WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:18:24.9680000" NOTE language:en-us NOTE Confidence: 0.85246646 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.807 Our next speaker is Doctor Joel Gelernter. NOTE Confidence: 0.85246646 $00:00:02.810 \rightarrow 00:00:05.168$ Briefly, he graduated from Yale College, NOTE Confidence: 0.85246646 00:00:05.170 --> 00:00:07.928 went to medical school at SUNY Downstate, NOTE Confidence: 0.85246646 $00{:}00{:}07{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}10{.}938$ and then did a residency in psychiatry at NOTE Confidence: 0.85246646 00:00:10.938 --> 00:00:13.048 Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic, NOTE Confidence: 0.85246646 00:00:13.050 - 00:00:15.020 followed by a fellowship in NOTE Confidence: 0.85246646 $00{:}00{:}15{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}16{.}596$ psychiatric genetics at NIMH. NOTE Confidence: 0.85246646 $00:00:16.600 \rightarrow 00:00:18.565$ He is currently the foundation's NOTE Confidence: 0.85246646 00:00:18.565 --> 00:00:20.137 fund professor of Psychiatry, NOTE Confidence: 0.85246646 00:00:20.140 --> 00:00:22.245 professor of genetics and Neuroscience NOTE Confidence: 0.85246646 $00{:}00{:}22.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.119$ and director of the Division of Human NOTE Confidence: 0.85246646 00:00:25.119 --> 00:00:27.237 Genetics in Psychiatry here at Yale, NOTE Confidence: 0.85246646 $00:00:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.538$ where he studies the genetics of NOTE Confidence: 0.85246646 00:00:29.538 --> 00:00:31.760 psychiatric and substance use disorders.

00:00:31.760 --> 00:00:33.210 Thank you Doctor Gordon, thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

00:00:33.210 --> 00:00:35.716 you very much and I don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}00{:}35.716$ --> $00{:}00{:}37.480$ relevant conflicts of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:00:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.502$ So I'm going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

00:00:39.502 --> 00:00:42.073 where we stand with genome wide

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:00:42.073 \dashrightarrow 00:00:44.369$ Association studies and psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:00:44.370 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.926$ And it's just been an enormously

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:00:46.926 \rightarrow 00:00:49.562$ eventful few years as large studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:00:49.562 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.106$ have taken hold in the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}00{:}52{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54{.}791$ This has been due to the advent

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}00{:}54.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.051$ of large biobanks available for

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}00{:}57{.}051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00{.}147$ research like the UK Biobank Million NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}01{:}00{.}147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}02{.}382$ Veteran Program and Mega Analysis NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}01{:}02{.}382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05{.}554$ in front of those are the work from

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

00:01:05.554 --> 00:01:07.730 the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium.

 $00:01:07.730 \dashrightarrow 00:01:10.271$ It's also the case that's what's considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

00:01:10.271 --> 00:01:12.698 a large study is evolving rapidly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:01:12.700 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.892$ so studies of over a million subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:01:15.892 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.979$ are not at all uncommon now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:01:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.395$ So we like to identify lots of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:01:21.395 \rightarrow 00:01:23.228$ genes because that's interesting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:01:23.228 \rightarrow 00:01:25.755$ we want to see what they encode.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:01:25.760 \rightarrow 00:01:28.973$ But we also once we have genome wide data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}01{:}28{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31{.}806$ want to go beyond that and see what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}01{:}31{.}806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34{.}772$ can use that data for to learn about

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:01:34.772 \dashrightarrow 00:01:37.896$ the biology that comes out of well powered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:01:37.900 \dashrightarrow 00:01:40.476$ G was we could look at Lee Atropia

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:01:40.476 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.540$ shared genetics with other disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:01:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.997$ pathways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

00:01:42.997 --> 00:01:45.282 mechanisms and also better understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:01:45.282 \rightarrow 00:01:47.860$ of the meaning of diagnosis.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304
- $00:01:47.860 \rightarrow 00:01:51.244$ If we look at the current state of the

00:01:51.244 --> 00:01:54.790 world of Genome Wide Association analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:01:54.790 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.290$ this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:01:57.290 \rightarrow 00:02:00.111$ Diagram that's updated regularly at the you

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

00:02:00.111 --> 00:02:03.098 know gene Human Genome Institute website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:02:03.100 \rightarrow 00:02:06.352$ Now there are so many significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:02:06.352 \rightarrow 00:02:09.437$ map genome wide associated loci that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}02{:}09{.}437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12{.}314$ you can only fit a few chromosomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:02:12.314 \rightarrow 00:02:14.128$ on a single slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

00:02:14.130 --> 00:02:16.608 But going back only 16 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:02:16.610 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.640$ this is the first important genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:02:19.640 \dashrightarrow 00:02:22.445$ wide Association study came out in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:02:22.445 \rightarrow 00:02:25.245$ science and we can focus in and look

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:02:25.332 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.260$ on the sample size that was only 96

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:02:28.260 \rightarrow 00:02:30.948$ cases and 50 controls which amazingly NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:02:30.948 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.430$ still work for a somewhat complex trait.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}02{:}34{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}35{.}690$ For years after that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}02{:}35{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38{.}062$ there wasn't a whole lot of success

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

00:02:38.062 --> 00:02:40.122 in Genome Wide Association analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:02:40.122 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.780$ of complex traits an all psychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:02:42.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.668$ disorders or complex trades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}02{:}44.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.896$ and there was discussion about whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}02{:}46.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}48.867$ complex traits just weren't amenable

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

00:02:48.867 --> 00:02:50.977 to genome wide Association analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:02:50.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.996$ There was some other problem that NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:02:52.996 \rightarrow 00:02:55.310$ they were just too heterogeneous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:02:55.310 \rightarrow 00:02:58.462$ It turns out that it was more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}02{:}58.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}01.010$ anything else, a problem of power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}03{:}01{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}03{.}650$ and that with lower sample size

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}03{:}03{.}736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06{.}410$ studies up to a few 1000 cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:03:06.410 \rightarrow 00:03:07.682$ For complex traits,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304
- $00:03:07.682 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.226$ you have to keep adding cases

 $00{:}03{:}10.226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.091$ until you come to what appears to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:03:13.091 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.663$ be an inflection point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:03:14.670 \rightarrow 00:03:17.554$ and once you hit the inflection point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:03:17.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.542$ which is about 10,000 cases for schizophrenia

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:03:20.542 \rightarrow 00:03:23.339$ for every set of subjects you add,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:03:23.340 \rightarrow 00:03:25.818$ you discover more risk loci regularly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:03:25.820 \rightarrow 00:03:28.622$ and this inflection point was simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}03{:}28.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31.626$ much earlier on for complex trades

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:03:31.626 \rightarrow 00:03:34.758$ outside of the realm of psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:03:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.400$ This was put forward by Pat

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

00:03:37.400 --> 00:03:40.659 Sullivan from PGC a few years back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}03{:}40.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.930$ and it's continued to hold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:03:42.930 \dashrightarrow 00:03:45.660$ Here's an example from depression work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

00:03:45.660 -> 00:03:48.474 where until a few years ago there

 $00:03:48.474 \rightarrow 00:03:50.650$ was nothing from depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

00:03:50.650 --> 00:03:54.274 and now we've gone up 278 risk loci,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:03:54.280 \rightarrow 00:03:57.904$ but that took a very large sample size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:03:57.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.590$ over 300,000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

00:04:00.590 --> 00:04:03.080 So most of the progress for

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00{:}04{:}03{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05{.}321$ psychiatric traits comes from massive

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

 $00:04:05.321 \rightarrow 00:04:07.866$ meta analysis and biobank samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81320304

00:04:07.870 - 00:04:11.206 Uh, the UK biobank is very well known.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}04{:}11{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13{.}300$ It has half million subjects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}04{:}13.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}16.644$ self report surveys on a subset of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}04{:}16.650$ --> $00{:}04{:}19.254$ electronic health record linkage and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:04:19.254 \dashrightarrow 00:04:22.178$ very open design where anyone can obtain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}04{:}22.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}24.768$ access to the data and do analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:04:24.844 \rightarrow 00:04:27.448$ and this is generated huge numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:04:27.448 \rightarrow 00:04:29.655$ of very important research papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:04:29.655 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.445$ There's 23 and me the direct to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002
- $00:04:32.445 \rightarrow 00:04:34.956$ consumer Testing Company, which has

 $00{:}04{:}34{.}956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38{.}304$ millions of subjects and is growing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

00:04:38.310 --> 00:04:41.134 Despite shallow diagnostic ascertainment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:04:41.134 \rightarrow 00:04:45.370$ it still proved to be enormously

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}04{:}45{.}474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49{.}482$ useful for common trades and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}04{:}49{.}482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}52{.}154$ the Psychiatric Genomics consortium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}04{:}52{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54{.}638$ In addition, there are some important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:04:54.638 \rightarrow 00:04:56.290$ academic center related biobanks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}04{:}56{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58{.}768$ like the one at Harvard Partners,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

00:04:58.770 --> 00:05:01.654 the Bio V1 led by Nancy Cox,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:05:01.660 \rightarrow 00:05:06.028$ who previously was at Yale and soon Yell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

00:05:06.030 --> 00:05:07.870 The million veteran program until

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:05:07.870 \dashrightarrow 00:05:10.110$ recently was somewhat less well known,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

00:05:10.110 --> 00:05:12.602 but in some ways it's the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:05:12.602 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.559$ useful of the bio banks,

 $00{:}05{:}14.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17.341$ so we can look at some of the characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:05:17.341 \dashrightarrow 00:05:20.145$ of the MVP sample large sample size

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:05:20.145 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.350$ they've already recruited over 800,000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}05{:}22.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}24.205$ The original plan was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}05{:}24.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.689$ recruit a million participants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}05{:}25{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}28{.}480$ but they are now planning to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:05:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.340$ go well beyond that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:05:30.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.608$ Most of the larger samples that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}05{:}32.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35.320$ are available for study are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

00:05:35.320 --> 00:05:37.568 predominantly European ancestry samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}05{:}37{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40{.}138$ The MVP has very good representation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:05:40.138 \rightarrow 00:05:42.540$ from non European ancestry subjects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}05{:}42.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45.258$ especially African American and Latin X,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}05{:}45{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48{.}698$ and I'm going to give an example of why

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:05:48.698 \dashrightarrow 00:05:51.580$ that's important for gene discovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:05:51.580 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.945$ It's mostly male.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002
- $00{:}05{:}52{.}945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55{.}675$ That's a disadvantage in that we

 $00:05:55.675 \rightarrow 00:05:58.815$ can't say much about females from MVP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}05{:}58{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00{.}532$ but it does increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:06:00.532 \rightarrow 00:06:03.678$ The homogeneity of the sample and increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:06:03.678 \rightarrow 00:06:06.678$ our power for discovery among males.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:06:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.204$ There is EHR linkage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:06:08.204 \dashrightarrow 00:06:12.019$ The VAEHR is one of the oldest worldwide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}06{:}12.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}16.016$ Goes back to the 90s and so there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

00:06:16.016 --> 00:06:19.457 longitudinal repeated measured data from EHR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:06:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.208$ Depending on the phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:06:22.210 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.090$ And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:06:23.090 \dashrightarrow 00:06:26.610$ the MVP sample is relatively old and SEK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}06{:}26.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28.890$ There are individuals who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}06{:}28.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31.662$ used VA health services and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}06{:}31.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}34.116$ is in contrast to other large

00:06:34.116 --> 00:06:36.290 biobanks like the UK Biobank,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:06:36.290 \rightarrow 00:06:39.305$ which is relatively higher socioeconomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:06:39.305 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.890$ status and not quite as sick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:06:42.890 \dashrightarrow 00:06:45.487$ So I'm going to give examples for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:06:45.487 \rightarrow 00:06:47.989$ gene mapping into psychiatric areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}06{:}47{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50{.}810$ First post Traumatic stress disorder NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

00:06:50.810 --> 00:06:53.630 and then alcohol use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}06{:}53.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56.766$ So one of the goals of collecting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}06{:}56{.}766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00{.}095$ MVP sample was to map disorders of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:07:00.095 \dashrightarrow 00:07:02.550$ relevance to the veteran population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}07{:}02.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.220$ An although PTSD is not specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:07:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.555$ to military populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:07:06.560 \dashrightarrow 00:07:10.550$ it's very important in those populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}07{:}10.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}13.413$ We have the HR data relating to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}07{:}13.413 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17.432$ PTSD in the MVP and we also have PCL

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00{:}07{:}17.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21.479$ checklist data that we can use as a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002
- $00:07:21.479 \rightarrow 00:07:24.179$ quantitative measure of PTSD symptoms.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002
- $00:07:24.180 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.903$ PCL is often used clinically and it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002
- 00:07:26.903 --> 00:07:29.379 breaks down into three different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002
- $00:07:29.379 \rightarrow 00:07:31.839$ sub phenotypes re experiencing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002
- 00:07:31.839 > 00:07:33.684 avoidance and hyperarousal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002
- $00:07:33.690 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.755$ Which in the original clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002
- 00:07:35.755 --> 00:07:36.994 conception of PTSD,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002
- $00:07:37.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.070$ were thought to be important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002
- 00:07:39.070 --> 00:07:41.140 for diagnosis of the trade,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002
- $00:07:41.140 \rightarrow 00:07:42.382$ even though phenomenologically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002
- $00:07:42.382 \dashrightarrow 00:07:44.866$ they appear to be quite different,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002
- $00{:}07{:}44.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.174$ so I'm going to come back to that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002
- $00:07:48.180 \dashrightarrow 00:07:51.572$ But first I'm going to talk about PTSD
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002
- 00:07:51.572 --> 00:07:54.035 Re experiencing which was the subject
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002
- $00:07:54.035 \rightarrow 00:07:57.290$ of our first paper from NVP about PTSD.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:07:57.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.942$ So sample re experiencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:07:58.942 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.594$ item is given below.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

00:08:00.600 --> 00:08:03.156 How much have you been bothered

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777002

 $00:08:03.156 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.434$ by repeated disturbing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}08{:}04{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06{.}724$ And unwanted memories of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}08{:}06{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08{.}437$ the stressful experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:08:08.440 --> 00:08:10.432 Here's the Manhattan Plot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}08{:}10.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.922$ 8 distinct common variant genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}08{:}12.922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}15.910$ wide significant regions identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:08:15.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.542$ Three with very high

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:08:17.542 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.358$ statistical significance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:08:18.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.000$ and I've already described

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}08{:}20{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22{.}050$ this as a Manhattan plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:08:22.050 \rightarrow 00:08:25.218$ This is why in this Manhattan plot only

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}08{:}25{.}218$ --> $00{:}08{:}27{.}751$ the World Trade Center is significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}08{:}27.751 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32.270$ over 5 * 10 to the minus South.

00:08:32.270 --> 00:08:34.854 So I'm going to focus in on only

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:08:34.854 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.783$ one of those results from our re

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:08:37.783 \rightarrow 00:08:40.698$ experiencing G was and that is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:08:40.698 --> 00:08:43.585 CR H R1 region and in the upper plot

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:08:43.585 \dashrightarrow 00:08:45.410$ this is called original Manhattan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}08{:}45{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48{.}077$ Plot it zooming in on the Manhattan

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:08:48.077 \dashrightarrow 00:08:50.980$ plot to show chromosome or region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:08:50.980 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.146$ We have a region of high

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:08:53.146 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.868$ statistical significance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}08{:}53.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56.758$ but we can't pick out a risk gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:08:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.406$ much less or risk locus that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}08{:}59{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01{.}890$ Maps higher than the others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:09:01.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.172$ We have an African ancestry sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}09{:}05{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08{.}454$ below that's about 20% the size

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:09:08.454 \dashrightarrow 00:09:11.189$ of the European ancestry sample.

 $00:09:11.190 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.360$ Not large enough to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:09:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.628$ statistical significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:09:15.630 \dashrightarrow 00:09:18.270$ But European Americans have higher LD

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:09:18.270 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.210$ and a common inversion in this region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:09:21.210 --> 00:09:22.922 A
frican Americans have lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:09:22.922 --> 00:09:24.634 linkage disequilibrium genome wide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}09{:}24.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}26.705$ and this particular inversion in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:09:26.705 --> 00:09:29.360 this region is much less common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}09{:}29{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}31{.}880$ and the result is that when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:09:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.590$ met it analyze the data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}09{:}34.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}36.650$ European and African Americans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:09:36.650 - 00:09:39.387 we can now localize the signal in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:09:39.387 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.027$ this region to a specific gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:09:42.027 --> 00:09:44.793 with about two orders of magnitude,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:09:44.800 --> 00:09:46.950 two log units of support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:09:46.950 --> 00:09:50.868 So it's a pretty good localization.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666
- 00:09:50.870 --> 00:09:53.299 So I want to illustrate two points.

00:09:53.300 --> 00:09:54.200 First of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:09:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.700$ the gene that it localizes

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:09:55.700 --> 00:09:57.460 to CRH R1 corticotropin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:09:57.460 \rightarrow 00:10:00.000$ releasing hormone receptor one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}10{:}00{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01{.}890$ Is Gene that participates in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:10:01.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.982$ pathway that's long been known to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:10:03.982 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.902$ be important in stress response

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:10:05.902 --> 00:10:07.690 steroid signaling cortisol signaling?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:10:07.690 --> 00:10:08.426 In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:10:08.426 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.370$ it was such a strong candidate that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}10{:}11{.}449 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}14{.}263$ was studied in prior candidate gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}10{:}14.263 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17.398$ studies picked out based on its biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}10{:}17{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19{.}101$ The other thing I want to point

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:10:19.101 -> 00:10:21.299 out is the importance of having

 $00:10:21.299 \rightarrow 00:10:23.175$ populations other than European

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}10{:}23.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.532$ ancestry populations because absent the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:10:25.532 \rightarrow 00:10:27.308$ contribution from African ancestry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}10{:}27{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29{.}766$ then any of the genes in this region

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:10:29.766 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.919$ could really be considered to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}10{:}31{.}919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33{.}395$ equal statistical support and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:10:33.395 \rightarrow 00:10:36.206$ some of them are also interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:10:36.206 --> 00:10:37.208 biological candidates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}10{:}37{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39{.}653$ and this was the cover that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:10:39.653 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.636$ proposed for the Journal that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:10:41.636 --> 00:10:42.926 was turned down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:10:42.930 --> 00:10:46.362 But it's a guy re experiencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}10{:}46.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}48.650$ the regional Association plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:10:48.650 --> 00:10:51.518 Our follow up paper considered all

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:10:51.518 \rightarrow 00:10:54.909$ three of the PTSD sub phenotypes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:10:54.910 - 00:10:57.598 avoidance re experiencing and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666
- $00:10:57.598 \rightarrow 00:11:00.958$ hyperarousal and these were analysis

 $00:11:00.958 \rightarrow 00:11:05.504$ that were done mostly by Dan Leavy in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:11:05.510 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.438$ This came out in nature genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:11:08.438 --> 00:11:10.390 I think in January.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:11:10.390 --> 00:11:12.940 So this study included many more

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:11:12.940 --> 00:11:15.790 subjects were up to over 250,000,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}11{:}15{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18{.}430$ and we considered both case control

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:11:18.430 \rightarrow 00:11:20.740$ models and quantitative trait models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:11:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.890$ and we also looked at the three

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:11:23.890 - 00:11:25.240 sub phenotypes separately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:11:25.240 --> 00:11:28.840 So if we look at total PSL score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}11{:}28{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30{.}640$ that's the overall quantitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:11:30.640 --> 00:11:32.890 measure used to diagnose PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}11{:}32.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35.520$ We now 15 independent associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00{:}11{:}35{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37{.}624$ regions much larger sample.

 $00:11:37.630 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.300$ And in this very nice graph,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:11:40.300 --> 00:11:42.982 which was made by Gita Pathak

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:11:42.982 --> 00:11:45.200 and Renato Paula Montes Lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

 $00:11:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.080$ we can see the localization

NOTE Confidence: 0.86981666

00:11:47.080 --> 00:11:48.960 of risk loci by trade

NOTE Confidence: 0.7824783

 $00{:}11{:}49.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51.426$ re experiencing avoidance and hyperarousal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7824783

 $00{:}11{:}51{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}55{.}428$ or PCL total or EHR define case control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7824783

 $00{:}11{:}55{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58{.}158$ You can see that we do have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.7824783

00:11:58.158 --> 00:11:59.924 genome wide significant findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.7824783

 $00:11:59.924 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.439$ for African Americans now that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7824783

 $00{:}12{:}02{.}439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06{.}126$ they tend not to localize with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7824783

 $00{:}12{:}06{.}126$ --> $00{:}12{:}08{.}218$ genome wide significant findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7824783

 $00{:}12{:}08{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10{.}800$ For European American subjects and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7824783

 $00:12:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.987$ that the different sub phenotypes have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7824783

 $00:12:13.987 \rightarrow 00:12:17.158$ genomic regions, some of which coincide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7824783

 $00:12:17.158 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.320$ and some of which are specific

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7824783
- $00:12:20.422 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.446$ to a specific of sub phenotype.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494
- $00:12:25.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.460$ So now we can start to get some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494
- $00:12:29.460 \rightarrow 00:12:31.650$ interesting biology out of that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494
- $00:12:31.650 \rightarrow 00:12:33.506$ This graph shows correlation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494
- $00:12:33.506 \rightarrow 00:12:35.826$ phenotypic Lee between case control,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494
- $00:12:35.830 \rightarrow 00:12:38.698$ total PCL and sub phenotypes and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494
- 00:12:38.698 --> 00:12:40.930 below genetic correlation or RG.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494
- $00{:}12{:}40{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44{.}116$ And what I want to point out is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494
- $00{:}12{:}44{.}116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}46{.}148$ genetic genetic correlation between
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494
- $00{:}12{:}46.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.865$ each of the three sub phenotype groups
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494
- $00:12:49.952 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.527$ taken individually is very high.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494
- $00{:}12{:}52{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55{.}878$ In each case it's over .9.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494
- $00:12:55.880 \rightarrow 00:12:58.934$ So the implication is that although
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494
- $00{:}12{:}58{.}934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00{.}970$ there are phenomenologically different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494
- $00:13:01.042 \rightarrow 00:13:03.268$ that the clinical insight that they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494

 $00:13:03.268 \rightarrow 00:13:05.951$ formed part of the same diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494

00:13:05.951 --> 00:13:08.199 construct was absolutely correct,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494

 $00:13:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.132$ because genetically they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494

 $00:13:10.132 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.708$ share similar orange origins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494

 $00{:}13{:}12.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16.118$ So this allows us to use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494

00:13:16.120 --> 00:13:18.922 Genome wide genetic data to reflect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389494

00:13:18.922 --> 00:13:21.620 back on diagnosis and nosology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

 $00:13:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.605$ So now we have large geosat PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

00:13:27.610 --> 00:13:30.390 We've discovered more risk loci,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

00:13:30.390 --> 00:13:33.058 genomic structural equation equation

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

 $00{:}13{:}33.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35.726$ modeling also supported the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

00:13:35.730 --> 00:13:39.456 A single common factor underlying the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

 $00:13:39.456 \rightarrow 00:13:41.940$ three phenomenologically different PTSD

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

 $00:13:42.025 \rightarrow 00:13:44.805$ sub phenotype factors validating the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

00:13:44.805 --> 00:13:48.400 biological coherence of the PTSD syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

 $00:13:48.400 \rightarrow 00:13:50.997$ The other trade I'm going to talk

00:13:50.997 -> 00:13:53.673 about is alcohol use or alcohol use

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

 $00{:}13{:}53{.}673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55{.}899$ disorder risk genes that map to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

00:13:55.977 --> 00:13:57.937 alcohol metabolising pathways have

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

 $00{:}13{:}57{.}937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01{.}296$ been well known for decades and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

 $00{:}14{:}01{.}296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03{.}376$ very easy to understand biologically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

 $00{:}14{:}03{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06{.}436$ If you have a variant that interferes with

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

 $00{:}14{:}06{.}436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09{.}040$ the metabolism of ethanol that results

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

 $00:14:09.040 \rightarrow 00:14:11.692$ in high rates of circulating acetaldehyde.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

 $00{:}14{:}11{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14{.}190$ The first metabolite on the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

00:14:14.190 --> 00:14:15.435 important metabolic pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

 $00{:}14{:}15{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17{.}520$ which results in dysphoric properties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

00:14:17.520 --> 00:14:19.500 you're relatively protected from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

00:14:19.500 --> 00:14:20.985 Alcohol use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

00:14:20.990 --> 00:14:25.182 The first PG CG was for alcohol use

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

 $00:14:25.182 \rightarrow 00:14:28.640$ disorder which came out in late 2018,

 $00:14:28.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.084$ found despite a good sample size with

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

00:14:32.084 --> 00:14:34.759 46,000 European ancestry subjects only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

00:14:34.760 --> 00:14:37.820 This one risk locus and European

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

00:14:37.820 --> 00:14:38.840 ancestry subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

 $00{:}14{:}38{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42{.}410$ Nevertheless, it made the cover of nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

00:14:42.410 --> 00:14:42.920 Neuroscience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77978975

 $00:14:42.920 \rightarrow 00:14:47.000$ name of this cover diagram is plot glasses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:14:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.570$ Our follow-up study from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

00:14:51.570 --> 00:14:53.980 MVP was again much larger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}14{:}53{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}56{.}390$ and we considered both alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}14{:}56{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58{.}800$ use disorder perception an audit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:14:58.800 \rightarrow 00:15:01.254$ see which is a quantity frequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:15:01.254 \rightarrow 00:15:04.543$ measure of alcohol use without capturing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

00:15:04.543 --> 00:15:06.910 biological physiological dependence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:15:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.725$ So previously these were thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:15:08.725 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.540$ to index closely related traits,

00:15:10.540 --> 00:15:13.436 so if you drink a lot of alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

00:15:13.440 --> 00:15:15.756 we might have assumed that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}15{:}15{.}756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17{.}808$ genetic background was similar to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:15:17.808 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.580$ alcohol use disorder perception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}15{:}19.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.268$ Here's the Manhattan plot for audit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}15{:}22.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.590$ See quantity frequency measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

00:15:25.590 --> 00:15:28.320 These analysis were led by Hong Zhao,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}15{:}28{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29{.}880$ an associate research scientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:15:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.440$ Now in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}15{:}31{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34{.}870$ This is the meta analysis across population

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:15:34.870 \dashrightarrow 00:15:37.450$ groups for alcohol use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}15{:}37{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40{.}906$ I won't spend a lot of time on those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

00:15:40.910 --> 00:15:42.639 What I want to spend some time

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}15{:}42.639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}44.477$ on is the genetic correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}15{:}44{.}477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46{.}533$ patterns by linkage disequilibrium

 $00:15:46.533 \rightarrow 00:15:48.589$ score regression with audit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}15{:}48{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50{.}170$ See quantity frequency measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}15{:}50{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52{.}540$ On the one hand and alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:15:52.609 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.349$ use disorder on the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

00:15:54.350 --> 00:15:56.854 And what we can see is that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}15{:}56{.}854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}58{.}914$ are patterns of genetic correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}15{:}58{.}914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01{.}638$ are very different in important ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}16{:}01{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03{.}584$ So if we look at anthropometric

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

00:16:03.584 --> 00:16:05.435 measures we see many significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}16{:}05{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07{.}875$ negative correlations with audit C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:16:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.670$ So audit, see higher audit C,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}16{:}10.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13.150$ so drinking more frequently and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}16{:}13.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15.630$ in higher quantity is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}16{:}15{.}711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17{.}646$ to lower body mass index.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

00:16:17.650 --> 00:16:18.578 Lower obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

00:16:18.578 --> 00:16:20.434 apparent decrease risk for

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146
- $00:16:20.434 \rightarrow 00:16:21.826$ coronary artery disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146
- $00:16:21.830 \rightarrow 00:16:26.694$ This is not seen for alcohol use disorder.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146
- $00:16:26.700 \rightarrow 00:16:29.844$ But if we look at psychiatric traits audit,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146
- $00:16:29.850 \rightarrow 00:16:32.994$ see appears to be in a minor way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146
- $00:16:33.000 \rightarrow 00:16:34.944$ protected against major depression.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146
- $00{:}16{:}34{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37{.}860$ But IU D is associated with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146
- 00:16:37.941 --> 00:16:40.177 risk for smoking neuroticism,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146
- 00:16:40.180 --> 00:16:41.174 bipolar disorder,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146
- 00:16:41.174 --> 00:16:41.671 schizophrenia,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146
- $00:16:41.671 \rightarrow 00:16:44.653$ down the gamut of psychiatric traits,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146
- $00:16:44.660 \rightarrow 00:16:49.418$ for which we have sufficient information.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146
- $00:16:49.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.682$ But once we have genome wide
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146
- 00:16:51.682 --> 00:16:52.436 Association data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146
- $00{:}16{:}52{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55{.}328$ we can do all sorts of post GWAS
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146
- $00:16:55.328 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.283$ insilico analysis to learn more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:16:57.283 \rightarrow 00:16:59.593$ about the biology of the disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

00:16:59.600 --> 00:17:02.239 I've focused only on plea atropia analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

00:17:02.240 --> 00:17:05.327 but there are a range of things you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}17{:}05{.}327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09{.}017$ do to learn about the biology of the trait.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}17{:}09{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}12{.}002$ Once you have a genome wide Association

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}17{:}12.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.309$ analysis with good enough power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

00:17:14.310 --> 00:17:15.156 So finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:17:15.156 \rightarrow 00:17:17.694$ for gene identification studies like juos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

00:17:17.700 --> 00:17:19.820 larger samples are much better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:17:19.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.089$ provided that careful

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

00:17:21.089 --> 00:17:23.204 attention is paid to phenotype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:17:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.890$ the amount of alcohol consumed

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:17:24.890 \rightarrow 00:17:27.760$ turns out to be a different genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:17:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.000$ trait from alcohol dependence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:17:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.115$ most biobank samples so far

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

00:17:32.115 - 00:17:33.807 are mostly European ancestry.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146
- $00:17:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.645$ This is a problem not just in

 $00{:}17{:}36.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38.899$ terms of fairness and equity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}17{:}38{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41{.}588$ but in terms of science differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:17:41.588 \rightarrow 00:17:42.932$ and linkage disequilibrium

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:17:42.932 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.320$ structure by population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

00:17:44.320 --> 00:17:46.780 Are very important in helping us

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}17{:}46.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.012$ improve fine mapping and therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:17:49.012 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.056$ identify what variance might

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}17{:}51.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53.611$ really be associated to disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:17:53.620 \rightarrow 00:17:57.925$ and even sometimes what genes are associated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:17:57.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.760$ And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}17{:}58.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}01.250$ the MVP million vets program comparatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:18:01.250 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.385$ ill comparatively low SES presently

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}18{:}03{.}385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05{.}450$ uniquely valuable gene mapping resource.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:18:05.450 \rightarrow 00:18:09.362$ And with that I want to acknowledge funding

00:18:09.362 --> 00:18:12.975 from the VA an from not in an I AAA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}18{:}12{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}16{.}910$ My collaborators and the PTSD study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00{:}18{:}16{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}18{.}615$ And collaborators in the Alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:18:18.615 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.736$ use study and thank you very

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:18:20.736 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.148$ much for your attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85018146

 $00:18:22.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.966$ I'd be happy to take questions by email.