WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:06:19.5750000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.424 Hello I'm supercharged Downey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:00:02.424 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.454$ the outpatient oncology dietitian at

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:00:05.454 \dashrightarrow 00:00:08.767$ Smilow Cancer Care Center in Greenwich.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:00:08.770 \dashrightarrow 00:00:12.109$ The myth I'd like to address is that soy

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:00:12.109 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.330$ causes cancer and that people with cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:00:15.330 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.530$ especially with breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:00:17.530 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.180$ should avoid soy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:00:19.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.019$ It's clear to see how this

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:00:22.019 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.384$ myth arose 25 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:00:24.390 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.504$ studies showed that isoflavones in soy

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:00:27.504 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.129$ caused breast cancer cell growth in rodents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:00:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.694$ Spoiler Alert the lab animals were rats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:00:35.700 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.772$ Researchers then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:00:36.772 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.916$ recognizing that isoflavone molecules

 $00:00:38.916 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.350$ were similar to the hormone estrogen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00{:}00{:}42.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}45.083$ Called them phytoestrogens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

00:00:45.083 --> 00:00:47.816 meaning plant estrogens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

00:00:47.820 --> 00:00:50.406 Since high blood levels of estrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

00:00:50.406 --> 00:00:53.139 are linked to breast cancer risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00{:}00{:}53.140 \to 00{:}00{:}56.494$ they concluded that soy isoflavone flavones

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:00:56.494 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.978$ could increase breast cancer risk in humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

00:00:59.980 --> 00:01:03.028 Did you catch the flaws in their reasoning?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:01:03.030 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.830$ It wasn't until soy research moved from

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00{:}01{:}05.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08.817$ lab animals to humans that scientists

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00{:}01{:}08.817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.667$ realized that humans metabolize soy

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

00:01:11.667 --> 00:01:14.518 isoflavonoids much differently from rats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00{:}01{:}14.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17.720$ Instead of increasing estrogen levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:01:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.255$ So I also offer isoflavones more often,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:01:21.260 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.823$ do the opposite.

 $00:01:22.823 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.949$ They bind to an estrogen receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00{:}01{:}25.949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.669$ which blocks estrogen action.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

00:01:28.670 --> 00:01:29.486 In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76093644

 $00:01:29.486 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.342$ recent studies show that a diet that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8647662

 $00:01:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.804$ includes soy may even reduce the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8647662

 $00{:}01{:}34.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.290$ risk of developing breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8853017

 $00:01:38.290 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.034$ So what about the risk for other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8853017

 $00:01:41.034 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.680$ cancers and for cancer survivors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8853017

 $00{:}01{:}43.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.482$ Population studies show that short either

NOTE Confidence: 0.8853017

 $00:01:46.482 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.926$ no effect or decreased effect of on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8853017

 $00{:}01{:}49.926 \to 00{:}01{:}54.310$ prostate cancer in men who eat soy foods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8853017

00:01:54.310 --> 00:01:56.458 There is limited evidence that soy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8853017

 $00:01:56.458 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.659$ might help protect against lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8853017

 $00{:}01{:}58.660 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}00.975$ And population studies don't link

NOTE Confidence: 0.8853017

 $00:02:00.975 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.329$ soy with the risk of any cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8853017

 $00:02:04.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.505$ Similarly, studies of breast and

 $00{:}02{:}07.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10.045$ prostate cancer survivors showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8853017

 $00{:}02{:}10.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13.107$ no harmful effects from soy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8853017

 $00:02:13.110 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.732$ Population studies show that women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8853017

 $00:02:15.732 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.622$ eat moderate amounts of soy may even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8853017

 $00:02:18.622 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.738$ have improved overall survival and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503859

 $00:02:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.059$ decreased recurrence rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503859

 $00:02:23.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.725$ Some preliminary studies suggest that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503859

 $00:02:25.725 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.130$ soy foods may be protective against.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503859

 $00:02:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.730$ Breast cancer protective for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503859

 $00{:}02{:}31.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33.810$ with breast cancer survivors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503859

 $00:02:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.049$ who are taking tamoxifen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503859

 $00:02:36.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.738$ Controlled clinical trials of men with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503859

 $00{:}02{:}38.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41.600$ prostate cancer who used soy protein or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8063711

 $00:02:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.156$ soy isoflavones showed either no effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8063711

 $00:02:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.444$ or decreased program.

 $00:02:45.444 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.150$ Progression of their cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8054537

 $00:02:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.300$ But aren't processed soy foods unhealthy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026467

 $00:02:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.500$ Minimally processed soy foods like these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026467

00:03:04.500 --> 00:03:07.207 Immature. Sleeping pods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8026467

 $00:03:07.207 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.266$ Called by the Japanese name Iida Mommy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67209256

 $00:03:12.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.780$ Roasted and canned mature soybeans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620528

 $00{:}03{:}16.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.660$ And to asted eda mommy. Are rich

NOTE Confidence: 0.828396133333333

 $00:03:22.660 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.300$ sources of. Nutrients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8781352

 $00{:}03{:}25.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27.988$ including fiber, protein B,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8781352

00:03:27.988 --> 00:03:30.679 vitamins, magnesium and potassium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7869935

 $00{:}03{:}35.030 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}03{:}37.606$ Well, to fu and soymilk are lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.7869935

 $00:03:37.606 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.735$ in fiber due to processing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7869935

 $00:03:39.735 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.285$ There's still good sources of calcium

NOTE Confidence: 0.7869935

 $00:03:42.285 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.810$ and plant based protein and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.7869935

 $00:03:44.810 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.900$ cholesterol free and low in saturated fat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7227594

 $00:03:50.060 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.603$ So oil, soy sauce and soy lecithin

 $00{:}03{:}54.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57.899$ are also processed soy foods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7227594

 $00:03:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.900$ They contain little or no

NOTE Confidence: 0.7227594

 $00:04:01.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.100$ protein and no phytoestrogens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7227594

00:04:05.100 --> 00:04:07.998 So my protein isolate is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7227594

 $00{:}04{:}07.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10.490$ very highly processed soy food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7227594

 $00{:}04{:}10.490 \longrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13.914$ You may have seen it as an ingredient

NOTE Confidence: 0.7227594

00:04:13.914 --> 00:04:17.349 in high protein drinks and shakes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7227594

 $00:04:17.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.290$ and in meatless burgers and sausages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

 $00:04:23.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.710$ Until recently, it was assumed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

 $00{:}04{:}25.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28.050$ that foods made from soy protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

 $00:04:28.050 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.325$ isolate could be risky because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

00:04:30.325 --> 00:04:32.145 they would contain unnaturally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

 $00{:}04{:}32.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.390$ high levels of isoflavones higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

 $00:04:34.390 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.565$ than those that occur naturally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

 $00:04:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.546$ In foods like traditional

 $00:04:38.546 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.016$ foods like edamame and tofu.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

 $00:04:41.020 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.558$ But when they were actually analyzed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

 $00:04:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.168$ it turns out that soy protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

 $00:04:46.168 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.922$ isolates are no higher in isoflavones

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

 $00:04:48.922 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.449$ than plain old boiled soybeans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

00:04:51.450 --> 00:04:54.366 It turns out that up to 80\% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

 $00:04:54.366 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.946$ isoflavones are lost in processing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

 $00:04:56.950 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.128$ So foods made with soy protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

 $00{:}04{:}59.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01.543$ isolate can be another way to add

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

00:05:01.543 --> 00:05:03.608 plant protein to your diet so it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

 $00:05:03.678 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.128$ will be lower in fiber and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

 $00:05:06.128 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.862$ nutrients than the less less

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404965

 $00:05:07.862 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.908$ processed soy foods you see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00:05:12.620 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.498$ Check the ingredient list and nutrition

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00:05:14.498 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.757$ facts to see how they fit in your.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00:05:16.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.400$ If they suit you.

00:05:19.400 --> 00:05:23.840 In summary, soy is safe for cancer survivors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00{:}05{:}23.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.012$ including breast cancer survivors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00:05:26.012 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.727$ a position supported by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

00:05:28.727 --> 00:05:30.500 American Cancer Society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00{:}05{:}30.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.250$ The American Institute for Cancer Research

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

00:05:34.250 --> 00:05:38.808 check out their great website at aicr.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00:05:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.520$ Harvard TH school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00:05:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.020$ Harbitz TH Chan School of Public health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

00:05:45.020 --> 00:05:49.059 The Dana Farber Cancer Institute and my

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00:05:49.059 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.799$ professional organization of oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00:05:51.799 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.467$ addition dietitians at oncology nutrition.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00:05:55.470 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.697$ Including soy foods in your diet can

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00:05:58.697 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.779$ help you to increase your fiber intake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00{:}06{:}02.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.328$ Add starting so I foods in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00:06:05.328 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.880$ diet can help you to add fiber,

 $00{:}06{:}07.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09.332$ decrease saturated fats and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00:06:09.332 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.147$ increase your plant protein intake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00{:}06{:}11.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.470$ Don't let outmoded ideas about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00:06:13.470 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.340$ soy foods keep you from enjoying

NOTE Confidence: 0.83879817

 $00{:}06{:}16.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}19.574$ them as part of your healthy diet.