WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:10:49" NOTE recognizability:0.775

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.84696274

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.952$ Our next speaker will take neuroimaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.84696274

 $00{:}00{:}02.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}05.719$ in a completely different direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84696274

00:00:05.719 --> 00:00:07.498 Doctor Zachary Corbin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84696274

00:00:07.500 --> 00:00:08.876 assistant professor of neurology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84696274

 $00:00:08.876 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.596$ head of the Neuron Oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.84696274

 $00:00:10.596 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.370$ Fellowship program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84696274

00:00:11.370 --> 00:00:13.280 We'll be talking about neuroimaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.84696274

00:00:13.280 --> 00:00:14.768 and cancer. Thanks come on up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82281077

 $00:00:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.910$ So thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82281077

00:00:27.910 --> 00:00:29.080 It's really an honor and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}00{:}29.127 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.849$ privilege to be here. I'm Zach Corbin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}00{:}30.849 \to 00{:}00{:}33.220$ I'm one of the neuro oncologist at Smilo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:00:33.220 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.390$ and I'm here to talk about metabolic

 $00:00:35.390 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.976$ imaging techniques using PET and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}00{:}36.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.466$ Mr spectroscopy and brain tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:00:38.470 --> 00:00:40.444 I'd like to start by talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:00:40.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.711$ I can start by talking about the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:00:43.711 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.637$ We've directed a lot of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:00:45.637 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.746$ techniques to which is glioma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:00:47.746 --> 00:00:49.190 glioma is surprisingly common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:00:49.190 --> 00:00:51.670 I think to most people it's second most

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:00:51.670 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.748$ common type of primary brain tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:00:53.750 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.106$ US annual instance is 19,000 /.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:00:57.106 --> 00:00:59.542 12,000 of these are the most aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:00:59.542 --> 00:01:02.022 and probably most famous glioma which

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}01{:}02.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04.572$ is glioblastoma and despite a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:01:04.572 --> 00:01:07.044 deal of research and clinical efforts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:01:07.050 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.736$ it's a very morbid and mortal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}01{:}08.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10.588$ Disease where over just over one

00:01:10.588 --> 00:01:12.570 in 20 patients at five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:01:12.570 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.762$ remains alive, about 6.8%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}01{:}14.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}18.462$ At last measurement we'll blastoma is

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:01:18.462 --> 00:01:21.198 defined histopathologically with necrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:01:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.815$ which is also surrounded by

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:01:22.815 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.784$ pseudo Palisades here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:01:23.790 --> 00:01:25.548 and there's lots of nuclear changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:01:25.550 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.238$ and you can see the cells look quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:01:27.238 --> 00:01:28.648 different on this microscope slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:01:28.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.850$ and these are blood vessels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:01:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.510$ There's blood vessel proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:01:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.585$ We're interested in applying metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}01{:}33.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35.465$ imaging to the treatment of wheel

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:01:35.465 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.356$ blastoma and glioma in general because

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:01:37.356 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.982$ the glioblastoma, for example,

 $00:01:38.982 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.719$ is treated with a basis of MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}01{:}41.720 --> 00{:}01{:}42.980$ MRI is a diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:01:42.980 --> 00:01:44.555 Patients are treated with surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:01:44.555 --> 00:01:46.636 and then an MRI is performed again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:01:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.566$ postoperatively and then often within a

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:01:48.566 --> 00:01:51.297 month prior to the first phase of treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:01:51.300 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.524$ which is chemoradiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:01:52.524 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.972$ Another MRI is performed and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}01{:}54.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}56.778$ actually after chemo radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:01:56.780 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.940$ which lasts about 1 1/2 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}01{:}58.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}00.264$ One month of break.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:02:00.264 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.250$ There's another MRI and we actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:02:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.335$ Perform MRI's every two months

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:02:04.335 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.003$ during the adjuvant chemoradiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:02:06.003 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.128$ phase or sorry chemotherapy phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:02:08.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.074$ So the average patient often receives

 $00:02:10.074 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.720$ about a dozen MRI's and this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}02{:}12.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15.145$ great opportunity for us to add to

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:02:15.145 --> 00:02:16.998 the patients care in order to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:02:16.998 --> 00:02:18.871 you a little bit about why we would

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:02:18.871 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.316$ use metabolic imaging and glioma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:02:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.700$ So the Warburg effect is the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:02:22.700 --> 00:02:24.304 famous metabolic change and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}02{:}24.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.568$ associated with aggressive tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}02{:}25.568 \rightarrow 00{:}02{:}27.374$ and in order to demonstrate it I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:02:27.374 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.035$ going to show you a diagram where

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}02{:}29.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.743$ this is the outside of the cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:02:30.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.269$ This is the inside of the cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}02{:}32.270 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33.848$ So glucose comes into the cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:02:33.850 --> 00:02:35.038 it becomes pyruvate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:02:35.038 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.018$ and then there's a dichotomy

 $00:02:37.018 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.430$ where in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}02{:}38.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40.290$ in normal oxygen tension and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:02:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.406$ through oxidative phosphorylation

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:02:41.406 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.610$ as mediated through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:02:42.610 --> 00:02:44.870 This is my mitochondria cartoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}02{:}44.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.534$ You can see that CO2 evolves and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:02:47.534 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.869$ exchanged with bicarbonate and cytosol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:02:49.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.505$ alternatively usually in low oxygenation

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}02{:}52.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}54.613$ you'd have glycolysis performed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:02:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.853$ which involves lactate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}02{:}55.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57.086$ which is acidic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}02{:}57.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59.262$ and Warburg effect dictates that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:02:59.262 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.710$ the presence of normal oxygen tension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:03:01.710 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.775$ actually the right side of this diagram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429 00:03:03.780 --> 00:03:04.488 Is favored, NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:03:04.488 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.258$ and actually tumors prefer to

 $00:03:06.258 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.258$ produce the lack produce lactate

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:03:08.258 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.006$ through the Warburg effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:03:10.010 --> 00:03:12.440 So what I'm describing today are

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:03:12.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.060$ clinically available techniques to

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:03:14.123 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.298$ actually measure the Warburg effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:03:16.300 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.876$ So Pat has been used in his famous

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

00:03:18.876 --> 00:03:20.919 as reviewed by Doctor Constable

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00:03:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.970$ for many different radio ligands,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}03{:}22.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.120$ fluorodeoxyglucose or FDG PET is

NOTE Confidence: 0.886209168571429

 $00{:}03{:}25.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27.270$ the most common clinical tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:03:27.270 --> 00:03:30.035 And with FDG pet, when we provided

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:03:30.035 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.558$ in the bloodstream of the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00{:}03{:}32.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34.653$ it is phosphorylated into FDG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:03:34.660 --> 00:03:36.610 Inside the cell, but doesn't actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:03:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.243$ further used by the cell and really

 $00:03:39.243 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.939$ represents total glucose metabolism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00{:}03{:}40.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.040$ but total glucose metabolism in this context

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:03:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.636$ is a rough characterization of oxidative

NOTE Confidence: 0.80055563777778

00:03:45.636 --> 00:03:47.820 phosphorylation without the specificity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:03:47.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.165$ so the specificity in our technique comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:03:50.165 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.000$ from another clinically available tool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:03:52.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.960$ which is Mr Spectroscopy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:03:53.960 --> 00:03:55.812 NMR spectroscopy, and in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:03:55.812 --> 00:03:56.530 emerse, spectroscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:03:56.530 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.030$ NMR, spectroscopic imaging using proton

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00{:}03{:}59.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01.750$ based methods can highlight lactate as

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:01.750 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.366$ well as other other molecules in the cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:04.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.368$ And as a representative of mythologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:04:06.370 --> 00:04:09.286 So as a part of my wife CIO award,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:04:09.290 --> 00:04:11.292 we actually developed a technique in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:11.292 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.239$ we have labeled it the Warburg index.

 $00:04:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.130$ This is actually created at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:15.130 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.228$ and we actually are using lactate

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:04:17.228 --> 00:04:19.544 measured by Mr spectroscopy over SUV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:19.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.450$ which is standard uptake value

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:21.450 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.590$ measured by PET,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:22.590 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.606$ to show the Warburg index and

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:24.606 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.950$ the Warburg Index works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:25.950 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.830$ So this is one of my patients in

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00{:}04{:}27.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.373$ this protocol who has a gliobla stoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:29.373 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.903$ and this is the tumor here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:04:30.910 --> 00:04:32.134 As you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:32.134 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.664$ causes changes to the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00{:}04{:}33.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35.068$ but I think that the Warburg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00{:}04{:}35.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36.505$ Index actually really does an

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:36.505 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.940$ amazing job of highlighting the

 $00:04:37.988 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.324$ metabolic derangement that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:39.324 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.994$ actually occurring in this tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.328$ So you can see blue is relatively normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.80055563777778

00:04:43.328 --> 00:04:45.159 metabolism of the surrounding brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80055563777778

 $00:04:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.992$ and the red indicates a high Warburg effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:47.992 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.995$ or high High warberg index in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.360$ In order to talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:04:52.360 --> 00:04:53.859 why this might teach us about Juliana,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778 00:04:53.860 --> 00:04:54.110 though, NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:54.110 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.860$ I'm going to introduce another thing which

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00{:}04{:}55.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.745$ is called the ISIS history dehydrogenase

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:04:57.745 --> 00:04:59.416 mutation, otherwise known as I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:04:59.416 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.400$ DHIDH is used and known in other tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.296$ but in glioma family it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:05:04.300 --> 00:05:05.872 It defines a characteristic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:05.872 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.230$ and it really begins as discussing

 $00{:}05{:}08.297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10.418$ what IH does in the standard cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00{:}05{:}10.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}12.724$ So I H and the standard cell changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:05:12.724 --> 00:05:14.519 isocitrate to alphabetically rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.716$ and that is actually a mediator

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:16.716 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.814$ in normal metabolism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:05:17.820 --> 00:05:19.820 But in an IDH mutant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:19.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.786$ there's a heterodimer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00{:}05{:}20.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22.074$ Formed, which produces what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:22.074 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.610$ called an ankle metabolite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80055563777778

 $00:05:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.041$ That accountability is

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:25.041 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.949$ named 2 hydroxy glutarate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:26.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.672$ That's not as important to remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00{:}05{:}28.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}30.230$ that this causes downstream changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:30.230 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.410$ either directly or otherwise in

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:32.410 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.590$ methylation of the genome methylation

00:05:34.656 --> 00:05:36.486 of histones and actually patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:36.486 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.830$ do better who have these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:38.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.966$ The tumors grow less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80055563777778

 $00:05:39.966 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.670$ So what we are proposing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:41.735 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.127$ that the Warburg effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:43.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.116$ which otherwise as I showed you

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:05:45.116 --> 00:05:47.290 might be very active in an ID

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:05:47.290 --> 00:05:49.117 file type tumor in an IDH mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00{:}05{:}49.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}51.030$ paradigm actually is shifted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:51.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.914$ Towards normal metabolism and

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:05:52.914 --> 00:05:53.856 oxidative phosphorylation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:53.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.590$ and is another mediator of

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:55.590 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.320$ better outcomes in these cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00:05:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.511$ and we have designed a study as

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:05:59.511 --> 00:06:02.150 part of my CI project where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00{:}06{:}02.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.180$ have a prospective excuse me.

 $00{:}06{:}04.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.952$ Observational cohort 2 cohorts

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00{:}06{:}05.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08.167$ where both patients recruited both

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00{:}06{:}08.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10.072$ groups of patients recruited at

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:06:10.072 --> 00:06:12.172 Yale have a diagnosis of glioma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00{:}06{:}12.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.350$ One group of patients has known ID

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:06:14.350 --> 00:06:16.554 H mutant glioma and one group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

00:06:16.554 --> 00:06:18.372 patients has known DH well type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800555637777778

 $00{:}06{:}18.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}19.880$ so we'll perform for these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:06:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.848$ Brain MRI will measure the lactate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:06:21.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.766$ As I mentioned, we also can measure 2 hydroxy

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:06:24.766 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.749$ glutarate with protomer spectroscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}06{:}26.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28.970$ We'll measure FDG pet. We'll perform

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}06{:}28.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30.450$ whole genome methylation studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:06:30.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.480$ and we'll measure clinical outcomes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:06:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.500$ radiography or conventional pathophysiologic

 $00:06:35.568 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.700$ outcomes through standard scanning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:06:37.700 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.080$ So I've had the privilege of actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

00:06:40.080 --> 00:06:41.370 scanning several patients now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:06:41.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.010$ and I can show you the way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:06:43.010 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.110$ this actually seems to work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

00:06:44.110 --> 00:06:46.470 So I H. Mutant tumor here is seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

00:06:46.470 --> 00:06:48.830 as seen in generally appears quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:06:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.950$ similar on a standard MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:06:50.950 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.910$ This is actually also a high grade glioma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:06:53.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.990$ but a main difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}06{:}54.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56.070$ This is an oligodendroglioma

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:06:56.070 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.090$ that's and otherwise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}06{:}57.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58.795$ Characterizes an aplastic or Grade 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:06:58.795 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.373$ and so an IH mutation is present in

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:01.373 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.683$ this tumor and you can see that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:03.683 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.837$ actually looks quite different with the

 $00:07:05.837 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.135$ Warburg index and so this is a tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}07{:}08.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.684$ that a seasoned neuro oncologist would

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:09.684 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.400$ know is going to behave differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.255$ And I think that actually the metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:13.255 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.640$ image really underscores the behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.620$ These patients clearly do much better

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:16.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.720$ than patients who have glioblastomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.016$ and so another method that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}07{:}20.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21.452$ been working on which was also

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

00:07:21.452 --> 00:07:22.516 introduced by Doctor Constable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

00:07:22.520 --> 00:07:23.712 is deuterium metabolic imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:23.712 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.300$ and this is a through my collaborators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}07{:}26.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.540$ We use something called.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

00:07:27.540 --> 00:07:28.470 Stable isotope method.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:28.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.880$ So we actually give someone

00:07:29.880 --> 00:07:31.008 they just drink it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:31.010 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.455$ they just drink deuterated glucose

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:32.455 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.900$ and you can actually watch

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:33.953 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.328$ the tutorial and the scanner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.178$ You can watch it go through this whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}07{:}37.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.538$ paradigm that I laid out for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:38.540 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.367$ It's a slight difference in that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}07{:}40.367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42.226$ measure glutamate and glutamine as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

00:07:42.226 --> 00:07:43.590 measure of oxidative phosphorylation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:43.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.298$ and we can't differentiate the two and

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}07{:}45.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.067$ you'll see it referred to as a GLX,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.792$ but that's the measure of oxidative

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

00:07:48.792 --> 00:07:49.940 phosphorylation and to detect

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

00:07:49.993 --> 00:07:51.409 the Warburg effect in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:51.410 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.468$ we once again actually have direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}07{:}53.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.637$ measures of bicyclists and oxidative

00:07:55.637 --> 00:07:56.369 phosphorylation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}07{:}56.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.026$ This is the technique that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:58.026 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.578$ also developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:07:58.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.310$ At Gill and I'm excited to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}08{:}01.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.106$ you some more data from HDMI so

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:04.106 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.736$ the Warburg effect is seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:05.740 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.660$ Here we saw before as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:07.660 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.752$ This is another patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:08.752 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.784$ This is my patient who had glioblastoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}08{:}10.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.143$ and you can see lactate is far

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}08{:}13.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14.573$ exceeding glutamate and glutamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}08{:}14.573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.313$ and really highlights the metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:16.313 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.620$ activity of the tumor and we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}08{:}18.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.510$ have been able to image multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:20.569 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.277$ patients with glioblastomas at

 $00:08:22.277 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.412$ different times in their treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:24.420 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.480$ So this is a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

00:08:25.480 --> 00:08:27.760 Also a separate patient who has

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.280$ an asthma at diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.594$ And I mentioned that the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:30.594 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.089$ stage of treatment the patients have

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:32.089 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.534$ radiation with chemotherapy and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:33.534 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.877$ actually weren't able to detect

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}08{:}34.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36.173$ the Warburg effect in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:36.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.091$ And then you can see two instances

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}08{:}38.091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}40.197$ where we were able to scan patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:40.197 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.369$ who had recurrent disease and we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:42.369 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.206$ able to detect Warburg effects that

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00{:}08{:}44.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45.574$ actually appear slightly different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.939$ So this this tells us that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:47.939 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.613$ techniques might,

00:08:48.620 --> 00:08:50.516 in addition to telling us something

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:50.516 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.619$ about diagnosis and maybe even prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:52.620 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.720$ They may tell us about treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.120$ of of the tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:56.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.454$ So I think future directions for

NOTE Confidence: 0.622209846

 $00:08:58.454 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.010$ metabolic imaging and neurology

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

 $00:09:00.075 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.899$ at Yale are rich and exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

 $00:09:01.900 \dashrightarrow 00:09:03.868$ The Warburg index, the technique I

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

 $00:09:03.868 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.958$ told you about using MRI and PET.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

 $00:09:05.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.370$ We're hoping to complete the

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

 $00:09:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.498$ recruitment of both cohorts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

 $00:09:08.500 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.568$ We're looking forward to

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

 $00{:}09{:}09{:}568 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}09{:}10.636$ measuring these genetic radio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

 $00{:}09{:}10.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}12.132$ graphic and clinical links.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

 $00:09:12.132 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.997$ This is a clinically available,

 $00{:}09{:}14.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.152$ rapidly scalable test that

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

 $00{:}09{:}15.152 --> 00{:}09{:}16.304$ was developed at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

 $00:09:16.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.347$ I think this is exactly the type

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

00:09:18.347 --> 00:09:20.457 of tool that Y CI is featuring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

 $00:09:20.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.805$ and we're hoping to deploy

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

 $00:09:21.805 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.150$ this into clinical trials in

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

 $00:09:23.199 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.589$ the future and then determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

 $00:09:24.589 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.280$ metabolic imaging is also a Gale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

00:09:26.280 --> 00:09:27.231 Your own technique,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780793345875

 $00:09:27.231 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.816$ which we are also actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.862388878571429

 $00:09:29.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.980$ currently trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.862388878571429

 $00:09:29.980 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.220$ deploy to clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:09:31.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.802$ and also we're looking forward to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00{:}09{:}32.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34.609$ deploying this on our clinical scanners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:09:34.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.774$ Perhaps first at Yale, New Haven Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:09:37.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.404$ I think that these will tell us

 $00:09:39.404 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.600$ as always mentioning diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00{:}09{:}40.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42.460$ prognosis but also measures of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:09:42.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.320$ treatment effect in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

00:09:44.320 --> 00:09:46.476 And so I want to thank everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

00:09:46.476 --> 00:09:48.320 who's helped me get this far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:09:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.456$ This is my lab, my postgraduate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:09:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.800$ the alumni of my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:09:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.546$ Of course the YC Scholar Award

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00{:}09{:}53.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}55.628$ and the privilege to be here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

00:09:55.628 --> 00:09:57.053 also my collaborators are O.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:09:57.060 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.252$ One for deterring metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00{:}09{:}58.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.757$ energy and I want to I would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

00:10:00.757 --> 00:10:02.377 remiss to not thank everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:10:02.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.612$ but especially the teams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:10:03.612 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.859$ Actually my first TL one award was

 $00:10:05.859 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.887$ with the Stanford spectrum with Doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:10:07.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.898$ Steinberg, but in addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

00:10:09.898 --> 00:10:12.408 my my mentor doctor Rect

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

00:10:12.408 --> 00:10:14.720 Stanford doctors hafter amoro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00{:}10{:}14.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16.922$ bearing Blonden and Kim and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00{:}10{:}16.922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19.499$ fellow Mary Barton at Yale Neurology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

00:10:19.500 --> 00:10:21.084 doctors Channel and Moliterno.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

00:10:21.084 --> 00:10:21.876 Yale neurosurgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:10:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.752$ Of course, the YCI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

00:10:23.752 --> 00:10:26.092 including Doctor Shapiro Cantley and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:10:26.092 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.979$ Sinha of course MRC that's my buzzer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

00:10:28.980 --> 00:10:31.680 I'm overtime, but I'm almost done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

00:10:31.680 --> 00:10:33.856 doctors, Rothman, doctors, Degraff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

00:10:33.856 --> 00:10:35.960 Dr, Defeater, and then of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:10:35.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.000$ at the Yellow Pet Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:10:37.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.728$ Doctors Carson.

 $00:10:37.728 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.548$ And Chen and the last,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:10:39.550 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.622$ but definitely not least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:10:40.622 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.890$ doctors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00{:}10{:}40.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}42.390$ Contessa and Bindra with Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

00:10:42.390 --> 00:10:42.990 Radiation Oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950002775

 $00:10:42.990 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.620$ So thank you guys very much for your time.