WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:14:03.6480000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.680$ So catch the introduction so hi

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00:00:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.320$ everybody, my name is

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00:00:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.370$ John Crystal and chair of

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00{:}00{:}07.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}09.002$ the Department of Psychiatry

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00:00:09.002 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.634$ and I'm very pleased

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00:00:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.910$ to welcome you to the inaugural T Byram

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00:00:13.910 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.542$ Karasu Academic Leadership Lecture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00{:}00{:}15.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.410$ which will be an annual lecture that

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00:00:18.410 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.448$ has been established through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00:00:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.320$ generosity and support of one of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00:00:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.951$ department's most distinguished alumni.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

00:00:24.951 --> 00:00:27.151 Doctor T Byram. Karasu,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00:00:27.151 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.136$ who is distinguished professor emeritus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00:00:30.140 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.763$ Who is excuse me?

 $00:00:31.763 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.976$ Distinguished professor emeritus

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00{:}00{:}32.976 \longrightarrow 00{:}00{:}34.195$ Dorothean Marty Silverman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00:00:34.195 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.815$ professor emeritus and university

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00:00:35.815 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.243$ chairman emeritus at the Department of

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00{:}00{:}38.243 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40.268$ Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences at

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

00:00:40.268 --> 00:00:42.698 the Albert Einstein College of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827323

 $00:00:42.698 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.940$ a post he held for 23 years. The

NOTE Confidence: 0.8391735

00:00:45.940 --> 00:00:47.960 Karasu lecture will identify leading

NOTE Confidence: 0.8391735

00:00:47.960 --> 00:00:50.390 speakers from around the world who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8391735

 $00{:}00{:}50.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52.010$ exemplify a cademic leadership or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8391735

00:00:52.010 --> 00:00:55.346 who address critical processes of leadership.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8391735

 $00:00:55.350 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.698$ I'd like to say a few words about our friend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8391735

 $00{:}00{:}59.700 --> 00{:}01{:}01.445$ An alumnus Doctor Karasu.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8391735

 $00:01:01.445 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.620$ After graduating from the psychiatry

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100005

00:01:03.620 --> 00:01:06.230 residency in 1969, Doctor Karasu emerged

 $00:01:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.400$ as a leading expert on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100005

 $00:01:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.140$ the practice of psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100005

 $00{:}01{:}10.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12.320$ He chaired the Apas Commission

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100005

00:01:12.320 --> 00:01:13.622 on Psychiatric Therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100005

 $00:01:13.622 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.362$ which produced a definitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100005

 $00:01:15.362 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.099$ text that included from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100005

00:01:17.100 --> 00:01:19.200 over 400 scholars,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100005

 $00:01:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.300$ researchers, and clinicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100005

 $00{:}01{:}21.300 \longrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23.720$ In this four volume report was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100005

00:01:23.720 --> 00:01:25.615 really acknowledged as a landmark

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100005

 $00{:}01{:}25.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.550$ at its time by groups like Atlantic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100005

00:01:28.550 --> 00:01:30.170 Monthly and Contemporary Psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8373122

00:01:31.200 --> 00:01:32.792 Doctor cared, Karasu has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8373122

00:01:32.792 --> 00:01:35.190 written a total of 21 books,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8373122

00:01:35.190 --> 00:01:36.782 including psychotherapy for depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8373122

 $00:01:36.782 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.780$ deconstruction of psychotherapy, the art

00:01:38.780 --> 00:01:41.566 of Serenity, and many, many other books.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85357904

 $00:01:42.260 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.586$ We're proud to have a lecture that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85357904

 $00:01:44.586 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.919$ celebrates the contributions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85357904

00:01:45.920 --> 00:01:47.580 Doctor Karasu to the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.85357904

 $00:01:47.580 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.590$ of psychiatry, as well as to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85357904

 $00{:}01{:}49.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.582$ leadership and important quality that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85357904

00:01:51.582 --> 00:01:55.630 nurture in our department. Doctor Stephanie

NOTE Confidence: 0.77334815

 $00:01:55.630 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.308$ O'Malley will now introduce our inaugural

NOTE Confidence: 0.77334815

00:01:59.310 --> 00:02:01.155 Karasu leadership lecture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77334815

00:02:01.155 --> 00:02:03.000 Doctor Mary Gentili

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00{:}02{:}05.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.268$ Thank you, John. It's my pleasure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:02:08.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.550$ introduce the inaugural lecture today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

00:02:10.550 --> 00:02:11.933 Doctor Mary Gentili,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

00:02:11.933 --> 00:02:14.238 Doctor Gentili is the Creator

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

00:02:14.238 --> 00:02:16.950 director of giving voice to values.

 $00:02:16.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.235$ She's a professor of practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:02:19.235 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.520$ at the University of Virginia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

00:02:21.520 --> 00:02:23.348 Darden School of Business,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:02:23.348 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.090$ senior adviser at the Aspen Institute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

00:02:26.090 --> 00:02:27.918 Business and Society program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00{:}02{:}27.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.746$ Anna Consultant on Management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:02:29.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.694$ Education and Leadership Development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

00:02:31.694 --> 00:02:35.210 Her work on giving voice to values,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

00:02:35.210 --> 00:02:37.210 which we'll hear about today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:02:37.210 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.280$ is a pioneering business curriculum

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00{:}02{:}39.280 \to 00{:}02{:}41.350$ for values driven leadership that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:02:41.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.190$ has been featured in publications

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:02:43.190 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.190$ such as the Financial Times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00{:}02{:}45.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.384$ Harvard Business Review,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

00:02:46.384 --> 00:02:47.976 Stanford Social Innovation Review,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:02:47.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.366$ and McKinsey Quarterly.

 $00:02:49.366 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.676$ The ethics program she developed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00{:}02{:}51.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.550$ based on her award-winning book,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:02:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.430$ is giving voice to values,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:02:55.430 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.305$ how to speak your mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00{:}02{:}57.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59.180$ when you know what's right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00{:}02{:}59.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}01.430$ which was published at Yale University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:03:01.430 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.755$ Press has been implemented in over 1000

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:03:04.755 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.860$ universities and organizations globally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:03:06.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.372$ Doctor Gentili has also held various

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:03:09.372 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.462$ positions as a faculty member,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:03:11.470 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.724$ an case researcher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

00:03:12.724 --> 00:03:14.814 including ten years at Harvard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:03:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.022$ where she was one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00{:}03{:}17.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18.490$ principal architects of Harvard's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

00:03:18.559 --> 00:03:20.269 Business School leadership,

 $00:03:20.270 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.010$ ethics and corporate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00{:}03{:}22.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}23.170$ responsibility curriculum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:03:23.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.426$ Mary is the recipient of many

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

00:03:25.426 --> 00:03:26.930 honors and Lifetime achievement

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

00:03:26.998 --> 00:03:28.850 awards and ethical leadership,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:03:28.850 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.184$ including accolades as one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:03:31.184 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.235$ most influential figures today in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

00:03:33.235 --> 00:03:34.939 business Ethics Compliance Week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00{:}03{:}34.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36.970$ who recently bestowed their Top

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

00:03:36.970 --> 00:03:39.000 Minds award to Doctor Gentili.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:03:39.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.448$ Dubbed her quote the practical ethicist

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:03:41.448 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.889$ for her approach to values driven leadership.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

00:03:44.890 --> 00:03:46.620 So with this brief introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

00:03:46.620 --> 00:03:48.916 I hope I've given you a sense about

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:03:48.916 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.091$ her many accomplishments and why we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:03:51.091 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.860$ selected her to give the lecture today.

 $00:03:53.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.261$ The topic of her talk is giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:03:56.261 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.683$ voice to values the how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

00:03:58.683 --> 00:03:59.997 values driven leadership.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85016173

 $00:04:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.610$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

00:04:01.800 --> 00:04:03.260 Thanks so much Doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:03.260 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.720$ Crystal and Doctor O'Malley.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.910$ I'm really happy to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.478$ It's an honor to be part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00{:}04{:}09.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11.660$ Karasu lecture series on leadership.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:11.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.187$ An I'm really happy to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

00:04:14.187 --> 00:04:16.400 chance to share my work with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.320$ giving voice to values with you all today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:19.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.145$ As you probably guessed from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

00:04:21.145 --> 00:04:22.240 Doctor O'malley's introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.708$ giving voice to values

 $00:04:23.708 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.260$ or GV as I refer to it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00{:}04{:}26.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28.342$ was developed as a values driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:28.342 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.730$ leadership development program for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:29.790 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.750$ for business folks for business

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:31.750 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.318$ students and business professionals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

00:04:33.320 --> 00:04:35.732 But it's actually now grown well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:35.732 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.340$ beyond the original origins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:37.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.350$ and we've working with law.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00{:}04{:}39.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40.954$ Schools were working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:40.954 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.756$ engineering schools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:41.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.172$ We worked with health care and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

00:04:44.172 --> 00:04:45.780 medical and nursing education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.454$ and we've worked with the US military

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:48.454 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.508$ and and the Australian Police

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:50.508 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.413$ Force and the UN and the IAEA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:53.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.246$ etc etc.

 $00{:}04{:}54.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56.724$ So I'm hoping that although the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:04:56.724 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.163$ origins will be clear from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

00:04:59.163 --> 00:05:01.455 stories I share with you today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:01.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.920$ and they'll be examples from business

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00{:}05{:}03.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}05.150$ and organizational settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:05.150 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.835$ I'm hoping that you'll find

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:06.835 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.872$ ways that this will feel useful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:08.872 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.853$ to you in your work as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:10.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.756$ and and I hope to basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:12.756 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.391$ share some stories with you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:14.391 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.245$ about the origins of this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00{:}05{:}16.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18.483$ Talk a little bit about the research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00{:}05{:}18.483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.592$ and the concepts that it's based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00{:}05{:}20.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22.992$ and how it's been used and then open

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:22.992 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.116$ up for some questions and discussion.

 $00:05:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.041$ So with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:26.041 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.930$ let me let me start giving voice to values,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:28.930 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.135$ or GV is it's a new approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.379$ relatively new approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:32.379 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.031$ innovative approach to values

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:34.031 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.520$ driven leadership development and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

00:05:35.520 --> 00:05:37.284 I always say to people that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

00:05:37.284 --> 00:05:39.144 you if you don't remember anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00{:}05{:}39.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41.559$ else from what I'm about to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

00:05:41.560 --> 00:05:43.306 I know we all spend inordinate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:43.306 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.488$ amounts of time on zoom these days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:45.490 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.569$ I'm going to give you the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

00:05:47.569 --> 00:05:49.664 line 1st and then I'll step back

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:49.664 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.830$ and tell you how we got there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

00:05:51.830 --> 00:05:53.692 But the bottom line is that giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:53.692 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.901$ voice to values is about asking and

 $00:05:55.901 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.257$ answering a different question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.420$ A new question when it comes to ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:05:59.420 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.993$ and values in our organizational

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:06:00.993 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.618$ lives in our educational lives

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:06:02.618 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.209$ and in our wider lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:06:04.210 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.646$ So the typical Ant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:06:05.646 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.571$ Question that we tend to ask and try

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:06:08.571 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.979$ to answer when we talk about ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:06:10.979 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.223$ is what is the right thing to do in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:06:14.223 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.013$ any particular situation and will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

00:06:16.013 --> 00:06:18.179 often share very thorny ethical dilemmas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00{:}06{:}18.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}20.490$ and they'll be fascinating and often

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00{:}06{:}20.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.420$ non conclusive debates and discussions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:06:22.420 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.028$ about what the right thing to do is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:06:25.030 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.654$ I'm sure many of you may have heard

 $00:06:27.654 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.163$ Michael Sandel's wonderful lectures

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:06:29.163 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.527$ and classes on this at Harvard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:06:31.530 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.580$ and they're fascinating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00{:}06{:}32.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.500$ but giving voice to values is about asking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

00:06:35.500 --> 00:06:36.868 Answering a different question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

00:06:36.868 --> 00:06:38.578 It's about asking and trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:06:38.578 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.068$ to answer the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:06:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.056$ Once you know what you believe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00{:}06{:}42.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44.677$ the right thing to do is how do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

00:06:44.677 --> 00:06:46.212 you get it done effectively?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:06:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.804$ What do you need to say and do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:06:48.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.001$ What data or information do you need

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:06:51.001 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.311$ to gather and you need to reframe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387623

 $00:06:53.311 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.267$ the entire situation in order to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:06:55.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.269$ make an impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

00:06:56.270 --> 00:06:58.208 And once you raise the issue,

 $00:06:58.210 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.805$ what kind of pushback or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00{:}06{:}59.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01.770$ objections are you likely to face?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.714$ We call those the reasons and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

00:07:03.714 --> 00:07:05.010 rationalizations in GBV parlance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:05.010 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.226$ and then what would you say to respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:07.226 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.559$ to those rationalizations and reasons?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

00:07:09.560 --> 00:07:11.396 And is this something you're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:11.396 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.872$ to do one on, one on your own,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:13.872 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.750$ or is this something where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:15.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.815$ need to build a set of allies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.574$ And is this a one off kind of situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:20.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.715$ or is it really a systemic challenge?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00{:}07{:}22.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.550$ And if it's a systemic challenge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00{:}07{:}24.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.386$ it really needs to be addressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:26.386 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.610$ in that way systemically,

 $00:07:27.610 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.810$ but what I would often see in organizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00{:}07{:}29.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.072$ and in classrooms is that once you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:32.072 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.722$ identified an issue as systemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.954$ people felt that individually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:34.954 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.484$ they were off the hook.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00{:}07{:}36.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.514$ And when you really look at when any

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

00:07:38.514 --> 00:07:40.469 kind of systemic change happens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:40.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.070$ it's because of a sequence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:42.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.030$ of individual actions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

00:07:43.030 --> 00:07:44.997 And so GV would actually then say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00{:}07{:}45.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.686$ OK, how would you begin to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:46.686 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.810$ address this issue systemically?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.770$ So that in and of itself is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:49.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.854$ that is the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:50.854 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.825$ the key message of GV that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:07:52.825 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.523$ our discussions we focus less on

 $00:07:54.523 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.519$ what is the right thing to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00{:}07{:}56.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.768$ and more on once you know what's right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

00:07:58.770 --> 00:08:00.730 How do you get it done now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.259$ There's a lot of reasons why we do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00{:}08{:}03.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05.348$ and I'm going to take a step back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:05.348 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.225$ tell you some stories that hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:07.225 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.144$ will illustrate why we do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:09.144 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.118$ and why we think it actually helps

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

00:08:11.118 --> 00:08:12.581 people come to better decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

00:08:12.581 --> 00:08:13.769 Ultimately, even about what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

00:08:13.770 --> 00:08:15.408 The right thing to do is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:15.408 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.870$ just how to get it done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:16.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.422$ So let me take a step back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:19.422 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.779$ tell you this really grew up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:21.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.400$ For me,

00:08:22.400 --> 00:08:26.350 it grew out of what I call a crisis of faith.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00{:}08{:}26.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28.958$ I had been working in the field of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:28.958 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.908$ business education for several decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

00:08:30.910 --> 00:08:31.963 Graduate business education

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

00:08:31.963 --> 00:08:33.718 primarily at Harvard Business School,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

00:08:33.720 --> 00:08:35.475 but also consulting and advising

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:35.475 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.879$ and other business schools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

00:08:36.880 --> 00:08:37.933 And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:37.933 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.390$ I became disillusioned and began to feel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

00:08:40.390 --> 00:08:41.090 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:41.090 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.890$ at best our efforts to try and address

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:43.963 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.875$ values and ethics in these settings was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.875$ Futile and at worst it was hypocritical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:48.875 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.889$ and there were a number of reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00{:}08{:}50.889 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}52.539$ why I came to these conclusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.192$ I guess the first is really what

 $00{:}08{:}54.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55.727$ I experienced in the Business

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

00:08:55.727 --> 00:08:56.499 School environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:56.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.432$ which was that it seemed that every

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:08:58.432 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.587$ few years there would be a spate of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:09:00.587 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.729$ scandals that would hit the business press.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:09:02.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.634$ So in the 70s we had the defense

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:09:05.634 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.618$ industry scandals in the 80s we had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:09:08.618 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.659$ insider trading scandals and we had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:09:10.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.520$ We had the com bubble and then we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00{:}09{:}12.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14.721$ Enron and world com and galleon and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

00:09:14.721 --> 00:09:16.515 palm alot and more recently we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00{:}09{:}16.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}18.071$ had Volkswagen and Wells Fargo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00{:}09{:}18.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.428$ and you know the list goes on and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:09:20.428 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.381$ whenever we would tend to hit a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:09:22.381 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.191$ spate of these kinds of scandals

00:09:24.191 --> 00:09:26.736 if you happen to be working in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:09:26.736 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.770$ leading Business School as I was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564244

 $00:09:28.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.618$ we would create a task force.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:09:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.456$ This may be familiar to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:09:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.248$ We would create a committee and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:09:34.248 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.478$ I was always on the committee and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00{:}09{:}36.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38.739$ the committee was to try and take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:09:38.812 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.092$ a look at what are we really doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00{:}09{:}41.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}43.138$ because it was graduates from these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:09:43.138 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.973$ Business schools these leading

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00{:}09{:}44.973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.464$ business schools were often on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:09:46.464 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.072$ the front pages of the papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:09:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.655$ You know, as the architects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:09:49.655 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.915$ of these various scandals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:09:50.920 --> 00:09:52.184 And so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00{:}09{:}52.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}54.080$ we would look at the curriculum.

 $00:09:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.660$ We would interview faculty and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:09:55.660 --> 00:09:57.240 students and alumnae and recruiters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:09:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.790$ and typically what we would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:09:58.790 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.037$ come up with is we would design

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:10:01.037 --> 00:10:03.235 a new course or a new module.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:10:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.136$ We maybe hire some new faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:10:05.140 --> 00:10:07.270 Sometimes we create a new research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:10:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.631$ initiative and we would send out the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00{:}10{:}09.631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11.682$ press releases and then a few years

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:10:11.751 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.194$ later the whole cycle would start again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:10:14.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.910$ And I had lived through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:10:15.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.278$ this cycle several times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00{:}10{:}17.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19.534$ and so you know that was beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:10:19.534 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.379$ to feel a little old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:10:21.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.718$ So that was one of the reasons

 $00:10:23.718 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.489$ for my crisis of faith.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00{:}10{:}25.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27.536$ The second reason was more personally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:10:27.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.927$ and this happened around the late 90s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:10:29.930 --> 00:10:31.976 A friend and colleague of mine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:10:31.980 --> 00:10:32.596 Kirk Hansen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:10:32.596 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.752$ who used to run the business ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:10:34.752 --> 00:10:36.768 education program at Stanford University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:10:36.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.793$ left Stanford and went to run the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00{:}10{:}38.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40.143$ Markkula Center for Professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:10:40.143 --> 00:10:42.238 Ethics at Santa Clara University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:10:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.040$ and he decided to host.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:10:44.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.979$ The debate and he was going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:10:45.979 --> 00:10:47.987 have the debate issue is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:10:47.987 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.033$ be after 25 years of quarter of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:10:50.033 --> 00:10:51.917 a century of good faith efforts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:10:51.917 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.817$ trying to address values and ethics

 $00:10:53.817 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.412$ in our graduate business education

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:10:55.412 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.793$ on one side of the debate he would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:10:57.793 --> 00:10:59.514 have people saying we've made a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:10:59.514 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.404$ huge amount of progress and on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00{:}11{:}01.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}03.264$ negative side of the debate we be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00{:}11{:}03.264 {\:\raisebox{---}{\text{---}}}> 00{:}11{:}04.976$ having people saying we haven't made

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:11:04.976 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.055$ any progress and on the negative side

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:11:07.055 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.619$ one of the speakers was Milton Friedman son.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:11:09.620 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.822$ So you can sort of imagine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:11:11.822 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.270$ what he might have had to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:11:14.270 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.094$ And Kirk asked me to be on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00{:}11{:}16.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}17.960$ side of the debate to speak about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00{:}11{:}17.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20.210$ how we had made all this progress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00{:}11{:}20.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22.002$ 'cause he knew I'd been working in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:11:22.002 --> 00:11:23.720 this field for for quite awhile,

 $00:11:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.918$ and it was sort of a watershed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:11:25.918 --> 00:11:28.300 moment for me because I sat down

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:11:28.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.005$ to write my remarks and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:11:30.010 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.047$ I had this moment where I thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:11:32.047 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.280$ can I lie on an ethics panel?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:11:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.506$ Because when I tried to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:11:36.506 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.248$ all this progress we had made,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:11:38.250 --> 00:11:40.410 I had my doubts and so I ended up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:11:40.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.816$ writing something that was somewhat nuanced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:11:42.820 --> 00:11:44.654 You know, we talked about how any

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00{:}11{:}44.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47.088$ of us who are educators or managers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:11:47.090 --> 00:11:48.077 but especially educators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00{:}11{:}48.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.722$ know that those individuals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:11:49.722 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.058$ we've had an impact on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:11:51.060 --> 00:11:54.110 But if I were to try to speak more broadly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00{:}11{:}54.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}55.772$ I really couldn't say that we

 $00:11:55.772 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.460$ had made that much progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:11:57.460 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.985$ Who's not exactly what Kirk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:11:58.985 --> 00:12:00.205 was hoping I'd say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:12:00.210 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.510$ but it really was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:12:01.510 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.460$ The best I could say honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

 $00:12:03.460 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.676$ and that was sort of like I say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474072

00:12:05.680 --> 00:12:07.619 a kind of watershed moment for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:07.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.740$ and then the last reason or the third

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

00:12:09.740 --> 00:12:11.922 reason I mention for why I had this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00{:}12{:}11.922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}13.909$ crisis of faith was actually what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:13.909 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.925$ would see in the classroom or what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00{:}12{:}15.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17.694$ I would see in corporate settings

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00{:}12{:}17.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}20.078$ when I was involved with any kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:20.078 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.806$ training or consulting when we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:21.866 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.029$ trying to talk about ethics and values.

 $00:12:24.030 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.118$ And it was this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:25.118 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.525$ Typically what we would do is that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:27.525 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.655$ would share some thorny ethical dilemma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:29.660 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.760$ some of scenario and people would read

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:31.760 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.477$ this scenario before they walked into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:33.477 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.585$ the room and they would come into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:35.585 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.503$ conversation with an idea of what they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:37.503 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.460$ thought the right thing to do was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00{:}12{:}39.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41.700$ But then in the course of the conversation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.016$ in the course of the discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:44.020 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.324$ Two things would happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:45.324 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.721$ The first thing that would happen is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:47.721 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.989$ people's thinking would become more complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

00:12:49.990 --> 00:12:52.798 They would begin to realize that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.550$ maybe maybe I didn't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.600$ all the information.

00:12:55.600 --> 00:12:58.030 Or maybe this is standard operating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:12:58.030 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.551$ procedure in this company or in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:13:00.551 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.970$ industry or in this part of the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:13:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.730$ Or maybe it is wrong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00{:}13{:}04.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07.538$ but it's it's really above my pay grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

00:13:07.540 --> 00:13:08.772 It's not my responsibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:13:08.772 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.493$ or if I try to do something I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:13:11.493 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.498$ not likely to be effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

00:13:13.500 --> 00:13:15.330 I might make it worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

00:13:15.330 --> 00:13:16.478 At least for myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00{:}13{:}16.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.670$ so their thinking would become more complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00{:}13{:}18.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}21.110$ I actually think this is a good thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

00:13:21.110 --> 00:13:23.474 You don't want people to walk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:13:23.474 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.050$ into these situations naively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:13:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.241$ But the second thing that would happen

00:13:27.241 --> 00:13:28.769 in these conversations with more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

00:13:28.769 --> 00:13:30.514 troubling to me, and it was this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00{:}13{:}30.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32.710$ I don't know if you had this experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

00:13:32.710 --> 00:13:35.590 in your classes and in your work experiences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:13:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.690$ but there would typically be one or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:13:37.690 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.099$ two or three people in the room who,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:13:40.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.922$ when they spoke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:13:40.922 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.566$ everyone would turn to listen to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00{:}13{:}42.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}44.009$ them in corporate settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:13:44.010 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.458$ These tended to be the people of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00{:}13{:}46.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48.826$ highest rank in the room in a classroom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

00:13:48.830 --> 00:13:49.354 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:13:49.354 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.188$ they might be the person who is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:13:51.188 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.440$ the most articulate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:13:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.547$ the one who could always communicate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

00:13:54.550 --> 00:13:57.585 A complex idea in a very clear and ***** way.

 $00:13:57.585 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.535$ Sometimes they were the students

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00{:}13{:}59.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01.518$ who could always tell a witty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

00:14:01.518 --> 00:14:03.093 story to illustrate their point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:14:03.100 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.244$ but whatever it was when those folks spoke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:14:06.250 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.128$ The ones that everyone listened to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:14:08.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.146$ They were usually the ones who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

00:14:10.146 --> 00:14:11.590 expressing the most sceptical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:14:11.590 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.822$ if not cynical positions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

00:14:12.822 --> 00:14:15.359 They were usually the people who were saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

00:14:15.360 --> 00:14:17.864 I know what you want me to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:14:17.870 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.748$ Mary, but in the real world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:14:19.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.226$ that's just not possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:14:21.226 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.955$ So my fear was that people were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:14:23.955 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.155$ walking out of these conversations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:14:26.155 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.700$ more confused and less empowered,

00:14:28.700 --> 00:14:29.909 and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00{:}14{:}29.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32.730$ really didn't feel like an optimal outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:14:32.730 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.160$ and I began to think, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:14:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.144$ I've got some skills that life is short.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:14:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.865$ I want to do something that matters

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:14:40.865 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.159$ and so I decided to take a step back

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

00:14:43.159 --> 00:14:44.940 from this work to stop working in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00:14:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.948$ this area for a while and I did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616001

 $00{:}14{:}46.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49.900$ This was back in the in the late 90s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:14:49.900 --> 00:14:53.004 And around that time I had a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00{:}14{:}53.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55.077$ of experiences that led to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:14:55.077 --> 00:14:57.907 creation of GV as a way to hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:14:57.907 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.237$ address this crisis of faith.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.160$ So one of them was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.834$ I got a consulting gig at Columbia

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00{:}15{:}04.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.096$ Business School, and while I was

 $00{:}15{:}07.096 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}15{:}09.820$ there working on a project over here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:09.820 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.382$ there were a group of senior faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:15:12.382 --> 00:15:14.796 in in core areas, finance, economics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:15:14.796 --> 00:15:16.328 public sector, government policy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:16.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.545$ accounting, business law,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:17.545 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.650$ who were still working on this issue of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:20.650 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.420$ Ethics in business education and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:22.420 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.763$ they knew about the work I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:15:24.763 --> 00:15:26.541 done for 10 years at Harvard and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00{:}15{:}26.541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28.560$ so they asked me if I would take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:28.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.390$ a look at what they were doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:30.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.460$ So this is what they were doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.188$ This was their idea, not mine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:34.190 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.926$ They were asking all of the incoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:15:35.926 --> 00:15:37.094 graduate business students upon

 $00:15:37.094 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.219$ matriculation during orientation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00{:}15{:}38.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40.159$ To answer one question and to jot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:40.159 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.539$ it down and just a paragraph or two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.493$ No more than a page they didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:44.493 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.568$ have to put their names on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:46.570 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.586$ They didn't have to name the organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.790$ The question was this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:49.790 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.398$ Tell us about a time in your work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00{:}15{:}52.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54.624$ experience so far when you were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:15:54.624 --> 00:15:56.712 explicitly told or implicitly pressured

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00{:}15{:}56.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59.256$ to do something that conflicted with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:15:59.256 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.495$ your own values and how you handled it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.446$ So they all wrote these little examples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:16:05.450 --> 00:16:05.728 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:05.728 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.952$ So they collected hundreds of these at the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.689$ At that time there were about 600

00:16:09.689 --> 00:16:11.309 students in an incoming cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:11.310 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.150$ and they said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:16:12.150 --> 00:16:12.710 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.817$ we've got all these stories and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:16:14.817 --> 00:16:16.890 trying to figure out how to use them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.960$ Would you take a look at them so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:16:18.960 --> 00:16:21.076 read hundreds and hundreds of these?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:16:21.080 --> 00:16:22.544 Well over 1000 over several years

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:22.544 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.150$ and it was really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00{:}16{:}24.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.374$ So let me tell you what we learned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.042$ So the first thing we learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:28.042 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.029$ if you think about the kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:30.029 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.399$ people who get their MBA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.068$ the graduate business degree at Columbia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:33.070 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.610$ at least at that time.

00:16:34.610 --> 00:16:36.549 They tended to have two 3-4 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:36.549 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.870$ of work experience already,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:37.870 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.767$ so the first thing we learned is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:16:39.767 --> 00:16:41.833 that I could probably count on one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:16:41.833 --> 00:16:44.285 hand the number of them who said I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:16:44.285 --> 00:16:46.441 was never asked or pressured to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:46.441 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.230$ something that conflicted with my values.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:16:48.230 --> 00:16:50.183 They pretty much all had stories to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00{:}16{:}50.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52.367$ tell since the first thing we learned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:52.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.146$ The second thing we learned is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00{:}16{:}54.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56.201$ again, if you look at the kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:16:56.201 --> 00:16:58.287 of folks who get an MBA there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:58.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.770$ it's in New York City.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:16:59.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.954$ Certain industries tended to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:17:00.954 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.138$ be more heavily represented,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:17:02.140 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.860$ so there were a lot of people from.

 $00:17:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.696$ The big accounting firms and consulting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:17:06.696 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.460$ firms and Mckinsey's of the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:17:08.460 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.644$ There were a lot of people from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:17:10.644 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.188$ the financial sector because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:17:12.188 --> 00:17:13.558 was in New York City.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:17:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.541$ There were a good number of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:17:15.541 --> 00:17:18.244 from Big Pharma because many of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:17:18.244 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.588$ multinational pharmaceutical companies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00{:}17{:}19.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}22.009$ have head quarters in the tristate area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:17:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.054$ Some high tech,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:17:23.054 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.446$ so because certain industries

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:17:24.446 --> 00:17:26.210 were more heavily represented,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:17:26.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.502$ the kinds of examples they gave

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

00:17:28.502 --> 00:17:30.030 got repetitions very quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511229

 $00:17:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.030$ so they talked about things

00:17:32.030 --> 00:17:34.030 like being pressured to inflate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:17:34.104 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.869$ or deflate their billable hours

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:17:35.869 --> 00:17:38.080 in a way that didn't correspond

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:17:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.348$ to the work they were doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:17:40.350 --> 00:17:42.070 They talked about being pressured

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:17:42.070 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.207$ to tamper with or adjust the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:17:44.207 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.987$ benchmarks and the frameworks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:17:45.987 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.927$ analytics they used to determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00{:}17{:}47.927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.751$ the relative financial advantages

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:17:49.751 --> 00:17:52.031 or disadvantages of a particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:17:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.855$ Transaction in order to encourage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:17:53.855 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.096$ their clients to take the action

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:17:56.096 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.911$ that would maximize revenue for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00{:}17{:}57.911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.980$ their firm for their employer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:17:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.925$ They talked about being pressured

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:18:01.925 --> 00:18:04.345 to exaggerate or puff up the

 $00:18:04.345 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.360$ capabilities of the new product.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:18:06.360 --> 00:18:08.360 A new piece of software,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:18:08.360 --> 00:18:09.960 a new pharmaceutical product,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:18:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.815$ beyond what the data could actually support

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:18:12.815 --> 00:18:15.137 in order to maximize sales revenues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:18:15.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.438$ They talked about being pressured to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:18:17.438 --> 00:18:19.930 engage in various forms of corruption,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:18:19.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.272$ bribery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00{:}18{:}20.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}22.666$ or facilitating payments in order to gain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:18:22.666 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.119$ access to certain international markets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:18:25.120 \dashrightarrow 00:18:28.702$ or in order to gain access to certain RFP's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:18:28.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.940$ And there were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00{:}18{:}29.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32.400$ Always the ubiquitous human resource issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:18:32.400 --> 00:18:35.178 around hiring and firing and discrimination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:18:35.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.042$ fairness, bullying.

00:18:36.042 --> 00:18:38.197 But although the examples got

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:18:38.197 --> 00:18:39.490 repetitions very quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:18:39.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.022$ their responses differed and their responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:18:42.022 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.230$ fell into three recognizable buckets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:18:44.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.092$ So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:18:45.092 --> 00:18:48.540 this is self reporting is not empirical data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:18:48.540 --> 00:18:50.264 but it was provocative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:18:50.264 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.419$ and so the first bucket,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:18:52.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.713$ the largest bucket,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:18:53.713 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.299$ little less than half of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00{:}18{:}56.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}00.364$ said yes they had this kind of conflict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.426$ And it bothered them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:19:01.426 --> 00:19:03.380 It didn't just roll off their backs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:03.380 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.476$ but they didn't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:04.476 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.846$ think they had a choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00{:}19{:}05.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07.740$ So they just sucked it up and

 $00:19:07.740 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.688$ did what they were asked to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:09.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.060$ That was the largest Group

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:11.060 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.430$ A little less than half.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.074$ And then there was a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:14.074 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.170$ group who said yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.808$ I had this kind of conflict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:16.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.578$ It bothered me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:19:17.578 --> 00:19:19.370 It bothered me so much that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:19:19.427 --> 00:19:21.185 couldn't bring myself to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:21.190 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.656$ But I also didn't think I had any choices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:23.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.752$ So these people remove

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:24.752 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.844$ themselves from the situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00{:}19{:}25.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27.929$ so some of them got themselves transferred

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:27.929 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.028$ to a different manager or work group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.739$ And a few of them even quit their jobs.

 $00:19:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.076$ But this was a small group and now

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00{:}19{:}35.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36.950$ the remainder of the whole groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:36.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.460$ And now we're talking about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:19:38.460 --> 00:19:40.567 oh, about a third of them said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.871 \text{ yes},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:40.871 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.978$ I have this conflict and it bothered

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:19:42.978 --> 00:19:45.024 me and I tried to do something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:45.024 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.406$ about it and a small group of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:47.406 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.284$ folks that I tried and failed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.044$ But about 1/4 of the whole group said I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:52.044 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.713$ tried an by my lights, I was successful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:54.713 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.211$ So we thought, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:19:56.211 --> 00:19:57.666 this is kind of interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:19:57.670 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.416$ There they are all people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:19:59.416 --> 00:20:00.289 got into Columbia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:20:00.290 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.390$ They've got, you know,

 $00:20:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.040$ some level of ability that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:20:03.093 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.648$ been assessed to be comprable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:20:04.650 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.814$ They're talking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:20:05.814 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.269$ same kinds of examples there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:20:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.016$ often talking about the same organizations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:20:09.020 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.478$ and yet some of them were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:20:10.478 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.220$ able to do this effectively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:20:12.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.257$ and so many of them were not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:20:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.148$ So we thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

00:20:15.148 --> 00:20:15.444 well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:20:15.444 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.580$ let's take a little closer look and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00{:}20{:}17.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}19.652$ see if we can learn something from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:20:19.652 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.380$ these stories that will give us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00{:}20{:}21.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}23.280$ ideas and some some levers to pull

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677093

 $00:20:23.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.730$ for it for pedagogical reasons,

 $00:20:24.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.655$ for teaching. So we brought in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00{:}20{:}26.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.657$ researcher to kind of slice and dice

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:20:28.657 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.337$ the stories and look close closely

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:20:30.398 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.180$ and look for patterns and themes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:20:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.944$ And in the end, we couldn't say

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:20:33.944 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.670$ that one group was more morally

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00{:}20{:}35.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}37.482$ troubled than the other group in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:20:37.482 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.832$ terms of how they expressed their

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00{:}20{:}39.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}41.026$ their perspective perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:20:41.030 --> 00:20:43.582 because it wasn't like we gave them a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:20:43.582 --> 00:20:46.078 scenario and it mattered to some of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:20:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.271$ but it didn't seem that important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:20:48.271 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.207$ others because they all were defining

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:20:50.207 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.398$ an issue that they said bothered them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:20:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.570$ We let them define the issue and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:20:54.570 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.830$ it wasn't that one group was more,

00:20:56.830 --> 00:20:57.784 you know, organizationally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:20:57.784 --> 00:20:59.056 savvy or politically sophisticated

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:20:59.056 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.300$ than the other group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:21:00.300 --> 00:21:02.512 because some of them did, in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:21:02.512 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.040$ come up with these very clever win wins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:21:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.093$ You know, the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:21:06.093 --> 00:21:07.146 Very clever solutions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00{:}21{:}07.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09.942$ but some of them were really kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:21:09.942 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.377$ naive and even clumsy in the way they did it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:21:13.380 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.756$ So in the end the only thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:21:15.756 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.120$ that that we could really say was

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00{:}21{:}18.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.046$ that those folks who were able to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00{:}21{:}21.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}23.368$ this effectively at some point said

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:21:23.368 --> 00:21:25.490 something outside of their own heads,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:21:25.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.807$ and it might have started by talking

00:21:27.807 --> 00:21:30.330 to a friend or spouse or partner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:21:30.330 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.616$ but eventually it found its way

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:21:32.616 --> 00:21:34.644 into the organization and change

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:21:34.644 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.356$ the trajectory of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:21:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.089$ So when when I heard that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:21:38.089 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.039$ kind of I was disappointed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00{:}21{:}40.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}43.110$ I kind of went back to my crisis of faith.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:21:43.110 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.252$ It's a little bit of an identity

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:21:45.252 --> 00:21:47.110 theme with me and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:21:47.110 --> 00:21:49.259 I thought I was hoping for some

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:21:49.259 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.180$ really interesting insight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:21:50.180 --> 00:21:52.934 Or, you know string to pull lever to push.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00{:}21{:}52.940 \longrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55.019$ That would give us some ideas about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00{:}21{:}55.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57.908$ how to have more impact in our in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:21:57.908 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.452$ our education educational effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:21:59.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.952$ And I was disappointed.

 $00{:}22{:}00.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03.190$ But then I remembered some research

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00{:}22{:}03.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04.993$ that I had seen years earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:22:04.993 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.919$ when I was still at Harvard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:22:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.272$ It was two scholars,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00{:}22{:}08.272 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09.624$ Douglas Conquian Perry London,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:22:09.630 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.978$ who independently decided they

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:22:10.978 --> 00:22:13.000 were going to do some qualitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:22:13.058 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.888$ research on what they were calling

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00{:}22{:}14.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16.750$ moral courage and moral conviction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:22:16.750 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.870$ What they wanted to do was to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00{:}22{:}18.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}21.516$ look at people who had acted with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:22:21.516 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.566$ real conviction with more conviction

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:22:23.639 --> 00:22:25.559 in times of very high stakes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:22:25.560 --> 00:22:28.129 very high risk, and they wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:22:28.129 --> 00:22:30.189 understand if there were various.

00:22:30.190 --> 00:22:30.910 Family background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:22:30.910 --> 00:22:31.630 personal experiences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:22:31.630 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.990$ personalities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:22:31.990 --> 00:22:32.762 religious experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:22:32.762 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.078$ whatever that may have led them

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:22:35.078 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.649$ to behave in this way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:22:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.104$ and so they both independently decided

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:22:39.104 --> 00:22:41.425 that the populations that they would

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:22:41.425 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.881$ look at where the folks who are often

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:22:43.947 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.699$ referred to as rescuers from World War Two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:22:46.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.616$ So these are people who put their lives at

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:22:49.616 --> 00:22:52.445 stake to help others during the Holocaust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:22:52.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.580$ when many others did not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:22:55.580 --> 00:22:58.226 So they they did the research and you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:22:58.226 --> 00:23:00.968 they came up with a set of characteristics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:23:00.970 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.189$ most of which I frankly don't recall.

 $00:23:03.190 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.770$ But there was one that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00{:}23{:}04.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06.034$ really resonated with me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:23:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.625$ probably because I was an

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

00:23:07.625 --> 00:23:09.210 educator and it was this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:23:09.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.560$ They said that the folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.83835782

 $00:23:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.910$ who had found who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:23:11.969 --> 00:23:14.146 acted with this kind of conviction in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:23:14.146 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.213$ these high risk situations all reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:23:16.213 --> 00:23:18.719 that an earlier point in their lives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:23:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.310$ usually as a young adult,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:23:20.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.895$ they'd had an experience with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00{:}23{:}21.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23.480$ some one more senior to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:23:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.060$ So a boss, a teacher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00{:}23{:}25.060 --> 00{:}23{:}26.852$ a mentor, even apparent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:23:26.852 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.540$ They had the experience of rehearing

 $00:23:29.618 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.145$ out loud the answer to the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:23:32.145 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.847$ what would you do if and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:23:34.847 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.772$ various kinds of moral conflicts?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:23:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.460$ So this is you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:23:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.140$ of course they couldn't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:23:40.140 --> 00:23:41.148 anticipated the Holocaust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:23:41.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.938$ but they had had this experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:23:42.938 --> 00:23:44.525 of pre scripting and rehearsal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00{:}23{:}44.525 \rightarrow 00{:}23{:}46.517$ and coaching and they had this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:23:46.517 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.320$ experience both at the intellectual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:23:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.558$ level but also the behavioral level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:23:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.877$ So at the intellectual level they had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:23:52.877 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.600$ named the values that was important to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:23:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.940$ They created an articulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:23:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.280$ script set of words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:23:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.695$ but at the behavioral level they had

 $00:24:00.695 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.507$ the experience of literally voicing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:24:02.507 --> 00:24:04.971 it out loud to someone more senior

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:24:04.971 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.118$ to them who stood in as proxy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:24:07.120 --> 00:24:09.883 Are the kinds of folks they might need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:24:09.883 --> 00:24:12.416 speak with in the actual circumstances,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:24:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.236$ so I thought this was kind of intriguing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:24:15.240 --> 00:24:17.232 It certainly wasn't what we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:24:17.232 --> 00:24:19.120 in our business ethics courses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:24:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.238$ and so I thought well bore

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:24:21.238 --> 00:24:22.650 a little more exploration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:24:22.650 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.114$ So we did two kinds of exploration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00{:}24{:}25.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27.238$ The first was we gathered stories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:24:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.220$ I already had all those hundreds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:24:29.220 --> 00:24:31.120 of stories from Columbia students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:24:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.238$ but I started interviewing people at

 $00:24:33.238 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.650$ different levels within organizations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00{:}24{:}34.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36.990$ people right up school all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:24:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.550$ way to C-Suite executives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:24:38.550 --> 00:24:40.584 And asking them that question from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:24:40.584 --> 00:24:42.366 Columbia tell me about sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:24:42.366 --> 00:24:44.508 when your own values conflicted with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:24:44.508 --> 00:24:46.487 what you were told or pressured

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:24:46.487 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.447$ to do and how you handled it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00{:}24{:}48.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.760$ And we gathered a lot of stories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:24:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.765$ both of successful efforts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:24:52.765 --> 00:24:54.369 also not so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:24:54.370 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.757$ And then we also the other kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:24:56.757 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.127$ of exploration we did is we looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:24:59.127 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.712$ at some of the research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:25:00.720 --> 00:25:02.390 I'm not a social scientist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:02.390 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.609$ but there was a lot of research

00:25:04.609 --> 00:25:06.671 that was coming out around this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:06.671 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.059$ time 1012 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:25:08.060 --> 00:25:09.675 There's more now from different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:09.675 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.290$ fields that was reinforcing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00{:}25{:}11.349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13.044$ idea around rehearsal and practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:13.044 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.739$ and peer coaching in psychology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:14.740 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.378$ The folks who study well there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:25:16.378 --> 00:25:18.814 was a lot of work around habit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:18.814 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.086$ formation for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:20.090 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.094$ but there was also the folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00{:}25{:}22.094 \rightarrow 00{:}25{:}23.430$ who study positive deviance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.080$ Individuals who deviate from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00{:}25{:}25.080 \to 00{:}25{:}27.400$ norm but in a positive direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.810$ They had a nice phrase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:28.810 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.490$ This is their phrase, not mine.

 $00:25:30.490 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.274$ They say if you want to have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00{:}25{:}32.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}33.762$ impact on people's behavior rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00{:}25{:}33.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.724$ than asking them to think their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:35.724 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.795$ way into a different way of acting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.155$ it's often more effective to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:39.155 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.141$ have them act their way into a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:25:41.141 --> 00:25:42.289 different way of thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

00:25:42.290 --> 00:25:45.069 So I thought that was kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00{:}25{:}45.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}46.650$ interesting and there was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:46.650 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.626$ And then I was looking at the research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00{:}25{:}48.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50.499$ in those research in neuroscience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.090$ cognitive neuroscience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:51.090 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.750$ As you all know, more about that than I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:53.750 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.352$ But there was research that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00:25:55.352 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.052$ was reading about in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8786405

 $00{:}25{:}57.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}58.780$ brain plasticity and in terms of

 $00:25:58.780 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.269$ creating new neural pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

00:26:00.270 --> 00:26:02.565 But but the example I want to give you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:02.565 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.401$ because it's more tangible and hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:04.401 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.480$ makes us a little more concrete,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00{:}26{:}06.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08.256$ comes from the field of kinesthetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:08.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.030$ So the study of physical movement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:10.030 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.361$ So I want to just tell you a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:12.361 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.677$ story around this time when I first went

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:14.677 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.119$ to work at Harvard Business School.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

00:26:17.120 --> 00:26:19.416 I decided to take a self defense class.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

00:26:19.420 --> 00:26:21.136 Now I was a lucky person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00{:}26{:}21.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23.228$ I had never in my life felt the need

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00{:}26{:}23.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.267$ to take a self defense class until

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:25.267 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.450$ I went to Harvard Business School.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.320$ And then I thought maybe I needed to do that.

 $00:26:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.147$ So I looked around Boston and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00{:}26{:}32.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}34.446$ were a lot of these courses and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:34.446 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.639$ all pretty much teach the same things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:36.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.356$ They teach you the physical moves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.601$ so it's fist a bridge of nose or heel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:40.601 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.186$ to instep or need to growing and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:43.186 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.047$ have you practice these moves in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:45.047 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.311$ the air and then you know the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00{:}26{:}47.311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.698$ is now if anyone ever attacks me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

00:26:49.700 --> 00:26:51.368 I know what to do right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:51.370 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.610$ but there was one class that was different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:53.610 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.112$ It was called model mugging and it was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

00:26:56.112 --> 00:26:57.788 developmental model so they would still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:57.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.384$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:26:58.384 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.166$ have you learn these moves and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:27:00.166 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.418$ practice them in the air?

00:27:01.420 --> 00:27:03.596 But then once you knew them they would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00{:}27{:}03.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05.880$ bring in a gentleman in a padded suit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:27:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.560$ sort of like the Michelin man.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:27:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.331$ If you remember him and they would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:27:09.331 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.633$ line us all up and we would take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:27:11.633 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.452$ turns getting attacked full force by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

00:27:13.452 --> 00:27:15.377 this gentleman and then you could use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:27:15.377 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.385$ these new moves you've learned on him

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00{:}27{:}17.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.441$ because he was protected and in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:27:19.441 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.079$ beginning it was sort of ludicrous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

00:27:21.080 --> 00:27:22.480 Because you just stood there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:27:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.148$ waiting your turn to get attacked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00{:}27{:}24.150 \to 00{:}27{:}26.652$ But as the class went on week after week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:27:26.660 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.884$ I would be talking to someone over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:27:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.570$ He might come and grab me.

 $00:27:30.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.898$ I would never know when I would never

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00{:}27{:}32.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.585$ know what hold he was going to use it with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

00:27:35.590 --> 00:27:36.472 Utterly nerve wracking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00{:}27{:}36.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38.530$ but they explained to us and those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:27:38.583 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.389$ of you who are athletes will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:27:40.389 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.163$ familiar with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00{:}27{:}41.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43.194$ They explained to this that this was based

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:27:43.194 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.627$ on this idea of specific state muscle memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00{:}27{:}45.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47.492$ So the idea was if you rehearsed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:27:47.492 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.065$ something in the same physiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00{:}27{:}49.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.213$ and emotional and cognitive state that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

00:27:51.213 --> 00:27:53.455 you'd be in when you need to use it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:27:53.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.164$ That even if you freeze in that moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:27:56.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.187$ your body remembers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

00:27:57.187 --> 00:27:59.560 So the tennis Pro will practice her,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:27:59.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.594$ serve over and over before she

 $00:28:01.594 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.950$ goes to the tournament.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

00:28:02.950 --> 00:28:05.654 So when she's there under all that stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:28:05.660 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.040$ she just naturally assumes the proper form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:28:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.248$ So one day I'm in this class and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

00:28:10.248 --> 00:28:13.176 I am lying on my back on the floor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:28:13.176 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.475$ because I have failed to protect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

00:28:15.475 --> 00:28:17.530 myself and I was thinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

00:28:17.530 --> 00:28:19.558 could you create a kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:28:19.558 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.572$ moral muscle memory?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:28:20.580 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.464$ Could you create a default to voice?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

00:28:23.470 --> 00:28:25.516 But not just to speaking up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00:28:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.928$ because what I was learning from all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259438

 $00{:}28{:}27.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}29.969$ these interviews I was doing well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:28:29.970 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.600$ These conversations I was having

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

00:28:31.600 --> 00:28:33.643 with with you know executives and

 $00:28:33.643 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.438$ managers and practitioners with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:28:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.486$ the ones who did this successfully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:28:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.653$ It was not so much a matter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:28:39.653 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.250$ of blowing the whistle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

00:28:41.250 --> 00:28:43.986 It was not so much a matter of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

00:28:43.990 --> 00:28:45.700 you know, shaking their fist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:28:45.700 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.410$ and speaking truth to power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:28:47.410 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.120$ It was much more strategic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

00:28:49.120 --> 00:28:50.830 It was much more tactical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:28:50.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.250$ is much more nuanced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:28:52.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.680$ It was much more an example of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:28:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.068$ Skillful communication and influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00{:}28{:}56.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58.919$ rather than it was about a kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

00:28:58.919 --> 00:29:01.392 assertion or accusation, and so I wanted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:01.392 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.510$ So I wanted to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

00:29:03.510 --> 00:29:06.326 Could we have a default to informed voice?

 $00:29:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.591$ Because the other thing I was learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:08.591 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.920$ is that the kinds of objections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.160$ the kinds of as I called them earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.625$ reasons and rationalizations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:14.625 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.740$ people encountered when they tried

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:16.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.040$ to address issues in the workplace.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:19.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.315$ There were certain patterns that came up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

00:29:21.315 --> 00:29:23.630 again and again they were predictable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.671$ They were powerful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

00:29:24.671 --> 00:29:26.406 But they weren't necessarily bulletproof,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:26.410 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.048$ but they were very hard to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:28.048 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.640$ respond to in the moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

00:29:29.640 --> 00:29:31.284 If you hadn't really rehearsed or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

00:29:31.284 --> 00:29:32.880 practiced or were not prepared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.232$ So what I wanted to do

 $00:29:35.232 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.739$ was to create a kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:37.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.085$ Pedagogy that would allow us to predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:40.085 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.568$ an practice these skills in these scripts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:42.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.856$ So then I went back and looked at what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:44.856 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.188$ we actually taught when we tried to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:47.188 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.113$ teach about ethics in organizational

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:49.113 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.197$ settings or other settings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.202$ whether it was in school or whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00{:}29{:}53.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}55.340$ it was in corporate training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:55.340 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.689$ Other organizational training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00{:}29{:}57.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}59.440$ Then I got discouraged again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:29:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.911$ because what we typically would do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:01.911 \dashrightarrow 00:30:04.339$ we would teach what we would name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:04.340 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.616$ I did this myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:05.616 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.190$ We would name it awareness and analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:08.190 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.111$ So by awareness,

 $00:30:09.111 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.260$ what we meant is we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:11.332 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.754$ introduce you to the kinds of conflicts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:13.754 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.587$ that you might encounter in the workplace,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.150$ and so that you can predict them so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.836$ that you will be familiar with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.240$ So you'll recognize them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.951$ This is, of course important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:24.951 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.973$ especially in a world where organizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

00:30:26.973 --> 00:30:28.590 are increasingly globalized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:28.590 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.982$ Where technology is developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:29.982 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.070$ so rapidly that the kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.309$ issues we're facing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00{:}30{:}33.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35.488$ we didn't even think about previously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

00:30:35.490 --> 00:30:36.214 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

00:30:36.214 --> 00:30:38.748 you know I'm working now with some,

 $00:30:38.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.146$ some given with values,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:40.146 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.240$ case development around embedded bias and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:42.296 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.774$ in the algorithms used in various types

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:44.774 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.380$ of artificial intelligence products.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:46.380 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.588$ You know this was not something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:48.588 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.824$ people were talking about when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

00:30:50.824 --> 00:30:52.906 was teaching at the Business School,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.362$ and so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00{:}30{:}54.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}56.540$ you do need to teach awareness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:56.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.728$ It's necessary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00{:}30{:}57.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}59.510$ It's not sufficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:30:59.510 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.102$ If you think about the kinds of examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:31:02.102 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.969$ I gave at the beginning of my remarks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:31:04.970 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.645$ those were examples where there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

00:31:06.645 --> 00:31:08.320 were definitely people who knew

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00{:}31{:}08.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10.085$ something was wrong in those

 $00:31:10.085 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.790$ various scandals that I enumerated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:31:11.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.890$ But they didn't necessarily feel like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:31:13.890 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.880$ they could do anything about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:31:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.920$ so awareness necessary, but not sufficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:31:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.971$ And then the second thing we would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:31:19.971 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.360$ do is we would teach analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

 $00:31:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.088$ So if we were in a corporate setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724338

00:31:25.090 --> 00:31:27.130 this meant teaching the relevant rules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:31:27.130 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.832$ laws, regulations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:31:27.832 \dashrightarrow 00:31:29.587$ the organizational code of conduct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:31:29.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.420$ If you're in a profession,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:31:31.420 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.880$ there's usually a professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:31:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.070$ code of conduct code of ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:31:35.070 --> 00:31:37.618 If you were in an academic setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:31:37.620 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.385$ this usually meant teaching the

 $00:31:39.385 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.797$ models of ethical reasoning

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:31:40.797 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.368$ that come from philosophy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:31:42.370 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.765$ So the ontology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:31:43.765 --> 00:31:45.160 utilitarianism, virtue ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:31:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.320$ And then what we would do is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:31:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.656$ would share scenarios whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:31:48.656 --> 00:31:50.840 it was in the corporate setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:31:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.620$ over the academic setting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:31:52.620 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.909$ says this over the line or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:31:54.910 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.185$ How what is right in these situations?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00{:}31{:}57.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59.140$ And again, this isn't very important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:31:59.140 --> 00:32:00.530 it's necessary because you want

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:32:00.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.573$ to be able to teach people or

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00{:}32{:}02.573 \longrightarrow 00{:}32{:}04.068$ give people the opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:32:04.068 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.882$ learn to think rigorously and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:32:05.882 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.590$ consistently about these issues.

 $00:32:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.704$ Just 'cause I think it's right or

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00{:}32{:}09.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}11.489$ wrong doesn't mean everyone does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:32:11.490 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.836$ And how do I negotiate or

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:32:13.836 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.009$ navigate that thinking?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:32:15.010 --> 00:32:15.650 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:32:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.610$ it's not sufficient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:32:16.610 --> 00:32:19.042 and I think the best way to illustrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00{:}32{:}19.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}21.709$ that is is another example I told you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:32:21.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.467$ I interviewed a lot of people in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:32:23.467 \dashrightarrow 00:32:25.472$ one of the gentleman I interviewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00{:}32{:}25.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}27.447$ with the CEO and entrepreneur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:32:27.450 --> 00:32:29.394 He had his own consumer product

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:32:29.394 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.960$ firm based in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:32:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.780$ privately held and a lot of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:32:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.590$ said you should go speak with

 $00:32:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.205$ this this gentleman because he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:32:36.205 --> 00:32:38.049 very thoughtful about ethics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:32:38.049 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.569$ values in organizational settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:32:39.570 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.306$ So I did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003 00:32:42.306 --> 00:32:43.218 And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:32:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.380$ He said he went to tell me a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:32:45.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.823$ story so he said he was recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:32:47.823 --> 00:32:50.063 looking to hire A graduate from

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00{:}32{:}50.063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.079$ one of the top business schools

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:32:52.079 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.074$ to take a very high potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00{:}32{:}54.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}55.386$ position in his organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:32:55.390 --> 00:32:57.301 and somebody who is really going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:32:57.301 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.315$ try and develop and move throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00{:}32{:}59.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}01.215$ the organization and his human

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:33:01.215 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.352$ resources department recommended

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:33:02.352 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.278$ two or three finalists to him,

 $00:33:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.032$ and then he was doing the final interview

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00{:}33{:}07.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10.527$ and he said he had a young man in his office.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:33:10.530 --> 00:33:12.828 This recent graduate and he asked

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:33:12.828 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.360$ him in the interview.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:33:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.288$ Did you take an ethics class in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:33:17.288 --> 00:33:19.780 graduate work and the young man said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:33:19.780 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.580$ well, yes, it was required.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00{:}33{:}21.580 \to 00{:}33{:}23.390$ So the CEO said, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:33:23.390 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.830$ what did you learn?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00{:}33{:}24.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26.630$ So this this gentleman said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:33:26.630 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.435$ Well, I learned all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:33:28.435 --> 00:33:29.879 models of ethical reasoning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:33:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.966$ utilitarianism, the ontology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:33:30.966 --> 00:33:31.328 virtue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:33:31.328 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.690$ ethics,

 $00{:}33{:}31.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}33.700$ and then I learned that whenever

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00{:}33{:}33.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35.660$ you encounter a values conflict,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:33:35.660 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.706$ you should decide what you want

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:33:37.706 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.485$ to do and then select the model

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:33:40.485 --> 00:33:42.710 of ethical reasoning that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:33:42.710 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.240$ best support which you want to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:33:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.582$ Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:33:45.582 --> 00:33:47.976 this wasn't what the professor was hoping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00{:}33{:}47.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50.008$ This gentleman will walk away with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:33:50.008 --> 00:33:52.735 and the CEO who was telling me this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:33:52.735 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.160$ story was sort of yanking my chain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:33:55.160 --> 00:33:57.206 He was kind of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:33:57.210 --> 00:34:00.288 smirking at me because I was the ethics lady,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:34:00.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.710$ right? But there was a lot of truth to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:34:03.710 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.394$ I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:34:04.394 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.104$ these models of ethical reasoning

 $00:34:06.104 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.130$ by design conflict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:34:07.130 --> 00:34:08.298 That's why they're valuable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:34:08.298 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.492$ because what you see from a duty

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:34:10.492 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.422$ based perspective you might miss

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:34:12.422 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.966$ from a consequentialist perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:34:13.970 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.053$ So you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

00:34:15.053 --> 00:34:16.497 By design they conflict,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:34:16.500 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.999$ so they don't tell you what's right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:34:19.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.725$ They help you think rigorously

NOTE Confidence: 0.85874003

 $00:34:20.725 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.450$ and then once you've decided

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:34:22.510 --> 00:34:23.982 what's right, they certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:34:23.982 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.830$ don't tell you how to get it done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:34:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.960$ and so used poorly or or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:34:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.336$ or taken in poorly, they can become a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:34:31.336 --> 00:34:33.946 kind of schooling for sophistry, which

 $00:34:33.946 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.794$ is what I would often see in classrooms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00{:}34{:}36.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}39.362$ and what certainly this candidate that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:34:39.362 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.137$ CEO is telling me about took from there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:34:42.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.269$ from their ethics from his ethics class.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:34:45.270 --> 00:34:46.638 So I thought OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:34:46.638 --> 00:34:48.348 awareness and analysis both necessary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:34:48.350 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.066$ neither sufficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:34:49.066 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.272$ And So what we really needed was when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00{:}34{:}52.272 \longrightarrow 00{:}34{:}54.480$ called the third day or action we needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:34:54.480 --> 00:34:56.900 a pedagogy to actually help people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00{:}34{:}56.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59.972$ Once they had decided what they believe the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:34:59.972 --> 00:35:03.046 right thing to do was to put it into action,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:03.050 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.734$ affectively, successfully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:35:03.734 --> 00:35:06.476 And so then you know, I thought, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:06.476 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.870$ we do need a different teaching technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00{:}35{:}08.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}10.580$ We need a different pedagogy.

 $00:35:10.580 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.060$ And so we ended up created what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00{:}35{:}13.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}15.419$ call the giving voice to values.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:15.420 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.120$ Thought experiment GGV thought experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:17.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.416$ I was very familiar with the case

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:19.416 \dashrightarrow 00:35:21.519$ method when I've been at Harvard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.872$ I used to hire and train and run

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:23.872 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.928$ their their case writing program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:25.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.958$ 60 case riders in all fields,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:35:27.960 --> 00:35:29.320 you know, human resources,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.000$ organizational behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.772$ finance, accounting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:30.772 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.158$ marketing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:31.158 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.200$ who would write the case studies that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:34.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.440$ would use so was very familiar with those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.468$ I'm sure you've all seen them.

 $00:35:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.512$ They tend to be 15 to 20 pages long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:35:41.520 --> 00:35:43.220 They feature usually the CEO,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.488$ senior executive and they end with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:45.490 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.150$ That executive leaning back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:47.150 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.152$ their chair or looking out the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:35:49.152 --> 00:35:51.105 window and saying what should I do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:51.110 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.366$ And this this is a great way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:35:53.366 --> 00:35:54.850 teach analysis and awareness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00{:}35{:}54.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}55.783$ awareness and analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:55.783 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.027$ As I was saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.590$ it didn't really teach action.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:58.590 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.186$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:35:59.186 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.272$ it was almost like you would spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:01.272 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.541$ the whole 90 minutes or or two hours

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:03.541 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.078$ of a class discussing what was right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:06.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.888$ And then what the right thing to do was.

 $00:36:08.890 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.773$ And then in the last five minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00{:}36{:}10.773 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}13.131$ it's like and then you create an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:36:13.131 --> 00:36:14.688 implementation plan, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:14.688 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.496$ And so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:36:15.496 --> 00:36:17.051 So I thought, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:36:17.051 --> 00:36:19.438 we really need a different teaching tool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:19.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.834$ so we created these given with values,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.204$ thought experiments there still

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:23.204 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.568$ scenario based I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:24.570 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.964$ and they're all based on real scenarios,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.680$ mostly disguised for obvious reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.067$ But I still think that's very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:36:31.070 --> 00:36:33.806 But what we do is they tend to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:36:33.806 --> 00:36:35.856 much shorter, often they're just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:35.856 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.563$ you know, a couple pages.

 $00:36:37.563 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.586$ Sometimes they're longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:38.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.753$ but they tend to be shorter than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:36:40.753 --> 00:36:42.888 the Harvard cases or the Harvard

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:42.888 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.408$ typical business case study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:44.410 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.510$ They feature people at every level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.286$ In the organization because as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:36:48.286 --> 00:36:49.940 learn from that Columbia example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:49.940 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.876$ people start encountering

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00{:}36{:}50.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.124$ values conflicts right away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:52.130 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.450$ It's not like you have to wait till

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00{:}36{:}54.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.806$ your CEO to have a values conflict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:56.810 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.392$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:57.392 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.429$ students would too often say in class

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:36:59.429 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.488$ when we discussed ethics that well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

00:37:01.490 --> 00:37:04.920 When I get to be CEO, I can deal with this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:37:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.480$ but right now I can't.

00:37:06.480 --> 00:37:08.070 You know which is interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:37:08.070 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.660$ because it reveals a stunning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:37:09.715 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.007$ amount of arrogance because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8249331

 $00:37:11.007 \dashrightarrow 00:37:12.945$ they all assumed they were going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:37:13.003 \dashrightarrow 00:37:14.930$ to be CEO's. But it also suggests

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:37:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.200$ that if you don't act this way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

00:37:17.200 --> 00:37:18.064 Throughout your career,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00{:}37{:}18.064 --> 00{:}37{:}19.504$ you're still going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:37:19.504 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.850$ someone who cares about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:37:20.850 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.098$ By the time you get to be CEO,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00{:}37{:}23.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}25.052$ so you know we tried to have people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:37:25.052 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.037$ at every level in the organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:37:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.630$ but the big difference in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00{:}37{:}28.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}30.623$ scenarios is that they are what one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:37:30.623 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.088$ Dean called Post decision making.

 $00:37:32.090 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.026$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:37:33.026 \dashrightarrow 00:37:34.898$ they end with a protagonist who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00{:}37{:}34.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}36.582$ already decided what he or she

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:37:36.582 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.279$ thinks the right thing to do is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:37:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.248$ and the question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:37:39.248 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.370$ how could they get it done effectively?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:37:41.370 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.610$ What would they need to say and do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:37:43.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.398$ What would the objections be and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00{:}37{:}45.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47.269$ then what would they say and do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:37:47.270 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.040$ And what data do they need?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00{:}37{:}49.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}51.392$ How do they reframe the challenge an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:37:51.392 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.443$ how do they structure a longer term

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

00:37:54.443 --> 00:37:56.898 intervention if that's what's required?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:37:56.900 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.044$ And so we wanted to make them to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:37:59.044 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.266$ do that for a number of reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:01.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.210$ A lot of it has to do with some of

 $00:38:03.267 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.577$ the research that was coming out about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:05.577 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.306$ how people actually confront values

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:07.306 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.322$ conflicts that I was first exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

00:38:09.322 --> 00:38:11.176 to these ideas with Jonathan Hight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:11.176 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.200$ the psychologist who was at UBS now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.660$ Then why you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:15.660 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.140$ The paper it was called something like the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:19.140 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.688$ The emotional tail that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:20.688 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.236$ wags the rational dog.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.472$ But the idea was that when we encounter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00{:}38{:}25.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}27.767$ values conflicts we we don't typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00{:}38{:}27.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30.353$ sit down and say what would Aristotle

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:30.353 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.069$ say or what would John Rawls say?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00{:}38{:}33.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}35.779$ We don't make pros and cons list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.096$ Typically we tend to react automatically,

00:38:38.100 --> 00:38:39.753 emotionally, even unconsciously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:39.753 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.610$ and then we rationalize post hoc why

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:43.695 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.422$ it was the right thing to do or why

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:46.422 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.290$ it was the only thing we could do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.649$ We don't do this because we're evil.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:51.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.661$ We do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:52.661 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.685$ It's a self protective measure, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

00:38:54.685 --> 00:38:56.695 To avoid the cognitive dissonance of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00{:}38{:}56.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}58.048$ you know, knowing something's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:58.048 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.733$ wrong in doing it anyways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:38:59.740 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.088$ and we're talking here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:01.088 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.110$ not about people who are intentional's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

00:39:03.110 --> 00:39:05.126 You know, these are people who,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.234$ like most of us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:06.234 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.890$ know that we're not we're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.510$ sociopaths or psychopaths,

 $00:39:09.510 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.720$ but we're we're actually just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39{:}11.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}14.510$ protecting ourselves in this way and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

00:39:14.510 --> 00:39:15.982 I thought to myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:15.982 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.350$ well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:16.350 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.325$ you know if that's the way people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:19.325 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.600$ approach these issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.625$ What we really need to do is to kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:22.625 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.057$ of rewire that automatic response right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

00:39:25.060 --> 00:39:26.978 And so we decided to go with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

00:39:26.978 --> 00:39:28.619 this post decision making model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39{:}28.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30.996$ Don't ask people what would you do I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:31.000 \dashrightarrow 00:39:33.100$ Instead we ask what if you were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:33.100 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.149$ this person who wants to do this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

00:39:35.150 --> 00:39:36.938 How would you get it done?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:36.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.061$ We do that because if we ask

 $00:39:39.061 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.499$ people what would you do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:40.500 \dashrightarrow 00:39:42.876$ I tend to get three kinds of answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.924$ I either get the answer that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:44.924 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.941$ would say well I would do the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:46.941 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.945$ right thing whenever that is in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

00:39:48.945 --> 00:39:51.248 circumstance and they may really mean it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:51.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.930$ But we know from experience that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:52.930 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.362$ that often isn't what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:54.362 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.617$ for a lot of reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477237

 $00:39:55.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.826$ Or you get people who will say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:39:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.650$ You know, I know what you want me to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:40:01.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.060$ but it's it's not feasible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:40:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.900$ It's not possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:40:03.900 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.860$ Or in those people may be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

00:40:05.920 --> 00:40:07.570 just trying to be very honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00{:}40{:}07.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}09.544$ or they may be playing devil's advocate,

00:40:09.550 --> 00:40:11.614 but you know, and then you get people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00{:}40{:}11.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}13.487$ who just argue with the premise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

00:40:13.490 --> 00:40:15.204 If it was not wrong, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:40:15.204 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.636$ So any of those responses in an educational

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:40:17.636 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.617$ setting are not going to get you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00{:}40{:}19.617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}21.561$ the rehearsal in the pre scripting in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:40:21.561 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.355$ the coaching that I'm talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

00:40:23.360 --> 00:40:25.576 So instead we ask people what if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:40:25.576 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.879$ were this person who wants to do this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:40:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.830$ How could they get it done?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00{:}40{:}29.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32.740$ And the idea is that that kind of frees up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:40:32.814 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.142$ more of your creativity, more innovation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

00:40:35.142 --> 00:40:36.797 It brings the emotion down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:40:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.460$ It brings the pressure down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:40:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.833$ We know from the research about creativity

 $00:40:40.833 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.297$ that people are more creative when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

00:40:43.297 --> 00:40:45.367 don't have that pressure where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:40:45.432 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.816$ are on the spot and you trigger that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:40:47.816 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.084$ automatic self protective response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:40:49.084 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.076$ And so we ask them this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:40:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.740$ what if question you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:40:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.762$ I would often observed in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:40:54.762 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.513$ classroom that students would respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00{:}40{:}56.513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}58.535$ with a more skeptical or cynical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

00:40:58.535 --> 00:41:00.300 position in ethics discussions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00{:}41{:}00.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}01.728$ Often, seemingly because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

00:41:01.728 --> 00:41:03.513 didn't want to look naive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.310$ they didn't want to look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

00:41:05.310 --> 00:41:06.441 you know, Pollyannaish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:06.441 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.326$ They wanted to look sophisticated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00{:}41{:}08.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10.319$ like they've been around the block,

 $00:41:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.623$ and so if you frame this question

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

00:41:12.623 --> 00:41:14.620 in this post decision way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:14.620 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.564$ what you're doing is allowing them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:16.564 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.035$ to to show that they're smart and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:19.035 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.880$ sophisticated by figuring out how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.569$ to do the thing that everyone says.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:23.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.922$ It's impossible to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:24.922 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.925$ We don't ask them to own that response

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:27.925 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.607$ until there's actually some options on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:30.607 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.517$ the table for how you could enact it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.964$ The idea is that now if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:36.964 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.600$ entire encounter those situations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

00:41:39.600 --> 00:41:42.918 they have more models, more examples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.910$ more scripts, more positive cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:46.910 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.974$ That allows them to believe that they may

00:41:48.974 --> 00:41:51.498 be more choices than they originally thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:51.500 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.336$ What I often find in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

00:41:53.336 --> 00:41:53.948 educational settings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:53.950 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.170$ both in corporate settings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:55.170 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.695$ in educational and in classrooms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.536$ is that people tend to see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:41:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.988$ and there's been some research on this too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

00:42:00.990 --> 00:42:01.602 I believe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00{:}42{:}01.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}04.050$ but they tend to see the negative response

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:04.109 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.589$ as the norm and the positive if they have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00{:}42{:}06.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}09.248$ positive response and acting on their values.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.080$ They see it as the exception,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.010$ and So what we're trying to do is to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:14.083 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.293$ them sort of rewire that a bit and feel like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:17.300 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.940$ That they have more choices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:18.940 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.883$ So that's kind of the giving voice to values,

 $00:42:21.890 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.494$ thought experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:22.494 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.910$ So what we did is we set out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:24.981 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.806$ to gather all these examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:26.810 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.106$ We created hundreds of pieces of material.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00{:}42{:}29.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}31.399$ These short cases with with be cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.261$ That said what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:32.261 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.696$ How the person actually acted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:33.696 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.340$ on their values effectively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.320$ and teaching plans and we and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.280$ we made them available for free.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.317$ And we asked people to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:41.317 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.540$ use them to see what happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.440$ And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8774448

 $00:42:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.540$ in the process of doing this we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:42:46.615 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.478$ created, you know, kind of.

00:42:48.478 --> 00:42:50.470 Protocol set of questions to answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00{:}42{:}50.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52.458$ We identified a lot of exercises to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:42:52.458 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.244$ help people to begin to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:42:54.244 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.274$ what enabled them to do this more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:42:56.335 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.927$ effectively or disable them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:42:57.930 --> 00:43:00.107 We actually came up with seven pillars,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:43:00.110 --> 00:43:02.280 which I won't go through all now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:43:02.280 --> 00:43:04.068 but if you're interested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00{:}43{:}04.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}06.750$ I can share them with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:43:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.390$ These pillars were when I looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00{:}43{:}09.390 \to 00{:}43{:}12.000$ people that we interviewed and looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:43:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.450$ The examples of acting on their values.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:43:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.670$ They were mindsets or perspectives or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:43:16.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.102$ approaches that seem to make it more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:43:19.102 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.181$ likely or or more feasible that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00{:}43{:}21.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}23.549$ could act effectively on their values.

 $00:43:23.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.560$ And so we identified those things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:43:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.053$ and we made all the stuff available

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:43:28.053 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.497$ and we were fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:43:29.500 --> 00:43:31.950 Frankly, kind of stunned at the speed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:43:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.750$ an extent of the spread of the approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:43:34.750 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.928$ As I said before, it's it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:43:36.930 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.680$ It's been used in many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:43:38.680 --> 00:43:39.622 many business schools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:43:39.622 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.820$ but as we started we never really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:43:41.885 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.910$ marketed it beyond business schools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00{:}43{:}43.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47.042$ But company started coming to us to use it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:43:47.050 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.150$ and then other types of organizations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00{:}43{:}49.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}50.542$ and then other professions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:43:50.542 --> 00:43:51.934 As I said earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:43:51.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.685$ starting to use the approach

 $00:43:53.685 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.430$ which has been really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:43:55.430 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.110$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:43:56.110 --> 00:43:58.150 we're working on a project right

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:43:58.150 --> 00:44:00.301 now around giving voice to values

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:00.301 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.705$ and and stem ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:44:01.710 --> 00:44:02.398 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:02.398 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.462$ because there's so many new issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:04.462 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.166$ in that area, and so it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:07.166 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.186$ Interesting because then people started

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:09.186 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.424$ to do some research on the impact of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00{:}44{:}12.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}13.870$ Remember talking to Jonathan Height

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:13.870 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.107$ and this was years ago when I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:44:16.107 --> 00:44:17.595 first developing this and he said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:44:17.600 --> 00:44:20.064 well, how do you? How do you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.409$ Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00{:}44{:}20.409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}22.443$ explain or or justify the impact

00:44:22.443 --> 00:44:24.518 of this approach and I said, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:44:24.518 --> 00:44:26.744 I think about it at 4 levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:44:26.750 --> 00:44:28.654 You know the first is that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:28.654 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.965$ know it was based on that research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00{:}44{:}30.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}33.101$ that I mentioned to you earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:44:33.110 --> 00:44:35.036 You know from from psychology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:35.036 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.001$ from neuroscience is from and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:37.001 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.551$ qualitative research that I mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00{:}44{:}38.551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}40.783$ you know is based on research about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:40.783 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.643$ how people can develop and change

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.869$ and that was not research on GGV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:44.870 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.610$ He was just research on on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00{:}44{:}46.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}47.480$ people's developmental processes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.430$ and learning processes. They say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.650$ But then the second level is

 $00:44:51.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.219$ we started to gather.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:53.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.552$ You know, an ecdotal stories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:44:54.552 --> 00:44:55.218 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:55.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.182$ we started to hear from people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:44:57.182 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.171$ who have gone through the class

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:44:59.171 --> 00:45:01.019 and then had actually acted in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:45:01.019 --> 00:45:03.125 this way and attributed it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:45:03.125 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.905$ the experiences that they had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:45:04.910 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.374$ And then we see the third level as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:45:07.374 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.383$ we started to have some educators

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00{:}45{:}09.383 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11.780$ who would do pre and post kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:45:11.780 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.880$ of surveys and they would look

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:45:13.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.514$ at the impacts of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:45:15.514 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.974$ That's done most often in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:45:16.974 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.600$ the field of accounting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:45:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.700$ There's some new papers that are coming

 $00:45:20.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.786$ out where they shows no significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:45:22.786 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.042$ differences in the way students respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:45:25.050 --> 00:45:26.615 After going through the giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:45:26.615 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.897$ voice to values or the not giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

00:45:28.897 --> 00:45:30.847 those values and then the fourth

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:45:30.847 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.773$ level would be kind of the Holy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:45:32.773 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.288$ Grail of this kind of research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405984

 $00:45:34.288 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.480$ And as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:45:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.970$ I'm not a social scientist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:45:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.394$ so I actually teamed up with a social

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00{:}45{:}39.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}41.836$ scientist at Yale and we were trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:45:41.836 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.118$ do some research where we would look at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00{:}45{:}44.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.339$ you know, people who had had this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:45:46.339 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.178$ experience and people who had not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:45:48.178 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.110$ and then maybe two years later there

 $00:45:50.176 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.480$ was an ethical issue and did they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00{:}45{:}52.480 {\: -->\:} 00{:}45{:}54.047$ respond more appropriately or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00{:}45{:}54.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}56.021$ And frankly we couldn't design a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

00:45:56.021 --> 00:45:57.947 study that work because it was just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:45:57.947 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.079$ too much noise in the system obvious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.208$ Lee, you know you can't do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

00:46:02.210 --> 00:46:03.730 You could find correlative connections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

00:46:03.730 --> 00:46:05.548 but you couldn't find causal connections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:05.550 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.286$ I don't know how you would design that study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

00:46:08.290 --> 00:46:09.810 I'm hoping there's some social

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.330$ scientists out there who can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:11.330 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.148$ but what the from the experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:13.148 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.057$ we were having,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:14.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.845$ both with the folks who were doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

00:46:15.845 --> 00:46:18.119 the sort of pre and post studies with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:18.119 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.976$ students and then also the experiences

00:46:19.976 --> 00:46:22.461 we were having in companies or companies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00{:}46{:}22.461 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}24.775$ were saying to us people are raising

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

00:46:24.775 --> 00:46:26.716 issues more often or sometimes when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

00:46:26.716 --> 00:46:28.704 people will raise an issue they may

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:28.704 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.728$ still go to their ethics officer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:30.730 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.278$ And report,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:31.278 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.922$ but rather than being a report

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

00:46:32.922 --> 00:46:33.930 for investigation purposes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:33.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.967$ they bring the issue to say look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:35.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.007$ I think there's something going on here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:38.010 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.460$ I want to address it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.876$ Will you work with me to create an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00{:}46{:}41.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}43.083$ effective strategy and scripting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:43.083 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.987$ approach so that I can do it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:44.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.027$ I had this feedback from Lockheed Martin,

 $00:46:47.030 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.535$ the defense contractor and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

00:46:48.535 --> 00:46:50.519 you know from their point of view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00{:}46{:}50.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}52.152$ that helps him feel like there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:52.152 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.584$ more true culture change because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:53.584 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.059$ people were taking ownership for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:55.059 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.630$ trying to address the issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:56.630 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.662$ And then we started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:57.662 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.607$ We created a book series from Rutledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:46:59.607 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.287$ around giving voice to values,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.740$ applying it to different areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.740$ We have a new book coming out in the fall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:05.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.092$ On tactics for combining

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

00:47:07.092 --> 00:47:08.106 for combating racism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:08.110 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.458$ where it's actually examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:09.458 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.806$ of conversations you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:10.810 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.176$ and the the woman who wrote it,

00:47:13.180 --> 00:47:13.872 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:13.872 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.564$ you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:14.564 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.294$ She gives examples of real

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

00:47:16.294 --> 00:47:17.907 conversations and what people said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:17.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.358$ and then how you might respond in kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:20.358 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.662$ of talks about what can be effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:22.662 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.010$ and what might be less effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:25.010 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.554$ And we've had similar as a book that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:27.554 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.012$ was written for use in in medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00{:}47{:}30.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}31.767$ schools for the medical ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

00:47:31.770 --> 00:47:33.888 Required courses you know where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:33.888 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.690$ actually introduced the the materials

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00{:}47{:}35.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}38.007$ and the codes and the principles that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

00:47:38.010 --> 00:47:40.425 You already teach and need to teach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:40.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.548$ but then they also introduce giving

 $00:47:42.548 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.338$ voice to values and they have a set

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00{:}47{:}45.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.300$ of like 10 scenarios that residents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:47.373 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.413$ young physicians might face and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

00:47:49.413 --> 00:47:52.016 begin to try and apply the given West

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:52.016 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.870$ values methodology to how you might

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:53.926 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.296$ address them effectively in your workspace.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

00:47:56.300 --> 00:47:56.644 Similarly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:47:56.644 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.708$ people in law have done that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00{:}47{:}58.710 --> 00{:}48{:}00.010$ People are applying it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:48:00.010 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.405$ We've got a book where someone is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00{:}48{:}02.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04.679$ trying trying to apply it around

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:48:04.679 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.595$ sustainability with another book written

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:48:06.595 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.080$ to apply these ideas around young adult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:48:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.735$ Development so people sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

 $00:48:10.735 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.740$ took it beyond the original idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761434

00:48:12.740 --> 00:48:14.868 I'm going to wrap up and hopefully

 $00:48:14.868 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.780$ we have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:15.853 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.409$ time for some questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

00:48:17.410 --> 00:48:19.396 but I think what you're probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:19.396 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.435$ gathering right now is that giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:21.435 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.724$ with values is really just a reframe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.394$ All it is asking a different question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.688$ As I said earlier and I like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:28.688 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.976$ tell like to conclude by saying that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:30.976 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.390$ GV is based on three reversals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:33.390 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.734$ three flips, or reversals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:34.734 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.146$ We've reversed what it is we're talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:37.146 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.778$ about when we talk about values and ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:39.780 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.936$ In organizations or in our wider lives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:41.940 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.490$ we've reversed who it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:43.490 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.030$ We think we're talking to,

 $00:48:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.580$ and we've reversed how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.510$ have the conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:47.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.666$ So in terms of what it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:49.670 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.519$ we're talking about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:50.519 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.854$ it used to be that I remember we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

00:48:52.854 --> 00:48:54.324 would proudly proclaim this when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

00:48:54.324 --> 00:48:56.469 I was at Harvard Business School,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:48:56.470 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.934$ who would say no in our ethics classes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00{:}48{:}58.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}01.712$ We don't focus on the so called clear cut,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

00:49:01.720 --> 00:49:03.568 right? Wrong black and white issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:03.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.761$ We focus on the grey issues because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:05.761 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.280$ the clearcut issues are easy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.124$ We want to focus on the complex issues where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

00:49:10.130 --> 00:49:13.064 It's not so obvious and I used to think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:13.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.426$ yeah, that makes sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:14.426 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.000$ but I've actually changed my mind on that.

 $00:49:17.000 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.602$ I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:17.602 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.590$ of course there are a lot of great issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

00:49:20.590 --> 00:49:22.350 but reasonable people of goodwill

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:22.350 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.110$ and intelligence can legitimately do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:24.167 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.829$ disagree on many of those issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.460$ That's that's why they're grey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

00:49:27.460 --> 00:49:28.154 But nevertheless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:28.154 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.389$ there are a lot of issues where most of us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.292$ not every body but most of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00{:}49{:}33.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}34.980$ would have clicked would agree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00{:}49{:}34.980 \to 00{:}49{:}37.269$ You know, that's clearly over the line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00{:}49{:}37.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}38.905$ It's clearly illegal or fraudulent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:38.905 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.559$ or abusive. But just because?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:40.559 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.890$ Most of us might feel that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:42.890 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.828$ Doesn't mean that we feel able

 $00:49:44.828 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.780$ capable to be effective in acting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

00:49:46.780 --> 00:49:47.596 And So what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:47.596 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.316$ What we've decided to do is to focus more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:50.316 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.927$ with the giving voice to values scenarios.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:52.930 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.550$ On those more clearcut issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:49:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.671$ because we find that if we focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

00:49:56.671 --> 00:49:59.140 on the grey issues we never get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

00:49:59.140 --> 00:50:01.000 past the discussion about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00{:}50{:}01.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}03.136$ many angels dance on the head of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

00:50:03.136 --> 00:50:05.566 a pin to talk about how you might

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00{:}50{:}05.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07.510$ actually enact an act on something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00{:}50{:}07.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}09.160$ Once you've decided which you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:50:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.250$ believe is right and so we've.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:50:11.250 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.210$ Try to focus on issues where most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

00:50:13.210 --> 00:50:15.765 of us would agree mean you know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

00:50:15.765 --> 00:50:18.189 that's clearly over the line and it's

 $00{:}50{:}18.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}20.319$ interesting because I think if people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00{:}50{:}20.319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}22.278$ get better at talking about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

00:50:22.278 --> 00:50:24.150 it's actually going to make them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:50:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.880$ better at discussing in dealing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:50:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.216$ with the grey issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:50:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.080$ I remember talking to a gentleman

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:50:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.695$ who designed a lot of The Who was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00{:}50{:}31.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}33.663$ responsible for designing the ethics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:50:33.724 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.348$ leadership programs for the US Army,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:50:36.350 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.980$ just like 1.3 million in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:50:37.980 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.284$ listed and civilian employees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:50:39.290 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.240$ And he was saying to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

00:50:41.240 --> 00:50:41.924 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:50:41.924 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.634$ I've been working in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:50:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.144$ Area for a number of years and it's

 $00:50:46.144 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.965$ so interesting to me that over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00{:}50{:}47.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}50.021$ the years the number of times that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:50:50.021 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.121$ someone will say an issue is Gray

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

00:50:52.121 --> 00:50:53.874 just gets bigger and bigger and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

 $00:50:53.874 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.173$ bigger and you know an when he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8372687

00:50:56.173 --> 00:50:58.269 kind of pointing out is it wasn't that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:50:58.334 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.608$ they were necessarily all that complex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:51:00.610 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.120$ it's just that it became

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:51:02.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.940$ easier to frame them that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

00:51:03.940 --> 00:51:05.758 If you didn't actually feel like

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00{:}51{:}05.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07.840$ you had any options, and so that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:51:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.300$ part of why we focus on the more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:51:10.300 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.648$ As I say, clearcut issues see and the 2nd.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:51:13.650 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.346$ Flip is who we think we're talking to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:51:16.350 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.440$ Now it used to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00{:}51{:}17.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}18.735$ I'd walk into a classroom where I'd

00:51:18.735 --> 00:51:20.236 walk into an organization, a company,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00{:}51{:}20.236 \to 00{:}51{:}21.622$ and they tell you that most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:51:21.622 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.108$ the people here are good people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:51:23.110 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.629$ We just have a few bad apples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00{:}51{:}24.630 \to 00{:}51{:}27.375$ and those are the ones this program is for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:51:27.380 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.576$ But I actually think in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:51:29.576 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.308$ audience differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:51:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.501$ This is based on some research by

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00{:}51{:}32.501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}34.779$ the late great deeds and Peter

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

00:51:34.779 --> 00:51:36.899 Crampton on ethics and negotiations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:51:36.900 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.693$ but we think of the organization or

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00{:}51{:}39.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}42.318$ the classroom as a bell curve and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00{:}51{:}42.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}45.115$ we premise that at one tail end of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:51:45.115 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.530$ the bell curve are the folks who

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:51:47.530 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.556$ would self identify as opportunists

 $00:51:49.556 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.651$ and we would define opportunists

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00{:}51{:}51.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}53.912$ as people who would say I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:51:53.912 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.375$ always try and act in my personal

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:51:56.375 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.390$ self interest regardless of values.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:51:58.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.262$ Nobody falls into one of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:52:00.262 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.510$ categories all the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:52:01.510 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.946$ but these are people who say that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

00:52:03.946 --> 00:52:05.847 my primary motivation and at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:52:05.847 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.062$ other tail end of the bell curve are

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

00:52:08.062 --> 00:52:10.246 the folks who would say who would

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00{:}52{:}10.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}11.845$ identify self identify as idealists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:52:11.845 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.795$ These are the people who would

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

00:52:13.795 --> 00:52:14.928 say I would like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

00:52:14.930 --> 00:52:17.418 I will always try and after my values,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:52:17.420 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.490$ regardless of the impact on myself

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:52:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.468$ interest what we premises is the

 $00:52:21.468 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.344$ majority of us fall under the belt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:52:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.646$ I put myself there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:52:24.646 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.046$ we call them pragmatists and we define

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:52:27.046 \dashrightarrow 00:52:29.386$ pragmatists as people who would say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00{:}52{:}29.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}31.310$ I would like to act on my values

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:52:31.310 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.520$ as long as it doesn't put me

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:52:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.852$ at a systematic disadvantage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:52:34.860 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.438$ Now that doesn't mean as long

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:52:36.438 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.030$ as I know I'll succeed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00{:}52{:}38.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}40.046$ It doesn't mean as long as I

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00{:}52{:}40.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41.769$ know I'll never pay a price.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:52:41.770 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.362$ It simply means I think I have a shot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

00:52:44.370 --> 00:52:46.379 I think I have a chance now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

00:52:46.380 --> 00:52:48.396 If you define your audience that way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:52:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.143$ I don't really think I have the

00:52:50.143 --> 00:52:51.850 power to change the opportunists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00{:}52{:}51.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}53.874$ I think there will always be with us

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:52:53.874 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.459$ and I'm not so worried about the idealists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:52:56.460 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.673$ Except I would like them to be more skillful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

00:52:59.680 --> 00:53:01.906 But we're really focusing on the pragmatists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00{:}53{:}01.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}04.059$ and we're saying we want to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

00:53:04.059 --> 00:53:06.350 you the skills we want to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:53:06.350 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.654$ you the literal scripts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00{:}53{:}07.660 \to 00{:}53{:}09.886$ the arguments we want to give you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:53:09.890 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.844$ the rehearsal practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:53:10.844 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.752$ and the peer coaching to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:53:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.175$ And the positive examples to be who

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:53:15.175 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.539$ you already want to be at your best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:53:17.540 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.140$ We're not trying to change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:53:19.140 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.730$ you were trying to enable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

00:53:20.730 --> 00:53:22.650 You were trying to empower you,

 $00:53:22.650 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.883$ and our premise is that if enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:53:24.883 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.840$ of the pragmatists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:53:25.840 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.926$ and the idea lists are more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:53:27.926 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.108$ to do this and more skillful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

00:53:30.110 --> 00:53:31.082 At enacting it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:53:31.082 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.026$ it changes the water that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

00:53:33.026 --> 00:53:34.300 opportunists are swimming in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:53:34.300 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.040$ So the calculus that they do

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231106

 $00:53:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.200$ to determine what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

00:53:37.263 --> 00:53:39.503 in their self interest has to shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00{:}53{:}39.503 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42.238$ because they can't rely on the same level

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:53:42.238 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.280$ of silent complicity from everybody else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:53:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.160$ So that's how we've sort of flipped who we

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:53:47.230 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.897$ think we're talking to in these classes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:53:49.900 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.012$ And then, finally, we've.

00:53:51.012 --> 00:53:53.390 We've flipped how we have the conversation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00{:}53{:}53.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}55.518$ and I've already described that to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:53:55.518 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.824$ instead of asking what's right, we ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

00:53:57.824 --> 00:54:00.360 how do you get the right thing done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:54:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.015$ and we engage you in pre scripting and action

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:54:03.015 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.119$ planning and rehearsal and peer coaching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

00:54:05.120 --> 00:54:07.648 It's not a role play role plays are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

00:54:07.650 --> 00:54:08.736 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:54:08.736 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.994$ traditional role plays an adverse aerial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

00:54:12.000 --> 00:54:14.696 I remember when I was still a Columbia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00{:}54{:}14.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}16.716$ there was a very he's still.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

00:54:16.720 --> 00:54:18.736 There was very experienced, very senior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:54:18.740 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.140$ very respected leadership faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:54:20.140 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.615$ member there and he was very committed

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:54:22.615 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.792$ to values driven leadership and so he

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:54:24.792 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.168$ saw all these stories at the Columbia.

00:54:27.170 --> 00:54:29.132 Students were writing an he said

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:54:29.132 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.870$ to me he said Mary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

00:54:30.870 --> 00:54:32.886 I want to design, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:54:32.890 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.230$ an optional voluntary workshop for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00{:}54{:}35.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}37.728$ MBA students where we'll take a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:54:37.728 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.765$ of those scenarios and will have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:54:39.829 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.055$ students come in and will have them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:54:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.880$ Respond to them and the way he set it up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00.54.44.880 \longrightarrow 00.54.45.892$ This is pre GGV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:54:45.892 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.550$ so it was part of the influence to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:54:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.330$ But he said the way he set it up is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00{:}54{:}52.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}54.773$ He would share a scenario and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:54:54.773 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.825$ would invite students in the group

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:54:56.825 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.002$ to to voice a values based position

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:54:59.066 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.876$ in response to the scenario,

 $00:55:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.267$ and he played the bad guy right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00{:}55{:}03.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}05.322$ The manager who is telling you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:05.322 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.690$ to do something illegal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:06.690 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.790$ or whoever the villain in the scenario

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:08.790 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.558$ was now this particular faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

00:55:10.558 --> 00:55:12.812 member was very sharp, very crisp,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:12.812 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.059$ and it's thinking very quick on his

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:15.059 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.296$ feet and a little bit intimidating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:17.300 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.631$ And so the first scenario or two

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

00:55:19.631 --> 00:55:21.399 student would raise their hand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

00:55:21.400 --> 00:55:22.042 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:22.042 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.968$ it's like sheep to the slaughter

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:23.968 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.579$ they would start speaking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00{:}55{:}25.580 \to 00{:}55{:}27.155$ And this professor would just

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:27.155 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.100$ shoot them down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:28.100 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.440$ You know very easily and very quickly and so

00:55:30.440 --> 00:55:32.827 very quickly nobody would volunteer anymore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:32.830 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.466$ you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:33.466 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.010$ And so it ended up being a reinforcement

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

00:55:36.079 --> 00:55:38.495 about how impossible it is to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

00:55:38.500 --> 00:55:40.411 And So what we've decided is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:40.411 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.279$ it's not that adversarial role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.485$ Plays can't be a good way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:44.485 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.430$ sharpen your skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:45.430 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.312$ They certainly can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

00:55:46.312 --> 00:55:48.076 but you need to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:48.076 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.839$ this in the developmental way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:55:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.898$ and we need to actually give

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00{:}55{:}51.898 \to 00{:}55{:}53.901$ people the opportunity to all be

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

00:55:53.901 --> 00:55:55.875 on the same side trying to build.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

00:55:55.880 --> 00:55:57.464 An effective script and then they

00:55:57.464 --> 00:55:59.547 get to pick an action plan and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

00:55:59.547 --> 00:56:01.595 get to peer coach on it and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:56:01.595 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.478$ get to point out what doesn't work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:56:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.568$ You know they get to point out what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:56:05.570 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.734$ What is less effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:56:06.734 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.798$ but only if they are engaged in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:56:08.798 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.562$ being part of the group to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

00:56:10.562 --> 00:56:12.508 and enhance it to try and make it

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00{:}56{:}12.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}14.930$ better so they work as a group to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:56:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.780$ try and come up with the most.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00{:}56{:}17.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}19.088$ Skillful scripts and action

NOTE Confidence: 0.86075485

 $00:56:19.088 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.396$ plans for enacting values.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

00:56:20.400 --> 00:56:21.336 Then, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

 $00:56:21.336 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.932$ you could still move on to an adverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

00:56:23.932 --> 00:56:26.305 aerial role play if you want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

00:56:26.310 --> 00:56:28.599 After people have built up this muscle,

 $00:56:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.240$ but if you start there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

 $00:56:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.370$ it was actually reinforcing the sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

 $00:56:32.370 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.509$ that it was impossible to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

 $00:56:34.510 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.453$ And so that's, and that's why we do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

 $00:56:37.460 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.076$ so that's kind of GV in a nutshell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

 $00:56:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.278$ There's many stories I can share with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

00:56:42.278 --> 00:56:44.591 you about using it in different contexts

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

 $00:56:44.591 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.970$ or in different regions of the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

 $00{:}56{:}46.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}47.903$ Different people's responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

 $00:56:47.903 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.769$ But I'm going to stop there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

 $00:56:49.770 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.622$ as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

 $00:56:50.622 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.278$ most of my examples came from business

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

 $00{:}56{:}52.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}54.228$ because that's where I've been working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

 $00:56:54.230 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.579$ But I'm really curious to learn from you all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

 $00:56:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.628$ whether you see implications

 $00:56:57.628 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.200$ in the work that you do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

 $00:56:59.200 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.784$ and I really appreciate the opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

 $00:57:00.784 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.349$ to share this with you today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84392613

 $00:57:02.350 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.870$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8288503

 $00:57:05.790 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.825$ Thank you Mary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8288503

 $00:57:06.825 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.205$ That was really terrific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8288503

00:57:08.210 --> 00:57:10.208 I mean, I certainly in hearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8288503

 $00:57:10.208 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.000$ you speak about this today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8288503

 $00:57:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.950$ Could imagine many applications within

NOTE Confidence: 0.8288503

 $00:57:13.950 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.743$ our own department as we try to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8288503

 $00{:}57{:}16.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}19.250$ on great some work and being more of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8288503

 $00:57:19.250 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.320$ an anti racism culture for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8288503

 $00:57:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.856$ But let me see if there are any

NOTE Confidence: 0.8288503

 $00:57:23.856 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.459$ questions from the audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8288503

 $00:57:25.460 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.084$ You can either put them in the chat

NOTE Confidence: 0.8288503

 $00:57:28.084 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.630$ and I can share them with Mary.

00:57:44.250 --> 00:57:46.929 Well Mary, I have one question for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

00:57:46.929 --> 00:57:49.120 You mentioned that that in this book

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

00:57:49.178 --> 00:57:51.098 series that you're you're you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:57:51.098 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.300$ editing this series, is that right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00{:}57{:}53.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}55.986$ Yeah, you have a series on how to deal

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:57:55.986 \longrightarrow 00:57:58.982$ with racism in the workplace and and who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

00:57:58.982 --> 00:58:01.300 writing that that particular book? Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:58:01.300 \dashrightarrow 00:58:03.304$ I'm very fortunate it's being written

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:58:03.304 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.640$ by a woman named Shannon Prince.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

00:58:05.640 --> 00:58:07.660 She's a Harvard trained lawyer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

00:58:07.660 --> 00:58:10.264 but I met her because I teach

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:58:10.264 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.888$ in a program called fast Fee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00{:}58{:}12.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}16.114$ which is stands for a fellowship at Ash.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:58:16.120 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.436$ Fits for the study of professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:58:18.436 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.294$ ethics and what this program does is

00:58:21.294 --> 00:58:23.772 we bring together new new physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00{:}58{:}23.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}25.790$ recent graduates from Med school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

00:58:25.790 --> 00:58:27.398 new lawyers, new journalists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

00:58:27.398 --> 00:58:29.006 new business MBA students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

00:58:29.010 --> 00:58:30.622 new seminarians, multi denominational,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00{:}58{:}30.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}33.040$ and we're about to add engineering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:58:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.492$ I think in architecture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00.58:34.492 \longrightarrow 00.58:35.944$ but we bring together

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00{:}58{:}35.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}37.610$ these young professionals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:58:37.610 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.165$ It's a competitive fellowship application

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:58:40.165 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.029$ and they spend a couple weeks and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

00:58:44.029 --> 00:58:46.483 we visit historical sites in Berlin

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:58:46.483 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.051$ and Anushree engine and assets and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00.58:49.051 \longrightarrow 00.58:52.040$ we look at what people in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:58:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.190$ profession did for good and ill during

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:58:55.190 \longrightarrow 00:58:58.355$ World War Two during the Nazi period.

 $00:58:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.018$ And then we look at contemporary

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:01.018 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.286$ ethical challenges in their profession

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:03.286 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.770$ and try and understand what can

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:05.770 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.419$ we learn from these experiences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:59:10.660$ And Shannon was in the long group

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00{:}59{:}10.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}13.050$ I taught in the business group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.180$ so she wasn't in my classes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

00:59:15.180 --> 00:59:17.316 But I met her through that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.388$ She's a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:18.388 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.456$ very thoughtful person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00{:}59{:}19.460 \to 00{:}59{:}22.084$ and what I love about the way Shannon

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00{:}59{:}22.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}24.800$ talks when she talks about these issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00{:}59{:}24.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}27.626$ And I think it's a very useful tool for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:27.626 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.485$ talking about any kind of identity conflicts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:30.490 \longrightarrow 00:59:31.910$ is that she's really.

 $00:59:31.910 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.975$ She uses stories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.116$ She uses stories to illustrate examples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.900$ and she draws on history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:36.900 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.846$ and she also tends to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:39.850 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.565$ Very economical and her expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:41.565 \longrightarrow 00:59:43.280$ so even though she's telling

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:43.334 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.750$ a really compelling story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:44.750 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.500$ she does it very economically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00{:}59{:}46.500 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}59{:}49.780$ An I I'm I'm looking forward to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.898$ the book coming out in the fall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00{:}59{:}52.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}53.605$ That's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:53.605 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.315$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85770994

 $00:59:54.315 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.729$ one person in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596994

 $00:59:55.730 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.345$ audience mentioned that he that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596994

00:59:57.345 --> 00:59:59.672 he thought it would be helpful for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596994

 $00{:}59{:}59.672 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}01.933$ us to build up muscle memory in

 $01{:}00{:}01.933 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}03.520$ responding to microaggressions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596994

 $01:00:03.520 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.706$ So absolutely in fact, I can tell you when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596994

 $01:00:06.710 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.188$ I was when I was still at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596994

01:00:09.188 --> 01:00:10.250 Harvard Business School,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596994

 $01{:}00{:}10.250 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}12.368$ I proposed that we would create.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596994

01:00:12.370 --> 01:00:14.494 I created and taught the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596994

01:00:14.494 --> 01:00:15.910 course on managing diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596994

 $01:00:15.910 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.129$ which is what we called it in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596994

 $01:00:18.129 \dashrightarrow 01:00:19.800$ those days managing diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596994

 $01:00:19.800 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.510$ Now that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:00:22.550 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.671$ But I remember the reason I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:00:24.671 \longrightarrow 01:00:26.695$ to develop that course was because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:00:26.695 --> 01:00:28.795 I didn't have that muscle memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:00:28.800 --> 01:00:32.014 It, you know, I was a kind of person where I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:00:32.014 --> 01:00:34.716 would observe what I thought were injustices,

01:00:34.720 --> 01:00:37.321 or you know, in equity. And but I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:00:37.321 --> 01:00:39.990 I'm sort of an introvert and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:00:39.990 \longrightarrow 01:00:42.614$ I I was a little bit risk averse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:00:42.620 --> 01:00:44.260 I didn't really enjoy arguments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:00:44.260 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.240$ so I thought I'm not really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:00:46.240 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.230$ equipped to intervene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:00:47.230 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.662$ So I'm doomed to see these things and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:00:49.662 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.379$ then be a bystander passive bystander.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:00:52.380 \longrightarrow 01:00:53.766$ And I wanted to change that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:00:53.770 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.442$ So I thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:00:54.442 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.010$ Well, maybe you know the best way

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:00:56.065 --> 01:00:57.689 to learn something is to teach it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:00:57.690 \longrightarrow 01:00:58.434$ As you all know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:00:58.434 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.366$ So I thought maybe if I you know study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:01:00.366 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.158$ this and gather examples and write cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:01:02.205 --> 01:01:04.157 and develop a course and teach the course,

 $01:01:04.160 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.320$ I'll get better at this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:01:05.320 --> 01:01:05.962 So I did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:01:05.962 --> 01:01:07.860 It took two years to develop this course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:01:07.860 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.240$ get it approved and run it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:01:09.240 \longrightarrow 01:01:10.400$ The course was very successful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:01:10.400 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.540$ the students loved it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:01:12.540 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.843$ And at the end of the course

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:01:14.843 --> 01:01:16.819 I felt good about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:01:16.820 --> 01:01:18.998 but I remember actually being near

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:01:18.998 --> 01:01:21.110 tears because I felt you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:01:21.110 --> 01:01:23.246 but I haven't addressed my need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:01:23.250 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.749$ You know, I'm still the same person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:01:25.750 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.606$ I still I'm not likely to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:01:28.610 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.890$ And yet in the two to six months

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:01:30.890 --> 01:01:33.597 after I finished teaching the course,

 $01:01:33.600 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.210$ I had several compelling experiences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:01:35.210 --> 01:01:37.571 for me where I was in situations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:01:37.571 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.641$ where there were real sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:01:39.641 --> 01:01:41.100 discrimina Tori experiences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:01:41.100 \longrightarrow 01:01:42.644$ And I found myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01{:}01{:}42.644 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}44.188$ Speaking and speaking effectively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:01:44.188 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.443$ and not in a way that like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:01:46.443 --> 01:01:48.250 you're being racist or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:01:48.250 \longrightarrow 01:01:49.466$ but really sometimes they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:01:49.466 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.986$ used a little gentle humor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01{:}01{:}50.990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}52.520$ Sometimes it was asking questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:01:52.520 --> 01:01:55.016 but whatever it was and I found myself

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:01:55.016 \longrightarrow 01:01:56.580$ addressing the issues effectively and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:01:56.580 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.230$ it's not that I do this all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:01:59.230 --> 01:02:01.358 It's not that I'm perfect at it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:02:01.360 --> 01:02:03.238 but I just realized I would

 $01:02:03.238 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.020$ never have done that at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:02:05.020 --> 01:02:07.460 And what I realized was I was rehearing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:02:07.460 --> 01:02:08.100 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

01:02:08.100 --> 01:02:09.700 even unconsciously I was pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:02:09.700 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.992$ scripting and rehearing by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:02:10.992 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.648$ gathering all these stories that I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:02:12.650 \longrightarrow 01:02:14.618$ you know, shared in the class.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:02:14.620 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.460$ And so for me that was pre GV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:02:17.460 \longrightarrow 01:02:20.076$ But that was one of the inputs into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:02:20.076 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.298$ developing giving voice to values which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01{:}02{:}22.298 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}24.554$ actually came from a diversity context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:02:24.560 \longrightarrow 01:02:26.165$ although that wasn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01{:}02{:}26.165 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}29.910$ You know how I ended up producing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576684

 $01:02:29.910 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.260$ Great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992853

 $01:02:30.260 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.640$ that's a good example.

 $01:02:31.640 \longrightarrow 01:02:33.365$ Are there other dilemmas or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992853

 $01:02:33.365 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.645$ issues that you could give us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992853

 $01:02:35.645 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.305$ Another example of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992853

01:02:37.305 --> 01:02:39.090 you think think might?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992853

 $01:02:39.090 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.570$ Fit with you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992853

 $01:02:40.570 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.420$ Sure, even a medical care

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992853

 $01:02:42.420 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.640$ setting or that sort of thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:02:45.190 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.774$ Yeah, so the example it was going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01{:}02{:}47.774 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}50.427$ give was not a medical care setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:02:50.430 --> 01:02:52.866 but it was a diversity related example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:02:52.870 --> 01:02:55.534 right? And I think that it probably would

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:02:55.534 \longrightarrow 01:02:57.749$ transfer because medical settings are also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:02:57.750 \dashrightarrow 01:03:00.767$ you know class structures just like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:03:00.767 --> 01:03:03.135 corporate organization is kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:03:03.135 \longrightarrow 01:03:05.480$ class structure and so the example that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:03:05.551 \longrightarrow 01:03:08.211$ was going to give is of a woman who was

 $01:03:08.220 \longrightarrow 01:03:10.972$ a with a consultant and and someone who

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:03:10.972 --> 01:03:13.231 studied issues of identity and diversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01{:}03{:}13.231 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}16.190$ and inclusion and all those kinds of issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:03:16.190 --> 01:03:18.782 And she got hired to do a consulting

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:03:18.782 \longrightarrow 01:03:21.323$ project with one of the major financial

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:03:21.323 --> 01:03:23.678 institutions in New York and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:03:23.678 --> 01:03:25.966 were concerned because, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:03:25.966 \longrightarrow 01:03:28.206$ basically their senior leadership with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:03:28.206 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.286$ all white male an so they wanted her to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01{:}03{:}31.286 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}34.320$ do a series of interviews and kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:03:34.320 --> 01:03:36.540 study to identify where the challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:03:36.540 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.130$ were in to give them some recommendations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:03:39.130 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.350$ And so she she was quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:03:41.350 \longrightarrow 01:03:42.830$ knowledgeable about the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:03:42.830 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.680$ But she also like me.

 $01:03:44.680 \longrightarrow 01:03:47.086$ She was also not a really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:03:47.090 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.253$ She she was an introvert and she

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:03:49.253 --> 01:03:51.278 didn't really want to get into

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:03:51.278 \longrightarrow 01:03:52.310$ arguments with people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:03:52.310 \longrightarrow 01:03:54.620$ But she was very concerned and committed

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:03:54.620 \longrightarrow 01:03:57.102$ and so she was doing these interviews

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:03:57.102 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.861$ and so one of the interviews she did

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:03:59.861 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.085$ was was with one of the most senior

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:02.090 \longrightarrow 01:04:04.380$ managing director's at this firm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:04:04.380 --> 01:04:05.958 And she walked into his office,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01{:}04{:}05.960 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}07.794$ and you know she fell right away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:07.800 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.688$ This was not going to go well because

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:09.688 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.535$ he was sitting in his office and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:11.535 \longrightarrow 01:04:13.762$ he had his arms crossed and he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:13.762 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.898$ kind of looking irritated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:04:14.900 --> 01:04:15.686 And you know,

 $01:04:15.686 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.734$ it's a transactional environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:16.740 \longrightarrow 01:04:18.258$ Time is money and this seemed

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:18.258 \longrightarrow 01:04:20.159$ to him like a waste of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:20.160 \longrightarrow 01:04:22.256$ And so she started asking him some questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:22.260 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.490$ That kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:04:23.490 --> 01:04:24.310 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:24.310 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.614$ set the scene and when she got to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:26.614 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.818$ the question about you know how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01{:}04{:}28.818 \longrightarrow 01{:}04{:}30.760$ diversity is there in your team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:30.760 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.300$ The folks that you hire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:32.300 \longrightarrow 01:04:34.491$ he just got irritated and he just

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:34.491 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.971$ sort of kind of put his hands out

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:36.971 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.436$ and he said excuse me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:38.440 \longrightarrow 01:04:41.710$ But he said this is *******.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:04:41.710 --> 01:04:43.754 I said, well, what do you mean?

01:04:43.760 --> 01:04:44.844 And he said, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:44.844 \dashrightarrow 01:04:46.980$ you know I was the first person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:46.980 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.792$ The only person in this organization

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:48.792 \longrightarrow 01:04:50.978$ to hire an African American male as

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:04:50.978 --> 01:04:52.820 a senior senior professional in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:04:52.820 --> 01:04:54.994 team a couple of years ago and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:04:54.994 \longrightarrow 01:04:57.528$ didn't workout and I had to let him go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:04:57.530 --> 01:04:59.874 And I've been paying a price ever since.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01{:}04{:}59.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}01.721$ And then the company comes to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:05:01.721 --> 01:05:03.390 and starts talking about diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:05:03.390 --> 01:05:04.266 And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:05:04.266 --> 01:05:05.434 they're being hypocritical here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:05:05.440 \longrightarrow 01:05:08.077$ and you know, he was just really worked out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:05:08.080 \longrightarrow 01:05:10.200$ So she told me that she was like

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:05:10.200 \longrightarrow 01:05:11.960$ she knew all the arguments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:05:11.960 --> 01:05:12.228 Intellectually,

01:05:12.228 --> 01:05:14.372 you know like if you hired a white

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01{:}05{:}14.372 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}15.906$ male and they didn't work out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

01:05:15.910 --> 01:05:17.744 we do never hire another white male,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:05:17.750 \longrightarrow 01:05:19.060$ you know, and she knew.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:05:19.060 \longrightarrow 01:05:20.838$ Did this gentleman really get the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:05:20.838 \longrightarrow 01:05:22.479$ same support that everyone else did?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:05:22.480 \longrightarrow 01:05:24.058$ She knew the things to ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:05:24.060 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.831$ but she could tell that if she

NOTE Confidence: 0.85863256

 $01:05:25.831 \longrightarrow 01:05:26.590$ asked them to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

01:05:26.653 --> 01:05:28.589 this guy he was just going to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

01:05:28.589 --> 01:05:30.627 more and more you know blocked off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:05:30.630 \longrightarrow 01:05:33.024$ you know he was on the offensive and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01{:}05{:}33.024 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}35.253$ wasn't going to take that in and so she

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:05:35.253 \longrightarrow 01:05:37.425$ said she was trying to figure out how

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

01:05:37.425 --> 01:05:39.297 to move forward in the conversation and

01:05:39.297 --> 01:05:41.420 she said that what she came up with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

01:05:41.420 --> 01:05:42.772 partly just by time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:05:42.772 \longrightarrow 01:05:44.462$ But it was also sincere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:05:44.470 \longrightarrow 01:05:46.437$ and so she said she just kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

01:05:46.437 --> 01:05:48.460 of stayed calm and said So what

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

01:05:48.460 --> 01:05:50.134 price did you pay, you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:05:50.134 \longrightarrow 01:05:52.073$ And she really wanted to know because

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

01:05:52.073 --> 01:05:54.406 he said he'd pay this price ever since,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:05:54.410 \longrightarrow 01:05:56.108$ and she said it was remarkable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:05:56.110 \longrightarrow 01:05:58.254$ He just kind of sat back in his

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:05:58.254 \longrightarrow 01:06:00.556$ chair and his face kind of calm down

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:00.556 \longrightarrow 01:06:02.930$ and then he sort of sort of kaktus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

01:06:02.930 --> 01:06:04.350 And he said, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:04.350 \longrightarrow 01:06:06.066$ I've been telling that story for

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:06.066 \longrightarrow 01:06:08.378$ two years and no one has ever asked

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01{:}06{:}08.378 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}10.028$ me before what price I paid.

 $01{:}06{:}10.030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}11.445$ Everyone just assumed before she

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

01:06:11.445 --> 01:06:13.224 paid a price. You know, an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

01:06:13.224 --> 01:06:15.330 And he said, and you know what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:15.330 \longrightarrow 01:06:16.805$ now that you ask me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

01:06:16.810 --> 01:06:18.875 I don't think I paid a price,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

01:06:18.880 --> 01:06:21.248 he said, but I really thought I did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:21.250 \longrightarrow 01:06:23.914$ And so it was that broke it for him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

01:06:23.920 --> 01:06:24.412 You know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:24.412 \longrightarrow 01:06:26.134$ And then they were able to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:26.134 \longrightarrow 01:06:28.058$ have a more constructive conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

01:06:28.060 --> 01:06:30.125 But well, why does it feel uncomfortable?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:30.130 \longrightarrow 01:06:32.195$ And why did you feel that way?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:32.200 \longrightarrow 01:06:34.279$ And you know what might be changed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:34.280 \longrightarrow 01:06:36.520$ And but you know it was this this

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:36.520 \longrightarrow 01:06:38.718$ idea that she could read from him.

 $01:06:38.720 \longrightarrow 01:06:41.375$ That pushing was going to make him push back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01{:}06{:}41.380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}43.748$ And she also played to her own strengths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:43.750 \longrightarrow 01:06:45.380$ She was not very good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

01:06:45.380 --> 01:06:45.868 At debate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:45.868 \longrightarrow 01:06:47.820$ but what she was good at was probing

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:47.872 \longrightarrow 01:06:49.428$ and questioning and listening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:49.430 \longrightarrow 01:06:51.152$ and so she played to her strengths

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:51.152 \longrightarrow 01:06:53.187$ as one of the pillars of giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01{:}06{:}53.187 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}55.402$ voice to values of self knowledge and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:55.402 \longrightarrow 01:06:57.226$ alignment that anyone can do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

01:06:57.230 --> 01:06:59.134 But you're going to do it differently

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:06:59.134 \longrightarrow 01:07:01.207$ if you're an introvert or an extrovert

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:07:01.207 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.001$ or risk taker or risk averse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:07:03.010 \longrightarrow 01:07:05.466$ Or you know if you like to communicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:07:05.466 \longrightarrow 01:07:07.466$ in writing or in verbally or in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:07:07.466 \longrightarrow 01:07:08.781$ groups or one on one.

 $01:07:08.790 \longrightarrow 01:07:10.260$ So she played to her strengths

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:07:10.260 \longrightarrow 01:07:11.970$ and it broke the conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:07:11.970 \longrightarrow 01:07:13.410$ Ended being much more conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

01:07:13.410 --> 01:07:13.970 Constructive engagement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01{:}07{:}13.970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}16.563$ So I was like to think of that example

NOTE Confidence: 0.86519885

 $01:07:16.563 \longrightarrow 01:07:18.614$ when I'm in those kinds of situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6315415

 $01:07:22.910 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.330$ Thank you. Uhm? I guess I

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

01:07:27.330 --> 01:07:29.376 could say in a medical context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:07:29.380 \longrightarrow 01:07:31.767$ one of the things I hear alot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:07:31.770 \longrightarrow 01:07:33.816$ I've spoken at hospitals and Mayo

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:07:33.816 \longrightarrow 01:07:35.180$ Clinic and Kaiser Permanente's

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:07:35.233 \longrightarrow 01:07:36.908$ bioethics seminar in places like

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01{:}07{:}36.908 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}38.583$ that where it's all positions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

01:07:38.590 --> 01:07:41.117 I'm also part of the Aspen Ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:07:41.117 \longrightarrow 01:07:42.651$ leadership in healthcare program

 $01:07:42.651 \longrightarrow 01:07:44.967$ where we have physicians and chief

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01{:}07{:}44.967 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}46.975$ medical officers and nurses an alot

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01{:}07{:}46.975 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}49.161$ alot of what I hear in those contexts

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:07:49.161 \longrightarrow 01:07:51.889$ has to do with what I was calling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:07:51.890 \longrightarrow 01:07:55.960$ A class system has to do with the fact of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

01:07:55.960 --> 01:07:57.344 You know it's it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:07:57.344 \longrightarrow 01:07:59.420$ It's like in a corporate setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

01:07:59.420 --> 01:08:01.496 It's like in an academic setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:08:01.500 \longrightarrow 01:08:04.124$ it can be difficult for people who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:08:04.124 \longrightarrow 01:08:06.255$ sometimes the closest to the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01{:}08{:}06.255 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}09.125$ to be able to communicate things and be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:08:09.125 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.526$ heard by folks who have greater expertise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:08:11.530 \longrightarrow 01:08:12.910$ But maybe because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

01:08:12.910 --> 01:08:13.945 have greater expertise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:08:13.950 \longrightarrow 01:08:16.141$ I'm not spending as much time with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:08:16.141 \longrightarrow 01:08:17.795$ the individuals and so finding

 $01:08:17.795 \longrightarrow 01:08:19.420$ ways to raise that information

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01{:}08{:}19.420 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}21.560$ rather than to become discouraged,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

01:08:21.560 --> 01:08:24.070 disillusioned, cynical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:08:24.070 \longrightarrow 01:08:26.152$ Can be again a matter of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:08:26.152 \longrightarrow 01:08:27.193$ rehearsal and practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01{:}08{:}27.200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}29.330$ I remember speaking at a hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:08:29.330 \longrightarrow 01:08:31.133$ in Australia and like almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

01:08:31.133 --> 01:08:33.029a year later getting an email

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

01:08:33.029 --> 01:08:35.208 from a young resident who said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

01:08:35.210 --> 01:08:35.878 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:08:35.878 \longrightarrow 01:08:37.882$ I'm I'm working now and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

01:08:37.882 --> 01:08:40.342 know I knew about what you did

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:08:40.342 \longrightarrow 01:08:42.510$ with GBB here and she said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

01:08:42.510 --> 01:08:44.598 you know we're just not being

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:08:44.598 \longrightarrow 01:08:46.754$ listened to and we're having trouble

 $01:08:46.754 \longrightarrow 01:08:49.190$ figuring out how to get our

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01{:}08{:}49.190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}51.944$ ideas out there and we want to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:08:51.944 \longrightarrow 01:08:54.194$ constructive about it and so you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:08:54.194 \longrightarrow 01:08:55.749$ We engaged in some conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:08:55.750 \longrightarrow 01:08:57.010$ I didn't solve her problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:08:57.010 \longrightarrow 01:08:58.641$ but just sort of gave her some

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:08:58.641 \longrightarrow 01:09:00.298$ ways to think about framing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

01:09:00.300 --> 01:09:02.577 And she wrote back to me later and said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:09:02.580 \longrightarrow 01:09:03.056$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:09:03.056 \longrightarrow 01:09:04.484$ we use those strategies we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

01:09:04.484 --> 01:09:06.153 able to create a kind of network

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01{:}09{:}06.153 \mathrel{--}{>} 01{:}09{:}07.912$ that where we can come together and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:09:07.912 \longrightarrow 01:09:09.322$ share the challenges we're facing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

01:09:09.322 --> 01:09:11.238 in and also share them with people

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:09:11.238 \longrightarrow 01:09:13.129$ who want to hear about them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:09:13.129 \longrightarrow 01:09:14.774$ then figure out how to express them

 $01:09:14.774 \longrightarrow 01:09:16.738$ in a way that they can get hurt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:09:16.740 \longrightarrow 01:09:18.412$ So I think it can be very useful

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209664

 $01:09:18.412 \longrightarrow 01:09:20.030$ in a health care setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122462

01:09:21.420 --> 01:09:24.980 Sounds very useful, go ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122462

 $01:09:24.980 \longrightarrow 01:09:25.877$ Follow up question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122462

01:09:25.877 --> 01:09:27.970 Doctor Gentili thanks so much for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122462

01:09:28.029 --> 01:09:30.199 really terrific presentation and approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122462

 $01:09:30.200 \longrightarrow 01:09:33.088$ You said you didn't worry so much about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122462

 $01:09:33.088 \longrightarrow 01:09:35.315$ the idealists and I wondered how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122462

 $01:09:35.315 \longrightarrow 01:09:37.965$ the ideal is due in terms of developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122462

01:09:37.965 --> 01:09:40.639 action plans for for moving an issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122462

 $01:09:40.640 \longrightarrow 01:09:42.380$ forward and whether there's so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122462

 $01:09:42.380 \longrightarrow 01:09:44.085$ I guess that's that's my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122462

 $01:09:44.085 \longrightarrow 01:09:46.210$ question in terms of the yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122462

 $01:09:46.210 \longrightarrow 01:09:47.602$ compared to the pragmatist,

 $01:09:47.602 \longrightarrow 01:09:49.290$ yeah, that's a great question

NOTE Confidence: 0.8122462

 $01:09:49.290 \longrightarrow 01:09:51.780$ and you kind of caught me there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:09:51.780 --> 01:09:54.104 You know, I usually say I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:09:54.104 \longrightarrow 01:09:56.650$ worry so much about the idea list,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:09:56.650 \dashrightarrow 01:09:59.986$ but I want them to be more skillful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:09:59.990 --> 01:10:01.345 More confident, but I'm actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:10:01.345 \longrightarrow 01:10:02.700$ a little worried about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:10:02.700 --> 01:10:04.884 I remember giving giving this talk once

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:10:04.884 \longrightarrow 01:10:07.255$ and there was a woman in the back of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01{:}10{:}07.255 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}09.533$ the room and and as soon as I finished

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01{:}10{:}09.533 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}11.114$ and they opened up for questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:10:11.114 \longrightarrow 01:10:13.102$ her hand shot up and she said

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:10:13.102 --> 01:10:14.897 I called on her and she said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:10:14.900 \longrightarrow 01:10:18.295$ well, I think this is all stupid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:10:18.300 --> 01:10:21.250 OK, can you say a little more and she said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:10:21.250 --> 01:10:23.610 well I don't need giving voice to values,

 $01{:}10{:}23.610 \to 01{:}10{:}25.930$ I always voice my values and then she

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01{:}10{:}25.930 \to 01{:}10{:}28.327$ paused and she said no one ever listens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:10:28.330 \longrightarrow 01:10:30.634$ But I always voice my values and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:10:30.634 --> 01:10:32.785 you know that's kind of the thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01{:}10{:}32.785 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}35.485$ with ideal is is that if we what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:10:35.485 --> 01:10:37.480 usually would say to people if if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:10:37.480 --> 01:10:39.280 what you're invested in is being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:10:39.280 \longrightarrow 01:10:41.018$ righteous then you don't need GD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:10:41.020 --> 01:10:43.292 But if you actually want to make an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:10:43.292 --> 01:10:45.438 impact then I think this can be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01{:}10{:}45.438 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}47.565$ useful to you and this can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:10:47.565 \longrightarrow 01:10:49.659$ be something that will enable you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01{:}10{:}49.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}51.837$ To feel better about your right eousness in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:10:51.840 --> 01:10:52.482 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:10:52.482 \longrightarrow 01:10:54.087$ because you'll be figuring out

 $01:10:54.087 \longrightarrow 01:10:55.280$ ways to express it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:10:55.280 --> 01:10:58.400 You know, so you kind of caught me with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:10:58.400 --> 01:11:01.199 I mean, I do worry about the idea list,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:11:01.200 \longrightarrow 01:11:04.770$ but it's it's in a different way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:11:04.770 \longrightarrow 01:11:06.695$ Thank you and I do think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01{:}11{:}06.695 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}08.817$ actually once once they are in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:11:08.817 \longrightarrow 01:11:10.362$ conversations and doing that kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:11:10.362 --> 01:11:12.400 of scripting and skill building,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01{:}11{:}12.400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}14.759$ it actually enables them to see other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:11:14.759 \longrightarrow 01:11:16.847$ perspectives more because we have a protocol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01{:}11{:}16.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}19.170$ We work people through and one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:11:19.170 \longrightarrow 01:11:21.709$ parts of that protocol is we ask them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01{:}11{:}21.709 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}24.044$ to consider what's at stake or what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:11:24.044 --> 01:11:26.382 at risk for all the affected parties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:11:26.390 --> 01:11:29.045 and we're doing that not to do a stakeholder

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01{:}11{:}29.045 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}30.849$ analysis because they said already.

01:11:30.850 --> 01:11:32.440 This is post decision making,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:11:32.440 \longrightarrow 01:11:34.080$ but rather to understand what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:11:34.080 --> 01:11:35.720 price might I really pay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:11:35.720 --> 01:11:37.418 If I went along with what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:11:37.418 --> 01:11:38.810 you're asking me to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:11:38.810 \longrightarrow 01:11:40.854$ and is there some way that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:11:40.854 --> 01:11:42.460 can mitigate that cost to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:11:42.460 \longrightarrow 01:11:43.865$ or at least acknowledge what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:11:43.865 --> 01:11:45.270 you're asking me to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:11:45.270 --> 01:11:47.518 so that instead of my being the villain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

01:11:47.520 --> 01:11:48.925 I'm actually having this opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:11:48.925 \longrightarrow 01:11:50.934$ to step up and make even what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:11:50.934 \longrightarrow 01:11:52.299$ might feel like a sacrifice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:11:52.300 \longrightarrow 01:11:54.016$ but in the service of something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418452

 $01:11:54.016 \longrightarrow 01:11:55.670$ that we both share and is

 $01:11:55.670 \longrightarrow 01:11:57.055$ the skill building then part

NOTE Confidence: 0.8853478

 $01:11:57.055 \longrightarrow 01:11:58.760$ of the seven pillars is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8853478

01:11:58.760 --> 01:12:01.008 Is that where the skill building comes in?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

 $01:12:01.680 \longrightarrow 01:12:03.843$ Yeah, the seven pillars are briefly it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

01:12:03.843 --> 01:12:06.149 it's it's values and we have a discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

 $01:12:06.149 \longrightarrow 01:12:08.266$ of how we define values, its its choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

 $01:12:08.266 \longrightarrow 01:12:10.462$ We have a whole set of exercises to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

01:12:10.462 --> 01:12:12.268 help people identify that they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

 $01{:}12{:}12{:}268 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}14.110$ more choices and they think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

 $01:12:14.110 \longrightarrow 01:12:15.880$ purpose because there are ways you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

 $01{:}12{:}15.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}18.508$ can use your own purpose and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

 $01:12:18.508 \longrightarrow 01:12:21.408$ purpose of others to enable you it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

01:12:21.410 --> 01:12:22.970 I get their their self

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

01:12:22.970 --> 01:12:23.906 knowledge and alignment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

01:12:23.910 --> 01:12:25.856 which I already explained and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

 $01:12:25.856 \longrightarrow 01:12:27.977$ get to voice which is about there's

01:12:27.977 --> 01:12:30.238 many different ways to voice and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

 $01:12:30.238 \longrightarrow 01:12:32.048$ talk about the different strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

01:12:32.050 --> 01:12:33.620 They're not all just speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

 $01:12:33.620 \longrightarrow 01:12:35.185$ and then there's reasons and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

01:12:35.185 --> 01:12:36.084 rationalizations, which is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

01:12:36.084 --> 01:12:38.128 we've identified some of the most commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

01:12:38.128 --> 01:12:39.878 heard objections that people face,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

 $01:12:39.880 \longrightarrow 01:12:42.064$ and we've begun to unpack how you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

 $01:12:42.064 \longrightarrow 01:12:44.259$ can reframe them or respond to them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

 $01:12:44.260 \longrightarrow 01:12:46.340$ and so going through those steps becomes a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8543777

01:12:46.340 --> 01:12:48.639 kind of practice and scripting rehearsal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805605

 $01:12:49.860 \longrightarrow 01:12:50.980$ Thank you, yeah, thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805605

 $01:12:50.980 \longrightarrow 01:12:52.096$ you, that's great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805605

 $01:12:52.096 \longrightarrow 01:12:53.770$ Glad you caught me on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86582947

 $01:12:57.800 \longrightarrow 01:12:59.746$ Well, I think we're just about at

 $01:12:59.746 \longrightarrow 01:13:02.040$ the end of the session right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86582947

 $01:13:02.040 \longrightarrow 01:13:03.560$ I want to thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86582947

 $01:13:03.560 \longrightarrow 01:13:05.984$ I think you've given us a lot to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86582947

 $01:13:05.984 \longrightarrow 01:13:07.778$ think about, and I think there

NOTE Confidence: 0.86582947

01:13:07.778 --> 01:13:09.560 are some ways we might consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.86582947

 $01:13:09.621 \longrightarrow 01:13:11.439$ applying them to our own work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86582947

 $01:13:11.440 \longrightarrow 01:13:13.856$ I heard many things in what you said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86582947

 $01:13:13.860 \longrightarrow 01:13:14.769$ I even this

NOTE Confidence: 0.86582947

 $01:13:14.770 \longrightarrow 01:13:15.978$ idea of the the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

 $01:13:17.060 \longrightarrow 01:13:20.004$ You know the people who are in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

 $01{:}13{:}20.004 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}21.573$ business classes thinking they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

 $01:13:21.573 \longrightarrow 01:13:24.581$ going to wait till they are the CEO

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

 $01:13:24.653 \longrightarrow 01:13:27.309$ to to do things you know we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

01:13:27.309 --> 01:13:29.432 many trainees in our department and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

 $01:13:29.432 \longrightarrow 01:13:31.610$ and who have a perspective that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

 $01:13:31.685 \longrightarrow 01:13:33.939$ about things and and as well as

 $01:13:33.939 \longrightarrow 01:13:36.349$ people all over along the continuum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

 $01:13:36.350 \longrightarrow 01:13:38.535$ So anyway, thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

01:13:38.535 --> 01:13:40.720 Thank you for being here today

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

 $01:13:40.720 \longrightarrow 01:13:42.900$ and my pleasure and can I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

01:13:42.900 --> 01:13:45.228 just say that if anyone wants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

 $01:13:45.228 \longrightarrow 01:13:47.749$ to hear more about it you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

 $01:13:47.750 \longrightarrow 01:13:49.136$ Email me, I will tell people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

 $01:13:49.136 \longrightarrow 01:13:50.440$ whether they like it or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

01:13:50.440 --> 01:13:52.008 Once they heard me speak about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

01:13:52.010 --> 01:13:53.130 you're in my life forever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

 $01:13:53.130 \longrightarrow 01:13:54.593$ so feel free to email it at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

 $01{:}13{:}54.593 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}56.205$ garden and also you can just go

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

 $01{:}13{:}56.205 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}57.603$ to giving voice to values.org if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8599262

01:13:57.660 --> 01:13:59.165 you want to read more about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91158855

 $01:14:00.690 \longrightarrow 01:14:03.648$ Thank you thank you bye bye.