WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:16:16.2130000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.968 It's my pleasure to now introduce our

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685

00:00:02.968 --> 00:00:05.430 next speaker Doctor Chris Christie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685

00:00:05.430 --> 00:00:06.861 office Doctor Kostakis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685

 $00{:}00{:}06{.}861 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}09{.}246$ graduated from the Imperial College

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685

 $00{:}00{:}09{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}12{.}017$ London and earned his PhD at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685

 $00:00:12.017 \rightarrow 00:00:14.509$ University of New South Wales in Sydney.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685

 $00{:}00{:}14.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}16.162$ After postdoctoral training at

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685

00:00:16.162 --> 00:00:18.227 the Broad Institute and MTH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685

 $00{:}00{:}18.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20.516$ where he undertook some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685

 $00{:}00{:}20.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.040$ first Genome wide Association

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685

00:00:22.105 --> 00:00:24.009 studies in autoimmune disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685

 $00:00:24.010 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.080$ he joined Yale in 2010.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685

00:00:26.080 --> 00:00:27.712 His laboratory uses genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685

 $00:00:27.712 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.936$ genomics and epidemiological

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685
- $00{:}00{:}28{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30{.}643$ approaches to identify the

 $00{:}00{:}30{.}643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31{.}927$ biology underlying autoimmune.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685

 $00:00:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.253$ And neurological diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685

00:00:33.253 - 00:00:35.017 dersum floor is yours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81772685

 $00:00:35.020 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.910$ Doctor Kostakis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8266911

00:00:39.040 --> 00:00:39.898 Thank you Nicole.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8266911

00:00:39.900 --> 00:00:41.755 I'm afraid I can't start my video

NOTE Confidence: 0.8266911

00:00:41.755 --> 00:00:43.864 so it's the tech people would like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8266911

 $00:00:43.864 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.500$ to start that, but it's fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8266911

00:00:47.500 - 00:00:49.030 There we go. Hello everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8266911

 $00{:}00{:}49{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51{.}018$ I also have no disclosures and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8266911

 $00:00:51.018 \dashrightarrow 00:00:53.072$ would like to take the next few NOTE Confidence: 0.8266911

 $00{:}00{:}53.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.174$ minutes to tell you a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8266911

 $00{:}00{:}55{.}174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57{.}172$ about some of the things we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8266911

 $00{:}00{:}57{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59{.}089$ been thinking about in my lab.

 $00:01:02.220 \rightarrow 00:01:04.430$ Specifically, how to use large

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}01{:}04{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07{.}167$ scale data of both clinical and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}01{:}07{.}167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09{.}861$ genetic data to make discoveries in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}01{:}09{.}861 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12{.}359$ diseases that we're interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

00:01:12.360 --> 00:01:15.620 specifically multiple sclerosis and epilepsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:01:15.620 \dashrightarrow 00:01:17.780$ And I chose these two projects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}01{:}17.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}20.041$ to talk about because they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:01:20.041 \rightarrow 00:01:22.273$ quite early on in their inception,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

00:01:22.280 --> 00:01:24.500 so we're still not 100% sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:01:24.500 \rightarrow 00:01:25.980$ what the story is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}01{:}25{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29{.}340$ but I think it is instructive to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:01:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.029$ look at what we can do with data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:01:33.030 \dashrightarrow 00:01:36.117$ So the first story is multiple sclerosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:01:36.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.820$ This is a large scale project

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:01:38.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.429$ out of the from the EU,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:01:41.430 \rightarrow 00:01:43.640$ primarily funded by one of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021
- $00{:}01{:}43.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}45.408$ the EU horizon programs.

 $00{:}01{:}45{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47{.}960$ It's led by colleagues at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

00:01:47.960 --> 00:01:50.270 Carolyn Skirt Institute in Sweden,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:01:50.270 \rightarrow 00:01:52.480$ and it covers 10 countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

00:01:52.480 --> 00:01:56.458 including site at Yale and a site at UCSF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}01{:}56{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}59{.}748$ and all the other partners are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}01{:}59{.}748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03{.}110$ in in Europe and our main.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:02:03.110 \rightarrow 00:02:07.870$ Or our main focus is on multiple sclerosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}02{:}07{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10{.}365$ which is a predominantly autoimmune

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}02{:}10.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13.868$ disease of the brain where the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}02{:}13.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.081$ system basically decides that it does not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}02{:}17.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}20.686$ like the myelin sheath around white matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

00:02:20.690 --> 00:02:22.658 In neurons you get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}02{:}22.658$ --> $00{:}02{:}24.626$ infiltration of immune cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:02:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.394$ Stereotypically T cells that

 $00:02:27.394 \rightarrow 00:02:30.158$ cause myelin stripping around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}02{:}30{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32{.}290$ Blood vessels in the brain and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:02:32.290 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.583$ you get these large lesions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:02:34.583 \rightarrow 00:02:36.573$ the brain and progressively get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:02:36.573 \dashrightarrow 00:02:39.049$ more and more lesions overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:02:39.050 \rightarrow 00:02:41.878$ And that leads to a relapsing remitting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

00:02:41.880 --> 00:02:43.156 usually mode of disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

00:02:43.156 --> 00:02:45.070 where there is both physical and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

00:02:45.129 --> 00:02:46.897 cognitive decline and eventually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}02{:}46.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}48.665$ this becomes permanent and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:02:48.665 \rightarrow 00:02:50.590$ patients experience ongoing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

00:02:50.590 - 00:02:51.570 progressive disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:02:51.570 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.590$ It is a lifelong disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:02:53.590 \rightarrow 00:02:55.610$ There are disease modifying therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:02:55.610 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.926$ but there exists no cure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:02:57.926 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.505$ it is one of the more common

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021
- $00{:}03{:}01{.}505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04{.}089$ neurological diseases out there.

00:03:04.090 --> 00:03:06.090 And like most such diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:03:06.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.925$ you can find some families where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:03:08.925 \rightarrow 00:03:11.688$ disease appears to run in the families,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:03:11.690 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.690$ but most cases are sporadic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}03{:}13.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15.800$ It looks like familiar landmass

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:03:15.800 \rightarrow 00:03:18.890$ is not a single gene form of Ms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}03{:}18.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21.290$ It is exactly like the sporadic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:03:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.890$ form it is polygenic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:03:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.358$ It is extremely complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}03{:}24.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28.178$ We have at least 200 loci mapped from large

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}03{:}28.178$ --> $00{:}03{:}30.748$ scale genome wide Association studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}03{:}30{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32{.}830$ We estimate there's probably another

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:03:32.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.990$ 800 to 1000 out there in the genome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}03{:}35{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}38{.}839$ and a large effort now across the

 $00:03:38.839 \rightarrow 00:03:42.033$ world is has been initiated to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:03:42.033 \dashrightarrow 00:03:45.210$ and figure out what those genes do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}03{:}45{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47{.}418$ But also to see how we can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}03{:}47{.}418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49{.}616$ some of this information and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}03{:}49.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51.968$ of the problems has been that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

00:03:52.050 - 00:03:54.160 this disease is quite common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

00:03:54.160 - 00:03:56.666 but it's not type 2 diabetes comma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}03{:}56{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}58{.}812$ so it's about one in 1000

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}03{:}58{.}812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59{.}883$ in European population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:03:59.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.278$ so it's fairly common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}04{:}01{.}278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04{.}185$ but no one really has a cohort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:04:04.185 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.775 20$ or 30,000 patients who have all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}04{:}06{.}775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09{.}946$ been seen for a very long time in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:04:09.946 \dashrightarrow 00:04:13.150$ clinic where data have been collected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:04:13.150 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.550$ In the same way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:04:14.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.950$ by the same people.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021
- 00:04:15.950 00:04:19.496 And So what you have to do is Unite

 $00:04:19.496 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.970$ data across many centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

00:04:21.970 --> 00:04:23.974 Often with differing practices

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:04:23.974 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.477$ with differing CHRS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}04{:}25{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28{.}702$ or before that just paper records

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}04{:}28{.}702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32{.}310$ and try and put these data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:04:32.310 \rightarrow 00:04:35.675$ together in some meaningful way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:04:35.680 \rightarrow 00:04:38.270$ So you can make large scale inferences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00{:}04{:}38{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40{.}844$ and this goes back to what IRA

NOTE Confidence: 0.8763021

 $00:04:40.844 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.932$ said initially about how even as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:04:43.011 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.395$ a biobank we need to be one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

00:04:45.395 --> 00:04:47.690 the network of biomax and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

00:04:47.690 --> 00:04:49.796 very much what we've been trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}04{:}49{.}796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}52{.}183$ to do in a disease focused way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:04:52.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.212$ and so this project has been

 $00:04:54.212 \rightarrow 00:04:55.980$ aiming to do exactly that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}04{:}55{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58{.}374$ and then from these large scale data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:04:58.380 \rightarrow 00:05:00.417$ try and see if there are subsets

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:05:00.417 \dashrightarrow 00:05:02.353$ of patients who seem to respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:05:02.353 \rightarrow 00:05:04.684$ differently to the rapy who seem to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}05{:}04.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.118$ different outcomes that is predictable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

00:05:07.120 --> 00:05:09.610 And that might maybe mechanistic because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:05:09.610 \dashrightarrow 00:05:12.870$ the problem is like most complex diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}05{:}12.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}15.516$ Ms is extremely heterogeneous at diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}05{:}15{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18{.}022$ There is effectively no prognosis that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}05{:}18.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22.013$ one can give to a patient 'cause they may

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:05:22.013 \rightarrow 00:05:24.800$ be severely disabled within five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}05{:}24.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27.775$ or they may be just fine 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:05:27.775 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.660$ years down the line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}05{:}29.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32.078$ it's very hard to tell anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:05:32.078 \rightarrow 00:05:34.520$ to tell a patient anything,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903
- $00:05:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.856$ and that is a major issue.

 $00:05:37.860 \rightarrow 00:05:40.803$ And So what we've been doing is we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}05{:}40.803 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43.449$ been warehousing both clinical and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:05:43.449 \rightarrow 00:05:46.254$ genetic data across these collections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:05:46.260 \dashrightarrow 00:05:49.214$ And what I'm showing you so far

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:05:49.214 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.560$ is the progress we've done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:05:51.560 \rightarrow 00:05:54.206$ We've made with the genetic data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:05:54.210 \dashrightarrow 00:05:57.563$ which is about 45,000 Ms patients across

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:05:57.563 \rightarrow 00:06:01.177$ 10 centers and 26,000 controls to date.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:06:01.180 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.346$ And this by itself has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:06:03.346 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.790$ a fairly major nightmare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}06{:}04.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07.798$ not least of which has been the paper work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:06:07.798 \rightarrow 00:06:10.291$ becauses the GDP are the privacy law

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}06{:}10.291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.730$ that is come into effect in Europe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:06:12.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.834$ has really done a number of this on

 $00:06:15.834 \rightarrow 00:06:18.726$ this and we've had like a major.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}06{:}18.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.500$ It took us a year and a half to unwind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:06:22.602 \rightarrow 00:06:25.677$ the legal implications of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:06:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.050$ but these are real issues that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}06{:}28.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31.860$ will have to be faced when we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

00:06:31.860 - > 00:06:34.004 about federations of biobanks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:06:34.010 \rightarrow 00:06:37.244$ or of case control cohorts across places,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:06:37.250 \rightarrow 00:06:40.946$ and we're also trying to Unite clinical data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}06{:}40{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43{.}911$ We have about 60,000 patients worth of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}06{:}43.911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}46.292$ clinical data with different amounts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:06:46.292 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.887$ of data for different patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:06:48.890 \rightarrow 00:06:51.426$ And we are still trying to resolve those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}06{:}51{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}53{.}509$ and ultimately what we want to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:06:53.509 \rightarrow 00:06:56.010$ able to do is to build predictors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:06:56.010 \rightarrow 00:06:58.320$ of outcomes which we have captured

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:06:58.388 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.548$ in the clinical data using both.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903
- $00{:}07{:}00.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03.366$ Other data that we have on the data

 $00{:}07{:}03.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}06.670$ on the patients and the genetic data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:07:06.670 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.090$ Just the genetic data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:07:09.090 \rightarrow 00:07:12.720$ which is a fairly standard platform.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:07:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.695$ Gina type this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

00:07:13.695 - 00:07:15.645 The vast majority of this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:07:15.645 \rightarrow 00:07:17.220$ genotyping relevant sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}07{:}17.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.720$ There are different platforms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:07:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.595$ on which one can genotype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:07:20.600 \rightarrow 00:07:22.100$ but they're fairly standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:07:22.100 \dashrightarrow 00:07:24.350$ It's a fairly homogeneous data type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

00:07:24.350 --> 00:07:26.870 It has taken us about a year to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

00:07:26.870 --> 00:07:28.889 these data together because there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}07{:}28.889 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.391$ is a pretty significant amount of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

00:07:31.460 --> 00:07:33.962 work involved in actually Q seeing

 $00:07:33.962 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.213$ and processing data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}07{:}35{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37{.}470$ and so just that has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}07{:}37{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38{.}595$ a nontrivial challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:07:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.470$ We have now overcome this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}07{:}40.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42.828$ We now have this unified collection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:07:42.830 \dashrightarrow 00:07:44.588$ Unlike most case control cohorts where NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

00:07:44.588 --> 00:07:46.869 we do genome wide Association studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:07:46.870 \rightarrow 00:07:48.865$ we actually have deeper information

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

00:07:48.865 --> 00:07:50.860 rather than just whether someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:07:50.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.270$ is a case or a control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00:07:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.727$ and we're now trying to put these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

00:07:54.727 --> 00:07:57.167 data together so this the next couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

00:07:57.167 -> 00:07:59.851 of years I think are going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

00:07:59.851 -> 00:08:02.056 very exciting here as we try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}08{:}02{.}056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04{.}445$ figure out if there are predictors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8404903

 $00{:}08{:}04{.}445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06{.}510$ for both outcomes and treatment

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86289924
- $00:08:06.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.080$ outcomes in treatment

 $00:08:08.080 \rightarrow 00:08:10.080$ responses in these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86289924

 $00:08:10.080 \rightarrow 00:08:13.419$ What we have so far in the clinical data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86289924

00:08:13.420 --> 00:08:16.017 I will show you there very briefly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86289924

 $00{:}08{:}16{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18{.}764$ These are all sorts of lifestyle and clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.86289924

 $00:08:18.764 \dashrightarrow 00:08:21.210$ data that seem to segregate patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86289924

 $00:08:21.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.382$ This is a principle components analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.86289924

00:08:23.382 --> 00:08:25.290 of our entire phenotype matrix,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86289924

 $00{:}08{:}25{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27{.}887$ and you can see that there are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00:08:30.000 \dashrightarrow 00:08:32.400$ Phenotypes seem to correlate with age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00{:}08{:}32{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35{.}694$ In the top left you can see that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00{:}08{:}35{.}694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38{.}220$ dominant trend in our patients is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00:08:38.220 \rightarrow 00:08:41.600$ actually age and that kind of makes sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

00:08:41.600 --> 00:08:43.200 It's a progressive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00:08:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.800$ It's a lifelong disease.

 $00{:}08{:}44.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}47.600$ Older individuals tend to have more symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00{:}08{:}47{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50{.}800$ and you can definitely see things like that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00:08:50.800 \rightarrow 00:08:53.600$ but that's an important confounder as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00:08:53.600 \rightarrow 00:08:57.002$ Age is an important aspect of disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00{:}08{:}57{.}002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00{.}179$ that we often don't talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00{:}09{:}00{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}02{.}365$ We see more interesting things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00:09:02.365 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.481$ if you look at that second panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00:09:05.481 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.407$ from from the left on the top.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00{:}09{:}08{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{.}09{.}706$ There's a correlation with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

00:09:09.706 --> 00:09:10.678 natural UV exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00:09:10.680 \dashrightarrow 00:09:13.146$ 'cause it turns out the vitamin D is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00{:}09{:}13.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.537$ an important component of Ms Pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00{:}09{:}15{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}17{.}160$ It is a risk factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00{:}09{:}17.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}19.421$ It appears to be causal in ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00:09:19.421 \dashrightarrow 00:09:21.760$ that we don't really understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00:09:21.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.410$ But there are lifestyle exposures

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199
- $00:09:23.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.746$ like that as well and they are

 $00:09:25.746 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.937$ definitely coming out of the the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00:09:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.560$ We also see smoking behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00:09:29.560 \rightarrow 00:09:32.160$ Gender is an important component and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00:09:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.239$ So as we start pulling all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00:09:34.239 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.740$ these clinical data together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00:09:35.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.365$ we were getting patterns even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8717199

 $00:09:37.365 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.340$ from very simple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00:09:40.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.794$ Views of data. This is like a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

00:09:43.794 --> 00:09:46.298 naive exploratory way to look at data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00:09:46.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.388$ but we're seeing patterns even in that way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00{:}09{:}49{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}52{.}099$ just for the remainder of the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00{:}09{:}52{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}55{.}106$ I'd like to switch for a second and tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00{:}09{:}55{.}106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}58{.}079$ you about a different project that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00:09:58.079 \dashrightarrow 00:10:00.999$ still quite similar in flavor I think,

 $00:10:01.000 \rightarrow 00:10:02.544$ which is about epilepsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00{:}10{:}02{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03{.}702$ Identifying predictors of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00:10:03.702 \dashrightarrow 00:10:05.168$ psychiatric disease, and epilepsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00{:}10{:}05{.}168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07{.}920$ This is funded by the NINDS and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

00:10:07.995 - 00:10:10.290 is a collaboration between Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

00:10:10.290 --> 00:10:11.092 Arhus University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00{:}10{:}11.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}12.696$ Helsinki University and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00:10:12.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.930$ Rodents chew epilepsy is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

00:10:17.930 --> 00:10:19.878 Basically it disease where

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00{:}10{:}19{.}878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22{.}313$ of seizures in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00{:}10{:}22{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25{.}600$ It is abnormal electrical activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00{:}10{:}25.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27.324$ That is often repeated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00{:}10{:}27{.}324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31{.}349$ You see 2 broad types of seizures on EG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00:10:31.350 \rightarrow 00:10:34.116$ You see either a generalized seizure

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00:10:34.116 \rightarrow 00:10:37.291$ pattern that takes up a large portion

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00:10:37.291 \rightarrow 00:10:40.189$ of a hemisphere or the entire brain,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473
- $00:10:40.190 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.369$ or you see very focal abnormal electrical

 $00{:}10{:}44.369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}48.160$ activity in one area of the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

00:10:48.160 --> 00:10:48.658 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00:10:48.658 \rightarrow 00:10:51.646$ it is a common neurological disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00:10:51.646 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.980$ about one and 26 people in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

00:10:54.980 --> 00:10:57.596 US have a diagnosis of epilepsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

00:10:57.600 - 00:10:59.960 It is a complex disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00:10:59.960 \rightarrow 00:11:02.840$ There exist certain single gene forms

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00:11:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.566$ of it that explain about 14% of cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

 $00{:}11{:}06{.}566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}10{.}624$ but the other 8586% is this more common

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

00:11:10.624 --> 00:11:13.870 complex form again polygenic many genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

00:11:13.870 --> 00:11:14.292 Heritable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82994473

00:11:14.292 --> 00:11:15.980 but not simply heritable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}11{:}18.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}21.000$ And what we've been doing has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}11{:}21.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}23.169$ been working with some colleagues

00:11:23.169 --> 00:11:25.799 at Arhus University in Denmark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

00:11:25.800 --> 00:11:28.470 Like many of the Nordic countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}11{:}28{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}31{.}070$ Denmark has an integrated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}11{:}31{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35{.}053$ Our health care system for which records

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:11:35.053 \rightarrow 00:11:37.358$ are completely available for research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}11{:}37{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39{.}665$ so the population of Denmark

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:11:39.665 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.509$ is about 5,000,000 people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:11:41.510 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.615$ There are records roughly for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:11:43.615 \rightarrow 00:11:45.720$ about 2,000,000 people who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:11:45.794 \rightarrow 00:11:48.419$ interactions with the hospital system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}11{:}48{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51{.}980$ We we tend to limit this to people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:11:51.980 \rightarrow 00:11:55.149$ who've had interactions recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:11:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.828$ By which I mean after 1981.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

00:11:58.830 --> 00:12:01.255 Becauses people born after 1981

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}12{:}01{.}255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03{.}680$ also have blood spots stored

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

00:12:03.765 --> 00:12:05.990 in the Staten Serum Institute

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435
- $00:12:05.990 \rightarrow 00:12:08.620$ from which we can extract DNA.

00:12:08.620 --> 00:12:10.918 So you can do population level

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:12:10.918 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.119$ genetics based on the hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:12:13.119 \rightarrow 00:12:16.069$ registers across the entire population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}12{:}16.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19.150$ And so we limited this to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:12:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.860$ and one of the things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}12{:}22{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26{.}274$ observed about four years ago now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:12:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.382$ Is that if you look at individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:12:30.382 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.640$ with a diagnosis of epilepsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:12:33.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.570$ you find a strong overrepresentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:12:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.714$ of mental illness diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}12{:}40{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41{.}869$ In that population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}12{:}41.869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.960$ So if you look right at the top,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}12{:}44.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.012$ there's about 1.3 million people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:12:47.012 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.959$ do not have a diagnosis of epilepsy,

 $00:12:49.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.400$ and there are reference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}12{:}51{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54{.}031$ And there's about 10 and a half

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

00:12:54.031 -> 00:12:56.401 thousand people who do have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:12:56.401 \rightarrow 00:12:58.818$ diagnosis of epilepsy and they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:12:58.818 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.122$ somewhere between 1.4 and 1.6 fold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

00:13:01.130 --> 00:13:02.670 Higher rates of psychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:13:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.440$ illness diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:13:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.976$ These are all diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}13{:}04{.}976$ --> $00{:}13{:}06{.}128$ from hospital registers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

00:13:06.130 --> 00:13:07.670 They're not necessarily strong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

00:13:07.670 --> 00:13:09.595 strongly followed by individual physician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:13:09.600 \rightarrow 00:13:13.100$ so this is not a cohort, these are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}13{:}13{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16{.}075$ Medical records and that's worth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

00:13:16.080 --> 00:13:18.820 Highlighting, however.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}13{:}18{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}21{.}040$ Psychiatric illness is itself genetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:13:21.040 \rightarrow 00:13:23.160$ Again, it is complex.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435
- $00:13:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.280$ There have been many.

 $00:13:25.280 \rightarrow 00:13:27.616$ Genetic studies of that and so is epilepsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:13:27.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.175$ And So what we are trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}13{:}30{.}175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32{.}578$ figure out is if we can ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

00:13:32.580 --> 00:13:35.748 Does the epilepsy cause psychiatric illness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:13:35.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.272$ or are these both either independent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:13:39.272 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.019$ effects or both effects of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

00:13:43.019 --> 00:13:44.957 shared underlying pathology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:13:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.524$ That's an interesting question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:13:46.524 \rightarrow 00:13:49.460$ because we think we can then develop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:13:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.858$ Predictors for given.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}13{:}53{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56{.}289$ That you have a diagnosis of epilepsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:13:56.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.830$ What is the probability that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

00:13:58.830 --> 00:14:00.354 you actually develop?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}14{:}00{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02{.}456$ Psychiatric illness post epilepsy.

 $00{:}14{:}02{.}456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06{.}944$ It's not even we can see in the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}14{:}06{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09{.}863$ that not every one is at equal risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:14:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.048$ but we do not yet understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

00:14:12.048 --> 00:14:14.000 who is a higher risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}14{:}14{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}16{.}045$ and so we're taking everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

00:14:16.045 --> 00:14:18.090 from school records which exist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:14:18.163 \rightarrow 00:14:20.461$ in separate registers which can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}14{:}20{.}461 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22{.}578$ cross referenced to the hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}14{:}22.578$ --> $00{:}14{:}24.638$ registers to genetic profiles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

00:14:24.640 --> 00:14:27.725 Two prescription reimbursements to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

00:14:27.725 --> 00:14:30.810 whether people have refractory disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}14{:}30{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}34{.}445$ or whether they've cycled through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}14{:}34{.}445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36{.}626$ many antiepileptic medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:14:36.630 \rightarrow 00:14:39.444$ And we're trying to build these predictors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:14:39.450 \rightarrow 00:14:41.170$ becauses it seems rather important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:14:41.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.414$ to know who is at substantial

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435
- $00:14:43.414 \rightarrow 00:14:45.694$ additional risk given a diagnosis

 $00{:}14{:}45.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}48.320$ of epilepsy relative to the others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:14:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.770$ I will just finish with a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}14{:}50{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52{.}784$ little vignettes of almost accidental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

00:14:52.784 --> 00:14:55.460 findings that we've seen again looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00{:}14{:}55{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58{.}018$ in these registers because we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422435

 $00:14:58.018 \rightarrow 00:15:00.406$ an entire population to look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91636133

 $00{:}15{:}02{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07{.}408$ We observe that. People with epilepsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.91636133

00:15:07.408 --> 00:15:10.624 are more likely to have a mother with

NOTE Confidence: 0.91636133

 $00:15:10.624 \rightarrow 00:15:13.246$ epilepsy than a father with epilepsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00:15:15.430 \rightarrow 00:15:17.628$ For reasons that we do not understand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00{:}15{:}17.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19.200$ there exists this maternal effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00{:}15{:}19{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21{.}078$ If you just see in red,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00:15:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.368$ there's about a 1 1/2 fold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00:15:24.370 \rightarrow 00:15:25.550$ Enrichment of.

00:15:25.550 --> 00:15:28.500 Maternal epilepsy than paternal epilepsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

00:15:28.500 --> 00:15:31.020 We're not sure if this is genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00{:}15{:}31{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33{.}427$ or if something else is going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00:15:33.430 \rightarrow 00:15:35.536$ This is a very unexpected finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00{:}15{:}35{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39{.}708$ It's been reported at least once before in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00:15:39.710 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.446$ In much smaller cohorts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00{:}15{:}41{.}446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}44{.}537$ and there's been a long standing dispute

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00:15:44.537 \rightarrow 00:15:47.785$ in the field about whether this is true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00{:}15{:}47.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.265$ and across about 1.75 million

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

00:15:50.265 --> 00:15:52.245 people in Denmark weekend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00{:}15{:}52{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54{.}686$ Unequivocally see there is a sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00{:}15{:}54{.}686$ --> $00{:}15{:}57{.}092$ fairly meaningful increase in this risk and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00{:}15{:}57.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59.048$ quite well underlies this maternal effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00{:}15{:}59{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01{.}802$ We don't know, but it ties into our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00:16:01.802 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.104$ interest in *** bias in disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867

 $00:16:04.104 \rightarrow 00:16:05.856$ and we're looking forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867
00:16:05.856 --> 00:16:07.470 to following this up.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867
00:16:07.470 --> 00:16:09.798 So I want to just leave that there.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867
00:16:09.800 --> 00:16:12.285 Ask and I'll hand back to Nicole,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867
00:16:12.290 --> 00:16:14.234 who I think will introduce the
NOTE Confidence: 0.8610867
00:16:14.234 --> 00:16:16.213 next speaker or handle questions.