WEBVTT

- NOTE duration:"01:26:19.2170000"
- NOTE language:en-us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276
- 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:00.867 Good evening friends.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276
- $00{:}00{:}00{.}867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}02{.}890$  Welcome to the Yale School of Medicine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276
- $00{:}00{:}02{.}947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}04{.}637$  Program for Biomedical Ethics or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276
- $00{:}00{:}04{.}637 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}05{.}989$  Evening Ethics seminar series.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276
- $00{:}00{:}05{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07{.}026$  That slide of Sam.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276
- 00:00:07.026 --> 00:00:09.000 If you could put back the slide,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276
- $00{:}00{:}09{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11{.}184$  we were just looking at for a moment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276
- 00:00:11.190 --> 00:00:13.404 I would like to just point out to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276
- 00:00:13.404 --> 00:00:15.492 folks to<br/>night we have a terrific talk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276
- $00{:}00{:}15{.}492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17{.}489$  coming up from Sarah Hall the 1st,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276
- $00:00:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.324$  just to give you guys a chance
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276
- $00:00:19.324 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.510$  to take a look.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276
- $00:00:20.510 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.337$  We have a lot of old friends
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276
- $00:00:22.337 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.339$  on this call and some new ones.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276
- $00{:}00{:}24.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.251$  We do these seminars once or twice

 $00{:}00{:}26.251 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.346$  a month in the evening and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:28.346 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.164$  are almost welcome to join us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:30.170 \rightarrow 00:00:32.378$  And you can see here the upcoming talks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:32.380 \dashrightarrow 00:00:33.695$  They have several later added

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00{:}00{:}33.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}35.010$  since we first published our

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

00:00:35.059 - 00:00:36.239 schedule some months back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:36.240 \dashrightarrow 00:00:38.165$  and these will be of this schedule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:38.170 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.378$  Of course will be available on our website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.760$  Bio medical ethics at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00{:}00{:}41.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}43.416$  But you can also see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00{:}00{:}43.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}45.345$  We've got some wonderful talks coming up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

00:00:45.350 --> 00:00:46.725 I'd like to now introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:46.725 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.825$  our speaker for tonight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:47.830 \dashrightarrow 00:00:50.590$  but to let you know the way this lays out.

 $00{:}00{:}50{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53{.}070$  For those of you who are new is that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00{:}00{:}53.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.555$  will have a talk for about 45 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00{:}00{:}55{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57{.}768$  plus or minus  $\,$  or speaker to night after,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:00:57.770 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.426$  which will have a Q&A portion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00{:}00{:}59{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01{.}166$  And I invite you at that point

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:01:01.166 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.520$  to submit your questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

00:01:02.520 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:01:04.800 Through the Q&A on the zoom and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00:01:04.800 \dashrightarrow 00:01:06.968$  I'll ask your questions to Doctor Hull

NOTE Confidence: 0.85566276

 $00{:}01{:}06{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09{.}700$  and we will have a hard stop at 6:30.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

00:01:11.830 --> 00:01:13.550 I want to thank Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:13.550 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.926$  Hall for coming to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.306$  We've been looking forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}01{:}16.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}18.370$  to this talk for some time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}01{:}18.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}20.428$  so let me introduce her Doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:20.430 \dashrightarrow 00:01:22.838$  Sarah Hall is well, among other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}01{:}22.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}24.838$  one of the associate directors of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716
- 00:01:24.838 --> 00:01:26.620 the Program for Biomedical Ethics,

00:01:26.620 --> 00:01:27.996 Sarazen Assistant professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

00:01:27.996 --> 00:01:29.716 Clinical Medicine here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.974$  She's also one of the favorite instructors

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:31.974 \rightarrow 00:01:34.190$  at the medical school in cardiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:34.190 \dashrightarrow 00:01:36.934$  She teaches a course in the medical students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

00:01:36.940 --> 00:01:37.972 It's very popular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:37.972 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.348$  She's a gifted teacher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}01{:}39{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}41{.}348$  and she's also a wonderful bioethic ist

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

00:01:41.348 --> 00:01:43.130 Senator undergraduate work in Harvard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:43.130 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.095$  where she received an AB

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}01{:}45.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.274$  and biochemical Sciences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}01{:}46.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}48.338$  And then also studied some French.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}01{:}48{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50{.}556$  She got her MD and her MBA or

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}01{:}50{.}556$  -->  $00{:}01{:}52{.}413$  Master of Biomedical Ethics at

 $00:01:52.413 \dashrightarrow 00:01:54.873$  Penn and our ethics work there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:01:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.600$  She was mentored by our

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}01{:}56{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57{.}976$  old friend are Kaplan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}01{:}57.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}00.724$  She then did her medical residency at Penn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}02{:}00{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03{.}002$  came to Yale to do a cardiology fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}02{:}03{.}002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}04{.}815$  where she developed in particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}02{:}04.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07.179$  expertise in echocardiography and now she

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:07.179 \rightarrow 00:02:09.327$  serves on our cardiology faculty here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

00:02:09.330 --> 00:02:11.633 In addition to her work in echocardiography

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:11.633 \rightarrow 00:02:13.459$  in her teaching of cardiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}02{:}13.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15.818$  she also serves as a consultant

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}02{:}15.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.914$  for the transplant team in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}02{:}17{.}914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}19{.}690$  Advanced Heart failure team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}02{:}19.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.482$  So Sarah is an important part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}02{:}21.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22.909$  the clinical endeavors here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:22.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.150$  She's also an important part

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716
- $00:02:24.150 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.390$  of the bioethics program here,

 $00:02:25.390 \rightarrow 00:02:27.490$  and I'm very pleased that she's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:27.490 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.338$  to speak to us tonight on some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:30.338 \rightarrow 00:02:32.817$  ethical issues related to a plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00{:}02{:}32{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33{.}078$  Vera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:33.078 \dashrightarrow 00:02:34.884$  thank you so much for doing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.366$  We are very grateful and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:36.366 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.950$  that I'm going to turn this

NOTE Confidence: 0.79741716

 $00:02:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.300$  over to Doctor Sarah Home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734674

00:02:41.060 --> 00:02:43.100 Thank you so much Mark for that very

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734674

 $00{:}02{:}43.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.375$  kind introduction. I'm just going

NOTE Confidence: 0.89734674

 $00{:}02{:}44.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.160$  to go ahead and share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83884156

 $00:02:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.240$  Alright, can everyone say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83884156

 $00{:}02{:}52{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55{.}360$  well, I guess you looks fine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83884156

 $00:02:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.508$  perfect alright so so as as Mark

 $00:02:58.508 \dashrightarrow 00:03:01.595$  said today I would like to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.83884156

 $00{:}03{:}01{.}595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05{.}767$  to you about some of the various

NOTE Confidence: 0.83884156

 $00:03:05.767 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.359$  ethical considerations in nutrition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83884156

00:03:08.360 --> 00:03:11.768 specifically what I will argue are in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.83884156

 $00{:}03{:}11.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}14.590$  the moral imperatives of transitioning

NOTE Confidence: 0.83884156

 $00{:}03{:}14.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}17.720$  Tord more plant based nutrition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00{:}03{:}20{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22{.}901$  So I'm just going to start off by declaring

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00:03:22.901 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.269$  that I have no conflicts of interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00{:}03{:}25{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26{.}470$  although I should clarify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

00:03:26.470 --> 00:03:28.915 I'm sorry I'm just trying to set up

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00{:}03{:}28{.}915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}30{.}679$  my screen here that despite having NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

00:03:30.679 --> 00:03:32.529 no financial conflicts of interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

00:03:32.530 --> 00:03:35.114 I do have a great deal of confluence

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00{:}03{:}35{.}114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37{.}588$  of interest in that area in this area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

00:03:37.590 - > 00:03:39.646 and what I mean by that is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00:03:39.646 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.345$  I'm deeply concerned about the

 $00:03:41.345 \rightarrow 00:03:43.589$  welfare of both people and animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00{:}03{:}43{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45{.}504$  I'm passionate about heart health and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00:03:45.504 \rightarrow 00:03:47.070$  I'm passionate about delicious food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00:03:47.070 \dashrightarrow 00:03:49.986$  So and I think all of these things are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

00:03:49.990 - 00:03:51.970 Very relevant to the arguments

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00{:}03{:}51{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}54{.}630$  that I'm going to make to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00:03:54.630 \dashrightarrow 00:03:57.382$  I should also note that when I speak

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00:03:57.382 \rightarrow 00:04:00.519$  about we on a societal level or society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

00:04:00.520 --> 00:04:01.908 unless I state otherwise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00:04:01.908 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.643$  I'm speaking from an American

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

00:04:03.643 --> 00:04:05.669 or Western frame of reference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00{:}04{:}05{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07{.}987$  but I will attempt to be as

NOTE Confidence: 0.85505944

 $00{:}04{:}07{.}987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10{.}089$  clear as possible going forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

00:04:13.040 --> 00:04:15.568 Alright, so first I'd like us to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

00:04:15.568 --> 00:04:18.621 of walk through some of the common

00:04:18.621 --> 00:04:20.971 philosophical arguments that I typically NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494  $00:04:21.048 \rightarrow 00:04:23.358$  hear that argue in favor of continuing NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494  $00:04:23.358 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.235$  to eat a Western diet that is very NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494  $00:04:26.235 \rightarrow 00:04:28.120$  heavy in animal product consumption, NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494  $00:04:28.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.180$  and then try to show you why I think NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494  $00:04:31.180 \rightarrow 00:04:32.964$  these arguments are fundamentally NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494  $00:04:32.964 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.135$  flawed and why we we need to NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494 00:04:36.225 --> 00:04:38.899 change the way that we eat first. NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494  $00{:}04{:}38{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41{.}796$  Animals exist only as means to an end. NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494  $00:04:41.800 \rightarrow 00:04:44.688$  That is to say our end as humans. NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494  $00:04:44.690 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.102$  Because only humans are beings that NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494  $00:04:47.102 \rightarrow 00:04:49.612$  have possessed the sufficient dignity to NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494  $00:04:49.612 \rightarrow 00:04:52.120$  warrant being considered ends in ourselves. NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494  $00:04:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.225$  We evolved to eat animals NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494  $00:04:54.225 \rightarrow 00:04:55.909$  and it's only natural. NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494  $00:04:55.910 \rightarrow 00:04:58.566$  We need to eat meat to be healthy. 9

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494
- $00:04:58.570 \rightarrow 00:05:00.665$  And nutritional choices are purely

 $00{:}05{:}00.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.170$  a matter of personal choice as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

00:05:03.170 -> 00:05:05.198 they do not affect other people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

00:05:05.200 --> 00:05:07.300 So let's start with some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:07.300 \dashrightarrow 00:05:08.700$  the animal rights arguments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:08.700 \dashrightarrow 00:05:11.150$  As many of you probably already know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:11.150 \rightarrow 00:05:13.586$  and this was touched upon during professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:13.586 \rightarrow 00:05:15.349$  singers talk earlier this season,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00{:}05{:}15{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17{.}450$  and I do a pologize that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.850$  may be some overlap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:18.850 \rightarrow 00:05:21.482$  but I also realize there may be people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00{:}05{:}21.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23.750$  here who didn't hear that talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:23.750 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.718$  The meat industry is really rife

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:25.718 \dashrightarrow 00:05:27.476$  with examples of cruelty well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:27.476 \dashrightarrow 00:05:28.996$  far beyond slaughter itself.

 $00:05:29.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.667$  I'd just like to post here a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

00:05:31.667 --> 00:05:33.651 trigger warning that I'm about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:33.651 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.925$  to show a few graphic images.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00{:}05{:}35{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37{.}842$  So I just want to make sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722494

 $00:05:37.842 \rightarrow 00:05:38.770$  everyone's adequately prepared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:05:40.980 \rightarrow 00:05:43.381$  So the practice of debeaking is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}05{:}43{.}381 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45{.}847$  that's widely done in the poultry industry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

00:05:45.850 -> 00:05:48.682 You can see here it is. It's pretty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}05{:}48.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.537$  It's pretty gruesome that basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}05{:}50{.}537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52{.}957$  the tips of the beaks of birds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:05:52.957 \dashrightarrow 00:05:54.811$  used in poultry farming are cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:05:54.882 \rightarrow 00:05:56.988$  off with a guillotine like device,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:05:56.990 \rightarrow 00:05:59.566$  and that's done primarily as a money

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}05{:}59{.}566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01.782$  saving endeavour because it because when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:01.782 \dashrightarrow 00:06:03.882$  poultry are in crowded conditions they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:03.882 \rightarrow 00:06:06.483$  get stressed and they fight and they can

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571
- $00:06:06.483 \rightarrow 00:06:08.990$  injure and even kill each other that way

 $00{:}06{:}08{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11{.}599$  and too and that causes financial loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:11.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.760$  And because the financial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.380$  Bottom line is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

00:06:15.380 --> 00:06:18.900 Really, the only bottom line in much of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}06{:}18{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22{.}899$  the food and the factory farming industry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

00:06:22.900 --> 00:06:24.916 That's why this procedure is done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

00:06:24.920 --> 00:06:26.930 but it's a very painful procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

00:06:26.930 --> 00:06:28.600 It often leads to disability

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}06{:}28{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30{.}665$  and can sometimes even lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

00:06:30.665 --> 00:06:32.305 death in these birds actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}06{:}32{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}34{.}382$  But but since it leads to less

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

00:06:34.382 --> 00:06:36.000 death than not debeaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

00:06:36.000 --> 00:06:36.788 it's done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}06{:}36{.}788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}39{.}152$  even though again with no anesthesia

 $00:06:39.152 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.667$  or pain medication of any kind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}06{:}41.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44.386$  Our check calling is another practice that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:44.386 \dashrightarrow 00:06:47.668$  in the not so much the poultry industry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}06{:}47.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.238$  but the egg industry specifically because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:50.238 \rightarrow 00:06:53.270$  only female chicks grow up to produce eggs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}06{:}53.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.270$  Male chicks are therefore useless.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:06:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.470$  So in egg and in the egg industry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}06{:}58{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00{.}870$  often the male chicks are killed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

00:07:00.870 --> 00:07:02.470 either shortly after birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}07{:}02{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05{.}122$  either through suffocation by being placed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:07:05.122 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.870$  in a bag like you can see on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

00:07:08.870 - 00:07:12.598 or by being ground up alive as there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

00:07:12.600 --> 00:07:13.640 Conveyed into a grinder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:07:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.200$  much like you see on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00{:}07{:}15.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.697$  convey or belt on the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571

 $00:07:16.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.055$  because it costs too much

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8309571
- 00:07:18.055 --> 00:07:19.700 money to care for the man,

 $00:07:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.060$  it's cheaper to kill them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78013515

 $00:07:23.350 \rightarrow 00:07:26.218$  Gestation crates are how many commercial

NOTE Confidence: 0.78013515

 $00:07:26.218 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.030$  South spend their lives in a crate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78013515

 $00{:}07{:}29.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.526$  often too small for them even to turn

NOTE Confidence: 0.78013515

 $00{:}07{:}32.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.860$  around in, but with enough access

NOTE Confidence: 0.78013515

 $00:07:35.860 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.550$  such that their piglets can can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78013515

 $00{:}07{:}39.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}41.902$  Can feed and so that they can grow

NOTE Confidence: 0.78013515

 $00{:}07{:}41{.}902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44{.}468$  up and this is how many factory

NOTE Confidence: 0.78013515

00:07:44.468 --> 00:07:46.880 pigs just spend their entire lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}07{:}49{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}51{.}345$  And cyclic pregnancy is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}07{:}51{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53{.}340$  practice of the dairy industry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:07:53.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.993$  A lot of people I think aren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:07:55.993 \rightarrow 00:07:58.529$  aware that just like most ma'am,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}07{:}58.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.660$  are all mammals really not female

 $00:08:00.660 \rightarrow 00:08:02.920$  mammals just don't lactate indefinitely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}08{:}02{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05{.}224$  Female mammals need to be pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:05.224 \dashrightarrow 00:08:08.099$  and give birth in order to lactate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.100$  And cows are no exception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:10.100 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.935$  So in order for cows to produce

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:12.935 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.889$  an adequate amount of milk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:14.890 \rightarrow 00:08:16.318$  there cyclically impregnated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:16.318 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.698$  typically about once a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:08:18.700 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:08:21.076 And their babies are taken away

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:21.076 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.170$  from them shortly after birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:08:23.170 - 00:08:25.200 Even though cows from very

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:25.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.230$  strong bond with their calves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}08{:}27{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30{.}694$  So that because that that milk is valuable

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:30.694 \rightarrow 00:08:34.124$  and it can be sold for money to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}08{:}34{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36{.}308$  And again because maximizing profits is

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:36.308 \rightarrow 00:08:39.166$  that the number One Financial imperative of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204
- 00:08:39.166 --> 00:08:41.836 Agri business or factory factory farming,

 $00:08:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.348$  I should say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:44.350 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.300$  That that's how it's done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}08{:}46{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49{.}164$  And then this happens in a cycle until

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}08{:}49{.}164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51{.}877$  cows are considered spent and can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:51.877 \rightarrow 00:08:54.757$  give anymore milk and then typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:08:54.833 \rightarrow 00:08:57.498$  their their slaughtered after that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}08{:}57{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00{.}085$  So what are the relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:09:00.085 --> 00:09:02.670 ethical arguments at play here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.886$  I think very simply we can start from

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:04.886 \rightarrow 00:09:06.874$  a welfare ethics framework applying

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:06.874 \dashrightarrow 00:09:08.694$  the principle of nonmal eficence

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:08.694 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.687$  that that certainly those of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:10.687 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.668$  you in health care at this talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:12.668 \rightarrow 00:09:14.248$  tonight have understand very well,

 $00:09:14.248 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.180$  but I think it's really kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:09:16.238 --> 00:09:17.758 of intuitive for everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.992$  which is basically the idea that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:19.992 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.841$  since animals clearly have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}09{:}21{.}841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}23{.}913$  ability to feel pain or suffer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:23.913 \rightarrow 00:09:26.339$  not only is that just simply obvious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}09{:}26.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}28.050$  that's also been well documented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:09:28.050 --> 00:09:28.440 That,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}09{:}28{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30{.}780$  based on this alone that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.140$  a strong argument to be made that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:33.140 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.890$  Their suffering should be minimized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:36.890 \rightarrow 00:09:40.266$  I would also argue that going beyond that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}09{:}40.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42.496$  animals have to some degree at

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}09{:}42.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.566$  least an intrinsic value rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:44.566 \rightarrow 00:09:46.618$  than simply instrumental value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:46.620 \rightarrow 00:09:49.548$  That is to say that their value will

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204
- $00:09:49.548 \rightarrow 00:09:52.246$  beans as ends in themselves worthy

00:09:52.246 --> 00:09:55.072 of dignity if not full autonomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:55.080 \rightarrow 00:09:57.900$  rather than simply being things

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:09:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.720$  that are at our disposal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.827$  Because why is it that that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:02.827 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.897$  think that only humans are ends

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:04.897 \rightarrow 00:10:06.357$  in itself in ourselves?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}10{:}06{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08{.}704$  Is it based on our ability to form

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}10{:}08{.}704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10{.}469$  relationships and to express empathy

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:10:10.469 --> 00:10:12.334 by exhibiting pro social behavior?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}10{:}12{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}14{.}776$  Is it based on our superior intelligence

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:14.776 \rightarrow 00:10:17.449$  because it seems to me that these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

00:10:17.449 --> 00:10:19.391 the things that intuitively we used

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}10{:}19{.}391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21{.}638$  to argue for this sort of species ism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}10{:}21.640$  -->  $00{:}10{:}24.112$  where humans have have sort of these these NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:24.112 \rightarrow 00:10:26.618$  rights that far extend beyond animal rights,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:26.620 \rightarrow 00:10:26.954$  and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:26.954 \rightarrow 00:10:27.288$  well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:27.288 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.626$  I'm certainly not trying to argue that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}10{:}29.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31.666$  animals necessarily have to have an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}10{:}31.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.127$  Equal legal or moral standing to people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.559$  but that they are deserving of some

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:36.559 \rightarrow 00:10:38.010$  recognition of intrinsic value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}10{:}38.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40.418$  Based on the fact that they too

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}10{:}40{.}418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43{.}290$  share much of this in common with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.050$  That's not just my feeling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:45.050 \rightarrow 00:10:47.018$  although I think that many people

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00{:}10{:}47.018$  -->  $00{:}10{:}48.774$  who know animals would understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:48.774 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.679$  this intuitively to be true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:50.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.435$  But this is actually been

NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204

 $00:10:52.435 \rightarrow 00:10:53.488$  studied somewhat extensively.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204
- $00:10:53.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.272$  I'll be at less extensively in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204
- $00{:}10{:}55{.}272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57{.}303$  farm animals because of some of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204
- $00{:}10{:}57{.}303 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}58{.}867$  the ethical controversies that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204
- $00:10:58.867 \rightarrow 00:11:00.431$  creates then another intelligent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204
- 00:11:00.488 --> 00:11:01.700 animals like primates.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204
- $00:11:01.700 \rightarrow 00:11:02.380$  For example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79456204
- $00:11:02.380 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.740$  and so this is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515
- $00:11:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.141$  this is a table from a review
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515
- $00{:}11{:}06{.}141 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07{.}819$  that was published in 2019.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515
- 00:11:07.820 --> 00:11:09.860 I don't expect you to read
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515
- $00:11:09.860 \rightarrow 00:11:11.220$  through the whole table,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515
- $00{:}11{:}11{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}13{.}398$  but it's just to illustrate the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515
- 00:11:13.398 --> 00:11:14.850 various physical cognitive capacities
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515
- 00:11:14.910 --> 00:11:16.755 that several different farm animals
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515
- $00{:}11{:}16.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18.600$  have been demonstrated to possess.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:11:18.600 --> 00:11:19.884 And more importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:19.884 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.596$  this table here describes

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:21.596 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.116$  some of the socio cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}11{:}24.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.436$  capacities that animals possess,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:11:26.440 --> 00:11:28.900 including most notably the ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}11{:}28{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30{.}868$  to distinguish between between

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:11:30.868 --> 00:11:32.808 different and recognize humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:11:32.810 --> 00:11:36.240 Recognize other animals of their own species.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:36.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.200$  The ability to communicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:38.200 \rightarrow 00:11:39.670$  within their species,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}11{:}39.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42.995$  and also with humans the ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:42.995 \rightarrow 00:11:46.129$  learn both from again other members

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:46.129 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.357$  of their species an from humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:11:49.360 --> 00:11:50.724 Um, and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}11{:}50{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}53{.}657$  these are the very things that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:11:53.657 \rightarrow 00:11:56.492$  make us unique and special and worthy

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515
- $00:11:56.492 \rightarrow 00:11:58.365$  individuals as people and animals

 $00:11:58.365 \rightarrow 00:12:02.248$  really share a lot of those traits with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:12:02.248 --> 00:12:04.036 Um, this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:04.040 \rightarrow 00:12:07.150$  which was actually just published

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:07.150 \rightarrow 00:12:11.200$  last month in a psychology Journal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:12:11.200 --> 00:12:12.640 Demonstrated that pigs actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.220$  can be trained to play video games.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.410$  Moving a joystick with their snout,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.056$  and I think that this quote is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:20.056 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.596$  really particularly salient to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:12:21.596 --> 00:12:23.606 to this argument that I'm making,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}12{:}23.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}27.258$  so I'm going to read it to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}12{:}27.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29.210$  Their high level there being the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:12:29.210 --> 00:12:30.911 pigs social motivation to perform

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}12{:}30{.}911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32{.}636$  the task was also noteworthy.

 $00:12:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.170$  Although food rewards associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.670$  the task were likely a motivating factor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:36.670 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.590$  the social contact the pigs

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}12{:}38.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40.510$  experience with their trainer also

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:40.572 \rightarrow 00:12:42.427$  appeared to be very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.818$  Occasionally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:12:42.818 --> 00:12:44.758 equipment failures resulted in non

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:44.758 \rightarrow 00:12:46.310$  reward following correct responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}12{:}46{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49{.}280$  meaning that food was not given.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}12{:}49{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}51{.}284$  On these occasions the pigs continued

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}12{:}51{.}284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53{.}021$  to make correct responses when

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:53.021 \rightarrow 00:12:55.085$  rewarded only with verbal and tactile NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:12:55.085 \rightarrow 00:12:56.550$  reinforcement from the experimenter

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}12{:}56{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58{.}776$  who is also their primary caretaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}12{:}58{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01{.}212$  So I think here you can see there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:01.212 \rightarrow 00:13:03.047$  there's really quite a striking

 $00:13:03.047 \rightarrow 00:13:04.992$  similarity between how pigs interact

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}13{:}04.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07.228$  with their caretaker and how dogs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:07.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.932$  for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}13{:}07{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}09{.}336$  interact with their caretakers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:13:09.340 --> 00:13:12.412 Anyone who's had a dog here can can

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:13:12.412 --> 00:13:14.526 understand that you know you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:14.526 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.184$  just have to give a dog treats to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:17.184 \rightarrow 00:13:19.896$  to make it happier to make it obey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}13{:}19{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22{.}100$  The dogs are very responsive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:22.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.300$  to verbal and tactile stimuli.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:13:24.300 --> 00:13:27.254 And it's it's one of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}13{:}27{.}254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30{.}397$  that makes us value them so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}13{:}30{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33{.}172$  and and indeed grant them a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}13{:}33{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36{.}068$  degree of dignity as companion animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:13:36.070 --> 00:13:37.378 Because because dogs,

 $00:13:37.378 \rightarrow 00:13:38.250$  another companion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:13:38.250 --> 00:13:40.360 animals actually do enjoy legal

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:40.360 \rightarrow 00:13:43.050$  protections against cruelty in our country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:43.050 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.426$  and I would submit that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}13{:}45{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47{.}466$  some degree of will ful ignorance

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}13{:}47.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50.084$  that we need to maintain in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:50.084 \rightarrow 00:13:53.261$  to make this this really artificial

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:53.261 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.435$  distinction between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}13{:}54{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56{.}185$  Dogs and other companion animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:13:56.185 \dashrightarrow 00:13:58.500$  and pigs and other farm animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}13{:}58{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00{.}340$  And, you know, in in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:14:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.692$  In Western society we we just have

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}14{:}02.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.588$  this task to understanding that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:14:04.588 \rightarrow 00:14:07.437$  it would be barbaric to treat dogs

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00:14:07.437 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.569$  the way that we treat pigs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:14:09.570 --> 00:14:12.882 And so you know, it's hypocritical for us to,

 $00:14:12.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.830$  for Westerners to criticize other

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:14:14.830 --> 00:14:17.150 cultures for their treatment of dogs

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}14{:}17{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}19{.}327$  in cultures where they do eat dogs NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}14{:}19{.}327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}21{.}587$  when factory farming is really quite NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}14{:}21.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}23.557$  problematic to animals that possess NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:14:23.557 --> 00:14:25.172 really remarkably similar traits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

00:14:25.172 --> 00:14:26.788 And capacities for intelligence

NOTE Confidence: 0.85237515

 $00{:}14{:}26.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.000$  and sophisticated emotional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

 $00{:}14{:}28.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29.546$  relationships. And this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}14{:}29{.}546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31{.}636$  This paradox has prompted the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:14:31.636 --> 00:14:33.734 hashtag friends, not food movement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:14:33.734 \rightarrow 00:14:36.660$  that some people may be familiar with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

 $00{:}14{:}36{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39{.}156$  but it's just again to illustrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}14{:}39{.}156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}41{.}400$  that these these rich relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}14{:}41{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43{.}895$  can happen with both companion

 $00:14:43.895 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.580$  animals and with farm animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

 $00:14:46.580 \rightarrow 00:14:49.261$  Aren't so some of you are undoubtedly

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:14:49.261 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.174$  saying look Doc, that's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:14:51.174 \rightarrow 00:14:53.084$  You're obviously this bleeding heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:14:53.090 \rightarrow 00:14:55.764$  Animal lover, which OK guilty as charged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:14:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.685$  But you know that's humans

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:14:57.685 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.600$  are supposed to eat meat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

 $00:14:59.600 \rightarrow 00:15:02.218$  We have canine teeth and incisors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

 $00:15:02.218 \rightarrow 00:15:04.958$  you know that that's how we evolve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:04.960 \rightarrow 00:15:06.880$  We're supposed to eat meat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:06.880 \rightarrow 00:15:09.554$  and so I'm going to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}15{:}09{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12{.}464$  So let me take you through some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

 $00:15:12.464 \rightarrow 00:15:15.130$  my counter arguments to that to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

 $00:15:15.130 \rightarrow 00:15:18.039$  you why that line of thinking is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

 $00{:}15{:}18.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}20.400$  Is a little bit problematic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:20.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.158$  So first of all, humans evolved.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363
- $00:15:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.328$  Humans are not carnivores.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- $00:15:23.328 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.496$  We evolved as omnivores.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363
- 00:15:24.500 00:15:26.544 So yes, we did evolve eating meat,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- $00:15:26.550 \rightarrow 00:15:29.136$  although we evolved eating a lot
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- $00:15:29.136 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.579$  of plant based matter as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- $00{:}15{:}31{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33{.}330$  Another something that I hear
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- $00:15:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.380$  commonly is well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- $00{:}15{:}34{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36{.}130$  caveman didn't get heart attacks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363
- $00:15:36.130 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.550$  and they hate meat, so it's fine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- $00:15:38.550 \rightarrow 00:15:40.590$  and that's often the justification for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- $00:15:40.590 \rightarrow 00:15:43.476$  the so called paleo diet or Paleolithic diet,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363
- $00:15:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.207$  which is a diet that is that is typically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363
- $00{:}15{:}46{.}207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48{.}729$  as practiced very meat heavy diet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- 00:15:48.730 --> 00:15:50.998 That's sort of promoted as a as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- $00{:}15{:}50{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}53{.}165$  an ancestral diet of sorts that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:53.165 \rightarrow 00:15:55.030$  that is choose processed foods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

 $00:15:55.030 \rightarrow 00:15:57.830$  which in that sense it's a good thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:15:57.830 \rightarrow 00:16:00.980$  but really tends to be quite heavy on meat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:00.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.416$  but that's that, really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}16{:}02{.}416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04{.}570$  Looking to a Paleolithic way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:04.643 \rightarrow 00:16:06.761$  eating really is not applicable in

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}16{:}06{.}761 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09{.}270$  the modern world for several reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:16:09.270 --> 00:16:09.590 First,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

 $00{:}16{:}09{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}11{.}190$  humans evolved under the pressure

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:16:11.190 --> 00:16:12.150 of food scarcity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}16{:}12{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15{.}030$  so we evolved where food was hard to get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:15.030 \rightarrow 00:16:18.230$  We had to spend a lot of energy getting food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}16{:}18{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}20{.}518$  We now do not live in an environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:20.518 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.750$  of food scarcity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

 $00:16:21.750 \rightarrow 00:16:23.990$  We live in an environment of food,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:16:23.990 --> 00:16:24.590 Sir plus,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363
- $00:16:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.690$  and in fact we often have to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- 00:16:26.690 --> 00:16:28.470 actively avoid eating too much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- $00:16:28.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.922$  Whether that's you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- $00{:}16{:}29{.}922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32{.}723$  the coworker pressuring us to have a cookie
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- $00:16:32.723 \rightarrow 00:16:35.190$  that you know that he or she brought in,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363
- $00{:}16{:}35{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37{.}584$  not getting snacks that are being hawked
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- $00:16:37.584 \rightarrow 00:16:40.058$  when you go to the movie theater.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- 00:16:40.060 --> 00:16:40.470 Really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363
- $00:16:40.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.290$  where where?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- $00{:}16{:}41.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}43.340$  There are constant pressures to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363
- $00:16:43.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.850$  eat and eat more,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- $00:16:44.850 \rightarrow 00:16:49.015$  and so that's not really applicable here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363
- $00:16:49.020 \rightarrow 00:16:49.355$  Furthermore,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363
- 00:16:49.355 --> 00:16:50.695 the Hunter gatherer lifestyle
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- $00{:}16{:}50.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52.370$  required a significant amount of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:52.422 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.807$  physical effort to obtain food,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

00:16:53.810 --> 00:16:55.082 particularly to obtain meat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}16{:}55{.}082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56{.}672$  so you know when I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:16:56.680 \rightarrow 00:16:59.120$  when I see the paleo diet is expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

 $00:16:59.120 \rightarrow 00:17:01.458$  by eating two hamburgers and no bun,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}17{:}01.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02.130$  that's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

 $00{:}17{:}02{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03{.}805$  That's not really a Paleolithic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

 $00:17:03.805 \rightarrow 00:17:05.290$  way of eating at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

00:17:05.290 --> 00:17:05.908 In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

00:17:05.908 --> 00:17:07.762 I don't think you can really

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00{:}17{:}07.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.414$  argue that you're eating meat

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:17:09.414 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.668$  in an ancestral way in any way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

 $00:17:11.670 \rightarrow 00:17:13.854$  In less you are hunting and butchering

NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363

 $00:17:13.854 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.732$  any meat that you eat yourself

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:17:15.732 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.790$  or with a family member or close

NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636

 $00:17:17.850 \rightarrow 00:17:20.010$  friend using only Paleolithic tools.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363
- 00:17:20.010 --> 00:17:20.696 And again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363
- $00:17:20.696 \rightarrow 00:17:23.097$  I just must stress that the amount
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363
- 00:17:23.097 --> 00:17:25.036 of physical activity it required
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844349336363636
- $00:17:25.036 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.333$  in order to eat meat at all is just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8443493363636363
- 00:17:28.333 --> 00:17:30.538 not at all present in modern day
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84400034
- $00:17:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.295$  meeting, so that's not really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84400034
- $00:17:32.295 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.699$  a fair comparison either.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143
- $00:17:36.070 \rightarrow 00:17:37.706$  Furthermore, prehistoric humans were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143
- $00{:}17{:}37.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39.751$  mainly threatened by predators and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143
- $00:17:39.751 \rightarrow 00:17:41.214$  infectious diseases which typically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143
- 00:17:41.214 --> 00:17:43.218 killed them well before they could
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143
- 00:17:43.218 --> 00:17:44.603 develop a therosclerotic cardiovascular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143
- $00:17:44.603 \dashrightarrow 00:17:47.471$  disease and cancer, which of course,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143
- 00:17:47.471 --> 00:17:51.263 are the number one killers today of Americans
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143
- $00{:}17{:}51{.}263 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54{.}798$  and in the Western world in general.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00:17:54.800 \rightarrow 00:17:56.700$  This so evolutionary pressure therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00{:}17{:}56{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59{.}469$  led us to develop very robust immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00:17:59.469 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.863$  systems in order to promote effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00:18:01.863 \rightarrow 00:18:04.627$  wound healing and to help us fight infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

00:18:04.630 --> 00:18:06.918 Because these were our

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00:18:06.918 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.634$  main evolutionary threats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

00:18:08.640 --> 00:18:09.143 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00{:}18{:}09{.}143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}11.658$  the modern consequences of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

00:18:11.658 --> 00:18:14.306 is a significant propensity for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

00:18:14.306 --> 00:18:15.438 chronic inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00:18:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.620$  which incidentally is a major.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00{:}18{:}19.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21.768$  Risk factor in the development of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87623143

 $00:18:21.768 \rightarrow 00:18:23.879$  coronary disease as well as cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:18:26.060 \rightarrow 00:18:28.643$  So I think if you're going to really make

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:18:28.643 \rightarrow 00:18:31.489$  an evolutionary argument for eating meat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:18:31.490 \rightarrow 00:18:34.244$  even if it is really eating in the way

- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- 00:18:34.244 --> 00:18:36.543 that our Paleolithic ancestors did I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00{:}18{:}36{.}543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39{.}819$  think you also have to ask yourself what.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:18:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.630$  What are my life goals?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- 00:18:41.630 --> 00:18:43.802 Because if your goal really is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:18:43.802 \rightarrow 00:18:45.250$  purely an evolutionary goal,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:18:45.250 \rightarrow 00:18:46.662$  your evolutionary telos, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00{:}18{:}46.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49.205$  Your purpose is simply to live long
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:18:49.205 \rightarrow 00:18:51.025$  enough such that your offspring
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00{:}18{:}51.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}52.481$  can survive past childhood.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- 00:18:52.490 --> 00:18:53.974 And now you've successfully
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:18:53.974 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.458$  passed on your genes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00{:}18{:}55{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}57{.}335$  You fulfilled your genetic destiny
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00{:}18{:}57{.}335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59{.}899$  and you don't need to live anymore
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- 00:18:59.899 --> 00:19:02.363 so you know you have most of your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:19:02.435 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.379$  kids by the time you're 2025,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

- $00:19:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.116$  then by the time you're 40 or 45,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:19:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.806$  they're adults.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:19:07.806 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.864$  They can have their own kids.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:19:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.899$  You are done.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- 00:19:10.899 --> 00:19:13.300 You don't need to live any longer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00{:}19{:}13{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}15{.}680$  Setting aside the fact that very rarely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- 00:19:15.680 --> 00:19:18.779 are 20 year olds even close to being well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:19:18.780 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.028$  I shouldn't say that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- 00:19:20.028 --> 00:19:22.738 But 20 year olds are rarely in fully
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- 00:19:22.738 --> 00:19:24.820 independent in modern life, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- 00:19:24.820 --> 00:19:27.020 But even more importantly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:19:27.020 \rightarrow 00:19:29.484$  that that's that's not most people's goals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:19:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.260$  When people come to me,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- 00:19:31.260 --> 00:19:32.910 they're not looking to survive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:19:32.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.959$  just long enough such that their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:19:34.959 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.664$  offspring can survive an their

- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:19:36.664 \rightarrow 00:19:38.670$  genetic destiny can be fulfilled.

 $00{:}19{:}38.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40.788$  People want to live well past

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:40.788 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.847$  their reproductive years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:41.850 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.610$  double that time at least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:43.610 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.375$  and not just living past

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:19:45.375 --> 00:19:46.434 their reproductive years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:19:46.440 \rightarrow 00:19:48.876$  but living with a really robust functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}19{:}48.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.670$  status both physically and mentally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:19:50.670 - 00:19:53.134 So I would argue that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:19:53.140 --> 00:19:53.834 looking tored,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}19{:}53.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55.569$  an evolutionary pattern of eating

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}19{:}55{.}569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}57{.}459$  really is not applicable in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}19{:}57{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}58{.}832$  In the modern world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}19{:}58{.}832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00{.}204$  with our modern invite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}20{:}00{.}210$  -->  $00{:}20{:}02{.}460$  Mental food abundance and with

 $00:20:02.460 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.421$  our modern goal of living well

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:20:05.421 \rightarrow 00:20:07.929$  past our evolutionary imperatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:20:07.930 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.055$  So with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:09.055 --> 00:20:10.930 let's turn to talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:20:10.930 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.368$  cardiovascular health and why

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}20{:}12.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.228$  shifting toward a plant based diet

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:20:14.228 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.517$  is really crucial for promoting that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:16.520 --> 00:20:18.310 which again, as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}20{:}18.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20.095$  is features very largely in

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:20:20.095 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.523$  my confluence of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:21.530 --> 00:20:24.220 As of course, a cardiologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}20{:}24{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26{.}116$  So we know that the consumption

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:26.116 --> 00:20:27.872 of animal based foods strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:27.872 --> 00:20:29.588 strongly promotes Atheros sclerosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}20{:}29{.}588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32{.}157$  or plaque deposition in the arteries

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:20:32.157 --> 00:20:34.663 of the heart and frankly in the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:20:34.663 \rightarrow 00:20:36.421$  arteries everywhere else as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00{:}20{:}36{.}421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38{.}850$  And we know that this is mediated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:20:38.928 \rightarrow 00:20:41.462$  not just by high levels of kolesov
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:20:41.462 \rightarrow 00:20:44.187$  of high intake of saturated fat which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00{:}20{:}44.187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.911$  promotes high levels of LDL or bad
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00{:}20{:}46{.}911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49{.}137$  cholesterol which leads to plaque deposition.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- 00:20:49.140 --> 00:20:51.000 But it also promotes inflammation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- 00:20:51.000 00:20:53.560 which is another key factor in the in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00:20:53.560 \rightarrow 00:20:55.839$  the progression of atherosclerotic plaque.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00{:}20{:}55{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}58{.}348$  It's these two things working together.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00{:}20{:}58{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00{.}120$  That that really accelerate the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00{:}21{:}00{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}02{.}300$  growth and the instability of black.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00{:}21{:}02{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05{.}558$  And we also know that a whole food plant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- $00{:}21{:}05{.}558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08{.}755$  based diet and by this I mean whole food,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947
- 00:21:08.760 --> 00:21:09.840 meaning unprocessed or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:21:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.920$  minimally processed foods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:21:10.920 --> 00:21:13.125 So I do not mean vegan sugar

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:21:13.125 --> 00:21:15.359 cookies when I talk about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:21:15.359 --> 00:21:17.374 plant based diet going forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}21{:}17{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19{.}809$  this is actually the only diet that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}21{:}19{.}809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22{.}380$  been shown not only to prevent or NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

00:21:22.380 --> 00:21:24.200 slow the progression of black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:21:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.035$  but actually to reverse plaque

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00:21:26.035 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.270$  and in certain cases when it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}21{:}28{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30{.}556$  Adhere to very strictly and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.850947

 $00{:}21{:}30{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}32{.}080$  is a diet and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:21:32.163 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.725$  I will make references to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:21:34.730 --> 00:21:36.750 You know, throughout my talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:21:36.750 \rightarrow 00:21:39.578$  this is a diet that is predominantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:21:39.578 --> 00:21:41.194 composed of fruits, vegetables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:21:41.194 \rightarrow 00:21:42.810$  whole grains, nuts, seeds,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977
- $00:21:42.810 \rightarrow 00:21:45.638$  beans and legumes among other food sources.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977
- $00{:}21{:}45.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.070$  And really minimizes, if not excludes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977
- $00{:}21{:}48.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.040$  animal based sources of food.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977
- $00{:}21{:}51{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52{.}880$  So let's talk about inflammation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977
- $00{:}21{:}52{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55{.}184$  So as I said, the whole food plant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977
- $00{:}21{:}55{.}184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}58{.}209$  based or a whole food plant based diet
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977
- $00:21:58.209 \rightarrow 00:22:00.272$  offers many anti inflammatory benefits
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977
- $00:22:00.272 \rightarrow 00:22:03.149$  beyond its effects on the lipid profile,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977
- $00{:}22{:}03.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.130$  meaning effects on lowering cholesterol.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977
- $00{:}22{:}06{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}08{.}821$  So the whole whole food plant based diet has
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977
- $00:22:08.821 \rightarrow 00:22:11.437$  been shown to decrease insulin resistance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977
- $00:22:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.918$  So why do we care about that?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977
- 00:22:13.920 --> 00:22:15.550 So insulin resistance is really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977
- 00:22:15.550 --> 00:22:17.810 a hallmark of type 2 diabetes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977
- $00:22:17.810 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.872$  which is quite.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:18.872 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.996$  It's really an epidemic in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}22{:}20{.}996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23{.}385$  Western world and it's mostly it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:22:23.385 --> 00:22:25.710 typically a lifestyle disease and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:22:25.710 --> 00:22:27.719 feature of it is unlike type one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}22{:}27{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}29{.}370$  diabetes where you don't make

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}22{:}29{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.620$  enough insulin with type 2 diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}22{:}31.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}33.618$  Typically the problem isn't so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:33.618 \rightarrow 00:22:35.869$  that you're not making enough insulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:35.870 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.606$  although that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:36.606 \rightarrow 00:22:38.814$  It can progress to issues like

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:38.814 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.530$  that in later stages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:40.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.588$  but is that your body itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:42.588 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.913$  you're the cells of your body

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}22{:}44{.}913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47{.}108$  aren't responsive to the insulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}22{:}47.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49.045$  and so with decreased insulin

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:49.045 \rightarrow 00:22:50.206$  resistance comes hyperglycemia,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977
- $00:22:50.210 \rightarrow 00:22:52.526$  because despite the secretion of insulin,

00:22:52.530 --> 00:22:54.240 your blood glucose levels are too

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:54.240 \rightarrow 00:22:56.452$  high and we know that hyperglycemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:22:56.452 --> 00:22:58.476 is proinflammatory and causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:22:58.476 --> 00:22:59.488 arterial inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:22:59.490 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.250$  which again is a critical factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.780$  in the development of plaque.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}04.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07.083$  We also know that a whole food

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}07{.}083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}09{.}299$  plant based diet promotes a healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:23:09.299 - 00:23:11.609 body weight which in turn also

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:23:11.609 - 00:23:13.610 helps to lower inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}13.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.740$  obesity or the accumulation of fat

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}15.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.019$  cells is not simply this passive

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:23:18.019 --> 00:23:19.989 process where you're just storing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:19.989 \rightarrow 00:23:22.798$  extra fat but actually fat cells are

 $00:23:22.798 \rightarrow 00:23:24.738$  very metabolically active and can

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}24.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27.968$  be and have been implicated in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}27{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}29{.}860$  secretion of inflammatory cytokines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}29{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31{.}546$  A whole food plant based diet

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}31{.}546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33{.}668$  also is of course associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:23:33.668 --> 00:23:35.069 increased fiber intake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}35{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}36{.}974$  Fiber is only found in plant based

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}36{.}974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}39{.}420$  food as well as phytonutrients or plant

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}39{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}41{.}385$  based nutrients that have antioxidant

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:41.385 \rightarrow 00:23:43.520$  and anti-inflammatory properties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.164$  And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:44.164 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.096$  a plant based diet has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:23:46.096 --> 00:23:48.290 shown to have really salubrious

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:48.290 \rightarrow 00:23:50.755$  interactions with the gut microbiome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}50.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53.483$  which is has become a pretty hot

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:23:53.483 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.706$  topic in medicine over the past

 $00:23:55.706 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.988$  decade or so in terms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}23{:}58.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00{.}918$  gut brain connection and the gut

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:00.918 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.266$  body connection and ascentia Lee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}24{:}03{.}266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06{.}388$  the eating eating a plant based diet NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:06.388 \rightarrow 00:24:08.514$  promotes healthier gut flora that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}24{:}08{.}514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10{.}830$  are less inflammatory as opposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.746$  a diet high in meat which has been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}24{:}13.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}16.500$  Associated with gut flora that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}24{:}16{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}19{.}250$  promote higher levels of inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:24:19.250 --> 00:24:22.162 So this is a diagram from a systematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}24{:}22.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24.201$  review that that summarizes some

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}24{:}24{.}201 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26{.}673$  of the findings that we found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:26.680 \rightarrow 00:24:28.960$  I'm not going to take everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.980$  through this this whole thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}24{:}30{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}33{.}676$  but suffice it to say that there is

 $00:24:33.676 \rightarrow 00:24:36.178$  there is a very complex interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}24{:}36{.}178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}38{.}800$  between the gut and the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

00:24:38.800 --> 00:24:39.582 Hormonal regulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:39.582 \rightarrow 00:24:41.146$  regulation of inflammatory factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:41.150 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.275$  regulation of metabolism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}24{:}42{.}275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}44{.}150$  and this has really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00{:}24{:}44{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46{.}178$  effects on overall health outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:46.178 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.399$  as summarized here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83839977

 $00:24:47.400 \rightarrow 00:24:49.630$  including decreasing all cause mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:24:49.630 --> 00:24:51.340 Decrease in risk for obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:24:51.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.050$  cardiometabolic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}24{:}52.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53.825$  diabetes, and a decreased risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}24{:}53.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}55.429$  for certain types of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:24:55.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.573$  And, of course, these are the outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}24{:}58{.}573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}01{.}370$  associated with a plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:01.370 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.663$  To go into a little bit more detail here.

 $00:25:05.670 \rightarrow 00:25:07.355$  There are several different outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}25{:}07{.}355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09{.}715$  that have been shown to be improved

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}25{:}09{.}715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11.857$  with the whole food plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}25{:}11.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}14.110$  For example, a vegetarian diet which NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:14.110 \rightarrow 00:25:16.469$  does allow for dairy and egg but

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}25{:}16{.}469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18{.}710$  not meat has been shown in a meta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:25:18.710 --> 00:25:20.666 Recent meta analysis has been shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}25{:}20.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22.620$  to confer a 25% relative risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:22.620 \rightarrow 00:25:24.245$  reduction in ischemic heart disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}25{:}24{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25{.}880$  which is heart disease from

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.184$  blockages in the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}25{:}27.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28.490$  arteries or coronary disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}25{:}28{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}30{.}770$  as well as an 8% relative risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}25{:}30{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}33{.}045$  reduction in total cancer of vegan diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}25{:}33.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.722$  In this same meta analysis was shown to

 $00:25:35.722 \rightarrow 00:25:38.068$  confer actually 15% relative risk reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:38.068 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.496$  In total cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:25:39.500 --> 00:25:39.840 Furthermore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}25{:}39{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42{.}560$  a whole food plant based diet has also

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}25{:}42.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44.783$  been associated with neuroprotection that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:44.783 \rightarrow 00:25:47.513$  it may confer against cognitive aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:47.520 \rightarrow 00:25:50.728$  So talking about living well into old age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:25:50.730 --> 00:25:52.730 not just being physically well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}25{:}52{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55{.}537$  cancer free and free of heart disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:25:55.540 \rightarrow 00:25:57.545$  but actually being more robust

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}25{:}57{.}545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}59{.}149$  from a cognitive standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:25:59.150 --> 00:26:01.556 I, I think, is very appealing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:01.560 \rightarrow 00:26:03.088$  Probably for most people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:03.088 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.616$  and certainly for most

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}26{:}04.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06.370$  people in this audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:06.370 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.380$  And it's thought that that's

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00:26:08.380 \rightarrow 00:26:09.988$  primarily mediated through the

 $00{:}26{:}09{.}988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11.749$  consumption of monounsaturated fat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}26{:}11.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}13.846$  Which is the kind of fat that's found

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:13.846 \rightarrow 00:26:15.858$  in plant based foods such as nuts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:15.860 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.260$  avocados and olive oil.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:18.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.348$  So here's some data and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:20.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.710$  the charts are small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:21.710 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.180$  I don't expect you to read them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:24.180 \rightarrow 00:26:26.609$  I'm going to summarize them for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}26{:}26{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29{.}058$  but this is a snippet of data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:26:29.058 --> 00:26:31.139 the Harvard Women's Health Study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}26{:}31{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}33{.}168$  They did a cognitive substudy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:33.168 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.960$  individuals age 65 and older,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.700$  which ended up being slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:36.700 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.744$  over 6000 women,

 $00:26:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.838$  and they found that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:39.838 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.230$  people with the highest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.162$  the women with the highest two

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:43.162 \rightarrow 00:26:44.936$  quintiles of saturated fat consumption

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}26{:}44{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47{.}510$  and the lowest two quintiles of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}26{:}47.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}48.797$  monounsaturated fat consumption.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:26:48.800 --> 00:26:50.710 These individuals were found to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}26{:}50.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53.484$  have increase or have found to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}26{:}53.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}55.064$  accelerated decline in cognition

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:55.064 \rightarrow 00:26:57.470$  and memory when they were studied

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.598$  over four years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:26:58.600 \rightarrow 00:26:58.998$  Conversely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}26{:}58{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}00{.}988$  those women with the highest

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

00:27:00.988 --> 00:27:02.580 quintile of monounsaturated fatty

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00{:}27{:}02.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}03.997$  acid consumption actually had

NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

 $00:27:03.997 \rightarrow 00:27:06.031$  the best verbal memory and global

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- 00:27:06.089 --> 00:27:07.219 cognitive trajectory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00{:}27{:}07{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09{.}470$  So eating plant based diet is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- 00:27:09.470 --> 00:27:11.930 not just good for your heart,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00:27:11.930 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.222$  but it is good for your mind as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- 00:27:17.230 --> 00:27:20.315 Alright, so that's all well and good, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00{:}27{:}20.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.625$  But then of course the questions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00:27:22.630 \rightarrow 00:27:25.339$  Well, what about when I'm not getting?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00:27:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.814$  Don't I need to eat meat to be healthy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- 00:27:28.814 --> 00:27:31.514 because I need it for protein, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00{:}27{:}31{.}514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33{.}818$  That's that's what I that's what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00{:}27{:}33.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.370$  I hear a lot.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00{:}27{:}35{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37{.}370$  There's a very common misconception
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- 00:27:37.370 -> 00:27:40.388 that a plant based diet does not have,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00{:}27{:}40{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42{.}706$  cannot allow for a dequate protein intake.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00:27:42.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.254$  That's simply not true.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233

- $00:27:44.254 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.640$  First,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00:27:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.220$  there's a widespread misconception
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00:27:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.195$  that we need to consume.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00:27:48.200 \rightarrow 00:27:50.706$  Far more protein than we actually do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00:27:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.592$  In fact,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00{:}27{:}51{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}53{.}797$  the recommendation is only .8
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- 00:27:53.797 --> 00:27:55.819 grams per kilogram per day.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00:27:55.820 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.352$  Which comes out to about 45
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83208233
- $00{:}27{:}58{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}00{.}040$  grams of protein for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- $00{:}28{:}00{.}135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}02{.}070$ 125 pound woman and 80
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- $00:28:02.070 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.450$  grams for a 220 pound man.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- $00{:}28{:}04{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06{.}616$  So many plant based foods contain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- 00:28:06.616 --> 00:28:08.060 significant amounts of protein,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- $00{:}28{:}08{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10{.}226$  and I'm going to show you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- 00:28:10.226 --> 00:28:11.670 just some examples here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- 00:28:11.670 --> 00:28:13.545 Tofu or edamame soy products

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- $00:28:13.545 \rightarrow 00:28:15.420$  they contain anywhere from 11:50
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- 00:28:15.482 --> 00:28:17.450 grams of protein per 3.5 ounces,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- $00:28:17.450 \rightarrow 00:28:19.610$  and that's a very small serving.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- $00{:}28{:}19.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}22.004$  Again, these are all small servings that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- 00:28:22.004 --> 00:28:23.939 have pretty significant protein content,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- $00{:}28{:}23{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27{.}189$  lentils or 18 grams for just one cooked Cup.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- 00:28:27.190 --> 00:28:29.356 A Cup is typically 8 ounces,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- $00:28:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.160$  not huge beans and chickpeas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- $00:28:31.160 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.644$  So think hummus, right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- 00:28:32.644 --> 00:28:34.499 Delicious spread goes great on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- 00:28:34.500 --> 00:28:35.505 Pretty much everything.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- 00:28:35.505 --> 00:28:37.850 15 grams of protein per cooked Cup,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- 00:28:37.850 --> 00:28:38.520 nutritional yeast,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- $00{:}28{:}38{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}40{.}195$  some personal favorite of mine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- $00{:}28{:}40{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}42{.}210$  It's inactivated yeast and it kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.218$  of has a nutty, cheesy taste.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:44.218 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.556$  Very, very umami that that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:46.556 \rightarrow 00:28:48.686$  again put on almost anything that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}28{:}48.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}50.920$  contains 14 grams of protein per oz.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}28{:}50{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53{.}272$  Nuts and seeds 5 to 7 grams

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:53.272 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.600$  of protein per oz.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:28:54.600 --> 00:28:57.280 So, and this is just a small sampling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:28:57.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.581$  really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}28{:}57{.}581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00{.}290$  There are quite a lot of plant based foods

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:00.351 \rightarrow 00:29:02.637$  that contain more than enough protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.320$  and it adds up quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.370$  so that's really that's that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:29:06.370 --> 00:29:08.358 As long as you're eating a somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}29{:}08{.}358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}10{.}605$  varied diet and not eating the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}29{:}10.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12.275$  thing everyday in tiny quantities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.370$  usually protein is not a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- $00{:}29{:}14.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}16.960$  concern with a plant based diet.

00:29:16.960 - 00:29:18.750 There are some other nutrients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.032$  that that often you hear people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:21.032 \rightarrow 00:29:23.147$  expressed concern about as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}29{:}23.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23.537$  Iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:29:23.537 - 00:29:26.610 It is true that excuse me, heme iron,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:29:26.610 - 00:29:30.120 which is the type of iron found in meat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:30.120 \rightarrow 00:29:33.208$  is more easily absorbed than non heme iron,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.306$  which is the type that's found in plants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:29:36.310 --> 00:29:37.858 Unfortunately heme iron also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:29:37.858 --> 00:29:39.406 it has proinflammatory effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}29{:}39{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}41{.}629$  so going back to those effects on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:29:41.629 --> 00:29:44.050 increasing risk of cardiovascular disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}29{:}44.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}46.020$  disease and cancer problem and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}29{:}46.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47.596$  vegans can easily compensate.

 $00{:}29{:}47.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}50.022$  By eating a higher quantity of iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:50.022 \rightarrow 00:29:52.428$  when it's in its non heme form,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.845$  and this can be found in tofu,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:29:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.230$  lentils, beans, nuts and seeds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}29{:}57{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58{.}210$  Calcium is another concern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:29:58.210 --> 00:30:00.769 If I don't drink milk, I'm not getting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:30:00.769 --> 00:30:02.098 Calcium not true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:30:02.100 --> 00:30:05.640 Calcium is actually abundant in soy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}30{:}05{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}08{.}000$  lentils, beans, nuts, seeds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:30:08.000 --> 00:30:09.770 grains, leafy greens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:09.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.720$  and my personal favorite cruciferous

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:12.720 \rightarrow 00:30:15.080$  vegetables, which includes broccoli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:30:15.080 --> 00:30:16.260 kale, cauliflower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}30{:}16.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}18.708$  arugula and Brussels sprouts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:30:18.708 --> 00:30:20.544 Omega-3 fatty acids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:20.550 \rightarrow 00:30:23.070$  We typically think of fish when we

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523
- $00:30:23.070 \rightarrow 00:30:26.358$  think of a mega threes, but actually LA,

 $00{:}30{:}26{.}358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28{.}288$  which is a building block,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:30:28.290 --> 00:30:29.834 is found in flaxseed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:29.834 \rightarrow 00:30:31.705$  chia seeds, walnuts in soybeans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:30:31.705 --> 00:30:34.260 and you can take an algae oil

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:34.339 \rightarrow 00:30:37.188$  supplement to get dij and EPA directly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:30:37.190 --> 00:30:37.570 Finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}30{:}37{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}39{.}850$  I should mention that B12 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:39.850 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.839$  absent in strict vegan diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}30{:}41.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}44.612$  so that must be supplemented and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:44.612 \rightarrow 00:30:47.691$  can either be done through a multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}30{:}47.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.397$  vitamin or through a fortified foods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}30{:}50{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}54{.}075$  And is typically not difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}30{:}54.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}56.280$  in today's environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:30:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.072$  So alright,

 $00:30:57.072 \rightarrow 00:30:59.844$  what about then effects on other people?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:30:59.850 --> 00:31:01.835 Because I've taken you through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:31:01.835 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.423$  the effects on animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:31:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.018$  I've taken you through,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:31:05.018 \rightarrow 00:31:06.209$  hopefully debunking notions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}31{:}06{.}209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07{.}400$  about evolutionary imperatives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:31:07.400 \rightarrow 00:31:09.668$  and hopefully I've made a compelling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00{:}31{:}09.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}12.067$  argument about the health benefits of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:31:12.067 \rightarrow 00:31:14.533$  predominantly whole food plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

00:31:14.540 --> 00:31:16.616 But even if you don't find

NOTE Confidence: 0.8772523

 $00:31:16.616 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.000$  any of those things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:31:18.081 --> 00:31:20.895 compelling, you know you don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}31{:}20.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}22.880$  concerns about your own health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}31{:}22.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25.256$  You know animals don't really just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:31:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.440$  You're not convinced by that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}31{:}28{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32{.}598$  But you do care about other people

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- $00:31:32.598 \rightarrow 00:31:36.409$  and justice and the planet well.

 $00:31:36.410 \rightarrow 00:31:38.700$  The the factory farming industry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}31{:}38{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41{.}532$  The meat industry actually has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:31:41.532 \rightarrow 00:31:43.929$  lot of really significant effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}31{:}43{.}929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46{.}918$  on other people and on the planet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:31:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.016$  So first I'd like to point out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}31{:}50{.}016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52{.}342$  consuming animal products leaves a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:31:52.342 \rightarrow 00:31:54.306$  very significant carbon footprint

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:31:54.306 --> 00:31:57.765 and I've taken this figure from The

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}31{:}57.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59.669$  Economist which just illustrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}31{:}59.669 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}02.470$  the carbon footprint of the average

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}32{:}02{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}04{.}770$  American diet and notes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:04.770 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.539$  if vegetarian diet cuts it by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:32:07.540 --> 00:32:09.980 Let's say 40% and a 2/3 vegan diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:09.980 \rightarrow 00:32:11.864$  which they indicate here is going

 $00:32:11.864 \rightarrow 00:32:13.948$  vegan for about 2/3 of your meal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:13.950 \dashrightarrow 00:32:16.310$  So even if going all the way vegan

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:16.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.519$  is sounds like a stretch for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.045$  which that is admittedly hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:20.045 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.570$  in our current food system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:32:21.570 --> 00:32:23.910 But even eating vegan most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}32{:}23{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}26{.}007$  the time or becoming vegetarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:26.007 \dashrightarrow 00:32:28.749$  has it has an enormous impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:32:28.750 --> 00:32:31.072 And you can see here even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:31.072 \rightarrow 00:32:32.970$  if you're not ready to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:32.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.890$  even if the environment is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:34.890 \rightarrow 00:32:36.426$  your number one concern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:32:36.430 - 00:32:39.118 and you're not ready to be vegetarian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:32:39.120 --> 00:32:39.450 look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:39.450 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.430$  this is just a comparison of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:41.430 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.960$  the different carbon footprints

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- 00:32:42.960 --> 00:32:44.880 of eating different products,

 $00:32:44.880 \rightarrow 00:32:47.178$  both animal based and plant base,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:47.180 \rightarrow 00:32:49.959$  and you can see the just absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}32{:}49{.}959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52{.}307$  profound carbon footprint of beef eating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:52.307 \rightarrow 00:32:54.479$  compared to really any other foods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:32:54.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.659$  including other meats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}32{:}55{.}659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58{.}820$  And that's from a number of factors from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}32{:}58{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}01{.}970$  Land use change to farming practices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:33:01.970 --> 00:33:02.596 you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:33:02.596 --> 00:33:02.909 I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}33{:}02{.}909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}05{.}563$  I think that most in less you been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:33:05.563 --> 00:33:07.849 living under a Rock You you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:07.849 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.679$  heard about the accelerating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}33{:}09{.}679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12{.}127$  defore station in the Amazon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:12.130 \rightarrow 00:33:12.544$  Basically,

- $00:33:12.544 \rightarrow 00:33:12.958$  rainforests,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- $00{:}33{:}12.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16.270$  like the Amazon are being burned to make
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- $00:33:16.342 \rightarrow 00:33:18.876$  way for more cattle ranching to satisfy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- $00:33:18.876 \rightarrow 00:33:21.587$  the ever growing human appetite for beef.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- $00:33:21.590 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.560$  And so it's not again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- $00{:}33{:}23{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26{.}101$  not just affect on people through climate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- $00:33:26.101 \rightarrow 00:33:28.289$  change and adverse planetary health,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- $00:33:28.290 \rightarrow 00:33:30.255$  but also effects on biodiversity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- $00{:}33{:}30{.}255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}31{.}434$  and other wildlife.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- $00:33:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.220$  So its effect is really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- $00:33:34.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.444$  quite far reaching profound.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- $00:33:36.450 \rightarrow 00:33:38.546$  And you know a lot of times when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- 00:33:38.546 --> 00:33:40.478 you hear about climate change.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- $00:33:40.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.030$  It seems like an incredibly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- 00:33:42.030 --> 00:33:43.580 daunting problem where it's like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- $00:33:43.580 \rightarrow 00:33:45.130$  how can I personally make

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608
- $00:33:45.130 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.680$  an effect make a change?

 $00:33:46.680 \rightarrow 00:33:48.850$  That's going to have a significant effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.250$  while the biggest thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.570$  you can do is not have children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}33{:}52{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}54{.}442$  or have or have one fewer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:54.442 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.289$  child than you wanted to have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:56.290 \rightarrow 00:33:58.150$  'cause as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.700$  that's fewer humans that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:33:59.700 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.250$  consuming resources on this planet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:34:01.250 --> 00:34:02.490 But short of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:34:02.490 \rightarrow 00:34:04.040$  looking at some other options,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:34:04.040 --> 00:34:05.645 eating a plant based diet

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:34:05.645 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.250$  actually has a huge impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:34:07.250 --> 00:34:08.338 On your carbon footprint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}34{:}08{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}10{.}551$  it's it's close to double the impact of

 $00:34:10.551 \rightarrow 00:34:12.399$  replacing a typical car with a hybrid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}34{:}12{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}14{.}758$  which is kind of a people think of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

00:34:14.758 --> 00:34:17.274 is a really big deal and a great step,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}34{:}17{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19{.}659$  and it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}34{:}19.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}21.529$  But you can really have a huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}34{:}21{.}529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22{.}744$  impact again by transitioning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00:34:22.744 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.489$  to a plant based diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}34{:}24{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}26{.}324$  even if even if not all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8149608

 $00{:}34{:}26{.}324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}28{.}418$  way like I showed you before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:34:28.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.784$  Even if it's just cutting beef

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}34{:}30{.}784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}33{.}350$  that makes a huge difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

00:34:33.350 --> 00:34:36.806 So what about other effects on NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:34:36.806 \rightarrow 00:34:39.110$  others besides climate change?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:34:39.110 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.010$  So the current meat industry

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

00:34:41.010 --> 00:34:43.521 has a lot of really problematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:34:43.521 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.171$  practices that go well beyond

 $00:34:46.171 \rightarrow 00:34:49.010$  animal welfare and animal cruelty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}34{:}49{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}52{.}125$  It's been well documented as in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

00:34:52.125 --> 00:34:54.785 Atlantic article shown here and many

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}34{:}54{.}785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}57{.}275$  others that the farm industry and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}34{:}57{.}275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59{.}641$  factory farming have a long standing

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:34:59.641 \rightarrow 00:35:03.053$  issue of poor treatment of factory workers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:03.053 \rightarrow 00:35:05.465$  who incidentally are disproportionately

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

00:35:05.465 --> 00:35:08.480 people of color and immigrants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.245$  It's been documented that they have high

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:11.245 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.752$  rates of injury and infection because

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:13.752 \rightarrow 00:35:16.248$  just like animals that are packed

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}35{:}16.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}19.209$  and overcrowded in these factory farms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}35{:}19{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21{.}270$  workers are packed and overcrowded

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.838$  in the production lines in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}35{:}23.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}25.594$  factories not given adequate

 $00:35:25.594 \rightarrow 00:35:27.789$  time even for bathroom breaks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}35{:}27.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}29.514$  rushed injuries happen with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:29.514 \rightarrow 00:35:31.238$  cutting infection spread easily

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:31.238 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.359$  because even before the pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:33.360 \rightarrow 00:35:35.982$  people were in really close quarters

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}35{:}35{.}982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}38{.}589$  with each other and it's it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:38.590 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.260$  It's it's a real problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}35{:}41{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43{.}479$  Adding to these problems is that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

00:35:43.479 --> 00:35:45.900 the problem of antibiotic resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}35{:}45{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}48{.}462$  so it's common practice in the farm

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:48.462 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.550$  industry to feed farm animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}35{:}50{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52{.}656$  Low doses of antibiotics in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:52.656 \rightarrow 00:35:54.810$  to both to prevent infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:54.810 \rightarrow 00:35:57.150$  because in those crowded living conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:35:57.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.278$  that they live in in order to save

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:00.278 \rightarrow 00:36:02.153$  money infection spread very easily.

 $00{:}36{:}02{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}04{.}918$  And it's also been shown that antibiotics

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}04{.}918$  -->  $00{:}36{:}07{.}190$  can increase the rate of growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:07.190 \dashrightarrow 00:36:09.808$  It's thought that that's also due due

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:09.808 \rightarrow 00:36:12.219$  to interactions with the gut microbiome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:12.220 \rightarrow 00:36:14.800$  and altering the gut flora that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.098$  Promote that tend to have pro

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:17.098 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.864$  obesity effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:17.870 \rightarrow 00:36:20.755$  But unfortunately that just promotes

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}20.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}23.063$  the development of antibiotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}23.063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.362$  resistant organisms that can then

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}25.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}27.822$  spread and make their way into

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}27{.}902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}30{.}408$  the food chain and affect us and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

00:36:30.410 --> 00:36:31.739 Infectious disease specialists

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}31.739 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}33.954$  will tell you that antibiotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}33{.}954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36{.}157$  resistance is a major threat to us,

 $00:36:36.160 \rightarrow 00:36:39.598$  and if we don't change our ways and practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}39{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41{.}516$  better stewardship of antibiotics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

00:36:41.516 --> 00:36:44.878 it's going to become a much bigger

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:44.878 \rightarrow 00:36:47.326$  issue than than it already is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

00:36:47.330 --> 00:36:47.628 Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}47.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}50.012$  I'm not going to spend a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}50.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51.980$  time on this because every one

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:36:51.980 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.368$  is sick of hearing about covid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}54{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56{.}386$  but it does be ar repeating that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

00:36:56.386 --> 00:36:58.204 the practices of crowding animals NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}36{:}58{.}204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00{.}264$  very tightly together increases the NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}37{:}00{.}264$  -->  $00{:}37{:}02{.}570$  risk of zoonotic pandemics and not NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:37:02.570 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.340$  just things like kovid that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:37:04.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.920$  have been potentially linked to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:37:05.920 \rightarrow 00:37:07.570$  wet market practices where animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:37:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.150$  are also crowded together.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405
- $00:37:09.150 \dashrightarrow 00:37:11.166$  But we've also seen epidemics of

00:37:11.166 --> 00:37:13.310 bird flu and swine flu coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

00:37:13.310 --> 00:37:15.488 from poultry farms and pig farms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:37:15.490 \rightarrow 00:37:18.286$  so this is again not just theoretical, it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00{:}37{:}18.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}20.302$  It's an actual issue that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86315405

 $00:37:20.302 \dashrightarrow 00:37:22.260$  affects other people very adversely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:37:24.860 --> 00:37:27.356 And finally, I'd like to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:37:27.356 \rightarrow 00:37:29.483$  some some social justice arguments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:37:29.483 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.164$  in favor of moving as a society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:37:32.170 --> 00:37:35.900 Tord more plant based nutrition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}37{:}35{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}38{.}444$  So we know and again this has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}37{:}38{.}444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}40{.}787$  very well documented that insufficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}37{:}40{.}787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}44{.}045$  education about access to and affordability

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}37{:}44.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}46.289$  of healthy foods disproportionately

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}37{:}46{.}289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}49{.}547$  affects people of color and individuals

 $00:37:49.547 \dashrightarrow 00:37:51.926$  of low socioeconomic status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}37{:}51{.}926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}54{.}830$  For example, in this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:37:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.070$  that that's referenced below.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:37:57.070 --> 00:37:59.070 And this is actually reviewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:37:59.070 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.670$  that quoted this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}38{:}00{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}03{.}358$  I should say, looked at the distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}38{:}03{.}358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05{.}469$  of food deserts in Baltimore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:38:05.470 --> 00:38:07.350 So food deserts are typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:38:07.350 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:38:10.009 defined as areas where there is no

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38{:}10.009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}12.145$  grocery store nearby that with fresh

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:12.145 \rightarrow 00:38:14.291$  produce only convenience stores were NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:38:14.291 --> 00:38:17.015 typically you can only access really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}38{:}17.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20.195$  processed foods that have low nutrient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}38{:}20.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22.920$  density and high caloric density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}38{:}22{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}23{.}758$  That they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:23.758 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.272$  This study found that there was

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125
- $00:38:26.272 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.825$  high availability of healthy

 $00:38:27.825 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.570$  foods in 68% of predominantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:38:29.570 --> 00:38:31.130 white neighborhoods in Baltimore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.476$  but in only 19% of predominantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:33.476 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.258$  black neighborhoods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:38:34.260 -> 00:38:37.148 So this is just one example of too

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:37.148 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.503$  many to count of the structural racism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:40.503 \rightarrow 00:38:43.820$  that currently exists in our society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:43.820 \rightarrow 00:38:46.767$  And we know that that nutritious food,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:46.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.378$  or the lack thereof,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:38:48.378 \rightarrow 00:38:51.400$  is a major social determinant of health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}38{:}51{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54{.}244$  and this explains many disparities in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}38{:}54{.}244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}56{.}790$  terms of both cardiovascular disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}38{:}56{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59{.}090$  and cardiovascular risk factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:38:59.090 - 00:39:01.351 For those of you in the audience

00:39:01.351 - 00:39:03.020 who are healthcare providers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:39:03.020 --> 00:39:04.880 I think what this really means

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.846$  is that we have a duty to counsel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:39:07.846 --> 00:39:09.088 our patients accordingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}39{:}09{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}11{.}064$  and it can seem very daunting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:39:11.064 --> 00:39:13.231 because living in a system where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}39{:}13{.}231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}14{.}795$  you don't have access,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.278$  it's it's hard to know how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}39{:}17{.}278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}19{.}079$  impact our counseling will have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.870$  But at the very least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}39{:}20.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}23.240$  our counseling patients can at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.830$  start to overcome that education gap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:39:25.830 - 00:39:28.126 However, that's not going to be enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:28.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.434$  and society at large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:39:29.434 --> 00:39:30.086 I argue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:39:30.090 \rightarrow 00:39:32.714$  has a duty to ensure better early education,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125
- $00:39:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.177$  because this needs to happen in childhood
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125
- $00{:}39{:}35{.}177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37{.}638$  and it's it's not just going to be,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125
- 00:39:37.640 --> 00:39:38.266 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125
- 00:39:38.266 --> 00:39:39.518 adult cardiologists like me
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125
- $00:39:39.518 \rightarrow 00:39:41.165$  talking to patients they should
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125
- 00:39:41.165 --> 00:39:42.890 be getting education much earlier,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125
- $00:39:42.890 \rightarrow 00:39:45.210$  because that's when those healthy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125
- $00:39:45.210 \dashrightarrow 00:39:47.066$  behaviors should be starting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125
- $00{:}39{:}47.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}49.554$  We need to ensure lifelong access
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125
- $00:39:49.554 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.029$  to healthy plant based food and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125
- $00:39:52.029 \rightarrow 00:39:54.249$  that that's going to mean more,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125
- 00:39:54.250 --> 00:39:56.650 more pointed and mindful public advocacy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125
- $00:39:56.650 \rightarrow 00:39:58.278$  including pushing back against
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125
- $00{:}39{:}58{.}278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}00{.}313$  the heavy lobbying currently from.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125
- $00{:}40{:}00{.}320$  -->  $00{:}40{:}04{.}050$  The meat and dairy industry, for example.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.326$  And you know a lot of times I hear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:07.330 \rightarrow 00:40:09.150$  Well, what about personal responsibility?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:09.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.242$  Personal responsibility is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:10.242 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.970$  extremely important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:10.970 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.422$  I'm not arguing against

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}40{:}12.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}13.874$  personal responsibility at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:40:13.880 --> 00:40:14.590 You know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:14.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.945$  Ultimately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}40{:}14.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}18.968$  it is up to us what we put in our mouths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}40{:}18{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}21{.}210$  But I think that we also have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}40{:}21.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}22.781$  understand that personal responsibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:22.781 \rightarrow 00:40:25.156$  is predicated on resource equity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.197$  and it's it's really hard to call

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:27.197 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.687$  a poor diet a problem of personal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}40{:}29.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}31.627$  responsibility in someone who does

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}40{:}31.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34.020$  not have access to transportation.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125
- $00:40:34.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.844$  Or access to healthy food and

 $00{:}40{:}35{.}844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}37{.}858$  has not been educated about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

00:40:37.858 --> 00:40:39.330 importance of healthy food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:39.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.900$  So that's really the responsibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}40{:}40{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}43{.}569$  of those of us who do have more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00{:}40{:}43.569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}45.289$  power and privilege to overcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596125

 $00:40:45.289 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.977$  those systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.89438033

 $00{:}40{:}46.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47.956$  barriers to do to healthy eating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:40:50.080 --> 00:40:53.176 And in that vein, I would argue therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:40:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.679$  that nutrition equity really is a moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}40{:}55{.}679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}57{.}430$  imperative from adjusted standpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}40{:}57{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00{.}699$  And from the standpoint of a fair

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:41:00.699 --> 00:41:03.489 distribution of resources in in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:41:03.489 --> 00:41:05.229 society where structural racism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}41{:}05{.}229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}07{.}809$  continues to be a huge problem.

00:41:07.810 --> 00:41:10.048 Alright, So what is stopping us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:41:10.050 --> 00:41:13.623 I think many of us have heard talks about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}41{:}13.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}16.540$  current problems in the way our system NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.778$  is set up in the way society set up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:41:19.780 --> 00:41:22.209 We've all heard about problems and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:22.209 \rightarrow 00:41:24.270$  haven't heard enough about solutions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:41:24.270 --> 00:41:26.010 so I'm certainly not proposing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:26.010 \rightarrow 00:41:28.380$  that I can fix this problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:41:28.380 --> 00:41:30.988 but I do want to propose how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:30.988 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.238$  can think about this problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}41{:}33{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}35{.}562$  how we can frame reframe this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:35.562 \rightarrow 00:41:38.239$  problem and how we can start to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:38.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.875$  Taking steps together to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}41{:}40.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}42.456$  address this problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}41{:}42{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}44{.}636$  So I would argue that one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:41:44.636 \rightarrow 00:41:46.483$  things that keeps us from enacting

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- 00:41:46.483 --> 00:41:48.794 change on a personal end of societal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:41:48.794 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.128$  level is simply inertia and denial.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:41:51.130 \rightarrow 00:41:52.126$  People saying, well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:41:52.126 \rightarrow 00:41:54.450$  you know what Doc I've been meaning
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- 00:41:54.515 --> 00:41:58.229 eating meat all my life, and I'm healthy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00{:}41{:}58{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}01{.}510$  That you know, again, an ecdote is not data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:42:01.510 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.454$  Winston Churchill.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00{:}42{:}02{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}06{.}230$  It's well known that he smoked all his
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:42:06.317 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.296$  life and he lived to a ripe old age,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00{:}42{:}09{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}11.862$  but no one would argue that that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:42:11.862 \rightarrow 00:42:14.422$  means that smoking is not incredibly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00{:}42{:}14{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16{.}270$  detrimental to lung health,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00{:}42{:}16.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}17.446$  to heart health,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00{:}42{:}17{.}446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}19{.}798$  and and is incredibly dangerous from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00{:}42{:}19.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}21.944$  the standpoint of markedly increasing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

- $00:42:21.944 \rightarrow 00:42:25.289$  your risk of a large number of cancers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:42:25.290 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.495$  Just because people are healthy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00{:}42{:}27{.}495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}28{.}818$  at the moment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:42:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.788$  The point in time in which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:42:30.788 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.772$  are counseling them,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00{:}42{:}31.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}33.425$  but that doesn't mean that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:42:33.425 \rightarrow 00:42:35.070$  they don't have fatty streaks,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:42:35.070 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.382$  and atherosclerotic plaques that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- 00:42:36.382 --> 00:42:37.366 are building up,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:42:37.370 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.340$  and in fact if they eat a western diet,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:42:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.306$  they probably do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:42:41.306 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.560$  And so I think it's important to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:42:43.625 \rightarrow 00:42:45.880$  to overcome that cognitive barrier.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00{:}42{:}45{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}47{.}425$  I think another cognitive barrier
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:42:47.425 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.317$  that that I encounter is just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:42:49.317 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.469$  this idea of nihilism,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:42:50.470 \rightarrow 00:42:52.758$  which is or the sense that you know

 $00{:}42{:}52.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55.057$  what it doesn't matter what I do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:55.060 \rightarrow 00:42:57.083$  because it's not going to make a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:42:57.083 \rightarrow 00:42:59.338$  difference in the grand scheme of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}42{:}59{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}01{.}056$  I'm just one person and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:01.056 \rightarrow 00:43:02.710$  fair in and of itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}43{:}02{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}04{.}681$  But I think we have to keep in mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:04.681 \rightarrow 00:43:06.932$  that society does not change unless

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}43{:}06{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}08{.}512$  individuals within society change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:43:08.520 --> 00:43:10.356 So if you're going to stick

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:10.356 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.580$  with this nihilistic point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.416$  then you also have to concede

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:13.416 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.640$  that voting doesn't matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}43{:}14.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}17.146$  and I hope this last election has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}43{:}17.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}19.005$  Showing people that voting really

 $00:43:19.005 \rightarrow 00:43:21.589$  really matters and it's and it doesn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}43{:}21.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24.229$  It's not so much that any one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:43:24.229 --> 00:43:26.030 person's vote matters so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:26.030 \rightarrow 00:43:27.880$  but when enough people vote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.730$  it does make a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:43:29.730 --> 00:43:32.010 And So what I'm asking you to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}43{:}32.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}34.867$  is to vote with your wallet and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:34.867 \rightarrow 00:43:37.831$  vote with your plate when it comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}43{:}37{.}831 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}39{.}719$  to making nutritional changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.464$  cognitive dissonance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:40.464 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.068$  or the idea that people find it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}43{:}43.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}45.405$  easier to when people's beliefs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00{:}43{:}45{.}405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47{.}300$  their behaviors have a conflict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:43:47.300 --> 00:43:49.292 People are more likely to change

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

00:43:49.292 --> 00:43:51.054 their beliefs to suit their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603

 $00:43:51.054 \rightarrow 00:43:53.268$  behaviors than the other way around.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8587603
- $00:43:53.270 \rightarrow 00:43:55.020$  Or as I stated here,

 $00:43:55.020 \rightarrow 00:43:56.780$  you know what I know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:43:56.780 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.620$  I'm a good person and I eat meat

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:43:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.036$  and therefore it can't be that bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

00:44:02.040 --> 00:44:03.800 And you know, that's fair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:03.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.068$  I I get that and I think that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:06.068 \rightarrow 00:44:07.578$  clinicians it's especially problematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00{:}44{:}07{.}578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}09{.}978$  because I think sometimes that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00{:}44{:}09{.}978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}12{.}220$  prevent us from counseling effectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00{:}44{:}12.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}14.712$  Because if in our heads we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00{:}44{:}14.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17.559$  believe that we can change and we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

00:44:17.560 --> 00:44:19.582 Such a degree of cognitive dissonance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

00:44:19.582 --> 00:44:22.041 that it's not a problem I'm I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00{:}44{:}22.041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}24.135$  concerned that that may prevent us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:24.135 \rightarrow 00:44:26.589$  from counseling patients effectively.

 $00:44:26.590 \rightarrow 00:44:28.914$  And taking this even one step further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.420$  do we have a duty as those of us who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:31.489 \rightarrow 00:44:33.919$  are physicians or other clinicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:33.920 \rightarrow 00:44:35.240$  other health care workers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.938$  Do we have a dirt duty to serve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:37.938 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.907$  as role models?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:38.910 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.698$  And that's not to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:40.698 \rightarrow 00:44:42.904$  we have to be perfect, but,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00{:}44{:}42.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}44.908$  at least to undertake some movement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

00:44:44.910 --> 00:44:45.576 Tord eating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:45.576 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.574$  a healthier diet or having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00{:}44{:}47{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}48{.}899$  healthier lifestyle in general?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:48.900 \rightarrow 00:44:49.755$  Such that, where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:49.755 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.040$  where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:50.040 \rightarrow 00:44:52.233$  on the same page is our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:52.233 \rightarrow 00:44:53.897$  one where counseling them?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104
- $00:44:53.900 \rightarrow 00:44:55.808$  We don't want to counsel people

 $00{:}44{:}55{.}808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}57{.}833$  to do something that we ourselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859104

 $00:44:57.833 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.217$  aren't willing to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00:45:01.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.804$  So how can we do better at this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

00:45:05.810 --> 00:45:08.843 I'm going to suggest that we need a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00{:}45{:}08{.}843 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}12{.}082$  of a reframe in order to address this

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00:45:12.082 \rightarrow 00:45:15.299$  very real issue of cognitive dissonance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00:45:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.659$  And first I would just say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00{:}45{:}17.659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}20.757$  I think we need to accept that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00{:}45{:}20.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23.257$  imperfection is the human condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00{:}45{:}23{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26{.}193$  I don't say that as an excuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00{:}45{:}26.193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}27.450$  to avoid accountability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88067114

 $00:45:27.450 \rightarrow 00:45:30.794$  but rather as a motivation to spur change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00{:}45{:}32{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35{.}128$  Discipline is not the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:45:35.128 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.276$  same thing as masochism.

 $00:45:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.478$  So what do I mean by that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:45:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.901$  I think a lot of people think that eating

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:45:41.901 \rightarrow 00:45:44.497$  a healthy diet is all about willpower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:45:44.500 \rightarrow 00:45:46.691$  and willpower is going to be important

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00{:}45{:}46.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48.900$  sometimes, but will power is not a strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

00:45:48.900 --> 00:45:51.203 A strategy is setting up an environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:45:51.203 \rightarrow 00:45:53.102$  where the default is healthy eating

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:45:53.102 \rightarrow 00:45:55.490$  and it's easier to do the right thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:45:55.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.742$  Whether that's for yourself

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:45:56.742 \rightarrow 00:45:57.994$  or for other people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00{:}45{:}58{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59{.}920$  so it's about setting yourself up

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:45:59.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.088$  for success and what that means is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00{:}46{:}02.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}03.590$  you know, buying healthier food

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00{:}46{:}03.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05.875$  to keep it home so that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:46:05.875 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.675$  not tempted by unhealthy food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:46:07.680 \rightarrow 00:46:09.315$  Whether that's changing the food

 $00:46:09.315 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.950$  that served at our conferences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00{:}46{:}10.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}13.245$  or at least the food that was served at

NOTE Confidence: 0.86688375

 $00:46:13.245 \rightarrow 00:46:15.527$  our conferences before this pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:17.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.554$  I think we need to reject this

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:46:19.554 --> 00:46:20.726 false dichotomy of healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:20.726 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.166$  food versus delicious food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:22.170 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.983$  I hear this a lot from patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}46{:}23.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}26.020$  as well that you know the choices

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}46{:}26{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27{.}820$  are you either eat healthy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:46:27.883 --> 00:46:29.466 it's boring, and it's bland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:29.466 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.218$  and it's rabbit food and doc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:46:31.220 --> 00:46:33.848 I don't want to do that or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:33.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.894$  I just really like food and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:35.894 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.650$  good food isn't good for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:37.650 \rightarrow 00:46:39.645$  And and I think nothing could be

 $00:46:39.645 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.728$  further from the truth I posted here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:46:41.730 --> 00:46:43.946 A picture of my my one of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:46:43.946 --> 00:46:44.950 favorite Thanksgiving dishes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}46{:}44{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46{.}410$  which is a cashew cheese,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:46.410 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.120$  stuffed butternut squash topped with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}46{:}48.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}49.551$  Walnuts and cranberries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}46{:}49{.}551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}51{.}936$  It's absolutely delicious and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:51.936 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.385$  of my loved ones who may be in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}46{:}55{.}385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}57{.}380$  audience have made this before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:46:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.061$  But suffice it to say that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:00.061 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.430$  you can have comfort food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.540$  You can have nourishing food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}47{:}04{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06{.}988$  You can have delicious food that

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:06.988 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.555$  is also healthy food and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}47{:}09{.}555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11{.}700$  it's not about denying yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:47:11.700 --> 00:47:15.738 It's not about asceticism or masochism.

00:47:15.740 --> 00:47:16.558 And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}47{:}16.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}19.421$  I'd like to exhort everyone to embrace

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}47{:}19{.}421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22{.}230$  a model of incremental change and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}47{:}22{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}25{.}249$  not making perfect the enemy of good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}47{:}25.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}28.067$  So what are the things that we can do

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:28.067 \rightarrow 00:47:31.276$  to move toward this incremental change?

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:31.280 \rightarrow 00:47:32.968$  Again implementing slow changes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:32.968 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.500$  So lots of people when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:35.571 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.396$  want to change their diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:47:37.400 --> 00:47:40.478 It's going to be really hard for most people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}47{:}40{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}42{.}135$  my patients or otherwise friends

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}47{:}42.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}44.198$  colleagues to go from a standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:44.198 \rightarrow 00:47:46.256$  omnivore Western diet to a fully

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}47{:}46.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.999$  whole food plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:47:48.000 \rightarrow 00:47:50.504$  So there are slow steps that people can

- $00{:}47{:}50{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}53{.}128$  take to move toward a healthier diet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851174
- 00:47:53.130 --> 00:47:53.814 Meatless Monday,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851174
- 00:47:53.814 --> 00:47:54.156 right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851174
- $00{:}47{:}54.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}56.900$  Just going vegetarian one day of the week,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851174
- $00{:}47{:}56{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}58{.}946$  eating normal diet every other day,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851174
- $00{:}47{:}58{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}00{.}106$  just trying it out.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851174
- 00:48:00.106 --> 00:48:02.378 Dipping your toe in the water because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851174
- 00:48:02.378 --> 00:48:04.266 it's too intimidating otherwise.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851174
- 00:48:04.270 --> 00:48:06.874 Fine flexitarian eating a plant based
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851174
- $00:48:06.874 \rightarrow 00:48:09.523$  diet when it's possible and practical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851174
- $00{:}48{:}09{.}523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}12{.}456$  and when it's not not Pasco vegetarian,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851174
- $00{:}48{:}12{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}14{.}872$  so I think that's a diet
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851174
- $00:48:14.872 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.200$  that's quite easy to follow.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851174
- $00:48:17.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.484$  That's basically a vegetarian
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851174
- $00{:}48{:}19{.}484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}22{.}910$  diet that also allows for fish.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.851174
- $00:48:22.910 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.681$  And free hint is the term that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.851174
- $00:48:24.681 \rightarrow 00:48:26.500$  I've heard more recently and that

 $00{:}48{:}26.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}27.840$  basically describes that's less

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.105$  of a health initiative and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:48:30.105 - 00:48:31.705 of an environmental initiative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}48{:}31{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}34{.}054$  But the idea of not eating meat and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:34.054 \rightarrow 00:48:36.926$  less it's otherwise going to be thrown away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}48{:}36{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38{.}560$  And because there's a carbon

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:48:38.560 --> 00:48:40.190 footprint involved in food waste,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}48{:}40{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41{.}815$  people who are freegan typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:48:41.815 --> 00:48:43.115 endorse a vegan lifestyle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.054$  except if food is going to be thrown out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}48{:}46{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48{.}342$  so there's not one way to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:48.342 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.320$  make dietary changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.270$  and these are just some ideas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:51.270 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.240$  but the point is, what?

 $00{:}48{:}53{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}54{.}986$  What works for one person won't

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}48{:}54{.}986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}55{.}859$  work for another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}48{:}55{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58{.}079$  and being creative and being on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:48:58.079 \rightarrow 00:49:00.270$  same page can be really helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:49:00.270 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.800$  And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:49:00.800 \rightarrow 00:49:02.655$  don't make perfect the enemy of good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}49{:}02.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05.614$  If it's too hard, try something easier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

00:49:05.620 --> 00:49:06.481 I think again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}49{:}06{.}481 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}08{.}203$  those of us that are clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:49:08.203 \rightarrow 00:49:10.419$  and I do apologize for some degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00:49:10.419 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.355$  of clinician bias,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}49{:}11{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}13{.}719$  but I think that our obligations of

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}49{:}13.719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}15.332$  clinical as clinicians are higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.851174

 $00{:}49{:}15{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17{.}476$  so that's why I do keep coming back

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:49:17.534 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.632$  to this. But I think that we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:49:19.632 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.437$  need to recommit ourselves to patient

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00:49:21.437 \rightarrow 00:49:23.435$  counseling to overcome the education gap,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00{:}49{:}23{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24{.}692$  particularly in those groups
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00:49:24.692 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.908$  that are at higher risk of of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00:49:26.908 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.564$  less education at an early age.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00{:}49{:}28.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}30.598$  I actually had an epiphany about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00:49:30.598 \rightarrow 00:49:33.022$  this maybe a year and a half ago
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00{:}49{:}33{.}022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}35{.}608$  at the I think the one of the last
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00{:}49{:}35{.}608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}37{.}636$  conferences I went to before the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00{:}49{:}37{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}40{.}208$  The pandemic hit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00{:}49{:}40{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}43{.}378$  And you know it was just talk after talk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00{:}49{:}43{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}45{.}642$  Just presenting such compelling data about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00{:}49{:}45{.}642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}48{.}308$  the health benefits of a plant based diet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00{:}49{:}48{.}310$  -->  $00{:}49{:}51{.}046$  Ann and I realized I really had not been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00:49:51.046 \rightarrow 00:49:53.586$  spending enough time counseling my patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- 00:49:53.590 --> 00:49:55.702 Not to say that I didn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:49:55.702 --> 00:49:57.110 counsel patients about diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:49:57.110 --> 00:49:59.216 but it wasn't always a priority.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:49:59.220 --> 00:50:00.147 I know, doc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}00{.}147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02{.}001$  Mercurio has mentioned this at at

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:50:02.001 --> 00:50:04.117 Pryor talks and you know he and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}04.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}06.109$  are working on a project looking NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}06{.}109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}08{.}069$  at time allocation in physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}08{.}069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}10{.}055$  workflow because I think one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}10.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}11.662$  main barriers to this is insufficient NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}11.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}13.417$  time because counseling does take NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:50:13.417 --> 00:50:15.545 time and I think allocating more

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}15.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}17.215$  physician time for counseling is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}17.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19.392$  going to be crucial in this effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}19{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}22{.}190$  But I also think we need to realize that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:22.190 \rightarrow 00:50:24.458$  it's not going to be just physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:24.460 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.644$  it has to be a whole team.

 $00{:}50{:}26.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}29.130$  It has to be nurses and aips and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}29{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}31{.}283$  dietitians and to that end I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:31.283 \rightarrow 00:50:33.113$  actually been working with teams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:50:33.120 --> 00:50:33.944 At Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}33{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}36{.}828$  both on the inpatient side and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}36{.}828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39{.}694$  outpatient side about setting up plant

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}39{.}694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}42{.}064$  based nutrition programs here such

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}42.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}45.297$  that we can provide patients both with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}45{.}297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}48{.}585$  better education and and with with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:48.585 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.810$  better options about about healthier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:50:51.810 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.532$  Healthier eating options

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}53{.}532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}55{.}828$  on an inpatient level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}55{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58{.}056$  I think that all of us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}50{:}58{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}00{.}146$  to the extent that we are able

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}51{:}00{.}146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}02{.}511$  need to commit to a greater degree

00:51:02.511 --> 00:51:04.266 of nutrition advocacy in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:51:04.266 --> 00:51:06.485 to increase the availability and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}51{:}06{.}485 {\: -->} 00{:}51{:}08{.}735$  the affordability of plant based

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:51:08.735 \dashrightarrow 00:51:10.750$  Whole Foods for all communities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:51:10.750 --> 00:51:12.310 particularly those that historically

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}51{:}12{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}14{.}377$  have less access to healthy food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

00:51:14.380 --> 00:51:17.143 And I think we'll need to look to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}51{:}17{.}143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}19{.}389$  field of behavioral economics to figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}51{:}19{.}389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}22{.}539$  out how to do this most effectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}51{:}22{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24{.}910$  Behavioral economics basically relies on an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}51{:}24{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}26{.}890$  Tweaking a system potentially by by

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}51{:}26.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}29.045$  moving things to a different default

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}51{:}29.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}31.355$  state such that you're more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}51{:}31{.}355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33{.}658$  to achieve the desired outcome by

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}51{:}33.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}35.860$  lowering the activation energy to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}51{:}35{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}37{.}600$  desired outcome and increasing the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00:51:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.880$  barriers to the less desirable outcomes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00{:}51{:}39{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}42{.}428$  That's this is not about controlling people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00:51:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.620$  This is not about Big Brother.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00:51:44.620 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.080$  This is, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00{:}51{:}46.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}46.810$  no one.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00{:}51{:}46{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49{.}762$  AOC is not coming to take away your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00:51:49.762 \rightarrow 00:51:52.762$  hamburger that you know there's plenty of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00{:}51{:}52{.}762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}55{.}760$  political rhetoric that loves to make this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00:51:55.760 \rightarrow 00:51:58.496$  Really a lot more outrageous than it is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00:51:58.500 \rightarrow 00:51:59.532$  but it's simply,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- 00:51:59.532 --> 00:52:00.220 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00{:}52{:}00{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}02{.}656$  whether this is going to be subsidies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00{:}52{:}02.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}04.695$  for healthier food and communities that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00{:}52{:}04.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}07.295$  don't have access to it or or taxing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107
- $00:52:07.295 \rightarrow 00:52:10.167$  unhealthy food as unpopular as that has been,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:52:10.170 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.906$  is there a better way to do that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}52{:}12{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}14{.}968$  Some economists have suggested carbon taxing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:52:14.970 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.999$  not just food,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:52:15.999 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.028$  but all products,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00:52:17.030 \rightarrow 00:52:18.785$  arguing that the market really

NOTE Confidence: 0.84502107

 $00{:}52{:}18.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}20.540$  doesn't recognize the carbon costs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:52:20.595 - 00:52:21.911 and therefore really isn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:52:21.911 \dashrightarrow 00:52:23.885$  operating as a true market should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}52{:}23.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}26.389$  And that carbon footprint costs should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:52:26.390 \rightarrow 00:52:28.798$  Should be factored into all market pricing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}52{:}28.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}31.208$  I'm not an economist so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}52{:}31{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}33{.}436$  I think that that we should partner

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}52{:}33.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}35.679$  with with others in different fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:52:35.680 --> 00:52:38.668 And you know, for those of you who know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:52:38.668 --> 00:52:41.185 politicians you know we should be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}52{:}41{.}185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}43{.}249$  to our politicians about this too,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572
- $00{:}52{:}43{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}45{.}880$  because this needs to be

 $00{:}52{:}45{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}47{.}984$  a priority for every body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:52:47.990 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.046$  I think finally we do need to realize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:52:50.046 \rightarrow 00:52:52.295$  that there's going to be an ethical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:52:52.295 --> 00:52:53.635 gradient of personal responsibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}52{:}53.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}55.579$  that's commensurate with our personal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}52{:}55{.}579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}57{.}524$  resources and with our circumstances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:52:57.530 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.517$  So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}52{:}58{.}517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00{.}820$  either eating a plant based diet yourself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:53:00.820 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.722$  or advocating for change in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}02.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}05.100$  system may or may not be possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}05{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}07{.}396$  depending on a number of different factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}07{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}10{.}072$  So you know there are people with medical NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}10.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}12.666$  issues who aren't able to eat this diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}12.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}15.400$  People with food all ergies or GI conditions NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

0112 Connucliee: 0.0002012

 $00:53:15.400 \rightarrow 00:53:18.009$  for whom it's simply not possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}18{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}19{.}980$  And there are people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:53:19.980 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.768$  financial limitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:53:20.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.952$  It's not reasonable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:53:21.952 --> 00:53:23.528 Like I said before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}23{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}25{.}630$  to expect some body who does not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:53:25.630 --> 00:53:27.510 have access to easy transportation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}27{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}30{.}387$  and who lives miles away from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}30{.}387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}32{.}588$  grocery store with fresh produce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}32{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34{.}815$  It's it's not reasonable to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:53:34.815 --> 00:53:37.762 expect that person to take as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}37{.}762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}40{.}073$  action as it is to you, know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}40.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42.038$  expect certainly someone like me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}42.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44.404$  or like many of the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}44{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}45{.}586$  on this conference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:53:45.590 --> 00:53:48.418 And so, again, I would argue that.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572
- $00:53:48.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.510$  The more privilege you have,

 $00{:}53{:}50{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}52{.}946$  the more you're obligated to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:53:52.946 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.529$  an effort to move the needle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}53{:}55{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}57{.}702$  and to that end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:53:57.702 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.960$  I'm going to close this with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}54{:}00{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}03{.}848$  With a sort of task to every one listening

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:54:03.848 \rightarrow 00:54:07.127$  that I would like to challenge everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:07.130 --> 00:54:09.194 To make one small inward change

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}54{:}09{.}194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}11{.}531$  and one small outward change based

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:54:11.531 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.307$  on this information to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:54:13.310 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.774$  And it can be based on which ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}54{:}15{.}774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}18{.}319$  argument you find the most compelling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}54{:}18{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}20{.}819$  but making one small change in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:20.819 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:54:23.060 personal practices to move toward a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}54{:}23.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}24.905$  more plant based nutritional approach

 $00:54:24.905 \rightarrow 00:54:27.587$  and one change small change outwardly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}54{:}27{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}29{.}130$  Whether that's counseling a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:54:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.285$  patient more extensively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:54:30.290 \rightarrow 00:54:32.606$  whether that's talking to your neighbor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:32.610 --> 00:54:34.198 who's a local politician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:54:34.198 \rightarrow 00:54:36.183$  you know whether that's starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:36.183 -> 00:54:38.339 an initiative where you work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:54:38.340 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.204$  To have healthier food in your break room,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}54{:}41{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}42{.}650$  something but you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:54:42.650 --> 00:54:43.010 again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}54{:}43.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}45.754$  where we're only going to start moving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}54{:}45{.}754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}49{.}196$  the needle as a society when we all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}54{:}49{.}196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}51{.}381$  start moving our individual needles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}54{:}51{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}54{.}014$  And with that I'm just going to leave

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}54{:}54{.}014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56{.}485$  you this with this diagram showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:54:56.485 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.660$  the value of incremental change

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572
- $00:54:58.660 \rightarrow 00:55:01.054$  and basically the idea is that you

 $00:55:01.054 \rightarrow 00:55:03.766$  know if we if we expect to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:55:03.766 \rightarrow 00:55:05.950$  a two abrupt changes too quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:55:05.950 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.498$  All of these tasks are going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:55:08.498 --> 00:55:09.590 seem really daunting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:55:09.590 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.840$  but if we accept that small

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00:55:11.840 \rightarrow 00:55:13.590$  incremental changes overtime add up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}55{:}13.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}16.201$  I really do believe that overtime we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}55{:}16.201 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}18.477$  will make progress toward a healthier

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}55{:}18.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}21.340$  and more just form of nutrition in our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

 $00{:}55{:}21{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}22{.}404$  Profession in our country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8652572

00:55:22.404 --> 00:55:22.936 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626

 $00:55:26.230 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.298$  Sarah, this was superb.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626

 $00{:}55{:}29{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31{.}309$  This talk is strikes me as the answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626

 $00{:}55{:}31{.}309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}32{.}929$  to the question what happens when

 $00:55:32.929 \rightarrow 00:55:34.840$  you get someone who is an excellent NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626  $00{:}55{:}34.899 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}36.819$  teacher and a cardiologist and a NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626  $00{:}55{:}36{.}819 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}38{.}420$  bioethicist and has expertise in NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626  $00:55:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.340$  nutrition and you find one person NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626  $00:55:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.585$  like that and get her to give you NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626  $00:55:42.585 \rightarrow 00:55:44.639$  an hour and a half of her time. NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626  $00:55:44.640 \rightarrow 00:55:46.614$  This is obviously a very well prepared, NOTE Confidence: 0.75790626  $00:55:46.620 \rightarrow 00:55:47.478$  very compelling arguments. NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984  $00{:}55{:}49{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}51{.}618$  And there was a lot of interesting NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984  $00:55:51.618 \rightarrow 00:55:53.369$  questions that I want to get to, NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984 00:55:53.370 --> 00:55:55.498 but but I had some of my own, NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984  $00{:}55{:}55{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}57{.}903$  but I'm going to get to the others first. NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984  $00{:}55{:}57{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00{.}498$  It strikes me that. NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984  $00:56:00.500 \rightarrow 00:56:02.354$  And I wonder if you would comment on this. NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984 00:56:02.360 --> 00:56:03.809 I will. I will make one comment. NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}56{:}03.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}04.545$  I think that.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984
- $00:56:04.545 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.015$  So often when we make these

 $00{:}56{:}06.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}07.815$  when arguments are made as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:56:07.815 --> 00:56:09.310 as yours have been presented,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.810$  that what we do in medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:10.810 \rightarrow 00:56:12.818$  what we do is human beings in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:12.820 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.682$  I do think it's related to your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:14.682 \rightarrow 00:56:15.759$  point about cognitive dissonance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}56{:}15.759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}17.583$  is we have a fear of the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:17.590 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.240$  If the data suggests that our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.610$  current practice is not the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:20.610 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.108$  right thing to do what we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:56:22.110 --> 00:56:22.818 Even within medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}56{:}22.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}24.870$  I mean I know this with an ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}56{:}24.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}26.319$  When I say here's all the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}56{:}26{.}319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}27{.}920$  that show that we should treat

 $00:56:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.465$  these this particular class of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}56{:}29{.}465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}30{.}639$  patients differently than we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.644$  People with this diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:31.644 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.899$  different than we do often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:56:32.900 --> 00:56:34.460 The solution is I don't want

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}56{:}34{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}35{.}500$  to hear those data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:35.500 \rightarrow 00:56:38.330$  Just keep quiet about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:38.330 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.542$  That we fear the date and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}56{:}40{.}542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}42{.}959$  part of this is just facing it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:56:42.960 \rightarrow 00:56:44.620$  just facing facing this fact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:56:44.620 --> 00:56:46.534 What I've not heard when I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}56{:}46{.}534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}48{.}458$  heard people talk about this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:56:48.458 --> 00:56:50.222 anyone say that the issues related

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}56{:}50{.}222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}52{.}347$  to the carbon footprint from beef

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:56:52.347 --> 00:56:54.549 consumption are not true or anyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}56{:}54{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}56{.}800$  suggest that these animals don't

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984
- 00:56:56.800 00:56:59.520 have the pathways to feel pain.

 $00:56:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.585$  So that that the arguments are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:01.585 \rightarrow 00:57:03.629$  compelling and the data are compelling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:57:03.630 - 00:57:06.465 but you know it gets to a comment by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}57{:}06{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}08{.}675$  I wish I remember the exact quote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}57{:}08.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}10.766$  Of course when we talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:57:10.766 --> 00:57:12.790 moral status of animals and well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.322$  some people say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}57{:}14.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}16.620$  animals count less than humans and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}57{:}16.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}19.111$  therefore one makes the leap that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}57{:}19{.}111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}21{.}269$  therefore they don't count at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}57{:}21{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}22{.}690$  And you know it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:57:22.690 --> 00:57:24.388 I think it was Jeremy Bentham,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}57{:}24.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}26.378$  right, who said the question is not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}57{:}26{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}27{.}800$  you know, kind of thing.

- $00:57:27.800 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.932$  Or can it reason?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984
- $00:57:28.932 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.064$  But can it suffer?

 $00{:}57{:}30{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}31{.}774$  And there's no question that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:31.774 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.626$  animals can suffer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:32.630 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.590$  What's interesting to me is that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.602$  have pointed out that, by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:36.602 \rightarrow 00:57:38.880$  in addition, they can also think and reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.012$  Many of them can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}57{:}40.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}41.144$  and that's been shown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:57:41.150 --> 00:57:41.684 of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:41.684 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.286$  so many different ways in so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:57:43.286 --> 00:57:44.270 many different species,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}57{:}44.270$  -->  $00{:}57{:}45.980$  including some of the farm animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:57:45.980 --> 00:57:48.272 You rightly point out our hypocrisy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}57{:}48.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}50.625$  when we criticize those who eat dogs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:50.625 \rightarrow 00:57:52.850$  as we line up for our pork chops.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984
- $00:57:52.850 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.458$  We just have to, you know.

 $00{:}57{:}54{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}54{.}730$  Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:54.730 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.350$  we might want to face it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}57{:}56{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}58{.}326$  but we have to face that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:57:58.326 \rightarrow 00:58:00.145$  strong analogy here and that that our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:58:00.145 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.270$  approach this may indeed be be hypocritical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:58:02.270 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.866$  but but I want to get to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00:58:03.866 \rightarrow 00:58:05.220$  some of these questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

00:58:05.220 --> 00:58:05.493 Sarah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}58{:}05{.}493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}07{.}131$  because there there's some of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}58{:}07{.}131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}08{.}783$  are really very insightful and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}58{:}08{.}783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}10{.}596$  range that they have a wide range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}58{:}10.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}14.114$  So I want to get to 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}58{:}14{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}15{.}962$  That was one of the later

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471984

 $00{:}58{:}15{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}17{.}570$  questions which I thought was

 $00:58:17.570 \rightarrow 00:58:19.478$  was very good and very practical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.050$  so this is terrific and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00{:}58{:}21.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}22.306$  I'm closer than before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00{:}58{:}22{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}24{.}122$  I assume I'm closer than before

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:24.122 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.089$  going to a plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00{:}58{:}26.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}27.242$  My questions number one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00{:}58{:}27.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}29.707$  This reminds me of the whole thing about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:29.707 \rightarrow 00:58:32.073$  physicians smoking and then we stop smoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00{:}58{:}32.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}34.117$  It became better role models and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:34.117 \rightarrow 00:58:36.566$  we got the hospital to do the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00{:}58{:}36{.}566{\:}{-}{-}{>}{\:}00{:}58{:}38{.}690$  smoking not allowed in the hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:38.690 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.867$  How can we move the hospital system

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:40.867 \rightarrow 00:58:42.919$  to presenting the whole food plant

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:42.919 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.327$  based diet in cafeterias?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.502$  And for patient meals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005

 $00:58:45.502 \rightarrow 00:58:46.967$  that was one question is,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005
- $00:58:46.970 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.430$  can we and should we?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005
- $00:58:48.430 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.188$  How do we move the hospital?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005
- $00:58:50.190 \rightarrow 00:58:52.827$  I mean not the hospitals all over the world.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005
- $00:58:52.830 \rightarrow 00:58:54.874$  How about our hospital to start with?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005
- $00{:}58{:}54{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}56{.}345$  And there's a second question
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005
- $00{:}58{:}56{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}57{.}517$  of laziness which was.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005
- 00:58:57.520 --> 00:58:58.915 Has anyone looked at Speaking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005
- $00{:}58{:}58{.}915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}00{.}670$  of health at the salt content
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005
- $00:59:00.670 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.494$  of whole food plant based diet?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005
- $00:59:02.500 \rightarrow 00:59:04.836$  So how do we change the hospital system?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005
- $00{:}59{:}04{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06{.}466$  And can you talk briefly to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86446005
- $00:59:06.466 \rightarrow 00:59:08.060$  the question of salt content?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- 00:59:09.580 --> 00:59:11.698 So thank you. First of all,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $00:59:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.877$  thank you so much for your very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $00{:}59{:}13.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}16.094$  kind words and also thank you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00:59:16.094 \rightarrow 00:59:17.714$  for this fabulous question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00{:}59{:}17.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}19.480$  because it's a really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00:59:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.353$  one and you know one of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:22.353 --> 00:59:24.088 epiphanies I had about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:24.090 --> 00:59:26.379 maybe even before the epiphany I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:26.379 --> 00:59:28.174 at this nutrition conference was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:28.174 --> 00:59:30.799 when I was rounding on the inpatient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00:59:30.799 \rightarrow 00:59:32.938$  cardiology service and I realized that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00{:}59{:}32{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}35{.}010$  you know, these these patients after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00{:}59{:}35{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}37{.}189$  having heart attacks the next morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00{:}59{:}37{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}39{.}120$  There's there's a sausage and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00:59:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.026$  Bacon or on their tray, Anan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:41.026 --> 00:59:42.290 It's just you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:42.290 --> 00:59:43.880 it's infuriating because it's like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00:59:43.880 \rightarrow 00:59:46.232$  well, why don't we just give them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00:59:46.232 \rightarrow 00:59:48.858$  a cigarette and tell him to go take

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $00:59:48.858 \rightarrow 00:59:51.020$  a smoke break outside and and you

 $00:59:51.020 \rightarrow 00:59:53.388$  can say Oh well, it's not the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00{:}59{:}53{.}388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}55{.}290$  I think it's actually quite similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $00{:}59{:}55{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}57{.}634$  and I do think you're the smoking is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

00:59:57.634 --> 01:00:00.550 also a really. It's a really good analogy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:00:00.550 --> 01:00:01.414 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:00:01.414 --> 01:00:03.430 Doctor Smoke until we until we didn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:00:03.430 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:00:05.480 and you know you can go to a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:05.547 \rightarrow 01:00:07.593$  cardiology dinners and it's not whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01{:}00{:}07{.}593 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}09{.}937$  food plant based for the most part

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01{:}00{:}09{.}937 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}11.779$  that you're seeing on the plates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01{:}00{:}11.780 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}13.220$  And I think we need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01{:}00{:}13.220 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}14.360$  We need to change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:00:14.360 --> 01:00:16.070 you know ourselves and I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:00:16.138 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.830$  saying we need to be perfect,

- $01:00:17.830 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.266$  but we need to at least start
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01:00:20.266 \longrightarrow 01:00:22.504$  working on doing better if we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01:00:22.504 \rightarrow 01:00:24.724$  expect other people to do that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01:00:24.730 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.166$  There have been programs that have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01:00:27.166 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.630$  implemented whole food plant based menus.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01{:}00{:}29.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}32.703$  I actually think one person I think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01{:}00{:}32.703 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}35.193$  doctor Michelle Mcmaken is on this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- 01:00:35.193 01:00:37.377 we binar tonight and she's at NYU.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01{:}00{:}37{.}380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}39{.}828$  You and she has done that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01{:}00{:}39{.}830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}41{.}870$  She's a role model it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01:00:41.870 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.318$  In that way Dr Rob Ostfeld
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01:00:44.318 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.950$  Monte fiore has done that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01:00:45.950 \dashrightarrow 01:00:50.255$  He's another role model of mine and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01:00:50.260 \rightarrow 01:00:53.256$  He's he's actually counseled me on some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01:00:53.256 \rightarrow 01:00:56.648$  tips and some of my colleagues as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- 01:00:56.650 --> 01:00:59.770 And I'm on a group actually on a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- 01:00:59.770 --> 01:01:01.990 committee that's working on bringing

 $01{:}01{:}01{:}090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}05{.}161$  a whole food plant based menu option,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:05.170 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.300$  two to the inpatient nutrition

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:01:07.300 --> 01:01:09.855 services at Yale, and Justin Charles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01{:}01{:}09.855 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}11.130$  who's a resident,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:11.130 \rightarrow 01:01:13.542$  has been absolutely critical in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:13.542 \rightarrow 01:01:16.250$  effort in terms of mobilizing us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:16.250 \rightarrow 01:01:20.075$  So we absolutely have an obligation to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:20.080 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.371$  It's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:01:20.371 --> 01:01:22.408 It's unacceptable, actually that I and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:22.410 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.125$  I'm not saying that we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01:01:24.125 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.500$  force people to eat plants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01{:}01{:}25{.}500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}26{.}016$  We're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

01:01:26.016 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:01:27.564 We're not talking about forcing people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668

 $01{:}01{:}27{.}570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}29{.}112$  but we need to make the

- $01:01:29.112 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.883$  default option healthy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01{:}01{:}29.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}31.946$  And then patients can opt out of it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01:01:31.950 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.396$  So the default diet after you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01{:}01{:}33{.}396 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}35{.}050$  get admitted with a heart attack.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01{:}01{:}35{.}050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}36{.}598$  At least let's start with that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01:01:36.600 \rightarrow 01:01:38.136$  not even talking about other things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01{:}01{:}38{.}140 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}39{.}981$  But the default diet after you get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01{:}01{:}39{.}981 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}41{.}554$  admitted hard with a heart attack
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01{:}01{:}41{.}554 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}43{.}560$  should be a whole food plant based diet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01:01:43.560 \rightarrow 01:01:45.108$  Now we shouldn't be springing that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01:01:45.108 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.920$  on patients. It's not fair for you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- 01:01:46.920 --> 01:01:48.492 Know the food service worker coming
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01:01:48.492 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.638$  in with the tray to be the first
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01:01:50.638 \rightarrow 01:01:52.210$  one who's breaking into the patient.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01:01:52.210 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.498$  And the patients like what is this like?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01:01:54.500 \rightarrow 01:01:55.644$  I don't want this.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- 01:01:55.644 --> 01:01:55.930 No,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- $01{:}01{:}55{.}930 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}57{.}890$  that needs to be the medical team
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8615668
- 01:01:57.890 --> 01:01:58.730 that meaning you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233
- $01:01:58.790 \rightarrow 01:02:00.394$  know, the attending the resident,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233
- 01:02:00.394 --> 01:02:02.960 the nurse every<br/>one has has a duty
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233
- 01:02:02.960 --> 01:02:05.016 here and again I think largely and you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233
- $01{:}02{:}05{.}078 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}07{.}078$  and I have talked about this a lot.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233
- $01{:}02{:}07{.}080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}08{.}823$  I think there's so much time pressure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233
- 01:02:08.823 --> 01:02:10.792 in the way that modern medicine is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233
- $01{:}02{:}10.792 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}12.538$  practiced that we just feel that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233
- 01:02:12.592 --> 01:02:14.428 we can't counsel adequately to a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233
- $01{:}02{:}14.428 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}15.946$  certain extent that's very true.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233
- $01{:}02{:}15{.}946 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}17{.}376$  But on the other hand,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233
- 01:02:17.380 --> 01:02:19.067 it doesn't take that long to at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233
- $01:02:19.067 \longrightarrow 01:02:20.520$  least start the conversation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:20.520 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.236$  We don't have to finish it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01{:}02{:}22{.}240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}24.178$  we have to plant the seed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

01:02:24.180 --> 01:02:26.231 And it does not take that long

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:26.231 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.520$  to plant the seed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01{:}02{:}27.520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}29.350$  and so having a short conversation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01{:}02{:}29{.}350 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}31{.}485$  changing the default such that and again NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:31.485 \rightarrow 01:02:33.907$  start start with like the low hanging fruit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01{:}02{:}33{.}910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}35{.}728$  Start with the Post heart attack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:35.730 \rightarrow 01:02:38.050$  There's no reason why you know there that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01{:}02{:}38.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}40.289$  should be the default and their needs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01{:}02{:}40.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}41.994$  and it needs to trigger conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:41.994 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.072$  an that should be part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

01:02:44.072 --> 01:02:45.252 cardiovascular care that's given

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

01:02:45.252 --> 01:02:46.980 at Yale New Haven Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01{:}02{:}46.980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}48.798$  because that that's how we know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233

 $01:02:48.800 \rightarrow 01:02:50.630$  That's how we promote heart health.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86772233
- $01{:}02{:}50.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}51.539$  And it's not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01{:}02{:}51{.}540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}54{.}480$  just admitting, or Sir, or just admitting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01{:}02{:}54{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}56{.}544$  Did me saying things to people that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01{:}02{:}56{.}544 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}58{.}668$  don't think they necessarily want to hear.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- 01:02:58.670 --> 01:03:00.494 I mean, I mean, I can recall years
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- 01:03:00.494 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:03:02.623 ago when I was telling a new mother
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01:03:02.623 \rightarrow 01:03:04.457$  that that breast feeding was actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- 01:03:04.457 --> 01:03:06.197 healthier for her premature baby,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- 01:03:06.200 --> 01:03:08.209 her milk was better for premature baby
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01{:}03{:}08{.}209 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}10{.}097$  than the formulas that that you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01{:}03{:}10{.}100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}12{.}074$  one of the more traditional nurse is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01{:}03{:}12.074 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}14.010$  telling me that this is really bad.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01:03:14.010 \rightarrow 01:03:15.978$  'cause you're going to make her feel guilty
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- 01:03:15.978 --> 01:03:18.199 if she then doesn't doesn't provide milk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01:03:18.200 \longrightarrow 01:03:19.874$  And this is before we had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

- 01:03:19.874 --> 01:03:20.990 donor milk available etc.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01{:}03{:}20{.}990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}23{.}214$  But even still the notion is so there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- 01:03:23.220 --> 01:03:25.308 Therefore, should I not tell her?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01:03:25.310 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.500$  I mean, the notion is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01{:}03{:}26{.}500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}28{.}201$  you know this may be something that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01:03:28.201 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.579$  some people don't want to hear,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01{:}03{:}29{.}580 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}31{.}074$  but fact is it's valuable information
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01:03:31.074 \rightarrow 01:03:33.126$  and so that that we we should tell him,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01{:}03{:}33{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}34{.}789$  even if they don't want to hear,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- 01:03:34.790 --> 01:03:36.212 just like if we see somebody
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01:03:36.212 \longrightarrow 01:03:36.686$  who's overweight,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01:03:36.690 \rightarrow 01:03:38.433$  even if we recognize that it's unlikely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01:03:38.433 \rightarrow 01:03:40.480$  they're going to be able to lose that weight,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01:03:40.480 \rightarrow 01:03:42.055$  it's unlikely that change the lifestyle that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01:03:42.055 \rightarrow 01:03:43.558$  doesn't absolve us of the responsibility,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- 01:03:43.560 --> 01:03:44.034 I think,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134
- $01:03:44.034 \rightarrow 01:03:45.930$  to make it clear that by the way,

 $01:03:45.930 \longrightarrow 01:03:47.175$  this would include your health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

01:03:47.175 --> 01:03:48.683 in a positive direction if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:48.683 \rightarrow 01:03:49.955$  were able to make this change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:49.960 \longrightarrow 01:03:50.641$  or that change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01{:}03{:}50.641 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}52.230$  and I think I think that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:52.281 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.745$  an analogy there with that too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:53.750 \rightarrow 01:03:55.437$  that that we have kind of responsibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:55.437 \longrightarrow 01:03:56.520$  to tell people things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348134

 $01:03:56.520 \longrightarrow 01:03:57.868$  Sometimes they don't want

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}03{:}57.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}00.574$  to hear, and I think that's absolutely true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:04:00.580 --> 01:04:03.433 and I will also add that I'm very mindful

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}04{:}03{.}433 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}06{.}310$  of that and I try to be very explicit

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}04{:}06{.}310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}09{.}028$  when I'm counseling to also say look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:04:09.030 --> 01:04:12.063 you know this is this is not just I'm,

 $01:04:12.070 \rightarrow 01:04:14.098$  you know, with smoking as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:04:14.100 --> 01:04:15.790 This isn't a moral failing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:04:15.790 --> 01:04:17.818 you know this this is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:17.818 \longrightarrow 01:04:19.170$  this is granted societally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:04:19.170 --> 01:04:21.866 I think that that we are morally failing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:21.870 \longrightarrow 01:04:24.250$  but this is not on one individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:04:24.250 --> 01:04:25.929 person who's doing it wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:25.930 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.782$  We live in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:04:26.782 --> 01:04:28.486 In a system that really promotes

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}04{:}28.486 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}30.140$  unhealthy eating and unjust eating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:30.140 \longrightarrow 01:04:30.926$  as I've argued,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:04:30.926 --> 01:04:33.391 and so I tried to make it very clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:04:33.391 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:04:35.639 that that I'm on the same team as

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:04:35.711 --> 01:04:37.811 the patient and that you know this

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:04:37.811 --> 01:04:39.660 is an uphill battle in many ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:39.660 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.132$  and that we live in a system that does

- NOTE Confidence: 0.855389
- $01:04:42.132 \rightarrow 01:04:44.142$  not promote making the right choices,

 $01{:}04{:}44{.}150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}46{.}214$  and that we need to make the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:46.214 \rightarrow 01:04:48.149$  choices we can within that system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:48.150 \rightarrow 01:04:50.438$  And we do that by small steps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}04{:}50{.}440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}52{.}560$  So I'm very sensitive to the guilt issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}04{:}52{.}560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}55{.}454$  and I try to make it very clear when I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:55.454 \rightarrow 01:04:57.586$  counseling that again we're the same team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:57.590 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.798$  This is not me, lecturing you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:04:59.800 \longrightarrow 01:05:01.696$  It is hard and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:05:01.700 --> 01:05:03.518 so I think that's important just

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:03.518 \rightarrow 01:05:05.436$  quickly to answer the second question

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}05{.}436 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}08{.}036$  about salt content for a plant based diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}08.040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}09.625$  whole food plant based diet

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:05:09.625 --> 01:05:11.210 has very low sodium content,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:11.210 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.478$  so processed plant based

 $01:05:12.478 \longrightarrow 01:05:14.380$  foods can be high in sodium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:14.380 \longrightarrow 01:05:17.870$  so a lot of times the question I get is like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:17.870 \longrightarrow 01:05:19.138$  well, what about those?

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:05:19.138 --> 01:05:20.089 Like you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:20.090 \rightarrow 01:05:21.986$  meatless burgers like an impossible whopper?

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}21{.}990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}24{.}798$  Or that that really would not fall under the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}24.798 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}27.380$  rubric of true whole food plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:05:27.380 --> 01:05:27.711 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}27.711 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}30.028$  you know it's still an impossible whoppers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:30.030 \longrightarrow 01:05:31.402$  Probably still better than

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:31.402 \longrightarrow 01:05:33.117$  than a real beef whopper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}33{.}120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}34{.}488$  From a nutritional standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}34{.}488 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}35{.}514$  Albiet somewhat marginally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:35.520 \longrightarrow 01:05:37.368$  But it's certainly a lot better

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}37{.}368 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}39{.}290$  from an animal welfare standpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01:05:39.290 \rightarrow 01:05:41.010$  From a carbon footprint standpoint,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.855389
- $01:05:41.010 \rightarrow 01:05:43.746$  it is going to be high in sodium,

01:05:43.750 --> 01:05:46.510 but you know again in terms of not

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}46{.}510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}48{.}547$  making perfect the enemy of good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:05:48.550 --> 01:05:50.344 For some people moving toward a

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}50{.}344 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}52{.}631$  plant based diet is going to mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}52{.}631 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}54{.}336$  searching for alternatives as they

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}54{.}336 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}56{.}205$  make that transition because they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}56{.}205 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}58{.}837$  not just going to start eating quinoa

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}05{:}58{.}840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}00{.}898$  bowls and avocado on sprouted to ast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}06{:}00{.}900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}02{.}880$  As delicious as that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:06:02.880 --> 01:06:03.376 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}06{:}03.376 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}05.360$  and and so I think we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}06{:}05{.}428 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}07{.}414$  be creative and use all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

 $01{:}06{:}07{.}414 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}08{.}738$  available tools we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855389

01:06:08.740 --> 01:06:09.010 Sarah

01:06:09.010 - 01:06:10.914 best beautiful. Now I'm going to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01{:}06{:}10.920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}12.838$  We got a lot of people coming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01{:}06{:}12.840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}15.016$  chiming in with questions here on the train.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:15.020 \dashrightarrow 01:06:17.196$  Get to some through and some of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:17.200 \longrightarrow 01:06:19.336$  Some of these you could give a whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

01:06:19.336 --> 01:06:21.298 hour lecture on if you're not careful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:21.300 \longrightarrow 01:06:23.183$  but but there's some of these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:23.183 \rightarrow 01:06:24.569$  really interesting and very basic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01{:}06{:}24.570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}26.208$  practical and some are more philosophical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

01:06:26.210 --> 01:06:28.260 But let me get a little bit deeper here to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01{:}06{:}28{.}309 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}30{.}309$  witness both philosophical and practical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:30.310 \longrightarrow 01:06:31.670$  Very sympathetic to your arguments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:31.670 \longrightarrow 01:06:33.040$  against an animal based diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01{:}06{:}33.040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}33.586$  particularly environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01{:}06{:}33.586$  -->  $01{:}06{:}34.678$  and animal based arguments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:34.680 \rightarrow 01:06:36.320$  One challenge to animal rights

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207
- $01:06:36.320 \rightarrow 01:06:37.632$  based arguments is differentiating

 $01:06:37.632 \longrightarrow 01:06:39.050$  between the moral weight of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01{:}06{:}39{.}050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}40{.}350$  animals and humans with similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:40.350 \rightarrow 01:06:44.170$  Or lesser capacity to those animals, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01{:}06{:}44.170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}45.850$  A singer others assigned moral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:45.850 \longrightarrow 01:06:47.994$  weight to animals based on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

01:06:47.994 --> 01:06:49.598 capacity to experience pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:49.600 \rightarrow 01:06:50.322$  suffering, pleasure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

01:06:50.322 --> 01:06:50.683 happiness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:50.683 \rightarrow 01:06:52.127$  another morally relevant capacities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:52.130 \longrightarrow 01:06:55.973$  How do you assign moral weight to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01{:}06{:}55{.}973 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}57{.}620$  account or pig?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:06:57.620 \longrightarrow 01:07:00.175$  To hold power pig based on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01{:}07{:}00.175 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}02.256$  various cognitive and social capacities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:07:02.256 \rightarrow 01:07:04.551$  without denying equal or hopefully

 $01:07:04.551 \longrightarrow 01:07:06.949$  greater to this speakers mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01:07:06.950 \longrightarrow 01:07:09.320$  Hopefully greater moral weight to humans

NOTE Confidence: 0.8195207

 $01{:}07{:}09{.}320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}11.610$  who permanently lack those capacities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:13.160 \longrightarrow 01:07:16.178$  Yeah, that's that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:07:16.180 --> 01:07:19.348 So you know, I, I think that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01{:}07{:}19{.}348 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}21{.}020$  there's something to be said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:21.020 \dashrightarrow 01:07:23.988$  I think a lot of people would argue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:07:23.990 --> 01:07:25.850 Certainly the disability rights community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01{:}07{:}25.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}27.302$  and but but I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:27.302 \rightarrow 01:07:30.320$  I think most people tacitly agree with this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01{:}07{:}30{.}320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}32{.}917$  even if they don't explicitly argue it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01{:}07{:}32{.}920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}35{.}896$  that that humans by very nature of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:07:35.896 - 01:07:38.496 humans, by by nature of being people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:38.500 \longrightarrow 01:07:40.726$  have intrinsic value, or they have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:40.730 \rightarrow 01:07:43.334$  you know, they're they're of infinite worth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:43.340 \longrightarrow 01:07:45.200$  They are ends in themselves.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824
- 01:07:45.200 --> 01:07:47.125 You know, using the content

01:07:47.125 --> 01:07:47.895 categorical imperative?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:07:47.900 --> 01:07:49.448 Or any number of other frameworks

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01{:}07{:}49{.}448 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}51{.}654$  that you want to use to argue that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01{:}07{:}51{.}654 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}53{.}049$  and being disabled doesn't take

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:07:53.049 - 01:07:54.827 that away like you're born human,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:54.830 \longrightarrow 01:07:56.492$  and that that is part

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:56.492 \rightarrow 01:07:57.600$  of the human condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:07:57.600 \longrightarrow 01:07:58.985$  much like being imperfect is

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:07:58.985 --> 01:08:00.370 part of the human condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:08:00.370 \longrightarrow 01:08:02.026$  so so is that intrinsic value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01{:}08{:}02{.}030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}03{.}410$  You're an end in yourself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:08:03.410 \rightarrow 01:08:05.349$  you're human and you have satisfied that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

01:08:05.350 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:08:07.296 and I think you know what I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824

 $01:08:07.296 \longrightarrow 01:08:08.680$  arguing about is not not.

- 01:08:08.680 --> 01:08:10.342 I'm not trying to take away
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824
- $01:08:10.342 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.450$  moral weight from anybody.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824
- $01{:}08{:}11{.}450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}13{.}375$  I'm trying to add moral weight too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824
- $01:08:13.380 \longrightarrow 01:08:15.048$  I'm trying to widen the net,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824
- 01:08:15.050 --> 01:08:15.878 not narrow it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824
- 01:08:15.878 --> 01:08:17.258 so I'm also not suggesting,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92123824
- 01:08:17.260 --> 01:08:17.540 as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121
- $01:08:17.540 \rightarrow 01:08:18.383$  I understand it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121
- $01{:}08{:}18{.}383 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}21{.}289$  'cause this to get to that question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121
- $01:08:21.290 \rightarrow 01:08:23.576$  Is you're not suggesting, for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121
- 01:08:23.580 --> 01:08:25.866 a pig who has, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121
- 01:08:25.870 --> 01:08:27.067 significant cognitive abilities,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121
- $01:08:27.067 \rightarrow 01:08:29.062$  and there are severely disabled
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121
- $01{:}08{:}29.062 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}30.969$  humans who have lesser cognitive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121
- $01:08:30.969 \longrightarrow 01:08:32.369$  abilities than that pig.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121
- 01:08:32.370 --> 01:08:34.280 You're you're suggesting that simply

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121
- $01:08:34.280 \rightarrow 01:08:36.190$  by virtue of species identification,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121
- $01{:}08{:}36{.}190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}37{.}734$  the human nevertheless retains
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121
- $01:08:37.734 \longrightarrow 01:08:40.050$  a higher moral status by your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8284121
- $01:08:40.117 \rightarrow 01:08:41.917$  calculus than the pig would?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007
- $01:08:43.420 \rightarrow 01:08:47.210$  Yes I would, but but that's not to say I,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007
- 01:08:47.210 --> 01:08:48.726 I think that's almost.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007
- 01:08:48.726 --> 01:08:51.000 I understand that the theoretical concern,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007
- 01:08:51.000 01:08:53.576 but I I don't exactly see how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007
- $01:08:53.576 \longrightarrow 01:08:56.308$  that how that bears out in this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007
- $01:08:56.310 \longrightarrow 01:08:58.524$  I could see how that could
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007
- $01:08:58.524 \rightarrow 01:09:00.480$  be expanded in other ways,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007
- $01{:}09{:}00{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}02{.}694$  but I really don't think treating
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007
- $01:09:02.694 \longrightarrow 01:09:05.629$  this as sort of a 0 sum game
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007
- $01{:}09{:}05{.}629 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}07{.}705$  where giving more moral weight to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007
- $01{:}09{:}07{.}784 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}10{.}220$  some is going to therefore take
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:10.220 \longrightarrow 01:09:12.245$  moral weight away from others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}09{:}12.245 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}15.010$  I think that. That we have to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:09:15.010 --> 01:09:17.390 We have to agree that that humans

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}09{:}17{.}390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}19{.}787$  have a moral weight that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}09{:}19{.}787 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}21{.}957$  distinct because of our species.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}09{:}21{.}960 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}24{.}156$  Our ability to reason our abilities NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}09{:}24.156 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}26.590$  that do really exceed other species,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}09{:}26.590 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}28.828$  however, that we that doesn't mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:09:28.828 --> 01:09:31.574 that we can't grant some degree of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:09:31.574 --> 01:09:33.926 moral weight or dignity or autonomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:33.930 \longrightarrow 01:09:36.240$  I think, is the wrong word,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}09{:}36{.}240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}38{.}746$  but it's it's sort of almost along

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:38.746 \longrightarrow 01:09:40.880$  that spectrum to other animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}09{:}40.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}44.080$  and I think you can almost apply like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:09:44.080 --> 01:09:49.155 A sliding scale, just like you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:09:49.160 --> 01:09:51.071 A plot like I talked about a

 $01:09:51.071 \rightarrow 01:09:53.291$  sliding scale or an ethical gradient

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:53.291 \rightarrow 01:09:54.626$  of moral responsibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}09{:}54.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}56.676$  I think there's almost a gradient

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:09:56.676 \longrightarrow 01:09:58.475$  of weight accorded to animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}09{:}58{.}475 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}00{.}659$  based on their ability to suffer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}10{:}00.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}02.185$  And based on their intelligence

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:10:02.185 \rightarrow 01:10:03.710$  or ability to form relationships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:10:03.710 \longrightarrow 01:10:06.150$  and I think that that can be argued,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:10:06.150 --> 01:10:07.968 and I think that reasonable people

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:10:07.968 \longrightarrow 01:10:09.809$  could could disagree on that point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:10:09.810 \longrightarrow 01:10:12.258$  But the only point that I that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:10:12.258 --> 01:10:14.215 would strongly stand by is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}10{:}14.215 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}16.129$  point that it's that moral weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:10:16.199 - 01:10:18.343 isn't a 0 sum game that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}10{:}18{.}350 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}20{.}583$  I'm not arguing that by extending moral

01:10:20.583 --> 01:10:22.711 weight to other animals that in any

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:10:22.711 \rightarrow 01:10:25.369$  way that should take it away from any humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:10:25.370 --> 01:10:26.282 And I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

01:10:26.282 --> 01:10:28.106 I don't think it needs to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}10{:}28{.}110 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}31{.}099$  I don't think it has two and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:10:31.100 \longrightarrow 01:10:33.668$  I actually think that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:10:33.668 \rightarrow 01:10:35.956$  will that treating animals with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}10{:}35{.}956 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}39{.}386$  compassion will make us as humans more

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01{:}10{:}39{.}386 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}41{.}897$  compassionate toward each other as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85789007

 $01:10:41.900 \rightarrow 01:10:43.250$  Compassion begets compassion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8399731166666667

01:10:43.250 --> 01:10:45.860 gotcha. OK, next question Doctor Hall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8399731166666667

 $01:10:45.860 \longrightarrow 01:10:47.474$  one of the most important questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8399731166666667

 $01:10:47.474 \longrightarrow 01:10:49.326$  on this subject that you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8399731166666667

 $01:10:49.326 \rightarrow 01:10:50.770$  haven't been satisfactory answered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8399731166666667

01:10:50.770 --> 01:10:51.718 Asked another way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8399731166666667

 $01{:}10{:}51{.}718 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}53{.}614$  Are there any studies that you'd

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8399731166666667
- $01:10:53.614 \rightarrow 01:10:55.544$  like to see done that you don't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8399731166666667
- $01:10:55.544 \longrightarrow 01:10:57.210$  think have yet been conducted?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8399731166666667
- $01{:}10{:}57{.}210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}59{.}973$  So you've presented us with a lot of great
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8399731166666667
- 01:10:59.973 --> 01:11:01.820 information to back up your arguments,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8399731166666667
- $01{:}11{:}01{.}820 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}03{.}752$  but is there are some important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8399731166666667
- $01{:}11{:}03.752 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}05.663$  studies that are missing that you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8399731166666667
- $01:11:05.663 \rightarrow 01:11:07.343$  think really needs to be done?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827
- $01:11:09.740 \longrightarrow 01:11:11.378$  Well, that's the way it is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827
- $01:11:11.380 \longrightarrow 01:11:12.750$  Is the question about studies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827
- $01:11:12.750 \longrightarrow 01:11:14.120$  about health or studies about?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827
- 01:11:14.120 --> 01:11:15.490 Well, I think that you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827
- 01:11:15.490 --> 01:11:16.876 you you made the argument based
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827
- 01:11:16.876 --> 01:11:18.440 on health based on kindness to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827
- 01:11:18.440 --> 01:11:19.608 animals based on environmental
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827
- $01:11:19.608 \rightarrow 01:11:21.520$  impact based even on social justice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

01:11:21.520 --> 01:11:23.170 So I would say I would.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

 $01{:}11{:}23.170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}25.760$  I would open up and say in any of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

 $01:11:25.835 \rightarrow 01:11:28.099$  areas and it puts you on the spot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

 $01:11:28.100 \longrightarrow 01:11:29.588$  'cause I think you so there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

 $01:11:29.588 \rightarrow 01:11:31.389$  good data in all those already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

 $01:11:31.390 \longrightarrow 01:11:32.760$  But what's the study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82438827

 $01:11:32.760 \longrightarrow 01:11:34.130$  that's taken to be done?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:11:35.360 --> 01:11:37.952 So I mean it's I have some degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01{:}11{:}37{.}952 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}41{.}002$  of bias here because I find the data NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:11:41.002 --> 01:11:43.739 compelling in all of those domains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:11:43.740 \rightarrow 01:11:46.460$  but I think right now what I'd really NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:11:46.460 \rightarrow 01:11:49.230$  like to see is more data on on how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:11:49.230 --> 01:11:51.759 we can make systemic change with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01{:}11{:}51{.}759 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}54{.}404$  buy in from different stakeholders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:11:54.410 --> 01:11:57.010 So you know, I'd like to see actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:11:57.010 \longrightarrow 01:11:59.747$  more more studies on efforts to bring

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918
- $01{:}11{:}59{.}747 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}02{.}657$  healthy whole food plant based nutrition to

 $01{:}12{:}02.657 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}05.562$  communities that don't have access to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:12:05.570 --> 01:12:07.285 I'd like to see it studied how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:07.285 \longrightarrow 01:12:09.129$  we can do that effectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:09.130 \longrightarrow 01:12:10.750$  You know, from an education standpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01{:}12{:}10.750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}12{.}400$  and from an access standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

01:12:12.400 --> 01:12:14.192 I'd like to see sort of more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:14.192 \longrightarrow 01:12:16.026$  practical on the ground studies of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:16.026 \rightarrow 01:12:18.036$  how we can actually implement this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:18.040 \longrightarrow 01:12:19.912$  because I think we have enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:19.912 \longrightarrow 01:12:22.197$  data to know that we need to act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:22.200 \longrightarrow 01:12:23.976$  I think how exactly we act,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:23.980 \longrightarrow 01:12:25.172$  I've presented some broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:25.172 \rightarrow 01:12:25.768$  strokes suggestions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01{:}12{:}25{.}770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}27{.}849$  but I'd love to see more data.

- 01:12:27.850 --> 01:12:28.340 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918
- $01:12:28.340 \longrightarrow 01:12:30.300$  in terms of how we can act in

01:12:30.365 --> 01:12:31.997 a maximally effective way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8589918

 $01:12:32.000 \longrightarrow 01:12:33.188$  I think that's brilliant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

01:12:33.190 --> 01:12:34.680 I mean, I think that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:34.680 \longrightarrow 01:12:36.430$  for example, if someone said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:36.430 \longrightarrow 01:12:37.876$  We could spend money on figuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:37.876 \longrightarrow 01:12:39.420$  out whether smoking is bad for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:39.420 \longrightarrow 01:12:41.016$  or we could spend that same money

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:41.016 \rightarrow 01:12:42.410$  on figuring how to get people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01{:}12{:}42{.}410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}44{.}250$  Make it easier for people to stop smoking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:44.250 \rightarrow 01:12:45.630$  or more likely to stop smoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:45.630 \longrightarrow 01:12:46.320$  I say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:46.320 \longrightarrow 01:12:47.930$  save the nickel in the first one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:47.930 \longrightarrow 01:12:49.323$  Let's talk about what we can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:49.323 \rightarrow 01:12:50.919$  do to help people change behavior.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056
- 01:12:50.920 --> 01:12:54.260 So here's a question for you, my friend.

01:12:54.260 --> 01:12:55.520 Arguments or even strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:55.520 \rightarrow 01:12:57.095$  among those you have outlined,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:57.100 \rightarrow 01:12:59.305$  do you think will resonate most strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:12:59.305 \longrightarrow 01:13:01.542$  with the public and have the greatest

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:13:01.542 \rightarrow 01:13:03.396$  traction in getting us to change?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

01:13:03.400 --> 01:13:04.408 Our feeding behaviors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

01:13:04.408 --> 01:13:06.088 Will government restrictions and regulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:13:06.088 \rightarrow 01:13:07.810$  be necessary all that scary business?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

01:13:07.810 --> 01:13:10.008 No giant sodas in NYC and such.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

01:13:10.010 --> 01:13:11.585 Do you think the government's

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

01:13:11.585 --> 01:13:13.531 going to get involved in place

NOTE Confidence: 0.84842056

 $01:13:13.531 \rightarrow 01:13:15.673$  restrictions on us to get this done?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:13:17.100 --> 01:13:18.918 Do I think the government is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:18.918 \rightarrow 01:13:21.079$  going to need to get involved?

01:13:21.080 --> 01:13:22.740 Absolutely. Do I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:22.740 \longrightarrow 01:13:24.400$  we need to place restrictions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:13:24.400 --> 01:13:26.398 No, I am not about restrictions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:26.400 \rightarrow 01:13:28.584$  but I am about leveling the playing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01{:}13{:}28{.}584 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}30{.}964$  field because we don't have a leveling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:30.964 \longrightarrow 01:13:32.694$  level playing field right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:32.700 \longrightarrow 01:13:35.598$  So again, and I think that's part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:35.598 \rightarrow 01:13:38.348$  problem of a lot of the political rhetoric.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:13:38.350 --> 01:13:40.303 Now is this idea that when we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:40.303 \longrightarrow 01:13:41.914$  actually just trying to change

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:13:41.914 --> 01:13:43.346 make behavioral economics changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01{:}13{:}43{.}346 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}45{.}236$  and change defaults that somehow

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:45.236 \rightarrow 01:13:46.836$  gets marketed as restrictions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:46.840 \longrightarrow 01:13:49.600$  And when in fact that's not the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:13:49.600 --> 01:13:52.372 so no one saying you can't, you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:52.372 \rightarrow 01:13:55.470$  Well, let's leave the soda thing out of it,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:13:55.470 \rightarrow 01:13:58.230$  because that that ended up being a debackle,

01:13:58.230 --> 01:13:59.950 but I'm not suggesting banning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:13:59.950 \longrightarrow 01:14:02.308$  so I'm suggesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:02.310 \rightarrow 01:14:04.245$  Pricing things that are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:04.245 \longrightarrow 01:14:06.180$  more reflective of the health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01{:}14{:}06{.}243 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}08{.}083$  costs of the environmental costs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01{:}14{:}08{.}083 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}10{.}315$  and just of the production costs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:10.315 \rightarrow 01:14:12.604$  because the you know the meat there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01{:}14{:}12.610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}14.794$  There are a lot of subsidies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:14:14.794 --> 01:14:17.051 that are currently given to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:17.051 \longrightarrow 01:14:18.866$  industries and not to others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:14:18.870 --> 01:14:19.938 And you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01:14:19.938 \longrightarrow 01:14:22.430$  And in terms of the way food

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

01:14:22.522 --> 01:14:24.387 is taxed or not taxed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

 $01{:}14{:}24{.}390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}27{.}326$  what are ways that we can change the

- $01:14:27.326 \rightarrow 01:14:29.939$  pricing schemes of food and then also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:14:29.939 \longrightarrow 01:14:32.170$  the distribution of food such that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01{:}14{:}32{.}170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}34{.}996$  It can be allocated in a more just way.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:14:35.000 \longrightarrow 01:14:36.570$  That's where I'm I'm not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:14:36.570 \longrightarrow 01:14:37.826$  I'm not saying restriction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- 01:14:37.826 --> 01:14:39.710 I'm not saying you can't sell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- 01:14:39.768 --> 01:14:40.650 this stuff here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- 01:14:40.650 --> 01:14:42.582 but I'm saying how can we ensure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:14:42.582 \longrightarrow 01:14:44.190$  that in these food deserts
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:14:44.190 \longrightarrow 01:14:45.990$  that grocery stores are open?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:14:45.990 \longrightarrow 01:14:48.204$  Are there are there in monetary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:14:48.204 \rightarrow 01:14:50.404$  incentives that you can give franchisers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:14:50.404 \rightarrow 01:14:53.028$  to open up a grocery store in an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:14:53.104 \rightarrow 01:14:55.516$  underserved area and sell this food?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:14:55.520 \rightarrow 01:14:57.120$  You subsidize it that way.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:14:57.120 \longrightarrow 01:14:59.304$  What are ways that we can make

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:14:59.304 \rightarrow 01:15:01.280$  this food cheaper in other areas?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:15:01.280 \longrightarrow 01:15:03.200$  How can we change the default
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:15:03.200 \longrightarrow 01:15:04.480$  choices so I don't?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- 01:15:04.480 --> 01:15:05.660 I don't want restrictions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01{:}15{:}05{.}660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}08{.}005$  but I do think that we need to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- 01:15:08.005 --> 01:15:09.776 change the way make it the path
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:15:09.776 \longrightarrow 01:15:12.085$  of least resistance to eat the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:15:12.085 \rightarrow 01:15:14.075$  healthiest food rather than currently.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:15:14.080 \rightarrow 01:15:15.040$  As it stands,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:15:15.040 \rightarrow 01:15:17.280$  it's the path typically of most resistance,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01{:}15{:}17{.}280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}20{.}160$  and I think that's how it needs to change.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- 01:15:20.160 --> 01:15:21.660 I don't think again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01{:}15{:}21.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}23.910$  to paraphrase what we heard on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01{:}15{:}23.984 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}26.406$  Fox News with the Green New Deal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- 01:15:26.410 --> 01:15:27.965 Alexandria Ocasio Cortez is not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409

- $01:15:27.965 \rightarrow 01:15:29.867$  coming to take away your hamburgers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- 01:15:29.867 --> 01:15:31.601 like if you want a hamburger
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:15:31.601 \rightarrow 01:15:33.189$  you can have a hamburger.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- 01:15:33.190 --> 01:15:33.485 However,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- 01:15:33.485 --> 01:15:35.255 understand that you know maybe under
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01{:}15{:}35{.}255 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}37{.}496$  a more just scheme the price of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:15:37.496 \longrightarrow 01:15:39.206$  hamburger will be a little higher
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:15:39.206 \rightarrow 01:15:40.996$  because maybe the beast industry
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- 01:15:40.996 --> 01:15:42.428 isn't getting Subs subsidies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:15:42.430 \longrightarrow 01:15:44.065$  Maybe they're not allowed to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- 01:15:44.065 --> 01:15:45.373 practice these inhumane practices
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- 01:15:45.373 --> 01:15:47.317 in their factory farms and in on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:15:47.317 \longrightarrow 01:15:48.752$  the factory line where workers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:15:48.752 \rightarrow 01:15:50.427$  are being mistreated in crowded.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:15:50.430 \longrightarrow 01:15:52.418$  You know we have to have much
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01{:}15{:}52{.}418 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}54{.}267$  higher standards there that in and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:15:54.267 \rightarrow 01:15:55.827$  of itself having higher standards
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01{:}15{:}55{.}827 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}57{.}600$  for factory farms and factories.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:15:57.600 \rightarrow 01:15:58.587$  Farm factory workers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:15:58.587 \rightarrow 01:16:00.561$  That's going to raise prices because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:16:00.561 \longrightarrow 01:16:02.567$  the price is currently that that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:16:02.567 \rightarrow 01:16:04.187$  are are charged are artificially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:16:04.241 \rightarrow 01:16:05.931$  low because of really cruel
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8685409
- $01:16:05.931 \rightarrow 01:16:06.945$  and inhumane practices.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689
- 01:16:06.950 --> 01:16:08.620 Tord Animals and Tord people,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689
- 01:16:08.620 --> 01:16:10.588 and so already if you just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689
- $01:16:10.588 \rightarrow 01:16:12.300$  change that and nothing else,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689
- $01{:}16{:}12{.}300 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}14{.}239$  prices are probably going to go up
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689
- $01{:}16{:}14{.}239 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}16{.}276$  and then combine that with subsidies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689
- $01{:}16{:}16{.}276 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}18{.}181$  and financial incentives to provide
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689
- $01:16:18.181 \rightarrow 01:16:20.310$  healthier food in food deserts already,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01{:}16{:}20{.}310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}22{.}176$  that that in and of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01:16:22.176 \longrightarrow 01:16:23.990$  itself makes a huge change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01:16:23.990 \longrightarrow 01:16:25.898$  And and that just seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

01:16:25.898 --> 01:16:27.660 me like it's common sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01:16:27.660 \longrightarrow 01:16:29.748$  and that that really shouldn't be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

01:16:29.750 --> 01:16:31.290 A controversial point, but again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01:16:31.290 \longrightarrow 01:16:33.006$  realizing that I have a bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

01:16:33.006 --> 01:16:34.970 if not a conflict of interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01{:}16{:}34{.}970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}36{.}806$  it's my confluence of interests that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

01:16:36.810 --> 01:16:38.665 you know, I I find all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01:16:38.665 \rightarrow 01:16:40.190$  these reasons very compelling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

01:16:40.190 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:16:42.638 and I think you know it's it's well past

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

 $01:16:42.638 \rightarrow 01:16:44.789$  time to make these changes alright?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8623689

01:16:44.790 --> 01:16:45.410 Well, here's

NOTE Confidence: 0.854234

 $01{:}16{:}45{.}410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}47{.}348$  a suggestion from someone that may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.854234

 $01{:}16{:}47{.}348 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}49{.}980$  will get us to change our behavior.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.854234
- 01:16:49.980 --> 01:16:52.710 Do you think images of animal slaughter,

 $01:16:52.710 \longrightarrow 01:16:54.615$  similar to cancer pictures on

NOTE Confidence: 0.854234

 $01:16:54.615 \rightarrow 01:16:56.520$  cigarette cartoons would be effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.854234

 $01:16:56.578 \rightarrow 01:16:58.170$  in altering consumer habits?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01{:}16{:}59{.}610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}03{.}426$  I do and I will say that as someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01{:}17{:}03.426 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}06.908$  for whom that's been effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:06.910 --> 01:17:09.439 You know, I've I've had a sort of slow

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:09.439 \longrightarrow 01:17:11.578$  progress tored a more plant based diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:11.580 --> 01:17:14.118 As I've gotten older and you know we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:14.118 \longrightarrow 01:17:16.245$  talking about this a little bit before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:16.250 --> 01:17:18.590 but you know, I I when I was in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:18.590 --> 01:17:21.075 middle school I had that dog pig

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:21.075 --> 01:17:23.537 epiphany and I was like wait a minute NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:23.537 --> 01:17:26.186 like this is this is not OK I was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:26.186 --> 01:17:28.553 you know totally in love with my with

 $01{:}17{:}28.553 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}31.361$  my Westie and I just one day is like I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:31.361 --> 01:17:33.769 can't eat other mammals and so I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:33.770 --> 01:17:35.594 stopped eating mammals and because I NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:35.594 --> 01:17:37.979 that was where the connection was made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:37.980 --> 01:17:40.689 And I actually I had thought about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01{:}17{:}40.689 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}43.098$  not eating poultry for a long time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:43.100 --> 01:17:45.669 but I sort of didn't stop really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:45.670 \longrightarrow 01:17:47.500$  mostly not to inconvenience other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01{:}17{:}47{.}500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}50{.}062$  people and not to be, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:50.062 \longrightarrow 01:17:51.160$  the quote difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01{:}17{:}51{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}53{.}792$  And I actually saw some some pictures

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:53.792 \longrightarrow 01:17:56.700$  of poultry and and it was just one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:56.700 \longrightarrow 01:17:58.840$  picture in particular an it just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:17:58.840 \longrightarrow 01:17:59.938$  It was heartbreaking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

01:17:59.938 --> 01:18:02.456 I was like that's it, I'm out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01{:}18{:}02{.}456 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}05{.}200$  And then I became PESCO vegetarian and it

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345
- $01:18:05.273 \rightarrow 01:18:08.087$  was only recently that I've moved toward.

01:18:08.090 --> 01:18:09.705 Predominantly vegan or vegan at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01{:}18{:}09{.}705 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}11{.}320$  home and an otherwise vegetarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:18:11.371 \longrightarrow 01:18:13.100$  and experience so so that to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696345

 $01:18:13.100 \rightarrow 01:18:14.350$  to the questions directly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01{:}18{:}14.350 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}15.980$  Though because those pictures you

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01:18:15.980 \longrightarrow 01:18:18.270$  know in the consumer spaces are

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01:18:18.270 \longrightarrow 01:18:20.356$  very very start so you think when

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

01:18:20.356 --> 01:18:22.510 someone goes to buy a couple of pounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01:18:22.510 \longrightarrow 01:18:24.630$  of ground beef that on the back of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01{:}18{:}24.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}26.590$  the package or the front of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01{:}18{:}26{.}590 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}28{.}515$  package there should be a picture of NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

01:18:28.515 --> 01:18:31.040 a cow in the slaughterhouse. Sorry I NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

01:18:31.040 --> 01:18:32.455 didn't I misunderstood the question NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

01:18:32.455 --> 01:18:34.545 I thought you meant just more in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01:18:34.545 \rightarrow 01:18:36.095$  general are those pictures compelling?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

01:18:36.100 --> 01:18:37.288 Like in the pictures

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01{:}18{:}37{.}290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}38{.}855$  are certainly compelling, but they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01{:}18{:}38{.}855 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}40{.}420$  talking about the cancer pictures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

01:18:40.420 --> 01:18:42.664 On cigarette carton, so I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01{:}18{:}42.664 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}44.697$  the analogy would be slaughter

NOTE Confidence: 0.81386375

 $01:18:44.697 \longrightarrow 01:18:46.977$  pictures or be King pictures

NOTE Confidence: 0.849684

 $01:18:46.980 \rightarrow 01:18:48.231$  on meat packaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849684

01:18:48.231 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:18:51.490 I mean you know that that stuff do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849684

 $01{:}18{:}51{.}490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}54{.}360$  I think that that would be affective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849684

 $01{:}18{:}54{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}56{.}784$  Absolutely do I think that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849684

 $01{:}18{:}56{.}784 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}58{.}400$  would be incredibly polarizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.849684

01:18:58.469 --> 01:19:00.097 Ann and might ultimately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

 $01{:}19{:}02{.}220 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}04{.}495$  Hurt the movement more than help it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

01:19:04.500 --> 01:19:06.456 I don't know. I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122

 $01:19:06.460 \rightarrow 01:19:10.420$  I mean, I think that's a great idea.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122
- 01:19:10.420 --> 01:19:13.578 But I I think I, you know, I almost
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122
- $01{:}19{:}13.578 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}15.870$  wonder in our hyper polarized environment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122
- 01:19:15.870 --> 01:19:17.842 What kind of backlash
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122
- $01:19:17.842 \rightarrow 01:19:20.307$  that might create and I?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122
- 01:19:20.310 --> 01:19:23.982 I can't help but think I'd I'd rather I'd
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122
- $01{:}19{:}23.982 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}27.376$  rather use more carrots and fewer sticks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122
- 01:19:27.380 --> 01:19:29.668 And in that sense, but you know honestly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122
- $01:19:29.670 \longrightarrow 01:19:31.380$  I need to think about that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122
- 01:19:31.380 --> 01:19:34.440 I don't know. I think that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122
- 01:19:34.440 --> 01:19:38.659 I think it would be effective, but is that?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122
- 01:19:38.660 --> 01:19:40.124 I just don't know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122
- $01{:}19{:}40{.}124 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}42{.}850$  I'd love to see data on that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122
- $01:19:42.850 \longrightarrow 01:19:44.382$  Now you got the study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8378122
- 01:19:44.382 --> 01:19:45.300 Now you have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86461115
- 01:19:45.300 --> 01:19:46.218 this study. OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86461115

 $01:19:46.218 \rightarrow 01:19:47.748$  let's go to another question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86461115

01:19:47.750 --> 01:19:49.580 Do you have any thoughts on

NOTE Confidence: 0.86461115

01:19:49.580 --> 01:19:50.190 regenerative agriculture?

NOTE Confidence: 0.69117457

01:19:51.820 --> 01:19:55.700 Oh mean meaning. Cell based

NOTE Confidence: 0.69117457

01:19:55.700 --> 01:19:57.170 meat is that you know, I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01:19:57.170 \longrightarrow 01:19:58.430$  sure if that's what they mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

01:19:58.430 --> 01:19:59.738 but I'm gonna I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01:19:59.738 \longrightarrow 01:20:02.400$  assume that's what's meant. Here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01{:}20{:}02{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}05{.}120$  Because I think this mean that term also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01{:}20{:}05{.}120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}07{.}927$  you know to some brings to mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01:20:07.927 \rightarrow 01:20:10.869$  you know top cell regeneration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

01:20:10.870 --> 01:20:12.160 Water cycling and such,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01{:}20{:}12.160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}14.811$  but but I think that the the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01:20:14.811 \longrightarrow 01:20:16.898$  the cell based meat, the plant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01{:}20{:}16.898 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}19.142$  you know that these laboratory based

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01{:}20{:}19.142 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}21.696$  meat etc that that Bill Gates has

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971
- $01:20:21.696 \rightarrow 01:20:24.382$  recently been talking to us about even

 $01{:}20{:}24.382 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}26.577$  the laboratory based yogurts right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01{:}20{:}26{.}580 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}28{.}480$  I think that's that's the area

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

01:20:28.480 --> 01:20:30.670 perhaps that the question and I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

 $01{:}20{:}30.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}32.518$  mean to try and reach some one else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722971

01:20:32.520 --> 01:20:33.708 am I think that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:20:33.710 \longrightarrow 01:20:35.190$  perhaps what they're referring to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:20:35.190 --> 01:20:37.674 OK, I mean, I, I think that sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01{:}20{:}37.674 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}39.946$  similar to the plant based meat there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:20:39.950 \longrightarrow 01:20:41.138$  There's some, certainly it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01{:}20{:}41{.}138 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}42{.}920$  helps with some of the issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01{:}20{:}42{.}920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}45{.}584$  So in terms of animal welfare and excuse me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:20:45.590 --> 01:20:47.075 climate change, I think it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01{:}20{:}47.075 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}48.560$  definitely makes a huge difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01{:}20{:}48.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}50.639$  And if that's going to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

- 01:20:50.639 --> 01:20:51.530 necessary stepping stone,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- $01{:}20{:}51{.}530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}53{.}371$  and I do think that we're going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- $01:20:53.371 \longrightarrow 01:20:54.935$  to be multi pronged approach

 $01{:}20{:}54{.}935 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}57{.}065$  because some people are just not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:20:57.065 \rightarrow 01:20:59.369$  going to be willing to give it up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:20:59.370 --> 01:21:01.864 I think that's a great idea, but again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01{:}21{:}01{.}864 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}03{.}736$  going back to a health standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:21:03.740 --> 01:21:05.300 putting on my cardiologist hat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01{:}21{:}05{.}300 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}07{.}166$  taking off the animal lover hat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:07.170 \rightarrow 01:21:09.347$  you know that's really going to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:09.350 \longrightarrow 01:21:11.228$  If it truly is lab based,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

 $01:21:11.230 \longrightarrow 01:21:13.096$  you know regenerated cell based meat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:21:13.100 --> 01:21:14.790 Presumably it will be nutritionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:21:14.790 --> 01:21:16.839 equivalent to eating meat from a cow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:21:16.840 --> 01:21:19.056 and so you know if you if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

01:21:19.056 --> 01:21:20.700 don't care about your health

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- 01:21:20.700 --> 01:21:23.073 and you're like you know a doc.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- 01:21:23.080 --> 01:21:25.574 I hear you, but I'm healthy, I'm good.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- 01:21:25.574 --> 01:21:28.070 I mean, I want to keep eating meat,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- $01:21:28.070 \longrightarrow 01:21:29.670$  but I'm very concerned about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- $01:21:29.670 \longrightarrow 01:21:30.950$  animals on the planet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- 01:21:30.950 --> 01:21:31.508 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- $01:21:31.508 \longrightarrow 01:21:33.182$  for someone for whom those are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- 01:21:33.182 --> 01:21:34.340 more compelling priorities,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- $01{:}21{:}34{.}340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}36{.}492$  I think cell based or lab based meat
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- $01:21:36.492 \rightarrow 01:21:38.650$  is going to be helpful alternative.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- 01:21:38.650 --> 01:21:39.380 And again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- 01:21:39.380 --> 01:21:41.570 I I think realizing that we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- $01:21:41.570 \longrightarrow 01:21:43.548$  going to have to sort of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- $01{:}21{:}43.550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}45.210$  Attack this on multiple fronts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- $01{:}21{:}45{.}210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}47{.}190$  I think that's a fantastic idea.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358

- 01:21:47.190 --> 01:21:49.181 Something I'm going to, you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- 01:21:49.181 --> 01:21:51.167 I have no intention of eating
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- 01:21:51.167 --> 01:21:52.160 plant based meat,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- $01{:}21{:}52{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}53{.}815$  but that doesn't mean that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- $01{:}21{:}53.815 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}55.139$  other people people shouldn't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- 01:21:55.140 --> 01:21:56.795 It's just that that's not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8345358
- $01:21:56.795 \rightarrow 01:21:58.780$  appealing to me at all gotcha.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487
- $01:21:58.780 \longrightarrow 01:22:00.435$  OK, so here's a question
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487
- $01:22:00.435 \longrightarrow 01:22:02.090$  for a cardiologist as well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487
- $01{:}22{:}02{.}090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}04{.}738$  perhaps is there a way to promote plant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487
- 01:22:04.738 --> 01:22:07.422 based plant based diets in a way that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487
- $01:22:07.422 \longrightarrow 01:22:09.698$  is congruent with health at every size?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487
- 01:22:09.700 --> 01:22:11.495 Another fat liberation movements that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487
- $01{:}22{:}11{.}495 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}13{.}740$  seek to separate weight and health.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487
- 01:22:13.740 --> 01:22:16.218 I mean, I, I think that again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487
- $01:22:16.220 \rightarrow 01:22:19.944$  as a cardiologist, I think the the.

01:22:19.950 --> 01:22:22.038 An effort to to to play down body shaming

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01:22:22.038 \rightarrow 01:22:24.167$  I think is a very worthwhile effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01{:}22{:}24{.}170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}26{.}546$  On the other hand, I think is a cardiologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01:22:26.550 \rightarrow 01:22:28.302$  Perhaps you might want to tell us that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01:22:28.302 \rightarrow 01:22:29.935$  we can't completely separate size and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01{:}22{:}29{.}935 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}32{.}255$  health or weight and health that these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01:22:32.255 \rightarrow 01:22:33.935$  not totally distinct concepts as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01:22:33.940 \longrightarrow 01:22:35.788$  but but the question wants to know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

01:22:35.790 --> 01:22:37.930 is there a way to do this to promote the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01{:}22{:}37{.}990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}40{.}174$  plant based diet in a way that's congruent NOTE Confidence: 0.8338487

 $01:22:40.174 \rightarrow 01:22:42.390$  with the health at every size movement?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}22{:}43.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}46.490$  Yeah, so you know, I think your comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:22:46.490 --> 01:22:49.500 Actually, you're you summed it up perfectly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}22{:}49{.}500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}52{.}425$  Which is to say that I don't think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}22{:}52{.}425 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}55{.}514$  you can fairly completely D couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

- $01:22:55.514 \rightarrow 01:22:57.662$  weight and cardiovascular health.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- 01:22:57.670 --> 01:23:00.190 I just think that the the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:23:00.190 \longrightarrow 01:23:02.400$  data would not support that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:23:02.400 \longrightarrow 01:23:04.500$  However, you know that that's there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}23{:}04{.}500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}06{.}985$  other things that are more directly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}23{:}06{.}985 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}08{.}518$  correlated with cardiovascular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- 01:23:08.518 --> 01:23:10.562 disease specific risk factors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:23:10.570 \rightarrow 01:23:13.396$  although many of those risk factors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:23:13.400 \rightarrow 01:23:15.310$  Are also correlated with obesity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:23:15.310 \rightarrow 01:23:18.730$  but I think that we really that being said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- 01:23:18.730 --> 01:23:19.759 you know what?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}23{:}19.759 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}22.160$  While I don't think that we should
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- 01:23:22.238 --> 01:23:24.548 be disingenuous and sort of actively
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}23{:}24{.}548 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}27{.}499$  state that that we see no correlation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}23{:}27{.}500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}30{.}160$  where in fact there is a correlation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- 01:23:30.160 --> 01:23:32.589 But certainly I don't think that should

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:23:32.589 \rightarrow 01:23:35.498$  be the focus of healthy eating programs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}23{:}35{.}500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}37{.}780$  whether it's plant based or otherwise,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}23{:}37.780 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}40.230$  where you know the the primary concern
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:23:40.230 \longrightarrow 01:23:43.444$  should not be weight so much as other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:23:43.444 \rightarrow 01:23:45.120$  metabolic indices that actually.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}23{:}45{.}120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}46{.}029$  Are better correlated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:23:46.029 \rightarrow 01:23:49.330$  So what do I mean by those metabolic indices?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- 01:23:49.330 --> 01:23:50.032 Waist circumference,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:23:50.032 \rightarrow 01:23:52.138$  which again does correlate with weight.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:23:52.140 \longrightarrow 01:23:54.246$  But we know that that central
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- 01:23:54.246 --> 01:23:55.650 obesity or central adiposity?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}23{:}55{.}650 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}58{.}458$  Those fat cells that deposit on our organs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}23{:}58{.}460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}59{.}864$  also called visceral fat.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}23{:}59{.}864 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}01{.}970$  That's a lot more metabolically active.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}24{:}01{.}970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}04{.}394$  And subcutaneous fat and so measuring
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:24:04.394 --> 01:24:06.383 someone's waist circumference may actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:06.383 \rightarrow 01:24:08.574$  give you a lot more information than

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}24{:}08{.}574 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}10{.}739$  than just putting them on the scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:24:10.740 --> 01:24:13.169 But even that is if that's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:13.169 \longrightarrow 01:24:15.130$  to be too difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}24{:}15{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}17{.}174$  You know, let's look at blood pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:17.180 \longrightarrow 01:24:18.650$  Let's look at hemoglobin A1C,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:18.650 \longrightarrow 01:24:20.414$  which is a measure of long-term

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:24:20.414 --> 01:24:21.927 blood glucose control that's used

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:24:21.927 --> 01:24:23.607 to measure people with diabetes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}24{:}23.607 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}25.379$  pre diabetes and how well they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}24{:}25{.}379 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}26{.}555$  doing on their regiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:26.560 \longrightarrow 01:24:28.020$  Let's look at cholesterol panels

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:28.020 \longrightarrow 01:24:29.827$  like there are lots of metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:29.827 \longrightarrow 01:24:31.829$  indices that that we can look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:24:31.830 \longrightarrow 01:24:33.588$  We can look at CR P,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:24:33.590 \longrightarrow 01:24:35.634$  which is a measure of of inflammation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- 01:24:35.640 --> 01:24:37.976 So so I I I don't think again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:24:37.980 \longrightarrow 01:24:39.884$  I think this is not exclusive to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:24:39.884 \longrightarrow 01:24:41.698$  plant based we we shouldn't be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:24:41.698 \longrightarrow 01:24:43.253$  in the business of shaming.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}24{:}43.260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}45.900$  And again, it sort of goes toward the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- 01:24:45.900 --> 01:24:47.790 Let's I want us to focus on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}24{:}47.790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}49.080$  carrots more than sticks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}24{:}49{.}080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}51{.}672$  I want this to be as inclusive as possible.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:24:51.680 \longrightarrow 01:24:53.664$  I think that you know we need to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}24{:}53.664 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}55.265$  realize that there are some people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}24{:}55{.}265 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}57{.}251$  who are going to find the health
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:24:57.251 \rightarrow 01:24:59.477$  arguments compelling but not the others.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01{:}24{:}59{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}01{.}896$  Some that are going to find the animal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273
- $01:25:01.896 \rightarrow 01:25:03.528$  arguments compelling but not the others.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:25:03.530 \rightarrow 01:25:05.155$  The climate arguments compelling we

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}25{:}05{.}155 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}06{.}780$  I wanted inclusive movement that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:25:06.835 --> 01:25:07.579 not about 0 sum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:25:07.580 \longrightarrow 01:25:09.596$  You know, if you're not with us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:25:09.600 \longrightarrow 01:25:11.328$  you're against note like that there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:25:11.330 \rightarrow 01:25:12.725$  There's something in plant based

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:25:12.725 \rightarrow 01:25:14.799$  nutrition for for most if not everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:25:14.800 \longrightarrow 01:25:15.852$  And so I I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

01:25:15.852 --> 01:25:18.191 I don't think that you know a myopic

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:25:18.191 \rightarrow 01:25:20.255$  focus on weight is is helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01:25:20.255 \rightarrow 01:25:22.111$  or necessary without explicitly

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}25{:}22.111 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}24.299$  decoupling the link between

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}25{:}24{.}299 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}26{.}487$  weight and cardiovascular disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82566273

 $01{:}25{:}26{.}490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}28{.}896$  because I do think that's disingenuous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01{:}25{:}29{.}910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}32{.}080$  You know, so there's a whole bunch

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01:25:32.080 \rightarrow 01:25:34.250$  more questions, and our time is up.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952
- $01{:}25{:}34{.}250 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}35{.}490$  There was tremendous influence.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952
- $01{:}25{:}35{.}490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}37{.}350$  Obviously tremendous interest in the talking.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952
- $01{:}25{:}37{.}350 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}39{.}436$  The talk stimulated a lot of great
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952
- $01:25:39.436 \rightarrow 01:25:41.017$  questions and conversations which will
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952
- $01:25:41.017 \rightarrow 01:25:42.925$  hopefully carry forward to beyond to night.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952
- 01:25:42.930 --> 01:25:44.764 Doctor Who I want to thank you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952
- $01{:}25{:}44.764 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}46.340$  for a tremendous presentation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952
- $01:25:46.340 \longrightarrow 01:25:48.615$  I want to apologize to those who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952
- $01{:}25{:}48.615 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}50.679$  ask questions that I didn't get to,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952
- 01:25:50.680 --> 01:25:52.230 but I've promised you all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952
- $01:25:52.230 \longrightarrow 01:25:53.780$  the hard stop at 6:30,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952
- $01{:}25{:}53.780 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}56.260$  which is about to happen any second now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952
- 01:25:56.260 --> 01:25:59.590 It's 629, so I am going to stop it here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952
- $01:25:59.590 \dashrightarrow 01:26:01.222$  I'm going to thank you and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952
- $01:26:01.222 \rightarrow 01:26:03.000$  thank you all for attending.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01{:}26{:}03.000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}05.480$  We look forward to seeing you at our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01{:}26{:}05{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}07{.}555$  next program when in a couple weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

01:26:07.555 --> 01:26:09.674 and you can check our schedule on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01{:}26{:}09.674 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}11.984$  the on the website and Doctor Hall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

01:26:11.990 --> 01:26:12.610 Wonderful talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

01:26:12.610 --> 01:26:13.850 Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

 $01:26:13.850 \rightarrow 01:26:17.112$  Thank you and thank you everyone for coming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8570952

01:26:17.112 --> 01:26:19.215 Good I thought.