WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:25:47" NOTE recognizability:0.846

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.948991445

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:00.710$ You're welcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919696

00:00:03.660 --> 00:00:05.484 All right, ladies and gentlemen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919696

 $00:00:05.484 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.753$ thank you all for your.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919696

 $00{:}00{:}07.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}09.800$ Attend your virtual attendance

NOTE Confidence: 0.903114562727273

 $00:00:10.020 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.258$ and to those who are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.903114562727273

 $00:00:12.258 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.290$ person in the room here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903114562727273

 $00:00:14.290 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.320$ This is the my name's Jack Hughes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903114562727273

 $00{:}00{:}16.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.622$ I'm an associate director along with

NOTE Confidence: 0.903114562727273

00:00:18.622 --> 00:00:20.995 Doctor Sarah Hull for the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.903114562727273

 $00:00:20.995 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.302$ of program for Biomedical Ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903114562727273

 $00{:}00{:}23.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.751$ which is headed by Doctor Mark Mercurio

NOTE Confidence: 0.903114562727273

00:00:26.751 --> 00:00:29.240 who is here also just to remind

NOTE Confidence: 0.903114562727273

 $00:00:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.236$ you that we have sessions at least

00:00:32.236 --> 00:00:33.910 once and sometimes twice a month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903114562727273

00:00:33.910 --> 00:00:37.423 Our next session will be Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.903114562727273

00:00:37.423 --> 00:00:40.718 Sarah Hall who is here today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903114562727273

 $00:00:40.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.510$ And that will be in two weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903114562727273

 $00:00:45.510 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.379$ June 8th OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:00:49.690 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.950$ Today we are privileged to have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:00:53.950 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.254$ Mark, excuse me David Berg who is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:00:58.254 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.750$ organizational psychologist he has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00{:}01{:}01.750 \longrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.418$ He is a former professor at the at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

00:01:05.418 --> 00:01:07.488 School of Organization and Management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00{:}01{:}07.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.741$ He is has been a consultant and

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:01:11.741 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.887$ he is interested in Group and

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

00:01:15.887 --> 00:01:18.860 organizational dynamics. He is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00{:}01{:}18.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23.680$ He is currently a clinical professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

00:01:23.680 --> 00:01:25.160 in the Department of Psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:01:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.492$ He's won several teaching awards

 $00:01:28.492 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.359$ and he is quite well known

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

00:01:32.359 --> 00:01:34.078 among undergraduate students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

00:01:34.080 --> 00:01:37.168 medical students, medical residents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

00:01:37.168 --> 00:01:39.662 psychiatry, residents, and faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:01:39.662 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.030$ He is a facile lecturer and has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:01:47.030 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.340$ Made us all very appreciative

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:01:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.270$ that he is joining us here today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:01:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.578$ He's going to talk about the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:01:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.055$ Ethical challenges of organs of

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:01:58.055 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.070$ organizations to right sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:02:02.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.940$ So our plan is for Professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:02:05.940 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.010$ Berg to speak for a few moments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:02:09.010 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.747$ If at any point you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00{:}02{:}11.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15.764$ please send them to the chat or the no

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

00:02:15.764 --> 00:02:19.310 excuse me to the Q&A Q&A

and we will

 $00:02:19.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.190$ feed those questions to Professor Berg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:02:22.190 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.058$ He will also be asking questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:02:25.058 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.730$ to which we hope you will respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

00:02:28.730 --> 00:02:31.047 David, anything else you want to add.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:02:31.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.828$ He will not be wearing a mask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:02:32.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.476$ I assume because he's going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:02:35.476 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.707$ safely distanced from the rest of us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953506525

 $00:02:37.710 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.620$ So thank you, Jack. Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:02:40.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.550$ I'm going to talk to the people in the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:02:43.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.320$ Those of you who are watching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:02:45.320 \dashrightarrow 00:02:48.128$ I hope this will work for you as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

00:02:48.130 --> 00:02:49.810 But talking to people I can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00{:}02{:}49.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52.282$ see is is a challenge that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:02:52.282 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.988$ really not up for at the moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:02:53.990 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.727$ So I'll talk to the people in the room.

 $00:02:55.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.090$ I'm glad to be here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:02:57.090 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.690$ especially given that I'm not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:02:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.568$ On a physician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:03:00.568 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.698$ I'm not a professional ethicist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:03:03.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.004$ I'm an organizational psychologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00{:}03{:}06.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08.796$ and what I'd like to try to do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:03:08.796 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.488$ talk a little bit about how what

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:03:11.488 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.044$ I see as the normal aspects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00{:}03{:}15.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}17.516$ organizational life that create

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:03:17.516 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.228$ challenges for ethical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

00:03:20.230 --> 00:03:21.106 Before I start,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00{:}03{:}21.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.858$ I'm going to ask this people

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:03:22.858 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.797$ in the room if there's anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:03:24.797 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.682$ they want to know about me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:03:26.682 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.824$ or because we don't have a relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:03:28.824 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.062$ here and we're about to do some

 $00{:}03{:}31.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32.310$ work without a relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00{:}03{:}32.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34.170$ and that that sort of violates

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:03:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.317$ the core tenant of mine that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:03:36.317 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.270$ to do with how work gets done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:03:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.734$ but if anything you want to know or

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:03:40.734 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.480$ anything you want to tell me about this this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00:03:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.140$ This seminar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00{:}03{:}44.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46.780$ or this series that would help me get

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00{:}03{:}46.849 \to 00{:}03{:}49.697$ oriented to the process that would be great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00{:}03{:}49.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51.919$ Anybody wanna help me out here so

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

00:03:51.920 --> 00:03:53.962 we have honored guests come here

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00{:}03{:}53.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56.196$ and speak to us about their area of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87846389

 $00{:}03{:}56.196 \to 00{:}03{:}59.800$ expertise and then we heard that but you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76279445

 $00:03:59.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.830$ my friend, have license

NOTE Confidence: 0.76279445

 $00:04:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.640$ to say whatever you feel.

 $00:04:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.610$ He said on the subject

NOTE Confidence: 0.76279445

 $00:04:04.610 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.890$ we are very interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76279445

 $00:04:05.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.546$ Several of us in the programmer

NOTE Confidence: 0.76279445

 $00:04:07.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.521$ specifically in organizational ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76279445

00:04:10.521 --> 00:04:11.930 Still, you're allowed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76279445

 $00{:}04{:}11.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12.718$ and you're certainly allowed

NOTE Confidence: 0.76279445

00:04:12.718 --> 00:04:13.900 to ask US questions and give

NOTE Confidence: 0.76279445

 $00:04:13.939 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.765$ us a bit of a hard time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76279445

 $00:04:14.770 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.439$ By all means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821423506666667

00:04:15.490 --> 00:04:19.090 OK, I probably won't give you a hard time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821423506666667

 $00:04:19.090 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.820$ I hope to stimulate the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.821423506666667

 $00:04:21.820 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.838$ you think about. And that song.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821423506666667

00:04:23.838 --> 00:04:25.806 OK, now you're telling me how

NOTE Confidence: 0.821423506666667

00:04:25.806 --> 00:04:27.464 this system works here, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.821423506666667

 $00:04:27.464 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.640$ OK, I appreciate that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00{:}04{:}31.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.254$ Right exactly so let me what I have

00:04:34.254 --> 00:04:37.485 here that you can't see which is OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:04:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.794$ is a rough, meandering outline for

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:04:39.794 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.849$ my 35 or 40 minutes, and it starts

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:04:42.849 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.367$ on the left with an introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:04:44.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.505$ which we're sort of almost done with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:04:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.526$ And then it goes to the general

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:04:48.526 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.830$ topic of professional ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:04:49.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.090$ and I'm going to tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:04:51.090 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.509$ what I mean by that term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:04:52.510 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.190$ which I assume means something

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:04:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.870$ very different to everybody who

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:04:55.924 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.906$ is a regular attendant of this of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00{:}04{:}57.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59.686$ this program and this seminar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:04:59.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.690$ But just so you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:05:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.783$ Where I'm coming from then I'll move

 $00:05:02.783 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.757$ to a brief discussion of what I mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00{:}05{:}05.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.762$ by an organization for organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00{:}05{:}07.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.840$ And then the specific subtext

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:05:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.041$ category of that which is the general

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

00:05:13.041 --> 00:05:15.149 topic of intergroup relations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

00:05:15.150 --> 00:05:16.774 And from that I'll go to something

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:05:16.774 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.755$ that I've set up here is called

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

00:05:18.755 --> 00:05:19.369 worldly philosopher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00{:}05{:}19.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21.306$ I want to talk about a guy named

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:05:21.306 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.510$ Albert Hirschman, who is an economist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00{:}05{:}23.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.770$ I don't like economists, so this is a real.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:05:26.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.744$ This is a testimony to Albert Hirschman

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:05:28.744 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.895$ that I'm going to talk about Albert

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:05:30.895 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.905$ Hirschman and and then if we have time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:05:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.124$ I'll I'll talk briefly and make

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:05:36.124 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.260$ reference to the to concept.

 $00:05:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.410$ Of descent in organizations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:05:41.410 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.930$ it's rolling ethical behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:05:43.930 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.536$ OK. Ready OK? So far so good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125 00:05:48.540 --> 00:05:49.051 OK, NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:05:49.051 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.073$ professional ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

00:05:50.073 --> 00:05:52.628 I'm going to define professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:05:52.628 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.724$ ethics for the purposes of of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00{:}05{:}55.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58.257$ today's talk in conversation as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:05:58.257 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.552$ guiding moral and practical standards

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00{:}06{:}00.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.270$ to which members of a profession

NOTE Confidence: 0.818710023125

 $00:06:03.270 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.590$ hold themselves accountable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876058477647059

NOTE Confidence: 0.876058477647059

 $00{:}06{:}08.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11.765$ standards to which members of the of

NOTE Confidence: 0.876058477647059

 $00{:}06{:}11.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.825$ a profession hold themselves account.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:06:16.470 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.590$ The other thing I'm going to say about

00:06:18.590 --> 00:06:20.967 that is that I believe these standards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:06:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.504$ both the moral and the practical ones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:06:23.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.858$ are negotiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

00:06:24.858 --> 00:06:26.880 They're always negotiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

00:06:26.880 --> 00:06:29.780 They're negotiated across time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:06:29.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.088$ across cultures, across people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:06:32.088 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.662$ So this is where we might

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:06:34.662 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.730$ have some conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:06:36.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.114$ I I take as axiomatic that moral and

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:06:40.114 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.169$ practical standards are negotiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:06:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.977$ Now it's a leisure to see practical

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:06:44.977 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.476$ standards as negotiated since they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:06:47.476 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.516$ constantly revised with new knowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

00:06:49.516 --> 00:06:52.506 or with new methods or new discoveries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

00:06:52.510 --> 00:06:54.448 it's sometimes a little harder to

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:06:54.448 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.589$ look at moral standards as negotiable,

 $00:06:56.590 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.525$ but that's my observation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

00:06:58.525 --> 00:07:00.460 human history and human culture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:07:00.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.280$ and I just lay it out for you that that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00{:}07{:}03.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}06.700$ Moral standards too are negotiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00{:}07{:}06.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.563$ So the question then is what in

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:07:09.563 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.709$ the makeup of organizational life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:07:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.761$ Makes holding yourself accountable

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:07:16.761 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.796$ to certain moral and professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:07:18.796 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.220$ standards a challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00{:}07{:}20.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.446$ And I think there are things and

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00{:}07{:}22.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.275$ the challenge is not something

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:07:24.275 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.879$ that you can eradicate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00{:}07{:}25.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.808$ It's something that you need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00{:}07{:}28.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}30.760$ manage because the characters

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:07:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.160$ of organizations that I will.

 $00:07:33.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.150$ Layout or create these challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00{:}07{:}37.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39.706$ So unless you don't have organizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:07:39.710 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.938$ you can't avoid the challenges so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00:07:42.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.038$ Organizations. All right, this is Karen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

00:07:47.040 --> 00:07:48.097 I hope you're going to tell me

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

 $00{:}07{:}48.097 --> 00{:}07{:}51.000$ if you can see this. So this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913211212

00:07:51.000 --> 00:07:53.440 Is bird's simple picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437565

00:07:56.880 --> 00:08:00.936 Of an organization. It takes its name

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437565

 $00:08:00.936 \dashrightarrow 00:08:03.130$ from the picture that I just drew.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437565

 $00{:}08{:}03.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05.050$ What kind of organizational

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437565

 $00:08:05.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.490$ organization is this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437565

 $00:08:06.490 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.175$ I'm asking the seven people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437565

 $00:08:08.175 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.540$ in the room for a project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437565

00:08:10.540 --> 00:08:14.037 Yeah, it's a pyramidal organization, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437565

00:08:14.037 --> 00:08:16.059 Meaning technically I would draw this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437565

 $00:08:16.060 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.206$ you know, whatever.

00:08:17.206 --> 00:08:19.116 But it's a pyramidal organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437565

 $00:08:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.640$ and it defines traditionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437565

00:08:21.640 --> 00:08:24.160 meaning 19th century sociological

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437565

 $00:08:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.420$ bureaucratic organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437565

 $00:08:25.420 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.608$ It defines two types of groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8437565

00:08:29.610 --> 00:08:34.140 It defines a group by hierarchy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855339416666667

 $00:08:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.664$ Which means one's level of authority

NOTE Confidence: 0.855339416666667

 $00:08:40.664 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.740$ in the institution, and that defines

NOTE Confidence: 0.855339416666667

 $00{:}08{:}43.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.850$ another set of groups. By function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:08:50.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.338$ Now, part of the reason why organizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:08:54.338 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.013$ exist and look like this is not because

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

00:08:57.013 --> 00:09:00.286 somebody drew it up in the 19th century,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:09:00.286 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.370$ but because human organization formed out

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00{:}09{:}03.453 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.768$ of a specialization and differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:09:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.864$ And once people found the both the

 $00:09:09.864 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.722$ efficiencies and the quality that comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00{:}09{:}12.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.786$ from people deepening their expertise or

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:09:15.786 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.930$ their capabilities in a particular area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:09:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.130$ they confronted the problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:09:20.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.330$ of how to coordinate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:09:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.553$ Those increasingly separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:09:23.553 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.776$ areas of expertise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:09:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.655$ This should sound familiar to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00{:}09{:}27.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.465$ every body in the room, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:09:29.465 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.295$ They have modern terms for this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:09:31.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.526$ but it's an old problem with

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:09:33.526 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.639$ respect to organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:09:34.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.736$ which is if you if not if everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

00:09:36.736 --> 00:09:38.798 doesn't do anything which is a Guild.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:09:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.874$ I make something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:09:39.874 \dashrightarrow 00:09:43.163$ but if I specialize then I see my part

00:09:43.163 --> 00:09:45.971 much more clearly than I see some other

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

00:09:46.047 --> 00:09:48.936 part I know my part much better than I

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:09:48.936 \dashrightarrow 00:09:52.290$ know somebody else's part and someone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:09:52.290 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.720$ Or some function needs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00{:}09{:}54.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}56.664$ coordinate among the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:09:56.664 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.390$ parts if the service or product is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:10:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.521$ Requires that coordination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:10:01.521 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.986$ So if I smelt the metal and you solder

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00{:}10{:}04.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07.248$ it and somebody else engraves it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00{:}10{:}07.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09.134$ that's a coordination where I used

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:10:09.134 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.528$ to do all of those by myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00{:}10{:}11.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13.672$ But we can make more pewter mugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:10:13.672 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.569$ if we do it that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:10:15.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.786$ Then if I'm the only one doing them

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:10:17.786 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.250$ one at a time, I may not be as nice.

 $00:10:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.570$ They may not be as collectible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:10:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.260$ but I can do more. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

00:10:25.260 --> 00:10:29.340 That also requires I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:10:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.465$ to use a particular word.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:10:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.375$ It requires the parts by sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:10:33.375 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.697$ explicit or tacit contract to subordinate

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:10:36.697 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.017$ themselves to the coordinating function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:10:40.020 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.368$ That is to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00{:}10{:}41.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.880$ I'm going to give you the legitimate right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:10:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.106$ To compel my behavior as it relates

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00{:}10{:}47.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.520$ to the other parts of this system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00{:}10{:}49.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51.385$ because you are seeing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:10:51.385 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.680$ system and I'm seeing the part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

00:10:53.680 --> 00:10:54.194 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:10:54.194 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.222$ standard organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:10:55.222 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.278$ Now what standard organization

 $00{:}10{:}57.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00.210$ theory did not do was describe what

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

00:11:00.210 --> 00:11:02.899 I would call a third kind of group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:11:02.900 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.588$ which is implicit in this chart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:11:05.590 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.698$ But not articulate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

00:11:08.700 --> 00:11:10.356 Those hundreds in the room wanted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:11:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.638$ wanted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

00:11:10.638 --> 00:11:12.862 wanna take a guess as to what I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00{:}11{:}12.862 \longrightarrow 00{:}11{:}15.194$ giving you a hint by drawing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.6688653

 $00:11:15.194 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.518$ boundary around the organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:11:18.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.992$ 3rd type of group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:11:20.992 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.250$ 3rd type of group yeah more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:11:24.250 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.156$ There are you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00{:}11{:}25.156 --> 00{:}11{:}26.666$ You could say there are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:11:26.670 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.790$ There are relationships with other

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:11:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.486$ blobs outside the organization,

 $00:11:30.490 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.951$ interorganizational relationships of

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:11:31.951 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.386$ people belonging to another organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:11:34.390 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.950$ I would say that's a special case of

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:11:36.950 --> 00:11:39.626 a general type of group which I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:11:39.626 --> 00:11:42.330 call for the moment and identity group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:11:42.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.050$ or some people would call

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:11:44.050 --> 00:11:45.426 it a historical group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:11:45.430 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.595$ meaning the groups to which

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:11:47.595 --> 00:11:49.760 people belong that they bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:11:49.838 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.268$ with them into the organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:11:52.270 --> 00:11:53.986 So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:11:53.986 --> 00:11:57.418 if you're working in an organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:11:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.115$ there are a whole bunch of group

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:12:00.115 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.480$ memberships that you bring with you

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:12:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.640$ when you come into that organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:12:05.640 --> 00:12:10.520 Example. Take the easy simple ones.

 $00:12:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.417$ Depends on what you mean by easy

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:12:12.417 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.560$ and simple stay in the camera OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:12:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.856$ In front of the yellow stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:12:17.860 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.600$ OK, it's kind of cool to hear my voice no OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:12:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.755$ I'll stay in front of the camera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:12:22.760 --> 00:12:25.736 OK, some examples of these of

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:12:25.736 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.520$ these other kinds of groups?

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:12:28.520 --> 00:12:32.174 For example, I come into this

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00{:}12{:}32.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}34.610$ organization as a psychologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:12:34.610 --> 00:12:36.202 You could say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:12:36.202 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.396$ that's a function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:12:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.724$ Unless there are no

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00{:}12{:}38.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}39.717$ functions for psychologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:12:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.960$ in which case I come in as a psychologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:12:42.960 --> 00:12:45.296 But the point is, even when I leave,

 $00:12:45.300 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.380$ I'm still a psychologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:12:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.500$ That identity that group membership comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00{:}12{:}50.586 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}52.880$ with me when I'm inside the organization

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:12:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.490$ and when I'm outside the organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:12:55.490 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.428$ There are lots of such groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:12:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.190$ right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:12:59.190 --> 00:13:01.910 Race, gender background nationality

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:13:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.570$ politics religion there are lots of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00{:}13{:}06.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08.826$ We bring those into the organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:13:08.830 --> 00:13:11.734 The reason this is I add this group

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:13:11.734 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.360$ is because the the point here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:13:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.272$ that the groups to which we belong

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:13:17.272 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.216$ inside an organization mediate our

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00{:}13{:}20.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.781$ experience of that organization and

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:13:23.781 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.579$ the organizations experience of us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:13:26.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.092$ So having worked in a medical

 $00:13:28.092 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.100$ school for 20 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:13:29.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.175$ I'm very familiar with what

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:13:31.175 --> 00:13:33.744 happens the first time you walk

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00{:}13{:}33.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36.192$ into a group of medical residents

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:13:36.192 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.649$ and say you're a psychologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:13:38.650 --> 00:13:41.100 They treat you very respectfully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:13:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.410$ But they have no idea what

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:13:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.565$ you're doing there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:13:44.570 --> 00:13:47.465 Because they don't really know

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:13:47.465 --> 00:13:50.360 what a psychologist is doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:13:50.465 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.017$ in a medical organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00{:}13{:}53.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}57.364$ Or it it the example I use about

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:13:57.364 --> 00:13:59.219 the role of these groups is

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:13:59.219 --> 00:14:01.217 imagine that you were about to

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:14:01.217 --> 00:14:04.318 write an early retirement memo.

 $00:14:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.964$ You're about to write a memo

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:14:05.964 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.060$ to the organization saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085 00:14:07.060 --> 00:14:07.268 hey, NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:14:07.268 --> 00:14:08.516 we're offering a plan if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:14:08.516 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.960$ want to take early retirement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:14:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.018$ you get this number of years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00{:}14{:}12.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14.243$ Do you imagine a 55 year old is gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

 $00:14:14.243 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.116$ write that memo the same way a 35

NOTE Confidence: 0.850167085

00:14:16.116 --> 00:14:18.110 year old is gonna write that memo?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83406024125

 $00:14:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.632$ We certainly figure that a 55 year old's

NOTE Confidence: 0.83406024125

 $00{:}14{:}22.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}24.633$ gonna read that memo differently than a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83406024125

 $00:14:24.633 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.019$ 35 year old memo is going to read it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83406024125

 $00:14:27.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.470$ And there is a sort of small example of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.83406024125

 $00{:}14{:}29.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31.876$ everything that happens in organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83406024125

00:14:31.880 --> 00:14:33.120 From my point of view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83406024125

 $00:14:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.900$ is experienced differently through the

 $00:14:34.900 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.075$ lens of the of the of the broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.83406024125

 $00{:}14{:}38.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}40.320$ types of groups that people belong to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699753

 $00:14:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.906$ Now. I'm gonna expand a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699753

 $00:14:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.446$ On these three types of groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699753

 $00:14:49.450 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.766$ because it's in these three types

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699753

 $00:14:51.766 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.082$ of groups that the challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.8699753

 $00:14:54.082 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.070$ of organizations. Exist?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81535124625

 $00{:}14{:}59.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02.415$ What affects people's sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81535124625

 $00:15:02.415 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.038$ behavior in organizations?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81535124625

00:15:04.040 --> 00:15:05.320 From my point of view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81535124625

 $00:15:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.985$ is the current and historical

NOTE Confidence: 0.81535124625

 $00:15:07.985 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.117$ relationships between the many

NOTE Confidence: 0.81535124625

 $00:15:10.117 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.409$ groups to which they belong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

00:15:14.440 --> 00:15:15.980 Again, in a simple form,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:15:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.276$ if there's a if there's a an impending

00:15:19.276 --> 00:15:21.998 strike with a unionized workforce,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:15:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.360$ then the relationships between bargaining

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:15:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.134$ unit people and management are probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:15:27.134 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.910$ going to be strained in the weeks leading

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:15:29.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.357$ up to whatever the negotiation is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:15:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.925$ Because there's a historical relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:15:34.925 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.340$ between union and management in a hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:15:38.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.325$ And there's a current situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

00:15:40.325 --> 00:15:41.913 between unity and management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

00:15:41.920 --> 00:15:43.156 namely impending negotiations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

00:15:43.156 --> 00:15:46.040 But it is true about all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:15:46.115 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.390$ these different kinds of groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:15:48.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.170$ There's a history between various functions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00{:}15{:}52.170 --> 00{:}15{:}55.402$ Meaning, you know, I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

00:15:55.402 --> 00:15:56.770 I don't want to offend anybody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:15:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.220$ but everybody knows that their relationships

 $00:16:00.220 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.985$ between different functional groups take

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00{:}16{:}02.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.701$ the finance people and the clinical people

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:16:05.701 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.506$ or the surgeons and the GI of the section.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:16:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.608$ Those historical and then current functions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:16:16.610 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.367$ Influence how people behave in the moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:16:18.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.655$ So let me take hierarchical

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

00:16:20.655 --> 00:16:22.786 because hierarchical groups are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:16:22.786 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.054$ in my opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:16:25.054 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.078$ arguably in modern organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

00:16:28.080 --> 00:16:32.100 Probably I don't understand a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

00:16:32.100 --> 00:16:35.276 important effect upon people's behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:16:35.276 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.260$ especially their ethical behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00{:}16{:}37.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}40.315$ So why would hierarchy affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

00:16:40.315 --> 00:16:42.148 people's ethical behavior?

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:16:42.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.745$ Now everybody in this room's

 $00:16:43.745 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.027$ got to know this from the lived

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00{:}16{:}46.027 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}16{:}48.176$ experience of being a physician or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

00:16:48.176 --> 00:16:50.660 a health care person in a hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:16:50.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.305$ so this is actually not a I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:16:52.305 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.938$ going to give you my examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:16:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.440$ I have dozens of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:16:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.212$ but we all know them, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:16:57.212 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.300$ We all know when.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00{:}16{:}58.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00.640$ You've been challenged because of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:17:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.195$ Of of the hierarchical groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:17:02.195 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.439$ to which we belong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:17:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.288$ and I would say what that usually means

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

00:17:06.288 --> 00:17:09.452 is the people in the lower position

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00{:}17{:}09.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11.817$ in the hierarchy are vulnerable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

00:17:11.820 --> 00:17:13.208 structurally vulnerable in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:17:13.208 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.580$ relation to the people at the top.

 $00:17:15.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.210$ That means they write recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00{:}17{:}17.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19.176$ they have something to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

00:17:19.176 --> 00:17:20.556 their pay and their career.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

00:17:20.560 --> 00:17:22.700 They that's a structural vulnerability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:17:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.160$ has nothing to do with personalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:17:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.080$ It has nothing to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:17:27.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.720$ interpersonal relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:17:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.560$ It has to do with the structural nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00:17:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.080$ And if you go back to what I said before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

00:17:32.080 --> 00:17:33.950 that's built into any organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881906252

 $00{:}17{:}33.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.680$ that vulnerability is built in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

00:17:37.790 --> 00:17:41.138 So I'll give you my example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

 $00:17:41.140 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.532$ Years and years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

 $00:17:42.532 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.430$ a chief resident comes to me and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

 $00:17:45.430 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.926$ with the with the following distress.

 $00:17:47.926 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.588$ She has had an end of life

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

 $00{:}17{:}52.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54.208$ conversation with a family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

00:17:54.208 --> 00:17:56.104 how they want to handle a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

 $00:17:56.104 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.977$ terminally ill patient with cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

 $00:17:57.980 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.218$ They've had a long conversation conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

 $00:18:00.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.440$ She was trained for professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

 $00:18:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.216$ standards and ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

 $00:18:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.632$ and they've come to decide it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

 $00:18:06.632 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.109$ going to be comfort care only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

00:18:09.110 --> 00:18:10.795 A couple of minutes after

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

 $00:18:10.795 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.143$ she had that conversation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

00:18:12.150 --> 00:18:16.302 she runs in to a an attending faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

 $00{:}18{:}16.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19.940$ member who is a cancer researcher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

00:18:19.940 --> 00:18:22.464 And the attending says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

00:18:22.464 --> 00:18:25.619 why did you do that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89641998

 $00:18:25.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.645$ I was hoping to enroll

 $00:18:27.645 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.670$ that person in a trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914661445

 $00:18:32.870 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.750$ Now it is tempting to revile one or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.914661445

 $00:18:35.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.390$ other of the actors in this situation. Right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914661445

00:18:40.390 --> 00:18:43.967 But from an organizational point of view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914661445

 $00:18:43.970 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.140$ the attending physician who's being

NOTE Confidence: 0.914661445

 $00:18:46.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.227$ promoted and who may have been recruited

NOTE Confidence: 0.914661445

 $00:18:49.227 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.747$ and is certainly being promoted and

NOTE Confidence: 0.914661445

 $00:18:51.747 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.653$ is being tasked with doing medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.914661445

 $00{:}18{:}54.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56.645$ cutting edge medical research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914661445

 $00:18:56.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.519$ Doing her job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914661445

 $00:18:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.480$ Maybe we'll have that discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914661445

 $00:19:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.756$ And the medical the chief resident

NOTE Confidence: 0.914661445

00:19:04.756 --> 00:19:07.440 trained is doing her job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914661445

 $00:19:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.051$ And when the attending says to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.914661445

00:19:10.051 --> 00:19:11.948 chief resident, why'd you do that?

 $00:19:11.948 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.096$ Don't do it again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914661445

 $00:19:13.100 \dashrightarrow 00:19:16.110$ The chief resident has an ethical dilemma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

00:19:18.150 --> 00:19:20.388 Which you've all seen, I'm sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

00:19:20.390 --> 00:19:22.090 or experience dozens of times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

 $00:19:22.090 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.868$ and it's because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

00:19:24.870 --> 00:19:27.180 A chief resident relationship to

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

 $00:19:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.490$ attend to an attending physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

00:19:29.490 --> 00:19:32.954 And I would suggest to you that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

 $00{:}19{:}32.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34.548$ another historical relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

00:19:34.548 --> 00:19:37.443 which is the relationship between

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

 $00:19:37.443 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.620$ research and clinical care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

 $00:19:39.620 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.280$ Which is also at play in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

 $00:19:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.396$ interaction and and oftentimes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

 $00:19:44.396 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.132$ relationship between functional groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

 $00:19:46.132 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.949$ has a hierarchical component to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

 $00:19:48.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.652$ That is one of those groups is

00:19:51.652 --> 00:19:53.952 by the organization's goals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

 $00:19:53.952 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.996$ Advantage over other groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

 $00{:}19{:}57.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}59.220$ and that advantage creates the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

00:19:59.220 --> 00:20:00.996 vulnerability that we're talking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

00:20:01.000 --> 00:20:02.872 I'm getting Vertigo here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

00:20:02.872 --> 00:20:04.276 You're getting Vertigo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

 $00:20:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.260$ I'm not even doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

 $00:20:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.388$ I'm just standing here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

00:20:06.388 --> 00:20:08.725 OK, good all right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

00:20:08.725 --> 00:20:11.412 So number one structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

 $00:20:11.412 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.472$ vulnerability of hierarchy can can

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

 $00:20:14.472 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.132$ create various kinds of ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667

 $00:20:17.132 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.120$ dilemmas and those ethical dilemmas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832043041666667 00:20:20.120 --> 00:20:21.090 Often. NOTE Confidence: 0.935551276666667

 $00:20:23.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.374$ Look at you. Look at you.

00:20:26.374 --> 00:20:29.222 Those ethical dilemmas often

NOTE Confidence: 0.935551276666667

 $00{:}20{:}29.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33.010$ result in constraints on speech.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935551276666667

 $00:20:33.010 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.364$ Speech being the ways in which we confront,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935551276666667

 $00:20:38.364 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.534$ negotiate, work through ethical issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.935551276666667

 $00:20:41.534 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.930$ and hierarchy and historical relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.935551276666667

00:20:44.930 --> 00:20:48.758 between groups can often suppress speech,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935551276666667

00:20:48.758 --> 00:20:51.618 making the the negotiation about

NOTE Confidence: 0.935551276666667

00:20:51.618 --> 00:20:54.329 ethical dilemmas more difficult,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935551276666667

 $00:20:54.330 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.770$ and that is true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935551276666667

 $00:20:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.210$ both hierarchically and function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935551276666667

 $00{:}20{:}57.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59.935$ So you know if the surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.935551276666667

 $00:20:59.935 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.660$ says we're going to do X.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935551276666667

00:21:01.660 --> 00:21:04.078 Yeah, the gastroenterologist doesn't say no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935551276666667 00:21:04.080 --> 00:21:06.290 we're not. NOTE Confidence: 0.935551276666667

00:21:06.290 --> 00:21:08.894 And similarly with

NOTE Confidence: 0.935551276666667

00:21:08.894 --> 00:21:11.320 hierarchical situations, OK?

 $00:21:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.450$ Functional groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24633187 00:21:24.110 --> 00:21:24.730 The.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:21:28.530 --> 00:21:30.170 Yeah, I'm looking at you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:21:30.170 --> 00:21:31.670 but looking at you doesn't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:21:31.670 --> 00:21:33.862 to look at me in order to say you

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:21:33.862 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.449$ told me to stand next to the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:21:35.450 --> 00:21:37.375 What are you doing to me, alright?

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:21:37.375 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.070$ We're done there's a there's a movie

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}21{:}40.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42.158$ where somebody keeps running in front

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}21{:}42.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}44.614$ of the camera OK I wanted to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:21:44.614 --> 00:21:46.119 something which word you're probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}21{:}46.119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}47.981$ familiar with to discuss a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:21:47.981 --> 00:21:49.486 bit about the challenges functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:21:49.541 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.297$ groups represent and organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:21:51.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.228$ And that is the the notion of ethnocentrism.

 $00{:}21{:}55.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}58.308$ Meaning all groups begin to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}21{:}58.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}01.918$ themselves at the center of the universe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:22:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.860$ And when they see themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:22:02.860 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.190$ at the center of the universe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:22:04.190 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.100$ what accompanies that is a belief

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:22:07.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.531$ that their view of the world is

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:22:10.531 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.327$ superior to the views of others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:22:13.330 --> 00:22:15.698 It's normal, it's problematic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}22{:}15.698 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}22{:}19.250$ but it's not like only some

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:22:19.358 --> 00:22:21.809 groups are ethnocentric.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}22{:}21.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.246$ All groups are ethnocentric,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:22:23.246 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.465$ so if we might think that surgeons are

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:22:26.465 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.940$ ethnocentric but pediatricians are not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:22:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.467$ That's only because we're not a pediatrician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}22{:}31.470 --> 00{:}22{:}31.910 \ {\rm Right},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:22:31.910 --> 00:22:33.530 because if we're a pediatrician,

 $00:22:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.960$ guess how we think about surgeons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}22{:}35.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}38.260$ But surgeons think they're better

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:22:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.100$ than pediatricians or pediatricians

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:22:40.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.992$ are much on the outside of a of

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:22:42.992 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.448$ a of a particular ring because

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:22:45.448 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.838$ of how they were trained,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:22:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.524$ how they were raised,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:22:49.524 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.050$ what the internal conversations within those

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:22:52.114 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.730$ functional groups are about other groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}22{:}54.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}57.270$ Now, this makes it difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:22:57.270 --> 00:22:58.866 When you have to work with those

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}22{:}58.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00.461$ other groups, because now I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:23:00.461 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.346$ going to exaggerate this slightly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}23{:}02.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05.410$ you're being asked to work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:23:05.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.560$ people for whom you have some.

 $00:23:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.170$ Disregard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:23:11.170 --> 00:23:12.502 It's trained disregard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:23:12.502 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.930$ You can train yourself not to say it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:23:15.930 --> 00:23:16.920 and you can train yourself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:23:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.990$ even not to feel it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:23:18.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.063$ but it's in the it's how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:23:22.063 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.150$ build the boundary around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:23:24.150 --> 00:23:26.538 Any functional group is by distinguishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}23{:}26.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}28.620$ it from other functional groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:23:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.508$ So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}23{:}29.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31.580$ when I used to teach second year

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:23:31.648 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.378$ sciatic residents and they would

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:23:33.378 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.480$ on a in their inpatient year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:23:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.224$ be asked to do some things for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:23:38.224 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.568$ which they would call social work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:23:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.148$ like making a call to find

 $00:23:42.148 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.460$ them a place to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:23:43.460 --> 00:23:45.413 They would say I didn't go to

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:23:45.413 --> 00:23:47.198 medical school to do social work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:23:47.200 --> 00:23:48.928 Now if you find yourself saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:23:48.928 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.470$ oh that's a terrible thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:23:50.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.306$ you have all said this at

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:23:52.306 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.390$ some time in your experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:23:54.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.542$ When you've been asked to do some task

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:23:56.542 --> 00:23:58.869 that was not what you were trained for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:23:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.198$ you reveal your historical

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}24{:}01.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}03.526$ relationship to other groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:24:03.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.856$ The sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}24{:}04.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07.066$ Underbelly of those relationships which

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:24:07.066 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.280$ we all work to deal with consciously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:24:10.280 --> 00:24:13.094 But Ethnocentrism is built in to

 $00:24:13.094 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.483$ the creation of boundaries around

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}24{:}15.483 \to 00{:}24{:}18.486$ groups because we learn who we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:24:18.486 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.179$ by comparing ourselves with others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:24:21.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.400$ It's not the best way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:24:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.278$ There are ways of addressing it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}24{:}25.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27.037$ which we'll talk about in a moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:24:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.428$ but it is what it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:24:29.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.628$ It it's it's the way groups are

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}24{:}31.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}33.899$ created and because groups are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

00:24:33.899 --> 00:24:35.587 building blocks of organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00{:}24{:}35.590 \to 00{:}24{:}39.286$ In organizations we have a fair amount of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773260988

 $00:24:39.290 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.090$ Ethnocentrism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872095715384615

 $00:24:44.050 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.451$ The last sort of set of groups are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.872095715384615

 $00{:}24{:}48.451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.360$ identity or historical groups. These are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

00:24:54.990 --> 00:24:57.370 Arguably, even more difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:24:57.370 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.660$ talk about than either of the 1st 2.

 $00:25:00.660 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.310$ And the historical and current

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:25:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.048$ relationship among identity groups is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:25:09.050 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.114$ It is more fraught,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

00:25:12.114 --> 00:25:15.468 usually with various kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00{:}25{:}15.468 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}25{:}18.056$ pain and historical grievances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:25:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.800$ Not always. And not always in

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:25:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.999$ in the front of people's minds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:25:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.640$ but often because, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:25:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.690$ that's the nature of belonging

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:25:25.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.980$ to different kinds of groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:25:27.980 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.108$ We see it all around us with respect

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:25:31.108 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.758$ today to things like immigration or race.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00{:}25{:}34.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37.441$ We see it historically with respect to

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:25:37.441 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.189$ those groups as well as going back as

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:25:40.189 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.180$ far as any of us can historically record.

 $00:25:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.956$ Gender relations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00{:}25{:}44.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}49.396$ national sort of a geographic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:25:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.088$ Identifications those all get

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:25:52.088 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.104$ brought into organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:25:54.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.086$ So when all of us are

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:25:57.090 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.470$ trained in unconscious bias,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:25:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.376$ we're trained as if that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:26:02.376 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.480$ in the individual mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:26:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.472$ I would suggest to you that the bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:26:07.472 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.929$ that comes or the historical views

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:26:09.929 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.312$ of other groups comes to us from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:26:13.312 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.609$ the the cultures that we belong to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:26:16.610 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.670$ it's deposited in us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:26:17.670 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.910$ So when we intervene on the individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846 00:26:19.910 --> 00:26:20.244 Brain, NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

00:26:20.244 --> 00:26:21.914 I would argue we're intervening

 $00:26:21.914 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.019$ at the wrong level of analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:26:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.332$ It's what where that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00{:}26{:}25.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27.300$ How that gets into our brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

00:26:27.300 --> 00:26:29.355 And that's about groups and

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:26:29.355 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.410$ about what groups teach their

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:26:31.490 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.438$ members about other groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:26:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.000$ And that's where we eventually

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:26:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.936$ need to intervene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

00:26:35.940 --> 00:26:36.186 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897049866153846

 $00:26:36.186 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.170$ how does that create?

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:26:39.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.474$ Ethical challenges the ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:26:41.474 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.979$ challenges of identity groups are

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:26:43.979 --> 00:26:46.766 often out of our conscious awareness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00{:}26{:}46.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.090$ The example I'll give is about five or

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:26:49.090 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.405$ six years ago there was a front page

 $00:26:51.405 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.489$ article in New York Times about huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00{:}26{:}53.489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.020$ studies at the NIH about the four month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:26:56.020 --> 00:26:57.660 You're all you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:26:57.660 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.644$ more than the four month delay for African

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:27:00.644 --> 00:27:02.970 American women in getting a mammogram,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:27:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.094$ and the NIH did every statistical

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:27:05.094 --> 00:27:07.765 thing they could to try to explain

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:27:07.765 --> 00:27:10.129 and understand why this was happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00{:}27{:}10.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.105$ They controlled for dozens of

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:27:12.105 --> 00:27:14.759 variables and the front page of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:27:14.759 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.880$ times the lead of the study said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:27:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.562$ We were searching for every explanation

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:27:19.562 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.066$ we could and at the end of the day

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:27:23.066 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.236$ the only thing we can attribute

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:27:25.236 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.498$ this to is racism. Now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:27:27.498 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.250$ Even in this room,

 $00:27:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.648$ I'm sure people are saying no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:27:30.650 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.635$ I said it's it's interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:27:33.635 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.829$ of socioeconomic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:27:34.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.006$ And the 35,000 or whatever it was OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:27:38.010 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.550$ not a randomized control trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:27:39.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.150$ but looking for everything they

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:27:42.150 --> 00:27:45.663 could find and not a single not

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:27:45.663 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.728$ going to say a single,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:27:46.730 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.350$ but no more than 2% of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00{:}27{:}50.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52.070$ of the healthcare providers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:27:52.070 --> 00:27:54.236 If you interviewed them who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:27:54.236 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.994$ involved in the healthcare of

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:27:55.994 --> 00:27:58.128 those 35,000 patients would say

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00{:}27{:}58.128 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}28{:}00.488$ I'm prejudice against black people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:28:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.148$ which is why I delay them.

00:28:03.150 --> 00:28:05.824 But the finding in terms of looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:28:05.824 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.970$ at everything else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:28:06.970 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.130$ is that somewhere the historical

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:28:09.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.858$ relationship between groups makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:28:10.858 --> 00:28:12.995 its way into our decision making

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:28:12.995 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.317$ about same thing is true for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:28:15.317 --> 00:28:17.480 meta studies that are done year after

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:28:17.480 --> 00:28:20.590 year about drug company sponsored research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00{:}28{:}20.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}22.510$ not a single researcher says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667 00:28:22.510 --> 00:28:23.162 yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00{:}28{:}23.162 --> 00{:}28{:}25.770$ Of course, I've I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:28:25.770 --> 00:28:27.314 I change my findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:28:27.314 --> 00:28:29.244 because of who's funding me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00{:}28{:}29.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31.497$ Not a single person says that because

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:28:31.497 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.010$ not a single researcher believes it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:28:34.010 --> 00:28:36.794 But the meta analysis clearly and

 $00:28:36.794 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.849$ repeatedly shows that it has an impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:28:39.850 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.544$ This is the impact of the groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:28:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.790$ to which you belong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:28:42.790 --> 00:28:44.422 That's not necessarily conscious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:28:44.422 --> 00:28:46.870 which makes the whole notion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:28:46.942 --> 00:28:49.168 this being an ethical dilemma very

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:28:49.168 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.382$ hard to confront because we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:28:51.382 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.713$ of ethics as something that's in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:28:53.713 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.995$ frontal lobe that we think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00{:}28{:}55.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58.774$ that we can reason about that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:28:58.774 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.205$ can set up principles for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00{:}29{:}01.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04.535$ kinds of ethical issues are buried.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:29:04.540 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.840$ And harder to get. OK. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00{:}29{:}09.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12.312$ So why do I have something up here

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:29:12.312 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.380$ called the worldly philosopher?

 $00:29:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.360$ Cause there's like this man named

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00{:}29{:}16.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18.320$ Albert Hirschman was an economist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:29:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.756$ wrote a book about markets and organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:29:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.178$ I won't bore you with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:29:23.180 --> 00:29:26.666 But what he was trying to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:29:26.666 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.564$ was what happens when you are a

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00{:}29{:}29.564 \longrightarrow 00{:}29{:}31.670$ member of an organization and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:29:31.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.110$ see something that violates a

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

00:29:34.110 --> 00:29:35.998 moral or practical standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:29:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.668$ Now in his case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00{:}29{:}37.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}39.336$ that practical standard was

NOTE Confidence: 0.815771458666667

 $00:29:39.340 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.948$ good economic practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606351925

 $00:29:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.938$ So his question was what are your options?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606351925

 $00:29:45.940 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.924$ What do you do when you see that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.830791867142857

 $00:29:50.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.707$ And here's the title of his book.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830791867142857

 $00:29:53.710 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.080$ Is exit. Voice and loyalty.

 $00:30:00.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.950$ And the idea is you basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

 $00:30:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.650$ have three choices when you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

00:30:06.650 --> 00:30:10.178 See, I don't know why I'm picking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

 $00:30:10.180 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.664$ I'm not, you know, as they say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

00:30:11.670 --> 00:30:12.774 some of my best friends and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

 $00:30:12.774 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.510$ I'm picking on surgeons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

00:30:13.510 --> 00:30:15.589 But medical student once told me about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

 $00{:}30{:}15.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}16.525$ you know we're talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

00:30:16.525 --> 00:30:17.868 ethics and they said, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

 $00{:}30{:}17.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}19.632$ I saw somebody leave something in

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

 $00:30:19.632 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.380$ the patient, sew it up and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

 $00:30:21.380 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.565$ tell us not to tell anybody. OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

 $00:30:23.565 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.285$ So what happens if you're shocked at this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

 $00:30:27.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.495$ You were never a medical student or

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

 $00:30:29.495 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.205$ you weren't paying attention because

00:30:31.205 --> 00:30:33.305 I heard dozens of these right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

 $00{:}30{:}33.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34.942$ A different versions of this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

 $00{:}30{:}34.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}37.049$ I'm sure it's a small percentage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

00:30:37.050 --> 00:30:38.793 But you know, you're in the you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

 $00:30:38.793 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.708$ in a medical setting all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

 $00:30:40.710 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.450$ You're going to see one

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

 $00:30:41.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.190$ every once in a while.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

 $00:30:42.190 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.795$ So what happens if you see it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

00:30:45.800 --> 00:30:47.088 What do you do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

00:30:47.088 --> 00:30:49.070 Now, if you're a medical student,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896982003636364

 $00:30:49.070 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.830$ what do you do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85583290625

 $00:30:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.598$ What did this not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85583290625

 $00:30:54.598 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.646$ What should you do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85583290625

 $00:30:55.650 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.696$ Because that runs into exactly what

NOTE Confidence: 0.85583290625

 $00:30:57.696 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.809$ we're going to talk about here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85583290625

 $00:30:59.810 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.472$ But what do you think this

00:31:01.472 --> 00:31:04.338 medical student did talk to you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85583290625

 $00:31:04.340 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.588$ That's because I asked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859706563

 $00:31:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.508$ That's probably true also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859706563

00:31:09.508 --> 00:31:12.100 What didn't the medical student do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.798314086923077

 $00{:}31{:}16.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18.125$ Even raise a question report

NOTE Confidence: 0.798314086923077

 $00:31:18.125 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.948$ to anything because of the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.798314086923077

00:31:20.948 --> 00:31:22.448 hierarchical vulnerability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798314086923077

 $00:31:22.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.268$ So this is fundamentally and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.798314086923077

 $00:31:24.268 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.480$ talked about functional groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798314086923077

 $00{:}31{:}25.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}27.358$ We talked about the hierarchy

NOTE Confidence: 0.798314086923077

 $00:31:27.358 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.610$ that's nested in functions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798314086923077

 $00:31:28.610 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.556$ meaning some are more powerful than others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798314086923077

 $00{:}31{:}30.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32.926$ So what hershman's trying to figure out?

NOTE Confidence: 0.798314086923077

00:31:32.930 --> 00:31:34.610 And of course, with respect to identity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798314086923077

 $00:31:34.610 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.990$ there's also historical power differences.

 $00:31:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.550$ What Hurshman is trying to figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.798314086923077

 $00:31:38.550 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.979$ out is what do you do when you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.798314086923077

 $00:31:40.979 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.960$ something and there's a power difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798314086923077

00:31:42.960 --> 00:31:45.340 There's a vulnerability to speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798314086923077

 $00:31:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.909$ so he says, well, you can speak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

00:31:49.970 --> 00:31:52.224 Because his point is, if you speak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:31:52.230 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.530$ you give the organization the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:31:54.530 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.190$ information it needs to change so

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:31:57.190 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.710$ that it's the quality of its efforts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:31:59.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.150$ The ethical. In our case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00{:}32{:}01.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}03.522$ the ethical behavior improves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:32:03.522 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.708$ because clearly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:32:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.190$ if nobody's ever reporting these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:32:08.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.165$ The organization never has the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

00:32:10.165 --> 00:32:12.930 information to be either self regulating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:32:12.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.526$ which is one of the of course

 $00:32:14.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.020$ key components of a profession

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:32:16.020 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.250$ is that it is self regulating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:32:18.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.388$ or to change whatever its organizational

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:32:21.388 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.586$ practices are that are making this

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:32:24.586 \dashrightarrow 00:32:27.640$ seem like it's the standard practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

00:32:27.640 --> 00:32:32.261 So Kirshman said you can leave and

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

00:32:32.261 --> 00:32:34.669 in leaving you do give a piece of

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00{:}32{:}34.669 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}36.269$ information in the organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:32:36.270 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.150$ And as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:32:37.150 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.830$ over the last two or three years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

00:32:38.830 --> 00:32:40.265 I'm sure you're all talking about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00{:}32{:}40.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}42.480$ There are increasing concerns that

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00{:}32{:}42.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}44.690$ large numbers of healthcare providers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:32:44.690 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.920$ nurses, physicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:32:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.461$ Are going to leave the health care

00:32:50.461 --> 00:32:53.635 system because of the as a way of saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:32:53.635 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.099$ the way this organization is functioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

00:32:56.100 --> 00:32:58.196 I don't want to be part of anymore

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:32:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.560$ either for ethical reasons or

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:33:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.976$ resource allocation decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:33:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.596$ Whatever has to be so you can leave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

00:33:04.600 --> 00:33:08.121 Hirshman says if you leave, you only give

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:33:08.121 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.556$ gross information to the organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

00:33:10.560 --> 00:33:13.689 Meaning you left you didn't like something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

00:33:13.690 --> 00:33:15.678 And many organizations do

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

00:33:15.678 --> 00:33:17.666 not do exit interviews,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

00:33:17.670 --> 00:33:19.308 and when they do do exit interviews,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:33:19.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.977$ those are tricky because people don't want

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:33:21.977 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.528$ to burn any bridges structural vulnerability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:33:25.530 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.288$ They don't necessarily tell the truth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:33:27.290 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.789$ Their relationships are going to do so,

00:33:29.790 --> 00:33:31.845 leaving gross information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

00:33:31.845 --> 00:33:33.900 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

00:33:33.900 --> 00:33:36.049 have you ever seen anybody leave Yale?

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572 00:33:36.050 --> 00:33:37.138 You have. NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:33:37.138 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.858$ What is the announcement say?

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:33:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.340$ Ohh they got a better offer somewhere else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:33:42.340 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.500$ Ohh they had other opportunities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00{:}33{:}44.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}46.215$ Oh they wanted to spend more time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:33:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.549$ Nobody ever says.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:33:47.549 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.952$ If all you see is an exit, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:33:50.952 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.066$ here are the three critiques that made

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00{:}33{:}53.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55.130$ them want to choose some place else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:33:55.130 \dashrightarrow 00:33:57.990$ Now some people will say that on the way out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00{:}33{:}57.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}00.090$ I would suggest to you that's this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

00:34:00.090 --> 00:34:03.184 That's a combination of exit and voice.

 $00:34:03.190 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.884$ We've seen examples of that as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00{:}34{:}04.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}06.297$ I guess I'm going to space where

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

00:34:06.297 --> 00:34:07.510 that actually may have happened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572 00:34:07.510 --> 00:34:08.854 but but. NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:34:08.854 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.214$ But so you can leave,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:34:12.220 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.175$ you can also stay around

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:34:14.175 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.130$ and keep your mouth shut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:34:16.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.570$ But in that case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:34:17.570 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.370$ the the organization doesn't get

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:34:19.370 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.966$ any information about its ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00{:}34{:}21.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.990$ professional standards or practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:34:24.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.504$ So Herschman is essentially saying this

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:34:27.504 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.120$ is the key for organizational learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:34:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.810$ But C was an economist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:34:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.060$ So what I don't think he

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:34:36.060 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.560$ he he emphasized enough,

 $00:34:37.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.280$ was how difficult this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:34:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.702$ In theory this is of course the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:34:42.702 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.267$ way in which the organization gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:34:45.267 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.147$ the information it needs to refine

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:34:48.147 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.079$ it's professional ethical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:34:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.400$ But if anybody is ever been on a on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

00:34:54.495 --> 00:34:57.640 committee to review other people's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

00:34:57.640 --> 00:34:59.340 you know, professional activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:34:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.615$ it's very challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:35:00.620 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.105$ And if you've ever been in a low power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:35:02.110 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.678$ Position then you can fill out a form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00{:}35{:}04.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.425$ You think multiple times before

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00{:}35{:}06.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}09.210$ you fill out that form because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:35:09.210 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.665$ structural vulnerability means

NOTE Confidence: 0.943883261428572

 $00:35:10.665 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.090$ you're not sure how confidential

 $00:35:13.159 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.988$ that form is. That's what it means

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:35:14.988 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.976$ to be structurally vulnerable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:35:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.368$ That's what it means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:35:17.368 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.985$ And I remember I'm going to confess

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00{:}35{:}19.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}22.285$ something I should not confess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

00:35:22.290 --> 00:35:24.466 I didn't do it. He says of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:35:24.470 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.423$ but when I was in Graduate School

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:35:26.423 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.630$ and we used questionnaires right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:35:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.955$ And we worried about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:35:30.955 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.815$ response rate on questionnaires.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00{:}35{:}32.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}34.610$ There were little secrets that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:35:34.610 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.954$ were told about how to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

00:35:36.954 --> 00:35:38.898 out an anonymous questionnaire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:35:38.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.050$ but keep track of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

00:35:41.050 --> 00:35:44.510 So that you could follow up and or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

00:35:44.510 --> 00:35:46.834 find out you know how many you

 $00:35:46.834 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.870$ were getting back and from whom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

00:35:48.870 --> 00:35:50.375 You could write little tiny

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:35:50.375 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.306$ pencil numbers on the back of

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:35:52.306 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.986$ the questionnaire which you had

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:35:53.986 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.651$ then coded somewhere else, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:35:55.651 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.117$ Or you could staple the staples

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:35:58.117 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.350$ in different directions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00{:}35{:}59.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00.855$ like let's say you want to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:00.855 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.143$ whether a particular PIN members

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00{:}36{:}02.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}03.648$ vehicular department were returning it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:03.650 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.156$ So the Pediatrics the staple went this

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:06.156 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.862$ way and you know the oncology staple

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:08.862 \dashrightarrow 00:36:11.535$ went this way and I never did any of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.890$ But I suggest to you that that is a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

00:36:14.977 --> 00:36:18.498 of coping with trying to get information,

 $00:36:18.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.696$ but the deception that goes into

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:20.696 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.833$ that is a way of dealing with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:23.833 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.540$ fact that people are not going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:26.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.895$ Often feel comfortable in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:28.895 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.308$ structurally vulnerable situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:30.308 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.144$ about what the UP group is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:33.144 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.069$ do with the information they give.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:35.070 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.473$ OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:35.473 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.294$ so I think this is worth thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:38.294 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.730$ about thinking about the conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:40.730 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.803$ I was telling Mark about a colleague

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

00:36:43.803 --> 00:36:46.039 named Lauren Taylor who is struggling

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:46.039 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.991$ with this and one of the things she's

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:48.991 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.520$ she says is one way of dealing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.110$ the attention and the vulnerability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00:36:53.110 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.238$ but also the discomfort of being in an

 $00:36:56.238 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.121$ organization that is doing something

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00{:}36{:}58.121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00.870$ going UN quote wrong is to sit her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856234974782609

 $00{:}37{:}00.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}04.558$ Her word is stay in and speak out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

00:37:08.140 --> 00:37:09.840 But Lauren, too, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

 $00:37:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.310$ is underestimating the

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

00:37:11.310 --> 00:37:13.270 vulnerability of that strategy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

 $00:37:13.270 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.515$ because here's what I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

 $00:37:14.515 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.140$ to get to my last point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

 $00{:}37{:}16.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}19.500$ Because stay and speak out is

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

 $00{:}37{:}19.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}23.220$ an individual level exhortation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

 $00:37:23.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.760$ Right you you're in an organization

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

 $00:37:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.120$ and you're seeing something

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

 $00{:}37{:}29.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32.704$ the way you remain whole as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

00:37:32.704 --> 00:37:35.486 individual is not to quit because

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

00:37:35.486 --> 00:37:38.188 that may be not possible for you

 $00:37:38.188 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.329$ given that you need the job,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

 $00:37:40.330 \dashrightarrow 00:37:42.430$ et cetera that you're whatever your

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

 $00:37:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.017$ own goals are not to just go along,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

 $00:37:45.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.646$ but to stay in and speak out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

 $00:37:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.967$ That's a heavy burden on an individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

 $00:37:50.970 \dashrightarrow 00:37:53.106$ I mean, I think it's an impossible burden

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

 $00:37:53.106 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.478$ on all but the most secure individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

00:37:55.480 --> 00:37:57.082 And you know how many secure

NOTE Confidence: 0.737400616

 $00:37:57.082 \dashrightarrow 00:37:58.910$ individuals there are in organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

00:38:02.140 --> 00:38:03.680 Because everybody's worried about

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00{:}38{:}03.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05.106$ their reputation, every body's worried

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00{:}38{:}05.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07.024$ about their standing, their legacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:07.024 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.826$ Everybody feels that to to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:09.830 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.916$ This puts them at some risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.032$ So that leads me to the notion

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:18.032 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.640$ of dissent in organizations.

 $00:38:19.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.027$ And I, I say dissent because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00{:}38{:}22.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}24.698$ want to at least propose that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00{:}38{:}24.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}27.960$ one is in a position of authority.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.196$ That the cultivation of responsible dissent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:32.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.335$ As much as the cultivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:34.335 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.616$ of responsible conformity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.840$ which we're much better at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:36.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.010$ that's what we call professionalism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:39.010 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.914$ Professionalism is the cultivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:40.914 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.866$ of conformity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:41.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.670$ Meaning this is what we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:43.670 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.570$ in these situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:44.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.998$ We don't get angry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00{:}38{:}45.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47.783$ We don't call any body names.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.260$ We listen carefully,

 $00:38:49.260 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.240$ we do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00{:}38{:}50.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}52.550$ and we conform to those professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:52.550 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.705$ standards and practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:53.710 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.570$ It's crucial for the functioning

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:38:55.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.190$ of any organization in any profession.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00{:}38{:}58.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}00.662$ But as important is the cultivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:00.662 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.246$ of the capacity for dissent for

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:03.246 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.662$ supporting in the in a position of authority.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:06.670 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.466$ Getting access.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:07.466 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.854$ To this to the experiences of

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00{:}39{:}09.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}12.373$ people in different parts of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:12.373 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.433$ organization who are not likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39{:}14.433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}17.169$ to contribute that as individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:17.170 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.138$ Because I would suggest to you that dissent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:22.140 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.980$ Is a group phenomenon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:23.980 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.280$ It's a communication between a

 $00:39:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.928$ group as we've talked about here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:28.930 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.054$ a functional group to another functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00{:}39{:}31.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}33.243$ Group A hierarchical group to another

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

00:39:33.243 --> 00:39:34.679 hierarchical Group One identity

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:34.679 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.490$ group to another identity group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.270$ which can often be misunderstood

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.850$ as the behavior of an individual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:40.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.100$ because often it's an individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:44.100 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.290$ Who speaks?

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:46.290 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.108$ But if we imagine, and I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

00:39:48.110 --> 00:39:50.150 I think this is always true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:50.150 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.214$ that there is a group behind

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00{:}39{:}52.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}53.910$ any individual who speaks out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:53.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.710$ Meaning as you just said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:39:55.710 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.456$ what does the medical student do talks to me?

 $00:39:59.456 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.066$ Talks to other medical students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:40:02.070 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.728$ so there's if a medical student

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

00:40:04.728 --> 00:40:07.370 raises her hand and says hey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

00:40:07.370 --> 00:40:09.246 what do we do about this practice?

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:40:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.234$ You can be sure there are lots of

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

00:40:11.234 --> 00:40:13.073 other medical students who know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00{:}40{:}13.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}14.683$ or have had similar experiences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00{:}40{:}14.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17.735$ And so rather than make it difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

00:40:17.735 --> 00:40:20.110 for the organization to negotiate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:40:20.110 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.162$ its where it falls short on its

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00{:}40{:}23.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}25.330$ own professional ethical standards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

00:40:25.330 --> 00:40:28.030 By focusing on an individual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

00:40:28.030 --> 00:40:29.934 I suggest to you that the thing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:40:29.934 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.003$ want to do is think about how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.787765731666667

 $00:40:32.003 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.358$ enable groups to talk about their experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904376994285714

00:40:40.930 --> 00:40:42.730 I'll stay on camera, but I'm

 $00:40:42.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.320$ done 45 minutes on the nose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802872143636364

 $00:40:47.300 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.772$ All right, go ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802872143636364

 $00:40:48.772 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.310$ We'll use Jack if we need to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834048333333333

 $00:40:55.870 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.605$ Yeah, so so yeah, I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.834048333333333

00:40:57.605 --> 00:40:58.993 really interested in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.806463474

 $00:40:59.910 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.400$ idea of of trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.815610393333333

 $00:41:01.410 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.198$ foster dissent. So so we've been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8869695225

 $00:41:04.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.198$ Kind of a long

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903000555556

 $00:41:05.210 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.720$ story, but we've been trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903000555556

 $00:41:06.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.190$ to get feedback on different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903000555556

 $00:41:09.190 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.804$ Sort of ethics policies we put

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903000555556

 $00:41:10.804 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.669$ in place during the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702864855

 $00:41:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.300$ And you know one area

NOTE Confidence: 0.9165015

 $00:41:16.300 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.248$ of real concern is. You know whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.954175008

 $00:41:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.580$ these policies have been used in

00:41:23.580 --> 00:41:27.604 a biased way with regard to race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954175008

 $00{:}41{:}27.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.900$ ethnicity, socioeconomic status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954175008

 $00:41:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.920$ and so that's that's been a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833866773333333

00:41:29.960 --> 00:41:30.908 you know, a topic that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.833866773333333

00:41:30.910 --> 00:41:33.076 been trying to get feedback from

NOTE Confidence: 0.833866773333333

 $00:41:33.076 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.970$ frontline clinicians about and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90677747

00:41:37.520 --> 00:41:38.168 You know what

NOTE Confidence: 0.920600775

 $00:41:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.900$ we we've been particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.920600775

 $00{:}41{:}39.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}42.550$ interested in the perspective of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93217649

 $00:41:42.980 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.930$ clinicians who are underrepresented in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8424720575

 $00:41:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.450$ medicine. And it's it's been really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8424720575

 $00:41:50.450 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.880$ challenging to get that perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8424720575

 $00{:}41{:}52.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}55.218$ We've done a lot of interviews and it's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.776336174

 $00:41:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.680$ like there's been a noticeable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5034446

 $00:41:59.730 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.189$ Skew and who's volunteering

NOTE Confidence: 0.5034446

 $00:42:01.189 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.404$ for the interviews and we?

 $00:42:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.594$ I mean, we've we've gone to

NOTE Confidence: 0.929097348571429

 $00:42:04.594 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.242$ individuals and there's there's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.929097348571429

 $00:42:06.242 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.850$ a lot of discomfort and and. It's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929097348571429

00:42:11.850 --> 00:42:13.559 It's it's been a real challenge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929097348571429

 $00:42:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.540$ so I mean I think we're we're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929097348571429

 $00:42:14.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.340$ We are seeing the effect of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93296231

00:42:17.790 --> 00:42:20.800 you know, hierarchy and particularly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544283363

 $00:42:23.250 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.760$ A racial and ethnic. But

NOTE Confidence: 0.4371568685

 $00:42:30.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.768$ pressure to not give voice

NOTE Confidence: 0.4371568685

00:42:32.768 --> 00:42:34.410 right to like conform

NOTE Confidence: 0.62122546

 $00:42:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.040$ and and so it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6703302175

 $00:42:36.050 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.762$ been something that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.6703302175

 $00{:}42{:}36.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}37.850$ really been wrestling with. I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.788341904285714

 $00:42:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.300$ curious, sort of like

NOTE Confidence: 0.788341904285714

 $00:42:40.300 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.130$ what tools organizations

 $00:42:42.140 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.433$ have used that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8237523

 $00:42:43.433 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.830$ successfully promoted dissent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8237523

00:42:44.830 --> 00:42:46.830 And like that that sharing of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8237523

 $00:42:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.775$ information because it's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8237523

 $00:42:47.775 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.140$ that I've been running into a brick

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:42:49.150 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.200$ wall on personally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:42:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.083$ So most organizations are not good at it

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:42:53.083 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.898$ because most organizations don't want

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00{:}42{:}54.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}57.520$ to hear it because most organizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:42:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.558$ feel that the people out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.272$ Just take medical students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:02.272 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.984$ What do they know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:03.990 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.224$ I don't want them putting out demands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:07.230 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.530 \text{ I don't want them telling}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.830$ me what their experience is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:09.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.798$ They don't have a whole lot of experience

00:43:11.798 --> 00:43:13.368 with either education or medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:13.370 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.570$ so I I certainly don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:15.570 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.330$ want to encourage that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.350$ She not here Jen's general orientation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:21.350 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.708$ So first you have to deal with

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:22.708 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.064$ the fact that I think many

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:24.064 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.510$ organizations don't want to hear it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:25.510 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.724$ And that means that people in

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00{:}43{:}27.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}29.651$ organizations have had the experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00{:}43{:}29.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}32.707$ of being invited to speak and either not

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

00:43:32.707 --> 00:43:35.266 listen to not responded to or punished.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00{:}43{:}35.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37.446$ So it's that when I talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00{:}43{:}37.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}38.739$ historical relationship between groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:38.740 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.364$ what they mean is when you go ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

00:43:40.370 --> 00:43:42.755 and you think it's denovo, hey,

 $00:43:42.755 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.185$ I I'm a genuinely interested person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:45.190 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.318$ Can you tell me what your experience is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

00:43:47.320 --> 00:43:49.816 There's a whole history that comes with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:49.820 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.260$ so tackling the history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:53.260 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.780$ Would be my first step.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

00:43:54.780 --> 00:43:55.412 So you say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:55.412 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.360$ how do you tackle a history?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273 00:43:56.360 --> 00:43:56.694 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:56.694 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.032$ for starters the first thing you do

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:43:59.032 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.280$ is you say I know there's a history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00{:}44{:}02.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04.079$ I know I'm part of that history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:44:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.316$ I'll give you a concrete example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:44:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.464$ Years ago, two or three Deans before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00{:}44{:}09.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}12.445$ There was a renewal of a grant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:44:12.450 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.683$ and in the renewal of the grant

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00{:}44{:}14.683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}16.870$ was a community engagement piece.

 $00:44:16.870 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.870$ This is 1520 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

00:44:18.870 --> 00:44:20.730 so whatever you may be thinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:44:20.730 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.562$ that's contemporary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:44:21.562 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.890$ It's turns out to be just an echo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:44:24.890 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.770$ but 1520 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:44:25.770 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.721$ and so I went to a meeting with

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

00:44:27.721 --> 00:44:29.709 the Dean and a whole bunch of

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

00:44:29.709 --> 00:44:31.680 people about how this might unfurl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

00:44:31.680 --> 00:44:32.856 And I was new,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:44:32.856 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.060$ so they're talking about all the great

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

00:44:35.060 --> 00:44:37.685 things that Yale's doing in the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:44:37.690 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.790$ And I say because I've been here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00{:}44{:}39.790 --> 00{:}44{:}40.690$ I was over in school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:44:40.690 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.754$ Imagine I'm doing projects in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

00:44:42.754 --> 00:44:43.786 Community organizational projects,

 $00:44:43.790 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.037$ and I say run into exactly what

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00{:}44{:}46.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}47.889$ you're running into all the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273 00:44:47.890 --> 00:44:49.909 and I say, NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:44:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.821$ how about if we acknowledge that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

00:44:51.821 --> 00:44:53.987 not doing such a great job historically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:44:53.990 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.251$ and that the point of getting this

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:44:56.251 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.108$ grant is in order to be able to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00{:}44{:}59.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01.409$ to begin to address the historical

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273

 $00:45:01.409 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.463$ relationship between you and the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913580272727273 00:45:04.470 --> 00:45:05.680 Dead silence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

 $00:45:08.500 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.775$ Dean says, well, we could do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

 $00:45:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.895$ but I don't think we're going to and off

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

00:45:12.895 --> 00:45:15.034 we go promoting all the stuff that yell

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

 $00:45:15.034 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.578$ does in the community and we got the grant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

 $00:45:17.580 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.256$ So whatever the comment was about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

 $00:45:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.916$ we need to address the history.

00:45:21.920 --> 00:45:23.618 Did not happen at that point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

 $00{:}45{:}23.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.177$ so I think you need to address the history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

00:45:25.180 --> 00:45:27.088 Now I'm going to give you a more concrete

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

00:45:27.088 --> 00:45:28.817 because the dressing history is difficult,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

 $00:45:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.466$ but it starts with being able to say the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

 $00:45:31.466 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.080$ words when you sit down to somebody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

 $00:45:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.650$ I know we have a difficult history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

 $00:45:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.420$ We not you and me we the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

 $00:45:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.870$ groups to which we belong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

 $00:45:42.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.198$ and that we now are in a representational

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

 $00:45:46.198 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.553$ conversation about whether we intend it

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

 $00:45:48.553 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.070$ to be a representational one or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

 $00{:}45{:}51.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54.190$ Right? So the concrete thing is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

00:45:54.190 --> 00:45:56.790 if I'm right about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83758295

00:45:56.790 --> 00:45:58.308 then the way to collect information

 $00:45:58.308 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.180$ about this is not from individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774159852380953

 $00:46:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.625$ That just heightens the vulnerability

NOTE Confidence: 0.774159852380953

 $00:46:03.625 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.708$ of the of the of the individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.774159852380953

 $00:46:05.708 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.323$ right of the the structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.774159852380953

 $00:46:07.323 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.910$ vulnerability of the individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774159852380953

00:46:08.910 --> 00:46:10.162 You're asking whether their

NOTE Confidence: 0.774159852380953

00:46:10.162 --> 00:46:11.414 physicians of African descent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774159852380953

00:46:11.420 --> 00:46:12.908 whether they're underrepresented minorities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774159852380953

00:46:12.908 --> 00:46:14.396 where their community members,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774159852380953

00:46:14.400 --> 00:46:16.724 and your Yale and white and male

NOTE Confidence: 0.774159852380953

 $00{:}46{:}16.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}19.017$ and just looking at you and escape.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774159852380953

 $00:46:19.020 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.964$ You represent that even if you're not right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774159852380953

 $00:46:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.866$ It just heightens the vulnerability here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774159852380953

00:46:24.870 --> 00:46:26.704 So how do you ask a group?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:46:29.530 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.350$ You can ask a group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:46:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.758$ You can say without me here.

 $00:46:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.846$ Convene a group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00{:}46{:}36.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39.380$ Ask this question and come back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:46:39.455 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.795$ me with what the group generates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:46:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.640$ You compose the group any way you want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:46:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.782$ Or maybe there are even groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

00:46:45.782 --> 00:46:48.003 that exist already on campus of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

00:46:48.003 --> 00:46:49.215 minority faculty who explicitly

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00{:}46{:}49.215 \longrightarrow 00{:}46{:}50.730$ come together because they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:46:50.777 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.992$ thoughts and feelings about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:46:51.992 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.899$ it's like to be part of that group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:46:53.900 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.210$ and doing clinical care or research

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:46:56.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.420$ or whatever has to be so using the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

00:46:59.420 --> 00:47:01.814 existing groups and inquiring of groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

00:47:01.820 --> 00:47:06.825 Without being in control of that interviewer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.156$ you know, because I got asked

 $00:47:08.156 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.040$ the same questions everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.728$ This is insane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

00:47:11.730 --> 00:47:13.405 Asking the same questions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:13.405 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.774$ anybody acts as if all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:15.774 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.646$ are from groups are the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

00:47:17.650 --> 00:47:19.850 Why would you ask the same question of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:19.850 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.758$ everybody be like doing a health intake

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:21.758 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.170$ and ask the same questions of men and women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:24.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.144$ Now we can all laugh about

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:26.144 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.810$ that because 35 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00{:}47{:}27.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}30.618$ that's exactly what happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.618$ There had to be a Women's Health division

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:33.618 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.586$ in the federal government because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.517$ £150 male was the standard of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.388$ So we didn't really ask about

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:42.388 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.300$ even in that regard.

 $00:47:44.300 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.608$ 50% of the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:45.608 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.570$ So I would say the key

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:47.647 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.317$ is to ask about groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

00:47:49.320 --> 00:47:51.120 Ask groups about their experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:51.120 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.164$ not individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:52.164 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.686$ Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067226

 $00:47:52.686 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.730$ so this

NOTE Confidence: 0.744225175454545

 $00:47:53.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.280$ this kind of touches on your point

NOTE Confidence: 0.744225175454545

00:47:55.280 --> 00:47:56.440 about groups versus individual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744225175454545

 $00:47:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.750$ but taking it back to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.744225175454545

 $00:47:57.750 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.675$ left side of the chart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744225175454545

 $00:47:58.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.916$ the the identity group and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.744225175454545

 $00{:}47{:}59.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}01.500$ pointed out it was very interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744225175454545

 $00{:}48{:}01.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}03.745$ You pointed out that we when

NOTE Confidence: 0.744225175454545

 $00:48:03.745 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.695$ we have certain ideas in mind,

 $00:48:05.700 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.725$ prejudices perhaps that we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.744225175454545

 $00:48:07.725 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.444$ that we're unethical behavior that

NOTE Confidence: 0.744225175454545

 $00:48:09.444 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.316$ we think is actually an individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.744225175454545

00:48:11.316 --> 00:48:13.266 choice or decision is in fact part

NOTE Confidence: 0.744225175454545

00:48:13.266 --> 00:48:15.020 of the group that they identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.744225175454545

 $00:48:15.020 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.826$ with and that you point out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744225175454545

 $00:48:16.826 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.311$ So we're kind of going out at the wrong

NOTE Confidence: 0.744225175454545

00:48:18.311 --> 00:48:19.820 way when we try and change the individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767854375

 $00{:}48{:}19.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}22.970$ Correct, so this I mean seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.852332542857143

 $00:48:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.852$ to me that then the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.852332542857143

00:48:23.852 --> 00:48:25.140 thing we can do is do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.77435768875

00:48:25.150 --> 00:48:26.998 better job teaching kindergarten

NOTE Confidence: 0.77435768875

 $00:48:26.998 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.846$ and fixing these groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77435768875

 $00{:}48{:}28.850 --> 00{:}48{:}31.378$ But in terms of trying to fix an

NOTE Confidence: 0.77435768875

 $00:48:31.378 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.030$ organization now, I don't know how

NOTE Confidence: 0.77435768875

 $00:48:33.030 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.305$ much power that an organization

 $00:48:34.305 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.793$ organization sees a problem with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77435768875

 $00:48:35.793 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.241$ Sexism, racism, whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77435768875

 $00:48:37.241 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.099$ We see a problem with and we say now we want

NOTE Confidence: 0.77435768875

 $00:48:40.099 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.989$ to address this within the organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77435768875

00:48:41.990 --> 00:48:43.410 I take your point that, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77435768875

 $00:48:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.910$ really, we need to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.77435768875

 $00:48:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.620$ this within the whole society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77435768875

 $00:48:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.580$ But and and of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77435768875

 $00{:}48{:}48.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50.180$ it's going to take as many years and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77435768875

 $00:48:50.180 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.757$ maybe one or two generations and so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77435768875

00:48:51.760 --> 00:48:54.393 but what, what would you say?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77435768875

 $00:48:54.393 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.248$ Practical points for the organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:48:56.300 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.510$ So there's the practical point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

00:48:57.510 --> 00:48:59.178 What you just did Park was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:48:59.180 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.220$ you said, well, this is a big thing.

 $00:49:01.220 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.907$ I can understand that if we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:02.907 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.659$ address it at the societal level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:04.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.940$ but we have, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:05.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.940$ men and women in this institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:08.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.525$ We could put men and

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:10.525 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.793$ women in this institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.104$ We could collect data from men and women

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:14.104 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.444$ in this institution as groups about

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00{:}49{:}16.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}18.574$ their experience in the institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:18.580 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.372$ We don't have to go to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:20.372 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.868$ societal level either to fix it

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:21.868 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.218$ or to collect data about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:23.220 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.705$ We can begin to address it in

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:25.705 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.399$ the institutions that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.104$ We give you a concrete example

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:29.104 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.240$ of the intersection when,

00:49:30.240 --> 00:49:34.027 because you brought it up when when

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:34.027 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.665$ Yale started to started when Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:37.665 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.368$ was actually had a whatever it was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

00:49:40.368 --> 00:49:42.032 title 9 consent decree from federal

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

00:49:42.032 --> 00:49:44.328 government which created the Title 9 Office,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.754$ you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

00:49:44.754 --> 00:49:46.450 So you have to do certain things because

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:46.495 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.315$ the government's looking at you and saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089 00:49:48.320 --> 00:49:48.632 hey.

00:49:48.632 --> 00:49:50.816 There's not good stuff going on here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:50.820 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.954$ and you need to work on it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

00:49:51.960 --> 00:49:52.418 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00{:}49{:}52.418 --> 00{:}49{:}55.624$ So one of the things that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:55.624 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.149$ organization did was it started

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:49:58.149 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.684$ to do things for undergraduates.

 $00:50:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.580$ Like films about by stander effect etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00{:}50{:}05.580 --> 00{:}50{:}07.308$ All this is true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:50:07.308 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.916$ but if I'm right I may not be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:50:10.916 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.261$ And we're talking about structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

00:50:13.261 --> 00:50:14.870 vulnerability and hierarchy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:50:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.290$ and we're talking about where

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:50:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.710$ we learn about other groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

00:50:19.710 --> 00:50:23.014 Where should we have started that training?

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:50:23.020 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.825$ Where should we have started

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:50:24.825 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.547$ that investigation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:50:25.550 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.258$ Where should we have asked a question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00{:}50{:}27.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}30.404$ What are we doing that's contributing

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:50:30.404 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.286$ to this cultural environment in in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

00:50:33.286 --> 00:50:34.596 relationships between men and women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57136089

 $00:50:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.720$ where should we have started?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

 $00:50:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.310$ We should have started here.

 $00:50:41.310 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.350$ We should have asked a question in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

 $00{:}50{:}44.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}47.820$ highest levels of what are we doing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

 $00:50:47.820 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.682$ We should have at least asked a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

00:50:49.682 --> 00:50:51.619 question what are we doing as a group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

 $00:50:51.620 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.077$ which I would call a leadership group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

00:50:54.080 --> 00:50:55.545 Now the leadership group tends

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

 $00:50:55.545 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.780$ to be more male and it tends to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

 $00:50:57.780 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.696$ so it tends to have other groups in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

 $00{:}51{:}00.700 \longrightarrow 00{:}51{:}03.178$ But the group might have started

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

 $00:51:03.178 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.360$ with what are we doing as a group?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

00:51:06.360 --> 00:51:08.736 Not what are you as an individual doing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

00:51:08.740 --> 00:51:11.368 My behavior is above reproach fine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

 $00:51:11.370 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.362$ but what are you doing that might contribute

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

00:51:14.362 --> 00:51:17.475 to a culture that has 19 year olds?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

 $00:51:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.924$ Not following ethical behavior

 $00:51:19.924 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.757$ in their relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

 $00:51:21.760 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.320$ Relationship between men and women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

 $00:51:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.155$ We did not start there and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

00:51:26.160 --> 00:51:28.218 if you take seriously a group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

00:51:28.220 --> 00:51:29.330 an intergroup perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

00:51:29.330 --> 00:51:31.920 this group's going to have much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

 $00:51:31.983 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.097$ influence on what goes on down here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89417325

 $00:51:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.829$ Then this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91567371375

00:51:37.710 --> 00:51:41.598 All right, thank you. We have some questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.892411389375

 $00{:}51{:}41.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}44.580$ from our zoom audience and I would like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892411389375

 $00:51:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.350$ pose some of these questions to you. Oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9429770125

 $00:51:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.298$ by the way, those everyone should

NOTE Confidence: 0.9429770125

 $00:51:49.298 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.518$ know that we have a hard stop at 6:30.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9429770125

00:51:51.518 --> 00:51:53.534 So if you have a question that

NOTE Confidence: 0.971031538571429

 $00:51:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.707$ we have not been able to address,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971031538571429

 $00:51:56.710 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.450$ we apologize. It may be a great question.

00:51:59.450 --> 00:52:00.580 It's no reflection on you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971031538571429

 $00:52:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.992$ but we do have to stop at that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769202066

00:52:04.110 --> 00:52:07.250 So all right. First question. Can you

NOTE Confidence: 0.937719446666667

 $00.52:07.260 \longrightarrow 00.52:08.229$ comment on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923975716666667

 $00:52:08.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.272$ impact of unions on organizational ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923975716666667

00:52:13.272 --> 00:52:15.220 particularly speaking to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923975716666667

 $00:52:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.568$ ability of those on the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.923975716666667

00:52:17.568 --> 00:52:20.410 of the hierarchy to speak up?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:52:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.015$ Great question. So the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:52:27.015 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.515$ of unions and organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:52:28.520 --> 00:52:29.810 From my perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:52:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.390$ having worked in that area for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:52:32.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.007$ years at one point is complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:52:35.010 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.506$ It's complicated because there's a history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:52:37.510 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.220$ so let me illustrate again that

00:52:39.220 --> 00:52:41.359 if you start today and you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:52:41.359 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.924$ take into account the history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:52:42.930 --> 00:52:46.094 you have one view of of, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:52:46.094 --> 00:52:47.570 union management relations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:52:47.570 --> 00:52:49.346 If you take a historical view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:52:49.350 --> 00:52:51.597 you have a slightly different view or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:52:51.597 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.360$ radically different view, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:52:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.209$ So I would say unions are organizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00{:}52{:}57.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00.299$ just like medical schools or organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:53:00.300 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.836$ And that means that the effect of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00{:}53{:}03.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06.559$ these different groups inside unions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:53:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.227$ Is is just as capable as suppressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:53:09.227 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.377$ speech because of vulnerability as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:53:11.377 --> 00:53:14.173 as any hierarchical group in any

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:53:14.173 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.618$ organization and it would be foolish

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:53:16.618 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.308$ to think that because unions in general

 $00:53:19.308 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.476$ are in a hierarchical relationship to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00{:}53{:}22.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}24.985$ management ownership that they then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:53:24.985 --> 00:53:27.522 don't do anything to suppress, they don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:53:27.522 --> 00:53:28.964 They're not subject to the same quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:53:28.970 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.274$ UN quote laws of of suppression of speech,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:53:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.860$ than any other organization is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:53:33.860 --> 00:53:36.557 They are, in my opinion, in my experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:53:36.557 --> 00:53:40.050 So they unions would have the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00{:}53{:}40.151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}43.671$ obligation to deal with information

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00{:}53{:}43.671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}46.487$ dissent about their practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:53:46.490 --> 00:53:47.468 Great practices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:53:47.468 --> 00:53:48.446 corrupt practices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:53:48.446 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.826$ as any organization would have the obligation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:53:51.826 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.802$ to to take a look at those practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:53:54.810 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.072$ Now the history which sometimes gets

 $00:53:57.072 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.832$ washed away is that you know you go

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00{:}53{:}59.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}02.396$ back long enough if you ever taken a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:54:02.396 --> 00:54:04.909 course on history of the labor movement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:04.910 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.590$ it's the it's for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.432$ One of the most amazing illustrations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:54:09.432 --> 00:54:12.324 of organized dissent that I've ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:12.324 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.106$ seen at the turn of the 20th century,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:15.110 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.366$ when the sweatshops were in existence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:17.370 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.508$ And the kodaks and whatever the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:20.508 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.230$ worlds were running company towns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:23.230 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.290$ The labor movement stopped all the labor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:27.290 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.824$ In order to form the labor movement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:29.830 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.330$ And that meant they got people who were poor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:34.330 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.586$ To withhold their labor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:54:35.586 --> 00:54:37.800 which means to put themselves at risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.482$ and people who could have run in to take

 $00:54:40.482 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.303$ those jobs which we have seen since to stop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:43.310 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.440$ If that had not happened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:54:44.440 --> 00:54:47.106 there wouldn't be any union unions today and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:47.106 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.730$ some people may wish that had been the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:54:49.730 --> 00:54:51.361 But when you're working 12 to 16

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:54:51.361 --> 00:54:53.245 hours a day and there's a triangle

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:53.245 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.245$ waste fire and you know you're you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:55.245 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.525$ can't go to the bathroom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:56.530 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.937$ etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:56.937 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.379$ You at that point historically you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:54:59.379 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.897$ needed some protection from your the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:55:01.897 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.062$ vulnerability that you that you experienced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:55:04.062 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.350$ So that's deep into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:55:06.350 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.670$ That's part of the history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:55:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.524$ Just like and if you have

 $00:55:09.524 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.760$ done research at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00{:}55{:}10.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}12.626$ just like Tuskegee is deep in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:55:12.626 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.617$ the history of the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:55:14.617 --> 00:55:16.657 between minority communities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:55:16.660 --> 00:55:18.150 especially the African American Community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:55:18.150 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.300$ and research and elite research

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:55:20.300 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.797$ institutions like this happened 100 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:55:22.797 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.342$ ago or 80 years ago, front and center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:55:25.342 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.940$ So when you think about unions A,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:55:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.810$ they're an organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:55:28.810 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.680$ Like anything else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:55:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.192$ they can suppress dissent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

00:55:31.192 --> 00:55:34.070 But be that history is always present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:55:34.070 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.443$ and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:55:34.443 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.681$ Oftentimes doesn't get talked about much

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066934

 $00:55:36.681 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.983$ like history doesn't get talked about

 $00:55:38.983 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.853$ in most relationships between those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753684302

00:55:41.660 --> 00:55:42.790 all right? Thank you, David.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778202756666667

 $00:55:43.870 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.612$ Next question, how does an organization

NOTE Confidence: 0.778202756666667

 $00:55:46.612 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.918$ or quote sub organization create a space

NOTE Confidence: 0.891531185

00:55:49.930 --> 00:55:52.890 in which dissent can be provided or voiced?

NOTE Confidence: 0.891531185

 $00:55:52.890 \dashrightarrow 00:55:54.759$ Now you've partially addressed that with the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

00:55:56.170 --> 00:55:58.706 So just once, a couple sentences on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

 $00:55:58.710 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.110$ I think it helps to be to

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

00:56:03.110 --> 00:56:05.179 be looking for it meaning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

00:56:05.179 --> 00:56:07.342 I think most of the time when

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

 $00:56:07.342 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.660$ we do not respond to dissent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

 $00:56:09.660 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.280$ it's not because it's not there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

 $00{:}56{:}11.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}14.416$ It's because we don't notice it because

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

 $00:56:14.416 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.319$ it's because of the vulnerability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

00:56:17.320 --> 00:56:20.326 Dissent is going to be thrown

 $00:56:20.326 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.330$ out there very gently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

 $00:56:22.330 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.808$ And the people who throw it out

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

 $00{:}56{:}24.808 \rightarrow 00{:}56{:}27.076$ there are gonna be very careful

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

 $00:56:27.076 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.332$ to see how it's responded to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

00:56:29.340 --> 00:56:31.086 And if it's not responded to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

 $00:56:31.090 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.620$ they either crawl away or

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

 $00:56:32.620 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.150$ they tend to escalate it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

 $00:56:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.942$ and then at some risk to themselves that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

 $00{:}56{:}36.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}38.430$ escalation actually paradoxically serves

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

 $00:56:38.430 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.964$ as a way of dismissing the dissent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

 $00:56:40.970 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.399$ So what I would say to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

 $00:56:43.399 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.630$ is look for the dissent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

00:56:45.630 --> 00:56:48.202 Encourage it, rather than,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

 $00{:}56{:}48.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}50.530$ you know, just roll over it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76531531125

 $00:56:50.530 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.090$ So if somebody makes a joke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889847295

 $00:56:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.366$ About rounds or a joke about their clerkship.

 $00:57:01.370 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.916$ Or a joke about the clinic's

NOTE Confidence: 0.889847295

 $00:57:03.916 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.546$ subtle little kind of joke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889847295

 $00:57:06.550 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.364$ Ask about it. Don't laugh with it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889847295

00:57:09.370 --> 00:57:11.874 or imagine to yourself that the joke is

NOTE Confidence: 0.889847295

 $00:57:11.874 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.694$ a way of of feathering something in to

NOTE Confidence: 0.889847295

 $00:57:14.694 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.508$ see how it's going to be responded to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889847295

 $00:57:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.836$ You can do more than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889847295

00:57:18.840 --> 00:57:21.498 You can do a very you know how many

NOTE Confidence: 0.889847295

00:57:21.498 --> 00:57:23.040 of you have as physicians have

NOTE Confidence: 0.889847295

 $00:57:23.095 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.810$ ever at the end of the rotation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889847295

 $00:57:24.810 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.956$ gotten your team together and said?

NOTE Confidence: 0.889847295

 $00:57:25.960 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.300$ So? How did the rotation go?

NOTE Confidence: 0.889847295

 $00{:}57{:}28.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}31.300$ Now, in Bird's view what you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.889847295

 $00:57:31.300 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.190$ done is you've taken this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

 $00:57:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.099$ Right, and you've asked a question that

00:57:40.099 --> 00:57:44.250 has in it a higher representatives of

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

 $00:57:44.250 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.800$ hierarchical groups with a history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

 $00:57:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.858$ Go to the look at the history

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

 $00:57:49.858 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.499$ of pimping in in the in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

 $00:57:51.500 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.677$ in the medical world, just the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

 $00:57:53.677 \dashrightarrow 00:57:55.439$ publications about not just the word,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

 $00:57:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.825$ but so if this person asks this person what

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

00:57:57.825 --> 00:58:00.340 do they think this person has this group?

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

 $00:58:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.200$ What do they think they're asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

 $00:58:02.200 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.599$ in the context of this relationship?

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

 $00:58:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.198$ Which is a very powerful hierarchical

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

00:58:07.198 --> 00:58:08.497 relationship in medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

 $00:58:08.500 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.918$ What if this person says hey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

 $00.58:10.920 \longrightarrow 00.58:13.566$ why don't you go over there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

 $00:58:13.570 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.338$ Make a sheet of paper of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

 $00:58:15.338 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.915$ that went well about the about

00:58:16.915 --> 00:58:18.265 this rotation and things didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

 $00{:}58{:}18.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}20.070$ go and then come back and give me

NOTE Confidence: 0.809165237

 $00:58:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.130$ the piece of paper I'll step out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70030879

 $00:58:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.795$ Ask the group. Now it may not work

NOTE Confidence: 0.70030879

00:58:27.795 --> 00:58:28.880 because they're worried that one

NOTE Confidence: 0.70030879

 $00{:}58{:}28.928 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}58{:}30.328$ of these people is going to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.70030879

 $00:58:30.328 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.687$ do gooder and tattle on somebody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70030879

 $00{:}58{:}31.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}34.489$ but at least what it does is it says

NOTE Confidence: 0.70030879

 $00:58:34.489 \longrightarrow 00:58:37.349 \text{ I don't need to control what you}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.70030879

 $00:58:37.349 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.252$ say and my presence is a powerful

NOTE Confidence: 0.70030879

 $00{:}58{:}40.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}42.610$ control on what you say because

NOTE Confidence: 0.70030879

 $00:58:42.610 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.416$ of the historical relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.70030879

 $00:58:45.416 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.624$ hierarchical relationship in medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70030879

00:58:48.630 --> 00:58:50.664 So David, you wouldn't think

NOTE Confidence: 0.70030879

 $00:58:50.664 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.858$ that necessarily asking.

 $00:58:51.860 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.120$ Well, what do you think went

NOTE Confidence: 0.883963785

 $00:58:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.800$ well about this rotation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.883963785

 $00:58:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.116$ what do you think could have

NOTE Confidence: 0.883963785

 $00:58:57.116 \longrightarrow 00:58:59.499$ been better? Is that marginally

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

00:58:59.510 --> 00:59:02.147 better, or is that it's got the same problem?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00:59:02.150 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.678$ It's got the same problem in the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00:59:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.510$ It's got the same problem and

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

00:59:05.510 --> 00:59:06.848 most people don't even do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00{:}59{:}06.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}08.010$ Most people what they do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00:59:08.010 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.984$ and I would argue this is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00{:}59{:}09.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}11.280$ we're mostly ambivalent about

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00:59:11.280 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.349$ getting negative feedback. All of us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00:59:13.349 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.649$ The whole world, me included, is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00:59:15.649 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.487$ We've got three people and in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00:59:18.490 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.485$ Our team and then after the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00:59:20.485 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.640$ day we go up to one of them.

 $00:59:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.238$ And we say so, how was it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00{:}59{:}26.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}29.138$ And we think we're getting good data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889 00:59:29.140 --> 00:59:29.914 We do so. NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00:59:29.914 \longrightarrow 00:59:31.720$ That person looks at me and says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00:59:31.720 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.920$ I'll give you a great example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00:59:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.440$ Let's say we had a difficult interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00:59:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.139$ on rounds and one of the residents

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00:59:38.139 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.690$ did something, said something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

00:59:39.690 --> 00:59:42.485 made a mistake and I said, Gee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

00:59:42.485 --> 00:59:46.365 you know, that's not going to get you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

00:59:46.370 --> 00:59:47.442 you know, not going to get you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00:59:47.442 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.637$ be a good doctor or something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00{:}59{:}48.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}49.794$ Then I have some remorse, whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

00:59:49.794 --> 00:59:51.138 And then I go up afterwards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00:59:51.140 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.144$ And I say, Gee,

 $00:59:53.144 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.150$ how do you think that went?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $00:59:56.150 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.158$ What do you think?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

00:59:57.158 --> 00:59:59.096 What do you think Jack was, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

00:59:59.096 --> 01:00:00.800 was it OK when I did with Jack?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:00:00.800 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.427$ What is this person gonna say you did great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

01:00:04.430 --> 01:00:05.522 Don't worry about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:00:05.522 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.160$ I think it was fine no

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:00:07.224 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.900$ matter what she's thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

01:00:08.900 --> 01:00:11.908 That's what she's going to say because look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

01:00:11.910 --> 01:00:13.600 I'm even standing because that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:00:13.600 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.290$ the metaphor for the heightened

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:00:15.352 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.320$ vulnerability of that person between an

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:00:17.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.429$ attending and a second year resident.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889 01:00:19.430 --> 01:00:20.074 And yet, NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

01:00:20.074 --> 01:00:22.328 I tend to think I'm getting good

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

01:00:22.328 --> 01:00:24.409 data because interpersonally,

 $01:00:24.410 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.878$ you're a thoughtful person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

01:00:25.878 --> 01:00:27.346 I trust you interpersonally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:00:27.350 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.870$ so I go person to person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:00:29.870 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.840$ I guess you what happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

01:00:30.840 --> 01:00:32.289 You told me I walk away thinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:00:32.290 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.957$ well, I got some good data there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

01:00:34.960 --> 01:00:36.436 Which is exactly the sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:00:36.436 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.360$ your case across other kinds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:00:38.360 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.460$ It happens all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

01:00:41.460 --> 01:00:42.948 Partly because I really don't want to know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

01:00:42.950 --> 01:00:44.878 and partly because I don't know any better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:00:44.880 \dashrightarrow 01:00:46.609$ I mean like nobody ever taught me

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01{:}00{:}46.609 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}48.188$ that by getting somebody in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:00:48.188 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.022$ hallway and saying what do you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:00:50.076 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.636$ of that interaction over there?

 $01:00:51.640 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.584$ I might be distorting the data I

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:00:54.584 \longrightarrow 01:00:57.968$ might be making that an ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:00:57.968 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.840$ practical challenge for that person

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:01:00.840 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.220$ to tell me her experience. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888806178888889

 $01:01:05.220 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.268$ All right, another question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766882117777778

 $01:01:07.280 \longrightarrow 01:01:08.088$ And then we'll share.

NOTE Confidence: 0.766882117777778

 $01:01:08.088 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.098$ Then we'll come to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963380058

 $01{:}01{:}09.790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}12.640$ If there is institutional resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.869231265

01:01:12.650 --> 01:01:14.758 to dissent is speaking

NOTE Confidence: 0.836969706666667

01:01:14.770 --> 01:01:16.069 by group sufficient?

NOTE Confidence: 0.836969706666667

 $01:01:16.069 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.396$ Does it just dilute vulnerability

NOTE Confidence: 0.836969706666667

01:01:18.396 --> 01:01:20.930 and proport in proportion to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836969706666667

01:01:20.930 --> 01:01:22.918 size of the group? If so, might

NOTE Confidence: 0.89352891

 $01:01:22.930 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.849$ small groups be as effectively vulnerable as

NOTE Confidence: 0.887341601428571

 $01:01:25.860 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.660$ the individual? How can this be overcome?

NOTE Confidence: 0.72390687625

 $01:01:29.800 \longrightarrow 01:01:34.056$ These are great questions and right on point.

01:01:36.160 --> 01:01:38.456 The size of the group makes a difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:01:38.460 \longrightarrow 01:01:40.340$ so the question naire is right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:01:40.340 \longrightarrow 01:01:42.020$ The smaller the group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:01:42.020 --> 01:01:45.720 the more the impulse of any organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:01:45.720 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.484$ and I'm not blaming it when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:01:47.484 --> 01:01:49.320 we're in positions of authority,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:01:49.320 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.296$ we will have the same impulse which is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:01:52.300 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.568$ To isolate or to think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:01:54.568 --> 01:01:55.702 an isolated phenomenon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:01:55.710 \longrightarrow 01:01:58.713$ because that protects us from having to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:01:58.713 --> 01:02:01.597 think about broader change in the system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:02:01.600 \longrightarrow 01:02:04.152$ and we're all homeostatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:02:04.152 --> 01:02:06.066 You know creatures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:02:06.070 \longrightarrow 01:02:08.188$ So especially when we have responsibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:02:08.188 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.450$ for an institution to have to think,

 $01:02:10.450 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.250$ WOW, we have to take the well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:02:13.250 \longrightarrow 01:02:16.365$ We have rampant sexism in this organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:02:16.370 \longrightarrow 01:02:18.074$ I don't want to have to think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:02:18.074 --> 01:02:19.700 because next thing I'm doing I'm doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:02:19.700 --> 01:02:21.016 marks doing which is post before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:02:21.016 --> 01:02:22.827 which is how the hell am I going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:02:22.827 --> 01:02:24.117 get my arms around this problem?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:02:24.120 --> 01:02:25.670 This is a societal problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:02:25.670 \longrightarrow 01:02:28.148$ so there's an impulse to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:02:28.150 \longrightarrow 01:02:32.230$ Well, that's just a few malcontents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:02:32.230 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.834$ Well, if there's more than a few.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:02:34.840 --> 01:02:36.660 That makes it harder to isolate that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:02:36.660 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.760$ so the questioner said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:02:37.760 \longrightarrow 01:02:39.410$ isn't there a risk that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:02:39.468 --> 01:02:40.760 resistant organization?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:02:40.760 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.590$ I would say all organizations are

 $01:02:42.590 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.745$ resistant and I would suggest to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:02:44.745 --> 01:02:46.503 that that resistance isn't is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:02:46.503 --> 01:02:48.577 for a living organizational system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:02:48.580 \longrightarrow 01:02:50.205$ it's just like resistance in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:02:50.205 \longrightarrow 01:02:51.180$ a personal system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:02:51.180 --> 01:02:53.060 If I say to you where your resistance is low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:02:53.060 \longrightarrow 01:02:55.290$ is that a good thing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975 01:02:55.290 --> 01:02:55.626 No,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01{:}02{:}55.626 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}57.642$ that's a bad thing because resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:02:57.642 \longrightarrow 01:03:01.041$ is a break against Willy nilly kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:01.041 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.366$ changes which are evolutionarily dangerous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975 01:03:03.370 --> 01:03:03.840 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:03.840 \longrightarrow 01:03:05.720$ So even organizational resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:05.720 \longrightarrow 01:03:08.942$ has a positive quality to it in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:08.942 \longrightarrow 01:03:10.927$ that it's conservative by nature.

01:03:10.930 --> 01:03:12.037 Resistance is conservative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:03:12.037 --> 01:03:14.620 which means there has to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:14.694 \longrightarrow 01:03:16.770$ pretty good case made to change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:16.770 \longrightarrow 01:03:19.254$ and that case goes up to the extent that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:19.254 \longrightarrow 01:03:21.737$ you got more rather than fewer people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:21.740 \longrightarrow 01:03:23.924$ The other thing that the questioner

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:23.924 \longrightarrow 01:03:25.660$ I think is asking is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:03:25.660 --> 01:03:26.569 Which I don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01{:}03{:}26.569 \rightarrow 01{:}03{:}27.781$ which Hirshman doesn't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:27.781 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.359$ get into a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01{:}03{:}29.360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}31.520$ which is what's the difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:03:31.520 --> 01:03:32.816 between respectful dissent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:32.820 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.500$ disrespectful dissent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:34.500 \longrightarrow 01:03:37.860$ dissent in an organization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:37.860 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.380$ and political organizer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:40.380 \longrightarrow 01:03:42.792$ Where political organizing is

 $01{:}03{:}42.792 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}45.560$ putting pressure because of the by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01{:}03{:}45.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}47.900$ By appealing to the organizations environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01{:}03{:}47.963 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}50.279$ on the leadership of the organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:50.280 \longrightarrow 01:03:52.620$ Whereas I would say dissent is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:52.620 \longrightarrow 01:03:54.607$ putting pressure internally on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:54.607 \longrightarrow 01:03:56.397$ leadership of organization by saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:56.397 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.499$ hey there are a bunch of us who live

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:03:59.499 \longrightarrow 01:04:01.353$ and work in this organization who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:04:01.353 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.871$ think you should know about something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:04:02.871 --> 01:04:04.239 you're not paying attention to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:04:04.240 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.470$ and we feel strongly about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:04:06.470 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.866$ Political organization is that's not working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01{:}04{:}09.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}12.950$ I'm now going to go to the New York Times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:04:12.950 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.110$ And that's another way

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:04:14.110 --> 01:04:15.270 of expanding the group,

01:04:15.270 --> 01:04:17.734 because as anybody who's done anything knows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:04:17.740 --> 01:04:19.470 as soon as you go to the New York Times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:04:19.470 \longrightarrow 01:04:21.654$ you got 5 or 600 people instantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:04:21.654 \longrightarrow 01:04:22.590$ on social media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:04:22.590 \longrightarrow 01:04:24.054$ And that's more than four or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:04:24.054 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.710$ five people in the small group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:04:25.710 --> 01:04:26.352 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:04:26.352 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.599$ sending a respectful letter up the hierarchy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:04:28.600 --> 01:04:31.984 Now you know those are all forms of dissent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975 01:04:31.990 --> 01:04:33.950 but. NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

 $01:04:33.950 \longrightarrow 01:04:37.254$ And and I think you'll you've seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8609308975

01:04:37.254 --> 01:04:40.646 here when dissent is not handled well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922741666

 $01:04:40.650 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.910$ it actually increases the frustration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922741666

 $01:04:42.910 \longrightarrow 01:04:44.920$ which is more likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922741666

01:04:44.920 --> 01:04:46.528 lead to political activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922741666

01:04:46.530 --> 01:04:50.430 All right Doctor Hull is this?

 $01:04:50.430 \longrightarrow 01:04:53.300$ Yes I wanted to ask you sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.929564878333333

 $01:04:53.310 \longrightarrow 01:04:55.636$ of a follow up question on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.929564878333333

01:04:55.636 --> 01:04:57.066 you were saying previously about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929564878333333

01:04:57.070 --> 01:04:58.400 You know the example of going up

NOTE Confidence: 0.866424096

 $01:04:58.410 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.640$ to a resident and saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.79894848

01:05:00.100 --> 01:05:02.230 hey like was that OK what just happened?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79894848

 $01:05:02.230 \longrightarrow 01:05:03.900$ Because that sort of reminded me of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79894848

 $01:05:03.900 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.790$ of a general tendency that I I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.79894848

 $01:05:05.790 \longrightarrow 01:05:07.589$ is just part of the human condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.79894848

 $01:05:07.590 \longrightarrow 01:05:09.038$ which is to ask these questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79894848

 $01:05:09.038 \longrightarrow 01:05:10.784$ are like leading the witness. Right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79894848

01:05:10.784 --> 01:05:14.576 You're you're asking a question as if to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8735569925

01:05:14.630 --> 01:05:16.830 elicit an actual. Actual

NOTE Confidence: 0.85567476

 $01:05:16.840 \longrightarrow 01:05:18.232$ opinion or information, but in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.85567476

01:05:18.232 --> 01:05:20.026 you're just you want someone to

 $01:05:20.026 \longrightarrow 01:05:21.460$ cosign what what you're thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85567476

 $01{:}05{:}21.460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}22.846$ And I I joke about this on consults

NOTE Confidence: 0.85567476

 $01:05:22.846 \longrightarrow 01:05:24.288$ a lot where you know we'll get

NOTE Confidence: 0.650326906666667

 $01:05:24.300 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.620$ a question really

NOTE Confidence: 0.782901692

 $01:05:25.920 \longrightarrow 01:05:26.840$ someone's not interested in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.93144112125

 $01:05:26.850 \longrightarrow 01:05:28.010$ opinion on something they want

NOTE Confidence: 0.93144112125

 $01:05:28.010 \longrightarrow 01:05:29.745$ me to say. It's OK so that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.93144112125

01:05:29.745 --> 01:05:30.780 something happens they can say

NOTE Confidence: 0.805789135

 $01:05:30.790 \dashrightarrow 01:05:32.886$ Oh well. The cardiologist said that was OK

NOTE Confidence: 0.782886488

 $01:05:33.000 \longrightarrow 01:05:34.426$ and I think there's some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.782886488

 $01{:}05{:}34.426 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}36.120$ that going on here too where a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.782886488

 $01:05:36.120 \longrightarrow 01:05:37.740$ of times when we elicit feedback,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782886488

 $01:05:37.740 \longrightarrow 01:05:38.254$ we're sensitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782886488

 $01:05:38.254 \longrightarrow 01:05:40.053$ We we really don't want negative feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782886488

 $01:05:40.060 \longrightarrow 01:05:42.598$ We kind of want reassurance that that was OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782886488

01:05:42.600 --> 01:05:44.310 And and you know what?

 $01:05:44.310 \longrightarrow 01:05:45.750$ What are are some strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.782886488

 $01{:}05{:}45.750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}46.938$ that you think are helpful for

NOTE Confidence: 0.782886488

01:05:46.938 --> 01:05:48.370 people in leadership roles?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8744624125

01:05:48.700 --> 01:05:50.317 She's sort of get out of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8744624125

 $01:05:50.317 \longrightarrow 01:05:54.902$ mindset of wanting to elicit sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8744624125

01:05:54.902 --> 01:05:56.330 superficially or nominally wanting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.751011568333333

 $01:05:56.340 \longrightarrow 01:05:58.305$ elicit feedback, but in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.751011568333333

 $01{:}05{:}58.305 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}00.840$ wanting to to elicit reassurance

NOTE Confidence: 0.751011568333333

 $01:06:00.930 \longrightarrow 01:06:03.680$ because of this inertia and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92334462

 $01:06:03.690 \longrightarrow 01:06:05.338$ and resistance to change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

01:06:06.620 --> 01:06:08.100 And the stress of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:06:08.100 \longrightarrow 01:06:09.284$ working in an organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01{:}06{:}09.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}11.546$ So I have some compassion for for people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:06:11.550 \longrightarrow 01:06:13.888$ For those of us who do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:06:13.890 \longrightarrow 01:06:16.434$ But what I would say is this the

01:06:16.434 --> 01:06:19.057 single piece of advice I would say

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:06:19.057 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.967$ is use your hierarchal authority

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:06:20.970 \longrightarrow 01:06:24.490$ to solicit critical information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

01:06:24.490 --> 01:06:27.294 Critical meaning, things that people want

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:06:27.294 \longrightarrow 01:06:29.590$ to change or want to be critical of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

01:06:29.590 --> 01:06:30.630 So instead of saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:06:30.630 \longrightarrow 01:06:32.190$ how are things going or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:06:32.242 \longrightarrow 01:06:33.748$ instead of saying which I do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777631822222222

 $01:06:33.750 \longrightarrow 01:06:35.339$ because I think it's just good form

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

01:06:35.339 --> 01:06:37.066 asking a balanced question, say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:06:37.066 \longrightarrow 01:06:39.530$ What's important to me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01{:}06{:}39.530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}42.736$ Is to know your experience about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:06:42.736 \longrightarrow 01:06:44.728$ was not comfortable, not ethical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777631822222222

 $01:06:44.728 \longrightarrow 01:06:47.200$ That raised ethical in in the in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

01:06:47.267 --> 01:06:49.349 two weeks we just spent together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222 01:06:49.350 --> 01:06:49.942 Full stop.

 $01:06:49.942 \longrightarrow 01:06:52.014$ Now the first time you do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01{:}06{:}52.020 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>01{:}06{:}53.772$ people are looking a little like

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:06:53.772 \longrightarrow 01:06:55.439$ who athat kids can't be true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:06:55.440 \longrightarrow 01:06:57.589$ You can't really be asking this question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:06:57.590 \longrightarrow 01:06:59.090$ You really want reassurance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

01:06:59.090 --> 01:07:01.340 but if you do that consistently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

01:07:01.340 --> 01:07:03.480 which is you're using your

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

01:07:03.480 --> 01:07:05.192 authority to solicit what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:07:05.200 \longrightarrow 01:07:07.988$ What would be helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

01:07:07.988 --> 01:07:10.079 information about ethical?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777631822222222

01:07:10.080 --> 01:07:12.376 So for Can you imagine in the story

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:07:12.376 \longrightarrow 01:07:15.203$ I told where the you know the surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01{:}07{:}15.203 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}17.340$ afterwards says to the resident.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777631822222222

01:07:17.340 --> 01:07:17.574 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:07:17.574 \longrightarrow 01:07:19.212$ it would be really helpful to know

 $01:07:19.212 \longrightarrow 01:07:21.269$ if at any time during this rotation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:07:21.270 \longrightarrow 01:07:22.685$ You felt ethically compromised or

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

01:07:22.685 --> 01:07:24.698 you were on the edge of feeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

01:07:24.698 --> 01:07:26.602 Ethicon or you think I did something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777631822222222

01:07:26.602 --> 01:07:28.313 that puts you in a tough spot

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:07:28.313 \longrightarrow 01:07:30.527$ because to ask that question is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:07:30.527 \longrightarrow 01:07:32.672$ acknowledge that naturally I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:07:32.672 \longrightarrow 01:07:35.735$ to put you in a tough spot because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777631822222222

 $01{:}07{:}35.735 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}38.523$ I have different you know I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01:07:38.523 \longrightarrow 01:07:40.412$ different objectives or based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.877763182222222

 $01{:}07{:}40.412 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}42.188$ what I'm doing in the organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:07:44.320 --> 01:07:45.880 Resource allocation is a great one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:07:45.880 \longrightarrow 01:07:47.401$ but if if I if I went to and

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:07:47.401 --> 01:07:48.977 I said did it ever happen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:07:48.980 --> 01:07:51.244 I would have to be first accepting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:07:51.244 \longrightarrow 01:07:53.497$ maybe out of my awareness on identity,

01:07:53.500 --> 01:07:55.831 function and hierarchy I might have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01{:}07{:}55.831 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}58.025$ putting you in a difficult situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:07:58.025 \longrightarrow 01:08:00.281$ as opposed to being defended against

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:08:00.281 \longrightarrow 01:08:02.657$ the possibility that I ever do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:08:02.660 \longrightarrow 01:08:04.064$ So first I have to say, Yep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:08:04.064 \longrightarrow 01:08:06.816$ I could do that because I'm an agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:08:06.820 --> 01:08:08.480 Of hierarchical groups and

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:08:08.480 --> 01:08:10.140 functional training and identity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01{:}08{:}10.140 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}11.109$ Historical identity groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:08:11.109 --> 01:08:13.047 So it's possible I put you

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:08:13.047 --> 01:08:14.680 in a difficult situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:08:14.680 \longrightarrow 01:08:16.780$ and if I then say did I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:08:16.780 --> 01:08:17.784 and if I did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:08:17.784 \longrightarrow 01:08:19.708$ could you tell me when the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:08:19.708 --> 01:08:21.438 time I asked that question,

01:08:21.440 --> 01:08:22.740 nobody's going to say squat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:08:22.740 --> 01:08:24.260 but by the 6th rotation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:08:24.260 --> 01:08:25.760 medical students or residents are

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:08:25.760 --> 01:08:27.918 talking to each other and they're saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:08:27.918 \longrightarrow 01:08:30.018$ this guy asks the question every time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:08:30.020 \longrightarrow 01:08:31.560$ And you know, last month,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:08:31.560 \longrightarrow 01:08:34.318$ Sally said, well, when you called me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:08:34.320 --> 01:08:37.086 you know, honey, it was really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:08:37.090 \longrightarrow 01:08:39.352$ I don't think that's a professional standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:08:39.352 \longrightarrow 01:08:41.158$ You know what he said wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:08:41.158 --> 01:08:42.110 even aware of it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:08:42.110 --> 01:08:43.629 I'm sorry I'll try to work harder

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:08:43.629 \longrightarrow 01:08:45.390$ on that and then the next time

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:08:45.390 \longrightarrow 01:08:46.715$ that attending asks that question

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:08:46.715 \longrightarrow 01:08:48.187$ at the end of the rotation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:08:48.190 \longrightarrow 01:08:49.933$ is he or she's going to get

 $01:08:49.933 \longrightarrow 01:08:51.350$ three or four responses?

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:08:51.350 --> 01:08:52.595 It takes time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455 01:08:52.595 --> 01:08:53.010 right?

01:08:53.010 --> 01:08:55.500 But using your authority to solicit

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:08:55.574 \longrightarrow 01:08:57.539$ that kind of information over

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:08:57.539 \longrightarrow 01:09:00.068$ time as opposed to using your

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:09:00.068 --> 01:09:02.508 authority to tacitly or explicitly

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:09:02.508 --> 01:09:04.460 get comforted or reassured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:09:04.460 \longrightarrow 01:09:05.800$ is is, in my experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:09:05.800 \longrightarrow 01:09:06.646$ the only way to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:09:06.650 \longrightarrow 01:09:07.950$ And the great thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:09:07.950 \longrightarrow 01:09:09.575$ About that just parenthetically is

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01{:}09{:}09.575 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}11.579$ that you're still a representative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:09:11.580 \longrightarrow 01:09:13.659$ so that means if I'm the attending

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:09:13.659 \longrightarrow 01:09:15.400$ physician who asks that question.

 $01:09:15.400 \longrightarrow 01:09:17.540$ I'm not just changing my

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:09:17.540 \dashrightarrow 01:09:18.396 \ interpersonal \ relationships,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:09:18.400 \longrightarrow 01:09:20.075$ potentially with the three or

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:09:20.075 \longrightarrow 01:09:21.750$ four residents on my team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:09:21.750 \longrightarrow 01:09:24.305$ Each rotation I'm a representative

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

01:09:24.305 --> 01:09:25.838 of attending physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:09:25.840 \longrightarrow 01:09:29.128$ so I'm making a little contribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:09:29.128 \longrightarrow 01:09:31.444$ to to changing the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01:09:31.444 \longrightarrow 01:09:33.208$ between residents and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01{:}09{:}33.208 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 01{:}09{:}35.281$ attendees because I'm still a

NOTE Confidence: 0.806482455

 $01{:}09{:}35.281 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}37.156$ representative of an attending group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:09:39.280 \longrightarrow 01:09:45.260$ Thank you all, right. Next question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:09:45.260 \longrightarrow 01:09:49.470$ What do you have against economists?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:09:49.470 --> 01:09:51.358 Just kidding real question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:09:51.358 --> 01:09:54.190 I could go on and on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:09:54.190 \longrightarrow 01:09:59.175$ but what are some particular considerations

 $01:09:59.175 \longrightarrow 01:10:01.980$ in organizational ethics in healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:10:02.060 --> 01:10:05.426 versus business ethics more generally?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:10:05.426 --> 01:10:09.070 Is there a distinction, and if so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:10:09.070 \longrightarrow 01:10:11.180$ where is that line that was?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:10:11.180 --> 01:10:12.720 I added that at the?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:10:12.720 \longrightarrow 01:10:17.017$ I'm not sure I'm qualified to answer the

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:10:17.017 \longrightarrow 01:10:19.171$ question since as an organizational person

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01{:}10{:}19.171 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}22.308$ I look for the similar occurrences across

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01{:}10{:}22.308 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}24.850$ different types of organizations, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:10:24.850 --> 01:10:28.500 But my first impression is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:10:28.500 \longrightarrow 01:10:32.150$ and this is going to sound terrible, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:10:32.150 \longrightarrow 01:10:33.422$ I I don't mean it to sound the

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01{:}10{:}33.422 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}34.905$ way it does and I can explain it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:10:34.910 \longrightarrow 01:10:36.514$ If people are excited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:10:36.514 \longrightarrow 01:10:39.930$ but I think medicine is a profession.

 $01:10:39.930 \longrightarrow 01:10:42.690$ And I mean that in its classic sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:10:42.690 \longrightarrow 01:10:45.903$ That is, it is the application of

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01{:}10{:}45.903 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}48.580$ knowledge of a knowledge base to

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:10:48.580 \longrightarrow 01:10:52.160$ the to the care or to of people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:10:52.160 \longrightarrow 01:10:53.560$ and that knowledge base

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:10:53.560 \longrightarrow 01:10:54.610$ is constantly upgraded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:10:54.610 --> 01:10:56.454 It's a self regulating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:10:56.454 \longrightarrow 01:10:59.220$ It's a profession in that regard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01{:}10{:}59.220 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>01{:}11{:}02.916$ Most organizations are quote UN quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:11:02.920 \longrightarrow 01:11:05.130$ not professions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:11:05.130 \longrightarrow 01:11:12.920$ Their their systems of of production.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:11:12.920 \longrightarrow 01:11:14.780$ They may be professional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:11:14.780 --> 01:11:17.570 When somebody is a professional manager,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:11:17.570 --> 01:11:19.950 presumably there's a body of

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:11:19.950 --> 01:11:22.330 knowledge about practical and moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:11:22.407 \longrightarrow 01:11:25.157$ standards that governs that behavior.

 $01:11:25.160 \longrightarrow 01:11:28.052$ In my experience and this is, I'm not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:11:28.052 --> 01:11:30.326 I'm not bashing it, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:11:30.326 \longrightarrow 01:11:31.791$ like what's the single most

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:11:31.791 \longrightarrow 01:11:33.179$ important thing that an organ?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:11:33.180 \longrightarrow 01:11:34.720$ What is most organizations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:11:34.720 --> 01:11:36.680 business to do to make money?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:11:36.680 --> 01:11:37.820 That's what their.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01{:}11{:}37.820 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}39.720$ That's their function in society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985 01:11:39.720 --> 01:11:40.032 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:11:40.032 \longrightarrow 01:11:41.904$ To make products that are profitable

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:11:41.904 \longrightarrow 01:11:43.300$ in a capitalistic society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:11:43.300 \longrightarrow 01:11:45.520$ there's nothing in there about

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:11:45.520 --> 01:11:46.408 ethical behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:11:46.410 \longrightarrow 01:11:48.098$ There's nothing in there

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:11:48.098 --> 01:11:49.364 about professional behavior.

 $01:11:49.370 \longrightarrow 01:11:52.394$ Now I would say you know professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:11:52.394 --> 01:11:54.771 basketball is not a profession

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:11:54.771 \longrightarrow 01:11:56.680$ either because the word is you

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:11:56.680 --> 01:11:58.756 do whatever you need to do until

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:11:58.756 \longrightarrow 01:12:00.256$ the ref blows the whistle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:12:00.260 --> 01:12:01.840 There's not standards people

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:12:01.840 \longrightarrow 01:12:03.420$ may talk about standards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:12:03.420 --> 01:12:05.694 but they deteriorate or they get

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:12:05.694 \longrightarrow 01:12:08.692$ put in place more they issue is

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:12:08.692 --> 01:12:10.556 around winning and competing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:12:10.560 \longrightarrow 01:12:13.374$ and then we find out where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:12:13.374 \longrightarrow 01:12:14.580$ professional standards are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:12:14.580 --> 01:12:17.709 So and again, I'm not bashing it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:12:17.710 \longrightarrow 01:12:18.840$ that's what they're good at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:12:18.840 \longrightarrow 01:12:20.260$ That's what you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:12:20.260 \longrightarrow 01:12:22.035$ Entrepreneurial creativity is all about.

 $01:12:22.040 \longrightarrow 01:12:23.692$ It's about finding efficiencies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:12:23.692 --> 01:12:25.378 You know, the economists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:12:25.378 --> 01:12:27.952 the market should be everybody gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:12:27.952 --> 01:12:30.440 the same information, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:12:30.440 \longrightarrow 01:12:32.165$ That's what makes a market.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:12:32.170 --> 01:12:33.724 You can't make any money with

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:12:33.724 \longrightarrow 01:12:35.380$ everybody having the same information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:12:35.380 --> 01:12:37.277 You have to find the piece of

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:12:37.277 \longrightarrow 01:12:38.345$ information that nobody else

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:12:38.345 --> 01:12:40.470 has or you have to squirrel away

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:12:40.470 \longrightarrow 01:12:41.430$ information nobody has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:12:41.430 --> 01:12:44.526 That's what a copywriter a patent is right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01{:}12{:}44.530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}47.568$ because otherwise I don't have any advantage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:12:47.570 \longrightarrow 01:12:49.180$ So every body has the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:12:49.180 \longrightarrow 01:12:50.468$ information all the time.

01:12:50.470 --> 01:12:51.988 It might be a Great Society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:12:51.990 \longrightarrow 01:12:54.678$ but you can't make any money.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:12:54.680 \longrightarrow 01:12:57.128$ Even conservative economists who

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:12:57.128 --> 01:12:59.896 trumpet the market system they

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:12:59.896 \longrightarrow 01:13:01.792$ can want want their client to

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:13:01.792 \longrightarrow 01:13:04.119$ have just a little proprietary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:13:04.120 \longrightarrow 01:13:05.584$ just a little bit more information

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:13:05.584 \longrightarrow 01:13:06.316$ than somebody else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:13:06.320 \longrightarrow 01:13:08.288$ I want to give that information

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:13:08.288 --> 01:13:10.200 away that's not part of free,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

01:13:10.200 --> 01:13:12.060 you know, information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772667985

 $01:13:12.060 \longrightarrow 01:13:16.400$ So I think there's something special about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527035125

01:13:16.400 --> 01:13:17.753 about healthcare organizations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527035125

01:13:17.753 --> 01:13:20.008 both because of their task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527035125

 $01:13:20.010 \longrightarrow 01:13:21.696$ which is to care for people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527035125

 $01:13:21.700 \longrightarrow 01:13:25.150$ and because they are arguably.

 $01:13:25.150 \longrightarrow 01:13:27.070$ The foundational profession in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8527035125

 $01:13:27.070 \longrightarrow 01:13:30.960$ the in human society. So the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81431677

 $01:13:31.090 \longrightarrow 01:13:32.894$ the fact that the Milton Friedman

NOTE Confidence: 0.81431677

01:13:32.894 --> 01:13:34.942 and the Chicago School of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81431677

01:13:34.942 --> 01:13:37.790 Economists believe that the moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.81431677

 $01:13:37.790 \longrightarrow 01:13:40.050$ obligation of business executives

NOTE Confidence: 0.81431677

 $01:13:40.050 \longrightarrow 01:13:42.300$ is to maximize shareholder value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81431677

01:13:42.300 --> 01:13:44.460 Shareholder return on investment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76997144

 $01:13:45.480 \longrightarrow 01:13:46.320$ Does that have anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.91059506

 $01:13:46.330 \longrightarrow 01:13:48.490$ to do with your dislike of economists?

NOTE Confidence: 0.74833616875

01:13:50.090 --> 01:13:52.943 This is some not not primarily by my dislike

NOTE Confidence: 0.74833616875

 $01:13:52.943 \longrightarrow 01:13:55.839$ of economist is more personal than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74833616875

 $01{:}13{:}55.840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}59.890$ But yes, there is some theoretical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74833616875

01:13:59.890 --> 01:14:03.600 Notion about about about economics

NOTE Confidence: 0.74833616875

01:14:03.600 --> 01:14:06.474 and morality, and even most economists

 $01:14:06.474 \longrightarrow 01:14:09.109$ would say you don't want private sector

NOTE Confidence: 0.74833616875

 $01:14:09.109 \longrightarrow 01:14:11.589$ organizations deciding on public morality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74833616875

01:14:11.590 --> 01:14:12.730 You don't want them doing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74833616875

01:14:12.730 --> 01:14:14.458 They're they're not the right place to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74833616875

01:14:14.460 --> 01:14:17.370 You want some duly constituted

NOTE Confidence: 0.74833616875

01:14:17.370 --> 01:14:20.184 representative of the public of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74833616875

 $01:14:20.190 \longrightarrow 01:14:22.367$ to be debating the questions of morality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74833616875

 $01:14:22.370 \longrightarrow 01:14:24.248$ You don't want private sector organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74833616875

01:14:24.250 --> 01:14:26.074 Now that's changing with double and

NOTE Confidence: 0.74833616875

01:14:26.074 --> 01:14:28.288 triple bottom line organizations, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74833616875

 $01:14:28.288 \longrightarrow 01:14:32.280$ Doctor Mercurio has gets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74833616875

01:14:32.280 --> 01:14:32.880 Any questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:14:34.890 \longrightarrow 01:14:37.584$ I think this is a big part of a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:14:37.584 \longrightarrow 01:14:38.954$ of the struggle that's happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:14:38.954 \longrightarrow 01:14:40.784$ here at our medical school and

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:14:40.784 \longrightarrow 01:14:42.474$ perhaps elsewhere has to do with.

01:14:42.474 --> 01:14:43.938 I mean, I quite agree with

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

01:14:43.938 --> 01:14:44.946 you about the professions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:14:44.950 \longrightarrow 01:14:46.616$ and I think that that largely comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

01:14:46.616 --> 01:14:48.649 down to the fiduciary responsibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

01:14:48.650 --> 01:14:49.804 which is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:14:49.804 \longrightarrow 01:14:50.956$ is different than I'm here to

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:14:50.956 \longrightarrow 01:14:52.164$ make money for the owner or I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:14:52.164 \longrightarrow 01:14:53.410$ here to make money for the owners,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

01:14:53.410 --> 01:14:54.890 the stockholders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:14:54.890 \longrightarrow 01:14:56.430$ the fiduciary responsibility toward what

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:14:56.430 \longrightarrow 01:14:58.422$ some people want to call the customer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:14:58.422 \longrightarrow 01:15:01.940$ We still prefer to call them the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01{:}15{:}01.940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}04.190$ But this therein lies the struggle here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01{:}15{:}04.190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}07.520$ which is that that we've got that stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:15:07.520 \longrightarrow 01:15:10.389$ between the bottom line and the and the

01:15:10.389 --> 01:15:13.238 obligation we feel to not just our patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:15:13.240 \longrightarrow 01:15:14.450$ but frankly also to are

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:15:14.450 \longrightarrow 01:15:15.660$ the others who are here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:15:15.660 \longrightarrow 01:15:18.526$ But we are our students and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

01:15:18.526 --> 01:15:19.998 that conscience, constant tension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

01:15:20.000 --> 01:15:22.400 which I think looms over not just this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:15:22.400 \longrightarrow 01:15:24.100$ but every medical school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

01:15:24.100 --> 01:15:24.628 Because I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:15:24.628 \longrightarrow 01:15:26.669$ I feel it as a one of the triangles is

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

01:15:26.669 --> 01:15:28.680 probably closer to the bottom of the top,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01{:}15{:}28.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}30.349$ but I feel it with the the pressures

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:15:30.349 \longrightarrow 01:15:32.167$ that are clearly put on to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

01:15:32.170 --> 01:15:33.938 Manage the financial ship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:15:33.938 \longrightarrow 01:15:36.148$ sometimes at the expense of

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:15:36.148 \longrightarrow 01:15:38.360$ those to whom we have duties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143 01:15:38.360 --> 01:15:38.924 At least,

01:15:38.924 --> 01:15:39.488 I think. NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:15:39.488 \longrightarrow 01:15:41.532$ And so when one is trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

01:15:41.532 --> 01:15:43.499 hold back the tide of either one

NOTE Confidence: 0.931806702857143

 $01:15:43.500 \longrightarrow 01:15:45.404$ trying to serve 2 masters if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.674090711666667

 $01:15:45.780 \longrightarrow 01:15:50.220$ so I, I would say that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:15:54.200 \longrightarrow 01:15:56.850$ I would say as long as the United States is

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:15:56.916 \longrightarrow 01:15:59.580$ a culture where people are making money on

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:15:59.580 \longrightarrow 01:16:02.039$ health care at every step along the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:16:02.040 --> 01:16:04.724 we're going to have this tension and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:16:04.724 \longrightarrow 01:16:06.296$ money made at healthcare every step.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:16:06.300 --> 01:16:08.799 I mean made. I don't just mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:16:08.800 \longrightarrow 01:16:11.240$ accumulated against a rainy day

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01{:}16{:}11.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}13.192$ or surpluses against deficits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:16:13.200 \longrightarrow 01:16:16.248$ or I mean literally made for

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:16:16.248 \longrightarrow 01:16:17.444$ shareholders, et cetera.

01:16:17.444 --> 01:16:20.166 You're going to have this problem, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:16:20.166 \longrightarrow 01:16:22.830$ This is where I think the question is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:16:22.830 \longrightarrow 01:16:25.770$ How often is it talked about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:16:25.770 \longrightarrow 01:16:27.903$ That's the question and how often do we go?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:16:27.910 --> 01:16:30.114 We collectively, not necessarily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01{:}16{:}30.114 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}32.869$ The program on Biomedical Ethics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:16:32.870 \longrightarrow 01:16:36.910$ but how often do we talk about it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:16:36.910 --> 01:16:40.006 You know how often when we you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:16:40.006 \longrightarrow 01:16:42.461$ only have a week of insurance for

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:16:42.461 --> 01:16:44.246 somebody in an inpatient psychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01{:}16{:}44.246 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}46.140$ facility and we don't think they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:16:46.140 --> 01:16:47.826 ready to go to be discharged?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:16:47.830 --> 01:16:49.468 How often do we talk about making

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:16:49.468 --> 01:16:51.105 up a second diagnosis to protect

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:16:51.105 \longrightarrow 01:16:53.149$ that patient so that they can stay?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:16:53.150 \longrightarrow 01:16:55.520$ And whether that's ethical or not?

01:16:55.520 --> 01:16:57.179 I mean, you know in classic terms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:16:57.180 --> 01:16:58.660 it's in a contient way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:16:58.660 --> 01:17:00.830 It's not ethical because you're lying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:00.830 \longrightarrow 01:17:02.858$ the insurance company in a utilitarian way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:02.860 \longrightarrow 01:17:05.308$ May be the only ethical thing you can do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:05.310 \longrightarrow 01:17:06.166$ and the question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:17:06.166 --> 01:17:07.236 can you talk about it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:07.240 \longrightarrow 01:17:07.454$ Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:17:07.454 --> 01:17:08.738 you can't talk about it because

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:17:08.738 --> 01:17:09.965 the lawyers say you can't talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:17:09.965 --> 01:17:11.232 about it if you talk about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:11.240 \longrightarrow 01:17:13.784$ you're confessing to fraud so you can't do

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:13.784 \longrightarrow 01:17:16.569$ that well from an educational point of view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:17:16.570 --> 01:17:18.602 I think we got to talk about exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:18.602 \longrightarrow 01:17:20.919$ what you were saying as often as we can,

 $01:17:20.920 \longrightarrow 01:17:23.938$ and then we may have to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923 01:17:23.940 --> 01:17:24.656 Stay in, NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:24.656 \longrightarrow 01:17:28.187$ we may have to follow the laws or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:17:28.187 --> 01:17:31.097 guidelines or the billing procedures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:31.100 \longrightarrow 01:17:34.010$ but the speaking creates the

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:17:34.010 --> 01:17:36.338 talking about it educationally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:36.340 \longrightarrow 01:17:37.936$ Creates just incrementally the

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:37.936 \longrightarrow 01:17:40.712$ possibility that people as they move up

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01{:}17{:}40.712 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}42.959$ are not making the mistake of thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:17:42.959 --> 01:17:44.800 that they're behaving ethically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:44.800 \longrightarrow 01:17:46.714$ They're behaving the only way they

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:46.714 \longrightarrow 01:17:49.390$ can in a very difficult situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:49.390 \longrightarrow 01:17:51.010$ And I think that's for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:51.010 \longrightarrow 01:17:52.504$ I learned that teaching in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:52.504 \longrightarrow 01:17:53.882$ management class I was teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:53.882 \longrightarrow 01:17:55.210$ a little ethical dilemma.

01:17:55.210 --> 01:17:56.548 Classic one you all know about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:56.550 \longrightarrow 01:17:58.366$ which is an innocent guys in the house,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:58.370 \longrightarrow 01:17:59.945$ and the mob comes and they want

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:17:59.945 \longrightarrow 01:18:01.030$ to lynch the person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:18:01.030 --> 01:18:03.510 And they say, is he in your house?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923 01:18:03.510 --> 01:18:03.824 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:18:03.824 --> 01:18:06.022 the Kantian ethic is you have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:18:06.022 \longrightarrow 01:18:08.389$ tell the truth because if you lie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:18:08.390 --> 01:18:09.830 you're undermining the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:18:09.830 \longrightarrow 01:18:11.630$ ethical system of the society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:18:11.630 --> 01:18:12.770 But if you tell the truth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:18:12.770 \longrightarrow 01:18:14.408$ they're going to lynch an innocent man.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:18:14.410 \longrightarrow 01:18:16.800$ So what do you do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:18:16.800 --> 01:18:17.297 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:18:17.297 --> 01:18:19.782 so we're going through this

 $01:18:19.782 \longrightarrow 01:18:22.500$ classic and and we come to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:18:22.500 \longrightarrow 01:18:24.220$ utilitarian decision in the class.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:18:24.220 \longrightarrow 01:18:25.090$ The class says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:18:25.090 \longrightarrow 01:18:27.120$ you know you lie and there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:18:27.193 \longrightarrow 01:18:29.088$ divinity student in the class.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:18:29.090 --> 01:18:31.010 In this management class and and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:18:31.010 --> 01:18:34.170 he says the key is to know you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:18:34.170 \longrightarrow 01:18:36.867$ lying as opposed to saying that

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:18:36.867 \longrightarrow 01:18:40.050$ the lie itself is a moral act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

01:18:40.050 --> 01:18:43.029 So his comment was if you have to lie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923

 $01:18:43.030 \longrightarrow 01:18:44.680$ you need to preserve the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.939867058076923 $01:18:44.680 \longrightarrow 01:18:45.780$ that it was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

01:18:45.847 --> 01:18:48.303 lie and that it puts at risk something

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

 $01:18:48.303 \longrightarrow 01:18:50.965$ about the the shared society we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

01:18:50.970 --> 01:18:53.706 IE lying only works truth telling you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

01:18:53.710 --> 01:18:55.690 lying only work because we presume

01:18:55.690 --> 01:18:57.586 truth telling he says the slippery

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

 $01:18:57.586 \longrightarrow 01:18:59.440$ slope is when you think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

 $01:18:59.510 \longrightarrow 01:19:01.466$ the line is itself immoral act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

01:19:01.470 --> 01:19:06.170 So if I have to, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

01:19:06.170 --> 01:19:08.403 Change a diagnosis for a patient in

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

 $01:19:08.403 \longrightarrow 01:19:10.758$ order to get them what they need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

01:19:10.760 --> 01:19:13.100 What what I what I don't want to do is say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

 $01:19:13.100 \longrightarrow 01:19:15.410$ well, that's OK because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

 $01:19:15.410 \longrightarrow 01:19:16.796$ a corrupt system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

01:19:16.800 --> 01:19:19.555 What you want to say is I am being

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

 $01:19:19.555 \longrightarrow 01:19:21.830$ forced to violate what I consider to

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

01:19:21.906 --> 01:19:24.562 be an ethical principle for a what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

 $01{:}19{:}24.562 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}27.168$ consider to be a greater good and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

 $01:19:27.168 \longrightarrow 01:19:29.456$ feels kind of crappy all the way around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

 $01:19:29.456 \longrightarrow 01:19:31.445$ And that's what I mean by the

 $01:19:31.445 \longrightarrow 01:19:33.315$ maintaining the conversation as opposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

01:19:33.315 --> 01:19:35.739 to either not talking or saying well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

 $01:19:35.740 \longrightarrow 01:19:38.196$ that's the right thing to do because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

01:19:38.196 --> 01:19:40.157 patient wasn't ready to be discharged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

 $01:19:40.160 \longrightarrow 01:19:41.805$ It wasn't the right thing to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

01:19:41.810 --> 01:19:44.282 Until we change society that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

01:19:44.282 --> 01:19:47.463 makes money and has insurance companies

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

01:19:47.463 --> 01:19:50.866 deciding when and how people get treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

01:19:50.870 --> 01:19:53.390 Very difficult, I mean, as Lauren says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

 $01:19:53.390 \longrightarrow 01:19:54.440$ the stay and speak out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

 $01{:}19{:}54.440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}58.108$ We live in a murky moral world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

 $01:19:58.110 \longrightarrow 01:20:00.902$ Now the question is how do we navigate

NOTE Confidence: 0.890814644615385

01:20:00.902 --> 01:20:02.880 our way through it? You know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.828089885

 $01:20:06.920 \longrightarrow 01:20:08.840$ I'm curious if there's specific academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.828089885

 $01:20:08.840 \longrightarrow 01:20:12.066$ medical centers specifically and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.828089885

 $01:20:12.066 \longrightarrow 01:20:13.640$ also outside of academic medicine.

 $01:20:13.640 \longrightarrow 01:20:16.230$ If there are particular organizations or

NOTE Confidence: 0.83154247875

01:20:16.240 --> 01:20:17.600 like groups of organizations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83154247875

01:20:17.600 --> 01:20:20.280 you think do a really good job

NOTE Confidence: 0.83154247875

01:20:20.280 --> 01:20:23.969 of allowing dissent, and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.74832549

 $01:20:23.980 \longrightarrow 01:20:25.368$ generally, allowing ethical behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9043071372

01:20:27.720 --> 01:20:29.688 So the question was, do I know of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9043071372

 $01:20:29.688 \longrightarrow 01:20:31.305$ any other academic medical centers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9043071372

 $01:20:31.305 \longrightarrow 01:20:33.080$ or organizations in general that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9043071372

 $01:20:33.080 \longrightarrow 01:20:35.509$ do a better rather than worse job?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:20:38.120 \longrightarrow 01:20:40.526$ I get this question a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01{:}20{:}40.530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}44.085$ You know about other sorts of things

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:20:44.085 \longrightarrow 01:20:47.055$ about organizations who's doing it well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:20:47.060 \longrightarrow 01:20:50.950$ And the simple answer is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:20:50.950 \longrightarrow 01:20:53.389$ I don't know any organization as well as I

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

01:20:53.389 --> 01:20:55.793 know this one because I live in this one.

 $01:20:55.800 \longrightarrow 01:20:58.985$ That mean that gives me certain advantages

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:20:58.985 \longrightarrow 01:21:01.464$ and certain disadvantages because I am

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

01:21:01.464 --> 01:21:03.900 just as encapsulated in my function and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

01:21:03.968 --> 01:21:06.775 hierarchy and identity as anybody else is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:21:06.780 \longrightarrow 01:21:09.102$ What I do know is everybody's

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

01:21:09.102 --> 01:21:12.068 looking for a better place and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:21:12.068 \longrightarrow 01:21:14.438$ leads me to believe that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

01:21:14.440 --> 01:21:17.839 There are probably quote UN quote places

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01{:}21{:}17.839 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}20.113$ that are more successful in engaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:21:20.113 \longrightarrow 01:21:22.560$ these kinds of issues than others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

01:21:22.560 --> 01:21:25.098 but marginally better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:21:25.100 \longrightarrow 01:21:27.910$ The vast majority are within

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:21:27.910 \longrightarrow 01:21:29.596$ one standard deviation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

01:21:29.600 --> 01:21:31.756 and so moving from going to one

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

01:21:31.756 --> 01:21:33.220 organization and learning about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01{:}21{:}33.220 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}35.152$ you'd recognize a lot of the stuff

01:21:35.152 --> 01:21:36.659 that you recognize around here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:21:36.660 \longrightarrow 01:21:38.410$ and you might pick up a tidbit

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:21:38.410 \longrightarrow 01:21:39.589$ here and there, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:21:39.589 \longrightarrow 01:21:40.876$ By and large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:21:40.876 \longrightarrow 01:21:42.592$ the most organizations are

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:21:42.592 \longrightarrow 01:21:44.492$ struggling with the same sorts

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:21:44.492 \longrightarrow 01:21:46.753$ of issues that that we are now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:21:46.760 \longrightarrow 01:21:47.528$ You could say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667 01:21:47.528 --> 01:21:48.040 OK bird, NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

01:21:48.040 --> 01:21:51.514 but your name is on a paper about outliers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:21:51.520 \longrightarrow 01:21:54.016$ which is true about door to balloon times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:21:54.020 \longrightarrow 01:21:56.768$ My name is on this where we looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01{:}21{:}56.768 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}58.380$ outliers to see what they were doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:21:58.380 \longrightarrow 01:22:00.798$ Can't you give me an outlier?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933024471666667

 $01:22:00.800 \longrightarrow 01:22:02.498$ Just one hope.

01:22:05.290 --> 01:22:09.296 No. Meaning they probably exist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212533

01:22:09.296 --> 01:22:11.716 but I would be simplifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212533

01:22:11.716 --> 01:22:14.015 anything if I if I gave you one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212533

01:22:14.020 --> 01:22:15.427 because like I said at the beginning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212533

 $01:22:15.430 \longrightarrow 01:22:18.294$ I don't think I'm really qualified to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212533

 $01:22:18.300 \longrightarrow 01:22:20.340$ to characterize an entire organization

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212533

 $01:22:20.340 \longrightarrow 01:22:22.590$ in terms of how it's dealing with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7816415

01:22:24.950 --> 01:22:26.030 Alright, well I think we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7816415

 $01{:}22{:}26.030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}27.532$ time for one more question

NOTE Confidence: 0.7816415

 $01:22:27.532 \longrightarrow 01:22:29.560$ and I apologize to the folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.7816415

 $01:22:29.560 \longrightarrow 01:22:31.150$ that we haven't gotten to yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7816415

 $01:22:31.150 \longrightarrow 01:22:33.290$ Thank you so much for such

NOTE Confidence: 0.7816415

 $01:22:33.290 \longrightarrow 01:22:34.610$ an interesting talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7816415

01:22:34.610 --> 01:22:36.236 Can you tell us about your

NOTE Confidence: 0.7816415

01:22:36.236 --> 01:22:37.500 perception on the functionality

NOTE Confidence: 0.7816415

 $01:22:37.500 \longrightarrow 01:22:39.040$ of the hospital culture

 $01:22:39.050 \longrightarrow 01:22:41.630$ and stated mission statement?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89189585

 $01:22:41.630 \longrightarrow 01:22:43.500$ To cultivate an ethical culture?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89189585

01:22:43.500 --> 01:22:45.410 Excuse me, an ethical organization

NOTE Confidence: 0.89189585

 $01:22:45.410 \longrightarrow 01:22:48.660$ and cultivate responsible dissent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91695113

 $01:22:48.800 \longrightarrow 01:22:51.032$ Some people are more skeptical about

NOTE Confidence: 0.91695113

 $01:22:51.032 \longrightarrow 01:22:53.155$ whether a mission statement of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.91695113

01:22:53.155 --> 01:22:55.638 hospital actually means anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91695113

01:22:55.640 --> 01:22:57.500 And doubt that the organization

NOTE Confidence: 0.91695113

 $01:22:57.500 \longrightarrow 01:22:59.510$ acts in a way that reflects all

NOTE Confidence: 0.91635138

 $01:22:59.520 \longrightarrow 01:23:02.019$ the stated value in the mission statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857886914

 $01:23:03.600 \longrightarrow 01:23:06.050$ Just is the word dissent in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857886914

 $01{:}23{:}06.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}07.100$ hospital's mission statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857886914

 $01:23:07.100 \longrightarrow 01:23:08.634 \text{ I don't think so. OK?}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.857886914

01:23:08.634 --> 01:23:10.826 I mean, I thought that's what I heard

NOTE Confidence: 0.857886914

 $01:23:10.826 \longrightarrow 01:23:13.354$ you that the question or say like so

 $01:23:13.354 \longrightarrow 01:23:16.233$ let me make a comment about missions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857886914

 $01:23:16.233 \longrightarrow 01:23:21.450$ We have mission statement check check.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857886914

01:23:21.450 --> 01:23:24.480 OK, I'll talk to you and then, yeah, talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:23:26.740 \longrightarrow 01:23:29.720$ I'm a mission statement skeptic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:23:29.720 \longrightarrow 01:23:32.480$ But not because I don't think we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

01:23:32.480 --> 01:23:35.119 have some aspirational language about

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:23:35.119 \longrightarrow 01:23:37.615$ about our organizational behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

01:23:37.620 --> 01:23:41.134 I do. I think I think, I think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

01:23:41.134 --> 01:23:43.800 a long process of coming up with it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:23:43.800 \longrightarrow 01:23:46.096$ and I think it should be aspirational.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01{:}23{:}46.100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}47.997$ The mistrust I have of mission statements

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:23:47.997 \longrightarrow 01:23:50.305$ is not that there shouldn't be an

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:23:50.305 \longrightarrow 01:23:52.100$ aspirational statement about our culture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

01:23:52.100 --> 01:23:56.316 it's how how we tie our the everyday

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:23:56.316 \longrightarrow 01:24:00.308$ decision making from strategy to operations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:24:00.310 \longrightarrow 01:24:01.768$ To the mission,

01:24:01.768 --> 01:24:05.170 and that's a very loosely coupled thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:24:05.170 \longrightarrow 01:24:08.285$ so that makes the mission statements seem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

01:24:08.290 --> 01:24:09.607 if not hypocritical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

01:24:09.607 --> 01:24:11.802 not terribly meaningful or useful

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

01:24:11.802 --> 01:24:13.817 because people are not sitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

01:24:13.817 --> 01:24:15.597 around saying when they're about

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:24:15.597 \longrightarrow 01:24:18.100$ to make a decision about a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

01:24:18.100 --> 01:24:21.100 Does this align with our mission?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

01:24:21.100 --> 01:24:22.969 And the reason they don't is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:24:22.969 \longrightarrow 01:24:25.234$ if they ask that question about each

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

01:24:25.234 --> 01:24:27.728 decision they run up against all

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:24:27.728 \longrightarrow 01:24:29.963$ these kinds of ethical dilemmas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01{:}24{:}29.970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}34.368$ And they would probably be immobilized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:24:34.370 \longrightarrow 01:24:36.850$ So most of us don't pay attention to

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:24:36.850 \longrightarrow 01:24:38.489$ mission statements as an aspirational

 $01:24:38.489 \longrightarrow 01:24:40.094$ statement because it would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:24:40.094 \longrightarrow 01:24:41.809$ too hard to operationalize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:24:41.810 \longrightarrow 01:24:44.231$ and we don't get a whole lot of help

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:24:44.231 \longrightarrow 01:24:45.965$ in translating aspirational statements

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:24:45.965 \longrightarrow 01:24:49.206$ to the gritty work of a clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:24:49.210 \longrightarrow 01:24:52.120$ Every Thursday from 1:00 to 5:00.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:24:52.120 \longrightarrow 01:24:52.786$ Alright.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:24:52.786 \longrightarrow 01:24:57.448$ Well, actually there is one other comment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

01:24:57.450 --> 01:24:59.400 Not everyone listening has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:24:59.400 \longrightarrow 01:25:01.029$ weak and tiny voice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859584398

 $01:25:01.030 \longrightarrow 01:25:02.788$ Some of us are senior dogs

NOTE Confidence: 0.731357385

 $01:25:02.800 \longrightarrow 01:25:05.256$ with a strong bark and a potential bite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839490006666667 01:25:06.920 --> 01:25:09.710 Is it if it NOTE Confidence: 0.940298973333333

 $01:25:09.720 \longrightarrow 01:25:11.028$ is the responsibility of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940298973333333

 $01:25:11.028 \longrightarrow 01:25:12.663$ the senior staff to speak

NOTE Confidence: 0.73871169

 $01:25:12.680 \longrightarrow 01:25:14.270$ up where the junior folks

 $01:25:14.280 \longrightarrow 01:25:16.645$ are potentially intimidated and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.839432412142857

 $01{:}25{:}16.645 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}20.388$ actually is coming from a senior dog as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.845655348333333

01:25:21.180 --> 01:25:23.372 couldn't agree more, OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845655348333333

 $01:25:23.372 \longrightarrow 01:25:26.200$ All right, well this has been wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845655348333333

01:25:26.200 --> 01:25:27.655 David, thank you so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.845655348333333

 $01:25:27.655 \longrightarrow 01:25:29.500$ my pleasure this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845655348333333

 $01:25:29.500 \longrightarrow 01:25:31.040$ And this is being recorded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845655348333333

 $01:25:31.040 \longrightarrow 01:25:34.140$ It will be available on the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.845655348333333

 $01:25:34.140 \longrightarrow 01:25:38.510$ for Biomedical ethics website so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845655348333333

 $01{:}25{:}38.510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}40.694$ They there's a permanent resource,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845655348333333

 $01:25:40.694 \longrightarrow 01:25:42.824$ so thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845655348333333

01:25:42.830 --> 01:25:43.878 Thank you for coming.