WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:56:38" NOTE recognizability:0.834

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.503$ Actually, we're Ratna.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

00:00:01.503 --> 00:00:04.008 Who is an outstanding scientist

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

00:00:04.008 --> 00:00:08.215 and a dear friend, and I'll give

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:00:08.215 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.740$ a little background about ashis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

00:00:11.740 --> 00:00:15.023 Current many titles and are where where

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00{:}00{:}15.023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.728$ she developed from as a scientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

00:00:17.730 --> 00:00:20.314 So Ashley is the EV McCollum chair of

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:00:20.314 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.175$ Biochemistry and molecular biology at

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:00:22.175 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.485$ John Hopkins School of Public Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:00:24.490 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.218$ She's also a Bloomberg

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00{:}00{:}26.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.514$ Distinguished Professor Co,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00{:}00{:}27.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.256$ leader of the program and cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

00:00:30.256 --> 00:00:32.658 invasion and metastasis at the

00:00:32.658 --> 00:00:34.638 Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00{:}00{:}34.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36.901$ And I had interacted also a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

00:00:36.901 --> 00:00:38.980 with Ashley before joining Hopkins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:00:38.980 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.020$ where she was a named professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:00:41.020 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.040$ and a program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:00:42.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.844$ Leader at the Wistar

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

00:00:43.844 --> 00:00:45.625 Institute in Philadelphia, AH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:00:45.625 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.175$ She has a very international background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:00:48.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.662$ having been born in Sri Lanka

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

00:00:49.662 --> 00:00:51.420 and grown up in South Africa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:00:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.100$ I think a brother who lives in Scotland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:00:53.100 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.032$ Now she's just a very cool person

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00{:}00{:}55.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56.874$ with a great great background on

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

00:00:56.874 --> 00:00:59.496 great science and you know one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

00:00:59.496 --> 00:01:01.512 things that I would really emphasize

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00{:}01{:}01.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04.179$ about Ashley is that there's been

 $00{:}01{:}04.179 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}06.944$ a real emphasis and appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:01:06.944 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.752$ emphasis on supporting junior faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:01:10.752 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.386$ A female faculty and fat and and scientists

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:01:17.386 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.650$ of color over the last few years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00{:}01{:}20.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}22.819$ But I can say that I can think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:01:22.819 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.153$ pretty much no one who is more of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:01:25.153 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.178$ advocate for all of those areas over

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00{:}01{:}27.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.352$ a decade ago already and has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:01:29.352 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.879$ tireless in her efforts and promoting that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:01:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.658$ And it's really great to see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:01:33.658 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.485$ rest of the world that slowly

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:01:35.485 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.150$ catching up on those fronts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:01:37.150 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.596$ And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:01:38.596 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.970$ it's a real great pleasure to hear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:01:40.970 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.250$ And I I know a fair amount about the science.

00:01:43.250 --> 00:01:44.060 She's going to talk about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00{:}01{:}44.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.310$ but it's a really appropriate area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:01:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.441$ especially at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

00:01:47.441 --> 00:01:49.326 where we're thinking about having

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:01:49.326 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.862$ a new aging center based in

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

00:01:51.862 --> 00:01:53.149 the pathology department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:01:53.150 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.694$ and I think she's going to be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

00:01:55.694 --> 00:01:57.760 about age against the machine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:01:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.860$ how the aging microenvironment covers

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:01:59.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:02:02.500$ tumor progression and response to therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00{:}02{:}02{:}02{:}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}04.198$ I was thinking of playing some

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:02:04.198 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.106$ Rage Against the machine in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

00:02:06.106 --> 00:02:07.546 background during the introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

00:02:07.550 --> 00:02:09.060 but I thought that's probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

00:02:09.060 --> 00:02:09.966 go horribly wrong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:02:09.970 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.674$ so you'll just have to imagine that and.

 $00:02:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.992$ Actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:02:13.992 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.864$ it's a real pleasure having you

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:02:15.864 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.159$ be a yellow sport and skin cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00:02:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.000$ Cancer Center ground round speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735234785833333

 $00{:}02{:}20.000 --> 00{:}02{:}20.570 \ \mathrm{Thank}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:02:20.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.764$ you so much Marcus for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:02:22.764 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.220$ lovely and warm introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}02{:}24.220 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27.964$ And yeah, the title appeals to a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:02:27.964 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.460$ specific demographic of people think so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:02:30.460 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.916$ Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}02{:}31.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34.540$ I'm delighted to be giving this talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}02{:}34.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.044$ although I really do wish it was in

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}02{:}37.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}39.680$ person and I will be talking to you all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:02:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.380$ As Marcus said about our work in the aging

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:02:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.807$ microenvironment and how that governs.

 $00:02:43.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.950$ Response to the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

00:02:44.950 --> 00:02:47.610 So we've been so interested in aging

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:02:47.677 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.795$ as a driver of tumor progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:02:49.795 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.849$ because we know that it's one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:02:52.849 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.421$ most significant prognostic factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:02:54.421 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.629$ for the development of cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:02:56.629 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.940$ So of all hundred people diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:00.024 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.809$ cancer, 89 of them will be age 50 or over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}03{:}02.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04.938$ And of those that are diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:04.938 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.337$ cancer and die from this disease again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}03{:}07.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09.040$ the largest percentage of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.020$ is over the age of 50,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:11.020 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.485$ and so we've been trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:12.485 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.950$ to understand why that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:13.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.302$ And why that happens?

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:15.302 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.330$ Obviously there are a lot of

00:03:17.406 --> 00:03:19.098 systemic factors that Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.800$ Tumor progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.550$ but we've been super interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.523$ in what is happening in the local

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

00:03:24.523 --> 00:03:26.387 microenvironment specifically of Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:26.390 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.136$ But as I'll tell you later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:28.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.158$ we are expanding into other cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}03{:}31.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33.416$ such as pancreatic cancer as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:33.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.716$ So what we have seen in our studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:35.716 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.179$ is that there are significant changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}03{:}38.179 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40.903$ that occur largely due to fibroblasts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}03{:}40.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.558$ and I'll tell you a bit more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}03{:}42.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.348$ that in a second and these changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:44.350 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.743$ Can affect not only the way tumor cells grow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:47.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.634$ but the way endothelial cells grow

 $00:03:49.634 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.495$ into the tumor as well as a biophysical

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}03{:}52.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}54.035$ matrices around these tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

00:03:54.040 --> 00:03:56.835 allowing them to metastasize and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:56.835 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.630$ invade more effectively with age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:03:59.630 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.286$ So a few years ago what we did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.770$ and this was a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:04.770 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.538$ this was a very collaborative piece of work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.964$ and Marcus was on this paper as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:10.970 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.895$ The cell lines and resources that he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

00:04:12.895 --> 00:04:14.779 developed up and absolutely critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}04{:}14.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17.348$ Press and continue to be to this day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:17.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.513$ so we're very grateful for those and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:19.513 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.519$ the reason that we looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

00:04:21.519 --> 00:04:23.588 skin was that we were very interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:23.588 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.488$ in the fibroblast themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:25.490 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.066$ Because fiberglass in the skin also in

 $00{:}04{:}28.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.755$ work out of Yale from Valentina Greco

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}04{:}30.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33.537$ slab tend to age with the individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:33.537 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.694$ rather than undergo a lot of turnover,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:36.700 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.668$ and we were very curious to know as

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:38.668 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.819$ the age what were the differences they

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

00:04:40.819 --> 00:04:43.266 were sick reading and how would they

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:43.266 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.226$ change in their physical environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:45.230 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.590$ Because the Melanoma cell spends

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:46.590 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.877$ much of his life right here at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:48.877 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.623$ intersection of the epidermis and dermis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:50.630 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.624$ becoming invasive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:51.624 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.103$ So in order to recapitulate that work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}04{:}55.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.245$ what we did was to take skin

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:57.245 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.220$ fibroblasts from the upper inner arm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:04:59.220 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.396$ So intermittent sun exposure of individuals,

 $00:05:03.396 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.848$ healthy non Melanoma bearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}05{:}05.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.460$ individuals in their mid 20s to mid

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:05:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.612$ 30s and then in there in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:05:12.612 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.670$ age where sort of Melanoma starts

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}05{:}14.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.830$ the incidence of Melanoma starts

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:05:16.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.429$ to skyrocket which is 55 to 65.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:05:19.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.202$ We use those fibroblasts

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}05{:}21.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22.974$ to create artificial skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00{:}05{:}22.980 \to 00{:}05{:}24.792$ Which is a technique taught to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871201915

 $00:05:24.792 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.000$ us by our friend

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:05:26.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.638$ Meinhardt Harlan and in doing that we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:05:29.638 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.855$ able to see that when we mate reconstructs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:05:33.855 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.352$ with fibroblasts from young individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:05:36.352 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.717$ versus fiberglass from aged individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:05:38.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.385$ those Melanoma cells would invade

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00{:}05{:}40.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42.507$ far more rapidly in the fibroblasts

 $00{:}05{:}42.507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}44.859$ in the skin reconstructs made with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00{:}05{:}44.859 \to 00{:}05{:}48.680$ fiberglass from aged individuals now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:05:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.684$ You know the only difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:05:50.684 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.800$ these these sets of skin reconstructs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:05:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.948$ is the age of the fibroblasts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:05:54.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.160$ Everything else is the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:05:57.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.295$ so we wanted to know if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:05:59.295 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.760$ could recapitulate that in vivo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.512$ And as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:02.512 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.568$ Marcus along with Martin McMahon has made

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

00:06:05.568 --> 00:06:09.000 the beer FP10 mouse model of Melanoma Marcus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:09.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.100$ then backcrossed ease to see 57 black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

00:06:11.100 --> 00:06:11.610 six mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:11.610 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.395$ and as I'm sure you all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:13.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.118$ created a series of cell lines.

 $00:06:16.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.434$ The most of the experiments I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:17.434 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.207$ going to show you are from the young.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:19.210 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.744 1.7$ so line which we have taken

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:21.744 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.810$ and then injected into either young

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:23.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.820$ mice of 6 to 8 weeks of age or age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

00:06:26.820 --> 00:06:29.140 Mice of 12 to 18 months of age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:29.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.828$ depending on the experiments we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:31.830 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.552$ And what we saw was that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00{:}06{:}33.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.412$ in the young mice to tumors grow

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:35.412 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.014$ much faster and they grow much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:37.072 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.566$ more slowly in the age mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:38.570 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.027$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:39.027 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.769$ they metastasized to the lung far

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

00:06:41.769 --> 00:06:44.188 more effectively in the age mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:44.188 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.456$ than they do in the young mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:46.460 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.844$ and so we wanted to better understand that,

 $00:06:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.813$ and Mitchell Fain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

00:06:49.813 --> 00:06:52.444 who is a postdoctoral fellow in my lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

00:06:52.444 --> 00:06:54.980 of very talented postdoc Buffalo in my lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:54.980 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.326$ decided to do an experiment where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:57.326 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.612$ he ceded the young 1.7 cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:06:59.612 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.139$ intradermally in the skin of the mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:07:02.140 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.793$ And then he allowed them to metastasize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:07:04.793 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.178$ over time to the lungs of the mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:07:07.180 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.244$ and at three weeks he took the lungs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:07:09.244 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.408$ of both the young and the age mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:07:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.834$ and he looked for his M cherry labeled

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00{:}07{:}13.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.757$ Melanoma cells and what he found was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00{:}07{:}15.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17.879$ that in both the young and aged mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:07:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.672$ there were these sort of single or maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:07:20.672 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.248$ double cell colonies all over the lung.

 $00:07:23.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.427$ If he waited just a couple more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00{:}07{:}25.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.058$ weeks and he did this at five weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:07:28.060 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.076$ what he saw is that in the Yung Lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:07:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.810$ the colonies remained as he single cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

00:07:32.810 --> 00:07:33.986 They're much smaller qualities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:07:33.986 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.101$ whereas in the age long they had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

00:07:36.101 --> 00:07:37.865 started to grow up quite dramatically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00{:}07{:}37.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40.313$ and we could quantitate this over a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

00:07:40.313 --> 00:07:42.576 series of mice which wanted to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:07:42.576 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.400$ what kind of role the fiberglass

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:07:44.467 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.787$ played and all of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:07:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.478$ and so he took fibroblasts from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

00:07:48.478 --> 00:07:51.128 Yung lung and from the age long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:07:51.130 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.070$ and then he ceded GFP tagged Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:07:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.470$ cells in a 3D sandwich.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:07:56.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.871$ With these fibroblasts and what he saw

00:07:58.871 --> 00:08:01.309 is that when he incubated Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:08:01.309 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.539$ cells with age long fiberglass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:08:03.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.485$ They would proliferate far more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:08:05.485 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.807$ rapidly than when he ceded them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00{:}08{:}07.807 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.502$ with Yung lung fibroblasts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:08:09.502 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.286$ He then looked compared the growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:08:12.286 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.787$ rates of the Melanoma cells with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

00:08:14.787 --> 00:08:17.480 lung fibroblast to that of the skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325 $00:08:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.850$ Sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00{:}08{:}17.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.440$ and he found that the age skin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

 $00:08:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.104$ fiberglass actually suppressed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636394325

00:08:22.104 --> 00:08:24.239 growth of these Melanoma cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902922965333333

 $00{:}08{:}24.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}25.960$ which we had seen before and which I

NOTE Confidence: 0.902922965333333

 $00:08:25.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.468$ just showed you in the mouse model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902922965333333

 $00:08:27.470 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.045$ Whereas the young skin fibroblast

00:08:29.045 --> 00:08:31.770 promoted it and so we saw a distinct

NOTE Confidence: 0.902922965333333

 $00:08:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.948$ difference between the way the fiberglass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902922965333333

00:08:33.950 --> 00:08:36.410 And these two different tissues behaved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902922965333333

 $00:08:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.987$ which was sort of a very striking

NOTE Confidence: 0.902922965333333

 $00:08:39.987 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.040$ and eye opening thing for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902922965333333

 $00:08:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.528$ So Mitch then did proteomics on both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902922965333333

 $00:08:45.528 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.570$ skin fibroblasts and the lung fibroblasts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902922965333333

 $00:08:47.570 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.514$ comparing the age to the young

NOTE Confidence: 0.902922965333333

 $00:08:49.514 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.219$ in both cases and what he found

NOTE Confidence: 0.902922965333333

 $00:08:52.219 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.995$ was something quite interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902922965333333

 $00{:}08{:}54.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56.640$ He found that in aging skin there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.902922965333333

 $00:08:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.261$ a signature of fiberglass promoting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.902922965333333

 $00:08:59.261 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.621$ non canonical went signaling phenotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.902922965333333

 $00:09:01.621 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.390$ which included jeans like SFRP 2 serpin

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:09:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.860$ E2DK1158RR2 in the age long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00{:}09{:}08.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.642$ However, he saw a signature that

 $00{:}09{:}10.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}12.336$ showed there was a promotion

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00{:}09{:}12.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14.108$ of Canonical went signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:09:14.110 --> 00:09:16.830 And what he would see is sometimes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:09:16.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.080$ same family members SFRP one and SFRP

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:09:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.904$ 2 which we know to play very different

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:09:21.904 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.552$ roles and one signaling were the ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:09:24.552 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.848$ that were differentially expressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:09:25.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.474$ And so I'll tell you a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:09:27.474 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.019$ bit more about these two guys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:09:29.020 \dashrightarrow 00:09:31.548$ So we had shown a few years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:09:31.548 --> 00:09:34.319 that age fiberglass decreed SFRP 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:09:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.770$ And when they do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00{:}09{:}35.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37.990$ they shut off beta catenin signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:09:37.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.308$ So SFRP 2 inhibits Canonical went signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:09:41.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.126$ And and in doing so decreases the

 $00:09:45.126 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.667$ ability of a Melanoma cell to react

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00{:}09{:}47.667 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}49.867$ to the reactive oxygen species

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:09:49.867 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.067$ in the micro environment because

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:09:52.067 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.503$ it disables this basic vision and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:09:54.503 --> 00:09:56.927 a nucleus repair gene AP one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00{:}09{:}56.927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}58.915$ Sorry for the inappropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:09:58.915 --> 00:10:00.903 domination animation over here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:10:00.910 --> 00:10:03.967 we'll get to in a second, however, So what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:10:03.967 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.181$ That did was to decrease the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:10:06.181 --> 00:10:07.968 proliferation of the Melanoma cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:10:07.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.120$ but make them more invasive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:10:10.120 --> 00:10:10.443 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:10:10.443 \dashrightarrow 00:10:13.350$ when Mitch looks at SFRP one and he treats

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:10:13.419 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.017$ Melanoma cells with recombinant SFRP one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:10:16.020 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.660$ they increase their proliferation

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:10:17.660 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.710$ and they actually shut off.

 $00:10:19.710 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.614$ Noncanonical went signaling in what

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:10:23.614 \dashrightarrow 00:10:26.830$ Mitch then did was to do an experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:10:26.919 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.628$ in Vivo where he took age mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:10:29.630 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.424$ He allowed three weeks for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00{:}10{:}31.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32.980$ initial dissemination of the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:10:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.440$ As I showed you previously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:10:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.006$ And then once the tumor cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:10:37.006 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.440$ had seated in the lungs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:10:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.745$ he treated the mice with

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00{:}10{:}41.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.389$ antibodies against SFRP one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:10:44.390 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.329$ So in the IgG control you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:10:46.329 --> 00:10:47.890 this outburst of metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:10:47.890 --> 00:10:49.540 as I showed you earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:10:49.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.752$ But in the mice that were treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:10:51.752 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.984$ with anti SFRP one you see that

 $00:10:53.984 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.234$ the cells that have seated in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00{:}10{:}56.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}58.406$ lungs remain there as single cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:10:58.406 --> 00:11:00.535 which were super interesting to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:11:00.535 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.302$ So the reason this was so interesting is

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:11:03.302 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.903$ we've been working for a while on this idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:11:05.910 --> 00:11:08.154 Of what we call phenotype switching

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:11:08.154 --> 00:11:10.111 where we have canonical wind

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:11:10.111 --> 00:11:12.066 signaling that's driven by beta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:11:12.070 --> 00:11:14.770 catenin and Noncanonical went signaling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:11:14.770 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.378$ driven by went such as 158 and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:11:18.378 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.874$ had always associated the wind 5A

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:11:20.874 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.067$ phenotype with metastasis and and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:11:24.067 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.762$ beta catenin phenotype with proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:11:26.770 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.690$ But what I'm going to tell you shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:11:28.690 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.695$ that we were not as sophisticated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:11:30.695 --> 00:11:32.769 our thinking as we should have been,

 $00{:}11{:}32.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}36.025$ and instead the roles are much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:11:36.025 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.846$ interchangeable. And much more complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:11:37.846 --> 00:11:39.880 So important to note that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:11:39.945 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.249$ 5/8 promotes an invasive but slow

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00{:}11{:}42.249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44.527$ cycling phenotype and that led us

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:11:44.527 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.561$ to wonder whether these changes we

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:11:46.561 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.092$ were seeing in the young versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:11:49.092 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.407$ aged lung colonies have any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:11:51.410 --> 00:11:52.778 I'm sorry I don't know what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:11:52.778 --> 00:11:53.690 happening to my animation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00{:}11{:}53.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56.298$ Had any relation to dormancy and so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00{:}11{:}56.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58.989$ turned to our good friend Julio Gerike.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:11:58.990 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.208$ So who's now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:12:00.208 --> 00:12:02.644 I just started an Institute of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:12:02.644 --> 00:12:04.811 dormancy at the Albert Einstein

00:12:04.811 --> 00:12:07.337 College of Medicine in New York.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:12:07.340 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.098$ And he is a world leader in

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

00:12:10.098 --> 00:12:11.280 understanding tumor dormancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:12:11.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.448$ and he has these signatures of door machines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:12:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.935$ So what Mitch did was to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.78424883

 $00:12:16.935 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.000$ at the expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:12:18.081 --> 00:12:20.524 of these genes and win 5A high

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:12:20.524 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.148$ versus 15 LO cells and what he sees

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:12:23.148 --> 00:12:26.032 is that when 5A high cells carry

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:12:26.032 --> 00:12:28.317 very strong markers of dormancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00{:}12{:}28.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.987$ Whereas went five a low cells carry

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:12:30.987 --> 00:12:32.991 very high markers of proliferative

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:12:32.991 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.151$ cells and so much is question was does

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:12:36.227 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.202$ the aging microenvironment drive a

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:12:38.202 --> 00:12:42.130 switch from a win 5A high to win 58 low

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:12:42.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.505$ phenotype and in doing so Dr increased

00:12:45.505 --> 00:12:48.165 proliferation in Melanoma cells?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:12:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.070$ So to answer this, UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:12:50.070 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.939$ the first thing which did was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:12:51.939 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.621$ take Melanoma cells and expose them

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:12:53.621 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.265$ to the condition media of young.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:12:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.100$ An age long fiberglass and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:12:57.100 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.850$ he sees is that indeed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:12:58.850 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.700$ in the same Melanoma cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00{:}13{:}00.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02.550$ exposed to age condition media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:13:02.550 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.020$ These are just three separate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:13:05.020 --> 00:13:06.976 These are the same Melanoma cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:13:06.980 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.810$ three separate donor, fiberglass media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00{:}13{:}10.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13.673$ What Michelle was that the the markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00{:}13{:}13.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16.373$ of dormancy were decreased when he

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:13:16.373 --> 00:13:18.698 exposed Melanoma cells to these?

00:13:18.700 --> 00:13:20.896 Each condition media from the lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00{:}13{:}20.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.225$ whereas the markers of proliferation

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:13:23.225 --> 00:13:26.040 were increased in the Melanoma cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:13:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.488$ the next thing he did was to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:13:28.488 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.416$ at 15-A specifically and to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:13:31.416 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.254$ it in vivo and what he saw is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:13:35.254 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.275$ if he stained young and aged tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:13:38.275 --> 00:13:41.967 for 15-A and Ki 67 in the lungs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:13:41.970 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.608$ so these are cells that he has

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:13:43.608 --> 00:13:45.610 implanted in the skin of the mice that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00{:}13{:}45.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.160$ have now metastasized to the lung.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:13:47.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.010$ They're labeled with them cherry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:13:49.010 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.799$ In the absence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:13:49.799 --> 00:13:51.640 and this is just standing for and

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:13:51.699 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.373$ cherry showing you that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:13:53.373 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.276$ far fewer cells in the yung lung

 $00:13:55.276 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.970$ than there are in the age long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00{:}13{:}56.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59.202$ And if he stains the the lungs for

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:13:59.202 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.168$ win 5/8 these large tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:14:01.168 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.581$ are growing out in the age long

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:14:03.581 --> 00:14:05.657 have much less went 5A staining

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:14:05.657 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.976$ than the tumors in the yung lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:14:07.976 --> 00:14:10.231 and they're highly positive for Ki 67

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:14:10.231 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.439$ telling us that the wind 5A may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:14:12.439 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.928$ driving this dormant phenotype in the lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00{:}14{:}14.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17.246$ which was super interesting to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:14:17.250 --> 00:14:20.064 What Mitch did then was to manipulate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:14:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.338$ 15A in these conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:14:21.338 --> 00:14:22.923 so he took young mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:14:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.527$ UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:14:23.527 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.109$ and he injected cells with an

00:14:27.109 --> 00:14:29.791 induced adops inducible went 5SH158,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:14:29.791 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.679$ so he knocked 158 out of the Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:14:32.679 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.637$ cells in the young mouse lungs

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:14:34.637 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.229$ and what he saw is if he did that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:14:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.967$ very early on he could reduce the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:14:39.967 --> 00:14:42.010 number of metastases altogether.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:14:42.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.008$ But if he did that later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00{:}14{:}44.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47.010$ he could cause the metastases to grow out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:14:47.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.540$ If he did the opposite experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:14:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.970$ where he took age mounts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00{:}14{:}49.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52.910$ Once and then he gave them went

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:14:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.731 5/8$ he could come.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:14:54.731 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.536$ He could actually prevent these

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:14:57.536 --> 00:15:00.704 these tumors from growing out at day

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:15:00.704 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.562$ 21 and and he could also if he if

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00{:}15{:}04.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.968$ he induced the win 5A at day three.

00:15:07.970 --> 00:15:09.668 They had already started to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:15:09.668 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.956$ to the lungs but they again were

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

00:15:11.956 --> 00:15:13.408 prevented from growing out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:15:13.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.300$ so this was absolutely fascinating

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:15:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.942$ to us because it kind of changed

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:15:17.942 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.308$ our thinking of how when 5A was

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:15:20.308 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.460$ driving metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00{:}15{:}21.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}23.938$ And what we saw was that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.878864957777778

 $00:15:23.938 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.000$ know these Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:15:25.077 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.477$ cells in the young.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00{:}15{:}26.480 \to 00{:}15{:}28.976$ First of all, the tumors are much bigger

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:15:28.976 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.598$ in the young skin than the age skin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00{:}15{:}31.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.553$ and so even though we might see

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:15:33.553 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.619$ similar rates of seating in the lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:15:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.308$ we know that the rates of tumor cells

 $00:15:38.308 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.840$ leaving the age skin are higher than

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:15:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.040$ the rate sleeping the young skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

00:15:43.040 --> 00:15:44.920 But once they get to the yung lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:15:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.644$ the yung lung fibroblasts are are secreting

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:15:48.644 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.983$ factors that maintain the win 5A phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:15:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.531$ And and retain those cells in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:15:54.531 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.670$ invasive but slow cycling state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:15:56.670 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.230$ However, in the age long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:15:58.230 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.540$ we're seeing that there is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

00:16:00.540 --> 00:16:02.876 increase of secretion of SFRP one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:16:02.876 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.662$ and that is maintaining that is allowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

00:16:05.662 --> 00:16:08.390 these cells to now lose that slow

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:16:08.390 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.970$ cycling state become more proliferative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:16:10.970 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.970$ These are also positive for

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

00:16:12.970 --> 00:16:15.130 beta catenin MITF and Mark one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

00:16:15.130 --> 00:16:17.616 and they're they're rapidly proliferating,

 $00{:}16{:}17.616 {\:{\text{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}16{:}20.626$ and so really for us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:16:20.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.482$ where we had always thought of 15-A

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:16:22.482 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.594$ is simply a driver of metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

00:16:24.600 --> 00:16:27.216 It's actually playing a much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00{:}16{:}27.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.454$ complicated role and driving an

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:16:29.454 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.564$ invasive but then dormant tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

00:16:31.564 --> 00:16:34.058 phenotype that requires a change for

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:16:34.058 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.627$ these cells to come out of dormancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00{:}16{:}36.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38.830$ I will add that we've also seen changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:16:38.830 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.524$ in the immune microenvironment in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:16:40.524 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.037$ both the young and each lung that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:16:43.104 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.929$ are contributing to this outgrowth

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00{:}16{:}44.929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}47.080$ and lack of immune editing of

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:16:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.020$ these cells as they grow out so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765 00:16:51.020 --> 00:16:51.563 Sorry,

 $00:16:51.563 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.204$ hold on a second so I've started to

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:16:55.204 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.216$ give you now a snapshot of the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:16:58.216 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.574$ Asian can drive metastasis of tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:17:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.374$ but we've been very interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:17:02.374 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.801$ also all of the other things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

00:17:04.801 --> 00:17:06.746 the aging microenvironment can do

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

00:17:06.750 --> 00:17:09.340 from driving not only metastasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

00:17:09.340 --> 00:17:11.626 and we'll talk a little bit more about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00{:}17{:}11.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13.780$ but things like the rapy resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

00:17:13.780 --> 00:17:14.726 angiogenesis, metabolism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:17:14.726 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.091$ and changes in the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:17:17.091 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.510$ microenvironment as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:17:18.510 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.736$ so I'll start with the angiogenesis story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:17:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.884$ which is a story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:17:21.884 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.099$ That was recently published out of our lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:17:24.100 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.186$ I should mention that all the work

 $00:17:26.186 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.921$ I just showed you is of matches is

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00{:}17{:}28.921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.691$ completely unpublished at this time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:17:30.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.919$ and most of the slides I'll show

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

00:17:32.919 --> 00:17:35.120 you today are unpublished work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:17:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.272$ but I thought I'd give you some snapshots

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

00:17:37.272 --> 00:17:39.630 of some recently published work as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:17:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.969$ so the tumors that we grow in age mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:17:42.969 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.141$ have far more angiogenesis if we stay

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:17:46.141 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.944$ in with either CD31 or even CD105,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:17:49.944 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.388$ and when we take.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:17:52.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.434$ Dermal massive dermal microvascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:17:55.434 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.056$ endothelial cells and we treat them

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00{:}17{:}58.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00.727$ with medium from young age fibroblasts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:18:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.968$ We see that those dermal microvascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:18:02.968 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.329$ endothelial cells will form networks when

00:18:05.329 --> 00:18:07.747 they're treated with age conditioned media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:18:07.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.400$ but not so much when they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

00:18:09.400 --> 00:18:10.225 treated with young,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:18:10.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.876$ and we can quantitate this as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765 00:18:12.880 --> 00:18:13.212 And, NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:18:13.212 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.872$ and this was really mysterious

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:18:14.872 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.290$ to us because we needed that veg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00{:}18{:}17.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19.852$ F and its receptors were decreased

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

00:18:19.852 --> 00:18:20.706 during aging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:18:20.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.456$ and so it didn't make sense to us that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00{:}18{:}22.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24.301$ We were seeing a decrease in veg

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00:18:24.301 \dashrightarrow 00:18:26.770$ F But an increase in angiogenesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

00:18:26.770 --> 00:18:27.130 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

00:18:27.130 --> 00:18:29.650 we knew from our work with SFRP

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00{:}18{:}29.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32.703$ 2 that SFRP 2 has been shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.878828765

 $00{:}18{:}32.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34.053$ to stimulate angiogenesis

00:18:34.060 --> 00:18:37.258 via a went related signaling pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00{:}18{:}37.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39.580$ So when it keeps rearing its head again

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:18:39.580 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.482$ and we knew that if we if we treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:18:42.482 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.947$ mice with recombinant SFRP 2 we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:18:44.947 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.101$ increase their metastases of these cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00{:}18{:}47.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}50.078$ So Mitch, along with Brett Decker and

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:18:50.078 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.288$ among car decided to explore this further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:18:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.018$ And what they did was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:18:55.018 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.170$ take these endothelial cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:18:56.170 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.130$ treat them with either recombinant

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

00:18:58.130 --> 00:19:00.090 SFRP 2 and young media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:19:00.090 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.170$ or I don't know why that keeps happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00{:}19{:}03.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06.617$ or an antibody against SFRP 2 in age

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:19:06.617 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.462$ media when they manipulated SFRP 2 they

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:19:09.462 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.410$ could show that when they increase it,

 $00:19:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.360$ these microvascular endothelial

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

00:19:14.360 --> 00:19:15.660 networks increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:19:15.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.550$ If they decrease SFRP 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:19:17.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.147$ they can disrupt the formation of networks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:19:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.320$ They also did this in vivo and

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

00:19:22.320 --> 00:19:24.010 showed exactly the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:19:24.010 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.481$ If they give young mice recombinant SFRP

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:19:26.481 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.249$ 2 they have a ton more angiogenesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00{:}19{:}29.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30.458$ old myself, more angiogenesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:19:30.458 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.623$ But if you treat with an antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:19:32.623 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.807$ against so far P2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:19:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.324$ it decreases the number of blood vessels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:19:37.330 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.460$ And so when UM mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:19:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.756$ his colleagues looked at Veg F and

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:19:41.756 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.593$ SFRP 2 what they found was that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

00:19:44.593 --> 00:19:47.450 young mice had very high levels of veg.

 $00:19:47.450 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.390$ F But the age tumors in aged mice did not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:19:51.390 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.944$ The opposite was true for SFRB 2 and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

00:19:55.950 --> 00:19:58.263 you know that led us to ask the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:19:58.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.170$ what does that mean for

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:20:00.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.930$ antiangiogenic therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

00:20:00.930 --> 00:20:02.478 Because antiangiogenic therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

00:20:02.478 --> 00:20:05.600 of course, is designed against veg F.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:20:05.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.776$ And so this this hinted to us that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

00:20:07.780 --> 00:20:09.188 Younger patients might benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:20:09.188 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.244$ from this therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

00:20:10.250 --> 00:20:12.734 but certainly older patients who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:20:12.734 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.071$ highly angiogenic tumors that were not

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00{:}20{:}15.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.220$ dependent on veg F may not benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:20:17.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.550$ So to answer that question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:20:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.142$ what we did was to turn to our colleagues

00:20:21.142 --> 00:20:23.236 Pecori and Mark Middleton in the UK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00{:}20{:}23.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.090$ who had just conducted this large

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:20:26.090 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.580$ trial for Avastin and Melanoma where

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

00:20:28.580 --> 00:20:31.300 they had treated over 1300 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:20:31.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.659$ or their observation at the end of

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:20:33.659 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.932$ this trial was that overall there

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

00:20:35.932 --> 00:20:38.104 was no change or no response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:20:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.998$ To adbaston, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00{:}20{:}38.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}41.440$ we asked them to go back and re

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

00:20:41.440 --> 00:20:43.270 analyze their data and this time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:20:43.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.078$ stratified by age and when they do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

00:20:46.080 --> 00:20:48.726 Sorry for the traffic outside my window,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:20:48.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.840$ and when they do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:20:51.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.073$ We see that patients under the age

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

00:20:54.073 --> 00:20:56.437 of 45 who receive Avastin actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:20:56.437 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.657$ do do better on Avastin,

 $00:20:58.660 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.089$ whereas those over the age of 6565

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:21:02.089 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.303$ and older really have no difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00{:}21{:}04.303 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06.120$ in their response to Boston.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

00:21:06.120 --> 00:21:07.132 To sort of close,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:21:07.132 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.650$ this loop would match them did

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:21:08.707 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.077$ was to take young animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:21:10.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.162$ He treated them with an antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00{:}21{:}12.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}14.992$ against Veg F and then attempted to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00{:}21{:}14.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17.392$ that in the presence of high levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00{:}21{:}17.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19.957$ of SFRP 2 and so when he does that

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:21:19.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.396$ there is no change and no response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00{:}21{:}22.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25.627$ To the mouse equivalent of Avastin in

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:21:25.627 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.278$ tumors in which which have highest Fr P2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:21:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.219$ which may be the reason why we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:21:31.219 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.805$ not seeing older patients responding

 $00:21:32.805 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.545$ to this therapy as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:21:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.046$ So one of the things that we learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

00:21:37.046 --> 00:21:39.301 from this study was that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.702781086666667

 $00:21:39.301 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.861$ not only could SFRB to

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:21:40.931 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.531$ take over from Veg F as a driver

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:21:43.531 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.062$ of angiogenesis during aging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:21:45.062 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.114$ meaning that older patients you know we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:21:48.114 --> 00:21:50.912 unlikely to respond to Avastin the reviewers

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:21:50.912 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.519$ had actually asked us some questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:21:53.520 --> 00:21:56.272 About the matrix and what was happening to

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:21:56.272 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.359$ the permeability of these blood vessels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:21:58.360 --> 00:22:00.916 And so, although we felt that it was out

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:22:00.916 --> 00:22:03.577 of the scope of that particular paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:22:03.580 --> 00:22:06.004 it was a question that really intrigued us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:22:06.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.111$ and so are my graduate student Gloria

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:22:09.111 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.569$ Mareno decided to take this on.

 $00:22:11.570 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.125$ The reason we found this so interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:22:14.125 --> 00:22:16.164 is because of a previous study

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:22:16.164 --> 00:22:19.140 from an car in my lab who had shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:22:19.140 --> 00:22:21.325 that collagen density is decreased

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:22:21.325 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.520$ during aging and that can happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:22:23.520 --> 00:22:26.079 Whether it's in the presence of a tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:22:26.080 --> 00:22:28.627 or even altogether in the absence of a tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:22:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.723$ so this is just normal mouse skin from an 8

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:22:31.723 --> 00:22:34.169 week old compared to a 12 week old mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:22:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.303$ and I think you can see that the collagen

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00{:}22{:}36.303 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}38.124$ looks dramatically different between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:22:38.124 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.134$ two and a man wanted to know what was

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00{:}22{:}41.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.540$ driving these differences in collagen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:22:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.100$ she identified this protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:22:45.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.270$ called happen one,

00:22:46.270 --> 00:22:48.412 which was actually the protein that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:22:48.412 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.228$ was the most significantly increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:22:50.228 --> 00:22:51.520 in the young skin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:22:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.395$ fibroblast secret tone and happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:22:53.395 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.910$ one turns out to be a super.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:22:55.910 --> 00:22:57.374 Interesting protein because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:22:57.374 --> 00:22:59.204 the protein that knits together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:22:59.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.458$ the collagen and the elastin in the skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00{:}23{:}03.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05.164$ And maintain sort of the integrity

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333 00:23:05.164 --> 00:23:06.016 of the skin, NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:23:06.020 --> 00:23:07.903 so you know when you're young you

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:23:07.903 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.410$ have this lovely smooth skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:23:09.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.810$ And as you age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:23:10.810 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.210$ those collagen and elastin

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:23:12.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.910$ pressings breakdown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:23:12.910 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.390$ and that's a little bit how wrinkles occur.

 $00:23:16.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.442$ And so I happen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:23:18.442 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.520$ one is responsible for stitching together

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:23:21.612 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.237$ hyaluronic acid to proteoglycan monomers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:23:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.872$ So I'm undecided to explore this

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:23:26.872 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.077$ and the first thing she did was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:23:29.077 --> 00:23:30.673 just simply injected into mouse skin

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:23:30.735 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.447$ and see what it did and she found

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00{:}23{:}32.447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35.225$ that if she put it in H mouse skin

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:23:35.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.576$ she could she could re densify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:23:38.576 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.847$ collagen again in the age mouse skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:23:41.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.048$ She wanted to know what that meant

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:23:44.048 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.735$ for the type of fibers that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00{:}23{:}46.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}48.407$ fibroblasts were laying down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:23:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.960$ and the ECM networks there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:23:49.960 --> 00:23:52.151 It's just selling matrix and so we

 $00:23:52.151 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.829$ collaborated with my dear friend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00{:}23{:}53.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}57.006$ It secure men at Fox Chase and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:23:57.006 --> 00:23:58.910 man seated fiberglass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:23:58.910 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.926$ And then what she did was to basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:24:00.926 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.302$ look at the matrix they left behind and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:24:03.302 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.611$ look at the orientation of those fibers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:24:05.611 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.446$ And when she does that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:24:07.450 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.298$ she sees that with young fiberglass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:24:09.300 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.018$ fibers are oriented in multiple different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:24:12.020 --> 00:24:13.960 Directions and each direction

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:24:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.900$ is assigned a color,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:24:15.900 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.196$ so you see this very colorful matrix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:24:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.000$ If she knocks down happen one

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:24:20.000 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.900$ in these fiberglass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:24:20.900 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.063$ she now sees that the direction

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:24:23.063 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.070$ of the fibers is more aligned.

 $00:24:25.070 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.090$ Fewer colors means fewer directions

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:24:27.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.590$ of the fibers and issue reconstitutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:24:29.590 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.014$ us by adding back happened once

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:24:32.014 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.876$ you can start to increase the multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00{:}24{:}34.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37.606$ directionality of these fibers again

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

00:24:37.610 --> 00:24:39.302 we can do the opposite experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:24:39.302 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.030$ in the age so you can see that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854732552333333

 $00:24:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.258$ age fiberglass start out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:24:43.260 --> 00:24:45.690 Looking very linear and if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:24:45.690 --> 00:24:47.680 add in recombinant happen one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00{:}24{:}47.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.062$ we can now increase the multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:24:50.062 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.650$ directionality of the fibers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00{:}24{:}51.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53.312$ If we first boiled it happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:24:53.312 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.640$ one before adding it in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:24:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.728$ It doesn't do that,

 $00:24:55.728 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.851$ so it tells us that it really

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:24:57.851 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.956$ requires to happen one activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:24:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.745$ A man wanted to know what that

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:01.745 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.239$ meant for the invasion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:25:03.239 --> 00:25:04.899 the Melanoma cells in vitro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00{:}25{:}04.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06.970$ and so she looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:06.970 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.960$ She added in recombinant happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:08.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.950$ one into reconstructs made with

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:11.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.480$ aged fibroblasts and showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:12.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.305$ that when she does that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:14.310 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.956$ there no longer is able to invade

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:16.956 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.099$ as effectively into the membrane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.316$ If she does the opposite where she depletes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.492$ happen one in the fibroblast before

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:23.492 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.940$ making reconstructs with them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:24.940 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.232$ they increase their ability

 $00:25:27.232 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.097$ to invade into the membrane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:30.100 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.026$ If we do this experiment in vivo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:33.030 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.346$ where we treat the primary tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:35.346 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.950$ with japlan one in the age mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.862$ we no longer see their these cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:40.862 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.232$ able to metastasize to the lungs

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:43.232 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.661$ in the age mouse versus C versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00{:}25{:}45.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47.923$ those mice treated with Kaplan

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:47.923 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.772$ one and and so we were super

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00{:}25{:}50.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}53.283$ excited by those data and even

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00{:}25{:}53.283 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56.132$ more so when in a parallel study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:25:56.140 --> 00:25:56.716 Brett Becker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00{:}25{:}56.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}59.020$ who is a visiting clinician to the lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:25:59.020 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.384$ showed that happen one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:26:00.384 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.319$ Played a role not only in the metastasis

 $00:26:03.319 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.182$ of these cells from the primary tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00{:}26{:}06.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08.416$ but also the japlan one played a

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:26:08.416 --> 00:26:10.404 critical role in maintaining the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:26:10.404 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.809$ integrity of the extracellular matrix

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:26:12.809 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.672$ around the lymphatic vasculature

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:26:14.672 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.790$ in the lymph node as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:26:16.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.482$ And when it happened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:26:18.482 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.597$ 1 broke down during aging

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:26:20.600 --> 00:26:22.532 to primary tumor cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:26:22.532 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.464$ leaving the primary tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00{:}26{:}24.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.732$ Site could escape both through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:26:26.732 --> 00:26:28.587 lymphatic vasculature and not spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:26:28.587 --> 00:26:30.547 too much time in the lymph node.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:26:30.550 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.258$ But go on to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:26:32.258 --> 00:26:33.966 Very quickly formed visceral

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:26:33.966 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.362$ metastases so that was one of the

 $00:26:36.362 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.066$ studies that first showed us that

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00{:}26{:}38.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}40.362$ this loss of integrity of the ECM

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:26:40.362 --> 00:26:42.074 during aging might actually help

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:26:42.074 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.198$ to direct the route of metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:26:44.198 --> 00:26:46.136 dissemination from the primary tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:26:46.136 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.764$ So taking all of those data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:26:48.770 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.725$ the angiogenesis data and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:26:50.725 --> 00:26:51.898 matrix data together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:26:51.900 --> 00:26:52.408 Gloria Moreno,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:26:52.408 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.186$ who's a grad student in the lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:26:54.190 --> 00:26:56.806 currently decided to explore this further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:26:56.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.114$ and which she saw was that if she

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00{:}26{:}59.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01.064$ stained for blood vessels, the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:27:01.064 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.548$ They were sitting in very different

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:27:03.548 --> 00:27:06.178 matrices in aged versus young skin,

 $00:27:06.180 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.580$ and again to remind you this is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00{:}27{:}08.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.237$ the age versus young skin looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:27:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.736$ So she wanted to know whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:27:13.736 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.021$ that difference in these matrices

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:27:16.021 --> 00:27:18.177 could impact angiogenesis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:27:18.177 --> 00:27:20.872 so she embedded her endothelial

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:27:20.957 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.101$ cells in a matrix that had aged or

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

00:27:24.101 --> 00:27:26.039 young fiberglass or H fiberglass

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00{:}27{:}26.039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27.571$ treated with recombinant happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:27:27.571 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.962$ one or young fiberglass in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:27:29.962 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.302$ happened one had been knocked down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:27:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.764$ What Gloria saw was that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00{:}27{:}34.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37.321$ endothelial cells in the H matrices

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:27:37.321 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.416$ had all of these sprouting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:27:39.420 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.338$ You know these?

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:27:40.338 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.480$ These are basically what we think of

 $00:27:42.541 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.336$ as little artificial blood vessels

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:27:44.336 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.538$ that are sprouting off the endothelial

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00{:}27{:}46.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}48.960$ cells as compared to the young and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:27:48.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.050$ and if she treats the aged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:27:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.066$ And to tell your cells with

NOTE Confidence: 0.826827033636364

 $00:27:54.066 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.410$ the endothelial cells in

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:27:55.476 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.480$ the age matrix with happen one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:27:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.050$ they decrease their ability to sprout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00{:}28{:}01.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}03.122$ And if she knocks down happen one in

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:03.122 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.431$ the young fibroblasts and then embeds

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

00:28:05.431 --> 00:28:07.239 endothelial cells, are they increased?

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00{:}28{:}07.239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09.852$ Her ability to spot so happen one was

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00{:}28{:}09.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.024$ having a direct impact on angiogenesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:12.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.246$ and so when she looked at mouse tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:14.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.828$ she saw the same thing again.

 $00:28:15.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.862$ There's more angiogenesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:16.862 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.926$ and the age versus a young,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.730$ but if she treats the age

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:20.730 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.840$ tumors with happen one, she can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.570$ Directly, she can reduce quite dramatically

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

00:28:25.570 --> 00:28:28.289 the amount of angiogenesis ongoing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.061$ but was interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:29.061 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.230$ though is that when we stayed for vCard

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:31.230 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.144$ hearing we saw something quite different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:33.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.932$ Again, there are far more blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:34.932 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.129$ vessels in the tumors in the age mikes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:37.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.920$ however they don't stain very

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.710$ well for V could hear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00{:}28{:}40.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.385$ and they stay be autifully for CD31,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:43.385 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.210$ CD 105, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

00:28:44.210 --> 00:28:46.279 But the V card here in standing

 $00:28:46.279 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.337$ is super weak compared to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00{:}28{:}48.337 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}50.778$ young or the age plus happen one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.600$ So Gloria wanted to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:52.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.859$ that better and our hypothesis was

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00{:}28{:}54.859 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56.739$ that young fibroblasts lay down

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:28:56.739 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.588$ and matrix that endothelial cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

00:28:58.588 --> 00:29:00.276 can anchor to really beautifully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:00.276 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.366$ and that sustains the interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:02.366 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.130$ between their cells as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:04.130 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.480$ However, age fibroblasts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.280$ that matrix is disrupted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.215$ disrupting the integrin connections between

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00{:}29{:}09.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12.269$ the age matrix and the endothelial cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:12.270 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.658$ And we hypothesize that

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:13.658 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.046$ the cell cell interactions,

00:29:15.050 --> 00:29:16.940 specifically V could hear it

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.452$ would also be disrupted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:18.460 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.510$ And so that's exactly what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

00:29:21.510 --> 00:29:23.622 Gloria C So she laid down in dathyl

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:23.622 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.578$ cells on matrices that she had

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

00:29:25.578 --> 00:29:27.630 made from young or each fiberglass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:27.630 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.232$ You can see that the endothelial

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:29.232 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.945$ cells on the young matrices have

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:30.945 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.405$ beautiful V card here in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:32.410 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.895$ but on the age they lose these

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00{:}29{:}34.895 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}29{:}36.470$ connections and now she wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00{:}29{:}36.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}38.300$ to manipulate happen one to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.411$ of not up these matrices and see

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00{:}29{:}40.411 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}42.346$ what happened if she knocks happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:42.346 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.338$ one out of the young fibroblast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:44.340 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.750$ they now lose their ability to

 $00:29:45.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.690$ make these nice feet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:46.690 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.760$ Could hearing connections and if she

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:48.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.349$ adds happen one into the age fiberglass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:51.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.054$ now the endothelial cells?

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:53.054 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.184$ Have beautiful vegan hearing connections

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:55.190 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.570$ so that was super exciting but she

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:29:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.968$ also wanted to know did that mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00{:}29{:}59.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}02.499$ that if they have these nice tight

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

00:30:02.499 --> 00:30:04.499 end vCard hearing connections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:30:04.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.062$ was there barrier integrity of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:30:07.062 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.750$ endothelial cells and to measure that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00{:}30{:}09.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}12.902$ But Gloria did was to use an electrode

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:30:12.902 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.796$ assay where she ceded the CDM so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:30:16.796 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.308$ fibroblast derived extracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:30:18.308 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.920$ matrix and then she played at the

 $00:30:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.430$ endothelial cells on top of that matrix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:30:23.430 \dashrightarrow 00:30:25.386$ And then she measured the current.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:30:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.946$ So the more resistance there is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:30:27.950 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.870$ that better the barriers are and

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:30:29.870 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.410$ the tighter these interactions are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:30:31.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.280$ So in in in endothelial cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:30:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.190$ seated on an HTC M,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

00:30:36.190 --> 00:30:38.255 there's very little barrier integrity

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:30:38.255 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.109$ on those seated on a young CDM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:30:41.110 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.970$ there's a lot of better integrity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00{:}30{:}42.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}45.066$ and if we take our cells that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:30:45.066 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.270$ on an aged man,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:30:46.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.118$ we give them half and one to not

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00{:}30{:}48.118 --> 00{:}30{:}49.040$ up there matrix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:30:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.587$ We can now see that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.739018084375

 $00:30:50.587 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.250$ an increase in

 $00:30:51.304 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.230$ barrier integrity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:30:52.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.078$ So basically all of these data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:30:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.614$ From Gloria so far are telling us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:30:56.614 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.319$ that the more happen one there is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:30:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.760$ the tighter these matrices are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:31:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.884$ the more intact these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:31:03.884 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.539$ blood vessels are as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:31:06.540 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.428$ which can have significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:31:08.428 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.316$ impact for tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

00:31:10.320 --> 00:31:12.300 Being able to invade in and out of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:31:12.300 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.442$ and so now what or is doing is some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

00:31:14.442 --> 00:31:16.328 very beautiful in vivo imaging of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00{:}31{:}16.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18.533$ these vessels and of the flux of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:31:18.533 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.493$ tumor cells in and out of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:31:20.493 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.868$ vessels in these different conditions.

 $00:31:23.870 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.656$ So I'll move on to the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:31:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.160$ So this was work conducted by Gretchen Ellis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:31:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.624$ CEO, who's a grad student in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:31:33.624 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.950$ my lab at the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:31:34.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.298$ and what she did was to notice that each

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00{:}31{:}38.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}40.756$ fiberglass made a ton of lipids and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

00:31:40.756 --> 00:31:43.490 they've created a lot of these leopards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:31:43.490 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.855$ and when they see created

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:31:44.855 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.220$ a lot of those lipids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:31:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.845$ Melanoma cells would take those lipids up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:31:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.880$ And so these are Melanoma cells grown

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

00:31:50.880 --> 00:31:53.060 in young or each condition media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

00:31:53.060 --> 00:31:54.596 and then simply staying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

00:31:54.596 --> 00:31:55.748 Or for Debbie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:31:55.750 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.565$ and if Gretchen looks at the lipidomics

 $00:31:59.565 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.155$ of the the what is being secreted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:02.155 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.420$ by the young or each fiberglass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.640$ she can see that it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.640$ whatever age fibroblasts are secreting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:07.640 \dashrightarrow 00:32:09.640$ Melanoma cells are taking up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.740$ So that was super interesting and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

00:32:11.740 --> 00:32:13.439 question there were two questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.609$ One what are how are they taking it up?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

00:32:15.610 --> 00:32:18.010 And two what are they doing with it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00{:}32{:}18.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}20.481$ So Gretchen looked at a bunch of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:20.481 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.531$ different fatty acid transporters and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:22.531 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.744$ identified this particular one called fat P2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00{:}32{:}25.750 --> 00{:}32{:}26.494 \ \mathrm{In \ fact},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

00:32:26.494 --> 00:32:28.726 P2 is increased in Melanoma cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:28.726 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.949$ exposed to age condition media.

 $00:32:30.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.560$ It's increased in Melanoma cells prone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00{:}32{:}33.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}36.380$ and skin reconstructs with age fiberglass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00{:}32{:}36.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}38.290$ It's increased in Melanoma cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:38.290 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.409$ that we put an age tumors in mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:41.410 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.888$ If we look at patient tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.530$ this is just TSJ data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:45.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.582$ We can see that patients over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:47.582 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.587$ the age of 50 are the ones who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00{:}32{:}50.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.921$ have the most fat P2 expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:52.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.760$ So the other thing that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:54.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.980$ noticed is when we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:55.980 \dashrightarrow 00:32:58.647$ We're standing patient tumors for Fabry 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:32:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.191$ We noticed that the patients who survived

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

00:33:01.191 --> 00:33:03.995 UM the shortest time after being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00{:}33{:}03.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06.946$ treated with B RAF MEK inhibitors were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

00:33:06.946 --> 00:33:10.278 patients who had very high fat P2,

00:33:10.280 --> 00:33:12.198 so that may Gretchen asked the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:33:12.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.352$ could fat be two and lipid metabolism be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

00:33:15.352 --> 00:33:17.656 playing a role in therapy resistance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:33:17.656 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.871$ So something we've seen before

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:33:19.871 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.260$ is that patients over the age of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:33:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.010$ 65 have a lower response this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:33:26.010 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.170$ These data on this slide are single agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00{:}33{:}28.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.942$ I'll show you double agent in just a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00{:}33{:}30.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}32.774$ So this is just very rough and ebb

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:33:32.774 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.778$ and these are data from the very early

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:33:34.778 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.326$ trials and we found that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:33:36.326 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.530$ over the age of 65 in those trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00{:}33{:}38.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}40.806$ were less likely to mount a complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

00:33:40.806 --> 00:33:43.236 response to be rough inhibitors in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:33:43.236 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.492$ patients under the age of 65 and

00:33:45.492 --> 00:33:47.529 in our mouse studies we showed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:33:47.529 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.411$ the exact same tumors implanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

 $00:33:49.411 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.691$ into young mice would respond to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986207525

00:33:51.760 --> 00:33:53.790 the venue rafanan tool compound,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:33:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.436$ whereas those planted into age monks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:33:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.462$ Would not so Gretchen wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

00:33:58.462 --> 00:34:00.940 know how fat P2 could affect this

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:34:00.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.397$ and she wanted to do this using

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

00:34:03.477 --> 00:34:05.559 the B RAF and MEK inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:34:05.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.862$ So she created a cell line in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00{:}34{:}08.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}12.315$ she had knocked down fat P2 and a docs

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:34:12.315 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.979$ inducible manner and what she found is

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00{:}34{:}14.979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}17.746$ that when she knocks down so she has

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:34:17.746 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.790$ an empty vector control and then she

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:34:19.853 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.285$ has the empty vector plus to be RAF

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00{:}34{:}22.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.700$ MEK inhibitor and in tumors in young mice.

 $00:34:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.470$ Of course they respond to

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:34:26.470 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.886$ the beer afmic inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:34:27.890 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.584$ And after some time they grow back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:34:29.590 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.254$ So we've all seen this a million times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:34:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.900$ UM, if she now knocks down fat P2 using docs

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

00:34:35.992 --> 00:34:39.304 and treats with the B RAF MEK inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:34:39.310 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.518$ it's exactly the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00{:}34{:}40.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42.330$ And the young Lisa tumors respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:34:42.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.218$ They eventually grow back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:34:44.218 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.974$ However, in the age mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

00:34:45.974 --> 00:34:47.579 it's a completely different story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:34:47.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.764$ What we see is that the tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

00:34:49.770 --> 00:34:51.634 first of all treated with B RAF MEK

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:34:51.634 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.296$ inhibitor in the age wise kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

00:34:53.296 --> 00:34:54.732 just stopped growing for a little

 $00:34:54.732 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.398$ bit but then continue to grow so

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:34:56.398 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.072$ they they rarely respond at all.

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.808251298333333\\ & 00:34:59.072 --> 00:34:59.620 \text{ Uhm,} \end{aligned}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:34:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.804$ but now she first treats them

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:01.804 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.849$ by knocking down the fat P2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:03.850 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.559$ You can see that those tumors basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:06.559 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.703$ go into remission and stay grimmest

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:08.703 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.250$ if you will for a very long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00{:}35{:}11.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}13.112$ So this was super exciting data to

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:13.112 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.385$ us 'cause it was one of the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00{:}35{:}15.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17.216$ incidences we really had of targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:17.216 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.652$ this very age specific change in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:19.652 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.342$ Melanoma cell and showing that it we

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:22.342 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.858$ could overcome therapy resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:23.928 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.918$ quite dramatically in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.070$ So you know, a lot of times I think that.

 $00:35:30.070 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.341$ We,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:30.341 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.612$ UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:30.612 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.509$ the questions are my favorite part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:32.509 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.780$ a talk because they make me think and

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.940$ they make me think about what we want

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:36.940 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.642$ to do in the future and out of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:39.642 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.790$ questions have come some questions about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:41.790 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.107$ well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

00:35:42.107 --> 00:35:44.960 you see a lot of changes in with age

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00{:}35{:}45.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}48.188$ does gender or I guess tag to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00{:}35{:}48.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.189$ technically correct biological sex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.486$ player role and so this is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00{:}35{:}52.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}54.787$ we've just started exploring in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:54.790 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.940$ We see that there are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:35:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.770$ We see that in Melanoma,

 $00:35:58.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.490$ there's a big difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00{:}36{:}00.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}02.640$ In mortality estimates in males

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.680$ versus females,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.032$ as well as incidences in as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:07.032 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.636$ as differences in incidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.008$ and so yes, chabra,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

00:36:10.008 --> 00:36:12.659 who is a junior faculty in my lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.784$ started to explore this and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:14.784 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.245$ he found was that while there

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:17.245 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.193$ are certainly differences between

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:19.193 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.654$ male and female,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:20.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.552$ they tend to be less qualitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

00:36:23.552 --> 00:36:24.998 and more quantitative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:25.000 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.209$ So, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

00:36:26.209 --> 00:36:29.030 if we look at something like senescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:29.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.836$ senescence increases.

 $00:36:29.836 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.254$ With age and both female and

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:32.254 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.910$ male dermal fibroblasts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.304$ but they it increases to a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

00:36:36.304 --> 00:36:39.561 extent from the start point in the male

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:39.561 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.721$ fiberglass versus a female fiberglass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:41.730 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.858$ If we look at changes in lipid

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:43.858 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.671$ oxidation we see the same thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:45.671 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.617$ and if we look at changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:47.684 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.028$ things like exosomal content

NOTE Confidence: 0.808251298333333

 $00:36:49.028 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.044$ so this is work done by

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:36:51.050 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.210$ Laura who's are who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:36:52.210 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.950$ also a postdoc in the lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:36:53.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.387$ we see that again between males and

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:36:57.387 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.900$ females there are distinct differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.604$ In the changes that we see in CD9,

 $00:37:03.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.208$ so CD9 is decreased in both

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:06.208 \dashrightarrow 00:37:08.719$ males and females during aging as

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:08.719 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.734$ compared to the young exosomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

00:37:10.740 --> 00:37:12.750 This is an EXO view chip,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:12.750 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.998$ but we see that in the males it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00{:}37{:}14.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}16.449$ far more dramatically decreased

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:16.449 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.909$ than it is in the females.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:18.910 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.030$ If we look at the impact of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00{:}37{:}22.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}24.150$ fiberglass on Melanoma cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:24.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.400$ we see the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:25.400 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.312$ So we see that if we treat Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

00:37:27.312 --> 00:37:29.099 cells with the B RAF MEK inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:29.100 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.910$ this is a spheroid assay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.870$ We're just looking at survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:32.870 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.414$ We see that, UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:34.414 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.263$ Melanoma cells treated with B RAF MEK

 $00:37:37.263 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.951$ inhibitor and the presence of age

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00{:}37{:}39.951 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}42.895$ male condition media do not die as

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:42.895 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.169$ effectively as they do when they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:45.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.588$ treated with young male condition media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:47.590 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.808$ So the more red you see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:48.810 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.970$ the more dead cells there are and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:50.970 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.503$ same is true for Melanoma cells treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:53.503 \dashrightarrow 00:37:55.428$ with age female condition media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:37:55.430 \dashrightarrow 00:38:00.300$ But again, the impact is not as great, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

00:38:00.300 --> 00:38:02.659 You can see that quantified here age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00{:}38{:}02.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}04.140$ Melanoma cells treated with age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00{:}38{:}04.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}06.558$ male conditioned media have far less

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00{:}38{:}06.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09.115$ relative cell death than those treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:09.115 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.290$ with age female condition media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:11.290 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.600$ The same is also true for invasion,

 $00:38:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.341$ so in vitro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:14.341 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.492$ at least we see that there is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:16.492 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.262$ increase in invasion and Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:18.262 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.678$ cells created with age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.500$ Males conditioned media versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.320$ age female condition media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:25.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.900$ What's been fascinating is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:26.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.824$ recently we've been able to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:28.824 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.564$ fiber blasts from the same people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:30.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.310$ So they're genetically identical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.050$ and they've been collected

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:34.050 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.759$ 20 plus years apart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.976$ and where what we're seeing is that even

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:37.976 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.895$ within the same individual that's now

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

00:38:39.895 --> 00:38:42.130 reflecting some of the changes we see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:42.130 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.530$ so that's been super exciting.

 $00{:}38{:}43.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45.644$ So here within the males you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00{:}38{:}45.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}48.018$ see that there is a distinct impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:48.018 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.768$ in the increase in invasion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:49.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.310$ This is two different men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:53.310 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.105$ Their fibroblast taken over 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:55.105 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.627$ years apart in each case in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:57.627 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.589$ females again the trend is there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:38:59.590 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.990$ but it's not as dramatic as it

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:39:00.990 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.900$ is in the mail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:39:01.900 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.246$ and that's sort of a constant

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:39:04.246 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.310$ theme that we see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

00:39:06.310 --> 00:39:06.775 Uhm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

00:39:06.775 --> 00:39:09.565 Yash also did the in vivo

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:39:09.565 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.192$ experiment where he took a young.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

00:39:12.192 --> 00:39:14.859 He took both the Yum cells which

00:39:14.859 --> 00:39:17.327 are male and these PST 9AJ2 cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00{:}39{:}17.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}19.600$ which are female and he sort of did

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:39:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.210$ the crisscross experiment where he

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:39:21.210 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.326$ put them both in male and female

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:39:23.326 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.940$ or both in and these both male and

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:39:25.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.602$ female and what he sees again over

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

00:39:28.602 --> 00:39:31.258 and over again is that when he puts

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

00:39:31.258 --> 00:39:34.754 the Yum cells in young versus age male again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:39:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.180$ they grow far more slowly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:39:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.920$ But if he does this in females in the yums,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00{:}39{:}40.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}42.348$ there's a little bit of a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:39:42.350 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.558$ But in the female to female

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

00:39:44.558 --> 00:39:46.030 there's very little difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:39:46.030 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.788$ although again there is a big difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:39:48.788 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.307$ between the way the age males bro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:39:51.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.566$ If he looks at Ki 67,

 $00:39:53.570 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.538$ he sees that the tumors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.745573157

 $00:39:55.538 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.850$ proliferating far less in

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:39:56.919 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.479$ the age male mice than they

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:39:58.479 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.330$ are in the age female mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:00.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.430$ so telling us again that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.047$ distinct differences in the micro

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

00:40:04.047 --> 00:40:06.027 environments between the male and female,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00{:}40{:}06.030 \to 00{:}40{:}07.549$ and we're still trying to figure out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:07.550 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.833$ Actually, what those differences are, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:09.833 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.884$ see that there are changes in angiogenesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:11.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.550$ Again, the same story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:13.550 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.625$ There's more angiogenesis with aging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00{:}40{:}15.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17.688$ but again, it's more dramatic in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:17.688 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.698$ males than it is in the females.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.540$ If we look at metastasis,

 $00:40:21.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.970$ we see the same thing where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00{:}40{:}24.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}27.788$ have more metastasis in the males.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:27.790 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.980$ Actually, I take that back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:29.980 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.346$ The one thing that's not as different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.576$ and so these were earlier data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:34.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.712$ we have more data now from about 20 miles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.883$ And we're now seeing that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:39.883 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.137$ actually not much of a difference in

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00{:}40{:}42.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}44.570$ invasion between age males and age females.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:44.570 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.488$ So that's going to be really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:46.488 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.908$ to sort of tease out because we're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:48.908 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.199$ so many differences in the growth rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.484$ So just to summarize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:52.484 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.993$ some of the key changes we've seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:40:54.993 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.069$ female dermal fiberglass undergo

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00{:}40{:}57.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}58.626$ early replicative senescent,

 $00:40:58.630 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.336$ so there's elevated Ross in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:41:01.336 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.140$ age male dermal fibroblasts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:41:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.876$ but female dermal fiberglass are better

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:41:05.876 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.561$ equipped with repairing the Ross and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:41:08.561 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.094$ Age male fibroblast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

00:41:10.094 --> 00:41:12.138 Promote invasion and therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

00:41:12.138 --> 00:41:15.048 resistance in Melanoma cells in vitro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00{:}41{:}15.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}17.396$ However, both age male and aged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

00:41:17.400 --> 00:41:19.808 Female drive invasion and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:41:19.808 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.216$ therapy resistance in vivo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

00:41:22.220 --> 00:41:25.136 And finally, we're moving beyond Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

00:41:25.140 --> 00:41:26.996 I know this is a Melanoma sport talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:41:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.236$ so I'll be very quick here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:41:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.896$ but we're super excited to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

00:41:29.896 --> 00:41:31.719 working with my dear friend list.

00:41:31.720 --> 00:41:33.344 Jaffe, Huerco, mentoring guns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

00:41:33.344 --> 00:41:36.868 Bronski is a very talented he monk fellow UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

00:41:36.870 --> 00:41:40.334 and what we've done is to obtain human,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:41:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.795$ young age pancreatic fibroblasts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:41:41.795 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.545$ do a lot of the same assets we're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:41:44.545 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.790$ and have done with the Melanoma cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

00:41:46.790 --> 00:41:50.614 And we're also using mouse models of cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:41:50.620 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.024$ both having taken.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:41:52.024 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.364$ Young age pancreatic fibroblasts from

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:41:54.364 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.777$ these knives and using the transgenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00{:}41{:}56.777 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}59.323$ APC models and what Dan has seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:41:59.323 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.836$ is he's already started to see some

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

00:42:01.836 --> 00:42:03.314 really super interesting stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:42:03.314 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.953$ so if he takes pancreatic cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

00:42:05.960 --> 00:42:07.076 human pancreatic cancer cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:42:07.076 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.750$ and treats them with young age,

 $00:42:08.750 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.112$ fiberglass conditioned media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:42:10.112 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.836$ he sees that actually they increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

00:42:12.836 --> 00:42:15.118 their proliferation quite rapidly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:42:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.586$ They have an increased and invasion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

00:42:17.590 --> 00:42:19.906 It's not very dramatic in vitro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:42:19.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.290$ but when we look in vivo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:42:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.879$ what we see is in the KPC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:42:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.504$ Model that we put into 18 month old

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:42:25.504 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.498$ mice compared to 8 week old mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

00:42:27.500 --> 00:42:29.985 The tumors grow very grow up very

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:42:29.985 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.565$ rapidly in the age mice as compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:42:32.565 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.005$ to the young mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00{:}42{:}34.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}36.522$ If he looks at angiogenesis as far more

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00{:}42{:}36.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}38.285$ angiogenesis and the tumors of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:42:38.285 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.240$ age mice compared to the young mice.

00:42:40.240 --> 00:42:43.216 And now if he looks at the metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:42:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.038$ he sees that there's far more

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:42:45.038 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.881$ metastases in general to the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:42:46.881 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.883$ sites and the age mice than there

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:42:48.883 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.237$ are in the young life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:42:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.039$ So that has been super exciting to see these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

00:42:53.040 --> 00:42:54.768 Kind of data holding up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.851640780454546

 $00:42:54.768 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.920$ a whole different cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:42:55.978 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.990$ as well, and I'm excited to explore

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

00:42:57.990 --> 00:43:00.569 this and tell you more about it later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00{:}43{:}00.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}03.126$ so I hope at this point I haven't run

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

00:43:03.126 --> 00:43:05.012 overtime and I hope I've convinced

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:05.012 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.300$ you that the aging micro environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:07.300 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.952$ is critical to consider when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:09.952 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.720$ designing your preclinical studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

00:43:11.720 --> 00:43:13.570 And when you're treating patients,

 $00:43:13.570 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.299$ I've told you a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:15.299 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.020$ how things like the matrix change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:17.020 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.350$ Andrew Genesis changes in metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:19.350 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.135$ as well as metastasis and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:22.135 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.642$ of these are impacted by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:24.642 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.650$ Fiberglass, specifically aging fiberglass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:28.650 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.840$ These did the wonderful people

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.030$ who do all the work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00{:}43{:}31.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}32.848$ I've tried to call them out as I go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.274$ but really the lab is a team and

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:35.274 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.159$ works very closely together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.792$ I've been so happy or at Hopkins the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00{:}43{:}39.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41.925$ last couple of years that I've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:41.925 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.859$ here and I have a whole cadre of

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:44.859 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.289$ amazing collaborators from engineers to

 $00:43:47.289 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.398$ immunologists to buy informatics experts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00{:}43{:}49.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}52.030$ It's really been a lot of fun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:52.030 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.866$ Uhm, I'm also very lucky 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:53.866 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.009$ Melanoma is such a global effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

00:43:56.010 --> 00:43:58.560 We have collaborators all around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:43:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.150$ like I say across the street,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:44:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.530$ across the country and across the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

00:44:02.530 --> 00:44:04.342 I usually end with the picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

00:44:04.342 --> 00:44:05.970 of my favorite agent study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:44:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.790$ which is my daughter who is now 16 and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:44:08.860 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.604$ half almost 17 and looking at colleges,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

00:44:11.610 --> 00:44:14.053 which is just about breaking my heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00{:}44{:}14.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17.199$ to think of her leaving and I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

00:44:17.199 --> 00:44:20.730 end as I always do as Marcus said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

00:44:20.730 --> 00:44:24.210 promoting diversity. And women in science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:44:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.157$ You know women, especially women of color,

00:44:27.160 --> 00:44:29.080 really earn a tiny percentage

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:44:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.616$ of even bachelors degree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:44:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.425$ And when you think about PHD's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:44:32.425 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.495$ this is an even smaller percentage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:44:34.500 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.940$ If any of you are interested in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:44:36.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.406$ dear friend Danita Brady and I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

00:44:39.406 --> 00:44:41.860 written a commentary in cancer discovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00{:}44{:}41.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}44.190$ with some actually actionable items

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00{:}44{:}44.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}46.520$ and interesting websites and reading

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:44:46.591 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.726$ material if you're interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

00:44:48.726 --> 00:44:50.746 promoting diversity in your local

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00:44:50.746 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.168$ community as well. So I'll stop there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

 $00{:}44{:}53.168 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}44{:}54.636$ Really take any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888865881666666

00:44:54.640 --> 00:44:55.700 Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

00:44:59.160 --> 00:45:01.566 Thanks Ashley, that was really great

 $00:45:01.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.881$ and what I'd like to do is invite folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

 $00:45:05.881 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.466$ to come to post questions in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

00:45:10.470 --> 00:45:12.390 I don't think we have a Q&A area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

 $00:45:12.390 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.431$ so I see one already from Harriet Cougar and

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

 $00:45:16.431 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.988$ I will read that and so it is welcome here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

00:45:20.990 --> 00:45:22.466 Thank you for a terrific talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

 $00:45:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.006$ Do you think we should be looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

 $00:45:25.006 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.593$ old versus young in a binarized fashion

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

 $00{:}45{:}27.593 \rightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.159$ and young versus old humans and mice?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

 $00:45:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.260$ Do you think there is a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

 $00:45:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.760$ between old and very old?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

00:45:33.760 --> 00:45:37.430 And that's real question, harrietta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

00:45:37.430 --> 00:45:38.466 You know, from my point of view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

 $00:45:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.700$ that changes as I get older.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

 $00:45:39.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.064$ But what does that mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

 $00{:}45{:}42.064 \rightarrow 00{:}45{:}44.882$ But anyway, I think I was going to

00:45:44.882 --> 00:45:47.375 ask a similar question about you

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

 $00:45:47.375 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.785$ had shown that bevacizum ab study

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

 $00:45:49.790 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.420$ re looking at clinical data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

00:45:51.420 --> 00:45:53.982 Should we be looking at all clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.885921965

 $00:45:53.982 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.567$ data and trials in a similar manner?

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:45:57.290 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.120$ So my bias to that last

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:45:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.680$ question of course is yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:46:00.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.717$ I do think we should be looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

00:46:02.717 --> 00:46:04.863 at all clinical data according

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:46:04.863 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.468$ you know according to age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

00:46:07.470 --> 00:46:10.650 To answer Harriet's question specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:46:10.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.960$ you know what we, although I often

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00{:}46{:}12.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}14.809$ present the results as binarized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:46:14.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.170$ What we really do is to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:46:17.170 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.186$ it in bins so we have our under 50

 $00:46:20.186 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.130$ age group are 50 to 65 or over 65.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00{:}46{:}23.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}25.754$ To answer your question about old and

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:46:25.754 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.904$ very old we call them aged and super aged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273 00:46:28.910 --> 00:46:29.993 In the lab. NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:46:29.993 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.437$ There are definitely differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00{:}46{:}31.437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}33.468$ and the reason we even started

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:46:33.468 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.526$ looking at that is 'cause if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00{:}46{:}35.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37.669$ look at the incidence of Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

00:46:37.670 --> 00:46:39.987 Right, there's this bell curve where

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

00:46:39.987 --> 00:46:41.490 it kind of goes up and up and up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:46:41.490 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.618$ and then suddenly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

00:46:42.618 --> 00:46:45.210 after like 8085 the incidence drops off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:46:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.010$ the mortality rates drop off

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:46:47.010 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.450$ and the question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

00:46:48.450 --> 00:46:50.122 you know if you if you get that

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:46:50.122 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.028$ old or you just a super survivor.

 $00:46:52.030 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.100$ There's a lot more going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:46:54.100 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.788$ Or is there something actually physical?

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:46:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.903$ So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:46:57.903 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.129$ one of the physical changes we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:47:00.129 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.364$ seen are that you know if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

00:47:02.364 --> 00:47:03.924 look at the biophysical matrix,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:47:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.780$ for example, there is a bell curve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:47:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.070$ Of how a cell can make its way through that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00{:}47{:}11.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}13.030$ So if you have a super stiff matrix,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

00:47:13.030 --> 00:47:15.198 the cell can only go so far 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

00:47:15.198 --> 00:47:17.240 then nucleus gets stuck and as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00{:}47{:}17.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}19.307$ decrease the density of that matrix the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00{:}47{:}19.307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}21.813$ cell starts to be able to move through it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

00:47:21.813 --> 00:47:23.757 But if you decrease it too far then

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:47:23.757 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.961$ it's got nothing to hold onto and it

 $00:47:25.961 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.000$ kind of flounders as if it's in a soup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:47:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.758$ So that's sort of one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

00:47:30.758 --> 00:47:33.371 things that we're looking at in

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:47:33.371 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.053$ context of age versus super aged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00:47:36.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.380$ And there are definitely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273 00:47:38.380 --> 00:47:38.960 Differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00{:}47{:}38.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}39.408$ so yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00{:}47{:}39.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40.976$ we do think there is a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031192727273

 $00{:}47{:}40.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}42.850$ and we are looking at some of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

00:47:45.110 --> 00:47:48.053 And and I'll, I'll follow up on that now

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

00:47:48.053 --> 00:47:51.540 she you know with regard to you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

 $00:47:51.540 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.276$ there should be a lot of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

00:47:53.276 --> 00:47:54.330 in this particular area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

 $00:47:54.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.205$ So AJC staging for Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

 $00:47:56.205 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.080$ has been in existence for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

 $00:47:58.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.144$ you know for decades.

 $00:48:00.144 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.372$ And there are some very well characterized

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

 $00{:}48{:}03.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05.668$ parameters that predict prognosis that

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

 $00:48:05.668 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.194$ are very closely correlated to metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

 $00:48:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.562$ So one of the predictions with some

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

 $00:48:10.562 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.674$ of the discoveries that you've made

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

 $00:48:12.674 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.930$ is that with depending on like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

00:48:14.930 --> 00:48:16.530 Breslow thickness or thickness

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

 $00:48:16.530 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.730$ of the Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

 $00:48:17.730 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.630$ You might have different prognosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

 $00{:}48{:}19.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}21.964$ and old versus young because they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

 $00{:}48{:}21.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}24.184$ more likely to metastasize and old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

00:48:24.190 --> 00:48:26.010 I don't know if you've tried to

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

00:48:26.010 --> 00:48:27.539 do something like that already,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68738651

 $00:48:27.540 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.116$ or what your thoughts are about about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

00:48:30.630 --> 00:48:32.076 Yeah, that's a great point, Marcus,

 $00:48:32.076 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.470$ so we have not yet tried to do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

 $00:48:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.266$ only because I think we haven't really had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

 $00:48:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.111$ You know, one of the things we

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

00:48:41.111 --> 00:48:42.921 haven't done since we moved here

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

 $00:48:42.921 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.209$ is really fully established.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

 $00:48:44.210 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.554$ All of the clinical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

 $00{:}48{:}45.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50.286$ A collaboration, so we need a man and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

00:48:50.290 --> 00:48:51.990 Yeah, I mean I I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

 $00:48:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.638$ I would imagine that I mean I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

 $00:48:54.638 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.504$ even with the AJC staging age is

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

 $00:48:57.504 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.881$ really the overriding factor, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

 $00:48:59.881 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.578$ So Breslow thickness is a close second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

 $00:49:04.580 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.631$ but it would be really interesting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

 $00:49:06.631 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.180$ go back and look at thin lesions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

00:49:09.180 --> 00:49:11.485 old versus young patients and see if

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

 $00{:}49{:}11.485 \rightarrow 00{:}49{:}13.363$ there is an increase in metastasis.

00:49:13.370 --> 00:49:15.945 Things like certainly things like lymph

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

 $00:49:15.945 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.050$ node metastases are dramatically different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

00:49:18.050 --> 00:49:20.246 Were actually younger patients have more

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

00:49:20.246 --> 00:49:22.590 lymph node metastases than older patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

 $00:49:22.590 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.210$ but the older patients have more

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

00:49:24.210 --> 00:49:25.810 visceral Mets and we you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

 $00:49:25.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.148$ we think some of these disruptive changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.892848648

 $00:49:28.148 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.180$ to the matrix etc are part of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881891515

00:49:32.360 --> 00:49:34.114 It would be interesting to ask, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881891515

00:49:34.114 --> 00:49:35.386 So like Jefferson or someone else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881891515

 $00:49:35.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.340$ you know who does The Who has access to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.881891515

00:49:37.340 --> 00:49:39.888 data and have had them do it, you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.866404185714286

 $00{:}49{:}41.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}43.090$ Great point, I'll reach out to him. That's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305195752

 $00{:}49{:}43.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}45.242$ OK and I guess another question

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305195752

 $00:49:45.242 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.354$ I would have to is that and you

 $00{:}49{:}48.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}50.766$ probably guess this might be question

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305195752

 $00{:}49{:}50.847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}53.664$ I might ask is that it's been kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305195752

 $00:49:53.664 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.164$ of surprising that responses for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305195752

00:49:56.164 --> 00:49:58.974 older versus younger patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305195752

 $00:49:58.974 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.612$ Melanoma with immune checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305195752

00:50:01.612 --> 00:50:04.935 inhibitors have been better in older

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305195752

 $00:50:04.935 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.980$ patients and and sort of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305195752

 $00:50:07.980 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.880$ That also introduces a complication

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305195752

 $00:50:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.400$ in terms of survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305195752

 $00:50:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.320$ Because there could be immune editing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305195752

 $00:50:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.980$ in some older Melanoma patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305195752

00:50:14.980 --> 00:50:16.580 because their immune system might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305195752

 $00:50:16.580 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.399$ be more primed to fight it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305195752

 $00:50:18.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.056$ but any thoughts about you know how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305195752

 $00:50:22.056 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.619$ micro environment might be affecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305195752

00:50:24.619 --> 00:50:27.224 those enhanced rates of response,

00:50:27.480 --> 00:50:28.840 right? It's a great question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00:50:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.518$ so we think it's several full,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00:50:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.306$ so we published, I think back in

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00:50:35.306 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.356$ 2018 that observation right that

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00{:}50{:}37.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39.696$ older patients getting anti PD one

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00:50:39.696 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.020$ do much better than younger patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

00:50:42.020 --> 00:50:44.185 And you know, part of it is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00:50:44.185 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.330$ the immune microenvironment is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

00:50:45.379 --> 00:50:46.739 different between young and age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00:50:46.740 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.994$ Actually young younger patients have more T.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00:50:49.000 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.780$ Rex, so that CD8T rec ratio is

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00:50:52.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.065$ off in the younger patients as

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00{:}50{:}55.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56.810$ compared to the older patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00{:}50{:}56.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}59.169$ However, our recent data and data I

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00:50:59.169 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.930$ didn't really talk about today is how much

 $00:51:01.930 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.180$ angiogenesis is playing a role in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00{:}51{:}04.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}06.600$ And so, as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

00:51:06.600 --> 00:51:08.935 angiogenesis can have a quote

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

00:51:08.935 --> 00:51:10.803 unquote beneficial effect by

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00:51:10.803 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.250$ being a good venue for delivery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

00:51:13.250 --> 00:51:14.801 Of immunotherapeutic agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00{:}51{:}14.801 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}19.297$ and so although Veg F can be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

00:51:19.297 --> 00:51:22.409 negative prognostic factor for

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00:51:22.409 \dashrightarrow 00:51:23.965 \ \mathrm{immunotherapeutic} \ \mathrm{delivery},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00:51:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.329$ having angiogenesis in the absence of veg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00:51:26.330 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.273$ F, which is what we're seeing in the aged,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00:51:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.696$ seems to be sort of the sweet spot

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

00:51:31.696 --> 00:51:34.249 for the delivery of immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00{:}51{:}34.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}35.671$ which might just might be a part

NOTE Confidence: 0.929814838

 $00:51:35.671 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.580$ of it as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

 $00{:}51{:}37.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}39.585$ Super and it's funny, 'cause I think

 $00:51:39.585 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.142$ a lot of people had assumed that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

00:51:42.142 --> 00:51:44.090 concept of immune senescence, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

00:51:44.090 --> 00:51:45.710 in older individuals might be happening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

 $00:51:45.710 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.648$ but it might be in specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

00:51:47.648 --> 00:51:49.629 subsets like T regs as you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

 $00:51:49.630 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.902$ mentioning the observation there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

00:51:52.902 --> 00:51:56.656 So I am I could go on all day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

 $00:51:56.660 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.724$ but here we got.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

 $00:51:57.724 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.320$ We got a question just when

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

00:51:59.387 --> 00:52:00.791 I was getting desperate here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

00:52:00.791 --> 00:52:03.360 So Brenda IMO has a question in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

 $00:52:03.428 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.628$ terms of fatty acid transporters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053 00:52:05.630 --> 00:52:07.034 Was fat P2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

 $00{:}52{:}07.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}08.906$ Uniquely upregulated in tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

 $00:52:08.906 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.370$ from older than individuals,

 $00:52:11.370 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.610$ or is this true for other fatty

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

00:52:13.610 --> 00:52:14.940 acid transporters as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

00:52:14.940 --> 00:52:16.047 including like CD36,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

 $00:52:16.047 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.892$ which there has been interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

 $00:52:17.892 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.458$ at Yale and as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

 $00:52:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.729$ and in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

 $00:52:20.729 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.844$ are there particular lipid species

NOTE Confidence: 0.878398268421053

 $00:52:22.844 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.089$ that agent fibroblasts secrete?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

00:52:26.420 --> 00:52:28.355 That's a great question, so we did look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

 $00:52:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.240$ We looked at CD 36.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

 $00:52:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.168$ We looked at fat P1 through six and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

 $00:52:33.168 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.068$ the only one that was up regulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

 $00:52:36.070 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.136$ according to age was fat P2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

 $00:52:39.140 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.002$ Now that's not to say these others

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

 $00:52:41.002 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.364$ aren't upregulated simply by virtue

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

 $00:52:42.364 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.894$ of these cells being Melanoma cells.

 $00:52:43.900 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.744$ They are, however, fat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

 $00:52:45.744 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.051$ P2 is uniquely upregulated with age in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

00:52:49.051 --> 00:52:51.799 terms of the particular lipid species,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

00:52:51.800 --> 00:52:54.152 yes, so our lipidomics analysis showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

 $00:52:54.152 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.050$ us that probably the lipid species that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

 $00:52:57.050 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.506$ We were most interested in were Sarah mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

 $00:52:59.510 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.282$ so Sir, mine seemed to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

 $00{:}53{:}02.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}03.925$ most differentially expressed or

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

 $00:53:03.925 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.830$ secreted by the age fiberglass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

 $00{:}53{:}05.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}08.455$ the most efficiently taken up by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

00:53:08.455 --> 00:53:10.839 Melanoma cells in that environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

 $00:53:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.188$ and the ones that have the most impact on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556 00:53:14.190 --> 00:53:14.838 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

00:53:14.838 --> 00:53:17.106 that wasn't in the cancer Discovery paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

 $00:53:17.110 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.475$ but we've shown that Ceramides

 $00:53:19.475 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.840$ can drive invasion and metastasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.94312693555556

 $00{:}53{:}21.917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}23.837$ in Melanoma cells as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870101398666667

00:53:25.180 --> 00:53:26.539 Interesting question, interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870101398666667

 $00:53:26.539 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.804$ it's really interesting results from

NOTE Confidence: 0.870101398666667

 $00:53:28.804 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.236$ last chance for folks to ask questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870101398666667

00:53:31.240 --> 00:53:34.040 as is really been a wonderful summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870101398666667

 $00:53:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.117$ especially for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870101398666667

 $00{:}53{:}35.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}37.271$ Know us at Yeles were interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.870101398666667

 $00{:}53{:}37.271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}41.200$ in getting in aging center set up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870101398666667

 $00:53:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.208$ I was I had emailed a mutual friend

NOTE Confidence: 0.870101398666667

00:53:43.208 --> 00:53:45.071 of ashes in mind so deep I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.870101398666667

 $00:53:45.071 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.908$ know if he was able to make it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838598025714286

00:53:49.800 --> 00:53:52.250 Don't kick them in the shins too hard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838598025714286

00:53:52.250 --> 00:53:54.770 but anyway, I'm obviously a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.838598025714286

 $00:53:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.428$ great interest for us here. Jeff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838598025714286

 $00{:}53{:}57.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}00.933$ Jeff Townsend also has a question I

 $00:54:00.933 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.931$ think I saw three fibroblast lines

NOTE Confidence: 0.838598025714286

 $00:54:02.931 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.470$ used for at least one comparison,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838598025714286

 $00:54:05.470 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.525$ and in that comparison results

NOTE Confidence: 0.838598025714286

 $00:54:07.525 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.758$ were very consistent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838598025714286

 $00:54:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.488$ Are there any inconsistencies

NOTE Confidence: 0.838598025714286

 $00:54:10.488 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.648$ among different samples or lines?

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

00:54:12.680 --> 00:54:15.300 Sure, so Jeff, of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

 $00:54:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.156$ So we, you know, for most of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

 $00:54:17.156 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.559$ experiments we've done at this point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

 $00:54:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.186$ we've used up to. Get 12 or even 15

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

 $00:54:22.186 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.174$ in one case different cell lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

 $00:54:25.180 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.850$ There are definitely some inconsistencies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

 $00:54:27.850 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.905$ The most consistent inconsistency that

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

 $00:54:29.905 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.503$ we see is that we have some young lines

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

 $00:54:33.503 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.439$ that behave as if they're an old line,

 $00:54:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.375$ and when we go back and sort of dig

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

00:54:38.375 --> 00:54:39.990 through the history of those lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

 $00:54:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.808$ they tend to be from young

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

 $00:54:41.808 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.610$ women with a tanning history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

 $00:54:43.610 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.666$ So that's another ongoing project in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

00:54:45.670 --> 00:54:46.810 I didn't talk about is you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

 $00:54:46.810 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.655$ Keep looking at the effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

 $00:54:48.655 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.050$ of UV as a premature aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

 $00{:}54{:}51.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}53.150$ Agent, so wear your sunblock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804387368

 $00:54:53.150 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.800$ everybody, but you already know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96123333

 $00{:}54{:}56.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}59.967$ One question related to that is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96123333

 $00:54:59.967 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.985$ there's including some work from Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.96123333

 $00:55:02.985 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.770$ interest in looking at DNA methylation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96123333

 $00:55:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.010$ Epigenetic changes as an aging clock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96123333

00:55:10.010 --> 00:55:12.061 and have you done any looking into

NOTE Confidence: 0.96123333

 $00:55:12.061 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.301$ as to in those cases where there's a

 $00:55:15.301 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.586$ disconnect between biological between a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96123333

 $00{:}55{:}17.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}20.187$ chronological age and biological age?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96123333

00:55:20.190 --> 00:55:22.409 You know if if there's a component

NOTE Confidence: 0.96123333

 $00:55:22.409 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.229$ related to DNA methylation that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96123333

00:55:24.229 --> 00:55:26.080 might be UV induced, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920532395714286

00:55:26.310 --> 00:55:29.775 right so? So in terms of Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920532395714286

 $00:55:29.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.608$ where just beginning to delve into that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920532395714286

00:55:32.610 --> 00:55:34.302 however, we are collaborating

NOTE Confidence: 0.920532395714286

 $00:55:34.302 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.994$ very closely with Harris.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920532395714286

 $00:55:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.401$ Warren, who is a associate professor here

NOTE Confidence: 0.920532395714286

00:55:38.401 --> 00:55:40.840 at Hopkins who works on colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920532395714286

 $00:55:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.464$ and we've got a lot of interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.920532395714286

 $00{:}55{:}42.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}43.999$ data coming out of those studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920532395714286

 $00{:}55{:}44.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}45.560$ so I'm super excited about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920532395714286

00:55:45.560 --> 00:55:46.820 And of course, people like John,

 $00:55:46.820 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.752$ Pierre Issa and Shelly Berger have

NOTE Confidence: 0.920532395714286

00:55:48.752 --> 00:55:51.312 done a ton of work in, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920532395714286

 $00:55:51.312 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.305$ understanding this epigenetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.920532395714286

 $00:55:52.305 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.330$ drift that we see during aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770020742833333

00:55:56.180 --> 00:55:58.721 Well, super well, I and less we

NOTE Confidence: 0.770020742833333

00:55:58.721 --> 00:56:01.069 have another last minute question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770020742833333

 $00:56:01.070 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.110$ I would really like to thank you Ashley

NOTE Confidence: 0.770020742833333

 $00:56:03.110 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.047$ for giving us such a stimulating talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770020742833333

 $00:56:05.050 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.886$ Obviously generated a lot of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770020742833333

00:56:06.890 --> 00:56:08.384 We're looking forward to actually having

NOTE Confidence: 0.770020742833333

 $00{:}56{:}08.384 \to 00{:}56{:}10.399$ you here in person sometime in the future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770020742833333

 $00:56:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.984$ but thanks so much for sharing all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.770020742833333

 $00:56:12.984 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.498$ your work and I would encourage folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.770020742833333

 $00:56:15.498 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.050$ to also read that cancer discovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770020742833333

00:56:18.050 --> 00:56:21.690 Yeah, article about increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.770020742833333

 $00:56:21.690 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.510$ opportunities for.

 $00:56:23.510 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.520$ Scientists of color and of

NOTE Confidence: 0.770020742833333

 $00{:}56{:}25.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}27.530$ other backgrounds that are less

NOTE Confidence: 0.770020742833333

 $00{:}56{:}27.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}29.618$ advantageous to move forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770020742833333

 $00:56:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.690$ So thanks so much. Ashley

NOTE Confidence: 0.91174241375

 $00:56:30.750 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.654$ Marcus. Thank you so much for having

NOTE Confidence: 0.91174241375

 $00{:}56{:}32.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}38.000$ me absolutely take care. Take care.