WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:59:40" NOTE recognizability:0.849

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.937374225

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.759$ OK, so good afternoon everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.937374225

 $00:00:02.759 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.624$ and welcome to sleep seminar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:00:04.630 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.926$ So I just before we get started

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:00:06.926 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.462$ I do want to introduce just a

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:00:09.462 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.219$ couple of just a couple of points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:00:11.220 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.831$ First that we do have these lectures

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:00:13.831 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.924$ available for credit and that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

00:00:15.924 --> 00:00:17.730 code for the lecture does need

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:00:17.730 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.865$ to be tested by 3:15 PM today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:00:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.156$ And if you don't if you missed the code,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00{:}00{:}22.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.915$ don't worry, it will show up in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00{:}00{:}23.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.153$ OK if you have questions during the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:00:26.153 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.320$ talk please use the chat feature and

 $00:00:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.913$ then we'll get to them at the end so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00{:}00{:}30.913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.454$ Now it's my great pleasure to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

00:00:33.454 --> 00:00:35.418 Doctor Marie Lynn Marie Trotti.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:00:35.420 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.442$ Dr Trotty is an associate professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:00:37.442 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.282$ of neurology and also associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

00:00:39.282 --> 00:00:41.437 professor of Pediatrics at Emory

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:00:41.437 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.161$ University School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:00:43.170 \dashrightarrow 00:00:44.880$ She serves as the associate Sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:00:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.380$ Medicine Fellowship director at

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

00:00:46.380 --> 00:00:48.630 Emory and directs the Sleep Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00{:}00{:}48.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}50.852$ rotations there and she is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:00:50.852 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.850$ director of the Restless Leg Syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:00:52.921 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.909$ Foundation Quality Care Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:00:54.910 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.465$ Doctor Trotti received her medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

00:00:56.465 --> 00:00:58.020 degree from Baylor College of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

00:00:58.072 --> 00:00:59.773 Medicine and then she moved to Emory

 $00:00:59.773 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.378$ where she was a medical intern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

00:01:01.380 --> 00:01:02.331 A neurology resident,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:02.331 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.916$ a chief resident and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:03.916 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.630$ a fellow in Sleep Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

00:01:05.630 --> 00:01:07.196 She joined the faculty at Emory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:07.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.167$ where she received a Masters in Clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

00:01:09.167 --> 00:01:11.247 Research and where she's an active educator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:11.250 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.699$ clinician, and researcher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:12.699 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.570$ She has served on multiple local,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333 00:01:15.570 --> 00:01:15.951 national, NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:15.951 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.094$ and international committees

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:17.094 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.237$ and working groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00{:}01{:}18.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}20.150$ and notably for this presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.550$ she served on the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.670$ Academy of Sleep Medicine,

 $00:01:22.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.002$ Central Disorders of Hypersomnolence

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00{:}01{:}24.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26.601$ Task Force that led to the updated

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

00:01:26.601 --> 00:01:28.227 hypersomnia treatment guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

00:01:28.230 --> 00:01:30.148 which were just published in the Journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:30.148 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.058$ of Clinical Sleep Medicine in September.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

00:01:32.060 --> 00:01:33.730 She has received numerous awards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.742$ including the Hypersomnia Foundation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:35.742 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.754$ Impact award in 2020.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

00:01:37.760 --> 00:01:39.410 She serves on several editorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:39.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.060$ boards including the Journal of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00{:}01{:}41.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}42.800$ Clinical Sleep Medicine and she's

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.480$ an associate editor for Sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.505$ She's published widely in areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:46.505 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.315$ of hypersomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.380$ restless leg syndrome and movement

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:01:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.240$ disorders and the overlap of

 $00:01:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.500$ sleep and neurologic disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

00:01:52.500 --> 00:01:54.300 such as Parkinson's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333 00:01:54.300 --> 00:01:54.675 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

00:01:54.675 --> 00:01:56.925 her primary research focus is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00{:}01{:}56.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58.591$ pathophysiology and treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

00:01:58.591 --> 00:02:00.037 central hypersomnolence disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:02:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.084$ so we feel really excited and fortunate

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00:02:02.084 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.499$ to have doctor Trotty join us today

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00{:}02{:}04.499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05.983$ to discuss idiopathic hypersomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924347438333333

 $00{:}02{:}05.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07.559$ Clinical update welcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.700$ Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:09.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.820$ I am very excited to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

00:02:11.820 --> 00:02:13.510 I see some familiar faces

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.200$ and names on the zoom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.522$ I wish we could be in person,

 $00:02:17.522 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.868$ but I'm excited to be here

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:19.868 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.398$ to talk with you all today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.306$ So without further ado up my CME

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:26.306 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.496$ disclosure is that I am a speaker for

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:30.496 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.329$ Medscape on some of their CME content.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:34.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.535$ This has been mitigated by

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:36.535 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.858$ the appropriate offices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.790$ My non financial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.340$ Disclosures are these I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.256$ be discussing off label use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00{:}02{:}42.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}43.496$ approved medications and depending

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:43.496 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.309$ on where the conversation goes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:45.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.030$ might discuss unapproved medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:47.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.610$ Also important to know that although

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

00:02:49.677 --> 00:02:51.771 I do not have any intellectual

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

00:02:51.771 --> 00:02:53.167 property related to anything,

 $00:02:53.170 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.550$ I will be talking about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.902$ Several of my collaborators here at

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:56.902 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.266$ Emory and Emory themselves have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:02:59.266 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.096$ intellectual property related to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00{:}03{:}01.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04.065$ use of GABA agents for the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:03:04.065 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.865$ of excessive daytime sleepiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182 00:03:05.870 --> 00:03:06.534 And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:03:06.534 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.560$ I'm a member of the Board of the ASM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:03:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.643$ I'm very opinionated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:03:10.643 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.936$ but all of those opinions are my own and

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:03:13.936 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.720$ do not necessarily reflect those of the ASM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:03:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.132$ So here we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00{:}03{:}20.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21.838$ Idiopathic hypersomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:03:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.160$ Just to get us all on the page,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:03:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.645$ same page to start here or the

 $00:03:26.645 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.190$ diagnostic criteria in the ICS D3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:03:30.190 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.452$ It is required that there be

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:03:32.452 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.960$ excessive daytime sleepiness for

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:03:34.030 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.193$ at least three months and then it

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

00:03:36.193 --> 00:03:38.748 is required that a number of things

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

00:03:38.748 --> 00:03:40.698 get ruled out because idiopathic

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:03:40.698 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.494$ hypersomnia implies that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:03:42.494 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.600$ not another cause for the symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:03:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.833$ and so there cannot be cataplexy because

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:03:46.833 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.308$ then you would have narcolepsy type one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00{:}03{:}49.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51.460$ There cannot be multiple sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:03:51.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.110$ on sat REM periods between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

00:03:54.110 --> 00:03:56.185 overnight study and the MSLT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:03:56.190 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.665$ because then you would have narcolepsy and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182 00:03:59.665 --> 00:04:00.150 Finally, NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:04:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.575$ you have to exclude some

 $00:04:02.575 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.750$ number of other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:04:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.674$ specifically institution sleep durations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:04:06.674 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.079$ but any other disorder that

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

00:04:09.079 --> 00:04:11.393 might explain the symptoms should

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

00:04:11.393 --> 00:04:13.157 theoretically be ruled out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

00:04:13.160 --> 00:04:16.160 It is not only a disorder of exclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

00:04:16.160 --> 00:04:20.024 however you do need at least one objective

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:04:20.024 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.740$ confirmation of the hypersomnolence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00{:}04{:}22.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.422$ and so there's three ways you can do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:04:25.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.229$ Typically what we do is the multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

00:04:27.229 --> 00:04:29.103 sleep latency test showing a mean sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:04:29.103 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.675$ latency of less than eight minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00{:}04{:}30.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33.368$ and I say typically we do that because

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00{:}04{:}33.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.995$ the differential includes narcolepsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:04:34.995 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.653$ and that's how we diagnose narcolepsy.

00:04:37.660 --> 00:04:38.940 But as we'll talk about in a minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00{:}04{:}38.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41.600$ that's probably not a great way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

00:04:41.600 --> 00:04:42.740 diagnose idiopathic hypersomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:04:42.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.948$ and so you can also for those people

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:04:44.948 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.859$ who have long sleep durations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:04:46.860 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.240$ make the diagnosis either through

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:04:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.878$ 24 hour PSG showing at least 11

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00{:}04{:}50.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}52.422$ hours of measured sleep time should

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00{:}04{:}52.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54.000$ you happen to practice with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:04:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.574$ place where that is a thing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00{:}04{:}55.574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.132$ can obtain and get reimbursed for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:04:57.132 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.980$ or you can do at least seven days

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:05:00.055 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.305$ of actigraphy showing an average

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:05:02.305 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.984$ estimated total sleep time of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:05:04.984 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.964$ same 11 hour cutoff for 24 hour period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:05:07.964 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.944$ So that's in a nutshell.

 $00:05:09.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.406$ UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:05:10.406 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.686$ those are the working criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:05:12.686 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.054$ for the diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:05:14.060 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.806$ They are imperfect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00{:}05{:}15.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.880$ like all diagnostic criteria and and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:05:19.986 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.590$ anticipate maybe these will continue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904870811818182

 $00:05:22.590 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.990$ Hopefully this will continue to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148805956

 $00:05:25.061 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.448$ refined as we continue to collect more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148805956

 $00:05:27.448 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.376$ and more data about what this disorder is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148805956

 $00:05:30.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.697$ But I think it's important to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148805956

 $00{:}05{:}32.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.958$ where our starting point is up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148805956

 $00:05:34.958 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.758$ the criteria that we have right

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148805956

 $00{:}05{:}36.823 \longrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39.119$ now and and the first is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148805956

00:05:39.119 --> 00:05:41.962 MSLT doesn't seem to do a good job

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148805956

 $00:05:41.962 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.292$ of distinguishing people we think

 $00:05:44.292 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.388$ clinically have idiopathic hypersomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00{:}05{:}48.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}51.478$ And by that I mean that if you take

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00:05:51.478 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.130$ clinical populations and these are three

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00:05:54.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.420$ different series from three different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00:05:56.492 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.147$ expert groups for hypersomnia disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00:05:59.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.061$ And you take people who are suspected

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00:06:01.061 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.698$ to have idiopathic hypersomnia or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00:06:02.698 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.226$ who have problematic sleepiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00{:}06{:}04.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.880$ That is not better explained

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00:06:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.870$ by something else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00:06:06.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.760$ And you do the MSLT on them less than half.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

00:06:11.760 --> 00:06:12.950 I haven't been sleep latency

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00:06:12.950 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.140$ of less than 8 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00:06:14.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.625$ so we know the MSLT is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

00:06:16.625 --> 00:06:18.419 good for narcolepsy type one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00:06:18.420 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.424$ There's something maybe about

 $00:06:20.424 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.428$ the sleepiness of idiopathic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

00:06:22.428 --> 00:06:24.595 hypersomnia that is not being

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

00:06:24.595 --> 00:06:26.779 captured reliably with the MSL team,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00:06:26.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.100$ and so I point this out not to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00{:}06{:}28.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29.520$ pick on the diagnostic criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00:06:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.711$ but just to say if you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00:06:31.711 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.087$ someone has idiopathic hypersomnia

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00:06:33.087 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.331$ and their MSLT shows immune sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00:06:35.331 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.440$ latency of more than 8 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198542068

 $00:06:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.940$ That's probably not surprised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

00:06:40.980 --> 00:06:44.580 The other issue with the MSLT for making

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:06:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.382$ this diagnosis is that the the MSLT

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00{:}06{:}47.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.118$ based diagnosis is not stable over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:06:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.591$ so again in narcolepsy type one that

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:06:52.591 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.197$ disorder for which the MSLT was optimized.

00:06:55.200 --> 00:06:58.126 If you repeat the MSLT you generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00{:}06{:}58.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01.260$ get the same narcolepsy diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:01.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.402$ but for the central disorders of

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:03.402 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.123$ hypersomnia other than narcolepsy type

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:05.123 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.220$ one that turns out not to be the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:07.220 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.220$ so they figure that you're looking at our

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:09.220 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.575$ old data now that we did with Omar Neurology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.812$ Residents looking at people who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00{:}07{:}13.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.269$ had two multiple sleep latency tests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:16.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.042$ the first of which either showing narcolepsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:19.042 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.699$ type 2 idiopathic hypersomnia or normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

00:07:21.700 --> 00:07:23.344 Despite clinically problematic sleepiness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:23.344 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.659$ and then you can see the arrows tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:26.659 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.011$ you all the directions that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

00:07:29.011 --> 00:07:30.969 diagnosis changed on repeat testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00{:}07{:}30.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.350$ despite the fact that they were

00:07:32.350 --> 00:07:32.810 still symptomatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00{:}07{:}32.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.620$ and so this turned out to be just over half

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:35.692 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.639$ of people's diagnosis changed on repeat MSLT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.915$ A number of groups have

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:39.915 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.935$ looked at this subsequently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.740$ and the story tends to be the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:42.740 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.050$ which is for narcolepsy type one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00{:}07{:}45.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.978$ It is generally repeatable

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:46.978 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.513$ upwards of 90% of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

00:07:49.513 --> 00:07:51.318 You get the same diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

00:07:51.320 --> 00:07:53.564 but for narcolepsy type 2 idiopathic

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:53.564 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.060$ hypersomnia and people whose

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00{:}07{:}55.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57.050$ first MSLT is normal even though

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:57.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.800$ they themselves are not normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:07:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.380$ they have problematic sleepiness.

 $00:08:01.380 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.605$ The repeatability is much poorer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00{:}08{:}04.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.806$ And that is because of changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:08:06.806 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.803$ across the eight minute threshold

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:08:08.803 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.118$ changes across the two minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:08:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.775$ The bonds at rent threshold for both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:08:15.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.447$ So this is really why the ISD

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:08:18.447 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.504$ three incorporated this other way

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:08:20.504 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.609$ of confirming the IH diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:08:22.610 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.010$ By measuring long sleep durations because

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:08:25.010 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.818$ they knew that the MSLT was missing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:08:27.820 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.787$ Some of these patients and we needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:08:29.787 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.730$ to be able to capture them. And so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:08:32.730 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.510$ This can be done with extended PSG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

00:08:36.510 --> 00:08:39.470 These this is how it is done often in Europe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

00:08:39.470 --> 00:08:40.966 particularly in research settings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:08:40.966 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.550$ but often in clinical settings as well.

 $00:08:43.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.425$ And there are several different

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:08:45.425 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.925$ protocols for doing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:08:46.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.668$ I'm showing you two different protocols here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:08:50.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.226$ Both from different groups in France.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:08:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.197$ The first was really just ad Lib

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:08:55.197 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.815$ sleep overnight and then a long

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

00:08:56.815 --> 00:08:58.481 as you want morning nap and long

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00{:}08{:}58.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00.281$ as you want afternoon nap and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:09:00.281 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.420$ a little less than 24 hours to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:09:02.420 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.810$ how much people would sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:09:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.582$ This is where the 11 hour cutoff in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:09:06.582 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.336$ ICS D3 comes from is from this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

00:09:09.340 --> 00:09:09.755 Subsequently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:09:09.755 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.245$ another French group has proposed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:09:12.245 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.014$ what we should do instead is 32 hours

00:09:15.014 --> 00:09:16.976 of bed rest monitoring during which

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00{:}09{:}16.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}19.401$ you see how much sleep people obtain

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:09:19.401 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.845$ and when they looked over just the

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

00:09:21.845 --> 00:09:23.873 first 24 hours of that monitoring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:09:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.820$ they thought a 12 hour cutoff was

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

00:09:26.820 --> 00:09:29.684 better for differentiating people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:09:29.684 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.128$ idiopathic hypersomnia from controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:09:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.062$ This is obviously logistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00{:}09{:}34.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.994$ challenging up before sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:09:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.520$ Labs for payers and and so on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:09:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.920$ but when available this is a nice way

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:09:41.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.800$ of documenting long sleep durations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846 00:09:43.800 --> 00:09:44.460 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:09:44.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.110$ not all patients with idiopathic

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

00:09:46.110 --> 00:09:47.919 hypersomnia have long sleep duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828604076153846

 $00:09:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.713$ so this is only going to identify

 $00:09:50.713 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.910$ and diagnose this

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

00:09:51.992 --> 00:09:53.844 subset. Over here I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

 $00:09:53.844 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.474$ say we usually do actigraphy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

00:09:55.480 --> 00:09:57.315 More commonly activities also not

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

00:09:57.315 --> 00:09:59.580 reimbursed all that well by pairs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

 $00:09:59.580 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.442$ but at least it is less of a money

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

00:10:02.442 --> 00:10:05.465 loser than an unreimbursed 24 hour PSG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

 $00{:}10{:}05.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07.996$ and so we pretty routinely do

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

00:10:07.996 --> 00:10:09.680 actigraphy before PSG MSLT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

 $00{:}10{:}09.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11.857$ and otherwise if we want to confirm

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

00:10:11.857 --> 00:10:14.148 the diagnosis and people who have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

00:10:14.148 --> 00:10:16.086 phenotype of long superacion with IH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

 $00:10:16.090 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.366$ and this is example of one of my patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

 $00:10:19.366 \dashrightarrow 00:10:22.727$ who had a PSG normal having MSLT normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

 $00:10:22.730 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.718$ Despite the fact that I was convinced

00:10:24.718 --> 00:10:26.230 she had idiopathic hypersomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

 $00{:}10{:}26.230 \to 00{:}10{:}29.070$ so then she took a week off work

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

 $00:10:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.038$ so she could do this actigraphy

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

 $00:10:31.038 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.099$ and indeed it showed an average

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

 $00:10:33.099 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.145$ estimated total sleep time of over

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

00:10:35.145 --> 00:10:37.090 12 hours per 24 hour period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923012093636364

 $00:10:37.090 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.740$ so we could confirm her diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:10:41.270 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.971$ I took her fee is not as good as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8679872383333333

 $00:10:42.971 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.315$ we might like, but the I do think

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:10:45.315 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.270$ an important caveat is that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00{:}10{:}47.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.180$ is not actually measuring sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:10:49.180 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.264$ It is measuring movement as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:10:52.264 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.873$ surrogate for wakefulness and lack

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:10:54.873 --> 00:10:58.079 of movement is a surrogate for sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:10:58.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.010$ And So what we like to do is have these

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:11:01.088 --> 00:11:03.570 patients not just wear their actigraphy

00:11:03.570 --> 00:11:06.129 for the week before their PSG MSLT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:11:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.970$ but also where they're active.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:11:07.970 --> 00:11:10.410 Your fee for the night of their PSG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:11:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.808$ so we can at least see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:11:11.810 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.430$ In an individual person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:11:13.430 --> 00:11:15.860 how well did the actigraphy capture

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:11:15.931 --> 00:11:17.887 their sleep in the sleep lab?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:11:17.890 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.306$ That may not be the same as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:11:20.306 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.396$ accuracy that it has in their home,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:11:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.587$ but at least gives us some benchmark for was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:11:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.910$ The actor could be good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:11:25.910 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.266$ pretty good or terrible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00{:}11{:}27.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29.993$ This is my cautionary tale of a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:11:29.993 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.505$ who came to see me for excessive daytime

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:11:32.569 --> 00:11:34.717 sleepiness and her first seven days

00:11:34.717 --> 00:11:37.416 of actigraphy are the first seven bars,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:11:37.416 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.948$ and that's what this table is

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:11:39.948 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.210$ summarizing over her first seven days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:11:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.112$ For average total sleep time estimated

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:11:46.112 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.878$ by her actigraphy was 11 hours and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:11:48.878 --> 00:11:50.888 44 minutes for 24 hour period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:11:50.890 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.348$ This last night is the night

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:11:52.348 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.150$ that she was in the Sleep lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8679872383333333

00:11:54.150 --> 00:11:57.066 and so we just took the ACT to watch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:11:57.070 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.356$ and we adjusted the window to

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00{:}11{:}59.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}02.069$ the PSG lights out and lights on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:12:02.070 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.653$ and did the audit scoring city actor

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:12:04.653 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.025$ watch and the human expert scoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:12:07.025 --> 00:12:09.014 for the PSG and the actigraphy

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:12:09.014 --> 00:12:10.890 that night is you would kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:12:10.945 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.499$ guess looking at the bar was.

00:12:12.500 --> 00:12:15.832 7 hours, 4 hours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333 00:12:15.832 --> 00:12:17.498 420 minutes. NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:12:17.500 --> 00:12:20.680 Or PSG actually showed a measured

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:12:20.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.079$ sleep duration of 26 minutes now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:12:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.704$ There were a lot of weird things

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:12:25.704 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.400$ about this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:12:26.400 --> 00:12:28.128 I assume she normally sleeps more

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:12:28.128 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.620$ than 26 minutes at home,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:12:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.092$ but this is my record for the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:12:33.092 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.565$ discrepancy between actigraphy

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:12:34.565 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.667$ and simultaneous PSG in a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:12:37.670 --> 00:12:39.918 I do think the authors of the ICS

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:12:39.918 --> 00:12:42.574 D3 did a really nice job of saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:12:42.574 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.050$ when the science was not sufficient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:12:45.050 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.078$ but they had to make recommendations

00:12:47.078 --> 00:12:49.363 anyway because we need to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00{:}12{:}49.363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}51.115$ to diagnose disease and so they

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:12:51.115 --> 00:12:52.564 straight up say actigraphy has

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:12:52.564 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.826$ not been validated for this use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:12:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.254$ There's a lot that needs to be validated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:12:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.270$ One is whether the accuracy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:12:59.270 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.610$ Actigraphy is the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:13:00.610 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.698$ and NIH population as indeed many

NOTE Confidence: 0.8679872383333333

 $00{:}13{:}02.698 \to 00{:}13{:}04.465$ other populations in which it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:13:04.465 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.717$ been studied it might.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00{:}13{:}05.720 --> 00{:}13{:}06.051 \text{ Plus},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:13:06.051 --> 00:13:08.699 if we even be better because I accuracy

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:13:08.699 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.037$ is related to sleep efficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:13:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.808$ the cutoff of 11 hours was just pulled

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00{:}13{:}13.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16.202$ from PSG data for convenience and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:13:16.202 --> 00:13:19.423 it may be a very different cutoff to

00:13:19.423 --> 00:13:21.958 make the distinction by actigraphy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00{:}13{:}21.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.506$ and then I think it also is less important

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:13:25.506 --> 00:13:28.540 to distinguish IH from controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:13:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.452$ although that's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:13:29.452 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.025$ We also want to be able to distinguish

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:13:32.025 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.299$ I ate from other hypersomnia disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:13:34.299 --> 00:13:36.420 for our medical decision making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00{:}13{:}36.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38.694$ And then each device has accuracy

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

 $00:13:38.694 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.629$ issues that need to be validated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867987238333333

00:13:41.629 --> 00:13:43.864 then settings within that device.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

00:13:43.870 --> 00:13:47.190 So to that end, I highlight this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:13:47.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.878$ by Jesse Cook from David Plants

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

00:13:49.878 --> 00:13:52.593 Group looking at just one device

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:13:52.593 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.073$ in people with clinical idiopathic

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:13:55.073 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.145$ hypersomnia and then this is the actor

 $00{:}13{:}58.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00.512$ watch there's two settings you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:14:00.512 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.744$ adjust standard Lee in the device,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:14:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.250$ the sensitivity and how long

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:14:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.250$ someone has to be a mobile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:14:06.250 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.700$ Before you decide that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:14:07.700 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.233$ are asleep or not, IMO,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:14:09.233 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.217$ before you decide they are awake and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

00:14:12.217 --> 00:14:15.570 can see just by varying those two factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:14:15.570 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.910$ you get a really broad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

00:14:18.910 --> 00:14:22.739 Do friends in how well the sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:14:22.739 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.380$ time measured simultaneously

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

00:14:24.477 --> 00:14:27.165 with PSG and the active watch?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00{:}14{:}27.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29.276$ How how that agreement was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00{:}14{:}29.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31.587$ default setting for the active watch

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:14:31.587 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.677$ are here in blue overestimating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:14:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.250$ with almost every combination of settings so.

 $00:14:37.250 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.154$ Validating actigraphy for this purpose is

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:14:40.154 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.867$ going to involve a lot of detailed work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

00:14:43.870 --> 00:14:46.300 It also raises the question of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

00:14:46.300 --> 00:14:47.020 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:14:47.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.540$ in the ICSE 2 there was idiopathic

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:14:49.540 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.281$ hypersomnia without long sleep time

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:14:51.281 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.638$ less than 10 hours and with long

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:14:53.638 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.564$ sleep time more than 10 hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:14:55.570 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.901$ Now we have this 11 hour cutoff as as

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

00:14:57.901 --> 00:15:00.497 a as one of the diagnostic criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00{:}15{:}00.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03.628$ but many people with IH don't have long

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:15:03.628 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.850$ sleep durations and so it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:15:05.850 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.401$ not clear whether IH with and without

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:15:08.401 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.390$ long sleep durations are the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

00:15:11.390 --> 00:15:14.926 Or are they just severity on a spectrum?

00:15:14.930 --> 00:15:16.334 They really different disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:15:16.334 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.909$ and so this was a cluster analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00{:}15{:}18.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21.425$ that looked at MSLT variables and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:15:21.425 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.540$ then characteristics of the daytime

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

00:15:23.613 --> 00:15:25.881 naps that people took and then just

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:15:25.881 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.094$ did a cluster analysis and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:15:28.094 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.434$ subsequently looked at how the MSLT

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00{:}15{:}30.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.510$ based diagnosis aligned with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:15:33.510 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.860$ clusters that the computer created

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:15:36.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.530$ and what you see is unsurprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00{:}15{:}39.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.966$ I think in cluster 3 narcolepsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:15:41.966 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.184$ with cataplexy cluster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:15:43.190 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.024$ By itself, it is a pretty distinct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:15:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.427$ Phenotype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

00:15:45.427 --> 00:15:47.809 but then these other two clusters

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00{:}15{:}47.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.464$ in cluster two was primarily people

 $00:15:50.464 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.784$ with idiopathic hypersomnia with long

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:15:52.784 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.268$ sleep time defined by the ICS D2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:15:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.390$ The other cluster was this mix of narcolepsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

00:15:58.390 --> 00:16:00.930 without cataplexy and idiopathic hypersomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:16:00.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.502$ without long superacion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:16:02.502 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.122$ and so suggesting there's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:16:05.122 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.258$ meaningfully different in the phenotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00{:}16{:}07.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09.645$ that the width and without long sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00{:}16{:}09.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.546$ time that segregated into different clusters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:16:12.550 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.678$ I'm trying to get at that same question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

00:16:14.680 --> 00:16:18.778 These are clinical data looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:16:18.780 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.468$ IH patients and separating them out

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00{:}16{:}21.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.848$ based on long sleep duration and look

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

00:16:24.848 --> 00:16:27.602 then looking at them clinically and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:16:27.602 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.366$ looking at it this way that people

 $00:16:29.366 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.194$ with long sleep durations are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00{:}16{:}31.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33.432$ likely to have difficulty waking up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:16:33.432 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.455$ the morning with with more sleep inertia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:16:35.460 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.115$ They are less likely to be refreshed by naps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:16:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.350$ they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

00:16:40.350 --> 00:16:42.840 More likely to have fatigue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:16:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.738$ they are less likely to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

00:16:45.738 --> 00:16:47.187 an abnormal MSLT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:16:47.190 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.644$ And so suggesting there is some

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:16:49.644 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.050$ important difference in the phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:16:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.360$ We looked at this in hypersomnia

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:16:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.130$ foundation registry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:16:55.130 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.506$ so this was a this is an ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:16:57.506 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.488$ registry of people with hypersomnia

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:16:59.488 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.122$ disorders who self input data about

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00{:}17{:}02.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}04.590$ their diagnosis and their symptoms,

 $00:17:04.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.874$ and so it doesn't have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90817184375

 $00:17:05.874 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.730$ precision of the clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.915105784375

 $00{:}17{:}06.781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.065$ based study I just showed you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915105784375

00:17:08.070 --> 00:17:10.560 but much bigger sample because

NOTE Confidence: 0.915105784375

 $00:17:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.050$ it is an international registry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915105784375

 $00:17:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.435$ but we found essentially the

NOTE Confidence: 0.915105784375

 $00:17:15.435 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.578$ same thing, which is the people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915105784375

 $00:17:17.578 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.469$ With long sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915105784375

 $00:17:18.470 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.008$ durations tend to have more sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915105784375

 $00:17:21.010 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.330$ In our show they have more brain fog.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915105784375

 $00:17:23.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.390$ They have more cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.915105784375

 $00:17:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.935$ complaints they have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:17:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.728$ Just a different phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00{:}17{:}30.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}32.900$ It seems like the difficulty in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:17:32.969 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.565$ wakening the unrefreshing sleep

 $00:17:34.565 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.560$ and the long sleep durations

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:17:36.560 --> 00:17:38.380 tend to segregate together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:17:38.380 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.840$ so that may be a meaningful

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:17:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.160$ difference diagnostically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:17:44.160 --> 00:17:45.908 Another important question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:17:45.908 --> 00:17:48.093 given that our current diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00{:}17{:}48.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.474$ tools are imperfect and we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:17:50.474 --> 00:17:52.349 yet understand the biology enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00{:}17{:}52.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53.974$ to develop a biomarker that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:17:53.974 --> 00:17:55.911 would let us make this diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00{:}17{:}55.911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.137$ what else can we mine about

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:17:58.137 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.672$ this disease to help us improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:18:00.672 --> 00:18:02.476 diagnosis and so specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.958$ can we take the clinical features

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:18:04.958 --> 00:18:07.674 of IH and translate any of

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:07.674 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.646$ those into diagnostic measures?

00:18:09.650 --> 00:18:11.314 So keep in mind, in the ICS D3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.964$ the supportive features are

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00{:}18{:}12.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}14.197$ unrefreshing naps lasting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:14.200 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.688$ At least an hour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:15.688 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.920$ A PSG sleep efficiency of at

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:18.010 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.138$ least 90% and then severe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:20.138 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.536$ Prolonged sleep inertia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:21.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.640$ Great difficulty waking up in the morning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00{:}18{:}23.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.152$ sometimes called sleep inertia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00{:}18{:}25.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}26.664$ Sleep drunkenness because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:26.664 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.954$ is so pronounced and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:28.954 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.799$ ancillary symptoms of iih fatigue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.570$ autonomic symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:18:32.570 --> 00:18:34.340 Cognitive dysfunction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:34.340 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.108$ So long unrefreshing naps,

00:18:36.108 --> 00:18:38.318 I believe firmly are part

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:38.318 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.537$ of the experience of IH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.207$ but they have all the same measurement

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:43.207 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.526$ issues that the nocturnal sleep does

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:45.526 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.280$ that the MSLT does that is potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.060$ going to be a challenging thing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:52.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.080$ operationalize as part of the diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:18:55.080 --> 00:18:57.201 I also tend to think that high

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:57.201 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.454$ sleep efficiency should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:58.454 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.859$ a supportive feature of IH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:18:59.860 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.690$ I am a little skeptical when

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:19:01.690 --> 00:19:03.947 someone comes in with a lower sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00{:}19{:}03.947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}05.897$ efficiency and a diagnosis of iih,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:19:05.900 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.980$ but it is worth saying this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:19:07.980 --> 00:19:09.498 meta analysis from David plant

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:19:09.498 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.754$ and several years ago.

 $00:19:10.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.998$ If you look at what's published

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:19:13.000 --> 00:19:15.046 about sleep efficiency and I ate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:19:15.050 --> 00:19:17.938 it is actually that it is not different

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:19:17.938 --> 00:19:20.099 from controls in in meta analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:19:20.100 --> 00:19:22.564 and so I think that's an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:19:22.564 --> 00:19:24.762 question to some work that's being

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:19:24.762 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.000$ done now looking at spectral analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:19:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.926$ And other more sophisticated ways of looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:19:29.926 \dashrightarrow 00:19:33.545$ at the PSC might shed some light on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:19:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.458$ But I think really where there's a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:19:35.458 --> 00:19:37.079 interest now is what can we do with this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:19:37.080 --> 00:19:39.204 Sleep, drunkeness, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608 00:19:39.204 --> 00:19:40.620 So normal, NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:19:40.620 --> 00:19:41.236 sleep, inertia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:19:41.236 --> 00:19:41.852 physiologic state,

 $00:19:41.852 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.700$ we all go through it when

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:19:43.761 --> 00:19:45.125 we're transitioning from being

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:19:45.125 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.489$ asleep to being awake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:19:46.490 --> 00:19:47.906 But it is usually really short,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:19:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.245$ especially if we're not sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:19:49.245 --> 00:19:50.935 deprived or waking up during the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:19:50.935 \dashrightarrow 00:19:52.934$ biological right or from N 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:19:52.934 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.010$ But in people with idiopathic hypersomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:19:55.010 --> 00:19:56.946 not all of them, but many of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:19:56.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.730$ it is often very pronounced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00{:}19{:}58.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00.794$ It is sometimes the worst feature

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:20:00.794 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.826$ of the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:20:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.408$ and so this is a historical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:20:03.410 --> 00:20:06.025 Note from Bed Rick Ross describing

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:20:06.025 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.200$ this disorder which he called

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00{:}20{:}08.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}09.940$ hypersomnia with sleep drunkenness,

 $00:20:09.940 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.880$ in which the sleep drunkenness

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:20:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.820$ was sometimes worse than day time

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:20:13.889 --> 00:20:15.893 sleepiness or even sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:20:15.893 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.897$ happened without daytime sleepiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:20:17.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:20:385$ He subsequently would decide that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:20:20.385 --> 00:20:22.870 these folks had idiopathic hypersomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:20:22.870 --> 00:20:25.318 but I think it tells you how fundamental

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00{:}20{:}25.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.229$ it is to the phenotype that it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:20:28.229 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.719$ initially identified as its food disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00:20:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.240$ It does seem pretty tightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

00:20:33.240 --> 00:20:35.256 related to idiopathic hypersomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00{:}20{:}35.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}37.073$ These were all the data I could

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00{:}20{:}37.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}39.516$ find a few years ago looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.878853204782608

 $00{:}20{:}39.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}41.120$ sleep drunkenness by diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:20:41.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.745$ About half of people with

00:20:43.745 --> 00:20:45.320 idiopathic hypersomnia have

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00{:}20{:}45.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.489$ really pronounced sleep inertia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:20:47.490 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.476$ Come and it's pretty rare in

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:20:49.476 --> 00:20:51.256 narcolepsy type one, it's about 8%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:20:51.256 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.828$ They'll be at the numbers there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:20:52.830 --> 00:20:53.890 Get a little bit small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:20:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.338$ I really could not find a good estimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:20:57.338 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.829$ in narcolepsy Type 2 numerically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:20:59.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.170$ It's very similar to age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:01.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.250$ but with a very very small sample size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00{:}21{:}03.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05.095$ I think it will turn out to be like

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:21:05.095 --> 00:21:06.799 many things in narcolepsy Type 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.494$ which is some people have a phenotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00{:}21{:}08.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}10.408$ that's a little bit more like narcolepsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:21:10.410 --> 00:21:12.670 Type one may be undiagnosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:21:12.670 --> 00:21:13.910 Type of creating efficiency and

 $00:21:13.910 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.150$ some people haven't seen it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:15.150 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.186$ Typed it as much more like

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:18.186 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.704$ the pathic hypersomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:19.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.832$ We looked at sleep inertia in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:22.832 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.170$ hypersomnia foundation registry

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:24.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.940$ with a variety of questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:25.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.356$ to measure sleep inertia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:21:27.360 --> 00:21:29.010 and that's basically what we found,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:29.010 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.888$ which is it is most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:30.888 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.827$ in idiopathic hypersomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:21:31.830 --> 00:21:33.458 It's relatively uncommon in

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:33.458 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.086$ that narcolepsy type one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:21:35.090 --> 00:21:36.818 although depending on how

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:36.818 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.546$ you ask the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:38.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.573$ you may see some of it in

00:21:40.573 --> 00:21:42.639 narcolepsy type one and then sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00{:}21{:}42.639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}44.524$ of intermediate in in narcolepsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333 00:21:44.530 --> 00:21:45.012 type 2, NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:21:45.012 --> 00:21:46.458 but all measures of sleep inertia

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:46.458 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.225$ with all the ways that we thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:48.225 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.455$ to ask it and hypersomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.170$ Foundation were endorsed most often

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:52.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.600$ by the idiopathic hypersomnia group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00{:}21{:}56.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}59.274$ It would be nice to have question naires

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:21:59.274 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.697$ that value were validated and asked

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:22:01.697 --> 00:22:04.511 about sleep inertia in a standard way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:22:04.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.872$ We have borrowed the sleep Inertia

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:22:06.872 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.140$ questionnaire from the psychiatry literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00{:}22{:}09.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11.114$ This is a scale that was developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:22:11.114 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.791$ to capture these sleep inertia

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:22:12.791 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.339$ that people with depression.

00:22:14.340 --> 00:22:16.950 How and breaks down questions really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:22:16.950 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.420$ in four domains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:22:18.420 --> 00:22:20.123 cognitive difficulties, behavioral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:22:20.123 --> 00:22:21.569 different difficulties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:22:21.569 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.461$ physiologic things like balance

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:22:24.461 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.630$ and then emotional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:22:26.630 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.290$ Pinky and I age you see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:22:29.290 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.518$ first three a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:22:30.518 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.156$ You are less likely to see things

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:22:32.156 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.849$ like dread about starting today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:22:33.850 --> 00:22:34.620 I don't think people would.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:22:34.620 --> 00:22:36.069 I age don't want to wake up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:22:36.070 --> 00:22:39.052 I think they can't wake up up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:22:39.052 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.948$ but when we have looked at this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:22:41.948 --> 00:22:45.169 our folks with sleepiness disorders,

 $00:22:45.170 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.426$ we see what you would expect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:22:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.582$ sort of based on what we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:22:48.582 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.350$ talked about so far,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:22:49.350 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.961$ which is that people who sleep the

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:22:51.961 --> 00:22:54.476 longest also tend to have the highest

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:22:54.476 --> 00:22:56.873 sleep inertia as sort of a construct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:22:56.873 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.938$ Validity it also correlates with

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:22:58.938 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.320$ the number of alarm rings people

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:23:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.616$ report takes to to wake them up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:23:03.620 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.040$ but not particularly related

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:23:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.460$ with mean sleep latency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:23:06.460 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.628$ number of sewer, and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:23:09.630 --> 00:23:11.373 I'm I do think we could not

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:23:11.373 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.019$ just ask about sleep inertia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:23:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.400$ We can measure sleeping here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:23:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.940$ so this is done in healthy control.

00:23:15.940 --> 00:23:18.495 Studies of sleep inertia all the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00{:}23{:}18.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}21.479$ and so we could do this as a measurement

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:23:21.479 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.410$ potentially even in the sleep lab as

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:23:23.410 --> 00:23:25.770 part of the PSG or part of the MSLT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:23:25.770 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.835$ maybe for the ambulatory setting as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:23:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.800$ especially with things like smartphones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:23:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.306$ We already know the measures that capture

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00{:}23{:}32.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}34.699$ sleep inertia well and healthy controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:23:34.700 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.920$ The only downsides are of

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:23:35.920 --> 00:23:37.140 course not everybody with IH

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

00:23:37.188 --> 00:23:38.500 has pronounced sleep inertia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00:23:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.808$ so you won't capture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834000750833333

 $00{:}23{:}39.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}41.770$ Everybody with this it's also not

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:23:41.832 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.672$ specific to video pathic hypersomnia

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

00:23:43.672 --> 00:23:46.269 you will catch people who have a

00:23:46.269 --> 00:23:47.994 delayed sleep phase syndrome with

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00{:}23{:}47.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49.930$ measures of sleep inertia and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:23:49.930 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.430$ people who are sleep deprived.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:23:51.430 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.082$ So important things on the differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

00:23:54.082 --> 00:23:55.408 for idiopathic hypersomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

00:23:55.410 --> 00:23:58.112 but I think there's enough promise there

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:23:58.112 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.099$ that that we do need some data these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

00:24:01.100 --> 00:24:02.717 This was one group that looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00{:}24{:}02.717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}04.397$ this question a number of years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:24:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.136$ Now to say, could we just add vote

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00{:}24{:}09.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12.600$ potentials to what we're already doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:24:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.470$ instrumentation wise and in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:24:15.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.655$ In these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:24:16.655 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.490$ as they are waking up and see if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:24:20.490 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.815$ can capture sleep inertia through

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:24:22.815 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.507$ either a behavioral measure or

00:24:25.507 --> 00:24:28.182 changes in the evoked potential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:24:28.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.015$ and they in fact demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:24:30.015 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.840$ sleep inertia in their behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:24:31.906 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.880$ measures for the number of errors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:24:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.736$ but also with a revoked potential saw.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

00:24:36.740 --> 00:24:39.728 This lengthening of the P300 latency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:24:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.778$ which is one of the measures and evoked

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00{:}24{:}42.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}45.460$ potential across a variety of sleepiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666 00:24:45.460 --> 00:24:47.540 Disorders. NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

00:24:47.540 --> 00:24:49.275 So potentially it does require

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:24:49.275 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.331$ sort of extra add on to what we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

00:24:52.331 --> 00:24:54.209 already doing in the sleep lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00{:}24{:}54.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}55.930$ So what we decided to do was borrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

00:24:55.930 --> 00:24:57.708 a tool from the sleep deprivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

00:24:57.708 --> 00:24:59.323 literature which is the psycho

 $00:24:59.323 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.470$ Motor vigilance task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00{:}25{:}00.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03.098$ It is a 10 minute simple reaction time task

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00{:}25{:}03.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.830$ and we just added it to our MSLT protocol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:25:05.830 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.658$ So anybody who comes in for an

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

00:25:08.658 --> 00:25:11.758 MSLT has this 10 minute PDT before

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:25:11.758 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.897$ and after nap two and before and

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00{:}25{:}14.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.958$ after NAP 4 because we were hoping

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:25:16.958 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.000$ that we would be able to capture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00{:}25{:}19.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}20.725$ This sleep owners are patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:25:20.725 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.816$ were reporting by looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00{:}25{:}22.816 \to 00{:}25{:}24.326$ change during the MSLT nap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:25:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.158$ And then, uhm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00{:}25{:}25.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}27.493$ we also looked at it in some non

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:25:27.493 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.497$ sleepy can not sleep it controls

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:25:29.497 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.474$ and what you're looking at here

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:25:31.474 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.588$ on the left is actually just at

 $00:25:33.590 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.098$ baseline before nap too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:25:37.100 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.875$ The distribution of lapses when

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00{:}25{:}38.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}41.730$ it takes at least a half a second

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:25:41.730 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.734$ to press the button in response

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:25:43.734 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.240$ to a stimulus and what we saw was

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00{:}25{:}46.240 {\: -->\:} 00{:}25{:}49.166$ people who are sleepy are much worse

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:25:49.166 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.760$ at the Pvt then controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:25:51.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.358$ but actually not different by sleepiness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:25:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.937$ diagnosis and then on the right here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:25:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.262$ When we looked at the difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:26:00.262 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.180$ before and after the nap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:26:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.474$ how much people got worse with a short nap?

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:26:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.260$ Again controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:26:06.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.990$ We don't see an effect here that

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:26:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.715$ controls don't really get worse

 $00:26:10.715 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.950$ on the PPT with a short nap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00{:}26{:}12.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.134$ If they're not sleep deprived which

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:26:15.134 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.049$ are controlled by definition or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

00:26:17.050 --> 00:26:21.610 But all of this sleepy people get worse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:26:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.374$ or at least all those sleeping groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:26:23.374 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.570$ have an average worsening of Pvt performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

00:26:25.570 --> 00:26:28.930 So we are capturing sleep inertia in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:26:28.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.030$ sleep lab in a way that differentiates

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00{:}26{:}31.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32.948$ sleepy participants from controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:26:32.950 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.409$ but is not unique to idiopathic hypersomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:26:37.410 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.739$ Then this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:26:38.739 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.182$ uhm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00{:}26{:}39.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}41.840$ another Group One of the French

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:26:41.934 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.856$ groups looking at the Pvt before

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

00:26:44.856 --> 00:26:47.752 nighttime sleep on the PSG and

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:26:47.752 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.302$ then in the morning after waking

 $00{:}26{:}50.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53.370$ up from the PSG 30 minutes later

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00:26:53.370 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.580$ and then several hours later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814818997666666

 $00{:}26{:}55.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}57.449$ and their question was not so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:26:57.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.640$ How was it different by diagnosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:26:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.250$ But how did it relate

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:27:01.250 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.538$ with self reported sleep?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:27:02.540 --> 00:27:05.468 Inertia or sleep drunkenness using their

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00{:}27{:}05.468 \to 00{:}27{:}07.860$ idiopathic hypersomnia severity scale which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:27:07.860 --> 00:27:10.639 Looks at a variety of symptoms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:27:10.639 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.876$ idiopathic hypersomnia, including

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:27:11.876 --> 00:27:14.056 sleep inertia and sleep drunkenness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:27:14.060 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.204$ and they found that there's a very strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00{:}27{:}17.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18.969$ relationship between self reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:27:18.969 --> 00:27:21.234 sleep inertia and sleep drunkenness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:27:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.536$ and Pvt worsening.

 $00:27:22.536 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.696$ After a night of sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:27:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.156$ So the red and black were the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:27:26.156 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.439$ people with severe sleep inertia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:27:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.096$ The green was mild sleep inertia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:27:29.100 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.018$ and the blue was no sleep inertia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:27:31.020 --> 00:27:32.539 and you can really see this worsening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:27:32.540 --> 00:27:34.460 People know, I think, unsurprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:27:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.280$ when they have sleep inertia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:27:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.208$ Well, the ancillary symptoms help us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:27:41.210 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.314$ That's harder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00{:}27{:}42.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.626$ I think fatigue is very nonspecific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:27:45.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.350$ and certainly is not easier

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:27:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.726$ to measure than sleepiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:27:48.730 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.030$ It's probably harder to

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:27:50.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.005$ measure than sleepiness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:27:51.010 --> 00:27:53.994 and so I don't think the fatigue of

 $00:27:53.994 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.556$ IH is particularly going to help us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00{:}27{:}57.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}00.310$ There are commonly autonomic symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:28:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.064$ and people with IH as well as the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:28:03.064 --> 00:28:05.520 central disorders of Hypersomnolence UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:28:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.840$ which theoretically can

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:28:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.160$ be objectively tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:28:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.197$ I think the issues we run into

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:28:10.197 --> 00:28:12.258 there is it's still a subgroup,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:28:12.260 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.325$ or some people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:28:13.325 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.455$ It might be a medication effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:28:15.460 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.810$ even in the unmedicated group

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:28:16.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.160$ you can still see it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00{:}28{:}18.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.435$ but it also doesn't really answer what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:28:20.435 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.798$ the cause and and what's the effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:28:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.632$ I do think that cognitive symptoms

 $00:28:25.632 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.119$ add to disease burden a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:28:28.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.092$ Similarly nonspecific but measurable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:28:30.092 --> 00:28:34.308 it might be a subgroup of people with IH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:28:34.310 --> 00:28:36.410 but it might be something David plant

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00{:}28{:}36.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}38.463$ has advocated that for IH instead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:28:38.463 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.101$ looking for the one perfect test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:28:40.110 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.710$ we need to just think of a multimodal

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:28:42.710 --> 00:28:44.340 diagnosis where you you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:28:44.340 --> 00:28:46.176 if you maybe have six different

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:28:46.176 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.468$ tests to choose from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:28:47.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.030$ If you need at least three of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:28:49.030 --> 00:28:50.670 you get the diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:28:50.670 --> 00:28:52.310 so cognitive dysfunction might

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:28:52.310 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.785$ fit well in that sort of a model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:28:54.790 --> 00:28:57.006 I showed you, our Pvt data at baseline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333 00:28:57.010 --> 00:28:57.451 which.

 $00:28:57.451 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.774$ Differentiated all sleepy

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:28:58.774 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.097$ people from controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:29:00.100 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.076$ but did not differentiate by diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:29:03.080 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.988$ Another group has reported on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00{:}29{:}04.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06.560$ sustained attention to response task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:29:06.560 --> 00:29:09.524 A different test of attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

00:29:09.524 --> 00:29:11.792 but found a very similar thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:29:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.256$ which is that regardless

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:29:13.256 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.076$ of why you are sleepy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:29:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.336$ people who are sleepy

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:29:16.336 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.278$ have impaired attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:29:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.776$ It's worse than controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00{:}29{:}18.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.578$ but doesn't add to the diagnosis between

NOTE Confidence: 0.941623793333333

 $00:29:21.578 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.048$ the central supporters of hypersomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:29:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.216$ I was told to give a clinical focused

 $00:29:29.216 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.480$ update and so I am not going to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00{:}29{:}31.480 \to 00{:}29{:}33.257$ much about the pathophysiology of

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

00:29:33.257 --> 00:29:35.289 idiopathic hypersomnia it helps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

00:29:35.290 --> 00:29:37.956 so we don't really know anything about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

00:29:37.956 --> 00:29:39.724 pathophysiology of idiopathic hypersomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:29:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.290$ so there'd be a limited amount.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00{:}29{:}41.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43.570$ I could say, even if I wanted to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:29:43.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.929$ But but let me just pause and

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00{:}29{:}45.929 --> 00{:}29{:}48.534$ say there are a number of sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:29:48.534 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.796$ of threads out there about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00{:}29{:}50.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}54.210$ idiopathic hypersomnia might be up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:29:54.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.922$ There is known as I talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:29:56.922 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.854$ about a minute ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:29:57.860 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.860$ There's known to commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:29:59.860 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.360$ be autonomic symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:30:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.539$ more commonly in IH than in controls.

 $00:30:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.948$ There's been very little

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:30:07.948 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.754$ beyond symptoms done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

00:30:09.760 --> 00:30:11.428 There is one small study looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:30:11.428 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.540$ at heart rate variability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:30:12.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.001$ showing differences between

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:30:14.001 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.436$ people with IH and controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:30:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.519$ basically at rest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:30:18.519 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.598$ higher parasympathetic activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:30:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.320$ But after arousal from sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

00:30:22.320 --> 00:30:24.844 higher sympathetic activity in

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:30:24.844 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.999$ the IH patients versus controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:30:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.280$ The one theory is that because

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00{:}30{:}30{:}30{:}30{:}32{:}305$ people they've had a hypersomnia

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:30:32.305 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.420$ tend to be night owls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:30:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.630$ This may be a circadian problem.

00:30:37.630 --> 00:30:39.947 They can't meet criteria for delayed sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

00:30:39.950 --> 00:30:41.039 wake phase disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:30:41.039 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.580$ but maybe there is a more subtle

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:30:43.652 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.117$ dysfunction of the circadian system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

00:30:46.120 --> 00:30:48.868 Some preliminary work looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.897961695384616

 $00:30:48.868 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.929$ at circadian clock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00{:}30{:}53.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55.428$ Mechanics within peripheral skin

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:30:55.428 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.913$ fibroblasts have suggested that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00{:}30{:}57.913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}00.951$ period length may be too long and

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

00:31:00.951 --> 00:31:02.595 people with idiopathic hypersomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:31:02.600 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.616$ which might explain some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

00:31:04.616 --> 00:31:05.960 long nocturnal sleep periods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:31:05.960 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.856$ but also that the amplitude may be reduced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:31:09.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.955$ which could possibly contribute to

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:31:11.955 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.997$ this sort of feeling of like I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:31:14.997 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.167$ never reached full wakefulness that

 $00:31:17.167 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.700$ are people with my age describe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

00:31:19.700 --> 00:31:21.797 And then work by my colleagues here at Emory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

00:31:21.800 --> 00:31:24.070 suggesting that maybe people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

00:31:24.070 --> 00:31:25.886 idiopathic hypersomnia are producing

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:31:25.886 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.169$ a substance that abnormally activates

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:31:28.169 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.280$ GABA a receptors and then triggers a

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

00:31:31.280 --> 00:31:33.656 soporific pathway through the GABA system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:31:33.660 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.244$ I don't think these theories are multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

00:31:37.244 --> 00:31:39.820 are mutually exclusive necessarily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:31:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.924$ but but neither are any of them really

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

00:31:43.924 --> 00:31:46.348 fully conclusive at this point in time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:31:46.350 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.566$ so it's still a lot of work to

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:31:48.566 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.288$ be done in that regard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:31:50.290 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.326$ So for the rest of my time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:31:51.330 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.314$ I'm going to turn and talk more

 $00:31:56.314 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.450$ about treatment strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00{:}31{:}58.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}00.490$ I think by the time people

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.850$ come to clinical attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:01.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.496$ nonpharmacologic strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:03.496 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.788$ or not usually enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:06.790 \dashrightarrow 00:32:08.770$ I think people generally need

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:08.770 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.233$ pharmacology by the time it gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:11.233 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.687$ severe enough for them to seek

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00{:}32{:}13.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}15.440$ medical treatment in my experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.610$ But I do think there's potentially a

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:17.673 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.598$ role for non pharmacologic strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:19.598 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.032$ as adjunctive treatment and I certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:22.032 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.720$ believe that patients are looking for

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00{:}32{:}24.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}27.016$ nonpharmacologic strategies to add to

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:27.016 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.854$ or to lower their their medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:29.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.700$ Burden.

 $00:32:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.709$ One thing that I think is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00{:}32{:}33.709 \to 00{:}32{:}35.918$ important is that this is not Mark Alexi

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:35.918 \dashrightarrow 00:32:38.357$ in the sense that it's very common for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.000$ With our narcolepsy type one

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:40.000 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.043$ patients to recommend napping as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:42.043 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.788$ treatment strategy right to write

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:43.788 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.481$ accommodation letters so they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:45.481 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.909$ take naps at school or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:46.910 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.956$ So at work because people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00{:}32{:}48.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}51.114$ narcolepsy type one often can take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00{:}32{:}51.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53.210$ 15 minute nap and wake up and feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:53.210 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.508$ much better in people with idiopathic

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:55.508 \dashrightarrow 00:32:57.980$ hypersomnia not generally are not refreshing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:32:57.980 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.086$ they tend to have sleep inertia

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:00.086 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.079$ for a prolonged period of time.

 $00:33:02.080 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.214$ When they wake up from naps

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:03.214 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.419$ and then that's are not short.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.620$ They are very long and so it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00{:}33{:}06.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.494$ actually I would say more common

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

00:33:08.494 --> 00:33:11.040 for my patients with IH to try very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.567$ very hard to avoid maps because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00{:}33{:}13.567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16.602$ make them feel so bad and so I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:16.602 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.500$ generally recommend maps as a strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00{:}33{:}18.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}20.910$ for people with high age unless they

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.825$ have a pretty atypical phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:22.830 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.829$ But Despite that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:23.829 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.827$ I do think accommodations for school

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:25.827 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.147$ or work can still be really helpful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:28.150 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.508$ because there does tend to be a phase delay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:30.510 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.869$ and because it can take people several

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00{:}33{:}32.869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35.536$ hours to wake up in a way that it

 $00:33:35.536 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.069$ doesn't take the rest of us later start

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00{:}33{:}38.069 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}33{:}40.169$ times or unexpectedly showing up for

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:40.170 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.920$ work can be a helpful accommodation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.810$ And then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:44.810 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.035$ although the literature is pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:47.035 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.038$ limited on the objective testing of

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:50.038 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.678$ cognitive function in IH patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.080$ What data are there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.180$ Do suggest similar cognitive profile to

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:33:56.243 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.578$ other disorders of excessive sleepiness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00{:}33{:}58.580 {\: --> \:} 00{:}34{:}01.703$ and certainly accommodations

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00:34:01.703 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.908$ that target that extra time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848537069

 $00{:}34{:}06.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11.438$ Brakes and so on can can be helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:34:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.832$ And then of course, there is a counseling

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:34:13.832 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.618$ and support aspect here as well.

 $00:34:15.620 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.464$ There are safety issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

00:34:17.464 --> 00:34:19.308 in terms of sleepiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

00:34:19.310 --> 00:34:22.208 While driving, we know people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

00:34:22.208 --> 00:34:24.140 hypersomnia disorders including IH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:34:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.380$ are more likely to have car accidents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

00:34:26.380 --> 00:34:28.276 We know that if you give them Modafinil,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

00:34:28.280 --> 00:34:29.936 you improve their on road driving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:34:29.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.159$ but do not normalize it compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00{:}34{:}32.159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34.054$ to controls and so important

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:34:34.054 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.300$ counseling there and then counseling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00{:}34{:}36.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38.316$ Of course, about medication side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:34:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.505$ I'm a big believer in patient groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:34:41.510 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.526$ I think it's hard to get a diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

00:34:43.526 --> 00:34:45.171 of something you've never heard of

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

00:34:45.171 --> 00:34:47.086 and don't know anybody else has ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:34:47.086 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.870$ had it and it has a terrible name.

00:34:48.870 --> 00:34:50.870 Like idiopathic hypersomnia is like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:34:50.870 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.446$ well, we don't know what it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:34:53.450 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.938$ And so I think it can be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:34:55.938 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.284$ profoundly meaningful for people

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00{:}34{:}57.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58.929$ with this diagnosis to meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:34:58.929 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.860$ other people with this diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:35:00.860 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.928$ I do warn people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

00:35:01.928 --> 00:35:02.996 the people who gravitate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00{:}35{:}03.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05.359$ I think to Facebook groups and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:35:05.359 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.218$ on May not be the typical patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:35:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.732$ I think you tend to see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:35:09.732 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.380$ more severe patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:35:10.380 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.460$ and so I think it needs to be taken

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00{:}35{:}11.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12.648$ a little bit with a grain of salt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:35:12.650 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.199$ But in general I'm a big believer in

 $00:35:15.199 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.353$ in resources for patients so they

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

00:35:17.353 --> 00:35:20.527 can get to know other people with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:35:20.527 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.930$ disorder and form pure support that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

00:35:23.930 --> 00:35:27.906 Uh Jason Ong has done some really nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:35:27.906 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.329$ preliminary work on the development of CBTH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00{:}35{:}31.329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.280$ So unlike CBT I where the idea is you

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:35:35.385 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.489$ can actually fix the insomnia with

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:35:39.489 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.250$ cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:35:43.250 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.010$ The idea was CBT H is not that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:35:46.010 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.074$ I don't think anyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

00:35:48.074 --> 00:35:49.106 especially Jason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

00:35:49.110 --> 00:35:52.146 thinks that you will cure hypersomnia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:35:52.150 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.950$ narcolepsy, IH whatever with CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:35:55.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.785$ But there's plenty of symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:35:58.785 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.620$ in the hypersomnia disorders that

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00{:}36{:}01.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}04.637$ could be nefit from a structured CBT

00:36:04.637 --> 00:36:07.480 sort of support and training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:36:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.153$ and so this was a pilot study that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:36:10.153 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.052$ did in published across narcolepsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:36:12.052 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.509$ type 1/2 and IH who also had at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00{:}36{:}15.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}17.711$ mild depression and did a combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

00:36:17.711 --> 00:36:20.420 of individual or group CBT eight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:36:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.335$ So it was basically designed

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00{:}36{:}22.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}23.867$ based on stakeholder intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:36:23.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.208$ What was known about CBT for other?

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

00:36:26.210 --> 00:36:27.234 Chronic diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:36:27.234 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.818$ and then what is known specifically about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00{:}36{:}30.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}32.830$ These disorders and although

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:36:32.830 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.836$ it was a small pilot study mostly

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:36:35.836 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.660$ to look at feasibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

00:36:37.660 --> 00:36:39.835 they did find significant improvement

 $00:36:39.835 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.756$ in depression severity as well as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:36:42.756 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.806$ measure of global self efficacy, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:36:44.806 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.942$ These are chronic diseases that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:36:46.942 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.244$ hard to manage and so increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

00:36:49.244 --> 00:36:51.535 self efficacy is potentially going

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:36:51.535 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.555$ to be really helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:36:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.656$ But as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:36:54.656 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.379$ the mainstay of what we do is medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:36:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.166$ so these are the new clinical practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:37:00.166 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.762$ guidelines from the ASM for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00{:}37{:}02.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}04.530$ central disorders of hypersomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:37:04.530 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.386$ I'm actually only showing you on this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:37:07.390 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.586$ Three of the disorders that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:37:09.586 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.050$ covered in that guideline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:37:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.054$ We do know that narcolepsy type

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:37:13.054 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.890$ one in our club today.

 $00:37:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.850$ Two are different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:37:15.850 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.450$ but this guideline has continued

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:37:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.142$ to lump the narcolepsy together

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

00:37:19.142 --> 00:37:21.128 because most of the studies lumped

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:37:21.128 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.116$ in narcolepsy together and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.950573446428571

 $00:37:23.116 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.716$ are evidence based pipelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

00:37:24.720 --> 00:37:28.056 Uhm, the ASM gives things strong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:37:28.060 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.037$ Recommendations for conditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:37:30.037 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.014$ recommendations for conditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:37:32.014 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.991$ recommendations against or

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:37:33.991 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.651$ strong recommendations against

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

00:37:35.651 --> 00:37:38.423 depending on the strength of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00{:}37{:}38.423 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}40.944$ evidence in the context of patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:37:40.944 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.230$ preferences and values and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:37:43.230 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.884$ You can see here for idiopathic hypersomnia,

 $00:37:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.410$ we made one strong for recommendation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00{:}37{:}49.410 --> 00{:}37{:}50.982$ which is for Modafinil,

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:37:50.982 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.340$ and then we make 4 conditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:37:53.416 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.972$ recommendations or methylphenidate sodium

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:37:55.972 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.167$ oxybate to listen and clarithromycin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:37:59.170 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.648$ So a couple of comments about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:38:01.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.250$ I will not talk further

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:38:03.250 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.890$ about methylphenidate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:38:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.375$ This is based on clinical data that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:38:06.375 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.390$ published showing that methylphenidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:38:08.390 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.650$ helps people with idiopathic hypersomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:38:10.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.840$ There's not a randomized controlled trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:38:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.264$ I think we believe it probably does help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:38:15.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.130$ It helps for lots of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.618426005

 $00:38:17.130 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.060$ kinds of sleepiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:38:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.870$ Patrol assignment there's data out

00:38:21.870 --> 00:38:23.974 of France showing that that Oleson

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00{:}38{:}23.974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}25.690$ helps with idiopathic hypersomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:38:25.690 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.160$ Again, in a clinical series,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:38:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.130$ not a randomized controlled trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:38:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.478$ Realistically, here in EU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:38:30.478 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.880$ S that is really hard to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:38:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.740$ cover for people with high age

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:38:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.869$ 'cause it is really expensive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:38:36.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.766$ so it does have a conditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.74654660466667

 $00:38:38.766 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.398$ for recommendation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:38:39.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.966$ but I don't generally use it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:38:40.970 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.382$ In my age patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00{:}38{:}42.382 \to 00{:}38{:}44.500$ And we'll talk more about sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:38:44.578 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.698$ of for my son in a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

00:38:46.700 --> 00:38:48.320 but I definitely want to talk

 $00:38:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.400$ more about sodium oxybate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00{:}38{:}49.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}52.526$ So these guidelines were finished before

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:38:52.526 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.900$ the lower sodium oxybate clinical trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:38:55.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.180$ and idiopathic hypersomnia released

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:38:57.180 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.544$ any data so we could not incorporate

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:38:59.544 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.459$ those data into this guideline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:39:01.460 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.470$ That's why there's not any comments

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:39:03.470 \dashrightarrow 00:39:05.626$ on lower sodium oxybate the sodium

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:39:05.626 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.876$ oxybate data that led to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:39:07.876 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.982$ conditional recommendation was

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00{:}39{:}08.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}10.734$ a clinical series out of France,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:39:10.740 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.880$ not a clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:39:13.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.870$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667 00:39:15.870 --> 00:39:16.316 Briefly, NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

00:39:16.316 --> 00:39:19.438 UM Modafinil I think has been for

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00{:}39{:}19.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}22.570$ a long time and should continue to

 $00:39:22.570 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.376$ be one of the first line treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00{:}39{:}26.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28.728$ for idiopathic hypersomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

00:39:28.730 --> 00:39:31.142 It is worth knowing because occasionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:39:31.142 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.203$ sways insurance companies that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:39:33.203 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.183$ are now two published randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:39:35.183 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.767$ controlled trials of Modafinil.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:39:36.770 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.372$ They both used a dose of

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:39:38.372 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.730$ 200 milligrams once a day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:39:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.032$ which is a lower dose than

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:39:41.032 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.809$ most of my age patients are on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

00:39:42.810 --> 00:39:44.915 I generally need to titrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:39:44.915 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.599$ up to 400 milligrams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:39:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.910$ But between the two studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:39:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.670$ there were about 100 participants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:39:49.670 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.830$ so pretty good size in combination,

 $00:39:51.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.035$ almost all of whom met them without

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

00:39:54.035 --> 00:39:56.023 long flight sleep time criteria from

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00{:}39{:}56.023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58.779$ the ICS D2 and what you're looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.74654660466667

00:39:58.779 --> 00:40:01.857 here is just a meta analysis of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:40:01.857 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.350$ on treatment Epworth at three weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

00:40:04.350 --> 00:40:06.653 A reduction in the word Apple Group

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:40:06.653 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.977$ versus the placebo group of five points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:40:08.980 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.164$ So similar to what we would see and

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:40:11.164 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.869$ with Modafinil and other disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:40:12.870 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.234$ and similarly on the MWT used

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:40:15.234 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.416$ in both studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:40:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.430$ And improvements in 4.7 minutes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:40:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.510$ the ability to maintain wakefulness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

00:40:20.510 --> 00:40:21.262 So unsurprising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:40:21.262 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.518$ I think because I think we

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

00:40:23.518 --> 00:40:25.140 all know clinically,

 $00:40:25.140 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.880$ the Modafinil helps plenty of

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:40:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.272$ people with idiopathic hypersomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:40:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.020$ Not all of them, but plenty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

00:40:30.020 --> 00:40:32.692 But now objective randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

00:40:32.692 --> 00:40:34.696 controlled trial data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:40:34.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.764$ particularly with most of the sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:40:36.764 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.610$ added in this 2021 publication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00{:}40{:}40.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42.926$ Really, what I wanna talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:40:42.930 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.250$ is lower sodium oxybate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74654660466667

 $00:40:45.250 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.948$ so calcium, magnesium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667 00:40:46.948 --> 00:40:47.486 potassium, NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:40:47.486 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.562$ sodium, oxybate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00{:}40{:}48.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52.184$ So recall that so dium oxybate has at

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00{:}40{:}52.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54.704$ least if you were on the 4.5 grams,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

00:40:54.710 --> 00:40:57.094 twice a night dose,

00:40:57.094 --> 00:40:59.478 almost your daily maximum

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:40:59.478 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.257$ recommended amount of sodium in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

00:41:02.260 --> 00:41:07.057 And so now there is this mixed salt oxybate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:41:07.060 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.594$ often referred to as lower sodium oxybate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:41:09.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.520$ which is 92% less sodium than sodium oxybate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:41:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.388$ The approval for the treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:41:17.388 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.560$ narcolepsy for kids older than seven

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

00:41:19.560 --> 00:41:22.278 and an adult back in July of 2020.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

00:41:22.280 --> 00:41:24.260 But the reason we're talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:41:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.090$ it today is of course last month or

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:41:27.090 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.120$ we talked over now two months ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:41:29.120 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.394$ It got approval for the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

00:41:31.394 --> 00:41:32.910 of IH in adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:41:32.910 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.406$ which made it the very first

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

 $00:41:35.406 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.070$ medication FDA approved for

NOTE Confidence: 0.746546604666667

00:41:37.149 --> 00:41:38.859 idiopathic hypersomnia,

 $00:41:38.860 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.150$ which is a really big deal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852518689615385

00:41:43.290 --> 00:41:44.762 It is still oxybate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852518689615385

 $00:41:44.762 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.314$ which means it is still covered by

NOTE Confidence: 0.852518689615385

00:41:47.314 --> 00:41:49.735 a REMS program by the FDA to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.852518689615385

 $00{:}41{:}49.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}52.430$ mitigate the risk of this medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852518689615385

 $00:41:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.188$ It is a schedule three drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852518689615385

 $00:41:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.602$ It is a one to one dose Ng with

NOTE Confidence: 0.852518689615385

00:41:56.602 --> 00:41:58.675 sodium oxybate because it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.852518689615385

 $00:41:58.675 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.403$ the same active ingredient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852518689615385

 $00:42:00.410 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.167$ I'm gonna dig a little bit into

NOTE Confidence: 0.852518689615385

 $00:42:02.167 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.112$ the data and support this for

NOTE Confidence: 0.852518689615385

00:42:04.112 --> 00:42:05.620 idiopathic hypersomnia as of

NOTE Confidence: 0.852518689615385

 $00{:}42{:}05.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}07.610$ Friday these weren't published yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852518689615385

00:42:07.610 --> 00:42:08.695 I don't think they've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.852518689615385

00:42:08.695 --> 00:42:09.346 published since then,

 $00:42:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.840$ but these are data from their

NOTE Confidence: 0.852518689615385

 $00{:}42{:}11.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}13.045$ abstracts from clinical trials.gov

NOTE Confidence: 0.852518689615385

 $00:42:13.045 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.920$ and from the package insert.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:42:17.860 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.836$ Be a they did an interesting study design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:42:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.994$ So further narcolepsy trials where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}42{:}23.994 \rightarrow 00{:}42{:}25.860$ already knew sodium oxybate worked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:42:25.860 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.900$ They said well, for lower sodium

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:42:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.260$ oxybate same active ingredient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:42:29.260 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.366$ Let's do a double blind withdrawal

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:42:31.366 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.521$ study where you type train people

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:42:33.521 --> 00:42:35.579 up on the medicine open label.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:42:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.878$ Keep people on their stable dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:42:37.878 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.255$ of the medication open label and

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:42:40.255 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.553$ then do a double blind withdrawal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:42:42.560 --> 00:42:44.020 Some people stay on medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:42:44.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.230$ some people go to place bo.

00:42:47.230 --> 00:42:50.422 And see how much worse the placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}42{:}50.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52.542$ group group guests for narcolepsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:42:52.542 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.798$ where they already knew oxybate worked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:42:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.935$ This is a pretty reasonable study design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}42{:}56.940 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}42{:}59.922$ It keeps people on place bo for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:42:59.922 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.850$ shortest possible amount of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:43:01.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.090$ It is interesting to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}43{:}03.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}05.202$ They decided to do the same thing for

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:43:05.202 --> 00:43:07.519 IH when they didn't have any data

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}43{:}07.519 \rightarrow 00{:}43{:}09.249$ showing that oxybate was helpful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:43:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.525$ but nevertheless that is what they did

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:43:11.525 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.028$ and so there was a screening period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}43{:}14.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}16.364$ People could be on other wake

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}43{:}16.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}17.920$ promoting medications or die.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}43{:}17.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20.160$ Rams excuse me sodium oxybate.

00:43:20.160 --> 00:43:23.334 And then there were changed to lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:43:23.334 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.218$ sodium oxybate or lower sodium oxybate

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:43:25.218 --> 00:43:27.825 was added if they were on another way

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:43:27.825 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.862$ promoting medication for a stable dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:43:29.862 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.747$ in period before this randomization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:43:31.750 --> 00:43:35.246 So who were the IH patients up there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:43:35.250 --> 00:43:37.782 154 adults meeting ICS D Two

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:43:37.782 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.470$ or three IH criteria?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}43{:}39.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}42.698$ Median age of 3971% women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:43:42.698 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.530$ Their efforts had to be at least 11.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}43{:}45.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}48.410$ He's fit well with our clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:43:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.329$ picture of who has IH 41% had

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:43:51.329 --> 00:43:53.327 not ever been treated for IH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}43{:}53.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55.892$ but the majority had been treated before

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:43:55.892 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.686$ in fact and 58% of them stayed on a wake

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:43:59.686 --> 00:44:01.819 promoting medication during this study.

00:44:01.820 --> 00:44:04.244 A handful had been on sodium oxybate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}44{:}04.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}06.180$ were transitions to lower sodium oxybate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:44:06.180 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.505$ They couldn't have other causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:44:08.505 --> 00:44:10.830 of hypersomnia or untreated OSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:44:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.678$ They could not have had a major

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:44:12.678 --> 00:44:14.059 depression episode within the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:44:14.059 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.673$ year or any current suicidal ideations

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:44:15.673 --> 00:44:17.140 or history of suicide attempt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:44:17.140 --> 00:44:18.460 Couldn't, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:44:18.460 --> 00:44:19.780 beyond sedating medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:44:19.780 --> 00:44:20.218 alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:44:20.218 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.408$ cannabinoids that would be dangerous

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}44{:}22.408 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}44{:}25.024$ with lower sodium oxybate couldn't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:44:25.024 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.430$ a history of substance abuse disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:44:27.430 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.803$ What they did that was different than

00:44:29.803 --> 00:44:31.762 the narcolepsy studies was to allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}44{:}31.762 \longrightarrow 00{:}44{:}33.820$ people to either take the twice nightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:44:33.878 --> 00:44:36.308 dosing that we are used to for oxidates or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:44:36.310 --> 00:44:37.561 once nightly dosing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:44:37.561 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.229$ the rationale being that

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:44:39.229 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.020$ case series from France,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:44:41.020 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.854$ then so the people with IH because

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:44:42.854 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.699$ they're bad at waking up have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}44{:}44.699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}46.205$ really hard time waking up to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6771589500:44:46.210 --> 00:44:46.453 say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}44{:}46.453 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}48.397$ take a second dose in sodium oxidate after

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:44:48.397 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.108$ they've only been asleep for four hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:44:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.810$ So here they actually let the

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:44:52.810 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.610$ the treating investigator decide

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:44:54.684 --> 00:44:56.789 once or twice nightly dosing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:44:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.082$ and so the total.

 $00:44:58.082 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.020$ Nightly dose varied depending on whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:45:00.083 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.980$ they were taking it once or twice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:45:01.980 --> 00:45:04.297 Actually they ended up with most people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:45:04.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.408 3/4$ taking two doses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:45:06.408 --> 00:45:08.516 Of lower setting oxybate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:45:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.914$ so their primary outcome was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

00:45:10.914 --> 00:45:13.357 Epworth UM and during the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}45{:}13.357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}15.661$ they were open label on oxybate

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}45{:}15.661 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.620$ the Epworth were quite low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:45:17.620 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.699$ When they put people from lower sodium

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00{:}45{:}19.699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}21.173$ oxybate 2 placebo there Equifax

NOTE Confidence: 0.67715895

 $00:45:21.173 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.293$ quite a lot worse and the people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

 $00{:}45{:}23.349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}24.939$ stayed on treatment it didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

 $00:45:24.940 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.349$ So a seven point difference in the Epworth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

 $00:45:28.349 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.470$ It's not really an apples to apples

 $00:45:30.538 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.623$ comparison with a traditional parallel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

00:45:32.623 --> 00:45:34.708 group design like the Modafinil

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

00:45:34.769 --> 00:45:36.922 studies I showed you, but certainly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

 $00:45:36.922 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.177$ People taken off of lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

 $00:45:39.177 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.070$ sodium oxybate got worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

 $00:45:41.070 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.020$ They also looked at the global

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

 $00:45:43.020 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.031$ impression of change and people felt

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

 $00:45:45.031 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.306$ worse when they came off the medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

 $00{:}45{:}47.306 \to 00{:}45{:}49.229$ and then the idiopathic paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

 $00:45:49.230 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.130$ Samia severity scales force

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

00:45:52.130 --> 00:45:54.988 again worsening when people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

 $00:45:54.988 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.508$ were were randomized to SIBO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

 $00:45:58.510 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.471$ 11% of people withdrew due to adverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

 $00:46:01.471 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.590$ events at some point and another 154

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

 $00:46:04.590 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.302$ who started the study only about 110.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

00:46:07.302 --> 00:46:09.030 Actually ended up randomized,

00:46:09.030 --> 00:46:11.030 not necessarily because of AES,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459028508

 $00:46:11.030 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.166$ but there was a decent amount of attrition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:46:16.180 --> 00:46:18.742 The other people who withdrew anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:46:18.742 --> 00:46:21.590 was most common reason for withdrawal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:46:21.590 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.662$ but you can see a handful of reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:46:23.662 --> 00:46:25.364 why people with through and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:46:25.364 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.773$ during the open label dose in the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:46:27.773 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.428$ common adverse events for nausea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:46:29.430 --> 00:46:30.822 headache, dizziness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:46:30.822 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.606$ insomnia and again anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00{:}46{:}33.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35.745$ And so I think not surprising given

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:46:35.745 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.831$ what we know about sodium oxybate

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:46:37.831 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.033$ and how it works in narcolepsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:46:40.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.904$ but know that that is now an option.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:46:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.710$ Lower sodium oxybate for idiopathic

00:46:43.710 --> 00:46:44.790 hypersomnia I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00{:}46{:}44.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46.393$ We still have work to do in

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:46:46.393 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.074$ figuring out where in the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:46:48.074 --> 00:46:49.326 algorithm that should fall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:46:49.330 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.530$ especially given the exclusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:46:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.280$ criteria for the clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:46:54.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.726$ A couple of other points about

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00{:}46{:}55.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56.690$ the treatment of idiopathic

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:46:56.732 --> 00:46:58.268 hypersomnia for some people to sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:46:58.270 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.048$ inertia is really a problem and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00{:}47{:}00.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}02.080$ needs to be addressed separately in

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:02.080 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.510$ addition to the wake promoting medication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00{:}47{:}04.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06.120$ lower sodium oxybate seems like

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.050$ a good idea for that now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:47:08.050 --> 00:47:09.610 If people otherwise qualify and

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:09.610 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.858$ it's otherwise appropriate to

00:47:10.858 --> 00:47:12.608 put them on lower sodium oxybate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:12.610 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.854$ it does seem to have helped

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:14.854 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.000$ with that piece of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:47:17.000 --> 00:47:21.840 What many people do is set two alarms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.248$ one for when they need to wake up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:23.250 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.100$ and one an hour earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:47:25.100 --> 00:47:27.436 Wake up just enough to swallow their wake

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:27.436 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.019$ promoting medication angle back to sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:29.020 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.060$ and then when the second

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.420$ alarm goes off an hour later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:31.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.412$ they actually have a level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:33.412 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.075$ medication in their system that

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00{:}47{:}35.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}37.235$ makes it easier for them to wake up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:47:37.240 --> 00:47:37.586 Sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:37.586 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.354$ If they really can't even wake up enough

 $00:47:40.354 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.818$ to take medication an hour earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:42.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.540$ we those things at bedtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:44.540 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.760$ There's a nice key series Carlos Shank

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.489$ looking at using boubyan bupropion for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:51.490 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.311$ Who knew there is a delayed release

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:47:54.311 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.520$ methylphenidate at bedtime?

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:47:55.520 --> 00:47:57.383 480 HD that when I can get up for

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:47:57.383 --> 00:47:59.457 my age patients II quite like and

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00{:}47{:}59.457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}01.421$ sometimes we just use Lotus wake

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:01.421 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.533$ promoting medications for the people in

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00{:}48{:}03.533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05.296$ whom there is a circadian component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:05.296 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.760$ There seems to be a phase delay component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:48:07.760 --> 00:48:10.208 Melatonin light ways to shift the

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:10.208 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.530$ phase earlier may be helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:48:12.530 --> 00:48:14.470 And then in treatment refractory

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:14.470 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.022$ cases reassess the diagnosis.

00:48:16.030 --> 00:48:18.268 Make sure it's right combination therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00{:}48{:}18.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}20.069$ And then here's what I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:48:20.069 --> 00:48:21.416 talk very briefly about service

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:21.416 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.372$ for maintenance and as an L we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:23.372 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.980$ a study of floor three mice in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:25.033 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.888$ couple of phone number of years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:48:26.890 --> 00:48:29.067 Now it was a twenty person just

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00{:}48{:}29.067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}30.430$ pilot randomized controlled trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:30.430 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.062$ They crossover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:31.062 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.958$ We did not see an improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:32.958 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.980$ in reaction times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.524$ but we did see significant improvements

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00{:}48{:}36.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38.640$ in our subjective self reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.432$ outcomes and so we use it when people

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:48:41.432 --> 00:48:43.557 have failed many other things.

 $00:48:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.000$ We at least try it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00{:}48{:}45.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}47.219$ It is very important to know this

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:48:47.219 --> 00:48:48.659 safety communication from the FDA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:48.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.676$ which is that clarifies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:48:49.676 --> 00:48:50.946 may increase mortality in people

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:50.946 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.819$ with heart disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:48:51.820 --> 00:48:53.780 This comes from the cleric or study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:53.780 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.240$ which is a very large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.996$ randomized controlled trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:55.996 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.256$ that thought it would show.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:48:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.855$ Clarithromycin helped people with MI

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00{:}48{:}58.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}01.560$ or angina and in fact found the opposite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

 $00:49:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.912$ which that is that it increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.863913511666667

00:49:03.912 --> 00:49:05.480 mortality in people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:49:05.550 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.368$ a history of MI or angina.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:49:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.230$ In their post hoc analysis,

 $00:49:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.732$ this was only people who are not on saturns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:49:11.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.036$ but the only randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

00:49:14.036 --> 00:49:15.758 component was clarithromycin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

00:49:15.760 --> 00:49:17.641 So now I know it was not covered in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

00:49:17.641 --> 00:49:19.410 the clinical practice guideline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:49:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.498$ 'cause there wasn't enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:49:20.498 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.858$ data to make a recommendation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:49:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.857$ but we do sometimes use a flumazenil as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:49:24.860 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.360$ It has to be compounded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:49:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.194$ it cannot be taken orally because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

00:49:29.194 --> 00:49:31.220 a very large first pass metabolism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00{:}49{:}31.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}34.232$ So we compound it into either a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00{:}49{:}34.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}36.087$ transdermal cream at that goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

00:49:36.087 --> 00:49:38.540 on the venous plexus right here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:49:38.540 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.724$ or these little lozenges that go

 $00:49:40.724 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.160$ under the tongue to be dissolved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:49:43.160 \dashrightarrow 00:49:45.904$ We just looked at our clinical data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:49:45.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.600$ In in our first 153 people on film as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:49:48.600 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.976$ about 60% say yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:49:49.976 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.696$ This helps with my sleepiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:49:51.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.836$ Only about 40% of people stay

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:49:53.836 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.009$ on it for a variety of reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:49:57.010 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.626$ We do Council people that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:49:59.626 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.222$ that first 153 people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

00:50:01.222 --> 00:50:03.749 two people had a stroke equivalent to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

00:50:03.749 --> 00:50:06.705 180 and one of radio graphic vasculopathy

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:06.710 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.425$ both had pre-existing risk factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:08.425 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.480$ that potentially there is a risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:10.480 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.965$ There.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

00:50:10.965 --> 00:50:13.390 More commonly dizziness and anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:13.390 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.298$ or what we saw.

00:50:15.300 --> 00:50:15.780 Finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:15.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.039$ I think when we now we start to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:18.039 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.258$ more treatment options for patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.940$ we get to start thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:21.940 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.560$ which treatment for which patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.426$ So what are the key symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

00:50:25.426 --> 00:50:26.048 beyond sleepiness?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:26.050 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.298$ We need to manage?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:27.298 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.858$ What are the important comorbidities

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00{:}50{:}28.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}30.661$ that might lead you away from

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00{:}50{:}30.661 \rightarrow 00{:}50{:}32.091$ a treatment like lower sodium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

00:50:32.100 --> 00:50:34.876 oxybate or substance abuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00{:}50{:}34.876 \to 00{:}50{:}36.958$ or cardiac comorbidities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.664$ And then of course for people

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:38.664 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.516$ of childbearing potential,

 $00:50:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.022$ what are their plans for childbearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

00:50:42.022 --> 00:50:44.880 and not just Modafinil and armodafinil,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.332$ but control is on?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:46.332 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.147$ Now two interferes with hormonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:48.147 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.398$ birth control to decrease its

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

00:50:50.398 --> 00:50:52.214 efficacy at preventing pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00{:}50{:}52.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}53.780$ Important to use a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:53.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.028$ form of birth control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.750$ Comorbid mood disorders are tough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:50:56.750 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.985$ I don't think idiopathic hypersomnia

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00{:}50{:}58.985 \to 00{:}51{:}01.220$ is protective against those up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:51:01.220 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.506$ but there are cautions for many

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:51:03.506 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.993$ mood disorders with with all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:51:05.993 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.208$ these treatments and then comorbid

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:51:08.208 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.044$ medical disorders often will

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

00:51:10.044 --> 00:51:11.788 guide our treatment option,

 $00{:}51{:}11.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}14.175$ particularly with cardiac

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:51:14.175 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.560$ related comorbidities limiting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:51:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.050$ Some of our instead of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:51:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.422$ uses and and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

00:51:20.422 --> 00:51:22.240 And with that I thank you all

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:51:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.875$ very much for your attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:51:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.264$ We do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:51:24.264 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.800$ I think have a few minutes for questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:51:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.265$ I would love to answer any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84887176

 $00:51:29.270 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.510$ Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

 $00:51:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.900$ thank you so much. That was fabulous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

 $00:51:31.900 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.892$ Fabulous Overview,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

 $00{:}51{:}32.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}34.380$ really concentrating on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

 $00:51:34.380 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.158$ I think all of our clinical experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

00:51:36.160 --> 00:51:37.686 how difficult it is to really make

 $00:51:37.686 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.059$ a diagnosis in these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

 $00{:}51{:}39.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}40.815$ Be confident in the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

 $00:51:40.815 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.960$ and then of course treat them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

 $00:51:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.235$ And I'm gonna ask people to either

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

00:51:45.235 --> 00:51:46.999 put their questions in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

00:51:47.000 --> 00:51:49.416 I'll be happy to read them or unmute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

 $00:51:49.420 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.740$ But one question I had about the oxidates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

 $00:51:51.740 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.640$ You know what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

00:51:52.640 --> 00:51:54.440 And I know we weren't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

00:51:54.440 --> 00:51:56.100 talking about pathophysiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

 $00:51:56.100 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.546$ but what do you think the mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

 $00:51:57.546 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.490$ of action might be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

00:51:59.490 --> 00:52:01.400 In idiopathic hypersomnia, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

 $00:52:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.206$ in narcolepsy you know we understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

 $00:52:03.206 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.697$ there's these patients have fragmented

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

 $00:52:04.697 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.812$ sleep and a lot of you know sleep state

 $00:52:06.864 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.886$ dysregulation and it's sort of intuitively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

 $00:52:08.890 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.150$ Oh, we consolidate their sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

00:52:10.150 --> 00:52:11.974 They're better, but these people have

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

 $00:52:11.974 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.810$ long sleeve with high efficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286 00:52:13.810 --> 00:52:14.228 Why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.962356775714286

00:52:14.228 --> 00:52:16.318 Why should this work right

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

00:52:16.350 --> 00:52:17.838 right? No, I agree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:52:17.838 \dashrightarrow 00:52:20.475$ And actually mean before that case series

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00{:}52{:}20.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}23.163$ from France came out a few years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00{:}52{:}23.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.172$ I didn't ever use oxidative my age

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:52:25.172 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.709$ patients for exactly that reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:52:26.710 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.838$ It didn't make sense to me, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00{:}52{:}28.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}31.274$ I I think there's a few possibilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:52:31.280 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.920$ One is that there may be something wrong

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:52:33.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.860$ with the sleep that people with IH get that

 $00:52:36.860 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.697$ we don't see with our traditional tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00{:}52{:}39.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41.617$ I like e.g as much as the next person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:52:41.620 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.030$ but you're still measuring the scalp

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:52:43.030 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.710$ and trying to get it the thalamus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:52:44.710 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.391$ There's a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:52:45.391 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.753$ you know that happens in there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:52:46.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.706$ so it it may be that oxybate

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:52:48.706 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.228$ is fixing something with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:52:50.228 \dashrightarrow 00:52:52.100$ nocturnal sleep that we can't see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:52:52.100 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.298$ Maybe people need a lot of sleep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00{:}52{:}54.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}55.824$ Because there's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:52:55.824 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.348$ missing that's possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:52:57.350 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.006$ It's also possible that some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:52:59.006 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.520$ the effects of oxybate or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:53:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.140$ It's not just that it changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:53:02.140 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.110$ nighttime sleep and said it suppresses

00:53:04.110 --> 00:53:05.370 dopamine and norepinephrine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:53:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.647$ and so you get this rebound in the morning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:53:07.650 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.096$ and so it might actually have

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

00:53:09.096 --> 00:53:10.770 some of its mechanism through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:53:10.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.405$ traditional ways that we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:53:12.405 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.910$ Modafinil and amphetamines are right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

00:53:13.910 --> 00:53:16.638 Promoting through increasing dopaminergic

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00{:}53{:}16.638 \to 00{:}53{:}18.684$ and no radrenergic neurotransmission.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877030912631579

 $00:53:18.690 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.980$ So I don't think we know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847294096

00:53:21.370 --> 00:53:23.730 Great thank you. Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847294096

 $00:53:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.830$ anybody questions from the audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847294096

00:53:25.830 --> 00:53:27.838 I want to give you a chance, I see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847294096

 $00:53:27.838 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.480$ I see a few faces. Who undone I Brian?

NOTE Confidence: 0.847294096

 $00:53:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.929$ I didn't know if you had a question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847294096

 $00:53:32.930 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.040$ you may be able to unmute yourself if you do.

00:53:35.040 --> 00:53:38.220 If not, just feel free to put it in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896239649047619

 $00:53:41.900 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.028$ I guess while we're waiting for people

NOTE Confidence: 0.896239649047619

 $00:53:44.028 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.488$ to question it so it sounded to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.896239649047619

00:53:46.488 --> 00:53:48.210 like your approach for diagnosis is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896239649047619

00:53:48.210 --> 00:53:50.714 you still rely on the PSG with MSLT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896239649047619

 $00:53:50.720 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.520$ but everybody gets the seven

NOTE Confidence: 0.896239649047619

00:53:53.520 --> 00:53:55.760 days of Actigraphy beforehand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896239649047619

00:53:55.760 --> 00:53:57.740 Yeah, and are you using the actor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896239649047619

00:53:57.740 --> 00:53:59.987 Watch what? What do you use we

NOTE Confidence: 0.733905978

 $00:54:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.250$ doing is the actor watch

NOTE Confidence: 0.89976562625

 $00:54:02.080 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.030$ and which setting do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.89976562625

00:54:03.030 --> 00:54:04.128 keep it on, 'cause you may?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:54:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.244$ We haven't even just like Jesse's paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:54:08.250 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.338$ We did not. We have not changed that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:54:10.340 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.972$ We still stay on the on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:54:12.972 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.226$ default settings up the UM.

00:54:16.226 --> 00:54:19.282 You know, I think the problem with actigraphy

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00{:}54{:}19.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21.088$ is that really to make the diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:54:21.090 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.435$ people need to be able to sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:54:23.435 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.918$ adlib and it is really hard to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00{:}54{:}25.918 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}54{:}28.406$ sleep 11 hours every night and still

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:54:28.406 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.533$ have a job and so many people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:54:31.533 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.957$ IH or curtailing or sleep time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

00:54:33.960 --> 00:54:35.056 Nine hours, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00{:}54{:}35.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}36.700$ we're just still plenty of sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:54:36.700 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.924$ but you may not catch it on actigraphy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00{:}54{:}39.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}41.914$ It looks like we're starting to see any

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

00:54:41.914 --> 00:54:44.233 change in insurance coverage for any of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00{:}54{:}44.233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}46.003$ the medicine for idiopathic hypersomnia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

00:54:46.010 --> 00:54:50.530 Hi Karen, I'm not yet it just happened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:54:50.530 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.005$ but absolutely in every appeal

00:54:52.005 --> 00:54:53.185 letter I right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00{:}54{:}53.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}54.846$ and medicines are denied for IH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:54:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.562$ I say the only FDA approved medication with

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:54:57.562 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.025$ indication is the way it is very expensive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:55:00.030 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.910$ This is a cheaper alternative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00{:}55{:}02.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}04.510$ Trusted insurance companies will

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:55:04.510 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.510$ act in their self interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:55:06.510 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.132$ Uh, I'm hoping that'll be that'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00{:}55{:}09.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}11.260$ cause pressure and moved out

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:55:11.260 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.066$ and it will be paid for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

00:55:13.070 --> 00:55:15.490 Brian, hi, Brian says, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:55:15.490 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.912$ do you think the sleep of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

00:55:17.912 --> 00:55:19.443 age is qualitative differently

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00{:}55{:}19.443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}21.909$ and can capture it with EG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

00:55:21.910 --> 00:55:22.762 You know I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:55:22.762 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.182$ I think our traditional measures

00:55:24.182 --> 00:55:25.790 are not capturing it well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:55:25.790 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.374$ but I think that some of the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

00:55:29.374 --> 00:55:32.048 that's coming out now looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:55:32.048 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.848$ at either spectral analysis or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

00:55:34.850 --> 00:55:36.510 How often people are shifting

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:55:36.510 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.170$ between states may capture some

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:55:38.224 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.016$ of the stuff that we are missing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00.55.40.020 \longrightarrow 00.55.41.870$ so I think we don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:55:41.870 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.118$ Have as much we haven't got as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

00:55:44.118 --> 00:55:46.350 data out of the E as we as we can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00:55:46.350 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.366$ and so I'm hoping that will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.79229656

 $00{:}55{:}48.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}49.440$ helpful diagnostically as we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886992092941177

 $00{:}55{:}51.680 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}55{:}53.132$ You know, as we learn more

NOTE Confidence: 0.886992092941177

 $00:55:53.132 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.801$ about that and then a related

NOTE Confidence: 0.886992092941177

 $00:55:54.801 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.416$ question about percent slow wave,

00:55:56.420 --> 00:55:58.445 percent RAM, it's not grossly

NOTE Confidence: 0.886992092941177

00:55:58.445 --> 00:56:01.020 different NIH than than other people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886992092941177

 $00:56:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.848$ And so those those sort of traditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.886992092941177

 $00:56:03.848 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.128$ measures don't get us a long way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886992092941177

 $00:56:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.570$ Potential mechanism for

NOTE Confidence: 0.886992092941177

00:56:07.570 --> 00:56:09.010 clarithromycin or flumazenil.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886992092941177

 $00:56:09.010 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.996$ We started using those because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.886992092941177

 $00:56:10.996 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.615$ act at GABA a receptors to decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.886992092941177

 $00:56:13.615 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.051$ this increased activity that we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.886992092941177

00:56:16.051 --> 00:56:18.560 in sleepy patients so flumazenil.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800629981111111

 $00:56:20.570 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.894$ Is a negative allosteric modulator of GABA

NOTE Confidence: 0.800629981111111

 $00:56:22.894 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.775$ a receptors for information may have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.800629981111111

 $00:56:25.775 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.603$ more directly antagonistic properties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800629981111111

00:56:27.610 --> 00:56:29.194 Were saying they didn't actually know

NOTE Confidence: 0.800629981111111

 $00:56:29.194 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.547$ what the mechanism of clarithromycin

NOTE Confidence: 0.800629981111111

 $00:56:30.547 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.304$ is because it is a dirty drug.

 $00:56:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.230$ It does lots of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800629981111111

 $00:56:33.230 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.258$ It's an anti inflammatory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800629981111111

 $00:56:34.258 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.511$ It's an antibiotic and so my current funding

NOTE Confidence: 0.800629981111111

 $00:56:36.511 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.503$ is a mechanistic study of clarithromycin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800629981111111

00:56:38.510 --> 00:56:41.206 They try to figure out why it's working,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800629981111111

00:56:41.210 --> 00:56:43.282 but we started it because we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.800629981111111

 $00:56:43.282 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.920$ there's a problem of increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.800629981111111

 $00:56:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.314$ activation of the sedating GABA a system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00{:}56{:}52.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}54.587$ If a patient fails, Modafinil and oxidate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00{:}56{:}54.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}55.690$ would you give sinoussi a

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:56:55.690 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.790$ try or go to clarithromycin?

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:56:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.910$ Are from as you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00{:}56{:}57.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}00.328$ I think Susie is so rampant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:00.330 \dashrightarrow 00:57:03.706$ All sorry Jimmy RCMP office I think so

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:03.706 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.570$ ramped all is a surprisingly good medication.

 $00{:}57{:}07.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}08.802$ I it's mechanistically similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:08.802 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.960$ to a lot of what we use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00{:}57{:}10.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}13.123$ and so I was not super optimistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

00:57:13.123 --> 00:57:14.766 when it was being developed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:14.766 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.630$ but the clinical trials was a pretty robust

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:17.698 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.090$ benefit on the MWT especially and I had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00{:}57{:}20.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}22.310$ Had some very nice responders

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

00:57:22.310 --> 00:57:24.266 of people who didn't do well

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:24.266 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.570$ with armor standard medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:25.570 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.458$ It's fair to get paid for in IH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:27.460 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.310$ so I treat a lot of like PRD eyes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.332$ 5.1 when I watch cats as sleep apnea

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:33.332 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.216$ with positional therapy and then I

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00{:}57{:}35.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}37.260$ can get so rampant all so absolutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:37.260 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.660$ I like I like so ramp at all

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:39.734 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.617$ when I can get it paid for.

 $00:57:41.620 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.546$ I use a lot of traditional stimulants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:44.550 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.837$ I use methylphenidate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:45.837 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.982$ I use the amphetamines and

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:47.982 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.440$ so I generally do those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075 00:57:50.440 --> 00:57:50.720 Also, NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:50.720 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.400$ before I would go to clarithromycin

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.103$ are for now and I'll come.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:54.103 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.401$ I would generally whether I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

00:57:56.401 --> 00:57:58.832 do oxybate or clarithromycin or

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:57:58.832 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.236$ flumazenil first really depends

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:58:01.236 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.640$ on the psychiatric comorbidities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:58:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.019$ For somebody with a lot of depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

00:58:05.020 --> 00:58:06.160 I worry about oxidate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

 $00:58:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.970$ but otherwise I would generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.64297075

00:58:07.970 --> 00:58:10.068 probably try Oxidate first.

 $00:58:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.555$ Do you have time for one more?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857934964285714

 $00:58:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.454$ Do we need to stop because

NOTE Confidence: 0.857934964285714

 $00:58:15.454 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.220$ of the other right now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857934964285714

 $00:58:16.220 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.110$ I think there's just the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811976809090909

00:58:17.120 --> 00:58:18.020 one more from Christine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811976809090909

 $00:58:18.020 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.640$ one who says it's a great talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811976809090909

00:58:19.640 --> 00:58:21.168 But do you think the residual

NOTE Confidence: 0.811976809090909

 $00:58:21.170 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.241$ sleepiness was treated?

NOTE Confidence: 0.811976809090909

 $00:58:22.241 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.383$ OSA has an overlap with IH

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

00:58:25.210 --> 00:58:28.171 I do. I mean, I think that there's probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

 $00:58:28.171 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.810$ two reasons why people have residual

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

 $00:58:30.810 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.540$ sleepiness after OSA is treated right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

 $00:58:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.269$ One is that probably for the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

00:58:35.269 --> 00:58:37.325 with a lot of hypoxemia for a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

 $00:58:37.325 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.180$ of years before they get diagnosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

 $00:58:39.180 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.180$ They have chronic damage

 $00:58:41.180 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.180$ to wake promoting regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

00:58:43.180 --> 00:58:45.460 Or it's just irreversible, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

 $00:58:45.460 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.764$ There's animal data that that suggests

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

 $00:58:47.764 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.218$ that's a plausible mechanism for Sleeping S,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

 $00:58:50.220 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.912$ but for lots of people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

00:58:51.912 --> 00:58:53.040 sleep apnea and sleepiness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

 $00:58:53.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.700$ I think they probably just

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

 $00:58:54.700 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.696$ have two diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

 $00{:}58{:}55.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}57.856$ especially the people with pretty mild sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

 $00{:}58{:}57.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}00.000$ apnea and pretty substantial sleepiness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

00:59:00.000 --> 00:59:02.340 It may be that the battery is just common

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

 $00:59:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.712$ enough that you can have sleep apnea and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

 $00:59:04.712 \dashrightarrow 00:59:07.449$ narcolepsy even have sleep apnea, and I at e.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

 $00:59:07.449 \dashrightarrow 00:59:10.130$ And so absolutely I think there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

00:59:10.213 --> 00:59:13.453 group of people who we treat their OSA.

 $00:59:13.460 \longrightarrow 00:59:14.736$ And they're still sleepy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

 $00:59:14.736 \longrightarrow 00:59:16.650$ The reason they're still sleepy is

NOTE Confidence: 0.867693486666667

 $00:59:16.711 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.790$ because they probably had IH all along.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86121424444445

 $00:59:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.107$ Well, thank you. This is we are at time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86121424444445

00:59:22.110 --> 00:59:23.556 I think the questions would keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.86121424444445

 $00:59:23.556 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.476$ going on and on but we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.86121424444445

 $00:59:25.476 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.981$ really appreciate your time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.861214244444445

 $00:59:26.981 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.188$ your expertise today thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8612142444444445

 $00:59:28.188 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.678$ Thank you for coming and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86121424444445

 $00{:}59{:}29.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}30.870$ thanks every body for joining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861214244444445

00:59:30.870 --> 00:59:31.830 Have a great afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77217756333333300:59:32.750 --> 00:59:33.878 Bye bye bye.