WEBVTT

- NOTE duration:"00:16:25"
- NOTE recognizability:0.663
- NOTE language:en-us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5825582

 $00:00:00.000 \rightarrow 00:00:02.320$  From Hartford, Ala.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7491552766666667

00:00:20.770 --> 00:00:22.303 OK, good afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7491552766666667

00:00:22.303 --> 00:00:26.150 So can you see my screen yes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7491552766666667

 $00:00:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.660$  alright perfect so let me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7491552766666667

 $00:00:31.660 \rightarrow 00:00:35.260$  OK, so many of the young,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7491552766666667

 $00{:}00{:}35{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38{.}092$  so I was a spirit stroke

NOTE Confidence: 0.7491552766666667

 $00:00:38.092 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.980$  night fellow last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7491552766666667

00:00:39.980 --> 00:00:43.636 Today I'm very happy to talk about my

NOTE Confidence: 0.7491552766666667

 $00{:}00{:}43.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47.537$  research in young patients with the issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7491552766666667

 $00:00:47.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.174$  So the title of my presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7491552766666667

 $00:00:50.174 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.611$  today is a potential embolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7491552766666667

 $00{:}00{:}52.611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.566$  sources differ in patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7491552766666667

 $00:00:55.566 \rightarrow 00:00:57.930$  embolic stroke of undetermined

NOTE Confidence: 0.749155276666667

 $00:00:58.021 \rightarrow 00:01:00.049$  source according to age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00{:}01{:}02{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05{.}214$  And so the term embolic stroke

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:01:05.214 \rightarrow 00:01:07.864$  of and determine the source

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:01:07.864 \rightarrow 00:01:11.280$  issues was introduced by issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

00:01:11.280 --> 00:01:14.930 international working Group in 2014.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00{:}01{:}14.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.450$  So as we know issues refers to non

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:01:19.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.136$  lacunar infarct without extra or intra

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:01:23.136 \rightarrow 00:01:25.692$  cranial actress chlorosis causing

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:01:25.692 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.245$  greater than 50% stenosis in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:01:29.245 \rightarrow 00:01:32.420$  arteries supplying the ischemic region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00{:}01{:}32{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35{.}402$  Uh, no major cardioembolic source and

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:01:35.402 \rightarrow 00:01:38.459$  no other specific cause of a stroke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:01:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.157$  So about 17 of ischemic stroke meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00{:}01{:}43.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.816$  the criteria of issues and issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:01:46.816 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.711$  is associated with the yearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:01:49.711 \rightarrow 00:01:53.246$  stroke recurrence rate of about 5%.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429
- $00:01:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.743$  Uhm, so in patient with the E
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429
- 00:01:56.743 > 00:01:59.980 feels the causal embolic source,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429
- $00:01:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.428$  such as a true fibrillation or high grade.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429
- $00:02:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.130$  Crowded stenosis are not identified
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429
- $00:02:07.130 \dashrightarrow 00:02:10.090$  after initial standard evaluation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429
- $00{:}02{:}10.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.350$  so, but there are various potential
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429
- 00:02:14.350 --> 00:02:18.039 embolic source as known as TS,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429
- $00:02:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.508$  so the key is identified in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429
- $00:02:21.508 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.242$  previous study included.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429
- 00:02:23.250 --> 00:02:26.898 Atrial cardiopathy AHO fibrillation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429
- 00:02:26.898 --> 00:02:27.810 flutter,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429
- $00:02:27.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.176$  or arrhythmia detected during the follow
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429
- 00:02:31.176 --> 00:02:35.076 up so left ventricular dysfunction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429
- 00:02:35.076 --> 00:02:37.578 cardiac valvular disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429
- $00:02:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.596$  patent frame over or septal aneurysm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00{:}02{:}42.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.634$  and arterial disease with the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00{:}02{:}47.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}50.990$  nautik proximal arterial plaque.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:02:50.990 \dashrightarrow 00:02:54.410$  So in stroke patients with mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:02:54.410 \rightarrow 00:02:57.722$  Mean age of 65 years old who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:02:57.722 \rightarrow 00:03:00.768$  enrolled to navigate easiest trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:03:00.770 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.068$  So the three most common PS or NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:03:05.068 \rightarrow 00:03:08.264$  atrial cardiopathy left ventricular

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:03:08.264 \rightarrow 00:03:11.460$  dysfunction and arterial disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

00:03:11.460 --> 00:03:12.063 Uhm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

00:03:12.063 - 00:03:16.284 so uh Ian Young patients on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:03:16.284 \rightarrow 00:03:20.302$  potential and body sores of issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:03:20.302 \rightarrow 00:03:23.841$  and the associated risk of stroke

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

00:03:23.841 --> 00:03:26.376 recurrence were not well studied,

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00{:}03{:}26.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.476$  so we assume the rate of P as

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00{:}03{:}29{.}476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31{.}440$  and associated stroke recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:03:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.740$  in young patient with the issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:03:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.376$  differ from that in older patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:03:38.380 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.284$  So by doing the study we try to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:03:42.290 \rightarrow 00:03:47.461$  Identify a high prevalent PS and PS

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:03:47.461 \rightarrow 00:03:51.306$  associated with high risk of a stroke

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:03:51.306 \rightarrow 00:03:54.414$  recurrence in young patients with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:03:54.414 \rightarrow 00:03:58.085$  issues so which may guide secondary

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:03:58.085 \rightarrow 00:04:02.380$  provision in that group of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:04:02.380 \rightarrow 00:04:08.156$  And so we did a retrospective cohort study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00{:}04{:}08{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11{.}136$  So the young patients with issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:04:11.136 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.992$  at age of 18 to 49 year old and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00:04:15.992 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.374$  meeting to have her hospital from 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00{:}04{:}20{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}24{.}294$  from 2006 to 2019 were identified and

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00{:}04{:}24{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}27{.}591$  each young patient were matched with

NOTE Confidence: 0.616238898571429

 $00{:}04{:}27{.}591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31{.}098$  an older issues patient at age of

NOTE Confidence: 0.722070022105263

 $00{:}04{:}33{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}37{.}037$ 5299 year old. My admission date so

NOTE Confidence: 0.722070022105263

 $00:04:37.037 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.703$  the patients were followed after

NOTE Confidence: 0.722070022105263

 $00{:}04{:}39{.}703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43{.}525$  discharge for a median of three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687119850769231

 $00:04:45.940 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.785$  Uhm, so according to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.687119850769231

 $00:04:48.785 \dashrightarrow 00:04:51.630$  guidelines on proposed by American

NOTE Confidence: 0.687119850769231

 $00:04:51.733 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.238$  Stroke Association in 2019,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687119850769231

 $00:04:54.238 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.590$  so in our study we diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687119850769231

 $00:04:57.590 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.470$  we diagnose issues after

NOTE Confidence: 0.687119850769231

00:05:00.470 - 00:05:02.630 negative initial evaluation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687119850769231

 $00:05:02.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.262$  which included twelve leads,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687119850769231

00:05:05.262 --> 00:05:10.710 EKG at least 24 hour ECG monitoring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687119850769231

 $00:05:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.257$  Pte MRA head and neck, and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687119850769231

 $00:05:16.257 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.685$  So patients who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.687119850769231

 $00:05:18.685 \rightarrow 00:05:21.199$  younger than 55 years old?

NOTE Confidence: 0.687119850769231

 $00:05:21.199 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.230$  Uh, they need to have a negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757804123157895

 $00:05:26.270 \rightarrow 00:05:29.378$  Fedia screening, so we excluded patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.757804123157895

 $00:05:29.378 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.525$  with a history of cancer who may have a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.757804123157895
- 00:05:34.525 --> 00:05:36.909 hypercoagulable state from malignancy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5942805466666667
- $00{:}05{:}39{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43{.}560$  So, uh, we did Trump reveal of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5942805466666667
- $00:05:43.560 \rightarrow 00:05:47.260$  test reports and images to identify
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5942805466666667
- $00:05:47.260 \rightarrow 00:05:50.735$  individual PS and account numbers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5942805466666667
- $00:05:50.740 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.174$  Also, PS for each patient and we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5942805466666667
- $00{:}05{:}54{.}174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57{.}816$  so collected data off of baseline
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5942805466666667
- $00{:}05{:}57.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.346$  characteristics and clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5942805466666667
- 00:06:00.346 --> 00:06:03.644 characteristics and the stroke recurrence.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5942805466666667
- $00{:}06{:}03.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06.770$  So the comparisons in young and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5942805466666667
- $00:06:06.865 \rightarrow 00:06:09.810$  older patient with issues were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5942805466666667
- $00:06:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.166$  performed by chisquare test.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:06:14.900 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.390$  So, uh, we identified 85
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:06:18.390 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.182$  young patients with issues,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00{:}06{:}21.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}24.140$  and those patients were matched
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:06:24.140 \dashrightarrow 00:06:27.990$  with 84 older patients with issues.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

00:06:27.990 --> 00:06:30.986 And so the mean age of young

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:06:30.986 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.230$  and older issues patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

00:06:33.230 --> 00:06:38.270 or 39 and 74 years old respectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:06:38.270 \rightarrow 00:06:40.360$  The young issues patients are

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:06:40.360 \dashrightarrow 00:06:42.450$  more women and non Caucasian

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:06:42.522 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.537$  as compared to other issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00{:}06{:}44.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48.470$  Patient, a better this trend didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:06:48.470 \rightarrow 00:06:50.435$  reach statistical significance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:06:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.636$  so as compared to older patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00{:}06{:}52.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.025$  on the young patients with issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00{:}06{:}55{.}025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57{.}155$  had lower rates of hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

00:06:57.160 - 00:06:59.110 hyperlipidemia and diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

00:06:59.110 --> 00:07:03.010 However, the rate of active smoking

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:07:03.010 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.372$  with a similar in young and

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:07:06.372 \rightarrow 00:07:10.580$  older patients with issues. Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:07:10.580 \rightarrow 00:07:13.835$  So uhm, the initial and nitric war,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:07:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.233$  as well as the rate of a large vessel
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:07:17.233 \dashrightarrow 00:07:19.930$  occlusion or similar in young and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00{:}07{:}19{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23{.}670$  older patients with issues about 60 to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:07:23.670 \rightarrow 00:07:29.330 65\%$  of young or older patients with issues,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:07:29.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.066$  had a low energy for less than six,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:07:32.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.562$  but about 20% of young or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- 00:07:35.562 --> 00:07:37.308 older issues patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:07:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.540$  so they have high score
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:07:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.324$  above 10 and suffered.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:07:41.330 \rightarrow 00:07:45.759$  Run large vessel occlusion so more young
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:07:45.759 \rightarrow 00:07:49.223$  patients up about 70% or discharge
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:07:49.223 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.338$  home as compared to older patients and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:07:53.338 \dashrightarrow 00:07:58.154$  more patients up about 80 most patient,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:07:58.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.646$  about 80 to 90% of both young
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00{:}08{:}01.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.499$  and older patients with issues,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:08:04.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.565$  so they were treated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:08:07.565 \rightarrow 00:08:11.570$  antiplatelet and stroke prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:08:11.570 \dashrightarrow 00:08:14.699$  So the rate of stroke recurrence during

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:08:14.699 \rightarrow 00:08:18.118$  the first year after the initial stroke

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:08:18.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.795$  with two point 7% in young issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:08:21.800 \rightarrow 00:08:24.836$  patients as compared to older issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00{:}08{:}24.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.792$  Patients who had a rate of recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:08:29.792 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.048$  stroke of a 6.3% after one year

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00{:}08{:}33.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36.718$  and after a median of a three year

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:08:36.718 \rightarrow 00:08:39.838$  follow up on the stroke recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:08:39.838 \rightarrow 00:08:42.450$  rate in young patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:08:42.450 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.164$  Was 4.1% as compared to 11.4% in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00{:}08{:}49{.}164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}52{.}995$  issue station so the rate of stroke

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00{:}08{:}52{.}995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55{.}762$  recurrence with lowering young issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:08:55.762 \rightarrow 00:08:59.170$  patients as compared to older patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:08:59.170 \rightarrow 00:08:59.843$  However,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:08:59.843 \rightarrow 00:09:03.881$  the difference approached but it did
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:09:03.881 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.310$  not reach statistical significance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00{:}09{:}07{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}11{.}398$  So this table shows the rate of each
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:09:11.398 \rightarrow 00:09:14.821$  individual PS and multiple PS in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:09:14.821 \rightarrow 00:09:18.259$  young and older patients with issues.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:09:18.260 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.272$  So in order issues patient so we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00{:}09{:}22.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}26.341$  can see the three most common P
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:09:26.341 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.596$  eyes or atrial cardiopathy and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:09:30.596 \rightarrow 00:09:34.000$  left ventricular dysfunction and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:09:34.138 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.889$  the arterial disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- 00:09:36.890 --> 00:09:37.616 Uh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:09:37.616 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.800$  so about 17% of the older issues
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00{:}09{:}41.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.980$  patients had three or more PS
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00{:}09{:}44.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}48.650$  identified and in young issues patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:09:48.650 \dashrightarrow 00:09:53.185$  So the PFO was the only common TS and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:09:53.185 \dashrightarrow 00:09:57.620$  the so the rate of other pies was with low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

00:09:57.620 --> 00:09:59.556 about 2 to 7%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:09:59.556 \rightarrow 00:10:03.037$  so none of the young patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00{:}10{:}03.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}06.742$  issues I had three or more PS about

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:10:06.742 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.334 1/3$  of the young issues patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:10:09.340 \dashrightarrow 00:10:13.796$  Uh, so they have no P as identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00{:}10{:}13.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}14.722$  Uhm so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:10:14.722 \rightarrow 00:10:15.183$  Uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

00:10:15.183 --> 00:10:19.234 this table shows the rate of a stroke

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:10:19.234 \rightarrow 00:10:24.225$  recurrence by individual RTS and multiple PS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00{:}10{:}24.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.950$  And then we can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00{:}10{:}25{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29{.}562$  So during a median of three year

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:10:29.562 \rightarrow 00:10:33.158$  follow up about 1/3 of other issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00{:}10{:}33.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37.022$  Patients with the three or more

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00:10:37.022 \rightarrow 00:10:40.467$  PS identified they had recurrent

NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333

 $00{:}10{:}40.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43.522$  stroke and among individual PS

- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00{:}10{:}43.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46.487$  about 1/4 of other issues.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:10:46.490 \rightarrow 00:10:51.269$  Patients with a sub and 1/5 of other issues
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:10:51.269 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.820$  patient with the actual cardiopathy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00{:}10{:}54.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.428$  Uh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.636025943333333
- $00:10:55.428 \rightarrow 00:10:58.468$  so they had recurrent stroke,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:10:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.970$  so in young patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:11:01.970 \rightarrow 00:11:06.290$  Only three patients had recurrent stroke.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:11:06.290 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.434$  Uh, after the discharge.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00{:}11{:}08{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}10{.}922$  UM, so two of them,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:11:10.922 --> 00:11:13.850 so they had PFO without closure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:11:13.850 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.450$  and one one patient had.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:11:18.450 --> 00:11:21.440 A cardiac or valvular disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:11:21.440 --> 00:11:25.676 So, uhm. So basically, UM,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00{:}11{:}25.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.868$  as compared to the older patient
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00{:}11{:}28.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.287$  with issues so in our study we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00:11:32.287 \rightarrow 00:11:35.410$  found a young patients with issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00:11:35.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.089$  so they had a lower rate of PS and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

00:11:41.090 --> 00:11:44.240 Lower rate alpha T as overlapping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00:11:44.240 \rightarrow 00:11:49.031$  so the PPFO is the the only common key,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00{:}11{:}49{.}031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52{.}097$  as in young patient with issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00{:}11{:}52.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}55.831$  uh and the rate of other PS is

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00:11:55.831 \rightarrow 00:11:58.495$  low 1/3 of young issues patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00:11:58.495 \rightarrow 00:12:01.820$  they don't have any PS identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00{:}12{:}01{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05{.}588$  So the recurrence rate of stroke

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00:12:05.588 \rightarrow 00:12:09.919$  in young patients A is pretty low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00:12:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.470$  However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

00:12:10.470 --> 00:12:13.850 we were unable to assess the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

00:12:13.850 --> 00:12:16.370 association of individual PS

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

00:12:16.370 --> 00:12:20.740 and risk of stroke recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00{:}12{:}20.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23.806$  Due to the overall low rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00:12:23.806 \rightarrow 00:12:26.440$  up and the small sample size,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00{:}12{:}26{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31{.}186$  so there were no recurrent stroke in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00{:}12{:}31{.}186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}35{.}740$  patients in young patient with issues
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:12:35.740 \rightarrow 00:12:39.946$  who didn't have any PS identified.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:12:39.950 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.601$  Uhm? Sorry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:12:42.601 --> 00:12:47.409 So, uhm, so for young patient with issues.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:12:47.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.434$  UM, given the low rate of PS,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:12:51.434 \rightarrow 00:12:53.210$  except for the PFO.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:12:53.210 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.386$  So other hypothetical causes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:12:57.386 --> 00:13:00.518 may include unknown.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:13:00.520 --> 00:13:02.017 In Malik's wars,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:13:02.017 \rightarrow 00:13:04.512$  which cannot be identified by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:13:04.512 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.480$  current imaging or testing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00{:}13{:}06{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11{.}808$  or there's a iansiti Rambo sis do too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:13:11.810 --> 00:13:13.871 KIRO Wall abnormality.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:13:13.871 --> 00:13:17.306 Or maybe there's a transient
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

- $00:13:17.306 \rightarrow 00:13:20.468$  hypercoagulable state or a little spasm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:13:20.470 --> 00:13:23.806 Those can be the potential cost
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:13:23.806 --> 00:13:28.040 of issues in young and so this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00{:}13{:}28.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32.060$  fight the source of the scramble
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00{:}13{:}32{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35{.}562$  and bolik stroke right now in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:13:35.562 --> 00:13:37.554 young still remain unclear,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:13:37.560 \rightarrow 00:13:42.393$  but then according to our study if no PS.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:13:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.456$  Was identified,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:13:43.456 --> 00:13:46.096 then the risk of having
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:13:46.096 \rightarrow 00:13:48.580$  recurrent stroke is very low.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:13:48.580 --> 00:13:49.210 Uhm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:13:49.210 --> 00:13:54.880 so our study is a first study to identify,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:13:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.688$  try to identify the TS and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00{:}13{:}57.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00.332$  its associated risk of having
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:14:00.332 \dashrightarrow 00:14:03.677$  recurrent stroke in young patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:14:03.680 --> 00:14:04.337 However,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00{:}14{:}04{.}337 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07{.}622$  it's a retrospective study and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:14:07.622 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.065$  then by reveal the record in a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:14:12.065 --> 00:14:14.895 single center over 10 years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:14:14.900 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.668$  So there's a limitation such as.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00{:}14{:}18.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22.527$  Incomplete record and lots of follow up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:14:22.530 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.705$  Uhm, so uh?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:14:24.705 \rightarrow 00:14:30.660$  And so those further radios the sample size.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:14:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.420$  So in the future?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:14:32.420 --> 00:14:32.860 Uhm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:14:32.860 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.065$  so prospective multicenter study is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:14:37.065 \rightarrow 00:14:42.440$  needed to identify new and possible
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:14:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.230$  causal PS4 young patient with the issues.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:14:46.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.974$  Uhm, so which may help to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- $00:14:49.974 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.846$  guide secondary prevention?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225
- 00:14:51.850 --> 00:14:52.458 Uhm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00:14:52.458 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.714$  and so in our study we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00:14:56.714 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.878$  about 20% of our young issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

00:14:59.878 --> 00:15:02.313 Patient had a large vessel

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00{:}15{:}02{.}313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04{.}850$  occlusion and half of them didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00:15:04.850 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.210$  have any peas identified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00{:}15{:}07{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10{.}054$  so the cloud analysis and may

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00{:}15{:}10.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14.007$  help to a size if the thrombus is

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

00:15:14.010 --> 00:15:20.600 cardiogenic versus arterial genic uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

00:15:20.600 --> 00:15:23.358 So here I wanna say thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00{:}15{:}23.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.979$  to doctor UM water corner and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00{:}15{:}25{.}979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28{.}667$  then uh that's all I have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

00:15:28.670 --> 00:15:31.139 Thank you, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6455171225

 $00{:}15{:}31{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34{.}970$  so much John suggestions or questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308

00:15:36.350 --> 00:15:38.590 Thank you so much again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308

 $00:15:38.590 \rightarrow 00:15:42.140$  I I don't see any questions in the chat box.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308

 $00:15:42.140 \rightarrow 00:15:43.754$  Sometimes small dissections.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308
- 00:15:43.754 --> 00:15:46.916 They're not picked up on CTA's and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308
- 00:15:46.916 --> 00:15:48.780 you know if you don't do an MRA
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308
- $00:15:48.846 \rightarrow 00:15:50.896$  WhatsApp with fat suppressed images,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308
- $00:15:50.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.492$  you won't diagnose it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308
- $00{:}15{:}53.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56.084$  So add add dissection.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308
- $00:15:56.090 \rightarrow 00:16:00.098$  The potential causes of easis and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308
- $00:16:00.098 \rightarrow 00:16:03.470$  young patients and other such.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308
- $00:16:03.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.165$  The suggestion is you know
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308
- $00:16:05.165 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.180$  we do have a lot of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308
- $00{:}16{:}07{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08{.}896$  Data and our database.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308
- 00:16:08.896 --> 00:16:11.861 So if you'd like to validate your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308
- $00{:}16{:}11.861 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}14.207$  findings so speeds reach out and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308
- $00:16:14.207 \rightarrow 00:16:16.519$  we're happy to work together.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308
- $00{:}16{:}16{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18{.}340$  And thank you so much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308
- $00:16:18.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.475$  This was very informative and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308

00:16:20.475 --> 00:16:23.158 I will share my slides because

NOTE Confidence: 0.940702308

00:16:23.158 --> 00:16:24.998 I'm giving the next.