WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:16:20" NOTE recognizability:0.898

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9185471

00:00:10.410 --> 00:00:12.738 Perfect we can see your slides right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9449614 00:00:18.220 --> 00:00:18.670 OK. NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

00:00:20.980 --> 00:00:24.804 So hi, my name is Tom Lincoln neurosurgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

 $00:00:24.810 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.310$ heavy endovascular based practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

00:00:27.310 --> 00:00:30.617 here at North Shore in Long Island.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

 $00:00:30.617 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.962$ One of the major centers

NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

00:00:31.962 --> 00:00:33.588 in the north wall system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

 $00{:}00{:}33.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}35.746$ I was asked to talk today about

NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

 $00:00:35.746 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.218$ middle meningeal artery embolization

NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

 $00{:}00{:}37.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39.150$ for chronic subdural hematoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

 $00{:}00{:}39.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.470$ A little bit of a change of subject.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

 $00:00:42.470 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.396$ In the stroke net conference here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

 $00:00:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.218$ but I think.

 $00:00:46.218 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.036$ Uhm, it becomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

 $00:00:48.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.458$ It's becoming more and more relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

00:00:50.458 --> 00:00:53.202 really in as more and more places are

NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

 $00:00:53.202 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.725$ doing this kind of intervention and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

 $00:00:55.725 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.600$ vascular option for subdural hematoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

 $00:00:57.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.859$ we have a few clinical trials going on here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

 $00:00:59.860 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.730$ As one of them is multi institutional

NOTE Confidence: 0.91799303625

 $00:01:02.730 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.050$ will get into at the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

00:01:06.410 --> 00:01:08.795 So it's kind of a lot to get in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

00:01:08.800 --> 00:01:10.740 get through in 15 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

00:01:10.740 --> 00:01:12.602 but so I might go pretty quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

00:01:12.602 --> 00:01:13.879 through some parts of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00:01:13.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.043$ but a little bit of the background

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00{:}01{:}16.043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.103$ here so you know why do we need other

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:01:19.103 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.870$ methods to treat subdural hematoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:01:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.131$ and and one of the major issues

 $00:01:23.131 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.980$ with it is that it's classically

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00{:}01{:}24.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26.480$ very difficult pathology to treat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00{:}01{:}26.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.358$ so there's very high recurrence rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:01:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.518$ Literature says anywhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:01:29.518 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.762$ between kind of 10 to 30% or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:01:32.762 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.067$ and it tends to affect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

00:01:35.070 --> 00:01:38.614 Older and sicker patients, and as we know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:01:38.620 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.750$ the population is aging in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:01:40.750 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.390$ so this is increasing incidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00:01:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.190$ In general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:01:43.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.190$ chronic subdural hematoma that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00:01:45.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.620$ and this tends to affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00:01:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.764$ patients who are older,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

00:01:47.770 --> 00:01:49.021 have many comorbidities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00:01:49.021 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.106$ tend to be on anticoagulation

 $00:01:51.106 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.089$ and any platelet agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:01:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.074$ So it's certainly helpful to have a less

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:01:56.074 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.230$ invasive option compared to surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00:01:58.230 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.145$ So just to go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:02:00.145 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.060$ why we think this works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:02:02.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.824$ So what happens when you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:02:03.824 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.734$ sort of this blood clot that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:02:05.734 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.399$ forms in the subdural space?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00:02:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.115$ And traditionally this is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:02:09.115 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.830$ is initially caused by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00{:}02{:}10.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12.725$ tearing of bridging veins and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:02:12.725 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.560$ acute hemorrhage in this space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00{:}02{:}14.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}16.996$ But the the brain's reaction to it

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:02:16.996 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.277$ really is an inflammatory reaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00:02:19.277 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.931$ where you have infiltrate infiltration

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00{:}02{:}21.931 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.586$ of inflammatory cells you have.

00:02:23.590 --> 00:02:24.974 If I burn a lysis of the clot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00{:}02{:}24.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27.020$ it's trying to break it down into a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

00:02:27.020 --> 00:02:29.901 more liquid type substance and and really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:02:29.901 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.520$ there's this in capsulation of this hematoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:02:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.004$ And formation of a membrane around

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:02:36.004 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.182$ and also through it which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:02:38.182 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.748$ see in surgery in these chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00{:}02{:}39.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41.580$ kind of membranous flocculated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:02:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.780$ subdural hematomas membrane itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00{:}02{:}44.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.980$ becomes vascularized so there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:02:47.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.936$ no release of all these vascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00{:}02{:}49.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}50.914$ endothelial growth factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00{:}02{:}50.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.304$ And if you take some of this membrane

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:02:53.304 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.582$ at surgery and send it to pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:02:55.582 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.710$ we actually have found that these

 $00:02:57.710 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.945$ vessels within this membrane itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00{:}02{:}59.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}01.733$ inflammatory cells and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:03:01.740 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.086$ high staining for veg F so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00:03:04.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.765$ It really turns out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

00:03:05.765 --> 00:03:06.770 chronic subdural hematoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:03:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.046$ as opposed to acute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:03:08.046 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.960$ is really it really becomes an

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

00:03:10.031 --> 00:03:12.339 arterial pathology because the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:03:12.339 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.304$ new vascularization of this membrane

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:03:14.304 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.220$ leads to these leaky kind of fragile

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00{:}03{:}17.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}19.713$ vessels that repeatedly bleed in sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:03:19.713 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.867$ ooze into this collection over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

00:03:21.870 --> 00:03:23.566 And while some chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

00:03:23.566 --> 00:03:25.686 subdural's go away over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00:03:25.690 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.174$ the body is able to resorb it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00:03:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.694$ others don't,

 $00:03:27.694 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.493$ and the thought now is that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:03:29.493 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.346$ this repeated sort of kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:03:31.346 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.578$ rebleeding into this collection

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:03:32.578 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.197$ that leads to the persistence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00:03:34.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.517$ And recurrence, and many of these cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:03:36.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.772$ So you see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:03:37.772 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.337$ and generally when you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:03:39.337 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.289$ a subdural that persists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

00:03:41.290 --> 00:03:43.796 the thought is that this process outweighs

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:03:43.796 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.759$ the brains ability to resore bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:03:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.518$ And where does this the vasculature

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00{:}03{:}47.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.511$ come from in this membrane or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00{:}03{:}49.511 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51.638$ only place it can come from is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00{:}03{:}51.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53.360$ the adjacent dura and which comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.932118907777778

 $00:03:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.950$ from the middle meningeal artery,

 $00:03:54.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.750$ so essentially by shutting down

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:03:56.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.829$ the blood supply to the from

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:03:58.829 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.077$ the middle meningeal artery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:04:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.210$ To this membrane you arrest

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:04:02.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.340$ this process of this repeated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:04:04.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.480$ Bleeding and you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93211890777778

 $00:04:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.190$ You can in effect tip the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:04:07.251 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.883$ scales there to now allow the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:04:08.883 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.890$ rain to be able to resolve it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:04:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.642$ So that that's the theory behind

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00{:}04{:}12.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}14.567$ how this works, and there's some

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:04:14.567 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.252$ support to a lot of this in in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:04:17.252 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.220$ in the angiography and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:04:18.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.430$ and some of the imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:04:19.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.153$ So you know when you do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:04:22.153 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.920$ selective middle meningeal artery.

00:04:23.920 --> 00:04:26.118 A angiography you could see we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:04:26.118 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.488$ this kind of distal what we call a

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:04:28.488 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.940$ cotton wool like appearance of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:04:29.996 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.676$ of the distal branches of the man.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

00:04:31.680 --> 00:04:35.376 This wispy NIS that you see where

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:04:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.298$ there could be supply to some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

00:04:37.298 --> 00:04:39.490 this vast new vascularised membrane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

00:04:39.490 --> 00:04:41.248 Uhm, you see this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

00:04:41.248 --> 00:04:42.810 leakiness into the subdural space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:04:42.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.962$ and in many times when you do the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

00:04:44.962 --> 00:04:46.849 post-op scan after an embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

00:04:46.850 --> 00:04:49.484 you actually get this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00{:}04{:}49.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51.240$ subtle increased in density

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00{:}04{:}51.317 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53.547$ in the entire subdural space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:04:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.990$ Which the thought is that

 $00:04:54.990 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.142$ this is actually contrast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00{:}04{:}56.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58.604$ That kind of leaks in through

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:04:58.604 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.240$ this this leaky vasculature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.608$ And then finally, one of my favorite images.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:02.610 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.742$ If you look at an AP projection of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:05.742 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.140$ selective and then a injection here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.226$ it really outlines perfectly what you see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00{:}05{:}10.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11.590$ This entire subdural hematoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00{:}05{:}11.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}13.290$ on the kernel image here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:13.290 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.586$ So it's you really see this membrane

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00{:}05{:}15.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17.930$ surrounding the the hematoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:17.930 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.855$ that's being supplied by the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:20.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.757$ So when I was a fellow training

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

00:05:22.757 --> 00:05:24.840 at at Cornell with Doctor Nachman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.138$ who really kind of pioneered this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:27.140 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.814$ we started back in 2016 or so.

 $00:05:29.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.626$ Here's the example of the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:31.626 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.303$ five patients that we treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:33.303 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.539$ as primary treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

00:05:34.540 --> 00:05:37.600 So you know, prior to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.615$ it really only been described

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:39.615 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.630$ internationally as kind of salvage

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:41.698 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.034$ therapy for multi recurrent subdural's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:44.034 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.160$ This was used for patients that essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.720$ we were able to get to avoid surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:50.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.192$ Altogether,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:51.192 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.024$ so one might say initially well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:05:54.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.574$ many sub tools go away on their own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00{:}05{:}55.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57.379$ So how do you know that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00{:}05{:}57.379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58.928$ hematomas wouldn't have just went away?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

00:05:58.930 --> 00:06:00.425 Well, these patients were selected

00:06:00.425 --> 00:06:02.446 because they show that they failed

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

00:06:02.446 --> 00:06:03.859 conservative management already,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.160$ so this was progression of their subdural's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:07.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.200$ Despite observations, steroids, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:10.200 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.160$ And we did the embolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.600$ You see, many of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.728$ The subdural completely went away over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:15.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.914$ and others it almost entirely went away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.608$ And all five were able to avoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:20.608 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.800$ any further surgical intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.431$ So we we went along and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:24.431 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.207$ the first 60 cases that we did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:26.210 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.642$ we published a couple of years ago and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:28.642 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.867$ and really show that there are three

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:30.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.844$ major categories where this can be useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.768$ So number one,

 $00:06:33.768 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.910$ it can be used instead of surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:35.983 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.245$ in certain patients that are meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00{:}06{:}38.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40.650$ certain criteria which we can get into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.226$ It can be used as to treat recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:43.226 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.427$ after surgical evacuation and even there

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:45.427 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.910$ might be this prophylactic used to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.374$ So say you have someone who

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00{:}06{:}49.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.017$ has surgery and maybe you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

00:06:51.017 --> 00:06:52.547 they're high risk for recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:52.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.737$ So you could sort of fend it off by

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00{:}06{:}54.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56.887$ doing this immediately after surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00:06:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.333$ and in this study of 60 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.862052760434783

 $00{:}06{:}59.333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01.010$ we were able to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.9268817944444445

00:07:01.010 --> 00:07:03.746 91% of these patients avoid any

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:03.746 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.114$ further surgical treatment.

 $00:07:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.915$ And that's what we defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:06.915 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.710$ as the the primary endpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:08.710 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.050$ And really, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:10.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.520$ almost 70% overall also had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:12.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.220$ reduction in size greater than 50%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

00:07:15.220 --> 00:07:17.470 So, uhm. This is just describing

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:17.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.800$ a little bit more technique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.420$ There's been a few other larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.9268817944444445

 $00:07:21.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.507$ studies now and and really two of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:23.507 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.310$ best papers that I chose to share.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00{:}07{:}25.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.570$ Here's one that was published

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:26.570 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.830$ last year where they did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.185$ It was a multicenter but

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:29.185 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.998$ non randomized trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.688$ So there 154 embolization

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

00:07:31.688 --> 00:07:34.970 is done in 138 patients.

 $00:07:34.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.076$ There they defined a 93.5% success rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:38.076 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.240$ Again, same thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.538$ the primary endpoint being did any

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:41.540 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.688$ any of these patients require an

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

00:07:43.688 --> 00:07:45.310 additional treatment which would

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:45.310 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.560$ be surgery so very successful?

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

00:07:47.560 --> 00:07:50.150 And in this multicenter trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:50.150 \dashrightarrow 00:07:52.040$ right around that 70% mark again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:07:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.290$ Also for greater than 50%

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00{:}07{:}54.290 \to 00{:}07{:}56.302$ reduction in size overall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00{:}07{:}56.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.314$ Uhm complication rate low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

00:07:58.320 --> 00:08:00.360 I mean they wrote 9% because

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00{:}08{:}00.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.960$ that included those that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:08:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.602$ failed treatment and headaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:08:04.602 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.422$ So if you if you knock those two out

 $00:08:07.422 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.462$ there was there was one temporary

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00{:}08{:}09.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.869$ facial droop in one seizure so overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:08:11.869 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.048$ kind of about 1.4% complication rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:08:14.048 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.236$ There are dangers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445 00:08:15.240 --> 00:08:15.617 collaterals, NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

00:08:15.617 --> 00:08:17.879 meaning potential collaterals from the Emma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:08:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.340$ To say the optomec artery which

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:08:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.218$ is well described and it's just

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00{:}08{:}22.218 \longrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.127$ something that we have to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

00:08:24.127 --> 00:08:25.617 careful about and lookout for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445 00:08:25.620 --> 00:08:25.998 Uhm, NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:08:25.998 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.266$ and then here is a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:08:28.266 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.930$ center prospective study where

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:08:29.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.200$ embolization was done for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:08:32.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.557$ that they considered high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00{:}08{:}34.557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36.429$ for recurrence after surgery.

 $00:08:36.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.223$ So 91 sub drills in 89 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00{:}08{:}39.223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41.560$ after surgery got embolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:08:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.626$ And they compared this to 174

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:08:43.626 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.856$ historical controls and they saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:08:45.856 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.522$ that in the patients that got

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:08:48.522 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.527$ embolization there was only a

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

00:08:50.527 --> 00:08:53.180 4% recurrence rate or needing an

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00{:}08{:}53.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54.980$ additional intervention compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:08:54.980 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.190$ 14% in their historical controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:08:57.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.518$ So this suggests that it could

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00{:}08{:}59.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}02.042$ be very effective for helping to

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:09:02.042 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.958$ prevent recurrence in in subbed roles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00{:}09{:}04.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07.240$ Here at Northwell at Northshore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9268817944444445

 $00{:}09{:}07.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08.552$ we've had similar experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

00:09:08.552 --> 00:09:10.520 We've done about 80 patients here,

 $00:09:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.446$ and this doesn't include the Lenox

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00{:}09{:}12.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14.034$ Hill experience where doctors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:09:14.034 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.749$ really there is doing them as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

00:09:15.750 --> 00:09:18.320 We've had three patients fail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:09:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.032$ meaning they came back with growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

00:09:21.032 --> 00:09:23.642 of their residual subdural requiring

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:09:23.642 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.686$ further surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:09:24.690 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.440$ So essentially 96% success rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9268817944444445

 $00{:}09{:}27.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.654$ There was one complication out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:09:29.654 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.659$ all 77 that could be considered major.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00{:}09{:}32.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34.400$ There was a partial kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:09:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.730$ partial blurry vision. In one eye.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

00:09:37.730 --> 00:09:39.230 And there were three mortality's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00{:}09{:}39.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40.259$ all unrelated symbolisation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

00:09:40.259 --> 00:09:41.288 Just you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:09:41.290 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.234$ these are a lot of these are sick

00:09:43.234 --> 00:09:45.230 patients with some more advanced lymphoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

00:09:45.230 --> 00:09:48.580 multi multi system organ failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445 00:09:48.580 --> 00:09:48.898 Uhm, NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:09:48.898 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.124$ and I include this chart because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:09:51.124 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.740$ really helps to show how this works overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:09:53.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.368$ So certainly this can't be done

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:09:56.368 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.013$ for patients that need you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00{:}09{:}59.013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01.260$ urgent relief of pressure from

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:10:01.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.100$ from a large collection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:10:03.100 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.038$ This is something that works overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:10:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.741$ so even if you look at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

00:10:06.741 --> 00:10:08.389 chart at the two week Mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00{:}10{:}08.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09.965$ most of the time there's not that

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:10:09.965 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.849$ much of a reduction in size yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926881794444445

 $00:10:11.850 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.000$ but certainly you want to

 $00:10:13.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.150$ see that it's not getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:10:14.199 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.967$ any bigger and then by six weeks you

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00{:}10{:}15.967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17.568$ really start to see this drop off in

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:10:17.568 \dashrightarrow 00:10:19.538$ size and by three months many of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:10:19.538 --> 00:10:20.766 And in our experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:10:20.770 --> 00:10:23.885 over 90% have significant reduction in size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:10:23.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.160$ Many of them resolved completely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:10:27.160 --> 00:10:30.013 Uhm, so you know where could this be useful?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00{:}10{:}30.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31.625$ So certainly you have these

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:10:31.625 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.230$ patients that have chronic subdural

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00{:}10{:}33.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.019$ hematom as that are not going away,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:10:35.020 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.064$ or even getting bigger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:10:36.064 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.630$ but haven't quite gotten to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00{:}10{:}37.684 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}10{:}39.448$ point yet where it's causing so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:10:39.448 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.109$ Mass Effect that they need surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:10:41.110 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.363$ This is a great option to sort

00:10:42.363 --> 00:10:43.120 of fend it off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00{:}10{:}43.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.320$ and in many times help it go away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:10:45.320 --> 00:10:47.042 Patients that you might want to avoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:10:47.042 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.579$ surgery for for whatever reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:10:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.990$ whether they have significant comorbidities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:10:50.990 --> 00:10:53.726 Patients that need to be restarted

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:10:53.726 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.550$ on antiplatelets or anticoagulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:10:55.622 --> 00:10:56.470 very quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:10:56.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.958$ Those are some of the options,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00{:}10{:}57.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01.537$ so just a few quick illustrative cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:11:01.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.065$ Here's a patient with diffuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00{:}11{:}03.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04.954$ large B cell lymphoma that had

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00{:}11{:}04.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06.784$ this small subdural that was just

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:11:06.784 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.030$ being watched over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:11:08.030 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.200$ It's platelets were very low.

 $00:11:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.008$ Here was as low as 34,000,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00{:}11{:}11.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}13.864$ so he's not a good surgical candidate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:11:13.870 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.990$ Overtime it got bigger and bigger to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:11:15.990 --> 00:11:17.948 point where it started causing worsening

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:11:17.948 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.210$ symptoms and had acute hemorrhage into it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00{:}11{:}20.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22.450$ Again, bad surgical candidate with

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:11:22.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.794$ this severe thrombocytopenia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:11:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.870$ So we tried this embolization procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00{:}11{:}25.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30.214$ Just a few before and after Ma injections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:11:30.220 --> 00:11:32.284 And if you look at the post-op scan

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00{:}11{:}32.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34.117$ compared to about six months later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:11:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.514$ he had this MRI and it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:11:36.514 --> 00:11:37.198 completely resolved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:11:37.200 --> 00:11:39.335 So great option for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:11:39.335 --> 00:11:40.616 poor surgical candidate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137 00:11:40.620 --> 00:11:40.938 Uh,

 $00:11:40.938 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.846$ here's a a patient work that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:11:42.846 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.870$ very relevant to this conference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:11:44.870 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.290$ A patient who is 70 and had many T as

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:11:47.363 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.659$ well as a small stroke in the past,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:11:49.660 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.412$ and he's been managed by neurologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:11:51.412 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.580$ on aspirin and Plavix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:11:52.580 --> 00:11:54.656 Because of that started to develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:11:54.656 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.734$ some kind of intermittent mild word

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:11:56.734 --> 00:11:58.636 finding difficulty and was found to

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:11:58.636 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.116$ have this acute on chronic subdural here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137 00:12:01.120 --> 00:12:01.398 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00{:}12{:}01.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.066$ not a great candidate and someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:12:03.066 --> 00:12:05.042 you certainly want to get back on

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:05.042 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.134$ their antiplatelets very quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:06.134 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.628$ because of his stroke history.

00:12:07.630 --> 00:12:09.690 So if you watch overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:09.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.685$ here's the two weeks cans getting smaller,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:11.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.510$ six weeks and three months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.230$ Even smaller,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:12:14.230 --> 00:12:15.670 almost completely resolved this

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:15.670 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.110$ tiny tiny bit left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:17.110 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.811$ and we were able to restart his

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:12:18.811 --> 00:12:20.326 both of his antiplatelets pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:20.326 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.860$ quickly and able to prevent him from

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:12:22.860 --> 00:12:24.868 having any further ischemic events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137 00:12:24.870 --> 00:12:25.328 Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:12:25.328 --> 00:12:28.076 So just quickly 'cause I'm running

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:28.076 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.450$ out of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:29.450 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.866$ Just another quick case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:12:30.866 --> 00:12:33.344 Examples and 91 year old who was

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00{:}12{:}33.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}35.353$ on Coumadin who had a Burr hole

 $00:12:35.353 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.467$ that it then ended up getting this

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:12:38.467 --> 00:12:39.949 embolization procedure effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:39.949 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.937$ expanded further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.404$ You could just see overtime how this

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:43.404 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.668$ slowly goes away up to three months

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:45.668 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.850$ all the way on the right there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137 00:12:47.850 --> 00:12:48.298 Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

00:12:48.298 --> 00:12:50.986 Few other case examples I'll skip

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:50.986 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.418$ through for the sake of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:53.420 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.112$ and then certainly are when when

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:55.112 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.240$ this is done postoperatively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00{:}12{:}56.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}57.654$ the curve looks a little bit different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00{:}12{:}57.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59.025$ You see this initial drop

NOTE Confidence: 0.928494137

 $00:12:59.025 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.390$ off in size because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

 $00:13:00.448 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.240$ the surgery and then you hope to

 $00:13:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.190$ see the slow tapering down overtime

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

 $00:13:04.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.065$ where that residual less left

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

 $00:13:06.065 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.428$ after surgery continues to go away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

 $00:13:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.918$ So you know, can this be used after

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

00:13:11.918 --> 00:13:14.367 surgery to help prevent a recurrence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

 $00:13:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.570$ And certainly not every patient is the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

 $00{:}13{:}16.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.446$ So this example on the left there

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

 $00:13:18.446 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.399$ was a patient having seizures are

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

 $00{:}13{:}20.399 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}21.855$ relatively smaller subdural and

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

 $00:13:21.855 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.101$ his brain re expanded nicely and

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

 $00:13:24.101 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.916$ there's not much residual left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

00:13:25.920 --> 00:13:27.648 He's at a much lower risk for recurrence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

 $00:13:27.650 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.156$ probably then say this patient on

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

 $00:13:29.156 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.844$ the right who had bilateral large

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

 $00:13:30.844 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.424$ collections and then post op.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

 $00:13:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.695$ The brain just doesn't really

 $00:13:33.695 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.459$ re expand and you have all this

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

 $00:13:35.459 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.809$ error and a lot of residual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

00:13:36.810 --> 00:13:38.310 This is somebody who would probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

00:13:38.310 --> 00:13:39.534 benefit from anything that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

 $00:13:39.534 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.638$ can do to help prevent this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887942797

 $00:13:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.550$ This recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:13:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.569$ Uh, to quote some, you know we

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00{:}13{:}45.569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.815$ did have a few failures and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00{:}13{:}47.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50.250$ could be a topic for another time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00{:}13{:}50.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}52.134$ It could be related to variations

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:13:52.134 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.714$ in the anatomy of the of the MA and

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:13:54.714 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.780$ and the degree to which you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00{:}13{:}56.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58.625$ able to affectively embolize them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00{:}13{:}58.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01.078$ Uhm, so generally you have various

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

00:14:01.078 --> 00:14:03.698 techniques that you can use micro

 $00:14:03.698 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.526$ particles which are injected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

00:14:05.530 --> 00:14:07.763 you know, kind of distally into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

00:14:07.763 --> 00:14:09.600 distal branches or liquid embolic's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:14:09.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.900$ Some are good for different

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

00:14:11.900 --> 00:14:14.844 situations depending on anatomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

00:14:14.844 --> 00:14:16.465 Uhm, and certainly you

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:14:16.465 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.940$ know that last note there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:14:17.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.658$ This is not again meant to be done in

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00{:}14{:}20.658 \to 00{:}14{:}23.067$ patients that require urgent evacuation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:14:23.070 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.302$ Uhm, so a few of the trials going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

00:14:25.310 --> 00:14:27.446 We are here apart of the EMBOLIZED trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:14:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.168$ which is a multicenter, randomized trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:14:30.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.598$ That national PSRR, Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

00:14:31.598 --> 00:14:32.669 Norman from Cornell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

00:14:32.670 --> 00:14:34.546 Dr Davies from Buffalo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:14:34.546 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.850$ where there's two cohorts based on size,

 $00:14:37.850 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.770$ the smaller size or randomized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:14:39.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.735$ either observation only or embolization

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:14:42.735 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.855$ only and then the larger size

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:14:44.855 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.380$ greater than 15 millimeters or

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:14:46.439 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.519$ randomized to surgery only versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

00:14:48.519 --> 00:14:49.767 embolization plus surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

00:14:49.770 --> 00:14:53.075 So overall, they're up to about 88 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571 00:14:53.075 --> 00:14:53.605 In total, NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00{:}14{:}53.605 \rightarrow 00{:}14{:}56.218$ we're hoping to get up to 400 and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:14:56.220 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.135$ hopefully this will provide better

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

00:14:58.135 --> 00:15:00.514 evidence for using this again either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:15:00.514 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.046$ Upfront as treatment instead of surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00{:}15{:}03.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05.510$ or in addition, as an agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00{:}15{:}05.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.690$ The rapy. In addition to surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:15:07.690 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.486$ We also started at a postoperative

 $00:15:10.486 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.884$ trial here ourselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:15:11.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.870$ So for the patients that don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00{:}15{:}13.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15.843$ qualify for that trial and need

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:15:15.843 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.613$ to go to more urgent surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:15:17.620 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.578$ Randomized to the surgery only versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00{:}15{:}20.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.550$ surgery followed by embolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:15:22.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.720$ You know, up to a week afterwards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571 00:15:24.720 --> 00:15:26.530 Uhm? NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00{:}15{:}26.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28.098$ We're going to have ourselves here at

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

00:15:28.098 --> 00:15:29.700 North Shore, as well as Lennox Hill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:15:29.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.084$ about to be involved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:15:31.084 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.814$ and hopefully this will again

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:15:32.814 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.491$ provide better evidence for whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:15:34.491 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.936$ this can be useful to help prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:15:36.936 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.388$ recurrence after surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00{:}15{:}38.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40.770$ For patients that require surgery.

 $00:15:40.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.690$ So that's kind of a whirlwind

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:15:42.690 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.650$ tour through this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

00:15:43.650 --> 00:15:45.168 Thank you for inviting me and

NOTE Confidence: 0.830701071428571

 $00:15:45.168 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.180$ thank you for listening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787641152666667

00:15:46.830 --> 00:15:48.590 Thank you so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787641152666667

 $00:15:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.790$ Doctor Link a really excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.787641152666667

 $00:15:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.230$ presentation and definitely a hot topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787641152666667

 $00{:}15{:}53.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56.270$ MMA embolization so you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787641152666667

00:15:56.270 --> 00:15:59.742 I know at Brown here we do some

NOTE Confidence: 0.787641152666667

 $00{:}15{:}59.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01.845$ MMA embolization and I'm sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.787641152666667

 $00:16:01.845 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.021$ other sites and the RCC do so so

NOTE Confidence: 0.787641152666667

 $00:16:05.021 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.421$ you know if we can help with any

NOTE Confidence: 0.787641152666667

 $00{:}16{:}08.421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10.266$ preliminary data or anything or

NOTE Confidence: 0.787641152666667

 $00{:}16{:}10.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.827$ if you have thoughts about a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.787641152666667

00:16:12.827 --> 00:16:15.354 scale study and within the RCC I

 $00{:}16{:}15.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17.776$ think this would be a great idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787641152666667

 $00:16:17.780 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.096$ Uhm, for the sake of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787641152666667

 $00:16:20.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.001$ where two men