WEBVTT

1 00:00:00.310 --> 00:00:01.163 <v ->Okay.</v>

2~00:00:02.630 --> 00:00:05.480 It's time to get started. I'm Robert Dubrow.

 $3\ 00:00:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.440$ I'm the faculty director of the

 $4~00:00:07.440 \dashrightarrow 00:00:08.970$ Yale Center on Climate Change and Health.

5 00:00:08.970 \rightarrow 00:00:11.120 I know most of you, but maybe not everyone.

6 00:00:12.140 --> 00:00:17.140 And it's a great pleasure today to introduce Jose Siri,

 $7\ 00:00:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.563$ who is speaking to us from London.

8 00:00:21.700 --> 00:00:24.010 And since 2019,

9 00:00:24.010 --> 00:00:26.510 he's been the senior science lead for

 $10\ 00{:}00{:}26.510$ --> $00{:}00{:}29.890$ Cities, Urbanization and Health for the Wellcome Trust's

11 00:00:29.890 --> 00:00:32.053 Our planet, Our Health Programme.

 $12\ 00:00:32.930 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.330$ Some of his previous positions have included

 $13\ 00:00:36.330 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.270$ being a research fellow in Urban Health for the

14 00:00:39.270 --> 00:00:43.160 UN University International Institute for Global Health.

 $15\ 00:00:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.320$ He's been a research scholar for the

16 00:00:45.320 --> 00:00:48.990 International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis,

17 00:00:48.990 --> 00:00:53.990 and he got his PhD in Epidemiology with a concentration

18 $00{:}00{:}54.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56.600$ in infectious disease epidemiology

 $19\ 00:00:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.100$ from the University of Michigan.

20 00:00:59.100 --> 00:01:04.100 So without further ado, I'll let Jose start his talk.

21 00:01:05.900 --> 00:01:06.733 <v ->Great!</v>

22 00:01:06.733 --> 00:01:09.763 Many thanks, Robert. Can you hear me? Thumbs up?

23 00:01:10.650 --> 00:01:11.583 Great, great.

 $24\ 00:01:12.500 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.010$ So it's great to be with you today.

25 00:01:14.010 --> 00:01:15.410 Thanks again to Robert.

26 00:01:15.410 --> 00:01:18.670 Thanks to the Yale Center on Climate Change and Health,

27 00:01:18.670 --> 00:01:20.853 and thank you to you all for joining.

28 00:01:21.930 --> 00:01:23.010 Today, I'm gonna talk about

 $29\ 00:01:23.010 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.460$ the central role that cities play

 $30\ 00{:}01{:}24.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}27.660$ in climate change and health and how systems based research

 $31\ 00:01:27.660 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.420$ can contribute to the solutions.

32 00:01:29.420 --> 00:01:31.660 And I hope that you'll see or you'll agree with me

33 00:01:31.660 --> 00:01:33.560 why we need this type of approach to compliment

34 00:01:33.560 $\rightarrow 00:01:36.050$ traditional public health research.

 $35\ 00:01:36.050 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.013$ So I want to start with a few concrete examples.

 $36\ 00:01:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.210$ Just this past month,

37 00:01:43.210 --> 00:01:46.200 we saw one of the most intense heat events in history

38 $00:01:46.200 \dashrightarrow 00:01:48.650$ in the Western North American heat wave.

39 00:01:48.650 --> 00:01:49.930 So starting in late June,

40 00:01:49.930 --> 00:01:51.890 the Pacific Northwest and Western Canada

41 00:01:51.890 --> 00:01:55.360 saw maximum temperatures up to 19 degrees Celsius

 $42\ 00:01:55.360 \rightarrow 00:01:57.963$ above normal, lasting through early July.

43 00:01:59.100 --> 00:02:02.710 This is a map showing temperature anomalies on June 27th,

44 00:02:02.710 --> 00:02:04.730 compared to the typical average for the same day

45 00:02:04.730 --> 00:02:05.680 in different years.

 $46\ 00:02:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.780$ The heat caused power outages,

47 00:02:08.780 --> 00:02:10.630 it destroyed infrastructure,

 $48\ 00:02:10.630 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.830$ it buckled roads across the region,

49 00:02:12.830 --> 00:02:15.640 it spoiled crops, and damaged trees,

 $50\ 00:02:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.470$ some places saw major water quality declines

51 00:02:18.470 --> 00:02:20.464 because of fish kills,

52 00:02:20.464 --> 00:02:22.550 and of course, it sparked wildfires,

53 00:02:22.550 --> 00:02:25.660 which continue to be a major concern in the region.

54 00:02:25.660 --> 00:02:29.270 Some places even saw serious flooding from snow melt.

 $55\ 00{:}02{:}29{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32{.}380$ So the drought, excuse me, the fire had a whole range,

 $56\ 00:02:32.380 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.320$ excuse me, the heatwave,

57 $00:02:34.320 \rightarrow 00:02:36.890$ had a whole range of complex consequences.

 $58\ 00:02:36.890 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.640$ And we still don't know the full health impacts,

59 00:02:39.640 --> 00:02:43.683 but there've been estimated 700 plus excess deaths so far.

60 00:02:44.790 --> 00:02:47.960 There was a significant rise in hospitalizations,

 $61\ 00:02:47.960$ --> 00:02:49.960 and there was morbidity, not just from the heat,

 $62\ 00:02:49.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.910$ but also from cascading events

 $63\ 00:02:51.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.550$ like smoke inhalation from wildfires,

 $64\ 00{:}02{:}54{.}550$ --> $00{:}02{:}57{.}483$ major mental health impacts, of course, for those effects.

 $65\ 00{:}02{:}58{.}950$ --> $00{:}03{:}01{.}700$ In this context, impacts were much worse because this region

66 00:03:01.700 --> 00:03:03.680 has a low uptake of air conditioning,

 $67\ 00{:}03{:}03{.}680$ --> $00{:}03{:}06{.}740$ and it hadn't prepared in other ways for this level of heat.

 $68\ 00:03:06.740 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.690$ So in other words, they're not adapted.

 $69\ 00:03:09.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.010$ A preliminary attribution study has estimated

70 00:03:12.010 --> 00:03:14.820 that this is about a one in 1,000 year event

 $71\ 00:03:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.940$ in today's climate,

 $72\ 00:03:15.940 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.550$ but that it would have been 150 times rarer

73 00:03:18.550 --> 00:03:21.050 without human-induced climate change.

74 00:03:21.050 --> 00:03:22.980 Under two degrees Celsius warming,

75 00:03:22.980 --> 00:03:26.000 which is the minimum goal for the Paris Climate Agreement,

76 $00:03:26.000 \rightarrow 00:03:27.590$ an event of this magnitude might happen

 $77\ 00:03:27.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.740$ every five to 10 years.

78 00:03:30.310 --> 00:03:32.470 Of course, we know that cities amplify heat waves

79 $00:03:32.470 \dashrightarrow 00:03:34.840$ because of urban heat island effects.

 $80\ 00{:}03{:}34.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37.320$ Cities can be significantly hotter than surrounding areas,

81 00:03:37.320 --> 00:03:38.343 especially at night.

82 00:03:39.690 --> 00:03:41.660 In the left figure below,

83 00:03:41.660 --> 00:03:43.680 you see how climate change might shift

 $84\ 00:03:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.380$ the distribution of hot days.

85 00:03:45.380 $\rightarrow 00:03:46.680$ Now, to the right.

 $86\ 00:03:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.590$ In the right, you see the additional shifts

 $87\ 00:03:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.300$ from urban heat islands.

88 00:03:50.300 $\rightarrow 00:03:52.440$ And the message from from this figure is that

89 00:03:52.440 --> 00:03:54.800 even small rises in average heat

90 00:03:54.800 $\rightarrow 00:03:57.250$ can lead to large increases in extreme heat

91 $00:03:57.250 \rightarrow 00:03:59.280$ at the leading edge of the distribution,

 $92\ 00:03:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.790$ especially in cities where you have

93 00:04:00.790 \rightarrow 00:04:02.490 the additional amplifying effects.

94 00:04:03.810 --> 00:04:07.070 So let's cross the world to South Africa,

95 00:04:07.070 --> 00:04:09.300 and three years earlier.

96 00:04:09.300 --> 00:04:13.100 In 2018, after three consecutive years of low rainfall,

97 00:04:13.100 --> 00:04:15.210 Cape Town had one of the worst water crises

 $98\ 00:04:15.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.810$ ever recorded in the major city.

99 00:04:17.750 --> 00:04:20.720 Early that year, officials estimated that the water system

 $100\ 00{:}04{:}20.720$ --> $00{:}04{:}24.560$ would actually fail on a so-called "day zero" in April.

101 00:04:24.560 --> 00:04:26.170 In other words, they projected that water levels

 $102\ 00:04:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.290$ would be too low for any withdrawals

103 00:04:28.290 --> 00:04:31.273 and the city would essentially have to shut the system down.

104 00:04:32.120 --> 00:04:34.920 The chart you see here is a measure of water storage

 $105\ 00:04:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.360$ for the city over the five proceeding years.

 $106\ 00:04:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.520$ The black lines at the bottom

 $107\ 00:04:38.520$ --> 00:04:41.880 show have the minimum levels needed to allow with drawals.

 $108\ 00:04:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.260$ Now,

 $109\ 00:04:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.660$ in this case, the city averted the crisis,

 $110\ 00:04:45.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.640$ but not before it drew up security plans

111 $00:04:47.640 \rightarrow 00:04:50.400$ to protect emergency water supplies.

112 00:04:50.400 --> 00:04:52.470 Water was severely rationed.

113 00:04:52.470 --> 00:04:55.570 Citizens were challenged and in some cases, even shamed,

 $114\ 00:04:55.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.020$ into conserving and consumption was reduced

115 00:04:58.020 --> 00:05:00.410 by more than half, which allowed the city to survive

116 00:05:00.410 --> 00:05:01.460 until the rains came.

117 00:05:02.980 --> 00:05:05.360 But even though the crisis was averted,

118 00:05:05.360 --> 00:05:08.200 it sort of highlighted some of the severe inequities

119 $00:05:08.200 \dashrightarrow 00:05:10.630$ and conflicts related to water in the city.

 $120\ 00:05:10.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.463$ Now for example,

121 00:05:11.463 --> 00:05:13.270 informal settlements in Cape Town

 $122\ 00:05:13.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.410$ received less than 4% of the water supply,

123 00:05:16.410 --> 00:05:19.490 even though they represent 20% of the population.

124 00:05:19.490 --> 00:05:22.670 There were conflicts over the use of water for public health

125 00:05:22.670 --> 00:05:24.743 versus for agricultural priorities.

126 $00:\!05:\!25.960 \dashrightarrow 00:\!05:\!26.793$ And again,

 $127\ 00:05:26.793 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.970$ this is a situation where the systems

128 00:05:28.970 --> 00:05:31.670 that the city of Cape Town had put into place 129 00:05:31.670 --> 00:05:34.250 just weren't designed for the conditions they encountered.

 $130\ 00:05:34.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.550$ They weren't well adapted.

131 00:05:37.310 --> 00:05:39.910 As for the Western American heat wave,

 $132\ 00:05:39.910 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.960$ this was a quite rare event,

133 00:05:41.960 --> 00:05:45.990 perhaps 0.7% per year in today's climate,

 $134\ 00:05:45.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.960$ but made five and a half times more likely

135 $00:05:47.960 \dashrightarrow 00:05:49.960$ because of human-induced climate change.

136 $00{:}05{:}50.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52.860$ In an intermediate warming scenario,

137 00:05:52.860 --> 00:05:56.280 the probability of a drought as bad as this or worse

138 00:05:56.280 --> 00:06:00.430 could rise to 25% per year by the end of the century.

139 00:06:00.430 --> 00:06:03.020 In a high warming scenario, it could rise to 80%.

140 00:06:03.020 --> 00:06:05.410 So you would see this kind of drought most years,

141 $00:06:05.410 \rightarrow 00:06:06.243$ essentially.

142 00:06:08.200 --> 00:06:10.490 Again, cities amplified drought risks

143 00:06:10.490 --> 00:06:12.660 because they concentrate massive amounts of people

144 00:06:12.660 --> 00:06:14.530 in a small area,

 $145\ 00:06:14.530 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.330$ they impact health directly,

146 00:06:16.330 --> 00:06:18.500 but also through loss of livelihoods,

147 00:06:18.500 --> 00:06:20.260 impacts on agriculture,

148 00:06:20.260 --> 00:06:23.810 and sometimes even increased infectious disease risks.

149 00:06:23.810 --> 00:06:24.643 So for example,

 $150\ 00:06:24.643 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.850$ where hygiene suffers because of lack of water.

 $151\ 00:06:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.840$ As with almost all climate risks,

152 00:06:29.840 --> 00:06:32.540 the greatest impacts are on the poor and marginalized.

153 00:06:34.660 --> 00:06:36.870 So coming back to the U.S. now,

 $154\ 00:06:36.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.210$ one year earlier than that.

155 00:06:38.210 --> 00:06:40.460 In 2017,

156 00:06:40.460 --> 00:06:43.210 Hurricane Harvey dumped as much as five feet of water

 $157\ 00:06:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.473$ on parts of Texas.

 $158\ 00:06:45.310 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.950$ Over a hundred people died,

159 00:06:46.950 --> 00:06:49.300 30,000 people were displaced,

160 00:06:49.300 --> 00:06:52.633 and the storm caused \$125 billion worth of damage.

161 $00:06:53.690 \rightarrow 00:06:56.060$ Aside again, from the direct health impacts

 $162\ 00:06:56.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.740$ and environmental exposures,

163 00:06:57.740 --> 00:07:00.660 many, many people suffered mental trauma from the disaster

164 00:07:00.660 --> 00:07:03.960 and from the losses of their homes or their livelihoods.

165 00:07:03.960 --> 00:07:06.970 Again, impacts felt disproportionately on Black

 $166\ 00:07:06.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.163$ and poor residents.

167 $00:07:09.470 \rightarrow 00:07:12.650$ This was another extremely rare event,

168 00:07:12.650 --> 00:07:15.430 perhaps one in 2,000 years in today's climate,

 $169\ 00:07:15.430 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.210$ I've seen as low as one in 9,000 years.

170 00:07:18.210 --> 00:07:20.290 But again, the rainfall totals were made more than

171 00:07:20.290 --> 00:07:23.340 three times as likely, like human-induced climate change,

 $172\ 00:07:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.750$ and the risk might increase to one in 100

 $173\ 00:07:25.750 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.050$ by the end of the century.

174 00:07:28.810 --> 00:07:31.100 In Houston itself, within the city,

 $175\ 00:07:31.100 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.830$ modeling suggests that the urban environment

 $176\ 00:07:32.830 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.070$ not only exacerbated the flooding,

177 00:07:35.070 --> 00:07:38.990 because of impervious services and channeling the water,

178 00:07:38.990 --> 00:07:42.040 but the urban environment actually increased local rainfall,

179 00:07:42.040 --> 00:07:44.220 through interactions with meteorological system,

 $180\ 00:07:44.220 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.590$ making the observed flooding,

181 00:07:45.590 --> 00:07:48.983 the observed water levels 21 times more likely. 182 00:07:51.400 --> 00:07:52.450 So,

183 $00:07:52.450 \rightarrow 00:07:55.230$ it's not hard to find material unfortunately

184 00:07:55.230 --> 00:07:57.020 for climate and health.

185 $00{:}07{:}57{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58{.}700$ There are hundreds of other examples

186 00:07:58.700 --> 00:08:00.910 that I could have used here.

 $187\ 00:08:00.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.140$ All of these have connections to adaptation

188 00:08:03.140 --> 00:08:06.500 because they speak to the need to plan for such impacts,

 $189\ 00:08:06.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.060$ but they're also intimately linked to mitigation

190 00:08:09.060 --> 00:08:11.020 because all of them were made much more likely

191 00:08:11.020 -> 00:08:13.003 by human greenhouse gas emissions.

192 00:08:14.120 --> 00:08:16.210 So these events really illustrate that climate change

 $193\ 00:08:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.130$ is not just the concern for the future,

194 $00:08:18.130 \dashrightarrow 00:08:21.350$ we're already seeing serious impacts today.

 $195\ 00:08:21.350 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.970$ They show how cities mediate and modify

196 $00{:}08{:}23.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27.270$ both overall climate impacts and the distribution of impacts

197 00:08:27.270 --> 00:08:28.263 across society.

198 $00{:}08{:}29{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31{.}730$ They show how much human-induced climate change

199 00:08:31.730 --> 00:08:34.570 has already increased health risks,

200 $00{:}08{:}34.570$ --> 00:08:37.800 and how these health risks may increase in the future.

 $201\ 00:08:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.410$ And they tell us or they show us really,

 $202\ 00:08:40.410 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.870$ the cities are critical part of solutions

 $203\ 00:08:42.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.343$ for climate mitigation, adaptation, resilience.

 $204\ 00:08:46.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.410$ So for the rest of my talk,

 $205\ 00:08:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.450$ I'm gonna be discussing why cities are critical

 $206\ 00:08:51.450 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.910$ for climate and health impacts and solutions,

 $207\ 00:08:53.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.790$ what challenges we face in implementing

208 00:08:55.790 --> 00:08:59.090 healthy climate action in cities and beyond,

209 00:08:59.090 --> 00:09:01.400 why we should see many climate and health challenges

210 00:09:01.400 --> 00:09:03.870 in cities as systems problems,

211 00:09:03.870 --> 00:09:07.530 and how a systems-based research agenda can help catalyze

 $212 \ 00:09:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.423$ solutions.

213 00:09:09.830 --> 00:09:10.730 So, first of all,

214 00:09:10.730 --> 00:09:13.480 why are cities critical for climate and health impacts?

 $215\ 00:09:15.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.780$ First of all, cities are where we mostly live.

216 00:09:18.780 --> 00:09:21.290 And this is a fairly new situation.

217 00:09:21.290 --> 00:09:23.010 Most of us tend to think of, for example,

 $218 \ 00:09:23.010 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.580$ the Industrial Revolution

219 00:09:24.580 \rightarrow 00:09:28.150 as the time of massive urbanization as I do.

220 00:09:28.150 --> 00:09:30.080 But the population of England in 1800

 $221\ 00:09:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.143$ was maybe just 10 to 20% urban.

222 00:09:33.300 --> 00:09:36.270 Urban population growth began to overtake rural

 $223\ 00:09:36.270 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.050$ about half a century ago.

224 00:09:38.050 --> 00:09:39.360 And according to the UN,

225 00:09:39.360 --> 00:09:42.180 we became majority urban around 2007,

 $226\ 00:09:42.180 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.800$ where you see these two curves cross.

227 00:09:44.800 --> 00:09:48.270 Today, the UN estimates that we're about 55%,

228 00:09:48.270 --> 00:09:50.973 and by 2050, two-thirds of us will live in cities.

229 00:09:51.850 --> 00:09:54.130 Now it's worth mentioning that these numbers are quite

230 00:09:54.130 --> 00:09:54.963 uncertain.

231 00:09:54.963 --> 00:09:58.770 We actually can't measure who lives in city

232 00:09:58.770 --> 00:10:01.090 and how many people live in city directly.

233 00:10:01.090 --> 00:10:04.380 We've tended to use national definitions for urban,

 $234\ 00:10:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.580$ whatever they are.

235 00:10:05.580 --> 00:10:09.140 But in Norway or Sweden, a city with 200 people

236 00:10:09.140 --> 00:10:10.320 is considered urban.

 $237\ 00:10:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.440$ In Japan, you have to have 50,000 people

 $238\ 00:10:12.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.930$ to be considered urban.

239 00:10:13.930 --> 00:10:17.070 So cross country comparisons are quite difficult.

240 00:10:17.070 --> 00:10:18.530 There have been a few efforts to apply

241 00:10:18.530 --> 00:10:20.560 a single standard everywhere.

242 00:10:20.560 --> 00:10:23.490 One effort using a construct called degree of urbanization,

243 00:10:23.490 --> 00:10:26.750 puts the global urban share of the population 244 00:10:26.750 --> 00:10:29.410 at about 75 to 80%.

245 00:10:29.410 --> 00:10:32.053 Most of the increase coming from Asia and Africa.

 $246\ 00:10:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.800$ There's some controversy over that definition.

247 00:10:34.800 --> 00:10:38.130 There's other efforts, but whatever method you use,

248 00:10:38.130 --> 00:10:40.570 the take home message is that we're mostly urban

249 00:10:40.570 --> 00:10:42.820 and we'll be adding billions of more city dwellers

 $250\ 00:10:42.820 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.370$ over the course of the century.

251 00:10:45.440 --> 00:10:46.410 So,

 $252\ 00:10:46.410 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.900$ given that we're mostly urban species today

 $253\ 00:10:49.790 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.430$ from the standpoint of an ecologist,

254 00:10:51.430 --> 00:10:53.430 cities are our dominant habitat

 $255\ 00:10:53.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.810$ and they've profoundly affect our health.

256 00:10:55.810 --> 00:10:58.670 And I like to think of the analogy of a fish tank.

 $257\ 00:10:58.670 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.640$ So if you buy a fish tank,

258 00:11:00.640 --> 00:11:02.720 you have to supply it with fresh or salt water,

259 00:11:02.720 --> 00:11:04.360 depending on the kind of fish,

 $260\ 00:11:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.270$ you need to add light and heat,

261 00:11:06.270 --> 00:11:08.930 gravel, hiding places for the fish,

262 00:11:08.930 --> 00:11:11.778 you have to regularly add food, you need a filter,

263 00:11:11.778 --> 00:11:13.740 and so on and so forth.

264 00:11:13.740 --> 00:11:17.700 If you imagine building an ideal habitat for a human being,

265 00:11:17.700 --> 00:11:20.590 it probably wouldn't look too much like modern cities,

 $266\ 00:11:20.590 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.240$ that some are better than others.

267 00:11:23.140 --> 00:11:26.390 This list here is from Stephen Boyden's seminal work

 $268\ 00:11:26.390 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.330$ on human ecology in Hong Kong.

269 00:11:28.330 --> 00:11:30.900 And I love that this includes not just physical,

 $270\ 00:11:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.630$ but psychosocial needs.

271 00:11:32.630 --> 00:11:33.820 So, of course,

272 00:11:33.820 --> 00:11:36.860 cities should supply clean air, water and food,

273 00:11:36.860 --> 00:11:38.780 but it's equally important that they supply

274 00:11:38.780 --> 00:11:42.853 emotional support, and variety, and a sense of purpose.

275 00:11:44.210 --> 00:11:46.760 But again, the key is that cities, in many ways,

27600:11:46.760 --> 00:11:48.860 determine the health of the human species.

277 00:11:50.770 --> 00:11:52.980 Virtually, every urban system affects health 278 00:11:52.980 --> 00:11:54.063 in familiar ways,

279 00:11:55.130 --> 00:11:57.820 but also along pathways that we may not be aware of,

 $280\ 00:11:57.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.520$ or when we think about, excuse me.

281 00:12:03.020 --> 00:12:07.070 Urban transport systems affect physical activity,

282 00:12:07.070 --> 00:12:09.980 they affect the air pollution and exposure to air pollution,

283 00:12:09.980 --> 00:12:14.500 mental health and opportunities for social interaction.

284 00:12:14.500 --> 00:12:15.780 For women, in some contexts,

 $285\ 00:12:15.780 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.680$ they also can seriously affect safety

 $286\ 00:12:17.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.930$ or perceptions of safety.

287 00:12:19.890 --> 00:12:22.820 Housing affects exposure to extreme temperatures,

288 00:12:22.820 --> 00:12:25.940 infectious vectors, toxic pollutants,

289 $00{:}12{:}25{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28{.}480$ but it can also influence a sense of belonging

 $290\ 00:12:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.423$ and variety and daily experience.

291 00:12:31.430 --> 00:12:35.050 Cultural systems have impacts on creativity, of course,

 $292\ 00:12:35.050 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.636$ but also on loneliness,

 $293 \ 00:12:36.636 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.890$ and even on infectious disease transmission

294 00:12:38.890 --> 00:12:42.143 as we've seen in lots of examples during COVID-19.

295 00:12:43.030 --> 00:12:46.660 And I'm sure I've left relevant systems off this list.

 $296\ 00:12:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.810$ I won't go through them all,

297 00:12:47.810 --> 00:12:50.830 but I just really want to emphasize the point that cities,

298 00:12:50.830 --> 00:12:53.790 through their complex integrated dynamic systems,

299 00:12:53.790 --> 00:12:56.540 are among the main drivers of our health and wellbeing.

300 00:12:57.500 --> 00:13:00.640 Now, importantly, for what we're discussing today,

301 00:13:00.640 --> 00:13:02.840 cities affect virtually all the pathways along which

 $302\ 00:13:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.503$ climate change affects health.

303 00:13:05.800 --> 00:13:08.690 So you have direct impacts, for example, through storms,

 $304\ 00:13:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.420$ drought, flooding, and heat.

 $305\ 00:13:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.660$ And as we've seen,

 $306\;00{:}13{:}11.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15.040$ these are all modified and sometimes amplified by cities

 $307\ 00:13:15.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.700$ in urban systems.

308 00:13:16.700 --> 00:13:18.190 You have indirect impacts,

 $309\ 00:13:18.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.640$ might mediated through ecological systems.

 $310\ 00:13:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.700$ These are also affected by cities.

311 00:13:22.700 --> 00:13:25.450 So for example, cities drive deforestation,

312 00:13:25.450 --> 00:13:28.140 increasing the likelihood of zoonotic disease transmission

313 00:13:28.140 --> 00:13:32.580 when previously separated species come into contact.

 $314\;00{:}13{:}32{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35{.}810$ They can cause food system disruptions when they grow over

315 00:13:35.810 --> 00:13:38.030 or expand over productive agricultural land,

 $316\ 00:13:38.030 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.130$ which is quite common.

317 00:13:40.060 --> 00:13:42.030 Indirect impacts can also be mediated

318 00:13:42.030 --> 00:13:45.530 through social processes like migration or trade.

319 00:13:45.530 --> 00:13:47.560 And of course, cities are the primary driver

 $320\ 00:13:47.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.043$ and destination of those processes as well.

321 00:13:51.570 --> 00:13:55.830 Cities are also where most mitigation and adaptation actions

 $322\ 00{:}13{:}55{.}830$ --> $00{:}13{:}59{.}713$ either are implemented or are the driving force behind it.

 $323\ 00:14:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.740$ Perhaps most importantly,

324 00:14:04.740 --> 00:14:07.980 cities emit about three-quarters of all greenhouse gases

 $325\ 00:14:07.980 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.120$ from final energy use.

 $326\ 00{:}14{:}10{.}120$ --> $00{:}14{:}12{.}880$ They use more than three quarters of all natural resources.

327 00:14:12.880 --> 00:14:15.230 They produce about half of all the waste

 $328\ 00:14:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.020$ that humanity produces.

 $329\ 00:14:17.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.540$ And the graph on the left shows

330 00:14:19.540 --> 00:14:22.090 global greenhouse gas emissions by economic sector.

331 00:14:23.880 --> 00:14:27.890 Electricity and heat production, transportation, buildings,

 $332\ 00:14:27.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.300$ and to some extent, industry,

333 00:14:29.300 $\rightarrow 00:14:31.670$ are important sources of urban emissions

334 00:14:31.670 --> 00:14:33.470 as you might imagine.

 $335\ 00:14:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.090$ But even emissions that happen in rural

336 00:14:36.090 --> 00:14:37.890 or undeveloped areas,

337 00:14:37.890 --> 00:14:41.100 so for example, from agriculture or forestry,

 $338\ 00:14:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.330$ are mostly the result of urban demand

 $339\ 00:14:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.683$ for goods and services.

 $340\ 00:14:46.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.510$ On the right,

341 00:14:47.510 --> 00:14:50.770 the figure shows two common ways of accounting for emissions

 $342\ 00:14:50.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.570$ and the bluer circle towards the top,

343 00:14:53.570 --> 00:14:56.640 shows all emissions arising from goods and services produced

 $344\ 00:14:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.980$ within the city,

345 00:14:57.980 --> 00:15:00.820 whether they're consumed there or exported somewhere else.

346 00:15:00.820 --> 00:15:03.193 That's the usual way that we measure emissions.

 $347\ 00:15:04.130 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.960$ The greener circle shows all emissions

348 00:15:05.960 --> 00:15:09.140 arising from goods and services consumed by the city,

 $349\ 00:15:09.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.390$ wherever they're produced.

350 00:15:11.390 --> 00:15:13.990 And in fact, especially in wealthy cities,

351 00:15:13.990 --> 00:15:16.820 a high percentage of emissions are from imported goods

352 00:15:16.820 --> 00:15:17.653 and services.

353 00:15:17.653 --> 00:15:19.290 So, you buy your iPhone,

 $354\ 00:15:19.290 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.870$ and you don't have any emissions from that,

355 00:15:20.870 --> 00:15:23.920 but emissions are produced in China or somewhere else

 $356\ 00:15:23.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.943$ or where that phone is produced.

357 00:15:26.800 --> 00:15:28.630 We've done a lot less well at documenting

 $358\ 00:15:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.210$ so-called consumption-based emissions.

 $359\ 00:15:31.210 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.940$ For example, they're not generally included

 $360\ 00:15:32.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.410$ in net-zero commitments,

361 00:15:34.410 --> 00:15:37.610 which are pledges to reach a state of carbon neutrality

 $362\ 00:15:37.610 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.723$ by a certain date.

 $363\ 00:15:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.020$ There are efforts underway to change that,

364 00:15:42.020 --> 00:15:44.310 led by groups like C40 Cities,

365 00:15:44.310 --> 00:15:46.510 which is a network of the world's largest

 $366\ 00:15:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.910$ and most influential cities.

367 00:15:49.500 --> 00:15:50.580 So,

368 00:15:50.580 --> 00:15:53.710 just as urban populations are growing,

 $369\ 00:15:53.710 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.250$ so too our urban extents.

370 00:15:56.250 --> 00:15:59.160 The amount of land that we devote to cities is projected

 $371\ 00:15:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.573$ to increase dramatically over the century.

 $372\ 00:16:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.680$ In fact, many analysts suggest

373 00:16:05.930 --> 00:16:10.090 that we're more than double total urban land extents.

374 00:16:10.090 --> 00:16:12.560 I believe Karen Seto, who I think is with us here,

375 00:16:12.560 --> 00:16:15.800 has estimated that 60% of all of the urban infrastructure

 $376\ 00:16:15.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.413$ that we're going to need has yet to be built.

377 00:16:22.420 --> 00:16:24.580 Under some scenarios of fossil fuel development,

 $378\ 00:16:24.580 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.260$ as you see on the right graph here,

379 00:16:27.260 --> 00:16:29.330 models have projected that we could have as much as

380 00:16:29.330 --> 00:16:32.340 six times as much urban land by the end of the century

381 00:16:32.340 --> 00:16:33.253 as we have now.

382 00:16:34.440 --> 00:16:37.160 More than two-thirds of the expansion in urban land

 $383\ 00:16:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.570$ will happen in Africa and Asia.

384 00:16:39.570 --> 00:16:40.460 And so,

385 00:16:40.460 --> 00:16:43.180 you can imagine that this is a tremendous opportunity

 $386\ 00:16:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.650$ to rethink how we design our fish tank,

387 00:16:45.650 --> 00:16:48.320 how we make our cities healthier places,

 $388\ 00:16:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.070$ both for people and for the planet.

389 00:16:52.600 --> 00:16:53.433 So,

390 00:16:54.440 --> 00:16:57.500 I've highlighted some troubling trends and statistics here,

391 00:16:57.500 --> 00:16:58.970 but I really want to emphasize that

 $392\ 00:16:58.970 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.793$ cities can be forces for good.

 $393\ 00:17:01.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.910$ It's really important to remember that.

394 00:17:02.910 --> 00:17:06.520 These two pictures are before and after shots of a place

395 00:17:06.520 --> 00:17:07.940 in Seoul, South Korea,

 $396\ 00:17:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.093$ called Chonggyecheon.

397 00:17:10.490 --> 00:17:13.633 I'm positive, I'm but
chering the pronunciation, but I try.

 $398\ 00:17:15.090 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.240$ From the late 1950s to the mid-1970s,

 $399\ 00:17:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.890$ this was a site of major industrialization

400 00:17:20.890 --> 00:17:24.400 and really a perfect example of car dependency.

401 00:17:24.400 --> 00:17:26.730 You can see in the upper picture that the site included

 $402\ 00:17:26.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.670$ an elevated highway.

403 00:17:28.670 --> 00:17:31.270 This was constructed over the bed of a former river.

404 00:17:32.700 --> 00:17:36.720 In 2003, the then may
or of Seoul initiated a project

405 00:17:36.720 --> 00:17:39.450 to remove the highway and restore the river. 406 00:17:39.450 --> 00:17:41.340 It was highly controversial.

407 00:17:41.340 --> 00:17:43.420 It was expected to lead to terrible congestion

408 00:17:43.420 --> 00:17:44.970 and other consequences,

409 00:17:44.970 --> 00:17:48.370 but actually it's become a showcase for the city.

410 00:17:48.370 --> 00:17:52.080 The new water
course, which you see in the lower picture,

 $411\ 00:17:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.520$ led to locally cooler temperatures,

 $412\ 00:17:54.520 \rightarrow 00:17:57.310$ by some measures an increase in biodiversity,

 $413\ 00:17:57.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.030$ less traffic congestion,

 $414\ 00:17:59.030 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.340$ less pollution,

415 00:18:00.340 --> 00:18:01.710 more tourism,

416 $00:18:01.710 \rightarrow 00:18:04.343$ and cultural and economic revitalization.

417 00:18:05.380 --> 00:18:08.050 And cities everywhere are taking actions like this,

 $418\ 00:18:08.050 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.240$ and trying experiments like this.

419 00:18:10.240 --> 00:18:13.160 Now, we saw a host of new experiments in public space

 $420\ 00:18:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.440$ and infrastructure,

421 00:18:14.440 --> 00:18:17.570 and working in mobility during COVID-19

 $422\ 00:18:17.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.920$ in cities around the world.

423 00:18:20.270 --> 00:18:23.870 Cities are also taking the lead on net-zero commitments,

 $424\ 00:18:23.870 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.380$ and adaptation matters,

 $425\ 00:18:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.350$ and on integrating all these activities

 $426\ 00:18:27.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.390$ under one-governance structure.

427 00:18:29.390 --> 00:18:31.660 So the city of Amsterdam, I believe,

428 00:18:31.660 --> 00:18:34.130 is taking an explicit Doughnut Economics Approach

429 00:18:34.130 --> 00:18:37.160 to their development, where they both mitigate

 $430\ 00:18:39.170 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.650$ the excesses of growth,

431 00:18:40.650 --> 00:18:43.840 but also provide all the social needs for the population.

 $432\ 00{:}18{:}43{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47{.}810$ So really important that we see cities not as problematic,

 $433\ 00:18:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.453$ but as a source of solutions.

434 00:18:51.080 --> 00:18:53.163 So now,

435 00:18:53.163 --> 00:18:54.990 I want to talk a little bit about some of the challenges

436 00:18:54.990 --> 00:18:58.620 to implementing healthy climate action in cities.

437 00:18:58.620 --> 00:19:01.110 Many of these things that I'll talk about, of course,

438 00:19:01.110 $\rightarrow 00:19:03.160$ apply to climate and health more broadly.

 $439~00{:}19{:}04.780$ --> $00{:}19{:}07.650$ One challenge is that we just don't know where we're going

 $440\ 00:19:07.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.230$ in terms of emissions pathways.

441 00:19:10.230 --> 00:19:11.700 This figure shows annual growth,

442 00:19:11.700 --> 00:19:14.960 global greenhouse gas emissions under different scenarios.

443 00:19:14.960 --> 00:19:18.080 If we do nothing, we're up in this pink gray area,

444 00:19:18.080 --> 00:19:19.340 and we're probably looking at

445 00:19:19.340 --> 00:19:21.570 more than four degrees Celsius of warming,

446 $00:19:21.570 \rightarrow 00:19:23.800$ which would be catastrophic.

447 00:19:23.800 --> 00:19:26.220 But fortunately, we are already doing something,

448 00:19:26.220 --> 00:19:29.920 and under current policies, we're probably in this tan space

449 00:19:29.920 --> 00:19:33.020 in the middle and looking about three degrees of warming,

 $450\ 00:19:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.880$ which would still be extremely serious.

451 00:19:35.880 --> 00:19:38.810 Our current pledges and targets under the Paris Agreement,

 $452\ 00:19:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.060$ get us down to about 2.4,

 $453\ 00{:}19{:}41.270$ --> 00:19:43.910 and if we were able to take the urgent massive action

 $454\ 00:19:43.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.120$ that we need to take,

455 00:19:45.120 --> 00:19:47.620 we might still be able to hold a warming to two degrees

456 00:19:47.620 --> 00:19:48.963 or even 1.5.

457 00:19:50.890 --> 00:19:53.290 But it's important to remember that all the climate impacts

 $458\ 00:19:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.464$ that we're seeing today are just

 $459\ 00:19:56.464 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.640\ 1.1\ {\rm or}\ 1.2\ {\rm degrees}\ {\rm of}\ {\rm warming}.$

 $460\ 00:19:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.030$ So even 1.5,

461 00:20:00.030 --> 00:20:02.540 even if we meet the goals of the Paris Agreement,

462 00:20:02.540 --> 00:20:05.330 we're looking at significantly more serious health impacts

 $463\ 00:20:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.393$ and other impacts.

464 00:20:07.735 --> 00:20:10.800 Now, of course, not knowing what to adapt to,

 $465\ 00{:}20{:}10.800$ --> $00{:}20{:}14.010$ makes it quite difficult for cities to plan effectively.

 $466\ 00{:}20{:}14.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}16.200$ It also makes it quite difficult and challenging

 $467\ 00:20:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.523$ to project impacts.

468 00:20:19.280 --> 00:20:20.860 So,

469 $00{:}20{:}20{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}23{.}380$ a second issue is that we don't know enough

 $470\ 00:20:23.380 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.430$ about tipping points.

471 00:20:25.698 --> 00:20:27.670 A tipping point is a set of conditions

 $472\ 00:20:27.670 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.610$ where small changes can lead to abrupt shifts

473 00:20:30.610 --> 00:20:33.060 in the state of a complex system.

474 00:20:33.060 --> 00:20:36.640 Most often, we hear about climate change tipping points.

475 00:20:36.640 --> 00:20:37.830 So for example,

476 00:20:37.830 --> 00:20:40.600 there's a hypothesis that if the Greenland ice sheet melts

477 00:20:40.600 --> 00:20:41.680 too quickly,

 $478\ 00:20:41.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.970$ the influx of cold water could shut down

479 00:20:43.970 --> 00:20:46.650 the circulation of the North Atlantic Ocean currents

480 00:20:46.650 --> 00:20:49.550 and that would cause a very rapid shift in global climate.

 $481\ 00:20:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.060$ So that's one climate tipping point.

 $482\ 00{:}20{:}53.060$ --> $00{:}20{:}55.340$ There are many other potential climates tipping points,

483 00:20:55.340 --> 00:20:58.440 but tipping points aren't limited to climate systems.

 $484\ 00:20:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.570$ So you can have ecological tipping points,

485 00:21:00.570 --> 00:21:03.263 and socio-economic tipping points as well.

486 00:21:04.220 --> 00:21:07.090 So to give an example of an ecological tipping point,

487 00:21:07.090 --> 00:21:10.250 drier conditions can cause less vegetation growth,

488 00:21:10.250 --> 00:21:14.050 which leads to less evapotran
spiration, even less rain,

489 00:21:14.050 --> 00:21:17.350 and eventually leads to rapid desertification.

 $490\ 00:21:17.350 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.520$ And there's evidence that that may have

491 00:21:19.520 \rightarrow 00:21:21.520 already started happening in some areas.

 $492\ 00:21:23.380 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.540$ In terms of socioeconomic tipping points,

493 00:21:25.540 --> 00:21:27.360 sea-level rise, or sustained drought

494 00:21:27.360 --> 00:21:29.540 can lead to sudden a
bandonment of settlements

 $495\ 00:21:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.543$ and out-migration.

496 00:21:31.380 --> 00:21:33.070 Imagine if the Cape Town drought

 $497\ 00:21:33.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.733$ had gone on a couple more years.

498 00:21:36.170 --> 00:21:39.180 Importantly, tipping points can also be positive.

 $499\;00{:}21{:}39{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42{.}360$ We might see a sudden transition to renewable energy

 $500\ 00{:}21{:}42{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}44{.}420$ when a critical mass and cheaper technology

501 00:21:44.420 --> 00:21:46.100 leads to universal adaption.

 $502\ 00{:}21{:}46.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}49.030$ We've seen that kind of rapid spread for mobile phones

 $503\ 00:21:49.030 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.833$ and social media, for example.

504 00:21:52.010 --> 00:21:54.880 But deep uncertainty about the likelihood, magnitude,

 $505\ 00{:}21{:}54.880$ --> $00{:}21{:}57.230$ and timing of tipping points is another factor that

506 00:21:57.230 --> 00:22:00.730 complicates city planning and even global climate planning

 $507\ 00:22:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.093$ and policy discourse.

 $508\ 00:22:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.810$ We don't have enough information

 $509~00{:}22{:}06.810$ --> $00{:}22{:}10.570$ about the limits of adaptation or its effective ness.

510 00:22:10.570 --> 00:22:14.030 The figure here shows frequency of adverse impacts

 $511\ 00:22:14.030 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.950$ from some event on the Y-axis

 $512\ 00:22:17.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.563$ and intensity of adverse impacts on the X-axis.

 $513\ 00:22:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.670$ So when frequency or intensity are very low,

514 00:22:24.670 --> 00:22:26.010 when they're in the blue,

 $515\ 00:22:26.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.010$ we don't worry about them.

516 00:22:27.010 --> 00:22:28.260 They're acceptable risks.

517 00:22:29.330 --> 00:22:31.320 Beyond some limit of acceptable risk,

518 00:22:31.320 --> 00:22:34.530 which is shown here by the curve line at the lower left,

 $519\ 00:22:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.120$ we adapt to the risk,

 $520\ 00{:}22{:}36{.}120$ --> $00{:}22{:}39{.}250$ but there are limits to what's possible or feasible.

521 00:22:39.250 --> 00:22:42.480 A limit to adaptation is a point at which an actor

522 00:22:42.480 --> 00:22:45.170 can no longer secure valued objectives

 $523\ 00:22:45.170 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.063$ from intolerable risk through adaptive action.

524 00:22:48.950 --> 00:22:52.180 So the point at which your adaptive action can't secure

 $525\ 00:22:52.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.740$ what you need to secure.

526 00:22:53.740 --> 00:22:55.560 Above the limits of adaptation,

 $527\ 00:22:55.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.970$ which is the second curve line in this figure,

 $528\ 00:22:57.970 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.250$ to the upper right, risks are so severe

 $529~00{:}23{:}00{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03{.}470$ that we have to try to avoid them or mitigate them.

 $530\ 00:23:03.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.357$ And you may have heard the phrase,

531 00:23:05.357 --> 00:23:08.867 "Adapt to what you can't avoid, avoid what you can't adapt."

532 00:23:10.470 \rightarrow 00:23:14.120 Barriers to adaptation can be physiological.

533 00:23:14.120 --> 00:23:16.540 So for example, where heat and humidity go beyond

 $534\ 00:23:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.330$ the human body's capacity to cool itself,

 $535\ 00:23:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.270$ they can also be ecological, social,

536 00:23:21.270 --> 00:23:24.253 cultural, physical infra
structural, or technological.

537 00:23:25.110 --> 00:23:28.130 I'm sure there are other things that they can be.

538 00:23:28.130 --> 00:23:30.780 So we need to have a much better understanding of the limits

 $539\ 00:23:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.613$ to adaptation.

 $540\ 00:23:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.463$ One second.

541 00:23:36.730 --> 00:23:39.350 In terms of effectiveness,

 $542\ 00{:}23{:}39{.}350 \dashrightarrow > 00{:}23{:}42{.}640$ we have lots of projections and sort of modeled estimates of

 $543\ 00:23:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.710$ the effectiveness of potential actions,

544 00:23:44.710 --> 00:23:48.750 but far fewer measurements of performance of adaptation

 $545\ 00:23:48.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.490$ in reducing health or climate impacts or risks.

546 00:23:52.490 $\rightarrow 00:23:53.990$ So,

547 00:23:53.990 --> 00:23:56.340 as things become more and more implemented in the world,

548 00:23:56.340 $\rightarrow 00:23:58.883$ we need evaluations of those projects.

549 00:23:59.900 --> 00:24:02.240 Even when we know adaptation has been effective,

 $550\ 00:24:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.460$ it's hard to separate out

 $551\ 00:24:03.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.630$ the effects of personal behavioral change,

 $552\ 00{:}24{:}05{.}630$ --> $00{:}24{:}08{.}600$ changing contextual factors, and specific interventions.

553 00:24:08.600 --> 00:24:10.510 So we need a theory that helps us

 $554\ 00:24:10.510 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.443$ disentangle those patterns.

 $555\ 00:24:14.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.303$ Another challenge,

 $556\ 00:24:15.303 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.490$ and this is a really important one,

 $557\ 00:24:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.660$ from my perspective, is that existing research

558 00:24:19.660 --> 00:24:21.913 doesn't reflect non-patterns of risk.

559 00:24:22.840 --> 00:24:26.320 The figure above is from a preprint of a new review.

560 00:24:26.320 --> 00:24:28.040 They used machine learning approaches

561 00:24:28.040 --> 00:24:31.690 to evaluate about 16,000 climate and health studies.

562 00:24:31.690 --> 00:24:34.180 And if you notice the scale, there is a log scale,

 $563\ 00:24:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.430$ so keep that in mind.

 $564\ 00:24:36.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.030$ Notice where the studies are concentrated.

565 00:24:39.030 --> 00:24:42.550 The second figure below shows the locations of heat wave

566 00:24:42.550 --> 00:24:45.500 and health research over close to half a century.

 $567\ 00:24:45.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.130$ It's even a starker pattern,

 $568\ 00:24:47.130 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.690$ and that's for one specific risk,

569 00:24:48.690 --> 00:24:52.590 but you can do that for any different climate analysis.

570 00:24:52.590 --> 00:24:55.120 In both cases, there's a significant lack of research

571 $00:24:55.120 \rightarrow 00:24:58.130$ in countries and cities that will experience

 $572\ 00:24:58.130 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.000$ serious climate and health impacts.

573 00:25:01.000 --> 00:25:04.000 That includes Latin America, Africa,

574 00:25:04.000 --> 00:25:07.810 the Middle East, Central Asia and Oceania.

575 00:25:07.810 --> 00:25:10.530 And lots of research in the U.S. and Europe,

576 00:25:10.530 --> 00:25:13.280 India and China, but much of the rest of the world

577 00:25:13.280 --> 00:25:14.143 needs a lot more.

 $578\ 00:25:16.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.960$ We still don't have nearly enough evidence

 $579\ 00:25:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.420$ on how cities interact with modify and mediate

 $580\ 00:25:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.260$ climate health relationships.

 $581\ 00:25:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.850$ And because we haven't done the research,

582 00:25:23.850 --> 00:25:26.140 we especially have limited information about

583 00:25:26.140 --> 00:25:28.770 how these interactions are already affecting residents

 $584\ 00:25:28.770 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.620$ of informal settlements, secondary cities,

 $585\ 00:25:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.970$ cities in the Global South,

 $586\ 00:25:33.990 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.540$ or how they'll affect in the future.

587 00:25:36.540 --> 00:25:38.400 We don't have enough evidence on impacts

58800:25:38.400 --> 00:25:41.620 on marginalized groups or intersectional impacts,

 $589\ 00:25:41.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.650$ even in high-income countries.

590 $00{:}25{:}43.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}46.290$ And we don't have enough evidence on impacts

591 00:25:46.290 --> 00:25:49.140 mediated via complex indirect pathways,

 $592\ 00:25:49.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.543$ which I'll talk a little bit more about later.

 $593\ 00:25:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.070$ And of course we've seen the climate change

594 00:25:55.070 --> 00:25:57.950 will push our infrastructure beyond the tolerances

 $595\ 00:25:57.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.730$ that it was designed for.

596 00:25:59.730 --> 00:26:02.900 That was something in several of the examples that I gave.

597 00:26:02.900 --> 00:26:05.840 We need much more information on how our infrastructure

 $598\ 00:26:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.093$ would respond to and what we do to fix it.

599 00:26:10.600 --> 00:26:14.790 So another issue is that we have systematically incomplete

 $600\ 00:26:14.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.513$ information on how to catalyze climate action.

60100:26:18.430 --> 00:26:21.370 And some of you may be familiar with this picture.

 $602\ 00{:}26{:}21.370$ --> $00{:}26{:}24.590$ This picture represents a story from World War II.

 $603\ 00:26:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.780$ Bombers were being regularly shot down

 $604 \ 00:26:26.780 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.570$ when they went out on raids,

 $605\ 00:26:28.570 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.300$ and the U.S. Military was trying to figure out $606\ 00:26:30.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.520$ what to do about it.

 $607\ 00{:}26{:}31.520$ --> $00{:}26{:}34.440$ So when the bombers came back, they systematically mapped

60800:26:34.440 --> 00:26:37.360 the bullet holes in planes returning from combat,

 $609\ 00:26:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.400$ and they proposed to add armor to the parts $610\ 00:26:39.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.600$ that had the most holes.

611 00:26:41.440 --> 00:26:43.880 But a statistician named Abraham Wald,

612 00:26:43.880 --> 00:26:46.690 pointed out the solution was the exact opposite

613 00:26:46.690 --> 00:26:49.220 because these were the planes that had survived.

61400:26:49.220 --> 00:26:52.160 So the military should arm
or the parts with no bullet holes,

 $615\ 00:26:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.300$ because any plane that got hit in those places $616\ 00:26:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.300$ didn't make it back.

010 00.20.01.000 > 00.20.00.000 draft t make it back.

 $617\ 00{:}26{:}56{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59{.}510$ This type of effect has been called survivorship bias,

 $618\ 00:26:59.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.733$ and it's really common.

 $619\ 00:27:01.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.540$ In the context of climate change,

 $620\ 00{:}27{:}03.540$ --> $00{:}27{:}06.360$ we're beginning to have many collections of implemented

621 00:27:06.360 --> 00:27:09.110 mitigation adaptation and co-benefits actions.

 $622\ 00{:}27{:}09{.}110$ --> $00{:}27{:}11.860$ And often these collections try to pull out and identify

 $623\ 00{:}27{:}11.860$ --> $00{:}27{:}14.830$ the salient shared features of success.

62400:27:14.830 --> 00:27:17.150 But we have far less information on interventions

 $625\ 00:27:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.810$ that failed during implementation.

626 00:27:19.810 --> 00:27:22.980 Almost no information at all on actions that were rejected

627 00:27:22.980 --> 00:27:24.563 during ideation or planning.

62800:27:26.110 --> 00:27:28.840 Actions that were proved and never implemented.

62900:27:28.840 --> 00:27:31.590 In this context, survivorship bias can arise

630 00:27:31.590 --> 00:27:35.850 to drawing conclusions only from successful climate action.

 $631\ 00:27:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.600$ So we need to look at the failures.

 $632\ 00{:}27{:}39{.}660$ --> $00{:}27{:}42{.}360$ Another challenge is that research policy and practice

 $633\ 00:27:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.943$ tend to operate in silos.

634 00:27:44.990 --> 00:27:47.360 In other words, people tend to engage, primarily,

 $635\ 00{:}27{:}47.360$ --> $00{:}27{:}50.650$ with the concepts, people, problems and actions

63600:27:50.650 --> 00:27:54.510 that relate to their own specific area of work or interest.

637 00:27:54.510 --> 00:27:57.340 Obviously, this challenges effective communication,

 $638\ 00:27:57.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.100$ the challenges are believed to integrate

639 00:27:59.100 --> 00:28:01.090 research policy and practice,

64000:28:01.090 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:28:03.750 and it challenges the coherence of the actions

641 00:28:03.750 --> 00:28:05.017 that we implement.

642 00:28:06.450 --> 00:28:09.350 One thing that I and many others have observed is that

643 00:28:09.350 --> 00:28:11.530 health has actually, often particularly,

 $644\ 00:28:11.530 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.580$ separated from other sectors.

645 00:28:13.580 --> 00:28:16.880 And may
be this is because of deference to the health sector,

 $646~00{:}28{:}16.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.350$ maybe it has something to do with specialization,

 $647\ 00:28:19.350 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.290$ maybe it's because health is life and death,

 $648\ 00:28:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.260$ and so occupies a sort of a different place.

 $649\ 00:28:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.530$ But the result is that in many cities,

 $650\ 00:28:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.700$ just to give one example,

651 00:28:27.700 --> 00:28:30.590 urban and transport planners have little or no contact

 $652\ 00:28:30.590 \dashrightarrow 00:28:31.700$ with the health department,

65300:28:31.700 --> 00:28:34.100 even though their actions have huge implications

65400:28:34.970 --> 00:28:37.423 for health and well
being, and obviously for climate.

 $655\ 00{:}28{:}39{.}680$ --> $00{:}28{:}43{.}150$ Another challenge is that the pace of the required change

65600:28:43.150 --> 00:28:46.213 of what we have to do is getting faster and faster.

 $657\ 00:28:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.910$ Every year that we delay action,

 $658\ 00:28:48.910 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.420$ the climate challenge becomes greater.

659 00:28:51.420 --> 00:28:52.730 As of 2019,

660 00:28:52.730 --> 00:28:56.360 we would have had to cut emissions by 7.6% each year,

661 00:28:56.360 --> 00:28:57.220 globally,

 $662\ 00:28:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.290$ to meet the goals of the Paris Agreement.

 $663\ 00{:}28{:}59{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}03{.}380$ And just for perspective, in 2020 with COVID-19,

664 00:29:03.380 --> 00:29:06.530 we had just a 6.4% drop in emissions.

 $665\ 00:29:06.530 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.700$ So that starts to give you a sense of the scale

 $666\ 00:29:08.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.363$ of what we need to do every year.

667 00:29:11.660 --> 00:29:14.600 The figure here shows how the pace and trajectory

 $668\ 00:29:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.490$ of the needed emissions reductions changes

 $669\ 00:29:16.490 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.320$ with the year when they begin.

 $670\ 00:29:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.530$ So if they had started in 2000,

671 00:29:20.530 --> 00:29:22.110 it would have been a much shallower

 $672\ 00:29:22.110 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.520$ reduction that we would have had to have.

673 00:29:23.520 --> 00:29:24.570 Now it's much deeper.

674 00:29:26.280 --> 00:29:28.017 Not only do we have to move faster than ever,

 $675\ 00:29:28.017 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.970$ but we have to do more than ever before.

676 00:29:30.970 --> 00:29:33.780 So our goal can't be just to reduce emissions,

 $677\ 00:29:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.080$ but we also have to meet all the other goals

 $678\ 00:29:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.210$ to sustainable development.

 $679\ 00:29:37.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.480$ We have to the end poverty and hunger,

 $680\ 00:29:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.050$ provide education and equality,

 $681\ 00:29:42.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.593$ and all of the other SDGs.

 $682\ 00:29:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.140$ The figure on the left

 $683\ 00:29:51.100 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.120$ just shows how health is intimately linked

 $684\ 00:29:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.580$ with all of those goals.

 $685\ 00:29:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.413$ And on the right,

 $686\ 00:29:55.413 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.410$ we have countries plotted, excuse me,

687 00:29:57.410 --> 00:29:59.440 on the right we have countries plotted with respect to their

 $688\ 00:29:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.580$ ecological footprint per capita on the Y-axis,

689 00:30:02.580 --> 00:30:05.410 and their human development index on the X-axis.

 $690\ 00:30:05.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.070$ So the further to the right on this chart,

 $691\ 00:30:08.070 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.960$ the better your standards of living.

692 00:30:09.960 --> 00:30:12.403 The lower down, the more sustainable you are.

 $693\ 00{:}30{:}13.610$ --> $00{:}30{:}15.450$ The shaded square at the bottom right

 $694 \ 00:30:15.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.420$ defines the space within which countries

695 00:30:17.420 --> 00:30:19.160 have high human development

 $696\ 00:30:19.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.860$ and live within the world's limits.

697 00:30:21.860 --> 00:30:23.640 And you can see that there are very few countries

698 00:30:23.640 --> 00:30:25.950 in that space, and we need to get everyone there

699 00:30:25.950 --> 00:30:26.783 quite quickly.

700 00:30:28.000 --> 00:30:31.500 So one last challenge is that we have lots of commitments,

701 $00:30:31.500 \rightarrow 00:30:33.693$ but actual implementation lags far behind.

70200:30:34.680 --> 00:30:36.970 Here, we see cities and regions that have pledged

703 00:30:36.970 --> 00:30:38.710 a net-zero emissions target,

704 00:30:38.710 --> 00:30:41.220 and we also have the percentage of national populations

 $705\ 00:30:41.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.260$ that are covered by these targets.

706 00:30:43.260 --> 00:30:46.564 As of 2020, 126 countries and 51% of global emissions,

707 00:30:46.564 --> 00:30:49.264 As of 2020, 126 countries and 51% of global emissions,

708 00:30:50.180 --> 00:30:53.210 excuse me, of the global population had net-zero goals,

709 00:30:53.210 --> 00:30:57.230 either formerly adopted, announced, or under consideration.

 $710\ 00{:}30{:}57{.}230$ --> $00{:}30{:}59{.}640$ But pledging and implementing are far different things,

711 00:30:59.640 --> 00:31:01.672 politically and practically.

 $712\ 00:31:01.672 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.000$ So we need to keep an eye on this

713 $00:31:03.000 \rightarrow 00:31:06.343$ and we need mechanisms for accountability.

714 00:31:07.680 --> 00:31:10.540 So I want to shift gears here and talk about

715 00:31:10.540 --> 00:31:12.710 the systemic nature of many urban challenges,

716 $00:31:12.710 \rightarrow 00:31:15.220$ including those related to climate and health,

717 00:31:15.220 --> 00:31:18.650 and why we should think of them as systems problems.

718 00:31:18.650 --> 00:31:22.810 So, first of all, what do I mean by systems problems?

719 00:31:22.810 --> 00:31:26.220 Systems problems arise from the interactions of networks

720 00:31:26.220 --> 00:31:29.080 of interconnected elements or systems.

 $721\ 00:31:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.440$ They tend to have various features,

 $722\ 00{:}31{:}31{.}440$ --> 00:31:33.960 detailed complexity, so they have lots of variables,

 $723\ 00:31:33.960 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.340$ there's lots of things going on.

724 00:31:36.340 --> 00:31:38.120 Dynamic complexity.

 $725\ 00{:}31{:}38{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41{.}100$ Cause and effect can be hard to define in these systems.

 $726\ 00:31:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.550$ The outcomes of interventions aren't obvious.

 $727\ 00:31:44.550$ --> 00:31:46.840 They usually have multiple stakeholders acting on

 $728\ 00{:}31{:}46.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}50.780$ incomplete information, often with conflicting motives.

72900:31:50.780 --> 00:31:54.010 They operate across multiple scales and sectors.

 $730\ 00:31:54.010 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.130$ They're often resistant to change or sometimes

731 00:31:56.130 --> 00:31:59.320 they'll change very suddenly and unexpectedly.

 $732\ 00{:}31{:}59{.}320$ --> $00{:}32{:}01{.}973$ And they're usually related to other problems.

733 00:32:03.750 --> 00:32:07.180 So the defining feature of systems problems is feedback,

 $734\ 00:32:07.180 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.300$ which can be reinforcing or balancing.

735 00:32:10.300 --> 00:32:13.760 Reinforcing feedbacks lead to exponential growth decline,

 $736\ 00:32:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.590$ balancing feedbacks lead to stable values.

737 00:32:16.590 --> 00:32:20.300 So remember the example of desertification before,

738 $00:32:20.300 \rightarrow 00:32:22.830$ where less rain went to less vegetation,

 $739\ 00:32:22.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.140$ went the less rain and so on,

740 $00:32:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.073$ that's a reinforcing feedback loop.

741 00:32:27.190 --> 00:32:29.290 Your thermostat in your house operates

742 $00:32:29.290 \rightarrow 00:32:31.480$ on the principle of balancing feedback.

743 00:32:31.480 $\rightarrow 00:32:33.770$ When the gap between the room temperature

744 00:32:33.770 $\rightarrow 00:32:35.840$ and your thermostat setting gets large,

745 $00{:}32{:}35{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}38{.}460$ it turns on the furnace and the room heats up.

 $746\ 00:32:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.600$ When the gap becomes smaller,

747 00:32:40.600 --> 00:32:43.350 it turns off the furnace so the temperature stays close

748 00:32:43.350 --> 00:32:44.700 to the desired temperature.

749 00:32:46.340 --> 00:32:48.800 So an important observation here is that you can have

 $750\ 00{:}32{:}48.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.120$ a valid causal relationship between A and B,

 $751\ 00:32:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.380$ perfectly valid, but still see all sorts of different $752\ 00:32:54.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.850$ behavior in the real world,

 $753\ 00:32:55.850 \dashrightarrow 00:32:58.790$ depending on other connections in the system.

754 00:32:58.790 --> 00:33:01.360 System behavior can be explained endogenously

755 00:33:01.360 --> 00:33:04.450 in terms of feedbacks, delays, stocks, flows,

 $756\ 00:33:04.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.610$ and parameters within the system.

757 00:33:06.610 --> 00:33:08.630 That means that the way the system behaves 758 00:33:08.630 --> 00:33:11.630 depends on the way the elements of the system are connected.

 $759\ 00:33:13.340 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.880$ Simple system structures,

 $760\ 00:33:14.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.370$ or combinations of feedback loops and delays,

761 00:33:17.370 $\rightarrow 00:33:21.193$ give rise to characteristic patterns of behavior.

762 00:33:22.260 --> 00:33:26.010 Sometimes, we see these called systems archetypes.

763 00:33:26.010 --> 00:33:28.690 So seeing a certain pattern suggests a certain relationship

 $764\ 00:33:28.690 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.340$ between the elements in a system.

 $765\ 00:33:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.540$ So just to give a couple of examples,

 $766\ 00:33:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.450$ the top example here,

767 00:33:35.450 --> 00:33:38.414 you have a balancing feedback loop with a delay

768 $00:33:38.414 \rightarrow 00:33:40.250$ and that gives dampening oscillations.

 $769\ 00:33:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.720$ So if your thermostat is slow to react,

770 $00:33:42.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.070$ you'd see this kind of pattern.

 $771\ 00:33:45.070 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.180$ The second example,

772 00:33:46.180 --> 00:33:49.500 a reinforcing loop tied to a balancing loop

773 $00:33:49.500 \rightarrow 00:33:52.060$ can give you a typical logistic growth curve.

774 00:33:52.060 --> 00:33:52.893 So,

775 00:33:54.043 --> 00:33:55.640 in the second diagram, we have population growth

776 $00:33:55.640 \rightarrow 00:33:57.780$ with an ecological carrying capacity.

777 00:33:57.780 --> 00:34:00.740 At low populations, the reinforcing loop dominates

778 00:34:00.740 --> 00:34:02.370 and growth is exponential,

779 00:34:02.370 --> 00:34:05.420 and at high populations, the balancing loop dominates,

780 $00:34:05.420 \rightarrow 00:34:07.630$ so growth slows until the population equals

 $781\ 00:34:07.630 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.730$ the carrying capacity.

 $782\ 00{:}34{:}09{.}780 \dashrightarrow > 00{:}34{:}12.600$ There are many other well-established systems archetypes,

783 00:34:12.600 --> 00:34:15.040 and of course, these relationships can be expressed

 $784\ 00:34:15.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.713$ mathematically and modeled.

785 00:34:18.770 --> 00:34:22.250 Simple systems structures combined into broader systems

786 00:34:22.250 --> 00:34:23.873 in constant dynamic flux.

787 00:34:25.230 --> 00:34:28.190 And this is where conventional approaches really struggle.

 $788\ 00:34:28.190 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.820$ So when you have health needs and risk factors

789 00:34:30.820 --> 00:34:33.730 and diseases and health resources that are all fluctuating

 $790\ 00:34:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.083$ constantly over time,

791 00:34:36.070 - 00:34:39.483 it's hard to develop valid conclusions.

792 00:34:40.530 --> 00:34:42.100 Earlier, I mentioned silos,

 $793\ 00:34:42.100 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.660$ here's where they really become relevant.

794 $00{:}34{:}44{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}47{.}350$ So when dealing with a system virtually, everyone sees,

 $795\ 00:34:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.390$ tends to see their own part,

796 00:34:49.390 --> 00:34:52.420 the part most related to their own work, or their own ideas,

 $797\ 00:34:52.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.570$ or their own community.

798 00:34:54.460 --> 00:34:57.680 So climate scientists tend to look at climate variables,

799 00:34:57.680 --> 00:34:59.960 city planners look at urban variables,

 $800\ 00:34:59.960 --> 00:35:01.490$ health professionals tend to look at

 $801 \ 00:35:01.490 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.380$ direct health relationships.

 $802 \ 00:35:03.380 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.120$ Now, of course,

 $803\ 00:35:05.120$ --> 00:35:07.750 there's intentional reaching across the boundaries.

80400:35:07.750 --> 00:35:11.040 Health scientists certainly look at the impacts of variables

 $805\ 00:35:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.140$ in other parts of the system,

 $806\ 00:35:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.340$ but it's rare that anyone is able to perceive

 $807\ 00:35:15.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.410$ the whole system and the way things co-vary

 $808\ 00:35:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.053$ and interact at the same time.

80900:35:20.240 --> 00:35:22.680 So an important guideline and systems thinking

 $810\ 00:35:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.750$ is that you can't understand

 $811\ 00:35:23.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.200$ the behavior of that whole system

812 00:35:25.200 --> 00:35:27.713 by understanding the behavior of individual parts.

813 00:35:28.760 --> 00:35:32.070 This is especially true in critical feedback loops,

814 00:35:32.070 --> 00:35:35.880 especially if feedback loops that have delayed action

 $815\ 00:35:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.030$ when they cross silo boundaries.

816 00:35:38.030 --> 00:35:39.330 And under those circumstances,

817 00:35:39.330 --> 00:35:42.240 it's very common for decision-makers to be surprised

 $818\ 00:35:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.970$ by the counter-intuitive outcomes

 $819\ 00:35:43.970 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.003$ or the failure of policies or interventions.

820 00:35:48.550 --> 00:35:49.560 Now,

821 00:35:49.560 --> 00:35:52.430 practitioners of systems analysis and systems thinking

 $822\ 00{:}35{:}52{.}430$ --> $00{:}35{:}55{.}820$ have developed heuristics about when and how to intervene

 $823\ 00:35:55.820 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.510$ in a system to have greatest impact.

 $824\ 00:35:58.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.750$ These are so-called leverage points,

 $825\ 00{:}36{:}00{.}750$ --> $00{:}36{:}02{.}880$ and some of them are more effective than others.

 $826\ 00{:}36{:}02.880 \dashrightarrow > 00{:}36{:}06.200$ So the lowest value leverage points are parameters.

 $827\ 00{:}36{:}06{.}200$ --> $00{:}36{:}09{.}963$ So for example, the rates of flow into or out of stocks.

828 00:36:10.970 --> 00:36:14.630 Higher up on leverage scale are physical system structures

 $829\ 00:36:14.630 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.850$ like buffers and material stocks and flows.

 $830\ 00:36:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.700$ Even higher are control structures.

831 00:36:20.700 $\rightarrow 00:36:22.220$ The structures that control of the working

832 00:36:22.220 --> 00:36:24.110 in the system, feedback loops,

 $833\ 00:36:24.110 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.023$ information flows and rules.

834 00:36:27.070 --> 00:36:29.160 The highest leverage points are those that allow

 $835\ 00:36:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.400$ the system structure or the goals to change,

836 00:36:32.400 --> 00:36:34.843 so if you can add feedback loops or remove them.

 $837\ 00{:}36{:}35{.}690$ --> $00{:}36{:}38{.}440$ And if you look at the very peak are interventions to change

 $838\ 00:36:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.370$ the paradigm out of which systems arise.

839 00:36:41.370 --> 00:36:43.180 In a real sense, that's what we're trying to do

840 00:36:43.180 --> 00:36:44.767 in the context of climate change

841 00:36:44.767 --> 00:36:46.520 and sustainable development.

842 00:36:46.520 --> 00:36:50.070 We want to shift our shared understanding of the goal

843 00:36:50.070 --> 00:36:53.307 of the human system, of humanity's place in the world.

 $844\ 00:36:56.210 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.283$ In the meantime,

 $845\ 00:36:58.120 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.350$ systems thinkers tell us

 $846\ 00:37:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.020$ that most of what we do to solve problems

 $847\ 00:37:03.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.620$ of the options that we look at,

848 00:37:05.620 --> 00:37:08.580 tend to rely on low value leverage points,

 $849\ 00:37:08.580 \dashrightarrow 00:37:10.980$ and that we often, after we've identified them,

 $850\ 00:37:10.980 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.040$ push them in the wrong direction.

851 00:37:13.040 --> 00:37:15.320 So the systems approaches offer an opportunity

 $852\ 00:37:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.593$ to identify higher quality actions.

 $853~00{:}37{:}19.820$ --> $00{:}37{:}22.930$ Many urban climate and health challenges have features

 $854\ 00{:}37{:}22.930$ --> $00{:}37{:}26.340$ or show behaviors that we associate with systems problems.

 $855\ 00{:}37{:}26{.}340$ --> $00{:}37{:}30{.}070$ There are processes that we see replicated again and again

 $856\ 00:37:30.070 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.090$ in cities around the world.

857 00:37:32.090 --> 00:37:35.120 Urban sprawl, traffic congestion, gentrification,

 $858\ 00:37:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.360$ slum formation, air pollution,

859 00:37:37.360 --> 00:37:39.380 patterns of consumption growth.

 $860\ 00:37:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.520$ All of these are processes that resist change,

 $861\ 00:37:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.410$ that involve multiple stakeholders, and so on.

 $862\ 00{:}37{:}46{.}410$ --> $00{:}37{:}49{.}840$ We also see persistent why they replicated social patterns

 $863\ 00:37:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.606$ like prejudice and denialism.

 $864\ 00:37:52.606 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.710$ And this should be no surprise.

 $865\ 00:37:54.710 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.610$ Cities are the most complex systems

 $866\ 00:37:56.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.410$ that human beings have ever created.

 $867\ 00:37:59.290 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.640$ And all of this suggests that we need

 $868\ 00:38:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.560$ a systems-based research agenda to address

 $869\ 00:38:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.583$ these and other climate and health issues.

 $870\ 00:38:07.830$ --> 00:38:09.940 Now, what I mean by a systems-based research agenda

871 00:38:09.940 --> 00:38:12.330 is not a replacement of traditional epidemiological

 $872\ 00:38:12.330 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.790$ or public health approaches.

873 00:38:13.790 --> 00:38:16.283 I think those are absolutely critical.

874 00:38:17.200 --> 00:38:19.570 And we have to make sure that we don't disrupt

875 00:38:19.570 --> 00:38:21.120 traditional science.

876 00:38:21.120 --> 00:38:23.350 What I rather mean is a program of work

 $877\ 00:38:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.670$ that complements traditional methods,

 $878\ 00:38:25.670 \rightarrow 00:38:28.120$ that frames them within a systems context,

 $879\ 00{:}38{:}28{.}120$ --> $00{:}38{:}31{.}820$ and that draws on them to map complex problems,

 $880\ 00:38:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.950$ and identify solutions.

881 00:38:33.950 --> 00:38:35.840 A systems agenda would include components

 $882\ 00:38:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.400$ that apply methods to understand complexity

 $883\ 00:38:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.080$ and that engage broadly across disciplines,

 $884\ 00:38:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.633$ and especially beyond science.

 $885\ 00:38:43.970 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.420$ Now, this could be more or less expensive,

886 00:38:46.420 --> 00:38:47.890 but I've mapped out some of the components

 $887\ 00:38:47.890 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.180$ that I think are necessary.

 $888\ 00:38:50.180 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.630$ And these include conceptual mapping,

889 00:38:52.630 --> 00:38:54.610 systems-based case studies,

 $890\ 00:38:54.610 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.190$ simulation modeling,

891 00:38:56.190 --> 00:38:59.240 systemic analysis of governance planning and policy,

 $892\ 00:38:59.240$ --> 00:39:00.890 and transdisciplinary research.

89300:39:00.890 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:39:03.240 And I'll talk about each of these just briefly.

894 00:39:05.580 --> 00:39:07.230 At the most basic level,

895 00:39:07.230 --> 00:39:10.030 concepts mapping can help organize information.

896 $00{:}39{:}10.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}12.130$ I know this doesn't look very organized to you,

 $897\ 00:39:12.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.900$ but it actually helps a lot.

89800:39:14.900 --> 00:39:17.663 It allows for exploration and hypothesis generation.

899 00:39:18.580 --> 00:39:21.500 This particular diagram is a causal process diagram

 $900\ 00{:}39{:}21.500$ --> $00{:}39{:}24.870$ for droughts and mental health from a systematic review.

901 00:39:24.870 --> 00:39:26.910 Now, the numbers that you see in brackets

902 00:39:26.910 --> 00:39:30.360 are the number of papers meeting the search criteria.

903 00:39:30.360 --> 00:39:32.080 So, you can see that this gives a sense of the state

904 00:39:32.080 --> 00:39:33.750 of knowledge across the system,

905 00:39:33.750 --> 00:39:36.830 and suggests where more research may be needed.

906 00:39:36.830 --> 00:39:40.600 And then there's the area of the shaded in green here,

 $907\ 00:39{:}40.600 \dashrightarrow > 00:39{:}43.320$ gives a sense of how this whole system diagram can be used

 $908\ 00:39:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.010$ to identify subsystems of interest.

909 00:39:46.010 --> 00:39:48.810 In this case, between drought, agricultural productivity,

910 $00:39:48.810 \dashrightarrow 00:39:51.720$ workloads and the health of the economy.

911 00:39:51.720 --> 00:39:54.920 Conceptual diagramming of this sort can also help identify

912 00:39:54.920 --> 00:39:58.513 potential co-benefits or co-risks between climate actions.

913 00:40:00.730 --> 00:40:02.720 On a more applied level,

914 00:40:02.720 --> 00:40:05.610 place-based, systems-based case studies can help,

 $915\ 00:40:05.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.650$ can also help with hypothesis generation

 $916\ 00:40:07.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.600$ and problem diagnosis.

917 00:40:09.600 --> 00:40:11.930 They can also play an important role in communication

918 00:40:11.930 --> 00:40:15.630 and advocacy because they provide a common language

 $919\ 00:40:15.630 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.260$ that cuts across silos,

 $920\ 00{:}40{:}17.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}20.083$ the language of feedback and stocks and flows.

921 00:40:21.240 --> 00:40:23.960 This is a case study series from a research project

922 00:40:23.960 --> 00:40:27.220 that I led a few years ago at UNU, it was called,

923 00:40:27.220 --> 00:40:29.600 Systems Thinking in Place-Based Methods for Healthier

924 00:40:29.600 --> 00:40:32.340 Malaysian Cities, SCHEMA for short.

925 00:40:32.340 --> 00:40:33.890 Don't ask me about the acronym.

926 00:40:37.267 --> 00:40:38.250 The case studies were produced in

927 00:40:38.250 --> 00:40:42.080 iterative cycles of engagement between a systems thinker,

928 00:40:42.080 --> 00:40:44.010 who provided technical knowledge and encourage

929 00:40:44.010 --> 00:40:46.110 thinking about dynamic processes,

930 $00{:}40{:}46.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}48.090$ and a set of urban stakeholders who supplied

 $931\ 00:40:48.090 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.430$ local relevant knowledge,

932 00:40:50.430 --> 00:40:52.790 and evaluated the options, the structural options

933 00:40:52.790 --> 00:40:55.040 that were given to them by the system figure.

934 00:40:56.360 --> 00:40:57.193 In the end,

935 00:40:57.193 --> 00:40:59.350 the local stakeholders made all the decisions

936 00:40:59.350 --> 00:41:00.623 about the final model.

937 00:41:01.510 --> 00:41:03.870 This particular model explores how to assure safe food

938 00:41:03.870 --> 00:41:07.270 in school cafeterias, but the series covered a wide range

 $939\ 00:41:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.530$ of sustainability and health issues.

940 00:41:09.530 --> 00:41:11.250 There's lots of different methodologies

941 00:41:11.250 --> 00:41:12.460 for producing this kind of study

 $942\ 00:41:12.460 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.010$ and it could be done quite easily,

 $943\ 00:41:14.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.080$ so I think it's actually also a really useful tool

 $944\ 00:41:16.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.490$ for education and systems they need.

945 00:41:19.490 --> 00:41:21.450 On an even more applied level, of course,

946 $00:41:21.450 \rightarrow 00:41:24.080$ you have simulation models.

947 00:41:24.080 $\rightarrow 00:41:25.270$ Treat these with caution,

948 00:41:25.270 --> 00:41:27.420 absolute prediction is difficult,

949 00:41:27.420 --> 00:41:30.600 but they can provide useful insights to the system behavior,

 $950\ 00:41:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.230$ the probable outcomes of different scenarios,

951 00:41:33.230 --> 00:41:36.030 and potential unintended consequences.

952 00:41:36.030 --> 00:41:38.130 Simulation models can also be used to design

 $953\ 00:41:38.130 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.670$ and assess interventions,

954 00:41:39.670 --> 00:41:41.610 which is especially important for interventions

 $955\ 00:41:41.610 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.050$ with long time horizons.

956 00:41:44.050 --> 00:41:47.030 This particular model is of climate population

 $957\ 00:41:47.030 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.550$ and water supply.

 $958\ 00:41:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.383$ The agents here,

 $959\ 00:41:49.383 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.730$ which include households,

 $960\ 00:41:50.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.760$ and the water utility manager,

961 00:41:52.760 --> 00:41:54.720 make decisions based on their own attributes

 $962\ 00:41:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.320$ and rules for behavior,

963 00:41:56.320 --> 00:41:58.920 but also based on the current state of water system.

964 00:41:59.990 --> 00:42:01.730 Agent-based models are especially useful

965 00:42:01.730 --> 00:42:05.070 for looking at issues with distributional impacts,

966 00:42:05.070 --> 00:42:08.043 but there are many other classes of simulation model.

967 00:42:10.720 --> 00:42:13.670 Analysis of urban governance policy and planning

968 00:42:13.670 - 00:42:15.120 is another really crucial element

969 00:42:15.120 $\rightarrow 00:42:17.570$ of the system-based agenda,

 $970\ 00:42:17.570 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.310$ just because these are the information

971 00:42:19.310 \rightarrow 00:42:21.620 and control structures for urban systems.

972 00:42:21.620 \rightarrow 00:42:24.393 So these are potentially high leverage points.

973 00:42:25.440 --> 00:42:27.620 This particular chart,

974 00:42:27.620 --> 00:42:30.010 maps different modes of urban climate governance

975 00:42:30.010 --> 00:42:31.653 against mitigation sectors.

976 00:42:32.673 --> 00:42:34.680 So for example, for transport,

977 00:42:34.680 --> 00:42:38.300 it distinguishes self-governing like procuring

978 00:42:38.300 --> 00:42:41.630 energy-efficient vehicles for the government fleet,

979 00:42:41.630 --> 00:42:46.040 governing through enabling like educational campaigns,

980 00:42:46.040 --> 00:42:47.770 governing by provisions, such as

 $981\ 00:42:47.770 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.720$ the provision of public transport,

 $982\ 00{:}42{:}49.720$ --> $00{:}42{:}54.003$ and governing by regulation such as road user charges.

983 00:42:55.520 --> 00:42:57.510 I don't want to go through this in detail,

 $984\ 00:42:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.340$ but just to make the point that understanding $985\ 00:42:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.270$ how each of these modes functions and prac-

tice,

986 00:43:02.270 --> 00:43:05.570 and how they themselves are connected in feedback systems

987 00:43:06.430 --> 00:43:07.980 and hierarchies.

988 00:43:07.980 --> 00:43:11.160 It again offers opportunities for problem diagnosis,

989 00:43:11.160 $\rightarrow 00:43:13.690$ hypothesis generation, and advocacy.

990 00:43:13.690 --> 00:43:15.780 One of the things that this kind of mapping does

991 00:43:15.780 --> 00:43:18.840 is it allows for documentation of the early stages

992 00:43:18.840 --> 00:43:22.110 of policy and planning to reduce the survivorship bias

993 00:43:22.110 --> 00:43:23.510 that I talked about earlier.

994 00:43:25.070 --> 00:43:26.093 And finally,

995 00:43:29.239 --> 00:43:32.010 transdisciplinary research is increasingly recognized

996 00:43:32.010 --> 00:43:35.060 as an important modality for resolving complex

 $997\ 00:43:35.060 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.730$ societal challenges.

998 00:43:36.730 --> 00:43:40.600 This is an OECD report that I helped coordinate in 2020,

 $999\ 00:43:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.980$ because recommendations for universities,

 $1000\ 00:43:42.980 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.550$ research funders, researchers,

 $1001 \ 00:43:44.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.530$ and international organizations,

 $1002 \ 00:43:46.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.170$ are looking to foster this kind of work.

1003 00:43:49.170 --> 00:43:50.410 Transdisciplinary research,

 $1004\ 00{:}43{:}50{.}410$ --> $00{:}43{:}53{.}640$ which is across the boundary between science and society.

 $1005 \ 00:43:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.870$ That's the defining characteristic.

 $1006\ 00{:}43{:}55.870$ --> $00{:}43{:}58.860$ It involves non-stained stakeholders and codesign,

 $1007\ 00:43:58.860 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.900$ blending knowledge and creating new theory

 $1008 \ 00:44:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.313$ in search of common goals.

1009 00:44:03.250 --> 00:44:06.060 It generally involves cycles of conceptualization,

 $1010 \ 00:44:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.250$ implementation and evaluation.

1011 00:44:08.250 --> 00:44:09.700 It takes longer.

 $1012 \ 00:44:09.700 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.010$ It's usually more difficult.

1013 00:44:11.010 --> 00:44:14.020 It's almost always more messy than traditional research,

1014 00:44:14.020 --> 00:44:17.900 but well-designed and this is where research can generate

1015 00:44:17.900 --> 00:44:20.240 scientific break
throughs and local solutions

 $1016\ 00:44:20.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.610$ at the same time.

1017 00:44:21.610 --> 00:44:23.820 And that's something that's really important at this moment

1018 00:44:23.820 --> 00:44:26.403 when we have to act at the same time as we learn.

1019 00:44:27.500 --> 00:44:30.853 And just to give an example of transdisciplinary research,

1020 00:44:31.890 --> 00:44:33.050 through my program at Wellcome,

 $1021\ 00:44:33.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.800$ we fund a research partnership called RISE,

 $1022\ 00{:}44{:}35.800$ --> $00{:}44{:}38.500$ Revitalizing Informal Settlements and their Environments.

1023 00:44:38.500 --> 00:44:41.060 And this is a randomized control trial,

1024 00:44:41.060 --> 00:44:43.670 The complex, nature-based water and sanitation

1025 00:44:43.670 --> 00:44:46.415 and intervention in informal settlements

 $1026 \ 00:44:46.415 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.520$ in Indonesia and Fiji.

 $1027\ 00{:}44{:}48.520$ --> $00{:}44{:}50.770$ The intervention itself has core features.

1028 00:44:50.770 --> 00:44:53.730 It's based on a nature-based approach to sanitation,

1029 00:44:53.730 --> 00:44:55.720 but ultimately an intervention is tailored

 $1030\ 00:44:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.190$ to each community.

1031 00:44:57.190 --> 00:44:59.940 Community stakeholders make the final design decisions.

 $1032\ 00{:}45{:}00{.}870$ --> $00{:}45{:}02{.}597$ RISE measures a wide range of health

 $1033 \ 00:45:02.597 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.223$ and environmental outcomes,

 $1034\ 00:45:05.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.570$ and scientifically, its generated knowledge,

 $1035 \ 00:45:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.210$ not only about the intervention,

 $1036 \ 00:45:09.210 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.500$ but about community engagement,

1037 00:45:10.500 --> 00:45:13.100 and the capacity involving the informal settlements.

 $1038\ 00:45:14.810 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.260$ So for the study communities,

1039 00:45:16.260 --> 00:45:19.090 it's generated livelihoods, ownership and agency

1040 $00:45:19.090 \rightarrow 00:45:21.780$ beyond the benefits of the intervention.

1041 00:45:21.780 --> 00:45:24.490 And I think that we need much more of this type of research

 $1042\ 00:45:24.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.650$ to tackle the challenges of climate and health $1043\ 00:45:26.650 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.763$ in cities and beyond.

1044 00:45:28.870 --> 00:45:33.400 So that brings me to the end of my presentation.

1045 00:45:33.400 --> 00:45:35.500 I think that we're at a time when we need to harness

1046 00:45:35.500 --> 00:45:37.580 the brilliance and the exuberance of cities

 $1047 \ 00:45:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.130$ to meet the needs of people in the planet.

1048 00:45:40.130 --> 00:45:42.170 And I think that systems thinking is critical

 $1049 \ 00:45:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.430$ to that effort.

 $1050\ 00:45:43.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.973$ So thank you for listening.

 $1051 \ 00:45:46.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.623$ I'm glad to take any questions.

 $1052\ 00:45:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.980 < v \longrightarrow Well$, thank you, Jose. </v>

1053 00:45:52.980 --> 00:45:56.023 I could start with a question to get things started.

1054 00:45:58.100 --> 00:46:03.100 So you gave a number of examples of conceptual diagrams

 $1055\ 00:46:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.000$ of systems models that, you know, were hard,

 $1056\ 00:46:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.280$ obviously hard to digest deeply, you know,

 $1057\ 00{:}46{:}12.280$ --> $00{:}46{:}15.763$ given the timeframe, which, but my question is,

 $1058\ 00{:}46{:}16.660$ --> $00{:}46{:}21.380$ do you see a role for more quantitative systems models

 $1059\ 00:46:21.380 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.803$ in doing this kind of work?

1060 00:46:24.300 --> 00:46:26.240 <v ->Yeah, I absolutely do.</v>

1061 00:46:26.240 --> 00:46:28.990 And I, when I was talking about simulation modeling,

1062 00:46:28.990 --> 00:46:31.940 I was more talking about quantitative models.

 $1063 \ 00:46:31.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.680$ I think that you do get into difficulties

 $1064\ 00{:}46{:}34.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37.620$ when you try and get into that exact prediction.

1065 00:46:37.620 --> 00:46:40.130 We've seen, for example, with COVID-19,

1066 00:46:40.130 --> 00:46:42.560 how difficult it is to predict the exact behavior

 $1067 \ 00:46:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.520$ of a system,

1068 00:46:43.520 \rightarrow 00:46:46.020 but we are actually quite good at predicting

1069 00:46:46.020 --> 00:46:47.540 the general shape.

 $1070\ 00{:}46{:}47.540$ --> $00{:}46{:}50.450$ So we may not be able to say that the people come now,

 $1071\ 00:46:50.450 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.000$ but we can say that there will be a peak.

 $1072\ 00{:}46{:}53.000$ --> $00{:}46{:}57.500$ So certainly, all sorts of climate and health questions

1073 00:46:57.500 --> 00:47:00.180 in cities and beyond are amenable

 $1074\ 00:47:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.230$ to that kind of quantitative model, yeah.

 $1075 \ 00:47:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.570$ Rafael?

1076 00:47:05.570 --> 00:47:06.403 <v ->Yes.</v>

1077 00:47:06.403 --> 00:47:10.270 Thank you for a very insightful presentation, Jose.

1078 00:47:10.270 --> 00:47:13.376 And my question is about, first of all,

 $1079 \ 00:47:13.376 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.090$ And my question is about, first of all,

1080 00:47:17.090 --> 00:47:19.750 realizing through your presentation

1081 00:47:19.750 --> 00:47:23.280 and the work that some of us are doing with food systems,

1082 00:47:23.280 --> 00:47:27.910 how it is important to not fear complexity,

 $1083\ 00:47:27.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.840$ that at the end of the day,

 $1084 \ 00:47:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.970$ we have to deal with it

 $1085\ 00:47:32.970 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.750$ the way it is in reality on the one hand,

1086 $00{:}47{:}35{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}38{.}980$ and we can come up with very wonderful

 $1087 \ 00:47:38.980 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.540$ spaghetti-like diagrams,

 $1088 \ 00:47:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.060$ like some of the ones you showed us to

 $1089\ 00:47:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.330$ all the innumerable factors and subsystems

 $1090\ 00:47:47.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.763$ that are interconnected,

 $1091 \ 00:47:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.240$ explaining the problem that we have.

 $1092\ 00{:}47{:}52.240$ --> $00{:}47{:}56.940$ But then, I think for decision making, for policy making,

 $1093 \ 00:47:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.393$ at the end of the day,

 $1094\ 00:47:59.564 \rightarrow 00:48:03.370$ the secret sauce is in actually breaking down

 $1095\ 00:48:03.370 - 00:48:06.100$ those systems into subsystems,

1096 00:48:06.100 --> 00:48:09.070 that we can really understand in a reasonable way,

1097 00:48:09.070 --> 00:48:12.380 that we can really understand in a reasonable way,

1098 00:48:12.380 --> 00:48:16.810 and that we can actually come up with very specific policies

 $1099 \ 00:48:16.810 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.170$ or interventions to address them

1100 00:48:19.170 --> 00:48:21.850 versus trying to do everything at the same time.

 $1101\ 00:48:21.850 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.683$ So,

 $1102\ 00{:}48{:}23.560$ --> $00{:}48{:}24.592$ what is your take with regards to not fearing complexity,

1103 00:48:24.592 --> 00:48:28.960 what is your take with regards to not fearing complexity,

1104 00:48:28.960 --> 00:48:33.960 but at the same time embracing simplicity to try to address

 $1105\ 00:48:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.403$ the humongous problems that we face?

1106 00:48:37.820 --> 00:48:38.840 <v ->Yeah.</v>

1107 00:48:38.840 --> 00:48:40.160 So first of all,

1108 00:48:40.160 --> 00:48:43.250 I think that you're right about spaghetti diagrams.

 $1109\ 00:48:43.250 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.220$ There's a diagram,

1110 00:48:44.220 --> 00:48:46.717 a famous diagram on obesity

1111 00:48:46.717 --> 00:48:48.460 and the factors that lead to obesity,

 $1112\ 00:48:48.460 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.330$ and called, I think the framework shift model,

1113 00:48:51.330 --> 00:48:55.180 which is so crazy that I decided I didn't want to show it.

1114 00:48:55.180 --> 00:48:59.140 And those sorts of diagrams actually,

 $1115\ 00:48:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.040$ I think can lead to paralysis.

 $1116\ 00:49:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.020$ So they're not useful in that sense,

 $1117\ 00:49:03.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.960$ but they are useful in constructing them

1118 00:49:05.960 --> 00:49:08.490 to think about the subsystems and to learn about the things

 $1119\ 00:49:08.490 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.940$ that were not, you know,

1120 00:49:09.940 --> 00:49:12.180 you didn't consider it to be related and actually are.

1121 00:49:12.180 --> 00:49:13.270 But I agree with you,

1122 00:49:13.270 --> 00:49:16.170 that pulling out the subsystems is really where you get more

1123 00:49:16.170 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:49:18.850 interesting and applicable results.

1124 $00{:}49{:}18.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20.710$ I did some work with a colleague at ANU

1125 00:49:20.710 --> 00:49:24.040 that made the argument that low order systems models.

 $1126\ 00:49:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.350$ So five variables or less are really useful

1127 00:49:27.350 --> 00:49:29.580 for influencing policy makers if you can get them

 $1128\ 00:49:29.580 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.930$ to engage with the process.

1129 00:49:32.050 --> 00:49:34.920 I have another talk.

1130 00:49:34.920 --> 00:49:38.530 I remember where actually, I was at a meeting

 $1131\ 00:49:38.530 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.150$ and there was a policy maker speaking.

1132 00:49:40.150 --> 00:49:41.860 She had been, I think deputy director

 $1133\ 00:49:41.860 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.420$ of the city of Lens in France,

 $1134\ 00:49:44.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.170$ and someone asked her, you know,

 $1135\ 00:49:46.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.970$ how do you get policy makers to engage with

 $1136\ 00:49:47.970 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.170$ systems thinking?

 $1137\ 00:49:49.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.430$ And she said, don't ever use the word system.

1138 00:49:51.430 --> 00:49:54.970 Once you've used the word system, they've checked out.

1139 00:49:54.970 --> 00:49:58.900 So you do have to find ways to express these things in ways

1140 00:49:58.900 --> 00:50:00.850 that are more palatable and more understandable

1141 00:50:00.850 --> 00:50:02.870 for the audience.

1142 00:50:02.870 --> 00:50:04.190 But I think that that's part of the challenge.

1143 00:50:04.190 --> 00:50:06.410 And I don't think it's, I don't think,

1144 00:50:06.410 --> 00:50:07.890 I mean, I don't think it's daunting.

1145 00:50:07.890 --> 00:50:10.950 I think it's actually really exciting that there's this

1146 00:50:10.950 --> 00:50:14.140 whole area of space that maybe we haven't spent enough time

 $1147\ 00:50:14.140 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.560$ thinking about, but that we can,

1148 00:50:16.560 --> 00:50:18.970 especially sort of in mapping the government structures

 $1149\ 00:50:18.970 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.280$ and the barriers that come about

1150 $00:50:20.280 \rightarrow 00:50:22.130$ through the structure of governments.

1151 00:50:23.970 --> 00:50:24.803 <v ->Thank you.</v>

 $1152\ 00:50:36.465 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.850 < v \longrightarrow Are there any other questions? </v>$

 $1153\ 00:50:37.850 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.293$ Feel free to speak up.

1154 00:50:40.210 --> 00:50:42.413 While you're thinking, I'll ask another question.

1155 00:50:44.060 --> 00:50:44.893 So,

1156 00:50:45.950 --> 00:50:47.810 I guess the question is,

 $1157\ 00:50:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.570$ what are the limitations of cities'

1158 00:50:52.570 --> 00:50:57.460 city-level policy in a context where you don't have a

1159 00:50:57.460 --> 00:51:01.520 coherent, you know, good national policy around

 $1160\ 00:51:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.720$ climate change or climate change and health?

1161 00:51:06.600 --> 00:51:07.540 <v ->That's a good question.</v>

1162 00:51:07.540 --> 00:51:09.560 I think that, well, for one thing,

1163 00:51:09.560 --> 00:51:11.143 it depends on the context.

1164 00:51:12.160 --> 00:51:14.620 There's some places where there will be more importance

1165 00:51:14.620 --> 00:51:18.210 to have coherence or not depending on the climatic factors,

 $1166 \ 00:51:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.610$ depending on social factors.

1167 00:51:20.660 --> 00:51:24.430 I think that in general, cities are a good unit to use

 $1168\ 00:51:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.890$ because they can, you know,

 $1169\ 00:51:27.890 \rightarrow 00:51:30.080$ they can take action at a large enough scale

 $1170\ 00:51:30.080 \rightarrow 00:51:33.210$ to affect people, and they're close to people.

1171 00:51:33.210 --> 00:51:35.530 National policy makers often have less understanding

 $1172 \ 00:51:35.530 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.700$ of the issues than city policy makers.

1173 00:51:37.700 --> 00:51:40.110 I think where you really do have to have coherence between

1174 00:51:40.110 --> 00:51:44.150 cities and countries is in finance,

1175 00:51:44.150 --> 00:51:46.600 because cities don't have the finance to be able to take

 $1176\ 00:51:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.008$ the kinds of actions that they need to take.

1177 00:51:49.008 --> 00:51:49.841 So for example,

1178 00:51:49.841 --> 00:51:52.500 there's a group called the Coalition for Urban Transitions,

1179 00:51:53.980 --> 00:51:56.490 which I believe is funded by Bloomberg.

1180 00:51:56.490 --> 00:51:59.540 It's sort of a partner to the C40 Cities program,

1181 00:51:59.540 --> 00:52:02.580 which advocates and provides evidence for governments

 $1182\ 00:52:02.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.083$ to fund climate action through cities.

1183 00:52:06.410 --> 00:52:07.460 But,

1184 00:52:07.460 --> 00:52:11.090 yeah, so in general, I think the cities are a good unit.

1185 00:52:11.090 --> 00:52:12.940 Obviously, it's much better if you can coordinate

 $1186\ 00:52:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.030$ city action with national action.

1187 00:52:15.030 --> 00:52:17.410 And that's something that we don't see enough of.

1188 00:52:17.410 --> 00:52:19.410 In the United States, you have huge disparities

1189 00:52:19.410 --> 00:52:22.300 between city climate action and national climate action,

1190 $00:52:22.300 \rightarrow 00:52:24.523$ but hopefully that's changing for the better.

1191 00:52:30.790 --> 00:52:34.890 So I see a question here. Why don't I read it out?

1192 00:52:34.890 --> 00:52:35.723 Based on your research,

1193 00:52:35.723 --> 00:52:38.870 do you see a potential role for environmental lawyers

 $1194\ 00:52:38.870 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.150$ in overcoming the silos you mentioned

1195 00:52:41.150 --> 00:52:43.420 and perhaps addressing the need for accountability

1196 00:52:43.420 --> 00:52:47.000 and meeting commitments pledged by national governments?

1197 00:52:47.000 --> 00:52:49.970 So, first of all, I see a role for everybody.

1198 00:52:49.970 --> 00:52:51.960 You know, we're having the silos that I mentioned,

1199 00:52:51.960 --> 00:52:55.903 so lawyers, architects, urban planners, engineers,

 $1200\;00{:}52{:}57{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}01{.}390$ public policy makers, civil society, every body has a role.

 $1201\ 00{:}53{:}01{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}04{.}220$ But specific to the role of law, that's actually,

 $1202\ 00:53:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.710$ that's something that we're thinking about

1203 00:53:05.710 --> 00:53:07.570 at Wellcome, right now,

 $1204\ 00:53:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.220$ because we're designing a whole new strategy

 $1205 \ 00:53:10.220 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.690$ that includes climate and health

1206 00:53:11.690 --> 00:53:14.387 as one of the three fundamental areas that we'll fund

1207 00:53:14.387 --> 00:53:17.160 for the next several decades.

1208 00:53:17.160 --> 00:53:18.980 And one of the elements in there,

 $1209\ 00:53:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.540$ is how do we design funding for,

 $1210\ 00:53:21.540 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.900$ to produce the kind of research that lawyers

1211 $00:53:23.900 \rightarrow 00:53:27.130$ will be able to use in holding governments

 $1212\ 00:53:27.130 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.630$ and other players accountable.

1213 00:53:29.490 --> 00:53:32.290 So you'll have seen, or you may have seen that recently

 $1214\ 00:53:32.290 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.210$ there was a judgment in Holland against

 $1215 \ 00:53:35.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.050$ the Shell oil company that said,

1216 00:53:38.050 --> 00:53:41.315 that basically, it was liable for not having a policy

1217 00:53:41.315 --> 00:53:43.530 that basically, it was liable for not having a policy

 $1218\ 00:53:43.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.650$ that did enough to curb emissions.

1219 00:53:46.650 --> 00:53:49.530 And we hope that we're gonna see many, many more judgments

1220 00:53:49.530 --> 00:53:50.363 like that.

1221 00:53:50.363 --> 00:53:52.120 Not just against the oil companies,

1222 00:53:52.120 $\rightarrow 00:53:54.900$ but really against all sorts of players

1223 00:53:54.900 --> 00:53:57.050 that are not taking appropriate climate action.

 $1224~00{:}53{:}57{.}050$ --> $00{:}54{:}00{.}450$ So I think the role of lawyers is actually quite critical

1225 00:54:00.450 --> 00:54:01.283 in all of this.

1226 00:54:12.720 --> 00:54:14.580 <v -> Okay. One last chance.</v>

 $1227 \ 00:54:14.580 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.673$ Any other comments?

 $1228 \ 00:54:16.800 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.530$ Feel free to either put it in the chat

 $1229\ 00:54:18.530 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.883$ or just speak right up.

1230 00:54:23.690 --> 00:54:25.320 Okay, well,

1231 00:54:25.320 --> 00:54:30.120 thank you very much, Jose, for a wonderful seminar,

1232 00:54:30.120 --> 00:54:32.100 it was very comprehensive and...

1233 00:54:35.070 --> 00:54:36.500 <v ->Thanks, Robert. It's great to be here.</v>

1234 00:54:36.500 --> 00:54:38.050 And if anyone has any questions,

 $1235 \ 00:54:38.050 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.483$ I'm very glad to answer it so,

1236 00:54:42.271 --> 00:54:44.210 you know, just write me.

1237 00:54:44.210 --> 00:54:45.043 <
v ->Okay.</v>1238 00:54:45.043 --> 00:54:46.153 So, by
e everyone.