WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:13:12" NOTE recognizability:0.899

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400536725

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.950 Good afternoon everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400536725

 $00:00:01.950 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.614$ Welcome to the class of 2021's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400536725

 $00:00:05.614 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.856$ outstanding thesis presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400536725

 $00:00:06.856 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.340$ For those of you at home

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400536725

 $00:00:09.414 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.709$ who may not know me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67710793

 $00{:}00{:}15.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.004$ For those of you at home who may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.67710793

00:00:17.004 --> 00:00:18.332 know me, I'm Alexandria Guerino.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67710793

 $00{:}00{:}18.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20.670$ I am the director of the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.67710793

 $00:00:20.741 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.508$ Physician associate program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67710793

00:00:22.510 --> 00:00:25.674 Today's event is a kickoff to graduation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67710793

 $00{:}00{:}25.680 \longrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.608$ so we're all very excited to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815071

 $00:00:28.700 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.028$ One of the characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:00:30.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.520$ that set that sets the LPA program apart

 $00:00:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.376$ from other programs is our focus on research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00{:}00{:}37.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40.280$ Our research program is a rigorous one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:00:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.395$ We believe that PS need to utilize

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

00:00:43.400 --> 00:00:47.438 principles of research methodology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:00:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.598$ public health, and evidence based medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

00:00:50.598 --> 00:00:52.366 If they're to practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:00:52.370 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.410$ To the best of their ability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:00:54.410 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.562$ this training is one of the reasons why

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00{:}00{:}56.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58.316$ our graduates here are or soon to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:00:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.500$ Graduates are so highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:01:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.462$ sought after this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:01:02.462 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.206$ we introduced the alternative

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:01:04.206 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.538$ thesis pilot which was developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00{:}01{:}06.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08.586$ by Doctor Gonzalas Colosso.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:01:08.590 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.756$ Several student students took up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:01:10.756 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.140$ challenge to take the traditional thesis

 $00:01:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.953$ one step further and collect data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:01:15.953 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.608$ and they were required to them produce

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

00:01:19.608 --> 00:01:22.848 a manuscript of publishable quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:01:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.954$ So far we have two publications and several

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:01:25.954 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.653$ regional and national presentations

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

00:01:27.653 --> 00:01:29.704 that have come out of this pilot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

00:01:29.710 --> 00:01:32.838 so I would declare it's a huge success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:01:32.838 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.090$ We also celebrate the traditional thesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00{:}01{:}36.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.700$ today and highlight a project that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:01:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.259$ funded by the Wilbur Downs Fellowship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:01:41.260 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.564$ We have many people to thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:01:43.564 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.716$ for today's presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375 00:01:44.720 --> 00:01:45.192 First, NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00{:}01{:}45.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}48.496$ we thank the students for their dedication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:01:48.496 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.970$ their flexibility, and their perseverance.

00:01:50.970 --> 00:01:53.520 The thesis project is demanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:01:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.779$ in the best of years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

00:01:55.780 --> 00:01:57.840 but you all accomplished amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:01:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.340$ things with your education being

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:02:01.340 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.769$ disrupted because of the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00:02:04.770 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.105$ I congratulate the entire class

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

00:02:07.105 --> 00:02:10.090 on your hard work and dedication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883866539375

 $00{:}02{:}10.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12.010$ so I think that deserves around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909105914736842

00:02:17.060 --> 00:02:18.985 We thank Thesis Advisors whose

NOTE Confidence: 0.909105914736842

 $00:02:18.985 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.910$ expertise and guidance made an

NOTE Confidence: 0.909105914736842

 $00{:}02{:}20.974 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22.910$ important contribution to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909105914736842

 $00:02:22.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.330$ development of these future clinicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909105914736842

00:02:25.330 --> 00:02:26.494 We thank Tiffany Chen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909105914736842

00:02:26.494 --> 00:02:29.470 who's with us today and Andrew Arakaki,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909105914736842

 $00:02:29.470 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.931$ our research TAS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909105914736842

00:02:30.931 --> 00:02:33.366 We thank our readers are

00:02:33.366 --> 00:02:35.530 dedicated librarian Caitlin Meyer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909105914736842

 $00:02:35.530 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.040$ who's also with us today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

00:02:43.510 --> 00:02:45.478 And the graduate writing tutors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

 $00:02:45.478 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.513$ all without whom these projects

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

 $00{:}02{:}47.513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}50.215$ would not be possible. We thank Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

 $00:02:50.215 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.705$ Rosanna Gonzalas Colosso for making the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

 $00:02:52.705 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.710$ research program really distinctive

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

 $00:02:54.710 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.531$ piece of the LPA program experience for

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

 $00:02:57.531 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.840$ our students and Miss Megan Pendergast

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

 $00:02:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.840$ for her management of the program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

 $00:03:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.955$ We also thank Chanel Feliciano

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

 $00{:}03{:}04.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}07.070$ and the entire administration and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

 $00{:}03{:}07.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09.153$ administrative staff for their

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

 $00:03:09.153 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.169$ coordination of today's event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

 $00:03:11.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.270$ For those who are in person,

 $00:03:13.270 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.266$ we have some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

 $00:03:14.266 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.923$ Refreshments after the presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

 $00:03:15.923 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.010$ So please stop there little signs directing

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

00:03:19.084 --> 00:03:21.919 you to a table with very nice sweet treats,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

 $00:03:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.326$ so please help yourself to that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

 $00{:}03{:}24.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.694$ I thank you all for joining us today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218787

 $00:03:26.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.647$ I hope you enjoy the thesis presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83803856

00:03:40.070 --> 00:03:43.080 Hello everyone for those here. Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.959299016666667

 $00{:}03{:}43.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.778$ you so much coming to support

NOTE Confidence: 0.813631111428571

 $00{:}03{:}44.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46.848$ the students that went to Extra mile

NOTE Confidence: 0.951257041666667

 $00:03:46.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.080$ when everything was done and prepared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951257041666667

 $00:03:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.206$ These presentations or poster

NOTE Confidence: 0.951257041666667

 $00:03:51.206 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.635$ presentations for those at home or

NOTE Confidence: 0.951257041666667

 $00{:}03{:}53.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55.520$ somewhere else in the university.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951257041666667

00:03:55.520 --> 00:03:57.812 Thank you for joining us and

NOTE Confidence: 0.951257041666667

00:03:57.812 --> 00:04:00.039 supporting our efforts here.

 $00{:}04{:}00.039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}02.673$ Of course, as any already have

NOTE Confidence: 0.951257041666667

 $00:04:02.673 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.030$ given all the thanks and we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.896019331428571

 $00{:}04{:}06.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}08.447$ start the program as soon as possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.885578742

00:04:08.760 --> 00:04:10.980 before I would like to give you a road map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885578742

 $00:04:10.980 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.556$ Of how we are going to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885578742

 $00:04:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.410$ There will be four presentations

NOTE Confidence: 0.885578742

 $00:04:15.410 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.690$ representing the three current

NOTE Confidence: 0.885578742

 $00:04:17.690 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.159$ paths to complete API thesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.653517658

 $00:04:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.150$ at Yale at the year. Physician associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.932315338333333

 $00{:}04{:}20.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22.296$ program. So there will be representation

NOTE Confidence: 0.932315338333333

 $00:04:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.262$ from the Bounce fellowship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932315338333333

 $00:04:24.262 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.470$ A one way to do it and representation

NOTE Confidence: 0.932315338333333

 $00{:}04{:}27.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.130$ from the traditional thesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.909479345714286

 $00:04:29.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.100$ and representation for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909479345714286

 $00:04:31.100 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.570$ new alternative thesis.

00:04:32.570 --> 00:04:34.337 There were too many outstanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.909479345714286

00:04:34.337 --> 00:04:35.888 presentations this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890780946666667

 $00:04:36.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.028$ way too many and we couldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.890780946666667

 $00:04:39.028 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.809$ stop by inviting others to share.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890780946666667

 $00:04:41.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.802$ Also their projects through

NOTE Confidence: 0.890780946666667

 $00:04:43.802 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.760$ electronic posters presentations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890780946666667

00:04:45.760 --> 00:04:48.288 So first we are going to have four

NOTE Confidence: 0.890780946666667

 $00{:}04{:}48.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}50.598$ before presenters live and even

NOTE Confidence: 0.890780946666667

 $00{:}04{:}50.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}52.628$ their families are joining us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890780946666667

00:04:52.630 --> 00:04:55.360 so I hope that you appreciate how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.890780946666667

 $00{:}04{:}55.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58.065$ they learned and also we are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.890780946666667

 $00:04:58.065 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.913$ to then acknowledge a group of very

NOTE Confidence: 0.890780946666667

 $00:05:00.913 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.547$ important people that made all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.890780946666667

 $00:05:03.547 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.048$ possible and we will invite then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890780946666667

 $00:05:06.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.600$ especially those in the classes

NOTE Confidence: 0.890780946666667

 $00:05:08.600 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.348$ of 2022 and 2023.

 $00:05:11.348 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.144$ To join a panel of presenters

NOTE Confidence: 0.901073992

 $00:05:14.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.190$ to discuss their posters, to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912675253636364

 $00:05:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.030$ find inspiration, there is light at

NOTE Confidence: 0.912675253636364

 $00:05:18.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.080$ the end of the tunnel. Believe me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912675253636364

 $00{:}05{:}21.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23.592$ so I'm going to call the first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912675253636364

 $00:05:23.592 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.650$ Well, of course this is the class of 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46725813

 $00:05:34.060 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.218$ 40 outstanding people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.46725813

00:05:36.218 --> 00:05:39.900 he looks so eager a 27 months

NOTE Confidence: 0.692181934666667

 $00:05:39.999 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.479$ ago and even before that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692181934666667

 $00:05:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.694$ During admissions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.692181934666667

 $00{:}05{:}43.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}47.943$ every body wanted to do their thesis here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692181934666667

 $00:05:47.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.644$ So now we are showing right

NOTE Confidence: 0.692181934666667

 $00:05:50.644 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.440$ what they have produced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692181934666667

 $00:05:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.456$ These are the ones that are going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.692181934666667

 $00:05:54.456 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.330$ be taking care of us soon because

00:05:56.330 --> 00:05:58.040 many are staying in Connecticut,

NOTE Confidence: 0.692181934666667

 $00:05:58.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.317$ so were first presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692181934666667

 $00:06:00.317 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.090$ Presenter is Annabelle Wilcox who I invite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692181934666667

 $00:06:04.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.466$ To the podium to take charge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.692181934666667 00:06:06.470 --> 00:06:07.080 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76934624

00:06:14.480 --> 00:06:18.142 Hello everyone so I'm Annabelle I

NOTE Confidence: 0.76934624

 $00:06:18.142 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.182$ did the alternative thesis project

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

00:06:20.190 --> 00:06:22.038 so I was able to develop a

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00{:}06{:}22.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.319$ project and carry it out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:06:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.792$ I'm with my advisors and they will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:06:25.792 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.868$ presenting the manuscripts today so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

00:06:27.868 --> 00:06:29.380 just want to thank my fellow Co authors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:06:29.380 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.781$ Dr Venture I'm doctor Nally and my

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:06:31.781 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.784$ advisor Dr Weinzimer without their

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:06:33.784 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.054$ support throughout the whole process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:06:36.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.610$ I definitely would not have

 $00:06:37.610 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.850$ made it here today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:06:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.747$ So I thank them for their guidance

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:06:40.750 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.761$ and constant support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:06:41.761 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.120$ OK, so here's the outline of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

00:06:44.189 --> 00:06:46.187 talk that I'll go through today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:06:46.190 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.848$ So starting with some background information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:06:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.838$ diabetes technology has advanced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:06:50.838 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.710$ We now have continuous glucose monitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:06:53.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.546$ insulin pumps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:06:54.546 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.526$ they connect to wireless devices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00{:}06{:}56.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58.470$ give real time glucose data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:06:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.810$ and all this technological advancement

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00{:}06{:}59.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02.162$ is coming at a time where there's also

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:02.162 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.076$ increasing prevalence of type one diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.559$ and minority youth.

00:07:05.559 --> 00:07:07.038 But despite this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00{:}07{:}07.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08.824$ despite knowing that diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:08.824 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.054$ technology results in better outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

00:07:11.060 --> 00:07:11.922 lower anyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:11.922 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.077$ see by better glycemic control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

00:07:14.080 --> 00:07:16.488 my minority youth are at higher risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:16.488 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.585$ for work shortcoming outcomes and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

00:07:18.585 --> 00:07:21.280 less likely to be using this technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.769$ to manage their glycemic control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:23.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.024$ So study found that type one diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00{:}07{:}26.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.498$ exchange pressure registry found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00{:}07{:}27.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.306$ the odds of a white child being an

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:29.364 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.248$ insulin pump or 3.6 times higher than

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:31.248 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.446$ that of a black child and 1.9 times

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:33.446 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.217$ higher than that of a Hispanic child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.140$ So that really just shows you

 $00:07:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.420$ the disparity that exists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:39.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.840$ There's also a significant difference in

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:40.840 \dashrightarrow 00:07:42.800$ anyone see between the two racial groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.285$ Even when we control for

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:44.285 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.879$ socioeconomic status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.938$ So it seems like there's other

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:46.938 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.752$ factors that are contributing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00{:}07{:}48.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.657$ this outside of socioeconomic status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

00:07:50.660 --> 00:07:51.996 So the question that I wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:52.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.010$ answer is what could be contributing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00{:}07{:}54.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.665$ To this disparity and technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00{:}07{:}55.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57.850$ use anyone see and that racial

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982309166667

 $00:07:57.850 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.698$ and ethnic minority adolescents?

NOTE Confidence: 0.952971878

 $00:08:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.710$ So, one thing that's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.864245491333333

 $00{:}08{:}01.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.425$ associated with decreased adherence to

 $00:08:04.425 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.110$ treatment recommendations and a suboptimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.864245491333333

00:08:07.181 --> 00:08:09.366 anyone see his diabetes distress?

NOTE Confidence: 0.864245491333333

 $00:08:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.594$ So this is a measure of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864245491333333

 $00:08:10.594 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.345$ negative emotions experience for

NOTE Confidence: 0.864245491333333

 $00:08:12.345 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.970$ managing and living with diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864245491333333

 $00:08:14.970 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.914$ It's thoughts. We do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864245491333333

00:08:15.914 --> 00:08:17.330 The lack of understand from others

NOTE Confidence: 0.864245491333333

 $00:08:17.382 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.672$ and just the daily demands of

NOTE Confidence: 0.864245491333333

 $00{:}08{:}18.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.920$ living with a chronic illness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946648114

 $00:08:20.590 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.960$ So there's been no studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

 $00:08:21.970 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.170$ that have directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

 $00:08:23.170 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.370$ compared diabetes distress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

00:08:24.370 --> 00:08:26.788 Between non Hispanic youth or sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

00:08:26.790 --> 00:08:29.304 not Hispanic. White youth and racial

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

 $00:08:29.304 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.980$ and ethnic minority adolescents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

 $00:08:30.980 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.674$ So the aim of this study was

 $00:08:32.674 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.338$ to describe the differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

00:08:34.338 --> 00:08:36.033 diabetes technology used, IBS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

 $00{:}08{:}36.033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38.271$ stress and barriers to management between

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

 $00:08:38.271 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.159$ adolescents with type one diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

 $00:08:40.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.564$ Specifically, comparing between

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

00:08:41.564 --> 00:08:43.904 racial and ethnic minority youth

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

 $00:08:43.904 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.510$ and then non Hispanic white youth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

00:08:46.510 --> 00:08:47.060 Secondarily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

 $00:08:47.060 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.686$ we also wanted to compare on the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

 $00:08:48.686 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.300$ measures between those who are using

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

 $00:08:50.350 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.080$ technology and not using technology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

 $00{:}08{:}52.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54.852$ and then also between a dolescents and

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

 $00{:}08{:}54.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57.280$ their primary caregiver or parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

 $00:08:57.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.940$ So I hypothesize that diabetes distress

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

 $00:08:58.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.540$ will be negatively associated with

 $00:09:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.135$ diabetes technology use and will

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

 $00{:}09{:}02.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04.025$ be higher in the racial and ethnic

NOTE Confidence: 0.848733095

 $00:09:04.025 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.650$ minority adolescents with type one diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898869458571429

00:09:07.950 --> 00:09:08.986 So quickly just to go through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00{:}09{:}09.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.782$ methods it was a cross sectional

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00{:}09{:}10.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}12.184$ study design. We used Qualtrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:12.184 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.792$ which is a HIPAA compliant software,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.966$ and the survey was given both

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00{:}09{:}15.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}17.410$ to parents and adolescents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.600$ Inclusion criteria was type one diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.800$ But sorry, type one diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.000$ of at least six months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.680$ and between the ages of 13 and 17.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:24.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.455$ And we recruited through email

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:26.455 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.734$ and phone to patients at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00{:}09{:}28.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30.490$ Yale Children Diabetes Center.

 $00:09:30.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.408$ We used three scales to measure diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00{:}09{:}32.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34.290$ distress and barriers to management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:34.290 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.649$ For the paid peed scale measures diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.898$ distress, and adolescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:37.898 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.730$ They paid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.138$ PR is the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:40.138 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.240$ but for parents and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

00:09:42.240 --> 00:09:43.310 PRISM questionnaire identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

00:09:43.310 --> 00:09:44.830 specific barriers to management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:44.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.780$ So it is split into five

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:46.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.430$ different categories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.480$ understanding and organizing care regimen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.490$ pain, and bother health care team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:51.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.260$ family interactions and peer interactions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:09:54.260 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.850$ And so each of these questionnaires

 $00:09:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.977$ were given and they all have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00{:}09{:}57.977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}59.667$ established cutoff point to measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

00:09:59.667 --> 00:10:01.259 clinically significant diabetes distress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:10:01.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.892$ Or as a barrier as a clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:10:03.892 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.520$ significant burden to diabetes management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

00:10:06.520 --> 00:10:08.108 I'm sorry, independent variables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:10:08.110 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.678$ We separated the adolescents

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

00:10:09.678 --> 00:10:11.052 into two groups based off of

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00{:}10{:}11.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}12.229$ their self identified race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:10:12.230 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.064$ race and ethnicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:10:13.064 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.010$ So non Hispanic white group and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:10:15.068 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.808$ the racial or ethnic minority group

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00{:}10{:}16.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18.735$ adolescence identified both as white

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00{:}10{:}18.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21.090$ as that minority were placed into

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

00:10:21.090 --> 00:10:22.915 the minority group for analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00{:}10{:}22.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}24.880$ and then we made the following three

00:10:24.880 --> 00:10:26.570 comparisons so non Hispanic white

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00{:}10{:}26.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28.158$ versus minority diabetes technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:10:28.158 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.060$ users versus non technology users

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:10:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.985$ and so non or technology users was

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00{:}10{:}31.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.623$ using a CGM continuous glucose

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:10:33.623 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.867$ monitor and or an insulin pump.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:10:35.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.402$ Dementia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

00:10:36.402 --> 00:10:39.062 The Technology user group and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00{:}10{:}39.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41.190$ then parents versus adolescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:10:41.190 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.191$ We measured diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

00:10:43.191 --> 00:10:45.859 technology use diabetes outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

00:10:45.859 --> 00:10:48.855 variable SO81C DK and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00{:}10{:}48.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.715$ diabetes distress and barrier

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:10:50.715 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.269$ scales that I just went through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91606633875

 $00:10:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.098$ And we used SAS for data analysis.

 $00:10:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.736$ So to go through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9235436

00:11:00.736 --> 00:11:02.400 results of the adolescents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9235436

 $00:11:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.662$ we had 45 complete, the survey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9235436

00:11:04.662 --> 00:11:07.259 28 of who identified as non Hispanic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9235436

 $00:11:07.260 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.517$ white and 17 as a racial or ethnic minority.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9235436

00:11:11.520 --> 00:11:12.510 Comparing the demographics

NOTE Confidence: 0.9235436

 $00:11:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.550$ between the two groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9235436

 $00:11:13.550 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.608$ there was no significant difference in age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9235436

00:11:15.610 --> 00:11:16.890 income or insurance status

NOTE Confidence: 0.9235436

00:11:16.890 --> 00:11:18.170 between the non Hispanic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9235436

 $00{:}11{:}18.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}19.790$ white and minority adolescents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9235436

 $00:11:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.626$ But it is important to note that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9235436

 $00:11:22.626 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.140$ in our sample both groups had as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9235436

 $00:11:25.140 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.010$ income on average higher than 75,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.9235436

 $00:11:28.010 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.930$ and were most or most commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9235436

 $00{:}11{:}29.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31.979$ to have private health insurance.

 $00:11:34.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.040$ So comparing the diabetes technologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.901174924

00:11:36.050 --> 00:11:38.400 between our racial ethnic groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901174924

 $00:11:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.355$ there was no significant difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.901174924

 $00:11:40.355 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.310$ in overall diabetes technology use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901174924

 $00:11:42.310 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.974$ So looking just at whether or not they

NOTE Confidence: 0.901174924

00:11:44.974 --> 00:11:47.980 used any technology versus no technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902522706

 $00:11:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.970$ But when we compare it, specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.939484273333334

 $00:11:47.980 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.450$ diabetes technology combinations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939484273333334

 $00:11:49.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.390$ we did find a significant difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939484273333334

 $00:11:52.390 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.330$ So the minority group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939484273333334

 $00:11:53.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.999$ which is highlighted in yellow and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.939484273333334

00:11:54.999 --> 00:11:56.586 on spanic white, which is in green,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939484273333334

00:11:56.586 --> 00:11:58.624 they might already be for far less likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.939484273333334

 $00{:}11{:}58.624 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}12{:}00.668$ to be using diabetes technology for both

NOTE Confidence: 0.939484273333334

 $00:12:00.668 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.427$ aspects of their diabetes management.

 $00:12:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.600$ So for using both the CGI Vanderpump.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939484273333334

 $00:12:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.203$ And they're more likely to be using

NOTE Confidence: 0.939484273333334

 $00:12:06.203 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.648$ technology for only one or the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198255

 $00:12:09.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.878$ We asked adolescents for reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198255

 $00:12:11.878 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.606$ but behind nonuser discontinuation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198255

 $00:12:13.606 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.546$ of diabetes technology and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198255

 $00:12:15.546 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.702$ the non Hispanic White Group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198255

00:12:16.702 --> 00:12:17.672 it was exclusively due

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198255

00:12:17.672 --> 00:12:18.458 to personal preference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198255

00:12:18.458 --> 00:12:19.768 But in the minority group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198255

00:12:19.770 --> 00:12:22.058 the reasons were a little bit more complex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198255

 $00:12:22.060 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.196$ so they cited insurance coverage issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198255

00:12:24.200 --> 00:12:24.996 provider recommendations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198255

00:12:24.996 --> 00:12:26.588 difficulty with the device,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198255

 $00:12:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.360$ or difficulty with diabetes management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94223213

 $00:12:31.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.120$ So comparing diabetes, distress and outcome

 $00:12:33.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.880$ variables between the two groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844138348

 $00:12:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.488$ there was a significant difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.844138348

 $00:12:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.176$ in anyone see which is consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.844138348

00:12:38.176 --> 00:12:39.929 with previous literature, so or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844138348

00:12:39.929 --> 00:12:42.880 or are minor minority group had a higher A1C,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844138348

00:12:42.880 --> 00:12:44.830 and then on Hispanic White Group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844138348

 $00:12:44.830 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.924$ but there was no significant difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.844138348

 $00:12:46.924 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.336$ in diabetes distress for any of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844138348

 $00:12:49.336 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.936$ barriers on the PRISM questionnaire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844138348

 $00{:}12{:}50.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52.696$ However, there was a very high overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.844138348

 $00:12:52.696 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.642$ rate of diabetes distress in both groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844138348

 $00{:}12{:}54.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}57.539$ so 86% of the non Hispanic White Group and

NOTE Confidence: 0.844138348

 $00{:}12{:}57.539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00.434$ 82% of the minority group met clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.844138348

 $00{:}13{:}00.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02.150$ significance for diabetes distress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844138348

 $00:13:02.150 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.970$ And then similarly on the prison question,

 $00:13:03.970 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.260$ there was a high rate of adolescents

NOTE Confidence: 0.844138348

 $00{:}13{:}07.260 \longrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08.814$ that met diabetes distress for all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844138348

 $00:13:08.814 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.050$ categories except for health care team

NOTE Confidence: 0.844138348

 $00:13:10.050 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.600$ was only one that wasn't the majority.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68790126

00:13:13.910 --> 00:13:15.089 Comparing between technology user

NOTE Confidence: 0.884728992

00:13:15.100 --> 00:13:16.970 versus non technology user groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884728992

 $00:13:16.970 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.827$ again there was a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.884728992

 $00{:}13{:}18.827 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}20.609$ difference in A1C between the two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884728992

 $00{:}13{:}20.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.109$ So the non technology users had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.884728992

00:13:23.109 --> 00:13:24.981 significantly higher A1C but no

NOTE Confidence: 0.884728992

 $00{:}13{:}24.981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26.826$ difference in diabetes distress and

NOTE Confidence: 0.884728992

 $00{:}13{:}26.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28.200$ then looking at specific burdens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884728992

 $00:13:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.410$ The only significant difference was

NOTE Confidence: 0.884728992

 $00:13:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.178$ understanding and organizing care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884728992

00:13:32.180 --> 00:13:33.698 So the non technology user groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.884728992

 $00:13:33.698 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.545$ found that as a more significant

 $00:13:35.545 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.500$ burden to their diabetes management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

 $00{:}13{:}39.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41.109$ And then finally comparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

00:13:41.109 --> 00:13:42.498 adolescents versus parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

 $00:13:42.500 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.834$ So there was a significant difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

 $00:13:44.834 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.390$ here between environments distress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

 $00:13:46.390 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.819$ So the adolescents had a much higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

00:13:48.819 --> 00:13:50.690 rate of clinically significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

 $00:13:50.690 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.175$ clinically significant diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

 $00{:}13{:}52.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55.145$ distress, then the parent group did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

 $00:13:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.250$ and then comparing the specific barriers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

 $00:13:57.250 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.194$ the adolescent scored much higher for

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

 $00:13:59.194 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.940$ family interactions as a contributing

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

 $00{:}14{:}00.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.860$ barrier to their diabetes management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

 $00:14:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.396$ This is again just shows that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

 $00:14:06.396 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.710$ difference between adolescent in Paris,

 $00:14:07.710 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.747$ so adolescent and orange parent and blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

 $00:14:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.416$ And then we have positive diabetes distress

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

 $00:14:11.416 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.169$ on the left hand side of the graph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

 $00:14:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.312$ So 82% of adolescents and only

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

 $00:14:15.312 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.158 15\%$ of parents met clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.98756385

 $00{:}14{:}17.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}18.274$ significant diabetes distress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732299

 $00:14:20.670 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.718$ So the conclusions that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

 $00:14:21.730 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.480$ were able to draw from this our

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

 $00:14:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.880$ population show that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

 $00:14:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.068$ a difference in technology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

00:14:26.070 --> 00:14:27.725 user groups or technology you

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

 $00{:}14{:}27.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29.761$ use with the minority group less

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

00:14:29.761 --> 00:14:31.645 likely to be using technology for

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

 $00:14:31.645 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.375$ both aspects of their diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

00:14:33.375 --> 00:14:35.553 management and having a higher A1C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

 $00:14:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.024$ This is consistent with

 $00:14:37.024 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.488$ previous literature and so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

 $00:14:38.490 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.996$ and they also cited more complex regional

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

 $00:14:40.996 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.030$ reasons behind NONUSER discontinuation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

 $00{:}14{:}43.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}44.812$ So in the clinical setting it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

 $00:14:44.812 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.861$ important to identify this and identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

 $00:14:46.861 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.477$ reasons behind nonuser discontinuation

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

 $00:14:48.477 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.093$ in the minority population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

 $00:14:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.102$ I'm sorry, better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

00:14:51.102 --> 00:14:52.438 To understand what's resulting

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

 $00:14:52.438 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.833$ in that and able to help them

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

 $00:14:54.833 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.503$ implement technology into their care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

 $00:14:56.510 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.630$ If that will give them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91345919625

 $00{:}14{:}57.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}59.190$ give them improved management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718914733333333

 $00:15:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.046$ And we also saw a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:02.060 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.730$ high frequency of diabetes distress

00:15:03.730 --> 00:15:06.120 across both groups of our adolescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.632$ so this shows that this is a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:08.632 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.540$ mental burden of managing diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:10.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.465$ and it may be impacted glucose control

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

00:15:12.465 --> 00:15:14.341 and quality of life amongst all

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:14.341 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.996$ adolescents with type one diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

00:15:16.000 --> 00:15:18.345 and the reason behind this might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:18.345 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.020$ universal stressors that are causing

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:20.020 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.820$ both racial and ethnic boundaries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.824$ So that might be social stigma or fear

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00{:}15{:}26.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30.166$ of feeling different from their peers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:30.170 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.636$ And diarrhea stress in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:31.636 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.900$ various management are modifiable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:32.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.484$ so we're able to identify them

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:34.484 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.540$ in the clinical setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

00:15:35.540 --> 00:15:37.562 there's the potential to help improve

 $00{:}15{:}37.562 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}39.566$ support for adolescents with type one

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:39.566 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.372$ diabetes and identify those that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

00:15:41.372 --> 00:15:43.410 having higher rates of diabetes distress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.515$ so we can help give them more support and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:46.515 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.284$ improve their glycemic control and then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:49.284 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.872$ between comparing between adolescents

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:50.872 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.063$ and their parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:52.070 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.750$ is another tool that can be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:53.750 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.172$ helpful in the clinical setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

00:15:55.172 --> 00:15:57.104 on parents are often the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

00:15:57.104 --> 00:15:58.879 caregiver and support for children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:15:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.434$ So with this high discrepancy in diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00{:}16{:}00.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}02.768$ Stress there's the potential to improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:16:02.768 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.704$ understanding of that discrepancy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:16:04.704 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.648$ support for adolescents as they make

 $00:16:06.648 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.630$ that transition from childhood into

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00{:}16{:}08.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.630$ adulthood while managing a chronic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

00:16:12.630 --> 00:16:13.453 And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:16:13.453 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.532$ it's important to note that while

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:16:15.532 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.272$ these the advancements in technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

00:16:17.272 --> 00:16:18.819 are improving glycemic control

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:16:18.819 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.099$ or associated with a lower A1C,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:16:21.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.635$ they're not enough to mitigate

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:16:22.635 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.249$ diabetes distress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:16:23.250 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.538$ and that was seen in our study here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

00:16:25.540 --> 00:16:27.528 So family support and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

00:16:27.528 --> 00:16:29.019 communication remains essential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:16:29.020 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.276$ even as we continue to advance technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:16:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.810$ So some future directions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:16:34.810 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.072$ just further research on both patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:16:37.072 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.542$ and providers to understand why there

 $00:16:39.542 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.797$ may be provider recommendations against

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

00:16:41.797 --> 00:16:43.557 discontinuation of technology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:16:43.557 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.565$ minority and other reasons that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:16:46.565 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.376$ resulting in the discrepancy and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:16:49.376 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.404$ also including a diversity and diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:16:51.404 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.839$ treatment settings and locations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

00:16:52.840 --> 00:16:54.778 So we only recruited from Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00{:}16{:}54.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56.646$ which is a large academic center

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:16:56.646 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.159$ in a high high use of technology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00{:}16{:}59.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01.032$ but comparing other areas would also

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:17:01.032 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.709$ benefit to be able to make that comparison.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00{:}17{:}03.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.920$ And understand where the disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:17:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.804$ are occurring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00{:}17{:}06.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.624$ And then also assessing diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:17:08.624 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.448$ test with a qualitative study can

00:17:10.448 --> 00:17:11.736 help understand what specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00{:}17{:}11.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13.674$ is contributing to the high rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:17:13.674 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.643$ of diabetes distress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:17:14.650 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.570$ So here are some strengths and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

00:17:15.570 --> 00:17:16.638 just to highlight a couple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

00:17:16.638 --> 00:17:18.430 it was the first study that compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:17:18.476 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.052$ diabetes distress between ontspanning

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00{:}17{:}20.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21.628$ white and minority adolescents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:17:21.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.916$ And then we also included both

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:17:23.916 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.885$ parents and adolescents into once

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00{:}17{:}25.885 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}17{:}27.790$ we're able to directly compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:17:27.790 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.960$ their level of diabetes distress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00{:}17{:}29.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31.686$ Some limitations are here again

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00{:}17{:}31.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33.730$ just to highlight a couple of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:17:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.930$ We only recruited patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:17:34.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.743$ had scheduled appointments,

 $00:17:35.743 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.482$ so this may be missing patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:17:37.482 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.822$ that have high level diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

00:17:38.830 --> 00:17:41.170 distress or not using technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:17:41.170 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.490$ we only recruited from Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:17:42.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.056$ so again that has a potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:17:44.056 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.952$ has a higher rate of diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:17:45.952 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.548$ technology then it's representative

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

00:17:47.548 --> 00:17:49.144 of the minority population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00{:}17{:}49.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.704$ Our survey was only in English

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00{:}17{:}50.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}52.627$ so that limits anyone who is non

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00{:}17{:}52.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54.301$ English speaking and then it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00:17:54.301 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.726$ administered during the pandemic so

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00{:}17{:}55.726 \to 00{:}17{:}57.907$ that also may be contributing to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.866495212727273

 $00{:}17{:}57.907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}01.129$ high level of distress in a dolescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.49702704

 $00:18:01.130 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.558$ Here are my references.

00:18:02.560 --> 00:18:04.037 Just a big thank you to Rosanna

NOTE Confidence: 0.49702704

 $00{:}18{:}04.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.703$ and Megan for all their support

NOTE Confidence: 0.49702704

 $00:18:05.703 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.687$ with alternative thesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.49702704

00:18:06.690 --> 00:18:09.742 I really appreciate you giving us the

NOTE Confidence: 0.49702704

 $00:18:09.742 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.060$ opportunity to pursue it. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770471835

 $00:18:23.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.139$ He asked. The audience, so it's OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770471835

 $00:18:26.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.530$ We are going to probably get presentations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774799381153846

00:18:39.140 --> 00:18:42.227 So it's my pleasure to introduce Jamie

NOTE Confidence: 0.774799381153846

 $00:18:42.227 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.245$ Conway to present card thesis and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.774799381153846

 $00:18:45.245 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.199$ will let her introduce the topic that

NOTE Confidence: 0.774799381153846

 $00{:}18{:}48.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51.050$ she developed and her adviser. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88659936

 $00{:}18{:}58.440 --> 00{:}19{:}01.310$ Hi everyone, my name is Jamie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:19:01.310 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.696$ Thank you all for being here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:19:02.700 --> 00:19:04.815 It's so nice to see you all in person

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:19:04.815 --> 00:19:06.729 and thank you for everyone who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:19:06.729 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.939$ tuning in online and also special

00:19:08.939 --> 00:19:11.417 thanks to my advisor Doctor Nauert.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:19:11.420 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.059$ So my topic is tucked in weighted

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:19:14.059 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.992$ blankets to improve sleep in

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:19:15.992 --> 00:19:18.170 intensive care unit patients and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:19:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.748$ did the traditional thesis route.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:19:20.750 --> 00:19:23.186 So just a quick outline of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:19:23.186 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.399$ will be going through today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:19:25.400 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.506$ So sleep in all people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:19:27.506 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.152$ but especially those in the critically ill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:19:30.160 --> 00:19:31.318 is incredibly important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:19:31.318 --> 00:19:33.634 Those in the intensive care unit

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:19:33.634 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.138$ have been found to have all domains

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00{:}19{:}36.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38.024$ of sleep deficiency that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:19:38.024 --> 00:19:39.816 include abnormal sleep timing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:19:39.820 --> 00:19:43.796 poor sleep quality, or short sleep duration.

 $00:19:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.440$ Sleep deficiency can increase the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:19:46.440 --> 00:19:48.840 of infectious and inflammatory diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:19:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.311$ and it has contributions to all 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:19:51.311 --> 00:19:53.514 mortality and it shows that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:19:53.514 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.656$ are implications up to 12 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:19:55.660 --> 00:19:59.168 After both physically and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:19:59.168 --> 00:20:01.799 psychologically with PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.156$ As far as measuring sleep goes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00{:}20{:}03.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05.280$ there are two ways to go about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:05.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.084$ There are objective measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:20:07.084 --> 00:20:09.339 which is polysomnography or PSG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:09.340 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.801$ the gold standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:10.801 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.723$ This is a high cost and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:13.723 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.000$ uncomfortable process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:15.000 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.216$ It requires a lot of wires or leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:18.216 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.332$ EKG EG on the head it tracks eye

 $00:20:22.332 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.002$ movements and patients already bogged

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:25.002 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.872$ down with a lot of Ivs and other wires

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.135$ and it overall just doesn't bode well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:32.140 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.180$ For a good study, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.150$ there's actigraphy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:35.150 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.575$ It has a significant correlation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.620$ shown in studies with PSG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:20:39.620 --> 00:20:41.690 it's less invasive, less cumbersome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:41.690 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.130$ more cost efficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00{:}20{:}43.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.022$ It's essentially what we like

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:45.022 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.558$ to say is a glorified Fitbit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:20:46.560 --> 00:20:47.940 You wear it on your wrist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:47.940 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.940$ and it can track your total sleep time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00{:}20{:}50.940 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}20{:}52.764$ Another way of going about measuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:52.764 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.460$ sleep is a subjective measure.

00:20:54.460 --> 00:20:56.756 The Richard Campbell Sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:20:56.756 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.626$ Questionnaire is the only validated

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:20:59.626 --> 00:21:01.560 questionnaire for ICU patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:21:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.456$ It's significantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:21:02.456 --> 00:21:04.696 Has been found to correlate

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:21:04.696 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.040$ with PSG measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:21:06.040 --> 00:21:08.441 It requires just a simple tickmark by

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:21:08.441 --> 00:21:10.719 patients and that are critically ill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:21:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.316$ so this works well for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:21:12.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.828$ They have low stamina,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00{:}21{:}13.828 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}21{:}16.090$ it just requires a simple tick

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:21:16.171 --> 00:21:18.307 mark on a visual analog scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:21:18.310 --> 00:21:21.138 So many ways have been trialdb

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:21:21.138 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.746$ to enhance sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:21:22.750 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.000$ especially in the critically ill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:21:25.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.324$ but there is no evidence based

 $00:21:28.324 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.986$ pharmacological interventions available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334 00:21:29.990 --> 00:21:30.347 Oftentimes, NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:21:30.347 --> 00:21:32.846 if we try to use pharmacological methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:21:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.938$ there are adverse effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:21:33.938 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.570$ and there can also be drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:21:35.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.374$ drug interactions and patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:21:37.374 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.080$ that are already enduring a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00{:}21{:}40.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42.070$ pharmacological burden norm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00{:}21{:}42.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}43.510$ Non pharmacological interventions have

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:21:43.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.040$ been tried and they show some promise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00{:}21{:}46.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.774$ Some things like ear plugs, eye masks, music.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:21:48.774 --> 00:21:50.726 Cluster nursing care specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00{:}21{:}50.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52.501$ is when nurses tried to do their

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:21:52.501 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.850$ best to do all their tasks at once

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:21:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.746$ when entering a room instead of

 $00:21:56.746 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.468$ going in multiple times specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00{:}21{:}58.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}01.456$ at Yale and the medical ICU,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:22:01.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.996$ they have the standard of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:22:03.000 --> 00:22:05.744 which is a quiet time from midnight

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:22:05.744 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.285$ to 4:00 AM and a quiet pack which

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:22:08.285 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.992$ is given to all patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:22:09.992 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.924$ includes an eye mask and ear buds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00:22:11.930 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.414$ Despite all these interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:22:13.414 --> 00:22:14.898 that are tried consistently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

00:22:14.900 --> 00:22:16.100 patients report for sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933817048333334

 $00{:}22{:}16.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}17.900$ whether it's at Yale or another

NOTE Confidence: 0.885934475

 $00:22:17.959 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.099$ hospital and for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885934475

 $00{:}22{:}19.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}20.970$ Reason it's necessary to continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.885934475

 $00:22:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.466$ to evaluate more methods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

00:22:24.690 --> 00:22:26.338 Then comes weighted blankets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:22:26.338 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.332$ blankets of various sizes that are filled

 $00:22:29.332 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.587$ with different materials to evenly

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:22:31.587 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.909$ distribute the weight across a body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:22:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.914$ The theoretical framework is

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:22:35.914 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.419$ that it's deep touch pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:22:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.350$ It's almost like a hug

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:22:40.350 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.710$ or a swaddle for a baby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:22:43.710 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.252$ and they're ideally 10% of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:22:45.252 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.799$ body weight and they can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

00:22:46.799 --> 00:22:48.191 manufactured in such a way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00{:}22{:}48.191 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49.947$ they can be wiped down with wipes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:22:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.864$ which would be helpful in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:22:51.864 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.478$ intensive care unit setting they've

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00{:}22{:}53.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.168$ been studied in many populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:22:55.170 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.870$ They've been studied in

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:22:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.145$ adult psychiatric centers,

00:22:58.150 --> 00:23:02.364 children with autism neonates in the ICU,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:23:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.095$ those with breast cancer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:23:05.095 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.275$ inpatient and outpatient settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

00:23:07.280 --> 00:23:09.686 These studies have often been flawed

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

00:23:09.686 --> 00:23:12.678 in certain ways or have not had

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

00:23:12.678 --> 00:23:14.863 significant sample sizes show bias,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:23:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.288$ but overall results have showed an

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00{:}23{:}17.288 \rightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.877$ increase in total sleep time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00{:}23{:}19.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22.589$ consistently show a high user satisfaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:23:22.590 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.825$ However, weighted blankets have not

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00{:}23{:}24.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27.410$ been tried in the critically ill.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:23:27.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.216$ So as far as the problem goes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

00:23:30.220 --> 00:23:31.444 sleep deficiency is pervasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:23:31.444 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.668$ in the critically ill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:23:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.980$ with no evidence based pharmacological

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:23:34.980 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.290$ interventions shown to be effective.

 $00:23:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.463$ For this reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00{:}23{:}38.463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}39.636$ non pharmacological strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:23:39.636 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.950$ must be continued to be explored.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:23:41.950 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.335$ Weighted blankets have been shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:23:43.335 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.690$ to help with sleep and anxiety in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

00:23:45.690 --> 00:23:47.210 variety of settings and populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818 00:23:47.210 --> 00:23:47.649 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:23:47.649 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.844$ there's a lack of literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00{:}23{:}49.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52.113$ in this population where sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:23:52.113 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.869$ is vital and jeopardized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

00:23:53.870 --> 00:23:55.765 So we hypothesize that weighted

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:23:55.765 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.067$ blankets used in hospital lies patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00{:}23{:}58.067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00.426$ over 50 years old in intensive care

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

00:24:00.426 --> 00:24:02.298 units will have different mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:24:02.298 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.572$ total sleep time when compared to

 $00:24:04.572 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.890$ baseline of those with usual care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:24:07.890 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.708$ This will be a randomized controlled trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:24:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.110$ It will have two arms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:24:12.110 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.622$ weighted blankets and usual

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:24:13.622 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.134$ or standard of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

00:24:15.140 --> 00:24:16.995 We will study adult critically

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

00:24:16.995 --> 00:24:19.180 ill patients over 50 years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:24:19.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.056$ The reason we specify 50 years old

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00{:}24{:}21.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22.893$ is that they are most susceptible

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:24:22.893 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.168$ to the adverse effects of low sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00{:}24{:}25.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26.642$ including things like delirium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:24:26.642 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.850$ which is rampant in the ICU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00{:}24{:}28.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30.750$ The exclusion criteria will include

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:24:30.750 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.270$ those in respiratory failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:24:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.290$ so those on, say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

00:24:33.290 --> 00:24:35.230 a ventilator or those with active wounds,

 $00:24:35.230 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.994$ whether they're pressure wounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:24:36.994 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.317$ or recent surgeries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:24:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.408$ And those expected to leave within

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:24:40.408 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.480$ the next 24 hours by staff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:24:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.390$ We will evaluate all patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

 $00:24:44.390 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.805$ admitted to the MCU daily as

NOTE Confidence: 0.897693798181818

00:24:46.805 --> 00:24:48.950 potential subjects for this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85208774

 $00:24:51.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.320$ The key variables the

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:24:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.935$ intervention will be the weighted

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:24:53.935 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.862$ blanket plus standard of care and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

00:24:55.862 --> 00:24:57.476 like I mentioned earlier at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:24:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.130$ the standard of care is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00{:}24{:}59.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00.979$ quiet pack in those quiet hours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:25:00.980 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.756$ the control will be standard of care alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:25:03.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.364$ The primary outcome will be total sleep

 $00:25:06.364 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.929$ time via actigraphy that glorified Fitbit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00{:}25{:}08.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10.938$ On night two of the blanket use and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:25:10.938 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.795$ the secondary outcome will be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

00:25:12.795 --> 00:25:14.420 Sleep Questionnaire the next morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

00:25:14.420 --> 00:25:15.628 Based on that night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:25:15.628 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.058$ two of the study we will come.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:25:18.058 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.900$ Get consent from all patients to videotape

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:25:20.972 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.352$ to ensure that the blanket is used

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00{:}25{:}23.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.117$ for at least one hour on that night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:25:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.255$ Two of the study and only those

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

00:25:28.255 --> 00:25:30.470 that use the blanket for one

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:25:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.510$ hour will qualify for analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00{:}25{:}32.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}33.824$ Blinding the intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:25:33.824 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.138$ to the participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:25:35.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.972$ We will phrase it as a non pharmacological

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

00:25:37.972 --> 00:25:40.455 sleep study and we will leave out

 $00:25:40.455 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.360$ the fact that the intervention of

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:25:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.789$ interest is the way to blanket because

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:25:44.789 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.738$ standard of care as well also has

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

00:25:47.738 --> 00:25:49.118 non pharmacological interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:25:49.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.473$ the ear buds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:25:50.473 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.277$ Then the eye mask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00:25:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.336$ Finding the outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00{:}25{:}53.336 \to 00{:}25{:}55.448$ The research assistant interpreting the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.886059882941177

 $00{:}25{:}55.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57.567$ will not have access to the allocation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907411

00:25:59.600 --> 00:26:03.004 So, yells, Mccue admits 4000 patients per

NOTE Confidence: 0.907411

 $00{:}26{:}03.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06.080$ year, and the median stays three nights,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907411

 $00:26:06.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.560$ which allows us to determine that this would

NOTE Confidence: 0.907411

 $00{:}26{:}08.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11.524$ be a feasible study to carry out at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907411

 $00{:}26{:}11.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}13.562$ We calculated the sample size based

NOTE Confidence: 0.907411

 $00:26:13.562 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.274$ on data historical data in the

00:26:16.274 --> 00:26:18.898 Yale ICU based on Dr. Narcs lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907411

 $00{:}26{:}18.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.812$ They found that the average is

NOTE Confidence: 0.907411

 $00:26:20.812 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.737$ 94 minutes of total sleep time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907411

 $00:26:22.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.278$ with variants of 61 minutes will power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907411

 $00:26:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.094$ The study to 80% affect size of

NOTE Confidence: 0.907411

 $00:26:28.094 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.805 \ 20\%$ or 18 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907411

 $00{:}26{:}29.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32.415$ So given all this data historical

NOTE Confidence: 0.907411

 $00:26:32.415 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.070$ data based on Doctor Notes Lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907411

 $00{:}26{:}35.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36.996$ we will have a calculated sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.907411

 $00:26:36.996 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.068$ size of 324 and will round up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.907411

 $00{:}26{:}39.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}40.710$ 3:30 to allow for correction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893636475

 $00:26:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.648$ So this is just a graphic

NOTE Confidence: 0.893636475

 $00:26:45.648 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.520$ kind of outlying everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893636475

 $00:26:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.586$ I already said patients will be admitted

NOTE Confidence: 0.893636475

 $00:26:50.586 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.019$ to the hospital later admitted to the MCU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893636475

 $00:26:54.020 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.600$ They'll be randomized either

00:26:55.600 --> 00:26:57.180 to control or intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893636475

 $00{:}26{:}57.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59.700$ and they'll wear actigraphy on night one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893636475

00:26:59.700 --> 00:27:01.516 though the night of interest is night two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893636475

 $00:27:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.864$ once they're accustomed to all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.893636475

 $00:27:02.864 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.260$ these things being on their body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893636475

00:27:04.260 --> 00:27:06.916 and they've adjusted to being on the unit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893636475

 $00:27:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.870$ so night two will collect

NOTE Confidence: 0.893636475

 $00:27:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.040$ the actigraphy data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893636475

 $00:27:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.518$ and the next morning will do

NOTE Confidence: 0.893636475

00:27:12.518 --> 00:27:13.757 the Sleep question naire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893636475

00:27:13.760 --> 00:27:15.244 Based on night, two of the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944082539090909

 $00:27:17.470 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.295$ So. The impact that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.944082539090909

 $00:27:19.295 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.680$ could have is that it could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944082539090909

 $00:27:21.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.564$ Improve patient outcomes both short term

NOTE Confidence: 0.944082539090909

 $00:27:23.564 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.160$ and long term like I had mentioned earlier,

00:27:26.160 --> 00:27:28.610 these effects of low sleep can carry

NOTE Confidence: 0.944082539090909

 $00{:}27{:}28.610 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.758$ on up to 12 months after discharge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944082539090909

 $00:27:31.760 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.414$ It allows us to offer another non

NOTE Confidence: 0.944082539090909

 $00:27:35.414 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.579$ pharmacological option to those

NOTE Confidence: 0.944082539090909

 $00:27:37.579 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.996$ that don't have many options and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.944082539090909

 $00:27:40.996 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.808$ can increase patient satisfaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944082539090909

 $00:27:42.810 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.666$ It avoids secondary harm and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.944082539090909

00:27:45.666 --> 00:27:47.970 trying to treat a pharmacologically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944082539090909

 $00:27:47.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.840$ and while it is a very specific population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944082539090909

 $00:27:51.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.100$ It's a population where sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.944082539090909

00:27:53.100 --> 00:27:54.610 is most disrupted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944082539090909

 $00:27:54.610 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.402$ and ideally we would be able to generalize

NOTE Confidence: 0.944082539090909

 $00:27:57.402 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.648$ and apply to a wider population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00{:}28{:}02.440 \to 00{:}28{:}04.399$ The study has some potential strengths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

00:28:04.399 --> 00:28:06.344 It's a significant sample size

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:28:06.344 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.628$ based on historical data where the

00:28:08.628 --> 00:28:10.620 actual study would be taking place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:28:10.620 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.370$ It's also the first of its kind in that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:28:13.441 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.126$ offers objective and subjective outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:28:16.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.722$ and we do try to address bias through

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:28:19.722 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.089$ blinding the participants to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:28:23.089 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.468$ non pharmacological intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:28:25.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.010$ We do also have limitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

00:28:27.010 --> 00:28:28.520 There is difficulty with binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:28:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.309$ given that a weighted blanket is

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00{:}28{:}30.309 \rightarrow 00{:}28{:}31.884$ quite heavy and you can tell it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:28:31.890 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.560$ Waited up and there's also a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00{:}28{:}35.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37.090$ variability of sleep at baseline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00{:}28{:}37.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39.176$ I think I mentioned earlier the average

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00{:}28{:}39.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41.341$ and Niels McHugh is 94 minutes with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

00:28:41.341 --> 00:28:43.430 variance of 61 minutes, pretty high.

 $00:28:43.430 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.853$ However, we do try to address that by

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:28:45.853 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.209$ carrying out the study in yells McHugh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:28:48.210 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.950$ Additionally, there's some limitations

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:28:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.125$ with the accuracy of actigraphy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:28:52.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.110$ as it is an accelerometer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

00:28:54.110 --> 00:28:55.080 it's worn on your wrist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

00:28:55.080 --> 00:28:56.110 and if you're not moving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

00:28:56.110 --> 00:28:58.246 it's harder for it to track,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

00:28:58.250 --> 00:29:00.448 so it's just one thing to keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:29:00.448 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.779$ in mind when we interpret data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

00:29:02.780 --> 00:29:04.122 So I just want to thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:29:04.122 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.653$ all for listening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00{:}29{:}04.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06.016$ I want to thank Doctor Nauert.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:29:06.020 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.396$ She was an amazing thesis advisor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:29:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.060$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

00:29:09.060 --> 00:29:10.710 Rosanna and Megan and the

00:29:10.710 --> 00:29:12.329 Graduate writing lab and everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

00:29:12.329 --> 00:29:14.317 who helped get us here to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.901832485

 $00:29:14.317 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.990$ point and thanks class of 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34984943

 $00:29:27.420 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.309$ Blue Jays probably chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891989943333333

 $00:29:31.310 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.830$ That you have some funds listening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891989943333333

 $00:29:33.830 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.554$ Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891989943333333

00:29:35.554 --> 00:29:38.820 So it's my pleasure to introduce Carina,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891989943333333

 $00:29:38.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.250$ Legio who is going to take us in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89198994333333

 $00{:}29{:}41.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43.970$ more pharmacological approach to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89198994333333

00:29:43.970 --> 00:29:46.308 intervene, so thank you, Karina.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776430932

 $00:29:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.740$ So hi everyone, I'm Karina.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776430932

 $00:29:56.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.765$ This is my thesis presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.776430932

 $00{:}29{:}58.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01.357$ entitled efficacy of her magic pant

NOTE Confidence: 0.776430932

 $00:30:01.357 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.817$ plus calcitonin gene related peptide

NOTE Confidence: 0.776430932

 $00:30:03.817 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.254$ monoclonal antibody for migraine and

 $00:30:06.254 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.249$ my advisor was Doctor Schindler.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941111772

00:30:09.700 --> 00:30:10.510 So just to give some

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:30:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.045$ background, migraine is estimated to

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:30:13.045 \dashrightarrow 00:30:15.940$ affect about 15% of the global population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:30:15.940 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.240$ and it's characterized by painful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00{:}30{:}18.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.324$ unilateral headache attacks often

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

00:30:20.324 --> 00:30:22.400 associated with nausea, vomiting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:30:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.930$ photophobia, and phonophobia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00{:}30{:}23.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}27.465$ And it's managed with a board of

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:30:27.465 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.435$ therapy during a pain attack,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00{:}30{:}29.440 \to 00{:}30{:}31.560$ prophylactic therapy to prevent attacks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:30:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.836$ and often a combination of both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:30:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.348$ There is calcitonin gene related peptide

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:30:37.348 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.521$ CGRP and its receptor and they have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:30:40.521 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.663$ role in the provocation of migraines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:30:42.670 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.940$ So CGRP is a neuropeptide.

 $00:30:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.836$ It binds to the CGRP receptor and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:30:47.836 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.180$ causes potent vasodilation specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:30:50.180 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.455$ within the trigeminal gangland and

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:30:52.455 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.554$ its proposed that elevated levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:30:54.554 \dashrightarrow 00:30:57.278$ of CGRP may lead to sensitization

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:30:57.278 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.103$ of those neuronal circuits so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

00:31:00.103 --> 00:31:02.869 the usual sensory inputs like light,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:31:02.870 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.110$ sounds, tastes and odors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:31:05.110 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.910$ Are then experienced as bothersome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:31:07.910 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.031$ And so this peptide and its receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:31:10.031 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.498$ have been targeted in the development of

NOTE Confidence: 0.939501127142857

 $00:31:12.498 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.368$ both preventive and abortive therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9740486

 $00:31:16.770 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.298$ So one of these medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.736619638333333

 $00:31:18.310 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.582$ is called magic pants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736619638333333

 $00:31:19.582 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.172$ It's brand name is Nartec

 $00:31:21.172 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.639$ oral dissolving tablet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.736619638333333

 $00:31:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.932$ and it's actually produced here in

NOTE Confidence: 0.736619638333333

 $00:31:24.932 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.188$ New Haven and its uses for the acute

NOTE Confidence: 0.736619638333333

 $00:31:28.188 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.660$ treatment of migraine as an abortive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736619638333333

 $00:31:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.369$ And it's part of the small molecule

NOTE Confidence: 0.736619638333333

00:31:33.369 --> 00:31:34.990 CGRP receptor antagonist class,

NOTE Confidence: 0.736619638333333

00:31:34.990 --> 00:31:37.580 it has a couple of proposed mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.736619638333333

 $00:31:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.659$ one of which is that it competes with

NOTE Confidence: 0.736619638333333

 $00{:}31{:}39.659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41.873$ the initial CGRP binding event and

NOTE Confidence: 0.736619638333333

 $00:31:41.873 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.008$ blocks the activation of the receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.736619638333333

 $00:31:44.010 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.377$ or it potentially displaces the bound CGRP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736619638333333

00:31:47.380 --> 00:31:49.745 And deactivates the receptor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.736619638333333

 $00:31:49.745 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.110$ this medication was just approved

NOTE Confidence: 0.736619638333333

 $00:31:52.186 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.405$ by the FDA in February of 2020.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98418814

 $00:31:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.575$ Then there are the monoclonal antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.98418814

 $00:31:59.575 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.763$ and these are used as a preventive

00:32:01.763 --> 00:32:03.433 migraine therapy and they include

NOTE Confidence: 0.98418814

 $00:32:03.433 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.110$ class members such as air knob,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98418814

00:32:05.110 --> 00:32:06.514 galcanezumab, feminism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98418814

00:32:06.514 --> 00:32:08.620 ABBA Neptunism app,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98418814

 $00:32:08.620 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.982$ and their mechanisms for gallicanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.98418814

 $00:32:10.982 \dashrightarrow 00:32:13.640$ gallicanism app from his Mama Neptunism

NOTE Confidence: 0.98418814

00:32:13.707 --> 00:32:16.248 app is that they neutralize some portion

NOTE Confidence: 0.98418814

 $00{:}32{:}16.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}18.648$ of the circulating CGRP ligands which

NOTE Confidence: 0.98418814

 $00:32:18.648 \dashrightarrow 00:32:20.723$ prevent the peptide from signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98418814

 $00:32:20.730 \dashrightarrow 00:32:23.026$ Erenumab is a little different in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98418814

 $00:32:23.026 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.211$ it blocks the CGRP receptor instead

NOTE Confidence: 0.98418814

 $00:32:25.211 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.282$ of the peptide and these are given.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98418814

 $00{:}32{:}28.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}31.630$ As once monthly injections or via Ivy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98418814

00:32:31.630 --> 00:32:33.835 and they're actually giving quarterly

NOTE Confidence: 0.98418814

 $00:32:33.835 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.088$ for feminism, AB, and eptinezumab.

 $00:32:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.552$ So this led to my development of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.891994963076923

00:32:41.552 --> 00:32:43.524 problem which is given that Japan's

NOTE Confidence: 0.891994963076923

 $00:32:43.524 \dashrightarrow 00:32:46.276$ and Mads both act on the CGRP system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891994963076923

 $00:32:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.680$ It begs the questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891994963076923

 $00:32:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.780$ Would patients using both experience of

NOTE Confidence: 0.891994963076923

 $00:32:49.838 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.379$ greater benefit and is this combination safe?

NOTE Confidence: 0.891994963076923

 $00:32:52.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.732$ So published reports of the use of both

NOTE Confidence: 0.891994963076923

 $00:32:54.732 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.724$ or al were magicant for acute treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.891994963076923

 $00:32:56.724 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.280$ and a map for prevention or limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891994963076923

 $00:32:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.807$ There is a small case series that

NOTE Confidence: 0.891994963076923

 $00{:}33{:}01.807 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03.350$ demonstrated possible efficacy in

NOTE Confidence: 0.891994963076923

00:33:03.350 --> 00:33:05.325 treating refractory migraine with Roma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891994963076923

 $00:33:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.423$ Japan and Erin AB and then following

NOTE Confidence: 0.891994963076923

 $00{:}33{:}07.423 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09.209$ this there was an open label.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891994963076923

00:33:09.210 --> 00:33:11.390 Substudy of 13 migraine patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.891994963076923

 $00{:}33{:}11.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13.134$ simultaneously using their magic

 $00:33:13.134 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.341$ pants with a map which showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.891994963076923

00:33:15.341 --> 00:33:16.705 no serious adverse events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891994963076923

00:33:16.710 --> 00:33:18.760 However, efficacy was not reported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9793407

 $00:33:21.370 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.560$ So therefore further study in the form

NOTE Confidence: 0.9793407

 $00{:}33{:}23.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}25.568$ of a randomized controlled trial to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9793407

 $00:33:25.568 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.138$ investigate the safety and efficacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9793407

00:33:27.138 --> 00:33:29.580 of our measure pant in the setting of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9793407

 $00:33:29.580 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.318$ common map therapy is necessary and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9793407

00:33:31.318 --> 00:33:34.000 if shown to be effective as well as safe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9793407

 $00:33:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.040$ this therapeutic approach may provide the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9793407

 $00{:}33{:}36.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38.099$ best opportunity to expand evidence based

NOTE Confidence: 0.9793407

 $00:33:38.099 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.019$ migraine management and to improve the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9793407

 $00{:}33{:}40.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42.069$ quality of life and migraine patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361015

 $00{:}33{:}44.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}46.340$ So I developed the hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361015

 $00:33:46.340 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.110$ that when using her magic pant

 $00:33:48.168 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.620$ as an abortive intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361015

 $00{:}33{:}49.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51.820$ adult subjects on antique GRP

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361015

 $00:33:51.820 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.900$ or anti receptor map preventive

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361015

 $00:33:53.900 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.900$ will have a different incidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361015

 $00:33:55.900 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.969$ proportion of freedom from pain at

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361015

00:33:57.969 --> 00:33:59.775 two hours compared to those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361015

 $00:33:59.842 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.971$ have never used a map preventive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361015

 $00{:}34{:}01.971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}03.868$ one definition that I want to draw

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361015

 $00{:}34{:}03.868 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}34{:}05.799$ attention to is the freedom from pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361015

 $00:34:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.168$ So for the purpose of this study it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361015

 $00{:}34{:}08.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}10.757$ defined as on a zero to three pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361015

 $00{:}34{:}10.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13.098$ numerical rating scale where zero is no pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361015

 $00{:}34{:}13.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15.746$ one mild to moderate and three severe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361015

 $00{:}34{:}15.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}18.078$ It's the reduction from moderate two

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361015

00:34:18.078 --> 00:34:21.082 or three severe at the time of Drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361015

 $00{:}34{:}21.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}23.152$ Administration to no pain for 0.

 $00:34:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.790$ So for my methods, UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

00:34:28.790 --> 00:34:30.470 we're looking at a population of adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:34:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.654$ so ages 18 to 65 years old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:34:32.660 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.956$ with at least one year history of migraine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:34:35.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.104$ And this is further divided into our study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:34:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.130$ or monoclonal antibody population who

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:34:40.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.284$ were treated with a map for at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:34:43.284 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.402$ three months prior to the screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:34:45.410 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.880$ and then our control population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00{:}34{:}46.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}49.519$ or those who have never used an

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:34:49.519 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.717$ antique P or anti CGRP receptor map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

00:34:52.720 --> 00:34:54.220 Our target sample size would

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:34:54.220 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.912$ be 450 subjects and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:34:55.912 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.770$ The study design would be a biphasic trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

00:34:59.770 --> 00:35:02.297 So the primary phase would be randomized,

 $00:35:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.376$ double blind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00{:}35{:}03.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05.400$ place be controlled single attack

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.300$ study and the secondary phase would

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:07.300 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.629$ be a two month open label Multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:09.629 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.963$ Attack study and I further delineate

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:11.963 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.960$ delineated this in the table below.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.290$ So you can see the two groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:15.290 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.920$ there's the control group and

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.224$ the monoclonal antibody group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:18.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.915$ They both undergo a running

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:19.915 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.263$ period of four weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.804$ The primary phase which is the blinded

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:23.804 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.809$ phase is when the subjects will be asked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:26.810 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.009$ To treat one migraine attack of moderate

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:30.009 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.746$ to severe intensity and they'll be

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:32.746 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.470$ allocated and blinded to being given

00:35:35.551 --> 00:35:37.831 either were magic pant or placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00{:}35{:}37.831 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}40.619$ to treat that one migraine attack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994 00:35:40.620 --> 00:35:40.908 Then, NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:40.908 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.060$ during the secondary phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.470$ which is the open label phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:43.470 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.332$ it'll go on for eight weeks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00:35:45.332 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.482$ patients and all of the groups will

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

00:35:47.482 --> 00:35:49.092 all treat her multiple migraine

NOTE Confidence: 0.804291994

 $00{:}35{:}49.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.530$ attacks with her magic pan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00{:}35{:}54.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56.518$ So we're going to collect data

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

00:35:56.518 --> 00:35:57.990 through an electronic patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

00:35:57.990 --> 00:35:58.926 Reported outcomes diary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00{:}35{:}58.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}01.590$ So at the time of a migraine attack,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:01.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.468$ the subjects will begin to document

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:03.468 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.496$ in their epro diary by rating their

00:36:05.496 --> 00:36:07.570 pain on a scale of zero to three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.890$ and documenting other

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

00:36:08.890 --> 00:36:10.650 symptoms such as photophobia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

00:36:10.650 --> 00:36:11.607 phonophobia, or nausha,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

00:36:11.607 --> 00:36:14.689 and if their pain is rated at two or three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00{:}36{:}14.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}16.886$ they'll be asked to self administer

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:16.886 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.984$ the allocated intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:17.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.712$ So during phase one it could

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

00:36:19.712 --> 00:36:21.599 be re measure pant or placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.536$ and during the second phase it will be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:24.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.109$ Where magic pants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

00:36:26.110 --> 00:36:27.774 And then they'll re-evaluate their pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:27.774 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.346$ and symptoms at several time points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.338$ Most importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:30.338 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.808$ 2 hours after the intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00{:}36{:}32.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}35.382$ and they'll also complete a migraine

00:36:35.382 --> 00:36:37.787 specific quality of Life Questionnaire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:37.790 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.415$ which will be done during

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:39.415 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.406$ the running period and at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:41.406 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.206$ ends of weeks four and eight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.570$ and the MSQ is this is a valid

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:45.570 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.493$ and reliable measure to assess

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:47.493 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.007$ the effect of migraine on daily

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:50.007 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.150$ functioning among migraine patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00{:}36{:}52.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}54.094$ Our primary outcome would be freedom

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00{:}36{:}54.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.950$ from pain at two hours and will also

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:56.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.820$ look at several secondary outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:36:58.820 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.110$ including but not limited to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

00:37:01.110 --> 00:37:02.510 pain relief at two hours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

00:37:02.510 --> 00:37:03.878 freedom from most bothersome

NOTE Confidence: 0.924672869

 $00:37:03.878 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.246$ symptom at 2 hours,

 $00:37:05.250 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.800$ and quality of life scores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:37:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.728$ So some strengths of this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:37:12.730 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.746$ First is that the protocol was written

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:37:14.746 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.790$ in accordance with the guidelines of

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:37:16.790 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.660$ the International Headache Society for

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00{:}37{:}18.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}20.415$ controlled trials of a cute treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:37:20.415 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.995$ of migraine attacks and adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:37:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.080$ So some of the elements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:37:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.210$ such as the measurement of

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:37:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.650$ freedom from pain at two hours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:37:25.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.050$ is derived from these guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:37:28.050 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.835$ The guidelines also limits subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00{:}37{:}29.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31.620$ from having to treat multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:37:31.677 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.377$ migraine attacks with placebos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667 00:37:33.380 --> 00:37:33.841 Therefore, NOTE Confidence: 0.9636702066666667

 $00{:}37{:}33.841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}36.146$ most migraine studies comparing an

00:37:36.146 --> 00:37:38.463 abortive to place be consists of

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:37:38.463 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.488$ subjects only treating one migraine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00{:}37{:}40.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}42.750$ Attack with the intervention and

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:37:42.750 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.450$ the conclusions are drawn from that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:37:45.450 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.098$ so I decided to come up with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:37:48.098 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.374$ unique biphasic design in which I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

00:37:50.374 --> 00:37:52.299 maintaining a phase with blinding

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00{:}37{:}52.299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}54.138$ and randomization to investigate

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00{:}37{:}54.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}56.438$ a single migraine attack similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:37:56.438 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.266$ to the traditional studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667 00:37:58.266 --> 00:37:58.719 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

00:37:58.719 --> 00:38:01.890 with the addition of the secondary phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00{:}38{:}01.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}04.236$ it allows for analysis of consistency

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:38:04.236 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.146$ of response to measure pant and its

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:38:07.146 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.266$ treatment of multiple migraine attacks,

 $00:38:09.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.794$ and this is all while still

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

00:38:10.794 --> 00:38:11.556 meeting the ethical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:38:11.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.675$ Guidelines such that no subject

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:38:13.675 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.790$ is treating more than one

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

00:38:15.867 --> 00:38:18.019 migraine attack with placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667 00:38:18.020 --> 00:38:18.872 And lastly, NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00{:}38{:}18.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}21.854$ I believe a strength is the inclusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

00:38:21.854 --> 00:38:24.140 of the MSQ because it really provides

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:38:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.135$ a more comprehensive measurement of

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

 $00:38:26.135 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.130$ the medications impact on patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.963670206666667

00:38:28.192 --> 00:38:29.788 overall migraine management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:38:31.940 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.242$ Some limitations of mine is that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:38:36.242 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.270$ variability in the types of preventives

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:38:38.336 \dashrightarrow 00:38:40.266$ the control subjects are taking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:38:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.447$ so the control subjects are allowed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:38:42.447 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.378$ be on preventives that aren't maps.

 $00:38:44.380 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.140$ These can include tapir,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00{:}38{:}46.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}48.780$ may Botox injections or beta blockers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:38:48.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.190$ and this does present a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:38:51.190 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.636$ potential confounding variable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:38:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.182$ However, in order to maintain the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

00:38:54.182 --> 00:38:55.530 external validity of the study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:38:55.530 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.206$ it's necessary to include subjects on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:38:58.206 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.884$ preventive for their migraines and better

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:39:00.884 \dashrightarrow 00:39:03.344$ emulate this study population at large.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00{:}39{:}03.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}05.150$ And and another limitation is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00{:}39{:}05.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}06.950$ that there's no active comparator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:39:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.098$ So in this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:39:08.098 \dashrightarrow 00:39:10.500$ were Magic Pant is being compared to place bo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:39:10.500 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.250$ and it might be argued that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:39:12.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.775$ inclusion of an active comparator

00:39:13.775 --> 00:39:15.580 or standard of care treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00{:}39{:}15.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}17.104$ would strengthen the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

00:39:17.104 --> 00:39:19.009 implications of the study results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:39:19.010 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.312$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

00:39:19.312 --> 00:39:21.728 it's really beyond the scope of this trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

00:39:21.730 --> 00:39:24.076 which is primarily focused on comparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00{:}39{:}24.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}26.894$ the effects and safety of the drug in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:39:26.894 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.310$ those taking versus not taking a CGRP map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

00:39:29.310 --> 00:39:31.270 And depending on the results from this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:39:31.270 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.538$ the inclusion of an active comparator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00{:}39{:}33.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}35.045$ In similar future studies would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472738

 $00:39:35.045 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.249$ be might be warranted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00{:}39{:}39.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}40.916$ And for clinical significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:39:40.916 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.592$ So this study really addresses

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

00:39:42.592 --> 00:39:44.120 both preventive and abortive

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

00:39:44.120 --> 00:39:45.266 treatment of migraine,

 $00:39:45.270 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.826$ which are the two pillars of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00{:}39{:}47.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}49.530$ migraine management long term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:39:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.560$ And although the main objective is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

00:39:51.560 --> 00:39:53.108 determine the efficacy of her magic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:39:53.110 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.640$ and in the acute setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:39:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.645$ incorporation of the migraine medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:39:56.645 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.303$ in combination with the maps in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:39:59.303 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.079$ long term is what really expands

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:40:01.079 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.059$ the impacts of this study because

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00{:}40{:}03.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.045$ there's no known cure for migraine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:40:05.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.008$ it's only managed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

00:40:08.010 --> 00:40:10.266 And then in terms of quality of life

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00{:}40{:}10.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}12.109$ and disability for migraine patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:40:12.110 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.720$ spending less time in pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:40:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.570$ having fewer disability work days

 $00{:}40{:}15.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}18.044$ and therefore less time spent in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:40:18.044 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.652$ health care setting really speaks

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00{:}40{:}19.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}22.319$ to the impacts that this could have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:40:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.110$ If this is a more effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:40:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.970$ way of managing migraines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

00:40:26.970 --> 00:40:28.867 And also it has impacts directly on

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00{:}40{:}28.867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.850$ the health care system and that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:40:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.845$ cost saving to both the patient and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:40:32.845 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.784$ to the health system when there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:40:34.784 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.415$ fewer visits to the ER and fewer

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:40:37.415 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.490$ hospitalizations related to migraine care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00{:}40{:}39.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}41.120$ Treating migraine attacks at home

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:40:41.120 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.212$ and being seen as an outpatient

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:40:43.212 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.177$ is not only more economical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:40:45.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.617$ but also less distressing for the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

00:40:48.620 --> 00:40:52.164 So I'd like to acknowledge my thesis advisor,

 $00:40:52.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.872$ Dr. Schindler.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:40:52.872 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.276$ She was really great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

00:40:54.280 --> 00:40:56.190 She helped tremendously in her

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

00:40:56.190 --> 00:40:57.718 guidance throughout the development

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00{:}40{:}57.718 \longrightarrow 00{:}40{:}59.651$ of my protocol and she also helped

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

00:40:59.651 --> 00:41:02.181 give me a lot of great advice about

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

00:41:02.181 --> 00:41:03.790 scientific writing throughout the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:41:03.790 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.400$ project for to Rosanna and Megan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:41:06.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.374$ Thank you for facilitating the thesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:41:08.374 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.800$ process in a really organized and at

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

00:41:10.800 --> 00:41:12.600 least like a little less overwhelming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:41:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.958$ way that was really much appreciated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

 $00:41:14.960 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.407$ And for my mom, dad and my sister Adriana,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146 00:41:18.410 --> 00:41:18.858 who. NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

00:41:18.858 --> 00:41:21.546 Supporting me through PA school and

 $00:41:21.546 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.769$ this project. I appreciate them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97044146

00:41:24.770 --> 00:41:25.660 Any references?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78854764

00:41:37.320 --> 00:41:40.460 So I would like to invite Robert Johnston

NOTE Confidence: 0.879458181666667

 $00:41:40.460 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.028$ to discuss his thesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879458181666667

00:41:42.028 --> 00:41:44.963 I would like to make one comment

NOTE Confidence: 0.879458181666667

00:41:44.963 --> 00:41:47.418 that Robert approached me about

NOTE Confidence: 0.879458181666667

 $00:41:47.418 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.174$ doing a thesis abroad with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.879458181666667

00:41:51.174 --> 00:41:53.309 Downs Fellowship. The first day

NOTE Confidence: 0.9383842575

 $00:41:53.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.308$ that I met him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934433291111111

 $00:41:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.459$ And it's not the first time that

NOTE Confidence: 0.934433291111111

 $00{:}41{:}57.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}59.210$ people do that and follow me around

NOTE Confidence: 0.934433291111111

00:41:59.210 --> 00:42:00.888 in between cocktails because we used

NOTE Confidence: 0.86304529

 $00:42:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.050$ to have cocktails at at

NOTE Confidence: 0.86304529

 $00:42:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.200$ one time and the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

 $00:42:03.210 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.647$ week. And so it's not that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

00:42:06.647 --> 00:42:08.730 dismissed him, but I thought, OK,

 $00:42:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.860$ another one who wants to go abroad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

 $00:42:10.860 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.770$ Will be go abroad?

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

00:42:12.770 --> 00:42:14.484 In fact, Robert didn't go abroad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

 $00:42:14.484 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.990$ but did something much better than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

00:42:16.990 --> 00:42:21.724 He continued to do his work and finish his

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

 $00:42:21.724 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.941$ project in developed capacity in China, too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

00:42:25.941 --> 00:42:27.705 Not only complete the

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

 $00:42:27.705 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.469$ project that he completed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

 $00:42:29.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.688$ but also for our colleagues in

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

 $00:42:32.688 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.786$ China to learn from him so and

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

 $00:42:35.786 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.508$ the same I have to say Tadao,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

 $00:42:37.510 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.852$ who also is our second downs

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

00:42:40.852 --> 00:42:43.579 fellow who went through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

 $00{:}42{:}43.580 \to 00{:}42{:}46.058$ A lot of travel to complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

 $00:42:46.058 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.820$ his project in Uganda,

 $00:42:47.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.560$ so I I just wanted to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

 $00{:}42{:}50.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52.652$ a context because this was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

 $00:42:52.652 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.692$ different bit different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881185012222222

 $00:42:53.692 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.447$ It took one year longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.91516798777778

 $00:42:55.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.276$ to do this project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91516798777778

 $00{:}42{:}57.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}59.750$ so thank you Robert and invited

NOTE Confidence: 0.7281220925

 $00:42:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.348$ you to walk through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82557666

 $00:43:10.220 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.610$ Good afternoon everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:43:11.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.490$ again. I'm Robert to our audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

00:43:14.490 --> 00:43:16.853 online and I'd like to first thank Dr

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

00:43:16.853 --> 00:43:19.226 Kush nude and Doctor Leon who really

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:43:19.226 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.470$ helped make this project possible both

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:43:21.532 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.716$ here and planning it and then executing

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:43:23.716 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.700$ it while we were in or in China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:43:25.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.200$ The team that was there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:43:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.083$ The focus today is on the idea

 $00:43:30.083 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.808$ of healthy aging in early China,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:43:31.810 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.634$ and I'm just going to walk you through

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

00:43:33.634 --> 00:43:35.879 kind of the big picture of what that means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

00:43:35.880 --> 00:43:38.796 what we did, and kind of why that matters

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:43:38.796 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.429$ and why it would matter to us here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:43:41.430 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.160$ So by the year 2050,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:43:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.618$ at least 20% of the world's

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:43:44.618 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.418$ going to be over the age of 60.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:43:46.420 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.300$ So everyone in this room will be over 60.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:43:49.300 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.482$ By that point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:43:50.482 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.452$ it challenges us because there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:43:52.452 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.876$ logistical questions and social

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00{:}43{:}53.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55.610$ questions about what are we going

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00{:}43{:}55.610 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}43{:}57.843$ to do when more people need support

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:43:57.843 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.958$ in different ways than in the past.

 $00:43:59.958 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.771$ And we talked about this idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:01.771 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.645$ healthy aging and and what that means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:03.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.190$ And when you look at the literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.308$ it doesn't really tell you a

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:07.308 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.014$ strict definition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

00:44:08.020 --> 00:44:09.760 There's a lot of conflicting views,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:09.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.416$ whether that's physical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

00:44:11.416 --> 00:44:12.520 Social health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:12.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.580$ psychological health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:13.580 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.008$ Some combination of that so

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00{:}44{:}16.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17.548$ we don't have something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:17.548 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.479$ strictly says this is what it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

00:44:19.480 --> 00:44:21.080 And at the same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00{:}44{:}21.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}22.688$ a study that was conducted through

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

00:44:22.688 --> 00:44:24.409 Yale last year looked through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:24.409 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.894$ literature and said there's this

 $00:44:25.894 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.141$ kind of pervasive ageism both in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:28.141 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.771$ literature and across continents that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:29.771 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.020$ affecting the health care of older adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:32.020 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.688$ And what does that mean as

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

00:44:33.688 --> 00:44:35.560 clinicians if we know that's true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.760$ what can we do about it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.620$ How can we make things better?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:38.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.628$ But you might ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

00:44:39.628 --> 00:44:41.700 why did we decide to focus in China?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.695$ And there were a couple of reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

00:44:43.700 --> 00:44:43.952 One,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:43.952 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.212$ China still has the largest

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

00:44:45.212 --> 00:44:46.220 population in the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.096$ so this problem is more present to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:48.096 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.880$ them and thinking how do we support?

00:44:49.880 --> 00:44:52.838 Our population as they grow older and second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

00:44:52.838 --> 00:44:54.614 there's been this distinct

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:54.614 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.825$ environment of younger people moving

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:56.825 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.009$ from rural areas to urban areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:44:59.010 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.949$ That shows us that they don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:45:00.949 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.505$ the support or family networks

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:45:02.505 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.825$ that they once had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:45:03.830 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.290$ so they've had to create different

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00{:}45{:}06.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09.018$ forms of establishing their selves or

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:45:09.018 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.568$ sustaining themselves despite those changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:45:11.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.770$ There have been different

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:45:12.770 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.970$ efforts by groups there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

00:45:13.970 --> 00:45:15.386 Things like insurance programs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:45:15.386 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.873$ but there have been mixed results by

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:45:17.873 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.673$ that so historically in China there

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:45:19.673 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.003$ are these things called kind of red

00:45:22.003 --> 00:45:23.718 envelopes or backdoor payments to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00{:}45{:}23.718 \to 00{:}45{:}25.580$ physicians to help get better care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857 00:45:25.580 --> 00:45:25.806 Well, NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:45:25.806 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.162$ they subsidized the health care and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00{:}45{:}27.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}28.846$ said you don't have to do that anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857 00:45:28.850 --> 00:45:29.133 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:45:29.133 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.548$ it actually increased the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

00:45:30.548 --> 00:45:32.160 of red envelopes that went out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:45:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.618$ and there was a large discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:45:33.618 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.431$ about why that would happen if we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00{:}45{:}35.431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}36.756$ actually helping people by saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:45:36.756 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.346$ that you no longer have to pay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00{:}45{:}38.350 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}45{:}39.550$ So there have been different

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00{:}45{:}39.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}40.750$ challenges to even the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:45:40.795 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.076$ that we've tried to do to help.

 $00:45:42.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.632$ This situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:45:42.632 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.564$ in terms of what we might think

NOTE Confidence: 0.892746957142857

 $00:45:44.564 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.950$ of as physical health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:45:45.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.798$ And the last piece I think that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:45:47.798 --> 00:45:49.219 important to consider here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00{:}45{:}49.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51.642$ across the board there's this idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:45:51.642 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.801$ when you study China that everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:45:53.801 --> 00:45:56.087 is applicable to everyone in China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00{:}45{:}56.090 --> 00{:}45{:}58.180$ As I said, China has 1.3 billion

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:45:58.180 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.350$ people and you would think that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00{:}46{:}00.350 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}46{:}02.580$ would be some diversity and experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:46:02.580 --> 00:46:04.440 there simply because of geography

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:04.500 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.593$ or your own experience as to what

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:06.593 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.363$ healthy aging might mean to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:08.363 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.782$ So we tried to make this study very

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:10.782 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.917$ broad and what we tried to ask.

 $00:46:12.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.796$ So we try to answer two basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:14.796 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.520$ questions here among this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:16.520 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.360$ That we went and visited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.240$ that I'll introduce you to in just a

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.573$ second one is what do older men and

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:46:21.573 --> 00:46:24.417 women in rural Guangdong province this is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:24.420 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.100$ Southern China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:46:25.100 --> 00:46:27.140 Think healthy aging means let them

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00{:}46{:}27.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}29.409$ define it for themselves and tell us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:29.410 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.307$ And the second is what are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:31.307 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.742$ obstacles to achieving that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:32.742 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.870$ how does that intersect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00{:}46{:}33.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}36.336$ Or how is that different from

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:36.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.425$ what individuals that are involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:46:38.425 --> 00:46:41.459 with healthcare? Think there so.

 $00:46:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.732$ How do you investigate these

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:42.732 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.156$ questions though?

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:43.156 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.920$ If we just go and say we're just

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.095$ going to ask these questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:46.100 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.242$ we probably won't quite get the

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:48.242 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.010$ results we were hoping for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:50.010 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.656$ So our design was essentially exploratory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:46:52.660 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.977$ where we let every we allow our

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00{:}46{:}54.977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}57.270$ participants to direct us in a sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:46:57.270 --> 00:47:00.310 We had an outline where we did interviews,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:47:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.431$ but we also had our research

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:47:03.431 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.694$ team essentially participate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00{:}47{:}04.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}07.155$ I had two research assistants who

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:47:07.155 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.930$ we trained before they started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:47:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.634$ We did about 10 training sessions

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:47:10.634 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.096$ where they did interviews where

 $00:47:12.096 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.740$ we sent them out into their

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:47:13.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.307$ communities before they went there

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:47:15.307 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.687$ and they practiced interviewing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:47:16.690 --> 00:47:17.886 They practiced drawing maps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00{:}47{:}17.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}19.680$ they took photographs and did all

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:47:19.736 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.158$ these things and then they went

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:47:21.158 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.686$ and they lived in this village

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:47:22.686 --> 00:47:24.803 for about two months and it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00{:}47{:}24.803 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}26.207$ very challenging for anyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00{:}47{:}26.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}27.569$ I think if I had been there I was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:47:27.570 --> 00:47:28.593 Anticipating culture shock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00{:}47{:}28.593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}31.327$ but I think my students who are used

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00{:}47{:}31.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33.308$ to living in a 15 million person

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:47:33.308 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.153$ city moving to a town that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:47:35.153 --> 00:47:36.750 about 3000 people was a surprise

00:47:36.750 --> 00:47:39.030 'cause I saw on social media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00{:}47{:}39.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40.416$ They would say everything is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:47:40.416 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.186$ great but then we would go on social

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:47:42.186 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.648$ media and I would see what was

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:47:43.648 --> 00:47:45.167 actually going on and I would say

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:47:45.167 --> 00:47:46.726 well what's happening and they would

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:47:46.726 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.909$ say there's nothing to do here at night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:47:48.910 --> 00:47:51.135 There's and then I would say and

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00{:}47{:}51.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}52.390$ there's this other problem that

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:47:52.447 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.903$ you have to use a special device

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:47:53.903 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.644$ to warm up the water here to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:47:55.644 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.582$ a bath in the evening and things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:47:57.582 --> 00:47:59.326 That they weren't anticipating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:47:59.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.890$ but are important to understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:48:00.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.450$ to experience what people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:48:02.503 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.868$ going through in their life,

 $00:48:03.870 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.410$ rather than just asking the

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:48:05.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.180$ question of what the problem is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:48:07.180 --> 00:48:09.420 And I think that last part of participant

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:48:09.420 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.915$ observation is also very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:48:10.915 --> 00:48:12.685 because what people say and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

00:48:12.685 --> 00:48:14.330 people do or sometimes different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:48:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.750$ And sometimes it's your ideal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:48:15.750 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.150$ What you tell someone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:48:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.138$ what they actually do could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:48:19.138 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.608$ different from that and understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.945111794615385

 $00:48:20.608 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.490$ that and recognizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:48:21.538 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.338$ that is an important part of what we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00{:}48{:}23.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}25.216$ So you can see the breakdown of

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

00:48:25.216 --> 00:48:26.825 who we interviewed there or who

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

00:48:26.825 --> 00:48:28.390 we met with my students. Again,

 $00:48:28.390 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.310$ were instrumental to making that happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:48:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.444$ The research assistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:48:31.444 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.830$ and then as a group, the team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:48:33.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.135$ We analyzed our interviews going

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:48:35.135 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.730$ by through them line by line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00{:}48{:}36.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38.827$ We debated we I was told I was incorrect

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:48:38.827 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.781$ about something they I told them they

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:48:40.781 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.498$ were incorrect and then we finally

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:48:42.498 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.409$ would come to some consensus about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:48:44.409 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.405$ the big picture was in terms of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00{:}48{:}46.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48.599$ we we got out of these interviews.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:48:48.600 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.616$ So where there were three key

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:48:50.616 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.878$ themes that we got out of this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:48:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.266$ Oh, and one more map for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

00:48:54.270 --> 00:48:56.398 Just so if you aren't oriented to China,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

00:48:56.400 --> 00:48:57.490 we were in southern China.

00:48:57.490 --> 00:48:59.080 This province is called Guandong

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:48:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.249$ and the students were from that red

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:01.249 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.062$ area in the middle of 15 million

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:03.062 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.890$ people and we went to that village.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:04.890 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.648$ That's kind of circled up there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:06.650 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.285$ That general vicinity was this

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:08.285 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.251$ small village of about 3000 people

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00{:}49{:}10.251 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}11.847$ and I say a Hakka village.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:11.850 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.537$ This is kind of a sub category

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:13.537 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.393$ of the main ethnicity that most

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00{:}49{:}15.393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17.183$ people identify with in China,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:17.190 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.198$ so we wanted to try to find some

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00{:}49{:}19.198 \to 00{:}49{:}20.739$ diversity and perspective there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.439$ So with regard to what we learn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:22.439 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.004$ Some of these things will

 $00:49:24.004 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.100$ seem familiar to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:25.100 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.674$ Chronic disease in discussing healthy aging

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:27.674 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.799$ came up again and again in some form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

00:49:30.800 --> 00:49:32.312 It was about mobility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

00:49:32.312 --> 00:49:34.202 psychological health in some form,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:34.210 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.058$ and high blood pressure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

00:49:35.058 --> 00:49:36.498 but it was also about participating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

00:49:36.498 --> 00:49:37.918 which I'll explain to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

00:49:37.918 --> 00:49:39.429 in just a moment here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

00:49:39.430 --> 00:49:41.412 But you can see pictures from our

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:41.412 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.117$ site where on special activities

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

00:49:43.117 --> 00:49:45.100 the social workers tried to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:45.100 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.207$ this by saying we can do screenings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:47.210 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.898$ They had a canteen where we called it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:49.900 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.164$ The elder rank canteen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:51.164 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.744$ where older adults often met.

00:49:52.750 --> 00:49:54.535 And they had activities that went together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00{:}49{:}54.540 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}49{:}56.660$ so being able to participate

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

00:49:56.660 --> 00:49:58.780 despite your chronic disease was

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:49:58.859 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.599$ very important to people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:50:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.368$ The second is relationships and

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:50:02.368 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.498$ I think this was unexpected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:50:04.500 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.898$ but in some ways for others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00{:}50{:}05.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07.946$ not as not too surprising where

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

00:50:07.946 --> 00:50:10.280 people didn't want to burden others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00{:}50{:}10.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}12.450$ no matter how bad their situation was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:50:12.450 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.512$ People often would not ask for help

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00{:}50{:}14.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}16.612$ or they didn't want to even have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:50:16.612 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.129$ family member because they felt that

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:50:18.129 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.117$ it was wrong to put this pressure on

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:50:20.117 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.687$ family members or community members.

 $00:50:21.690 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.258$ So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00{:}50{:}22.258 \to 00{:}50{:}23.962$ there were these social avenues that

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:50:23.962 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.779$ they tried to address this through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:50:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.275$ The government had subsidized housing

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:50:27.275 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.436$ that they tried to say this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

00:50:29.436 --> 00:50:30.966 available to you without burdening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:50:30.970 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.636$ Another person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:50:31.636 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.634$ social workers who are delivering meals

NOTE Confidence: 0.926526894736842

 $00:50:33.634 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.068$ that was built into the community efforts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:50:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.544$ And the final piece here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:50:40.544 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.720$ The third kind of result we had was

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:50:42.782 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.686$ or theme we found with this complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:50:44.686 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.039$ site set of ideas about where to seek

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:50:47.039 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.434$ your health care and what it means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:50:49.434 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.602$ I put this garden here of someone's home

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:50:52.602 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.660$ because a lot of participants use folk

00:50:54.715 --> 00:50:56.843 medicine in order to manage their health

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:50:56.843 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.970$ beyond the things that we had available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

00:50:58.970 --> 00:51:01.322 Like blood pressure medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

00:51:01.322 --> 00:51:03.295 diabetes medications, and so forth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:51:03.295 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.620$ this was important to them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:51:04.620 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.150$ but no one was really discussing

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:51:06.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.890$ it outside of the participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00{:}51{:}07.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}10.070$ The healthcare workers, for instance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:51:10.070 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.194$ And the other was this was the local clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:51:12.200 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.640$ There were two clinicians total in the town.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:51:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.391$ And essentially what their role was in

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:51:18.391 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.608$ that conversation that we were having.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00{:}51{:}20.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}23.100$ So before I transitioned to kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:51:23.100 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.999$ of why any why this matters?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

00:51:26.000 --> 00:51:27.750 I'd just like to point out with all of

 $00:51:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.971$ that what I think of the strengths and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:51:29.971 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.536$ limitations here are really connected

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:51:31.588 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.346$ to this online collaboration we had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

00:51:33.350 --> 00:51:34.995 I couldn't have done this without those

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

00:51:34.995 --> 00:51:36.568 students who were there on the ground,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:51:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.698$ and the commitment to everyone on the team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:51:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.460$ and it was really unexplored

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00.51:40.460 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.868$ territory in a sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:51:41.870 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.598$ because we weren't planning for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

00:51:43.600 --> 00:51:45.680 Everything we got to do the same thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:51:45.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.975$ but we needed to build the team very strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:51:47.975 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.799$ before we could go out into the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

00:51:49.800 --> 00:51:51.798 So how do you foster relationships?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

00:51:51.800 --> 00:51:53.802 You need more time than you perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:51:53.802 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.210$ would if you were there with the team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:51:56.210 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.334$ The second part is we made sure that we

 $00:51:58.334 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.240$ had a shared understanding of how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00{:}52{:}00.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}02.470$ do this and what the literature says.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00{:}52{:}02.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}04.810$ So we at least came in with the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:52:04.810 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.705$ framework in terms of what this means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:52:06.710 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.818$ When we move forward into the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:52:09.820 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.738$ And I think the last piece here

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

00:52:11.738 --> 00:52:13.210 is the geographical boundaries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

00:52:13.210 --> 00:52:14.946 So we're saying we were in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

00:52:14.946 --> 00:52:16.150 village of 3000 people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:52:16.150 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.590$ which is helpful in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:52:17.590 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.350$ sense of it closes us off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:52:19.350 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.842$ It says these results are probably true

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:52:21.842 \dashrightarrow 00:52:24.918$ for this village for what we had in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:52:24.918 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.980$ of reaching saturation for interviews.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667 00:52:26.980 --> 00:52:27.297 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667 $00:52:27.297 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.833$ can that apply to another part of China

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:52:29.833 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.139$ or even another part of the world?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:52:32.140 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.037$ And we'll kind of answer that in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:52:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.110$ clinical significance or our conclusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666666700:52:38.110 --> 00:52:40.224 Here one is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:52:40.224 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.384$ there's a dynamic relationship between

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:52:42.384 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.588$ the biomedical model of medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00{:}52{:}44.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}47.102$ that we understand and those social

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00{:}52{:}47.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}49.123$ expectations that were defined by

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:52:49.123 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.978$ people in the community there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00{:}52{:}50.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52.642$ And those three results are built

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:52:52.642 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.070$ into what I've said here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

00:52:54.070 --> 00:52:56.474 Community engagement was directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:52:56.474 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.878$ tide to chronic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:52:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.685$ You don't necessarily have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

00:53:00.685 --> 00:53:02.129 fix every chronic disease.

00:53:02.130 --> 00:53:03.852 But being able to participate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:53:03.852 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.709$ the community was very important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:53:05.709 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.665$ someone saying this is healthy aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

00:53:07.670 --> 00:53:09.917 So how do you reach that point?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:53:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.086$ And I think that really relates

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:53:12.086 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.530$ to problem number 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:53:13.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.234$ The medicine was available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:53:15.234 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.938$ not everyone used it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00:53:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.768$ and expectations of it were very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

 $00{:}53{:}19.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}21.340$ There were many participants who

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

00:53:21.340 --> 00:53:23.350 talked about having had a stroke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933378976666667

00:53:23.350 --> 00:53:26.010 having diabetes, high blood pressure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00{:}53{:}26.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}27.490$ and not using the medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00{:}53{:}27.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}28.955$ because they said they weren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:53:28.955 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.420$ cured by the medicine setting.

00:53:30.420 --> 00:53:31.904 Those expectations perhaps wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00{:}53{:}31.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34.130$ there and what's going to happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

00:53:34.190 --> 00:53:36.157 later on five years after a stroke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

00:53:36.160 --> 00:53:37.430 they haven't had another stroke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00{:}53{:}37.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}39.342$ so they said I don't need to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:53:39.342 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.318$ any of these medicines anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:53:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.524$ And the third part is there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:53:42.524 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.115$ a lot of people trying to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:53:44.115 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.400$ this community in different ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:53:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.026$ The social workers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

00:53:47.026 --> 00:53:48.110 government officials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:53:48.110 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.186$ the health care workers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:53:49.186 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.450$ But they were kind of working in parallel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:53:51.450 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.074$ There weren't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

00:53:52.074 --> 00:53:53.946 There wasn't a lot of communication

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00{:}53{:}53.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}55.763$ between them and I think that there

 $00:53:55.763 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.230$ is an opportunity for a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00{:}53{:}57.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}58.892$ more interaction to say who can

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:53:58.892 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.520$ deliver this kind of information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.495$ You're you're getting these resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:02.500 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.820$ You have what you need,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.980$ but making sure that we're meeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

00:54:05.980 --> 00:54:07.774 what participants want in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:07.774 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.538$ community as well as we're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

00:54:09.538 --> 00:54:11.308 the best in terms of delivery

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:11.308 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.240$ in the news that we need to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.085$ And I think that question of is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00{:}54{:}16.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}18.100$ relevant to anyone else besides

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

00:54:18.178 --> 00:54:20.338 this small community in China?

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.492$ Our argument is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:22.492 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.416$ The individual results of.

 $00:54:25.420 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.270$ Not wanting to burden the community

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:27.270 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.519$ may not be relevant to someone here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.765$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:29.765 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.970$ if you are a clinician in any form of

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

00:54:32.030 --> 00:54:34.214 clinic where you work with the Community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:34.220 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.020$ you can repeat this study very

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:36.020 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.897$ easily with just a few people

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:37.897 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.427$ to see what people want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:39.430 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.230$ And while you do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

00:54:40.230 --> 00:54:42.570 perhaps in your individual meetings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:42.570 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.010$ when you meet with your patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:44.010 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.226$ it's the idea that we can take one

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:46.226 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.339$ step further and say what do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:48.339 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.080$ think the broader community here is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.208$ What do we think we want to achieve

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00{:}54{:}52.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}54.349$ and how can we kind of direct

 $00:54:54.349 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.894$ our resources in that sense?

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:55.900 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.394$ I think we do a lot of that here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:57.400 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.240$ but I think in terms of some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:54:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.657$ those highlights that we have there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:55:00.660 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.328$ it's surprising if we never asked

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

00:55:02.328 --> 00:55:04.020 the question of our Community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:55:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.688$ what do they want?

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:55:05.688 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.773$ We'll never get the answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:55:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.034$ Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:55:08.034 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.796$ thank you everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00{:}55{:}08.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}10.837$ I appreciate it and it was a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

00:55:10.837 --> 00:55:12.223 joy taking part in this project

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00{:}55{:}12.223 \to 00{:}55{:}13.534$ in getting to share a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.962661668

 $00:55:13.534 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.728$ bit of it with you today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8779874

 $00:55:25.610 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.180$ Questions now to the percentage

00:55:28.180 --> 00:55:29.600 question anyone have?

NOTE Confidence: 0.871308535

00:55:34.320 --> 00:55:35.540 Any questions for our

NOTE Confidence: 0.871308535

 $00:55:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.760$ presenters before we move

NOTE Confidence: 0.893166943333333

 $00:55:36.770 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.850$ into our panel?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7131885

 $00:55:40.160 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.560$ Sandy

NOTE Confidence: 0.68957794

00:55:48.500 --> 00:55:52.270 Gary. Thank you, thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.81528904

 $00:55:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.068$ you on behalf of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858366975

 $00:55:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.428$ these very hardworking students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93004298

 $00{:}55{:}55.460 \to 00{:}55{:}57.701$ I think one of the things that I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.93004298

00:55:57.701 --> 00:56:00.030 like to comment is that through these

NOTE Confidence: 0.93004298

 $00:56:00.030 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.496$ examples just four before we see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93004298

 $00:56:02.496 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.240$ other nine that are in the website,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93004298

00:56:05.240 --> 00:56:09.470 our students explore a number of topics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93004298

 $00{:}56{:}09.470 --> 00{:}56{:}11.928$ explore different types of study designs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93004298

 $00:56:11.930 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.814$ from observational studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93004298

 $00:56:13.814 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.698$ randomized control trials,

 $00:56:15.700 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.132$ qualitative research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93004298

 $00:56:17.132 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.856$ They had topics that involve global health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93004298

 $00:56:20.860 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.580$ Uh, ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93004298

 $00:56:22.580 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.604$ When you are talking about considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.93004298

 $00{:}56{:}25.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}29.138$ the community and how that is going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93004298

 $00:56:29.140 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.310$ Affect our thinking clinically we

NOTE Confidence: 0.93004298

00:56:31.310 --> 00:56:33.719 had people talking about biologics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93004298

 $00{:}56{:}33.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}35.076$ people talking about non

NOTE Confidence: 0.93004298

 $00{:}56{:}35.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}36.285$ pharmacological interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93004298

 $00:56:36.285 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.130$ And

NOTE Confidence: 0.859535512

 $00:56:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.750$ of course one of my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884617201

 $00:56:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.049$ You know, very interesting the idea that

NOTE Confidence: 0.884617201

 $00:56:43.049 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.168$ you went into exploring healthy parities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884617201

00:56:47.170 --> 00:56:50.768 So thank you all for pursuing your

NOTE Confidence: 0.884617201

00:56:50.768 --> 00:56:54.180 own passion and open doors to others

 $00:56:54.210 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.600$ to learn more about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84331802

 $00{:}56{:}56.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}00.180$ question. I think there was a fan. Before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8109514125

 $00:57:02.470 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.558$ I was actually curious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95072997

 $00:57:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.220$ I. I'm sure she just missed it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80721433

 $00:57:10.050 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.090$ It was really cool. She

NOTE Confidence: 0.916931713333333

 $00:57:11.100 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.318$ did design and

NOTE Confidence: 0.75137048

 $00:57:12.330 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.370$ having like one place that I was checking

NOTE Confidence: 0.75137048

00:57:15.370 --> 00:57:17.490 was a control group where they still

NOTE Confidence: 0.75137048

 $00{:}57{:}17.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}20.868$ taking her translator or they not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75137048

00:57:20.870 --> 00:57:24.709 I was just wondering if there if I like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75137048

 $00{:}57{:}24.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}27.885$ Yeah, so the control group and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75137048

00:57:27.885 --> 00:57:29.568 monoclonal antibody group during

NOTE Confidence: 0.59338792

 $00:57:29.580 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.345$ that first phase there pulled

NOTE Confidence: 0.59338792

 $00:57:31.345 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.090$ randomized Hyderabad and during

NOTE Confidence: 0.59338792

 $00:57:34.090 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.050$ that security everybody everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.59338792

 $00:57:36.050 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.250$ even in the control room.

 $00:57:37.250 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.950$ This control is the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.59338792

 $00:57:38.950 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.610$ that they're not on the body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87541682

00:57:41.610 --> 00:57:42.942 not that they're not taking the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87541682

00:57:42.942 --> 00:57:44.734 approach, which I know is a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8594975275

00:57:44.750 --> 00:57:46.302 bit confusing, and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8594975275

 $00:57:46.302 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.854$ they're all taking Medicaid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69159428

00:57:48.000 --> 00:57:50.890 Second phase, which variable Windley.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69159428

 $00:57:50.890 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.190$ So they all know that they're all taking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69159428

00:57:54.190 --> 00:57:56.438 More so comparing if you're on a map

NOTE Confidence: 0.69159428

 $00:57:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.560$ and you're already like benefiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.69159428

 $00{:}57{:}57.560 \to 00{:}58{:}00.808$ from that now. Would you experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.74504471125

 $00:58:00.820 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.627$ any greater benefit by having a board

NOTE Confidence: 0.74504471125

 $00{:}58{:}03.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}06.020$ that way and then those who aren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.81382067

 $00:58:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.980$ on map are they experiencing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.603579866666667

 $00:58:12.370 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.159$ Thanks for clarifying.

 $00:58:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.530$ Yeah, thank you so much. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:58:26.540 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.836$ I think we would like to continue just

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:58:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.520$ to talk about the pieces 2021 in

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:58:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.306$ numbers you used 3123 references.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:58:38.306 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.918$ We had 51 readers and 44

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:58:42.918 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.990$ advisors more advisors than.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:58:44.990 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.186$ One to one, because many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:58:47.186 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.650$ these alternative thesis invited

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:58:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.470$ collaboration across multiple advisors

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:58:51.470 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.510$ with different types of expertise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00{:}58{:}56.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}00.880$ This is a big step for our thesis advisors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:59:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.016$ Really big, big thanks to all of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:59:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.900$ Some of them are in our audience today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:59:06.900 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.749$ so we appreciate so much so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:59:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.150$ All the dedication and the support

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:59:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:59:14.116$ for the research program and

 $00:59:14.116 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.835$ the P education in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00{:}59{:}15.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}21.063$ And I would like to invite Kyle to give up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

00:59:21.063 --> 00:59:24.584 Some thanks to someone who has helped

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

00:59:24.584 --> 00:59:29.350 us for 14 consecutive years and

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00{:}59{:}29.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}32.238$ has left yell and sent us lots of

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:59:32.238 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.846$ emails saying how sorry he was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:59:34.846 \longrightarrow 00:59:37.174$ he was leaving because he cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:59:37.174 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.998$ longer work with be a student.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:59:38.998 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.600$ So I would like you to acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.937184925

 $00:59:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.650$ that person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878057

00:59:47.200 --> 00:59:50.004 Yeah, so I'm Kyle. I had the privilege

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878057

 $00:59:50.004 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.620$ of working with Doctor Cohn. Fortunate

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $00{:}59{:}52.620 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}59{:}54.604$ to be the last person here at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

00:59:54.604 --> 00:59:56.888 who will be working with him after

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $00:59:56.888 \longrightarrow 00:59:58.618$ 14 years of distinguished service.

00:59:58.620 --> 01:00:00.888 We actually reached out to all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01{:}00{:}00.888 \to 01{:}00{:}03.070$ his advisors from the past 14 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01:00:03.070 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.966$ and the plan was to take all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01:00:04.966 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.816$ their words and put them on a slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01:00:06.820 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.448$ And we actually got words and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

01:00:09.448 --> 01:00:11.182 video recordings and they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01:00:11.182 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.886$ just too much and too big.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

01:00:12.890 --> 01:00:14.951 So now you're stuck with me trying to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01{:}00{:}14.951 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}17.208$ my best to fill in for all the 14 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

01:00:17.210 --> 01:00:19.736 The people who he's kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

01:00:19.736 --> 01:00:21.800 touched and I gotta say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01:00:21.800 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.260$ you know Dave has this extensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01:00:24.351 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.354$ knowledge and this way of being that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01{:}00{:}27.354 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}30.586$ makes you as a learner feel like you're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01:00:30.590 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.320$ Doing everything and that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01:00:32.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.788$ amazing and Dave is just in the

01:00:34.788 --> 01:00:36.478 background like a puppet master,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01{:}00{:}36.480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}38.090$ just kind of pulling a string every

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01:00:38.090 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.044$ once in a while when you need one

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01:00:40.044 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.710$ cold and you don't even know it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

01:00:41.710 --> 01:00:42.794 And I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

01:00:42.794 --> 01:00:46.260 I don't think I can say enough thanks to him,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

01:00:46.260 --> 01:00:48.858 not only for my project but for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01:00:48.858 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.410$ past 14 years and for all the lives

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01:00:50.458 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.126$ and their careers that he's advanced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

01:00:52.130 --> 01:00:53.810 So thank you, Doctor Cohn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

01:00:53.810 --> 01:00:55.330 I hope you're here and listening to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

01:00:55.330 --> 01:00:56.245 and if not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01{:}00{:}56.245 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}58.380$ I'm going to send you a recording.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

01:00:58.380 --> 01:00:59.782 And I expect to get pictures of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858075568

 $01:00:59.782 \longrightarrow 01:01:01.059$ a beach in Hawaii in return.

 $01:01:01.060 \longrightarrow 01:01:01.650$ So thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01{:}01{:}07.480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}09.951$ When I David Cone announced that he

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01:01:09.951 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.370$ is retiring, he said that he was semi

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01:01:12.370 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.070$ retiring and now living in Hawaii

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

01:01:14.070 --> 01:01:16.051 and working part time and going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

01:01:16.051 --> 01:01:17.740 the beach every day with his wife.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

01:01:17.740 --> 01:01:21.269 So David well done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01:01:21.270 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.974$ So next we are going to have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01:01:24.974 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.970$ opportunity to listen a bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01:01:27.970 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.880$ the thesis of other students that

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01{:}01{:}30.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}33.588$ have recorded their projects and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01:01:33.588 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.340$ are going to be coming here to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01:01:36.422 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.104$ a little bit in a minute about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01{:}01{:}39.104 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}41.816$ inspired them and what they learned about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01:01:41.816 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.172$ We were going to go into a room

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

01:01:44.172 --> 01:01:45.709 and do it by zoom,

 $01:01:45.710 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.565$ but we think that we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

01:01:47.565 --> 01:01:49.638 hold this in person situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01:01:49.640 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.398$ So I'm going to start calling people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01:01:52.400 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.772$ To join us and possibly come come

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01:01:57.772 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.892$ here in pairs and talk a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01:01:59.892 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.690$ bit about your thesis. So Alicia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01:02:05.690 \longrightarrow 01:02:06.830$ It's Alicia here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

01:02:06.830 --> 01:02:07.590 No listen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

01:02:07.590 --> 01:02:08.604 It's not here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01:02:08.604 \dashrightarrow 01:02:11.344$ so I'm going to call Maria and Kyle

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

 $01:02:11.344 \longrightarrow 01:02:14.160$ to talk a little bit and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222

01:02:14.249 --> 01:02:17.165 will go through some other people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774729352222222 01:02:17.170 --> 01:02:17.680 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7623426

01:02:27.100 --> 01:02:27.520 Hello. NOTE Confidence: 0.876518086

1.012 commence. 0.0.0010000

 $01:02:30.680 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.570$ I was just up here.

 $01:02:33.030 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.920$ Would inspire oh

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:02:34.930 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.256$ what inspired me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

01:02:36.256 --> 01:02:39.436 Oh man, so I mean I'm going to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:02:39.440 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.450$ Doctor Cohen more props on this one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:02:41.450 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.010$ So I actually went to him

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:02:43.010 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.050$ with my thesis idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:02:44.050 \dashrightarrow 01:02:46.010$ Basically just looking at P as in

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:02:46.010 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.815$ the pre hospital space 'cause I

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:02:47.815 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.627$ haven't heard of anybody doing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

01:02:49.627 --> 01:02:51.726 and he said you're exactly on time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01{:}02{:}51.730 --> 01{:}02{:}52.981$ This is fantastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

01:02:52.981 --> 01:02:54.649 There's plenty of conversations

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

01:02:54.649 --> 01:02:55.900 happening about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01{:}02{:}55.900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}58.660$ so we just kind of ran with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:02:58.660 \longrightarrow 01:03:00.550$ Yep. What inspired me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01{:}03{:}00.550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}02.520$ I think the most inspirational

 $01:03:02.520 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.949$ thing that I can share with anybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01{:}03{:}04.949 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}07.328$ who's looking at doing their thesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:03:07.328 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.433$ and struggling with that decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

01:03:09.433 --> 01:03:11.745 about what to do and and struggling

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

01:03:11.745 --> 01:03:13.875 through getting it done and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:03:13.875 \longrightarrow 01:03:16.076$ massive amount of work that it takes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:03:16.080 \longrightarrow 01:03:19.572$ There's something really magical about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:03:19.572 \longrightarrow 01:03:23.060$ cluttered clump of numbers on a spreadsheet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

01:03:23.060 --> 01:03:25.215 Entering your email and you look at it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:03:25.220 \longrightarrow 01:03:25.870$ you go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:03:25.870 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.495$ What the heck is this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:03:27.500 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.130$ And then three months later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

01:03:29.130 --> 01:03:29.796 you're looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:03:29.796 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.350$ Words on a piece of paper and

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:03:31.399 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.639$ you've explained everything that's

 $01:03:32.639 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.499$ going on in that spreadsheet and

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01{:}03{:}34.548 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}36.186$ watching meaning come out of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:03:36.186 \longrightarrow 01:03:37.776$ meaningless numbers on a page.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:03:37.776 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.264$ Really, really cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

01:03:39.264 --> 01:03:40.256 And I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:03:40.260 \longrightarrow 01:03:42.170$ I hope everybody gets to experience that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834941708

 $01:03:42.170 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.130$ So there's some more words for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223382

 $01:03:50.300 \longrightarrow 01:03:52.068$ For the inspiration behind

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01{:}03{:}52.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}55.576$ my project, as many people in this country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

01:03:55.580 --> 01:03:57.764 I've had very expensive medical bills and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01{:}03{:}57.764 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}00.599$ a lot of times I don't find out what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01:04:00.599 \longrightarrow 01:04:03.178$ cost of my medical care is until I get

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01:04:03.178 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.329$ that Bill and I was actually having Tex

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

01:04:06.329 --> 01:04:08.481 Mex for dinner with my husband once and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01:04:08.481 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.057$ we were talking about cost of medicine in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01{:}04{:}11.057 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}13.547$ this country and how ridiculous it can be.

 $01:04:13.550 \longrightarrow 01:04:15.468$ So that's that. Started this conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01{:}04{:}15.468 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}17.776$ It was right about the time that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01:04:17.776 \longrightarrow 01:04:19.294$ were starting to choose our thesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01:04:19.294 \longrightarrow 01:04:21.396$ topic and I I knew that number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

01:04:21.396 --> 01:04:22.518 Like Kyle said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01:04:22.520 \longrightarrow 01:04:24.998$ numbers mean a lot in medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01:04:25.000 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.384$ Evidence based research is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01:04:26.384 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.460$ what we do here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01:04:28.460 \longrightarrow 01:04:30.854$ And so I wanted to put numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01:04:30.854 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.788$ to the cost of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01{:}04{:}32.788 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}35.170$ And so I love primary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01{:}04{:}35.170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}36.568$ I love preventative care and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01{:}04{:}36.568 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}38.468$ wanted to do it in a setting where

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01:04:38.470 \longrightarrow 01:04:40.270$ we treat a very expensive illnesses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01:04:40.270 \longrightarrow 01:04:40.940$ just diabetes.

01:04:40.940 --> 01:04:44.120 So yeah, if you have the time to watch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01:04:44.120 \longrightarrow 01:04:45.356$ great, if not essentially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

01:04:45.356 --> 01:04:48.239 We don't talk about cost of treatment enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01:04:48.240 \longrightarrow 01:04:49.830$ and it's something that we should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94068734

 $01:04:49.830 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.540$ We can all incorporate into our care things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.725442745

01:04:58.310 --> 01:05:01.438 So now I will invite Brittany and Linda.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70847074

 $01:05:16.640 \longrightarrow 01:05:20.460$ I want to. So what I learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

 $01:05:20.630 \longrightarrow 01:05:23.885$ during my thesis project was how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

 $01:05:23.885 \longrightarrow 01:05:27.072$ time and effort goes into developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

 $01:05:27.072 \longrightarrow 01:05:29.902$ all this evidence based medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

 $01{:}05{:}29.902 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}32.252$ that you know all of the current

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

 $01:05:32.252 \longrightarrow 01:05:33.970$ treatments and everything are based on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

 $01:05:33.970 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.688$ So I think I just have such a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

01:05:36.688 --> 01:05:38.140 appreciation for everything that

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

 $01:05:38.140 \longrightarrow 01:05:41.700$ researchers and people in in the field are

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

 $01:05:41.776 \longrightarrow 01:05:45.462$ doing to to develop such such innovative

 $01:05:45.462 \longrightarrow 01:05:49.194$ interventions in medicine and what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

01:05:49.194 --> 01:05:51.966 Uh, what motivated me was that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

01:05:51.966 --> 01:05:54.010 know we were home during the pandemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

 $01:05:54.069 \longrightarrow 01:05:56.029$ when we were picking our topics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

01:05:56.029 --> 01:05:58.032 I was living at home with my mom who

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

 $01:05:58.032 \longrightarrow 01:05:59.643$ was a teacher and she was doing remote

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

01:05:59.643 --> 01:06:01.498 learning and telling me all about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

 $01:06:01.498 \longrightarrow 01:06:03.079$ difficulties that her students were having.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

 $01:06:03.080 \longrightarrow 01:06:05.509$ So that was really the main thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.952208918421053

01:06:05.509 --> 01:06:07.228 that inspired me to pick my topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259681

01:06:10.190 --> 01:06:11.258 And for me personally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904936381666667

 $01:06:11.270 \longrightarrow 01:06:12.728$ I've always been interested in research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904936381666667

 $01{:}06{:}12.730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}14.786$ I did research in undergrad and coming here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904936381666667

 $01:06:14.790 \longrightarrow 01:06:16.726$ I know it's something I want to continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.904936381666667

01:06:16.726 --> 01:06:18.325 with, and it's also something that

01:06:18.325 --> 01:06:19.680 I'm looking forward to implement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904936381666667

 $01{:}06{:}19.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}22.710$ implement into my professional career.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904936381666667

01:06:22.710 --> 01:06:24.514 I've always had some kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.904936381666667

01:06:24.514 --> 01:06:25.418 interest in Women's Health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904936381666667

01:06:25.420 --> 01:06:27.532 and I knew of my advisor

NOTE Confidence: 0.904936381666667

01:06:27.532 --> 01:06:29.396 before even the thesis project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904936381666667

 $01:06:29.396 \longrightarrow 01:06:32.386$ so I figure may be I can formulate it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.904936381666667

 $01:06:32.386 \longrightarrow 01:06:34.230$ something that's in his expertise and

NOTE Confidence: 0.904936381666667

 $01:06:34.230 \longrightarrow 01:06:36.634$ he just so happened to have some data

NOTE Confidence: 0.904936381666667

 $01:06:36.634 \longrightarrow 01:06:38.810$ lying around, so I was very thankful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904936381666667

 $01:06:38.810 \longrightarrow 01:06:40.490$ For him for sharing his data that way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904936381666667

 $01:06:40.490 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.746$ I was able to participate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.904936381666667

 $01:06:42.746 \longrightarrow 01:06:44.980$ the alternative thesis project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904936381666667

 $01:06:44.980 \longrightarrow 01:06:45.870$ Uhm, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67833485

01:06:48.100 --> 01:06:48.890 So young.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7724967

 $01:06:54.990 \longrightarrow 01:06:56.360$ For those who find inspiration,

 $01:06:56.360 \longrightarrow 01:06:58.470$ know that it could be at home,

NOTE Confidence: 0.762138914285714

 $01:06:58.470 \longrightarrow 01:07:02.957$ so next one is Ashley and Alison.

NOTE Confidence: 0.762138914285714

 $01:07:02.960 \longrightarrow 01:07:04.590$ If they are here, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793319018

 $01:07:17.670 \longrightarrow 01:07:20.230$ Alright, so my inspiration

NOTE Confidence: 0.793319018

 $01:07:20.230 \longrightarrow 01:07:23.750$ for my thesis was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

 $01:07:23.750 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.275$ I've always had an interest in developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

01:07:27.275 --> 01:07:29.408 disorders and neurologic disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

 $01{:}07{:}29.408 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}32.384$ 'cause my younger brother has autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

 $01:07:32.390 \longrightarrow 01:07:35.212$ so this is kind of always been the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

 $01:07:35.212 \longrightarrow 01:07:36.972$ patient population I've been interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

 $01:07:36.972 \longrightarrow 01:07:39.760$ in serving in my career as a PA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

 $01{:}07{:}39.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}41.713$ and so I thought I would take

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

 $01:07:41.713 \longrightarrow 01:07:43.861$ that into this project and use

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

01:07:43.861 --> 01:07:45.525 this opportunity for research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

 $01:07:45.530 \longrightarrow 01:07:47.550$ In epilepsy in children?

01:07:47.550 --> 01:07:49.972 UM, so that was kind of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

 $01{:}07{:}49.972 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}52.170$ sparked my interest for this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

 $01:07:52.170 \longrightarrow 01:07:55.796$ and it was such a joy and to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

 $01:07:55.796 \longrightarrow 01:07:57.884$ learn about nonpharmacologic treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

01:07:57.884 --> 01:08:00.722 like exercise for these children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

 $01:08:00.722 \longrightarrow 01:08:03.166$ who often have a really heavy burden

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

01:08:03.166 --> 01:08:06.149 of disease and take a lot of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

 $01:08:06.149 \longrightarrow 01:08:08.724$ pharmacologic measures to treat seizures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

 $01{:}08{:}08.730 \longrightarrow 01{:}08{:}11.131$ So this was kind of my interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.88037039

01:08:11.131 --> 01:08:12.640 in why this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.937361196

 $01:08:12.810 \longrightarrow 01:08:13.900$ was so important to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

01:08:16.800 --> 01:08:19.060 Hi everyone, so this project

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

 $01:08:19.060 \longrightarrow 01:08:22.119$ kind of found me along the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

 $01:08:22.120 \longrightarrow 01:08:24.899$ I was inspired by my wonderful mother

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

01:08:24.899 --> 01:08:27.644 who underwent back surgery and I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

 $01{:}08{:}27.644 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}29.858$ really wanted to learn more about

 $01:08:29.860 \longrightarrow 01:08:33.534$ it so I didn't know where to start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

01:08:33.540 --> 01:08:35.852 I had no treatment or anything in mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

 $01:08:35.852 \longrightarrow 01:08:38.314$ and I just started reading everything I

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

01:08:38.314 --> 01:08:41.068 could get my hands on and along the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

01:08:41.068 --> 01:08:43.219 I just kind of found this in a paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

 $01:08:43.219 \longrightarrow 01:08:45.018$ I was reading where I noticed this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

01:08:45.020 --> 01:08:48.140 Pattern of this surgery being performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

 $01:08:48.140 \longrightarrow 01:08:51.189$ very widely with an off label,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

 $01:08:51.190 \longrightarrow 01:08:53.105$ this protein that they use

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

 $01:08:53.105 \longrightarrow 01:08:54.637$ is used extremely commonly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9401885666666667

 $01:08:54.640 \longrightarrow 01:08:56.614$ even though it's off label and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

 $01:08:56.614 \longrightarrow 01:08:58.600$ were some concerns that were popping up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

 $01{:}08{:}58.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}01.239$ and so I thought I would explore

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

 $01:09:01.239 \longrightarrow 01:09:03.190$ that a little bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

01:09:03.190 --> 01:09:06.844 So I would say to just the other classes,

 $01:09:06.850 \longrightarrow 01:09:09.253$ find a topic you want to learn more about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

 $01:09:09.260 \longrightarrow 01:09:11.708$ and sometimes if you read enough about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940188566666667

 $01:09:11.710 \longrightarrow 01:09:14.748$ can just find you along the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94018856666666701:09:14.750 --> 01:09:15.550 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63830405

 $01:09:20.210 \longrightarrow 01:09:22.550$ Monica and Stephanie to join.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816317671428571

 $01:09:32.690 \longrightarrow 01:09:35.476$ OK so I'm Monica and I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.916999058333333

 $01:09:35.490 \longrightarrow 01:09:37.320$ say the inspiration for my

NOTE Confidence: 0.916999058333333

 $01:09:37.320 \longrightarrow 01:09:39.145$ thesis topic came from when I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9169990583333333

 $01:09:39.145 \longrightarrow 01:09:41.275$ working as a medical assistant in

NOTE Confidence: 0.916999058333333

01:09:41.275 --> 01:09:42.959 outpatient gastro enterology before

NOTE Confidence: 0.894543403571429

 $01{:}09{:}42.970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}44.905$ PA School and I just found that a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.894543403571429

01:09:44.905 --> 01:09:47.152 of my patients and inflammatory bowel

NOTE Confidence: 0.894543403571429

 $01:09:47.152 \longrightarrow 01:09:49.820$ disease were young and in experiencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.894543403571429

 $01:09:49.820 \longrightarrow 01:09:52.599$ more depression anxiety than others

NOTE Confidence: 0.926295988

 $01:09:52.610 \longrightarrow 01:09:54.858$ and it just inspired me to look more

NOTE Confidence: 0.926295988

 $01{:}09{:}54.858 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}57.046$ into treatment. Or mental health

 $01:09:57.046 \longrightarrow 01:09:58.949$ and inflammatory bowel disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197525175

 $01:09:59.480 \longrightarrow 01:10:01.000$ And especially with colvet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197525175

01:10:01.000 --> 01:10:02.810 I think it's extremely important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197525175

 $01:10:02.810 \longrightarrow 01:10:04.448$ find treatment for mental health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197525175

 $01{:}10{:}04.448 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}07.860$ with those and chronic diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197525175

 $01:10:07.860 \longrightarrow 01:10:09.050$ And then for the other classes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8197525175

 $01:10:09.050 \longrightarrow 01:10:09.740$ something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.945596182631579

 $01{:}10{:}09.770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}11.552$ Doctor Proctor taught me was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.945596182631579

 $01:10:11.552 \longrightarrow 01:10:13.658$ you should not be too hard on

NOTE Confidence: 0.945596182631579

 $01:10:13.658 \longrightarrow 01:10:15.368$ yourself and just to take things

NOTE Confidence: 0.935748576

 $01{:}10{:}15.380 \to 01{:}10{:}17.242$ one day at a time. And that helped me

NOTE Confidence: 0.935748576

 $01:10:17.242 \longrightarrow 01:10:19.200$ so much throughout the thesis project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935748576

 $01{:}10{:}19.200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}20.490$ And I couldn't have done it without her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87262726

 $01:10:23.110 \longrightarrow 01:10:24.750$ Hi, I'm Stephanie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

 $01:10:24.750 \longrightarrow 01:10:27.120$ So I actually changed my thesis topic after

 $01:10:27.120 \longrightarrow 01:10:29.560$ submitting my first one over the summer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

 $01:10:29.560 \longrightarrow 01:10:31.275$ I wasn't very inspired over the summer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

 $01:10:31.280 \longrightarrow 01:10:33.639$ just kind of picked something 'cause the

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

 $01:10:33.639 \longrightarrow 01:10:35.994$ time you know time was then to submit

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

 $01:10:35.994 \longrightarrow 01:10:38.225$ it and then on my second rotation I

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

 $01{:}10{:}38.225 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}41.314$ was in Guy knock and I went to surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

 $01:10:41.314 \longrightarrow 01:10:42.918$ Patient was really frail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

01:10:42.920 --> 01:10:44.894 You know the the surgeon kept

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

01:10:44.894 --> 01:10:46.210 commenting on her BMI?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

 $01:10:46.210 \longrightarrow 01:10:48.639$ You know the complications that she was

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

01:10:48.639 --> 01:10:51.048 worried about and I kind of just dove

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

 $01:10:51.048 \longrightarrow 01:10:52.660$ into that, researched it a lot more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

 $01:10:52.660 \longrightarrow 01:10:53.330$ Kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

 $01:10:53.330 \longrightarrow 01:10:55.340$ Found the concept of free abilitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

 $01:10:55.340 \longrightarrow 01:10:57.918$ along the way and came up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

 $01:10:57.918 \longrightarrow 01:11:00.420$ my new thesis topic and submitted

01:11:00.504 --> 01:11:02.840 it just before Thanksgiving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

01:11:02.840 --> 01:11:05.438 And yeah, I learned, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

 $01:11:05.440 \longrightarrow 01:11:08.680$ just kind of overall about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

01:11:08.680 --> 01:11:10.580 your baseline status going into

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

01:11:10.580 --> 01:11:12.100 surgery can affect outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

01:11:12.100 --> 01:11:13.857 even if it's not in guy knock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

01:11:13.860 --> 01:11:15.276 You know, I think it's it can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

 $01{:}11{:}15.276 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}16.538$ applied to like surgery overall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

 $01:11:16.540 \longrightarrow 01:11:20.130$ So it was a fun, fun project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913325775

 $01:11:20.130 \longrightarrow 01:11:21.228$ Great, that's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8016399975

 $01:11:27.390 \longrightarrow 01:11:29.856$ Are there any questions for I?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8016399975

 $01:11:29.856 \longrightarrow 01:11:32.689$ I don't see any questions in the chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8016399975

 $01:11:32.690 \longrightarrow 01:11:34.736$ so we may be celebrating with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8016399975

 $01:11:34.736 \longrightarrow 01:11:37.510$ cookies sooner than we think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8016399975

 $01:11:37.510 \longrightarrow 01:11:38.790$ I just wanted to say

 $01:11:38.800 \longrightarrow 01:11:42.033$ congratulations to everyone I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.95274959

 $01{:}11{:}42.033 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}43.709$ really appreciate so much that

NOTE Confidence: 0.773208675

 $01:11:43.720 \longrightarrow 01:11:48.080$ you found inspiration near and far from you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773208675

 $01:11:48.080 \longrightarrow 01:11:50.256$ I think it's great too if you if

NOTE Confidence: 0.773208675

 $01:11:50.256 \longrightarrow 01:11:52.658$ you take something with you is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773208675

01:11:52.660 --> 01:11:53.860 When things don't work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773208675

 $01{:}11{:}53.860 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}56.426$ we are here to change them and when things

NOTE Confidence: 0.773208675

 $01:11:56.426 \longrightarrow 01:11:58.826$ work we are here to make them better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773208675

01:11:58.826 --> 01:12:00.370 Just put forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773208675

 $01:12:00.370 \longrightarrow 01:12:02.750$ push forward and be prepared that

NOTE Confidence: 0.773208675

 $01:12:02.750 \longrightarrow 01:12:05.870$ in 30 years from now you will still

NOTE Confidence: 0.803956198333333

 $01:12:05.880 \longrightarrow 01:12:08.526$ be in practice we will hope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803956198333333

 $01:12:08.530 \longrightarrow 01:12:09.760$ Some of us would be home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839145668571429

 $01{:}12{:}10.410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}12.867$ Hopefully we will be going to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839145668571429

01:12:12.870 --> 01:12:15.667 for you know for care and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.839145668571429

01:12:15.667 --> 01:12:17.029 would like that you will stay,

 $01:12:17.030 \longrightarrow 01:12:20.358$ stay fresh and interested in the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.86719665

 $01{:}12{:}20.370 \longrightarrow 01{:}12{:}23.310$ that are happening, not only to your

NOTE Confidence: 0.86719665

01:12:23.310 --> 01:12:26.310 patients near you but also to others. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.905385376666667

 $01:12:26.320 \longrightarrow 01:12:28.160$ there are a lot of people that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.905385376666667

01:12:28.160 --> 01:12:29.990 not included in research and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.905385376666667

01:12:29.990 --> 01:12:31.808 delighted that you have chosen to

NOTE Confidence: 0.905385376666667

 $01:12:31.810 \longrightarrow 01:12:35.462$ include the those who are not seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905385376666667

 $01:12:35.462 \longrightarrow 01:12:38.480$ Sometimes that's a great way to look.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905385376666667

 $01:12:38.480 \longrightarrow 01:12:39.664$ Or start a career.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905385376666667

01:12:39.664 --> 01:12:41.934 So thank you so much and I know

NOTE Confidence: 0.905385376666667

 $01:12:41.934 \longrightarrow 01:12:43.995$ that I made you work a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.905385376666667

 $01:12:43.995 \longrightarrow 01:12:47.320$ bit too hard and that's what

NOTE Confidence: 0.905385376666667

 $01{:}12{:}47.320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}48.600$ it makes you extraordinary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905385376666667

01:12:48.600 --> 01:12:51.558 Piats, because we ask you to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.905385376666667

 $01:12:51.560 \longrightarrow 01:12:55.120$ extra and what you had to do Sunday.

 $01:12:55.120 \longrightarrow 01:12:55.920$ Do you have to say?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87298805

 $01:12:59.070 \longrightarrow 01:13:00.789$ Comma. Of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5357303

 $01:13:08.320 \longrightarrow 01:13:08.900$ Hard working.