WEBVTT

- NOTE duration:"00:16:00.5400000"
- NOTE language:en-us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843998

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.785 It's my pleasure to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998

 $00:00:01.785 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.910$ our final speaker of the day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998

 $00:00:03.910 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.544$ Doctor Muenkel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998

00:00:04.544 --> 00:00:06.446 like he is an assistant professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998

 $00:00:06.446 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.450$ in the Department of Genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998

 $00:00:08.450 \rightarrow 00:00:10.249$ He got his PhD at the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998

 $00{:}00{:}10.249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11.896$ of Sydney and did postdoctoral

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998

 $00:00:11.896 \dashrightarrow 00:00:13.528$ training at Massachusetts General NOTE Confidence: 0.843998

 $00:00:13.528 \rightarrow 00:00:15.780$ Hospital and the Broad Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998

 $00:00:15.780 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.104$ His lab here at Yale is focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998

 $00:00:18.104 \rightarrow 00:00:20.308$ on the genetics of rare disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998

 $00:00:20.310 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.710$ an understanding genetic mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998

00:00:21.710 --> 00:00:22.760 of neuromuscular diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998

 $00:00:22.760 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.848$ and as a patient with a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.843998
- 00:00:24.848 --> 00:00:25.892 rare muscular dystrophy,

00:00:25.900 --> 00:00:28.000 Dr Lake is very passionate about

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998

 $00:00:28.000 \rightarrow 00:00:29.050$ translating genetic discoveries

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998

 $00:00:29.050 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.419$ into targeted therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843998

 $00:00:30.420 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.440$ Thank you Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00:00:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.992$ like thank you and thank you for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}00{:}33{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36{.}552$ kind introduction and thank you for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}00{:}36.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.412$ organizers for putting together wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}00{:}38.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41.029$ workshop and also give me the honor and NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}00{:}41.029 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}43.365$ opportunity to present on some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}00{:}43.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}46.108$ fantastic work that my lab is working on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}00{:}46.110$ --> $00{:}00{:}49.064$ So I'll be talking about translating genetic NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00:00:49.064 \rightarrow 00:00:51.000$ discoveries into targeted therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}00{:}51{.}000$ --> $00{:}00{:}53{.}944$ I have no conflicts of interest to disclose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}00{:}53{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57{.}262$ so my lab works on the full patient journey.

 $00{:}00{:}57{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59{.}524$ So from the diagnostic Odyssey that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00:00:59.524 \rightarrow 00:01:02.824$ young we had touched upon all the way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}01{:}02.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.020$ the development of individualized the rapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}01{:}05{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07{.}240$ and I can reflect upon this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00:01:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.712$ this whole patient journey

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00:01:08.712 \dashrightarrow 00:01:10.552$ through reflecting on my own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

00:01:10.560 --> 00:01:13.136 So I went on a diagnostic Odyssey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}01{:}13.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}16.356$ took over 10 years to actually find the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

00:01:16.356 --> 00:01:18.607 gene underlying my ran your muscular

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}01{:}18.607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21.329$ disease and you can see on the key.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00:01:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.508$ The gene, the mutation, they fight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00:01:23.510 \rightarrow 00:01:26.044$ found finally found an and this an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00:01:26.050 \dashrightarrow 00:01:28.246$ Nowadays we can actually rapidly shorten

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00:01:28.246 \rightarrow 00:01:30.468$ this diagnostic Odyssey time by using

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

00:01:30.468 --> 00:01:32.574 genomic technologies such as xom sequencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

00:01:32.580 --> 00:01:34.400 genome sequencing and RNA sequencing,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.826636
- $00:01:34.400 \rightarrow 00:01:37.296$ and also developing methods to get at that.

 $00{:}01{:}37{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}40{.}124$ And that's one of the things that my

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}01{:}40{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}42{.}485$ lab focuses on and but still there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}01{:}42.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}45.355$ so much work to be done because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}01{:}45.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.779$ diagnosis rate is still only about

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00:01:47.779 \rightarrow 00:01:50.368 50\%$ for a lot of rare diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00:01:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.922$ and when patients actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00:01:51.922 \rightarrow 00:01:53.862$ Find out their genetic diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

00:01:53.870 --> 00:01:56.198 They can work on specific disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

00:01:56.198 --> 00:01:57.750 management such as stretching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}01{:}57{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01{.}233$ As you can see here in this example here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}02{:}01{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03{.}928$ but one of the most exciting things

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00:02:03.928 \rightarrow 00:02:06.262$ that has only become possible in

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00:02:06.262 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.390$ the last five years or so is there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

00:02:09.390 --> 00:02:11.330 The concept of development of

 $00:02:11.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.106$ individualized therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00:02:12.110 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.721$ I had the good fortune that my

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}02{:}14.721 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}16.728$ collaborators and colleagues at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

00:02:16.728 --> 00:02:18.372 University of Massachusetts Medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00:02:18.372 \rightarrow 00:02:21.029$ School one dashly work on my mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826636

 $00{:}02{:}21.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22.578$ which was which was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

00:02:26.110 --> 00:02:31.198 Oh sorry. Which was working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:02:31.198 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.926$ the 8 basepair duplication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}02{:}33{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}36{.}114$ And designing a custom crispata target

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

00:02:36.114 --> 00:02:39.258 that and to to cleanly remove one copy NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:02:39.258 \rightarrow 00:02:41.688$ of the eight base pair duplication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}02{:}41.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.794$ So and they actually perform this on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}02{:}44.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.508$ skin biopsy I gave and they created.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}02{:}47{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49{.}078$ I PS cell line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}02{:}49{.}078$ --> $00{:}02{:}51{.}780$ It was able to achieve nearly 80%

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:02:51.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.174$ correction of my cells and this

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694
- $00:02:54.174 \rightarrow 00:02:56.429$ was published in Nature in 2019.

 $00:02:56.430 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.922$ So we were inspired by this effort and story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:02:59.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.618$ And we wanted Ashley replicate some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:03:02.618 \rightarrow 00:03:06.109$ this idea of the individualized therapy so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}03{:}06{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08{.}891$ So I'm going to talk for the rest of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:03:08.891 \dashrightarrow 00:03:11.410$ touch upon on the rest of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:03:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.970$ A story of the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:03:12.970 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.848$ So the patient here is Terry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

00:03:14.850 --> 00:03:17.027 who has a rare form of Duchene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:03:17.030 \dashrightarrow 00:03:18.896$ muscular dystrophy and his brother here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}03{:}18{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20{.}796$ Richt, who created our foundation to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:03:20.796 \dashrightarrow 00:03:22.959$ actually find a therapy for his brother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:03:22.960 \dashrightarrow 00:03:25.120$ And you can read a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}03{:}25{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27{.}172$ about their story on a Harvard

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:03:27.172 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.255$ Business School article.

 $00:03:28.260 \rightarrow 00:03:30.556$ And so we set up on this project

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:03:30.556 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.320$ in the summer of 2018.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:03:32.320 \dashrightarrow 00:03:34.567$ So this was shortly after I saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

00:03:34.567 --> 00:03:36.190 the lab here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:03:36.190 \dashrightarrow 00:03:38.218$ And this is the genetic report,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:03:38.220 \dashrightarrow 00:03:40.548$ and it's very typical for genetic report of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

00:03:40.548 --> 00:03:42.950 a patient with Duchene muscular dystrophy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:03:42.950 \dashrightarrow 00:03:45.983$ So this patient has an X on one deletion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}03{:}45{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48{.}265$ so it's it's quite a large deletion

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}03{:}48.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.692$ that takes out Exxon one and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:03:50.692 \dashrightarrow 00:03:53.090$ promoter region of the muscle Exxon one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}03{:}53{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}54{.}875$ So the first thing we wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}03{:}54.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57.149$ do is a full characterization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:03:57.150 \rightarrow 00:03:59.166$ So we performed whole genome sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:03:59.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.198$ So for those that aren't familiar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:04:01.200 \rightarrow 00:04:02.865$ follow genome sequencing,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694
- $00:04:02.865 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.530$ these reads represent.

 $00:04:04.530 \rightarrow 00:04:06.525$ Next generation sequencing reads and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

00:04:06.525 --> 00:04:09.490 where they map to the human genome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}04{:}09{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13{.}538$ And you can see here in this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}04{:}13.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}16.718$ case this is the X chromosome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:04:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.628$ And in particular the DMD gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:04:18.630 \rightarrow 00:04:21.166$ And keeping in mind the DMD gene goes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:04:21.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.760$ is on the negative strand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:04:22.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.668$ so goes from right to left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:04:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.896$ And here is muscle X on one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:04:26.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.084$ so in the patient we see no

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:04:29.084 \rightarrow 00:04:30.390$ sequencing reads mapping here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}04{:}30{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32{.}496$ and this indicates that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

00:04:32.496 --> 00:04:35.014 a large deletion an if you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}04{:}35{.}014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36{.}744$ carefully here at the mother.

 $00:04:36.750 \rightarrow 00:04:39.690$ You can see the histogram here that NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694 $00{:}04{:}39{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}42{.}049$ represents coverage that we see this NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694 $00:04:42.049 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.450$ dip and this dip is approximately 50%NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694 $00{:}04{:}44{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47{.}607$ and this represents that the mother is NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694 $00:04:47.607 \rightarrow 00:04:50.298$ a heterozygous carrier of this deletion. NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694 $00:04:50.300 \rightarrow 00:04:52.592$ We also performed RNA sequencing on NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694 $00{:}04{:}52{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}55{.}520$ the patient and so for those are not NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694 $00:04:55.520 \rightarrow 00:04:58.648$ familiar this is what we call a sashimi plot. NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694 $00{:}04{:}58.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00.545$ These arcs represent next generation NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694 $00:05:00.545 \rightarrow 00:05:02.440$ sequencing reads that span from NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694 $00{:}05{:}02{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03{.}730$ 1X onto another Exxon. NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694 00:05:03.730 --> 00:05:04.762 So not surprisingly, NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694 $00:05:04.762 \rightarrow 00:05:07.720$ we saw no read support for the muscle, NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694 $00:05:07.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.987$ the muscle isoform, and this is X on one. NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694 $00:05:10.990 \rightarrow 00:05:12.805$ But surprisingly we we sorry NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694 $00:05:12.805 \rightarrow 00:05:14.620$ support for the cortical isoforms,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694
- $00:05:14.620 \rightarrow 00:05:18.250$ so you can see in our that goes from Exxon,

 $00{:}05{:}18.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.548$ one from the cortical ice form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

00:05:20.550 --> 00:05:22.428 ***on two and so forth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}05{:}22{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}24.015$ and the the difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00{:}05{:}24.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.955$ the cortical eyes from the muscle

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:05:25.955 \rightarrow 00:05:27.435$ isoform is only exon one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:05:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.000$ And we've been Exxon one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7905694

 $00:05:29.000 \dashrightarrow 00:05:31.184$ Most of it is the untranslated region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:05:31.190 \dashrightarrow 00:05:34.007$ So the coding starts later on next on one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:05:34.010 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.187$ So there's only a few amino acids

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}05{:}36{.}187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37{.}795$ difference between the muscle and

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}05{:}37.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39.959$ the cortical ice form, and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}05{:}39{.}959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42{.}150$ important for the rest of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}05{:}42.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}44.103$ But one of the things we hypothesize

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}05{:}44{.}103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45{.}690$ is could the up regulation in

 $00:05:45.690 \rightarrow 00:05:48.084$ switching on the cortical or ice form,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}05{:}48.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49.398$ which you typically don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}05{:}49{.}398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50{.}706$ see in skelet al muscle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:05:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.835$ Can this actually be contributing

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:05:52.835 \rightarrow 00:05:55.562$ to some of the delayed progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:05:55.562 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.630$ of the muscle disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

00:05:57.630 - 00:06:00.368 We actually seen the patient, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:06:00.368 \rightarrow 00:06:03.434$ When we look at the protein levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

00:06:03.440 --> 00:06:05.520 when you do Western blot you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}06{:}05{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07{.}441$ what our collaborators at Boston

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

00:06:07.441 --> 00:06:09.225 Children's Hospital has shown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}06{:}09{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11{.}358$ Is that the patient does have residual

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}06{:}11.358$ --> $00{:}06{:}13.329$ level of dystrophin protein expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:06:13.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.350$ and they approximate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

00:06:14.350 --> 00:06:16.700 This is about 3% of normal levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:06:16.700 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.010$ and when you look at the muscle

- NOTE Confidence: 0.793868
- $00:06:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.168$ biopsy and the Histology of it,

 $00{:}06{:}21.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.786$ you can see that in control you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:06:23.786 \rightarrow 00:06:26.607$ see this nice staining of dystrophin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:06:26.610 \rightarrow 00:06:28.460$ Represented by green at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}06{:}28.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30.310$ muscle membrane of these fibers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}06{:}30{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32{.}998$ While in the patient you do see some

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

00:06:32.998 --> 00:06:35.119 dystrophin but it's patchy standing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:06:35.120 \rightarrow 00:06:37.460$ So there's some patching mosaic standing

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}06{:}37{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}39{.}754$ and this represents what we believe

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:06:39.754 \rightarrow 00:06:41.776$ to be a stochastic random process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

00:06:41.780 --> 00:06:44.370 So this is a snapshot in time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}06{:}44{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}46{.}464$ and sometimes you do get the

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:06:46.464 \rightarrow 00:06:48.440$ expression of the cortical isoform,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

00:06:48.440 --> 00:06:52.140 but you know it's not as strong as a control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:06:52.140 \dashrightarrow 00:06:56.892$ and it's not in each one of the fibers.

 $00{:}06{:}56{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57{.}588$ And so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}06{:}57{.}588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59{.}996$ so this shows that the patient is

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}06{:}59{.}996$ --> $00{:}07{:}02{.}126$ expressing a quarter Kreisel and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}07{:}02.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.638$ we look in the literature there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:07:04.638 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.816$ other patients that were reported in

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}07{:}06.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.866$ the late 80s and early 90s that Ashley

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}07{:}09.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.520$ have a muscle Exxon one deletion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

00:07:12.520 --> 00:07:12.884 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}07{:}12.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.704$ the interesting thing about these

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:07:14.704 \rightarrow 00:07:16.999$ patients is that they don't actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

00:07:16.999 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:07:18.949 have a skeletal muscle phenotype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:07:18.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.449$ so they don't have dish in muscular

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:07:21.449 \rightarrow 00:07:24.239$ dystrophy or any muscle phenotype at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:07:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.184$ But they still have a cardiac

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}07{:}26.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.343$ phenotype and what we believe is

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:07:28.343 \rightarrow 00:07:30.713$ happening here is there's this complex

- NOTE Confidence: 0.793868
- $00:07:30.713 \rightarrow 00:07:32.368$ interplay of enhances surrounding

 $00{:}07{:}32.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.175$ the muscle Exxon one and also the

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}07{:}35{.}175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37{.}092$ cortical Exxon which they've called

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:07:37.092 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.360$ the brain isoform in this paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:07:39.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.664$ and that when you get the

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:07:41.664 \rightarrow 00:07:43.520$ deletion of the muscle X1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}07{:}43.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}45.470$ the other enhancers switches on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}07{:}45{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47{.}710$ And actually turn on the cortical ice

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}07{:}47{.}710$ --> $00{:}07{:}50{.}368$ form and they turn it on high enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}07{:}50{.}368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52{.}482$ in skeletal muscle that these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:07:52.482 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.960$ don't actually have a muscle disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

00:07:54.960 --> 00:07:55.329 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00:07:55.329 \rightarrow 00:07:58.281$ what we think is happening with the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}07{:}58.281 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01.203$ is that he his deletion is a lot larger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793868

 $00{:}08{:}01{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03{.}674$ takes out some of these enhancers so

 $00:08:03.674 \rightarrow 00:08:06.058$ the cortical ice form can switch on, NOTE Confidence: 0.793868 $00:08:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.384$ but not at the levels that is NOTE Confidence: 0.793868 $00:08:08.384 \rightarrow 00:08:10.230$ actually happening in the patient, NOTE Confidence: 0.793868 00:08:10.230 --> 00:08:12.750 so I'm going to stop and pause you NOTE Confidence: 0.793868 $00:08:12.750 \rightarrow 00:08:15.430$ so we have a very good example. NOTE Confidence: 0.793868 $00:08:15.430 \rightarrow 00:08:17.670$ A human example that in the absence NOTE Confidence: 0.793868 00:08:17.670 --> 00:08:19.250 of a muscle isoform, NOTE Confidence: 0.793868 $00:08:19.250 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.420$ if you can switch on the cortical NOTE Confidence: 0.793868 $00{:}08{:}21{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23{.}593$ isoform that this could actually perform NOTE Confidence: 0.793868 $00:08:23.593 \rightarrow 00:08:25.945$ a genetic rescue and actually save. NOTE Confidence: 0.793868 00:08:25.950 --> 00:08:27.905 From having a muscle disease NOTE Confidence: 0.793868 $00{:}08{:}27{.}905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30{.}244$ phenotype and this is motivated us NOTE Confidence: 0.793868 $00:08:30.244 \rightarrow 00:08:32.463$ to actually do this for the patient, NOTE Confidence: 0.793868 $00:08:32.470 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.504$ will develop a therapy for the NOTE Confidence: 0.793868 $00:08:34.504 \rightarrow 00:08:35.860$ patient so so the NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777 $00:08:35.937 \rightarrow 00:08:38.611$ ability to actually switch on and robustly

 $00:08:38.611 \dashrightarrow 00:08:41.880$ turn on the cortical ice form in one way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}08{:}41.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.768$ You can do this is by using CRISPR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:08:44.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.666$ so in this case a dead cast line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:08:47.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.542$ so the ability to home into a particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:08:50.542 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.099$ place in the genome and bind and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}08{:}53{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55{.}996$ But in this case not cause a double

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:08:55.996 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.064$ stranded break, but bring in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:08:58.064 \rightarrow 00:08:59.856$ Inscription activating these transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:08:59.856 \dashrightarrow 00:09:02.012$ activator allows for the expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:09:02.012 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.250$ so it's not the best transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:09:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.870$ activated that you could use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}09{:}05{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08{.}186$ but we had translation in mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}09{:}08{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}11.601$ It was small enough to package into a Navy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:09:11.601 \dashrightarrow 00:09:14.744$ which has only a 4.7 KB packaging limit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

00:09:14.750 --> 00:09:17.487 so everything we've done has been designed NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:09:17.487 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.770$ with translation mine and not rescuing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:09:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.310$ Save particularly the cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:09:21.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.850$ or the mouse itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}09{:}22.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.112$ But how can this actually translate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}09{:}25.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}27.100$ to a human clinical trial?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}09{:}27.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}28.632$ So we also picked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

00:09:28.632 --> 00:09:30.930 Skeletal muscle promoter called CCA D

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}09{:}31.003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}33.547$ This is their truncated small synthetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}09{:}33.547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}36.616$ promoter that has also been used in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

00:09:36.616 --> 00:09:39.214 clinical trials already for mini dystrophin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:09:39.220 \dashrightarrow 00:09:43.119$ so it has a good safety profile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}09{:}43.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}45.424$ So next thing we wanted to do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:09:45.424 \rightarrow 00:09:47.737$ going over all the possible guides

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:09:47.737 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.817$ so upstream of the transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}09{:}49{.}817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51{.}941$ start site or the code acquires

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:09:51.941 \rightarrow 00:09:54.286$ form that we could bind and possibly

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:09:54.286 \rightarrow 00:09:56.374$ switch on the cortical eyes form.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:09:56.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.030$ So this was done in human cells and we found
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:10:00.119 \dashrightarrow 00:10:03.359$ that the C7 one of the cortical guides.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:10:03.360 \rightarrow 00:10:05.810$ One of the guides targeting the cortical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:10:05.810 \rightarrow 00:10:08.577$ ice form seems to have good upregulation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:10:08.580 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.562$ so the next thing we wanted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:10:11.562 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.160$ to do is then ask.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:10:14.160 \rightarrow 00:10:16.696$ How the performance would look like in vivo.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:10:16.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.280$ So we're very fortunate 44.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- 00:10:18.280 --> 00:10:20.800 DMD research that there is a range of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00{:}10{:}20{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23{.}036$ great mouse models that you can use,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:10:23.040 \dashrightarrow 00:10:27.837$ but the best mouse model you can use for.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:10:27.840 \rightarrow 00:10:29.645$ Very specific genetic therapies such
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:10:29.645 \rightarrow 00:10:32.239$ as this is a transgenic mouse model,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:10:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.075$ so this transgenic mouse has
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:10:34.075 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.543$ the whole DMD gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}10{:}35{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37{.}310$ so a 2.6 megabass fragmente

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:10:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.580$ that not only has the axons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}10{:}39{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}42{.}016$ but also has the introns and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}10{:}42.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43.620$ the flanking intergenic regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:10:43.620 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.460$ So guides that we've designed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}10{:}45{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49{.}051$ and we've proven to work in the human cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}10{:}49{.}051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52{.}367$ model can also work in this mouse model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}10{:}52{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54{.}170$ And in an in addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}10{:}54{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56{.}690$ this mouse more has the MTX mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}10{:}56.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}58.866$ So at the mouse locus for the DMD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

00:10:58.866 --> 00:11:01.684 gene has a nonsense mutation and can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}11{:}01{.}684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}03{.}889$ actually express the mouse dystrophin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:11:03.890 \rightarrow 00:11:05.846$ So the only dystrophin this mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00{:}11{:}05{.}846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07{.}850$ expresses is the human dystrophin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777

 $00:11:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.929$ And this was this was given to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00{:}11{:}09{.}929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12{.}528$ us by our collaborators at UCLA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:11:12.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.421$ So the mouse study we ran with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:11:15.421 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.939$ was a low dose and a high dose.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- 00:11:18.940 --> 00:11:21.600 At four weeks in eight weeks looking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- 00:11:21.600 --> 00:11:24.815 for the UP regulation as what we saw
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00{:}11{:}24.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27.998$ in the human cell model and the reason
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:11:27.998 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.022$ why we picked this dose is this dose.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:11:31.022 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.082$ Ashley correlates to a human
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- 00:11:33.082 --> 00:11:36.054 clinical trial of 3 * 10 to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- 00:11:36.054 --> 00:11:38.382 3rd 14 vector genomes per kilo.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:11:38.390 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.054$ Kilogram is the largest.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- 00:11:40.054 --> 00:11:42.550 The highest dose that has ever
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00:11:42.635 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.755$ been used safely in delivering
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- 00:11:44.755 --> 00:11:47.755 effectors such as this in in a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324777
- $00{:}11{:}47.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.755$ systemic way to skelet al muscle.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82745665

00:11:49.760 --> 00:11:52.856 So the results I'm going to show you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.82745665

 $00{:}11{:}52.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}55.667$ the results from the high dose eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.82745665

 $00{:}11{:}55{.}667 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58{.}587$ week mice where we have two untreated NOTE Confidence: 0.82745665

 $00:11:58.587 \dashrightarrow 00:12:01.881$ mice and four treated mice and you can NOTE Confidence: 0.82745665

00:12:01.881 --> 00:12:05.400 see that we do get on an RNA level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82745665

 $00{:}12{:}05{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}07{.}724$ Looking at qPCR, we do see up regulation NOTE Confidence: 0.82745665

 $00:12:07.724 \rightarrow 00:12:10.479$ in in cardiac tissue and disappointingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82745665

 $00:12:10.480 \rightarrow 00:12:12.988$ the UP regulations in other skeletal

NOTE Confidence: 0.82745665

00:12:12.988 --> 00:12:15.777 muscles are not just not as high

NOTE Confidence: 0.82745665

 $00{:}12{:}15{.}777 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17{.}517$ and in non muscle tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82745665

 $00:12:17.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.956$ We don't see any regulation or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82745665

 $00:12:19.960 \rightarrow 00:12:24.040$ And and this was expected because we news.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82745665

 $00{:}12{:}24.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.476$ A skeletal muscle specific ice form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}12{:}29{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31{.}994$ So what are the lies is so

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:12:31.994 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.510$ like preference for harm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:12:33.510 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.766$ Is that the AAV 9 that will be

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815
- $00:12:35.766 \rightarrow 00:12:38.220$ using to deliver that therapeutic?

00:12:38.220 --> 00:12:39.588 Well? Not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}12{:}39.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}43.615$ most of that goes to the liver when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:12:43.615 \rightarrow 00:12:46.930$ look when we isolate the DNA an look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:12:46.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.202$ The viral copy numbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:12:48.202 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.017$ but also you can see that a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}12{:}51{.}017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53{.}467$ it goes to the cardiac tissue with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:12:53.467 \rightarrow 00:12:56.029$ preference toward versus to skeletal muscle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}12{:}56{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59{.}414$ and this is something that the field has

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}12{:}59{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}03{.}086$ found that AAV 9 has a preference for heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

00:13:03.090 - 00:13:05.568 So using this data and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:13:05.568 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.220$ data that we've generated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}13{:}07{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}09{.}726$ but I don't have time to present

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}13{:}09{.}726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11{.}844$ and will very well successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}13{:}11{.}844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}14{.}239$ with a pre Ind application.

 $00{:}13{:}14{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16{.}490$ And since then we've replicated this

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

00:13:16.490 --> 00:13:18.904 data on multiple other mouse cohorts

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

00:13:18.904 --> 00:13:21.454 and also at other AAV production

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:13:21.454 \rightarrow 00:13:23.329$ facilities producing robust results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}13{:}23{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26{.}597$ But the future work we have to do after

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}13{:}26.597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}29.860$ talking to the FDA is we'd like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:13:29.860 \rightarrow 00:13:32.000$ measure increased protein expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:13:32.000 \rightarrow 00:13:34.140$ So what I've shown you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}13{:}34{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36{.}000$ Is increased in RNA expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

00:13:36.000 --> 00:13:38.646 but we'd also like to show an

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}13{:}38.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40.710$ increase in protein expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

00:13:40.710 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:13:42.978 One of the challenges is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}13{:}42{.}978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}44{.}490$ the cortical muscle isoforms

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:13:44.558 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.580$ are very similar to each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:13:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.834$ It's only a few amino acids at

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:13:48.834 \rightarrow 00:13:50.990$ the intern that Steve different.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815
- $00:13:50.990 \rightarrow 00:13:53.559$ We're trying to create also an exon,

00:13:53.560 --> 00:13:55.028 one knockout mouse model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:13:55.028 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.129$ but there's difficulties

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:13:56.129 \rightarrow 00:13:57.230$ naturally creating that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:13:57.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.652$ So what we're working towards is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}13{:}59.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02.051$ showing an increase in overall protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}02{.}051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03{.}775$ expression and therefore inferring

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}03.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.378$ that the increase is actually due to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}06{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}08{.}628$ the increase in the cortical ice form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}08.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.732$ The other thing we're working on is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}11.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14.295$ development of a robust patient cell model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:14:14.300 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.185$ And this is necessarily necessary

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

00:14:16.185 --> 00:14:18.070 to determine off target effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:14:18.070 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.709$ So what are the genes weight would?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:14:20.710 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.032$ We may be switching on other

 $00:14:23.032 \rightarrow 00:14:24.860$ than the dystrophin isoform so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}24.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27.092$ but we believe that there probably NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}27.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29.343$ shouldn't be any off target effects NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}29{.}343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32{.}103$ given that a lot of these off target NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}32{.}174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}34{.}568$ sites are actually into Jenny and NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:14:34.568 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.507$ shouldn't be switching on genes. NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}36{.}507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38{.}529$ And the last is functional as says

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}38{.}529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}40{.}405$ that show the restoration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}40{.}405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42{.}799$ dystrophin at the cell membrane in NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}42.799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.248$ these patients else comes along with NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}45{.}248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47{.}223$ the restoration of other complexes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}47{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49{.}744$ Such as the district liking complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}49{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52{.}740$ and also the psych like in complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}52{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55{.}708$ So our lab is very excited that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}55{.}708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57{.}830$ we're in this new era.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}14{:}57{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00{.}315$ This shift from personalized medicine

 $00:15:00.315 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.303$ to individualized medicine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

00:15:02.303 --> 00:15:04.707 the the contrast is an explained

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}15{:}04{.}707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07{.}398$ well by Peter Marks from the FDA

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

00:15:07.398 --> 00:15:09.368 is that personalized medicine is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

00:15:09.368 --> 00:15:11.726 when you profile patient and use

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}15{:}11.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14.078$ off the shelf drugs and the rapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:15:14.078 \rightarrow 00:15:16.458$ personalized based on their profile

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}15{:}16.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.895$ while individualized medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}15{:}17{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19{.}590$ Is looking at a patient's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}15{:}19.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21.280$ mutation and developing a therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:15:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.828$ specific to that mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}15{:}22.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.126$ and so there are two exciting clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}15{:}25{.}126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27{.}294$ trials that are going on right

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}15{:}27{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29{.}154$ now and using CRISPR technologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00:15:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.272$ One is sickle cell which is

 $00:15:31.272 \rightarrow 00:15:32.680$ using ex vivo approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}15{:}32.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.046$ The other one is delivery to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}15{:}35{.}046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36{.}794$ eye for inherited blindness and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}15{:}36{.}794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39{.}111$ with that I would like to thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}15{:}39{.}111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.005$ the audience for their attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.82583815

 $00{:}15{:}41.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43.237$ and a huge thanks to Karen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503214

 $00{:}15{:}43{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}45{.}000$ K You from my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503214

 $00:15:45.000 \rightarrow 00:15:47.904$ Have driven a lot of the research that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503214

 $00{:}15{:}47{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50{.}320$ I've presented today our funders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503214

 $00:15:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.718$ cure a disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503214

 $00{:}15{:}51{.}718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54{.}048$ and also a wonderful collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503214

00:15:54.048 --> 00:15:56.090 academic and also industry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503214

 $00{:}15{:}56{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}58{.}495$ particularly Charles River and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503214

00:15:58.495 - 00:16:00.540 collaborators at UMass, thanks.