## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:51:13.1900000"

NOTE recognizability:0.762

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.781733976363636

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.576 At all to this nice, auspicious start

NOTE Confidence: 0.781733976363636

 $00:00:02.576 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.490$  of the new Grand Round series for 2023.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781733976363636

 $00:00:07.490 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.450$  And I'm happy to have Doctor David

NOTE Confidence: 0.781733976363636

 $00:00:10.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.390$  Haffer here is our speaker today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781733976363636

 $00:00:13.390 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.076$  So since 2009, Doctor Heffler has

NOTE Confidence: 0.781733976363636

 $00:00:16.076 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.798$  been the William S and Lower Styles

NOTE Confidence: 0.876862086666667

00:00:17.810 --> 00:00:19.210 actually professor and chairman

NOTE Confidence: 0.876862086666667

00:00:19.210 --> 00:00:20.960 of the Department of Neurology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.666254034

00:00:20.970 --> 00:00:23.186 Professor of Immunology, Immunobiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.666254034

 $00{:}00{:}23.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.160$  here at Yale and his neurologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.666254034

 $00{:}00{:}25.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.990$  and chief of the hospital, David.

NOTE Confidence: 0.666254034

 $00:00:27.990 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.237$  He's a he's a clinical research scientist

NOTE Confidence: 0.796949021818182

 $00:00:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.342$  with an interest in understanding the

 $00:00:32.342 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.260$  path of pathogenesis of inflammatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

00:00:34.270 --> 00:00:36.158 CNS diseases by studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:00:36.158 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.972$  both basic properties of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:00:37.972 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.120$  Due to regulatory pathways in humans

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:00:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.772$  and they run this function in patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

00:00:41.772 --> 00:00:44.435 But as went on, I won't show you all

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:00:44.435 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.390$  the ruminations over the 20 odd years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:00:46.390 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.934$  but with 47,000 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:00:48.934 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.478$  68,000 controls by identified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:00:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.725$  233 genetic variants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00{:}00{:}52.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.800$  all these have been replicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:00:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.685$  All the original ones are

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:00:56.685 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.439$  similarly replicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:00:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.458$  which counts for about half the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:01:00.458 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.470$  estimated heritability for Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:01:02.470 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.652$  So that's great.

 $00:01:03.652 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.410$  You can show these wonderful little figures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

00:01:06.410 --> 00:01:08.906 but how did the variants cause the disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00{:}01{:}08.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10.779$  And I think this remains one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:01:10.779 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.050$  the major challenges for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

00:01:12.050 --> 00:01:14.978 not modern medicine as it's relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:01:14.978 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.930$  easy with these technologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:01:16.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.576$  It wasn't so easy in 2000 where we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00{:}01{:}19.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}22.134$  now with different aluminum athlete

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00{:}01{:}22.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}24.322$  technologies to identify genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:01:24.322 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.888$  variants with big patient cohorts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00{:}01{:}26.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.948$  I think the challenge is how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:01:28.948 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.569$  go from variance to disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:01:30.570 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.070$  So this is an effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

00:01:32.070 --> 00:01:33.920 Collaborate effort with Alex Morrison,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

00:01:33.920 --> 00:01:36.340 Kyle Farr and Brad Bernstein.

 $00:01:36.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.295$  We together did genetic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00{:}01{:}38.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}40.250$  epigenetic fine mapping of autoimmune

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:01:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.426$  disease variants and these data up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:01:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.740$  They'll publish about 5-6 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:01:44.740 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.650$  I think it's still hand up, pulled up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:01:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.350$  So what we did we turns off for a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00{:}01{:}50.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}53.438$  So you all know DNA goes to RNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:01:53.438 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.962$  goes to protein, you'll learn that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00{:}01{:}55.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58.290$  And so to go from DNA to RNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

00:01:58.290 --> 00:02:00.411 DNA has done wine very well and

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00{:}02{:}00.411 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03.040$  there has to be ways so that poll

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:02:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.472$  two another enzymes can get to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:02:05.472 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.590$  DNA so you can have transcription.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:02:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.767$  Well you can then use things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

00:02:09.770 --> 00:02:11.018 K27 installation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:02:11.018 \dashrightarrow 00:02:14.210$  K4 methylation maps to identify where

 $00:02:14.210 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.290$  this open chromatid on different cell types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:02:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.404$  One can then take those data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00{:}02{:}19.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.399$  overlay them with genetic variants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

00:02:21.400 --> 00:02:23.710 arguing that if a genetic variant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:02:23.710 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.768$  it's a region where there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

00:02:25.768 --> 00:02:26.797 no open chromatin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:02:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.488$  that variance is not going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00{:}02{:}28.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.904$  playing a role that's help with

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00{:}02{:}29.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31.465$  every place there's a genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:02:31.465 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.416$  variant for chromance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429 00:02:32.420 --> 00:02:33.052 it's open, NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:02:33.052 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.264$  then it's likely to be an influencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:02:35.264 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.239$  that cell type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:02:36.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.120$  That's what we did as part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:02:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.618$  ENCODE project with Brad Bernstein.

 $00:02:39.620 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.025$  Our lab participated in generating the K27K4

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:02:44.025 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.888$  methylation maps of human immune cells and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:02:46.888 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.600$  We have different diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:02:48.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.228$  We have neurologic diseases over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:02:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.974$  Here is urate levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

00:02:52.974 --> 00:02:54.834 renal function, kidney disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:02:54.834 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.786$  cholesterol and here the autoimmune diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

00:02:57.790 --> 00:02:59.402 We'll concentrate on Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00{:}02{:}59.402 --> 00{:}03{:}01.417$  If you look at Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:03:01.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.760$  We found the genetic variance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:03:03.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.980$  the P values of less than 10 to the minus 30.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:03:06.980 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.960$  We're hitting immune cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:03:08.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.435$  That wasn't surprising T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

00:03:11.440 --> 00:03:12.583 Macrophages T regs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

00:03:12.583 --> 00:03:15.250 But also what was a bit surprising

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:03:15.322 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.440$  is there were hitting B cells,

 $00:03:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.960$  and more so in Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:03:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.236$  most any other diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

00:03:20.236 --> 00:03:22.720 If you look at other autoimmune diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:03:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.960$  it wasn't the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:03:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.480$  say for lupus and primary biliary serositis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:03:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.520$  suggesting that B cells play a

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:03:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.490$  critical role in the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

00:03:33.490 --> 00:03:34.220 Somewhat unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00{:}03{:}34.220 \to 00{:}03{:}36.775$  around the same time my dear friend

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00{:}03{:}36.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39.288$  and colleague Steve Hauser made the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:03:39.288 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.373$  observation paper published New England.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85046460857142900:03:41.380 --> 00:03:41.932 Channel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00{:}03{:}41.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45.244$  if you perform B cell depletion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:03:45.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.640$  this is the two different

NOTE Confidence: 0.850464608571429

 $00:03:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.030$  studies offer one opera 2

 $00:03:48.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.000$  compared to the standard treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.728758983157895

 $00:03:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.528$  that time barred interferon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728758983157895

00:03:51.530 --> 00:03:55.072 The 9897% decrease in new lesions dramatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.728758983157895

 $00:03:55.072 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.438$  effect and I would just say clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.728758983157895

 $00:03:57.438 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.207$  will we see a patient we start them on

NOTE Confidence: 0.728758983157895

00:04:00.207 --> 00:04:02.495 B cell depletion when we have a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.728758983157895

 $00{:}04{:}02.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05.308$  who doesn't respond usually isn't Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728758983157895

 $00:04:05.310 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.830$  that's how good that drug is right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728758983157895

 $00:04:07.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.392$  So these data fit in very

NOTE Confidence: 0.728758983157895

 $00:04:10.392 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.100$  nicely with our observation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728758983157895

 $00:04:12.100 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.039$  All of these cells in the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728758983157895

 $00:04:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.050$  but those are those in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.728758983157895

 $00:04:16.050 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.055$  biologist and neuropathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728758983157895

00:04:17.060 --> 00:04:17.630 I apologize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728758983157895

 $00:04:17.630 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.910$  we did not get hits in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00{:}04{:}22.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}24.448$  Now I will say, and I won't share Tom

 $00:04:24.448 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.634$  and show the data today with the paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:04:26.634 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.755$  that is going to be coming out in

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00{:}04{:}28.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.532$  nature from our from our consortium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:04:30.532 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.660$  identifying 2 haplotypes associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:04:32.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.500$  not with the risk of developing Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:04:36.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.574$  but with progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:04:37.574 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.722$  And these are helpful types which

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

00:04:39.722 --> 00:04:41.599 are found in neuronal cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

00:04:41.600 --> 00:04:43.120 So it's a separate question

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:04:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.640$  of what causes the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00{:}04{:}44.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}46.180$  what leads to disease progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

00:04:46.180 --> 00:04:47.734 If you have the risk capital type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00{:}04{:}47.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}50.692$ your likelihood of progressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

00:04:50.692 --> 00:04:52.906 is significantly increased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:04:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.046$  So how do you go from Snips

 $00:04:56.046 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.416$  to to functionality?

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:04:57.416 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.144$  So we found hits the enough Capital Region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

00:05:01.150 --> 00:05:03.598 There are part of the far paper we

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

00:05:03.598 --> 00:05:06.236 found that steps on the NF Kappa B

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:05:06.236 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.090$  binding sites across the genome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00{:}05{:}08.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10.460$  And there's snips happen in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

00:05:10.460 --> 00:05:12.250 haplotype social NF Kappa B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:05:12.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.106$  Now it's about 10 to the minus 12,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:05:14.110 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.930$  so they're genetic variance here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:05:15.930 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.450$  However, the odds ratio is about 1.1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00{:}05{:}19.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21.155$  so not a big effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:05:21.155 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.685$  So I want to show you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:05:22.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.226$  Just even though the odds ratio is low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:05:26.230 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.868$  It has a major biologic fact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:05:27.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.326$  In fact, about 1819% of eugenic kits Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00{:}05{:}32.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.922$  are in the NF Capital B and the

 $00{:}05{:}34.922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37.957$  TNF NF Capital B signaling region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00{:}05{:}37.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41.284$  So this is published now 7-8 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

00:05:41.284 --> 00:05:43.456 ago by will housing our laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:05:43.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.148$  But basically about 20% of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

00:05:46.148 --> 00:05:47.044 healthy subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:05:47.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.746$  About 20% of you here are GG's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

00:05:50.746 --> 00:05:52.780 Do you know enough to know who you are?

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:05:52.780 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.100$  Is your homozygote for

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:05:55.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.840$  this particular variant?

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:05:56.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.952$  About 20% of you were A and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:05:58.952 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.240$  rest of you are had to reside in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:06:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.740$  If you are G,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00{:}06{:}02.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.537$ you have about a 20 fold increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:06:05.537 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.757$  in P50 NF Kappa B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:06:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.674$  Activity where if you're a it's

 $00:06:09.674 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.639$  significantly less and we every time

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00{:}06{:}11.639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.529$  we've looked at a genetic variant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:06:13.530 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.026$  this is what we find that the biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:06:16.026 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.920$  of these are quite striking and even

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

 $00:06:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.679$  though maybe 1.11 point one to the 233

NOTE Confidence: 0.799675357666667

00:06:22.679 --> 00:06:25.337 power becomes a very major effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

 $00:06:28.970 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.690$  So in summary of the genetics big picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

 $00{:}06{:}31.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33.670$  the genetics of autoimmune

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

 $00:06:33.670 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.650$  disease dictates lower activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

 $00:06:35.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.490$  threshold of different cell types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

00:06:37.490 --> 00:06:40.937 including TH 17 cell B cells and T regs

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

 $00:06:40.937 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.890$  in the dozen or so genes we've looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

 $00:06:44.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.976$  I also say we've just started a

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

 $00:06:46.976 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.650$  collaboration with Steve Robbins just

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

 $00:06:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.810$  recruiting here from the Broad Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

 $00:06:50.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.515$  We had these wonderful techniques

00:06:52.515 --> 00:06:54.220 of looking at genetic variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

 $00:06:54.273 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.868$  and different cell types look

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

 $00:06:55.868 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.463$  into effect on motor function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

 $00:06:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.584$  So there are tools emerging which allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

 $00:06:59.584 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.090$  us to take a whole genome approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

 $00:07:02.090 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.392$  So getting back to the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

 $00:07:03.392 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.043$  I started with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

 $00:07:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.507$  you know the cause of multiple sclerosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834147965

 $00:07:06.510 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.838$  here's our working model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

00:07:09.910 --> 00:07:10.735 That's the genetically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00{:}07{:}10.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}13.313$  as I said earlier, it's a genetically

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00{:}07{:}13.313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.786$  mediated autoimmune disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00{:}07{:}14.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17.214$  It's initiating the periphery by T

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:07:17.214 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.340$  cells and macrophages that traffic

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:07:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.545$  into the central nervous system.

 $00:07:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.358$  So the the idea is that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:07:24.358 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.515$  microbial antigens likely cross reactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:07:26.515 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.275$  with myelin that something happens to

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:07:29.275 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.899$  activate these antigen presenting cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:07:31.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.708$  Probably be sales, probably EB though

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:07:34.708 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.329$  there's no biology behind that yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:07:37.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.998$  There's expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:07:38.998 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.110$  costimulatory molecules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:07:40.110 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.620$  We have activation of viral

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

00:07:41.620 --> 00:07:43.835 reactive T cells now we all have

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00{:}07{:}43.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}45.749$  autoreactive T cells in our blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:07:45.750 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.736$  I could clone mold reactive T

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:07:47.736 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.830$  cells from menu in this room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

00:07:49.830 --> 00:07:51.150 And a number of years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:07:51.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.130$  back in in the late 80s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:07:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.010$  we developed technologies for looking

 $00:07:55.010 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.473$  at autoreactive T cells were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00{:}07{:}57.473 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59.569$  show for the first time that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:07:59.627 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.846$  in fact autoreactive T cells in humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:08:01.850 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.736$  Highly robust response and we identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:08:04.736 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.623$  a dominant epitope Amal and basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

00:08:07.623 --> 00:08:10.386 protein are recognized as 84102 region

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

00:08:10.386 --> 00:08:13.416 which went on to to find how it

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

00:08:13.416 --> 00:08:15.940 bounded MHC in a form post doc in the lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

00:08:15.940 --> 00:08:18.736 kyouka fennick with Don Wiley went

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00{:}08{:}18.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.665$  down to crystallize this these clones

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:08:21.665 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.605$  recognizing this epitope with the T

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00{:}08{:}24.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28.350$  cell receptor and MHC but was more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:08:28.350 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.758$  Interesting to me was the fact that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:08:30.758 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.509$  also found reactivity in healthy individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

00:08:33.510 --> 00:08:34.440 Significant reactivity

 $00:08:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.765$  led to decades of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:08:36.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.480$  Why do we have autoreactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

00:08:38.480 --> 00:08:40.190 T cells in their circulation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

00:08:40.190 --> 00:08:42.126 This is work done by will count here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:08:42.130 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.239$  published in STM about seven years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00{:}08{:}46.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48.075$  This is principal component analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:08:48.075 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.031$  looking at T cell reactivity using a T

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:08:51.031 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.796$  cell library approach against published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:08:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.408$  Just point out that the paper no peptide

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:08:55.408 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.580$  control anger can the myelin peptides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:08:57.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.260$  You can see that the red is Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

00:09:00.260 --> 00:09:04.382 patients that they tend to go off to GMCSF

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:09:04.382 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.187$  gamma in 17 whereas healthy individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:09:08.190 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.332$  The main reactive T cells need

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

00:09:10.332 --> 00:09:12.490 aisle 10 suppressive soda comma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:09:12.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.410$  which makes terrific sense.

00:09:14.410 --> 00:09:16.398 And if you do single cell cloning

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:09:16.398 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.299$  rather than the library approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:09:18.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.804$  you can see that and help the individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:09:20.810 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.850$  They tend to make aisle 10 with single

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:09:23.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.509$  cell they tend they make all ten,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81741668714285700:09:26.510 --> 00:09:27.968 that is Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

00:09:27.968 --> 00:09:30.884 patients making 17 GMCSF less gamma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:09:30.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.361$  So suggest that these aisle 10 secreting

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:09:33.361 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.930$  cells and all of us may play a role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:09:35.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.150$  For example one has damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:09:38.150 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.718$  The brain stroke other factors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00{:}09{:}40.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}43.483$  these cells may circulate into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00{:}09{:}43.483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}45.938$  nervous system involving scar formation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:09:45.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.820$  So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:09:47.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.116$  What we find is,

 $00:09:49.116 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.776$  so we have this situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00{:}09{:}50.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}52.748$  we have a isle 10 secreting cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:09:52.748 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.732$  in healthy individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:09:53.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.686$  but you also have regulatory T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:09:55.690 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.795$  Look to both TR1 and Fox V3 cells which

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

00:09:58.795 --> 00:10:01.710 are preventing this from happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:10:01.710 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.999$  But multiple sclerosis is a loss of

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:10:03.999 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.350$  these Fox P3 regulatory T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00{:}10{:}06.350 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}10{:}09.200$  I'll show you some recent unpublished

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:10:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.510$  data related to PRDM one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:10:11.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.720$  So the hypothesis is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

00:10:13.720 --> 00:10:15.046 in healthy individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:10:15.050 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.858$  these T regs prevent activation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

00:10:17.858 --> 00:10:20.030 autoreactive T cells where's Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:10:20.030 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.056$  patients are defective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00:10:21.056 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.450$  This is work done by Dizzy Begleiten,

00:10:23.450 --> 00:10:24.842 Claire Batch Allen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817416687142857

 $00{:}10{:}24.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27.626$  published now almost 20 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:10:27.630 --> 00:10:29.235 which was the first demonstration

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:10:29.235 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.459$  of T Reg dysfunction in the human.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:10:31.460 --> 00:10:32.196 Autoimmune disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:10:32.196 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.140$  these are all new ones that untreated Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:10:35.140 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.060$  patients or healthy donors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00{:}10{:}37.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39.493$  this is the presence of oppression

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:10:39.493 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.663$  perforation different ratios of T

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00{:}10{:}41.663 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.736$  regs and you can see this market

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00{:}10{:}44.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46.836$  demolition diminish chip of Reg

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00{:}10{:}46.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.910$  function in vitro in patients with Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00{:}10{:}49.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52.628$  and the same thing been found in type one

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:10:52.628 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.599$  diabetes everyone to arthritis went on

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:10:54.599 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.200$  to show that the T regs and patient Ms.

 $00{:}10{:}57.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}58.915$  work done by Margaret Dominguez

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00{:}10{:}58.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01.530$  Pierre is that these T regs and MSN.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:11:01.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.850$  Making games that Fearon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:11:02.850 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.500$  Here we took T Reg,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00{:}11{:}04.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06.900$  stimulated for four hours of PNA on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00{:}11{:}06.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09.160$  mycin and measured gamma secretion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:11:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.572$  purified populations and using

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:11:11.572 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.778$  sample control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00{:}11{:}12.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}15.956$ aisle17 versus gaming can see this gamma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:11:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.905$  They all express.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00{:}11{:}16.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}19.530$  Foxp 3 is a summary of these data

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:11:19.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.588$  that these T regs were making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:11:21.590 --> 00:11:21.907 Gamma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:11:21.907 --> 00:11:24.126 and I'll just point out I'll show

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:11:24.126 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.474$  a little bit in a few minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:11:26.474 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.794$  that dysfunctional T Rex.

 $00{:}11{:}27.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29.912$  What's happening is they go from

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:11:29.912 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.730$  suppressor cells to effector cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:11:31.730 --> 00:11:34.430 And start making game interferon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:11:34.430 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.225$  So an Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:11:35.225 --> 00:11:37.468 is not just bad genes, not bad environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:11:37.468 --> 00:11:38.998 but the bad interaction between

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:11:38.998 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.450$  genes and the environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00{:}11{:}40.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42.678$  It's very interested again

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:11:42.678 --> 00:11:44.349 in environmental influences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:11:44.350 --> 00:11:47.024 The instance of Ms. stops at 2000,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:11:47.030 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.670$  but it's continued to increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124 00:11:48.670 --> 00:11:49.040 Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00{:}11{:}49.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50.890$  Crohn's disease, type one diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:11:50.890 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.252$  continues to increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:11:52.252 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.430$  And of course that can't be genetics.

 $00:11:55.430 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.990$  So the pathophysiology of Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:11:57.990 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.286$  will involve genetic environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00{:}12{:}00.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04.590$  factors which lead to the immune response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:12:04.590 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.478$  So well just give background on this about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:12:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.395$  We started looking at microbiome

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:12:11.395 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.559$  versus TH 17 cells in the blood and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:12:14.559 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.899$  we started looking at dietary history

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:12:16.899 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.584$  and we found that if you ate at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:12:19.584 --> 00:12:21.816 fast food restaurant more than twice

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:12:21.816 --> 00:12:25.080 a week you had increased dial 17 cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:12:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.536$  Statistically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00{:}12{:}26.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29.462$  We said really and said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:12:29.462 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.946$  well wasn't the golden

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:12:30.946 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.385$  arches provide that and salt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:12:33.385 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.800$  So we did an incredibly simple experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00{:}12{:}35.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38.320$  This work done by Marcus Kletzel,

 $00:12:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.366$  we added salt to the culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:12:40.370 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.730$  And the same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:12:41.730 --> 00:12:44.171 my dear friend and colleague Vijay Kutru

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:12:44.171 --> 00:12:46.523 was looking at TH 17 cell induction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:12:46.530 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.185$  identified SGK one as critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:12:49.185 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.309$  inducing TH 17 cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:12:51.310 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.846$  We are very we do lab things together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:12:53.850 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.866$  We're very closely he told that SGK one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:12:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.676$  I told him that salt and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:12:58.676 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.249$  did the papers in parallel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:13:00.250 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.090$  I'll just do a little just on terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:13:02.090 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.987$  of we couldn't have started competing

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:13:03.987 --> 00:13:06.045 who could get this out first?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:13:06.050 --> 00:13:07.870 But we're much more clever than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:13:07.870 --> 00:13:10.348 We worked in parallel, sent the papers.

 $00:13:10.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.550$  Back-to-back to a weekly journal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:13:13.550 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.863$  And when the editor said, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:13:15.863 --> 00:13:17.354 did you know about each other's work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:13:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.450$  we said no, not really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:13:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.938$  but no one would have believed

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:13:21.938 --> 00:13:22.930 that salt did this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

00:13:22.930 --> 00:13:24.282 but having two laboratories

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:13:24.282 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.698$  showing the same thing at a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:13:26.698 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.288$  more credence to the work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:13:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.514$  So it was and we've gone on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00{:}13{:}30.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33.251$  look at the effect of of salt and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:13:33.251 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.353$  other factors in terms of fat

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772124

 $00:13:35.353 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.219$  in terms of T Reg function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:13:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.750$  So I'll just show you some of these data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:13:38.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.430$  They're really quite remarkable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:13:40.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.530$  If you add 40 milliequivalents

 $00:13:42.530 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.158$  of salt to a culture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

00:13:44.160 --> 00:13:45.860 you have logarithmic increases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:13:45.860 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.620$  dial 17 and M RNA and and secretion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:13:49.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.700$  They may be saying yourself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:13:50.700 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.601$  this is artificial right concentration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

00:13:53.601 --> 00:13:55.540 Salt and blood is about 150,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:13:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.692$  which is what sea water is, turns out

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00{:}13{:}58.692 \rightarrow 00{:}14{:}02.208$  the concentration of salt in tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

00:14:02.210 --> 00:14:05.186 Is higher, it's about 18190 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00{:}14{:}05.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}08.180$  blood is a suppressive condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:14:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.884$  You don't want T cells being

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:14:09.884 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.380$  activated in the peripheral blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00{:}14{:}11.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14.444$  So when T cells traffic into the tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:14:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.314$  they're in the condition of leading to more

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

00:14:17.314 --> 00:14:19.470 activation which is what we were seeing.

 $00:14:19.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.942$  I also say that a recent paper by

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

00:14:21.942 --> 00:14:23.952 another group in Germany showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00{:}14{:}23.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26.157$  increased salt concentration using MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:14:26.157 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.750$  magnets in tissue skin tissue of Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:14:28.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.140$  patients compared to the controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:14:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.556$  So we also this work done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:14:32.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.435$  By the talented graduate student

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

00:14:34.435 --> 00:14:36.310 of the NADPH Mandatory Hernandez.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

00:14:36.310 --> 00:14:37.913 And she showed that if you add

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:14:37.913 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.950$  salt to T regs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

00:14:38.950 --> 00:14:41.430 So here we have T Reg effector cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00{:}14{:}41.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.010$  we load them with a green dot at the very

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:14:43.051 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.437$  green that stimulates them not dead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:14:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.176$  With the dye at T regs to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:14:46.176 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.130$  from here to here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

00:14:47.130 --> 00:14:48.670 they suppress entering the cell,

 $00:14:48.670 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.370$  cycle through the same thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:14:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.390$  with sodium chloride,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817069043333333

 $00:14:51.390 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.680$  they lose functionality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

00:14:55.130 --> 00:14:57.842 And the mechanism, if you look at SGK

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:14:57.842 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.839$  one would solve it goes up in the T regs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

00:15:00.840 --> 00:15:04.017 You can knock down the SGK one with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

00:15:04.017 --> 00:15:07.264 short hairpin RNA and then if you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00{:}15{:}07.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.040$  at function here's effective function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:15:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.480$  If you knock out SGK one you go from

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00{:}15{:}12.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14.880$  control to here and restore function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

00:15:14.880 --> 00:15:17.176 So it was happening so also inducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00{:}15{:}17.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19.500$  SGK one with gamma difference secretion

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00{:}15{:}19.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.454$  and T regs leading lots of function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00{:}15{:}22.460 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}15{:}25.421$  So I'm presenting to you the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:15:25.421 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.469$  of SGK one as a central factor.

 $00:15:28.470 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.786$  And loss of T Rex function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:15:30.790 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.310$  So come back to that in a moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:15:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.590$  one of the great surprises in my life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:15:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.152$  So then the question what's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:15:37.152 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.433$  transcriptional circuit driving

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:15:38.433 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.144$  dysfunctional foxies through positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:15:40.144 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.189$  regulatory T cells in autoimmunity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:15:42.190 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.398$  That's can we identify a master

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00{:}15{:}44.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46.810$  regulator of T cell dysfunction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:15:46.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.623$  Let me just say this is work

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:15:48.623 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.890$  done by Thomas Sabita,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:15:49.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.250$  who started as a postdoc is now in the system

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:15:52.308 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.618$  professor and there's really represents his,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:15:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.736$  his original work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:15:55.736 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.007$  So what we basically did was performed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00{:}15{:}59.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01.407$  comprehensive transcriptomic and epigenomic.

 $00:16:01.410 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.242$  Profiling doing bulk and

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:03.242 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.532$  signals of RNA seek attack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.350$  Seek for epigenetic regulation genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.598$  wide for chromatid accessibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.975$  He did transcription factor footprint

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

00:16:12.975 --> 00:16:15.706 analysis and accessible chromatin regions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:15.706 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.352$  look to the E QTL effects of Automeris Lucci.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:18.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.078$  I'll just just show data on the 1st 2:00.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.347$  This is a whole one hour talk in itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00{:}16{:}24.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.429$  And then we did a CRISPER activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:26.429 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.640$  based validation of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.148$  It's regulatory elements getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00{:}16{:}29.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30.656$  at the molecular mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.232$  So it's amazing what we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:32.232 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.560$  do in human biology now.

 $00:16:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.936$  It's unimaginable years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:34.936 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.386$  So first of all let me show

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:37.386 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.630$  you what we found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:38.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.730$  Found increases in PRDM 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:41.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.346$  Now for those of you who are mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:44.346 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.109$  people who say this doesn't make sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:47.110 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.462$  It's it's well known that PRD one

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

00:16:49.462 --> 00:16:51.268 increases T Reg function announce

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00{:}16{:}51.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53.809$  T cells and I'll show you what

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:53.809 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.264$  what it was and that it we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00{:}16{:}56.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57.930$  that it drives a dysfunctional

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:57.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.530$  sheets something like program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:16:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.552$  But what's happened is not the

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:17:01.552 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.260$  long form that's increased it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:17:03.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.036$  a short form which inhibits the

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

00:17:05.036 --> 00:17:07.049 long form and here's the kicker,

 $00:17:07.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.587$  it drives SGK one of all the kinases and

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:17:10.587 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.568$  proteins that could have been induced by

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:17:13.568 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.009$  the shore former PhD one it was the SGK 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

00:17:17.010 --> 00:17:18.900 So let me now I showed you the results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:17:18.900 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.178$  let me show you the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

00:17:20.180 --> 00:17:22.420 See here we looked at T Reg,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

00:17:22.420 --> 00:17:25.892 this is doing vulgar in DC looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:17:25.892 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.902$  overlapping differential expressed genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:17:27.902 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.079$  between memory T regs and seating for itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:17:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.612$  So you can see this market

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:17:33.612 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.300$  increase in PRDM one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00{:}17{:}35.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37.540$  BCL 3 pin three will also regulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00{:}17{:}37.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40.699$  These are all induced by PRDM one and genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:17:40.699 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.817$  are downregulated by PRDM one like ID 3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:17:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.168$  LBH were down regulated.

 $00:17:45.168 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.190$  So we had this increase in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:17:47.190 \dashrightarrow 00:17:50.799$  PRDM one did a replication of the set of

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

00:17:50.799 --> 00:17:53.785 patients and showed here that the PRDM

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

 $00:17:53.785 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.848$  one is upregulated in patient with Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

00:17:56.850 --> 00:17:58.958 but again confusing because

NOTE Confidence: 0.763559449444445

00:17:58.958 --> 00:18:01.066 of the mouse data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

00:18:01.070 --> 00:18:02.828 It's all about mice of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00{:}18{:}02.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.862$  but then we learned that there are two

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:18:05.862 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.125$  isoforms in humans that don't exist in mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

00:18:09.130 --> 00:18:11.979 Dry nosed mammals do not express a

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:18:11.979 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.540$  short form of PRDM one and so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:18:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.790$  short form the dominant negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:18:17.790 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.149$  When we looked in normal cell types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:18:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.678$  we found that the short form is what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

00:18:22.678 --> 00:18:24.330 expression memory cells and T regs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:18:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.920$  but not in B cells.

 $00:18:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.803$  So then we looked at the short

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

00:18:28.803 --> 00:18:30.339 former period you want by PCR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:18:30.340 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.925$  and indeed it's this short

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:18:31.925 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.193$  form that's increased NS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:18:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.908$  not the long form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

00:18:35.910 --> 00:18:38.175 And we rapidly perform Western

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:18:38.175 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.938$  blotting to show that indeed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

00:18:40.938 --> 00:18:43.048 short form is what's induced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:18:43.050 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.858$  We then looked at the data sets in

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:18:45.858 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.103$  particular set by oted all that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:18:48.103 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.514$  published in cell and we found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:18:50.514 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.504$  the PRD one isoform PRD one is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:18:53.504 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.889$  increased in most autoimmune diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:18:55.890 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.653$  So appears to be a common regulator of in

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:18:58.653 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.967$  T regs among different autoimmune diseases.

 $00:19:01.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.902$  And of course PRD one drives the

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:19:03.902 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.966$  blimp one and we looked at blimp

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:19:05.966 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.052$  one expression and MST regs it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:19:08.052 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.886$  in and it was it was increased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:19:09.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.060$  So it wasn't memory T Rex and memory T Rex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805132526666667

 $00:19:13.060 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.755$  By flow. By both PCR and flow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:19:17.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.885$  So then here's the experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}19{:}19.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}22.242$  where we transfected PRD one into

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}19{:}22.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24.210$  T regs and integer cat cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:19:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.261$  We have the induction of SGK one and here's

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}19{:}27.261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.707$  measuring SGK one and primary union T Rex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:19:29.710 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.490$  See this? When we overexpressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:19:31.490 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.690$  the short form of T regs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:19:33.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.594$  you had an increase in SGK one expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:19:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.230$  And then if you go in and do all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

00:19:40.275 --> 00:19:42.078 other experiments, not get SGK one,

00:19:42.078 --> 00:19:44.846 you lose the loss of T rate function but the

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:19:44.846 \dashrightarrow 00:19:47.630$  short form in T Rex become dysfunctional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}19{:}47.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49.950$  The whole story came together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:19:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.461$  So this suggests to us that the short form

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:19:52.461 \dashrightarrow 00:19:55.367$  of PD one may be critical in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

00:19:55.367 --> 00:19:57.769 autoimmune diseases and driving dysfunction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

00:19:57.770 --> 00:19:59.989 And again we believe it's related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:19:59.989 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.602$  salt and to genetic factors that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}20{:}02.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05.417$  show the data particular CD toward the

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

00:20:05.417 --> 00:20:09.730 genetic variant in CD28 who drives a P1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}20{:}09.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}12.894$  Now switch gears and show some public,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:20:12.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.915$  recently published work looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}20{:}14.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.296$  T cell traffic between blood and

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}20{:}17.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}19.368$  the CNS and the single cell work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748 00:20:19.370 --> 00:20:19.721 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748 00:20:19.721 --> 00:20:22.880 T cell traffic into the CNS is very tightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}20{:}22.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}25.332$  regulated and CXCR 3 positive cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:20:25.332 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.410$  are the ones that get into the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:20:28.410 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.362$  crossing the correct plexus

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:20:30.362 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.826$  near great interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:20:31.830 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.570$  and they get into the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}20{:}33.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36.027$  And what I'll show you is a T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:20:36.027 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.452$  in the central nervous system are

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}20{:}38.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}41.070$  CXCR 3 positive and express Tibet

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

00:20:41.070 --> 00:20:42.906 and make gamma interferon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}20{:}42.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.255$  We believe that this relates to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}20{:}45.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.111$  fundamental observation by the late

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:20:47.111 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.481$  Ben Barris that astrocytes are driving

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:20:49.481 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.869$  homeostatic communication would not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:20:50.870 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.942$  This is Michael Glee up but also are

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}20{:}53.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56.033$  driving through secretion of cholesterol

 $00:20:56.033 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.364$  and TGF beta are driving this T bet

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:20:59.364 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.849$  induction that isn't the T cells go

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:21:01.849 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.076$  into the nervous system then they'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:21:04.076 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.030$  you in the brain drives this function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:21:07.030 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.018$  So give them on the knowledge of

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:21:09.018 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.950$  particular genre pop or Lotto submitter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

00:21:10.950 --> 00:21:14.142 Our Krishnaswamy and David Vandyke and Lee

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:21:14.142 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.109$  sang together did this worker with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:21:17.110 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.510$  And basically we took spinal

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

00:21:19.510 --> 00:21:21.430 fluid group of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:21:21.430 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.006$  isolated the spinal fluid homonuclear cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

00:21:25.010 --> 00:21:27.698 perform 10X the usual way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

00:21:27.698 --> 00:21:29.890 most you're familiar with now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:21:29.890 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.670$  And then we perform this on 6 healthy donors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:21:33.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.394$  get the bed in the moment, 5 patient with Ms.

 $00:21:36.394 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.650$  And looked at over 100,000 cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

00:21:38.725 --> 00:21:40.790 into 50,000 T cell receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

00:21:40.790 --> 00:21:43.221 Now does show high level summary

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:21:43.221 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.326$  of the of this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:21:46.330 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.830$  So first in terms of blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

00:21:47.830 --> 00:21:48.580 versus spinal fluid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:21:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.282$  what we found wasn't surprising that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

00:21:51.282 --> 00:21:53.765 majority of cells in the spinal fluid

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

00:21:53.765 --> 00:21:56.180 or T cells what we observed before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

00:21:56.180 --> 00:21:58.259 So we started first bikes adding a

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}21{:}58.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00.178$  spinal fluid from patients with Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:22:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.548$  right blood spinal fluid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:22:01.548 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.540$  We found the spinal fluid was very inflamed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}22{:}04.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.948$  I love this picture and we're sitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:22:06.948 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.009$  at the immunology repeat this change

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:22:09.009 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.582$  that we need to do controls goes that

00:22:11.582 --> 00:22:14.003 means we have to do spinal taps on age

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}22{:}14.010 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}17.292$  match 20 something year old students

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00:22:17.292 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.470$  to do that. So Full disclosure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

00:22:20.470 --> 00:22:25.148 I do not know who would spinal taps, I said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72634748

 $00{:}22{:}25.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27.100$  I do not want to know because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:22:27.163 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.945$  do not want to be accused

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:22:28.945 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.078$  of coercion, collusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00{:}22{:}30.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}33.306$  So only Jenna knows who voluntee red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:22:33.310 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.646$  They're all de identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:22:34.646 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.478$  But at the I acknowledge all these wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.901740950555556

00:22:37.478 --> 00:22:39.758 students who had Spinal Tap stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:22:39.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.415$  So these cells acquire an

NOTE Confidence: 0.901740950555556

 $00{:}22{:}42.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.477$  inflammatory signature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:22:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.196$  So just quickly the menu now fate

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:22:46.196 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.389$  where the cell progression of

 $00:22:48.389 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.233$  blood and CSF release fate maps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

00:22:51.240 --> 00:22:52.160 This is a fading out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

00:22:52.160 --> 00:22:54.848 The red is blood, the blue is CSF

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:22:54.848 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.680$  via very different characteristics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

00:22:57.680 --> 00:23:00.008 They sense potential of heat diffusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

00:23:00.010 --> 00:23:02.940 if any based transition embedding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:23:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.460$  I love the words they come up with and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

00:23:05.460 --> 00:23:07.920 that world, but it's a way of looking at it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:23:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.184$  Unsupervised visualization that looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:23:10.184 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.580$  at geometric distance between data points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901740950555556

 $00{:}23{:}13.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.155$  So here's the original tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:23:15.155 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.415$  on the fate map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:23:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.660$  We can define the CD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901740950555556

00:23:17.660 --> 00:23:20.000 8 cells are here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:23:20.000 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.340$  CD4 cells are here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:23:22.340 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.436$  And we were able to describe we took

 $00:23:24.436 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.083$  the top 10 differential expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:23:26.083 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.547$  genes in each cluster and to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00{:}23{:}28.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.638$  different populations naive cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901740950555556 00:23:30.640 --> 00:23:31.574 naive CD4, NOTE Confidence: 0.901740950555556

 $00:23:31.574 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.376$  CD 8 and really three different

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:23:34.376 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.835$  populations CSF cell we called CSF

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:23:36.835 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.080 1/2$  and three in memory CD8 cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:23:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.747$  So one could do something very interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:23:43.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.964$  which is looking at the continuum

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

00:23:45.964 --> 00:23:47.890 between blood and spinal fluid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

00:23:47.890 --> 00:23:50.626 getting back to the point of how T

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:23:50.626 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.208$  cells change function going to tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901740950555556

 $00{:}23{:}53.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}55.562$  So we merge the fate to fusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:23:55.562 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.410$  operator with the original identity

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:23:57.410 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.490$  of itself come up with a tissue score

 $00:24:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.410$  which is basically the probability

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00{:}24{:}02.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}04.659$  of transitioning from one form to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00{:}24{:}04.659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.606$  another in a random walk and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:24:06.606 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.762$  can see the Tisha score that their

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:24:08.762 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.687$  cells are very blood like over

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

00:24:10.687 --> 00:24:12.800 here CD4CD8 cells in transition

NOTE Confidence: 0.90174095055556

 $00:24:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.500$  and cells is very CSF like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:24:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.520$  And so I did have a reality test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:24:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.780$  Ensuring that tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:24:21.780 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.460$  score captures no biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:24:23.460 --> 00:24:26.904 ITG Force is the LA4CD49D and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:24:26.904 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.414$  requires this T cell traffic

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:24:28.414 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.200$  into the into nervous system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:24:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.820$  It's a treatment for Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:24:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.756$  blocking T cell traffic and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:24:34.756 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.938$  that it's expressed predominantly in the T

00:24:37.938 --> 00:24:41.151 cells and transition CSL against the XR3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:24:41.151 --> 00:24:43.336 KEMA concord and fatty cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:24:43.336 --> 00:24:44.990 entry predominant expressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:24:44.990 --> 00:24:47.478 It's expressed only really

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00{:}24{:}47.478 --> 00{:}24{:}50.580$  in PCNSL CR7 Express 9 T.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:24:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.530$  So she excluded from the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:24:52.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.307$  and you can see that it's almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:24:55.307 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.647$  exclusively in the peripheral blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:24:57.650 --> 00:24:59.305 So we've found nine clusters

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:24:59.305 --> 00:25:01.430 of T cells and spinal fluid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00{:}25{:}01.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04.022$  We use the gene expressions imputed

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00{:}25{:}04.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06.301$  with something called magic because

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00{:}25{:}06.301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.701$  basically looked at gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:25:08.701 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.310$  across the gene expression patterns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:25:11.310 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.858$  You see different patterns

 $00:25:12.858 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.406$  across different tissue scores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:25:14.410 --> 00:25:14.655 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:25:14.655 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.370$  all the details in the paper have

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:25:16.370 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.419$  the 9 clusters and I want to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:25:18.419 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.105$  with the teach one cluster and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:25:20.105 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.665$  think about single cell data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:25:21.670 --> 00:25:23.345 There's so many things you

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:25:23.345 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.685$  can explore with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:25:24.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.590$  So to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:25:25.590 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.390$  I find a few interesting stories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00{:}25{:}27.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28.512$  validate them biologically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:25:28.512 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.130$  get the data out in the literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:25:31.130 --> 00:25:33.074 and I've been so pleased by how many

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:25:33.074 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.077$  others have taken our data and use

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:25:35.077 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.542$  it for really important experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:25:36.550 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.110$  That's what we're trying to do.

00:25:38.110 --> 00:25:41.470 So even treat bothers TH1 cluster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:25:41.470 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.150$  So if you look at the TH with the CD

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:25:44.227 --> 00:25:46.907 four cells to different populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:25:46.910 --> 00:25:49.330 the CSF 3 populations particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00{:}25{:}49.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52.215$  and high amounts of gabito Ferrum

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:25:52.215 --> 00:25:54.465 tibets CXCR 3 run 3 stat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:25:54.470 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.170 4$ , so an aisle 12 receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:25:57.170 --> 00:26:00.425 another CD4 population of tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:26:00.425 --> 00:26:03.486 resident markers like lag 3CD-69

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:26:03.486 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.766$  and PRDM 1 interestingly enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00{:}26{:}05.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08.350$  and markets have soda toxicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:26:08.350 --> 00:26:10.050 a grandson and grandson K,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:26:10.050 --> 00:26:11.538 which is interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:26:11.538 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.018$  Colleague Michael Brenner at Harvard

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:26:14.018 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.927$  recently identified grandson Kay

 $00:26:15.927 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.057$  as being involved in complement

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00{:}26{:}18.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.254$  deposition and finally CDA population

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:26:20.254 --> 00:26:22.389 markets at tissue residence and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:26:22.390 --> 00:26:25.798 not surprising cytotoxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:26:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.858$  So to summarize a lot of data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:26:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.860$  what do we find in the blood cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:26:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.217$  excluded from entering the CSF and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:26:33.217 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.037$  we found cells that are rich for

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00{:}26{:}36.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38.247$  for traits necessary for entry

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:26:38.247 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.101$  and then finally markers for CSF

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:26:41.101 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.636$  entry and tissue dependent changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:26:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.587$  So in CSF we found gamma interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:26:46.587 --> 00:26:48.570 signature rest in T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:26:48.570 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.772$  cholesterol homeostasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:26:49.772 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.777$  TGIF beta pathway and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:26:52.777 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.977$  cohabitated receptors will get

 $00:26:54.977 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.867$  to that in just a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:26:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.810$  So then the question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:26:57.810 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.102$  do we actually see gamma difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:27:00.102 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.570$  creating T cells from spinal fluid?

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:27:02.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.104$  So first we looked at PPD one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:27:05.110 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.651$  So this is from another three healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:27:07.651 --> 00:27:09.890 subjects looking at blood versus CSF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:27:09.890 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.406$  This is no stimulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

00:27:11.406 --> 00:27:14.453 4 hours of stimulation with PM out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:27:14.453 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.296$  mice and see this market expression of PD1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00{:}27{:}17.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.612$  And see itself compared to blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:27:19.612 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.629$  with stimulation goes even higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00{:}27{:}21.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}24.654$  So there is very high expression of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:27:24.654 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.586$  Co inhibitory stepter in spinal fluid cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:27:27.590 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.306$  We then looked at that together,

 $00:27:29.310 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.330$  interferon response with blood and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:27:31.330 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.490$  you can see this major gamma signature

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:27:34.490 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.775$  recapitulating what we found with

NOTE Confidence: 0.851845215

 $00:27:36.775 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.840$  the RNC data and compared to blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:27:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.970$  So this is major gamma signature

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:27:41.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.409$  and T cells from spinal fluid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:27:44.410 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.860$  I'll show you another experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:27:45.860 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.020$  which I found interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:27:47.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.200$  This is work we've done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:27:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.152$  We did looking at PD1 glioblastoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

00:27:51.152 --> 00:27:54.348 and basically we took the PD1 high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

00:27:54.350 --> 00:27:55.188 PD1 intermediate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:27:55.188 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.121$  PD one negative and total T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:27:58.121 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.309$  stimulated them and not surprisingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

00:28:00.310 --> 00:28:03.846 PD1 high cells do not enter cell cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:28:03.850 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.839$  But they did make gamuts to pharon.

 $00:28:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.410 \ 3\%$  to 50 to over 50%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00{:}28{:}10.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.734$  So it suggests to us it's phenocopies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:28:12.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.336$  what we see in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:28:14.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.380$  the cells in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:28:15.380 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.912$  they're condition in the brain have

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:28:17.912 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.055$  high amounts of combinatory molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:28:20.055 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.729$  that make gaming jefferon and we wonder

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:28:22.729 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.239$  is this what immune privilege is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:28:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.010$  Is that what if you privilege

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:28:27.010 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.895$  the high expression?

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00{:}28{:}27.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}30.658$  Comunitar molecules can enter the cell cycle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:28:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.960$  but they are functional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00{:}28{:}32.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}34.616$  So now we know normal spot on the floor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:28:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.404$  What about multiple sclerosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:28:36.404 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.634$  Did the single cell analysis

 $00:28:38.634 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.997$  say looking at the populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:28:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.850$  between healthy blood and Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:28:42.850 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.996$  or no difference?

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

00:28:43.996 --> 00:28:44.760 Not surprising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:28:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.856$  We never found any differences before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:28:47.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.420$  But if we look at log fold changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

00:28:49.420 --> 00:28:51.076 I'll just highlight some of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

00:28:51.076 --> 00:28:52.861 were just beginning to work out

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00{:}28{:}52.861 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}54.436$  what these different factors being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:28:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.084$  We're intrigued by mallet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00{:}28{:}56.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58.550$  Mallet one which is involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

00:28:58.629 --> 00:29:00.793 gene expression and epigenetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00{:}29{:}00.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02.957$  modulation of gene expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:29:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.747$  We found I 32 it's a pro

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:29:06.747 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.370$  inflammatory cytokine induces

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:29:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.390$  TNF alpha associated with Ms.

00:29:11.390 --> 00:29:15.750 And we found June a part of the AP1 bonding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:29:15.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.120$  I won't show all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:29:17.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.490$  dates to talk in itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:29:18.490 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.038$  but we looked at healthy Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:29:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.988$  versus non expanded versus expanded itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

00:29:23.990 --> 00:29:26.014 It's tremendous clonal expansion

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:29:26.014 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.302$  these cells and again looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00{:}29{:}29{:}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}31.574$  the different populations within

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:29:31.574 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.222$  the aisle 32AP1 and there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:29:34.222 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.490$  more distinct in the clone expand

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:29:36.571 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.930$  itself both in CD4 and CD8 cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:29:38.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.664$  I think the next decade will

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

00:29:40.664 --> 00:29:41.820 be taking these various.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:29:41.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.070$  A fact is we found replicating

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

00:29:44.070 --> 00:29:46.420 them by protein and then seeing

 $00:29:46.420 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.350$  how they involved in Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:29:48.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.694$  induction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

00:29:48.694 --> 00:29:51.790 But this is really a road map as genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

00:29:51.859 --> 00:29:54.819 work road map for what drives and drives

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:29:54.819 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.889$  autoimmunity in the nervous system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

00:29:56.890 --> 00:29:57.454 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:29:57.454 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.206$  what about the brain?

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

00:29:58.210 --> 00:30:02.158 I couldn't resist being a pathology group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:30:02.160 \dashrightarrow 00:30:04.750$  So here we did characterize T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

00:30:04.750 --> 00:30:07.577 in normal human brain prank them up

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:30:07.577 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.480$  here different cluster patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:30:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.089$  Some of these involve fresh RNC from

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:30:13.089 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.987$  brain that provided by Jack Intel

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00{:}30{:}15.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}18.556$  doing epilepsy surgery and here

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:30:18.556 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.780$  are the T cells over here at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:30:20.856 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.738$  very end and different populations.

00:30:22.738 --> 00:30:24.522 While summarizing here we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00{:}30{:}24.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}27.139$  looking at the tissue residence and

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:30:27.139 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.551$  functional gene expression and T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:30:29.551 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.869$  with normal brain prank comma and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

00:30:31.870 --> 00:30:32.652 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:30:32.652 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.998$  I mentioned we saw this game

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:30:34.998 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.780$  interferon signature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:30:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.260$  In the spinal fluid and we see here

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:30:39.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.490$  in the brain this is RNA seek up

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:30:42.490 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.590$  T cells right out of the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00{:}30{:}44.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}47.152$  Here is with Duke seek his major

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:30:47.152 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.250$  gaming different signature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00{:}30{:}48.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.966$  So these data indicate that the gamma

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:30:50.966 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.428$  different signature is there in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:30:53.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.734$  Speculate at the end what that

 $00:30:55.734 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.390$  might be doing also Joe work from

NOTE Confidence: 0.742978355

 $00:30:58.390 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.640$  Tomo samita done with Andrew Wang

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:31:00.720 --> 00:31:03.250 out loud that's predominant humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:03.250 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.578$  we do mice, we work with vice people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:05.580 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.020$  And this is data from a few months ago and

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00{:}31{:}08.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10.515$  she's getting barrage of write her thesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.373$  But basically we wanted to look at T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:13.373 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.619$  isolated directly from the house brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:15.620 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.378$  So we saw this in humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:17.380 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.377$  The question is to see it in mouse brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00{:}31{:}20.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}23.068$  And so here we're looking at T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:23.068 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.299$  isolated directly from parenchymal tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:25.300 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.665$  We wash out the vessels

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:26.665 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.757$  and work with HomeGoods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.260$  Lander suggests he T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:29.260 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.020$  are in the prank him up.

00:31:31.020 --> 00:31:35.580 He's gamma to Fearon on the X axis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00{:}31{:}35.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38.370$  Case in the CD three you can see this

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:38.370 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.739$  prominent cabinet different signature in

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.503$  CD4CD8 cells as we saw in the brain with

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:43.503 \dashrightarrow 00:31:46.888$  40% that cells are making game interferon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.150$  You can see this here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:31:48.150 --> 00:31:49.790 but you don't see it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:49.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.446$  you don't see it in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:51.446 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.550$  in the peripheral blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:52.550 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.990$  you only see it in the nervous system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:31:54.990 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.366$  So it suggests that these Gavin

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00{:}31{:}57.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59.402$  difference between T cells are

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00{:}31{:}59.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}02.090$  physiologic and won't show that the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00{:}32{:}02.090 --> 00{:}32{:}04.338$  But if you put if you do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:32:04.338 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.589$  experiments and germ free.

00:32:05.590 --> 00:32:07.978 Animals work done with Noah Palm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:32:07.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.820$  they don't make these cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:32:09.820 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.358$  These gamma different secreting T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:32:12.358 --> 00:32:14.854 are being driven by gut microbiome

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:32:14.854 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.416$  and if you label these T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:32:17.420 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.796$  In the gut with the dye that turns

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:32:19.796 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.919$  color with the fluorescent probe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:32:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.136$  you can show that all these cells in

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:32:24.136 --> 00:32:26.198 the brain are coming from the gut,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:32:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.116$  similar to what BJ's Country did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00{:}32{:}29.120 --> 00{:}32{:}30.476$  And E model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:32:30.476 --> 00:32:32.736 But this is normal Physiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:32:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.611$  so we can speculate why is it that T

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:32:35.611 --> 00:32:38.520 cells in normal central nervous system?

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:32:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.800$  Our country from the cotton

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:32:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.080$  what are they doing there?

 $00:32:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.558$  Nature doesn't do this for no reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00{:}32{:}43.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}45.618$  I'm sort of speculate that may be at

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:32:45.618 --> 00:32:47.839 night when you have the lymphatics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:32:47.839 --> 00:32:50.612 you clean your brain out these T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:32:50.612 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.617$  that surfing along secreting gamma

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:32:52.617 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.216$  influencing the microglia experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:32:54.216 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.988$  were battery to begin it's to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00{:}32{:}56.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}59.983$  at Tibet gamma knockouts to see what

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00{:}32{:}59.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03.028$  happens to synaptic pruning and what it

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00{:}33{:}03.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}05.695$  does to the microglia influencing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:33:05.695 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.470$  neuronal excellent interactions and by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:33:08.470 --> 00:33:09.298 In summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61420761733333300:33:09.298 --> 00:33:10.540 so is there. NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:33:10.540 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.945$  Is there glial tea sub

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:33:12.945 --> 00:33:13.907 communications circuits?

 $00:33:13.910 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.375$  This is again from light

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:33:15.375 --> 00:33:16.547 Ben Barris sowing TGF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:33:16.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.898$  Beta and cholesterol drove these together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:33:19.900 --> 00:33:21.570 And after I drive TJF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:33:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.706$  painting classes are required for my

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:33:23.706 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.094$  survival and these sizeable factors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:33:26.094 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.359$  produced by astrocytes including cholesterol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:33:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.778$  lipoprotein which are found in CSF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:33:30.780 --> 00:33:33.093 I won't show the data but if you take

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:33:33.093 --> 00:33:35.702 spinal fluid or cholesterol and TGF beta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:33:35.702 --> 00:33:37.198 it drives gamma interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:33:37.198 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.138$  almost as much as Isle 12.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00{:}33{:}39.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}41.737$  So we think the cholesterol in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:33:41.737 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.209$  brain brains what mainly cholesterol

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:33:44.210 --> 00:33:47.372 is driving this gamma driving this

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:33:47.372 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.490$  gamma and what it's unknown function.

 $00:33:49.490 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.000$  Gamma interferon in the CNS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:33:52.000 --> 00:33:54.808 It's known to involve in chemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:33:54.808 --> 00:33:56.212 production record Plexus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:33:56.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.088$  Gamma has known neuroprotective

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00:33:58.088 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.956$  function like glutamate clearance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:33:59.960 --> 00:34:01.386 neuronal survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

00:34:01.386 --> 00:34:04.238 We speculate synaptic pruning

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00{:}34{:}04.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}06.214$  and work done by Yoni Kipness

NOTE Confidence: 0.614207617333333

 $00{:}34{:}06.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08.499$  published a few years ago in nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

 $00:34:08.500 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.660$  So if you knock out gamma to Ferron in mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

 $00:34:11.660 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.533$  they developed depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

 $00:34:12.533 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.279$  How do you measure depression mice?

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

 $00{:}34{:}14.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}17.176$  I don't know, but they clearly had changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

 $00:34:17.176 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.918$  in behavior until they meet them working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

 $00:34:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.846$  That with a number of individuals

 $00:34:21.846 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.424$  and psychiatry department I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

 $00:34:23.424 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.320$  tell you that if you put animals the

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

00:34:25.320 --> 00:34:27.057 germ free environment and get rid

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

 $00:34:27.057 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.900$  of these games to creating cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

 $00:34:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.850$  that market changes in behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

00:34:30.850 --> 00:34:33.310 So it is psychiatrist one field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

 $00:34:33.310 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.182$  neurologist another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

00:34:34.182 --> 00:34:36.798 But what's beginning to happen feels

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

 $00{:}34{:}36.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38.803$  are colliding of course centered

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

 $00:34:38.803 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.939$  around their inflammation but to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

00:34:40.939 --> 00:34:43.006 the normal Physiology the discovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

 $00:34:43.006 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.472$  of gamma difference between T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

 $00:34:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.784$  that are normal Physiology which arose

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

 $00{:}34{:}47.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}49.940$  from studying disease is actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

 $00:34:49.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.242$  More interestingly enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740374574

 $00:34:51.242 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.280$  observations please to how how things work.

 $00:34:56.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.100$  And one other experiment again which

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00{:}34{:}58.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00.604$  I didn't show the data for is you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:00.604 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.493$  ask the question well what if he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:02.493 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.215$  teased cell receptor as a barcode?

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.132$  To look at this identical T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:07.132 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.950$  in spinal fluid versus the blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:09.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.188$  Does it change or is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:12.188 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.307$  a selective migration?

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:13.310 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.390$  The answer is it changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:15.390 \dashrightarrow 00:35:18.118$  The T cells in the blood that share

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:18.118 \dashrightarrow 00:35:20.854$  the same T cell receptor sequence with

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:20.854 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.073$  cells in the CSF have very different

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00{:}35{:}24.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.397$  characteristics the CSF cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.039$  Have the gamma signature and other PD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:29.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.745$  One signature with the identical

 $00:35:30.745 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.269$  T cell in the blood does not

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

00:35:33.269 --> 00:35:34.757 have markets knife cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.872$  so this provides strong evidence that

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:36.872 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.377$  what's happening as the T cells migrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:39.377 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.107$  into the central nervous system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

00:35:41.110 --> 00:35:44.090 they're acquiring these phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:44.090 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.422$  So in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00{:}35{:}45.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}47.642$  all immune disorders are complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00{:}35{:}47.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}49.976$  complex genetic diseases where genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:49.976 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.394$  variants mapped to the immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00{:}35{:}52.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}55.036$  in MSB cells drive the inflamed

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:55.036 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.236$  Mon reactive CD4 cells instead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.886$  Let me just comment on EB for a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:35:59.890 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.488$  May have heard that beautiful paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

00:36:02.488 --> 00:36:04.548 by Alberto Mascaro clearly showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:36:04.548 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.580$  that if you are a he looked at

 $00:36:07.671 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.226 1,000,000$  recruits in the army.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00{:}36{:}10.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}12.270$  Identified individuals are EB

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:36:12.270 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.800$  negative and follow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

00:36:13.800 --> 00:36:16.482 Deerfield their light chains come CPK

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:36:16.482 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.505$  the brain shows brain damage and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:36:19.505 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.207$  showed that the on the serial samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

00:36:22.287 --> 00:36:24.884 they collected that when NFL went up

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:36:24.884 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.100$  in the serum followed by diagnosis of Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:36:28.100 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.680$  it was 49 and 50 ton

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:36:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.400$  preceded by EB infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:36:32.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.940$  You have to look at a million

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:36:33.940 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.900$  people to find that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00{:}36{:}34.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36.775$  But incredibly provocative

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:36:36.775 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.900$  data that's EV trigger Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:36:39.900 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.559$  what's the experiment we need to do.

 $00:36:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.948$  There's one key experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

00:36:43.950 --> 00:36:45.790 Which we're working on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:36:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.040$  which is to vaccinate patients at risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

00:36:49.040 --> 00:36:52.808 So there's no EV vaccine out there now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:36:52.810 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.033$  Ohh Danner GSK have one and I'm on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00{:}36{:}55.033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.763$  group of devices trying to convince

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:36:56.763 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.159$  GSK to do a subset with the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

00:36:59.159 --> 00:37:00.995 trial patients at risk that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00{:}37{:}00.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}02.950$  can vaccinate and prevent disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:37:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.410$  as we prevented SP with measles

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00{:}37{:}05.481 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}07.836$  vaccination that be the defendant

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

00:37:07.836 --> 00:37:09.720 rather definitive evidence we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:37:09.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.154$  not been able to find any biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:37:12.154 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.868$  B we've looked in the brain of Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

00:37:14.868 --> 00:37:16.678 patient just interviewing our graduate

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:37:16.678 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.595$  student he said you haven't published

00:37:18.595 --> 00:37:21.047 much on EV why haven't you go we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:37:21.047 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.847$  been looking we haven't found any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

00:37:22.850 --> 00:37:25.195 In your own publishing that data right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

00:37:25.200 --> 00:37:27.727 But what we have your IRB approval

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:37:27.727 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.640$  to do now and start shortly it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:37:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.825$  a do tonsil aspirates it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:37:32.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.320$  wants it in this patience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:37:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.918$  EB lives in the nasal pharynx.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:37:36.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.866$  Then you have any ideas how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00{:}37{:}38.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}41.233$  do this but we do singles RDC can

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:37:41.233 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.363$  do CV expression so we can fund

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00{:}37{:}43.363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.477$  the EB signature we once and Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00{:}37{:}45.480 \to 00{:}37{:}47.232$  patients but of course there may

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:37:47.232 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.340$  be gone by the time we do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:37:49.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.710$  So once again summary of the

00:37:51.710 --> 00:37:52.895 talk autoimmune disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:37:52.900 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.900$  Particular mass or complex genetic diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:37:55.900 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.870$  genetic variance mapped to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:37:57.870 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.840$  immune system and MSB cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:37:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.178$  We believe Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

00:38:01.178 --> 00:38:04.710 Inflame months specific CD4 cells in the CNS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

 $00:38:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.530$  Also mentioned that we're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.792448911071429

00:38:06.530 --> 00:38:08.350 single cell RNA sequencing pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

00:38:08.416 --> 00:38:10.061 post treatment THC stated about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

00:38:10.061 --> 00:38:12.116 two weeks all we've been spending

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00{:}38{:}12.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14.198$  now year analyzing the data but

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

00:38:14.198 --> 00:38:16.196 the most promising we're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

00:38:16.196 --> 00:38:18.586 would be cell depletion myeloid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

00:38:18.590 --> 00:38:22.060 express myeloid, induction of TNF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

00:38:22.060 --> 00:38:24.034 You might say, are you kidding me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:38:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.504$  It's working by inducing

 $00:38:26.504 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.736$  inflammatory cytokine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00{:}38{:}27.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30.240$  But go back to the clinical trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:38:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.852$  anti TNF makes Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:38:31.852 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.620$  work works great in IBD and RA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:38:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.217$  So the data is now suggesting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:38:37.217 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.047$  TNF induced by the B cell depletion

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:38:40.047 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.501$  is leading to TNF secretion which

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00{:}38{:}42.582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}44.777$  then induces increased T Reg

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:38:44.777 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.972$  function with TNFR 2 receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00{:}38{:}46.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}48.560$  doing the single cell analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:38:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.990$  So we'll see where that goes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00{:}38{:}50.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}52.810$  Yeah I showed you the T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:38:52.810 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.252$  traffic between blood and spinal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:38:54.252 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.762$  fluid and brain tightly regulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:38:55.762 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.504$  showed the TH one signature and

 $00:38:57.504 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.324$  that the blood and sees that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:38:59.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.701$  functionally different indicating

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

00:39:00.701 --> 00:39:03.443 the CNS shapes homeostatic T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:39:03.443 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.442$  states that happens all the tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:39:05.442 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.729$  at T cell center in the tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:39:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.038$  This is phenotypic difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

00:39:09.038 --> 00:39:11.000 in healthy and masks and control

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:39:11.059 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.854$  particularly more expanded cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:39:12.854 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.954$  we're beginning to explore what these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:39:14.954 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.938$  are what they mean and this help us

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00{:}39{:}16.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}18.514$  teach one signature seen healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:39:18.514 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.810$  brain and of course with the PRT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:39:20.810 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.498$  And positive teabags think we may have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:39:23.498 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.464$  identified a major transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

00:39:25.464 --> 00:39:27.690 factor driving autoimmunity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:39:27.690 \dashrightarrow 00:39:31.319$  So let me end by thanking I I put

 $00:39:31.319 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.634$  here the members of the lab who made

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00{:}39{:}34.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37.169$ major contributions work Jenna Pappalardo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00{:}39{:}37.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}40.047$  who is now out in West Coast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:39:40.050 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.960$  an industry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

00:39:40.960 --> 00:39:43.235 Tomo, who's assistant professor Tomia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:39:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.076$  graduate student,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:39:44.076 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.748$  please thank assistant professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

00:39:45.748 --> 00:39:47.002 in our department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:39:47.010 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.413$  Others in the lab now is the former lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:39:49.413 \dashrightarrow 00:39:51.838$  members who contributed the work I discussed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584 00:39:51.840 --> 00:39:52.244 Today, NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:39:52.244 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.072$  Matt Lincoln and the PRD one projects

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00{:}39{:}55.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}56.713$  computational Margot who did the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

00:39:56.713 --> 00:39:58.498 work with the TH1 T Rex phone

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:39:58.562 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.532$  contact and the Center colleagues

 $00:40:00.532 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.108$  of the Broad Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00{:}40{:}02.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}03.990$  In particular Brad Bernstein

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:40:03.990 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.930$  analyst Kellison,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

00:40:04.930 --> 00:40:06.730 Chuck Epstein who worked with us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:40:06.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.610$  the PRD one project collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:40:08.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.678$  at the MI Year in Yale Genetics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00{:}40{:}11.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}14.261$  Marcelo and Yang who we feel are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:40:14.337 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.067$  part of our Neuro inflammation group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00{:}40{:}17.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}19.102$  So thank you for your time and just

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

00:40:19.102 --> 00:40:20.774 say here's my e-mail and here's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:40:20.774 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.154$  our last picture of our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584 00:40:22.160 --> 00:40:22.440 Ms. NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:40:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.680$  Group if we have a lot of meetings

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

00:40:24.680 --> 00:40:27.414 every week, all winter, not really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

00:40:27.414 --> 00:40:28.446 But anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:40:28.450 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.488$  thank you for giving me the

 $00:40:29.488 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.180$  opportunity to talk to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626621584

 $00:40:30.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.950$  I really appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88935668625

00:40:42.510 --> 00:40:46.118 So do we know who's in the chat?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88935668625

 $00:40:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.766$  That is OK. Good story here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891316055

 $00:40:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.870$  OK, question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840520132857143

 $00{:}40{:}58.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00.564$  Thank you for a great thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840520132857143

 $00:41:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.420$  What about this are those? Who

NOTE Confidence: 0.865935815

 $00:41:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.210$  are they?

NOTE Confidence: 0.63591128

 $00:41:11.130 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.410$  You should ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63591128

 $00:41:13.410 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.180$  Unable to defrag the hard problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63591128

00:41:18.180 --> 00:41:19.148 Here's how to approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63591128

 $00:41:19.148 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.358$  Do you have a collaboration?

NOTE Confidence: 0.18896529

 $00{:}41{:}22.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}26.780$  Change. And what we're doing is we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.18896529

 $00{:}41{:}26.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.758$  taking the T cell receptor, thousands of

NOTE Confidence: 0.766415126842105

 $00:41:28.770 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.990$  T cell receptors, popping them into

 $00:41:30.990 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.267$  reporter cell lines and then using

NOTE Confidence: 0.766415126842105

 $00{:}41{:}33.267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}35.808$  antigen libraries see what they react to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766415126842105

 $00:41:35.810 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.242$  We're also have tetramers loaded with

NOTE Confidence: 0.766415126842105

 $00:41:39.242 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.530$  different peptide libraries barcoded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766415126842105

 $00:41:41.530 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.196$  We do single cell and pull them

NOTE Confidence: 0.766415126842105

00:41:43.196 --> 00:41:44.906 out and see what they're reacting

NOTE Confidence: 0.766415126842105

 $00:41:44.906 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.432$  with so far, guess what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.766415126842105

00:41:46.432 --> 00:41:48.178 Answer we're finding the spinal fluid

NOTE Confidence: 0.766415126842105

00:41:48.178 --> 00:41:49.900 across different patient, anything else?

NOTE Confidence: 0.24135157

 $00:41:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.170$  Beebe. Whether it's primarily I

NOTE Confidence: 0.24135157

00:41:56.170 --> 00:41:58.400 don't know but we also see that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.24135157

 $00{:}41{:}58.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}00.042$  activity and what we're doing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.24135157

 $00:42:00.042 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.786$  know we can I can tell you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.24135157

 $00:42:01.786 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.590$  team cell rachamim based approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.24135157

 $00:42:03.590 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.536$  that's and tells me one thing right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24135157

 $00:42:05.536 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.120$  So you'd like non hypothetical need

 $00:42:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.995$  approaches so we're halfway in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.24135157

 $00:42:09.995 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.675$  project and hopefully hear so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.24135157

00:42:11.675 --> 00:42:13.418 better idea to do the same thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.24135157

 $00:42:13.418 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.217$  with cancer antigens or they'll know

NOTE Confidence: 0.24135157

 $00:42:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.860$  and guess what they're recognizing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24135157

 $00:42:17.860 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.080$  Don't just rent apart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39766955

 $00:42:24.490 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.010$  The train.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61007991

 $00:42:30.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.669$  Yeah, the traffic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.483998476666667

 $00:42:35.690 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.569$  Of the possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4093337

 $00:42:40.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.160$  Requires.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54116213 00:42:47.780 --> 00:42:48.210 Or. NOTE Confidence: 0.65118932

00:42:50.270 --> 00:42:50.850 Stop it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64863056

00:42:56.380 --> 00:42:56.750 Sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742292938

 $00{:}43{:}06.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}10.170$  What happens with the message? All Star.

NOTE Confidence: 0.595268977142857

 $00:43:19.220 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.680$  K1 did not qualify. In the South. So.

00:43:27.400 --> 00:43:29.870 But since it's increased solid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7548707

 $00:43:29.870 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.408$  expect to see it. But you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8120597

00:43:35.410 --> 00:43:35.790 And then?

NOTE Confidence: 0.676597346666667

 $00:43:38.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.730$  And yes, it's laughing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4112627

 $00:43:42.870 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.320$  Disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5244426225

00:43:45.390 --> 00:43:48.386 That's where we had she is thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.5244426225

 $00:43:48.386 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.254$  to subtractive stuff because

NOTE Confidence: 0.5244426225

 $00:43:50.254 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.960$  these papers the post office.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5244426225

00:43:53.960 --> 00:43:56.968 How much is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5244426225

00:43:56.970 --> 00:43:59.038 That there's whereas I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.5244426225

 $00{:}43{:}59.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01.600$  we have a good working model

NOTE Confidence: 0.5244426225

 $00{:}44{:}01.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}03.952$  for relaxing the EMS not yet to

NOTE Confidence: 0.5244426225

 $00:44:03.952 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.277$  discover but a good model I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.820201316666667

 $00{:}44{:}06.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}09.668$  no idea where cost of progressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820201316666667

 $00:44:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.810$  Before we had trees

NOTE Confidence: 0.7182784825

 $00:44:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.080$  that will be 50%.

00:44:14.890 --> 00:44:17.915 Now the most important question

NOTE Confidence: 0.75895238625

 $00{:}44{:}17.915 --> 00{:}44{:}20.758$  here is that we have a plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60247827

 $00:44:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.270$  Question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.694367625

 $00:44:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.290$  The station.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44306989

00:44:28.900 --> 00:44:31.000 Progressive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44306989

 $00:44:31.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.604$  But that's a major question by suspected

NOTE Confidence: 0.44306989

 $00:44:33.604 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.056$  to be progressive disease cells stay

NOTE Confidence: 0.44306989

 $00{:}44{:}36.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}38.912$  there trapped in the back and forth

NOTE Confidence: 0.44306989

 $00:44:38.912 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.638$  that we might see on the sausage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44306989

 $00:44:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.176$  Is it really correct?

NOTE Confidence: 0.44306989

 $00{:}44{:}43.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}44.712$  That's terrific questions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.44306989

 $00:44:44.712 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.288$  we'll go back and then you have to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44306989

 $00:44:48.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.888$  The second version.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44306989

 $00:44:50.890 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.980$  This is really interesting people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44306989

 $00:44:52.980 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.710$  normal people have T cells are going.

 $00:44:55.710 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.740$  They're making their gambling responsibly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78697665125

 $00:45:02.200 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.000$  Yeah, So what are the time scales involved?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78697665125

 $00:45:07.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.844$  T cell recognizes something

NOTE Confidence: 0.78697665125

 $00:45:08.844 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.227$  that wasn't changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78697665125

00:45:10.230 --> 00:45:12.340 You might not know, obviously, but you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78697665125

00:45:12.340 --> 00:45:14.560 Visioning that it's terms of making

NOTE Confidence: 0.78697665125

 $00:45:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.320$  a change there and then having

NOTE Confidence: 0.78697665125

 $00:45:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.880$  some response back afterwards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78697665125

00:45:18.880 --> 00:45:20.560 well, I I could do it my side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734211706

 $00:45:23.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.550$  New brand. I can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.734211706

 $00:45:25.550 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.400$  spinal fluid but drain it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.56005221

 $00:45:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.075$  But now that it's very

NOTE Confidence: 0.56005221

 $00:45:30.075 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.249$  radically so, it happens. If

NOTE Confidence: 0.69304979

 $00:45:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.374$  we so pre, we don't see them at

NOTE Confidence: 0.69304979

 $00:45:34.374 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.200$  the time of reading when you start

NOTE Confidence: 0.685592616

00:45:37.210 --> 00:45:38.522 acquiring the microphone and

 $00:45:38.522 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.490$  that's when we started seeing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685592616

 $00{:}45{:}40.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}41.598$  That happens very quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685592616

 $00:45:41.598 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.706$  So we label them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.685592616

 $00:45:42.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.950$  I can answer that question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685592616

 $00:45:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.560$  We might label them in

NOTE Confidence: 0.685592616

 $00:45:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.170$  the gut within 2-3 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.685592616

 $00:45:49.170 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.836$  So, so the experiment I did as

NOTE Confidence: 0.783097523333333

 $00:45:50.850 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.788$  as a postdoc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783097523333333

 $00:45:52.790 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.245$  The terrific experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783097523333333

 $00:45:54.245 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.670$  There's some new thing called

NOTE Confidence: 0.783097523333333

00:45:56.670 --> 00:45:58.089 monoclonal antibodies and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.783097523333333

 $00:45:58.090 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.308$  want to put them into people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783097523333333

 $00{:}45{:}59.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}00.140$  No one has really done

NOTE Confidence: 0.794155941428571

 $00:46:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.670$  that yet. So we're kind of cowboy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794155941428571

 $00:46:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.720$  Please stop the recording now. So I've got 5.

 $00:46:08.510 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.870$  So what we did was

NOTE Confidence: 0.716579526666667

 $00:46:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.350$  we had invited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7498658075

 $00:46:15.420 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.876$  Two and I did it with my 5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63013353

00:46:21.120 --> 00:46:24.140 Make these. And we said, Gee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63013353

 $00:46:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.340$  if we don't find material across state lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63013353

 $00:46:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.380$  that would be Massachusetts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63013353

 $00{:}46{:}29.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}32.440$  You don't need that TA approval.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63013353

00:46:32.440 --> 00:46:34.890 That now, but you know IRB approval.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840586293333333

 $00:46:35.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.163$  So we're very careful what we did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840586293333333

 $00:46:37.163 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.694$  we had RV approval and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405862933333333

 $00:46:38.694 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.790$  we do and so we injected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728172209090909

00:46:43.130 --> 00:46:45.874 And to our patients and we showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.728172209090909

 $00:46:45.874 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.620$  that major biological effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.58900646425

 $00:46:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.858$  but they're now sanctified

NOTE Confidence: 0.686966116666667

00:46:49.870 --> 00:46:52.096 after one child human anti mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.5612157172

 $00:46:52.110 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.400$  antibody would deactivate them. So

 $00:46:54.610 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.640$  we only had to make.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4347557692

 $00{:}46{:}57.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}01.940$  But 11 experiments that anti CD 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.4347557692

 $00:47:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.264$  N IG23 coded all the T cell but

NOTE Confidence: 0.4347557692

 $00:47:04.264 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.316$  did not cross into the central

NOTE Confidence: 0.4347557692

 $00:47:06.316 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.200$  nervous system showed that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4347557692

00:47:08.200 --> 00:47:09.932 So I would do this thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4347557692

00:47:09.932 --> 00:47:13.449 That's a new call, Microsoft you guys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4347557692

 $00:47:13.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.905$  There was one solicitation to

NOTE Confidence: 0.4347557692

 $00:47:14.905 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.360$  Harvard Medical School at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.4347557692

00:47:16.413 --> 00:47:18.614 time and I did the first thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.4347557692

00:47:18.614 --> 00:47:19.950 pretreatment did with respondent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4347557692

 $00:47:19.950 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.086$  Few days respond that did the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4347557692

 $00{:}47{:}23.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}25.435$  We got anti mouse and everything but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4347557692

 $00:47:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.198$  Then we did this that it's standing

NOTE Confidence: 0.4347557692

 $00:47:28.198 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.491$  after the treatment and majority of

00:47:30.491 --> 00:47:33.190 cells lit off the coat anti mass and

NOTE Confidence: 0.820641488

00:47:31.860 --> 00:47:33.180 said what's going on here? Let's just

NOTE Confidence: 0.78438866

 $00:47:33.190 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.538$  screw it up again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78438866

 $00:47:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.562$  Oh my God they're covered with

NOTE Confidence: 0.78438866

 $00:47:38.562 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.134$  antibody with mouse antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78438866

 $00:47:40.134 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.890$  So we use this way of labeling all

NOTE Confidence: 0.78438866

 $00{:}47{:}42.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}45.535$  the peripheral blood T cell and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.78438866

 $00:47:45.535 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.210$  being traffic into the CNS policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78438866

00:47:48.210 --> 00:47:49.622 And because everyone looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.78438866

 $00:47:49.622 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.387$  at the blood brain barrier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78438866

 $00{:}47{:}51.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.526$  80% of the cells traffic within three days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78438866

 $00:47:55.530 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.200$  How did they do it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.562511566666667

 $00:47:58.570 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.250$  Found the entry right before crossing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.657706014

 $00:48:01.230 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.500$  Well, because when we took

NOTE Confidence: 0.691355185

 $00:48:02.870 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.450$  that we couldn't find the party even though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68694216 00:48:09.770 --> 00:48:10.130 No.

 $00:48:12.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.760$  Now that doesn't listen all

NOTE Confidence: 0.61050554555556

 $00:48:15.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.248$  the circulating T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590843675

00:48:23.140 --> 00:48:26.153 Invite them. So it's suggested

NOTE Confidence: 0.590843675

 $00:48:26.153 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.508$  you can follow the connection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7627134175

 $00:48:29.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.048$  So it's suggested and that nice people

NOTE Confidence: 0.7627134175

 $00:48:33.050 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.990$  have gone to replicate that more output.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7627134175

 $00:48:35.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.623$  So the traffic of T cells from the blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.7627134175

 $00:48:38.623 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.530$  to the nervous system side is very fast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7627134175

00:48:41.530 --> 00:48:43.409 And I think it's continuing this intro data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7627134175

 $00:48:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.185$  but there are three different

NOTE Confidence: 0.7627134175

 $00:48:45.185 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.996$  scenes of population in CSF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7627134175

 $00:48:46.996 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.698$  One and three are about basically residents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7627134175

 $00{:}48{:}49.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}53.627$  LCF 2 looks like sales and traffic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7627134175

 $00:48:53.630 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.628$  so one opposition doesn't have CD-69

NOTE Confidence: 0.7627134175

 $00:48:56.630 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.076$  was like a population is garden.

 $00:48:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.030$  We have a question in chat. Yes, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:03.030 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.550$  What's the concordance of Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:04.550 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.525$  and identical twins?

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:05.525 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.900$  That might be a good group in

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:06.900 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.538$  which to consider prophylaxis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

00:49:08.540 --> 00:49:10.069 And a second related question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:10.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.138$  is there any handle on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

00:49:11.138 --> 00:49:13.430 causes spontaneous remission?

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:13.430 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.230$  And by these days goes untreated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

00:49:15.230 --> 00:49:16.798 Nope, no one goes and I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00{:}49{:}16.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}18.230$  comes to Yelp goes untreated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

00:49:18.230 --> 00:49:19.342 Well occasionally they don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:19.342 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.010$  want to do a patient want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:21.010 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.206$  So the answer Jeff is about

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.359$  3040% and yes that would be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

00:49:25.359 --> 00:49:27.204 great group to consider for

00:49:27.204 --> 00:49:29.766 prophylaxis but it's a small number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:29.770 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.954$  it's two small numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:30.954 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.120$  So what we're doing in the Rs

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.750$  study is developing the tools

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:34.750 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.505$  to develop to identify average

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:36.505 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.069$  patients of intake first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:38.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.725$  So the question is what is the incident Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:40.730 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.728$  in daughters of patients with Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:42.730 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.993$  about one in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:43.993 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.924$  30 It's quite high and we can do

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

00:49:46.924 --> 00:49:48.479 polygenic risk score and increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:48.479 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.930$  it even more so and then we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00{:}49{:}50.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}53.355$  use NFL we were fully light chains

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00{:}49{:}53.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}56.267$  to follow them so that's why I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:49:56.267 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.730$  proposing GSK first as a subset take

 $00:49:58.730 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.770$  5000 and first degree relatives

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00{:}50{:}00.770 \longrightarrow 00{:}50{:}03.265$  children at risk before they become

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:03.265 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.170$  EB positive seriously follow them

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.502$  with NFL NFL go up MRI them I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:08.502 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.237$  that's attractable study to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

00:50:10.240 --> 00:50:11.906 That's how we're going to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00{:}50{:}11.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}13.679$  do it will cause spontaneous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.410$  So what So what relapse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:15.410 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.050$  it's a really good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:17.050 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.586$  What happens I think in Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:18.590 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.026$  is that it's there's an acute

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:21.026 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.134$  event there's the attacks occur

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:23.134 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.570$  very quickly within a day within

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:25.570 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.350$  forty 2448 hours they come on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:27.350 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.828$  it's T cell trafficking into the CNS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00{:}50{:}29.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}32.320$  There is Dima that breakdown,

 $00:50:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.862$  the barbarian barrier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:33.862 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.890$  gadolinium enhancement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00{:}50{:}34.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}37.332$  I think it's the edema that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

00:50:37.332 --> 00:50:38.960 causing neurologic symptoms with

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:39.028 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.668$  time there is retraction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:40.670 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.474$  edema goes away,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:41.474 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.082$  the blood brain barrier is closed

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:43.082 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.997$  and rather than having a big lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.420$  With a tiny scar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:46.420 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.550$  like it's just just normal Physiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00:50:48.614 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.546$  of of the lesions resolving as

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

 $00{:}50{:}50.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}52.344$ edema goes away and steroids

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

00:50:52.344 --> 00:50:54.096 makes that happen faster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737587758333333

00:50:54.100 --> 00:50:55.340 I think that's what's happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774260875

 $00:50:58.350 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.400$  That one. Alright.

 $00{:}51{:}01.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}03.676$  Well, thank you very much to David.