WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:01:55.9600000"

NOTE recognizability:0.825

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101635

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.785 Hi everyone, I'm Bum Laksam

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101635

 $00{:}00{:}01.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}03.980$ from Suni Binghamton and I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101635

 $00{:}00{:}03.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}06.002$ present an overview of our work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101635

00:00:06.002 --> 00:00:08.279 on the impacts of working memory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101635

 $00:00:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.890$ load and emotional reliance on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101635

 $00:00:09.890 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.500$ state and positivity and emotional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101635

 $00:00:11.554 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.898$ regulation and chronic cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101635

 $00{:}00{:}12.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.578$ users and non cannabis users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83044034

 $00:00:17.250 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.026$ We employed A2 by three mixed model design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83044034

 $00{:}00{:}21.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.070$ Our community participant poll

NOTE Confidence: 0.83044034

 $00{:}00{:}23.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.110$ consisted of 233 individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.83044034

 $00:00:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.439$ after removing random responders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83044034

 $00:00:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.575$ As for tasks, we utilize the mback

NOTE Confidence: 0.83044034

00:00:29.575 --> 00:00:31.501 task with images from the IOPS

NOTE Confidence: 0.83044034

 $00:00:31.501 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.403$ database as well as the latest

NOTE Confidence: 0.83044034

 $00:00:33.403 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.425$ counting and probability task and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83044034

 $00:00:35.425 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.390$ have some other self report measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714274

 $00:00:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.990$ We can see here in the results that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714274

 $00:00:41.990 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.356$ both cannabis users and non users

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714274

 $00:00:44.356 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.688$ exhibited significantly greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714274

 $00:00:45.688 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.365$ impulsive responding following the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714274

 $00{:}00{:}47.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.087$ high working memory load task compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714274

00:00:49.087 --> 00:00:52.110 to the low working memory load task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714274

 $00:00:52.110 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.060$ Additionally, we found that non cannabis

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714274

 $00:00:55.060 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.506$ users experienced significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714274

 $00{:}00{:}56.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58.408$ greater difficulties and emotion

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714274

 $00:00:58.408 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.588$ regulation compared to cannabis users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00:01:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.428$ Here are some Mary interesting findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

00:01:06.430 --> 00:01:08.620 After the low working memory task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00:01:08.620 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.960$ cannabis users and the positive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00:01:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.520$ neutral emotion conditions exhibited

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00:01:12.578 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.150$ greater impulsive responding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

00:01:14.150 --> 00:01:16.915 However, after the high working memory task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00{:}01{:}16.920 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}01{:}20.046$ non cannabis users in the negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00:01:20.046 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.130$ emotion condition demonstrated greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

00:01:22.206 --> 00:01:24.418 levels of impulsive responding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00:01:24.420 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.216$ And last, cannabis users demonstrated more

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00:01:27.216 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.894$ difficulty in emotional regulation in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00:01:29.894 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.769$ positive and negative emotion conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00:01:31.769 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.108$ as compared to non cannabis users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00:01:34.110 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.050$ These findings highlight the complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00{:}01{:}36.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}37.602$ relations among working memory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00:01:37.610 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.488$ emotional states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00:01:38.488 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.683$ and positivity and emotional regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00:01:40.683 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.324$ among cannabis users and non users and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00:01:43.324 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.214$ indicate that chronic cannabis use may

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00{:}01{:}45.277 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.277$ be associated with both compensatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00:01:47.277 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.277$ and deleterious effects on working

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00{:}01{:}49.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.230$ memory and self regulatory systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00:01:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.694$ Thank you and please let me know

NOTE Confidence: 0.81064606

 $00:01:53.694 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.960$ if you have any questions.