WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:10:08.1920000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:00.000 \rightarrow 00:00:02.340$  Doctor Crystal couldn't be here today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}00{:}02{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}04{.}590$  so he's asked me to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:04.590 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.090$  our guest speaker today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

00:00:06.090 --> 00:00:07.975 Doctor Michael Higley Doctor Higley

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

00:00:07.975 --> 00:00:09.860 is currently an associate professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:09.914 \rightarrow 00:00:11.719$  in the Department of Neuroscience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}00{:}11.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.296$  He got his MD and his PhD at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

00:00:14.296 --> 00:00:16.220 the University of Pennsylvania,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}00{:}16.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.604$  and then he went on to do his

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:18.604 \rightarrow 00:00:20.336$  postdoctoral work at Harvard with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

00:00:20.336 --> 00:00:22.466 Bernardo Sabatini where he worked on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}00{:}22.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.722$  the basal ganglia and particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}00{:}24.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.464$  synaptic mechanisms in the basal ganglia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

00:00:27.470 -> 00:00:31.016 He's gone on in his work here at Yale.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251
- $00:00:31.020 \rightarrow 00:00:33.652$  To continue his efforts to do very

00:00:33.652 --> 00:00:35.585 basic neuroscience at the synaptic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}00{:}35{.}585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37{.}799$  and cellular level and to extend

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:37.799 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.993$  that work to understanding circuits

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}00{:}39{.}993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42{.}765$  that are relevant for brain disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}00{:}42.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.795$  whether it be psychiatric illness

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:44.795 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.010$  or neurological illness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

00:00:46.010 --> 00:00:48.778 And he's going to talk to us today

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:48.778 \rightarrow 00:00:51.678$  about his work on multiscale imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:51.680 \rightarrow 00:00:54.508$  and in this case it's not fMRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:00:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.510$  its cellular and circuit level

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}00{:}56{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59{.}724$  image Ng and he's going to lead us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

00:00:59.724 --> 00:01:01.992 through what some of the current

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}01{:}01{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}03{.}929$  cutting edge techniques are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:01:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.555$  In preclinical science to reveal

00:01:06.555 --> 00:01:08.655 links between neuronal networks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00:01:08.660 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.010$  behavior and disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170251

 $00{:}01{:}10{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11{.}810$  Mike, go for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:01:13.690 -> 00:01:15.484 Marina, thank you and thanks to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:15.484 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.589$  all of you for coming today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:17.590 \dashrightarrow 00:01:19.522$  It's it's an incredible privilege and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:19.522 \rightarrow 00:01:21.494$  a pleasure to be here, especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:21.494 \rightarrow 00:01:23.438$  giving talks to two local communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:01:23.440 --> 00:01:26.360 One of the things that I would I would more

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}01{:}26{.}433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29{.}289$  than than we loome is both during the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}01{:}29{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}30{.}925$  If people have questions just

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:01:30.925 --> 00:01:33.190 just jump right in and ask them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}01{:}33{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35{.}110$  And certainly afterwards if any body wants

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:35.110 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.419$  to follow up with anything you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}01{:}37{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}39{.}348$  an enormous part of these kinds of talks

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:39.348 \rightarrow 00:01:41.263$  is to potentially ferment collaborations

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675
- $00:01:41.263 \dashrightarrow 00:01:43.558$  and an future interactions between.

 $00:01:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.820$  All of us here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:44.820 \rightarrow 00:01:47.200$  at both the preclinical and clinical levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:47.200 \rightarrow 00:01:49.848$  So happy to keep talking afterwards as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}01{:}49.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.500$  So today as Marina said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:01:51.500 --> 00:01:53.966 what I'm going to try to give you a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}01{:}53.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}56.511$  sense of is some of the methodological

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:01:56.511 \rightarrow 00:01:58.790$  approaches that my lab and others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:01:58.790 --> 00:02:01.016 both here at Yale and another locations

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:01.016 \rightarrow 00:02:03.419$  have been using to study brain function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.406$  its relationship in Part 2 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}02{:}05{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06{.}399$  North psychiatric disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}02{:}06{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}09{.}388$  So I'm not going to give you like an

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:09.388 \dashrightarrow 00:02:11.700$  incredible deep dive in any one story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}02{:}11.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13.818$  and in fact I'm going to.

 $00:02:13.820 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.654$  Tell you a few stories or parts

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}02{:}15.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.030$  of a few stories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:17.030 \rightarrow 00:02:18.776$  especially in service to that introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:18.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.537$  Most of what I'm going to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:02:20.537 --> 00:02:22.000 you is actually unpublished,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}02{:}22{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}24.838$  and in fact a little bit of it at the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:24.838 \rightarrow 00:02:27.246$  is going to be even quite preliminary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:02:27.250 --> 00:02:28.124 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}02{:}28.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.309$  hopefully it'll be an interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:30.309 \rightarrow 00:02:32.306$  and exciting opportunity to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:32.306 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.160$  of learn what we've been doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

00:02:34.160 --> 00:02:34.437 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}02{:}34{.}437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}36{.}653$  so this is a bit of an outline

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:36.653 \rightarrow 00:02:38.599$  about what I'd like to cover,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:38.600 \rightarrow 00:02:40.913$  so first I'm going to tell you a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:40.913 \rightarrow 00:02:43.629$  bit about what behavioral state means to us.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675
- $00:02:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.618$  Then I'm going to go in for

 $00:02:45.618 \rightarrow 00:02:47.478$  awhile about some of the methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:47.480 \rightarrow 00:02:49.388$  especially at the imaging the fluorescence

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:49.388 \rightarrow 00:02:51.029$  based imaging methods that we use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:51.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.510$  and finally towards the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:52.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.914$  I'll tell you what we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00:02:53.914 \rightarrow 00:02:56.080$  working on in in the vein of neuro

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}02{:}56{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57{.}260$  psychiatric disorders or models

NOTE Confidence: 0.85592675

 $00{:}02{:}57{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59{.}019$  of neuro psychiatric disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:03:01.450 --> 00:03:03.618 OK, so first you know this is sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}03{:}03{.}618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05{.}777$  of like a really basic question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}03{:}05{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}07{.}724$  I mean, what is a behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:03:07.724 \rightarrow 00:03:10.100$  state or what do we mean by it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}03{:}10{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}12{.}020$  And at the end I'm not going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:03:12.020 --> 00:03:14.000 give you any great conceptual answer

00:03:14.000 - 00:03:16.160 to that question because I'm not NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686  $00:03:16.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.140$  totally sure there is one, NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686  $00{:}03{:}18{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20{.}570$  at least in the field and what I will NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686  $00:03:20.570 \rightarrow 00:03:23.320$  stick to is largely a set of operational NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686  $00:03:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.239$  definitions and I'll go into that. NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686 00:03:25.240 --> 00:03:27.720 But in a sort of vague ish way. NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686  $00:03:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.904$  What we in the field, both both NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686  $00:03:29.904 \rightarrow 00:03:31.514$  preclinically and clinically often mean. NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686 00:03:31.520 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:03:33.315 By behavioral state could be NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686 00:03:33.315 --> 00:03:35.110 levels of arousal or alertness. NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686 00:03:35.110 --> 00:03:35.758 You know. NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686 00:03:35.758 --> 00:03:36.082 Obviously, NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686  $00:03:36.082 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.350$  this sort of the mice and this NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686 00:03:38.418 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:03:40.777 this image here you know sleep wake NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686  $00:03:40.777 \rightarrow 00:03:43.091$  transitions are a very obvious example NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686  $00:03:43.091 \rightarrow 00:03:45.156$  of changes in behavioral state,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00:03:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.200$  but even just relative attention to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00{:}03{:}47{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49{.}413$  what's going on in your environment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00:03:49.413 \rightarrow 00:03:51.759$  versus sort of just passively hanging
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00:03:51.759 \rightarrow 00:03:54.497$  out and being in a very relaxed state.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00{:}03{:}54{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55{.}523$  So attention concentration,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00{:}03{:}55{.}523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57{.}228$  something that comes up a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00:03:57.228 \rightarrow 00:03:59.158$  lot in functional MRI field,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00{:}03{:}59{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01{.}040$  sort of resting state versus
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00{:}04{:}01{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03{.}200$  maybe a task engaged state so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00:04:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.296$  So these are just kind of words that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00{:}04{:}06.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}08.670$  often used to describe behavioral state
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00{:}04{:}08.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12.460$  at a neural or at a nervous system level.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00:04:12.460 \rightarrow 00:04:15.548$  Other words or phrases that often come up,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- 00:04:15.550 --> 00:04:16.322 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00:04:16.322 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.480$  synchrony or correlational
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.024$  structure of brain activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:19.030 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.595$  Default Mode network is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}04{:}20.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23.215$  that we hear a lot about specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:04:23.215 --> 00:04:25.191 modulatory systems like acetylcholine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}04{:}25{.}191 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}27{.}907$  or no repinephrine are thought to play

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}04{:}27{.}907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29{.}592$  important roles in behavioral state

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:04:29.592 --> 00:04:32.540 and and also sort of notions of top,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:32.540 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.530$  down or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}04{:}33.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36.995$  Higher order regulation of brain function or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:04:37.000 --> 00:04:37.510 say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}04{:}37{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39{.}550$  perceptual ability versus bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:39.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.813$  up or or sometimes a sending

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:42.813 \rightarrow 00:04:45.277$  or sensory driven activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:04:45.280 --> 00:04:46.489 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}04{:}46{.}489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49{.}310$  all of these things are are profoundly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:49.392 \rightarrow 00:04:52.584$  linked to disruption in a number of nurse,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- 00:04:52.590 --> 00:04:53.400 psychiatric disorders.

00:04:53.400 --> 00:04:54.210 Depression, anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:54.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.832$  attention deficit, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:55.832 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.238$  schizophrenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:04:56.238 --> 00:04:58.268 autism so clear mechanistic links

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:04:58.268 \rightarrow 00:05:00.298$  between these categories is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:00.300 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.622$  still a big topic of investigation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}05{:}02.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.026$  in a number of labs and just sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:06.026 \rightarrow 00:05:09.229$  broadly in the field of neuroscience and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:05:09.230 --> 00:05:10.678 And as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:05:10.678 --> 00:05:12.850 I'm not going to provide any

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}05{:}12{.}934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}15{.}459$  any strict links between them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:15.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.132$  Only to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}05{:}16{.}132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16{.}580$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}05{:}16.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18.628$  sort of provide a sense of how we

 $00:05:18.628 \dashrightarrow 00:05:20.461$  think about some of these things and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:20.461 \dashrightarrow 00:05:23.029$  and where we are as a field at the moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:23.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.424$  OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}05{:}23{.}424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25{.}788$  So how do we measure behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:25.788 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.970$  state in rodents?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}05{:}26{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29{.}154$  So my lab works entirely in rodents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.009$  really in mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}05{:}30{.}009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32{.}665$  and this is an example of a head fixed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}05{:}32.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35.089$  mouse of the sort that we might use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:35.156 \rightarrow 00:05:37.328$  in any number of our experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}05{:}37{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40{.}147$  and in fact many that I'll tell you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

00:05:40.150 --> 00:05:42.348 And if you just watch this video,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:42.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.920$  you'll see that the mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00:05:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.490$  is doing a few things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}05{:}45{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}47{.}380$  and I'll point out a couple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686

 $00{:}05{:}47{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49{.}760$  So one perhaps most obviously the mouse

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00{:}05{:}49{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52{.}289$  is running and I think he'll stop for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00{:}05{:}52{.}289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54{.}369$  a second and then start up again.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00{:}05{:}54{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55{.}978$  But tracking that locomotion
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00{:}05{:}55{.}978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}390$  at head fixed but locomoting on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00{:}05{:}58{.}459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00{.}427$  this freely moving wheel is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8577686
- $00{:}06{:}00{.}427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01{.}739$  very easy marker of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00:06:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.950$  something we can.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- 00:06:02.950 --> 00:06:05.188 We can sort of distinguish right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- 00:06:05.190 --> 00:06:06.678 Not running, running or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00:06:06.678 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.794$  questions and locomotion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- 00:06:07.800 --> 00:06:09.678 You can also notice his whiskers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00{:}06{:}09{.}678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11.899$  and some of his facial musculature
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- 00:06:11.899 --> 00:06:14.139 is sort of constantly moving.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00{:}06{:}14.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}16.378$  It stops sometimes, then moves again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00:06:16.380 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.240$  so these fine motor movements,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

- $00:06:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.359$  especially in rodents.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- 00:06:19.359 --> 00:06:21.597 Whisking is a very obvious one,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00:06:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.498$  is another thing we can use to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00:06:24.498 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.740$  categorise behavioral states.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00:06:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.502$  And finally,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00{:}06{:}26{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30{.}638$  if you if you sort of zoom in on his eye,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00{:}06{:}30{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32{.}902$  here is a little bit subtle
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00:06:32.902 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.410$  in this particular movie.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00{:}06{:}34.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36.666$  There's not a huge dynamic range,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00:06:36.670 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.424$  but again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00:06:37.424 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.932$  his pupil diameter also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- 00:06:38.932 --> 00:06:40.440 fluctuates throughout the movie,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00:06:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.090$  and that is another variable
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00:06:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.143$  that we can use to sort of divide
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00:06:45.143 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.781$  the animals behavioral, state.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- 00:06:46.781 --> 00:06:47.684 And so again,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00:06:47.684 \rightarrow 00:06:50.045$  I'll be explicit that these are really

 $00{:}06{:}50{.}045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}505$  operational definitions of behavioral state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}06{:}52{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55{.}149$  and there are a number of ideas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.772$  some better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}06{:}55{.}772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57{.}638$  Tested and others about neural mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:57.638 \rightarrow 00:06:59.190$  underlying these different variables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:06:59.190 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.822$  but for the moment will really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}07{:}00.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02.987$  just stick to them as a sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}07{:}02.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.343$  of operational definitions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}07{:}04.343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.718$  behavioral States and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:05.718 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.524$  a long list of different labs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.156$  including ours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:07:08.156 --> 00:07:10.034 Also just Gardens Lab here at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}07{:}10.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.857$  Yale that have worked on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}07{:}11.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}13.666$  and we know that these behavioral

00:07:13.666 - 00:07:15.554 states have a pretty big impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}07{:}15{.}554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17{.}109$  on behavior even in rodents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:17.110 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.077$  and so this is just an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:07:19.077 --> 00:07:20.993 of some data from our lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:20.993 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.668$  that we published last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:22.670 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.220$  The details aren't terribly important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:24.220 \dashrightarrow 00:07:26.780$  but on the X axis here I'm plotting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:26.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.500$  The intensity of a visual

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}07{:}28.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}30.645$  stimulus that the animal is being

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}07{:}30{.}645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32{.}049$  presented with visual contrast

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}07{:}32.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34.849$  and on the Y axis is the animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}07{:}34.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36.465$  Correct performance on this visually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}07{:}36{.}465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38{.}506$  guided task and what you'll see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:07:38.506 --> 00:07:40.371 is a very characteristic sigmoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}07{:}40{.}371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}41{.}863$  relationship between those variables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:07:41.870 -> 00:07:44.327 So as the visual contrast goes up,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00:07:44.330 \rightarrow 00:07:46.090$  the animals performance gets better.

 $00:07:46.090 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.166$  And if we divide the animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:48.166 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.950$  behavior into times when it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:07:49.950 --> 00:07:51.705 say running versus not running

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:51.705 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.460$  or in the bottom plot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}07{:}53.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}56.180$  you know when the pupil is large in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:56.180 \dashrightarrow 00:07:58.129$  diameter versus small in diameter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:07:58.130 \rightarrow 00:08:00.846$  You'll see that those higher arousal states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:08:00.850 --> 00:08:02.800 either from locomotion or pupil,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:02.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.966$  correspond with a left shift in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}08{:}04{.}966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07{.}327$  that perceptual curve and also an

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}08{:}07{.}327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09{.}019$  increase in overall performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}08{:}09{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09{.}798$  So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}08{:}09{.}798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11{.}743$  the mechanisms of this are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:11.743 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.910$  not fully understood,

 $00:08:12.910 \rightarrow 00:08:15.829$  but simply to point out that changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:15.829 \rightarrow 00:08:17.630$  in behavioral state directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:17.630 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.486$  correspond to changes in at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:08:20.486 --> 00:08:22.590 perceptual ability in rodents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}08{:}22{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23{.}582$  And so we get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}08{:}23.582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}25.466$  Please jump in with any with any

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:25.466 \rightarrow 00:08:27.146$  questions if anything comes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:08:27.150 --> 00:08:27.458 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}08{:}27{.}458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29{.}306$  so how do we measure neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:29.306 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.560$  activity in our lab?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}08{:}30{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33{.}116$  So this is really going to be the meat

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

00:08:33.116 --> 00:08:35.824 of the meat of my lab does primarily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}08{:}35{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37{.}654$  but also of the talk and so this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:37.654 \rightarrow 00:08:39.430$  is all going to be fluorescence

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}08{:}39{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41{.}761$  imaging and we're going to in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:41.761 \rightarrow 00:08:43.257$  studies use genetically encoded

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542
- $00:08:43.257 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.428$  indicators and I'll talk a bit

 $00:08:45.428 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.514$  more about how we get those into

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:47.514 \rightarrow 00:08:49.776$  the into the brain or into cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.020$  but they can either,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.640$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00{:}08{:}51{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53{.}320$  be transgenic mice where the mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:53.320 \rightarrow 00:08:55.360$  express the number of these indicators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7853542

 $00:08:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.910$  sort of in their genome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:08:56.910 --> 00:08:59.128 or else we can use, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}08{:}59{.}128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00{.}798$  viral vectors to drive expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.264$  I'll come to that just a minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}09{:}03.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04.682$  but probably the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:04.682 \rightarrow 00:09:06.447$  common indicator in our lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}09{:}06{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08{.}215$  and honestly in the preclinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:09:08.215 --> 00:09:09.980 field of neuroscience in general,

 $00:09:09.980 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.372$  are indicators that report

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}09{:}11.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}12.764$  calcium free concentrations of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:12.764 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.209$  cytosolic calcium within neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:14.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.611$  And G camp is probably the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:16.611 \dashrightarrow 00:09:18.450$  ubiquitous and most well known.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:18.450 \dashrightarrow 00:09:20.778$  This is a green flora for it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:20.778 \rightarrow 00:09:23.079$  molecularly a molecule of GFP green

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:09:23.079 --> 00:09:25.154 fluorescent protein fused account module,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:25.160 \dashrightarrow 00:09:27.666$  in which calcium binding protein and you NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:27.666 \rightarrow 00:09:30.810$  can sort of see the structure of it here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:30.810 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.048$  and so you've got this this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:09:33.050 --> 00:09:34.538 GFP molecule circularly permuted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}09{:}34.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37.140$  variant of GFP bound to calmodulin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.994$  so when calcium binds this protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}09{:}39{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40{.}400$  changes its confirmation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:40.400 \rightarrow 00:09:41.800$  changes the fluorescent properties.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592
- $00:09:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.954$  The molecule there are also red

 $00:09:43.954 \rightarrow 00:09:45.650$  shifted variants of these Jr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:45.650 \rightarrow 00:09:48.002$  Camp and Jay are gecko or two variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:48.002 \rightarrow 00:09:50.547$  just that use two different fluorophores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.300$  an Ruby and an Apple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:09:52.300 --> 00:09:54.050 These are red shifted floors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:54.050 \dashrightarrow 00:09:56.150$  but again bound it to calmodulin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:56.150 \dashrightarrow 00:09:58.280$  Most of these have been developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:09:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.110$  by the groups that that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:10:00.110 --> 00:10:03.026 Nelia research campus outside of Washington,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:10:03.030 --> 00:10:03.395 DC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}10{:}03.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}05.220$  The other molecule that's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.878$  to be important for the talk today

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}10{:}07{.}878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09{.}748$  is a sensor for acetylcholine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.710$  This something actually,

 $00:10:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.698$  the Marine's lab, is used as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:12.698 \rightarrow 00:10:15.431$  This is a tool that was developed by you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:15.431 \rightarrow 00:10:18.042$  Longleys lab at the University of Peking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}10{:}18.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19.958$  They called it a Ch 3.0.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}10{:}19{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22{.}186$  This is their recent variant of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:10:22.190 --> 00:10:24.750 It's it's maybe not the most distinct name,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:24.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.664$  but that's what we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:10:26.664 --> 00:10:28.876 call it for today, and this is GFP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}10{:}28.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.859$  bound to a variant of a cholinergic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:30.859 \rightarrow 00:10:32.398$  muscarinic M2 receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}10{:}32{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34{.}000$  But the same idea applies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:10:34.000 --> 00:10:35.660 Basically it's a it's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:10:35.660 --> 00:10:37.310 Floor for bounded molecule and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}10{:}37{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}38{.}960$  so when a cetylcholine binds it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}10{:}39{.}017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41{.}212$  undergoes a conformational shift that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:41.212 \rightarrow 00:10:42.968$  changes its fluorescent properties.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592
- $00:10:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.590$  So why is this useful?

00:10:44.590 --> 00:10:47.030 So here I'm showing you kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:10:47.030 --> 00:10:48.782 cartoon example for calcium, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}10{:}48.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.714$  So here we've got a neuron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}10{:}50.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52.658$  This blue ball expressing G camp.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}10{:}52.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.345$  This is fluorescent Reporter and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:54.345 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.691$  when we shine blue light in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:56.691 \rightarrow 00:10:58.467$  case 480 animator light on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:10:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.439$  normally nothing happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:10:59.439 --> 00:11:01.377 You don't get any green fluorescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:01.380 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.676$  it just sits there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:11:02.676 --> 00:11:04.610 However, when the cell is active,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}11{:}04.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06.230$  when it fires action potentials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}11{:}06{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}08{.}156$  for example, that depolarizes the membrane,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}11{:}08{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}10{.}212$  it opens voltage gated calcium channels

 $00:11:10.212 \rightarrow 00:11:12.688$  which allow calcium to rush into the cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:12.690 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.046$  The calcium then binds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:14.046 \rightarrow 00:11:17.468$  Thejy camp and now in its calcium bound form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:17.470 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.074$  When we shine blue light on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}11{:}20.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22.684$  this molecule will now emit green light,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}11{:}22.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24.951$  and so we can collect these green

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:24.951 \rightarrow 00:11:27.170$  photons in various imaging modalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.654$  But essentially this increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00{:}11{:}28.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30.880$  in green light means that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:11:30.948 --> 00:11:32.653 was an increase in intracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:11:32.653 --> 00:11:35.234 calcium which we use as a proxy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

00:11:35.234 --> 00:11:36.858 for increased neuronal activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:36.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.044$  and so that will be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:39.044 \rightarrow 00:11:41.339$  important for most of this talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:41.340 \dashrightarrow 00:11:43.578$  Similarly, this cholinergic Reporter AC H.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592

 $00:11:43.580 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.950 \ 3.0.$ 

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592
- $00:11:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.430$  So in this case,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592
- $00:11:45.430 \rightarrow 00:11:47.386$  this is a membrane bound protein,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592
- $00:11:47.390 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.100$  and so when acetylcholine is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8324592
- $00{:}11{:}49{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50{.}810$  released from wherever it happens
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997
- 00:11:50.866 00:11:53.246 to be released from, if it binds this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997
- $00:11:53.246 \rightarrow 00:11:55.570$  protein in the membrane of the cell.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997
- $00{:}11{:}55{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58{.}098$  The same idea. Now suddenly you can get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997
- $00:11:58.098 \rightarrow 00:11:59.820$  green fluorescence from this molecule,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997
- $00{:}11{:}59{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01{.}633$  and so in this case the green
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997
- $00:12:01.633 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.947$  fluorescence reports the extracellular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997
- 00:12:02.947 --> 00:12:04.390 presence of acetylcholine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997
- $00:12:04.390 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.750$  which is bound to these membrane receptors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997
- $00:12:07.750 \dashrightarrow 00:12:11.470$  Alright. So the modality that I'm
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997
- 00:12:11.470 --> 00:12:14.632 going to talk about most today for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997
- $00:12:14.632 \rightarrow 00:12:17.488$  imaging is a one photon widefield.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:17.490 \rightarrow 00:12:20.815$  We usually call it mesoscopic imaging and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}12{:}20{.}815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24{.}973$  what this is is a method for imaging the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}12{:}24{.}973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28{.}090$  entire at least dorsal surface of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:28.090 \rightarrow 00:12:31.501$  mouse cortex at one time has some advantages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:31.501 \rightarrow 00:12:35.109$  We can do this through the intact skull,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}12{:}35{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}37{.}578$  so the surgical invasiveness

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:37.578 \rightarrow 00:12:39.429$  is relatively low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}12{:}39{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41{.}524$  The the fluorescent reporters that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}12{:}41{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44{.}518$  use are quite right and so you can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:44.518 \rightarrow 00:12:46.729$  really nice signal even through the skull.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}12{:}46{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48{.}728$  You can see the entire cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}12{:}48.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}51.047$  As I said, sort of one time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:51.050 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.710$  So if you're interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:52.710 \rightarrow 00:12:54.038$  interactions of different regions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.036$  that's fairly straightforward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:12:55.036 \rightarrow 00:12:57.360$  The perhaps disadvantage is that you cannot

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997
- $00:12:57.405 \rightarrow 00:12:59.020$  resolve individual neurons this way.

 $00{:}12{:}59{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00{.}680$  These are from aerial signals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:00.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.340$  probably smeared out over at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:13:02.340 --> 00:13:04.000 least 100 microns or more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}13{:}04.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06.289$  So in some sense it's a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:06.289 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.980$  bit akin to do fMRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:07.980 \rightarrow 00:13:10.086$  or maybe even like a continuous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:13:10.090 --> 00:13:11.482 Local field potential or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:13:11.482 --> 00:13:13.222 or EG kind of signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:13.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.980$  but still with fairly high

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}13{:}15{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18{.}180$  spatial and temporal resolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}13{:}18{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}20{.}028$  So this is just one quick example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:13:20.030 --> 00:13:21.608 I want to get everybody oriented

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}13{:}21.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22.397$  a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.464$  So this is kind of a cartoon of

 $00:13:24.464 \rightarrow 00:13:26.099$  a microscope that we would use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:13:26.100 --> 00:13:27.714 So we've got big objective that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:27.714 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.269$  goes over the mouse is head.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:13:29.270 --> 00:13:31.910 All of these mice are going to be head fixed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}13{:}31{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33{.}625$  but a waken free to run and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}13{:}33.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35.080$  for th is in that movie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}13{:}35{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36{.}979$  I showed you a moment ago and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:36.979 \rightarrow 00:13:39.099$  a view sort of an unprocessed image of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}13{:}39{.}099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41{.}409$  what you can see through the microscope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}13{:}41{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}43{.}786$  So this is the front end of the mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:43.790 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.722$  The mouse is head is pointing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:45.722 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.660$  up so side side midline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}13{:}47.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}49.627$  Back end and to all these dark

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:49.627 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.784$  lines that you can see that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}13{:}51{.}784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53{.}656$  vasculature of the brain of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:53.724 \rightarrow 00:13:55.848$  cortex that shows up quite nicely.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997
- $00:13:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.740$  This is a mouse which is

 $00:13:57.740 \rightarrow 00:13:59.000$  transgenically expressing G cap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:13:59.000 \rightarrow 00:14:01.058$  So in this case every excitatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:01.058 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.089$  neuron in this mouse cortex is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:14:03.089 --> 00:14:05.168 expressing G count an when we take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}14{:}05{.}168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07{.}574$  a movie we process it a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:07.574 \rightarrow 00:14:09.686$  just to look at changes in signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:09.686 \rightarrow 00:14:12.209$  This is what you get and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:12.209 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.323$  set up three times a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:14.323 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.007$  faster than than real time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:16.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.170$  but hopefully you can see this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:14:18.170 --> 00:14:19.805 Pretty interesting and dynamic set

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:14:19.805 --> 00:14:21.440 of fluctuations that are happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:14:21.493 --> 00:14:22.677 across the entire cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}14{:}22.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.256$  and this is for a mouse that's just

 $00:14:25.256 \rightarrow 00:14:26.722$  sitting there, not doing anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}14{:}26{.}722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29{.}047$  so this is sort of a very quick

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:29.047 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.782$  introduction to how dynamic the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:30.782 \rightarrow 00:14:33.233$  cortex is even when we are supposedly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:33.233 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.903$  not doing anything at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:34.910 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.250$  just just sitting there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00{:}14{:}36{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38{.}260$  And so this work was described

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

 $00:14:38.325 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.411$  in a couple of papers that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8651997

00:14:40.411 --> 00:14:41.305 published just last

NOTE Confidence: 0.87164307

00:14:41.375 --> 00:14:43.606 year. If you're interested in more details,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87164307

 $00{:}14{:}43.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.598$  but I'm going to use this method

NOTE Confidence: 0.87164307

 $00:14:45.598 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.469$  for the next several slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87164307

 $00:14:47.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.160$  so just as a reminder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87164307

00:14:49.160 - 00:14:51.896 This is the top down view of the mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87164307

 $00:14:51.900 \rightarrow 00:14:53.116$  Brain Front is up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87164307

 $00:14:53.116 \rightarrow 00:14:54.940$  Back is down side to side.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438
- $00:14:57.290 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.537$  So while that last mouse was transgenic,

00:14:59.540 --> 00:15:01.556 much of the data that I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:15:01.556 - 00:15:03.485 show you today uses also another

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}03.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05.525$  new method that we've developed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}05{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07{.}587$  collaboration with Mike Rares Lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.654$  Also here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}08.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11.131$  And this is to use viral vectors to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:11.131 \rightarrow 00:15:13.171$  drive the expression of transgenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:15:13.171 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:15:15.575 proteins rather than having to make a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}15{.}575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17{.}894$  mouse where you say knock in a gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:15:17.894 --> 00:15:19.826 This is, it's not terribly complex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}19.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.728$  but it's it takes a lot of work and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}22{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25{.}050$  and so this is in some respects a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}25{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27{.}213$  much easier way to get transgenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:27.213 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.347$  expression in mice.

 $00:15:28.350 \rightarrow 00:15:31.650$  And so in this case we take a mouse pup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}31.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.474$  This really only works well in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:33.474 \rightarrow 00:15:35.609$  the first couple of neonatal days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.590$  and we take a viral vector

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:15:37.590 --> 00:15:38.580 ade<br/>no associated virus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}38{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39{.}570$  In this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:39.570 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.890$  this is pretty ubiquitous

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:40.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.210$  in neuroscience these days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:42.210 \rightarrow 00:15:44.240$  and it turns out that the serotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.293$  9 variant of AAV crosses the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:15:46.293 --> 00:15:48.148 blood brain barrier really well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:48.150 \rightarrow 00:15:50.460$  especially in the early post Natal period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.100$  So we take a virus in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:15:53.100 --> 00:15:54.090 Two different viruses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:15:54.090 \rightarrow 00:15:55.410$  one driving our camp,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}15{:}55{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57{.}115$  which is this redshifted calcium

 $00:15:57.115 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.479$  indicator that I mentioned?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:15:58.480 --> 00:16:01.427 And one driving this H 3.0 cholinergic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:01.427 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.107$  signal so we mix those viruses

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}16{:}04{.}107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07{.}222$  together and we inject them into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}16{:}07{.}313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09{.}785$  transverse sinus is of this mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}16{:}09{.}785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12{.}572$  is brain into P0 to P1 period and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}16{:}12{.}572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15{.}234$  what you see here on the right is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:15.234 \rightarrow 00:16:17.006$  some Histology demonstrating the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}16{:}17.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.416$  massive and robust and wides pread

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:19.416 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.261$  expression of both the green

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:16:22.261 --> 00:16:23.968 fluorescing cholinergic indicator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}16{:}23.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.130$  the red fluorescent calcium indicator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}16{:}26{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29{.}028$  pretty much throughout the entire brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:29.030 \rightarrow 00:16:30.660$  So this neonatal virus injection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:30.660 \rightarrow 00:16:32.290$  protocol is really incredibly powerful.

 $00:16:32.290 \rightarrow 00:16:34.240$  Works for anything that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:16:34.240 --> 00:16:35.215 express via AV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.060$  and in fact I'll show you some some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}16{:}38.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}40.435$  additional data at the end of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:16:40.440 --> 00:16:42.396 For some more nervous psychiatric models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}16{:}42{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}44{.}250$  but regardless that this isn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}16{:}44.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.432$  otherwise wild type mouse that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:46.432 \rightarrow 00:16:48.584$  just sort of picked out of the cage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}16{:}48{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51{.}446$  And now you can get really nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:51.446 \rightarrow 00:16:53.640$  expression of these indicators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.456$  And so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}16{:}54.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56.088$  this was first described in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:16:56.088 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.529$  paper published last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}16{:}57{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}59{.}110$  An much more methodological description

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}16{:}59{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01{.}571$  of this is in this paper by Hamodia

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:01.571 \rightarrow 00:17:03.209$  doll from last year as well,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438
- $00:17:03.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.359$  and it was only hamodia made by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438
- $00:17:05.359 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.500$  Chris Lab that they really sort
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438
- $00:17:07.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.028$  of pioneered this tool.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438
- $00:17:09.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.179$  Alright, so we're going to use now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438
- $00{:}17{:}11{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}12.645$  This dual expression approach of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438
- $00{:}17{:}12.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.110$  the red shifted calcium indicator
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438
- $00:17:14.162 \rightarrow 00:17:15.742$  in the green fluorescent cholinergic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438
- $00:17:15.742 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.006$  indicator for some studies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438
- 00:17:17.010 --> 00:17:18.846 I'm going to show you about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438
- 00:17:18.850 --> 00:17:19.172 Alright,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438
- $00:17:19.172 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.426$  this is probably going to be the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438
- 00:17:21.426 --> 00:17:23.238 busiest slide that I show you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438
- $00:17:23.238 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.066$  through the talk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438
- $00{:}17{:}24.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.065$  so I'm going to walk you through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438
- $00:17:26.065 \rightarrow 00:17:27.450$  it in some stages,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}17{:}27{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29{.}298$  but there's there are a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}17{:}29{.}298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30{.}830$  important things to point out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}17{:}30{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}32{.}979$  so this is work that was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:17:32.979 --> 00:17:33.900 by sweater Lohani,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:33.900 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.776$  who is a postdoc in the carbon

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

00:17:35.776 --> 00:17:37.580 lab here and Andrew Moberly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:37.580 \rightarrow 00:17:39.518$  whose adjoint postdoc between my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.747$  Not injustice Liberia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00{:}17{:}40.747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43.997$  So first this is a similar cartoon to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:43.997 \rightarrow 00:17:46.832$  what I just showed you a few minutes ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8342438

 $00:17:46.840 \dashrightarrow 00:17:49.120$  Only instead of there being one CMOS camera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:17:49.120 --> 00:17:50.830 we now have two CMOS cameras,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:17:50.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.870$  one to detect the red signal which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}17{:}52.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55.060$  calcium in this case and wanted to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}17{:}55{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57{.}099$  the green signal which is acetylcholine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:17:57.100 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.322$  and in this case, but again you've got the

 $00:18:00.322 \rightarrow 00:18:03.294$  mouse sitting on the wheel and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}03{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05{.}724$  So these are just a couple images similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:18:05.724 \dashrightarrow 00:18:08.487$  to you know the movie I just showed you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:18:08.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.302$  Again we're looking down on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:18:10.302 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.447$  top of the mouse brain up, up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}12{.}447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}14{.}823$  Is that the front of the brain down

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}14.823 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17.482$  is the back and here we've got signals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:18:17.482 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.466$  in the top row for the H 3.0 and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:18:20.466 --> 00:18:22.870 the bottom row our camp and we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}22{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24{.}440$  divide this these cortical regions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:18:24.505 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.871$  into different chunks by a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:18:26.871 --> 00:18:28.944 different methods and I'll talk a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}28{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31{.}072$  more about that in a moment as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:18:31.072 --> 00:18:33.560 But here you can sort of make out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}33{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}36{.}566$  I've drawn on an ROI or region of interest.
$00{:}18{:}36{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}38{.}656$  It's sort of a little circle is h

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}38.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40.570$  thing around a frontal region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}40{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}42{.}922$  This sort of motor cortex and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:18:42.922 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:18:44.869 another here around the back region

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}44{.}869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}46{.}913$  or visual areas and so in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}46{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49{.}507$  areas we can now plot the fluorescent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:18:49.507 --> 00:18:51.538 signal that's coming from those two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:18:51.538 --> 00:18:54.555 areas as a function of time so we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}54{.}555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56{.}745$  see how these signals vary overtime

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}18{:}56{.}745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59{.}098$  for these two different regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:18:59.100 --> 00:19:02.100 And so first I just show you the our camp

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:19:02.177 --> 00:19:05.397 data and so now you can see in purple above.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}05{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07{.}822$  This is the variation in that red

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:19:07.822 --> 00:19:09.225 fluorescence corresponding to cortical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}09{.}225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11{.}374$  neuron activity via calcium in V1 in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:11.374 \dashrightarrow 00:19:13.587$  purple and then just below that in red.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578
- $00:19:13.590 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.450$  Is this this motor region and

 $00:19:15.450 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.688$  below all of that at the bottom?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}17.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}19.260$  I've also drawn traces that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:19.260 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.516$  correspond face map here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}20{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}22{.}100$  This is the facial musculature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:19:22.100 --> 00:19:23.201 It's mostly whisking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}23{.}201 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26{.}190$  so this shows you sort of when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}26.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}28.612$  animal is whisking and then when it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}28.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30.995$  stops and when it was a bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:19:31.000 --> 00:19:31.369 Similarly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}31{.}369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}33{.}583$  we have the pupil diameter plotted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}33{.}583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}35{.}780$  as a continuous function of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}35{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37{.}988$  and then we also have running

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}37{.}988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}39{.}460$  speed on the wheel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}39{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42{.}036$  You can see that these variables are

 $00:19:42.036 \rightarrow 00:19:43.879$  roughly correlated with each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}43.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.570$  and that's something that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:45.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.260$  see typically that there's some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:47.316 \rightarrow 00:19:49.396$  underlying state variable of arousal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}49{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}51{.}105$  whatever that means that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}51{.}105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}52{.}810$  strongly correlated with a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:19:52.871 -> 00:19:54.547 of these behavioral variables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}54{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}57{.}224$  and so you'll note that the fluorescent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}19{:}57{.}224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}58{.}970$  signals the purple and red,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:19:58.970 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.332$  actually agree roughly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}20{:}00{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02{.}602$  With the fluctuations in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:02.602 \rightarrow 00:20:04.730$  behavioral state variables as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}20{:}04.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}07.054$  And so this is the calcium signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

00:20:07.060 --> 00:20:09.058 Again, correspond to local cortical activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}20{:}09{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11{.}013$  We can do the same thing looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:11.013 \rightarrow 00:20:12.720$  at the green fluorescence,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578
- $00:20:12.720 \rightarrow 00:20:14.390$  which is the acetylcholine readout.

00:20:14.390 --> 00:20:14.996 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:14.996 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.511$  you see fluctuations in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:16.511 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.853$  acetylcholine that also track

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:17.853 \rightarrow 00:20:19.377$  these behavioral state variables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.704$  and we can get that for both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:21.710 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.658$  In this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:22.658 \rightarrow 00:20:25.380$  this motor region is also the visual cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}20{:}25{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28{.}316$  so there's two main points then that I'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:28.316 \rightarrow 00:20:31.449$  like you to take from this whole figure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.110$  The first is that as you note,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}20{:}34.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36.770$  these signals are very dynamic in time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00:20:36.770 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.050$  so they they fluctuate at both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8207578

 $00{:}20{:}39{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40{.}570$  fast and slow timescales.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:20:40.570 \rightarrow 00:20:42.470$  The slower timescales correspond roughly

 $00:20:42.470 \rightarrow 00:20:44.370$  to these behavioral state transitions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}20{:}44.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.842$  probably locomotion and pupil are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}20{:}46.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.070$  easiest to see as they go up and down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}20{:}50{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52{.}751$  You see you sort of corresponding variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}20{:}52{.}751 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55{.}896$  in the in both the cholinergic in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}20{:}55{.}896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59{.}073$  in the calcium signal so there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}20{:}59{.}073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}01{.}437$  of temporal dynamics and the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}21{:}01{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}03{.}090$  Point is that there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:03.090 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.915$  of spatial heterogeneity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}21{:}03{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06{.}349$  and that perhaps you can appreciate most

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:06.349 \rightarrow 00:21:08.982$  just looking at the images at the top so NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}21{:}08{.}982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11{.}738$  you can also see that in the traces as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}21{:}11{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}14{.}164$  And for calcium, I mean may be that's not NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}21{:}14.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}16.208$  surprising in the sense that different areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:21:16.208 --> 00:21:18.769 of the brain or or at least the cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.938$  are doing different things

- NOTE Confidence: 0.840474
- $00{:}21{:}19{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20{.}814$  at different times.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840474
- 00:21:20.820 --> 00:21:22.962 OK, it was perhaps a little surprising
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840474
- $00:21:22.962 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.340$  for the acetylcholine in that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840474
- $00:21:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.167$  at least in the early days of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840474
- 00:21:26.167 --> 00:21:27.860 the field and early meaning,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840474
- 00:21:27.860 --> 00:21:28.820 say, 50 years ago,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840474
- $00{:}21{:}28{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30{.}916$  there was a sense that some of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840474
- $00:21:30.916 \rightarrow 00:21:32.426$  brainstem modulators might really be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840474
- $00{:}21{:}32{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}34{.}590$  just sort of global arousal signals,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840474
- $00:21:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.648$  and so you might have expected that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840474
- 00:21:36.650 --> 00:21:38.402 OK, you know when arousal goes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840474
- 00:21:38.402 --> 00:21:39.278 up acetylcholine everywhere,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840474
- $00:21:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.159$  it goes up,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840474
- $00{:}21{:}40{.}159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41{.}917$  and then when arous al goes downhill,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840474
- $00:21:41.920 \rightarrow 00:21:44.020$  going everywhere goes down every sort of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:44.020 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.855$  A simple readout of behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}21{:}45.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}47.690$  state and what we find,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:21:47.690 --> 00:21:49.525 and this isn't this isn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:21:49.525 --> 00:21:50.259 necessarily surprising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:50.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.692$  especially given recent anatomical

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}21{:}51{.}692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53{.}840$  findings about the diversity of cholinergic

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:53.886 \rightarrow 00:21:55.398$  projections throughout the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:21:55.400 --> 00:21:55.960 But nevertheless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.920$  what you can see here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.760$  even the acetylcholine is

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:21:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.266$  incredibly spatially heterogeneous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:01.270 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.145$  And so acetylcholine release in

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}03.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05.828$  different parts of the cortex can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:22:05.828 --> 00:22:07.673 remarkably UN coupled from release

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}07{.}673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09{.}709$  in other locations in the cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:09.710 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.727$  So so, really.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.840474
- 00:22:10.727 -> 00:22:12.422 Acetylcholine is not just sort

 $00:22:12.422 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.190$  of a generic signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}14.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.380$  Of overall state, but in fact as a as a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.750$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:22:20.750 --> 00:22:23.490 primary component of dynamic

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:23.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.860$  cortical variables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:24.860 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.690$  And just to finally make that

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}26.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28.690$  that last point a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}28.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.658$  these black traces that you see here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}30.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.414$  here are the instantaneous correlations

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:32.414 \rightarrow 00:22:34.424$  between the two cortical regions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}34{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}36{.}974$  and so you can just see there that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.334$  So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

00:22:37.334 --> 00:22:38.042 for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}38{.}042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39{.}812$  the correlation of acetylcholine release

 $00:22:39.812 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.768$  between M2 and V1 starts out high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}41.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.996$  then it actually drops a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:44.000 \rightarrow 00:22:45.600$  then it goes up again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}45{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47{.}622$  So just the correlational structure of

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}47.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}50.059$  these signals varies as a function of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}50.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51.998$  so this is really about religious

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}51{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53{.}672$  descriptive view of the kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:53.672 \rightarrow 00:22:55.277$  of studies that we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00{:}22{:}55{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}57{.}380$  And so now let me go into a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.840474

 $00:22:57.438 \rightarrow 00:22:59.426$  more detail of some of our analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.088$  So the first thing that we do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:23:04.088 --> 00:23:06.855 spend a good amount of time trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}23{:}06.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08.567$  to understand what behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}23{:}08{.}567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}11{.}837$  state means or what some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}23{:}11{.}837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}13{.}220$  transitions mean quantitatively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:13.220 \rightarrow 00:23:16.739$  An for the next little part of the talk.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- 00:23:16.740 --> 00:23:19.692 I'm really going to focus on the two

 $00{:}23{:}19.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22.207$  major motor signals that we study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}23{:}22{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}24{.}170$  locomotion and facial movement here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:24.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.778$  It's called face map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}23{:}25{.}778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}29{.}132$  This is named after the software that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}23{:}29{.}132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}32{.}142$  use to extract these these movement signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.654$  Primarily corresponds to whisking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:23:33.654 --> 00:23:35.910 in detail if anyone is interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:35.910 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.530$  It's essentially a principle

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}23{:}37{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}39{.}150$  component based decomposition of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:23:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.167$  the video ography of the face,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:23:41.170 --> 00:23:44.050 so you basically take a movie of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}23{:}44.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47.101$  animals entire face and you decompose it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:23:47.101 --> 00:23:49.639 via principal component analysis to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}23{:}49{.}639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52{.}075$  a bunch of features that describe that.

- $00{:}23{:}52{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53{.}960$  But nevertheless most of it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00{:}23{:}53{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}55{.}840$  really just agrees with whisking.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00:23:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.090$  That's that's the most dominant component.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00:23:58.090 \rightarrow 00:23:59.970$  So looking at these traces,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00{:}23{:}59{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02{.}286$  you'll know a few things so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- 00:24:02.290 --> 00:24:03.830 Locomotion is perhaps easiest, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00{:}24{:}03{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05{.}684$  So the animals just sitting there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- 00:24:05.684 --> 00:24:07.530 quiet for most of the time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00{:}24{:}07{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09{.}476$  and this sort of pinkish box sort
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00{:}24{:}09{.}476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11{.}079$  of illustrates this sustained low
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00:24:11.079 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.150$  level of locomotion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- 00:24:12.150 --> 00:24:13.690 Really, no locomotion at all,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00:24:13.690 \rightarrow 00:24:14.918$  and then later on,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00:24:14.918 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.146$  the animal starts running.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00:24:16.150 \rightarrow 00:24:18.494$  It has these two little bursts of running
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00:24:18.494 \rightarrow 00:24:21.075$  and then a slightly longer period as well.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00:24:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.928$  And note the time scale here.

 $00:24:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.079$  This is 25 seconds for the bar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}24{:}25{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27{.}572$  so so this this whole trace is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:27.572 \rightarrow 00:24:30.207$  actually a fairly long period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}24{:}30{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}33{.}200$  And then if you look at the at the facial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:24:33.281 -> 00:24:35.836 movement in the in the below trace,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:35.840 \rightarrow 00:24:37.820$  you see that it fluctuates much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:24:37.820 --> 00:24:38.813 much more rapidly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:38.813 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.137$  but there's some agreement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}24{:}40{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42{.}788$  especially if you look over the right side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.366$  you get these sort of sustained changes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}24{:}46{.}366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49{.}577$  correspond to to the balance of locomotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}24{:}49{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51{.}212$  So we just think about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}24{:}51{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52{.}300$  a little bit conceptually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}24{:}52{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53{.}812$  What this tells us is that

 $00:24:53.812 \rightarrow 00:24:55.560$  when the animal is not running,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:55.560 \rightarrow 00:24:57.464$  sort this big pink bar of quiescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}24{:}57{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59{.}198$  You actually have some periods where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:24:59.198 \rightarrow 00:25:01.148$  where the animals whiskey or work where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:01.148 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.636$  this facial motion energy is high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}25{:}02.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04.272$  and then you also have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:04.272 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.360$  periods where it's slow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

00:25:05.360 --> 00:25:07.775 so you can get very big fluctuations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}25{:}07{.}775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09{.}524$  in facial movement even when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:09.524 \rightarrow 00:25:11.139$  the animal is not running.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}25{:}11{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13{.}651$  You then look there with the far right for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}25{:}13.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.178$  the periods where the animal is running.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}25{:}16.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18.378$  Every time that the animal is running

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}25{:}18.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}20.280$  for a sustained period of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.536$  the facial motion energy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:21.536 \rightarrow 00:25:23.106$  the facial movement is high,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00:25:23.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.175$  and so this suggests sort of a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- 00:25:25.175 --> 00:25:26.580 serial progression of arousal,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00:25:26.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.561$  which is that first you have like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00:25:28.561 \rightarrow 00:25:30.359$  quiescence and no facial movement.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00{:}25{:}30{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31{.}935$  Then the animal starts having
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00:25:31.935 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.880$  some facial movement.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00:25:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.770$  They can go back and forth,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00:25:34.770 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.648$  and then at some point the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00{:}25{:}36{.}648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38{.}230$  animal might start to run.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- 00:25:38.230 --> 00:25:39.175 Whenever it runs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- 00:25:39.175 --> 00:25:40.120 it's always whisking,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00:25:40.120 \rightarrow 00:25:42.488$  so you've got this sort of three stage
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00{:}25{:}42.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44.470$  process of like total quiescence.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00{:}25{:}44.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}46.440$  Facial movement without running and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042
- $00{:}25{:}46{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48{.}759$  then facial movement with running and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00{:}25{:}48.759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50.761$  we think that that represents a sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580042

 $00:25:50.761 \rightarrow 00:25:53.220$  of serial progression of level of arousal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

00:25:55.800 --> 00:25:58.390 So what I'm showing you here then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}25{:}58{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00{.}568$  is sort of the average fluorescence

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:00.568 \rightarrow 00:26:03.137$  signals in the in the two indicators

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}26{:}03{.}137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}05{.}231$  that I told you about divided

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:05.231 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.638$  or actually really in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.926$  subtracting the period of sustained high

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}26{:}09{.}926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}12.079$  versus period of sustained load data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.616$  and so we just take the top row

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}26{:}14.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}17.430$  first here and So what we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.110$  for this cholinergic indicator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}26{:}19.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}21.630$  the AC H 3.0 when when you subtract

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

00:26:21.630 --> 00:26:24.058 high facial movement versus low facial

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:24.058 \rightarrow 00:26:26.218$  movement you get really bright.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:26.220 \rightarrow 00:26:27.876$  Red signal across pretty much the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695
- $00:26:27.876 \rightarrow 00:26:29.666$  whole cortex telling us that there's

 $00{:}26{:}29.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31.241$  a lot more acetylcholine released

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:31.241 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.902$  during high facial movements than

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:32.902 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.226$  than low facial movements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}26{:}34{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36{.}379$  So so there's a big difference there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.536$  It goes up when you look though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:38.540 \rightarrow 00:26:40.080$  between transitions between no locomotion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:26:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.620$  locomotion, it's still all red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

00:26:41.620 --> 00:26:42.544 You know it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

00:26:42.544 --> 00:26:44.700 but it's a very it's much smaller,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}26{:}44.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.368$  so there's a big increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}26{:}46{.}368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47{.}887$  acetylcholine release when the animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}26{:}47.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.319$  starts having facial movements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}26{:}49{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}51{.}756$  And then there's a very small additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}26{:}51.756$  -->  $00{:}26{:}54.190$  increase when the animal starts running.

 $00:26:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.358$  Then look at the bottom row for our campus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}26{:}57{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59{.}120$  The calcium indicators for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

00:26:59.120 --> 00:27:00.528 readout of cortical activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:00.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.290$  It's a bit the opposite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}27{:}02{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04{.}050$  so when the animal whisks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}27{:}04.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05.810$  there's a modest increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:05.810 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.570$  the amount of neural activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}27{:}07{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09{.}382$  but there's a much bigger increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

00:27:09.382 --> 00:27:11.477 in the amount of cortical activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}27{:}11.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13.547$  when the animal starts running,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}27{:}13.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}15.412$  and so this is already starting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}27{:}15{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17{.}575$  give us a sense that a cetylcholine

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:17.575 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.750$  and cortical activity are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:19.750 \rightarrow 00:27:21.649$  perfectly coupled with each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:21.650 \rightarrow 00:27:24.338$  and might be signaling different aspects of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.910$  Behavior.

 $00:27:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.734$  And actually so all of this data just

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:28.734 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.518$  to point out is is described in A

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00{:}27{:}30{.}518$  -->  $00{:}27{:}32{.}312$  in a manuscript by sweat and Andrew

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:32.312 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.797$  that's currently on by archive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84202695

 $00:27:33.800 \rightarrow 00:27:35.515$  If people are interested in more details.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}27{:}37{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40{.}588$  The one other little thing I'll put in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}27{:}40.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.767$  I'm just going to mention this briefly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:27:42.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.636$  I'm not going too much detail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}27{:}44.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}46.542$  but at the same time we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:27:46.542 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.080$  doing all this image in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:27:48.080 \rightarrow 00:27:50.257$  We have an electrode placed in cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}27{:}50{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52{.}198$  which essentially gives us an Electro

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}27{:}52.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54.628$  cortical gram or or E kog written here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}27{:}54.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}56.814$  This is again the sort of local

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:27:56.814 \rightarrow 00:27:57.750$  field potential dynamics,

 $00:27:57.750 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.683$  the electrical signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}27{:}58.683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}00{.}238$  going on in the cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:28:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.116$  and what we see when you also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

00:28:02.116 --> 00:28:04.298 look at low versus high arousal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}28{:}04{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05{.}865$  whether it's facial movement or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}28{:}05{.}865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}07{.}430$  locomotion is something that's been NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}28{:}07{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09{.}070$  described for decades and decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}28{:}09{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}11{.}518$  Which is that the high frequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

00:28:11.518 --> 00:28:13.150 activity high frequency electrical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}28{:}13{.}218$  -->  $00{:}28{:}15{.}521$  activity goes up when the animal is NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

00:28:15.521 --> 00:28:17.267 higher aroused and the amplitude

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}28{:}17.267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.349$  goes down and this has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

00:28:19.349 --> 00:28:21.510 interpreted for ages ascential E as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}28{:}21{.}510$  -->  $00{:}28{:}23{.}335$  local decorrelation of the network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}28{:}23{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25{.}734$  So it basically means that neurons that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}28{:}25{.}734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28{.}801$  are near each other in a local network

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353
- $00:28:28.801 \rightarrow 00:28:30.771$  or actually becoming less correlated

 $00{:}28{:}30{.}838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33{.}225$  with each other when arous al goes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:28:33.230 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.785$  So just bear that fact in mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00:28:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.921$  It's not critical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8295353

 $00{:}28{:}36{.}921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}40{.}179$  but I mention it 'cause 'cause we've done it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00{:}28{:}42{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}44{.}195$  So these data are really about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:28:44.195 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.169$  amplitudes of these fluorescent signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:28:46.170 \rightarrow 00:28:48.818$  You know how does the average signal change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:28:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.428$  So acetylcholine goes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:28:50.428 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.438$  Calcium goes up when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00{:}28{:}52{.}438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}54{.}438$  animals arous al level goes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00{:}28{:}54{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57{.}218$  But really, the major advantage of

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00{:}28{:}57{.}218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59{.}505$  this imaging approach that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00{:}28{:}59{.}505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01{.}997$  got where we can see the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00{:}29{:}01{.}997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04{.}614$  cortex at one time is that we

 $00:29:04.614 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.793$  can look at the coordination of NOTE Confidence: 0.831442 00:29:06.793 --> 00:29:09.264 different areas so we can look at NOTE Confidence: 0.831442  $00:29:09.264 \rightarrow 00:29:11.840$  relative changes in these signals in NOTE Confidence: 0.831442  $00:29:11.840 \rightarrow 00:29:13.640$  different cortical areas overtime. NOTE Confidence: 0.831442  $00:29:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.560$  And you might imagine that. NOTE Confidence: 0.831442  $00:29:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.276$  Most of us think that behavior NOTE Confidence: 0.831442  $00{:}29{:}18.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}19.870$  happens because of the coordinated NOTE Confidence: 0.831442 00:29:19.870 --> 00:29:21.805 activity of the nervous system, NOTE Confidence: 0.831442  $00:29:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.450$  and so if it's coordinated, NOTE Confidence: 0.831442  $00:29:23.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.018$  nervous system activity that's NOTE Confidence: 0.831442  $00:29:25.018 \rightarrow 00:29:26.978$  driving behavior really coming up NOTE Confidence: 0.831442  $00:29:26.978 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.416$  with methods that allow us to see NOTE Confidence: 0.831442  $00:29:29.416 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.036$  that coordination rather than just NOTE Confidence: 0.831442 00:29:31.036 --> 00:29:32.956 saying OK area a goes up or down. NOTE Confidence: 0.831442  $00:29:32.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.268$  It's really the interactions NOTE Confidence: 0.831442  $00:29:34.268 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.576$  that matter the most,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.831442
- $00:29:35.580 \rightarrow 00:29:38.127$  and so the power in part of this mysa

00:29:38.127 --> 00:29:40.735 scopic imaging is that we can look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00{:}29{:}40.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}42.799$  the coordination of different areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:29:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.666$  so we're going to do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00:29:44.666 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.570$  now for these two signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831442

 $00{:}29{:}46{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}48{.}928$  Relative to changes in behavioral state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}29{:}51{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}53{.}862$  So the first thing that I'll mention

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}29{:}53.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}56.528$  is I alluded to it before when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}29{:}56{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58{.}556$  said we could draw areas around

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:29:58.556 \rightarrow 00:30:00.974$  safe motor or visual or whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:00.980 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.878$  How do we do that in a quantitative way?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}30{:}03{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}06.690$  And how do we do it in a way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:30:06.775 --> 00:30:09.663 you know allows us to sort of say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}30{:}09{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}11.878$  OK, this area is the same area in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:30:11.878 \rightarrow 00:30:14.177$  mouse a mouse beam else whatever?

- $00:30:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.488$  It's not so different,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00{:}30{:}15{.}488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}17{.}450$  for example then then work
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:30:17.512 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.330$  in the fMRI community as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:30:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.278$  We use an Atlas,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00{:}30{:}20{.}278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}22{.}584$  and in this case we use an Atlas
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00{:}30{:}22{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24{.}220$  called the common coordinate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:30:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.447$  framework version three,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:30:25.450 \rightarrow 00:30:27.382$  which was developed by the Allen
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- 00:30:27.382 --> 00:30:28.670 Brain Institute in Seattle,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:30:28.670 \dashrightarrow 00:30:30.966$  and what they did was used a whole
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00{:}30{:}30{.}966$  -->  $00{:}30{:}33{.}508$  lot of an atomical labels and some.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:30:33.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.382$  Fiber tracing labels to come up with an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- 00:30:36.382 --> 00:30:38.539 average parcellation of the mouse neocortex,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00{:}30{:}38{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}39{.}972$  and that's illustrated here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- 00:30:39.972 --> 00:30:41.046 And so again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:30:41.050 \rightarrow 00:30:44.272$  you know front is up back is is down.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- 00:30:44.280 --> 00:30:46.080 Since you got motor areas,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00{:}30{:}46{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}48{.}872$  you've got some at a sensory areas in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:30:48.872 \dashrightarrow 00:30:51.457$  the middle visual areas in the back.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:30:51.460 \rightarrow 00:30:53.966$  Auditory is quite lateral and so forth,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:30:53.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.357$  and so the utility of such an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- 00:30:56.357 --> 00:30:58.568 Atlas is maybe a bit obvious
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- 00:30:58.568 --> 00:31:00.788 given the points I just made,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00{:}31{:}00{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02{.}950$  it allows a certain regularity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:31:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.814$  across animals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:31:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.230$  Up its validity remains a little bit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- 00:31:08.230 --> 00:31:08.512 Unclear,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- 00:31:08.512 --> 00:31:10.486 and I'm not going to talk about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:31:10.486 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.307$  this in any detail other than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:31:12.307 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.101$  just to mention it right now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00{:}31{:}14{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}16{.}078$  There are certainly other ways of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:16.078 \rightarrow 00:31:17.951$  parcel eighting the cortex one could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:31:17.951 --> 00:31:19.694 do it in an activity based way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:31:19.700 --> 00:31:21.443 You could in fact look at say

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}31{:}21{.}443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}23{.}597$  OK Pixels in our movie that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:23.597 \dashrightarrow 00:31:25.277$  most correlated with each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:31:25.280 --> 00:31:25.556 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:25.556 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.212$  they belong to the same area

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:27.212 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.639$  and you could do that in a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}31{:}29{.}639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30{.}867$  of different quantitative ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}31{:}30{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32{.}823$  but you could come up with some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}31{:}32{.}823 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}34{.}399$  parcellation based on the activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

00:31:34.400 --> 00:31:35.279 It turns out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:35.279 \rightarrow 00:31:37.037$  for reasons that aren't totally clear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.515$  those kinds of functional parcellation's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00{:}31{:}38{.}515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}40{.}360$  don't map onto these anatomical at lases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851

 $00:31:40.360 \rightarrow 00:31:43.015$  All that well and the reasons for that are,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- 00:31:43.020 --> 00:31:43.857 as I said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00{:}31{:}43.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}45.810$  are unclear and is actually something that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:31:45.868 \dashrightarrow 00:31:48.024$  we're quite interested in pursuing a lot.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:31:48.030 \dashrightarrow 00:31:50.095$  But for the moment for convenience sake,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:31:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.570$  and certainly for these data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:31:51.570 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.348$  we're just going to stick to this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- 00:31:53.348 --> 00:31:54.937 Allen Brain Atlas and we're going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:31:54.937 \dashrightarrow 00:31:56.491$  to say that area is circumscribed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00{:}31{:}56{.}491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}57{.}973$  by these borders correspond
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378851
- $00:31:57.973 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.537$  to functionally related areas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862
- $00:32:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.121$  So now we can make plots like this and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862
- $00:32:04.121 \dashrightarrow 00:32:06.440$  you may recall a couple slides ago.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862
- 00:32:06.440 --> 00:32:08.896 I sort of showed you that running correlation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862
- $00{:}32{:}08{.}896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}11{.}286$  between say motor and vision and so all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862
- $00:32:11.286 \rightarrow 00:32:13.240$  these sort of complicated shapes are is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.598$  So if we just look at the one on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}32{:}15{.}598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}18{.}006$  the far left for the moment, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:32:18.006 --> 00:32:20.288 We've got all of these cortical regions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:20.288 \dashrightarrow 00:32:22.314$  defined by the Allen Atlas on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}32{:}22{.}314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}24{.}490$  bottom axis and also on the left axis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}32{:}24{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}26{.}562$  And so we're going to do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:26.562 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.154$  pairwise correlation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:27.160 \rightarrow 00:32:29.491$  So every pair of areas we're just going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}32{:}29{.}491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}31{.}687$  take the average correlation in signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:32:31.690 --> 00:32:32.740 Between, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.190$  say motor and vision or motor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}32{:}35{.}266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37{.}286$  auditory or motor and sensory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.579$  So every little box in this matrix

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:39.579 \rightarrow 00:32:41.485$  is the average correlation between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:41.485 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.630$  a pair of cortical areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.241$  and so you can see the entire

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862
- 00:32:46.241 00:32:47.360 pairwise matrix here,

 $00:32:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.592$  and we've done this now for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:49.592 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.708$  the cholinergic signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.320$  On the left is a stage 3.0 and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.008$  camp on the right the calcium signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}32{:}56{.}008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58{.}947$  and rather than show you the absolute

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:32:58.947 \rightarrow 00:33:02.020$  correlations what I'm showing you is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}33{:}02.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03.319$  Difference in correlations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:03.319 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.618$  across behavioral state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:04.620 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.100$  So in this case this is high facial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}33{:}08{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10{.}914$  movement minus low facial movement and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}33{:}10{.}914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14{.}540$  the fact that pretty much all of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:14.540 \rightarrow 00:33:17.604$  is red in both graphs tells you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}33{:}17.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.770$  the correlations the pairwise correlations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}33{:}19.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}22.368$  the sameness or the similarity in

 $00:33:22.368 \rightarrow 00:33:24.594$  activity between two areas goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:33:24.594 --> 00:33:26.699 up for pretty much everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:26.700 \dashrightarrow 00:33:29.250$  So both acetylcholine and calcium

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.225$  signaling are becoming more correlated for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:32.225 \rightarrow 00:33:34.993$  every most pairs of areas in the cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:35.000 \rightarrow 00:33:37.260$  When the animal starts whisking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}33{:}37{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37{.}630$  so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:33:37.630 --> 00:33:38.000 Firstly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}33{:}38{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39{.}850$  that's a little bit interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}33{:}39{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}43{.}184$  because I just told you a moment ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}33{:}43.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44.912$  that from these electrophysiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:44.912 \rightarrow 00:33:46.228$  recordings that we make,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:46.230 \rightarrow 00:33:48.246$  that provides evidence that local circuits

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:33:48.246 \rightarrow 00:33:50.180$  are actually becoming less correlated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}33{:}50{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51{.}975$  and that's really sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:33:51.975 -> 00:33:53.770 party line right for ages,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862
- $00{:}33{:}53{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55{.}834$  every body knows that arous al goes with

 $00:33:55.834 \rightarrow 00:33:57.720$  reduced correlations of local circuits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}33{:}57{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59{.}939$  and what I'm actually showing you here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}33{:}59{.}939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02{.}062$  from these large scale imaging studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:02.062 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.276$  is that being circuits big networks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:04.276 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.645$  across the whole cortex or actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:06.645 \rightarrow 00:34:08.580$  becoming more correlated with arousal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}34{:}08{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11{.}380$  And that we see that for both cholinergic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}34{:}11{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13{.}468$  signals and for for calcium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}34{:}13.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15.564$  So that's kind of cool and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}34{:}15{.}564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16{.}960$  a little bit unexpected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:34:16.960 --> 00:34:18.580 But something that emerges from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:18.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.800$  this from this image in modality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}34{:}20.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22.888$  So this is for facial movements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.320$  Now we're going to do the same

- $00:34:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.790$  thing for locomotion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862
- $00{:}34{:}27.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}29.680$  It looks different.

 $00{:}34{:}29{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}32{.}102$  So the calcium signal in our camp

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:32.102 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.140$  looks similar ish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:33.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.216$  I mean most things are red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}34{:}35{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}36{.}950$  It's a little less red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}34{:}36{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38{.}868$  Sorry that the Gray boxes are those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:38.868 \rightarrow 00:34:41.100$  that were not significantly different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}34{:}41{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}43{.}868$  so if it has a color in it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:43.870 \rightarrow 00:34:45.600$  it's significantly bigger or smaller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

00:34:45.600 --> 00:34:46.980 If it's just grey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}34{:}46{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48{.}705$  it was non significantly different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00{:}34{:}48.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}51.815$  So the calcium signal goes a little bit less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:51.820 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.764$  but someone but the acetylcholine goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:53.764 \rightarrow 00:34:55.630$  robustly in the opposite direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:34:55.630 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.790$  So what this is telling us is when

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862
- $00:34:57.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.743$  the animal starts whisking the

 $00:34:59.743 \rightarrow 00:35:01.543$  correlations in acetylcholine release

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591862

 $00:35:01.543 \dashrightarrow 00:35:03.739$  across the cortex are going up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:03.740 \rightarrow 00:35:06.120$  But suddenly when the animal starts running,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.160$  the release of acetylcholine in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:08.160 \rightarrow 00:35:09.520$  cortical areas completely decorrelate's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

00:35:09.520 --> 00:35:11.774 I will just say right now we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:11.774 \rightarrow 00:35:13.939$  really don't know what that needs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00{:}35{:}13{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}15{.}640$  It is a purely observation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:15.640 \dashrightarrow 00:35:18.090$  Ull point from these data were very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35{:}18.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}20.199$  interested in what it means and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00{:}35{:}20{.}199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}22{.}179$  many follow up studies are being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:22.179 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.138$  being carried out in our labs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00{:}35{:}24{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26{.}196$  And it's also in others to sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:26.196 \rightarrow 00:35:28.219$  think about mechanistic relationships,

 $00:35:28.220 \rightarrow 00:35:30.124$  but at the moment I mean this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00{:}35{:}30{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32{.}320$  is this is quite striking and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00{:}35{:}32{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}34.068$  and again emphasizes that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:34.070 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.050$  Acetylcholine is not sort of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:36.050 \rightarrow 00:35:37.890$  really simplistic signal of arousal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:37.890 \dashrightarrow 00:35:39.630$  as really interesting dynamics that NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00{:}35{:}39{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}41{.}758$  seem to be differentially coupled to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

00:35:41.758 --> 00:35:43.438 different kinds of behavioral states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00{:}35{:}43{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}45{.}534$  It also tells us that calcium

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00{:}35{:}45{.}534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}46{.}581$  signaling acetylcholine signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

00:35:46.581 --> 00:35:47.950 don't always go together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00{:}35{:}47{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}49{.}930$  and so the relationship there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475224

 $00:35:49.930 \dashrightarrow 00:35:52.110$  something that we're very interested in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:35:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.660$  Alright, so. This is a summary

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}35{:}56.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}59.720$  figure than of what we've sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:35:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.300$  extracted from all of that data.

 $00{:}36{:}02{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05{.}259$  So if I was to give you any sort of take

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}36{:}05{.}259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08{.}291$  home that was divorced from the from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:08.291 \rightarrow 00:36:11.395$  details that it might be something like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:11.400 \rightarrow 00:36:13.416$  so we envision, right that arousal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:13.420 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.434$  whatever that means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:14.434 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.446$  operationally defined, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:15.446 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.790$  not not really conceptually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:16.790 \rightarrow 00:36:19.486$  goes up in some kind of monotonic fashion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}36{:}19{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}21{.}392$  an it operationally goes from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:21.392 \rightarrow 00:36:23.074$  animal really just sitting there

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:23.074 \rightarrow 00:36:24.879$  completely passively to the animal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:24.880 \rightarrow 00:36:27.239$  beginning to whisk to the animal whisking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}36{:}27{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28{.}359$  and also running.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}36{:}28{.}359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29{.}478$  On a wheel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}36{:}29{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31{.}832$  and that that transition seems to go

 $00:36:31.832 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.537$  with an overall increase in the amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}36{:}34{.}537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36{.}165$  of a cetylcholine that's released

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:36.165 \rightarrow 00:36:39.204$  and the overall activity of the of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:39.204 \dashrightarrow 00:36:40.928$  the cortical networks themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}36{:}40{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}42{.}402$  If we then though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}36{:}42{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}44{.}242$  look at the correlations between

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:44.242 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.838$  areas within the cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:45.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.102$  we see that local cortical circuit

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}36{:}48.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}50.000$  correlations tend to go down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:50.000 \rightarrow 00:36:51.890$  That's from the electrophysiological data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:51.890 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.646$  and many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:52.646 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.780$  many other labs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:53.780 \dashrightarrow 00:36:56.042$  but the large scale cortical network

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:56.042 \rightarrow 00:36:57.173$  correlations go up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:57.180 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.070$  That's that's the Arkham correlations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:36:59.070 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.950$  but the acetylcholine follows this very

- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00{:}37{:}01{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03{.}870$  interesting non monotonic relationship
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00{:}37{:}03{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}06{.}218$  where correlations first go up and then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00{:}37{:}06{.}218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}08{.}931$  go down again as the animal progresses
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00:37:08.931 \rightarrow 00:37:11.016$  through this this arousal continuum.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00:37:11.020 \dashrightarrow 00:37:13.774$  So this is sort of the take home now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- 00:37:13.780 --> 00:37:14.396 I mean,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00:37:14.396 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.320$  obviously this is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00:37:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.568$  This is a little bit unsatisfying in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00:37:17.568 \rightarrow 00:37:19.619$  sense that we don't necessarily know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00:37:19.620 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.769$  at a mechanistic level what this means.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- 00:37:21.770 --> 00:37:22.354 But really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- 00:37:22.354 --> 00:37:22.938 I mean,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00{:}37{:}22{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}24{.}690$  I would emphasize that this is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- 00:37:24.756 --> 00:37:26.580 kind of the cutting edge of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- 00:37:26.580 --> 00:37:28.210 preclinical work at this point,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
$00:37:28.210 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.126$  and so This Is Us starting to lay the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:31.126 \rightarrow 00:37:32.923$  groundwork for understanding more

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}37{:}32{.}923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35{.}719$  about about how these signals interact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:35.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.117$  Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}37{:}36.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}38.896$  so now I'm going to switch briefly

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}37{:}38{.}896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}41{.}512$  to one more methodological riff

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:41.512 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.828$  on this widefield imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}37{:}43.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}46.091$  And I've alluded to local circuits and

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}37{:}46.091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47.868$  individual neurons within a small region,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:47.870 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.676$  and that they might be doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}37{:}49.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}51.197$  interesting things and then obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}37{:}51{.}197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}53{.}261$  I've shown you a lot of data for

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}37{:}53.261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}55.028$  large scale circuit organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:55.030 \rightarrow 00:37:55.289$  Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:37:55.289 \rightarrow 00:37:57.102$  what if you really wanted to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}37{:}57{.}102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}59{.}516$  a nice readout of both of those

- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00:37:59.516 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.617$  two things simultaneously?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00:38:00.620 \rightarrow 00:38:02.797$  So what are single cells doing water,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- 00:38:02.800 --> 00:38:04.755 large networks doing and how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00:38:04.755 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.928$  do they interact?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- 00:38:05.930 --> 00:38:08.498 So Dan Barson is an MD PhD student
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00:38:08.498 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.946$  who is in my lab and also Co.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- 00:38:10.950 --> 00:38:12.924 Advised by Mike Rare and Dan and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- 00:38:12.924 --> 00:38:15.011 Alijah Modi and in my prayers lab
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00{:}38{:}15{.}011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}17{.}191$  came up with this approach where we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00:38:17.191 \rightarrow 00:38:18.956$  combine this widefield mysa scopic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00:38:18.956 \rightarrow 00:38:21.028$  imaging that I've been showing you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00{:}38{:}21.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}23.331$  with what's a little bit my labs
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00{:}38{:}23{.}331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}25{.}750$  with bread and butter which is 2
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00{:}38{:}25{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}27{.}952$  photon imaging and I'm not going to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684
- $00:38:27.952 \dashrightarrow 00:38:30.732$  go into a lot of detail of what that means.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:38:30.732 --> 00:38:32.616 If you're not familiar with it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00{:}38{:}32{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}34{.}190$  but essentially two photon imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:38:34.190 - 00:38:35.446 is cellularly resolved imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:35.450 \rightarrow 00:38:37.100$  although over a much smaller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:38:37.100 --> 00:38:38.870 Field of view and you'll see

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:38.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.610$  that in just a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:40.610 \rightarrow 00:38:42.549$  So in some sense this is mashing

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

00:38:42.549 - 00:38:44.120 up two different microscopes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.150$  Amy's a scope and a two photon

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:46.150 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.120$  microscope that we sort of just

NOTE Confidence: 0.837383539473684

 $00:38:48.120 \rightarrow 00:38:49.855$  slammed together on optical table,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}38{:}49{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}52{.}393$  made some tweaks to it, and allows us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}38{:}52{.}393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54{.}960$  to use both modalities at the same time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}38{:}54{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57{.}200$  And it basically looks something like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}38{:}57{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59{.}873$  So on the left these are kinds of images

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:38:59.873 \rightarrow 00:39:02.413$  that I've been showing you now for a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00:39:02.413 \rightarrow 00:39:05.113$  few minutes and on the right these are

 $00{:}39{:}05{.}113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}07{.}650$  two photon images, so this Gray box.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

00:39:07.650 -> 00:39:09.930 This sort of field of view.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:09.930 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.666$  Is the entire T of a tiny little box,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:12.670 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.488$  shown here in the dotted lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:14.490 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.100$  so this whole big box here is really just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:17.100 \rightarrow 00:39:19.957$  a tiny little bit of all of the cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:19.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.455$  and these faint white circles

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

00:39:21.455 - 00:39:23.310 or blobs that you see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.669$  Each one of those is a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:25.669 \rightarrow 00:39:27.310$  neuron in the cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:27.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.248$  So maybe I'll play the movie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.039$  And So what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

00:39:30.039 --> 00:39:31.880 You can appreciate now is these data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:31.940 \rightarrow 00:39:33.768$  are being acquired simultaneously,

 $00:39:33.770 \rightarrow 00:39:35.390$  so we are now watching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

00:39:35.390 -> 00:39:37.364 So every time one of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

00:39:37.364 --> 00:39:38.940 little blobs here lights up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}39{:}38{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}40{.}974$  that's that neuron firing action potentials

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:40.974 \rightarrow 00:39:43.779$  and every time an area over here lights up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}39{:}43.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}45.718$  that's that cortical region being active.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

00:39:45.720 --> 00:39:48.627 And so this approach now allows us to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:48.630 \rightarrow 00:39:51.206$  well, OK, we in this neuron is active.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}39{:}51{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}52{.}830$  What cortical regions are active,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}39{:}52{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}54{.}762$  and vice versa when a particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:54.762 \rightarrow 00:39:55.728$  region is active,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:55.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.450$  even if it's far away?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:57.450 \rightarrow 00:39:58.722$  From where we're imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:39:58.722 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.312$  these cells doesn't have any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}40{:}00{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02{.}072$  Impact on the output of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:40:02.072 \rightarrow 00:40:03.720$  single neuron and so really,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- 00:40:03.720 --> 00:40:04.214 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00:40:04.214 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.190$  we feel like this is a little bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00:40:06.253 \rightarrow 00:40:08.359$  of a groundbreaking approach to try
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00{:}40{:}08{.}359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}10{.}455$  to relate multiple scales of neural
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00{:}40{:}10.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}12.351$  activity really from the single cell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00{:}40{:}12.351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}15.290$  level up to large scale networks an.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00{:}40{:}15.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17.236$  As one slide to sort of illustrate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00{:}40{:}17.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}18.920$  what we can do with that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00:40:18.920 \rightarrow 00:40:20.866$  I'll just walk you through this example.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00:40:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.270$  So this blue trace here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00:40:22.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.938$  This is just the time series,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00{:}40{:}23{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}25{.}608$  the activity of a single neuron.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00{:}40{:}25.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}27.278$  So we just take the fluorescence
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00{:}40{:}27.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.112$  from one neuron.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00{:}40{:}28.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.360$  We plotted overtime and you can see it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

- $00:40:30.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.046$  It goes up in these little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00{:}40{:}32.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}33.699$  spiky things and then is flat,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00:40:33.700 \rightarrow 00:40:35.548$  and so everyone of these little transients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00{:}40{:}35{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}37{.}887$  is when that cell fires an action potential.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00:40:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.260$  Or maybe a few action potentials.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00{:}40{:}40{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42{.}108$  We can then take that Nisa
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- 00:40:42.108 --> 00:40:43.032 Scopic movie right,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00:40:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.276$  which is a movie.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00{:}40{:}44{.}276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}46{.}130$  It's a whole bunch of frames,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00:40:46.130 \rightarrow 00:40:48.014$  and functionally you can take every
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- 00:40:48.014 --> 00:40:49.885 frame from that movie that corresponds
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00:40:49.885 \rightarrow 00:40:52.481$  in time to one where one of these spikes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00{:}40{:}52{.}481 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54{.}467$  are and you average those together.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00{:}40{:}54{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}56{.}591$  You only average the ones that are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- 00:40:56.591 --> 00:40:58.488 present when this cell is spiking,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00:40:58.490 \rightarrow 00:41:01.019$  and that gives you this image here and we

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031
- $00:41:01.019 \rightarrow 00:41:03.438$  call this a an event triggered average.

 $00{:}41{:}03{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}05{.}533$  We call it a cell centered network

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}41{:}05{.}533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}08{.}415$  and what it is is the areas across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:41:08.415 \rightarrow 00:41:10.538$  cortex again front and front is up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

00:41:10.540 --> 00:41:11.413 Back is down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}41{:}11{.}413 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}13{.}450$  These are the areas of the cortex

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:41:13.512 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.427$  that are strongly coactive or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00:41:15.427 \rightarrow 00:41:17.342$  correlated with this one neuron,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}41{:}17{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}19{.}331$  and so you can imagine that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}41{:}19{.}331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21{.}802$  could do it for every neuron in your NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}41{:}21.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}24.585$  field of view and what it turns out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}41{:}24.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}26.919$  is that individual neurons have very NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

 $00{:}41{:}26{.}919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}29{.}035$  different SCMS telling us that the NOTE Confidence: 0.8066031

00:41:29.035 --> 00:41:30.760 long range connectivity of single

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}41{:}30{.}827 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33{.}228$  cells varies a lot, even for two cells NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:41:33.228 \rightarrow 00:41:35.610$  which are right next to each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}41{:}35{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}37{.}440$  and so we're using this approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}41{:}37{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}38{.}660$  to learn something about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:41:38.716 \rightarrow 00:41:40.586$  connectivity between big and small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}41{:}40.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}42.935$  and just to bring in some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:41:42.935 --> 00:41:44.360 the behavioral state data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}41{:}44{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}46{.}736$  It also turns out that those networks are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:41:46.736 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.109$  dynamic as a functional behavioral state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}41{:}49{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}51{.}476$  and here these are just two examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:41:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.384$  Top is 1 example of autumn is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}41{:}53{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}55{.}031$  another where we calculated this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:41:55.031 --> 00:41:57.335 sort of average network for two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}41{:}57{.}335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}58{.}940$  different neurons divided into,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}41{:}58{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}00{.}344$  say, whisking in quiescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}42{:}00{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}03{.}148$  And what you'll see is the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:03.148 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.652$  the left image and the right image look

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701
- $00:42:05.720 \rightarrow 00:42:07.754$  different tells us that the coupling

 $00{:}42{:}07.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10.598$  of each of these two neurons to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:10.598 \rightarrow 00:42:12.458$  large scale cortical network differs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}42{:}12.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}14.666$  whether the animal is whisking or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:14.670 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.250$  And here on the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}42{:}16.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}18.532$  these are just the correlations of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}42{:}18.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}20.989$  two Maps for a whole bunch of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:20.990 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.446$  So if the left and the right were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:23.446 \rightarrow 00:42:25.494$  exactly the same, you get a one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:25.494 \rightarrow 00:42:27.030$  and if they were sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:27.103 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.208$  totally unrelated to each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}42{:}29{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}30{.}290$  you get a zero.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:30.290 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.626$  And So what you see is that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}42{:}32{.}626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}34{.}546$  all the neurons in cortex it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:34.546 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.469$  kind of spans that range,

 $00:42:36.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.366$  and so is the animal transitions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:38.366 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.314$  across behavioral states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:42:39.320 --> 00:42:41.180 Some cells really don't care their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:41.180 \rightarrow 00:42:42.790$  large scale connectivity doesn't change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:42.790 \rightarrow 00:42:44.425$  and other neurons completely reorganized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:44.425 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.733$  their large scale connectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}42{:}45.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}48.038$  As a function of the animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:48.038 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.804$  behavioral state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:42:48.810 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.632$  so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}42{:}49.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52.098$  really providing this this cool evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}42{:}52.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}54.189$  that connectivity is not only anatomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}42{:}54{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}56{.}758$  Connectivity is very much a functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}42{:}56.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}59.199$  property of what the brain happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}42{:}59{.}199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02{.}250$  to be doing it at any moment to time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.330$  And the last thing I will say

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.280$  about all of this.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701
- $00{:}43{:}07{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}09{.}180$  Is that because these indicators

 $00:43:09.180 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.320$  are genetically encoded?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}43{:}10{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}12{.}763$  We can express them in pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:12.763 \rightarrow 00:43:15.260$  whatever cell type you're interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:43:15.260 --> 00:43:17.920 so if you want to say OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}43{:}17{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20{.}200$  these are all for excitatory neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}43{:}20{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}22{.}979$  These are kind of the connectivity Maps

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}43{:}22.979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}25.139$  for excitatory neurons in the cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:43:25.140 --> 00:43:25.520 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:25.520 \rightarrow 00:43:27.800$  what if you're interested in interneurons?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}43{:}27.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}30.292$  We can just as easily express these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}43{:}30{.}292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}32{.}359$  indicators selectively in Gabaergic cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:43:32.360 --> 00:43:34.260 in subtypes of Gabaergic cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}43{:}34{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36{.}624$  and derive these kinds of metrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:36.624 \rightarrow 00:43:37.806$  for all different.

 $00:43:37.810 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.808$  Cortical neurons to learn about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}43{:}39{.}808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41{.}760$  different cell types are connected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:43:41.760 --> 00:43:44.624 We can almost go one better than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:43:44.630 --> 00:43:47.094 What if it turns out that you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:43:47.094 --> 00:43:49.896 a mosaic animal in which some of its

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}43{:}49.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}52.170$  neurons are mutant or transgenic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:52.170 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.960$  Especially for maybe some interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.676$  disease gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:43:54.680 --> 00:43:57.011 We can then compare mutant cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:43:57.011 \rightarrow 00:43:59.434$  say wild type control cells from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}43{:}59{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01{.}941$  same animal and ask how does the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:44:01.941 \rightarrow 00:44:04.734$  cell autonomous deletion of that gene alter?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}44{:}04.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}06.590$  Or perhaps deletion of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

00:44:06.590 --> 00:44:08.070 gene cell autonomously disrupt?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00{:}44{:}08{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}09{.}645$  The large scale connectivity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701

 $00:44:09.645 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.275$  those neurons.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701
- $00:44:10.280 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.698$  So essentially this gives us a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701
- $00:44:12.698 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.852$  very powerful readout into the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701
- $00:44:14.852 \rightarrow 00:44:16.720$  connectivity of single cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701
- $00:44:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.318$  And again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701
- $00{:}44{:}17{.}318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}19{.}112$  this is this has been described
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701
- $00{:}44{:}19{.}112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21{.}117$  in a paper from last year.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701
- 00:44:21.120 --> 00:44:21.449 OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701
- $00:44:21.449 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.081$  so in the last part of the talk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701
- $00{:}44{:}24.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}26.644$  I'm going to shift to something
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8482701
- $00:44:26.644 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.794$  that is perhaps maybe a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697
- $00:44:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.650$  little bit more interesting to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697
- $00{:}44{:}31{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}34{.}484$  this Community, which is which is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697
- 00:44:34.484 --> 00:44:37.070 Our work is very preclinical work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697
- 00:44:37.070 --> 00:44:39.160 Nevertheless into models of neuro
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697
- $00{:}44{:}39{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}41{.}250$  psychiatric disorders and my lab
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:44:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.315$  in close collaboration with just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:44:43.315 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.310$  Cardens lab primarily works on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}44{:}45{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}47{.}408$  autism spectrum disorder models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}44{:}47{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}49{.}780$  I'll just say from my perspective

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}44{:}49.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}52.988$  I this the notion of what these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}44{:}52{.}988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}54{.}536$  genetic models represents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:44:54.540 --> 00:44:55.608 Is not clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:44:55.608 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.744$  I generally don't think that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:44:57.744 --> 00:44:59.796 mouse can be autistic in in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:44:59.796 \rightarrow 00:45:02.083$  way that is is meaningful, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:02.083 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.798$  These are animals in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:03.798 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.827$  we've deleted genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:04.830 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.545$  Those jeans have been linked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:45:06.545 --> 00:45:07.917 to autism spectrum disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.936$  and so we hope that these model

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:09.936 \rightarrow 00:45:12.102$  systems give us insight into how

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697
- $00:45:12.102 \rightarrow 00:45:13.750$  genes regulate brain activity,

 $00:45:13.750 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.170$  how that ultimately translates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.590$  into the clinical phenotypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}16.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.988$  associated with this disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:17.988 \rightarrow 00:45:19.578$  with these disorders is actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}19{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}21{.}638$  I think, a much harder question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:21.640 \rightarrow 00:45:23.400$  and something that is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}23.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.770$  difficult to do in a rodent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}25.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}28.056$  So I just want to put that out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}28.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.449$  'cause I feel sort of strongly about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:30.450 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.984$  In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}30{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}32{.}853$  I think it puts the preclinical study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}32.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}34.784$  in actually in a better position to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:34.784 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.026$  not try to claim any sort of face

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:37.026 \rightarrow 00:45:38.694$  validity and simply say these are.

 $00{:}45{:}38{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}40{.}812$  These are mechanistic studies to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}40.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}43.060$  links between genes and brain activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:45:43.060 --> 00:45:43.424 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}43{.}424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46{.}336$  so I'm going to tell you about our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:45:46.336 - > 00:45:49.158 work in a model of Rett syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:45:49.160 --> 00:45:49.890 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}49{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52{.}445$  with the caveat that I just said

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:45:52.445 \rightarrow 00:45:54.544$  attached and specifically these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}54{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}57{.}540$  going to be mice with mutations in me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:45:57.540 --> 00:45:57.891 CP2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}45{:}57{.}891 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}00{.}699$  methyl CPG binding protein 2 which is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:00.699 \rightarrow 00:46:03.250$  causal gene disrupted in Rett syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}46{:}03.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05.917$  and so as many you probably know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:46:05.920 --> 00:46:07.936 Rett syndrome in human patients goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}46{:}07{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09{.}816$  with with cognitive impairment with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:09.816 \rightarrow 00:46:11.247$  intellectual disability seizures.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697
- $00:46:11.250 \rightarrow 00:46:13.220$  This sort of very characteristic

 $00:46:13.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.796$  loss of learned skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:46:14.800 --> 00:46:16.060 Early in life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:16.060 \rightarrow 00:46:18.580$  language deficits and then some really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:46:18.580 --> 00:46:20.114 interesting stereotype and movements

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:20.114 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.139$  that also go with with a taxi as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

00:46:23.140 --> 00:46:24.112 For you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:24.112 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.859$  just just for saying that me CP2 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}46{:}26.859 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}29.307$  a is a transcriptional regulator and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}46{:}29{.}307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31{.}781$  so it's disruption causes an enormous

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:31.781 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.127$  host of changes at the genetic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}46{:}34{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35{.}698$  molecular and cellular level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00:46:35.698 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.050$  So really we're looking at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}46{:}38.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39.655$  sort of functional consequences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345697

 $00{:}46{:}39{.}655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42{.}719$  of disruption of a gene that has a

 $00:46:42.719 \rightarrow 00:46:45.113$  number of pathways that can interact with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}46{:}47{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}49{.}915$  So the really cool thing that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:46:49.915 \rightarrow 00:46:51.348$  discovered works, maybe surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:46:51.348 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.442$  and so this is work that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}46{:}53{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}55{.}390$  been done by Antara Majumdar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}46{:}55{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}58{.}460$  who is a post grad in the card lab and NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}46{:}58{.}541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}01{.}445$  repent who is a technician in the card

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}47{:}01{.}445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04{.}518$  lab is to take these viral vectors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}47{:}04{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06{.}160$  And I previously showed you

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.253$  that they work really well for

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:47:08.253 --> 00:47:10.198 expressing G cap or cholinergic

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:47:10.198 --> 00:47:12.180 indicators everywhere in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:12.180 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.095$  They also work really well

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:14.095 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.010$  for driving crisper cast 9.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:16.010 \rightarrow 00:47:18.838$  Related proteins and so for these mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:47:18.840 \rightarrow 00:47:21.521$  these are transgenic mice in which CAS

- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00:47:21.521 \rightarrow 00:47:24.488$  9 is expressed in all excitatory cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00{:}47{:}24{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}26{.}920$  so these mice are otherwise fine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00{:}47{:}26{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}30{.}088$  It just turns out at the moment it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00:47:30.088 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.760$  methodologically easier to start
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00:47:31.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.785$  with the transgenic kastein mouse,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00{:}47{:}33.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}36.142$  so these might express cast 9
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00:47:36.142 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.230$  in all their excitatory cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00:47:38.230 \rightarrow 00:47:41.058$  We then inject two different viral vectors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- 00:47:41.060 --> 00:47:42.218 These AAV 9,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00:47:42.218 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.534$  one is driving a GFP tagged
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- 00:47:44.534 --> 00:47:46.778 guide RNA targeting me CP2.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00{:}47{:}46.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}48.558$  And for some experiments I'll show you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- 00:47:48.558 --> 00:47:50.799 in a second another is just driving
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00{:}47{:}50.799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}52.579$  this red fluorescent calcium indicator.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00:47:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.660$  Our camp one be so first here in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

- $00:47:54.660 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.872$  the center is just a little bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00:47:56.872 \rightarrow 00:47:58.502$  of confirmation that this crisper
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00{:}47{:}58.569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}59.897$  cast 9 strategy works.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00:47:59.900 \rightarrow 00:48:02.340$  So what you see on the left panel?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00{:}48{:}02{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}03{.}228$  That's the guide.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00{:}48{:}03.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05.300$  RNA and GFP expression that that again
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00:48:05.353 \rightarrow 00:48:07.516$  you see pretty much throughout the brain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00:48:07.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.676$  And here on the right the red
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00{:}48{:}09{.}676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}11{.}185$  is staining for me, CP2,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00:48:11.185 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.710$  the green is the GFP.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00{:}48{:}12.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}14.796$  The guide RNA expressing cells and you'll
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00:48:14.796 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.977$  see that there's really no overlap there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00:48:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.284$  So basically every cell.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00:48:18.284 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.588$  It has guide RNA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00:48:19.590 \rightarrow 00:48:21.600$  We have successfully deleted me CP2
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- $00:48:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.260$  expression and that's shown here.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- 00:48:23.260 --> 00:48:24.920 You know for the population,

 $00:48:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.252$  so control mice have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:48:26.252 --> 00:48:28.580 You know, roughly 90% expression of me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}48{:}28{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}29{.}928$  CP2 in all cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}48{:}29{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}32{.}250$  And that's not down to about 20%

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}48{:}32.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}34.574$  expression in the in the crisper model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:48:34.580 --> 00:48:35.908 So it's not perfect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:35.908 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.568$  but I'll also point out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.568$  right that cast 9 is only

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:39.568 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.900$  in the excitatory cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:40.900 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.903$  which is only about 80% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}48{:}42{.}903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}43{.}902$  all cortical neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:43.902 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.900$  So since this is about 20%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:45.900 \rightarrow 00:48:48.609$  it's actually suggestive that were in fact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:48.610 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.570$  Probably deleting me CP2 from

 $00:48:50.570 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.530$  almost all the excitatory cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}48{:}52{.}599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}54{.}399$  that are expressing kastein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}48{:}54{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}56{.}444$  You could do this in a transgenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:56.444 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.196$  mouse in which kastein was

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:58.196 \rightarrow 00:48:59.820$  even more broadly expressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:48:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.520$  and we would presumably have

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:49:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.880$  even even larger effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}49{:}02{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05{.}512$  and then this is just a Western blot

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:49:05.512 --> 00:49:07.853 data showing as a function of me

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}49{:}07{.}853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}09{.}896$  CP2 protein and controls the crisper

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}49{:}09{.}896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}12.704$  mutants show about a 75% reduction in MCP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:49:12.704 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.380$  two protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}49{:}13{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}15{.}802$  and this is in comparison to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:49:15.802 --> 00:49:17.431 standard knockout for me, CP2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}49{:}17{.}431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19{.}036$  which shows an almost complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:49:19.036 \rightarrow 00:49:21.180$  loss of of me CP2 protein.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685
- 00:49:21.180 --> 00:49:22.125 So somewhat remarkably,

 $00{:}49{:}22.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.970$  you can use viral vectors in an otherwise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:49:24.970 --> 00:49:26.878 Wild type mouse and get robust

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}49{:}26.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}28.520$  loss of me CP two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:49:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.900$  So does that mean anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:49:30.900 \rightarrow 00:49:32.804$  functionally for these animals?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00:49:32.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.964$  So now this is work analytical

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}49{:}34{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}37{.}159$  work done by Hospice Tia postdoc

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}49{:}37{.}159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}39{.}217$  in my lab and lavonna Mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}49{:}39{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}41{.}578$  who is a Yale Singapore undergraduate

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

 $00{:}49{:}41{.}578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}44{.}123$  who's been Co advised by Jess and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78669685

00:49:44.123 --> 00:49:46.223 I an lavonna was just admitted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:49:46.292 --> 00:49:47.760 the Yellae NP program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}49{:}47.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}49.910$  It's we're aggressively trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:49:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.679$  convince her that she wants to to

 $00:49:52.679 \rightarrow 00:49:54.871$  come to Yale for her PhD as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}49{:}54{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}57{.}706$  So what had Austin lab did was the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:49:57.706 \rightarrow 00:50:00.399$  kinds of correlational analysis that I?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.472$  And you about so these are these

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}02{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04{.}324$  are just matrices showing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:50:04.324 --> 00:50:06.124 pairwise correlations of activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}06{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07{.}924$  in different cortical regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:07.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.012$  And they're going to use graph

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}10.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}11.686$  theory based analysis, and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}11.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}14.190$  I'm going to kind of just just blow NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}14.267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}16.605$  through any of the details here and NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}16.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19.207$  tell you that the variable I'm going NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:19.207 \rightarrow 00:50:21.499$  to show you is called centrality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}21{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}23{.}185$  And it's really a mathematical

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:23.185 \rightarrow 00:50:25.330$  representation of how a given parcel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.766$  In this case they have blue dots,

 $00:50:27.770 \rightarrow 00:50:30.546$  is connected with the rest of the cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}30{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}33{.}394$  so a a blue dot that is directly or

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}33{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}35{.}964$  indirectly coupled to a small number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}35{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}38{.}659$  other regions would have a low centrality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}38.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}40.683$  Whereas a region of blue dot that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}40{.}683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}43{.}085$  really connected to a whole lot of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:43.085 \rightarrow 00:50:44.560$  regions would have high centrality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:44.560 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.465$  So it's it's just a measure of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}47{.}465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}49{.}149$  how functionally connected one

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:49.149 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.969$  area is with with another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}50{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}52{.}740$  So the first thing I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.340$  to show you is this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:50:54.340 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.560$  which is probably very

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}50{:}55{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}57{.}085$  difficult to make sense of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:50:57.090 - 00:50:58.980 but I throw up here just really

 $00:50:58.980 \rightarrow 00:51:00.424$  quickly and what we've plotted

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}51{:}00{.}424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}02{.}146$  here now is the centrality for

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}51{:}02.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}04.128$  all of these different regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:51:04.130 --> 00:51:04.434 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}51{:}04{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}05{.}954$  all the different cortical regions

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:51:05.954 --> 00:51:07.490 comparing control mice in black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}51{:}07{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09{.}639$  the black bars and the ME CP2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:51:09.640 --> 00:51:10.684 CRISPR mutants in Gray.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}51{:}10{.}684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12{.}250$  And then we divide that up

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}51{:}12{.}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}13{.}918$  into quiescence and locomotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}51{:}13{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}16{.}062$  And so you can sort of squinted

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:16.062 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.980$  this from bed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.750$  and you'll see that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.323$  some differences in the bars

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}51{:}20{.}323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}21{.}659$  in different regions and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:21.660 \rightarrow 00:51:25.520$  If you want us to let your eyes go to that,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263
- $00:51:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.152$  that's fine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263
- $00{:}51{:}26{.}152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}29{.}138$  but this is a little bit of an easier
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263
- 00:51:29.138 > 00:51:31.833 way to appreciate what we see here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263
- $00{:}51{:}31{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33{.}595$  and it's similar for quiescence
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263
- 00:51:33.595 --> 00:51:34.297 in locomotion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263
- $00{:}51{:}34{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}36{.}400$  So I'll just describe quiescence here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263
- $00:51:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.155$  What I'm plotting here is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263
- 00:51:38.155 --> 00:51:39.559 the difference in centrality.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263
- 00:51:39.560 --> 00:51:39.873 Basically,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263
- $00:51:39.873 \rightarrow 00:51:42.377$  the black bars minus the Gray bars up
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263
- $00:51:42.377 \rightarrow 00:51:44.828$  here for each different cortical region,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263
- $00{:}51{:}44{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}46{.}936$  so it's the it's the controls
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263
- $00:51:46.936 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.989$  minus the mutants,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263
- $00:51:47.990 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.740$  so everywhere that it's red,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263
- $00:51:49.740 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.670$  it means the controls are.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:51:51.670 --> 00:51:54.400 More connected and everywhere that it's blue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}51{:}54{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}57{.}018$  it means that the mutants show higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}51{:}57{.}018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}59{.}809$  connectivity and so you can see this

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:51:59.809 \rightarrow 00:52:01.413$  is very spatially heterogeneous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}52{:}01{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}03{.}100$  There's some really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}52{:}03.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}05.200$  networks whereby in some cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}52{:}05{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06{.}742$  mutants show higher connectivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:52:06.742 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.220$  and in others the the controls do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}52{:}09{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}11.068$  and that's roughly similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:52{:}11.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}12.916$  although not perfectly similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}52{:}12{.}916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}15{.}678$  as a function of brain state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:52:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.208$  So this is really where I said at

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}52{:}18.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}20.395$  the very beginning that some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

00:52:20.395 --> 00:52:23.118 the data we're going to be quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}52{:}23.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}26.149$  preliminary and this is these are these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:52:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.898$  Are they?

00:52:26.898 --> 00:52:29.890 So I don't fully know what this means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}52{:}29{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32{.}172$  but what it's telling us is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}52{:}32{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}34{.}033$  these this mutation strategy allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:52:34.033 \rightarrow 00:52:36.481$  us to see very different patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:52:36.481 \rightarrow 00:52:38.488$  of connectivity across the cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00{:}52{:}38{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}40{.}435$  suggesting that these might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.76190263

 $00:52:40.435 \rightarrow 00:52:42.979$  classical disruptions seen in some of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}52{:}42{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}44{.}995$  Some of these models of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:52:44.995 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.204$  autism spectrum disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:52:46.210 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.408$  I'm not going to see any data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:52:48.410 --> 00:52:50.802 but I will say that we have worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}52{:}50{.}802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52{.}798$  on another gene called REI One,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}52{:}52{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}54{.}588$  which is retinoic acid induced gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}52{:}54{.}588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}57{.}220$  one which is the causal gene and Smith

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}52{:}57{.}220$  -->  $00{:}52{:}59{.}284$  Magenis syndrome and it actually looks

 $00{:}52{:}59{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}01{.}644$  quite similar and an REI wanted me to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}01{.}644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}03{.}790$  have nothing to do with each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:03.790 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.300$  so it's really quite intriguing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.148$  and what we've sort of started

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}07{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}09{.}340$  this project to look at is to ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}09{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}11{.}443$  whether or not different models of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:11.443 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.855$  autism spectrum disorders which

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:12.855 \rightarrow 00:53:14.152$  made genetically or molecularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}14.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}16.350$  have nothing to do with each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:53:16.350 --> 00:53:18.378 converge on similar network level phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}18{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}20{.}076$  And so this is sort of an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:20.076 \rightarrow 00:53:22.103$  of the kinds of network phenotypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}22.103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}23.643$  that we're actively exploring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:23.650 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.116$  and in some of these models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:26.120 \rightarrow 00:53:28.184$  And you might imagine could easily

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:28.184 \rightarrow 00:53:30.368$  be applied to whatever your favorite

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013
- 00:53:30.368 --> 00:53:32.238 model of of neuro psychiatric

00:53:32.238 --> 00:53:34.603 disorders might be to the last slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}34{.}603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}36{.}373$  that I'll show you is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.604$  that's perhaps equally cool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:37.604 \rightarrow 00:53:40.989$  which is that we can do sort of some standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}40{.}989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}43{.}215$  bench top behavioral assays in these mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:43.220 \rightarrow 00:53:44.930$  and so in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:53:44.930 \rightarrow 00:53:47.317$  this is the three Chamber sociability assay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}47{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49{.}330$  You're simply asking whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}49{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}51{.}421$  a control or mutant mouse prefers

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}51{.}421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}53{.}479$  to hang out with a conspecific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}53{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}57{.}080$  or prefers to hang out by itself in an empty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}57{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}59{.}015$  Cage and you'll see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}53{:}59{.}015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}00{.}563$  control data shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}54{:}00{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}02{.}901$  This is sort of typical and I'll

 $00:54:02.901 \rightarrow 00:54:05.596$  sort of be transparent and say this

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}54{:}05{.}596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}08{.}508$  is the black bars are males and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:54:08.508 --> 00:54:10.974 females lumped together that we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}54{:}10{.}974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}13{.}371$  see much of a difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}54{:}13.371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}15.693$  males and females of control mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:54:15.700 --> 00:54:16.054 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}54{:}16.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}18.886$  when we then look at the mutants and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:18.886 \rightarrow 00:54:21.908$  we divide them into males and females,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}54{:}21{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}24{.}238$  we get very interesting and different

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:24.238 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.794$  phenotypes, whereas the males,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:25.794 \rightarrow 00:54:28.133$  the male mutants, much prefer to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:28.133 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.306$  To be alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00{:}54{:}29{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}31{.}350$  the female mutants show and even

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

00:54:31.350 --> 00:54:32.710 heightened preference for being

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:32.764 \rightarrow 00:54:33.720$  with conspecifics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

 $00:54:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.916$  and so this is also preliminary.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013
- 00:54:35.920 --> 00:54:37.484 It's actually already significant,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013
- $00:54:37.484 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.200$  but this is sort of part of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013
- $00:54:40.200 \rightarrow 00:54:41.416$  a much larger study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013
- 00:54:41.420 --> 00:54:43.616 but I will sort of emphasize
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013
- $00{:}54{:}43.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}45.829$  that these are these AAV mice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013
- $00{:}54{:}45{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}47{.}624$  These were otherwise wild type C57
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013
- $00{:}54{:}47.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}49.782$  mice that we used viral vectors to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013
- $00{:}54{:}49{.}782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}51{.}875$  drive loss of functioning me CP two
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013
- $00{:}54{:}51{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}54{.}390$  that produces both substantial network
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013
- $00{:}54{:}54{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56{.}350$  dys regulation and standard behavioral
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013
- $00:54:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.364$  deficits seen in these mutants.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013
- $00{:}54{:}58{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00{.}269$  So I think it illustrates.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013
- $00:55:00.270 \rightarrow 00:55:02.610$  Through the power of these viral
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013
- $00:55:02.610 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.027$  tools for expanding the OR for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013
- 00:55:05.027 00:55:06.197 making more flexibel,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013

- $00:55:06.200 \rightarrow 00:55:07.780$  the ability to study
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83340013
- $00:55:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.360$  mutations of various genes.

 $00:55:11.660 \rightarrow 00:55:14.024$  So OK, so to summarize everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:14.024 \rightarrow 00:55:15.980$  that I've I've told you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.434$  so one behavioral state is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:18.434 \rightarrow 00:55:21.490$  that we don't have a great handle on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:21.490 \rightarrow 00:55:22.906$  We define it operationally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:22.906 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.030$  but it clearly corresponds to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00{:}55{:}25{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}27{.}220$  very high dimensional combination of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00{:}55{:}27{.}220$  -->  $00{:}55{:}29{.}350$  both motor and autonomic activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00{:}55{:}29{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31{.}480$  Arousal seems to be associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

00:55:31.480 --> 00:55:33.343 increases in both cholinergic signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00{:}55{:}33{.}343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}35{.}278$  and just general cortical activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00{:}55{:}35{.}278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}37{.}600$  decreases in local circuit correlations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:37.600 \dashrightarrow 00:55:39.540$  But really interesting dynamic

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:39.540 \rightarrow 00:55:41.965$  changes in large scale correlations.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00:55:41.970 \rightarrow 00:55:44.602$  Loss of me CP2 expression via this

 $00{:}55{:}44.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}46.610$  viral crisper strategy drives changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:46.610 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.028$  in both network activity as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:49.028 \rightarrow 00:55:51.046$  as behavioral deficits and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00{:}55{:}51{.}046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}53{.}332$  sort of bring all that together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00{:}55{:}53{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}54{.}124$  You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:54.124 \rightarrow 00:55:56.868$  simply to say that these viral vectors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

00:55:56.870 -> 00:55:58.046 music, scopic, imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

00:55:58.046 --> 00:55:58.830 genetic editing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:55:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.600$  all these strategies in combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00:56:00.600 \rightarrow 00:56:02.370$  provide incredibly powerful tools for

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00{:}56{:}02.419 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}04.307$  dissecting relationships between cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

00:56:04.310 --> 00:56:05.453 circuits, and behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00{:}56{:}05{.}453 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}08{.}679$  and so let me just finish by acknowledging

NOTE Confidence: 0.845708

 $00{:}56{:}08.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}12.018$  again every body that did all the work.
- 00:56:12.020 --> 00:56:13.982 So Dan Barson did all of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00:56:13.982 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.000$  the dual to Pizzo imaging.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- 00:56:16.000 --> 00:56:17.158 Had Aspen, Insteon,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- 00:56:17.158 --> 00:56:17.544 lavonna.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00{:}56{:}17{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}19{.}860$  Mark Levan is also advised by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- 00:56:19.936 --> 00:56:21.068 the by Jess Card,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00{:}56{:}21.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}23.982$  and have been doing all of the graph
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00{:}56{:}23.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}26.139$  based analysis on this topic data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00{:}56{:}26{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}26{.}864$  Andrew Moberly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00{:}56{:}26{.}864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}29{.}398$  an sweater Lohani in the card lab,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00{:}56{:}29{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}31{.}801$  did all of the dual calcium an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- 00:56:31.801 --> 00:56:33.653 acetyl<br/>choline imaging and Antara Majumdar  $\,$
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00{:}56{:}33{.}653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}35{.}909$  an remote Panton justice lab did.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00{:}56{:}35{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}37{.}785$  The initial virus injections and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00:56:37.785 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.428$  did all of the Histology of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00:56:40.428 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.168$  Western blotting data for the.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00:56:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.458$  For the Christmas stuff,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00{:}56{:}43.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}45.390$  we've been really generously funded by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00:56:45.445 \rightarrow 00:56:47.019$  both the NIH, the Simons Foundation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00{:}56{:}47.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}48.534$  and the Smith McGinnis Engine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- 00:56:48.534 --> 00:56:49.140 Research Foundation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00:56:49.140 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.650$  For some of this work,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00:56:50.650 \rightarrow 00:56:52.480$  as well as getting important support
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00:56:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.990$  from the Copley Foundation here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00:56:53.990 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.277$  yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00:56:54.277 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.286$  so thank you all so much for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00{:}56{:}56{.}286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}58{.}181$  bearing with me and I'm happy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845708
- $00{:}56{:}58{.}181 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}59{.}433$  to answer any questions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8789764
- 00:57:02.720 --> 00:57:04.519 Thank you Mike. Maybe you can stop
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8789764
- $00:57:04.519 \dashrightarrow 00:57:06.333$  sharing your screen and we can have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8789764
- $00:57:06.333 \dashrightarrow 00:57:07.573$  people chime in with questions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8789764

 $00:57:07.580 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.632$  There is 1. Technical question in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789764

 $00{:}57{:}09{.}632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}12{.}112$  the chat from Lauren Zima Low and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789764

 $00{:}57{:}12.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}14.200$  the question was whether the controls NOTE Confidence: 0.8789764

 $00:57:14.200 \rightarrow 00:57:16.407$  5050 male and female or where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789764

 $00:57:16.407 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.560$  skewed in one way or the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789764

 $00:57:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.200$  No, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00:57:19.200 \rightarrow 00:57:21.108$  yeah, so that's really quite preliminary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00{:}57{:}21{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}23{.}810$  The controls there I I think it's I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00{:}57{:}23.810$  -->  $00{:}57{:}26.124$  those controls are six animals and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00{:}57{:}26{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}28{.}769$  think it's two males and and for females.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

00:57:28.770 --> 00:57:31.314 So in some sense you know you should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00{:}57{:}31{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}33{.}156$  You should take those statistics as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00{:}57{:}33.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}36.055$  a with a bit of a grain of salt for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00:57:36.055 \rightarrow 00:57:38.020$  sort of an internal presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00:57:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.006$  but I would say though that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00:57:40.010 \rightarrow 00:57:42.386$  They're really for those six animals.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277
- $00:57:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.760$  The four females and two males.

 $00{:}57{:}44.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}47.136$  They're pretty similar in their distribution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00:57:47.140 \rightarrow 00:57:50.695$  and even if you just look at them separately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00{:}57{:}50{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}53{.}076$  it's clear that the male and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8051277

 $00:57:53.076 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.660$  the female mutants really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8176789

 $00{:}57{:}54.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}56.635$  seemed to push well outside

NOTE Confidence: 0.8176789

 $00:57:56.635 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.425$  those distributions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8176789

 $00:57:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.410$  So along those same lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8176789

 $00:57:59.410 \dashrightarrow 00:58:01.395$  Huda Zoghbi's lab has extensively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8176789

 $00{:}58{:}01{.}395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}03{.}770$  characterized the full knock outs of me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8176789

00:58:03.770 --> 00:58:06.145 CP2 has she seen \*\*\* differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8176789

 $00:58:06.145 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.330$  in social interaction?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}58{:}09{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}10{.}700$  I don't believe so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

00:58:10.700 --> 00:58:13.229 I don't want to say no at all 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

00:58:13.229 --> 00:58:15.520 I don't know if she's ever shown it,

 $00{:}58{:}15{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}16{.}942$  but one of the I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:16.942 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.600$  know if it's a weirdness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}58{:}18{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}20{.}329$  but it's one of the facts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:20.329 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.239$  the MCP 2 field in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}58{:}22{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}23{.}836$  Is that most of the rodent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}58{:}23.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}25.600$  work is done in the males.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:25.600 \rightarrow 00:58:27.280$  The males have much bigger phenotypes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}58{:}27{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}29{.}472$  so me Susan excellent gene and so most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}58{:}29{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}32{.}040$  of the work has been done in the males,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}58{:}32.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}33.720$  though as you probably are aware,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:33.720 \rightarrow 00:58:35.750$  in clinical cases it's much more often NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}58{:}35{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}37{.}604$  females that are considered now that NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

00:58:37.604 --> 00:58:39.464 maybe because even in the humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:39.470 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.414$  Loss of any CP2 in males is so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}58{:}42{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}44{.}763$  devastating that in many cases it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:44.763 \rightarrow 00:58:47.061$  may not be compatible with life.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031
- $00:58:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.065$  So that may be why the human

00:58:49.065 --> 00:58:51.127 studies are actually biased to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:51.127 \rightarrow 00:58:52.655$  less severely affected subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:58:52.660 \rightarrow 00:58:55.612$  So one of the nice parts about this also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

00:58:55.620 --> 00:58:58.102 OK, so first point as well, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}58{:}58{.}102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}02{.}118$  So our viral expression method is post Natal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

00:59:02.120 --> 00:59:02.668 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:59:02.668 \rightarrow 00:59:04.586$  if you want to study prenatal changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}59{:}04{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06{.}228$  obviously that doesn't work for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00:59:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.875$  But if you want to say spare

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

00:59:07.875 --> 00:59:09.281 any really early disruption and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

00:59:09.281 --> 00:59:10.886 look at gene function later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}59{:}10.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}12.808$  it has an advantage in that regard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

00:59:12.810 --> 00:59:15.025 So let's study some of these \*\*\* differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031

 $00{:}59{:}15{.}025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}16{.}855$  perhaps a bit more easily than

- $00:59:16.855 \rightarrow 00:59:18.680$  we might with the transgenics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8055031
- $00:59:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.950$  Thank
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78460616
- 00:59:18.950 00:59:20.594 you, Zoran. Do you want to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78460616
- $00:59:20.594 \rightarrow 00:59:21.690$  ask your other question?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023
- 00:59:24.500 --> 00:59:26.422 I'm not sure if I can be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023
- $00{:}59{:}26{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}27{.}789$  heard well and can click
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023
- 00:59:27.790 00:59:30.880 no logical challenges here so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023
- $00{:}59{:}30{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}34{.}288$  Is it possible to Anna is really love this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023
- 00:59:34.288 --> 00:59:36.928 seminar and its high-end Tour de force
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023
- $00{:}59{:}36{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}39{.}658$  fantastic in all these fluorescent tests?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023
- $00:59:39.660 \dashrightarrow 00:59:42.050$  Sometimes in in a simpler models.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023
- $00:59:42.050 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.446$  It's good to put a some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023
- $00:59:44.446 \rightarrow 00:59:46.436$  kind of a negative control.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023
- $00:59:46.440 \rightarrow 00:59:48.852$  Would that be 2 technologically challenging
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023
- $00:59:48.852 \rightarrow 00:59:51.229$  or have you thought about that?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023
- 00:59:51.230 --> 00:59:54.022 Yeah, I don't like a third probe

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023
- $00:59:54.022 \rightarrow 00:59:56.418$  that doesn't react to anything yet.

 $00{:}59{:}56{.}418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}58{.}010$  OK, said, that's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $00{:}59{:}58.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}00.026$  That's a fantastic question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:00.026 \rightarrow 01:00:03.340$  And so yeah, so I didn't go into

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}00{:}03{.}340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}06{.}220$  a lot of the details, but so one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:06.220 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.380$  There's a lot to unpack there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}00{:}08{.}380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}11{.}308$  so so yes, getting just sort of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}00{:}11{.}308 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}13{.}600$  basil readout of how much flora for

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:13.600 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.376$  is in in a given region is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:00:16.376 --> 01:00:18.812 sort of of critical and right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}00{:}18.820 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}20.980$  so you'd want some readout that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:00:20.980 --> 01:00:22.060 wasn't activity dependent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:22.060 \rightarrow 01:00:24.220$  More than that changes in hemodynamics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:24.220 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.300$  especially right because

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:25.300 \longrightarrow 01:00:26.380$  hemoglobin absorbs photons,

 $01:00:26.380 \rightarrow 01:00:28.540$  especially in these visible light ranges,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}00{:}28.540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}30.415$  and that that absorption varies

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:30.415 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.290$  as a function of oxygenation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}00{:}32.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}33.950$  So there are hemodynamic artifacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:33.950 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.569$  in in a lot of this as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}00{:}36{.}570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}38{.}275$  so figuring out those controls

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:38.275 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.840$  is a huge part of what we do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:40.840 \longrightarrow 01:00:42.628$  and there are two ways that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}00{:}42.628 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}44.790$  we do to get around that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:44.790 \rightarrow 01:00:47.414$  So firstly, well, I didn't say this before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}00{:}47{.}420 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}49{.}352$  If you illuminate G camp with

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:00:49.352 --> 01:00:51.040 UV light instead of blue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:51.040 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.364$  it actually fluoresces independently

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}00{:}52.364 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}54.019$  of its calcium concentration and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:00:54.019 - 01:00:55.649 it basically behaves like GFP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:00:55.650 \rightarrow 01:00:57.462$  So you can use UV illumination

 $01{:}00{:}57{.}462 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}00{.}496$  and the G camp as a as a sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:01:00.496 --> 01:01:01.900 of activity independent readout,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}01{:}01{-}00$  -->  $01{:}01{:}04{.}628$  and so that can be quite useful as

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:01:04.628 --> 01:01:08.658 a normalizing. Signal in addition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}08.660$  -->  $01{:}01{:}10.711$  You can use fluctuations in that signal NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:10.711 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.753$  as a readout of hemodynamics because

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:01:12.753 --> 01:01:14.967 we know it's not calcium dependent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:14.970 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.956$  so any changes in that signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:16.960 \rightarrow 01:01:18.268$  or presumably from hemodynamics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}18.268 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}20.230$  We can also collect the backscattered

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:20.284 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.940$  green photons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}20{.}940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}23{.}005$  so we're shining green light on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}23.005 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}25.260$  issue for the our camp fluorescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}25{.}260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}27{.}916$  so the our campus fluorescing in the red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:27.920 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.966$  But you can also measure the

 $01:01:29.966 \rightarrow 01:01:31.708$  green photons that just bounce

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:01:31.708 --> 01:01:33.885 off the brain and come back up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}33.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}35.550$  Those are also very sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:35.550 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.546$  to hemodynamic changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}36.550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}38.692$  and so you can measure fluctuations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}38.692 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}40.290$  that backscattered green fluorescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}40.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}42.618$  Is another readout for hemodynamics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}42.618$  -->  $01{:}01{:}44.545$  then regress those hemodynamic signals

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:01:44.545 --> 01:01:46.722 out of the data to essentially correct

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}46.722 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}48.789$  for those those in accuracies does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}48.790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}52.300$  That does that answer the question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

01:01:52.300 --> 01:01:53.638 Yes, it it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}53.638 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}56.772$  Even a ratio might be a possibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01{:}01{:}56.772 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}59.932$  between those two and then you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.79415023

 $01:01:59.932 \rightarrow 01:02:02.130$  actually standardize the output but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885

 $01:02:04.150 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.425$  It must be too complicated

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885
- $01:02:05.425 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.430$  to do in a real experiment.

01:02:07.430 --> 01:02:09.509 No, no. I mean, as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885

 $01:02:09.510 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.192$  I mean we use a regression based approach to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885

 $01:02:12.200 \rightarrow 01:02:14.540$  I mean which is essentially a ratio, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885

 $01:02:14.540 \longrightarrow 01:02:16.100$  I mean the regression just gives

NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885

 $01:02:16.100 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.984$  you the beta coefficients for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885

 $01:02:17.984 \rightarrow 01:02:19.384$  basically the fractional contribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885

 $01:02:19.384 \longrightarrow 01:02:21.140$  of 1 signal to the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84500885

 $01:02:21.140 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.230$  So thank you. Al

NOTE Confidence: 0.8994969

 $01:02:29.280 \longrightarrow 01:02:33.278$  I can't hear you. Aw, we can't hear you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}02{:}36{.}270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}39{.}564$  Maybe put it in the chat and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}02{:}39{.}564 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}42{.}860$  and I'll answer. I'll ask it for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:02:42.860 --> 01:02:46.568 OK, there you go OK is it working OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}02{:}46{.}570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}47{.}809$  Sorry bout that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}02{:}47.810 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}51.509$  So what I was wondering is a great talk.

 $01:02:51.510 \rightarrow 01:02:53.985$  I was wondering about this discrepancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:02:53.985 \rightarrow 01:02:55.630$  between decreased local correlations

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}02{:}55{.}630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}57{.}278$  and increased global correlations

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:02:57.280 \longrightarrow 01:02:59.340$  during periods of high arousal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:02:59.340 --> 01:03:02.468 Yeah, and I was wondering if you steal

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}03{:}02{.}468 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}06{.}278$  that as relating to like the effects of. NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:06.280 \longrightarrow 01:03:08.467$  Is it a calling on Amex or this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}03{:}08{.}467 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}11{.}160$  sort of like spatial scales at which

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}03{:}11{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}12{.}752$  acetylcholine is being released?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:12.760 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.698$  Like meaning maybe the during NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}03{:}14.700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}16.850$  high arousal it's be the fluctuations NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}03{:}16.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}18.410$  in acetylcholine are happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}03{:}18{.}410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}20{.}335$  on more defined social spatial

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:20.335 \rightarrow 01:03:22.417$  scale or or something like that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:03:22.420 --> 01:03:25.019 Yeah, I mean I think that's, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}03{:}25{.}019 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}27{.}293$  those are sort of the questions

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364
- $01:03:27.293 \rightarrow 01:03:29.079$  that we're asking as well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364
- $01{:}03{:}29{.}080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}31{.}670$  and I don't have any great answers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364
- $01:03:31.670 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.838$  so it's been it's been shown recently by by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364
- $01:03:34.838 \rightarrow 01:03:38.330$  a couple of labs that if you for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364
- 01:03:38.330 --> 01:03:39.449 I mean so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364
- 01:03:39.449 --> 01:03:39.822 Firstly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364
- $01:03:39.822 \rightarrow 01:03:41.314$  individual basil forebrain neurons
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364
- $01:03:41.314 \longrightarrow 01:03:43.555$  which are the main supplier of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364
- $01:03:43.555 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.983$  acetylcholine to the cortex,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364
- $01:03:44.990 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.470$  have pretty divergent axons.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364
- $01:03:46.470 \rightarrow 01:03:48.690$  So some go to some places,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364
- $01{:}03{:}48.690 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}49.970$  some go to others,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364
- $01:03:49.970 \longrightarrow 01:03:51.890$  and so the coordination of acetylcholine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364
- $01{:}03{:}51{.}950 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}53{.}710$  release probably substantially involves
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364
- $01{:}03{:}53.710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}56.350$  the coordination or lack of coordination.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:03:56.350 \rightarrow 01:03:57.814$  Between individual neurons within

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}03{:}57{.}814 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}00{.}347$  the basal forebrain and so as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}00{.}347 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}02{.}258$  as we try to learn more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:02.258 \longrightarrow 01:04:03.739$  what regulates their activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:03.740 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.552$  that's probably going to give us

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}05{.}552 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}07{.}844$  some insight into you know both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}07{.}844 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}09{.}549$  increases and then decreases the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:09.549 \rightarrow 01:04:11.023$  correlation of acetylcholine

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:04:11.023 --> 01:04:12.479 release in the cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}12{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}14{.}872$  And there are also a small number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:04:14.872 --> 01:04:16.665 local interneurons within the cortex

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}16.665 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}18.185$  that can release a cetylcholine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:04:18.190 --> 01:04:18.776 Quite honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:18.776 \longrightarrow 01:04:20.827$  I don't think we have an understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:20.827 \longrightarrow 01:04:22.558$  of the relative contributions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:22.560 \rightarrow 01:04:22.892$  right?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364
- $01:04:22.892 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.556$  Our assumption,

 $01{:}04{:}23.556 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}25.548$  although it's not based on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:25.548 \rightarrow 01:04:27.398$  whole lot of quantitative data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:27.400 \longrightarrow 01:04:29.182$  Is that most of the acetylcholine

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:29.182 \longrightarrow 01:04:30.823$  that we're seeing comes from

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:04:30.823 --> 01:04:32.407 from basil forebrain projections?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:32.410 \longrightarrow 01:04:34.075$  But but it's certainly possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:34.075 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.074$  that there's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}35{.}080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}36{.}816$  There's a substantial contribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}36.816 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}39.830$  from local release and that may play

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:04:39.830 --> 01:04:42.566 some role in whether you see you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}42.566$  -->  $01{:}04{:}44.619$  increases or decreases correlation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}44.620 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}47.760$  Doctor data that was a great talk Mike.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}47.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}50.880$  So just to follow up to ALS questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}50.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}53.160$  I was wondering if it's possible

 $01:04:53.160 \rightarrow 01:04:55.602$  that these local circuits are being

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}55{.}602 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}57{.}589$  entrained at a particular say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:04:57.590 \rightarrow 01:04:59.222$  oscillatory frequency that permits

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}04{:}59{.}222 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}00{.}854$  greater coherence across these

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:05:00.854 --> 01:05:02.699 anatomically connected large scale circuits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:02.700 \rightarrow 01:05:05.840$  Is that one sort of underlying sort of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:05:05.840 --> 01:05:07.810 Yeah, I mean very much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:07.810 \longrightarrow 01:05:08.474$  so right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:05:08.474 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:05:10.798 I mean so gamma activity is certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}05{:}10.798 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}13.804$  a proposed mechanism for a long time

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}05{:}13.804 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}16.150$  of coordinating long distance regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:16.150 \rightarrow 01:05:19.166$  One of the things that I didn't emphasize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}05{:}19{.}170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}21{.}426$  mostly 'cause it's a problem that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:21.426 \longrightarrow 01:05:22.554$  we can't fix,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}05{:}22{.}560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}24{.}445$  is that the calcium indicators

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:24.445 \rightarrow 01:05:25.576$  are really slow,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364
- $01{:}05{:}25{.}580 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}28{.}178$  so the timescales over which we're

01:05:28.178 --> 01:05:29.910 seeing fluctuations are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:05:29.980 --> 01:05:31.975 slow on the order of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:31.980 \longrightarrow 01:05:34.242$  I could be generous and say

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:05:34.242 --> 01:05:35.373 hundreds of milliseconds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}05{:}35{.}380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}37{.}636$  but probably even seconds you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}05{:}37.640 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}39.992$  whereas you know gamma activity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:39.992 \rightarrow 01:05:41.983$  to coordinate regions is obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:41.983 \rightarrow 01:05:44.426$  much faster than 40 Hertz or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:44.430 \longrightarrow 01:05:46.385$  so it's possible that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01:05:46.385 \longrightarrow 01:05:47.558$  oscillations could be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

 $01{:}05{:}47.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}49.555$  The envelope of those oscillations

NOTE Confidence: 0.79152364

01:05:49.555 --> 01:05:51.550 might be what we're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01{:}05{:}51{.}623 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}53{.}689$  that you know, maybe the coordination

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:05:53.689 \rightarrow 01:05:56.370$  is is driven by by gamma say,

 $01:05:56.370 \longrightarrow 01:05:59.426$  but that's all we really have access to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01{:}05{:}59{.}430 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}02{.}104$  Might be the envelope of those correlations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:06:02.110 \longrightarrow 01:06:03.910$  It's really challenging with calcium

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:06:03.910 \longrightarrow 01:06:06.710$  imaging to get a more direct readout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

01:06:06.710 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:06:08.650 We're optimistic, though we haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:06:08.650 \rightarrow 01:06:10.920$  really gotten it to work yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

01:06:10.920 --> 01:06:12.069 That voltage imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:06:12.069 \rightarrow 01:06:14.367$  especially with the music scale approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01{:}06{:}14.370 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}16.764$  may provide an ability to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

01:06:16.764 --> 01:06:18.360 fluctuations like like gamma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:06:18.434 \rightarrow 01:06:20.498$  at this sort of spatial scale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:06:20.500 \longrightarrow 01:06:21.574$  but that's that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:06:21.574 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.648$  The bleeding edge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:06:22.650 \longrightarrow 01:06:24.099$  Sort of like just just past the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301324

 $01:06:24.099 \dashrightarrow 01:06:25.668$  bleeding edge at the moment and stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:06:28.790 \longrightarrow 01:06:32.027$  I just wanted to to make a note

- NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793
- $01:06:32.027 \rightarrow 01:06:34.419$  that using the same sensor,

 $01{:}06{:}34{.}420 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}37{.}066$  the Estel cooling sensor and vibra

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01{:}06{:}37.066 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}38.830$  photometry of principle neurons

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:06:38.903 \rightarrow 01:06:40.908$  in the basal lateral amygdala,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01{:}06{:}40{.}910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}43{.}640$  we also see fairly clear dissociation NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

01:06:43.640 --> 01:06:46.329 between the activity of Astle colon

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01{:}06{:}46{.}329 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}49{.}094$  and the structure of the release of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:06:49.094 \rightarrow 01:06:51.735$  Astle calling in the structure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01{:}06{:}51{.}735 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}54{.}333$  coordination of firing of the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01{:}06{:}54{.}333 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}56{.}498$  excitatory network in the structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

01:06:56.500 --> 01:06:58.670 So if it is inducing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

01:06:58.670 --> 01:07:01.328 let's say, local Theta, or like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

01:07:01.330 --> 01:07:03.385 Local gamma it's not sufficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01{:}07{:}03.385 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}05.440$  to to coordinate the network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:07:05.440 \rightarrow 01:07:07.360$  The excitatory network that doesn't

 $01{:}07{:}07{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}09{.}794$  mean that there isn't a selection

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

01:07:09.794 --> 01:07:12.019 of neurons within that network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01{:}07{:}12.020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}15.429$  but overall the rhythms are not sufficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:07:15.429 \longrightarrow 01:07:18.758$  to coordinate the whole network locally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

01:07:18.760 --> 01:07:19.981 So Doctor Cederbaum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

01:07:19.981 --> 01:07:22.860 you want to ask your question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01{:}07{:}22.860 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}26.690$  Yeah, so I mean not to try to get out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:07:26.778 \longrightarrow 01:07:29.496$  the realm of what you've done too far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01{:}07{:}29.500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}30.144$  Risk getting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01:07:30.144 \rightarrow 01:07:32.394$  I don't know for an answer here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78845793

 $01{:}07{:}32{.}394 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}34{.}326$  but wondering if there's an opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:07:34.330 \longrightarrow 01:07:36.577$  here. Also to study patterns of glial

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01{:}07{:}36{.}577 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}38{.}512$  activity and whether there are receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01{:}07{:}38{.}512 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}40{.}446$  or channels that are specific to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:07:40.446 \longrightarrow 01:07:42.376$  various glial populations that could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:07:42.376 \rightarrow 01:07:44.626$  leveraged to do that with this technique?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965
- 01:07:44.630 --> 01:07:46.884 Yeah, it's funny. You should say that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965
- 01:07:46.890 --> 01:07:49.581 So one of the things that I dearly dearly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965
- 01:07:49.581 --> 01:07:52.036 love about Yale and having been here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965
- $01:07:52.040 \longrightarrow 01:07:53.765$  is how much amazing collaborations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965
- $01{:}07{:}53.765 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}55.730$  have sprung up here and so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965
- 01:07:55.730 --> 01:07:57.000 So Carla Rothlin's answer of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965
- 01:07:57.000 --> 01:07:58.270 doshas groups have really been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965
- $01:07:58.319 \longrightarrow 01:07:59.699$  interested in neuroinflammation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965
- $01{:}07{:}59{.}700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}00{.}916$  particularly as regards a strocytes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965
- $01:08:00.916 \longrightarrow 01:08:02.436$  and glial cells in general.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965
- $01:08:02.440 \longrightarrow 01:08:03.064$  For awhile,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965
- $01{:}08{:}03{.}064 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}05{.}248$  and so we have a very nascent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965
- $01{:}08{:}05{.}248 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}06{.}681$  collaboration going on with them
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965
- $01:08:06.681 \dashrightarrow 01:08:08.850$  starting to look at at glial activity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965
- $01:08:08.850 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.226$  So as I said, I mean all of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

01:08:11.226 --> 01:08:12.810 indicators are easily expressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01{:}08{:}12.810 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}14.325$  and I mean anything that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:14.325 \rightarrow 01:08:16.170$  you've got a pre line for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01{:}08{:}16.170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}18.610$  We can express it now that is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

01:08:18.610 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:08:21.033 little bit of a rub right because

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

01:08:21.033 --> 01:08:23.343 it actually turns out and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01{:}08{:}23{.}343 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}25{.}654$  this is a bit new to me as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:25.654 \rightarrow 01:08:26.990$  Genetically targeting astrocytes is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:26.990 \dashrightarrow 01:08:29.906$  Is not quite as easy as one might think, NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01{:}08{:}29{.}910$  -->  $01{:}08{:}32{.}246$  so it's been known for a while that like NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01{:}08{:}32.246$  -->  $01{:}08{:}34.764$  GFP or at least the GFP cream mouse NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:34.764 \rightarrow 01:08:37.030$  is not that specific for astrocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

01:08:37.030 --> 01:08:39.414 You do get neurons labeled and in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01{:}08{:}39{.}414 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}42{.}122$  even some of the more recent blinds that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01{:}08{:}42.122 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}44.490$  have been developed like like LDH Creek,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:44.490 \longrightarrow 01:08:45.654$  may also label neurons,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965
- $01{:}08{:}45{.}654 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}46{.}818$  especially if if expressed

 $01:08:46.818 \longrightarrow 01:08:48.050$  early in development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:48.050 \longrightarrow 01:08:50.318$  So so yeah, we can do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:50.320 \rightarrow 01:08:52.258$  We're very interested in doing it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01{:}08{:}52{.}260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}54{.}204$  especially as a part of collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:54.204 \rightarrow 01:08:56.468$  with some of the groups at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:56.470 \rightarrow 01:08:57.862$  There are some remaining

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:57.862 \longrightarrow 01:08:58.558$  methodological challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:08:58.560 \longrightarrow 01:09:01.620$  To making sure that the signals that we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:09:01.620 \rightarrow 01:09:04.707$  say just come from the cells of interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

 $01:09:04.710 \longrightarrow 01:09:05.436$  But yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85871965

01:09:05.436 --> 01:09:05.799 yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7894169

01:09:08.770 --> 01:09:10.876 Any other questions for Doctor Higley?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:13.820 \longrightarrow 01:09:15.572$  OK, well thank you all for

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:15.572 \rightarrow 01:09:16.740$  joining us this morning.

01:09:16.740 --> 01:09:19.368 Thank you for taking time out of your day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:19.370 \longrightarrow 01:09:21.032$  Thank you Mike for presenting these

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01{:}09{:}21{.}032 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}22{.}445$  interesting data and for pointing

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:22.445 \longrightarrow 01:09:23.993$  out how the preclinical and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:23.993 \rightarrow 01:09:25.790$  clinical can be interpreted together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:25.790 \dashrightarrow 01:09:28.418$  I have 111 quick answer is I saw somebody NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:28.420 \rightarrow 01:09:30.492$  asked, does this map on to resting

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01{:}09{:}30{.}492 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}32{.}750$  state fMRI and so let me give one

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

01:09:32.750 --> 01:09:34.736 other plug that was that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:34.736 \longrightarrow 01:09:36.596$  that's you one other plug right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

01:09:36.600 --> 01:09:38.400 So so in a collaboration with

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01{:}09{:}38{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}40{.}100$  Todd Constables Lab here at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01{:}09{:}40{.}100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}41{.}996$  we also just published a paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

01:09:42.000 --> 01:09:43.890 In nature, methods doing simultaneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

01:09:43.890 --> 01:09:45.402 miscopy calcium imaging and

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01{:}09{:}45{.}402 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}47{.}077$  fMRI and that's allowing us,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.844044
- $01:09:47.080 \longrightarrow 01:09:48.504$  at least in mice,

 $01{:}09{:}48.504 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}49.928$  to make direct relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:49.928 \longrightarrow 01:09:51.439$  pretty bold and calcium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:51.440 \longrightarrow 01:09:53.366$  which we hope will at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01:09:53.366 \rightarrow 01:09:55.565$  serve as a bridge for relating

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01{:}09{:}55{.}565 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}57{.}670$  the cellular level analysis to

NOTE Confidence: 0.844044

 $01{:}09{:}57.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}59.788$  human bold data as well so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8478142

01:10:01.180 --> 01:10:03.700 Good way to good way to end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8478142

 $01{:}10{:}03.700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}05.818$  Excellent way to end. Thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8478142

 $01:10:05.818 \rightarrow 01:10:08.191$  everybody and have a good weekend.