WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:16:26.2210000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

00:00:00.000 -> 00:00:01.146 Good afternoon everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00{:}00{:}01{.}146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}04{.}844$  My name is Nicole Lake and I'm a postdoctoral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00:00:04.844 \dashrightarrow 00:00:07.706$  associate in the Department of Genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00{:}00{:}07{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}10{.}244$  It's my great pleasure to now introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

00:00:10.244 --> 00:00:12.195 Doctor Martina Brueckner Doctor Bruckner

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00:00:12.195 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.205$  received her undergraduate and medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00{:}00{:}14.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}16.770$  degrees from the University of Virginia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

00:00:16.770 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:00:18.900 then trained in Pediatrics at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00{:}00{:}18{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21{.}260$  University of Pittsburgh and completed her

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

00:00:21.260 --> 00:00:23.465 pediatric cardiology fellowship at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00{:}00{:}23.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.440$  She has been on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00:00:25.440 \rightarrow 00:00:27.410$  faculty at Yale since 1991,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00:00:27.410 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.014$  where she provided clinical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00:00:29.014 \rightarrow 00:00:31.019$  pediatric cardiology care and founded

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553
- $00{:}00{:}31.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.030$  the Yale Pediatric Cardi Attic.

 $00:00:33.030 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.449$  Cardiac genetics clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00:00:34.449 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.814$  Her laboratory now focuses on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00:00:36.814 \rightarrow 00:00:39.231$  the molecular and genetic causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

00:00:39.231 --> 00:00:41.099 of congenital heart disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00{:}00{:}41.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}43.644$  with a special focus on the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00{:}00{:}43.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}46.530$  cilia in heart development and disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00:00:46.530 \dashrightarrow 00:00:48.460$  She has LED Yale's participation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00{:}00{:}48.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}50.390$  in the pediatric Cardiac Genomics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00:00:50.455 \rightarrow 00:00:52.735$  Consortium since its inception in 2009.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8506553

 $00{:}00{:}52.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.850$  The zoom floor is now yours, Doctor Bruckner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78951025

 $00{:}00{:}56.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01{:}190$  Thank you, let me share screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.21786205

 $00{:}01{:}09{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12{.}921$  Wait? There we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.21786205

 $00:01:12.921 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.956$  Is that visible for everybody?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

00:01:17.490 --> 00:01:20.418 I believe so yes. OK, so I'm going

 $00:01:20.418 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.440$  to be talking about the genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

00:01:22.518 --> 00:01:24.978 causes of congenital heart disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

00:01:24.980 --> 00:01:27.344 which is and I don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:01:27.344 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.920$  any conflicts of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

00:01:28.920 --> 00:01:30.492 So congenital heart disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}01{:}30{.}492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}32{.}457$  is quite a common problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:01:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.604$  It affects one in 100 life born infants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:01:35.610 \rightarrow 00:01:38.368 90\%$  of our patients survived to adulthood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}01{:}38{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}41{.}156$  which is definitely a change over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:01:41.156 \rightarrow 00:01:43.889$  time that I've been in this field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

00:01:43.890 --> 00:01:45.678 but many suffer comorbidities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}01{:}45.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.572$  including neurodevelopmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}01{:}46.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.913$  and respiratory problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:01:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.380$  What we've come to believe is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}01{:}50{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52{.}942$  that about 90% of patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}01{:}52.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.162$  congenital heart disease have a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123
- $00:01:55.162 \rightarrow 00:01:56.970$  significant genetic contribution.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123
- $00{:}01{:}56{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58{.}700$  And unlike in the past,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123
- $00:01:58.700 \dashrightarrow 00:02:01.805$  this is no longer an itch kind of disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123
- $00{:}02{:}01{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}04{.}578$  If you look at these statistics from 2010,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123
- $00:02:04.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.135$  there are two point 4,000,000
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123
- $00:02:06.135 \rightarrow 00:02:08.153$  people in the United States living
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123
- $00:02:08.153 \dashrightarrow 00:02:09.769$  with congenital heart disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123
- 00:02:09.770 --> 00:02:11.500 1,000,000 are under 18 years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123
- $00:02:11.500 \rightarrow 00:02:13.576 1.4$  million are over 18 years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123
- $00:02:13.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.645$  and since 210,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123
- $00:02:14.645 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.420$  the proportion of those that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123
- $00{:}02{:}16{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}19{.}554$  are in the over 18 years of age
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123
- $00:02:19.554 \dashrightarrow 00:02:21.118$  range has grown significantly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123
- $00{:}02{:}21{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21{.}538$  Also,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123
- $00{:}02{:}21.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.628$  looking at the population disease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:02:23.628 \rightarrow 00:02:25.300$  statistics in other countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}02{:}25{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28{.}317$  there are in Canada 257 thousand people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:02:28.317 \rightarrow 00:02:30.483$  living with congenital heart disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:02:30.483 \rightarrow 00:02:32.865$  as compared to for instance 71,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:02:32.865 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.750$  with HIV or 4000 with cystic fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}02{:}35{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38{.}870$  So this is a common problem that touches NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:02:38.870 \rightarrow 00:02:42.016$  quite many aspects of the medical system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:02:42.020 \rightarrow 00:02:44.105$  The outcome in congenital heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

00:02:44.105 -> 00:02:45.773 disease is incredibly variable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}02{:}45.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}48.734$  so a very common type of congenital

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}02{:}48.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52.007$  heart disease tetralogy of fellow can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:02:52.007 \rightarrow 00:02:53.939$  surgically repaired very affectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:02:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.056$  Post operatively him,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:02:55.056 \rightarrow 00:02:56.916$  the children will quite compromised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}02{:}56{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59{.}688$  However many of them grow up and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

00:02:59.688 --> 00:03:02.332 quite fine as seen here by Shaun

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123
- $00:03:02.332 \rightarrow 00:03:04.730$  White winning an Olympic gold medal.

 $00:03:04.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.478$  He is status post.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:03:06.478 \rightarrow 00:03:09.100$  I believe three tetralogy surgeries however.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:03:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.795$  If you look at the serious adverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}03{:}11.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}14.770$  events in this population as a whole,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:03:14.770 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.390$  they are quite significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:03:16.390 \rightarrow 00:03:18.820$  and by the age of 30,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}03{:}18.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21.641$  about 910% will have suffered a serious

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

00:03:21.641 --> 00:03:23.712 adverse event and somewhere between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}03{:}23.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27.600$  15 and 20% a significant adverse event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}03{:}27.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.560$  So the question we have is whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}03{:}29{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31{.}228$  genetics can lead to personalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

00:03:31.228 --> 00:03:33.163 treatment of congenital heart disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}03{:}33{.}163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}35{.}140$  and the associated comorbidities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}03{:}35{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36{.}934$  and so a national consortium was

 $00{:}03{:}36{.}934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39{.}121$  formed in 2009 called the Pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

00:03:39.121 --> 00:03:40.516 Cardiac Genomics Consortium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}03{:}40{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43{.}160$  and Yale was one of the founders of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}03{:}43.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45.908$  that group when it was first developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

00:03:45.910 --> 00:03:48.058 Here are the current set of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:03:48.058 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.774$  principle investigators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:03:48.780 \dashrightarrow 00:03:52.284$  The main thing that this group has done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:03:52.290 \rightarrow 00:03:54.858$  And we wanted to discover all the genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}03{:}54.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57.170$  that cause congenital heart disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}03{:}57{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}58{.}670$  identify the mutations responsible

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:03:58.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.170$  for congenital heart disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}04{:}00{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04{.}330$  and then link those findings to the outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}04{:}04{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07{.}390$  What we've done so far as we for Crew did

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:04:07.465 \rightarrow 00:04:10.597$  14,000 patients and over 14,000 relatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}04{:}10.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12.560$  This is the largest congenital

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:04:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.736$  disease cohort anywhere.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123
- $00:04:13.740 \rightarrow 00:04:16.477$  The cardiac phenotyping was done by Echo.

 $00:04:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.656$  There's more superficial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

00:04:17.656 --> 00:04:18.440 extracardiac phenotyping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:04:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.400$  We developed a database called

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

00:04:20.400 --> 00:04:22.360 Heart Smart and Mind you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:04:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.496$  this database was developed in 2009 and 2010,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:04:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.810$  so we're now realizing it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:04:27.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.211$  grossly outdated and we have work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}04{:}30{.}211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32{.}545$  in progress to link the genomic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00{:}04{:}32.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.900$  An electronic medical record data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

 $00:04:34.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.909$  Or yell came into this is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755123

00:04:36.909 --> 00:04:37.770 the El Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00{:}04{:}37.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40.481$  for Genome Analysis has been the sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

00:04:40.481 --> 00:04:43.508 Center for the program since its inception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00:04:43.510 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.735$  A man they have sequenced

 $00{:}04{:}45.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47.515$  4075 program parent trios.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00{:}04{:}47{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49{.}745$  So that's about 13,000 exomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00{:}04{:}49{.}745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51{.}524$ 17125 Singleton probands. Answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00:04:51.524 \rightarrow 00:04:55.076$  Currently in the process of doing many more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00{:}04{:}55{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56{.}860$  there's also whole genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00:04:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.750$  sequencing available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00{:}04{:}57{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00{.}814$  And as I'll talk about later why SGA

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

00:05:00.814 --> 00:05:03.538 helped develop some targeted sequencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00{:}05{:}03{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06{.}030$  that allowed us to expand our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

00:05:06.030 --> 00:05:08.430 cohort size were also banking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00{:}05{:}08{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09{.}942$  cardiac surgical tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00:05:09.942 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.966$  So the main findings from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00:05:12.966 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.908$  1st 10 years of this effort is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00:05:15.910 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.689$  There are really 22 major sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00{:}05{:}18.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.378$  biological mechanisms that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00:05:20.378 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.528$  link to congenital heart disease.

 $00:05:22.530 \rightarrow 00:05:25.020$  Recessive mutations in the cilia genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00{:}05{:}25{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27{.}504$  These are patients that might also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00:05:27.504 \rightarrow 00:05:29.160$  have some respiratory compromise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00{:}05{:}29{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}30{.}884$  Dominant mutations in chromatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00{:}05{:}30.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32.608$  modifier genes that associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

00:05:32.608 --> 00:05:34.290 specific subtypes of congenital

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00:05:34.290 \rightarrow 00:05:36.200$  heart disease and most importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

00:05:36.200 - 00:05:37.870 these are mutations that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00{:}05{:}37{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40{.}022$  provide a biomarker that a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00:05:40.022 \rightarrow 00:05:42.962$  is at very high risk for developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00{:}05{:}42.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}44.359$  neurodevelopmental compromise and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

00:05:44.359 --> 00:05:46.809 might benefit from more aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051783

 $00{:}05{:}46.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}47.789$  neurodevelopmental follow-up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}05{:}50{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52{.}060$  The congenital heart disease genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:05:52.060 \rightarrow 00:05:53.560$  however, is really incredibly

 $00:05:53.560 \rightarrow 00:05:54.685$  complicated and characterized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

00:05:54.685 --> 00:05:56.570 by vast genetic heterogeneity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:05:56.570 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.030$  so this is the sequencing data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:05:59.030 \rightarrow 00:06:01.490$  Over the course of the project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}06{:}01{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05{.}220$  we started with 362 trios at a time when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}06{:}05{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08{.}870$  were told that that was a vast overreach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:06:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.970$  and we would never be able to pay for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:06:12.970 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.379$  I believe a single exon at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}06{:}16.379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}19.110$  time was somewhere around \$800.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:06:19.110 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.050$  That we have now progressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

00:06:21.050 - 00:06:23.366 obviously to a much larger number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:06:23.370 \dashrightarrow 00:06:26.430$  and you can see that the number of genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}06{:}26{.}430$  -->  $00{:}06{:}29{.}515$  with significant IKA more than one damaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}06{:}29{.}515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33{.}038$  de Novo mutation has expanded from 2 to 95.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}06{:}33{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36{.}136$  However, when you take all the data together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:06:36.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.800$  we predict that at least 400 genes

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:06:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.398$  contribute to congenital heart

00:06:40.398 --> 00:06:42.720 disease by a denovo mechanism alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:06:42.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.976$  and that's not counting all the genes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}06{:}45{.}976$  -->  $00{:}06{:}48{.}584$  biological pathways that may be implicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}06{:}48.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.734$  as recessive or contributing genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:06:50.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.253$  We think we need about 10,000 trios

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:06:53.253 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.658$  to identify 50% of the genes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}06{:}55{.}658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56{.}986$  cause congenital heart disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}06{:}56{.}986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58{.}640$  by de Novo mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}06{:}58{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01{.}168$  Probably 20 to 30,000 to begin to define

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:07:01.168 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.950$  the multigenic genetic mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}07{:}02{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05{.}694$  So we're really now lost in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:07:05.694 \rightarrow 00:07:08.039$  middle heavy digging part that IRA

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}07{:}08.039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10.217$  alluded to at the very beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}07{:}10.217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.949$  of this session of this symposium.

 $00:07:12.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.128$  And we probably need very large

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}07{:}15.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17.608$  numbers to understand the genetics of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:07:17.608 \dashrightarrow 00:07:19.998$  specific congenital heart disease sub NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

00:07:19.998 --> 00:07:21.847 phenotypes because really congenital

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

00:07:21.847 --> 00:07:24.599 heart disease as a whole is just a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:07:24.599 \dashrightarrow 00:07:27.590$  mishmash of anything that went wrong NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:07:27.590 \rightarrow 00:07:29.702$  with cardiac development prenatally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:07:29.710 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.310$  So what we really need to do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}07{:}32{.}310$  -->  $00{:}07{:}34{.}662$  increase the number of patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:07:34.662 \dashrightarrow 00:07:37.609$  we have sequenced and this goes into NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}07{:}37{.}609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40{.}183$  the whole issue of genomic health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}07{:}40.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42.170$  and recruitment and sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:07:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.670$  of really large patient cohorts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:07:45.670 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.238$  I took a first step together with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

00:07:48.238 --> 00:07:50.229 Yale Center for Genome Analysis because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:07:50.229 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.397$  as you can see on the table on the left,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00{:}07{:}53.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.326$  the cost of a whole exon.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:07:55.330 \rightarrow 00:07:57.269$  When we did this was 140 actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:07:57.269 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.946$  was at one point it was \$200 and so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00{:}07{:}59{.}946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02{.}354$  the limitation was not the number of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:08:02.354 \rightarrow 00:08:04.664$  patients who were willing to participate,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:08:04.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.356$  but just the number of dollars
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:08:06.356 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.529$  we had to do the sequencing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:08:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.496$  So Michael Serrant,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00{:}08{:}09{.}496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10{.}784$  who's a graduate student,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- 00:08:10.790 --> 00:08:11.506 said, OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00{:}08{:}11.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.654$  I'm going to develop a targeted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00{:}08{:}13.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14.980$  sequencing approach that allows
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:08:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.898$  us to get all the best guests.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- 00:08:16.900 --> 00:08:18.520 Congenital heart disease genes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:08:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.545$  In a lot of patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

- $00:08:20.550 \rightarrow 00:08:22.840$  for a very low cost,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00{:}08{:}22{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24{.}109$ \$37 a sample.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- 00:08:24.109 --> 00:08:27.070 He did this with molecular inversion probe
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:08:27.147 \dashrightarrow 00:08:30.165$  sequencing that's outlined on the right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00{:}08{:}30{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32{.}906$  This panel included 248 known and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00{:}08{:}32{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35{.}210$  putative congenital heart disease genes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:08:35.210 \dashrightarrow 00:08:38.506$  and he was able to do a statistically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:08:38.506 \rightarrow 00:08:40.524$  robust meta analysis combining
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00{:}08{:}40.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.854$  our whole exon data and the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:08:43.854 \rightarrow 00:08:46.233$  targeted sequencing data so that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:08:46.233 \rightarrow 00:08:48.998$  now he has genomic data on 11,000.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00{:}08{:}49{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51{.}120$  500 programs with congenital heart
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00{:}08{:}51{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53{.}700$  disease and this really lets us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:08:53.700 \rightarrow 00:08:55.650$  see what expanding cohort size
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:08:55.650 \dashrightarrow 00:08:57.955$  and expanding the amount of data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:08:57.955 \rightarrow 00:08:59.635$  we have available in forms,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902
- $00:08:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.980$  so we were able to increase

 $00:09:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.369$  from a small number of genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:09:04.370 \dashrightarrow 00:09:06.944$  The genes that are underlined here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:09:06.944 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.660$  that had significant statistical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00{:}09{:}08{.}729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10{.}805$  validation of a role in congenital

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542902

 $00:09:10.805 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.189$  heart disease to 61

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:09:12.255 \rightarrow 00:09:14.830$  jeans, and this is by increasing from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:09:14.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.970$  2700 programs to 11,000 programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:09:16.970 \rightarrow 00:09:20.130$  Now remember this is only across 248 genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:09:20.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.534$  so there's still.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:09:21.534 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.810$  A lot of room to learn more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}09{:}24.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}27.370$  The other thing is this is across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:09:27.370 \dashrightarrow 00:09:29.907$  broad range of congenital heart disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}09{:}29{.}910$  -->  $00{:}09{:}31{.}884$  However, having this very large cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

00:09:31.884 --> 00:09:34.651 now allows us to separate the genes to NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:09:34.651 \rightarrow 00:09:36.733$  those that provide risk for specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:09:36.795 \rightarrow 00:09:39.010$  subtypes of congenital heart disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

00:09:39.010 --> 00:09:39.684 For instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}09{:}39{.}684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42{.}380$  you can see on the right that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}09{:}42.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.612$  jeans flip for Jaguar include H

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}09{:}44.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}47.642$  and TBX really put you at risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}09{:}47.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}49.922$  developing a type of congenital heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:09:49.930 \rightarrow 00:09:51.750$  disease called tetralogy of fellow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}09{:}51.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}54.046$  And when you look even more carefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:09:54.046 \dashrightarrow 00:09:56.239$  you can now submit segregate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:09:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.585$  Those patients to identify the patients that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:09:58.590 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.320$  for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:09:59.320 \dashrightarrow 00:10:01.510$  are at high risk for neurodevelopmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:10:01.510 \dashrightarrow 00:10:03.277$  abnormalities and those that are not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}10{:}03.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}05.205$  You can see that these genes on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:10:05.205 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.734$  the right are the ones that have

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125
- $00:10:07.734 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.964$  very specific contributions.

 $00:10:08.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.790$  The ones on the left are genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:10:10.790 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.728$  that are more globally involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:10:12.728 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.924$  heart development and cause a broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:10:14.924 \dashrightarrow 00:10:17.007$  range of congenital heart disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

00:10:17.010 --> 00:10:18.250 And we're now hypothesising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:10:18.250 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.110$  but some of these changes may

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:10:20.168 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.948$  actually affect more than cardiac

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

00:10:21.948 --> 00:10:23.372 structure may also affect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

00:10:23.380 --> 00:10:24.226 for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:10:24.226 \rightarrow 00:10:26.341$  that progressive risk for myocardial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

00:10:26.341 --> 00:10:28.170 dys<br/>function over patients lifetime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}10{:}28{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30{.}492$  So what do these discoveries from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}10{:}30{.}492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33{.}568$  genomic analysis do to inform clinical care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}10{:}33{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}36{.}054$  And here's an example from the

00:10:36.054 --> 00:10:37.296 Yale Health System,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}10{:}37{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39{.}916$  a 2 month old ex pre term infant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:10:39.916 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.532$  was transferred for hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}10{:}41{.}532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43{.}747$  failure and liver transplant and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}10{:}43.747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46.131$  the liver transplant team obtained

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

00:10:46.131 - > 00:10:48.087 rapid whole exome sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

00:10:48.090 --> 00:10:50.580 Patient also had congenital heart disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}10{:}50{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51{.}825$  a trioventricular canal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}10{:}51{.}825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53{.}485$  repeating ductus arterio sis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}10{:}53{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55{.}968$  although that particular type of congenital

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}10{:}55{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}58{.}540$  heart disease should not have resulted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00:10:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.900$  In this degree of distress at this age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

 $00{:}11{:}00{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04{.}820$  here is an outline of the heart disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

00:11:04.820 --> 00:11:06.614 An extensive metabolic work up was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

00:11:06.614 --> 00:11:09.554 done to show A cause for the hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125

00:11:09.554 --> 00:11:11.654 failure yielded nothing exon sequencing,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125
- $00:11:11.660 \rightarrow 00:11:14.276$  which why SGA turned over in five days
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125
- $00:11:14.276 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.609$  revealed a loss of function mutation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125
- $00{:}11{:}16.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}19.220$  in a chromatin modifier called CHD 7.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125
- $00:11:19.220 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.080$  This mutation was diagnostic for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125
- $00:11:21.080 \rightarrow 00:11:22.568$  something called Charge syndrome
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125
- $00:11:22.568 \rightarrow 00:11:24.620$  which nobody thought the patient had.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125
- $00:11:24.620 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.951$  This is the list of the particular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125
- 00:11:26.951 --> 00:11:28.580 features of Charge syndrome.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125
- 00:11:28.580 --> 00:11:30.020 A coloboma choanal atresia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125
- $00:11:30.020 \rightarrow 00:11:31.820$  These are usually quite obvious.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125
- 00:11:31.820 --> 00:11:33.980 Patient didn't have any of those.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125
- $00{:}11{:}33{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}36{.}980$  The only things he had was heart defect.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125
- 00:11:36.980 --> 00:11:39.104 He had somewhat unusual looking external
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8642125
- 00:11:39.104 --> 00:11:41.788 ears and a C HD7 gene mutation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494
- $00{:}11{:}44.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}46.618$  And then we did a literature search on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}11{:}46{.}618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48{.}908$  liver function in charge syndrome and found

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

00:11:48.908 --> 00:11:51.359 not a single report of liver abnormalities

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}11{:}51{.}359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}53{.}807$  or liver failure in that setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}11{:}53{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56{.}178$  So the thought began to percolate to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

00:11:56.178 --> 00:11:58.710 top that this patient actually was much

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}11{:}58{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01{.}016$  more affected by the congenital heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:12:01.016 \rightarrow 00:12:03.606$  disease than primary liver disease went to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:12:03.610 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.140$  The Cath lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}12{:}05{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08{.}200$  had the patent ductus arteriosus closed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:12:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.950$  And within about five days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

00:12:09.950 --> 00:12:11.246 hepatic function started returning

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:12:11.246 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.190$  to normal in the patient was

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:12:13.244 \rightarrow 00:12:15.199$  discharged without a liver transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}12{:}15{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17{.}456$  So this kind of highlights how

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}12{:}17.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19.655$  knowing the genetics lets you predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:12:19.655 \rightarrow 00:12:21.846$  what a patient is at risk for,

 $00{:}12{:}21.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.674$  but also lets you predict may be what a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}12{:}24.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}27.619$  patient is not at risk for and provides

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:12:27.619 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.022$  a more objective lens through which

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}12{:}30{.}022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}33{.}109$  clinicians can view how patient is doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}12{:}33{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}35{.}273$  Was this just an isolated patient or

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}12{:}35{.}273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}37{.}726$  a more global finding an answer from

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}12{:}37.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}39.916$  the large sequencing effort from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:12:39.979 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.652$  PC GC where we did look at those 11,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}12{:}42.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.475$  patients is that this is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

00:12:44.475 --> 00:12:46.560 much more common than we thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:12:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.856$  so this list is all the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}12{:}48.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.840$  that we found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:12:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.634$  A molecular charge diagnosis and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}12{:}51{.}634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54{.}100$  ones up top had a clinical diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:12:54.100 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.396$  The ones on the bottom do not.

 $00:12:56.400 \rightarrow 00:12:58.782$  There is nothing about their mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:12:58.782 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.187$  that predicts they will fall into

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}13{:}01{.}187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}03{.}047$  the clinical charge diagnosis or

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:13:03.047 \dashrightarrow 00:13:05.319$  the no clinical charge diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:13:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.057$  If you look at their phenotypic spectrum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:13:08.060 \rightarrow 00:13:10.400$  the ones with the molecular diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

00:13:10.400 --> 00:13:12.355 Obviously since the entrance mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:13:12.355 \rightarrow 00:13:14.310$  here was congenital heart disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

00:13:14.310 --> 00:13:17.047 100% of them had congenital heart disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}13{:}17.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}20.058$  but a much smaller number had the other NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

00:13:20.058 --> 00:13:22.130 expected findings of charge syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}13{:}22{.}130$  -->  $00{:}13{:}25{.}040$  and So what I think we're at now is that NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:13:25.117 \rightarrow 00:13:27.433$  a molecular diagnosis is a prediction

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}13{:}27{.}433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30{.}011$  of risks and is somewhat different

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}13{:}30{.}011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32{.}411$  from the old clinical diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:13:32.411 \rightarrow 00:13:35.285$  that were based on very specific,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494
- 00:13:35.285 --> 00:13:37.185 well defined clinical findings.

00:13:37.190 --> 00:13:38.270 These molecular diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

00:13:38.270 --> 00:13:39.710 especially if they're returned

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

00:13:39.710 --> 00:13:40.430 rapidly enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:13:40.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.038$  can really significantly inform

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:13:42.038 \rightarrow 00:13:44.855$  patient care and give us some guidance

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}13{:}44.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.543$  as to what are the risks that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:13:47.543 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.069$  patient is going to face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}13{:}49{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51{.}583$  What are some of the things we

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:13:51.583 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.621$  should look for or not look for

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}13{:}54{.}621 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56{.}497$  and significantly enhance patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

00:13:56.497 --> 00:13:58.140 care going forward?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:13:58.140 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.100$  So where do we go from here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}14{:}00{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02{.}025$  The diagram on the left is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:14:02.025 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.180$  we know so far,

 $00:14:03.180 \rightarrow 00:14:05.316$  and what we've really learned over

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

00:14:05.316 --> 00:14:07.720 the last 11 years of participating

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:14:07.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.076$  in this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

00:14:09.080 --> 00:14:11.105 56% of congenital heart disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:14:11.105 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.130$  still has an unknown cause,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:14:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.410$  and so we really need to go after

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:14:16.410 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.139$  this more challenging aspect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}14{:}19{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}21{.}618$  These could be due to rare common

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:14:21.618 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.326$  variant interactions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:14:22.330 \rightarrow 00:14:24.100$  recessive contribution across the genome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}14{:}24{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25{.}870$  Multigenic contribution and contribution of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}14{:}25.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.372$  Then we are also interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}14{:}28{.}372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}30{.}482$  looking at the contribution of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:14:30.482 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.337$  the genetic data to outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00{:}14{:}32{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33{.}544$  But to do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494

 $00:14:33.544 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.350$  you really need to expand the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494
- $00:14:35.418 \rightarrow 00:14:37.824$  genomic data and why SGA has
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494
- $00{:}14{:}37{.}824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39{.}428$  recently very generously agreed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494
- $00:14:39.499 \rightarrow 00:14:41.639$  to lower their sequencing rates.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494
- $00{:}14{:}41.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.150$  So now we can really get whole exomes on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494
- $00{:}14{:}45{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47{.}957$  everybody and don't need to focus on it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494
- $00{:}14{:}47{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49{.}480$  Targeted 100 and 248 genes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82228494
- $00:14:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.000$  we're going to be doing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752
- $00:14:51.064 \rightarrow 00:14:52.444$  7000 additional probands
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752
- $00:14:52.444 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.284$  with whole exome sequencing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752
- $00:14:54.290 \rightarrow 00:14:57.071$  so this is really going to be the largest
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752
- $00:14:57.071 \rightarrow 00:14:59.119$  sequence congenital disease cohorts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752
- $00{:}14{:}59{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00{.}716$  These patients are also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752
- $00:15:00.716 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.110$  going to get sniper a data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752
- $00{:}15{:}03{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05{.}100$  And we're hoping that as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752
- $00{:}15{:}05{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06{.}692$  sequencing modalities get more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00:15:06.692 \rightarrow 00:15:08.630$  efficient and more cost effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00{:}15{:}08.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.994$  and we're able to recruit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00:15:10.994 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.570$  patients across the country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00{:}15{:}12.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14.789$  we're going to be able to expand

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00{:}15{:}14.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.484$  this cohort to a large enough size

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

00:15:17.484 --> 00:15:19.574 to really start drawing genotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00{:}15{:}19{.}574$  -->  $00{:}15{:}21{.}363$  phenotype correlations and defining

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00{:}15{:}21.363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}24.387$  some of the more complex genetics that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00{:}15{:}24.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}26.730$  probably underlie the large part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00{:}15{:}26.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28.720$  the congenital heart disease cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

00:15:28.720 --> 00:15:29.472 In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00{:}15{:}29{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30{.}976$  the pediatric Cardiac Genomics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00{:}15{:}30{.}976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33{.}140$  Consortium is involved in developing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00{:}15{:}33{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36{.}188$  Links between the genomic data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00:15:36.188 \rightarrow 00:15:38.220$  the electronic medical records,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00:15:38.220 \rightarrow 00:15:41.490$  and this is being done collaboratively

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752
- $00:15:41.490 \rightarrow 00:15:45.708$  with Mike Murray and a group at

00:15:45.708 --> 00:15:47.649 Cincinnati Children's Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00:15:47.650 \rightarrow 00:15:50.359$  So I want to thank the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00:15:50.359 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.449$  that did all the work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00:15:52.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.835$  in particular the patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00{:}15{:}54.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56.743$  families who generously contributed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00:15:56.743 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.906$  their information and their genomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00{:}15{:}58{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01{.}330$  sample and data to this project

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00:16:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.535$  and a special hands up for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

00:16:03.535 --> 00:16:05.250 Yale Center for Genome Analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

00:16:05.250 --> 00:16:06.450 CIAM and Shrikant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00{:}16{:}06{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08{.}682$  who have been incredibly involved with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00{:}16{:}08{.}682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10{.}850$  this project since its inception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

00:16:10.850 --> 00:16:12.850 It was initially driven by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

00:16:12.850 --> 00:16:14.880 Rick Lifton's idea that, hey,

 $00{:}16{:}14.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18.320$  this has to be a de Novo mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00:16:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.068$  Ann has evolved significantly since then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

00:16:21.070 --> 00:16:23.395 I'm happy to take questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8218752

 $00:16:23.395 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.221$  by email or by chat box.