## WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:03:28" NOTE recognizability:0.907

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.885674490555556

 $00:00:09.070 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.260$  We, as a successful organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885674490555556

00:00:11.260 --> 00:00:13.920 we have to have successful faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.885674490555556

 $00:00:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.880$  and that includes people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.885674490555556

 $00:00:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.918$  are in the non ladder ranks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885674490555556

00:00:18.918 --> 00:00:20.604 Instructional positions like

NOTE Confidence: 0.885674490555556

 $00:00:20.604 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.290$  instructor or lecturer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885674490555556

 $00:00:22.290 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.024$  People who are in the research

NOTE Confidence: 0.885674490555556

 $00{:}00{:}24.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.645$  ranks and all the people who are on

NOTE Confidence: 0.885674490555556

00:00:26.645 --> 00:00:28.390 our various ladder faculty tracks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885674490555556

 $00:00:28.390 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.006$  And I think that was best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885674490555556

 $00{:}00{:}31.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.284$  I keep saying this over and over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885674490555556

 $00:00:32.290 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.705$  That was best really demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.885674490555556

 $00:00:34.705 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.154$  during the COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885674490555556

00:00:36.160 --> 00:00:37.210 Initial COVID epidemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885674490555556

 $00:00:37.210 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.418$  When we all came together to try to work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.920042651666667

 $00:00:40.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.440$  and combat our issues with

NOTE Confidence: 0.920042651666667

 $00:00:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.470$  that pandemic at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:00:46.470 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.785$  So we have all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:00:48.785 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.637$  faculty tracks and ranks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:00:50.640 \dashrightarrow 00:00:52.784$  I I talked about this a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:00:52.790 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.000$  We have about right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:00:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.856$  about 750 people or so in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00{:}00{:}56.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.383$  research ranks and about 700 or so

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00{:}01{:}01{:}01{:}383 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04.088$  in the clinical instructional ranks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:01:04.090 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.030$  If you include some of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

00:01:07.030 --> 00:01:09.400 Adjunct and lecturers as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9197664544444444

 $00:01:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.346$  but the latter faculty ranks are a

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

00:01:11.346 --> 00:01:13.299 little bit confusing to some people

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

00:01:13.299 --> 00:01:14.715 because unlike other institutions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

00:01:14.720 --> 00:01:19.049 we have not just one track or two tracks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:01:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.896$  we actually have 5 tracks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:01:21.896 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.526$  this has been something we've.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:01:25.530 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.458$  Actually asked departments to decide who

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:01:28.458 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.147$  to to determine which tracks people are in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

00:01:32.150 --> 00:01:33.662 As of July 2019,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

00:01:33.662 --> 00:01:37.154 and this is the reason why if you look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

00:01:37.154 --> 00:01:39.513 how our faculty have grown since 2013,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:01:39.513 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.651$  we're now up to nearly not

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:01:42.651 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.890$  quite twice as many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9197664544444444

00:01:44.890 --> 00:01:47.788 In the total ladder, faculty ranks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:01:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.366$  most of that has been driven by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00{:}01{:}50.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.850$  clinical expansion through Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

00:01:51.850 --> 00:01:53.190 New Haven Hospital system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

00:01:53.190 --> 00:01:54.865 and our clinical programmatic growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

00:01:54.870 --> 00:01:55.486 In general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00{:}01{:}55.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58.555$  if you look at the size of the basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:01:58.555 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.800$  science departments they have, this is the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:02:02.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.456$  Blue line at the bottom here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:02:04.460 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.154$  They've grown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:02:05.154 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.236$  but not anywhere nearly as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:02:07.236 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.832$  as the clinical departments

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:02:08.832 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.334$  and the basics and the school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00{:}02{:}11.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12.680$  Public health has also grown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

00:02:12.680 --> 00:02:14.984 It's gone from 60 to 96

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

00:02:14.984 --> 00:02:16.136 faculty ladder faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:02:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.695$  which is a significant increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00{:}02{:}17.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}19.700$  for the School of Public Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9197664544444444

 $00:02:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.590$  But in comparison to the rest

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

00:02:21.590 --> 00:02:24.168 of the Yale School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:02:24.168 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.629$  it's much smaller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

00:02:25.630 --> 00:02:28.249 And if you look at the latter faculty tracks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:02:28.250 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.410$  you can see that most of the growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:02:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.485$  has been on the clinician educator

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00:02:32.485 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.693$  and the clinical track with lesser

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444

 $00{:}02{:}34.759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}36.969$  growth in the traditional physician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919766454444444 00:02:36.970 --> 00:02:37.430 scientist

NOTE Confidence: 0.795974375

 $00:02:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.000$  or clinician scientists track

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00:02:39.710 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.610$  and the investigator tracks in

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00:02:42.610 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.945$  2019 because prior to that 2019,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00{:}02{:}45.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}48.420$  most of the assistant professors

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00:02:48.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.410$  were undifferentiated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00:02:49.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.608$  and you could choose a sensibly your

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00{:}02{:}51.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}54.042$  career track that you wanted to be on at

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00{:}02{:}54.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}56.398$  the time you moved to associate Professor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00:02:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.515$  Or could declare condition educator

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00:02:58.515 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.630$  at the assistant professor rank?

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00:03:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.496$  When we changed it to everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

00:03:02.496 --> 00:03:04.082 who entered the latter faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00:03:04.082 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.049$  had to have a a track assigned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00:03:06.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.578$  We saw a jump in some of the tracks

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00{:}03{:}08.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.546$  because the assistant professors are now

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00:03:10.546 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.749$  being added to the numbers down here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00:03:12.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.255$  But these tracks these research

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00:03:15.255 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.760$  intensive tracks have remained relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00{:}03{:}17.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}19.855$  flat in comparison to the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.891670306

 $00{:}03{:}19.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21.600$  and the clinician educator track.