WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:22:43"

NOTE recognizability:0.852

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.310 My name is Mark Mercurio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

00:00:01.310 --> 00:00:02.620 I'm the director of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

00:00:02.681 --> 00:00:04.289 program for Biomedical Ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

00:00:04.290 --> 00:00:05.690 And on behalf of myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

00:00:05.690 --> 00:00:06.761 our associate directors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

00:00:06.761 --> 00:00:08.546 Jack Hughes and Sarah Hall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

 $00:00:08.550 \rightarrow 00:00:09.690$ and our manager,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

00:00:09.690 --> 00:00:10.874 Karen Cole, welcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

00:00:10.874 --> 00:00:13.250 This is, as I mentioned a few minutes ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

 $00{:}00{:}13.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}15.490$ our first hybrid session for the year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

00:00:15.490 --> 00:00:17.016 And I am very grateful to those

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

 $00{:}00{:}17.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.791$ of you who came here in person so

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

 $00{:}00{:}18.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20.482$ we can have a live studio audience

00:00:20.482 --> 00:00:22.198 for Drew and also grateful for

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

00:00:22.198 --> 00:00:23.826 those of you who run the zoom call.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

00:00:23.830 --> 00:00:27.375 So thank you very much for those of

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

 $00:00:27.375 \rightarrow 00:00:29.031$ you who are new to our format here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

 $00{:}00{:}29{.}031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30{.}567$ new to our seminars we do this about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

 $00{:}00{:}30{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32{.}712$ Twice a month and there is a schedule you

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

 $00:00:32.712 \rightarrow 00:00:35.518$ can access at biomedical ethics at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

 $00:00:35.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.546$ on the Internet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

 $00:00:36.546 \rightarrow 00:00:38.598$ and we have a mailing list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

 $00:00:38.600 \rightarrow 00:00:40.840$ You can certainly reach out to me or

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

 $00{:}00{:}40.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.036$ to Karen to to get on the mailing list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841104640714286

 $00{:}00{:}44.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.490$ We

NOTE Confidence: 0.9345482566666667

 $00:00:44.500 \rightarrow 00:00:46.846$ are very happy for your participation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358

 $00{:}00{:}47.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.300$ and I'm particularly happy about to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358

00:00:49.300 --> 00:00:51.700 And I know Jack is too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358

 $00:00:51.700 \rightarrow 00:00:52.860$ A few years ago now,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358
- $00:00:52.860 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.336$ more than a few years ago,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358
- $00{:}00{:}54.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.406$ Jack and I together led a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358
- 00:00:57.406 --> 00:00:59.360 trip called fast Speed Trip.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358
- $00:00:59.360 \rightarrow 00:01:00.590$ This is the fellowships at all.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358
- $00:01:00.590 \rightarrow 00:01:02.870$ This is for the study of professional ethics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358
- $00:01:02.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.566$ which is a bit of a longer story,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358
- $00:01:04.570 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.052$ but it's a marvelous opportunity for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358
- $00:01:06.052 \dashrightarrow 00:01:07.575$ a select group of medical students
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358
- $00{:}01{:}07{.}575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09{.}511$ from all over the country to spend 2
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358
- $00{:}01{:}09{.}565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10{.}773$ weeks overseas studying professional
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358
- 00:01:10.773 --> 00:01:13.502 ethics as well as the history of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358
- $00{:}01{:}13.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}15.326$ physicians complicity and participation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358
- $00{:}01{:}15{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}18{.}109$ in the atrocities of the Nazi era.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358
- 00:01:18.110 --> 00:01:19.784 And this is a marvelous program that Jack NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358
- $00:01:19.784 \dashrightarrow 00:01:21.447$ and I have been involved with for years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358

 $00{:}01{:}21{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23{.}042$ And in fact one of the academic leaders

NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358

00:01:23.042 --> 00:01:24.498 of this is our own Nancy Angoff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358

 $00:01:24.500 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.140$ who's also here tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358

00:01:26.140 --> 00:01:27.875 And some years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358

 $00:01:27.875 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.732$ we were very fortunate to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358

 $00{:}01{:}29{.}732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31{.}436$ the trip with a truly outstanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358

 $00{:}01{:}31{.}436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}32{.}978$ medical student named Drew Kular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358

 $00:01:32.980 \rightarrow 00:01:35.150$ And and just watch how things go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358

 $00{:}01{:}35{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}36{.}977$ When Drew was a medical student here at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358

 $00:01:36.980 \rightarrow 00:01:38.516$ he had gone to college here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358

 $00:01:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.689$ So a lot of you are wondering

NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358

 $00:01:39.689 \rightarrow 00:01:40.806$ that anybody who went to college

NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358

00:01:40.806 --> 00:01:41.964 at Yale ever amount to anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86889358

00:01:41.970 --> 00:01:43.690 And the answer is, yeah, Drew did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00{:}01{:}45.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.758$ So we had a a marvelous experience with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:01:47.758 \rightarrow 00:01:49.606$ Drew and we weren't at all surprised

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:01:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.670$ that he went on to do great things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- 00:01:51.670 00:01:53.539 He did his residency up at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00{:}01{:}53{.}539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55{.}514$ Mass general and he's been on the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:01:55.514 \rightarrow 00:01:57.860$ faculty at Cornell for some time now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:01:57.860 \dashrightarrow 00:01:59.770$ And it was not long ago I was just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794973980666667
- $00{:}01{:}59{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01{.}111$ telling Drew that I just happened to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:02:01.111 \rightarrow 00:02:02.312$ stumble across something he had written
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:02:02.312 \rightarrow 00:02:03.806$ in the New York Times a few years ago.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00{:}02{:}03{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}04{.}908$ And what a great pleasure that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:02:04.908 \rightarrow 00:02:05.640$ was just to happen,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:02:05.640 \rightarrow 00:02:08.288$ to see one of your former students names
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:02:08.290 \dashrightarrow 00:02:10.258$ on the byline at the New York Times.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:02:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.876$ And Drew has done quite a bit of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- 00:02:11.876 --> 00:02:13.029 writing since and policy work,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00{:}02{:}13.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.906$ so to for a more formal introduction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:02:14.906 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.300$ of one of the most.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00{:}02{:}16.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.910$ Impressive medical students I've had

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00{:}02{:}17{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}20{.}196$ the pleasure to teach drive collar is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:02:20.196 \rightarrow 00:02:21.756$ a physician and assistant professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:02:21.756 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.420$ of health policy and economics

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:02:23.420 \dashrightarrow 00:02:25.110$ at Weill Cornell Medical College.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

00:02:25.110 --> 00:02:26.686 He's also a writer at The New Yorker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

00:02:26.690 - 00:02:27.900 where he writes about medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794973980666667

 $00{:}02{:}27{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28{.}947$ healthcare, and politics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00{:}02{:}28{.}947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31{.}390$ He currently serves as the Director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:02:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.605$ Policy dissemination at the Physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

00:02:33.605 --> 00:02:35.329 Foundation Center for Physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00{:}02{:}35{.}329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37{.}106$ Practice and Leadership and was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:02:37.106 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.038$ recently a senior Research fellow at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:02:39.040 \rightarrow 00:02:41.084$ the New York City Health and Hospitals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:02:41.090 \rightarrow 00:02:43.568$ His research focuses on value based care,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:02:43.570 \rightarrow 00:02:46.320$ health disparities, and medical innovation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00{:}02{:}46.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}48.273$ And has been published in JAMA and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00{:}02{:}48.273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}50.288$ the New England Journal of Medicine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- 00:02:50.290 --> 00:02:50.558 Drive,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00{:}02{:}50{.}558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51{.}362$ as I mentioned,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:02:51.362 \rightarrow 00:02:52.970$ went to college and medical school
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:02:53.018 \rightarrow 00:02:53.630$ here at Yale,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- 00:02:53.630 --> 00:02:55.760 did medical training at Massachusetts General
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:02:55.760 \dashrightarrow 00:02:58.009$ Hospital and at Harvard Medical School.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:02:58.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.173$ He also received a master's in public
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- 00:03:00.173 --> 00:03:02.510 policy from the Harvard Kennedy School,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00{:}03{:}02{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04{.}414$ where he was a fellow at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00{:}03{:}04{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05{.}950$ Center for Public Leadership.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00{:}03{:}05{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08{.}806$ He's been recognized by Linked In as among the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:03:08.806 \rightarrow 00:03:11.877$ top ten healthcare professionals under 35,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:03:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.854$ by the National Minority Quality Forum

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:03:13.854 \rightarrow 00:03:16.510$ as a 40 under 40 leader in health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:03:16.510 \dashrightarrow 00:03:18.472$ and by FAST for the organization

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:03:18.472 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.785$ I just mentioned with the 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00{:}03{:}20.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.326$ Distinguished fellow award

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:03:22.326 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.450$ for ethical leadership.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794973980666667

 $00:03:23.450 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.640$ It is with great pleasure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

00:03:25.640 --> 00:03:27.498 I introduce you to Drew Kular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794973980666667

 $00{:}03{:}27{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29{.}764$ who's gonna speak to us for a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00{:}03{:}29{.}764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31{.}862$ about the misinformation in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00{:}03{:}31.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33.560$ medical setting and then we'll have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:03:33.560 \rightarrow 00:03:34.718$ a conversation the way this typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:03:34.718 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.128$ works and the way it's going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

00:03:36.128 --> 00:03:37.118 work tonight now because again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:03:37.120 \dashrightarrow 00:03:39.058$ this is our first hybrid session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

00:03:39.060 --> 00:03:41.892 Is Drew will speak for about 45 minutes

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00{:}03{:}41.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.752$ plus or minus and after that we will
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00{:}03{:}44.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47.170$ sit here and I'll moderate Q& amp;A session.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00{:}03{:}47{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49{.}032$ If you have a question or a comment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:03:49.032 \rightarrow 00:03:50.280$ please raise your hand.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00{:}03{:}50{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51{.}455$ Karen will be walking around
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:03:51.455 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.160$ with a microphone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- 00:03:52.160 --> 00:03:53.500 Please wait for the microphone,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:03:53.500 \rightarrow 00:03:54.380$ obviously at a courtesy to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:03:54.380 \dashrightarrow 00:03:55.600$ every body else so they can hear you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00{:}03{:}55{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57{.}058$ But also now for the folks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:03:57.058 \rightarrow 00:03:58.380$ who are here on zoom,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:03:58.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.570$ so they can hear you and we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00{:}04{:}00{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01{.}720$ can have a conversation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00{:}04{:}01.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04.600$ you can ask him anything you want now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667
- $00:04:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.427$ And I'll also be looking at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:04:06.427 \rightarrow 00:04:08.139$ zoom callers for questions there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00{:}04{:}08{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09{.}295$ So for the folks on zoom out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

00:04:09.300 --> 00:04:11.106 Ask you to please send your questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

 $00:04:11.106 \rightarrow 00:04:12.965$ through the Q& A portion and I'll be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7949739806666667

00:04:12.965 --> 00:04:14.513 going through those while Drew is

NOTE Confidence: 0.817806419333333

 $00:04:14.565 \rightarrow 00:04:16.025$ speaking during the second portion

NOTE Confidence: 0.817806419333333

 $00:04:16.025 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.988$ to pick out questions for him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817806419333333

00:04:17.988 --> 00:04:20.976 So introduce you now Dr Kullar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}04{:}47.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49.430$ Thanks so much Mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:04:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.950$ It's it's so good to be back at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

00:04:51.950 --> 00:04:54.065 I can't tell you how much it means

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:04:54.065 \rightarrow 00:04:56.425$ to to see old professors and to just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}04{:}56{.}425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58{.}785$ get off the the Metro-North at Union

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

00:04:58.785 --> 00:05:01.225 Station and and you know coming back

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:05:01.225 \dashrightarrow 00:05:03.790$ here always makes you feel kind of very NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:05:03.790 \rightarrow 00:05:05.750$ viscerally what a special place Yale is.

00:05:05.750 --> 00:05:07.479 And and I think while you're here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

00:05:07.479 --> 00:05:09.148 sometimes you can you can lose sight

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:05:09.148 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.680$ of that and when you leave you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

00:05:10.680 --> 00:05:12.075 can you know you don't appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}05{:}12.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.071$ that as much but then coming back

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:05:14.071 \rightarrow 00:05:15.550$ really really drives it home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}05{:}15{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17{.}550$ So thank you for the opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}05{:}17.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.438$ come come back and speak with you all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

00:05:19.440 --> 00:05:22.044 So I wanted to talk about misinformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}05{:}22.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23.789$ and particularly misinformation in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:05:23.789 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.493$ medical setting and in public health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}05{:}25{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26{.}945$ And it's something that obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:05:26.945 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.338$ is on the minds of a lot of people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}05{:}29{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}30{.}884$ particularly during the COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}05{:}30{.}884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33{.}620$ pandemic with the rise of social media.

00:05:33.620 --> 00:05:35.538 But the more I thought about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}05{:}35{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37{.}956$ the more it seemed that you can't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}05{:}37{.}956$ --> $00{:}05{:}39{.}788$ understand the story of misinformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}05{:}39{.}788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41{.}738$ without understanding the story of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}05{:}41.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43.785$ mistrust and distrust in society and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}05{:}43.785$ --> $00{:}05{:}46.744$ what has happened over the past few decades, NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:05:46.744 \rightarrow 00:05:49.604$ because it's clear that misinformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:05:49.610 \rightarrow 00:05:52.585$ And disinformation really thrive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}05{:}52.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}56.599$ flourish in settings where trust is lacking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}05{:}56{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}680$ where people don't trust institutions, where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}05{:}58.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01.060$ they don't trust the people around them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

00:06:01.060 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:06:04.068 There becomes kind of a vacuum that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:06:04.068 \dashrightarrow 00:06:06.100$ filled with poor quality information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:06:06.100 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.532$ So I want to start the talk by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

00:06:07.532 --> 00:06:08.300 talking about mistrust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}06{:}08{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10{.}972$ and then we'll kind of transition over to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816
- $00{:}06{:}10.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.139$ to to some issues around misinformation.

 $00{:}06{:}14.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.340$ This is an ethics seminar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

00:06:15.340 --> 00:06:18.000 so I'm going to disclose my conflicts

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:06:18.000 \rightarrow 00:06:19.660$ of interest up front.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

00:06:19.660 --> 00:06:20.890 So I I have grants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:06:20.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.536$ none of which are are related

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

00:06:23.536 --> 00:06:25.408 to misinformation or mistrust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:06:25.410 \rightarrow 00:06:29.360$ and unfortunately, no commercial conflicts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

00:06:29.360 --> 00:06:31.030 OK, So what are we going to talk about today?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}06{:}31.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33.070$ I want to talk about three main things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:06:33.070 \dashrightarrow 00:06:35.898$ The first is to describe trust and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}06{:}35.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}38.251$ mistrust in institutions in the United

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:06:38.251 \dashrightarrow 00:06:40.393$ States over the past few decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}06{:}40{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43{.}856$ The second is related, but is this idea of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:06:43.860 \rightarrow 00:06:45.660$ Trying to understand the environment

 $00{:}06{:}45.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47.460$ in which medical misinformation can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

00:06:47.510 --> 00:06:49.110 flourish and why it's spreading,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:06:49.110 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.466$ in part because, as we'll see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}06{:}50{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}53{.}074$ the massive decrement in trust in nearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}06{:}53.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.276$ every institution in the United States

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}06{:}55{.}276$ --> $00{:}06{:}57{.}663$ and 3rd is to discuss possible avenues

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}06{:}57.731 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00.107$ to minimize the harm of misinformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:07:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.102$ And I view this section as as very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}07{:}02{.}102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03{.}946$ much a work in progress because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

00:07:03.946 --> 00:07:05.498 I don't know how to do it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}07{:}05{.}498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}07{.}660$ and I don't think anyone knows how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:07:07.660 \rightarrow 00:07:10.150$ do it in a really effective way yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

00:07:10.150 --> 00:07:12.254 But I think there are some ideas that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}07{:}12.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}13.649$ are intriguing and over hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}07{:}13.649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.009$ over the next few years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00{:}07{:}15{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17{.}548$ We'll we'll come up with evidence

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816
- $00{:}07{:}17.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.240$ based strategies that actually

 $00:07:19.314 \rightarrow 00:07:21.576$ minimise the harm that's caused by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:07:21.576 \rightarrow 00:07:23.950$ by poor quality health information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:07:23.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.348$ So we're at a medical school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:07:25.350 \dashrightarrow 00:07:27.646$ I want to start with a medical mystery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:07:27.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.446$ This one starts in the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:07:30.450 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.850$ 1980s in in Chicago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

00:07:33.850 --> 00:07:35.810 And so on September 29th,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

 $00:07:35.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.097$ 1982,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187816

00:07:36.097 --> 00:07:38.393 a 12 year old girl named Mary Kellerman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00{:}07{:}38{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40{.}488$ she woke up with a cold in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

00:07:40.490 --> 00:07:42.622 the Chicago suburb area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

00:07:42.622 --> 00:07:45.225 Her parents gave her some Tylenol out

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

00:07:45.225 --> 00:07:48.567 of a out of two two capsules of Tylenol

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00{:}07{:}48.570$ --> $00{:}07{:}52.728$ and the next morning she had died.

 $00:07:52.730 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.350$ That same day, a 27 year old man he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00:07:55.425 \rightarrow 00:07:57.849$ supposed to worker named Adam Janis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00:07:57.850 \dashrightarrow 00:08:00.628$ he developed discomfort in his chest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00:08:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.731$ He passed away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00{:}08{:}01.731 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.736$ People thought that it had been some kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00:08:04.736 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.270$ of freak early heart attack and his,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00:08:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.388$ his family comes to his home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

00:08:09.390 --> 00:08:10.830 They're mourning, they develop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00{:}08{:}10.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.780$ you know, headaches, they're crying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00{:}08{:}12.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}15.794$ Both his brother and his sister-in-law take

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00{:}08{:}15.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.650$ Tylenol from the same bottle that Adam used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00:08:19.650 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.802$ And by the end of the week both

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00{:}08{:}21{.}802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}25{.}170$ of them had also. Passed away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00:08:25.170 \rightarrow 00:08:26.829$ So over the next couple of days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00{:}08{:}26.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.026$ there are three more mysterious deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00:08:29.026 \rightarrow 00:08:31.668$ of this nature in the Chicago area,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- $00:08:31.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.981$ each of them linked to someone taking

 $00:08:34.981 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.814$ a Tylenol from from a recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00:08:37.814 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.698$ purchased bottle of Tylenol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00:08:39.700 \dashrightarrow 00:08:42.260$ So a few facts come to the forefront.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00:08:42.260 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.882$ The first is that Tylenol was

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00:08:44.882 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.193$ laced with cyanide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00:08:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.256$ As you can imagine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00:08:48.256 \dashrightarrow 00:08:50.874$ this causes wides pread disarray and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00{:}08{:}50{.}874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53{.}559$ alarm across the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00{:}08{:}53.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57.123$ There are more than 100,000 stories in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00{:}08{:}57{.}123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00{.}040$ new spapers about the Tylenol crisis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00{:}09{:}00{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01{.}264$ In the coming weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00:09:01.264 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.794$ there's hundreds of hours of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00:09:02.794 \dashrightarrow 00:09:04.379$ television dedicated to this story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615

 $00{:}09{:}04{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07{.}208$ More than 90% of Americans hear about

- $00:09:07.208 \rightarrow 00:09:09.998$ cyanide laced Tylenol that's killing people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- 00:09:10.000 --> 00:09:10.816 In Chicago,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- $00:09:10.816 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.448$ and by some estimates,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- $00:09:12.450 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.940$ this story gets more coverage than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- $00:09:14.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.820$ any story in the United States
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- $00{:}09{:}17.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.096$ since the JFK assassination.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- 00:09:20.100 --> 00:09:20.327 OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- $00{:}09{:}20{.}327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}21{.}689$ so Johnson and Johnson has a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- $00{:}09{:}21.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22.720$ crisis on its hands.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- 00:09:22.720 --> 00:09:23.107 Obviously,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- 00:09:23.107 --> 00:09:25.429 it's a it's a tremendous tragedy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- $00:09:25.429 \rightarrow 00:09:26.960$ that they're dealing with,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- $00:09:26.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.772$ but they also have a financial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- 00:09:28.772 --> 00:09:29.678 calculus at play.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- $00{:}09{:}29{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}32{.}025$ The first thing to note is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- 00:09:32.025 --> 00:09:34.364 Tylenol accounts for nearly 20% of all

- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- 00:09:34.364 --> 00:09:36.740 of Johnson and Johnson's profits in 1982.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- $00:09:36.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.240$ Tylenol accounts for nearly 40%
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- $00{:}09{:}39{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40{.}715$ of the analgesics market share
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- $00{:}09{:}40.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}41.895$ in the United States.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- $00:09:41.900 \dashrightarrow 00:09:44.574$ So if Tylenol were its own company,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- $00{:}09{:}44.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.547$ its profits would place it in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- 00:09:46.547 --> 00:09:48.839 top half of Fortune 500 companies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- $00:09:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.555$ So there's a massive amount of money.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93991615
- $00:09:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.680$ At stake in this crisis?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- $00:09:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.522$ So a few facts emerge over
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- $00:09:56.522 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.000$ the next couple of days.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- $00{:}09{:}58{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}59{.}356$ The first is that the tampering
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- $00:09:59.356 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.598$ is not occurring at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- 00:10:00.598 --> 00:10:01.766 Johnson and Johnson facility.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- $00{:}10{:}01{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03{.}185$ There's nothing wrong with what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998

 $00:10:03.185 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.600$ they're doing at the facilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998

 $00:10:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.200$ It seems like someone is

NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998

00:10:06.200 --> 00:10:07.800 sneaking in to these pharmacies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998

 $00:10:07.800 \rightarrow 00:10:09.660$ opening up the capsules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998

 $00{:}10{:}09{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11{.}969$ putting cyanide inside and and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998

 $00{:}10{:}11{.}969 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}14{.}090$ that's the way that this poison is

NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998

 $00:10:14.156 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.736$ spreading throughout the Chicago

NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998

 $00:10:15.736 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.106$ area and there are no cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998

 $00{:}10{:}18{.}174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20{.}478$ anywhere else in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998

00:10:20.480 --> 00:10:21.422 So Jim Burke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998

00:10:21.422 --> 00:10:23.620 who's the chairman of Johnson and Johnson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998

00:10:23.620 --> 00:10:25.335 he has a few decisions to make,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998

 $00{:}10{:}25{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27{.}923$ and his behavior in the next few

NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998

 $00{:}10{:}27{.}923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30{.}527$ days and weeks and months is often

NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998

 $00:10:30.527 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.499$ thought of as a model for how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998

 $00:10:33.499 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.797$ regain trust that has been lost.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- $00:10:35.800 \rightarrow 00:10:37.578$ And so he actively engages the media.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- $00:10:37.580 \rightarrow 00:10:39.188$ He's out there talking to people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- $00{:}10{:}39{.}188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40{.}560$ as much as he can.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- $00{:}10{:}40.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41.880$ Johnson and Johnson establishes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- $00{:}10{:}41.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43.530$ a hot line for customers and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- $00:10:43.530 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.338$ news organizations to call in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- $00:10:45.338 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.758$ to get up-to-date information.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- $00:10:46.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.250$ They recall every bottle of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- 00:10:48.250 --> 00:10:49.740 Tylenol in the entire country,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- $00:10:49.740 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.738$ not just in the Chicago area.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- $00{:}10{:}51{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54{.}356$ And they introduce new tamper resistant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- $00{:}10{:}54{.}356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56{.}800$ packaging for all their products,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88609998
- $00:10:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.000$ not just for Tylenol.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9262218925
- 00:11:01.080 --> 00:11:02.144 I don't know if this is gonna
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9262218925
- $00:11:02.144 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.020$ work, but I'm gonna try it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.893613568571428

- $00:11:06.500 \rightarrow 00:11:07.655$ Can you guys see this on zoom?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.833858773333333
- 00:11:10.450 --> 00:11:11.518 I guess they can't answer it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8526549225
- $00:11:14.990 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.978$ We can see it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5325003425
- $00:11:21.150 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.270$ That's always good advice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840820171428571
- $00:11:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.367$ There we go. OK, there we go.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840820171428571
- 00:11:25.370 --> 00:11:26.900 Yeah. OK, great.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8995000166666667
- $00:12:49.050 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.676$ Alright, so we'll we'll stop there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- 00:12:53.480 --> 00:12:56.056 OK. So, so Jim Burke is out front,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00{:}12{:}56.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}57.280$ he's he's doing these things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00{:}12{:}57{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59{.}360$ And as I mentioned it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00:12:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.479$ it's very successful.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00:13:00.479 \rightarrow 00:13:03.920$ So it becomes a model for crisis management.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00:13:03.920 \rightarrow 00:13:05.864$ Tylenol sales as you might imagine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00:13:05.864 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.785$ plunged the 7% of the analgesic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00{:}13{:}07.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}09.675$ market after the crisis and then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00:13:09.675 \rightarrow 00:13:11.680$ within a year it's back to baseline.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00:13:11.680 \rightarrow 00:13:13.610$ So basically they are exceeding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00{:}13{:}13{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15{.}540$ Tylenol sales within a year.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00:13:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.330$ The following year Congress passes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00:13:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.820$ in part because of the work that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- 00:13:19.820 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:13:21.704 that he and and Johnson Johnson
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00:13:21.704 \rightarrow 00:13:23.456$ had done passes the Tylenol bill.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00:13:23.456 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.130$ Making it a federal offense to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00:13:25.186 \rightarrow 00:13:26.710$ tamper with consumer products.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00{:}13{:}26{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28{.}458$ A few years later,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00{:}13{:}28.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30.643$ FDA establishes federal guidelines for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00{:}13{:}30{.}643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32{.}504$ manufacturers of all products that are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00{:}13{:}32{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34{.}908$ sold in this way to be tamper proof.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00:13:34.910 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.944$ So it's it's really a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00:13:36.944 \rightarrow 00:13:38.660$ success story in this way.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438
- $00{:}13{:}38.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41.054$ But what I wanna focus on and what I'm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438

00:13:41.060 --> 00:13:41.788 you know, curious about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87481438

 $00:13:41.788 \rightarrow 00:13:43.597$ is there a way to get rid of this here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

00:13:49.000 --> 00:13:51.385 You know, I think what I want to focus NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:13:51.385 \rightarrow 00:13:53.862$ on is trying to understand, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:13:53.862 \rightarrow 00:13:55.317$ could this have happened today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:13:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.552$ or at least how different would it be NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:13:57.552 \rightarrow 00:13:59.773$ for for an organization or corporation

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:13:59.773 \rightarrow 00:14:02.161$ to go through something like this

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:14:02.223 \rightarrow 00:14:04.400$ and regain the trust of the public?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

00:14:04.400 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:14:06.136 And there's a few reasons that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

00:14:06.136 --> 00:14:08.058 it would be far more difficult than it

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:14:08.058 \rightarrow 00:14:09.623$ is far more difficult. The first is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:14:09.623 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.030$ as we'll talk about in a minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:14:11.030 \rightarrow 00:14:13.478$ the crisis of trust in institutions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

00:14:13.480 --> 00:14:15.272 And I should say, not just a crisis

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:14:15.272 \rightarrow 00:14:16.897$ of trust on part of the public,

 $00:14:16.900 \rightarrow 00:14:18.615$ but I think a crisis of trustworthiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:14:18.620 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.165$ On the part of corporations

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:14:20.165 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.710$ and some institutions as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

00:14:21.710 --> 00:14:23.738 Johnson Johnson itself recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

00:14:23.738 --> 00:14:26.160 reached a \$5 billion settlement

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:14:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.890$ for its role in the opioid crisis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00{:}14{:}28{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31{.}290$ So this isn't just a unidirectional

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:14:31.290 \rightarrow 00:14:32.090$ why don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

00:14:32.090 - 00:14:34.610 why doesn't the public trust these

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:14:34.610 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.290$ institutions or these corporations?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:14:36.290 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.135$ There's something going on in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00{:}14{:}38{.}135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39{.}980$ terms of trustworthiness as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00{:}14{:}39{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}41{.}815$ The second point is around

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

00:14:41.815 --> 00:14:42.916 deepening political polarization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

00:14:42.920 --> 00:14:44.724 And not just polarization,

 $00:14:44.724 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.077$ but negative tribalism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

00:14:46.080 --> 00:14:46.688 Negative polarization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:14:46.688 \rightarrow 00:14:48.512$ meaning you don't just like your

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:14:48.512 \rightarrow 00:14:50.459$ team and want to root for your team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:14:50.460 \dashrightarrow 00:14:51.700$ You hate the other team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00{:}14{:}51{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52{.}974$ and part of what you want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:14:52.974 \rightarrow 00:14:54.537$ do is see the other team lose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

00:14:54.540 - 00:14:57.516 not just seem at your own team win.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:14:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.640$ The third factor, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:14:58.640 \rightarrow 00:15:00.248$ is economic inequality and

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00{:}15{:}00{.}248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01{.}454$ worsening economic inequality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:15:01.460 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.140$ The feeling that a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:15:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.740$ people are being left behind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:15:04.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.220$ that their wages are stagnant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:15:06.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.422$ that the gains in the economy

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:15:08.422 \rightarrow 00:15:10.829$ are realized by a very small.

00:15:10.830 --> 00:15:12.186 Trust of society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:15:12.186 \rightarrow 00:15:14.898$ And that understandably creates a level

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00{:}15{:}14.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.658$ of resentment against people who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:15:17.658 \rightarrow 00:15:20.639$ perceived to be gaining a lot more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00{:}15{:}20.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}23.100$ and perhaps unjustly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:15:23.100 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.668$ The 4th factor I think is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00{:}15{:}24.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}26.179$ splintering of the medial ecosystem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

00:15:26.180 --> 00:15:27.916 So Jim Burke back in the day

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00{:}15{:}27{.}916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29{.}659$ would go and speak with three

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:15:29.659 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.519$ or four or five news anchors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:15:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.628$ And today that's completely different in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00{:}15{:}34{.}628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37{.}960$ area of Twitter and Facebook and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00{:}15{:}37{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40{.}605$ thousands of new media organizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00{:}15{:}40.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43.921$ and also the cratering of old

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00{:}15{:}43{.}921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46{.}225$ traditional legacy institutions that

00:15:46.225 --> 00:15:49.200 were based in local communities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00{:}15{:}49{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51{.}318$ a lot of new spapers that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:15:51.318 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.489$ kind of the heart and soul.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:15:53.490 \rightarrow 00:15:54.714$ Helping people understand what

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:15:54.714 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.550$ was going around on around them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:15:56.550 \rightarrow 00:15:58.302$ They have gone out of business

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:15:58.302 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.448$ over the past one or two decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

00:16:00.450 --> 00:16:00.695 Obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

00:16:00.695 --> 00:16:01.920 the rise of social media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00:16:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.306$ we'll talk a little bit about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00{:}16{:}03{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05{.}065$ All this creates an environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

00:16:05.065 --> 00:16:06.469 in which misinformation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.878141874285714

 $00{:}16{:}06{.}469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07{.}399$ disinformation can thrive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00:16:11.210 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.205$ OK, so let's talk a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00:16:13.205 \rightarrow 00:16:15.422$ about trust and step back and think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00:16:15.422 \rightarrow 00:16:17.360$ about why trust is so important.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:16:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.800$ So the first thing to recognize,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- 00:16:18.800 --> 00:16:20.585 I think, is that trust has elements
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- 00:16:20.585 --> 00:16:22.360 of both risk and vulnerability.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- 00:16:22.360 --> 00:16:23.816 Now, if you have nothing at risk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:16:23.820 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.997$ whether it's your reputation or your mental
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:16:25.997 \rightarrow 00:16:28.409$ piece or your health or your livelihood,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:16:28.410 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.842$ there's no reason to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:16:29.842 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.632$ trust that trust kind of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:16:31.640 \rightarrow 00:16:33.260$ You have to have some level,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00{:}16{:}33{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}35{.}409$ something at stake in order to trust
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:16:35.409 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.251$ that someone will treat you in a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:16:37.251 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.060$ way that you want to be treated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00{:}16{:}39{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}41{.}720$ Trust is also voluntary, so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- 00:16:41.720 --> 00:16:44.140 If you involve, if it's involuntary,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:16:44.140 \rightarrow 00:16:45.340$ it's really just dependency.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00:16:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.524$ So trust has to be given in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00{:}16{:}47{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49{.}380$ voluntary manner and it's prospective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00{:}16{:}49{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51{.}137$ It's an assessment of the way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00:16:51.137 \rightarrow 00:16:53.177$ things might go in the future as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00{:}16{:}53.177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54.712$ opposed to satisfaction or discontent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00{:}16{:}54.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56.100$ Those are appraisals of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00:16:56.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.480$ things went in the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00:16:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.416$ And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00{:}16{:}58{.}416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}59{.}976$ of course trust is mall eable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00{:}16{:}59{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00{.}688$ You know it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00{:}17{:}00.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02.676$ It doesn't take a lot to to destroy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00{:}17{:}02.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.920$ trust and it takes a lot to to gain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00:17:05.920 \dashrightarrow 00:17:08.350$ it back after it's been destroyed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00{:}17{:}08.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11.382$ OK, so here's a skeptical view by by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

00:17:11.382 --> 00:17:13.002 Doctor Edward Deming, statistician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00:17:13.002 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.010$ He says in God,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00{:}17{:}14.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.516$ we trust all others must bring data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- 00:17:16.520 --> 00:17:18.216 And I think as scientists, as doctors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:17:18.216 \rightarrow 00:17:19.915$ as clinicians, actually we, we,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:17:19.915 \rightarrow 00:17:22.769$ we tend to think a lot in this way and that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:17:22.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.610$ you know, we want to see the data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00{:}17{:}24.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.248$ before we we believe something.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:17:26.250 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.881$ But that's not actually the way I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00{:}17{:}27.881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29.479$ think most of society operates.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:17:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.526$ That's not even the way that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:17:31.526 \rightarrow 00:17:32.850$ we really operate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- 00:17:32.850 --> 00:17:35.523 So I think it's much closer to Kenneth era,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:17:35.530 \rightarrow 00:17:38.280$ the Nobel Prize winning economist conception.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:17:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.336$ Which is that virtually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- 00:17:40.336 --> 00:17:41.706 every commercial transaction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00{:}17{:}41.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43.286$ and I would say commercial,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

00:17:43.290 --> 00:17:44.748 social, medical transaction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00{:}17{:}44.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48.150$ has within itself an element of trust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

00:17:48.150 --> 00:17:49.570 Much of the economic backwardness

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00:17:49.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.703$ in the world can be explained by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00{:}17{:}51.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53.348$ the lack of mutual confidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00{:}17{:}53{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55{.}012$ So think about just something as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00:17:55.012 \rightarrow 00:17:57.207$ simple as going to get a haircut and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00{:}17{:}57{.}207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59{.}070$ how much trust is embedded in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00{:}17{:}59{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}01{.}502$ You don't even think about the millions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00{:}18{:}01{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03{.}630$ of little things that you are trusting in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00:18:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.272$ Maybe not millions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00:18:04.272 \rightarrow 00:18:05.426$ but at least dozens, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

00:18:05.426 --> 00:18:07.202 So you put your credit card

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00:18:07.202 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.629$ information into the Uber app.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00:18:08.630 \rightarrow 00:18:11.015$ We trust that it will be secure and private.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00:18:11.020 \rightarrow 00:18:14.076$ You call the Uber, you open the door,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:18:14.080 \rightarrow 00:18:15.380$ and some complete stranger asks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:18:15.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.940$ you to get in their car.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00{:}18{:}16{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17{.}700$ You get in their car.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- 00:18:17.700 --> 00:18:18.810 You trust that they're they're,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:18:18.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.160$ they're not going to kidnap you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00{:}18{:}20{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}22{.}323$ You then trust that the Department
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00{:}18{:}22{.}323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24{.}349$ of Motor Vehicles has given them a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:18:24.349 \rightarrow 00:18:26.350$ license and they are a sensible driver,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:18:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.574$ that they're not going to run red lights,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:18:27.580 \rightarrow 00:18:29.980$ they're not going to get into an accident.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:18:29.980 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.155$ You get to the haircut, the hair salon.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00{:}18{:}32{.}155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33{.}775$ You meet the Barber.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00{:}18{:}33{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35{.}058$ You never met this Barber before.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00{:}18{:}35{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37{.}195$ He's going to take scissors and wheel
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895
- $00:18:37.195 \rightarrow 00:18:38.808$ them within millimeters of your head.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

 $00:18:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.970$ He's not gonna you think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8844707895

00:18:39.970 --> 00:18:40.936 He's not gonna cut off your ear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

00:18:40.940 --> 00:18:43.228 He's not gonna harm you in any way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

00:18:43.230 --> 00:18:44.478 And then you give him some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

00:18:44.480 --> 00:18:47.248 some cotton in your pocket as some paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00:18:47.250 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.372$ and it's worthless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00:18:48.372 \rightarrow 00:18:50.990$ only that everyone believes that it's money.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00:18:50.990 \rightarrow 00:18:54.142$ And every the entire kind of economic system

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00{:}18{:}54{.}142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56{.}946$ depends on trust in the US dollar, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

00:18:56.946 --> 00:18:59.610 So. So. So I think we just don't even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00{:}18{:}59{.}678$ --> $00{:}19{:}02{.}366$ think about and assume all the ways in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00:19:02.366 \rightarrow 00:19:04.959$ which trust is really central to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00:19:04.959 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.570$ functioning of society and why it's so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00:19:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.470$ devastating that trust has declined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00{:}19{:}09{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}12{.}318$ So many different areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

00:19:12.320 --> 00:19:15.099 OK, so, you know, I think that,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- 00:19:15.100 --> 00:19:16.576 you know, trust can feel mushy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00:19:16.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.676$ it can feel complicated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00{:}19{:}17.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}19.641$ But I think it really comes down
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00:19:19.641 \rightarrow 00:19:21.249$ to three questions and the ability
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00{:}19{:}21{.}249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23{.}220$ to answer 3 questions for people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00{:}19{:}23.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24.516$ And I think about this in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00:19:24.516 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.380$ the patient care setting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00:19:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.174$ I think about this more broadly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00:19:27.174 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.780$ in the public health world.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00{:}19{:}28.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30.306$ So the first is this idea of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00{:}19{:}30{.}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}31{.}460$ competence you want to answer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- 00:19:31.460 --> 00:19:32.540 Do you know what you're doing?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- 00:19:32.540 --> 00:19:33.155 And you want.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- 00:19:33.155 --> 00:19:34.917 It's very hard to trust someone if you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- 00:19:34.917 -> 00:19:36.307 don't think that they're competent,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00:19:36.310 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.840$ that they know what they're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00{:}19{:}37{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40{.}297$ The 2nd is a question of transparency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00:19:40.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.433$ and it's this idea of will you tell me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00:19:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.055$ What you're doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

00:19:43.055 --> 00:19:44.285 you might know what you're doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00{:}19{:}44.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}46.327$ but if you're completely opaque about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00:19:46.330 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.430$ it's also very hard to trust someone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00:19:48.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.062$ And the third is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00{:}19{:}49{.}062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50{.}170$ are you doing it to help me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00:19:50.170 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.426$ or are you doing it to help yourself?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00:19:51.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.410$ It's a question of motive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00:19:52.410 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.010$ So I think competence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00:19:54.010 \rightarrow 00:19:55.028$ transparency, and motive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

00:19:55.028 --> 00:19:55.646 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00{:}19{:}55{.}646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}57{.}191$ I've I've recently done some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875

 $00:19:57.191 \rightarrow 00:19:58.532$ writing about vaccine hesitancy

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00:19:58.532 \rightarrow 00:20:00.482$ and why people are so hesitant.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- 00:20:00.490 --> 00:20:01.630 And there's all sorts of reasons,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- 00:20:01.630 --> 00:20:02.170 of course,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00:20:02.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.520$ but one thing that came
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00:20:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.457$ up a lot was this idea of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00{:}20{:}05{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06{.}417$ Pharmaceutical corporations making
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00:20:06.417 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.650$ a lot of money and pushing out
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00:20:08.702 \rightarrow 00:20:10.142$ these vaccines on people and and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00{:}20{:}10.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11.775$ you know that is something that is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00:20:11.775 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.483$ on the minds of a lot of people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- 00:20:13.483 --> 00:20:15.587 And so this idea of motive I think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00{:}20{:}15.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.635$ still is really important to think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00:20:17.635 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.015$ about as we're communicating
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8454613875
- $00{:}20{:}19.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20.847$ about science and public health.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667
- $00{:}20{:}23.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.288$ So let's talk about some very depressing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:20:26.288 \rightarrow 00:20:28.380$ slides about trust and institutions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:20:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.958$ The first one here is trust

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:20:29.958 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.010$ in the federal government.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:20:31.010 \rightarrow 00:20:33.116$ This is some polling from Pew.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

00:20:33.120 --> 00:20:35.480 It basically asked, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:20:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.062$ do you have, do you trust the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

00:20:37.062 --> 00:20:38.439 government to do what's right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:20:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.976$ at least most of the time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:20:39.980 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.360$ So as you can see in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

00:20:42.360 --> 00:20:43.040 Eisenhower administration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:20:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.051$ the Kennedy administration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00{:}20{:}44.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.073$ even the Johnson administration back then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:20:46.080 \rightarrow 00:20:48.096$ trust to for the federal government to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00{:}20{:}48.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.823$ what's right most of the time, 70 to 80%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:20:50.823 \rightarrow 00:20:52.667$ That has declined precipitously

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

00:20:52.667 - 00:20:56.660 over the past. 50 years.

 $00:20:56.660 \rightarrow 00:20:59.005$ There's obviously a partian aspect to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:20:59.010 \rightarrow 00:21:00.000$ So as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:21:00.000 \rightarrow 00:21:02.500$ you know when your own party is in power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:21:02.500 \rightarrow 00:21:03.888$ you're more likely to trust the government.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:21:03.888 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.120$ When the other party is in power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:21:05.120 \rightarrow 00:21:06.737$ you're less likely to trust the government.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:21:06.740 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.380$ The red squiggles are higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:21:08.380 \rightarrow 00:21:09.692$ during the Reagan administration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00{:}21{:}09{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11{.}230$ The blue struggles, squiggles are

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:21:11.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.760$ hired in the Obama administration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:21:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.772$ That all makes sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

00:21:13.772 --> 00:21:15.580 But I think what's important to note,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:21:15.580 \rightarrow 00:21:17.340$ and what's particularly damaging here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00{:}21{:}17{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20{.}736$ is that trust in the past when the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:21:20.736 \rightarrow 00:21:23.624$ party was in power was higher than trust.

 $00:21:23.624 \rightarrow 00:21:26.169$ Now when your own party is in power, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

00:21:26.169 --> 00:21:28.623 So Democrats under Eisenhower had way

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:21:28.623 \rightarrow 00:21:31.381$ higher levels of trust than Democrats

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:21:31.381 \rightarrow 00:21:33.806$ do under the Obama administration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00{:}21{:}33{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35{.}819$ Right at the lowest point of Republican

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:21:35.819 \rightarrow 00:21:38.009$ trust in the Johnson administration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

00:21:38.010 --> 00:21:38.324 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

00:21:38.324 --> 00:21:39.266 Remember Vietnam here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

00:21:39.266 --> 00:21:41.150 if you remember kind of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:21:41.211 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.479$ turmoil of that period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00{:}21{:}42{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}44{.}639$ the lowest point of trust among Republicans

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00{:}21{:}44.639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}46.577$ in the Johnson administration will still

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00{:}21{:}46.577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.607$ higher than Republicans trust in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00{:}21{:}48.607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}50.267$ government during the Trump administration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00{:}21{:}50{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}50{.}551$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:21:50.551 \rightarrow 00:21:52.237$ So this is something that has

- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667
- $00:21:52.237 \rightarrow 00:21:54.018$ a parse and valence to it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667
- $00{:}21{:}54.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57.863$ but but it's a it's a broad secular trend.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667
- 00:21:57.870 --> 00:22:00.145 OK, let's look at trust the media.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667
- $00:22:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.286$ Trust the media in 1976 was 72%.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667
- $00:22:03.286 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.666$ This is not a huge,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667
- $00:22:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.650$ a very high bar.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667
- $00{:}22{:}06{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}08{.}120$ How much trust and confidence you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667
- $00{:}22{:}08{.}176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09{.}856$ have in the mass media when it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667
- 00:22:09.856 --> 00:22:11.368 comes to reporting the news fully,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667
- 00:22:11.370 --> 00:22:12.483 accurately and fairly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667
- $00:22:12.483 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.709$ at least a great deal or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667
- $00{:}22{:}14.709 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16.647$ at least a fair amount.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667
- $00{:}22{:}16.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}18.198$ So just a fair amount is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667
- $00:22:18.198 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.230$ what we're looking at.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667
- $00:22:19.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.687$ Not even not a super high bar.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:22:21.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.674$ So in 76 it was 72% that doesn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00{:}22{:}24.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.546$ it's not totally surprising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:22:26.550 \rightarrow 00:22:28.216$ This was after Watergate kind of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

00:22:28.220 --> 00:22:30.380 You know the high point I think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:22:30.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.127$ of of American journalism today

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00{:}22{:}32{.}127 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}34{.}773$ that is down to about a third.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00{:}22{:}34.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}37.156$ So only about 1/3 of Americans have even

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00{:}22{:}37.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39.669$ a fair amount of faith that the mass

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00{:}22{:}39{.}669 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42{.}428$ media is doing fair and accurate reporting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

00:22:42.430 --> 00:22:42.884 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00{:}22{:}42.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.608$ there's a huge partian divide here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:22:45.610 \rightarrow 00:22:48.046$ And so what's interesting to note is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

00:22:48.050 --> 00:22:49.448 you know, even 20 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

00:22:49.450 --> 00:22:51.390 if you look back 1997,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:22:51.390 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.518$ nineteen 99, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:22:53.518 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.541$ 59% of Democrats said that they have a

00:22:55.541 - 00:22:57.266 fair amount of trust in the mass media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

00:22:57.270 --> 00:22:59.235 52% of Republicans not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:22:59.235 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.807$ huge discrepancy over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:23:00.810 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.226$ As you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:23:02.226 \rightarrow 00:23:03.642$ Democrats have actually developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00{:}23{:}03{.}642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06{.}392$ more trust in the mass media and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:23:06.392 \rightarrow 00:23:08.310$ Republican support has totally cratered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:23:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.622$ 11% of Republicans have trust

NOTE Confidence: 0.894100904166667

 $00:23:10.622 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.334$ in the mass media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82825464625

00:23:12.340 --> 00:23:13.864 And it's important to note that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82825464625

00:23:13.864 --> 00:23:15.214 independents are closer to Republicans

NOTE Confidence: 0.82825464625

 $00{:}23{:}15{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}16{.}639$ than they are to Democrats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:23:18.880 \rightarrow 00:23:21.020$ Alright, getting closer to home, science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

00:23:21.020 --> 00:23:23.802 So science is, is it's a good story right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

00:23:23.802 --> 00:23:26.757 So look back in 75,

 $00:23:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.432$ you know do you have quite a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00{:}23{:}28{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30{.}188$ of trust or a great deal of trust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

00:23:30.190 --> 00:23:31.333 confidence in science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

00:23:31.333 --> 00:23:33.619 70% of US adults say yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:23:33.620 \rightarrow 00:23:36.436$ now it's 64%, so not a huge decrement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00{:}23{:}36{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38{.}006$ This is on par with some of the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

00:23:38.006 --> 00:23:39.579 trusted institutions in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:23:39.580 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.720$ small businesses and the military.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00{:}23{:}42.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}45.758$ This is a little bit less satisfying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

00:23:45.760 - 00:23:48.196 So as you can see again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00{:}23{:}48{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}50{.}559$ what has happened here is that Democrats

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00{:}23{:}50{.}559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52{.}762$ and liberals now have more trust than

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:23:52.762 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.800$ they did 50 years ago, 40 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:23:55.800 \rightarrow 00:23:57.960$ Independents have declined modestly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00{:}23{:}57{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00{.}132$ Republicans have totally cratered a 30

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:24:00.132 \rightarrow 00:24:02.620$ point decrement in their trust in science.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- 00:24:02.620 --> 00:24:03.880 And I think what's important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- 00:24:03.880 --> 00:24:05.496 to note is back in 1975,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:05.496 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.276$ Republicans had more trust in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:07.276 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.700$ science than the Democrats.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- 00:24:08.700 --> 00:24:10.910 You 72% of Republicans say
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:10.910 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.328$ they trust science, only 67.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- 00:24:13.328 --> 00:24:15.504 Percent of Democrats, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:15.504 \rightarrow 00:24:16.592$ there's an interesting story
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:16.592 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.140$ to be told here in that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- 00:24:18.140 --> 00:24:19.140 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:19.140 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.928$ around that time there's a distinction I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00{:}24{:}21{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23{.}860$ think some sociologists have made between
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:23.915 \rightarrow 00:24:25.800$ production science and impact science.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.396$ So back in the post war era,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.908$ there's this huge swell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

- 00:24:28.908 --> 00:24:30.039 of production science,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:30.040 \dashrightarrow 00:24:30.926$ production scientists,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:30.926 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.584$ things that are thought to fuel
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:33.584 \rightarrow 00:24:36.242$ the economy that, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- 00:24:36.242 --> 00:24:39.647 influence our military might that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:39.650 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.630$ you know, are able to develop
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:41.630 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.190$ new technologies that might be.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:43.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.620$ Helpful and interesting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00{:}24{:}45.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47.400$ That overtime shifted somewhat.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- 00:24:47.400 --> 00:24:48.290 I mean,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00{:}24{:}48{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50{.}276$ that's still happening to impact science.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:50.280 \rightarrow 00:24:52.254$ What are the consequences of these things,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:52.260 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.725$ the most obvious one being
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00{:}24{:}53.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54.897$ something like greenhouse gases,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:54.900 \rightarrow 00:24:56.540$ but other things like pesticides,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:56.540 \rightarrow 00:24:57.026$ carcinogens,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:57.026 \rightarrow 00:24:59.456$ the unintended consequences of science.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:24:59.460 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.813$ As that happened,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:25:00.813 \rightarrow 00:25:03.618$ it ushered in also more regulation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:25:03.618 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.612$ and things that are kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00{:}25{:}06.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09.520$ anathema to conservative ideals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:25:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.780$ And so that I think is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:25:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.040$ is part of the story here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- 00:25:12.040 --> 00:25:15.460 In any case, huge partisan divide.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00{:}25{:}15{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16{.}900$ All right. Medicine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- 00:25:16.900 --> 00:25:17.336 OK.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- 00:25:17.336 --> 00:25:19.516 So confidence in medical leaders,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00{:}25{:}19{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21{.}288$ this is particularly distressing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:25:21.288 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.056$ So in the 60s,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00{:}25{:}23.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.819$ about 75% of Americans had great confidence.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128
- $00:25:26.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.014$ Today, that's about 1/3 great
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00{:}25{:}30.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32.550$ confidence in medical leaders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:25:32.550 \rightarrow 00:25:33.846$ How about doctors in your country?

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:25:33.850 \rightarrow 00:25:36.550$ So this is an international perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

00:25:36.550 --> 00:25:38.870 Switzerland, 83% of the Swiss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

00:25:38.870 --> 00:25:39.917 they're very trusting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:25:39.917 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.662$ strongly agree or agree that

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:25:41.662 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.230$ their doctors can be trusted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

00:25:43.230 --> 00:25:46.170 Britain, you know, comparator countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:25:46.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.082$ 76% US was down at 2424,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00{:}25{:}49{.}082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51{.}578$ so only less than six in ten Americans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00{:}25{:}51{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}53{.}170$ I think that medical professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:25:53.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.124$ can be trusted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:25:54.130 \rightarrow 00:25:55.890$ I want to throw in a caveat here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:25:55.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.018$ which I think is a reason for

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:25:58.018 \rightarrow 00:26:00.238$ hope and potentially a way that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:26:00.238 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.450$ can kind of rebuild some of this.

00:26:02.450 - 00:26:04.424 The US actually has very high levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00{:}26{:}04{.}424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06{.}118$ of satisfaction with your own doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

00:26:06.120 --> 00:26:07.400 So you may trust this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:26:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.918$ trust the medical profession in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00{:}26{:}08{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}10{.}320$ You may distrust healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00{:}26{:}10.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}12.854$ but they have among the highest levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:26:12.854 \rightarrow 00:26:15.056$ of satisfaction with your own doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:26:15.060 \rightarrow 00:26:16.756$ So it's kind of like people hate Congress

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:26:16.756 \rightarrow 00:26:18.379$ but they love their own congressmen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:26:18.380 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.962$ It's like a similar type of thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00:26:19.962 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.765$ going on here where people do trust

NOTE Confidence: 0.835102128

 $00{:}26{:}21.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23.379$ in the relationship they have with

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

 $00:26:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.438$ their own clinicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

 $00{:}26{:}24{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25{.}770$ And I think this gets back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

 $00{:}26{:}25{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27{.}030$ the power of relationships.

 $00:26:27.030 \rightarrow 00:26:29.065$ So both parties without parse

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

 $00{:}26{:}29.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30.846$ and differences, very high levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

 $00:26:30.846 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.456$ of trust and healthcare workers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

 $00:26:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.508$ That they personally know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

00:26:34.508 --> 00:26:36.842 And Even so, even though doctors

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

 $00:26:36.842 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.162$ in the United States generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

 $00:26:38.162 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.942$ may not have as high levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

 $00:26:39.942 \rightarrow 00:26:41.147$ trust as some other countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

 $00:26:41.150 \rightarrow 00:26:43.126$ and public trust and doctors and nurses are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

 $00:26:43.130 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.612$ is still much higher than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

 $00:26:44.612 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.990$ rest of the healthcare system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

 $00:26:45.990 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.475$ and they are among the most trusted

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

 $00{:}26{:}48.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50.529$ professions in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

 $00:26:50.530 \rightarrow 00:26:52.567$ So Kaiser last year did a poll,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

 $00:26:52.570 \rightarrow 00:26:54.325$ and this is a poll just of people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

 $00:26:54.325 \rightarrow 00:26:56.047$ are on the fence about vaccination,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218
- $00{:}26{:}56.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.797$ about COVID vaccination.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218
- $00{:}26{:}56{.}797 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58{.}853$ Where are you going to turn to figure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218
- $00:26:58.853 \rightarrow 00:27:00.949$ out whether you don't want to get vaccinated?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218
- $00:27:00.950 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.582$ By far,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218
- 00:27:01.582 --> 00:27:04.110 your own personal doctor or your own nurse,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218
- $00:27:04.110 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.019$ some healthcare provider?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218
- $00:27:05.019 \rightarrow 00:27:07.140$ About 8:00 and 10:00 of those people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218
- $00:27:07.190 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.003$ who who are have some level of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218
- $00{:}27{:}09{.}003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10{.}393$ vaccine hesitancy said that they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218
- $00{:}27{:}10.393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.079$ would return to their own clinician.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218
- $00:27:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.772$ So I just want to keep this in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218
- 00:27:13.772 --> 00:27:15.365 back of our mind as we're thinking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218
- $00{:}27{:}15.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17.264$ about ways to try to rebuild trust
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218
- $00{:}27{:}17.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.142$ or counteract some of the medical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218
- $00:27:19.142 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.232$ misinformation that's spreading.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866358169565218

- $00:27:20.232 \rightarrow 00:27:21.390$ Across the country.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00{:}27{:}23.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.476$ Alright, so kind of a summary slide here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00:27:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.980$ thinking about the consequences of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00:27:28.980 \rightarrow 00:27:30.980$ mistrust in American institutions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00:27:30.980 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.460$ Why is it so problematic?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00{:}27{:}32{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34{.}716$ I think at heart what it does is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- 00:27:34.716 --> 00:27:36.658 it creates a legitimacy vacuum.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00:27:36.660 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.420$ There is no objective
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00:27:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.620$ sources of truth any longer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00:27:40.620 \rightarrow 00:27:42.450$ There's a real fracturing of narratives.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00{:}27{:}42.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.481$ So because there's not a kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- 00:27:45.481 --> 00:27:47.312 centralized or several, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00:27:47.312 \rightarrow 00:27:48.575$ institutions that people say, OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- 00:27:48.575 --> 00:27:49.940 when I don't know what's going on,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00:27:49.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.200$ I can really trust this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- 00:27:51.200 --> 00:27:53.000 There's a huge fraction of narratives,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00:27:53.000 \rightarrow 00:27:53.311$ people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00{:}27{:}53{.}311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54{.}866$ Select their their media sources
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00:27:54.866 \rightarrow 00:27:56.690$ that tell them what they want,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00:27:56.690 \rightarrow 00:27:59.380$ or they simply, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00{:}27{:}59{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}01{.}116$ do what the people around them are doing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00{:}28{:}01{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}02{.}998$ And those people may be influenced
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00:28:02.998 \rightarrow 00:28:05.559$ by by kind of pernicious sources.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00:28:05.560 \rightarrow 00:28:07.296$ And then there's lack of shared purpose.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00{:}28{:}07{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08{.}725$ There's no coherent shared story
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00:28:08.725 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.808$ that people can feel good about that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00:28:10.808 \rightarrow 00:28:12.614$ they're part of some collective thing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00{:}28{:}12.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.034$ We're all part of the same project,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- $00{:}28{:}14.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}15.978$ and I think all these things
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- 00:28:15.978 --> 00:28:17.270 create an epistemic environment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- 00:28:17.270 --> 00:28:18.882 an environment of knowledge,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00{:}28{:}18.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}21.300$ and the transmission of information in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:28:21.363 \rightarrow 00:28:23.708$ which misinformation can really thrive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00{:}28{:}23.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25.492$ There's two authors that I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:28:25.492 \rightarrow 00:28:27.184$ want to highlight here who I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:28:27.184 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.504$ have a lot of respect for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:28:28.510 \rightarrow 00:28:30.208$ And what they're talking about here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00{:}28{:}30{.}208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31{.}770$ is not just that misinformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:28:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.966$ or bad information is out there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00{:}28{:}33{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35{.}805$ but actually the processes by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00{:}28{:}35{.}805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}38{.}346$ which a society comes to figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:28:38.346 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.565$ what is true and what is false.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:28:40.570 \rightarrow 00:28:43.307$ Those processes are what have been degraded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

00:28:43.310 --> 00:28:44.978 And so Jonathan hate here says

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:28:44.978 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.090$ in the righteous mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:28:46.090 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.910$ if you put individuals together in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:28:47.910 \rightarrow 00:28:49.697$ right way such that some individuals

00:28:49.697 - 00:28:51.611 can use their reasoning power to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:28:51.611 \rightarrow 00:28:53.007$ disconfirm the claims of others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:28:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.660$ and all individuals feel some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:28:54.660 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.796$ Common bond or shared fate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:28:56.796 \rightarrow 00:28:58.810$ allows them to interact civilly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00{:}28{:}58{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00{.}658$ And you can create a group that ends

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

00:29:00.658 --> 00:29:02.036 up producing some good producing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:29:02.036 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.476$ good reasoning as an emergent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00{:}29{:}03{.}476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04{.}949$ property of the social system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00{:}29{:}04{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}07{.}484$ So really talking about it's not your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

00:29:07.484 --> 00:29:09.898 own powers of reasoning but really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00{:}29{:}09{.}898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12{.}406$ this idea of almost peer review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

00:29:12.410 --> 00:29:13.040 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

00:29:13.040 --> 00:29:15.245 getting the best people to point and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00{:}29{:}15.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}17.317$ poke holes in other people's theories

 $00{:}29{:}17{.}317 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}20{.}069$ and that's how we kind of move forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

00:29:20.070 --> 00:29:22.350 Another Jonathan, this time Jonathan Rauch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

00:29:22.350 --> 00:29:23.730 who I also really like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:29:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.805$ He has this both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

00:29:24.805 --> 00:29:25.450 Constitution of knowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:29:25.450 \rightarrow 00:29:26.536$ Like if you haven't read it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

00:29:26.540 --> 00:29:28.740 I would highly recommend it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:29:28.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.196$ he says the reality based community is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00{:}29{:}30{.}196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32{.}324$ a is the social network and he he talks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:29:32.324 \rightarrow 00:29:34.100$ about social networks on the online sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:29:34.100 \rightarrow 00:29:37.034$ but but kind of in in person social networks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:29:37.034 \rightarrow 00:29:39.837$ which adheres to classical liberal science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:29:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.450$ not not not political liberal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

00:29:43.450 --> 00:29:45.898 Rules and norms, objectivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

00:29:45.898 --> 00:29:46.881 factuality, rationality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:29:46.881 \rightarrow 00:29:48.736$ they live not just within

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375
- 00:29:48.736 --> 00:29:50.220 individual minds and practices,

 $00:29:50.220 \rightarrow 00:29:51.312$ but on the network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

00:29:51.312 --> 00:29:51.858 So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:29:51.860 \rightarrow 00:29:53.568$ getting at this idea that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00{:}29{:}53{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}55{.}454$ we often think that we make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:29:55.454 \rightarrow 00:29:56.396$ decisions by ourselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:29:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.050$ that we understand the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:29:58.050 \rightarrow 00:29:59.700$ because we are researching things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00{:}29{:}59{.}756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01{.}618$ in in in an individualistic way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

00:30:01.620 --> 00:30:03.780 But actually it is the network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00:30:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.624$ the social milieu,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00{:}30{:}05{.}624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}09{.}130$ in which we kind of test different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8709995375

 $00{:}30{:}09{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}12{.}320$ theories and and iterate upon

NOTE Confidence: 0.88381571625

 $00{:}30{:}12.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}13.760$ what we know and what we don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88381571625

 $00{:}30{:}13.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}15.623$ That gets us to A to a better place.

 $00:30:15.630 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.544$ And this type of thing, he says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88381571625

00:30:17.544 --> 00:30:18.606 you know, applies not just to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88381571625

00:30:18.606 --> 00:30:19.530 science but to scholarship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88381571625

00:30:19.530 --> 00:30:20.577 journalism, government, law,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88381571625

 $00:30:20.577 \rightarrow 00:30:23.495$ these are these are kind of the reality

NOTE Confidence: 0.88381571625

 $00:30:23.495 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.985$ based communities that he's talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88381571625

00:30:25.990 --> 00:30:27.328 Alright, so that's kind of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88381571625

 $00{:}30{:}27{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}29{.}570$ I think the, the baseline in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88381571625

 $00{:}30{:}29{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32{.}268$ we're in a really bad place with the trust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88381571625

 $00:30:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.125$ trust in almost all institutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.88381571625

 $00:30:34.125 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.980$ that's created over the past

NOTE Confidence: 0.88381571625

00:30:36.045 - 00:30:37.749 few decades and now we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88381571625

 $00:30:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.151$ we have this rise of medical misinformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.88381571625

 $00{:}30{:}40{.}151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}42{.}188$ in part fueled by social media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:30:46.820 \rightarrow 00:30:49.788$ OK, first thing to note is that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:30:49.788 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.756$ information, we talk about it a lot now,

 $00:30:51.760 \rightarrow 00:30:54.179$ but it's actually a very, very old problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:30:54.179 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.671$ There's probably been medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:30:55.671 \dashrightarrow 00:30:57.807$ misinformation for as long as there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

00:30:57.807 - 00:30:59.397 been medicine in some capacity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}30{:}59{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02{.}704$ I came across this, this Bureau of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

00:31:02.704 --> 00:31:05.666 Investigation that the AMA opened in 1906,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}31{:}05.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.982$ and this Bureau was really dedicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

00:31:07.982 --> 00:31:10.272 to quote, exposing quacks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

00:31:10.272 --> 00:31:12.540 analyzing suspicious nostrums,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:31:12.540 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.150$ and alerting both the medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:31:14.150 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.438$ profession and the public.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}31{:}15{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}17{.}115$ To unscrupulous promoters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:31:17.115 \dashrightarrow 00:31:19.010$ So the language is a little antiquated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}31{:}19{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}20{.}571$ but the general themes are things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:31:20.571 \rightarrow 00:31:22.262$ that we want to be doing, I think.

 $00:31:22.262 \rightarrow 00:31:23.618$ And this Bureau was in existence

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}31{:}23.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25.286$ for six or seven decades, really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}31{:}25{.}286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}27{.}216$ And it published articles about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}31{:}27{.}216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}28{.}760$ deceptive medical claims that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}31{:}28{.}824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}31{.}008$ responded to questions from the public,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}31{:}31{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32{.}930$ worked with federal agencies like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:31:32.930 \dashrightarrow 00:31:35.220$ FDA and the Federal Trade Commission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:31:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.176$ and it's served as a resource

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

00:31:37.176 --> 00:31:39.038 for journalists who are writing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:31:39.038 \rightarrow 00:31:41.006$ about complex scientific issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}31{:}41.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}42.390$ They could call up this Bureau

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}31{:}42{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44{.}103$ and sign and get some one on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}31{:}44.103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46.420$ phone I was going back through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:31:46.420 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.359$ Some interesting articles

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:31:47.359 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.924$ that were published in Java.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:31:48.930 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.520$ This one was JAMA 1919.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:31:51.520 \rightarrow 00:31:53.008$ So a few years after this Bureau opened
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:31:53.008 \rightarrow 00:31:54.210$ and they published this article and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:31:54.210 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.750$ just to give you a sense of what,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:31:55.750 \rightarrow 00:31:56.986$ what what types of things that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:31:56.986 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.280$ they were doing at that time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00{:}31{:}58{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}00{.}015$ So this article started the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- 00:32:00.015 --> 00:32:01.056 propaganda for reform.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- 00:32:01.060 --> 00:32:02.656 This was pre World War Two.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- 00:32:02.660 --> 00:32:03.852 So propaganda just meant,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:32:03.852 \dashrightarrow 00:32:05.640$ you know information not not kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:32:05.699 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.559$ of what it means.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00{:}32{:}06{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10{.}080$ Now this is a mist or Murray's infallible
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:32:10.080 \rightarrow 00:32:13.242$ system tonic it could they did some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:32:13.242 \rightarrow 00:32:15.298$ evaluations analysis contains mercury,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00{:}32{:}15{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}15{.}592$ licorice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:32:15.592 \rightarrow 00:32:18.223$ And this guy got a fine for \$25

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

00:32:18.223 --> 00:32:20.555 Doctor Bedlington 6 Prairie

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

00:32:20.555 --> 00:32:22.322 herbs sold in Minneapolis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}32{:}22{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}23{.}898$ Government chemists reported that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}32{:}23.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}26.297$ the odor and taste suggested that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}32{:}26.297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28.212$ of highly diluted and sweetened

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}32{:}28{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}30{.}038$ whiskey also fine of \$10 here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:32:30.040 \rightarrow 00:32:32.560$ And this is the real ivermectin of the day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

00:32:32.560 --> 00:32:34.548 So Kilmer, Swamp root,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:32:34.548 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.536$ this cures Bryce disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

00:32:36.540 --> 00:32:38.340 acute nephritis, cancer of the liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}32{:}38{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}39{.}992$ acute and chronic gonorrhea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:32:39.992 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.644$ my favorite part etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:32:41.650 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.114$ So Phil and Phil had to have the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:32:44.120 \dashrightarrow 00:32:46.208$ the disease that you want to be cured here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:32:46.210 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.176$ He got a fine of \$150.00.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- 00:32:48.176 --> 00:32:49.128 So anyway,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:32:49.128 \rightarrow 00:32:52.452$ the point is people have been dealing with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:32:52.452 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.610$ this in one way or another for a very,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:32:54.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.843$ very long time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00{:}32{:}55{.}843 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58{.}309$ But of course it's a different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:32:58.309 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.230$ story with social media.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:33:00.230 \rightarrow 00:33:02.210$ Social media really has intensified,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- 00:33:02.210 --> 00:33:03.084 I think,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:33:03.084 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.958$ the reach,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:33:03.958 \rightarrow 00:33:06.143$ the speed and the consequences
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:33:06.143 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.910$ of misinformation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00{:}33{:}07{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10{.}598$ the kind of rate in which it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- 00:33:10.598 --> 00:33:12.190 accelerates information out into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00{:}33{:}12{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14{.}368$ the world with very little check
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735
- $00:33:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.479$ on on it before it goes out.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:33:16.479 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.431$ Into the eyes and ears of millions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:33:18.431 \rightarrow 00:33:20.335$ people is like nothing we've seen before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

00:33:20.340 --> 00:33:21.444 So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}33{:}21{.}444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23{.}652$ 70% of Americans use some form

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}33{:}23.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}25.140$ of social media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

00:33:25.140 --> 00:33:27.228 But I think that's quite a bit less

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}33{:}27{.}228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}28{.}997$ relevant than the idea that social

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:33:28.997 \rightarrow 00:33:30.785$ media actually has a huge influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}33{:}30{.}842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}32{.}756$ on our media and our politics

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}33{:}32{.}756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}34{.}032$ and our academic institutions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00{:}33{:}34{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35{.}925$ And so basically the information

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

00:33:35.925 --> 00:33:38.264 and the agenda setting that comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

00:33:38.264 --> 00:33:40.184 out of social media actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.84408735

 $00:33:40.184 \rightarrow 00:33:41.720$ trickles down to everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932552714166667

 $00:33:41.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.406$ whether or not you use social

NOTE Confidence: 0.932552714166667

00:33:43.406 - 00:33:45.250 media in some way or another.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- 00:33:47.980 --> 00:33:49.737 So I I want to be clear,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00{:}33{:}49{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51{.}954$ this is going to come off as as kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00:33:51.954 \dashrightarrow 00:33:53.977$ of a social media bashing lecture.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00:33:53.977 \dashrightarrow 00:33:56.513$ But but I don't think it's all bad.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00:33:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.236$ I think there are things that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00{:}33{:}58{.}236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59{.}963$ are important and that we need
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00{:}33{:}59{.}963 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}01{.}547$ to be careful about when we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00:34:01.547 \rightarrow 00:34:03.340$ trying to combat misinformation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00{:}34{:}03{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}04{.}704$ It does democratize discourse.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- 00:34:04.704 --> 00:34:07.060 People who did not have a say,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00{:}34{:}07{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}09{.}314$ who weren't able to express their opinions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00:34:09.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.020$ who couldn't get around the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00{:}34{:}11{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}12{.}380$ gate keepers of traditional media.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00{:}34{:}12{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}14{.}116$ This is a way that all those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00{:}34{:}14.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}14.860$ things can happen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115

- 00:34:14.860 00:34:16.060 People can get their views
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00{:}34{:}16{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}17{.}580$ out there in a way that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00:34:17.580 \rightarrow 00:34:20.148$ Never had the opportunity to before.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00{:}34{:}20{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}21{.}394$ On the other hand,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00{:}34{:}21{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}23{.}260$ I think it's important to recognize
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00{:}34{:}23{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}25{.}576$ that very few accounts can often
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00{:}34{:}25{.}576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27{.}076$ dominate an entire conversation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00:34:27.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.970$ So there's analysis in 2021,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00{:}34{:}28{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}30{.}530$ only 12 accounts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00:34:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.090$ The disinformation doesn't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00:34:32.090 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.490$ We're responsible for 65% of anti
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- 00:34:34.490 --> 00:34:36.090 vaccine information on Twitter,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00{:}34{:}36{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37{.}490$ Facebook and Instagram that year.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00:34:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.562$ So if you can just imagine that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00:34:39.562 \rightarrow 00:34:41.840$ think we are democratizing discourse,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00:34:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.126$ and in some ways we are at the same

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115
- $00:34:44.126 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.351$ time because of the virality of

 $00:34:46.351 \rightarrow 00:34:48.256$ the way that information spreads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115

 $00:34:48.260 \rightarrow 00:34:50.240$ This doesn't look very democratic either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115

 $00:34:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.354$ This is 12 people or 12 accounts

NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115

 $00:34:52.354 \rightarrow 00:34:54.317$ that are able to have a huge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115

 $00{:}34{:}54{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}57{.}842$ huge effect on an entire conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.89233115

 $00{:}34{:}57.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59.603$ around vaccine misinformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:35:02.310 \dashrightarrow 00:35:04.430$ So I think the hope is and I think you

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00{:}35{:}04{.}494{\:}-{:}{>}00{:}35{:}06{.}844$ know I have shared this hope and I I still

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00{:}35{:}06{.}902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}09{.}270$ share this hope to some extent that social

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00{:}35{:}09{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12{.}330$ media will be the two new town square.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

00:35:12.330 --> 00:35:14.706 It will be an online space or online spaces

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505225136666667

 $00:35:14.706 \rightarrow 00:35:16.966$ where people can connect with one another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:35:16.970 \dashrightarrow 00:35:19.084$ they can share their views and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00{:}35{:}19{.}084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}20{.}924$ can debate issues of importance and

 $00:35:20.924 \rightarrow 00:35:22.961$ these are all good things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00{:}35{:}23.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}25.126$ hope that that social media could be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:35:25.130 \dashrightarrow 00:35:27.218$ But I think the reality is much darker

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:35:27.218 \rightarrow 00:35:29.545$ and and the reality right now is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00{:}35{:}29{.}545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32{.}101$ the business model of a lot of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:35:32.101 \dashrightarrow 00:35:33.437$ platforms relies on advertising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505225136666667

00:35:33.440 --> 00:35:35.660 And selling of your data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:35:35.660 \rightarrow 00:35:38.876$ it rewards outrage at the expense of nuance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:35:38.880 \dashrightarrow 00:35:40.532$ It increases political polarization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:35:40.532 \rightarrow 00:35:42.184$ It increases confirmation bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

00:35:42.190 --> 00:35:44.164 You can always find what you want

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:35:44.164 \rightarrow 00:35:45.978$ and what your side is saying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00{:}35{:}45{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}47{.}260$ There's a tremendous amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:35:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.004$ of information overload.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:35:48.004 \dashrightarrow 00:35:49.992$ And so even if there's a lot of good

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00{:}35{:}49{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}51{.}252$ information out there and there's

- NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667
- $00:35:51.252 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.540$ some bad information out there,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667
- $00:35:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.818$ very hard to figure out what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667
- $00:35:53.818 \rightarrow 00:35:55.439$ is what for a lot of people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667
- $00{:}35{:}55{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56{.}720$ And there's a tremendous
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8505225136666667
- $00:35:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.680$ amount of harassment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667
- $00:35:57.680 \rightarrow 00:35:58.544$ including a physicians,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667
- $00:35:58.544 \rightarrow 00:36:00.560$ as we'll see in a couple slides,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667
- $00:36:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.005$ for people who share unpopular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667
- $00{:}36{:}02.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}03.450$ opinions that could be unpopular.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667
- $00:36:03.450 \rightarrow 00:36:05.466$ In any sense that you want to take it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667
- $00:36:05.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.522$ but particularly in this case for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667
- $00:36:07.522 \rightarrow 00:36:09.256$ physicians and other clinicians who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667
- $00:36:09.256 \rightarrow 00:36:11.086$ share pro vaccine messaging and they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667
- $00{:}36{:}11.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}12.818$ have been subject to tremendous
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667
- 00:36:12.818 --> 00:36:14.330 amounts of harassment online,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:36:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.150$ sometimes in person as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00{:}36{:}17.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}18.862$ So I think we all kind of have

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:36:18.862 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.418$ a general sense but but just to

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:36:20.418 \rightarrow 00:36:22.189$ kind of put a fine point on it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505225136666667

 $00:36:22.190 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.694$ during the COVID pandemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00{:}36{:}23.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.574$ misinformation has been tightly linked

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00{:}36{:}25{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}27{.}749$ to the use of unproven treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00{:}36{:}27.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}30.126$ It has been linked to non adherence to

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00{:}36{:}30{.}126$ --> $00{:}36{:}31{.}923$ public health mitigation measures and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505225136666667

 $00{:}36{:}31{.}923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34{.}209$ to high levels of vaccine hesitancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:36:34.210 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.968$ I came across a study recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

00:36:35.968 --> 00:36:37.540 which which was, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505225136666667

 $00:36:37.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.265$ incredibly distressing that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

00:36:39.270 --> 00:36:40.506 higher immunization rates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:36:40.506 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.557$ not 100% but just higher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:36:42.557 \rightarrow 00:36:45.011$ could have prevented between a third

 $00:36:45.011 \dashrightarrow 00:36:47.508$ and half of all US COVID deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850522513666667

 $00:36:47.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.847$ Over the past year and a half.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896268951428571

00:36:52.110 --> 00:36:53.370 Alright, So what to do about it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896268951428571

00:36:53.370 --> 00:36:54.885 Here's my comprehensive list of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896268951428571

 $00:36:54.885 \dashrightarrow 00:36:56.700$ things that I'm confident will work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017

 $00{:}36{:}59{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03{.}820$ But here are some things for discussion. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017

 $00:37:03.820 \rightarrow 00:37:05.800$ The the first is algorithmic adjustment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017

 $00:37:05.800 \rightarrow 00:37:08.032$ and we'll talk about that in the next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017

 $00{:}37{:}08.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}10.690$ The second is misinformation research

NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017

 $00:37:10.690 \dashrightarrow 00:37:13.989$ and a surveillance system to try to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017

 $00{:}37{:}13.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}15.678$ Respond quickly to misinformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017

 $00{:}37{:}15.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}17.366$ when it's breaking out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017

00:37:17.370 --> 00:37:18.575 The third is the training

NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017

 $00{:}37{:}18.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}19.539$ of medical professionals and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017

 $00{:}37{:}19{.}539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}20{.}790$ other public health officials.

- $00:37:20.790 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.430$ And the 4th that's really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017
- $00:37:22.430 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.086$ engaging communities.

 $00:37:23.090 \rightarrow 00:37:25.583$ And that gets back to this idea of trust

NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017

 $00:37:25.583 \rightarrow 00:37:28.048$ is fundamentally relationship based,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017

 $00{:}37{:}28.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}29.226$ and so it's it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017

 $00{:}37{:}29{.}226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}30{.}696$ While it's possible to gain

NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017

00:37:30.696 - 00:37:31.890 trust at a distance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017

 $00:37:31.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.976$ I think we're on surest footing when

NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017

 $00{:}37{:}33.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35.757$ we're working within our own communities

NOTE Confidence: 0.94640017

 $00{:}37{:}35{.}757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37{.}696$ and with people we know and meet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:37:40.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.040$ So algorithmic adjustment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}37{:}41.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}44.733$ So as we know in the past and and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.844816741111111

 $00:37:44.733 \rightarrow 00:37:46.813$ certainly for many platforms currently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}37{:}46.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}49.270$ their algorithms tend to promote

NOTE Confidence: 0.844816741111111

 $00{:}37{:}49{.}270$ --> $00{:}37{:}51{.}720$ more extreme and sensational content.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}37{:}51{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}54{.}216$ That's not surprising because that is

 $00:37:54.220 \rightarrow 00:37:56.170$ what we subconsciously or consciously

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}37{:}56{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58{.}861$ seek as people and it promotes user

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:37:58.861 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.220$ engagement and the goal is to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:01.220 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.528$ you on that platform as long as

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:03.528 \dashrightarrow 00:38:05.460$ possible and get you coming back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}38{:}05{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07{.}440$ There were some interesting work done

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

00:38:07.440 --> 00:38:09.640 by Zeynep Tufekci a couple years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.558$ She's a sociologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:10.558 \dashrightarrow 00:38:13.101$ Some of you may know what she would

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:13.101 \rightarrow 00:38:15.360$ do is she would basically start going

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:15.360 \dashrightarrow 00:38:16.970$ to YouTube and putting in a topic

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}38{:}16{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}18{.}727$ that she wanted to know more about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:18.730 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.200$ And what she found was when she

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.200$ put in a topic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}38{:}21{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22{.}688$ the next video that YouTube served

 $00:38:22.688 \rightarrow 00:38:24.478$ her up was always more extreme than

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:24.478 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.214$ the one that she had started with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:26.220 \rightarrow 00:38:27.710$ So she starts her vegetarianism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:27.710 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.910$ leads her down to veganism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:28.910 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.744$ leads her down to the Paleo diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}38{:}30{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33{.}032$ leads her down all the way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.844816741111111

 $00:38:33.032 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.121$ some crazy diets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

00:38:34.121 --> 00:38:36.707 She starts to talk about jogging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:36.710 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.589$ she enters jogging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:37.589 \rightarrow 00:38:39.347$ she wants to learn jogging techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}38{:}39{.}347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}41.066$ that goes down to ultra marathons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

00:38:41.070 --> 00:38:42.894 Within a few videos you put in Trump,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

00:38:42.900 --> 00:38:44.517 you get to some right wing conspiracy

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

00:38:44.517 --> 00:38:45.914 theories, you put Sanders in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:45.914 \rightarrow 00:38:47.930$ you go the other way and so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.526$ so this is what has been going

 $00:38:49.526 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.734$ on in a lot of platforms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:51.734 \rightarrow 00:38:53.564$ particularly YouTube in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}38{:}53{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}55{.}968$ And so the the broad idea is to design

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:55.968 \rightarrow 00:38:57.924$ algorithms that try to reduce the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844816741111111

 $00{:}38{:}57{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59{.}970$ visibility of misinformation and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:38:59.970 \dashrightarrow 00:39:01.630$ elevate high quality information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

00:39:01.630 --> 00:39:03.885 And YouTube actually recently partnered

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:03.885 \rightarrow 00:39:06.602$ with the National Academy of Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.844816741111111

 $00{:}39{:}06{.}602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}09{.}339$ to start to lay out some fundamental

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:09.339 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.919$ principles of what platform should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:11.919 \rightarrow 00:39:14.505$ doing to elevate credible health sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:14.510 \rightarrow 00:39:15.650$ These aren't groundbreaking principles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.360$ You want to be science based,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

00:39:17.360 --> 00:39:17.646 objective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39{:}17.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}18.504$ transparent and accountable.

00:39:18.504 --> 00:39:20.220 But I think it's a step,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

00:39:20.220 --> 00:39:21.212 at least at YouTube,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}39{:}21{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}22{.}452$ I think has recognized that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:22.452 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.990$ a lot of this is happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}39{:}23{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25{.}665$ They're working with the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:25.665 \dashrightarrow 00:39:28.159$ Academy and trying to to move forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}39{:}28.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}29.077$ I think there's a lot more work

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:29.077 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.879$ that needs to be done here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:29.880 \dashrightarrow 00:39:32.136$ but it's in the encouraging side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:32.140 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.460$ So one part of this is elevating credible

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}39{:}34{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}36{.}693$ information and the other part of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:36.693 \rightarrow 00:39:38.293$ is flagging and removing misinformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844816741111111

 $00{:}39{:}38{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}41{.}254$ And this often runs into a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.844816741111111

 $00{:}39{:}41{.}254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}43{.}160$ of arguments around free speech

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.720$ and if not the letter of the law.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.344$ You know,

 $00:39:46.344 \rightarrow 00:39:48.216$ these are in general private entities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:48.220 \rightarrow 00:39:50.362$ They can have whatever terms of use

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:50.362 \rightarrow 00:39:51.940$ agreements they want to enforce,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:51.940 \rightarrow 00:39:54.208$ but the spirit of free speech.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}39{:}54{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}55{.}562$ And that's what I think a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:55.562 \rightarrow 00:39:56.704$ people often say is that, look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:56.704 \rightarrow 00:39:58.832$ people should be able to say what

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:58.832 \rightarrow 00:39:59.750$ they wanna say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:39:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.800$ The best ideas went out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:40:00.800 \rightarrow 00:40:02.480$ This is a marketplace of ideas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:40:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.768$ I think for a number of reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}40{:}03.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.059$ that we can talk about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}40{:}05{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}06{.}788$ that that doesn't totally hold water.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}40{:}06.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08.380$ But that's kind of the argument.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:40:08.380 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.953$ In any case,

 $00{:}40{:}08{.}953 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}10{.}099$ I do think there needs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}40{:}10.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.277$ be a lot more thinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00{:}40{:}11.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}12.965$ a lot of discussions about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84481674111111

 $00:40:12.965 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.650$ the threshold at which we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:40:14.719 \rightarrow 00:40:17.359$ going to flag a claim to be misinformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

00:40:17.360 --> 00:40:18.527 Science changes quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:40:18.527 \rightarrow 00:40:20.861$ There's often a lot less certainty

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

00:40:20.861 --> 00:40:22.426 around all sorts of things

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:40:22.426 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.330$ than we would like there to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:40:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.776$ And so that does need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00{:}40{:}25.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}27.510$ be a topic of discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:40:27.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.370$ But the easiest thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:40:28.370 \rightarrow 00:40:29.930$ or the most straightforward thing I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:40:29.930 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.602$ is to start with material that is clearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00{:}40{:}32.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34.877$ pernicious and false and demonstrably so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:40:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.155$ and that even after warnings

- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- 00:40:37.155 --> 00:40:39.950 people refuse to take it down.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00{:}40{:}39{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}41{.}642$ So I think that's that's a place to start.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- 00:40:41.650 00:40:43.084 But again, these are the this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00:40:43.084 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.729$ type of thing I think is is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00:40:44.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.760$ is still in its early days.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00{:}40{:}47.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49.580$ The second topic is misinformation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00{:}40{:}49{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}50{.}660$ research and surveillance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- 00:40:50.660 --> 00:40:52.614 And so I think, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00{:}40{:}52.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54.156$ we hear a lot about misinformation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00:40:54.156 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.839$ that has fueled by social media.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00:40:55.840 \rightarrow 00:40:57.975$ But this is an incredibly new phenomenon,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00{:}40{:}57{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}59{.}636$ you know, probably in the last five years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00:40:59.640 \rightarrow 00:41:01.720$ certainly not more than the last 10 years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00{:}41{:}01{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}03{.}424$ it's really taken off.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- 00:41:03.424 --> 00:41:04.622 And so, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:41:04.622 \rightarrow 00:41:06.200$ it's often the academic thing to say is that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

00:41:06.200 --> 00:41:07.280 you know, we need more research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00{:}41{:}07{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}08{.}051$ That's always the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:41:08.051 \rightarrow 00:41:09.593$ the last line in your paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00{:}41{:}09{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10{.}698$ But I think in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00{:}41{:}10.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}12.488$ you actually do need more research

NOTE Confidence: 0.84945415277778

 $00:41:12.488 \rightarrow 00:41:15.140$ and we need to figure out exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:41:15.140 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.538$ how misinformation spreads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00{:}41{:}16{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}18{.}348$ We need to figure out what the health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:41:18.350 \rightarrow 00:41:19.436$ Social consequences are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:41:19.436 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.341$ but importantly we need to figure out how

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:41:22.341 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.490$ they vary by things like the platform,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84945415277778

 $00{:}41{:}24{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}26{.}926$ the country and the demographic group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

00:41:26.930 --> 00:41:27.750 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:41:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.630$ they do not have one set of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:41:31.630 \rightarrow 00:41:34.430$ effects for people with very high versus

- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00{:}41{:}34{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}36{.}777$ very low education levels or people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00{:}41{:}36.777 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}39.290$ in one country versus another or the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00{:}41{:}39{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}41{.}669$ effect of Twitter versus Facebook.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- 00:41:41.670 --> 00:41:43.231 And so these types of things I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00{:}41{:}43{.}231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}45{.}230$ think we we still need to tease out.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- 00:41:45.230 --> 00:41:45.872 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00:41:45.872 \rightarrow 00:41:48.440$ there are some things that people have shown.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00{:}41{:}48{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}49{.}454$ Can be effective.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- 00:41:49.454 --> 00:41:51.624 I think these aren't, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00:41:51.624 \rightarrow 00:41:53.659$ widely used things but in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00:41:53.659 \rightarrow 00:41:55.891$ studies exposing people to cross
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- 00:41:55.891 --> 00:41:57.298 attitudinal news outlets,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- 00:41:57.300 --> 00:41:59.840 meaning you know if you're, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00{:}41{:}59{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}01{.}640$ liberal seeing more of the conservative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778
- $00{:}42{:}01{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}03{.}578$ side of things and and vice versa
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:42:03.578 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.240$ that in other recent in other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

00:42:05.240 --> 00:42:05.896 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:42:05.896 \rightarrow 00:42:08.192$ prior research has not been as effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00{:}42{:}08{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10{.}424$ But at least some studies around social media

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:42:10.424 \rightarrow 00:42:12.577$ have shown that this this may be the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:42:12.580 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.492$ And then prompting users

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:42:14.492 \rightarrow 00:42:16.882$ simply to think about accuracy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00{:}42{:}16.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}18.415$ it seems to decrease their

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:42:18.415 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.635$ intention to share things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:42:19.640 \rightarrow 00:42:21.380$ Either without reading it or things

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00{:}42{:}21{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}23{.}791$ that they think might be kind of on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00{:}42{:}23.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}25.399$ fence about whether it's true or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00{:}42{:}25{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}26{.}624$ I do want to highlight this

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:42:26.624 \rightarrow 00:42:27.236$ that this organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:42:27.240 \rightarrow 00:42:28.560$ the social Science Research Council,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:42:28.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.440$ they recently, recently.

 $00:42:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.050$ Launched this project called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:42:33.050 \rightarrow 00:42:35.210$ Mercury Project last year and the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00{:}42{:}35{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}36{.}953$ idea is to fund a global consortium

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:42:36.953 \rightarrow 00:42:39.047$ of researchers to really examine the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84945415277778

 $00{:}42{:}39{.}047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}40{.}837$ causal effects of of misinformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00{:}42{:}40.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}41.836$ and potential intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:42:41.836 \rightarrow 00:42:43.538$ So I, you know, the hope is that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:42:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.990$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00{:}42{:}43{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}45{.}790$ in a few years we have a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00{:}42{:}45.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}47.591$ stronger evidence base to figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00:42:47.591 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.128$ out what to deploy to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00{:}42{:}49{.}128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}50{.}316$ to minimize the consequences

NOTE Confidence: 0.84945415277778

 $00{:}42{:}50{.}316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52{.}030$ and the harm of misinformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.849454152777778

 $00{:}42{:}52{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}53{.}450$ in the medical setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00:42:56.180 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.740$ You know, one of my colleagues,

00:42:57.740 --> 00:42:58.932 David Scales at Cornell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00{:}42{:}58{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}01{.}233$ he's done a lot of work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00{:}43{:}01{.}233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}03{.}915$ misinformation and thought a lot about

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00:43:03.915 \rightarrow 00:43:05.760$ a misinformation surveillance system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00{:}43{:}05{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}08{.}264$ And this is really modeled off a surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00:43:08.264 \rightarrow 00:43:10.578$ system for emerging infectious diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00{:}43{:}10.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}12.724$ And so the idea would be that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00:43:12.724 \rightarrow 00:43:14.892$ would observe in real time deviations

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00{:}43{:}14.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}16.857$ from routine levels of misinformation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00{:}43{:}16.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}19.457$ just like we do for infectious diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00{:}43{:}19{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}21{.}104$ You would be able to characterize

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00:43:21.104 \rightarrow 00:43:22.660$ and describe how the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00:43:22.660 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.084$ in this case misinformation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00{:}43{:}24.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}25.508$ is spreading through networks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00{:}43{:}25{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}27{.}660$ You would identify as super

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

00:43:27.660 --> 00:43:29.810 spreaders and super spreading events

 $00:43:29.881 \rightarrow 00:43:32.196$ and ideally then employ evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00:43:32.196 \rightarrow 00:43:34.048$ based methods for neutralizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00{:}43{:}34.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36.468$ those missing misleading messages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

00:43:36.470 --> 00:43:38.806 So I want to walk through kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00:43:38.806 \rightarrow 00:43:40.947$ one example of how this might work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

00:43:40.950 --> 00:43:41.524 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00:43:41.524 \rightarrow 00:43:43.246$ it certainly hasn't been deployed yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916392415

 $00{:}43{:}43{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}45{.}140$ but this this would be kind of the the idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00{:}43{:}47{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}48{.}756$ So here's the situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00{:}43{:}48.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}50.850$ The CDC comes out with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00:43:50.924 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.919$ report in September of 2020.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00{:}43{:}52{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}56{.}344$ It's a report of 314 people who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00:43:56.344 \rightarrow 00:44:00.058$ infected or part of the study in July 2020.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00{:}44{:}00{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}02{.}292$ 154 of them had COVID-19,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00:44:02.292 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.744$ 160 of them were controls and

 $00:44:03.744 \rightarrow 00:44:05.151$ they're trying to figure out what

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

00:44:05.151 --> 00:44:06.635 were the what were the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00{:}44{:}06{.}683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}08{.}195$ made some people more likely to get NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00:44:08.195 \rightarrow 00:44:09.981$ COVID and other people's people not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00:44:09.981 \rightarrow 00:44:12.315$ They come up with these, these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

00:44:12.315 --> 00:44:14.985 these, these two things which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

00:44:14.985 --> 00:44:16.358 not incredibly groundbreaking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00:44:16.358 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.214$ Having close contact with

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

00:44:18.214 --> 00:44:19.606 someone with COVID-19,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00:44:19.610 \rightarrow 00:44:20.996$ you're more likely to get it and

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00{:}44{:}20{.}996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}22{.}329$ going to bars and restaurants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00:44:22.330 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.655$ indoor settings where you can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

00:44:23.655 --> 00:44:25.563 wear your mask as much and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00{:}44{:}25{.}563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27{.}147$ there may not be great ventilation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

00:44:27.150 --> 00:44:28.270 As part of the study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

00:44:28.270 --> 00:44:30.230 they also ask people how how much of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- 00:44:30.230 --> 00:44:32.248 the time are you wearing your masks?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- 00:44:32.250 --> 00:44:34.356 Are you ask where are you not a mask
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:44:34.356 \rightarrow 00:44:36.396$ wearer what the people who got infected said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:44:36.400 \rightarrow 00:44:38.072$ you know, 70% of them said, look,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- 00:44:38.072 --> 00:44:40.124 we almost always wear our mask,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00{:}44{:}40{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}42{.}027$ 15% say we mostly wear our mask.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:44:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.998$ So together 85% say we we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00{:}44{:}43.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45.310$ mostly wear our mask.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- 00:44:45.310 --> 00:44:45.640 Obviously,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:44:45.640 \rightarrow 00:44:47.950$ close contact with someone in your home,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00{:}44{:}47{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}49{.}630$ you're not wearing your mask at that time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:44:49.630 \rightarrow 00:44:50.890$ obviously when you're eating a restaurant,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00{:}44{:}50{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}51{.}898$ not wearing a mask.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00{:}44{:}51{.}898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}54{.}774$ So, so, so that's kind of the deal here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00{:}44{:}54{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}57{.}618$ OK, so the federalist is an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00:44:57.618 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.037$ online conservative magazine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

00:44:59.040 --> 00:45:01.190 It's not incredibly widely read,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00:45:01.190 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.845$ but it is cited a lot by right of

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00{:}45{:}03.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}06.279$ center radio hosts and cable hosts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00{:}45{:}06{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}08{.}716$ They interpret this study as saying mass

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00{:}45{:}08.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}10.911$ and face coverings are not effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

00:45:10.911 - 00:45:13.077 in preventing the spread of COVID-19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00:45:13.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.796$ This was on October 12th, 2000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

00:45:14.796 --> 00:45:16.692 Twenty 85% of people who got

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00:45:16.692 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.060$ infected wear their masks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00{:}45{:}18.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.608$ Therefore Wawa masks are not effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

00:45:22.610 - 00:45:25.114 The next day, Tucker Carlson on his show,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00:45:25.120 \rightarrow 00:45:27.388$ which reaches 4,000,000 viewers every night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

00:45:27.390 --> 00:45:28.040 Almost everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00:45:28.040 \rightarrow 00:45:30.640 85\%$ who got the coronavirus in July was

NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

 $00:45:30.698 \rightarrow 00:45:33.306$ wearing a mask and they were infected anyway.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:45:33.310 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.785$ So clearly this doesn't work
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:45:34.785 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.869$ the way they tell us it works.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00{:}45{:}36{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}39{.}590$ Two days later, Donald Trump picks it up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- 00:45:39.590 --> 00:45:41.530 Did you see the CDC,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:45:41.530 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.230$ that 85% of people wearing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:45:43.230 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.590$ the masks catch it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- 00:45:44.590 --> 00:45:44.926 OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:45:44.926 \rightarrow 00:45:46.606$ I always have trouble imitating
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:45:46.606 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.614$ his sentence structure,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00{:}45{:}47.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}50.164$ but that's that's that's what he says at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00{:}45{:}50{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51{.}973$ this town hall reaches 13 million people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- 00:45:51.973 --> 00:45:52.194 OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00{:}45{:}52{.}194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52{.}857$ so we go.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00{:}45{:}52{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54{.}975$ In this tiny federalist magazine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00{:}45{:}54{.}975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}57{.}862$ online to 20 million people in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842

- $00{:}45{:}57.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59.938$ matter of three days because of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:45:59.938 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.610$ because of the way this was picked up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:46:02.610 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.498$ So the idea here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:46:03.498 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.830$ and this is this is David's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:46:04.886 \rightarrow 00:46:06.366$ work that I'm presenting here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:46:06.370 \rightarrow 00:46:09.142$ is to come up with an epidemiologic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00{:}46{:}09{.}142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}11.550$ model to counter misinformation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- 00:46:11.550 --> 00:46:13.046 So he says, OK, how would this work?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:46:13.050 \rightarrow 00:46:15.990$ So various sources would provide data feeds.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:46:15.990 \rightarrow 00:46:17.985$ These sources would be things like Google,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:46:17.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.486$ Facebook,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00{:}46{:}18.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}20.966$ other platform based monitoring tools.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- 00:46:20.970 --> 00:46:23.090 They would provide data feeds,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:46:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.890$ info demonologists.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:46:23.890 \rightarrow 00:46:26.290$ This is his version of Epidemiologists.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:46:26.290 \rightarrow 00:46:27.250$ Not the most catchy term,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:46:27.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.645$ but info demonologists,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00{:}46{:}28.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}30.505$ they integrate this information,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830399842
- $00:46:30.510 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.498$ they have their own on the ground kind of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00:46:33.500 \rightarrow 00:46:34.788$ People that they're talking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00:46:34.788 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.076$ to what they're hearing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00{:}46{:}36{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}38{.}824$ This is modeled off a program that exists,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00:46:38.830 \rightarrow 00:46:40.480$ the program for monitoring infectious
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00{:}46{:}40{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42{.}130$ diseases members of which the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- 00:46:42.181 --> 00:46:43.405 clinicians share information
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00:46:43.405 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.037$ through the Sentinel network.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00:46:45.040 \rightarrow 00:46:47.368$ You would spot something like the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00{:}46{:}47.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}48.920$ mischaracterization and the federalist
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00{:}46{:}48{.}979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}50{.}750$ this this paper here and you would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00:46:50.750 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.668$ see that and you and you would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00:46:52.668 \rightarrow 00:46:54.318$ see that it's starting to spread.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

00:46:54.320 --> 00:46:55.334 Use preemptive messaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:46:55.334 \rightarrow 00:46:57.362$ basically get the studies authors out

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:46:57.362 \rightarrow 00:46:59.078$ there reiterating their findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:46:59.080 \rightarrow 00:47:00.975$ dismissing this type of misreading

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:47:00.975 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.870$ of the information and you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}47{:}02.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}03.872$ Disseminate that information

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:47:03.872 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.208$ to community based info,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}47{:}05{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06{.}434$ demonologists and fact checkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}47{:}06{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}08{.}270$ This would all ideally happen before

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}47{:}08{.}317 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}09{.}865$ the President of the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}47{:}09{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11{.}532$ you know says that on national

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:47:11.532 \rightarrow 00:47:13.050$ television to 20 million people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}47{:}13.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}15.370$ That's that's kind of the idea you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

00:47:15.370 --> 00:47:16.960 whether or not something like this

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:47:16.960 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.097$ works I think depends a lot on whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:47:19.097 \rightarrow 00:47:20.633$ you have evidence based strategies to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00{:}47{:}20.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22.529$ actually counteract that type of thing.

 $00{:}47{:}22.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.170$ But at least knowing about it early on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}47{:}24.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}27.450$ having a surveillance system I think is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:47:27.450 \rightarrow 00:47:30.158$ interesting idea and potentially promising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:47:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.512$ OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}47{:}30{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}32{.}272$ So let's talk about training

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:47:32.272 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.976$ medical professionals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}47{:}32{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}34{.}360$ As I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}47{:}34{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}35{.}740$ physicians and nurses are

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:47:35.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.577$ still among the most trusted

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}47{:}37{.}577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}39{.}377$ professions in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:47:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.005$ We obviously received very little

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}47{:}42.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.492$ information about techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}47{:}43.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}46.084$ on how to address false claims

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:47:46.084 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.199$ either online or in person.

 $00:47:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.700$ That is starting to change

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

00:47:49.700 --> 00:47:50.600 at Duke University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

00:47:50.600 --> 00:47:51.662 I think has one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:47:51.662 \rightarrow 00:47:52.193$ most interesting programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}47{:}52.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}54.280$ They have a program specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}47{:}54{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55{.}944$ dedicated to training clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:47:55.944 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.260$ on how to address misinformation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:47:58.260 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.580$ how to engage with patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}47{:}59{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}01{.}752$ You have beliefs that are informed

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

00:48:01.752 --> 00:48:03.928 by poor quality information and so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}48{:}03{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05{.}809$ think this is a type of model that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}48{:}05{.}809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07{.}685$ I think could be could be scaled

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}48{:}07.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}11.638$ to other institutions as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

00:48:11.640 --> 00:48:14.052 One thing I want to note is that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}48{:}14.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}16.656$ also important to think about how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:48:16.656 \rightarrow 00:48:18.580$ support clinicians who who speak out.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- 00:48:18.580 --> 00:48:19.884 I was, I was shocked when I saw
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00:48:19.884 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.840$ some of these numbers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- 00:48:20.840 --> 00:48:21.824 But, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00:48:21.824 \rightarrow 00:48:23.136$ one in four physicians,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- 00:48:23.140 --> 00:48:24.420 according to some surveys,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00{:}48{:}24{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}26{.}340$ have been attacked on social media.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- 00:48:26.340 --> 00:48:28.776 The most common reason is vaccine advocacy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00{:}48{:}28{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31{.}412$ One in six female physicians have been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00{:}48{:}31{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}33{.}440$ sexually harassed on social media.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00:48:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.282$ And 2/3 of physicians who are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00:48:35.282 \rightarrow 00:48:36.956$ interviewed in media outlets about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- 00:48:36.956 --> 00:48:38.896 COVID-19 report being attacked online.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- 00:48:38.900 --> 00:48:40.520 Even if you say, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00{:}48{:}40{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41{.}472$ these are high numbers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- $00:48:41.472 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.662$ We take half of that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

00:48:42.670 --> 00:48:44.122 That's still incredibly alarming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}48{:}44{.}122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}47{.}124$ And so there are now these groups that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:48:47.124 \rightarrow 00:48:49.410$ are forming that are basically groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:48:49.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.715$ of clinicians who can come to your

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}48{:}51{.}715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}53{.}480$ aid if you're being attacked online

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:48:53.480 \rightarrow 00:48:55.720$ and and they kind of descend and they're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:48:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.960$ they're supposed to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:48:56.960 \rightarrow 00:48:58.721$ support you on online and kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}48{:}58{.}721 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}00{.}119$ develop a little bit of cocoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:49:00.120 \rightarrow 00:49:04.176$ That is something that is happening as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:49:04.180 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.650$ And the last point here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00{:}49{:}05{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}06{.}385$ around engaging communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

00:49:06.390 --> 00:49:08.236 And I think this is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:49:08.236 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.668$ a really fundamental point

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:49:09.668 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.100$ for all of healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:49:11.100 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.848$ but also for misinformation

- NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375
- 00:49:12.848 --> 00:49:14.596 in particular and and,

00:49:14.600 --> 00:49:15.234 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:49:15.234 \rightarrow 00:49:16.819$ really engaging with community leaders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:49:16.820 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.645$ And that's particularly true of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80962005375

 $00:49:19.645 \rightarrow 00:49:22.470$ communities that have been systemically

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}49{:}22.551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.699$ targeted by misinformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

00:49:24.700 --> 00:49:26.516 You know, in New York and Rockland County,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

00:49:26.520 --> 00:49:29.236 New York has a large Jewish community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

00:49:29.240 --> 00:49:30.674 Orthodox Jewish community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:49:30.674 \rightarrow 00:49:33.064$ It has been targeted by

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:49:33.064 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.020$ vaccine misinformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

00:49:34.020 --> 00:49:37.330 For years now we had our first case of polio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:49:37.330 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.106$ paralytic polio in the United States and in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}49{:}40{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}41{.}622$ in, in, in years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}49{:}41.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}44.824$ And so this is an example that you

 $00:49:44.824 \rightarrow 00:49:47.764$ can see over the past decade what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:49:47.770 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.780$ what, what type of Flyers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:49:48.780 \rightarrow 00:49:51.004$ what type of information has been going into

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:49:51.004 \rightarrow 00:49:52.786$ this Community and the end result being,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}49{:}52.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54.296$ you know the first case of

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}49{:}54.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}55.990$ paralytic polio in in a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

00:49:55.990 --> 00:49:58.020 And so working with community

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:49:58.020 \rightarrow 00:49:59.644$ leaders who have respect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}49{:}59.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00{.}934$ who have relationships within

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}50{:}00{.}934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02{.}218$ those communities I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:50:02.218 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.068$ is is a really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:50:04.070 \rightarrow 00:50:05.350$ Part of countering misinformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}50{:}05{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07{.}961$ It doesn't have this kind of national scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:50:07.961 \rightarrow 00:50:10.173$ that we always want with with everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

00:50:10.180 --> 00:50:11.384 But I think it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:50:11.384 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.612$ it has a more more promise than

 $00:50:13.612 \rightarrow 00:50:16.055$ than some of those things as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

00:50:16.060 --> 00:50:16.316 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}50{:}16.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}16.700$ I want to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:50:16.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.479$ I want to note one thing here

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:50:19.479 \rightarrow 00:50:21.340$ around health systems and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:50:21.340 \rightarrow 00:50:22.800$ role in engaging communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

00:50:22.800 --> 00:50:25.416 Where I train at MGH during the pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:50:25.420 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.328$ they started virtual town halls where

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}50{:}27{.}328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}29{.}414$ they were just answering questions about

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:50:29.414 \rightarrow 00:50:31.319$ basic things that community members,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

00:50:31.320 -> 00:50:33.096 patients were interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}50{:}33.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}34.428$ wanted more information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:50:34.430 \rightarrow 00:50:37.208$ Out and they've continued those overtime

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}50{:}37{.}208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39{.}980$ and some of them are now hybrid town halls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}50{:}39{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}42{.}284$ But that type of thing I think lets

 $00{:}50{:}42.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}44.372$ you develop the type of relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}50{:}44{.}372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}46{.}544$ with the local community that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

00:50:46.605 --> 00:50:48.320 someone hears something online but NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:50:48.320 \rightarrow 00:50:50.634$ they know a doctor or a nurse or

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}50{:}50{.}634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}52{.}020$ a clinician at a health system or

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:50:52.068 \rightarrow 00:50:53.472$ they've been going to these town NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}50{:}53{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}55{.}333$ halls for some time and it's totally

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:50:55.333 \rightarrow 00:50:56.793$ different from what they're saying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:50:56.800 \rightarrow 00:50:58.408$ I think it provides an opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}50{:}58{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}59{.}480$ to to engage them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00{:}50{:}59{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}00{.}955$ but also provides an opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

00:51:00.955 --> 00:51:02.987 to gain the trust that you need

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:51:02.987 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.620$ to pre bunk claims, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:51:04.620 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.880$ Debunked by pre bunk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

00:51:05.880 --> 00:51:07.905 Before even people are exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231

 $00:51:07.905 \rightarrow 00:51:09.120$ to certain things,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231
- $00:51:09.120 \dashrightarrow 00:51:10.975$ tell them about the techniques
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231
- 00:51:10.975 --> 00:51:13.120 that people who are, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231
- $00:51:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.780$ misinterpreting studies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231
- $00:51:13.780 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.440$ For example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231
- $00:51:14.440 \rightarrow 00:51:16.030$ tell them about the techniques that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231
- $00{:}51{:}16.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}17.810$ are used to try to misrepresent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882126410769231
- $00{:}51{:}17{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}19{.}130$ data that's out there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375
- 00:51:21.890 --> 00:51:23.998 OK. So we covered a lot of ground, I think.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375
- 00:51:23.998 --> 00:51:25.776 But I just wanted to kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375
- $00:51:25.776 \rightarrow 00:51:27.605$ summarize by saying trust has fallen
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375
- $00:51:27.605 \rightarrow 00:51:29.610$ precipitously in the past few decades.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375
- $00{:}51{:}29{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}31{.}660$ That has created an information
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375
- $00{:}51{:}31{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33{.}300$ environment and ecosystem that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375
- 00:51:33.300 --> 00:51:35.290 is ripe for misinformation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375
- $00:51:35.290 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.650$ which has been supercharged
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375

 $00:51:36.650 \rightarrow 00:51:38.010$ by social media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375

00:51:38.010 --> 00:51:39.966 has all sorts of harmful social,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375

 $00{:}51{:}39{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}41{.}754$ economic and health consequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375

 $00{:}51{:}41{.}754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}45{.}221$ And there's a few strategies that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375

 $00{:}51{:}45{.}221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}47{.}584$ we should start thinking about to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375

 $00{:}51{:}47{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49{.}246$ to combat some of that misinformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375

00:51:49.250 --> 00:51:51.014 Again, I want to thank you for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375

 $00:51:51.020 \rightarrow 00:51:51.970$ Allowing me to come back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375

00:51:51.970 --> 00:51:53.074 And it's always a real pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.82410015375

 $00:51:53.074 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.060$ to be here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88532226625

 $00:52:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.212$ Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88532226625

 $00{:}52{:}07{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}08{.}124$ Truth that was terrific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88532226625

 $00:52:08.130 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.666$ Now just to let you folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.88532226625

 $00:52:09.666 \rightarrow 00:52:11.080$ know what we're going to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88532226625

00:52:11.080 --> 00:52:12.285 we're going to keep going

NOTE Confidence: 0.88532226625

 $00{:}52{:}12.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13.008$ until 1:00 o'clock.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.88532226625
- 00:52:13.010 > 00:52:15.314 So if you've been watching and I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88532226625
- $00:52:15.314 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.306$ think that's been like that since
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88532226625
- 00:52:17.306 --> 00:52:18.980 since 2003 years, but anyway,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6542114075
- 00:52:19.990 --> 00:52:20.738 while I was here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749933578
- $00:52:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.690$ it was still like that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.745374855
- $00:52:21.700 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.790$ Yeah. So time stands still
- NOTE Confidence: 0.745374855
- $00:52:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.880$ here at the Alliance center.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.745374855
- $00:52:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.420$ What we're gonna do now is we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.745374855
- $00:52:27.420 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.919$ will drive and I'll have a seat
- NOTE Confidence: 0.745374855
- $00:52:28.919 \dashrightarrow 00:52:30.650$ and I ask you to raise your hand.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.745374855
- 00:52:30.650 --> 00:52:31.586 I'll moderate a conversation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.745374855
- $00{:}52{:}31{.}586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32{.}990$ And for the folks who are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.745374855
- 00:52:33.036 --> 00:52:34.504 watching on zoom, if you can,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.745374855
- $00{:}52{:}34{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}36{.}240$ please send in questions so that you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.745374855
- $00{:}52{:}36{.}298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}38{.}069$ have might have or comments on the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.745374855

 $00{:}52{:}38.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}40.054$ QA portion and I'll look at that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.745374855

 $00{:}52{:}40{.}054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}43{.}940$ I'll read some of those to drove as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745374855

 $00:52:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.123$ So we're going to let me get

NOTE Confidence: 0.745374855

 $00:52:45.123 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.179$ hooked up with the mic here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745374855

 $00:52:46.180 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.440$ Ohh, just to let you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745374855

 $00:52:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.190$ By the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80616685

 $00:52:48.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.328$ before I forget, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.92840706

 $00:52:50.340 \rightarrow 00:52:53.286$ have plenty of extra sandwiches, so please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92840706

 $00{:}52{:}53.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}56.075$ Take one home for your loved one or for

NOTE Confidence: 0.92840706

 $00:52:56.075 \rightarrow 00:52:58.700$ your lunch tomorrow or something like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92840706

 $00{:}52{:}58{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00{.}060$ And for those of you who are zooming

NOTE Confidence: 0.92840706

 $00{:}53{:}00{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}01{.}525$ in and join us in two weeks when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92840706

 $00{:}53{:}01{.}525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}03{.}065$ can get a free sandwich on top of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92840706

 $00{:}53{:}03.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}05.420$ everything else and all this fellowship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92840706

 $00{:}53{:}05{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06{.}092$ have a seat through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92840706

 $00:53:06.092 \rightarrow 00:53:07.190$ We're going to get started.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.785623825
- 00:53:21.090 --> 00:53:25.440 All right, am I? Am I working?

00:53:25.440 --> 00:53:27.080 Yep. Terrific. Ben. Karen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785623825

 $00:53:27.080 \rightarrow 00:53:29.726$ if you've got the mic ready, Ben,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785623825

 $00:53:29.726 \rightarrow 00:53:31.214$ I think has something he wants to ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843740835

 $00:53:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.500$ What's that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.802159811666667

 $00:53:40.780 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.971$ So, so thank you for a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8021598116666667

 $00:53:42.971 \rightarrow 00:53:44.729$ chilling and and great talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802159811666667

 $00:53:44.730 \rightarrow 00:53:47.655$ You know at the beginning you pointed

NOTE Confidence: 0.802159811666667

 $00:53:47.655 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.530$ to a constellation of potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.802159811666667

 $00{:}53{:}49{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}51{.}912$ causes and I mean you know there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8021598116666667

 $00{:}53{:}51{.}912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}54{.}239$ I can see many of them are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.802159811666667

 $00{:}53{:}54{.}239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}56{.}084$ plausible during your talk you

NOTE Confidence: 0.802159811666667

 $00:53:56.084 \dashrightarrow 00:54:00.030$ really drilled in on social media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802159811666667

00:54:00.030 --> 00:54:01.710 I'm curious, do you think that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.802159811666667

 $00:54:01.710 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.742$ the the primary cause of this?

 $00:54:03.742 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.910$ The levels of mistrust?

NOTE Confidence: 0.802159811666667

 $00{:}54{:}04{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}07{.}450$ It seems like you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802159811666667

 $00{:}54{:}07{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09{.}510$ It precedes the development of

NOTE Confidence: 0.802159811666667

 $00{:}54{:}09{.}510$ --> $00{:}54{:}12{.}025$ social media and I guess I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.802159811666667

 $00:54:12.025 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.275$ I'm left wondering if it's if

NOTE Confidence: 0.802159811666667

 $00{:}54{:}14.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}15.898$ that's a marginal contributor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8021598116666667

 $00{:}54{:}15{.}898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}18{.}810$ And we don't address the like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.802159811666667

 $00:54:18.884 \rightarrow 00:54:22.640$ fundamental underlying causes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802159811666667

 $00{:}54{:}22.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}25.048$ Playing with social media may be just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8021598116666667

 $00:54:25.048 \rightarrow 00:54:27.059$ sort of making marginal differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8021598116666667

 $00{:}54{:}27.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}29.329$ and in the like fundamental

NOTE Confidence: 0.85371607

00:54:29.340 --> 00:54:30.265 problem, yeah, I mean I

NOTE Confidence: 0.85371607

 $00:54:30.265 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.622$ think it's a great point. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85371607

00:54:31.622 --> 00:54:34.805 So I'm not or I'm not trying to argue

NOTE Confidence: 0.85371607

 $00:54:34.805 \rightarrow 00:54:37.248$ that that social media has contributed to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85371607

 $00:54:37.248 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.700$ to mistrust necessarily.

00:54:38.700 - 00:54:39.980 I think all those that are saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.85371607

 $00{:}54{:}39{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}41{.}785$ all those trends preceded social

NOTE Confidence: 0.85371607

 $00{:}54{:}41{.}785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}44{.}380$ media by by decades in some cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85371607

 $00{:}54{:}44{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}46{.}504$ I do think it's the case that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85371607

 $00{:}54{:}46{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}48{.}436$ lot of the misinformation and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85371607

 $00{:}54{:}48{.}436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}50{.}416$ speed at which misinformation can

NOTE Confidence: 0.85371607

 $00:54:50.416 \rightarrow 00:54:52.954$ spread is fueled by social media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85371607

 $00{:}54{:}52{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}55{.}210$ And so I think the environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.85371607

 $00{:}54{:}55{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56{.}335$ that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8798670475

 $00{:}54{:}56{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}57{.}720$ because of these forces

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453788166666667

 $00:54:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.390$ whether it's political polarization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8453788166666667

00:54:59.390 --> 00:55:01.770 economic instability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772650836

 $00:55:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.130$ you know secular distrust in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772650836

 $00{:}55{:}05{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}07{.}794$ in, in institutions that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.772650836

 $00{:}55{:}07{.}794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}10{.}064$ creates an environment in which

 $00:55:10.064 \rightarrow 00:55:12.554$ people don't know what to think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772650836

 $00:55:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.880$ they don't know who to trust

NOTE Confidence: 0.7826958125

 $00{:}55{:}14{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}16{.}018$ and they turn to

NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976

 $00:55:16.210 \rightarrow 00:55:18.914$ alternative sources for information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976

 $00:55:18.914 \rightarrow 00:55:21.360$ information. And you could imagine a world

NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976

 $00:55:21.360 \rightarrow 00:55:23.768$ without social media in which you would just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976

00:55:23.770 --> 00:55:25.282 Newspapers and magazines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976

 $00:55:25.282 \rightarrow 00:55:28.306$ and maybe even newspapers and magazines

NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976

 $00{:}55{:}28.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}30.113$ that peddled miss misinformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976

 $00{:}55{:}30{.}113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31{.}928$ and disinformation and you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976

 $00:55:31.928 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.069$ still go to your own outlet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976

 $00:55:34.070 \rightarrow 00:55:37.402$ I think that would have the virality

NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976

 $00{:}55{:}37{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}41{.}188$ of spread that social media allows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976

00:55:41.190 --> 00:55:43.050 I think still someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976

 $00:55:43.050 \rightarrow 00:55:45.840$ would need to go to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976

 $00:55:45.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.680$ You know this particular outlet

- NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976
- $00:55:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.152$ to get that information,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976
- $00{:}55{:}49{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}51{.}344$ whereas I think social media kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976
- $00:55:51.344 \rightarrow 00:55:53.084$ of supercharges that and not only,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976
- $00:55:53.084 \rightarrow 00:55:54.951$ even if you don't see it on social media,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976
- 00:55:54.951 --> 00:55:56.636 you know Tucker Carlson seeing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976
- $00:55:56.636 \rightarrow 00:55:59.062$ it on social media or Trump or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.706291976
- $00{:}55{:}59{.}062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}02{.}030$ someone else who then is able to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8563933266666667
- $00:56:03.180 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.770$ You know, use it to their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714
- $00{:}56{:}04.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}06.957$ political benefit or whatever it might be.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714
- $00:56:06.960 \dashrightarrow 00:56:08.618$ But I do take your point in that social
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714
- $00:56:08.618 \rightarrow 00:56:10.008$ media is certainly not everything.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714
- $00{:}56{:}10.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}12.035$ I mean this talk was focused on social media,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714
- $00:56:12.040 \rightarrow 00:56:14.692$ but you know, even in this example, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714
- 00:56:14.692 --> 00:56:17.152 I mean it was, it was Fox News,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714
- $00{:}56{:}17.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}19.267$ a very mainstream organization that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714

 $00:56:19.267 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.456$ ultimately took it from what it was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714

 $00:56:21.456 \rightarrow 00:56:24.399$ to to to millions and millions of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714

 $00:56:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.536$ So I think it's part of the story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714

 $00:56:26.540 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.664$ I think we would be in a much better

NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714

 $00{:}56{:}28.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}30.378$ place if we could reduce the level

NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714

 $00{:}56{:}30{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}31{.}708$ of misinformation on social media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714

00:56:31.710 --> 00:56:32.540 But I think you're right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714

 $00:56:32.540 \rightarrow 00:56:33.206$ I mean the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714

 $00{:}56{:}33.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}37.720$ Issues around mistrust and distrust and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714

 $00{:}56{:}37{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}39{.}100$ And other forms of misinformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.826439444285714

 $00{:}56{:}39{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}40{.}910$ I think would would still persist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00{:}56{:}42{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}47{.}430$ Jack, please. I drew that was great a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00{:}56{:}47{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}50{.}580$ number of people have have attributed at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00:56:50.580 \rightarrow 00:56:53.867$ least part of this increase in mistrust

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00{:}56{:}53{.}867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}57{.}485$ and and distaste with the other team to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00{:}56{:}57{.}485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}59{.}795$ the to the differences in socioe conomic

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025
- $00:56:59.795 \rightarrow 00:57:02.200$ status and they the widening gap

 $00{:}57{:}02.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}05.560$ between the the upper levels and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00{:}57{:}05{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}12{.}090$ and the bottom 50% and that has that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

00:57:12.090 --> 00:57:16.355 It's plausible as as a contributor if people

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00{:}57{:}16.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}20.749$ are are annoyed with with the liberal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00{:}57{:}20{.}749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}25{.}020$ elite who also make a whole lot more money.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00:57:25.020 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.478$ And then on the other side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00:57:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.420$ people have noted the well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00{:}57{:}28{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}30{.}832$ one study has noted that the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00:57:30.832 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.508$ acceptable prejudice on the part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00{:}57{:}33{.}508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}37{.}220$ the upper class is for those who are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00:57:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.468$ Uneducated or less educated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00{:}57{:}39{.}468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}43{.}397$ and that that prejudice is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

00:57:43.397 --> 00:57:47.468 Noticeable and even.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00{:}57{:}47{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}50{.}452$ And certainly detected by the folks

 $00{:}57{:}50{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}52{.}623$ on the lower end of the social scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00{:}57{:}52.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}56.318$ So I don't know what do you find that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7443025

 $00{:}57{:}56{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}58{.}340$ that that resonates as you do you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.799788296

 $00:57:58.350 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.750$ that I think that's absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.799788296

 $00{:}57{:}59{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}00{.}940$ true. I mean I I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44108552

00:58:02.490 --> 00:58:03.718 There I mean if

NOTE Confidence: 0.861093899333333

 $00{:}58{:}03.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}05.396$ you look at you know just economic

NOTE Confidence: 0.861093899333333

 $00:58:05.396 \dashrightarrow 00:58:07.440$ data all the wage gains over the past

NOTE Confidence: 0.861093899333333

 $00{:}58{:}07{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}09{.}228$ couple of decades and the and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861093899333333

 $00{:}58{:}09{.}228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}10{.}859$ wealth gains have gone to not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.861093899333333

00:58:10.859 --> 00:58:13.389 the you know top 50% but really the NOTE Confidence: 0.861093899333333

 $00:58:13.389 \rightarrow 00:58:16.510$ top 1% or five percent 10% certainly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861093899333333

 $00{:}58{:}16{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}19{.}686$ And so that creates an environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.861093899333333

 $00:58:19.686 \rightarrow 00:58:21.654$ in which you are already living

NOTE Confidence: 0.861093899333333

 $00{:}58{:}21.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}23.830$ in kind of different worlds with

NOTE Confidence: 0.861093899333333

 $00{:}58{:}23.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}26.530$ different lives and different access

- NOTE Confidence: 0.861093899333333
- $00:58:26.530 \rightarrow 00:58:30.028$ to opportunity including education and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861093899333333
- 00:58:30.030 --> 00:58:31.718 I think it's a very understandable.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861093899333333
- 00:58:31.718 --> 00:58:35.246 A set of concerns that that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861093899333333
- $00:58:35.246 \longrightarrow 00:58:37.010$ people have against.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883332025
- $00:58:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.186$ Certain types of elites who then try to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883332025
- $00{:}58{:}42.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}44.482$ impose their views and values on others,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883332025
- $00{:}58{:}44{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}46{.}490$ and I think in some cases I agree
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883332025
- $00:58:46.490 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.129$ with those and those are mine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883332025
- $00{:}58{:}48{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}49{.}390$ But, but at the same time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883332025
- $00:58:49.390 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.798$ I don't think there's a whole lot of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883332025
- $00{:}58{:}52.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}56.496$ empathy for the way in which we talk about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812
- $00:59:00.060 \dashrightarrow 00:59:01.405$ Talk about exactly what you're saying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812
- $00{:}59{:}01{.}405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}04{.}030$ and I and I think it's, it's true even.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812
- 00:59:04.030 --> 00:59:05.674 And again I think, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812
- 00:59:05.674 --> 00:59:07.679 I think it's something like, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812

00:59:07.679 --> 00:59:10.080 I made I guess an ivermectin joke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812

 $00{:}59{:}10.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}13.896$ And you know, even when you know a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812

 $00:59:13.896 \rightarrow 00:59:15.925$ shish or other people in positions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812

 $00:59:15.925 \rightarrow 00:59:18.718$ of power call it a horsky warmer and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812

 $00:59:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.171$ you know, kind of dismiss it as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812

 $00:59:22.171 \rightarrow 00:59:25.690$ basically trying to call the people who.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812

 $00:59:25.690 \rightarrow 00:59:28.546$ Whose friends may have experimented with it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812

 $00:59:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.990$ Or. Who who feel like maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812

 $00{:}59{:}32{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}34{.}478$ there's something here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812

 $00:59:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.632$ I feel like we talk about things in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812

 $00{:}59{:}36{.}632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}39{.}276$ a way that alienates a lot of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812

 $00:59:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.835$ And part of the empathy that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812

 $00:59:41.835 \rightarrow 00:59:44.819$ need to show is not just for people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812

00:59:44.819 - 00:59:46.454 who who are on our team, obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812

 $00:59:46.454 \rightarrow 00:59:48.698$ but really there's like radical empathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812

 $00:59:48.700 \rightarrow 00:59:51.596$ which is very hard to muster for people

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8205812
- $00{:}59{:}51{.}596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}53{.}964$ who who are both different from us

 $00{:}59{:}53{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}56{.}899$ but also see us as kind of the enemy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

00:59:58.950 --> 01:00:00.678 OK. Karen, could you give us the gentleman

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

 $01:00:00.678 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.252$ there in the white shirt and while

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

 $01:00:02.252 \rightarrow 01:00:03.803$ while I'm waiting for that I'm gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

 $01:00:03.803 \rightarrow 01:00:05.427$ go ahead and read one of the questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

 $01:00:05.430 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.506$ from the from the zoom audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

 $01:00:07.510 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.967$ It seems that there is a cycle of mistrust

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

 $01{:}00{:}09{.}967 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}12{.}072$ between the lay public and governmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

 $01:00:12.072 \rightarrow 01:00:13.847$ and or public health authorities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

 $01:00:13.850 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.542$ both mistrust and the other with

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

 $01{:}00{:}15.542 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}17.395$ respect to public health leaders and

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

 $01{:}00{:}17.395 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}19.075$ unwillingness to speak about matters

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

01:00:19.075 --> 01:00:21.070 with nuance because of the concern that

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

 $01{:}00{:}21.070 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}22.998$ it may be mish andled by the public.

01:00:22.998 --> 01:00:26.166 Leading to a feedback loop of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

 $01:00:26.166 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.222$ spiraling mistrust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

01:00:27.230 $-\!>$ 01:00:29.564 Do we under
appreciate the degree to

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

 $01:00:29.564 \rightarrow 01:00:31.592$ which our leaders and public figures

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

 $01{:}00{:}31{.}592 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}33{.}813$ are contributors and not just simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.821885656333334

 $01:00:33.813 \rightarrow 01:00:36.260$ victims of the culture of mistrust?

NOTE Confidence: 0.807151232

01:00:36.470 --> 01:00:37.560 I think absolutely. I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

01:00:37.570 --> 01:00:40.190 I I don't think, as I said, it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

01:00:40.190 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:00:41.290 I don't think it's unidirectional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01{:}00{:}41.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}43.202$ I think a lot of the mistrust that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01{:}00{:}43.202 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}47.640$ out there is earned, and it has been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01{:}00{:}47.640 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}49.341$ There have been a number of failures

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

01:00:49.341 - 01:00:51.050 both in the public health community

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01{:}00{:}51{.}050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}52{.}904$ but also more more generally over

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01:00:52.904 \rightarrow 01:00:54.480$ the past however many years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01:00:54.480 \rightarrow 01:00:56.937$ and that has contributed to earned mistrust.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143
- $01:00:56.940 \longrightarrow 01:00:59.229$ It's not just that I don't think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143
- $01:00:59.229 \longrightarrow 01:01:01.297$ people in positions of power or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143
- $01:01:01.297 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.657$ institutions in positions of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143
- $01:01:02.657 \rightarrow 01:01:04.999$ power are the victims necessarily.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143
- $01:01:05.000 \rightarrow 01:01:08.024$ I think they have done also their part in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143
- $01:01:08.024 \rightarrow 01:01:10.840$ squandering that that mistrust and you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143
- $01:01:10.840 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.876$ 11 obvious example of that is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143
- 01:01:13.880 --> 01:01:15.740 you know early in the pandemic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143
- $01:01:15.740 \rightarrow 01:01:17.434$ the Surgeon general of the United States.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143
- $01:01:17.440 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.744$ Whatever his motivation was,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143
- $01:01:18.744 \rightarrow 01:01:20.374$ maybe it was to preserve
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143
- $01:01:20.374 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.870$ masks for medical workers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143
- $01:01:21.870 \longrightarrow 01:01:23.050$ tweets out in all caps,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143
- 01:01:23.050 --> 01:01:25.066 that masks don't work and he doesn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143
- $01:01:25.066 \rightarrow 01:01:27.187$ want the general public to to use them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01:01:27.190 \rightarrow 01:01:29.647$ And that seems to be an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01{:}01{:}29.647 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}31.898$ of obviously that's going to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01{:}01{:}31{.}898 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}34{.}148$ some level of discord and distrust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01:01:34.150 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.397$ but also not giving it to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

01:01:36.397 --> 01:01:37.305 public straight, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01:01:37.305 \rightarrow 01:01:38.630$ That's an issue of transparency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01:01:38.630 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.985$ It seems like probably the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01:01:39.985 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.088$ motivation there was look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01{:}01{:}41.090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}43.880$ we have a limited number of masks we want

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01{:}01{:}43.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}46.288$ healthcare workers to to have access to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01:01:46.290 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.130$ But the but that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

01:01:47.130 --> 01:01:47.970 That wasn't the message

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01:01:47.970 \longrightarrow 01:01:48.980$ that was communicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01:01:48.980 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.300$ it was masks don't work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01{:}01{:}50{.}300 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}52{.}108$ don't don't use them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01:01:52.108 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.820$ And so that is one example

 $01:01:54.918 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.180$ of of many that I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01{:}01{:}57{.}180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}00{.}072$ Where there's an instance of earned

NOTE Confidence: 0.832973072857143

 $01:02:00.072 \rightarrow 01:02:02.016$ mistrust on the part of people in power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545

 $01:02:02.340 \longrightarrow 01:02:04.164$ So to following that along you

NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545

 $01:02:04.164 \rightarrow 01:02:05.860$ mentioned this the Surgeon General.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545

 $01{:}02{:}05.860 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}07.561$ So it it makes me think and it makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545

 $01{:}02{:}07{.}561 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}09{.}349$ me think of the teaching that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545

 $01{:}02{:}09{.}349 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}11{.}083$ the conversation that we've had over

NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545

 $01{:}02{:}11{.}083 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}13{.}051$ the years about institutions and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545

 $01:02:13.051 \longrightarrow 01:02:14.796$ importance of institutions within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545

 $01{:}02{:}14.796 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}17.310$ spectrum of when we discussed the mid 20th

NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545

 $01{:}02{:}17{.}310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}19{.}734$ century breakdown in Europe, the Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545

 $01{:}02{:}19.734 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}22.844$ Actions themselves coming apart and

NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545

 $01{:}02{:}22.844 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}26.030$ specifically I think that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545

 $01{:}02{:}26.030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}28.526$ where trust has been placed with

- $01:02:28.526 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.190$ regard to information was,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01{:}02{:}30{.}190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}31{.}882$ you know, with the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01:02:31.882 \rightarrow 01:02:34.420$ The anchors from the leading networks,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01:02:34.420 \rightarrow 01:02:35.528$ for example, Uncle Walter,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01:02:35.528 \rightarrow 01:02:37.506$ Walter Cronkite was the guy that most
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- 01:02:37.506 --> 01:02:39.078 Americans trusted back in the day,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01:02:39.080 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.952$ so we're told.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01{:}02{:}40.952 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}43.892$ But also more bringing up more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01{:}02{:}43.892 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}46.007$ closely to home the institutions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01:02:46.007 \longrightarrow 01:02:48.400$ such as Yale School of Medicine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- 01:02:48.400 --> 01:02:50.150 or Cornell School of Medicine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01:02:50.150 \longrightarrow 01:02:52.130$ right that these are institutions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01:02:52.130 \longrightarrow 01:02:54.275$ that at one time this meant something in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01:02:54.275 \dashrightarrow 01:02:56.398$ terms of the that it could be trusted.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01:02:56.400 \longrightarrow 01:02:58.514$ But have have the institutions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01:02:58.514 \rightarrow 01:03:00.170$ including the medical schools

- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01:03:00.170 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.240$ lost credibility do you think?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01:03:02.240 \rightarrow 01:03:04.739$ And is there something specific that that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01:03:04.740 \rightarrow 01:03:07.460$ if so, is there something specific that we,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01:03:07.460 \longrightarrow 01:03:08.495$ the medical schools,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- 01:03:08.495 --> 01:03:10.565 the medical institutions can do to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01:03:10.565 \rightarrow 01:03:12.368$ improve our own credibility or the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.688038975454545
- $01:03:12.368 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.649$ level of trust that that we're afforded?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237
- 01:03:15.320 --> 01:03:16.458 Yeah, it's, it's a good question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237
- 01:03:16.458 --> 01:03:18.676 I mean I I don't, I don't you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237
- $01:03:18.680 \rightarrow 01:03:20.156$ pretend, pretend to have the answer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237
- $01:03:20.160 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.352$ I mean I think that good place to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237
- 01:03:22.352 --> 01:03:24.386 start is community engagement and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237
- $01:03:24.386 \rightarrow 01:03:26.741$ understanding what the the community NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237
- 01:03:26.741 --> 01:03:29.447 around you needs and part of that is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237
- 01:03:29.450 --> 01:03:32.260 I think happening, listening sessions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237

01:03:32.260 --> 01:03:34.180 talking to local community leaders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237

 $01{:}03{:}34.180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}35.746$ understanding how you can serve the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237

 $01:03:35.746 \rightarrow 01:03:37.360$ needs of the people around you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237

01:03:37.360 --> 01:03:38.830 And I think, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237

01:03:38.830 --> 01:03:41.770 I highlighted something that MGH is doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237

01:03:41.770 --> 01:03:44.017 There's other medical schools that kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237

 $01{:}03{:}44.020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}45.945$ I think some of the newer medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237

 $01{:}03{:}45{.}945 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}48{.}074$ schools I've heard like Dell and Kaiser,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237

01:03:48.074 --> 01:03:50.573 they have a really huge component of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237

 $01{:}03{:}50{.}573 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}52{.}642$ community engagement which I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237

 $01:03:52.642 \rightarrow 01:03:55.114$ probably generates some level of goodwill.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237

01:03:55.120 --> 01:03:55.888 So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237

 $01:03:55.888 \rightarrow 01:03:58.260$ I think that's that's a place to to start,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237

01:03:58.260 --> 01:03:59.490 you know, I don't think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237

01:03:59.490 --> 01:04:01.434 So I don't think it's just

NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237

 $01:04:01.434 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.406$ a communication issue.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83129237
- 01:04:02.410 --> 01:04:04.578 I think a lot of people who

 $01:04:04.578 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.218$ are in positions of power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79029082

01:04:07.570 --> 01:04:08.008 Feel like you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8674702266666667

 $01:04:08.020 \rightarrow 01:04:09.448$ know, if if we only communicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

 $01:04:09.460 \rightarrow 01:04:11.854$ our our values better to people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

01:04:11.860 --> 01:04:14.630 If we only found a way to you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

 $01:04:14.630 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.765$ frame this in a better way than

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

 $01:04:16.765 \rightarrow 01:04:19.015$ people would believe us or trust us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

 $01:04:19.020 \dashrightarrow 01:04:21.624$ I think in most cases it's it's a, it's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

01:04:21.624 --> 01:04:23.040 Underlying value issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

 $01:04:23.040 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.862$ you know it's it's where

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

 $01{:}04{:}23.862 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}24.745$ are you spending your time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

 $01:04:24.745 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.915$ where are you spending your budget?

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

01:04:25.920 --> 01:04:28.368 Are you allowing access to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

 $01:04:28.368 \rightarrow 01:04:30.000$ people in your communities?

01:04:30.000 --> 01:04:33.060 Are you are you serving the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

01:04:33.060 --> 01:04:34.656 community in a in a robust way?

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

 $01:04:34.660 \rightarrow 01:04:35.880$ Are the people in your school

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

 $01:04:35.880 \rightarrow 01:04:38.706$ who are being recruited are they,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

 $01:04:38.706 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.594$ are they people who have had you

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

01:04:42.594 --> 01:04:44.250 know opportunities through various

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

 $01{:}04{:}44{.}250 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}46{.}320$ mechanisms to to participate if

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

01:04:46.384 --> 01:04:48.226 if they you know for whatever

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

 $01{:}04{:}48.226 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}49.700$ reason weren't able to so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

01:04:49.700 --> 01:04:50.954 So I think it's it's looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

 $01:04:50.954 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.160$ at those types of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

 $01{:}04{:}52{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}54{.}834$ As opposed to just putting the varnish

NOTE Confidence: 0.887595311428571

 $01:04:54.834 \rightarrow 01:04:57.098$ on on communication and in some way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819047865

01:04:57.610 --> 01:04:58.778 Thank you. Yes, Sir.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9777784725

01:04:59.070 --> 01:05:01.090 Thank you so much. First of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9777784725

 $01{:}05{:}01{.}090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}02{.}773$ Professor Howard Foreman speaks

 $01:05:02.773 \rightarrow 01:05:05.310$ very highly of you and says hi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9777784725

01:05:05.310 --> 01:05:06.890 I had a couple, two quick questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9777784725

 $01{:}05{:}06.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}09.040$ The first is that it feels like it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9777784725

 $01{:}05{:}09{.}040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}10{.}340$ important to address misinformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9777784725

01:05:10.340 --> 01:05:12.880 if it comes from a tweet on Twitter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9777784725

 $01{:}05{:}12.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}14.772$ not in like a long form piece

NOTE Confidence: 0.9777784725

 $01{:}05{:}14.772 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}15.890$ necessarily because the audience is

NOTE Confidence: 0.845999908181818

 $01:05:15.900 \rightarrow 01:05:17.940$ different. But it's really hard to

NOTE Confidence: 0.845999908181818

 $01{:}05{:}17{.}940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}20{.}590$ say a true statement in 280 characters

NOTE Confidence: 0.845999908181818

 $01:05:20.590 \longrightarrow 01:05:23.269$ versus a lie in 280 characters. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.884039192857143

 $01:05:23.280 \longrightarrow 01:05:24.806$ it's hard to capture all the nuance

NOTE Confidence: 0.884039192857143

 $01{:}05{:}24.806 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}26.478$ I wanted to get your thoughts on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884039192857143

 $01{:}05{:}26{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}28{.}546$ like how to create and spread truth

NOTE Confidence: 0.884039192857143

 $01{:}05{:}28.546 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}31.248$ in in a short amount of space at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.884039192857143

 $01{:}05{:}31{.}248 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}32{.}816$ time when people's attention spans

 $01:05:32.816 \longrightarrow 01:05:35.280$ are a lot lower than than before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884039192857143

 $01{:}05{:}35{.}280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}37{.}440$ And the 2nd is that it feels like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.884039192857143

 $01:05:37.440 \longrightarrow 01:05:38.850$ part of the spread of misinformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.884039192857143

 $01:05:38.903 \rightarrow 01:05:40.576$ has to do with an emotional response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884039192857143

01:05:40.580 --> 01:05:41.708 like fear mongering

NOTE Confidence: 0.848397612857143

 $01:05:41.780 \longrightarrow 01:05:44.587$ or anger. And it's harder to create

NOTE Confidence: 0.828289471818182

 $01{:}05{:}44{.}600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}46{.}255$ or elicit that emotional response

NOTE Confidence: 0.828289471818182

 $01:05:46.255 \rightarrow 01:05:48.400$ for something that's dry and factual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828289471818182

 $01:05:48.400 \rightarrow 01:05:49.902$ So I wanted to hear your thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.828289471818182

 $01:05:49.902 \rightarrow 01:05:51.530$ about that as well. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6831781566666667

 $01:05:51.600 \rightarrow 01:05:52.848$ Yeah. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

 $01:05:53.190 \longrightarrow 01:05:54.948$ You know, truth is is certainly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

 $01{:}05{:}54{.}950 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}56{.}730$ I think, at a disadvantage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

01:05:56.730 --> 01:05:58.440 You know, as you said it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

 $01{:}05{:}58{.}440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}59{.}942$ it's much more appealing when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

 $01{:}05{:}59{.}942 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}01{.}754$ something is new or interesting or

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:06:01.754 --> 01:06:03.670 sounds like it couldn't be true,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:06:03.670 \rightarrow 01:06:05.350$ probably because it isn't true.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01{:}06{:}05{.}350 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}07{.}065$ That's something that that tends to spread.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:06:07.070 \longrightarrow 01:06:08.310$ I think there is good research to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01{:}06{:}08{.}310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}09{.}953$ suggest that actually incorrect
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01{:}06{:}09{.}953 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}11{.}877$ or inaccurate statements spread
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:06:11.877 \longrightarrow 01:06:14.171$ faster on social media than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:06:14.171 \longrightarrow 01:06:16.126$ do then do factual statements.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:06:16.130 --> 01:06:18.370 You know, I don't know if I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:06:18.370 \longrightarrow 01:06:19.999$ have a solution to that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:06:20.000 --> 01:06:22.672 I mean 111 part of it certainly is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:06:22.672 \rightarrow 01:06:25.036$ maybe there are some things around
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01{:}06{:}25{.}036 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}27{.}884$ algorithms that that you can do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01{:}06{:}27.884 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}30.556$ to try to once you see something taking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:06:30.556 \rightarrow 01:06:34.786$ off down weight that in some in some way.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

01:06:34.790 --> 01:06:36.918 You know, I think we would just be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

 $01{:}06{:}36{.}918 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}39{.}643$ in a much healthier place if we used

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

01:06:39.643 --> 01:06:42.087 social media half as much as we do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

 $01{:}06{:}42.090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}42.906$ or 80% less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

 $01:06:42.906 \longrightarrow 01:06:44.538$ And if every one just did that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

01:06:44.540 --> 01:06:45.556 you know, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

 $01{:}06{:}45{.}556$ --> $01{:}06{:}48{.}319$ that's not a not a real policy prescription.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

 $01{:}06{:}48.320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}50.710$ But you can see over time how people's use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

01:06:50.763 --> 01:06:53.094 different types of social media does change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

 $01:06:53.100 \rightarrow 01:06:53.457$ right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

 $01{:}06{:}53.457 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}56.313$ So younger people don't use Facebook as much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

 $01:06:56.320 \rightarrow 01:06:58.954$ right, as some people now use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

 $01{:}06{:}58{.}954 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}01{.}899$ Twitter much less than they used to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

 $01:07:01.900 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.812$ Whatever it might be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

 $01{:}07{:}03.812 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}05.246$ we know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

01:07:05.250 --> 01:07:07.825 Instagram has substantial mental health

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:07:07.825 \rightarrow 01:07:09.885$ effects for particularly teenagers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:07:09.890 \longrightarrow 01:07:11.840$ So.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:07:11.840 --> 01:07:12.106 No,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:07:12.106 \rightarrow 01:07:14.234$ I think we often tend as people who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01{:}07{:}14.234 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}16.034$ are interested in public policy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:07:16.034 \rightarrow 01:07:18.230$ to have a policy oriented tool.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:07:18.230 \longrightarrow 01:07:20.106$ And maybe there are some of that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:07:20.110 \longrightarrow 01:07:22.654$ but I think we sometimes underweight
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01{:}07{:}22.654 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}25.755$ cultural forces and the power of cultural
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:07:25.755 --> 01:07:27.965 norms spreading such that maybe,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:07:27.970 --> 01:07:28.664 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:07:28.664 --> 01:07:31.093 in five years and 10 years actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01{:}07{:}31{.}093 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}33{.}181$ people are less on certain types
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01{:}07{:}33{.}181 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}35{.}264$ of social media and more on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:07:35.264 \longrightarrow 01:07:36.989$ other types of social media.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667

- $01{:}07{:}36{.}990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}39{.}092$ You know, there are good spaces online too,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:07:39.092 --> 01:07:42.380 you know. I think my favorite example is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01{:}07{:}42.380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}44.774$ You know, that's an amazing and absurd place.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:07:44.774 \rightarrow 01:07:46.259$ Like how could this happen?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:07:46.260 --> 01:07:46.946 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01{:}07{:}46.946 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}48.661$ how could people spend so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:07:48.661 \rightarrow 01:07:50.080$ much time curating this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:07:50.080 \rightarrow 01:07:54.360$ this wonderful knowledge filled thing?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01{:}07{:}54{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}54{.}978$ But it happens.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:07:54.978 --> 01:07:55.596 And you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:07:55.600 01:07:56.932 I don't spend a lot of time on Reddit,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:07:56.940 --> 01:07:59.868 but from what I understand is on Reddit,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:07:59.868 \rightarrow 01:08:02.860$ it's not just things that are like the most,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:08:02.860 \longrightarrow 01:08:04.170$ it's actually the delta between
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01{:}08{:}04{.}170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}05{.}480$ the likes and the dislikes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:08:05.480 --> 01:08:06.784 And so, you know,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:08:06.784 \rightarrow 01:08:09.400$ if if something is outrageous in some way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:08:09.400 --> 01:08:10.779 it's not just one group of people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:08:10.779 \longrightarrow 01:08:12.009$ that can send it to the top.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01{:}08{:}12.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}13.718$ It's it's the delta between the two.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:08:13.720 --> 01:08:15.280 So if if you're, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:08:15.280 \longrightarrow 01:08:16.624$ hated by half the thing and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:08:16.624 --> 01:08:18.058 loved by half of it, you don't,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:08:18.058 --> 01:08:18.878 you don't get very far.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01{:}08{:}18{.}880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}21{.}519$ So maybe there are things like that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:08:21.520 --> 01:08:24.334 but I don't know. I I use.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:08:24.340 \longrightarrow 01:08:26.170$ Social media at 80% last night
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- 01:08:26.170 --> 01:08:28.338 that I did two years ago and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01{:}08{:}28{.}340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}29{.}810$ it's been nice for me.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01{:}08{:}29{.}810 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}33{.}392$ So I I recommend that for other people too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8903441266666667
- $01:08:33.392 \rightarrow 01:08:33.878$ Yes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

 $01:08:33.890 \rightarrow 01:08:36.165$ if you could give it to the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

 $01:08:36.170 \longrightarrow 01:08:37.230$ So there's a woman here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

01:08:37.230 --> 01:08:38.270 Please, Karen, while we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

 $01:08:38.270 \rightarrow 01:08:39.570$ waiting for that passage out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

 $01{:}08{:}39{.}570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}41{.}054$ I like this question very much coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

01:08:41.054 --> 01:08:42.562 in from zoom because it reminds me of

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

 $01:08:42.562 \longrightarrow 01:08:44.329$ a of a quote I heard in high school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

01:08:44.330 --> 01:08:45.830 I think it was Thomas Carlyle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

01:08:45.830 --> 01:08:47.786 somebody who said, well, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

 $01:08:47.790 \longrightarrow 01:08:49.218$ in terms of fixing the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

01:08:49.220 --> 01:08:50.956 he said make an honest man of

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

 $01:08:50.956 \rightarrow 01:08:52.817$ yourself and you can be sure there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

 $01:08:52.817 \longrightarrow 01:08:54.401$ one less Rascal in the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

 $01:08:54.410 \longrightarrow 01:08:56.310$ So this is the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

 $01:08:56.310 \longrightarrow 01:08:58.746$ which is how do we ourselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

 $01:08:58.746 \rightarrow 01:08:59.964$ avoid promulgating misinformation,

01:08:59.970 --> 01:09:03.624 as many did with the Purdue Pharma and HRT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

 $01:09:03.630 \rightarrow 01:09:06.138$ for example? So how do we?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

 $01:09:06.140 \dashrightarrow 01:09:07.700$ The folks in this room and on this zoom call,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

 $01:09:07.700 \longrightarrow 01:09:08.869$ how do we keep from being part

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

 $01:09:08.869 \rightarrow 01:09:09.370$ of the problem?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846432975714286

01:09:10.050 --> 01:09:10.480 Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841005724

 $01:09:12.260 \longrightarrow 01:09:13.370$ Well, it's a good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

01:09:13.380 --> 01:09:17.034 I mean you know, I don't know if you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

01:09:17.034 --> 01:09:20.940 I don't know if I would call like HRT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

01:09:20.940 --> 01:09:22.318 Misinformation, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

01:09:22.318 --> 01:09:24.390 I mean misinformation is you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

01:09:24.390 --> 01:09:26.484 at the time you have to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

 $01{:}09{:}26{.}484 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}28{.}270$ the evidence base at the time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

 $01:09:28.270 \longrightarrow 01:09:30.286$ and figure out you know what is how NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

 $01{:}09{:}30{.}286 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}32{.}008$ strong is that evidence based and if

 $01:09:32.008 \rightarrow 01:09:33.708$ the evidence points in one way and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

01:09:33.708 --> 01:09:35.325 you support that claim maybe you you

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

 $01{:}09{:}35{.}325 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}37{.}237$ should do your best to cave at it and and NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

01:09:37.237 --> 01:09:39.453 you know hint at the uncertainty with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

 $01{:}09{:}39{.}460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}42{.}148$ But but I don't view that necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

01:09:42.148 --> 01:09:44.880 as misinformation you know I I don't NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

 $01{:}09{:}44.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}48.170$ know I mean I think a lot of what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

 $01:09:48.170 \longrightarrow 01:09:50.757$ tried to do at least is to try to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

 $01{:}09{:}50.760$ --> $01{:}09{:}53.262$ Foreground my uncertainty with things and NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

 $01{:}09{:}53{.}262 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}56{.}075$ that can be unsatisfying because and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

01:09:56.075 --> 01:09:58.653 not very good social media either, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

01:09:58.653 --> 01:10:01.614 I mean, social media is fast and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

 $01{:}10{:}01{.}614 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}04{.}282$ snarky and and sarcastic and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

 $01:10:04.282 \rightarrow 01:10:07.355$ and and powerful and so there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

 $01:10:07.355 \rightarrow 01:10:10.099$ this challenge of how do you both?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375

01:10:10.100 --> 01:10:10.682 You know,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375
- $01:10:10.682 \rightarrow 01:10:13.010$ present the nuances of an issue but also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375
- $01{:}10{:}13.068 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}15.276$ get something to go go kind of spread.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93105865375
- $01{:}10{:}15{.}280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}15{.}480$ And
- NOTE Confidence: 0.648738297142857
- 01:10:15.490 --> 01:10:16.346 I mentioned that Shirley,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.648738297142857
- $01:10:16.346 \longrightarrow 01:10:17.860$ I think he, he actually does
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898301858823529
- 01:10:17.870 --> 01:10:19.452 a fantastic job of this and he
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898301858823529
- $01:10:19.452 \longrightarrow 01:10:21.549$ was able to do a fantastic job
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898301858823529
- 01:10:21.549 --> 01:10:23.268 educating people through, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898301858823529
- 01:10:23.268 --> 01:10:25.362 not just 280 characters but have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898301858823529
- $01:10:25.362 \rightarrow 01:10:27.849$ these long threads in which you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898301858823529
- 01:10:27.850 --> 01:10:29.590 he would really pick apart an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898301858823529
- $01:10:29.590 \longrightarrow 01:10:31.302$ issue and then kind of get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.898301858823529
- $01:10:31.302 \longrightarrow 01:10:32.880$ into the nuances of it good.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333
- 01:10:32.890 --> 01:10:34.120 So. So two thoughts on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333
- $01:10:34.120 \longrightarrow 01:10:35.104$ that real quick through.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01:10:35.110 \rightarrow 01:10:37.609$ So one is that you mentioned that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01:10:37.610 \longrightarrow 01:10:39.549$ so we've got to be able to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01:10:39.550 \rightarrow 01:10:41.356$ Really assess how good the evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01:10:41.356 \rightarrow 01:10:43.942$ is before we say that treatment X is

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01:10:43.942 \rightarrow 01:10:46.100$ actually the best approach to disease Y,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01{:}10{:}46{.}100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}47{.}918$ that we should be able to evaluate the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01:10:47.920 \rightarrow 01:10:49.355$ But if we're being honest with ourselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01:10:49.360 \longrightarrow 01:10:51.022$ I think we don't really dive

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01{:}10{:}51{.}022 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}52{.}827$ that deeply into the data for

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01:10:52.827 \rightarrow 01:10:54.545$ everything we treat because of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01{:}10{:}54{.}545 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}56{.}075$ There's there's a time factor there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01:10:56.080 \longrightarrow 01:10:57.940$ So we rely, we trust others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

01:10:57.940 --> 01:11:01.140 So you know I'm a pediatrician

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01{:}11{:}01{.}140 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}03{.}730$ when a statement comes out from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01{:}11{:}03.730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}05.548$ American Academy of Pediatrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01:11:05.550 \rightarrow 01:11:06.746$ Not going that comes out with it,

 $01:11:06.750 \longrightarrow 01:11:09.420$ here's a three page guideline or

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

01:11:09.420 --> 01:11:11.557 three page consensus statement and

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01:11:11.557 \rightarrow 01:11:13.813$ it's followed by a 30 or 40 page

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01{:}11{:}13.820 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}16.463$ report technical report and I'm sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

01:11:16.463 --> 01:11:17.435 some
body's reading them technical

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01:11:17.435 \longrightarrow 01:11:19.033$ reports but you know it's a long

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01{:}11{:}19{.}033 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}20{.}230$ day and so you read the guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01:11:20.230 \rightarrow 01:11:21.580$ and maybe you get to the technical

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

01:11:21.580 --> 01:11:23.545 report if that's a specific subject

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

01:11:23.545 --> 01:11:24.460 you're interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

01:11:24.460 --> 01:11:26.086 but nobody's reading all of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01:11:26.086 \longrightarrow 01:11:27.360$ for everything that they do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01{:}11{:}27{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}30{.}132$ And so we are all at to some extent

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

01:11:30.132 --> 01:11:32.396 having to trust other sources as

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01:11:32.396 \rightarrow 01:11:34.444$ as we go through this stuff I think

 $01:11:34.444 \rightarrow 01:11:36.496$ that we need to be really careful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767021643333333

 $01:11:36.500 \longrightarrow 01:11:37.928$ Which sources we trust?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6335004775

01:11:38.000 --> 01:11:39.452 I think that's right and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6335004775

01:11:39.452 --> 01:11:40.411 raises the question of like

NOTE Confidence: 0.6335004775

01:11:40.411 --> 01:11:41.588 do you need to tweet it out?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6335004775

01:11:41.588 --> 01:11:43.640 Like do do you have to share that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.6335004775

01:11:43.700 --> 01:11:45.320 you haven't if you haven't read it

NOTE Confidence: 0.6335004775

 $01:11:45.320 \rightarrow 01:11:46.378$ thoroughly and understood the issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6335004775

01:11:46.378 --> 01:11:48.010 And I think the impulse is yeah of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6335004775

01:11:48.010 --> 01:11:49.250 course I I need to like every one

NOTE Confidence: 0.6335004775

 $01:11:49.250 \longrightarrow 01:11:51.270$ needs to hear my thoughts. But

NOTE Confidence: 0.87346262

 $01:11:51.540 \longrightarrow 01:11:52.128$ but that's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8825891216666667

01:11:52.140 --> 01:11:53.490 true right. I mean I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.837999904285714

 $01{:}11{:}53{.}580 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}55{.}155$ there there's one version of this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837999904285714

 $01:11:55.160 \longrightarrow 01:11:56.420$ is kind of getting to the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.837999904285714

 $01:11:56.420 \rightarrow 01:11:58.077$ of the issue for yourself and your

- NOTE Confidence: 0.837999904285714
- $01:11:58.077 \rightarrow 01:11:59.412$ colleagues and having that discussion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837999904285714
- $01:11:59.420 \longrightarrow 01:12:00.974$ And the other part of this is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837999904285714
- 01:12:00.980 --> 01:12:02.828 is, you know, do you or do you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837999904285714
- $01:12:02.828 \longrightarrow 01:12:04.924$ not need to share it before you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837999904285714
- $01:12:04.924 \rightarrow 01:12:06.494$ truly understand an issue but.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837999904285714
- 01:12:06.500 --> 01:12:07.949 But yeah, I I take your point.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837999904285714
- 01:12:07.950 --> 01:12:09.000 I think it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.701065732
- 01:12:09.250 --> 01:12:10.700 thank you. Yes, yes, please.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- 01:12:11.330 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:12:13.130 Thanks so much through for the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- $01:12:13.130 \rightarrow 01:12:14.330$ talk is really interesting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- 01:12:14.330 --> 01:12:17.482 I have a question about more about like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- 01:12:17.482 --> 01:12:20.093 uncertainty and specifically about mistrust
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- $01:12:20.093 \rightarrow 01:12:23.003$ and misinformation kind of burgeoning
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- $01{:}12{:}23.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}24.620$ during times of deep uncertainty,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- $01:12:24.620 \rightarrow 01:12:25.502$ especially with COVID-19.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686

 $01:12:25.502 \rightarrow 01:12:27.560$ We obviously have no a lot more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686

 $01:12:27.560 \rightarrow 01:12:29.905$ but there's still a lot of uncertainty

NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686

 $01{:}12{:}29{.}905 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}31{.}647$ and there's gradations of misinformation NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686

 $01:12:31.647 \rightarrow 01:12:33.687$ around various products or even how

NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686

01:12:33.687 --> 01:12:35.397 you know treatment and prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686

 $01{:}12{:}35{.}397 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}37{.}335$ and things like that and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686

01:12:37.340 --> 01:12:39.000 One of the things is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686

 $01:12:39.000 \longrightarrow 01:12:41.400$ we saw like TV outlets and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686

01:12:41.400 --> 01:12:43.596 companies hiring doctors, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686

 $01{:}12{:}43.596 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}45.744$ to be that voice that trusted

NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686

 $01:12:45.744 \longrightarrow 01:12:48.339$ messenger to the public in trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686

 $01:12:48.339 \longrightarrow 01:12:49.695$ to dispel misinformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686

 $01{:}12{:}49.700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}51.244$ But a lot of the messages have come

NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686

01:12:51.244 --> 01:12:52.577 across as very black and white,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686

01:12:52.580 --> 01:12:54.620 like, yes, you know, certainty about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686

01:12:54.620 --> 01:12:56.258 you know, certain things, you know,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- $01:12:56.260 \longrightarrow 01:12:57.625$ know about certain things but
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- $01:12:57.625 \longrightarrow 01:12:59.360$ not about like the Gray areas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- $01:12:59.360 \longrightarrow 01:13:01.079$ And there doesn't seem to be a lot of,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- 01:13:01.080 --> 01:13:03.608 at least in social media from doctors in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- $01:13:03.608 \rightarrow 01:13:06.200$ very high positions or other clinicians,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- $01{:}13{:}06{.}200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}06{.}851$ kind of a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- 01:13:06.851 --> 01:13:08.996 A like a phrase of like I don't know
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- 01:13:08.996 --> 01:13:10.963 or like we just don't know yet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- $01:13:10.970 \longrightarrow 01:13:12.884$ And it seems to be a lot of, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- $01{:}13{:}12{.}884 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}14{.}756$ reliance on confidence as a message.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- $01{:}13{:}14.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}16.678$ And so I'm curious about that and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- $01:13:16.678 \rightarrow 01:13:18.228$ how that fosters misinformation or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- 01:13:18.228 --> 01:13:20.566 that the research is around how to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- 01:13:20.566 $-\!\!>$ 01:13:22.499 actually message medical uncertainty,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- $01:13:22.500 \longrightarrow 01:13:24.117$ not just in times of like COVID-19,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686

- $01:13:24.120 \longrightarrow 01:13:26.584$ but even beyond that when we just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- 01:13:26.584 --> 01:13:28.160 you're still learning things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78267686
- 01:13:28.160 --> 01:13:28.310 You
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- 01:13:28.320 --> 01:13:32.320 know, I think a lot about this idea
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01{:}13{:}32{.}320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}35{.}375$ of information vacuums and when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01{:}13{:}35{.}375 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}38{.}625$ when there's an information vacuum.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01:13:38.630 \longrightarrow 01:13:40.054$ Something's gonna fill it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- 01:13:40.054 --> 01:13:41.572 And so, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- 01:13:41.572 $-\!\!>$ 01:13:44.780 I think it's important to to you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01:13:44.780 \longrightarrow 01:13:47.195$ Some advice that I've gotten
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01:13:47.195 \longrightarrow 01:13:48.855$ is it's important to get out
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01:13:48.855 \rightarrow 01:13:50.479$ into that space if you want to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01:13:50.480 \rightarrow 01:13:52.090$ If you want to be someone who's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01:13:52.090 \rightarrow 01:13:53.140$ communicating to the public,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01:13:53.140 \longrightarrow 01:13:55.492$ but also lead with your uncertainty and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01{:}13{:}55{.}492 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}57{.}482$ be comfortable with uncertainty in the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01:13:57.482 \rightarrow 01:14:00.308$ sense of you may not have everything right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- 01:14:00.310 --> 01:14:02.960 You may not know exactly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01:14:02.960 \longrightarrow 01:14:04.244$ you know what the are not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01:14:04.244 \longrightarrow 01:14:05.300$ of this new variant is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01:14:05.300 \rightarrow 01:14:08.060$ or you know what people should be doing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- 01:14:08.060 --> 01:14:11.756 But you know, I I'm sometimes torn
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- 01:14:11.756 --> 01:14:14.152 about it because part of me thinks,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- 01:14:14.152 --> 01:14:15.370 you know we should be doing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01:14:15.370 \longrightarrow 01:14:18.854$ Less of trying to do public
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01:14:18.854 \longrightarrow 01:14:20.990$ health through tweet and not not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01:14:21.059 \rightarrow 01:14:23.249$ and that's not entirely helpful.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01:14:23.250 \longrightarrow 01:14:24.354$ On the other hand,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- 01:14:24.354 --> 01:14:24.906 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01{:}14{:}24{.}910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}27{.}694$ if if public health leaders and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667
- $01:14:27.694 \rightarrow 01:14:29.550$ medical leaders aren't totally
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

01:14:29.624 --> 01:14:31.568 abdicate that that domain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

 $01:14:31.570 \longrightarrow 01:14:33.600$ other things are going to fill it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

 $01{:}14{:}33{.}600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}35{.}363$ and presumably that's going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

 $01:14:35.363 \rightarrow 01:14:37.037$ less less effective and less high

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

01:14:37.037 --> 01:14:38.962 quality information, you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

01:14:38.962 --> 01:14:41.082 I I do think that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

01:14:41.082 --> 01:14:43.890 market for people, obviously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

01:14:43.890 --> 01:14:46.110 Obviously it seems like everyone's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

 $01{:}14{:}46{.}110 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}48{.}681$ on social media and Twitter is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

 $01:14:48.681 \rightarrow 01:14:50.905$ is kind of where the game is at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

 $01{:}14{:}50{.}910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}52{.}626$ But actually a very small percentage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

01:14:52.626 --> 01:14:54.360 of the US population is on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

 $01:14:54.360 \longrightarrow 01:14:55.690$ Twitter or actively on Twitter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

 $01{:}14{:}55{.}690 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}59{.}002$ And so there is also this market of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

01:14:59.002 --> 01:15:01.645 local news, long form journalism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

 $01:15:01.645 \rightarrow 01:15:04.065$ other sources of information.

01:15:04.070 --> 01:15:07.330 And so, you know, I think we should just be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

01:15:07.330 --> 01:15:08.320 you know, as you mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

01:15:08.320 --> 01:15:09.886 Ben, I think we should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

 $01:15:09.890 \rightarrow 01:15:11.100$ Thoughtful about all the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

 $01{:}15{:}11{.}100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}12{.}704$ ways in which we can contribute

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

 $01:15:12.704 \rightarrow 01:15:13.730$ to the conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

 $01:15:13.730 \longrightarrow 01:15:15.501$ And it doesn't just have to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492073966666667

 $01:15:15.501 \longrightarrow 01:15:17.319$ via tweet or via social media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432351325

01:15:18.950 --> 01:15:20.558 Thank you. Yes, Sir.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01:15:21.050 \dashrightarrow 01:15:24.438$ Thanks for the talk. It's really great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

01:15:24.440 --> 01:15:27.730 I I wanted to ask you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01{:}15{:}27.730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}30.435$ Whether different categories of information

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01:15:30.435 \rightarrow 01:15:34.840$ like if the category of information affects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01{:}15{:}34{.}840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}38{.}590$ Is related to, like how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01{:}15{:}38{.}590 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}42{.}501$ someone is likely to mistrust you.

01:15:42.501 --> 01:15:43.806 You know, a statement about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

01:15:43.810 --> 01:15:47.206 So, like, you know, it's pretty obvious

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01:15:47.206 \rightarrow 01:15:49.721$ that there are a lot of people who will NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01:15:49.721 \longrightarrow 01:15:52.049$ believe that a vaccine is bad for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01{:}15{:}52{.}050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}53{.}660$ when we know that for the vast

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01:15:53.660 \rightarrow 01:15:55.126$ majority of people, it's helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01{:}15{:}55{.}126 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}58{.}910$ Um, and so I'm a I'm an oncology fellow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01:15:58.910 \longrightarrow 01:15:59.858$ So like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01{:}15{:}59{.}858 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}03{.}000$ I am like, a mazed sometimes that, like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01:16:03.000 \rightarrow 01:16:05.150$ we'll prescribe chemotherapy or something

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01{:}16{:}05{.}150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}07{.}208$ that's like potentially very toxic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01{:}16{:}07{.}210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}09{.}289$ and the patient will trust us to give them

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01:16:09.289 \longrightarrow 01:16:11.896$ that, but they won't get the vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01:16:11.900 \longrightarrow 01:16:12.980$ And so like that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01:16:12.980 \longrightarrow 01:16:14.600$ that kind of makes me wonder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143

 $01:16:14.600 \rightarrow 01:16:16.445$ is there something about specific

- NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143
- $01:16:16.445 \longrightarrow 01:16:18.290$ types of information that are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848013802857143
- $01:16:18.352 \rightarrow 01:16:20.167$ easier to mistrust than others?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- 01:16:20.850 --> 01:16:23.034 Yeah, I mean I think types of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01:16:23.034 \rightarrow 01:16:24.544$ information is part of it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01:16:24.550 \rightarrow 01:16:28.762$ My, my my thought is that the the stronger
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01{:}16{:}28.762 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}31.540$ influences is a social one and with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01:16:31.540 \longrightarrow 01:16:34.283$ the social norms are and what your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01:16:34.283 \rightarrow 01:16:36.791$ community believes and thinks about it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01{:}16{:}36{.}800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}39{.}347$ Very few people, a lot of people want to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01:16:39.347 \longrightarrow 01:16:42.209$ do their own homework and some people do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- 01:16:42.210 --> 01:16:44.352 But the vast majority of our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01{:}16{:}44{.}352 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}46{.}158$ opinions on issues of importance are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- 01:16:46.158 --> 01:16:47.790 actually shaped by our communities,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01{:}16{:}47.790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}49.950$ our families, the people around us.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01:16:49.950 \longrightarrow 01:16:50.820$ And so in the case of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776

- $01:16:50.820 \rightarrow 01:16:51.283$ Chemotherapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- 01:16:51.283 --> 01:16:54.524 You know it's it's obviously can be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- 01:16:54.524 --> 01:16:56.675 incredibly toxic and challenging to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01:16:56.675 \rightarrow 01:16:59.370$ go through but it is widely accepted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01{:}16{:}59{.}448 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}01{.}820$ there's not a polarizing divide
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- 01:17:01.820 --> 01:17:04.740 among Democrats and Republicans
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01{:}17{:}04.740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}07.660$ are conservatives and liberals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01:17:07.660 \rightarrow 01:17:09.220$ It's something that is obviously
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- 01:17:09.220 --> 01:17:11.155 given after you have cancer as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01:17:11.155 \rightarrow 01:17:12.760$ opposed to a preventative measure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- 01:17:12.760 --> 01:17:14.443 Why should I put something in my body if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- 01:17:14.443 --> 01:17:16.466 I don't know I'm going to get COVID right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- 01:17:16.470 --> 01:17:18.770 And so I I do think there are are are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01:17:18.834 \rightarrow 01:17:20.947$ certainly differences there but but.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- 01:17:20.947 --> 01:17:21.216 Yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- 01:17:21.216 --> 01:17:23.854 I I think part the type of topic or

- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01:17:23.854 \rightarrow 01:17:25.762$ information is important but but I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- 01:17:25.762 --> 01:17:28.100 think more important is just what are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01:17:28.100 \rightarrow 01:17:30.579$ the people around you think and believe.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01:17:30.579 \rightarrow 01:17:32.937$ And that's why it's so pernicious
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01:17:32.937 \longrightarrow 01:17:35.961$ that we now have basically wholly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- 01:17:35.961 --> 01:17:38.005 separate information ecosystems where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- 01:17:38.005 --> 01:17:40.425 certain groups of people believe X
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01{:}17{:}40{.}425 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}42{.}998$ and certain believe Y and and and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823868776
- $01:17:42.998 \longrightarrow 01:17:45.194$ they may not be having despised.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829722516
- $01:17:46.870 \longrightarrow 01:17:49.710$ Thanks. So we're gonna have.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829722516
- 01:17:49.710 --> 01:17:50.513 Still not 1:00 o'clock.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829722516
- 01:17:50.513 --> 01:17:51.277 So we'll keep going,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829722516
- $01:17:51.280 \rightarrow 01:17:53.110$ but actually we will we we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829722516
- $01:17:53.110 \longrightarrow 01:17:54.959$ will we will stop at 6:30.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829722516
- $01{:}17{:}54{.}960 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}56{.}226$ And I appreciate you folks saying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829722516

- $01:17:56.226 \rightarrow 01:17:57.740$ it's gonna be two more questions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829722516
- $01:17:57.740 \longrightarrow 01:17:58.572$ The gentleman right there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829722516
- $01:17:58.572 \longrightarrow 01:17:59.404$ And the last question,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829722516
- $01:17:59.410 \longrightarrow 01:18:01.321$ Karen will be right up here in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829722516
- $01:18:01.321 \rightarrow 01:18:03.260$ the I'm going to go with Peach.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829722516
- $01:18:03.260 \longrightarrow 01:18:04.130$ There we go.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- 01:18:04.460 --> 01:18:05.580 I got to crueler. Thanks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- 01:18:05.580 --> 01:18:06.660 Thanks so much for talking.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- 01:18:06.660 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:18:08.382 And I know you were a Zuckerman
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- 01:18:08.382 --> 01:18:09.880 fellow at Harvard and I was too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- 01:18:09.880 --> 01:18:12.480 So you know, just shout out to that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- 01:18:12.480 --> 01:18:14.832 First off, two-part question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- 01:18:14.832 --> 01:18:17.120 First, you talked about Twitter and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- $01{:}18{:}17{.}120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}19{.}629$ social media being a town square but.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- 01:18:19.629 --> 01:18:21.927 Usually town squares are public and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- 01:18:21.927 --> 01:18:23.970 they're privately held companies,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- 01:18:23.970 --> 01:18:25.740 and so I'm I'm curious as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- $01:18:25.740 \longrightarrow 01:18:27.410$ to what you think about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- $01{:}18{:}27{.}410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}29{.}280$ Regulating private company activity and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- $01:18:29.280 \longrightarrow 01:18:31.860$ and the social media they're in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- $01{:}18{:}31{.}860 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}34{.}380$ And then two I want to ask about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- $01{:}18{:}34{.}380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}36{.}960$ let's say regulation is successful.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- $01:18:36.960 \longrightarrow 01:18:38.624$ What happens if a,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- $01:18:38.624 \rightarrow 01:18:41.120$ you know a different administration comes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- $01{:}18{:}41{.}195 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}43{.}911$ in and isn't so bound to rationality
- NOTE Confidence: 0.703785228
- $01:18:43.911 \longrightarrow 01:18:46.350$ and starts policing those elements that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840461293333333
- $01:18:46.360 \longrightarrow 01:18:47.230$ would never happen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905256713333333
- 01:18:51.830 --> 01:18:52.736 I don't know. I think I'm
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- $01{:}18{:}52{.}750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}55{.}520$ skeptical that regulation will solve
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- $01{:}18{:}55{.}520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}58{.}855$ this problem, in part because I think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- $01:18:58.855 \rightarrow 01:19:01.979$ it's very hard to regulate your way.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

- $01{:}19{:}01{.}980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}04{.}424$ Around information and misinformation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- $01{:}19{:}04{.}424 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}08{.}090$ and I don't know that the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- $01:19:08.090 \rightarrow 01:19:11.898$ You know, the senators know that much about,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- $01:19:11.900 \longrightarrow 01:19:13.580$ you know, what, like how to go about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- $01:19:13.580 \rightarrow 01:19:15.148$ They didn't even know what Twitter is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- 01:19:15.150 --> 01:19:16.670 One of them, you know, it's like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- $01:19:16.670 \rightarrow 01:19:19.202$ and so maybe there's a role for it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- 01:19:19.202 --> 01:19:21.090 Maybe there's, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- $01:19:21.090 \rightarrow 01:19:23.690$ ways like guardrails that they want to wreck.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- $01:19:23.690 \rightarrow 01:19:26.606$ Maybe there's changes to, for instance,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- 01:19:26.610 --> 01:19:27.947 you know, a lot of these platforms,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- $01:19:27.950 \longrightarrow 01:19:29.526$ they are not publishers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- $01:19:29.526 \longrightarrow 01:19:31.496$ And in the traditional sense,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- $01:19:31.500 \rightarrow 01:19:32.753$ you know, they cannot be sued for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- $01:19:32.753 \rightarrow 01:19:33.779$ anything that's on the platform,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714
- $01:19:33.780 \rightarrow 01:19:35.553$ unlike the New York Times or The New Yorker

01:19:35.553 - 01:19:38.600 or something like that. And so maybe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

01:19:38.600 --> 01:19:42.618 But, but but I I don't know if.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

 $01:19:42.620 \rightarrow 01:19:44.540$ I don't know how much regulation will help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

 $01:19:44.540 \longrightarrow 01:19:47.740$ My sense is that there'll be a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

 $01:19:47.740 \longrightarrow 01:19:51.438$ The avenues to to better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

01:19:51.438 --> 01:19:52.982 healthier social media environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

 $01:19:52.982 \longrightarrow 01:19:55.391$ are in part cultural and part

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

01:19:55.391 - 01:19:57.749 social and part pressure on these

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

 $01{:}19{:}57{.}749 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}59{.}817$ companies to do things differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

01:19:59.820 --> 01:20:01.164 Obviously, I think Elon Musk is

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

01:20:01.164 --> 01:20:02.320 going to buy Twitter soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

 $01:20:02.320 \longrightarrow 01:20:04.280$ So I think things are who knows

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

 $01:20:04.280 \longrightarrow 01:20:05.960$ what's going to happen there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

01:20:05.960 --> 01:20:07.892 But you know, I'd be curious to

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

 $01:20:07.892 \rightarrow 01:20:09.589$ hear other people's thoughts about,

 $01:20:09.590 \longrightarrow 01:20:12.705$ you know are there targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

01:20:12.705 - 01:20:15.820 regulatory policies that would be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

 $01:20:15.820 \longrightarrow 01:20:16.312$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

 $01:20:16.312 \rightarrow 01:20:17.788$ very beneficial to the social media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

 $01:20:17.790 \longrightarrow 01:20:19.734$ This is my I I I'm skeptical of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907199514285714

 $01:20:19.740 \longrightarrow 01:20:20.840$ but maybe it's possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933521958

 $01:20:21.910 \longrightarrow 01:20:24.374$ So we have, we have time for one

NOTE Confidence: 0.933521958

 $01:20:24.374 \longrightarrow 01:20:25.726$ more question and then I'll just

NOTE Confidence: 0.933521958

 $01{:}20{:}25.726 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}26.990$ wrap it up very quickly please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960653625

01:20:27.940 --> 01:20:28.680 So I thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.884786503157895

 $01:20:28.690 \longrightarrow 01:20:30.293$ for speaking. I think you had an

NOTE Confidence: 0.884786503157895

01:20:30.293 --> 01:20:32.197 article in The New Yorker in January

NOTE Confidence: 0.884786503157895

 $01{:}20{:}32{.}197 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}33{.}657$ where you described the pandemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.884786503157895

 $01:20:33.660 \longrightarrow 01:20:34.934$ as sort of like a limital period

NOTE Confidence: 0.884786503157895

 $01:20:34.940 \longrightarrow 01:20:36.400$ or like a transitionary period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884786503157895

 $01:20:36.400 \rightarrow 01:20:38.616$ And how we've become a lot more aware,

 $01{:}20{:}38.620 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}40.440$ at least the conversation about mistrust

NOTE Confidence: 0.884786503157895

 $01:20:40.440 \rightarrow 01:20:42.112$ and misinformation is growing during

NOTE Confidence: 0.884786503157895

 $01{:}20{:}42.112 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}44.366$ the pandemic and how we may be entering

NOTE Confidence: 0.884786503157895

 $01:20:44.366 \longrightarrow 01:20:46.308$ a post limital period at some point

NOTE Confidence: 0.852163558571429

 $01{:}20{:}46{.}320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}47{.}958$ when we kind of exit the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852163558571429

 $01{:}20{:}47{.}960 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}48{.}626$ And you see that as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80588489

 $01:20:48.640 \longrightarrow 01:20:50.684$ time for change, I think like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80588489

 $01:20:50.684 \rightarrow 01:20:52.374$ the monkey pox conversation that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80588489

 $01{:}20{:}52{.}374 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}54{.}502$ came a few months after that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80588489

 $01{:}20{:}54{.}502 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}56{.}458$ that we saw the mistrust and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80588489

 $01:20:56.458 \rightarrow 01:20:57.986$ misinformation surrounding that topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948965425

01:20:58.090 --> 01:20:59.070 Do you still believe

NOTE Confidence: 0.76903579

01:20:59.080 --> 01:21:00.310 like a time of uncertainty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803127282727273

01:21:00.320 --> 01:21:01.580 a time where there's like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.803127282727273

 $01:21:01.580 \longrightarrow 01:21:02.870$ pandemic or even an epidemic?

 $01:21:02.870 \longrightarrow 01:21:04.830$ Is the right time to start a foster

NOTE Confidence: 0.856168382142857

 $01:21:04.840 \longrightarrow 01:21:06.088$ trust, or do you see that as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.856168382142857

01:21:06.088 --> 01:21:07.330 time for more of damage control

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:21:07.340 \rightarrow 01:21:09.920$ on the behalf of medical professionals?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:21:09.920 \longrightarrow 01:21:10.620$ That's a good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

01:21:10.620 --> 01:21:12.450 I mean I think times of uncertainty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

01:21:12.450 --> 01:21:15.100 you know, limbo periods, liminal states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01{:}21{:}15{.}100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}17{.}130$ I think it's a it's a time in which a

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:21:17.192 \rightarrow 01:21:19.336$ lot of progress can and should be made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

01:21:19.340 --> 01:21:21.260 And you know, when there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

01:21:21.260 --> 01:21:23.636 uncertainty and chaos in a society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:21:23.640 \longrightarrow 01:21:25.112$ as there has been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01{:}21{:}25{.}112 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}28{.}418$ that's the time to push things in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:21:28.418 \rightarrow 01:21:31.470$ direction that that you want to see them go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01{:}21{:}31{.}470 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}33{.}279$ You know, I think there's a lot more we

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:21:33.279 \rightarrow 01:21:35.054$ should be doing right now around pandemic

 $01:21:35.054 \rightarrow 01:21:36.859$ preparedness and and it seems like we're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

01:21:36.860 --> 01:21:39.513 you know, turning you know, our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01{:}21{:}39{.}513 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}41{.}537$ Our view away from it for some reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01{:}21{:}41{.}537 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}43{.}628$ and and it should be massive amounts

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:21:43.628 \rightarrow 01:21:45.340$ of investment of next generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:21:45.340 \longrightarrow 01:21:47.675$ vaccines and investing public health

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:21:47.675 \longrightarrow 01:21:49.543$ departments and improving ventilation

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:21:49.550 \longrightarrow 01:21:52.110$ says all the things that we know work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

01:21:52.110 --> 01:21:52.878 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:21:52.878 \longrightarrow 01:21:54.414$ it happened after 1918 and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

01:21:54.414 --> 01:21:55.988 seems like it's happening now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:21:55.990 \rightarrow 01:21:58.720$ People want to forget about what happened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:21:58.720 \longrightarrow 01:22:00.610$ but that would be a mistake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

01:22:00.610 --> 01:22:01.218 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

01:22:01.218 --> 01:22:03.650 I think each of the last three decades

 $01{:}22{:}03.716 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}06.122$ there's been a coronavirus that's mutated

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01{:}22{:}06{.}122 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}08{.}790$ into a epidemic type of virus and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:22:08.790 \rightarrow 01:22:10.970$ were lucky her the first two times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:22:10.970 \longrightarrow 01:22:12.418$ There's no reason to think that in 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01{:}22{:}12{.}418 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}14{.}050$ years or 20 years it won't happen again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01{:}22{:}14.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}16.640$ And so I do think while these

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:22:16.640 \longrightarrow 01:22:18.590$ things are fresh in people's minds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:22:18.590 \longrightarrow 01:22:21.369$ while the pieces are shifting and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01{:}22{:}21{.}369 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}24{.}048$ trying to figure out what comes next,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:22:24.050 \rightarrow 01:22:27.207$ is exactly the time to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01{:}22{:}27{.}207 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}29{.}165$ capitalize on on this kind of feeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01:22:29.165 \longrightarrow 01:22:30.921$ that we need to do something to

NOTE Confidence: 0.932331028333333

 $01{:}22{:}30{.}921 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}32{.}536$ prevent it from happening again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836678936

01:22:34.070 --> 01:22:35.904 Doctor Drew Bullard, thank you so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.836678936

 $01:22:35.904 \rightarrow 01:22:37.356$ for this evening. This is terrific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836678936

 $01:22:37.356 \rightarrow 01:22:38.680$ We really appreciate it. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44154754 01:22:42.750 --> 01:22:43.000 Close.