WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:46:27" NOTE recognizability:0.925

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.996$ It is. My name is Pam Koons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:00:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.100$ I'm a GI medical oncologist and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:00:05.100 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.360$ director of the Center for GI Cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

00:00:07.360 --> 00:00:10.559 It's my great pleasure to get to

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:00:10.559 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.908$ introduce Doctor Kiran Taraga as

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00{:}00{:}12.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}15.236$ today's speaker and welcome to those

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00{:}00{:}15.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.719$ in person and every body online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00{:}00{:}17.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.589$ So Doctor Traga is a professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

00:00:19.589 --> 00:00:21.499 surgery and the division Chief of

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:00:21.499 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.244$ Surgical Oncology in the Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00{:}00{:}23.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.444$ of Surgery and the Assistant medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:00:25.444 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.604$ director for the Clinical Trials Office.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:00:27.610 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.470$ At Yale Cancer Center,

 $00:00:29.470 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.650$ he joined Yale in fall of 22,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:00:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.009$ and I'm from the University of Chicago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:00:35.010 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.229$ where he was vice chief of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

00:00:37.229 --> 00:00:39.044 section of General Surgery and

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:00:39.044 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.019$ Surgical Oncology and director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

00:00:41.019 --> 00:00:43.249 the surgical GI Cancer program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:00:43.250 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.450$ He is considered a national,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:00:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.685$ international thought leader in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:00:48.685 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.473$ management of Oligometastatic disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:00:50.473 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.006$ and is an expert in regional perfusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00{:}00{:}53.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.359$ including hyperthermic intraperitoneal

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:00:55.359 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.708$ chemotherapy or hypec.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:00:57.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.286$ This is a technique that delivers

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

00:01:01.286 --> 00:01:03.074 chemotherapy intraparate mealy

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:01:03.074 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.202$ following resection of visible

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00{:}01{:}05.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08.100$ tumors and his research focuses in

 $00:01:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.525$ this space specifically on clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00{:}01{:}10.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12.950$ trials exploring the interface of

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

00:01:12.950 --> 00:01:15.261 immunotherapy and liquid biopsy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:01:15.261 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.376$ the surgical management of cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00{:}01{:}17.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.424$ I can say personally it's been really

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

00:01:19.424 --> 00:01:21.100 just wonderful to have you here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:01:21.100 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.095$ Karen and is he is a fantastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:01:23.095 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.275$ collaborator for the scientists

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:01:24.275 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.379$ in the room and in the zoom room

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:01:26.379 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.660$ I'm putting in a plug that he is

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:01:28.660 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.892$ looking for potential partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571 00:01:29.892 --> 00:01:30.676 So I'm. NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

00:01:30.676 --> 00:01:31.460 So Karen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928752571428571

 $00:01:31.460 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.618$ thank you for joining us today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906442266666667

00:01:38.680 --> 00:01:41.104 but thank you Doctor Koons and

00:01:41.104 --> 00:01:43.789 thank you everyone for coming today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906442266666667

 $00{:}01{:}43.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.190$ I, I, I took the liberty to of sharing some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906442266666667

 $00:01:47.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.010$ some slides which have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.906442266666667

 $00:01:49.010 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.466$ of our research interests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906442266666667

 $00:01:50.470 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.734$ And so forgive me if it seems like

NOTE Confidence: 0.906442266666667

 $00:01:52.734 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.633$ there's just so many topics we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.906442266666667

 $00:01:54.633 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.277$ covering it just we'll hopefully share

NOTE Confidence: 0.906442266666667

00:01:56.277 --> 00:01:58.113 with you how excited I am about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.906442266666667

 $00{:}01{:}58.113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}59.787$ field and how much I would love to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.906442266666667

 $00:01:59.832 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.384$ all of you excited about it as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928516919411765

00:02:04.150 --> 00:02:05.480 Renee, do you know if I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.928516919411765

 $00:02:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.625$ turn some of the lights

NOTE Confidence: 0.928516919411765

 $00:02:06.625 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.945$ down around this space here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928516919411765

 $00:02:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.970$ Because I think I have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.928516919411765

 $00:02:08.970 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.870$ videos I was running here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00:02:12.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.302$ So I am a surgeon and it is

00:02:14.302 --> 00:02:15.510 lunch time and I do apologize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00{:}02{:}15.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.070$ I'm going to show some pictures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00:02:17.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.017$ I tried to kind of reduce the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00:02:20.017 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.989$ number of pictures I have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00:02:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.195$ I do consult for I've I've just

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

00:02:24.195 --> 00:02:25.750 done some consulting for Mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00:02:25.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.290$ but it's not anything I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00:02:27.290 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.830$ going to speak about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00:02:28.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.182$ So in 2016 there was this this

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00{:}02{:}31.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33.356$ news frenzy that I'm sure most

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

00:02:33.356 --> 00:02:35.546 of you probably didn't even see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00:02:35.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.466$ but it said a new organ has been discovered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00{:}02{:}38.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40.670$ And this is a based on a paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

00:02:40.670 --> 00:02:42.075 published in Lancet gastroenterology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00:02:42.075 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.910$ hepatology and the new organ was the

00:02:44.910 --> 00:02:47.510 the peritoneum and the mesentery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00:02:47.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.102$ And so for all of us surgeons in

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00:02:49.102 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.663$ the room we laughed because you

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00:02:50.663 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.325$ know this is something people have

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00:02:52.378 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.788$ known for thousands of years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00{:}02{:}53.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55.284$ But I think what you're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00:02:55.284 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.818$ in this schematic over here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

00:02:56.818 --> 00:02:58.028 you're seeing the the colon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919788761538462

 $00{:}02{:}58.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.230$ So you can see in in the the panel C here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:05.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.026$ I guess. So in the panel C here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}03{:}09.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09.912$ maybe I'll just move my mouth

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:09.912 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.630$ so everyone can see it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:10.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.230$ So in the panel C,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:11.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.166$ you can sort of see how the mesentery

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:13.166 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.950$ kind of wraps around the colon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.612$ And I tell patients the peritoneum

00:03:16.612 --> 00:03:18.670 is just sort of like a membrane,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:18.670 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.866$ which is essentially like Saran wrap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:03:20.870 --> 00:03:23.264 It's essentially as thin as Saran wrap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:23.270 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.986$ but it has some remarkable functions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:03:24.990 --> 00:03:26.550 It it has, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:26.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.126$ it clears a lot of endotoxins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:03:29.126 --> 00:03:30.494 bacteria, there's macrophages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:30.494 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.230$ there's some T cells in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:33.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.370$ It has very important roles

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.654$ in cellular adhesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}03{:}36.660 \to 00{:}03{:}38.256$ And so it's a very interesting thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.755$ And as surgeons we notice

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:39.755 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.250$ this because cancers when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:03:41.309 --> 00:03:43.019 spread to the peritoneal lining,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:43.020 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.420$ they rarely cross the peritoneal barrier.

 $00:03:45.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.394$ So it's a very interesting phenomenon

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}03{:}47.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.128$ that such a thin membrane can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}03{:}50.128 --> 00{:}03{:}52.378$ restrict tumors within this membrane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:52.380 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.156$ And so it's a very exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:54.156 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.220$ sort of space to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:03:56.220 --> 00:03:57.780 And you know the biggest question

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:03:57.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.820$ is always you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}03{:}58.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00.244$ where do peritoneal surface

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}04{:}00.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}02.024$ malignancy stand and should we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:04:02.024 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.910$ club all of them together like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}04{:}03.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06.130$ Is the phenotypic expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:04:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.350$ metastasis as the peritoneal metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}04{:}08.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10.582$ is that more important or do we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:04:10.582 --> 00:04:12.870 of cancer is more like gastric cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:04:12.870 --> 00:04:13.592 pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:04:13.592 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.314$ liver cancer?

00:04:14.314 --> 00:04:16.480 And so is it more Histology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:04:16.549 --> 00:04:18.535 specific in terms of where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:04:18.535 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.590$ start or the phenotypic expression?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:04:20.590 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.144$ And I would argue that it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:04:22.144 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.110$ a combination of both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:04:23.110 --> 00:04:25.910 So I think clearly you have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:04:25.910 --> 00:04:27.110 recognize Histology specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:04:27.110 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.460$ you have to think about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:04:28.460 --> 00:04:28.910 somatic mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:04:28.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.235$ you have to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}04{:}30.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31.560$ what the primary tumor is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:04:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.762$ The tumors that spread to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:04:33.762 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.697$ peritoneum are somewhat bound by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:04:35.697 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.717$ some some general common principles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:04:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.547$ which is that they tend to spread

 $00:04:39.547 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.750$ in a very different way than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}04{:}41.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43.554$ hematogenous or lymphatic spread.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:04:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.400$ So they rarely spread,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:04:45.400 --> 00:04:46.320 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:04:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.982$ beyond sort of these spaces and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}04{:}47.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49.560$ they spread by almost contact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:04:49.560 --> 00:04:51.762 It's a very bizarre phenomenon when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:04:51.762 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.100$ we open the abdomen and we look.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}04{:}54.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}55.750$ It's always in spaces which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:04:55.750 --> 00:04:57.487 sort of sequestered where the flow

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}04{:}57.487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58.937$ of peritoneal fluid gets stopped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:04:58.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.560$ So the right diaphragm for instance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:05:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.140$ or by the ligament of trite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}05{:}02.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04.276$ so just a very mechanical sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:05:04.276 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.300$ of a problem that we see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:05:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.316$ And in this talk when we're talking

 $00{:}05{:}08.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.180$ about peritoneal metastasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}05{:}09.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11.265$ you know generally we're thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:05:11.265 --> 00:05:12.672 of secondary peritoneal tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:05:12.672 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.388$ so tumors that have started at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:05:14.388 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.029$ another site and then spread to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:05:16.029 --> 00:05:17.299 the peritoneum even though there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:05:17.299 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.709$ are primary peritoneal malignancies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:05:18.709 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.185$ like mesothelioma or decimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}05{:}20.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22.396$ plastic small round cell tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}05{:}22.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.232$ That occur in in the peritoneum itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:05:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.208$ Now the the question is how do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:05:28.208 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.719$ estimate the incidence of this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:05:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.428$ Is this a big problem or is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:05:31.428 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.817$ this a very small problem?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:05:32.817 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.910$ And the answer is we don't exactly

 $00:05:34.968 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.516$ know how big the problem is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00{:}05{:}36.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38.445$ But I would contend and we've done

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

00:05:38.445 --> 00:05:40.624 the math on this and we've kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:05:40.624 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.562$ of looked at this annually there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:05:42.623 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.373$ probably about 100 to 150,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:05:44.373 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.345$ patients with peritoneal metastases

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:05:46.345 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.810$ that are diagnosed every year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301902

 $00:05:48.810 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.810$ If you add up everyone that's a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00:05:50.810 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.875$ that's about three times the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00:05:52.875 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.890$ new pancreas cancer diagnosis every year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00:05:54.890 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.218$ So it it is something phenotypically is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00:05:58.218 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.368$ very large but heterogeneous population

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00:06:01.370 \dashrightarrow 00:06:02.850$ and I I've shown this slide many times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

00:06:02.850 --> 00:06:04.443 So those of you that have heard this talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

00:06:04.450 --> 00:06:06.242 you know or heard some version of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00:06:06.242 \dashrightarrow 00:06:08.454$ talk have seen this slide, but I don't,

 $00:06:08.454 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.610$ I won't apologize for it because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00{:}06{:}10.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.744$ do think this was a very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

00:06:12.744 --> 00:06:15.467 part in my life in deciding how and

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00:06:15.467 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.237$ why to do paranew metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00:06:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.624$ And this was a young patient who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00:06:19.624 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.081$ colon cancer and had clean scans and

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00:06:22.081 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.876$ presented with a bowel obstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00{:}06{:}23.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.290$ And I explored his abdomen over

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00:06:25.290 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.719$ here and and for you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00:06:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.958$ those of you in the room,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00:06:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.972$ what we're seeing here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00:06:29.972 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.312$ you know, this is the liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00{:}06{:}31.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.772$ this is the head of the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00:06:32.772 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.064$ You can see the graphic there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865137692308

 $00:06:34.064 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.760$ the liver right

 $00:06:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.460$ there, the transfer of stolen

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00{:}06{:}36.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37.720$ is right here. And the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:06:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.752$ sheet of Elmer's glue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:06:39.752 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.800$ that was his peripheral metastasis and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:06:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.684$ And it was very unfortunate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00{:}06{:}44.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}46.590$ despite our best treatments and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:06:46.590 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.360$ best surveillance and the best scans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:06:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.138$ we just could not help this young

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00{:}06{:}50.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.747$ patient who then succumbed to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:06:51.747 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.595$ cancer in a few months after this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:06:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.800$ So. So it's a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

00:06:54.800 --> 00:06:57.752 it was a very thought provoking problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00{:}06{:}57.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01.840$ that I have dedicated my career to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

00:07:01.840 --> 00:07:02.880 And so First off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.782$ I would just say that peritoneum metastases

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:04.782 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.356$ are much more common than we think they are.

 $00:07:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.880$ And and why is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00{:}07{:}08.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.238$ Well, think about it this way if you cannot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.435$ Detected on CT scans or PET scans or Mri's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.200$ you cannot actually measure it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.464$ So in this, in this graphic that one

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

00:07:18.464 --> 00:07:21.077 of our residents made many years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.916$ we just looked at all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:22.916 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.656$ different sort of sources of

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00{:}07{:}24.656 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{-}}} 00{:}07{:}26.480$ incidence of peritoneal metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

00:07:26.480 --> 00:07:27.880 And if you look at the NCCN text,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.100$ which comes from randomized trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:30.100 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.320$ which require resist measurable tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00{:}07{:}32.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33.440$ which means you should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

00:07:33.440 --> 00:07:34.560 able to measure the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:34.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.812$ the incidence only seems 2% or 3%.

00:07:36.812 --> 00:07:39.420 But if you actually look at autopsy series,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:39.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.385$ which are dominated by patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:41.385 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.940$ probably who die of different reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.780$ but when you look in that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:44.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.880$ the incidence of metastasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:45.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.255$ is as much as 20%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.737$ And this is only for colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:48.740 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.006$ So I imagine 135,000 new

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

00:07:51.006 --> 00:07:52.178 colon cancers a year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:52.180 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.764$ 140 and you have 20% of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:54.764 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.580$ with peritoneal metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:55.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.590$ And if they're mucinous tumors, it's 40%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:57.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.660$ So it's a much higher incidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:07:59.660 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.096$ But the problem is we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:02.096 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.050$ know where the reality is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.436$ Because we don't know how to

 $00{:}08{:}05.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.129$ measure pertinal metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00{:}08{:}06.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08.167$ So that's one of the big problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:08.167 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.650$ and challenges that are there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:09.650 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.242$ I think the second is that these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:12.242 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.886$ don't have clinical trials for them often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136 00:08:14.890 --> 00:08:15.136 Why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:15.136 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.366$ Because we can't measure it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

00:08:16.370 --> 00:08:17.090 If you can't measure it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00{:}08{:}17.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.494$ there's no drug company that's willing

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:18.494 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.509$ to give you a drug to put these

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:20.509 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.794$ patients on clinical trials because

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00{:}08{:}21.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23.449$ you don't have measurable disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00{:}08{:}23.450 --> 00{:}08{:}24.626$ So how do you know if your

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

00:08:24.626 --> 00:08:25.450 drug is working or not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:25.450 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.850$ And that's the biggest challenge we all face.

 $00:08:27.850 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.432$ And in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00{:}08{:}28.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30.495$ this is one of the papers that one of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:30.495 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.014$ fellows had looked at many years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:32.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.780$ In which we saw that for colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.394$ there were 46,000 patients at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:35.394 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.076$ time point who had been enrolled

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:37.076 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.720$ in clinical trials of which only

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:38.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.547$ 600 had some version of peritoneal

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:40.547 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.377$ disease and there was no outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.812$ reported for these folks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:43.812 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.580$ So a very excluded population of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

00:08:46.580 --> 00:08:48.938 a very big population of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00{:}08{:}48.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51.298$ but excluded from clinical trials and

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:51.298 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.168$ excluded from from a lot of treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

00:08:54.170 --> 00:08:55.778 And the problem then becomes those

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:08:55.778 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.482$ that do get enrolled on clinical

 $00{:}08{:}57.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59.210$ trials or those that have wide spread

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

00:08:59.210 --> 00:09:01.010 disease or very measurable disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:09:01.010 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.170$ they have big tumors, lumpy tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:09:03.170 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.584$ And so we look at these graphs

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:09:04.584 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.730$ and we're very nihilistic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

00:09:05.730 --> 00:09:07.770 We're like, ah, pertinent metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136 00:09:07.770 --> 00:09:07.975 It's, NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

00:09:07.975 --> 00:09:08.385 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00{:}09{:}08.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}09.410$ not something that we would

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00{:}09{:}09.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.694$ take care of and these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.893257136

 $00:09:10.694 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.729$ should just go to Hospice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:11.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.818$ And I think palliative care is very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00{:}09{:}13.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.849$ in the management of these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:15.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.426$ but but just being very nihilistic about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:18.426 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.088$ disease is not fair to these patients either.

 $00:09:21.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.530$ And in fact, so much so that almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:22.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.880$ five or six years ago, in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

00:09:23.880 --> 00:09:25.650 when I started and when I had that graphic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:25.650 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.960$ none of the surgical textbooks had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.610$ chapter about peritoneal metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:29.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.340$ It's remarkable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:30.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.050$ Now we do have many chapters because

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:33.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.650$ of our constant advocacy work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00{:}09{:}35.650 --> 00{:}09{:}36.570$ And then finally, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.341$ when you think about sort of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00{:}09{:}38.341 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}39.610$ nihilism around peritoneal metastasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:39.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.842$ the question is why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:40.842 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.382$ Why are these patients dying?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

00:09:42.390 --> 00:09:43.670 Are they dying of cancer, cataxia?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:43.670 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.630$ Do they die because these patients have

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:45.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.186$ this sort of overwhelming interleukin

 $00:09:47.186 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.070$ response that they can't eat or

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:49.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.786$ drink and they kind of waste away?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.596$ Is this a catabolic phenomena like

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:52.596 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.070$ that or the are they just dying

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:55.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.070$ because they have bowel obstructions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:09:57.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.054$ It's like if someone had renal failure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

00:09:59.054 --> 00:10:01.228 you don't put them on dialysis and they die,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

00:10:01.230 --> 00:10:01.788 you wouldn't say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

00:10:01.788 --> 00:10:02.852 Oh my God, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00{:}10{:}02.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04.600$ renal failure is such a horrible problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:10:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.315$ it it is a horrible problem because

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:10:06.315 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.830$ you don't have treatment for it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00{:}10{:}07.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10.126$ but if someone has a bowel obstruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00{:}10{:}10.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}12.560$ and and you are unable to fix it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

00:10:12.560 --> 00:10:14.520 You know is that is that truly

 $00:10:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.499$ more the nature of the disease or

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00{:}10{:}16.499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18.600$ is it the biology of these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:10:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.651$ And so one of my colleagues at

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

00:10:20.651 --> 00:10:22.080 the University of Chicago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

00:10:22.080 --> 00:10:22.650 Ralph Wexselbaum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00{:}10{:}22.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.308$ who's one of the the world leaders in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:10:25.308 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.234$ the thought process of oligo metastasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:10:27.234 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.398$ actually coined the term oligo metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:10:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.514$ And when you look at sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:10:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.650$ colorectal and this is colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00{:}10{:}33.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.354$ metastasis with liver tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:10:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.900$ there's a completely differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

00:10:36.900 --> 00:10:38.440 expression of micro RNAs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:10:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.220$ There's very different profiles and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

00:10:40.220 --> 00:10:41.928 And they published a lot of subsequent

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:10:41.928 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.466$ work looking at immune rich profiles

 $00:10:43.466 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.972$ which seem to do really well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:10:44.980 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.378$ These patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:10:45.378 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.970$ If you look at the X axis on

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:10:47.023 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.338$ the survival curve over here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:10:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.588$ it's 10 plus years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:10:49.588 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.860$ almost 15 years and you have about

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

00:10:51.860 --> 00:10:54.506 40-40 to 60\% of patients actually living

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:10:54.506 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.159$ that long when you have this sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00{:}10{:}58.159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00.708$ appropriate expression of of your tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:11:00.708 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.586$ And and this is one of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:11:02.586 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.452$ experiments where you know in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:11:04.452 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.228$ specific case they looked at micro

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00{:}11{:}06.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07.916$ RNA200C and you basically have vial

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00{:}11{:}07.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09.630$ type versus those that express it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

00:11:09.630 --> 00:11:12.078 And of course you can see an oligo

00:11:12.078 --> 00:11:13.508 metastatic phenotype which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

00:11:13.508 --> 00:11:15.028 eligible for surgical therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

00:11:15.030 --> 00:11:16.548 radiation or ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:11:16.548 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.078$ ablative therapies versus those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:11:19.078 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.038$ that have Poly metastatic phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

00:11:22.040 --> 00:11:24.875 And and similarly when you when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:11:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.490$ essentially reduce the expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

00:11:26.490 --> 00:11:28.424 these micro RNAs you can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:11:28.424 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.576$ these are these are rat livers and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

00:11:30.576 --> 00:11:32.449 they kind of look funky but you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:11:32.449 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.440$ see sort of some of these will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:11:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.760$ oligometastatic disease some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:11:35.809 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.237$ will have polymetastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00{:}11{:}37.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39.460$ So clearly there is a differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00:11:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.799$ phenotype of patients that can be cured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939974802142857

 $00{:}11{:}41.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}43.735$ So not all stage 4 cancer is the same

 $00:11:43.735 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.889$ is is sort of where I I I would try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:11:45.948 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.213$ say these three slides or

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:11:47.213 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.250$ what what I wanted to convey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:11:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.140$ And so you know, how do we as surgeons

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:11:52.140 --> 00:11:53.288 approach a problem like this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:11:53.290 --> 00:11:55.117 You know, very often we would see

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:11:55.117 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.450$ patients with peritoneal metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:11:56.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.170$ So you can see the livers down here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:11:58.170 --> 00:11:59.784 it's a large amount of peritoneal

NOTE Confidence: 0.92557447666666

 $00:11:59.784 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.569$ metastasis is the phals form ligament.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:12:01.570 --> 00:12:02.818 And very often surgeons would come

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:12:02.818 --> 00:12:04.592 out of these cases saying, oh gosh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00{:}12{:}04.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.769$ we cannot do anything for these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00{:}12{:}06.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.390$ But we've subsequently developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:08.390 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.605$ techniques called peritonectomies.

00:12:09.610 --> 00:12:11.164 I tell patients it's like peeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:12:11.164 --> 00:12:12.530 the wall paper off the walls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:12.530 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.602$ So essentially you're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:12:13.602 --> 00:12:14.406 destroying the walls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:14.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.900$ but you're actually taking disease out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:16.900 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.686$ And so here you can sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:17.686 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.619$ of see what it looks like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:12:18.620 --> 00:12:20.840 it's that Saran wrap which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:12:20.840 --> 00:12:22.320 underneath our our instruments

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:22.386 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.300$ right here and the same patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00{:}12{:}24.300 \longrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.406$ you can actually strip or clean

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:26.406 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.180$ out that entire peritoneal layer

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:28.180 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.400$ by keeping an intact peritoneal

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.198$ SAC so that you can actually remove

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:31.198 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.776$ all of this in its entirety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.265$ So it is something that that is

00:12:35.265 --> 00:12:37.180 interesting and surgically would

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.448$ become more more aggressive at it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:39.448 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.690$ But right now this is a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:12:41.690 --> 00:12:43.209 you know it is an aggressive approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.533$ You know you can see this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:44.533 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.530$ a big laparotomy incision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:12:45.530 --> 00:12:47.366 The head of the patient is on one side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:47.370 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.570$ the feeder on the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:12:48.570 --> 00:12:50.845 And after we remove all this cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:50.850 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.158$ we put heated intrapartinial

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:12:52.158 --> 00:12:54.120 chemotherapy and the concept is why

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:54.178 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.969$ heated intrapartinial chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00{:}12{:}55.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58.426$ The the concept is that you know you

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:12:58.426 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.061$ have application of chemotherapy at

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:13:00.061 --> 00:13:01.975 high doses which has low toxicity

00:13:01.975 --> 00:13:04.208 to systemic absorption is very low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:13:04.210 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.085$ you can actually enhance the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:13:06.085 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.585$ penetration of the drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:13:07.590 --> 00:13:09.746 You know these tumors are very hypovascular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:13:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.556$ so you can kind of enhance the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:13:11.556 --> 00:13:12.696 vascularity during that period

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:13:12.696 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.629$ of the application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:13:13.630 --> 00:13:14.602 But again it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:13:14.602 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.546$ it's it's a little bit also

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:13:16.546 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.988$ controversial because you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:13:17.988 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.748$ how does one application of

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00{:}13{:}19.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}21.212$ chemotherapy work so effectively

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:13:21.212 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.600$ versus multiple applications that

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:13:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.070$ we do in the systemic setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:13:26.070 --> 00:13:28.462 And clearly you know now we do these

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:13:28.462 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.059$ laparoscopically as well in selected

00:13:30.059 --> 00:13:31.943 patients when we find disease early,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:13:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.725$ so we can deliver heated

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:13:33.725 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.790$ chemotherapy that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:13:34.790 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.582$ And then also now what is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:13:36.582 --> 00:13:39.114 is very hot in Europe and Asia

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:13:39.114 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.392$ is intrapertiaal aerosolized

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:13:40.392 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.419$ chemotherapy where you can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00{:}13{:}42.419 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}44.465$ distribute the drug a lot better

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:13:44.465 --> 00:13:46.179 across the entire pertinal cavity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:13:46.179 --> 00:13:48.580 This is called pipec and over 10,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:13:48.639 --> 00:13:50.279 procedures have already been done

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:13:50.279 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.709$ in the world for these technologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00{:}13{:}53.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55.852$ And as you would imagine a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:13:55.852 --> 00:13:57.606 of these patients require very

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:13:57.606 --> 00:13:59.830 close management as as a team,

 $00:13:59.830 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.110$ the team that consists of physicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00{:}14{:}02.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.138$ Which consists of nurses and dietitians

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:14:04.138 --> 00:14:06.376 and program and only when you do

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:14:06.376 --> 00:14:08.308 that you're able to achieve you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:14:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.784$ good outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:14:08.784 --> 00:14:10.990 And so this is sort of where you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:14:10.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.802$ we were before I left the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:14:12.802 --> 00:14:13.708 University of Chicago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:14:13.710 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.545$ we did about 180 procedures a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00:14:16.550 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.368$ We were able to reduce the length of stay

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00{:}14{:}18.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20.146$ for patients from 10 days to six days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

00:14:20.150 --> 00:14:21.686 the benchmark programs being

NOTE Confidence: 0.925574476666666

 $00{:}14{:}21.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}23.990$ MD Anderson and Wake Forest and

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:14:24.054 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.590$ readmission rates of 8%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:14:25.590 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.480$ So it it took a lot of effort for

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:14:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.560$ us to bring this program together.

 $00:14:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.320$ For patients that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

00:14:31.320 --> 00:14:32.640 had pertinum metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:14:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.116$ but the biggest question is well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:14:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.800$ is it, is it helping these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:14:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.900$ are they living longer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:14:36.900 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.994$ Is it worthwhile to do these aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:14:38.994 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.208$ approaches for these folks and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:14:41.208 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.152$ is what happens when patients get

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:14:43.152 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.137$ selected patients with good performance

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

00:14:45.137 --> 00:14:46.837 status get systemic chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:14:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.815$ that's the reference

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:14:47.815 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.115$ survival data right here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:14:49.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.530$ And then of course those that

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

00:14:50.530 --> 00:14:51.235 had cytoreductive surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

00:14:51.240 --> 00:14:53.016 this was our own data for

 $00:14:53.016 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.200$ how these patients did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

00:14:54.200 --> 00:14:56.195 Only about 20% of our high grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:14:56.195 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.039$ patients live 10 years or longer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:14:58.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.632$ So if you look and remember the graph

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:14:59.632 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.386$ that I showed earlier for those that

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:15:01.386 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.054$ enrolled in NCT and clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:15:03.054 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.599$ that were good performance status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

00:15:04.600 --> 00:15:05.980 patients got systemic chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:15:05.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.440$ No one lived more than five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:15:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.099$ So you do have the select population

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00{:}15{:}10.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11.440$ of patients that you can help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:15:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.240$ But the question is where do we go from here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:15:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.800$ How do we make this better?

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:15:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.144$ And this is really where I think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:15:17.144 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.400$ important for all of us to think about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:15:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.340$ So the first question we

 $00:15:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.280$ want to ask ourselves is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:15:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.180$ can you actually prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

00:15:23.180 --> 00:15:24.130 peritoneal metastasis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

00:15:24.130 --> 00:15:25.890 And I'll show you some science behind this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:15:25.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.635$ but something that is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:15:27.635 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.380$ interesting is that a recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00:15:29.444 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.472$ trial that was just looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00{:}15{:}31.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.675$ patients that had T4 colon cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943723035714286

 $00{:}15{:}33.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.650$ nothing has spread outside and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00{:}15{:}58.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}00.275$ On that patients actually have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9247715711111111

 $00{:}16{:}00.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01.535$ better local regional control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:01.540 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.975$ If you apply intrapertiaal chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00{:}16{:}02.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.367$ at the time of a primary cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:05.367 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.175$ resection without pertinal metastasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

00:16:07.180 --> 00:16:08.800 can you actually,

 $00:16:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.856$ can you actually reduce that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00{:}16{:}10.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.382$ And so if you think about it

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:12.382 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.859$ and this is something I will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00{:}16{:}13.860 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}16{:}15.348 {\:{\mbox{I}}}$ will tell you is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:15.348 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.092$ very interesting science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:16.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.360$ And so this is science that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.663$ done by one of my colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

00:16:18.663 --> 00:16:19.863 at the University of Chicago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00{:}16{:}19.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21.010$ Where we're thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:21.010 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.865$ the intestinal microbiome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9247715711111111

 $00{:}16{:}21.870 \longrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23.522$ I think many of you might might

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:23.522 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.882$ have heard about the important

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:24.882 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.682$ role of the microbiome and thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:26.682 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.361$ about carcinogenesis as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:28.361 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.189$ as development of metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:30.190 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.409$ And clearly in a Peri operative event

 $00:16:32.409 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.230$ we change the microbiome of the intestines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:35.230 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.694$ And so the hypothesis for their

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00{:}16{:}36.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38.139$ experiments were to look at what

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

00:16:38.139 --> 00:16:39.630 happened if you took a Western diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.358$ So essentially the experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:41.358 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.006$ were in in in mice you basically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:45.010 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.183$ Resected the colon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

00:16:46.183 --> 00:16:48.529 put colon cancer cells inside it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:48.530 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.330$ and the mice were either fed a vestrin diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:50.330 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.678$ they were fed chow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9247715711111111

00:16:51.678 --> 00:16:53.363 or they were given antibiotics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:53.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.422$ And then you gave them a collagenolytic

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00{:}16{:}56.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57.730$ bacteria called ephycallus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.725$ with the hypothesis that collagenolytic

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:16:59.725 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.090$ bacteria cause increase in astomatic leaks.

 $00:17:02.090 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.290$ So this is their work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:03.290 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.670$ This has been their life's work

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:04.670 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.241$ on this and it's remarkable and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:06.241 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.731$ there's lots of experiment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:07.731 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.249$ support that it causes this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.470$ But what was interesting to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:11.470 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.066$ Is when you actually look at this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:13.070 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.770$ these anastomosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9247715711111111

 $00:17:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.870$ So once you've cut the mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

00:17:15.870 --> 00:17:18.278 you put them back together and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9247715711111111

 $00{:}17{:}18.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19.630$ inject collage nolytic bacteria and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:19.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.742$ then you put colon cancer cells in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:21.750 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.899$ All the all the tumors that developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9247715711111111

 $00:17:23.899 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.988$ were on the serosal surface and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:25.988 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.828$ not on the mucosal surface.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.585$ So all of them came on the serosal surface.

 $00{:}17{:}29.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31.620$ A lot of these mice ended up

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.220$ dying of peritoneal metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:33.220 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.188$ It's a very interesting credence to

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:35.188 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.183$ the theory that perhaps there may

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:37.183 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.049$ be a microbial alteration that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

00:17:39.049 --> 00:17:41.047 occurring in these in these primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

00:17:41.047 --> 00:17:43.015 cancer resections that is leading to

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:43.020 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.220$ these patients getting peritoneal metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:45.220 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.004$ And what is very funny is that one

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00{:}17{:}47.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.042$ of our colleagues in Belgium said

NOTE Confidence: 0.9247715711111111

00:17:49.042 --> 00:17:51.334 maybe the reason mitomycin which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:51.334 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.480$ our intraperitoneal chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00{:}17{:}52.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54.577$ works is that it is also an antibiotic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:17:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.900$ And again it's not been proven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

00:17:55.900 --> 00:17:57.844 but it's just a very thought

 $00:17:57.844 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.560$ provoking way of thinking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

00:17:59.560 --> 00:18:02.045 Where the microbiome lies as we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

00:18:02.045 --> 00:18:04.639 about why patients get hurt in metastasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:18:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.956$ but if we can find these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:18:05.956 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.295$ and we can actually detect them

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:18:07.295 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.753$ early and we can treat them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:18:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.118$ these patients beat the survival curves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:18:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.104$ So this is the survival of patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

00:18:13.104 --> 00:18:15.358 if they were found early and had surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

00:18:15.360 --> 00:18:17.394 you can look at the X axis is five

NOTE Confidence: 0.9247715711111111

 $00{:}18{:}17.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19.280$ years and you can see that 90% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:18:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.960$ these patients are alive at five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:18:20.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.091$ So really a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9247715711111111

 $00{:}18{:}22.091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24.353$ can you prevent them and B,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:18:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.860$ can you find them if they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:18:25.860 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.490$ very early and then treat them.

 $00:18:27.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.107$ That is sort of really where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00{:}18{:}29.107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}30.926$ need to move the needle and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.924771571111111

 $00:18:30.926 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.534$ really where I would love for

NOTE Confidence: 0.924772154399999

 $00:18:32.587 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.808$ us to think about it, about it together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924772154399999

 $00:18:35.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.210$ And so the problem is conventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.924772154399999

 $00:18:38.210 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.010$ cross-sectional imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924772154399999

00:18:39.010 --> 00:18:41.811 So this is a CT scan on a coronal view of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.924772154399999

00:18:41.811 --> 00:18:43.450 patient and on a cross-sectional imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924772154399999

 $00:18:43.450 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.910$ the peritoneum is incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.924772154399999

 $00:18:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.005$ difficult to image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924772154399999

00:18:46.010 --> 00:18:47.486 So the imaging of the peritoneum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924772154399999

 $00:18:47.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.560$ if you can see my cursor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924772154399999

 $00{:}18{:}49.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}52.881$ which you cannot, is actually this

NOTE Confidence: 0.924772154399999

 $00:18:52.881 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.230$ line that kind of goes along the colon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924772154399999

 $00:18:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.870$ It's this sort of little little fun time

00:18:58.070 --> 00:19:00.386 stuff here. This stuff right there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962453333333

 $00{:}19{:}00.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02.390$ that's the first name right there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:19:02.390 --> 00:19:04.289 And so it is, it is very difficult for

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:04.289 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.923$ us to believe that our radiologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:05.923 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.538$ are going to be able to tell us that

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:19:08.538 --> 00:19:10.028 a patient has peritoneal metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:10.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.750$ It is just not feasible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:19:11.750 --> 00:19:13.250 You can certainly tell if someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00{:}19{:}13.250 --> 00{:}19{:}14.470$ has liver metastasis or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:19:14.470 --> 00:19:16.132 but it's very difficult to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00{:}19{:}16.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17.550$ if they have peritoneal meds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:17.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.798$ And so we've played with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:19:18.798 --> 00:19:19.422 along with many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00{:}19{:}19.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21.510$ many other groups and there's a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.108$ radiomics work that folks have done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:19:23.110 --> 00:19:25.150 We've done our own radiomics work.

 $00:19:25.150 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.690$ We did some work with our physics

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:26.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.096$ group at the University of Chicago

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:28.096 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.025$ and try to kind of pick up better

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:30.025 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.627$ ways of looking at the pertinum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:31.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.310$ You can look at panel B,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:33.310 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.822$ you can sort of see how you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:19:34.822 --> 00:19:36.171 actually enhance the pertinum better by

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:19:36.171 --> 00:19:37.563 kind of playing around with contrast

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:37.610 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.026$ agents and how do you give it later?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.134$ How do you give it earlier and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:41.134 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.279$ does that kind of make a difference?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.360$ I think the other thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:19:44.360 --> 00:19:45.080 we've very been very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:45.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.408$ very interested in is

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:19:47.408 --> 00:19:49.460 study of circulating DNA,

 $00:19:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.260$ whether it's cell free or whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:19:51.260 --> 00:19:52.474 it's circulating tumor DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:52.474 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.358$ And clearly we know as surgeons

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:54.358 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.040$ that it's very prognostic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:56.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.531$ What do we do with that information

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:57.531 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.622$ is still something we're all

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:19:58.622 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.438$ trying to figure out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:19:59.440 --> 00:20:00.760 But we know that if they're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:20:00.760 --> 00:20:02.391 if they don't have cell free DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:20:02.391 --> 00:20:04.003 prior to surgery and you operate or

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00{:}20{:}04.003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05.914$ at least vary in cell free DNA before

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:20:05.914 --> 00:20:07.916 surgery you operate and they stay negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:20:07.920 --> 00:20:09.360 These patients will do really well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:20:09.360 --> 00:20:11.496 whether it's GI cancers of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:20:11.496 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.669$ types or other types of cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:20:13.670 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.478$ And so this is some of our work

00:20:15.478 --> 00:20:17.395 that just got published as well and

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00{:}20{:}17.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}18.865$ was one of the plenary sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:20:18.870 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.550$ Some of you have heard this before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:20:20.550 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.174$ But really what we did was we took

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:20:22.174 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.748$ patients who had peritoneum metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:20:23.750 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.398$ We did surgery for these folks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:20:26.398 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.886$ then we studied them and followed

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:20:27.886 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.673$ them at CTDNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:20:28.673 --> 00:20:30.654 We said can we actually figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:20:30.654 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.558$ a better way of identifying these

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:20:32.558 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.750$ tumors early and the answer was yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:20:34.750 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.950$ CTDNA did work for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00{:}20{:}35.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38.106$ This is a small sample size with

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:20:38.106 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.030$ with numerous assessments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:20:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.462$ It's not 100% sensitive.

 $00:20:40.462 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.316$ It was only about 90% sensitive in in this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:20:43.316 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.551$ in this cohort and it it did have

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:20:45.551 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.735$ a false negative rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:20:46.740 --> 00:20:49.530 So patients who did have undetectable CTDNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:20:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.420 1/5$ of them still had peritoneal metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:20:51.420 --> 00:20:53.412 And in fact if you look at cohorts

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:20:53.412 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.660$ of different technologies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:20:54.660 --> 00:20:57.060 many times you have florid peritoneal

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00{:}20{:}57.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59.592$ disease and they shed almost no CTDNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00{:}20{:}59.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}01.356$ In fact one of our research fellows

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00{:}21{:}01.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}03.180$ were run in the back has has just

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:21:03.180 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.780$ submitted an abstract where if

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00{:}21{:}04.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}07.032$ you have a single solitary liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:21:07.032 --> 00:21:08.996 metastasis your CTDNA is super high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:21:08.996 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.118$ But if you have a full abdomen

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:21:11.118 --> 00:21:12.990 full of peritoneal metastases,

 $00:21:12.990 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.803$ you have almost no CTDNA in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:21:14.803 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.907$ range of like point some MTM per ML.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

00:21:16.910 --> 00:21:18.996 So it's a it's a remarkable phenomenon

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:21:18.996 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.717$ that the burden of tumors this

NOTE Confidence: 0.939212499655173

 $00:21:20.717 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.495$ is almost the same or even many

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:21:22.556 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.310$ fold more, but it doesn't shed it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:21:24.310 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.750$ It gives credence to the belief that maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:21:26.750 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.709$ local regional treatments like surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00{:}21{:}28.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30.134$ intravertinal chemotherapy may have

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00{:}21{:}30.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33.225$ a role in in these sort of metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:21:33.225 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.018$ settings and then as expected

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

00:21:35.018 --> 00:21:37.570 if they shed DNA they do worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

00:21:37.570 --> 00:21:38.810 If they don't shed DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:21:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.427$ they do a lot better and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:21:40.427 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.968$ sort of what we saw in this.

 $00:21:41.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.944$ And the biggest question was we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00{:}21{:}43.944 \to 00{:}21{:}45.663$ these patients you know three months

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:21:45.663 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.567$ before they showed up on scans and

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:21:47.619 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.446$ you know ceas and things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:21:49.450 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.058$ But really the bigger question is

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:21:51.058 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.159$ what are we going to do with that

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00{:}21{:}53.159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54.611$ information and how do we make

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:21:54.669 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.249$ it practical for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

00:21:56.250 --> 00:21:58.322 And so you know some of our research

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

00:21:58.322 --> 00:22:00.979 has been focused a lot on looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:00.979 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.742$ epigenetic modifications and why this

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:02.742 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.668$ is important is because right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

00:22:04.670 --> 00:22:06.518 We need a large amount of DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

00:22:06.518 --> 00:22:07.790 to actually do CT DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:07.790 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.788$ to do other types of cfdna.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:09.790 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.550$ And so the question is,

00:22:10.550 --> 00:22:12.454 can we actually extract DNA at very

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:12.454 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.905$ low levels without the bisulphite

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:13.905 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.365$ conversion so that it doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:15.365 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.299$ destroy a lot of the DNA in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:17.299 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.635$ blood and then look at alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:18.635 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.910$ in a cheap sort of reproducible way?

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.758$ And so this is where we work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

00:22:22.758 --> 00:22:24.764 one of our chemists, Schwan hey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00{:}22{:}24.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.966$ who actually came and gave chemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00{:}22{:}26.966 \to 00{:}22{:}29.030$ grand rounds not long ago at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:29.030 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.866$ And a phenomenal colleague and collaborator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:30.870 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.490$ but you know basically looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00{:}22{:}32.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35.016$ 5 HMC and now we're looking at 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:35.016 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.706$ MC modifications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:35.710 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.762$ So we have different sorts of

00:22:37.762 --> 00:22:39.536 modification profiles and his

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

00:22:39.536 --> 00:22:42.094 lab has already shown and this is I

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:42.094 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.424$ think published in cellular science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:43.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.950$ But looking at sort of the five

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:45.950 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.492$ HMC distributions of patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

00:22:47.492 --> 00:22:48.862 you know essentially with with

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:48.862 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.804$ this you can identify patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:50.804 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.148$ have cancer versus controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:52.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.558$ you can look at adenomas versus controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.050$ Adenomas versus cancer and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:56.050 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.966$ what we found was peritoneal disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:57.966 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.438$ versus no peritoneal disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:22:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.600$ We also had understanding of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00{:}23{:}01.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03.040$ mechanistic underpinnings of peritoneal

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

00:23:03.090 --> 00:23:05.010 metastasis and you know I've identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00{:}23{:}05.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06.650$ some epithelial meas and camel

00:23:06.650 --> 00:23:07.890 transition markers that potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00{:}23{:}07.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10.786$ could be part of our signature to

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00{:}23{:}10.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}13.478$ identify per itoneal disease better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:23:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.956$ So switching gears a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

00:23:14.960 --> 00:23:17.678 you know So what I what I hope I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:23:17.678 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.786$ emphasized in this first few minutes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:23:19.786 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.459$ my talk is that peritoneal metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:23:22.460 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.458$ Maybe a heterogeneous group of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:23:24.460 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.497$ There may be population of patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:23:26.497 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.658$ are treatable with local regional therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00{:}23{:}28.660 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}23{:}30.508$ We struggle to figure out how

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

00:23:30.508 --> 00:23:31.740 to identify these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:23:31.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.700$ whether it's with cross-sectional imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91018338090909100:23:33.700 --> 00:23:34.268 radio omics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:23:34.268 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.972$ that type of work or whether

00:23:35.972 --> 00:23:37.660 it's with cell free DNA work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00{:}23{:}37.660 \rightarrow 00{:}23{:}38.893$ although there's promising

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:23:38.893 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.948$ avenues in both of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:23:40.950 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.741$ So the question comes to how can you actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

00:23:42.741 --> 00:23:44.147 think about treating these patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:23:44.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.627$ Are there things we can do differently?

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091 00:23:45.630 --> 00:23:46.104 In clinic, NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:23:46.104 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.526$ we often see patients coming and

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

00:23:47.526 --> 00:23:48.785 saying I stopped having sugar

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

00:23:48.785 --> 00:23:50.507 because I was told I have cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00{:}23{:}50.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52.596$ I've told sugar feeds these cancers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:23:52.596 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.349$ really what happens to these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910183380909091

 $00:23:54.350 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.465$ We believe that these tumors are hypoxic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:23:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.997$ We believe that the peritoneum has very

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:23:59.997 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.013$ little vasculature as compared to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:02.013 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.417$ the liver and other sort of solid organs

 $00:24:04.417 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.910$ like the lungs and we all know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:07.910 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.085$ You know tumors as they

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00{:}24{:}10.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11.390$ develop metastatic potential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:24:11.390 --> 00:24:14.510 they rely more on anaerobic pathways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:14.510 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.258$ but they also still have location

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:24:17.258 --> 00:24:19.090 specific metabolic needs specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:19.162 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.229$ around oxidated phosphorylation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:21.230 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.150$ And so the question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:22.150 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.010$ is where are these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:24.010 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.870$ getting their their fuel from?

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:24:25.870 --> 00:24:28.236 So again can you interrupt this field?

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.456$ And so we did a couple of trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:24:29.456 --> 00:24:30.439 with one of my colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.040$ Ernst Langel over there where

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:32.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.057$ we gave patients sort of tracer

 $00{:}24{:}34.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36.157$ labeled glucose and kind of studied

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:24:36.157 --> 00:24:38.383 these tumors and and clearly they

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:24:38.383 --> 00:24:40.238 go along more anaerobic pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:24:40.240 --> 00:24:42.659 You see a lot more lactate in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:42.659 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.570$ in these tumors and they kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:44.626 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.518$ use different metabolic substrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:46.520 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.356$ as they're kind of getting it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.720$ But what was very interesting is the omentum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:50.720 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.155$ which is the commonest site

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:53.155 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.616$ of peritoneum metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.584$ And we don't know why it does

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:24:56.584 --> 00:24:58.558 have a very rich source of fuel

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:24:58.558 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.377$ with it which is adipocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:00.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.858$ And and in these experiments what

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:25:02.858 --> 00:25:04.964 what basically Ernst Group showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:04.964 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.486$ was that when you actually control

 $00:25:07.486 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.686$ for Fab BP4 which is which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:11.686 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.818$ associated integrally with adipocytes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:13.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.568$ you can actually reduce the amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:16.568 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.679$ of in vivo metastasis in in mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:19.679 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.073$ and so essentially it is somehow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94486599166666600:25:22.080 --> 00:25:22.668 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:22.668 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.432$ lending critics to the theory that

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00{:}25{:}24.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.251$ the momentum and the adipocytes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:26.251 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.015$ are in the momentum are providing

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:28.070 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.094$ fuel as opposed to a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:30.094 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.292$ vasculature which provides fuel

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:31.292 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.676$ to these pertinent metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00{:}25{:}32.680 \to 00{:}25{:}34.224$ Very interesting preliminary work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:34.224 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.292$ It's again not meant for you know like

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:25:37.292 --> 00:25:39.679 inpatient in in patient care right away,

 $00:25:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.612$ but I think very interesting for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00{:}25{:}41.612 \longrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44.128$ to think about how do we take care of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:44.128 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.244$ these patients and perhaps how do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:46.244 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.869$ think about alteration of adipocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:47.870 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.046$ And and the other thing we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00{:}25{:}49.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50.507$ been very interested in is how do

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:25:50.507 --> 00:25:51.562 we actually enhance the effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:51.562 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.510$ of intrapertial chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:52.510 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.508$ how do we leverage this to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.064$ to enhance the care of these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:25:56.070 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.622$ So these are patient panels where we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:25:58.622 --> 00:26:01.147 patients with high grade unresectable tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:26:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.105$ where we did multiple applications

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:26:03.105 --> 00:26:04.669 of intrapertial chemotherapy only,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:26:04.670 --> 00:26:07.766 no surgery and we actually almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00{:}26{:}07.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09.314$ developed complete pathological

00:26:09.314 --> 00:26:11.642 responses as you can see in panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:26:11.642 --> 00:26:13.546 C for these patients that had very

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:26:13.546 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.519$ high grade disease that we would not

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:26:15.519 --> 00:26:16.944 have routinely offered surgery for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:26:16.950 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.630$ And they lived exactly the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:26:18.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.928$ as those that we did open big

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:26:20.928 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.788$ cytoreductive surgeries and hypex for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00{:}26{:}22.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24.638$ But what was more interesting was that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:26:24.638 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.296$ lot of these tumors actually developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:26:26.296 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.310$ and I don't have that data here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:26:28.310 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.550$ but they all had alterations in their P,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:26:31.550 --> 00:26:32.702 DL1 expression, their C,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00{:}26{:}32.702 --> 00{:}26{:}32.990 \ \mathrm{PS:},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:26:32.990 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.230$ scores to the to the factor where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:26:35.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.232$ have now a clinical trial for adding

 $00:26:38.232 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.128$ an intravertinal chemotherapy plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:26:40.128 --> 00:26:41.839 immunotherapy for these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:26:41.839 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.789$ that are otherwise cold tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:26:43.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.530$ These are incredibly cold tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

00:26:45.530 --> 00:26:47.049 If you look at the TCGA Atlas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666

 $00:26:47.050 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.129$ a lot of these GI tumors actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.944865991666666 00:26:49.129 --> 00:26:50.020 have a lot NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:26:50.091 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.923$ of you know sort of hot immune signatures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:26:52.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.234$ But when you actually go to giving these

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:26:55.234 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.273$ folks checkpoint inhibition or do any

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00{:}26{:}57.273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58.685$ sort of conventional immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:26:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.706$ they don't respond as well unless they're MSI

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:00.706 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.927$ high or they have specific characteristics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00{:}27{:}02.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05.749$ And so with intrapartinal chemotherapy we

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:05.749 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.141$ believe that you can actually change some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:08.141 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.990$ the the immune profile of these tumors.

 $00:27:11.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.949$ And so I think in the last,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:12.950 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.900$ you know maybe 5 or 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:14.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.030$ minutes of this of my talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

00:27:17.030 --> 00:27:19.028 You know I just wanted to tell you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:19.028 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.204$ there are numerous unanswered questions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:21.204 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.104$ the management of peritoneal metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

00:27:23.110 --> 00:27:24.993 Numerous I will tell you that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:24.993 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.234$ don't even know the basics of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

00:27:27.234 --> 00:27:28.949 immune environment of the peritoneum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:28.950 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.388$ It's fascinating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

00:27:29.388 --> 00:27:30.702 I was talking to Steve Rosenberg

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:30.702 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.630$ once and I asked him,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00{:}27{:}31.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33.184$ I said do you understand the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:33.184 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.304$ environment of the peritoneum and

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:34.304 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.944$ and the bottom line is for for some

00:27:35.994 --> 00:27:37.268 you know many of the labs many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00{:}27{:}37.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.355$ many animal models look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:39.355 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.189$ intrapertinal tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:40.190 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.325$ But we actually don't understand what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:42.325 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.149$ native immune environment of the pertinum is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:44.150 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.625$ How is T cell trafficking

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:45.625 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.510$ happening over there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

00:27:46.510 --> 00:27:48.071 What is the repertoire of T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00{:}27{:}48.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49.508$ that are present in the pertinum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.834$ We understand what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

00:27:50.834 --> 00:27:51.827 when there's peritonitis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:51.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.405$ We certainly know that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:53.405 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.350$ someone has inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:54.350 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.789$ what happens to these tumors and how do they,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.710$ what happens to the diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:27:58.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.990$ and the inflammatory processes.

 $00:27:59.990 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.390$ But we don't actually understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.805$ what happens to these clinically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00{:}28{:}02.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04.870$ How we see this is many times

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:04.870 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.628$ our patients are dying because

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00{:}28{:}06.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08.100$ of the inflammatory response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.310$ They die of bowel obstructions

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.520$ because the tumors create the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:10.566 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.940$ significant inflammatory response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00{:}28{:}11.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13.515$ it causes medenteric fibrosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00{:}28{:}13.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}15.920$ then we're unable to fix these bowel

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.860$ obstructions that these patients have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:17.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.978$ And so we don't understand this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:19.980 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.312$ The other work that is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:21.312 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.025$ interesting is that we all know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:23.025 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.573$ the vent beta ketenin pathways are

 $00:28:24.573 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.404$ activated in a lot of these GI tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00{:}28{:}26.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27.368$ that cause per itoneum metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:27.368 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.524$ But what we have also seen is

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:29.524 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.779$ the conventional bad actors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.830$ the B RAF mutant tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:32.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.702$ They don't do as poorly when

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:34.702 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.950$ they have peritoneal metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:35.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.386$ They actually do almost exactly the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

00:28:38.390 --> 00:28:40.287 And indeed it's the big three CA

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:40.287 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.904$ pathways that are mutated that

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:41.904 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.388$ seem to predict differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00{:}28{:}43.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.224$ So they do differently based on sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:45.224 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.029$ of what they're signaling pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:47.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.030$ And we don't understand that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:48.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.150$ We don't know why that is the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:50.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.182$ And then finally,

 $00:28:51.182 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.246$ there's a lot of science about

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:53.246 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.516$ pharmacokinetics of drugs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:54.516 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.389$ novel drug delivery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:55.390 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.917$ We know that if you give someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:28:57.917 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.257$ systemic chemotherapy by the time it

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:29:00.257 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.237$ crosses the plasma peritoneal barrier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:29:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.900$ The concentration of the drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00{:}29{:}03.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}05.560$ depending on the molecular size

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:29:05.617 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.354$ of it is one by two to the 10th,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:29:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.312$ so 1 by 1000 and 24th of the serum

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:29:10.312 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.360$ concentration of this chemotherapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00:29:12.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.236$ and and that is a remarkably low

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00{:}29{:}14.236 \to 00{:}29{:}15.448$ dose of systemic chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

00:29:15.448 --> 00:29:17.554 when it comes to the peritoneum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

00:29:17.560 --> 00:29:19.186 The question is how do you

00:29:19.186 --> 00:29:19.999 alter that pharmacokinetics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

 $00{:}29{:}20.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.185$ How do you actually change

NOTE Confidence: 0.931922793888889

00:29:21.185 --> 00:29:22.370 that such that your drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:29:22.424 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.054$ substrate substrates are able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:29:24.054 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.358$ actually enter the peritoneum?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:29:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.160$ And how do you think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:29:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.760$ the pharmacokinetics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

00:29:27.760 --> 00:29:30.478 I'm just, I just have two like sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00{:}29{:}30.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.055$ quick slides for for folks to look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:29:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.236$ And this is the work that was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00{:}29{:}34.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}35.459$ done by one of our medical students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:29:35.460 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.615$ All the work that I've shown today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

00:29:36.620 --> 00:29:38.510 most of it has been done by either our

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:29:38.510 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.378$ lab or one of our collaborator labs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:29:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.682$ And and it's all been driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00{:}29{:}41.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43.132$ by medical students, residents,

 $00:29:43.132 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.300$ undergraduate research students, fellows.

 $\begin{aligned} &\text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.922453161052632\\ &00:29:46.300 --> 00:29:47.684 \text{ And so I, I,} \end{aligned}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

00:29:47.684 --> 00:29:50.412 we truly have been very hungry for for young,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

00:29:50.412 --> 00:29:52.157 you know smart minds to come work

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00{:}29{:}52.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}53.984$ with us to help figure out how do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:29:54.041 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.775$ actually make a difference in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:29:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.596$ And this is just the work looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00{:}29{:}57.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}59.695$ the number of pathways that are altered

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00{:}29{:}59.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01.290$ for patient with pertinum metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.346$ And you know of course the APC pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:03.346 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.269$ are always affected in a lot of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:05.269 \dashrightarrow 00:30:07.407$ GI tumors here as about half of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:07.410 \dashrightarrow 00:30:09.082$ But the big three kind is which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:09.082 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.774$ thought was the most important pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:10.774 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.299$ in particular metastasis only about

00:30:12.299 --> 00:30:15.002 17% and of course mad for 11% and

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00{:}30{:}15.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}17.234$ then this sort of you know and again

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:17.234 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.610$ done by one of our medical students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

00:30:18.610 --> 00:30:18.970 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00{:}30{:}18.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21.286$ so remarkable sort of work and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:21.286 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.834$ this is something where we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:22.834 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.192$ looking at microparticles and how do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

00:30:25.192 --> 00:30:26.620 actually deliver microparticle based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

00:30:26.620 --> 00:30:27.172 Packlet axle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:27.172 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.380$ 2 tumors and what we discovered is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00{:}30{:}29.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}31.780$ these microparticles are just bound by mucin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:31.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.362$ So mucin just kind of binds it

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00{:}30{:}33.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34.805$ and doesn't let it distribute

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:34.805 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.217$ within the peritoneal cavity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:36.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.708$ And so this is just sort of some

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

00:30:37.708 --> 00:30:39.422 of the other work that's coming out

 $00:30:39.422 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.199$ right now when we've been working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:41.199 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.384$ one of our pharmacologists to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00{:}30{:}43.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46.060$ figure this out and really finding that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92245316105263200:30:46.060 --> 00:30:46.972 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

00:30:46.972 --> 00:30:49.056 it really binds our nanoparticles

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:49.056 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.488$ and microparticles that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:50.488 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.300$ introducing in the peritoneal cavity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:52.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.249$ So just kind of a very tough space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

00:30:56.250 --> 00:30:57.786 But that exactly that is why

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:30:57.786 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.810$ it makes it exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

00:30:58.810 --> 00:31:01.610 That's why we're Yale because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:01.610 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.478$ you know, we don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00{:}31{:}02.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}03.563$ we don't address simple problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:03.570 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.930$ We want to take on the tough problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:04.930 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.352$ And I think that's where having

 $00:31:06.352 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.050$ all of you smart folks here is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:08.050 \dashrightarrow 00:31:10.367$ is so important and exciting to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00{:}31{:}10.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}12.656$ And so my pitch for all of you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

00:31:12.656 --> 00:31:15.126 be that it's a poorly studied field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:15.130 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.366$ but it has a large impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

00:31:16.370 --> 00:31:18.788 There's a huge population of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:18.788 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.400$ that would benefit tremendously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:20.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.220$ From from improvements in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:22.220 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.676$ management of peritoneal metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

00:31:23.680 --> 00:31:24.011 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:24.011 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.026$ so I welcome all of you if you're interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:27.026 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.394$ There's lots of tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.440$ We do laparoscopies for these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.676$ We take out tons of tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

00:31:31.680 --> 00:31:33.080 Sometimes my tissue specimens

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.480$ go across the alphabet,

 $00:31:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.496$ which means I have more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:36.496 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.840$ 26 specimens per case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.117$ So lots of tissue to be to be drawn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.954$ Most of these patients are very generous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.703$ It is not infrequently once a month

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

00:31:43.703 --> 00:31:45.838 or once twice a month I get an e-mail

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00:31:45.838 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.381$ of someone who wants to donate

NOTE Confidence: 0.922453161052632

 $00{:}31{:}47.381 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}48.866$ their body to science research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:31:48.870 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.974$ And that is probably the most generous gift

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:31:50.974 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.070$ that any any human being can ever make.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:31:53.070 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.510$ But we don't know what to do with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:31:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.385$ Like what do we do with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

00:31:55.390 --> 00:31:58.036 We don't even have a mechanism of of actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:31:58.036 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.904$ studying that or or making use of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

00:31:59.910 --> 00:32:01.675 It's a nice window of

 $00:32:01.675 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.396$ opportunities environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:02.396 \dashrightarrow 00:32:04.226$ We're able to give chemother apeutics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.400$ We're able to give Immunotherapeutics

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:06.400 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.230$ to patients. We do laparoscopies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:08.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.170$ we get biopsies, we go do surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:10.170 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.994$ 2 weeks later, we can actually show

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:11.994 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.347$ you and get you tissue for how these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

00:32:14.347 --> 00:32:16.339 patients will do afterwards as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:16.340 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.980$ I think these patients have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

00:32:17.980 --> 00:32:19.292 a significantly tough time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:19.300 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.980$ not only with the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:20.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.297$ the lack of knowledge of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.518$ 90% of patients who would come to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

00:32:24.518 --> 00:32:25.841 my clinic were told they were going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:25.841 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.975$ to live less than three months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:26.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.631 90\%$ we actually,

 $00:32:27.631 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.150$ we actually did a survey and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00{:}32{:}29.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}30.551$ asked people in our waiting room

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:30.551 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.278$ and they had been told by some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

00:32:32.278 --> 00:32:33.573 healthcare provider who did this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:33.580 \dashrightarrow 00:32:35.596$ We did a lot of education around this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.425$ We have lots of processes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:37.425 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.520$ of working together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.636$ Jen Capital is here and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:39.636 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.600$ were just chatting about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:40.600 \dashrightarrow 00:32:42.406$ But how do we cointegrate palliative

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:42.406 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.203$ care into our into our clinics

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:44.203 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.072$ so that we make sure that we're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00{:}32{:}46.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47.578$ we're taking care of the human being

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:47.578 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.502$ as a whole and not just you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

00:32:49.502 --> 00:32:51.239 pertinent metastases or not just GI cancer,

 $00:32:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.596$ but we're taking care of our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00{:}32{:}52.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}54.536$ our patients and appropriately

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:54.536 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.440$ transitioning when we're not able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.920$ provide them with the rapeutic options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:32:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.055$ And how do we build clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00{:}33{:}01.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03.770$ in this space you know how do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00{:}33{:}03.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}05.154$ advocate for pharma companies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:05.160 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.462$ To to get allow these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00{:}33{:}06.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07.680$ to get onto clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

00:33:07.680 --> 00:33:09.030 because right now we cannot put

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00{:}33{:}09.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10.240$ these patients on clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:10.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.165$ Many times you have ascites

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:12.165 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.320$ that's not enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

00:33:13.320 --> 00:33:15.075 Or if you have tumors which are very small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

00:33:15.080 --> 00:33:16.718 don't even fit the 1 centimeter category,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00{:}33{:}16.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18.480$ you can't put them on a clinical trial.

 $00:33:18.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.604$ So there's a big,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00{:}33{:}19.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}21.290$ big initiative at the Coke Institute

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:21.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.100$ at MIT where we're trying to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

00:33:23.100 --> 00:33:25.155 together to try to figure out how do we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.200$ how do we fix this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.530$ But I think it's a great space

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:27.530 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.480$ to build a career.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.739$ That is what I will tell you when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00{:}33{:}30.739 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}32.520$ started as a surgical oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.760$ You know, every surgical oncologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:33.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.265$ for those of you that may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:35.265 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.120$ know what we do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:36.120 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.878$ we want to do the big

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

00:33:37.878 --> 00:33:38.757 liver pancreas operations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.027$ That is sort of the sexy thing

 $00:33:40.027 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.119$ for us to want to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:41.120 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.156$ And that's what I wanted to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.960$ I wanted to do robotic whipples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.202$ That's what I went and trained

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:45.202 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.758$ and I became an expert in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

00:33:46.760 --> 00:33:48.181 And I said I published the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

00:33:48.181 --> 00:33:49.445 series of how to do robotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:49.445 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.866$ whipples and I said this is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:50.911 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.276$ I'm going to make my career on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

00:33:52.280 --> 00:33:55.080 And I got a job offer from Milwaukee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00{:}33{:}55.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}56.465$ which which changed my life

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00:33:56.465 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.825$ forever and and I had a job offer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421404875

 $00{:}33{:}58.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}00.345$ from Mount Sinai and Milwaukee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

00:34:00.350 --> 00:34:02.350 And I chose the job offer in Milwaukee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:02.350 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.950$ even though it paid less, just because

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.390$ I had the right people to work with.

00:34:05.390 --> 00:34:08.598 I had good mentors and and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:08.598 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.746$ was the best decision of my life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.830$ But they said, oh, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

00:34:10.830 --> 00:34:12.310 you can do sort of liver and pancreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

00:34:12.310 --> 00:34:14.228 but why don't you do this stuff?

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

00:34:14.230 --> 00:34:15.206 And I said, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:15.206 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.910$ OK and and I started doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

00:34:16.910 --> 00:34:18.542 And I love my patient population

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:18.542 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.030$ and I love what I did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:20.030 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.190$ It was a tough problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:21.190 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.709$ No one else wanted to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

00:34:22.710 --> 00:34:24.348 And so I got to write the book chapters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00{:}34{:}24.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}26.110$ I got to write be at the podiums, I got

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:26.110 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.670$ to be coming and doing all of this stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:27.670 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.618$ And here look at me, I'm,

00:34:28.618 --> 00:34:30.226 I'm division chief of surgical oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:30.230 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.350$ one of the best divisions in the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.240$ So it is a remarkable space

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.150$ and not much has changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:36.150 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.190$ Yes, some has changed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

00:34:37.190 --> 00:34:39.125 but I think it's a great opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

00:34:39.125 --> 00:34:41.309 for those of you that are excited

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:41.310 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.666$ to build your careers on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:42.666 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.805$ because there's not those many

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:43.805 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.100$ people that want to do this stuff

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:45.100 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.588$ or can do this stuff really well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

00:34:46.590 --> 00:34:48.361 So I would say we were looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:48.361 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.867$ for collaborations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:48.870 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.614$ lots of partnerships and

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:50.614 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.230$ and feel free to reach out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00{:}34{:}53.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}54.574$ I do have to acknowledge this is

00:34:54.574 --> 00:34:55.990 obviously not a comprehensive group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:55.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.278$ but this is some of my group

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:57.278 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.150$ that we've worked really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

00:34:58.150 --> 00:34:59.940 really closely on for understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:34:59.940 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.110$ a lot of our chemistry work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:35:02.110 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.450$ A lot of our fellows and residents that I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:35:05.450 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.150$ I have not acknowledged,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:35:07.150 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.941$ but I have some of their work in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

00:35:08.941 --> 00:35:10.763 in the slides that have really been

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00{:}35{:}10.763 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12.858$ very helpful and a lot of funding that

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:35:12.858 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.700$ we've had over the years that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:35:14.700 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.175$ that have supported our research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00{:}35{:}16.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17.174$ So with that I'm going to stop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:35:17.180 \dashrightarrow 00:35:19.180$ I know it's a little early but but

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:35:19.180 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.950$ I'd welcome any questions or comments

 $00:35:20.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.054$ and and love a good discussion on

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:35:23.054 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.608$ this and and of course this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

00:35:24.608 --> 00:35:26.060 this is my cell phone and e-mail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:35:26.060 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.580$ So thank you again for your attention today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938995605

 $00:35:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.860$ Go ahead

NOTE Confidence: 0.93522202

 $00:35:29.740 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.980$ Laura. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.935222025

 $00:35:44.070 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.510$ I think this talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025373

 $00:35:47.990 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.110$ kind of group

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128967142857

 $00{:}35{:}52.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56.754$ together the context of like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128967142857

 $00:35:56.754 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.185$ legal mess that you need for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128967142857

 $00:36:00.185 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.554$ A lot of life, and normally we obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128967142857

 $00:36:03.554 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.410$ haven't used either reduction a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128967142857

 $00{:}36{:}06.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09.125$ without a care of intent in MGI center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128967142857

00:36:09.125 --> 00:36:12.148 And I'm hoping you could weigh in on

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128967142857

 $00:36:12.148 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.922$ your perspective on the difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128967142857

 $00:36:13.922 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.210$ of what your new goals are when

00:36:16.210 --> 00:36:17.810 you're working in this space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128967142857

 $00:36:17.810 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.730$ whether you're considering it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128967142857

 $00:36:20.730 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.550$ no matter whether you're considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128967142857

00:36:22.550 --> 00:36:24.982 it like a rapid process or if

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128967142857

 $00:36:24.982 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.650$ that matters and try to discuss

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128967142857

 $00:36:26.650 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.050$ that with people as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:36:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.768$ Yeah. No, great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00{:}36{:}29.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}32.092$ And I I I would say that one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:36:32.092 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.604$ the things that we've tried to

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:36:33.604 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.480$ do quite deliberately is we've,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:36:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.655$ we've separated the term cycle

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00{:}36{:}37.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39.395$ reduction from say debulking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00{:}36{:}39.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41.656$ So I think when we use the word

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00{:}36{:}41.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}43.080$ debulking we're talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:36:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.680$ enhancing quality of life.

 $00:36:44.680 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.480$ So those, those are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:36:46.480 --> 00:36:47.560 very frequent settings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:36:47.560 --> 00:36:49.548 but we would do debulking procedures if

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:36:49.548 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.303$ patients have large amounts of mucinous

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:36:51.303 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.007$ societies or large amount of mucin

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:36:53.007 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.806$ that is debilitating or large ovarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:36:54.806 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.721$ metastasis that is making it difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:36:56.721 --> 00:36:59.367 Those are the bulking but non

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:36:59.370 --> 00:37:00.630 curative intent procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:37:00.630 --> 00:37:02.730 For the curative intent procedures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:02.730 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.854$ we call them site reduction and we have very

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:37:04.854 --> 00:37:06.608 specific goals of what we want to achieve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:37:06.610 --> 00:37:08.926 which is a CC0 site reduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.324$ which means there's no visible cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:11.324 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.450$ with oncological principles of surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:13.450 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.005$ So no longer are we satisfied with,

00:37:16.010 --> 00:37:17.698 we just go pluck a little something out

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:17.698 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.410$ and feel like we've done a great job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.250$ We have to be oncologically precise in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:37:21.250 --> 00:37:23.088 way we're doing our surgical techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.368$ just like we are when we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:24.368 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.007$ doing liver resections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:25.010 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.236$ pancreas resections or things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00{:}37{:}27.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.997$ The drawback is we can't image it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:29.000 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.274$ So we don't know what a good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.640$ you know, good or bad job we've done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.075$ And so one of the big things

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:37:33.075 --> 00:37:34.646 we've been doing is making sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:37:34.646 --> 00:37:35.555 our laparoscopy pictures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:37:35.560 --> 00:37:36.980 our surgical pictures are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.040$ in the chart and we can review,

 $00:37:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.594$ review it with the patients because many

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:40.594 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.320$ times they don't even know what's going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334 00:37:42.320 --> 00:37:42.471 right. NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:37:42.471 --> 00:37:43.679 They look at the scan and they're like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.912$ oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00{:}37{:}43.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.536$ the doctor said I don't have much

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:37:45.536 --> 00:37:47.284 cancer and you look inside and

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:47.284 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.516$ there's just cancer everywhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:37:48.520 --> 00:37:51.832 And so, so we're very specific in our intent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:37:51.840 --> 00:37:52.984 I think, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00{:}37{:}52.984 --> 00{:}37{:}54.700$ usually I try to have three

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:54.767 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.757$ visits with every patient prior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.576$ And in my ideal world with our palliative

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:37:59.576 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.639$ care physicians for the three visits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:01.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.075$ but really the first visit is where

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:03.075 \dashrightarrow 00:38:04.738$ I kind of give people hope because

 $00:38:04.738 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.520$ most of them have already been told,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334 00:38:06.520 --> 00:38:06.834 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:06.834 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.619$ three months they're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:07.619 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.520$ live and die and whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:38:08.520 --> 00:38:09.565 And I tell them, hey, listen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:09.565 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.720$ this may not be quite the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.556$ Let's assess it and evaluate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.506$ The second visit is where we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:14.506 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.522$ just go through the numbers and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334 00:38:16.522 --> 00:38:17.044 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:38:17.044 --> 00:38:18.956 you know that very nice essay by

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:18.956 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.391$ the evolutionary biologist of like

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:20.391 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.278$ how median is not the mean and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.750$ you know, it's not the message and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:38:23.750 --> 00:38:24.221 And you know,

00:38:24.221 --> 00:38:25.006 it's very hard for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:38:25.006 --> 00:38:26.069 to wrap their heads around it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:38:26.070 --> 00:38:27.558 but I do think it's important

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:27.558 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.351$ for them or their caregivers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:29.351 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.221$ at least understand what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:38:31.221 --> 00:38:32.343 reasonable expectations are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:38:32.350 --> 00:38:34.950 What is our survival data that we have?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:34.950 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.308$ What is sort of best case scenario,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:36.310 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.950$ what is worst case scenario?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

00:38:37.950 --> 00:38:39.546 And are we using the hitchhiker model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00{:}38{:}39.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}41.111$ like are we trying to keep people

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:41.111 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.480$ alive like a diabetes chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.105$ disease type model and saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:44.110 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.334$ hey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:44.334 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.454$ we'll look for this next

NOTE Confidence: 0.916983122083334

 $00:38:45.454 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.350$ disease site or are

00:38:46.402 --> 00:38:47.337 we saying we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:38:47.337 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.510$ go for a cure or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:38:48.510 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.358$ And that's where we really have a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:38:50.358 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.514$ of conversations about goal matching

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:38:51.514 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.320$ and how are we doing the right thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:38:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.664$ And then the third visit is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:38:54.664 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.741$ much more specific around the

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00{:}38{:}55.741 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}56.876$ surgical procedure and what does

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:38:56.876 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.199$ that involve and everything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:38:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.432$ So, so you know we've tried to

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

00:38:59.432 --> 00:39:00.840 take a very deliberate approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.480$ but I will tell you that having another

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.004$ physician or another team member in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39:08.004 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.238$ conversation that may not be a surgeon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.836$ you know, very often obviously our

00:39:12.836 --> 00:39:14.760 medical oncologist we were Co, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39{:}14.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}16.460$ seeing patients or palliative care

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39:16.460 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.620$ physicians was really helpful for patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

00:39:18.620 --> 00:39:20.028 Because, you know, I'm an optimist and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39:20.028 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.346$ can sell things different ways, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

00:39:21.346 --> 00:39:22.248 I mean I could say, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

00:39:22.248 --> 00:39:23.856 you know, surgery is no problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.580$ I can take care of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00{:}39{:}24.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}27.206$ It's a big no, it'll be fine versus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

00:39:27.206 --> 00:39:28.136 you know, Oh my God,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

00:39:28.140 --> 00:39:29.124 it's a tough surgery and you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39:29.124 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.780$ going to do poorly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39:29.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.467$ So as surgeons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39:30.467 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.457$ we have a lot of power in how

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

00:39:32.457 --> 00:39:34.102 we can actually navigate this

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00{:}39{:}34.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}35.783$ conversation and having a sounding

 $00:39:35.783 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.890$ board for the patients to talk to

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

00:39:37.890 --> 00:39:41.340 someone who is perhaps not quite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714 00:39:41.340 --> 00:39:41.968 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39:41.968 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.264$ as narrow minded or or as focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714 00:39:44.264 --> 00:39:45.350 I should say. NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.132$ Has helped I think generally our

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

00:39:47.132 --> 00:39:48.603 patients make the right decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00{:}39{:}48.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}50.655$ and I think for all of us to also

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.366$ internalize the fact that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39:52.366 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.703$ to make sure that we're not pushing

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39:54.703 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.310$ therapies on our patients and especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39:57.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.702$ you know when we're not seeing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:39:59.702 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.390$ good sort of outcome on the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:40:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.110$ So I think it's a very complex thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

00:40:03.110 --> 00:40:05.340 I mean I think and I'm sure all of us

00:40:05.403 --> 00:40:07.571 face it in our clinics every day and

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

00:40:07.571 --> 00:40:10.230 and what I'm saying is probably not unique,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:40:10.230 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.526$ but I think what has helped me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

00:40:12.530 --> 00:40:14.246 Is being deliberate about it and

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:40:14.246 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.409$ and also it has helped our team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

00:40:16.410 --> 00:40:17.208 You know, I will tell you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:40:17.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.282$ we go through cycles of despair even as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:40:20.282 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.434$ you know, physical teams like our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

00:40:22.434 --> 00:40:23.400 our nurses, physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:40:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.290$ everyone who takes care of these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00{:}40{:}25.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}26.412$ Because you see people that look

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

00:40:26.412 --> 00:40:27.690 like you could be your friends,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

00:40:27.690 --> 00:40:29.270 neighbors, colleagues who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00{:}40{:}29.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}31.245$ dying a very miserable death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

00:40:31.250 --> 00:40:31.913 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:40:31.913 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.460$ we took care of all these patients

 $00:40:33.515 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.810$ all the way through Hospice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714 00:40:34.810 --> 00:40:35.515 So it is, NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:40:35.515 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.160$ it is a very difficult thing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:40:37.219 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.163$ to kind of be part of the process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:40:39.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.090$ And so I think it rejuvenates

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:40:41.090 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.370$ to have other physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:40:42.370 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.765$ providers and then of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00{:}40{:}43.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}45.160$ having a clinic that's balanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00{:}40{:}45.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}46.868$ because you have 20 people that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:40:46.868 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.485$ doing great and you have you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:40:48.485 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.250$ maybe three or four that are not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914861194285714

 $00:40:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.730$ So

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:40:52.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.330$ we're so thrilled you're here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:40:53.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.388$ I think you've heard me say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:40:55.388 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.647$ many times and I'll repeat it again.

 $00:40:57.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.477$ So I'm going to build on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}40{:}59.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00.874$ conversation that you were more

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:41:00.874 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.554$ we're just having and as curious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:41:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.648$ Just on into patients that come

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:41:04.648 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.480$ to desiring this therapy aren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:41:06.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.538$ a yearly candidates that or maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:41:08.538 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.139$ it wants to decide not to pursue

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:41:11.139 --> 00:41:13.074 the decide on productive therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:41:13.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.204$ And then the other related to that is he

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:41:15.204 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.357$ talked about the recovery from that surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:41:17.360 --> 00:41:18.837 So what what does it look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

00:41:21.240 --> 00:41:22.983 Yeah, so I think what when we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00{:}41{:}22.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}24.965$ looked at our own data I would say

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:41:24.965 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.820$ about 67% of our patients had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:41:27.820 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.860$ You know, at least a diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00{:}41{:}29.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}31.566$ laparoscopy and about 50% of our

00:41:31.566 --> 00:41:32.604 patients who came through our doors

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:41:32.604 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.979$ ended up having cytoreductive surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:41:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.020$ So 50% didn't have it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:41:36.020 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.352$ So as you can tell, we are selective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:41:37.352 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.816$ but we track our whole cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

00:41:38.820 --> 00:41:40.408 So we're not just saying, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:41:40.408 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.724$ we're going to just look at those

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

00:41:41.724 --> 00:41:42.910 that we've done surgery and say

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:41:42.910 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.220$ this is how well we're doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:41:44.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.060$ The second comment is it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

00:41:46.060 --> 00:41:48.097 it really dependent on the surgery itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:41:48.100 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.819$ So it's a, it's a whole gamut of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

00:41:49.820 --> 00:41:51.260 When we do a laparoscopic hypec,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:41:51.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.376$ they go home the same day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:41:52.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.236$ I tell them they can do their normal

 $00:41:54.236 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.538$ physical activities like the next week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:41:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.124$ So that's what when we just

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

00:41:57.124 --> 00:41:58.180 do a laparoscopic one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:41:58.180 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.884$ when we do these big monster

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

00:41:59.884 --> 00:42:01.420 open side reductions, hypex 812,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

00:42:01.420 --> 00:42:02.100 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

00:42:02.100 --> 00:42:04.568 14 hour cases right now our median

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:04.568 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.046$ hospital stays about 5 to 6 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00{:}42{:}07.050 \longrightarrow 00{:}42{:}08.415$ But I tell them they feel about

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:08.415 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.990 80\%$ of normal in six weeks and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00{:}42{:}10.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12.818$ feel 110% of normal at three months

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:12.818 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.329$ because now the cancer is better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.374$ so they feel better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00{:}42{:}15.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.940$ But this is all generally sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:16.996 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.968$ of what things are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:17.970 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.056$ I think the biggest comment we have

 $00{:}42{:}20.056 \to 00{:}42{:}22.300$ during discussion of surgery is not so

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00{:}42{:}22.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}24.244$ much mortality because our mortality rates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:24.250 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.889$ as you saw,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:24.889 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.528$ are very low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:25.530 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.474$ It's more about loss of autonomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:27.474 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.446$ and functional independence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:28.450 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.685$ So you know there's an 8% risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

00:42:30.685 --> 00:42:33.060 of having failure to thrive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.980$ Which is a difficult problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.415$ You know then you are on TPN,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

00:42:35.420 --> 00:42:36.308 you're getting drains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00{:}42{:}36.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}39.024$ you have this and that and I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00{:}42{:}39.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}41.554$ is the the most stressful part of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:41.554 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.036$ But we've tried to integrate sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

00:42:43.036 --> 00:42:44.498 of quality of life initiatives,

00:42:44.500 --> 00:42:46.464 you know fertility management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

00:42:46.464 --> 00:42:47.937 young patient care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:47.940 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.560$ obviously palliative care and advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:49.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.856$ direct advanced care planning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:50.860 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.452$ So you know the goal is to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

00:42:52.452 --> 00:42:54.029 a more comprehensive way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.91974477875

 $00:42:54.029 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.899$ patients get the most information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893702826363636

 $00:42:59.610 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.122$ I know that this is a slowly evolving

NOTE Confidence: 0.893702826363636

 $00:43:02.122 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.842$ deal with what we discussed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893702826363636

00:43:03.842 --> 00:43:06.850 but has it been evaluated from a racial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893702826363636

 $00:43:06.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.850$ ethnic standpoint as far as incidents

NOTE Confidence: 0.893702826363636

 $00:43:09.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.810$ of care communities along with

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

00:43:12.370 --> 00:43:13.106 outcomes? Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

00:43:13.106 --> 00:43:15.410 So I mean, I think we have, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:43:15.410 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.580$ obviously our own cohorts and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:43:16.580 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.769$ own data that we've looked at.

00:43:17.770 --> 00:43:20.490 And in Chicago about 17% of our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00{:}43{:}20.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}22.502$ were African American and I think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:43:22.502 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.380$ you know, maybe another 15% were other

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:43:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.340$ ethnicities and everyone else was white.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:43:28.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.828$ I think the we, we found that our

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00{:}43{:}30.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}32.655$ African American patients were less

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

00:43:32.655 --> 00:43:34.935 likely to do advanced care planning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:43:34.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.895$ they were less likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:43:36.895 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.459$ look in clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

00:43:38.460 --> 00:43:41.476 They were usually presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

00:43:41.476 --> 00:43:43.740 with a higher PCI score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:43:43.740 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.060$ so higher pertinal index,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00{:}43{:}46.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49.618$ but actually recovered remarkably the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:43:49.618 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.990$ from cytoreductive surgery procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:43:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.015$ In fact you know at some level I would

00:43:54.015 --> 00:43:56.205 say that are at least in Chicago

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

00:43:56.205 --> 00:43:57.832 or African American population had

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:43:57.832 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.644$ better social structures than some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:43:59.644 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.890$ our white populations of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:44:00.890 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.641$ And I think it's a very selective

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:44:02.641 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.369$ cohort because I think the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:44:04.369 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.630$ African American patients who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:44:05.630 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.058$ didn't have the means or lived in

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:44:07.058 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.428$ food deserts or things like that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

00:44:08.430 --> 00:44:10.230 they probably never made it to our clinics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

00:44:10.230 --> 00:44:11.686 So I think you know I'm very

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:44:11.686 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.310$ cognizant of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00{:}44{:}12.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}14.263$ But those that did make it to our clinics

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

00:44:14.263 --> 00:44:15.830 actually had remarkable social support,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:44:15.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.666$ so much less rates of post

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:44:17.666 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.227$ operative depression or you know

 $00:44:19.227 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.597$ so they did pretty well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

00:44:20.600 --> 00:44:21.764 From a survival standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

00:44:21.764 --> 00:44:22.637 I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

00:44:22.640 --> 00:44:23.417 Vrun probably left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:44:23.417 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.971$ but I don't think we've seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:44:24.971 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.480$ a significant difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

00:44:26.480 --> 00:44:28.272 But I don't think our cohort is big

NOTE Confidence: 0.904163572

 $00:44:28.272 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.118$ enough to make that conclusive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}44{:}32.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}33.998$ There are a couple of questions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92817752

00:44:34.000 --> 00:44:38.040 the Q&A and the chat. Ask for Nas

feeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:44:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.434$ those questions. OK, I actually got it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:44:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.160$ So Nick, Nick says.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:44:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.732$ Is there any consistency in localization

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00{:}44{:}44.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}46.440$ in terms of where the metastasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:44:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.960$ form in the peritonema momentum?

 $00:44:47.960 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.689$ I'm wondering if it's random or if

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:44:49.689 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.438$ it's in proximity to lymphoid tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

00:44:51.440 --> 00:44:52.240 Nick, you know this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:44:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.160$ this is a phenomenal question and I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:44:54.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.326$ tell you that in our minds as surgeons

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:44:56.326 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.800$ there is very remarkable consistency

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:44:57.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.120$ like we see it on the right diaphragm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00{:}45{:}00.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01.896$ We think it's because there's Milky

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00{:}45{:}01.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}03.666$ spots on the diaphragm, big channels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00{:}45{:}03.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05.717$ We always see it in the momentum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.118$ So those are very common sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.392$ Mechanistically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:07.392 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.296$ we see it by the ligament of

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:09.296 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.838$ triads as a very common site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.956$ And then in the pelvic peritoneum,

 $00:45:11.960 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.440$ especially on the left side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857 00:45:13.440 --> 00:45:13.946 in fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:13.946 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.464$ you'll see many of these peritoneal

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:15.464 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.817$ patients will have bowel obstructions

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:16.817 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.413$ and they obstructed the pelvis as

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:18.413 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.039$ the sigmoid colon is turning down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.264$ And in those cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:21.264 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.794$ stents don't work very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.276$ And so that's usually the thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

00:45:24.280 --> 00:45:26.210 I don't think it's particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

00:45:26.210 --> 00:45:27.754 close to lymphoid tissues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

00:45:27.760 --> 00:45:29.692 but I think that's where hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00{:}45{:}29.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}31.625$ we'll send you some specimens and

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:31.625 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.662$ you can help us figure it out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

00:45:33.670 --> 00:45:34.630 And then I think Guillermo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00{:}45{:}34.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}36.527$ Hi Guillermo it's good to see you

 $00{:}45{:}36.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}38.863$ as one of our my colleagues from

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00{:}45{:}38.863 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}40.355$ Mexico who says what are your

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:40.355 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.869$ thoughts on the debate for drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

00:45:41.869 --> 00:45:43.229 combinations on hyper protocols.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:43.230 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.710$ I think we just need to do better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00{:}45{:}45.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}47.117$ I think you know mitomyc
in is like

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:47.117 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.792$ a 60 year old drug and you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:48.792 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.098$ we've we've just got to figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:50.098 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.466$ out better ways of doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:51.470 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.025$ So people are looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00{:}45{:}53.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54.269$ intrapartinal immunotherapy now on

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00:45:54.269 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.669$ different versions of cytotoxics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897935457142857

 $00{:}45{:}55.670 --> 00{:}45{:}55.750$ Do

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:45:58.390 --> 00:46:00.908 you ever analyzed CTD and A

NOTE Confidence: 0.786220188

 $00:46:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.113$ and? We don't but we we there are

00:46:05.113 --> 00:46:06.718 other groups that have looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.786220188

00:46:06.718 --> 00:46:09.211 at it and certainly it is more

NOTE Confidence: 0.786220188

00:46:09.211 --> 00:46:10.986 sensitive than serum CT DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786220188

 $00:46:10.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.850$ But on the flip side it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.786220188

 $00{:}46{:}12.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}13.470$ logistically impractical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786220188

 $00{:}46{:}13.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}14.955$ So you know you have to leave a catheter

NOTE Confidence: 0.786220188

 $00:46:14.955 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.348$ in there and measure it and stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786220188

 $00:46:16.350 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.267$ So I think that's the headache with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67584795

00:46:22.070 --> 00:46:23.910 Great, ohh, very good. Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67584795

 $00:46:23.910 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.990$ Thank you all for your attention.