## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:54:38.7400000"

NOTE recognizability:0.839

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00{:}00{:}00{:}00{:}00 {\:\raisebox{0.5ex}{\text{--}}} > 00{:}00{:}03.768$  Today is my great pleasure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00:00:03.770 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.050$  to introduce to this speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

00:00:06.050 --> 00:00:08.210 doctor Susan Annenberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00:00:08.210 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.180$  Susan is associate professor and chair

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00:00:11.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.021$  of the Department of Environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00:00:14.021 \dashrightarrow 00:00:17.706$  and Occupational Health in the George

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

00:00:17.706 --> 00:00:19.966 Washington University and she's also

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00{:}00{:}19.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.576$  the current director of the DW PAN and

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00:00:23.576 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.250$  Health Initiative Institute and Doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

00:00:27.250 --> 00:00:28.885 August Research focus on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00{:}00{:}28.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.193$  health implications of air

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

00:00:30.193 --> 00:00:31.530 pollution and climate change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00:00:31.530 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.836$  from both local to global skills.

 $00:00:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.964$  And we talk a lot about

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00{:}00{:}36.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.026$  like policy implications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

00:00:38.030 --> 00:00:40.490 And Doctor Annenberg is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00:00:40.490 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.436$  the true pioneer of making the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00:00:43.436 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.876$  science of this policy relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

00:00:45.880 --> 00:00:49.344 So she serves on the US EPA Science

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00:00:49.344 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.110$  Advisory Board and the Clean Air

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00{:}00{:}52.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.816$  Act Advisory Committee and The Who

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00{:}00{:}54.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.681$  Global air pollution and Health

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

00:00:57.681 --> 00:01:00.456 Technical Advisory Group and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00:01:00.460 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.495$  National Academy of Sciences Committee

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00:01:02.495 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.060$  to advise the US Global change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00:01:05.060 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.962$  Research programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00{:}01{:}05.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08.668$  She also serves as currently the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00{:}01{:}08.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.435$  President of the Jail House section

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00:01:11.435 \dashrightarrow 00:01:13.670$  of the American Geophysical Union.

 $00:01:13.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.850$  So without first deal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825363786666667

 $00:01:14.850 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.030$  let's welcome those energy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668

 $00:01:18.890 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.630$  And for being here today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668

 $00{:}01{:}20.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}22.695$  I really appreciate you taking the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668

 $00:01:22.695 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.910$  out of your days to to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668

 $00:01:24.910 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.035$  So I'm Susan Annenberg from

NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668

00:01:27.035 --> 00:01:28.310 George Washington University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668

 $00:01:28.310 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.530$  and I will be talking today

NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668

00:01:30.530 --> 00:01:32.010 about linking climate change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668

 $00{:}01{:}32.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33.738$  air pollution and human health and

NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668

 $00:01:33.738 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.290$  bridging science to the policy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668

 $00:01:35.290 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.900$  which is really what I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668

 $00{:}01{:}36.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.188$  very passionate about doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600709146666667

 $00:01:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.668$  Shape that, people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:01:47.090 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.950$  OK, so before I start,

 $00:01:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.520$  let me just say that a lot of the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}01{:}51.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}53.221$  that I'm going to show today is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:01:53.221 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.668$  standing on the shoulders of giants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:01:54.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.931$  This is work that would not be

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:01:56.931 --> 00:01:58.992 possible without the people who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:01:58.992 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.258$  spent many years that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}02{:}01.258 --> 00{:}02{:}03.034$  detecting associations between air

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:02:03.034 --> 00:02:04.810 pollutants and health outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:04.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.406$  developing air pollution exposure

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:06.406 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.800$  datasets that are open and publicly

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:08.867 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.487$  available for others to use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:10.490 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.685$  And I appreciate the efforts

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:02:11.685 --> 00:02:13.522 of many people in this room and

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:13.522 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.710$  contributing to that science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:14.710 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.860$  And this really makes the bridging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:17.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.410$  From science to the policy possible

 $00:02:20.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.611$  by creating these datasets and

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}02{:}22.611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}24.726$  associations that others can use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:24.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.992$  But based on the information that

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:02:26.992 --> 00:02:29.158 we have from Epidemia epidemiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:29.158 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.907$  and exposure science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.824$  we know that air pollution continues

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:32.824 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.914$  to be a leading health risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:34.914 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.794$  factor in nearly all countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.520$  Is currently considered to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:02:38.520 --> 00:02:40.240 the 4th leading risk factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:40.300 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.929$  affecting global mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.805$  That's not the 4th leading

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}02{:}43.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.930$  environmental risk factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:44.930 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.870$  That's the 4th leading overall risk factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:47.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.998$  And and really indicates that air pollution

 $00:02:49.998 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.793$  needs to be central on the global health

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:52.793 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.618$  agenda for improving people's health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}02{:}54.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}56.811$  And if you look at the diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:02:56.811 --> 00:02:58.320 that air pollution impacts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.029$  it is not a small fraction of these diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:01.029 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.417$  that air pollution is responsible for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.684$  I mean this is a, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:03:05.684 --> 00:03:07.916 40% of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.841 \ 20\%$  of diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:08.841 --> 00:03:11.344 \ 20\%$  of ischemic heart disease and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:11.344 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.720$  read the rest of the percentages there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.844$  So this is a preventable risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:15.844 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.550$  factor that it is responsible for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.962$  Millions of premature deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:19.962 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.727$  globally and a very large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:21.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.600$  very substantial fraction of the incidence

 $00{:}03{:}25.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.250$  of these diseases around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.422$  And we also know that climate

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:03:31.422 --> 00:03:32.870 change worsens air pollution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:32.870 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.895$  So climate change is contributing

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:34.895 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.110$  to worsening ozone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:36.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.030$  increased wildfire smoke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:38.030 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.310$  increased dust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:39.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.876$  worsened allergy conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:03:40.876 --> 00:03:42.964 and even potentially impacting

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:03:42.964 --> 00:03:44.530 airborne infectious diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:44.530 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.930$  both the spread and the severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}03{:}46.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48.530$  of airborne infectious diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}03{:}48.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.745$  So air pollution and climate

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}03{:}50.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52.517$  change are highly interlinked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:52.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.067$  This is just one of the ways

 $00:03:54.067 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.730$  that they're interlinked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}03{:}54.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55.996$  and we're going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:55.996 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.840$  some of the others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:03:56.840 --> 00:03:59.186 But climate change is now worsening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:03:59.190 --> 00:04:00.605 Air pollution making it harder

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:04:00.605 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.300$  for us to protect the air,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:04:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.625$  making it healthy for people

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:04:04.625 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.485$  to breathe and and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:04:06.490 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.030$  One of the ways that we one of the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:04:09.099 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.403$  prominent effects of climate change on

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}04{:}11.403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13.990$  air pollution is now wild fire smoke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:04:13.990 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.694$  So I just want to look at some

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}04{:}15.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17.359$  of the most recent work that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:04:17.359 --> 00:04:19.842 was a part of looking at PM 2.5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:04:19.842 --> 00:04:20.608 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}04{:}20.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22.158$  very fine particle concentrations

00:04:22.158 --> 00:04:23.706 across the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:04:23.710 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.866$  the Eastern US and then the Western

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:04:25.866 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.681$  US and we see across the the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:04:28.681 --> 00:04:31.050 couple decades for the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:04:31.050 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.738$  PM 2.5 concentrations have

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:04:32.738 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.004$  been declining substantially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:04:34.010 --> 00:04:36.719 That's a huge public health win and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:04:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.891$  Is the result of many years of

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:04:39.891 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.713$  effective regulations under the

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}04{:}41.713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}44.380$  Clean Air Act in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:04:44.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.420$  So PM 2.5 concentrations have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:04:46.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.151$  declining substantially and even more

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:04:48.151 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.716$  substantially in the eastern US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:04:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.634$  where we have very strong anthropogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:04:51.634 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.250$  emissions that have been controlled

 $00:04:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.273$  from our power plants and our vehicles

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}04{:}55.273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.047$  over the last couple of decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:04:57.050 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.835$  And we've seen this very dramatic decrease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:04:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.600$  Again, 2.5 crowded Eastern

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.800$  US in the western US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:02.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.915$  we have a different story here with a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:04.915 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.247$  of interannual variability in those PM 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:07.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.362$  25 concentrations in the last 5-10 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}05{:}10.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}12.798$  and that's driven by wild fire smoke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.676$  If you draw a line through this

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}05{:}14.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.130$  very large interannual variability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:16.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.265$  you see that PM 2.5 concentrations are

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:05:18.265 --> 00:05:19.988 actually increasing in the Western US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.378$  despite the very effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:05:21.378 --> 00:05:23.460 regulations that we have on power

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}05{:}23.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.437$  plants and industry and vehicles.

 $00:05:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.112$  And that different disparate

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:05:27.112 --> 00:05:29.620 picture between the western US and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:29.691 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.854$  the eastern US is driving what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:31.854 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.200$  see here for the US on average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:34.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.060$  that we actually see that the PM 2.5

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}05{:}37.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39.100$  concentrations are beginning to flatten out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:39.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.582$  They're not declining to the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:40.582 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.100$  degree as they have been for

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:42.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.300$  the past couple of decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:43.300 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.208$  We're actually seeing that they're starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:05:45.208 --> 00:05:47.309 to stagnate in the coming decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:05:47.310 --> 00:05:48.878 We might actually start to see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}05{:}48.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.050$  they're starting to rise again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:50.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.779$  And this makes it more difficult for

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:05:51.779 --> 00:05:53.564 us to attain our national ambient

00:05:53.564 --> 00:05:56.004 air quality standards for PM 2.5

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:56.004 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.789$  because of this climate induced

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:05:58.789 --> 00:06:01.809 change and wildfire smoke keeping

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.154 \text{ PM } 2.5 \text{ concentrations high.}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:03.154 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.070$  I had the honor of working with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:06:06.070 --> 00:06:08.155 US Environmental Protection Agency on

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:08.155 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.741$  their climate change impacts and risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:06:10.741 --> 00:06:12.586 analysis project, their Sierra project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:12.586 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.910$  I used to actually work at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:06:14.979 --> 00:06:16.501 EPA from 2010 to 2014,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}06{:}16.501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18.186$  and when I was there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:06:18.190 --> 00:06:20.465 we were starting this project to quantify

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}06{:}20.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.040$  the different damages of climate

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:06:22.040 --> 00:06:23.930 change on life in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.660$  and that includes air pollution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:06:25.660 --> 00:06:27.484 but it also includes a lot of other

 $00:06:27.484 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.347$  things like labor and extreme temperature

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:06:29.347 --> 00:06:30.703 mortality and coastal property

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}06{:}30.703 \longrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33.390$  and roads and back at that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666 00:06:33.390 --> 00:06:34.326 the only. NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:06:34.326 --> 00:06:37.134 Estimate of how climate change impacted

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:37.134 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.396$  air pollution and therefore damages

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:39.396 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.130$  through human health in the US was via ozone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:43.130 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.018$  So temperature worsens ozone and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}06{:}47.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49.610$  contributes to premature mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:06:49.610 --> 00:06:53.711 And you can see that that back in about 2014,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666 00:06:53.711 --> 00:06:54.112 2015, NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:54.112 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.518$  air quality was the 4th largest

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}06{:}56.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59.213$  damage of climate change in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:59.213 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.029$  United States once valued.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:01.030 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.028$  But we really recognized, you know,

 $00:07:03.030 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.812$  we also think that climate change

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:04.812 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.406$  is influencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666 00:07:05.410 --> 00:07:05.727 Yeah, NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:07:05.727 --> 00:07:08.263 2.5 and PM 2.5 has a very strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:08.263 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.199$  relationship with premature mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:10.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.096$  So if we were able to quantify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:12.096 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.938$  impacts of climate change on PM 2.5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:07:13.940 --> 00:07:15.332 in addition to ozone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:07:15.332 --> 00:07:17.960 we likely would get an A large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.152$  potentially a larger number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:19.152 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.340$  We would likely get a different number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:07:21.340 --> 00:07:22.549 Back in 2015,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}07{:}22.549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.967$  climate models were still very uncertain

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:24.967 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.598$  about where the precipitation happens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.412$  what's going to happen to PM

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}07{:}29.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}30.620$  2.5 in different locations.

 $00:07:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.476$  And that still remains a big uncertainty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.262$  But we do know that climate

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:35.262 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.153$  change is driving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:07:36.160 --> 00:07:39.180 Quote UN quote natural sources of PM 2.5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:39.180 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.460$  which are no longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:40.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.036$  I think can no longer be considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:43.036 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.650$  fully natural anymore because

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}07{:}44.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.855$  climate change is impacting them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:07:46.860 \dashrightarrow 00:07:49.898$  So dust exposure in the southwest US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:07:49.900 \dashrightarrow 00:07:52.596$  Wildfire PM 2.5, which we just talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:07:52.600 --> 00:07:54.752 So I partnered with the EPA and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:07:54.752 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.034$  number of other scientists and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}07{:}57.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59.129$  quantified the potential damages of

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}07{:}59.129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01.624$  climate change on dust exposure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:01.624 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.102$  therefore premature mortality in the US

 $00:08:04.102 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.934$  and same with wildfire smoke exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:06.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.200$  And we valued that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.446$  And we came up with about \$47 billion a

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:11.446 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.581$  year from climate induced contributions

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:13.581 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.648$  to dust exposure and its effects on

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:08:16.648 --> 00:08:18.783 premature mortality and about \$25

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:18.790 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.226$  billion a year for wildfire smoke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:21.230 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.838$  And if you add those together

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}08{:}22.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}25.034$  with the ozone impact that we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:25.034 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.748$  previously quantified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}08{:}25.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28.344$  we see that air pollution is one

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:28.344 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.786$  of the largest damages of climate

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:30.786 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.148$  change in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:33.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.194$  And this is an estimate that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:35.194 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.170$  think is likely to grow, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:37.170 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.990$  We underestimated this impact due to the

 $00:08:38.990 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.757$  methods that were available at the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.923$  so I think this number is likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:42.923 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.850$  to get larger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:08:43.850 --> 00:08:45.902 Another reason it's underestimating

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:08:45.902 --> 00:08:48.467 the damages of climate change

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:48.467 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.950$  on air pollution is because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:50.950 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.148$  We can't just add together the impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:53.148 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.754$  of heat on mortality and the impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:55.754 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.749$  of air pollution on mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:57.750 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.330$  These actually have synergistic effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:00.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.466$  So the total impact of increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}02.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04.275$  heat and increased air pollution

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}04.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.803$  is more than the sum of its parts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:06.810 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.610$  In the previous slide I just showed you

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:08.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.422$  we were only capturing the impact of

00:09:10.422 --> 00:09:12.410 each of these risk factors individually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.666$  not considering the others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:09:13.666 --> 00:09:15.550 But because we know that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:15.612 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.240$  are these synergistic effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:09:17.240 --> 00:09:19.496 we're likely missing some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:19.496 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.690$  damages of both heat exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:21.690 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.605$  And air pollution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:22.605 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.740$  And as more research comes out looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:24.794 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.396$  at the pollen impacts as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.350$  I think that could be potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:28.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.120$  a factor to consider here too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.442$  So I talked about how there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}33.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34.990$  different links between climate

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:35.054 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.638$  change and air pollution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:36.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.790$  We talked about this one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:37.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.198$  how climate change can impact air pollution.

 $00:09:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.454$  Air pollution can also impact climate change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}42.460 \to 00{:}09{:}43.918$  We have short lived climate pollutants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}43.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}45.990$  for example black carbon and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:45.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.060$  methane that contributes to poor

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:48.136 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.380$  air quality and warm the climate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:50.380 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.712$  This arrow here is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:09:51.712 --> 00:09:53.710 Sorry should go from climate change

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:09:53.771 --> 00:09:54.800 to public health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.912$  Not that the other association between

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}56.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}58.651$  climate change and air pollution

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:09:58.651 --> 00:10:00.819 that I want to talk about is how

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:00.819 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.997$  they share the same emission sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:10:03.000 --> 00:10:04.720 Any time we burn anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}10{:}04.720 \to 00{:}10{:}07.300$  primarily fossil fuels but also biofuels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:07.300 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.920$  we're releasing both airplanes

 $00:10:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.135$  and greenhouse gases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:10:10.140 --> 00:10:11.953 So if we want to address climate

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:11.953 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.340$  change and air pollution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:13.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.028$  we should be reducing the amount of

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:16.028 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.144$  fuel that is burned and therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:19.144 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.656$  addressing those emission sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:21.660 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.753$  What we've done so far in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:23.753 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.920$  United States by to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.128$  Bring down our PM 2.5 levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}10{:}27.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28.774$  We've tried to break this arrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:28.774 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.569$  between emission sources to air pollution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:30.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.670$  So we put catalytic

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:10:31.670 --> 00:10:32.770 converters on our vehicles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.818$  We put diesel particulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:10:33.818 --> 00:10:34.866 filters on our trucks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:10:34.870 --> 00:10:36.430 scrubbers on our power plants,

 $00:10:36.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.212$  and these have been very effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}10{:}38.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40.165$  at reducing the amount of pollution

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}10{:}40.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41.589$  from these emission sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:41.590 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.718$  But they've done nothing to this era here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:10:43.720 --> 00:10:45.780 We're still continuing to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:10:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.428$  greenhouse gases largely unabated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:10:47.430 --> 00:10:49.242 and that climate change is contributing

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:10:49.242 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.910$  to the air pollution problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:10:50.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.534$  So if we want to again mitigate both

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}10{:}53.534 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.509$  air pollution and climate change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:10:55.510 --> 00:10:57.064 We need to be burning less stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:10:57.070 --> 00:10:58.699 primarily fossil fuels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:10:58.699 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.328$  but also biofuels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:00.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.890$  I have focused a lot of my work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:01.890 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.230$  especially the most recent years,

 $00:11:03.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.102$  on the urban context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}11{:}04.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.718$  and the reason for that is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}11{:}05.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07.531$  a lot of cities around the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:11:07.531 --> 00:11:08.868 are experiencing poor air quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:11:08.870 --> 00:11:11.110 This is just a map of nitrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:11:11.110 --> 00:11:12.530 dioxide concentrations in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:12.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.743$  but a lot of cities around the world are

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:11:15.743 --> 00:11:18.135 experiencing much greater levels of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}11{:}18.135 \to 00{:}11{:}21.050$  pollution than we do in cities in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:11:21.050 --> 00:11:23.927 especially in cities in Africa and Asia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}11{:}23.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.942$  which are rapidly growing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:25.942 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.451$  These are experiencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}11{:}27.451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29.540$  rising air pollution levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.365$  They're also, cities are also

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:31.365 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.825$  experiencing CO2 emissions growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:32.830 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.444$  Right now,

 $00:11:33.444 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.286$  cities are responsible for about 3/4

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:35.286 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.298$  of global greenhouse gas emissions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.544$  and that's projected to rise as

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:39.544 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.740$  the world continues to urbanize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:41.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.050$  We also have very strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:44.050 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.436$  health inequality effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:11:45.440 --> 00:11:47.155 So this is a map of Washington,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:11:47.160 --> 00:11:48.292 DC, where I live.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:48.292 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.469$  And the green colors here show the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:50.469 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.141$  pediatric asthma emergency department

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:11:52.141 --> 00:11:54.231 visit rate for 10,000 people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.550$  And the red dots show life expectancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:11:56.550 --> 00:11:59.262 We have about a 20 year life expectancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}11{:}59.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00.350$  differential between neighborhoods

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.800$  in the southeast quadrant of the city

 $00:12:02.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.673$  right here versus neighborhoods in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}12{:}04.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.893$  the northwest quadrant of the city.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}12{:}06.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.705$  20 year life expectancy differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:12:08.705 --> 00:12:10.510 between people that live about

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:10.573 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.139$  2 miles away from each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:12.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.850$  We also have very dramatic differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}12{:}15.850 \to 00{:}12{:}19.177$  pediatric asthma Ed visit rate as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:12:19.180 --> 00:12:20.800 So this is just, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.840$  DC is not unique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.140$  We have problems for sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.192$  but we're not unique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:12:24.192 --> 00:12:26.131 Most of the cities across the country

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:26.131 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.985$  are experiencing problems like this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:27.985 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.360$  then we have growth growing populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:30.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.236$  So right now about half the world's

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:12:32.236 --> 00:12:33.680 population lives in urban areas.

 $00:12:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.343$  That's expected to grow to about 2/3 by 2050.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:12:37.350 --> 00:12:40.129 And nearly all of that increase is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:12:40.129 --> 00:12:42.196 anticipated to happen in African

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:12:42.196 --> 00:12:44.136 and Asian cities, where, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}12{:}44.136 \to 00{:}12{:}46.747$  pollution levels are also continuing to rise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:12:46.750 --> 00:12:49.606 So there's a lot of problems happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:12:49.606 --> 00:12:51.850 simultaneously in the urban context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:51.850 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.454$  and if we were to address the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:12:54.454 --> 00:12:57.169 way that our cities burn fuel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:57.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.452$  we likely would be able to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:59.452 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.589$  at multiple of these problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:01.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.008$  What we what we can't see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}13{:}04.010 --> 00{:}13{:}04.739$  we can't fix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:04.739 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.803$  We have to be able to see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:06.803 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.567$  pollution in order to fix it.

 $00:13:08.570 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.824$  Right now this is where the monitoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:10.824 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.450$  happens for air pollution around

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:12.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.834$  the world you can see most of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:13:14.900 --> 00:13:16.930 monitors are in the US and Europe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:16.930 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.269$  and increasingly in China and in India.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:13:20.270 --> 00:13:23.366 But much of the world is left uncovered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:13:23.370 --> 00:13:25.316 And even in places that look like

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:13:25.316 --> 00:13:26.790 they're densely covered by monitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:13:26.790 --> 00:13:28.630 like take Washington DC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.930$  we only have 5 monitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:30.930 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.790$  looks like 4, but two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:32.790 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.792$  We only have 5 monitors for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:13:34.792 --> 00:13:36.590 entire city of Washington DC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:36.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.882$  so how are we supposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:38.882 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.028$  capture the inequality

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:13:40.030 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.502$  and pollution levels if we if these are

00:13:43.502 --> 00:13:47.337 this is our only source of information?

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:13:47.340 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.020$  Luckily, we have a new source of information

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:13:50.020 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.460$  which is Earth observing satellites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:13:52.460 --> 00:13:54.356 So NASA, the European Space Agency

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:13:54.356 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.316$  and other space agencies around the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:13:56.316 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.921$  world have been launching satellites

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:13:57.921 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.887$  and they are constantly taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00{:}13{:}59.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01.779$  pictures about miseric composition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:01.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.517$  And we can tease out that information

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:04.517 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.881$  and understand what are people exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:06.881 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.478$  to in places that have no monitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:14:09.480 --> 00:14:11.688 This is a map of what nitrogen dioxide

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00{:}14{:}11.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13.660$  look looks like from the Tropo ME

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:14:13.660 --> 00:14:15.460 sensor on the Sentinel 5P satellite

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:14:15.460 --> 00:14:17.390 from the European Space Agency.

 $00:14:17.390 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.280$  Um, this map was created by Dan

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00{:}14{:}19.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}21.501$  Goldberg and you can see where N 2 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:14:21.501 --> 00:14:23.760 the highest and the fact that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:23.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.385$  the full geospatial coverage here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.126$  So we can get beyond the monitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:27.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.792$  we can get beyond the monitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:28.792 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.524$  and see what people are exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:30.524 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.944$  to all around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:14:31.950 --> 00:14:33.686 So what does satellite data look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:14:33.690 --> 00:14:36.364 Well, this is a daily snapshot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:36.364 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.492$  satellite and O2 Tropo mean No2 nitrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:39.492 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.442$  dioxide that Dan Goldberg created.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:41.442 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.568$  It's, this is now available on our website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:44.570 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.848$  You can download for every day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:14:45.850 --> 00:14:48.382 It's automatically putting up this image

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00{:}14{:}48.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51.030$  of No2 concentrations over the US and

 $00:14:51.030 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.459$  over different regions of the US and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:53.459 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.755$  can see there's a lot of white areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333 00:14:55.760 --> 00:14:56.021 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:56.021 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.326$  These are where clouds are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:57.330 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.248$  So the satellites can't see through clouds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:59.250 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.062$  We're still limited in that way

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:15:01.062 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.120$  and there's also a lot of noise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:15:03.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.339$  This is just one snapshot per day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:15:05.340 --> 00:15:08.220 The TROPONE sensor is polar orbiting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:15:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.948$  That means it goes around the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:15:09.948 --> 00:15:12.504 earth and it takes an image of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:15:12.504 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.184$  atmospheric composition at about 1:30

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00{:}15{:}14.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.440$  PM everywhere on Earth local time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:15:16.440 --> 00:15:18.519 So just the one snapshot per day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:15:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.158$  and this is what it produces.

 $00:15:20.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.838$  Pretty noisy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:15:20.838 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.872$  But when we start to average

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:15:22.872 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.430$  over longer time periods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:15:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.047$  we have a lot more data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:15:26.047 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.779$  it starts to look more smooth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00{:}15{:}27.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31.947$  So this is a season of data of N2

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:15:31.947 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.857$  concentrations over the US and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:15:34.857 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.365$  the comparison for 2021 to 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:15:37.365 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.030$  And again you can get this on our website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:15:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.164$  So what we can do with the full

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}15{:}46.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.537$  geographical coverage of satellite

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:15:47.537 --> 00:15:49.362 data and increasingly high spatial

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:15:49.362 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.416$  resolution as well is that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:15:51.416 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.246$  start to tease out what is happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:15:53.246 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.576$  in all urban areas globally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:15:55.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.440$  And there's about 13,000

 $00:15:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.835$  urban areas globally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:15:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.654$  So we can use that continuous spatial

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:01.654 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.881$  map that we get from satellite data

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:16:04.881 --> 00:16:07.301 and integrate and aggregate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:16:07.301 --> 00:16:10.183 up to the urban areas from Veronica

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:16:10.183 --> 00:16:12.298 Sutherlands and Ross Mohegan and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:16:12.300 --> 00:16:12.966 Danny Balashov,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:12.966 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.964$  who have all worked with me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:16:14.970 --> 00:16:16.710 have done this for PM 2.5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:16.710 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.650$  for N2 and for ozone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:18.650 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.060$  So we now have available on a Nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}16{:}22.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.210$  website as well interactive website

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.836$  the the levels of these three

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:25.836 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.633$  pollutants as well as their trends

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:27.633 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.278$  overtime and their contributions to

 $00:16:29.278 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.625$  the burden of disease in those cities

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:31.625 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.250$  for all 13,000 cities globally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:33.250 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.224$  We've given the data to the health

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:35.224 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.630$  Effects Institute who runs the

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:36.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.142$  state of Global Air project and

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:16:38.142 --> 00:16:39.810 they have published this report,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:16:39.810 --> 00:16:41.700 air quality and health in cities

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.960$  for the first time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.958$  Making the data more available for

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:44.958 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.759$  cities around the world to use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:16:46.760 --> 00:16:47.441 And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:47.441 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.803$  I think it's important to note

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:48.803 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.857$  that in most of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:49.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.448$  probably the vast majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:51.448 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.036$  of these 13,000 cities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:53.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.038$  there is no air quality monitoring.

 $00:16:55.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.308$  So this is the first time that

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:57.308 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.364$  there's really any estimate of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:59.364 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.119$  pollution levels in those cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:17:01.120 --> 00:17:02.896 They're likely to be very uncertain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:02.900 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.020$  probably wrong in a lot of different ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:17:05.020 --> 00:17:06.448 but at least it's a first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:17:06.450 --> 00:17:08.580 you know, first guess at what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}17{:}08.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11.037$  an educated guess at what pollution levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}17{:}11.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13.910$  are driven by the observations from these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333 00:17:13.910 --> 00:17:15.560 The lights. NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.772$  The other thing we can do

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:16.772 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.580$  with the satellite data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}17{:}17.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19.048$  with the continuous coverage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:19.048 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.149$  the continuous geospatial

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:20.149 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.059$  coverage from the satellite data,

 $00:17:22.060 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.196$  is that we can get at what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:24.196 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.839$  happening within individual cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333 00:17:25.840 --> 00:17:26.538 And again, NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:17:26.538 --> 00:17:28.632 we know that cities are experiencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:28.632 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.760$  health inequality issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}17{:}29.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31.884$  There's a long history of science

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:31.884 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.873$  telling us that air pollution

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:33.873 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.865$  levels are inequitably distributed

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:35.865 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.857$  within cities as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333 00:17:37.860 --> 00:17:38.284 But again, NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}17{:}38.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39.556$  we can't get that just from

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:39.556 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.007$  the four or five monitors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:41.007 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.232$  we have in individual city.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.220$  So we need to use the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146456533333300:17:44.220 --> 00:17:44.886 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:44.886 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.884$  we need to use approaches for

 $00:17:46.884 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.412$  estimating pollution levels between

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}17{:}48.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.012$  those monitors to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:50.012 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.060$  inequality and air pollution levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:17:52.060 --> 00:17:54.778 So this is a study led by Maria Castillo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:17:54.780 --> 00:17:58.036 who's now an urban planning student at MIT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:58.040 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.165$  And we partnered with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:18:00.165 --> 00:18:01.440 DC local government,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:18:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.477$  the DC Department of Energy and Environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}18{:}03.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.210$  and the Office of Health Equity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:18:05.210 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.126$  who had they had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:18:07.126 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.042$  Settlement funds from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:18:09.042 \dashrightarrow 00:18:11.109$  Volkswagen Diesel gate scandal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:18:11.110 --> 00:18:13.820 Anyone remember in 2015 there

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:18:13.820 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.530$  was a big revolution that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:18:16.530 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.098$  Volkswagen vehicles were equipped

00:18:18.098 --> 00:18:19.666 with these defeat devices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}18{:}19.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21.902$  pieces of software that would turn

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}18{:}21.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}23.827$  the emission control equipment on

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:18:23.827 --> 00:18:25.712 when the vehicle was undergoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:18:25.712 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.573$  regulatory testing of emissions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:18:27.573 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.575$  then off when they were being driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:18:29.575 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.686$  around in real world driving conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:18:31.686 --> 00:18:33.990 And that was leading to substantially

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:18:34.054 --> 00:18:35.779 higher orders of magnitude higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:18:35.779 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.504$  NOx emissions in real world

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}18{:}37.561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39.557$  driving conditions than during

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:18:39.557 --> 00:18:40.555 certification testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:18:40.560 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.610$  So there's a big lawsuit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

00:18:41.610 --> 00:18:44.552 people went to jail and now cities

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

00:18:44.552 --> 00:18:46.707 have access to settlement funds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

00:18:46.710 --> 00:18:48.336 They can use to direct resources

 $00:18:48.336 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.420$  to improve air quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:18:49.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.004$  So the DC government had settlement

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

00:18:51.004 --> 00:18:53.077 funds and they came to us and they said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

00:18:53.080 --> 00:18:55.145 can you help us understand how air

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:18:55.145 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.854$  pollution is contributing to the health

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:18:56.854 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.390$  inequality problem in the city so

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:18:58.390 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.490$  that we might be able to direct these

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.829$  resources to places that are overburdened?

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:02.829 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.519$  So we estimated PM 2.5 attributable

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:05.519 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.757$  mortality using one of those continuous

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:07.757 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.143$  data sets of PM 2.5 in this case from

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:10.143 \dashrightarrow 00:19:13.160$  the Washu group led by Randall Martin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:13.160 \dashrightarrow 00:19:16.728$  And we estimated PM 2.5 mortality rates and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00{:}19{:}16.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}18.662$  We saw that the highest PM 2.5

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

00:19:18.662 --> 00:19:20.001 mortality rates occurred in the

00:19:20.001 --> 00:19:21.146 eastern half of the city,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

00:19:21.150 --> 00:19:22.836 and lower PM 2.5 mortality rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:22.836 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.668$  in the western half of the city.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.116$  And this lined up almost exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

00:19:26.116 --> 00:19:28.090 with the map of racial segregation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:28.090 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.286$  segregation in the city,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:29.286 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.474$  so the eastern half of the cities

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:31.474 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.144$  primarily black and the western

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

00:19:33.144 --> 00:19:35.480 half of the cities primarily white.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.296$  I know what that noise is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00{:}19{:}38.680 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}19{:}40.805$  This research was received some

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:19:40.805 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.389$  interest from NASA and they created

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

00:19:43.389 --> 00:19:45.681 this really nice looking map and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:19:45.681 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.737$  they made it the image of the day

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

00:19:48.737 --> 00:19:50.572 on the NASA Earth Observatory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:19:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.896$  which was really cool.

00:19:51.896 --> 00:19:53.870 And because so many people follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:19:53.932 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.004$  the NASA Earth Observatory, if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

00:19:56.004 --> 00:19:57.940 don't you should on Instagram or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84143242566666

 $00:19:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.340$  whatever your social media choices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:20:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.860$  They posted it there and it got picked up

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:20:02.860 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.699$  by another influential Instagram accounts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:20:05.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.654$  Washingtonian probs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00{:}20{:}06.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}09.993$  Which has hundreds of thousands of followers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:20:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.275$  So this was a way that our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84143242566666

 $00:20:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.928$  you know study which was published in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:20:15.928 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.368$  esoteric journal Geo Health was picked

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:20:18.368 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.636$  up and brought to people who wouldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00{:}20{:}20{:}636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22.500$  normally read papers of Geo Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

00:20:22.500 --> 00:20:23.580 And I know they tell you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00{:}20{:}23.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}25.410$  you never read the social media

00:20:25.410 --> 00:20:27.239 comments about you know your work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:20:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.920$  But you know in a lapse of judgment

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:20:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.656$  one day I decided to read those

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:20:30.656 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.480$  comments and anyone want to take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:20:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.199$  guess at the most frequent comment

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00{:}20{:}34.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35.909$  of the Washingtonian probs account

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:20:35.909 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.100$  got when they when they posted?

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:20:39.100 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.920$  Yes. Thank you for your work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7745962025

 $00:20:42.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.818$  That would be nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:20:46.260 --> 00:20:49.500 The most frequent comment was done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}20{:}49.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51.620$  So you know, I think people know this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:20:51.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.305$  people know that air pollution

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:20:53.305 --> 00:20:54.316 is inequitably distributed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:20:54.320 --> 00:20:55.545 But again, if you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:20:55.545 --> 00:20:57.100 show it with data and maps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:20:57.100 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.680$  then it's difficult to address.

00:20:59.680 --> 00:21:01.325 In this case, again, we work directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:01.325 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.899$  with the DC local government.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:02.900 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.898$  So it was a way that they were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:04.898 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.311$  to help us design the study to answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:07.311 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.363$  the question that they had and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:21:09.363 --> 00:21:11.410 they can use the results to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:21:11.410 --> 00:21:12.700 to determine how they're using those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:12.700 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.089$  those settlement funds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:21:14.089 --> 00:21:16.867 Fun Facts on Friday I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:21:16.867 --> 00:21:19.073 recorded a video at NASA studio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:19.073 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.488$  NASA Goddard Space Flight Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:21.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.533$  They are going to now have it now and

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}21{:}23.533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25.770$  then that the lobby of NASA head quarters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:21:25.770 --> 00:21:28.170 a giant screen with me talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:28.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.370$  about this study.

 $00:21:29.370 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.590$  And they did not tell me my face was going

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}21{:}31.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33.770$  to be up there at the size of my course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:33.770 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.090$  So I'm not excited about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:21:35.090 --> 00:21:37.508 But I'm excited that they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:37.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.135$  that they're highlighting this important

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}21{:}39.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41.278$  work because I really think it does

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:41.278 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.916$  show the value of satellite data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:42.916 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.319$  what it can tell us in terms of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}21{:}45.319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}47.251$  Real world's problems that

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:47.251 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.340$  we're experiencing in cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}21{:}49.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52.190$  So that was PM 2.5 and CO2 is a pollutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:52.266 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.396$  that a lot of us don't think that much about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.476$  We often think about PM 2.5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.304$  That's the largest contributor to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:21:59.304 --> 00:22:01.279 burden of disease from air pollution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:01.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.774$  followed by ozone.

 $00:22:02.774 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.260$  And O2 is a precursor to both

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:06.260 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.916$  PM 2.5 and ozone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:22:06.916 --> 00:22:08.610 So if we want to address those pollutants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:08.610 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.680$  we have to know where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.100$  No2 is and and control it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:13.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.356$  It's also a high resolution tracer for urban

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:16.356 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.770$  traffic in particular it's associated itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.745$  With asthma development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:22:20.745 --> 00:22:22.370 that's just not that's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:22.370 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.750$  just asthma exacerbation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}22{:}23.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27.145$  but new development of as thma among children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:27.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.146$  And very conveniently it is highly correlated

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}22{:}30.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.789$  satellite and O2 is highly correlated

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:32.789 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.363$  with ground level O2 from monitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.010$  So this,

 $00:22:36.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.650$  for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}22{:}36.650 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}22{:}38.990$  is a scatter plot created by my

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:38.990 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.102$  colleague Dan Goldberg and Gage Kerr

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:41.102 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.698$  who showed that trouble me No2 columns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:43.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.352$  That's the amount of N2 in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:22:45.352 --> 00:22:47.026 column of air between the satellite

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:47.026 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.850$  and the surface of the Earth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.188$  Is highly correlated to N2 at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}22{:}51.188 \to 00{:}22{:}53.377$  ground level monitor monitored by our

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:22:53.377 --> 00:22:55.609 AQS monitor monitors our air quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}22{:}55.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}58.163$  system monitors and so this makes it

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:22:58.163 --> 00:23:00.222 a very convenient pollutant to study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:00.222 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.506$  Whereas for PM 2.5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:01.510 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.846$  the satellites are monitoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:23:02.846 --> 00:23:03.848 at different quantity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:03.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.404$  aerosol optical depth and then we need

 $00:23:05.404 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.416$  to do a bunch of science to convert

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:07.416 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.224$  that to ground level PM 2.5 here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:09.224 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.030$  even if we just took the Tropo

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:11.090 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.110$  Vienna 2 columns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:12.110 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.476$  we have a pretty good sense for

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:14.476 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.857$  where where the ground level 2 is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:23:16.860 --> 00:23:20.060 So around the time that the pandemic hit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.440$  we had just hired Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.618$  Gage Kerr as a postdoc and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:25.618 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.376$  were wondering whether or not we

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}23{:}28.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.556$  could use these tropon in data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}23{:}30.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}32.930$  So troponin data started that

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:32.930 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.300$  the records started in 2018,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:35.300 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.660$  so it was very new.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:23:36.660 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.750$  And you know, when the

 $00:23:38.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.840$  pandemic hit in spring 2020,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}23{:}40.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42.628$  Dan Goldberg had been going through

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:23:42.628 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.436$  these energy readings and looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:23:44.436 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.417$  different urban areas and seeing how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:23:46.417 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.169$  trends differed in different cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:23:48.170 --> 00:23:49.230 And we wondered, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}23{:}49.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52.078$  could we use this data set to explore

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:23:52.078 --> 00:23:55.089 how No2 changed during the pandemic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}23{:}55.090 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}23{:}56.962$  There are a lot of people working on air

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:23:56.962 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.427$  quality changes during the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:23:58.430 --> 00:23:59.122 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:23:59.122 --> 00:24:01.198 there's a whole community of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.610$  We actually got on the phone

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}24{:}02.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}04.221$  once a month talking about air

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:24:04.221 --> 00:24:05.465 quality changes during COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}24{:}05.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07.350$  But we wanted to take this a step

 $00:24:07.350 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.771$  further and really leverage the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:08.771 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.559$  value of the satellite data with

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:10.559 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.430$  that complete geospatial coverage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:24:12.430 --> 00:24:13.888 And one of the, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:13.890 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.528$  values of that satellite data is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:24:15.528 --> 00:24:17.387 fact that we can look within cities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:17.390 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.382$  different subpopulations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:18.382 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.870$  Living within cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.003$  So we had no idea whether we could use

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:22.003 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.067$  this data set to explore disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:24:24.070 --> 00:24:26.010 And I know two concentrations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:26.010 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.938$  but we we thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}24{:}26.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}29.524$  let's just give it a shot, see what happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:29.524 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.514$  Probably we won't see anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851 00:24:31.520 --> 00:24:31.765 Well,

 $00:24:31.765 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.235$  it turned out we did see

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:33.235 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.600$  something and it was really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.256$  really striking to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:36.256 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.240$  So prior to the pandemic in 2019,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.980$  the least white census tracts

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:40.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.182$  across the United States had no

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:43.182 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.790$  two concentrations that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:44.790 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.398$  about double the concentrations

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:46.398 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.540$  and the most white census tract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:24:48.540 --> 00:24:52.476 Again, that's prior to the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.270$  During the lockdowns in 2020,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.016$  both the orange dots and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:56.016 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.180$  blue dots shifted left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:57.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.022$  and that indicates that No2 dropped

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:59.022 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.309$  for both the least white census tracts

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:01.309 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.313$  and the most white census tracts.

00:25:03.320 --> 00:25:03.896 Just good thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:25:03.896 --> 00:25:04.280 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.332$  we had about 50% fewer passenger

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:06.332 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.700$  vehicles on the road.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:07.700 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.583$  It's a good thing that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:09.583 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.370$  observe and O2 just by itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:11.370 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.750$  That was useful to know that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:13.750 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.852$  could use this tromi data set

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:15.852 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.183$  to observe that drop in and O2

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:18.262 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.558$  during this natural experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851 00:25:20.560 --> 00:25:20.925 But. NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

TOTE Commence: 0.000019091

 $00:25:20.925 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.115$  One thing that we found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}23.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25.057$  was really concerning was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:25.057 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.605$  during the 2020 lockdowns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:26.610 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.350$  then O2 concentrations in the

 $00:25:28.350 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.090$  least white Census tracts were

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:25:30.158 --> 00:25:32.260 still about 50% higher than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.310$  concentrations and the most white

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:34.310 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.629$  census tracts prior to the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:36.630 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.655$  And this indicates that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}38.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}40.275$  disparities in antipollution were

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:40.275 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.607$  so large prior to the pandemic that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:25:42.607 --> 00:25:44.924 even about a 50% drop in passenger

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}44.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}46.714$  vehicle traffic was not enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:46.714 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.550$  to eliminate those disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.603$  And that held,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:25:49.603 --> 00:25:51.709 that pattern held for nearly all

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:51.709 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.552$  major cities across the US and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:25:54.552 --> 00:25:56.168 held for educational attainment

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:56.236 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.229$  and for income.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:57.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.064$  But really,

 $00:25:58.064 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.566$  that only tells us about exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}26{:}00.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02.158$  We're really just concentrations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:26:02.158 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.349$  not even exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:26:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.420$  It doesn't tell us about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:26:05.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.800$  susceptibility of the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:26:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.870$  That is breathing those concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:26:08.870 --> 00:26:11.782 So Gage took this a step further

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:26:11.782 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.802$  and looked at both PNC .5 and N2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}26{:}14.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.442$  and not just the concentrations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}26{:}16.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}18.718$  but the health outcomes that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:26:18.718 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.230$  associated with those concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}26{:}20.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23.074$  So he's comparing PM 2.5 attributable

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:26:23.074 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.586$  mortality per 100,000 people and

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:26:25.586 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.758$  that NATO attributable pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:26:27.758 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.966$  as thm a incidence rate as well.

00:26:29.966 --> 00:26:31.826 And let's just look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:26:31.830 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.174$  PM 2.5 first. We see that PM 2.5

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:26:34.174 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.926$  concentrations are dropping over time for

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:26:35.926 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.248$  both the most white and the least white

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:26:38.248 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.150$  census tracks across the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:26:40.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.518$  This is very similar to the graph I

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:26:41.518 --> 00:26:42.855 showed you at the beginning, showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:26:42.855 --> 00:26:44.805 that PM concentrations are going down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:26:44.810 --> 00:26:46.916 starting to stagnate a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:26:46.916 --> 00:26:48.969 due to those Western US fires.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:26:48.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.398$  But the disparities persist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:26:50.398 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.183$  as many others have found

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:26:52.183 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.048$  in the literature as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:26:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.340$  that PM 2.5 concentrations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:26:56.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.630$  associated disease burdens are higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}26{:}58.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}00.688$  for the least weight census tracts,

 $00:27:00.688 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.602$  and then they are for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:02.602 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.190$  most white census tracts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:27:04.190 --> 00:27:05.586 And the relative disparity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:05.586 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.331$  the relative ratio between blue

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:07.331 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.108$  dots and the orange dots here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:09.110 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.650$  is actually rising over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:10.650 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.744$  So the relative disparity is getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:12.744 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.418$  worse even though the levels are coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}27{:}15.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17.448$  down for both populations subgroups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667 00:27:17.450 --> 00:27:17.986 For No2, NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}27{:}17.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.130$  on the right hand side here we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}27{:}20.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.677$  that no two and its associated impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}27{:}22.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}24.590$  on as thma incidents among children

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.545$  is also decreasing over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:26.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.130$  again to very successful

00:27:28.130 --> 00:27:30.105 regulations under Clean Air Act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:27:30.110 --> 00:27:31.570 But the disparity is much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:31.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.998$  much larger than it is for PM 2.5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667 00:27:33.998 --> 00:27:34.566 In fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:34.566 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.690$  the relative disparity is about 7 1/2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}27{:}36.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38.790$  meaning that the most the least

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.637$  white census tracts have values

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:40.637 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.136$  that are about 7 1/2 times larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:43.212 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.546$  than the most white census tracts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:45.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.685$  whereas that value is only 1.3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}27{:}47.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.336$  For PM 2.5 not to diminish 1.3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:51.336 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.916$  that's still 30% larger PM

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:52.916 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.567$  mortality impacts for the least

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:27:54.567 --> 00:27:56.202 white Census tracts compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:56.202 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.960$  the most white census tracts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}27{:}57.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}00.780$  but no two exhibits far greater

 $00:28:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.048$  disparity than PM 2.5 does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667 00:28:04.050 --> 00:28:04.385 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:04.385 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.730$  all all of this that I've just

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:06.730 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.711$  showed you is based on one expose 1

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:09.711 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.930$  concentration data set per analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:28:11.930 --> 00:28:13.532 And there's a lot of people working on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:13.532 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.247$  lot of different concentration data sets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:15.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.410$  both PMC .5 and No2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.327$  and we don't know which one is the best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}28{:}19.330 \longrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.590$  People are using different methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:28:20.590 --> 00:28:22.206 they're using different approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:28:22.206 --> 00:28:23.418 different data inputs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.148$  And so we wanted to know how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:25.148 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.545$  is of the result that we that I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:27.545 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.181$  showed is actually driven by features

 $00:28:29.181 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.682$  of the one data set that we used as

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}28{:}31.682 \rightarrow 00{:}28{:}33.818$  opposed to other datasets where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:33.818 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.318$  find this across multiple datasets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:28:36.320 --> 00:28:40.540 So gauge is now comparing No2 disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.434$  for four population subgroups using

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:28:43.434 --> 00:28:45.678 the EPA air quality system regulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:28:45.678 --> 00:28:48.138 monitors on the left hand side here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:28:48.140 --> 00:28:50.975 For the 10 most populous cities in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}28{:}50.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53.122$  the numbers on the right show the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:53.122 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.038$  number of monitors in those cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:55.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.360$  And we see a pattern that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.800$  kind of all over the place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:57.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.212$  in fact no pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:59.212 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.405$  So this these air quality system

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:29:01.405 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.530$  monitors are not able basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}29{:}03.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}05.584$  to capture the disparities that

 $00:29:05.584 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.832$  we think exist and that a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}29{:}07.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}10.016$  other studies have found to exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:29:10.020 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.211$  When we use a land use regression

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:29:12.211 --> 00:29:13.700 model for nitrogen dioxide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:29:13.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.016$  which uses statistical approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:29:16.016 --> 00:29:18.332 to approximate No2 concentrations

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:29:18.332 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.066$  at pretty high resolution across

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:29:21.066 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.300$  the entire continental US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:29:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.260$  we see a stronger pattern pop out here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:29:28.260 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.048$  So for every major city we

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:29:30.048 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.240$  have the the lowest

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:29:31.309 --> 00:29:33.449 No2 concentrations in the non

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}29{:}33.449 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}35.589$  Hispanic white population and higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:29:35.655 --> 00:29:37.859 concentrations among the Hispanic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:29:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.540$  Asian and black populations.

 $00:29:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.900$  The ordering. Differs by by city,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}29{:}41.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}45.110$  but it's very similar to what we find

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:29:45.110 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.666$  using just the troponin No2 columns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:29:47.670 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.238$  So this is the land use regression model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:29:50.240 --> 00:29:51.878 Approximates surface level

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:29:51.878 --> 00:29:53.516 and O2 concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:29:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.177$  The Tropo me data is No2 columns that

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:29:58.177 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.559$  are more directly from the satellite

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}00.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}02.496$  and we see a very similar pattern here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:02.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.641$  We see that for both the non Hispanic white

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:05.641 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.830$  population has the lowest No2 concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:07.830 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.440$  For some cities we see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.944$  Ordering of the population

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:30:11.944 --> 00:30:13.448 subgroups is very similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:13.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.658$  so in Philadelphia the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:30:14.658 --> 00:30:15.866 ordering is very similar.

00:30:15.870 --> 00:30:17.928 In other cities we see differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}17.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.770$  but nevertheless there's much closer

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:20.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.230$  consistency between the land use

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:22.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.319$  regression data set and the troponin data

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:24.319 \dashrightarrow 00:30:26.328$  set compared with the monitor data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:30:26.330 --> 00:30:27.606 It's really not surprising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:27.606 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.139$  I mean the monitor data set was not

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}30{:}30{:}32{:}085$  intended to be used for this purpose

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:32.085 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.374$  and we're really was intended to

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}34.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35.930$  monitor regional average pollution

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:35.930 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.320$  and not neighborhood scale pollution

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.320$  that differs within cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.600$  So that was for No2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:30:41.600 --> 00:30:43.420 That would really LED us to wonder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:43.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.743$  OK,

 $00:30:43.743 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.191$  well the data set that you use for N2 has

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}47.191 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.369$  a big impact on the estimated disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.430$  What about for PM 2.5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:30:52.430 --> 00:30:54.530 which is a prudent that doesn't vary

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:54.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.267$  as much spatially as an O2 does,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:56.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.722$  and the two has a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:30:57.722 --> 00:30:58.448 short atmospheric lifetime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:58.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.306$  it stays pretty close to the mission source.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:01.310 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.790$  PM 2.5 has a lot more emission sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:31:04.790 --> 00:31:06.778 A lot of it is secondarily formed

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:06.778 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.630$  in the atmosphere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}31{:}07.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09.166$  It lives longer in the atmosphere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:09.170 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.898$  so it spreads out and sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:10.898 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.762$  of smooth spatially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:11.770 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.726$  So we but there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:13.726 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.334$  of attention on PM 2.5,

00:31:15.334 --> 00:31:15.646 right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:31:15.646 --> 00:31:17.206 the Justice 40 initiative of

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:17.206 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.730$  this current administration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:18.730 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.326$  This is a new initiative that

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:20.326 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.779$  is aimed at 40% of the benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:22.779 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.631$  Of federal investments going

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:31:24.631 --> 00:31:26.020 to disadvantaged communities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:26.020 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.436$  the data set they're using to do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.408$  to identify communities as

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}31{:}30.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32.868$  disadvantaged as a 12 kilometer

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}31{:}32.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}35.384$  spatial resolution for PM 2.5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:35.384 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.644$  That's this CMAC model monitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}31{:}37.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}39.000$  fusion data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:39.000 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.376$  That's the one that's used in EJ screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:41.380 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.436$  It's used in a lot of EPA regulatory

 $00:31:43.436 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.006$  support documents and now it's used

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:45.006 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.518$  in the climate and economic justice

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:46.569 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.854$  screening tool suggest that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:31:47.854 --> 00:31:49.459 used for the Justice 40 initiative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:49.459 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.416$  So we wondered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:50.416 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.806$  if we used a different high resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:52.806 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.866$  data set that's now available

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:54.866 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.514$  from the scientific community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.650$  would that lead to differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.497$  which communities are flagged as

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:32:00.497 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.717$  disadvantaged in the Justice 40 initiative?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:32:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.700$  So we're now comparing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:32:05.700 \dashrightarrow 00:32:07.980$  The CMAC Model monitor fusion data

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:32:07.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.348$  set at 12 kilometer spatial resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:32:10.348 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.057$  with the data set I talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:32:13.057 --> 00:32:15.488 about earlier from the Washu team,

 $00:32:15.490 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.041$  the bins unclear at all data set that

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:32:19.041 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.903$  fuses satellites with a geophysical model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:32:21.910 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.214$  And then there's a new data set led

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:32:24.214 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.842$  by Haresh mini that's available at 50

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}32{:}26.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28.827$  meter resolution within cities and

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:32:28.893 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.515$  1 kilometer resolution outside of cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:32:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.224$  And you can see just looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:32:33.224 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.360$  at the spatial resolution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:32:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.179$  the spatial distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:32:35.179 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.817$  in Los Angeles at the top,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:32:36.820 --> 00:32:38.668 Chicago in the middle and Phoenix

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:32:38.668 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.280$  on the bottom. These datasets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}32{:}40.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}42.320$  they look somewhat similar in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:32:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.596$  of their being a BLOB over the city.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:32:44.600 --> 00:32:47.048 But once you start to look a little bit

 $00:32:47.048 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.540$  closer, they really differ in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:32:49.540 --> 00:32:51.384 which neighborhoods are popping out

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:32:51.384 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.971$  at having the highest concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:32:52.971 --> 00:32:56.099 So this is still a work in progress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:32:56.100 --> 00:32:58.156 but this is led by Doctor Tess Carter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:32:58.160 --> 00:33:01.544 who just recently finished her PhD at MIT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:33:01.550 --> 00:33:03.335 And I just want to point your

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:33:03.335 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.160$  attention to the top few rows here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:33:05.160 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.310$  which show all census tracts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:33:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.910$  urban tracts and rural tracks across the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}33{:}10.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13.459$  On the left hand side here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:33:13.459 --> 00:33:15.604 comparing the most non Hispanic

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:33:15.604 --> 00:33:17.800 white populations to the least

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:33:17.800 --> 00:33:19.840 non Hispanic white populations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:33:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.263$  then on the right hand side is most

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}33{:}23.263 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}24.983$  Hispanic versus least Hispanic.

 $00:33:24.990 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.125$  And we see for each of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:33:27.125 --> 00:33:28.890 three datasets the CMAC Fusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:33:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.070$  the vans angular .01 is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:33:32.070 --> 00:33:34.949 spatial resolution and then a mini,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:33:34.950 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.428$  all three of these data sets are

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:33:37.428 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.758$  very consistent in what they show

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:33:39.758 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.346$  for at those geographies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}33{:}41.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}43.934$  And it's very similar to for each region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:33:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.388$  The absolute magnitude of the values

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:33:46.388 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.900$  of the PM 2.5 concentrations differ,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:33:49.900 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.226$  but the disparities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:33:51.226 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.878$  the patterns and disparity are similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}33{:}53.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}56.400$  This is on a regional average basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:33:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.740$  So what this tells us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:33:57.740 --> 00:34:00.080 I think I'm still processing this,

 $00:34:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.740$  is that on a regional average basis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}34{:}02.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05.324$  this EMAC data set not so bad for

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:05.324 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.820$  estimating those disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:34:06.820 --> 00:34:08.716 And you can imagine why that might be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333 00:34:08.720 --> 00:34:09.875 For PM 2.5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:09.875 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.185$  we have two things happening simultaneously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333 00:34:12.190 --> 00:34:14.130 We have. NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:14.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.568$  We have regional PM 2.5 concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:17.570 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.796$  PM is sort of higher in California

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:19.796 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.984$  and the southwest US than it is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:21.984 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.056$  other parts of the US and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:24.056 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.030$  that happening at the same time as

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:26.030 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.670$  regional sorting of populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:34:27.670 --> 00:34:30.130 There's a very large Hispanic population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333 00:34:30.130 --> 00:34:30.854 for example, NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}34{:}30.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}32.664$  in California and the southwest

 $00:34:32.664 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.537$  breathing those high PPM concentrations

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:34.537 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.985$  in that same region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:35.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.643$  So that's sort of regional nature of

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:38.643 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.167$  both population sorting as well as pollution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:41.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.328$  That's one effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:42.328 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.258$  The second effect is what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:44.258 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.879$  happening in urban areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:45.880 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.805$  PM 2.5 has some intra

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:34:48.805 --> 00:34:50.560 urban spatial variability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}34{:}50.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}53.176$  or so the literature tells us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333 00:34:53.180 --> 00:34:54.122 And that, NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}34{:}54.122 --> 00{:}34{:}55.064 \ \mathrm{you} \ \mathrm{know},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}34{:}55.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}56.948$  driven by anthropogenic sources

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:56.948 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.488$  within cities could be contributing

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:59.490 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.490$  to differences in neighborhood scale

 $00:35:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.890$  pollution levels within cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}35{:}04.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07.123$  So this maybe is actually not that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:07.123 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.777$  surprising that this lines up

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:08.777 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.691$  pretty well regardless of the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:10.691 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.413$  set because the spatial resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:35:12.413 --> 00:35:14.465 of data set doesn't matter that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:35:14.465 --> 00:35:16.066 much for that regional effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:16.066 \dashrightarrow 00:35:17.950$  that first effect I was describing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}35{:}17.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21.010$  But for the intra urban effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:21.010 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.354$  the 12 core meter data set is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:23.354 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.111$  going to be able to capture those

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:26.111 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.787$  that intra urban variability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:27.790 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.806$  So what do we see within cities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}35{:}29.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32.350$  We see something different so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.122$  In the top 10 most populated

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:35.122 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.970$  cities across the US.

 $00:35:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.920$  One thing is consistent and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.651$  the non Hispanic white population

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:35:40.651 --> 00:35:43.059 has the lowest PM 2.5 concentration

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:43.059 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.657$  in all three of these datasets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:35:45.660 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.676$  So we see a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:35:47.676 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.380$  dark blue color left of 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:35:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.188$  One is the average the the mean PM 2.5

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:35:55.188 --> 00:35:57.673 concentration for the entire population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}35{:}57.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00.482$  The non Hispanic white population has

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:00.482 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.714$  lower than average concentrations for

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:02.714 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.786$  every one of these major cities in

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:04.786 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.416$  all of the datasets but the ordering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}36{:}07.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09.260$  Of the other population subgroups

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:09.260 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.784$  really varies quite a bit depending on

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:11.784 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.272$  the data set and that again is driven

 $00:36:14.343 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.659$  by the spatial distribution of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:16.659 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.490$  concentrations in the input datasets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:36:18.490 --> 00:36:21.010 I want to point out a couple other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:36:21.010 --> 00:36:22.470 The CMAC Fusion data set,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.576$  that 12 kilometer data set that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:24.576 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.194$  being used by the Justice 40 initiative

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}36{:}27.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29.847$  team right now that has the least

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.754$  variability between population subgroups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:36:31.754 --> 00:36:33.658 And again not surprising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:33.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.198$  this is 12 kilometer datasets not

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}36{:}36.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}37.467$  capturing that heterogeneity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.486$  But we definitely see that play out

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:39.486 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.997$  or we have the the narrowest range

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}36{:}41.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}45.546$ here for I just picked up Philadelphia

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:45.546 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.199$  for Chicago and for New York.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}36{:}48.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49.510$  But then there's really interesting

 $00:36:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.296$  things that happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:36:50.300 --> 00:36:51.604 So New York, Chicago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:36:51.604 --> 00:36:53.234 and Phoenix all show pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:53.234 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.339$  different effects here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:36:54.340 --> 00:36:58.676 where in New York we have the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:58.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.680$  ranking of population subgroups in

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:00.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.356$  terms of their PM 2.5 concentration for

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:03.356 \dashrightarrow 00:37:06.230$  both of the two high resolution datasets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.174$  but not in the CMAC Fusion data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:09.180 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.838$  In Chicago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}37{:}09.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}11.812$  we hardly get much variation at

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:11.812 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.260$  all in any of the three datasets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.188$  And then in Phoenix,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:15.188 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.880$  all three of the data data sets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:37:16.880 --> 00:37:20.296 including CMAC, the CMAC Fusion data set,

 $00:37:20.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.528$  do have similar disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:22.528 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.756$  across these population subgroups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.328$  So we're still trying to dig into

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:26.328 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.160$  each of these cities and understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.000$  why they're showing these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.736$  different patterns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:30.740 \dashrightarrow 00:37:33.624$  I'm really excited about the future because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}37{:}33.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35.148$  The satellite data we have available

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461 00:37:35.148 --> 00:37:35.654 right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:35.660 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.370$  these this polar orbiting satellite data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:37.370 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.714$  that's a major improvement over what we had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.280$  what we had before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.230$  which is no satellite data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:37:43.230 --> 00:37:45.510 but we are now launching geostationary

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:45.510 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.610$  satellites which are going to hover

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:47.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.430$  over the US as the earth spins.

00:37:49.430 --> 00:37:51.155 It'll always be taking measurements

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:51.155 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.522$  over the US so tempo is launching

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:53.522 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.275$  in April and that will be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:56.275 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.312$  geostationary satellite that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:58.312 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.349$  measuring atmospheric composition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.886$  Really excited about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:01.886 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.806$  And then Noah is working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:38:03.810 --> 00:38:05.526 On Geo EXO,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}38{:}05.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}08.810$  which is an operational satellite that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:08.810 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.420$  intended to launch in the early twenty 30s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:38:11.420 --> 00:38:14.276 And there's so many stages of

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:14.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.420$  explaining why this is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}38{:}16.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}18.084$  So they asked us to help them explain

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:38:18.084 --> 00:38:19.955 why this is important for air quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:19.955 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.360$  management and for public health.

 $00:38:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.384$  So we've been really happy to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:24.384 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.153$  working with them and showing them

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:27.153 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.290$  the value of satellite data for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:30.290 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.880$  managing air quality and for public health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:38:32.880 --> 00:38:35.499 And this is work led by Doctor Kate Odell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.468$  who is quantifying the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:37.468 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.365$  four air quality alert days across

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:38:39.365 --> 00:38:41.461 the US that you would get if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:38:41.527 --> 00:38:42.718 had a geostationary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:42.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.460$  Satellite which is taking measurements

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}38{:}44.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}46.996$  across all hours of the daylight versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:38:46.996 --> 00:38:48.998 if you only had that one snapshot

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:48.998 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.701$  from a polar orbiting satellite

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:50.701 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.179$  at 1:30 PM and she's showing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:38:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.333$  the number of air quality alert days

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:38:55.333 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.570$  is much much higher for the Geo case,

 $00:38:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.026$  that's the Geo stationary

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:38:59.026 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.846$  case versus the Leo case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:00.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.380$  Leo stands for low Earth orbit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:02.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.428$  which is the polar orbiting satellites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:05.430 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.174$  And we wanted to look at the disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:08.174 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.929$  in the populations that are receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:39:10.929 --> 00:39:13.414 receiving these air quality alerts

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:39:13.414 --> 00:39:16.218 if we had the geostationary data

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}39{:}16.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}18.448$  versus the polar orbiting data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:18.450 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.124$  And she finds that actually you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:39:21.124 --> 00:39:23.430 while the magnitude differs overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:39:23.430 --> 00:39:26.670 the pattern of who, what you know the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}39{:}26.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28.614$  the population sub categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:28.614 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.044$  experiencing these poor air quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:31.044 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.474$  alert days is actually pretty

 $00:39:33.474 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.039$  similar depending regardless of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}39{:}36.039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}38.211$  Geostationary or the polar

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:38.211 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.297$  orbiting satellite?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:39:39.300 --> 00:39:39.794 Really quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:39.794 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.770$  I want to go back to the framing

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:39:41.830 --> 00:39:43.534 of climate change, because again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:39:43.534 --> 00:39:45.469 air pollution and climate change

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}39{:}45.469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}47.519$  come from the same sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.320$  Anytime we burn fossil fuels

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.760$  and we burn biofuels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}39{:}50.760 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}39{:}52.268$  or releasing both air

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:39:52.268 --> 00:39:53.776 pollutants and greenhouse gases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:53.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.260$  we want to solve a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:39:55.260 --> 00:39:56.538 problems that I just talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:56.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.148$  We could be burning less fuel and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:39:59.148 --> 00:40:01.597 be gaining by reducing CO2 emissions.

 $00:40:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.490$  So I have been able to partner

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:03.490 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.545$  for the last few years with C-40

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:05.545 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.706$  cities as well as a variety of

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:07.706 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.326$  other partners who had been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333 00:40:09.330 --> 00:40:09.773 Planning, NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:09.773 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.874$  the largest worldwide effort for cities to

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:40:12.874 --> 00:40:15.400 undertake urban climate action planning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:15.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.518$  And these are cities that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}40{:}17.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}19.555$  committed to very deep decarbonization

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:19.555 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.775$  and creating ambitious plans

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}40{:}21.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}23.995$  for reducing greenhouse gases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.148$  And we help them understand not

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}40{:}26.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.280$  just their greenhouse gas reduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:40:28.280 --> 00:40:30.056 which they're already very good at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.210$  but now understand also the reduction

 $00:40:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.218$  of PM 2.5 that they would get from

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:36.218 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.804$  taking those ambitious actions

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:37.804 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.636$  to reduce greenhouse gases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.248$  This is the framework that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:41.248 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.052$  did this within,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.770$  and we implemented this in six

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:43.770 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.280$  pilot cities around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.476$  And I just want to show two of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:47.476 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.916$  examples of these are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:48.916 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.029$  graphs that are now in these cities

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:51.029 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.141$  climate action plans for the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:40:53.141 --> 00:40:55.180 time integrating air quality into

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:55.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.140$  their climate action planning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:57.140 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.556$  So Buenos Aires saw their PM 2.5

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:41:00.556 --> 00:41:02.946 concentrations go down from about

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:02.946 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.261$  12 micrograms per meter cubed

00:41:05.261 --> 00:41:07.559 in 2050 to around 8,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.310$  which was under the World

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.010$  Health Organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:41:10.010 --> 00:41:11.634 Headline at the time we did this analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:11.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.056$  but it's now over the W 1 because

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:14.056 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.630$  that would have been reduced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:15.630 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.730$  And then Johannesburg took a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:17.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.857$  of a different approach here where

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:19.857 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.216$  they looked at each type of action

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:22.286 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.463$  they could implement and they they

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:24.463 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.641$  looked at the percent of total

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}41{:}26.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.125$  PPM concentration reduction from

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}41{:}28.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}29.770$  that action versus the percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:29.770 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.780$  of total CO2 emission reductions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:41:31.780 --> 00:41:34.636 And the one that achieved the

 $00:41:34.636 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.501$  greatest dual benefit was a mode

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:37.501 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.666$  shift from on road vehicles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.260$  We're now helping them understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:41.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.170$  CO2 emissions a little bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:41:43.170 --> 00:41:44.607 So right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:44.607 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.481$  each city is developing its own

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:41:47.481 --> 00:41:50.590 urban inventory of CO2 emissions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:50.590 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.634$  and that has advantages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}41{:}53.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}55.917$  strengths and weaknesses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:41:55.920 --> 00:41:58.075 The scientific community is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}41{:}58.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}00.230$  hard at work developing gridded

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:42:00.304 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.812$  CO2 emission data sets as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}42{:}02.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}04.484$  based on satellite observations

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:42:04.554 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.969$  of light at night and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:42:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.852$  other data sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:42:06.852 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.910$  And so we're looking at whether or

 $00:42:08.969 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.604$  not the self reported inventories

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}42{:}10.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12.958$  from the cities match what we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

00:42:12.958 --> 00:42:14.950 might be happening in the scientific

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:42:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.892$  community using these gridded datasets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

00:42:16.892 --> 00:42:20.255 And this is work led by Doctor Doyon

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:42:20.255 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.760$  on where we he's comparing the GPC

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

00:42:22.760 --> 00:42:24.698 inventory that's the self reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}42{:}24.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}27.164$  inventory versus a very widely used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:42:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.690$  Um, globally gridded emissions inventory

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00{:}42{:}29.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}33.340$  called Edgar and he sees that the there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:42:33.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.396$  sorry, in this other one is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:42:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.228$  is ODC, as well as the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00{:}42{:}37.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}39.116$  gridded CO2 emissions data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:42:39.116 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.806$  They want it pretty well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:42:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.714$  This is actually better than I might

00:42:42.714 --> 00:42:44.359 have expected prior to this project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:42:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.112$  but he sees a lot more scatter outside

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

00:42:47.112 --> 00:42:50.345 of the US and Europe and a lot more

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:42:50.345 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.009$  consistency in US and European cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:42:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.458$  So just to conclude that climate

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:42:55.458 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.900$  change is worsening air pollution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00{:}42{:}57.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}00.558$  which is already a leading factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}43{:}00.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}03.179$  for global health around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

00:43:03.180 --> 00:43:05.788 We have now access to data that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

00:43:05.788 --> 00:43:07.390 that's completely unprecedented,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:43:07.390 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.595$  these novel geospatial datasets they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}43{:}10.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}13.159$  increasingly capable of providing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

00:43:13.160 --> 00:43:14.237 Information about pollution

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}43{:}14.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}16.032$  levels everywhere in the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

00:43:16.032 --> 00:43:17.860 with full geospatial coverage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:43:17.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.003$  high temporal frequency and in some cases

 $00:43:21.003 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.959$  now building long temporal trends too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:43:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.746$  Some of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:43:24.746 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.318$  some of these satellites have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

00:43:26.318 --> 00:43:27.631 flying for years and that's enabled

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:43:27.631 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.620$  us to do a lot of different things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

00:43:29.620 --> 00:43:31.790 I just talked today about air pollution

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

00:43:31.790 --> 00:43:33.670 levels globally and at 13,000 cities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}43{:}33.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}35.596$  as well as intra urban disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}43{:}35.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37.532$  But people are using these satellite

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00{:}43{:}37.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}40.017$  data sets and all kinds of unique and

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

00:43:40.020 --> 00:43:42.336 very useful ways like spotting wildfire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:43:42.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.308$  smoke and dust storms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667 00:43:44.308 --> 00:43:44.800 Thanks. NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

00:43:44.800 --> 00:43:45.661 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:43:45.661 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.096$  I really think that this

 $00:43:47.096 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.670$  improved information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:43:47.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.514$  if we integrate this into our

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

00:43:50.514 --> 00:43:51.936 environmental management techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

00:43:51.940 --> 00:43:54.451 including policy development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:43:54.451 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.636$  we can achieve multiple societal

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:43:58.636 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.310$  improvements simultaneously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:44:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.405$  I've been really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:44:01.405 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.960$  really fortunate to be in a position

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:44:04.034 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.310$  now where I can be training the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

00:44:06.310 --> 00:44:08.859 generation to be using data sets like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:44:08.860 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.954$  and there's new ways of doing environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:44:11.954 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.340$  health that are now possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

00:44:14.340 --> 00:44:16.120 So bringing that in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

00:44:16.120 --> 00:44:17.900 bringing in systems approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:44:17.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.595$  and an equity and justice lens in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:44:21.595 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.775$  addition to engaging multidisciplinary

 $00:44:23.775 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.009$  teams and diverse partners,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

00:44:26.010 --> 00:44:27.949 I talked about some of the partners

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

00:44:27.949 --> 00:44:29.503 I've worked with including C-40

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:44:29.503 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.439$  cities and the DC government.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:44:31.439 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.977$  That's just sort of scratching the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

00:44:33.977 --> 00:44:36.590 surface that if you work directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:44:36.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.705$  with these action oriented partners

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:44:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.666$  from the beginning of a project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}44{:}40.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}42.650$  you can actually design a project

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:44:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.959$  to achieve the needs that they have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

00:44:44.960 --> 00:44:46.880 To improve life for people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

00:44:46.880 --> 00:44:47.960 And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00{:}44{:}47.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}49.400$  leveraging novel geospatial datasets

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:44:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.119$  is not something that I was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667 00:44:51.120 --> 00:44:51.670 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667  $00:44:51.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.945$  well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:44:51.945 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.860$  actually I was trained to use novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:44:53.860 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.160$  geospatial datasets that were novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

00:44:55.160 --> 00:44:57.059 at the time that I did my training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:44:57.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.808$  which is before satellites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

00:44:58.808 --> 00:45:00.119 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:45:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.954$  a lot of people in the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:45:01.954 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.740$  didn't have that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

00:45:02.740 --> 00:45:04.426 don't yet have that training and

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:45:04.426 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.234$  something that we can bring into

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:45:06.234 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.576$  public health more frequently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:45:07.576 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.724$  There's a lot of communities of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

 $00:45:09.724 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.577$  practice to plug into as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:45:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.605$  We've developed the climate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87942766466667

00:45:13.605 --> 00:45:15.225 Health Institute at GW.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00:45:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.267$  We just are now completing a NASA

 $00:45:17.267 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.924$  supported team called satellite data

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00{:}45{:}18.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}20.729$  for environmental justice that brought

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00:45:20.729 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.073$  together a lot of people that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

00:45:23.073 --> 00:45:24.885 using satellite data for this purpose

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00{:}45{:}24.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}28.482$  and a plug to shameless plug to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

00:45:28.482 --> 00:45:31.868 involved in the AGU health community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00:45:31.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.928$  which you know includes a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00:45:33.928 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.299$  people who are using these big

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00:45:36.299 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.199$  geospatial datasets to answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00{:}45{:}38.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}39.624$  environmental health problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00:45:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.556$  Very excited that Doctor Chen is

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00:45:41.556 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.370$  part of that community as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00:45:43.370 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.990$  So that's it for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00{:}45{:}44.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}47.102$  Just wanted to acknowledge a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00:45:47.102 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.032$  of support and again reiterate

 $00:45:49.032 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.211$  that without open data sets none

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

00:45:51.211 --> 00:45:52.873 of this would have been possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00:45:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.216$  So thank you to the data set developers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00:45:55.220 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.630$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57156815

 $00:46:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.970$  I think it takes around

NOTE Confidence: 0.57156815

 $00:46:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.190$  5 to 10 minutes for Q&A,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89760932

 $00:46:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.878$  so if you do have a question please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:46:08.050 --> 00:46:11.506 Sure. Thank you so much for stopping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}46{:}11.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.428$  It's really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:12.428 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.115 I$ , I know that one of the major

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:46:15.115 --> 00:46:17.027 concerns amongst environmental justice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:17.027 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.825$  communities with datasets such as EJ

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:46:19.825 --> 00:46:21.889 screen is that they're not specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:21.889 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.470$  enough that they don't get down

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:24.470 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.040$  to that really granular level of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:46:27.040 --> 00:46:29.444 Look, fenceline impacts umm.

00:46:29.444 --> 00:46:31.848 And I'm curious how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.310$  when working with large datasets

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:35.310 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.570$  from satellites such as troponin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.395$  which only takes about once

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:39.395 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.490$  a day measurement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:40.490 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.339$  you can also bring in those qualitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:43.339 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.718$  data points from environmental justice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:45.718 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.964$  communities on the ground to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}46{:}48.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}50.608$  experiencing air pollution impacts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:50.608 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.170$  I love that question because it really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}46{:}53.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}55.774$  shows the value not just in this kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:46:55.774 --> 00:46:57.670 of quantitative data work that I do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}46{:}57.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}59.644$  but in the lived experience as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:59.650 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.738$  And we've we've run into this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}01.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04.010$  multiple projects and I just couldn't

 $00:47:04.010 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.030$  agree more with that because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}06.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}08.390$  As I showed the we still have disagreement

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:08.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.252$  between several of the high resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:10.252 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.777$  datasets that we're looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:11.780 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.876$  I mean they are better I think than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}13.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}15.600$  the course resolution data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:47:15.600 --> 00:47:16.410 But if you're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:47:16.410 --> 00:47:18.669 let's say you're looking at a map of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}18.669 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}47{:}20.797$  Houston and you've got our land use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:20.797 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.655$  regression data set of N2 and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}22.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.584$  the tricomi data set of two and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:24.584 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.629$  live in an area which is high in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:26.629 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.613$  one data set and not in the other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.170$  what then?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:29.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.095$  And you know where that is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:31.095 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.547$  reality we are in right now,

 $00:47:32.550 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.030$  we're in this messy space of data sets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}36.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}38.442$  Not matching at that granular scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:38.442 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.195$  and I just think it shows the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:41.195 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.920$  limitation of what we can do with a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:47:43.920 --> 00:47:44.650 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:47:44.650 --> 00:47:45.380 one-size-fits-all approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:45.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.205$  you consistent across the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}47.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50.493$  We need to bring in people's lived

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}50.493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}52.937$  experience and understanding of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:52.937 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.322$  local sources affecting their community

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}55.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57.950$  for this datasets to be improved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:57.950 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.838$  How we do that, I think let's like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:48:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.620$  Get creative, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}48{:}01.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04.005$  I mean, we could bring in story

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:48:04.005 --> 00:48:05.870 maps of people's life experiences,

00:48:05.870 --> 00:48:08.014 you know, there's a lot of ways it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:48:08.020 --> 00:48:09.470 it's not even just about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:48:09.470 --> 00:48:10.966 you know, community monitoring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:48:10.966 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.836$  which can be quite helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:48:12.840 --> 00:48:13.662 And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:48:13.662 --> 00:48:14.758 we're rapidly expanding that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:48:14.758 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.159$  in the US right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:48:16.160 --> 00:48:17.324 but, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:48:17.324 --> 00:48:19.652 You have people going out and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:48:19.660 --> 00:48:20.992 writing about their experiences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}48{:}20.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}22.657$  taking videos of their experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:48:22.660 --> 00:48:25.159 So I think that's sort of community

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:48:25.159 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.516$  contributed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:48:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.284$  qualitative approach has a lot of value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:48:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.310$  Yes, just make sure there's any

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}48{:}34.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}35.720$  students have a question for us.

 $00:48:35.720 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.988$  Is there a hand over there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}48{:}37.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}40.661$  Umm, so my question or this, first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:48:40.661 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.203$  thank you for the fabulous presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:48:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.890$  I greatly enjoyed it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:48:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.768$  My question, slash comment is

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:48:45.768 --> 00:48:46.806 about environmental disparity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:48:46.810 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.900$  So I you know, a lot of times we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:48:48.967 --> 00:48:50.887 more and more and more beautiful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:48:50.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.266$  beautiful, more and more detailed maps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:48:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.926$  However, if we could press a button today

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}48{:}55.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58.747$  that made exposure equal across the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:48:58.750 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.866$  first of all, we press it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:48:59.870 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.142$  Second of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:01.142 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.414$  environmental disparities would

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:02.414 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.110$  still exist because people

00:49:04.168 --> 00:49:05.908 respond differently to health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}49{:}05.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}08.248$  So my comment to you my question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:08.250 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.769$  Is. What are your thoughts on this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:09.770 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.682$  Because I have had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:49:11.682 --> 00:49:14.550 Like when I talk with communities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:14.550 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.434$  99 to 100% of them talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:17.434 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.360$  exposure without talking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.905$  fact that and it is a fact that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:21.905 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.069$  know that people respond differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.122$  And to what degree do you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:27.122 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.189$  environmental health disparities should be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:29.190 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.205$  Are there may be some environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:32.205 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.360$  disparities not incorporated into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:34.425 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.550$  world's most perfect exposure map?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:36.550 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.326$  The way they agreed to you that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:38.326 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.237$  have very focused on pollution levels,

 $00:49:40.240 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.925$  and the same pollution level

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:49:41.925 --> 00:49:43.273 can cause dramatic different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:49:43.280 --> 00:49:44.810 dramatically different impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:44.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.340$  for different populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:49:46.340 --> 00:49:47.720 I showed that map of Washington,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.936$  DC and the high PM 2.5 mortality rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:49.936 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.709$  on the eastern half and the low PM 2.5

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}49{:}52.709 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54.768$  mortality rate on the western half.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.390$  That actually comes from a pretty consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:49:59.390 --> 00:50:02.288 PM 2.5 concentration for the entire city,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.670$  but vastly different mortality rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.882$  The, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:06.882 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.306$  Southeast Quadrant has had no hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:50:09.310 --> 00:50:10.170 GW Building went out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:50:10.170 --> 00:50:11.910 I'm very happy that that that's happening.

00:50:11.910 --> 00:50:12.846 But no hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:50:12.846 --> 00:50:14.406 so no access to healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}50{:}14.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}16.570$  no easy access to health care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:16.570 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.402$  This is the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:50:17.402 --> 00:50:17.818 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.626$  in cities all around the country

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:19.626 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.629$  and around the world that there's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:50:21.630 --> 00:50:22.252 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}50{:}22.252 {\:\raisebox{---}{\text{---}}}> 00{:}50{:}24.429$  social determinants of health are a major,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.858$  major.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}50{:}24.858 \to 00{:}50{:}26.998$  Doctor Diamond exposure and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:50:26.998 --> 00:50:29.910 think in terms of addressing it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:50:29.910 --> 00:50:31.849 I mean we have like I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.686$  the there's this time and economic

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:33.686 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.229$  justice screening tools being used

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}50{:}35.229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}36.825$  now for the Justice 40 initiative.

 $00:50:36.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.064$  We have EJ screen to show where

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}50{:}40.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}41.450$  these disadvantaged communities

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:41.523 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.428$  are in a nationwide basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:43.430 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.652$  Some are not accounting for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:50:46.652 --> 00:50:48.263 that increase susceptibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:48.270 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.530$  increase mortality rates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.790$  higher mortality rates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}50{:}50.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}52.494$  higher health outcome rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:52.494 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.050$  The Cbest tool right now is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:50:55.050 --> 00:50:56.842 Includes poverty and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:56.842 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.738$  additional indicator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:57.740 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.620$  So that could be PM 2.5 and EJ.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:51:01.620 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.728$  Screen has an index.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:51:02.728 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.139$  I think if we were to use more of like that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:51:06.139 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.420$  index approach that brings in poverty,

 $00:51:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.821$  brings in health and some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:51:10.821 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.941$  other social determinants of health in

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:51:12.941 --> 00:51:14.656 addition to the pollution exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:51:14.660 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.935$  we can start to identify not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:51:16.935 --> 00:51:18.839 who is experiencing bad pollution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:51:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.496$  but who is most impacted by that bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:51:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.920$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7078442

 $00:51:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.960$  Any idea what's causing the differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.7078442

 $00{:}51{:}27.960 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}51{:}29.752$  in disparities between cities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.694952775

 $00:51:29.760 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.016$  I'm originally from Chicago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.694952775

00:51:31.016 --> 00:51:32.272 The expressways run through

NOTE Confidence: 0.694952775

00:51:32.272 --> 00:51:33.910 black and brown neighborhoods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.694952775

 $00:51:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.050$  which is true everywhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.694952775

00:51:36.050 --> 00:51:37.655 But disparities there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.694952775

 $00:51:37.660 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.826$  both knocks and five were fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.694952775

 $00{:}51{:}39.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}42.189$  modest compared to the other cities.

00:51:43.860 --> 00:51:45.516 That's it's such a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:51:45.520 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.592$  And we now have a big project with

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:51:47.592 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.139$  an environmental Defense fund to

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

00:51:49.139 --> 00:51:50.764 dig into Chicago specifically to

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:51:50.764 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.021$  understand that because Chicago

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:51:52.021 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.953$  does have a whole lot of trucking

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:51:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.556$  that is coming through the city.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:51:55.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.536$  And as you say it is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00{:}51{:}59.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}01.566$  geographically with with with

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:52:01.566 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.338$  black and Hispanic populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00{:}52{:}03.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}05.489$  There is no some other major roads

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:52:05.489 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.882$  that are more in wealthier whiter

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00{:}52{:}07.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}09.283$ neighborhoods like Lakeshore

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:52:09.283 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.151$  Drive going going north.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:52:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.680$  So when you take like an urban average.

 $00:52:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.626$  It also very much depends on learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

00:52:15.630 --> 00:52:17.208 It very much depends on how

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:52:17.208 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.769$  you define what the city is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

00:52:18.770 --> 00:52:20.318 Are you looking just at Chicago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:52:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.140$  the entire county, entire MSA?

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

00:52:23.140 --> 00:52:25.899 And actually we've seen that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:52:25.899 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.306$  disparities flipped depending

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:52:27.306 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.120$  on how you define their opinion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

00:52:30.120 --> 00:52:31.956 More details coming soon at Chicago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:52:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.940$  so that's an interesting one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84271500875

00:52:34.580 --> 00:52:37.340 Thank you so much for the fascinating part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84271500875

 $00:52:37.340 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.094$  I just have a question about the time trends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84271500875

00:52:40.100 --> 00:52:42.800 You showed that by racial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84271500875

00:52:42.800 --> 00:52:47.861 ethnic of their exposure and O 2:00 PM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84271500875

 $00:52:47.861 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.709$  but how, how does that change over time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84271500875

 $00:52:51.710 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.325$  Is there any like convergence

 $00:52:53.325 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.294$  across those groups?

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00{:}52{:}55.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}58.079$  They have to share share the slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

00:52:58.079 --> 00:52:59.745 but the project that I showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

00:52:59.745 --> 00:53:01.621 that had the PM on the left hand side

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

00:53:01.621 --> 00:53:03.369 and the No2 on the right hand side

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

00:53:03.369 --> 00:53:04.851 that showed PM mortality rates and

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00:53:04.851 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.646$  then No2 attributable asthma rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

00:53:06.646 --> 00:53:08.806 Those do show trends overtime

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00{:}53{:}08.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}10.791$  and the concentrations for both

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00{:}53{:}10.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}13.828$  PM and NS are going down for all

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00{:}53{:}13.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}15.880$  population subgroups really great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00:53:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.664$  But the relative disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00{:}53{:}17.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}19.894$  are increasing for both parents

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00:53:19.894 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.298$  because of the like the changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

00:53:22.298 --> 00:53:24.280 in that that overall magnitude.

 $00:53:24.280 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.380$  So the. That's this one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00:53:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.256$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00:53:27.256 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.570$  So the overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00:53:28.570 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.900$  the PM concentrations have come

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00:53:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.230$  down approximately the same amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00{:}53{:}33.307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}35.003$  for all population subgroups

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00:53:35.003 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.547$  and that leads to an increased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00:53:37.550 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.368$  Ratio between the population subgroups and

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00:53:39.368 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.079$  then for N2 this doesn't really look like it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00:53:42.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.509$  but these orange dots are going down as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00{:}53{:}45.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}47.402$  Much greater energy reductions

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00:53:47.402 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.767$  for the least white communities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00{:}53{:}49.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}54.410$  but still we see rising ratios of

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

 $00{:}53{:}54.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}57.200$  disparity relative disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

00:53:57.200 --> 00:53:57.450 Thank NOTE Confidence: 0.830236174166667

 $00:53:57.460 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.716$  you. The the reason I ask this is

00:53:59.716 --> 00:54:02.500 from the population migration and

NOTE Confidence: 0.830236174166667

 $00:54:02.500 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.258$  point of view is very mixed picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830236174166667

 $00:54:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.206$  The data shows that it's more

NOTE Confidence: 0.830236174166667

 $00:54:08.206 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.668$  segregation across cities unless so

NOTE Confidence: 0.830236174166667

 $00:54:10.668 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.580$  within cities in many parts of America.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830236174166667

 $00:54:13.580 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.670$  So that's interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.761099540833333

 $00:54:16.670 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.105$  We only looked at the temporal

NOTE Confidence: 0.761099540833333

 $00:54:18.105 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.479$  trends and the pollution levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761099540833333

 $00:54:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.436$  not where where people are living,

NOTE Confidence: 0.761099540833333

 $00:54:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.742$  so that would be an interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.761099540833333

 $00:54:22.742 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.610$  question to look into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83376692375

 $00:54:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.304$  Uh, we we do have a comment online,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83376692375

00:54:27.310 --> 00:54:29.068 but I think it's more like

NOTE Confidence: 0.83376692375

 $00{:}54{:}29.068 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}54{:}30.635$  suggestion we can look at and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83376692375

00:54:30.635 --> 00:54:31.925 thank you all for coming because

00:54:31.925 --> 00:54:33.508 we have a class right office.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83376692375

 $00:54:33.510 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.818$  So we have to end today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83376692375

00:54:34.820 --> 00:54:36.210 Thank you all and thanks.