WEBVTT

- 1 00:00:00.380 --> 00:00:04.020 <v ->Which is hosted by the Yale Center on Continent Health.</v>
- $2\ 00:00:04.020$ --> 00:00:09.020 So today we have a hybrid seminar due to the COVID pandemic,
- $3\ 00:00:10.790 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.790$ so we have the students joining us in person,
- $4\ 00:00:16.070 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.985$ but also for the students who could not join us,
- $5\ 00:00:18.985 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.818$ they can also join us online (indistinct).
- 6 00:00:26.000 --> 00:00:27.720 But before we move on,
- 7 00:00:27.720 --> 00:00:32.720 I just want to have two quick kind of housekeeping rules.
- $8~00:00:32.952 \dashrightarrow 00:00:36.290$ So, you guys have submitted questions to our speakers.
- 9 00:00:36.290 --> 00:00:38.880 So at the end, we will have a Q& A session,
- 10 00:00:38.880 --> 00:00:41.420 so you guys feel free to ask your question,
- $11\ 00:00:41.420 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.397$ raise your hand so the speaker
- 12 00:00:43.397 --> 00:00:45.740 can actually hear you quite clearly.
- $13\ 00:00:45.740 \dashrightarrow 00:00:49.840$ And for the folks online, if you have any questions,
- $14\ 00:00:49.840 --> 00:00:54.500$ also please don't he sitate put them in the chat box
- $15~00:00:54.500 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.216$ And we will also go through those questions
- $16\ 00:00:57.216 --> 00:00:59.710$ on behalf of the attendants.
- 17 00:00:59.710 --> 00:01:04.710 So, it's my great pleasure today to introduce
- 18 00:01:05.210 --> 00:01:08.140 our first speaker of the seminar series,
- $19\ 00:01:08.140 --> 00:01:10.950$ Dr. Benjamin Zaitchik.
- $20~00:01:10.950 \dashrightarrow 00:01:14.340$ Dr. Zaitchik is a Professor in the Department of Earth
- $21\ 00:01:14.340 --> 00:01:18.190$ and Planetary Sciences at the Johns Hopkins University.
- 22 00:01:18.190 --> 00:01:21.700 His research addresses hydro-climatic variety,
- $23\ 00{:}01{:}21.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.052$ including fundamental work on atmospheric science
- 24 00:01:25.052 --> 00:01:27.270 and hydrological processes,

- $25\ 00:01:27.270 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.460$ and application to program on water resources,
- $26\ 00:01:30.460 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.597$ agriculture and human health.
- 27 00:01:33.721 --> 00:01:37.960 Dr. Zaitchik is actually also the President
- 28 00:01:37.960 --> 00:01:40.130 of the Two House Session
- $29\ 00:01:40.130$ --> 00:01:44.227 of the American Geophysical Union, in short AGU.
- $30\ 00:01:45.111 --> 00:01:47.707$ So another thing he want to mention
- $31\ 00:01:47.707 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.707$ is Ben actually got his PhD from here in 2006,
- $32\ 00:01:53.133 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.650$ from the Department of Geology and Geophysics.
- $33\ 00:01:57.650 --> 00:02:01.710$ So we are very pleased to welcome back Ben
- 34 00:02:02.560 --> 00:02:04.710 at Yale, although virtually.
- $35\ 00:02:04.710 --> 00:02:09.373$ So without further ado, let's welcome Dr. Benjamin Zaitchik.
- 37 00:02:13.420 --> 00:02:16.180 And thank you for the opportunity to speak.
- 38 00:02:16.180 --> 00:02:17.680 You know, I have to admit,
- $39\ 00:02:17.680 -> 00:02:19.830$ I've somewhat enjoyed this remote world
- $40~00:02:19.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.360$ and our ability to talk and interact at a distance,
- $41\ 00{:}02{:}22.360 {\: -->\:} 00{:}02{:}24.940$ but I was a little disappointed when I'm not able
- 42 00:02:24.940 --> 00:02:26.790 to be up there in Newhaven right now,
- $43\ 00:02:27.630 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.450$ because it would've been fun to come back.
- 44 00:02:29.450 --> 00:02:31.670 As Kai mentioned, I did do my PhD there,
- $45\ 00:02:31.670 --> 00:02:35.370$ but not in public health, kind of cross 34 on Science Hill
- $46\ 00:02:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.260$ in geology and geophysics.
- 47 00:02:37.260 --> 00:02:38.920 But while I was there,
- 48 00:02:38.920 --> 00:02:40.810 I was not yet working in the geo health area,
- $49\ 00:02:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.810$ but I got to see a lot of collaboration going on,
- $50\ 00:02:43.810 --> 00:02:46.020$ particularly between Durland Fish

- 51 00:02:46.020 --> 00:02:47.800 and some of his students in public health,
- 52 00:02:47.800 --> 00:02:49.890 and my geology department,
- $53\ 00:02:49.890 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.820$ which really was my first exposure to this idea
- $54\ 00:02:51.820 --> 00:02:53.920$ that you could really make use of some
- $55~00:02:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.000$ of our environmental information analyses
- $56\ 00:02:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.473$ to inform infectious disease analysis.
- 57 00:03:02.060 --> 00:03:03.330 So the talk today,
- 58~00:03:03.330 --> 00:03:07.230 I'm going to be focusing on malaria in the Western Amazon.
- 59 00:03:07.230 --> 00:03:09.070 I've got a long list of names here,
- $60\ 00:03:09.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.860$ that's only a partial list.
- $61~00:03:10.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.030$ I want to particularly acknowledge Bill Pan
- 62 00:03:13.030 --> 00:03:14.030 at Duke University,
- $63~00:03:14.030 \dashrightarrow 00:03:17.270$ who has led most of the work I'm going to present on today
- 64~00:03:17.270 --> 00:03:20.620 from the epidemiological side, as well as Mark Janko,
- 65 00:03:20.620 --> 00:03:23.314 Cristina Recalde, and Francisco Pizzitutti,
- 66 00:03:23.314 --> 00:03:25.603 whose results I will be showing.
- 67 00:03:28.020 --> 00:03:31.910 So, might start with some deep background
- $68\ 00:03:31.910 --> 00:03:34.870$ and perhaps an apology in that the idea
- $69\ 00:03:34.870$ --> 00:03:39.870 that malaria somehow in an environmentally mediated disease
- $70\ 00:03:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.410$ is not particularly new, right?
- 71 00:03:42.410 --> 00:03:44.503 It shouldn't come as a surprise to anybody.
- 72 00:03:45.880 --> 00:03:47.240 In ancient times,
- $73\ 00:03:47.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.010$ malaria was associated with the rise of Sirius,
- $74\ 00:03:51.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.700$ the dog star,
- $75\ 00:03:52.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.010$ which would come in the days of mid to late summer,
- 76~00:03:56.010 --> 00:03:58.630 around the Mediterranean, where the Greeks and others
- $77\ 00:03:58.630 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.953$ were studying this and aware of its impact.

- $78~00:04:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.680$ That is why we call them the dog days of summer,
- $79\ 00:04:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.480$ because that's when Sirius became visible.
- $80\ 00:04:06.480$ --> 00:04:11.120 And you can see writings about this across Mediterranean
- $81\ 00:04:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.980$ at the time.
- 82 00:04:11.980 --> 00:04:14.310 Hippocrates, who was famously very interested
- $83\ 00:04:14.310 --> 00:04:17.730$ in the relationship between environment and meteorology
- $84\ 00:04:17.730 --> 00:04:20.090$ and health wrote specifically about how
- $85\ 00:04:20.090 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.820$ these cyclical fevers that we now understand
- $86\ 00:04:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.890$ to be malaria were associated with the season,
- $87~00:04:25.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.780$ and clearly understood quite clearly
- $88\ 00:04:27.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.060$ that this was not an astrological phenomenon,
- $89\ 00{:}04{:}30.060 \longrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32.800$ but that this was a phenomenon tied to the seasonality
- $90\ 00:04:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.600$ and to the oppressive heat built at that time.
- 91 00:04:36.600 --> 00:04:40.300 Now, this is millennia before the mosquito-mediated
- 92 00:04:40.300 --> 00:04:44.700 pathway of malaria transmission was confirmed,
- $93\ 00:04:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.620$ as well as before the plasmodium was identified,
- 94 00:04:47.620 --> 00:04:49.630 certainly as the parasite.
- 95 00:04:49.630 --> 00:04:51.550 And yet this understanding that malaria
- $96\ 00:04:51.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.850$ was sensitive to these changes was clear.
- 97 00:04:55.850 --> 00:04:58.810 I mean, the very fact we call it malaria, right? Bad air.
- $98\ 00:04:58.810 \dashrightarrow 00:05:02.250$ It's the disease that is most associated inherently
- $99\ 00:05:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.290$ in our naming system with this idea
- 100 00:05:04.290 --> 00:05:05.740 of environmental sensitivity.
- 101 00:05:06.720 --> 00:05:08.320 And so, you might think that we had this
- 102 00:05:08.320 --> 00:05:09.370 kind of figured out, right?
- $103\ 00:05:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.170$ So why in the year 2021,

- $104\ 00:05:11.170 --> 00:05:14.030$ am I here to talk to you about our attempts
- $105\ 00:05:14.030 --> 00:05:16.480$ and our struggles to continue to understand
- $106\ 00:05:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.270$ in a predictive fashion,
- $107\ 00:05:18.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.380$ the way in which malaria responds
- 108 00:05:20.380 --> 00:05:22.210 to environmental variability?
- $109\ 00:05:22.210$ --> 00:05:24.290 And I think the answer is that it's a bit complicated.
- $110\ 00:05:24.290 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.350$ And so what I'm going to talk about here
- $111\ 00:05:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.810$ is something where we really need to understand
- 112 00:05:28.810 --> 00:05:30.797 the environmental influence,
- $113\ 00:05:30.797 --> 00:05:32.440$ and the climatic influence as well as
- 114 00:05:32.440 --> 00:05:34.060 other environmental influences,
- $115\ 00{:}05{:}34.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37.100$ in the full context of a coupled natural human system
- $116\ 00:05:37.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.790$ that evolves with time.
- $117\ 00{:}05{:}38.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41.260$ And so, simply understand that malaria has the potential
- 118 00:05:41.260 --> 00:05:44.070 to be sensitive to environmental factors
- $119\ 00:05:44.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.070$ is not in and of itself a useful or actionable
- $120\ 00{:}05{:}47.070 --> 00{:}05{:}48.043\ \mathrm{predictive\ system}.$
- $121\ 00:05:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.240$ So the talk today, I'm going to start off
- $122\ 00:05:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.810$ with some background on malaria in the Western Amazon,
- $123\ 00:05:53.810 --> 00:05:57.650$ and apology in advance if doing so is insulting
- $124\ 00{:}05{:}57.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.060$ to folks in public health who have a deep understanding
- 125 00:06:00.060 --> 00:06:01.020 of malaria in this region,
- 126 00:06:01.020 --> 00:06:03.090 but I'm not sure of everyone's background.
- 127 00:06:03.090 --> 00:06:04.760 So we'll go through a little bit of that history
- $128\ 00:06:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.690$ and current dynamics.
- $129\ 00{:}06{:}06{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08.170$ Then, I'm going to spend a little bit more time

- $130\ 00{:}06{:}08.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10.780$ than you probably want me to on physical geography
- $131\ 00:06:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.470$ and hydrometeorology because that's really
- $132\ 00:06:13.470 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.863$ what I bring to these set of analyses.
- $133\ 00{:}06{:}17.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}21.010$ Then I'll move on and just give three of the cases
- 134 00:06:21.010 --> 00:06:22.730 in which you've tried to integrate
- $135\ 00{:}06{:}22.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}24.910$ these kinds of environmental information systems
- $136\ 00:06:24.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.910$ to our understanding and forecast of malaria in this region.
- $137\ 00:06:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.930$ And I want to emphasize something that Kai said,
- $138\ 00{:}06{:}31.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33.600$ was certainly type into the chat
- 139 00:06:33.600 --> 00:06:34.880 if you would like to say anything.
- $140\ 00{:}06{:}34.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37.870$ Also feel free just to unmute and interrupt
- $141\ 00:06:37.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.623$ if I say something that is unclear.
- $142\ 00{:}06{:}44.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}46.133$ So again, based back on the malaria in the Amazon,
- $143\ 00:06:46.133 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.800$ this is from the malaria Atlas.
- $144\ 00:06:48.800 \dashrightarrow 00:06:51.650$ And what we see here is that the dominant type of malaria
- $145\ 00:06:51.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.020$ will be vivax that is present
- 146 00:06:55.020 --> 00:06:57.340 throughout the Amazon basin,
- $147\ 00:06:57.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.440$ but you also see falciparum in some concentration,
- $148\ 00:07:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.260$ and the Western Amazon part of Peru and Western Brazil,
- $149\ 00:07:05.260 \dashrightarrow 00:07:08.740$ and then we focusing on, you will see both
- $150\ 00:07:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.053$ in significant amounts.
- $151\ 00:07:13.358 --> 00:07:16.690$ I should note that I'm zoomed in here on the Amazon basin.
- $152\ 00:07:16.690 --> 00:07:20.360$ The Amazon is home to over 90% of malaria
- $153\ 00:07:20.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.480$ in the Western hemisphere.

- $154\ 00:07:21.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.570$ And so it's really in terms of studying the Americas,
- $155\ 00{:}07{:}25.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.590$ it's the place that one would want to be focusing
- $156\ 00:07:28.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.133$ a lot of effort on malaria reduction.
- 157 00:07:33.360 --> 00:07:35.600 And in this region,
- $158\ 00:07:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.780$ malaria is classically associated with deforestation,
- $159\ 00:07:39.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.070$ encroachment into the natural forest.
- 160 00:07:42.070 --> 00:07:44.360 So, it's just a satellite time-lapse,
- 161 00:07:44.360 --> 00:07:46.590 showing over about 30 years
- $162\ 00{:}07{:}46.590$ --> $00{:}07{:}48.970$ what we all know to be true, this massive deforestation.
- $163\ 00:07:48.970 --> 00:07:50.787$ This particular lapse rate is from Brazil.
- $164\ 00:07:50.787 --> 00:07:53.337$ You see similar things throughout the Amazon basin.
- 165 00:07:54.440 --> 00:07:56.311 Classic pattern here is a road gets built,
- $166\ 00:07:56.311 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.720$ you surmise from that flash across the screen
- $167\ 00:07:57.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.320$ at the beginning of the time series.
- $168\ 00{:}07{:}59.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.020$ Once the road is built, you get this herring-bone pattern
- $169\ 00:08:02.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.080$ of deforestation as land is cleared for logging,
- $170\ 00:08:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.230$ but then also for agriculture and ranching.
- 171 00:08:08.420 --> 00:08:10.740 And this dynamic was associated
- $172\ 00:08:10.740 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.780$ with a massive burst of malaria in the Amazon region,
- $173\ 00:08:14.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.153$ particularly in the '80s and 1990s.
- $174\ 00:08:18.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.370$ And so that was really the time
- $175\ 00:08:19.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.370$ of the most rapid deforestation going on
- $176\ 00:08:21.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.150$ over much of the Amazon.
- 177 00:08:23.150 --> 00:08:25.049 Continues to be a major issue today,
- $178\ 00:08:25.049 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.900$ but that's when the rate was the highest.
- $179\ 00:08:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.900$ And what you had there was a situation

- $180\ 00:08:29.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.470$ where epidemiologically naive populations
- $181\ 00:08:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.880$ were entering into a region where the anopheles mosquitoes,
- 182 00:08:37.880 --> 00:08:39.963 the dominant vector of malaria,
- 183 00:08:42.300 --> 00:08:43.710 were present in large numbers,
- $184\ 00{:}08{:}43.710 \longrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.460$ and the kinds of livelihoods we were seeing in particular,
- $185\ 00:08:46.460 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.360$ this kind of entering the wilderness for logging and such,
- $186\ 00:08:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.400$ and then a lot of mobility going on
- 187 00:08:52.400 --> 00:08:56.410 all led to this really strong epidemic peak.
- 188 00:08:56.410 --> 00:09:00.320 And from observing the dynamics,
- $189\ 00:09:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.230$ this what now we would consider
- $190\ 00:09:02.230 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.020$ to be a classic hypothesis emerged
- $191\ 00:09:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.210$ called the malaria frontier.
- $192\ 00:09:06.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.850$ And so you have frontier malaria in situations
- $193\ 00:09:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.660$ where you have populations that do not have immunity,
- $194\ 00:09:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.700$ and who do not have behavioral patterns
- 195 00:09:14.700 --> 00:09:16.320 associated with trying to avoid malaria,
- $196\ 00:09:16.320 --> 00:09:17.700$ because they're new to the area,
- 197 00:09:17.700 --> 00:09:20.780 enter into the wilderness frontier,
- 198 00:09:20.780 --> 00:09:25.060 and you get this burst of epidemic peaks,
- $199\ 00:09:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.650$ followed by a gradual adjustment
- 200 00:09:27.650 --> 00:09:29.620 as you get some resistance building up,
- 201 00:09:29.620 --> 00:09:31.820 as you get populations' behavior changing,
- $202\ 00:09:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.770$ and as you get livelihood changes
- $203\ 00:09:34.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.290$ that maybe are a little less mobile
- 204 00:09:36.290 --> 00:09:39.600 and include less interface with wildlands,
- 205 00:09:39.600 --> 00:09:41.770 and you settle into an endemic pattern,
- $206\ 00:09:41.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.820$ this endemic malaria.

- $207~00:09:44.150 \dashrightarrow 00:09:46.110$ And so, you know, this has flashed through enough times
- 208 00:09:46.110 --> 00:09:47.020 that maybe you've noticed by now
- $209\ 00:09:47.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.350$ that you can kind of see the timing, right?
- 210 00:09:49.350 --> 00:09:52.190 While things change throughout the time series I'm showing,
- $211\ 00:09:52.190 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.440$ after about the year 2000 or so,
- $212\ 00:09:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.500$ the change isn't as rampant.
- $213\ 00:09:56.500 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.410$ You don't see as much clear cutting, right?
- $214\ 00:09:58.410 --> 00:10:00.960$ That mostly happened in the '80s and '90s.
- $215\ 00:10:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.230$ Again, this is a time series from Brazil.
- $216\ 00:10:03.230 --> 00:10:05.700$ You'd see similar things in the parts of Peru and Ecuador
- $217\ 00:10:05.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.850$ that we're focusing on.
- 218 00:10:07.850 --> 00:10:10.550 So, when I talk about malaria today,
- 219 00:10:10.550 --> 00:10:12.370 I'm going to be focusing on the last 20 years,
- $220\ 00:10:12.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.150$ which is really coast frontier malaria.
- 221 00:10:15.150 --> 00:10:17.130 Okay, so this is the time where we say, okay,
- 222 00:10:17.130 --> 00:10:20.870 we've kind of been through that initial burst of malaria
- $223\ 00:10:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.340$ that happens when you enter the frontier.
- $224~00{:}10{:}24.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26.210$ And now, we're in the situation where we are looking
- 225 00:10:26.210 --> 00:10:28.470 at transmission patterns in populations
- $226\ 00{:}10{:}28.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31.700$ that I wouldn't say that it's a stable population.
- $227\ 00:10:31.700 --> 00:10:33.100$ There's always movement going on.
- $228\ 00{:}10{:}33.100 --> 00{:}10{:}35.320$ But you're no longer talking about this encroachment.
- 229 00:10:35.320 --> 00:10:38.460 You're talking about interfaces within
- $230\ 00:10:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.673$ what is more or less a settled area.
- 231 00:10:44.020 --> 00:10:45.760 Okay, and so what does that look like

- 232 00:10:45.760 --> 00:10:48.390 if you just look at case numbers in the Amazon?
- 233 00:10:48.390 --> 00:10:51.390 So here, I'm showing a time series from 2000 on.
- $234\ 00{:}10{:}51.390 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}53.200$ And so what you're listing over to the left here
- $235\ 00{:}10{:}53.200 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.420$ are there really high numbers that preceded this?
- 236 00:10:55.420 --> 00:10:57.660 So the numbers on this curve, you can kind of see Brazil,
- 237 00:10:57.660 --> 00:10:59.710 that red curve coming down, right,
- 238 00:10:59.710 --> 00:11:02.127 from what was a really big peak in the 1990s.
- 239 00:11:03.150 --> 00:11:05.300 And if you ignore Venezuela,
- $240\ 00:11:05.300 --> 00:11:08.010$ which as we all know has had its own challenges,
- 241 00:11:08.010 --> 00:11:08.843 you would generally say,
- $242\ 00{:}11{:}08.843 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12.220$ "Oh, this is kind of a story of cases falling, okay,
- 243 00:11:12.220 --> 00:11:15.569 from that frontier malaria peak."
- 244 00:11:15.569 --> 00:11:18.540 But if you look a little more closely,
- 245 00:11:18.540 --> 00:11:21.130 over the last 20 years, you'll see that progress
- $246\ 00:11:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.180$ has stalled and even reversed.
- $247\ 00:11:23.180 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.520$ And so expanding the Y axes a little bit here
- 248 00:11:25.520 --> 00:11:28.380 to look at Columbia, Ecuador and Peru,
- 249 00:11:28.380 --> 00:11:32.030 just over the past 15 years or so,
- $250\ 00{:}11{:}32.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35.110$ what you see is a rather significant peak in Ecuador.
- $251\ 00:11:35.110 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.177$ It came down a little bit after that
- 252 00:11:36.177 --> 00:11:37.670 but it's come back up.
- 253 00:11:37.670 --> 00:11:40.270 Peru, quite a significant percent wise increase,
- $254\ 00{:}11{:}40.270 --> 00{:}11{:}44.793$ because the case has got so low in the the early 2010s.
- 255 00:11:47.710 --> 00:11:48.710 Sorry, that was Ecuador.
- $256\ 00:11:48.710 --> 00:11:51.050$ Big, significant increase in Ecuador.

- $257\ 00:11:51.050 --> 00:11:52.890$ I missed my labels here.
- 258 00:11:52.890 --> 00:11:54.550 Then bottom one is Peru showing
- $259\ 00:11:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.320$ the significant increase, again.
- $260\ 00{:}11{:}56.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58.340$ And so you see these large percent wise increase
- 261 00:11:58.340 --> 00:12:00.233 in these Western Amazonian countries.
- 262 00:12:02.460 --> 00:12:05.250 Focusing on Peru specifically for a moment,
- 263 00:12:05.250 --> 00:12:06.940 because that's what a bunch of our data
- $264~00{:}12{:}06.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.320$ are going to come from, that I'm going to show
- $265\ 00:12:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.810$ in the next section.
- $266\ 00:12:09.810 \dashrightarrow 00:12:12.980$ What you see here is a phenomenon where, again,
- 267 00:12:12.980 --> 00:12:15.230 cases were quite high in the 1990s,
- 268 00:12:15.230 --> 00:12:17.330 but there seemed to be a period where you were at
- 269 00:12:17.330 --> 00:12:19.510 a kind of a stable level in the 2000s,
- $270\ 00:12:19.510 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.700$ and then a rapid decline to the point where
- $271\ 00:12:21.700 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.310$ it was really getting close to elimination around 2010,
- 272 00:12:25.310 --> 00:12:26.267 before it burst back up.
- $273\ 00:12:26.267 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.810$ And so now what's been happening?
- 274 00:12:27.810 --> 00:12:30.820 So that period, as I'll get to it towards
- $275\ 00:12:30.820 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.590$ the end of the talk,
- 276 00:12:32.590 --> 00:12:35.010 was a period of a significant intervention
- $277\ 00{:}12{:}35.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}39.180$ and attempt to eliminate malaria from this region.
- 278 00:12:39.180 --> 00:12:40.480 So the PAMAFRO program,
- 279 00:12:40.480 --> 00:12:43.410 which ran for about five years involved
- $280\ 00:12:43.410 --> 00:12:45.960$ a number of malaria control activities.
- $281\ 00:12:45.960 --> 00:12:48.923$ Again, details come later, and it really did seem to work.
- $282\ 00:12:49.820 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.010$ Then in 2011, you had this historical flood.

- $283\ 00{:}12{:}53.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55.270$ There was a flood of record over much of the Amazon,
- $284\ 00:12:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.220$ the biggest one in the observed record.
- $285\ 00{:}12{:}58.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01.303$ And it had tremendous impacts across the region.
- 286 00:13:02.360 --> 00:13:04.070 But one thing that happened was what we saw
- $287\ 00{:}13{:}04.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08.510$ an increase in malaria cases, this reversal, okay?
- $288\ 00:13:08.510 --> 00:13:09.897$ Now this flood coincided with the end
- $289\ 00:13:09.897 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.670$ of the PAMAFRO program.
- 290 00:13:11.670 --> 00:13:14.060 And so we have some disentangling to do,
- $291\ 00:13:14.060 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.860$ about what's going on when it increased.
- 292 00:13:15.860 --> 00:13:16.790 And when this first happened,
- $293\ 00:13:16.790 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.623$ there was a sense of like,
- 294 00:13:17.623 --> 00:13:19.060 "Okay, a flood happened,
- 295 00:13:19.060 --> 00:13:20.110 there's going to be a bunch of malaria,
- 296 00:13:20.110 --> 00:13:20.943 and it'll come back down,"
- 297 00:13:20.943 --> 00:13:23.430 But didn't. Just kept going up and up and up.
- $298\ 00:13:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.180$ In the time since that flood,
- $299\ 00{:}13{:}25.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28.040$ you've had several other destabilizing events.
- $300\ 00:13:28.040 --> 00:13:32.200\ 2015$, as you might be aware, was this mega El Nino,
- $301\ 00:13:32.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.780$ with global effects.
- 302 00:13:33.780 --> 00:13:35.230 You also had dengue and Zika,
- $303\ 00:13:36.188 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.420$ and particularly with the Zika scare
- $304\ 00:13:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.730$ coming through this region at that time,
- $305\ 00:13:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.460$ which really stressed health systems.
- $306\ 00:13:42.460 --> 00:13:44.997$ And so, one thing that we're trying to do now is say,
- $307\ 00{:}13{:}44.997 --> 00{:}13{:}49.310$ "Okay, in this context of intermingled climatic effects.

- 308 00:13:49.310 --> 00:13:51.590 social effects, epidemiological effects,
- 309 00:13:51.590 --> 00:13:54.140 what exactly is going on here?"
- $310\ 00:13:54.140 --> 00:13:56.510$ And this is critical, because, you know, $10\ years$ ago,
- 311 00:13:56.510 --> 00:13:57.960 if I were giving this talk 10 years ago,
- $312\ 00{:}13{:}57.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00.900$ we'd be talking about elimination of malaria in the Amazon.
- $313\ 00:14:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.150$ We are not talking about that right now.
- 314 00:14:02.150 --> 00:14:03.390 We're talking about trying to control
- $315\ 00:14:03.390 --> 00:14:05.480$ what seems to be an increase...
- 316 00:14:05.480 --> 00:14:06.798 Though you don't see it on this graph,
- 317 00:14:06.798 --> 00:14:09.430 because Peru seems to settle down a bit,
- 318 00:14:09.430 --> 00:14:10.571 not just an increase, but really,
- 319 00:14:10.571 --> 00:14:14.740 maybe a significant continuing increase of malaria
- $320\ 00:14:14.740 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.573$ in the region.
- 321 00:14:17.800 --> 00:14:21.830 Okay, so let me jump into the physical geography
- 322 00:14:21.830 --> 00:14:23.973 and hydrometeorology of the problem.
- $323~00:14:27.140 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.970$ So, let me start off with a little bit about the vectors.
- $324~00{:}14{:}28.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33.270$ So as I will attempt to stress throughout this talk,
- $325\ 00:14:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.640$ when we talk about the influence
- 326 00:14:34.640 --> 00:14:36.190 of environment and hydrometeorology,
- 327 00:14:36.190 --> 00:14:39.940 we're not just talking about mosquitoes, okay?
- $328\ 00:14:39.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.550$ Mosquitoes are a big part of it.
- 329 00:14:41.550 --> 00:14:42.880 So, that's why I start off with them,
- $330\ 00{:}14{:}42.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.233$ but we always want to be thinking about mosquitoes.
- 331 00:14:45.233 --> 00:14:46.899 You want to talk about the pathogen,
- $332\ 00{:}14{:}46.899 \operatorname{{\mathsf{-->}}} 00{:}14{:}49.373$ and we also want to talk about human behavior.

- $333\ 00:14:50.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.130$ Nevertheless, the influence of land cover
- $334\ 00:14:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.200$ in hydrometeorology in particular
- $335\ 00:14:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.320$ on an anopheles mosquitoes is going to be
- 336 00:14:57.320 --> 00:14:59.230 a big part of our story,
- $337\ 00:14:59.230 --> 00:15:00.450$ so I want to make sure you're familiar
- $338\ 00:15:00.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.490$ with what's going on in the Amazon.
- 339 00:15:02.490 --> 00:15:04.770 So, the red here is showing anopheles darlingi.
- $340\ 00:15:04.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.140$ That is the dominant malaria
- $341\ 00:15:09.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.793$ competent vector in the Amazon.
- $342\ 00:15:14.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.870$ There are a whole bunch of others,
- $343\ 00:15:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.400$ a great diversity of anopheles mosquitoes here,
- $344\ 00{:}15{:}18.400 --> 00{:}15{:}21.453$ but the darlingi is going to be the number one.
- $345\ 00:15:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.920$ And if we zoom in a little bit,
- $346\ 00:15:23.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.110$ so just a little box there,
- 347 00:15:25.110 --> 00:15:27.620 around this portion of the Western Amazon,
- 348 00:15:27.620 --> 00:15:30.690 centered on the Laredo district of Peru,
- $349\ 00:15:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.540$ which is kind of the Northern Amazonian district in Peru,
- $350\ 00:15:35.123 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.420$ you can go and study this there,
- $351\ 00{:}15{:}38.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40.990$ because a lot of really good work has been done
- $352\ 00:15:40.990 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.410$ by some of the members of the team
- 353 00:15:42.410 --> 00:15:43.410 that were on my title slide,
- $354~00{:}15{:}43.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46.100$ and people who preceded them or partnered with them
- $355\ 00{:}15{:}46.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.620$ in this area doing really strong work on mosquito surveys,
- 356 00:15:50.620 --> 00:15:53.520 or collecting or doing species typing.
- $357\ 00{:}15{:}53.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57.540$ And this happened along various areas in the region.
- 358~00:15:57.540 --> $00:15:59.380~\mathrm{And}$ I don't know how well this is showing up on your screen,

 $359\ 00{:}15{:}59.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.130$ but that red inset there is a Landsat satellite snapshot

 $360\ 00:16:04.130 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.130$ of the area.

 $361\ 00:16:05.130 \dashrightarrow 00:16:08.880$ And you might see red dots, yellow dots, green dots.

 $362\ 00:16:08.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.110$ Those are all showing collection sites

 $363\ 00:16:11.110 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.340$ where breeding habitats and mosquito species types

 $364\ 00:16:13.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.513$ were collected at larval and adult stages.

 $365\ 00:16:16.350 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.260$ And they were organized along transportation corridors,

 $366\ 00:16:19.260 --> 00:16:20.093$ these surveys.

 $367\ 00:16:20.093 --> 00:16:21.900$ And so the red dots are along a highway

 $368~00{:}16{:}21.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25.770$ that connects I quitos to Nauta, a town to the south.

 $369\ 00{:}16{:}25.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.870$ The yellow dots connect Iquitos to Mozan up in the north.

 $370~00{:}16{:}29.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32.660$ And then the green dots are going along various rivers

 $371\ 00:16:32.660 --> 00:16:35.580$ that are used as transportation corridors.

372 00:16:35.580 --> 00:16:38.690 Let me just zoom in on that a little bit,

 $373\ 00:16:38.690 --> 00:16:40.760$ so you get a sense of this region.

 $374\,00:16:40.760 --> 00:16:44.510$ So here, this is just kind of a true color satellite image

 $375\ 00:16:44.510 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.800$ of what I showed in the previous slides.

 $376\ 00{:}16{:}46.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49.780$ You see the Amazon river flowing south to north here

 $377\ 00:16:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.890$ through the region.

37800:16:50.890 --> 00:16:53.240 That urbanized area that you see

 $379\ 00:16:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.240$ along the banks of this meander is Iquitos.

 $380\ 00{:}16{:}58.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00.960$ I quitos is famously the largest city in the world

 $381\ 00:17:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.620$ that you can not reach by road.

 $382\ 00:17:02.620 --> 00:17:03.740$ You either have to come in on the river

- $383\ 00:17:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.310$ or you have to fly in.
- $384\ 00:17:05.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.160$ The rivers are the dominant transportation networks,
- $385\ 00:17:07.160 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.780$ but we have these roads I showed before.
- 386 00:17:09.780 --> 00:17:11.450 There's one to the north that kind of cuts off
- 387 00:17:11.450 --> 00:17:12.630 here, going to Mozan,
- $388\ 00:17:12.630 --> 00:17:16.770$ but this highway here, the Iquitos to Nauta highway
- $389\ 00:17:16.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.237$ is kind of the biggest road in the area.
- $390~00:17:18.237 \dashrightarrow 00:17:20.840$ And you see that herring bone deforestation
- $391\ 00:17:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.193$ coming along that road.
- $392~00{:}17{:}24.400$ --> $00{:}17{:}27.010$ And so, what we have here are mosquito collections
- $393\ 00:17:27.010 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.670$ in an area of land use contrasts,
- $394\ 00:17:30.670 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.040$ including the pristine forest
- $395~00{:}17{:}33.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.327$ and breeding into areas of significant agricultural activity
- $396\ 00:17:36.327 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.580$ and urban activity.
- $397\ 00:17:38.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.640$ And so, we can then use our satellite images
- $398\ 00:17:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.790$ to classify the different types of cover we see here,
- $399\ 00:17:44.790 --> 00:17:46.410$ and these range from different water types.
- $400\ 00{:}17{:}46.410 --> 00{:}17{:}48.000$ We always want distinguish between clear water
- $401\ 00:17:48.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.440$ and silky water in the Amazon.
- 402 00:17:49.440 --> 00:17:51.090 They're very different ecologies.
- $403\ 00{:}17{:}52.040$ --> $00{:}17{:}57.030$ And then different kinds of Amazon basin land cover type,
- $404\ 00:17:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.390$ including the anthropic types,
- 405 00:17:59.390 --> 00:18:01.750 such as disturbed vegetation and bare ground,
- 406 00:18:01.750 --> 00:18:02.930 and roads and buildings,
- $407\ 00:18:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.450$ and the natural vegetation types,
- $408\ 00:18:04.450 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.523$ including different types of forest.

- $409\ 00:18:06.523 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.490$ Okay.
- $410\ 00:18:07.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.070$ And so when we analyze these together,
- $411\ 00:18:10.070 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.620$ the land cover information with the mosquito information,
- 412 00:18:13.620 --> 00:18:15.370 you find some interesting patterns.
- $413\ 00:18:16.770 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.240$ And what I have here are all anopheles species.
- 414 00:18:20.240 --> 00:18:21.520 Okay, I didn't bother spelling out all
- 415 00:18:21.520 --> 00:18:23.180 of the species names, because they're long
- $416\ 00:18:23.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.810$ and it doesn't matter too much.
- $417\ 00{:}18{:}24.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28.210$ But what this box plot is intended to demonstrate
- 418 00:18:28.210 --> 00:18:31.500 is that, as your forest area decreases, okay,
- $419\ 00:18:31.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.810$ as you go down on the Y axis into the negative area here,
- $420\ 00:18:35.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.890$ you will see decrease.
- $421\ 00{:}18{:}37.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}41.920$ You will see different relationships with different species.
- $422\ 00:18:41.920 --> 00:18:46.360$ Okay, and when you have a...
- 423 00:18:46.360 --> 00:18:48.120 Sorry, I apologize. Let me step back.
- $424\ 00:18:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.420$ The Y axis here is the association. Okay?
- $425\ 00:18:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.240$ And so you see negative associations
- 426 00:18:53.240 --> 00:18:55.370 between forest area and some species,
- $427\ 00:18:55.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.760$ and positive associations between forest area
- $428\ 00:18:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.723$ and other species.
- 429 00:19:00.680 --> 00:19:01.590 Okay.
- $430\ 00:19:01.590 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.697$ And so, what's interesting about this is that you say,
- $431\ 00:19:03.697 --> 00:19:06.446$ "Okay, there's going to be changing species assemblages,
- $432\ 00:19:06.446 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.600$ as land cover shifts from natural forest
- $433\ 00:19:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.077$ to more cleared area."
- $434\ 00:19:12.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.190$ But it's somewhat systematic,
- $435\ 00:19:14.190 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.040$ in that the species here over to the left

- $436\ 00:19:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.440$ are the malaria competent species.
- $437\ 00:19:20.440 \dashrightarrow 00:19:23.240$ You'll see an opheles darling here on the far left.
- $438\ 00:19:23.240 \dashrightarrow 00:19:25.450$ And so, that's a dominant vector and all of these others
- $439\ 00:19:25.450 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.770$ are vectors, also.
- $440\ 00:19:26.770 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.480$ These are not, okay?
- 441 00:19:29.480 --> 00:19:31.660 So it so happens that as you clear forest,
- $442\ 00:19:31.660 --> 00:19:33.160$ you might not actually see an increase
- $443\ 00:19:33.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.250$ in the total number of anopheles mosquitoes.
- $444\ 00{:}19{:}35.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36.850$ You often will see a decrease in the total number
- $445\ 00:19:36.850 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.700$ of mosquitoes of all species,
- $446\ 00:19:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.520$ but you'll see an increase in the prevalence
- $447\,00:19:41.520 --> 00:19:45.390$ and absolute number of darlingi, of your vector species.
- 448 00:19:45.390 --> 00:19:46.670 And in fact, it's even quantified.
- $449\ 00:19:46.670 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.650$ Here's some data we had.
- $450\ 00:19:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.790$ We found that for every 1% increase in clear land area,
- $451\ 00:19:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.430$ you have close to a 4% increase in the odds
- $452\ 00{:}19{:}53.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}56.790$ of finding an opheles darlingi at a collection site.
- $453\ 00:19:56.790 --> 00:19:59.770$ So we have here is human wildlife interface
- $454\ 00:20:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.550$ causing more mosquito human interactions.
- $455\ 00{:}20{:}04.550 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}20{:}08.430$ And also, the anthropic disturbances of the landscape
- $456\ 00{:}20{:}08.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}12.223$ increasing the proportion of your competent vectors.
- $457\ 00:20:13.070 --> 00:20:16.160$ So this is a recipe for increased malaria transmission.
- 458 00:20:16.160 --> 00:20:17.460 So this is a fairly detailed study
- $459\ 00:20:17.460 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.120$ that we could only do in places where we had
- $460\ 00:20:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.240$ really detailed entomological collections.

- 461 00:20:23.240 --> 00:20:24.650 We don't have that everywhere,
- 462 00:20:24.650 --> 00:20:26.280 but at least from the satellite perspective,
- $463\ 00:20:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.610$ we can take this kind of last
- $464\ 00{:}20{:}27.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30.600$ and done at high resolution and zoom out of it.
- $465\ 00:20:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.520$ And so as we try to look across all of the Laredo states,
- 466 00:20:35.520 --> 00:20:36.840 this shows Laredo state of Peru,
- $467~00{:}20{:}36.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}39.800$ and this analysis has now been extended to include
- 468 00:20:39.800 --> 00:20:41.990 the Amazonian portions of Ecuador,
- $469\ 00:20:41.990 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.990$ as well as parts of Colombia and Brazil.
- $470\ 00:20:45.830 --> 00:20:48.797$ We can make use of satellite data.
- $471\ 00{:}20{:}48.797 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.880$ And here I'm showing the MODIS satellite data.
- 472 00:20:50.880 --> 00:20:51.970 If you're not familiar with MODIS,
- 473 00:20:51.970 --> 00:20:54.360 it's a NASA-supported mission has been up
- $474\ 00:20:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.063$ for about 20 years now.
- 475 00:20:56.063 --> 00:20:58.480 And unlike the previous images that I showed,
- $476\ 00{:}20{:}58.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}01.460$ which is a Landsat higher resolution, 30 meter resolution,
- $477\ 00{:}21{:}01.460 \longrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04.050$ but you only get snapshots every once in a while,
- $478\ 00:21:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.670\ MODIS$ is giving you 250 to 500 meter resolution,
- 479 00:21:07.670 --> 00:21:09.440 but it's giving you daily images.
- $480\ 00{:}21{:}09.440 {\:\raisebox{---}{\text{---}}}> 00{:}21{:}11.200$ And these really cloudy areas that's important, right?
- $481\ 00:21:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.070$ So you need to catch when you can
- $482\ 00:21:13.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.800$ a view through the clouds.
- $483\ 00:21:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.280$ And that allows us to use phenology.
- $484\ 00:21:16.280 --> 00:21:19.320$ That is the seasonality of the vegetation
- $485\ 00{:}21{:}19.320$ --> $00{:}21{:}21.780$ to do a more detailed classification of land cover types.

- $486\ 00:21:21.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.260$ And it says on the left, just a classification using MODIS.
- $487\ 00:21:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.120$ We can then, because the satellite's been up for 20 years,
- $488\ 00:21:28.120 --> 00:21:30.870$ look at change in these forest types over time.
- $489\ 00{:}21{:}30.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35.040$ All of that can go into our malaria risk analyses.
- $490~00{:}21{:}35.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37.270$ And on the right, what I'm showing you is a card
- 491 00:21:37.270 --> 00:21:38.330 that I did not develop,
- 492 00:21:38.330 --> 00:21:39.890 that NatureServe developed,
- 493 00:21:39.890 --> 00:21:41.710 which used a combination of satellite data
- $494\ 00:21:41.710 --> 00:21:43.960$ and measurements on the ground to come up
- $495\ 00:21:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.600$ with ecological systems,
- $496\ 00:21:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.561$ that we view as potentially relevant to malaria.
- 497 00:21:48.561 --> 00:21:51.830 In particular, the red areas on this map
- $498\ 00:21:51.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.890$ are areas that are forested,
- $499~00{:}21{:}53.890 \rightarrow 00{:}21{:}55.630$ that are flooded by what they called black water.
- $500\ 00:21:55.630 --> 00:21:57.990$ So those tannic waters of the Amazon.
- 501 00:21:57.990 --> 00:21:59.810 And then in the light green,
- $502\ 00:21:59.810 --> 00:22:01.090$ you'll see other areas that are flooded
- $503\ 00:22:01.090 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.100$ by what they're calling white or clear water.
- $504~00{:}22{:}03.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05.200$ Might have sediment in it, but it's not tannic, okay?
- $505\ 00{:}22{:}05.200$ --> $00{:}22{:}07.913$ So again, different water quality, different ecology.
- 506 00:22:10.516 --> 00:22:12.740 And so, what I've taken here is land use,
- 507 00:22:12.740 --> 00:22:14.600 look at really high resolution land use,
- $508\ 00:22:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.940$ to understand the scale of distribution.
- $509~00{:}22{:}16.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.440$ Used a different satellite assets in order to zoom out
- $510~00{:}22{:}19.440$ --> $00{:}22{:}22.880$ and say, "What can we say at scale about land use

- 511 00:22:22.880 --> 00:22:25.100 and vegetation types?"
- 512 00:22:25.100 --> 00:22:28.260 And also, thanks to the NatureServe analysis,
- 513 00:22:28.260 --> 00:22:31.560 link that somehow to hydrology, right?
- $514\ 00{:}22{:}31.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}34.460$ Because now we're talking about ecological zones
- $515\ 00:22:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.030$ that are defined, in part, by their flooding regime,
- $516~00{:}22{:}37.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39.770$ which is a key consideration in the Amazon, right?
- $517\ 00:22:39.770 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.050$ There's a lot of forest
- 518 00:22:41.050 --> 00:22:42.840 that's different from other forests,
- $519\ 00:22:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.190$ and much of that has to do with these flooding regimes.
- $520\ 00:22:46.190 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.240$ So this brings hydrometeorology into the picture, right?
- 521 00:22:48.240 --> 00:22:50.890 And so, how does hydrometeorology matter?
- $522~00{:}22{:}50.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52.600$ As I mentioned, it's going to affect the vector, right?
- 523 00:22:52.600 --> 00:22:54.490 We're concerned about breeding sites,
- 524 00:22:54.490 --> 00:22:56.330 survivability of different life stages,
- $525\ 00:22:56.330 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.540$ the life cycle, speed of the life cycle of the mosquito,
- 526 00:22:59.540 --> 00:23:01.100 dispersion of mosquitoes,
- 527 00:23:01.100 --> 00:23:02.963 influenced by winds and temperature.
- $528\ 00{:}23{:}04.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07.630$ And so, wind, temperature and certainly precipitation
- $529\ 00{:}23{:}07.630 \dashrightarrow 90{:}23{:}10.130$ and moisture conditions in the soil and surface puddles
- $530\ 00:23:10.130 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.410$ are going to be a big deal.
- $531\ 00:23:11.410 --> 00:23:14.540$ We also know the plasmodium has temperature sensitivities,
- $532\ 00{:}23{:}14.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.110$ and that the vector's competence transmit the plasmodium
- $533\ 00:23:18.110 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.653$ is a function of temperature.

- 534 00:23:21.170 --> 00:23:22.750 On top of that, you've got human behavior.
- 535 00:23:22.750 --> 00:23:25.330 And so migratory labor in particular,
- $536\ 00:23:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.170$ logging in this area is very sensitive to the river height,
- $537~00{:}23{:}29.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31.070$ because you need the rivers to be a certain height
- $538\ 00:23:31.070 --> 00:23:33.030$ in order to float the logs downstream.
- $539\ 00:23:33.030 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.260$ And so that will have an influence.
- 540 00:23:34.260 --> 00:23:35.880 And then of course, agricultural activities
- $541\ 00{:}23{:}35.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40.880$ will be sensitive to the seasonality of hydrometeorology,
- $542\ 00:23:41.530 --> 00:23:44.590$ as well as the inter-annual variability.
- 543 00:23:44.590 --> 00:23:45.480 When you get interventions,
- $544\ 00:23:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.880$ you also have an issue that anyone
- 545 00:23:47.880 --> 00:23:49.187 who's worked in malaria knows, which is,
- 546 00:23:49.187 --> 00:23:50.690 "Will people use bed nets?"
- 547 00:23:50.690 --> 00:23:52.940 And when it gets really hot, very often,
- $548\ 00:23:52.940 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.703$ it gets harder to comfortably use a bed net.
- $549\ 00:23:57.950 -> 00:23:59.606$ So, how are we going to do hydrometeorology?
- $550~00{:}23{:}59.606$ --> $00{:}24{:}02.173$ So there are a lot of different ways you can do this.
- 551 00:24:03.380 --> 00:24:05.400 The system that my group uses,
- $552\ 00:24:05.400 --> 00:24:07.110$ and kind of one of our major contributions
- $553\ 00:24:07.110 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.850$ to this malaria problem is called
- $554\ 00:24:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.750$ the land data assimilation system.
- $555~00{:}24{:}10.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12.680$ So that probably doesn't get discussed too much
- $556~00{:}24{:}12.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}14.510$ at schools of public health, which is appropriate.
- 557 00:24:14.510 --> 00:24:16.690 So let me give you a little background,
- $558\ 00:24:16.690 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.980$ because this is an area where any of you
- $559~00{:}24{:}18.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22.520$ potentially working on various climate environment

- 560 00:24:22.520 --> 00:24:24.520 influence on disease,
- 561 00:24:24.520 --> 00:24:28.140 but really any host of public health issues
- 562 00:24:28.140 --> 00:24:30.580 might be able to make use of such a system,
- 563 00:24:30.580 --> 00:24:33.540 collaboratively or on your own,
- $564\ 00:24:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.700$ to really bring environmental data in, in a powerful way.
- $565~00{:}24{:}36.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39.430$ So what an LDAS does is it merges observations
- 566 00:24:39.430 --> 00:24:40.740 with numerical models,
- $567\ 00:24:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.380$ in order to get your best possible estimates
- $568\ 00:24:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.070$ of what's going on with the land surface
- $569\ 00{:}24{:}44.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47.310$ and the lower atmosphere than your surface meteorology.
- 570 00:24:47.310 --> 00:24:48.340 Why do you do this?
- 571 00:24:48.340 --> 00:24:50.890 You do this because satellite observations
- $572~00{:}24{:}50.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53.840$ are a mazingly powerful tools, but they're snapshots
- $573\ 00:24:53.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.713$ of single variables.
- 574 00:24:55.713 --> 00:24:57.320 And so, if you want a comprehensive view
- 575 00:24:57.320 --> 00:24:59.160 of what's happening with all the potential
- $576\ 00{:}24{:}59.160 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}25{:}01.520$ variables of interest, you kind of want a model, right?
- 577 00:25:01.520 --> 00:25:03.430 You want something to give you spatially
- $578\ 00:25:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.430$ and temporally complete and consistent representation.
- $579~00:25:10.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.370$ But those models don't necessarily represent reality,
- $580\ 00:25:12.370 --> 00:25:15.840$ particularly in data limited environments, like the Amazon.
- $581\ 00:25:15.840 --> 00:25:18.837$ And so what you do with an LDAS is you basically
- $582\ 00{:}25{:}18.837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22.280$ pick at the best of both worlds to the extent possible.
- $583\ 00:25:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.160$ You have an advanced, physically based model

- 584 00:25:24.160 --> 00:25:25.720 that is trying to simulate what's going on
- 585 00:25:25.720 --> 00:25:27.930 with your weather and with your hydrology.
- 586 00:25:27.930 --> 00:25:29.990 And then you've got satellite observations
- $587\ 00:25:29.990 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.890$ that inform that model and kind of keep it realistic.
- 588 00:25:33.890 --> 00:25:35.950 And so, in schematic form,
- $589\ 00:25:35.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.250$ what you have is a bunch of landscape information,
- $590\ 00:25:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.450$ such as the land cover analyses I've just shown you,
- $591\ 00:25:41.450 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.040$ often satellite-derived.
- 592 00:25:43.040 --> 00:25:44.210 You have meteorological data,
- 593 00:25:44.210 --> 00:25:46.120 which is also often from satellites,
- $594\ 00:25:46.120 --> 00:25:49.980$ or from other weather analysis systems.
- 595 00:25:49.980 --> 00:25:52.810 Those all drive a numerical model,
- $596\ 00:25:52.810 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.880$ which is then going to produce estimates
- 597 00:25:54.880 --> 00:25:57.200 of energy balance and hydrology, okay?
- 598 00:25:57.200 --> 00:25:58.350 So that'll get you, you know,
- $599~00{:}25{:}58.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}01.690$ the temperature, radiation, wind, moisture conditions
- $600\ 00:26:01.690 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.920$ you care about.
- $601~00{:}26{:}02.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06.750$ As you run this model forward, you assimilate observations.
- 602 00:26:06.750 --> 00:26:08.190 And so you can update observations.
- $603\ 00:26:08.190 --> 00:26:12.450$ So for example, information about soil moisture variability.
- $604\ 00:26:12.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.030$ Graded estimates come from satellite
- $605\ 00:26:14.030 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.840$ can be brought into the numerical model
- $606\ 00{:}26{:}15.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}19.310$ to update the model's estimate of soil moisture.
- 607 00:26:19.310 --> 00:26:20.720 And so, you end up with a system.
- 608 00:26:20.720 --> 00:26:22.220 This should be obvious,
- $609\ 00:26:22.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.180$ because we're using updated observations.

- 610 00:26:24.180 --> 00:26:26.680 This isn't like a future projection model, right?
- 611 00:26:26.680 --> 00:26:27.927 The model itself might be able to,
- 612 00:26:27.927 --> 00:26:31.190 but the LDAS system is retrospective,
- 613 00:26:31.190 --> 00:26:32.820 up through real-time monitoring,
- $614\ 00{:}26{:}32.820 {\: \hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}26{:}34.443$ where you're bringing in these update observations,
- $615\ 00:26:34.443 --> 00:26:36.500$ because the observations you can only have
- $616\ 00:26:36.500 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.283$ after we've taken the observation.
- 617 00:26:39.480 --> 00:26:40.313 Okay?
- $618~00{:}26{:}40.313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}44.516$ And so these LDS systems are in a lot of places, you know?
- 619 00:26:44.516 --> 00:26:47.380 It's related, first of all, to weather forecast.
- $620\ 00{:}26{:}47.380 \operatorname{{-->}} 00{:}26{:}50.300$ Weather forecasts use LDAS, as well as assimilation
- $621\ 00:26:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.380$ of atmospheric variables.
- $622\ 00:26:51.380 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.490$ So those are used all the time.
- $623~00{:}26{:}53.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.270$ We also use these LDAS in a lot of the work we do,
- 624 00:26:56.270 --> 00:26:57.780 for example, on agricultural monitoring
- 625 00:26:57.780 --> 00:26:59.620 in the United States,
- $626\ 00{:}26{:}59.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}03.990$ climate assessment reports are very often include LDAS,
- $627~00:27:03.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.200$ like the National Climate Assessment of the United States.
- $628~00{:}27{:}06.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08.500$ Work we do with the Famine Early Warning System in Africa.
- 629 00:27:08.500 --> 00:27:10.330 These LDAS are known to be pretty useful ways
- $630\ 00:27:10.330 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.293$ to get information.
- $631\ 00:27:12.290 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.690$ And so some of them have outputs that are available,
- $632\ 00:27:15.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.523$ that you can just get,
- 633 00:27:16.523 --> 00:27:18.407 because there's already someone running it.
- $634\ 00:27:18.407 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.570$ If you're interested in that,

- $635\ 00:27:19.570 \dashrightarrow 00:27:21.950$ please contact me and I'll try to put you in touch.
- $636\ 00:27:21.950 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.810$ And then sometimes we run them ourselves
- $637\ 00:27:23.810 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.960$ to optimize them for a region we have here.
- 638 00:27:27.490 --> 00:27:29.810 There's a couple more minutes on this,
- $639\ 00{:}27{:}29.810 --> 00{:}27{:}32.593$ just so you understand the basic principles here.
- 640 00:27:33.980 --> 00:27:35.570 One of the most important starting points
- $641\ 00:27:35.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.170$ is satellite-derived rainfall.
- $642\ 00:27:37.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.630$ We're using a couple of products here.
- $643\ 00:27:38.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.950$ I'm not going to bother with the acronyms.
- $644\ 00:27:39.950 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.783$ They don't matter.
- $645~00{:}27{:}40.783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.270$ They are, in case anyone attending today
- $646\ 00:27:42.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.250$ is from the satellite world and is interested
- $647\ 00:27:44.250 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.490$ in what we're using, okay?
- $648\ 00:27:45.490 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.943$ So CHIRPS and GPM-IMERG.
- $649\ 00:27:48.850 --> 00:27:52.020$ We then use that MODIS satellite that I already described,
- $650\ 00{:}27{:}52.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54.440$ get our land cover and vegetation characteristics.
- $651~00{:}27{:}54.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}56.680$ And this cartoon here is showing you our model.
- $652~00:27:56.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.690$ It's called the Noah MultiParameterization
- 653 00:27:58.690 --> 00:28:00.561 Land Surface Model.
- 654 00:28:00.561 --> 00:28:01.750 And what it's doing is it's simulating
- $655\ 00:28:01.750 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.173$ multiple layers of the soil,
- $656\ 00{:}28{:}04.310 --> 00{:}28{:}07.053$ different vegetation types, shallow groundwater.
- 657 00:28:07.930 --> 00:28:10.030 We also work into it a downscaling routine
- $658\ 00:28:10.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.650$ to get better surface meteorological estimates.
- 659 00:28:12.650 --> 00:28:14.010 It doesn't simulate the atmosphere,
- $660\ 00{:}28{:}14.010 --> 00{:}28{:}17.713$ but it can help to downscale atmospheric conditions.

- $661\ 00{:}28{:}18.780 --> 00{:}28{:}22.640$ And it also does snow, which actually does matter to us
- $662\ 00:28:22.640$ --> 00:28:24.390 because we want to get the runoff coming out of the Andes,
- $663\ 00{:}28{:}24.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27.073$ but it doesn't matter locally in the Amazon, obviously.
- 664 00:28:28.850 --> 00:28:31.750 So, that's all kind of for the local energy
- $665\ 00:28:31.750 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.640$ and water balance solution.
- $666\ 00:28:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.473$ We use Noah MP.
- $667~00{:}28{:}33.473 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37.067$ We then couple it to a river routing model called HyMAP.
- $668~00{:}28{:}37.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41.767$ And HyMAP, the hydrological modeling and analysis program
- 669 00:28:41.767 --> 00:28:42.880 that's what that stands for,
- 670 00:28:42.880 --> 00:28:45.190 allows us to model things like the flood plain,
- 671 00:28:45.190 --> 00:28:46.430 and that's, as you can imagine,
- 672 00:28:46.430 --> 00:28:47.290 really critical when you're talking
- $673\ 00:28:47.290 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.550$ about mosquito habitats.
- $674\ 00:28:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.510$ So we get the river heights.
- $675\ 00:28:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.480$ We also get the river width,
- $676\ 00{:}28{:}51.480 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}28{:}55.973$ and the area of flooded river boundary at any given time.
- 677 00:28:59.680 --> 00:29:01.980 We run this at five kilometer, gritty resolution.
- $678\ 00:29:01.980 --> 00:29:05.370$ Five kilometers by five kilometers, or 25 square kilometer.
- 679 00:29:05.370 --> 00:29:06.450 And then around Iquitos,
- $680~00{:}29{:}06.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}08.715$ that city that has the largest population center.
- $681\ 00:29:08.715 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.030$ We nest into one kilometer
- $682\ 00:29:11.030 --> 00:29:12.853$ for some higher resolution analysis.
- $683\ 00:29:14.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.820$ As we run the model forward,
- $684\ 00{:}29{:}15.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18.110$ we can take advantage of these assimilation capabilities,

 $685~00:29:18.110 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.330$ and we run multiple simulations for different purposes.

68600:29:21.330 --> 00:29:23.970 Sometimes we might be assimilating satellitederived

687 00:29:23.970 --> 00:29:26.350 estimates of soil moisture, or leaf area index,

688 00:29:26.350 --> 00:29:28.250 or water storage, terrestrial water sources,

 $689\ 00:29:28.250 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.300$ meaning all the water stored in the soil column

 $690\ 00:29:30.300 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.230$ and groundwater.

 $691\ 00{:}29{:}31.230 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{--}}}\ 00{:}29{:}33.660$ These are all observables at different resolutions

 $692\ 00:29:33.660 --> 00:29:37.793$ from space using different civilian space missions.

 $693\ 00:29:38.840 \dashrightarrow 00:29:40.880$ And those will all help to improve the performance

 $694\ 00:29:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.713$ of our model.

695 00:29:41.713 --> 00:29:43.040 And then you can get an output like what I'm showing

696 00:29:43.040 --> 00:29:44.150 on the right-hand side of the screen here,

 $697\ 00:29:44.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.560$ which is just a standardized anomaly in soil moisture,

 $698\ 00:29:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.860$ showing a period where, in our area of interest,

699 00:29:48.860 --> 00:29:51.520 for example, there were some drought going on

700 00:29:51.520 --> 00:29:53.120 in the Northwestern Amazon,

 $701\ 00:29:53.120 --> 00:29:55.310$ as shown by a negative standardized anomaly

 $702\ 00:29:55.310 --> 00:29:57.633$ in soil moisture, as captured by our system.

703 00:29:59.760 --> 00:30:00.930 I'll come back to this in a moment,

 $704~00:30:00.930 \dashrightarrow 00:30:05.180$ but this particular snapshot is an interesting example,

 $705\ 00:30:05.180 --> 00:30:07.530$ and that's showing what might be considered

 $706\ 00:30:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.680$ the classic El Nino pattern, okay?

707 00:30:09.680 --> 00:30:12.900 So it's an old snapshot. This one's from 1998.

 $708\ 00:30:12.900 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.193$ I've accidentally cut the date off of it.

 $709\ 00:30:15.193 --> 00:30:18.550$ There's the monthly anomaly from a month in 1998.

710 00:30:18.550 --> 00:30:21.720 And what you're seeing here is the 1997, '98 El Nino

711 00:30:21.720 --> 00:30:24.800 bringing catastrophic flooding to the coast

712 00:30:24.800 --> 00:30:28.410 of Peru and Ecuador, and drought to the Amazon basin.

713 00:30:28.410 --> 00:30:30.590 Okay, I'll return to that in a moment,

 $714\ 00:30:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.540$ but that's kind of a classic El Nino pattern in the region.

715 00:30:35.650 --> 00:30:37.330 And so, here's just a quick animation

 $716\ 00:30:37.330 --> 00:30:38.850$ to show what you're getting through time.

717 00:30:38.850 --> 00:30:40.120 I'm showing monthly up what's here.

 $718\ 00:30:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.210$ In fact, we get, you know,

719 00:30:42.210 --> 00:30:47.210 hourly outputs from the system that we can then extract

 $720\ 00{:}30{:}47.310$ --> $00{:}30{:}50.690$ for different geographies to perform our malaria analysis.

 $721\ 00:30:50.690 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.710$ Information on things like your air temperature anomaly,

722 00:30:52.710 --> 00:30:54.620 your rainfall, your soil moisture anomaly,

723 00:30:54.620 --> 00:30:57.410 your runoff, your river height, et cetera, et cetera.

724 00:30:57.410 --> 00:30:59.480 Okay, and so this is all the information

 $725\ 00:30:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.640$ that we're going to be bringing in,

 $726\ 00:31:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.630$ combining with the land cover and ecological information,

727 00:31:03.630 --> 00:31:07.840 to try to get this environmentally informed malaria analysis

728 00:31:08.720 --> 00:31:10.453 and early warning systems set up.

729 00:31:12.130 --> 00:31:14.647 So, one thing that you might be wondering is,

 $730~00:31:14.647 \dashrightarrow 00:31:18.697$ "Okay, I just mentioned this was a data scarce area, right?"

 $731\ 00:31:18.697 --> 00:31:21.120$ And these are outputs of some system

 $732\ 00:31:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.440$ that's combining satellite data with its uncertainties,

 $733\ 00:31:23.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.550$ and a model with its own uncertainties.

734 00:31:25.550 --> 00:31:28.720 How good is it, right? And can you trust it?

735 00:31:28.720 --> 00:31:31.710 And the answer is that in any study you do,

 $736\ 00:31:31.710 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.153$ where you want to make use of this

737 00:31:33.153 --> 00:31:34.870 kind of environmental data,

738 00:31:34.870 --> 00:31:37.360 you want to make sure that either you or someone else

 $739\ 00:31:37.360 --> 00:31:39.680$ has done a good, clean analysis of how well

740 00:31:39.680 --> 00:31:42.550 that system performs in your region

741 00:31:42.550 --> 00:31:44.880 and season of interest, okay?

 $742\ 00:31:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.717$ You don't want to just take this off the shelf and say,

743~00:31:46.717 --> 00:31:49.410 "Oh, I know this going, going to be fine where I am."

 $744\ 00:31:49.410 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.820$ And so we've done some analysis.

 $745~00:31:52.820 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.710$ I'm not going to make you sit through

 $746\ 00:31:54.710 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.610$ our whole analysis kind of thing that we spend our days,

747 00:31:57.610 --> 00:31:59.230 nights and weekends doing, right?

 $748\ 00:31:59.230 --> 00:32:00.423$ Make sure the systems work well

749 00:32:00.423 --> 00:32:01.943 and trying to fine tune them.

 $750\ 00:32:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.190$ But we have some data here that Cristina Recalde,

751 00:32:05.190 --> 00:32:08.970 a PhD student working with me has from Ecuador,

752 00:32:08.970 --> 00:32:10.897 and some data from Peru, looking at things like,

753 00:32:10.897 --> 00:32:14.900 "Okay, how well do we do in observations in blue,

754 00:32:14.900 --> 00:32:18.060 versus our model simulation on rainfall?"

 $755\ 00:32:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.100$ And there are good and bad things

756 00:32:19.100 --> 00:32:20.770 if you stare long enough at this chart,

- 757 00:32:20.770 --> 00:32:23.010 like, yeah, we're in about the magnitude
- $758\ 00:32:23.010 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.100$ of rainfall is not bad.
- 759 00:32:24.100 --> 00:32:25.790 The seasonality is pretty good most places,
- 760 00:32:25.790 --> 00:32:27.670 but then you'll find there's some wet and dry bias
- 761 00:32:27.670 --> 00:32:30.530 in different places that we're investigating.
- $762\ 00:32:30.530$ --> 00:32:32.570 Similarly, you can then look at the soil moisture.
- 763 00:32:32.570 --> 00:32:34.670 Soil moisture is harder, because rainfall,
- $764\ 00:32:34.670 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.480$ there actually are rainfall observations.
- 765 00:32:37.480 --> 00:32:39.960 Not many, but there are some, right?
- 766 00:32:39.960 --> 00:32:41.900 Soil moisture, there's like basically
- 767 00:32:41.900 --> 00:32:44.320 no in-situ observations in a consistent way
- $768\ 00:32:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.590$ in the study area,
- $769\ 00:32:45.590 \dashrightarrow > 00:32:47.800$ and so we have to use satellite data to compare it to.
- 770 00:32:47.800 --> 00:32:50.100 So here, we're comparing this observation in gray,
- 771 00:32:50.100 --> 00:32:51.940 which is really a satellite observation,
- $772\ 00:32:51.940 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.400$ with our model simulation.
- $773\ 00:32:54.400 --> 00:32:56.900$ And again, seeing some good, some bad.
- $774\ 00:32:56.900 --> 00:32:59.280$ Here, we really do have to question the fidelity
- 775 00:32:59.280 --> 00:33:01.060 of both the observation and the model,
- $776\ 00:33:01.060 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.140$ since the observation is satellite-derived.
- $777\ 00:33:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.270$ At least it gives us a sense.
- $778\ 00{:}33{:}04.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06.970$ Do we have a consensus across our different estimates,
- $779\ 00:33:06.970 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.333$ as to what's going on here?
- 780 00:33:09.530 --> 00:33:10.700 And this is tricky, right?
- 781 00:33:10.700 --> 00:33:13.420 Because getting soil moisture right in a complex hydrology
- $782\ 00:33:13.420 --> 00:33:16.070$ like the Amazon is no trivial task.

783 00:33:16.070 \rightarrow 00:33:18.920 So this is a scenario where we spend a lot of our effort.

784 00:33:20.920 --> 00:33:23.702 Last point I want to make on this physical hydrology

785 00:33:23.702 --> 00:33:25.560 hydrometeorology before finally getting

786 00:33:25.560 --> 00:33:27.210 just the natural malaria results:

 $787\ 00:33:29.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.740$ it's really important,

788 00:33:30.740 --> 00:33:33.880 whenever you're doing a study like this, right,

 $789\ 00:33:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.850$ to distinguish between,

 $790\ 00:33:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.500$ when I say that there's hydrometeorological variability,

791 00:33:38.500 --> 00:33:40.767 am I talking about geographic variability?

792 00:33:40.767 --> 00:33:43.210 You know, wet versus dry places.

793 00:33:43.210 --> 00:33:45.730 Am I talking about seasonal variability, right?

 $794~00{:}33{:}45.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}48.410$ A wet season versus the dry season, for example.

795 00:33:48.410 --> 00:33:49.700 Or am I talking about something

796 00:33:49.700 --> 00:33:51.770 like inter-annual variability?

797 00:33:51.770 --> 00:33:53.480 Like, "Oh, we had a drought year,

 $798\ 00:33:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.330$ or we had a year with more flooding."

799 00:33:56.470 --> 00:33:58.050 And that's really important, you know,

 $800\ 00{:}33{:}58.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}00.650$ first and foremost, to understand process, right?

 $801\ 00:34:00.650 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.360$ You want to know that you get a statistical result

 $802\ 00{:}34{:}03.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05.670$ that comes out of throwing some environmental variables

803 00:34:05.670 --> 00:34:07.530 into your model.

 $804\ 00{:}34{:}07.530$ --> $00{:}34{:}10.380$ They're significant. What is it that you're seeing?

805 00:34:10.380 --> 00:34:11.213 Right?

 $806\ 00{:}34{:}12.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15.500$ And also, is what you're seeing a proxy for something else?

807 00:34:15.500 --> 00:34:16.565 Right?

- $808\ 00:34:16.565 --> 00:34:18.647$ If you classically see like,
- 809 00:34:18.647 --> 00:34:20.460 "Oh, there's a wet versus dry season response,"
- $810\ 00:34:20.460 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.960$ or a warm versus cold season response,
- 811 00:34:22.960 --> 00:34:25.860 and when I look at my cases of malaria,
- $812\ 00:34:25.860 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.760$ is that because temperature's affecting malaria,
- $813\ 00:34:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.050$ or is it because there's a seasonal cycle in temperature,
- $814\ 00:34:31.050 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.870$ and seasonality for some other reason
- $815\ 00{:}34{:}32.870 \longrightarrow 00{:}34{:}35.860$ is affecting the malaria, and I'm calling it temperature?
- $816\ 00:34:35.860 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.130$ Okay.
- 817 $00:34:37.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.570$ And so, you want to be clear on whether you're looking
- $818\ 00:34:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.350$ at the geography, the season,
- $819\ 00:34:42.350 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.130$ or the inter-annual variability.
- $820\ 00:34:44.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.110$ And this is on my mind a lot these days,
- $821\ 00:34:48.110 --> 00:34:50.520$ both because I do a lot of this work.
- 822 00:34:50.520 --> 00:34:52.440 And as I know Kai appreciates and probably others
- $823\ 00:34:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.510$ in the audience as well,
- $824~00:34:53.510 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.810$ there's a lot of conflation of these things
- 825 00:34:55.810 --> 00:34:57.660 in the COVID-19 literature,
- $826\ 00:34:57.660 --> 00:34:59.680$ with different claims or attempts to claim
- 827 00:34:59.680 --> 00:35:01.113 environmental sensitivities.
- 828 00:35:02.220 --> 00:35:03.830 Some really good work, right?
- 829 00:35:03.830 --> 00:35:05.950 But also a lot of these kind of naive, I would say,
- $830\ 00:35:05.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.680$ studies that came out showing correlations
- 831 $00:35:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.700$ or associations that were simply showing a seasonality,
- 832 00:35:10.700 --> 00:35:13.170 or, you know, a coincidence of two patterns.

 $833\ 00:35:13.170 --> 00:35:16.190$ The whole correlation versus causation problem,

 $834\ 00:35:16.190 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.160$ that I think part of the problem there

 $835\ 00:35:19.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.440$ was a misunderstanding or there's a misframing

 $836\ 00:35:23.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.313$ of what kind of climatic variability we're talking about.

 $837\ 00:35:28.300 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.690$ Okay, got off that soap box.

838 00:35:30.690 --> 00:35:32.440 And simply say for that third thing,

839 00:35:32.440 --> 00:35:34.510 all I've shown you here is seasonality

840 00:35:34.510 --> 00:35:36.760 and spatial variability.

841 00:35:36.760 --> 00:35:38.440 I haven't shown you inter-annual variability.

 $842\ 00:35:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.700\ I$ want to comment a little bit on that in this region,

843 00:35:40.700 --> 00:35:43.910 because anyone who's worked on malaria in the Amazon

 $844\ 00:35:43.910 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.380$ or other malaria zones probably are aware

845 00:35:46.380 --> 00:35:49.830 of a lot of studies, good studies, right?

 $846\ 00:35:49.830 --> 00:35:52.110$ That have associated malaria

 $847\ 00:35:52.110 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.570$ with various large scale climate modes.

848 00:35:54.570 --> 00:35:57.163 Certainly these drivers of variability, okay?

849 00:35:58.660 --> 00:36:00.980 And so the big one is El Nino.

850 00:36:00.980 --> 00:36:03.800 The El Nino Southern oscillation, okay?

 $851\ 00:36:03.800 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.633$ But there are many others.

852 00:36:04.633 --> 00:36:06.593 It's an alphabet soup that I won't get into.

 $853\ 00:36:08.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.630$ El Nino, in this part of the region.

 $854\ 00{:}36{:}10.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}13.010$ One might well expect an El Nino effect here, right?

 $855\ 00:36:13.010$ --> 00:36:16.366 It's called El Nino because of the effects it had.

 $856\ 00:36:16.366 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.150$ you know, was first characterized in the coast of Peru,

 $857\ 00:36:18.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.850$ and what it does to the sardine fisheries

 $858\ 00:36:19.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.150$ off the coast of Peru.

 $859\ 00:36:21.150 --> 00:36:23.810$ And so, this is kind of like the home of El Nino, right?

 $860\ 00:36:23.810 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.780$ And so, we certainly expect an El Nino effect.

861 00:36:25.780 --> 00:36:27.550 And as I showed a few slides ago,

 $862\ 00{:}36{:}27.550 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}36{:}30.700$ a classic pattern would be high rainfall on the coast,

 $863\ 00:36:30.700 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.860$ drought in the Amazon,

 $864\ 00:36:31.860 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.283$ for dynamical reasons that I won't get into.

 $865~00{:}36{:}36{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}40{.}940$ It's not that simple or that predictive

 $866\ 00:36:40.940 --> 00:36:44.300$ as a simple univariate association

 $867\ 00:36:44.300 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.850$ in this part of the Amazon, at least.

 $868\ 00:36:46.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.060$ There's some other parts of the Amazon

 $869\ 00:36:48.060 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.820$ that respond a little bit more reliably,

870 00:36:49.820 --> 00:36:53.210 but I'll tell you, it's always a little complicated.

871 00:36:53.210 --> 00:36:57.020 But here, just taking it again from Cristina's work here,

872 00:36:57.020 --> 00:37:00.720 looking at El Ninos and La Ninas the past 20 years.

873 00:37:00.720 --> 00:37:02.470 And if it's red, it means you've got drought,

 $874\ 00:37:02.470 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.780$ or drier conditions.

 $875\ 00:37:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.560$ If it's blue, it means you have wet anomalies.

876 00:37:05.560 --> 00:37:07.730 And again, during El Nino, we should be seeing red

877 00:37:07.730 --> 00:37:08.730 in the Amazon.

 $878\ 00:37:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.130$ And here, you know, we got our Laredo state.

879 00:37:12.130 --> 00:37:14.690 Sorry, it was just Ecuador and Peru I'm showing you.

 $880\ 00:37:14.690$ --> 00:37:17.700 So we've got this kind of, here's your Northern Amazon

881 00:37:17.700 --> 00:37:19.143 portion of our study region.

 $882\ 00:37:21.310 --> 00:37:24.330$ And what you're seeing is that, yeah, during some El Ninos.

- 883 00:37:24.330 --> 00:37:26.400 you do see that drought pattern, okay?
- 884 00:37:26.400 --> 00:37:28.123 But you also see it in this La Nina,
- $885\ 00:37:29.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.040$ and then there are some El Ninos
- $886\ 00:37:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.480$ where you don't see it at all,
- $887\ 00{:}37{:}32.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35.550$ and in fact, that big monster El Nino that hit in 2015
- $888\ 00:37:35.550 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.400$ and had effects globally, it was wet
- $889\ 00:37:39.410 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.190$ in our part of the world,
- 890 $00:37:41.190 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.780$ when you might've thought it was supposed to be dry.
- 891 00:37:43.780 --> 00:37:47.693 And so, there are some complications here, okay?
- 892 00:37:49.070 --> 00:37:53.860 All I can say that one could use, and so El Nino,
- 893 00:37:53.860 --> 00:37:57.180 La Nina oscillations effectively, statistically,
- $894\ 00:37:57.180 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.520$ in a forecast in here,
- 895 00:37:58.520 --> 00:38:00.670 if you accounted for enough other variables.
- $896~00:38:00.670 \dashrightarrow 00:38:03.700$ I'm highlighting the fact that it's not enough
- 897 00:38:03.700 --> 00:38:06.290 of a predictor of rainfall in its own right, okay?
- 898 00:38:06.290 --> 00:38:07.530 But combined with other factors,
- $899\ 00:38:07.530 --> 00:38:09.130$ you can probably get some scale.
- $900\ 00:38:10.020 \dashrightarrow 00:38:12.380$ But we decided to take a different approach,
- 901 00:38:12.380 \rightarrow 00:38:14.630 which is, rather than using these kinds of teleconnections.
- 902 00:38:14.630 --> 00:38:17.220 these like remote connections to El Nino directly
- 903 00:38:17.220 --> 00:38:18.100 in our model,
- $904\ 00:38:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.010$ we run a dynamically based forecast.
- 905 00:38:21.010 --> 00:38:24.140 And so what we're doing there is, again,
- 906 00:38:24.140 --> 00:38:25.970 this one's a little detail for those who might be
- 907 00:38:25.970 --> 00:38:28.370 working at this interface of climate and health.

- 908 00:38:29.380 --> 00:38:31.610 We run what we call subseasonal to seasonal forecast.
- 909 00:38:31.610 --> 00:38:33.570 You know, a few weeks out to...
- 910 $00:38:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.660$ Well, you can go to nine months.
- 911 00:38:34.660 \rightarrow 00:38:36.297 We're really only going up to three months right now,
- 912 00:38:36.297 --> 00:38:37.633 for this application.
- 913 00:38:38.500 --> 00:38:40.810 And what you do is you take what I already showed you
- $914\ 00:38:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.290$ in the LDAS, the satellite landscape analysis,
- 915 00:38:43.290 \rightarrow 00:38:45.540 run it through a land data simulation system.
- 916 00:38:46.400 --> 00:38:48.640 That provides initial conditions,
- 917 00:38:48.640 --> 00:38:50.150 from which you generate an ensemble.
- 918 00:38:50.150 --> 00:38:51.590 So your seasonal forecasts are
- $919\ 00:38:51.590 --> 00:38:54.630$ these probabilistic ensembles, just like weather forecasts.
- 920 00:38:54.630 --> 00:38:57.400 And these are these global atmospheric models
- 921 00:38:57.400 \rightarrow 00:38:58.600 that we run forward.
- $922\ 00:38:58.600 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.130$ We run them forward using initial conditions
- 923 00:39:01.130 --> 00:39:05.170 of the hydrology locally, and the ecology locally.
- $924\ 00:39:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.730$ We downscale the meteorology
- $925\ 00:39:06.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.480$ from those global forecast systems
- $926~00:39:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.620$ using some algorithms that, again, I won't get into,
- $927\ 00:39:11.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.243$ but happy to follow up with anyone doing this kind of work.
- $928\ 00:39:15.190 --> 00:39:17.440$ And then, we put that into hydrologic work.
- 929 00:39:17.440 --> 00:39:19.490 As we run it through the same modeling system,
- 930 00:39:19.490 --> 00:39:20.660 it's no longer data simulation
- 931 00:39:20.660 --> 00:39:21.940 because we don't have observations.
- $932\ 00:39:21.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.473$ We run that system forward.

- 933 $00:39:24.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.200$ Okay. So why do all of this?
- 934 00:39:27.200 --> 00:39:30.493 Because it pushes your forecast time horizon out.
- 935 00:39:34.374 --> 00:39:35.770 If I, as the climate guy in the team,
- 936 00:39:35.770 --> 00:39:39.220 give Bill and Mark, the epidemiology guys on the team,
- 937 $00:39:39.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.470$ a monitoring system that is operationally saying
- 938 00:39:41.470 --> 00:39:43.430 what the moisture is right now,
- 939 00:39:43.430 --> 00:39:46.160 they can forecast malaria because it's a time lag, right?
- 940 00:39:46.160 --> 00:39:47.900 So they'll get a pretty good forecast,
- 941 00:39:47.900 --> 00:39:50.340 because it takes time for the signal I'm sending them
- 942 00:39:50.340 --> 00:39:53.140 to propagate through the ecology, and the human systems.
- 943 00:39:53.980 --> 00:39:56.170 But if I can give them a forecast of what it's going to
- 944 00:39:56.170 --> 00:39:58.160 be like in two months, that gives them, you know,
- 945 00:39:58.160 --> 00:39:59.590 eight weeks more lead time,
- 946 00:39:59.590 --> 00:40:01.410 and you can make a different set of decisions,
- 947 00:40:01.410 --> 00:40:03.130 given an extra two months, right?
- 948 00:40:03.130 --> 00:40:06.270 So it's all about this uncertainty time horizon
- $949\ 00:40:06.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.210\ trade-off\ year.$
- $950\ 00:40:07.210 --> 00:40:09.240$ The more we push out for a greater time horizon,
- $951\ 00:40:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.320$ the greater our certainty,
- 952 00:40:10.320 \rightarrow 00:40:12.750 but also potentially the greater power
- $953\ 00:40:12.750 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.170$ of the decision-making
- $954\ 00:40:14.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.233$ that that kind of system can empower.
- 955 00:40:18.170 --> 00:40:21.540 So, how did these forecasts look?
- 956 00:40:21.540 --> 00:40:22.750 I'm not going to make you sit through
- $957\ 00:40:22.750 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.930$ a whole forecast scale analysis,

- $958\ 00:40:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.210$ but just want to make one point here.
- 959 00:40:26.210 --> 00:40:28.564 If you just focus, let's say, on correlation here,
- $960\ 00:40:28.564 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.614$ for the sake of time,
- 961 00:40:31.070 --> 00:40:31.903 if there's hashing,
- $962\ 00:40:31.903 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.950$ it means a statistically significant scale.
- $963\ 00{:}40{:}33.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}36.250$ And what you see here is that looking at something
- 964 00:40:36.250 --> 00:40:40.460 like soil moisture, we get really good forecasts
- $965\ 00:40:40.460 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.350$ for one month, and then it begins to degrade,
- $966\ 00:40:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.820$ particularly degrading these wet areas.
- $967\ 00:40:45.820$ --> 00:40:47.940 You've maintained some forecast scale out in the dry areas,
- 968 00:40:47.940 --> 00:40:49.400 because there's so much memory, right?
- 969 00:40:49.400 --> 00:40:50.270 If it's not raining much,
- $970\ 00:40:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.520$ most of the initial conditions that matter.
- 971 00:40:52.520 --> 00:40:54.310 But as you go out,
- 972 00:40:54.310 --> 00:40:55.830 the result here we might say is that
- $973\ 00:40:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.640$ we can really do a nice job of getting you
- 974 00:40:57.640 --> 00:41:00.130 an extra four weeks, right, on the system.
- 975 00:41:00.130 --> 00:41:01.970 If you want eight weeks or 12 weeks,
- $976\ 00{:}41{:}01.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}04.140$ and you know, we're not going to be contributing
- $977\ 00:41:04.140 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.973$ that much stuff in the forecast.
- $978\ 00:41:04.973 --> 00:41:07.010$ And so it's important both to have the capability,
- 979 00:41:07.010 --> 00:41:10.050 and to understand the limitations of the capability.
- 980 00:41:10.050 --> 00:41:10.890 All right.
- $981\ 00:41:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.700$ So we do all those analyses.
- $982\ 00:41:13.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.657$ And then, this is not my work.
- 983 00:41:15.657 --> 00:41:17.350 This is work that Bill led.
- $984\ 00{:}41{:}17.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22.350$ He took all of this ecological and hydrological analysis,

985 00:41:22.650 --> 00:41:24.550 and did an objective regionalization,

 $986~00{:}41{:}24.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}27.995$ did principal components analysis on the variability.

987 00:41:27.995 --> 00:41:29.070 End up with these three different factors

 $988~00{:}41{:}29.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33.090$ that are loaded by different properties of the system,

989 00:41:33.090 --> 00:41:34.800 and counting for about, you know, human systems,

990 00:41:34.800 --> 00:41:39.800 as well as land use and hydrometeorological conditions.

991 00:41:39.800 --> 00:41:42.445 And from that, derived these seven

992 00:41:42.445 --> 00:41:43.893 socioenvironmental regions.

 $993\ 00:41:44.870 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.670$ And the principle here is that these regions

994 00:41:47.670 --> 00:41:50.540 are reasonably homogeneous and regionally distinct

995 00:41:50.540 --> 00:41:51.373 from each other,

996 00:41:51.373 --> 00:41:54.363 with respect to human and environmental factors.

997 00:41:55.340 --> 00:41:56.700 And also, as it happens,

998 00:41:56.700 --> 00:41:58.180 this was not necessarily integrated to that,

999 00:41:58.180 --> 00:41:59.560 but because you've included the human systems

 $1000\ 00{:}41{:}59.560 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}42{:}03.863$ in the analysis, most of the travel stays within the region.

1001 00:42:05.191 --> 00:42:07.990 And you typically have similar vector species

 $1002\ 00:42:07.990 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.070$ within a region.

 $1003\ 00:42:09.070 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.300$ Okay, and similar livelihoods.

 $1004\ 00:42:11.300 --> 00:42:13.230$ So, what we then say we're not going to develop

 $1005\ 00:42:13.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.820$ one malaria risk model.

 $1006\ 00:42:15.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.660$ And again, this is now, we're seeing Laredo regions,

 $1007\ 00:42:17.660 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.730$ so this part of Peru.

- $1008\ 00:42:18.730 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.680$ We're going to develop a system that has customized models,
- 1009 00:42:22.680 --> 00:42:25.063 based on socioenvironmental regions.
- $1010\ 00:42:27.160 --> 00:42:29.380$ So, in the remaining time that I have, which isn't much,
- 1011 00:42:29.380 --> 00:42:31.750 I know, so I'll touch on these lightly,
- $1012\ 00:42:31.750 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.530$ but these are just examples of how we can
- 1013 00:42:33.530 --> 00:42:35.283 pull this all together, all right?
- 1014 00:42:36.360 --> 00:42:37.720 And so the first thing,
- $1015\ 00:42:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.240$ kind of the motivation for this whole presentation,
- 1016 00:42:40.240 --> 00:42:42.233 this whole project is forecast, right?
- $1017\ 00{:}42{:}43.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}47.220$ And so, using these socioenvironmental regions,
- 1018 00:42:47.220 --> 00:42:49.040 then aggregate malaria data,
- $1019\ 00:42:49.040 --> 00:42:51.580$ which we have about 300 health posts contributing data,
- $1020\ 00:42:51.580 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.630$ passive surveillance.
- $1021\ 00:42:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.910$ They get aggregated to a socioenvironmental region.
- $1022\ 00:42:55.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.490$ And then we try to predict whether there's an outbreak,
- $1023\ 00:42:58.490 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.490$ based on the Ministry of Health's definition
- 1024 00:43:01.490 --> 00:43:03.610 of what an outbreak is, which is, you know,
- $1025\ 00:43:03.610 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.990$ exceeding a certain threshold,
- $1026\ 00:43:04.990 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.033$ in terms of case number per population.
- 1027 00:43:08.930 --> 00:43:10.610 Again, this work led out of Duke by Bill,
- $1028\ 00{:}43{:}10.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}13.250$ and he uses observed components model
- $1029\ 00:43:13.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.880$ as a statistical method,
- $1030\ 00{:}43{:}14.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}17.780$ and was seeking to get a time horizon of four to 12 weeks.
- 1031 00:43:20.230 --> 00:43:22.202 And again, because it's customized by region,
- $1032\ 00:43:22.202 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.930$ what you'll find is that the model

- $1033\ 00:43:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.570$ has different variable importance
- $1034\ 00:43:26.570 --> 00:43:28.210$ and is structured differently for the different models.
- 1035 00:43:28.210 --> 00:43:30.540 So region one, which includes Iquitos,
- $1036\ 00:43:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.590$ so it's kind of like our most urban area,
- $1037\ 00{:}43{:}33.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}35.800$ we can describe that in terms of the characteristics
- $1038\ 00:43:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.860$ of the socioecological region.
- $1039\ 00{:}43{:}37.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}40.540$ And then we can say, "Okay, what explanatory variables
- $1040~00{:}43{:}40.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}44.150$ from our environmental suite end up being significant?"
- $1041\ 00:43:44.150 --> 00:43:45.780$ It turns out to be soil moisture.
- $1042\ 00:43:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.090$ We can then look at a region like region three,
- 1043 00:43:48.090 --> 00:43:49.370 kind of really out in the forest,
- 1044 00:43:49.370 --> 00:43:51.200 very low population density.
- $1045\ 00:43:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.210$ It has a different description.
- $1046~00{:}43{:}52.210$ --> $00{:}43{:}53.990$ It's going to have different statistical characteristics
- 1047 00:43:53.990 --> 00:43:56.320 to this unobserved components model.
- 1048 00:43:56.320 --> 00:43:57.900 And in this case, minimum temperature
- $1049\ 00:43:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.920$ came out of the more significant variable.
- 1050 00:43:59.920 --> 00:44:01.260 Both of these variables, of course,
- $1051\ 00:44:01.260 --> 00:44:03.700$ if you look at the literature, are using malaria prediction.
- $1052\ 00{:}44{:}03.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}06.170$ So they're both plausible, they're possible pathways,
- $1053\ 00{:}44{:}06.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}08.450$ but different ones came out as more predictive
- $1054\ 00:44:08.450 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.800$ in these different regions.
- $1055\ 00:44:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.363$ Okay? So then we run the system.
- $1056\ 00{:}44{:}15.260$ --> $00{:}44{:}17.360$ We have to run the system starting four weeks

- $1057\ 00:44:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.490$ before the present.
- 1058 00:44:18.490 --> 00:44:19.323 Why?
- $1059\ 00:44:19.323 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.530$ Because it takes about four weeks
- $1060\ 00:44:21.530 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.700$ for surveillance to come in.
- 1061 00:44:22.700 --> 00:44:25.830 Here's the percent of health post reporting
- $1062\ 00:44:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.130$ of malaria data.
- $1063\ 00{:}44{:}27.130 \to 00{:}44{:}30.550$ As you can see, this is time, this is the present.
- $1064\ 00:44:30.550 --> 00:44:33.350$ At the present, you have fewer than 20% reporting.
- 1065 00:44:33.350 --> 00:44:34.330 If you go back four weeks,
- 1066 00:44:34.330 --> 00:44:35.700 you have close to 100\% reporting,
- $1067\ 00:44:35.700 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.090$ which means that you have a good...
- $1068\ 00{:}44{:}37.090 --> 00{:}44{:}39.230$ You know, previous cases are important predictor
- $1069\ 00:44:39.230 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.940$ of future cases.
- $1070~00{:}44{:}40.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45.060$ So the forecast includes a four week forecast of the past.
- $1071\ 00:44:45.060 --> 00:44:47.110$ And then, we want to go out to eight or 12 weeks
- 1072 00:44:47.110 --> 00:44:47.943 in the future.
- 1073 00:44:48.790 --> 00:44:51.120 We have this moving outbreak threshold,
- 1074 00:44:51.120 --> 00:44:53.210 because it varies seasonally and by location,
- 1075 00:44:53.210 --> 00:44:55.120 what MINSA, the health ministry decides
- $1076\ 00:44:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.150$ is the right threshold to declare an outbreak.
- 1077 00:44:57.150 --> 00:44:58.810 And then we might have an observation,
- $1078\ 00{:}44{:}58.810$ --> $00{:}45{:}01.243$ and a competence interval around that observation.
- 1079 00:45:02.780 --> 00:45:04.230 Just to give you an example of performance,
- $1080\ 00:45:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.790\ 2016$ was the first year we really tried this.
- 1081 00:45:06.790 --> 00:45:08.570 So this isn't just a systematic analysis,
- $1082\ 00{:}45{:}08.570 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}45{:}10.670$ just showing you the kinds of things you look at.

- 1083 00:45:10.670 --> 00:45:13.440 True positives, false negatives, false positives,
- $1084\ 00:45:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.283$ true negative.
- $1085\ 00:45:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.710$ For an outbreak in any of these eco regions,
- 1086 00:45:17.710 --> 00:45:19.860 looking at eco region one and three here,
- 1087 00:45:19.860 --> 00:45:22.200 over the different forecast time horizons,
- 1088 00:45:22.200 --> 00:45:24.163 our sensitivity and our specificity.
- $1089\ 00{:}45{:}25.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}28.020$ In a nutshell, we do really well in eco region one.
- $1090\ 00:45:28.020 --> 00:45:30.470$ Fades a little in specificity as we get out
- 1091 00:45:30.470 --> 00:45:32.730 to 12 week time horizon, still pretty good.
- $1092\ 00:45:32.730 --> 00:45:37.130$ eco region three, we do not do that well, okay?
- 1093 00:45:37.130 --> 00:45:39.200 And so again, small sample one year,
- $1094\ 00:45:39.200 --> 00:45:41.390$ but just our first test was showing us
- $1095\ 00:45:41.390 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.480$ that we're going to get different performance
- $1096\ 00:45:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.730$ in different eco regions.
- 1097 00:45:45.900 --> 00:45:46.810 Okay.
- $1098\ 00:45:46.810 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.710$ And so, that's all at the eco region level.
- $1099\ 00:45:50.710 --> 00:45:52.064$ I'm not going to get to too many more results
- $1100\ 00:45:52.064 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.540$ at that level right now,
- 1101 00:45:53.540 --> 00:45:56.400 but rather say that to be decision relevant,
- $1102\ 00:45:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.970$ we have to go down to the district level.
- $1103\ 00:45:57.970 --> 00:46:01.980$ So, the lines here on this map are separating the districts.
- 1104 00:46:01.980 --> 00:46:02.813 Okay.
- $1105\ 00:46:02.813 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.750$ And so the colors of the eco regions
- $1106\ 00:46:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.970$ aligns with the district.
- $1107\ 00:46:04.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.820$ We really want to be at a district level.
- $1108\ 00:46:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.760$ And so for this, again, won't get to the details right now,
- $1109\ 00{:}46{:}09.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.900$ but Mark Janko implemented this hierarchical

- 1110 00:46:12.900 --> 00:46:15.113 Bayesian spatio-temporal logistic model,
- $1111\ 00{:}46{:}16.380 \to 00{:}46{:}19.530$ where you basically have your district outbreak probability
- $1112\ 00{:}46{:}19.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}22.610$ being a function of the probability of an outbreak
- $1113\ 00:46:22.610 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.920$ in the eco region that contains the district,
- 1114 00:46:24.920 --> 00:46:26.820 and some district-specific properties.
- $1115\ 00{:}46{:}29.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31.177$ When Mark downscaled and looked at some of these analyses
- $1116\ 00{:}46{:}31.177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35.560$ and then did an evaluation over a retrospective period,
- $1117\ 00:46:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.570$ these are the kinds of sensitivities and specificity
- 1118 00:46:37.570 --> 00:46:39.780 we're getting for different districts
- $1119\ 00:46:39.780 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.990$ within each eco region.
- $1120\ 00{:}46{:}40{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42{.}970$ Again, just showing you eco region one and three here
- $1121\ 00:46:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.420$ as examples.
- $1122\ 00{:}46{:}44{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46{.}910$ And you'll see that again, pretty high variability.
- $1123\ 00{:}46{:}46{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}49{.}910$ So we were doing well in eco region one at eco region level,
- $1124\ 00:46:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.080$ but you'll see that, for example,
- $1125\ 00:46:51.080 --> 00:46:52.973$ in the district of Fernando Loris,
- $1126\ 00:46:52.973 --> 00:46:55.320$ there were some pretty significant errors
- $1127\ 00:46:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.770$ in this retrospective period,
- $1128\ 00{:}46{:}58.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}00.460$ and different kinds of errors in different places.
- $1129\ 00:47:00.460 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.650$ So also for us to look at,
- $1130\ 00{:}47{:}01.650 --> 00{:}47{:}05.120$ in eco region three, kind of uniformly doing worse
- $1131\ 00:47:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.720$ in general, than eco region one.
- $1132\ 00:47:07.620 \dashrightarrow 00:47:10.300$ So why is that? Why are we doing poorly in region three?

- $1133\ 00:47:10.300 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.133$ Multiple reasons.
- $1134\ 00{:}47{:}11.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}15.500$ One thing I want to emphasize is that eco region three
- $1135\ 00{:}47{:}15.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}17.990$ was very much located kind of up in this area.
- $1136\ 00{:}47{:}17.990 --> 00{:}47{:}19.920$ So first of all, malaria cases are generally low there
- $1137\ 00{:}47{:}19.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22.800$ in total, because it's such a sparsely populated area.
- $1138\ 00:47:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.290$ But it's also a border area.
- 1139 00:47:24.290 --> 00:47:26.620 It's a border area that is transected
- $1140\ 00:47:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.150$ by trans boundary rivers.
- $1141\ 00{:}47{:}28.150 {\:\raisebox{---}{\text{---}}}> 00{:}47{:}31.009$ The trans boundary rivers are the transportation
- $1142\ 00:47:31.009 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.750$ in the region.
- $1143\ 00:47:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.470$ And so what we find is that our model fits most poorly here
- $1144\ 00:47:36.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.520$ in eco region three and another eco region
- 1145 00:47:38.520 --> 00:47:41.030 dominated by trans boundary river.
- $1146\ 00:47:41.030 --> 00:47:44.720$ Doesn't do well in places along the rivers. Okay?
- $1147\ 00:47:44.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.420$ And so that's one big weakness in the model
- $1148\ 00:47:48.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.520$ that we're working on.
- 1149 00:47:51.470 --> 00:47:54.010 And oops, the slides got reversed.
- $1150\ 00:47:54.010 --> 00:47:57.005$ And I just want to point out that we are looking at,
- $1151\ 00:47:57.005 --> 00:47:59.310$ and we had a paper recently, led by students.
- $1152\ 00{:}47{:}59.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02.120$ And so this is students from Duke, Johns Hopkins,
- 1153 00:48:02.120 --> 00:48:04.300 Ecuador and Peru, who took the initiative
- $1154\ 00{:}48{:}04.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}08.230$ to really lead an analysis of this cross-border spillover.
- 1155 00:48:08.230 --> 00:48:10.003 And that's something we're looking at now.
- 1156 00:48:11.310 --> 00:48:12.830 Okay.

- $1157\ 00:48:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.170$ So, that's where the forecast system is.
- $1158\ 00:48:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.970$ We brought it in 2019.
- $1159\ 00{:}48{:}16.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19.640$ We did some operational forecasts for the Health Ministry.
- 1160 00:48:19.640 --> 00:48:21.400 Was all looking good.
- 1161 00:48:21.400 --> 00:48:22.840 Then there's political change and COVID,
- $1162\ 00:48:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.370$ so we're a little bit on hold right now,
- 1163 00:48:24.370 --> 00:48:25.650 but we've got a system that we've proved
- $1164\ 00:48:25.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.900$ we can use operationally.
- $1165\ 00:48:26.900$ --> 00:48:29.200 We continue to try to improve the performance.
- 1166 00:48:30.060 --> 00:48:31.683 Policy evaluation. Okay.
- 1167 00:48:32.600 --> 00:48:35.260 So I'm going to give one example
- $1168\ 00:48:35.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.920$ of policy analysis we've done.
- $1169\ 00{:}48{:}37.920 \operatorname{--->} 00{:}48{:}40.780$ That was PAMAFRO, which was this project for malaria control
- $1170\ 00:48:40.780 --> 00:48:45.040$ on the Andean border areas, active 2006 to 2010 or 11,
- 1171 00:48:45.040 --> 00:48:46.632 depending on how you counted.
- 1172 00:48:46.632 --> 00:48:48.450 They did four kinds of things.
- $1173\ 00:48:48.450 --> 00:48:50.033$ Long-lasting insecticidal nets,
- $1174\ 00{:}48{:}50.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}55.750$ better rapid diagnostic tests, and other monitoring tools,
- 1175 00:48:55.750 --> 00:48:59.500 case management, with antimalarial drugs and training,
- $1176\ 00{:}48{:}59.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}01.730$ and environmental management for vector control.
- $1177\ 00:49:01.730 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.530$ So doing these four kinds of things.
- 1178 00:49:04.470 --> 00:49:06.060 And it kind of worked, right?
- $1179\ 00:49:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.580$ So this is by vivax and falciparum in Laredo.
- $1180\ 00{:}49{:}08.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}10.840$ And it sure looks like over the PAMAFRO period,
- $1181\ 00:49:10.840 --> 00:49:12.500$ the case counts were going down, down, down,

 $1182\ 00:49:12.500 --> 00:49:15.453$ approaching eradication, which was the goal of the program.

 $1183\ 00:49:16.750 --> 00:49:19.883$ Then stops suddenly in 2011, cases start coming back up.

 $1184\ 00{:}49{:}20.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}23.610$ And what we can do is we can leverage that district model

1185 00:49:23.610 --> 00:49:26.800 that Mark Janko developed, right?

 $1186\ 00:49:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.557$ Not only using it for forecasts, but then saying,

1187 00:49:28.557 --> 00:49:30.900 "Well, let's include in that model structure

 $1188\ 00:49:30.900 --> 00:49:32.863$ the different interventions, especially with PAMAFRO."

 $1189\ 00:49:32.863 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.950$ Because we know at district level and with monthly timing,

 $1190\ 00:49:36.950 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.460$ what kind of interventions were done where.

 $1191\ 00:49:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.360$ Let's integrate that to a model and then do

1192 00:49:41.360 --> 00:49:43.550 an interrupted time series analysis,

 $1193\ 00{:}49{:}43.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}47.260$ and see what those interventions actually accomplished

1194 00:49:47.260 --> 00:49:49.650 on the background of climate variability,

 $1195\ 00:49:49.650 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.760$ and all the other variables in our model.

 $1196\ 00{:}49{:}51.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54.830$ So kind of an environmentally controlled analysis

 $1197\ 00:49:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.883$ of the effectiveness of the intervention.

 $1198~00{:}49{:}58.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02.120$ Mark's found is that, well, you can kind of quantify this.

1199 00:50:02.120 --> 00:50:04.440 So the blue line here in the top left,

 $1200\ 00:50:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.620$ top is vivax, bottom is falciparum.

 $1201\ 00:50:06.620 \dashrightarrow 00:50:10.700$ Blue lines are the model, dots are the observation.

1202 00:50:10.700 --> 00:50:12.600 On the left, we have the PAMAFRO period.

 $1203\ 00:50:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.565$ And we see that our model,

1204 00:50:14.565 --> 00:50:15.980 if you don't tell it about the intervention,

- $1205\ 00:50:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.820$ systematically overestimates the cases in this period,
- $1206\ 00:50:18.820 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.020$ for both vivax and falciparum.
- $1207\ 00:50:21.020 --> 00:50:24.770$ In the post PAMAFRO period, starting in 2011,
- 1208 00:50:24.770 --> 00:50:25.960 quite the opposite.
- $1209\ 00:50:25.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.977$ Our model has cases down here.
- 1210 00:50:27.977 --> 00:50:29.777 The observed cases were much higher.
- $1211\ 00{:}50{:}31.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}35.530$ And so, take those together and come up with estimates
- $1212\ 00:50:35.530 --> 00:50:38.323$ that about 150,000 cases were averted by PAMAFRO.
- 1213 00:50:38.323 --> 00:50:41.830 That was the amount of malaria averted thanks to PAMAFRO,
- $1214\ 00:50:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.860$ and had you continued it for another five years,
- 1215 00:50:44.860 --> 00:50:47.250 you would've averted another 150,000,
- 1216 00:50:47.250 --> 00:50:48.930 not to mention the long-lasting impact
- 1217 00:50:48.930 --> 00:50:51.508 of driving cases that low, right?
- $1218\ 00:50:51.508 --> 00:50:55.360$ And so here we have an analysis of both the effectiveness
- $1219\ 00:50:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.780$ and the cost of removing a program
- $1220\ 00:50:57.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.330$ without a good continuity plan.
- 1221 00:51:00.820 --> 00:51:02.780 And then you can zoom in, because again,
- $1222\ 00:51:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.220$ we have this district level information
- $1223\ 00:51:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.550$ on each kind of intervention.
- 1224 00:51:05.550 --> 00:51:06.530 I see I'm running out of time,
- $1225\ 00{:}51{:}06.530 --> 00{:}51{:}09.440$ so I won't spend too much time walking through these maps,
- $1226\ 00:51:09.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.900$ but green shows incidence ratio less than one.
- 1227 00:51:12.900 --> 00:51:14.270 And so we can look district by district
- 1228 00:51:14.270 --> 00:51:19.270 and say, "Okay, for falciparum and vivax,
- 1229 00:51:19.680 --> 00:51:21.890 for each of the four intervention types,

- $1230\ 00:51:21.890 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.530$ environmental management, bed nets, et cetera,
- 1231 00:51:24.530 --> 00:51:26.830 in which districts do we see the most effect
- $1232\ 00:51:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.680$ when we add or remove this from our interpretive
- 1233 00:51:28.680 --> 00:51:30.710 time series analysis?"
- $1234\ 00:51:30.710 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.150$ And there's some interesting patterns that appear
- $1235\ 00:51:32.150 --> 00:51:35.530$ that we're in conversation with some of our partners about
- $1236\ 00:51:35.530 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.130$ to figure out what might be effective in the future.
- 1237 00:51:39.800 --> 00:51:41.240 One of the cool thing just mentioned
- 1238 00:51:41.240 --> 00:51:42.250 that you can do with this
- $1239\ 00{:}51{:}42.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}46.270$ is try to figure out how much malaria and dengue there is
- $1240\ 00{:}51{:}46.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49.090$ right now in this area, because we have no idea.
- $1241\ 00:51:49.090 --> 00:51:51.760$ If you look at what happened in 2020 with surveillance,
- $1242\ 00:51:51.760 --> 00:51:53.590\ I$ mean the health system basically shut down.
- 1243 00:51:53.590 --> 00:51:55.317 And so, it looks like it was a great year
- 1244 00:51:55.317 --> 00:51:58.910 for malaria control, but of course it wasn't.
- $1245\ 00{:}51{:}58.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}02.020$ So we can then use this same modeling approach
- $1246\ 00:52:02.020$ --> 00:52:04.270 to try to estimate how many cases there really were
- $1247\ 00:52:04.270 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.860$ in the year, 2020 and 2021.
- $1248\ 00:52:05.860 --> 00:52:08.170$ And as you can see, we estimate that there were
- $1249\ 00:52:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.773$ at least three times as many cases.
- 1250 00:52:13.100 --> 00:52:13.933 Okay.
- $1251\ 00:52:13.933 --> 00:52:16.580$ Last point I want to make here is that
- $1252\ 00:52:16.580 --> 00:52:18.380$ I've showed you some malaria modeling cases

- 1253 00:52:18.380 --> 00:52:20.320 that are process-informed,
- 1254 00:52:20.320 --> 00:52:22.240 but at their heart, statistical, right?
- $1255\ 00:52:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.380$ These are empirical analyses.
- 1256 00:52:24.380 --> 00:52:25.700 And looking at intervention scenarios,
- $1257\ 00:52:25.700 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.700$ we are also looking at explicit simulation of behavior,
- $1258\ 00:52:31.090 --> 00:52:34.110$ okay, to get these coupled natural human systems right.
- $1259\ 00:52:34.110 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.550$ And the way that we are doing that,
- 1260 00:52:35.550 --> 00:52:37.630 led by Francisco Pizzitutti,
- $1261\ 00:52:37.630 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.080$ is with agent-based modeling.
- $1262\ 00:52:39.940 --> 00:52:42.440$ And this is a kind of Coolidge based model Francisco built,
- $1263\ 00:52:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.800$ in that it has agents that are mosquitoes, humans,
- 1264 00:52:45.800 --> 00:52:46.800 and plasmodium, okay?
- $1265\ 00:52:46.800 \dashrightarrow 00:52:49.710$ So. you have all of these are agents interacting.
- $1266\ 00:52:49.710 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.770$ And here is just an example of one of the villages
- 1267 00:52:51.770 --> 00:52:52.950 where he's applied this,
- 1268 00:52:52.950 --> 00:52:56.460 where you can have different households,
- 1269 00:52:56.460 --> 00:52:58.220 and all these agents are interacting
- $1270\ 00:52:58.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.720$ and influenced by the environment.
- $1271\ 00:52:59.720 --> 00:53:03.040$ In that here, we see different kinds of breeding habitats
- 1272 00:53:03.040 --> 00:53:05.180 influenced by seasonal flooding,
- $1273\ 00:53:05.180$ --> 00:53:07.900 with information from our environmental analysis system,
- $1274\ 00:53:07.900 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.260$ changing the hydrology.
- $1275\ 00:53:09.260 --> 00:53:11.160$ And then you've got the cases happening in this household,
- 1276 00:53:11.160 --> 00:53:12.920 each of which is also experiencing
- 1277 00:53:12.920 --> 00:53:15.303 its own environmental conditions, okay?

- $1278\ 00:53:16.180 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.020$ You can then run scenarios of control.
- 1279 00:53:18.020 --> 00:53:20.550 For example, vector control strategies,
- $1280\ 00:53:20.550 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.990$ one thing we like to look at.
- $1281\ 00:53:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.840$ And so we're looking at here
- $1282\ 00:53:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.710$ at one of these environmental control applications,
- $1283\ 00:53:24.710$ --> 00:53:28.040 and saying, "Well, what if you do larval habitat control
- 1284 00:53:28.040 --> 00:53:29.810 around a certain buffer radius,
- 1285 00:53:29.810 --> 00:53:32.140 around each household, right?"
- 1286 00:53:32.140 --> 00:53:34.200 How well do you do at 50 meters, 100 meters,
- $1287\ 00:53:34.200 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.690\ 150$ meters, 200 meters,
- 1288 00:53:35.690 --> 00:53:37.490 when you talk about malaria incidents?
- $1289\ 00:53:37.490 --> 00:53:38.990$ Total vivax falciparum.
- 1290 00:53:38.990 --> 00:53:40.100 And the idea here is that,
- 1291 00:53:40.100 --> 00:53:42.100 by understanding this agent based model
- 1292 00:53:43.100 --> 00:53:44.620 movement patterns, right?
- $1293\ 00:53:44.620 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.890$ And the sensitivities of the different agent types,
- $1294\ 00:53:48.890 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.867$ we can get a sense, say,
- 1295 00:53:49.867 --> 00:53:51.810 "Well, really you want to probably get out
- $1296\ 00:53:51.810 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.740$ while you take your pick,
- 1297 00:53:52.740 --> 00:53:54.780 but I would say at least 150 meters
- 1298 00:53:54.780 --> 00:53:56.430 might be considered very effective.
- 1299 00:53:56.430 --> 00:53:59.227 Anything beyond 200 is unnecessary."
- $1300\ 00:53:59.227 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.060$ All right.
- $1301\ 00:54:00.060$ --> 00:54:02.880 And so this is parametrized for one set of villages.
- 1302 00:54:02.880 --> 00:54:05.210 It's very data intensive, but nevertheless,
- 1303 00:54:05.210 --> 00:54:07.085 I think it indicates a powerful way to,
- $1304\ 00{:}54{:}07.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09.070$ you know, use your environmental information

- $1305\ 00:54:09.070 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.020$ in a different manner, not as an empirical predictor,
- $1306\ 00:54:12.020 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.680$ but as a variable within a model
- 1307 00:54:15.680 --> 00:54:17.410 in which different agents are responding
- 1308 00:54:17.410 --> 00:54:22.283 according to decision rules to this variability.
- $1309\ 00{:}54{:}23.560 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}54{:}26.080$ You can also use the same tool, and Francisco has,
- 1310 00:54:26.080 --> 00:54:27.930 to look at the importance of mobility, right?
- 1311 00:54:27.930 --> 00:54:29.270 So that's something people talk a lot about
- $1312\ 00:54:29.270 --> 00:54:30.310$ in the past couple of years, right?
- $1313\ 00{:}54{:}30.310$ --> $00{:}54{:}32.500$ How much mobility influences disease transmission.
- 1314 00:54:32.500 --> 00:54:34.274 It's an old story from malaria.
- 1315 00:54:34.274 --> 00:54:35.200 What you'll see here is if you look
- $1316\ 00:54:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.960$ at your observed black line here
- 1317 00:54:36.960 --> 00:54:38.740 of the average monthly malaria incidents
- 1318 00:54:38.740 --> 00:54:39.883 along the Napo river,
- 1319 00:54:41.880 --> 00:54:42.713 first thing you know, is that,
- $1320\ 00{:}54{:}42.713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}45.640$ "Well, okay, if I run this model with no asymptomatic cases
- 1321 00:54:45.640 --> 00:54:47.280 considered in travel,"
- $1322\ 00:54:47.280 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.930$ you assume that no asymptomatic people are traveling,
- 1323 00:54:49.930 --> 00:54:51.810 you way underestimate the incidence rate.
- $1324\ 00:54:51.810 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.933$ So we know there's a lot of asymptomatic activity going on.
- $1325\ 00:54:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.987$ And then we can say,
- $1326\ 00{:}54{:}56.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00.160$ "Okay, as the percent of traveling workers increase,
- $1327\ 00.55{:}00.160 \dashrightarrow 00.55{:}03.030$ we would expect the incidence rate to increase."
- $1328\ 00:55:03.030 --> 00:55:04.077$ And we're right about the right order of magnitude.
- $1329\ 00:55:04.077 --> 00:55:05.570$ And it looks like some of this movement

- $1330\ 00:55:05.570 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.370$ really does need to be accounted for,
- $1331\ 00:55:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.030$ to understand the incidence rates
- 1332 00:55:10.030 --> 00:55:11.610 with significant implications, again,
- $1333\ 00:55:11.610 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.783$ or how you would do monitoring and control in the region.
- $1334\ 00:55:16.240 --> 00:55:19.160\ So$, ran a little longer than I wanted to. Sorry.
- $1335\ 00:55:19.160 --> 00:55:21.660$ That's what happens when you let professors talk.
- $1336\ 00:55:21.660 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.700$ But just a few of the next steps here.
- $1337\ 00:55:23.700 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.220\ I$ break them into four categories.
- $1338\ 00:55:26.220 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.700$ We're really working on the application here.
- $1339\ 00:55:27.700$ --> 00:55:30.740 As I noted, there's been a lot of political turnover
- 1340 00:55:30.740 --> 00:55:32.420 in Peru for those who know the region,
- $1341\ 00:55:32.420$ --> 00:55:35.120 which has hampered our ability to operationalize a forecast.
- 1342 00:55:35.120 --> 00:55:37.280 So now, we're starting to train and transfer
- $1343\ 00:55:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.470$ to some universities and research institutions
- $1344\ 00:55:40.470 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.450$ in the region, rather than straight to the government,
- $1345\ 00:55:42.450 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.610$ to be able to spare stability.
- 1346 00:55:44.610 --> 00:55:46.780 We're just having our first meeting this week
- $1347\ 00:55:46.780 --> 00:55:49.600$ on an effort to expand to include Columbia and Brazil.
- $1348\ 00:55:49.600 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.550$ So it's a big up-scaling of the effort.
- 1349 00:55:52.510 --> 00:55:53.500 And we're also seeing,
- $1350\ 00:55:53.500 --> 00:55:56.890$ can we transfer this to an area in central America,
- $1351\ 00:55:56.890 --> 00:56:01.320$ working with the Clinton Health Access Initiative, sorry.
- $1352\ 00:56:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.680$ Flipped the letters.
- $1353\ 00:56:03.520$ --> 00:56:06.110 On Central America, where the case counts are low

 $1354\ 00:56:06.110 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.480$ and therefore the ecology and the environmental sensitivity

 $1355\ 00:56:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.570$ of the system shifts.

 $1356\ 00:56:10.570 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.340$ It seems to cross a threshold.

 $1357\ 00:56:12.340 --> 00:56:14.570$ So we want to see how the approach works there.

1358 00:56:14.570 --> 00:56:17.360 And last, but certainly not least,

 $1359\ 00:56:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.160$ through these combined methods, but again,

 $1360\ 00:56:19.160 \dashrightarrow 00:56:21.300$ all trying to leverage the power of the different fields

1361 00:56:21.300 --> 00:56:23.620 to understand malaria sensitivities.

 $1362\ 00:56:23.620 --> 00:56:25.470$ How can we continue to explain these coupled

 $1363\ 00:56:25.470 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.570$ natural human mechanisms, which,

 $1364\ 00{:}56{:}27.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}30.900$ despite the fact that we've known about these relationships

 $1365\ 00:56:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.830$ since ancient times,

 $1366\ 00:56:31.830 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.770$ we continue to struggle to understand

 $1367\ 00:56:34.770 --> 00:56:36.950$ in a predictive manner today.

 $1368\ 00:56:36.950 \dashrightarrow 00:56:39.320$ So, thank you again for the opportunity to talk.

 $1369\ 00{:}56{:}39.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}40.870$ I realize I didn't leave too much time for questions,

 $1370\ 00:56:40.870 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.670$ but maybe we have time for a couple.

1371 00:56:50.630 --> 00:56:52.320 < v Kai>Thank you, Ben, for the great talk.
</v>

 $1372\ 00:56:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.460$ So, we actually have a class right after this seminar,

 $1373\ 00:56:55.460 --> 00:56:58.563$ so I think we only have time for one question,

 $1374\ 00:56:58.563 --> 00:57:02.440$ and the students have already read the papers

1375 00:57:02.440 --> 00:57:05.730 that you mentioned published in your page.

 $1376\ 00{:}57{:}05.730 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}57{:}09.453$ So, any of you want to ask a question directly?

1377 00:57:10.574 --> 00:57:13.190 (indistinct)

1378 00:57:13.190 --> 00:57:15.160 Okay, so let me ask you this question.

 $1379\ 00:57:15.160$ --> 00:57:20.160 So Ben, you gave wonderful talk on the importance

1380 00:57:21.404 --> 00:57:24.335 of value, time and migrating,

1381 00:57:24.335 --> 00:57:25.970 the importance of having the data,

 $1382\ 00:57:25.970 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.870$ and then from the very state of the art

 $1383\ 00:57:28.870 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.613$ subseasonal to seasonal forecast.

 $1384\ 00:57:31.710 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.190$ The students when they read the paper, they have question

1385 00:57:35.190 --> 00:57:38.050 regarding (indistinct) also COVID-19 related.

 $1386\ 00:57:38.050 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.050$ So, did you see how to apply this malaria focus system?

 $1387\ 00:57:45.350 \dashrightarrow 00:57:49.863$ The application to COVID-19 control focus system?

 $1388\ 00:57:51.510 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.140 < v \longrightarrow Yeah$. Interesting point. </v>

 $1389\ 00:57:54.140 --> 00:57:57.760$ So, I'm going to answer in a very general way.

 $1390\ 00{:}57{:}57.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}59.590$ They're obviously very different diseases, right?

 $1391\ 00{:}57{:}59.590 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}58{:}01.730$ We're talking about a vector-based tropical disease

 $1392\ 00:58:01.730 --> 00:58:05.923$ versus a pandemic virus with a lot of airborne transmission.

1393 00:58:07.390 --> 00:58:09.630 But I would say that the general challenge

 $1394\ 00:58:09.630 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.040$ of bringing these different data sets together

 $1395\ 00:58:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.060$ is really critical.

 $1396\ 00:58:13.060 --> 00:58:15.563$ And we can do cross-learning across diseases,

 $1397\ 00:58:16.480 \dashrightarrow 00:58:18.620$ because one thing we've really struggled with in COVID

 $1398\ 00:58:18.620 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.210$ is to bring all the information together

 $1399\ 00:58:20.210 --> 00:58:24.450$ in systematic databases for responsible analysis.

 $1400\ 00:58:24.450 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.880$ And we were able to leverage some of the things

 $1401\ 00:58:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:58:28.550$ we've done with malaria and other tropical diseases,

- $1402\ 00{:}58{:}28.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}31.830$ to build COVID information databases, to support research.
- 1403 00:58:31.830 --> 00:58:33.240 And I know that Kai did his own work
- $1404\ 00:58:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.920$ to pull his own database together.
- 1405 00:58:34.920 --> 00:58:35.820 So moving forward,
- $1406\ 00:58:35.820 \longrightarrow 00:58:37.450$ how can we use all of these diseases
- $1407\ 00:58:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.350$ to inform those kinds of data structures,
- 1408 00:58:39.350 --> 00:58:40.740 I think would be...
- $1409\ 00:58:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.230$ And cross-learning approaches will be the way to go.
- $1410\ 00:58:43.230 \to 00:58:45.290$ I wouldn't necessarily endorse any single thing
- $1411\ 00:58:45.290$ --> 00:58:48.080 that I did here on malaria as the answer for COVID-19 model.
- $1412\ 00:58:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.280$ They're too different.
- 1413 00:58:49.280 --> 00:58:51.680 But if you can really focus on that kind of
- $1414\ 00:58:52.680 --> 00:58:55.553$ informed integration, I think there's a lot to be learned.
- 1415 00:58:56.563 --> 00:58:57.617 <v Kai>Thank you so much, Ben.</v>
- $1416\ 00:58:57.617 --> 00:59:00.359$ And thank you, guys, for coming today,
- $1417\ 00:59:00.359 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.047$ and thank you for our online audience.
- $1418~00{:}59{:}03.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06.840$ And just kind of reminder that today's lecture
- 1419 00:59:06.840 --> 00:59:09.970 is recorded and will be available online,
- $1420\ 00:59:09.970 --> 00:59:14.567$ on our (indistinct) websites, so you can check that.
- 1421 00:59:14.567 --> 00:59:17.019 Want to sincerely thank you, Ben,
- $1422\ 00:59:17.019 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.686$ for giving this incredible talk.
- 1423 00:59:20.829 --> 00:59:22.287 <v Benjamin>Great, thank you.</v>