WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:16:00" NOTE recognizability:0.881

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.776$ Hi, I'm doctor Melanie Lynch and I'm the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

00:00:02.776 --> 00:00:05.098 director of breast surgery at Bridgeport

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00:00:05.098 \dashrightarrow 00:00:08.333$ in the Yale Health system and I am so

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00:00:08.333 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.570$ excited to be here tonight to hear the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

00:00:10.570 --> 00:00:13.312 insights and advice from my dynamic

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00{:}00{:}13.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}16.000$ and smart colleagues in New Haven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00:00:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.088$ We will have three speakers tonight who will

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

00:00:19.088 --> 00:00:23.170 discuss innovations in Breast Cancer Care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00:00:23.170 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.955$ And our first speaker will

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00{:}00{:}25.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.183$ be Doctor Elizabeth Berger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00{:}00{:}28.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.302$ She has both a Masters degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00:00:30.302 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.710$ and a medical degree,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00:00:31.710 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.465$ and she's an assistant professor

 $00:00:33.465 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.869$ of surgery and oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00{:}00{:}34.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.060$ Her medical degree comes from Loyola

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00:00:37.060 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.746$ University in Chicago and where she was

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00:00:39.746 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.062$ also a clinical research scholarship scholar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00:00:42.070 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.392$ and she completed her fellowship in

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

00:00:44.392 --> 00:00:46.482 Breast Surgical Oncology at Memorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

00:00:46.482 --> 00:00:48.398 Sloan Kettering Cancer Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00{:}00{:}48.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51.070$ where she was selected as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00:00:51.070 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.850$ breast cancer Alliance Fellow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00{:}00{:}52.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56.385$ Her Master science comes from

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00{:}00{:}56.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.799$ Northwestern University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00:00:57.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.078$ Her research focuses in health

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

00:01:00.078 --> 00:01:02.348 outcomes for breast cancer patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

00:01:02.350 --> 00:01:03.352 including quality care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00:01:03.352 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.022$ and she's going to discuss

NOTE Confidence: 0.853736194516129

 $00:01:05.022 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.878$ innovations in breast cancer surgery.

 $00:01:08.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.385$ Great thank you Doctor Lynch for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9076711

 $00{:}01{:}10.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.510$ that very kind introduction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:01:11.554 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.760$ I'm excited to be here tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:01:12.760 --> 00:01:16.430 Thanks for everyone who's joined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:01:16.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.132$ Let me share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:01:18.132 --> 00:01:21.239 And So what I hope to do tonight

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:01:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.880$ is just provide some updates

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:01:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.192$ in breast cancer surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:01:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.356$ You know things in Breast Cancer Care

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:01:26.356 --> 00:01:28.220 changes so frequently it's really hard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}01{:}28.220 --> 00{:}01{:}30.208$ I think to stay up to date,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:01:30.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.120$ time and so this is a a picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}01{:}34.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}36.064$ It's a kind of famous social media trend

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:01:36.064 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.943$ that has been happening recently is you

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:01:37.943 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.880$ know how it started and how how is.

 $00:01:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.358$ Going so, Doctor William Halstead used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}01{:}42.358 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}01{:}44.489$ perform the holstead mastectomy or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:01:44.489 --> 00:01:46.859 radical mastectomy back in the late 1800s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:01:46.859 --> 00:01:48.953 where you know the entire breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:01:48.953 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.044$ as long as the as well as the PEC

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:01:52.044 --> 00:01:54.129 major minor muscle would be more

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:01:54.129 --> 00:01:55.784 removed and now Bernie Fisher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:01:55.784 --> 00:01:57.414 who actually recently passed away,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:01:57.420 --> 00:01:59.530 who was instrumental in allowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:01:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.218$ really significant advances in

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:02:01.218 --> 00:02:03.210 breast cancer surgery for women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}02{:}03.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05.194$ said, you know in God we trust all

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:02:05.194 --> 00:02:06.877 others must have data and he was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:02:06.880 --> 00:02:08.011 like I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:02:08.011 --> 00:02:09.519 instrumental and allowing us

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:02:09.519 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.650$ to really dees calate.

 $00:02:10.650 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.630$ Our surgical operations for women

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}02{:}13.630 \to 00{:}02{:}17.025$ and allow them to just really remove

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}02{:}17.025 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}19.802$ the area of tissue of the breast and

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:02:19.802 --> 00:02:21.968 not compromise any kind of uncle

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:02:21.968 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.979$ logic outcomes for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:02:23.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.572$ So we talked a lot about D escalation

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:02:26.572 --> 00:02:28.646 of Breast Cancer Care and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:02:28.646 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.230$ what we're trying to do is provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}02{:}31.230 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33.312$ as good of uncle logic outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:02:33.312 --> 00:02:35.350 for patients with less toxicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:02:35.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.906$ less morbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:02:36.906 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.574$ And unless you know disfigurement of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}02{:}41.580 --> 00{:}02{:}43.956$ Body and so in the 1970s is when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:02:43.956 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.166$ started to think about do we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:02:46.166 --> 00:02:48.382 have to do mastectomies for women or

 $00:02:48.382 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.567$ could we just perform lumpectomy's an ad?

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}02{:}50.567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52.212$ For instance radiation Doctor Nolan

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}02{:}52.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}54.472$ will talk about that a little bit later

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:02:54.472 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.419$ tonight and allow for similar outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:02:56.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.510$ and that these trials really demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}02{:}59.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.590$ that equivalency of survival and even

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:03:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.404$ really recurrence with the two operations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:03:05.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.080$ Then we thought we started thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}03{:}08.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.682$ in the late 1990s that maybe women

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:03:10.682 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.778$ over a certain age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:03:11.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.135$ You could dees calate even radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}03{:}14.135 \to 00{:}03{:}17.160$ the rapy for certain kinds of cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:03:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.840$ We also have really significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}03{:}19.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21.448$ dees calated axillary surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:03:21.450 --> 00:03:23.746 so armpit surgery of the lymph nodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:03:23.746 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.002$ and this has allowed women to spare the

 $00:03:27.002 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.766$ morbidity of significant lymphoedema

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}03{:}28.766 \to 00{:}03{:}31.489$ in the arm range of motion problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:03:31.490 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.470$ Sensory changes of the arm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:03:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.610$ And even into the 2000s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:03:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.474$ in the present day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:03:38.474 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.804$ the tailor X trial Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:03:40.810 --> 00:03:42.490 Kanowitz will talk a little bit more about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:03:42.490 --> 00:03:44.700 But we're thinking about even

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:03:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.584$ deescalating chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}03{:}45.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47.306$ For some women who have breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}03{:}47.306 --> 00{:}03{:}48.790$ cancer in a specific type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:03:48.790 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.746$ and the comet trial is looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}03{:}50.746 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}03{:}52.050$ at ductal carcinoma insight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:03:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.590$ you or we call it pre cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:03:53.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.670$ or stage zero cancer,

00:03:54.670 --> 00:03:56.000 depending upon what you read

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:03:56.000 --> 00:03:57.330 and where you read it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:03:57.330 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.084$ and maybe not even operating on

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:04:00.084 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.430$ some patients who have DCIS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:04:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.999$ So if a patient who has breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:04:04.999 --> 00:04:06.100 cancer need surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:04:06.100 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.004$ what are some of the updates recently?

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

00:04:08.010 --> 00:04:10.264 You know that we are doing actively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}04{:}10.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12.910$ you know at smiling and across the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:04:12.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.016$ Some of the techniques and localization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00{:}04{:}15.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}16.646$ So finding the mass in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.917300996875

 $00:04:16.646 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.730$ breast and being able

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:04:17.786 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.266$ to just do that one back to me have changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

00:04:20.270 --> 00:04:22.160 We know that we need to get healthy tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:04:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.072$ around the lumpectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:04:23.072 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.200$ So what are some of the ideas

 $00:04:25.268 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.906$ of the of what margins mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:04:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.684$ ***** sparing mastectomy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

00:04:27.684 --> 00:04:30.050 So when a patient does need a mastectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:04:30.050 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.912$ what, as far as what are we

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

00:04:31.912 --> 00:04:33.035 doing for ***** sparing's?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:04:33.035 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.224$ And how are we managing the armpit?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:04:35.224 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.972$ The lymph nodes differently

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:04:36.972 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.100$ from you know years ago?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:04:39.100 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.820$ And then I'll get into a very briefly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:04:40.820 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.360$ You know, are we operating on women

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:04:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.902$ who had stage four disease and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00{:}04{:}43.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.720$ know a lot of people have high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00{:}04{:}45.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47.040$ lesions that we're finding now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:04:47.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.946$ With, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:04:47.946 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.456$ improved screening mammograms and such.

 $00:04:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.846$ And what do we do with those

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

00:04:50.846 --> 00:04:51.440 high risk lesions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:04:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.346$ So just briefly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:04:52.346 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.460$ not all breast cancers are the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

00:04:54.460 --> 00:04:56.684 We know one in eight women in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00{:}04{:}56.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58.608$ country will develop breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00{:}04{:}58.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01.482$ but there's a wide wide range of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:01.482 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.210$ diagnosis and included in those diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00{:}05{:}04.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.070$ Are these this principle

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:06.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.648$ of receptors and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

00:05:07.648 --> 00:05:09.765 in a in a normal cancer in a I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:09.765 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.662$ sorry a cancerous breast cell we often

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:11.662 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.478$ think about what actually feeds the

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:13.478 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.516$ breast cancer to cause it to grow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:15.516 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.427$ The cell growth and so some breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00{:}05{:}17.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.209$ cancers have the estrogen receptor.

 $00{:}05{:}19.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21.050$ Some have the progester one scepter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:21.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.438$ Somehow this her two protein receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

00:05:23.440 --> 00:05:25.204 But again you know each breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:25.204 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.753$ cancer is an incredibly different

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:26.753 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.619$ kind of breast cancer and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:28.619 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.479$ really unique to that individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.818$ And so the main stages of Breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:32.818 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.090$ Cancer Care or a lot we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:35.090 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.198$ It's a very multi modality type of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00{:}05{:}38.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39.878$ We think about surgery sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.316$ We oftentimes we think about chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.970$ We think about radiation and sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00{:}05{:}43.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45.460$ we think about underpinned the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:45.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.160$ If it's a hormonally driven cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.200$ but as a surgeon,

 $00:05:49.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.270$ I think surgery is the most important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

00:05:51.270 --> 00:05:52.548 Just kidding, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00{:}05{:}52.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.128$ but I'm going to talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:55.128 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.958$ surgical advance advancement of surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:05:56.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.245$ so when we think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

00:05:59.245 --> 00:06:00.506 lumpectomy versus mastectomy's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:00.506 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.890$ Some of the lumpectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:01.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.050$ UM advances we have seen is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00{:}06{:}04.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.922$ how do we really localize the

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:05.922 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.690$ lesion or find that lesion?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:07.690 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.738$ Because that little clip that we leave in

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

00:06:09.738 --> 00:06:11.630 the breast is of only two millimeters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:11.630 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.890$ and it would be like finding

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00{:}06{:}12.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.530$ a needle in a hay stack if we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:14.530 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.007$ as surgeons try to go in and

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:16.007 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.359$ just find it without help.

 $00:06:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.104$ So we used to use these wires.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:20.110 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.281$ Wires used to be put into the breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:22.281 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.255$ and they still are at times when

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

00:06:24.255 --> 00:06:26.037 they're needed or and or appropriate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.510$ and the wires will be put in

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:27.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.759$ the same day of surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.100$ Sometimes the logistics get

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:30.100 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.440$ a little bit challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.424$ They can cause oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:32.424 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.378$ are delays at times if the wire

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

00:06:34.378 --> 00:06:35.647 localization takes awhile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:35.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.258$ they can get dislodged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00{:}06{:}37.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}38.866$ They're fairly uncomfortable as

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00{:}06{:}38.866 \longrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40.320$ far as you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.119$ You're getting wheeled down the day of

00:06:42.119 --> 00:06:43.959 surgery to the radiology department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716 00:06:43.960 --> 00:06:44.224 etc. NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

00:06:44.224 --> 00:06:45.016 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

00:06:45.016 --> 00:06:46.600 if something were to happen with

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:46.652 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.820$ the case gets canceled,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882707716

 $00:06:47.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.918$ then that wire must come out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:06:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.108$ You have it the way I

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:06:50.108 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.320$ would have to be placed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:06:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.150$ Again, an addition another day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:06:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.224$ You can kind of see the, UM, challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:06:57.224 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.143$ Sometimes of a liar placement that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:00.143 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.077$ to happen on the same day of surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:03.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.944$ And now what we've done is really decoupled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:04.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.460$ This idea of localization and surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00{:}07{:}08.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10.357$ And So what we've allowed to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00{:}07{:}10.357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.425$ is we can put these little tags

 $00{:}07{:}12.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.610$ or seeds in next to the clip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:14.610 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.882$ Here's a great example of A tag being

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

00:07:17.882 --> 00:07:20.450 put in right next to that biopsy clip,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.725$ and the device gives off a signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00{:}07{:}22.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.122$ This tag gives off a signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:24.122 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.979$ to us in the operating room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:25.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.620$ And it allows us to find the lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.958$ UM, were sometimes able to do smaller

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:30.958 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.480$ lumpectomy is because of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.010$ We tend to minimize over delays

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

00:07:34.010 --> 00:07:36.039 because they can be put in days before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.566$ for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00{:}07{:}36.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.670$ and so we can start right at $7{:}30$

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

00:07:38.735 --> 00:07:40.697 in the morning for operating cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.420$ And oftentimes in various studies,

 $00:07:43.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.770$ it's shown to improve patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:45.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.650$ satisfaction with with surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.642$ So I try to explain often to my

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

00:07:49.642 --> 00:07:51.571 patients about margins and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:51.571 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.286$ it's a challenging concept sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.858$ So you know when we think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:54.858 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.238$ the cancer cells in the breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00{:}07{:}56.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57.975$ there is often healthy tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:57.975 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.363$ around the breast around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273 00:07:59.370 --> 00:07:59.882 I'm sorry, NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:07:59.882 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.418$ the cancer cells and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

00:08:01.418 --> 00:08:03.058 always want to try to make sure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00{:}08{:}03.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05.755$ do is at the edges of this piece

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:08:05.755 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.380$ of tissue we take out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:08:07.380 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.264$ We want to make sure we

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00{:}08{:}09.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10.520$ have healthy breast tissue.

 $00{:}08{:}10.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.455$ It is incredibly challenging and

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:08:12.455 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.799$ you know a frustration of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:08:14.799 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.137$ surgeons when you know we have some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

00:08:17.140 --> 00:08:18.844 Cancer at the edges and oftentimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:08:18.844 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.699$ it's it's cancer that we can't see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

00:08:20.700 --> 00:08:23.759 We can't feel, and unfortunately you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:08:23.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.768$ it ends up being at the edge where

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00{:}08{:}25.768 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!\!>}\ 00{:}08{:}27.362$ it requires a second operation to

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:08:27.362 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.400$ go back and clean out the margins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00{:}08{:}29.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31.591$ There's a lot being done as far

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:08:31.591 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.458$ as trying to evaluate margins

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:08:33.458 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.593$ intraoperatively so at the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

00:08:35.593 --> 00:08:37.660 time of the operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:08:37.660 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.056$ And unfortunately you know to date

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:08:41.056 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.939$ nothing has been demonstrated to be.

 $00:08:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.776$ As good as we would like it to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00{:}08{:}45.780 --> 00{:}08{:}48.068$ to identify margin status

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:08:48.068 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.356$ intraoperatively so you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:08:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.548$ Although it's a frustrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:08:51.548 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.033$ conversation to have with patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00{:}08{:}53.033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54.509$ about how it takes about four to

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00{:}08{:}54.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56.139$ five days to get these results back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00{:}08{:}56.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57.424$ That's unfortunately where we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00{:}08{:}57.424 --> 00{:}08{:}59.182$ at right now, but you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:08:59.182 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.030$ to be determined in the future if

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00{:}09{:}01.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}03.115$ we'll have updates as margin status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:09:03.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.300$ So mastectomy is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:09:04.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.496$ I think the general consensus sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

00:09:06.496 --> 00:09:08.580 is that they're incredibly deforming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:09:08.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.931$ and that you know I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:09:09.931 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.690$ leave me with it.

00:09:10.690 --> 00:09:12.058 You know, a terrible cosmetic outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:09:12.060 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.866$ but what we know now is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:09:13.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.010$ We can do a lot of things to you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

00:09:17.010 --> 00:09:19.122 allow women to hopefully feel you

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:09:19.122 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.420$ know as as natural as possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

00:09:21.420 --> 00:09:23.286 especially with his ***** sparing approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:09:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.818$ And we do think a ***** sparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:09:24.818 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.974$ approach is that you know a healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

00:09:26.974 --> 00:09:29.274 approach to doing a mastectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00:09:29.274 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.250$ This is a study looking at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273 00:09:32.250 --> 00:09:33.118 for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

00:09:33.118 --> 00:09:34.854 women with genetic variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.811604342727273

 $00{:}09{:}34.854 \to 00{:}09{:}36.590$ and allowing them a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

00:09:36.667 --> 00:09:38.447 very very good outcome as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:09:38.447 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.227$ far as a risk reduction.

 $00:09:40.230 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.484$ Actually one of our the authors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00{:}09{:}41.484 --> 00{:}09{:}42.490$ here is Doctor Green up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:09:42.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.457$ One of our very own here at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:09:44.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.308$ At yield and so it's a really nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

00:09:47.308 --> 00:09:49.556 technique now for women where they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:09:49.556 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.297$ able to were able to save their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

00:09:52.297 --> 00:09:55.768 ******* during a mastectomy procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:09:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.606$ A lot of women think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00{:}09{:}57.606 \to 00{:}09{:}58.830$ removing their other breasts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:09:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.365$ so the contralateral or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:00.365 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.276$ other side breast when they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:02.276 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.856$ a diagnosis of breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.084$ And it's a you know it's a conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:06.084 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.475$ I often have with patients we all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:08.475 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.250$ probably often have with patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

00:10:10.250 --> 00:10:10.964 And you know,

 $00:10:10.964 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.392$ I think it's always important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

00:10:12.392 --> 00:10:13.822 remember that breast cancer does not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00{:}10{:}13.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}15.449$ spread from one breast to the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:15.450 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.544$ Rest, we do know that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

00:10:17.544 --> 00:10:18.940 women develop breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:18.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.739$ they have a higher risk of developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:20.739 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.528$ a breast cancer in the other breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:22.530 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.257$ But we also know that when we do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:25.257 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.187$ contralateral or other sided mastectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00{:}10{:}27.187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.142$ does not improve their survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:29.142 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.428$ from their original breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00{:}10{:}31.430 \to 00{:}10{:}33.982$ There are of course reasons to pursue you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00{:}10{:}33.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.959$ know contralateral prophylactic or surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:35.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.710$ you know in the other breast without

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

00:10:37.710 --> 00:10:39.447 breast cancer in it for many reasons.

00:10:39.450 --> 00:10:41.615 But it's not always right

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

00:10:41.615 --> 00:10:43.347 for every single woman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.371$ So as far as doing armpit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

00:10:45.371 --> 00:10:47.319 surgery or maxillary surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.973$ you know we often test these Sentinel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00{:}10{:}49.973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52.899$ nodes using two different kinds of dyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:52.900 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.791$ One is blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:53.791 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.979$ You can see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00{:}10{:}54.980 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}10{:}56.807$ I tell my patients all the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:56.807 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.520$ the the lymph node lights up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:10:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.728$ and it turns blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

00:10:59.728 --> 00:11:01.916 Or we use this Geiger counter here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00{:}11{:}01.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04.072$ and it really makes a noise in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:04.072 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.942$ the operating room and it beeps

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:05.942 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.090$ really loudly and it tells us what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:08.090 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.940$ are the Sentinel lymph nodes?

00:11:09.940 --> 00:11:11.828 It's just a fancy word for saying kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:11.828 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.877$ of the first lymph nodes that drain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:13.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.016$ And these are the two mechanisms of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:16.016 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.708$ we find those lymph nodes and we remove them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:18.710 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.558$ I often hate this word as biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:20.558 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.701$ because all women we see have really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:22.701 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.316$ gone through breast biopsies before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.064$ And it's not that we stick a needle

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00{:}11{:}26.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27.740$ into the lymph node and tests it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.120$ We actually remove those lymph nodes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.850$ make sure that there's no cancer in them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.771$ Like I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00{:}11{:}33.771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35.920$ just from the start of the presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:35.988 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.866$ that we've really tried hard to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:37.866 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.790$ dees calate or do less access or

 $00:11:39.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.904$ surgery for all the morbidity of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.320$ And this was a in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

00:11:43.320 --> 00:11:43.964 you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

00:11:43.964 --> 00:11:45.896 Credibly powerful study done in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:45.896 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.585$ early 2000s that demonstrated that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

00:11:47.585 --> 00:11:49.881 all women who have out some disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00{:}11{:}49.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51.996$ in the lymph nodes don't need an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:51.996 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.992$ axillary lymph node dissection or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00{:}11{:}53.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56.276$ removal of all the lymph nodes in the armpit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

00:11:56.280 --> 00:11:59.150 And it's really allowed a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:11:59.150 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.172$ women to spare an axillary lymph node

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:12:02.172 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.412$ dissection for their breast cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00{:}12{:}04.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05.988$ This is another trial that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

00:12:05.988 --> 00:12:07.509 Dr Kanos will get into further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:12:07.510 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.446$ but this is even now looking at even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

00:12:09.446 --> 00:12:11.514 women who have positive lymph nodes

 $00:12:11.514 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.419$ with hormone receptor positive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:12:13.420 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.860$ So we estrogen and progesterone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748738695

 $00:12:15.860 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.836$ positive diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:12:16.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.825$ even women who have positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

00:12:18.825 --> 00:12:20.810 lymph nodes might not necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:12:20.881 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.866$ need chemotherapy all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:12:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.342$ So we're giving chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:12:24.342 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.550$ a lot more often now before

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:12:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.480$ surgery to for various reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00{:}12{:}28.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.580$ for various kinds of cancers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:12:30.649 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.304$ what we're realizing is surgeons

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:12:32.304 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.743$ is that we can still offer that

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00{:}12{:}34.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36.523$ Sentinel lymph node biopsy procedure

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:12:36.523 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.118$ as I just showed for women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

00:12:39.118 --> 00:12:41.648 Even who have chemotherapy first,

00:12:41.650 --> 00:12:43.546 and we don't always have to take out

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:12:43.546 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.760$ all the lymph nodes after chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:12:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.088$ So if we use those two different dyes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:12:48.090 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.674$ the blue dye and the radioactive dye and

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:12:50.674 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.137$ we remove at least three lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:12:53.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.766$ What we know is that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:12:54.766 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.240$ safe for our patients to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:12:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.365$ and if those lymph nodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:12:57.365 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.490$ don't have cancer in them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:12:58.490 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.695$ then we don't have to go on to an

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:00.695 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.796$ axillary lymph node dissection right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:02.796 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.777$ the standard of care is that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

00:13:04.777 --> 00:13:06.687 any lymph nodes after chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:06.687 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.637$ still have cancer in them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.194$ we would go on and remove

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:10.194 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.230$ all the lymph nodes.

00:13:11.230 --> 00:13:14.128 But a lot of my patients I talked to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:14.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.650$ if they're an appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.170$ candidate for this trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

00:13:17.170 --> 00:13:19.683 If there's an ongoing trial asking this

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:19.683 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.910$ question as to whether some patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:21.910 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.423$ who have a little bit of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.596$ Still left in the lymph nodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:26.596 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.131$ after surgery and me and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:29.131 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.649$ lymph node dissection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00{:}13{:}30.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33.986$ Or could they just get what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

00:13:33.986 --> 00:13:36.192 radiation after surgery or and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00{:}13{:}36.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38.080$ know as Doctor Knowlton on the call she

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00{:}13{:}38.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39.750$ will talk a lot more about radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00{:}13{:}39.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41.619$ but why I love Breast Cancer Care

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

00:13:41.619 --> 00:13:43.305 is 'cause it's so multi modality

 $00:13:43.310 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.380$ and multidisciplinary and we always

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:45.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.837$ are talking to our colleagues in

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:47.837 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.745$ the various specialties to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:49.745 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.107$ take the best care of our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:52.110 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.004$ So stage four disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:53.004 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.220$ So what do I even mean by stage four?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:55.220 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.196$ Disease stage four diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:13:57.196 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.160$ those women who have breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:14:00.245 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.597$ in other organs of their body?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333 00:14:02.600 --> 00:14:03.428 For instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00{:}14{:}03.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07.087$ the liver or the lungs or the bones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:14:07.087 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.732$ And there have been various

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

00:14:09.732 --> 00:14:11.930 different data to suggest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:14:11.930 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.604$ Either we should remove the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:14:13.604 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.643$ in the breast if a woman has

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:14:15.643 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.073$ cancer elsewhere in the body,

 $00:14:17.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.775$ or we shouldn't remove it and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

00:14:19.775 --> 00:14:21.985 varying thoughts on that, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

00:14:21.985 --> 00:14:25.516 The recent very well known very

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

00:14:25.516 --> 00:14:27.046 well known very well done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:14:27.050 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.575$ randomized controlled trial done by

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:14:28.575 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.517$ a woman Doctor Khan at Northwestern

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

00:14:30.517 --> 00:14:32.332 really demonstrated that it's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00{:}14{:}32.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}34.331$ to be most important for women

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

00:14:34.331 --> 00:14:35.813 who have stage four disease to

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:14:35.813 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.970$ get on systemic therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:14:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.610$ So some kind of therapy to treat their

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:14:39.672 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.150$ whole body and that oftentimes it doesn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333 00:14:42.150 --> 00:14:42.834 In fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:14:42.834 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.544$ it really doesn't improve their

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:14:44.544 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.740$ survival to remove the original tumor.

00:14:46.740 --> 00:14:47.936 There are, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00{:}14{:}47.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49.730$ reasons to do surgery on patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:14:49.787 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.307$ who have stage four disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333 00:14:51.310 --> 00:14:51.900 If the. NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

00:14:51.900 --> 00:14:54.720 Wound is if the breast has a bleeding wound,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:14:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.216$ or if there's pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:14:56.216 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.086$ or you know something palliative

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:14:58.086 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.818$ to help local control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:14:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.088$ but we do think that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:15:02.088 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.054$ helping women who have stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00{:}15{:}04.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06.700$ four disease to get on some kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

 $00:15:06.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.733$ of medicine systemically is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.914575929333333

00:15:08.733 --> 00:15:11.533 best for them up front right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

00:15:11.540 --> 00:15:13.187 And then last but not least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

00:15:13.187 --> 00:15:15.070 you know there's a lot of questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00{:}15{:}15.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.958$ out there about high risk lesions,

 $00:15:16.960 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.647$ and this is a very complicated slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

00:15:18.650 --> 00:15:20.410 Then I always told I was always told

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00{:}15{:}20.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.362$ don't say that out loud 'cause you should

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

00:15:22.362 --> 00:15:24.390 change the slide if it's too complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00:15:24.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.550$ but you know there are a lot of women

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00{:}15{:}26.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28.666$ are getting diagnosed with various kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00:15:28.666 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.295$ of high risk lesions such as radial

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00:15:31.295 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.175$ scars or lobular carcinoma insight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00{:}15{:}33.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.285$ Chu ductal carcinoma insight two

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00:15:35.285 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.390$ versus lobular carcinoma inside shoe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00:15:37.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.166$ and what we know about a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00:15:39.166 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.730$ these high risk lesions or are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00{:}15{:}40.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42.536$ A fair amount increase your risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00:15:42.536 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.180$ of developing a breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00:15:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.909$ but not all have to come out.

 $00:15:45.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.000$ Some need to come out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00{:}15{:}47.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.740$ and that's a conversation you

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

00:15:48.740 --> 00:15:51.140 know we often have with patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00:15:51.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.110$ but not all need to come out and so it it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

00:15:54.110 --> 00:15:56.666 It is constantly changing, getting updated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

00:15:56.670 --> 00:15:59.062 And you know if you ever have questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00:15:59.062 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.914$ were obviously always available to answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00:16:00.914 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.429$ them and that's all we have for tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00{:}16{:}03.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.145$ So let me stop sharing my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

00:16:05.150 --> 00:16:06.638 Thank you for the opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88136418

 $00{:}16{:}06.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08.179$ talk about the updates of surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71381494

 $00:16:09.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.756$ Doctor Berger, two quick

NOTE Confidence: 0.71381494

 $00:16:11.756 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.230$ questions from the audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71381494

 $00:16:13.230 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.620$ One was there ever a time when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.71381494

 $00:16:15.620 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.396$ would use a wire localization instead

NOTE Confidence: 0.71381494

 $00:16:17.396 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.707$ of A tag or a seed localization?

00:16:20.790 --> 00:16:22.340 Yes, that's a great question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

 $00{:}16{:}22.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25.740$ and absolutely so I think a couple examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

 $00:16:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.654$ For instance, if we think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

00:16:27.654 --> 00:16:30.844 after a biopsy a patient has a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

00:16:30.844 --> 00:16:32.688 large hematoma associated blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

00:16:32.688 --> 00:16:34.569 collection around their cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

 $00:16:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.050$ it can be hard to put in that tag and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

 $00{:}16{:}37.121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}39.470$ get it in a place where we want it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

 $00:16:39.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.535$ Because there's a lot of fluid there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

 $00:16:41.540 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.519$ so the wire, for instance is a lot tends

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

00:16:44.519 --> 00:16:47.608 to be a little bit more accurate for

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

 $00{:}16{:}47.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.236$ localising lesion if there's if there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

 $00{:}16{:}50.236 \to 00{:}16{:}52.830$ a fluid collection around the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

 $00:16:52.830 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.390$ and then you know a second big reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

00:16:55.390 --> 00:16:57.629 sometimes is if there's a large area

 $00:16:57.629 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.870$ in the breast that we're trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

 $00{:}16{:}59.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02.430$ bracket or get the extent of the lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

 $00:17:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.950$ Sometimes wires can be easier to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

 $00:17:04.950 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.616$ The extent of the lesion and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

00:17:06.616 --> 00:17:10.134 putting in two tags, just two wires.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

00:17:10.134 --> 00:17:13.660 Dumb and dumb, you know there's a few,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

 $00:17:13.660 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.082$ probably other examples that I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

00:17:15.082 --> 00:17:16.680 maybe thinking off the top of my head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

 $00{:}17{:}16.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}17.688$ but I would say those are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94794017

00:17:17.690 --> 00:17:18.789 you know, two of the bigger ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933339274285714

 $00:17:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.012$ Yeah, sometimes when it's just too close

NOTE Confidence: 0.933339274285714

 $00:17:21.012 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.117$ to the skin or something like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933339274285714

 $00:17:23.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.536$ And then there's one other question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933339274285714

 $00:17:24.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.444$ How is it possible to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.933339274285714

00:17:26.444 --> 00:17:27.913 negative Sentinel lymph node but

NOTE Confidence: 0.933339274285714

00:17:27.913 --> 00:17:29.408 a positive axillary lymph node?

 $00:17:32.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.450$ Yeah, the terrible part about

NOTE Confidence: 0.88491446

 $00:17:34.450 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.250$ cancer is that it likes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.924196624090909

00:17:36.319 --> 00:17:38.263 spread and that sometimes you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.924196624090909

 $00:17:38.263 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.410$ even if lymph nodes are negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924196624090909

 $00:17:40.410 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.610$ cancer can be in the rest

NOTE Confidence: 0.924196624090909

 $00:17:41.610 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.898$ of the body, even sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924196624090909

00:17:42.898 --> 00:17:45.306 So, although we think that the process

NOTE Confidence: 0.924196624090909

 $00:17:45.306 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.518$ is the cancer from the breast goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.924196624090909

 $00:17:47.518 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.796$ to the Sentinel or the first lymph

NOTE Confidence: 0.924196624090909

00:17:49.796 --> 00:17:51.806 nodes that drain the breast first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924196624090909

00:17:51.810 --> 00:17:53.868 cancer doesn't always behave by their rules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924196624090909

 $00:17:53.870 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.096$ so it does sometimes happen that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908097533333333

 $00{:}17{:}57.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02.408$ OK. Thank you, that was terrific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908097533333333

 $00{:}18{:}02.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.266$ So Doctor Kanowitz will be next,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908097533333333

 $00:18:05.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.028$ and she's an assistant professor of

00:18:07.028 --> 00:18:08.422 Clinical Medicine, medical oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908097533333333

 $00:18:08.422 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.229$ and she's the medical director of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.908097533333333

00:18:11.229 --> 00:18:13.700 Smilow Cancer Hospital in North Haven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908097533333333

 $00:18:13.700 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.250$ She received her medical degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.908097533333333

00:18:15.250 --> 00:18:16.800 from Cornell and completed her

NOTE Confidence: 0.908097533333333

00:18:16.850 --> 00:18:18.398 fellowship in medical Oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908097533333333

 $00:18:18.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.080$ also at Memorial Sloan Kettering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908097533333333

 $00:18:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.539$ So this kind of a alumni reunion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9080975333333333

00:18:24.540 --> 00:18:26.400 Prior to joining Neil Decker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908097533333333

 $00:18:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.070$ Canyon once was the medical director

NOTE Confidence: 0.9080975333333333

 $00:18:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.456$ from a pology services at Bristol

NOTE Confidence: 0.908097533333333

00:18:31.456 --> 00:18:33.560 Hospital Cancer Care Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908097533333333

 $00:18:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.981$ And so doctor Kanowitz is going to talk to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9080975333333333

 $00{:}18{:}35.981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}38.590$ us about innovations in medical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859760132857143

00:18:41.280 --> 00:18:45.809 OK, I'm going to share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740536365

00:18:51.260 --> 00:18:53.494 OK, I usually introduce myself

 $00:18:53.494 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.422$ as I was born in Brooklyn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740536365

00:18:56.430 --> 00:18:58.950 I'm the product of the public

NOTE Confidence: 0.740536365

00:18:58.950 --> 00:19:01.510 school education in New York City,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740536365

 $00:19:01.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.058$ and then I went to Med school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740536365

 $00:19:04.060 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.993$ So thank you for inviting me to talk tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740536365

 $00:19:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.597$ It truly is a privilege to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.740536365

00:19:10.597 --> 00:19:13.019 care for patients in this Community

NOTE Confidence: 0.740536365

00:19:13.019 --> 00:19:15.473 and to work along with Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.740536365

 $00:19:15.473 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.478$ Berger and Doctor Knowlton.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

00:19:19.780 --> 00:19:22.088 I'm a medical oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

00:19:22.088 --> 00:19:24.396 I prescribe systemic therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00{:}19{:}24.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26.595$ These are medicines that traveled

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:19:26.595 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.790$ throughout the body and treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:19:28.867 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.027$ cancer cells wherever they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:19:31.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.068$ Why? Because breast cancer is both a

00:19:34.068 --> 00:19:36.478 local disease confined to the breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00{:}19{:}36.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}39.390$ but it's also a systemic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

00:19:39.390 --> 00:19:41.390 How do we know this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:19:41.390 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.192$ The year is 1968.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:19:44.192 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.880$ Breast cancer is curable

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:19:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.896$ with radical surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:19:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.316$ and we saw those slides from Doctor Berger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

00:19:52.320 --> 00:19:55.968 Yet not all women remained cured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

00:19:55.970 --> 00:19:56.960 Doctor Fisher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:19:56.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.930$ who is a breast surgeon himself

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00{:}19{:}59.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02.891$ postulated and then proved that breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

00:20:02.891 --> 00:20:05.717 cancer is also a systemic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:20:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.192$ and he thought that unless we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

00:20:08.192 --> 00:20:10.438 address microscopic cells that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:20:10.438 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.526$ traveled through the bloodstream,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00{:}20{:}12.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.030$ long term cure will be compromised.

 $00:20:17.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.809$ No, this is not our oncology clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

00:20:19.810 --> 00:20:22.228 During the height of the pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:20:22.230 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.710$ these are the battlefields of World War One.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

00:20:25.710 --> 00:20:29.250 What's war good for drug development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00{:}20{:}29.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32.630$ nitrogen gas or chemical warfare

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:20:32.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.726$ was noted to be toxic both to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:20:35.726 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.218$ bone marrow and to blood cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:20:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.604$ And after the war it was the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00{:}20{:}41.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44.795$ anti cancer agent used to treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00{:}20{:}44.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.605$ both leukemia and lymphoma cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:20:47.610 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.320$ Of the bone marrow and the blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:20:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.150$ Let's go back to Doctor Fisher's time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00{:}20{:}56.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59.636$ We now obviously have more effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00{:}20{:}59.636 \to 00{:}21{:}01.960$ and safer chemotherapy drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:21:01.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.098$ and we have his new theory.

 $00:21:05.100 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.920$ A groundbreaking trial was designed

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:21:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.176$ to test his hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:21:10.180 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.280$ All women received curative surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:21:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.200$ Half the group received chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:21:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.032$ Half the group didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

00:21:18.032 --> 00:21:20.780 The original study performed in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:21:20.859 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.775$ 70s was actually performed in Europe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

00:21:23.780 --> 00:21:26.936 American surgeons would not let their

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:21:26.936 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.040$ patients participate because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:21:29.122 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.924$ felt that they could cure breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:21:31.924 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.390$ cancer and chemotherapy wasn't needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:21:34.390 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.830$ This is the 20 year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:21:35.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.830$ Follow up with Doctor Fisher study

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:21:38.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.416$ that proves breast cancer is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

00:21:41.416 --> 00:21:44.090 systemic disease as well as locally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875 00:21:44.090 --> 00:21:44.680 Clearly,

 $00:21:44.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.630$ the women who got advantor

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

00:21:47.630 --> 00:21:49.990 postoperative chemotherapy did better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:21:49.990 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.912$ and the value of participating in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85721282875

 $00:21:52.912 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.860$ clinical trials cannot be overstated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

 $00:21:57.990 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.601$ This is your brain on drugs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

 $00:22:00.601 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.110$ I mean your blood on drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

 $00:22:03.110 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.282$ Germany had a lot of problems in the 1930s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

00:22:06.282 --> 00:22:08.934 You guessed it, how were they

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

 $00:22:08.934 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.500$ going to mass produce beer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

 $00:22:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.624$ The process of industrial

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

00:22:13.624 --> 00:22:16.810 fermentation grew into the fields of

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

 $00:22:16.902 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.158$ biotechnology and genetic engineering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

 $00{:}22{:}20.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}22.680$ This process was used for fuel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

 $00:22:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.783$ explosives and medicines

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

 $00:22:24.783 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.587$ like penicillin and insulin.

 $00:22:27.590 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.854$ In the early 90s the same process was

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

 $00:22:30.854 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.260$ used to create a white cell growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

00:22:34.260 --> 00:22:37.136 factor and this then allowed for safe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

00:22:37.140 --> 00:22:38.910 constant, safe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

 $00:22:38.910 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.450$ high concentrations of chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

 $00:22:42.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.730$ The panel on the left is a sample of blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

 $00:22:45.730 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.949$ The panel on the right is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

 $00:22:47.949 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.165$ same sample after the patient was

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

 $00{:}22{:}50.165 \to 00{:}22{:}52.150$ given white cell growth factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

 $00:22:52.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.410$ The purple cells are infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.897891454615384

00:22:54.410 --> 00:22:55.314 fighting cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00{:}22{:}57.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00.841$ So now we can safely give high doses and

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

00:23:00.841 --> 00:23:03.797 the thought is chi chemotherapy works,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:23:03.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.260$ maybe more. Chemotherapy is better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:23:08.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.948$ The era of high dose chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:23:10.948 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.709$ took place in the early 90s.

00:23:13.710 --> 00:23:16.748 The goal was to eradicate every last

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00{:}23{:}16.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.724$ clone of breast cancer and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:23:19.724 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.359$ help the immune system reconstitute

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

00:23:22.359 --> 00:23:25.420 itself with this new growth factor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:23:25.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.306$ women were treated on clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

00:23:28.306 --> 00:23:31.907 and yet many sought legal channels to

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

00:23:31.907 --> 00:23:35.498 get this new promising approach. That is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00{:}23{:}35.498 \to 00{:}23{:}39.230$ they sued their insurance company to get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:23:39.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.270$ This new technology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:23:41.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.106$ By the early 2000s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:23:43.106 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.530$ the jury was in the clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

00:23:46.530 --> 00:23:48.219 Data was reviewed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00{:}23{:}48.219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}51.034$ but the verdict was disappointing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:23:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.065$ This toxic approach did not improve outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:23:55.070 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.388$ and again, it points out how important

 $00:23:58.388 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.740$ it is to participate in clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:24:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.212$ That's how we learn how to move

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:24:06.212 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.700$ the field forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:24:07.700 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.840$ So maybe there's a better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

00:24:09.840 --> 00:24:14.386 more sophisticated way the human

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

00:24:14.386 --> 00:24:18.382 Genome Project was completed in 2003.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:24:18.382 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.296$ It took 13 years to complete scientists

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00{:}24{:}23.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.706$ all over the world worked together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:24:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.110$ Let me just repeat that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

00:24:28.110 --> 00:24:30.488 They actually worked together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:24:30.488 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.144$ and the entire human genome was identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:24:36.150 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.646$ Using the same technology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:24:38.646 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.766$ a scientist at Stanford analyzed

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:24:41.766 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.020$ breast cancer tissue and he was able

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

00:24:46.020 --> 00:24:48.895 to identify distinct DNA patterns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:24:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.628$ but more importantly,

 $00:24:50.628 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.084$ he was able to correlate these

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:24:54.084 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.079$ patterns with outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:24:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.995$ A risk assessment tool was

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

00:24:58.995 --> 00:25:00.744 developed for prognosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:25:00.750 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.606$ gives us a better idea of what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:25:03.606 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.476$ future looks like and prediction what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:25:06.476 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.844$ What do we predict?

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:25:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.960$ The best postoperative approach to be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00{:}25{:}12.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}15.980$ Prognostic and predictive factors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:25:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.680$ universally used in the postoperative

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:25:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.920$ management of breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:25:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.344$ and the goal is to stratify

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

00:25:23.344 --> 00:25:24.960 women into two groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:25:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.560$ those who will benefit from postoperative

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:25:28.560 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.456$ systemic therapies and those for whom

 $00:25:32.456 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.826$ the toxicities outweighed the benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667 00:25:35.830 --> 00:25:36.322 Classically, NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:25:36.322 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.766$ we've used the size of the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667 00:25:39.770 --> 00:25:40.874 the grade, NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:25:40.874 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.634$ the lymph node involvement to

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:25:43.634 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.127$ help guide us as to what to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

00:25:47.130 --> 00:25:50.448 Now we have Oncotype and five or

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00{:}25{:}50.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52.810$ six other molecular studies where

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00{:}25{:}52.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56.680$ we look at the DNA of the cancer to

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

00:25:56.680 --> 00:25:59.460 predict the behavior of the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00{:}25{:}59.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02.029$ So the size of the tumor women

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:26:02.029 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.899$ always ask what's my stage?

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:26:03.900 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.750$ It's all about the behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00{:}26{:}05.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09.230$ The biology size does not matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:26:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.450$ We've heard it before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:26:10.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.680$ and you can quote me on that.

 $00:26:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.960$ If the risk is low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:26:14.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.098$ we use estrogen blocking tablets only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00{:}26{:}18.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.176$ No chemotherapy is warranted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:26:20.176 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.771$ Dr Berger had mentioned some

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

00:26:22.771 --> 00:26:25.680 of the studies using Oncotype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:26:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.761$ Taylor X and RX Ponder so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:26:29.761 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.280$ we use these to guide us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:26:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.086$ You know, back in the old days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:26:35.090 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.203$ a decade ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:26:36.203 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.320$ if the tumor was over half an inch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824298414666667

 $00:26:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.630$ we prescribed chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9350415325

 $00:26:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.508$ The excitement started in 1979.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9350415325

00:26:48.508 --> 00:26:52.220 The gene, her two, was identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.9350415325

 $00:26:52.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.100$ a scientist at Genentech,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9350415325

 $00:26:55.100 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.690$ a new fledgling pharmaceutical company,

 $00:26:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.105$ discovered the gene and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9350415325

 $00:27:01.105 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.950$ protein that the gene encodes for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892935877142857

 $00:27:06.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.076$ Genentech was just bought for \$5

NOTE Confidence: 0.892935877142857

 $00:27:10.076 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.458$ billion this past year so if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.892935877142857

 $00:27:12.458 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.729$ were looking to buy it you lost out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

00:27:16.760 --> 00:27:19.058 Doctor Dennis Slamon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

 $00:27:19.058 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.356$ a medical oncologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

 $00:27:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.380$ ran into this scientist at

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

 $00{:}27{:}24.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27.400$ Genentech at the Denver Airport.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

00:27:27.400 --> 00:27:30.640 They were both returning to California

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

 $00:27:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.082$ and they started talking and ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

 $00:27:33.082 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.420$ they both started to work together

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

00:27:35.420 --> 00:27:38.552 on the her two gene and hypothesized

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

 $00:27:38.552 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.616$ that overexpression or overactivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

 $00:27:41.616 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.910$ plays a role in breast cancer growth and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

 $00:27:46.910 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.258$ It causes the cancer to grow

 $00:27:50.258 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.932$ rapidly and spread.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

 $00{:}27{:}51.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}56.224$ Their goal was to work on a medication that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

00:27:56.224 --> 00:28:00.400 would target or block this growth signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

 $00:28:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.000$ They ran out of money.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

 $00:28:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.898$ What happened?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

 $00:28:02.898 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.041$ An executive at Genentech came to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

00:28:06.041 --> 00:28:08.656 rescue when his mom was diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.82409241

 $00{:}28{:}08.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}11.360$ with her two positive breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821251315909091

00:28:13.840 --> 00:28:16.360 Harry Connick Junior played the

NOTE Confidence: 0.821251315909091

 $00{:}28{:}16.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.426$ role of Doctor Dennis Slamon in

NOTE Confidence: 0.821251315909091

 $00:28:19.426 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.198$ the lifetime made for TV movie

NOTE Confidence: 0.821251315909091

 $00:28:22.198 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.760$ about the development of Herceptin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:28:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.280$ So the left side is a a normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:28:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.040$ normal cell and we can see that the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:28:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.304$ That the her two receptors on the surface.

 $00:28:41.310 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.865$ It sends a message to the brain of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00{:}28{:}44.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49.346$ cell the nucleus hears or her two positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:28:49.346 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.619$ or overexpressing breast cancer cell and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00{:}28{:}52.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55.491$ And the message is there a lot of messages

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:28:55.491 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.204$ for the cancer cell to grow and divide

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

00:28:58.204 --> 00:29:00.669 being sent to the nucleus, Herceptin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:29:00.669 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.582$ And obviously we we found the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:29:04.582 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.505$ slide Elizabeth Herceptin blocks that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00{:}29{:}07.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}10.925$ message being sent to the nucleus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:29:10.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.710$ It shuts it down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:29:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.948$ In the 1990s, clinical trials again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:29:15.948 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.470$ clinical trials in advanced disease taught

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:29:19.558 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.660$ us that Herceptin is highly effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

00:29:22.660 --> 00:29:26.380 Against her two overexpressing breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:29:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.572$ both when given with chemotherapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:29:29.572 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.005$ also when given alone and in fact

 $00:29:33.005 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.050$ it's so effective that it was looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00{:}29{:}36.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}39.174$ at in the postoperative setting in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:29:39.174 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.184$ the early 2000s there were clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

00:29:42.184 --> 00:29:45.777 trials all over the world comparing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

00:29:45.777 --> 00:29:47.971 Postoperative chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:29:47.971 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.359$ with and without Herceptin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:29:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.888$ This data was presented at a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

00:29:55.888 --> 00:30:00.180 conference in 2004 in in this country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

00:30:00.180 --> 00:30:03.680 And. I I was at that meeting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:30:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.564$ everything stopped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00{:}30{:}04.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07.216$ You couldn't get into the room

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:30:07.216 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.835$ where the study was presented and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:30:09.835 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.313$ there were monitors all all over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:30:12.391 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.072$ the the pavilion all over the halls

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:30:15.072 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.162$ and everyone was just in awe.

00:30:18.162 --> 00:30:18.806 Adding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:30:18.806 \dashrightarrow 00:30:22.026$ Adding Herceptin trastuzumab to post

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:30:22.026 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.442$ operative chemotherapy reduced the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:30:24.442 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.230$ odds of the cancer coming back by 50%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:30:30.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.446$ There are now five or six.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:30:33.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.890$ Targeted anti her to drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00{:}30{:}36.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}39.572$ And these drugs and drug combinations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:30:39.572 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.737$ are used in a host of clinical settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00{:}30{:}43.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46.728$ These agents have tamed a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

00:30:46.728 --> 00:30:49.294 aggressive breast cancer and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:30:49.294 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.228$ changed the future for women diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:30:52.228 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.219$ with her two positive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:30:55.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.460$ We're going to move on to another

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

00:30:57.460 --> 00:30:59.120 class of systemic therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:30:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.188$ anti estrogen therapy, or endocrine therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00{:}31{:}03.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.088$ So estrogen is made in the ovaries

00:31:06.088 --> 00:31:09.667 and the adrenal gland and it's guided

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

00:31:09.667 --> 00:31:12.482 by communication and feedback between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00{:}31{:}12.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}16.128$ multiple organs, including the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

00:31:16.130 --> 00:31:18.608 Again, to understand where we are now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:31:18.610 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.270$ we're going to go back in time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:31:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.347$ This story starts in late 1800s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

00:31:24.347 --> 00:31:28.049 A scientist remove the ovaries of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

00:31:28.049 --> 00:31:31.021 lactating rabbit and lactation or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00{:}31{:}31.021 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33.329$ breast milk production stopped

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:31:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.235$ while why stop there the next year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:31:36.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.984$ he removed the ovaries of a woman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00{:}31{:}38.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41.318$ It was a pre menopausal woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

00:31:41.318 --> 00:31:42.482 with advanced stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

00:31:42.490 --> 00:31:45.310 four incurable breast cancer and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:31:45.310 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.739$ saw that the breast cancer regressed.

 $00:31:48.740 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.335$ Estrogen was discovered in the 1920s

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:31:52.335 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.785$ and we subsequently learned about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:31:55.785 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.038$ relationship of estrogen to breast tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:31:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.736$ But it was clear that depriving breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:32:02.736 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.850$ cancer from estrogen was therapeutic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:32:05.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.676$ so over the next several decades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:32:08.680 \dashrightarrow 00:32:12.034$ women were subjected to some very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:32:12.034 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.130$ barbaric procedures to decrease estrogen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00{:}32{:}15.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}17.280$ They had their ovaries removed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:32:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.020$ Adrenal glands removed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8085173275

 $00:32:19.020 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.818$ And even the pituitary gland in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00:32:26.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.418$ It wasn't until 1967 that

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00{:}32{:}30.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}33.250$ tamoxifen was synthesized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00:32:33.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.646$ It looks like estrogen and thereby

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00:32:36.646 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.667$ blocks true estrogen from stimulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

00:32:39.667 --> 00:32:42.967 growth of breast cancer cells.

 $00:32:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.036$ It was initially used to treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00:32:46.036 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.235$ advanced stage disease and in 1988,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00:32:50.235 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.760$ just like the studies using

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00:32:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.275$ post operative chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00:32:54.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.520$ we learned that it decreased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00:32:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.986$ The odds of the cancer coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

00:32:58.986 --> 00:33:01.310 back outside of the breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00:33:01.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.994$ just like chemotherapy did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00:33:02.994 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.099$ And again we learned this

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

00:33:05.099 --> 00:33:06.700 through clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

00:33:06.700 --> 00:33:09.836 participation in clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00{:}33{:}09.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.540$ and tamoxifen is so effective that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00{:}33{:}14.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}17.788$ It was proven in late 90s that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00:33:17.788 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.092$ can be used as a preventive agent

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

00:33:21.092 --> 00:33:24.938 for women who are at high risk for

 $00:33:24.938 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.128$ the development of breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00:33:27.130 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.825$ There are now multiple generations

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00:33:29.825 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.520$ of anti estrogen therapies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00:33:32.603 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.213$ anti estrogen drugs are amongst

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00:33:35.213 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.823$ the most widely prescribed anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.965349666666667

 $00:33:37.911 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.146$ cancer agents in the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923204462

 $00:33:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.314$ The same way organs communicate to

NOTE Confidence: 0.923204462

00:33:46.314 --> 00:33:48.550 produce hormones like estrogen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923204462

 $00{:}33{:}48.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}52.526$ There's a lot of communication within cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923204462

 $00:33:52.530 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.328$ both healthy cells and cancer cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903570272727273

 $00{:}34{:}00.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}03.775$ Walking or interrupting some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.903570272727273

 $00:34:03.775 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.080$ these internal messages can overcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.903570272727273

 $00:34:07.187 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.792$ resistance or enhance drug effectiveness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903570272727273

 $00:34:11.792 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.436$ So a pellissippi or pick ray blocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903570272727273

 $00:34:16.436 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.664$ blocks and altered communication

NOTE Confidence: 0.903570272727273

 $00{:}34{:}19.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22.784$ gene and makes anti estrogen

 $00:34:22.784 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.630$ therapy more effective everolimus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903570272727273

 $00:34:25.630 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.450$ Bypasses a resistance mechanism and makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.903570272727273

 $00:34:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.569$ anti estrogen therapy effective again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:34:39.220 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.334$ Just like in life, there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:34:41.334 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.320$ time and season for everything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:34:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.659$ and the same is true for breast cancer cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:34:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.480$ There are times when the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:34:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.017$ is more susceptible to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:34:52.017 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.807$ effects of anti estrogen therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:34:54.810 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.098$ And there are drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:34:58.100 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.928$ That do exactly that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:34:59.928 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.670$ Set the stage for the cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00{:}35{:}02.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05.149$ cell being more effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

00:35:05.150 --> 00:35:07.172 We have a reenactment below and

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:35:07.172 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.738$ and this is how I understand it.

 $00:35:09.740 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.368$ So this is how I explain it to patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93614061583333300:35:12.370 --> 00:35:14.800 So whoops.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:35:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.578$ OK, so on the left panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:35:17.578 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.100$ if I'm the cancer cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:35:20.100 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.400$ Paula is the anti estrogen drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:35:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.264$ She's trying to hurt me but I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:35:26.264 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.088$ still defend myself on the right panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:35:29.090 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.938$ We can add in one of these cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00{:}35{:}32.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}36.015$ cycle inhibitors that puts the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:35:36.015 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.691$ the estrogen sensitive estrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00{:}35{:}38.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}41.866$ sensitive cell in a particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00{:}35{:}41.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}44.926$ vulnerable state for anti estrogen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:35:44.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.619$ Therapy, so Amy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

00:35:46.619 --> 00:35:49.997 who's who's holding my arms up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:35:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.798$ makes me vulnerable to Paula anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00{:}35{:}53.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}57.119$ estrogen the rapy and what we're

 $00:35:57.119 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.823$ seeing are unprecedented responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:35:59.823 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.390$ when we add cell cycle inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00{:}36{:}04.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.230$ to anti estrogen the rapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:36:08.230 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.302$ unprecedent durations of response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

00:36:11.310 --> 00:36:13.530 Gamechanger these drugs are now being

NOTE Confidence: 0.936140615833333

 $00:36:13.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.589$ looked at in the postoperative setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89454824

00:36:19.920 --> 00:36:22.540 Contrary to popular belief,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89454824

00:36:22.540 --> 00:36:26.020 most breast cancer is not inherited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89454824

 $00:36:26.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.020$ and in fact only about 10% of women

NOTE Confidence: 0.89454824

 $00:36:30.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.545$ develop breast cancer because they've

NOTE Confidence: 0.89454824

 $00{:}36{:}33.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}37.109$ inherited that vulnerability from a gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.89454824

 $00{:}36{:}37.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}40.266$ like a mutated or altered BRCA gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89454824

 $00:36:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.518$ BRCA is repair gene and when it's mutated?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89454824

 $00:36:46.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.550$ It allows for the development

NOTE Confidence: 0.89454824

 $00:36:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.580$ of breast cancer because it

 $00:36:50.659 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.799$ no longer repairs the mistake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89454824

 $00{:}36{:}52.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}55.900$ Parked inhibitors reset this repair

NOTE Confidence: 0.89454824

 $00:36:55.900 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.132$ process and this is yet another class of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89454824

 $00:37:01.132 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.910$ systemic agents that we use for women

NOTE Confidence: 0.89454824

 $00:37:04.910 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.110$ who have BRCA positive breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89454824

 $00:37:08.110 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.954$ We not only use it in the metastatic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89454824

 $00:37:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.760$ we now use it in the postoperative disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.89454824

 $00:37:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.828$ in the postoperative currative setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886307702857143

 $00{:}37{:}19.660 --> 00{:}37{:}21.706$ Antibody drug conjugates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886307702857143

00:37:21.706 --> 00:37:26.270 Chemotherapy of the future. I ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886307702857143

 $00{:}37{:}26.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.414$ Uhm, antibodies like Herceptin

NOTE Confidence: 0.886307702857143

 $00:37:28.414 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.360$ not only bind and block the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.886307702857143

 $00:37:32.360 \dashrightarrow 00:37:35.520$ surface proteins and block messages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886307702857143

 $00:37:35.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.320$ They can really act like FedEx or

NOTE Confidence: 0.886307702857143

00:37:38.320 --> 00:37:40.890 Amazon and actually deliver packages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886307702857143

 $00:37:40.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.665$ So antibody drug conjugates are

 $00:37:44.665 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.440$ antibodies where we attach chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886307702857143

 $00:37:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.115$ and then we deliver that

NOTE Confidence: 0.886307702857143

00:37:50.115 --> 00:37:51.790 chemotherapy not throughout the body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886307702857143

 $00:37:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.166$ but directly to the cancer cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886307702857143

 $00:37:54.170 \dashrightarrow 00:37:58.825$ So TDM one is Herceptin with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.886307702857143

 $00:37:58.825 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.610$ chemotherapy drug attached to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886307702857143

 $00:38:01.610 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.230$ Sacituzumab is another antibody to a surface.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886307702857143

 $00:38:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.303$ Protein called trope 2 and it's attached

NOTE Confidence: 0.886307702857143

 $00{:}38{:}09.303 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}12.344$ to a very potent chemotherapy drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.886307702857143

 $00:38:12.344 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.152$ that we commonly use in colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9016957

00:38:21.850 --> 00:38:25.540 So I I think what I what I really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9016957

00:38:25.540 --> 00:38:29.138 want to what I really want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9016957

 $00{:}38{:}29.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}32.510$ say is that the treatment today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9016957

 $00:38:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.426$ Is were clinical trials yesterday and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9016957

 $00:38:35.426 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.548$ that's how we that's how we learn.

 $00:38:38.550 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.909$ That's how we move the field forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9016957

 $00{:}38{:}40.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}44.090$ So every time we participate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9016957

 $00:38:44.090 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.998$ in clinical research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9016957

 $00:38:46.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.496$ We pay forward the benefits that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9016957

 $00:38:48.496 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.060$ we have for people behind us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967474025714286

00:38:53.630 --> 00:38:56.997 And until every woman who's been diagnosed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967474025714286

 $00{:}38{:}57.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}58.810$ or man who's been diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.967474025714286

 $00:38:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.620$ with breast cancer is cured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967474025714286

 $00{:}39{:}00.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}02.790$ there's still a long way to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811745072

 $00:39:10.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.604$ Needed to unmute myself there, sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811745072

 $00{:}39{:}12.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}15.540$ Add two quick questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811745072

 $00:39:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.580$ The first comes from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811745072

 $00:39:19.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.788$ Doctor Knowlton and she's asking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.811745072

00:39:21.788 --> 00:39:23.965 app Elicitive and if it's available

NOTE Confidence: 0.811745072

 $00:39:23.965 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.198$ off trial and are you using it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88283308

 $00:39:27.090 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.770$ It is available off trial,

 $00:39:29.770 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.242$ so it's used in in the metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.88283308

 $00:39:33.242 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.808$ setting and there has to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88283308

 $00:39:35.810 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.953$ There has to be a certain alteration

NOTE Confidence: 0.88283308

 $00:39:38.953 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.210$ in the pick three pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88283308

 $00:39:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.912$ so we commonly test not not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.88283308

 $00:39:44.912 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.438$ do we do the Oncotype testing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88283308

 $00:39:48.438 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.268$ the post operative setting for

NOTE Confidence: 0.88283308

 $00:39:51.268 \dashrightarrow 00:39:54.287$ women whose disease has spread,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88283308

 $00:39:54.290 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.880$ we also do molecular testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88283308

 $00:39:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.463$ Looking for targeted agents and it's one

NOTE Confidence: 0.88283308

 $00:40:00.463 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.316$ of the first things that we look for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88283308

 $00:40:03.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.515$ so we use it along with anti estrogen agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88283308

 $00{:}40{:}06.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}09.124$ And yes it's an FDA approved drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904249848636364

 $00{:}40{:}10.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}12.338$ And the second question is about

NOTE Confidence: 0.904249848636364

00:40:12.338 --> 00:40:13.830 standard endocrine therapy in

 $00:40:13.900 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.814$ combination with pick Ray from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904249848636364

 $00:40:15.814 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.850$ start as the first line therapy so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.54888531

 $00:40:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.888$ Pic Ray has

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00:40:23.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.820$ a lot of side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00:40:25.820 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.875$ It's not a well tolerated

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

00:40:28.875 --> 00:40:31.218 drug and it's exciting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

00:40:31.218 --> 00:40:35.250 but I think we're finding right now

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00:40:35.361 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.627$ more exciting the cell cycle inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00:40:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.049$ So that's palbociclib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00:40:41.049 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.360$ We have all these liquid names right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00{:}40{:}44{:}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49{:}010$ Palbociclib ribociclib abemaciclib

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00:40:49.010 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.714$ they look so exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

00:40:50.714 --> 00:40:53.270 That they're all being looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00{:}40{:}53.357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}55.970$ in the postoperative setting up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00:40:55.970 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.170$ and it's a little early,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00:40:58.170 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.060$ but I do think that pick

 $00:41:00.060 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.169$ Ray will will be looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00{:}41{:}02.170 --> 00{:}41{:}05.678$ I think part of the part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00:41:05.678 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.492$ the concern is some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00:41:08.492 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.819$ alterations or mutations only

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

00:41:10.819 --> 00:41:12.886 develop after someone's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00{:}41{:}12.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}14.826$ exposed to anti estrogen the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

00:41:14.830 --> 00:41:19.044 We don't necessarily see them de Novo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00:41:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.216$ so it's something that the cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00:41:21.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.552$ Learns to do too to overcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00{:}41{:}25.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.440$ the anti estrogen effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780935846

 $00:41:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.288$ Good good, good question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

 $00:41:30.970 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.860$ good questions yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

 $00:41:32.860 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.380$ And and Doctor Knowlton,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

00:41:35.380 --> 00:41:36.608 our third speaker tonight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

 $00:41:36.608 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.178$ excited to hear what she has to say

 $00:41:39.178 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.188$ about radiation therapy in breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

00:41:41.190 --> 00:41:42.895 Dr Knowlton is an associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

00:41:42.895 --> 00:41:43.918 professor in clinical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

 $00:41:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.884$ therapeutic radiology and medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

00:41:45.884 --> 00:41:48.339 director of the Smilow Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

 $00{:}41{:}48.339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}50.399$ Hospital Care Center in Hamden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

 $00{:}41{:}50.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}52.188$ She received her medical degree at

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

00:41:52.188 --> 00:41:54.359 SUNY at Stony Brook and a Master of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

 $00{:}41{:}54.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}57.020$ Arts from NYU and then completed her

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

00:41:57.020 --> 00:41:58.780 residency at Hahnemann University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

 $00{:}41{:}58.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}02.200$ Drexel University and her special.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

 $00:42:02.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.010$ Realization in radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

 $00:42:03.010 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.360$ therapy is for breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

 $00:42:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.590$ lung cancer and bone metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

 $00:42:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.882$ and in 2019 she earned the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

00:42:08.882 --> 00:42:10.410 Smilow Luminary Award for

 $00:42:10.485 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.469$ excellence in Patient Care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

 $00:42:12.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.940$ So we're excited to hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.82961434

 $00:42:13.940 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.740$ we have to say thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:42:16.110 --> 00:42:18.168 thanks Doctor Lynch and thanks everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:42:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.829$ And welcome. I see a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:42:19.829 --> 00:42:21.089 patient names in the chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:42:21.090 --> 00:42:24.922 so thanks everyone for coming and if some

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:42:24.922 --> 00:42:26.970 of you have seen me talk before at these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00{:}42{:}26.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}28.804$ I promise you there's some new slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:42:28.810 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.797$ If you bear with me alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00{:}42{:}30.797 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}34.206$ I'm going to share my screen now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:42:34.210 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.101$ OK, and from the beginning. Here we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00{:}42{:}39.101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}43.514$ OK so I so this is me and I have no

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:42:43.514 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.044$ conflicts of interest to report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:42:46.050 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.200$ So I know that I tried not to be too

00:42:49.289 --> 00:42:52.787 repetitive from our our other panelists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:42:52.790 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.045$ but here's the basics on treatment for

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:42:56.045 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.448$ breast cancer, which we know often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:42:58.448 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.650$ Surgery is the mainstay of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00{:}43{:}00.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02.510$ as both Doctor Berger and Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:43:02.510 --> 00:43:04.180 Kanowitz discussed at some points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:43:04.180 --> 00:43:07.630 Now, chemotherapy is becoming before surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:43:07.630 --> 00:43:09.470 but I'm the radiation oncologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:43:09.470 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.745$ so I put the most basic format

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:43:11.745 --> 00:43:14.255 down here where surgery can either

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00{:}43{:}14.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}16.195$ be breast conserving surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:43:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.168$ Where the breast is maintained and only

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:43:19.168 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.319$ the tumor is removed or mastectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:43:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.315$ where the entire breast is removed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:43:25.315 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.505$ there's obviously nodal surgery as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00{:}43{:}27.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}29.145$ That's involved in Doctor Berger

00:43:29.145 --> 00:43:31.717 talked a lot about the Sentinel lymph

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:43:31.717 --> 00:43:33.887 node biopsy and axillary dissection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:43:33.890 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.410$ And plus or minus chemo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:43:35.410 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.156$ As mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:43:36.156 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.394$ the chemo can become come before

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:43:38.394 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.718$ the surgery and then plus or

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:43:40.718 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.849$ minus radiation therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:43:41.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.048$ After breast conserving therapy, usually yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:43:45.050 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.529$ We offer radiation therapy but not always.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:43:48.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.408$ And after mastectomy sometimes A and

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:43:50.408 --> 00:43:53.205 so and we will get into the details on

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:43:53.205 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.421$ that and how that's been evolving as

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00{:}43{:}55.421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57.787$ part of this theme that you've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00{:}43{:}57.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}00.260$ hearing a little bit about D escalation

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.372$ of care with the goal of reducing

00:44:03.372 --> 00:44:06.057 toxicity while maintaining good outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.908$ And then there's hormonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:07.908 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.756$ therapy or targeted therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:09.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.565$ This would be the definitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:12.565 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.687$ treatment pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:13.690 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.760$ So what is radiation therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.091$ So it's a field of medicine that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:20.091 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.855$ uses ionizing radiation to treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:21.855 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.600$ a variety of medical conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.662$ most often cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:44:24.662 --> 00:44:27.140 What radiation really is is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:44:27.209 --> 00:44:29.554 packet of energy that moves through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:29.554 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.782$ air with the wavelength and frequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:44:31.782 --> 00:44:35.024 wavelength is how high and low does it go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:44:35.024 --> 00:44:36.626 and frequency is how quickly does

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:44:36.626 --> 00:44:38.799 it go through that weight length,

 $00:44:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.735$ and that the wavelength and

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:40.735 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.670$ frequency is what gives radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:44:42.670 --> 00:44:43.454 It's properties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:43.454 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.590$ so for example this radiation from the sun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:44:46.590 --> 00:44:48.210 There's radiation from the microwave,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:48.210 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.330$ a light in your home is delivering radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:51.330 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.746$ It could be a blue light,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:52.750 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.050$ and all of those different

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.809$ ways that if we can see it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:55.810 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.653$ if we can't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:56.653 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.339$ it's all related to the wavelength

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:44:58.339 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.230$ and frequency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00{:}44{:}59.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01.210$ So ionizing radiation is radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:45:01.210 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.964$ With enough energy and having those

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:45:03.964 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.627$ properties from its wavelength and frequency

00:45:06.627 --> 00:45:09.273 to remove an electron from an atom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:45:09.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.428$ causing that atom to become charged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:45:11.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.078$ And that's the basis for how it damages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:45:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.438$ The cells and so the plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:45:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.170$ When you're treating somebody with

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:45:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.302$ the radiation is to get enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:45:20.302 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.342$ dose in there to kill whatever

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

00:45:22.342 --> 00:45:24.319 cancer cells you're aiming to kill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:45:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.112$ but but not damage the normal cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.878433188333333

 $00:45:27.112 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.879$ and those of you who've had radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00{:}45{:}29.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}32.320$ Oh yes, the normal cells do get damaged

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:45:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.568$ because that's why you get skin irritation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:45:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.316$ But the point is to allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:45:36.316 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.000$ the normal cells to repair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:45:38.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.525$ They are better at repairing

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

00:45:40.525 --> 00:45:43.050 from the damage from ionizing

 $00:45:43.142 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.280$ radiation than the cancer cells are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:45:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.510$ Uhm? Sorry I can't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

00:45:48.510 --> 00:45:50.382 I'm trying to fear we go OK, alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:45:50.382 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.278$ so radiation therapy and breast cancer or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00{:}45{:}53.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}55.644$ The purpose is to eradicate residual

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:45:55.644 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.616$ disease within the breast or

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:45:57.616 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.578$ chest wall or the regional nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:45:59.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.806$ So that is the reason why

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:01.806 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.899$ following lumpectomy or the breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:03.899 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.019$ conserving surgery that radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:06.019 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.139$ is usually offered because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.030$ We know from multiple studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00{:}46{:}10.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.362$ there is risk of having cancer cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:12.362 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.700$ left behind even in the setting of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

00:46:14.766 --> 00:46:16.806 the most amazing lumpectomy ever,

 $00:46:16.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.602$ with nice negative nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

00:46:18.602 --> 00:46:21.290 And we treat higher risk patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:21.366 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.960$ following mastectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:22.960 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.871$ where we think that they are at

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:24.871 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.508$ greater risk to have residual

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:26.508 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.020$ cancer cells left behind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

00:46:28.020 --> 00:46:29.667 And we see here in this third bullet point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:29.670 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.824$ those would be patients with greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

00:46:31.824 --> 00:46:34.034 than 5 centimeters of tumor within

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:34.034 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.170$ the breast and or involved nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

00:46:36.170 --> 00:46:38.249 And we always want to use evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:38.249 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.843$ based medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.226$ so we want to base our treatments on studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.211$ not just on our feelings or what

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:43.211 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.410$ we think the best thing to do in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00{:}46{:}45.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}47.090$ our treatment is designed to treat

 $00:46:47.090 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.808$ the breast or the chest wall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00{:}46{:}48.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}51.090$ plus or minus the regional lymph

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:51.090 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.119$ nodes depending upon if that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:53.119 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.995$ needed and to avoid the healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00{:}46{:}54.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56.430$ tissues as much as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

00:46:56.430 --> 00:46:58.812 Even though I said that healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:46:58.812 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.179$ tissues have the ability to repair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:01.180 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.420$ The heart and the lungs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:02.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.076$ They don't need to be radiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

00:47:04.080 --> 00:47:06.984 They don't have Keith left behind in them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:06.990 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.574$ so we want to avoid the heart and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:09.574 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.258$ the lungs to keep the toxicity down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

00:47:12.260 --> 00:47:13.478 And as it says at the bottom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.945$ a small amount of radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:14.945 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.760$ to the normal organs is safe,

 $00:47:16.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.530$ but we try to avoid this as much as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:20.530 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.301$ So if someone were to come to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:22.301 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.850$ see me in the office,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:23.850 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.146$ the first step would be besides meeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:26.146 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.439$ a whole bunch of people before me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

00:47:28.440 --> 00:47:30.988 The registered nurse to do an intake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:30.990 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.215$ our social worker to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:33.215 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.550$ themselves to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00{:}47{:}34.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}35.770$ our advanced practice nurse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:35.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.310$ Also to kind of lay the groundwork.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.569$ Then I would you would meet me and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:40.569 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.923$ would do an exam and we would talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:42.930 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.586$ We review your history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

00:47:44.586 --> 00:47:46.656 Make sure that I'm understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:46.656 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.860$ everything that's happened and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:48.860 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.260$ would review why the radiation is.

00:47:51.260 --> 00:47:53.640 And if you've had breast conserving surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00{:}47{:}53.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.832$ we would talk about a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:55.832 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.899$ why that is and and how that help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.564$ Radiation can improve outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:47:59.564 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.644$ If you had a mastectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:48:01.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.037$ you had reasons to have the radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:48:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.858$ such as involved nodes or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

00:48:05.858 --> 00:48:07.500 large tumor within the chest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:48:07.500 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.326$ We would discuss that as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:48:10.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.179$ And we would talk about the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:48:13.179 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.090$ course and potential risks and the benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

00:48:16.090 --> 00:48:17.198 And then you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00{:}48{:}17.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19.850$ if you were to agree to the radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:48:19.850 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.986$ we would then schedule the CT simulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87640584

 $00:48:22.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.230$ So that's the first step of our radiation.

 $00:48:25.230 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.160$ Is the planning is to do a CAT scan of

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:48:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.832$ the patient in the treatment position

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:48:30.832 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.719$ so they can design the radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

00:48:33.720 --> 00:48:36.678 When I first went into residency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:48:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.408$ we were not using CAT scans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

00:48:38.410 --> 00:48:40.498 Well they were just kind of becoming the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

00:48:40.500 --> 00:48:43.660 The norm, but just about when I started,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:48:43.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.716$ we were using flora,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:48:44.716 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.581$ which is a type of X ray and it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:48:47.581 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.399$ so it was much more rudimentary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00{:}48{:}49.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}52.016$ Even in my somewhat brief career,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

00:48:52.020 --> 00:48:54.120 I've seen such major changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:48:54.120 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.416$ but the CT scan is used to design

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:48:56.416 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.046$ the radiation treatment plan and

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:48:58.046 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.356$ we do it in the treatment position.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.260$ 'cause if you think about it,

 $00:49:01.260 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.588$ that's the position we do this scan and

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00{:}49{:}03.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}06.155$ that's the position we designed the plan in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.470$ and that's the position we need to get you in

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:08.526 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.838$ each day for the treatment and the treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.048$ Position is designed to give us the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:13.048 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.367$ access to treat what needs to be treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:15.370 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.320$ The breast, the chest wall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.990$ the nodes and to stay off

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.770$ of the healthy tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:21.770 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.522$ There's no typically for

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:23.522 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.836$ breast cancer treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.760$ There's no dye given

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00{:}49{:}26.760 --> 00{:}49{:}28.680$ during these CT simulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.110$ So the patient is typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:30.110 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.540$ placed on a breast board,

00:49:31.540 --> 00:49:33.136 with or without a mold underneath her,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00{:}49{:}33.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}34.715$ so this is and you could see

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:34.715 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.199$ in the top right that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

00:49:36.200 --> 00:49:38.200 It's a standard breast board,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:38.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.908$ so every day that a patient were

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:39.908 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.780$ to come in for her treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:41.780 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.810$ we would make sure that the breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:43.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.618$ full at the same and that arm

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:45.618 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.377$ bar that you see at the top that

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:47.377 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.667$ was in the same position.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:48.670 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.838$ And then these this Ridge here at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:50.838 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.070$ bottom is called the bus stop so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:53.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.843$ you don't slide and everything needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:54.843 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.339$ to be in the exact same position when

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:49:57.340 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.452$ you come in for your treatment as it

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

00:49:59.452 --> 00:50:01.836 was for the planning scan and then

 $00:50:01.836 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.517$ we paste wires typically around the breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:50:04.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.430$ These are these are only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00{:}50{:}06.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07.801$ Rudimentary field borders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:50:07.801 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.086$ but they do have importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:50:10.086 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.669$ 'cause they're based on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:50:11.670 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.790$ When we were feeling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:50:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.874$ but we can make lots of adjustments

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:50:14.874 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.226$ to fine tune them based on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00{:}50{:}17.226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19.400$ images that we get in the CAT scan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:50:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.088$ And here this patient in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00{:}50{:}22.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}25.064$ bottom right has a scar wire

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:50:25.064 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.784$ also over her lumpectomy scar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00{:}50{:}27.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}29.812$ So we placed wires around the

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00{:}50{:}29.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}32.035$ breast tissue and on any relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:50:32.035 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.659$ scars as a man and you will get

00:50:34.659 --> 00:50:37.506 tattoos to help us set you up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:50:37.506 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.321$ the perfect position each day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:50:39.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.654$ Typically a tattoo on the right lateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:50:41.654 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.600$ chest wall though between the breasts

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:50:43.600 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.679$ at the lower end at the bottom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

00:50:45.680 --> 00:50:48.380 And it maybe you can see this little dot

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:50:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.268$ on my wrist is an old fashioned tattoo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

00:50:51.270 --> 00:50:53.016 A lot of my patients in the audience you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:50:53.016 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.560$ going to say what that's old fashioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636 00:50:54.560 --> 00:50:55.499 I have that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00{:}50{:}55.499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}57.735$ Yes it is now will that fashion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

00:50:57.735 --> 00:51:00.220 Because now in the last 18 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:51:00.220 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.695$ we've piloted throughout our Hamden

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:51:02.695 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.180$ and Greenwich Park.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00:51:04.180 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.140$ But it's been taken on at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.920201311363636

 $00{:}51{:}06.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07.886$ other sites where we used tattoos

 $00:51:07.886 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.846$ that are only able to be viewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.866260744333333

00:51:09.913 --> 00:51:11.789 by the UV light so the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.866260744333333

 $00{:}51{:}11.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}13.812$ don't have to have these constant

NOTE Confidence: 0.866260744333333

 $00:51:13.812 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.640$ reminders now of these tattoos on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.866260744333333

 $00:51:16.718 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.118$ bodies of their previous radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866260744333333

 $00:51:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.604$ But we still have the benefit of the tattoo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866260744333333

00:51:21.610 --> 00:51:23.858 which is a mark that won't wash off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866260744333333

 $00:51:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.422$ And if a patient ever needs additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.866260744333333

00:51:26.422 --> 00:51:28.326 radiation, it does help us if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.866260744333333

 $00{:}51{:}28.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}30.249$ ever need to match prior fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:51:32.370 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.746$ So excuse me. So after we do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:51:35.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.826$ planning scan when we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00{:}51{:}37.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}40.025$ design the plan. So what happens?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:51:40.025 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.176$ Well I have to draw on every slice of the CAT

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:51:44.176 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.859$ scan which is done in 2 millimeter slices,

 $00:51:47.860 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.320$ sometimes three, but typically two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:51:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.950$ What constitutes the breast tissue?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:51:52.950 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.962$ What constitutes the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

00:51:54.962 --> 00:51:57.477 cavity or the lumpectomy bed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:51:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.630$ The various lymph node regions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.040$ The heart. In the lungs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:02.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.795$ so that the planning software

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:03.795 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.848$ can recognize it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:04.850 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.770$ So that's the first step.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.090$ Then when I've done that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00{:}52{:}08.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}10.702$ then I work with a certified medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:10.702 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.462$ dosimetrist that someone a dosimetrist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:12.462 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.906$ is someone who has a four year

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

00:52:14.906 --> 00:52:16.531 degree in radiation planning and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

00:52:16.531 --> 00:52:18.379 certified means nationally certified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00{:}52{:}18.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}20.750$ and that the certified medical assistant

 $00:52:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.020$ I worked together to come up with a plan

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00{:}52{:}24.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}26.032$ using the planning software to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:26.032 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.948$ an even dose to whatever needs to be treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:28.950 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.250$ The breast, the chest wall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:30.250 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.414$ the nodes or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:31.414 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.160$ Meaning that we don't have hot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:33.222 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.858$ spots with extra radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00.52:34.860 \longrightarrow 00.52:35.968$ or you limit those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:35.968 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.025$ and we obviously don't want cold spots

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

00:52:38.025 --> 00:52:40.035 where there's two little that's given,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00{:}52{:}40.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41.615$ and we also want to analyze the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:41.615 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.387$ dose to the heart and the lungs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:43.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.812$ and make sure that they are below

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:45.812 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.600$ what's considered safe thresholds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:47.600 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.810$ And let's say below sea threshold.

 $00:52:50.810 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.871$ But we can do a a plan where we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:52.871 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.750$ even get further below the safe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:54.750 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.674$ threshold for the heart and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

00:52:56.674 --> 00:52:58.578 lungs you always want to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:52:58.580 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.385$ There's this principle and radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:00.385 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.420$ oncology called ALARA as low as.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

00:53:02.420 --> 00:53:03.384 Reasonably achievable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:03.384 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.276$ which means that you always want

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:06.276 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.564$ to have your nontarget tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:08.564 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.455$ to be have their dose that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00{:}53{:}11.541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}13.606$ receive as low as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

00:53:13.610 --> 00:53:16.103 I'm so when we do when I'm eating a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

00:53:16.103 --> 00:53:18.188 patient in doing the physical exam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:18.190 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.620$ looking for a lot of things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

00:53:20.620 --> 00:53:22.286 and one of the things I'm thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:22.286 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.756$ about when I have the patient

 $00:53:23.756 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.696$ lay back on the table and put her

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:25.696 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.214$ arms up and feeling the breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.610$ my mind is spinning about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:28.610 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.220$ What's the best position to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:31.220 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.308$ treat this patient in?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:33.310 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.745$ Is this patient going to be best

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:34.745 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.069$ treated in the prone position,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

00:53:36.070 --> 00:53:38.310 lying on his or her for their stomach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.860$ or supine lying on the back?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:40.860 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.038$ We can use different beam angles to come in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.192$ We want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:44.192 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.880$ Angle the beam so that we stay

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00{:}53{:}46.977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}50.085$ anterior and lateral to the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:50.090 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.371$ We can use field and field which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

00:53:51.371 --> 00:53:52.680 is the most modern technique.

 $00:53:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.157$ In fact another article came out just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00{:}53{:}54.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}55.669$ the other day that I need to read.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:53:55.670 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.364$ It's sitting in my in basket but

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

00:53:57.364 --> 00:53:59.308 showing in the old days you had one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

00:53:59.308 --> 00:54:01.016 beam from the medial and one from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:54:01.016 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.498$ the lateral to treat the breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:54:02.498 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.490$ and you had some very minor ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

00:54:04.490 --> 00:54:06.765 that you could change how the dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:54:06.765 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.555$ was distributed within that beam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

00:54:08.560 --> 00:54:10.947 Now while a patient is being treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:54:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.636$ there are leaves that are constantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:54:12.636 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.500$ opening and closing to change the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

00:54:14.500 --> 00:54:17.318 shape of the beam and that'll help

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:54:17.318 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.940$ us avoid hot spots and cold spots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454874975

 $00:54:19.940 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.250$ And it's been associated with a better

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:54:22.319 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.260$ cosmetic outcome, so all of our sites

 $00:54:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.670$ are using the field and field technique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:54:28.670 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.206$ Well, one thing that we can do the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:54:31.206 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.333$ dosimetrist and I is design a custom

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:54:33.333 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.705$ made heart block and the head of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00{:}54{:}35.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}37.749$ machine to make sure and I'll show

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00{:}54{:}37.750 \longrightarrow 00{:}54{:}39.766$ you some pictures of that to make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:54:39.766 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.748$ that the heart is not in the direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

00:54:41.748 --> 00:54:43.436 beam and the use of deep inspiration

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:54:43.436 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.083$ breath hold which I have some slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

00:54:45.083 --> 00:54:47.160 coming up and I know many of you who

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00{:}54{:}47.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}48.510$ are treated with this technique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:54:48.510 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.840$ So here's the supine position

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00{:}54{:}50.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}53.170$ IE lying on your back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:54:53.170 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.330$ We see that breast board here raised up

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:54:55.330 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.720$ the arm bars a little out of the field.

 $00:54:57.720 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.264$ There's a bus stop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

00:54:59.264 --> 00:55:00.666 Underneath this person's bottom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:00.666 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.460$ so the under the sheet that you can't see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:03.460 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.360$ And then of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.710$ there's the pillow under the knees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

00:55:05.710 --> 00:55:07.495 So for this patient you know each

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

00:55:07.495 --> 00:55:09.238 day that she gets treatment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

00:55:09.238 --> 00:55:11.373 breast board is at the same height,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:11.380 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.130$ the same pillow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:12.130 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.380$ under the knees everything is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00{:}55{:}13.380 \to 00{:}55{:}15.774$ And then we line up her tattoos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:15.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.751$ You can see that black X on the corner

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:17.751 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.667$ there which would which is helping to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:19.667 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.858$ draw attention to where her tattoo is for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.510$ That's the tattoo is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:23.510 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.830$ a little dot though.

 $00:55:24.830 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.741$ But we line up the tattoos to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

00:55:26.741 --> 00:55:28.739 a laser grid in the treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

00:55:28.740 --> 00:55:30.960 Room that's calibrated daily by

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.642$ the medical physicist to make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

00:55:33.642 --> 00:55:35.958 that the patient is not rotated

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:35.958 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.990$ and the position is spot on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:37.990 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.286$ So here's an example of right breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:40.286 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.672$ radiation in the supine position, IE.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00{:}55{:}42.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}45.408$ Lying on the back and the beam edge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:45.410 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.450$ You can see coming in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:47.450 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.172$ and there's very little dose spillage

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00{:}55{:}49.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}51.066$ that's deep to the beam edge because

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:51.066 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.249$ it's set up in what we call a tangent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.865$ It's not like two flashlights

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:55:54.865 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.157$ coming into that patient.

00:55:56.160 --> 00:55:57.928 What we do is we angle the beam

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00{:}55{:}57.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00.102$ so that the edge of the beam is

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:56:00.102 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.214$ what goes into treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:56:01.220 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.404$ and that causes what we call a

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:56:03.404 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.734$ non divergent posterior border

NOTE Confidence: 0.912966235

 $00:56:04.734 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.714$ where very little dose will will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:56:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.915$ We'll go behind or deep to those beams so

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

00:56:11.915 --> 00:56:13.475 you can see in this right breast patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:56:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.806$ It's super easy to keep the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:56:14.806 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.020$ radiation off of the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:56:16.020 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.884$ but we would still always use that olyra

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:56:17.884 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.078$ if we could do something where we still

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:56:20.078 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.260$ got the breast tissue in the way we liked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:56:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.800$ but we could spare the heart more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:56:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.024$ we would always go with that plan and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:56:26.024 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.870$ this patient does have a small liver

00:56:27.870 --> 00:56:30.135 block you can see on the right photo to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:56:30.135 \dashrightarrow 00:56:34.950$ keep her liver out of the radiation beam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

00:56:34.950 --> 00:56:36.858 Here's a heart block, which is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

00:56:36.860 --> 00:56:39.188 you know, one of our tried true ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:56:39.190 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.630$ Once we got the CT scan up going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

00:56:41.630 --> 00:56:45.674 You know 1010 to 15 years ago too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:56:45.674 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.570$ Had the direct beam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:56:47.570 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.354$ you could see that those are those leaves

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:56:49.354 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.388$ in the head of the machine that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:56:51.388 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.119$ shape to follow the edge of the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00{:}56{:}53.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}54.947$ You just have to be careful that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

00:56:54.947 --> 00:56:56.657 when you're you can't just go

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00{:}56{:}56.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}58.397$ crazy in designing the heart block

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:56:58.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.368$ while we love sparing the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.330$ we also want to make sure that if we go

 $00:57:02.385 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.296$ are too generous with the heart block,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00{:}57{:}04.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}07.905$ we won't treat the breast tissue properly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:07.910 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.510$ And here's the prone position.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:09.510 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.289$ This is a patient laying on her

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:12.289 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.869$ stomach in the prone position.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

00:57:14.870 --> 00:57:16.290 Some patients are excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:16.290 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.065$ candidates and some are not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:18.070 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.827$ Who would be a poor candidate while

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:19.827 \dashrightarrow 00:57:21.858$ a patient that tells me that she's

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:21.858 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.388$ highly uncomfortable lying on her

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:23.388 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.258$ stomach but not be the best candidate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.941$ There is certain positions of the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:27.941 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.171$ and exceptionally medial tumor is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:30.171 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.205$ always the best for prone positioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:32.210 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.918$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:32.918 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.042$ and we are not using prone

 $00:57:35.042 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.870$ positioning at our institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:36.870 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.825$ If the nodes need to be treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.026$ So here's some pictures of some

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:43.026 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.779$ radiation beams in the prone positioning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.868$ so you could see for this patient when

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

00:57:47.868 --> 00:57:50.395 you look on the picture on the left that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:50.395 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.101$ mean edges cutting right through and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:52.101 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.710$ that edge of the heart is right in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00{:}57{:}54.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}57.412$ But we see in the prone bone we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00{:}57{:}57.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}59.519$ able to make the beam angle so

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:57:59.519 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.660$ that the heart stayed behind it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00{:}58{:}01.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}04.726$ and another benefit of the prom for

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:58:04.726 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.071$ some patients can be if they have a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:58:08.071 \longrightarrow 00:58:11.101$ more pendulous breast with a larger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

00:58:11.101 --> 00:58:11.743 Fold underneath,

 $00:58:11.743 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.990$ that's an area that can get more

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00{:}58{:}14.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}16.029$ skin irritation from the radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:58:16.030 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.073$ But if we treat the patient in the prone

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

00:58:18.073 --> 00:58:20.950 position, it'll lift the breast up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:58:20.950 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.024$ Helps a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00.58:22.024 \longrightarrow 00.58:24.968$ We can see here for this patient on

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

00:58:24.968 --> 00:58:27.474 our left where the heart is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:58:27.474 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.257$ into the to the field edge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00.58:30.260 \longrightarrow 00.58:32.038$ and here on our right with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:58:32.038 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.734$ patient holding her breath the hardest

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00{:}58{:}33.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}35.492$ pulled completely out of the mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:58:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:58:37.607$ but sometimes you may have had treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:58:37.607 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.910$ with me and we did the breath hold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:58:39.910 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.326$ but I in the end I ended up

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:58:41.326 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.350$ treating you with the free.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:58:42.350 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.090$ Breathing thing,

 $00:58:43.090 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.940$ or perhaps with your with

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00{:}58{:}44.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}46.050$ another radiation oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:58:46.050 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.550$ And that's because sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00:58:47.550 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.050$ it's really not needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00.58.49.050 \longrightarrow 00.58.50.730$ The heart is sometimes nicely

NOTE Confidence: 0.89433801125

 $00.58:50.730 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.410$ out of the field even

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

 $00.58:52.484 \longrightarrow 00.58:53.531$ without the DIBH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

 $00{:}58{:}53.531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}55.778$ and it does allow a shorter treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

 $00{:}58{:}55.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}58.161$ time on the treatment table if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

 $00:58:58.161 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.530$ are treating with the free breathing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

 $00:59:00.530 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.826$ So, so that's kind of the basics

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

 $00{:}59{:}02.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}04.911$ about radiation and where we've come

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

 $00{:}59{:}04.911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}07.333$ and sort of the most modern techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

 $00:59:07.405 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.547$ to treat the breast and the nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

 $00:59:09.550 \longrightarrow 00:59:10.800$ Try to keeping the event,

 $00:59:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.098$ the doses even as possible and

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

00:59:13.098 --> 00:59:15.617 sparing the lung and heart as most

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

00:59:15.617 --> 00:59:18.668 as pop as most as we possibly can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

 $00:59:18.670 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.918$ Umso D escalation of treatment for breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

00:59:21.918 --> 00:59:24.349 cancer is interesting in radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

00:59:24.350 --> 00:59:27.605 because of course it's related to toxicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

 $00:59:27.610 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.254$ but it's also related to how

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

 $00:59:29.254 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.889$ many treatments you have to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

 $00{:}59{:}30.889 \to 00{:}59{:}32.403$ for and people might say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

 $00:59:32.403 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.454$ how is that D escalation of treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9431582383333333

 $00:59:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.570$ Well, you think about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

00:59:35.570 --> 00:59:38.224 it's less time away from a patient's family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

00:59:38.224 --> 00:59:39.370 their personal life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

00:59:39.370 --> 00:59:42.386 less time off from work and people can

NOTE Confidence: 0.943158238333333

00:59:42.386 --> 00:59:44.860 have financial toxicity from their way

NOTE Confidence: 0.96076769

 $00:59:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.578$ from their cancer treatment.

 $00:59:46.910 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.395$ And so if we can help patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $00:59:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.152$ Finish their treatments and get back

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $00:59:51.152 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.591$ to work or get back to doing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

00:59:53.591 --> 00:59:55.409 things that they enjoy more quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $00:59:55.472 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.188$ without compromising outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $00:59:57.190 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.290$ That sounds like the best plan

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $00:59:59.290 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.586$ so conventional whole breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:01.586 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.030$ irradiation was five to six weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:05.030 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.596$ so five weeks to the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:06.596 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.060$ breast and then a boost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:08.060 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.968$ which is an additional treatment to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01{:}00{:}09.968 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}12.457$ where the tumor was in 5 to 8 fractions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01{:}00{:}12.460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}14.040$ So people were getting treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:14.040 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.620$ for five to six weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:15.620 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.140$ even if they had an early stage cancer.

 $01:00:18.140 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.024$ Just following them back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:00:20.024 --> 01:00:23.768 To me, however, now there's three

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:00:23.768 --> 01:00:25.760 well done randomized trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:25.760 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.958$ all with 12 years of median fault.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:27.960 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.580$ Meaning half of the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:29.580 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.200$ have been followed for longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:31.261 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.271$ that support a shorter course of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:33.271 \longrightarrow 01:00:35.028$ treatments for patients who receive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:00:35.028 --> 01:00:36.798 radiation to the breast alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:36.800 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.592$ and there's a 16 fraction regimen to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:00:40.592 --> 01:00:42.799 breast that would use a four fraction boost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:42.800 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.180$ or 15 fraction regimen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:44.180 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.560$ That's what we use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:00:45.560 --> 01:00:47.558 So most of our patients now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:00:47.560 --> 01:00:49.296 if the nodes don't need to be treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:49.300 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.114$ are getting.

01:00:50.114 --> 01:00:52.556 Treated in 15 or 19 treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:52.560 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.732$ so three or four weeks as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:54.732 \longrightarrow 01:00:57.310$ opposed to the five to six weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:00:57.310 --> 01:00:57.941 Uhm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:00:57.941 \longrightarrow 01:01:01.727$ others other trials have found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:01:01.727 --> 01:01:04.950 there's decreased the large tiles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:01:04.950 --> 01:01:07.434 found no statistical difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01{:}01{:}07.434 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}10.354$ between outcomes and no statistical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:01:10.354 --> 01:01:13.144 difference between the side effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:01:13.150 --> 01:01:14.562 so they were unchanged,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:01:14.562 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.680$ although there were some quality of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:01:16.741 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.636$ life surveys that went along with it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01{:}01{:}18.636 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}20.699$ that did find improved quality of life

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01{:}01{:}20.699 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}22.505$ for patients with the shorter course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:01:22.510 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.216$ But some non randomized and smaller

 $01:01:25.216 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.740$ trials have found decreased toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:01:27.740 --> 01:01:28.222 Obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:01:28.222 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.150$ the decreased healthcare costs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:01:30.150 \longrightarrow 01:01:32.078$ and improved patient convenience

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:01:32.078 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.790$ with the shorter course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:01:33.790 --> 01:01:34.105 Uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:01:34.105 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.310$ so I talked about how at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:01:36.310 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.385$ were doing the the 15 fractions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:01:38.385 --> 01:01:40.443 to the whole breast plus or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:01:40.522 --> 01:01:42.667 minus the four boost patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:01:42.670 --> 01:01:44.960 and this is typically without

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:01:44.960 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.334$ additional nodal fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:01:46.340 --> 01:01:48.867 And when when the breast is intact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:01:48.870 --> 01:01:49.983 that being said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:01:49.983 --> 01:01:52.930 there are some ongoing studies to say hey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:01:52.930 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.390$ is this safe to do?

 $01:01:54.390 \longrightarrow 01:01:56.750$ If a patient had mastectomy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01{:}01{:}56.750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}59.270$ If you need to treat the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:01:59.270 --> 01:02:01.649 nodes and they're all looking very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:02:01.649 \longrightarrow 01:02:04.097$ promising and in very select cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:02:04.100 --> 01:02:05.240 Following mastectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:02:05.240 \longrightarrow 01:02:08.660$ I've started using the Hypo frac.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:02:08.660 --> 01:02:10.680 The shorter course of treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:02:10.680 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.048$ the three to four weeks rather than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:02:13.048 \longrightarrow 01:02:16.020$ five to six in the setting of trading.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:02:16.020 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.790$ The nodes or following mastectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:02:19.790 --> 01:02:21.750 I talked about a boost so I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:02:21.750 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.281$ wanted to put this on briefly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:02:23.281 --> 01:02:25.144 to show you a boost is typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:02:25.144 \longrightarrow 01:02:26.804$ four or five extra fractions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:02:26.804 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.271$ to where the tumor was a man.

 $01:02:29.271 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.910$ They are after the whole breast treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:02:31.910 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.325$ They're sort of like the bonus they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:02:34.325 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.640$ the boost has been shown in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:02:36.640 --> 01:02:39.100 setting of lumpectomy to be helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:02:39.100 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.939$ for patients of all ages from under

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:02:41.940 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.856$ 45 to go up to the into the 80s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:02:44.860 --> 01:02:47.940 Really, however, the UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:02:47.940 \longrightarrow 01:02:50.190$ their update on the boost trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:02:50.190 \longrightarrow 01:02:51.807$ They were mostly done in the 90s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:02:51.810 \longrightarrow 01:02:53.567$ There is the boost and no boost

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

01:02:53.567 --> 01:02:54.990 trial and they'll be on trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:02:54.990 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.700$ They've been so those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9367946

 $01:02:56.700 \longrightarrow 01:02:58.410$ have a lot of median

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:02:58.482 \longrightarrow 01:03:01.137$ follow up now and they did publish a 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:03:01.137 --> 01:03:03.557 year update and they've said that found

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:03:03.557 \longrightarrow 01:03:05.776$ that it was most beneficial for while.

01:03:05.776 --> 01:03:08.050 It did still benefit patients of all ages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:03:08.050 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.865$ it was most significant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:03:09.865 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.180$ benefit women age 60 and under.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:03:12.180 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.236$ I do tend to offer the boost for

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:03:14.236 \longrightarrow 01:03:16.487$ pay if we're doing the modern

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:03:16.487 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.567$ hypofractionation to offer the boost

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:03:18.567 \longrightarrow 01:03:21.476$ for both patients under 70, we know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:03:21.476 --> 01:03:25.230 70s The new 60 but I do talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:03:25.230 \longrightarrow 01:03:28.095$ it with people that I've as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:03:28.095 \longrightarrow 01:03:30.603$ option and what are their goals?

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:03:30.610 --> 01:03:32.938 So now we're getting even shorter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:03:32.940 --> 01:03:34.608 Ultra Hypo fractionation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01{:}03{:}34.608 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}38.500$ We have the fast regimen which looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:03:38.592 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.327$ at these five fraction courses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:03:41.330 --> 01:03:42.940 They actually compare them back

 $01:03:42.940 \longrightarrow 01:03:45.570$ to the older five week course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:03:45.570 --> 01:03:47.550 The 25 fraction courses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:03:47.550 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.776$ which just makes sense that 25 fraction

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:03:49.776 \longrightarrow 01:03:51.790$ court has decades of data behind it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:03:51.790 \longrightarrow 01:03:54.654$ so they were really comparing it to those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:03:54.660 --> 01:03:58.020 Gold standard and these were once a week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:03:58.020 \longrightarrow 01:04:00.028$ so once a week for five weeks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:04:00.028 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.183$ at 10 years and we liked this

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:04:02.183 \longrightarrow 01:04:04.220$ ten years in a randomized trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:04:04.220 --> 01:04:06.680 Which is the best type of trial to look for?

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:04:06.680 \longrightarrow 01:04:07.418$ Good evidence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:04:07.418 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.263$ There was no significant difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:04:09.263 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.844$ in normal tissue effects and outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:04:11.844 \longrightarrow 01:04:13.380$ Local regional recurrence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:04:13.380 --> 01:04:14.414 distant recurrence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:04:14.414 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.999$ overall survival were the same,

 $01:04:17.000 \longrightarrow 01:04:18.356$ so the NCCN,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:04:18.356 --> 01:04:20.616 which is a National Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:04:20.616 --> 01:04:21.520 Consortium network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:04:21.520 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.030$ has has updated their recommendations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:04:25.030 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.830$ To say that we can use the fast regimen or

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:04:27.900 --> 01:04:30.564 consider it at least for people over 50,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:04:30.570 \longrightarrow 01:04:33.242$ although I tend to be a little over

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:04:33.242 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.020$ 60 with early stage breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:04:36.020 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.204$ meaning they have a DCIS or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:04:38.204 \longrightarrow 01:04:39.905$ smaller tumor and negative nodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01{:}04{:}39.905 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}41.993$ who do not require a boost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:04:42.000 \longrightarrow 01:04:45.310$ That is why I am I try to have my

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01{:}04{:}45.419 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}49.136$ patience that we consider this for be

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:04:49.140 \longrightarrow 01:04:51.450$ over 60 because remember the boost was

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:04:51.450 \longrightarrow 01:04:53.099$ really beneficial for everybody that

 $01:04:53.099 \longrightarrow 01:04:55.192$ was under 60 and there's no boost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:04:55.200 --> 01:04:58.268 Component to this fast regimen we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:04:58.268 \longrightarrow 01:05:00.660$ not started using a Fast forward at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:05:00.660 \longrightarrow 01:05:02.935$ They are using it at some institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:05:02.940 --> 01:05:04.648 I know MD Anderson is using it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:05:04.650 --> 01:05:05.392 for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:05:05.392 \longrightarrow 01:05:07.989$ where those five treatments rather than once

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:05:07.989 --> 01:05:10.839 a week are just given Monday through Friday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:05:10.839 --> 01:05:14.610 But we're not quite yet ready for that here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:05:14.610 \longrightarrow 01:05:17.004$ We like to see a little MD Anderson said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:05:17.010 --> 01:05:18.694 Awesome place, believe me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:05:18.694 --> 01:05:21.220 but we would feel more comfortable

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:05:21.289 \longrightarrow 01:05:23.359$ with a little bit longer data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:05:23.360 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.128$ As you can see on my slide here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:05:25.130 \longrightarrow 01:05:26.750$ there's just five years of data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:05:26.750 \longrightarrow 01:05:28.490$ Rather than attend that we

 $01:05:28.490 \longrightarrow 01:05:30.570$ have for the fast regimen and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:05:30.570 \longrightarrow 01:05:33.069$ And so we'd like to wait a

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:05:33.069 \longrightarrow 01:05:34.879$ little bit longer on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:05:34.880 \longrightarrow 01:05:37.295$ And the fast is used for selected

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:05:37.295 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.962$ patients at Yale, the once a week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:05:39.962 \longrightarrow 01:05:42.002$ Not the Fast forward yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:05:42.010 --> 01:05:44.824 Uhm, but what about omitting the radiation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:05:44.830 \longrightarrow 01:05:46.552$ Maybe some people don't even need

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:05:46.552 \longrightarrow 01:05:49.185$ the radiation, and that's true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:05:49.185 \longrightarrow 01:05:52.306$ This CL GB 9343 is often quoted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

01:05:52.310 --> 01:05:54.806 and if patients make this criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:05:54.810 \longrightarrow 01:05:57.386$ there are 70 or greater their tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01{:}05{:}57.390 --> 01{:}05{:}58.762\ 2\ centimeters\ or\ less,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:05:58.762 \longrightarrow 01:06:00.477$ they have an estrogen receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:06:00.477 \longrightarrow 01:06:01.270$ positive cancer.

 $01:06:01.270 \longrightarrow 01:06:03.790$ Their node native on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.929905693333333

 $01:06:03.790 \longrightarrow 01:06:04.798$ surgical procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:06:04.800 --> 01:06:07.392 or if they did not have any nodal surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:06:07.392 \longrightarrow 01:06:10.174$ on the exam and imaging and the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:06:10.180 \longrightarrow 01:06:12.154$ This is starred because the patient needs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:06:12.160 \longrightarrow 01:06:14.095$ Be willing and able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:06:14.095 \longrightarrow 01:06:15.256$ take endocrine therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01{:}06{:}15.260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}17.440$ The pill that doctor Kanowitz

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:06:17.440 \longrightarrow 01:06:19.184$ discussed for five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:06:19.190 --> 01:06:21.446 We really not quite there yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01{:}06{:}21.450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}24.820$ especially with no Angevin treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:06:24.820 --> 01:06:27.320 After a lumpectomy for surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:06:27.320 \longrightarrow 01:06:30.080$ we do like to see some sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:06:30.080 --> 01:06:31.320 additional insurance policy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:06:31.320 --> 01:06:32.382 whether it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:06:32.382 \longrightarrow 01:06:35.514$ Radiation, or the pill and the other

 $01:06:35.514 \longrightarrow 01:06:37.410$ reason is too is that all patients on

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:06:37.466 \longrightarrow 01:06:39.689$ this trial did take the pill for five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:06:39.690 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.210$ So at 10 years the local regional

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:06:42.210 \longrightarrow 01:06:44.530$ recurrence was super low with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:06:44.530 --> 01:06:46.485 tamoxifen only the endocrine pill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:06:46.490 \longrightarrow 01:06:49.034$ only it was 10%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:06:49.034 --> 01:06:51.130 A local regional recurrence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:06:51.130 \longrightarrow 01:06:54.142$ so 90% of patients basically not

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:06:54.142 --> 01:06:56.150 experiencing a local regional

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01{:}06{:}56.235 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}58.942$ recurrence are 98% of patients in

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:06:58.942 \longrightarrow 01:07:00.907$ the radiation plus tamoxifen arm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:07:00.910 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.590$ She might say, well, hey, it doesn't that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:07:03.590 \dashrightarrow 01:07:07.689$ Eight 8%. Make me have beating and it does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01{:}07{:}07.690 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}08.894$ It was statistically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:07:08.894 \longrightarrow 01:07:11.245$ It does mean if you skip the radiation

 $01:07:11.245 \longrightarrow 01:07:12.940$ of 8% greater chance of having the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:07:12.940 \longrightarrow 01:07:14.140$ cancer returned in the breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059 01:07:14.140 --> 01:07:14.764 But frankly, NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:07:14.764 \longrightarrow 01:07:17.260$ a 90% chance of going 10 years without

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:07:17.327 --> 01:07:19.307 getting the breast cancer back in

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:07:19.307 \longrightarrow 01:07:21.309$ the breast is pretty good odds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:07:21.310 --> 01:07:22.828 But here's the real reason why

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:07:22.828 \longrightarrow 01:07:24.669$ it's OK to omit the radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:07:24.670 \longrightarrow 01:07:26.380$ It's because there was no difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:07:26.380 --> 01:07:28.480 in the breast cancer specific survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01{:}07{:}28.480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}30.706$ which was excellent on both arms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:07:30.710 --> 01:07:32.840 No difference in overall survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:07:32.840 \longrightarrow 01:07:34.576$ which was the same in many patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:07:34.576 \longrightarrow 01:07:35.700$ in this age group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:07:35.700 --> 01:07:37.620 Ended up dying of something else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:07:37.620 \longrightarrow 01:07:40.161$ No difference in time to metastasis or

 $01:07:40.161 \longrightarrow 01:07:42.530$ the development of distant metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:07:42.530 \longrightarrow 01:07:45.225$ So the 10 year probability of freedom

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:07:45.225 \longrightarrow 01:07:47.672$ from metastasis was 95% in both arms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:07:47.672 --> 01:07:49.610 so the radiation didn't do anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:07:49.673 \longrightarrow 01:07:51.377$ to improve overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059 01:07:51.380 --> 01:07:52.092 For this. NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01{:}07{:}52.092 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}54.228$ For these patients that meet this

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01{:}07{:}54.228 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}56.274$ criteria to prove overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01{:}07{:}56.274 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}58.800$ breast cancer specific survival or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01{:}07{:}58.872 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}01.307$ risk for cancer developing elsewhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:08:01.310 \longrightarrow 01:08:03.622$ We may see in the next few years

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:08:03.622 \longrightarrow 01:08:05.724$ that we're opening up this idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01{:}08{:}05.724 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}07.902$ of not having the radiation after

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01{:}08{:}07.975 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}09.859$ lumpectomy to more patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:08:09.860 \longrightarrow 01:08:12.725$ The prime two trial enrolled

 $01:08:12.725 \longrightarrow 01:08:14.425$ women 65 and older.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:08:14.425 --> 01:08:16.675 Although the number of patients aged

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:08:16.675 \longrightarrow 01:08:19.523$ 65 and 66 was quite low on the trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:08:19.530 --> 01:08:21.567 But 60, but still the upper 60s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:08:21.570 --> 01:08:22.900 Now it's opening for them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:08:22.900 \longrightarrow 01:08:27.184$ and it did allow some larger tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:08:27.190 \longrightarrow 01:08:29.066$ Up to three centimeters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:08:29.066 \longrightarrow 01:08:32.516$ They did have their 10 year update

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01{:}08{:}32.516 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}34.878$ recently at I believe it was at Astro

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:08:34.878 \longrightarrow 01:08:37.110$ last year or San Antonio Breast conference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059 01:08:37.110 --> 01:08:37.894 I forget, NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:08:37.894 --> 01:08:40.638 but the they have not published the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:08:40.638 \longrightarrow 01:08:43.639$ peer reviewed paper yet and we like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01{:}08{:}43.639 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}46.916$ wait for the paper rather than just the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:08:46.920 \longrightarrow 01:08:50.188$ Presentation at the conference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:08:50.190 \longrightarrow 01:08:52.760$ Doctor Berger talked about omitting

01:08:52.760 --> 01:08:55.330 radiation in ductal carcinoma insight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:08:55.330 \longrightarrow 01:08:58.186$ Two, so we are at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01{:}08{:}58.190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}00.409$ We have the comic trial that's open.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:09:00.410 --> 01:09:02.522 Our principal investigators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:09:02.522 --> 01:09:03.930 Dr Golshan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:09:03.930 --> 01:09:06.478 but it is looking now at withholding

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01:09:06.478 \longrightarrow 01:09:09.327$ even surgery in grade one and grade two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

 $01{:}09{:}09.330 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}10.662$ Ductal carcinoma insight do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:09:10.662 --> 01:09:11.328 And therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:09:11.330 --> 01:09:12.770 if these patients don't have surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904798997647059

01:09:12.770 --> 01:09:14.989 they're not going to be having radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

 $01:09:14.990 \longrightarrow 01:09:16.934$ Lord is the same trial open

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

 $01:09:16.934 \longrightarrow 01:09:18.865$ over in Europe and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

 $01:09:18.865 \longrightarrow 01:09:21.117$ actually 4 international trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

 $01:09:21.120 \longrightarrow 01:09:22.510$ Comet Lord and two others

 $01:09:22.510 \longrightarrow 01:09:23.900$ whose names are escaping me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

 $01:09:23.900 \dashrightarrow 01:09:25.895$ but I do know that the principal

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

01:09:25.895 --> 01:09:28.050 investigators on all of them meet regularly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

01:09:28.050 --> 01:09:29.499 and they're going to share their data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

 $01:09:29.500 \longrightarrow 01:09:31.845$ which is going to give us a

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

 $01:09:31.845 \longrightarrow 01:09:33.950$ lot of great information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

 $01:09:33.950 \longrightarrow 01:09:36.092$ And so we're really looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

 $01{:}09{:}36.092 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}37.985$ can screen detected low risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

 $01{:}09{:}37.985 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}39.970$ DCIS that's found on mammogram?

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

01:09:39.970 --> 01:09:42.280 No symptoms with presentation like no

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

 $01:09:42.280 \longrightarrow 01:09:44.010$ bloody ***** discharge or anything and

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

 $01:09:44.010 \longrightarrow 01:09:46.370$ if it has to be grade one or grade two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

 $01:09:46.370 \longrightarrow 01:09:49.737$ can it be managed successfully with just

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

01:09:49.737 --> 01:09:52.090 active surveillance and no surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

 $01:09:52.090 \longrightarrow 01:09:54.802$ Uhm, that's the end of my talk and

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

 $01:09:54.802 \longrightarrow 01:09:57.418$ I'm happy to answer any questions.

 $01:09:57.420 \longrightarrow 01:10:00.021$ And I'm sorry that we went over from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

 $01:10:00.021 \longrightarrow 01:10:02.840$ 8:00 o'clock brave that was wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890885084

 $01:10:02.840 \longrightarrow 01:10:03.410$ Thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8906403575

 $01:10:03.500 \longrightarrow 01:10:06.708$ There are a bunch of questions for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8906403575

 $01:10:06.710 \longrightarrow 01:10:09.190$ The first question is about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8906403575

 $01:10:09.190 \longrightarrow 01:10:12.280$ having a lumpectomy back in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8906403575

01:10:12.280 --> 01:10:15.480 2009 with mammosite radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8906403575

01:10:15.480 --> 01:10:18.294 And Mammosite isn't currently used as much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8906403575

 $01:10:18.300 \longrightarrow 01:10:20.904$ and the long, long term safety

NOTE Confidence: 0.8906403575

 $01{:}10{:}20.904 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}22.970$ of mammosite still good is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8906403575

 $01:10:22.970 \longrightarrow 01:10:23.930$ The date is still good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8906403575

 $01:10:23.930 \longrightarrow 01:10:25.916$ And why isn't mammosite used anymore?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

01:10:26.270 --> 01:10:29.260 OK, that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:10:29.260 \longrightarrow 01:10:31.690$ Well, so one of the reasons that we were the

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

01:10:31.745 --> 01:10:34.007 mammoth site is perfectly fine treatment,

 $01:10:34.010 \longrightarrow 01:10:36.866$ especially for well chosen patients in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01{:}10{:}36.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}38.815$ The suitable category for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:10:38.815 \longrightarrow 01:10:40.760$ what we call partial breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

01:10:40.760 --> 01:10:43.088 where we treat just where the tumor was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:10:43.090 \longrightarrow 01:10:45.496$ And that's patience with the lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:10:45.496 \longrightarrow 01:10:48.089$ grade small or earlier stage cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

01:10:48.090 --> 01:10:49.955 You know, no nodal involvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:10:49.955 \longrightarrow 01:10:51.447$ and actually the outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:10:51.450 \longrightarrow 01:10:54.432$ as far as recurrence and control

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:10:54.432 \longrightarrow 01:10:57.979$ of the cancer they have done well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

01:10:57.980 --> 01:10:59.288 So why do we stop them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

01:10:59.290 --> 01:10:59.568 Amosite?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:10:59.568 \longrightarrow 01:11:02.070$ Well, it is a bit of an invasive procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

01:11:02.070 --> 01:11:03.406 For those of you who don't know it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:11:03.410 \longrightarrow 01:11:05.274$ it has a device.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:11:05.274 \longrightarrow 01:11:08.540$ A balloon device that sits within the.

 $01:11:08.540 \longrightarrow 01:11:11.364$ Tumor bed and you have a lumen that

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

01:11:11.364 --> 01:11:14.096 escapes from the body and it's so it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

01:11:14.096 --> 01:11:16.551 so nor attached in and the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:11:16.551 \longrightarrow 01:11:19.078$ has that device in her breast for

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:11:19.080 \longrightarrow 01:11:21.621$ a week while she gets twice daily

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:11:21.621 \longrightarrow 01:11:23.427$ radiation therapy for Monday through

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

01:11:23.427 --> 01:11:26.011 Friday or for five days so well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:11:26.086 \longrightarrow 01:11:28.726$ convenient that it was done with a week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

01:11:28.730 --> 01:11:30.458 But it does have that invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:11:30.458 \longrightarrow 01:11:31.935$ component of having a foreign

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

01:11:31.935 --> 01:11:33.549 body in the in the breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:11:33.550 \longrightarrow 01:11:35.937$ which can be uncomfortable for some people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01{:}11{:}35.940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}38.887$ but the what was really the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:11:38.890 \longrightarrow 01:11:41.440$ Was that the cosmetic outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:11:41.440 \longrightarrow 01:11:43.990$ was not so hot patients.

01:11:43.990 --> 01:11:45.850 Some trials have not shown this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:11:45.850 \longrightarrow 01:11:48.892$ but others have shown that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:11:48.892 \longrightarrow 01:11:51.305$ is more fibrosis in the breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:11:51.305 \longrightarrow 01:11:53.075$ meaning firm tissue after the treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:11:53.080 \longrightarrow 01:11:56.105$ Sometimes that can be uncomfortable

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:11:56.105 \longrightarrow 01:11:57.315$ for patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:11:57.320 \longrightarrow 01:11:59.170$ The appearance of the breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:11:59.170 \longrightarrow 01:12:02.370$ was not as good and now that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01{:}12{:}02.370 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}04.490$ have are embracing these shorter

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:12:04.490 \longrightarrow 01:12:07.189$ courses of treatment for patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

01:12:07.190 --> 01:12:09.864 That don't would they don't require having

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:12:09.864 \longrightarrow 01:12:11.928$ a something invasive in the breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:12:11.930 \longrightarrow 01:12:13.670$ We stopped offering the mammoth site

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:12:13.670 \longrightarrow 01:12:16.032$ at at Yale because of that because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

01:12:16.032 --> 01:12:17.742 were able to offer patients shorter

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:12:17.801 \longrightarrow 01:12:19.966$ course treatments without requiring that.

 $01:12:19.970 \longrightarrow 01:12:22.958$ But as far as cancer recurrence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:12:22.960 \longrightarrow 01:12:24.868$ the data is still excellent for

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

01:12:24.868 --> 01:12:25.822 well chosen patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:12:25.830 \longrightarrow 01:12:26.926$ and I think I did see that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:12:26.926 \longrightarrow 01:12:27.889$ had a doctor carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01{:}12{:}27.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}30.634$ Insight two in the in the questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:12:30.640 \longrightarrow 01:12:32.826$ and so I would not. That should not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:12:32.826 \longrightarrow 01:12:34.170$ That is not why we stopped it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:12:34.170 \longrightarrow 01:12:35.952$ We did not stop it because

NOTE Confidence: 0.827645566

 $01:12:35.952 \longrightarrow 01:12:37.140$ of concerns about recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92780501555556

 $01:12:37.750 \longrightarrow 01:12:39.615$ OK, and then there's another

NOTE Confidence: 0.92780501555556

01:12:39.615 --> 01:12:41.107 question about side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927805015555556

 $01{:}12{:}41.110 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}45.090$ First, what are the side effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.92780501555556

 $01:12:45.090 \longrightarrow 01:12:47.365$ associated with the fast treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.92780501555556

 $01:12:47.365 \longrightarrow 01:12:50.856$ and is how does it compare to regular

01:12:50.856 --> 01:12:53.609 radiation and then Part B is with?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92780501555556

01:12:53.610 --> 01:12:55.248 How can you avoid the fight?

NOTE Confidence: 0.927805015555556

01:12:55.250 --> 01:12:58.148 This side effect of a radiation burn?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92780501555556

 $01:12:58.150 \longrightarrow 01:12:59.370$ You know these significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.92780501555556

 $01:12:59.370 \longrightarrow 01:13:00.895$ changes to the soft tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:13:02.080 \longrightarrow 01:13:05.347$ so it is true that the shorter course is

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:13:05.347 \longrightarrow 01:13:08.765$ the three to four weeks while it wasn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:13:08.770 \longrightarrow 01:13:11.002$ On those larger trials, showing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

01:13:11.002 --> 01:13:13.090 significant decrease in skin toxicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:13:13.090 \longrightarrow 01:13:14.656$ smaller trials have shown have shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01{:}13{:}14.656 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}16.287$ that there is less toxicity with

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

01:13:16.287 --> 01:13:17.907 the shorter course going from three

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:13:17.907 \longrightarrow 01:13:19.777$ to four weeks from the five to six,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:13:19.780 \longrightarrow 01:13:22.294$ and subsequently as well the fast

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:13:22.294 \longrightarrow 01:13:25.650$ to the once a week for five weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:13:25.650 \longrightarrow 01:13:28.110$ The data showed no significant difference.

01:13:28.110 --> 01:13:30.960 However, I will the patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:13:30.960 \longrightarrow 01:13:34.367$ we observe they do tend to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:13:34.367 \longrightarrow 01:13:36.247$ less side effects than.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

01:13:36.250 --> 01:13:37.490 Than acute side effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:13:37.490 \longrightarrow 01:13:39.350$ IE skin reaction then people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

01:13:39.408 --> 01:13:41.334 are undergoing the longer course of

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:13:41.334 \longrightarrow 01:13:43.475$ treatment just from what I see them

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01{:}13{:}43.475 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}45.077$ during their weekly skin checks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:13:45.077 \longrightarrow 01:13:46.666$ see them for their follow up with me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:13:46.670 \longrightarrow 01:13:48.360$ You know, two months afterwards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:13:48.360 \longrightarrow 01:13:49.686$ I know we're not supposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

01:13:49.686 --> 01:13:50.830 use what observation ULL data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

01:13:50.830 --> 01:13:51.954 but we've seen it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:13:51.954 \longrightarrow 01:13:54.634$ but so I would say that that we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

01:13:54.634 --> 01:13:56.950 seeing less less skin toxicity with

 $01:13:56.950 \longrightarrow 01:13:59.500$ the shorter courses and so with

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:13:59.586 \longrightarrow 01:14:02.112$ the fast having the least amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:14:02.112 \longrightarrow 01:14:04.859$ of skin toxicity to prevent it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:14:04.859 \longrightarrow 01:14:06.338$ Well, we prescribe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:14:06.340 \longrightarrow 01:14:08.700$ A steroid cream called mometasone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:14:08.700 \longrightarrow 01:14:10.020$ There's nothing to prevent it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01{:}14{:}10.020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}12.975$ Everybody gets some skin irritation

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:14:12.975 \longrightarrow 01:14:14.748$ to some degree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

01:14:14.750 --> 01:14:16.955 What we can do in the planning and limit

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

01:14:16.955 --> 01:14:18.806 those hotspots as much as possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01{:}14{:}18.810 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}20.434$ which we talked about a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:14:20.440 \longrightarrow 01:14:22.546$ but then we do prescribe a

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01{:}14{:}22.546 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}23.950$ steroid cream called mometasone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:14:23.950 \longrightarrow 01:14:26.148$ There was a well done randomized trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

01:14:26.148 --> 01:14:28.070 looking at patients using mometasone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:14:28.070 \longrightarrow 01:14:30.422$ This steroid cream once a day Monday

 $01:14:30.422 \longrightarrow 01:14:32.502$ through Friday and the weekends for one

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:14:32.502 \longrightarrow 01:14:35.109$ and up to one to two weeks afterwards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

01:14:35.110 --> 01:14:37.000 It actually had no negative side

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:14:37.000 \longrightarrow 01:14:38.979$ effects on the trial that showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:14:38.980 \longrightarrow 01:14:41.190$ reduction in acute skin toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

01:14:41.190 --> 01:14:42.534 It doesn't mean it made it zero,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:14:42.540 \longrightarrow 01:14:43.928$ it was just reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

01:14:43.928 --> 01:14:45.548 But you know, everyone's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

01:14:45.548 --> 01:14:48.438 Different, their skin is different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:14:48.440 \longrightarrow 01:14:50.498$ But we we also provide a

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

01:14:50.498 --> 01:14:51.870 high quality moisture lotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:14:51.870 \longrightarrow 01:14:53.196$ You don't have to use ours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01{:}14{:}53.200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}55.468$ we just like stuff that doesn't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:14:55.468 \longrightarrow 01:14:59.015$ a lot of scent or is it heavy on

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:14:59.015 \longrightarrow 01:15:00.683$ the antioxidants during treatment?

 $01:15:00.690 \longrightarrow 01:15:03.720$ Uhm and then drinking water and

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:15:03.720 \longrightarrow 01:15:06.258$ eating protein and allowing yourself

NOTE Confidence: 0.835214823529412

 $01:15:06.258 \longrightarrow 01:15:09.040$ to rest and giving it time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894014022727273

 $01:15:12.630 \longrightarrow 01:15:14.286$ Great thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894014022727273

 $01:15:14.286 \longrightarrow 01:15:16.494$ Any other comments from

NOTE Confidence: 0.894014022727273

01:15:16.494 --> 01:15:21.090 anybody on the panel? Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.894014022727273

 $01:15:21.090 \longrightarrow 01:15:22.329$ Doctor Berger's answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.894014022727273

 $01:15:22.329 \longrightarrow 01:15:23.568$ on active surveillance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894014022727273

 $01:15:23.570 \longrightarrow 01:15:26.447$ which is also a hot topic too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804425374285714

 $01:15:27.330 \longrightarrow 01:15:29.472$ I don't think it went to Barbara, so I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.804425374285714

 $01:15:29.472 \longrightarrow 01:15:32.190$ send it to the person who asked, sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920675645

01:15:33.910 --> 01:15:36.276 Alright, well thank you so much and

NOTE Confidence: 0.920675645

01:15:36.276 --> 01:15:38.566 thank you for everyone who joined

NOTE Confidence: 0.920675645

 $01{:}15{:}38.566 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}40.966$ us to night for this really great

NOTE Confidence: 0.920675645

 $01:15:40.966 \longrightarrow 01:15:43.557$ forum and we're just so excited to

NOTE Confidence: 0.920675645

 $01:15:43.557 \longrightarrow 01:15:45.316$ have such talented and dedicated

 $01:15:45.316 \longrightarrow 01:15:47.738$ physicians in all of our care centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920675645

 $01{:}15{:}47.740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}50.596$ So thank you again for all of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.920675645

 $01{:}15{:}50.596 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}53.300$ leadership and have a good night. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944942965

 $01:15:54.410 \longrightarrow 01:15:55.420$ Thanks everyone.