## WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:08:21" NOTE recognizability:0.857

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.460 Well, I think we'll get started

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:00:02.460 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.588$  up so my name is Claire Healy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:00:04.590 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.977$  I'm one of the managers in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:00:06.977 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.813$  Milo cancer genetics and prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

00:00:08.813 --> 00:00:11.051 program and we're very excited to

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

00:00:11.051 --> 00:00:13.376 have all of you join us to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:00:13.380 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.809$  This is a two night seminar series

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:00:15.809 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.142$  and we've titled it Identifying and

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:00:18.142 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.182$  caring for individuals with high

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00{:}00{:}20.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.770$ risk and inherited cancer syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00{:}00{:}22.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.569$  and the goal of this seminar series

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

00:00:24.569 --> 00:00:26.421 is really to help provide some

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:00:26.421 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.473$  information to our colleagues in the

 $00:00:28.473 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.427$  community on how to recognize patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00{:}00{:}30.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.286$  At elevated risk to develop cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:00:33.290 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.503$  and that those who may have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:00:36.503 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.350$  underlying hereditary cancer risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:00:38.350 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.660$  There will be utilizing their

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:00:40.660 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.984$  Q&A feature for questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:00:41.984 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.418$  so feel free to type your questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:00:44.418 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.811$  in as the talks goes on and we will

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:00:47.811 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.030$  plan to have a question and answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

00:00:50.105 --> 00:00:52.590 session at the end with our speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00{:}00{:}52.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.165$  so I'll introduce our speaker

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:00:54.165 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.199$  and then turn things over to her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:00:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.324$  So to night we're pleased to welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00{:}00{:}58.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.098$  Amy Kelly and Amy received her Masters

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:01:01.098 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.666$  degree in genetic counseling from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:01:03.666 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.438$  ICANN School of Medicine at Mount Sinai.

 $00:01:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.380$  This band with a smile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00{:}01{:}07.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09.230$  Oh cancer genetics and prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:01:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.919$  program for four years and she's one

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

00:01:11.919 --> 00:01:13.854 of our more experienced counselors

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:01:13.860 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.164$  at a genetic counselor to level

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:01:16.164 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.381$  and her research interests include

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

00:01:18.381 --> 00:01:20.217 hereditary pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:01:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.921$  So we're thrilled to have Amy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

00:01:21.921 --> 00:01:23.478 Amy thank you for joining us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840858526666667

 $00:01:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.746$  I'll turn it over to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87619315375

00:01:27.280 --> 00:01:29.245 Great, thanks so much Claire

NOTE Confidence: 0.87619315375

 $00:01:29.245 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.424$  for the introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87619315375

 $00{:}01{:}30.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.510$  Good evening everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87619315375

00:01:31.510 --> 00:01:33.670 I'm very excited to be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.87619315375

 $00:01:33.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.488$  to you about hereditary cancer

 $00:01:35.488 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.020$  and things to look for and things

NOTE Confidence: 0.87619315375

 $00{:}01{:}38.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}39.915$  to consider when thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.87619315375

 $00:01:39.915 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.354$  a referral to harass her cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87619315375

 $00:01:42.354 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.909$  So I am just going to share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45747572

00:01:58.980 --> 00:02:02.201 Amy, I'm not seeing your slides. Yep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45747572

00:02:02.201 --> 00:02:06.120 I just put it on pause just for one. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.59584844

 $00:02:08.260 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.050$  And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73375588

 $00:02:13.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.214$  These things always take a second day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73375588

 $00{:}02{:}15.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}19.700$  OK, there we go. Great OK perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:02:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.076$  So just for the agenda today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:02:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.527$  what I want to go over as I want to review

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

00:02:27.527 --> 00:02:29.787 referral guidelines for hereditary cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}02{:}29.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32.527$  I want to review the more common

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}02{:}32.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33.700$  hereditary cancer indications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:02:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.157$  Then I want to review a couple of more

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

00:02:36.157 --> 00:02:38.409 rare hereditary cancer indications,

 $00:02:38.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.640$  then talk about self pay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}02{:}39.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41.435$  genetic testing and also direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:02:41.435 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.230$  to consumer genetic testing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:02:43.289 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.371$  talk about some of the practical

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}02{:}45.371 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.280$  practical aspects of genetic casting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

00:02:47.280 --> 00:02:49.758 Why someone may want to have genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:02:49.758 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.570$  testing and talk about genetic counseling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:02:52.570 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.940$  As a whole.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

00:02:54.940 --> 00:02:56.965 So to start talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:02:56.965 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.180$  hereditary breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}02{:}58.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}00.988$  so we cannot talk about hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}03{:}00.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}03.323$  cancer without talking about BRCA

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}03{:}03.323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06.025$  one and BRCA 2 related to hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:03:06.025 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.658$  breast and ovarian cancer syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:03:08.660 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.528$  These genes are probably the poster

00:03:11.528 --> 00:03:13.440 children of hereditary cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}03{:}13.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15.860$  testing and I know that every one

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}03{:}15.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18.380$  here has heard about them before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:03:18.380 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.019$  As we know they make up mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:03:21.019 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.150$  in these genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

00:03:22.150 --> 00:03:23.770 Germline mutations in these genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}03{:}23.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.134$  are associated with a high risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

00:03:26.134 --> 00:03:28.180 breast cancer in women which depends

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}03{:}28.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.717$  slightly dependent on BRCA one or

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:03:29.717 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.710$  BRCA 2 but the risk is between 50

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}03{:}31.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34.475$  to 75% lifetime risk and also a

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

00:03:34.475 --> 00:03:36.242 significantly increased risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:03:36.242 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.828$  ovarian cancer in women with again

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

00:03:38.828 --> 00:03:40.976 arranged depending on the gene but

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

00:03:40.976 --> 00:03:44.328 between 15 up to 60% lifetime risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:03:44.328 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.104$  So definitely significant lifetime risks and.

 $00:03:48.104 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.680$  This this I mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:03:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.108$  These jeans are the poster children

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

00:03:51.108 -> 00:03:52.909 of why we do genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:03:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.644$  Is that even though these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:03:54.644 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.489$  considered to be high risk genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:03:56.490 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.158$  there are management guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

00:03:58.158 --> 00:04:00.243 There's screening options for women

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:00.243 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.407$  who test positive for a mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.650$  BRCA one and BRCA 2 in terms of beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:05.650 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.960$  breast imaging at a younger age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.720$  Adding on breast MRI for more

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.640$  sensitive imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}04{:}11.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12.768$  Prophylactic bilateral mastectomy's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

00:04:12.768 --> 00:04:15.024 I feel like they're often talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:15.024 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.506$  about in the media at once.

 $00:04:16.510 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.770$  Part of Angelina Jolie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}04{:}17.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.503$  Is an option women decided to pursue and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:20.503 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.855$  of course and of course prophylactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:22.855 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.305$  bilateral salpingo over ectomy to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:25.305 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.909$  preventative against ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.590$  So in the advent of genetic testing for

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:29.590 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.574$  these genes and establishing guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:31.574 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.058$  you know women and other people

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}04{:}34.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35.960$  who are at higher risk of pressure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:35.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.486$  right cancer have really been able

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}04{:}38.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40.973$  to take this information and use

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:40.973 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.157$  it to actually lengthen their life

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}04{:}43.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.175$  and have a better quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:45.175 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.035$  So really we can't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.365$  We can't talk about hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}04{:}47.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}48.690$  cancer without talking about these.

 $00:04:48.690 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.990$  2 genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:50.990 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.270$  However.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.470$  We are now past the era of only

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:04:56.470 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.729$  testing BRCA one and BRCA 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}04{:}58.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01.850$  It's not uncommon for me to see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:05:01.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.901$  patient and say oh I'm here for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

00:05:04.901 --> 00:05:07.568 the Braca test but now you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:05:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.650$  especially since about 2015 now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}05{:}11.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.674$  Our testing includes many other genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:05:14.674 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.427$  that we now know of relating to

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

00:05:18.427 --> 00:05:21.431 hereditary risk of breast cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

00:05:21.431 --> 00:05:23.258 we know that these genes they they

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}05{:}23.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.346$  can be arranged so some of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}05{:}25.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27.120$  And broke up a little categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:05:27.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.615$  but BRCA one and two are related to

 $00{:}05{:}29.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31.493$  are considered a high risk breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00{:}05{:}31.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.211$  cancer gene and there's other

NOTE Confidence: 0.853983905

 $00:05:33.211 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.866$  genes that fall into that

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:05:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.335$  category as well that also have guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

00:05:38.340 --> 00:05:40.338 Now some of these higher risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:05:40.338 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.103$  genes often have other features

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:05:42.103 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.299$  that are uncommon or more rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:05:44.300 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.550$  For example, the gene TP 53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:05:46.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.686$  Many people have heard of related

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:05:48.686 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.497$  to leave from many syndrome which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:05:51.497 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.687$  most characteristic of early onset

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:05:53.687 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.420$  sarcomas or early onset osteosarcomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

00:05:56.420 --> 00:05:58.212 Very young breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:05:58.212 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.556$  in childhood cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:05:59.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.112$  So not every single high risk gene is

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00{:}06{:}03.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06.171$  necessarily a gene of interest for a

 $00:06:06.171 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.488$  woman who has early onset breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00{:}06{:}08.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10.626$  But with the advent of larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:10.626 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.218$  testing we can now test for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:13.218 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.028$  genes all the same time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:15.030 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.358$  And what we now know is there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:17.358 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.022$  other genes that fall into more of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00{:}06{:}20.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.600$  moderate risk which would be ATM and CHEK

2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.904$  You can see in the middle and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:24.904 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.102$  blue column there you know the risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:27.102 \dashrightarrow 00:06:29.360$  Ranges between 20 to 40% lifetime risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

00:06:29.360 --> 00:06:32.750 You can see in the high risk category PAL B2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.478$  which has been in the news.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.250$  More recently we're getting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:36.250 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.280$  lot of messages about PAL B2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.198$  but we consider to be a moderate

00:06:40.198 --> 00:06:42.248 to high risk breast cancer gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.861$  which is why it's in the high

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

00:06:44.861 --> 00:06:47.056 risk category where the risk can

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:47.056 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.184$  be upwards of 58% lifetime risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:49.184 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.452$  So there are other genes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:51.452 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.061$  we definitely want to be testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:54.061 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.960$  individuals for if they have a family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:56.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.406$  History that's concerning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:06:58.406 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.334$  For hereditary breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:07:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.460$  And now there's you see in the green

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:07:02.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.225$  the green column there are genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00{:}07{:}04.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}06.416$  that have an unknown risk of breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00{:}07{:}06.416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08.636$  cancer because as we've studied genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00{:}07{:}08.640 \to 00{:}07{:}10.719$  and as we've learned more about genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:07:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.051$  the risk is sometimes unclear where the

 $00:07:13.051 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.991$  there might be literature suggesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:07:14.991 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.625$  an increased risk of breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:07:17.630 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.982$  but maybe not enough to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:07:19.982 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.399$  significant or more data is needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:07:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.570$  So some of these genes we off

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:07:24.570 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.130$  we can are included on genetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:07:27.130 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.845$  Testing and this again just just

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:07:30.845 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.736$  shows the range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93080426428571400:07:31.740 --> 00:07:32.069 Specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:07:32.069 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.701$  I would say the the major ones that

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00{:}07{:}34.701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37.057$  we would test for like ATM Chek 2,

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.930804264285714 \\ & 00:07:37.060 --> 00:07:37.810 \text{ PALB 2}, \end{aligned}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:07:37.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.435$  where the lighter blue is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00{:}07{:}40.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42.909$  higher end end of the range and

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:07:42.909 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.319$  the darker blue is the lower end

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:07:45.319 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.615$  of the range so you can see.

 $00:07:47.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.600$  Regina is different and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:07:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.322$  that's why we're now past this

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

00:07:52.322 --> 00:07:54.449 era of only doing testing for BRCA

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:07:54.449 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.584$  one and BRCA 2 because these genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00{:}07{:}56.647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.765$  some of them do have significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:07:58.765 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.856$  risks and most importantly we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.930804264285714

 $00:08:00.856 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.870$  guidelines for screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:08:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.796$  Now this is sometimes a very common thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:08:06.796 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.360$  that we will see with with patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:08:09.360 --> 00:08:11.815 Usually 1-2 testing has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:08:11.815 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.144$  around for at least 20 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:08:14.144 --> 00:08:17.378 so it's not uncommon for for patients to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00{:}08{:}17.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.718$  oh, I've already had this test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:08:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.030$  I had this test in 2012.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:08:21.030 --> 00:08:22.780 It was it was negative,

 $00:08:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.650$  so I was often common to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:08:25.650 --> 00:08:27.959 a test report like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:08:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.582$  But back before I would say

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:08:30.582 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.870$  definitely before 2014 and probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:08:32.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.576$  a little bit even before then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:08:35.580 --> 00:08:38.370 Specially BRCA one and two testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:08:38.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.940$  was really limited to sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:08:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.268$  only and sequencing for BRCA one

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:08:44.268 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.326$  and BRCA 2 can detect up to 80% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:08:49.326 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.169$  detectable pathogenic mutations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00{:}08{:}52.169 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53.605$  sequencing covers the clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:08:53.605 --> 00:08:55.400 important regions of each gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:08:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.820$  including the coding exons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:08:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.071$  so that is that is a very informative

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:09:00.071 --> 00:09:03.130 test but sequencing cannot detect

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00{:}09{:}03.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05.670$  large deletions or duplications.

 $00:09:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.658$  Of your C1 and BRCA 2 so it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:09:08.658 --> 00:09:11.193 important when a patient has had

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:09:11.193 --> 00:09:13.872 genetic testing to know what year

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:09:13.872 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.698$  was it and also confirmed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:09:16.698 --> 00:09:19.056 records because for example in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:09:19.056 --> 00:09:21.730 case it says BRCA one and BRCA

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:09:21.730 --> 00:09:24.090 1 sequencing BRCA 2 sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00{:}09{:}24.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}27.198$  No mutation detected but this test

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:09:27.198 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.861$  would not include what we consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:09:30.861 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.099$  large rearrangement analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:09:33.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.982$  Which is on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:09:33.982 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.968$  but you can say he see here BRCA one

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00{:}09{:}36.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}39.950$  and BRCA 2 full gene rearrangement also

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00{:}09{:}39.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42.266$  sometimes called deletion duplication

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:09:42.266 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.678$  which detects intragenic deletions

 $00:09:44.678 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.891$  and duplications at at single exons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:09:47.891 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.160$  Some people also call this a the

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:09:51.264 --> 00:09:54.510 Bart test because back until 2013,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:09:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.195$  Myriad Genetics had the patent

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:09:56.195 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.880$  on BRCA one and two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:09:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.912$  so their actual test name for BRCA one

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:10:00.912 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.060$  and two large rearrangement testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:10:04.060 --> 00:10:06.760 Was Bart so some people might.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:10:06.760 --> 00:10:08.170 You might hear patients talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:10:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.016$  about bar testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:10:09.020 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.796$  You might hear about bar testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:10:10.800 --> 00:10:15.388 Bart is just a name that Myriad gave

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:10:15.388 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.920$  their own tasks for large rearrangement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:10:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.805$  But now that Myriad Genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:10:19.805 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.313$  don't have the patent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:10:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.046$  there's plenty.

 $00:10:22.046 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.498$  There's many other labs

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:10:23.498 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.950$  doing doing this test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00{:}10{:}24.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26.098$  and nowadays it's standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:10:26.098 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.533$  of care when we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:10:27.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.140$  BRCA one and two testing to do full gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:10:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.578$  sequencing and deletion duplication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:10:33.580 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.925$  So what's also important is

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:10:35.925 --> 00:10:38.853 that if a patient reports BRC

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:10:38.853 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.288$  wanted to testing prior 2013,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:10:41.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.544$  one is possible they may have only

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00{:}10{:}43.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.995$  had BRCA one and two sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00{:}10{:}45.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}47.875$  and not deletion duplication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00{:}10{:}47.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.771$  But even if they had full gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:10:50.771 --> 00:10:53.010 sequencing and deletion duplication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:10:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.185$  updated genetic testing is likely

00:10:55.185 --> 00:10:57.360 to be indicated because prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:10:57.438 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.592$  2013 those other genes I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:10:59.592 --> 00:11:01.600 talked about related to hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:11:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.075$  breast cancer were not included.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:11:04.080 --> 00:11:05.235 On genetic testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:11:05.235 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.545$  or at least very rarely included.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:11:07.550 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.664$  So if patients before 2013 say

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:11:10.664 --> 00:11:13.920 that they had negative testing in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00{:}11{:}13.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.069$  likely is worth or a new referral

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:11:16.069 --> 00:11:18.099 to talk about updated testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:11:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.840$  and they say 2013.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:11:19.840 --> 00:11:22.051 But even patients that 2014,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:11:22.051 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.877$  2015 some of these bigger tests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:11:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.670$  these bigger panels were still

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:11:26.670 --> 00:11:27.744 coming into play,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00{:}11{:}27.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31.047$  but I would say anything before 2013

00:11:31.047 --> 00:11:33.980 is definitely worth a new evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00{:}11{:}33.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35.350$  So I'm talking about hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:11:35.350 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.724$  breast cancer. So what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:11:36.724 --> 00:11:37.960 So what should?

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

00:11:37.960 --> 00:11:39.395 What should you be thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.915834705333333

 $00:11:39.395 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.830$  about what I would indicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:11:40.890 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.460$  a referral to cancer genetics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:11:42.460 --> 00:11:43.956 If we're thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:11:43.956 --> 00:11:45.078 hereditary breast cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:11:45.080 --> 00:11:47.984 So our bread and butter for

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:11:47.984 --> 00:11:49.920 guidelines is NCCN guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:11:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.628$  Based from the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00{:}11{:}51.628 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}11{:}52.909$  Comprehensive Cancer Network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:11:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.472$  and these guidelines change every 6

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:11:55.472 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.242$  to 12 months before it was focusing

00:11:58.242 --> 00:12:01.366 on just pure C1 and BRCA 2 but now

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:12:01.366 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.640$  in the advent of panel testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:12:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.368$  moving past the era of just BRCA 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:12:06.370 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.596$  And BRCA two we know there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:12:08.596 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.617$  other genes if someone would

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:12:10.617 --> 00:12:12.980 meet clinical guidelines for so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:12:12.980 --> 00:12:15.640 NCCN used to have a nice package

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:12:15.640 --> 00:12:19.090 of just BRCA one and two relating

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:12:19.090 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.166$  to hereditary breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:12:21.170 --> 00:12:24.026 But now they've broken it up a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00{:}12{:}24.026 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}12{:}27.230$  bit into hereditary breast cancer genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:12:27.230 --> 00:12:30.160 hereditary ovarian cancer genes correct,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667 00:12:30.160 --> 00:12:30.627 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00{:}12{:}30.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32.495$  Pancreatic cancer genes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:12:32.495 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.363$  hereditary prostate cancer genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:12:34.370 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.380$  The reason for this is because.

 $00:12:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.823$  Mutations in BRCA one and BRCA two

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00{:}12{:}38.823 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40.800$  are related to hereditary breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:12:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.362$  cancer and ovarian cancer in women

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:12:43.362 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.041$  and and breast cancer in men but

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:12:46.041 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.190$  also they are related to prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:12:48.190 --> 00:12:50.590 Cancer risk in men and pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:12:50.590 --> 00:12:52.829 cancer risk in men and women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:12:52.830 --> 00:12:56.030 So that's why NCCM has so many guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:12:56.030 --> 00:12:57.618 And definitely it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:12:57.618 --> 00:12:58.809 These are in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:12:58.810 --> 00:13:00.426 Again, they change every 6 to 12 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.369$  so you are not expected to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00{:}13{:}02.369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04.359$  the expert on these guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667 00:13:04.360 --> 00:13:04.706 Again, NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:04.706 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.744$  as cancer genetics,

 $00:13:05.744 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.570$  we want to be a resource to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:13:08.570 --> 00:13:09.587 for your patience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:09.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.348$  So just to really distill some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:12.348 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.895$  these guidelines down and just some

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:14.895 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.045$  suggesting subjects things to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:17.045 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.340$  about when placing a referral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.798$  Is that if someone with a diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:22.798 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.229$  of breast cancer under 50,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:25.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.264$  anything premenopausal?

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:26.264 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.883$  I will say you know there there

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:13:29.883 --> 00:13:32.318 isn't blue for for testing if

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:32.318 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.501$  someone is at 50 or at 49 with

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:13:35.501 --> 00:13:37.197 no other family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:13:37.200 --> 00:13:39.264 It's possible this possible they may

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:39.264 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.051$  not meet their insurance guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:41.051 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.181$  to be protesting to be clinically

 $00:13:43.181 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.490$  indicated and meet insurance guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:45.490 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.580$  Someone would need to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:47.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.670$  diagnosed at 45 or younger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:13:49.670 --> 00:13:52.022 But the reason why I posted before

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:52.022 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.467$  50s because it is worth a referral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:54.470 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.105$  because sometimes there may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:56.105 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.740$  other factors that we would

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:13:57.803 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.609$  want to look into in the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:13:59.610 --> 00:14:01.735 including small family size or

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00{:}14{:}01.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.330$  limited structure or and also also

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:14:04.330 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.670$  just to go through more distant

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00{:}14{:}06.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09.363$  relatives and take the time to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00{:}14{:}09.363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.173$  through a whole family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:14:11.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.048$  Now NCCN guidelines recommending

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:14:13.048 --> 00:14:15.383 genetic testing for anyone with

 $00{:}14{:}15.383 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17.500$  triple negative breast cancer at

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00{:}14{:}17.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}19.870$  any age and triple negative breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:14:19.936 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.264$  cancer is breast cancer that test

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:14:22.264 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.340$  negative for the estrogen receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:14:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.420$  Progesterone receptor and access

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:14:26.420 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.020$  of the her two protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:14:29.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.820$  So this means essentially that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:14:30.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.440$  growth of the cancer is not fueled by

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:14:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.140$  the hormones estrogen and progesterone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:14:35.140 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.247$  and is also not fueled by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:14:37.247 --> 00:14:38.150 her two protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:14:38.150 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.678$  So triple negative breast cancer tends to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:14:40.680 --> 00:14:42.876 Much harder to treat and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:14:42.876 --> 00:14:44.833 aggressive because it does not

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:14:44.833 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.023$  respond to hormonal therapy, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:14:47.023 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.588$  NCCN guidelines is now recommending

 $00:14:49.588 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.540$  triple negative breast cancer at any age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:14:52.540 --> 00:14:54.068 Because triple negative breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:14:54.068 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.867$  cancer is more likely to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

00:14:56.867 --> 00:14:58.623 hereditary component than non

NOTE Confidence: 0.904235814666667

 $00:14:58.623 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.379$  triple negative breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:15:00.380 --> 00:15:03.230 For for most insurance purposes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:15:03.230 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.138$  since insurance tends to be slower

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:15:05.138 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.800$  on the uptake than guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:15:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.110$  that change every 6 to 12 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00{:}15{:}09.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11.280$  in surance won't cover testing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00{:}15{:}11.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}13.895$  without any other family history unless

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00{:}15{:}13.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.485$  someone is diagnosed at 60 or younger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00{:}15{:}16.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18.026$  So something to keep in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:15:18.030 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.444$  but for in terms of a referral, it is def.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:15:21.444 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.756$  I would say indicated,

 $00:15:22.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.580$  so something that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:15:24.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.400$  talk about with the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:15:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.634$  Anyone with ovarian flopping to her primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:15:29.634 --> 00:15:32.497 parotta Neil Cancer at any age is genetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:15:32.497 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.838$  Testing is indicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:15:33.838 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.139$  Men diagnosed with breast cancer at any age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:15:37.140 \dashrightarrow 00:15:38.936 \ \mathrm{Metastatic} \ \mathrm{intraductal} \ \mathrm{prostate} \ \mathrm{cancer}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:15:38.936 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.336$  diagnosis at any age and this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:15:42.336 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.555$  more I would say a newer development

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:15:44.555 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.395$  within the last two years where there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00{:}15{:}47.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.441$  been literature has suggested that

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:15:49.441 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.248$  men who have meta static or prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:15:52.248 --> 00:15:54.730 cancer that's more locally advanced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00{:}15{:}54.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56.984$  particularly if it's a higher Gleason score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:15:56.990 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.882$  Which is used to rate aggressiveness

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:15:59.882 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.810$  of the prostate cancer.

00:16:01.810 --> 00:16:03.586 There is a higher prevalence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00{:}16{:}03.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.130$  germline mutations in that group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:16:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.741$  so that's why for men with metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:16:07.741 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.350$  or intraductal prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:16:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.074$  regardless of their AIDS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:16:11.074 --> 00:16:12.798 genetic testing is indicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:16:12.800 --> 00:16:15.326 particularly since it can be helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00{:}16{:}15.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17.660$  in their own treatment options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00{:}16{:}17.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.280$  Same thing with pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00{:}16{:}19.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21.710$  This is a relatively new development

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:16:21.710 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.925$  since about 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:16:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.731$  I guess it's not so recent, but all things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:16:25.731 --> 00:16:27.873 But when I started in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:16:27.873 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.137$  for pancreatic cancer to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:16:30.140 --> 00:16:31.265 someone meet criteria,

00:16:31.265 --> 00:16:33.515 they will need additional family history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:16:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.566$  which is now not the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:16:35.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.366$  Pancreatic cancer based on newer literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:16:38.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.520$  kind of similar to metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:16:40.520 --> 00:16:41.380 prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:16:41.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.739$  is more likely to have a germline

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:16:43.739 --> 00:16:45.789 mutation than more common cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:16:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.092$  so given that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684 00:16:47.092 --> 00:16:47.960 If so, NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:16:47.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.210$  given that that's why pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:16:50.210 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.042$  cancer at any age is clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:16:53.042 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.106$  indicated for genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:16:55.110 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.526$  Also, because there is treatment options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:16:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.282$  And also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:17:00.282 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.914$  if there's three cases of the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:17:02.914 --> 00:17:04.399 cancer or or associated cancers

 $00:17:04.399 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.450$  on the same side of the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00{:}17{:}06.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.047$  so some one who has breast cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:17:09.047 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.519$  two relatives with breast cancer or

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:17:11.519 --> 00:17:13.669 someone with breast breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:17:13.670 --> 00:17:16.190 some cousin with ovarian cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:17:16.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.520$  someone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:17:16.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.500$  a man with prostate cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:17:18.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.390$  his mother with breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00{:}17{:}20.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.374$  So those cancers all in the family is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:17:23.380 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.276$  If you were seeing that especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:17:25.276 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.908$  multiple generations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:17:25.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.140$  multiple relatives is definitely worth over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00{:}17{:}30.140 --> 00{:}17{:}32.020 \ {\rm Referral}.$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:17:32.020 --> 00:17:32.842 And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:17:32.842 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.308$  someone who has multiple primary cancers,

00:17:35.310 --> 00:17:37.921 such as someone who has breast and

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:17:37.921 --> 00:17:40.800 ovarian cancer and bilateral breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:17:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.010$  may be worth the referral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:17:43.010 --> 00:17:44.975 Bilateral breast cancer if there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:17:44.975 --> 00:17:47.342 no other family history and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:17:47.342 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.982$  depending on the age and may not meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:17:49.982 --> 00:17:52.218 insurance criteria for genetic testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

 $00:17:52.220 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.650$  but it is worth a referral just for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:17:54.650 --> 00:17:57.117 to fully flush out the family history

NOTE Confidence: 0.869235684

00:17:57.117 --> 00:17:59.729 and talk about that with the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:18:01.820 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.836$  So this is similar to the previous slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:18:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.712$  but for just those patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:18:06.712 --> 00:18:08.600 only a family history of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00{:}18{:}08.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10.785$  Something to consider is someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:18:10.785 --> 00:18:12.970 who is Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00{:}18{:}12.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}15.892$ one in 40 individuals of Ashkenazi

00:18:15.892 --> 00:18:18.290 Jewish ancestry will have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:18:18.290 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.450$  BRCA one and BRCA 2 mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:18:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.910$  There are three founder mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:18:22.910 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.370$  that are seen very commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:18:25.451 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.707$  in the Ashkenazi population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:18:27.710 --> 00:18:29.362 95% of Ashkenazi Jewish

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:18:29.362 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.840$  individuals who have a BRCA 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:18:31.840 --> 00:18:33.826 Or BRCA 2 mutation will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:18:33.826 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.930$  one of these three mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:18:35.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.583$  So it is more common in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:18:38.583 --> 00:18:40.490 Ashkenazi population while in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:18:40.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.240$  non Ashkenazy population the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:18:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.146$  of a BRCA mutations about one in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:18:45.146 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.366$  400 so is significantly increased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:18:47.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.874$  What I will say is that without a

00:18:49.874 --> 00:18:51.680 family history of breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:18:51.680 --> 00:18:53.504 ovarian, prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:18:53.504 --> 00:18:54.720 pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:18:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.685$  the likelihood someone would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:18:56.685 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.650$  a mutation is still relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:18:58.715 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.479$  low and at this point in time

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:00.479 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.280$  there is no recommendations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.992$  For general screening of the Ashkenazi

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:19:04.992 --> 00:19:07.900 Jewish population without a family history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:07.900 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.112$  so it is something I think worth

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:10.112 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.860$  to consider for a referral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:11.860 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.804$  because at least then we can talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:19:13.804 --> 00:19:15.865 with the patient and we can go through,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:15.870 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.220$  go through the family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:17.220 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.916$  But I do want to make that caviar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.376$  Kind of similar to the before slide,

 $00:19:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.117$  if someone has at least three breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:25.117 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.679$  cancers in the family and their,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.129$  you know in their mother and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:29.129 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.552$  aunt and a cousin again clustered

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:30.552 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.295$  on the same side of the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:32.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.510$  that was worth the referral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:34.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.078$  Someone who has a close relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:19:37.078 --> 00:19:39.511 with breast cancer diagnosed at 45

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:19:39.511 --> 00:19:41.455 or younger is worth a referral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.028$  And someone who has a relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:44.028 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.312$  with ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.784$  Someone if someone reports out there on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:19:47.784 --> 00:19:50.196 how to ovarian cancer that individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:50.196 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.206$  does meet criteria based on family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:19:53.210 --> 00:19:54.968 Same thing with male breast cancer.

 $00:19:54.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.644$  Having an uncle or father with male

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:19:57.644 \dashrightarrow 00:20:00.630$  breast cancer is a referral is indicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:20:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.726$  Pancreatic cancer in a close relative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:20:03.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.554$  particularly if specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:20:05.554 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.378$  if it's apparent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:20:07.380 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.492$  For a child or a sibling that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:20:09.492 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.946$  worth a referral and same thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:20:11.946 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.798$  with metastatic prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:20:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.010$  This in a close male relative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:20:17.010 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.618$  And finally, as before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00{:}20{:}19.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21.312$  Leave any close relatives with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00{:}20{:}21.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}23.139$  combination of the above cancer types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:20:23.140 --> 00:20:25.796 Again, if you're seeing in the same family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:20:25.800 --> 00:20:27.354 prostate, ovarian, breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:20:27.354 --> 00:20:29.944 pancreatic, any combination of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:20:29.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.498$  that is definitely worth a referral.

 $00:20:31.500 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.176$  'cause cancers all can be associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00{:}20{:}34.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36.730$  through a single gene mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:20:36.730 --> 00:20:38.190 Now switching gears and going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:20:38.190 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.110$  to her age right colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:20:40.110 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.399$  Kind of like with hereditary breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:20:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.824$  We cannot talk about her age rate colon

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:20:44.824 --> 00:20:47.118 cancer without talking about Lynch syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:20:47.120 --> 00:20:49.316 Lynch syndrome is caused by germline

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:20:49.316 --> 00:20:51.439 mutations in one of the five,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:20:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.304$  so we one of the four mismatch repair

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:20:54.304 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.150$  proteins or deletions in the Epcam gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

00:20:57.150 --> 00:21:00.046 The risk is very dependent upon the gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00{:}21{:}00.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}01.868$  especially in the last few years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00{:}21{:}01.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04.420$  We've actually realized that the lifetime

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:21:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.790$  risks with specifically with mutations.

00:21:06.790 --> 00:21:07.422 And TM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00{:}21{:}07.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09.634$  S2 and MSH six are quite different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:21:09.634 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.107$  than the risks associated with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:21:12.110 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.246$  Which one and MSH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104423475

 $00:21:13.246 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.950$  2 and that could actually be

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

00:21:15.013 --> 00:21:17.127 a whole whole talking in of itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

 $00:21:17.130 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.065$  So I'm not going to go into all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

 $00:21:19.065 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.578$  details of how they're different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

 $00{:}21{:}20.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24.492$  but essentially the main cancer risks

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

 $00:21:24.492 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.560$  with Lynch syndrome is colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

 $00:21:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.970$  and uterine or endometrial cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

 $00:21:29.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.496$  As you can see in this chart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

00:21:31.500 --> 00:21:33.628 which is mainly based on the high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

 $00:21:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.688$  I would say the more high

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

00:21:35.688 --> 00:21:37.519 penetrance genes Emily Quan and H.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

 $00:21:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.686$  Two, but there are risk of

 $00:21:39.686 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.130$  other types of cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

00:21:41.130 --> 00:21:43.125 including other GI cancers like

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

 $00:21:43.125 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.120$  cancer of the stomach file,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

 $00:21:45.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.520$  duct cancer of the pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

 $00{:}21{:}47.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}49.410$  You can also see ovarian cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

00:21:49.410 --> 00:21:51.619 and women who have Lynch syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

 $00:21:51.620 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.540$  and cancer of the urinary tract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

 $00:21:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.910$  like the bladder and also individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

 $00{:}21{:}55.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}58.343$  with Lynch syndrome can have sebaceous

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

 $00{:}21{:}58.343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00.313$  adenomas which are very specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.749313972941176

 $00{:}22{:}00.313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02.670$  types of skin of skin findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00:22:05.060 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.860$  So when we think about hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00{:}22{:}06.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09.195$  colon cancer, I often think about in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

00:22:09.195 --> 00:22:11.964 these two buckets where one bucket is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00:22:11.964 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.119$  what we call nonpolyposis syndromes,

00:22:14.120 --> 00:22:16.856 which essentially is mainly Lynch syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00:22:16.860 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.468$  maybe other hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

00:22:18.468 --> 00:22:20.076 colon cancer syndromes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00:22:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.168$  This just means that someone is at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

00:22:23.168 --> 00:22:25.924 high risk genetically of colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

00:22:25.924 --> 00:22:28.900 but it's not necessarily because they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00{:}22{:}28.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.558$  developing a large number of polyps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

00:22:31.560 --> 00:22:33.275 The polyps and Lynch syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00:22:33.275 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.990$  are not numerous like with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

00:22:34.990 --> 00:22:35.786 Polly process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00{:}22{:}35.786 \to 00{:}22{:}38.174$  but the polyps in Lynch syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00:22:38.174 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.903$  do advance much faster than non

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00:22:40.903 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.759$  lynch syndrome associated polyps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00{:}22{:}42.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}44.805$  So nonpolyposis syndromes you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

00:22:44.805 --> 00:22:47.983 see a high risk of colon cancer but

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00:22:47.983 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.834$  not the high risk of numerous polyps.

 $00:22:50.834 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.569$  Poly Post syndromes are different

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00{:}22{:}53.569 \rightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.335$  because an individual would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

00:22:56.335 --> 00:22:58.431 genetically predisposed to developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

00:22:58.431 --> 00:23:01.290 large number of column of colon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

00:23:01.290 --> 00:23:01.996 Polyps depend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00:23:01.996 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.467$  The type is dependent on the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

00:23:04.467 --> 00:23:06.129 polyposis syndrome and I will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00:23:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.447$  Review those briefly on the next slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00{:}23{:}08.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10.410$  but typically they polyps tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00:23:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.766$  to be numerous, usually over 10,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00:23:12.766 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.726$  and that numerous those numerous

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

00:23:14.726 --> 00:23:16.822 polyps or what's causing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79931447

 $00{:}23{:}16.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.897$  increased risk of colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:23:21.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.000$  So hurry, Polly pulses syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:23:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.712$  Most people have heard about familial

00:23:25.712 --> 00:23:28.039 adenomatous polyp ossis or FAP

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00{:}23{:}28.039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.264$  which is characterized by someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

00:23:30.264 --> 00:23:32.470 developing in the classic form,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:23:32.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.610$  developing hundreds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:23:33.610 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.030$  possibly up to 1000 adenomatous colon

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:23:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.769$  polyps beginning at a very young age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:23:39.770 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.326$  usually in the 20s and 20s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:23:42.330 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.844$  Eat or even younger and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:23:44.844 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.520$  the majority of individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:23:46.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.970$  If this was left untreated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:23:48.970 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.580$  it's with with a total colectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:23:51.580 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.633$  There would, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:23:52.633 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.388$  the risk of colon cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:23:54.388 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.740$  approaches 100% even by age 40,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:23:56.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.765$  so this is a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

00:23:58.765 --> 00:23:59.980 Poly pulses syndrome,

 $00:23:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.578$  attenuated as as the name implies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:24:02.580 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.298$  is attenuated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:24:03.298 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.093$  meaning that the polyps develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:24:05.093 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.443$  at a later age and there can

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:24:07.443 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.255$  be a smaller number of polyps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

00:24:09.260 --> 00:24:12.344 such usually over usually over 12

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

00:24:12.344 --> 00:24:15.770 / 20 up to 100 adenomatous polyps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

00:24:15.770 --> 00:24:18.871 usually beginning in the 30s or 40s

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:24:18.871 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.868$  fifties and we have seen a variety of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00{:}24{:}21.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23.610$  Even very attenuated forms

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:24:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.785$  even in our own clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:24:25.790 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.492$  So there does appear to be some

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00{:}24{:}28.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}31.020$  variability we're discovering map can

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

00:24:31.020 --> 00:24:34.445 sometimes mimic attenuated faps UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:24:34.450 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.375$  but the only difference with that is

 $00:24:36.375 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.785$  that the all the cancer syndromes I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:24:38.785 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.690$  talked about or autosomal dominant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:24:40.690 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.854$  meaning someone would need to inherit a

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

00:24:43.854 --> 00:24:46.344 single pathogenic variant in one copy

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:24:46.344 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.822$  of the gene map is autosomal recessive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:24:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.618$  So for someone to have map,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:24:50.620 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.020$  they would need their parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:24:52.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.670$  we need to be carriers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

00:24:53.670 --> 00:24:54.248 In math,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:24:54.248 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.560$  is can present in a similar way too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00{:}24{:}56.560 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}25{:}00.050$  attenuated faps with again over,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:25:00.050 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.969$  usually over a dozen polyps up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:25:02.969 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.262$  100 polyps beginning in someones 40s

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:25:05.262 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.766$  or or 50s Paula Mac and sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

00:25:07.766 --> 00:25:10.070 present with a more mixed Poly

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00{:}25{:}10.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.500$  poesis type where there's adenomas

00:25:12.500 --> 00:25:14.850 but also non adenomatous polyps

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00{:}25{:}14.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17.489$  like hyperplastic polyps and others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:25:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.040$  Play Seeger syndrome is a is

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:25:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.810$  A is a rare syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

00:25:22.810 --> 00:25:24.386 It presents particularly with

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:25:24.386 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.750$  Poly pulses of the small bowel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

00:25:26.750 --> 00:25:28.798 You could also see it in the colon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:25:28.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.426$  but the small bowel is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00{:}25{:}30.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31.510$  part that's mostly affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:25:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.240$  They develop specific pretty agurs polyps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:25:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.710$  There's other features such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00{:}25{:}36.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38.192$  Miko cutaneous pigmentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

00:25:38.192 --> 00:25:40.440 that's present in childhood around

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:25:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.425$  the lips on the fingertips,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:25:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.982$  even on the nostrils,

 $00:25:43.982 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.310$  and there isn't also associated risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00{:}25{:}46.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.995$  of breast cancer and pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:25:49.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.205$  Juvenile polyp Ossis syndrome is

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:25:51.205 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.852$  not related to the age of onset of

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:25:54.852 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.576$  polyps because is not necessarily mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:25:57.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.152$  Someone develops polyps as

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

00:25:59.152 --> 00:26:01.117 a juvenile or a child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:26:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.385$  but the these individuals develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:26:03.385 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.650$  specific types of juvenile polyps

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:26:05.724 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.619$  and juvenile polyps are not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00{:}26{:}07.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}10.180$  They're not common types of polyps to have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:26:10.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.726$  so someone who presents with multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:26:13.726 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.090$  juvenile polyps would warrant

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

00:26:16.182 --> 00:26:18.726 investigation or juvenile polipo SIS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:26:18.726 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.820$  And then there's other more newly

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00{:}26{:}20.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22.910$  described Poly closest Jeanswear.

 $00:26:22.910 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.404$  The risk there does appear to

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

00:26:24.404 --> 00:26:26.290 be some risk of Poly poesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:26:26.290 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.514$  but how that presents exactly is less clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:26:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.970$  So since there are those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:26:32.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.861$  those two buckets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:26:33.861 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.363$  so let's talk about some of the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:26:36.363 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.148$  guidelines for the referral guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:26:38.148 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.410$  for her age right colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00{:}26{:}40.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}42.972$  So Lynch syndrome and the guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00{:}26{:}42.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.558$  on NCCN are a little bit more clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.581294212

 $00:26:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.496$  in terms of when to when to refer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00{:}26{:}50.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52.556$  I'm not going to go through all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00{:}26{:}52.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}54.602$  this because I know it's a lot and

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00{:}26{:}54.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.080$  I'm gonna again distill it down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:26:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.384$  But essentially it is based in a similar

 $00:26:59.384 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.609$  way to BRC wanted to based on the age of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:03.609 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.170$  diagnosis and the number of people affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:07.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.136$  Poly post syndromes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:08.136 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.919$  The testing strategy can be as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.132$  branched off a lot so as to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:13.132 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.929$  focus on this part here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:27:14.930 --> 00:27:17.145 Probably most important thing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:17.145 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.200$  think about is the number of polyps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.790$  It says here over 10 helps me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:22.790 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.311$  Over 20 adenomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00{:}27{:}24.311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.846$  We sometimes are more conservative

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:26.846 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.450$  and if we see more than 10 adenomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:30.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.334$  it's it's worth a referral just

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:32.334 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.690$  to look at the family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:27:34.690 --> 00:27:37.960 If someone has exactly 10, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:37.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.985$  depending on their their age,

 $00:27:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.150$  how many colonoscopies they've had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:42.150 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.542$  Again, adenomas are common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:43.542 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.282$  especially if people get older.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:45.290 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.873$  So having a few or a couple adenomas is

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:47.873 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.836$  not does not mean someone has Polly Pocest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.620$  But something to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:52.620 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.116$  Also, as someone's age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:27:54.116 --> 00:27:54.490 Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00{:}27{:}54.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57.186$  the size of the polop a young person

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:27:57.186 --> 00:27:59.977 with a very large adenomas polyp,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:27:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.339$  even with a single a single polyp,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:28:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.735$  may still be worth worth the referral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00{:}28{:}05.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08.260$  But these are these are suggestions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00{:}28{:}08.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.521$  so anyone with a colon or uterine

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:28:10.521 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.892$  and demetral cancer diagnosis

 $00:28:11.892 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.620$  under the age of 50 that would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00{:}28{:}14.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}16.101$  specifically suspicious of Lynch

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:28:16.101 --> 00:28:18.255 syndrome because we expect to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:28:18.255 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.692$  those cancers at younger ages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:28:20.692 --> 00:28:23.282 Any Lynch syndrome associated cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00{:}28{:}23.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25.570$  that is microsatellite in stable

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:28:25.570 --> 00:28:28.342 or has abnormal MMR staining

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:28:28.342 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.326$  by immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:28:29.326 --> 00:28:34.072 So during it once now here at at a young

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:28:34.072 --> 00:28:36.914 and haven and offered other other places,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:28:36.920 --> 00:28:40.380 they're now doing universal screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:28:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.840$  of colon and endometrial tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:28:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.090$  which is done through immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:28:46.090 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.393$  So staining of of the tumor to look for

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:28:49.393 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.930$  the presence or absence of MMR proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:28:52.930 --> 00:28:55.348 For individuals who have Lynch syndrome,

 $00:28:55.350 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.465$  if we would expect that those MMR

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:28:58.465 --> 00:29:00.623 proteins would actually be absent

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:29:00.623 --> 00:29:02.849 on IHC staining and if those

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:29:02.850 --> 00:29:04.806 MMR proteins are absent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:29:04.806 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.762$  it actually results in

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:29:06.762 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.740$  microsatellite instability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:29:07.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.786$  So this is something that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:29:09.786 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.150$  on is on pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:29:11.150 --> 00:29:13.467 It would comment if there was loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00{:}29{:}13.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}16.132$  or presence of these proteins if

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00{:}29{:}16.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18.260$  there was microsatellite instability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00{:}29{:}18.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}20.983$  And so the presence of MMR proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00{:}29{:}20.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23.040$  would actually be reassuring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:29:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.140$  And it actually would reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:29:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.240$  the risk of Lynch syndrome,

 $00:29:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.546$  but that's why any cancer with

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:29:28.546 --> 00:29:31.760 abnormal IHC missing MMR proteins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:29:31.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.440$  regardless of age, is is warrants a referral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:29:36.440 --> 00:29:38.180 As I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:29:38.180 --> 00:29:41.272 multiple or you know over over 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00{:}29{:}41.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43.760$  or early onset gastro GI polyps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:29:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.490$  particularly in the in the colon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:29:46.490 --> 00:29:48.320 but also again I mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00{:}29{:}48.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}49.784$  with puts Jaeger syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:29:49.790 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.823$  If there is or there are polyps of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:29:52.823 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.940$  small bowel that can also warrant a referral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:29:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.780$  And specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

00:29:58.780 --> 00:30:01.300 I would say over 10 adenoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:30:01.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.079$  dis or mixed Histology polyps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:30:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.976$  Meaning if someone had a mix of adenomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:30:06.976 \dashrightarrow 00:30:09.916$  or other polyps like hyperplastic polyps

 $00:30:09.916 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.586$  that weren't warrant or referral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:30:12.590 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.850$  With since Hammertoe medicine juvenile

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:30:14.850 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.600$  polyps are more rare polyp types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:30:17.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.812$  if we if we see there's essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.922782083181818

 $00:30:19.812 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.760$  a lower threshold

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:30:20.827 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.675$  for the number of those polyps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:30:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.240$  So seeing at least five of those polyps

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

00:30:25.240 --> 00:30:27.696 could warrant a referral and \*\*\*\*\* aggers

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:30:27.696 \dashrightarrow 00:30:30.370$  polyps are a particular type of colon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:30:30.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.810$  polyp or small bowel polyp,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:30:32.810 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.169$  so if that is confirmed on pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:30:35.170 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.605$  at least two it definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

00:30:37.605 --> 00:30:39.553 is warrants or referral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00{:}30{:}39.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}41.310$  I'm adding this here for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:30:41.310 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.360$  diffuse gastric cancer.

00:30:42.360 --> 00:30:44.376 It's not necessarily related to her edge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00{:}30{:}44.380 --> 00{:}30{:}45.853 \text{ right colon cancer},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

00:30:45.853 --> 00:30:48.308 but diffuse gastric cancer is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

00:30:48.308 --> 00:30:51.398 a rare type of gastric cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:30:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.056$  and it actually can be related.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:30:53.060 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.280$  It is related to win this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:30:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.291$  hereditary related also to lobular

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:30:57.291 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.546$  risk of lobular breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00{:}30{:}59.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02.959$  So diffuse gastric cancer again is rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

00:31:02.960 --> 00:31:04.718 A specific pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

00:31:04.718 --> 00:31:07.062 but definitely diagnosis under

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:31:07.062 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.930$  50 would warrant or referral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

00:31:09.930 --> 00:31:12.114 And similar to BRCA one and two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:31:12.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.544$  if there's three cases of the same cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

00:31:15.544 --> 00:31:19.796 like 3 colon cancers or colon uterine colon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

00:31:19.800 --> 00:31:20.316 stomach,

 $00:31:20.316 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.348$  bladder, stomach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:31:21.348 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.928$  colon all in close relatives

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:31:23.928 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.950$  and multiple generations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:31:25.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.380$  You know looking for those associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:31:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.340$  cancers that would warrant a referral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:31:31.340 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.076$  And similar for another for BRCA one and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

00:31:34.076 --> 00:31:36.510 two multiple cancers in one individual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:31:36.510 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.186$  such as someone who's had two

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:31:39.186 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.533$  colon cancers or someone who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:31:41.533 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.365$  had colon and any material cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00{:}31{:}44.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46.284$  For his patients with with just

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:31:46.284 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.070$  a family history of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

00:31:48.070 --> 00:31:49.930 Again, as I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:31:49.930 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.264$  someone with at least three colon

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:31:52.264 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.307$  cancers or Lynch syndrome associated

 $00:31:54.307 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.833$  cancers in first and second degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:31:56.833 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.830$  relatives so close relatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

00:31:58.830 --> 00:32:00.797 At least one first of your relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

00:32:00.797 --> 00:32:02.346 with colon or endometrial cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:32:02.346 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.946$  under the age of 50.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

00:32:03.950 --> 00:32:06.188 So if someone's parent had color,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:32:06.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.690$  sibling had colon cancer under

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:32:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.550$  50 or uterine cancer under 50.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00{:}32{:}11.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}13.279$  And for second or third of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:32:13.279 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.399$  relatives with a combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00:32:14.399 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.029$  of the above cancer types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85586542875

 $00{:}32{:}16.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}19.300$  again looking for those patterns of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00{:}32{:}21.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}23.636$  So I'm I'm. I'm sure everyone here again

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:32:23.636 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.226$  as I talked about with knows about BRCA

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

00:32:26.226 --> 00:32:28.918 one and two Lynch syndrome Poly Pulsus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:32:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.035$  I want to talk about a few of more

 $00:32:31.035 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.473$  rare indications that you may not come

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:32:33.473 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.526$  across but just something I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:32:35.526 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.500$  to bring up as a rare indication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

00:32:37.500 --> 00:32:40.124 So hereditary paraganglioma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:32:40.124 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.404$  Pheochromocytoma syndrome is is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:32:43.404 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.577$  rare and as as the name implies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:32:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.080$  individuals or increased risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:32:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.580$  to develop rare tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:32:51.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.244$  Here is called Paraganglioma

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:32:54.244 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.576$  and pheochromocytomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:32:55.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.355$  so they develop from specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:32:57.355 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.420$  nerve nerve cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00{:}32{:}58.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}00.365$  The the chromaffin cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00{:}33{:}00.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}01.921$  paragangliomas developed along the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

00:33:01.921 --> 00:33:03.740 Para vertebral axis from the base

 $00:33:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.896$  of the skull to the pelvis so they

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:33:05.896 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.842$  can show up in the head and neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:33:07.842 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.676$  They can show up in the abdomen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:33:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.112$  Pheochromocytomas are a specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

00:33:12.112 --> 00:33:15.152 type of paraganglioma that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:33:15.152 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.730$  confined to the adrenal medula.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:33:17.730 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.315$  Both of these tumors can

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:33:20.315 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.866$  secrete calcaneus specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00{:}33{:}21.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}24.222$  Feos almost always secrete

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:33:24.222 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.574$  these excess of hormones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:33:26.580 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.408$  so someone who has a pheochromocytoma can

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:33:29.408 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.969$  actually present with severe hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:33:31.970 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.276$  flushing, sweating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:33:33.276 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.582$  heart palpitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:33:34.582 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.230$  The majority of these tumors are benign,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:33:39.230 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.310$  but they some of them can be malignant,

 $00:33:42.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.750$  particularly for some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:33:45.750 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.126$  hereditary predispositions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:33:47.130 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.670$  So it's something that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

00:33:48.670 --> 00:33:50.934 why if some you know a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:33:50.934 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.046$  presents with a sudden neck, neck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:33:53.046 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.230$  mass or a mass mass in the abdomen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

00:33:56.230 --> 00:34:00.290 or is complaining of episodes of sweating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

00:34:00.290 --> 00:34:02.677 fatigue, anxiety, that makes me not fatigue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

00:34:02.680 --> 00:34:04.318 anxiety, heart palpitations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

00:34:04.318 --> 00:34:07.048 it's you know it might.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

00:34:07.050 --> 00:34:08.170 It's it's, it's something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

00:34:08.170 --> 00:34:10.014 Something to think about, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00{:}34{:}10.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11.824$  may be a referral to endocrinology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00{:}34{:}11.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13.462$  Not saying that everyone that presents

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:34:13.462 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.210$  with that will have these tumors,

 $00:34:15.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.420$  'cause they're very rare, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00{:}34{:}17.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19.598$  They are something that we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:34:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.708$  We do screen form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:34:20.708 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.810$  We do see patients with these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00:34:22.810 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.690$  because there is also screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

 $00{:}34{:}24.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27.010$  For individuals who have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.820837004347826

00:34:27.010 --> 00:34:27.938 hereditary predisposition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:34:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.100$  Another, more rare hereditary predisposition

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:34:34.100 --> 00:34:36.440 is hereditary hyperparathyroidism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:34:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.659$  and I think when people think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}34{:}38.659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}40.225$  hyperparathyroidism, they may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:34:40.225 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.300$  initially think of hereditary cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:34:42.300 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.288$  'cause hyperparathyroidism is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:34:44.288 --> 00:34:46.784 a cancer diagnosis, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:34:46.784 --> 00:34:49.796 is just an overactive parathyroid gland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}34{:}49.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}52.976$  but hereditary hyperparathyroidism can

00:34:52.976 --> 00:34:56.708 be related to other risks of humors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:34:56.708 --> 00:34:58.730 so the most common form of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}34{:}58.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00.930$  hereditary hyperparathyroidism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:35:00.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.570$  Is multiple endocrine neoplasia type

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:35:03.570 \dashrightarrow 00:35:06.865$  one or M1 where the individuals with

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:35:06.865 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.658$  this with me and one will develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:35:10.658 --> 00:35:13.165 hyperparathyroidism over 90% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:35:13.165 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.605$  the time by the time they are 50,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}35{:}15.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}18.322$  usually when they're in their 20s or 30s

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:35:18.322 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.272$  and they are at risk of other endocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:35:21.272 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.630$  tumors of of the endocrine system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:35:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.456$  such as neuroendocrine tumors of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}35{:}26.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}29.450$  pancreas of the stomach of carcinoid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:35:29.450 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.306$  So it is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:35:31.306 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.090$  When someone has particularly early onset

00:35:34.175 --> 00:35:37.299 hyperparathyroidism to actually evaluate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:35:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.042$  to evaluate if they have possibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:35:40.042 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.258$  have me in one and possibly some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:35:43.258 --> 00:35:45.895 these less common forms of fresh

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:35:45.895 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.835$  or hyperparathyroidism because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:35:47.835 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.513$  possibly could mean they might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:35:50.513 --> 00:35:52.799 at risk of other endocrine tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:35:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.738$  So those are just two examples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:35:54.740 --> 00:35:56.546 but just some of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:35:56.546 --> 00:35:58.416 indications that you know maybe may

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:35:58.416 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.222$  not immediately come to mind when

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:36:00.222 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.280$  we think about hereditary cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:36:02.280 \dashrightarrow 00:36:05.500$  Some of the more rare indications anyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:36:05.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.109$  with with medullary thyroid cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:36:08.110 --> 00:36:09.474 adrenocortical carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}36{:}09.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}10.838$  paraganglioma, pheochromocytoma,

 $00:36:10.838 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.831$  or red no blastoma at any age warrants

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}36{:}15.831 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19.079$  a referral or anyone that has a close

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}36{:}19.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.009$  relative with any of these cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:36:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.410$  Anyone with any again rare or

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:36:24.410 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.495$  unusual tumors or physical findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:36:26.495 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.937$  so there are specific skin findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:36:28.937 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.309$  that even if they're benign,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}36{:}31.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}33.055$  they actually can be indicative

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:36:33.055 --> 00:36:34.800 of a hereditary cancer syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}36{:}34.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36.660$  such as sebaceous carcinoma or

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:36:36.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.932$  sebaceous adenomas which we can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:36:38.932 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.854$  with Lynch syndrome or tricholoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}36{:}40.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}43.948$  which we can see with Cowden syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:36:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.250$  which is related to the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:36:46.250 --> 00:36:50.678 The P10 gene. Melanoma is is common.

00:36:50.678 --> 00:36:52.838 The biggest risk factor for

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}36{:}52.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}55.321$  Melanoma or any skin cancer is of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}36{:}55.321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}57.669$  course fair skin and sun exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:36:57.670 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.385$  But if someone's had multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:37:00.385 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.100$  melanomas usually over over 3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:37:03.100 --> 00:37:05.200 specially if they're at a younger age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:37:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.630$  that would warrant a referral

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:37:07.630 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.758$  to cancer genetics to rule out

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}37{:}10.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}13.190$ a hereditary Melanoma syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:37:13.190 --> 00:37:16.046 Leukemia in children is unfortunately common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:37:16.050 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.192$  but if there are other rare

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}37{:}18.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}19.620$  childhood tumors that might,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}37{:}19.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21.396$  that would be an indication for

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}37{:}21.396 --{>} 00{:}37{:}22.580 \ {\rm a \ cancer \ genetics \ referral},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:37:22.580 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.846$  such as again, a Renault blastoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:37:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.440$  Sarcoma and osteosarcoma.

 $00:37:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.620$  Some tumors that are diagnosed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}37{:}31.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35.410$  children can be indicative of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:37:35.410 --> 00:37:38.018 rare hereditary cancer syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:37:38.020 --> 00:37:40.125 And anyone diagnosed with primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:37:40.125 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.809$  hyperparathyroidism before the age

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:37:41.809 --> 00:37:44.017 of 50 warrants a referral again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:37:44.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.890$  since the most common type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:37:47.890 --> 00:37:50.982 hyperparathyroidism, me and one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:37:50.982 --> 00:37:52.610 I'm hypercalcemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:37:52.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.270$  which often is the first presenting sign

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:37:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.046$  that someone has hyperparathyroidism

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:37:57.046 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.314$  because elevated parathyroid hormone

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}37{:}59.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}02.750$  causes an elevation of serum calcium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:38:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.808$  The almost all people with me and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:38:04.808 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.532$  one will have hypercalcemia by

 $00:38:06.532 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.076$  the time they're 50,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:38:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.105$  so if someone has hyperparathyroidism

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:38:10.105 --> 00:38:11.320 before they're 50,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:38:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.785$  that could indicate that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

00:38:13.785 --> 00:38:16.640 know it is important to rule

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00:38:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.528$  out another previous position.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78671463125

 $00{:}38{:}18.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20.540$  And I say this for the end because it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:38:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.708$  not a rare indication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:38:21.710 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.966$  but anyone that has a fame

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:38:23.966 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.470$  reports a family history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00{:}38{:}25.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}29.607$  but no mutation in any cancer gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:38:29.607 --> 00:38:33.187 Jersey one MLH one pal B2 ATM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:38:33.187 --> 00:38:37.120 Any cancer gene you know in a cousin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:38:37.120 --> 00:38:39.430 even in a first cousin once removed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:38:39.430 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.964$  if someone is reporting that that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:38:41.964 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.727$  a definite indication for genetic

00:38:43.727 --> 00:38:45.869 testing and it is very important if

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:38:45.869 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.297$  a patient is reporting that to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:38:48.300 --> 00:38:50.268 That you do encourage them to get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:38:50.268 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.479$  copy of their relatives test results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:38:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.586$  because that can actually be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:38:54.586 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.933$  helpful in their own testing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:38:56.933 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.689$  actually ensuring their tests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00{:}38{:}58.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}02.910$  Their own testing is accurate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:39:02.910 --> 00:39:05.514 So let's talk about out of pocket

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:39:05.514 --> 00:39:07.100 genetic casting options and

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:39:07.100 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.716$  direct to consumer testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:39:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.317$  So out of pocket genetic testing options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00{:}39{:}11.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}13.336$  Luckily in the last few years the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:39:13.336 --> 00:39:15.726 cost of genetic testing has gone down

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:39:15.726 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.916$  significantly in the last several years,

 $00:39:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.906$  so years, years and years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:39:19.910 --> 00:39:21.250 over ten years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:39:21.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.602$  testing may have been a few \$1000

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:39:23.602 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.996$  even just for BRCA one and two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:39:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.480$  Testing now because the technology is faster,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:39:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.580$  it's better. It's cheaper now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:39:32.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.840$  Genetic testing can be performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:39:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.660$  through a large panel of genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:39:37.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.820$  Again, all the genes that we've talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:39:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.054$  For an out of pocket costs of \$250,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:39:42.054 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.874$  there are a few commercial

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:39:43.874 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.730$  labs that are offering this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:39:45.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.322$  which has been really excellent because

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:39:48.322 --> 00:39:51.640 it doesn't prove access to genetic testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:39:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.170$  for patients without insurance coverage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00{:}39{:}54.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}56.070$  For those patients who are

00:39:56.070 --> 00:39:57.970 motivated to pursue genetic testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:39:57.970 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.944$  even if we don't seal are risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:39:59.944 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.280$  factors in their family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:40:01.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.730$  For a hereditary cancer syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:40:03.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.320$  and just one example here in vitae,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:40:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.258$  they do actually have their own

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:40:08.258 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.491$  self pay option.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:40:09.491 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.977$  Where to be \$250 for diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00{:}40{:}12.977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}16.727$  testing and this testing is different

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:40:16.727 --> 00:40:20.525 than the direct to consumer testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:40:20.525 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.984$  like 23 and me or ancestry.com

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00{:}40{:}22.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}25.354$  which this test again detained

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00{:}40{:}25.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.615$  other other labs is testing is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00{:}40{:}28.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.760$  clinical diagnostic test but other

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00{:}40{:}30.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}33.199$  direct to consumer testing like 23

 $00:40:33.199 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.974$  and me and ancestry.com is well how

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:40:35.974 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.618$  I've heard it described.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:40:37.620 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.990$  It is like cocktail genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:40:38.990 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.958$  It's it's something fun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:40:40.958 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.994$  It's, you know, an ancestry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:40:42.994 --> 00:40:44.924 There's nothing indicating that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:40:44.924 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.867$  ancestry is actually is inaccurate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:40:46.870 --> 00:40:48.446 If anything actually appears

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:40:48.446 --> 00:40:50.416 to be quite quite accurate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:40:50.420 --> 00:40:52.820 but it's not something that should

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:40:52.820 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.660$  be used for clinical decision making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:40:55.660 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.308$  So just something to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:40:58.308 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.875$  To think about so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:41:00.875 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.750$  As a as an example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286 00:41:03.750 --> 00:41:04.244 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:41:04.244 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.690$  let's say Diana is a 36 year old female.

 $00:41:06.690 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.778$  She's in good health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:41:07.778 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.760$  She comes in for an annual exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:41:09.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.052$  She has Spanish ancestry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:41:12.052 --> 00:41:15.280 She's reports her mom had breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:41:15.280 --> 00:41:17.080 cancer 44 and passed away,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:41:17.080 --> 00:41:19.390 but no other family history of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:41:19.390 --> 00:41:21.763 And then Diana reports that she sent

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:41:21.763 --> 00:41:24.119 in a sample for genetic testing at

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:41:24.119 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.823$  23 and me and that her results were

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00{:}41{:}26.823 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}29.350$  negative for BRCA one and BRCA 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:41:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.430$  So the question I have and I was going to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00{:}41{:}32.430 --> 00{:}41{:}32.834 \ \mathrm{Cool},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

00:41:32.834 --> 00:41:35.258 but it wasn't working out so

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:41:35.258 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.800$  I'm just going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868109920714286

 $00:41:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.116$  Close the question for

 $00:41:38.116 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.090$  you to think about and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.905090555238095

 $00:41:40.165 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.645$  can answer out loud where

NOTE Confidence: 0.905090555238095

 $00:41:41.645 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.530$  you are or in your head.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905090555238095

 $00:41:43.530 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.758$  Are Diane is genetic tests isn't informative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905090555238095

 $00:41:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.762$  And does she need to be retested

NOTE Confidence: 0.905090555238095

 $00:41:49.762 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.892$  for BRCA one and BRCA 2 if

NOTE Confidence: 0.905090555238095

 $00:41:51.892 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.100$  her testing is negative?

NOTE Confidence: 0.905090555238095

00:41:53.100 --> 00:41:55.484 And let's say we actually have the report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905090555238095

 $00{:}41{:}55.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}58.250$  Is confirmed does she need to be retested?

NOTE Confidence: 0.905090555238095

 $00:41:58.250 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.279$  Is this informative?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:42:01.660 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.750$  And the answer is no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:42:05.750 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.220$  and and no so. So so 23andMe?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:42:10.220 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.620$  Uhm, it's not to say so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:42:12.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.405$  Essentially what is important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:42:15.405 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.817$  know is that 23 NI they do offer

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:42:19.817 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.338$  testing for BRCA one and BRCA 2.

 $00:42:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.168$  But only selected variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:42:26.168 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.289$  you might remember.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:42:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.674$  I said that earlier in the earlier in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:42:30.674 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.473$  the talk I said that there are three

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:42:33.473 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.778$  specific mutations that are seen commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

00:42:35.778 --> 00:42:38.208 in people that are Ashkenazi Jewish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:42:38.210 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.910$  Those are the three variants that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:42:40.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.780$  Are being tested for, so for Diana

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00{:}42{:}43.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}47.240$  she may not have those variants and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

00:42:47.240 --> 00:42:51.000 A manned, but she also has Spanish ancestry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:42:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.135$  so she would not have been expected

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:42:53.135 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.110$  to have those variants at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00{:}42{:}55.110 --> 00{:}42{:}57.198$  and 23 me does not do full gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:42:57.198 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.997$  sequencing of beers they wanted to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:42:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.700$  Does not do deletion duplication,

 $00:43:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.352$  and those three mutations are seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00{:}43{:}04.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}06.559$  almost exclusively in people or

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00{:}43{:}06.559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}08.749$ Ashkenazi Jewish make up only three

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:43:08.749 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.389$  of 1000 known pathogenic mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:43:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.222$  So for Diana this is a very uninformative

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:43:14.222 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.349$  test and she would be recommended to have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

00:43:17.350 --> 00:43:21.130 Formal BRC wanted two testing gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:43:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.250$  sequencing and deletion duplication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00{:}43{:}23.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24.298$  And that's essentially what

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:43:24.298 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.608$  direct to consumer testing does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

00:43:25.610 --> 00:43:27.840 It looks at specific variants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:43:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.056$  and some of these variants may have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:43:30.056 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.618$  very small effect on health and may have

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:43:32.618 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.550$  a very questionable effect on health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:43:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.417$  and it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

00:43:35.417 --> 00:43:37.151 It's not very clear some for

 $00:43:37.151 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.610$  some of these variants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:43:38.610 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.610$  for even other diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

00:43:40.610 --> 00:43:43.610 how much risk is actually giving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

00:43:43.610 --> 00:43:46.321 and if something is not clear exactly how

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:43:46.321 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.218$  little of the gene that actually looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

00:43:48.218 --> 00:43:50.439 at so direct to consumer testing is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:43:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.347$  you know it is something that the people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00{:}43{:}53.350 --> 00{:}43{:}53.688 \ \mathrm{Lou},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

00:43:53.688 --> 00:43:56.054 but it's something that should not be

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:43:56.054 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.600$  used for any clinical determination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00{:}43{:}58.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}00.748$  What's what some people have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

00:44:00.748 --> 00:44:03.356 doing is that they can take the raw

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00{:}44{:}03.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}05.518$  data from 23 and me and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:44:05.518 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.556$  upload it to programs like one called

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:44:08.556 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.365$  promethease that actually builds a

00:44:11.365 --> 00:44:15.184 personal DNA report by looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

00:44:15.184 --> 00:44:18.010 genotype so they actually they actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:44:18.095 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.735$  can do that at not a large cost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:44:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.288$  but the problem with that is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:44:23.288 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.149$  uploading these raw data files.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:44:25.150 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.594$  There's actually a high false positive rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

00:44:28.600 --> 00:44:31.096 Because just in terms of of how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

00:44:31.096 --> 00:44:33.571 data is uploaded and how the programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:44:33.571 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.269$  are there is it can actually report

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

00:44:36.269 --> 00:44:38.795 a pathogenic mutation that if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:44:38.795 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.473$  look test the patient clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:44:41.473 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.917$  limitation is not there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:44:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.764$  So this is so this is 11

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00{:}44{:}47.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}50.608$  figure from from this test which

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:44:50.608 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.709$  actually showed that out of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

00:44:52.710 --> 00:44:55.494 Out of the the number of patients that

00:44:55.494 --> 00:44:57.536 report that had pathogenic variant

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

00:44:57.536 --> 00:45:00.734 detected by uploading the raw data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:45:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.132$  40% were a false positive and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:45:03.132 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.625$  see most of those were in jeans that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:45:05.625 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.758$  we often know about PRC two BRCA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00{:}45{:}07.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11.656$  one ATM MLH one related to Lynch syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

00:45:11.660 --> 00:45:16.470 Check two TB 53 so genes that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:45:16.470 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.990$  someone had a mutation those genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:45:18.990 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.327$  that has clinical significance so

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:45:21.327 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.309$  individuals if they aren't aware of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:45:24.310 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.280$  The risk of false positives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00:45:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.734$  They may be doing screening or

NOTE Confidence: 0.85469599

 $00{:}45{:}28.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.370$  preventative surgeries that may

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:45:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.760$  not be indicated so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:45:31.760 --> 00:45:33.550 particularly with things like raw

 $00:45:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.870$  data and direct to consumer testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:45:35.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.310$  If there is a family history

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:45:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.860$  and a patient is concerned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:45:38.860 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.940$  the best thing they can do is meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00{:}45{:}40.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}43.585$  with a genetic counselor and have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:45:43.585 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.645$  clinical test for hereditary cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:45:45.650 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.645$  And there are position statements

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:45:47.645 --> 00:45:50.117 from the National Society of Genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00{:}45{:}50.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52.553$  Counselors about at home testing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:45:52.553 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.016$  things to consider and the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00{:}45{:}55.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}56.981$  College of Obese and Gynecologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:45:56.981 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.260$  also have a position statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.940$  Actually say quite nicely in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.610$  title that it creates confusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:03.610 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.120$  so direct to consumer testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.338$  Again for ancestry.

 $00:46:07.338 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.368$  For small things like that

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:09.368 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.010$  is something that again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:11.010 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.110$  cocktail genetic something that's fun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:46:13.110 --> 00:46:14.874 But for things like BRCA one and

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:14.874 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.440$  two testing or anything else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.246$  it's clinically indicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:46:18.246 --> 00:46:20.654 Definitely a clinical diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:20.654 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.460$  test is warranted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:46:22.460 --> 00:46:26.378 particularly if there's a family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.764$  So let's talk about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:46:27.764 --> 00:46:29.494 you know genetic testing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00{:}46{:}29.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31.836$  kind of what would we talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:31.836 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.120$  with with patients so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.440$  When we do genetic testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.330$  there are three possible results we

 $00:46:36.330 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.991$  can get from a task which will one

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00{:}46{:}38.991 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}40.995$  will stay positive means there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:46:41.060 --> 00:46:42.920 a pathogenic mutation detected in

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.584$  one of the genes analyzed and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:45.584 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.594$  there are associated cancer risks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:46:47.600 --> 00:46:48.899 Negative straightforward means

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:48.899 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.497$  that when the genes are analyzed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:46:51.500 --> 00:46:53.690 there's no known pathogenic mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:53.690 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.542$  The jeans look normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:46:55.542 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.320$  there's no detectable hereditary cancer risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00{:}46{:}58.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}00.650$  And then there's the variant

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:47:00.650 --> 00:47:02.048 of uncertain significance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:47:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.249$  which is a variation in a gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:47:05.250 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.310$  That the laboratory has not yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:47:08.310 --> 00:47:10.913 classified to pathogenic meaning it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:47:10.913 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.153$  causing cancer risk or benign variation,

00:47:14.153 --> 00:47:17.158 meaning it's just a polymorphism

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00{:}47{:}17.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}20.447$  that's actually not impacting the gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:47:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.550$  And variants of uncertain significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:47:22.550 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.828$  They are very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:47:23.828 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.106$  They're quite common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:47:25.110 --> 00:47:27.576 especially when we do larger testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:47:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.052$  which we do nowadays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:47:29.052 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.892$  We actually find variants of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:47:30.892 --> 00:47:32.130 uncertain significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00{:}47{:}32.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}34.308$  20 to 30% of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00{:}47{:}34.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}36.650$  This is an example report,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00{:}47{:}36.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}38.510$  and particularly it's always hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00{:}47{:}38.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41.147$  when you get a variant of uncertain

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00{:}47{:}41.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.625$  significance in a gene like BRCA 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:47:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.140$  but most of these variants are

 $00:47:47.140 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.439$  reclassified by the laboratory 2 benign.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:47:50.440 --> 00:47:51.892 Two normal changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:47:51.892 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.796$  That's why on the previous slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:47:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.950$  if a varying divine stern

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:47:56.950 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.240$  significance is detected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:47:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.948$  medical management is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:47:59.948 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.656$  based on that variant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:48:01.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.010$  It's based only on personal

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:48:04.010 --> 00:48:05.420 family history factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:48:05.420 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.946$  We have seen cases where someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:48:07.946 --> 00:48:10.860 is followed as if they have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:48:10.860 --> 00:48:13.552 real mutation in BRCA 1, BRCA 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00{:}48{:}13.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}15.532$  another gene that's actually a

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:48:15.532 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.600$  variant of uncertain significance

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:48:17.600 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.576$  and they're screened. They they may.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:48:20.576 --> 00:48:22.148 They may have preventive surgery and

 $00:48:22.148 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.780$  then they find out in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:48:23.780 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.817$  It is actually a benign variation so

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:48:25.817 --> 00:48:28.139 we always go back to family history

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:48:28.139 --> 00:48:29.864 to guide management is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:48:29.864 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.235$  we kind of say innocent till proven

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:48:32.235 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.585$  guilty because most of the time the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:48:34.585 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.655$  laboratory will update us with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00{:}48{:}36.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}39.235$  report when they can get enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:48:39.235 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.405$  information to change their classification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429 00:48:41.410 --> 00:48:41.703 Uhm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00:48:41.703 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.996$  no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:48:41.996 --> 00:48:44.047 and actually I already talked about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

00:48:44.050 --> 00:48:45.698 so I I've already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00{:}48{:}45.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48.170$  I'm I'm when someone step ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00{:}48{:}48.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50.826$  So really we also I want to mention

 $00:48:50.826 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.152$  point out we don't test relatives for

NOTE Confidence: 0.922767203571429

 $00{:}48{:}54.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}56.220$  variants of uncertain significance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:48:56.220 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.258$  only if it might be helpful in

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

00:48:59.258 --> 00:49:00.560 reclassifying that variant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.480$  meaning that sometimes it can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.830$  helpful to know did the variant come

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:04.830 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.161$  from your momma from your dad because

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

00:49:07.226 --> 00:49:09.038 your dad had pancreatic cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00{:}49{:}09.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}11.518$  your mom is 85 and still living so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

00:49:11.518 --> 00:49:13.420 If the speakers say two variant

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:13.482 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.638$  came from your mom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.116$  I we might be less suspicious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

00:49:16.120 --> 00:49:18.576 but it came from your dad that maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:18.576 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.697$  could maybe could add evidence or at

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:20.697 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.290$  least keep it at the level that it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00{:}49{:}23.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}25.498$  So that's why we only really test relatives.

 $00:49:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.500$  If it might be helpful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:26.500 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.250$  and reclassifying the the variant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:30.250 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.013$  And and this this can be hard for patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.346$  It can be hard to have that uncertainty of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:36.346 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.816$  Is this something I'm gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:37.816 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.930$  have to think about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

00:49:38.930 --> 00:49:40.792 It is something that is actually going

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:40.792 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.194$  to we find out that I'm as positive in

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:43.194 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.824$  the future and it can be hard to how

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00{:}49{:}45.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}48.010$  to communicate this to family members.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

00:49:48.010 --> 00:49:49.024 It's you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:49.024 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.376$  it's something members can

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:50.376 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.390$  won't understand it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:51.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.014$  They might want to be tested for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:53.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.491$  So this can be particularly tricky in

00:49:55.491 --> 00:49:57.419 communicating this with with patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.695$  which is why we do take time

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:49:59.695 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.670$  before and after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:50:00.670 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.350$  Even before someone has testing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:50:02.350 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.378$  prep them and let them know this

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:50:04.378 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.070$  isn't this as a possible result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00{:}50{:}06.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}09.619$  we can find that is quite common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:50:09.620 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.620$  And testing options are, they're all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00{:}50{:}12.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}14.168$  They're all a little bit different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:50:14.170 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.120$  We do single site testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

00:50:16.120 --> 00:50:18.376 If there's a known mutation in the family

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

00:50:18.376 --> 00:50:20.880 and no other suspicious cancer history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:50:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.790$  meaning that there's a mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

00:50:23.790 --> 00:50:25.420 We've confirmed with their report,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:50:25.420 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.458$  there's nothing else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:50:26.458 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.534$  In those cases we would test

 $00:50:28.534 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.619$  for just that single mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:50:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.430$  Other options for those families

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00{:}50{:}32.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}34.683$  where there's not a no mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:50:34.683 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.939$  is a cancer site specific panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00{:}50{:}36.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39.108$  meaning related to genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335 00:50:39.108 --> 00:50:39.650 Only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:50:39.650 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.228$  Genes that are only related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:50:41.228 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.088$  the cancer is seen in the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

00:50:43.090 --> 00:50:44.944 meaning that maybe if there's just

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:50:44.944 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.968$  breast or varying cancer in the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

00:50:46.970 --> 00:50:49.588 limiting it to jeans that have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:50:49.588 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.277$  known association with hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

00:50:51.277 --> 00:50:53.237 breast or ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:50:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.347$  Well, this colon cancer in the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:50:55.350 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.115$  keeping it only to hereditary colon cancer,

 $00:50:58.120 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.780$  expanded panels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:50:58.780 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.110$  We've been doing more often, meaning that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00{:}51{:}01.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}03.150$  You look at multiple genes related

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:03.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.809$  to several types of cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:04.810 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.546$  including cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:05.546 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.122$  We may not even be seeing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:08.122 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.010$  someone's family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:09.010 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.058$  Such as colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00{:}51{:}11.058 --> 00{:}51{:}12.430 \ \mathrm{Melanoma, \ uterine \ cancer},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.290$  stomach cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:13.290 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.270$  So essentially all the more common horizon

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:16.270 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.258$  right cancer types we often can do that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:19.327 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.994$  will be called an expanded panel testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00{:}51{:}22.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24.118$  But panel testing there are considerations

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

00:51:24.118 --> 00:51:26.188 because the more genes we look at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.074$  the higher chance we'll find something

00:51:28.074 --> 00:51:29.640 in a hereditary cancer gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:29.640 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.564$  which could be expected

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:31.564 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.007$  or possibly unexpected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:33.010 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.080$  Some patients don't want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.736$  find an unexpected result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:36.740 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.908$  as I mentioned earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:37.908 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.660$  there are some genes that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

00:51:39.720 --> 00:51:41.320 included in testing nowadays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00{:}51{:}41.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}43.016$  particularly panel testing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:43.016 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.023$  are have not been studied as long

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

00:51:46.023 --> 00:51:48.327 they have an unknown cancer risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00:51:48.330 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.730$  so there may not actually be

NOTE Confidence: 0.922136335

 $00{:}51{:}50.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}52.330$  screening recommendations 'cause for

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00{:}51{:}52.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}54.261$  syndrome. Like Lynch syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:51:54.261 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.009$  and mutations in BRCA,

 $00:51:56.010 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.607$  one of your C2 there are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00{:}51{:}58.607 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}51{:}59.720$  clear screen recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00{:}51{:}59.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}01.766$  with some of these newer genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:52:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.274$  We can find a mutation and still just

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:52:04.274 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.312$  follow someone based on personal or

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:52:06.312 --> 00:52:08.334 family history until we learn about

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:52:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.626$  what that gene is doing specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00{:}52{:}10.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}12.784$  And as I mentioned earlier that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00{:}52{:}12.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}15.572$  when we do a larger panel probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:52:15.572 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.264$  more standard panel that we do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:52:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.934$  we find a VUS 20 to 30% of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00{:}52{:}20.934 \longrightarrow 00{:}52{:}23.256$  time so that's that's quite a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:52:23.260 --> 00:52:26.490 A big chunk of the time we find at least one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:52:26.490 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.634$  and so some patients may not want the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:52:28.634 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.568$  possibility of finding uncertain results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:52:30.570 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.700$  so something else to also think

 $00:52:32.700 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.309$  about when we offer genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:52:35.310 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.305$  Some of the benefits of genetic testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:52:37.310 --> 00:52:39.140 you know it can end uncertainty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:52:39.140 --> 00:52:40.769 You know if there is cancer in the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00{:}52{:}40.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}42.822$  it can be helpful to know do is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:52:42.822 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.825$  a reason for why there's cancer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:52:44.825 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.128$  my family and do I have the reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:52:47.128 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.772$  for the cancer in the family?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:52:48.780 --> 00:52:51.230 If I cancer risks for an individual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:52:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.110$  so then we know what screening we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:52:53.110 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.370$  We need to be doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:52:54.370 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.666$  It can also clarify cancer risks for

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:52:56.666 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.626$  relatives. If there is a positive result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:52:58.630 --> 00:53:00.490 We then know that other relatives

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:53:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.478$  would be at risk of inheriting

 $00:53:02.478 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.524$  that same mutation and then they

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:53:04.524 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.700$  can also have their own testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:53:06.700 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.060$  And it can aid in medical decision making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:53:09.060 --> 00:53:10.672 Knowing again what screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:53:10.672 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.284$  they should be doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:53:12.290 --> 00:53:14.468 Is it worth thinking about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:53:14.468 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.920$  prophylactic bilateral mastectomy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:53:15.978 --> 00:53:17.958 that can actually relieving anxiety?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00{:}53{:}17.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}20.036$  There are studies showing that even

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:53:20.036 --> 00:53:21.920 a positive result could actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:53:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.240$  be anxiety relieving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:53:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.018$  because some actually has the answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:53:26.020 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.526$  They actually know why there's cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00{:}53{:}27.526 \to 00{:}53{:}29.576$  in the family, what's causing it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:53:29.576 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.736$  and they know the specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:53:31.736 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.709$  risks instead of an unknown.

 $00:53:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.485$  There are some risks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00{:}53{:}35.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}36.905$  limitations to genetic testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:53:36.910 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.915$  such as a negative result

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:53:38.915 --> 00:53:40.118 may be uninformative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00{:}53{:}40.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42.628$  or it may be falsely reassuring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:53:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.774$  There are concerns about

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:53:44.774 --> 00:53:45.846 genetic discrimination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:53:45.850 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.026$  so there are laws in place that do

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:53:48.026 --> 00:53:50.374 make it illegal for most health

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:53:50.374 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.544$  insurance companies and most employers

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:53:52.544 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.492$  from discriminating against someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:53:54.492 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.384$  with a positive genetic test result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00{:}53{:}57.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}59.973$  but these do not apply to things

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:53:59.973 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.080$  like life insurance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:54:01.080 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.824$  long term care or disability and it actually.

 $00:54:03.830 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.598$  Possibly could impact fitness

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:54:05.598 --> 00:54:07.808 for duty in the military,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:54:07.810 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.358$  so it is something to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:54:10.358 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.689$  when someone has genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:54:12.690 --> 00:54:14.906 You know what policies they have in place?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:54:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.956$  Are they actively serving in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:54:16.956 --> 00:54:19.339 military just to just to think about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00.54:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.624$  In timing of testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:54:20.624 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.229$  might not be optimal depending

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:54:22.229 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.659$  on someone's current.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:54:23.660 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.175$  Current lifestyle or current current

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:54:26.175 --> 00:54:28.858 plans and Shank testing is an option.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:54:28.860 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.064$  Even though there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:54:30.064 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.666$  clinical recommendations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:54:30.670 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.602$  it is an option and for some

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:54:32.602 --> 00:54:34.033 patients they actually prefer not

 $00:54:34.033 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.659$  to know if they have hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:54:35.659 --> 00:54:37.613 risk of cancer and they may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:54:37.613 --> 00:54:39.461 wish to know future cancer risks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:54:39.461 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.407$  There are limitations in the testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

 $00:54:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.330$  so it is possible even though are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351909

00:54:45.330 --> 00:54:47.179 testing technology has grown a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:54:47.180 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.505$  though there are sometimes undetectable

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00{:}54{:}49.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}51.830$  mutations and current technology that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:54:51.896 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.304$  could be missed in a known hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:54:54.304 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.126$  cancer gene testing technology will

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:54:56.126 --> 00:54:57.936 change and improve overtime just

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00{:}54{:}57.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00.230$  like it has in the last ten years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00{:}55{:}00.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}03.313$  But that is a limitation and not all

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:55:03.313 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.318$  inherited cancer syndromes are known.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:55:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.096$  So in the future kind of like I

 $00:55:08.096 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.380$  mentioned with BRCA one and BRCA 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:55:10.380 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.800$  Four 2013 is out is outdated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:55:13.800 --> 00:55:15.930 Is possible I could be saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:55:15.930 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.804$  in you know 2030 if any test

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:55:18.804 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.111$  after 20 before 2033 is outdated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:55:21.111 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.819$  You know we are likely learn more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:55:23.819 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.327$  inherited cancer syndromes and new genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:55:26.330 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.066$  So more testing may be needed in the future

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00{:}55{:}29.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31.815$  to help clarify risk for some families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:55:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.376$  And this is an example of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:55:34.380 --> 00:55:35.772 Uninformative genetic testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:55:35.772 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.020$  where the probe and two were there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:55:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.988$  The circle there is pointing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00{:}55{:}40.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}42.580$  she's had negative genetic testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:55:42.580 --> 00:55:44.736 but has a very striking family history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:55:44.740 --> 00:55:46.888 so her testing is really not

 $00:55:46.888 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.755$  informative and it's not someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00{:}55{:}48.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}50.945$  that you feel comfortable saying oh

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00{:}55{:}50.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}53.728$  you are at average risk for cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:55:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.627$  We always go back to family history

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:55:55.627 --> 00:55:58.276 to This is why we go back to family

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00{:}55{:}58.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00.238$  history to guys screening until we

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:56:00.238 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.038$  can get informative relative tested

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:56:02.038 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.096$  and determine is is there a familial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:56:05.096 --> 00:56:05.590 Mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:56:05.590 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.894$  but maybe this probe and did not inherit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:56:08.900 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.104$  Which is why, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:56:10.104 --> 00:56:11.910 it is very important for sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00{:}56{:}11.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}13.938$  testing the affected relative first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:56:13.940 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.823$  and if that relative has had genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:56:15.823 --> 00:56:17.581 testing it is really important for

00:56:17.581 --> 00:56:19.653 you to be talking to your patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00{:}56{:}19.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}21.623$  and explaining it is important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00{:}56{:}21.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}25.560$  get those medical records for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:56:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.400$  Engineer counseling in the session,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:56:27.400 --> 00:56:29.974 what would I do and will my colleagues do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:56:29.980 --> 00:56:32.710 It's really a combination of education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:56:32.710 --> 00:56:34.750 talking about the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00.56:34.750 \longrightarrow 00.56:36.280$  of hereditary cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:56:36.280 --> 00:56:38.720 syndromes offering psychosocial support,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:56:38.720 --> 00:56:41.160 advocating for our patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:56:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.830$  talking about talking about risks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:56:42.830 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.140$  So it is really this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:56:45.140 --> 00:56:47.438 We're we're here for resource for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:56:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.045$  for patients were also here

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:56:49.045 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.329$  for resource for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:56:50.330 --> 00:56:51.094 You know,

 $00:56:51.094 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.768$  in terms of in terms of risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:56:53.768 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.297$  assessments and patients going forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:56:56.300 --> 00:56:58.463 The main I'll go through some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:56:58.463 --> 00:57:00.420 this this briefly 'cause I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:57:00.420 --> 00:57:02.352 wanna go over too much overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.140$  but there is a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

00:57:05.140 --> 00:57:07.688 There's a lot in a genetic counseling

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:07.688 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.669$  session where we go through medical history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:10.670 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.956$  We take a detailed family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00{:}57{:}12.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}14.340$  We talked about the risk factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:14.340 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.164$  We've seen, the family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:15.164 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.708$  we do, a risk assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:16.708 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.340$  We talk about specific genes or specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:19.406 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.014$  syndromes the patient may be at risk for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:22.020 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.250$  We talk about,

00:57:23.250 --> 00:57:26.120 you know the what hereditary cancer is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.600$  why we do testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.080$  What screening would change

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.730$  if someone was positive?

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:30.730 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.332$  You know why are we doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:32.332 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.400$  this testing at all?

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.210$  We talk about different testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:35.210 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.020$  options in terms of limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00{:}57{:}37.091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}38.687$  panels or expanded panels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:38.690 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.340$  There's research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00{:}57{:}39.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}41.615$  Of course we coordinate the testing we

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:41.615 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.706$  call the patients with their results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:43.710 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.676$  explain their results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00{:}57{:}44.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}47.662$  and then of do any follow up in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:47.662 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.666$  of referrals to specialists and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.849544856

 $00:57:49.666 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.110$  just be a resource for the patient.

 $00:57:52.110 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.844$  Transfer information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:57:52.844 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.780$  so for what you can do to help,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:57:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.100$  have your patients prepare

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:57:57.100 \longrightarrow 00:57:58.750$  probably the most important thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:57:58.750 \longrightarrow 00:58:00.531$  is to encourage their patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:58:00.531 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.276$  to collect their family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:58:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.098$  So if you place a referral

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00.58:04.098 \longrightarrow 00.58:05.007$  for cancer genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:58:05.010 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.684$  the most helpful thing is for

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:58:06.684 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.754$  that patient to be talking to

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:58:08.754 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.538$  relatives getting that information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

00:58:10.540 --> 00:58:12.790 particularly if there's no mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00{:}58{:}12.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}14.854$  it's very important for to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:58:14.854 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.230$  that confirmed with records.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:58:16.230 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.631$  It actually can be impact the accuracy

00:58:18.631 --> 00:58:20.480 of the patient's own testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00{:}58{:}20.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}22.718$  which some patients may not understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:58:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.372$  There can be differences in laboratories

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

00:58:25.372 --> 00:58:27.140 and detecting specific variants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

00:58:27.140 --> 00:58:29.534 so we cannot confirm what lab or

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:58:29.534 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.314$  what specific variant or relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:58:31.314 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.024$  had it's possible the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:58:33.024 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.955$  could get an uninformative or

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00{:}58{:}34.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}37.040$  even a false negative result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:58:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.684$  so anything any medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:58:38.684 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.917$  records from relatives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939351119

 $00:58:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.030$  any information is very helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

00:58:44.540 --> 00:58:45.960 Which I just you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:58:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.216$  bring those milk records visit to the visits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

00:58:49.220 --> 00:58:52.783 And. And again, encourage them to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:58:52.783 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.909$  copies of any any genetic test results.

 $00:58:56.910 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.417$  So after the referral, it takes about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00{:}59{:}00.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}03.052$  UM, the initial jet counseling visit is

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:59:03.052 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.300$  60 minutes. They are sent a questionnaire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:59:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.196$  And the patient schedules an appointment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:59:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.820$  They do a very brief intake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

00:59:09.820 --> 00:59:11.910 Again, the initial genetic counseling

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:59:11.910 \longrightarrow 00:59:14.000$  visit takes about 60 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:59:14.000 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.932$  We again go through that that whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:59:15.932 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.849$  session and the cases are reviewed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:59:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.300$  Our weekly cancer genetics and prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

00:59:21.300 --> 00:59:24.246 program the just out of a meeting where

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00{:}59{:}24.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}26.918$  we review all the cases altogether.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:59:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.754$  Patients are disclosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:59:27.754 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.100$  The results over the phone or in person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:59:30.100 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.176$  If the patient prefers and the

 $00:59:32.176 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.858$  patient does get a copy of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00{:}59{:}34.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}37.306$  results and a detailed summary letter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

00:59:37.310 --> 00:59:40.370 So I guess I'll just end this by saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:59:40.370 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.680$  uhm, you don't have to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

00:59:42.680 --> 00:59:44.649 experts on her Ezra Cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:59:44.650 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.526$  We care for a resource for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:59:46.530 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.498$  You're really, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:59:47.498 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.224$  the the front,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00{:}59{:}48.230 \to 00{:}59{:}50.350$  the front line for these patients to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:59:50.350 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.289$  gauge their personal and family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

00:59:52.290 --> 00:59:55.827 So I really just less last thing I'll say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:59:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.127$  and I think everyone for your attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $00:59:59.130 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.630$  and is a pleasure talking everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852921282

 $01:00:00.630 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.280$  And I will take any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

01:00:07.420 --> 01:00:09.166 Hi Amy, thanks for a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

 $01:00:09.166 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.650$  talk that was really you.

01:00:10.650 --> 01:00:13.512 You managed to pack in a lot there so

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

01:00:13.512 --> 01:00:16.120 thank you so much I do just want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

 $01:00:16.120 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.597$  let all of the attendees know that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

 $01:00:18.597 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.339$  session is being recorded and a link to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

 $01{:}00{:}21.339 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}23.677$  the recording will be on our website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

 $01:00:23.680 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.888$  So if you wanna go back and listen

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

01:00:25.888 --> 01:00:27.952 to parts of Amy's talk you will

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

 $01{:}00{:}27.952 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}30.169$  have access to that in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

 $01:00:30.170 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.570$  We also have a number of resources on

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

 $01:00:32.570 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.633$  our website including fact sheets that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

 $01{:}00{:}34.633 \to 01{:}00{:}37.469$  you and your patients may find helpful so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

 $01:00:37.470 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.810$  And encourage everyone to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

01:00:38.810 --> 01:00:40.150 look at their website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

01:00:40.150 --> 01:00:41.670 And I believe that, UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

01:00:41.670 --> 01:00:44.916 the website will be included and

 $01:00:44.916 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.016$  post conference communications soum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

 $01{:}00{:}47.016 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}50.572$  We'd like to encourage people to enter

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

01:00:50.572 --> 01:00:54.072 some questions for Amy in the Q&A and Amy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

 $01:00:54.072 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.244$  we do have a couple here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

 $01:00:56.250 \longrightarrow 01:00:58.170$  and so the first one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

 $01:00:58.170 \longrightarrow 01:00:59.510$  the first question we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

 $01:00:59.510 \longrightarrow 01:01:01.962$  is whether Gardner's syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

 $01:01:01.962 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.027$  is something separate or whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

 $01{:}01{:}05.027 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}07.838$  that actually is attenuated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77375266

01:01:07.840 --> 01:01:09.268 Uhm Polly process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

01:01:10.330 --> 01:01:11.584 Great, great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

01:01:11.584 --> 01:01:14.092 So Gardner syndrome is I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:01:14.092 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.498$  say it's an outdated syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:01:16.500 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.388$  It was mainly used to describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:01:20.388 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.980$  if someone had specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:01:22.980 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.560$  Less common features that we

01:01:25.560 --> 01:01:28.140 would see with classic faps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:01:28.140 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.432$  but we know now that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01{:}01{:}30.432 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}32.455$  with Gardner syndrome do have

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

01:01:32.455 --> 01:01:34.535 pathogenic mutations in a PC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:01:34.540 \longrightarrow 01:01:37.516$  so we really just prefer to

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:01:37.516 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.470$  call it faps or attenuated faps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

01:01:41.470 --> 01:01:43.374 So it's not so I would say

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:01:43.374 \longrightarrow 01:01:45.210$  the answer to your question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:01:45.210 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.860$  They they are the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:01:46.860 \longrightarrow 01:01:49.667$  They just said Gardner syndrome was house,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

01:01:49.670 --> 01:01:52.780 certain phenotypes were were described,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:01:52.780 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.935$  particularly some of the less

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01{:}01{:}54.935 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}57.647$  common features of of faps like

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

01:01:57.647 --> 01:02:00.032 extra teeth and things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:02:00.032 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.940$  that oftentimes was described

01:02:02.022 --> 01:02:04.407 with the four Gardner syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:02:04.410 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.416$  but nowadays it is packaged together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

01:02:07.420 --> 01:02:09.160 But that is an important point

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:02:09.160 \longrightarrow 01:02:11.006$  that some people still use some

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:02:11.006 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.250$  of the older terminology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:02:12.250 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.246$  For Gardner syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:02:13.246 \longrightarrow 01:02:15.570$  So if someone does report a family

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

01:02:15.636 --> 01:02:17.300 history of Gardner syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:02:17.300 \longrightarrow 01:02:18.560$  even though that's may not be

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:02:18.560 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.940$  a term we use currently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828105996428571

 $01:02:19.940 \longrightarrow 01:02:21.476$  that would also warrant a referral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933836863

 $01:02:25.980 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.860$  And the next question we

NOTE Confidence: 0.933836863

 $01:02:27.860 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.740$  have is an interesting one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933836863

01:02:29.740 --> 01:02:32.255 There's an attendee who's wondering

NOTE Confidence: 0.933836863

 $01:02:32.255 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.690$  if we think the ages at the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.933836863

01:02:35.690 --> 01:02:37.610 of diagnosis are getting younger

 $01:02:37.685 \longrightarrow 01:02:39.569$  in subsequent generations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933836863

 $01:02:39.570 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.923$  So, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933836863

 $01:02:40.923 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.178$  if someone's mother had breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.933836863

01:02:43.178 --> 01:02:46.710 cancer at 55 with a BRCA mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933836863

01:02:46.710 --> 01:02:48.640 are we seeing her daughter

NOTE Confidence: 0.933836863

 $01:02:48.640 \longrightarrow 01:02:51.065$  who has a mutation having her

NOTE Confidence: 0.933836863

01:02:51.065 --> 01:02:53.165 breast cancer earlier in life?

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:02:54.470 \longrightarrow 01:02:58.268$  That is, that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:02:58.270 \longrightarrow 01:03:01.663$  As with with BRCA one and BRCA 2 this

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01{:}03{:}01.663 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}05.753$  and we we often call this in genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01{:}03{:}05.753 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}08.462$  anticipation where the phenotype appears

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:03:08.462 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.734$  to present at a younger and younger age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01{:}03{:}12.740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}15.710$  There's no known evidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01{:}03{:}15.710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}19.520$  anticipation in BRCA one and BRCA 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

01:03:19.520 --> 01:03:22.439 It's it's it's. It's hard to say,

 $01:03:22.440 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.456$  but there can be what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

01:03:24.456 --> 01:03:25.464 call variable expressivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:03:25.470 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.875$  meaning that someone could have

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:03:26.875 \longrightarrow 01:03:28.280$  the same mutation and beers

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:03:28.332 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.616$  they want to be receipt to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:03:29.620 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.792$  but they will not always develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:03:31.792 \longrightarrow 01:03:33.676$  breast cancer at the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

01:03:33.676 --> 01:03:35.496 age or even develop breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01{:}03{:}35.500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}37.225$  You know someone made available

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

01:03:37.225 --> 01:03:39.420 varying cancer or or breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:03:39.420 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.200$  which we call variable expressivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

01:03:41.200 --> 01:03:43.328 So that might explain why in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

01:03:43.328 --> 01:03:45.340 families it does appear that Amma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:03:45.340 \longrightarrow 01:03:47.545$  a mother may have breast cancer at a later

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:03:47.545 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.915$  age and her daughter has breast cancer and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667 01:03:49.920 --> 01:03:50.824 Earlier age,

 $01:03:50.824 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.536$  not because of something like anticipation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:03:53.540 \longrightarrow 01:03:56.996$  but possibly do that variable expressivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

01:03:57.000 --> 01:03:57.777 Although I do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:03:57.777 \longrightarrow 01:04:00.300$  I do know that there was some older data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

01:04:00.300 --> 01:04:01.884 I think Claire you mentioned this

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:04:01.884 \longrightarrow 01:04:04.511$  to me a few years ago where there

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

01:04:04.511 --> 01:04:06.391 is some data suggesting maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01{:}04{:}06.391 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}08.337$  anticipation with Lee from Mini

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:04:08.337 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.841$  syndrome where individuals actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:04:09.841 \longrightarrow 01:04:12.440$  appear to develop Lee from many

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

01:04:12.440 --> 01:04:13.820 syndrome associated cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:04:13.820 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.830$  particularly breast cancer at younger

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01{:}04{:}16.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}19.583$  age and subsequent generations I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

01:04:19.583 --> 01:04:21.984 In terms of additional research on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

01:04:21.990 --> 01:04:25.246 I have not seen anything recent about that,

 $01:04:25.250 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.679$  but I know there was some hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01{:}04{:}27.679 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}29.613$  that leaf armenie syndrome may

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

01:04:29.613 --> 01:04:31.678 have some level of anticipation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:04:31.680 \longrightarrow 01:04:33.528$  But in terms of other hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:04:33.528 \longrightarrow 01:04:34.452$  breast cancer syndromes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889521676666667

 $01:04:34.460 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.665$  there's none that we know of yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

01:04:39.910 --> 01:04:42.158 Yeah, and I would just add that even

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

 $01:04:42.158 \longrightarrow 01:04:44.458$  with these hereditary cancer syndromes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

 $01:04:44.460 \longrightarrow 01:04:46.494$  the mutation is not the only

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

01:04:46.494 --> 01:04:48.210 contributing factor to cancer risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

 $01:04:48.210 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.976$  Lifestyle environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

01:04:48.976 --> 01:04:51.274 All of those things still play

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

 $01:04:51.274 \longrightarrow 01:04:53.520$  a role in cancer development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

 $01:04:53.520 \longrightarrow 01:04:55.680$  and so it's possible that some

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

01:04:55.680 --> 01:04:57.648 of those earlier cancer diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

01:04:57.648 --> 01:04:59.464 that you're you're mentioning

 $01{:}04{:}59.464 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}01.734$  are related to other factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

01:05:01.803 --> 01:05:03.938 outside of the genetic mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

 $01:05:03.940 \longrightarrow 01:05:06.768$  or in addition to the genetic mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

 $01:05:06.770 \longrightarrow 01:05:08.513$  And I think we still have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

 $01:05:08.513 \longrightarrow 01:05:10.380$  lot to learn about hereditary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

01:05:10.380 --> 01:05:12.158 Cancer genetics we've come a long way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

 $01:05:12.160 \longrightarrow 01:05:14.128$  We know a lot and they will learn

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

 $01\text{:}05\text{:}14.128 \dashrightarrow 01\text{:}05\text{:}16.242$  more and perhaps some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

 $01{:}05{:}16.242 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}17.798$ hereditary cancer syndromes do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

 $01:05:17.800 \longrightarrow 01:05:19.052$  in fact have anticipation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890462226923077

 $01:05:19.052 \longrightarrow 01:05:20.930$  And we just don't know yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859760127

 $01:05:25.000 \longrightarrow 01:05:26.430$  Those are all the questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.859760127

 $01:05:26.430 \longrightarrow 01:05:28.152$  that were entered into the Q&A,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859760127

01:05:28.152 --> 01:05:30.488 so I don't know if any of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.859760127

01:05:30.488 --> 01:05:32.416 panelists have any questions or if

01:05:32.416 --> 01:05:35.047 we want to give people just a minute

NOTE Confidence: 0.859760127

 $01{:}05{:}35.047 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}37.371$  in case any other questions come up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:05:39.890 \longrightarrow 01:05:42.410$  Maybe while waiting I'll post a

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:05:42.410 \longrightarrow 01:05:45.199$  question Amy that was a great talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:05:45.200 \longrightarrow 01:05:46.022$  So quick question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

01:05:46.022 --> 01:05:47.940 You just you went over very nicely

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:05:47.996 \longrightarrow 01:05:49.546$  about what happened with Brad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:05:49.550 \longrightarrow 01:05:51.850$  Can white people got retested

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:05:51.850 \longrightarrow 01:05:53.807$  then and then towards the end?

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01{:}05{:}53.807 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}55.121$  You also discuss a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:05:55.121 \longrightarrow 01:05:56.368$  more that there may be some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

01:05:56.370 --> 01:05:58.944 Uh, in the future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:05:58.944 \longrightarrow 01:06:00.452$  opportunities for bettering the

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:06:00.452 \longrightarrow 01:06:02.469$  testing and actually being able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:06:02.469 \longrightarrow 01:06:04.782$  detect a few more number 4 so when

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:06:04.782 \longrightarrow 01:06:07.423$  do you think people will will have

01:06:07.423 --> 01:06:10.057 to consider re sending the patient?

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:06:10.060 \longrightarrow 01:06:11.880$  Who previously had tested negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01{:}06{:}11.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}13.280$  I'm talking in general terms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

01:06:13.280 --> 01:06:16.336 What do you think would be a general

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:06:16.336 \longrightarrow 01:06:18.090$  recommendation that we could follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:06:18.090 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.239$  in terms of in terms of that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

01:06:20.240 --> 01:06:21.500 That's a, that's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:06:21.500 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.760$  That's a great question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

01:06:22.760 --> 01:06:24.936 and it's something that patients also ask me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

01:06:24.940 --> 01:06:26.625 Even now they they say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:06:26.625 \longrightarrow 01:06:28.250$  when should I call you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

01:06:28.250 --> 01:06:31.208 You know, for more testing, I think it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01{:}06{:}31.208 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}34.484$  It's hard now that testing has gone so

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

01:06:34.484 --> 01:06:36.510 comprehensive, I would say so quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:06:36.510 \longrightarrow 01:06:38.310$  Even the last five years.

 $01:06:38.310 \longrightarrow 01:06:39.470$  What I've been saying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01{:}06{:}39.470 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}41.210$  What I was saying to patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846 01:06:41.210 --> 01:06:42.470 and this is, NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846 01:06:42.470 --> 01:06:43.310 but again, NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:06:43.310 \longrightarrow 01:06:44.480$  this is not something that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:06:44.480 \longrightarrow 01:06:45.650$  really hard and fast rule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

01:06:45.650 --> 01:06:48.434 I would say at least five

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

01:06:48.434 --> 01:06:49.971 years to check back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01{:}06{:}49.971 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}52.470$  unless there was a change in some one's

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

01:06:52.541 --> 01:06:55.439 family history like a new cancer diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01{:}06{:}55.440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}57.444$  that possibly could mean that other

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:06:57.444 \longrightarrow 01:06:59.205$  genes related to that diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:06:59.205 \longrightarrow 01:07:01.365$  were not included in the testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:07:01.370 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.030$  But if there's no changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:07:03.030 \longrightarrow 01:07:05.340$  Someone's personal or family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:07:05.340 \longrightarrow 01:07:07.716$  I would say every five years

01:07:07.720 --> 01:07:09.460 is a good place to check,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:07:09.460 \longrightarrow 01:07:11.000$  and it's possible even in

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:07:11.000 \longrightarrow 01:07:12.232$  five years from now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

01:07:12.240 --> 01:07:15.760 Maybe it's it might not change as much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:07:15.760 \longrightarrow 01:07:19.002$  but I can't imagine the testing jumping

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:07:19.002 \longrightarrow 01:07:22.376$  to such a huge amount of clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:07:22.376 \longrightarrow 01:07:25.200$  significance in less than five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

01:07:25.200 --> 01:07:26.820 I don't know Clearview any other

NOTE Confidence: 0.717009476153846

 $01:07:26.820 \longrightarrow 01:07:28.530$  thoughts on that in particular?

NOTE Confidence: 0.879846068

 $01:07:29.970 \longrightarrow 01:07:31.020$  No, I agree. I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879846068

 $01{:}07{:}31.020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}33.216$  I think the testing technology doesn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879846068

01:07:33.220 --> 01:07:34.324 It changes quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879846068

 $01:07:34.324 \longrightarrow 01:07:35.796$  but not that quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879846068

 $01:07:35.800 \longrightarrow 01:07:38.726$  So five years is probably a good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879846068

 $01:07:38.730 \longrightarrow 01:07:41.114$  An estimate, but I would also just reiterate

 $01:07:41.114 \longrightarrow 01:07:43.200$  one other thing that you said there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879846068

 $01:07:43.200 \longrightarrow 01:07:45.664$  If the personal or family history changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879846068

 $01:07:45.670 \longrightarrow 01:07:47.266$  that's another really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.879846068

01:07:47.266 --> 01:07:49.261 touch point for patients to

NOTE Confidence: 0.879846068

01:07:49.261 --> 01:07:51.248 get back in contact with us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879846068

 $01:07:51.250 \longrightarrow 01:07:54.598$  because that could certainly change things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879846068

 $01:07:54.600 \longrightarrow 01:07:57.000$  so those are good time point

NOTE Confidence: 0.879846068

 $01:07:57.000 \longrightarrow 01:07:58.600$  to consider re evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911991621428571

 $01{:}08{:}02.180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}04.560$  Right, I think that's it for question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911991621428571

01:08:04.560 --> 01:08:06.975 So Amy, thanks again for your talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.911991621428571

 $01{:}08{:}06.975 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}09.233$  and we hope that everyone will

NOTE Confidence: 0.911991621428571

 $01:08:09.233 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.907$  join us next week for the second

NOTE Confidence: 0.911991621428571

 $01:08:11.989 \longrightarrow 01:08:14.089$  half of this seminar series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949164791428571

01:08:15.530 --> 01:08:17.378 Thank you everyone. Have a good night.