WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:17:15.2620000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.974 My name is Karen Marshall an I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00:00:01.974 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.011$ a PhD student in the genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00:00:04.011 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.881$ Department and Doctor Bloom malicious

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00{:}00{:}05.881 --> 00{:}00{:}08.200$ lab and it's my privilege to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

00:00:08.200 --> 00:00:10.075 introduce our next speaker doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

00:00:10.080 --> 00:00:11.810 Kristin Byrne and Doctor Brown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00{:}00{:}11.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.194$ and is a new faculty member in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

00:00:14.194 --> 00:00:15.954 Department of Psychiatry at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00{:}00{:}15.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.150$ Yale School of Medicine and most

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00:00:18.150 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.025$ recently was an associate professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00{:}00{:}20.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.185$ in the Pamela Sklar division of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00:00:22.190 \dashrightarrow 00:00:23.920$ Psychiatric Genomics at Mount Sinai.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00{:}00{:}23.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.695$ Her research integrates stem cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00{:}00{:}25.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.470$ based approaches with CRISPR mediated

 $00:00:27.524 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.454$ genomic engineering strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00:00:28.454 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.624$ in order to study the impact of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

00:00:30.678 --> 00:00:32.330 patient specific variance across.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00:00:32.330 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.874$ In between the cell types of the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00{:}00{:}34.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.232$ the goal of her research is to uncover

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00:00:37.232 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.831$ the convergence and synergy arising

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00:00:38.831 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.043$ from the complex interplay of the many

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00{:}00{:}41.105 --> 00{:}00{:}43.175$ risk variants linked to brain disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00:00:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.724$ and her work is funded by the NIH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00{:}00{:}45.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47.638$ the New York Stem Cell Foundation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

00:00:47.640 --> 00:00:48.828 the Brain Research Foundation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00:00:48.828 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.313$ and the brain and Behavior

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00{:}00{:}50.313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51.150$ Research Foundation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83446324

 $00{:}00{:}51.150 --> 00{:}00{:}52.430$ Thank you doctor Brennan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7978637

 $00:00:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.932$ Hey, thank you so much. Anne Anne.

00:00:56.932 --> 00:00:59.940 Firstly I want to thank Dean Brown uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7978637

 $00{:}00{:}59.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.444$ an IRA for organizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7978637

 $00:01:01.444 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.948$ this really great event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7978637

 $00:01:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.575$ I I really enjoyed myself so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7978637

 $00:01:05.580 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.770$ So to begin the only conflict

NOTE Confidence: 0.7978637

 $00:01:07.770 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.452$ I have to declare is that my

NOTE Confidence: 0.7978637

00:01:10.452 --> 00:01:12.347 husband works at our Venice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7978637

00:01:12.350 --> 00:01:14.471 My talk today is about now validating

NOTE Confidence: 0.7978637

 $00:01:14.471 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.824$ all of the genetic findings that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7978637

00:01:16.824 --> 00:01:19.114 everyone's been talking about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7978637

 $00{:}01{:}19.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21.633$ So using stem cells to explore the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7978637

 $00:01:21.633 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.250$ genetics underlying brain disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}01{:}25.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.050$ And a lot of what I'm going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

00:01:29.128 --> 00:01:32.215 today is in the context of schizophrenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:01:32.220 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.464$ not because there's anything uniquely suited

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:01:34.464 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.369$ from of my tools to study this disease,

00:01:37.370 --> 00:01:40.190 but because it's a highly complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:01:40.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.070$ genetic disorder for which

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:01:42.151 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.575$ there still really are no good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:01:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.772$ Here's how it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:01:45.772 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.900$ Extremely common and I'm showing you here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}01{:}47.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}49.910$ One of the most recent descriptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:01:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.833$ of the genetics of this disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}01{:}51.833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}54.278$ and what I want you to see is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:01:54.278 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.357$ how there's almost 300 of them is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

00:01:56.357 --> 00:01:57.809 about 250 common variants here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:01:57.809 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.507$ with really small effects of one

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

00:01:59.507 --> 00:02:01.190 or two percent increased risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}02{:}01.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03.290$ and then a few dozen rare variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:03.290 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.147$ that are either protein truncating

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:05.147 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.377$ variants or copy number variants.

00:02:07.380 --> 00:02:08.556 And most importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}02{:}08.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10.516$ genetics here is not diagnostic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.794$ There are strong and significant group

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:12.794 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.850$ differences between patients and controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:14.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.992$ but we can't yet harness the power

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:16.992 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.905$ of all this genic information to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:19.905 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.310$ meaningful insights for their patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.014$ And so the goal then is to derive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}02{:}25.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.737$ revised polygenic risk scores

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:26.737 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.207$ that improve diagnostics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}02{:}28.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.476$ predict clinical trajectories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

00:02:29.476 --> 00:02:32.008 and ultimately allow us to discover

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:32.008 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.670$ novel the rapeutic targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

00:02:33.670 --> 00:02:34.312 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:34.312 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.238$ here is the the common variant

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:36.238 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.730$ restructure for schizophrenia.

 $00:02:37.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.130$ The last time it was published in two

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

00:02:40.130 --> 00:02:42.511 2018 is about 145 significant loci

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:42.511 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.480$ here over the genome wide significant line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.144$ But the question then here is which of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:48.144 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.638$ these variants are causal disease variants?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.490$ There's many sniffs and linkages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:52.490 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.962$ Equilibrium at each location.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:53.962 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.802$ What are their target genes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}02{:}55.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}58.054$ Were the proximal target genes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:02:58.054 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.239$ there are often more than one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}03{:}00.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.742$ What are the distal target genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:03:02.742 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.993$ that are regulated?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:03:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.100$ Far distances from these risk variants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

00:03:06.100 --> 00:03:07.850 Are there any context dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:03:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.250$ effects and an altogether?

 $00:03:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.314$ Does this answer the question of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:03:11.314 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.100$ variable penetrance in this order?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

00:03:13.100 --> 00:03:14.108 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}03{:}14.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}17.300$ because all of us carry dozens of risk areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:03:17.300 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.050$ But the patients carry dozens

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:03:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.100$ and dozens more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:03:20.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.965$ As this really important question

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}03{:}21.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}24.275$ of how to experience interact in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}03{:}24.275 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.815$ some because their phenotypic

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

00:03:25.815 --> 00:03:27.740 effects only occur in aggregate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}03{:}27.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.973$ Now and so these are the kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:03:29.973 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.999$ of questions my lab is asking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}03{:}32.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33.956$ How do risk area risk variant

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}03{:}33.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}35.610$ effects vary with polygenic risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

00:03:35.610 --> 00:03:37.415 Innocent project led by Christina

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:03:37.415 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.548$ Brandt across no development led by

 $00:03:39.548 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.186$ Liz La Marca between cell types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:03:41.190 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.170$ This is Michael Fernando and Sam

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:03:43.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.237$ Powell across sexes and open project

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:03:45.237 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.289$ that we haven't really started yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}03{:}47.289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.388$ and Karina so and and Kayla towns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:03:49.390 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.358$ They're looking at how they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

00:03:51.358 --> 00:03:52.670 impacted by the environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

00:03:52.670 --> 00:03:54.620 particularly stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:03:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.270$ So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

00:03:55.270 --> 00:03:57.220 turning back to this gys and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}03{:}57.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59.668$ asking how do we begin to validate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:03:59.668 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.798$ both the variant effects and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:04:01.798 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.458$ their modulated in a dish?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:04:03.460 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.560$ And we started here with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

00:04:05.560 --> 00:04:07.200 particular snip on chromosome 16,

 $00:04:07.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.558$ and I want to tell you why we picked

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}04{:}09.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12.201$ it because something unique a curd at

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:04:12.201 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.019$ this location that was seen nowhere else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:04:15.020 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.474$ and there's 145 loci that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:04:17.474 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.110$ above genome wide significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

00:04:19.110 --> 00:04:20.157 At this location,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:04:20.157 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.600$ the same snip that was most significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00{:}04{:}22.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}24.430$ for schizophrenia risk in the G

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:04:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.970$ was that's the Y axis was also the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85930526

 $00:04:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.920$ same snip that was most significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00{:}04{:}28.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.580$ for regulating the expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:04:30.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.606$ the nearby coding gene furin in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00{:}04{:}32.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.146$ a brain post mortem collection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:04:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.724$ And so here what we have is a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:04:36.724 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.897$ putative causal snip linked to disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:04:38.897 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.669$ risk and gene expression of a target gene.

00:04:41.670 --> 00:04:42.970 The next best examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:04:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.600$ I look more like what we see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:04:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.280$ It's nap 91 with a cluster of 20 or 30 jeans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:04:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.900$ That are associated with both disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

00:04:50.900 --> 00:04:52.540 risk ANAN target gene expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

00:04:52.540 --> 00:04:54.395 but we can't really figure out which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:04:54.395 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.851$ one or many of these top snips are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:04:56.851 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.451$ responsible for the disease effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:04:58.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.110$ It could be that there's one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.900$ putative causal snip in that cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:01.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.485$ that we haven't resolved yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:03.490 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.457$ and it could be that each of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00{:}05{:}05.457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.971$ these many snypes is confirming

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:06.971 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.106$ part of the risk at that locus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:09.110 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.149$ and so this is a project that was led by a

00:05:12.149 --> 00:05:14.733 former postdoc in the lab and then shroud,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00{:}05{:}14.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.892$ and I will head up forever say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:16.892 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.121$ she was the bravest postdoc to join

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:19.121 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.629$ the lab because the flip side of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:21.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.786$ You know beautiful, clean data for fear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.330$ And is this analysis here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.786$ This is now the post mortem gene expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:27.790 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.946$ The few and by individual brain sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:29.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.792$ So there's about 600 brains in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:31.792 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.406$ this collection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00{:}05{:}32.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.240$ But what you'll see is these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00{:}05{:}34.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35.800$ error bars are incredibly huge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.724$ There's a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:36.724 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.880$ very significant effect of genotype at Rs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.336$ 4702, which is in the three prime UTR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.448$ The fear in gene.

 $00{:}05{:}42.448 \to 00{:}05{:}44.110$ But there's a lot of variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:44.173 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.039$ between brains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

00:05:45.040 --> 00:05:46.575 That's because every brand came

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:46.575 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.803$ from a different person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.350$ and these people married at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

00:05:49.350 --> 00:05:50.890 many many genotype genome wide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:50.890 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.760$ not just the Rs 4702.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.656$ Some of these people had schizophrenia

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:54.656 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.920$ or some did not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.180$ They had different histories

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00{:}05{:}57.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58.125$ of antipsychotic treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:05:58.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.394$ drug and alcohol abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00{:}05{:}59.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.658$ They were different sexes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00{:}06{:}00.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.452$ They do have different causes in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:02.452 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.060$ different ages and different postmortem

 $00:06:04.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.030$ intervals before they were examined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.710$ And so our hypothesis was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:07.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.272$ that if we did the experiment in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

00:06:10.272 --> 00:06:12.342 dish in the same genetic background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:12.350 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.702$ we controlled everything and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

00:06:13.702 --> 00:06:15.730 generated the neurons in parallel in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:15.788 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.268$ neighboring wells or hypothesis was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00{:}06{:}17.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}19.413$ that we would see the same effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

00:06:19.413 --> 00:06:20.923 size but the variation between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:20.923 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.572$ samples will be dramatically reduced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:22.572 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.056$ And so with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.868$ Nadine took out to be set out to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

00:06:25.868 --> 00:06:27.900 begin this editing of a single non

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00{:}06{:}27.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29.425$ coding snippet actually turned out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:29.479 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.320$ to be much harder than we expected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00{:}06{:}31.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.952$ Took about two years to achieve

 $00{:}06{:}32.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.351$ or what you can see here is that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00{:}06{:}35.351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37.240$ single snip in the three prime UTR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.550$ the Fusion gene again have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:39.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.320$ edited successfully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.480$ And when she generated generated neurons

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.840$ from these isagenix mashta stem cell lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:44.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.008$ just as we predicted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:46.008 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.668$ the team was able to see a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:48.668 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.758$ decrease in fear and expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.069$ Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00{:}06{:}51.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}53.541$ in the two years that it took to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

00:06:53.541 --> 00:06:55.501 engineer these edits is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:55.501 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.877$ discovered that this up three prime

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:06:57.947 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.499$ snip is in a Micron 8338 binding site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:07:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.936$ And so when you inhibit near 338,

 $00:07:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.376$ you can eliminate this EQ TL effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:07:05.380 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.713$ Really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

00:07:05.713 --> 00:07:07.378 I think suggesting that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8053212

 $00:07:07.378 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.710$ could see context specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:07:08.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.624$ effects, so here the ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:07:10.624 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.048$ of this noncoding snip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:07:12.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.055$ To regulate foreign expressions depended

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:07:14.055 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.649$ upon mere 338 expression in the cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}07{:}16.650 \to 00{:}07{:}19.428$ Moreover, changing nothing more in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:07:19.428 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.174$ noncoding snip was sufficient to decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}07{:}22.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.044$ in the right length and alternate activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:07:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.012$ Moving forward, this projects and picked

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:07:27.012 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.421$ up by Christina the last couple of years

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:07:29.421 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.540$ and over the course of this pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:07:31.540 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.568$ Increasing evidence showed that fear and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:07:33.568 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.169$ actually had a role in the entry of SARS,

 $00:07:36.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.354$ Co V2 the the fear and cleavage

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}07{:}38.354 --> 00{:}07{:}40.190$ site is specific to SARS, Co.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

00:07:40.190 --> 00:07:42.272 V2 not found in SARS, Co V1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

00:07:42.272 --> 00:07:44.134 It was hypothesize to be part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}07{:}44.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.576$ Wise are Scobie too is so much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

00:07:46.576 --> 00:07:48.257 infectious since we were sitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

00:07:48.257 --> 00:07:50.387 on these isagenix stem cell lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:07:50.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.622$ Christina working together with

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

00:07:51.622 --> 00:07:52.238 Daisy Hoagland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}07{:}52.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54.067$ invent Hanover's lab began to ask could

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:07:54.067 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.320$ we alter the susceptibility to infection?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:07:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.567$ By changing just a single noncoding snip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}07{:}58.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.640$ So here she's pivoted and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

00:08:00.640 --> 00:08:02.364 making lung alveolar cells in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:02.364 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.044$ the lab and across two donors.

 $00:08:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.642$ She can show that those GG lung alveolar

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}08{:}06.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08.970$ cells express less fear and and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:08.970 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.130$ that there are less susceptible to SARS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:11.130 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.249$ Co V2 infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:12.249 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.487$ Think the visual here is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}08{:}14.487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.463$ striking so you can see a protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:16.463 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.540$ in the SARS Co V2 genome here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.884$ labeled in red and those AA alveolar cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:20.884 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.688$ on the top are much more brightly infected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:23.690 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.652$ and those on the bottom we

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}08{:}25.652 --> 00{:}08{:}26.960$ turn back to neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

00:08:26.960 --> 00:08:27.520 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.920$ as I told you previously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

00:08:28.920 --> 00:08:30.395 Gigi neurons express last year

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:30.395 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.170$ and but they're also less well

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:32.170 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.400$ infected by SARS Co V2.

 $00:08:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.199$ So I think this was a really fun direction

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}08{:}36.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38.797$ to take the lab over the last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.829$ I'll remind you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

00:08:39.829 --> 00:08:40.172 though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:40.172 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.230$ that many of these common variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:42.289 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.004$ don't only exert their effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:44.004 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.719$ on the closest neighbor gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

00:08:45.720 --> 00:08:47.869 Our DNA is not packed into our

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:47.869 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.870$ nucleus in a straight line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:49.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.192$ Instead,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:50.192 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.124$ it folds in a very organized

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:52.124 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.680$ way into the nucleus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}08{:}53.680 --> 00{:}08{:}54.330 \ \mathrm{in \ ourselves},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:54.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.722$ and so a former MD PhD student lab for Sale

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:08:57.722 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.594$ Missouri and asked at the genome wide level,

 $00:09:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.676$ how were their cell type specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

00:09:02.676 --> 00:09:04.060 differences in chromatin folding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:09:04.060 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.004$ and how did this impact the target potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:09:07.004 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.550$ target genes of schizophrenia risk loci?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:09:09.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.030$ So here he is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}09{:}11.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}12.880$ applying Heisey analysis in isagenix

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}09{:}12.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.204$ stem cell derived astrocytes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:09:15.204 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.088$ regenerate cells and neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}09{:}17.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}18.775$ So each of those schizophrenia

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:09:18.775 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.786$ risk loci have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

00:09:19.790 --> 00:09:20.434 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:09:20.434 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.688$ a number of target genes that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:09:22.688 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.177$ close by here from those 145 variants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

00:09:25.180 --> 00:09:27.196 he's calling 224 proxamol target genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:09:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.517$ but an additional couple 100 target genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:09:29.517 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.258$ that occur in a cell type specific manner,

 $00:09:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.556$ and he was able to validate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}09{:}34.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}36.970$ impact of each loci at a distance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:09:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.981$ Here, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:09:37.981 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.003$ the product here in Alpha cluster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}09{:}40.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42.264$ So here we have for schizophrenia risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

00:09:42.264 --> 00:09:44.671 NIPS that about 93 kilobases away from

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

00:09:44.671 --> 00:09:46.741 this product here in Alpha cluster,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:09:46.750 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.806$ he applied crisper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:09:47.806 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.702$ To delete these nips and was able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00:09:50.702 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.856$ show in the direction that deleting

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}09{:}52.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}55.176$ these risk nips impacted the expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

00:09:55.176 --> 00:09:57.522 of a very distal target gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79231584

 $00{:}09{:}57.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.150$ Here, PC DH, EA 10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:10:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.712$ Come. Now, of course, these risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:10:02.712 --> 00:10:05.270 variants don't occur in isolation.

 $00:10:05.270 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.508$ We all inherit them in combination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00{:}10{:}07.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10.750$ and so the questions that I think are

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:10:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.585$ most important to ask moving forward is

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:10:13.585 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.857$ how to risk variants some so Mail in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:10:16.857 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.104$ my group is looking at the convergence

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:10:19.104 --> 00:10:21.348 of schizophrenia and autism risk genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:10:21.350 --> 00:10:22.994 particularly around synaptic biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:10:22.994 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.049$ and epigenetics patrons been looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00{:}10{:}25.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27.197$ at networks of genes that change

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:10:27.197 --> 00:10:28.877 together in a coordinated fashion

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00{:}10{:}28.937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.752$ focused here on Alzheimer's disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:10:30.752 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.567$ and their microglia and neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:10:32.570 --> 00:10:33.797 Drivers of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:10:33.797 --> 00:10:35.433 Anne Michaels been looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:10:35.433 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.660$ at additive affect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:10:36.660 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.210$ So how does schizophrenia risk variance

00:10:39.210 --> 00:10:41.610 add within and across pathways?

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:10:41.610 --> 00:10:43.602 Here's some of the work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00{:}10{:}43.602 \longrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.729$ Adrian has a recently wrapped up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:10:45.730 --> 00:10:47.776 This was a collaboration with Mingwei,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:10:47.780 --> 00:10:49.076 weighing in Bendzans lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00{:}10{:}49.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51.020$ It began with a post mortem

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:10:51.087 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.862$ analysis of through all 350

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:10:52.862 --> 00:10:54.637 plus frames for brain regions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00{:}10{:}54.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56.758$ each looking for jeans that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:10:56.758 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.170$ coexpressed together and predicting

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:10:58.229 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.789$ the drivers of those networks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:10:59.790 --> 00:11:01.883 And so one network here I'm pointing

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:11:01.883 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.386$ out is M 64 for that predicted

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:11:04.386 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.306$ causal driver Gene was 80P61A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:11:06.310 --> 00:11:08.907 Atron knocked it down in EPS derived

 $00:11:08.907 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.637$ neurons and here you can show see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:11:11.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.550$ In these two independent knockdowns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727 00:11:13.550 --> 00:11:14.426 one and two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:11:14.426 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.470$ there is reduced neural activity and by

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:11:16.535 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.899$ electrophysiology that's actually reduced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:11:18.900 --> 00:11:21.015 Excitability of these knock down

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:11:21.015 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.130$ neurons Mingwei predicted a drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:11:23.198 --> 00:11:24.823 that was thought to increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:11:24.823 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.299$ expression of 80 P 61 eight drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:11:27.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.020$ NCH 51 and he was able to show in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00{:}11{:}30.107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.675$ dose dependent manner that it did

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:11:32.675 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.328$ just that and finally that treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00{:}11{:}35.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}38.324$ of neurons with NCH 51 was sufficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00{:}11{:}38.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40.670$ to a meliorate some of these deficits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:11:40.670 --> 00:11:41.904 partially restoring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:11:41.904 --> 00:11:44.989 Neural activity in 80P61A knock

 $00:11:44.989 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.840$ down Ipps neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00{:}11{:}46.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48.700$ Coming back to schizophrenia here

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:11:48.700 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.973$ this is work that was largely

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:11:50.973 --> 00:11:53.388 led by Sacramento when he was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:11:53.388 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.890$ PhD student in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:11:54.890 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.810$ Here we're going after a handful

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:11:56.810 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.740$ of of common risk variants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:11:58.740 --> 00:12:01.190 Associated genes SNAP 91 and T snare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78309656727272700:12:01.190 --> 00:12:01.507 Here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:12:01.507 --> 00:12:03.409 some RNA seek showing that by

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:12:03.409 --> 00:12:05.040 CRISPR activation or inhibition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:12:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.790$ We can upregulate or down

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:12:06.790 --> 00:12:07.840 regulate those jeans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00{:}12{:}07.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}09.610$ The other jeans that are changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:12:09.610 --> 00:12:11.168 with think our downstream network

00:12:11.168 --> 00:12:12.793 effects of these preparations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00{:}12{:}12.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14.590$ are enriched for brain pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:12:14.590 --> 00:12:16.366 and specifically synaptic function

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:12:16.366 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.142$ genes and hereby electrophysiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:12:18.150 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.758$ So I was able to show that reciprocal

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:12:20.758 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.794$ changes in step 91 expression that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:12:23.794 --> 00:12:26.104 reciprocal changes in synaptic activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:12:26.110 \dashrightarrow 00:12:28.762$ So increasing SNAP 91 increased excitatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00{:}12{:}28.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.530$ postsynaptic currents and decreasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:12:30.586 --> 00:12:32.318 it decreased synaptic activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727 00:12:32.320 --> 00:12:32.996 Of course, NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:12:32.996 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.010$ these jeans again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:12:34.010 --> 00:12:36.460 we wanted to ask how they impacted

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:12:36.460 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.191$ neurons in combination and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:12:38.191 --> 00:12:39.726 here stuck started the project

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:12:39.726 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.758$ and then the Dean finished it.

00:12:41.760 --> 00:12:43.650 This is a RNA seek experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00{:}12{:}43.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}45.662$ that started with single RNA seek

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:12:45.662 --> 00:12:47.382 for our four top schizophrenia

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:12:47.382 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.830$ common variant risk genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:12:48.830 --> 00:12:50.520 Nap nanny One T snare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:12:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.752$ CLC and three in fear and an Indian

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:12:52.752 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.900$ took those single gene perturbation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:12:54.900 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.248$ Arnie seeks and computationally

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:12:56.248 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.259$ added them together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00:12:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.724$ yielding an expected additive

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:12:58.724 --> 00:13:00.920 model of what she thought would

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00{:}13{:}00.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02.907$ happen if we did comma tutorial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

 $00{:}13{:}02.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04.605$ Perturbation but in parallel we

NOTE Confidence: 0.783096567272727

00:13:04.605 --> 00:13:06.300 actually did this comma toryal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:13:06.355 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.587$ probation and somebody was able to ask how

 $00:13:08.587 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.839$ well the model performed and will hold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00{:}13{:}10.840 \to 00{:}13{:}12.736$ The mark was actually pretty good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:13:12.740 --> 00:13:15.268 About 82% of the genes changed as expected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:13:15.270 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.293$ but seven of the percent of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:13:17.293 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.389$ jeans were more down than affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:13:19.390 --> 00:13:21.298 An 11% more up than expected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:13:21.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.410$ and so they ended further into the day to ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:13:24.483 --> 00:13:27.318 what types of genes were more down or up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:13:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.900$ And so there's more down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:13:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.655$ Genes were actually enriched for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00{:}13{:}30.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32.410$ all of the major neurotransmitter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:13:32.469 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.119$ released pathways in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:13:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.264$ And the more up genes are enriched for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:13:36.264 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.687$ both the rare and the common variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:13:38.687 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.860$ linked to schizophrenia and bipolar risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:13:40.860 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.107$ And so I think what we're saying.

 $00:13:43.110 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.672$ So what we're seeing here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00{:}13{:}45.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.872$ studying these risk genes one at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:13:47.872 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.170$ time gives us a lot of the story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:13:50.170 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.096$ but not all of the story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:13:52.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.172$ And if you want to fully understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:13:54.172 --> 00:13:55.950 the biological impact of manipulating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:13:55.950 --> 00:13:57.350 risk variance is really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:13:57.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.480$ that we do it in combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:13:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.443$ Along those lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:14:00.443 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.727$ One last story here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00{:}14{:}01.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03.656$ pivoting to some other rare variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00{:}14{:}03.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.940$ linked to schizophrenia risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:14:04.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.885$ So this was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:14:05.885 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.090$ The most recent copy variant analysis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:14:08.157 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.477$ deletions linked to schizophrenia risk.

 $00:14:10.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.657$ We're focusing here on this one at 2 P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:14:13.660 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.790$ 16.3, which encompasses a single gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:14:15.790 --> 00:14:16.144 neurexin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:14:16.144 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.560$ one that is inherited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:14:17.560 --> 00:14:19.678 non recurrent Lee or with varying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:14:19.678 --> 00:14:20.737 boundaries between donors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:14:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.841$ and that impacts one of the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:14:23.841 --> 00:14:25.170 highly Alternatively spliced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:14:25.249 --> 00:14:27.139 genes in the human genome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:14:27.140 --> 00:14:29.058 Here's a look by long range sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:14:29.058 --> 00:14:31.219 at the number of neurexin one isoforms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:14:31.219 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.520$ seen across different regions of the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00{:}14{:}33.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35.410$ and in fact these differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:14:35.410 --> 00:14:37.438 direction one splice rapid horrors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:14:37.438 --> 00:14:38.866 sufficient to distinguish different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:14:38.866 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.890$ types of neurons in the brain.

 $00:14:40.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.106$ So this study was Alco led by Aaron

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00{:}14{:}43.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}44.624$ Player Tia former PhD student

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:14:44.624 --> 00:14:46.129 in my lab and Shoujo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:14:46.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.585$ a former postdoc in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:14:47.585 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.415$ collaborator ******* Lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:14:48.415 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.130$ So here we have a cohort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:14:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.220$ for a rare direction, 1 cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:14:52.220 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.180$ two of them with deletions in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:14:54.180 --> 00:14:56.017 five prime region of the gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:14:56.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.475$ including the promoter in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:14:57.475 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.348$ first two exons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00{:}14{:}58.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00.373$ and two of them with deletions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00{:}15{:}00.373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02.419$ the three prime region of the gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:15:02.420 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.293$ including the second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:15:03.293 --> 00:15:05.039 third and last from their second,

 $00:15:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.500$ third, and 4th from last exons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00{:}15{:}07.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09.205$ This is long range sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:15:09.205 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.569$ analysis were considering how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00{:}15{:}10.569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.331$ the direction one isoforms vary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:15:12.331 --> 00:15:14.377 between the cases and the controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:15:14.380 --> 00:15:17.404 and the first thing that I want to point

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:15:17.404 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.230$ out about 50% of the isoforms are decreased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:15:20.230 --> 00:15:21.950 Inpatient neurons relative to controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00{:}15{:}21.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}23.665$ and so you can really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:15:23.665 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.037$ visualize how these neurons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00{:}15{:}25.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27.659$ how I support a differin you can see in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:15:27.659 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.857$ purple the differences in abundance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:15:29.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.156$ another about a third of the isoforms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:15:32.156 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.990$ were detected in the controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:15:33.990 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.734$ but not in the patient neurons at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:15:36.740 --> 00:15:39.236 These were some of the lower

 $00:15:39.236 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.484$ abundance control isoforms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:15:40.490 --> 00:15:41.426 And most surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:15:41.426 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.045$ I think we had to find 31 unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:15:44.045 --> 00:15:46.229 mutant isoforms that we were did

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:15:46.229 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.239$ that we detected in a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

00:15:48.240 --> 00:15:50.100 Neurons formed by splicing around

NOTE Confidence: 0.8056938

 $00:15:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.960$ that three prime deletion that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

00:15:52.019 --> 00:15:54.291 we never saw in control neurons that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00{:}15{:}54.291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56.666$ never saw in the postmortem human brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00{:}15{:}56.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}58.014$ Aaron cloned and overexpressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

00:15:58.014 --> 00:16:00.030 some of these are most abundant

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:00.091 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.716$ wild type and mutant isoforms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:01.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.536$ She was able to show in control neurons

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:04.536 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.780$ here starting in that left most bar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

00:16:06.780 --> 00:16:09.054 This wild type control neuron activity

 $00:16:09.054 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.290$ that by knocking it down with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00{:}16{:}11.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13.322$ Four different mutant rexon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00{:}16{:}13.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15.862$ one isoforms you could decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:15.862 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.567$ neural activity in control neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:18.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.080$ In those five prime cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.963$ the ones that are not thought to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00{:}16{:}21.963 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23.805$ express Newton isoforms should be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:23.805 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.767$ to rescue decreased normal activity by

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:25.767 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.297$ overexpressing even just One Direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:27.300 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.310$ When I spoke at a time and in the hub,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00{:}16{:}30.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32.116$ three prime cases, the ones that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

00:16:32.116 --> 00:16:33.320 did overexpressed mutant isoforms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.704$ she was never able to rescue activity by

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:35.704 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.530$ over expression of wild type isoforms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.180$ To really, we think the phenotypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:39.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.840$ are occurring through two mechanisms.

00:16:40.840 --> 00:16:41.141 First,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

00:16:41.141 --> 00:16:43.850 a loss of neurexin one dose in all cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:43.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.208$ but then in the subset of patients on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:46.208 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.370$ additive effect of mutant isoforms activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.200$ And this is something we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.360$ continuing to explore in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.360$ And so with that I want to stop

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:16:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.207$ and thank everybody in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00{:}16{:}56.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57.585$ This has been an extraordinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

00:16:57.585 --> 00:16:58.135 difficult year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00{:}16{:}58.140 \to 00{:}17{:}00.890$ And if it wasn't for all of their hard work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:17:00.890 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.618$ we really wouldn't have been able

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00{:}17{:}02.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}04.072$ to keep these experiments rolling

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

00:17:04.072 --> 00:17:05.815 in the data I talked about today

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:17:05.815 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.490$ was really led by Christina Atron,

 $00{:}17{:}07.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.254$ Nadine Erin for Sean and Soak it

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00:17:09.254 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.683$ in collaboration with our really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

00:17:10.683 --> 00:17:11.610 our key collaborators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00{:}17{:}11.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13.409$ So I will thank you and turn

NOTE Confidence: 0.7763849

 $00{:}17{:}13.409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15.261$ the floor back to our moderator.