WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:57:04" NOTE recognizability:0.828

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.853590912

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.850$  Like to welcome you to smile

NOTE Confidence: 0.853590912

 $00:00:02.850 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.750$  shares with primary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853590912

 $00:00:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.319$  And we are going to be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.853590912

 $00:00:07.319 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.020$  about gynecologic issues tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818571418888889

 $00:00:11.210 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.330$  And let's see. Let's

NOTE Confidence: 0.818571418888889

 $00:00:12.330 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.730$  advance to the next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00:00:18.270 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.486$  Great. So this is a program that SMILE

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00{:}00{:}21.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.363$  has developed with an EMG and in Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00{:}00{:}24.363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.706$  Karen Brown is is my partner in crime here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00:00:27.710 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.996$  It's a monthly lecture series that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00{:}00{:}29.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.910$  really focuses on primary care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00:00:31.910 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.720$  perspectives on cancer and hematology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00:00:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.968$  for primary care clinicians.

 $00:00:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.154$  There are lots of other formats

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00:00:39.154 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.610$  and venues to learn about cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00:00:41.610 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.834$  but the aim here was really to develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00:00:44.834 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.279$  a panel that would address questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00:00:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.197$  That primary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

00:00:50.197 --> 00:00:53.392 Has around cancer on different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00{:}00{:}53.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.017$  topics and also to focus on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00:00:57.020 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.498$  Teams working together in a specific region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00{:}00{:}59.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}02.314$  So we're we're focusing on gynecologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

00:01:02.314 --> 00:01:05.952 oncology care today and and really in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00{:}01{:}05.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08.802$  westerly water filled Waterford region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

00:01:08.802 --> 00:01:12.757 It's a monthly lecture series,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00:01:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.385$  first Tuesdays of the month from 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00:01:15.385 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.829$  to 6 and we have programs all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

00:01:18.829 --> 00:01:21.510 way through until June and I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00:01:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.330$  show you at the end.

 $00{:}01{:}23.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.730$  I'm gonna hand it over to to Karen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00{:}01{:}25.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.278$  any other words and and to get started

NOTE Confidence: 0.8278296348

 $00:01:28.278 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.970$  with the with our first introductions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:01:32.220 --> 00:01:34.975 I would just echo your

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:01:34.975 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.179$  excitement at this series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:01:37.180 --> 00:01:40.035 Welcome to everybody who's watching

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:01:40.035 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.890$  and gratitude to everybody who

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00{:}01{:}42.982 \to 00{:}01{:}45.577$  has put together this program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:01:45.580 --> 00:01:47.840 You know, in primary care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:01:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.990$  we do a lot of work and we also

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00{:}01{:}50.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}53.877$  rely on specialists around us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:01:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.536$  When our patients get very sick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00{:}01{:}55.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57.742$  we take pride in recognizing when

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00{:}01{:}57.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}00.275$  they get very sick and being able

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:02:00.275 --> 00:02:02.592 to expedite their care in a way.

 $00:02:02.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.936$  That meets their needs both medically

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00{:}02{:}05.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.596$  and also psychologically and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:02:08.596 --> 00:02:11.364 new cancer is a high time of need

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:02:11.370 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.022$  and and so this series represents

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:02:14.022 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.673$  not just education around new cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:02:16.673 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.501$  but it also recognizes that we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:02:19.501 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.022$  actively working to build bridges

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:02:22.022 --> 00:02:24.567 between primary care both through

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00{:}02{:}24.567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27.655$  education through some of our care

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:02:27.655 --> 00:02:29.998 signature pathways and and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:02:29.998 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.614$  regionally as well because we know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:02:32.620 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.356$  Curious relationship based and

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:02:34.356 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.614$  we hope that this will be part

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:02:37.614 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.906$  of building those relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:02:39.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.242$  So I am pleased to introduce Jeff Joseph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:02:44.242 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.350$  Doctor Joseph is now a gynecologist in

 $00:02:49.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.378$  in the westerly region. He graduated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:02:53.378 --> 00:02:56.348 From Block Island High School.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:02:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.566$  Fun fact. And it's really true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:02:59.570 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.746$  His graduating class had eight people in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:03:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.172$  So talk about practicing medicine in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:03:05.172 --> 00:03:07.409 community and being from the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:03:07.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.480$  His undergrad degree was in

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:03:09.480 --> 00:03:10.308 chemical Engineering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00{:}03{:}10.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}12.230$  Masters degree in Georgetown and

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00{:}03{:}12.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}14.150$  then New York Medical College.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:03:14.150 --> 00:03:16.607 He did a residency at Bay State

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:03:16.607 --> 00:03:17.309 Medical Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:03:17.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.926$  and then he worked at South

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:03:19.926 --> 00:03:21.670 County Hospital in Wakefield,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:03:21.670 --> 00:03:24.472 RI for many years until he's

 $00:03:24.472 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.406$  joined northeast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00{:}03{:}25.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28.630$  Medical Group in 2021 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:03:28.630 --> 00:03:31.291 now his practice is GYN only,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:03:31.291 --> 00:03:34.693 although he can say he's probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:03:34.693 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.591$  delivered over 3000 babies in

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:03:37.591 --> 00:03:39.819 his OBGYN years earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:03:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.997$  We are happy to have him present

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00{:}03{:}41.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.620$  some cases on to kind of kick off

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

00:03:44.620 --> 00:03:47.185 discussions and I'll turn it over to

NOTE Confidence: 0.882449396666667

 $00:03:47.185 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.360$  you and for additional introductions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

 $00{:}03{:}50.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52.800$  Great, thanks and welcome Doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

00:03:52.800 --> 00:03:54.580 Joseph, you're, you're a specialist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

 $00:03:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.860$  but to night you're also primary care

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

 $00:03:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.780$  in terms of the gynecologic piece.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

00:03:59.780 --> 00:04:03.000 So I'd like to introduce Johanna D'addario,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

00:04:03.000 --> 00:04:08.380 MHS, PA She's a 2008 graduate of Quinnipiac

 $00:04:08.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.820$  University Physicians assistant program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

 $00:04:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.636$  She's got clinical experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

00:04:12.636 --> 00:04:13.998 in hospital medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

 $00{:}04{:}14.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}16.044$  primary care and gynecologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

 $00{:}04{:}16.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}18.599$  on cology and also experience in

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

00:04:18.599 --> 00:04:20.990 patient safety and PA education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

00:04:20.990 --> 00:04:23.270 Um, she's very interested in genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

 $00{:}04{:}23.270 \to 00{:}04{:}25.190$  health and Wellness, disease prevention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

 $00:04:25.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.466$  and she joined us at Yale New Haven Health

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

 $00:04:28.466 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.567$  in 2018 as the coordinator of the Sexuality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

00:04:31.570 --> 00:04:33.306 Intimacy and Menopause Clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

 $00:04:33.306 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.910$  She enjoys helping women with cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

 $00{:}04{:}35.977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38.081$  maintain healthy relationships and

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

 $00:04:38.081 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.185$  manage treatment side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

 $00:04:40.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.854$  And she's a member of the

00:04:42.854 --> 00:04:44.630 Society of Gynecologic Oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

00:04:44.630 --> 00:04:46.640 the North American Menopause Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

 $00:04:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.650$  and the Scientific Network on

NOTE Confidence: 0.751751764

00:04:48.718 --> 00:04:50.668 female Sexual health and cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

00:04:52.920 --> 00:04:56.248 Like to then turn to Doctor Mitchell Clark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:04:56.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.980$  who is an assistant professor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}04{:}58.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01.789$  OBGYN at the division of Kynance

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:05:01.789 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.034$  at Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:05:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.344$  He did his residency at Yale New Haven

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

00:05:07.344 --> 00:05:09.885 Health and completed his fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}05{:}09.885 \to 00{:}05{:}11.985$  training at the internationally

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:05:11.985 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.085$  renowned Princess Margaret Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

00:05:14.085 --> 00:05:16.517 Center at the University of Toronto,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:05:16.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.455$  where he gained clinical and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:05:18.455 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.003$  surgical expertise in all

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}05{:}20.003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21.959$  aspects of gynecological cancer.

 $00:05:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.730$  Cancer care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:05:22.730 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.040$  He also was very much engaged

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:05:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.811$  in a rigorous research program

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:05:27.811 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.824$  furthering on further understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:05:29.824 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.393$  the role of surgery and high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

00:05:32.393 --> 00:05:34.533 ovarian cancer and also during his

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:05:34.533 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.248$  fellowship completed a Master of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}05{:}36.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38.379$  Public Health degree and continues

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}05{:}38.379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.444$  to actively research cervical cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}05{:}40.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43.280$  prevention at a population level

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:05:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.968$  using administrative databases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}05{:}44.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}47.204$  He's received numerous National

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:05:47.204 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.100$  International awards for his research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}05{:}50.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}51.912$  teaching and surgical skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

00:05:51.912 --> 00:05:52.818 Including this,

00:05:52.820 --> 00:05:55.015 the Society of Gynecologic Oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}05{:}55.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57.809$  of Canada Research Award Award of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

00:05:57.809 --> 00:06:00.469 Excellence and minimally invasive gynecology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

00:06:00.470 --> 00:06:02.382 gynecology and Yale School

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:06:02.382 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.294$  of Medicine Teaching award.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

00:06:04.300 --> 00:06:05.779 Thank you, Mitchell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

00:06:05.779 --> 00:06:09.096 And then finally, Doctor Christy Kim,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

00:06:09.096 --> 00:06:10.320 MD, FACP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:06:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.215$  She's an assistant professor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}06{:}12.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.110$  clinical medicine and a General

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}06{:}14.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.884$  Medical oncologist with special

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}06{:}15.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18.019$  interest and passion in gynecologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:06:18.019 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.197$  and breast cancers and lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}06{:}20.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}21.684$  She works at she,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

00:06:21.684 --> 00:06:23.910 she's at the Our Smile Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:06:23.997 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.447$  Hospital Care Center in Waterford

 $00:06:26.447 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.897$  and has also participated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:06:28.981 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.405$  gynecologic oncology group clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

00:06:31.405 --> 00:06:34.435 trials as a primary investigator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:06:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.904$  And as a member of the Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}06{:}36.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}38.641$  of Gynecologic Oncology Clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

00:06:38.641 --> 00:06:39.859 Practice Committee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:06:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.188$  she co-authored neuroendocrine tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}06{:}42.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.098$  of the gynecologic tract update

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:06:45.100 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.650$  and she's also an active member

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}06{:}47.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49.350$  of the International Gynecologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

00:06:49.416 --> 00:06:51.408 Cancer Society and European

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:06:51.408 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.400$  Society of Gynecologic Oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}06{:}53.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56.018$  She was appointed at as an adjunct

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}06{:}56.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57.586$  assistant professor at Icahn

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:06:57.586 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.836$  School of Medicine at Mount Sinai.

 $00:06:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.508$  She's committed to improve the lives

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}07{:}01.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03.680$  of those who are impacted by cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:07:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.678$  and she feels really passionate about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:07:05.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.458$  Providing the best evidence based therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:07:08.458 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.910$  options personalized to each patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

00:07:10.910 --> 00:07:15.439 So great faculty tonight I will ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

00:07:15.439 --> 00:07:18.312 you to remember that we do have time

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}07{:}18.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.957$  reserved at the end for questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:07:19.957 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.101$  and that's been some of the the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:07:22.155 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.460$  interactive and really interesting session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:07:24.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.416$  So keep your questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00{:}07{:}25.416 \to 00{:}07{:}27.620$  You can put them in the chat later or

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:07:27.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.600$  along the way we'll keep track of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

 $00:07:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.144$  Umm one more comment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84380274125

00:07:32.144 --> 00:07:34.368 Doctor Brown, before we start,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

00:07:35.040 --> 00:07:38.160 before before we begin, I just need to

 $00:07:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.818$  recognize the fact that the New Haven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

00:07:40.820 --> 00:07:44.096 Primary care community lost one of its

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:07:44.096 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.021$  own last month and I want to dedicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

00:07:48.021 --> 00:07:51.006 this session to Laura Whitman and

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00{:}07{:}51.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.229$  and also say a few words about her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

00:07:55.230 --> 00:07:57.930 After spending time at Duke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

00:07:57.930 --> 00:08:00.050 UNC Upenn and Case Western

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:08:00.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.746$  Reserve School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

00:08:01.750 --> 00:08:04.135 Laura completed her residency in

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00{:}08{:}04.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.750$  internal medicine here at Yale in

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:08:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.652$  1996 and it was specifically in

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00{:}08{:}08.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.030$  what we called an ambulatory care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00{:}08{:}11.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.571$  Back she was also a chief resident

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:08:13.571 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.630$  in the primary care center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

00:08:15.630 --> 00:08:17.950 which used to be located on Howard Ave.

 $00:08:17.950 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.574$  and it was there that she and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:08:21.574 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.220$  worked together most intensively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:08:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.716$  She was recruited as a faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:08:26.716 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.119$  member based on her excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00{:}08{:}29.119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32.379$  clinical and also educational skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

00:08:32.380 --> 00:08:34.552 She demonstrated patient centeredness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00{:}08{:}34.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37.810$  and her kind manner was obvious

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:08:37.896 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.456$  to all of us who worked with her,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

00:08:40.460 --> 00:08:43.036 whether we worked with her as a patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:08:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.595$  in an exam room, in a clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00{:}08{:}45.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48.120$  conference room or lecture hall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:08:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.809$  She was a leader in the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

00:08:51.809 --> 00:08:53.390 care medical education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:08:53.390 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.170$  And which relocated to the Cornell Scott

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:08:57.170 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.529$  Hill Health Center several years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:09:00.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.506$  She also was an author of the Yale

00:09:03.506 --> 00:09:05.919 Office based Medicine curriculum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:09:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.622$  which is a case based study that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00{:}09{:}08.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.868$  used in medical residency clinics

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:09:10.868 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.876$  all over the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:09:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.148$  She was a fierce advocate for

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

00:09:15.148 --> 00:09:17.415 vulnerable populations as well as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

00:09:17.415 --> 00:09:19.125 fierce advocate for primary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:09:19.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.990$  Those of us who practice medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:09:20.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.611$  we we learned very quickly that

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

00:09:23.611 --> 00:09:26.016 there's no US versus them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:09:26.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.990$  And that we have another expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00{:}09{:}28.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30.550$  I know we use it in this practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

00:09:30.550 --> 00:09:32.690 I I suspect it's universal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:09:32.690 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.946$  that there's a clear lack of

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:09:34.946 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.270$  justice in most cancer diagnosis.

 $00:09:37.270 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.286$  Laura's illness and death is is no exception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00{:}09{:}40.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42.486$  The primary care community in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

00:09:42.486 --> 00:09:44.324 Yale Medicine community has lost

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:09:44.324 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.160$  someone whose impact will be felt

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:09:46.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.224$  for years to come in the lives

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

 $00:09:48.224 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.176$  of those who she trained in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.807748813133333

00:09:50.176 --> 00:09:51.806 memories and in our hearts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938736463076923

 $00:09:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.510$  So with that, we will kick off

NOTE Confidence: 0.938736463076923

 $00:09:56.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.040$  with the first slide Doctor Joseph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:09:59.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.990$  Thanks Doctor Brown and welcome everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:10:02.990 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.830$  Our first patient is a 56 year old

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:10:05.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.188$  gravida 2 para, 22 vaginal deliveries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:10:08.188 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.118$  She's postmenopausal and she presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

00:10:10.118 --> 00:10:12.830 to the emergency department with a 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:10:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.705$  day history of abdominal discomfort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:10:14.710 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.246$  Workup including CAT scan of the abdomen and

 $00:10:17.246 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.788$  pelvis was consistent with gastroenteritis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

00:10:19.790 --> 00:10:22.086 She was treated with Ivy fluids and

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:10:22.086 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.520$  she was deemed stable for discharge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

00:10:24.520 --> 00:10:26.460 A6 centimeter left ovarian cyst

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:10:26.460 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.807$  was seen incidentally on the CAT

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

00:10:28.807 --> 00:10:30.823 scan in the emergency room arranged

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:10:30.823 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.940$  to follow up with gynecology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:10:32.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.310$  I think right there is where we see a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:10:35.370 \dashrightarrow 00:10:37.800$  bit of the power of epic because I was paged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:10:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.368$  I think the emergency room physician was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

00:10:40.368 --> 00:10:43.018 little the patient wouldn't have follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

00:10:43.020 --> 00:10:44.980 She didn't have a GYN.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:10:44.980 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.482$  So I was able to review the record and

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:10:47.482 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.396$  request that they drew tumor markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00{:}10{:}49.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51.829$  and asked that she have a pelvic

 $00:10:51.829 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.814$  ultrasound before she was discharged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:10:53.820 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.346$  She wasn't able to get the ultrasound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

00:10:55.350 --> 00:10:57.470 For discharge, but did get back in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

00:10:57.470 --> 00:10:59.799 morning so by the time I saw her telephone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:10:59.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.020$  ultrasound was already completed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:11:03.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.435$  So by the time she sees gynecology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:11:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.480$  the abdominal discomfort had improved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

00:11:08.480 --> 00:11:10.615 But she did note some abdominal bloating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:11:10.620 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.077$  which she attributed to her new plant

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

00:11:13.077 --> 00:11:14.998 based diet. Past medical history?

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00{:}11{:}14.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.876$  Not too significant. Hyperlipidemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:11:16.876 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.500$  She'd had an appendectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

00:11:19.500 --> 00:11:21.666 Her family history a little more

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:11:21.666 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.110$  interesting from maternal cousin

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:11:23.175 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.789$  with breast cancer at age 45.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:11:24.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.542$  Maternal uncle with pancreatic

 $00:11:26.542 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.294$  cancer at age 60.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70318101333333300:11:28.300 --> 00:11:29.094 Her parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

00:11:29.094 --> 00:11:31.476 siblings and children are all healthy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

00:11:31.480 --> 00:11:35.918 and she was not Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00:11:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.692$  Pelvic exam was normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

00:11:37.692 --> 00:11:39.552 External genitalia, normal speculum exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

00:11:39.552 --> 00:11:41.496 The abdomen was certainly not acute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703181013333333

 $00{:}11{:}41.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44.220$  but there was a palpable left adnexal cyst.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

 $00:11:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.449$  The transvaginal ultrasound

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

 $00{:}11{:}49.449 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52.172$  that was ordered did show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

00:11:52.172 --> 00:11:54.476 it was a complex ovarian cyst,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

 $00:11:54.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.696$  and thankfully the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

 $00{:}11{:}55.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57.216$  ovary and uterus are normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

 $00{:}11{:}57.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59.632$  Again, I think that's where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

00:11:59.632 --> 00:12:02.262 ultrasound is a little bit more

 $00:12:02.262 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.150$  accurate test for gynecology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

 $00{:}12{:}04.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05.750$  Remember with the CAT scan

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

00:12:05.750 --> 00:12:08.050 you need IV or oral contrast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

 $00:12:08.050 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.264$  and with uterus tubes and ovaries

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

 $00:12:10.264 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.380$  those those can be sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

00:12:12.380 --> 00:12:14.260 Exaggerated on the CAT scan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

 $00:12:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.178$  so we kind of live and die

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

00:12:16.178 --> 00:12:17.000 with the ultrasound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

 $00:12:17.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.752$  I had asked you tumor markers to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

 $00:12:18.752 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.280$  drawn that was the CA 125 and the H4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

 $00{:}12{:}21.280 --> 00{:}12{:}23.638$  The CA 125 came back elevated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

 $00:12:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.340$  That's returned in about 24 hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

00:12:26.340 --> 00:12:28.285 The H4 unfortunately takes about

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

 $00:12:28.285 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.712$  a week and that was pending

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

 $00:12:30.712 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.148$  at the time of the evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

00:12:33.150 --> 00:12:37.497 CEA and CA. 19 nine for normal.

 $00:12:37.500 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.754$  With the elevated C125I referred

NOTE Confidence: 0.881285293333333

 $00:12:40.754 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.239$  the patient to GYN Oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

00:12:44.600 --> 00:12:45.660 Thank you, Doctor Joseph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:12:45.660 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.889$  So I had the pleasure of meeting with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:12:47.889 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.926$  this lady and and reviewed the workup

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:12:49.926 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.190$  that had been completed by Jeff thus far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:12:52.190 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.815$  And I completely agree the the ultrasound

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:12:54.815 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.266$  is really such a a more sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00{:}12{:}57.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59.599$  and specific tool for us and given

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00{:}12{:}59.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01.837$  the complex features that we saw.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

00:13:01.840 --> 00:13:03.768 So some solid components,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:13:03.768 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.660$  some abnormal vascularity within that cyst,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00{:}13{:}06.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08.502$  this patient was was counseled that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841013177777778

00:13:08.502 --> 00:13:10.499 she would she should really undergo

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:13:10.499 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.635$  a laparoscopic evaluation and at a

00:13:12.635 --> 00:13:14.668 minimum removal of that tube and ovary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00{:}13{:}14.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16.980$  With Frozen section and plans

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

00:13:16.980 --> 00:13:18.366 for surgical staging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:13:18.370 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.708$  if that was to reveal a malignancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:13:20.710 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.480$  most of these cases can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:13:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.365$  done laparoscopically now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

00:13:23.370 --> 00:13:24.482 But for this patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:13:24.482 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.573$  we put the camera inside and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.841013177777778

 $00{:}13{:}26.573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28.021$  was immediately apparent was

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

00:13:28.021 --> 00:13:29.831 that there was already evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.841013177777778

 $00{:}13{:}29.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31.669$  of disease outside of the ovary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

00:13:31.670 --> 00:13:34.766 This can certainly be missed on CT scan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:13:34.770 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.248$  especially when we see very small

NOTE Confidence: 0.841013177777778

 $00:13:37.248 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.900$  peritoneal based disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

00:13:38.967 --> 00:13:41.445 fortunately it's not often that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:13:41.445 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.830$  things are overlooked by a CT scan,

 $00:13:43.830 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.030$  but we do know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

00:13:45.030 --> 00:13:47.557 Most women with an ovarian cancer will

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:13:47.557 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.127$  present at a more advanced stage just

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

00:13:50.127 --> 00:13:53.170 due to really our lack of of good

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:13:53.170 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.714$  screening and early diagnosis right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:13:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.280$  And so because of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:13:57.280 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.740$  this patient's procedure was

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:13:58.740 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.565$  converted to an open approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.894$  That's really still the standard of care

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:02.894 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.780$  when we find disease outside of the ovary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.788$  but it never hurts to put a camera

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:07.788 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.638$  inside and just sees going on 1st.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00{:}14{:}09.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.719$  And so we proceeded with more of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:11.719 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.747$  a sudden reduction or what we used

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:13.747 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.355$  to call the debulking and now.

 $00:14:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.232$  The goal is really shifted towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:17.232 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.121$  removing all of the visible disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:19.121 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.433$  that we see at the time of surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

00:14:21.494 --> 00:14:23.169 and that confers really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:23.169 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.844$  best survival for these women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:24.850 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.454$  So we completed that surgery without any

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:27.454 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.390$  complications and she was discharged

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:29.390 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.774$  home three or four days after her

NOTE Confidence: 0.841013177777778

 $00:14:31.774 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.827$  laparotomy and we referred her on

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:33.827 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.783$  to meet with our medical oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00{:}14{:}35.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}37.480$  And they're really an incredible

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.398$  part of what we do for these women

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00{:}14{:}40.398 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}14{:}42.323$  because it's a real combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.841013177777778

 $00{:}14{:}42.323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}44.289$  of surgery and chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

00:14:44.290 --> 00:14:46.274 And so she met with their medical oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.080$  Discuss chemotherapy as she was found to

00:14:49.080 --> 00:14:52.250 have a stage 3C ovarian high grade serous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:52.250 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.272$  which is the most common type

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:54.272 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.960$  of ovarian cancer we see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.626$  And we'll talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

00:14:57.626 --> 00:14:59.208 the importance of genetics and why

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:14:59.208 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.563$  every woman with serous ovarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:15:00.563 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.326$  cancer is referred to meet with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00{:}15{:}02.326 \to 00{:}15{:}03.494$  our wonderful genetics team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:15:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.943$  And this patient was actually found to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00{:}15{:}05.943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08.240$  harbor a mutation in the BRCH 2 gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778 00:15:08.240 --> 00:15:10.210 Next slide. NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:15:10.210 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.142$  This is a really great figure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00{:}15{:}12.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14.046$  show sort of how exciting things

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

00:15:14.046 --> 00:15:16.405 have become over just even the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:15:16.475 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.010$  10 years in this disease.

00:15:18.010 --> 00:15:20.202 For the last you know if we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00{:}15{:}20.202 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}15{:}22.388$  back here 2025 years really it was it

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:15:22.388 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.889$  was toying with which chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

00:15:23.889 --> 00:15:26.311 combination is going to give us the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:15:26.311 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.535$  best outcomes and those outcomes were

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:15:28.535 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.743$  still very disappointing for this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:15:30.750 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.850$  What we're very excited by are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84101317777778

 $00:15:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.250$  the advances in maintenance

NOTE Confidence: 0.844701095769231

 $00{:}15{:}34.317 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.217$  the rapy and our understanding of

NOTE Confidence: 0.844701095769231

00:15:36.217 --> 00:15:38.117 the underlying biology of most

NOTE Confidence: 0.844701095769231

 $00{:}15{:}38.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39.998$  of these cancers and how that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844701095769231

 $00:15:40.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.110$  Would impact what our medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.844701095769231

 $00:15:42.110 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.798$  oncologist and author recommending

NOTE Confidence: 0.844701095769231

 $00{:}15{:}43.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46.803$  for patients to go on after they've

NOTE Confidence: 0.844701095769231

00:15:46.803 --> 00:15:48.063 completed their chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844701095769231

 $00:15:48.070 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.850$  So next slide.

 $00:15:51.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.080$  This is probably one of the more

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00:15:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.244$  important papers and and one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

00:15:56.244 --> 00:15:57.948 figures that gets put onto every

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

00:15:58.013 --> 00:15:59.897 talk and it really highlights that

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

00:15:59.897 --> 00:16:02.368 this is no longer just A1 fit all

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00:16:02.368 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.577$  cancer that we really go ahead and

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

00:16:04.577 --> 00:16:06.187 look at the underlying genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00{:}16{:}06.187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08.599$  of all of our patients tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00:16:08.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.072$  And why that matters is that it has

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00:16:11.072 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.520$  been found that about 50% of women

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

00:16:13.520 --> 00:16:15.344 with serious ovarian cancer, hybrid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00{:}16{:}15.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17.648$  serious ovarian cancer will have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00{:}16{:}17.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.311$  underlying deficiency in homologous

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00{:}16{:}19.311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21.119$  recombination and that means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00:16:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.192$  That about half of women are eligible

 $00:16:23.192 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.610$  for these new types of oral medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00{:}16{:}25.610 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}16{:}27.806$  that are taken after chemotherapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00:16:27.870 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.790$  have really revolutionized the outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

00:16:29.790 --> 00:16:32.306 and the survival for women for with

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00:16:32.306 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.690$  the disease that many years ago had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00:16:34.762 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.490$  survival that was measured in a few years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00:16:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.584$  And we continue to look forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00:16:39.584 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.404$  to seeing the excellent outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00:16:41.404 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.528$  of the data from these trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

00:16:43.530 --> 00:16:44.163 So with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

00:16:44.163 --> 00:16:45.902 I'm going to hand things over to Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00:16:45.902 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.622$  Kim to talk a little bit more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.888264693333334

 $00:16:47.622 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.444$  some of the nuances in these oral meds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

 $00{:}16{:}51.580 --> 00{:}16{:}53.180$  Thank you, Doctor Clark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

 $00:16:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.334$  So this is a part park inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

 $00:16:56.334 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.960$  Park stands for the Poly ADP ribose

 $00:17:00.052 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.963$  story polymerase inhibitors enzyme

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

00:17:02.963 --> 00:17:05.854 that involves in the DNA repair through

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

 $00:17:05.854 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.840$  the another pathway called place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

00:17:09.840 --> 00:17:13.194 Or uh. Accessing goals strand DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

 $00{:}17{:}13.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.091$  breaks and partly vision blocks

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

 $00:17:16.091 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.445$  the ability to park inhibitor to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

 $00:17:19.445 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.958$  participate in the DNA damage repair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

00:17:22.960 --> 00:17:27.265 So it's what's called synthetic lethality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

 $00:17:27.265 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.920$  So where the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

 $00{:}17{:}29.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}32.237$  To Mark cannot really repair its own

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

 $00:17:32.237 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.374$  and kind of comes to a cell bed so it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

 $00{:}17{:}35.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37.636$  most effective in the BRACA mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

 $00:17:37.636 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.939$  as Doctor Clark had deluded about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

 $00:17:39.939 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.345$  50% of the serious ovarian cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

00:17:43.345 --> 00:17:47.392 harbors so HR along with becoming

 $00:17:47.392 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.425$  BRACA mutations and there are four

NOTE Confidence: 0.7742083525

00:17:50.425 --> 00:17:52.750 main part manipulator including elaborate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.654806863125

 $00:17:54.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.349$  And that was that for the first three years

NOTE Confidence: 0.654806863125

00:17:57.349 --> 00:17:59.708 are at the approved for Dorian cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.654806863125

 $00:17:59.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.694$  the last one is for the breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

 $00:18:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.306$  Next slide. So this was a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

 $00:18:07.306 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.510$  trial that kind of led to the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

 $00:18:09.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.470$  The 2018. As a maintenance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

 $00:18:13.470 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.340$  so currently in the US the apartment numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

 $00:18:16.340 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.878$  are indicated as a maintenance therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

 $00:18:18.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.464$  So this is a breakthrough in you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

 $00:18:22.464 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.649$  this kind of demonstrated we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

00:18:25.650 --> 00:18:27.687 Curing some of the you know high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

00:18:27.690 --> 00:18:30.192 high aggressive you know ovarian cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

00:18:30.192 --> 00:18:33.204 patients and I draw your attention to

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

 $00{:}18{:}33.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.748$  the five year mark overall survival.

 $00:18:35.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.781$  There are 73% of patients that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

 $00{:}18{:}38.781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}42.870$  alive compared to those 63% percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

 $00:18:42.870 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.887$  of the patients at 5 year Mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

00:18:45.890 --> 00:18:48.866 At 7 year Mark and there are still

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

 $00:18:48.866 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.618$  strong separation of the curve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

 $00:18:50.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.788$  67% are still alive in about

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

 $00:18:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.958$  46% are alive and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

 $00:18:55.958 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.610$  Just mind you that about 50%

NOTE Confidence: 0.854002285

 $00:18:58.610 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.610$  of the placebo arm across.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699883015384616

 $00{:}19{:}03.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}05.345$  Crossed over to the elaborate plan and

NOTE Confidence: 0.699883015384616

 $00:19:05.345 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.280$  which can impact the overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699883015384616

 $00:19:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.035$  So this is really impactful

NOTE Confidence: 0.699883015384616

 $00{:}19{:}09.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}12.860$  and it's changing. Next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

00:19:15.010 --> 00:19:16.945 But this is just the kind of give you

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:19:16.945 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.710$  like what's new in ovarian cancer.

 $00:19:18.710 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.590$  I think what we call antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

00:19:21.590 --> 00:19:23.510 drug conjugate or ADC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:19:23.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.850$  This was a just recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:19:25.850 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.190$  approved it's against the fully

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:19:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.788$  receptor alpha Mervin tuxmath.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:19:30.790 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.449$  So just kind of have a diagram,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:19:32.450 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.038$  it's a little bit busy picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:19:35.038 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.310$  but they're so Morehead,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:19:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.302$  it's kind of like a smart bomb as

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:19:40.302 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.569$  antibody that targets the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00{:}19{:}42.569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}44.959$  cell surface receptor and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:19:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.192$  You linked to the lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

00:19:47.192 --> 00:19:49.133 phototoxic drugs what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00{:}19{:}49.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}51.723$  payload and the ratio can be high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:19:51.730 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.335$  So the it's the potencies

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

00:19:54.335 --> 00:19:55.699 are quite remarkable.

 $00:19:55.699 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.613$  If you were to give the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:19:57.613 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.920$  patients those same dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:19:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.840$  it can be quite lethal to their patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

00:20:00.840 --> 00:20:03.115 But the the way that you designed

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:20:03.115 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.134$  the antibodies or conjugate you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:20:05.134 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.409$  deliver the drug in a safe manner

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

00:20:07.478 --> 00:20:09.836 and targets the cancer cell directly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:20:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.312$  Then the second pictured on the diagram

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00{:}20{:}13.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}16.870$  is called the tumor treating field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:20:16.870 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.108$  This is already the technique that

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

00:20:19.108 --> 00:20:21.199 was approved for the neoplasma

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:20:21.199 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.291$  multifamily highly aggressive glioma

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00{:}20{:}23.291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}25.906$  and also the for mesothelioma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:20:25.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.135$  And there are ongoing studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:20:28.135 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.842$  phase three as to electric field

 $00:20:30.842 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.425$  that pulses through the skin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00{:}20{:}33.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35.861$  interrupts the cancer cell that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:20:35.861 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.266$  impacting the ability to divide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:20:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.462$  Next. Slides at least two. Join us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843789968666667

 $00:20:44.462 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.829$  Stop. Regarding genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8697140975

 $00:20:47.500 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.528$  Yeah. So the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

 $00:20:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.220$  is for this first patient is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

 $00:20:50.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.404$  was there could, could there have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

 $00{:}20{:}52.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.728$  some kind of early detection or prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

00:20:54.728 --> 00:20:57.629 of her cancer based on on her history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

00:20:57.630 --> 00:20:59.382 And I think in the primary care setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

 $00:20:59.382 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.897$  that's really important to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

 $00:21:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.720$  She did have a family history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

 $00:21:03.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.240$  not a first degree relative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

 $00:21:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.193$  She had a cousin with cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

 $00:21:07.193 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.491$  an I believe an uncle with cancer

 $00:21:09.491 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.579$  and we think about genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

 $00{:}21{:}11.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13.337$  There's a couple of things I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

 $00:21:13.337 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.789$  to point out about some of the recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

00:21:15.789 --> 00:21:17.434 guideline updates for cancer genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

 $00:21:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.659$  Um, the first is really important in

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

00:21:19.659 --> 00:21:22.233 the primary Care World is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

00:21:22.233 --> 00:21:24.178 preventative Services Task Force does

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

 $00{:}21{:}24.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}26.045$  recommend that clinicians at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

 $00:21:26.045 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.324$  assess women with a family history of breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

00:21:29.324 --> 00:21:32.209 ovarian, tubal or peritoneal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

00:21:32.210 --> 00:21:33.918 or who have an ancestry associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

00:21:33.918 --> 00:21:35.086 with the BRACA mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

 $00{:}21{:}35.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37.624$  So this is specifically for BRCA one

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

 $00:21:37.624 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.218$  and two thinking about family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

00:21:40.220 --> 00:21:43.300 Of ovarian cancer, which she did not have.

00:21:43.300 --> 00:21:45.076 But in the primary care setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

00:21:45.080 --> 00:21:47.131 it's important to take a good family

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

00:21:47.131 --> 00:21:48.914 history at your annual physicals

NOTE Confidence: 0.896600035

 $00:21:48.914 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.760$  and identify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.610986556

 $00:21:52.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.410$  Benefit from a genetics consultation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.610986556

 $00{:}21{:}55.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57.115$  First degree relatives with of

NOTE Confidence: 0.610986556

 $00{:}21{:}57.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}58.820$  a patient with ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.610986556

00:21:58.820 --> 00:22:00.776 First degree relatives of a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.610986556

 $00{:}22{:}00.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02.080$  with pancreatic cancer should

NOTE Confidence: 0.610986556

00:22:02.139 --> 00:22:04.049 certainly qualify for genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.610986556

00:22:04.050 --> 00:22:05.706 Or of course if there are multiple family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.610986556

 $00:22:05.710 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.206$  multiple family members with various cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.610986556

 $00:22:08.210 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.394$  or somebody, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.610986556

 $00:22:09.394 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.504$  who's had a bilateral breast cancer or

NOTE Confidence: 0.610986556

 $00:22:11.504 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.208$  multiple cancers in one family member.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88123441

 $00:22:14.190 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.358$  So in this patient

00:22:15.430 --> 00:22:18.006 it may have been interesting to ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

00:22:18.010 --> 00:22:19.810 you know had had your uncle

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

00:22:19.810 --> 00:22:21.010 had any genetic testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

00:22:21.010 --> 00:22:22.948 had your cousin had any genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00{:}22{:}22.948 \to 00{:}22{:}24.736$  testing because that may inform

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:22:24.736 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.488$  this patient's genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

00:22:26.490 --> 00:22:28.824 Unfortunately for people who are referred

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:22:28.824 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.154$  for genetic counseling and testing without

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

00:22:31.154 --> 00:22:33.646 a cancer diagnosis but a family history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:22:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.540$  the wait time is a few months to have genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00{:}22{:}36.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}38.990$  consultation and and genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:22:38.990 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.524$  But in this case because our patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00{:}22{:}41.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}44.050$  is now diagnosed with ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

00:22:44.050 --> 00:22:46.500 And it may inform her treatment options,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

00:22:46.500 --> 00:22:48.040 including PARP inhibitor therapy.

00:22:48.040 --> 00:22:50.846 She is of course, expedited and has

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00{:}22{:}50.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53.378$  an urgent genetics referral for her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:22:53.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.356$  Umm, BRC 2 testing, which came back positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:22:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.976$  I'm a firm believer in genetic counseling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

00:23:00.976 --> 00:23:03.352 You know, there are people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:03.352 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.742$  feel informed enough to order

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

00:23:04.742 --> 00:23:06.179 genetic tests in the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

00:23:06.180 --> 00:23:07.712 Gynecologists are well informed

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:07.712 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.967$  to do that based on their level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:10.967 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.203$  experience with genetics up at UConn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:13.203 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.708$  There are some really nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

00:23:14.710 --> 00:23:17.461 Um, educational programs to kind of educate

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:17.461 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.686$  you on how to do genetic screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:20.690 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.133$  But really the most important thing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:23.133 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.683$  that patients need to have pre test

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:25.683 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.483$  counseling and post test counseling.

00:23:27.490 --> 00:23:29.099 And the pre test counseling really

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:29.099 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.702$  needs to be thorough enough to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:30.702 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.507$  able to take a good family history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.400$  know which test to order,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.500$  determine if there should be panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00{:}23{:}36.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38.470$  testing which company to order from,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.534$  and then making sure the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00{:}23{:}40.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42.323$  understands the possible outcomes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:42.323 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.465$  possible consequences of their test results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:44.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.014$  So again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

00:23:45.014 --> 00:23:45.830 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:45.830 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.238$  there is a high demand for our

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00{:}23{:}48.238 {\: -->\:} 00{:}23{:}49.270$  genetic counselor colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:49.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.376$  but I do rely on them a lot to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

00:23:51.376 --> 00:23:53.802 help me with patients when I'm

 $00:23:53.802 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.498$  thinking about genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.258$  And my last few updates before we

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:23:58.258 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.639$  move on is that there is a very new

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:24:01.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.380$  guideline updates from the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:24:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.572$  Cancer Comprehensive Network that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:24:06.572 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.946$  we no longer formally or the NCCN

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

00:24:09.946 --> 00:24:11.774 no longer formally recommends

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00{:}24{:}11.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}14.403$  ovarian cancer surveillance even in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00{:}24{:}14.403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}16.953$  our very high risk populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:24:16.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.914$  the BRC A1 and B RC2 carriers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:24:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.160$  You know for many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00{:}24{:}21.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22.710$ many years we've done transvaginal

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

00:24:22.710 --> 00:24:26.882 ultrasounds routinely, we've done CA 125.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:24:26.882 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.548$  Routinely and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:24:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.320$  This is the first year that the NCCN

NOTE Confidence: 0.88087707

 $00:24:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.140$  has removed that from the guidelines.

00:24:34.140 --> 00:24:34.910 Apologizing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:24:37.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.550$  And last but not least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00{:}24{:}38.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40.342$  the most important thing I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:24:40.342 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.659$  share with you as well is knowing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:24:42.659 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.149$  terminology for cancer genetics in

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00{:}24{:}44.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}45.838$  regards to mutation no longer being

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:24:45.838 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.475$  as as often used as a term that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

00:24:48.475 --> 00:24:50.670 use BRACA mutation we use variant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00{:}24{:}50.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.910$  So there are there's a spectrum now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00{:}24{:}52.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}55.798$  pathogenic variant meaning cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:24:55.798 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.204$  causing likely pathogenic benign or

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:24:59.204 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.289$  likely benign variants meaning the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00{:}25{:}02.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04.540$  The gene is altered but not

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:25:04.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.507$  necessarily cancer causing and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

00:25:06.507 --> 00:25:09.314 this Gray area called a variant of

 $00:25:09.314 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.320$  uncertain significance that we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00{:}25{:}11.320 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}25{:}13.220$  not necessarily clinically act upon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:25:13.220 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.092$  So if you have a patient who has

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

00:25:15.092 --> 00:25:17.297 a VUS or a variant of uncertain

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:25:17.297 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.637$  significance in a gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:25:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.055$  it does not necessarily mean that he

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:25:21.055 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.833$  or she needs to have any prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

00:25:23.833 --> 00:25:26.329 surgery or any surveillance for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00{:}25{:}26.404 \to 00{:}25{:}29.580$  specific type of cancer related to that gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:25:29.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.140$  So I hope that helps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:25:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.714$  This is my last slide before we move on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:25:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.382$  Very important brand new in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

00:25:35.382 --> 00:25:36.213 New York Times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00{:}25{:}36.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38.383$  It was a joint statement from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

00:25:38.383 --> 00:25:40.691 Society of GYN Oncology and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00{:}25{:}40.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42.443$ National Ovarian Cancer Research

00:25:42.443 --> 00:25:44.559 Alliance just came out earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00{:}25{:}44.559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}46.399$  this week saying that again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:25:46.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.660$  we don't have great surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:25:48.660 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.016$  for ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00{:}25{:}50.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52.172$  And if there is a genetic risk or

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:25:52.172 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.296$  even in women without a genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:25:54.296 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.196$  risk and there's an opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:25:56.196 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.420$  to remove the fallopian tubes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00{:}25{:}58.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00.020$  that should certainly be considered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00{:}26{:}00.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02.630$  With any other surgical procedure

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:26:02.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.130$  under certain circumstances to

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:26:04.130 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.005$  prevent these high grade serious

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00{:}26{:}06.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07.660$  ovarian cancers that we believe

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

00:26:07.660 --> 00:26:09.496 may be starting originating in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849866162

 $00:26:09.554 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.507$  fallopian tubes so hot off the press.

 $00:26:16.650 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.200$  Let's start our second case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:26:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.392$  Our second patient is a 65 year old gravity

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00{:}26{:}22.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24.207$ zero gravity 0 should postmenopausal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:26:24.207 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.446$  female referred to gynecology by her

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:26:26.446 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.840$  primary care provider for vaginal spotting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

00:26:28.840 --> 00:26:30.475 She reports spotting on and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:26:30.475 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.590$  off for the past two weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

00:26:32.590 --> 00:26:34.606 This patient came from primary care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:26:34.610 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.626$  but we also see this patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:26:36.626 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.370$  from urgent or walking care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

00:26:38.370 --> 00:26:40.882 Often seeing if you can't see your primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:26:40.882 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.269$  care or or from the emergency room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:26:43.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.310$  Past medical history is significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00{:}26{:}45.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47.810$  She is suffers from obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:26:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.790$  type 2 diabetes and hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:26:49.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.274$  She takes 2 medications for her

 $00:26:52.274 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.302$  hypertension as well as metformin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:26:54.302 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.914$  Her BMI is 40,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:26:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.490$  so now Class 3 obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:26:58.490 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.340$  Her last period was at age 53 and she did

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:27:01.416 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.545$  not take any hormone therapy after menopause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:27:04.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.555$  Family history notable for diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:27:06.555 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.159$  and multiple family members,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:27:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.904$  and coronary artery disease and her father.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:27:10.910 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.129$  On exam, she is in fact obese.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

00:27:13.130 --> 00:27:14.950 GYN exam is limited by her body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:27:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.800$  Habitus Speculum exam reveals dark

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00:27:16.800 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.650$  menstrual appearing blood in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00{:}27{:}18.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.432$  vaginal vault and the uterus and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83424987

 $00{:}27{:}20.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.469$  adnexa are not able to be palpated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00:27:25.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.878$  So kind of following the algorithm

00:27:27.878 --> 00:27:29.042 of postmenopausal bleeding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00{:}27{:}29.050 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.108$  stop the bleeding, make a diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00:27:31.110 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.126$  and then make treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00:27:33.126 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.596$  options with this patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00:27:34.596 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.294$  I thought the bleeding was a little too

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00:27:37.294 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.544$  brisk to attempt the endometrial biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

00:27:39.550 --> 00:27:41.530 Danger is put the patient through

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00:27:41.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.789$  the biopsy but only receive blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00:27:43.790 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.334$  Umm, and and sometimes a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00:27:46.334 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.030$  uncomfortable biopsying the uterus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00:27:48.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.550$  I can't palpate or see that well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00{:}27{:}50.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54.176$  so I elected to start Provera 10 milligrams

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00:27:54.176 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.086$  daily and order transvaginal ultrasound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00:27:57.090 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.340$  The ultrasound revealed the 60

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

00:27:59.340 --> 00:28:00.690 millimeter heterogeneous endometrium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00:28:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.881$  which is abnormal.

00:28:01.881 --> 00:28:03.866 Uterine length is 10 centimeters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00:28:03.870 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.510$  which is generous and no

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00:28:07.510 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.966$  myometrial abnormality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

00:28:08.970 --> 00:28:11.076 I then performed an endometrial biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00{:}28{:}11.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.594$  in the office and it was returned as

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00{:}28{:}14.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}16.127$ endometrial intraepithelial neoplasia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

00:28:16.130 --> 00:28:19.340 That's somewhat the new technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00:28:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.550$  for complex hyperplasia with atypia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00:28:22.550 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.166$  That diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333 00:28:23.166 --> 00:28:23.782 I thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881055483333333

 $00{:}28{:}23.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25.014$  should see Joanne Oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:28:28.660 --> 00:28:31.476 Thanks Jeff. And yes, we did have the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00{:}28{:}31.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33.872$  the chance to see this lady and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:28:33.872 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.444$  we spoke with her about is is sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:28:36.444 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.130$  of left untreated this condition

 $00:28:38.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.158$  can progress into a cancer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:28:40.158 --> 00:28:42.630 about 40 to 50% of women that some

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00{:}28{:}42.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.122$  of the data from the older term

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:28:45.122 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.058$  of complex atypical hyperplasia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:28:47.060 --> 00:28:48.914 And so there are a lot of you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:28:48.914 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.065$  different options for treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:28:50.065 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.200$  depending on the patient's age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:28:52.200 --> 00:28:55.017 they're surgical risk factors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00{:}28{:}55.017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58.050$  and what it is that they like to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:28:58.050 --> 00:28:59.695 Just to sort of trail off from

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:28:59.695 --> 00:29:00.910 this patient for a second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:29:00.910 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.926$  let's say this woman was young.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:29:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.754$  Maybe she was in her early 30s and

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:29:04.754 --> 00:29:06.676 she had not had an opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:29:06.676 --> 00:29:08.440 have children and that was part

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00{:}29{:}08.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}10.204$  of her family planning long term.

00:29:10.210 --> 00:29:12.429 We do actually now have some exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:29:12.429 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.108$  data to show that using things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:29:15.108 --> 00:29:17.120 the progestin releasing IUD's that

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:29:17.120 --> 00:29:19.400 we know very well from contraception

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00{:}29{:}19.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.719$  can actually cause this to regress in

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:29:21.719 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.197$  about 80 to even maybe 90% of women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:29:24.197 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.171$  The downside is there that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:29:26.171 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.988$  not a definitive approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:29:27.990 --> 00:29:30.153 Um, and if the underlying risk factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00{:}29{:}30.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}31.914$  so the diabetes, the hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:29:31.914 --> 00:29:34.416 the morbid obesity haven't been corrected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:29:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.480$  that patient is likely to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00{:}29{:}37.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}39.820$  Rebound into a refractory hyperplasia at

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:29:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.069$  some point if and when the IUD is removed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:29:43.070 --> 00:29:45.266 The other population that we consider

 $00:29:45.266 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.798$  using either the IUD or an oral

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00{:}29{:}47.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}49.468$  progestin in a long-term fashion

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:29:49.468 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.174$  are those women who we meet who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:29:52.174 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.244$  really high surgical risk factors sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:29:54.244 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.506$  of inherent in this population with

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:29:56.506 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.630$  the the diabetes, the hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:29:58.630 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.320$  the obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:29:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.708$  some of the cardiac disease that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:01.708 \dashrightarrow 00:30:04.021$  put patients at risk of going to the OR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00{:}30{:}04.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}06.648$  Sometimes we will choose to do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:06.648 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.629$  non-surgical approach in those women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:08.630 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.975$  However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:08.975 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.045$  in this lady we sat down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.370$  she was seen by her primary care provider.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:13.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.575$  Who helped with risk stratification

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:15.575 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.780$  and optimization for her comorbidities

 $00:30:17.848 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.850$  before going to the OR and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:19.850 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.647$  considered her and butcher for

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:30:21.647 --> 00:30:23.395 a robotic assisted hysterectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.275$  removal of both tubes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:25.275 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.775$  ovaries and frozen section.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:30:26.780 --> 00:30:27.640 You know you might ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:30:27.640 --> 00:30:29.158 you know Doctor Joseph has taken

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:29.158 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.781$  the time to do an endometrial

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00{:}30{:}30{:}781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32.706$  biopsy and we we have a diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:32.706 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.280$  of a precancerous process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.827$  But if you look at some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:35.827 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.490$  the historical data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00{:}30{:}36.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}39.542$  the risk of there being a concurrent

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:39.542 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.185$  already invasive endometrial cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:41.185 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.597$  can be as high as about 40 to 45.

 $00:30:43.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.943$  Percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:43.943 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.344$  And so because of that risk and

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:46.344 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.459$  the potential of a sampling error

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:48.459 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.154$  with an office based biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.440$  we do recommend that women have

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.781$  a frozen section of the uterus

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:30:54.781 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.013$  at the time of the procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00{:}30{:}57.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}58.832$  If that does relevant cancer then

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00{:}30{:}58.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}00.925$  we do proceed with the appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:31:00.925 --> 00:31:02.985 staging which typically involves some

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:31:02.985 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.390$  assessment of the pelvic lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:31:05.390 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.757$  And so we plan for this patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:31:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.259$  The standard of care for these surgeries

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:31:11.259 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.846$  really is now moving on with an MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

00:31:13.850 --> 00:31:14.690 Approach or laparoscopic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:31:14.690 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.650$  you may have some patients who ask,

 $00:31:16.650 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.975$  you know they've read the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843432095

 $00:31:17.975 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.300$  New York Times that robotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00{:}31{:}19.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}21.375$  surgery is associated with worse outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

00:31:21.375 --> 00:31:23.751 that's in cervical cancer and we are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:31:23.751 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.631$  interested to see where that that goes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:31:25.631 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.636$  But for endometrial processes really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:31:27.636 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.092$  the standard of care has been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:31:30.092 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.919$  MIS and and we continue to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00{:}31{:}31.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}34.087$  good outcomes with that approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:31:34.090 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.082$  So this city was found to have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:31:37.082 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.938$  early stage SO1A Grade 2 endometrioid

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00{:}31{:}39.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41.519$  endometrial adenocarcinoma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00{:}31{:}41.519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44.330$  this is probably one of the more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

00:31:44.330 --> 00:31:46.345 Common, you know final pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:31:46.345 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.564$  that we see what we do for all of

 $00:31:49.564 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.389$  our endometrial cancer patients is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:31:51.389 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.891$  we screen them for mismatch repair

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:31:53.891 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.900$  deficiency or microsatellite instability

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:31:55.900 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.912$  through both the combination of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:31:58.912 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.920$  immunohistochemistry and the PCR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.744$  And that is both just screened

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:02.744 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.656$  for Lynch syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:03.660 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.830$  but also to look for inherent somatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:05.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.543$  changes in the tumor that may not be related

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:08.543 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.830$  to anything in the family or the DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.593$  And I have to say this is one of the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:12.593 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.369$  common questions I hear from women is they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

00:32:14.370 --> 00:32:15.708 They get a cancer diagnosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:15.708 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.827$  the first thing they're saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:16.827 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.136$  is what do I tell my daughter?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.138$  If you know, what do I tell my sisters?

00:32:20.140 --> 00:32:21.898 How can I inform my family

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:21.898 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.819$  on on their risk of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:23.820 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.950$  This patients results did show

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:25.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.800$  loss of staining in the MLH one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:28.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.327$  However that reflexes a test to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00{:}32{:}31.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}33.406$  for an epigenetic phenomenon called

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:33.406 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.716$  hypermethylation in the promoter region

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:35.716 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.909$  and that is not when that is positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00{:}32{:}38.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}40.218$  That's not indicative typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:40.218 \dashrightarrow 00:32:42.180$  of a lynch syndrome and therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:42.231 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.936$  those patients don't often or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:43.936 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.300$  don't necessarily meet outward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:45.300 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.358$  Criteria to go on to meet with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:47.358 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.188$  genetics just based on that result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:49.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.555$  However,

00:32:49.555 --> 00:32:52.110 they would then qualify down the road

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00{:}32{:}52.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}54.356$  for any treatments or medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:54.356 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.756$  like immunotherapy that have shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:56.756 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.689$  promise in in this subgroup of of women

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:32:59.690 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.112$  because of the final results of her

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

00:33:02.112 --> 00:33:04.289 pathology showing some high risk factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:33:04.290 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.110$  So the Grade 2 disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

00:33:06.110 --> 00:33:07.542 the lymphovascular space invasion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

00:33:07.542 --> 00:33:10.667 we did ask Miss T to meet with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

00:33:10.667 --> 00:33:12.942 our radiation oncology team to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00{:}33{:}12.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.706$  discuss vaginal brachytherapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

00:33:14.706 --> 00:33:16.656 that is really the most common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

00:33:16.660 --> 00:33:18.670 Type of radiation women are now

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:33:18.670 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.490$  receiving for these endometrial cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:33:20.490 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.410$  it's typically three sessions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:33:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.290$  very well tolerated with very minimal

 $00:33:25.362 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.690$  long term toxicity and really has

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

00:33:27.690 --> 00:33:30.416 shown to decrease the risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

00:33:30.416 --> 00:33:32.066 recurrence quite significantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7954858143

 $00:33:32.070 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.820$  The next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:33:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.512$  I just wanted to highlight some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:33:37.512 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.766$  sort of newer exciting technology that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:33:39.766 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.619$  have in the field of endometrial cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:33:42.620 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.663$  I'm sure many of you who have been seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:33:44.663 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.759$  women with breast cancer or are other cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:33:46.759 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.610$  have have been familiar with Sentinel

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:33:48.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.638$  node technology and those disease sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:33:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.512$  But really over the last five to 10 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00{:}33{:}52.520 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}33{:}54.305$  we've we've seen a huge influx of

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:33:54.305 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.726$  data in and around the youth of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:33:56.726 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.316$  Sentinel node technology in almost

 $00:33:58.377 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.137$  all of our gynecologic cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:00.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.576$  which is very exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

00:34:01.576 --> 00:34:03.371 Pelvic Notice segment is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:03.371 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.038$  important in endometrial cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.790$  For stratifying risk and assign

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

00:34:07.790 --> 00:34:09.990 assigning adjuvant either chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:09.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.654$  radiation therapy or both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:11.654 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.809$  And for years that included a pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00{:}34{:}14.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}17.690$  extensive pelvic node dissection over a

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:17.690 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.410$  fair bit of of space in the pelvis there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:20.410 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.777$  And so this trial or or more of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

00:34:22.777 --> 00:34:24.240 observational study tried to quantify

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.904$  how many of these women were going on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:26.904 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.692$  develop lymphedema of the lower legs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:28.700 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.380$  And just like the difficulties in

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:30.380 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.149$  treating that in the upper arms

 $00:34:32.149 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.325$  and the breast cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.782$  we have had a real challenge

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:34.782 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.508$  in managing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

00:34:35.510 --> 00:34:37.300 Edema long-term women who develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.446$  it in the lower extremities and

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:39.446 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.497$  it's not a negligible number and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

00:34:41.497 --> 00:34:43.585 depends on which of the gynecologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00{:}34{:}43.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}45.380$  cancers it is associated with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00{:}34{:}45.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48.284$  And so we've got really robust data now

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:48.284 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.000$  showing that across all the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.222$  subtypes of endometrial cancer that

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:53.222 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.034$  in women whose disease appears to

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00{:}34{:}55.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}57.518$  be fine to their uterus at diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

00:34:57.518 --> 00:34:59.780 that central no technology is safe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:34:59.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.540$  effective and almost eliminates the risk

 $00:35:02.540 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.679$  of lower extremity long term symptomatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818

 $00:35:05.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.050$  Of the team up offline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900094153181818 00:35:07.050 --> 00:35:07.590 Next slide. NOTE Confidence: 0.903767166666667

00:35:10.070 --> 00:35:11.606 So I'm going to pass it back over to

NOTE Confidence: 0.903767166666667

 $00:35:11.606 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.454$  Doctor Kim to talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9037671666666667

 $00:35:13.454 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.044$  where we're moving and endometrial cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.903767166666667

 $00:35:15.096 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.686$  and excitement coming down the road.

NOTE Confidence: 0.708029968333333

 $00:35:18.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.326$  Thank you doctor card. So this

NOTE Confidence: 0.67867748

 $00:35:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.228$  is a trial. Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:35:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.892$  is the most common gynecologic cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00{:}35{:}24.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.851$  in United States and incidents

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:35:26.851 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.999$  are rising as you are aware.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:35:29.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.730$  So five year over survival for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

00:35:31.730 --> 00:35:33.707 localized early stage disease is

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

00:35:33.707 --> 00:35:36.375 quite good 95% or some for advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:35:36.375 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.859$  stage that's not the case about

00:35:38.859 --> 00:35:41.184 higher overall survival is about

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:35:41.184 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.380$  18% and you know ultimately women

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:35:43.380 \dashrightarrow 00:35:45.680$  die from succumb to their disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:35:45.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.299$  So the our trend is more and more toward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:35:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.268$  You know successful outcome and trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:35:50.268 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.864$  to kind of figure out what are the you

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:35:52.864 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.070$  know the you know targeted approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

00:35:55.070 --> 00:35:57.670 So based on the TCG a data the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:35:57.670 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.294$  individual cancers are classified

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00{:}35{:}59.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}01.564$  based on the molecular subtopics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:36:01.570 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.486$  So I draw your attention to the left column.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:36:04.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.087$  So there are four subtypes one the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00{:}36{:}07.087 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}10.675$  two on the left is called Poly or

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:36:10.675 \dashrightarrow 00:36:13.065$  polymerase X1 or alternated tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:36:13.070 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.598$  These are instance are quite small

 $00:36:16.598 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.938$  about 2.6% but their outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:36:18.938 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.123$  They're quite excellent compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:36:21.123 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.146$  the microsatellite instability or

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:36:23.146 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.176$  hyper mutated tumors or these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:36:26.176 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.984$  considered hot tumor in the instance

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:36:28.984 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.750$  about the 30 about close to 40%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00{:}36{:}32.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}35.567$  I mean these are the type of tumor that

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:36:35.567 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.150$  respond really well to the immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:36:38.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.310$  the 1/2 on the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:36:40.310 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.046$  the copy number level or endometrioid

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

00:36:43.050 --> 00:36:45.960 subtype in the one that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:36:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.020$  worst prognosis is the one called.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:36:49.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.020$  Sarah slate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:36:50.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.520$  With P53 mutated tumor there

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:36:52.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.540$  outcome is quite poor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:36:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.716$  So based on the what does the

 $00:36:58.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.452$  classifications or treatments going

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00{:}37{:}00.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03.308$  to be changing and especially the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:37:03.308 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.722$  based on the port tech for studies our

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

00:37:07.722 --> 00:37:11.183 pathologist going to classify and mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:37:11.183 \dashrightarrow 00:37:14.410$  cancer differently than what we used to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412 00:37:14.410 --> 00:37:17.488 So next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:37:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.506$  These are just to kind of giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

00:37:19.506 --> 00:37:20.830 you perspective of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:37:20.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.530$  Isn't that was the proof for

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:37:23.530 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.950$  the as a second line?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842164233529412

 $00:37:25.950 \dashrightarrow 00:37:30.094$  Melissa that's tumor type for the MSI micros.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00{:}37{:}32.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}36.802$  Stability of the MSI or mismatch

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

00:37:36.802 --> 00:37:40.250 repair deficiency tumors but with

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00:37:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.180$  the junction with the multi oral

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00:37:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.330$  tyrosine kinase inhibitor then that

 $00:37:45.330 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.509$  and this was a this changing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00{:}37{:}48.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}51.008$  they were seeing patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00:37:51.008 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.828$  advanced cancer settings are living

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00:37:53.828 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.730$  longer regardless of double marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00:37:56.730 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.452$  So next slide, what to expect

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00:37:58.452 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.439$  or for the new direction?

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00{:}38{:}01.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05.460$  Adverse events are basically can

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

00:38:05.460 --> 00:38:07.554 affect any organ systems and most

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00{:}38{:}07.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09.780$  common organ that can be affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00:38:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.461$  So thyroid and people to come on

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00{:}38{:}12.461 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15.036$  on the hypothyroid and also we

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00:38:15.036 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.836$  need some replacement therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00:38:16.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.455$  But these are early recognition

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00:38:19.455 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.070$  and interventions and you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00:38:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.872$  have your subspecialist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

00:38:23.872 --> 00:38:26.728 your pulmonologist, gastroenterologist,

00:38:26.728 --> 00:38:27.680 dermatologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00:38:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.848$  endocrinologist you know have a referral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00:38:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.350$  You have early interventions because

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00:38:33.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.850$  these are quite impactful therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00:38:35.921 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.345$  and you want the patients to be on

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

00:38:38.350 --> 00:38:41.920 really effective therapy for long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737108785

 $00:38:41.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.650$  Next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492686725

 $00:38:45.270 \dashrightarrow 00:38:49.038$  It's just kind of giving you like a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492686725

 $00:38:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.886$  You got the. And tougher to the rapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492686725

 $00:38:52.886 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.340$  not just for the breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492686725

00:38:55.415 --> 00:38:57.931 nowadays at the lab in combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492686725

 $00:38:57.931 \dashrightarrow 00:39:01.200$  with the chemo and her to express.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594636359285714

 $00:39:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.325$  Advanced urine service.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594636359285714

 $00:39:05.325 \dashrightarrow 00:39:08.715$  Serious cancer can improve the overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.594636359285714

00:39:08.715 --> 00:39:11.310 outcome and so overall survival,

 $00:39:11.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.070$  and this was based on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.594636359285714

 $00{:}39{:}14.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}16.050$  doctor Elizondo sentence work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.445480198

 $00:39:18.710 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.260$  Next that. Thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.741375388333333

 $00:39:24.990 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.171$  So this kind of brings everybody through

NOTE Confidence: 0.741375388333333

 $00:39:29.171 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.698$  that kind of over the purple pearls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

00:39:32.810 --> 00:39:35.505 Alright, so let's review the clinical pearls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:39:35.510 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.290$  a transvaginal ultrasound is

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

00:39:37.290 --> 00:39:39.515 often helpful prior to gynecology

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00{:}39{:}39.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}41.809$  or GYN oncology consultation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:39:41.810 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.545$  The ultrasound evaluates the ovaries

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00{:}39{:}43.545 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}39{:}45.683$  more accurately than a CT scan

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:39:45.683 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.408$  and can measure the endometrium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00{:}39{:}47.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}49.840$  And I I think that if there's ever a

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00{:}39{:}49.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}51.976$  question and you have to refer the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

00:39:51.976 --> 00:39:54.197 patient on to gynecology or do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:39:54.197 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.105$  in oncology get the pelvic ultrasound

00:39:56.105 --> 00:39:59.004 ahead of time it it'll it'll make

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:39:59.004 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.740$  that consultation pump that much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00{:}40{:}01.740 --> 00{:}40{:}04.130$  thorough any person with ovarian cancer or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:40:04.130 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.657$  Course to be relative with ovarian cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00{:}40{:}06.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08.800$  would be nefit from genetic testing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

00:40:08.800 --> 00:40:11.340 I think we heard tonight how to do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:40:11.340 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.139$  how to kind of get in line

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

00:40:13.139 --> 00:40:14.387 for genetic counseling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

00:40:14.390 --> 00:40:15.922 Before the genetic testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

00:40:15.922 --> 00:40:18.810 ovarian cancer can be a chronic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:40:18.810 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.750$  one of our slides showed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:40:21.750 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.196$  That with the new treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:40:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.930$  the life expectancy is much

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:40:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.439$  longer than we we had years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

00:40:27.440 --> 00:40:28.709 All postmenopausal bleeding

 $00:40:28.709 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.978$  must be evaluated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:40:29.980 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.100$  Even spotting and remember the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

00:40:32.100 --> 00:40:33.796 algorithm stopped the bleeding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:40:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.720$  make a diagnosis and then treatment options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:40:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.012$  Order an FSH level if there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:40:40.012 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.400$  any question that a patient is

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:40:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.290$  is menopausal or not menopausal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143 00:40:45.290 --> 00:40:45.607 Again, NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

00:40:45.607 --> 00:40:47.826 52 year old woman has had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:40:47.826 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.672$  few periods in a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00{:}40{:}49.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}51.288$  Is that postmenopausal bleeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:40:51.288 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.500$  or or perimenopausal?

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:40:52.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.578$  So an FSH ahead of time is very helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

00:40:55.580 --> 00:40:57.628 And many gynecologic cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:40:57.628 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.188$  survivors are candidates for hormone

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00{:}41{:}00.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}01.979$  replacement the rapy if needed.

 $00:41:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.438$  That's an important point as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:41:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.296$  If it if it's not an estrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:41:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.932$  sensitive cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:41:07.932 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.035$  then hormone therapy can

NOTE Confidence: 0.864374572857143

 $00:41:11.035 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.000$  certainly be investigated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900042618

 $00:41:19.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.992$  So and I can guide some

NOTE Confidence: 0.900042618

00:41:21.992 --> 00:41:23.500 questions if you'd like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867143647222222

 $00:41:24.470 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.451$  Yeah, I I just want to remind

NOTE Confidence: 0.867143647222222

 $00:41:26.451 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.928$  the folks who are on the line to

NOTE Confidence: 0.867143647222222

00:41:28.928 --> 00:41:30.466 complete the survey when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.867143647222222

00:41:30.466 --> 00:41:32.850 done to get your credit and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.867143647222222

 $00:41:32.916 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.932$  always e-mail us with questions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867143647222222

 $00:41:34.932 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.230$  and these are the upcoming ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867143647222222

 $00:41:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.798$  There is one question in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867143647222222

 $00:41:39.798 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.230$  chat from from Beth Allard,

 $00:41:42.230 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.480$  maybe we can start there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747078775

 $00:41:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.430$  And and Beth if you noticed his actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747078775

00:41:47.430 --> 00:41:49.780 on the panel for next month's session,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747078775

 $00:41:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.500$  so she's getting a little warm up here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747078775

00:41:53.500 --> 00:41:55.236 No, I think Jeff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747078775

 $00:41:55.236 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.406$  this is probably for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747078775

 $00:41:57.410 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.738$  Is there a place for endometrial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747078775

00:41:59.738 --> 00:42:02.668 biopsy in a pre menopausal woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747078775

00:42:02.668 --> 00:42:04.609 versus post menopausal?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747078775

 $00:42:04.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.380$  When would you do that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.747877301666667

 $00{:}42{:}07.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10.098$  So I think an endometrial biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.747877301666667

 $00:42:10.100 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.076$  it's it's I, I do it more often

NOTE Confidence: 0.747877301666667

 $00:42:12.076 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.120$  than not when there's a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.747877301666667

 $00{:}42{:}14.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}17.179$  So remember men, Araja has an endometrial

NOTE Confidence: 0.747877301666667

00:42:17.179 --> 00:42:19.724 biopsy and and perimenopausal bleeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.747877301666667

 $00:42:19.724 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.609$  would as well because remember

00:42:22.609 --> 00:42:25.439 tonight's topic was GYN oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.747877301666667

 $00:42:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.928$  But most of the abnormal bleeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.747877301666667

 $00:42:26.928 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.120$  I see is not going to be oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.747877301666667

00:42:29.120 --> 00:42:31.180 right, even postmenopausal bleeding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.747877301666667

 $00:42:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.240$  it's probably 8020 benign.

NOTE Confidence: 0.747877301666667

 $00:42:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.801$  So fibroids, polyps, endometritis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.747877301666667

 $00:42:36.801 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.328$  There's there's a lot of other reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.747877301666667

 $00{:}42{:}39.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42.048$  which is why I do the biopsy and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.747877301666667

 $00{:}42{:}42.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}44.189$  doesn't go right to Doctor Clark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

 $00:42:47.300 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.508$  So I have a couple of questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

00:42:49.508 --> 00:42:51.555 had come to me through colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

 $00:42:51.555 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.691$  before the session that I'll ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

 $00{:}42{:}53.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}56.260$  And I would also encourage all of any body

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

 $00:42:56.260 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.539$  who's attending to please send in questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

00:42:58.540 --> 00:43:01.024 This is a pretty great opportunity

 $00:43:01.024 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.392$  to have a panel of people who can

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

 $00:43:04.392 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.828$  answer them at a intense level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

 $00{:}43{:}06.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}10.967$  So one question that I have is

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

00:43:10.967 --> 00:43:14.129 about this fallopian tube study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

 $00:43:14.130 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.980$  So in the past you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

00:43:16.980 --> 00:43:19.965 we also recommended prophylactic oophorectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

 $00:43:19.965 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.950$  for many women having hysterectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

00:43:22.950 --> 00:43:25.488 And at this point at least in my practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

 $00:43:25.490 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.094$  there are a lot of women having

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

00:43:28.094 --> 00:43:28.823 hysterectomies, hysterectomies

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

 $00{:}43{:}28.823 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}30.588$  as part of pelvic reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

 $00:43:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.312$  So they're they're not in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

00:43:32.312 --> 00:43:34.667 cancer world at all and as a primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

00:43:34.667 --> 00:43:36.389 care clinician I may offer some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

 $00:43:36.390 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.538$  Advice and it comes back with

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

 $00:43:38.538 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.254$  mixed perception.

 $00:43:39.260 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.100$  Is that outdated?

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

 $00:43:40.100 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.780$  Is it just the fallopian tube?

NOTE Confidence: 0.876226816

 $00:43:41.780 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.210$  Now tell me the how did this all evolve?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

00:43:46.600 --> 00:43:48.120 That's a great question, Karen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

00:43:48.120 --> 00:43:50.904 And we're still actually sort of going back

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:43:50.904 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.840$  and forth that pendulum continues to swing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:43:53.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.728$  A few years ago, there was a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00{:}43{:}55.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57.556$  nice paper that came out to suggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:43:57.556 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.237$  that there may actually be some

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:43:59.237 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.509$  underlying estrogen still produced

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00{:}44{:}00.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}03.264$  by the ovaries even if it's not high

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:03.264 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.592$  enough to trigger the menstrual cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00{:}44{:}05.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}07.770$  And so the pendulum swing to keeping

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:07.770 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.017$  ovaries in place for women in the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:10.017 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.648$  age seemed to be about 65 is what that

00:44:12.648 --> 00:44:14.700 study showed now since then there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:14.770 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.849$  been a few sort of large scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

00:44:16.850 --> 00:44:18.778 Paper saying, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

00:44:18.778 --> 00:44:21.188 that benefits gained their weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:21.188 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.644$  against the potential of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:23.644 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.536$  ovarian cancer left undetected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:25.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.195$  And so there is a bit of sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:28.195 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.819$  equipoise within the scientific community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:30.820 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.758$  I sit down with my patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.531$  We try to do a more individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:34.531 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.037$  risk assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

00:44:35.040 --> 00:44:35.560 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.380$  is there a high risk of osteoporosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:37.380 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.066$  heart disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

00:44:38.066 --> 00:44:38.409 dementia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

00:44:38.409 --> 00:44:40.124 where those ladies might benefit

 $00:44:40.124 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.347$  from even if there's still a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00{:}44{:}42.347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}43.832$  estrogen being produced there to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:43.884 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.570$  maybe prevent some of those conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:45.570 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.783$  that we know are associated with low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:47.783 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.949$  Estrogen early in menopause and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:49.949 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.149$  the other thing is where women come

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:52.149 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.359$  from in their own life experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

00:44:54.360 --> 00:44:55.686 You know if someone they saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00{:}44{:}55.686 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}44{:}56.930$  go through an ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:44:56.930 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.778$  I have to say those leaders are

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00{:}44{:}58.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}00.383$  typically asking us to remove the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:45:00.383 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.262$  ovaries at the same time, but we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:45:02.262 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.690$  We do think the majority of at

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:45:03.741 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.289$  least the high grade serious does

NOTE Confidence: 0.88336658

 $00:45:05.289 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.321$  come from the two.

00:45:08.090 --> 00:45:09.540 And of course, family history

NOTE Confidence: 0.824984701666667

 $00{:}45{:}09.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11.340$  public plays into that quite a bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824984701666667

00:45:11.340 --> 00:45:14.600 right? Yeah, OK. Very helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864410245714286

 $00:45:16.550 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.489$  Wait, I have a comment about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864410245714286

 $00:45:18.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.548$  So this is something that I learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.864410245714286

00:45:20.548 --> 00:45:23.328 a long time ago, but which maybe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864410245714286

00:45:23.328 --> 00:45:24.906 maybe everybody knows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864410245714286

00:45:24.910 --> 00:45:27.275 but it's because the developmentally

NOTE Confidence: 0.864410245714286

00:45:27.275 --> 00:45:30.207 right that the the tissue that

NOTE Confidence: 0.864410245714286

 $00:45:30.207 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.647$  the fallopian tube and peritoneal

NOTE Confidence: 0.864410245714286

00:45:32.647 --> 00:45:34.910 that lines the peritoneum is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864410245714286

 $00:45:34.910 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.735$  is is originating from the same tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.864410245714286

 $00:45:38.735 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.689$  that that develops into the ovary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864410245714286

00:45:41.690 --> 00:45:42.782 you guys get better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864410245714286

 $00:45:42.782 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.874$  Is that that's why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.549637825

 $00:45:45.450 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.586$  The sense that it is,

 $00:45:46.586 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.560$  when we look at all the sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:45:48.639 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.815$  of epidemiologic data over the last 50 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:45:51.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.038$  essentially any risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:45:53.038 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.474$  anything that reduces the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

00:45:55.474 --> 00:45:58.408 lifetime ovulation, so pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:45:58.408 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.180$  continuous hormonal contraceptives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:01.180 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.564$  breastfeeding, all of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00{:}46{:}02.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}04.640$  things seem to reduce your risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.984$  And so people thought every time the egg

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:06.984 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.028$  comes out of the ovary, that rupture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

00:46:09.028 --> 00:46:11.540 that repair of the surface of the ovary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.262$  that's eventually going to lead to your

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00{:}46{:}13.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}15.285$  first hit and your second hit in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:15.285 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.850$  sort of reconstruction of the ovary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:16.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.674$  But now we've learned that's actually

 $00:46:18.674 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.389$  probably the content of the ovum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:20.390 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.560$  So the sort of pro inflammatory fluid

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.888$  that's in the egg that's coming out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:24.890 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.278$  that's bathing the fallopian tubes on

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:27.278 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.050$  their fimbriated end and they live in

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

00:46:30.050 --> 00:46:32.282 very close proximity and that's that

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:32.282 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.389$  repeated pro inflammatory exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

00:46:34.390 --> 00:46:36.346 That's at least the tubal hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

00:46:36.346 --> 00:46:39.221 that most of us are sort of going with

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

00:46:39.221 --> 00:46:41.688 right now and it's that's probably why

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00{:}46{:}41.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}43.928$  all those epidemiologic factors hold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:43.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.578$  But it's less has to do with what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:45.578 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.117$  happening on the surface of the ovary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.982$  The ovary and what that ovulation is

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:48.982 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.990$  doing to the fimbriated end of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:50.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.067$  fallopian tube and and the data on

00:46:53.067 --> 00:46:55.118 this is really quite impressive in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00{:}46{:}55.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56.630$  countries and centers that have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:56.630 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.503$  doing this for quite a bit longer than

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:46:58.503 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.271$  we have seen a nice decline in

NOTE Confidence: 0.888705834444444

 $00:47:00.318 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.260$  their population rates of ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710944651066667

 $00:47:05.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.213$  So I want to just add that the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.710944651066667

 $00:47:08.213 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.350$  with the BRACA mutations that by

NOTE Confidence: 0.710944651066667

 $00{:}47{:}10.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.165$  the time they undergo prophylactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.710944651066667

 $00{:}47{:}12.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}13.933$  southernmost reckoning they already

NOTE Confidence: 0.710944651066667

 $00{:}47{:}13.933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}16.495$  find existing tumors that are already

NOTE Confidence: 0.710944651066667

 $00{:}47{:}16.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}18.636$  formed like we call stick regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710944651066667

 $00:47:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.542$  So kind of give you like

NOTE Confidence: 0.710944651066667

 $00:47:20.542 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.390$  how the cancers are rising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

00:47:23.110 --> 00:47:24.018 that's a great point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

 $00:47:24.018 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.958$  And and last thing I'll talk about the

 $00:47:25.958 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.799$  tubes because I'm obsessed with the tubes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

00:47:27.800 --> 00:47:29.260 If you can't tell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

00:47:29.260 --> 00:47:32.188 we actually have now open at Yale we

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

 $00:47:32.188 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.276$  believe so strongly and they said

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

 $00:47:34.276 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.388$  a scientific level that women with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

 $00:47:36.388 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.524$  Bracket 2 mutations can enroll in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

 $00:47:38.524 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.900$  the clinical trial that will remove

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

 $00:47:40.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.680$  just the fallopian tube and delay the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

 $00:47:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.960$  removal of the ovary until menopause

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

 $00:47:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.174$  and they'll be followed for quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

 $00:47:48.174 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.859$  of life measures as well as of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

 $00:47:50.860 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.460$  development of an ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

 $00{:}47{:}53.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.428$  But because ovarian cancers occur a

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

00:47:55.428 --> 00:47:57.879 little later in the bracket two women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

 $00:47:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.106$  this trial has been designed to evaluate

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

00:48:00.106 --> 00:48:02.777 if removal of just the two would be

 $00:48:02.777 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.427$  sufficient risk reduction for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

 $00{:}48{:}04.493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07.146$  population and we are now enrolling patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

 $00:48:07.150 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.220$  At both the Waterford and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76576243

 $00:48:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.290$  our New Haven Care Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885142508

00:48:10.700 --> 00:48:13.300 That is fascinating. And honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885142508

00:48:13.300 --> 00:48:14.920 as a primary care physician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885142508

00:48:14.920 --> 00:48:15.772 I didn't know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885142508

 $00{:}48{:}15.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}17.654$  And so I I think it's really helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.885142508

00:48:17.654 --> 00:48:19.854 to bring out here where people may be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885142508

 $00:48:19.860 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.177$  you know, counseling people as to whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.885142508

00:48:22.177 --> 00:48:24.237 to participate in a trial like that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885142508

 $00:48:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.183$  that it's a strong theory, Jeff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885142508

00:48:26.183 --> 00:48:27.298 It looked like you were

NOTE Confidence: 0.885142508

00:48:27.298 --> 00:48:28.190 about to say something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

00:48:28.600 --> 00:48:31.757 So, so all, so all female voluntary

 $00:48:31.757 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.939$  sterilization now has gone to salpingectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

00:48:34.940 --> 00:48:38.177 So you know back in the day clips, rings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

00:48:38.177 --> 00:48:42.239 tubal ligation using single port laparoscopy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:48:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.976$  that's all kind of gone by the wayside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:48:43.980 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.204$  I bet it's been 10 years now that

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:48:47.204 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.711$  voluntary sterilization is now a

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:48:49.711 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.337$  laparoscopic bilateral salpingectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:48:51.340 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.482$  And we started the fimbriated end because

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:48:53.482 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.520$  we think it's the most important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:48:55.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.005$  They kind of tease the fallopian

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:48:58.005 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.734$  tube off the ovary through the broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:49:00.734 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.122$  ligament and and get very close

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:49:03.199 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.750$  to the uterus so that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:49:05.750 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.325$  The whole fallopian tube is

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:49:08.325 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.870$  is effectively removed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00{:}49{:}09.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}11.406$  It's kind of changed the surgery

 $00:49:11.406 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.430$  just a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:49:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.270$  but it's still 35 millimeter ports.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00{:}49{:}16.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19.910$  Still a rather simple surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

00:49:19.910 --> 00:49:20.766 Back at, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:49:20.766 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.050$  when I was doing C sections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:49:22.050 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.765$  if we had a tubal at C-section,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:49:23.770 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.526$  the same thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

00:49:24.526 --> 00:49:26.038 no longer were we just sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

00:49:26.038 --> 00:49:27.817 of interrupting the tube but

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:49:27.817 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.647$  removing the whole fallopian tube.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778085652307692

 $00:49:29.650 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.938$  And that's been quite a while now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782105676

 $00:49:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.790$  And I I think for

NOTE Confidence: 0.895974669375

 $00{:}49{:}33.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}36.495$  any reduction in ovarian cancer with that

NOTE Confidence: 0.895974669375

 $00:49:36.495 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.727$  that or is it hard to tell because of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.895974669375

 $00:49:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.458$  There are other factors at play.

 $00:49:42.490 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.088$  I think that's where the studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.7664832

 $00{:}49{:}44.088 \to 00{:}49{:}46.460$  are going right now, Doctor Brown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

 $00:49:46.460 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.434$  Yeah. OK. Is there risk reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

 $00:49:49.434 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.074$  in the high risk population?

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

 $00:49:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.718$  It'll take many, many years to

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

 $00:49:52.718 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.856$  know if this brings the risk down

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

 $00:49:54.856 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.496$  of an ovarian cancer subsequently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

00:49:56.500 --> 00:49:58.612 But you know we've seen patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

 $00{:}49{:}58.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00.992$  who do have these stick precursor

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

 $00:50:00.992 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.242$  lesions who then unfortunately have

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

 $00{:}50{:}03.242 \to 00{:}50{:}05.652$  to have full usually hysterectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

00:50:05.652 --> 00:50:08.808 and fiereck tomy afterwards if an

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

 $00:50:08.808 \dashrightarrow 00:50:11.499$  incidental stick lesion is determined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

 $00:50:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.556$  And then we followed them along to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

00:50:13.556 --> 00:50:15.697 sure that they're doing well afterwards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

00:50:15.700 --> 00:50:18.544 but but. A lot of young women, I think,

 $00:50:18.544 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.579$  who are interested in permanent

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

 $00{:}50{:}20.579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}22.563$ sterilization but also feel like

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

00:50:22.563 --> 00:50:24.657 this is maybe something that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

 $00:50:24.657 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.969$  can really do to reduce their risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

 $00:50:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.968$  If they have a family history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

 $00:50:28.970 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.680$  even if the genetics are negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

 $00:50:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.360$  they really are inclined to do something

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

 $00{:}50{:}32.360 \to 00{:}50{:}34.366$  that's in their control to reduce their risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70897921

 $00:50:34.370 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.594$  and this is one thing they can do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00:50:36.620 \dashrightarrow 00:50:40.770$  Great. So I just I'm John I'm glad you

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

00:50:40.770 --> 00:50:42.446 were talking because I'll come back

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00:50:42.446 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.209$  to you and then I still don't see any

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00{:}50{:}45.209 \to 00{:}50{:}46.984$  other questions from our audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00:50:46.990 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.666$  I would encourage people to ask but

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

00:50:50.666 --> 00:50:54.018 I I loved your wording you you blew

00:50:54.018 --> 00:50:55.997 over it a little it was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00{:}50{:}55.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58.037$  you know sometimes when you hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

00:50:58.037 --> 00:51:00.073 things twice in one week it they

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00:51:00.073 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.294$  stick and and so a week ago in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00:51:02.294 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.078$  Internal medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

00:51:03.078 --> 00:51:06.313 We had a grand rounds from a faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00:51:06.313 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.113$  member named Anna Deforest who had written

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00{:}51{:}09.113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12.258$  a book and her point was words matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

00:51:12.260 --> 00:51:14.748 And and she specifically said what you said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00:51:14.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.630$  which is we don't call things

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00{:}51{:}17.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}19.070$  mutants and mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00:51:19.070 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.802$  They're called variations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00:51:20.802 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.967$  that that wording is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00:51:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.195$  In addition to hearing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00:51:24.195 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.730$  from you and her this week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

00:51:25.730 --> 00:51:27.830 I also got back a lab report

 $00:51:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.170$  on a patient with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

00:51:29.170 --> 00:51:31.498 I don't know hemochromatosis or something

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

00:51:31.498 --> 00:51:34.008 and it said mutant detected and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

00:51:34.008 --> 00:51:36.451 know I had never been so kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00:51:36.451 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.803$  sensitized to that as I was with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

00:51:38.810 --> 00:51:41.316 So I I think it's helpful to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

00:51:41.316 --> 00:51:43.639 remember that that words matter and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00{:}51{:}43.639 \rightarrow 00{:}51{:}46.362$  and and the other thing that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00:51:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.500$  helpful is this concept of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

00:51:48.500 --> 00:51:49.812 I guess it's futility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00{:}51{:}49.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}51.452$  but advice now against surveillance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

00:51:51.460 --> 00:51:54.012 so in those who are high risk doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00{:}51{:}54.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}55.911$  these regular ultrasounds and markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

00:51:55.911 --> 00:51:58.299 has not proven to be effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00:51:58.300 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.946$  And so knowing that that's now also

 $00:52:00.946 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.526$  within the gynecologic community

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00:52:02.526 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.802$  in addition to our, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00:52:04.802 \dashrightarrow 00:52:06.707$  kind of preventive health focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

00:52:06.707 --> 00:52:08.336 on internal medicine communities

NOTE Confidence: 0.79680496

 $00:52:08.336 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.587$  is very helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739387978

 $00:52:10.510 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.980$  And I think the background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68305437

 $00:52:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.090$  Oh, go ahead, Doctor Clark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779664598

00:52:13.550 --> 00:52:15.262 No, no, sorry, go ahead. I was going

NOTE Confidence: 0.779664598

 $00{:}52{:}15.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}16.830$  to say something more of an aside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850494799666667

00:52:18.100 --> 00:52:19.636 Real quick, I'll just point out

NOTE Confidence: 0.850494799666667

 $00{:}52{:}19.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}21.749$  that all the more importance now on

NOTE Confidence: 0.850494799666667

00:52:21.749 --> 00:52:23.474 family history and identification of

NOTE Confidence: 0.850494799666667

 $00:52:23.474 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.627$  genetics is really the key as far

NOTE Confidence: 0.850494799666667

 $00:52:25.627 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.037$  as prevention of ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782138021666667

00:52:28.270 --> 00:52:33.100 Yeah, and testing the the mutation, OK. What

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

00:52:33.110 --> 00:52:34.454 I was gonna say I just about

 $00:52:34.454 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.667$  one of the reasons probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

00:52:35.667 --> 00:52:37.407 that the NCCN has now dropped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00.52:37.410 \longrightarrow 00.52:39.320$  This is just the really

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00:52:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.003$  poor performance of C125.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00{:}52{:}41.003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}44.934$  You know we order it but there are so

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00:52:44.934 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.548$  many things that can cause it to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00:52:47.548 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.091$  elevated whether that's diverticulitis

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00{:}52{:}49.091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}51.533$ Crohn's disease you know you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00:52:51.533 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.918$  any sort of inflammatory condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00:52:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.852$  Recent COVID I had a patient who

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00:52:55.852 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.264$  is on surveillance for ovarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

00:52:57.264 --> 00:52:59.119 cancer who didn't tell me she had

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00{:}52{:}59.119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00.952$  had COVID recently chapter 25.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00:53:00.952 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.562$  It was mildly elevated obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

00:53:02.562 --> 00:53:03.528 very anxiety provoking.

 $00:53:03.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.877$  For that woman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00{:}53{:}04.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}08.020$  And so you know in ordering that

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00:53:08.020 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.455$  it's always good to just sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

00:53:09.455 --> 00:53:11.180 I try to really tell patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667 00:53:11.180 --> 00:53:11.752 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

00:53:11.752 --> 00:53:13.754 if it's a little elevated and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00:53:13.754 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.898$  have one of these other conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

00:53:15.900 --> 00:53:17.160 please don't interpret that

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00:53:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.050$  as a test for ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00:53:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.632$  And so you have 125 has really

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00{:}53{:}20.632 \to 00{:}53{:}22.020$  helped us with so many ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00:53:22.020 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.400$  but has really caused a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

00:53:24.400 --> 00:53:26.219 anxiety for for healthy women

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00{:}53{:}26.219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}28.598$  in other ways and so sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

00:53:28.598 --> 00:53:30.332 being cognizant of sort of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00{:}53{:}30.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}31.874$  to interpret those results in

 $00:53:31.874 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.686$  the context of of each patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

00:53:33.690 --> 00:53:33.941 Um,

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

00:53:33.941 --> 00:53:35.949 to try to reduce some of that anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00:53:35.949 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.544$  until they get a chance to meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.766328066666667

 $00{:}53{:}37.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}39.580$  with one of us at the Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875905613333333

 $00:53:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.368$  Thank you. This was just wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875905613333333

00:53:45.370 --> 00:53:47.818 I am so appreciative and I know my

NOTE Confidence: 0.875905613333333

 $00{:}53{:}47.818 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}53{:}49.691$  primary care colleagues who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.875905613333333

 $00{:}53{:}49.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}52.079$  listening and who will listen later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875905613333333

 $00:53:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.428$  We'll feel the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875905613333333

00:53:53.428 --> 00:53:55.113 And do you want to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.875905613333333

00:53:55.120 --> 00:53:56.656 Wrap us up, you, you had a question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875905613333333

 $00{:}53{:}56.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}58.668$  if you have a question we wrap it up

NOTE Confidence: 0.84001816

 $00:53:59.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.620$  from the New York Times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84001816

00:54:00.620 --> 00:54:03.427 It seems like there's some some new

 $00:54:03.427 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.820$  studies coming out around estrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.84001816

 $00:54:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.280$  replace hormone replacement therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.84001816

 $00{:}54{:}07.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09.700$  and I think that always comes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84001816

00:54:09.700 --> 00:54:12.301 You've had a you know if you're if you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.84001816

00:54:12.301 --> 00:54:14.825 had cancer or you are at high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.84001816

00:54:14.825 --> 00:54:17.037 of cancer because of a family member,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84001816

00:54:17.040 --> 00:54:19.320 what's the bottom line about is it safe

NOTE Confidence: 0.84001816

 $00:54:19.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.930$  to take estrogen replacement therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.70361815

 $00:54:24.500 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.290$  In 3 minutes I'll take this

NOTE Confidence: 0.698689156444444

 $00:54:27.300 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.476$  one. In 30 seconds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698689156444444

 $00{:}54{:}29.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32.196$  It's it's an individualized decision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698689156444444

 $00:54:32.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.488$  depends on the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698689156444444

 $00:54:33.488 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.776$  depends on the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698689156444444

 $00:54:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.636$  depends on her all other risk factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698689156444444

00:54:37.640 --> 00:54:39.698 Smilo does have a sexuality menopause

NOTE Confidence: 0.698689156444444

00:54:39.698 --> 00:54:41.070 for cancer survivors program.

 $00:54:41.070 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.070$  We love to see women and talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.698689156444444

 $00:54:43.070 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.140$  risks and benefits for the most part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698689156444444

00:54:45.140 --> 00:54:47.012 Vaginal vulvar, cervical cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69868915644444400:54:47.012 --> 00:54:48.648 Yes, it's safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698689156444444

00:54:48.648 --> 00:54:50.984 Endometrial and ovarian depends

NOTE Confidence: 0.698689156444444

 $00:54:50.984 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.320$  on the tumor type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698689156444444

 $00:54:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.270$  Doctor, you agree with that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00:54:56.650 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.566$  Absolutely. And Joanna is

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00:54:58.566 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.440$  underselling her role in Sims Clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00:55:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.918$  This is an incredible resource at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00:55:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.864$  one of the first in the country to

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00:55:06.864 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.380$  comprehensively evaluate and support

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00{:}55{:}08.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}10.160$  women who have been previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

00:55:10.160 --> 00:55:12.242 told that they are, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00:55:12.242 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.348$  not eligible or candidates for something

00:55:14.348 --> 00:55:16.959 that can really improve quality of life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00:55:16.960 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.997$  especially in our young women who who

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00:55:18.997 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.777$  go on to develop a gynecologic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00:55:21.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.060$  And so it's very individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00:55:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.000$  I have patients who you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

00:55:27.000 --> 00:55:28.560 Or on hormone replacement therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00:55:28.560 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.996$  who came off because of their cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00:55:30.996 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.330$  and their quality of life was so poor

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00:55:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.633$  and and we really don't actually have

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00:55:35.633 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.391$  prospective randomized data to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00{:}55{:}37.391 \to 00{:}55{:}39.057$  it will cause your cancer to recur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

00:55:39.060 --> 00:55:41.228 And so it is important that you actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00{:}55{:}41.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}43.467$  sit down with someone like Joanna or

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00{:}55{:}43.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}45.414$  some one who has experience with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00:55:45.414 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.454$  and hear the actual data so that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

00:55:47.454 --> 00:55:50.216 know your patients can make an A truly

 $00:55:50.216 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.313$  informed decision and and not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00{:}55{:}52.313 \to 00{:}55{:}54.546$  based on you know what their friends

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00:55:54.611 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.011$  have told them or to do or not to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

00:55:57.020 --> 00:55:58.634 Because quality of life is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.791784465

 $00{:}55{:}58.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}59.710$  as important as survivorship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904984375

 $00:56:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.336$  I think that's a great place to end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904984375

 $00:56:05.340 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.706$  Thanks to all of our faculty for

NOTE Confidence: 0.904984375

00:56:07.706 --> 00:56:10.338 the the great discussion the cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904984375

 $00{:}56{:}10.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}12.665$  Thanks to our participants for

NOTE Confidence: 0.904984375

 $00{:}56{:}12.665 \to 00{:}56{:}15.479$  attending and and please again tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.904984375

00:56:15.479 --> 00:56:18.307 your tell your colleagues to to attend

NOTE Confidence: 0.904984375

 $00:56:18.307 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.030$  or listen these are recording so

NOTE Confidence: 0.904984375

 $00:56:21.030 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.778$  they're available and we look forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.904984375

 $00:56:23.780 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.412$  to seeing you in the future at our

NOTE Confidence: 0.904984375

00:56:27.412 --> 00:56:30.313 next smilo chairs which is Renee

 $00:56:30.313 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.297$  can you put that back up for the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904984375

 $00:56:33.300 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.950$  For the. For folks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883893938

 $00:56:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.570$  OK. Well, that's all right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883893938

 $00:56:42.570 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.166$  We'll, we'll, we'll send it around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883893938

 $00:56:44.170 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.501$  It's always the the same Tuesday at

NOTE Confidence: 0.883893938

00:56:46.501 --> 00:56:49.280 the same time, same time, same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883893938

 $00{:}56{:}49.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}52.510$  same place, March 7th, GI cancers. Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883893938

00:56:52.510 --> 00:56:54.617 Thank you so much and again please

NOTE Confidence: 0.883893938

00:56:54.617 --> 00:56:56.869 make sure to complete the survey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883893938

 $00{:}56{:}56.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}58.390$  We really appreciate that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.883893938

 $00:56:58.390 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.910$  have a good night everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883893938

 $00:56:59.910 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.618$  Thank you again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716110234

 $00:57:01.080 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.910$  Goodnight. Thank you. Thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.65599943

 $00:57:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.000$  guys. Thank you.