WEBVTT

- 1.00:00:01.720 --> 00:00:05.830 < v -> Hello everyone, and welcome to the <math></v>
- $2\ 00:00:06.670 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.150$ inaugural seminar of the
- $3~00:00:11.830 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.800$ Yale Global Initiative on Climate Change
- 4 00:00:13.800 --> 00:00:15.250 and Public Health Ethics,
- 5 00:00:15.250 --> 00:00:19.000 as a part of the Yale Center for Climate Change
- $6\ 00:00:19.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.833$ and Health.
- 7 00:00:20.690 --> 00:00:22.000 My name is Laura Bothwell,
- 8~00:00:22.000 --> 00:00:25.650 and I'm delighted to welcome you to this seminar,
- $9\ 00:00:25.650 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.850$ which is also the first in a series of three virtual,
- $10\ 00:00:28.850 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.010$ or hybrid noontime seminars
- $11\ 00:00:32.010 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.150$ this term on various topics related to climate change
- $12\ 00:00:35.150 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.030$ and public health ethics.
- 13 00:00:37.030 --> 00:00:39.350 As you've seen this seminar is being recorded
- $14\ 00{:}00{:}39.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.590$ and we'll have about 15 minutes starting at $12{:}45$
- $15\ 00:00:42.590 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.060$ for questions and answers.
- $16\ 00:00:45.060 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.730$ It is such a privilege to introduce Stephen Latham,
- $17\ 00:00:47.730 --> 00:00:51.320$ director of the Yale Interdisciplinary Center for Bioethics.
- $18\ 00:00:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.400\ Dr.$ Latham has a JD and a PhD.
- $19\ 00:00:54.400 --> 00:00:57.920$ He's a fellow of the Hasting Center and teaches bioethics
- $20\ 00:00:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.940$ and environmental ethics in the Yale College,
- 21 $00:01:00.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.090$ the Yale Law School and the School of the Environment.
- $22\ 00:01:04.090 --> 00:01:07.270$ He chairs the Human Subjects Committee at Yale.
- $23\ 00:01:07.270 \dashrightarrow 00:01:09.570$ Co-chairs the Embryonic Stem Cell Research
- 24~00:01:09.570 --> 00:01:10.940 Oversight Committee

- $25~00:01:10.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.810$ and does clinical ethics consultation
- $26\ 00:01:12.810 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.780$ at the Yale New Haven Hospital.
- 27 00:01:14.780 --> 00:01:17.210 He is a former board member and secretary
- $28\ 00:01:17.210$ --> 00:01:20.400 of the American Society for Bioethics and Humanities
- $29\ 00{:}01{:}20.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23.130$ from which he received a distinguished service award
- $30\ 00:01:23.130 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.320$ in 2010.
- $31\ 00:01:24.320 --> 00:01:26.460$ And today we are so lucky to hear from him
- $32\ 00:01:26.460 --> 00:01:30.380$ speaking about geoengineering for climate crisis mitigation,
- $33\ 00:01:30.380 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.853$ accountability, transparency, and democracy.
- 34 00:01:36.700 --> 00:01:37.963 <-> Well, hello everyone.</v>
- 35 00:01:39.901 --> 00:01:40.740 I'm starting my timer,
- $36\ 00:01:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.060$ so I will be sure to have some time at the end
- $37\ 00:01:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.053$ for some questions.
- 38 00:01:46.000 --> 00:01:49.450 It's a more grand title than I would like actually
- $39\ 00:01:49.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.020$ and I'm not sure how much
- 40 00:01:51.020 --> 00:01:53.070 I'm gonna get to talk about democracy,
- 41 00:01:53.070 --> 00:01:56.633 but I certainly will be talking about accountability.
- $42\ 00:01:58.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.040$ So the topic is geoengineering
- $43\ 00:02:02.040$ --> 00:02:06.690 and there has been in the environmental community
- $44\ 00:02:08.890 \dashrightarrow 00:02:13.350$ a long tradition of opposition to the very idea of engaging
- $45\ 00{:}02{:}13.350 {\: \hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}02{:}17.020$ in geoengineering as a response to climate change
- $46\ 00:02:17.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.940$ for reasons that I'll be addressing later.
- 47 00:02:19.940 --> 00:02:23.380 But I think there is increasingly
- $48\ 00:02:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.200$ an awareness that our international efforts
- $49~00:02:29.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.220$ to address climate change are pretty feeble
- $50~00{:}02{:}33.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}36.750$ and that we are very likely to overshoot

- $51~00{:}02{:}38.249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41.030$ the one and a half degree temperature
- $52\ 00:02:42.130 --> 00:02:45.003$ goal that was set in Paris.
- $53~00:02:46.900 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.830$ And many voices are saying that no matter how quickly
- $54\ 00:02:51.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.387$ we manage to adjust the way we produce energy and the way
- $55\ 00:02:57.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.320$ we emit greenhouse gases,
- 56~00:03:01.320 --> 00:03:04.950 it won't be enough to avoid really catastrophic side effects
- $57\ 00:03:04.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.340$ of climate change
- $58~00{:}03{:}06.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.460$ and that we will need to do some form of geoengineering
- $59\ 00:03:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.913$ to get ourselves into a tolerable situation.
- $60~00{:}03{:}16.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20.170$ So let me now talk about a couple of kinds of things
- $61\ 00:03:20.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.660$ that fall under the name of geoengineering.
- $62\ 00:03:23.660 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.450$ There are two major sort of subgroups.
- $63\ 00:03:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.240$ One is just carbon dioxide removal,
- 64 00:03:29.240 --> 00:03:31.110 which comes in many, many forms,
- $65\ 00:03:31.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.800$ which I'll discuss in a second.
- 66 00:03:32.800 --> 00:03:36.660 And the other is solar radiation management,
- $67\ 00:03:36.660 --> 00:03:39.260$ which in one form or another involves
- 68 00:03:39.260 --> 00:03:41.430 in increasing the albedo of the earth,
- $69\ 00:03:41.430 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.740$ the reflectivity of the earth to bounce back
- $70\ 00:03:44.740 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.910$ some of the sun's energy and heat
- $71\ 00:03:47.920 --> 00:03:51.883$ in order to lower the temperature of the world.
- 72 00:03:53.660 --> 00:03:55.540 I'll say first something about
- $73\ 00:03:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.520$ carbon dioxide removal methods.
- $74\ 00:03:58.520 --> 00:04:01.000$ The one that we've all heard about is, of course,
- 75 00:04:01.000 --> 00:04:04.030 planting, reforestation and afforestation,
- $76~00:04:04.030 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.920$ the planting of different kinds of crops
- 77 00:04:07.920 --> 00:04:10.610 that will absorb carbon and so on,

- 78~00:04:10.610 --> 00:04:13.940 where there are other already well established methods
- $79\ 00:04:13.940 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.800$ of removing carbon from the air,
- $80\ 00:04:15.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.340$ things like biochar, or
- 81~00:04:19.868 --> 00:04:23.580 bioenergy energy use with carbon capture and storage, Becks,
- $82\ 00:04:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.520$ which involves
- 83 00:04:26.040 --> 00:04:27.470 burning biomass
- $84\ 00:04:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.630$ in a controlled way
- $85~00:04:29.630 \dashrightarrow 00:04:31.510$ and capturing the carbon from that burning
- $86\ 00:04:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.963$ and then storing that.
- $87\ 00:04:34.410 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.650$ We have plans to increase the amount of carbon
- $88\ 00:04:38.650 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.920$ that can be sequestered in soils.
- 89 00:04:40.920 --> 00:04:45.920 We have this idea of sinking biomass so deep in the ocean
- 90 00:04:46.250 --> 00:04:49.990 that it will not be able to degrade there,
- 91 00:04:49.990 --> 00:04:52.540 things like growing lots and lots of kelp
- 92 $00:04:52.540 \rightarrow 00:04:55.850$ and then hauling it out to sea and waiting it down,
- 93 $00:04:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.670$ so it sinks to the bottom of the sea
- $94~00:04:57.670 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.900$ and in theory will not release its carbon
- 95 $00:05:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.403$ for many centuries.
- 96 00:05:04.110 --> 00:05:06.050 We have the idea of enhanced weathering,
- $97\ 00:05:06.050 --> 00:05:09.430$ particularly at the seashore that will,
- $98~00{:}05{:}09.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}12.130$ where the action of the sea on certain kinds of rocks
- 99 00:05:12.130 --> 00:05:13.423 will capture carbon.
- $100\ 00{:}05{:}14.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17.200$ The idea of fertilizing the ocean with bits of iron
- $101\ 00:05:17.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.223$ to increase algal growth,
- $102\ 00:05:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.623$ which will capture carbon as well and then sink.

- $103\ 00:05:27.404$ --> 00:05:32.100 Oh, and the idea of restoration of our coastal wetlands,
- $104\,00:05:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.370$ which are actually really excellent carbon sinks
- $105\ 00:05:34.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.110$ of themselves.
- $106~00{:}05{:}36.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.970$ There's quite a wide range of carbon capture techniques
- $107\ 00:05:40.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.860$ and then of course,
- $108\ 00:05:41.860 --> 00:05:46.730$ we have this new-ish idea of direct air carbon capture
- $109\ 00:05:46.730 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.480$ in factories that
- $110\ 00:05:50.290 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.420$ withdraw carbon from the air
- 111 00:05:52.420 --> 00:05:54.273 using chemistry of different kinds,
- $112\ 00:05:54.273 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.023$ there's several different kinds out there now.
- $113\ 00{:}05{:}58.236 \to 00{:}06{:}02.910$ And then sequester that carbon possibly underground,
- $114\ 00:06:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.583$ possibly with other methods.
- 115 00:06:08.480 --> 00:06:12.713 These things are not terribly controversial,
- 116 00:06:14.000 --> 00:06:15.220 most of them,
- $117\ 00:06:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.440$ I think there are some issue with the idea
- $118\ 00:06:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.470$ of dropping biomass into the sea
- 119 00:06:19.470 --> 00:06:23.160 because there are questions about where it might wash up
- $120\ 00:06:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.550$ and how effective it might be.
- 121 00:06:25.550 --> 00:06:28.610 There are several problems with these things,
- 122 00:06:28.610 --> 00:06:31.880 most of them are not terribly scalable,
- $123\ 00{:}06{:}31.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36.610$ most of them are pretty expensive for the amount of carbon
- 124 00:06:36.610 --> 00:06:40.200 that they'll actually succeed in sequestering,
- $125\ 00:06:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.560$ but many of them would be susceptible
- 126 00:06:43.560 --> 00:06:45.960 to pretty much local governance,
- 127 00:06:45.960 --> 00:06:48.070 much of their environmental impact
- $128\ 00:06:48.070 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.603$ for most of these methods would be local.
- $129\ 00:06:51.960 --> 00:06:55.300$ The business of fertilizing the sea

- $130\ 00:06:55.300 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.190$ raises some issues about accountability
- 131 00:06:58.190 --> 00:06:59.980 and international accountability.
- 132 00:06:59.980 --> 00:07:02.470 But I think the biggest,
- $133\ 00:07:02.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.620$ one of the biggest problems in this area is with monitoring
- $134\ 00:07:05.620 --> 00:07:08.750$ and reporting and verification because
- $135\ 00:07:08.750 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.750$ there are a lot of controversies about the way in which,
- $136\ 00:07:14.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.090$ for example, carbon sequestration in plants
- $137\ 00{:}07{:}17.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21.450$ is being counted, whether refore station, for example,
- $138\ 00{:}07{:}21.450 --> 00{:}07{:}25.620$ is really new reforestation that will capture carbon
- 139 00:07:25.620 --> 00:07:28.290 that wasn't going to be captured by forests
- $140\ 00:07:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.053$ that were gonna be planted anyway.
- $141\ 00{:}07{:}31.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.580$ And there are questions about competing values for land use
- 142 00:07:35.580 --> 00:07:38.473 associated with some of these methods,
- $143\ 00:07:40.520 --> 00:07:44.680$ but these are as a group far less controversial
- $144\ 00:07:44.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.610$ than the solar radiation management varieties
- $145\ 00:07:47.610 --> 00:07:52.310$ of geoengineering because mostly what they're doing
- $146\ 00{:}07{:}52.310 \rightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.570$ is simply trying to remove carbon from the air
- $147\ 00:07:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.370$ and the likelihood
- $148\ 00{:}08{:}03.101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08.101$ of having any kind of unexpected disproportionate impact
- $149\ 00{:}08{:}08.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.890$ anywhere in the world from these methods is pretty low.
- 150 00:08:11.890 --> 00:08:13.710 Again, the ones that involve the ocean
- 151 00:08:13.710 --> 00:08:16.010 are probably the most controversial of them,
- $152\ 00:08:16.010 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.260$ but most of these
- $153\ 00:08:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.870$ do not pose many difficult governance kinds of issues.

- $154\ 00:08:27.230$ --> 00:08:31.033 We need better monitoring, reporting and verification.
- 155 00:08:32.360 --> 00:08:33.193 And
- $156\ 00:08:34.300 --> 00:08:37.920$ we need probably some rules about how
- $157\ 00:08:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.550$ and where carbon is going to be sequestered,
- $158\ 00:08:40.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.870$ especially if carbon is not sequestered
- $159\ 00:08:42.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.003$ in the same location where it's being drawn out of the air.
- $160\ 00:08:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.820$ And most of these methods also
- $161\ 00:08:51.820 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.310$ should involve some kind of involvement
- $162\ 00:08:54.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.550$ of the public in the location
- $163\ 00:08:56.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.400$ where these carbon reduction methods are going to be used.
- $164\ 00:09:02.970 \dashrightarrow 00:09:05.320$ So for example, if you're gonna do enhanced weathering
- $165\ 00:09:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.680$ on a shore line by depositing
- $166\ 00:09:09.620$ --> 00:09:14.000 minerals there that will capture carbon with wave action,
- $167\ 00:09:14.000 --> 00:09:17.540$ you'll wanna talk to the people who use that shoreline
- $168\ 00:09:17.540 \dashrightarrow 00:09:22.540$ and you'll want to engage in some kind of public discussion
- $169\ 00:09:23.130 --> 00:09:27.220$ and get the permission from the relevant public authorities.
- $170\ 00{:}09{:}27.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.740$ Same thing with carbon sequestration underground
- $171\ 00:09:29.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.593$ in the salt,
- $172\ 00:09:32.610 --> 00:09:34.780$ there's a proposal now to sequester carbon
- $173\ 00:09:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.453$ in bottom of fracking mines,
- $174\ 00:09:40.000$ --> 00:09:43.360 those kinds of things should involve local permission
- $175\ 00:09:43.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.880$ and local governance.
- $176\ 00:09:45.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.300$ There are a few kinds of codes
- $177\ 00:09:48.300 --> 00:09:50.000$ of professional responsibility

- 178 00:09:50.000 --> 00:09:52.180 that have been put out there,
- $179\ 00:09:52.180 --> 00:09:54.940$ completely non-binding, just put out by different groups,
- $180\ 00:09:54.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.940$ in one case by single author,
- 181 00:09:56.940 --> 00:10:01.510 there are the Oxford principles,
- $182\ 00{:}10{:}01.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04.130$ there's the (mumbles) principles for research
- 183 00:10:04.130 --> 00:10:07.100 in climate engineering techniques.
- $184\ 00:10:07.100 --> 00:10:09.900$ And there's a single author code of conduct
- $185\ 00:10:09.900 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.580$ for responsible geoengineering research
- $186\ 00:10:12.580 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.100$ and the last two of those are very explicit
- 187 00:10:16.100 --> 00:10:18.560 of calling for public participation.
- $188\ 00{:}10{:}18.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22.150$ So to the extent that researchers in geoengineering
- $189\ 00{:}10{:}23.520$ --> $00{:}10{:}28.250$ voluntarily choose to follow some of these available codes
- $190\ 00:10:28.250 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.663$ of research conduct,
- $191\ 00:10:31.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.090$ we will see some public
- 192 00:10:35.200 --> 00:10:36.280 participation
- 193 00:10:37.812 --> 00:10:42.380 and some openness and accountability.
- $194~00{:}10{:}42.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46.950$ All of these principles call for periodic reporting
- 195 00:10:46.950 --> 00:10:49.760 of results and transparency
- $196\ 00:10:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.303$ in terms of how well the techniques are working,
- $197\ 00:10:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.290$ but there is
- 198 00:10:57.190 --> 00:11:01.410 very little explicitly binding law
- 199 00:11:02.720 --> 00:11:05.493 that deals with any of these methods,
- 200 00:11:08.870 --> 00:11:10.483 in all likelihood,
- $201\ 00{:}11{:}13.834$ --> $00{:}11{:}18.230$ the Framework Convention on Climate Change
- $202\ 00:11:18.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.580$ will be able to come up with
- 203 00:11:21.670 --> 00:11:25.440 some monitoring mechanisms,

- $204\ 00:11:25.440 --> 00:11:27.880$ they are explicitly mentioned in that framework.
- 205 00:11:27.880 --> 00:11:31.160 And there's also explicit mention of the need
- $206\ 00:11:31.160 --> 00:11:34.360$ to govern sequestration locations.
- $207\ 00{:}11{:}34.360 {\: \hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}11{:}37.150$ So there is some promise of some kind of governance
- 208 00:11:37.150 --> 00:11:39.170 in this area, but again, in general,
- 209 00:11:39.170 --> 00:11:41.900 the is not where the controversy lies.
- $210\ 00{:}11{:}41.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}45.703$ The controversy really lies with solar radiation management.
- $211\ 00:11:48.090 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.930$ There are a couple of major types
- 212 00:11:49.930 --> 00:11:51.640 of solar radiation management.
- 213 00:11:51.640 --> 00:11:53.690 I'll say a little bit about each of them.
- 214 00:11:54.780 --> 00:11:59.050 First, there is marine cloud brightening.
- $215\ 00{:}11{:}59.050$ --> $00{:}12{:}03.170$ This involves injecting salt possibly from ocean water
- $216\ 00{:}12{:}03.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.960$ into the clouds above the sea and brightening them up
- $217\ 00:12:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.730$ so that they have greater albedo
- $218\ 00:12:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.623$ and will be more reflective.
- $219\ 00:12:11.890 --> 00:12:16.130$ This looks like it would be pretty in expensive to do,
- 220 00:12:16.130 --> 00:12:18.963 it would involve a fleet of,
- 221 00:12:21.260 --> 00:12:23.840 to have an effect at the global level,
- $222\ 00:12:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.860$ we would have to have a fleet of many ships
- 223 00:12:26.860 --> 00:12:30.290 spraying salt into the sky above the oceans.
- $224\ 00:12:30.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.710$ That fleet would have to be mobile because
- $225\ 00{:}12{:}34.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}37.570$ the impact of the sun changes as the seasons change
- $226\ 00{:}12{:}37.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42.570$ and so on, would wanna position the reflective clouds
- $227\ 00:12:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.130$ in places that would have optimal effect
- $228\ 00:12:45.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.963$ on global temperature.

- $229\ 00:12:48.700 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.700$ It seems to be possibly effective and possibly rather cheap.
- $230\ 00:12:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.253$ And especially if the material used is salt water,
- $231\ 00:12:59.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.180$ there don't seem to be that many
- $232\ 00:13:02.180 --> 00:13:05.063$ immediate polluting side effects.
- $233\ 00{:}13{:}08.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12.500$ Marine cloud brightening also has a great deal of promise
- $234\ 00:13:12.500 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.850$ as a method of local protection from the sun.
- $235\ 00{:}13{:}15.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}19.420$ So for example, Australia is paying for some research
- $236\ 00:13:19.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.590$ in this area because they believe
- 237 00:13:21.590 --> 00:13:23.900 that they could do marine cloud brightening
- $238\ 00{:}13{:}23.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28.900$ over the barrier reef to prevent, to lower temperature
- $239\ 00:13:29.090 --> 00:13:30.940$ and lower the amount of sun striking
- 240 00:13:30.940 --> 00:13:32.913 and prevent bleaching of the coral.
- $241\ 00{:}13{:}33.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38.000$ There's also some possibility that at marine cloud bleaching
- 242 00:13:40.029 --> 00:13:42.750 could be used in the Arctic
- $243\ 00:13:42.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.393$ to prevent certain kinds of runoff and so on.
- $244\ 00{:}13{:}48.513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.513$ So there's real possibility of marine cloud bleaching
- 245 00:13:54.110 --> 00:13:57.910 being used all around the world
- 246 00:13:57.910 --> 00:14:00.780 and having an effect on global temperature.
- $247\ 00:14:00.780 --> 00:14:03.550$ I'm gonna talk a little bit about
- 248 00:14:05.560 --> 00:14:08.290 downstream effects of that in a moment,
- $249\ 00:14:08.290 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.760$ but let me first say a little bit about
- $250\ 00:14:10.760 --> 00:14:13.410$ stratospheric aerosol injection.
- 251 00:14:13.410 --> 00:14:15.980 Stratospheric aerosol injection
- 252 00:14:15.980 --> 00:14:17.890 involves putting reflective particle
- 253 00:14:17.890 --> 00:14:20.873 of one kind of substance or another,
- $254\ 00:14:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.703$ often sulfur related substances,

 $255\ 00{:}14{:}27.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}30.930$ injecting those into the stratosphere, which is stable

 $256\ 00{:}14{:}30.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33.860$ compared to the lower parts of the atmosphere.

 $257\ 00:14:33.860 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.350$ Those particles would remain there for roughly three years

 $258\ 00:14:37.350 \dashrightarrow 00:14:42.350$ and would reflect the suns rays back into outer space.

 $259\ 00:14:46.590 --> 00:14:50.400$ It looks like it would be very inexpensive to do,

 $260\ 00:14:50.400 --> 00:14:55.070$ the total numbers are in 25 to 50 billion dollars

 $261\ 00:14:55.070 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.903$ to have

262 00:14:58.817 --> 00:15:00.140 planetary, wide, global temperature reduction

 $263~00:15:05.178 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.200$ of all of the temperature that has risen

 $264\ 00:15:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.833$ because of greenhouse gases.

 $265\ 00:15:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.370$ The theory is that

 $266\ 00{:}15{:}14.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.740$ you could begin to see global temperatures fall

 $267\ 00{:}15{:}16.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}20.963$ even within one year of doing this aerosol spraying.

 $268~00{:}15{:}25.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30.040$ And that the temperatures could be brought down

 $269\ 00:15:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.440$ to sort of pre-climate change level

270 00:15:34.440 --> 00:15:36.540 in a matter of a couple of years,

 $271\ 00{:}15{:}36.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39.490$ but then of course the spraying would have to be maintained

 $272\ 00:15:40.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.423$ to keep the temperature level steady.

 $273\ 00:15:44.260 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.950$ So it has the promise of being stunningly effective

274 00:15:47.950 --> 00:15:50.763 and relatively inexpensive,

 $275\ 00:15:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.620$ but it has a lot of scientific kind of safety issues.

 $276\ 00{:}15{:}57.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}00.670$ First, many of the particles that are being thought of

 $277\ 00{:}16{:}00.670 --> 00{:}16{:}01.940$ as candidate particles

- $278\ 00:16:05.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.060$ for aerosol injection
- $279\ 00{:}16{:}08.970 \longrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.513$ might have the tendency to deplete our ozone layer.
- 280 00:16:13.580 --> 00:16:16.400 Some estimates say that, for example,
- $281\ 00:16:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.310$ the closing of the ozone hole
- $282\ 00:16:20.310 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.290$ would be delayed by about 40 years
- $283\ 00:16:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.223$ by the use of this tactic.
- 284 00:16:27.440 --> 00:16:30.440 In addition to that, some of the particles
- $285~00{:}16{:}30.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}35.440$ when they fall to earth after that three year initial period
- $286\ 00:16:36.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.840$ might be pollutants.
- $287\ 00:16:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.480$ Sulfur is not particularly a problem
- $288\ 00:16:40.480 --> 00:16:41.560$ 'cause there's a great deal of that
- $289\ 00:16:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.390$ in the atmosphere anyway,
- 290 00:16:43.390 \rightarrow 00:16:47.090 but some of the other particles might just cause
- 291 00:16:47.090 --> 00:16:50.609 ordinary particle fallout pollution.
- 292 00:16:50.609 --> 00:16:52.770 Another big worry,
- 293 00:16:52.770 --> 00:16:56.590 and this is a worry both for cloud brightening
- 294 00:16:56.590 --> 00:17:00.240 and for aerosol injection,
- $295\ 00:17:00.240 --> 00:17:03.160$ is this idea of termination shock
- $296\ 00:17:03.160 --> 00:17:05.890$ because neither of these things does anything about
- 297 00:17:05.890 --> 00:17:08.960 the ongoing accumulation of CO2
- $298\ 00:17:08.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.733$ and other greenhouse gases.
- $299\ 00{:}17{:}11.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.363$ When they're stopped, if they were stopped suddenly,
- $300\ 00:17:17.470 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.230$ there would be a big rebound effect
- $301\ 00:17:21.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.810$ and the temperature of the earth is predicted
- $302\ 00:17:23.810 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.050$ to climb incredibly rapidly
- $303\ 00:17:27.890 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.600$ if that intervention is stopped all at once.
- $304~00{:}17{:}32.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37.600$ So it would be absolutely necessary to have in place

- $305\ 00:17:38.010$ --> 00:17:43.010 some kind of international agreement about how and when
- $306\ 00:17:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.210$ and how gradually to stop the intervention
- $307\ 00:17:47.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.470$ in order to avoid this termination shock.
- 30800:17:49.470 --> 00:17:51.740 There have been models that have looked at this
- $309\ 00:17:51.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.640$ and said it's not gonna be very hard to do,
- $310\ 00:17:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.763$ but it does require international cooperation.
- $311\ 00:18:00.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.480$ Another big problem
- $312\ 00{:}18{:}02.480 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}18{:}05.460$ with both the solar management techniques
- $313\ 00{:}18{:}05.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08.590$ is that they're both better at controlling temperature
- $314\ 00:18:08.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.290$ than they are at controlling
- 315 00:18:12.670 --> 00:18:16.490 water circulation through the air and in the soils
- $316\ 00{:}18{:}16.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20.100$ so that the predictions and these are better modeled
- $317\ 00:18:20.100 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.460$ with the stratospheric aerosol injection.
- $318\ 00:18:23.460 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.550$ Its prediction is
- 319 00:18:27.489 --> 00:18:30.140 that if we reach an optimal temperature,
- $320\ 00:18:30.140 --> 00:18:34.070$ we will reduce total amounts of rainfall
- $321\ 00:18:34.070 --> 00:18:36.360$ and this reduction is not gonna be uniform
- 322 00:18:36.360 --> 00:18:37.560 across the planet.
- 323 00:18:37.560 --> 00:18:41.440 It would particularly affect monsoon in areas
- $324\ 00:18:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.360$ that have monsoon seasons.
- $325\ 00:18:44.360 --> 00:18:48.510$ In other areas it looks like soil moisture,
- $326\ 00:18:48.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.160$ which is what you care about for agriculture
- 327 00:18:51.160 --> 00:18:52.930 would not be that badly affected,
- $328\ 00:18:52.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.280$ even if rainfall reduces,
- $329\ 00:18:55.280 --> 00:18:57.460$ the temperature reduction would
- 330 00:18:57.460 --> 00:19:02.043 make up for the smaller amount of rainfall,
- 331 00:19:03.960 --> 00:19:06.440 but the point is that there would be global winners

- $332\ 00:19:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.400$ and losers in terms of
- $333\ 00:19:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.050$ potential for interference with agriculture,
- 334 00:19:12.050 --> 00:19:13.640 potential for drought,
- $335\ 00:19:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.910$ potential for reduction of the amount of monsoon rains,
- $336\ 00{:}19{:}17.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}20.770$ potential for reduction of the amount of snow pack
- $337\ 00:19:20.770 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.443$ in some parts of the world.
- $338\ 00:19:24.590 --> 00:19:26.610$ There would be other effects too,
- $339\ 00:19:26.610 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.600$ the sky would no longer be blue,
- $340\ 00:19:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.593$ the sky would be a kind of diffuse white light.
- 341 00:19:32.440 --> 00:19:34.360 This would have effect on agriculture,
- $342\ 00:19:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.980$ it would slow down agricultural growth.
- $343\ 00:19:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.290$ On the other hand,
- $344\ 00{:}19{:}38.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42.720$ advocates for this think that having the increased CO2
- $345\ 00{:}19{:}42.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}46.410$ would speed up some kinds of agricultural growth.
- $346\ 00:19:46.410 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.313$ So the effects are upped to be mixed.
- $347\ 00:19:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.760$ So this is
- 348 00:19:56.760 --> 00:19:59.453 extremely controversial,
- 349 00:20:01.580 --> 00:20:03.560 the threat of ozone depletion,
- $350\ 00:20:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.120$ the threat of termination shock,
- 351 00:20:05.120 --> 00:20:06.630 and particularly the fact
- $352\ 00:20:06.630 --> 00:20:09.740$ that there would be international winners and losers
- $353\ 00:20:09.740 --> 00:20:11.703$ from solar management,
- $354\ 00:20:13.390 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.753$ makes it quite controversial.
- $355\ 00:20:17.460 --> 00:20:21.250$ The fact also that it seems like it would be incredibly
- $356\ 00:20:21.250 --> 00:20:24.140$ effective at temperature control
- 357 00:20:24.140 --> 00:20:26.630 and that it's not expensive,

- $358\ 00:20:26.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.630$ raises other really important kinds of governance issues.
- $359\ 00:20:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.853$ So to make an obvious point,
- $360\ 00:20:36.690 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.480$ a single country could do this
- $361\ 00:20:40.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.493$ and affect the entire temperature of the world.
- $362\ 00:20:46.270$ --> 00:20:51.270 There have been many, many different kinds of scenarios run,
- $363\ 00{:}20{:}51.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55.760$ and there's a big growing literature on governance
- 364 00:20:55.760 --> 00:20:57.680 of this kind of geoengineering
- $365~00:20:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.970$ in which people trot out all kinds of scenarios
- 366 00:21:02.970 --> 00:21:05.990 of single countries,
- 367 00:21:05.990 --> 00:21:09.000 or all coalition of countries,
- $368\ 00:21:09.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.560$ or a widespread
- 369~00:21:11.560 --> 00:21:15.240 diverse group of climate
- 370 00:21:15.240 --> 00:21:17.920 change activists
- 371 00:21:17.920 --> 00:21:21.040 might actually just do this
- $372\ 00{:}21{:}21.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}23.720$ without any kind of formal permission
- $373\ 00:21:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.370$ from the rest of the world,
- $374\ 00{:}21{:}25.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}29.820$ or from the countries that are gonna be most affected by it.
- $375\ 00:21:29.820 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.440$ And this poses all kinds of threats
- $376\ 00:21:34.310 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.483$ to the international order.
- $377\ 00:21:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.220$ Even if
- $378\ 00:21:41.270 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.310$ we could come up
- $379\ 00:21:42.310 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.160$ with international governance mechanisms
- $380\ 00:21:47.160 --> 00:21:50.300$ that would control
- $381\ 00:21:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.950$ and
- $382\ 00:21:51.950 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.980$ manage the use
- $383\ 00:21:56.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.590$ of stratospheric aerosol injection, for example,
- $384\ 00:21:59.590 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.050$ even if we could do that,
- $385\ 00:22:01.050 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.400$ there would be serious political issues

- 386 00:22:03.400 --> 00:22:07.620 because different countries are gonna have different views
- $387\ 00:22:07.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.640$ of what optimal temperatures are.
- $388\ 00:22:10.640 --> 00:22:12.900$ For example, there have been some winners
- $389\ 00:22:12.900 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.800$ in terms of agriculture in particular
- $390\ 00:22:15.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.710$ from the global warming that we've experienced so far,
- 391 00:22:19.710 --> 00:22:21.240 the growing season has increased
- $392\ 00:22:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.260$ in parts of the global north, for example.
- $393\ 00:22:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.940$ And it may be that
- $394\ 00:22:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.380$ less of a temperature reduction
- $395\ 00:22:29.380$ --> 00:22:32.990 would be appealing to those countries that have been winners
- $396\ 00{:}22{:}32.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35.943$ from the climate change we've so far experienced.
- $397\ 00:22:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.110$ So there is this risk of a single state actor
- 398 00:22:43.200 --> 00:22:45.380 changing the entire globe,
- $399\ 00:22:45.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.890$ or of small groups of states doing it,
- $400\ 00:22:48.890 --> 00:22:52.453$ or of even of independent actors doing it.
- $401\ 00:22:53.990 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.830$ And we have
- $402\ 00:22:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.930$ a small
- $403\ 00:22:57.930 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.800$ story already
- $404\ 00{:}23{:}01.811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06.811$ about this risk of accountability for action in this area
- $405\ 00:23:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.183$ in terms of research in the area.
- $406\ 00{:}23{:}12.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.353$ Bill Gates has been funding a study at Harvard,
- $407\ 00:23:16.290 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.520$ which is a trial of
- $408\ 00{:}23{:}19.520 --> 00{:}23{:}24.423$ aerosol injection, not of sulfur, but of calcium carbonate.
- 409 00:23:25.870 --> 00:23:27.940 He had a plan with this Harvard group
- $410\ 00:23:29.190 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.170$ in the southwest of the United States,
- $411\ 00:23:31.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.730$ to who loft some balloons

- $412\ 00{:}23{:}34.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38.030$ which would spray a small amount of calcium carbonate
- $413\ 00:23:38.030 --> 00:23:41.680$ to the stratosphere enough to cover
- $414\ 00:23:41.680 --> 00:23:44.573$ what's been described as about 11 football fields.
- $415\ 00{:}23{:}46.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}51.718$ And to then send up instruments to measure the effect of
- $416\ 00:23:52.953 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.160$ the reflection, the gains to albedo and so on,
- 417 00:23:57.160 --> 00:24:01.660 just to do a kind of trial run of stratospheric
- 418 00:24:01.660 --> 00:24:03.420 aerosol injection,
- 41900:24:03.420 --> 00:24:08.420 but pandemic related considerations
- 420 00:24:08.460 --> 00:24:10.510 moved this group to decide
- 421 00:24:10.510 --> 00:24:12.170 that they weren't gonna do the experiment
- 422 00:24:12.170 --> 00:24:15.440 in the southwest of the United States after all
- $423\ 00{:}24{:}15.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}19.453$ and they just kind of up and moved their location to Sweden,
- $424\ 00{:}24{:}20.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23.570$ they decided they were gonna launch their balloon in Sweden
- 425 00:24:25.730 --> 00:24:29.510 and they didn't ask anyone in Sweden.
- 426 00:24:29.510 --> 00:24:31.170 They didn't get permission
- 427 00:24:31.170 --> 00:24:34.510 from any local authorities at all and
- 428 00:24:35.998 --> 00:24:37.310 the Sami people,
- $429\ 00{:}24{:}37.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39.950$ the indigenous peoples of the Northern part of Sweden,
- 430 00:24:39.950 --> 00:24:41.920 they're an indigenous group
- 431 00:24:41.920 --> 00:24:44.420 that inhabit the whole polar region,
- $432\ 00:24:44.420 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.163$ Sweden and Finland and Russia.
- $433\ 00:24:48.010 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.550$ They heard about this
- $434\ 00:24:52.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.740$ test site movement
- $435\ 00{:}24{:}54.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59.150$ and even though the first test was just going to be
- $436\ 00:24:59.150 --> 00:25:03.780$ to fly the balloon and test the aerosol injection mechanism

- $437\ 00{:}25{:}03.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06.000$ and it wasn't actually gonna spread any material
- $438\ 00:25:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.270$ into the sky,
- $439\ 00{:}25{:}07.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.270$ the Sami objected and wrote a letter to the research group
- 440 00:25:12.500 --> 00:25:16.227 at Harvard and their advisory board and said,
- 441 00:25:16.227 --> 00:25:20.740 "You can't do this, you can't alter the skies above us."
- $442\ 00:25:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.423$ They had a wide range of objections to this.
- 443 00:25:25.430 --> 00:25:29.470 At the baseline, their fundamental objection
- $444\ 00:25:29.470 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.203$ was with the idea of tinkering with nature at all.
- $445\ 00:25:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.436$ The idea that
- $446\ 00:25:36.436 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.436$ their view is that nature is there to be adapted to
- $447\ 00:25:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.650$ and that we should not try
- 448 00:25:44.650 --> 00:25:48.063 to manage the atmosphere, or the globe,
- $449\ 00{:}25{:}50.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}53.610$ but they also cited a number of other arguments,
- $450\ 00{:}25{:}53.610 --> 00{:}25{:}57.030$ especially moral hazard that I'll be talking about
- $451\ 00:25:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.113$ in a moment.
- $452\ 00{:}25{:}59.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}01.470$ And they were joined by some environmental groups
- $453\ 00:26:01.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.450$ from Sweden.
- $454\ 00{:}26{:}02.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07.223$ The Sami people were affected by fallout from Chernobyl,
- $455\ 00:26:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.310$ which actually killed a lot of the deer
- $456\ 00{:}26{:}11.310$ --> $00{:}26{:}16.310$ that their whole lifestyle is sort of centered on
- $457\ 00{:}26{:}16.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.063$ the herding and management of these Arctic deer herds.
- $458\ 00{:}26{:}23.100 \longrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.270$ Chernobyl killed a bunch of the deer and even today
- $459\ 00:26:26.270 --> 00:26:29.680$ they have to screen deer meat for radiation

- $460\ 00:26:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.330$ before they can eat it.
- $461\ 00:26:31.330 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.330$ So they have a history of being affected by
- 462 00:26:36.950 --> 00:26:39.930 interventions from other countries
- 463 00:26:40.930 --> 00:26:44.210 and they have been very active
- 464 00:26:44.210 --> 00:26:47.250 in terms of trying to reduce climate change,
- $465\ 00:26:47.250 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.610$ they lobbied Norway to stop investing in fossil fuels,
- $466\ 00:26:51.610 --> 00:26:54.130$ they sent a group to Standing Rock
- $467\ 00:26:54.130 --> 00:26:57.573$ to protest the Dakota access pipeline.
- $468\ 00:26:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.000$ So they have been very active in this area
- 469 00:27:02.000 --> 00:27:05.110 and their activity basically shut down
- 470 00:27:05.110 --> 00:27:06.320 the Harvard Gates
- $471\ 00:27:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.897$ project, the advisory board got the letter and said,
- $472\ 00:27:11.897 --> 00:27:13.910$ "These objections are serious.
- $473\ 00:27:13.910 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.370$ They're posing a real political problem for us
- 474 00:27:16.370 --> 00:27:20.120 and so we're not going to do this study yet."
- $475\ 00:27:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.473$ And the study is still on hold.
- $476\ 00:27:24.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.110$ Gates also, by the way,
- 477 00:27:27.110 --> 00:27:29.520 is funding direct air carbon capture
- $478\ 00{:}27{:}29.520 {\: -->\:} 00{:}27{:}34.230$ and he has been funding a seawater project, which is
- 479 00:27:35.250 --> 00:27:38.930 designed to do a cloud brightening.
- $480\ 00:27:38.930 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.720$ So he is very much a person who has
- $481\ 00:27:41.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.070$ a great deal of resources
- 482 00:27:44.070 --> 00:27:47.990 and he is very much in favor of
- $483\ 00:27:47.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.630$ these technical geoengineering solutions
- $484\ 00:27:52.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.760$ to the climate change problem
- 485 00:27:54.760 --> 00:27:58.240 and here we have an example of him acting
- $486\ 00:27:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.740$ with private researchers to do research in this area,
- 487 00:28:03.820 --> 00:28:04.960 in the sky over Sweden,

- 488 00:28:04.960 --> 00:28:06.700 without any governance at all,
- $489\ 00{:}28{:}06.700 \longrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09.930$ without any political consultation at all,
- $490\ 00:28:09.930 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.710$ let alone permission or input from the local people.
- $491\ 00:28:13.710 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.890$ So this is just one
- $492\ 00:28:16.740 --> 00:28:20.490$ lesson about the possibility of abuse
- $493\ 00:28:24.956 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.373$ of these kinds of techniques.
- $494\ 00{:}28{:}28.350 --> 00{:}28{:}32.060$ The biggest objection that most people have to
- $495\ 00:28:32.060 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.263$ geoengineering is the moral hazard objection.
- $496~00{:}28{:}36.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}40.180$ Basically they say, "If we can geo engineer,
- $497\ 00:28:40.180 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.120$ then we will be less motivated
- 498 00:28:43.120 --> 00:28:46.917 to actually reduce our carbon emissions."
- 499 00:28:48.400 --> 00:28:50.373 People will say to themselves, "Look,
- $500\ 00:28:51.780 --> 00:28:54.980$ we can prevent these dramatic results
- $501\ 00:28:54.980 --> 00:28:56.730$ from temperature change
- $502\ 00:28:56.730 --> 00:28:59.390$ and that means we don't have to worry as much,
- $503\ 00:28:59.390 --> 00:29:03.350$ or we don't have to act as quickly to reduce carbon,
- $504~00{:}29{:}03.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}07.100$ lots of problems with that as an outcome, for example,
- 505 00:29:07.100 --> 00:29:09.150 the CO2 would just continue to build up,
- $506\ 00:29:09.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.093$ ocean acidification would continue and so on,
- $507~00{:}29{:}13.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}17.300$ but it's more than just a kind of an abstract worry
- 508 00:29:17.300 --> 00:29:19.563 that people will feel less motivated,
- 509 00:29:20.450 --> 00:29:22.600 particularly here in the United States,
- $510~00{:}29{:}22.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26.660$ there is a worry that the same forces that have been funding
- $511\ 00:29:27.860 --> 00:29:30.180$ climate change disinformation
- $512\ 00:29:30.180 --> 00:29:32.370$ and have been slowing us down

- $513\ 00:29:32.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.590$ in terms of changing the way that we produce electricity,
- $514\ 00:29:36.590 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.650$ might turn and
- 515 00:29:39.610 --> 00:29:42.590 suddenly start funding research
- $516\ 00:29:42.590$ --> 00:29:47.590 and actual interventions in this solar management.
- $517~00:29:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.790$ And might actually, following the lead of Bill Gates,
- 518 00:29:51.790 --> 00:29:52.713 for example,
- 519 00:29:54.270 --> 00:29:58.670 start touting the benefits of geoengineering
- 520 00:29:58.670 --> 00:30:03.170 as a way to preserve our existing underlying
- 521 00:30:04.810 --> 00:30:07.980 greenhouse gas producing economy.
- $522\ 00:30:07.980$ --> 00:30:12.200 So there's a great deal of worry about this moral hazard
- 523 00:30:12.200 --> 00:30:13.350 and it's probably
- $524\ 00:30:16.279 --> 00:30:21.170$ the biggest single objection to the use of geoengineering.
- $525\ 00:30:21.170 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.150$ Just last week on the 17th,
- $526\ 00:30:23.150 --> 00:30:27.480$ there was a global group of about 60 climate scientists
- $527\ 00:30:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.170$ and some governance scholars
- $528\ 00:30:29.170 --> 00:30:31.080$ who have called for a moratorium
- $529~00{:}30{:}33.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}36.490$ and a international non-use agreement
- $530\ 00:30:36.490 --> 00:30:41.063$ on solar radiation management and other geoengineering.
- 531 00:30:43.730 --> 00:30:46.370 And so there is very strong sentiment
- $532~00:30:47.430 \dashrightarrow 00:30:50.613$ to try to stay away from these methods.
- $533\ 00:30:55.560 --> 00:31:00.560$ We do have a little bit of existing international governance
- $534\ 00:31:01.080 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.510$ in this area,
- 535 00:31:02.510 --> 00:31:05.350 like the Convention on Biodiversity
- $536\ 00{:}31{:}05.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}08.450$ to which the US is not a party and it's non-binding,

- 537~00:31:08.450 --> 00:31:12.620 but the Convention on Biodiversity does mention
- 538~00:31:15.210 --> 00:31:18.530 that we should not be using geoengineering methods
- $539\ 00:31:18.530 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.433$ that would affect biodiversity on the planet.
- $540\ 00:31:27.510 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.960$ We have a convention on ozone,
- 541 00:31:29.960 --> 00:31:33.600 which would be implicated if it turns out
- $542\ 00:31:34.943 --> 00:31:36.450$ that solar management would start
- $543\ 00:31:36.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.330$ to deplete the ozone layer.
- $544~00{:}31{:}39.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}43.370$ And we have the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change
- 545 00:31:43.370 --> 00:31:46.920 which doesn't directly address this,
- $546\ 00{:}31{:}46.920 {\: -->\:} 00{:}31{:}50.620$ but which could be mobilized to put some governance
- 547 00:31:50.620 --> 00:31:51.453 and monitoring
- $548\ 00:31:53.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.773$ provisions in place.
- 549~00:31:56.760 --> 00:31:58.630 I recommend to you
- $550\ 00:31:58.630$ --> 00:32:03.630 the Carnegie Climate Governance Initiative website.
- 551 00:32:04.230 --> 00:32:07.060 The Carnegie Climate Governance Initiative
- $552\ 00{:}32{:}07.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}11.230$ is basically trying to foment discussions of governance
- 553 00:32:12.646 --> 00:32:17.510 of geoengineering at all levels, at local levels,
- $554\ 00:32:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.220$ in national government and internationally.
- $555\ 00:32:21.220$ --> 00:32:24.710 There's also been some international movement toward
- $556\ 00:32:25.610 --> 00:32:29.610$ thinking about international government of geoengineering.
- 557 00:32:29.610 --> 00:32:30.873 So for example,
- $558\ 00:32:32.230$ --> 00:32:35.300 the Paris Peace Forum is creating a global commission
- 559 00:32:35.300 --> 00:32:38.180 on governance risks from climate overshoot
- $560~00{:}32{:}39.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}41.960$ that is gonna be put together in the coming year

- 561~00:32:41.960 --> 00:32:45.110 and it's gonna have a lot of global leaders in it.
- $562\ 00{:}32{:}45.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47.370$ it's gonna be headed by the former head of the
- 563 00:32:47.370 --> 00:32:49.690 World Trade Organization, I believe.
- 56400:32:49.690 --> 00:32:53.680 The UN General Assembly is actually going to talk
- $565\ 00:32:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.130$ about governance of international geoengineering
- $566\ 00:32:59.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.320$ in upcoming meetings.
- 567~00:33:01.320 --> 00:33:05.530 And there has been a resolution that Switzerland
- $568~00:33:05.530 \dashrightarrow 00:33:08.710$ intends to reintroduce, it introduced it years ago,
- $569\ 00:33:08.710 --> 00:33:10.090$ but it's going to try again
- $570\ 00:33:12.010 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.240$ to introduce this resolution
- $571~00{:}33{:}14.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18.923$ on governance to the UN Environment Assembly.
- 572 00:33:22.526 --> 00:33:23.830 So
- $573\ 00:33:23.830 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.720$ there is a growing recognition
- $574\ 00:33:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.043$ of the need for governance in this area.
- $575\ 00:33:33.540 --> 00:33:35.090$ The most important
- $576\ 00:33:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.950$ need is research
- $577\ 00:33:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.153$ because
- $578\ 00:33:43.570 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.550$ none of these methods that I've described
- $579\ 00:33:46.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.820$ has been adequately researched at all.
- $580~00:33:49.820 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.240$ For example, the spray tools that would put
- $581\ 00:33:54.240 --> 00:33:58.250$ the salt from ocean water into the clouds
- $582\ 00:33:58.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.913$ have not yet been developed.
- 583 00:34:03.901 --> 00:34:07.217 There's been plenty of modeling,
- $584\ 00{:}34{:}07.217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08.653$ but there's been no kind of in the air studies of any kinds
- 585 00:34:12.610 --> 00:34:17.030 of stratospheric aerosol injection.

- $586\ 00:34:17.030 --> 00:34:21.313$ The Gates thing would've been the first real trial.
- 587 00:34:24.070 --> 00:34:25.210 And it does
- $588\ 00:34:25.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.923$ seem as though,
- $589\ 00:34:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.880$ unless
- $590\ 00:34:31.370$ --> 00:34:36.370 we are so worried about the possibility of moral hazard,
- 591 00:34:37.020 --> 00:34:39.520 or about some of the scientific
- 592 00:34:40.830 --> 00:34:43.760 risks of doing these,
- $593\ 00:34:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.320$ unless we worried about this
- 594 00:34:46.320 --> 00:34:49.340 that we want entirely to rule out
- 595 00:34:50.350 --> 00:34:52.920 stratospheric aerosol injection,
- $596\ 00:34:52.920 --> 00:34:55.980$ we ought to be doing research on it because
- $597\ 00:34:58.910 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.200$ it has potential to
- 598 00:35:01.390 --> 00:35:04.740 inexpensively buy us time
- $599\ 00:35:05.740 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.493$ in terms of lowering the globes temperature.
- 600 00:35:09.570 --> 00:35:12.460 And right now it is really
- 601 00:35:12.460 --> 00:35:15.163 not researched at all,
- $602\ 00:35:16.190 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.630$ there is some worry
- $603\ 00:35:20.190 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.650$ that rogue states,
- $604~00{:}35{:}21.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.420$ or single powerful states could simply start trying to do it
- $605\ 00:35:26.420 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.870$ on the basis of inadequate research
- $606\ 00:35:28.870 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.660$ and that would be a big problem.
- $607~00{:}35{:}30.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.537$ So there really does need to be some kind of regulation
- $608\ 00{:}35{:}36.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}40.450$ of research methods and some kind of international agreement
- $609\ 00:35:40.450 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.430$ about how and when the research should be done,
- $610\ 00:35:43.430 --> 00:35:46.360$ unless we wanna make the move to simply say
- 611 00:35:46.360 --> 00:35:50.220 we're not going ever to do this

- $612\ 00:35:50.220$ --> 00:35:53.993 no matter how much we overshoot the Paris climate goal.
- 613 00:35:56.530 --> 00:35:59.293 In the research context in particular, though,
- $614\ 00:36:00.680 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.880$ it's gonna be really important to have local
- $615\ 00:36:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.363$ and public participation.
- $616\ 00{:}36{:}05{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09.760$ We need complete transparency because at the research phase
- $617\ 00:36:09.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.920$ this is gonna just be done,
- $618\ 00:36:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.430$ it's not gonna be done at a level
- $619~00{:}36{:}13.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}16.690$ where it'll start to affect global temperature,
- $620\ 00:36:16.690 \dashrightarrow 00:36:21.520$ or global rainfall, but it will be done in particular areas
- 621 00:36:21.520 --> 00:36:23.440 over particular populations
- $622\ 00:36:23.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.890$ and it might have local effects on agriculture.
- $623\ 00:36:26.890 --> 00:36:30.500$ It might have local short term effects
- 624 00:36:32.995 --> 00:36:36.990 on water supply and rainfall,
- $625\ 00:36:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.700$ air exchange generally.
- $626\ 00:36:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.553$ So it seems as if,
- $627\ 00:36:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.660$ even to
- $628\ 00:36:44.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.960$ find out more about the real risks
- 629 00:36:47.960 --> 00:36:51.280 of this kind of climate intervention,
- $630\ 00:36:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.690$ we need to put in place international rules
- $631\ 00:36:54.690 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.290$ that we really don't have right now.
- 632 00:36:58.290 --> 00:37:02.680 So I've ended earlier than I thought I would,
- 633 00:37:02.680 --> 00:37:07.080 that's really all I have to say on this subject
- $634~00{:}37{:}08.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}13.890$ and I am happy then to open things up for discussion
- 635 00:37:13.920 --> 00:37:16.210 and hear what your questions might be,
- $636\ 00:37:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.930$ or what your comments might be.
- $637\ 00:37:17.930 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.763$ And we also,
- $638~00{:}37{:}19.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}23.680$ I know that we already have some questions that were sent in

- $639\ 00:37:23.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.810$ by people at the time that they signed up for this,
- $640\ 00:37:26.810 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.340$ so I'm happy to entertain those.
- $641\ 00:37:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.130$ And I think the way we're gonna do them
- $642\ 00:37:31.130 --> 00:37:36.070$ is we're gonna have you put your questions in the chat
- $643~00:37:36.070 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.270$ and Laura will address them to me, is that the plan, Laura?
- $644\ 00:37:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.420 < v \ Laura> Yes. < /v> < v -> Yeah, < /v>$
- $645~00{:}37{:}43.103 \operatorname{-->} 00{:}37{:}46.820$ or actually I'm gonna slightly modify the plan,
- $646\ 00:37:46.820 --> 00:37:48.100$ Laura, without telling her,
- 647 00:37:48.100 --> 00:37:51.330 which is, since we're a small enough group,
- $648~00:37:51.330 \dashrightarrow 00:37:54.420$ I think what you could do is put in the chat
- $649\ 00:37:54.420 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.110$ the fact that you have a question
- $650\ 00:37:57.190 --> 00:38:00.880$ and then Laura can call on people
- $651\ 00:38:00.880$ --> 00:38:04.970 and you can unmute yourself and just ask your question live.
- $652\ 00:38:04.970 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.520$ But Laura has some questions
- $653\ 00:38:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.320$ that were submitted written as well.
- 654 00:38:09.410 --> 00:38:11.760 < v ->That's great, thank you so much, Steve,</v>
- $655\ 00:38:11.760 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.550$ for raising so many really challenging points
- $656\ 00:38:15.550 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.830$ that are difficult to address,
- $657\ 00{:}38{:}17.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}21.820$ but you've really opened an excellent terrain and
- $658\ 00:38:22.890 --> 00:38:26.030$ identified a number of the scenarios
- $659\ 00{:}38{:}26.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}31.030$ in which these questions will be considered in the future.
- $660~00:38:32.040 \dashrightarrow 00:38:35.893$ So I'd like to turn to Dr. Dubrow for the first question.
- $661\ 00:38:37.380 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.970 < v \longrightarrow Okay, thanks. < / v > 00:38:38.970 < v \longrightarrow Okay, thanks. < / v > 00:38:38.970 < v \longrightarrow Okay, thanks. < / v > 00:38:38.970 < v \longrightarrow Okay, thanks. < / v > 00:38:38.970 < v \longrightarrow Okay, thanks. < / v > 00:38:38.970 < v \longrightarrow Okay, thanks. < / v > 00:38:38.970 < v \longrightarrow Okay, thanks. < / v > 00:38:38.970 < v \longrightarrow Okay, thanks. < / v > 00:38:38.970 < v \longrightarrow Okay, thanks.$
- 662 00:38:38.970 --> 00:38:41.240 <v Stephen>Hi Rob.</v> <v ->Hi Steve.</v>
- $663\ 00:38:41.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.690$ Thanks, it was refreshing to have the seminar

- $664\ 00:38:44.690 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.533$ without slides, actually (chuckles), that was courageous.
- $665\ 00:38:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.190$ I see the moral hazard question has been huge
- 666 00:38:56.190 --> 00:38:59.310 and my direct question to you
- $667\ 00:38:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.750$ is whether the fossil fuel industry
- $668\ 00:39:02.750 --> 00:39:04.510$ has been pushing soil management
- $669\ 00:39:05.460 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.990$ in any ways as a quote, solution?
- 670 00:39:08.990 --> 00:39:10.523 < v -> Not yet that I know of, <math>< / v >
- $671\ 00:39:13.300 --> 00:39:16.563$ there is speculation in print that it would happen,
- $672\ 00:39:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.983$ but I'm not yet aware of that.
- 673 00:39:22.993 --> 00:39:24.530 The only person I know of
- 674 00:39:24.530 --> 00:39:28.650 who's really been funding it has been Bill Gates
- $675\ 00:39:29.760$ --> 00:39:34.053 and Bill Gates has come in for a lot of criticism.
- 676 00:39:38.060 --> 00:39:42.300 He's funded this direct air capture project
- 677 00:39:42.300 --> 00:39:43.900 that's happening in Canada,
- $678\ 00:39:43.900 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.460$ where they're actually already
- 679 00:39:45.460 --> 00:39:47.520 sucking a small amount of carbon out ta the air
- $680\ 00:39:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.500$ and that's great,
- $681\ 00:39:49.500$ --> 00:39:53.430 but he is really in favor of technical solutions and
- $682\ 00:39:55.670 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.520$ is not that interested in addressing
- $683\ 00:39:58.520 --> 00:40:00.510$ some of the underlying problems of the way
- $684\ 00:40:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.820$ that we sort of do business on the planet.
- 685 00:40:02.820 --> 00:40:05.430 And in fact, as Bill McKibben
- 686 00:40:06.370 --> 00:40:10.100 reviewed the Bill Gates climate change book,
- 687 00:40:10.100 --> 00:40:12.540 I think in the Times, but anyway,
- $688~00{:}40{:}12.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17.080$ Bill's review basically pointed out that Microsoft
- $689\ 00:40:17.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.720$ donated tons of money

- $690\ 00:40:19.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.720$ to politicians who are climate change deniers.
- $691\ 00:40:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.850$ So Gates seems to be one person
- $692\ 00:40:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.500$ who's pushing these technocratic solutions
- 693 00:40:30.500 --> 00:40:32.800 without really wanting to address underlying
- $694\ 00:40:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.200$ kind of political realities
- $695\ 00:40:34.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.383$ about how we've gotten into the situation.
- $696\ 00{:}40{:}37.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42.850$ I have not heard of any funding from fossil fuel industry,
- $697\ 00:40:43.370 --> 00:40:45.940$ or the Koch brothers or whatever it might be
- $698\ 00:40:45.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.810$ of these interventions,
- $699\ 00:40:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.570$ but there is worry in print in multiple articles
- $700\ 00:40:51.570 --> 00:40:55.100$ that might be the turn they take
- $701\ 00:40:55.100 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.480$ if they lose on preventing action on climate change,
- 702 00:40:58.480 --> 00:41:02.330 they might pivot to say, "Here's what we do.
- 703 00:41:02.330 --> 00:41:03.460 Let's just lower the temperature,
- 704 00:41:03.460 --> 00:41:05.360 we could do it in a year, it's cheap."
- 705 00:41:08.220 --> 00:41:10.920 <v -> Thank you, the next question is from Bruce Jennings, < /v>
- $706~00{:}41{:}13.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}17.033$ and Bruce will be speaking in the seminar series as well.
- 707 00:41:18.720 --> 00:41:21.240 <-> Thank you very much, Steve, for a very informative </v>
- $708\ 00:41:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.810$ and clear presentation for sure.
- 709 00:41:24.810 \rightarrow 00:41:26.710 I want to
- 710 00:41:27.580 --> 00:41:31.490 specifically sort of raise a question about
- 711 00:41:31.490 --> 00:41:35.450 the aspect that has been discussed and that you mentioned
- $712\ 00{:}41{:}35.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}40.080$ concerning public participation and deliberation
- 713 00:41:41.200 --> 00:41:45.040 in various approvals of various
- 714 00:41:46.440 --> 00:41:47.590 experimental trials,
- $715\ 00:41:47.590 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.510$ or even in climate governance more generally

- $716\ 00:41:53.470 --> 00:41:55.710$ because it does interest me
- $717\ 00:41:57.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.230$ in general what we say about participation.
- $718\ 00:42:02.260 --> 00:42:06.830$ But before I turn to that one, I just wanted to also note,
- 719 00:42:06.830 --> 00:42:08.150 I think one of the most,
- 720 00:42:08.150 --> 00:42:09.780 moral hazard thing is very important,
- 721 00:42:09.780 --> 00:42:11.900 but another thing that's very important
- $722\ 00:42:11.900 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.150$ sort of at the level of
- 723 00:42:14.860 --> 00:42:17.180 culture and framing
- $724\ 00:42:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.430$ has to do with this sort of eco modernism
- 725 00:42:22.430 --> 00:42:24.433 Gates type of approach,
- $726\ 00:42:25.270 --> 00:42:28.760$ versus what I would think would be closer
- $727\ 00:42:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.770$ to the approach that,
- 728 00:42:30.770 --> 00:42:34.050 the name of this indigenous people, Sami?
- 729 00:42:34.050 --> 00:42:35.210 <v Stephen>Sami.</v>
- 730 00:42:35.210 --> 00:42:36.043 <v Bruce>Yeah.</v>
- 731 00:42:36.043 --> 00:42:38.981 <
v ->S-A-M-I, yeah.
/v> <v ->Right, so</v>
- 732 00:42:38.981 --> 00:42:40.580 their position,
- $733\ 00:42:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.090$ which might be called a sort of eco accommodationist,
- $734\ 00:42:44.090 --> 00:42:46.690$ or adaptationist position if you want to.
- $735\ 00:42:46.690 --> 00:42:49.483$ Anyway, that debate, I think is very important,
- $736~00{:}42{:}52.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55.220$ will the same kind of thinking that got us into this problem
- 737 00:42:55.220 \rightarrow 00:42:57.670 in the first place, namely an emphasis on the fact
- 738~00:42:57.670 --> 00:43:01.690 that human beings can do everything that we decide to do
- $739\ 00:43:03.910 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.880$ get us out of it.
- 740 00:43:04.880 --> 00:43:07.650 And that does seem to me to be a bit of a paradox

- 741 00:43:07.650 --> 00:43:09.140 worth noting.
- 742 00:43:09.140 --> 00:43:12.940 On the participation side, I guess, I just think,
- $743\ 00:43:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.100$ or I ask you about some analogies
- $744\ 00{:}43{:}17.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}22.100$ such as the genetic modification of species of mosquito
- 745 00:43:23.420 --> 00:43:25.110 using gene drives,
- 746 00:43:25.110 --> 00:43:29.950 which would lessen the zonanic transmission
- $747\ 00:43:29.950 --> 00:43:33.043$ of some terrible diseases like Zika and others.
- 748 00:43:33.940 --> 00:43:34.940 And the
- $749\ 00:43:35.800 --> 00:43:38.087$ controversy on Florida case
- $750\ 00:43:39.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.950$ that concerned
- $751\ 00:43:42.690 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.690$ a similar kind of effort
- 752 00:43:44.690 --> 00:43:48.040 to essentially a bioengineer
- $753\ 00{:}43{:}49.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53.253$ mosquito populations for the sake of human health.
- $754~00:43:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.080$ The participation experience there was
- $755\ 00{:}44{:}00.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}05.080$ very far from the ideals of deliberative democracy,
- 756 00:44:05.470 --> 00:44:07.463 transparency, inclusion,
- 757 00:44:08.560 --> 00:44:11.900 so I just sort of look at things like that
- $758~00:44:11.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.370$ and I see kind of a problematic track record
- 759 00:44:16.370 --> 00:44:20.670 when it comes to public deliberative democracy
- 760 00:44:20.670 --> 00:44:24.183 participation, visa vi biotechnology.
- 761 00:44:27.100 --> 00:44:29.410 I'm not sure why we should be any more optimistic
- $762\ 00{:}44{:}29.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}33.320$ along those lines when it comes to geo technology.
- 763 00:44:36.790 --> 00:44:37.623 < v -> Yeah, </v>
- $764\ 00:44:40.690 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.480$ I'm proud to say that
- $765\ 00:44:43.790 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.210$ my bioethics center here
- $766\ 00{:}44{:}46.210$ --> $00{:}44{:}51.090$ funded the early days of Natalie Kofler's project

767 00:44:51.090 --> 00:44:52.480 called the Editing Nature,

768 00:44:52.480 --> 00:44:54.870 which is now moved to a different university,

769 00:44:54.870 --> 00:44:58.850 but she's very concerned with trying to promote

 $770\ 00:44:58.850 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.480$ more public participation and more transparency

771 $00:45:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.480$ around the bioengineering that you're talking about.

772 00:45:04.480 --> 00:45:06.460 She's concerned with,

773 00:45:06.460 --> 00:45:08.850 there's genetic modification of mosquitoes,

 $774\ 00:45:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.430$ but there's also genetic modification of plant life.

 $775\ 00:45:11.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.773$ for example, that might spread.

776 00:45:16.200 --> 00:45:17.390 Her whole project

777 00:45:19.340 --> 00:45:22.290 is to improve kind of public input

 $778\ 00:45:23.370 --> 00:45:26.850$ and public permission for some of these experiments.

779 00:45:26.850 --> 00:45:28.373 But I will say,

 $780\ 00:45:29.480 -> 00:45:33.503$ the reason that she's busy is these things have,

 $781\ 00:45:34.570 --> 00:45:36.770$ the efforts of public participation

782 00:45:36.770 --> 00:45:38.820 have not been particularly strong.

783 00:45:38.820 --> 00:45:42.683 And I kind of share your pessimism, I mean,

 $784\ 00:45:49.604 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.090$ I think it is

785 00:45:51.090 --> 00:45:54.730 really important as a principle

 $786\ 00:45:56.690 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.120$ for us to consult with people

 $787\ 00:45:59.120 --> 00:46:02.740$ who are gonna be affected by our research.

 $788\ 00:46:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.770$ It's the same kind of thing that we talk about

 $789~00{:}46{:}04.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}06.480$ when we talk about research on human subjects

790 00:46:06.480 --> 00:46:08.083 in a new population or whatever,

791 00:46:10.080 --> 00:46:10.913 but

792 00:46:14.535 --> 00:46:17.780 there is kind of a NIMBY problem.

793 00:46:17.780 --> 00:46:21.320 You could come up with kind of intervention

794 00:46:21.320 --> 00:46:22.770 that everybody wants for the globe,

 $795\ 00:46:22.770 --> 00:46:25.730$ but nobody wants to have done on their soil.

 $796\ 00:46:25.730 --> 00:46:29.050$ So public consultation could turn out to be

 $797~00:46:33.328 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.520$ a real block to methods that actually could help us

 $798\ 00:46:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.753$ with climate change.

 $799\ 00:46:39.780 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.070$ And also the public participation

800 00:46:42.070 --> 00:46:43.930 if it's being run by the people

 $801\ 00:46:43.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.150$ who are doing the experiments,

 $802\ 00:46:46.150 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.150$ is apt to be kind of flimsy and lane on the flip side.

 $803\ 00{:}46{:}51.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56.250$ So I think you put your finger on a really serious problem.

 $804~00{:}46{:}58.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}02.330$ And it's also, once we think about actually

805 00:47:02.330 --> 00:47:04.253 implementing any of these things,

 $806\ 00:47:06.500$ --> 00:47:10.600 these are gonna be global changes brought about

 $807\ 00{:}47{:}10.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}13.893$ and there's no way to have a full public participation,

808 00:47:15.150 --> 00:47:17.730 except if the whole thing is managed

 $809\ 00:47:17.730 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.850$ by some sort of United Nations international body,

 $810~00{:}47{:}20.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}25.310$ in which case there would be some kind of representation

 $811\ 00:47:26.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.180$ involved.

812 00:47:28.180 --> 00:47:30.570 As to your first point,

 $813\ 00:47:30.570 --> 00:47:34.450\ I$ think of it as kind of the Heidegger objection,

814 00:47:34.450 --> 00:47:39.450 Heidegger's essay on technology is all about this idea

 $815\ 00:47:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.780$ that we have gotten to where we are

 $816\ 00:47:43.600 --> 00:47:45.950$ by thinking of everything in the whole world

 $817\ 00{:}47{:}45.950 {\: -->}\ 00{:}47{:}50.950$ as a resource to split open and take the energy out of and

- $818\ 00{:}47{:}51.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}56.540$ he is very skeptical in that essay about the idea
- $819~00{:}47{:}56.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}01.400$ that all of the kinds of social and other problems
- $820\ 00{:}48{:}01.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04.430$ that this technological attitude have engendered
- $821\ 00:48:04.430 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.903$ can be technique out of.
- 822 00:48:08.040 --> 00:48:11.950 So it's just another way to put your point
- $823\ 00:48:11.950 --> 00:48:16.030$ that we should be skeptical that we can
- $824\ 00:48:17.670 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.040$ use the same methods
- $825\ 00:48:20.040 --> 00:48:22.550$ to get out of this climate change problem
- 826 00:48:22.550 --> 00:48:24.860 that got us into it in the first place.
- 827 00:48:24.860 --> 00:48:25.970 I also think that
- 828 00:48:28.390 --> 00:48:30.230 there's something powerful to the notion
- 829 00:48:30.230 --> 00:48:33.540 that we just shouldn't, that it's hubris,
- $830\ 00:48:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.300$ not as a matter of actual risk,
- 831 00:48:35.300 --> 00:48:39.150 but just that we shouldn't be engaged in trying to
- $832~00:48:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.150$ manage the globe, but against that people say, "Well,
- $833\ 00:48:44.780 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.090$ there's no part of the globe
- $834\ 00:48:46.090 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.493$ that we haven't already adulterated one way or another.
- 835 00:48:51.630 --> 00:48:54.709 There is no pristine part of the planet,
- 836 00:48:54.709 --> 00:48:59.370 there's no nature that isn't affected by us already."
- $837\ 00:48:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.203$ And so
- 838 00:49:02.551 --> 00:49:04.810 maybe geoengineering is not so different
- 839 $00:49:04.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.860$ from what we've already done by accident.
- 840 00:49:08.420 --> 00:49:09.363 Thank you, Bruce.
- 841 00:49:10.380 --> 00:49:12.140 < v -> Thank you, Bruce and Steve.< / v >
- $842\ 00{:}49{:}12.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}14.950$ And into the next question, Steve, you mentioned hubris

 $843\ 00:49:14.950 --> 00:49:18.080$ and I'd just like to ask you very briefly on that point,

844 00:49:18.080 --> 00:49:22.410 in biomedicine we have a very long history

 $845\ 00{:}49{:}22.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}27.250$ of hubris leading to subsequent experimentation

 $846\ 00{:}49{:}27.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}30.320$ proving that there are unpredicted adverse events

 $847\ 00:49:31.340 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.730$ that lead to outcomes that could not have been predicted.

848 $00:49:35.730 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.640$ And when this is all experimental,

849 00:49:37.640 --> 00:49:39.990 I'm wondering if you'd like to speak to that dimension

 $850\ 00:49:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.263$ of the ethics as well.

851 00:49:42.380 --> 00:49:45.260 $<\!\!\mathrm{v}$ ->Well, I think hubris is actually used in the letter</v>

 $852\ 00:49:45.260 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.793$ from the Sami people.

 $853\ 00{:}49{:}53.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}56.460$ I wanna say that there are two ways of thinking about

854 00:49:56.460 --> 00:49:58.450 what hubris is,

 $855\ 00:49:58.450 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.670$ one is

856 00:50:02.050 --> 00:50:04.110 that it's just a species of overconfidence,

857 00:50:04.110 --> 00:50:05.420 that it's just like,

 $858\ 00:50:05.420$ --> 00:50:08.620 we're sure we can wade into this problem and solve it.

 $859~00:50:08.620 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.560$ And then we learn time and time again that when we do that

 $860\ 00{:}50{:}12.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}16.373$ we get smacked with unanticipated consequences.

 $861~00{:}50{:}17.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}21.170$ And so there that when you say that there's hubris,

 $862\ 00:50:21.170 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.840$ what you're saying is

 $863\ 00{:}50{:}25.660 {\: -->\:} 00{:}50{:}28.883$ we will bring about unanticipated consequences,

864 00:50:31.010 --> 00:50:32.550 but there's another way to think about hubris,

 $865\ 00:50:32.550 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.680$ which is maybe a

- $866\ 00:50:36.220 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.790$ deeper, which is
- $867\ 00:50:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.003$ it's just inappropriate for us to take that role on,
- $868\ 00:50:44.230 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.613$ even if we could do it beautifully,
- 869 00:50:47.610 --> 00:50:52.610 it's just wrong for human beings to try to
- $870\ 00:50:55.310 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.253$ load it over all creation.
- $871\ 00:51:00.190 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.950$ So and both those kinds of objections
- $872~00{:}51{:}04.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}06.910$ are out there and talked about quite a bit
- 873 00:51:06.910 --> 00:51:09.293 in the literature on geoengineering.
- $874\ 00:51:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.090 < v \longrightarrow Thank you so much. </v>$
- $875\ 00:51:12.090 --> 00:51:14.143$ Ethan Sims has the next question.
- 876 00:51:16.200 --> 00:51:17.330 <v ->Thank you very much.</v>
- 877 00:51:17.330 --> 00:51:18.670 So my question is,
- 878 00:51:18.670 --> 00:51:23.090 should we be any more optimistic about relying on
- 879 00:51:23.090 --> 00:51:26.380 governmental organizations to change policy
- $880\ 00:51:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.240$ that's going to lead to reduction
- 881 00:51:28.240 --> 00:51:30.460 of fossil fuel consumption,
- $882\ 00:51:30.460 --> 00:51:34.310$ then innovators leading to techniques
- 883 00:51:34.310 --> 00:51:36.693 that lead to temperature reduction?
- $884\ 00:51:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.090$ I think your question about hubris is
- $885\ 00{:}51{:}41.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}43.430$ really a question about trusting scientific method
- $886\ 00:51:43.430 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.330$ and realizing that science is not without consequences.
- $887\ 00{:}51{:}47.330 --> 00{:}51{:}50.460$ And we do our best to analyze the pros and cons of anything
- $888\ 00:51:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.510$ before we take it on.
- $889\ 00{:}51{:}52.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}55.400$ But I fear that we are approaching a true existential crisis
- $890\ 00:51:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.050$ where we're going to reach irreversible damage
- $891\ 00:51:58.050 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.883$ to the planet,
- 892 00:52:00.170 --> 00:52:02.030 significant human health impacts

- 893 $00.52.02.030 \longrightarrow 00.52.05.160$ from the rapidly progressive climate change.
- 894 00:52:05.160 --> 00:52:08.430 And if we don't do some sort of mitigation
- 895 00:52:08.430 --> 00:52:11.050 in terms of not just how it impacts us,
- 896 00:52:11.050 --> 00:52:14.880 but reducing the rapid increase spread
- $897\ 00:52:14.880 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.810$ that we have caused,
- 898 00:52:16.810 \rightarrow 00:52:19.363 that we're going to reach an unsustainable future.
- 899 00:52:20.690 --> 00:52:25.690 And I think your point about the sort of UN type body
- $900\ 00:52:26.130 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.963$ is a good one
- 901 00:52:26.963 --> 00:52:29.710 because I wish there was a global governing organization
- $902\ 00:52:29.710 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.180$ that had respect
- $903\ 00:52:32.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.760$ and really credibility throughout the world
- 904 00:52:36.760 --> 00:52:38.230 that could take the lead on this,
- $905\ 00:52:38.230 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.670$ but I'm not sure that there is.
- 906 00:52:39.670 --> 00:52:44.540 So my question is sort of can we afford to ignore that
- 907 00:52:44.540 --> 00:52:46.870 because my optimism is much lower
- $908\ 00:52:46.870 --> 00:52:48.630$ that we're gonna have a governmental solution
- 909 00:52:48.630 \rightarrow 00:52:51.130 than that we're gonna have an innovative solution?
- $910\ 00:53:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.293 < v \longrightarrow Yeah, </v>$
- 911 00:53:07.490 --> 00:53:09.330 I don't expect it to be govern,
- 912 00:53:09.330 --> 00:53:12.850 governments that are developing and
- 913 $00:53:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.173$ making these things.
- $914\ 00:53:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.940\ I$ do expect governments
- $915\ 00:53:19.940 --> 00:53:22.030$ who are very concerned about climate change
- 916 00:53:22.030 --> 00:53:24.750 to make pots of money available to private researchers
- $917\ 00:53:24.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.250$ to do these kinds of things.
- 918 00:53:26.250 --> 00:53:28.300 But that's separable from the question of
- 919 $00:53:29.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.450$ how it should be overseen.

- 920 00:53:31.450 --> 00:53:33.120 I mean, I do expect it to be
- 921 00:53:33.120 --> 00:53:34.810 independent scientific innovators
- $922\ 00:53:34.810 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.250$ who come up with the best methods
- 923 $00:53:36.250 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.170$ for doing this kind of stuff.
- 924 00:53:38.170 --> 00:53:40.070 And I also share your pessimism,
- $925\ 00:53:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.210\ I$ think we're close to an existential crisis.
- 926 00:53:43.210 --> 00:53:45.730 And one thing that's happened in the literature
- $927\ 00:53:45.730 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.010$ is 10 years ago,
- 928 00:53:47.010 --> 00:53:50.110 if you talked about geoengineering at all,
- 929 00:53:50.110 --> 00:53:52.740 everybody jumped down your throat and said, "No,
- 930 00:53:52.740 --> 00:53:55.110 we can never do that, that's the wrong solution.
- 931 $00:53:55.110 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.210$ What we need to do is change the way we produce energy,
- 932 00:53:58.210 --> 00:54:01.320 change the way we construct our buildings,
- 933 00:54:01.320 --> 00:54:03.207 we need to reduce emissions."
- $934\ 00:54:04.790 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.790$ And we're now at a time when we've so failed to do that,
- 935 00:54:10.693 --> 00:54:14.110 even if we keep our Paris promises,
- 936 00:54:14.110 --> 00:54:17.520 which there's no sign of being able to do,
- 937 00:54:17.520 --> 00:54:20.250 even if we keep those commitments that were made
- 938 $00:54:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.518$ in the Paris agreement,
- 939 00:54:22.518 --> 00:54:27.518 we're headed toward overshooting the temperature goal.
- $940\ 00:54:28.470 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.520$ So now talk about geoengineering
- 941 00:54:30.520 --> 00:54:32.070 is becoming more and more common
- $942\ 00:54:32.070 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.500$ and there are people calling out for it
- 943 00:54:34.500 --> 00:54:36.790 at the same time as there are still a bunch of voices
- 944 00:54:36.790 --> 00:54:38.240 saying we shouldn't touch it,

- 945 00:54:38.240 --> 00:54:40.490 particularly the solar management side of it.
- 946 00:54:42.100 --> 00:54:46.260 So that's a long answer, but I share your pessimism,
- 947 00:54:46.260 --> 00:54:47.790 which is why own position
- 948 00:54:47.790 --> 00:54:51.940 is that we should move very quickly
- 949 00:54:51.940 --> 00:54:54.650 to develop governance in the United States
- $950\ 00:54:54.650 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.000$ and internationally
- $951\ 00:54:58.210 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.010$ for the research
- 952 00:55:00.010 --> 00:55:03.190 so that we can figure out if these things can help us
- 953 00:55:03.190 --> 00:55:07.353 if we reach a really big crisis in the next decade.
- 954 00:55:12.140 --> 00:55:14.453 <-> Our next question is to Kyle Ferguson.</r>
- 955 00:55:16.743 --> 00:55:18.550 <v ->Hi, Steve.</v>
- $956\ 00:55:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.013$ Thanks so much for the talk.
- 957 00:55:21.770 --> 00:55:24.520 So my question's about the moral hazard argument
- 958 00:55:24.520 --> 00:55:29.510 when it's used as an objection to conducting research
- $959\ 00:55:29.510 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.060$ on any of these strategies.
- 960 00:55:32.060 --> 00:55:34.270 I'm wondering if you think that argument,
- 961 00:55:34.270 --> 00:55:37.380 the moral hazard argument changes shape
- $962\ 00:55:37.380 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.140$ when it's directed at field trials
- $963~00{:}55{:}41.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}45.980$ as opposed to the sort of computer modeling research
- 964 00:55:45.980 --> 00:55:48.800 that has been taking place for a long time,
- $965~00{:}55{:}48.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}50.850$ why would the argument look any different
- $966\ 00:55:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.590$ if it's at the field trial phase
- 967 00:55:53.590 --> 00:55:57.210 as opposed to the pre-field trial phases,
- 968 00:55:57.210 --> 00:55:58.980 or why would it be any stronger,
- $969\ 00:55:58.980 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.950$ or weaker depending on what
- 970 00:56:00.950 --> 00:56:02.700 phase of research it's directed at?

- 971 00:56:10.890 --> 00:56:13.290 < v ->Well, I'm not sure that it is stronger, </v>
- $972\ 00:56:13.290 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.350$ or weaker as an argument,
- 973 00:56:14.350 --> 00:56:17.320 I think the people who are very concerned with moral hazard
- $974\ 00:56:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.763$ probably wish that the modeling had been going on.
- $975\ 00:56:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.340$ There's one sense in which it might be stronger though,
- $976\ 00:56:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.340$ which is that a field trial might show that this would work,
- 977 00:56:34.450 --> 00:56:37.070 it might definitively show, hey, look,
- $978\ 00:56:37.070 --> 00:56:41.360$ this reflects a lot and it stays up there
- 979 00:56:41.360 --> 00:56:44.180 for the amount of time that we thought it would
- $980\ 00:56:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.969$ and if we use this material,
- 981 00:56:47.969 --> 00:56:50.653 we're not seeing any ozone depletion.
- $982\ 00:56:51.951 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.230$ The right kind of field trial might
- $983\ 00:56:56.450 \dashrightarrow 00:57:00.693$ show that this is seriously available as a tool.
- 984 00:57:01.720 --> 00:57:06.600 And just showing that might be enough to, for example, cause
- 985 00:57:08.760 --> 00:57:11.690 the fossil fuel industry to run out
- $986\ 00:57:11.690 --> 00:57:13.360$ and pour a whole bunch of funding into it
- 987 00:57:13.360 --> 00:57:14.900 and start us down this path.
- $988\ 00:57:14.900 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.253$ So there's a sense in which a field trial,
- $989\ 00:57:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.520$ the moral hazard argument
- 990 00:57:21.180 --> 00:57:26.163 is more urgently directed at field trials for that reason.
- 991 00:57:30.940 --> 00:57:31.773 <v -> Thank you.</v>
- 992 00:57:31.773 --> 00:57:34.773 I'd like to give the final question to Sappho Gilbert.
- 993 00:57:37.440 --> 00:57:41.980 <v Sappho>Hi, it was more a comment than a question, sorry.</v>

994 00:57:41.980 --> 00:57:45.080 I just wanted to say thanks to Steve for a great talk

995 00:57:45.080 --> 00:57:48.130 and just make mention of the opaqueness

996 00:57:48.130 --> 00:57:53.050 and undemocratic nature of the status quo in representation.

997 00:57:53.050 --> 00:57:56.404 But yeah, looking forward to a brighter future perhaps.

998 00:57:56.404 --> 00:58:00.033 <v ->(laughs) A wider sky.</v>

999 00:58:03.900 --> 00:58:06.850 <v ->Thank you, Steve, for a really riveting conversation</v>

 $1000\ 00:58:06.850 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.560$ into everyone for your engagement.

 $1001\ 00:58:09.560 --> 00:58:11.060$ This has been fascinating

 $1002\ 00:58:11.960 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.310$ and we'll see what happens (chuckles).

 $1003\ 00:58:14.310 --> 00:58:15.763 < v -> Yes, yes, we will. </v>$

1004 00:58:17.120 --> 00:58:18.110 Thank you everybody,

 $1005\ 00:58:18.110 --> 00:58:20.160$ I'm sorry I didn't get a chance to answer

 $1006\ 00:58:21.347 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.220$ all of the questions.

 $1007\ 00:58:22.220 --> 00:58:23.700$ And please do note in the chat

1008 00:58:23.700 --> 00:58:26.490 that Laura's gonna give a talk in this series

 $1009\ 00:58:26.490 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.960$ and Bruce Jennings is gonna give a talk in this series.

 $1010\ 00:58:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.973$ So please join us again.