## WEBVTT

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.965 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers NOTE Confidence: 0.95525706 00:00:01.965 --> 00:00:03.930 is provided by Smilow Cancer NOTE Confidence: 0.95525706 00:00:03.997 --> 00:00:05.697 Hospital and AstraZeneca. NOTE Confidence: 0.9654582  $00:00:07.820 \rightarrow 00:00:09.240$  Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers 00:00:10.660 --> 00:00:12.730 with your host Dr. Anees Chagpar. NOTE Confidence: 0.9654582  $00:00:12.730 \rightarrow 00:00:14.110$  Yale Cancer Answers features the latest NOTE Confidence: 0.9654582  $00:00:14.171 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.246$  information on cancer care by NOTE Confidence: 0.9654582 00:00:16.246 --> 00:00:17.906 welcoming oncologists and specialists NOTE Confidence: 0.9654582  $00:00:17.906 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.136$  who are on the forefront of the NOTE Confidence: 0.9654582  $00:00:20.136 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.006$  battle to fight cancer. This week, NOTE Confidence: 0.9654582  $00{:}00{:}22.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.746$  it's a conversation about Melanoma NOTE Confidence: 0.9654582 00:00:23.746 --> 00:00:25.220 with Doctor Harriet Kluger. NOTE Confidence: 0.9654582 00:00:25.220 --> 00:00:27.428 Doctor Kluger is a professor of NOTE Confidence: 0.9654582 00:00:27.428 --> 00:00:28.900 medicine and medical oncology NOTE Confidence: 0.9654582  $00:00:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.910$  at the Yale School of Medicine NOTE Confidence: 0.9654582  $00:00:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.002$  where Doctor Chappar is a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9654582
- 00:00:33.002 --> 00:00:34.450 professor of surgical oncology.
- $00:00:37.238 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.320$  I thought that we would dive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9624361
- $00:00:40.320 \rightarrow 00:00:42.960$  right into the treatment of Melanoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9624361
- $00:00:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.040$  We've talked a lot on this show
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9624361
- $00:00:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.755$  about Melanoma being one of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9624361
- 00:00:48.755 --> 00:00:50.495 most deadly skin cancers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9624361
- 00:00:50.500 --> 00:00:53.118 Can you talk a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9624361
- $00:00:53.118 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.988$  little bit about how we have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9624361
- 00:00:55.988 --> 00:00:57.647 traditionally treated Melanoma
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9624361
- $00:00:57.647 \rightarrow 00:01:00.710$  and where things might be going?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9624361
- $00:01:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.030$  Sure, when we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106
- $00:01:03.030 \rightarrow 00:01:05.306$  think about oncologic treatments,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106
- $00:01:05.306 \dashrightarrow 00:01:08.151$  there are three major categories.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106
- 00:01:08.151 $-\!\!>$ 00:01:11.260 You can take a cancer out with surgery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106
- 00:01:11.260 --> 00:01:14.211 you can do radiation, or you can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106
- $00:01:14.211 \rightarrow 00:01:16.941$  give what we call systemic therapy,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106
- $00:01:16.950 \rightarrow 00:01:20.394$  which is therapy that's given by mouth.

 $00:01:20.400 \rightarrow 00:01:23.168$  But I feel the vast majority of melanomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

 $00:01:23.168 \rightarrow 00:01:25.660$  are actually discovered really early on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

 $00:01:25.660 \rightarrow 00:01:28.072$  when people see a changing mole

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

 $00:01:28.072 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.087$  or a dermatologist might find

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

 $00:01:30.087 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.055$  one on a routine skin exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

 $00:01:32.060 \rightarrow 00:01:34.685$  Most of the melanomas are then excised,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

 $00:01:34.690 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.006$  in other words, taken out and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

 $00:01:37.006 \dashrightarrow 00:01:39.199$  nothing further needs to be done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

 $00:01:39.200 \rightarrow 00:01:41.660$  and patients are simply observed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

00:01:41.660 --> 00:01:43.676 Every so often patients come in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

00:01:43.676 --> 00:01:45.358 without ever knowing that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

 $00{:}01{:}45{.}358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46{.}978$  had a Melanoma in the skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

 $00{:}01{:}46{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}49{.}170$  So it's a Melanoma that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

 $00{:}01{:}49{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51{.}050$  spread beyond the primary site.

 $00:01:51.050 \rightarrow 00:01:53.241$  Or they might have had a primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

 $00:01:53.241 \rightarrow 00:01:55.368$  Melanoma that was removed years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

 $00{:}01{:}55{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57{.}603$  but a few cells escaped and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

00:01:57.603 - 00:01:59.309 now developing into tumors in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

 $00:01:59.309 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.009$  other locations in the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

00:02:01.010 --> 00:02:03.692 What I do in my clinic is treat with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

 $00{:}02{:}03.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05.854$  systemic therapy so things that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

00:02:05.854 --> 00:02:07.980 administered by mouth or by IV

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

 $00{:}02{:}07{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10{.}484$  so they go all over the body and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802106

 $00{:}02{:}10.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12.956$  that's what we're going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708482

 $00:02:12.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.172$  primarily today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708482

 $00:02:14.172 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.659$  One of the questions that a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708482

 $00:02:16.659 \rightarrow 00:02:18.477$  patients have is when they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708482

 $00:02:18.477 \rightarrow 00:02:20.269$  that phenomenon of metastatic Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708482

 $00:02:20.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.020$  so the Melanoma has escaped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708482

 $00:02:22.020 \rightarrow 00:02:24.548$  It's gone to other parts of the body

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9708482
- $00:02:24.548 \rightarrow 00:02:26.687$  where surgery really can't remove the

 $00{:}02{:}26.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28.847$  Melanoma itself and where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708482

 $00:02:28.916 \rightarrow 00:02:31.316$  you're treating with systemic therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708482

 $00{:}02{:}31{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33{.}235$  people wonder about the prognosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708482

 $00:02:33.235 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.562$  and whether in fact they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708482

 $00:02:35.562 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.267$  never be quote cancer free.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708482

 $00:02:37.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.496$  Can you talk a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00:02:39.500 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.541$  about that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00:02:40.541 \rightarrow 00:02:42.623$  When I started treating patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00:02:42.623 \rightarrow 00:02:44.709$  with metastatic Melanoma in 2001,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00:02:44.710 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.525$  if somebody had cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00{:}02{:}46.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}48.786$  that had spread beyond the skin

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00:02:48.786 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.656$  and into the internal organs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00{:}02{:}50.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.018$  we would have a frank conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00:02:53.020 \rightarrow 00:02:55.620$  with the patient and say we're really sorry,

 $00:02:56.595 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.220$  this is an incurable disease,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314
- $00:02:58.220 \rightarrow 00:02:59.845$  and on average people live

 $00:02:59.845 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.470$  between 6 and 12 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00:03:01.470 \dashrightarrow 00:03:03.678$  You should start getting your

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

00:03:03.678 --> 00:03:06.024 affairs in order and we will do

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00:03:06.024 \rightarrow 00:03:08.300$  what we can and hope for the best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00{:}03{:}08{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10{.}436$  At the time we had a chemotherapy called

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00:03:10.436 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.441$  Dacarbazine and an immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00:03:12.441 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.565$  called high dose interleukin two which

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00{:}03{:}14.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.417$  was very difficult to administer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00{:}03{:}16{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18{.}406$  The Dacarbazine might have shrunk the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00:03:18.406 \dashrightarrow 00:03:20.320$  tumors temporarily for a few weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00{:}03{:}20{.}320$ --> $00{:}03{:}22{.}025$  and the high dose interleukin

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00:03:22.025 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.048$  two would result

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00:03:23.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.061$  in actual cure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00:03:24.061 \rightarrow 00:03:27.110$  but in a very small percentage of patients,

- $00:03:27.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.578$  perhaps 4 or 5%.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314
- 00:03:28.578 --> 00:03:30.046 Newer the rapies were then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314
- $00:03:30.046 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.170$  developed after that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314
- $00:03:31.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.722$  and by 2005 or 2006 we were seeing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314
- $00{:}03{:}33{.}722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}35{.}672$  that the median survival was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314
- $00{:}03{:}35{.}672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}38{.}542$  actually in the order of one year.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314
- 00:03:38.550 --> 00:03:40.692 At present we don't actually even
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314
- 00:03:40.692 --> 00:03:42.979 know what the median survival is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314
- $00{:}03{:}42{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45{.}032$  and when a patient comes in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314
- $00:03:45.032 \rightarrow 00:03:47.400$  and asks what the prognosis is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314
- $00:03:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.950$  I say at least 50% chance that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314
- $00:03:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.700$  we're going to have prolonged
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314
- $00:03:51.700 \rightarrow 00:03:53.430$  survival and if prolonged,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314
- $00:03:53.430 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.388$  disease free.
- 00:03:54.867 --> 00:03:58.220 But I can't actually tell people if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314
- $00:03:58.306 \rightarrow 00:04:01.498$  the cancer is ever going to come back.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

00:04:01.500 -> 00:04:03.460 We do believe that we are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00{:}04{:}03{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05{.}126$  curing a subset of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

00:04:05.126 --> 00:04:06.658 who have metastatic Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00:04:06.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.774$  including people who've had a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00{:}04{:}08{.}774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11{.}187$  of disease and disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00:04:11.190 \rightarrow 00:04:14.088$  that's gone to vital organs such as the liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98686314

 $00:04:14.090 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.740$  the lungs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739811

 $00:04:14.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.140$  and the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739811

00:04:17.140 --> 00:04:18.609 When you say prolonged disease free survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739811

00:04:18.610 --> 00:04:20.913 I'm assuming that you mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739811

 $00{:}04{:}20{.}913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23{.}520$  more than days or weeks and may be even

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739811

 $00:04:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.039$  more than a few years. Is that right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94539994

 $00:04:26.710 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.420$  Absolutely. So when we started using

NOTE Confidence: 0.94539994

 $00{:}04{:}28{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30{.}859$  the first of the newer immune the rapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94539994

 $00:04:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.065$  a drug called ipilimumab

 $00:04:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.956$  we still have patients who were

00:04:38.956 --> 00:04:41.197 treated in those years who have never

NOTE Confidence: 0.94539994

 $00{:}04{:}41.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}42.922$  required additional treatment and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94539994

 $00:04:42.922 \dashrightarrow 00:04:44.888$  disease free and living their lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94539994

 $00:04:44.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.186$  Now I can't say for sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94539994

00:04:47.186 --> 00:04:49.359 it's never going to be a problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94539994

 $00{:}04{:}49{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51{.}776$  but the chances are that it's not going NOTE Confidence: 0.94539994

 $00{:}04{:}51.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54.457$  to be a problem over a decade later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94539994

00:04:54.460 -> 00:04:56.380 So yes, we're talking about years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98663855

00:04:56.820 --> 00:04:58.746 We've talked a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.98663855

 $00{:}04{:}58.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00.876$  bit on this show about immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.98663855

 $00:05:00.876 \rightarrow 00:05:03.186$  therapy for a variety of cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98663855

 $00{:}05{:}03{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}05{.}170$  but it seems that in metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.98663855

 $00:05:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.954$  Melanoma it really seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98663855

 $00:05:06.954 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.148$  be incredibly effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98663855

 $00:05:08.150 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.562$  especially when you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.98663855

 $00:05:09.562 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.327$  at how far we've come

 $00:05:13.598 \rightarrow 00:05:15.490$  in 2001 telling people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98663855

 $00:05:15.490 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.350$  they had less than a year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98663855

 $00:05:17.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.828$  and to get their affairs in order,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98663855

 $00:05:19.830 \rightarrow 00:05:21.948$  why is it that immunotherapy seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.98663855

 $00:05:21.948 \rightarrow 00:05:24.711$  to work so well in Melanoma but may

NOTE Confidence: 0.98663855

 $00:05:24.711 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.260$  not work as well in other cancers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00:05:27.790 \dashrightarrow 00:05:29.790$  That's an excellent question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00:05:29.790 \dashrightarrow 00:05:32.492$  Melanoma by nature tends to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00{:}05{:}32.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.988$  more mutations than many other tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00{:}05{:}34{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37{.}390$  It's for the most part a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00:05:37.390 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.978$  sun exposed malignancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

00:05:38.978 - > 00:05:42.589 So the sun will cause damage in many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00{:}05{:}42.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45.579$  many genes and because of the multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00{:}05{:}45{.}579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48{.}550$  mutations there are a lot of immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00{:}05{:}48.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.570$  cells that recognize these

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00:05:50.570 \rightarrow 00:05:53.174$  cancer cells as foreign or bad and

 $00:05:53.174 \rightarrow 00:05:55.970$  with time they get exhausted and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00:05:55.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.730$  these newer drugs will stimulate them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00:05:58.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.944$  But we probably have a larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00:06:00.944 \rightarrow 00:06:02.882$  repertoire of immune cells in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00:06:02.882 \rightarrow 00:06:04.857$  Melanoma than most other cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00:06:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.158$  and that's why they respond better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00{:}06{:}07{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09{.}542$  And I think another interesting point

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00{:}06{:}09{.}542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.651$  to make is that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00{:}06{:}12.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.817$  two other types of skin cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00:06:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.118$  There's a fairly rare skin cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

00:06:17.118 --> 00:06:18.650 called Merkel cell carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

00:06:18.650 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:06:20.841 which also has a fair number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00{:}06{:}20.841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.264$  mutations and also some related and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00{:}06{:}23.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.160$  metastatic squamous cell carcinomas and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

00:06:25.160 --> 00:06:27.834 also will respond very well to immunotherapy,

 $00:06:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.420$  better than many other tumor types

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00:06:30.420 \rightarrow 00:06:34.119$  where we might see response but not for many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

00:06:34.120 -> 00:06:36.997 many years as we see in Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

00:06:37.000 --> 00:06:39.919 But we do think it's related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.95165044

 $00:06:39.919 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.338$  the tumor mutation burden or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840308

 $00:06:42.340 \dashrightarrow 00:06:45.217$  number of mutations that these cells have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840308

 $00:06:45.220 \rightarrow 00:06:48.090$  And so as you think about immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840308

 $00:06:48.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.806$  you mentioned that the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840308

00:06:50.806 --> 00:06:52.910 generation of these was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840308

 $00:06:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.491$  brought into practice in 2005, 2006.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840308

 $00{:}06{:}55{.}491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57{.}546$  Have we developed newer forms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840308

 $00:06:57.546 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.190$  of immunotherapy since then?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840308

 $00:06:59.190 \rightarrow 00:07:01.340$  And what's the prognosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840308

 $00{:}07{:}01{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03{.}764$  What are some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840308

 $00{:}07{:}03.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.380$  the exciting developments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840308

 $00:07:05.456 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.340$  have happened over the more

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474
- $00:07:07.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.540$  recent time?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474
- $00:07:08.540 \rightarrow 00:07:10.940$  So there are many exciting developments,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474
- $00:07:10.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.286$  the first drug Ipilimumab
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474
- 00:07:13.286 --> 00:07:15.733 was brought into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474
- $00{:}07{:}15.733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.139$  clinical trials in those years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474
- 00:07:18.140 --> 00:07:20.140 But it actually took many
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474
- 00:07:20.140 00:07:22.140 years to achieve FDA approval.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474
- $00:07:22.140 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.540$  It was only FDA approved for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474
- 00:07:24.540 --> 00:07:26.140 metastatic Melanoma in 2011,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474
- $00:07:26.140 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.140$  so the first Ipilimumab,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474
- 00:07:28.140 --> 00:07:30.140 results in nice tumor regression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474
- 00:07:30.140 --> 00:07:32.516 in maybe 10% of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474
- $00{:}07{:}32.516$  -->  $00{:}07{:}34.880$  patient's, but the second generation drug is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474
- $00:07:34.953 \dashrightarrow 00:07:37.833$  a drug that targets a molecule called PD1,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474
- $00:07:37.840 \dashrightarrow 00:07:40.430$  which stands for programmed death one.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00{:}07{:}40{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42{.}482$  There were two that were first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00{:}07{:}42.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.500$  given to patients with Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

00:07:44.500 --> 00:07:45.610 Nivolumab and pembrolizumab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00:07:45.610 \dashrightarrow 00:07:48.200$  also known as Opdivo and Keytruda.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00:07:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.625$  Subsequently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00{:}07{:}48.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.750$  many other companies have developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00{:}07{:}50.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.077$  drugs that inhibit PD one and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00{:}07{:}53.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.044$  this one seemed to be the better

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00{:}07{:}55{.}044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}56{.}709$  target for the immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00:07:56.710 \dashrightarrow 00:07:59.670$  So when we give this to Melanoma patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00{:}07{:}59.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.726$  instead of seeing nice responses in may be 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

00:08:02.730 --> 00:08:05.214 percent of patients we will see good

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00:08:05.214 \rightarrow 00:08:08.000$  responses in 30 to 40% of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00:08:08.000 \rightarrow 00:08:08.810$  and interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00{:}08{:}08{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10{.}430$  this is less toxic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00:08:10.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.734$  so the second generation was both

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474
- $00{:}08{:}12.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14.773$  more effective and less toxic

00:08:14.773 --> 00:08:16.497 than the first generation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00:08:16.500 \rightarrow 00:08:19.335$  Then the question asked in around 2009,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00:08:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.776$  when we already had a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00{:}08{:}21.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.199$  bit of experience with these PD one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00{:}08{:}24{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26{.}462$  inhibitors was what would happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00:08:26.462 \dashrightarrow 00:08:29.459$  if we give the two drugs together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00:08:29.460 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.956$  So these two classes of drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

00:08:31.956 --> 00:08:32.788 target non redundant pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00:08:32.790 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.848$  in the immune cell and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00:08:34.848 \dashrightarrow 00:08:36.630$  its interaction with cancer cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00{:}08{:}36{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39{.}062$  So if we inhibited two different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00:08:39.062 \dashrightarrow 00:08:41.287$  places in theory we will get enhanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00{:}08{:}41.287 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.609$  activation of our chief immune cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00{:}08{:}43.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45.350$  which is called a T cell.

 $00:08:45.350 \dashrightarrow 00:08:47.822$  And indeed this was the case, when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00{:}08{:}47.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50.238$  give the two together in Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00:08:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.334$  we now see very nice responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00:08:52.334 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.540$  in excess of 55% of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00:08:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.970$  So the two together is better

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641474

 $00:08:56.970 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.780$  than either one alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221058

00:08:57.780 --> 00:08:59.796 Just to clarify,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221058

00:08:59.800 - 00:09:01.876 when you say the two together

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221058

00:09:01.876 --> 00:09:03.839 you mean Ipilimum<br/>ab and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221058

 $00:09:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.530$  pembrolizumab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221058

 $00{:}09{:}05{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07{.}582$  The studies have used Ipilimumab

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221058

 $00{:}09{:}07{.}582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}09{.}668$  and nivolumab simply because both of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221058

 $00{:}09{:}09{.}668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}11{.}618$  these drugs were developed by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221058

00:09:11.618 --> 00:09:13.618 same company. But yes, it's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221058

 $00:09:13.620 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.300$  given with pembrolizumab as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221058

 $00:09:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.652$  but not Ipilimumab and

 $00{:}09{:}16.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}17.998$  pembrolizumab, which both target

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221058

00:09:18.000 --> 00:09:19.734 PD 1 correct. There's no point

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221058

 $00:09:19.734 \dashrightarrow 00:09:21.766$  in giving two drugs that inhibit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221058

00:09:21.766 - 00:09:23.386 the same target concurrently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221058

 $00:09:23.390 \dashrightarrow 00:09:25.790$  so by that point, did we switch all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221058

 $00:09:25.790 \rightarrow 00:09:28.247$  of our patients to dual therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221058

00:09:28.250 --> 00:09:29.330 Actually no, because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00:09:29.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.130$  remember, some of the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00:09:31.130 \dashrightarrow 00:09:32.930$  do very well with monotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00:09:32.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.170 30-40\%$  will do well with the one drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00{:}09{:}36{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37{.}562$  the PD one inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00{:}09{:}37{.}562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40{.}107$  So we're trying very hard to select

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

00:09:40.107 --> 00:09:42.405 those patients who are more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00{:}09{:}42.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.961$  to respond to one drug and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00{:}09{:}44{.}961 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46{.}965$  patients who might not be able

 $00:09:46.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.562$  to tolerate extensive toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00{:}09{:}48.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}50.950$  The toxicities are the main problem, it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00:09:51.017 \rightarrow 00:09:52.947$  depends where the patient lives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00:09:52.950 \rightarrow 00:09:54.366$  how socially and economically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00:09:54.366 \rightarrow 00:09:55.428$  robust they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00{:}09{:}55{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}56{.}674$  whether they're associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00:09:56.674 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.540$  a health care system that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00:09:58.596 \rightarrow 00:10:00.030$  support extensive toxicities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00{:}10{:}00{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02{.}242$  but when we have patients who've got

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

00:10:02.242 --> 00:10:04.037 aggressive disease and particularly young NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00:10:04.037 \rightarrow 00:10:06.407$  patients with no other medical problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00{:}10{:}06{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09{.}950$  we do start off with the two drugs up front.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00{:}10{:}09{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}12{.}398$  There are other people in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

00:10:12.398 --> 00:10:14.786 Melanoma field who might start with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00{:}10{:}14.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17.506$  one and then add the second one if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00:10:17.581 \rightarrow 00:10:20.150$  the first one alone does not work.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461
- $00:10:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.488$  So a lot of refinement of these

00:10:22.488 --> 00:10:24.249 regimens still needs to be done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00:10:24.250 \rightarrow 00:10:26.658$  and there are many studies looking at how

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00:10:26.658 \rightarrow 00:10:29.285$  much to give, when to give, what sequence, etc.

 $00:10:29.769 \rightarrow 00:10:34.080$  It takes years to sort all of this out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

00:10:34.080 --> 00:10:37.762 I also want to add that we now have a third

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00:10:37.762 \rightarrow 00:10:40.894$  target that is looking very promising

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

00:10:40.900 --> 00:10:41.700 in Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00{:}10{:}41.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.100$  there's a target called LAG-3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00{:}10{:}44{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46{.}963$  It's an antigen that's expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00{:}10{:}46.963 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.118$  on these same immune cells or T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00{:}10{:}50{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53{.}144$  and when you give inhibitors of LAG-3

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00{:}10{:}53.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.940$  together with PD one inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00{:}10{:}55{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}58{.}332$  it does appear that it's going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00{:}10{:}58.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00.497$  better than PD one inhibitors alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00:11:00.500 \rightarrow 00:11:03.092$  The data are still very new and more

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461
- $00{:}11{:}03.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06.049$  maturity of the data is going to be required.

 $00:11:06.050 \rightarrow 00:11:07.028$  In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675461

 $00:11:07.028 \rightarrow 00:11:09.310$  we need to follow patients for much

NOTE Confidence: 0.9611162

00:11:09.310 --> 00:11:11.266 longer to make sure that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9611162

 $00:11:11.266 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.220$  actually holds up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9611162

 $00:11:13.220 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.170$  Clinical trials for that drug are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9611162

00:11:15.170 --> 00:11:15.822 currently ongoing.

00:11:17.117 --> 00:11:19.019 It's already in a phase three

NOTE Confidence: 0.9611162

 $00{:}11{:}19{.}019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20{.}703$  study which is completed accrual

NOTE Confidence: 0.9611162

 $00:11:20.703 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.713$  and the first data do suggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.9611162

 $00:11:22.713 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.694$  that the two drugs are better

NOTE Confidence: 0.9611162

 $00:11:24.694 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.254$  than the nivolumab alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9677876

00:11:26.610 --> 00:11:28.242 And has anybody thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.9677876

00:11:28.242 --> 00:11:29.880 about adding Ipilimumab?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9677876

 $00{:}11{:}29{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32{.}718$  Yes, there we again will run into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9677876

 $00:11:32.718 \rightarrow 00:11:35.426$  problems with side effects and we

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9677876
- $00:11:35.426 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.953$  have to be very careful when we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9677876
- $00{:}11{:}37{.}953 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40{.}930$  mix 3 drugs and this takes a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9677876
- $00:11:40.930 \rightarrow 00:11:42.262$  long time to work all of this out.
- $00:11:45.461 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.072$  It sounds like with now the three
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9677876
- 00:11:48.160 --> 00:11:50.730 kind of tiers of immunotherapy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9677876
- $00:11:50.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.786$  that you're talking about,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9677876
- $00:11:52.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.830$  upwards of 55, maybe even
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9677876
- $00:11:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.096$  close to 65-75% of patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9677876
- $00{:}11{:}57.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58.650$  might have prolonged
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9677876
- 00:11:58.650 --> 00:12:00.510 disease free survival.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665
- $00:12:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.624$  We don't know yet about the 65-75%.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665
- $00:12:03.533 \rightarrow 00:12:05.038$  That's what we're shooting for,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665
- 00:12:05.040 --> 00:12:05.896 and ultimately,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665
- $00:12:05.896 \rightarrow 00:12:08.464$  we're going to shoot for 100%.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665
- $00{:}12{:}08{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11{.}302$  I also want to add that this is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665
- $00:12:11.302 \rightarrow 00:12:14.138$  just one type of immune therapy.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665
- 00:12:14.140 --> 00:12:16.570 We call it immune checkpoint inhibitors,

 $00{:}12{:}16.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19.279$  so the checkpoint refers to a negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665

00:12:19.279 --> 00:12:21.430 regulator of the immune cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665

 $00:12:21.430 \rightarrow 00:12:23.924$  and that's what these drugs target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665

 $00:12:23.924 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.546$  The various other types of cellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665

 $00{:}12{:}26{.}546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28{.}950$  manipulations that we can give to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665

00:12:28.950 --> 00:12:31.146 activate the immune system against cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665

 $00:12:31.150 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.874$  but the immune checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665

00:12:32.874 --> 00:12:34.167 inhibitors specifically refers

NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665

 $00{:}12{:}34{.}167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36{.}646$  to molecules on immune cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665

 $00{:}12{:}36.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38.516$  cancer cells that have crosstalk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665

 $00{:}12{:}38{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41{.}088$  They talk to each other and the cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665

 $00:12:41.088 \rightarrow 00:12:43.311$  cell will suppress an immune cell so

NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665

 $00:12:43.311 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.829$  that it remains alive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98488665

 $00:12:45.830 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.609$  And so this is just one approach

 $00:12:48.609 \rightarrow 00:12:50.400$  to immunotherapy for cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878226

00:12:51.060 -> 00:12:52.620 Well, we certainly want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878226

 $00{:}12{:}52{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54{.}682$  find out more about the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878226

 $00:12:54.682 \rightarrow 00:12:56.430$  approaches to immune therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878226

 $00{:}12{:}56{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58{.}966$  We talk a lot on this show about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878226

00:12:58.966 --> 00:13:00.370 immune checkpoint inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878226

 $00:13:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.350$  but certainly thinking about other ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878226

 $00{:}13{:}02{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}05{.}318$  that we can use and manipulate the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878226

00:13:05.318 --> 00:13:07.298 system to fight metastatic Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878226

 $00:13:07.298 \rightarrow 00:13:09.678$  will be very exciting to learn about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878226

 $00{:}13{:}09{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12{.}032$  but first we're going to take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878226

 $00{:}13{:}12{.}032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}14{.}329$  short break for a medical minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878226

 $00:13:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.352$  so please stay tuned to learn

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878226

 $00{:}13{:}16.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.151$  more about Melanoma with my

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878226

00:13:18.151 --> 00:13:19.699 guest Doctor Harriet Kluger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9530473

 $00{:}13{:}20{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22{.}580$  Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9530473
- 00:13:22.580 --> 00:13:24.680 comes from Smilow Cancer Hospital.

 $00{:}13{:}24.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26.996$  15 care centers offer access to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9530473

 $00:13:26.996 \rightarrow 00:13:28.540$  oncologists committed to providing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9530473

 $00:13:28.601 \rightarrow 00:13:31.355$  patients with cancer and blood diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.9530473

00:13:31.355 --> 00:13:32.660 individualized, innovative care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9530473

00:13:32.660 --> 00:13:35.600 Find a Smilow Care Center near

NOTE Confidence: 0.9530473

00:13:35.600 --> 00:13:38.118 you at YaleCancerCenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98625630000001

00:13:40.410 --> 00:13:42.250 The American Cancer Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001

 $00:13:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.550$  estimates that more than 65,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.98625630000001

 $00{:}13{:}44{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46{.}524$  Americans will be diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001

 $00:13:46.524 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.684$  head and neck cancer this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001

 $00:13:48.690 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.525$  making up about 4% of all cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001

 $00:13:51.525 \rightarrow 00:13:53.240$  When detected early,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001

 $00{:}13{:}53.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55.616$  however, head and neck cancers are

NOTE Confidence: 0.98625630000001

 $00{:}13{:}55{.}616$  -->  $00{:}13{:}57{.}800$  easily treated and highly curable.

00:13:57.800 --> 00:13:59.860 Clinical trials are currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001

 $00{:}13{:}59{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01{.}920$  underway at federally designated

NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001

 $00:14:01.920 \rightarrow 00:14:03.698$  Comprehensive cancer centers such

NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001

 $00{:}14{:}03.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.085$  as Yale Cancer Center and at Smilow

NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001

 $00:14:06.085 \rightarrow 00:14:08.354$  Cancer Hospital to test innovative new

NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001

 $00:14:08.354 \dashrightarrow 00:14:10.681$  treatments for head and neck cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001

00:14:10.681 --> 00:14:13.447 Yale Cancer Center was recently awarded

NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001

 $00:14:13.447 \rightarrow 00:14:15.701$  grants from the National Institutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001

 $00{:}14{:}15{.}701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}18{.}473$  of Health to fund the Yale Head

NOTE Confidence: 0.98625630000001

00:14:18.473 --> 00:14:20.853 and Neck Cancer Specialized Program

NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001

 $00{:}14{:}20.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}23.709$  of Research Excellence or SPORE to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98625630000001

 $00{:}14{:}23.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.845$  address critical barriers to treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001

 $00:14:25.845 \rightarrow 00:14:28.442$  of head and neck squamous cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.98625630000001

 $00{:}14{:}28{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}30{.}800$  carcinoma due to resistance to immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001

00:14:30.800 --> 00:14:33.189 DNA damage and targeted therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001

 $00{:}14{:}33{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35{.}405$  More information is available at

- NOTE Confidence: 0.986256300000001
- 00:14:35.405 --> 00:14:36.734 yalecancercenter.org. You're listening
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98625630000001
- $00{:}14{:}36{.}734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38{.}359$  to Connecticut Public Radio.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863
- $00{:}14{:}39{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}41{.}766$  Welcome back to Yale Cancer Answers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863
- 00:14:41.770 --> 00:14:43.326 This is doctor Anees Chagpar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863
- 00:14:43.326 --> 00:14:45.660 and I'm joined tonight
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863
- 00:14:45.735 --> 00:14:48.099 by my guest Doctor Harriet Kluger.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863
- $00:14:48.100 \rightarrow 00:14:50.648$  We're talking about Melanoma and T cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863
- $00{:}14{:}50{.}648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53{.}408$  and Harriet right before the break we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863
- 00:14:53.408 --> 00:14:55.413 were talking about these tremendous
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863
- $00{:}14{:}55{.}413 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57{.}941$  advances that have happened in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863
- $00:14:57.941 \rightarrow 00:14:59.585$  treatment of metastatic Melanoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863
- $00{:}14{:}59{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01{.}960$  For any one who just joined us,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863
- $00{:}15{:}01{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04{.}438$  Harriet was mentioning that when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863
- 00:15:04.438 --> 00:15:06.090 she started treating metastatic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863
- 00:15:06.153 --> 00:15:07.509 Melanoma back in 2001,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863

 $00:15:07.510 \rightarrow 00:15:09.550$  prognosis wasn't great. Six months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863

 $00{:}15{:}09{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11{.}884$ 12 months, but we've now had

NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863

 $00:15:11.884 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.350$  a series of immune the rapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863

 $00{:}15{:}14.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.094$  particularly with checkpoint inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863

00:15:16.094 --> 00:15:18.710 that have really improved the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863

 $00:15:18.710 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.885$  free survival now getting prolonged NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863

 $00:15:20.885 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.550$  survival in over 50% of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863

 $00{:}15{:}23{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}26{.}310$  But Harriet right before the break

NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863

 $00{:}15{:}26{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28{.}736$  you left us with this little

NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863

 $00{:}15{:}28.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31.650$  teaser that there may be other ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863

 $00{:}15{:}31{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33{.}690$  to manipulate the immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863

 $00:15:33.772 \rightarrow 00:15:36.147$  that are now being investigated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863

00:15:36.150 --> 00:15:39.066 That might hold promise in metastatic melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97392863

 $00:15:39.066 \rightarrow 00:15:41.129$  Tell us more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

00:15:41.130 --> 00:15:42.582 Thank you and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:15:42.582 \rightarrow 00:15:43.663$  yes, absolutely.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214
- $00:15:43.663 \rightarrow 00:15:46.134$  We have a few teasers and that's

 $00{:}15{:}46{.}134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48{.}387$  what makes this field so exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}15{:}48{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50{.}714$  So one of the additional classes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:15:50.714 \rightarrow 00:15:53.469$  therapies that we give is cellular therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

00:15:53.470 --> 00:15:55.808 So for Melanoma or solid tumors we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}15{:}55{.}808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}58{.}420$  know that we have these immune cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:15:58.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.150$  that live within the tumor but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:16:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.635$  they keep trying to fight the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}16{:}03.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06.160$  But at some point they get exhausted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:16:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.112$  and they're no longer capable

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

00:16:08.112 --> 00:16:10.494 of getting rid of tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

00:16:10.500 --> 00:16:12.775 So many years ago at the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

00:16:12.775 --> 00:16:14.380 Cancer Institute doctor Rosenberg,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}16{:}14.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}16.260$  Steve Rosenberg pioneered a treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:16:16.260 \rightarrow 00:16:18.140$  modality whereby he would resect

 $00:16:18.198 \rightarrow 00:16:20.466$  tumor and then break up all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

00:16:20.466 --> 00:16:21.438 different cellular components,

00:16:22.086 --> 00:16:24.670 and take the T cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}16{:}24.741 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.456$  originated from within the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}16{:}26{.}456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29{.}387$  and grow them in a Petri dish and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}16{:}29{.}387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}31{.}673$  make billions and billions of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:16:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.032$  Then, in the meanwhile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}16{:}33.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}35.855$  he'd bring a patient back and give them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:16:35.855 \rightarrow 00:16:38.389$  high doses of chemotherapy to make space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:16:38.390 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.350$  if you will, for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}16{:}40{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}42{.}054$  newest cells that were growing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}16{:}42.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}43.958$  the Petri dish and actually are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}16{:}43.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.718$  educated to recognize the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}16{:}45{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}47{.}750$  Then he would infuse those into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}16{:}47.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49.281$  patient after the chemotherapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

00:16:49.281 --> 00:16:51.689 after the space was made and then give

 $00:16:51.748 \rightarrow 00:16:53.473$  some growth factor called Interleukin

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:16:53.473 \rightarrow 00:16:55.485$  two and then cells within patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}16{:}55{.}485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57{.}480$  would recover and go home and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}16{:}57{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}59{.}797$  is a subset of patients who were actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:16:59.797 \rightarrow 00:17:01.840$  cured from this therapy as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:17:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.090$  It's similar to having a bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:17:04.090 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.185$  marrow transplant you go in for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}17{:}06.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.289$  a one time shot for a few weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}17{:}08{.}367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}10{.}446$  and then you go home and live your life.

 $00{:}17{:}11.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13.538$  The initial response rates at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

00:17:13.538 --> 00:17:15.316 National Cancer Institute were in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}17{:}15{.}316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18{.}082$  the order of 50%, now with the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

00:17:18.082 --> 00:17:19.594 checkpoint inhibitors we're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}17{:}19{.}594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21{.}410$  lower response rates simply because NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

00:17:21.410 -> 00:17:23.414 many of the patients whose tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

00:17:23.414 --> 00:17:25.231 immune sensitive are actually cured NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:17:25.231 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.367$  by the checkpoints that we discussed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}17{:}27.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29.530$  in the previous session over here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:17:29.530 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.749$  but still they work and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}17{:}31{.}749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34{.}031$  patients who are cured now from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}17{:}34.031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.288$  the cellular the rapies.

 $00{:}17{:}35{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37{.}628$  After they haven't responded to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

00:17:37.628 --> 00:17:39.250 the immune checkpoint inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:17:39.250 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.770$  that gives patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:17:40.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.535$  another option.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:17:42.535 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.210$  This treatment is now being

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:17:44.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.429$  studied in other cancers as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}17{:}46{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48{.}180$  Lung cancer, head neck cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}17{:}48.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.930$  cervical cancer, and so on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:17:49.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.380$  and responses are being seen there too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}17{:}52{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54{.}318$  In the meanwhile the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}17{:}54{.}318$  -->  $00{:}17{:}56{.}295$  has moved forward and the cellular

 $00:17:56.295 \rightarrow 00:17:58.161$  therapy is no longer only given

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}17{:}58{.}161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00{.}428$  at the National Cancer Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:18:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.984$  In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:18:00.984 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.923$  at Yale we have a lab that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:18:02.923 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.978$  can manufacture these cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}18{:}04{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07{.}032$  There are also companies that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:18:07.032 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.817$  trying to commercialize this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

00:18:08.817 -> 00:18:11.040 modality. So you send the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:18:11.040 \rightarrow 00:18:14.343$  to the company, they grow the cells for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:18:14.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.744$  They send them back and we give

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:18:16.744 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.769$  the treatment in the hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:18:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.032$  So that is something that likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00:18:21.032 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.665$  will also be on the menu of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}18{:}23.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.759$  options within a year or so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863214

 $00{:}18{:}25.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27.968$  for metastatic Melanoma and in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98175156

 $00:18:27.970 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.810$  future, for other tumor types.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.98175156
- 00:18:29.810 --> 00:18:31.958 So Harriet just picking up on

 $00:18:31.958 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.219$  that when we think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.98175156

 $00:18:34.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.060$  things like bone marrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.98175156

 $00:18:36.060 \rightarrow 00:18:37.532$  transplant or other transplants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98175156

 $00:18:37.540 \rightarrow 00:18:39.375$  anytime we're thinking about putting

NOTE Confidence: 0.98175156

 $00{:}18{:}39{.}375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}41{.}292$  cells into some body, we always

NOTE Confidence: 0.98175156

 $00:18:41.292 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.510$  worry about rejection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98175156

 $00:18:42.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.446$  So do I have it correct that, what

NOTE Confidence: 0.98175156

 $00{:}18{:}45{.}446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47{.}946$  we're actually doing in this cellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.98175156

00:18:47.946 --> 00:18:51.010 therapy is taking a patients own tumor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98175156

00:18:51.010 --> 00:18:53.452 Taking finding their own T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.98175156

 $00{:}18{:}53{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56{.}458$  and getting those T cells to grow

NOTE Confidence: 0.98175156

 $00{:}18{:}56{.}458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59{.}044$  and replicate and giving the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.98175156

 $00{:}18{:}59{.}044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01{.}714$  back their own T cells so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98175156

 $00:19:01.714 \rightarrow 00:19:03.565$  there's less risk of rejection?

- $00:19:03.565 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.780$  Is that right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98175156
- 00:19:04.780 --> 00:19:06.000 That's right, there's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98592633
- 00:19:06.000 --> 00:19:08.020 actually no risk of rejection.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98592633
- $00:19:08.020 \rightarrow 00:19:10.090$  The rejection only happens when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98592633
- 00:19:10.090 --> 00:19:12.160 you give some body another person's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98592633
- $00{:}19{:}12.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}14.476$  immune cells, but in this case
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98592633
- $00:19:14.476 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.440$  we're talking about giving a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98592633
- 00:19:16.440 --> 00:19:18.190 patient back their own cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98592633
- 00:19:18.190 --> 00:19:20.612 just amplified to the tune
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98592633
- $00:19:20.612 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.344$  of billions of cells so that these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98592633
- $00{:}19{:}23{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25{.}768$  are the special cells that recognize
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98592633
- $00{:}19{:}25{.}843 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}28{.}370$  the tumor and can then work against
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95115936
- $00:19:28.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.350$  the tumor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95115936
- $00{:}19{:}31{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34{.}707$  And one would think that if some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95115936
- $00:19:34.707 \rightarrow 00:19:37.470$  people think that your immune system
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95115936
- $00:19:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.569$  is fighting off cancer all the time,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.95115936
- $00:19:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.078$  and that people have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95115936
- $00{:}19{:}43.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}46.020$  quote cancer floating around in them,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95115936
- $00{:}19{:}46.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}48.264$  and that your immune system kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95115936
- $00{:}19{:}48.264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.727$  of fights all of these little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95115936
- $00:19:50.727 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.385$  deformed cells off so that you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95115936
- $00:19:53.385 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.650$  don't actually develop a cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95115936
- $00:19:55.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.354$  if that was true,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95115936
- $00:19:57.354 \rightarrow 00:19:59.484$  then why wouldn't this therapy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95115936
- $00:19:59.484 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.850$  work for everybody? Why
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95115936
- $00:20:01.850 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.830$  do we need the checkpoint inhibitors?
- $00:20:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.965$  I think the problem is that when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815
- $00{:}20{:}08{.}965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10{.}940$  we give the cellular the rapy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815
- $00:20:10.940 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.000$  sometimes patients have many different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815
- $00{:}20{:}13.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}15.856$  tumors in different locations and we already
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815
- $00{:}20{:}15.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}18.046$  know now that melanomas can metastasize.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815
- $00:20:18.050 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.362$  So it is correct that they all start from

 $00{:}20{:}21{.}362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24{.}754$  the same clone of cells within the skin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

 $00{:}20{:}24.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.805$  then they metastasize internally and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

 $00{:}20{:}26.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29.280$  you get subclones and daughter clones

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

 $00:20:29.280 \rightarrow 00:20:31.476$  and granddaughter clones and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

 $00{:}20{:}31.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33.068$  And those next generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

 $00{:}20{:}33.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35.053$  clones might have different mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

 $00:20:35.060 \rightarrow 00:20:38.219$  Now if we remove a tumor to generate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

00:20:38.219 --> 00:20:41.168 immune cells from one location,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

 $00{:}20{:}41.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}43.681$  these cells might not be active against

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

00:20:43.681 --> 00:20:46.216 the tumors in a different location,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

 $00:20:46.220 \rightarrow 00:20:49.685$  so that's one reason that it might not work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

 $00{:}20{:}49.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51.710$  Other reasons for failure are

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

 $00{:}20{:}51.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.507$  inability to grow the cells in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

00:20:54.507 --> 00:20:56.613 lab so not every cell grows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

 $00:20:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.160$  The vast majority do,

 $00:20:58.160 \rightarrow 00:21:01.284$  but there's about 10-15% that do not grow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

00:21:01.284 --> 00:21:03.264 and sometimes they just don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

 $00:21:03.270 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.200$  grow enough to substantial quantities

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

 $00:21:05.200 \rightarrow 00:21:07.546$  and it's just insufficient to overcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770815

 $00{:}21{:}07{.}546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}10{.}017$  the tumor cells that are actually there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

 $00:21:11.140 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.059$  And this whole concept of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

 $00:21:14.060 \rightarrow 00:21:16.979$  taking cells, sorting them out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

 $00:21:16.980 \rightarrow 00:21:18.608$  finding the T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

 $00{:}21{:}18.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.570$  growing them up in a Petri dish,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

 $00:21:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.066$  giving them back to the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

 $00:21:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.989$  it sounds really like a major production,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

 $00{:}21{:}26{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}29{.}492$  and so whenever we think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

00:21:29.492 --> 00:21:31.160 major productions in medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

 $00:21:31.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.224$  I always think about how much does that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

 $00:21:34.224 \rightarrow 00:21:37.410$  cost and does insurance cover it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

 $00:21:37.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.114$  That's an excellent question.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716
- $00:21:39.114 \rightarrow 00:21:41.670$  So at present it's still experimental.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716
- 00:21:41.670 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:21:43.488 So the company that's making the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716
- $00:21:43.488 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.794$  cells for us in our current clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716
- $00:21:45.794 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.852$  trial covers the cost of it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716
- 00:21:47.860 --> 00:21:49.340 The National Cancer Institute,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716
- $00:21:49.340 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.116$  when they used to do it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716
- $00:21:51.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.940$  it was free, but with some it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716
- $00:21:52.940 \rightarrow 00:21:54.669$  was covered by the government,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716
- $00:21:54.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.555$  essentially the tax payer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716
- 00:21:55.555 --> 00:21:57.796 But you are right, it is very expensive.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716
- $00{:}21{:}57{.}796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00{.}189$  I think we also need to keep in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716
- $00{:}22{:}00{.}189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02{.}064$  mind that the immune checkpoint
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716
- $00:22:02.064 \rightarrow 00:22:03.840$  inhibitors are similarly expensive.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716
- $00{:}22{:}03.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05.961$  And those can also cost hundreds of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716
- $00{:}22{:}05{.}961 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07{.}580$  thousands of dollars per patient.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

 $00:22:07.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.806$  So if you start adding up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

 $00{:}22{:}09{.}806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11{.}422$  hundreds of thousands of dollars

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

 $00:22:11.422 \rightarrow 00:22:13.508$  and you compare it to maybe 200,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

 $00:22:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.274$  \$300,000 for a one time

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

 $00:22:15.274 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.940$  therapy such as cellular therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

00:22:16.940 --> 00:22:18.879 it's not all that different in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

00:22:18.879 --> 00:22:20.791 of order of magnitude is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

 $00:22:20.791 \rightarrow 00:22:23.171$  might be a little bit less expensive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8992716

 $00{:}22{:}23.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.810$  if anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96757966

 $00{:}22{:}25{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27{.}824$  And so getting back to the checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.96757966

00:22:27.824 --> 00:22:29.399 inhibitors, those are generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.96757966

00:22:29.399 --> 00:22:31.364 covered by insurance now aren't they?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96757966

 $00:22:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.330$  They are yes, correct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96757966

 $00:22:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.906$  Other than the experimental

NOTE Confidence: 0.96757966

 $00{:}22{:}34{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}36{.}868$  ones, the ones that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.96757966

 $00:22:36.870 \rightarrow 00:22:39.228$  approved are covered.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.96757966
- $00:22:39.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.366$  So it sounds to me like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96757966
- $00:22:42.370 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.335$  when you have a patient
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96757966
- $00{:}22{:}44{.}335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45{.}514$  with metastatic Melanoma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96757966
- $00:22:45.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.271$  your first line of therapy is the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96757966
- $00{:}22{:}48{.}271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49{.}450$  immune checkpoint inhibitors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96757966
- $00:22:49.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.410$  If they fail, that cellular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96757966
- $00:22:51.410 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.978$  therapy is another option.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96757966
- $00:22:52.980 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.950$  What if they fail that?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514
- $00:22:55.600 \rightarrow 00:22:58.948$  So if they fail that or sometimes by choice,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514
- $00:22:58.950 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.434$  we actually have additional
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514
- $00{:}23{:}00{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}01{.}918$  experimental options for patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514
- 00:23:01.920 --> 00:23:04.888 So I had talked about the T
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514
- $00{:}23{:}04.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07{.}139$  cells that recognize the tumor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514
- $00{:}23{:}07{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}09{.}480$  Those are called adaptive immune cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514
- $00:23:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.650$  In other words,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:23:10.650 \rightarrow 00:23:12.600$  they've adapted to the cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}23{:}12{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}14{.}152$  They have special specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}23{:}14.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}16.092$  qualities that recognize that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}23{:}16.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.059$  also have innate immune cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}23{:}18.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}20.106$  Those are generalized cells that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}23{:}20.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22.885$  floating around in our bodies that have NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}23{:}22.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25.140$  not developed receptors that recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:23:25.140 \rightarrow 00:23:27.028$  specific abnormalities in cancer cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}23{:}27{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}29{.}418$  Now those innate immune cells are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}23{:}29{.}418$  -->  $00{:}23{:}32{.}509$  another whole army of cells that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}23{:}32{.}509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35{.}220$  activate in order to target the cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}23{:}35{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37{.}566$  and sometimes we can co-activate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:23:37.566 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.150$  the innate immune cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}23{:}39{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40{.}734$  and the adaptive cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:23:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.218$  so we can combine additional drugs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:23:43.218 \rightarrow 00:23:44.980$  these immune checkpoint inhibitors.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514
- $00:23:44.980 \rightarrow 00:23:47.170$  There are many approaches that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

00:23:47.170 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:23:49.210 being taken across the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:23:49.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.364$  One of the approaches that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

00:23:51.364 - > 00:23:54.088 doing over here is to activate a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:23:54.088 \rightarrow 00:23:56.524$  group of cells called dendritic cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:23:56.530 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.840$  that actually present the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:23:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.682$  tumor antigen to the T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:24:01.682 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.552$  as for eign and then make them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}24{:}04{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06{.}628$  become educated or adapted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:24:06.630 \rightarrow 00:24:09.178$  So if we give those two together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}24{:}09{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11{.}352$  we might have better responses than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:24:11.352 \rightarrow 00:24:13.180$  using the checkpoint inhibitors alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:24:13.180 \rightarrow 00:24:15.728$  so that's one example of an approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}24{:}15{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17{.}949$  There are groups that are targeting a NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:24:17.949 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.099$  subset of cells called macrophages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:24:20.100 \rightarrow 00:24:23.088$  which are also innate immune cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}24{:}23.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.982$  Then we need to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:24:25.982 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.910$  what these cells do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}24{:}27{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}31{.}738$  so they secrete substances called cytokines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:24:31.740 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.666$  Interleukin two, that early drug that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}24{:}34{.}666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37{.}006$  had mentioned that was approved already

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:24:37.006 \rightarrow 00:24:40.249$  in the 1990s is a type of a cytokine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

00:24:40.250 --> 00:24:42.185 Many companies are now developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}24{:}42.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42.959$  novel cytokines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}24{:}42{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}45{.}084$  so either better versions of interleukin

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}24{:}45.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47.829$  two that bind to the interleuk in two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}24{:}47.829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49.929$  receptors that are more important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}24{:}49{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52{.}422$  or that bind with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}24{:}52{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54{.}569$  stronger affinity to the receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}24{:}54{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}56{.}898$  And then there are other interleukins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:24:56.900 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.216$  interleukins that are made by

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514
- $00:24:59.216 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.940$  our cells. So you could have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

00:25:01.940 --> 00:25:03.504 Interleukin 12, interleukin 18,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

00:25:03.504 --> 00:25:04.288 interleukin 15,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:25:04.288 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.640$  all of these are being looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:25:06.708 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.928$  at as drug targets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}25{:}07{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10.611$  and in fact there's a researcher at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

00:25:10.611 --> 00:25:12.926 Yale who has developed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}25{:}12{.}926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}15{.}460$  drug that is a mimic of interleukin

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}25{:}15{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17{.}666$ 18 that doesn't get sucked up

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:25:17.666 \rightarrow 00:25:19.802$  by decoy proteins in the body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}25{:}19{.}802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22{.}294$  so should be more potent and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}25{:}22{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24{.}821$  will be excited to study that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}25{:}24{.}821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}27{.}878$  the next month or two in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}25{:}27.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}29.853$  There's a trial that's opening

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}25{:}29.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32.240$  up and we will be administering

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:25:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.298$  that drug to patients who have not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}25{:}34.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}36.096$  responded to the immune checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00{:}25{:}36.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37.812$  inhibitors both with Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806514

 $00:25:37.812 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.099$  and other diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917

00:25:39.770 --> 00:25:42.322 So Harriet just to unpack a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917

 $00{:}25{:}42{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45{.}119$  of the concepts that you mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917

 $00{:}25{:}45{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47{.}373$  It sounds to me like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917

 $00{:}25{:}47{.}373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}49{.}431$  the activation of both the innate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917

 $00{:}25{:}49{.}431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51{.}399$  and the adaptive immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917

 $00:25:51.399 \rightarrow 00:25:53.135$  just makes intuitive sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917

 $00{:}25{:}53{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55{.}050$  If you have more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917

 $00:25:55.050 \rightarrow 00:25:56.940$  adaptive immune cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917

 $00:25:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.309$  you pair that with more cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917

 $00{:}25{:}59{.}309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}01{.}709$  that are presenting to them the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917

 $00:26:01.709 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.840$  antigens they need to go after,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917

 $00:26:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.745$  it seems like that would

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917
- $00:26:05.745 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.269$  be a better approach.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917
- $00:26:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.049$  So is that something that is routinely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917
- $00:26:10.050 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.946$  being done or is the cellular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917
- $00:26:11.946 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.656$  therapies that we were talking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917
- $00{:}26{:}13.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.571$  about earlier really going after
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917
- $00:26:15.571 \rightarrow 00:26:17.530$  more of those adaptive cells?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917
- 00:26:17.530 --> 00:26:20.176 And wouldn't it be better if they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917
- $00{:}26{:}20{.}176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22{.}968$  could also grow up in a Petri dish
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9238917
- $00{:}26{:}22{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25{.}710$  of patients in nate T cells as well?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205
- $00:26:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.484$  Well, we can grow it up in a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205
- $00:26:29.484 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.217$  Petri dish or in the body,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205
- $00:26:31.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.640$  so the whole concept behind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205
- $00:26:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.370$  giving cytokines is to grow them
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205
- $00{:}26{:}34{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}35{.}390$  actually in the human.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205
- $00{:}26{:}35{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37{.}278$  So we give more of the cytokines
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205

 $00:26:37.278 \rightarrow 00:26:39.078$  and we grow up both innate

NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205

 $00{:}26{:}39{.}078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}40{.}380$  and the adaptive cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205

 $00{:}26{:}40{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}41{.}805$  So these are like growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205

 $00{:}26{:}41.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}42.945$  factors for these cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205

 $00{:}26{:}42.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}43.808$  They should make

NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205

 $00:26:43.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.602$  them propagate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205

 $00:26:44.602 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.450$  So that was going to be my

NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205

 $00:26:46.505 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.015$  next question is you talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205

 $00{:}26{:}48.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.525$  about all of these cytokines?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205

 $00:26:49.530 \rightarrow 00:26:51.570$  These interleukins with various numbers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205

 $00:26:51.570 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.685$  How exactly do they work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205

 $00:26:54.690 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.906$  It's sounds now like they just

NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205

 $00:26:57.906 \rightarrow 00:27:00.490$  stimulate the innate immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98698205

 $00:27:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.828$  Is that right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97825295

 $00:27:01.830 \rightarrow 00:27:04.060$  Both innate and adaptive actually?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97825295

 $00:27:04.060 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.504$  So they stimulate both.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.97825295
- $00:27:05.504 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.670$  So all of those different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97825295
- $00{:}27{:}07.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09.972$  numbers reflect molecules that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97825295
- $00:27:09.972 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.640$  have different activities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97825295
- $00:27:11.640 \rightarrow 00:27:15.208$  So some of them will stimulate innate cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97825295
- $00:27:15.210 \rightarrow 00:27:17.440$  and some stimulate the adaptive cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97825295
- $00:27:17.440 \rightarrow 00:27:19.332$  some stimulates suppressor cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97825295
- $00:27:19.332 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.224$  The biology is getting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97825295
- $00:27:21.224 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.489$  more and more complicated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97825295
- 00:27:23.490 --> 00:27:24.850 Well, it's always been complicated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97825295
- $00:27:24.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.934$  We're just learning now
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97825295
- $00:27:25.934 \rightarrow 00:27:27.024$  how complicated it is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97825295
- $00:27:27.024 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.386$  and every time we look,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.97825295
- $00:27:28.386 \rightarrow 00:27:30.018$  we discover that we knew nothing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96022385
- $00{:}27{:}31{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33{.}963$  And so it sounds like we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96022385
- 00:27:33.963 --> 00:27:35.590 almost coming full circle,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96022385

 $00:27:35.590 \rightarrow 00:27:37.174$  though, because interleukin two

NOTE Confidence: 0.96022385

 $00{:}27{:}37{.}174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39{.}550$  was something that you had talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.96022385

00:27:39.615 --> 00:27:41.664 about at the very outset, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.96022385

 $00:27:41.664 \rightarrow 00:27:44.808$  really wasn't terribly effective back then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96022385

 $00:27:44.810 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.468$  Why would we think that now

NOTE Confidence: 0.96022385

 $00{:}27{:}47.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49.238$  these other interleukins will

NOTE Confidence: 0.96022385

 $00:27:49.238 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.899$  be more effective?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906297

 $00:27:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.062$  Now we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906297

 $00{:}27{:}55.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57.979$  other bullets to administer with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906297

 $00:27:57.980 \rightarrow 00:28:00.700$  And we understand better how to engineer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906297

 $00{:}28{:}00{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}03{.}949$  them so that they can be more effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9767311

 $00:28:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.256$  So the idea is that you would use

NOTE Confidence: 0.9767311

 $00{:}28{:}07{.}256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08{.}940$  these interleukins along with cellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.9767311

 $00:28:08.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.770$  therapy and or checkpoint inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9767311

00:28:10.770 - 00:28:13.274 Yes, or if they're so good we might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9767311

 $00:28:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.170$  be able to use them alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9767311

00:28:15.170 -> 00:28:17.418 Time will tell when you have a new

NOTE Confidence: 0.9767311

00:28:17.418 --> 00:28:19.878 drug you start studying it by itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9767311

 $00:28:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.450$  mainly because you want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9767311

 $00:28:21.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.020$  look at whether it's toxic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9767311

 $00{:}28{:}23{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25{.}508$  but you also look a little bit at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9767311

 $00:28:25.508 \rightarrow 00:28:27.694$  the activity so some of them might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9767311

 $00:28:27.694 \rightarrow 00:28:29.929$  end up being active on their own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9767311

 $00:28:29.930 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.869$  We will see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9274463

00:28:31.710 --> 00:28:33.816 Doctor Harriet Kluger is a professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9274463

00:28:33.816 --> 00:28:35.620 of medicine and medical oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9274463

 $00:28:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.630$  at the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9274463

00:28:37.630 --> 00:28:39.862 If you have questions the addresses

NOTE Confidence: 0.9274463

 $00:28:39.862 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.748$  cancer answers at yale.edu and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9274463

 $00{:}28{:}41.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.728$  past editions of the program are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9274463

 $00{:}28{:}43.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.431$  available in audio and written

NOTE Confidence: 0.9274463

00:28:45.431 --> 00:28:47.369 form at yalecancercenter.org. NOTE Confidence: 0.9274463 00:28:47.370 --> 00:28:49.610 We hope you'll join us next week to NOTE Confidence: 0.9274463 00:28:49.610 --> 00:28:51.775 learn more about the fight against NOTE Confidence: 0.9274463 00:28:51.775 --> 00:28:53.695 cancer here on Connecticut Public NOTE Confidence: 0.9274463 00:28:53.695 --> 00:28:55.611 radio funding for Yale Cancer NOTE Confidence: 0.9274463 00:28:55.611 --> 00:28:57.441 Answers is provided by Smilow NOTE Confidence: 0.9274463

 $00{:}28{:}57{.}441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00{.}070$  Cancer Hospital and AstraZeneca.