WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:59:17" NOTE recognizability:0.856

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.170$ And so it is a particular pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:02.170 --> 00:00:04.956 for me today to introduce our Joint

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:04.956 --> 00:00:06.740 Sleep Conference speaker today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:06.740 --> 00:00:08.000 Doctor Brian Miner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.520$ who is my Yale colleague and

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00{:}00{:}10.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}13.196$ happens to be a talented researcher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.539$ So Doctor Miner hails from McGill University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:16.540 --> 00:00:17.720 Where she received her

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.900$ undergraduate degree in Biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:18.900 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.819$ followed by medical school at SUNY Downstate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00{:}00{:}21.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.180$ and then she did her residency chief

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:25.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.100$ residency, her multiple fellowships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.540$ including geriatrics and

 $00:00:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.460$ Sleep Medicine at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:30.460 \dashrightarrow 00:00:33.835$ And she's been on faculty at Yale ever since.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:33.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.184$ And so Brianna's work has been at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:37.184 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.678$ intersection of aging and sleep and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:39.680 --> 00:00:40.844 Given the state of the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:40.844 --> 00:00:41.860 population in respect to both,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:41.860 --> 00:00:44.326 I think we're all likely to be in her

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:44.326 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.728$ office at some point in time or another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:46.730 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.812$ And her research has focused on

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:48.812 --> 00:00:50.200 redefining sleep disorders and

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00{:}00{:}50.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52.048$ sleep deficiency in the elderly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.456$ taking to the account the complexity

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:54.456 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.060$ of comorbidities from ecological,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:00:56.060 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.080$ functional and psychosocial factors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:00:58.080 --> 00:01:01.009 impact quality of life and sleep quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:01:01.010 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.488$ And so she is funded by multiple

 $00{:}01{:}03.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.557$ awards from the National Institute

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00{:}01{:}05.557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08.329$ of Aging and the Pepper Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:01:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.286$ as well as a prestigious beats

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:01:10.286 --> 00:01:11.590 and Career Development Award,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:01:11.590 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.730$ which is geared towards growing

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:01:12.730 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.370$ leaders in the field of geriatrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:01:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.058$ So Congrats to Brianne.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:01:16.058 --> 00:01:18.590 She's been honored in multiple awards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

00:01:18.590 --> 00:01:20.442 including Best New Investigator

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00{:}01{:}20.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}22.757$ Award from the American Geriatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.86018524888889

00:01:22.757 --> 00:01:24.858 Society and the Young Investigators

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00{:}01{:}24.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}27.216$ Research Forum Award from the ASM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:01:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.920$ And on a personal note,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:01:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.534$ Brian is a caring and thoughtful

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:01:31.534 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.610$ physician and an outstanding

 $00:01:32.657 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.758$ collaborator and teacher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00{:}01{:}33.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35.839$ And so I'm really excited to hear her talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:01:35.839 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.858$ today and sleep deficiency in the elderly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:01:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.565$ so please give a warm

NOTE Confidence: 0.860185248888889

 $00:01:39.565 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.929$ welcome to doctor minor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

00:01:43.310 --> 00:01:44.934 Thank you, Andre. Umm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

00:01:44.934 --> 00:01:47.903 that was a very gracious and warm

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:01:47.903 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.621$ introduction which can only be given

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:01:50.621 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.059$ by somebody who has done just as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

00:01:54.059 --> 00:01:56.401 post residency training as I have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

00:01:56.401 --> 00:01:58.507 And we also did our sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:01:58.507 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.349$ fellowship at the same time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:02:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.350$ So Andre and I go back a ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:02:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.688$ So I'm going to be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:02:05.688 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.012$ evaluation of sleep deficiency in older

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:02:08.012 \dashrightarrow 00:02:12.077$ adults and so I can advance my slide.

 $00:02:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.108$ There we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:02:14.110 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.348$ I'm going to start with acknowledgements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:02:16.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.860$ While I have everybody's attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:02:17.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.042$ I'm going to get my thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:02:19.042 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.070$ yous out of the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:02:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.558$ So as Andre mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

00:02:21.558 --> 00:02:24.452 I sort of started this career through

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00{:}02{:}24.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.800$ internal medicine and geriatrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00{:}02{:}26.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.243$ And so I'm very thankful to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00{:}02{:}29.243 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.938$ had the opportunity to benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00{:}02{:}30.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32.814$ from a T32IN geriatrics and from

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

00:02:32.814 --> 00:02:34.770 our pepper center here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00{:}02{:}34.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}36.810$ That provided some of that early,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:02:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.980$ very crucial funding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00:02:37.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.256$ And I stand on the shoulders of these

 $00:02:41.256 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.586$ giants and geriatrics and aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873205435666667

 $00{:}02{:}43.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.430$ Research Mary Tinetti up here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:02:48.760 --> 00:02:52.090 Was she's our outgoing section chief,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:02:52.090 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.790$ and she, frankly, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:02:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.650$ supported me when nobody else would.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}02{:}55.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57.942$ And then Terry freed our incoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:02:57.942 --> 00:03:00.286 section chief, who provided some really

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:00.286 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.650$ crucial and important advice for me

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}03{:}02.717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04.949$ at several junctures in my career,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:03:04.950 --> 00:03:07.070 including when I was writing my K award.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.854$ And then Tom Gill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:08.854 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.084$ who's my aging mentor now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:11.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.878$ and Tom is really a thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.7102482833333333

 $00:03:12.878 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.070$ leader in aging research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}03{:}14.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.560$ and he's an incredible mentor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}03{:}16.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18.929$ he's been very supportive and so I.

 $00:03:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.700$ Also want to highlight here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:03:20.700 --> 00:03:23.424 the American Academy of Sleep Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:03:23.424 --> 00:03:26.615 Foundation was sort of my first external

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:03:26.615 --> 00:03:28.696 funding and was really a lifeline

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:03:28.696 --> 00:03:30.900 for me at a very important time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.514$ Maybe things could have gone differently

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:32.514 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.458$ if I didn't get that first award.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}03{:}34.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36.020$ So I'm very thankful for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:03:36.020 --> 00:03:37.838 And then as, as Andre mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}03{:}37.840 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40.745$ I'm funded by the NIH through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}03{:}40.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.319$ GEMSTORE and the Bison programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:03:43.320 --> 00:03:46.964 So during my postdoctoral

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}03{:}46.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.316$ fellowship training and research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:03:49.320 --> 00:03:52.080 I was also working at our Adler Clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.558$ which is our geriatric assessment clinic.

 $00:03:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.122$ This picture you see is a statue

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}03{:}57.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59.318$ that sits outside of the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:03:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.060$ And in this clinic we really take care of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:04:04.060 --> 00:04:07.140 patient population with a lot of complexity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:07.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.448$ lot of medical problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:04:08.448 --> 00:04:11.440 That or as we would say in geriatrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.797$ multimorbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:04:11.797 --> 00:04:13.939 That's a term you'll hear me

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:13.939 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.108$ throw around a little bit today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:04:16.110 --> 00:04:18.195 Polypharmacy, many medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:04:18.195 --> 00:04:22.365 They have cognitive and physical impairments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:22.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.978$ And our mission is to maintain the health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:26.980 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.760$ Quality and independence of this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7102482833333333

 $00:04:30.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.944$ And really if at the other clinic we

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:32.944 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.538$ focus a lot on maintaining independence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.078$ It's the goal of our patients,

 $00:04:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.796$ it's the goal of the families

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:39.796 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.940$ that care for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.080$ You know, we're really trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:42.080 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.740$ to keep them in the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:43.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.002$ And this is really where my

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:46.002 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.980$ interest in sleep was born.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333 00:04:47.980 --> 00:04:48.458 And so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:04:48.458 --> 00:04:48.936 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:04:48.936 --> 00:04:51.057 I'm going to tell you guys some things

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:51.057 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.255$ that are probably not surprising to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:04:53.260 --> 00:04:55.456 but sleep problems in this community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:55.460 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.260$ very common and very detrimental.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7102482833333333

 $00:04:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.476$ That's what I'm showing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:04:58.476 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.300$ So as the number of chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:00.361 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.589$ conditions increases,

 $00:05:01.590 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.675$ the prevalence of reporting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}05{:}03.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}05.343$ sleep problem also increases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}05{:}05.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.048$ So when people have more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:07.048 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.897$ three chronic conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:07.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.910$ we can see nearly 70% are

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:09.910 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.230$ reporting a sleep problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:12.230 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.970$ And these sleep problems really

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}05{:}13.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.200$ run counter to the mission of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7102482833333333

 $00:05:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.884$ geriatrics of maintaining that

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:17.884 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.989$ quality and health and independence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}05{:}19.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22.446$ And I'm not going to talk so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:22.446 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.366$ today about cardiovascular and

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:24.366 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.826$ metabolic and immunologic outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7102482833333333

 $00{:}05{:}26.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}28.432$ I'm really going to focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:28.432 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.160$ those things that we care about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}05{:}30.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.230$ especially in an aging population.

 $00:05:33.230 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.100$ And so we know that sleep problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}05{:}36.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39.213$ lead to falls and functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:05:39.213 --> 00:05:41.007 impairment hospitalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333 00:05:41.010 --> 00:05:41.724 Depression, NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:41.724 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.580$ cognitive impairment and dementia

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.008$ and institutionalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:05:46.010 --> 00:05:48.782 And it's probably that last point

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

00:05:48.782 --> 00:05:51.495 that really got me to be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:51.495 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.741$ interested in sleep to see this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}05{:}54.741 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57.026$ problem that really was modifiable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.738$ But the issue was that I didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:05:58.738 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.150$ really have the right tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:06:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.119$ And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00{:}06{:}01.119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.650$ I knew the things that we couldn't do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710248283333333

 $00:06:03.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.710$ And so many of you may be familiar with this.

 $00:06:05.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.468$ This is the beers criteria that's published

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:08.468 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.929$ by the American Geriatric Society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:10.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.017$ And so these. The beers criteria really

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:14.017 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.340$ highlights potentially inappropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

00:06:15.407 --> 00:06:17.207 medication use in older people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

00:06:17.210 --> 00:06:19.042 And So what you see here is all

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:19.042 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.609$ of the medications we might

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:20.609 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.344$ use to help people sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

00:06:22.350 --> 00:06:24.080 So we have your benzos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

00:06:24.080 --> 00:06:26.600 your Z drugs, you know any other

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00{:}06{:}26.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28.708$ medications we might use for sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:28.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.293$ And the strength of the recommendation

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

00:06:31.293 --> 00:06:33.894 is strong that we don't use these

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

00:06:33.894 --> 00:06:36.030 medications and it tells you exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:36.100 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.250$ why here with the rationale increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.250$ in risk of cognitive impairment,

 $00:06:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.113$ delirium falls, fractures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:42.113 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.597$ So in some ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.748$ it almost seems like the cure

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:46.748 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.870$ is worse than the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:48.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.585$ And so this is what really led

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:50.585 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.429$ me to the Sleep Fellowship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

00:06:52.430 --> 00:06:55.391 because I felt like I needed better

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

00:06:55.391 --> 00:06:58.688 tools to serve this population and to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:06:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.706$ To really to treat these sleep problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00{:}07{:}00.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.150$ And so that's where I got to meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:07:04.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.264$ Andre and a lot of other people who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

00:07:07.264 --> 00:07:09.590 listening to this presentation today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00{:}07{:}09.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.726$ this really great group of people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333 00:07:11.730 --> 00:07:13.478 a lot of fun,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:07:13.478 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.226$ but also incredible clinical

 $00:07:15.226 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.380$ and research mentors for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:07:17.380 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.644$ And so in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:07:18.644 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.540$ I started to work with Clara

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

00:07:20.612 --> 00:07:22.260 Yaggi and Melissa Kanawat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:07:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.025$ their mentors on my K award and

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:07:25.025 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.528$ really helping me to bring that

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:07:27.528 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.994$ sleep expertise to the study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.865235728333333

 $00:07:29.994 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.667$ older adults with sleep problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00:07:35.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.424$ So with that sort of short introduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00:07:38.424 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.378$ of how I got to where I am,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00:07:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.790$ I'm now going to tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00:07:41.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.280$ about the rest of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00:07:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.196$ So I'm going to talk about a case and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00{:}07{:}46.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49.145$ then maybe sort of quickly go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00:07:49.145 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.221$ some unique aspects of sleep in older

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00:07:52.221 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.245$ adults because you know this is probably.

 $00:07:55.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.587$ Not due to a lot of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00{:}07{:}56.590 \to 00{:}07{:}58.270$ This is this is probably stuff you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00:07:58.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.846$ but it it felt like we should at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

00:07:59.846 --> 00:08:01.245 least touch upon it and highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00{:}08{:}01.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.673$ those things to make sure we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00:08:02.721 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.065$ all on the same playing field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

00:08:04.070 --> 00:08:06.128 And then I'll talk about sleep deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00:08:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.799$ what it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

00:08:06.799 --> 00:08:08.360 some of my earlier work looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00{:}08{:}08.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.844$ self reported sleep deficiency and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00:08:09.844 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.961$ some of the newer things I've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00:08:11.961 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.536$ looking at that incorporate objective

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00{:}08{:}13.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}15.522$ measures of sleep deficiency and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959720075

 $00:08:15.522 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.586$ then finally future directions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:08:19.710 --> 00:08:22.980 OK, so I realized I forgot to point this out,

 $00:08:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.786$ but this thing on the left here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:24.790 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.230$ So this is sort of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:08:26.230 --> 00:08:27.990 This is why sleep is so great because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:08:27.990 --> 00:08:29.890 you know it's everywhere, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}08{:}29.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31.850$ So this picture was when I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.549$ in Disney World with my kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.756$ I think we were waiting in

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:34.756 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.870$ line to meet Mickey Mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:35.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.174$ And you know, I snapped this picture of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:38.174 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.116$ this poster and an informative lecture

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:40.116 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.650$ how to sleep presented by noted lecturer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.742$ educator, and somnambulist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:08:43.742 --> 00:08:46.290 Goofy. So I don't have to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:08:46.357 --> 00:08:48.427 you guys what somnambulist means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:48.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.274$ but it says guaranteed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:49.274 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.790$ By the time this lecture is over,

00:08:50.790 --> 00:08:52.918 you'll be fast a sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.306$ So hopefully not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:08:54.306 --> 00:08:56.616 hopefully I will engage you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.830$ We don't necessarily have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:08:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.900$ conference at the, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.380$ the best circadian time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:09:02.380 --> 00:09:05.588 but I I will try to keep you

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:05.588 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.885$ entertained as best I can. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:07.885 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.510$ So that starts with the next story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:10.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.678$ which is the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949 00:09:11.678 --> 00:09:11.970 OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:11.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.861$ So we're going to tell this story

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}09{:}14.861 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}18.447$ of MC who's a real patient that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:18.447 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.160$ saw in my geriatrics clinic and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:21.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.280$ I would say, you know,

00:09:22.280 --> 00:09:25.160 she didn't come to see me about sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.664$ but her case is the sort of case

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:09:27.664 --> 00:09:29.979 that I experience commonly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:29.980 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.639$ So let me take you through this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:09:31.640 --> 00:09:33.728 So she's a 79 year old woman who

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:09:33.728 --> 00:09:35.393 presents for a medication review

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}09{:}35.393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}36.833$ after relocating from Florida

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:36.833 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.104$ to live with her daughter in

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}09{:}39.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40.612$ Connecticut and she's accompanied

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:40.612 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.653$ by her daughter at the visit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}09{:}42.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.459$ And that's the nice thing about

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}09{:}44.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.632$ seeing patients at our clinic is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:46.632 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.680$ we almost always have family there

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}09{:}48.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}50.625$ to provide some collateral report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:50.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.059$ So she was widowed three years prior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:09:53.060 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.228$ and since that time has had some decline.

 $00:09:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.230$ So recent episodes of confusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00{:}09{:}59.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01.150$ poor appetite, delusional thoughts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.950$ and functional decline, decline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:10:02.950 --> 00:10:04.750 She's getting lost while driving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.126$ She's falling victim to financial scams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:07.130 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.680$ She's supposed to be responsible

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:10:09.680 --> 00:10:11.594 for giving herself her medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:11.594 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.349$ but she's not refilling them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:13.350 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.690$ so probably not doing that appropriately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:15.690 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.375$ And she's depressed and socially

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:17.375 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.723$ isolated down in Florida,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:18.730 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.426$ which is a big part of why she's

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:21.426 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.180$ moved to Connecticut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:23.180 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.598$ Other past medical history includes diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:10:25.600 --> 00:10:26.302 hypertension,

 $00:10:26.302 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.110$ hypothyroidism and mild dementia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:29.110 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.850$ And this is her medication list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.770$ And as I told you, this is a real case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.070$ I'm not making this up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:10:34.070 --> 00:10:36.667 So you can see she's on some

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:10:36.667 --> 00:10:37.409 cardiovascular medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:10:37.410 --> 00:10:40.049 but she's on a lot of psychoactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:10:40.049 --> 00:10:42.230 medications, aripiprazole and antipsychotic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:10:42.230 --> 00:10:44.030 bupropion and Buspirone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:10:44.030 --> 00:10:46.110 Donepezil, which is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:46.110 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.714$ meant to increase the level of acetylcholine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

00:10:48.720 --> 00:10:52.288 But she's also on Solifenacin or VESA care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:52.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.380$ which blocks the sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:54.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.002$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:55.002 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.490$ has has the opposite effect of the Donepezil.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:10:57.490 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.550$ And she's on duloxetine and sertraline.

00:11:00.550 --> 00:11:01.202 And also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:11:01.202 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.158$ we don't know how she's taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.842324949

 $00:11:03.158 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.810$ these medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:11:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.584$ So I asked her about sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

00:11:08.584 --> 00:11:10.920 because I always ask about sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00{:}11{:}10.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}13.416$ especially since doing my sleep fellowship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:11:13.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.317$ and she says she sleeps pretty well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

00:11:15.320 --> 00:11:17.378 She feels refreshed during the day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:11:17.380 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.494$ She wants to increase her solifenacin her

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

00:11:19.494 --> 00:11:22.157 VESA care due to frequent urination at night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:11:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.056$ and she sleeps in a recliner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:11:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.860$ Her daughter tells us that she snores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00{:}11{:}26.860 \to 00{:}11{:}30.433$ Her sleep schedule is 10:30 PM to 8:00 AM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:11:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.016$ Her latency is quote not long awakenings

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:11:33.016 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.668$ 3 to 4 * a night for nocturia.

00:11:35.668 --> 00:11:38.205 But she goes back to sleep easily

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00{:}11{:}38.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40.837$ and she says she naps probably about

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:11:40.837 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.257$ two days a week for 30 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

00:11:43.260 --> 00:11:45.717 So again, because I'm a sleep doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

00:11:45.720 --> 00:11:47.940 I also collected this information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

00:11:47.940 --> 00:11:50.380 which is not common in her geriatrics clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:11:50.380 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.972$ which is something that I do when I when

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:11:52.972 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.557$ I'm concerned about a sleep problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00{:}11{:}55.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57.480$ So I got the insomnia severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:11:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.760$ index and the Epworth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00{:}11{:}58.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59.978$ We're going to come back to these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

00:11:59.980 --> 00:12:01.562 but suffice it to say, for now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00{:}12{:}01.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.739$ her scores for both of these were

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00{:}12{:}03.739 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05.777$ very much in the normal range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:12:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.724$ So then this is where I get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00:12:07.724 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.080$ little crowd participation.

00:12:09.080 --> 00:12:11.362 If possible I want to ask maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

 $00{:}12{:}11.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14.143$ if you all want to just like put a

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

00:12:14.143 --> 00:12:16.737 put a hand up in the on your video,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887244325

00:12:16.737 --> 00:12:19.739 would you get a sleep study for this patient?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8798594575

00:12:25.570 --> 00:12:28.250 I see, yes. I see a head shake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8798594575

 $00:12:28.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.170$ Doctor Hilbert saying yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8798594575

00:12:31.170 --> 00:12:33.840 Doctor Thomas saying yes, OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929590933333333

 $00:12:37.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.490$ All right, so. I did get a sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.929590933333333

 $00:12:41.490 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.394$ study and I think, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929590933333333

 $00:12:43.394 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.046$ when I'm presenting this sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9295909333333333

00:12:46.046 --> 00:12:49.138 case to a primary care audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929590933333333

 $00:12:49.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.709$ they there's there's more sort of hesitance

NOTE Confidence: 0.929590933333333

 $00:12:51.709 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.977$ about whether to get a sleep study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929590933333333

 $00:12:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.980$ But I did and I'm going to tell you why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91381139

 $00:12:59.250 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.558$ So. First of all.

00:13:02.560 --> 00:13:04.945 The Solifenacin and also all

NOTE Confidence: 0.91381139

 $00{:}13{:}04.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06.376$ the psychoactive medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91381139

 $00{:}13{:}06.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08.990$ you know would make me concerned

NOTE Confidence: 0.91381139

 $00:13:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.162$ potentially about some sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.91381139

00:13:11.162 --> 00:13:13.633 blunting of her awareness of of her,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91381139

00:13:13.640 --> 00:13:16.136 whether she might be sleepy and or you

NOTE Confidence: 0.91381139

 $00:13:16.136 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.700$ know how she's actually sleeping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

00:13:22.060 --> 00:13:23.980 So the daughter reported the snoring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00{:}13{:}23.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.933$ but the daughter also told us that

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:13:25.933 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.380$ probably she was sleeping more

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:13:27.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.298$ during the day than she let on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:13:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.230$ Again, it's where that collateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:13:31.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.774$ history becomes so important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00{:}13{:}32.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35.022$ And I think that's not news to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:13:35.022 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.978$ to sleep audience like you guys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00{:}13{:}36.980 \to 00{:}13{:}38.996$ Before COVID, at least we would have,

00:13:39.000 --> 00:13:40.932 you know, the spouses coming in with

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:13:40.932 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.754$ the patients to tell us, Oh yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:13:42.754 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.296$ you know, he snores or whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

00:13:44.300 --> 00:13:46.799 So we're very used to getting collateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:13:46.799 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.630$ history and we do the same in geriatrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:13:49.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.148$ Bedtime is long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:13:51.148 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.184$ so she's sleeping 9 1/2 hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:13:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.698$ The Nocturia is probably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

00:13:55.698 --> 00:13:59.194 to a lot of you, a signal that she

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00{:}13{:}59.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01.199$ might have untreated sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00{:}14{:}01.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03.615$ And so here's her home sleep study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:14:03.620 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.028$ And lo and behold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00{:}14{:}05.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07.140$ she does have severe sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:14:07.140 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.348$ So you can see a lot of apneas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:14:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.659$ You can see a lot of depth,

 $00:14:10.660 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.936$ two sort of dense periods of desaturation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00{:}14{:}13.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15.320$ Here's the close-up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667 00:14:15.320 --> 00:14:16.240 So basically, NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

00:14:16.240 --> 00:14:18.412 this is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:14:18.412 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.127$ pretty classic severe sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:14:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.594$ So if we have these patients who come

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:14:23.594 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.131$ in and tell us their sleep is fine

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

00:14:26.131 --> 00:14:28.697 and then we find the sort of rippling

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00{:}14{:}28.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31.166$ sleep apnea on on a home sleep test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:14:31.166 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.606$ it really does sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:14:32.606 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.430$ lead us to this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00{:}14{:}34.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36.635$ how are we actually supposed to evaluate

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

00:14:36.635 --> 00:14:38.669 and screen people for sleep problems?

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00{:}14{:}38.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}40.420$ So what is the best way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:14:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.009$ assess sleep in older adults?

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00{:}14{:}42.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}44.348$ And so that's really been the focus

 $00:14:44.348 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.759$ of the research that I've been doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:14:46.759 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.230$ so far is thinking about those tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:14:49.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.840$ And so before I get to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

00:14:50.840 --> 00:14:53.224 I'm going to just run through and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:14:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.766$ we won't spend a lot of time on

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:14:54.766 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.831$ this because I think this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:14:55.831 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.000$ not news to a lot of you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00{:}14{:}57.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}59.364$ but let's talk about what's unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:14:59.364 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.520$ about sleep in older people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:15:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.902$ So these are the National Sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

00:15:03.902 --> 00:15:04.696 Foundation recommendations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:15:04.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.900$ And so you can see that people 65 and older,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00{:}15{:}08.900 \to 00{:}15{:}11.510$ the sort of sweet spot is 7 to 8 hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:15:11.510 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.150$ Recognizing that five hours on one end of

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:15:14.150 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.039$ nine hours on the other might be appropriate.

00:15:17.040 --> 00:15:18.912 The seven to 8 hour recommendation

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00{:}15{:}18.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}20.839$ really comes from the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:15:20.839 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.681$ people who sleep that duration tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

00:15:22.681 --> 00:15:24.639 to report better physical health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:15:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.068$ better mental health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:15:26.068 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.448$ and better quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:15:28.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.590$ I used to use this as a way to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:15:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.472$ to tell people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667 00:15:31.472 --> 00:15:31.766 alright, NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:15:31.766 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.802$ you shouldn't come in and say, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00{:}15{:}33.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.250$ I'm older so I don't need as much sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

00:15:36.250 --> 00:15:39.130 And so I think it's that helpful in that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

00:15:39.130 --> 00:15:41.130 But I do think it is a problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00{:}15{:}41.130 --> 00{:}15{:}42.849$ to say anywhere between $5{:}00$

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:15:42.849 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.147$ and 9:00 hours may be normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

00:15:45.150 --> 00:15:46.450 And you know, I have,

 $00:15:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.556$ I had a patient recently who

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:15:48.556 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.510$ said I sleep six hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:15:50.510 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.976$ I feel OK during the day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

00:15:52.980 --> 00:15:55.136 How do I know if that's enough?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76959780666666700:15:55.140 --> 00:15:55.890 And I said, NOTE Confidence: 0.7695978066666667

00:15:55.890 --> 00:15:56.140 well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:15:56.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.640$ well isn't that the \$1,000,000 question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:15:57.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.458$ but I am getting a bit ahead of myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

00:15:59.460 --> 00:16:02.884 So for for all intents and purposes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00{:}16{:}02.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.696$ this is sort of the recommendation

NOTE Confidence: 0.769597806666667

 $00:16:04.696 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.900$ of a normal duration

NOTE Confidence: 0.910506496923077

 $00:16:05.962 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.838$ in older people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00{:}16{:}09.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.552$ You all are also aware that sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

00:16:12.552 --> 00:16:14.170 architecture changes as we age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:14.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.892$ And so whereas someone my daughter's

 $00:16:15.892 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.844$ age will spend a lot of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

00:16:17.844 --> 00:16:19.326 in R.E.M and slow wave sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.160$ older adults will spend less

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:21.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.490$ time in those stages of sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:23.490 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.108$ more time in lighter stages of sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:26.110 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.808$ And as a result, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:27.810 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.616$ a younger adult might have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:29.616 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.177$ sleep histogram that shows this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00{:}16{:}31.177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32.657$ nice sort of cycling through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:32.657 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.190$ lighter and then deeper stages

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00{:}16{:}34.190 --> 00{:}16{:}35.930$ of sleep and these R.E.M cycles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:35.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.410$ whereas an older adult will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:37.410 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.594$ look more like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

00:16:38.600 --> 00:16:41.762 A shift towards lighter stages of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:41.762 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.979$ sleep and more arousals from sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00{:}16{:}44.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.840$ Some other things that change.

 $00:16:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.056$ So circadian rhythm changes with age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:50.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.418$ Phase advance becomes much more common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:52.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.205$ meaning that people may go to bed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

00:16:54.205 --> 00:16:55.719 earlier and therefore get up earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00{:}16{:}55.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57.316$ and that's really a result of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8911859725

 $00:16:57.316 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.990$ an earlier peak in melatonin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836266121111111

 $00:17:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.922$ There's a decreased amplitude

NOTE Confidence: 0.836266121111111

 $00:17:03.922 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.587$ of the sleep wake rhythm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836266121111111

 $00:17:05.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.535$ of body temperature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836266121111111

 $00{:}17{:}06.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.110$ and of many different hormones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8362661211111111

 $00:17:08.110 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.096$ So it's a decrease in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836266121111111

 $00{:}17{:}10.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11.821$ difference between the peak and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8362661211111111

00:17:11.821 --> 00:17:13.486 the through of those things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836266121111111

 $00:17:13.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.848$ and also a loss of ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.836266121111111

 $00:17:15.848 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.140$ to phase shift as we age.

 $00:17:20.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.712$ And so, you know, obstructive sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:22.712 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.370$ apnea isn't the only sleep disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:25.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.970$ but a very common one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00{:}17{:}26.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.165$ And I think it's important

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

00:17:28.165 --> 00:17:29.646 just to highlight a couple ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:29.646 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.846$ in which this is different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.150$ In older people, it presents differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.622$ So first of all, the prevalence

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00{:}17{:}36.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39.009$ of sleep apnea increases with age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

00:17:39.010 --> 00:17:40.738 and it's frequently undiagnosed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:40.738 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.898$ and that's because of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:42.898 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.210$ different presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:44.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.930$ So as opposed to a younger age group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:46.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.107$ there's an equal ratio of males to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

00:17:49.107 --> 00:17:51.044 females when you start to diagnose

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:51.044 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.916$ sleep apnea in an older age.

 $00:17:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.924$ Obesity is a less important predictor

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:17:54.924 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.382$ of sleep apnea in this group and

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

00:17:57.382 --> 00:17:59.142 there's less reporting of snoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

00:17:59.142 --> 00:18:01.426 or pauses and breathing and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

00:18:01.426 --> 00:18:03.316 sort of sleep related complaints,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333 00:18:03.320 --> 00:18:03.655 insomnia, NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:18:03.655 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.665$ daytime sleepiness and then that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00{:}18{:}05.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08.348$ one that I alluded to before that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.912474490833333

 $00:18:08.348 \dashrightarrow 00:18:10.549$ think is so important urination at night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.874$ And so this is another way that sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:15.874 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.347$ is really different in this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:18.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.269$ It's because there are all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00{:}18{:}21.269 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}23.250$ things impacting their sleeping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

00:18:23.250 --> 00:18:25.410 And so, as I like to say in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:25.410 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.803$ geriatric audience, sleep problems in

00:18:27.803 --> 00:18:29.847 this population are multifactorial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.954$ I've already mentioned the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:31.954 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.058$ changes in sleep architecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:34.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.836$ The other thing is that as we age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.886$ we collect conditions and those can

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:37.886 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.278$ affect sleep in a number of ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.954$ They can be associated with sleep disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.952$ They could be associated with low

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

00:18:44.952 --> 00:18:46.280 levels of chronic inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

00:18:46.280 --> 00:18:48.776 So, so they could have direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

00:18:48.776 --> 00:18:51.000 or indirect impacts on sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.552$ And then of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:52.552 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.880$ with those conditions come the polypharmacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.288$ And there are a lot of ways that

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:18:57.288 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.770$ medications can affect sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

00:18:58.770 --> 00:19:00.813 And I can tell you that as a geriatrician,

00:19:00.820 --> 00:19:02.953 when I have a patient with a sleep problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

00:19:02.960 --> 00:19:04.220 one of the first things I'm doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:19:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.826$ is going to the medication. Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:19:05.826 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.906$ But there are also psychosocial

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:19:07.906 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.738$ behavioral factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

00:19:08.740 --> 00:19:10.604 You know, maybe caregiving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:19:10.604 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.148$ substance use, bereavement that can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:19:13.148 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.756$ or social isolation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

00:19:14.760 --> 00:19:16.920 loneliness that could affect sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00{:}19{:}16.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}18.660$ and then finally sleep disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:19:18.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.568$ Because nearly every one of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

 $00:19:20.568 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.626$ that we treat every day becomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.907589835714286

00:19:22.626 --> 00:19:24.066 more prevalent with age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:19:26.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.810$ So now that I've told you a little bit here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:19:28.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.540$ just sort of reminded you

 $00:19:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.924$ about these unique aspects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}19{:}31.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34.506$ I want to talk about sleep deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:19:34.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.070$ what it is, and some of the work that I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:19:37.136 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.696$ been doing to define this in older people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:19:39.700 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.633$ So this is a definition from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:19:42.633 --> 00:19:44.510 National Institutes of Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:19:44.510 \dashrightarrow 00:19:46.838$ Sleep deficiency is a condition that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:19:46.838 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.450$ occurs due to poor sleep quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}19{:}49.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}52.732$ For example, a sleep disorder like

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:19:52.732 --> 00:19:54.920 sleep apnea insufficient sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}19{:}54.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}56.184$ Or inappropriate sleep timing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}19{:}56.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}58.956$ which is to say sleep that is out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:19:58.956 --> 00:20:00.860 sync with the body is circadian rhythm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:00.860 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.352$ and that an impairment in one or

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:03.352 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.472$ more of these domains leads to an

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:06.472 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.444$ impairment in daytime function.

00:20:08.450 --> 00:20:10.786 So this I think is a really appealing

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}20{:}10.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}13.365$ way to study sleep and older people

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:20:13.365 --> 00:20:15.560 because it really is pointing to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:20:15.560 --> 00:20:17.340 These different domains in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:17.340 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.120$ the apparent impairments may arise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.374$ and it's looking at sleep from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:21.374 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.717$ more global perspective instead

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:20:22.717 --> 00:20:24.297 of focusing on one thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:20:24.300 --> 00:20:26.284 it's really more comprehensive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:26.284 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.764$ which is important because these

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}20{:}28.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}31.186$ people tend to have multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:31.186 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.038$ things going on simultaneously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}20{:}33.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36.134$ And so I'll talk now a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:36.134 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.838$ bit of about some of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.470$ The work using self reported

 $00:20:41.470 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.100$ measures and specifically looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}20{:}44.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.852$ insomnia and hypersomnia or daytime

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}20{:}46.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49.937$ sleepiness and in older adults and

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:49.937 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.593$ so this is work that I did using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:52.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.312$ Previously collected data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:20:54.312 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.024$ the precipitating events project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:20:56.030 --> 00:20:58.645 This is a community dwelling

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:20:58.645 --> 00:21:00.737 cohort in New Haven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}21{:}00.740 --> 00{:}21{:}02.903$ People were at least 70 at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:02.903 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.138$ time that they entered the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.338$ And every 18 months they have these

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:07.338 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.040$ very detailed home assessments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.938$ We get things like medical conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:21:11.940 --> 00:21:13.388 medication use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:21:13.388 --> 00:21:15.560 cognitive function, depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.060$ so very detailed measures

00:21:18.060 --> 00:21:20.560 in these older people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}21{:}20.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24.232$ And about six or seven years into the study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.520$ they started to collect

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.480$ different sleep measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}21{:}26.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.508$ including the Epworth and the ISIL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.659$ And so that's some of the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.145$ What I'm going to present to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}21{:}33.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35.590$ And so our hypothesis was that when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.237$ looked at these measures and we looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:21:38.237 --> 00:21:40.207 at these symptoms of hypersomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.858$ insomnia that they would

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:41.858 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.506$ be prevalent and severe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:43.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.198$ And the reason is because of all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:21:45.198 --> 00:21:46.909 the things that I previously told you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137 00:21:46.910 --> 00:21:47.255 right. NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137 00:21:47.255 --> 00:21:49.670 They have they have more medical conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}21{:}49.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52.148$ more medications and and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:52.148 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.929$ of these things sort of convening

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:21:54.929 --> 00:21:57.544 to potentially impact their sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:57.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.760$ So here is the cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:21:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.566$ just to get give you a sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:22:01.566 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.160$ of what they looked like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:22:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.980$ So you can see on average the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}22{:}04.980 --> 00{:}22{:}07.155$ age was 84 at the time that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:22:07.155 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.815$ we were studying their sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

00:22:08.820 --> 00:22:10.780 They have a lot of medical problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:22:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.328$ they have obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}22{:}12.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.168$ cardiovascular disease, lung disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00{:}22{:}14.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.976$ They have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:22:14.976 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.498$ They use a lot of medications, on average 9.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:22:18.498 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.694$ And there's a lot of depression,

00:22:20.700 --> 00:22:22.440 cognitive impairment and low

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:22:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.180$ physical activity in this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912833137

 $00:22:24.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.128$ in this cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:22:27.700 --> 00:22:28.820 So here's the Epworth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:22:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.500$ which probably doesn't need a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:22:30.550 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.000$ of description in this group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:22:32.000 --> 00:22:34.097 but I just want to remind you all this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:22:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.436$ the range is zero to four and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:22:36.436 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.896$ we think clinically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:22:37.896 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.717$ hypersomnia is 10 and above and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:22:40.717 --> 00:22:43.194 10 to 15 is sort of more moderate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:22:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.098$ whereas 16 and above is severe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:22:46.100 --> 00:22:47.822 And so here's what we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:22:47.822 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.970$ in the pep cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:22:48.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.980$ So the median Epworth score

 $00:22:50.980 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.990$ in this cohort was six,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:22:52.990 --> 00:22:55.854 so very much in the normal range and

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:22:55.854 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.438$ those people that cut off of 10 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:22:58.438 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.988$ above it was about 23% of the cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:00.988 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.948$ So you know very common, but also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:03.948 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.850$ Pretty mild overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:05.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.670$ most of those people are falling

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:08.670 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.550$ into that mild category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:23:10.550 --> 00:23:11.966 And when we looked at insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:23:11.970 --> 00:23:15.486 so just remind you that I, I how it works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}23{:}15.486 \to 00{:}23{:}18.307$ So we have the insomnia symptoms and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:18.307 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.904$ the sort of DSM criteria about whether,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:23:20.910 --> 00:23:22.495 you know people are worried

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:22.495 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.446$ about their sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:23.450 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.060$ about whether they think it

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.026$ interferes with activities.

 $00:23:26.030 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.067$ So this range is 0 to 28.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}23{:}28.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31.030$ The threshold is really 8 and above and

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:23:31.030 --> 00:23:34.045 then mild is 8 to 14 moderate insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:34.050 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.830$ 15 to 21 in severe is 22 to 28.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:37.830 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.394$ So here's what we saw in this cohort with

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:41.394 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.378$ respect to the Insomnia Severity index.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:23:44.380 --> 00:23:46.620 So if we use that sort of cut off of

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:46.685 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.720$ eight and above to to establish insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.720$ that was in 43% of the cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.850$ But again they they the severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:54.925 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.038$ was pretty mild.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:23:56.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.250$ So among those people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:23:58.250 --> 00:24:00.018 an abnormal ISIS score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:00.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.760$ the mean ISIS score was 12,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.710$ so in that mild range.

 $00:24:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.228$ And we also looked at what

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:06.228 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.849$ happened to the ISI over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.985$ And so here I'm comparing the three

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:24:09.985 --> 00:24:11.890 different age groups we have in black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:24:11.890 --> 00:24:12.877 our youngest olds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:12.877 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.180$ in red the oldest old or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:15.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.700$ middle old and blue the oldest old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:24:17.700 --> 00:24:19.278 And you can see these ISIL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.800$ the mean ISIS scores over time

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:24:21.800 --> 00:24:24.099 are really overlapping and they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:24.099 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.279$ falling below that threshold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:24:26.280 --> 00:24:30.124 And so I would say that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}24{:}30.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32.159$ this was a surprising result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:24:32.160 --> 00:24:33.768 You know, we have this cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:24:33.770 --> 00:24:36.297 older people, a lot of medical problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:24:36.300 --> 00:24:38.508 a lot of medications, they're depressed,

 $00:24:38.510 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.809$ they're cognitively impaired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:39.809 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.407$ And yet we have this kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:42.407 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.420$ of discordance between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333 00:24:44.420 --> 00:24:44.922 I mean, NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:44.922 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.679$ we do see these symptoms are common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.608$ but they're not severe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:24:48.608 --> 00:24:53.240 And so it really did cause us to think well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87267531533333300:24:53.240 --> 00:24:53.982 Is there, NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:53.982 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.466$ is there discordance between

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:24:55.466 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.637$ how they perceive their sleep or

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:24:57.637 --> 00:24:59.503 how they report their sleep and

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

00:24:59.503 --> 00:25:01.269 how they're actually sleeping?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}25{:}01.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04.007$ And and to think maybe the existing

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}25{:}04.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06.003$ self reported sleep measures are

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:25:06.003 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.259$ not appropriate in this age group

00:25:08.259 --> 00:25:11.144 and so if if not, why might that be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}25{:}11.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.824$ What are some potential mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:25:12.824 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.385$ here that might sort of explain the

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:25:15.385 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.825$ discordance between what people

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:25:16.883 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.127$ are reporting and what we're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:25:19.127 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.249$ on objective measures?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333 00:25:20.250 --> 00:25:20.900 So first, NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:25:20.900 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.175$ it could be that symptoms are better

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}25{:}23.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24.603$ tolerated in this group, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:25:24.603 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.768$ They've been living with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00{:}25{:}25.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.950$ symptoms for a long time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:25:26.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.476$ so maybe because of that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.872675315333333

 $00:25:29.476 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.160$ less likely to report

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:25:31.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.838$ them. Could be lifestyle mediated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:25:32.838 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.447$ They might not have the same caregiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:25:35.447 --> 00:25:37.274 responsibilities, they may not have

 $00:25:37.274 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.358$ the same work responsibilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:25:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.384$ and so they can sort of adjust their

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:25:41.384 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.690$ lifestyle to deal with those sleep problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:25:43.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.574$ whether it's trouble sleeping

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:25:45.574 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.929$ at night or daytime sleepiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:25:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.680$ There is this phenomenon which

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:25:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.430$ is the paradox of well-being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:25:51.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.488$ And really what that is is that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00{:}25{:}53.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56.394$ you know, older people may be less likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:25:56.394 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.758$ to report dispatch dissatisfaction or

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:25:58.758 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.358$ distress because their actual state

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:01.358 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.399$ of health exceeds what they expected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00{:}26{:}04.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06.050$ And I do hear this in clinic all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:06.050 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.790$ It's sort of like well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:06.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.802$ what do you expect?

00:26:07.802 --> 00:26:10.445 I'm 85, you know, so I think that they're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:10.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.048$ it's possible that there are you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:14.050 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.875$ Perhaps they they're doing better

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:26:15.875 --> 00:26:18.422 than they thought, and so they might

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:18.422 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.600$ not report symptoms because of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:26:20.600 --> 00:26:21.840 And then, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.080$ there's this question about

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.700$ validity of existing measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00{:}26{:}24.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.608$ I'm really studying a population in

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00{:}26{:}26.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29.127$ their in their 80s and these were not

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00{:}26{:}29.127 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31.489$ people who were studied in some of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:26:31.490 --> 00:26:32.966 Of these sort of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:32.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.656$ original validation studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:34.656 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.176$ And so, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:36.176 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.760$ if I were to point out just a

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:26:37.817 --> 00:26:39.735 couple things like let's look at a

 $00:26:39.735 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.328$ couple of questions from the ISIS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:26:41.330 --> 00:26:43.260 How worried or distressed are

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:26:43.260 --> 00:26:45.190 you about your sleep problem?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:26:45.190 --> 00:26:47.452 How much does it interfere with

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:26:47.452 --> 00:26:48.583 your daily function?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:26:48.590 --> 00:26:50.878 Perhaps that's not particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:50.878 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.166$ meaningful to this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:53.170 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.770$ And then if we look at the Epworth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:26:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.708$ the, you know, and are they,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:26:56.710 --> 00:26:58.845 many of my patients have low vision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:26:58.850 --> 00:27:01.382 so they might not be reading, they might.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:27:01.382 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.918$ Not be watching TV and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

00:27:02.918 --> 00:27:04.500 may no longer be driving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:27:04.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.908$ and so it's possible that certain questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.914254293636364

 $00:27:06.908 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.108$ in the airports don't apply either.

00:27:11.170 --> 00:27:13.704 And so then the other potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:13.704 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.036$ mechanism is could there be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00{:}27{:}16.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17.604$ blunted awareness of symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:17.610 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.794$ So we have seen this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:19.794 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.250$ other domains of health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:21.250 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.034$ So for example, older adults have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:24.034 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.890$ milder respiratory symptoms in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:25.968 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.290$ response to bronchoconstriction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00{:}27{:}28.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30.390$ They have less severe symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:30.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.070$ in response to hypoglycemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00{:}27{:}32.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34.799$ and they have higher rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:34.799 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.143$ silent myocardial ischemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:36.150 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.818$ And then actually specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:37.818 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.903$ in the world of sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

00:27:39.910 --> 00:27:42.592 one of my prior mentors published

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

00:27:42.592 --> 00:27:45.589 this work where he was comparing

 $00:27:45.590 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.854$ middle-aged and older adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:46.854 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.110$ And what he found in this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:49.110 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.868$ is that the older adults had

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:50.868 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.390$ more severe sleep disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:52.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.750$ but were reporting milder insomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87566411

 $00:27:54.750 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.930$ mild hypersomnia and less fatigue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:00.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.110$ So why does it matter?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:04.110 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.330$ This is the shrug emoji.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.292$ This is my daughter's doing their

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:07.292 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.369$ best impression of the shrug emoji.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}28{:}09.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.684$ This is something that I really had

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:12.684 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.186$ to bring to the geriatrician audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

00:28:15.186 --> 00:28:17.658 because they said if it ain't broke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

00:28:17.660 --> 00:28:18.724 don't fix it, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

00:28:18.724 --> 00:28:20.810 If they're not reporting the sleep problems,

 $00:28:20.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.088$ then what are you doing here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:22.090 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.178$ They, they they didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:23.178 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.810$ really love this idea of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:24.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.588$ the road that I was going down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.073$ And so, you know what I say to them is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}28{:}30.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33.295$ is potentially a missed opportunity, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:33.295 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.170$ If we're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:35.170 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.862$ Hearing the symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}28{:}36.862 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}28{:}40.810$ if we're not detecting these sleep problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.826$ then we're not intervening upon them

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:42.826 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.877$ and we're not preventing the adverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

00:28:44.877 --> 00:28:46.502 outcomes that could come about

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}28{:}46.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48.359$ because of these sleep problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

00:28:48.360 --> 00:28:51.048 And I would also say we're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:51.048 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.180$ appropriately evaluating our interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}28{:}53.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55.224$ And so the example like I'd like

 $00:28:55.224 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.536$ to give is the LIFE study which

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:57.536 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.820$ was this large national multi site

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:28:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.376$ study of older adults to look at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

00:29:03.376 --> 00:29:05.480 physical activity and intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}29{:}05.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}08.644$ to prevent disability and as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:29:08.644 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.350$ secondary outcome they looked at the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

00:29:11.350 --> 00:29:13.595 Effect of this physical activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:29:13.595 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.840$ intervention on the Epworth and

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:29:15.913 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.230$ the ISIL and there was no change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:29:18.230 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.618$ And so is that because physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

00:29:20.618 --> 00:29:22.576 activity doesn't do anything to

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:29:22.576 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.912$ help sleep or is it because we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00{:}29{:}24.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}27.344$ have the right tools to evaluate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

 $00:29:27.344 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.720$ change in sleep that might happen from

NOTE Confidence: 0.932357534

00:29:29.720 --> 00:29:31.040 a physical activity intervention?

 $00:29:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.330$ So this really sort of took me to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}29{:}37.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40.929$ next part of my research was to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:29:40.929 --> 00:29:43.000 start incorporating some objective

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:29:43.000 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.160$ measures of sleep deficiency and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:29:48.160 --> 00:29:49.728 I'm going to tell you a bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:29:49.728 --> 00:29:51.266 this project that I did where I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:29:51.266 --> 00:29:52.730 looking at really if you think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:29:52.730 --> 00:29:54.092 it in terms of sleep deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}29{:}54.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}55.609$ in sufficient sleep duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:29:55.609 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.621$ so comparing self reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:29:57.621 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.600$ and objective short sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}29{:}59.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01.744$ And so, you know, I wanted to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:01.744 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.059$ at the prevalence of short sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:04.060 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.678$ but again because I was thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:06.678 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.193$ this potential discordance between

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:08.193 \dashrightarrow 00:30:10.161$ what older a dults were reporting and

00:30:10.161 --> 00:30:12.418 how they may actually be sleeping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:30:12.420 --> 00:30:15.068 I wanted to look at the diagnostic accuracy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:15.068 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.078$ of self report versus an objective measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:30:18.080 --> 00:30:19.388 And so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:19.388 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.350$ we define short sleep is less

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:21.421 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.335$ than or equal to six hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:30:23.340 --> 00:30:25.236 I'm showing you this U-shaped curve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:30:25.240 --> 00:30:26.936 which probably many of you have seen before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:26.940 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.886$ but we know that sleep duration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:29.890 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.032$ Has this huge shaped curve whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:30:32.032 --> 00:30:34.275 we're talking about mortality and many

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}30{:}34.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35.791$ other cardiovascular and metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:30:35.791 --> 00:30:37.870 outcomes and it's really you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:37.870 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.910$ so, so long sleep is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:39.910 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.930$ with worsening outcomes,

 $00:30:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.464$ but then short sleep really at 6

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}30{:}43.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46.152$ hours and below is also associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:30:46.152 --> 00:30:48.180 with these adverse outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:48.180 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.728$ So that's how we define short sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}30{:}50.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}54.566$ and I use data from the study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:54.566 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.698$ osteoporotic fractures and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:30:56.698 --> 00:30:59.180 osteoporotic fractures in men study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:30:59.180 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.855$ the ancillary study that's based on sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}31{:}02.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}04.372$ A lot of you are probably familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:04.372 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.770$ with this and if you're interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}31{:}05.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.180$ in aging at all and sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:07.180 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.155$ you know this is this is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:10.155 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.280$ a really wonderful resource.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.160$ And so I'm going to spend a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:14.160 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.408$ time talking about it because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}31{:}15.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}17.088$ a couple of the projects that I

 $00:31:17.145 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.645$ did have been using data from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}31{:}18.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}21.380$ These two cohorts so soft is women

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:21.380 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.629$ and at the time I'm studying them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.000$ the mean age was 84.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.285$ You can see over 3000 women and then men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:29.290 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.450$ Mr Oss about 3000 men with a mean age of 76.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:34.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.892$ And so these studies were designed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:36.892 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.520$ to evaluate many determinants

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:38.587 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.859$ of successful aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.340$ And so because of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.156$ they incorporated comprehensive sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:43.156 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.400$ visits at a couple different time points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:46.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.838$ And as part of that comprehensive visit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.022$ we had actigraphy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:52.022 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.992$ So that's the objective measure

 $00:31:53.992 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.372$ that I use for the study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:56.372 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.380$ I'm going to tell you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:31:58.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.116$ And then I just want to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:32:00.116 --> 00:32:01.648 a couple things because I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:01.648 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.349$ to refer to them here and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:03.407 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.877$ in some of the other studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.824$ But this is a big reason why this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:06.824 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.881$ is such a wonderful cohort to study

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:08.881 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.343$ because we get to study all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:11.343 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.804$ things that are so important in older people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:32:13.804 --> 00:32:14.188 Multimorbidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:14.188 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.492$ which I'm going to be defining

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00{:}32{:}16.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}18.896$ as having at least chronic 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:18.896 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.078$ chronic medical conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.769$ they have measures of depression and anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:32:23.770 --> 00:32:26.190 They have great information about

 $00:32:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.248$ medication use, so antidepressants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:28.248 \dashrightarrow 00:32:30.968$ Activating medications which is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:30.968 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.014$ stimulant or an oral steroid and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:34.014 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.172$ then CNS active medications, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:32:36.172 --> 00:32:36.794 Benzodiazepines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:36.794 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.416$ anticonvulsants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

00:32:37.416 --> 00:32:39.282 narcotics and antipsychotics

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114389016

 $00:32:39.282 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.770$ and then they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333

 $00:32:41.843 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.219$ information on these geriatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333

 $00:32:44.219 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.595$ conditions or geriatric syndromes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333

00:32:46.600 --> 00:32:48.118 So cognitive impairment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333

 $00:32:48.118 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.648$ physical impairment which is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333

 $00{:}32{:}50.648 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}32{:}54.125$ a gate speed is a well validated

NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333

 $00:32:54.125 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.037$ measure of physical impairment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333

00:32:56.040 --> 00:32:58.434 They have frailty and a previously

00:32:58.434 --> 00:33:00.030 validated soft frailty index

NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333

00:33:00.098 --> 00:33:01.998 and then information on falls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885724933333333

 $00:33:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.070$ another really important geriatric syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.416$ OK. So again, because I'm going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

00:33:08.416 --> 00:33:10.098 talking about this cohort for a bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

00:33:10.100 --> 00:33:11.576 I figured I should just tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:11.576 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.220$ you a little bit about them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:13.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.782$ So you can see men were a

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00{:}33{:}15.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}17.879$ little bit younger than women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.136$ average age was 76 versus 84.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

00:33:20.140 --> 00:33:23.059 And that's just because the men's cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00{:}33{:}23.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}24.048$ that study started later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:24.048 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.530$ So by the time the sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

00:33:25.584 --> 00:33:26.970 visit was done in the women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.930$ they were actually significantly older.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00{:}33{:}30.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}32.310$ You can see the minority race.

 $00:33:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.788$ Ethnicity is about 10% of these cohorts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00{:}33{:}34.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}36.914$ And you can also see the women probably as

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

00:33:36.914 --> 00:33:39.346 a function of the fact that they're older,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:39.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.301$ they're less educated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

00:33:40.301 --> 00:33:41.886 they're more likely to live

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:41.886 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.170$ alone or be widowed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

00:33:43.170 --> 00:33:44.970 and they have more medical conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:44.970 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.036$ more multimorbidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:46.036 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.102$ more depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884377446

 $00:33:47.102 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.767$ anxiety and more physical impairment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:33:52.500 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.867$ And so here is the study where we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00{:}33{:}54.867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}56.964$ looked at the agreement between a self

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00{:}33{:}56.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59.220$ report of short sleep and the objective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:33:59.220 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.237$ in this case actor graphic short sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.072$ And so this is here's some very fancy

 $00:34:05.072 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.681$ statistics where I'm doing a two by

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:07.681 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.516$ two table and calculating sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:09.582 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.807$ specificity using actigraphy as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

00:34:11.807 --> 00:34:14.032 sort of reference standard here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.210$ And so I want to just focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.980$ on these blue quadrants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:17.980 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.338$ which is where things don't match.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.596$ OK, so you have someone who's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

00:34:22.600 --> 00:34:24.544 Saying they don't have short sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

00:34:24.544 --> 00:34:26.060 but Actigraphy is showing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:26.060 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.538$ Or they say they do and actigraphy

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:28.538 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.949$ is showing they have normal sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:30.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.219$ So you can see this about 30% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:33.219 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.012$ men in the women also very common

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:36.012 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.723$ to have these discordant numbers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:38.723 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.630$ so if you look at the sensitivity.

00:34:42.630 --> 00:34:43.755 It's pretty poor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:43.755 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.380$ So there's a high false negative rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

00:34:46.380 --> 00:34:48.876 Specificity is a little bit better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

00:34:48.880 --> 00:34:51.346 but also still pretty poor with

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:51.346 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.460$ a high false positive rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:53.460 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.886$ So what this means is that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:55.886 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.398$ we have missed opportunities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:57.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.176$ We have, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:34:59.176 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.396$ short sleep that we're potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00{:}35{:}01.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03.720$ missing and we're not intervening upon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

00:35:03.720 --> 00:35:06.880 But we also have the potential for overtree,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653 00:35:06.880 --> 00:35:07.303 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00{:}35{:}07.303 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}09.418$ Perhaps we're identifying people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:35:09.418 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.648$ short sleep and maybe using a benzodiazepine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879158488695653

 $00:35:12.650 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.515$ And that's inappropriate and setting

 $00:35:14.515 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.589$ them up for risk of adverse outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874634087272727

00:35:19.990 --> 00:35:22.646 So you know, this is I think really

NOTE Confidence: 0.874634087272727

 $00:35:22.646 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.627$ pointing to the need to go beyond just

NOTE Confidence: 0.874634087272727

 $00:35:25.627 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.119$ self reported measures and older people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:35:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.643$ But I also want to show you that this is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:35:32.643 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.925$ just when we're talking about sleep duration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:35:34.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.098$ And so this next project that I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:35:38.098 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.034$ present with the Mr Ross and soft cohorts

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

00:35:41.034 --> 00:35:43.449 looks at positive sleep discrepancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:35:43.450 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.142$ So first let me tell you what that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

00:35:45.150 --> 00:35:47.292 I didn't make it up to sort of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

00:35:47.292 --> 00:35:49.168 known entity in Sleep Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:35:49.170 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.144$ This is when self reported sleep is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:35:51.144 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.351$ in the direction of less impairment

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:35:53.351 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.087$ and corresponding objective measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:35:55.090 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.778$ And so it really is now we're

 $00:35:57.778 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.190$ focusing on that sort of false.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

00:36:00.190 --> 00:36:03.760 Negative quadrant, OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

00:36:03.760 --> 00:36:04.304 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:04.304 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.208$ I think it's important because this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:06.208 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.820$ a missed opportunity and potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}07.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}10.323$ this is a common thing to find an

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:10.323 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.150$ older people for all of those things

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}12.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.175$ that I mentioned before because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}14.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}16.333$ because we're not asking the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:16.333 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.367$ questions or maybe they're you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}18.367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20.779$ have a blunted awareness of symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:20.780 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.282$ And so we wanted to look at the prevalence

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:23.282 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.947$ of positive sleep discrepancy and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:25.947 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.267$ what are the characteristics associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:28.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.310$ with positive sleep discrepancy.

 $00:36:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.686$ So again we're looking in the Mr Ross

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}32.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}35.352$ and soft cohort and so when I now I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:35.352 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.670$ to tell you this is how we sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

00:36:37.670 --> 00:36:38.304 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:38.304 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.523$ started to look at this analytical sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:40.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.994$ So we started with people who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

00:36:42.994 --> 00:36:45.330 no self reported sleep deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:45.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.100$ And here I'm defining that as

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}47.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49.232$ people with a normal score on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:49.232 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.522$ Epworth and a normal score on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00{:}36{:}51.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.850$ Pittsburgh Sleep Quality index.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931 00:36:52.850 --> 00:36:53.168 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:53.168 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.758$ so these are people really

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:54.758 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.486$ if we had administered these

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

00:36:56.486 --> 00:36:58.436 questionnaires when they said OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93114931

 $00:36:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.658$ these people don't have a problem.

00:37:01.700 --> 00:37:06.317 So in men we had about 3000 with Actigraphy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.254$ And on the next slide, I'll be clear why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:08.254 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.700$ I was sort of focusing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:09.758 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.018$ people with actigraphy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:11.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.566$ And so it was about 1500 men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

00:37:14.566 --> 00:37:17.824 Of that group who had normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:17.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.080$ Epworth and PSQI scores so in women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.230$ We were looking at visit 9 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:26.230 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.986$ 830 of them had actigraphy data

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:29.986 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.578$ and of those 333 women had normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

00:37:33.578 --> 00:37:36.660 scores on the Epworth and the PSQI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

00:37:36.660 --> 00:37:39.360 And so now I'm going to tell you how

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00{:}37{:}39.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}42.507$ I defined objective sleep deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00{:}37{:}42.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}44.352$ That was having a deficit and

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:44.352 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.609$ at least one of these domains.

 $00:37:46.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.998$ So duration or saying now a

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00{:}37{:}48.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}51.579$ deficit and duration which was an

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:51.579 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.950$ active average activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:52.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.430$ raphy duration less than 320 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:37:56.430 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.230$ or it's it's a little over 5 hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

00:38:00.230 --> 00:38:02.618 Quality was also from Actigraphy wake

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:02.618 \dashrightarrow 00:38:05.657$ after sleep onset of at least 88 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:05.660 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.805$ Regularity was the standard deviation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991801011111111

 $00:38:07.805 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.950$ of the actigraphy derived sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:10.019 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.594$ midpoint being greater than 65 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:12.594 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.276$ And I base these on previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

00:38:15.276 --> 00:38:17.952 published work from Mr Oss looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00{:}38{:}17.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20.072$ at these different domains and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991801011111111

 $00{:}38{:}20.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22.538$ how they predicted mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:22.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.478$ So that's sort of where these

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

00:38:24.478 --> 00:38:26.160 cut offs are derived from.

 $00:38:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.610$ And then I looked at daytime alertness

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:28.610 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.600$ using the psychomotor vigilance task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.018$ And so basically we defined an

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:33.018 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.063$ impairment in daytime alertness based

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

00:38:35.063 --> 00:38:37.235 on falling in the worst quartile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.518$ For the cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:38.518 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.648$ for the psychomotor vigilance task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.450$ And why use the Pvt?

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:43.450 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.403$ It's because we think that that sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00{:}38{:}45.403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47.537$ of quality of sustained attention or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7991801011111111

00:38:47.537 --> 00:38:49.597 daytime alertness that you measured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:49.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.925$ the Pvt is particularly sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111 $00:38:53.925 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.520$ to deficits in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00{:}38{:}56.520 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}38{:}58.648$ Sleep in homeostatic sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.799180101111111

 $00:38:58.648 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.776$ or in circadian sleep.

00:39:03.510 --> 00:39:08.075 OK. So again. We're defining positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:08.075 \dashrightarrow 00:39:10.150$ sleep discrepancy as having normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

00:39:10.150 --> 00:39:12.237 self reported scores but having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

00:39:12.237 --> 00:39:14.031 deficit in one of those domains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.424$ And So what we did is we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:16.424 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.950$ logistic regression basically to

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:17.950 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.120$ find the characteristics that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.358$ associated with falling in this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

00:39:22.360 --> 00:39:24.694 And so we're really not considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:24.694 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.919$ these groups here where you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9546644633333333

 $00{:}39{:}26.920 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}39{:}29.452$ yes, they have both self reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:29.452 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.140$ and objective sleep deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.150$ We're not considering people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00{:}39{:}33.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}35.160$ have self reported sleep deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:35.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.250$ but you know, normal. Objective measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.450$ We're really comparing them

 $00:39:39.450 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.950$ to the reference group here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

00:39:40.950 --> 00:39:43.994 which is people who are, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:43.994 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.904$ who really have normal sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

00:39:46.910 --> 00:39:47.980 And so first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:47.980 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.130$ I'll show you the prevalence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:49.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.860$ So here we'll start with the men

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:51.860 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.786$ and you can see you know what what

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:54.786 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.880$ were the the prevalence of having

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:56.953 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.968$ an abnormality in each domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:39:58.970 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.152$ And so overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:40:00.152 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.910$ of all those men who had normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

00:40:03.003 --> 00:40:05.019 Epworth and PSQI scores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9546644633333333

 $00{:}40{:}05.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08.964$ about almost 50% of them had a deficit

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:40:08.964 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.100$ in an objective sleep deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00:40:13.100 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.852$ In women, fairly similar.

 $00:40:15.852 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.875$ So 46% of those women with

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00{:}40{:}18.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}21.210$ normal Epworth and PSQI scores

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

 $00{:}40{:}21.298 \rightarrow 00{:}40{:}24.238$ actually had an objective deficit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954664463333333

00:40:24.240 --> 00:40:25.888 Or objective sleep deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:40:28.220 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.372$ So we then looked at, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:40:31.372 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.500$ by comparing them to that normal sleep group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:40:34.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.895$ we found those clinical characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:40:36.895 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.290$ that were significantly associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00{:}40{:}39.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}41.420$ having positive sleep discrepancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

00:40:41.420 --> 00:40:44.161 So you can see age, obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:40:44.161 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.166$ napping, you can see those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:40:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.550$ Those geriatric impairments that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:40:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.480$ think are so important in aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00{:}40{:}53.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54.695$ And in women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:40:54.695 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.125$ we found very fairly similar results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00{:}40{:}57.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}59.896$ So older age was associated with

 $00{:}40{:}59.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}01.740$ having positive sleep discrepancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

00:41:01.740 --> 00:41:04.440 obesity and napping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:41:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.710$ Multimorbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:41:06.710 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.798$ And then having those geriatric syndromes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8731324

 $00:41:08.798 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.210$ and that was similar in men and women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:41:14.100 --> 00:41:17.140 So positive sleep discrepancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:17.140 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.660$ Very common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}41{:}18.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21.355$ We see it again with increasing age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:41:21.360 --> 00:41:23.500 with obesity, with medical comorbidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:23.500 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.466$ with geriatric syndromes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:24.466 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.720$ And I I think this is important

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}41{:}26.777 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.912$ because I think this is a missed

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}41{:}28.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}30.327$ opportunity and we're potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:30.327 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.537$ not detecting these sleep problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:32.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.311$ And so you know that's why the

00:41:34.311 --> 00:41:36.044 focus of my work going forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:36.044 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.158$ is to really say we need better

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:41:38.220 --> 00:41:40.395 instruments to improve detection of

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:40.395 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.570$ sleep deficiency in this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:42.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.013$ And so I'm just gonna finish with

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:41:45.013 --> 00:41:47.672 one more project that I'll tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:47.672 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.976$ about and that's looking at insomnia

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:41:50.053 --> 00:41:52.568 with objective short sleep duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:41:52.570 --> 00:41:53.610 which is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:53.610 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.390$ sort of interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:41:54.390 --> 00:41:57.646 I don't think I imagined it this way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:41:57.650 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.115$ but it really is measuring sleep in a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:01.115 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.404$ comprehensive way because it's looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:42:03.404 --> 00:42:05.694 across domains of sleep deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:05.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.758$ And so probably many of you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:07.758 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.774$ this is being recognized more and

 $00:42:09.774 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.904$ more as a high risk phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:11.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.689$ And so I'm pointing to really I

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:14.689 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.610$ think sort of seminal papers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:16.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.680$ have looked at this in younger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}42{:}18.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}21.248$ more middle age groups and shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:21.248 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.040$ that this phenotype is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.400$ with worsening with mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.604$ cardiovascular disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:27.604 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.012$ diabetes and even cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:42:30.012 --> 00:42:31.216 performance impairments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:31.220 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.116$ There's some this is somewhat controversial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.652$ but there's some evidence to suggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}42{:}35.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}38.798$ that this group is actually that CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.968$ I may be less effective in this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:41.970 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.126$ And so we wanted to study this.

00:42:44.130 --> 00:42:45.285 It had previously been studied

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:42:45.285 --> 00:42:46.209 in middle age groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:46.210 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.674$ We wanted to study this in older people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.110$ And so here's how we did it in Mr

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:51.110 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.040$ Ross and soft. And it was really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:42:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.619$ Sort of replicating the way that insomnia

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:42:57.619 --> 00:43:02.276 was identified in previous work in sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.876$ heart health in middle-aged

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:03.876 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.270$ people to look at this phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:43:06.270 --> 00:43:08.826 And so insomnia was based on having any of

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}43{:}08.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}11.530$ the following at least three times a week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:43:11.530 --> 00:43:13.826 trouble getting to sleep within 30 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.856$ waking up in the middle of

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}43{:}14.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.890$ the night or early morning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:15.890 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.674$ or taking a medication to help with sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.311$ And then a sort of departure from

00:43:20.311 --> 00:43:21.859 what had been done previously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}43{:}21.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}23.543$ if you know this work from the Penn State

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:43:23.543 --> 00:43:24.859 cohort is sleep Heart health study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:24.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.550$ then you probably know they

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:26.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.226$ use polysomnography,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:27.230 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.870$ polysomnography to define a sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:29.870 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.140$ duration of less than six hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:43:33.140 --> 00:43:35.740 We used actigraphy for a number of reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}43{:}35.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}38.520$ but I would say if we really want to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:43:38.593 --> 00:43:41.659 able to study this phenotype going forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:43:41.660 --> 00:43:44.145 then doing it with polysomnography

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:43:44.145 --> 00:43:45.636 just isn't feasible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}43{:}45.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49.160$ So we are using actigraphy and I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:43:49.160 --> 00:43:49.532 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:49.532 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.573$ we don't have a whole lot of time to talk

 $00:43:51.573 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.097$ about the benefits and the limitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00{:}43{:}53.100 --> 00{:}43{:}55.068$ But let's just say the benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:43:55.068 --> 00:43:57.804 is that any of you who use it

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:43:57.804 --> 00:43:59.820 know man actigraphy is so easy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:43:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.140$ especially compared to polysomnography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:44:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.154$ OK, so here's what we found in the men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:44:07.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.168$ So if we are starting with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:44:11.170 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.872$ That sort of the 3000 people from

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:44:13.872 --> 00:44:16.349 that first sleep visit of Mr OSS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

 $00:44:16.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.420$ we then take out these other phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:44:19.420 --> 00:44:22.510 OK, so your long sleep duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:44:22.510 --> 00:44:24.945 asymptomatic short sleep and your

NOTE Confidence: 0.815074945

00:44:24.945 --> 00:44:27.380 insomnia with normal sleep duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:44:27.380 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.015$ OK. So I'm showing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:44:29.015 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.323$ the prevalence of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:44:30.330 --> 00:44:32.328 But really what we're focusing down

 $00:44:32.328 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.220$ here on is those people who had insomnia

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:44:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.452$ with short sleeve and those people

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:44:37.519 --> 00:44:39.823 who had normal sleep and so of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:44:39.830 --> 00:44:43.550 you know, 3000. Plus. Population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:44:43.550 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.762$ About 20% of the men had insomnia

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:44:45.762 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.350$ with short sleep duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:44:47.350 --> 00:44:48.898 so you can see fairly common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:44:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.330$ you know, a fifth of the cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:44:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.886$ So then in women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:44:53.886 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.390$ when we sort of separated out those groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:44:57.390 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.036$ we can see that insomnia with short

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:45:00.036 \dashrightarrow 00:45:04.719$ sleep duration was about 13% of women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:45:04.720 --> 00:45:07.204 And so now I'm going to show you what

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:45:07.204 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.764$ happens when we compare in somnia with short

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:45:09.764 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.410$ sleep duration to people with normal sleep.

 $00:45:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.288$ And this is a busy slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00{:}45{:}14.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16.153$ but I'm going to sort of break it down

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:45:16.153 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.947$ for you to make it more digestible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:45:17.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.950$ We have our men here, our normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:45:19.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.050$ sleepers versus insomnia with short sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:45:22.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.975$ and our women here are normal sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00{:}45{:}23.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.449$ versus in somnia with short sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:45:25.450 --> 00:45:27.866 And what you'll see is across the board,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00{:}45{:}27.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.080$ people with insomnia and short

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:45:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.290$ sleeve have more health conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:45:32.290 --> 00:45:34.669 more depression, anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:45:34.669 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.048$ more sleep disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:45:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.690$ They have more medication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:45:38.690 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.740$ so they're more likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:45:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.400$ use antidepressants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:45:42.400 --> 00:45:44.260 Activating medications, CNS,

 $00:45:44.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.120$ CNS, active medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:45:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.455$ They're more likely to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:45:48.455 --> 00:45:50.323 those psychosocial and behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:45:50.323 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.348$ factors that can impact sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:45:52.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.600$ Like living alone and and napping

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:45:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.647$ and they're more likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:45:56.647 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.078$ have geriatric conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91982121666666700:45:58.080 --> 00:45:58.716 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:45:58.716 --> 00:46:01.260 here's another slide with a lot of numbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667 00:46:01.260 --> 00:46:02.022 but the, NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:46:02.022 --> 00:46:05.070 what I'm really just trying to show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:46:05.157 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.013$ here is that when we adjust for age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:46:08.020 --> 00:46:10.540 race, ethnicity, education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00{:}46{:}10.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}13.060$ obesity and multimorbidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:46:13.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.268$ those relationships are maintained.

 $00{:}46{:}15.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}18.416$ So they are robust to adjusting

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:46:18.416 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.756$ for all of those things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:46:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.325$ So we see in both men and women that

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00{:}46{:}23.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}25.475$ those with insomnia and short sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:46:25.475 --> 00:46:28.229 are much more likely to have obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:46:28.230 --> 00:46:29.288 Multimorbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:46:29.288 --> 00:46:31.404 Cognitive problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:46:31.404 --> 00:46:35.413 depressive issues and geriatric impairments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:46:35.413 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.199$ and so I think you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667 00:46:39.200 --> 00:46:40.156 This really. NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:46:40.156 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.980$ This is a group with high medical burden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:46:43.980 --> 00:46:46.598 I'm probably at risk for adverse outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:46:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.536$ That's some work that needs to be done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:46:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.843$ but I think it also shows the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

 $00:46:50.843 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.080$ benefit of taking the sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.919821216666667

00:46:53.080 --> 00:46:54.648 multifaceted approach of defining

 $00:46:54.648 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.509$ sleep in a more comprehensive way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:46:59.540 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.886$ And so I'll I'll end them

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:47:01.886 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.059$ with future directions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:47:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.434$ which is the focus of my K award in

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:47:06.434 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.278$ trying to define sleep deficiency by

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:47:09.278 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.789$ measuring each of these different domains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

00:47:12.790 --> 00:47:17.278 And so I am doing home based polysomnography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:47:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.728$ That's the gold standard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:47:18.728 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.293$ but I'm also trying to see whether an EEG

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:47:22.293 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.927$ measuring headband might be sufficient to

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:47:24.927 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.739$ define sleep quality in this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:47:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.277$ I'm using Actigraphy to try to get at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:47:31.277 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.160$ domain of inappropriate sleep timing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:47:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.346$ And so right now I'm really in the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

00:47:37.346 --> 00:47:40.680 first couple phases of the work which are,

00:47:40.680 --> 00:47:42.056 you know, quantitative phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:47:42.056 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.776$ where I'm really comparing self

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

00:47:43.776 --> 00:47:45.367 reported and objective measures of

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00{:}47{:}45.367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.161$ sleep deficiency in this age group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:47:47.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.660$ And then I'm also starting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:47:49.660 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.320$ do qualitative interviews where

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

00:47:51.397 --> 00:47:53.507 I'm exploring how these people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00{:}47{:}53.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.185$ Describe their sleep and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:47:55.185 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.524$ impairments during the day to to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:47:57.524 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.024$ and determine whether there might

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:47:59.024 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.128$ be better ways to assess that with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:48:03.130 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.550$ With with self reported measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:48:05.550 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.970$ and hopefully ultimately to define

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

00:48:08.046 --> 00:48:10.602 or develop a new sleep deficiency

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

00:48:10.602 --> 00:48:13.307 instrument to detect this and older

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

00:48:13.307 --> 00:48:16.079 people that's really sort of specifically

 $00:48:16.079 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.670$ designed for use in older people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:48:18.670 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.530$ So that's the focus of my OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

00:48:21.530 --> 00:48:24.032 that's what I'm in the thick of right now

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:48:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.703$ and so I'll just end with some key points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

00:48:27.710 --> 00:48:29.830 Sleep deficiency is a global

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

00:48:29.830 --> 00:48:31.102 construct capturing deficits

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

00:48:31.102 --> 00:48:33.369 arising due to poor sleep quality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:48:33.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.590$ insufficient duration and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:48:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.810$ or inappropriate timing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:48:37.810 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.320$ This sleep deficiency in older

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:48:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.328$ adults is multi factorial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:48:42.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.950$ Self reporting instruments alone

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:48:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.380$ may not be sufficient to describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:48:46.442 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.157$ this entity in older adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:48:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.695$ Future work should incorporate measures

 $00:48:50.695 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.230$ to that evaluate sleep comprehensively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:48:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.786$ So that is all I have and then I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:48:55.786 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.503$ end with the thank yous again and sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:48:58.503 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.984$ of point to all of these wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:49:00.984 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.854$ people that I get to work with and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889543784444444

 $00:49:03.854 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.146$ sleep in geriatrics here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72020066

 $00:49:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.513$ Great. Uh, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72020066

00:49:15.513 --> 00:49:18.580 Brianne was a great talk, a

NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222

 $00:49:18.580 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.832$ lot of interesting information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222

 $00:49:19.832 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.397$ a lot of broke information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222

 $00{:}49{:}21.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.208$ So I think we have a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222

00:49:24.208 --> 00:49:26.894 comments and questions in the chat

NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222

 $00{:}49{:}26.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}29.738$ and we'll start with John Winkleman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222

00:49:29.740 --> 00:49:32.169 And so it John mentions that you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222

 $00:49:32.169 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.020$ shown us cross-sectional associations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222

00:49:34.020 --> 00:49:36.280 Mr Oz has longitudinal data.

 $00:49:36.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.032$ Have you examined your group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.779873142222222

 $00:49:38.032 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.750$ interest for long term outcomes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85093077125

00:49:39.880 --> 00:49:42.144 Yeah, so that's what we're working on now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90772153

00:49:43.940 --> 00:49:48.302 Great, great. And then Robert Thomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.90772153

 $00:49:48.302 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.350$ has a question and a statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90772153

 $00:49:51.350 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.140$ Intervention plea is exclamation point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90772153

 $00:49:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.260$ So the description is good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90772153

 $00:49:56.260 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.186$ But there's no mystery that elderly

NOTE Confidence: 0.90772153

 $00:49:58.186 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.470$ have multifaceted sleep loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90772153

 $00:49:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.269$ And So what is the pragmatic approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.90772153

 $00:50:01.269 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.147$ to change the sleep in the elderly?

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.640$ So yeah, I mean. First,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:50:07.640 --> 00:50:09.090 there's no easy answer here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00{:}50{:}09.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}11.808$ and I'm probably.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:11.810 \dashrightarrow 00:50:13.730$ Not telling you something you don't

00:50:13.730 --> 00:50:17.290 know already, but I think what I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00{:}50{:}17.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19.665$ what I commonly experience is

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:19.665 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.629$ that when these people come to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00{:}50{:}22.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}24.597$ you know, they've just been on benzos

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:50:24.597 --> 00:50:26.592 or see drugs forever and they've

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:50:26.592 --> 00:50:28.417 never had their sleep evaluated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:50:28.420 --> 00:50:31.024 And so I think definitely having

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:50:31.024 --> 00:50:34.130 a very good history and work up

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.270$ is important in these people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:50:36.270 --> 00:50:38.804 And I would say I think there's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.630$ you know, because there are so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:40.630 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.507$ different things that can affect their sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:42.510 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.330$ But there's a lot of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.066$ Different things so we can intervene on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:50:46.070 --> 00:50:48.021 You know, I mentioned medications, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:48.021 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.876$ So that's definitely something we

00:50:49.876 --> 00:50:52.249 can change to make sleep better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00{:}50{:}52.250 \to 00{:}50{:}54.418$ I think we could probably do a better

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00{:}50{:}54.418 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}50{:}56.349$ job of identifying and treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:56.349 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.549$ sleep disorders in these people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:50:58.550 --> 00:50:59.213 So, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:50:59.213 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.150$ I I think we have to start there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:51:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.786$ I mean I'm very the reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:51:04.790 --> 00:51:06.764 Would I have wanted jump to interventions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616 00:51:06.770 --> 00:51:07.021 Yes. NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:51:07.021 --> 00:51:09.280 But I I do think that we need better

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00{:}51{:}09.348 \to 00{:}51{:}11.676$ tools before we jump to interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:51:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.820$ And So what I hope is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00{:}51{:}12.820 --> 00{:}51{:}13.642 \text{ you know},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:51:13.642 --> 00:51:16.108 maybe the future is like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:51:16.108 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.604$ deprescribing intervention to see

 $00:51:17.604 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.704$ how that helps sleep or to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00{:}51{:}19.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}21.919$ think about what are we doing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:51:21.919 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.946$ these people when in their sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:51:23.946 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.334$ when we give them things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:51:26.334 --> 00:51:27.724 antipsychotics or, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:51:27.724 --> 00:51:29.209 because I think that's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:51:29.209 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.803$ in geriatrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:51:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.110$ they are used very frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00{:}51{:}32.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}34.078$ off labeled to help with sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

00:51:34.078 --> 00:51:35.650 But what are we actually doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:51:35.709 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.549$ to these people?

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:51:36.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.125$ And so I think we need better

NOTE Confidence: 0.905392616

 $00:51:38.125 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.310$ tools to figure that out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790083175

00:51:42.790 --> 00:51:44.790 Great. Thank you, Brianne.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790083175

00:51:44.790 --> 00:51:47.118 So I I'll ask a oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790083175

00:51:47.120 --> 00:51:49.590 here's another question from John.

 $00:51:49.590 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.282$ How did your patient do when

NOTE Confidence: 0.790083175

00:51:51.282 --> 00:51:52.790 treated for their sleep apnea?

NOTE Confidence: 0.790083175

 $00:51:52.790 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.330$ Great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

00:51:53.340 --> 00:51:54.964 Actually did great. She was one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

00:51:54.964 --> 00:51:56.439 those people who just took to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

00:51:56.440 --> 00:52:01.268 There's no problem. So, I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

00:52:01.268 --> 00:52:03.060 maybe you could maybe you sort of look

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:03.110 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.925$ at her home sleep test and say, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00{:}52{:}04.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06.990$ she's just got apneas and, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:06.990 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.640$ maybe she just needs to open up her airway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.296$ I don't know. She she did very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

00:52:13.300 --> 00:52:15.148 But I don't think that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00{:}52{:}15.148 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}52{:}16.695$ particularly good at figuring out

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:16.695 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.473$ who those people are going to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:18.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.728$ I I just think that in my population

00:52:21.728 --> 00:52:24.392 they all deserve a chance because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:24.392 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.576$ you know, for a lot of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:26.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.800$ cognition is a priority. Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:28.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.318$ And there's not a lot else

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:30.318 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.380$ we can do to help cognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:32.380 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.076$ So if we can find a sleep disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:35.076 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.258$ like sleep apnea and treat it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:37.260 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.898$ even though we know the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00{:}52{:}38.898 \to 00{:}52{:}39.717$ can be hard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

00:52:39.720 --> 00:52:42.096 I think a lot of them are willing to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

00:52:42.100 --> 00:52:42.734 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:42.734 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.103$ to do the work because that is a that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.768940830714286

 $00:52:46.103 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.198$ an important patient centered outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:52:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.270$ So Brian, I wanted to ask you a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:52:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.547$ about defining adequate sleep duration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:52:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.046$ I think one of the papers you had.

 $00:52:58.050 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.641$ Mentioned was a paper from Wallace and

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00{:}53{:}01.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}04.572$ Sleep noting the situation was defined based

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:04.572 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.840$ on cardiovascular outcomes or et cetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.864$ Yeah. And so. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:09.864 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.136$ it seems like based on your

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

00:53:11.136 --> 00:53:12.369 description for geriatric approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:12.370 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.483$ you know we got to be looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:13.483 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.529$ at things other than mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:14.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.770$ we got to be looking at function

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00{:}53{:}16.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}18.099$ and institutionalization and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.354$ And so do we have data on

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

00:53:20.354 --> 00:53:21.860 well functioning older adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00{:}53{:}21.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}23.090$ men and women and conversely

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.620$ you know those who are poor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:24.620 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.515$ poorly functional to anchor this

 $00:53:26.515 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.410$ definition of short sleep duration

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

00:53:28.473 --> 00:53:30.198 versus long sleep duration rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

00:53:30.198 --> 00:53:31.923 than looking at things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.804093792142857

 $00:53:31.987 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.967$ mortality or incidence of diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00{:}53{:}33.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}36.644$ I mean you know again it's I sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00{:}53{:}36.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}39.307$ I'm not particularly interested in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:53:39.310 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.242$ Those outcomes when I'm trying to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

00:53:41.242 --> 00:53:43.769 about what I want to do to help my patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00{:}53{:}43.770 --> 00{:}53{:}45.303$ but I'm sort of using it as

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

00:53:45.303 --> 00:53:46.570 a benchmark to say, alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00{:}53{:}46.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49.290$ can we all agree that if sleep duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

00:53:49.290 --> 00:53:51.155 this sleep duration is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:53:51.155 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.020$ with mortality in older people

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:53:53.085 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.069$ that this is a good way to define?

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

00:53:55.070 --> 00:53:58.760 You know, poor sleep duration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

00:53:58.760 --> 00:54:02.524 So. So I I see your point. I'm,

00:54:02.524 --> 00:54:05.980 I'm kind of just using it as a benchmark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:54:05.980 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.550$ but you know, I do think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

00:54:09.550 --> 00:54:12.270 I mean and you probably know this just

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:54:12.270 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.456$ as well as I do that there are if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

00:54:15.456 --> 00:54:18.069 think about you know treatment of OSA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

00:54:18.070 --> 00:54:20.194 you know maybe there are certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:54:20.194 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.256$ outcomes where we're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:54:21.260 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.470$ we're not meeting the metric,

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:54:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.300$ but there are other things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00{:}54{:}24.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}25.852$ are more patient centered and

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:54:25.852 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.520$ more having to do with symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.633109066714286

 $00:54:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.319$ where where CPAP does help people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798743980952381

 $00{:}54{:}29.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32.338$ Just wondering if there is a cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.798743980952381

 $00{:}54{:}32.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}34.922$ of people that is like really well

NOTE Confidence: 0.798743980952381

 $00:54:34.922 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.088$ off and healthy aging Agers and

 $00:54:37.167 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.840$ you look at their sleep to define.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803964106666667

 $00:54:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.178$ There are people studying like the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803964106666667

00:54:42.180 --> 00:54:44.736 you know, sent to gennario ANS

NOTE Confidence: 0.803964106666667

 $00:54:44.740 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.540$ and people who are just just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803964106666667

 $00:54:46.540 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.190$ you know, genetically they come for

NOTE Confidence: 0.803964106666667

 $00:54:48.190 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.908$ this stuff from the stock where

NOTE Confidence: 0.803964106666667

 $00:54:49.908 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.594$ everybody lives to like past 100.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803964106666667

 $00.54.51.600 \rightarrow 00.54.53.756$ So there are people studying those groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803964106666667

00:54:53.760 --> 00:54:55.812 but I don't know that anybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.803964106666667

 $00:54:55.812 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.180$ is studying their sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803964106666667

 $00:54:57.180 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.708$ It's an interesting idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757147598181818

 $00:54:59.990 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.505$ So there's another question in

NOTE Confidence: 0.757147598181818

 $00{:}55{:}01.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}03.480$ the chat and from John Cronin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757147598181818

00:55:03.480 --> 00:55:05.142 Do you have any experience using

NOTE Confidence: 0.757147598181818

00:55:05.142 --> 00:55:06.250 promise fatigue or promise

NOTE Confidence: 0.757147598181818

00:55:06.302 --> 00:55:07.757 sleep disturbance in your work?

 $00:55:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.965$ And any thoughts on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.757147598181818

00:55:09.965 --> 00:55:12.619 value compared to ESS or I I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757147598181818

 $00:55:12.620 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.190$ for example, yeah. I have not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

00:55:19.190 --> 00:55:21.606 I, you know, I'm familiar with those and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:21.606 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.050$ I have certainly looked at them. Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:26.050 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.186$ I don't have a sense that they're better

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:29.186 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.794$ or worse than what we have already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

00:55:31.794 --> 00:55:34.718 I mean, I I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00{:}55{:}34.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}37.088$ You, you you just have to be sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:37.088 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.986$ of judicious in what you're asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:38.986 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.444$ people in your study to do which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:41.444 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.136$ why I'm not also collecting those

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:43.136 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.676$ measures currently because I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

00:55:44.676 --> 00:55:46.619 you know you probably want to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.636$ you have to do fatigue and then promise

 $00:55:49.636 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.304$ has two different in addition to fatigue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:52.304 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.253$ it's the sleep disturbance and I can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:54.253 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.149$ remember the other one and so it just

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:56.149 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.817$ ends up being a lot of questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:55:57.820 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.816$ And so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

00:55:58.816 --> 00:56:00.939 I think if you really wanted to focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:56:00.939 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.920$ on promise you'd probably do those and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:56:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.979$ you wouldn't collect anything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00:56:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.310$ Or you'd be very limited in what

NOTE Confidence: 0.886436295714286

 $00.56:06.310 \longrightarrow 00.56:07.250$ else you could collect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894929631111111

 $00{:}56{:}09.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}12.140$ Sounds good maybe one last question

NOTE Confidence: 0.894929631111111

 $00{:}56{:}12.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}14.831$ from Robert Thomas you've you've you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.894929631111111

 $00:56:14.831 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.573$ using things like Knox Self applied

NOTE Confidence: 0.8949296311111111

 $00:56:17.573 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.700$ system and the and the band and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.894929631111111

00:56:20.700 --> 00:56:22.788 Doctor Thomas wondering what about

NOTE Confidence: 0.894929631111111

 $00:56:22.788 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.271$ other we arable track to track sleep

00:56:25.271 --> 00:56:28.440 such as the aura ring or circle ring

NOTE Confidence: 0.894929631111111

 $00:56:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.527$ or whatever whatever other ring or or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894929631111111

 $00:56:31.530 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.830$ Watch the people use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.626166218

00:56:32.960 --> 00:56:37.058 Yeah. So, um, I think, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.626166218

00:56:37.058 --> 00:56:38.374 I'm not particularly wedded

NOTE Confidence: 0.626166218

 $00:56:38.374 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.460$ to one kind of technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.626166218

 $00:56:40.460 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.344$ I just want to use technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.626166218

 $00:56:42.344 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.250$ that's sort of more feasible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886612731111111

 $00:56:46.610 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.626$ I can't say off the top of my head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886612731111111

 $00:56:48.630 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.646$ you know, the reason I went with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.886612731111111

 $00:56:50.646 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.826$ headband is because you can get EG with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886612731111111

 $00{:}56{:}52.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}54.720$ So I'm not as familiar with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.886612731111111

 $00{:}56{:}54.720 \longrightarrow 00{:}56{:}56.682$ ring and what it does, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8866127311111111

 $00:56:56.682 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.906$ as opposed to actigraphy

NOTE Confidence: 0.886612731111111

 $00:56:57.906 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.670$ or as opposed to doesn't.

 $00:56:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.694$ I mean, it doesn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886612731111111

 $00{:}57{:}00.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}02.632$ How how good is the the sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.886612731111111

 $00:57:02.632 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.026$ architecture that you get from the rain?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7120209

 $00:57:09.910 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.910$ I don't know, robertino.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556

 $00:57:14.490 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.430$ Well, depends on what technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556

00:57:18.430 --> 00:57:21.900 It is clear that you don't get the EEG, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556

 $00:57:21.900 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.636$ You can get a fair amount of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556

00:57:23.640 --> 00:57:25.400 you can get activity monitoring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556

00:57:25.400 --> 00:57:27.168 Yes, you can get kind of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556

 $00:57:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.636$ finger activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556

 $00:57:28.636 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.568$ finger movements, hand movements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556

00:57:31.570 --> 00:57:34.380 You can get oximetry, of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556

 $00:57:34.380 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.780$ You can get heart rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556

00:57:35.780 --> 00:57:38.244 so you can get do a heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556

 $00:57:38.244 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.300$ rate variability assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556

00:57:39.300 --> 00:57:40.911 You can measure sleep

 $00:57:40.911 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.739$ quality in different ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556

 $00:57:42.740 \dashrightarrow 00:57:45.468$ And of course you can track it infinitely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556

 $00:57:45.470 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.469$ Hmm, so that's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556

 $00:57:47.469 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.020$ So you can do the more direct measurements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556

00:57:51.020 --> 00:57:52.900 which you do infrequently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888354556

 $00:57:52.900 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.250$ and fill the gaps with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714

00:57:57.850 --> 00:58:00.587 The variables, the Fitbit is pretty good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714

 $00:58:00.590 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.668$ The new, the latest Fitbit Apple

NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714

00:58:03.668 --> 00:58:06.430 Watch Sleep assessment is decent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714

 $00:58:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.446$ Now, the problem is that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714

 $00:58:08.446 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.450$ are not tuned to elderly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714

 $00{:}58{:}10.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}13.182$ So if you say if it gives you an

NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714

 $00{:}58{:}13.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}14.909$ output that you have no deep sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714

 $00:58:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.510$ it doesn't mean that there's no good sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714

 $00:58:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.327$ We see the problem in the sleep clinic

 $00:58:19.327 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.369$ already where patients come here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717243924285714

00:58:20.370 --> 00:58:21.898 Oh, my ring said that I'm doing that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.540247802

00:58:21.910 --> 00:58:23.740 right? Yes, of course, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795536398

00:58:25.110 --> 00:58:27.720 You know, maybe you're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795536398

00:58:27.720 --> 00:58:30.352 OK, so doctor minor sounds like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.795536398

 $00:58:30.352 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.809$ conflict of interest waiting to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795536398

 $00:58:32.810 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.350$ Some data in older folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.795536398

 $00:58:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.880$ so that the. Algorithms

NOTE Confidence: 0.813838864

 $00:58:36.880 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.630$ can be better thresholded right now. If

NOTE Confidence: 0.863449492

 $00:58:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.640$ you're sleeping like a rock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863449492

00:58:41.640 --> 00:58:44.688 you are an N3 if you move just a bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863449492

 $00{:}58{:}44.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}47.580$ You're in light sleep, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863449492

 $00:58:47.580 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.768$ The output is, not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581

 $00{:}58{:}50.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}54.350$ Properly calibrated, I guess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581

00:58:54.350 --> 00:58:56.546 OK, very good. Well, all right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581

00:58:56.550 --> 00:58:59.518 Well, thank you everyone for a great

 $00:58:59.518 \dashrightarrow 00:59:02.578$ questions and thanks Brian for a great talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581

 $00{:}59{:}02.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}04.785$ And thank you all for attending and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581

 $00{:}59{:}04.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06.571$ we're looking forward to our next

NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581

 $00{:}59{:}06.571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}08.711$ session which will be in just about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581

 $00:59:08.711 \longrightarrow 00:59:10.820$ month in December before the holidays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581

 $00:59:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.140$ Take care everybody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77834581

 $00:59:12.140 \dashrightarrow 00:59:17.000$ great seeing you and. Meet soon.