WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:05:32" NOTE recognizability:0.937

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.883$  On behalf of myself and Doctor Weiner and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}00{:}03.883 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}06.519$  the the team here at Yale Cancer Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:00:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.064$  it's really my honor to introduce our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:00:09.064 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.182$  15th Calabresi Memorial Lecture and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:00:12.182 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.670$  think I've been here for about 12 of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:00:14.748 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.639$  them in honor of Doctor Paul Calabresi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}00{:}17.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20.984$  We always are proud to welcome the Calabresi

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:00:20.984 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.920$  family with us in person or online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:00:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.422$  We have actually in the front

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:00:27.422 --> 00:00:29.136 row Judge Guido Calbresi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}00{:}29.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.862$  and I'll have him say a few words at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:00:31.862 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.353$  some point and just received a week ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:00:34.353 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.799$  with the honor and another Yale degree.

 $00{:}00{:}36.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39.520$  He's already had several and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}00{:}39.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.100$  know Paul's younger brother and I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:00:42.100 --> 00:00:43.180 been very fortunate to know Guido

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:00:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.238$  for a long time as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:00:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.760$  We also have Steven Calabresi on his way

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:00:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.133$  from Rhode Island and we have several

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}00{:}50.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52.352$  other Calbresi family online and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:00:52.352 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.728$  really honored to have Steven Rosenberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}00{:}54.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56.961$  This year's Cal Brazi lecturer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:00:56.961 --> 00:00:59.138 we've been Vince and I have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}00{:}59.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.761$  trying to get Steven for years and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}01{:}01{:}01{.}761 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}03{.}938$  offer of doing it virtually was fine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:01:03.938 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.087$  because that this is such timely work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}01{:}06.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07.987$  It's the type of work that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:01:07.987 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.688$  want to build here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:01:09.690 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.769$  We actually have Mario Snow here who's

00:01:11.770 --> 00:01:13.164 will show up at some point, Steve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}01{:}13.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}15.288$  So we're really happy you're here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:01:15.290 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.044$  But let me say a few words about Paul.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:01:18.050 --> 00:01:19.976 Paul Cal Brazi is often referred

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:01:19.976 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.049$  to as the father of oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:01:22.050 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.000$  and as influence here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:01:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.372$  Remains to this day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:01:25.372 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.087$  He's a former faculty member

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:01:27.087 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.077$  of Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:01:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.674$  In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:01:29.674 --> 00:01:32.198 he I believe he held one of the jobs I hold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:01:32.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.207$  He built medical oncology at a time when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}01{:}34.207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}36.195$  don't think it really was medical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:01:36.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.280$  So he really, he really built the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:01:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.320$  He was internationally recognized for

 $00:01:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.840$  the pharmacology of anti cancer agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:01:43.840 --> 00:01:45.440 And if you walk through the B wing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:01:45.440 --> 00:01:46.560 you still feel his influence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:01:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.480$  the people he worked with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:01:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.532$  the people he recruited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:01:48.532 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.879$  And he also serves as the director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}01{:}50.879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52.649$  Yale Cancer Centers Advisory Board.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:01:52.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.650$  Until 2003.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:01:55.650 --> 00:01:56.187 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:01:56.187 --> 00:01:59.409 he himself passed away from cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}01{:}59.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}02{:}02{:}049$  showing us how while we're making progress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:02:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.570$  we still have so much more we need to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:02:04.570 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.650$  I have a good for tune to meet Paul.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}02{:}06.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}09.150$  I hate to say it, 43 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:02:09.150 --> 00:02:11.025 I don't think I'll talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:02:11.025 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.125$  that too much here.

 $00:02:12.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.410$  But his son Peter was my

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:02:14.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.930$  freshman roommate at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:02:15.930 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.030$  and I met Peter and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:02:18.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.320$  What better for someone who wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:02:19.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.189$  to be a doctor to have as a roommate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:02:21.190 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.750$  you know, the father of oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:02:22.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.670$  It was very nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:02:23.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.550$  They didn't like me at first too much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:02:25.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.446$  But I've the family has warmed up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00{:}02{:}27.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.905$  me over the years and actually I've I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:02:29.905 --> 00:02:31.990 was looking through some photos last night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:02:31.990 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.390$  You know it was an error without iPhone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:02:33.390 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.190$  so it's hard to find photos like it is now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:02:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.206$  But I've spent many memorable times

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:02:37.206 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.870$  with the Cal Brazi family over the

00:02:39.870 --> 00:02:42.962 Yale Paul was an advisor, a mentor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

 $00:02:42.962 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.084$  and a really good friend to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00:02:46.090 \dashrightarrow 00:02:48.097$  Actually Vince and I, I still we know we

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00:02:48.097 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.247$  we helped him with his disease as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00:02:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.050$  And having this job here means so much to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00{:}02{:}53.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55.482$  One of the reasons I I'm back at

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

00:02:55.482 --> 00:02:57.355 Yale is Paul had always told me

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00:02:57.355 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.130$  Yale is a great place for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00{:}02{:}59.130 --> 00{:}03{:}01.008$  I think you'd be happy there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

00:03:01.010 --> 00:03:03.514 So joining us today we have Guido Calbresi

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00{:}03{:}03.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.950$  as I mentioned Doctor Calgary's brother,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00:03:05.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.450$  his wife Anne I believe is online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00{:}03{:}08.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.728$  I talked about Steven, Paul's son.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

00:03:10.728 --> 00:03:13.482 His wife Mimi and Paul's daughter

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00:03:13.482 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.939$  Janice and son Peter are online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00{:}03{:}15.939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}19.420$  So this is a very special grand rounds.

 $00:03:19.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.615$  We have a plaque for you, Steve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00:03:21.615 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.260$  I don't know if it arrived yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00:03:23.260 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.220$  It has to get to NCI security.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00:03:25.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.561$  But what I'm going to do now is I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00{:}03{:}26.561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27.909$  going to turn it over to Vince De Vita

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00:03:27.909 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.620$  who needs a little introduction here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00:03:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.968$  but certainly another father of oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00{:}03{:}32.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34.653$  and actually Paul's good friend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

00:03:34.660 --> 00:03:36.620 And I'm going to let Vince come up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00:03:36.620 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.628$  introduce the you Steven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00:03:37.628 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.460$  and then we'll do a quick photo

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00:03:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.815$  with you in the background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00:03:40.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.115$  We'll figure out how to do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00{:}03{:}42.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.290$  hybrid photo and then we'll give

NOTE Confidence: 0.936701811764706

 $00:03:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.620$  the time to you for your talk.

 $00:03:44.620 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.940$  Vince.

NOTE Confidence: 0.40746477

 $00:03:57.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.660$  Yeah, My welcome to the Calabresi family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995675

 $00:04:00.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.120$  It's always a pleasure to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995675

 $00:04:03.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.608$  you here and reminisce about my

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995675

 $00:04:05.608 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.140$  old friend and my mentor, Paul.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995675

00:04:08.140 --> 00:04:09.924 I'm sure he's up there looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995675

 $00:04:09.924 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.054$  down saying this is great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:04:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.114$  And it's a real pleasure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00{:}04{:}16.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17.350$  introduce my long time friend

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

00:04:17.405 --> 00:04:19.057 and colleague Steve Rosenberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:04:19.060 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.840$  I met Steve in 1974 when

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:04:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.120$  he arrived fully formed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:04:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.240$  As the new chief of the surgery brands

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

00:04:27.240 --> 00:04:29.160 right out of his training program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:04:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.250$  this caused quite a stir because

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:04:32.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.690$  the old administration of surgeons

 $00:04:34.690 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.915$  were oldfashioned and their motto

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

00:04:36.915 --> 00:04:39.092 was if you can't go wide, go deep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

00:04:39.092 --> 00:04:41.514 And and Steve was a thinking surgeon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:04:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.576$  which immediately changed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:04:43.576 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.714$  ability to collaborate and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:04:45.714 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.199$  consult with the surgery department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:04:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.504$  It was a fun time for the he also came

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00{:}04{:}51.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54.342$  with his passion for immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:04:54.342 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.549$  And in the book he was to write a

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00{:}04{:}58.549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02.194$  lot later he describes the the source

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:05:02.194 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.679$  of his passion in immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:05:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.840$  It was a patient he operated on in 1968

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00{:}05{:}06.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.840$  who came in with right up a quadrant pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:05:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.080$  had a non visualizing gallbladder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:05:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.676$  was all set to go to operation.

 $00:05:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.931$  And Steve reviewed his old charts and he had

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:05:16.931 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.650$  come in 12 years earlier with belly pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:05:19.650 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.410$  He was operated on a big masses of

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:05:22.491 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.119$  tumor with metastasis to the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:05:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.326$  And here he was 12 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:05:26.326 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.480$  later he was supposed to die.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:05:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.962$  Few months later he was 12

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:05:28.962 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.280$  years later he was fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00{:}05{:}30.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.360$  Steve thought this was a powerful expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00:05:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.874$  of the immunotherapy and he wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.926288107142857

 $00{:}05{:}35.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38.400$  find out how we could harness this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402535425

 $00:05:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.040$  work. And since then, with

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

00:05:42.040 --> 00:05:44.950 fierce intensity, he has worked in

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:05:44.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.112$  the immunotherapy field and he has

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:05:49.112 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.896$  unwavering focus and stayed on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00{:}05{:}51.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.895$  Doing work in vitro and then in

 $00:05:53.895 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.826$  animals and then repeating the animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:05:55.826 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.192$  studies in humans to the point where

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:05:58.259 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.219$  he's become the lead investigator

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:06:00.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.220$  in the world in immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:06:03.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.200$  having either discovered or developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:06:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.963$  all of the things that have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:06:07.963 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.099$  done in immunotherapy that are now

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00{:}06{:}10.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.420$  making us very excited in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:06:13.420 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.045$  And since we're talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:06:15.045 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.020$  fathers of programs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:06:16.020 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.468$  I would sort of view Steve as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:06:18.468 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.147$  father of the immunotherapy of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00{:}06{:}21.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}24.298$  He got his bachelor's degree and

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00{:}06{:}24.298 \to 00{:}06{:}26.146$  his MD degree from Johns Hopkins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

00:06:26.150 --> 00:06:29.420 He's got his PhD from biophysics

00:06:29.420 --> 00:06:30.510 from Harvard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00{:}06{:}30.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33.363$  and he spent his time in Boston at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:06:33.363 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.648$  Peter Ben Brigham getting a surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:06:35.648 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.809$  training under the famous Brandy Moore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:06:37.809 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.718$  And then during that time he'd spent two

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00{:}06{:}40.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}42.950$  years coming to the clinical associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00{:}06{:}42.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.230$  at the National Cancer Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00{:}06{:}45.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47.344$  I also started as a clinical associate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:06:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.330$  We used to refer to ourselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:06:49.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.650$  as the Yellow Berets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00{:}06{:}50.650 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}06{:}53.104$  Because it was a Vietnam War

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:06:53.104 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.006$  and we got our military credit

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:06:56.006 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.926$  without any of the gunfire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00{:}06{:}58.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01.352$  Steve may for give me for not

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:01.352 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.924$  going over his honors and awards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.550$  They're just too many.

 $00:07:04.550 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.810$  I stopped counting 80.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428 00:07:07.810 --> 00:07:08.598 And finally, NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:08.598 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.997$  I've been working with Steve on

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:10.997 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.132$  the textbook answer Principles of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

00:07:13.132 --> 00:07:15.540 Practice of Oncology for 42 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.095$  He and I are two of the three editors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.214$  and it has been quite an experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00{:}07{:}21.220 \longrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.060$  The reason the book has risen to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

00:07:24.060 --> 00:07:27.452 most popular Kansas text in the world is

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:27.452 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.242$  of the fierce competitiveness amongst

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:30.242 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.774$  the editors in preparing the book,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.940$  making sure that we each,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:34.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.708$  the other guy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:35.708 \dashrightarrow 00:07:37.899$  was doing all the things he had to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:37.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.500$  And of all the editors,

 $00:07:39.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.642$  Steve was the most competitive and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:42.642 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.610$  just a little story about to illustrate that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:45.610 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.290$  The publishers took us away,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.098$  reached new addition a one week

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:52.098 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.048$  before to set the table of contents

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:55.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.670$  and pick the authors and invite

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:07:56.670 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.969$  them and at the end the second week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

00:07:58.970 --> 00:08:02.236 And so we work very hard during those days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:08:02.236 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.350$  getting up at 7:00 in the morning

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:08:04.416 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.106$  work until five and at the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00{:}08{:}06.106 --> 00{:}08{:}08.054$  of the day we would, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:08:08.054 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.364$  do our exercise and relax.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:08:10.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.813$  And Sam Helman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:08:11.813 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.699$  at that time you played tennis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

00:08:14.700 --> 00:08:17.976 I jogged and Steve, not so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:08:17.980 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.540$  Steve wasn't really into exercise

 $00:08:20.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.856$  or healthy diet at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:08:22.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.820$  So much to my surprise at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:08:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.100$  end of one day when he said you

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

00:08:27.100 --> 00:08:28.820 mind if I jog with you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:08:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.549$  And so I said no with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:08:30.549 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.859$  little smile on my face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:08:31.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.706$  Off we went,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

00:08:32.706 --> 00:08:34.116 me and my running shorts

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:08:34.116 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.898$  and my New Balance shoes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00{:}08{:}35.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.456$  Steve and his khaki pants and tennis

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:08:39.456 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.429$  or sneakers more than and we started

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00{:}08{:}42.429 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.690$  running and after a little while I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00{:}08{:}44.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}47.890$  Ratcheted it up and Steve ratcheted it up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

00:08:47.890 --> 00:08:50.226 And a little while I did some more

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:08:50.226 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.744$  and Steve ratcheted up some more and

 $00:08:52.744 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.912$  pretty soon we were sprinting as

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566418571428

 $00:08:54.912 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.328$  fast as we could with our heads down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:08:57.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.850$  not to some specified gold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:08:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.725$  but clearly to see who

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:09:02.725 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.450$  would collapse first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:09:04.450 --> 00:09:06.852 And Steve Rosenberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:09:06.852 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.357$  The the unconditioned Steve Rosenberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:09:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.578$  Collapsed about four seconds

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:09:13.578 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.276$  before the conditioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

00:09:15.280 --> 00:09:16.080 Vince Devita.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691165714286

 $00{:}09{:}18.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}19.758$  And I tell you, ladies and gentlemen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691165714286

 $00:09:19.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.632$  that's the last time I beat

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691165714286

00:09:21.632 --> 00:09:22.880 Steve Rosenberg at anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:09:25.000 --> 00:09:28.440 His talk today is entitled The Lymphocyte,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:09:28.440 --> 00:09:30.672 the Living Drug for the Treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:09:30.672 --> 00:09:32.160 of Cancer Doctor Rosenberg.

 $00:09:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.248$  Well, this is a unique pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.941930721666667

 $00:09:41.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.890$  for me for several reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253495

 $00:09:46.170 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.806$  It's a pleasure to honor Paul

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253495

 $00:09:49.810 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.638$  Calabresi and his family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253495

 $00:09:51.638 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.910$  Paul a giant in the field of medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253495

 $00:09:55.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.890$  oncology, but also to present

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253495

00:10:00.890 --> 00:10:04.250 in front of Vince De Vita who.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253495

00:10:04.250 --> 00:10:06.490 Has played such an important role in

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253495

 $00:10:06.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.930$  my ability to do a lot of this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253495

 $00:10:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.849$  Vince is a true giant in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253495

 $00:10:11.849 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.690$  field of of oncology and his

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253495

 $00:10:14.690 \dashrightarrow 00:10:18.170$  contributions are known to to you all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $00{:}10{:}20.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23.063$  But when I started working at the NCI

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $00:10:23.063 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.875$  trying to develop immunotherapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $00:10:24.875 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.687$  for treatment of cancer,

 $00:10:26.690 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.489$  there was not a lot of enthusiasm for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $00:10:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.410$  But as I initially began to get results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

00:10:33.410 --> 00:10:36.362 I remember going to Vince De Vita and saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

00:10:36.370 --> 00:10:39.406 look, I think there's something here

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $00:10:39.410 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.888$  and I'm going to need more resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

00:10:41.890 --> 00:10:46.244 And in a remarkably generous way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $00:10:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.764$  I was given by Vince De Vita

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

00:10:49.770 --> 00:10:52.368 probably over the objection of many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $00:10:52.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.330$  I know over the objection of some others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $00:10:55.330 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.367$  was given the space and money and

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $00{:}10{:}57.367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}59.170$  resources to conduct the kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $00{:}10{:}59.170 \longrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01.207$  studies that I'll be talking about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $00:11:01.210 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.358$  And it made a huge difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $00:11:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.908$  To me, we became friends for the past

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

00:11:07.908 --> 00:11:10.680 47 years we worked in a textbook,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $00:11:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.035$  Vince's the soul of that textbook and

 $00:11:15.035 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.835$  has led the the process for now through

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $00:11:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.675$  1212 generations of the of the book.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

00:11:21.680 --> 00:11:25.118 And so to be here to honor Paul Calabresi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

 $00:11:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.250$  to honor Vince De Vita.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

00:11:28.250 --> 00:11:28.944 Doctor Weiner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

00:11:28.944 --> 00:11:31.720 thank you so much for the invitation to

NOTE Confidence: 0.938815971428571

00:11:31.793 --> 00:11:34.369 to come and deliver these remarks today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:11:37.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.562$  So I'll be talking about lymphocytes as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00{:}11{:}39.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41.650$  living drug for the treatment of cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:11:41.650 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.138$  The use of lymphocytes in much the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00{:}11{:}44.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47.309$  way that we might use chemotherapy or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:11:47.309 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.813$  targeted agents to administer the to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00{:}11{:}49.813 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}53.616$  patient to try to impact on the on the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:11:53.620 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.320$  And I'll be talking about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:11:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.550$  particular kind of immunotherapy and

 $00:11:58.550 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.180$  that is cell transfer immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:12:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.652$  that has many advantages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:12:03.652 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.148$  One, if we're going to use a

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

00:12:05.148 --> 00:12:05.900 lymphocyte as a drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:12:05.900 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.916$  we can grow lymphocytes easily to

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:12:07.916 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.950$  10 of the 11 cells or more and

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:12:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.960$  administer very high large numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00{:}12{:}12.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.768$  of highly selected cells because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00{:}12{:}15.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}18.526$  have the reagent in the test tube.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

00:12:18.530 --> 00:12:21.422 We can potentially identify the exact

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:12:21.422 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.823$  subpopulations and effector functions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:12:23.823 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.247$  are required for the cancer regression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

00:12:26.250 --> 00:12:27.770 And 3rd and very importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:12:27.770 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.074$  we can manipulate the host prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:12:30.074 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.738$  to the cell transfer in a way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:12:32.738 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.941$  you cannot do with other forms of

 $00:12:34.941 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.384$  immunotherapy because the cells to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:12:37.384 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.160$  used are outside the body and we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:12:40.249 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.282$  therefore alter the microenvironment

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:12:43.282 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.090$  of the tumor in ways that will enable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:12:48.090 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.754$  Immune cells to enter into those

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:12:50.754 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.530$  tumors and destroy them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:12:52.530 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.086$  And so it's the cell therapy that

NOTE Confidence: 0.943607957333333

 $00:12:56.090 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.766$  I'm going to emphasize this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943066896153846

 $00:13:02.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.320$  Now cells carry a conventional T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.943066896153846

00:13:05.320 --> 00:13:07.659 receptor and the entire function of

NOTE Confidence: 0.943066896153846

 $00:13:07.659 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.347$  the lymphocyte is dependent on that T

NOTE Confidence: 0.943066896153846

 $00:13:10.422 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.098$  cell receptor to recognize its antigen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943066896153846

 $00:13:13.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.152$  Which in conventional forms for CD8

NOTE Confidence: 0.943066896153846

00:13:15.152 --> 00:13:18.008 or CD4 cells, is a processed peptide

NOTE Confidence: 0.943066896153846

00:13:18.008 --> 00:13:21.300 coming from inside the cell and put

 $00{:}13{:}21.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.060$  on the patient's own MHC molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943066896153846

 $00{:}13{:}24.060 --> 00{:}13{:}25.894$  But a little over a decade ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943066896153846

 $00:13:25.900 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.860$  chimeric antigen receptors were described

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:13:31.460 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.620$  and at the Weitzman Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:13:33.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.812$  And that converts A lymphocyte into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:13:36.812 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.520$  recognition of an antibody not based

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00{:}13{:}39.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42.480$  on the conventional T cell receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

00:13:42.480 --> 00:13:44.692 But by making a single chain of

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

00:13:44.692 --> 00:13:47.451 the heavy and light chains of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

00:13:47.451 --> 00:13:49.626 antibody and connecting it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

00:13:49.626 --> 00:13:51.400 intracellular signaling domains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:13:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.091$  we can then use that lymphocyte to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:13:55.091 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.757$  become recognition based on an antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:13:58.757 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.039$  rather than on a T cell receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:14:02.039 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.434$  It can recognize cell surface

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00{:}14{:}04.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07.120$  molecules based on this antibody.

00:14:07.120 --> 00:14:07.468 Recognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:14:07.468 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.252$  And so it provides us with a whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

00:14:10.252 --> 00:14:14.800 other way to identify, identify targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:14:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.942$  And I'd like to spend just a moment on

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:14:16.942 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.262$  CAR T cells because although they've

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:14:19.262 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.120$  had substantial activity in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

00:14:21.120 --> 00:14:22.720 treatment of the hematologic cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

00:14:22.720 --> 00:14:26.026 they have not had activity against

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:14:26.026 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.679$  the solid tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:14:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.958$  And what's the reason for that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

00:14:28.960 --> 00:14:31.840 Well, CAR T cells require the use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:14:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.423$  monoclonal antibodies that recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00{:}14{:}33.423 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35.418$  molecules on the cell surface.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:14:35.420 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.508$  And they were described by Kohler

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

00:14:37.508 --> 00:14:39.620 and Milstein over 45 years ago.

00:14:39.620 --> 00:14:41.308 And despite extraordinary work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00{:}14{:}41.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.840$  there's not been found a monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00{:}14{:}43.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.984$  antibody that can distinguish A

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:14:45.984 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.494$  malignant from a normal cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:14:48.500 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.145$  The antibodies can have a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:14:50.145 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.380$  influence by reacting with cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:14:51.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.068$  Surface molecules that can affect cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

00:14:54.068 --> 00:14:56.420 signaling can affect cell growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:14:56.420 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.442$  But we do not have antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.884955534

 $00:14:58.442 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.499$  that are unique to a cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

 $00:15:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.372$  And that's a problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

 $00:15:04.372 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.740$  because it's just as easy to kill

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

 $00:15:06.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.840$  a normal cell as a cancer cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

 $00:15:08.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.090$  and we've seen deaths due to

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

 $00:15:11.090 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.038$  the application of cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

 $00{:}15{:}13.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14.510$  do not clearly distinguish

 $00{:}15{:}14.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.279$  between a tumor in a normal cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

 $00:15:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.808$  Normal cells are highly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

 $00:15:19.808 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.740$  exquisitely sensitive to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

 $00:15:22.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.852$  The expression of monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

 $00:15:24.852 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.164$  antibodies and using ones that

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

00:15:27.164 --> 00:15:29.394 can attack normal cells have

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

 $00:15:29.394 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.971$  major clinical toxicities and

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

 $00{:}15{:}31.971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34.797$  thus the limitation of cars for

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

00:15:34.797 --> 00:15:37.420 solid tumors is substantial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

 $00:15:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.815$  and there are as yet now no

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

00:15:40.815 --> 00:15:43.280 known CAR T cell treatments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

 $00:15:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.884$  are capable of treating in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.938017398888889

 $00{:}15{:}45.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.180$  reproducible fashion malignant cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}15{:}50.300 \to 00{:}15{:}52.448$  They are, however.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:15:52.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.605$  Potentially very valuable for the

 $00:15:54.605 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.329$  treatment of humanologic malignancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:15:56.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.760$  And back in 2009, we reported the

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:15:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.058$  first patient to be treated with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:03.058 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.546$  cell therapy that finally got approved

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:16:04.546 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.489$  by the Food and Drug Administration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:16:06.490 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.856$  The only cell therapy now approved and

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:08.856 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.569$  I'll just spend a moment talking about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:16:11.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.598$  We had developed models showing we could

00:16:15.598 --> 00:16:18.880 treat syngenetic tumors by targeting CD19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:16:18.880 --> 00:16:20.626 A molecule on virtually all B

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}16{:}20.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.680$  cells and B cell malignancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:16:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.508$  We saw a patient with an aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:25.508 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.170$  lymphoma in the way it behaved as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00{:}16{:}28.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.920$ you can as you'll see his Xrays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:16:29.920 --> 00:16:32.960 he's had multiple chemotherapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:32.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.572$  vaccines, checkpoint modulators,

 $00:16:34.572 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.128$  more chemotherapy and finally came to

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:37.128 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.896$  us in May in 2009 for treatment with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:16:39.896 --> 00:16:42.697 His own T cells that were genetically

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:42.697 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.931$  modified with a chimeric antigen receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:45.931 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.966$  that could recognize CD19 and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:16:48.966 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.600$  what his X-ray look like when we treated him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:52.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.806$  You can see large masses directed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00{:}16{:}55.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58.842$  by these yellow arrows in his

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:58.842 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.423$  media stymum in his axilla.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}17{:}01.423 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.814$  Large mediastinal mass, huge spleens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:17:03.814 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.050$  lymph nodes surrounding

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:17:05.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.110$  his vena cava and aorta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:17:07.110 --> 00:17:09.189 huge iliac vessels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:17:09.189 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.268$  We treated him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:17:11.270 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.788$  All of his tumor disappeared over

 $00:17:14.788 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.332$  the course of a few months and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:17:17.332 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.434$  remains disease free to the present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:17:20.434 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.946$  To the present time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:17:22.950 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.190$  He had bone marrow replaced which also

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

 $00:17:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.940$  disappeared. But you pay the price

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

 $00:17:31.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.410$  because normal cells can also be killed

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

 $00:17:34.410 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.068$  and B cells disappeared at a time when

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

 $00{:}17{:}37.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39.279$  normal T cells and natural killer cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

 $00{:}17{:}39.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41.575$  were returning over the course of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

 $00:17:41.575 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.059$  week and 1/2 after the cell infusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

00:17:44.060 --> 00:17:46.900 It took eight or nine months for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

 $00:17:46.900 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.390$  precursors that were not destroyed to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

 $00:17:49.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.740$  restore B cells in the in the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

00:17:51.740 --> 00:17:54.284 But patients can be can survive

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

 $00:17:54.284 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.100$  for long periods of time in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

 $00:17:57.100 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.250$  absence of any of any B cells.

00:18:01.250 --> 00:18:03.084 Well, we treated the 1st 10 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

 $00:18:03.090 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.658$  six of them responded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

00:18:04.658 --> 00:18:07.406 Five of them are still responding to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

 $00:18:07.406 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.695$  the present day over 10 years later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

 $00:18:09.695 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.885$  And in the surgery branch we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

 $00:18:11.885 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.770$  received these kinds of results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

 $00:18:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.298$  We had objective responses by 47 percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

00:18:17.298 --> 00:18:21.490 42% are ongoing and have never recurred out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99057055

 $00:18:21.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.087$  with median survivals now beyond eight years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:18:26.340 --> 00:18:27.808 A good friend of mine, Ari Beldegrand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:18:27.808 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.645$  had been in my lab 20 years earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00{:}18{:}30.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33.130$  We had remained friends and he heard

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:18:33.205 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.508$  about some of these responses and after

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:18:35.508 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.421$  we had had six complete responders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:18:37.421 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.263$  he contacted me and said he

 $00:18:39.263 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.617$  wanted to start a company,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00{:}18{:}40.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}44.124$  Kite Pharma, who went on to do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:18:44.124 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.115$  multiinstitutional study that almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:18:46.115 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.695$  exactly reproduced our our results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:18:48.700 --> 00:18:50.900 We began interacting with Kite

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:18:50.900 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.884$  in 2012 through a. A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:18:53.884 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.856$  A research agreement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:18:55.856 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.196$  A research and development agreement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:18:59.200 --> 00:19:01.546 A crater to transfer our technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:19:01.546 --> 00:19:02.719 to Kite Pharma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:19:02.720 --> 00:19:06.136 Five years later, they received FDA approval,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:19:06.136 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.720$  along with Novartis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:19:07.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.330$  who had begun working on this a year later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:19:10.330 --> 00:19:12.650 And in October 2017, Kite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:19:12.650 --> 00:19:14.690 who has started to do this from nothing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:19:14.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.610$  was told to Gilead Sciences for \$11.9

 $00{:}19{:}17.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}20.490$  billion and it's now widely available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00{:}19{:}20.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}22.512$  This treatment is now widely available

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:19:22.512 --> 00:19:24.450 through the United States and Europe

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:19:24.450 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.580$  and now beginning in Asia as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00{:}19{:}27.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30.440$  I think a very proud example of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:19:30.440 --> 00:19:33.205 findings in an academic and a government

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:19:33.205 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.209$  institution can then get translated

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:19:35.210 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.988$  to help to help people in in need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:19:40.990 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.904$  This remains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

00:19:41.904 --> 00:19:42.361 however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:19:42.361 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.800$  the only T cell treatment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:19:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.630$  has been approved by the FDA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:19:46.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.540$  although there were several others

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:19:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.068$  that have shown effectiveness

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:19:50.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.054$  against multiple myeloma that

 $00:19:52.054 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.630$  are have actually just very

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421556

 $00:19:54.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.229$  recently been been approved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945285226

 $00:20:01.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.990$  So here's the problem of

NOTE Confidence: 0.945285226

00:20:03.990 --> 00:20:06.030 oncology in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945285226

 $00:20:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.000$  there are about 600,000 cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945285226

00:20:09.000 --> 00:20:11.850 The solid cancers, epithelial cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.945285226

 $00{:}20{:}11.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.919$  comprise about 90% of all cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.945285226

00:20:14.919 --> 00:20:17.637 that cause death in this country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945285226

 $00{:}20{:}17.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{:}916$  about 10% of the humanologic cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945285226

00:20:20.920 --> 00:20:22.840 And the devastating impact of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945285226

 $00{:}20{:}22.840 --> 00{:}20{:}23.692$  as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945285226

 $00:20:23.692 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.623$  is that one in every two or three

NOTE Confidence: 0.945285226

 $00{:}20{:}25.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27.411$  Americans of us will develop an

NOTE Confidence: 0.945285226

00:20:27.411 --> 00:20:28.919 invasive cancer during our life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945285226

 $00:20:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.860$  and unless we can find

NOTE Confidence: 0.945285226

 $00:20:29.860 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.800$  better ways to treat it,

 $00:20:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.640$  about one in five will

NOTE Confidence: 0.945285226

 $00:20:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.800$  die of the of the cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936035507

 $00:20:38.070 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.015$  And so the major challenge

NOTE Confidence: 0.936035507

00:20:40.015 --> 00:20:41.182 confronting cancer immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.936035507

 $00:20:41.182 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.948$  today is the development of effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.936035507

 $00:20:43.948 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.872$  immunotherapies for patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.936035507

 $00:20:45.872 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.593$  metastatic epithelial solid cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.936035507

 $00:20:47.593 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.036$  that cannot be cured by any available

NOTE Confidence: 0.936035507

 $00:20:50.036 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.420$  treatment and result in 90% of all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936035507

 $00:20:53.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.244$  Of all cancer deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936035507

00:20:55.244 --> 00:20:57.524 the checkpoint modulators have had

NOTE Confidence: 0.936035507

 $00:20:57.524 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.670$  major impact on some solid tumors like

NOTE Confidence: 0.936035507

 $00{:}21{:}00.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}02.840$  Melanoma renal cell cancer patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.936035507

 $00{:}21{:}02.917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05.337$  that have mismatched repair genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936035507

00:21:05.340 --> 00:21:07.550 But the overwhelming majority of

 $00:21:07.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.760$  patients with the solid epithelial

NOTE Confidence: 0.936035507

 $00:21:09.832 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.010$  cancers do not respond with only

NOTE Confidence: 0.936035507

 $00:21:12.010 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.974$  single digit levels of response to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936035507

 $00:21:14.974 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.259$  combined use of checkpoint inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:21:19.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.328$  So how can we attack these solid

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

00:21:22.328 --> 00:21:24.365 epithelial cancers and I'll talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:21:24.365 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.602$  mainly about them and but here's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

00:21:26.602 --> 00:21:28.570 general cartoon of how we do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:21:28.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.882$  We excise A tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

00:21:29.882 --> 00:21:31.850 If you follow me along clockwise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:21:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.728$  we follow it excise A tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:21:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.490$  We grow cells to try to identify cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:21:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.048$  with anti tumor activity if we can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:21:39.050 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.927$  we grow them selectively to large numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:21:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.170$  We generally infuse 5 \* 10 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:21:44.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.710$  the 10th 10 to the 11 cells

 $00:21:45.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.897$  and reinfuse them following A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:21:47.897 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.532$  Non myeloblade of lymphoid depleting

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:21:50.532 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.640$  regimen with cyclophosphonine or

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

00:21:52.715 --> 00:21:54.615 fludarabine that will eliminate

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:21:54.615 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.810$  T cells for about 8 days before

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:21:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.402$  they normally normally recover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

00:22:01.410 --> 00:22:02.875 I'm going to talk primarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

00:22:02.875 --> 00:22:04.047 about the epithelial cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.527$  but we learned a lot from Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:05.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.138$  so let me spend a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:07.140 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.364$  With this lesson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00{:}22{:}08.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}10.908$  we treated 192 patients with metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00{:}22{:}10.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.500$  Melanoma with some of these results

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:13.575 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.532$  that I first showed Vince Stavita

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

00:22:15.532 --> 00:22:17.548 when we had our first lymphocyte

 $00:22:17.548 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.980$  transfer that mediated aggression

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.340$  of a Melanoma patient in 1988.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:22.340 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.508$  As you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:23.508 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.260$  we've treated that we did treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.900$  192 patients with their own cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

00:22:27.900 --> 00:22:29.895 their own tumor infiltrating lymphocytes

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:29.895 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.778$  that we would grow out of the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.952$  Those cells are a sink for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9456206393333333

 $00:22:34.952 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.038$  tumor reactive cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.690$  You can see our objective response

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00{:}22{:}37.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39.280$  rate by classic recess criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.485$  which is the criteria I'll use throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:41.485 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.280$  this talk with 56% with a quarter

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.440$  of patients having complete regressions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.890$  only two patients that ever had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00{:}22{:}48.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51.027$  complete regression ever gone on to recur.

00:22:51.030 --> 00:22:53.326 The rest of the main disease free

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:53.326 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.028$  and of these 48 complete responders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

00:22:56.030 --> 00:22:57.182 only two patients required

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:57.182 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.622$  more than a single treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:58.630 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.790$  The cells are alive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:22:59.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.530$  they can divide up to 10,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00:23:01.594 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.724$  fold in the first two weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00{:}23{:}03.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05.144$  after they've been administered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

00:23:05.150 --> 00:23:06.710 And that's they patrol the body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333 00:23:06.710 --> 00:23:07.974 they find, NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

00:23:07.974 --> 00:23:10.910 they find deposits wherever they

NOTE Confidence: 0.945620639333333

 $00{:}23{:}10.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}12.990$  wherever the circulation exists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00{:}23{:}15.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17.830$  Well here are our results

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00:23:17.830 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.430$  in those the overall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00:23:19.430 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.094$  Survival race, progression free

 $00:23:22.094 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.870$  survival race or were about 37%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00{:}23{:}26.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.150$  but notice the complete responders

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00:23:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.230$  very rarely ever recur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

00:23:32.230 --> 00:23:34.018 Somehow adoptive cell therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

00:23:34.018 --> 00:23:36.253 appears to eliminate the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00{:}23{:}36.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38.465$  Melanoma cell and so the rest of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00:23:38.465 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.259$  the presentation will be on trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00:23:40.259 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.310$  to find factors that we can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00{:}23{:}42.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}44.556$  to treat not only Melanoma but

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00:23:44.556 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.148$  the solid epithelial cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00:23:46.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.486$  And the first question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

00:23:47.486 --> 00:23:49.953 That we'll discuss or what are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00{:}23{:}49.953 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52.260$  characteristics of the cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

00:23:52.260 --> 00:23:55.860 mediated cancer regression in vivo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00:23:55.860 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.452$  especially to these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00{:}23{:}57.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59.442$  with Melanoma that have undergone

 $00{:}23{:}59.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}01.100$  durable complete regressions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00:24:01.100 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.097$  And to do that we used a high dimensional

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00:24:04.097 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.200$  single cell transcriptome analysis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00:24:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.355$  up to 10,000 cells per per patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

00:24:09.355 --> 00:24:12.265 a single very elegant single cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.942490022222222

 $00:24:12.265 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.860$  analysis available 10X from alumina.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

00:24:18.310 --> 00:24:21.014 Well, because we had a group of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:24:21.014 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.350$  that could respond and not respond,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:24:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.970$  we utilize the single cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:24:25.970 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.066$  approach to identify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

00:24:28.070 --> 00:24:30.538 transcriptome analysis of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:24:30.538 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.623$  comparing responders from non responders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00{:}24{:}33.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}35.734$  Because for the first time we had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:24:35.734 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.566$  group of immunotherapy patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

00:24:37.566 --> 00:24:39.631 were showing this distinction and

 $00:24:39.631 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.257$  when we break all of the lymphocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00{:}24{:}42.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}44.260$  And their transcriptome analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:24:44.260 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.260$  using this these UMAP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:24:46.260 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.464$  UMAP or typically analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

00:24:48.464 --> 00:24:51.219 using a near neighbor analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

00:24:51.220 --> 00:24:54.440 you can identify 22 different kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:24:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.460$  lymphocytes based on their transcriptome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:24:57.460 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.436$  the messages that they that

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00{:}25{:}00.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02.260$  they express into proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:25:02.260 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.682$  And there turned out to be one

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00{:}25{:}04.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06.895$  cluster that seemed to differentiate

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

00:25:06.895 --> 00:25:09.107 responders from non responders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:25:09.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.644$  And when we looked at the transcriptomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:25:11.644 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.959$  analysis it turned out that only

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:25:13.959 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.894$  that cluster cluster number one

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00{:}25{:}15.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17.222$  could distinguish responding

 $00:25:17.222 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.186$  from non responding patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:25:19.190 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.186$  If we looked at the expressed genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:25:22.190 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.182$  in each of the other 21 clusters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:25:25.182 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.798$  the responders and the non responders

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:25:27.798 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.400$  were virtually identical except in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00{:}25{:}30.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32.918$  cluster that was largely non responders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:25:32.918 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.164$  It was only cluster number ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:25:36.164 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.796$  transcriptome that could distinguish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00{:}25{:}38.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}41.098$  Responders from non responders and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

00:25:41.098 --> 00:25:43.831 turned out that cluster one was highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

00:25:43.831 --> 00:25:45.716 enriched in stem like lymphocytes

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:25:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.606$  that do not express CD39 and CD69,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00{:}25{:}49.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52.990$  two molecules of lymphocyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:25:52.990 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.600$  activation and differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572 00:25:56.600 --> 00:25:57.091 Well,

 $00:25:57.091 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.037$  it appeared therefore that maybe these

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

00:26:00.040 --> 00:26:01.795 CD3969 stem like lymphocytes were

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:01.795 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.350$  the ones that were most responsible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:04.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.818$  For the Melanoma regressions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:05.818 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.549$  because when we looked at the survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:08.549 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.766$  of patients receiving either very

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

00:26:10.766 --> 00:26:13.622 high or low total numbers of cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:13.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.870$  there was no statistical difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:15.870 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.270$  in the outcome of those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:18.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.622$  But if we now looked at patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00{:}26{:}20.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22.468$  that got either high or low

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.196$  double negative CD6939,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:25.196 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.226$  double negative stem like cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:27.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.630$  There was a highly significant difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:29.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.109$  between the cells that were respond,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:32.110 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.306$  the patients that were responding to

 $00:26:34.306 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.542$  not responding based on the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:36.542 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.312$  of these double navigative cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:38.312 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.683$  that they that they received highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

00:26:41.683 --> 00:26:44.826 statistically significant well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:44.826 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.478$  When we looked at the properties of

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

00:26:46.478 --> 00:26:48.390 these cells, they were true stem cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:48.390 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.565$  If you divide a lymphocyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:50.565 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.175$  population of till and facts based

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

00:26:53.175 --> 00:26:55.350 on CD39 and 69 expection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:55.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.798$  the double positive cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

00:26:56.798 --> 00:26:58.970 When isolated and grow will only

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:26:59.034 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.490$  reconstitute themselves double positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00{:}27{:}01.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04.759$  But when you take the double negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00{:}27{:}04.759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}07.010$  cells they reconstitute themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:27:07.010 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.404$  whereas the double positive cells do not.

 $00:27:09.410 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.456$  They are true stem like cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:27:11.456 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.943$  when you take cells in one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

00:27:13.943 --> 00:27:15.683 our trials targeting Nye cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:27:15.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.896$  one antigen you can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572 00:27:18.896 --> 00:27:19.620 In red, NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:27:19.620 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.050$  the double negative cells from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:27:22.050 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.085$  infusion continued to sustain themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00:27:24.085 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.869$  as they grew in vitro and were re

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

00:27:26.869 --> 00:27:28.739 stimulated one time after another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847671428572

 $00{:}27{:}28.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.940$  whereas the double positive cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.90532105

 $00{:}27{:}34.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.356$  disappeared as they grew.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90532105

 $00:27:35.356 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.976$  They were not stem like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90532105

 $00:27:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.120$  they could not reproduce their

NOTE Confidence: 0.90532105

 $00:27:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.832$  themselves with their own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90532105

 $00{:}27{:}40.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.676$  Native reactivities and in fact if

NOTE Confidence: 0.90532105

00:27:42.676 --> 00:27:45.320 you look at the actual transcriptomes,

 $00:27:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.040$  it is a stem like markers like K

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:27:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.532$  LF2TCF7CD62L that were expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00{:}27{:}52.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.597$  in the response associated culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:27:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.066$  And if you then took this back to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:27:58.066 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.196$  mouse models that we had initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

00:28:00.200 --> 00:28:04.200 studied female mouse model of Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:28:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.798$  you can see that in fact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00{:}28{:}06.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08.624$  These cells when implanted and allowed

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:28:08.624 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.142$  to grow for 10 days before treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:28:11.142 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.064$  started peripheral blood, they grew.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

00:28:13.064 --> 00:28:15.320 If you gave double positive cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:28:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.640$  they had some weak reactivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00{:}28{:}17.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.232$  But if you gave double negative cells at

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:28:20.232 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.353$  two different concentrations including this

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:28:22.353 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.048$  very low concentration of 500,000 cells,

 $00:28:25.048 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.068$  the double negative cells could

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

00:28:27.068 --> 00:28:29.124 mediate dramatic anti tumor effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:28:29.124 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.074$  compared to the bulk populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:28:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.200$  and so we could thus identify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:28:34.200 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.392$  These stem like cells that had a profound

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

00:28:38.392 --> 00:28:41.920 reactivity and published that about two

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:28:41.920 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.804$  years ago and have been utilizing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

00:28:45.804 --> 00:28:47.310 We'll talk about some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00{:}28{:}47.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48.999$  results in the epithelial cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:28:49.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.070$  But very recently and in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9525533111111111

00:28:51.070 --> 00:28:51.760 unpublished data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:28:51.760 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.992$  we found that we could actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00{:}28{:}53.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56.171$  make the double positive cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9525533111111111

00:28:56.171 --> 00:28:58.208 were fairly weak work much better if

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:28:58.208 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.485$  we could give them a vaccine that

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

00:29:00.485 --> 00:29:02.115 was targeting the same antigens

 $00:29:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.930$  that the cells were targeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:29:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.946$  And you can see here if we take the

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:29:05.946 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.011$  double positive cells which are the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

00:29:08.011 --> 00:29:10.010 exhausted of the cells and give them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:29:10.010 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.165$  they do have some reactivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:29:12.165 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.889$  compared to the control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

00:29:13.890 --> 00:29:16.165 But when you give the double positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:29:16.170 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.172$  the double neck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:29:17.172 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.508$  these double positive cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

00:29:18.508 --> 00:29:20.089 in conjunction with a vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9525533111111111

 $00:29:20.090 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.295$  you can now make them very active

NOTE Confidence: 0.952553311111111

 $00:29:22.295 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.650$  and take even 1 centimeter tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9525533111111111

 $00{:}29{:}24.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}25.570$  20% of the total.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:29:27.990 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.438$  5% of the total body weight of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

00:29:30.438 --> 00:29:32.650 mouse to disappear completely and

 $00:29:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.356$  that's something that we're now clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00{:}29{:}35.356 \rightarrow 00{:}29{:}36.986$  trial that we're now initiating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:29:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.250$  So we know the kind of cell we want to use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

00:29:52.250 --> 00:29:54.882 But what did the till actually recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:29:54.882 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.729$  that enables the in vivo control in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00{:}29{:}57.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00.402$ last Melanoma cell And the fact that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:00.402 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.430$  have seen specific regression of cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:02.499 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.358$  in the absence of any on target but off

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:05.358 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.740$  tumor toxicities led us to believe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

00:30:07.740 --> 00:30:10.482 That we were targeting something completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00{:}30{:}10.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}13.268$  unique to cancers and those were the

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:13.268 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.380$  targets of cancer mutations that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:15.452 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.260$  suspected were the CAR targets of the till.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00{:}30{:}18.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.738$  And so again, to identify the target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

00:30:20.740 --> 00:30:22.756 we have to identify this small peptide

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:22.756 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.356$  that comes from an intracellular

 $00{:}30{:}24.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}26.378$  molecule or a molecule that's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:26.378 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.281$  ingested by the cell that can then

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:28.281 \dashrightarrow 00:30:31.980$  be presented to the T cell receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:31.980 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.420$  And so about five years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:33.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.432$  we developed this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:35.432 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.444$  blueprint for the identification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.040$  Of cells that were recognized by Till

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:40.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.410$  that could mediate tumor regressions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.250$  And what do we do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:43.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.290$  If you follow me counterclockwise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:45.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.210$  we excise A tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

00:30:47.210 --> 00:30:52.282 isolate the TILL and extract DNA&RNA

 ${\rm from}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

00:30:52.282 --> 00:30:54.774 that till and do whole exome sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:54.774 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.559$  so that we could identify every cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:30:57.559 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.048$  mutation that was present in that cell.

 $00:31:00.050 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.192$  And we do RN A/C to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:31:02.192 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.290$  all the mRNA molecules as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:31:05.290 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.518$  We then take those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:31:07.518 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.585$  Cancer mutations as 25 more molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

00:31:10.585 --> 00:31:13.840 and either as peptides or as mini

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:31:13.934 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.205$  genes put together in a tandem

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

00:31:16.205 --> 00:31:18.440 structure to form a tandem mini

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00{:}31{:}18.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}20.876$  gene and put it into a patient's

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:31:20.876 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.789$  own antigen presenting cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:31:22.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.400$  Now that antigen presenting cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:31:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.659$  contains all of the MHC molecules of

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00:31:26.659 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.003$  the patient and if any of these cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.929275345454545

 $00{:}31{:}29.067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30.907$  mutations can then be presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00{:}31{:}33.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}35.532$  On the antigen presenting cell and

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:31:35.532 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.097$  recognized by the T cell receptor

 $00:31:38.097 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.655$  of till that forms a signal in

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:31:40.655 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.891$  the lymphocyte that enables us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

00:31:42.891 --> 00:31:44.996 identify it because of upregulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:31:44.996 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.565$  of activation markers and we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

00:31:47.565 --> 00:31:49.590 then grow those cells selectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:31:49.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.394$  So again the key is to make a 25 more

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:31:52.394 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.068$  peptide with the mutation in the middle

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:31:55.070 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.667$  so that any peptide that could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:31:57.667 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.707$  presented on the MHC surface is concluded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:32:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.516$  It could either be the last.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

00:32:02.520 --> 00:32:05.320 Amino acid of the peptide that's presented,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:32:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.128$  or the first one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:32:06.128 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.840$  but it has to be in this 25.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00{:}32{:}07.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10.472$  And the advantage of this is there's no

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:32:10.472 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.516$  need to do any predicted peptide binding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:32:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.165$  Every candidate peptide and all

 $00:32:15.165 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.542$  MHC loci are included in the screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:32:17.542 \dashrightarrow 00:32:19.552$  because both have to be recognized

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:32:19.552 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.838$  the peptide on the MHC and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:32:21.838 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.393$  no tumor cell lines necessary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:32:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.368$  And as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

00:32:24.368 --> 00:32:26.168 it's very hard to grow tumor cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:32:26.168 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.878$  lines for most of the epithelial

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:32:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.820$  epithelial cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:32:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.596$  This can be done within about

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:32:33.596 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.780$  two to three weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943847613571429

 $00:32:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.658$  takes 10 days to do the

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:32:38.820 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.470$  to identify all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

00:32:40.470 --> 00:32:41.460 cancer mutation sequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:32:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.884$  another few days to do the

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:32:43.884 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.096$  bioinformatic informatic analyses.

 $00:32:45.100 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.364$  And so one has all this information available

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:32:48.364 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.377$  within two weeks of the tumor resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00{:}32{:}51.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53.540$  Well, we started in Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:32:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.624$  and evaluated 86 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:32:55.624 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.229$  Those tumors have more mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:32:58.229 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.420$  in most 556 as a median.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00{:}33{:}01.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04.108$  We screened every mutation that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:33:04.108 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.020$  expressed that was expressed in RN A/C

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:33:07.020 --> 00:33:09.066\ 15{,}000\ \mathrm{mutations}\ \mathrm{in}\ \mathrm{these}\ 86\ \mathrm{patients}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:33:09.066 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.650$  to see if any could be recognized by

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00{:}33{:}11.717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.135$  the patient's own autologous T cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:33:14.140 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.602$  So we looked at 218 immunogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:33:17.602 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.170$  epitopes 85% of patients could recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:33:20.170 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.430$  their own tumor cells based on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:33:22.430 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.606$  Recognition of these mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:33:24.606 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.150$  Interestingly,

00:33:25.150 --> 00:33:28.115 only 1.4% of the mutations could

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00{:}33{:}28.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.136$  be recognized because they had it

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:33:30.136 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.696$  been cleaved and also presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:33:31.696 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.944$  on the Mac molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:33:32.950 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.550$  Of that particular patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

00:33:35.550 --> 00:33:39.350 92% were CD8 cells rather than CD Fours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

00:33:39.350 --> 00:33:41.610 And our first surprise every

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:33:41.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.870$  NEO antigen that we recognized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:33:43.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.206$  all 218 were unique to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

00:33:47.206 --> 00:33:48.318 individual patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00{:}33{:}48.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49.988$  Patient's cancer and recognized

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:33:49.988 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.239$  by that patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:33:51.240 \dashrightarrow 00:33:54.677$  none were shared between 2 Melanoma patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925 00:33:54.680 --> 00:33:54.940 Well, NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:33:54.940 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.820$  we then did this for 130

 $00:33:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.560$  consecutive gastrointestinal cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

00:33:58.560 --> 00:34:00.344 screened over 15,000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:34:00.344 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.840$  Of the expressed mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:34:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.000 1.3\%$  were recognized interestingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:34:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.240$  half by CD8 and CD4 cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:34:08.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.864$  And for the first time we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:34:09.864 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.184$  an antigen that was recognized

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00:34:11.184 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.599$  in more than one patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

 $00{:}34{:}12.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15.800$  It was a KRAS mutation restricted by a

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574925

00:34:15.800 --> 00:34:17.960 fairly unusual CW8O2 Class 1 molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941921875

 $00:34:20.310 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.210$  The other hundred 209 epitopes

NOTE Confidence: 0.941921875

 $00:34:22.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.199$  that were found were all unique to

NOTE Confidence: 0.941921875

 $00:34:25.199 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.227$  the individual individual patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930852555

 $00:34:29.870 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.590$  True in breast cancer that

NOTE Confidence: 0.930852555

 $00:34:31.590 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.310$  we just published last year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930852555

 $00{:}34{:}33.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37.310$ 43 consecutive patients 100 immunogenic

 $00:34:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.310$  epitopes 2.1% were recognized of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.930852555

 $00{:}34{:}40.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42.956$  mutations recognized half by mainly by

NOTE Confidence: 0.930852555

 $00:34:42.956 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.057$  CD Fours and all were absolutely unique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930852555

 $00:34:46.060 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.723$  And here is an updated as of last September

NOTE Confidence: 0.930852555

00:34:49.723 --> 00:34:52.032 study of 205 consecutive patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.930852555

00:34:52.032 --> 00:34:55.032 note we're talking about the GI cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930852555

00:34:55.032 --> 00:34:57.380 breast cancer, lung cancer, Gastro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930852555

 $00:34:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.260$  Gu cancers like ovarian and prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930852555

 $00:35:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.660$  And across the board as you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.930852555

 $00{:}35{:}03.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08.070$  about 70 to 80% of the patients contain

NOTE Confidence: 0.930852555

 $00:35:08.070 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.940$  T cells that would recognize their own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00:35:12.990 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.105$  Neo antigens their own cancer mutations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00{:}35{:}16.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}18.784$  were presented on their autologous cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00:35:18.784 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.630$  cells and of this 363 neo antigens we found,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00:35:22.630 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.798$  we only found this one K Ras that was

 $00:35:25.798 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.030$  recognized by more than one patient found on

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00{:}35{:}29.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32.550$  this particular on this particular screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00:35:32.550 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.077$  Now an advantage of targeting mutations is

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00:35:35.077 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.538$  its applicability to target multiple cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00:35:37.540 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.520$  Types, because we're targeting mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

00:35:39.520 --> 00:35:41.500 and most cancers have mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00:35:41.500 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.100$  some more than others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

00:35:43.100 --> 00:35:47.012 But let me show you examples of what we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00:35:47.012 \dashrightarrow 00:35:50.540$  been able to see an individual patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00:35:50.540 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.036$  Most do not respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00:35:52.036 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.700$  I'll show you the overall results soon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

00:35:54.700 --> 00:35:57.832 but here are examples of individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00:35:57.832 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.920$  cancers that can respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

00:35:59.920 --> 00:36:01.675 Interestingly, the first patient that

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00:36:01.675 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.159$  responded to T cells that were unique,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00:36:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.060$  that were identified as uniquely

00:36:06.060 --> 00:36:07.960 responsive to our own mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

00:36:07.960 --> 00:36:10.036 it was under a B2 mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

00:36:10.040 --> 00:36:12.758 was a 4045 year old woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00:36:12.758 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.117$  with a clangiocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

00:36:14.120 --> 00:36:16.717 Bile duct cancer had undergone A hepatectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

00:36:16.720 --> 00:36:18.355 multiple chemotherapy regimens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00:36:18.355 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.080$  developed lung and liver metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00{:}36{:}21.080 \to 00{:}36{:}22.862$  We treated her with unselected till

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00:36:22.862 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.488$  much as we did in Melanoma that does

NOTE Confidence: 0.951500929411765

 $00{:}36{:}25.488 \to 00{:}36{:}27.552$  not work for the epithelial cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926237971666667

00:36:29.590 --> 00:36:33.706 Unselected till do work in Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926237971666667

 $00:36:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.684$  but you have to select the

NOTE Confidence: 0.926237971666667

 $00{:}36{:}35.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}37.477$  specific ones which are much

NOTE Confidence: 0.926237971666667

 $00{:}36{:}37.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39.507$  rarer in the epithelial cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926237971666667

 $00:36:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.490$  We gave her those,

 $00:36:40.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.575$  she didn't respond. However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926237971666667

00:36:41.575 --> 00:36:43.990 when we use our Tandeminy gene approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926237971666667

 $00:36:43.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.198$  she had 26 mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926237971666667

 $00:36:45.198 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.438$  We could found that her B2IP

NOTE Confidence: 0.926237971666667

 $00:36:47.438 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.921$  mutation that she recognized it

NOTE Confidence: 0.926237971666667

 $00:36:50.921 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.776$  contained almost 90% the infusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.926237971666667

 $00:36:53.776 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.300$  bag of cells recognized as mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926237971666667

 $00:36:57.300 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.950$  And she underwent a complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.926237971666667

 $00{:}36{:}58.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}01.100$  regression of all of her cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926237971666667

00:37:01.100 --> 00:37:03.938 You can see her lung cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025373

 $00:37:06.140 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.235$  gone. She had three liver metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025373

 $00:37:10.235 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.540$  deposits that disappeared and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025373

 $00:37:12.540 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.340$  she remains now disease free.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025373

 $00:37:16.340 \dashrightarrow 00:37:19.496 \text{ Almost } 10 \text{ years, } 10 \text{ years later,}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025373

 $00:37:19.500 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.810$  this woman who had a metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025373

 $00:37:21.810 \dashrightarrow 00:37:24.392$  breast cancer, had been through seven

 $00:37:24.392 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.635$  different treatments for her metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025373

 $00:37:26.635 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.080$  disease to multiple groups, chest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025373

 $00:37:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.380$  wall, bone, multiple nodal groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025373

 $00:37:32.380 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.179$  She came to us, received cells for treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025373

 $00:37:36.179 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.340$  She received four different what

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025373

 $00:37:38.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.380$  appeared to be random somatic mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025373

 $00:37:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.858$  There's no driver function involved in these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:37:45.100 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.284$  And there was redundancy in the T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:37:48.284 \dashrightarrow 00:37:50.736$  receptors that we used to treat her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:37:50.740 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.694$  But by treating these four now random

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:37:53.694 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.503$  somatic mutations, she underwent a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:37:55.503 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.108$  complete regression of this lesion

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:37:57.108 \dashrightarrow 00:37:59.178$  beginning to grow through the cell wall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:37:59.180 \dashrightarrow 00:38:01.100$  You can see multiple liver metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

00:38:01.100 --> 00:38:02.660 She had many more which disappeared.

00:38:02.660 --> 00:38:05.516 And she's over five years later now

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00{:}38{:}05.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07.290$  completely disease, disease free.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00{:}38{:}07.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10.140$  This patient with a metastatic cervical

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:10.140 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.895$  cancer that was very aggressive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:12.895 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.481$  fungating into her into her vagina.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:15.490 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.466$  Underwent resection radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:19.466 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.610$  therapy and cisplatin chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

00:38:21.610 --> 00:38:23.350 Underwent our hysterectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.250$  and excision of both ovaries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

00:38:26.250 --> 00:38:28.170 She developed liver, lymph node,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:28.170 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.730$  intra abdominal Mets including one

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.290$  that was obstructing her ureter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:31.290 \dashrightarrow 00:38:33.963$  Came to us for treatment with our own till.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:33.970 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.770$  You can see these lymph nodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:35.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.370$  which disappeared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:36.370 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.810$  This chest wall lesion disappearing

 $00:38:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.138$  this one as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00{:}38{:}40.138 \to 00{:}38{:}42.130$  This node was obstructing her ureter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:42.130 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.950$  We put in a urinary catheter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00{:}38{:}44.950 --> 00{:}38{:}46.142$  A a ure teral catheter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

00:38:46.142 --> 00:38:47.930 When our tumor went away we

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:47.995 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.067$  could take it out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:49.070 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.790$  She remains disease free.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.306$  Now over seven years later this patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:55.306 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.974$  with colorectal cancer was the one

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:56.974 \dashrightarrow 00:38:58.869$  in which we found the KRS receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:38:58.870 \dashrightarrow 00:39:01.635$  It had a colectomy was invading her

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:39:01.635 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.470$  bladder she so it was very aggressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00{:}39{:}04.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}06.310$  We resected 2 lung metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:39:06.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.352$  She had seven others treated her and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:39:09.352 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.244$  Almost all of our tumors disappeared.

 $00:39:12.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.070$  She had seven lesions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00{:}39{:}14.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}15.890$  six of which disappeared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:39:15.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.746$  This one did not disappear and continued

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:39:19.746 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.322$  to grow and where we resected it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:39:22.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.610$  We learned that in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

00:39:23.610 --> 00:39:25.520 by looking at copy number

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:39:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.048$  analysis of the chromosomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:39:27.050 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.834$  she had lost one chromosome from chromosome 6

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:39:29.834 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.849$  and that chromosome and codes MHC molecules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:39:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.738$  including her restricting element.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

00:39:34.738 --> 00:39:35.210 Therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00{:}39{:}35.210 --> 00{:}39{:}37.458$  that tumor could escape.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:39:37.460 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.460$  And when we then went on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:39:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.300$  resect her that one lesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:39:44.300 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.002$  she has not occurred since and remains

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:39:47.002 \dashrightarrow 00:39:49.339$  disease free over six years later.

 $00:39:49.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.566$  We can see responses in pancreatic cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:39:51.566 \dashrightarrow 00:39:53.998$  as you can see this very dramatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:39:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.880$  Response which I show you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

00:39:55.880 --> 00:39:58.556 It was a very recent patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:39:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.228$  who had what appeared to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

00:40:00.228 --> 00:40:01.728 almost a complete regression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

00:40:01.728 --> 00:40:03.076 multiple liver methass disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:40:03.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.112$  But unfortunately within three

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00{:}40{:}05.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}07.184$  months this patient didn't recur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:40:07.184 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.360$  And when we biopsied one of the lesions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

00:40:09.360 --> 00:40:12.293 he had lost expression of his target

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:40:12.293 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.280$  molecule which turned out to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

 $00:40:14.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.758$  P53 and a molecule that we'll hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.93059757

00:40:16.758 --> 00:40:19.400 about in a few moments longer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:40:21.460 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.693$  Well, we've now treated a little over

 $00:40:23.693 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.819$  100 patients with epithelial cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00{:}40{:}25.820 \to 00{:}40{:}27.920$  Again, it's the ducts in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:40:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.159$  organs that provide the source of

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:40:30.159 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.074$  mutations that are turning over

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:40:32.074 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.458$  constantly and as mistakes are made,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:40:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.252$  mutations appear and those

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:40:36.252 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.492$  are the ones we're targeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

00:40:38.500 --> 00:40:42.084 If you use bulk till in patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

00:40:42.084 --> 00:40:44.179 epithelial cancers who are chemo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

00:40:44.180 --> 00:40:45.170 fract, chemo refractory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

00:40:45.170 --> 00:40:48.100 we do not see responses in 21 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:40:48.100 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.036$  but when we started to select these till.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

00:40:52.040 --> 00:40:55.360 And treated 81 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:40:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.920$  17% of them have responded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:40:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.396$  I've shown you some of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:40:58.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.980$  These are all patients

 $00:40:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.560$  that are chemo refractory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.195$  Many had had checkpoint modulators

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:03.195 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.232$  which do not work in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:05.232 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.850$  tumors and had not responded and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.565$  We have a long ways to go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:10.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.765$  but these 17 patients at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:13.765 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.362$  show us that this is possible as

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:16.362 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.610$  we continue to refine and learn

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:18.610 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.210$  how to treat these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:20.210 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.170$  For the refractory epithelial cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:24.170 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.345$  well, there were two hypotheses

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:25.345 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.285$  that come from this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333300:41:26.290 --> 00:41:26.615 First,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00{:}41{:}26.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.565$  it appears to be the recognition

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:28.565 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.170$  of random somatic mutations.

 $00:41:30.170 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.270$  It's a final common pathway that

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00{:}41{:}32.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}34.010$  explains cancer aggression for most,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:34.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.460$  if not all immuno therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00{:}41{:}37.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}39.518$  We finally understand what a cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:39.518 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.868$  antigen is and as we now look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

00:41:42.868 --> 00:41:44.778 variety of chemother of immunotherapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

00:41:44.780 --> 00:41:47.124 it's now been shown for anti C2A4,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:47.124 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.044$  we're studying it fertil tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

00:41:50.044 --> 00:41:52.380 infiltrating lymphocytes as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:52.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.820$  What is a cancer antigen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

00:41:53.820 --> 00:41:55.628 It's any intracellular protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:55.628 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.340$  that could potentially be a cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:41:58.410 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.164$  antigen if it's mutated and processed

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

00:42:01.164 --> 00:42:03.517 intracellulally to a peptide that

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:42:03.517 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.199$  combined to the autologous MHC molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:42:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.098$  About one in every seventy of these

00:42:09.098 --> 00:42:11.598 mutated NEO epitopes are NEO antigens

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:42:11.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.358$  and there's good news and bad news.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:42:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.331$  The bad news is that this will have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:42:16.331 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.365$  be a very highly personalized treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:42:18.365 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.720$  after over taking a patient's own cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

00:42:20.720 --> 00:42:23.520 We're targeting A mutation that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:42:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.496$  unique to his own tumor and will

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00{:}42{:}27.496 \to 00{:}42{:}30.436$  therefore be complex to administer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00{:}42{:}30.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}32.421$  The good news is that virtually all

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:42:32.421 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.100$  cancer patients are potentially eligible

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:42:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.045$  because they all have mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:42:36.050 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.650$  And some more than others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00{:}42{:}37.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}41.005$  So the opportunity does exist to

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

00:42:41.005 --> 00:42:44.180 further deliver this treatment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:42:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.269$  the complexity will be difficult.

 $00:42:46.269 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.088$  But then again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

00:42:47.090 --> 00:42:48.946 I heard that in the early days of

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

00:42:48.946 --> 00:42:50.167 our development of CAR T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:42:50.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.995$  several groups came through large

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

00:42:51.995 --> 00:42:53.090 pharmaceutical companies saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:42:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.686$  hey, if we had this disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:42:54.690 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.534$  we'd come to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:42:55.534 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.890$  but we don't see how to make money doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

00:42:57.890 --> 00:42:59.458 But I have every confidence that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:42:59.458 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.201$  we can figure out ways to make it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9545100833333333

 $00{:}43{:}01.201 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02.690$  work and large numbers of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

00:43:02.690 --> 00:43:03.870 the genius of American industry

NOTE Confidence: 0.954510083333333

 $00:43:03.870 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.728$  will figure out a way to deliver it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922228133333333

 $00:43:07.770 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.552$  Well there are two main approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.922228133333333

00:43:09.552 --> 00:43:11.186 to using lymphos type transfer

NOTE Confidence: 0.922228133333333

 $00{:}43{:}11.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}13.121$  and we've talked about expanding

 $00:43:13.121 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.050$  naturally occurring anti cancer cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922228133333333

 $00{:}43{:}15.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}18.210$  But because now it becomes so readily usable

NOTE Confidence: 0.922228133333333

 $00:43:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.288$  to easy to identify T cell receptors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922228133333333

 $00:43:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.966$  we can actually identify T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.922228133333333

 $00:43:23.966 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.750$  receptors into autologous lymphocytes

NOTE Confidence: 0.922228133333333

 $00:43:25.819 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.997$  and expand normal cells and convert

NOTE Confidence: 0.922228133333333

00:43:27.997 --> 00:43:32.726 them into anti tumor anti tumor T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:43:35.350 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.318$  We've talked about these non mutated

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:43:37.318 --> 00:43:39.708 proteins that are not on normal tissues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:43:39.710 --> 00:43:42.750 CD19, the unique somatic mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:43:42.750 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.546$  but there are mutations in cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:43:45.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.405$  driver oncogenes or tumor suppressors

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00{:}43{:}48.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}52.190$  that can be shared among patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:43:52.190 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.234$  It's remarkable now that so many different

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:43:54.234 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.870$  cancer genomes have been sequenced,

 $00:43:55.870 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.814$  how few of these actually exist that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:43:58.814 --> 00:44:02.195 shared Far and away the most common are KK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:44:02.195 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.250$  RASS and P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:44:04.250 --> 00:44:07.336 KRS expressing 30% of all cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:44:07.336 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.70470\%$  of pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:44:08.710 --> 00:44:12.266 it's P53 and half of all cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:44:12.270 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.844$  And so we've made efforts to

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:44:14.844 --> 00:44:16.914 identify TCRS from patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:44:16.914 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.411$  contain these mutations to find T

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:44:19.411 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.733$  cell receptors by doing a highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00{:}44{:}21.733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}24.169$  directed screening using very high

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:44:24.169 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.450$  concentrations of these molecules or by

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:44:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.150$  especially by in vitro sensitization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:44:30.150 --> 00:44:31.822 To identify T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:44:31.822 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.330$  to identify that very tiny number

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:44:34.407 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.729$  that do exist in patients that

 $00:44:36.729 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.790$  can recognize K Ras in P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:44:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.886$  And we published about a year and a half

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:44:43.886 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.275$  ago a library of T cell receptors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:44:47.275 --> 00:44:51.230 are CD8 and CD4 that can recognize K Ras,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:44:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.770$  the common K Ras hotspot mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:44:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.572$  Over 80% of OK Ras mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:44:57.572 --> 00:45:00.330 occur at three different hotspots,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:45:00.330 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.678$  GG12DG12V and G6 and the 60 oneth

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:45:05.678 --> 00:45:08.650 amino acid almost all of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:45:08.650 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.450$  However the great majority are

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:45:10.450 --> 00:45:12.667 at this K12 and 13 position and

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:45:12.667 --> 00:45:15.612 you can see for a variety now of

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00{:}45{:}15.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}18.958$  restriction elements we can identify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00{:}45{:}18.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.494$  T cell receptors and publish the

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:45:22.494 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.360$  sequences of them that can recognize

 $00:45:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.915$  tumors mutations based on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00{:}45{:}29.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}34.781$  recognition of K Ras mutations and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:45:34.781 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.514$  similar library now of mutations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:45:37.514 --> 00:45:40.250 K Ras can be recognized by CD4 cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:45:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.302$  using a variety of different Class 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:45:43.302 --> 00:45:45.560 restriction elements And if you look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:45:45.560 --> 00:45:48.199 The two libraries that we've now developed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00{:}45{:}48.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51.176$  33% of all patients with K Ras mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00{:}45{:}51.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}53.692$  can potentially be eligible for treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:45:53.692 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.608$  These T cell receptors if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00{:}45{:}56.608 --> 00{:}45{:}59.006$  can learn to use them well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:45:59.006 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.330$  that led us to the issue of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:46:01.330 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.675$  well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:46:01.675 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.090$  what kinds of receptors do we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:46:04.159 --> 00:46:07.225 want because we can find dozens of

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:46:07.225 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.005$  redundant muceptors recognizing the

 $00:46:09.005 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.001$  same exact molecules and there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:46:11.001 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.870$  a variety of tests that one can

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00{:}46{:}12.931 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}14.947$  use to test these receptors lytic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:46:14.950 --> 00:46:16.438 Function, cytokine secretion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462 00:46:16.438 --> 00:46:17.430 the avidity, NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:46:17.430 --> 00:46:20.230 the affinity catch bond techniques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:46:20.230 --> 00:46:22.734 And so we've gone to try to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00{:}46{:}22.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}25.184$  what T cells do we need so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00{:}46{:}25.184 \to 00{:}46{:}27.745$  we can select the right ones among

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:46:27.745 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.065$  the redundant number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:46:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.670$  And this brings us back to that patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00{:}46{:}31.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}34.547$  with KRAS who was treated with four

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:46:34.547 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.110$  different receptors all that recognized KRAS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00{:}46{:}37.110 --> 00{:}46{:}39.720$  you can look here at their.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462 00:46:39.720 --> 00:46:40.181 Avidity, NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462 00:46:40.181 --> 00:46:42.947 that is they all recognize about

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00{:}46{:}42.947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}45.199$  the same concentration of peptide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:46:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.800$  But one of these receptors disappeared

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:46:48.800 --> 00:46:51.365 immediately upon infusion and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:46:51.365 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.640$  was a majority receptor given.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:46:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.962$  Where are three of these receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:46:55.962 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.159$  persisted well out beyond the year?

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:46:58.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.814$  Here are measurements out to 290 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:47:00.814 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.249$  There was something very different

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:47:03.249 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.160$  about this receptor compared to these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:47:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.224$  What was the difference?

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

 $00:47:08.224 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.804$  The avidity was the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949542781538462

00:47:10.810 --> 00:47:12.924 We looked at a variety of criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

 $00{:}47{:}15.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}18.170$  especially surface plasmon resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

 $00:47:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.901$  to measure the exact KD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

 $00:47:20.901 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.127$  the association constant of that receptor.

 $00:47:23.130 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.209$  What we did is identify the receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

00:47:25.210 --> 00:47:27.050 clone it, purified it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

00:47:27.050 --> 00:47:30.410 and put it into human cells that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

 $00:47:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.360$  then used to treat the human tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

 $00:47:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.070$  and immunosuppressed mice and Notices

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

00:47:36.070 --> 00:47:38.890 1 receptor had the highest affinity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

00:47:38.890 --> 00:47:44.090 And was the least active in treating mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

 $00:47:44.090 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.610$  If you look now at this highest

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

 $00:47:46.610 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.289$  affinity receptor using a mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

 $00:47:48.290 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.690$  a human receptor to treat a human tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

00:47:50.690 --> 00:47:53.408 in a highly immunosuppressed mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

 $00:47:53.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.404$  it was these lower affinity receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

 $00:47:56.404 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.370$  which were the most effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

 $00:47:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.458$  And so it appears that it's not only the

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

 $00:48:01.458 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.246$  fitness state of the lymphocyte itself,

 $00:48:03.250 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.386$  but the quality of its receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

 $00:48:05.386 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.928$  that play a role in anti tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.961638325

00:48:07.930 --> 00:48:10.690 Effectiveness well knowing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.929647369565217

 $00:48:12.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.826$  receptor that was developed by Eric Tran who

NOTE Confidence: 0.929647369565217

 $00:48:15.826 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.023$  was a fellow in the laboratory who about

NOTE Confidence: 0.929647369565217

 $00:48:19.023 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.009$  the three years ago moved to Portland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929647369565217

00:48:22.010 --> 00:48:25.082 With Eric, we use this receptor that had

NOTE Confidence: 0.929647369565217

 $00:48:25.082 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.638$  the low affinity that seemed to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.929647369565217

 $00:48:27.638 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.588$  that sweet spot of the recognition to

NOTE Confidence: 0.929647369565217

00:48:29.588 --> 00:48:31.364 treat a patient with pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929647369565217

 $00:48:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.130$  It was published in the New England Journal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00{:}48{:}36.320 \to 00{:}48{:}41.318$  What about six months ago and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00:48:41.318 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.594$  see the regression that was reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

00:48:44.600 --> 00:48:47.568 with follow up out to six months

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

00:48:47.568 --> 00:48:50.319 of multiple lung metastases which

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00:48:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.750$  shrank in that patient to perform

 $00:48:52.750 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.447$  a substantial partial regression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00:48:54.447 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.021$  We have additional follow up now

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

00:48:57.021 --> 00:48:59.316 that patient did recur at one year

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

00:48:59.316 --> 00:49:01.343 but spent one year disease free

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00{:}49{:}01.343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}03.694$  of his pancreatic cancer and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00:49:03.694 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.729$  recently just four months ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00:49:05.730 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.065$  Treated a patient with pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00{:}49{:}08.065 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}49{:}10.400$  cancer utilizing a different set

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00:49:10.479 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.477$  of key res receptors restricted by

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00{:}49{:}12.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}15.865$ a eleven O 1 which is a class 1MHC

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00:49:15.865 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.935$  molecule and you can see here this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00{:}49{:}18.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}21.760$  liver metastases which is almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00{:}49{:}21.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.924$  disappeared by three months this large

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00:49:24.924 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.326$  one smaller and by three months almost gone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00:49:28.330 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.730$  We're continuing to follow this

 $00:49:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.650$  patient but this is an approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

00:49:31.650 --> 00:49:34.476 Using T cell receptors into normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00:49:34.476 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.449$  cells that can potentially be effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

00:49:37.450 --> 00:49:41.130 Peter Kim in the Surgery Branch

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00:49:41.130 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.154$  a fellow has developed a library

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

00:49:44.154 --> 00:49:47.138 mainly using in vitro sensitization

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00:49:47.138 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.490$  to target P53 molecules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

00:49:49.490 --> 00:49:53.630 This was published about six months ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00:49:53.630 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.705$  in Clinical Clinical Cancer Immunology

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00:49:56.705 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.508$  Research and again these receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00{:}49{:}59.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}03.750$  Now with some common Class 1 molecules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148 00:50:03.750 --> 00:50:04.190 O2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00{:}50{:}04.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}06.752$  O1 can potentially treat about 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00:50:06.752 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.286 1/2\%$  of all patients with K Ras

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00:50:09.286 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.735$  mutations and again 50% of all cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.87752148

 $00:50:11.735 \dashrightarrow 00:50:13.310$  patients have K Ras mutations.

 $00:50:15.430 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.782$  Well, we could again identify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9444466233333334

 $00:50:17.782 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.070$  T cell receptors that were most

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:50:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.172$  common in recognizing P53 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:50:25.172 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.916$  recognizing tumors that contain P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:50:28.916 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.946$  We isolated those T cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:50:30.950 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.110$  Receptors that you uniquely recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

00:50:34.110 --> 00:50:39.230 P53 recognizing tumors and again

NOTE Confidence: 0.9444466233333334

 $00{:}50{:}39.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}42.756$  studied each one of these 5-6 receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:50:42.756 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.297$  that we could find to see which

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

00:50:45.297 --> 00:50:48.705 were most effective and one of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9444466233333334

00:50:48.705 --> 00:50:51.990 was more effective than the rest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

00:50:51.990 --> 00:50:54.445 Although at high concentrations many

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00{:}50{:}54.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56.852$  others began to work as well in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00{:}50{:}56.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58.556$  of cursing in the regression of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:50:58.556 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.420$  human tumor in a in a mouse.

00:51:01.420 --> 00:51:03.832 Using human receptors at 27 cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:51:03.832 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.088$  you could see many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:51:05.090 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.568$  several of the receptors were active.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:51:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.682$  But when you went down to 1/5 of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:51:09.682 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.010$  that a 2E6A tiny number of cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:51:12.010 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.960$  this one receptor was most effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:51:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.318$  and it was the receptor with

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

00:51:16.318 --> 00:51:17.809 an intermediate affinity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

00:51:17.810 --> 00:51:20.449 And so as we continue these experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:51:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.070$  we're beginning to learn which

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00{:}51{:}22.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24.050$  kind of receptors we we need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9444466233333334

 $00{:}51{:}24.050 --> 00{:}51{:}24.497 \ \mathrm{Well},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:51:24.497 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.073$  having identified that a patient who came in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9444466233333334

 $00:51:28.080 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.460$  With breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:51:29.460 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.760$  we've been through multiple chemotherapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:51:31.760 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.610$  with the highly advanced disease was

 $00:51:34.610 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.280$  treated with our own cells that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:51:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.513$  transduced with a high affinity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334 00:51:41.513 --> 00:51:42.219 Excuse me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

00:51:42.219 --> 00:51:44.690 a high avidity but not a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:51:44.764 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.528$  affinity T cell receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:51:46.530 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.380$  She had very aggressive disease including

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:51:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.250$  a pericardium that was replaced by tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:51:52.250 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.951$  We know that because a week before

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00{:}51{:}53.951 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}56.096$  we treated her we had to perform a

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:51:56.096 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.461$  pericardial window to release fluid

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:51:57.511 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.173$  from inside the pericardium and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

00:51:59.173 --> 00:52:00.902 of the biopsies here were positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:52:00.902 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.126$  She had pleural effusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00{:}52{:}02.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}05.530$  She had tumor covering her her breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:52:05.530 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.670$  and extending into the into the other breast.

 $00:52:08.670 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.889$  She was treated with our own cells that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:52{:}11.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}14.230$  Had been transduced to express

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

00:52:14.230 --> 00:52:15.994 an anti P53 receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:52:15.994 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.290$  this 175 H receptor that I just mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:52:19.290 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.950$  Every one of these nodules is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

00:52:21.950 --> 00:52:24.050 separate tumor deposit at a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00{:}52{:}24.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.650$  necrotic lesion in our breasts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

00:52:25.650 --> 00:52:30.296 All of this, everything visible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00{:}52{:}30.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32.835$  Disappeared and you can see here

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:52:32.835 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.253$  at the 60 days the way this breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:52:35.253 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.657$  looked at six months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334 00:52:36.660 --> 00:52:37.156 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00{:}52{:}37.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41.124$  she did recur with a nodule that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

00:52:41.124 --> 00:52:43.930 biopsied that had an LOHA loss of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:52:43.930 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.431$  heterozygosity at her MHC locust which

NOTE Confidence: 0.944446623333334

 $00:52:46.431 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.065$  enabled this and other lesions to

 $00:52:49.065 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.298$  escape and so she did recur at six months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:52:58.270 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.166$  So we can use T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

00:53:00.166 --> 00:53:01.430 receptors to treat patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

00:53:01.430 --> 00:53:03.739 And I'll finish with this very

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:53:03.739 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.484$  latest finding we just published

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:53:05.484 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.934$  about six months ago in the science

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:53:07.934 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.589$  and are beginning to exploit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00{:}53{:}09.590 \longrightarrow 00{:}53{:}12.534$  And that is a very rapid method to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:53:12.534 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.720$  identify cancer reactive T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:53:14.720 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.010$  receptors directly from a resected

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00{:}53{:}17.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}19.871$  tumor without having to do all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:53:19.871 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.468$  testing to see what they recognize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:53:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.846$  So how do we do this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00{:}53{:}24.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}27.454$  We use a single cell transcriptome

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:53:27.454 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.490$  analysis of lymphocytes

 $00:53:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.690$  from freshly resected tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

00:53:32.690 --> 00:53:33.650 In this analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:53:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.570$  each cell is bar coded with

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:53:35.570 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.721$  an individual DNA sequence and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:53:37.721 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.921$  when that individual cell is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

00:53:39.921 --> 00:53:41.898 sequenced and you can sequence up

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:53:41.898 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.272$  to 10,000 cells at a given time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:53:44.272 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.527$  the transcriptome all the messenger

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00{:}53{:}46.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}50.288$  RN A's can be analyzed and the T

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:53:50.288 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.160$  cell resequence identified and each.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

00:53:53.160 --> 00:53:56.835 Identified in an individual cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:53:56.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.400$  and so we did that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:54:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.158$  And if you then break those lymphocytes

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:54:03.158 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.200$  into all of the different clusters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:54:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.917$  what you can do is the following

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:54:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.418$  because we have the transcriptome

 $00{:}54{:}15.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}19.508$  sequence for every individual cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:54:19.510 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.544$  And we've identified the T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:54:21.544 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.312$  receptors in that patient that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:54:23.312 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.067$  can recognize the tumor because

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

00:54:25.067 --> 00:54:27.402 every time we identify a cell and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

00:54:27.402 --> 00:54:29.142 all the patients I've showed you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

00:54:29.150 --> 00:54:31.517 we can very easily then get to the T

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:54:31.517 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.282$  cell receptor using PCR techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:54:33.282 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.826$  to clone it out only takes about

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00{:}54{:}35.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}38.064$  the about two weeks if we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:54:38.064 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.847$  at this cluster and look at the T

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00{:}54{:}40.847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}43.190$  cell receptor sequences that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00{:}54{:}43.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}45.490$  identified for this rectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:54:45.490 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.878$  patient and see what cells they're in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93924726

 $00:54:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.380$  They quite astonishingly all appear

00:54:51.380 --> 00:54:53.880 in a single transcriptome culture

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:54:56.040 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.874$  was true for this breast cancer patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:54:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.743$  In this cluster, we take nine cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:55:00.743 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.097$  patients from many different histologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:55:03.097 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.113$  You can see they all fit in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:55:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.362$  clusters and So what that enables us

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:55:11.362 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.819$  to do is identify the gene signature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:55:15.820 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.500$  Of cells in that cluster because

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:55:17.500 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.460$  we know the whole transcriptome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:55:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.012$  all the MRN A's expressed and could

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00{:}55{:}23.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}25.784$  identify and report on a gene signature

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:55:25.784 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.170$  which we published in Science led

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:55:28.170 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.646$  interestingly by a B cell antigen CX, CL13.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:55:31.646 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.604$  And when we take now an

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

00:55:34.604 --> 00:55:36.500 unknown patient cluster,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:55:36.500 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.460$  look for that transcriptome sequencing

 $00:55:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.380$  that we look for those T cell receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00{:}55{:}42.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}45.945$  Sequences that fit this gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

00:55:45.945 --> 00:55:48.900 signature we could then identify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:55:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.580$  For unknown samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:55:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.265$  we could identify cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00{:}55{:}52.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}53.613$  contain that gene signature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:55:53.620 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.258$  And because the cells are bar coded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

00:55:55.260 --> 00:55:57.535 we can immediately get to the T

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00{:}55{:}57.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}59.473$  cell receptor sequence and know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

00:55:59.473 --> 00:56:01.580 within a few weeks of the resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:56:01.580 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.332$  And when we test each of the TC

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:56:03.332 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.141$  R's in that signature right now

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:56:05.141 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.385$  and we're trying to define that

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

00:56:07.385 --> 00:56:10.410 signature of the CDH cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:56:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.514$  CD62 percent of all of the T cell

 $00:56:12.514 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.969$  receptors that are present in

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:56:13.969 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.419$  that cluster are tumor reactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:56:15.419 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.487$  and we can identify within weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

00:56:17.490 --> 00:56:19.706 And CD4 cells it's not quite as good

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:56:19.706 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.047$  as about 1/3 of the T cell receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

00:56:22.050 --> 00:56:24.480 Thus anti tumor T cell receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:56:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.825$  can be quickly identified without

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:56:26.825 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.129$  extensive screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

00:56:28.130 --> 00:56:31.520 And use for cell therapy and we haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

00:56:31.520 --> 00:56:34.220 haven't published much of this yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00{:}56{:}34.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}36.902$  but but in fact are working hard now

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:56:36.902 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.419$  to try to improve our ability to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.901119807142857

 $00:56:39.419 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.327$  T cell receptors for for treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

00:56:46.300 --> 00:56:48.939 Well, I might conclude with this final,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00:56:48.940 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.929$  this final slide and leave you with

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00:56:51.929 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.260$  these few general conclusions.

 $00:56:54.260 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.330$  Cell transfer therapy can mediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00{:}56{:}56{:}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}58{:}400$  durable regression in patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00:56:58.460 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.353$  metastatic cancer refractory to

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00:57:00.353 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.939$  all other treatments that T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00:57:02.939 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.059$  recognize unique somatic mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00:57:05.060 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.255$  and common cancers that identification

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00:57:07.255 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.450$  and targeting these mutations unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00{:}57{:}09.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}11.448$  to each cancer or sometimes shared

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

00:57:11.448 --> 00:57:13.550 mutations such as K, RASM, P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00:57:13.550 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.980$  Have the potential to extend cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00{:}57{:}15.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}18.972$  therapy to patients with the common

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00:57:18.972 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.870$  epithelial cancers using either

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00{:}57{:}20.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}22.970$  these naturally occurring or T

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00:57:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.670$  cell receptor transduced cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00:57:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.970$  And finally gene signatures can be

 $00:57:27.970 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.698$  generified generated to identify anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00{:}57{:}30.698 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}57{:}33.785$  tumor T cell receptors in fresh tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00:57:33.790 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.060$  as well as identify the phenotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

00:57:37.060 --> 00:57:40.070 of lymphocytes that can improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00:57:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.910$  functions in eliminating tumor in vivo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

00:57:43.910 --> 00:57:46.030 Well, I thank you for your very kind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944566485714286

 $00:57:46.030 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.950$  kind attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956067514285714

 $00:57:53.950 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.138$  Thanks, Steve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956067514285714

 $00{:}57{:}55.138 \to 00{:}57{:}58.518$  That was inspiring and certainly I know

NOTE Confidence: 0.956067514285714

 $00:57:58.518 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.946$  there were a good number of questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956067514285714

 $00{:}57{:}59.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}02.110$  I know Diane Krauss has a few online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956067514285714

 $00:58:02.110 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.390$  But as is our tradition

NOTE Confidence: 0.956067514285714

 $00:58:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.670$  at the Cal Brazi lecture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956067514285714

 $00:58:04.670 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.386$  we will often turn to Judge

NOTE Confidence: 0.956067514285714

 $00:58:06.386 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.112$  Cal Brazi or Steven to please

NOTE Confidence: 0.956067514285714

00:58:08.112 --> 00:58:09.310 ask the first question.

 $00:58:14.510 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.810$  Doctor Colleridge Son or I'm a law

NOTE Confidence: 0.68143945

 $00{:}58{:}17.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}19.710$  professor Doctor But I wondered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:58:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.270$  would the you're talking about have

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

00:58:23.270 --> 00:58:27.270 any applicability to glioma brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00{:}58{:}27.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}29.810$  cancer which I know of especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.95283285

 $00:58:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.790$  hard to treat and which may

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:58:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.990$  become much more common

NOTE Confidence: 0.908854072727273

 $00:58:33.990 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.812$  in the future?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908854072727273

 $00:58:34.812 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.730$  Because there is does seem to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.908854072727273

 $00{:}58{:}36.796 \to 00{:}58{:}39.002$  some evidence that cell phone use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908854072727273

 $00{:}58{:}39.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}40.940$  Increases the risk of coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.908854072727273

 $00:58:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.240$  down with brain cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943272513

 $00{:}58{:}42.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}44.824$  So I just wondered, is this applicable

NOTE Confidence: 0.943272513

 $00:58:44.824 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.480$  to gliola brain, Brain cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:58:49.560 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.060$  plus brain cancers.

 $00:58:51.060 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.560$  Glioblastoma is the most aggressive form of

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00{:}58{:}54.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}57.675$  of the brain cancers do express mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

00:58:57.680 --> 00:59:00.424 We have identified mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:59:00.424 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.464$  in glioblastomas. In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:59:02.464 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.788$  we published a paper on that by

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:59:04.788 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.466$  VED Leiko who is a fellow in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:59:07.466 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.050$  in the surgery branch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

00:59:09.050 --> 00:59:11.288 Of a mutation in a glioblastoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00{:}59{:}11.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}14.755$  but we have not treated any glioblastoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:59:14.755 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.818$  patients with these uniquely reactive cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:59:18.818 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.266$  We have treated glioblastomas with CAR

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:59:21.266 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.729$  T cells targeting a shared mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:59:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.992$  And so no responses again because

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:59:26.992 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.670$  of the weakness of of cars

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:59:29.670 \longrightarrow 00:59:31.720$  and the potential danger that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:59:31.720 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.560$  Their tumors might express normal

 $00:59:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.400$  antigens and in a separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

00:59:35.463 --> 00:59:37.119 study that I won't go into,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:59:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.652$  we actually saw substantial toxicities by

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:59:39.652 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.519$  targeting a shared antigen and glioblastomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:59:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.954$  But using this new the new cancer antigens

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:59:47.954 \longrightarrow 00:59:50.239$  that result from cancer mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:59:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.074$  I think should be tried in glioblastoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

00:59:52.080 --> 00:59:54.624 But we have not begun those studies yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00:59:54.624 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.557$  and are concentrating on the more common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $00{:}59{:}57.560 \to 00{:}59{:}59.140$  Well, common epithelial cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

00:59:59.140 --> 01:00:00.720 But it's a wonderful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $01:00:00.720 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.580$  wonderful idea and something that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.949310557

 $01{:}00{:}02.580 \to 01{:}00{:}04.960$  hope to to get to in a serious way soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899233333333

 $01{:}00{:}08.480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}10.370$  Question. I wonder if we can unmute

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899233333333

 $01:00:10.370 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.680$  her so she can ask it herself.

01:00:12.680 --> 01:00:14.416 While we're doing that, I'll just ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899233333333

 $01:00:14.416 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.238$  a question from an anonymous attendee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899233333333

01:00:16.240 --> 01:00:18.018 I have a patient with one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899233333333

 $01:00:18.018 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.780$  the targetable Rasmutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899233333333

 $01:00:18.834 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.199$  with the appropriate actual a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899233333333

 $01:00:20.200 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.478$  What can I do for them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899233333333

 $01:00:21.480 \longrightarrow 01:00:22.240$  How do I send them

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031704

01:00:22.240 --> 01:00:24.330 to you? We're actively seeking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031704

 $01:00:24.330 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.866$  we're actively seeking those

NOTE Confidence: 0.95031704

01:00:25.866 --> 01:00:27.610 patients. And if you e-mail me

NOTE Confidence: 0.948944718181818

01:00:29.650 --> 01:00:32.128 sar@nih.gov, I'll see that you get

NOTE Confidence: 0.948944718181818

 $01:00:32.128 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.213$  contacted immediately about about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.948944718181818

 $01:00:34.213 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.403$  patient to evaluate the eligibility of

NOTE Confidence: 0.948944718181818

01:00:36.403 --> 01:00:38.570 that patient for our studies. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888763083333333

 $01:00:38.570 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.728$  I'm guessing that was Diane Kraus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888763083333333

 $01:00:39.730 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.874$  So that Eric would see that he has

 $01:00:40.874 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.938$  to put more resource into this

NOTE Confidence: 0.888763083333333

 $01:00:41.938 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.048$  so that we'll keep them here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888763083333333

01:00:43.050 --> 01:00:45.050 He's he's laughing. OK Diane,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888763083333333

 $01:00:45.050 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.058$  are you able to ask your question

NOTE Confidence: 0.888763083333333

01:00:46.058 --> 01:00:46.969 online or do you want me to

NOTE Confidence: 0.926416425

01:00:46.970 --> 01:00:48.529 read it for you? I can ask it. I can ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

01:00:49.730 --> 01:00:51.698 my question was why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $01:00:51.700 \longrightarrow 01:00:53.717$  The selected till work when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $01:00:53.717 \longrightarrow 01:00:55.530$  bulk till do not for some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $01:00:55.595 \longrightarrow 01:00:57.900$  these patients with solid tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

01:00:57.900 --> 01:01:00.196 is it a matter of the large dose of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $01:01:00.196 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.240$  the effective till or potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $01:01:02.240 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.880$  inhibition by other till that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $01:01:03.880 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.980$  aren't targeting the cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:07.700 \longrightarrow 01:01:10.962$  We have evidence for both and I think

 $01:01:10.962 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.160$  both are important in the animal models

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

01:01:13.228 --> 01:01:16.282 in the number of cells you give is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:16.282 \longrightarrow 01:01:19.137$  highly related to its effectiveness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:19.140 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.156$  In the human, although we generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

01:01:21.156 --> 01:01:23.618 give us very large numbers of cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:23.620 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.700$  even within the numbers of cells we give,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:25.700 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.895$  which generally are between 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

01:01:26.895 --> 01:01:28.660 of the 10 and 10 of the 11,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:28.660 \longrightarrow 01:01:31.490$  we do see an influence of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:31.490 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.500$  number of cells in the likelihood

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:33.500 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.312$  of having complete regressions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:35.312 \longrightarrow 01:01:37.922$  And we just published that about

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:37.922 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.974$  a year and a half ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:39.980 \longrightarrow 01:01:42.899$  But we have evidence in animal models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:42.900 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.740$  That the normal cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:44.740 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.212$  you give can inhibit.

01:01:46.220 --> 01:01:48.844 Now if you're giving normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01{:}01{:}48.844 \to 01{:}01{:}50.764$  cells that contain T regulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:50.764 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.900$  cells that would be hurtful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:52.900 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.937$  but also these other cells that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:54.937 \longrightarrow 01:01:56.949$  give that are non tumor reactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:56.949 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.091$  compete for the cytokines that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:01:59.091 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.939$  result of the lympho depletion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:02:00.940 \longrightarrow 01:02:02.684$  When you lympho deplete,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:02:02.684 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.300$  you increase circulating levels of I

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:02:05.300 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.060$  L15IL7 which normally do not circulate and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:02:10.060 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.690$  Those circulating cytokines then can

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:02:12.690 \longrightarrow 01:02:14.936$  impact on the cells we administer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01{:}02{:}14.936 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}16.386$  if we're administering normal cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01{:}02{:}16.386 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}17.934$  they compete with the good ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:02:17.940 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.294$  So you've hit your your question

 $01:02:22.300 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.848$  actually hit on the exactly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01{:}02{:}23.848 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}25.420$  right answer that you mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:02:25.420 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.022$  You need the right cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:02:27.022 \longrightarrow 01:02:28.500$  none of the wrong cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:02:28.500 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.540$  I know we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372034875

01:02:30.540 --> 01:02:31.896 little over but just two more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372034875

 $01:02:31.896 \longrightarrow 01:02:33.065$  questions because some of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372034875

01:02:33.065 --> 01:02:34.349 old friends Mario Snow is online

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372034875

 $01{:}02{:}34.349 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}35.777$  and would like to ask a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372034875

 $01:02:35.780 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.220$  Mario you should have come

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372034875

01:02:37.220 --> 01:02:38.372 here in person Mario.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372034875

01:02:38.380 --> 01:02:41.005 Mario. I'm sorry, Steve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372034875

 $01:02:41.005 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.835$  I'm just curious for all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372034875

 $01:02:42.835 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.836$  reactive TCR's that you found

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372034875

01:02:43.836 --> 01:02:45.500 in the epithelium malignancies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372034875

 $01:02:45.500 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.668$  are those internally differentiated cells,

 $01:02:47.668 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.740$  are there any in the stem cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372034875

 $01{:}02{:}49.740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}51.712$  pool that you say work well and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372034875

 $01:02:51.712 \longrightarrow 01:02:53.339$  that different between epithelium

NOTE Confidence: 0.967089333333333

01:02:53.340 --> 01:02:54.699 malignancies and Melanoma?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922710933333333

 $01:02:56.740 \longrightarrow 01:02:59.436$  We can find them easily in Melanoma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.922710933333333

01:02:59.436 --> 01:03:02.020 they are very difficult to find in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922710933333333

 $01:03:02.020 \longrightarrow 01:03:06.890$  in the epithelial cancers because the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922710933333333

 $01:03:06.890 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.080$  Incidence of those cells are likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.922710933333333

 $01:03:09.080 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.390 \ 100,000 \ fold \ less in our measurements$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.922710933333333

 $01:03:11.390 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.127$  in the epithelial cancers than in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922710933333333

01:03:14.130 --> 01:03:16.035 melanomas because we generally try

NOTE Confidence: 0.922710933333333

 $01:03:16.035 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.370$  to find them in circulating cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922710933333333

 $01:03:18.370 \longrightarrow 01:03:19.554$  But they do exist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922710933333333

 $01{:}03{:}19.554 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}21.330$  they just very hard to identify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922710933333333

 $01:03:21.330 \longrightarrow 01:03:23.112$  And my suspicion as we continue

 $01:03:23.112 \longrightarrow 01:03:25.385$  to study and find better ways to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922710933333333

01:03:25.385 --> 01:03:27.090 identify tiny numbers of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922710933333333

 $01:03:27.090 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.260$  we will find them in the in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922710933333333

 $01:03:29.260 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.090$  patients that did that did respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $01:03:33.400 \longrightarrow 01:03:35.577$  But it's a particular delight to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

01:03:35.577 --> 01:03:37.868 hear Mario, who worked closely with

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $01:03:37.868 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.910$  us for for several years, as are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $01:03:40.910 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.424$  several others of your of your fellows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371755555556

 $01:03:44.424 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.852$  We we now have Nick Clement on our on our

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $01:03:49.852 \longrightarrow 01:03:57.888$  staff, and it reminds me to say that in fact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $01:03:57.890 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.050$  Especially all of this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $01:03:59.050 \longrightarrow 01:04:01.650$  except for the first five to seven years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $01:04:01.650 \longrightarrow 01:04:04.248$  was actually done not by me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $01:04:04.250 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.566$  with my own hands in the lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $01:04:05.570 \longrightarrow 01:04:07.496$  but by fellows who come to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $01:04:07.496 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.250$  the surgery branch to train.

01:04:09.250 --> 01:04:10.914 Fellows like Nick Lemon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

01:04:10.914 --> 01:04:13.570 like like Mario,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $01:04:13.570 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.154$  who come to the NCI to gain experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

01:04:16.154 --> 01:04:18.159 in doing clinical and laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $01:04:18.159 \longrightarrow 01:04:20.727$  research for two to three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $01:04:20.730 \longrightarrow 01:04:24.194$  And I owe them a great debt, as I do to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $01:04:24.194 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.798$  Mario, for all the contributions

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $01:04:25.798 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.550$  he made when he was here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $01:04:27.550 \longrightarrow 01:04:29.270$  when he was here with us, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.920199787

01:04:29.270 --> 01:04:30.590 know, Steve, that might be a good way to end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920199787

 $01{:}04{:}30.590 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}32.340$  We're going to move into the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.920199787

 $01{:}04{:}32.340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}34.264$  room with some of our fellows to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.920199787

 $01:04:34.264 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.309$  with you and with the judge and others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920199787

01:04:36.310 --> 01:04:38.238 Let me just say in hearing you say

NOTE Confidence: 0.920199787

 $01:04:38.238 \longrightarrow 01:04:40.434$  that the Paul Calabresi I knew would

 $01:04:40.434 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.775$  have loved this lecture because it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.920199787

 $01{:}04{:}42.775 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}44.665$  innovative and it was patient focused.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920199787

 $01:04:44.670 \longrightarrow 01:04:46.530$  You're bringing new therapies to clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.920199787

01:04:46.530 --> 01:04:48.389 and Guido's going to say a word,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920199787

01:04:48.390 --> 01:04:49.986 but the fact that you mentored,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920199787

 $01:04:49.990 \longrightarrow 01:04:50.984$  that was what Paul is all about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920199787

 $01:04:50.990 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.606$  And I'm going to go the final word.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920199787

01:04:52.610 --> 01:04:53.465 Judge Guido Calbresi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920199787

 $01{:}04{:}53.465 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}55.770$  And then we'll retire to the other room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920199787

01:04:55.770 --> 01:04:56.250 Judge of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $01:05:04.590 \longrightarrow 01:05:07.682$  course, one people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

01:05:07.682 --> 01:05:09.544 developed chemotherapy first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $01:05:09.544 \longrightarrow 01:05:11.820$  he said there was no doubt that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $01:05:11.820 \longrightarrow 01:05:14.174$  where one went was not with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

01:05:14.174 --> 01:05:16.670 chemotherapy but with immune.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $01:05:16.670 \longrightarrow 01:05:18.926$  And he said that was where

 $01:05:18.926 \longrightarrow 01:05:20.790$  it would have to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $01{:}05{:}20.790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}22.870$  And that's why I'm particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $01:05:22.870 \longrightarrow 01:05:24.109$  delighted to a very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883691071428572

 $01:05:25.990 \longrightarrow 01:05:27.509$  Well, on that note, thank you all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883691071428572

 $01:05:27.510 \longrightarrow 01:05:28.095$  Thank you, Steve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883691071428572

 $01:05:28.095 \longrightarrow 01:05:29.265$  We'll retire and we'll be 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.883691071428572

 $01:05:29.265 \dashrightarrow 01:05:30.347$  minutes and we'll get you back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883691071428572

 $01:05:30.350 \longrightarrow 01:05:32.000$  Thank you, everyone.