.472$ If we have a case like this in

 $00:26:15.472 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.665$ which we have this delicate for

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

00:26:17.665 --> 00:26:19.715 granular cytoplasm and this cluster,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:26:19.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.024$ it's clear that this is neoplastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

00:26:23.024 --> 00:26:26.078 but without the support of immuno,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:26:26.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.476$ we cannot go farther.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

00:26:27.476 --> 00:26:30.440 But if we perform immuno and we see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:26:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.000$ this is dog one and no one positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00{:}26{:}33.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36.376$ but we can shift from a sample to

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00{:}26{:}36.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}39.078$ the definition directly on cytology

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:26:39.078 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.438$ but acidic cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

00:26:41.440 --> 00:26:44.688 Let's analyze the bad or if you prefer

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:26:44.688 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.798$ something like the Eden apple by Margaretta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:26:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.656$ This here is a part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:26:50.656 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.472$ indeterminate categories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:26:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.012$ With AUS and sample,

 $00:26:53.012 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.398$ the risk of neoplasm is at around 83%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:26:56.398 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.506$ We emphasize the important role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:26:59.506 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.373$ ancillary technique so that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:27:02.373 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.418$ shift to the malignant category

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:27:04.418 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.080$ if we have enough material.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:27:07.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.796$ I want to do a differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154 00:27:08.800 --> 00:27:09.576 So AUS. NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:27:09.576 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.314$ We are saying that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00{:}27{:}12.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.696$ keyword is limitations and in front

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:27:14.696 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.065$ of an AUS we are not sure if this

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00{:}27{:}18.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.759$ is neoplastic or reactive in sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:27:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.294$ We are sure that the deletion is

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:27:23.294 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.829$ neoplastic but we are not able to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00{:}27{:}25.829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28.800$ if it is benign or a malignant neoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

00:27:28.800 --> 00:27:31.859 But a Sunnybury gland sample in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00{:}27{:}31.859 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.289$ we use the diagnosis of suspicious

 $00:27:35.289 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.670$ for malignant means that we have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:27:38.670 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.763$ of of the criteria for a diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

00:27:41.763 --> 00:27:44.673 of malignancy but not the whole.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:27:44.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.098$ So we are not completely confident

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:27:47.098 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.640$ in a diagnosis or malignancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

00:27:49.640 --> 00:27:53.005 This is a you know the the moving from

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

00:27:53.005 --> 00:27:55.880 AUS to suspicious for malignancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:27:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.322$ We sub classify into of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.762723183846154

 $00:27:58.322 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.950$ suspicious for a primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

00:28:00.028 --> 00:28:01.880 cerevaricular malignancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

00:28:01.880 --> 00:28:03.832 metastasis and lymphoma because

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:28:03.832 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.272$ it's important for the management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00{:}28{:}06.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09.430$ Some example you can see few clusters

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:28:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.034$ are typically malignant but not enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:28:12.034 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.771$ for any conclusion or some clusters in

 $00:28:14.850 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.042$ which we have some artifact And of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

00:28:18.042 --> 00:28:20.000 we recognize that there are some Atiba,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:28:20.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.412$ but we cannot be completely confident

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

00:28:22.412 --> 00:28:24.799 in a definitive diagnosis or malignancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:28:24.799 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.298$ also because I would like to remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

00:28:27.298 --> 00:28:29.698 that a diagnosis or malignancy is

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:28:29.698 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.979$ associated with a more aggressive treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:28:31.979 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.670$ So you have to be sure of that what

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00{:}28{:}34.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37.054$ you are saying what you're seeing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:28:37.054 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.679$ cytology is is correct and is the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

00:28:39.680 --> 00:28:43.160 diagnosis and of course you you have you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

00:28:43.160 --> 00:28:45.115 you have to have enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00{:}28{:}45.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}46.679$ criteria for this diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

00:28:46.680 --> 00:28:49.333 Some other example of suspicious on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

00:28:49.333 --> 00:28:51.901 upper right that you see this delicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00{:}28{:}51.901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53.980$ and salt and pepper appearance but

 $00:28:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.653$ just in one cluster we are not able

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00{:}28{:}56.653 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}28{:}59.384$ to apply the also the immuno or in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:28:59.384 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.631$ bottom in which we have this population

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

00:29:01.631 --> 00:29:04.515 of this cohesive typical cells looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

00:29:04.515 --> 00:29:06.559 like a lymphoproliferative disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:29:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.320$ but again not enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:29:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.080$ material for flow cytometry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

00:29:10.080 --> 00:29:12.627 Or also here on the right you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00{:}29{:}12.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}15.398$ in favor and suspicious for lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

00:29:15.400 --> 00:29:16.508 lymphoproliferative disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

00:29:16.508 --> 00:29:20.940 but we need to be sure to immunophenotyping

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:29:21.022 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.438$ and sometimes we do not have enough material.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00{:}29{:}24.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26.558$ Another example is this few cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00{:}29{:}26.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}29.301$ in which you see there's a mucinous

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:29:29.301 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.803$ component in the cytoplasts and this

 $00:29:31.803 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.618$ was on Histology and Mac but not a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:29:34.618 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.618$ of the clusters or this in which you

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:29:37.618 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.920$ have this delicate population of cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

00:29:39.920 --> 00:29:43.200 just a few clusters with some heart effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:29:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.238$ some blood not enough for for anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

00:29:46.240 --> 00:29:48.410 So it's better if you stay with

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:29:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.400$ us a speechless for malignancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:29:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.446$ Another possibility here is if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:29:52.446 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.664$ use if you define the specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:29:54.664 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.236$ criteria for an entity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:29:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.489$ In this case you can see the criteria for

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00{:}29{:}59.489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01.689$ epidermoid appearance of the cluster and

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:30:01.689 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.639$ on the right mucus of producing cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333 00:30:04.640 --> 00:30:05.554 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:30:05.554 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.839$ not enough with only this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:30:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.720$ The two cluster to say this is a Mac,

 $00:30:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.804$ so it's better to use suspicious

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:30:13.804 \dashrightarrow 00:30:16.480$ of for malignancy favoring a Mac.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:30:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.260$ Also these other examples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:30:18.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.006$ this was the only the only few

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00{:}30{:}21.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}22.938$ clusters in these pictures that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:30:22.938 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.797$ of course as you can see highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:30:25.797 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.441$ suggestive for adenocystic carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:30:27.507 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.266$ because of these translucent material,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:30:29.266 \dashrightarrow 00:30:31.877$ the best alloyed appearance of the cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:30:31.880 \dashrightarrow 00:30:35.891$ But again for this so tough diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:30:35.891 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.640$ you cannot base the, the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:30:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.120$ the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00{:}30{:}39.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}42.480$ the record only on there's a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:30:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.318$ clusters not they are not not enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639170973333333

 $00:30:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.126$ Let's go to the Hagley or the

00:30:47.126 --> 00:30:48.839 inhabitants of an apple by Madrid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:30:50.960 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.200$ This is the the, the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:30:52.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.132$ the category of malignant is defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:30:54.132 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.280$ when we have all the criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:30:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.192$ isn't the first maybe the adhesives the

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

00:30:59.192 --> 00:31:02.290 category because we have samples in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:31:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.954$ we recognize the criteria for malignancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:31:04.960 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.508$ We try to super classify when possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00{:}31{:}07.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09.855$ into the different types of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:31:09.855 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.231$ and also degrades because again it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00{:}31{:}12.231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}14.543$ important for the management and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00{:}31{:}14.543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}17.200$ it's important to recognize if this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:31:17.200 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.000$ a lymphoma of coma or a metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00{:}31{:}20.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}21.725$ The risk of malignancy now

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:31:21.725 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.155$ is higher than 9080%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:31:23.155 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.448$ In the 1st edition was higher than 90%.

 $00:31:26.448 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.560$ This is the declassification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:31:28.560 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.960$ We have an introduction and then a detailed

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:31:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.037$ discussion of all the different entities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:31:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.604$ You can see in the upper part is a liquid

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:31:36.604 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.514$ based ontology of an epimycopelial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:31:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.118$ You can recognize the luminal basaloid and

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

00:31:42.118 --> 00:31:45.398 the abnormal myopelial clear cell component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:31:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.044$ the acetic cell carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:31:47.044 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.688$ with this granular appearance

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:31:48.688 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.359$ in the upper right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:31:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.744$ the Mac in the left bottom with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:31:53.744 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.239$ epitelial cells and mucus producing

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00{:}31{:}56.239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59.850$ cells and the typical appearance of hub

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:31:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.540$ eyeline are cellular globals so that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

00:32:03.540 --> 00:32:06.194 have surrounded by cells back-to-back

 $00:32:06.194 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.278$ that you have in adenocystic carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:32:09.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.920$ Some example from the Atlas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:32:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.999$ So this is an ascenesal carcinoma in

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:32:13.999 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.873$ which you can recognize the granular

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:32:16.873 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.623$ abundant cytoplasm and also you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00{:}32{:}19.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}22.083$ see the very low nuclear cytoplasm

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:32:22.083 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.844$ ratio of some nucleolide And of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

00:32:24.844 --> 00:32:28.188 if you are lucky enough to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:32:28.188 \dashrightarrow 00:32:30.473$ material you can perform immunostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:32:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.902$ In some cases you can also recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:32:32.902 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.240$ the cymogen granols has in this picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

00:32:35.240 --> 00:32:37.570 but usually positivity for dark

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:32:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.434$ one and nor one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:32:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.624$ This is an example of a secretary

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:32:41.624 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.000$ carcinoma in which we have this low grade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:32:44.000 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.916$ the vesicular nuclear high,

 $00:32:45.916 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.790$ the back related cytoplasm and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00{:}32{:}48.871 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}51.902$ prominent nucleoli and again if you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

00:32:51.902 --> 00:32:54.960 material and you can perform cellblock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

00:32:54.960 --> 00:32:57.564 mammoglobulin and aspirin Android

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:32:57.564 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.517$ they are positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:32:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.094$ This is an example of a low grade Mac

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:33:02.094 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.954$ in which you have a combination of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:33:04.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.894$ epidermoid cells with a really well

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00{:}33{:}07.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10.513$ defined cytoplans border and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00{:}33{:}10.513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12.837$ an oncositoid appearance intermingled

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

00:33:12.837 --> 00:33:16.280 with this mucosa producing the cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914 00:33:16.280 --> 00:33:16.609 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00{:}33{:}16.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.241$ but we have to remember that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:33:19.241 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.051$ also the I grade the Mac in which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:33:22.051 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.203$ have this really highly pleomorphic

00:33:24.203 --> 00:33:26.658 mostly squamoid and squamous cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:33:26.658 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.544$ and if we are lucky enough we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:33:30.544 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.480$ recognize this mucinous component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:33:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.240$ Sometimes this is not possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:33:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.272$ especially also on Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

00:33:36.272 --> 00:33:40.081 So you can recognize with pass and pass

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:33:40.081 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.520$ the the of the mucosa producing component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00{:}33{:}44.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}47.299$ This is an example of salivary duct

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00{:}33{:}47.299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49.984$ carcinoma in which we have usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:33:49.984 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.339$ isolated cells or Synthesia like

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:33:52.339 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.751$ cluster usually 3 dimensional with

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:33:54.751 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.535$ well defined cell borders with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:33:57.535 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.572$ prominent eccentrically located the

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00{:}33{:}59.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}01.668$ nuclear high oncocitoid appearance

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:34:01.668 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.240$ of the cytoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00{:}34{:}03.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05.942$ Based on morphology alone you cannot say

 $00:34:05.942 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.078$ that this is a salivary duct carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:34:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.565$ You can define this as an eye

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:34:11.565 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.275$ grade carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

00:34:12.280 --> 00:34:14.821 It's only the support part of immuno

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:34:14.821 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.146$ and the positivity which is specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:34:17.146 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.091$ for androgen receptor that make

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:34:19.091 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.120$ you the possibility to put this

NOTE Confidence: 0.596834914

 $00:34:22.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.760$ in a definitive diagnosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:34:24.862 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.060$ SDC. You have of course also

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

00:34:27.060 --> 00:34:28.516 necrotic and mitotic component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:34:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.185$ it's an high grade carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00{:}34{:}30.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}32.255$ and other other two example have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00{:}34{:}32.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34.325$ adenoid cystic in which you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:34:34.325 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.104$ the tubular pattern, very nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

00:34:36.104 --> 00:34:38.708 The most commonly seen of the crib

00:34:38.708 --> 00:34:41.798 reform in which we have these highline

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

00:34:41.798 --> 00:34:43.659 translucent globules with cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:34:43.659 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.497$ surrounding around or the solid pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

00:34:46.497 --> 00:34:49.405 which is the most tricky because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:34:49.405 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.906$ might resemble of course a vessel

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

00:34:51.906 --> 00:34:54.036 cell adenoma versus vessel cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:34:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.978$ mostly carcin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:34:54.978 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.792$ And this is also another typical

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00{:}34{:}57.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00.429$ example of the crib reform pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:00.429 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.638$ in the crib reform adenoid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:02.638 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.036$ the cystic carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.894$ But as mentioned it today I

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:05.894 \dashrightarrow 00:35:07.915$ would like to remember that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:07.915 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.355$ might have also metastasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:09.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.140$ the most commonly seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:11.140 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.475$ however metastatic Melanoma.

00:35:12.480 --> 00:35:15.045 As you can see in the upper right you

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:15.045 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.214$ have this difference appearances of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

00:35:17.214 --> 00:35:19.515 the cells nucleus with inclusions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:19.515 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.840$ evidence of pigment in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.080$ cytopolis or in the background

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.530$ binoculated cells cells and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.980$ of course metastatic squamous cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:29.059 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.957$ carcinoma in which we have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00{:}35{:}31.957 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}33.889$ orangiophilic and dance appearance

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:33.964 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.599$ of the cytoplasm necrotic component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.888$ Irregular cells and nuclei.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00{:}35{:}39.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}42.354$ This keratotic orangiophilic

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:42.354 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.930$ cells the the squamous cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:44.930 \dashrightarrow 00:35:46.480$ carcinoma of the salivary gland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.596$ It's exceptionally rave.

00:35:47.596 --> 00:35:50.200 So when you have a squamous component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.222$ the first differential that you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:52.222 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.004$ to put to have the I grade Mac try

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:55.004 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.616$ to recognize if this is an I grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:57.616 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.986$ Mac with this extensive as squamous

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:35:59.986 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.519$ component and metastatic localization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:36:02.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.172$ especially from the adenac that also

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:36:05.172 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.646$ metastatic from another side and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00{:}36{:}07.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09.879$ as an as a diagnosis of exclusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

00:36:09.879 --> 00:36:12.040 when you haven't find anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00{:}36{:}12.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}13.868$ But it's almost impossible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:36:13.868 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.279$ Think about a primary squamous carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:36:18.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.464$ It's important to to grade in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:36:20.464 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.372$ onsettology also because this will

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:36:22.372 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.277$ influence the extent of surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:36:24.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.521$ So it's an important information for the

 $00:36:27.521 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.638$ clinicians in term of radical resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:36:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.928$ limited resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:36:31.928 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.216$ negative session,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

00:36:33.216 --> 00:36:36.436 facial nerve of course sacrifice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

00:36:36.440 --> 00:36:39.635 We published it in 2010 and 20 this paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:36:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.460$ including 66 digitized cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:36:42.460 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.280$ of the livery carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:36:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.135$ The cases were reviewed by a panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:36:47.135 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.920$ of experience in the cytopathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:36:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.056$ 19 You can see that the overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

00:36:52.056 --> 00:36:53.779 grading accuracy was 90%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:36:53.779 \dashrightarrow 00:36:57.190$ We wanted to see the ability of F and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00{:}36{:}57.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00.189$ A to distinguish low grade versus I

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

00:37:00.189 --> 00:37:06.386 grade 20 I 90% and 808088 point 3%

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:37:06.386 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.096$ impossible for the indeterminate for

 $00:37:09.096 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.935$ the intermediate grade and the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:37:11.935 \dashrightarrow 00:37:14.960$ results of course were obtained with Mac.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00{:}37{:}14.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}18.201$ So we concluded that that cases should

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:37:18.201 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.559$ not be graded one in the terminate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:37:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.684$ We also discussed it about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

00:37:23.684 --> 00:37:25.972 is Doctor Farkin and I about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:37:25.972 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.802$ role of ancillary technique if

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:37:27.802 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.764$ we have to stay with the chapter

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00{:}37{:}30.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32.556$ or we're changing something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:37:32.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.626$ We decided that according to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00{:}37{:}35.626 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}37{:}38.489$ reason to also new changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:37:38.489 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.704$ the genetic alterations we wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:37:40.704 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.560$ to maintain the chapter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:37:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.460$ Mostly there is the evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:37:44.460 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.600$ by the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:37:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.432$ This is just one of the example that

 $00:37:48.432 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.872$ all the possible ancillary techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:37:50.872 \dashrightarrow 00:37:54.160$ can be performed with the feasible

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:37:54.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.018$ and reliable results on all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:37:58.018 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.956$ different psychological material.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:37:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.528$ And this is the summary of the chapter

NOTE Confidence: 0.84436039

 $00:38:02.528 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.880$ in which we have an introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:38:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.055$ then a description about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:38:07.055 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.394$ material and methods, the special

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:38:09.394 --> 00:38:11.462 stains but also immunocytochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:38:11.462 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.440$ in the different type of lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:38:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.360$ And of course the role of translocation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:38:18.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.237$ fusional oncogenes are in salivary tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:38:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.040$ But also the role of Fisher PCR

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:38:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.040$ next generation sequencing and flow

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:38:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.595$ cytometry in the upper part is music

 $00:38:28.595 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.757$ harming in the Mac in the middle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:38:30.760 --> 00:38:32.005 Here's a plan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:38:32.005 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.495$ one nuclear expression and in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:38:34.495 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.860$ bottom is ACD 117 in a cluster from

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:38:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.796$ another noise cystic carcinom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:38:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.963$ And these are the tables about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:38:41.963 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.159$ immuno for the different patterns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:38:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.015$ So they are all included of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00{:}38{:}46.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}48.276$ in the chapter and in the second edition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:38:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.283$ And you can see we classified the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:38:51.283 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.814$ oncocytic pattern including benign and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:38:53.814 --> 00:38:56.158 malignant antidisal warting oncocytoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:38:56.160 --> 00:38:59.040 acinic cell carcinoma secretory Mac,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00{:}38{:}59.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59.962$ salivary Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:38:59.962 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.267$ and all the possible immunomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:39:02.267 \dashrightarrow 00:39:04.480$ with positivity and negativity.

 $00:39:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.772$ For the basaloid we did the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:39:06.772 --> 00:39:07.918 same gliomorphic adenoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:39:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.496$ basal cellular denomin Sonoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:39:10.496 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.428$ adenoid myopetalioma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:39:12.428 --> 00:39:14.297 Myopetalia carcinoma, AP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:39:14.297 --> 00:39:16.028 myopetalia and polymorphous

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:39:16.028 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.336$ adenocarcinoma and all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:39:18.336 --> 00:39:20.679 possible positivity and negativity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:39:20.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.074$ The same for clear cell features myopia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:39:23.080 --> 00:39:25.078 lioma, myopia carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:39:25.078 --> 00:39:27.076 AP, myopia carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:39:27.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.622$ acinic Mac and also the hyalinizing clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:39:30.622 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.079$ cell carcinoma and the different markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:39:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.699$ And of course also a table for

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:39:37.699 --> 00:39:39.806 the metastatic localization and

 $00:39:39.806 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.560$ the possible site of the origin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:39:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.395$ This is a table concerning the genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:39:45.395 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.249$ alterations and you can see that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:39:48.249 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.160$ are associated with different type of tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:39:51.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.200$ not only malignant tumors and we might have

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:39:54.200 --> 00:39:56.999 different of them rearrangement of fusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:39:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.952$ some mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:39:57.952 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.428$ amplifications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:39:58.428 --> 00:40:02.479 And when you look at the percentage of cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:40:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.648$ we we have some example of very high

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:40:06.648 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.134$ percentage including of course in psychotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:40:10.134 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.695$ carcinoma 100% for the different ETV

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:40:13.695 --> 00:40:18.878 6 and TRT three and not only fusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:40:18.880 --> 00:40:19.795 So in conclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:40:19.795 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.102$ we can say that the F and A has a very high

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:40:24.102 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.197$ diagnostic accuracy in salivary cytology.

 $00:40:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.804$ This is a regardless of the classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:40:28.804 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.840$ system and the Milan system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:40:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.878$ By the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:40:31.878 --> 00:40:33.954 the use of a classification system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:40:33.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.210$ in this case the Milan because

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:40:36.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.027$ it's the unique classification that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:40:38.027 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.940$ we have for salivary may offer

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:40:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.360$ information for the management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00{:}40{:}43.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}45.380$ So it's a practical classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:40:45.380 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.794$ system in which we put the deletion site

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:40:48.794 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.440$ with risk of malignancy and strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00{:}40{:}51.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53.918$ we had of course improved the relevance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:40:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.600$ So we are very happy for

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:40:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.786$ that fundamental system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:40:56.786 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.598$ The Who and Dorset,

 $00:40:58.600 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.971$ the melon system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:40:59.971 --> 00:41:02.256 but mostly the American Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:41:02.256 --> 00:41:03.920 of Clinical Oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:41:03.920 --> 00:41:06.482 The 2nd edition revised of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:41:06.482 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.046$ the criteria the risk of malignancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:41:09.046 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.840$ for each of them based mostly on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:41:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.640$ 200 publications and meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:41:15.640 --> 00:41:18.241 And in this regard I have to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:41:18.241 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.236$ the major job for meta analysis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:41:21.236 --> 00:41:23.413 reviewers reviewer reviews was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:41:23.413 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.237$ by Doctor Balacha and his team in Upenn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:41:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.344$ So we are really thankful to the amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:41:29.344 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.512$ job that Zuber did for the new risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:41:32.512 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.640$ of home malignancy in the second edition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:41:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.112$ So is this again the high

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:41:38.112 --> 00:41:39.760 noon of salivary cytology?

 $00:41:39.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.022$ So the Milan system is against

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

 $00:41:42.022 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.153$ the classical cytology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77788356125

00:41:43.160 --> 00:41:45.518 Of course it is not also in this edition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

00:41:45.520 --> 00:41:48.607 because we didn't change anything in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

00:41:48.607 --> 00:41:51.240 classical evaluation of salivary cytology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

00:41:51.240 --> 00:41:53.640 We didn't have any new entity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00:41:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.611$ any new feature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00:41:55.611 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.239$ any new morphological something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00:41:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.559$ We only revise and refine some criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00:42:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.160$ Making possible to have these

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00{:}42{:}05.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}07.760$ entities is put into categories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00{:}42{:}07.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}09.590$ specific categories for and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00:42:09.590 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.707$ each of them we have a risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00:42:12.707 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.319$ malignancy and the management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00{:}42{:}14.320 \rightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.882$ So it's a practical classification system

 $00:42:16.882 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.238$ as of course it is also the Bethesda,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00:42:20.240 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.400$ the and all the other do I hand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00:42:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.770$ All the other classification systems are

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00:42:24.770 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.520$ the same meaning and the same purpose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00:42:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.798$ I would like to conclude with

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00:42:29.798 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.437$ these two pictures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00:42:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.918$ One here's the party for the publication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00:42:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.104$ I have the first Atlas during the news

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

00:42:35.104 --> 00:42:37.280 Cup 2000 than any team in Vancouver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00:42:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.653$ This in these are pictures when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00{:}42{:}39.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42.096$ receive the the hard copy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

00:42:42.096 --> 00:42:44.130 the 2nd edition Doctor Faquin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00:42:44.201 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.475$ I and the harder Associate editors

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00{:}42{:}46.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}48.921$ and really would like to thank all

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00:42:48.921 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.562$ of you for having been here and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00:42:51.562 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.200$ having invited me here and thank you.

00:42:54.200 --> 00:42:56.909 Thank you so much and if you have any

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667

 $00{:}42{:}56.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}59.720$ question I'm open to to discuss with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814192316666667 00:42:59.720 --> 00:43:00.080 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7111009

 $00:43:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.680$ Thank you for this. Fantastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7111009

 $00:43:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.440$ Oh, thank you and all this can

NOTE Confidence: 0.669327278

 $00:43:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.520$ be. Oh yes, I'm really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.669327278

 $00:43:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.520$ I'm really keen of that. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67634174

 $00:43:16.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.360$ I've seen most of them. So I

NOTE Confidence: 0.3236539

 $00:43:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.280$ do have a question. I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720423024

 $00:43:24.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.040$ since you also got this

NOTE Confidence: 0.2507406

 $00:43:28.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.875$ Once Upon a time when I was there

NOTE Confidence: 0.2507406

 $00:43:32.875 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.640$ was a lot of rest happening and

NOTE Confidence: 0.2507406

 $00{:}43{:}35.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}39.315$ then it just fell out of the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.2507406

 $00:43:39.315 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.712$ and this goes now the standard of

NOTE Confidence: 0.2507406

 $00:43:42.712 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.400$ here is a poor biopsy looking.

00:43:45.400 --> 00:43:49.866 Do you see that happening in salivary

NOTE Confidence: 0.2507406

00:43:49.866 --> 00:43:53.484 tumors like cold wide oxy or something

NOTE Confidence: 0.2507406

 $00:43:53.484 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.592$ like that replacing the epine?

NOTE Confidence: 0.2507406

 $00:43:56.592 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.822$ Because all those categories I find

NOTE Confidence: 0.2507406

 $00:44:00.822 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.685$ I find it very challenging because

NOTE Confidence: 0.2507406

 $00:44:03.685 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.315$ the limitation is a few clusters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2507406

00:44:07.320 --> 00:44:12.000 they may be just cluster or maybe just

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:44:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.348$ in my institution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:44:15.348 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.960$ which is the same approach that also

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

00:44:19.060 --> 00:44:22.156 Doctor Faquin has in mass General

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:44:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.440$ for the major salivary gland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:44:24.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.760$ I always do an FNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:44:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.830$ So usually core biopsy are done

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:44:28.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.183$ only for the minor salivary gland

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:44:31.183 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.877$ because for them it's more difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:44:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.968$ But in any possible case in which I

00:44:36.968 --> 00:44:39.877 can perform a fine needle aspiration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00{:}44{:}39.880 \to 00{:}44{:}43.120$ I try to go with a fine needle as piration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

00:44:43.120 --> 00:44:45.316 If you have a conventional in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

00:44:45.320 --> 00:44:49.040 like in our case liquid base or cytology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:44:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.720$ we prepare a cell almost in any occasion a

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:44:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.868$ cell block from the from the liquid base.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:44:57.868 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.853$ Of course there are some cases in

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:44:59.853 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.715$ which we do not have enough material,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:45:01.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.257$ but in the majority of cases it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

00:45:06.257 --> 00:45:08.544 not so bad and we can, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:45:08.544 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.404$ work on lands with ancillary

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:45:10.404 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.520$ technique on them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

00:45:11.520 --> 00:45:12.546 So I don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

00:45:12.546 --> 00:45:15.420 I don't have a big experience with

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

00:45:15.420 --> 00:45:17.920 core biopsy especially on priority

00:45:17.920 --> 00:45:20.780 to someone our experience is mostly

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00{:}45{:}20.780 \longrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23.977$ psychology and they prefer to do to

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:45:23.977 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.988$ do to do that because there is a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:45:26.988 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.796$ clinic of salivary my institution now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

00:45:29.800 --> 00:45:31.634 So I don't have for the minor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:45:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.662$ minor salivary gland because it's more

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:45:33.662 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.920$ difficult that they do some of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802922485

 $00:45:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.760$ So here in US in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.6240645

 $00:45:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.300$ practice, the poor biopsy or the F

NOTE Confidence: 0.6240645

 $00:45:44.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.820$ and A is done by the radiologist who

NOTE Confidence: 0.6240645

 $00{:}45{:}47.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}50.592$ is doing the ultrasound examination

NOTE Confidence: 0.6240645

 $00:45:50.592 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.624$ and the cores come to me and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6240645

 $00:45:54.624 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.852$ the F and A is the psychology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6240645

 $00{:}45{:}57.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01.582$ And of course it's just so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.6240645

 $00:46:01.582 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.480$ easier to make a diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785401633333333

 $00:46:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.232$ Yes, well in in, in our institution

 $00:46:08.232 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.200$ and we we don't do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785401633333333

00:46:10.200 --> 00:46:13.721 But you know, even if the same because

NOTE Confidence: 0.785401633333333

 $00{:}46{:}13.721 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}16.043$ we have an organization in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.785401633333333

 $00:46:16.043 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.559$ the same person does psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785401633333333

 $00:46:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.888$ mystology. So the biopsy and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.785401633333333

 $00:46:20.888 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.021$ psychology when they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785401633333333

 $00:46:22.021 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.080$ I've always seen the same person which is me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785401633333333

 $00:46:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.680$ So I have I have the same at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.785401633333333

 $00{:}46{:}27.680 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}46{:}30.060$ same point almost at the same point

NOTE Confidence: 0.785401633333333

 $00:46:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.726$ and both of them and I I can check

NOTE Confidence: 0.7854016333333333

 $00:46:32.726 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.238$ and I can see if there is a biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.785401633333333

 $00:46:35.238 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.075$ or not In in the past we went

NOTE Confidence: 0.7854016333333333

 $00{:}46{:}38.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}40.825$ to the to do the to perform the

NOTE Confidence: 0.785401633333333

 $00:46:40.825 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.788$ F and A at the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785401633333333

00:46:42.788 --> 00:46:45.384 I I personally want but you know it's

 $00:46:45.384 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.596$ impossible now so we had a resident

NOTE Confidence: 0.833073736666667

 $00:46:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.920$ that sometimes when there are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.833073736666667

 $00:46:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.910$ critical cases goes usually we

NOTE Confidence: 0.833073736666667

 $00:46:55.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.200$ taught the hand and neck surgeon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833073736666667

 $00:47:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.513$ So to do the the F and AI have

NOTE Confidence: 0.833073736666667

 $00:47:02.513 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.998$ to say that at the beginning was

NOTE Confidence: 0.833073736666667

 $00:47:04.998 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.914$ a disaster a lot of blood had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.833073736666667

 $00:47:07.914 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.344$ lot of non diagnostic with time

NOTE Confidence: 0.833073736666667

00:47:10.344 --> 00:47:13.833 and you know being closer sending

NOTE Confidence: 0.833073736666667

 $00:47:13.833 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.110$ the resident to explain is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.833073736666667

 $00{:}47{:}17.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}18.785$ better absolutely better and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.833073736666667

 $00:47:18.785 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.645$ know they're they are successful in

NOTE Confidence: 0.833073736666667

 $00:47:20.645 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.800$ what they are doing I have to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833073736666667

 $00:47:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.120$ So yeah it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.3648177

 $00:47:29.860 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.173$ a great talk. You know it's a lot very

NOTE Confidence: 0.3648177

 $00:47:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.314$ beautiful pictures movies and yeah

00:47:36.314 --> 00:47:39.386 like the the thought I you must

NOTE Confidence: 0.3648177

 $00:47:39.386 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.157$ have not see any of those movies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.420928925

 $00:47:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.120$ So my my question

NOTE Confidence: 0.523572085294118

00:47:45.760 --> 00:47:47.664 to you, you know you you wentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.523572085294118

 $00:47:47.664 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.851$ you know in the category of medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.523572085294118

 $00:47:49.851 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.392$ diagnosis people intended to feel more

NOTE Confidence: 0.523572085294118

 $00:47:52.392 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.200$ specific or even breeding their malignance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.523572085294118

 $00:47:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.074$ They talk about low grade versus high grades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.523572085294118

 $00{:}47{:}59.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}03.000$ you know but you know this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.523572085294118

 $00{:}48{:}03.000 \longrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05.466$ you know it's probably easier for

NOTE Confidence: 0.523572085294118

 $00:48:05.466 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.896$ like a type tumor like you have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.523572085294118

 $00:48:08.896 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.000$ have you know slow grade, high grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.523572085294118

00:48:14.000 --> 00:48:17.856 But for some of the tumors I I kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.523572085294118

 $00:48:17.856 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.480$ of you know what's going to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.523572085294118

 $00:48:20.480 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.830$ a category like a hydroid cystic

 $00:48:22.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.560$ are you going to put a low grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.2862487675

 $00{:}48{:}25.560 \rightarrow 00{:}48{:}29.920$ adenoid I grade slowly I grade adenocystic

NOTE Confidence: 0.2862487675

 $00:48:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.599$ carcinoma I grade I agree yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.694605226666667

 $00:48:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.440$ So because you know we consistent you

NOTE Confidence: 0.49564439

 $00:48:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.600$ know we mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.49208632

 $00:48:39.600 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.077$ you know we you know make it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.49208632

 $00:48:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.456$ I mean the our our idea is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.49208632

00:48:43.456 --> 00:48:46.036 you know when you it's not on it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.49208632

 $00:48:46.036 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.681$ not specifically about low grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.49208632

00:48:47.681 --> 00:48:49.877 but it's mostly about high grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.49208632

 $00{:}48{:}49.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}52.456$ So if you see something which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.49208632

00:48:52.456 --> 00:48:54.796 really high grade just call hit

NOTE Confidence: 0.49208632

 $00:48:54.796 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.856$ high grade regardless if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.49208632

 $00:48:56.856 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.144$ able to do a further definition of

NOTE Confidence: 0.49208632

 $00:49:01.144 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.256$ it because you have immuno because

NOTE Confidence: 0.49208632

 $00{:}49{:}03.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05.715$ you can do the molecular and so on.

 $00:49:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.635$ But if you if you recognize that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.49208632

 $00{:}49{:}09.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}11.474$ an I grade the carcinoma for instance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.49208632

00:49:11.480 --> 00:49:14.080 I'm discussing about carcinoma just

NOTE Confidence: 0.49208632

00:49:14.080 --> 00:49:17.250 you know variety it because you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.49208632

 $00{:}49{:}17.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19.416$ for the clinicians is it's important

NOTE Confidence: 0.49208632

 $00:49:19.416 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.240$ to know that this is an I grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4961493675

00:49:21.240 --> 00:49:24.360 Yeah. So you know you really you know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82056387

 $00{:}49{:}28.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}30.520$ secretary is for instance low grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.82056387

 $00:49:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.992$ secretary is not is low grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82056387

00:49:32.992 --> 00:49:35.854 The majority of basinic are not high

NOTE Confidence: 0.82056387

 $00{:}49{:}35.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}38.860$ grade unless you have the the high

NOTE Confidence: 0.82056387

 $00{:}49{:}38.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}40.360$ grade differentiation and you see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82056387

 $00:49:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.560$ So it's not so important

NOTE Confidence: 0.82056387

 $00:49:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.760$ to to write low grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82056387

00:49:44.760 --> 00:49:47.581 It's more important to to write high

00:49:47.581 --> 00:49:50.060 grade when you see something which

NOTE Confidence: 0.82056387

 $00{:}49{:}50.060 \longrightarrow 00{:}49{:}52.936$ is like grade like a high grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.82056387

 $00:49:52.936 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.520$ Mac or all the all the high grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82056387

 $00:49:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.034$ This was our meaning for this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82056387

 $00:50:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.800$ for this purpose for the management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82056387 00:50:02.880 --> 00:50:02.920 I NOTE Confidence: 0.640089788

 $00:50:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.320$ have a question. I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.4062581

 $00:50:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.920$ no question the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.4062581

00:50:20.920 --> 00:50:22.920 ancillary testing in your practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.856393213333333

 $00:50:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.425$ not allowed especially because in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8563932133333333

 $00{:}50{:}27.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}30.300$ my institution we had the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.856393213333333

 $00:50:30.300 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.960$ in my institution now was more

NOTE Confidence: 0.856393213333333

 $00:50:32.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.240$ on the we are on the 4th floor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856393213333333

 $00{:}50{:}35.240 \to 00{:}50{:}37.718$ on the third floor in general pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856393213333333

 $00:50:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.236$ So really I tried to do to reach a

NOTE Confidence: 0.856393213333333

 $00{:}50{:}43.236 \to 00{:}50{:}45.708$ diagnosis and to make a diagnosis

00:50:45.708 --> 00:50:48.628 with morphology with the immuno as

NOTE Confidence: 0.856393213333333

 $00{:}50{:}48.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}51.728$ a first approach and then in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.856393213333333

 $00{:}50{:}51.728 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!\!>}\ 00{:}50{:}53.788$ specific and selected cases in

NOTE Confidence: 0.856393213333333

 $00:50:53.788 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.464$ which I'm not able and I want that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856393213333333

 $00:50:56.464 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.039$ or they ask a better definition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856393213333333

 $00:50:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.638$ specific definition I sent to the molecular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856393213333333

00:51:02.640 --> 00:51:03.666 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856393213333333

 $00{:}51{:}03.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}06.148$ it's not in all the cases because

NOTE Confidence: 0.856393213333333

00:51:06.148 --> 00:51:08.716 we do not it's not available

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:51:10.880 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.548$ in a normal way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:51:12.548 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.408$ Immune or noise is easier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

00:51:14.408 --> 00:51:16.840 So we can do on the cell block.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:51:16.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.791$ So for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

00:51:17.791 --> 00:51:20.840 when I'm when I'm in doubt on morphology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:51:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.568$ the first thing that I do is the cell block.

00:51:24.568 --> 00:51:27.160 In this way I can realize the morphology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

00:51:27.160 --> 00:51:29.296 I can realize all the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:51:29.296 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.720$ that are in doubt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:51:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.359$ And then based on this I decide

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:51:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.920$ which type of immune.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

00:51:35.920 --> 00:51:40.110 Another thing why why is there for thyroid

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:51:40.110 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.880$ that we only liquid based psychology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:51:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.400$ Because we have many and it's impossible

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00{:}51{:}45.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48.250$ to do conventional and the liquid based

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

00:51:48.250 --> 00:51:49.958 psychology for salivary psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00{:}51{:}49.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}52.648$ despite the fact that we could have done

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

00:51:52.648 --> 00:51:55.360 only on the liquid based psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

00:51:55.360 --> 00:51:57.862 I asked them to do liquid

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:51:57.862 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.113$ and the conventional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

00:51:59.120 --> 00:52:01.624 Because salivary psychology differently

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:52:01.624 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.640$ from other type of psychology is for

 $00:52:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.840$ the diagnosis is really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00{:}52{:}07.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}10.968$ as reported in the table to define

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:52:10.968 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.560$ this else but also the background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:52:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.654$ the different the differences in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:52:15.654 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.956$ background if it is eyeline if it

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:52:17.956 \dashrightarrow 00:52:22.200$ is musing us. If it is more cystic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

00:52:22.200 --> 00:52:26.796 It's really very important in focusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:52:26.796 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.860$ on the differential diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00{:}52{:}28.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}31.440$ and on liquid based psychology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

00:52:31.440 --> 00:52:35.592 I'm I'm better in recognizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:52:35.592 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.476$ the nuclear details,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:52:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.684$ but the background details are much

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00{:}52{:}40.684 \to 00{:}52{:}43.800$ better defined in conventional psychology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:52:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.117$ So I need both and I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:52:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.128$ I don't want to to move only

00:52:48.128 --> 00:52:50.158 on the liquid based ethology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00.52:50.160 \longrightarrow 00.52:51.216$ By the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:52:51.216 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.680$ there is a very nice paper published

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

00:52:53.753 --> 00:52:55.792 about liquid based ethology in

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

00:52:55.792 --> 00:52:58.300 Salivary for a special issue of

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00{:}52{:}58.379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00.919$ ACTA psychological some years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

00:53:00.920 --> 00:53:04.652 The first author is Rarick with

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:53:04.652 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.868$ our and the and Co working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:53:07.868 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.879$ the Claire Michael.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

00:53:08.880 --> 00:53:11.309 It's a very nice paper about water

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00{:}53{:}11.309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}13.554$ liquid based ontology and all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:53:13.554 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.430$ different entities and criteria in

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:53:15.430 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.880$ saliva relations. Very nice in my opinion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63440638

 $00:53:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.120$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667135013333333

 $00:53:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.000$ Thank you so much.