WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:05:56" NOTE recognizability:0.849

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.893191

00:02:24.410 --> 00:02:27.700 Hey Jonathan, can you hear us? Let

NOTE Confidence: 0.852814161111111

 $00:02:27.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.960$  me introduce you to two

NOTE Confidence: 0.852814161111111

00:02:28.960 --> 00:02:29.968 people you've never seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852814161111111

 $00:02:29.970 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.820$  One is Doctor Jayme Mcpartland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852814161111111

 $00:02:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.142$  Dr Taking what do you want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.852814161111111

 $00:02:33.142 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.060$  start talking with our speaker?

NOTE Confidence: 0.852814161111111

 $00:02:34.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.084$  We haven't let people into the room yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

 $00:02:38.540 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.020$  Hey Jonathan, do you want to have a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

00:02:41.020 --> 00:02:45.230 publicly broadcast ketchup session?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

00:02:45.230 --> 00:02:50.180 Sounds great. Good, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

 $00{:}02{:}50.180 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}02{:}51.560$  I had to admit I didn't notice

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

00:02:51.560 --> 00:02:52.685 telling your side just now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

 $00:02:52.690 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.970$  By the way, Jamie, Jamie eye contact.

 $00:02:56.970 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.885$  Yeah, that's correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

00:02:57.885 --> 00:02:59.105 I didn't notice it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

 $00{:}02{:}59.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.300$  Said Duke University is that yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

 $00:03:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.190$  I mean he he doesn't know in autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

 $00:03:04.190 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.590$  We don't make eye contact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

00:03:05.590 --> 00:03:08.040 Normally developing people would make typing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

00:03:08.040 --> 00:03:08.910 Yeah, I know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

00:03:08.910 --> 00:03:11.120 but as an autism eye contact specialist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

 $00:03:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.424$  we've ruled that eye contact being

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

00:03:13.424 --> 00:03:14.960 meaningful during zoom settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

 $00{:}03{:}14.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.298$  So it's like saying I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

 $00:03:16.300 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.925$  in fact I can communicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

 $00:03:17.925 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.900$  effectively like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

 $00:03:18.900 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.250$  And then when it's time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73317234

 $00:03:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.314$  so when did you make the move?

NOTE Confidence: 0.944673286666667

 $00:03:22.670 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.660$  September 1st, so

00:03:23.670 --> 00:03:25.678 it's brand new. Congratulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.790038306666667

 $00:03:25.690 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.580$  very exciting. Are you physically there? Yeah

NOTE Confidence: 0.901335170476191

 $00:03:28.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.096$  yeah yeah I came down with my

NOTE Confidence: 0.901335170476191

 $00:03:31.096 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.424$  family over the summer so we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.901335170476191

00:03:33.424 --> 00:03:35.880 get our kids set up at school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901335170476191

 $00:03:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.774$  Yeah yeah thanks yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901335170476191

00:03:37.774 --> 00:03:39.380 And I'm I'm really excited about being here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901335170476191

 $00:03:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.448$  It's been really great so far

NOTE Confidence: 0.817362745

 $00:03:41.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.736$  that is is really yeah I

NOTE Confidence: 0.817362745

00:03:42.736 --> 00:03:44.460 want to hear all about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817362745

00:03:44.460 --> 00:03:45.785 Yeah for sure not broadcasting

NOTE Confidence: 0.817362745

00:03:45.785 --> 00:03:47.490 to the entire Child Study Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97949517

00:03:48.530 --> 00:03:51.720 right? How are your kids doing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.790921223333333

 $00{:}03{:}51.840 --> 00{:}03{:}55.560$  I'm good that great school is in person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790921223333333

 $00:03:55.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.750$  They're happy, started high school in,

 $00:03:57.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.690$  started high school this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790921223333333

00:03:58.690 --> 00:04:01.758 So so far, so good. Now you did you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790921223333333

00:04:01.758 --> 00:04:05.020 Are you still on CPD? Yes I am I

NOTE Confidence: 0.93709229

 $00:04:05.180 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.419$  I'm done. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.81192046

 $00:04:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.248$  know you graduated. You will be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81192046

 $00:04:08.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.360$  You will be greatly missed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907771194545455

00:04:11.500 --> 00:04:12.838 I will enjoy the break but

NOTE Confidence: 0.907771194545455

 $00:04:12.838 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.830$  I will miss it too, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775194766

00:04:16.270 --> 00:04:19.510 Oh, you're you finished up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775194766

00:04:19.510 --> 00:04:22.155 You were you were on the Zoom study

NOTE Confidence: 0.775194766

 $00:04:22.155 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.565$  section for one or two sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.720800048

 $00:04:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.080$  Is that right? Yeah, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88708730125

 $00:04:26.470 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.877$  For the time being, we're continuing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.88708730125

 $00{:}04{:}27.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.397$  zoom, which is, I find, a bit painful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82464582625

 $00:04:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.410$  It is it makes for a very long day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82464582625

 $00{:}04{:}33.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35.518$ Yeah, yeah, I thought you're gonna

00:04:35.518 --> 00:04:37.291 say my timing was good because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.82464582625

 $00:04:37.291 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.816$  did away with continuous submission.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82464582625

 $00:04:38.820 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.290$  So I actually meant to thread

NOTE Confidence: 0.82464582625

 $00:04:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.780$  the needle in terms of period

NOTE Confidence: 0.706404016

 $00{:}04{:}41.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43.080$  of time for continuous submission.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910050278181818

00:04:45.690 --> 00:04:46.852 I I didn't know that they got

NOTE Confidence: 0.910050278181818

 $00:04:46.852 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.710$  rid of continuous emission.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85796992

00:04:48.100 --> 00:04:49.696 If I if I'm not mistaken,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85796992

 $00:04:49.700 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.550$  I thought they changed the policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862715706

00:04:52.650 --> 00:04:55.470 OK, I didn't really thought. But

NOTE Confidence: 0.7756736325

 $00{:}04{:}55.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.696$  now I'm going to inquire about some protocol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7756736325

 $00:04:57.700 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.176$  So what Andres, what's the what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7756736325

 $00:04:59.176 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.929$  the is when we want to start?

NOTE Confidence: 0.619038413333333

 $00:05:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.869$  Where's your schooling?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6375469

 $00:05:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.310$  Kids.

 $00:05:53.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.580$  The people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82347906

 $00{:}05{:}56.810 --> 00{:}05{:}57.588$  They can only hear it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349607

00:06:03.520 --> 00:06:03.910 Sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773254823333333

 $00:06:05.630 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.840$  Remember the test. Unless.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48675525

 $00:06:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.350$  OK, silly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5347798

 $00{:}06{:}25.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.410$  Epic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79999044

 $00:06:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.970$  And I can see your cat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780722062222222

00:06:31.290 --> 00:06:32.973 Do you want me to start letting people in?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82041457

 $00{:}06{:}35.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}38.490$  While he's talking just because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82041457

 $00:06:38.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.802$  well, who is wow? Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82041457

 $00:06:42.802 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.255$  just because critics are perfect

NOTE Confidence: 0.82041457

 $00{:}06{:}45.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47.280$  is over there with translating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82041457

00:06:47.280 --> 00:06:48.260 but right now we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.82041457

 $00:06:48.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.920$  to start with it. Yes, OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79548198

 $00:06:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.400$  Yeah, it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899253055

 $00:07:05.230 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.180$  Very sophisticated plan for managing

 $00{:}07{:}07.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.130$  your question and answer session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899253055

00:07:09.130 --> 00:07:10.614 I promised that I will screw it

NOTE Confidence: 0.899253055

 $00:07:10.614 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.500$  up and so I apologize in advance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6930787675

 $00:07:14.510 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.518$  Make room for Kyle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8296549

 $00:07:19.130 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.580$  Sharp

NOTE Confidence: 0.9001707

 $00:07:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.590$  so did people type their questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9001707

 $00:07:23.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.120$  into the chat function today?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9001707

 $00:07:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.776$  They will get to ask and they will

NOTE Confidence: 0.9001707

 $00:07:28.776 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.330$  be able to ask in person. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85953856

 $00:07:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.760$  That's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8600879

00:07:43.750 --> 00:07:46.688 Rights. Oh, because I asked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7747644

00:08:01.770 --> 00:08:02.350 Introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9177995

 $00:08:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.670 \text{ Yes.}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.8331322225

 $00:08:34.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.350$  I think we're good. Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928458386666667

 $00:08:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.708$  everyone. Welcome to today's grand rounds.

00:08:40.710 --> 00:08:41.670 My name is Mike Crowley.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928458386666667

 $00{:}08{:}41.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.914$  I'm a member of our Grand rounds committee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928458386666667

 $00:08:43.914 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.206$  Last week we heard from Carol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928458386666667

00:08:46.210 --> 00:08:48.094 I just want to remind everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.928458386666667

 $00:08:48.094 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.595$  before I introduce Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928458386666667

 $00:08:49.595 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.053$  Mcpartland that I that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.928458386666667

 $00:08:52.053 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.458$  compassionate care grand rounds next

NOTE Confidence: 0.928458386666667

 $00:08:53.458 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.474$  week and the title of that grand

NOTE Confidence: 0.928458386666667

 $00{:}08{:}55.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56.879$  rounds is refractory providers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.928458386666667

00:08:56.929 --> 00:08:58.896 systems come together to care for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.928458386666667

 $00{:}08{:}58.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00.622$  severely depressed and suicidal youth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928458386666667

 $00:09:00.622 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.386$  So out further ado.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928458386666667

 $00:09:02.390 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.260$  Doctor mcpartlin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.474$  Hey welcome everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:09.474 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.005$  Today it's my pleasure to introduce Doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:09:13.005 --> 00:09:15.663 Jonathan Posner is a child and

 $00{:}09{:}15.663 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.716$  adolescent psychiatrist and

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:16.716 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.815$  vice chair for research in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:09:18.815 --> 00:09:20.405 Department of Psychiatry at Duke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:09:20.410 --> 00:09:23.164 which is news hot off the

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:09:23.164 --> 00:09:25.470 presses as of September 1st.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:25.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.555$  He directs pediatric brain imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:27.555 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.223$  laboratory that has maintained

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:09:29.223 --> 00:09:30.641 consistent NIH funding since

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:09:30.641 --> 00:09:32.216 founding over ten years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}09{:}32.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}33.820$  His research focuses on neuro

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}09{:}33.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.420$  development with an emphasis on

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:35.473 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.253$  imaging approaches to studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}09{:}37.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}39.033$  neurobiological correlates of mental.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}09{:}39.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}41.668$  Illness and cognitive development

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:09:41.668 --> 00:09:44.856 is Pi on 312 N family based

00:09:44.856 --> 00:09:46.072 studies aimed at understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:46.072 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.598$  the influence of family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:47.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.064$  Psychosocial adversity in prenatal

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:49.064 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.260$  exposures on the development of neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:51.313 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.368$  circuits involved in executive functions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:09:53.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.630$  In emotion regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:09:54.630 --> 00:09:56.414 You know, having reviewed the CD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:09:56.414 --> 00:09:57.594 he's a piece of many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}09{:}57.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.148$  many more grants than that he's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:10:00.148 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.240$  extremely productive researcher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:01.240 --> 00:10:03.298 His work has been published in leading

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:03.298 --> 00:10:04.750 journals including JAMA Psychiatry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:04.750 --> 00:10:06.121 John Pediatrics, Pediatrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:06.121 --> 00:10:08.406 Lance Psychiatry in The Lancet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}10{:}08.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09.034$  He's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:10:09.034 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.530$  A very engaged mentor and educator and has

00:10:11.598 --> 00:10:14.160 served mentor to many new junior faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:14.160 --> 00:10:14.596 postdocs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:14.596 --> 00:10:18.540 and psychic residents who've done well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:18.540 --> 00:10:19.272 Came to know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:10:19.272 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.516$  gentlemen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}10{:}19.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21.920$  through our mutual serving on

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:21.920 --> 00:10:22.940 a study section,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:10:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.140$  childhood safe theology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:25.140 --> 00:10:26.240 developmental disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}10{:}26.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28.235$  and one of the things that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:10:28.235 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.770$  recognized by Jonathan isn't in

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:10:29.833 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.768$  addition to having deep technical

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}10{:}31.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.316$  knowledge of his methods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}10{:}33.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.552$  He also has very strong clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:10:35.552 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.860$  sense and is extremely thoughtful.

 $00:10:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.491$  And so I'm really looking forward to

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:39.491 --> 00:10:41.103 hearing what he has to say because

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:41.103 --> 00:10:42.393 I I think it's gonna actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:42.445 --> 00:10:44.626 even be more rewarding with his

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:10:44.626 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.958$  comments during study section.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:45.960 --> 00:10:47.458 If you can believe it or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:47.460 --> 00:10:48.825 and he's going to be talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:10:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.470$  Kind of depression and

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}10{:}50.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51.290$  antidepressant exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:10:51.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.002$  and how that influences

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00{:}10{:}53.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53.858$  neurodevelopmental trajectories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

00:10:53.860 --> 00:10:55.060 Thank you so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684049

 $00:10:55.060 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.360$  Jonathan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7606064

00:10:57.160 --> 00:10:58.990 Thank you so much Jamie. UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:10:58.990 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.830$  really appreciate that introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:11:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.729$  And I just wanted to mention that it's a.

 $00:11:03.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.614$  It's such an honor to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00{:}11{:}05.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07.689$  presenting to you all as a child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

00:11:07.690 --> 00:11:11.101 not a lesson psychiatrist I I never did any

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

00:11:11.101 --> 00:11:14.786 of my own training at at Yale Child study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:11:14.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.638$  but so many of the the mentors

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00{:}11{:}16.638 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}11{:}18.727$  who taught me so much about child

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

00:11:18.727 --> 00:11:20.569 psychiatry all grew up at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

00:11:20.570 --> 00:11:22.688 so the Child Study Center is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

00:11:22.688 --> 00:11:24.928 always loom very large in my mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:11:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.820$  so the real treat to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00{:}11{:}26.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.570$  presenting to you all today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

00:11:28.570 --> 00:11:32.217 Uhm, so I'm going to be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

00:11:32.217 --> 00:11:35.732 today about the safety of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:11:35.732 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.724$  antidepressant use during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:11:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.026$  And specifically the use

 $00:11:41.026 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.748$  of SSRI antidepressants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00{:}11{:}42.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}45.278$  Uhm, and this is a topic that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:11:45.278 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.728$  I really find quite interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:11:47.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.720$  because on the one hand it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:11:50.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.460$  a question that we want very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00{:}11{:}52.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54.469$  very badly to know the answer to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

00:11:54.470 --> 00:11:56.577 We want to know whether we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:11:56.577 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.220$  safely prescribe these medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00{:}11{:}58.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00.495$  And yet we are somewhat hamstrung in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

00:12:00.495 --> 00:12:03.337 how we how we approach this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00{:}12{:}03.340 \to 00{:}12{:}05.445$  because the most rigorous methodology

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:12:05.445 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.913$  that we would have to answer this would

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:12:08.913 \dashrightarrow 00:12:12.821$  be a randomized clinical trial and for both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

00:12:12.821 --> 00:12:13.408 Uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00{:}12{:}13.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.169$  and pragmatic regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:12:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.306$  It would be very,

00:12:16.306 --> 00:12:18.800 very difficult to use that methodology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:12:18.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.510$  so we're left in this situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:12:20.510 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.810$  where we want a definitive answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

00:12:22.810 --> 00:12:26.130 And yet our our approach is somewhat limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:12:26.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.210$  I'm going to be talking to you today

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

00:12:28.210 --> 00:12:30.265 about how we're trying to tackle this

NOTE Confidence: 0.94188295

 $00:12:30.265 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.480$  problem in lieu of those limitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826617504285714

 $00:12:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.335$  Uh, so some some disclosures to mention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826617504285714

00:12:39.340 --> 00:12:41.782 So I have received research support

NOTE Confidence: 0.826617504285714

00:12:41.782 --> 00:12:45.120 from Shire which is now part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826617504285714

 $00:12:45.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.562$  Cada Avino mix and Innovation sciences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826617504285714

 $00{:}12{:}47.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.768$  But none of that research support

NOTE Confidence: 0.826617504285714

 $00{:}12{:}50.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52.506$  was related to the data that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.826617504285714

 $00:12:52.506 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.107$  gonna be presenting to you all today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

00:12:56.480 --> 00:12:59.396 Uhm, so uh before getting started,

00:12:59.400 --> 00:13:03.593 uhm, I first wanted to discuss two

NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

 $00:13:03.593 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.670$  foundational concepts that really guide my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

 $00:13:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.730$  And the first is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

00:13:10.730 --> 00:13:12.566 centrality of development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

 $00:13:12.570 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.407$  and I was essentially axiomatic that most,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

 $00:13:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.870$  if not all mental illness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

 $00:13:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.366$  Has it had development to origins

NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

 $00:13:23.366 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.579$  or or to put that another way

NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

 $00{:}13{:}26.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}29.468$  that I think if we really want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

00:13:29.468 --> 00:13:31.619 understand the etiology of psych.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

00:13:31.620 --> 00:13:34.460 We have to understand development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

 $00:13:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.832$  but development is of course difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

00:13:37.832 --> 00:13:40.648 Not only now are we are we trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

 $00:13:40.648 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.580$  to understand this incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

 $00:13:42.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.580$  complex organ in the human brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

 $00:13:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.779$  but when we take a developmental perspective,

 $00:13:48.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.734$  we're now chasing after a moving target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71086062

 $00{:}13{:}51.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.738$  As the brand grows and matures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922397037272727

00:13:56.660 --> 00:13:58.520 The second principle that guides

NOTE Confidence: 0.922397037272727

 $00:13:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.640$  my work is the importance of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922397037272727

 $00:14:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.298$  It's all of our approaches to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922397037272727

 $00:14:03.298 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.070$  understanding the brain and

NOTE Confidence: 0.922397037272727

00:14:05.151 --> 00:14:07.639 mental illness have limitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922397037272727

 $00:14:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.420$  Whether it be preclinical models for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717979953333333

 $00:14:16.610 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.380$  Relation based research

NOTE Confidence: 0.717979953333333

 $00:14:18.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.150$  epidemiology that have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

 $00:14:22.550 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.542$  Clinical samples may have

NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

00:14:25.542 --> 00:14:27.038 limited generalizability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

 $00{:}14{:}27.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.488$  But when translational science

NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

 $00:14:28.488 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.660$  is really working at its best,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

 $00:14:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.500$  we're able to triangulate across

 $00:14:33.500 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.824$  these domains, and that's when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

 $00:14:35.824 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.600$  think we can really make progress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

 $00:14:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.150$  and my hope is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

 $00:14:40.150 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.548$  By the end of this talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

 $00:14:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.538$  I've convinced you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

00:14:44.540 --> 00:14:46.634 That the story of prenatal SSRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.873331743333333

 $00:14:46.634 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.860$  exposure is 1 where this trend?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

00:14:54.920 --> 00:14:58.007 By giving you some background on depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00{:}14{:}58.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00.815$  during pregnancy to provide some context

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

00:15:00.815 --> 00:15:03.160 for why antidepressant use during

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00{:}15{:}03.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05.857$  pregnancy is such an important topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:05.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.665$  So to begin, UM, depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

00:15:08.665 --> 00:15:10.257 during pregnancy common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

00:15:10.257 --> 00:15:12.592 it's estimated that anywhere from

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

00:15:12.592 --> 00:15:16.455 10 to 20% of women will experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00{:}15{:}16.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18.210$  depression during pregnancy,

 $00:15:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.050$  and then there are a host of associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:21.050 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.190$  risks that go along with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00{:}15{:}23.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.392$  So, first and foremost is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:25.392 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.860$  depressed and anxious mood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:26.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.380$  But the suffering of the depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:29.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.269$  experience by the by the individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.643$  But then there are a host of

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00{:}15{:}34.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.660$  other potential complications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:35.660 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.660$  So one is that, UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:37.660 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.800$  prenatal depression is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.940$  with worse prenatal care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:41.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.280$  poor nutrition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00{:}15{:}44.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46.440$  An increased risk for substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.736$  abuse and suicide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.205$  There's also concerns about premature

 $00:15:50.205 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.670$  delivery and low birth weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

00:15:52.670 --> 00:15:56.258 A another growing concern is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:56.258 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.436$  prenatal depression may increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:15:58.436 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.364$  stress hormones and have trickle down

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:16:01.364 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.757$  effects on the fetus by altering

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00{:}16{:}04.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06.506$  the intrauterine environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

00:16:06.510 --> 00:16:08.764 And then last but certainly not least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:16:08.770 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.636$  is that prenatal depression is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

00:16:10.636 --> 00:16:13.310 a set up for postpartum depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

00:16:13.310 --> 00:16:16.274 which can have negative effects on

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00{:}16{:}16.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18.645$  the parent infant interaction with

NOTE Confidence: 0.885836240416667

 $00:16:18.645 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.620$  downstream effects on neural development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:16:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.660$  So if a pregnant woman develops

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:16:26.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.162$  depression and discuss his

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

00:16:28.162 --> 00:16:29.498 treatment with her doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}16{:}29.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}31.530$  it's really important to keep

 $00:16:31.530 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.560$  in mind that this decision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:16:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.796$  the treatment decision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:16:34.796 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.856$  is really a balancing act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:16:36.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.182$  The the physician and the pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

00:16:39.182 --> 00:16:41.857 woman are trying on the one hand

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:16:41.857 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.963$  to weigh the negative effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:16:43.963 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.685$  the depression while at the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

00:16:46.685 --> 00:16:48.980 time considering potential risks of

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:16:48.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.979$  prenatal antidepressant exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}16{:}49.979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52.310$  And when I say enter the present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

00:16:52.310 --> 00:16:54.285 Oh sure, I'm referring primarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

00:16:54.285 --> 00:16:55.470 to SSRI exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:16:55.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.606$  and I'll show you later that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}16{:}58.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00.940$  primary medication class that's used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:17:00.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.544$  Uhm, and the SSRI.

 $00:17:02.544 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.000$  Exposure to the fetus really is not trivial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:17:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.533$  These medications readily

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

00:17:07.533 --> 00:17:09.577 pass through the placenta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:17:09.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.905$  and it's estimated that their levels in

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:17:12.905 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.483$  fetal circulation are anywhere from 70

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:17:15.483 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.908$  to 80% of that of the maternal levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}17{:}19.910 \longrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.837$  Safety concerns have come up over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

00:17:23.837 --> 00:17:28.328 years with a variety of FDA warnings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:17:28.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.035$  But most of these concerns

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:17:30.035 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.740$  have been put to rest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00{:}17{:}31.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33.804$  or at least these concerns have

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:17:33.804 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.611$  seemed far less significant than

NOTE Confidence: 0.72025746

 $00:17:35.611 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.456$  the risk of untreated depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:17:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.308$  And as these safety concerns have subsided,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:17:43.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.490$  prenatal SSRI use in EU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:17:45.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.270$  S has steadily increased.

 $00:17:47.270 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.356$  So what I'm showing here is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00{:}17{:}50.356 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}17{:}52.430$  percentage of pregnant women taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

00:17:52.430 --> 00:17:54.612 an antidepressant in EU. S. Overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:17:54.612 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.209$  Then you can see this steady increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:17:57.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.922$  The top line here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:17:58.922 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.062$  Is any antidepressant and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:01.062 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.360$  next line down is of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.992$  The percentage of SSR eyes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:04.992 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.439$  so you can see that the Lions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:07.439 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.159$  sharing enter depressant prescribed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:09.159 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.940$  during pregnancy are indeed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:10.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.120$  SSRI, enter the presence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.480$  And so we're now at a point where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00{:}18{:}17.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20.736$  SSR eyes are used by anywhere from 4

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:20.736 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.860$  to 8% of pregnant women in the US,

 $00:18:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.458$  and that translates to anywhere from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:26.460 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.280$  160 to 320,000 babies born each year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

00:18:31.280 --> 00:18:31.990 In EU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983368

 $00:18:31.990 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.670$  S who have been prenatally exposed to SSRI's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:18:38.950 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.050$  So now that I've I've given you

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:18:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.077$  some of the clinical context

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

00:18:43.077 --> 00:18:45.717 regarding SSRI use during pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00{:}18{:}45.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}48.024$  I want to shift to the second part

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00{:}18{:}48.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}50.888$  of my talk where we move from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:18:50.888 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.372$  clinical setting to the bench or

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00{:}18{:}53.372 \longrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55.850$  do basic neuroscience research and

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:18:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.450$  starting in the early 2000s Neuro

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:18:59.450 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.189$  Neuro scientists started trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:19:02.189 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.118$  use preclinical models to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

00:19:05.118 --> 00:19:08.253 how SSR eyes are effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

00:19:08.260 --> 00:19:11.410 And at the most proxamol level,

00:19:11.410 --> 00:19:14.240 we have a pretty good sense of how they work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:19:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.916$  so you have your presynaptic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

00:19:16.916 --> 00:19:18.715 postsynaptic neurons and SSRI's

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:19:18.715 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.990$  block the transporter that reabsorb

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:19:20.990 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.269$  seroton in from the synaptic cleft

NOTE Confidence: 0.902139433529412

 $00:19:23.269 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.829$  from the synaptic cleft.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

 $00:19:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.614$  So one approach that was used

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

00:19:29.614 --> 00:19:32.882 early on to try to understand how

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

00:19:32.882 --> 00:19:36.037 SSRI's work was the transporter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

 $00:19:36.040 \dashrightarrow 00:19:38.500$  knock out mouse and the idea here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

 $00:19:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.714$  And this was work done in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

 $00:19:40.714 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.100$  large part by J Gingrich.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

 $00:19:43.100 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.992$  The idea here was that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

 $00:19:45.992 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.920$  SSRI lock the transporter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

 $00:19:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.920$  then simply removing the transporter

 $00:19:50.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.674$  should mimic those SSRI effects and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

 $00:19:53.674 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.941$  essentially creating a highly resilient

NOTE Confidence: 0.87764699

00:19:55.941 --> 00:19:58.765 mouse or or a type of mighty mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.270$  And what's quite interesting is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:03.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.159$  that's not at all what was found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

00:20:06.160 --> 00:20:09.254 Uhm, instead of a less anxious mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:09.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.620$  the knockout mouse actually displays more

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

00:20:12.620 --> 00:20:16.316 anxious like behavior and an example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:16.316 \longrightarrow 00:20:20:320$  that is using the latency to feed paradigm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.525$  So what you see here is a mouse in

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00{:}20{:}22.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24.730$  a cage with a tasty food pellet

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:24.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.669$  in the middle of the cage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00{:}20{:}26.670 \to 00{:}20{:}28.194$  and the investigators measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:28.194 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.970$  how long it takes for the mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:30.970 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.265$  To get up the courage to go into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:33.265 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.289$  middle of the cage and and eat the

 $00:20:35.289 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.850$  treat and so first you see the latency

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:37.850 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.769$  to feed in the the wild type mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:40.770 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.875$  Uhm and then come with a mouse have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

00:20:43.875 --> 00:20:46.117 pretreated with the necessary you see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.164$  a decrease in the latency to feed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.690$  So in other words the mouse gets up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:50.690 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.677$  courage sooner and it goes into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00{:}20{:}52.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55.029$  middle of the cage and eats the pellet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00{:}20{:}55.030 \to 00{:}20{:}57.767$  Uhm, but with the knockout mouse you

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:20:57.767 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.320$  actually see this increased latency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

00:21:00.320 --> 00:21:01.128 So surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

00:21:01.128 --> 00:21:03.148 it actually takes that in-house,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00{:}21{:}03.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06.696$  longer to get up the courage to go and

NOTE Confidence: 0.944829727692308

 $00:21:06.696 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.754$  explore the middle of the of the cage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

00:21:12.630 --> 00:21:16.300 Uhm? And I realized that I'm being

 $00:21:16.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.528$  a bit loose in my my language here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

00:21:18.530 --> 00:21:19.804 When I when I say I'm out

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:19.804 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.760$  getting up the courage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.418$  Obviously I'm not meeting that literally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.508$  What I mean really is anxious like behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

00:21:26.510 --> 00:21:28.838 Uhm? And so this finding has

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:28.838 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.002$  actually been replicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

00:21:30.010 --> 00:21:32.684 Now many, many times what I'm showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:32.684 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.480$  the slides here on the left these are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.466$  Brain slices that are staying for

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00{:}21{:}39.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41.196$  this for the seroton in transporter

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:41.196 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.940$  and the wild type you see that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.495$  transporter is relatively ubiquitous

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:45.495 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.415$  across the the mouse brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.460$  and then on the slide on the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.180$  it's entirely absent, so the the

00:21:51.180 --> 00:21:52.740 knockout process does indeed do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.320$  It's supposed to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

00:21:54.320 --> 00:21:59.190 And then, in terms of behavioral phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:21:59.190 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.620$  What I described previously was

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:22:00.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.050$  to increase latency to feed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:22:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.165$  but there's also increased anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

00:22:04.165 --> 00:22:07.130 like behaviors on an open field test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

00:22:07.130 --> 00:22:09.370 there's increased stress responsivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

00:22:09.370 --> 00:22:11.050 increased social avoidance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:22:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.499$  and increased sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.30717582

 $00:22:12.499 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.914$  to alcohol and to cocaine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

00:22:18.610 --> 00:22:21.124 Now, this finding of increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00{:}22{:}21.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.929$  anxiety and depressive like behaviors

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:23.929 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.218$  is somewhat paradoxical because if

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

00:22:27.220 --> 00:22:29.440 SSRI's or disabling the transporter,

 $00:22:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.450$  then why should it be that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00{:}22{:}31.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.790$  removing the transporter should

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:32.855 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.020$  actually have the opposite effect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:36.020 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.684$  And to answer this is where having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:39.684 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.890$  developmental perspective is so so critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:42.890 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.240$  So if we think of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.646$  the knockout mouse is having the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:46.646 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.720$  transporter removed from the gecko,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.728$  so there's increased serotonin

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

00:22:50.728 --> 00:22:52.934 signaling from conception all

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00{:}22{:}52.934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.206$  the way through development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:55.210 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.230$  And what the FINA?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.250$  The behavioral phenotype that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:57.250 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.914$  we see is increased, anxious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:22:58.914 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.930$  and depressive like behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:23:00.930 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.260$  The story is quite different

 $00:23:03.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.240$  with an SSRI treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00{:}23{:}07.240 \to 00{:}23{:}09.319$  A mouse where you expose the mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

00:23:09.319 --> 00:23:11.752 to an SSRI later in development

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

00:23:11.752 --> 00:23:14.197 and therefore only have increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

 $00:23:14.197 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.182$  serotonin signaling on a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

00:23:16.182 --> 00:23:18.048 more mature brain and see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98018676

00:23:18.048 --> 00:23:19.470 different behavioral phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

00:23:22.570 --> 00:23:26.182 So this, UM, this sort of developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

00:23:26.182 --> 00:23:29.340 insight LED Mark answer Key and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00{:}23{:}29.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31.840$  others to conduct a really elegant

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00:23:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.910$  series of experiments where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00{:}23{:}33.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}36.553$  asked what would happen if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00{:}23{:}36.553 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38.903$  block the seroton in transporter over

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00:23:38.903 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.167$  distinct periods during development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

00:23:41.170 --> 00:23:45.022 And so using an SSRI in this case Prozac,

 $00:23:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.406$  they blocked the transporter in mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

00:23:47.406 --> 00:23:48.990 at different developmental stages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00:23:48.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.210$  and then probed the anxious and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

 $00{:}23{:}51.210 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}23{:}52.690$  depressive like behaviors in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97753

00:23:52.753 --> 00:23:54.477 these mice during adulthood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

 $00:23:56.810 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.946$  And what they found was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

 $00{:}23{:}58.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00.887$  if they blocked the transporter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

00:24:00.887 --> 00:24:03.515 during the adult period during post

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

00:24:03.515 --> 00:24:06.390 day to post Natal Day 90 to 190,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

 $00:24:06.390 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.994$  they did not see this increased in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

 $00:24:08.994 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.200$  anxious or depressed like behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

 $00:24:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.268$  Similarly, post data 21 to 41.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

 $00:24:15.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.189$  And it was only in this relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

00:24:18.189 --> 00:24:21.435 narrow window window that they saw that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

 $00:24:21.435 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.825$  adult phenotypes increased anxiety from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245571116

 $00:24:23.825 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.520$  post Natal Day two to post Natal Day 11.

 $00:24:31.360 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.696$  So. Uhm? If we now translate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.73137486

 $00{:}24{:}37.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40.004$  period into the human analogue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73137486

00:24:40.004 --> 00:24:42.680 That period of post Natal Day

NOTE Confidence: 0.73137486

00:24:42.762 --> 00:24:45.240 two through post Natal Day 11,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73137486

 $00:24:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.368$  translates into the third

NOTE Confidence: 0.73137486

00:24:47.368 --> 00:24:49.496 trimester gestation in humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73137486

 $00:24:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.920$  So the prenatal period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902990575714286

00:24:54.150 --> 00:24:56.614 Mark and others then went on to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.902990575714286

 $00{:}24{:}56.614 \longrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59.344$  that this early increase in seroton in

NOTE Confidence: 0.902990575714286

 $00:24:59.344 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.384$  signaling caused abnormalities in

NOTE Confidence: 0.902990575714286

00:25:01.384 --> 00:25:03.680 morphology and electrophysiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902990575714286

 $00{:}25{:}03.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.384$  and the informit cortex as well as.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902990575714286

 $00{:}25{:}08.390 \to 00{:}25{:}10.250$  Alterations in the ater learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9142392752

 $00:25:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.460$  So now, uhm. The question that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9142392752

 $00:25:17.460 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.701$  need to ask is why should serotonin

 $00:25:20.701 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.381$  signaling have such different effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.9142392752

 $00:25:23.381 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.188$  depending on the stage and development?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:25:29.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.774$  It occurs the answer to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:25:31.774 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.280$  question is still somewhat unknown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:25:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.170$  but it likely relates to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:25:36.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.856$  functional role of serotonin changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00{:}25{:}37.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}39.696$  over the course of development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:25:39.700 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.055$  So in adulthood, serotonin acts

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:25:42.055 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.939$  like a canonical neurotransmitter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:25:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.202$  but during the fetal period serotonin

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00{:}25{:}46.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}49.635$  seems to act more like growth factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

00:25:49.635 --> 00:25:51.486 influencing neuronal proliferation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:25:51.490 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.360$  migration as well as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00{:}25{:}54.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00.079$  organization of early neurons and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:26:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.890$  My favorite example of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

00:26:01.890 --> 00:26:04.048 comes from Pat Leavitt's lab,

 $00:26:04.048 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.252$  where they found that the actual

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00{:}26{:}07.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}10.722$  direction of axonal growth and can

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:26:10.722 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.034$  actually be reversed depending

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:26:13.034 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.620$  on serotonin concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:26:15.620 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.760$  The mechanisms underlying how serotonin

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:26:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.472$  function changes over development

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:26:19.472 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.353$  are still being worked out and

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

00:26:21.353 --> 00:26:23.359 not within the scope of this talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:26:23.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.904$  but there is a lot of really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:26:25.904 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.730$  work being done in this area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:26:27.730 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.882$  and I provide a reference here

NOTE Confidence: 0.936306277272727

 $00:26:28.882 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.130$  for those who are interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

00:26:34.250 --> 00:26:37.130 So I now want to take you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:26:37.130 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.320$  the next part of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:26:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.080$  so we've gone from the clinic where we

00:26:42.159 --> 00:26:44.919 talked about the increase in the use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

00:26:44.919 --> 00:26:48.244 SSR eyes during pregnancy to the bench,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:26:48.244 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.584$  where we learned that at

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:26:50.584 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.618$  least in a preclinical model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:26:53.620 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.536$  Prenatal exposure to accessorize seemed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:26:56.536 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.080$  have long lasting our development effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:27:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.990$  but the question now is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

00:27:01.990 --> 00:27:04.916 does this have any relevance to humans?

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:27:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.500$  And to answer this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:27:06.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.326$  we're going to move from the bench to

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:27:10.326 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.430$  population studies or epidemiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00{:}27{:}14.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17.877$  So I'm going to take you on a trip

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00{:}27{:}17.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.607$  across the Atlantic to Finland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:27:20.610 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.394$  And Finland is really an extraordinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

00:27:23.394 --> 00:27:25.690 place to do epidemiologic work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

00:27:25.690 --> 00:27:27.778 because their health system

00:27:27.778 --> 00:27:29.866 tracks their health system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:27:29.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.118$  has a national registry where they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:27:33.118 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.547$  track all citizens from from birth forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:27:36.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.755$  allowing investigators such like Andre

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:27:39.755 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.110$  surrender to look at the effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:27:43.110 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.570$  of exposures at a population level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

00:27:46.570 --> 00:27:49.600 So using this finish register registry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00{:}27{:}49.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52.270$  Andre and his colleagues were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:27:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.450$  to identify 60,000 infants who

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:27:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.306$  were born between 1996 to 2010.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

00:27:57.306 --> 00:28:00.438 And then they stratified that sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:28:00.438 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.976$  into 33,000 who were born to healthy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:28:02.980 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.050$  nondepressed mothers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:28:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.725$  10,000 born to mothers with

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:28:06.725 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.340$  a diagnosis of depression.

 $00:28:09.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.892$  And then another 17,000 born to

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00{:}28{:}12.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}15.125$  mothers who had a psychiatric illness

NOTE Confidence: 0.909897368333333

 $00:28:15.125 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.450$  and used an SSRI during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:20.460 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.170$  And here's what they what

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:22.170 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.880$  they found and this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

00:28:23.880 --> 00:28:25.280 I realize it's a. It's a bit busy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.220$  so let me walk you through it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:28.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.284$  So what we're looking at here

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:30.284 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.010$  are four different outcomes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:32.010 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.720$  the children and the top left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00{:}28{:}33.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35.104$  The outcome is depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:35.104 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.180$  and these are the percentage of

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

00:28:37.241 --> 00:28:39.149 children who develop depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.094$  and these are the ages of the children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.766$  So as you move from from birth

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:42.766 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.813$  all the way up to 14 and you

 $00:28:44.813 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.088$  see there's this increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:46.146 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.790$  the prevalence of depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.006$  The top line has the infants

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:51.006 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.470$  who were exposed prenatally to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

00:28:53.470 --> 00:28:56.445 SSRI's and you can see that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

00:28:56.445 --> 00:28:58.491 group is significantly higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:28:58.491 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.046$  than all the other groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:01.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.115$  The other groups that they looked at were

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

00:29:04.115 --> 00:29:07.580 infants who were exposed to a maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

00:29:07.580 --> 00:29:09.989 psychiatric illness during pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:09.990 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.554$  but with no medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:11.554 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.006$  You see that in blue and yellow

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00{:}29{:}15.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18.746$  you have mothers who discontinued.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

00:29:18.750 --> 00:29:23.370 SSRI before becoming pregnant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:23.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.394$  Uhm and and then the black.

 $00:29:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.838$  You have a healthy control group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00{:}29{:}29.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.107$  So there's a few things to note about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:33.110 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.275$  so one is that the effects surprisingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

00:29:36.275 --> 00:29:37.950 seems to be somewhat specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.225$  and that we see the effects of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

00:29:40.230 --> 00:29:42.477 On depression outcome but we don't see

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:42.477 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.530$  those effects for anxiety disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:44.530 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.961$  autism or ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:45.961 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.300$  We don't see separation across the groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:49.300 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.487$  And also the stratification that they use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:54.490 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.268$  Controls for the presence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:57.268 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.750$  psychiatric illness in the mother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:29:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.978$  So it's unlikely to be attributable to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887326894

 $00:30:03.980 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.780$  Prenatal psychiatric illness alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

00:30:08.750 --> 00:30:11.375 Uhm? So this finish epidemiologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:11.375 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.000$  study is consistent with the

 $00:30:14.093 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.399$  preclinical findings that I showed you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:17.400 \dashrightarrow 00:30:19.818$  But there really are some critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00{:}30{:}19.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21.845$  limitations that are that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:21.845 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.580$  important to be mindful of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.056$  First and foremost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:25.056 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.008$  there's a problem of what's called

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:28.008 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.930$  surveillance bias and what that refers to is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00{:}30{:}30{:}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}33.858$  The idea that if they if a pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00{:}30{:}33.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35.411$  woman developed depression goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:35.411 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.649$  to her doctor and decides to

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:37.649 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.619$  take an anti depressant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

00:30:39.620 --> 00:30:40.268 That woman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00{:}30{:}40.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}42.536$  when she becomes a mother may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00{:}30{:}42.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}44.487$  more likely to notice depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:44.487 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.827$  and her offspring and bring her

00:30:46.895 --> 00:30:50.770 offspring to see a a physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00{:}30{:}50.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}52.936$  rather than relative to a woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:52.936 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.834$  who who's depressed but does

NOTE Confidence: 0.877406196875

 $00:30:54.834 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.358$  not seek SSRI treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

 $00:30:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.046$  The second issue is post Natal factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

 $00:31:03.050 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.342$  So the finish study really did

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

00:31:05.342 --> 00:31:07.450 not address post Natal issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

 $00:31:07.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.000$  so it's possible, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

 $00:31:09.000 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.622$  that the SSRI exposed group also

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

00:31:11.622 --> 00:31:13.370 experienced more negative post

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

 $00{:}31{:}13.447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}16.153$  data exposures and these post Natal

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

 $00:31:16.153 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.396$  exposures were really driving the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856944297142857

 $00:31:18.396 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.944$  outcomes rather than the SSRI per say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00:31:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.610$  And then the third limitation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00:31:25.610 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.494$  and this is really a critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00:31:27.494 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.520$  one in a really difficult.

 $00:31:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.580$  It's called one to address.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00{:}31{:}30.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33.448$  This is called confounding by indication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00:31:33.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.664$  and this is an issue that I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

00:31:35.664 --> 00:31:37.535 continue to refer to later in the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00{:}31{:}37.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}39.448$  This compound refers to the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00:31:39.448 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.237$  that there could be something

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00:31:41.237 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.025$  systematically different about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00{:}31{:}43.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}45.940$  mothers who took SSRI during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00:31:45.940 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.059$  So, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

00:31:47.059 --> 00:31:49.297 there might be some reason why

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00:31:49.297 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.358$  those mothers were prescribed

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00{:}31{:}51.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}53.430$  SSRI's versus the mothers who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00{:}31{:}53.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}55.540$  depression and were not prescribed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

00:31:55.540 --> 00:31:56.500 Perhaps, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00:31:56.500 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.420$  they had a more severe depression,

 $00:31:58.420 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.585$  and that's really what was

NOTE Confidence: 0.940411072

 $00:31:59.585 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.284$  driving the finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893974804285714

 $00:32:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.034$  So to try to address these

NOTE Confidence: 0.893974804285714

 $00:32:07.034 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.478$  limitations, I'm going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893974804285714

 $00:32:08.478 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.079$  I'm going to take you back to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893974804285714

 $00:32:11.079 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.017$  clinic to a clinic based study

NOTE Confidence: 0.893974804285714

00:32:13.017 --> 00:32:14.934 that that we recently completed

NOTE Confidence: 0.893974804285714

 $00:32:14.934 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.745$  where we tried to address at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.893974804285714

 $00:32:17.745 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.617$  these first two limitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

00:32:22.590 --> 00:32:26.254 So this is a an infant MRI study

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00{:}32{:}26.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29.266$  that we completed at New York

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00{:}32{:}29.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}31.347$  Presbyterian Hospital where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00:32:31.347 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.448$  recruited pregnant women from the OBGY

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00:32:34.448 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.216$  and clinics at New York Presbyterian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00:32:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.485$  We then conducted prenatal diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00:32:41.485 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.750$  and medication assessments and anywhere

 $00:32:44.843 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.895$  from 19 weeks to 39 weeks gestation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00:32:47.900 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.815$  And then we stratified the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00:32:49.815 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.347$  women into three groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00:32:51.350 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.384$  So we had our healthy control

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00:32:53.384 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.300$  group with no psychiatric illness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

00:32:55.300 --> 00:32:57.844 Are group of women who developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00:32:57.844 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.540$  who are experienced depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

00:32:59.614 --> 00:33:02.152 during pregnancy but did not take

NOTE Confidence: 0.855215685

 $00:33:02.152 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.286$  medication and then our SSRI group?

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

 $00{:}33{:}07.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}11.783$  We then obtained MRI scans on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

00:33:11.783 --> 00:33:15.310 babies at about  $3\ 1/2$  weeks of age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

 $00:33:15.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.995$  These were non sedated infants

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

 $00:33:17.995 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.143$  naturally put to sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

 $00:33:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.365$  And we covariate for intersex

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

00:33:23.365 --> 00:33:27.040 agents can birth weight and any

 $00:33:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.008$  post Natal depressive symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

 $00:33:30.010 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.887$  So the strength of doing MRI scanning

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

 $00{:}33{:}32.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}36.836$  so early in life is that it limits the

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

 $00:33:36.836 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.510$  possibility of post Natal exposures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

00:33:39.510 --> 00:33:41.554 So we're essentially phenotyping

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

 $00:33:41.554 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.940$  the brain prior to the infant

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

 $00:33:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.640$  having many post Natal exposures

NOTE Confidence: 0.848795159

 $00:33:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.530$  by virtue of the young age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

 $00:33:51.270 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.190$  And this is work that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

00:33:53.190 --> 00:33:54.470 spearheaded by Claudia Lugo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

 $00:33:54.470 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.170$  Condo lesson juchau that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

00:33:57.170 --> 00:34:00.410 published in JAMA Pediatrics in 2018.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

 $00:34:00.410 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.840$  So what do we find?

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

 $00:34:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.290$  So there's a couple findings that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

 $00:34:05.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.219$  I want to draw your attention to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

 $00:34:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.492$  Using structural MRI and

 $00:34:10.492 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.332$  looking across the whole brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

 $00:34:13.340 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.480$  we found that the prenatally

NOTE Confidence: 0.805480718

 $00:34:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.364$  exposed babies had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333

 $00:34:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.100$  Really two important findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333

 $00:34:23.100 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.206$  One was an increase in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333

 $00:34:25.206 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.576$  volume of the right amygdala,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333

 $00:34:26.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.316$  and that was above and beyond what

NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333

 $00:34:28.316 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.538$  we saw in the depressed only group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333

 $00:34:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.780$  and the healthy controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333

 $00{:}34{:}32.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}35.006$  And then similarly we saw a volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333

 $00{:}34{:}35.006 \to 00{:}34{:}36.979$  increase in the right amygdala,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333

 $00:34:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.368$  again in the SSRI group above,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333

 $00{:}34{:}39.370 --> 00{:}34{:}41.104$  and beyond what we saw in

NOTE Confidence: 0.918001133333333

 $00:34:41.104 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.260$  our two comparison groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:34:45.430 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.573$  We then looked at a diffusion tractography

 $00:34:48.573 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.560$  to look at white matter connectivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:34:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.179$  and again here we looked across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:34:55.267 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.451$  whole brain and unbiased approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:34:57.451 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.984$  And and we found that there were four

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:35:00.984 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.674$  white matter connections that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:35:03.674 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.740$  increased differentially in the SSRI group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:35:06.740 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.942$  And what was most striking and that is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:35:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.394$  The similar to the structural MRI findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:35:15.394 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.107$  we found increased connectivity between

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

00:35:18.107 --> 00:35:21.344 the right amygdala and the right insula,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:35:21.344 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.192$  and that's displayed here in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

00:35:23.192 --> 00:35:25.126 violin plot again in the SSRI group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873931452727273

 $00:35:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.335$  but not in our two comparison groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.546$  So while these findings are consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:32.546 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.063$  with what we would expect from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:35.063 \dashrightarrow 00:35:37.157$  preclinical data that I showed you,

 $00:35:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.965$  as well as the population

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:38.965 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.409$  based study in Finland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:40.410 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.750$  they're not without important limitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:43.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.906$  So first and foremost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:44.906 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.640$  our sample size was quite small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.167$  We only had 16 babies who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:49.167 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.942$  prenatally exposed tests, or I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:50.942 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.018$  Second, we still haven't addressed this

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

00:35:53.018 --> 00:35:55.449 issue of confounding by indication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:55.450 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.350$  which again I'm going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.712$  I'm going to come back to 3rd.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:35:58.712 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.164$  We had no in this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00{:}36{:}00.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}01.690$  We had no behavioral follow-ups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:01.690 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.518$  and we really don't know the behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:04.518 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.700$  significance of our MRI findings.

00:36:06.700 --> 00:36:08.944 And then, third importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:08.944 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.749$  there were really striking demographic

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:11.749 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.739$  differences across our samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:13.740 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.540$  So if we looked at the SSRI group

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

00:36:16.540 --> 00:36:19.547 versus the depressed but no SSRI group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:19.550 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.588$  the SSRI group was significantly wealthier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

00:36:23.590 --> 00:36:25.806 We can't know for sure why that happened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:25.810 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.464$  but we assume it relates to access to care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:29.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.101$  and we of course tried to control

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:31.101 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.509$  for this and our analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00{:}36{:}32.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34.028$  But when the when the difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:34.028 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.787$  is that stark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:34.790 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.086$  there's a limit to what you can control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878474449473684

 $00:36:37.090 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.500$  Or just statistically?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:36:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.560$  OK. So this brings me to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:36:45.560 --> 00:36:48.156 our current ongoing study which

00:36:48.156 --> 00:36:50.644 were conducting in Sherbrooke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:36:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.066$  QC and this is a collaborative project

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:36:54.066 --> 00:36:57.309 that we're doing with Larissa Taxor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:36:57.310 --> 00:36:58.996 who's a professor at the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:36:58.996 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.520$  of Sherbrooke and Adi Talati,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.850$  who is an associate professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:02.850 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.248$  at Columbia University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.482$  And the first question that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00{:}37{:}06.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}08.331$  always get when presenting this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:08.331 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.333$  work is why are we doing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:10.333 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.639$  study in Sherbrooke and in Quebec?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:12.640 \dashrightarrow 00:37:15.734$  And there's a few reasons for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:15.740 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.100$  One is that as many of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:37:19.100 --> 00:37:21.550 Canada has universal health care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.014$  so that issue that I described before

 $00:37:24.014 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.813$  having the demographic differences that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:25.813 \dashrightarrow 00:37:28.533$  we think we're related to access to care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:37:28.540 --> 00:37:30.276 We're hoping that by doing this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:30.276 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.419$  in an area with universal healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:32.420 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.712$  that should no longer be an

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:34.712 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.780$  issue in our follow-up study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.670$  The second Sherbrooke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:38.670 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.560$  being in Quebec,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:40.560 \dashrightarrow 00:37:43.255$  is Quebec is the only French speaking

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:43.255 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.722$  province in Canada and as a result

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:45.722 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.314$  people who are born in Quebec tend to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00{:}37{:}48.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}50.624$  stay in Quebec and for anyone who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:37:50.624 --> 00:37:53.590 ever done birth cohort brief research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:37:53.590 --> 00:37:54.885 you really don't want people

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:37:54.885 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.768$  moving out of area and makes it

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:37:56.768 --> 00:37:58.370 much much harder to do followups,

 $00:37:58.370 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.942$  so doing this type of study

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00{:}37{:}59.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}01.850$  in in Quebec is advantageous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00{:}38{:}01.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}04.185$  and our collaborator lyrics attacks

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:38:04.185 --> 00:38:07.340 are Rana prior birth cohort study and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:38:07.340 --> 00:38:09.956 At 90% retention up into adolescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:38:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.190$  which is really remarkable for

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:38:12.190 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.974$  that type of study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:38:13.980 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.315$  And then third,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

00:38:15.315 --> 00:38:17.095 although Sherbrooke is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:38:17.095 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.430$  relatively small city,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00{:}38{:}18.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20.320$  it has about 200,000 people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:38:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.310$  It's the tertiary Center for

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:38:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.902$  all of eastern Quebec,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:38:23.910 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.345$  so their volume of deliveries

NOTE Confidence: 0.93774384

 $00:38:25.345 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.493$  is actually quite high.

 $00:38:26.500 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.048$  They get about 2000 deliveries per year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00{:}38{:}32.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}34.634$  So in this new study we are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00:38:34.634 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.015$  to be recruiting women during

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

00:38:37.015 --> 00:38:39.825 the first trimester of pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00:38:39.830 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.260$  following them over the course of

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00:38:42.260 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.424$  gestation while tracking their depressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00:38:44.424 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.799$  symptoms and their medication use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

00:38:46.800 --> 00:38:48.780 Will then be scanning their babies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9106866494444445

 $00:38:48.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.432$  with MRI at about one month of age

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00:38:51.432 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.334$  and then continuing to follow the

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00:38:53.401 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.510$  babies for the 1st 24 months of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00:38:56.510 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.030$  And there's really three aims

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00:38:59.030 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.550$  that we're trying to tackle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9106866494444445

 $00:39:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.028$  The first is can we replicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

00:39:04.028 --> 00:39:06.350 our prior infant MRI studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00:39:06.350 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.290$  regarding the amygdala and insula?

 $00:39:09.290 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.858$  Uhm, the second ummites determine whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00:39:11.858 \dashrightarrow 00:39:14.160$  there are any behavioral effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00:39:14.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.652$  So we'll be doing will be looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

00:39:16.652 --> 00:39:18.513 at behavioral effects related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

00:39:18.513 --> 00:39:20.323 emotion regulation in the babies

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

00:39:20.323 --> 00:39:22.389 at 12 months and 24 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00:39:22.390 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.290$  testing whether there's any effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00:39:24.290 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.190$  of SSRI on those behaviors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00:39:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.812$  and whether that relates to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

00:39:28.812 --> 00:39:31.799 MRI findings and then third,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

00:39:31.799 --> 00:39:36.342 will be testing for post Natal modifyers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00:39:36.342 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.800$  So, for example, does the parent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00{:}39{:}39.800 \longrightarrow 00{:}39{:}42.260$  In fant interaction during the foot needle

NOTE Confidence: 0.910686649444445

 $00{:}39{:}42.260 \to 00{:}39{:}44.500$  period does that alter our outcomes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:39:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.786$  So I want to return again to this

00:39:50.786 --> 00:39:53.879 issue of confounding by indication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:39:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.160$  because this is an issue that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:39:56.160 --> 00:39:58.120 we really struggled with in

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:39:58.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.940$  trying to design this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:39:59.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.560$  Uhm, and the you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:40:01.560 --> 00:40:05.448 the only way to fully address this confound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:40:05.450 --> 00:40:06.971 If through randomization

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:40:06.971 --> 00:40:10.013 randomizing or a group of depressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:40:10.013 --> 00:40:12.367 women to either SSRI or SIBO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:12.370 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.794$  But we we felt that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:14.794 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.410$  would not be feasible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:16.410 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.811$  and the ethics of that would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}40{:}18.811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}20.820$  would be somewhat questionable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:40:20.820 --> 00:40:23.135 So in lieu of randomization, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:40:23.135 --> 00:40:25.560 we're trying to carefully phenotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.280$  the the nature of the depression and

00:40:29.280 --> 00:40:32.100 the SSRI use throughout gestation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.865$  so we will be through remote tracking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.865$  will be tracking the pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:36.865 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.461$  woman's mood symptoms every

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:38.461 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.698$  two weeks throughout gestation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.520$  Beginning in the first trimester,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.922$  and will also be quantifying SSRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}40{:}45.922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}48.190$  exposure through pharmacy records.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}40{:}48.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49.878$  So I want to give you an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:49.878 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.488$  of how we're thinking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:51.490 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.824$  That's so if you take this

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:53.824 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.380$  case as one example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}40{:}55.380 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}40{:}57.256$  if you have a a pregnant woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:57.256 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.690$  during the first trimester,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:40:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.018$  her level of depressive symptoms are

 $00:41:01.018 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.730$  low and she's not taking an SSRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}41{:}03.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}05.510$  Then during the second trimester

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:41:05.510 --> 00:41:06.934 her depressive symptoms increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:41:06.940 --> 00:41:07.324 Still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077 00:41:07.324 --> 00:41:08.092 no SSRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}41{:}08.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10.780$  And then during the surgery master she

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:41:10.860 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.122$  has high depressive symptoms and no

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:41:13.122 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.920$  SSRI that will be one case example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:41:15.920 --> 00:41:18.160 And then you might have another pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:41:18.160 --> 00:41:20.698 woman woman wear during the first trimester.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}41{:}20.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22.758$  She has both high levels of depressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:41:22.758 --> 00:41:24.983 symptoms and is taking a high dose of

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00{:}41{:}24.983 \longrightarrow 00{:}41{:}26.870$  an SSRI during the second trimester.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:41:26.870 --> 00:41:28.900 The depressive symptoms remain high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

 $00:41:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.692$  SSR eyes drop a bit third trimester.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836298476923077

00:41:31.692 --> 00:41:33.316 Her depressive symptoms drop

 $00:41:33.316 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.539$  and her SSRI use goes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598

 $00{:}41{:}37.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}40.514$  What we can do with with that level

NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598

 $00:41:40.514 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.632$  of granularity then is essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598

 $00:41:42.632 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.444$  create individualized areas under

NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598

00:41:44.444 --> 00:41:47.191 the curve to quantify the degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598

00:41:47.191 --> 00:41:49.386 of exposure to depressive symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598

 $00:41:49.386 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.310$  that that the fetus has as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598

 $00:41:52.310 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.310$  as the degree of SSRI exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598

00:41:55.310 --> 00:41:58.061 Uhm, and what we're hoping is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598

 $00{:}41{:}58.061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}00.413$  this approach should minimize the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598

 $00{:}42{:}00.413 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}03.143$  likelihood that there are systematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598

 $00{:}42{:}03.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}05.334$  differences in the maternal depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598

 $00{:}42{:}05.334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}06.978$  across our different groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598

 $00:42:06.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.612$  or to the extent that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598

 $00:42:08.612 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.428$  are systematic differences,

 $00:42:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.074$  will be able to quantify those

NOTE Confidence: 0.909883598

 $00{:}42{:}11.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12.460$  differences and account for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:17.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.215$  So this is a an R1 funded study

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:21.215 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.565$  that we launched in 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:42:23.565 --> 00:42:27.520 In our original plan was to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.033$  recruitment of about 350 women

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:30.033 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.980$  for the first 2.5 years of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.195$  study and then have our final

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}42{:}35.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}37.500$  assessments four to five years later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:37.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.168$  That timeline has unfortunately

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}42{:}39.168 {\: \hbox{\scriptsize -->}}\> 00{:}42{:}40.419$  been significantly altered

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:40.419 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.558$  due to COVID where we were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.348$  We were shut down for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:42:44.348 --> 00:42:45.540 significant period of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:45.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.572$  but our overall strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:48.572 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.846$  Remains the same.

 $00:42:50.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.202$  And another point that I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:42:53.202 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.197$  make is that our our hope and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:42:56.197 --> 00:42:58.519 expectation from this study is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:42:58.519 --> 00:43:00.940 that will find that prenatal SSRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:00.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.230$  use is harmful or on the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:43:04.326 --> 00:43:07.296 hand that it's entirely benign,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:07.300 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.750$  but rather that our study can aid

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}43{:}09.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}11.821$  women and clinicians when they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}43{:}11.821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}14.191$  making decisions about whether to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:14.191 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.330$  use antidepressants during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}43{:}16.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}17.718$  So currently that decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:17.718 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.106$  as I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}43{:}19.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}21.675$  really is a balancing act

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:43:21.675 --> 00:43:23.727 between various risk factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:43:23.730 --> 00:43:25.420 but we really aren't clear

 $00:43:25.420 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.602$  about what those risks are and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:27.602 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.286$  what those ramifications are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:29.290 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.230$  The decisions being made simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:32.230 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.170$  with far too many unknowns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:35.170 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.684$  And we think that whether or

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:37.684 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.360$  not we find neurodevelopmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:39.438 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.891$  effects of prenatal SSRI use these

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:43:41.891 --> 00:43:44.657 results will be helpful either way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:43:44.660 --> 00:43:45.575 So, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:45.575 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.109$  if we have find that the effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:43:48.109 --> 00:43:50.765 the SSRI really are minimal on the offspring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:50.770 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.695$  this will allow clinicians to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:52.695 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.235$  more confidently prescribe SSR

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

00:43:54.235 --> 00:43:56.189 eyes and will allow pregnant women

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:56.189 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.509$  to use them with with much less

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:43:58.509 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.009$  anxiety about their effects.

00:44:00.010 --> 00:44:00.404 Alternatively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00{:}44{:}00.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}03.162$  if we find that there are significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:44:03.162 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.692$  effects or significant concerns that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:44:05.692 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.224$  This will steer the field towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:44:08.224 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.630$  towards other treatments for depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:44:10.630 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.766$  treatments such as psychotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.97873497

 $00:44:12.766 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.902$  or non serotonin antidepressants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}44{:}17.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21.421$  Before concluding, I also I wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:44:21.421 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.589$  briefly mention some of the methodological

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}44{:}24.589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}28.236$  challenges of doing in fant MRI work as

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:44:28.325 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.538$  this was quite relevant to our study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:44:31.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.596$  So up here on the left hand corner

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}44{:}34.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}37.200$  I'm showing you infant MRI scans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:44:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.744$  T2 weighted MRI scans from the same child

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:44:39.744 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.100$  when the child was three weeks old,

 $00:44:42.100 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.620$  and then again when the child was 16

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:44:44.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.798$  months old and what I want to point

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:44:46.798 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.074$  out is that this was the same MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

00:44:49.074 --> 00:44:51.306 pulse sequence and yet you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:44:51.306 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.652$  the contrast in the brain differs

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:44:53.652 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.451$  quite dramatically and the reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:44:55.451 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.810$  for that is that the water content

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

00:44:57.884 --> 00:45:00.154 of the brain changes substantially

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}45{:}00.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}02.424$  over the course of development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:45:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.952$  And that causes major challenges when

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}45{:}05.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}08.921$  doing in fant MRI research because

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:45:08.921 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.774$  most of our existing pipeline and

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:45:11.774 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.684$  approaches for doing MRI analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}45{:}13.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}15.870$  are based on a mature brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:45:15.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.006$  And so if you change the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00:45:18.006 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.718$  contrast dramatically,

 $00:45:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.104$  those approaches are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.894071368518519

 $00{:}45{:}20.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}21.834$  to become much less accurate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:45:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.918$  So this is one UM example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:45:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.808$  where an existing pipeline in MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:45:30.808 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.402$  pipeline and automated software is used

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:45:34.402 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.180$  to segment the amygdala and infant brand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:45:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.266$  So each one of these pictures is

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00{:}45{:}39.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}40.832$ a different amygdala that's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

00:45:40.832 --> 00:45:42.960 segmented from an infant MRI scan and

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

00:45:42.960 --> 00:45:44.944 what I want to draw your attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:45:44.944 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.690$  to is that there's the overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

00:45:46.690 --> 00:45:48.670 curvature does look like the amygdala,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00{:}45{:}48.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51.015$  but there's bumps and ridges in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

00:45:51.015 --> 00:45:53.169 that are clearly not representing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:45:53.170 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.260$  Anatomy and are just in accuracies

 $00:45:56.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.320$  and in the processing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00{:}45{:}58.320 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}46{:}02.256$  So we are trying to leverage

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:46:02.256 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.880$  artificial intelligence to improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:46:04.988 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.280$  upon these techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:46:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.680$  and so these are the results

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:46:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.280$  from our AI approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:46:11.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.020$  Segmenting the amygdala

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

00:46:13.020 --> 00:46:15.920 in from infant MRI scans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:46:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.816$  and you can see it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

00:46:17.816 --> 00:46:18.764 certainly not perfect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

00:46:18.770 --> 00:46:21.018 but these types of bumps are are much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:46:21.020 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.107$  much less common in in our segmentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00{:}46{:}24.107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}26.640$  relative to the standard case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:46:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.276$  Another huge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

00:46:28.276 --> 00:46:30.730 Advantage of UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:46:30.730 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.750$  that this artificial intelligence

 $00:46:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.275$  approaches the computational time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00{:}46{:}35.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37.535$  So segmenting a infant brand

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

00:46:37.535 --> 00:46:38.888 using standard software,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

00:46:38.890 --> 00:46:42.895 it takes up to 8 hours per MRI scan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

00:46:42.900 --> 00:46:43.970 and if you're working with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:46:43.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.400$  you know large datasets that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:46:46.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.020$  be incredibly cumbersome for

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

00:46:48.092 --> 00:46:49.508 artificial intelligence which

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00{:}46{:}49.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}52.340$  can do the same operation about

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:46:52.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.008$  literally about 10 seconds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00{:}46{:}54.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56.308$  We've also measured the accuracy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:46:56.308 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.194$  our AI AI approach against a gold

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00{:}46{:}59.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}01.750$  standard human tracing of the amygdala,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:47:01.750 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.250$  and ours outperforms the standard techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:47:06.250 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.804$  and this is work that's being

00:47:07.804 --> 00:47:09.728 spearheaded by Yun Wang and Claudia Lugo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803022016666667

 $00:47:09.730 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.220$  Can Dallas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

00:47:13.480 --> 00:47:17.160 Uhm? So in summary, UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00:47:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.106$  some of the lessons that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00:47:20.106 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.640$  learned in doing this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

00:47:22.640 --> 00:47:25.270 I realize this is probably preaching,

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00:47:25.270 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.678$  preaching to the choir,

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00:47:26.678 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.354$  but first is the the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

00:47:29.354 --> 00:47:31.774 of development and not forgetting

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00:47:31.774 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.000$  that the infant brain is is not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00:47:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.196$  is not an adult brain, only small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00:47:37.196 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.254$  or that the Physiology of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00:47:39.254 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.294$  infant brain of the developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

00:47:41.294 --> 00:47:43.444 brain really can differ quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.646800202

 $00:47:43.444 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.068$  substantially from the adult brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

 $00:47:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.286$  The second is the importance of

 $00:47:50.286 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.794$  translational research that all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

 $00{:}47{:}52.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}54.906$  our approaches have limitations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

00:47:54.910 --> 00:47:58.094 and what we really should be shooting for

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

 $00:47:58.094 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.609$  is triangulation across those modalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

 $00:48:00.610 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.518$  Uhm, and speaking to that point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

 $00:48:02.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.742$  I I want to conclude with a quote from

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

00:48:05.742 --> 00:48:08.246 Michael Rutter who said it would be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

00:48:08.246 --> 00:48:10.137 great mistake to see translation simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

00:48:10.137 --> 00:48:12.790 in terms of applying at the bedside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

 $00:48:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.730$  The findings of basic science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

00:48:14.730 --> 00:48:17.089 Many of the pathways start with clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

 $00{:}48{:}17.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19.584$  studies and not with basic science and

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

 $00{:}48{:}19.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}21.714$  an even greater proportion involve a

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

 $00:48:21.779 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.425$  complex iterative interplay between the two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

 $00:48:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.865$  And it's that iterative interplay

 $00:48:25.865 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.946$  that I think we're we're really after

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

 $00{:}48{:}27.946 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}48{:}29.728$  in in many questions of psychiatry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

 $00:48:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.078$  but certainly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879532114

 $00:48:31.078 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.588$  The safety of SS variety during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898881361538461

 $00:48:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.661$  So I want to acknowledge that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.898881361538461

00:48:38.661 --> 00:48:40.709 people that have supported this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898881361538461

00:48:40.710 --> 00:48:45.570 UM, NIH, UM, the Webster Foundation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898881361538461

 $00:48:45.570 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.520$  several others, and really wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.898881361538461

 $00:48:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.888$  thank you for your time and attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.898881361538461

 $00:48:49.888 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.898$  and happy to take any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781951

00:49:05.280 --> 00:49:06.500 Thank you Jonathan. I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781951

00:49:06.500 --> 00:49:07.910 know how it worked on zoom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308

 $00:49:07.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.163$  but if you were here in real

NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308

 $00{:}49{:}09.163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}10.258$  life people just clap for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308

 $00:49:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.538$  I want you to know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308

 $00:49:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.649$  No, thank you.

00:49:13.650 --> 00:49:16.100 But really excellent and elegant

NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308

 $00{:}49{:}16.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19.150$  program of research you described for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308

 $00:49:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.896$  Really cool to see the kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308

 $00:49:20.896 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.730$  of bringing together at the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308

 $00:49:22.730 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.430$  From everything from very basic kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308

00:49:24.430 --> 00:49:26.216 of animal work to stuff that's very

NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308

00:49:26.216 --> 00:49:29.160 very relevant and applied one second

NOTE Confidence: 0.829200617692308

 $00:49:29.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.496$  while we changed the view here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9052639

00:49:34.450 --> 00:49:38.570 Jonathan, could you stop sharing? Sure yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8612262

 $00:49:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.390$  He sent over a share. OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87108328

 $00:49:44.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.918$  here you go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89606836

 $00:49:45.460 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.740$  Jonathan. There we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96044344

 $00:49:49.410 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.700$  OK, so now my understanding is

NOTE Confidence: 0.96044344

 $00:49:51.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.620$  that people in the audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.921597535333333

00:49:53.697 --> 00:49:56.080 can actually ask a question with

 $00:49:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.240$  their own mouths if they would like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921597535333333

 $00:49:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.292$  If they unmute, I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9215975353333333

00:50:00.292 --> 00:50:01.989 I don't know that anyone's unmuted yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921597535333333

 $00:50:01.989 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.419$  but I can go ahead and

NOTE Confidence: 0.916782215

 $00:50:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.930$  start with a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.505$  so. I thought it was really cool to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:08.505 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.930$  to get an understanding of the mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:10.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.586$  of how SSR eyes could be affecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:13.586 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.986$  prenatal brain growth from the mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:15.990 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.854$  and it's really cool to see the differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00{:}50{:}19.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}22.597$  that you saw in the the neonates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

00:50:22.600 --> 00:50:24.790 And I guess my questions are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:24.790 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.325$  I mean, another thing interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

00:50:26.325 --> 00:50:27.860 is if I understood correctly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.558$  like the the mechanisms of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00{:}50{:}29.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}30.690$  the authorized we're doing.

00:50:30.690 --> 00:50:33.630 It's not simply like there's just and

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.910$  there's too little, too little serotonin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

00:50:35.910 --> 00:50:37.270 It's a different structure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:37.270 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.554$  it's affecting around migration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:38.554 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.480$  So I guess my question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:40.480 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.096$  is do the mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:42.096 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.670$  the differences you see in the mice brains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:45.670 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.876$  I know that the structure is

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:46.876 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.680$  going to be different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.600$  but are they at least consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:50:49.600 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.791$  with the differences that you see in

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

00:50:51.791 --> 00:50:53.483 infant brains and an infant brains?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00{:}50{:}53.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}55.338$  Is the pattern of kind of insula,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00{:}50{:}55.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}57.248$ amygdala enlargement and

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

00:50:57.248 --> 00:50:58.520 connectivity differences?

 $00:50:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.725$  Is that an established kind of neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:51:00.725 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.543$  phenotype for people with major

NOTE Confidence: 0.859210690344828

 $00:51:02.543 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.050$  depressive disorder in a dulthood?

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:06.210 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.660$  Those are great great questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:51:07.660 --> 00:51:09.736 Jamie. Thanks so much for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:51:09.740 --> 00:51:12.911 Yeah, you know I. I think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:12.911 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.319$  really fascinating to think that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:51:15.320 --> 00:51:17.408 The, UM, the Physiology of serotonin

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:51:17.408 --> 00:51:21.048 or or it's a it's a fax on the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:51:21.048 --> 00:51:23.051 can differ so substantially depending

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:51:23.051 --> 00:51:25.907 on the developmental period that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:25.907 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.230$  you're looking at and you know one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}51{:}28.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}29.859$  the things that I I didn't mention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}51{:}29.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33.662$  Also is that expression of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:33.662 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.038$  serotonin transporter also changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:36.038 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.820$  substantially across development.

 $00:51:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.420$  So in the adult or mature brain the

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}51{:}40.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}42.670$  expression is somewhat circumscribed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:42.670 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.778$  whereas in the fetal and infant brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:51:45.780 --> 00:51:47.048 It's it's rather ubiquitous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:47.048 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.316$  so it's it's expressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.158$  although for the brain, and interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:51:51.160 --> 00:51:54.016 it's also it's not in the adult brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}51{:}54.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}56.205$  its expression is limited to

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:51:56.205 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.516$  serotine ergic neurons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:51:57.520 --> 00:51:58.166 which would,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}51{:}58.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}00.427$  which would make sense given its role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.332$  But in the fetal brain it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}52{:}02.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}04.119$  expressed in neurons that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}52{:}04.119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}05.939$  don't actually release seroton in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:52:05.940 --> 00:52:08.676 Again, speaking to the more plausible

 $00:52:08.676 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.778$  role of serotonin as a neurotrophic

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}52{:}11.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}14.758$  factor rather than a neurotransmitter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.042$  Uhm, but to answer the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:18.042 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.230$  part of your question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

00:52:20.230 --> 00:52:23.050 So I I guess I would say yes and no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00.52:23.050 \longrightarrow 00.52:24.850$  UM, so certainly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:24.850 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.450$  UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:25.450 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.450$  the the behavioral phenotype that

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}52{:}28.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32.106$  they're seeing in the rodent models

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:32.106 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.739$  relate to emotion regulation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}52{:}34.739 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}36.552$  what we saw in our Internet MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}52{:}36.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}38.580$  scans the effects and the amygdala.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:38.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.638$  The campus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:39.638 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.754$  certainly those are heavily

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:41.754 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.870$  implicated in emotional responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}52{:}43.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}46.602$  or emotional responses in how we

 $00{:}52{:}46.602 \to 00{:}52{:}49.030$  respond to various emotional stimuli.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}52{:}49.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}51.070$  So there's there's consistency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:51.070 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.580$  There.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:51.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.028$  Uhm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:52.028 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.372$  where there's less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:53.372 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.612$  consistency is the the specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:55.612 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.930$  brain substrates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:52:56.930 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.288$  So in the rodent models the lion's

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:53:00.288 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.172$  share the lion's share of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:53:02.172 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.111$  findings were hippocampal based in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:53:04.111 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.416$  at least in our hands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:53:05.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.428$  We we did not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00{:}53{:}06.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}07.940$  We did not see the effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.772280854

 $00:53:08.001 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.229$  and that the campus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863689304

00:53:11.430 --> 00:53:12.992 But I you know I, I don't.

00:53:12.992 --> 00:53:14.586 I don't know that, UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863689304

 $00:53:14.586 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.874$  we should expect to see sort of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.863689304

 $00:53:16.874 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.047$  one to one correspondence in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.863689304

 $00:53:19.047 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.700$  of in terms of neural substrates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863689304

00:53:21.700 --> 00:53:24.528 You know, I think the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.863689304

00:53:24.530 --> 00:53:27.138 analogous brain circuits are

NOTE Confidence: 0.863689304

00:53:27.138 --> 00:53:30.236 involved is probably enough to

NOTE Confidence: 0.863689304

00:53:30.236 --> 00:53:33.280 motivate further work in humans. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.754957276315789

00:53:33.290 --> 00:53:35.719 what about babies to adults is like

NOTE Confidence: 0.754957276315789

00:53:35.719 --> 00:53:38.262 the insular amygdala and a kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.754957276315789

 $00{:}53{:}38.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}40.052$  depressive neural phenotype in a dults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:53:41.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.156$  Yeah, for sure, and I'm sorry that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00{:}53{:}44.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}47.084$  I didn't mention that so alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:53:47.084 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.789$  in connectivity between the amygdala

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

00:53:49.790 --> 00:53:52.785 and insula have been implicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:53:52.785 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.780$  in anxiety disorders in adults,

 $00:53:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.920$  and have also been implicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:53:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.060$  in trait levels of anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:54:00.060 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.944$  So not just the disorder per say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:54:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.898$  it's more implicated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:54:04.898 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.359$  anxiety than depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

00:54:06.360 --> 00:54:09.438 but I think probably trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:54:09.438 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.490$  parse anxiety from depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:54:11.490 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.750$  Particularly at that early stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883281646842105

 $00:54:13.750 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.054$  it may be asking too much of the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.957484

 $00:54:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.698$  Thank you. Other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347991375

 $00:54:18.710 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.038$  questions, either in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347991375

 $00:54:20.038 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.366$  room or in cyberspace.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

00:54:27.490 --> 00:54:28.726 I'll explain my position

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

 $00:54:28.726 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.386$  and then I'm also curious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

 $00:54:30.390 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.295$  given that kind of breath.

00:54:32.295 --> 00:54:34.786 I guess you describe it as kind of civic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

 $00:54:34.790 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.145$  but the breadth of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

00:54:36.145 --> 00:54:37.229 disruptions that you see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

 $00:54:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.845$  It's interesting to me that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

 $00:54:38.845 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.836$  the epidemiological effects you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

 $00:54:40.836 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.670$  are really specific to depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

00:54:43.670 --> 00:54:45.070 which I guess isn't really a question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75332487

 $00:54:45.070 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.620$  but that's just some striking to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

00:54:47.890 --> 00:54:52.100 It is it is striking, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:54:52.100 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.140$  And and it is striking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:54:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.106$  And all honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:54:55.106 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.959$  I don't quite know what to make of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:54:57.960 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.230$  I I certainly would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:54:59.230 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.500$  predicted that if there were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:55:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.158$  in effect on depression, you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00{:}55{:}03.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}06.269$  also see that affecting on anxiety II.

 $00:55:06.269 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.203$  Suppose one possibility for that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:55:09.203 \dashrightarrow 00:55:12.678$  that the anxiety effects were elevated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

00:55:12.680 --> 00:55:14.890 they just weren't elevated above

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:55:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.100$  and beyond the other groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:55:17.100 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.077$  So it it may be that the because the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:55:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.368$  The prenatal maternal illness

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:55:24.368 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.656$  is also increasing anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:55:26.660 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.260$  We're not seeing a differential effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00.55:30.260 \longrightarrow 00.55:31.968$  And for whatever reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:55:31.968 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.676$  that differential effect is

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

00:55:33.676 --> 00:55:35.714 only located in depression in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

00:55:35.714 --> 00:55:37.298 you know, I realize that's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00{:}55{:}37.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}39.830$  a very satisfying answer, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:55:39.830 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.600$  If others have thoughts on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911520398

 $00:55:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.510$  I would I would love to hear your views.

 $00:55:46.070 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.415$  I'm less good at Andres than making

NOTE Confidence: 0.816405828888889

 $00{:}55{:}48.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}50.604$  vague threats to people on zoom

NOTE Confidence: 0.816405828888889

 $00:55:50.604 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.459$  who aren't and drink questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816405828888889

 $00:55:52.460 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.088$  and we've gotten in vivo question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816405828888889

 $00:55:56.090 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.458$  But we've got to run the mic to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94892085 00:55:58.570 --> 00:55:58.920 OK. NOTE Confidence: 0.85835877

00:56:17.040 --> 00:56:20.120 Can you on me? Yeah, can someone on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.865155961818182

 $00:56:20.130 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.110$  zoom give me a thumbs up if you can hear me

NOTE Confidence: 0.51753913

 $00{:}56{:}22.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}25.264$  Linda or Faye? Yes, I can hear you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.51753913

 $00:56:25.264 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.460$  I can hear you well understood you well

NOTE Confidence: 0.826954717142857

 $00{:}56{:}27.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}29.059$  and we can hear you as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826954717142857

 $00:56:29.060 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.612$  So that's good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826954717142857

00:56:29.612 --> 00:56:30.348 Hold on one second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826954717142857

 $00:56:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.262$  We have a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826954717142857

 $00:56:31.262 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.900$  It just say your name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:56:33.900 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.226$  Hi, I'm Cassie.

 $00:56:35.226 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.320$  I'm a postdoc or post graduate trainee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:56:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.610$  UM and I've had two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

00:56:40.610 --> 00:56:42.038 There's sort of half baked questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:56:42.040 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.680$  but one of the things I was thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}56{:}44.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}46.725$  about is I was wondering like what

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:56:46.725 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.502$  kinds of sort of subjective self

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

00:56:48.502 --> 00:56:50.152 report information you might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

00:56:50.152 --> 00:56:51.895 getting from others during their

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}56{:}51.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}53.730$  pregnancies and thinking about like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:56:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.448$  What kind of I guess I've met anxiety they

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

00:56:56.448 --> 00:56:59.467 might be having about being on an SSRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:56:59.467 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.298$  and potential developmental effects if

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:57:01.298 \dashrightarrow 00:57:05.444$  these are women who are of High SC accident.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

00:57:05.450 --> 00:57:07.160 I don't know, just like putting

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

00:57:07.160 --> 00:57:08.870 myself in that potential situation,

 $00:57:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.995$  I could imagine not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

00:57:10.995 --> 00:57:12.332 be anxious in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:57:12.332 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.019$  but like having anxiety about my anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:57:14.019 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.652$  and knowing that that might have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:57:15.652 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.110$  effect on my child's development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}57{:}17.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}19.371$  So I was curious about what kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:57:19.371 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.094$  of subjective self report stuff

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

00:57:21.094 --> 00:57:23.146 you might be getting from moms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:57:23.150 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.047$  and then honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00:57:24.047 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.140$  my seeking questions is keeping me so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}57{:}26.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}28.956$  I guess I'll just leave it at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681494775

 $00{:}57{:}28.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}29.450 \ \mathrm{Yeah},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

00:57:29.460 --> 00:57:31.080 I, I think it's I think it's a really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8716681011111111

 $00:57:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.616$  really great question and I and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}57{:}32.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}34.742$  I I think that also you know it

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:57:34.742 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.308$  speaks it Harkins back to this

 $00:57:36.371 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.316$  issue of confounding by indication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:57:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.138$  So you know, if you have a a group

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

00:57:40.138 --> 00:57:42.263 of women who are depressed and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

00:57:42.263 --> 00:57:43.852 taking necessary versus a group

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

00:57:43.852 --> 00:57:45.556 of women who are depressed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:57:45.556 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.085$  taking this try is there some?

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:57:47.085 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.435$  Is there some reason for that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

00:57:48.440 --> 00:57:50.888 Is there some reason why one

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}57{:}50.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}52.990$  group was prescribed and SSRI?

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

00:57:52.990 --> 00:57:55.578 And uhm. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8716681011111111

00:57:55.578 --> 00:57:57.519 really definitively answering

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

00:57:57.519 --> 00:58:01.440 that is is really difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8716681011111111

 $00{:}58{:}01.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}04.457$  You know our our approach was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}58{:}04.457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}07.273$  enroll early on pregnancy so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:07.273 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.605$  could start assessing from the get go

00:58:10.610 --> 00:58:12.495 throughout the course of pregnancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

00:58:12.495 --> 00:58:15.150 and then to assess quite frequently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:15.150 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.158$  so we'd have multiple data points so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:17.158 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.127$  could look at things like trajectories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87166810111111100:58:19.130 --> 00:58:20.260 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.085$  changing the depression symptoms overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.382$  Uh, the differences across

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:25.382 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.528$  various trimesters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.170$  which which likely have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:29.170 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.810$  effect on on fetal development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8716681011111111

 $00{:}58{:}31.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}34.802$  The the the cost in doing that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:34.802 \longrightarrow 00:58:37.207$  doing this very frequent assessments

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

00:58:37.207 --> 00:58:41.710 is that our we didn't want to send

NOTE Confidence: 0.8716681011111111

 $00{:}58{:}41.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}43.296$  women no extensive question naires

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:43.296 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.288$  every two weeks to fill out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:45.290 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.050$  We just didn't think that would be feasible.

00:58:47.050 --> 00:58:48.790 That people understandably would get

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}58{:}48.790 \to 00{:}58{:}50.530$  frustrated and stop completing them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:50.530 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.148$  So our our assessments are are somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:53.148 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.480$  cursory and that we're using that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:55.480 \longrightarrow 00:58:58.540$  The PHQ 9 and GAD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:58:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.493$  which is a I can't remember a

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:59:00.493 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.639$  seven or nine item questionnaire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:59:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.860$  Self Report questionnaire about anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:59:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.910$  And then we're also assessing

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:59:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.140$  any substance use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8716681011111111

 $00:59:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.784$  so we're assessing frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:59:09.784 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.250$  over a long period of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}59{:}12.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}14.842$  but the type of granularity that

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

00:59:14.842 --> 00:59:17.001 you're talking about in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:59:17.001 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.736$  of the nature of the.

 $00:59:18.740 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.340$  Anxious feelings I think it's going to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00:59:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.896$  It's going to be difficult to to tease apart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

 $00{:}59{:}23.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}25.468$ but I I think you I think you

NOTE Confidence: 0.871668101111111

00:59:25.468 --> 00:59:26.529 raised a great point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958806555556

 $00:59:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.970$  Thanks Jonathan and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958806555556

 $00:59:29.970 \longrightarrow 00:59:31.106$  a question from Malia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958806555556

 $00:59:31.110 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.604$  Hi, thank you so much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958806555556

00:59:32.604 --> 00:59:33.475 this great presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82958806555556

00:59:33.475 --> 00:59:35.440 Such important work

NOTE Confidence: 0.838637573333333

 $00:59:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:59:37.688$  as we try to tease apart all day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838637573333333

 $00{:}59{:}37.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}38.870$  probably some outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931027451428571

 $00:59:40.410 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.336$  And I'm really excited to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.931027451428571

00:59:42.336 --> 00:59:44.031 your your longitudinal findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931027451428571

00:59:44.031 --> 00:59:45.850 because my understanding at least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931027451428571

 $00:59:45.850 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.948$  is that sometimes you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.931027451428571

 $00:59:47.948 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.973$  cross sectionally and infancy.

 $00:59:48.973 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.220$  You may see some of these changes, but later

NOTE Confidence: 0.99242799

 $00:59:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.382$  on the differences are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.99242799

00:59:53.382 --> 00:59:55.790 significant any longer, so I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.99242799

 $00:59:55.790 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.018$  curious if you could comment a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.969354883333333

 $00:59:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.918$  bit about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862401806923077

 $00:59:58.380 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.460$  and additionally I was wondering in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862401806923077

01:00:01.460 --> 01:00:06.320 your studies or other studies that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8114958475

 $01:00:06.330 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.458$  about or you present

NOTE Confidence: 0.891289912857143

01:00:07.470 --> 01:00:09.794 it if of course, not with mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891289912857143

 $01:00:09.800 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.928$  but with with. Humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891289912857143

 $01:00:13.930 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.945$  How do you also control for other

NOTE Confidence: 0.891289912857143

 $01:00:16.945 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.835$  the rapies that a lot of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.873414583333333

01:00:18.870 --> 01:00:20.800 mothers may be exposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.956561023333333

01:00:21.060 --> 01:00:23.136 and thinking about the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96575722

 $01:00:23.150 \longrightarrow 01:00:26.570$  some of these non pharmacologic

 $01:00:26.570 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.510$  treatments also have effects on

NOTE Confidence: 0.96575722

01:00:28.510 --> 01:00:30.880 brain morphology and connectivity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:00:32.240 --> 01:00:35.094 Yeah, you know, I think that the both really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:00:35.094 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.360$  really great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:00:36.360 \longrightarrow 01:00:37.800$  So the first question about

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:00:37.800 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.819$  sort of the post Natal effect I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:00:39.820 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.486$  I think that is hugely important and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:00:44.486 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.594$  know, in a human longitudinal study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:00:47.600 --> 01:00:49.605 It's you know, the postnatal

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:00:49.605 --> 01:00:51.209 environment is really complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:00:51.210 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.967$  and so to be able to assess

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:00:52.967 \longrightarrow 01:00:53.960$  every aspect of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:00:53.960 \longrightarrow 01:00:58.176$  Of course it's not not feasible, but we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:00:58.176 --> 01:00:59.796 We're trying to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:00:59.796 --> 01:01:01.228 get a comprehensive view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01{:}01{:}01{:}01{:}03$  -->  $01{:}01{:}04{.}614$  and so the strategy that we're taking is

 $01:01:04.614 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.618$  that they'll be four post Natal visits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:01:07.620 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.396$  Two of them will be in the home

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:01:09.396 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.334$  where will have researchers go and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:01:11.334 --> 01:01:13.129 actually assess the home environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:01:13.130 \longrightarrow 01:01:17.440$  and two of them will be in the in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:01:17.440 --> 01:01:20.550 There were quite, uhm, we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:01:20.550 --> 01:01:21.518 We think that's very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:01:21.518 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.970$  very important to have a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:01:23.019 --> 01:01:24.631 characterization of the parent

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:01:24.631 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.437$  infant interactions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:01:25.440 --> 01:01:27.464 So we're actually collaborating

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:01:27.464 --> 01:01:28.808 with accident investigator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01{:}01{:}28.808 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}32.160$  who I believe has an affiliation with Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:01:32.160 --> 01:01:33.036 Ruth Feldman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:01:33.036 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.664$  who developed a coding scheme to

 $01:01:35.664 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.149$  to code parent interactions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01{:}01{:}38.149 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}41.141$  will be assessing those at anywhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:01:41.141 --> 01:01:43.847 from two to three time points,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:01:43.850 \longrightarrow 01:01:48.114$  will also continue to assess the the mothers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:01:48.120 --> 01:01:50.800 For for psychiatric symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:01:50.800 --> 01:01:52.946 postnatally so postpartum depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:01:52.946 \longrightarrow 01:01:55.767$  anxiety and we are also this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

01:01:55.767 --> 01:01:58.018 going to be more of a challenge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:01:58.020 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.057$  but our goal is to also incorporate

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:02:00.057 \longrightarrow 01:02:02.074$  fathers into that assessment to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:02:02.074 \longrightarrow 01:02:04.246$  able to assess psychiatric symptoms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845120078571428

 $01:02:04.246 \longrightarrow 01:02:06.207$  substance use in the father's as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

01:02:08.400 --> 01:02:11.895 You know, I I. I, I think that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01{:}02{:}11.895 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}14.215$  doing our darndest to get a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

01:02:14.215 --> 01:02:16.375 characterization of the postman environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:02:16.380 \longrightarrow 01:02:18.627$  but I I fully acknowledge that there's,

 $01:02:18.630 \longrightarrow 01:02:20.340$  you know, the environment complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:02:20.340 \longrightarrow 01:02:21.412$  and there's there's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:02:21.412 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.020$  There's a limit to what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:02:23.083 \longrightarrow 01:02:24.398$  could do in that regard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:02:24.400 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.877$  But I I agree with your point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:02:25.880 \longrightarrow 01:02:28.680$  That's it. Very well could be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:02:28.680 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.335$  case that there are initial post

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:02:31.335 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.154$  Natal effects that are fully moderated

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:02:34.154 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.136$  by the the post Natal environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:02:37.140 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.150$  Uhm? And your second question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:02:40.150 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.287$  Other other treatments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:02:41.287 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.309$  Yeah, I think that's I think that's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01{:}02{:}44.309 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}46.709$  great point in our our short book study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:02:46.710 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.420$  We will have information about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:02:49.420 \longrightarrow 01:02:50.504$  other treatments.

 $01:02:50.510 \longrightarrow 01:02:53.720$  It won't be as granular as I might

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

01:02:53.720 --> 01:02:55.962 like it to be, so we'll know if,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

01:02:55.962 --> 01:02:56.598 for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:02:56.600 \longrightarrow 01:02:59.225$  if a pregnant woman received

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

01:02:59.225 --> 01:03:00.800 psychotherapy for depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:03:00.800 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.104$  but will have limited information about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:03:03.104 \longrightarrow 01:03:05.689$  the nature of that psychotherapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

01:03:05.689 --> 01:03:08.144 the duration of that psychotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:03:08.150 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.100$  in Sherbrooke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:03:09.100 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.000$  The access to psychotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:03:11.000 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.425$  is relatively limited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:03:12.430 \longrightarrow 01:03:13.924$  so we we don't think that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

01:03:13.924 --> 01:03:15.310 going to be particularly common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01{:}03{:}15.310 --> 01{:}03{:}17.880$  but certainly could be there

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:03:17.880 \longrightarrow 01:03:20.995$  another way to look at that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01{:}03{:}21.000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}23.625$  You arguably there could be a direct

 $01:03:23.625 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.860$  effect of the psychotherapy on the fetus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:03:26.860 \longrightarrow 01:03:28.980$  but I think more likely it would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

 $01:03:28.980 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.336$  an indirect effect through the mother

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

01:03:31.336 --> 01:03:33.410 psychiatric symptoms, and so we will be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7721796775

01:03:33.410 --> 01:03:34.590 We will be capturing us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911648773333333

 $01:03:36.350 \longrightarrow 01:03:37.675$  And we have one last

NOTE Confidence: 0.911648773333333

 $01:03:37.675 \longrightarrow 01:03:38.735$  question from the audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949214

 $01:03:41.770 \longrightarrow 01:03:42.502$  Thank you Jonathan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949214

01:03:42.502 --> 01:03:44.340 Loved your talk. Just wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949214

 $01:03:44.340 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.044$  The question is in terms of getting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.949214

01:03:48.044 --> 01:03:51.480 cause and the impact of SSRI exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949214

 $01:03:51.480 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.237$  I'm wondering do you have any more

NOTE Confidence: 0.949214

 $01{:}03{:}53.237 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}55.610$ granular data or senior Sherbrooke study

NOTE Confidence: 0.909933132

 $01:03:55.790 \longrightarrow 01:03:58.130$  in terms of the dosages

NOTE Confidence: 0.840535916

 $01:03:58.140 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.490$  that the moms are getting?

 $01:03:59.720 \longrightarrow 01:04:01.229$  Or maybe the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90349588

 $01:04:01.240 \longrightarrow 01:04:03.660$  timing of the doses that might be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.90349588

 $01:04:03.660 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.237$  to tell a little bit more about costs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:04:07.030 \longrightarrow 01:04:08.584$  Yeah, absolutely so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:04:08.584 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.408$  So timing, I think it's going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:04:11.408 \longrightarrow 01:04:13.942$  hard to to get at a. We will have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:04:13.942 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.000$  We will have access to the medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

01:04:16.064 --> 01:04:18.054 records and the pharmacy records

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01{:}04{:}18.054 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}20.044$  so we'll know what's prescribed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

01:04:20.050 --> 01:04:22.200 Although the dose prescribed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01{:}04{:}22.200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}24.940$  we'll know what's what was filled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:04:24.940 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.936$  Uhm, and so we can we can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:04:27.940 \longrightarrow 01:04:30.873$  you know from that we can calculate

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:04:30.873 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.130$  the net exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

01:04:32.130 --> 01:04:34.092 Will know if the doctor prescribed

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:04:34.092 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.785$  it for morning intake versus

01:04:35.785 --> 01:04:38.032 evening and take the extent to which

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01{:}04{:}38.032 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}39.910$  the patient follows that advice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:04:39.910 \longrightarrow 01:04:43.529$  We won't be able to determine that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

01:04:43.530 --> 01:04:44.715 You know another thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

01:04:44.715 --> 01:04:45.663 I I should mention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

01:04:45.670 --> 01:04:47.815 which is somewhat tangential and

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

01:04:47.815 --> 01:04:50.661 that's why I didn't bring it up

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:04:50.661 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.845$  before is that we will also have

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:04:52.850 \longrightarrow 01:04:56.630$  very a very extensive biorepository,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01{:}04{:}56.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}59.395$  so act deliberately delivery will

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:04:59.395 \longrightarrow 01:05:01.607$  be collecting placenta cord.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

01:05:01.610 --> 01:05:03.865 Blood during pregnancy will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01{:}05{:}03.865 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}05.930$  maternal blood, which if we wanted to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

01:05:05.930 --> 01:05:06.466 for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:05:06.466 \longrightarrow 01:05:08.074$  we could test for SSRI levels

 $01:05:08.074 \longrightarrow 01:05:09.490$  in the maternal blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

01:05:09.490 --> 01:05:10.690 It would be one snapshot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:05:10.690 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.951$  but it would be at least some

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:05:12.951 \longrightarrow 01:05:14.380$  quantification of of level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

01:05:14.380 --> 01:05:16.756 Uhm, and then we'll also be looking at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

01:05:16.760 --> 01:05:19.294 UM, some post Natal biospecimens as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:05:19.300 \longrightarrow 01:05:22.198$  Things like breast milk and and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.935707334

 $01:05:22.200 \longrightarrow 01:05:24.090$  there are potential transmissions there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942432285

 $01{:}05{:}27.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}28.711$  Alright, perfect timing and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.942432285

 $01:05:28.711 \longrightarrow 01:05:30.230$  we're at the top of the hour

NOTE Confidence: 0.882989192916667

 $01{:}05{:}30.279 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}31.845$  so every body can hear actually stand

NOTE Confidence: 0.882989192916667

 $01:05:31.845 \longrightarrow 01:05:33.830$  up and walk like the old days work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882989192916667

 $01:05:33.830 \longrightarrow 01:05:35.490$  Just click, leave meeting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.882989192916667

 $01:05:35.490 \longrightarrow 01:05:37.195$  then enter your next meeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882989192916667

01:05:37.195 --> 01:05:38.660 But Jonathan, thank you very

NOTE Confidence: 0.881811784285714

 $01:05:38.670 \longrightarrow 01:05:41.260$  much for spending your party afternoon with

 $01:05:41.270 \longrightarrow 01:05:42.476$  us as an outstanding talk and

NOTE Confidence: 0.840394032

 $01:05:42.476 \longrightarrow 01:05:43.640$  it's clear from the questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.840394032

01:05:43.640 --> 01:05:44.916 everybody found it enjoyable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840394032

 $01:05:44.920 \longrightarrow 01:05:46.270$  engaging, so and personally it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.840394032

01:05:46.270 --> 01:05:48.008 nice to see you and I'll look

NOTE Confidence: 0.840394032

 $01{:}05{:}48.008 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}49.560$  forward to seeing you as a real 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.840394032

 $01{:}05{:}49.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}51.040$  dimensional movie sometime soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928824262

 $01:05:52.020 \longrightarrow 01:05:52.980$  Wonderful, thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928824262

 $01:05:52.980 \longrightarrow 01:05:53.790$  Really a pleasure.