WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:09:21" NOTE recognizability:0.866

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

00:00:05.570 --> 00:00:09.665 OK, well it's 1232. Let's get started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:00:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.268$ Welcome everyone to pathology grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:00:12.270 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.239$ It is my distinct pleasure to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00{:}00{:}16.239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20.450$ my good friend Bob Anders to the group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:00:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.296$ Today. Very excited about his lecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:00:24.300 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.762$ Doctor Anders earned his medical and

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:00:27.762 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.111$ molecular biology graduate degrees at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:00:31.111 \dashrightarrow 00:00:33.973$ Mayo Clinic Medical and Graduate School.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00{:}00{:}33.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36.941$ He then completed his anatomic and clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:00:36.941 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.713$ pathology residency and a fellowship in

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00{:}00{:}39.713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41.625$ gastrointestinal and liver pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:00:41.630 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.030$ And postdoctoral training in immunology

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:00:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.340$ at the University of Chicago.

 $00:00:47.340 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.836$ With our good friend John Hart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:00:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.234$ He joined the faculty at Johns Hopkins

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:00:52.234 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.000$ School of Medicine in 2005 as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:00:55.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.120$ assistant professor in the Division of

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:00:58.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.355$ Gastrointestinal and Liver Pathology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:01:00.355 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.840$ is soon to be full professor in that lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00{:}01{:}03.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}06.934$ He also serves as the Co director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

00:01:06.934 --> 00:01:08.690 the tumor microenvironment laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:01:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.070$ in the Bloomberg Kimmel Institute

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:01:11.070 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.974$ for Immune Cancer Therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00{:}01{:}12.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}15.476$ But in addition to his duties as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

00:01:15.476 --> 00:01:17.920 practicing GI liver surgical pathologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00{:}01{:}17.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}20.755$ a Bob is one of those rare breeds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:01:20.755 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.047$ diagnostic pathologists who runs an

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:01:23.047 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.951$ independently funded research lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00{:}01{:}24.951 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}27.318$ that focuses on tumor immunology.

 $00:01:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.600$ His interest in immunology developed while

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:01:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.036$ he was studying liver immunology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:01:32.036 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.580$ regeneration at the University of Chicago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

00:01:34.580 --> 00:01:37.276 And in his early years at Johns Hopkins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:01:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.084$ his current interests are

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

00:01:39.084 --> 00:01:40.437 in tumor immunology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:01:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.216$ and specifically interrogating the

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:01:42.216 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.436$ immune microenvironment in tumor tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

00:01:44.440 --> 00:01:47.340 Obviously a very hot topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:01:47.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.161$ He first began examining human tissue for

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00{:}01{:}50.161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52.807$ the expression of our good friend PD1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:01:52.810 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.480$ and PDL one in 2006.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00{:}01{:}55.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57.382$ At the encouragement of his K

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

00:01:57.382 --> 00:01:59.020 8 mentor doctor Liping Chen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:01:59.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.528$ who's currently at Yale.

 $00:02:00.528 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.790$ And he continues this research on

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00{:}02{:}02{:}02{:}861 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05{.}135$ human in inhuman and marine cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

00:02:05.140 --> 00:02:08.128 I mean analogy using single and

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:02:08.128 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.120$ multi color immunostaining couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:02:10.205 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.197$ to digital image analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

00:02:12.200 --> 00:02:14.492 In addition to all these accompanying

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:02:14.492 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.020$ this area of interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

00:02:16.020 --> 00:02:18.360 his CV boast hundreds of publications

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:02:18.360 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.750$ and he is invited to something

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:02:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.625$ like 50 lectures a year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00{:}02{:}22.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.774$ a giving courses and and interacting is very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:02:26.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.200$ very active and sought after collaborator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

00:02:30.200 --> 00:02:33.086 So really, the model of physician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:02:33.090 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.310$ scientist in pathology and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:02:35.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.209$ delighted to welcome you to his

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00{:}02{:}38.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40.585$ lecture entitled Immune based

 $00{:}02{:}40.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42.367$ cancer treatment biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00{:}02{:}42.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.738$ The pathologist's critical role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857

 $00:02:44.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.000$ Bob,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937411687142857 $00:02:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.520$ thank you. NOTE Confidence: 0.640507146666667

00:02:46.170 --> 00:02:48.018 Yeah, thanks Marie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.640507146666667

 $00:02:48.018 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.866$ that's very generous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.640507146666667

00:02:49.870 --> 00:02:51.151 Introduction that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.640507146666667

00:02:51.151 --> 00:02:52.859 make my parents proud.

NOTE Confidence: 0.640507146666667

 $00:02:52.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.598$ Thanks. So this is a great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.640507146666667

 $00:02:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.890$ Time to be a pathologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.640507146666667

 $00{:}02{:}58.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.978$ and that's sort of my my message here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.640507146666667

 $00:03:02.980 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.172$ So I receive funding and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.640507146666667

 $00{:}03{:}06.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08.910$ consult for these in these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89365533625

 $00:03:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.538$ Institutions, but it won't affect what I say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89365533625

 $00:03:17.540 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.372$ So the plan here is to talk about

00:03:20.372 --> 00:03:22.618 biomarkers in cancer or talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.89365533625

 $00{:}03{:}22.618 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}03{:}24.558$ about immune based biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89365533625

 $00:03:24.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.156$ and then you know kind of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89365533625

 $00:03:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.142$ So the story of how DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.89365533625

00:03:29.142 --> 00:03:30.633 based immune biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

 $00:03:33.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.318$ Developed and then something

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

00:03:35.318 --> 00:03:37.734 that shouldn't be, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

 $00:03:37.734 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.281$ foreign to to most of you with the efforts

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

 $00:03:41.281 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.280$ of Doctor Shelper and and Doctor Rim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

00:03:44.280 --> 00:03:46.452 And that's you know how do

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

 $00:03:46.452 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.900$ we find new biomarkers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

 $00:03:47.900 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.484$ So biomarkers it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

00:03:49.484 --> 00:03:52.124 Turns out from the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

 $00:03:52.130 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.330$ There's confusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

 $00:03:54.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.146$ So prognostic biomarkers that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

00:03:57.146 --> 00:04:00.666 about how patients will do,

 $00:04:00.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.024$ essentially untreated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

 $00:04:02.024 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.086$ or with definitive treatment like surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

 $00:04:06.090 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.691$ But most of what we talk about these days

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

00:04:08.691 --> 00:04:11.550 is is really about predictive biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

00:04:11.550 --> 00:04:14.568 and that's personalized medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

 $00:04:14.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.500$ It's what it's what we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

 $00:04:16.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.430$ wanted for the longest time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

 $00:04:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.719$ It's how to select the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.926997395714286

 $00:04:20.719 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.060$ that will benefit from a given therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932919251

 $00:04:25.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.556$ And this is great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932919251

 $00:04:27.556 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.251$ This is great for pathologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.932919251

 $00{:}04{:}30.251 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33.145$ because you know human human tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.932919251

 $00:04:33.145 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.320$ is a prognostic biomarker, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

 $00:04:37.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.102$ This was so clear even it was even

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

 $00:04:42.102 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.564$ clearer to a surgeon back in 1932,

 $00:04:46.564 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.950$ right with the Dukes classification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

00:04:48.950 --> 00:04:52.850 You know, if a if a colon cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

 $00:04:52.850 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.680$ penetrated deeper into a colon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

 $00:04:55.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.298$ The patients didn't do as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

00:04:59.300 --> 00:05:02.268 Fast forward Banta 2006,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

00:05:02.268 --> 00:05:05.978 where Jerome Galand showed that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

 $00:05:05.980 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.775$ The tight density and location

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

00:05:08.775 --> 00:05:11.570 of immune cells were oops.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

00:05:11.570 --> 00:05:13.466 He didn't mean predictive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

00:05:13.466 --> 00:05:16.518 He meant prognostic of of clinical outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

 $00{:}05{:}16.518 \to 00{:}05{:}19.869$ and I will tell you that I presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

 $00:05:19.869 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.496$ this paper at our Journal Club.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

 $00:05:22.496 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.440$ And and there were people who walked out

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

 $00:05:26.538 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.919$ of that room saying this is nonsense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

 $00:05:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.628$ And why were they so perplexed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636 00:05:33.630 --> 00:05:34.207 Essentially,

00:05:34.207 --> 00:05:38.246 you had patients with stage one or

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

 $00{:}05{:}38.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41.357$ two that's shown in the in the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

 $00{:}05{:}41.357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}44.378$ the red and green regions of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.859660446363636

00:05:44.380 --> 00:05:48.139 Kaplan Meier curve who didn't do well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:05:51.450 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.425$ After the diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:05:52.425 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.429$ you know that that sort of didn't make sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

00:05:55.430 --> 00:05:58.118 Well, it turns out that our immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:05:58.118 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.158$ system really is quite important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:06:00.158 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.266$ which will be the message for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00{:}06{:}03.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.968$ rest of the of the lecture here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00{:}06{:}05.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09.025$ So you can go back as far as 2012

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:06:09.025 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.455$ and you know 120 articles and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

00:06:11.455 --> 00:06:14.939 in in 20 different cancer types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:06:14.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.607$ showing that you know the type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

00:06:17.607 --> 00:06:19.705 immune cells that are infiltrating

 $00:06:19.705 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.339$ at patients tumor or the till.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00{:}06{:}22.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.238$ If you will, is really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

00:06:25.240 --> 00:06:26.956 and you know it's not surprising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:06:26.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.608$ it's great to have CD 8 cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:06:29.608 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.519$ are secreting interferon gamma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:06:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.060$ We would call them TH one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

00:06:35.060 --> 00:06:38.441 In your in your cancer compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:06:38.441 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.695$ you know things that are suppressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00{:}06{:}41.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.109$ of of the immune based response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

00:06:45.110 --> 00:06:46.798 OK, so that's prognostic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00{:}06{:}46.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48.908$ What about human tissue as

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:06:48.908 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.060$ a predictive biomarker?

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667 00:06:51.060 --> 00:06:51.880 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:06:51.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.930$ this is really talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:06:53.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.659$ whether patients will respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

00:06:55.659 --> 00:06:57.769 or benefit from from the rapy.

00:06:57.770 --> 00:06:58.736 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:06:58.736 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.024$ we've been promising patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:07:00.024 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.649$ this for a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

00:07:01.650 --> 00:07:02.793 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00{:}07{:}02.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.698$ I breakdown the predictive biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:07:04.698 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.950$ into in the three generations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667 00:07:06.950 --> 00:07:07.341 First,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

00:07:07.341 --> 00:07:09.296 it was important for oncologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00{:}07{:}09.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.575$ to know whether something was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00{:}07{:}11.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}13.205$ lymphoma or a colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:07:13.210 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.320$ I mean, this really changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:07:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.600$ How they treated a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:07:17.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.600$ The second generation really

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:07:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.875$ came on and this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00{:}07{:}21.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.425$ you know work that Doctor Rim

 $00:07:24.425 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.332$ is is doing in really trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00{:}07{:}27.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.740$ get down to how much a cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:07:31.740 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.920$ expresses a particular biomarker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:07:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.372$ not just any biomarker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:07:36.372 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.187$ but actually a biomarker that

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:07:38.187 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.363$ we can target and her two new

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:07:40.363 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.120$ would be a perfect example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:07:42.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.717$ And now we're into the third generation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

00:07:44.720 --> 00:07:47.240 You know, by my definitions of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

00:07:47.240 --> 00:07:50.280 You know how many immune cells are present?

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00{:}07{:}50.280 \to 00{:}07{:}53.418$ What are what's the immune contexture?

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:07:53.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.940$ What immune inhibitors are present?

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:07:55.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.800$ Which ones aren't present so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00{:}07{:}58.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.418$ as pathologists are our goal actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:08:02.420 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.912$ or are, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

00:08:03.912 --> 00:08:05.777 has gotten much more complicated?

00:08:05.780 --> 00:08:06.564 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00{:}08{:}06.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.308$ telling some telling us something was a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:08:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.330$ you know an adenocarcinoma versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

00:08:11.330 --> 00:08:14.220 lymphoma is a lot different than saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:08:14.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.244$ Oh well, there's a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:08:17.244 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.756$ tumor infiltrating lymphocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:08:18.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.750$ So immune therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:08:19.750 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.049$ What is it? Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00{:}08{:}21.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.120$ it turns out this guy knew a lot about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:08:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.648$ This is Doctor Coley,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

00:08:26.650 --> 00:08:28.274 and if you don't know much about him,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:08:28.280 \dashrightarrow 00:08:31.395$ I highly encourage you to Google him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00{:}08{:}31.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.260$ You know he.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:08:33.260 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.740$ He essentially was rubbing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:08:35.740 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.908$ Bacteria into the skin of patients

 $00:08:38.908 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.744$ with advanced cancers and showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00{:}08{:}41.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.899$ that their cancers were regressing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:08:44.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.800$ Now he was a surgeon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:08:46.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.600$ so he was much maligned for his approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:08:49.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.560$ 'cause essentially he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:08:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.540$ giving chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:08:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.332$ which went when you know conventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:08:56.332 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.688$ thought was that this should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:08:59.688 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.330$ more of a surgical approach and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:09:02.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.780$ you know it was so hard for him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00{:}09{:}05.780 --> 00{:}09{:}08.080$ To get his message out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:09:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.788$ he had to travel all the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:09:09.788 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.870$ down from New York,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:09:10.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.159$ which is where he was from down

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

00:09:13.159 --> 00:09:15.099 to Johns Hopkins in Baltimore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:09:15.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.543$ and this is a picture of him

 $00:09:17.543 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.040$ lecturing in Heard Hall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:09:19.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.112$ And this is exactly where pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

00:09:22.112 --> 00:09:26.137 grand rounds is still conducted to the day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866695171666667

 $00:09:26.140 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.484$ and I can identify this as as as.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:09:30.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.478$ Heard Hall because we have these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:09:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.434$ you know, flags displayed in at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:09:36.434 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.430$ margins of of the lecture system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:09:39.430 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.002$ So what's immune therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

00:09:41.002 --> 00:09:42.632 You know, it's it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:09:42.632 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.695$ It's basically the idea that a patient's

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:09:45.695 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.905$ immune system can eliminate a cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:09:48.910 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.950$ This is very patient empowering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00{:}09{:}50.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}53.841$ I had the misfortune of spending a

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:09:53.841 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.197$ lot of time in a in a cancer immuno

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

00:09:57.197 --> 00:10:01.306 or in a cancer therapy setting and

00:10:01.306 --> 00:10:06.234 it was amazing to to to witness how

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:10:06.234 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.302$ patients responded to the fact that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:10:10.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.610$ They were mounting in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:10:12.610 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.485$ Immune response to their cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:10:15.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.928$ They just needed a little help removing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667 00:10:19.930 --> 00:10:22.070 The break. NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

00:10:22.070 --> 00:10:25.556 So cancer immune therapy is is best,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

00:10:25.560 --> 00:10:27.906 you know understood with you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

00:10:27.906 --> 00:10:31.650 anyone who drives a car, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:10:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.528$ So we thought the problem with cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00{:}10{:}35.528 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}38.898$ therapy or tumor immuno tumor immunology

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:10:38.898 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.850$ was just that we we needed to press

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:10:42.953 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.139$ the accelerator harder but anyone who knows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

00:10:47.140 --> 00:10:49.184 Anything about you know driving a car

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

00:10:49.184 --> 00:10:51.489 if you have your foot on the brake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

00:10:51.490 --> 00:10:53.680 it doesn't matter really how hard

 $00:10:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.140$ you press the accelerator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:10:55.140 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.168$ It's all about releasing the brake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

00:11:00.170 --> 00:11:03.455 And that was recognized formally

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:11:03.455 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.083$ with the Nobel laureates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:11:06.090 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.506$ Here, Doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:11:07.506 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.630$ Allison and Honjo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:11:09.630 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.240$ Day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:11:10.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.070$ And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:11:12.070 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.510$ essentially both of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:11:14.510 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.196$ individuals were were studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

00:11:17.196 --> 00:11:22.412 immune based therapy in terms of uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:11:22.412 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.998$ immune inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

00:11:24.000 --> 00:11:25.923 not immune stimulators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

00:11:25.923 --> 00:11:28.487 but immune inhibitor inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:11:28.490 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.758$ So what I've done here is,

 $00:11:30.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.918$ I've lined up the immune synapse between

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00{:}11{:}33.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}38.062$ antigen presenting cells and and T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

00:11:38.070 --> 00:11:40.576 And I think all of us recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:11:40.576 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.080$ that we need a signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:11:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.290$ one that's MHC engaging the T

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00{:}11{:}46.409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50.338$ cell receptor and then signal 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:11:50.338 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.654$ here is what's responsible for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

00:11:52.654 --> 00:11:55.742 you know, driving the immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:11:55.742 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.532$ But then it's these breaks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:11:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.953$ It's the brace.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:11:59.953 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.308$ It's the inhibitors of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00{:}12{:}02.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04.996$ immune system that went on to win

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:12:04.996 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.580$ the Nobel prizes here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:12:06.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.215$ and I would point out

NOTE Confidence: 0.863899206666667

 $00:12:08.215 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.523$ very important fact here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895689038888889

00:12:11.780 --> 00:12:13.670 And I have the utmost respect

00:12:13.670 --> 00:12:15.306 for Doctor Handjo, who personally

NOTE Confidence: 0.895689038888889

 $00:12:15.306 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.707$ invited me to to give a talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

00:12:20.070 --> 00:12:22.681 It it was, it was actually Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

00:12:22.681 --> 00:12:25.558 Liping Chen, one of your tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:12:25.558 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.299$ immunologists, who really figured

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:12:27.299 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.480$ out what PDL one did it in in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:12:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.440$ In fact it was misnamed, it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:12:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.945$ It was thought to be a program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87041386777778

 $00:12:33.950 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.626$ death ligands and it was Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:12:36.626 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.841$ Chen who figured out that that PDL

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:12:39.841 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.267$ one was actually a very critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:12:43.267 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.137$ immune inhibitor and ultimately the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00{:}12{:}46.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.649$ key to unlocking tumor immunology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87041386777778

00:12:50.650 --> 00:12:57.640 So how do we reconcile CTL A4 versus PDL one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:12:57.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.620$ You know, for me,

00:12:59.620 --> 00:13:01.516 CTL A4 works in the periphery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87041386777778

 $00{:}13{:}01.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04.628$ it's an early part of the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

00:13:04.628 --> 00:13:07.543 response where PDL one is a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:13:07.543 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.400$ bit later and occurs in the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:13:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.588$ That's the key then to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:13:14.588 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.984$ pathologist because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:13:15.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.432$ Our ability to look in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:13:18.432 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.500$ tumor is very helpful. Why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00{:}13{:}20.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.580$ Why are we even talking about this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:13:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.180$ I mean the the rate of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:13:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.115$ Of FDA approval for cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:13:31.115 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.476$ therapies is unprecedented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:13:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.805$ In the last 10 to 15 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:13:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.368$ the rate of cancer therapies approval

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:13:40.368 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.461$ with the FDA keeping in mind this

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:13:44.461 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.146$ is a very conservative group.

00:13:47.150 --> 00:13:49.730 Has just accelerated, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:13:49.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.810$ you know I kind of stopped updating my

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:13:52.810 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.868$ slide here about 2019 and it's 26 more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

00:13:55.870 --> 00:13:59.086 It's probably 50 more by now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:13:59.090 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.290$ You know this is really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:14:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.104$ Frighteningly fast approval in

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:14:07.104 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.608$ in terms of of tumor immunity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

00:14:10.610 --> 00:14:11.066 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:14:11.066 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.258$ so let's let's take a step back

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:14:14.258 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.175$ and you know the first person

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:14:17.175 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.830$ to look at A at a cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:14:20.830 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.150$ That had undergone PD1 blockade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00{:}14{:}24.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.270$ and biopsy is me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

00:14:28.270 --> 00:14:30.278 You know, so how did we get here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:14:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.076$ So let's talk about PDL one

 $00:14:33.076 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.330$ as a sa biomarker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:14:36.330 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.319$ So we have this paper here which

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:14:39.319 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.322$ you know back in 2012. Explored the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:14:43.322 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.206$ This was really a safety paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:14:48.210 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.656$ This was a phase one trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

00:14:52.660 --> 00:14:56.156 About can patients even

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

00:14:56.156 --> 00:14:58.778 tolerate PD1 blockade?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778 00:14:58.780 --> 00:15:01.230 And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

00:15:01.230 --> 00:15:04.359 You can see that very important you

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:15:04.359 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.121$ know individuals to to Johns Hopkins as

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:15:07.121 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.510$ well as as to Yale or are listed here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:15:10.510 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.976$ because this is really a landmark paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:15:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.720$ And I was fortunate enough to

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:15:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.844$ be in the right place at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:15:18.844 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.688$ right time and and what what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

00:15:20.688 --> 00:15:23.007 My laboratory at the time was really

 $00:15:23.007 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.251$ good at measuring was the expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

00:15:25.251 --> 00:15:30.192 of PD L1 protein in the tumor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:15:30.192 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.076$ the results of what we found are

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:15:33.076 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.309$ summarized in this graph here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

00:15:35.310 --> 00:15:38.397 And you can see that if patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

00:15:38.397 --> 00:15:41.102 were deemed to be PD L1 positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:15:41.102 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.650$ we can argue about what that means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:15:43.650 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.756$ They had about a 5050 chance of response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:15:47.756 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.966$ but. And very important here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

00:15:50.970 --> 00:15:53.180 If patients were deemed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.870413867777778

 $00:15:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.948$ be PDL one negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:15:57.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.960$ They had no chance of responding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00{:}16{:}00.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.915$ And that's very helpful as an oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00{:}16{:}04.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08.120$ If something has a 100%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:16:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.904$ Predictive power that means

 $00:16:10.904 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.518$ that it's as an oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:16:13.518 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.548$ You move that patient on

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:16:15.548 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.180$ to something different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:16:17.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.835$ and this publication here is really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

00:16:21.835 --> 00:16:24.772 key to springing everything forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:16:24.772 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.260$ to PDL one as a predictive biomarker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:16:29.260 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.620$ Now it turns out that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

00:16:31.620 --> 00:16:34.130 That 100% predictive negative predictive

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:16:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.840$ value was was a little bit overblown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

00:16:37.840 --> 00:16:41.640 and it we can talk about the details of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00{:}16{:}41.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.702$ but it wasn't completely wrong either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

00:16:45.702 --> 00:16:47.274 So what I'm showing you here

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:16:47.274 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.919$ is one of our brilliant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:16:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.600$ now few faculty member members,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

00:16:51.600 --> 00:16:52.616 Jill Sunshine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:16:52.616 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.680$ and what they did is they looked at

 $00:16:56.680 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.700$ all the different Dwan treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:16:58.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.080$ there are listed on the top.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:17:01.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.000$ All the different cancer types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:17:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.796$ Listed on the bottom and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:17:05.796 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.477$ response rate listed on the Y

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:17:08.477 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.349$ axis here and what you can see is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

00:17:11.350 --> 00:17:14.136 That patients who are PDL 1 positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

00:17:14.136 --> 00:17:17.002 again we can debate about what that

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00{:}17{:}17.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}20.236$ means had about a 50% response rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00{:}17{:}20.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.597$ and if they were PDL one negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:17:24.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.091$ They had about a Tanner of 15 response rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:17:28.091 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.688$ OK, so this is a little different

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00{:}17{:}30.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33.280$ than 100% negative predictive rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:17:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.500$ but it it helps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:17:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.580$ So the most common question that I'm asked.

00:17:38.580 --> 00:17:43.410 Is is PDL 1A good biomarker?

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:17:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.891$ Well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:17:43.891 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.258$ It's not, it's it's not an end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:17:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.480$ it's it's not a perfect biomarker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:17:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.402$ It's imperfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:17:50.402 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.168$ And what it does is it

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:17:53.168 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.180$ enriches for responders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:17:55.180 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.250$ So if you're an individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00{:}17{:}57.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.550$ patient and you're deemed to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

00:17:59.550 --> 00:18:01.360 PD L1 positive or negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00{:}18{:}01.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03.580$ you know Doctor Robert or myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:18:03.580 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.686$ Look at your specimen in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

00:18:05.686 --> 00:18:08.103 expert weighs and and call

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:18:08.103 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.975$ something positive or negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:18:09.980 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.664$ You you probably have about a 50% fifty 50%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334 00:18:13.664 --> 00:18:15.710 Or or or? NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334 00:18:15.710 --> 00:18:16.480 I'm sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926375558333334

 $00:18:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.405$ a 5050 chance of responding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866535091666667

00:18:20.750 --> 00:18:25.846 But if you take 100 patients and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.866535091666667

00:18:25.846 --> 00:18:28.702 pick only the patients that are PDL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866535091666667

 $00{:}18{:}28.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.930$ l positive again by an expert reviewer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866535091666667

 $00:18:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.808$ you pick out a lot of winners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866535091666667

 $00:18:35.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.076$ So is PDL 1A great biomarker?

NOTE Confidence: 0.866535091666667

 $00:18:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.808$ It depends if you're a physician trying to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866535091666667

 $00{:}18{:}44.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}46.658$ you know, treat an individual patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866535091666667

 $00:18:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.506$ No, it's not. It's a 5050, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866535091666667

 $00:18:49.506 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.538$ Sort of coin toss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866535091666667

 $00:18:50.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.801$ But if you're a far if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.866535091666667

 $00{:}18{:}52.801 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}54.330$ a large pharmaceutical company.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866535091666667

00:18:54.330 --> 00:18:56.801 And you wish to find the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.866535091666667

 $00:18:56.801 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.290$ that are most likely to benefit.

 $00:18:59.290 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.850$ Then it's a fabulous biomarker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866535091666667

00:19:01.850 --> 00:19:04.520 because you're a you're a

NOTE Confidence: 0.866535091666667

 $00:19:04.520 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.980$ affectively picking 50% winners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:19:09.530 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.505$ OK, so maybe the maybe the issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:19:12.505 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.667$ is we just need to do better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

00:19:15.670 --> 00:19:19.726 We need to do PDL 1 determination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:19:19.726 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.954$ immunohistochemistry, test performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

00:19:22.954 --> 00:19:24.598 Better you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00{:}19{:}24.598 \to 00{:}19{:}27.200$ maybe when it was first done in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

00:19:27.200 --> 00:19:30.686 You know back almost you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

00:19:30.686 --> 00:19:33.010 10 plus years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

00:19:33.010 --> 00:19:34.870 You know, I hadn't considered everything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:19:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.134$ so the thing about PDL one expression is

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00{:}19{:}38.134 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}19{:}40.753$ we're asking pathologists to do things

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:19:40.753 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.190$ that we've never asked them to do before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

00:19:44.190 --> 00:19:46.934 First off PDL one can be expressed

 $00:19:46.934 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.850$ on different types of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

00:19:48.850 --> 00:19:50.488 It could be in a histiocyte,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

00:19:50.490 --> 00:19:53.290 a malignant cell or even a lymphocyte.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:19:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.288$ It can even change its location.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

00:19:55.290 --> 00:19:57.348 Sometimes it can be in the cytoplasm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00{:}19{:}57.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}59.350$ other times it can be in the membrane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

00:19:59.350 --> 00:20:01.978 And then we're even further asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:20:01.978 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.570$ the pathologist to consider all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:20:04.570 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.820$ different cell types and say how many?

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:20:08.820 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.196$ How many cells are positive and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:20:13.196 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.588$ really outside of what pathologists can do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:20:16.588 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.863$ And then on top of that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00{:}20{:}20{:}863 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24.151$ different scoring systems so again

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

00:20:24.151 --> 00:20:27.658 I'm going to go over this quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:20:27.660 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.755$ Sometimes it's the number of

 $00:20:29.755 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.850$ tumor cells that are positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00{:}20{:}31.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}34.364$ Sometimes it's the number of tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:20:34.364 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.525$ cells and inflammatory cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

00:20:36.525 --> 00:20:38.575 are positive over a denominator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:20:38.580 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.600$ That's just the cancer cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:20:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.256$ I mean, this is starting to get crazy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714 00:20:43.260 --> 00:20:44.604 I mean, NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

00:20:44.604 --> 00:20:48.636 it's not practical for us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

00:20:48.636 --> 00:20:51.660 expect pathologists to be able to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714 00:20:51.660 --> 00:20:53.520 you know. NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:20:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.945$ Find down this granularity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:20:55.945 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.885$ this was beautifully displayed

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

00:20:57.885 --> 00:21:00.417 in a study by Doctor Rim who,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

00:21:00.420 --> 00:21:03.708 which I was fortunate enough to be part

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

00:21:03.708 --> 00:21:06.166 of and and basically what we showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.946057189285714

 $00:21:06.166 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.588$ or what doctor Rimm showed is that.

00:21:11.140 --> 00:21:14.098 If you ask us, the proportion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932407768333333

 $00:21:14.100 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.605$ that's the percentage of tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.932407768333333

 $00:21:16.605 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.609$ cells that are positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932407768333333

00:21:18.610 --> 00:21:21.305 Houses pathologists we can agree on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932407768333333

 $00:21:21.310 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.831$ but if you start making the equation

NOTE Confidence: 0.932407768333333

00:21:24.831 --> 00:21:28.369 more complicated and say well you should

NOTE Confidence: 0.932407768333333

 $00:21:28.369 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.900$ include immune cells but not plasma cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932407768333333

 $00{:}21{:}31.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.032$ The Inter rater of their agreement falls

NOTE Confidence: 0.932407768333333

 $00{:}21{:}36.032 \to 00{:}21{:}41.238$ apart precipitously, so a .86% or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.932407768333333

 $00:21:41.238 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.995$.86 here for inter rater variability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932407768333333

00:21:44.995 --> 00:21:47.820 You know that's reasonably good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932407768333333

 $00:21:47.820 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.080$ but something close to .2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932407768333333

 $00{:}21{:}50.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52.000$ That's absolutely terrible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9206764325

 $00{:}21{:}54.570 --> 00{:}21{:}56.634$ Alright, so that's created

NOTE Confidence: 0.9206764325

 $00:21:56.634 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.698$ an opportunity for us.

 $00:21:58.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.916$ In terms of pathologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.9206764325

00:22:00.916 --> 00:22:03.034 because which PDL went antibody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9206764325

 $00:22:03.034 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.989$ there's actually many out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9206764325

00:22:04.990 --> 00:22:06.157 What's staining pattern?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9206764325

00:22:06.157 --> 00:22:09.435 Is it tumor cells or is it inflammatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9206764325

 $00:22:09.435 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.959$ cells and and then a cut off?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9206764325

 $00:22:12.959 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.674$ Even changes between the different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822175775

00:22:18.070 --> 00:22:21.717 The different stains and then how many

NOTE Confidence: 0.822175775

 $00{:}22{:}21.717 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.310$ pathologists do we need to agree?

NOTE Confidence: 0.822175775

 $00:22:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.986$ We've never even even considered this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00{:}22{:}28.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.476$ So Doctor Robert and I have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

00:22:31.476 --> 00:22:34.511 fortunate enough to be to to spearhead

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00:22:34.511 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.770$ an effort to look at ways to improve PDL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

00:22:38.770 --> 00:22:41.385 1, staining, evaluation and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

00:22:41.385 --> 00:22:44.000 we've developed is an international

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00:22:44.080 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.670$ collaboration looking at PDL 1 staining.

 $00:22:48.670 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.246$ And the the goal here is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00{:}22{:}51.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53.530$ measure how well we can agree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00:22:53.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.646$ I've got a hint for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00:22:54.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.160$ We don't agree very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

00:22:57.160 --> 00:22:58.696 And then more importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

00:22:58.696 --> 00:23:01.818 how can we do better and what digital

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00:23:01.818 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.394$ systems may be able to help us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00{:}23{:}04.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06.675$ So that's something I wish I could

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00{:}23{:}06.675 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08.920$ share with you a little bit more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00:23:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.454$ but we're in the process of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00:23:11.454 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.339$ of putting together that data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

00:23:13.340 --> 00:23:17.039 but I promise you that it will be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00{:}23{:}17.039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.805$ revealing in that we really need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00:23:19.805 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.860$ do better in order to help patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00:23:22.860 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.458$ OK, So what about the development

 $00:23:25.458 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.190$ of of biomarkers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00:23:27.190 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.152$ So it turns out that mismatch repair

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00:23:31.152 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.764$ deficiency MMR proteins or MSI?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273 00:23:33.764 --> 00:23:34.786 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00:23:34.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.060$ by PCR is a really important marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00:23:39.060 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.415$ A biomarker in terms of immune therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00:23:44.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.143$ so I would like to invoke this

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

00:23:47.143 --> 00:23:48.310 individual Margaret Fuller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00:23:48.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.475$ She was a transcendentalist female

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

00:23:50.475 --> 00:23:53.430 author who wrote this very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273 00:23:53.430 --> 00:23:53.964 Book. NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

00:23:53.964 --> 00:23:57.168 And what I wish to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

00:23:57.168 --> 00:23:59.819 out of this book is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842606672727273

 $00:23:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.670$ Nature provides exceptions to every rule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826563254

 $00:24:04.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.628$ And that's not how we generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.826563254

 $00:24:08.628 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.260$ think about cancer therapy.

00:24:11.260 --> 00:24:14.214 So what I want you is young,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826563254

 $00{:}24{:}14.220 \to 00{:}24{:}16.940$ possibly physician scientists is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826563254

 $00:24:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.340$ Study the exceptions and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.826563254

00:24:20.340 --> 00:24:23.220 gonna show you exactly why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826563254

 $00:24:23.220 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.306$ So pharmaceutical companies

NOTE Confidence: 0.826563254

 $00:24:24.306 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.478$ have a very top down approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826563254

00:24:26.480 --> 00:24:30.908 They would love it if 100% of patients got

NOTE Confidence: 0.826563254

 $00:24:30.908 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.608$ a treatment and 10% of them responded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826563254

00:24:34.608 --> 00:24:37.576 Because 100% of them need to be treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826563254

 $00:24:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.248$ but what I'm encouraging

NOTE Confidence: 0.826563254

 $00:24:39.248 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.316$ you to think about is not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826563254

 $00:24:42.316 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.806$ That 90% that didn't respond,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826563254

00:24:45.810 --> 00:24:48.194 but actually thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.826563254

 $00{:}24{:}48.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.786$ the 1% or 10% that did respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826563254

 $00:24:52.790 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.859$ So the thinking is is very upside down here.

00:24:57.970 --> 00:25:00.518 As pathologists, what we want to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.889750823913044

 $00{:}25{:}00.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03.965$ about is we apply a therapy and if there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.889750823913044

00:25:03.965 --> 00:25:06.578 one responder we need to drill down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878597683333333

 $00:25:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.405$ And focus on that responder

NOTE Confidence: 0.878597683333333

 $00:25:11.405 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.049$ and figure out why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878597683333333

00:25:13.050 --> 00:25:15.723 And I'm going to tell you exactly why here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878597683333333

 $00{:}25{:}15.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18.007$ So if I had a dollar for every time

NOTE Confidence: 0.878597683333333

00:25:18.007 --> 00:25:20.527 I heard this, well since immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.8785976833333333

 $00{:}25{:}20.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22.922$ based cancer the rapy doesn't work

NOTE Confidence: 0.878597683333333

 $00:25:22.922 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.848$ for colon cancer so very early on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8785976833333333

 $00{:}25{:}25.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.969$ In my career.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932593613333333

 $00:25:30.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.798$ Immunotherapy didn't work for colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:25:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.280$ And and that goes all the way back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:25:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.233$ Look at look at the years on this here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:25:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.320$ This was 2006, 2009.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:25:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.896$ In which we did a phase one again,

 $00:25:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.940$ this is safety. Study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:25:49.940 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.708$ And that was conducted here at Johns Hopkins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:25:54.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.628$ And you can see that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:25:56.628 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.250$ cancers that are listed here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:25:58.250 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.164$ So Melanoma, lung cancer, renal cell cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:26:03.170 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.430$ You know what? These are?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:26:04.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.950$ All immune based cancer therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:26:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.110$ They kind of tend to respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:26:09.110 --> 00:26:11.102 In fact, one in four patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:26:11.102 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.040$ did respond to this therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:26:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.568$ Again, this was a safety trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:26:16.570 --> 00:26:18.166 We are focusing on, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00{:}26{:}18.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}21.649$ can patients tolerate this and suddenly in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:26:21.649 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.374$ this 2006 study we're talking about response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:26:26.374 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.016$ This was crazy. And then.

 $00:26:29.016 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.868$ The interesting thing is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00{:}26{:}30.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}33.993$ as I was hearing the chorus of well colon

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:26:33.993 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.867$ cancer doesn't respond to immune therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:26:36.870 --> 00:26:38.976 There were 14 patients who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:26:38.976 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.200$ who were enrolled on this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:26:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.908$ and you know what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:26:43.910 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.548$ It wasn't hard to find them right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:26:45.550 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.105$ 'cause colon cancer is among the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00{:}26{:}48.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}51.347$ common cancers and the take home was yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:26:51.350 --> 00:26:54.395 you treated 14 patients with immune therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:26:54.400 --> 00:26:56.974 Nobody is responding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:26:56.974 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.406$ It clearly doesn't work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:27:00.410 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.302$ Well, not so fast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:27:02.302 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.642$ So it turns out that one patient did

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:27:06.642 --> 00:27:09.648 respond and that patients Histology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00{:}27{:}09.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13.110$ ended up under my microscope and

 $00:27:13.207 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.897$ it looked exactly like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:27:15.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.546$ And in fact, if any of you are are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:27:18.546 --> 00:27:20.219 familiar with Johns Hopkins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:27:20.220 --> 00:27:23.196 it actually looked exactly like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:27:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.896$ So we were at one of these multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:27:25.896 --> 00:27:27.889 headed scopes and Doctor Pardo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:27:27.890 --> 00:27:29.620 a very well known tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:27:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.051$ rheumatologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:27:30.051 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.344$ Here I was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00{:}27{:}31.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.612$ I was at this scope here or the head of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00{:}27{:}35.612 \to 00{:}27{:}38.793$ the scope and doctor Janice Tower was at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:27:38.793 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.380$ this scope and we looked underneath the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00{:}27{:}42.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}46.679$ microscope at the one of 14 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:27:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.815$ Who did respond to the rapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:27:48.815 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.420$ and this is what we saw.

 $00:27:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.752$ This is the Histology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:27:53.752 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.084$ typical Histology of mismatch

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:27:56.084 --> 00:27:58.870 repair deficiency right all it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:27:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.914$ almost medullary in quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:28:00.920 --> 00:28:04.388 There's a bunch of tumor infiltrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:28:04.388 --> 00:28:06.786 lymphocytes. And you know what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:28:06.786 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.602$ It's not surprising that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:28:08.602 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.410$ this patient responded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:28:10.410 --> 00:28:13.097 To anti PD one therapy. Why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:28:13.097 --> 00:28:15.732 Because everything is in place

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:28:15.732 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.378$ and I'm I'll go through here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:28:19.378 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.710$ and and and and map this out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:28:22.710 --> 00:28:23.778 Mismatch repair deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:28:23.778 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.270$ They have a lot of antigens because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:28:26.330 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.968$ they have a lot of mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:28:27.970 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.494$ How many mutations?

00:28:29.494 --> 00:28:31.136 A lot right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:28:31.136 --> 00:28:34.266 So here's a graph showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:28:34.266 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.250$ the the frequency of of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:28:37.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.605$ Of mutations and even in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:28:39.605 --> 00:28:41.489 something like a mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

00:28:41.490 --> 00:28:44.170 associated cancer even MSI

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:28:44.170 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.520$ here is orders of magnitude

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:28:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.568$ greater in terms of antigens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00{:}28{:}50.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52.460$ You know the way I think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:28:52.460 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.398$ it is every one of these antigens

NOTE Confidence: 0.9424099425

 $00:28:54.398 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.499$ is is is kind of like a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941377794666667

 $00:28:58.550 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.926$ Is kind of like a ticket to Lynn to

NOTE Confidence: 0.941377794666667

 $00{:}29{:}00.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}03.228$ win the lottery and these people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941377794666667

 $00{:}29{:}03.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06.350$ These people have thousands of tickets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941377794666667

 $00:29:06.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.156$ They also have lots of lymphocytes.

 $00:29:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.628$ Lymphocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941377794666667

00:29:08.628 --> 00:29:11.436 We've known that every GI pathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.941377794666667

00:29:11.436 --> 00:29:14.308 known knows that we actually went in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941377794666667

 $00:29:14.310 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.266$ and our laboratory and measured it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941377794666667

 $00:29:16.270 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.586$ We measured it in the in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941377794666667

 $00{:}29{:}18.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.502$ in the tumor cells at the interface

NOTE Confidence: 0.941377794666667

 $00:29:21.502 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.557$ between the tumor cells and the and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

 $00:29:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.930$ Are immune cells and this was work

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

00:29:28.930 --> 00:29:31.508 of a fellow and and junior faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

 $00:29:31.508 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.046$ member at the time, and we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

 $00:29:34.046 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.740$ able to count them and guess what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

 $00:29:36.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.516$ It's not a surprise people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

 $00:29:39.516 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.056$ MSI or mismatch repair deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

 $00:29:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.490$ They have more tumor infiltrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

00:29:44.490 --> 00:29:46.700 lymphocytes. Well, what about PDL one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

00:29:46.700 --> 00:29:50.004 Well, we were in the process of measuring

 $00:29:50.004 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.640$ PD L1 in solid tumors like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

 $00{:}29{:}53.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58.174$ Gastric cancer here. And what? Doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

 $00:29:58.174 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.427$ Thompson and Basharat T here found was

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

 $00:30:03.427 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.996$ that there's a lot of expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

 $00:30:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.800$ PD L1 at the tumor infiltrate edge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

 $00:30:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.264$ The interesting thing is it's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

 $00:30:13.264 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.780$ not on the tumor cells which are up here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

 $00:30:15.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.772$ It's actually at these immune cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

00:30:17.772 --> 00:30:20.378 and then we went and quantified this

NOTE Confidence: 0.862700343333333

 $00:30:20.380 \dashrightarrow 00:30:23.628$ with Doctor Cruz lossa and by G here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

00:30:25.650 --> 00:30:27.568 Everybody that I've shown by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

00:30:27.570 --> 00:30:29.990 just as a as a as an aside here everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

 $00{:}30{:}30.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}31.971$ that I've shown who's who's rotated

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

 $00:30:31.971 \dashrightarrow 00:30:34.378$ through the lab or worked in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

 $00:30:34.380 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.040$ They're all faculty members.

 $00:30:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.942$ So if you know if you're not even interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

 $00{:}30{:}38.942 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}30{:}40.642$ in tumor immunology, but you want to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

 $00:30:40.642 \dashrightarrow 00:30:42.230$ you want to become a faculty member.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

 $00:30:42.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.654$ Just study tumor immunology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

 $00:30:43.654 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.790$ The odds are in your favor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

 $00:30:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.038$ so in the end, you know it's obvious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

 $00:30:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.012$ Mismatch repair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

 $00:30:51.012 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.414$ Deficient cancers have a lot of antigens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

 $00:30:54.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.436$ They have a lot of lymphocytes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

 $00:30:56.436 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.987$ they have a lot of PDL one expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

 $00{:}30{:}58.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01.475$ So I'm going to show you this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

 $00:31:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.656$ Particular publication here looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

 $00:31:03.656 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.920$ at PD1 blockade in the setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

00:31:07.006 --> 00:31:09.478 of mismatch repair deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934975242857143

 $00:31:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.140$ There's 10 pathologists on this manual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.09579292

00:31:15.160 --> 00:31:17.030 And somebody please mute who's

 $00:31:17.030 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.910$ ever talking neuter phone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.642456304

00:31:19.700 --> 00:31:21.770 Somebody is somebody talking. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.589385754

 $00:31:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.803$ this study was presented in 2015

NOTE Confidence: 0.589385754

 $00:31:26.803 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.470$ and one what they collected

NOTE Confidence: 0.787986258

 $00:31:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.290$ were mismatch repair. Deficient cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813022387333333

 $00:31:35.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.291$ About 25 of each. They treated them

NOTE Confidence: 0.813022387333333

 $00:31:38.291 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.908$ with PD one and it's no surprise that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:31:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.350$ They responded well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00{:}31{:}46.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}49.356$ They were responded super well and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:31:49.356 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.386$ the thing that really catapulted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:31:52.386 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.520$ this particular finding was that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

00:31:56.520 --> 00:31:59.170 The response rate here 62

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:31:59.170 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.915$ versus 60 or 92 versus 70.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:32:01.915 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.320$ It didn't matter if they were colon cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:32:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.780$ What mattered?

 $00:32:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.490$ Was that they were mismatched

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:32:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.516$ for pair deficient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:32:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.640$ so suddenly now we move forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

00:32:13.640 --> 00:32:15.340 it's not mismatch repair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:32:15.340 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.615$ deficient colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

00:32:16.620 --> 00:32:18.272 it's mismatch repair, deficient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

00:32:18.272 --> 00:32:22.208 any cancer, and that quickly catapulted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

00:32:22.210 --> 00:32:26.170 Monk ologists at at at Johns Hopkins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00{:}32{:}26.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}30.158$ Doctor Lee and Doctor Diaz to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:32:30.158 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.347$ together a study in which the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00{:}32{:}33.347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35.743$ enrollment criteria was mismatch

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

00:32:35.743 --> 00:32:39.089 repair deficiency or MSI instability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:32:39.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.920$ However you wish to call

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:32:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.750$ it in any tumor type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:32:42.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.334$ This is crazy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:32:44.334 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.807$ We never thought that this would ever, ever.

00:32:48.807 --> 00:32:54.246 B. Away we enrolled criteria patients right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:32:54.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.660$ If you have a colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:32:55.660 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.684$ you get one treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

00:32:56.684 --> 00:32:58.220 If you have a pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:32:58.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.740$ you get a different treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:33:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.496$ This was based on molecular diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00:33:04.500 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.060$ and you know what it goes even crazier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050253675

 $00{:}33{:}08.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10.170$ Because the FDA approved it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89959647

 $00:33:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.150$ Now I have sat with the FDA

NOTE Confidence: 0.89959647

 $00:33:16.150 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.290$ for prolonged periods of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89959647

 $00{:}33{:}19.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23.526$ They are not very exciting people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89959647

 $00{:}33{:}23.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}27.324$ And the fact that they approved a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89959647

 $00:33:27.324 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.178$ therapy independent of Histology

NOTE Confidence: 0.89959647

00:33:29.178 --> 00:33:32.230 First off has never been done before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89959647

 $00:33:32.230 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.070$ so that's worth mentioning.

00:33:34.070 --> 00:33:37.341 But the fact that they approved a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89959647

00:33:37.341 --> 00:33:39.621 treatment independent of Histology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89959647

 $00{:}33{:}39.621 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44.590$ and based on a non FDA approved test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89959647

 $00:33:44.590 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.330$ Are you kidding me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89959647

 $00:33:47.330 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.354$ But yet it's the standard of care today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89959647

 $00:33:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.740$ And it all came back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89959647

 $00:33:53.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.990$ To the fact that we were. Looking for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89959647

 $00:33:59.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.270$ Patients who did respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89959647

 $00{:}34{:}03.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}06.126$ In a setting of patients who.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89959647

 $00:34:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.918$ Largely didn't respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898454395

 $00:34:10.500 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.852$ OK, so the thing that happens to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.898454395

00:34:13.852 --> 00:34:17.002 most and it is probably happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.898454395

00:34:17.002 --> 00:34:20.320 to doctor Shelper and Doctor Rim,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898454395

 $00:34:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.520$ is let's find more biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898454395

 $00:34:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.055$ You guys are the pathologist tissue is

NOTE Confidence: 0.898454395

 $00{:}34{:}27.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}29.620$ important. Let's find more biomarkers.

00:34:29.620 --> 00:34:32.710 So how do we find more?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898454395

 $00:34:32.710 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.410$ File markers. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898454395

 $00:34:37.410 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.290$ Anyone who walks in my office.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898454395

 $00:34:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.166$ The first thing that they hear is

NOTE Confidence: 0.898454395

 $00:34:42.166 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.610$ there's no substitute for quality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898454395

00:34:43.610 --> 00:34:48.394 and that's true in terms of cancer biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898454395

 $00:34:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.370$ and it's really a science of corollary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898454395

 $00:34:53.370 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.550$ And you know, for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898454395

 $00:34:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.030$ how do you cure cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898454395

00:34:56.030 --> 00:34:58.915 You make high accurate or

NOTE Confidence: 0.898454395

00:34:58.915 --> 00:35:01.040 highly accurate measurements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:35:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.295$ And the emphasis here on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00{:}35{:}07.295 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}35{:}09.080$ accurate and measurements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:35:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.048$ Well then it brings up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

00:35:11.048 --> 00:35:12.550 question how many measurements?

 $00:35:12.550 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.320$ Do we need to make hundreds of measurements?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

00:35:16.320 --> 00:35:16.948 Four measurements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:35:16.948 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.859$ you know we have that issue in front of us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:35:19.860 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.336$ What are we going to do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:35:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.538$ And that was the subject of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

00:35:23.538 --> 00:35:25.848 beautiful paper done by one of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00{:}35{:}25.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}27.762$ graduate students here Steve Lu.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

00:35:27.762 --> 00:35:30.588 And what I'm showing you is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00{:}35{:}30.588 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}35{:}33.408$ the receiver operator curve for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00{:}35{:}33.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.698$ a number of different tests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

00:35:35.700 --> 00:35:38.372 And for those of you who might not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:35:38.372 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.708$ be familiar with a narrow C curve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:35:40.710 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.825$ the closer you get to this one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:35:43.830 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.250$ The better so the green line

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:35:47.250 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.770$ is better than the dashed line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:35:49.770 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.081$ To put it simply. OK,

 $00:35:53.081 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.098$ So what do these different lines represent?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:35:56.100 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.517$ And so this is the, UM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

00:35:59.517 --> 00:36:03.416 This is a meta analysis in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00{:}36{:}03.416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}07.080$ Steve Lew collected 55 studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:36:07.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.210$ from 10 different tumor types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:36:09.210 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.370$ encompassing over 8000 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:36:11.370 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.610$ So this is about as comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:36:14.692 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.456$ as we could get in in 2019,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:36:17.456 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.640$ and what was determined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00{:}36{:}21.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.940$ Four response was either PDL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:36:25.940 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.124$ One expression by immunohistochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:36:29.124 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.308$ that's the blue line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:36:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.590$ Total mutational burden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:36:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.438$ So that's you know the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:36:37.438 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.098$ of mutations in a genome.

 $00:36:39.100 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.486$ That's the red line. And then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00{:}36{:}43.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}45.850$ The expression of RNA markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:36:45.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.070$ Generally these are inflammatory markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:36:48.070 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.074$ That's the yellow wine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:36:50.074 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.080$ And then in the green line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:36:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.335$ Which is showing the best performance here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:36:56.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.750$ All we needed. Was a minimum of two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8996276075

 $00:37:01.750 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.878$ Emphasis on 2 markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943972611428571

 $00{:}37{:}06.250 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}37{:}09.770$ And you can see the significant shift in

NOTE Confidence: 0.943972611428571

 $00:37:09.770 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.310$ the arosi curve here with as few as two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943972611428571

 $00{:}37{:}16.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}18.260$ Immunohistochemistry markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:37:21.090 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.338$ So based on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:37:23.338 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.932$ on those types of findings and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:37:26.932 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.708$ a lot of the work that we've done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00{:}37{:}28.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31.938$ Doctor Janice Taube and I were

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:37:31.938 \dashrightarrow 00:37:34.769$ were charged with setting up the

 $00:37:34.770 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.254$ tumor and microenvironment core.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:37:37.254 \dashrightarrow 00:37:43.819$ We have a very experienced lab manager here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:37:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.868$ It was set up through the Bloomberg Kimmel

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:37:46.868 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.560$ Institute for Immune based Cancer therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:37:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.660$ Essentially it's a Cancer Center resource.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:37:53.660 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.700$ Here the idea is that we would help

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:37:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.748$ you measure tumor markers in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:37:59.748 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.498$ tumor microenvironment and our current

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00{:}38{:}02.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}04.997$ holdings are are explained here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00{:}38{:}05.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07.598$ We we offer hundreds of stains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:38:07.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.720$ some of which we can do in two color and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:38:10.799 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.309$ some of which we can do in in multiple color.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:38:14.310 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.198$ We have a lot of machines in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:38:19.198 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.868$ particular laboratory that we run.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:38:21.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.791$ We have 4. Our scanning machines.

 $00:38:25.791 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.376$ We have two auto stainers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00{:}38{:}28.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}31.398$ We have an optical scanner and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

00:38:31.398 --> 00:38:33.410 a laser microdissection scope,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:38:33.410 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.238$ so that's to say you know we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:38:36.238 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.214$ we're we're equipped as anyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

00:38:38.214 --> 00:38:40.950 would expect us to be in order to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:38:40.950 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.414$ you know, perform investigations

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:38:43.414 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.878$ into the tumor microenvironment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00{:}38{:}45.880 \to 00{:}38{:}47.644$ and you can see this is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:38:47.644 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.940$ we're able to produce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

00:38:48.940 --> 00:38:49.854 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

00:38:49.854 --> 00:38:52.722 Doctor Shelper and and RIM have really

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:38:52.722 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.759$ been leading the way in terms of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

00:38:54.760 --> 00:38:56.518 Multi color immunofluorescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:38:56.518 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.594$ which is what we see here now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:39:00.594 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.810$ The interesting thing is.

 $00:39:02.810 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.711$ That when we broke down the steps

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:39:08.711 \dashrightarrow 00:39:14.406$ of evaluating us a slide that's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:39:14.406 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.779$ staying with immunofluorescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:39:16.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.222$ Janice and I found out there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:39:19.222 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.850$ a lot of errors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:39:20.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.485$ And those errors make evaluation

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:39:24.485 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.666$ very very problematic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

00:39:26.670 --> 00:39:30.842 So I'm summarizing the steps of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:39:30.842 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.489$ staining something with multiple markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:39:34.490 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.158$ And and summarizing the errors here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00{:}39{:}39.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}42.664$ Such that the image acquisition off

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:39:42.664 \dashrightarrow 00:39:46.072$ of the microscope it was it turned

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00{:}39{:}46.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}49.149$ out that the spherical you know sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:39:49.149 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.705$ of band or aperture of the objectives

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:39:52.705 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.574$ was contributing almost to a two fold

 $00:39:55.574 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.760$ error in in image acquisition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722 00:39:57.760 --> 00:39:58.180 Well, NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:39:58.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.540$ it's really hard to be sure what you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:40:01.613 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.504$ measuring when there's a two fold error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:40:04.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.678$ And again we systematically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:40:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.194$ Went through and looked at these

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:40:11.194 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.864$ different errors the the method of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:40:14.864 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.194$ immunofluorescence is is indicated here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

00:40:18.200 --> 00:40:24.100 It's a. It's a TSA method, it's actually a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:40:24.100 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.440$ It's a covalent bond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:40:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.432$ Such that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

00:40:28.432 --> 00:40:31.408 When the two antibodies are present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:40:31.410 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.614$ so this could be a CD3 antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:40:33.614 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.626$ and this would be an antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:40:36.626 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.090$ to detect this antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:40:39.090 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.668$ In the presence of horseradish peroxidase.

00:40:43.670 --> 00:40:47.990 This tyramide becomes inactive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

00:40:47.990 --> 00:40:52.310 becomes active and covalently links

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:40:52.442 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.298$ with the proteins that are nearby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924478722

 $00:40:55.298 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.479$ This is what allows us to do reciprocal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:41:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.328$ Antibody staining because these

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

00:41:05.328 --> 00:41:09.000 antibodies are then rinsed off while

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:41:09.090 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.178$ their covalent binding is in place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:41:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.112$ Uh, it's not easy to to acquire these images.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

00:41:19.120 --> 00:41:21.712 What I'm showing you here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:41:21.712 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.960$ a typical lung cancer case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00{:}41{:}23.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.027$ Each square is a high power field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:41:28.030 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.366$ Each each cancer then would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00{:}41{:}31.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}35.254$ represented by over a 1000 fields

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:41:35.254 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.630$ which which is 75 gigabytes of data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:41:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.980$ That's a lot of data.

 $00:41:41.980 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.938$ For one patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:41:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.490$ So Janice and I were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:41:46.490 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.920$ as pathologists were quickly overwhelmed

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:41:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.722$ and we were so fortunate by Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:41:53.722 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.874$ Jaffe to be pointed in the direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

00:41:58.880 --> 00:42:03.017 Of Doctor Alex Szalay who helped us

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:42:03.017 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.400$ develop essentially the Astro pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

00:42:06.400 --> 00:42:09.400 platform and the idea is that Doctor Salay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:42:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.174$ Here he is an expert in establishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:42:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.668$ the database that's responsible

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

00:42:16.668 --> 00:42:19.778 for imaging the entire sky.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

00:42:19.780 --> 00:42:22.650 Not your pretty daunting idea here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:42:22.650 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.145$ then what Doctor Salay quickly realized

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00{:}42{:}25.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}28.959$ is that what Janice and I were trying to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:42:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.748$ On the microscopic level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:42:30.748 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.454$ was really exactly what he had done at

 $00:42:34.454 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.809$ the macroscopic level in establishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:42:36.809 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.818$ the the Sloan Digital Sky server,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

00:42:39.820 --> 00:42:41.738 and if you've never done this before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:42:41.740 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.901$ it's super cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:42:42.901 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.223$ You don't have to be in

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:42:45.223 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.599$ astronomer or anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:42:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.312$ you can just log in as a regular

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00{:}42{:}49.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}51.820$ you know interested scientist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:42:51.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.719$ person and and look at the sky.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:42:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.929$ And in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:42:56.929 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.750$ there have been very high level publications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:42:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.565$ Nature level publications that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:43:02.565 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.068$ come out of. You know, sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:43:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.138$ Public scientists going into this database

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:43:11.138 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.532$ that doctor Slate helped establish

 $00:43:14.532 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.940$ and and finding things in a straw.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:43:19.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.974$ In the night sky that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:43:21.974 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.330$ didn't even know existed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:43:23.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.690$ So probably after the telescope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:43:27.690 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.210$ This is the most important piece of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

00:43:33.210 --> 00:43:36.332 Technology that we've had to look into

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:43:36.332 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.223$ the skies and we again had just been so

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:43:40.223 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.139$ so fortunate that this individual doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:43:43.139 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.673$ Salay was interested in our problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

00:43:46.680 --> 00:43:48.618 And again, I don't think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:43:48.620 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.590$ too complicated to think of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:43:51.590 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.390$ Microscopes and telescopes are just

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:43:54.390 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.758$ sort of the same problem, inverted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:43:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.056$ So that led to this publication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:44:04.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.540$ Where we described the method.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

00:44:06.540 --> 00:44:08.226 Now let let let's just step

 $00:44:08.226 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.730$ back for a second here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893013185833333

 $00:44:09.730 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.700$ This is essentially a method describing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917711923333333

00:44:15.760 --> 00:44:18.718 How to interrogate in multiple colors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.917711923333333

 $00:44:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.995$ Oh it was only seven or eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.917711923333333

 $00:44:20.995 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.030$ colors in into human tissue and

NOTE Confidence: 0.917711923333333

 $00:44:23.030 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.780$ it ended up with a high impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917711923333333

00:44:25.780 --> 00:44:29.850 You know publication, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917711923333333

 $00:44:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.628$ That's really a testament to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.917711923333333

 $00:44:32.628 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.259$ fact of how difficult this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917711923333333

 $00:44:35.260 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.277$ What did we do in this paper?

NOTE Confidence: 0.917711923333333

 $00:44:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.620$ We looked at metastatic melanomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.917711923333333

 $00:44:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.492$ and found predictive biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917711923333333

 $00{:}44{:}42.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45.510$ The discovery cohort came out of Johns

NOTE Confidence: 0.917711923333333

 $00{:}44{:}45.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}48.478$ Hopkins in the validation cohort was

NOTE Confidence: 0.917711923333333

00:44:48.478 --> 00:44:51.670 so graciously provided by Doctor Rim.

 $00:44:51.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.950$ What? What was the what was the magic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00{:}44{:}57.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}00.300$ It was, it was cells that you and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00{:}45{:}00.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}03.102$ I as tumor immunologists or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00:45:03.102 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.194$ as pathologists would predict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

00:45:05.200 --> 00:45:08.368 It's you know PDL, one expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

00:45:08.368 --> 00:45:11.849 Fox P3 expression CD 8 expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00:45:11.850 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.378$ You know things that we know are important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00:45:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.916$ These are the the predictive biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

00:45:18.920 --> 00:45:23.424 Alright, so how can we improve upon multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

00:45:23.424 --> 00:45:26.499 marker detection and cancer tissues?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00:45:26.500 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.469$ So we're exploring adding more markers in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00:45:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.422$ but I don't actually think that is the key.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00:45:33.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.294$ Using a codex of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00:45:37.294 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.950$ Method there's also RNA based methods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

00:45:42.950 --> 00:45:44.894 Let's just get back down to you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00:45:44.900 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.672$ I've been doing this for over a decade now.

00:45:47.680 --> 00:45:49.776 And and here's my thoughts on on really

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00:45:49.776 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.899$ how to find predictive biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

00:45:51.900 --> 00:45:55.519 First off, there's no substitute for quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

00:45:55.520 --> 00:45:56.978 If you don't know what you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

00:45:56.978 --> 00:45:58.220 measuring or there's too much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00{:}45{:}58.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}00.278$ you know error and what you're measuring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

00:46:00.280 --> 00:46:01.780 you're just looking at noise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

00:46:01.780 --> 00:46:04.900 It's easy to generate noisy signals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

00:46:04.900 --> 00:46:07.480 but it's difficult to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00:46:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.120$ sort through that noise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00{:}46{:}10.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.760$ Don't overthink the analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00{:}46{:}12.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}15.100$ We need T cells and we need B cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00:46:15.100 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.750$ to make immune based, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00:46:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.535$ Cancer the rapies that's sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.892539366

 $00:46:20.535 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.550$ what they're what they're aimed at.

00:46:24.610 --> 00:46:28.650 I think we should focus on on spatial

NOTE Confidence: 0.925175462222222

 $00{:}46{:}28.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31.300$ relationships and that's described or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.925175462222222

 $00:46:31.300 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.640$ or demonstrated here in the bottom figure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251754622222222

 $00:46:34.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.579$ So what I'm showing you here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.925175462222222

 $00:46:36.579 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.700$ a tumor cell that's in white and

NOTE Confidence: 0.925175462222222

 $00:46:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.940$ the T or B cells. I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925175462222222

 $00:46:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.544$ Pick your favorite are are the yellow

NOTE Confidence: 0.925175462222222

 $00:46:43.544 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.290$ dots here and something tells me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251754622222222

 $00:46:47.290 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.520$ That the image on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925175462222222

 $00:46:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.758$ With T or B cells surrounding the tumor cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925175462222222

 $00{:}46{:}56.760 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}47{:}00.694$ He's probably more indicative of a tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.925175462222222

 $00:47:00.694 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.498$ immune response than the one over here yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925175462222222

00:47:04.500 --> 00:47:05.844 By simple density,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925175462222222

 $00:47:05.844 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.470$ we would report out the same numbers here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925175462222222

 $00:47:09.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.475$ So I think the spatial

NOTE Confidence: 0.925175462222222

 $00:47:11.475 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.678$ relationships which interestingly

 $00:47:15.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.370$ we're not part of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88613685

 $00{:}47{:}17.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}20.730$ Publication this was simply a density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88613685

 $00:47:20.730 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.410$ Publication so I think spatial

NOTE Confidence: 0.88613685

 $00:47:23.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.554$ relationships are very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88613685

 $00:47:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.963$ The other thing is have a validation plan it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88613685

 $00:47:27.970 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.805$ There's no sense in in in measuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.88613685

 $00:47:30.805 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.760$ a bunch of markers that you can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.88613685

 $00:47:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.802$ secondarily validate like you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.88613685

 $00:47:37.802 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.257$ that that's called doing science

NOTE Confidence: 0.88613685

 $00:47:40.257 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.379$ without having a hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925333669375

 $00{:}47{:}44.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.534$ And I think I think finding low frequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.925333669375

 $00:47:47.534 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.258$ markers at this stage is not the key.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925333669375

00:47:50.260 --> 00:47:52.815 Uhm, there's not some super

NOTE Confidence: 0.925333669375

 $00:47:52.815 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.370$ secret cell that's hiding deep

NOTE Confidence: 0.925333669375

 $00:47:55.461 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.926$ deep in these tumor tissues.

00:47:57.930 --> 00:47:59.170 Like it's CD three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925333669375

 $00{:}47{:}59.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}01.870$ it's CD 8 and it's the relationship of

NOTE Confidence: 0.925333669375

 $00:48:01.870 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.460$ those CD3 and CD8 cells to the tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925333669375

 $00:48:05.460 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.520$ So I'll I'll wrap up here

NOTE Confidence: 0.925333669375

 $00:48:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.050$ with some conclusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925333669375

00:48:10.050 --> 00:48:12.180 You find cancer biomarkers by looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.925333669375

 $00:48:12.180 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.629$ in cancer tissue that should be great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925333669375

 $00:48:14.630 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.943$ That should be great news to all of us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925333669375

00:48:16.950 --> 00:48:18.062 As pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925333669375

 $00:48:18.062 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.398$ we can improve upon the evaluation

NOTE Confidence: 0.925333669375

00:48:21.398 --> 00:48:24.428 of PDL one as a biomarker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925333669375

 $00:48:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.714$ and there are a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.925333669375

 $00:48:25.714 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.020$ efforts out there to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00{:}48{:}29.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31.460$ Look for rare responders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:48:31.460 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.935$ They those are individuals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:48:33.935 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.882$ are really trying to tell you

 $00:48:36.882 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.404$ something important and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:48:39.404 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.990$ in our case here they were MSI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:48:42.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.790$ Trust me, nobody wanted to fund this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:48:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.840$ Nobody was interested in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:48:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.950$ Nobody, despite the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:48:50.950 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.060$ we were standing there saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:48:53.137 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.497$ these are MSI associated cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:48:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.420$ So you really need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:48:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.956$ make your argument here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:48:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.446$ Focus on the people who do respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00{:}49{:}02.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05.012$ And then I think high quality analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

00:49:05.012 --> 00:49:07.364 are important and you're all very

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00{:}49{:}07.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}09.329$ lucky to have individuals again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00{:}49{:}09.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}12.142$ like Doctor Rim and Shelper who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:49:12.142 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.147$ interested in making high quality.

 $00:49:14.150 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.126$ You know tissue biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:49:16.126 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.608$ I'll end here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:49:17.610 \dashrightarrow 00:49:22.490$ It's impossible to do this all in isolation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:49:22.490 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.538$ I tried to point out the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:49:25.538 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.140$ key people along the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

00:49:28.140 --> 00:49:29.967 And and those in and and many

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:49:29.967 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.004$ more of the individuals who work

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:49:32.004 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.374$ in my laboratory or who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:49:34.374 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.053$ collaborated with me over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:49:36.053 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.573$ years are are listed here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:49:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.440$ And I'm gonna stop right there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928935013125

 $00:49:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.966$ And thank goodness because I'm exhausted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

00:49:45.510 --> 00:49:50.190 Thank you Bob for that really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:49:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.490$ really elegant and sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

00:49:53.490 --> 00:49:56.619 Focusing on very high points and meticulous

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

00:49:56.619 --> 00:49:59.467 points of quality that we might not

00:49:59.467 --> 00:50:01.980 always here in talks about Peter one

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00{:}50{:}01.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04.164$ another biomarkers and I'm I'm, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

00:50:04.164 --> 00:50:05.718 there's a silent applause going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.700$ which is so missed in zoom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:07.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.296$ but it's definitely happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:09.296 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.270$ We have a few minutes for questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

00:50:12.270 --> 00:50:13.994 and please, since I can't see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:13.994 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.779$ I don't know if you wanna stop your share.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00{:}50{:}15.780 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}50{:}17.949$ Or maybe you want to keep it on 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:17.949 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.117$ people might want to go back to slides so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.235$ but please just speak out

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:22.235 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.504$ with your questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00{:}50{:}23.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}24.890$ And I'll start with one just

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:24.890 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.290$ to get the ball rolling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:26.290 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.775$ I actually have two things

00:50:27.775 --> 00:50:28.963 I wanted to discuss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:28.970 \dashrightarrow 00:50:30.986$ but one is I'm just gonna start with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:30.990 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.068$ I was really intrigued by and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

00:50:34.068 --> 00:50:36.958 thank you for talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.912$ You had a slide on errors in Multiplex

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:40.912 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.007$ immunofluorescence and you showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

00:50:43.007 --> 00:50:44.900 multiple steps along the way where

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

00:50:44.900 --> 00:50:46.780 you found errors and I'm curious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:46.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.425$ I wonder if you can say just

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:48.425 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.460$ a minute about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00{:}50{:}49.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}51.581$ How did you detect the errors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:51.581 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.117$ did are you able to fix the errors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:54.120 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.705$ And is this something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

00:50:55.705 --> 00:50:57.290 everyone who's publishing in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:50:57.352 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.727$ or are they as meticulous?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00{:}50{:}58.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}00.764$ You know they doing this careful

00:51:00.764 --> 00:51:02.120 detection that you're doing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:51:02.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.254$ Can you just talk a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.867304281666667

 $00:51:03.254 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.010$ bit more about this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:51:04.180 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.976$ Yeah, sure great great question so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:51:06.980 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.479$ Some you know, Janice and I are

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

00:51:09.479 --> 00:51:10.890 ultimately were pathologists, and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:51:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.950$ you know, for us what we would do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:51:14.039 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.481$ everything had to be referenced back

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

00:51:16.481 --> 00:51:20.017 to a a brown state or an IHC state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:51:20.020 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.266$ So anything that we were doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00{:}51{:}23.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}25.314$ whether it's digital image

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

00:51:25.314 --> 00:51:27.358 analysis or image acquisition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00{:}51{:}27.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}29.560$ they were always put against

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

00:51:29.560 --> 00:51:32.636 the gold standard and the gold

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:51:32.636 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.018$ standard was immunohistochemistry.

 $00:51:35.020 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.581$ So that's kind of how we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:51:36.581 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.070$ able to go back and say, oh look.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:51:39.070 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.675$ The the images are not being

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:51:42.675 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.328$ acquired in a in a flat manner, or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:51:46.328 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.000$ There is over acquisition of cells in it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

00:51:51.000 --> 00:51:53.440 you know, and any reasonable observer

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:51:53.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.900$ learned weren't deemed to be positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:51:55.900 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.110$ you know? In the end, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:52:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.746$ That's a science paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:52:02.746 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.200$ and it's a methods paper which

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

00:52:05.283 --> 00:52:07.192 is not super common. Right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00{:}52{:}07.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}10.328$ Like when we when we were putting this

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

00:52:10.328 --> 00:52:12.252 together, a lot of people said, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:52:12.252 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.388$ you know that that's just a methods paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:52:15.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.086$ But but I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:52:17.086 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.190$ I think it's recognized how difficult it is.

 $00:52:20.190 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.814$ It took us five years to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:52:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.864$ To to kind of sort out the

NOTE Confidence: 0.703333485

 $00:52:24.864 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.170$ errors at each step.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775529412

 $00:52:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.400$ And and then it is. This are those

NOTE Confidence: 0.775529412

 $00:52:32.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.545$ errors likely to be possible in

NOTE Confidence: 0.775529412

 $00:52:35.545 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.570$ in anyone doing Multiplex IF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890308219090909

 $00.52:39.040 \longrightarrow 00.52:40.444$ If you use our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890308219090909

 $00:52:40.444 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.550$ if you use the same technologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.890308219090909

 $00{:}52{:}42.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}46.318$ which is akoya Biosystems, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890308219090909

 $00:52:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.360$ That you know they're the good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890308219090909

 $00.52.48.360 \longrightarrow 00.52.49.416$ The good thing is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890308219090909

 $00:52:49.416 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.000$ once we figure out the errors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890308219090909

 $00:52:51.000 \dashrightarrow 00:52:55.300$ we can send you the code that will fix them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890308219090909

00:52:55.300 --> 00:52:57.799 You know it's you know if a

NOTE Confidence: 0.890308219090909

 $00:52:57.799 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.017$ if a if an objective is not.

00:53:01.020 --> 00:53:03.580 Perfectly round or perfectly

NOTE Confidence: 0.890308219090909

 $00:53:03.580 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.372$ flat in acquiring there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890308219090909

 $00:53:06.372 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.860$ there are easy easy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890308219090909

 $00:53:09.860 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.967$ As a non computer person there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.890308219090909

00:53:11.967 --> 00:53:14.210 easier ways to to fix that, so yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933879728947368

 $00:53:14.720 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.224$ well as you say we have experts here

NOTE Confidence: 0.933879728947368

 $00:53:17.224 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.982$ and some of them may be on the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.933879728947368

 $00:53:19.982 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.790$ grand rounds so I'm sure they can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933879728947368

 $00{:}53{:}21.790 \longrightarrow 00{:}53{:}23.400$ They can speak to this as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933879728947368

 $00:53:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.664$ 'cause they're excellent pay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933879728947368

 $00{:}53{:}24.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}26.800$ I see you have your hand up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933879728947368

 $00:53:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.030$ Go ahead and then Dave who's done

NOTE Confidence: 0.17803928

 $00:53:30.510 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.608$ this? Can you hear me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.17803928

00:53:32.608 --> 00:53:34.110 Yes, OK thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.17803928

 $00:53:34.110 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.522$ Thanks for the excellent talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.17803928

00:53:35.522 --> 00:53:36.875 I enjoy a lot so I have

00:53:36.875 --> 00:53:39.626 a question about the MSI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.17803928

 $00{:}53{:}39.626 --> 00{:}53{:}42.140$ NMR question about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.17803928

 $00:53:42.310 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.090$ testing at your site. Do

NOTE Confidence: 0.811466046666667

 $00:53:45.100 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.859$ you run both

NOTE Confidence: 0.61337328

 $00:53:45.870 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.705$ tests in molecular or

NOTE Confidence: 0.61337328

 $00:53:47.705 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.320$ immunohistochemistry? Or you

NOTE Confidence: 0.824607733333333

 $00:53:49.330 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.539$ select just one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.817652181428571

00:53:50.770 --> 00:53:52.630 Yeah, great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817652181428571

 $00:53:52.630 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.924$ It's probably after is PDL 1A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817652181428571

00:53:55.924 --> 00:53:56.738 Good biomarker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817652181428571

00:53:56.738 --> 00:53:59.510 it's which MSI should I or Mr?

NOTE Confidence: 0.817652181428571

00:53:59.510 --> 00:54:01.267 Should I be testing so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817652181428571

00:54:01.270 --> 00:54:02.084 Doctor Vogelstein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817652181428571

00:54:02.084 --> 00:54:04.526 you know one of our most

NOTE Confidence: 0.817652181428571

00:54:04.526 --> 00:54:06.540 eminent cancer biologists here.

 $00:54:06.540 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.005$ You know he was really instrumental and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817652181428571

 $00{:}54{:}10.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}12.124$ You know, in in figuring out

NOTE Confidence: 0.817652181428571

00:54:12.124 --> 00:54:14.378 the MSI along with Stan Hamilton,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817652181428571

00:54:14.380 --> 00:54:16.054 another GI pathologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817652181428571

 $00:54:16.054 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.024$ I see Dave Pat Jane is on and

NOTE Confidence: 0.817652181428571

 $00:54:19.024 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.400$ a few other GI pathologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77250135

 $00:54:23.570 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.140$ We're very much a PCR based system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9170975575

 $00:54:29.700 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.820$ I do believe that if a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9170975575

00:54:32.820 --> 00:54:36.064 patient is Ms is PCR negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9170975575

 $00:54:36.064 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.917$ it's probably reasonable to go back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9170975575

00:54:39.917 --> 00:54:43.477 and do a stain for MMR just because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9170975575

00:54:43.480 --> 00:54:45.790 You're almost talking about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9170975575

 $00:54:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.098$ cure in 50 to 70% of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9170975575

 $00:54:49.098 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.500$ And and I don't think we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9170975575

 $00:54:52.500 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.918$ I don't think we can miss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9170975575

 $00:54:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.970$ Potential cure.

 $00:54:55.970 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.193$ I do believe that the performance of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9170975575

 $00{:}55{:}00.193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}04.537$ PCR and MMR testing are about the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9170975575

 $00:55:04.540 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.425$ but they both have their their weaknesses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9170975575

 $00:55:08.430 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.248$ Thanks for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9170975575

 $00:55:10.250 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.276$ Our notion so actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9170975575

00:55:13.276 --> 00:55:14.770 hear different services

NOTE Confidence: 0.76637685

00:55:14.780 --> 00:55:17.918 actually approach differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76637685

 $00{:}55{:}17.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}20.300$ GI service using them are

NOTE Confidence: 0.76637685

 $00:55:20.300 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.682$ sold at giant service.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76637685

 $00:55:21.682 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.214$ Yeah, exactly what you just said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76637685

 $00{:}55{:}24.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}26.510$ I think our geologist they

NOTE Confidence: 0.907877583333333

 $00:55:26.520 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.153$ don't want to miss a single patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.907877583333333

 $00{:}55{:}28.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}30.660$ so we know very well you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.907877583333333

 $00:55:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.260$ the some of the MSI high tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.907877583333333

 $00:55:33.260 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.620$ may turn out to be a memory.

00:55:34.620 --> 00:55:36.385 You know, you know if you you

NOTE Confidence: 0.907877583333333

 $00{:}55{:}36.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}37.666$ know can be normal. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907877583333333

 $00:55:37.666 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.900$ and it seems like it happens the same way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907877583333333

 $00:55:39.900 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.500$ That's why I think now in

NOTE Confidence: 0.907877583333333

 $00:55:41.500 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.813$ the answer is here we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907877583333333

 $00:55:43.813 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.678$ Simultaneous testing for both methods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907877583333333

 $00:55:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.090$ So with the sense to

NOTE Confidence: 0.699944976

00:55:48.100 --> 00:55:50.132 capture. All possible patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.699944976

 $00:55:50.132 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.385$ Yeah, especially, especially since you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.699944976

 $00:55:52.385 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.848$ it has to do with the turnover of the cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699944976

 $00{:}55{:}55.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}58.646$ You know baseline and you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.699944976

00:55:58.646 --> 00:56:01.452 you wanna get it. Oncologist mad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699944976

 $00:56:01.452 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.710$ Tell him that you know MSI PCR or MMR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699944976

 $00:56:05.710 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.668$ You know HC hasn't really been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699944976

00:56:08.670 --> 00:56:11.010 I mean none of its FDA approved number one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699944976

 $00:56:11.010 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.386$ but it's never really been vetted

 $00:56:13.390 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.664$ on all of these different tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.699944976

 $00{:}56{:}15.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}18.198$ yet we're doing it all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699944976

00:56:18.200 --> 00:56:20.170 You know that'll make their brain melt, so

NOTE Confidence: 0.880001658571429

00:56:20.200 --> 00:56:22.720 I just wanna go to David you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880001658571429

00:56:22.720 --> 00:56:25.078 you did a show us yourself did you one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.880001658571429

 $00:56:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.970$ Then I see curtain Uma Dave please

NOTE Confidence: 0.880001658571429

 $00:56:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.430$ go ahead. If you had a comment

NOTE Confidence: 0.732839242

 $00:56:28.860 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.650$ I just wanted to follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.867592148

 $00{:}56{:}30.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}32.980$ up with your concerns about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867592148

 $00:56:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.969$ Standardization of quantitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.867592148

 $00:56:34.970 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.646$ fluorescence and bobs showing of

NOTE Confidence: 0.867592148

 $00:56:37.646 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.316$ the problems with each one and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867592148

 $00{:}56{:}39.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}40.737$ and comment about the MITRE study

NOTE Confidence: 0.867592148

 $00:56:40.737 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.039$ which is actually being led by

NOTE Confidence: 0.867592148

00:56:42.040 --> 00:56:43.980 Janice Bob's colleague at Hopkins,

 $00:56:43.980 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.939$ which is a standardization study

NOTE Confidence: 0.867592148

00:56:45.940 --> 00:56:47.080 of quantitative fluorescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867592148

 $00:56:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.240$ and I think that will address that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867592148

00:56:49.240 --> 00:56:51.020 question that you asked Marie exactly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867592148

 $00:56:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.980$ but as the minor one study was where

NOTE Confidence: 0.867592148

 $00:56:54.980 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.340$ the data analysis was all done

NOTE Confidence: 0.85955112

 $00:56:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.390$ at one site and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8277683815

 $00:56:57.460 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.322$ scanning was done at 6 sites might

NOTE Confidence: 0.8277683815

00:56:59.322 --> 00:57:01.353 or two study will have the scanning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8277683815

 $00:57:01.353 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.117$ and analysis done at 6 sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8277683815

00:57:03.120 --> 00:57:04.848 And that will answer your question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8277683815

 $00:57:04.850 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.482$ and Bob will probably participate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8277683815

 $00:57:06.482 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.966$ that since it's being led by Janice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476779922222222

 $00:57:08.940 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.818$ Well, I have complete faith in

NOTE Confidence: 0.947677992222222

 $00:57:10.818 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.110$ our unit here, that's for sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947677992222222

 $00:57:13.110 \dashrightarrow 00:57:15.928$ Thank you. I see Kurt and and then Uma.

 $00:57:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.508$ OK, then can you hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.66188515

 $00:57:19.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.776$ me? Yes, Yep we can hear it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.66188515

 $00:57:21.776 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.088$ So when one thing we

NOTE Confidence: 0.835620506666667

00:57:23.120 --> 00:57:25.640 touch on before Bob and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.835620506666667

 $00:57:25.640 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.340$ it's becoming more and more relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.835620506666667

 $00:57:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.340$ is the rapid shrinkage in and

NOTE Confidence: 0.835620506666667

 $00:57:30.340 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.340$ sometimes the disappearance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.835620506666667

 $00{:}57{:}32.431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}35.048$ tissue samples in the eminent role

NOTE Confidence: 0.835620506666667

 $00{:}57{:}35.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}37.220$ of circulating toward DNA to call.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835620506666667

 $00:57:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.630$ You know, Microsoft instability status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835620506666667

 $00:57:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.350$ TMB and other things?

NOTE Confidence: 0.835620506666667

 $00:57:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.520$ How do you foresee some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.835620506666667

 $00{:}57{:}44.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}46.470$ immune biology or immune biomarkers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.835620506666667

00:57:46.470 --> 00:57:48.460 Adapting to circulating tumor DNA?

NOTE Confidence: 0.835620506666667

 $00:57:48.460 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.970$ Do you think that something?

00:57:49.970 --> 00:57:52.146 That we'll pair will and and how to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835620506666667

00:57:52.150 --> 00:57:54.813 you know, marry with our usual, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835620506666667

 $00:57:54.813 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.919$ diagnostic operation that is tissue based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

00:57:57.700 --> 00:58:00.016 Yeah, that that's a great question

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

00:58:00.016 --> 00:58:02.420 because you know not not every

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

00:58:02.420 --> 00:58:04.375 patient is biopsy able right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

 $00:58:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.700 \text{ I mean}$, we we kind of wish they were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

00:58:06.700 --> 00:58:09.010 but it's it's not. And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

00:58:09.010 --> 00:58:10.746 I you know I would like to see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

00:58:10.750 --> 00:58:13.207 I would like to see a study of just

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

 $00{:}58{:}13.207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}15.085$ immune cell activation, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

00:58:15.085 --> 00:58:19.458 Like like someone who gets CMV if you can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

 $00:58:19.458 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.496$ measure CMV like can you just tell that

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

00:58:22.496 --> 00:58:25.169 their immune system is activating right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

 $00:58:25.170 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.258$ And and I kind of think that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

 $00:58:27.258 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.809$ same might be true. Then you know,

 $00:58:29.809 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.767$ for for tumor immunologists it's just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

 $00:58:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.850$ Hey we gave PDL one is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

00:58:35.850 --> 00:58:37.194 patient's immune system activating?

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

00:58:37.194 --> 00:58:38.330 You know, I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

00:58:38.330 --> 00:58:39.710 I don't know what that entails,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

 $00.58:39.710 \longrightarrow 00.58:43.062$ but you know is there a way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

 $00.58:43.062 \longrightarrow 00.58:45.172$ to not relatively non invasively

NOTE Confidence: 0.894526018823529

 $00:58:45.172 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.716$ you know with a blood sample?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882936557142857

 $00:58:50.820 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.768$ Measure that because again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882936557142857

 $00:58:52.768 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.229$ not every patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882936557142857

00:58:54.230 --> 00:58:55.718 You know we're pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882936557142857

 $00:58:55.718 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.834$ we love tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882936557142857

 $00{:}58{:}56.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}59.408$ I just told you how a wesome tissue is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882936557142857

00:58:59.410 --> 00:59:01.540 but it's not always available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882936557142857

 $00:59:01.540 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.989$ so I I'm not sure if I

 $00:59:02.989 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.610$ answered your question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6602848342

 $00{:}59{:}03.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}05.595$ Are you currently testing MSI

NOTE Confidence: 0.6602848342

00:59:05.595 --> 00:59:09.610 status by city DNA at Hopkins now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83644130875

00:59:09.610 --> 00:59:12.568 Even I haven't seen that outside

NOTE Confidence: 0.83644130875

00:59:12.568 --> 00:59:14.370 of research. OK, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755808556666667

00:59:15.400 --> 00:59:17.800 Uma yeah thank you Doctor Andrews.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755808556666667

 $00:59:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.000$ That is a wonderful talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755808556666667

00:59:19.000 --> 00:59:21.245 My question was on heterogeneity

NOTE Confidence: 0.755808556666667

 $00:59:21.245 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.587$ in a study I did with 100 plus you

NOTE Confidence: 0.755808556666667

00:59:25.587 --> 00:59:28.082 know cervical and anal cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755808556666667

 $00{:}59{:}28.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}30.170$ 95% were heterogeneous in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.755808556666667

 $00:59:30.170 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.250$ staining with Foo side dead

NOTE Confidence: 0.755808556666667

 $00:59:32.324 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.369$ negative to u side with 100%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755808556666667

 $00:59:34.370 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.360$ So for an individual patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.755808556666667

 $00:59:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:59:37.854$ is it going to be a luck of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.755808556666667

 $00{:}59{:}37.854 \longrightarrow 00{:}59{:}40.386$ draw when you have cut off someone

00:59:40.386 --> 00:59:42.210 and 10 how much should they be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.755808556666667

00:59:42.210 --> 00:59:43.624 You know what will be the guideline?

NOTE Confidence: 0.755808556666667

 $00:59:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.550$ What are your thoughts on

NOTE Confidence: 0.821191961666667

00:59:44.560 --> 00:59:47.998 that? Yeah, yeah, that's that's really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821191961666667

 $00:59:48.000 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.500$ That's really important, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.821191961666667

 $00:59:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.809$ I kind of think it's like one of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.821191961666667

 $00:59:52.809 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.585$ things where if it's positive we learn

NOTE Confidence: 0.821191961666667

 $00:59:55.585 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.822$ something, but if it's negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821191961666667

 $00{:}59{:}57.822 \rightarrow 01{:}00{:}00.674$ We didn't really learn anything and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.821191961666667

 $01:00:00.674 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.407$ and I think that you know that's where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.821191961666667

01:00:03.407 --> 01:00:05.689 need to help our immunologists or our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821191961666667

 $01:00:05.690 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.510$ I'm sorry our oncologists to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821191961666667

 $01{:}00{:}08.510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}10.180$ You know, a negative result

NOTE Confidence: 0.821191961666667

01:00:10.180 --> 01:00:11.850 shouldn't really dissuade you here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821191961666667

01:00:11.850 --> 01:00:14.834 but we're a long way from that. And yeah,

01:00:14.834 --> 01:00:17.928 any any look at heterogeneity and I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821191961666667

01:00:17.930 --> 01:00:20.030 I know Kurt and I talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821191961666667

 $01:00:20.030 \longrightarrow 01:00:22.295$ You know, some actually mathematical

NOTE Confidence: 0.821191961666667

 $01:00:22.295 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.107$ models of of heterogeneity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821191961666667

 $01:00:24.110 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.520$ I think it's all important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95912945

 $01:00:27.290 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.080$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

 $01:00:31.740 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.790$ Other questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

01:00:33.790 --> 01:00:35.326 And I'll, I'll I'll ask my last one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

 $01:00:35.330 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.558$ which just pivots off of Kurt's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

 $01:00:38.558 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.101$ comment about a circulating tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

01:00:41.101 --> 01:00:43.056 You looking for markers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

 $01:00:43.056 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.229$ circulating tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

01:00:44.230 --> 01:00:47.218 And so I was just going to ask the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

 $01{:}00{:}47.218 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}49.414$ classic question, what's next?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

 $01:00:49.414 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.606$ What is the future of this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

 $01{:}00{:}52.610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}54.248$ Especially since relying

01:00:54.248 --> 01:00:57.524 on MMR is is is easy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

01:00:57.530 --> 01:00:58.325 Staying pretty easy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

 $01:00:58.325 \longrightarrow 01:00:59.650$ stain to read but PDL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

 $01:00:59.650 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.058$ One has challenges and and then there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

 $01:01:02.058 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.268$ are just things deeper than this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

01:01:04.270 --> 01:01:07.339 That Kurt and Dave and you are working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

 $01:01:07.339 \longrightarrow 01:01:10.277$ that are deeper than than just PDL one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

 $01:01:10.280 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.061$ So how far are we from getting to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776301

01:01:13.061 --> 01:01:15.569 blood test talking about not being

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

01:01:16.010 --> 01:01:18.542 people? My talk about blood tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

01:01:18.542 --> 01:01:21.441 'cause I you know, I don't know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

 $01:01:21.441 \longrightarrow 01:01:23.369$ you know I was talking with Kurt a

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

 $01{:}01{:}23.369 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}25.295$ little bit earlier as like you know I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

 $01:01:25.300 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.170$ I would like to see some CD 8 cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

 $01:01:28.247 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.657$ some CD 20 cells in a tumor. Right?

 $01:01:30.657 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.086$ Like are they close to the tumor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

 $01:01:33.090 \longrightarrow 01:01:34.574$ Are they not close to the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

 $01:01:34.580 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.328$ or are they present?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

01:01:36.328 --> 01:01:38.513 Are they not present like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

01:01:38.520 --> 01:01:41.160 I I think I think we have so many basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

01:01:41.228 --> 01:01:43.340 things that we could be measuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

 $01:01:43.340 \longrightarrow 01:01:45.893$ here and and we're all and and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

01:01:45.893 --> 01:01:47.930 not blaming anyone on the call here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

01:01:47.930 --> 01:01:50.132 but we're all like can you

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

01:01:50.132 --> 01:01:52.534 do 4000 markers right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

01:01:52.534 --> 01:01:54.594 Like and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

 $01:01:54.594 \longrightarrow 01:01:56.706$ I bet you we could spitball

NOTE Confidence: 0.76912665

01:01:56.710 --> 01:01:58.940 four or five important markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84490356

 $01:02:01.310 \longrightarrow 01:02:04.410$ So yeah, dhanpat, sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67826491

 $01:02:04.420 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.659$ I think we are all your time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67826491

 $01:02:05.660 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.004$ I was in the liver tumor board

 $01{:}02{:}07.004 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}08.620$ so I had to attend something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67826491

 $01{:}02{:}08.620 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}12.256$ Great. Talk Bob. Nice seeing you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67826491

 $01:02:12.260 \longrightarrow 01:02:14.228$ I have a question which is sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.67826491

01:02:14.228 --> 01:02:15.363 follow-up question to what Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.67826491

 $01:02:15.363 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.180$ Who was asking and I want to clarify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67826491

 $01:02:17.180 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.182$ So I understand that you guys do

NOTE Confidence: 0.67826491

 $01:02:19.182 \longrightarrow 01:02:21.437$ only the MSI as the starting test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67826491

 $01:02:21.440 \dashrightarrow 01:02:23.717$ but you don't do them are to begin with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67826491

 $01:02:23.720 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.820$ Is that correct or you do both?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67826491 01:02:25.820 --> 01:02:26.430 We

NOTE Confidence: 0.806754705714286

 $01:02:26.560 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.844$ we tend to heavily rely on MSI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01:02:31.470 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.512$ I see the issue I think is I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01{:}02{:}34.512 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}37.187$ what Doctor Who was asking was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01:02:37.190 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.235$ you know whether do both

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

01:02:38.235 --> 01:02:39.670 or do you only one person?

 $01:02:39.670 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.680$ As I understand, most of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01:02:41.680 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.979$ centers do either one of the tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01:02:43.979 \longrightarrow 01:02:46.051$ and what you said is correct that

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01:02:46.060 \longrightarrow 01:02:48.044$ none of the tests will ever be 100%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01:02:48.050 \longrightarrow 01:02:50.234$ Like many other things that we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.74039545066666

01:02:50.234 --> 01:02:52.724 and you always accept the yeah Greer

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01:02:52.724 \longrightarrow 01:02:55.098$ false positive or false negative you

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

01:02:55.098 --> 01:02:57.428 get with each test is like how many

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01{:}02{:}57.428 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}59.820$ blocks will you stay in for PDL one

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01:02:59.889 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.199$ or a given case you end somewhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01:03:02.200 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.736$ So, and I think a lot of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

01:03:04.736 --> 01:03:07.739 tend to go with DNA mismatch repair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01:03:07.740 \longrightarrow 01:03:10.918$ yet see for two reasons that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

01:03:10.918 --> 01:03:12.732 sensitivity is basically almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01:03:12.732 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.196$ as close to MSI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01:03:14.200 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.368$ But in addition also identifies the germ

 $01:03:18.368 \longrightarrow 01:03:20.032$ line defect that one should be looking for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

01:03:20.040 --> 01:03:22.116 If you're screening for is syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01:03:22.120 \longrightarrow 01:03:23.660$ so there is added advantage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01:03:23.660 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.648$ But I I agree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01:03:24.648 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.723$ I mean there are pitfalls of each methods

NOTE Confidence: 0.740395450666666

 $01:03:26.723 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.190$ and you will miss a few no matter what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78426851375

01:03:30.320 --> 01:03:33.728 Yeah, yes, we're we're on the same page.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78426851375

01:03:33.730 --> 01:03:35.280 You know, I you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78426851375

 $01:03:35.280 \longrightarrow 01:03:38.300$ sometimes with a limited biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78426851375

 $01:03:38.300 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.340$ you don't really know if

NOTE Confidence: 0.78426851375

 $01{:}03{:}39.340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}40.380$ you're getting that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78426851375

01:03:40.380 --> 01:03:42.690 Are you really getting the cancer DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78426851375

 $01:03:42.690 \longrightarrow 01:03:45.236$ but with an IHC you can, you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78426851375

01:03:45.236 --> 01:03:46.508 Clearly see whether it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.78426851375

 $01:03:46.508 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.310$ the cancer or not. So yeah.

 $01:03:50.800 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.883$ Oh, I'm sorry. Joanna, and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.906385

01:03:53.883 --> 01:03:55.598 I think Jeff might. I don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

01:03:55.650 --> 01:03:56.966 or I I actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:03:56.966 \longrightarrow 01:03:58.611$ I like this discussion that

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

01:03:58.611 --> 01:04:00.759 people have been having about MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:00.760 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.802$ HC versus MSI PCR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:02.802 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.154$ I think we don't really need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

01:04:05.154 --> 01:04:07.688 worry about which method is better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:07.690 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.922$ but I do think that we need to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:09.922 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.670$ what information each method provides

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:11.670 \longrightarrow 01:04:13.836$ and why each method was developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:13.840 \longrightarrow 01:04:15.508$ And also I really liked your

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:15.508 \longrightarrow 01:04:17.164$ comment towards the end of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

01:04:17.164 --> 01:04:18.782 talk where you said we're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:18.782 \longrightarrow 01:04:20.646$ MSI testing on all of these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:20.650 \longrightarrow 01:04:22.169$ MRI HD on all of these tumors

01:04:22.169 --> 01:04:23.370 now because of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:23.370 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.464$ Options and we really don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

01:04:25.464 --> 01:04:27.680 What you know? How?

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:27.680 \longrightarrow 01:04:29.640$ How this how these MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:29.640 \longrightarrow 01:04:31.745$ HC behave and tumors outside

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:31.745 \longrightarrow 01:04:33.429$ of endometrial and colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:33.429 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.734$ cancer to the same extent that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:35.734 \longrightarrow 01:04:37.369$ understand in those original pores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

01:04:37.370 --> 01:04:39.320 And I think you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:39.320 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.640$ I think doing doing MSI PCR in

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:42.640 \longrightarrow 01:04:44.089$ addition like is not really a

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:44.089 \longrightarrow 01:04:45.823$ problem for those cases where it

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01{:}04{:}45.823 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}47.499$ is really important for treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01{:}04{:}47.500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}49.213$ So I think we just need to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:49.213 \longrightarrow 01:04:51.401$ keep in mind why are we doing this

01:04:51.401 --> 01:04:53.480 task right now at this very moment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:53.480 \longrightarrow 01:04:54.698$ What is the purpose of it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

01:04:54.700 --> 01:04:55.960 Is it for lynching of screening?

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:55.960 \longrightarrow 01:04:58.618$ Is it? For. Ms for treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:04:58.620 \longrightarrow 01:05:00.411$ Or is it for both and then we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:05:00.411 \longrightarrow 01:05:02.185$ need to sort of be cognizant that

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:05:02.185 \longrightarrow 01:05:03.682$ what information we get out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01:05:03.682 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.194$ it and how it's gonna be helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333 01:05:05.200 --> 01:05:05.881 So I I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

01:05:05.881 --> 01:05:07.470 I really liked your summary and your

NOTE Confidence: 0.843827189333333

 $01{:}05{:}07.531 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}09.239$ and and the fact that you brought

NOTE Confidence: 0.648720738571428

01:05:09.250 --> 01:05:10.944 up my side. Yeah, I think we're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.648720738571428

01:05:10.950 --> 01:05:13.116 you know we're speaking the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.648720738571428

 $01:05:13.120 \longrightarrow 01:05:14.638$ the same language and you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.648720738571428

 $01:05:14.638 \longrightarrow 01:05:16.547$ I'm I'm I'm on a few of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.648720738571428

 $01{:}05{:}16.550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}18.896$ you know like advisory boards and

 $01:05:18.896 \longrightarrow 01:05:20.848$ you start telling oncologists that

NOTE Confidence: 0.648720738571428

 $01:05:20.848 \dashrightarrow 01:05:23.074$ none of these tests have been valid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.648720738571428

01:05:23.080 --> 01:05:25.432 I mean number one not nothing is FDA

NOTE Confidence: 0.648720738571428

01:05:25.432 --> 01:05:27.496 approved but they haven't been validated

NOTE Confidence: 0.648720738571428

 $01:05:27.496 \longrightarrow 01:05:29.620$ in other cancers and they just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.648720738571428

 $01:05:29.620 \longrightarrow 01:05:34.070$ Their their mind is. Dissolves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.648720738571428

 $01:05:34.070 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.418$ Just one, there's a limitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

 $01:05:37.020 \longrightarrow 01:05:37.736$ I have a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

 $01{:}05{:}37.736 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}39.728$ I want to go back to leave in the middle

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

01:05:39.728 --> 01:05:41.400 so I might have missed some things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

01:05:41.400 --> 01:05:43.596 but I'm gonna go back to a point you

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

01:05:43.596 --> 01:05:45.780 made early on about the negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

 $01{:}05{:}45.780 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}48.216$ predictive value of absence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

 $01{:}05{:}48.216 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}53.120$ ligands or PDL one PD one in tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

 $01:05:53.120 \longrightarrow 01:05:55.064$ What is the thinking about

 $01:05:55.064 \longrightarrow 01:05:57.800$ those patients who respond?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

 $01:05:57.800 \longrightarrow 01:06:00.836$ When they have no detectable antigen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

 $01:06:00.840 \longrightarrow 01:06:01.956$ no detectable PDL want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

 $01:06:01.956 \longrightarrow 01:06:03.630$ Is it that the test is

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

01:06:03.699 --> 01:06:05.219 insufficiently sensitive?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

01:06:05.220 --> 01:06:06.728 That doesn't explain at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

01:06:06.728 --> 01:06:07.760 I don't think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

 $01:06:07.760 \longrightarrow 01:06:09.846$ And is it a matter of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

 $01:06:09.846 \longrightarrow 01:06:11.650$ other factors that they had high

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

 $01:06:11.650 \longrightarrow 01:06:13.234$ mutation rates but nevertheless

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

 $01{:}06{:}13.234 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}16.089$ had low PDL one so that compensates

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

01:06:16.089 --> 01:06:18.495 for the absence of PDL one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

 $01:06:18.500 \longrightarrow 01:06:19.880$ What's understood about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

01:06:19.880 --> 01:06:21.260 biology of these responders?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

 $01:06:21.260 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.082$ Who were PDL?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839877227142857

 $01:06:22.082 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.630$ One negative?

01:06:23.440 --> 01:06:24.819 Yeah, I mean I think number one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88970232

 $01:06:24.820 \longrightarrow 01:06:25.780$ it's just you know it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88970232

 $01:06:25.780 \longrightarrow 01:06:27.424$ It is a concern that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.88970232

01:06:27.424 --> 01:06:28.548 a sampling issue, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88970232

 $01:06:28.548 \longrightarrow 01:06:30.932$ We have a tiny piece of a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.88970232

 $01:06:30.932 \longrightarrow 01:06:32.829$ tumor and the second thing would

NOTE Confidence: 0.88970232

 $01:06:32.829 \longrightarrow 01:06:35.239$ be you know if the TMB is high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88970232

 $01:06:35.240 \longrightarrow 01:06:37.748$ There's not an oncologist who won't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88970232

 $01:06:37.750 \longrightarrow 01:06:39.130$ Go for, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88970232

 $01:06:39.130 \longrightarrow 01:06:41.200$ won't treat those types of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912442395

01:06:44.240 --> 01:06:45.416 So I you know, I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912442395

 $01:06:45.420 \longrightarrow 01:06:47.892$ I don't think we have detailed

NOTE Confidence: 0.912442395

 $01{:}06{:}47.892 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}50.094$ answers about I, I'm actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912442395

 $01:06:50.094 \longrightarrow 01:06:52.384$ I'm actually more interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.912442395

 $01{:}06{:}52.384 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}55.241$ the people who are PD L1 positive

01:06:55.241 --> 01:06:57.600 who don't respond or or design

NOTE Confidence: 0.912442395

 $01:06:57.600 \longrightarrow 01:06:59.690$ positive who don't respond right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

01:06:59.700 --> 01:07:01.170 Everybody is interested in those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:01.170 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.906$ but I think that there might be value in

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:03.906 \longrightarrow 01:07:06.838$ the ones who are the atypical responders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

01:07:06.840 --> 01:07:09.724 Yeah, yeah, the drug has the drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01{:}07{:}09.724 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}12.810$ I assume are incredibly specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

01:07:12.810 --> 01:07:16.840 It's not that they're often responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:16.840 \longrightarrow 01:07:18.200$ Sorry, can I come in on that quickly?

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

01:07:18.200 --> 01:07:19.694 No, go ahead, Kurt, yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:19.694 \longrightarrow 01:07:22.206$ So so there is a story published a

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:22.206 \longrightarrow 01:07:24.915$ couple of papers showing that PDL one

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:24.915 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.656$ can get glycosylated and when it gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

01:07:27.656 --> 01:07:30.020 glycosylated antibodies may not recognize it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:30.020 \longrightarrow 01:07:32.397$ So that's at least one direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:32.397 \longrightarrow 01:07:34.482$ biological ground by which patients

 $01:07:34.482 \longrightarrow 01:07:36.869$ may be false negative for PD L1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:36.870 \longrightarrow 01:07:38.775$ I could explain why some

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:38.775 \longrightarrow 01:07:39.918$ negative cases respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:39.920 \longrightarrow 01:07:42.572$ That's one thing that's been sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:42.572 \longrightarrow 01:07:45.136$ of well established and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:45.136 \longrightarrow 01:07:46.990$ a work from Indy Anderson Group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:46.990 \longrightarrow 01:07:49.496$ And then the second layer is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:49.496 \longrightarrow 01:07:51.600$ tumor mutational burden and PDL one

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:51.600 \longrightarrow 01:07:53.526$ are not correlated in most tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:53.530 \longrightarrow 01:07:55.274$ So to your point it is likely that

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

01:07:55.274 --> 01:07:57.187 some of those period one negatives may

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:07:57.187 \longrightarrow 01:07:59.212$ actually be TNB high and that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01{:}07{:}59.212 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}01.109$ be the driver of a clinical benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

01:08:01.110 --> 01:08:04.142 So it could be you know around those

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:08:04.142 \longrightarrow 01:08:07.566$ topics and in some unknown things for sure.

01:08:07.570 --> 01:08:10.340 But presumably response has to

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:08:10.340 \longrightarrow 01:08:13.110$ be through the PDL 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

01:08:13.110 --> 01:08:17.400 PD One you know circuit because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:08:17.400 \longrightarrow 01:08:19.255$ That's what's with the drug works, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

01:08:19.255 --> 01:08:21.280 That's the part I'm doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

01:08:21.280 --> 01:08:22.200 There may be other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.734132044

 $01:08:22.200 \longrightarrow 01:08:22.450$ yeah?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93305248

 $01:08:24.790 \longrightarrow 01:08:26.020$ OK thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89665085

01:08:29.410 --> 01:08:31.201 Great, great discussion. Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89665085

 $01:08:31.201 \longrightarrow 01:08:33.943$ if there are no further questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8524672733333333

 $01{:}08{:}33.950 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}36.274$ we're 10 minutes over which I always

NOTE Confidence: 0.852467273333333

 $01:08:36.274 \longrightarrow 01:08:38.470$ love because it means that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.852467273333333

 $01:08:38.470 \longrightarrow 01:08:40.350$ is really an interesting topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852467273333333

 $01{:}08{:}40.350 \longrightarrow 01{:}08{:}42.766$ I want to thank you Bob for spending

NOTE Confidence: 0.852467273333333

 $01:08:42.766 \longrightarrow 01:08:45.016$ time with us today and for meeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.852467273333333

 $01:08:45.016 \longrightarrow 01:08:47.190$ with several of us this morning.

 $01:08:47.190 \longrightarrow 01:08:49.505$ It's been a real pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.852467273333333

 $01{:}08{:}49.505 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}51.910$ and an education as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8524672733333333

 $01:08:51.910 \longrightarrow 01:08:54.335$ Congratulations on your wonderful work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850953952222222

 $01{:}08{:}54.780 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}57.076$ Thanks for the invite and all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850953952222222

 $01:08:57.076 \longrightarrow 01:08:59.996$ time this. Yeah. With everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850953952222222

 $01:08:59.996 \longrightarrow 01:09:03.030$ thank you. Bye bye OK bye.