WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:14:47.0020000" NOTE language:en-us NOTE Confidence: 0.85852325 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.478 It's now my great pleasure to NOTE Confidence: 0.85852325 00:00:02.478 --> 00:00:04.130 introduce Doctor Morgan Levine, NOTE Confidence: 0.85852325 $00{:}00{:}04{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}06{.}374$ who is a ladder rank assistant NOTE Confidence: 0.85852325 $00{:}00{:}06{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}08{.}265$ professor in the Department of NOTE Confidence: 0.85852325 $00{:}00{:}08.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}10.568$ Pathology and a member of both the NOTE Confidence: 0.85852325 00:00:10.568 --> 00:00:12.998 Yale Combined Programs in Computational NOTE Confidence: 0.85852325 00:00:12.998 --> 00:00:14.705 Biology and Bioinformatics, NOTE Confidence: 0.85852325 $00:00:14.710 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.152$ as well as the Yale Center NOTE Confidence: 0.85852325 00:00:17.152 --> 00:00:18.780 for Research on Aging. NOTE Confidence: 0.85852325 $00:00:18.780 \rightarrow 00:00:20.725$ She has extensive experience using NOTE Confidence: 0.85852325 $00:00:20.725 \rightarrow 00:00:22.670$ systems level and machine learning NOTE Confidence: 0.85852325 00:00:22.730 --> 00:00:24.478 approaches to track epigenetic, NOTE Confidence: 0.85852325 00:00:24.480 --> 00:00:26.164 transcriptomic and proteomic changes NOTE Confidence: 0.85852325 $00:00:26.164 \rightarrow 00:00:28.269$ with aging and with developing

 $00{:}00{:}28.269 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}29.942$ measures of risk stratification

NOTE Confidence: 0.85852325

 $00:00:29.942 \rightarrow 00:00:31.526$ for major chronic diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85852325

00:00:31.530 - > 00:00:33.007 The floor is yours up to living.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00:00:34.310 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.820$ Thank you so much, Nicole.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}00{:}35.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.664$ So I'm going to change course a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}00{:}38.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41.528$ and a lot of the talks they had been on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}00{:}41.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}43.469$ Genetics and genomics an I'm actually in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00:00:43.469 \rightarrow 00:00:45.748$ talk a little bit more about epigenetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

00:00:45.750 --> 00:00:48.261 So before I begin I do have conflict of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

00:00:48.261 --> 00:00:50.558 interest so I have IP associated with some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}00{:}50{.}558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52{.}787$ of the markers that I'm just guessing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}00{:}52.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.254$ today and I'm also consultant with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}00{:}55{.}254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58{.}098$ biotech company called Elise Team Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}00{:}58{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00{.}158$ So my love is very interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00:01:00.158 \rightarrow 00:01:01.600$ studying the biology of aging,

 $00:01:01.600 \dashrightarrow 00:01:03.696$ and I think it's really important to kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}01{:}03.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.688$ of address 2 important questions there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}01{:}05{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}06{.}578$ So number one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}01{:}06{.}578$ --> $00{:}01{:}08{.}650$ What do we mean when we're saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00:01:08.713 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.068$ we study aging and #2?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}01{:}10.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.684$ Why is it actually important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

00:01:11.684 --> 00:01:13.770 study aging and I'm going to answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00:01:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.038$ the second question first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

00:01:15.040 --> 00:01:17.125 'cause it's actually an easier

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00:01:17.125 \rightarrow 00:01:18.376$ question to address.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

00:01:18.380 --> 00:01:20.998 So some people may not be aware,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

00:01:21.000 --> 00:01:23.244 but aging is actually the biggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}01{:}23.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.952$ risk factor for most of the chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00:01:25.952 \rightarrow 00:01:27.857$ diseases that people suffer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}01{:}27.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}30.348$ die from in the US and worldwide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00:01:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.588$ So this is actually a chart.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567
- $00:01:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.208$ the Y axis here is actually in

00:01:35.208 --> 00:01:36.330 log incident rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00:01:36.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.250$ So actually what this shows is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00:01:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.857$ that the risk for all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00:01:40.857 \rightarrow 00:01:42.537$ chronic diseases actually rises

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}01{:}42.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}44.928$ exponentially as a function of age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

00:01:44.930 --> 00:01:46.870 and it's actually been estimated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00:01:46.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.034$ using demographic data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}01{:}48{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50{.}857$ That if we were to slow the aging process

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00:01:50.857 \rightarrow 00:01:53.620$ down by something equivalent to 7 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00:01:53.620 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.370$ so this idea of 60s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}01{:}55{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58{.}070$ the new 50 may be 60 is the new 53 we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}01{:}58{.}147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}00{.}487$ would actually cut the disease burden

NOTE Confidence: 0.8171567

 $00{:}02{:}00{.}487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03{.}399$ in half in the US and worldwide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00{:}02{:}07.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10.391$ So to address kind of that first

00:02:10.391 --> 00:02:13.330 question of what do I mean when I say

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

00:02:13.330 --> 00:02:15.455 that we study aging and also annoy

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00{:}02{:}15.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18.122$ me when I say if we were actually to NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:02:18.122 \rightarrow 00:02:20.690$ slow the rate of aging by 7 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:02:20.690 \dashrightarrow 00:02:22.388$ I think it's important to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

00:02:22.388 --> 00:02:23.960 contrast when we're talking about NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00{:}02{:}23.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.508$ something like chronological aging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:02:25.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.794$ which as everyone knows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00{:}02{:}26.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28.720$ is basically the time in years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:02:28.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.320$ months or days since birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:02:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.604$ And actually this should

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:02:31.604 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.888$ have a positive connotation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:02:32.890 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.760$ It's filled with experiences and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:02:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.256$ contributions back to society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00{:}02{:}36{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38{.}015$ But the reason that chronological

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

00:02:38.015 - 00:02:39.770 age actually get such negative

 $00:02:39.831 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.451$ connotation is that it's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

00:02:41.451 --> 00:02:43.986 tide to something that in we in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

00:02:43.986 --> 00:02:45.702 field talk about as biological aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00{:}02{:}45{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47{.}943$ And there isn't a single kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

00:02:47.943 --> 00:02:49.944 definition for what we mean when

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00{:}02{:}49{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51{.}906$ we talk about but biological aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:02:51.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.860$ But at least in my lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00{:}02{:}53.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55.940$ we like to think of this as kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00{:}02{:}55{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}58{.}095$ of the robustness or kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

00:02:58.095 - 00:03:00.055 specificity of a living system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:00.060 \rightarrow 00:03:02.112$ So all living systems are basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:02.112 \dashrightarrow 00:03:04.619$ set up to function in a certain way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:04.620 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.530$ but overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:05.530 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.260$ That specificity tends to actually decline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:08.260 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.780$ and this can lead to things like

 $00:03:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.303$ manifestations of disease or

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

00:03:12.303 --> 00:03:14.168 basically risk of system failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:14.170 \dashrightarrow 00:03:17.068$ which we might describe this death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:17.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.205$ So actually what my life is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

00:03:19.205 --> 00:03:21.499 interested in doing is can we quantify

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00{:}03{:}21.499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}23.144$ biological aging and contrast that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:23.144 \rightarrow 00:03:25.468$ to something like chronological age?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00{:}03{:}25{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27{.}920$ And this is important for a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.620$ of reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.474$ so number one as we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:30.474 \dashrightarrow 00:03:32.470$ chronological age is not modifiable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:32.470 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.570$ but we think biological age is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:34.570 \dashrightarrow 00:03:36.320$ and this is actually conserved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:36.320 \rightarrow 00:03:38.070$ Therefore, as a clinical endpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00{:}03{:}38{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40{.}408$ So if there are interventions aimed at

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:40.408 \rightarrow 00:03:42.269$ actually slowing the aging process,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875
- $00{:}03{:}42.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.545$ we wouldn't have to wait 10 or

 $00{:}03{:}44{.}545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47{.}079$ 20 years to use something like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:47.080 \dashrightarrow 00:03:48.640$ Mortality or disease incidents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00{:}03{:}48.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.810$ As an endpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00{:}03{:}49{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51{.}760$ we can actually measure biological

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:51.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.320$ age in real time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.726$ It's also just important for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

00:03:55.726 --> 00:03:57.330 basic understanding of drivers

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:03:57.399 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.779$ of the aging process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00{:}03{:}58.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00.730$ An identification of potential the rapeutics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:04:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.788$ and finally we actually think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

00:04:02.788 --> 00:04:04.655 knowing biological agent help with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:04:04.655 \rightarrow 00:04:06.423$ primary and secondary prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00{:}04{:}06{.}423 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07{.}749$ through risk stratification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86143875

 $00:04:07.750 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.235$ So understanding who in the population is

 $00{:}04{:}10.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13.208$ most at risk of various chronic diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:04:15.240 \dashrightarrow 00:04:17.536$ So my lab and others have actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

00:04:17.536 --> 00:04:19.599 developed things called epigenetic clocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:04:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.924$ which is mostly what I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}04{:}21{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}24{.}447$ talk about today to actually try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

00:04:24.447 --> 00:04:26.637 get at biological aging and measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}04{:}26.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.028$ it in different tissues and organs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

00:04:29.030 --> 00:04:30.848 So I think she meant clocks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

00:04:30.848 --> 00:04:33.030 are based on DNA methylations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}04{:}33{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35{.}685$ so this is the addition of a methyl group

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}04{:}35{.}685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38{.}467$ to CPG dinucleotide and DNA metalation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}04{:}38{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40{.}095$ and epigenetics in general are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}04{:}40.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41.720$ really important in kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:04:41.785 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.189$ defining cellular states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:04:43.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.476$ So as most of you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:04:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.539$ Essentially, most of the cells in your.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414
- 00:04:48.540 --> 00:04:49.130 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414
- $00:04:49.130 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.195$ in the body of the same genetics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414
- $00:04:51.195 \rightarrow 00:04:53.350$ but the average genetics are going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414
- $00{:}04{:}53{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}55{.}609$ to dictate cell state and South
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414
- 00:04:55.609 --> 00:04:57.991 phenotype an actually decades ago is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414
- $00{:}04{:}57{.}991 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59{.}926$ shown that actually your epigenetic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414
- 00:04:59.926 --> 00:05:01.766 pattern can also differentiate a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414
- 00:05:01.766 --> 00:05:04.128 young cell from an old cell and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414
- $00{:}05{:}04{.}128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}05{.}922$ using this information we and others
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414
- $00:05:05.983 \dashrightarrow 00:05:07.707$ have actually developed these.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414
- $00:05:07.710 \dashrightarrow 00:05:09.490$ What are called epigenetic locks,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414
- $00{:}05{:}09{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11{.}506$ where we're looking at hundreds or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414
- $00:05:11.506 \dashrightarrow 00:05:14.127$ thousands of CPG is across the genome NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414
- $00:05:14.127 \dashrightarrow 00:05:16.147$ measuring metalation and actually from NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414
- $00:05:16.147 \rightarrow 00:05:18.830$ there we can get out of predicted age.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:05:18.830 \dashrightarrow 00:05:20.881$ So this is showing an example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}05{:}20{.}881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23{.}070$ one of these measures were here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}05{:}23.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.366$ We have chronological age plotted on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:05:25.366 \rightarrow 00:05:28.275$ X axis and epigenetic age on the Y axis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:05:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.910$ and as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:05:29.910 \dashrightarrow 00:05:32.052$ we can get actually a very good

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}05{:}32.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.830$ indicator of aging based solely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:05:33.830 \dashrightarrow 00:05:37.374$ This is from 513 CPG's across the genome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}05{:}37{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39{.}180$ But far more important than just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}05{:}39{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41{.}508$ kind of this parlor trick that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}05{:}41.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43.278$ can actually predict someone's age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}05{:}43.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}44.920$ We're really interested in kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:05:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.560$ of this variance within age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}05{:}46{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48{.}282$ so asking the question of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}05{:}48.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.279$ who are predicted older than they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:05:50.279 \rightarrow 00:05:51.476$ actually are chronologically,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414
- $00{:}05{:}51.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.485$ or these individuals more at

 $00{:}05{:}53.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.490$ risk for different diseases and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:05:55.562 \rightarrow 00:05:57.770$ conditions associated with aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:05:57.770 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.129$ So we've looked at this in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

00:06:00.129 --> 00:06:01.710 number of different issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:06:01.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.328$ so first this is data from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

00:06:04.328 --> 00:06:06.720 Framingham Heart Study on that was done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:06:06.720 \dashrightarrow 00:06:09.226$ The study was done by my postdoc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:06:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.498$ Albert Higgins Chen were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

00:06:10.498 --> 00:06:13.208 Basically we can look at a one V

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}06{:}13.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.068$ Association for one standard deviation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

00:06:15.068 --> 00:06:17.364 increase in your epigenetic age based

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}06{:}17.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}19.596$ on in contrast to your chronological

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}06{:}19.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.098$ age and what we find is that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:06:22.098 \rightarrow 00:06:24.260$ looking at all 'cause mortality,

 $00{:}06{:}24.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}26.040$ this one standard deviation increases

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}06{:}26{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28{.}639$ associated with almost a two fold increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

00:06:28.640 --> 00:06:31.470 Risk in all 'cause mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:06:31.470 \dashrightarrow 00:06:33.773$ We also can look at associations with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:06:33.773 \rightarrow 00:06:36.250$ a number of different conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:06:36.250 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.882$ so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:06:36.882 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.778$ we find that older epigenetic age

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:06:38.778 \rightarrow 00:06:40.817$ relative to chronological age is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}06{:}40.817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}42.609$ associated with different anthropometric

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}06{:}42.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.399$ factors like BMI and waist circumference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

00:06:45.400 --> 00:06:47.390 Functioning factors like heart rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:06:47.390 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.982$ systolic blood pressure associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:06:48.982 \dashrightarrow 00:06:51.347$ with lipid levels, and blood glucose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:06:51.347 \dashrightarrow 00:06:53.292$ different health behaviors that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:06:53.292 \rightarrow 00:06:55.749$ think are dealing serious to health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:06:55.750 \rightarrow 00:06:58.138$ like cigarette smoking, heavy alcohol use,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414
- $00{:}06{:}58{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00{.}130$ and a number of diseases.

00:07:00.130 --> 00:07:00.926 Cardiovascular disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:07:00.926 \rightarrow 00:07:02.876$ coronary heart disease, coronary heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

00:07:02.876 --> 00:07:04.388 Failure, depression, sleep apnea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:07:04.390 \dashrightarrow 00:07:07.094$ and this is actually not an exhaustive list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}07{:}07{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09{.}248$ We've actually associated this with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:07:09.248 \dashrightarrow 00:07:11.170$ number of different diseases as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}07{:}11.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.860$ So on the left here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}07{:}12.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.550$ this is all epigenetic gauge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}07{:}14.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.564$ measured in blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00{:}07{:}15{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17{.}260$ But we can also measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8059414

 $00:07:17.260 \dashrightarrow 00:07:18.612$ opportunity in specific tissues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}07{:}18.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.920$ so my student care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}07{:}19{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21{.}870$ Thresh is a student in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:07:21.943 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.708$ CMB program here at Yale,

 $00:07:23.710 \rightarrow 00:07:26.076$ is interested in epigenetic aging and brain. NOTE Confidence: 0.776605 $00{:}07{:}26.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.320$ So this is data from what's called NOTE Confidence: 0.776605 $00{:}07{:}28.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}30.989$ the Ross Mapco part where we have NOTE Confidence: 0.776605 $00:07:30.989 \rightarrow 00:07:33.455$ postmortem brain samples and we've measured. NOTE Confidence: 0.776605 $00:07:33.460 \rightarrow 00:07:35.445$ Gauging in dorsal lateral prefrontal NOTE Confidence: 0.776605 00:07:35.445 - 00:07:38.374 cortex and what we show here is NOTE Confidence: 0.776605 $00:07:38.374 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.559$ that higher epigenetic aging is NOTE Confidence: 0.776605 $00:07:40.559 \rightarrow 00:07:42.334$ associated with postmortem diagnosis NOTE Confidence: 0.776605 $00:07:42.334 \rightarrow 00:07:44.716$ of Alzheimer's disease and this is NOTE Confidence: 0.776605 $00:07:44.716 \rightarrow 00:07:46.908$ based on neural pathology diagnosis. NOTE Confidence: 0.776605 00:07:46.908 --> 00:07:49.902 But we've also shown that even NOTE Confidence: 0.776605 $00:07:49.902 \rightarrow 00:07:53.084$ pre mortem this also tracks with NOTE Confidence: 0.776605 $00:07:53.084 \rightarrow 00:07:55.180$ things like cognitive decline. NOTE Confidence: 0.776605 $00:07:55.180 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.812$ I'm so that just goes to show that NOTE Confidence: 0.776605 00:07:57.812 --> 00:08:00.374 Abby Janicke agent can be measured NOTE Confidence: 0.776605 $00:08:00.374 \rightarrow 00:08:02.198$ again across different issues,

 $00:08:02.200 \rightarrow 00:08:04.790$ so we also have a collaborations with

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}08{:}04.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.782$ doctors whose Diane Hofstadter and

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:08:06.782 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.218$ actually what we've looked at here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}08{:}09{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.482$ is normal breast tissue from women

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}08{:}11.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.510$ with and without breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:08:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.964$ And again we show an accelerated

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:08:15.964 \rightarrow 00:08:18.400$ epigenetic aging phenotype in women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:08:18.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.304$ do have breast cancer versus those who don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:08:21.310 \dashrightarrow 00:08:23.285$ With the hypothesis that being

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:08:23.285 \rightarrow 00:08:25.260$ being that may be accelerated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:08:25.260 \dashrightarrow 00:08:28.025$ Aging in a given tissue may actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}08{:}28.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30.057$ predispose that tissue to tumorigenesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:08:30.057 \dashrightarrow 00:08:32.766$ and this is prior to any treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}08{:}32.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.510$ And similarly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}08{:}33{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36{.}100$ we've looked at data in liver and

 $00:08:36.100 \rightarrow 00:08:38.547$ we find again higher epigenetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}08{:}38{.}547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41{.}047$ aging is associated with obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:08:41.050 \dashrightarrow 00:08:45.930$ NAFLD and Nash in the liver samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

00:08:45.930 --> 00:08:46.588 So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}08{:}46.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48.233$ we can measure epigenetic aging

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:08:48.233 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.618$ and essentially any different

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}08{:}49{.}618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50{.}958$ tissue and cell type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:08:50.960 \dashrightarrow 00:08:53.270$ And actually we use the exact same

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}08{:}53.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54.980$ algorithm when we're doing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:08:54.980 \rightarrow 00:08:56.650$ These aren't tissue specific algorithms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

00:08:56.650 --> 00:08:59.107 so we can actually also use this to look NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:08:59.107 \rightarrow 00:09:01.677$ at kind of between tissue differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}09{:}01{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}03{.}350$ so there's this long standing hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}09{:}03.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05.730$ in aging research that even though all

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:09:05.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.370$ your tissues are essentially aging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:09:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.715$ they do this in an asynchronous manner,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.776605
- $00:09:09.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.726$ so they're not all aging at the same rate.

 $00:09:12.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.962$ So what we've done here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:09:14.962 \rightarrow 00:09:16.450$ we've looked at epigenetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:09:16.450 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.125$ In a variety of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

00:09:18.125 - 00:09:19.465 tissue and cell types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:09:19.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.660$ and basically what we find again

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}09{:}21.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}24.059$ is that your tissues and tissues do

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:09:24.059 \rightarrow 00:09:26.500$ not seem to age at the same rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}09{:}26{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}28{.}510$ so all these on the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:09:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.850$ are actually from brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:09:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.195$ So it seems that brain not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

00:09:32.195 --> 00:09:33.200 seems epigenetically younger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}09{:}33{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35{.}132$ but the rate of increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:09:35.132 \rightarrow 00:09:36.890$ epigenetic aging also seems slower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}09{:}36{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38{.}900$ and then some of these more

 $00:09:38.900 \rightarrow 00:09:40.240$ proliferative tissues like colon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:09:40.240 \rightarrow 00:09:43.888$ epidermis and some of the blood cell types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:09:43.890 \dashrightarrow 00:09:46.650$ We can also just compare within

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:09:46.650 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.030$ a tissue tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

00:09:48.030 --> 00:09:50.162 Epigenetic measures from tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}09{:}50{.}162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}52{.}827$ samples versus normal an across

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}09{:}52.827 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}54.547$ different cancer subtypes or

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:09:54.547 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.767$ types we find in red here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

00:09:56.770 --> 00:09:59.626 The tumor samples seem to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00:09:59.626 \rightarrow 00:10:01.054$ accelerated epigenetic aging

NOTE Confidence: 0.776605

 $00{:}10{:}01{.}054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03{.}208$ compared to the normal controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147

 $00{:}10{:}05{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07{.}600$ So one kind of outstanding question

NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147

 $00:10:07.600 \rightarrow 00:10:10.082$ in this field is really, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147

 $00{:}10{:}10{.}082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11.446$ mechanistically what's driving up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147

 $00:10:11.450 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.634$ The genetic aging, and are there

NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147

 $00:10:13.634 \rightarrow 00:10:15.769$ actually ways to intervene and modify

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147
- 00:10:15.769 --> 00:10:17.925 the rate of the Fiji night change?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147
- 00:10:17.930 --> 00:10:20.310 So one of my sons Christmas here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147
- $00{:}10{:}20{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22{.}686$ who's in the M2P2 program here at Yale
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147
- 00:10:22.686 --> 00:10:24.491 is actually working on developing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147
- 00:10:24.491 --> 00:10:26.789 in vitro models of epigenetic aging,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147
- $00{:}10{:}26.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.100$ so we can show that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147
- $00:10:29.100 \rightarrow 00:10:30.910$ Simply by passaging different cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147
- $00:10:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.710$ We've done this in mouse
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147
- $00:10:32.710 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.430$ embryonic fibroblasts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147
- $00:10:33.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.374$ We've done this in human astrocytes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147
- $00{:}10{:}35{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37{.}121$ that we can actually recapitulate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147
- $00{:}10{:}37{.}121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39{.}086$ the exact same epigenetic patterns
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147
- $00:10:39.086 \rightarrow 00:10:41.010$ that we're seeing in vivo.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147
- $00{:}10{:}41.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43.460$ So this is the method that Chris
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147
- $00:10:43.460 \rightarrow 00:10:45.295$ generated where he can show
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147

 $00:10:45.295 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.080$ this increase in Abidjan cage

NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147

 $00{:}10{:}47.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.319$ as a function of cell passage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147

 $00{:}10{:}49{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51{.}777$ and then it uses the exact same

NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147

 $00{:}10{:}51{.}777 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54{.}696$ equation and show that we can also see

NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147

 $00{:}10{:}54.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57.279$ these exact same changes with age in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147

00:10:57.279 --> 00:10:59.785 vivo in a variety of different issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147

 $00:10:59.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.023$ so liver long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147

00:11:01.023 --> 00:11:02.667 Kidney blood adipose however

NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147

 $00{:}11{:}02.667 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04.919$ lesser extent and sculpt muscle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147

 $00{:}11{:}04{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07{.}368$ which is perhaps not surprising 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.82631147

 $00{:}11{:}07{.}368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}10{.}558$ it's tends to be mostly post mitotic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

00:11:12.870 --> 00:11:14.780 But then again, probably the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:11:14.780 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.308$ most important question here

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:11:16.308 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.479$ is whether this is modifiable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:11:18.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.688$ so this is actually on the left here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}11{:}21.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24.567$ A collaboration that we did with Doctor

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126
- $00{:}11{:}24.567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27.027$ David Sinclair at Harvard and his

 $00{:}11{:}27.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29.701$ then student at the time using Luann.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:11:29.710 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.380$ Basically, this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:11:32.380 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.405$ Fishing nature last year where

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:11:34.405 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.810$ we had a test on Ted off system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

00:11:37.810 --> 00:11:40.505 For those of you familiar with Yamanaka

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:11:40.505 \rightarrow 00:11:42.647$ factors were actually doing what

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:11:42.647 \rightarrow 00:11:44.495$ we call epigenetic reprogramming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}11{:}44{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48{.}838$ So this was a three factor system with OSK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:11:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.857$ And basically what they did is they

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}11{:}51{.}857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54{.}649$ crushed the optical nerve and they show

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}11{:}54{.}649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57{.}750$ that when you turn on OSK you actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}11{:}57{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00{.}456$ get Rigo growth of these ganglia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}12{:}00{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}02{.}540$ neural, neural neural ganglion cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}12{:}02{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05{.}522$ But we also see change in the

 $00:12:05.522 \rightarrow 00:12:07.520$ epigenetic aging pattern as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:12:07.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.010$ so these are to be intact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}12{:}10.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12{:}344$ So with without the crushing but

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:12:12.344 \rightarrow 00:12:15.289$ with when you get injury you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:12:15.289 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.474$ an increase in epigenetic age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:12:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.320$ But then when you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

00:12:19.320 --> 00:12:20.700 Express to SK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:12:20.700 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.190$ You get a reduction in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

00:12:23.190 --> 00:12:24.435 epigenetic age again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:12:24.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.965$ And we actually show this

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:12:25.965 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.980$ as well in an aged model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

00:12:27.980 --> 00:12:29.590 not just an injury model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:12:29.590 \rightarrow 00:12:31.893$ where with aging we show an increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:12:31.893 \rightarrow 00:12:34.098$ in the epigenetic age in these cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}12{:}34.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36.740$ But with OSK we we can actually show

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:12:36.740 \rightarrow 00:12:38.607$ in deceleration in upper GI gauge.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126
- $00{:}12{:}38{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40{.}542$ And we've shown in a number

 $00:12:40.542 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.150$ of different cell types too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}12{:}42{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44{.}374$ When you look at when you take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}12{:}44{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}46{.}450$ somatic cell and convert it back

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

00:12:46.450 --> 00:12:48.270 to induce player problem stencil,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}12{:}48{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50{.}650$ you also get a reversion or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:12:50.650 \rightarrow 00:12:52.156$ basically complete erase erasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:12:52.156 \rightarrow 00:12:54.266$ of this epigenetic aging pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

00:12:54.270 --> 00:12:54.942 And similarly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:12:54.942 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.958$ we've also looked in studies in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

00:12:56.958 --> 00:12:59.010 mice on dietary intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}12{:}59{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01{.}386$ so here this is a epigenetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:13:01.386 \rightarrow 00:13:03.749$ gauge measure and mice in blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:13:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.109$ And here in pink we have my

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

00:13:06.109 --> 00:13:08.100 sister on caloric restriction,

 $00:13:08.100 \rightarrow 00:13:10.284$ so we showed a clinically restricted

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}13{:}10.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13.228$ mice seem to have lower epigenetic age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}13{:}13{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15{.}631$ They were all started at the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

00:13:15.631 - 00:13:17.580 time on chloric restriction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}13{:}17{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}20{.}604$ so we actually show that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}13{:}20.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.218$ actually just also decreases the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:13:23.218 \rightarrow 00:13:26.230$ rate of epigenetic aging over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:13:26.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.571$ So as takeaways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

00:13:27.571 --> 00:13:29.359 biological aging is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}13{:}29{.}359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31{.}130$ distinct from chronological aging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:13:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.668$ and we can actually use things

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:13:33.668 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.893$ like epigenetic clocks that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:13:35.893 \rightarrow 00:13:38.647$ based on DNA metalation to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

00:13:38.647 --> 00:13:40.024 track biological aging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:13:40.030 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.250$ quantify it in various cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

00:13:42.250 - 00:13:44.185 and tissue types between person

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126
- $00:13:44.185 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.120$ differences in the discordance between

00:13:46.180 --> 00:13:47.689 chronological in
opportune engages

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

00:13:47.689 --> 00:13:49.701 biologically meaningful and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:13:49.701 \rightarrow 00:13:52.490$ has implications for things like morbidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:13:52.490 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.973$ mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}13{:}52{.}973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53{.}456$ risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}13{:}53{.}456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56{.}354$ An epigenetic clocks can be used

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:13:56.354 \rightarrow 00:13:59.154$ to study asynchronous aging across

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}13{:}59{.}154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02{.}069$ different issue install States and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:14:02.069 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.768$ also show distinctions in tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:14:04.768 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.183$ state or such as acceleration

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}14{:}07{.}183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}08{.}956$ at in tumor Genesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:14:08.956 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.374$ They can also be used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:14:11.374 \rightarrow 00:14:13.856$ facilitate in vitro aging models

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:14:13.856 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.984$ for discovery of the rapeutics,

 $00:14:15.990 \rightarrow 00:14:17.890$ and potentially the most important

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}14{:}17{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}19{.}790$ thing is that epigenetic aging

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

00:14:19.857 --> 00:14:21.789 phenomena is actually modifiable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00:14:21.790 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.101$ but it's yet to show whether a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}14{:}25{.}101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26{.}520$ deceleration epigenetic aging

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}14{:}26{.}599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29{.}197$ will actually manifest as as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}14{:}29{.}197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31{.}585$ reduced risks and things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

00:14:31.585 --> 00:14:33.298 morbidity and mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}14{:}33{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35{.}498$ And with that I just want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}14{:}35{.}498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}37{.}119$ acknowledge the people in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

 $00{:}14{:}37{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38{.}264$ My collaborators at Yellen

NOTE Confidence: 0.82014126

00:14:38.264 --> 00:14:39.980 elsewhere and also my funding from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459289

00:14:40.029 --> 00:14:41.529 the National Institute on Aging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459289

 $00{:}14{:}41{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43{.}354$ and I'm happy to take questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459289

 $00:14:43.354 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.348$ either via email or in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8459289

 $00:14:45.350 \rightarrow 00:14:47.002$ Thank you so much.